Ρ C/ 00 SC L Comment # 113 C/ 00 SC **Emcore Corporation** John Dallesasse Grow, Robert Intel Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A

PICs are missing for changes to Clause 43B and 57.

Suggested Remedy

Add PICS.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The 802.3ah edits to 43B failed to update the PICS item SP1 in 43B.6.2.3 to 10 frames per second. This change will be made.

In addition, PICS entry SP3 also in 43B.6.2.3 needs to be changed to "Basic frame (not Qtagged frame or envelope frame) format"

In addition, PICS entry PDU1 in 57.7.3.3 needs to be changed to "Frame format I OAMPDUs cannot be Q-tagged frames or envelope frames"

P C/ 00 SC 1 Comment # 191 Walter Hurwitz Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

P802.3as does not guarantee compatibility with existing Ethernet devices.

Suggested Remedy

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Though a significant effort has been made to minimize the affect on legacy systems. P802.3as did not set out to quarantee compatibility with existing Ethernet devices. No objective states this goal. Nothing in the PAR states this goal.

C/ 00 SC P3Comment # 155 L 1 Grow. Robert Intel

Comment Status A Comment Type

These are changes, not "Revisions". (Revision is a specific type of project and should not be used this way within an amendment project draft.)

Suggested Remedy

This type of title is not used in publication and could be removed. The clause title in line 25 is all that is included in publication. The published TOC entries simply add "(Changes to)" to the clause/annex title. Delete the header.

Fix consistently for all clauses included in the draft.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

P3L 16 Comment # 156

Somehow, the Editorial Note got changed for this and at least one other 802.3 amendment draft.

Suggested Remedy

The instructions about the draft are to be an EDITORIAL NOTE (e.g., see IEEE Std 802.3ak-2004). New front matter (currently in review by IEEE staff) to be provided by the WG Chair should include this fix

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Awaiting front matter from 802.3 WG chair (Editorial instructions will be moved to front matter).

This is a gating item for sponsor ballot

C/ 00 SC P 5 L 1 Comment # 162 Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A

Clauses (other than the first) do not need to start on a new page, and certainly not on a right hand page.

Suggested Remedy

Consider running all changes continuously, without page breaks.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove white space.

But it is cleaner for clauses to start on a new page.

C/ 00 SC P 5 L 3 Comment # 161 Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status R

The editorial history probably only needs to be included once as there is one TF editor. The same is true of the editing instructions.

Suggested Remedy

Remove here and from following clauses. (The new front matter will provide the appropriate single location for this information.) But, if the editor wishes to track individual clause changes then by all means keep the Editor's note per clause.

Response Response Status C

The editorial histroy differs for each clause and the editor would prefer to keep it until completion.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 1 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:17 PM

C/ 00

SC

P9P3C/ 00 SC L 36 Comment # 153 C/ 01 SC 1.3 L 32 Comment # 74 Grow, Robert Intel Booth, Brad Intel Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A It looks like the strikethrough and underscore for some of the changed clauses are 2005 should be underlined. produced using the Framemaker compare function. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy As per comment. If it is done with a compare, the editor needs to produce a document with hard Response Response Status C strikethroughs and underscores on changed text. If not, add an Editors Note (to be removed prior to final publication): ACCEPT. Color is only used to aid the reviewer and will not be included in the published standard. SC 1.4 P 3 C/ 01 L 40 Comment # 90 Response Status C Response Brown, Benjamin Motorola ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type E Comment Status A strikethrough and underscore are not produced using compare. They are created with a Definitions here are basic frame, tagged frame and envelope frame but section 3.1 refer to character format that includes a colour. An editor's note will be added: "Colour is only used these as basic MAC frame, tagged MAC frame and envelope MAC frame, respectively, to aid the reviewer and will not be included in the published standard." although other places use the original names C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 L 1 Comment # 23 Suggested Remedy Cisco Systems Barrass, Hugh replace "basic frame" with "basic frame or basic MAC frame" replace "tagged frame" with "tagged frame or tagged MAC frame" replace "envelope frame" with "envelope frame or Comment Type Comment Status A TR envelope MAC frame" All references to maxUntaggedFrameSize (that is used to defined frame length in many Response Status C Response Clauses) must be addressed. If necessary changes must be made so that the Clauses reflect the old and new standards for frame size 33 Similarly a search must be conducted ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. for other references to frame size (including the "magic" numbers 1518 and 1522). See response to comments 158,159,160 & 165 Suggested Remedy Numerous changes required, I will submit further comments for all the missing references C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 3 L 40 Comment # 117 that I find. Dawe. Piers Agilent Response Status W Response Comment Type E Comment Status R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. I was surprised that there isn't a definition of 'frame' in the base document. The following comment numbers address this issue: 25 to 35 Suggested Remedy P3C/ 01 SC 1.3 L 30 Comment # 157 Response Status C Grow. Robert Intel Response REJECT Comment Type E Comment Status A I doubt it matters what order things are deleted. A definition exists for 'data frame'. No suggested remedy given for 'frame' Suggested Remedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Delete "in alphabetic order" from the editing instruction.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Page 2 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:18 PM C/ 01

SC 1.4

CI 01 SC 1.4 P3 L 40 Comment # 158
Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

These definitions appear to be independent of the previous definitions and they shouldn't be. Basic frame should reference 1.4.127 data frame.

Suggested Remedy

"A data frame that is either length or type encoded and has a maximum length of 1518 octets."

If we feel compelled to introduce "Ethernet" into the definitions it should be added to data frame and perhaps the definition should be updated to agree with clause 3 of this project: "1.4.127 data frame: For Ethernet, consists of the Destination Address, Source Address, MAC Client Data, PAD (if required), and Frame Check Sequence."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Modify

1.4.127 data frame:

Consists of the Destination Address, Source Address, Length/Type, MAC Client Data, Pad (if required), and Frame Check Sequence.

1.4.xxx basic frame:

A data frame that carries a Length/Type field with the Length or Type interpretation and has a maximum length of 1518 octets.

C/ **01** SC **1.4** P **3** L **44** Comment # 116

Dawe, Piers Agilent

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Frames contain more than data (payload) and possible tag. Does this definition help? \$1.4.127 data frame: Consists of the Destination Address, Source Address, Length Field, logical link control (LLC) Data, PAD, and Frame Check Sequence. Off topic: should LLC have been MAC client data?

Suggested Remedy

If it's a useful definition, change 'original data' to 'original data frame' in this definition and the next.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 158 Cl 01 SC 1.4 P3 L 44 Comment # 24

Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A

There is already a definition:

1.4.334 tagged MAC frame: This new definition should replace the old one.

Suggested Remedy

Remove the paragraph starting "1.4.xxx tagged frame:..." from this section.

Add a new section that says:

Change 1.4.334 as follows:<strikethrough>MAC frame: A frame that contains a QTag Prefix<end strikethrough><underscore>frame: An Ethernet frame that is type encoded to include an IEEE 802.1Q tag in addition to the original data and has a maximum length of 1522 octets<end underscore>"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 159

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P3 L 44 Comment # 159

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Should be in context of previous definitions.

Suggested Remedy

"A data frame that is type encoded to include an IEEE 802.1Q tag at the beginning of the MAC Client Data and has a maximum length of 1522 octets"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Additional changes per comment 230:

Modify 1.4.334 to be:

1.4.xxx Q-tagged frame:

A data frame that carries a Type field to indicate only an IEEE 802.1Q tag at the beginning of the MAC Client Data and has a maximum length of 1522 octets.

Inspect 802.3 and replace as appropriate:

"tag" -> "Q-tag"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 3 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:18 PM C/ 01

CI **01** SC **1.4** P **3** L **48** Comment # 160
Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Should be in context of previous definitions.

Suggested Remedy

A data frame that is type encoded to include additional encapsulation information within the MAC Client Data and has a maximum length of 2000 octets.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Additional changes per comment 230:

Modify

1.4.xxx envelope frame:

A data frame that carries Length/Type field with the Type interpretation that indicates additional encapsulation information within the MAC Client Data and has a maximum length of 2000 octets.

C/ **02** SC **2.1** P**2** L**7** Comment # 195
David V James JGG

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Figure callouts should all be 8-point Arial, here and throughout. The meaning of larger fonts is unclear and too distracting.

Suggested Remedy

8-point Arial throughout.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The TF does not consider these larger fonts unclear or distracting

CI 02 SC 2.1 P5 L1 Comment # 152

Thomas Dineen Consulting

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Market adoption of super frames has rendered this project obsolete and irrelevant. This has been the case for 20 years. So this project to me is the moral equivalent of trying to lock the barn door 20 years after the horse has escaped.

Suggested Remedy

Turn in the PAR and stop work.

Response Status W

REJECT.

Currently, 802.1 (with the completion of 802.1ad and 802.1AE) and 802.3 are incompatible This project retains compatibility between 802.1 and 802.3 as stated in the approved PAR and 5 critieria.

Cl 02 SC 2.1 P5 L 28 Comment # 75

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Editing instruction should be an insert and should be closer to affected text.

Suggested Remedy

Move editing instruction to after 2.1 heading. Change instruction to read: Insert new sentence at the end of the first paragraph. Remove the rest of the paragraph that has had no edits. Remove underline.

Response Status W

REJECT.

The current text gives a clearer view of the change and its context.

Also see response to comment 206.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 4 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:19 PM C/ 02

P 5 C/ 02 SC 2.1 P 5 L 38 Comment # 227 C/ 02 SC 2.1 L 40 Comment # 224 Thaler, Pat Agilent Technologies Hatteras Networks Squire, Matt Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A The last sentence of the paragraph is difficult to parse. The ":" is unnecessary. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Perhaps make separate sentences for the case where MAC Control is present and the Delete ":" case where it isn't. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 227 Change to: If the MAC Control sublayer is present, the MAC client service primitives C/ 02 SC 2.1 P 5 L 49 Comment # 37 MA DATA request and MA DATA indication interface to both the MAC Control sublaver Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems and then to the MAC. If the MAC Control sublayer is not present, the MAC client service Comment Type TR Comment Status A primitives MA DATA.request and MA DATA.indication interface just to the MAC. Although I recognize the benefit of the "service to humanity" changes to the service C/ 02 SC 2.1 P 5 / 38 Comment # 228 interface, it is imperative that the document integrity is maintained. All references to Thaler, Pat **Agilent Technologies** "TransmitFrame" and "ReceiveFrame" and related topics must be sought out and addressed appropriately. Comment Type TR Comment Status A Suggested Remedy This comment also affects Clause 31 and its annex. I will submit a number of separate technical comments for instances that I find. It would be Having the same primitive name at two sublayers can cause ambiguity. For example, in Clause 31 where the state machine uses MA_Data.indication, it could mean the primitive most beneficial for others to conduct similar searches. on the upper interface or the lower interface. Response Response Status W Suggested Remedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Either create a separte primitive or add a notation to identify the instance of the primitive This is addressed by comments 46 to 63. when there are multiple interfaces with the same primitive names present. For example, (u) and (I) could be put at the end of the name to indicate the primitive instance at the upper C/ 02 SC 2.1 P 6 L 30 Comment # | 76 and lower interfaces of the sublayer, respectively. Booth, Brad Intel Response Status W Response Comment Status A Comment Type Е ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editing instruction (and previous editor's note) should refer to the figure as Figure 2-1, not Using Fig 57-2 as a model the service primitives will be uniquely identified using MAC: and figure 2.1. MCS: prefixes. Suggested Remedy C/ 02 SC 2.1 P 5 / 39 Comment # 91 As per comment. Motorola Brown. Benjamin Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Comment Type E

Extra symbol
Suggested Remedy

Response

reapice "to: both" with "to both"

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See response to comment 227

Comment Status A

Response Status C

Page 5 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:19 PM C/ 02

SC 2.1

P 7 Comment # 99 C/ 02 SC 2.1 L 1 George Claseman Micrel Semiconductor

Comment Type Comment Status A

Figure 2.1 has two instances of 'MA DATA.reguest' and 'MA DATA.indication'.

Suggested Remedy

Label the primitives between the Medium Access Control and MAC Control with unique names.

Response Status C Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 228

TR

C/ 02 SC 2.1 P 7 L 13 Comment # 200 Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Status R

I may be mistaken (but don't think so: thus this comment :-)). The page 6 diagram was added to indicate that MAC Control sublayer could source/sink MAC Control Frame (e.g. flow control). You could either a) undo this proposed change b) add MA CONTROL indication and .request between MAC Control and MAC and look carefully what other changes needs to be modified (if any) in Clause 2 and 31.

Suggested Remedy

Comment Type

Do either of the suggested above. a) undo this proposed change b) add MA CONTROL indication and request between MAC Control and MAC and look carefully what other chnages needs to be modified (if any) in Clause 2 and 31.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Figure 2-1 was changed, not to show the ability of the MAC Control sublayer to source MAC Control frames, but rather to harmonize the interfaces.

C/ 03 P 9 SC L 25 Comment # 163 Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

This project is not helping with the less than crystal clear definition of what a frame is within 802.3. Unfortunately, it worsens the current state, and I believe should therefore be fixed, even if some of the appropriate fixes may be viewed as a service to humanity. diBased on what Clause 1 defines as a data frame and as a packet (terms used in many clauses within the base standard), this clause does not define the frame format, but rather defines a data frame encapsulation which is defined in 1.4 as a packet. 33 See separate file for all changes recommended for this issue and justified in additional comments.

Suggested Remedy

Change title to:

3. Media access control data frame encapsulation

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change title to:

Media Access Control (MAC) data frame and packet specifications

Comment Status R

C/ 03 P 12 SC 2.7 L 21 Comment #

Agarwal, Puneet Broadcom

TR

Why are we limiting the value of N for QTAG frames to be 1504 bytes? One should allow similar flexibility for the QTAG frames as allowed for non-QTAG frames (1500 or 1982).

Suggested Remedy

Comment Type

Specify that "N" for QTAG frames can be 1504 or 1982.

Response Status W Response

REJECT

The specification of Q-tagged frames is in IEEE 802.1Q, not in 802.3.

Retaining 1504 is a means of grandfathering Q-tagged frames so that equipment complying with IEEE 802.3ac (now included in IEEE 802.3-2005) will have a compliant codepoint.

A data frame that includes additional 'tags' beyond a single 802.1Q tag is an 'envelope frame'

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 6 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:19 PM

C/ 03

CI 03 SC 3.1 P9 L 27 Comment # 77
Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Editing instruction should be closer to the affected text and only required edits should be shown.

Suggested Remedy

Remove first paragraph of 3.1. Move editing instruction after the 3.1 heading and change instruction to read: Change 2nd paragraph to read as follows.

Response Status W

REJECT.

The current text gives a clearer view of the change and its context.

Also see response to comment 206.

Cl 03 SC 3.1 P 9 L 33 Comment # 164
Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The overview is incorrect and adds inconsistent information. Rewrite.

Suggested Remedy

This clause defines the mapping between MAC service interface primitives and Ethernet data frames, including the syntax and semantics of the various components of data frames and the components used to encapsulate those frames into packets.

During Ethernet's history, capabilities have been defined as additions to the original data frame to add layer 2 protocol encapsulations within the MAC client data. Three specific applications of data frames have been specified:

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace first paragraph with:

This clause defines the mapping between MAC service interface primitives and Ethernet frames, including the syntax and semantics of the various fields of data frames and the fields used to encapsulate those data frames into packets.

During Ethernet's history, capabilities have been added to allow Layer 2 protocol encapsulations within the MAC client data field.

CI 03 SC 3.1 P9 L 36 Comment # 229

Thaler, Pat Agilent Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

"application" doesnt seem like an accurate word. Usually application refers to something at higher layers in the stack then the encapsulation protocols. Also, it says "the applications below" but what is below are frame types. 33 Also, the subject was changed to singular so the verb needs to change to match

Suggested Remedy

"The MAC frame format is specified in this clause including the following three varients:" In 3.2.7 replace "application modes" with "data field sizes"

Also change in 4.2.4.2.1 and 4A.2.4.2 search for other incorrect instances of "application" and replace.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Modify 2nd paragraph of 3.1:

The frame format specified in this clause includes the following three types of data frames:

In 3.2.7 replace "application modes" with "data frames"

Also change in 4.2.4.2.1 and 4A.2.4.2 search for other incorrect instances of "application" and replace "data frames".

Cl 03 SC 3.1 P9 L 36 Comment # 149

Dawe, Piers Agilent

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Strange re-use of the word 'application', at odds with e.g. 1.1.4 or ISO seven layer model.

Suggested Remedy

Use another term, such as 'data field format', 'payload format'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 229

CI 03 SC 3.1 P9 L 42 Comment # 165

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The new terms defined in clause 1 are "basic frame", etc., not "basic MAC frame".

Suggested Remedy

Delete MAC from list items a, b and c.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 7 of 49

C/ 03

9/14/2005 9:44:19 PM

SC 3.1

Cl 03 SC 3.1 P9 L 43 Comment # 11

Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A**Note that there is no line 43 in this draft

The use of ",and" for a bulleted item is redundant.

Suggested Remedy

Delete ", and"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 03 SC 3.1 P9 L 45 Comment # 199

Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status R

"c) An envelop MAC frame"

Suggested Remedy

Any reason that "encapsulated" was not used in place of "envelop"? I prefer "encapsulated" that more acccurately describes what is being allowed.

Response Status C

REJECT.

Encapsulated frame would be misleading.

The intent is that envelope frames contain one or more encapsulations of client data.

C/ 03 SC 3.1.1 P10 L1 Comment # 101
George Claseman Micrel Semiconductor

Comment Type T Comment Status R

As the section referring to the Q-tag and frame format with the Q-tag has been removed, it seems that the MAC frame format should also include a variable field between the SA and L/T. This would include the Q-tag as well as other 802.1 additions. In this regard, the N value in the MAC client data would become a function of the field(s) inserted after the SA.It also seems that the original intent was to add frame bytes for 802 use, and to keep the MAC client data at 1500.

Suggested Remedy

Provide for a shim field or fields after the SA and prior to the L/T. A side benefit of doing it this way would keep upper layers from using the extra payload now provided. Please consult with 802.1 members as to their intended frame formats in current and future projects.

Response Status C

REJECT

802.1 has been regularly consulted. They are content with the current definition and further given their numerous projects needing longer frames would not support such a shim.

Also, such a shim would be a format change for Ethernet which is not in the scope of this project.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 8 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:19 PM CLO

C/ 03 SC 3.1.1 P 10 L 28 Comment # 169 Grow, Robert Intel Comment Type TR Comment Status A

To tie things together, data frame should be illustrated on this Figure. Other terms need to be improved also for consistency and clarity.

Suggested Remedy

Add bracket spanning SFD through FCS and label "DATA FRAME".

Change title to "MAC packet format"

Replace word "FRAME" in transmission arrows with "PACKET" for the downward arrow and with "OCTET for the bit order arrow. No bits are defined in the figure so change LEFT TO RIGHT to MSB TO LSB. Make corresponding changes in last paragraph of 3.1.1.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Add bracket spanning DA through FCS and label "DATA FRAME". Add bracket spanning Preamble through FCS and label "PACKET".

Change figure title to "Packet format"

Change to:

OCTETS TRANSMITTED TOP TO BOTTOM

Change to:

BITS TRANSMITTED LEFT TO RIGHT

Change title to: 3.1.1 Packet format

Change first part of clause to:

Figure 3-1 shows the fields of a packet:

Change:

...frame. An extension field is added if required (for 1000 Mb/s half duplex operation only).

Change sentence after figure:

The minimum and maximum data frame size limits in 4.4 refer to that portion of the packet from the destination address field through the frame check sequence field, inclusive.

Change 3.1.2 text to:

Figure 3-2 shows the mapping of service interface parameters to the fields of a data frame within a packet.

Change Fig 3-2 title:

Service primitive mappings

Review and ensure consistent use of 'data frame' & 'packet' through clauses 1-4, 4A & 31.

C/ 03 SC 3.1.1

P 10 Agilent L 36

Comment # 146

Dawe, Piers

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Don't use a hyphen or dash to indicate range, because it means minus.

Suggested Remedy

Change to '46 to N OCTETS'. Note other comments that request that N be changed to maxValidFrame, or much better to maxDataSize.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 144

C/ 03 SC 3.1.1 P 10

L 37

Comment # 100

George Claseman

Micrel Semiconductor

Comment Type Comment Status A

"(see clause 3.2.7)"

Suggested Remedy

"(see subclause 3.2.7)" or "(see 3.2.7)" Also, put in hyperlink to 3.2.7.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"(see 3.2.7)"

SC 3.1.1

P 10 Aailent L 38 Comment # 147

Dawe. Piers

C/ 03

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A

3.2.7 isn't a clause

Suggested Remedy

Delete 'clause'.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 105

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 9 of 49

C/ 03

9/14/2005 9:44:19 PM

SC 3.1.1

CI 03 SC 3.1.1 P 10 L 54 Comment # 170
Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Inconsistent usage of terminology.

Suggested Remedy

The minimum and maximum frame size limits in 4.4 refer to that portion of the packet from the destination address field through the frame check sequence field, inclusive (the data frame).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The minimum and maximum frame size limits in 4.4 refer to that portion of the packet from the destination address field through the frame check sequence field, inclusive (i.e., the data frame).

C/ 03 SC 3.1.1 P9 L 47 Comment # 166

Comment Status A

Grow, Robert Intel

TR

This isn't the frame format, it is the packet format.

Suggested Remedy

Comment Type

Change to:

3.1.1 MAC packet format

Alternately, change to:

3.1.1 MAC packet and frame formats

Then, add a new figure showing the packet encapsulation of the frame (prepending of preamble and SFD to the data frame) so that the frame format picture is the same as in Clause 31.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response comment 169

Cl 03 SC 3.1.1 P9 L48 Comment # 28

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Editing instruction for 3.1 shouldn't be used so broadly. Insert a new editing instructions for 3.1.1.

Suggested Remedy

Add new editing instruction after 3.1.1 to read: Change 1st sentence of the 1st paragraph to read as follows. Remove the remaining unchanged sentences from that paragraph. Add another editing instruction before the figures to read: Change Figure 3-1 to read as follows. Remove the other unchanged paragraphs from 3.1.1.

Response Status W

REJECT.

The current text gives a clearer view of the change and its context.

Also see response to comment 206.

Cl 03 SC 3.1.1 P9 L49 Comment # 167

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The packet has nine fields, not the frame.

Suggested Remedy

Change to read: "...nine fields of a packet:"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response comment 169

C/ 03 SC 3.1.1 P9 L 50 Comment # 118

Dawe, Piers Agilent

Comment Type E Comment Status A

As the destination field comes first, it would be nice while you have this clause open to get the description of the format into the same order.

Suggested Remedy

Consider changing 'frame's source and destination' to 'frame's destination and source'

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

9/14/

Page 10 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:19 PM

C/ 03 SC 3.1.1

P 9 C/ 03 SC 3.1.1 L 55 Comment # 168 Grow, Robert Intel Comment Type ER Comment Status A The word data is imprecise. Suggested Remedy Change "data" to "MAC client data". Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "data" to "MAC client data". Change in the text, figures and 3.2.7 and search for other instances P 11 C/ 03 SC 3.1.2 L 1 Comment # | 79 Booth, Brad Intel Comment Type ER Comment Status R style Editing instruction for 3.1 shouldn't be used so broadly. Insert a new editing instructions for 3.1.2. Suggested Remedy Insert an editing instruction before 3.1.2 to read: Insert subclause 3.1.2 and Figure 3-2; renumber remaining figures in the clause. Remove underlines from 3.1.2. Response Response Status W REJECT. The current text gives a clearer view of the change and its context. Also see response to comment 206.

C/ 03 SC 3.1.2 P11 L19 Comment # 204

Marris, Arthur Cadence

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Figure 3-2 has dooted and solid horizontal lines. Presumably the dotted lines indicate that the pad and FCS may or may not be present as parameters to MA_DATA.request. It would be nice to have some text explaining this.

Suggested Remedy

How about adding "The MAC client may or may not supply PAD and FCS. For this reason the mapping for PAD and FCS is shown with a dotted line."

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

CI 03 SC 3.1.2 P11 L22 Comment # 196

Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

What is the function of the "EXTENSION" after FCS?

Suggested Remedy

Delete or provide description and rationale.

Response Status W

REJECT.

The opening paragraph of 3.3.1 (which immediately precedes Figure 3-1) explains that the optional extension field is used for half-duplex 1000 Mb/s operation.

CI 03 SC 3.1.2 P11 L3 Comment # |171

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Consistent usage of terms requested.

Suggested Remedy

Figure 3-2 shows the mapping of service interface parameters to the data frame fields.

Also, change "frame" in figure 3-2 title to "data frame".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 169

C/ 03 SC 3.1.2 P11 L39 Comment # |119

Dawe, Piers Agilent

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This document does not tell me what the value of the type field should be, nor give a clear and specific reference to where that information is (I don't want to register a new type or use prototype/vendor-specific types: those references as described here are not helpful for the regular situations). Specifically referring to P802.3as: for the new envelope frame format, what is the value of the type field?

Suggested Remedy

Add the missing information: as a table, a statement in text, or a clear, specific, authoritative reference to a standard.

Response Status W

REJECT.

The Type field value for each protocol is not defined within 802.3, nor is there a unique mapping to basic or envelope frames. These are defined by higher layers and a registration already exists for them (see footnote at bottom of D2.0/page11).

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 11 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:19 PM

CI 03 SC

SC 3.1.2

C/ 03

SC 3.1.2 P 11 L 7 C/ 03 Comment # 194 David V James JGG

Comment Type Comment Status A Pub Editor Comment Type ER Comment Status R

SC 3.2.6

Figures should not have ALL CAPS, since the names of critical fields are not in ALL CAPS when referenced in the text. Also, IEEE does not allow mixed ALL CAPS and normal text.

Note refers to 802a but this document is not referred to in the references Suggested Remedy

REJECT.

Add to references

Thompson, Geoff

Eliminate all ALL CAPS from figures, here and throughout. Of course, formal acryonyms are an exception.

Response Response Status W

P 11

Nortel

802a is listed in the references of the base standard (REVam/2005 edition)

L 53

Comment # 235

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor will verify publication editor's resolution on CAPS in figures, and we will not change from the format of published 802.3-2005 in this amendment.

C/ 03 SC 3.2.6

Intel

Suggested Remedy

Change editing instruction to read: Change bullet a) to read as follows. Show only bullet

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The current text gives a clearer view of the change and its context.

Also see response to comment 206.

C/ 03 SC 3.2.6 P 11 L 36 Comment # 197

Please revise the alnRnageLengthErrors, aOutOfRangeLengthField Layer Mgmt (clause 5) accordingly.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

5.2.2.1.23 and 5.2.2.1.24 have been deprecated.

Instead, 30.3.1.1.23 and 30.3.1.1.24 should be updated.

9/14/2005 9:44:19 PM

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 12 of 49 C/ 03 SC 3.2.6

Suggested Remedy

Response

P 11

L 29

Comment # 80

Booth, Brad

Comment Type

ER

Comment Status R

Move editing instruction closer to affected text and remove unchanged text.

a). Remove all other text in the subclause.

Kim. Yong Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

By adopting longer frames, MIB variables, alnRnageLengthErrors, and aOutOfRangeLengthField in Layer Mgmt (clause 5) needs an update, since those counters on longer count useful events (i.e. IEEE 802.2 LLC versus Ethertype).

Suggested Remedy

Response Status C

Cl 03 SC 3.2.6 P 12 L Comment # 223
Satoshi Obara Fujitsu Limited

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

There are some confusion in Fig 3-1, 3.2.6 and 3.2.7.

Fig 3-1 and 3.2.7 define as follows;

the size of MAC client data+PAD is 46-N octets(N=1500,1504,1982).

On the other hand, 3.2.6 says define as follows;

If the value of this field is less than or equal to 1500 decimal, then the Length/Type field indicates the number of MAC client data octets contained in the subsequent data field of the frame (Length interpretation).

If somebody wants to use the protocol which uses a Maximum EnvelopeFrame(N=1982 octets) and does not use Type Value(like an OSI protocol), what the value of Type/Length Field in such frame should they use?

In the view of not to change the interpretation method nor not to change the original data field length, we can resolve above issue with the changing description "frame" into "basic frame" in 3.2.6.

Suggested Remedy

Change 3.2.6 a)

"the number of MAC client data octets contained in the subsequent data field of the frame(Length interpretation)."

into

"the number of MAC client data octets contained in the subsequent data field of its basic frame (Length interpretation)."

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change 3.2.6 a)

"the number of MAC client data octets contained in the subsequent data field of the frame(Length interpretation)."

into

"the number of MAC client data octets contained in the subsequent data field of the basic frame (Length interpretation)."

Comment Status A

, rong broadcon

TR

"one of three application modes". How does one determine which mode a MAC is in. If there is no mode selection, in fact, there is no multiple modes. & Also, the note on line 24, while I understand that a "SHALL" cannot be placed due to the lack of conformance test point, a stronger warning such that if a conformance test point exist for a given application (i.e. 802.1ad), it SHALL be tested and rule not-compliant.

Suggested Remedy

Comment Type

Provide mode selection method, or revise the text to describe this operation in MAC services model.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 229

CI 03 SC 3.2.7 P12 L15 Comment # 2

Agarwal, Puneet Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

For a frame with "type" interpretation, how would the MAC implementation decide whether to treat the frame as a "basic frame" or an "envelope frame" to determine the maxFrameSize value?

Suggested Remedy

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The implementation decides what maximum supported data frame size it will accept.

Change in 4.2.9:

exceedsMaxLength := ...;

{Check to determine if receive frame size exceeds the maximum permitted frame size. MAC implementations may use either

maxBasicFrameSize

or (maxBasicFrameSize + qTagPrefixSize) or maxEnvelopeFrameSize for the maximum permitted frame size. It is recommended to use a larger value, the use of a smaller value may result in valid tagged or envelope frames exceeding the maximum permitted frame size.}

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 13 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:19 PM

Cl 03 SC 3.2.7

Cl 03 SC 3.2.7 P12 L18 Comment # 145
Dawe, Piers Agilent

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

It seems to me that while maxBasicFrameSize and maxEnvelopeFrameSize define the maximum size of a frame, as described in 1.4 3.1.1 (frameSize and minFrameSize also relate to the size of the frame), maxValidFrame does not: it defines the maximum size of the data field. (See another comment about N which should be maxValidFrame).

Suggested Remedy

Change maxValidFrame, and instances of N that mean maxValidFrame, to maxDataSize.

Response Status W

REJECT.

See responses to comments 144, 189

Cl 03 SC 3.2.7 P12 L18 Comment # 174

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

A basic frame includes neither tags nor envelopes.

Suggested Remedy

"...no additional tags or envelopes..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"...no additional tags or encapsulations..."

C/ 03 SC 3.2.7 P12 L18 Comment # 107

Daines, Kevin World Wide Packets

Comment Type T Comment Status R

The descriptive text in this bulleted list is duplicative of the definitions found in 1.4. It should be sufficient to just have the "basic frame", etc.

Suggested Remedy

pg. 12, line 18: Change bullet a) to: "N=1500 decimal - basic frames"

pg. 12, line 20: Change bullet b) to: "N=1504 decimal - tagged frames"

pg. 12, line 22: Change bullet c) to: "N=1982 decimal - envelope frames"

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 03 SC 3.2.7 P12 L18 Comment # 230

Thaler, Pat Agilent Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

"encoded" The length/type definition doesn't refer to the field as an encoding and it doesn't really define the encoding of the frame (especially when it is a length). Also c) only applies to frames with a Type field but doesn't say so.

Suggested Remedy

"that carry a Length/Type field with either the Length or Type interpretation".

add to c) "and carry a Length/Type field with the Type interpretation."

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace in a) "that are either length or type encoded" with "that carry a Length/Type field with either the Length or Type interpretation"

add to c) "and carry a Length/Type field with the Type interpretation."

Also see comments 159, 160

CI 03 SC 3.2.7 P12 L18 Comment # 144

Dawe, Piers Agilent

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The quantity N which was formerly a variable, an example MAC client data size, is now also being used as a (conditional) spec limit, the maximum size of the data field. This is not correct. This limit is already named maxValidFrame. See another comment about this name.

Suggested Remedy

In 3.2.7 including figure 3-1, change N to maxValidFrame. Give a look-ahead reference at line 15: 'determine the value of maxValidFrame in Figure 3–1 and 4.2.7.1)'. Do not make this change in the new 3.2.8 - see another comment.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

maxValidFrame has been removed and is not used per response to comment 189

Remove use of N in Figure 3-1: 46 to 1500 or 1504 or 1982 OCTETS (see clause 3.2.7)

Remove use of N in clause 3.2.7:

- remove paranthetical in 2nd paragraph
- remove 'N=' in each lettered item
- correct grammar as required

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 14 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:19 PM

C/ 03

SC 3.2.7

Cl 03 SC 3.2.7 P12 L 22 Comment # 201
Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

I know N=1982 was controversial , and a contender for this value was the repeater max, the worst one being the 10 Mb/s system that allows for +/- 3 bit FIFO (since old max required +/- 2.4 bits) or 30,000/2/8 = 1875 bytes minus 18 byte HDR + FCS of 1857. I gave it a careful consideration and could not come up with any justification to go beyond 1875, based on any presentation I've seen (802.1 MAC SEC requirements, 802.1 Provider bridging, MPLS, PPPoE, Ethernet over IP, and some absurd combintion of the them). Based on this, I do not see the justification to obsolete the use of repeaters in an Ethernet network path.

Suggested Remedy

Change the N to 1857 or N to 1808 (reasonable longword boundary and allow for the same 48 octet private and/or internal header).

Response Status W

REJECT.

1982 is not controversial. 802.3 voted in March 2005 33-0 (motion #16) to adopt 2000 octets as the new maximum envelope frame size.

A value of 1857 does not guarantee that all repeater implementations will work.

CI 03 SC 3.2.7 P 12 L 22 Comment # 114

John Dallesasse Emcore Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Text should read "...encapsulation"

Suggested Remedy

Eliminate "s"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

"...encapsulation(s)"

CI 03 SC 3.2.7 P12 L 24 Comment # 175
Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

stvle

This is a clear case where the Style Guide classifications for NOTE, CAUTION and WARNING are insufficient. While IEEE style defines a CAUTION as something where equipment may be damaged, we have additional system concerns that are different than supported by those definitions. The economic implications of violating this NOTE could cause much greater economic damage or disruption of system function than the loss of a piece of network equipment. For us, damage to data is equally worrysome as is damage to equipment might be for power engineering folk within IEEE.

Suggested Remedy

I believe this should be a caution (even though it doesn't fall within the definition for caution in the IEEE Style Manual).

A request should be made to IEEE staff to reconsider the definition of CAUTION in the IEEE Style Manual. Failing that, an exception for 802.3 should be requested for these cases. It is noted that there are boxed paragraphs in clause 4 on the same basic subject but those are WARNING (something that is supposed to be reserved for risk to life or limb. I would recommend these be converted to CAUTIONs also.

Response Status U

REJECT.

NOTE will not be changed to CAUTION.

As a result, the document will remain consistent with the style guide.

CI 03 SC 3.2.7 P12 L 25 Comment # 108

Daines, Kevin World Wide Packets

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Order of 802.1 projects might as well be alphabetical and include the prefix "IEEE".

Suggested Remedy

Change to read: "... IEEE P802.1ad, IEEE P802.1AE, IEEE P802.1ah and MPLS..."

Response Status C

REJECT.

This has changed per response to comment 4

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 15 of 49

C/ 03

9/14/2005 9:44:19 PM

SC 3.2.7

CI 03 SC 3.2.7 P12 L 25 Comment # 231
Thaler, Pat Agilent Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

"encapsulation protocol" is used but not defined except by example.

Suggested Remedy

A protocol taht adds a prefix or suffix or both to a frame that is transparent to the MAC Client sending the original client data.

Response Status W

REJECT.

The term is used to reference encapsulation protocols done elsewhere. It is not our goal to define them -- only to give examples of such protocols that may be carried by our envelope frame.

Per response to comment 4, the examples are no longer referenced by project.

 CI 03
 SC 3.2.7
 P 12
 L 25
 Comment # 6

 Agarwal, Puneet
 Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Term "original client data" is not defined. For example, if I am performing 802.1ad encap followed by the 802.1AE encap on a frame, then what would the "original client data" in the context of 802.1AE mean the 802.1ad encapsulated frame or the pre-802.1ad encapsulated frame?

Suggested Remedy

Please define the term "original client data".

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove the term "original client data"

Replace:

The original client data is not intended to exceed 1500 bytes, which is its size in the basic frame.

With:

The encapsulation protocols may use up to 482 octets.

 C/ 03
 SC 3.2.7
 P 12
 L 25
 Comment # | 4

 Agarwal, Puneet
 Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

MPLS is not a protocol controlled by IEEE (and is essentially a Layer 3 protocol) - hence the document should not mention MPLS as it is outside the scope of IEEE 802.

Suggested Remedy

Take out reference to MPLS from this line.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

ITU-T SG15 is mentioned in the PAR. The purpose of including a reference to MPLS was to show support for the needs of MPLS encapsulation in addition to 802.1ad, 802.1ah and 802.1AE support.

Change first sentence of note to read:

The envelope frame is intended to allow inclusion of additional prefixes and suffixes required by higher layer encapsulation protocols such as those defined by IEEE 802.1 (such as Provider Bridging and MAC Security) or ITU-T/IETF (such as MPLS).

 CI 03
 SC 3.2.7
 P 12
 L 26
 Comment # 5

 Agarwal, Puneet
 Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Since it seems that for "envelope" frames, 802.3 has no mechanism to ensure that the "original" client data adheres to the 1500 byte value of N, it may be worth mentioning that the encapsulating protocols (like P802.1ad etc) should enforce the original Client MTU of 1500 octets on their interface to the "original client".

Suggested Remedy

Change line 26, 27, 28 to read as follows after the full stop on line 26:

"Use of these extra octets for other purposes by non IEEE 802 encapsulating protocols is not recommended and strongly discouraged. It is expected that the various 802 encapsulating protocols will specify their own value for the "original client" data size - preferably limited to 1500 octets".

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 6

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 16 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:19 PM

C/ 03 SC 3.2.7

L 3 P 12 SC 3.2.7 P 12 C/ 03 C/ 03 Comment # 173 SC 3.2.7 L 3 Comment # 92 Grow, Robert Intel Brown, Benjamin Motorola Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Inconsistent variable usage. lowercase "n" used in the text while uppercase "N" used in figure 3-1 and on line 15 and nearly everywhere else this parameter is referenced Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy The "n" number of octets should be used consistently. In the text below it is "N"). I think it should be a lower case "n" in italics (as it is a variable). replace "n" with "N" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See response to comment 144 See response to comment 144 C/ 03 SC 3.2.7 P 12 L 3 Comment # 225 C/ 03 SC 3.2.7 P 12 L 33 Comment # 120 Dawe. Piers Squire, Matt Hatteras Networks Agilent Comment Type E Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status A What's maxFrameSize? This quantity does not appear again in P802.3as, and in 802.3am Most of the page uses "N" instead of "n" except for here. the first occurrence is in 12.4.3.2.5. Is it the same as maxBasicFrameSize? Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Replace "n" with "N" Sort out. Response Status C Response Response Response Status W REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. maxFrameSize was a typo... See response to comment 144 P 12 C/ 03 SC 3.2.7 L 3 Comment # 12 But this sentence is redundant with the previous clause. Cisco Systems Barrass, Hugh Delete sentence: Comment Type E Comment Status R The maximum possible size of the data field is maxFrameSize - (2 × addressSize + 48)/8 octets. Everywhere else, "n" is capitalized. Suggested Remedy Change "n" to "N" Response Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

REJECT.

See response to comment 144

Page 17 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:20 PM C/ 03

SC 3.2.7

Cl 03 SC 3.2.8 P 12 L 18 Comment # 150

Dawe, Piers Agilent

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

The quantity N, as used just twice in 3.2.8 and once in lower case in 3.2.7, has another name (the lower case n in 3.2.9 is different). If it hadn't, you would have needed to make them consistent and put them in italics.

Suggested Remedy

Change these N N and n to clientDataSize.

Response Status W

REJECT.

This use of N is as used in the original clause 3.

Other comment responses (189, 144) have deleted the new uses of N.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Editing instruction is wrong. You are actually splitting 3.2.7 into 3.2.7 and 3.2.8 (could formally be an insert), and renumbering following subclauses.

Suggested Remedy

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 81

Cl 03 SC 3.2.8 P12 L 37 Comment # 81

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Incorrect editing instruction.

Suggested Remedy

Change editing instruction to read: Insert Pad field as subclause 3.2.8; renumber existing 3.2.8 and 3.2.9 to be 3.2.9 and 3.2.10, respectively. Remove the underline in 3.2.8. Editing instruction for 3.2.10 can be deleted.

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Cl 03 SC 3.2.8 P12 L 39 Comment # 106

Daines, Kevin World Wide Packets

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Both PAD and pad are used in clause 3. Since subclause 3.2.8 was extracted from 3.2.7, it makes sense to fix the inconsistency.

Suggested Remedy

pg. 12, line 39: Change "PAD" to "Pad"

pg. 12, line 49: Change "PAD" to "pad" pg. 11, line 48: Change "PAD" to "pad"

pg. 11, line 50: Change "PAD" to "pad"

Please scan for other occurences of "PAD" and fix appropriately.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 03 SC 3.2.8 P 12 L 49 Comment # 177

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Why was the case of "n" changed in this move to a new subclause?

Suggested Remedy

Use lower case "n" in italics.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line 9/14/2005 9

Page 18 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:20 PM C/ 03

SC 3.2.8

C/ 03 SC 3.2.8 P 47 L 47 Comment # 121 Dawe, Piers Agilent

Comment Type Е Comment Status A

Parts of clauses 3 and 4 use 'implementation' in its usual sense of a (non-unique) way of building something to the standard, and also as a very specific column in a port classification table in 4.4.2. In this case, the implementer has no discretion, so 'parameters of the particular implementation' is misleading.

Suggested Remedy

Here and in 4.4.2, change 'implementation' to something like 'port type', 'port speed' or 'port data rate'. There are about 20 misleading uses of implementation: it may be too much to clean up them all now but I think you can get many of them in the sections you are modifying.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In 3.2.8 change last sentence of 1st paragraph to:

...the minimum frame

size and address size implementation parameters (see 4.4).

Search for other instances of implementation in clause 3 & 4 and change to 'implementation parameters'

C/ 03 SC 3.2.9 P 12 L 52 Comment # 82

Booth, Brad Intel

ER

Comment Status A Move editing instruction closer to affected text and remove unchanged text.

Suggested Remedy

Comment Type

Change editing instruction to read: Change 1st paragraph to read as follows. Remove remaining paragraphs in the subclause.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change editing instruction to read:

Change first paragraph of 3.2.8 renumbered to 3.2.9 as follows:

Remove remaining paragraphs in the subclause.

C/ 03 SC 3.5 P 13 L 26 Comment # 83

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Editing instruction is sufficient.

Suggested Remedy

Remove the text for 3.5 as the editing instruction is sufficient.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Showing deleted text is consistent with guidelines from IEEE style manual and presentation.

C/ 03 SC 3.5.4 P 14 L 50 Comment # 148 Dawe. Piers Agilent

Comment Type Comment Status R

The 802.3 state machine is entitled to know whether any frame is basic, tagged or envelope (for example it may wish to count too-long frames per 30.3.1.1.25). This document does not define how a receiver can tell these 'three applications' apart. For tagged frames, the information used to be in the stricken 3.5.4. I can't see the information the receiver needs to identify an envelope frame anywhere. A vague and informative note in 3.2.7, really addressing the size of the client data field, is not adequate. In the remedy below, I assume that the length/type field determines the 'application', but lacking the information I'm asking for, I don't know that for a fact.

Suggested Remedy

Expand 3.2.6 with a normative table of types that the 802.3 receiver may recognise.

Response Status W Response

REJECT.

802.3as will not be listing the Types defined by other groups that may map to the basic/tagged/envelope frame formats (e.g., the definition of Qtag is in 802.1Q). This is invisible to the MAC.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 19 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:20 PM

C/ 03 SC 3.5.4

C/ 04 L 37 C/ 03 SC 3.8 P 12 Comment # 176 SC P 23 L 5 Comment # 178 Grow, Robert Intel Grow, Robert Intel Comment Type Е Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status A Incorrect editing instruction. I think Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2 belong in 4.3.2 rather than creating a new subclause. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy This should be "Insert subclause 3.2.8 and renumber following subclauses as required:" Delete the subclause. Change p. 24, l. 11 to read: The MAC client transmits a frame via an MA DATA request or MA CONTROL request service primitive as illustrated by Figure If accepted, line 52 should also be changed to read: "Change subclause 3.2.8 (renumbered to 3.2.9) as follows:" And. p. 13. l. 22-24 should then be deleted. 4-1. Service primitives are mapped to the MAC TransmitFrame function as shown in Figure Alternatively, the instruction for 3.2.7 could be changed to "Replace 3.2.7 with the following 3-2." (Anchor the figure to the sentence.) and renumber subsequent sublcauses as required:"; with this instruction then deleted. Replace the exit condition from WAIT FOR TRANSMIT with MA DATA.request + MA CONTROL.request Response Response Status C Replace the actions part of GENERATE_TRANSMIT_FRAME with function TransmitFrame. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace UCT exit transition from GENERATE_TRANSMIT_FRAME with TransmitStatus Change p. 24. l. 27 to read: See response to comment 81 The MAC client receives a frame via an MA DATA.indication or MA CONTROL.indication service primitive as illustrated by Figure 4-2. Service primitives are mapped to the MAC C/ 03 P 10 L 38 Comment # 105 SC Figure 3-1 ReceiveFrame function as shown in Figure 3-2." (Anchor the figure to the sentence.) Daines. Kevin World Wide Packets Replace the exit condition from WAIT FOR RX with ReceiveFrame Replace the actions part of PASS_TO_CLIENT with if Length/Type = 88 08 hexadecimal Comment Type Е Comment Status A then MA CONTROL indication else MA DATA indication. Replace UCT exit transition from The text "clause" is unnecessary. PASS TO CLIENT with ReceiveStatus. Suggested Remedy Response Response Status C Remove "clause" to read: "see 3.2.7" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Move 4.2.11 to 4.3.2.1 with no changes to the state diagram. The commentor is reminded ACCEPT. of Fig 2-1. SC Р L C/ 04 Comment # 180 Move the current 4.3.2 to 4.3.2.2 Grow, Robert Intel Also make same changes in Annex 4A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A C/ 04 SC 4 P 17 L 26 Comment # 84 Most of the changes in Clause 4 and 4A could be significantly reduced in size by referencing paragraphs within a subclause rather than repeating the entire subclause. Booth, Brad Intel Suggested Remedy Comment Type E Comment Status A Delete unnecessary content from the draft and appropriately enhance editing instructions. Miscellaneous underline. Response Status C Suggested Remedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the underline in the heading. Response Response Status C This is covered by other comments notably 206 ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 20 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:20 PM

C/ 04

SC 4

SC 4.2.11 P 20 C/ 04 C/ 04 L 21 Comment # 129 SC 4.2.11 P 23 L 23 Comment # 211 Dawe, Piers Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc. Agilent Comment Type Е Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A 'the state for'? In state GENERATE TRANSMIT FRAME the TransmitFrame function has incorrect parameters. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Change to 'the states for'. Similarly in 4A.2.11. Change the function call to read as follows: Response Response Status C "TransmitFrame(destination_address, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. source address, lengthOrType. See response to comment 15 data, fcs. C/ 04 SC 4.2.11 P 23 L 15 Comment # 205 fcsPresent): TransmitStatus" Marris. Arthur Cadence Same for Annex 4A. Comment Status R Comment Type T Response Response Status C Should the MA DATA.request call contain the parameter fcsPresent? This would make it ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. consistant with the TransmitFrame function. Also TransmitFrame parameters in figure 4-1 do not match those listed on line 29 on page 24. Accept change Also check for consistancy in naming of fcsPresent. Is it fcsPresent or fcsParamPresent? Suggested Remedy Insert a common set of constants, variables, functions & messages (like in 31.5.3 or 57.3) to define mapping. Consider adding parameter fcsPresent to MA DATA.request. Also correct parameter list for TransmitFrame in figure 4-1 by adding fcsPresent and This would likely subsume the NOTES in 4.3.2.

lengthOrTypeParam. This would affect other places in the document such as in Annex 4A on page 34.

Change fcsPresent to fcsParamPresent.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Service primitives are abstract and the presence or absence of a parameter is implicit not explicit.

See response to comment 211 that addresses the incorrect parameters of these functions.

Cl 04 SC 4.2.11 P 23 L 38 Comment # |7

Alpina, Arne Fricsson AB

Comment Type Е Comment Status A

Figure 4-2: "WAIT FOR RX" in receive state diagram should be renamed to "WAIT FOR RECEIVE" in analogy with "WAIT FOR TRANSMIT" as of transmit state diagram.

Suggested Remedy

Rename "WAIT FOR RX" to "WAIT FOR RECEIVE"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 21 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:20 PM C/ 04

SC 4.2.11

C/ 04 C/ 04 SC 4.2.11 P 23 L 5 Comment # 88 SC 4.2.11 P 23 L 8 Comment # 15 Barrass, Hugh Booth, Brad Cisco Systems Intel Comment Type Е Comment Status R Comment Type E Comment Status A Underlines not required with inserted text. This descriptive text doesn't really explain why these diagrams are inserted. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Remove underlines. Change "introduce the state for the transmit and receive portions of the MAC client." to "translate the service interface defined in 802.1 and Clause 31 into the format used in Same edit applies to 4A.2.11. this Clause" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Superseded by movement of this clause per response to comment 178 Split into two: C/ 04 SC 4.2.11 P 23 L 5 Comment # 232 Fig 4-x specifies the behaviour of the transmit interface from the MAC client. Thaler, Pat Agilent Technologies Comment Status A Comment Type TR Fig 4-x specifies the behaviour of the receive interface to the MAC client. The figures look okay but the title and text needs some work - These aren't state diagrams C/ 04 Р SC 4.2.4.2.1 L Comment # 203 for the MAC Client so MAC Client state diagrams is an inaccurate title. Similarly the text is incorrect (and state diagrams don't "introduce" something, they describe function). Aniruddha Kundu intel Suggested Remedy Comment Type Т Comment Status A These appear to be state diagrams for the MAC interface to the MAC client. Please The spec adds (section 4.2..4.2.1) that recv. MAC sublayer is allowed to truncate frames describe them that way. longer than etc. etc.. and report this event as an (implementation dependant) error. Why is Also applies to 4A.2.11 this implementation dependant term? Why not specify a standards way to report error to station management so that in cases where these frames are being counted in the MIB Response Response Status W (clause 30) they become interoperable? ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Suggested Remedy See comment 178 P 23 L 8 C/ 04 SC 4.2.11 Comment # 210 Response Response Status C Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type Ε Comment Status R 30.3.1.1.25 is being updated to support basic/Q-tagged/envelope frames. See response to Typo. comment 197 Suggested Remedy Insert "diagrams" between "state" and "for". Same for Annex 4A.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response

REJECT.

Response Status C

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Page 22 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:20 PM C/ 04

SC 4.2.4.2.1

Cl 04 SC 4.2.4.2.1 P 17 L 28 Comment # 85
Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Place editing instruction closer to affected text and remove unchanged text.

Suggested Remedy

Move editing instruction to after the subclause heading. Change instruction to read: Change bullet a) to read as follows. Remove the all other unchanged text in 4.2.4.2.1. Same edit applies to 4A.2.4.2.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The current text gives a clearer view of the change and its context.

Also see response to comment 206.

Gupta, Tanmay Intel Corpora

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

MaxValidFrame does not include MAC addresses, length/type and CRC as per definition on page 18. Maximum Frame Size check should include this.

Suggested Remedy

Either refer to [maxBasicFrameSize | maxBasicFrameSize+qTagPrefix-d'Size | maxEnvelopeFrameSize] or update the definition and all related references.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Reword start of paragraph:

"The receiving CSMA/CD sublayer is not required to enforce the frame size limit, but it is allowed to truncate frames longer than [maxBasicFrameSize or maxBasicFrameSize+qTagPrefixSize or maxEnvelopeFrameSize] octets

Also change in Annex 4A

CI 04 SC 4.2.4.2.1 P17 L 38 Comment # 123

Dawe, Piers Agilent

Comment Type E Comment Status A

maxValidFrame hasn't been introduced (it used to be in 3.2.6).

Suggested Remedy

Change 'maxValidFrame octets (whose value depends on the application modes supported by the implementation)' to 'maxValidFrame octets (whose value depends on the application modes supported by the implementation; see 4.2.7.1)'. Similarly in 4A.2.4.2.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 189

CI 04 SC 4.2.4.2.1 P17 L 38 Comment # 207

Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The modified statement regarding the truncation of receivedframes is no longer technically correct. maxValidFrame does not include all the fields in a valid frame. Based on this statement, you could truncate any frame greater than 1500 bytes, and still be compliant with the standard.

Suggested Remedy

Change the beginning of this sentence to read as follows:

"The receiving CSMA/CD sublayer is not required to enforce the frame size limit, but it is allowed to truncate frames with the MAC client data field longer than maxValidFrame octets..."

Same for Annex 4A.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 189

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 23 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:20 PM C/ 04

SC 4.2.4.2.1 Comment # 13 C/ 04 P 17 L 40 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type т Comment Status A

This would be a good opportunity to recommend that frames should never be truncated and then forwarded - as that constitutes "dangerous" behavior.

Suggested Remedy

Add the following sentence at the end of the paragraph:

"It is recommended that any implementation that truncates frames longer than its maxValidFrame size should invalidate those frames that it has truncated as such truncated frames may have severely weakened CRC protection and may cause serious problems if forwarded to other devices."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add the following CAUTION after bullet a):

"CAUTION - It is recommended that any implementation that truncates frames should invalidate those frames as they may have severely weakened error protection and may cause serious problems if forwarded to the MAC client."

TF voted 4-1 to make this addition a CAUTION.

C/ 04 SC 4.2.7.1 P 17 L 60 Comment # 86

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Status A Comment Type Е Keep editing instruction with associated text.

Suggested Remedy

Move editing instruction to after the heading.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 04 SC 4.2.7.1 P 18 L 18 Comment # 202

Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

"maxBasicFrameSize ..implementation-dependent.. see 3.2.7." does not clarify what maxBasicFrameSize means. Don't you mean frames w/ basic payload (1500+18)? Then this is NOT implementation-dependent.

BTW, Delete .4.4 (confusing or no longer the right reference, ditto on line 20).

Suggested Remedy

Please clarify or correct.

Response Status W Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete 'implementation dependent'

Also make change in Annex 4A

C/ 04 SC 4.2.7.1 P 18 L 18 Comment # 124

Dawe, Piers Agilent

Comment Status A Comment Type Т

maxBasicFrameSize is not implementation-dependent. Even with 4.4 using 'implementation' as a term for a port classification, it's a constant. Same for maxEnvelopeFrameSize (and minFrameSize, by the way).

Suggested Remedy

Delete 'implementation-dependent,' three times. Similarly in 4A.2.7.1, and twice in 5.2.4.1.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 202

C/ 04 SC 4.2.7.1 P 18 L 20 Comment # 208

Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc.

Comment Status R Comment Type ER

Typo.

Suggested Remedy

Delete the "4" after "=". Same for Annex 4A.

Response Response Status C

REJECT

It already is crossed out and in red.

However the crossout style will be changed per comment 206

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 24 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:20 PM

C/ 04

SC 4.2.7.1

P 18 C/ 04 C/ 04 SC 4.2.7.1 L 20 Comment # 93 SC 4.2.7.1 P 18 Dawe, Piers Brown, Benjamin Motorola Agilent Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type Т Comment Status A cut and past error? maxValidFrame used to be a constant but no longer, although it might be left in the Pascal 'const' section. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy replace "maxEnvelopeFrameSize = 4...;" with "maxEnvelopeFrameSize = ...;" Delete the sentence '. This constant is defined for editorial convenience, as a function of This comment also applies to 4A.2.7.1, page 30, line 13 other constants'. Similarly in 4A.2.7.1. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See response to comment 208 See response to comment 96 C/ 04 SC 4.2.7.1 P 18 L 22 Comment # 95 C/ 04 SC 4.2.7.1 P 18 Brown, Benjamin Motorola Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Type Comment Status R There is a maxBasicFrameSize and a maxEnvelopeFrameSize but to determine the Inconsistent use of parenthesis types. Also, I believe some parenthesis are missing. maxTaggedFrameSize an equation is needed: maxBasicFrameSize + qTagPrefixSize Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Replace the concept of determining maxTaggedFrameSize with an equation by the use of Change the statement to read as follows: a new constant "maxTaggedFrameSize = ...;" to be consistent "maxValidFrame = ((maxBasicFrameSize | This comment also applies to 4A.2.7.1, page 30, line 16 (maxBasicFrameSize + qTaqPrefixSize) | maxEnvelopeFrameSize) -Response Response Status W (2 x addressSize + lengthOrTypeSize + REJECT. crcSize) / 8); Previous TF debate (January 2005) has determined that this approach was desireable due Same for Annex 4A. to its affect on current implementations. Response Response Status C C/ 04 SC 4.2.7.1 P 18 L 22 Comment # 125 REJECT. Dawe. Piers **Aailent** This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Comment Type T Comment Status R maxEnvelopeFrameSize isn't 4 Superseded by 96

See response to comment 208

2000. Similarly in 4A.2.7.1.

Response Status C

Suggested Remedy

Response REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 25 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:20 PM C/ 04

L 28

L 28

Comment # 126

Comment # 209

SC 4.2.7.1

Cl 04 SC 4.2.7.1 P18 L 28 Comment # 127

Dawe, Piers Agilent

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Shouldn't let variable names be split up by hyphen and line break.

Suggested Remedy

Put spaces round the '+' if it helps. Do so anyway for consistency of style. Similarly in 4A.2.7.1.

Response Status C

REJECT.

Superseded per response to comment 96

CI 04 SC 4.2.7.1 P18 L28 Comment # 94

Brown, Benjamin Motorola

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

New symbol? I think this is the first time I've seen a "|" symbol in this document. I wonder if it should be defined somewhere first?

Suggested Remedy

Either replace "|" with the word "or" or define it somewhere This comment also applies to 4A.2.7.1, page 30, line 18

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 96

CI 04 SC 4.2.7.2 P19 L 23 Comment # 25

Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A

New clause change for "tagged" reference

Suggested Remedy

Change subclause 4.2.7.2 as follows

Change

"ifsStretchSize: 0..(((maxUntaggedFrameSize + qTagPrefixSize) x 8 + headerSize + interFrameSpacing + ifsStretchRatio – 1) div ifsStretchRatio),"

"ifsStretchSize: 0..((((maxBasicFrameSize + qTagPrefixSize) or maxEnvelopeFrameSize) x 8 + headerSize + interFrameSpacing + ifsStretchRatio – 1) div ifsStretchRatio);"

At the end of the accompanying note add:

"The maximum size of the counter is determined by the maximum size of frame supported by the system: either (maxBasicFrameSize + qTagPrefixSize) for systems supporting 802.3ac or maxEnvelopeFrameSize for systems supporting 802.3as."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to:

"ifsStretchSize: 0..((maxEnvelopeFrameSize x 8 + headerSize + interFrameSpacing + ifsStretchRatio - 1) div ifsStretchRatio);"

CI 04 SC 4.2.9 P 19 L 22 Comment # |87

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Keep editing instruction closer to affect text and remove unchanged text.

Suggested Remedy

Move the change instruction to be before function ReceiveDataDecap and change instruction to read: Change function ReceiveDataDecap to read as follows. Remove all other functions and text from this subclause.

Same edit applies to 4A.2.9.

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 26 of 49

6/104 SC 4.2.9

P 20 C/ 04 SC 4.2.9 L 21 Comment # 128 Dawe, Piers Agilent Comment Type Е Comment Status A Strikeouts and underlines slightly wrong? Suggested Remedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. They are correct, but the style will be changed per the response to comment 206 P 20 C/ 04 SC 4.2.9 L 24 Comment # 14 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems Comment Type E Comment Status A Now that there are three values "larger" is no longer appropriate Suggested Remedy Change "larger" to "largest" Response Status C Response ACCEPT. C/ 04 SC 4.3.2 P 23 L 60 Comment # 89 Booth, Brad Intel Comment Type Comment Status R

Suggested Remedy

Move editing instruction to be before last paragraph of 4.3.2 and change instruction to read: Change the last paragraph to read as follows. Remove all the other unchanged text from this subclause.

Keep editing instruction closer to affect text and remove unchanged text.

Same edit applies to 4A.3.2.

Response Status W

REJECT

Other accepted comments (e.g., 178) are making larger changes to 4.3.2 that will require the entire clause to be retained to show context.

CI 04 SC 4.3.2 P 24 L 3 Comment # 38

Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A

With the change to 4.2.11, this section is now misleading.

Suggested Remedy

Change the opening paragraph from:

"The services provided to the MAC client by the MAC sublayer are transmission and reception of frames. The interface through which the MAC client uses the facilities of the MAC sublayer therefore consists of a pair of functions."

to

"The services provided to the MAC client by the MAC sublayer are transmission and reception of frames as defined in Clause 2. For historical reasons the MAC sublayer definitions use two similar but subtely different functions, TransmitFrame and ReceiveFrame defined below. The relationship between these two sets of functions is defined by the MAC client state diagrams in 4.2.11."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor will use this text as basis for intro text in the merged 4.3.2/4.2.11 per the response to comment 178

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 27 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:20 PM C/ 04

SC

SC 4.3.2

C/ 04 SC 4.3.2 P 25 L 27 Comment # 96 C/ 04 SC 4.4.2 P 26 L 27 Comment # 233 Motorola Thaler, Pat Agilent Technologies Brown, Benjamin Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A maxValidFrame has gained a definition. It has always been used as a constant value of The shading crowds the text and makes the tables less easy to read. 1500, it "is a constant, regardless of whether the frame is a basic, tagged or envelope Suggested Remedy frame" (see page 25, line 28). There are numerous places where it still is used this Please remove the shading way: page 20, line 58 page 21, line 8 page 25, line 21 page 25, line 27 however. now it is also used to determine whether a packet is too long and should be Response Response Status C truncated: page 17, line 38 page 18, line 28 of lt may be worthwhile to use another ACCEPT. variable for this new determination∂ Suggested Remedy C/ 04 P 27 SC 4.4.2 L 13 Comment # 130 on page 17, line 38 and page 18, line 28, replace "maxValidFrame" with Dawe, Piers Aailent "maxFrameLength" or some other suitable variable name This comment also applies to 4A.3.2, page 36, line 11 Comment Type Comment Status A Lost a full stop. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Suggested Remedy Replace full stop at the end of note 5. See response to comment 189 Response Response Status C In clauses 1-4 & 4A, rename maxValidFrame -> maxBasicDataSize ACCEPT. Define as: C/ 04 SC 4.4.2 P 27 L 13 Comment # 137 maxBasicDataSize = 1500: Dawe, Piers Agilent {In octets, the maximum length of the MAC client data field of the basic frame. } Comment Type Comment Status A Also change in Annex 4A There are only two tables in clause 4. This one is very important, and without a table number, hard to refer to. P 25 C/ 04 SC 4.4.2 L 38 Comment # 66 Booth, Brad Intel Suggested Remedy As a service to humanity, number the two tables in clause 4. Comment Type Comment Status R ER Keep editing instruction closer to affect text and remove unchanged text. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Suggested Remedy Move editing instruction to before the table and change instruction to read: Change table to C/ 04 SC 4.4.2 P 27 L 16 Comment # 234 read as follows. Add an editing instruction before NOTE 6 to read: Insert NOTE 6 after Thaler, Pat Agilent Technologies NOTE 5. Remove the remaining unchanged text from the subclause. Remove underlines from NOTE 6. Comment Type т Comment Status A Response Response Status W "likely" is probably too strong. Frame corruption would require a transmitter and receiver

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

REJECT.

Also see response to comment 206.

The current text gives a clearer view of the change and its context.

Page 28 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:20 PM C/ 04

that are near opposite edges of the clock tolerance.

Response Status C

Suggested Remedy

ACCEPT.

Response

Replace "likely" with "possible"

SC 4.4.2

C/ 04 SC 4.4.2 P 27 L 16 Comment # 237 C/ 04A SC 4A.2.11 P 33 L 56 Comment # 19 Thompson, Geoff Cisco Systems Nortel Barrass, Hugh Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A Note 6 should only apply to networks containing half-duplex portions. Fully switched 10 This descriptive text doesn't really explain why these diagrams are inserted. Mb/s networks do not have repeaters Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Change "introduce the state for the transmit and receive portions of the MAC client." Change to: to "translate the service interface defined in 802.1 and Clause 31 into the format used in NOTE 6: For 10 Mb/s half-duplex implementations, the use of 2000 octet frames is not this Clause" recommended for use with repeaters, as described in clause 9, as a result of likely frame Response Response Status C corruption due to clock skew. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C Response ACCEPT Split into two: P 27 C/ 04 SC 4.4.2 L 17 Comment # 16 Fig 4A-x specifies the behaviour of the transmit interface from the MAC client. Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems Fig 4A-x specifies the behaviour of the receive interface to the MAC client. Comment Type Е Comment Status A C/ 04A SC 4A.2.11 P 34 L 30 Comment # Although the recommendation of this note is correct, it is overstated to say that frame corruption is "likely." Alping, Arne Ericsson AB Suggested Remedy Comment Type Е Comment Status A Change "likely" to "possible" Figure 4-2: "WAIT FOR RX" in receive state diagram should be renamed to "WAIT FOR RECEIVE" in analogy with "WAIT FOR TRANSMIT" as of transmit state diagram Response Response Status C Suggested Remedy ACCEPT. Rename "WAIT FOR RX" to "WAIT FOR RECEIVE" SC 4A.2.11 P 33 L 4 C/ 04A Comment # 179 Response Response Status C Grow, Robert Intel ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A TR SC 4A.2.4.2 P 29 C/ 04A L 41 Comment # 133 If Figures 4-1 and 4-2 are relocated as advocated in a separate comment, do the same Dawe. Piers Agilent here. Suggested Remedy Comment Type T Comment Status A See comment. 4.2.4.2.1 uses maxValidFrame while here we have maxValidFrameSize. Looks like this instance is the odd one out. Response Status C Response Suggested Remedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to maxValidFrame. See response to comment 178 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 96

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 29 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:20 PM

C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.2

SC 4A.2.4.2 C/ 04A P 29 L 41 Comment # 190 C/ 04A SC 4A.2.7.1 P 30 L 11 Comment # 131 Intel Corporation Dawe, Piers Gupta, Tanmay Agilent Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A maxValidFrameSize is undefined. maxValidFrame is defined. macBasic! Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Refer to comment 189 for the proper value. Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 189 maxBasicFrameSize C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.2 P 29 L 44 Comment # 17 C/ 04A SC 4A.2.7.1 P 30 L 13 Comment # |132 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems Dawe. Piers Agilent Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A This would be a good opportunity to recommend that frames should never be truncated maxEnvelopFrameSize and then forwarded - as that constitutes "dangerous" behavior. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy maxEnvelopeFrameSize Add the following sentence at the end of the paragraph: downline is recommended that any Response Status C Response implementation that truncates frames longer than its maxValidFrame size should invalidate those frames that it has truncated as such truncated frames may have severely weakened ACCEPT. CRC protection and may cause serious problems if forwarded to other devices." C/ 04A SC 4A.2.7.1 P 36 L 14 Comment # 109 Response Status C Response Daines, Kevin World Wide Packets ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type Comment Status A See response to comment 13 Missing spaces, unwanted hyphenation C/ 04A SC 4A.2.4.2 P 30 Comment # 134 L 16 Suggested Remedy Dawe. Piers Agilent Add space to read: "maxBasicFrameSize (for basic frames)" Add space to read: "maxEnvelopeFrameSize (for Envelope frames)" Comment Type E Comment Status A Toggle hyphenation so terms like "maxEnvelopFrameSize" are not hyphenated. Should the reference be to 4A? Response Response Status C Suggested Remedy ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Change 4.4 to 4A.4.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Page 30 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:20 PM

C/ 04A SC 4A.2.7.1

Cl 04A SC 4A.2.9 P 32 L 39 Comment # 193
David V James JGG

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This code seems either to be Pascal-like, which no formal definition, or something else that is undocumented. Neither is OK.

Suggested Remedy

Use a defined language that has compilers, perferably C.

Fix here and throughout.

Response Status W

REJECT.

The Pascal in these sections is a small portion of the entire 802.3 standard. Changing from Pascal to C in all 802.3 is out of scope for this project.

C/ 04A SC 4A.2.9 P 32 L 4 Comment # 18

Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Now that there are three values "larger" is no longer appropriate

Suggested Remedy

Change "larger" to "largest"

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

C/ **04A** SC **4A.3.2** P **34**Dawe, Piers Agilent

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Orphan title

Suggested Remedy

Keep with next

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 04A SC 4A.3.2 P 35 L 1 Comment # | 39

Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A

With the change to 4A.2.11, this section is now misleading.

Suggested Remedy

Change the opening paragraph from:♂d"The services provided to the MAC client by the MAC sublayer are transmission and reception of frames. The interface through which the MAC client uses the facilities of the MAC sublayer therefore consists of a pair of functions."♂dodod"The services provided to the MAC client by the MAC sublayer are transmission and reception of frames as defined in Clause 2. For historical reasons the MAC sublayer definitions use two similar but subtely different functions, TransmitFrame and ReceiveFrame defined below. The relationship between these two sets of functions is defined by the MAC client state diagrams in 4A.2.11."♂

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comments 38, 178

CI 04A SC 4A.3.2 P 36 L 14 Comment # [136

Dawe, Piers Agilent

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Some misplaced spaces and a spare full stop

Suggested Remedy

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 04A SC 4A.4.2 P 36 L 18 Comment # 67

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Keep editing instruction closer to affect text and remove unchanged text.

Suggested Remedy

Move editing instruction to before the table and change instruction to read: Change Table 4A-1 to read as follows. Remove all other unchanged text in the subannex.

Response Status W

REJECT.

The current text gives a clearer view of the change and its context.

Also see response to comment 206.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

L 56

Comment # 135

Page 31 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:21 PM

C/ 04A S

SC 4A.4.2

C/ 04A SC Figure 4-1 P 34

L 22 Comment # 97

Brown, Benjamin

Motorola

Comment Type

Comment Status A

Wrong clause in figure title

Ε

Suggested Remedy

replace "Figure 4-1 & 4-2" with "Figure 4A-1 & 4A-2"

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 12 SC 12.9.5 P 51

L 3

Comment # 35



Barrass. Hugh

Cisco Systems

Comment Type E

Comment Status R

New clause change for "1518" reference

Note that this is a "dead" clause.

Suggested Remedy

Add the following at the end of the paragraph starting "Hub Delay Stretch/Shrink": Note that frames using the envelope format (see Clause 3) may yield a maximum stretch

or shrink of 4 BT.

Response Status C

REJECT.

Response

This is a deprecated clause that will not be amended.

CI 3 SC 3.2

P 11

L 28

Comment # 172

Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

For consistency, the beginning of 3.2 should be changed.

Suggested Remedy

Grow, Robert

3.2 needs to be retitled to:

"Elements of the MAC packet and data frame"

Add paragraph:

Data frame is encapsulted in a packet by the CSMA/CD MAC. This section describes in detail the fields of the Ethernet data frame and the additional fields that the MAC creates to encapsulate the data frame. These fields are described in order of transmission.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

3.2 needs to be retitled to:

"Elements of the data frame and packet"

Add paragraph:

Data frame is encapsulated in a packet by the CSMA/CD MAC. This section describes in detail the fields of the Ethernet data frame and the additional fields that the MAC creates to encapsulate the data frame. These fields are described in order of transmission.

CI 3

SC 3.2.7

P 12 Nortel

L 24 Comment # 236

Thompson, Geoff

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Note refers to a number of projects/standards that I believe have not been added to the references, P802.1ad, P802.1ah, P802.1AE and MPLS

Suggested Remedy

Add to references.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

These projects have been renamed in response to comment 4

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 32 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:21 PM CI 3

SC 3.2.7

C/ 30 C/ 30 SC P 41 L Comment # 226 SC 30.3 P 41 L 31 Comment # 1 Hatteras Networks Corning Incorporated Squire, Matt Abbott, John Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status R There should be a way to read the size of the max valid frame supported by the In 30.3.1.1.25 p. 41 line 31 and 30.4.3.1.8 p.41 line 56, these appear to be a lists with defintions. Is "ATTRIBUTE" part of the list? If so, it should have a definition and appear implementation via management. alphabetically. If "ATTRIBUTE" is the heading of the list, it should appear in a slightly Suggested Remedy different font, or underlined, or the terms below should be indented for clarity, so that it is Add attribute 330.3.1.1.xx aMaxValidFrameSizedATTRIBUTEAAPPROPRIATE clear that it is a heading and not one of the terms in the list. SYNTAX: INTEGER & BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: The maximum valid frame size Suggested Remedy supported by this implementation as defined in 3.2.7. Values may be 1518 (support for basic frames), 1522 (support for QTagged frames), or 2000 (support for envelop frames). Items in the list should be alphabetical, with definitions. A heading to the list should be distinguished from the list itself for clarity. Response Status C Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE REJECT. See response to comment 36 This is not a list, it is the GDMO syntax used in clause 30. C/ 30 P 41 SC 30.2.5 L 26 Comment # 36

Cisco Systems Barrass, Hugh

Comment Type Comment Status A TR

It is necessary to define a MIB object that allows network management entities to discover the frame length capability of devices in the network.

Suggested Remedy

Add a new object into table 30-1a, part of oMACEntity managed object class (30.3.1) "aMaxFrameLength"; ATTRIBUTE; GET; (member of "recommended package") In subclause 30.3.1 add a new object definition in the appropriate place 30.3.1.1.xx aMaxFrameLength

ATTRIBUTE

APPROPRIATE SYNTAX:

An ENUMERATED VALUE that has one of the following entries:

basic frame Capable of supporting maxBasicFrameSize (1518 octet frames)

tagged frame Capable of supporting maxBasicFrameSize + qTagPrefixSize (1522 octet

frames)

envelope frame Capable of supporting maxEnvelopeFrameSize (2000 octet frames)

unknown Frame length capability unknown

BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS:

This indicates the frame length capability of the DTE.

Response Status C Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accept remedy except change DTE -> MAC at the end.

The commentor will provide the editor GDMO changes for Annex 30A

C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.25 P 41 L 27 Comment # 68

Booth, Brad Intel

Comment Status R Comment Type ER

Keep editing instruction closer to affect text and remove unchanged text.

Suggested Remedy

Move editing instruction to before the note and change instruction to read: Change the NOTE to read as follows. Remove unchanged text from the subclause.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The current text gives a clearer view of the change and its context.

Also see response to comment 206.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.1.1.25 P 41 L 45 Comment # 110

World Wide Packets Daines, Kevin

Ε Comment Type Comment Status A

Missing space

Suggested Remedy

Change "maxEnvelopeFrameSize(see" to "maxEnvelopeFrameSize (see"

Response Status C Response

ACCEPT

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 33 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:21 PM

C/ 30

SC 30.3.1.1.25

L 7 SC 30.4.3.1.8 C/ 31 SC C/ 30 P 42 Comment # 20 P 43 L 28 Comment # 181 Cisco Systems Intel Barrass, Hugh Grow, Robert Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A Now that there are three values "larger" is no longer appropriate Changes are not marked Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Alter the instruction to read: "Replace Figure 31-2 with the following:" Note that this could Change "larger" to "largest" also be done for the Clause 3 figures. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. SC 30.4.3.1.8 P 42 L 7 C/ 30 Comment # 138 C/ 31 SC P 43 L 40 Comment # 102 Dawe. Piers Agilent George Claseman Micrel Semiconductor Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A either value ... larger but now there are three Figure 31-2 has two instances of 'MA DATA.request' and 'MA DATA.indication'. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy one of the three values ... largest Label the primitives between the Medium Access Control and MAC Control with unique Response Status C Response names. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 20 P 42 L 9 See response to comment 228 C/ 30 SC 30.4.3.1.8 Comment # 111 Daines, Kevin World Wide Packets C/ 31 SC P 44 L 12 Comment # 185 Comment Type Т Comment Status A Grow. Robert Intel The term "MAC" is unnecessary. Comment Type Comment Status A E Suggested Remedy Incorrect editing instruction Change to read "or envelope frame" Suggested Remedy Response Response Status C Change to "Replace ..." ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE SC P 43 L 27 C/ 31 Comment # 184 Grow. Robert Intel See response to comment 21 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A The editing instructions for the figures should be preceded by the subclause title to which the figures are anchored. Suggested Remedy See comment Response Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT.

Page 34 of 49

C/ 31 SC P 44 C/ 31 L 26 Comment # 103 SC 31 P 44 L 16 Comment # 69 George Claseman Micrel Semiconductor Booth, Brad Intel Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A See figure 31-4. This relates to my comment on page 43. The input and output names Figure 31-4. Transition statements and state information overruns the lines. should be unique (MA DATA.indication). Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Clean up state machine to that transition statements and state information doesn't overrun Name MA DATA.indication primitive uniquely (input to MAC control). lines. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 217 See response to comment 112 C/ 31 SC P 44 L 31 Comment # 183 C/ 31 SC 31.3 P 43 L 27 Comment # 139 Grow. Robert Dawe. Piers Intel Agilent Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type E Editorials Editorial cleanup required on Figure 31-4. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Redraw so that transition equations and actions text do not cross transition lines or go Please include the relevant subclause title (31.3, 31.5,3.5). outside of state boxes. Please include (stricken) the two figures before the changes. While you have the figures open for edit, please consider making the font sizes more Response Response Status C reasonable: 8 point or greater instead of 7 point. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C Response See response to comment 112 ACCEPT. C/ 31 P 43 SC 31 L 46 Comment # 21 C/ 31 SC 31.3 P 43 L 36 Comment # 212 Cisco Systems Barrass, Hugh Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A The editing instructions say "Change" but no "changes" are indicated. The note referenced on Figure 31-2 no longer exists. This is the case for figures 31-2 and 31-4 Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Delete "(see Note)" in this figure. Use correct format (strikethrough and underscore) for the changes in figures 31-2 and 31-4. Response Status C Response Response Status C Response ACCEPT ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change editing instruction to 'replace'

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

insert stricken old figures

Page 35 of 49

C/ 31

9/14/2005 9:44:21 PM

SC 31.3

Cl **31** SC **31.3** P **43** L **60** Comment # 40 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Figure 31-2 is changed but the accompanying text has been left. This would result in contradictions and meaningless sections of text in the draft.

Suggested Remedy

Change the following in section 31.3

Change

"The MAC Control sublayer provides a client service interface to the MAC client as specified in Clause 2, and maps these service primitives to those specified in 4.3.2." to The MAC Control sublayer provides a client service interface to the MAC client as specified in Clause 2, and maps these service primitives to those specified in 4.2.11." Change

"MA_CONTROL.request primitives generated by MAC Control clients are interpreted by the MAC Control sublayer, and may result in the generation of TransmitFrame function calls to the MAC sublayer..."

to

"MA_CONTROL.request primitives generated by MAC Control clients are interpreted by the MAC Control sublayer, and may result in the generation of MA_DATA.request function calls to the MAC sublayer..."

Change "Based upon the state of the MAC Control sublayer, MA_DATA.request primitives may cause the immediate generation of a TransmitFrame function call to the MAC sublayer, or be delayed, discarded, or modified in order to perform the requested MAC Control function."

to

"Based upon the state of the MAC Control sublayer, MA_DATA.request primitives may cause the immediate generation of a MA_DATA.request function call to the MAC sublayer, or be delayed, discarded, or modified in order to perform the requested MAC Control function."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 213

Cl 31 SC 31.3 P43 L 60 Comment # 213

Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Changing Figure 31-2 has ramifications on the text in 31.3, which implicitly refers to the old diagram.

Suggested Remedy

In the 4-th paragraph (just below the figure) there are two references to the TransmitFrame function. These need to be replaced by a MA_DATA.request primitive to the MAC. In the 5-th paragraph add to the first sentence:

"...via the MA_DATA.indication primitive."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 31 SC 31.4.1 P 43 L 56 Comment # 182

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The confusion of frame and packet is illustrated here in clause 31 where this is actually a frame format rather than a packet format. In this case, Figure 31-3 only include the fileds that are part of a frame, but the figure should be edited with corresponding changes as those accepted for clause 3.

Suggested Remedy

Reconcile to be consistent with and comments accepted and changes made to Clause 3.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment 163

Change paragraph of 3.4 from:

MAC Control frames are distinguished from other MAC frames only by their Length/Type field identifier.

To:

MAC Control frames are distinguished from other data frames only by their Length/Type field identifier.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 36 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:21 PM

C/ 31

SC 31.4.1

C/ 31 SC 31.5.3.4 C/ 31 SC 31.5 P 43 L 60 Comment # 214 P 44 Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type ER Comment Status A Changing Figure 31-2 has ramifications on the text in 31.5, which implicitly refers to the old Changing the state diagram on Figure 31-4 has ramifications on its supporting text in 31.5.3.4. diagram. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Replace the text in 31.5 with the following: - Delete the definition of MA CONTROL indication. It is no longer used in the state "The MAC passes to the MAC Control sublayer all valid frames via the diagram. MA DATA indication primitive. Invalid frames, are not passed to the MAC Control sublaver - Replace the definition of MA DATA.indication as follows: "The service primitive used to (see 3.4)." pass a validly received frame between the MAC and the MAC Control sublayers, or between the MAC Control sublayer and the MAC client. When generated by the MAC Response Status C Response sublayer, this message is used by the MAC Control Receive state diagram as the ACCEPT. condition for transition between WAIT FOR RX and CHECK TYPE states. While in the PASS TO CLIENT state, the MAC Control Receive state diagram generates this C/ 31 P 43 L 60 Comment # 215 SC 31.5.2 message to the MAC client." Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc. Response Response Status C Comment Type ER Comment Status A ACCEPT. Changing Figure 31-2 has ramifications on the text in 31.5.2, which implicitly refers to the C/ 31 SC 31.5.3.5 P 44 old diagram. Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc. Suggested Remedy Comment Type E Comment Status A Replace the first two sentences of 31.5.2 with the following: "Validly received MAC Control frames are further parsed to determine the opcode. The There is no need to spell out "Generate" while you are in a given state. It is implied by state location of the opcode within a valid MAC Control frame and its format are specified in diagram conventions. 31.4.1.4 and Figure 31-3." Suggested Remedy Response Response Status C Delete "Generate" is state PASS TO CLIENT. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C P 44 C/ 31 SC 31.5.3.3 L 1 Comment # 216 ACCEPT. Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc. C/ 31 P 44 SC Figure 31-4 Comment Status A Comment Type ER

L 30 Comment # 112

L 1

L 30

Comment # 217

Comment # 218

Daines. Kevin World Wide Packets

Comment Type Comment Status A Ε

Text spills out of boxes. Could be font size issue. Best approach is to widen boxes to prevent this from happening.

Suggested Remedy Per comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Changing the state diagram on Figure 31-4 has ramifications on its supporting text in

Delete subclause 31.5.3.3, and renumber the following sub-clauses.

Response Status C

31.5.3.3.

Response

Suggested Remedy

ACCEPT

Page 37 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:21 PM

C/ 31

SC Figure 31-4

SC Ρ L C/ 31B C/ 31B Comment # 151 SC 31B P 46 L 18 Comment # 192 Wael William Diab Cisco David V James **JGG** Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status R Bookmarks are broken and show 43B as part of 31B State machine names should have an underscore, not spaces. The other ones were OK, but this one is not. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Please fix Fix it, here and check throughout. Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT. REJECT. SC P 46 C/ 31B L 12 Comment # 186 State machines in this clause do not have underscores in the names Grow. Robert Intel C/ 31B SC 31B P 46 L 28 Comment # 70 Comment Type E Comment Status A Booth, Brad Intel Incorrect editing instruction Comment Type E Comment Status A Suggested Remedy Figure 31B-1. Right justify the two leftmost transition statements. Change to "Replace ..." Suggested Remedy Response Response Status C As per comment. ACCEPT. Response Status C Response SC P 46 L 50 C/ 31B Comment # 104 ACCEPT. George Claseman Micrel Semiconductor C/ 31B SC 31B P 46 L 29 Comment # 22 Comment Type Comment Status A Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems See figure 31B-1.In SEND CONTROL FRAME and SEND DATA FRAME, MA DATA.request should have a unique name. See my previous comments on unique Comment Type Е Comment Status A names into and out of the MAC Control sublaver. The editing instructions say "Change" but no "changes" are indicated. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Make MA_DATA.request name unique. Use correct format (strikethrough and underscore) for the changes in figure 31B-1 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Modify Fig 31-2 and state diagram adding MAC: and MCF: prefixes. See response to comment 140

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Similar to response to comment 228

Page 38 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:21 PM

Cl 31B SC 31B

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Figure 31B-1 is changed but the accompanying text has been left. This would result in contradictions and meaningless sections of text in the draft.

Suggested Remedy

Change the following in section 31B.3.1

Change 2 instances of

"the MAC Control sublayer calls the MAC sublayer TransmitFrame function with the following parameters:"

to

"the MAC Control sublayer calls the MAC sublayer MA_DATA.request function with the following parameters:"

For both instances of bullet a) change "destinationParam" to "destination_address" For both instances of bullet b) change "sourceParam" to "source address"

For the first bullet c) change

"The lengthOrTypeParam is set to the reserved IEEE 802.3 MAC Control type value specified in 31.4.1.3."

to

"The length/type field (i.e. the first two octets) within the mac_service_data_unit parameter is set to the reserved IEEE 802.3 MAC Control type value specified in 31.4.1.3." For the first bullet d) change

"The dataParam is set equal to the concatenation of the PAUSE opcode encoding (see Annex 31A), the pause_time request_operand specified in the MA_CONTROL.request primitive, and a field containing zeros of the length specified in 31.4.1.6." to

"The remainder of the mac_service_data_unit is set equal to the concatenation of the PAUSE opcode encoding (see Annex 31A), the pause_time request_operand specified in the MA_CONTROL.request primitive, and a field containing zeros of the length specified in 31.4.1.6."

For the first bullet e) changed "The fcsParamPresent is set to false." dottod "The frame check sequence is ommitted."

Replace second bullets c) and d) with a new c) bullet

"The mac_service_data_unit is set equal to the mac_service_data_unit parameter of the MA_DATA.request primitive."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment 221 for additional edits

Cl 31B SC 31B.3.2.2 P 46 L 3 Comment # 44

Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Figure 31B-1 is changed but the accompanying text has been left. This would result in contradictions and meaningless sections of text in the draft.

Suggested Remedy

In the definition of the variable transmission_in_progress, change "TransmitFrame" to "MA_DATA.request"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment 221 for additional edits

Cl 31B SC 31B.3.2.3 P 46 L 5 Comment # 42

Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Figure 31B-1 is changed but the accompanying text has been left. This would result in contradictions and meaningless sections of text in the draft.

Suggested Remedy

Change "TransmitFrame" to "MA DATA.request"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment 221 for additional edits

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 39 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:21 PM

 Cl 31B
 SC 31B.3.2.6
 P 46
 L 1
 Comment # | 221

 Shimon Muller
 Sun Microsystems, Inc

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Changing the state diagram on Figure 31B-1 has ramifications on its supporting text in multiple places.

Suggested Remedy

I ran out of steam here, so I am giving the editor editorial license of what the precise changes should be. Following are the locations in the original text that require changes:

- Subclause 31B.3.1 requires a major rewrite. It is based on using the TransmitFrame function and of all its associated parameters in the state diagram. Need to convert the entire text to comply with the MA DATA.request semantics.
- Delete 31B.3.2.3, and renumber the following subclauses.
- In subclause 31B.3.2.5 need to expand the definition of MA_DATA.request. It is used by the MAC client to request data transmission from MAC Control, but it is also used by MAC Control to request both data and control frames' transmission from the MAC. Also, need to specify the context of its use in the state diagram, similar to what I suggested for Figure 31-4.
- Subclause 31B.3.4.2:
- Uses the ReceiveFrame function in the DA definition.
- Uses the TransmitFrame function in the transmission in progress definition.
- Subclause 31B.3.7: uses TransmitFrame function in the 2-nd paragraph.

*** There may be more that I missed both here and in clause 31. Also, I am not sure that I was looking at the final version on the master document. An independent sanity check is strongly encouraged.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The editor will do his best.

Cl 31B SC 31B.3.2.6 P 46 L 15 Comment # 222

Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

As part of the changes to the state diagram on Figure 31B-1,the HALT TX state has been eliminated. I am not sure what the motivation for that is, but there was actually a good reason why I put it in there in the first place. Consider the following scenario:

- * At the beginning of time the MAC is initialized and put on-line. In order for the MAC client to be able to send the first frame, we need to initialize the pause_timerDone variable to true in INITIALIZE TX, so that we can proceed to PAUSED and TRANSMIT READY and wait for the frame from the MAC client.
- * At some point later, let's assume that a pause frame was received with a non-zero value for n_quanta_rx. This will rearm the pause_timer and will force the pause_timerDone variable to false. For a fast network and a long link, the timer value can be very large.
- * Now let's assume that during the time that the pause_timer is doing its thing, we want to disable the MAC (change a programmable value while the MAC is off-line) and then we enable it again. All this before the pause time expired.
- * Based on the new state diagram, we will re-initialize the pause_timerDone variable to true, and if the MAC client has a frame ready to go, we will send it out before the pause time has expired, in violation of the standard.

The underlying assumption here is that transmitEnabled is not necessarily the same as reset. Also, we used the generic BEGIN rather than reset, so that we can imply that as part of the BEGIN sequence there will be a transmitEnabled at some point.

Suggested Remedy

Reinstate the HALT TX state.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 31B SC 31B.3.2.6 P 46 L 30 Comment # 219

Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The new state diagram uses the constant "reserved_mc_address"rather than the original "reserved multicast address", which is what is defined in 31B.3.2.1.

Suggested Remedy

Reconcile the two in four places in the state diagram. My preference is "reserved multicast address".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 40 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:21 PM

C/ 31B

SC 31B.3.2.6

C/ 31B C/ 31B SC 31B.3.2.6 P 46 L 49 Comment # 220 SC 31B.3.7 P 46 L 3 Comment # 43 Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc Cisco Systems Barrass, Hugh Comment Type Е Comment Status A Comment Type Т Comment Status A There is no need to spell out "Generate" while you are in a given state. It is implied by state Figure 31B-1 is changed but the accompanying text has been left. This would result in diagram conventions. contradictions and meaningless sections of text in the draft. ♂ Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Delete "Generate" in two places (states SEND CONTROL FRAME and In the second paragraph, change "TransmitFrame" to "MA DATA.request" SEND_DATA_FRAME). Response Status C Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT See comment 221 for additional edits SC 31B.3.3 P 46 L 9 C/ 31B Comment # 140 C/ 43 SC 43.4.2.2 P 47 L 1 Comment # 26 Dawe, Piers Agilent Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems Comment Type Comment Status A Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Т Editorials New clause change for "tagged" reference Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Please include the relevant subclause title (31B.3.3). Change subclause 43.4.2.2 as follows Please include (stricken) the figure before the changes. Change "they shall not be tagged" to "they shall not be tagged or envelope format frames" While you have the figure open for edit, please consider using 8 point font instead of 7 point. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Change subclause 43.4.2.2 as follows Change "they shall not be tagged" to "they shall not be Q-tagged frames or envelope SC 31B.3.4.2 P 46 L 3 C/ 31B Comment # 45 frames" Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems C/ 43 P 47 L 1 SC 43.7.15 Comment # 28 Comment Type Comment Status A Cisco Systems Barrass, Hugh Figure 31B-1 is changed but the accompanying text has been left. This would result in contradictions and meaningless sections of text in the draft. ♂ Comment Type T Comment Status A Suggested Remedy New clause change for "tagged" reference In the definition of the variable transmission_in_progress, change "TransmitFrame" to Suggested Remedy "MA DATA.request"

♂ Change subclause 43.7.15 as follows Response Response Status C Change "Not tagged" to "Not tagged or envelope format" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change subclause 43.7.15 as follows

Change "Not tagged" to "Not Q-tagged frames or envelope frames"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

See comment 221 for additional edits

Page 41 of 49

Cl 43

9/14/2005 9:44:21 PM

SC 43.7.15

L 6 C/ 43 SC 43.7.23 P 47 L 1 Comment # 29 C/ 43B SC 43B.6.2.3 P 48 Comment # 30 Cisco Systems Cisco Systems Barrass, Hugh Barrass, Hugh Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type т Comment Status A New clause change for "tagged" reference New clause change for "tagged" reference Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Change subclause 43.7.23 as follows Change subclause 43B.6.2.3 as follows Change "Not tagged" to "Not tagged or envelope format" for 2 references (FP4, FP5) Change "Not tagged" to "Not tagged or envelope" Response Status C Response Response Status C Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change subclause 43B.6.2.3 as follows Change subclause 43.7.23 as follows Change "Not tagged" to "Not Q-tagged or envelope" Change "Not tagged" to "Not Q-tagged frames or envelope frames" for 2 references (FP4. C/ 45 SC 43.5.3.2 P 47 L 1 Comment # 27 FP5) Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems C/ 43B SC 43B.2 P 47 L 32 Comment # 71 Comment Type Т Comment Status A Booth, Brad Intel New clause change for "tagged" reference Comment Type ER Comment Status R Suggested Remedy Keep editing instruction closer to affect text and remove unchanged text. Change subclause 43.5.3.2 as follows Suggested Remedy Change "they shall not be tagged" to "they shall not be tagged or envelope format frames" Move editing instruction to before bullet d) and change instruction to read: Change bullet d) Response Response Status C to read as follows. Remove the remaining unchanged text from this subannex. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status W Change subclause 43.5.3.2 as follows REJECT. Change "they shall not be tagged" to "they shall not be Q-tagged frames or envelope frames" The current text gives a clearer view of the change and its context. CI 57 SC 57.3.3 P 50 L 33 Comment # 31 Also see response to comment 206. Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems P 47 Comment Status A Comment Type Т C/ 43B SC 43B.2 L 55 Comment # 188 New clause change for "tagged" reference Grow, Robert Intel Suggested Remedy Comment Type ER Comment Status A Change subclause 57.3.3 as follows Use complete defined names Change "cannot be tagged" to "cannot be tagged or envelope format" Suggested Remedy Response Response Status C Change to read "...shall not be tagged frames or envelope frames ..." ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Change subclause 57.3.3 as follows ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "cannot be tagged" to "cannot be Q-tagged frame or envelope frame" See resolution to comment 113

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 42 of 49

CI 57

9/14/2005 9:44:21 PM

SC 57.3.3

SC 57.3.3.2 CI 57 CI 57 P 49 L 27 Comment # 46 SC 57.4.2 P 49 L 32 Comment # | 73 Cisco Systems Booth, Brad Barrass, Hugh Intel Comment Type т Comment Status R Comment Type E Comment Status A The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. Missing a period. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Change Add a period after "Figure 57-9." "(i.e., the MAC's TransmitFrame function is synchronous, and is never interrupted)" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. "(i.e., the MAC's MA_DATA.request function is synchronous, and is never interrupted)" Response Status C Response CI 57 P 49 SC 57.4.2 L 32 Comment # 141 REJECT. Dawe. Piers Aailent It is the function that is being refered to and not the interlayer interface. Comment Type E Comment Status A Lost a full stop. CI 57 SC 57.4.2 P 49 L 27 Comment # 72 Suggested Remedy Booth, Brad Intel Replace full stop at end of sentence. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Response Response Status C Keep editing instruction closer to affect text. ACCEPT. Suggested Remedy Move editing instruction below the subclause header. CI 57 SC 57.5.2.1 P 50 L 1 Comment # 143 Dawe, Piers Response Status C Agilent Response ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A This sentence doesn't make sense to me 'The minimum value is minFrameSize / 8.' P 49 CI 57 SC 57.4.2 L 31 Comment # 187 Minimum value of what? This is my attempt at guessing a meaning for this paragraph. Grow. Robert Intel (Editorial: can get rid of some unnecessary capitals 'Maximum' and 'Size' Comment Type ER Comment Status A Suggested Remedy Use complete defined names Prior to exchanging and agreeing upon a maximum OAMPDU size, a DTE sends [a number, probably not 512l OAMPDUs with size between minFrameSize / 8 and Suggested Remedy maxBasicFrameSize octets, inclusive. maxBasicFrameSize is defined in 4.4.2. Change to read "...shall not be tagged frames or envelope frames ..." Response Response Status C Response Status C Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Change paragraph to: See resolution to comment 113 Prior to exchanging and agreeing upon a Maximum OAMPDU Size, a DTE sends OAMPDUs of length minFrameSize / 8. The minimum value of this field is minFrameSize / 8. The maximum value of this field is equal to maxBasicFrameSize, which is defined in 442

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 43 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:21 PM

CI 57 SC

SC 57.5.2.1

CI 57 SC 57.5.2.1 P 50 L 1 Comment # 142 Dawe, Piers Agilent

Comment Type Ε Please include the relevant subclause title (57.5.2.1).

Comment Status A

Suggested Remedy

per comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

P 50 C/ 61 SC 61.1.4.1 L 60 Comment # 64 Barrass. Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type Comment Status A

New clause change for frame length.

There is an implied frame buffer in the Clause 61 PCS. In the transmit direction, it allows the MAC-PHY rate matching to operate; in the receive direction, it allows the PAF to reassemble frames from fragments.

Suggested Remedy

Add a paragraph at the end of the subclause:

Note: the MAC PHY rate matching function and PME Aggregation function both require some form of frame buffer for many implementations. It is recommended that these frame buffers should be sized to accommodate the longest frame format as defined in 3.1 (i.e. "envelope frame format," 2000 bytes).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a NOTE at the end of the subclause:

NOTE: the MAC PHY rate matching function and PME Aggregation function both require some form of frame buffer for many implementations. It is recommended that these frame buffers should be sized to accommodate maximum length envelope frames (see 3.2.7).

C/ 61 SC 61.2.2.7.3 P 51 L 1 Comment # 32

Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type т Comment Status A

New clause change for "tagged" reference

Suggested Remedy

Change subclause 61.2.2.7.3 as follows

Change "(i.e. maxUntaggedFrameSize + qTagPrefixSize, currently 1522 octets (see 3.5, 4.2.7.1 and 4.4))" to "(i.e. maxUntaggedFrameSize + qTagPrefixSize or maxEnvelopeFrameSize, currently 1522 or 2000 octets (see 3.5, 4.2.7.1 and 4.4))"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change subclause 61.2.2.7.3 as follows:

...and would cause the frame size to exceed the maximum supported frame size (see 4.2.4.2.1) then the first part of the frame, . . .

C/ 64 SC P 46 L 15 Comment # 98

Brown, Benjamin Motorola

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

You forget multi point mac control

Suggested Remedy

Modify clause 64

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Modifications to include MA DATA.request & MA DATA.indication service primitives as in Fig 31B-1 required for Fig 64-10, 11, 12, 13

See responses to comments 53 & 54

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 44 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:22 PM

C/ 64 Comment # |47 SC 64.2.2 C/ 64 SC 64.1.3 P 50 L 60 P 50 L 60 Comment # 51 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems Cisco Systems Barrass, Hugh Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type т Comment Status A The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Figure 64-8, change "TransmitFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data)" to Change figure 64.3 (2 instances) "TransmitFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data)" "MA DATA.request(DA, SA, data)" "MA DATA.request(DA, SA, data)" change "NOTE-TransmitFrame" to "NOTE-MA DATA.request" Change figure 64.3 Response Status C Response "ReceiveFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data)" ACCEPT. "MA DATA.indication(DA, SA, data)" Also see response to comment 98 Response Response Status C C/ 64 SC 64.2.2 P 50 L 60 Comment # 49 ACCEPT. Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems Also see response to comment 98 Comment Type Т Comment Status A C/ 64 SC 64.2.1 P 50 L 60 Comment # 48 The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems Suggested Remedy Comment Status A Comment Type Т Figure 64-6, change "ReceiveFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data)" to "MA DATA.indication(DA, SA, data)" The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. Response Response Status C Suggested Remedy ACCEPT. Change 5 instances of "ReceiveFrame" to "MA DATA indication" Change "TransmitFrame" to "MA DATA.request" Also see response to comment 98 Response Status C Response C/ 64 P 50 SC 64.2.2 L 60 Comment # 50 ACCEPT. Cisco Systems Barrass, Hugh Also see response to comment 98 Comment Type T Comment Status A The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. Suggested Remedy Figure 64-7, change "TransmitFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data)" to "MA DATA.request(DA, SA, data)" and change "NOTE-TransmitFrame" to "NOTE-MA DATA.request" In the body of the clause, change "...a single TransmitFrame is generated for transmission." to "...a single MA_DATA.request is generated for transmission." Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Also see response to comment 98

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 45 of 49

CI 64

SC 64.2.2

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

9/14/2005 9:44:22 PM

SC 64.3.3 C/ 64 SC 64.2.2.4 P 50 L 60 Comment # 52 C/ 64 P 50 **L** 60 Comment # 55 Cisco Systems Cisco Systems Barrass, Hugh Barrass, Hugh Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type т Comment Status A The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Figure 64-15, 64-16 and 64-17 change "TransmitFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data)" to Change "ReceiveFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data)" to "MA DATA.indication(DA, SA, "MA DATA.request(DA, SA, data)" Change "TransmitFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data)" to "MA_DATA.reguest(DA, SA, Response Response Status C data)" ACCEPT. Response Status C Response ACCEPT. Also see response to comment 98 CI 64 SC 64.3.3.3 P 50 L 60 Comment # 56 Also see response to comment 98 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems CI 64 P 50 L 60 Comment # 54 SC 64.2.2.7 Comment Type Т Comment Status A Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. Comment Type Comment Status A Т Suggested Remedy The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. Change "ReceiveFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data)" to "MA DATA.indication(DA, SA, Suggested Remedy data)" & Change "TransmitFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data)" to "MA DATA request(DA, SA, data)" Figure 64-12 and 64-13, change "TransmitFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data tx)" to "MA DATA.request(DA, SA, data tx) (2 instances)" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Also see response to comment 98 Accept remedy. C/ 64 SC 64.3.3.6 P 50 L 60 Comment # 57 Editor will also check text descriptions about the state diagrams Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems Comment Status A Comment Type Т C/ 64 SC 64.2.2.7 P 50 L 60 Comment # 53 The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems Comment Type Suggested Remedy Т Comment Status A Figure 64-18, 64-20, 64-21 (2 instances) and 64-22 (4 instances) change The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. "TransmitFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data tx)" to "MA DATA.request(DA, SA, data tx)" of Suggested Remedy Response Response Status C Figure 64-10 and 64-11, change "ReceiveFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, ACCEPT. data):receiveStatus" to "MA DATA.indication(DA, SA, data rx, receiveStatus)" Response Status C Response Also see response to comment 98 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Accept remedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Editor will also check text descriptions about the state diagrams

Page 46 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:22 PM

C/ 64

SC **64.3.3.6**

SC 64.3.5 C/ 64 SC 64.3.4 P 50 L 60 Comment # 58 C/ 64 P 50 L 60 Comment # 61 Cisco Systems Cisco Systems Barrass, Hugh Barrass, Hugh Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type т Comment Status A The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Figure 64-23 change "TransmitFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data)" to Figure 64-26 change "TransmitFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data)" to "MA DATA.request(DA, SA, data)" "MA DATA.request(DA, SA, data)" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Also see response to comment 98 Also see response to comment 98 C/ 64 SC 64.3.4.3 P 50 L 60 Comment # 59 CI 64 SC 64.3.5.3 P 50 L 60 Comment # 62 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Change "TransmitFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data)" to "MA DATA.request(DA, SA, Change "TransmitFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data)" to "MA DATA.request(DA, SA, data)" data)" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Also see response to comment 98 Also see response to comment 98 C/ 64 SC 64.3.4.3.6 P 50 L 60 Comment # 60 C/ 64 SC 64.3.5.6 P 50 L 60 Comment # 63 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems Comment Type Т Comment Status A Comment Type Т Comment Status A The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. The changes to Clause 2.1 must be reflected throughout the document. Suggested Remedy Suggested Remedy Figure 64-25 change "TransmitFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data_tx)" to Figure 64-27 change "TransmitFrame(DA, SA, Length/Type, data_tx)" to "MA DATA.reguest(DA, SA, data tx)" (2 instances) "MA DATA.request(DA, SA, data_tx)" (2 instances) Response Status C Response Response Status C Response ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Also see response to comment 98 Also see response to comment 98

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 47 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:22 PM

CI 64 SC 64.3.5.6

Cl 64 SC 64.3.6 P 51 L 2 Comment # 33

Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A

New clause change for "tagged" reference

Suggested Remedy

Change subclause 64.3.6 as follows

Change "they shall not be tagged" to "they shall not be tagged or envelope format frames"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change subclause 64.3.6 as follows

Change "they shall not be tagged" to "they shall not be Q-tagged frames or envelope frames"

Cl 64 SC 64.4.4.4 P 51 L 2 Comment # 34

Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A

New clause change for "tagged" reference

Suggested Remedy

Change subclause 64.4.4.4 as follows♂Change "not tagged" to "not tagged or envelope format"

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change subclause 64.4.4.4 as follows

Change "not tagged" to "not Q-tagged frames or envelope frames"

CI 65 SC 65.2.2.1 P 50 L 60 Comment # 65

Barrass, Hugh Cisco Systems

Comment Type T Comment Status A

New clause change for frame length.

There is an implied frame buffer in the Clause 65 (Burst mode and FEC) PCS. The transmit buffer accommodates a frame or frames waiting for transmission and the receive buffer accommodates a frame or frames while the FEC code is checked. Additionally, the number of FEC blocks in a frame changes from 7 for a 1522 byte frame to 9 for a 2000 byte frame.

Suggested Remedy

Add a paragraph at the end of the subclause:

Note: Many implementations of the transmit and receive buffers might be dependent on the maximum length of frame supported. It is recommended that these frame buffers should be sized to accommodate the longest frame format as defined in 3.1 (i.e. "envelope frame format," 2000 bytes). It is also recommended that the FEC function should accommodate the longest frame format, requiring up to 9 FEC code blocks per frame.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a NOTE at the end of the subclause:

NOTE: Many implementations of the transmit and receive buffers might be dependent on the maximum length of frame supported. It is recommended that these frame buffers should be sized to accommodate maximum length envelope frames (see 3.2.7). It is also recommended that the FEC function should accommodate maximum length envelope frames, requiring up to 9 FEC code blocks per frame.

C/ 99 SC P2 L1 Comment # 154

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status A front matter

Needs more complete front matter for Sponsor Ballot. ♂

Suggested Remedy

To be provided by the WG Chair prior to Sponsor ballot.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor awaiting front matter from 802.3 chair

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 48 of 49

9/14/2005 9:44:22 PM

C/ 99 SC

Comment Type E Comment Status A

'extends the size of the IEEE 802.3 frame format' sort of: three formats rather than two. But isn't the headline fact that the frame itself can be bigger?

Suggested Remedy

Suggest change to 'extends the size of the IEEE 802.3 frame with an envelope frame format to'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ All SC All PAII L All Comment # 206

Shimon Muller Sun Microsystems, Inc

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

I find the editing instructions very confusing. Although Iam quite familiar with the text of these clauses, I had realproblems trying to parse the proposed changes and figuringout what the final text is.

Furthermore, from my fairly recent experience as the editorof these clauses, I found out (in a very painful way) thatthe IEEE editors tend to have trouble figuring out how tomerge the changes in these clauses into the master document. This is particularly true for the Pascal code. Therefore, I believe the editing instructions should be verycrisp and unambiguous.

Suggested Remedy

Unless the change is very straightforward (such as adding ordeleting an entire sentence), I would suggest the following:

- In the text:

Rather than do piecemeal insertion and deletion of words and characters, strike the entire paragraph and insert a new paragraph right below it with the new text.

- In the Pascal code:

If you are changing a Pascal statement, strike the entire statement and insert a new one right below it with the new text.

I understand that this is quite a bit of work for the editor,but I believe that this will save us a lot of grief in the future.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor will take into account text & Pascal comments.

Most text editorial changes noted in responses to comments 72, 76, 81, 82, 86 & 87

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line