



# Channel Model Ad Hoc Report

IEEE P802.3ap Task Force Meeting  
Atlanta, GA  
March 15, 2005

Adam Healey, Agere Systems



## From January...

---

- Move that normative channel specification be defined in the time domain (applies to analysis and not necessarily measurement).

**PASSED (23/2/9)**

- Direct the channel model ad hoc to include reference transmitter, receiver, terminations (package and IC), and TP4-TP5 segment in the normative channel specification.

**PASSED (25/1/10)**



# Meetings

---

- Teleconference: Thursday, February 10
  - Methodology to derive time-domain data.
- Teleconference: Wednesday, February 23
  - Identify time-domain parameters.
- Teleconference: Wednesday, March 2
  - Crosstalk.



# Presentations

---

- Popescu, “Proposal to IEEE P802.3ap Channel Model Ad Hoc”.

[http://ieee802.org/3/ap/public/channel\\_adhoc/popescu\\_c1\\_0205.pdf](http://ieee802.org/3/ap/public/channel_adhoc/popescu_c1_0205.pdf)

- D’Ambrosia, “Revisiting Channel Model Measurements”.

[http://ieee802.org/3/ap/public/channel\\_adhoc/dambrosia\\_c1\\_0205.pdf](http://ieee802.org/3/ap/public/channel_adhoc/dambrosia_c1_0205.pdf)

- Moore, “Computing the effect of crosstalk using convolution”.

[http://ieee802.org/3/ap/public/channel\\_adhoc/moore\\_c1\\_0305.pdf](http://ieee802.org/3/ap/public/channel_adhoc/moore_c1_0305.pdf)

- Brunn, “Proposal for S-parameter extraction to DC”.

[http://ieee802.org/3/ap/public/channel\\_adhoc/brunn\\_c1\\_0305.pdf](http://ieee802.org/3/ap/public/channel_adhoc/brunn_c1_0305.pdf)



# Derivation of Time-Domain Data



# Options

---

- Option #1 is to supply recommended practices on how to derive time-domain data.
- Option #2 is to leave such details out of the standard.
  - The user is free to choose their favorite tool set to acquire the backplane impulse/pulse response.
- Straw poll in favor option #1 (10:6).
  - “If it matters, then we should define it.”



# Extrapolation

---

- Conversion of SDD21 vector to time domain requires extrapolation to DC.
  - May not be necessary if source data is from time-domain.
  - Proposal is to linearly extrapolate magnitude and unwrapped phase (best-fit line derived from first 10 measured points).
- Maximum measurement frequency of 15 GHz results in approximately 3 samples/ baud at 10.3125 Gbaud.
  - To increase the sample rate, extrapolation to the desired Nyquist frequency is also required.
  - Zero-padding is the most convenient option (frequency content above 15 GHz probably does not have a strong influence in the time domain response).



# Channel Specifications







# TX / RX Return Loss Considerations

---

- One approach may be the voltage transfer function referenced by Mellitz.

[http://ieee802.org/3/ap/public/channel\\_adhoc/mellitz\\_c1\\_0904.pdf](http://ieee802.org/3/ap/public/channel_adhoc/mellitz_c1_0904.pdf)

$$\frac{V_o}{V_i} = \frac{\frac{S_{21}}{2} (1 + \Gamma_R)(1 - \Gamma_T)}{1 - S_{11}\Gamma_T - S_{22}\Gamma_R - S_{21}S_{12}\Gamma_T\Gamma_R + S_{11}S_{22}\Gamma_T\Gamma_R}$$

- This could apply equally to the informative frequency-domain methodology.
- Question: What to use for  $\Gamma_T$  and  $\Gamma_R$ ?



# “Thru” Specifications

---

- “Residual” ISI Penalty

- The ISI Penalty following the application of an “ideal” fixed-complexity equalizer.
- Equalizer definition somewhat dependent on 10GBASE-KR signaling decision.
- Link budget to include margin for “implementation loss”.



# Crosstalk

---

- Methodologies under consideration:
  - RMS sum of the peak values of the individual crosstalk aggressors.
  - Convolution of the amplitude distributions of individual aggressors. Amplitude distribution of the aggressors averaged over sample phase.
- Follow-up studies have been performed and results will be presented at this meeting.



Thank you!