P C/ 00 SC 0 1 # 2545 Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type Comment Status D Editors note <clause>-2 style inconsistent. SuggestedRemedy Use Style from c75. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Р C/ 00 SC 0 # 2429 Anslow, Pete Nortel Networks Comment Type Comment Status D

"Throughout this draft there are places where the readibility can be improved by small editorial modifications that do not change the meaning. The attached PDF file contains suggested changes indicated using the ""Text Edits"" tool. Because the editing marks can be difficult to locate, each one has the associated line number marked with yellow highlighter. Only pages with proposed edits are included. attached file is 3av 1109 anslow 1.pdf"

### SuggestedRemedy

Apply these suggested changes.

Proposed Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ **00** SC **0** P L # 2546

Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

"Per IEEE 2007 Style Manual Section 11.1 1st paragraph pg 19.

""... Hanging paragraphs (i.e., paragraphs following a main clause head or main subhead) should not be used since reference to the text would be ambiguous. It may be necessary to include a subhead with the title ""General"" to avoid instances of hanging paragraphs, as shown in Figure 2.""

Our draft violates this in c76, 75A, 75B and 75C."

### SuggestedRemedy

"Add ""General" or ""Overview" section to each of the following clauses: c76. c75A. 75B and 75C"

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 00 SC 0 P 0 L 0 # 2489

Doug Coleman

Corning

Comment Type T Comment Status D

G.675 SMF in the heading of Table 75-14 is incorrect.

## SuggestedRemedy

Change to G.657 SMF

Proposed Response Response Status W

"PROPOSED ACCEPT.

[Changed from ""E"" to ""T""]

[Moved to C00; was against 75.11.3, page 114, line 27]

Clauses affected:

01, page 17, line 51

75B, page 137, line 9

75B, page 136, line 12

75, page 114, line 27

75, page 77, line 37"

C/ **00** SC **0** P **0** L **0** # 2460

Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

The draft includes a number of subclause titles which were neither change nor include any changed text e.g. 1.2, 1.1 in Clause 1, 45.1, 45.2 in Clause 45 etc. Since there is no point to have them, I suggest to have the draft scrubbed against such superfluous subclauses and strike them out. DO NOT strike out subclause titles which contain modifitions e.g. 1.3, 1.4 or 1.5 in Clause 1.

# SuggestedRemedy

See the suggested remedy above.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ **00** SC **0** P **0** L **0** # 2461

Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Ref 2 802.3 std

"The titles of some of the clause contain text ""Changes to ANSI/IEEE Std. IEEE 802.3ay, Clause XX"", yet there is already an approved IEEE 802.3-2008 standard."

# SuggestedRemedy

"In the titles of some of the clauses (1,30,45,56,66,67), change ""Changes to ANSI/IEEE Std. IEEE 802.3ay, Clause"" to ""Revisions to IEEE Std 802.3-2008, Clause"""

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editors will investigate.

C/ 00 SC 0  $P\mathbf{0}$ L 0 # 2466 C/ 00 SC 0 P 19 L 1 # 2492 Haiduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type ER This is a generic comment against the draft. There are several locations (e.g. page 266 line "Various errors in editing instructions of existing clauses. 25, page 267 line 5 etc. in the markup file), where there is a line break between the word The following keywords are incorrectly used; add, modify, create Table and table number. This sometimes complicates the readability of the text. Mark-up text (in clean file) is inconsistent with the style prescribed in Editors comments. Applies to c30, 31A" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Update the style of the Table and Figure cross references to include a non-breakable space between the keyword (Table/Figure) and the table/figure number. Changes to the template "In general: can be provided upon request. Change ""add"" to ""Insert"" Change ""modify"" to ""Change"" or ""Insert"" as appropriate Proposed Response Response Status W Change ""create"" to ""Insert"" PROPOSED ACCEPT. Use appropriate mark-up text in ""Changed "" paragraphs only (not inserted text)." C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 L 1 # 2544 Proposed Response Response Status W Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Status D Comment Type ER C/ 01 SC<sub>1</sub> P 17 L 30 # 2552 "The use of synonymous terms; 1 Gb/s and 1G-EPON. Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent 1/10 Gb/s and 10/1G-EPON and asymmetric-rate. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D see 2453 10/10 Gb/s and 10/10G-EPON and symmetric-rate, detracts from the readability of the document." Remove nice to have references: 1.1 Overview SuggestedRemedy 1.2 Notation "Exclusively use the agreed naming conventions recommended in the resolution of SuggestedRemedy comment #1981 from Seoul 2008 meeting; 1G-EPON, 10/1G-EPON and 10/10G-EPON." remove Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 L 56 # 2682 SC 1.3 C/ 01 P 17 # 2550 L 43 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D As noted in D2.0 comments 1904 and 2172, Page numbers are too low, won't print on some printers, and 2 lines lower than in published Rather than striking entire entry show update to date only 802.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy as per comment Remove (at least) one line-feed in each of left and right page footers Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

This was done in the clean copy, not sure why it didn't replicate into the marked-up version.

C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 17 L 53 # 2693 C/ 01 SC 1.5 P 18 L 33 # 2734 Dawe. Piers Avago Technologies Lynskey, Eric Teknovus Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D See 2453 Per D2.0 comment 1933 **EPONEPONs EPONrEPONs** SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add to 1.3 Normative references. TIA-455-127-A-2006. FOTP-127-A-Basic Spectral EPON EPONs on lines 33 and 35. Characterization of Laser Diodes. EPON EPONs on line 38. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 2453 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 18 L 25 # 2671 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies C/ 01 SC 1.5 P18 L 42 # 2445 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Anslow, Pete Nortel Networks Insert after 1.4.343 Tomlinson-Harashima precoder (THP) Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy "Comment # 1596 was ""ACCEPT"" but has not been implemented. DFB is not in the list of abbreviations" Insert before 1.4.343 Tomlinson-Harashima precoder (THP) SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W "Add a new abbreviation in C01/1.5 to read as follows ""DFB Distributed Feedback Laser""." PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W P 18 C/ 01 SC 1.5 / 30 # 2551 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent C/ 01 SC 1.5 P 18 L 43 # 2673 Comment Type E Comment Status D Dawe. Piers Avago Technologies "Align style of abbreviations listed with P802.3av Spare ""r"" - ""EPONrEPON"" Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Abbreviation used but not listed "Copy style ""AcrList,ac"" SuggestedRemedy remove spare ""r""" OUI Organizationally Unique Identifier Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 01 SC 75.8.1 P 106 L 35 # 2739 C/ 30 Lynskey, Eric Teknovus Remein. Duane Comment Status D Comment Type Т This is the first time in this draft that WDM is used. It should be spelled out here or else added to 1.4. s/b ""or"" Also line 19" SuggestedRemedy Add WDM abbreviation to 1.4. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. [changed from c75 to c01] C/ 01 SC 75.8.2 P 106 L 42 # 2738 C/ 30 Lynskey, Eric Teknovus Dawe, Piers Comment Type Т Comment Status D This is the first time in this draft that TDMA is used. It should be spelled out here or else added to 1.4. SuggestedRemedy Add TDMA abbreviation to 1.4. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. [changed from c75 to c01] C/ 30 C/ 01 SC 75.9.1 P 107 L 10 # 2448 Dawe. Piers Anslow. Pete Nortel Networks Comment Type Ε Comment Status D "Comment # 1656 was ""ACCEPT"" but has not been implemented. G.650.1 is not in the list of references"

SuggestedRemedy "Add a reference to 1.3 with the following contents ""ITU-T Recommendation G.650.1, 2004-

Proposed Response Response Status W

Transmission media characteristics - Optical fibre cables"""

PROPOSED ACCEPT. [was c75 moved to c01] SC 30 P 20 L 8 # 2554

Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status D

"Clause 64 and Clause 77

SuggestedRemedy

"Change to ""or"""

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

SC 30.2.3 P 23 L 46 # 2678 Avago Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D see 2493

Missing subclause heading

SuggestedRemedy

I believe Figure 30-3 is in 30.2.3.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

see comment 2493

P 24 SC 30.2.3 L 51 # 2677

Avago Technologies

Ref 2 802.3 std Comment Type E Comment Status D

IEEE Std 802.1AX-200X

SugaestedRemedy

Do we have a date for this?

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See resolution to comment #2461

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P **25** L 20 # 2697 C/ 30 SC 30.3.8.1 P 23 L 15 # 2674 Dawe. Piers Avago Technologies Dawe. Piers Avago Technologies Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D т GF? nonresetable SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy I think it should be 'GET', three times. nonresettable (problem with base document) Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. "PROPOSED ACCEPT. Add to c30 ""30.3.1.1.2 aFramesTransmittedOK C/ 30 SC 30.3.7.1.2 P 20 # 2555 L 34 Change first sentance under APPROPRIATE SYNTAX: to read as follows Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent Generalized nonresettable counter. "" Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Use proper mark-up syntax for adding the second ""t""" "that indicates that mode of operation C/ 30 SC 30.3.8.2 P 23 L 39 # 2696 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies ""that indicates the mode of operation""" Comment Type T Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy "Show ""that"" in strikeout, add ""the"" in underlined" instance of the MAC Control function Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. instance of the MAC Control EXTENSION function Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 30 SC 30.3.7.1.2 P 20 L 35 # 2431 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Anslow. Pete Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status D C/ 30 SC 30.5 P 21 L 31 # 2491 "In clauses 30.3.7.1.2 through 30.3.7.1.8 the definitions come from clause 65 or clause 76 Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent depending on the EPON type. The wording used for this choice is ""65.1.3.x.x and Comment Type E Comment Status D 76.1.6.1.x.x, where appropriate"" "Remove helpful placeholder ""30.5 Layer management for medium attachment units Since this is a choice, it would be better worded as ""65.1.3.x.x or 76.1.6.1.x.x, as (MAUs)"" so as to be consistent." appropriate"" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "change ""65.1.3.x.x and 76.1.6.1.x.x, where appropriate"" as per comment to ""65.1.3.x.x or 76.1.6.1.x.x. as appropriate" in 15 places" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Increment the counter by one for each received block that is corrected by the FEC function in the PHY.

SuggestedRemedy

Increment the counter by one for each FEC block that is determined to be uncorrectable by the FEC function in the PHY.

Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.7.1.2 P20 L34 # 2561

Kramer, Glen Teknovus, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status D

"Missing hyphen in ""read only"". Compare to lines 7, 18, 27 on the same page."

SuggestedRemedy
Add hyphen.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 31A SC 31A P23 L28 # 2679

Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D

SuggestedRemedy hexadecimal

Hexadecimal

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

[page and line number were changed, was against clean version of D2.1, pg 27, ln 41]

Cl 31A SC 31A P27 L48 # 2495

Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Changes to Tables 31A-1, 31A-3, 31A-5 and 31A-6 are reasonably small and should be shown as change instructions rather than replace instructions. In most cases this can be accomplished by changing the added font to underline.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Agreed on using editorial comment for Tables 31A-3, 31A-5 and 31A-6. Table 31A-1 due to addition of Clause 77 reference will remain as is in D2.1

Cl 31A SC 31A P 29 L 24 # 2562

Kramer, Glen Teknovus, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Table 31A-5 has a thick line in the middle of the table

SuggestedRemedy

make all internal lines the same width

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

See comment #2495 for resolution.

Cl **45** SC **45** P **37** L **1** # 2456

Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status D

In Clause 45, some of the subclause numbers do not match between the plain and markup versions e.g. 45.2.3.1 in mark up is 45.2.3.33 in the plain format. Probably they were not updated correctly during the generation of markup files.

SuggestedRemedy

In the future, pay closer attention to what Frame is doing during generation of mark up files

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT. See comment 2735 Markup issues

C/ 45 SC 45 P 37 L 27 # 2497 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.10 P 38 L 29 # 2499 Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Status D Comment Status D See 2466 Comment Type ER Comment Type "Various errors in editing instructions or existing clauses. "Change instruction ""Change Table 45-7 as shown below"" is disconnected from table." The following keywords are incorrectly used; add, modify, create SuggestedRemedy Mark-up text (in clean file) is inconsistent with the style prescribed in Editors comments." "Tie change instruction ""Change Table 45-7 as shown below" to table in Framemaker." SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W "Pg 31 In 35 (of clean file) Change ""modify"" to ""Change"" PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 2466 Pg 42 ln 22, ln 33 & Pg 43 ln 1 (of clean file) Change ""add"" to ""Insert"" (change text from underline to plain)" CI 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 38 L 29 # 2684 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status D Е Missing subclause heading SC 45.2.1 C/ 45 P 37 L 38 # 2496 SuggestedRemedy Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Insert the heading for 45.2.1.6, which contains Table 45-7. Check for any other missing Comment Type Ε Comment Status D headings. "Errors in table 45-3 Proposed Response Response Status W Title: ""Table 45-3-PMA/PMD speed ability register bit definitions"" PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Incorrect change markings" Insert the heading for 45.2.1.6 SuggestedRemedy Cl 45 P 39 L 9 "Change to: SC 45.2.1.6 # 2685 Title: ""Table 45-3-PMA/PMD registers"" Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies Show ""1.12, 1.13 Reserved"" is strike-out text." Comment Type Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status W Pre-existing entries all say '... PMA/PMD type'. As the table title is PMA/PMD control 2 PROPOSED ACCEPT. register bit definitions and the entries are grouped as 'PMA/PMD type selection' this seems superfluous, but one should be consistent. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.1.4 P 37 L 52 # 2498 SuggestedRemedy Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent To remove the clutter, strike out 'PMA/PMD type selection' from all the pre-existing entries. Comment Status D Comment Type Proposed Response Response Status W "Error in change text for existing text ""except 2BASE-TL, 10PASS-TS, """ "PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy In Table 45-7 under ""Description" column remove all text ""type"" For example change: "Change to ""except 2BASE-TL, and 10PASS-TS,"" ""0 1 1 1 1 = 10BASE-T PMA/PMD type"" 1st comma s/b underlined to read: ""and"" s/b in strike-out." ""0 1 1 1 1 = 10BASE-T PMA/PMD""" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 P 43 L 10 # 2580 Kramer, Glen Teknovus. Inc. Comment Status D Comment Type T In table 45-82, register names do not correspond to actual names SuggestedRemedy "replace ""FEC corrected codewords"" with ""corrected FEC codewords"" replace ""FEC uncorrected codewords"" with ""uncorrected FEC codewords"" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P 43 L 8 # 2686 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies Comment Type Comment Status D Table too narrow for the new contents SuggestedRemedy Resize column widths to contents Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1 P 48 1 27 # 2437 Anslow. Pete Nortel Networks

Comment Type Ε Comment Status D

"This is subclause 45.2.3.33 in the clean version.

In accordance with comment # 1575 this clause title should not include ""Clause 76"""

SuggestedRemedy

"Change clause title to ""10GBASE-PR and 10/1GBASE-PRX BER Monitor Control register (Register 3.80)"""

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.1 P 48

L 35

# 2438

Anslow. Pete

Nortel Networks

Comment Status D Comment Type

This is subclause 45.2.3.33 in the clean version.

The title of Table 45-111 does not match the register name

SuggestedRemedy

"Change table title to ""10GBASE-PR and 10/1GBASE-PRX BER monitor control register bit definitions"""

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.2 P 49

L 16

# 2435

Anslow. Pete Nortel Networks

Comment Type T Comment Status D

This is subclause 45.2.3.34 in the clean version.

In Table 45-112 bit 3.81.1 is a latching bit that indicates that the receiver

detected a BER greater than the configurable threshold. Why is it shown as Non Roll-over? It is not a counter.

SuggestedRemedy

change bit 3.81.1 to RO

Proposed Response

Response Status W

"PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove ""NR"", add ""LH"" add to footnote "", LH = Latching high"""

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.2.1 P 49

L 47

# 2455

Hajduczenia, Marek

ZTE Corporation

Comment Status D Comment Type E

"The text reads ""(...) a BER greater than the configurable threshold. When read as a zero, bit 3.81.0 indicates that the receiver is detecting a BER lower than the configurable threshold, (...)"". In 45.2.3.2.2 we use additionally terms line (high BER state) and (low BER state) accordingly."

SuggestedRemedy

"Change the indicated text to read ""(...) a BER greater than the configurable threshold (high BER state). When read as a zero, bit 3.81.0 indicates that the receiver is detecting a BER lower than the configurable threshold (low BER state). (...)"

Proposed Response

Response Status W

"PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Insert "" (high BER state)"" and ""(low BER state)"" as suggested."

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.29 P 44 L 26 # 2680 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.29.1 P 44 L 40 # 2688 Dawe. Piers Avago Technologies Dawe. Piers Avago Technologies Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Status D Ε Comment Type E Writes ignored MDIO bit descriptions are ordered down the tables, even if that means counting backwards SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy writes ignored Swap 45.2.3.29.1 and 45.2.3.29.2 Also the third column should be wider and second narrower with the table full width. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.29.1 P 44 L 45 # 2433 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.29 P 44 L 28 # 2702 Anslow, Pete Nortel Networks Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D "This says ""The bit always reads as one."" which is not true for equipment that does not A read of 1 in this bit indicates whether ... support the 10/1GBASE-PRX or 10GBASE-PR PCS" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "change to ""The bit always reads as one for 10/1GBASE-PRX or 10GBASE-PR.""" A read of 1 in this bit indicates that ... Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. P 44 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.29 / 34 # 2432 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.30 P 45 / 31 # 2434 Anslow. Pete Nortel Networks Anslow. Pete Nortel Networks Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D "In Table 45-107 bit 3.74.0 says ""This bit always reads as one, to indicate that the "In Table 45-108 bit 3.75.0 says ""Always reads as 1 since 10 Gb/s FEC is always 10/1GBASE-PRX or 10GBASE-PR PCS supports 10 Gb/s FEC"". This is only true for enabled"". This is only true for equipment implementing the 10/1GBASE-PRX or 10GBASEequipment implementing the 10/1GBASE-PRX or 10GBASE-PR PCS" PR PCS" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "change to ""This bit indicates that the PCS supports the 10/1GBASE-PRX or 10GBASE-PR "change to ""Always reads as 1 for 10/1GBASE-PRX or 10GBASE-PR since 10 Gb/s FEC is 10 Gb/s FEC (mandatory for 10/1GBASE-PRX or 10GBASE-PR)""" always enabled"" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.30.1 P 45 L 49 # 2563 C/ 45 SC 485 P 44 L 50 # 2500 Kramer, Glen Teknovus. Inc. Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Status D Ε Comment Type "our convention is to use ""66-bit"" instead of ""66B""" "The statement ""When read as a one, this bit indicates that the 10 Gb/s FEC decoder is able to indicate decoding errors to the" is misleading as not all 10 Gb/s FEC decoders may SuggestedRemedy use this bit." "replace ""66B"" with ""66-bit"" on lines 49 and 53." SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W "Change to read ""When ... FEC decoder component of the 10GBASE-PR or 10/1GBASE-PROPOSED ACCEPT. PRX PCS is ... errors to the"" (phrasing from 45.2.3.30.1)" Cl 45 P 46 L 47 SC 45.2.3.31 # 2681 Proposed Response Response Status W Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Cl 56 SC 56.1 P 34 L 19 # 202418 Multi-Word DIAB. WAEL **BROADCOM** SuggestedRemedy Comment Status A Comment Type ER E PROCESSEDI, . See#2274 Multi-word Two different styles are used to reference the 1Gb/s and 10G EPON systems. Please make Proposed Response Response Status W consistant PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change 10G-EPON to 10Gb/s EPON C/ 45 SC 45.2.31 P 46 L 40 # 2501 Response Response Status W Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Draft is revised and consistent notation is used per comment #971 from March 2008 (see "Table 45-109 should indicate ""NR"" for this counter 3av D2 1\_markup.pdf, Clause 1.5). Table 45-110 should indicate ""NR"" for this counter" C/ 56 P 61 SC 56.1.2 # 2503 L 12 SuggestedRemedy Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent "For Tables 45-109 & 45-110: Change last column to read: ""RO, MW, NR"" Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Add "", NR = Non Roll-over"" to footnote." Proposed Response Response Status W ""a) PON with a nominal bit rate of 1000 Mb/s in both downstream and upstream directions (1G-EPON), supports a nominal bit rate of 1000 Mb/s, shared amongst the population of PROPOSED ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy "Change to read: "a) PON with a nominal bit rate of 1000 Mb/s in both downstream and upstream directions (1G-EPON), shared amongst the population of ..."""

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change reference to c76

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 56 SC 56.1.2 P 61 L 21 # 2430 Anslow. Pete Nortel Networks Comment Status D Comment Type Markup issues "In section b) (which is shown black despite being new text in this version) contains ""10BASE-PR"" twice. This should be ""10GBASE-PR""" SuggestedRemedy "Change ""10BASE-PR"" to ""10GBASE-PR"" in two places" Proposed Response Response Status W "PROPOSED ACCEPT. Change ""10BASE-PR"" to ""10GBASE-PR"" in two places. For markup issues see comment 2735." SC 56.1.2.1 C/ 56 P 61 L 34 # 2440 Anslow, Pete Nortel Networks Comment Type Ε Comment Status D "comment # 1641 was ""ACCEPT"" but has not been implemented" SuggestedRemedy "Remove the word ""machines"" in strikeout font and show the word ""diagrams"" in normal font." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 56 SC 56.1.2.2 P 61 / 51 # 2428 Anslow. Pete Nortel Networks Comment Type Ε Comment Status D "This says ""Extensions to the Clause 35 RS for P2MP topologies are described in Clause 65, while the RS for P2MP topologies is described in Clause 76."" which does not make sense" SuggestedRemedy "change to ""Extensions to the Clause 35 RS for P2MP topologies are described in Clause 65, while the RS for 10G-EPON P2MP topologies is described in Clause 76."""

Response Status W

C/ 56 SC 56.1.2.2 P 62 L 5 # 2535 Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type Ε Comment Status D "Ambiguous ""It"" in ""It achieves this by ...""" SuggestedRemedy "Change to: ""The MPCP achieves this by ..."" Combine paragraphs starting on line 1 through line 13 into one paragraph as in draft ay." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. P 62 CI 56 SC 56.1.3 L 19 # 2690 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies Comment Type Comment Status D re 'Additionally, EFM introduces a family of Physical Layer signaling systems which are derived from 10GBASE-R, but which include new 10GBASE-PR RS, PCS and PMA'; don't call anything 'new' because a couple of amendments later it won't be new and you make maintenance trouble. SuggestedRemedy 'Additionally, EFM introduces a family of Physical Laver signaling systems which are derived from 10GBASE-R, but which include their own(?) 10GBASE-PR RS, PCS and PMA' Proposed Response Response Status W "PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to: ""Additionally, EFM introduces a family of Physical Layer signaling systems which are derived from 10GBASE-R, but which include a 10GBASE-PR RS, PCS and PMA adapted for 10G-EPON."" Cl 56 P 62 SC 56.1.3 L 20 # 2536 Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type Comment Status D "incorrect reference: ""new 10GBASE-PR RS, PCS and PMA, along with a mandatory FEC capability, as defined in Clause 77."""

Response Status W

C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 P **62** L 38 # 2442 C/ 56 SC 56.2 P 67 L 37 # 2538 Anslow. Pete Nortel Networks Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Ε "comment # 1643 was ""ACCEPT"" but has not been implemented Remove helpful headers 56.2 & 56.3 in current combination e) the upstream code is wrong" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy per comment. "in combination e) change ""10/1GBASE-PRX-U1"" to ""10/1GBASE-PRX-U2""" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 66 SC 66.1 P 69 L 27 # 2539 Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P 64 L 22 # 2478 Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent ZTE Corporation Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Remove helpful headers 66.1, 66.2 and 66.5 (including Editing instruction before 66.5 as "Something went wrong with the 10/1GBASE-PRX-U3 description. It says now ""1000 Mb/s renumbering instructions are clear in preceding instruction) (rx)10 Gb/s"" while it should say ""1000 Mb/s(tx) SuggestedRemedy 10 Gb/s(rx)""" per comment. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W "Change ""1000 Mb/s (rx)10 Gb/s"" to read ""1000 Mb/s(tx) PROPOSED ACCEPT. 10 Gb/s(rx)"" in column ""Rate"" for 10/1GBASE-PRX-U3 PMD description." Cl 66 SC 66.5.3 P 71 / 11 # 2540 Proposed Response Response Status W Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type T Comment Status D C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 P 64 L 33 # 2537 "Editing instruction Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent ""Insert in Subclause 66.5.3 ""Major capabilities/options"" add item to end of PICS (table heading shown for clarity):"" is confusing. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D No subclause text to insert is shown, "link references to footnote ""c"" in bottom 4 rows to the footnote" ""add"" is invalid editing instruction (2 places)" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy if possible. "Change to: ""Insert in Subclause 66.5.3"" {Editing instruction} Proposed Response Response Status W 66.5.3 Major capabilities/options {Subclause header} PROPOSED ACCEPT. If reasonably feasible. ""Insert item to end of PICS (table heading shown for clarity):"" {Editing instruction} ""Change ""P2P"" to Subclause 66.5.4.4 title as follows:"" {Editing instruction}" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 67 SC 67 P 73 L 26 # 2541 Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Status D Comment Type "Per note ""Replace is used to make changes in figures or equations by removing the existing figure or equation and replacing it with a new one.""" SuggestedRemedy "Use keyword ""Change"" and use mark-up text." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. [Changed page from 67.6.3 to 73] Cl 75 SC 75.10.6 P 113 L 24 # 2510 Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type Comment Status D "Missing ""-"" in PMD name ""10/1GBASEPRX-U2""" SuggestedRemedy "Change to 10/1GBASE-PRX-U2""" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 75 SC 75.10.6 P 113 L 24 # 2567 Kramer, Glen Teknovus, Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D "Missing comma after ""10GBASE-PR-U1""" SuggestedRemedy add comma Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 75 SC 75.11.3 P 114 L 54 # 2511 Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Status D Comment Type Ε "Erroneous change from within to with ""The only requirements are that the resulting channel insertion loss is with the limits specified in Table 75-1 ...""" SuggestedRemedy "Change back to within: ""The only ... loss is within the limits ...""" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 75 SC 75.4.1 P 90 L 22 # 2506 Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type Comment Status D "The plural possessive pronoun ""Its"" ""Its RIN15OMA should ..." There is another one of these on pg 91 ln 44. And again on pg 94 ln 29 and also .. and on line 24 is way confusing "Note that 10GBASE-PR-D1 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D1. 10GBASE-PR-D2 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D2 and also 10GBASE-PR-D3 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D3 share the same transmit parameters""" SuggestedRemedy "Suggest changing to ""The RIN15OMA of these PMDs should ..."" (watch out for the subscript) On pg 91 In 44 change to: ""Its (unstressed) ..."" to ""These PMDs (unstressed) ..."" On pg 94 ln 29 change to: ""The RIN150MA of these PMDs ..."" Suggest: ""Note that the following PMD pairs share the same transmit parameters; 10GBASE-PR-D1 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D1. 10GBASE-PR-D2 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D2. and 10GBASE-PR-D3 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D3."" (could also skip pointing out the obvious." Proposed Response Response Status W "PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On pg 91 In 44 change to: ""Its (unstressed) ..."" to ""Their (unstressed) ..."" On pg 94 ln 29 change to: ""Their RIN15OMA ..."" On pg 90 In 22 change to: ""Their RIN15OMA ...""

On pg 90 ln 23, change the last sentence to read: ""Note that the following PMD pairs share the same transmit parameters; 10GBASE-PR-D1 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D1, 10GBASE-PR-D2 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D2. and 10GBASE-PR-D3 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D3.""

Proposed Response

"PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Status W

dispersion effects due to signal propagation in SMF used in PON."""

[page and line numbers were fixed, was against D2.1 clean version, p 84, ln 28] Change to ""TDP measurement tests transmitter impairments caused by chromatic

Cl 75 SC 75.5 P 94 L 14 # 2508 Cl 75 SC 75.7.15 P 112 L 16 # 2768 Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Lin. Ruiian Shanghai Luster Terab Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Type E "And vs or: ""PR and PRX compliant transceiver""" Ton is defined in 60.7.13.1.1, its value is less than 512ns SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Change to ""PR or PRX compliant transceiver""" "modified to ""Ton is defined in 60.7.13.1.1 and its value is less than 512ns"" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. [page and line numbers were fixed, was against D2.1 clean version, p 85, ln 14] Cl 75 SC 75.7 P 106 L 21 # 2487 CI 75 SC 75.7.15 P 112 L 20 # 2777 Hamano, Hiroshi Fujitsu Labs. Ltd. Lin, Rujian Shanghai Luster Terab Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Table 75-12 and text Comment Type E Comment Status D "In Table 75-12, Plus mark ""+"" is not appropriate to indicate ""and"". TCDR is defined in 76.3.2.1, its value less than 400ns. It is confusing where Minus mark ""-"" is used to combine suffixes." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Modified to ""TCDR is defined in 76.3.2.1 and its value is less than 400ns." See Supplement 3av 0811 hamano 1.pdf. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [page and line numbers were fixed, was against D2.1 clean version, p 85, ln 16] See comment #2486 for resolution CI 75 SC 75.7.15 P 112 # 2778 CI 75 P 111 L 21 SC 75.7.10 L 28 # 2767 Lin, Rujian Shanghai Luster Terab Lin, Rujian Shanghai Luster Terab Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D TDP measurement tests for transmitter impairments with chromatic effects for a transmitter Tcode\_group\_align is defined in 36.6.2.4, its value less than 4 ten-bit code-groups for 1 Gb/s PHYs, and is defined as 0 for 10 Gb/s PHYs. to be used with single-mode fiber. This sentense is unlear. SuggestedRemedv SuggestedRemedy "Change to ""Tcode group align is defined in 36.6.2.4 and its value is less than 4 ten-bit code-groups for 1 Gb/s PHYs and 0 for 10 Gb/s PHYs." "Change the sentense to ""TDP measurement tests for transmitter impairments with chromatic dispersion effects of single-mode fiber used by the transmitter."" Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

[page and line numbers were fixed, was against D2.1 clean version, p 85, ln 1718]

Cl 75 SC 75.7.15 P 112 L 23 # 2779 CI 75 SC 75.8.3 P 113 L 3 # 2780 Lin. Ruiian Shanghai Luster Terab Lin. Ruiian Shanghai Luster Terab Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Status D Ε Comment Type Toff is defined in 60.7.13.11.1, its value is less than 512ns ....as defined by applicable local codes and regulation, be followed..... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Modified to ""Toff is defined in 60.7.13.11.1 and its value is less than 512ns" "Modified to "" ....as defined by applicable local codes and regulation should be followed....." Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED REJECT. [page and line numbers were fixed, was against D2.1 clean version, p 85, In 19] [page and line numbers were fixed, was against D2.1 clean version, p 85, ln 48] Original sentence reads OK.. CI 75 SC 75.8.1 P 106 L 35 # 2509 Cl 75 SC 75.8.4 P 113 L 8 # 2781 Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent Lin, Rujian Shanghai Luster Terab Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Ε "Missing conjunctions: ""... downstream signals in WDM manner."" ....operating environment specifications are as defined in 52.11, as defined in 52.11.1 for also at line 42: electromagnetic emission..... ""... signals in TDMA manner.""" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Modified to""....operating environment specifications are as defined in 52.11.1 for "Change to: electromagnetic emission.....' ""... downstream signals in a WDM manner."" Proposed Response Response Status W also at line 42: "PROPOSED REJECT. ""... signals in a TDMA manner.""" [page and line numbers were fixed, was against D2.1 clean version, p 86, ln 3] Proposed Response Response Status W "The 10GBASE-PR and 10/1GBASE-PRX operating environment specifications are as PROPOSED ACCEPT. defined in 52.11, as defined in 52.11.1 for electromagnetic emission, and as defined in 52.11.2 for temperature, humidity, and handling." reads perfectly fine." CI 75 SC 75.8.2 P 106 L 47 # 2564 CI 75 SC 75.9.1 P 107 19 # 2565 Kramer, Glen Teknovus, Inc. Kramer, Glen Teknovus. Inc. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Table 75-12 and text Comment Type Ε Comment Status D rephrase the note for better readability. Missing comma SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Replace ""NOTE-The damage threshold values in Table 75-7 are considerably higher than "Add comma after ""1310""" those in Table 75-6 and the PMD should be appropriately labeled."" Proposed Response Response Status W with PROPOSED ACCEPT. ""NOTE-The damage threshold values in Table 75-7 are considerably higher than those in

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Table 75-6; the dual-rate PMD should be appropriately labeled."""

Response Status W

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #2486 for resolution.

Cl 75

Page 15 of 26 13-11-2008 11:20:34 Cl 75 SC 75.9.12 P 111 L 46 # 2566 C/ 75A SC 75A P 129 L 18 # 2512 Kramer, Glen Teknovus. Inc. Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type "Missing comma after ""10/1GBASE-PRX-U2""" "Duplicate word. ""... supports a single upstream data rate e.g. only 1 Gb/s or 10 Gb/s data rate, ...""" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Delete second ""data rate"" Proposed Response Response Status W ""... supports a single upstream data rate e.g. only 1 Gb/s or 10 Gb/s, ...""" PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. SC 75.9.12 Cl 75 P 111 L 50 # 2449 Anslow, Pete Nortel Networks C/ 75A SC 75A P 130 # 2446 L 40 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Nortel Networks Anslow. Pete "This is subclause 75.7.12 in the clean version. Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment # 1609 was ""ACCEPT"" but has not been implemented." "The acronym ""TIA"" is used in many places in Annex 75A but it is not (except meaning SuggestedRemedy ""Telecommunications Industry Association"" in the list of abbreviations" "Change ""and"" to ""or"" to give ""defined in Table 75-6, Table 75-7, or Table 75-11 as SuggestedRemedy appropriate,""" Add TIA meaning Trans-Impedance Amplifier to the list of abbreviations Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED REJECT. TIA is used exclusively in Annex 75A and defined on page 129 for local use only. It is also CI 75 SC 75.9.4 P 108 L 26 # 2426 explicitly expanded in each figure in this annex that makes use of it (see 75A-1, 75A-2). As Nortel Networks Anslow, Pete such, there is little doubt what it is and where it is defined. Comment Status D Comment Type C/ 75A SC 75A P 130 L 40 # 2782 "This is subclause 75.7.4 in the clean version. Shanghai Luster Terab Lin, Rujian Comment # 1603 was ""ACCEPT"" but has not been implemented." Comment Type Ε Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy ...one TIA units are... "change to ""The center wavelength and spectral width (RMS) shall meet the specifications when measured according to TIA-455-127-A under modulated conditions ..."" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W "Modified to""...one TIA unit are...""" PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W "PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [changed fm clause ""Annex"" to 75A] [added subclause number] [page and line numbers were fixed, was against D2.1 clean version, p 99, In 41]

Change to "". one TIA unit is . ""

C/ 75A SC 75A P 131 L 43 # 2513 C/ 75C SC 75C P 139 L 29 # 2758 Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Kozaki. Seiii Mitsubishi Flectric Comment Status D Comment Status D Table 75C-1 Comment Type Comment Type E "Dropped conjunction In Table 75C-1, the values are wrong in the cells of Di and Ri for TP1.TP2.TP3 and TP4. ""... to the MAC Client and is not available to PMD sublayer.""" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Refer to 3av 0809 kozaki 2.pdf. "add ""the"" before PMD Proposed Response Response Status W ""... to the MAC Client and is not available to the PMD sublayer.""" PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W See comment #2472 PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 75C SC 75C P 140 L 9 # 2785 C/ 75B SC 75B.1.2 P 137 L 47 # 2568 Lin, Rujian Shanghai Luster Terab Kramer, Glen Teknovus. Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Text of line 9-23 and Figure 75C-1 are located improperly. "Few problems with this phrase: ""resulting in a dual-rate, burst mode transmission""" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy For better reading, Move text of line 9-23 and Figure 75C-1 downward to under Table 75C-"1) remove comma after dual-rate 3 and above Table 75C-4. 2) insert hyphen in ""burst mode"" Proposed Response Response Status W 3) replace ""transmission"" with ""reception""" "PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W [changed fm clause ""Annex"" to 75C] PROPOSED ACCEPT. [added subclause number] [page and line numbers were fixed, was against D2.1 clean version, p 108, In 923] P 142 Will attempt to implement the suggested changes, subject to Frame cooperation." C/ 75C SC L 6 # 2488 Fujitsu Labs. Ltd. Hamano, Hiroshi C/ 75C SC 75C P 142 L 6 # 2447 Comment Type Comment Status D Ε Figure 75C-1 Anslow, Pete Nortel Networks Text in Figure 75C-1 is not properly changed. Comment Type Comment Status D Figure 75C-1 SuggestedRemedy "The slope label in Figure 75C-1 is ""Slope = -20 dB/d"". To be consistent with Figure 60-5 this should be ""Slope = -20 dB/dec"" which is much easier to understand" "It should be ""Slope = -20 dB/dec"". See the original Figure 60-5, and also my comment #1798 and Dr. Anslow's #1600 against SugaestedRemedy D2.0." "Change the slope label in Figure 75C-1 from ""Slope = -20 dB/d"" to ""Slope = -20 dB/dec""" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment #2488.

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 76 SC 76 P 145 L 9 # 2514 CI 76 SC 76.1.3 P 153 L 15 # 2570 Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Kramer, Glen Teknovus. Inc. Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Ε "random "":""" PLS\_DATA.request has lost its dot SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy remove per above Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Belong with text of 1st note. Cl 76 SC 76.1.3.2 P 153 L 45 # 2571 P 150 L 5 CI 76 SC 76.1.2 # 2515 Kramer, Glen Teknovus, Inc. Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D "Missing whitespace after "")""" "An ""an"" s/b an ""a"": ""... using an 10/1G-EPON ...""" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy per above "Change to: ""... using a 10/1G-EPON ...""" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 76 SC 76.1.6.1.6 P 103 L 30 # 202256 SC 76.1.2.3 Cl 76 P 150 L 46 # 2569 Ganga, Ilango Intel Kramer, Glen Teknovus. Inc. Comment Type ER Comment Status A . Else Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Update state diagram with conventions/notations defined in 1.2 (also see 21.5). "In subclause title ""dual rate"" should be hyphenated" Replace else statement, pseudo code, etc., with appropriate logic. SuggestedRemedy per above Applies to Fig 76-5, Fig 76-10, Fig 76-11, Fig 76-19 Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. As per comment Response Response Status W Cl 76 SC 76.1.3 P 153 L 15 # 2516 Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent At November 2008, the state diagram Fig 76-10, Fig 76-11 and Fig 76-19 were modified to Comment Status D Comment Type address the comment. Figure 76-5 was removed from the draft at September 2008 meeting in Seoul. "What doe ""Correspondingly, only one PLS\_DATA.PLS\_DATA request primitive is active at any time."" correspond to?" SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

"Change to ""Only one PLS\_DATA.PLS\_DATA request primitive is active at any time."""

Response Status W

C/ 76 SC 76.1.6.1.6 Page 18 of 26 13-11-2008 11:20:34

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

"Change to ""mode in the transmit direction"""

Response Status W

# 202376

# 2572

. FEC Formula

Cl 76 SC 76.1.6.2.3.2 P 160 L 42 # 2517 CI 76 SC 76.2.2.4.1 P 113 L 17 Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Law. David 3Com Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Status A Ε Comment Type ER Lost all reference to Table 76-4. Please follow subclause 17.3 'Presentation of equations' found in the IEEE-SA Style Manual [http://standards.ieee.org/quides/style/section6.html#915]. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Add reference after phrase ""A number of LLIDs have been reserved (see Table 76-4) ...""" Need to define the following by adding to the 'where:' list: Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. G(x) and x Similarly, the equations on lines 21, 27 and 29 should add a 'where:' list and need to define Cl 76 P 119 L 52 SC 76.2.1.1 # 2786 all variables, functions and vectors - for example on line 21 L(x) is used but not defined. Lin, Rujian Shanghai Luster Terab Response Response Status W Comment Type Ε Comment Status D ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ...specification from 10GBASE-PR and 1000BASE-PX PCS...... See comment #2715. SuggestedRemedy Cl 76 SC 76.2.2.4.2 P 173 / 37 "Modified to ""..specification from 10GBASE-PR PCS and 1000BASE-PX PCS......" Kramer, Glen Teknovus. Inc. Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type Ε Comment Status D PROPOSED ACCEPT. "Inconsistent number representation line 37: ""27 of these 66-bit blocks"" Cl 76 SC 76.2.1.1 P 160 L 39 # 2651 line 40: ""prepends 29 ""0"" padding bits"" Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation line 40: ""twenty-seven 65-bit blocks"" Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Extra large space between sections ... Either write down all numbers or use digits for all. Also make consistent with text in 76A.4 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Clear it if such spaces exist in the regular draft file. "PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W Change sentence from: PROPOSED REJECT. ""The FEC encoder then prepends 29 ""0"" padding bits to the 27 twenty-seven 65-bit blocks It doesn't. to form the 223-byte payload portion of an FEC codeword."" P 163 CI 76 SC 76.2.2 L 46 # 2519 ""The FEC encoder then prepends 29 padding bits (binary 0) to the 27 blocks (65-bits each) to form the 223-byte payload portion of an FEC codeword."" Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Status D Comment Type E "Thos slippery conjunctions: ""mode in transmit direction"""

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 76

Page 19 of 26 13-11-2008 11:20:34

SC 76.2.2.4.2

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

Cl 76 SC 76.2.2.5 P 178 L 11 # 2521 C/ 76A SC 76A P 213 L 54 # 2524 Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Ε ER EOB not defined Need URL SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Define in line 38, to read: ID URL, insert per Ed. Note and remove Ed Note. ""The ONU burst transmission ends with an END\_BURST\_DELIMITER (EOB) pattern of Proposed Response Response Status W length ...""" "PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W Use ""http://www.ieee802.org/3/av/online resources/""" PROPOSED ACCEPT. # 2468 CI 77 SC 77.1.2 P 222 L 49 CI 76 SC 76.2.3.1.1 P 188 L 6 # 2574 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Teknovus, Inc. Kramer, Glen Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D There are still references to Figure 77-2a and Figure 77-2b, even though they became 77-2 and 77-3 as in D2.1. Update references. "Missing hyphen in ""66 bit"" The same for page 223, line 13 and line 24. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy add hyphen As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. # 2747 Cl 76 SC 76.2.3.4 P 197 L 28 CI 77 P 229 SC 77.1.3 L 1 # 2464 Mandin, Jeff PMC Sierra Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Type ER Comment Status D Figure 77-4 "Figure 77-4 is affected. Box for ""MAC:MA\_DATA.indication(...)"" is cut on the left side." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Change: Fix it ""This BER monitor records errors that exist prior to the FEC function"" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. to ""The BER Monitor function operates on the uncorrected incoming data stream"""

P 229 CI 77 SC 77.1.3 L 39 # 2576 CI 77 SC 77.2.2.3 P 239 L 37 # 2656 Kramer, Glen Teknovus. Inc. Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Status D Figure 77-4 Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type T framel en "In figure 77-4, box ""MAC:MA\_DATA.indication..."" is missing its left side" "A quick search through the draft indicates that ""frameLen"" variable is not used any more after the last change in the FEC Overhead function definition." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy per above "Remove ""frameLen"" variable and associated definition." Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 77 SC 77.2.2.3 P 239 L 19 # 2597 CI 77 SC 77.2.2.4 P 242 L 40 # 2579 Kramer, Glen Teknovus, Inc. Kramer, Glen Teknovus, Inc. Comment Type Т Comment Status D byteTime Comment Type Comment Status D "We generally don't use term ""byte"" in the draft, rather the term ""octet"" should be used. "All functions in this section are shown with ""()"" at the end, except function ""select""" variable ""byteTime"" more accurately would be called ""fecOffset"", as this is what it in fact SuggestedRemedy keeps track of." "Add ""()"" for consistency" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Rename byteTime to fecOffset at these locations: PROPOSED ACCEPT. 1) page 239, line 19 2) page 242, line 35 3) in state diagram 77-14, line 13 CI 77 SC 77.2.2.7 P 250 L 35 # 2458 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation [Note for editors: Two other comments proposed adding byteTime variable to state diagrams 77-13 and 77-14. If these comments are approved, modify the variable name in Comment Type E Comment Status D these two locations as well.1 This comment is against Figure 77-13 and Figure 77-14.

Proposed Response Response Status W

"PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Upon completion of the comment resolution, scrub the draft for occurence of ""byteTime"" and replace all occurences with ""fecOffset""."

CI 77 SC 77.2.2.3 P 239 L 37 # 2599

Kramer, Glen Teknovus, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status D frameLen

Variable frameLen is not used anywhere in the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the defnition.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Proposed Response Response Status W

with commas. The same is on page 252, line 37

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

the spaces inserted.

SugaestedRemedy

On page 250, line 35, in the call MAC:MA\_DATA.request, parameters are not separated

Add spaces between parameters in the primitives indicated in the comment. All others have

# 2467

CI 77 SC 77.2.2.7 P 252 L 8 # 2578

Kramer, Glen Teknovus, Inc.

Comment Type E Comment Status D

In state diagram 77-14, transition from INIT to TRANSMIT\_READY uses two different font

SuggestedRemedy

Make font the same size.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 77 SC 77.3.3 P257 L1

Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Pages 257 - 259 are affected. Figures 77-16, 77-17 and 77-18 are affected. Below the boxes for Discovery Processing (ONU and OLT instances), there is very little space between MCI:MA\_DATA.request(...) and opcode\_rx specific activation block. It seems (e.g. on Figure 77-18) that they are together or an extension of each other.

SuggestedRemedy

Separate the said primitive parameters, shifting right block more to the right and the left one - to the left.

Proposed Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 77 SC 77.3.3.2 P 260 L 52 # 2650

Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status D opcode\_tx

"A quick search through the draft indicates that ""opcode\_tx"" variable is not used any more in any state diagrams in 77.3.3.6 and thus can be dropped."

SuggestedRemedy

"Remove ""opcode tx"" variable and associated definition."

Proposed Response Response Status W

"PROPOSED ACCEPT.

[CommentType was ""!"" changed to ""T""]"

CI 77 SC 77.3.3.2 P 260 L 52 # 2590

Kramer, Glen Teknovus, Inc.

Comment Type T Comment Status D opcode\_tx

opcode\_tx is not used in Discovery processing (77.3.3) opcode\_tx is not used in Report processing (77.3.4)

SuggestedRemedy

1) remove opcode\_tx definition from 77.3.3.2

2) remove opcode\_tx definition from 77.3.4.2

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 77 SC 77.3.3.5 P 264 L 29 # 2526

Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status D

"Slipperv ""is"":

""The service primitive used by the MAC Control client ...""

Same comment and resolution at:

Page 264 line 46,

Page 265 line 9.

Page 265 line 30,

Page 266 line 16.

Also look in c77.3.4.5 for similar constructs

Can make references to Table 31A-1 live as this is in the Framemaker book."

SuggestedRemedy

"change to ""The service primitive is ...""

Use live references to Table 31A-1 in same general areas."

Proposed Response Response Status W

"PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "The service primitive used . "" to "This service primitive is used ."" on (page / line): 264/29, 265/9, 266/16.

line): 204/29, 205/9, 200/16.

Change ""The service primitive issued ..."" to ""This service primitive is issued ..."" on

(page/line): 265/31, 279/27, 287/50

Make references to Table 31A-1 live."

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

CI 77 SC 77.3.3.5 P 265 L 45 # 2528 CI 77 SC 77.3.4.2 P 277 L 25 # 2658 Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Type T opcode tx "A quick search through the draft indicates that ""opcode\_tx"" variable is not used any more pending\_grants: This parameters holds the contents of the is singular in any state diagrams in 77.3.4.6 and thus can be dropped." Pg 266 In 28 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Remove ""opcode\_tx"" variable and associated definition." "Change to: ""This parameter holds ...""" Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 77 SC 77.3.5.2 P 284 *L* 1 # 2591 SC 77.3.3.6 P 271 CI 77 L 20 # 2473 Kramer, Glen Teknovus, Inc. Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D MACI REGISTER REQ opcode rx is used in Discovery processing state diagrams, but its definition is missing in "In Figure 77-20, primitive ""MACI(REGISTER REQ, status, flags, pending grants, RTT, 77.3.5.2. discoveryInformation, laserOnTime, laserOffTime)"" is used incorrectly (order of SuggestedRemedy parameters). Change to ""MACI(REGISTER\_REQ, status, flags, pending\_grants, RTT, Add definition as below: laserOnTime, laserOffTime, discoveryInformation)"" to align with the definition and the usage prescribed in Figure 77-16." opcode rx SuggestedRemedy This variable is defined in 77.2.2.3. As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 77 SC 77.3.5.4 P 286 L 44 # 2659 CI 77 SC 77.3.3.6 P 273 # 2457 L 1 Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status D antStTmr Comment Type Comment Status D "A quick search through the draft indicates that ""gntStTmr"" timer is not used any more in the draft and thus can be dropped." This comment is against Figure 77-22. It seems that the font size is not uniform for all boxes in this figure. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Remove ""gntStTmr"" timer and associated definition" Align the size of the text in all boxes to the same value (8 points?) Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 77 SC 77.3.5.4 P 286 L 44 # 2588 CI 77 SC 77.3.6.1 P 297 L 41 # 2530 Kramer, Glen Teknovus. Inc. Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Status D antStTmr Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Type "It doesn't look that ""gntStTmr"" times is used anywhere in state diagrams." "Missing a ""The"" ""ONU calculates the synchronization time effective grant length by ..."" SuggestedRemedy Similar issue on pg 305 ln 15: verify that timer is not used and delet its definition from 77.3.5.4 ""ONU calculates the effective grant length by subtracting the ...""" Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. "Add the ""The"" ""The ONU calculates ...""" CI 77 SC 77.3.6.1 P 297 L 27 # 2577 Proposed Response Response Status W Kramer, Glen Teknovus, Inc. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D CI 77 SC 77.3.6.1 P 297 L 49 # 2531 "Sentences are difficult to read: Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent line 27: ""Start time of the grant, this is an 32-bit unsigned field."" Comment Status D Comment Type line 31: ""Length of the signaled grant, this is an 16 16-bit unsigned field.""" "Should be an ""a"": SuggestedRemedy ""This is an 16-bit flag register"" (this is also seen on pg 302 ln 25) Also pg 298 ln 5 ""except when the MPCPDU is a discovery GATE"" - capitalization of "rephrase as: GATE here seems inconsistent with elsewhere in this section. line 27: ""This 32-bit unsigned field represents the start time of the grant."" Also pg 298 ln 6 ""discovery flag"" - Discovery is not capitalized." line 31: ""This 16-bit unsigned field represents the length of the grant.""" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W "Change to: PROPOSED ACCEPT. ""This is a 16-bit flag register"" ""MPCPDU is a discovery gate"" ""Discovery flag"" as elsewhere in this section." CI 77 SC 77.3.6.1 P 297 # 2465 L 37 Hajduczenia, Marek **ZTE** Corporation Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Comment Type ER Comment Status D The list of the individual fields ends with element h) and should end with element g). Sync CI 77 SC 77.3.6.1 P 298 12 # 2474 Time should be at element f) Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation SuggestedRemedy Comment Status D Comment Type T Make sure plain text version is OK. In the future, pay closer attention to what Frame is doing during generation of mark up files "The text still says ""and varies in length from 13 - 39 accordingly." even though the size of the Pad was corrected to ""15 - 39""." Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy PROPOSED ACCEPT. "Change ""and varies in length from 13 - 39 accordingly."" to ""and varies in length from 15 -39 accordingly.""

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Response Status W

CI 77 SC 77.3.6.2 P 300 L7 # 2532 C/ 99 SC Ρi L 54 # 2733 Remein. Duane Alcatel-Lucent Lynskey, Eric Teknovus Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type "Improper space The line numbers on the front matter have not been raised, as requested in comment 2172 ""the length of gueue# n at time of REPORT"" against D2.0. Also In 10 ""representing transmission request""" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Raise line numbers in front matter. "Change to: Proposed Response Response Status W ""the length of gueue #n at time of REPORT"" PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ""representing the transmission request"" It appears that there are line number in the front matter for both the plain and the marked-up Proposed Response Response Status W versions. PROPOSED ACCEPT. SC P iii Cl 99 L 23 # 2732 CI 77 SC 77.3.6.5 P 306 L 47 # 2533 Lynskey, Eric Teknovus Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type The front matter in D2.0 followed the note on page 3 of D2.0 and all of the front matter was numbered using arabic page numbers. Somehow, this has been reverted back to Roman "Missing name at ""c)"" numerals for D2.1. I searched through the accepted comment database for D2.0 and could ""Echoed assigned port. This field holds ...""" find no such change requested. Please be consistent with the note in the front matter and SuggestedRemedy use arabic numbers or remove the note and use Roman numerals. "Change to ""Assigned port. Echoed assigned port. This field holds ...""" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Revert to page numbering of D2.0. "PROPOSED REJECT. Proposed Response Response Status W See Figure 77-36 - it is ""Echoed assigned port"" and not ""Assigned port"" PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 99 SC Ρi L 32 # 2731 Change to numbers. Teknovus Lynskey, Eric C/ 99 SC 99 P 1 L 32 # 2560 Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Draft Ref Kramer, Glen Teknovus. Inc. Reference to D1.802. Draft Ref Comment Type Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Introduction text referes to D1.802 instead of D2.1 Replace with D2.1. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W "Correct the version of replace with ""This draft""" "PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Proposed Response Response Status W Replace all references in the front matter to a specific draft number with ""this draft""" PROPOSED ACCEPT.

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Will place pi between mu and omega

P 1 P **2** C/ 99 SC 99 L 32 # 2653 C/ 99 SC 99 L 23 # 2687 Haiduczenia. Marek **ZTE** Corporation Dawe. Piers Avago Technologies Comment Type Comment Status D Draft Ref Comment Type Comment Status D ER Inconsistent draft number. Title states it is D2.1 and in frontmatter, we still have D1.802. Forward Error Correction SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Change ""Draft D1.802 is prepared"" to ""This draft is prepared"" or ""Draft D2.1 is forward error correction prepared"". In the latter case, make sure You use external draft version reference file, which Proposed Response Response Status W we use for the file template" PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cl 99 SC 99 P 2 **L8** # 2666 See resolution to comment #2731 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies C/ 99 SC 99 P 11 L 1 # 2667 Comment Type E Comment Status D Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies 'As such, the 10G-EPON extends the network architecture of P802.3ah 1G-EPON' I do not know what 'As such' means here. Has the network architecture really been Comment Type E Comment Status D extended? As 802.3ah was approved, should the P be dropped? But as this document is Thank you for the contents list written as an amendment to P802.3ay/D2.2, there is no separate 802.3ah anyway. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please change 'Table of Contents' to 'Contents'. Also font size is larger than other titles. 10G-EPON uses the network architecture of IEEE Std 802.3's 1G-EPON Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 99 SC 99 P 15 L 43 # 2668 CI 99 SC TOC PxiL # 2547 Dawe, Piers Avago Technologies Remein, Duane Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Thanks for updating this table Errors in Table of Contents SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please put pi in alphabetical order, between mu and omega (omega is the last letter, the o Update TOC last thing before publication of next draft. before p is omicron). Also, table says 'Upper case Pi' but not 'Upper case Omega': either Proposed Response Response Status W describe all the Greek letters as upper case or lower case as appropriate, or none of them. PROPOSED ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W This must be done last.