Comments received	IEEE	P802.3az D2.1 Energ	y Efficient Ethernet comment	S		November 2009
C/ 01 SC 1.4 P14 Anslow, Peter Nortel Netw Comment Type E Comment Status D	L 28 vorks	# 1	C/ 14 SC 14.8 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E	P 22 Nortel Networ Comment Status D	L 53 ks	# 4
There should be a space between a number and space (ctrl space) to avoid the unit appearing on SuggestedRemedy change "10Mb/s" to "10 Mb/s" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.			items c) and d) from thje b SuggestedRemedy show changes to items c) a			U U
Also make the same change in any other places of CI 14 SC 14.3.1.2.1 P20	where the same er	ror occurs.	Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networ		π 5
Anslow, Peter Nortel Netw Comment Type E Comment Status D Spurious " <default-1 font="">" appears in title SuggestedRemedy remove "<default-1 font="">" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. This does not show up in the Framemaker file use problem in the Frame to PDF translation</default-1></default-1>		PDF so is some	PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 24 SC 24.2.2.5 Anslow, Peter	the unit appearing on a d	ifferent line fror	5
Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5.12 P 24 Anslow, Peter Nortel Netw Comment Type E Comment Status D TS2 is an added row so the subclause number ar Also applies to LS5 in 14.10.7.4.1 SuggestedRemedy Show "14.3.1.2.1" and "C" in underline font Show "LS5 row in underline font Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Also modify the editing instruction by changing th not require underlining.	nd Req should also		The base standard uses "4 SuggestedRemedy In Table 24-2 Change "4b Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.		95	

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 Anslow, Peter	P115 Nortel Networks	L 21	# 7	<i>Cl</i> 74 <i>SC</i> 74.4.1 Anslow, Peter	P 215 Nortel Networks	L 46	# 10
Comment Type E	Comment Status X 802.3az changes we have a			Comment Type E	Comment Status D "diagra" should be "diagram"		
	Reserved ve:	IOW.		SuggestedRemedy Change "diagra" to "o			
	w the row for 3.16 through 3.2 Reserved	23 as modifie	d to be:	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W		
	bugh 3.23 as modified to be: Reserved			C/ 78 SC 78.2 Anslow, Peter	P 228 Nortel Networks	L 34	# [11
-	Response Status O			Comment Type E comment 12 against	Comment Status D Draft 2.0 has not been fully impler	mented	
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.1 Anslow, Peter	P116 Nortel Networks	L10	# 8	SuggestedRemedy In Table 78-2 change 3 places	greek letter mu followed by "sec"	' to greek lette	er mu followed by "s" ir
nane is given as "Clock st	Comment Status X for bit 3.0.10 is "Clock stop er coppable". rent is a source of confusion.		ver in 45.2.3.1.3a the	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF CI 79 SC 79	Response Status W T. P239	<i>L</i> 1	# 12
SuggestedRemedy				Anslow, Peter	Nortel Networks	L I	# 12
change the names so that	t they are the same.			Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			The format of the cla	use title for clause 79 is still incorr e should be a "." after the "79"	ect. As point	ted out in comment 14
				SuggestedRemedy			
55 SC 55.1.1	P167	L 33	# 9	change "79 IEEE" to	"79. IEEE"		
nslow, Peter	Nortel Networks			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
<i>Comment Type</i> E "a LPI" should be "an LPI"	Comment Status D						
SuggestedRemedy change "a LPI" to "an LPI'	n						
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	Response Status W PRINCIPLE.						
Make change identified at	location in comment as well	as in other ol	aces in Clause 55				

Make change identified at location in comment as well as in other places in Clause 55

Comments received		IEEE	P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Ef	thernet comm	ents		November 2009
C/ 79 SC 79.3.a Anslow, Peter	P 240 Nortel Network	L 1 s	# 13	<i>Cl</i> 49 Mark, Gus	SC 49.2.6 stlin	P 149 Cisco	L1	# [16
Comment Type E The response to comm numbers are still incor SuggestedRemedy Change from 79.3.a 79.3.0.1 79.3.0.2 79.3.0.3	Comment Status X nent 15 against draft 2.0 has no rrect	ot been impler	nented. The heading	"To a the re scram Suggeste	eve this statemen id block synchror egisters of abler shall be held	Comment Status X t should be deleted: ization in the receiver when t d at logic zero while scramble Response Status O	·	
79.3.0.4 to 79.3.a 79.3.a.1 79.3.a.2 79.3.a.3 79.3.a.4 Proposed Response	Response Status O			this is <i>Suggeste</i>	<i>Type</i> T statement says th s only need if FEC <i>dRemedy</i>	P149 Cisco Comment Status X e the scrambler will be bypas C is enabled, state this condit	ion. I	# <u>17</u>
C/ 36 SC 36-7 Sela, Oren	P 81 Mellanox	L	# 14		y the statement th Response	nat this only applies if FEC is Response Status O	used.	
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ssing exit condition for LPI_K - : I_K to RX_CB (C) when SUDI(Response Status 0			Suggeste	<i>Type</i> E a up the overlap in dRemedy	B.1 P156 Cisco Comment Status X In the text and state machine	L 8	# <u>18</u> 9-16.
C/ 49 SC 49.2.6 Mark, Gustlin Comment Type T "Change 49.2.6 for sci	P148 Cisco Comment Status X rambler reset" is out of date, sh	L 25	# [<u>15</u>]	as ab Proposed	ove. Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Change 49.2.6 for sci Proposed Response								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 18

Page 3 of 65 11/6/2009 11:59:02 AM

Comments receive	ed	IEEE F	9802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Ethernet com	ments		November 2009
C/ 70 SC 70.6.5 Marris, Arthur	5 P 200 Cadence	L18	# 19	C/ 72 SC 72.6.5 Marris, Arthur	P 210 Cadence	L 32	# 22
Comment Type E optional should not line 4.	Comment Status X be underlined as it is in the base	document. Sam	e problem in 70.6.4 on	0	Comment Status X from 'is' and 'optional'		
SuggestedRemedy Remove underlining	g from the word 'optional'.			SuggestedRemedy as above Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Also remove underl	lining from 'is optional and' on line	e 4.					
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 74 SC 74.5.4. Marris, Arthur	.1 P216 Cadence	L 51	# 23
C/ 70 SC 70.6.1 Marris, Arthur	10 P200 Cadence	L 35	# 20	Comment Type E Change	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E 'responds' should n SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ot be underlined			.FEC To . The FEC			
as above				SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			as above Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 71 SC 71.6. 4 Marris, Arthur	P204 Cadence	L 46	# 21	C/ 46 SC 46.1.7	P125	L 20	# 24
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Marris, Arthur	Cadence		
Incorrect underling				Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Remove underlining	g from 'is optional and' on line 46			"LP_IDLE.request sl changing state to Ol	hall remain to be set to DEASSI <" reads awkwardly.	ERT for 1 secon	d following link_status
				SuggestedRemedy			
	g from the word 'optional' on line	7 page 205.		Delete this sentence	and change previous sentence	e to:	
Proposed Response	Response Status O				nall not be set to ASSERT unles second (i.e. link_status = OK, ac		
				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

<i>Cl</i> 74 SC 74.5.5 Marris, Arthur	2.2 P217 Cadence	L19	# 25	C/ 74 SC 74. Marris, Arthur	5.1.4 P 216 Cadence	L 37	# 27
FEC_SIGNAL.reque	Comment Status X what the FEC is supposed to do est(RX_LPI_ACTIVE) request is	not clear.		Comment Type T 74.5.4 should rea 74.5.5 should rea 74.5.6 should rea 74.5.7 should rea	ally be 74.5.1.4 ally be 74.5.1.5 ally be 74.5.1.6		
Please explain how Proposed Response	the FEC layer responds to FEC_ Response Status 0	SIGNAL.reques	:t(RX_LPI_ACTIVE)	SuggestedRemedy Change Insert 74.5.4 thro – To	ugh 74.5.7 as shown below after 7	74.5.3	
C/ 69 SC 69.1.2 Marris, Arthur	P 198 Cadence	L 17	# 26	Insert 74.5.1.4 th	rough 74.5.1.7 as shown below aft	ter 74.5.1.3	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X comment 118 against 2.0.			Change paragrag Proposed Response	oh numbering appropriately Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Change: Optionally support E To:	EEE" implies 40GBASE-KR4 car EEE. EEE for 10 Gb/s rates or lower. <i>Response Status</i> 0	n also support E	EE.	SuggestedRemedy	P4 ZTE Corpora <i>Comment Status</i> X v-2009 was approved, which mear td 802.3av-2009" to "IEEE Std 802	ns that the TM sh	
				other missing "TI Proposed Response	M" marks. Response Status O		
				<i>Cl</i> 14 <i>SC</i> 14. Hajduczenia, Marek	3.1.2.1 <i>P</i> 20 ZTE Corpora	L 1	# 29
					Comment Status D —Voltage template values for Figu arbage. Remove " <default ¬<sup="">1 Font</default>		ied) <default font="" ¬¹="">"</default>
				SuggestedRemedy Per comment			
				Proposed Response PROPOSED AC	Response Status W		

See Comment #2

Comments received		IEEE I	P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Ef	thernet comme	ents		November 2009
C/ 14 SC 14.8 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 23 ZTE Corporation	L1	# 30	<i>Cl</i> 22 Hajduczei	SC 22.2.1.3.3 nia, Marek	P 26 ZTE Corp	L 40 oration	# 33
Comment Type E "Which of the two spe SuggestedRemedy	<i>Comment Status</i> X cifications is implemented, i.e., 10	BASE-T or 1	0BASE-Te (not both)."		51	<i>Comment Status</i> D). The signal" should read is live	d "diagram (see Fig	ure 22-21). The signal"
,	T or 10BASE-Te (not both)." to ". Response Status O	e., either 10B	ASE-T or 10BASE-Te."	Proposed	dRemedy omment Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
C/ 14 SC 14.10.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 24 ZTE Corporation	L13	# 31		ake sure "Marek"			
Wouldn't it make more	Comment Status X this PICS item is to identify the Mu sense to have a separate row / e eading this PICS can identify with Response Status O	entry for 10BA	ASE-T and 10BASE-	Comment "wher asser Suggeste per co	n Clock stop enabl ted"	P27 ZTE Corp Comment Status X e is asserted" - should re Response Status 0		# 34
	P25 ZTE Corporation Comment Status D ged if EEE capability is supported ead "The mapping is changed if E Response Status W			Comment "For E thoug define Suggeste Per co Proposed	EEE capability, the ht all occurences ed in the inital sect	P28 ZTE Corp Comment Status D PHY indicates that it is n of "low power idle" were to ion of this draft? Response Status W	receiving low powe	

Comments received		IEEE F	P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Et	hernet comm	ents		November 2009
Cl 22 SC 22.7a Hajduczenia, Marek	P 30 ZTE Corporation	L 5	# 36	<i>Cl</i> 24 Hajduczer	SC 24.2.2.5 nia, Marek	P 39 ZTE Corporation	L 21	# 39
negative connotation. I (2) Missing space in lir SPACE>>Mb/s operati	Comment Status X ther that a break in the data streat Use "interruption" or something in the 8, page 30 in "specified only for ion" 2–20a is strangely indented - fix in Response Status O	n the lines. or 100< <her< td=""><td></td><td>it exits detec return Suggestee Clarify</td><td>returns to the no s the LPI mode w ts that the LPI de is to the normal s</td><td>Comment Status X rmal state when it detects the tern hen it detects that the LPI asset i assert was activated? In the latte tate when it detects an LPI termin Response Status O</td><td>is no longer a er case, the te</td><td>active or when it ext should read "PCS</td></her<>		it exits detec return Suggestee Clarify	returns to the no s the LPI mode w ts that the LPI de is to the normal s	Comment Status X rmal state when it detects the tern hen it detects that the LPI asset i assert was activated? In the latte tate when it detects an LPI termin Response Status O	is no longer a er case, the te	active or when it ext should read "PCS
parameter" ?? Please The same in line 5, pag	ge 31. o indicate that LP_IDLE.request i			very c LPI cc is maj Pleas signal Suggester Per cc	<i>Type</i> TR n receiving the LF clearly, which is fi pommand, which is pped into it. e clarify what an ls	P 39 ZTE Corporation Comment Status X PI command," in previous clauses ne since it identifies what happen s unclear as to what it carries and LPI command is, how it maps into Response Status O	ns with signal d how signal a	s. Here you start using assertion / deassertion
	ZTE Corporation Comment Status X until such time as the power supp berating region." - what is this "op	bly for the dev		(2) lin Tw" > (3) lin the up <i>Suggester</i> Per co	<i>Type</i> E q before a Refres e 47, same page "transmitted for e 51, same page oper layer < <above< td=""><td>P39 ZTE Corporation Comment Status X sh or Wake state appears" - a sta : "transmitted for default or negoti <<a>> default or negotiated amou : "to notify the upper layer the cha ut/on>> the change of operation r</td><td>iated amount unt of time de ange of opera</td><td>of time denoted by enoted by Tw"</td></above<>	P39 ZTE Corporation Comment Status X sh or Wake state appears" - a sta : "transmitted for default or negoti < <a>> default or negotiated amou : "to notify the upper layer the cha ut/on>> the change of operation r	iated amount unt of time de ange of opera	of time denoted by enoted by Tw"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 41

Page 7 of 65 11/6/2009 11:59:02 AM

Comments received	ł	IEEE F	P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient E	thernet comm	ients		November 2009
C/ 24 SC 24.2.2. Hajduczenia, Marek	5 P39 ZTE Corporation	L 50	# 42	<i>Cl</i> 24 Hajducze	SC 24.2.3.4 nia, Marek	P 41 ZTE Corporation	L 23	# 45
	Comment Status X eceiving SLEEP code-groups, the 7 that only 100BASE-TX supports (p X PCS type? Response Status 0			the fin betwe the tr <i>Suggeste</i>	e of the timers hat hal value should l een devices i.e. w ansmitter uses th dRemedy	Comment Status X ve a range of value which is acce be, how is such selection done an what happens if the receivong side the minium value. Does this break of this in the comment.	nd does that e expect the	affect interoperabilty maximum value nad
				Proposed	l Response	Response Status O		
 (2) line 11: "The follo "The following constants changes in line 29, p. (3) line 13: "The SLE 	5 P40 ZTE Corporation Comment Status X gure 24-11b" - link is not live wing constants are required only for ints are required to support the opt age 40 and line 17, page 41. EP code-group (/P/) used for LPI s EP code-group (/P/) used < by the	ional EEE ca tate delineato	pability. Similar	Commen "This what or so Simila Suggeste Per c	primitive is gene does it mean "on mething in the lin	P46 ZTE Corporation Comment Status X rated by the Receive Process of F ly for the EEE capability"? Do you es? The original language is some he 36, subclause 24.3.1.9. Response Status O	u mean [°] " on	ly if EEE is supported"
· ·		• • •		C/ 24 Hajducze	SC 24.3.1.8. nia, Marek	1 P46 ZTE Corporation	L 23	# 47
C/ 24 SC 24.2.3 . ⁻ Hajduczenia, Marek	I P 40 ZTE Corporation	L16	# 44	Commen		Comment Status X		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			What	happens when F			and the second second second
The "0001" is a binar	y, hex or any other representation e variable is (TX_LP_IDLE, RX_LP		ear in here, given that	Suggeste Per c	dRemedy omment	re is no description of what TRUE	and FALSE	mean, when asserted.
Please clarify per cor	nment			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Comments received		IEEE F	9802.3az D2.1 Energy	y Efficient E	hernet comme	ents			November 2009
C/ 24 SC 24.4.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 50 ZTE Corporation	L 18	# 48	C/ 25 Hajducze	SC 25.4.11.1 nia, Marek	Z	P55 TE Corporation	L 20	# 51
	Comment Status X LPI for the EEE capability" - seer support LPI for the EEE capability		andatory. Shouldn't it	other Suggeste	is this 'driver' ? It i driver ?	Comment St is used many tim		e. Is this the I	aser driver or some
Proposed Response	Response Status O				Response	Response Sta	ntus O		
C/ 24 SC 24.4.1.4 Haiduczenia, Marek	P 50 ZTE Corporation	L 31	# 49	C/ 30 Haiducze	SC 30.12.2.1		P62 TE Corporation	L19	# 52
EEE capability" should the EEE capability is su Similar comment again SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response		by the Recei	ive Process of PCS if	Simila Simila Simila Simila Simila Simila Simila	ar comment in line ar comment in line	44, same page 4, page 63. 16, page 63. 26, page 64 40, page 64 51, page 64 13, page 65			
Cl 25 SC 25.4.11.1 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E "the NRZ bit" or "the nr SuggestedRemedy which is the correct cap Proposed Response	ZTE Corporation Comment Status X z bit" - which is it then?	L 30	# 50	> "DL Simila Simila Simila Simila Simila Simila Simila	e 32, there is spac L receiver state di ar missing space i ar missing space i	iagram.<< >>Th n line 19, same n line 45, same n line 4, page 63 n line 26, page 6 n line 39, page 6 n line 39, page 6 n line 51, page 6	s attribute maps bage bage 4 4 4 5 5		s attribute maps to the"
				Suggeste	• •				
				Proposed	Response	Response Sta	ntus O		

Comments received		IEEE P8	802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Ethernet co	omments			November 2009
C/ 35 SC 35.2.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P66 ZTE Corporation	L 17	# 53	Cl 35 SC 35.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	2.2.9a	P 70 ZTE Corpora	L 33 tion	# 56
	Comment Status X ed for EEE capability, this is desc illity, as described in 35.4a" Response Status 0	bribed in 35.4a	" > "The mapping is	(figure 35-9a) if a should read "While the PHY d	evice is indicatir nd only if the Clo evice is indicatir	ock stop enable bit	is asserted (45	e RX_CLK as shown in
<i>Cl</i> 35 <i>SC</i> 35.2.2.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 67 ZTE Corporation	L 2	# 54	SuggestedRemedy Per comments Proposed Response	Respon	se Status O		
Comment Type E "The use of TXD<7:0> t end SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	Comment Status X to signal LPI transitions is describ Response Status O	oed in 35.2.2.6	Sa" - missing "." at the	Cl 36 SC 36. Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E "The ability to tran Ll1/ and /Ll2/ is a Clause 78)." there is a line bre	Commonsmit or receive n option for certa	ain PHYs to suppo		# 57
Cl 35 SC 35.2.2.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 67 ZTE Corporation	L	# 55	SuggestedRemedy per comment				
asserted and TXD<7:0> remain in low power idle should read "For EEE capability, the	RS shall use the combination of equal to 0x01<<, as>> shown ir	5–1 as a requi	est to enter, or sserted, TX_ER	Proposed Response	Respon	se Status O		
Per comment								

Cl 36 SC 36.2.4.12a P75 L 49 # 58	C/ 40 SC 40.1.4 P90 L 34 # 61
Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
"For the EEE capability this variable is affected by the LPI receive state diagram. Without the EEE capability this variable is identical to code_sync_status controlled by the synchronization state diagram" should read	LPI request and" Sometimes it is written "IDLE code-groups", sometimes "idle code groups" - which is it finally?
"If EEE is supported, this variable is affected by the LPI receive state diagram. If EEE is not supported, this variable is identical to code_sync_status controlled by the	SuggestedRemedy
synchronization state diagram"	Is this caused by the specific captitalization rules in the given clause? Otherwise it should
SuggestedRemedy	be uniformly formatted throughout all clauses.
Per comment	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	
	C/ 40 SC 40.2.12.1 P92 L 30 # 62
	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.2 P76 L3 # 59 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation End of the second s	Comment Type T Comment Status X
Comment Type T Comment Status X "The following constant is used only for the EEE capability." there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that is read " if the EEE capability is supported." Scrub the draft, including subsections of	SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type T Comment Status X "The following constant is used only for the EEE capability." there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that the read " if the EEE capability is supported." Scrub the draft, including subsections of 36.2.5.1	should be reworded to "is in progress hence the variable 1000BTtransmit (see 40.3.3.1) will also be set to FALSE' SuggestedRemedy per comment
Comment Type T Comment Status X "The following constant is used only for the EEE capability." there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that the read " if the EEE capability is supported." Scrub the draft, including subsections of 36.2.5.1 SuggestedRemedy	should be reworded to "is in progress hence the variable 1000BTtransmit (see 40.3.3.1) will also be set to FALSE" SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type T Comment Status X "The following constant is used only for the EEE capability." there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that the read " if the EEE capability is supported." Scrub the draft, including subsections of 36.2.5.1 SuggestedRemedy Per comment	should be reworded to "is in progress hence the variable 1000BTtransmit (see 40.3.3.1) will also be set to FALSE" SuggestedRemedy per comment
Comment Type T Comment Status X "The following constant is used only for the EEE capability." there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that the read " if the EEE capability is supported." Scrub the draft, including subsections of 36.2.5.1 SuggestedRemedy Per comment	should be reworded to "is in progress hence the variable 1000BTtransmit (see 40.3.3.1) will also be set to FALSE' SuggestedRemedy per comment
Comment Type T Comment Status X "The following constant is used only for the EEE capability." there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that the read " if the EEE capability is supported." Scrub the draft, including subsections of 36.2.5.1 SuggestedRemedy Per comment	should be reworded to "is in progress hence the variable 1000BTtransmit (see 40.3.3.1) will also be set to FALSE' <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> per comment <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status O <i>CI</i> 40 SC 40.4.6.1 <i>P</i> 105 <i>L</i> 1 <i>#</i> <u>63</u> Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation <i>Comment Type</i> E <i>Comment Status</i> X Several smaller issued with Figure 40–15a
Comment Type T Comment Status X "The following constant is used only for the EEE capability." there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability is supported." Scrub the draft, including subsections of 36.2.5.1 SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 36 SC 36.2.5.2.9 P 86 L 28 # 60 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status X "and transmit directions using the status variables shown in Table 36-3c" - link is not live. Status variables shown in Table 36-3c" - link is not live.	should be reworded to "is in progress hence the variable 1000BTtransmit (see 40.3.3.1) will also be set to FALSE' SuggestedRemedy per comment Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 40 SC 40.4.6.1 P105 L1 # 63 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status X Several smaller issued with Figure 40–15a (1) different font sizes for e.g. "SEND_I" (2) text in some boxes is misaligned within the boxes e.g. "DISABLE 1000BASE-T TRANSMITTER" and others
Comment Type T Comment Status X "The following constant is used only for the EEE capability." "there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that if read " if the EEE capability is supported." Scrub the draft, including subsections of 36.2.5.1 SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 36 SC 36.2.5.2.9 P 86 L 28 # 60 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Status X "and transmit directions using the status variables shown in Table 36-3c" - link is not live "Table 36-3c"	should be reworded to "is in progress hence the variable 1000BTtransmit (see 40.3.3.1) will also be set to FALSE SuggestedRemedy per comment Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 40 SC 40.4.6.1 P105 L1 # 63 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status X Several smaller issued with Figure 40–15a (1) different font sizes for e.g. "SEND_I" (2) text in some boxes is misaligned within the boxes e.g. "DISABLE 1000BASE-T TRANSMITTER" and others SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type T Comment Status X "The following constant is used only for the EEE capability." there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that the end of the end o	should be reworded to "is in progress hence the variable 1000BTtransmit (see 40.3.3.1) will also be set to FALSE SuggestedRemedy per comment Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 40 SC 40.4.6.1 P105 L1 # 63 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status X Several smaller issued with Figure 40–15a (1) different font sizes for e.g. "SEND_I" (2) text in some boxes is misaligned within the boxes e.g. "DISABLE 1000BASE-T TRANSMITTER" and others
Comment Type T Comment Status X "The following constant is used only for the EEE capability." "there are several entries which say " for the EEE capability." - suggest to reword that if read " if the EEE capability is supported." Scrub the draft, including subsections of 36.2.5.1 SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 36 SC 36.2.5.2.9 P 86 L 28 # 60 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Status X "and transmit directions using the status variables shown in Table 36-3c" - link is not live "Table 36-3c"	should be reworded to "is in progress hence the variable 1000BTtransmit (see 40.3.3.1) will also be set to FALSE SuggestedRemedy per comment Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 40 SC 40.4.6.1 P105 L1 # 63 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status X Several smaller issued with Figure 40–15a (1) different font sizes for e.g. "SEND_I" (2) text in some boxes is misaligned within the boxes e.g. "DISABLE 1000BASE-T TRANSMITTER" and others SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 40 SC 40.6.1.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	2.7 P109 ZTE Corporatio	L 40 n	# 64	<i>CI</i> 45 Hajduczenia	SC 45.2.3.1 a, Marek		16 L 2 Corporation	23 # 67
Comment Type T "40.6.1.2.7 Transmitte should read	Comment Status X er operation during WAKE" er operation during the WAKE st			Comment 7 see 22 should	<i>Type</i> E 2.2.2.9a, 35.2.2 read	Comment Status 2.9a, 46.3.2.4a" 2.9a, and 46.3.2.4a"	•	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O			"see 22 should	read	line 31 2.9a, 46.3.2.4a" 2.9a, and 46.3.2.4a"		
C/ 40 SC 40.12.4 łajduczenia, Marek	P111 ZTE Corporatio	L 17 n	# 65	Suggestedl Per cor Proposed F	nment	Response Status	0	
statements in them. The same comment a The same comment a The same comment a SuggestedRemedy Remove shall stateme Remove shall stateme Remove shall stateme Remove shall stateme Scrub the rest of the o	ents from the PCT18, PCT19, P ents from the PPMF24 through P ents from the PME71 through Pl ents from the AN15 PICS items. draft for the same issue i.e. shal	nrough PMF37 E77. CR5 PICS iten MF37 PICS ite ME77 PICS ite	ns. ems. ms.	in some one". P style gu Suggested Per cor	Type T 1.6 is set to 1' e instances, yo ick one nomer uidelines to def <i>Remedy</i> mment	ZTE C Comment Status u write "set to 1/0" etc. Inclature and use consist ine what style should b	Corporation X In other instance stently, unless the be used.	29 # <u>68</u> res, you write "set to a zero/a here is anything in the IEEE
Proposed Response	Response Status O .3a P116 ZTE Corporatio	L 21	# 66	Proposed F C/ 45 Hajduczenia	SC 45.2.3.9			33 # <u>69</u>
Comment Type T There are still occure	Comment Status X nces of "low power idle" which h ection of the draft. Scrub the dra Response Status O	ave not been r	eplaced with LPI as	Comment 7 "If the c set to 1 is missi	Type E device supports ." ing a comma b in lines 37, 41 Remedy nment	Comment Status	X GBASE-KR as d	lefined in 72.1 this bit shall be

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

	Comments	received
--	----------	----------

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

lajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E	ZTE Corporation			Llaidureer	in Marak		otion	
Commont Type E	•	n		Hajduczer		ZTE Corpor	ation	
	Comment Status X			Comment	51	Comment Status X		
	EE LP advertisement register a bits in the EEE LP advertiseme		< <read-only>>."</read-only>	decim page (2) "sł [45.2.3	al, hexadecimal 127 nown in Figure 4 3.2.2a]." - why is 3.2.2a)" and mak	ting TXC and setting TXD to or in some other encoding. 6–7a if and only if the clock the reference in square bra e sure that the link is live. S	Similar comment t stop capable bit is ckets? change "[4	o 46.3.2.4a, line 20, asserted 5.2.3.2.2a]" to "(see
				Suggestee	dRemedy			
C/ 46 SC 46.1.7 lajduczenia, Marek	P125 ZTE Corporatio	L 17 m	# 71	that it	obably 0x06 is m is clear what end r comment	eant, which corresponds to coding is used.	0000 0110 in bina	ry, correct ? Make sure
Either it changes or no Remove "slightly" (2) "LPI_IDLE.request (i.e. link_status = OK, remain to be set to DE	slightly when LPI signaling is ir ot. shall not be set to ASSERT un according to the underlying PC ASSERT for 1 second following tten in smaller font than the res	less the attach S/PMA). LP_ID g link_status ch	ed link is operational DE.request shall langing state to OK."-	C/ 48 Hajduczer Comment		3 P136 ZTE Corpor Comment Status X	L 5 ration	# [74
SuggestedRemedy Per comment		or the paragra	pri		oility this variable	is variable is affected by the is identical to deskew_aligr		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			"If EE	E capability is su wise, this variabl	pported, this variable is affe e is identical to deskew_alig		
C/ 46 SC 46.3 lajduczenia, Marek	P 125 ZTE Corporatio	L 45 m	# 72	Suggested				
Comment Type E "RX_CLK may be halt paragraph.	Comment Status X ed according to 46.3.2.4a" is wr	itten in larger f	ont than the res of the	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment								
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

Comments received	I	IEEE P8	302.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Et	hernet comme	ents		November 2009
<i>Cl</i> 48 <i>SC</i> 48.2.4.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	2 P134 ZTE Corporation	L 3	# 75	C/ 74 Hajduczer	SC 74.7.5 ia, Marek	P 218 ZTE Corporation	ג ע 48 ר	# 78
	Comment Status X PIDLE " should be " LPI_IDLE " that extra I from within the acrony		it is i.e. it is an LPI		e counters shall n	Comment Status X ot count if FEC_SIGNAL.indica counters shall be disabled if		
S <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Suggest a change pe	r comment. Scrub draft as needed	d.		Suggested Per co	<i>IRemedy</i> mment			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P135 ZTE Corporation	L 40	# 76	C/ 74 Hajduczer	SC 74.10.2.2 ia, Marek	P 219 ZTE Corporatior	L 4	# [79
Comment Type E Missing space betwee SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Comment Status X en "specified in 48.2.4.2.3" and "F	or EEE capabili	ity".		_block_lock. It is a are several occur	Comment Status X set to true if the" - again, it is rences within this and other cla		
Proposed Response	Response Status O				-	ght unless there is a good rease	on to have ca	apitalization different
C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	2.5 P141 ZTE Corporation	L 30	# 77	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Which is it? It does no SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Comment Status X ve LPI" - sometimes you capitalize of seem to be consistent even with	e true, sometim		CI 74 Hajduczer Comment PICS Suggested	<i>Type</i> T section is empty.	P 221 ZTE Corporation Comment Status X If EEE does not changes to this		# 80
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Either Proposed	fill it in or remove Response	it Response Status O		

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 78 SC 78.1	P 222	L15	# 81	C/ 78	SC 78.1.3	P 225	L 4	# 83
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corporation			Hajduczei	nia, Marek	ZTE Corporatio	on	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		
supports the IEEE 80 should not care abou directly in any way. E	C was not operated at any specific 2.3 MAC operation at 100 Mb/s, 1 t what data rate the MAC is opera EE does not extend MAC in any s	000 Mb/s, an ting it, since i pecific way.	d 10 Gb/s.". EEE t does not use MAC	interfa such	aces supported by an introduction, ye	iagram represents any of the f / EEE" and which are those in ou are invited to provide detail transparency of the descriptio	particular? Sin s what types of	ce there is already
are 100BASE-TX, 10	"For operation over twisted pair c 00BASE-T and 10GBASE-T. For are 1000BASE-KX, 10GBASE-KX	operation ove		Suggeste Per c	<i>dRemedy</i> omment			
10GBASE-KR." to re following PHYs: 100E	ad "For operation over twisted pair BASE-TX, 1000BASE-T and 10GE oports the following PHYs: 1000BA	r cabling syste ASE-T. For c	peration over electrical	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 78 Haiduczei	SC 78.1.3.1 nia, Marek	P 225 ZTE Corporatio	L 50	# 84
Per comment.				•	,	Comment Status D		
Proposed Response	Response Status O				s "normal inter-fra	ame" in quotation marks? I und narks, but 'normal inter-frame'		
C/ 78 SC 78.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 222 ZTE Corporation	L 26	# 82	Suggeste Per ce	dRemedy omment			
Comment Type E "EEE also specifies a	Comment Status D means to exchange capabilities b		nge to "EEE also	,	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
specifies means to e: SuggestedRemedy Per comment	change capabilities between"			<i>Cl 78</i> Hajduczei	SC 78.1.3.1 nia, Marek	P 225 ZTE Corporation	L 50 on	# 85
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W T.			claus refere	a delay the LPI e, so it should be nce to 78.4 shoul	Comment Status X - what delay? I think this delay either spelled out what the val d be made much sooner. fter "After a delay"		
				Suggeste Per ce	dRemedy omment			
				Proposed	Response	Response Status O		

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 226 ZTE Corporation	L 25	# 86	C/ 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 226 ZTE Corporatio	L 43 on	# 88
should read	Comment Status X I' encoding on the xMII, the PH t LPI' encoding on the xMII is d	U		transmitted" why do we	Comment Status X e normal operating state whe need to mention what is trans he PHY then enters the norm	mtted in a norn	nal state? Just change
altogether? The sentenc following sentences with remove it consistently or	I sleep' really means. Is it a sp e reads just fine without it. This out ever defining what this is ar define altogether what this 'sle a poor description of transmissi	term 'sleep' nd what it is ι ep' is, how it	is also used in used for. Please is transmitted etc.	SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O			Cl 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Change "Figure 78–3 illu operation " to read "Figure	P 227 ZTE Corporatio Comment Status X ustrates general principles of re 78–3 illustrates a general	the EEE-capab	# 89
C/ 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 lajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR "and 10GBASE-KX4) rec after sleep is"	P 226 ZTE Corporation Comment Status X quires the transmit function of th	L 29 ne local PHY	# 87	transmitter. SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
OK so now we have 'slee or not? I have not seen a	ep mode', 'quiet mode' and 'low a single definition of either of the orm or define each and every s PI system elements. <i>Response Status</i> O	em so far so	it is hard to tell. Please	Cl 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Change caption of Figur active state" SuggestedRemedy Per comment	P 227 ZTE Corporatio <i>Comment Status</i> X e 78-3 to read "EEE operatin		# 90

Comments received		IEEE P	802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Ef	November 2009			
<i>Cl</i> 78 SC 78.1.3.3. Hajduczenia, Marek	2 P227 ZTE Corporation	L 18	# 91	<i>Cl 78</i> Hajduczei	SC 78.1.4 nia, Marek	P227 ZTE Corporation	L 35	# 94
Comment Type TR What is this 'sleep sign	Comment Status X al'? Where is this defined? How	is it transmitte	ed?	<i>Comment</i> Chan	51	Comment Status X ble 78-1 to "PHY types supporting	EEE"	
SuggestedRemedy Similar comment was s changes so far. Proposed Response	submitted against previous versi <i>Response Status</i> 0	on of the draft	and yet there are no		dRemedy omment Response	Response Status O		
	2 P 227 ZTE Corporation <i>Comment Status</i> X III and the local receiver can disa change "some functionality" to "o	able some fund		Comment Table Pleas Suggeste Per ce	78-2 contains so e remove any un	P 228 ZTE Corporation Comment Status X ome parameters with three trailing necessary trailing zeros. Response Status O	L 31 g decimal ze	# 95
Proposed Response	Response Status O		"	<i>Cl</i> 78 Hajduczei	SC 78.4.2.3 nia, Marek	P 232 ZTE Corporation	L 21	# 96
Cl 78 SC 78.1.4 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T "EEE defines a low poo	P227 ZTE Corporation Comment Status X wer mode of operation for the fol		# <u>93</u> PHYs. Table 78–1 lists	Comment "A su Suggeste	mmary cross-refe	Comment Status X erences between" > "A summary	of cross-refe	erences between"
the clauses associated change to read "EEE defines a low por		-			omment <i>Response</i>	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment								
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

79 SC 79.3	P 239	L 19	# 97	C/ 24 SC 24.2.4.		L 15	# 100
lajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corporati	on		CHOU, JOSEPH	REALTEK	SEMICOND	
comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
	EEE is not yet assigned. This	s comment serv	es as a reminder to		iagram (Figure 24-8) has bee		
get the IEEE 802.3 sub	type for EEE TLVs.				ubclause 24.2.4.2) does not h Inction for EEE capability.	ave proper descrip	otion explaining the
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Per comment					agraph in 24.2.4.2 as shown b	elow.	
Proposed Response	Response Status O				by the square bracket [] are n		
				The Transmit proces	s sends code-groups to the F	MA via tx bits and	d the Transmit Bits
SC 24.2.2.5	P 39	L 20	# 98	process. When initia	lly invoked, and between stre	ams (delimited by	TX_EN on the MII),
HOU, JOSEPH	REALTEK SEI	MICOND			ode for the optional EEE capa -groups (/I/) to the PMA. Upo		
omment Type TR	Comment Status X				sses an SSD (/J/K/) to the PM		
	ility that is defined in the PICS	list without the	associated "shall"	these two code-grou	p times. Following the SSD, e	each TXD <3:0> ni	bble is encoded into a
statement in the draft to	ext.			0 1	ntil TX_EN is deasserted. If, v e Transmit process passes T	_	· —
SuggestedRemedy					de-assertion of TX_EN, an ES		
Inserted the following s	tatement at the end of this pa	ragraph:		transmission of Idle	code-groups is resumed by th	e IDLE state.	
	y for the EEE capability. If imp equirements in this subclause.		operation of the PCS	together with the de-	supported, upon the assertion assertion of TX_EN and the a	assertion of TX_EF	R, see 22.2.2), the
Proposed Response	Response Status O			PMA. In the LPI mod	ters the LPI mode and starts le, the Transmit process is co state and TX_QUIET state. ⁻ /III de-asserts LPI.]	ntrolled by various	timers to switch
24 SC 24.2.3.4 HOU, JOSEPH	P 41 REALTEK SEI	L 35 MICOND	# 99	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
<i>Comment Type</i> TR There is a "shall" state	Comment Status X ment in LPI Link Fail condition	without the as	sociated PICS item.				
SuggestedRemedy Insert a new PICS entr	y for LPI Link Fail with the follo	owing commen	t:				
"If the PHY fails to rece the receiver shall assu	ive a valid Refresh or Wake s me a link failure."	ignal before lpi	_rx_tq_timer expires,				

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 24 CHOU, J	SC 24.2.4 OSEPH	4	P 41 REALTEK S		# 101	C/ 24 CHOU, JC	SC 24	.2.4.2	P 43 REALTEK S		# 102		
Commen		Co	nment Status X			Comment		TR	Comment Status X				
The I Rece	Receive state d	iagram (F Ibclause 2	igure 24-11) has bee 24.2.4.2) does not ha EEE capability.			There The tra	is a corne	er case: may ent	er the TX_QUIET state ve		Irn to the IDLE state		
	t is more, CON ge the correspo		tate has been replac t.	ed with IDENTIFY	_JK state. Need to	anytime when it receives a De-assert LPI from MII. The duration of transmitter staying in the TX_QUIET state may be too short to effectively assert the Signal detection of the receiver at the remote link partner.							
Suggeste	edRemedy								Equalizer (EQ) and Clock F		R) may lose the track		
		24.2.4.4 as shown b						signal" in the received cha may stay in the RX_SLEE		decode the symbols			
Note	Note: text enclosed by the square bracket [] are new.								/ move to LPI_LINK_FAIL				
The I and a	The Receive process state diagram can be viewed as comprising two sections: prealigned and aligned. In the prealigned states, IDLE, CARRIER DETECT, and [IDENTIFY JK, except for the detection of SLEEP code-groups when supporting the optional EEE capability,] the Receive process is waiting for an indication of channel activity followed by a						cenario is	a mistal	ke and needs to change.				
capa							However, the fix will affect the wake shrinkage time. To reduce the impact, it's preferable t decrease the signal_detection time.						
	. After successi m termination.	ul alignme	ent, the incoming coc	le-groups are dec	oded while waiting for	Suggested	Remedy						
						Modify	the Trans	smit Sta	te Diagram (Fig 24-8):				
two c until	consecutive SLI either the IDLE	EP (/P/) (code-grou		s the LPI mode ar		Change the maximum Assert time and De-assert time of Signal_detection of PMD in LPI mode (refer to Table 25-3) to 1 microsecond Add a new timer lpi_tx_tm_timer in TX_QUIET state with a value range between 1 to 1.5 microseconds, and start it when entering TX_QUIET state							
proce	ess to enter the	RX_LPI_	LINK_FAIL state and										
.,	oposed Response Response Status O		Change the branch condition between TX_QUIET and IDLE from "sentCodeGroup. ? (TX_EN = TRUE +TX_ER = FALSE + TXD[3:0] != TX_LP_IDLE)" to "sentCodeGroup.indicate ? lpi_tx_tm_timer_done * (TX_EN = TRUE +TX_ER = FA TXD[3:0] != TX_LP_IDLE)"										
						Param 4:	eters are	modified	d in the second row under	the PHY type 100	BASE-TX of Table 78-		
				Tw_phy = 22 Tphy_shrink_tc = 6.5 Tw_sys_rx = 8.5									
						A pres	entaion w	vill be ma	ade in the Nov. meeting.				
						Proposed	Resnonse	2	Response Status 0				

Comments received IEEE P802.3az D2.1	Energy Efficient Ethernet comments November 200
CI 24 SC 24.3.2.3 P 47 L 29 # 103 CHOU, JOSEPH REALTEK SEMICOND	C/ 25 SC 25.4.11.1 P 55 L 22 # 105 CHOU, JOSEPH REALTEK SEMICOND REALTER SEMICOND </th
Comment Type TR Comment Status X There is a *LPM capability that is defined in the PICS list without the associated "shall" statement in the draft text. SuggestedRemedy Inserted the following statement at the end of this paragraph:	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Need proper descriptive text for the modification made on The Encoder state diagram (Figure 25-1) for EEE capability. SuggestedRemedy Insert the following statement at the end of this paragraph:
24.3.2.3 is required only for the EEE capability. If implemented, the operation of the PM shall comply with the requirements in this subclause. Proposed Response Response Status O	 The output of Encoder is set to a value ZERO_VOLTAGE when the transmitter is in a quie line state (TX_QUIET, see PCS Transmit state diagram, Figure 24-8). Change the last sentence of tx_quiet at L.51, P.55 from "It is also used to set the initial state of Encoder state diagram." to
Cl 25 SC 25.4.11 P 55 L 15 # 104 CHOU, JOSEPH REALTEK SEMICOND The semicond	"It sets the Encoder state diagram to an initial state of ZERO_V." <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status O
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Given the volume of information and the need to conform with the information in 25.4.11 there should be a "shall" statement associated with the PICS entry *LPI.	1, <i>Cl</i> 25 <i>SC</i> 25.4.11.2 <i>P</i> 56 <i>L</i> 48 # 106 CHOU, JOSEPH REALTEK SEMICOND
SuggestedRemedy Insert the following statement at the end of this paragraph:	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Need proper descriptive text for the modification made on The Decoder state diagram (Figure 25-2) for EEE capability.
If the EEE capability is supported, the operation of the PMD shall comply with the requirements in this subclause. Proposed Response Response Status 0	SuggestedRemedy Insert the following statement at the end of this paragraph:
	The output of Decoder is set to a value ZERO when the receiver is in a quiet line state (RX_QUIET, see PCS Receive state diagram, Figure 24-11b).
	Change the last sentence of ry quiet at 1, 23, P.57 from

Change the last sentence of rx_quiet at L.23, P.57 from "It is also used to set the initial state of Decoder state diagram." to "It sets the Decoder state diagram to an initial state of ZERO_VALUE."

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

Comments received	I	IEEE I	P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient E	thernet comn	nents		November 2009
<i>Cl</i> 25 <i>SC</i> 25.4.6 CHOU, JOSEPH	Р 54 REALTEK SE	L 40 EMICOND	# 107	C/ 40 CHOU, J0	SC 40.4.5.2 DSEPH	P 103 Realtek S	L 29 EMICOND	# 109
Comment Type TR 25.4.6 has three shall SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X I statements and only one PIC	S entry.			duration of lpi_po	Comment Status X ostupdate_timer has a period h mfortable margin for the field a		2.2us.
Add two more PICS e	entries as follows:			The i	ncrease of this l	pi_postupdate_timer has no in	npact on the wak	eup time.
Ū I	measure jitter in the LPI mode			00	dRemedy ge the duration	of lpi_postupdate_timer as foll	ows:	
greater than 1 second	J.					hall have a period between 4.	0 microseconds	to 4.4 microseconds
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	l Response	Response Status O		
	P 55 REALTEK SE		# 108	C/ 78 CHOU, J(SC 78.4.1 DSEPH	P 230 REALTEK SI	L 30 EMICOND	# 110
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	t Type TR	Comment Status X		
21	ements in the following area w	ithout associated	PICS items:	Tw_s descr betwe This o Trans	ys is not a valid ription. Instead, i een link partners comment will affe smitter State Dia	ect the entire text of 78.4. It al	e the only param	neter negotiated
25.4.11.6, P.58, L.36				•	dRemedy	Treesiver State Blagram		
25.4.11.7, P.58, L.43 25.4.11.7, P.55, L.44				•••	•	Tw_sys_tx in the entire subcl	ause 78.4.	
SuggestedRemedy Add entries in the PIC	CS list as suggested in the corr	nment.		LOC/ Chan	AL_INITIAL_TX_	ue of all variables in the INITIA	·	
Proposed Response	Response Status O				AL_INITIAL_RX_	_VALUE. Y_WAKE_VALUE in 78.4.2.2	since it is no lon	ger used.
					l Response	Response Status O		

C/ 78 SC 78.4.2.2 CHOU, JOSEPH	P231 L4 REALTEK SEMICOND	# 111	C/ 78 SC 78.4.3.1 CHOU, JOSEPH	P 236 REALTEK SI	L 52 EMICOND	# 113
Comment Type TR Comment	t Status 🗙		Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
The parameter Tw_sys (actual Tw_s the column Tw_sys_tx of the table 7 parameter described in this subclaus	8-4. However, the value hold	ers of negotiated		e NEW_TX_VALUE is smalle h what is shown in the Figure		
It needs clarification on how to conve fraction of microseconds, to an integ SuggestedRemedy Add in the text of 78.4.2.2 something "This parameter should be rounded and examined according to 78.2 and	er number. g like: up to the nearest integer num		"If the NEW_TX_VALU value requested by the	nan" with "equal to or greater JE is equal to or greater than e receiving link partner then it the value of resolved Tw_sys Response Status O	either the resolution enters the SYS	ed Tw_sys value or the TEM REALLOCATION
Proposed Response Response						
Topolou Hosponoc			C/ 24 SC 24.8 CHOU, JOSEPH	P 52 REALTEK SI		# 114
C/ 78 SC 78.4.2.5	P 234 L 28	# 112		Comment Status X	EMICOND	
HOU, JOSEPH	REALTEK SEMICOND		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	bility that is defined. This capa	ability has a dire	rt impact on the
omment Type TR Comment	Status V					
,,			functions performed by	/ the PCS and PMA, yet the o	only new PICS a	re for the timers.
The two exit conditions of the TX UP		EE DLL Transmitter State	functions performed by SuggestedRemedy	/ the PCS and PMA, yet the c	only new PICS a	re for the timers.
The two exit conditions of the TX UP Diagram" should be swapped. That means the branch from TX UPI "(NEW_TX_VALUE < LocResolvedT goes to MIRROR UPDATE state, wh "(NEW_TX_VALUE >= LocResolvedT	PDATE state in Figure 78-4 "E DATE with conditions [xSystemValue) * (NEW_TX_ hile the branch with conditions ITxSystemValue) + (NEW_T>	VALUE < TempRxVar)"	SuggestedRemedy "Shalls" are needed to	help define the way the PCS e to make sure that functions	and PMA functi	ons operate in LPI
The two exit conditions of the TX UP Diagram" should be swapped. That means the branch from TX UPI "(NEW_TX_VALUE < LocResolvedT goes to MIRROR UPDATE state, wh "(NEW_TX_VALUE >= LocResolved goes to SYSTEM REALLOCATION	PDATE state in Figure 78-4 "E DATE with conditions [xSystemValue) * (NEW_TX_ hile the branch with conditions ITxSystemValue) + (NEW_T>	VALUE < TempRxVar)"	SuggestedRemedy "Shalls" are needed to mode. Scrub the claus corresponding PICS ca Proposed Response Cl 25 SC 25.4.11	help define the way the PCS e to make sure that functions apability entry. <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 55	and PMA functi modified or imp	ons operate in LPI
The two exit conditions of the TX UP Diagram" should be swapped. That means the branch from TX UPI "(NEW_TX_VALUE < LocResolvedT goes to MIRROR UPDATE state, wh "(NEW_TX_VALUE >= LocResolved goes to SYSTEM REALLOCATION st uggestedRemedy Per comment	PDATE state in Figure 78-4 "E DATE with conditions FxSystemValue) * (NEW_TX_ hile the branch with conditions ITxSystemValue) + (NEW_T> state.	VALUE < TempRxVar)"	SuggestedRemedy "Shalls" are needed to mode. Scrub the claus corresponding PICS ca Proposed Response Cl 25 SC 25.4.11 CHOU, JOSEPH	help define the way the PCS e to make sure that functions apability entry. <i>Response Status</i> O	and PMA functi modified or imp	ons operate in LPI vacted by LPI have a
The two exit conditions of the TX UP Diagram" should be swapped. That means the branch from TX UPI "(NEW_TX_VALUE < LocResolvedT goes to MIRROR UPDATE state, wh "(NEW_TX_VALUE >= LocResolved goes to SYSTEM REALLOCATION st uggestedRemedy Per comment	PDATE state in Figure 78-4 "E DATE with conditions FxSystemValue) * (NEW_TX_ hile the branch with conditions ITxSystemValue) + (NEW_T> state.	VALUE < TempRxVar)"	SuggestedRemedy "Shalls" are needed to mode. Scrub the claus corresponding PICS ca Proposed Response Cl 25 SC 25.4.11 CHOU, JOSEPH Comment Type ER	help define the way the PCS e to make sure that functions apability entry. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 55 REALTEK SI	and PMA functi s modified or imp <i>L</i> 1 EMICOND	ons operate in LPI bacted by LPI have a # 115
The two exit conditions of the TX UP Diagram" should be swapped. That means the branch from TX UPI "(NEW_TX_VALUE < LocResolvedT goes to MIRROR UPDATE state, wh "(NEW_TX_VALUE >= LocResolved goes to SYSTEM REALLOCATION st <i>tuggestedRemedy</i> Per comment	PDATE state in Figure 78-4 "E DATE with conditions FxSystemValue) * (NEW_TX_ hile the branch with conditions ITxSystemValue) + (NEW_T> state.	VALUE < TempRxVar)"	SuggestedRemedy "Shalls" are needed to mode. Scrub the claus corresponding PICS ca Proposed Response CI 25 SC 25.4.11 CHOU, JOSEPH Comment Type ER The subclause number 2008. What is more, it would	help define the way the PCS e to make sure that functions apability entry. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 55 REALTEK SI <i>Comment Status</i> X	E and PMA functi s modified or imp L 1 EMICOND subclause 25.4.1 ernet Efficient Efficient	ons operate in LPI acted by LPI have a # 115 1 of IEEE Std 802.3-
The two exit conditions of the TX UP Diagram" should be swapped. That means the branch from TX UPI "(NEW_TX_VALUE < LocResolvedT goes to MIRROR UPDATE state, wh "(NEW_TX_VALUE >= LocResolved goes to SYSTEM REALLOCATION st uggestedRemedy Per comment	PDATE state in Figure 78-4 "E DATE with conditions FxSystemValue) * (NEW_TX_ hile the branch with conditions ITxSystemValue) + (NEW_T> state.	VALUE < TempRxVar)"	SuggestedRemedy "Shalls" are needed to mode. Scrub the claus corresponding PICS ca Proposed Response CI 25 SC 25.4.11 CHOU, JOSEPH Comment Type ER The subclause number 2008. What is more, it would heading2 level. The vo	help define the way the PCS e to make sure that functions apability entry. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 55 REALTEK SI <i>Comment Status</i> X r overlaps with the exisiting s be better to promote the Eth	E and PMA functi s modified or imp L 1 EMICOND subclause 25.4.1 ernet Efficient Efficient	ons operate in LPI acted by LPI have a # <u>115</u> 1 of IEEE Std 802.3- thernet to its own
The two exit conditions of the TX UP Diagram" should be swapped. That means the branch from TX UPI "(NEW_TX_VALUE < LocResolvedT goes to MIRROR UPDATE state, wh "(NEW_TX_VALUE >= LocResolved goes to SYSTEM REALLOCATION st <i>tuggestedRemedy</i> Per comment	PDATE state in Figure 78-4 "E DATE with conditions FxSystemValue) * (NEW_TX_ hile the branch with conditions ITxSystemValue) + (NEW_T> state.	VALUE < TempRxVar)"	SuggestedRemedy "Shalls" are needed to mode. Scrub the claus corresponding PICS ca Proposed Response Cl 25 SC 25.4.11 CHOU, JOSEPH Comment Type ER The subclause number 2008. What is more, it would heading2 level. The vo exception. SuggestedRemedy	help define the way the PCS e to make sure that functions apability entry. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 55 REALTEK SI <i>Comment Status</i> X r overlaps with the exisiting s be better to promote the Eth	S and PMA functi s modified or imp <i>L</i> 1 EMICOND subclause 25.4.1 ernet Efficient Ef bably should not	# <u>115</u> 1 of IEEE Std 802.3- thernet to its own be buried as an

Comments received IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Pefficient Ethernet comments November 2009
CI 78 SC 78.4.2.5 P 234 L 41 # 116 CHOU, JOSEPH REALTEK SEMICOND	C/ 69 SC 69.1.1 P 198 L 7 # 119 Dawe, Piers Independent
Comment Type ER Comment Status D The figure number of "Figure 78-4 EEE DLL Transmitter State Diagram" duplicates with that of "Figure 78-4 LPI mode timing parameters and their relationship to minimum system wake time".	Comment Type E Comment Status X As D2.0 comment 118: P802.3ba will be adding the objective "a 4 lane 40Gb/s PHY". The addition by 802.3az of "Optionally support Energy Efficient Ethernet will imply that 40GBASE-KR4 will support EEE.
SuggestedRemedy Change the figure number of "Figure 78-4 EEE DLL Transmitter State Diagram" to 78-5 and make the correspondent change on all the subsequent figures. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy If you intend to mandate EEE as an option for 40GBASE-KR4, Table 69-1 will make this clear. If you don't, change "Backplane Ethernet optionally supports Energy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) to reduce energy consumption." to "1000BASE-KX, 10GBASE-KX4 and 10GBASE-KR optionally support Energy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) to reduce energy consumption.
C/ 24 SC 24.2.3.4 P 41 L 48 # 117 CHOU, JOSEPH REALTEK SEMICOND REALTER SEMICOND <td>Proposed Response Response Status O</td>	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type TR Comment Status X There is a "shall" statement in wake error counter of MMD register without the associated PICS item. SuggestedRemedy Insert a new PICS entry for the wake error counter with the following comment: "For each transition of Ipi_rx_tw_timer_done from false to true, the wake error counter shall	Cl 49 SC 49.2.6 P 149 L 2 # 120 Dawe, Piers Independent Independent Comment Type T Comment Status X "while scrambler_reset is TRUE": I can't find any other occurrence of "scrambler_reset". SuggestedRemedy ?
be incremented." Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
Cl 69 SC 69.2.3 P 198 L 44 # 118 Dawe, Piers Independent Comment Type E Comment Status X As D2.0 comment 186: Clause 69 is also being amended by P802.3ba.	C/ 69 SC 69.2.3 P 198 L 35 # 121 Dawe, Piers Independent Comment Type E Comment Status X AUTO-NEGOTIATION
SuggestedRemedy Show Table 69-1 as in P802.3ba (with the 40GBASE-KR4 row and extra columns) as your basis for modification. Proposed Response Response Status 0	SuggestedRemedy Auto-Negotiation Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments received	IEEE P802.3az D	.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments	November 2009
Cl 49 SC 49.2.9 P149 Dawe, Piers Independent	L 2 # 122	Cl 49 SC 49.1.6 P147 L 22 Dawe, Piers Independent	# 125
Comment Type T Comment Status X "the scrambler input will bypass": "will" is deprecated (described in style manual) SuggestedRemedy	except in Clause 30 and as	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Without the underlines it would not be sufficiently clear what "EEE SuggestedRemedy These signals should be dotted as in Figure 51-3; so should the "	
shall? (with PICS) "bypasses"? Scrub the draft. Proposed Response Response Status O		scrambler_bypass is true" of Figure 49-5. <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> O	
C/ 51 SC 51.4 P162 Dawe, Piers Independent Comment Type E Comment Status X Subclause heading for Table 51-3 is missing	L3 # 123	Cl 49 SC 49.2.9 P152 L37 Dawe, Piers Independent Comment Type E Comment Status X Lines 22, 29, 33, 47 "A boolean" Line 37 "An boolean" Line 40 "this Boolean"	# <u>126</u>
SuggestedRemedy Insert "51.4 Sixteen-Bit Interface (XSBI)" Proposed Response Response Status O		SuggestedRemedy See online editors' guidance (capital B for Mr Boole) and correct. Proposed Response Response Status O	Scrub the draft.
Cl 51 SC 51.4 P162 Dawe, Piers Independent Comment Type E Comment Status X Optional Optional SuggestedRemedy should be "optional" (4 times in this diagram) Bug in the (which has its own bugs, but that's off topic). Proposed Response Response Status O	L 29 # 124	Cl 49 SC 49.2.9 P150 L28 Dawe, Piers Independent Comment Type TR Comment Status X The Lock state diagram, which I don't think is optional, uses the v. where the current standard has "block_lock". Yet 49.2.13.2.2 say re 52-7 are used only for the EEE capability rx_block_lock". Problem - problems e.g. in Clause 36. So I'm piling on to D2.0 comment 19 preserve the non-EEE material in an undamaged state, by use of duplicate state diagrams or other means. Otherwise, users will go non-EEE product, and any future maintenance to affected areas with the state of the	s "The following variables and there may be similar 0 and 174, we need to annexes like 4A, 0 back to 802.3-2008 for
		SuggestedRemedy Preserve the non-EEE material in an undamaged state, by use of	

Cl 49 SC Table 49-1 P148 L7 Pillai, Velu Broadcom	# 128	<i>Cl</i> 49 Pillai, Velu	SC Table 49		P 158	L 28	# 130
Comment Type TR Comment Status X		Comment	Type TR	Comment Sta	tus V		
Resolution on Comment #130 against draft D2.0 was to change contr is still 0x07.	ol code to 0x06, but it	The tra	insmitter can get		d while it is		H, which means the
SuggestedRemedy		TX EN	IFRGY ALERT	-> TX REFRESH	-> TX WA	KF -> TX WAKI	E_SCR_BYPASS and
Change the control code to 0x06 at these loctions. Page 148, line 7		then to	TX_ACTIVE.	_	_	_	
Page 149, line 42 Proposed Response Response Status O		Which	means 1usec +	14usec + 12usec	+ 1usec + '	1usec = 29usec.	
Proposed Response Response Status O		state.					ransition to RX_WTF is to increase the
C/ 49 SC Fig 49-13 P151 L 2	# 129	1X_LW_	umer value.				
Pillai, Velu Broadcom							hich is coming from a gh pillai_1109_01.pdf
Comment Type TR Comment Status X			ven without it, th		SOLULION IS a		gii piliai_1109_01.pui
When the transmitter goes through activation or deactivation, the rece		Suggested	Remedy				
code words. hi_ber might get set before rx_block_lock becomes false This will cause the receive SM (fig 49-15) to transit from RX_LI to RX Page 155, line 3).		Increas	se the timeout fo	r RX wake timer t hanges that are re		n) to 30us (max).	
SuggestedRemedy		1 cuk	alausa: 40.2.12	3.2.5, page 153, L	ina 10 Char		
Change the transition to BER_MT_INIT (Page 151, line 2)		2. tab	le 49-3, page 15	8, line 28: Chang	e the values	s to 29us (min) to	o 30us (max).
from reset + r_test_mode + !rx_block_lock To reset + r_test_mode + rx_lpi_active.				8, line 31: Remov		There is no need	d for two TWR.
		Proposed I	Response	Response Stat	us O		
This will make it consistent with Clause 55: fig 55-14 (LFER monitor s	tate diagram).						
Proposed Response Response Status O		CI 49	SC Fig 49-17	,	P157	L18	# 131
		Pillai, Velu		Br	oadcom		
			51	Comment Sta from RX_SLEEP		IVE more robus	t, we should change
		!rx_tq_	timer_done * R_	_TYPE(rx_coded):	= IDLE		
		То					
		!rx_tq_	timer_done * rx_	_block_lock * R_T	YPE(rx_cod	ded) = IDLE	
		Suggested	Remedy		•		
		Proposed I	_	Response Stat			

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

CI 49	SC Fig 49-16	P156	L16	# 132	CI 74	SC 74.11.	3	Р	L	# 134	
Pillai, Velu		Broadcom			Pillai, Velu			Broadcom			
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR	Commer	nt Status X			
		nen the transitions from			Add E	EE to CL 74 F	ICS				
TX_W/ time th In the o And in	AKE will cause th e LP receiver has case of TX_SLEE	E, TX_REF_SCR_BYPASS e state transitions to go throus gone to RX_ACTIVE state, P to TX_WAKE: the receive ses, the FEC did see a determine	ugh SCR_BYPA because: r never went to F	SS state. But by this	Item: L	74.11.3 Majo Pl	r capabilities/or	otions			
it.						e: Rapid bloc s: 74.7.4.8	K IOCK				
this ma		ain asserts Scrambler bypas decoder to de-assert FEC_I	Value/ Status:	Comment: De		ts Rapid block loo	ck mechanism to	suuport EEE.			
Suggested	Remedy				Proposed I	Response	Response	e Status O			
		by modifying the LPI transm ring these three scenarios.	it state diagram	from entering							
Each o	of the above three	transitions needs to be mod	lified to		<i>Cl</i> 48 Pillai, Velu	SC Fig 48	-9b	P 143 Broadcom	L16	# 135	
TX_RE	E_SCR_ON to TX	VE, TX_REF_SCR_BYPAS _ ACTIVE, respectively.	S to TX_ACTIVE	E and		51	on from RX_SL	nt Status X .EEP to RX_ACT	IVE more robust	, the condition	
Pillai_1	1109_01.pdf also	addresses these changes.				-					
Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0			IDLE	* !rx_tq_tim	er_done				
					to						
CI 72	SC 72.6.4	P 210	L 17	# 133	IDLE	* !rx_tq_tim	er_done * des	kew_align_status	s = OK		
Pillai, Velu		Broadcom			Suggested	Remedv		_ 0 _			
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status X									
Figure Does	72-5 when rx_qu	. The rx_quiet = FALSE hap	-	-	Proposed I	Response	Response	e Status O			
	alue of the SIGN	AL_DETECT is defined by th _active = FALSE."	e training state c	liagram shown in							
Proposed I		Response Status O									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 36 SC Fig 36-	9b <i>P</i> 85	L 31	# 136	C/ 36	SC Fig 36-9	9b	P 85	L16	# 139	1
Pillai, Velu	Broadcom			Pillai, Velu		E	Broadcom			
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment T	ype TR	Comment St	atus X			
	ame RX_WTF to RX_EXW (Ext which will make it RX_WKTF (V			for RX_	the following t SLEEP to RX etect_idle * OI		S			
Which ever way we d	decide, all the reference to WTF	needs to be char	iged too.	to !rx_to	q_timer_done	* code_sync_statu	us = OK * det	tect_idle * ODD		
SuggestedRemedy					self loop for R imer_done * c	X_SLEEP should letect_lpidle	be			
Proposed Response	Response Status O				RX_SLEEP to imer_done * s	o RX_QUIET signal_detect=FAIL	-			
<i>Cl</i> 49 <i>SC</i> Fig 49- Pillai, Velu	17 P157 Broadcom	L 34	# 137	SuggestedF						
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Proposed R	lesponse	Response Sta	atus O			
	ame RX_WTF to RX_EXW (Ext									
or at least add a "K",	which will make it RX_WKTF (V	Nake time fault)		C/ 49	SC Fig 49-	16	Р	L	# 140	
Which ever way we c	decide, all the reference to WTF	needs to be char	nged too.	Pillai, Velu	U		Broadcom			
SuggestedRemedy				Comment T	ype TR	Comment St	atus X			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			is enabl This will state. S	ed by energy I unnecessaril everal comme	_detect. Energy de ly make the LPI R2 ents and concerns	etect is more X State mach were put for	susceptible to no hine transition out ward against Dra	QUIET to RX_WAR bise and cross talks t of the RX_QUIET ft 2.0 during the	
C/ 48 SC Fig 48-	9b P143 Broadcom	L 30	# 138	diagram	ns to handle th	hanges were mad his appropriately d erability of the Ene	uring false er		d receive state ese changes still doe	es
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			SuggestedF	-					
I think we should rep	ame RX_WTF to RX_EXW (Ext which will make it RX_WKTF (V			the Trar	nsmitter to ser	nd out a pattern as	a prequel b	efore the refresh	etail. The idea is for or wake sequence. to detect electrical	
				0			-	•		
or at least add a "K",	decide, all the reference to WTF	needs to be char	nged too.	energy a	at the receive	r.				
or at least add a "K",	_ 、	needs to be char	nged too.			r. n is a repeating "0)	<ff00" (eigh<="" td=""><td>it "1"s and eight "(</td><td>0") for 1 usec.</td><td></td></ff00">	it "1"s and eight "(0") for 1 usec.	
or at least add a "K", Which ever way we d	_ 、	needs to be char	nged too.	The pro Change	posed pattern			-		

C/ 49 SC Fig 49-16 Pillai, Velu	P156 Broadcom	L 4047	# 141	Cl 24 . Healey, Adam	SC 24.2.3.4	P 41 LSI Corporatio	L 50 on	# 143	
Comment Type TR Both the conditions out qualified with one_us_t SuggestedRemedy Modify the transition co T_TYPE(tx_raw) != LI to T_TYPE(tx_raw) != LI for both these states. pillai_1109_01.pdf also	Comment Type T Comment Status X The duration of lpi_rx_tw_timer is required to be between 30 and 36 us. The lower limit here is superfluous. In addition, the PHY wake time allowance per Table 78-4 is 20.5 us and should be the gauge for correct operation of the PHY. SuggestedRemedy Change: "The timer shall have a period between 30 is to 36 is." To: "This timer shall have a period that does not exceed 20.5 us." It should be noted that the 20.5 us upper limit may not be correct. The timer is started when signal_status = ON and hence the transmitter wake time shrinkage and signal detection."								
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			assertion time have already passed when the receiver begins it count. The value of 20.5 us is offered for now due to a lack of a more detailed calculation.					
Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.2 Healey, Adam Comment Type T	P 116 LSI Corporatio Comment Status X	L 47 on	# 142	Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status O			
supports this feature of capable bit should be F	capable bit (3.1.6) is determine not. The value cannot be cha RO, not R/W.								
SuggestedRemedy Change the "R/W" colu accordingly.	mn for bit 3.1.6 to "RO". Also	modify 40.5.1.1	Table 40-3						
Proposed Response	Response Status 0								

C/ 24 SC 24.2.2.5 P 39 L lealey, Adam LSI Corporation	20 # 144	C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1 Healey, Adam	.3 P76 LSI Corporati	L 15 ion	# 145
Comment Type T Comment Status X There are multiple issues with this subclause:		Comment Type TR The assert_lpidle vari	<i>Comment Status</i> X able is defined to be an alias f	or:	
1. There are multiple references to an "LPI command". No s draft. "Assert LPI" is signaled across the MII.	such construct is defined in the	(xmit=DATA*TX_OSE	T.indicate*TX_EN=FALSE*T	X_ER=TRUE*(T)	XD<7:0> =0x01))
 Item b) defining the Quiet state makes reference to a "Re nowhere in the state diagrams in this clause. 	fresh" state which appears	state diagram in Figur	cate message should be remo e 36-5 would exit the XMIT_L icate will not be set. The trans changed to:	PIDLE state imm	nediately after entering
3. Table 24-2 defines a wake time Tw which has no relation as described by the state diagrams in this clause. The 30 us deferral time defined in Table 78-4 while 36 us is an arbitrar assert that a wake error occurred.	s time is the minimum transmit	XMIT_DATA to XMIT_ XMIT_LPIDLE to XMI	LPIDLE: assert_lpidle*TX_O T_LPIDLE: assert_lpidle*TX_0 T_DATA: !assert_lpidle*TX_0	OSET.indicate	
4. In item c) it is further implied that the PHY wake time is a not the case. It is the system wake time that is negotiated.	negotiated parameter, which is		tate, and thus the XMIT_LPID e, the xmit=DATA could also b		
In general, this subclause seems to be a rehash of the syste provided in Clause 78. It seems this subclause should defin specifically applies to 100BASE-TX or could be deleted alto	e operation of EEE as it	SuggestedRemedy Per comment.			
functional description of the capability that follows in Clause 78.	24 and the material in Clause	Proposed Response	Response Status O		

SuggestedRemedy

Correct the discrepancies or delete this subclause.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

November 2009

C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.5 P76 L 50 # 146 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation	C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.2 P 81 L 7 # 148 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation
Comment Type T Comment Status X Issues with counter definitions:	Comment Type T Comment Status X Note that this comment refers to Figure 36-7a. There are multiple errors in this figure.
 a) With the exception of the wake_error_coutner, are these truly counters, or timers as their names and usage suggests? b) With the exception of rx_wf_timer, timer descriptions begin with "This timer is started when the PMD receiver enters the" In some cases this should actually refer to the transmitter and in any case should refer to the PCS and not the PMD. SuggestedRemedy a) Move definitions of *_timer to "36.2.5.1.7 Timers" (note that the subclause heading needs to be changed from "Timer" to "Timers"). 	1. In the LP_IDLE state, "RUDI(/L/I/)" should be "RUDI(/LI/)". However, it is not clear why RUDI(/LI/) is even an action here since RX_UNITDATA.indicate is used by the Clause 37 Auto-Negotiation process which does not understand /LI/. It likely should just be removed. 2. Transitions to F and C should be qualified by the term "rx_lp_active" and not "rx_lp_active" as shown. SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O
b) For all instances of rx_*_timer, change the definition to read "PCS receiver" instead of "PMD receiver". For all instances of tx_*_timer, change definition to reach "PCS transmitter" instead of "PMD receiver." " oposed Response Response Status O	Cl 36 SC 36.2.5.2.2 P 81 L 11 # 149 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status X Note that this comment refers to Figure 36-7a.
27 36 SC 36.2.5.1.3 P76 L24 # 147 ealey, Adam LSI Corporation Image: Comment Type TR Comment Status X Image: Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The aliases detect_lpidle and detect_idle could be asserted during data reception therefore the LPI Receive state diagram (Figure 36-9b) could bounce between RX_ACTIVE and RX_SLEEP states during normal operation. A transition to RX_SLEEP will result in "Rx LPI indication" and "Rx LPI received" from being falsely asserted during normal operation. This is not likely what is intended. SuggestedRemedy Implement the state diagram changes recommended in healey_01_1109.pdf. Proposed Response Response Status O	 There is no exit condition from LPI_K in the event a configuration ordered_set (/C/) is received. The link partner could potentially restart Auto-Negotiation at any time, in which case it could start sending /C/ ordered_sets and no /l/ or /Ll/ ordered_sets would be sent. That would cause that the state diagram gets stuck in the LPI_K state. Figure 36-7a requires the LPI Receive state diagram (Figure 36-9b) to break it out of this deadlock. If /C/ ordered_sets are received while the receiver is in RX_SLEEP, then rx_tq_timer will eventually expire and the transition to the RX_LINK_FAIL state will be taken. This will set sync_status to FAIL which will pop the Receive state diagram into the LINK_FAILED state. From here, the receiver may recover and Auto-Negotiation can proceed normally. If /C/ ordered_sets are received while the receiver is in the RX_QUIET or RX_WAKE states, rx_tw_timer_done will eventually expire and the transition to the RX_WTF state will be taken. This will increment wake_error_counter (it is debatable whether this is appropriate or not) and move the RX_ACTIVE state. At this point, the receiver is deadlocked. A more graceful handling of /C/ ordered_sets is desired. SuggestedRemedy Implement the state diagram changes recommended in healey_01_1109.pdf. Proposed Response Response Status O

Comments received		IEEE P	9802.3az D2.1 Energy E	Efficient Et	hernet comm	nents			November 2009
C/ 36 SC 36.2.4.7 Healey, Adam	P 75 LSI Corporation	L 28	# 150	<i>Cl</i> 48 Healey, Ad	SC 48.2.6.2 dam	-	P144 LSI Corporatio	L 16	# 153
Encoding notation for /LI1/ an SuggestedRemedy Change /LI1/ encoding to "/K2 Change /LI2/ encoding to "/K2	28.5/D6.5/".	g and trailing f	orward slashes.	betwe the wa <i>Suggested</i> In the	uration of rx_tw_ en 8 to 9 us. A l ake time. dRemedy definition of rx_		d to be TWR v superfluous.		8-10 is given a range of here is lower limit on
Cl 36 SC 36.2.5.2.2 Healey, Adam Comment Type E Co The editing instruction for Fig on page 81. Move the instruc	P80 LSI Corporation mment Status X ure 36-7a is wedged below tion to be below the subcla	L1 v the figure ar	# 151 Ind an assoicated not It might be helpful to		ve TWR(min) fro	unt shall not exc om Table 48-10. <i>Response S</i>		num value of T\	WR in Table 48-10."
note that there was no chang ease of reference. SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Proposed Response Res	e to Figure 36-7b and it is ponse Status 0	only included	in this amendment for	TWR	<i>Type</i> T uration of rx_tw_ is given a range	<i>Comment</i> S timer is specifie between 11 to 1	d to be TUL. T 2 us when scr	This should be T rambler_bypass	# 154 WR. In Table 49-3 5-enable is FALSE and RUE. A lower limit here
C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.8 Healey, Adam Comment Type T Co The duration of rx_tw_timer is between 10 to 11 us. A lower				is sup Suggested In the "The t To:	erfluous. It impli dRemedy definition of rx_ imer terminal co	es that there is lo tw_timer change unt is set to TUF	ower limit on th	he wake time.	
the wake time. SuggestedRemedy In the definition of rx_tw_time "The timer terminal count is s	r change:	י ייושייפט נוומנ			ve TWR(min) fro			num value of T\	WR in Table 49-3."
To: "The timer terminal count sha Remove TWR(min) from Tabl Proposed Response Res		n value of TW	'R in Table 36-3b."						

Comments received IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments							
C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.1. Healey, Adam	Sa P137 LSI Corporation	L 10	# 155	Cl 74 SC 74.4. Healey, Adam	1 P215 LSI Corporation	L 40 # <u>157</u>	
	Comment Status X ne rx_wf_timer, each timer definit nsmitter when it should refer to th			Comment Type T In Figure 74-2	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment.					in the title "diagra" should be "dia es that rx_lpi_active is passed from remove it.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Per comment.			
C/ 74 SC 74.4.1 Healey, Adam	P 215 LSI Corporation	L 215	# 156	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
idea. In the use of thes upper case (e.g. "tx_qu SuggestedRemedy Recommend the follow d) "FEC_SIGNAL.requ e) "FEC_SIGNAL.requ f) "FEC_SIGNAL.indica "FEC_ENERGY.indica g) "FEC_SIGNAL.requ "FEC_LPIACTIVE.requ This will also align with	est(tx_quiet)" should become "FE est(rx_quiet)" should become "FE ation(energy_detect)" should bec tion(energy_detect)" est(rx_lpi_active)" should becom	neter names sur EC_TXQUIET.re EC_RXQUIET.re ome e s used in the Cl	ddenly become equest(tx_quiet)" equest(rx_quiet)"	bookmarks. "74.5.4 Service pri FEC_ENERGY.inc "74.5.5 Service pri "74.5.5 FEC_LPIA	LSI Corporation <i>Comment Status</i> X gs make it impossible to reference to mitive from FEC for EEE support (or lication (optional)" mitive from PCS for EEE support (or CTIVE.request (optional)" mitive from PCS for EEE support (or Mitive from PCS for EEE support	he desired subject matter from the ptional)" should be "74.5.5 ptional)" should be	

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

November 2009

C/ 74 SC 74.7.4.7 Healey, Adam	P218 LSI Corporation	L 16	# 159	C/ 49 Healey, A	SC 4 .dam	9.1.5	P 146 LSI Corporatio	L 28 n	# 161
Comment Type TR It is proposed that the "Fec_block_lock is ident not implemented. Oth fec_rapid_block_lock What is fec_normal_t than this paragraph. F fec_block_lock is defi assignments of fec_b states are shown in u	Comment Status X e following paragraph be added to entical to fec_normal_block_lock v erwise fec_block_lock is fec_norm	when the optic nal_block_lock l can find no (Figure 74-3), . For some re FEC_BLOCH n inserted via	onal EEE capability is < OR occurence of it other it appears ason, the <_LOCK, and SLIP	Commen "If the the ir contr subla In the Suggeste	t Type e optional terface w ol powers yer has d e case of t dRemedy nd the par	vith the PI states in letected a the FEC s / ragraph a	Comment Status X Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capat MA sublayer (or FEC sublayer lower sublayers and energy_c a signal at the receiver." sublayer, it also includes rx_lp accordingly. Response Status O	bility is supporte r) includes rx_q detect that indic	uiet and tx_quiet to
	sed addition to 74.7.4.7. w fec_block_lock assignments in <i>Response Status</i> O	normal text (n	o underscore).	•	niel t <i>Type</i> rate terms	7 8.4.2.3 TR s tx_dll_e	P 232 HP ProCurve M Comment Status X enable, tx_dll_ready and rx_dll		# 162
link_status != OK. Pe after link_status = RE In addition, it should t able to successfully c rem_lpi_req are set to without setting link_st	P98 LSI Corporation Comment Status X of necessary to enforce entry into t r 22.7a.1, LP_IDLE.request should ADY so this requirement is reduce a made clear that, the for optional omplete training per Figure 40-15 o TRUE. This is due to the fact that atus != OK. This implies that the L or present "Assert LPI" at the GMI	l remain de-a dant. I EEE capabil a even when I t a 1000BASE PI client will b	ity, the PHY should be oc_lpi_req and/or E-T link may re-train	Com point trans while Suggeste Seard reflect Seard reflect	to the sta mission a entering/ dRemedy ch and Re t proper of ch and Re t proper of	ates. It is nd recep /exiting to / eplace tx_ definition. eplace tx_ definition.	_dll_ready and rx_dll_ready w	tate machine wo conditions are ne	orks on the bed to be considered and clean up tables to
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed	Respons	se	Response Status 0		
	= OK term from the transition into 140.4.2.4 per the comment.	the LOC_LPI_	_REQ_OFF state and						

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 78 SC 78.4.3.1	P 236 HP ProCurve N	L 41	# 163		35.4a.3.1	P 72 Hewlett-Packa	L 49	# 165
Dove, Daniel Comment Type E From the text:	Comment Status X	ietworki		Koenen, David <i>Comment Type</i> Two instances		ment Status X GMII in this paragrap		
During normal operati transmitting link partn Tw_sys, the local_sys LOCAL CHANGE stat smaller than the prese	on the transmitting link partner er wants to initiate a change to tem_change is asserted and th e where NEW_TX_VALUE is c ently advertised value of Tw_sys	the presently rest transmitting lin computed. +++ If or if the transm	solved value of Ik partner enters the the new value is hitting link partner is in	SuggestedRemedy Prefix MII with Proposed Respons	y a G.	onse Status O		
sync with the receiving link partner, then it enters TX UPDATE state. +++ Otherwise it returns to the RUNNING state. Comment: The portion in "+++" suggests that the local PHY's TX or RX state machine can request for a change in its currently advertised Tw_sys value. However it is also noted that this is only allowed it to reduce the value and there is no support to increase it or restore it to the previous value or a higher value. SuggestedRemedy Add clarifying text in 78.4.2.5 (and possibly in 78.4.3.1) that the Transmit Tw_sys must always be the same or longer than the Reciever Tw_sys, so that the receiving link partner will always be ready to accept data, prior to data being sent by the Transmit link.			Cl 49 SC 49.2.13.3.1 P156 L 26 # 166 Koenen, David Hewlett-Packard # 166 Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing arrow head on line from RX_QUIET to RX_LINK_FAIL. SuggestedRemedy Add arrow head. Proposed Response Response Status O					
Proposed Response	Response Status O			CI 36 SC 3 Koenen, David	86.2.5.1.3	P 76 Hewlett-Packa	L 35 rd	# 167
C/ 35 SC 35.2.2.4 Koenen, David	Р 66 Hewlett-Packa	L 42	# 164	Comment Type rx_lpi_active is anywhere.		ment Status X bears in the state diag	ram, but doesn't	appear to be used
Comment Type E Incorrect reference fo	Comment Status X			SuggestedRemedy Remove rx_lpi		and it's appearance i	n state diagrams	s, or use it somewhere.
				Proposed Respons	se Resp	onse Status O	-	
SuggestedRemedy Should be 35.2.2.4								

Comments received		IEEE P80	02.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient E	thernet	comme	nts		November 2009
<i>Cl</i> 51 <i>SC</i> 51.2 Koenen, David	P162 Hewlett-Packard	L1	# 168	<i>Cl</i> 14 Kasturia,		14.1.1	P15 Teranetics	L 36	# 171
in the PMA diagram or SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X Figure 49-4 & Figure 74-2 going to the PMA, but does not appear signal definitions. diagram and definitions or delete from the other figures and Response Status O			Comment TypeERComment StatusXDelete Figure 1 as it is unchanged from the base textSuggestedRemedyProposed ResponseResponse StatusO					
C/ 74 SC 74.4.1	P221	L 40	# 169	C/ 14 Kasturia,		14.3.1.2.1	P19 Teranetics	L 20	# 172
Koenen, David Comment Type T rx_lpi_active is not an SuggestedRemedy	Hewlett-Packard <i>Comment Status</i> X output of the FEC nor an input to	the PMA sublaye	er.		e Figure anged fro	om base te	Comment Status X is unchanged from the base text. Remove associated base te		
Delete from signal nar Proposed Response	ne from FEC to PMA on diagram. <i>Response Status</i> 0			Proposed	Respon	se	Response Status O		
C/ 72 SC 72.10	P 214 Teranetics	L 5	# 170	<i>Cl</i> 14 Kasturia,	SC Sanjay	14.4	P21 Teranetics	L 11	# [173
Kasturia, Sanjay Comment Type E Change "FED" to "FEC SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X			Page	21 line 1 21, line	28 - Delet	Comment Status X Fig 14-10 if unchanged from ba e Fig 14-11 if unchanged from b ext if unchanged from base tex	oase text	
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Suggeste Proposed		-	Response Status 0		

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 14 SC 14.4 Kasturia, Sanjay	I.1 P 22 Teranetics	L 20	# 174	<i>Cl</i> 74 Healey, Ad	SC 74.10.2.2 am	P 219 LSI Corporat	L 21 ion	# 177		
Comment Type El	R Comment Status X			Comment	Type TR Cor	nment Status X				
Delete Fig 14-12	if unchanged from base text				riable fec_rapid_block_					
SuggestedRemedy				fec_rap	oid_block_lock changes	state from FALSE to	TRUE." When is	s it set to FALSE?		
,				Referri	ng the FEC Lock state	diagram (Figure 74-3))			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			1 If rv	_lpi_active is TRUE and	the link partner's trai	nemission casea	e during the quiet		
					(!signal_ok), the state d					
		1.00	" [hy this transition is inhi					
C/ 24 SC 24.2		L 30	# 175		. However, there will be ut signal. It seems that i					
Kasturia, Sanjay	Teranetics				at some point during the					
Comment Type El				2 46 1	ong as fec_rapid_block_	lock edge is TRUE	the state diagram	n is held in the		
	are unchanged from base text. De cify the insertion point/location of c		se. Leave some if		Γ_CNT state.		the state diagram			
SuggestedRemedy					en fec_rapid_block_lock					
					he next available block. before fec_block_lock		for n = 4 consecu	utive good parity		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			CHECKS	Delote lec_block_lock	IS SEL DACK TO TRUE.				
					variable fec_signal_ok		,			
0.74 00.744		1.0	# 470	signal_ok*(fec_block_lock+fec_rapid_block_lock_edge). Therefore, this value will be set TRUE while fec_rapid_block_lock is TRUE, and then be set to FALSE for at least n = 4						
C/ 74 SC 74.1 Kasturia, Sanjay	1 P221 Teranetics	L 8	# 176	FEC blocks before being set to TRUE again.						
Comment Type TF	Comment Status X				fec_signal_ok variable					
	capabilities table to cover EEE. Re	emove editor's n	ote. Add shalls if		ve, and used in the PCS					
needed in the cla	use text.				onization, and then be f	0	,			
SuggestedRemedy							·	-		
					ended behavior is uncle	ear.				
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested						
				TRUE,	e intent is to have the P then it seems unneces on of fec_signal_ok.					
					e intent is to have the P pid_block_lock_edge is			pint is		
				FEC_E	BLOCK_LOCK where fe	c_block_lock is TRU	E. In this case, th	e term		
					rapid_block_lock_edge" es that the fec_rapid_bl					
					erroneous alignment wo					
					ther case, it seems that lity in 74.10.2.2 is not ne			he optional EEE		
TYPE: TR/technical re	equired ER/editorial required GR/	general required	d T/technical E/editorial G/o	peneral						
COMMENT STATUS:	D/dispatched A/accepted R/reject	ted RESPON	NSE STATUS: O/open W/w	ritten C/closed	U/unsatisfied Z/witho	Irawn		Page 36 of 6		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 177

11/6/2009 11:59:04 AM

FALSE. It seems that	ems necessary to define when this time should be (considerat			<i>Cl</i> 48 Estes, Da	SC 48.2.6.1. ve	2 P135 UNH - IC	L 49 DL	# 180
following its time of its Proposed Response	assertion. Response Status O			either	s currently define 3 lanes of K a			ode-groups consisting of
C/ 49 SC 49.2.13. Healey, Adam	LSI Corporatio	L 14 n	# 178	LI s	should also be inc	dicated for the reception 0.5/ or three /R/ and one	of an A which is p	receded by a column of
	Comment Status X _timer states that it is started in ram (Figure 49-17) it appears				ionally, the x de ly three characte	esignation is used to des rs of /K/ or /R/.	cribe a full column a	nd should not be used
RX_SLEEP state.				Suggeste	dRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Update the definition.				"The o		of LI from: le Sync or Skip code-gro three lanes of R and o		
Proposed Response Response Status O CI 46 SC 46.1.7 P125 L 20 # 179		# 179	and o	ne /D20.5/, or a o	g of three /K/ characters column of A preceded ee /R/ characters and on	by a column contain	ing three /K/ characters	
Estes, Dave Comment Type E "shall remain to be set	UNH - IOL Comment Status X to" should be "shall remain se	t to"		Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Change "shall remain	to be set to" to "shall remain se	et to"		<i>Cl</i> 49 Estes, Da	SC 49.2.4.7 ve	P 148 UNH - IC	L 7 DL	# 181
Proposed Response Response Status O		<i>Comment</i> Comn	51	Comment Status X ccepted but not all of the	text was changed.			
						6" on page 148 line 7 ar #130.	d on page 149 line 4	2 to fulfill the changes

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

November 2009

C/ 49 SC 49.2.6 Estes, Dave	<i>P</i> 149 UNH - IOL	L1	# 182	Cl 55 SC 55.3.5.2. Parnaby, Gavin	2 P179 Solarflare Co	L 33 ommunicat	# 185
Comment Type T scrambler_reset was re	Comment Status X moved in comment #456			Comment Type ER Separate the eee defir	Comment Status X	constants, timers,	functions, counters.
	d block synchronization in the ne registers ofscrambler shall IE."			SuggestedRemedy As comment Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
	P174	L 23	# 183	<i>Cl</i> 55 <i>SC</i> 55.3.5.4 Parnaby, Gavin	P 184 Solarflare Co	<i>L</i> ommunicat	# 186
Estes, Dave	UNH - IOL	L 23	# 183	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
be the 8B/10B codes fo SuggestedRemedy	ided for lp_idle are for the lp_ r lp_idle used in Clause 48. 28.5/D26.4" to "K28.0 or K28. Response Status 0			can monitor link quality and since some PHYs refresh cycles before li to go through a comple operation mode.	criteria used to drop the link y only during refreshes (and t may choose not to wake for ink drop is detected by both s ete training sequence, taking during LPI the ability of the P	then only for 4 LD all refreshes, it m sides of the link. T up to 2s, to return	PC frames (~1.2us)) hay take multiple Then both sides need n to the normal
Toposed Nesponse				refresh signaling since training. It would be ex	only 4 LDPC frames out of 5 tremely valuable to include a ver a disturbed link without a	512 can be used f method by which	or equalizer/echo
C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4 Estes, Dave	<i>P</i> 189 UNH - IOL	L 23	# 184	SuggestedRemedy See presentation.			
Comment Type T Comment #141 was acc	Comment Status X cepted but the text to define lo	pc_frame_done	e was not added.	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Add the text from comm	nent #141.						
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

C/ 49 SC 49.2.4.7 P148 L7 # 187 Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat	C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.13a P119 L 32 # 189 Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat
Comment Type TR Comment Status X The response to comment #466 (on Clause 55) on draft 2.0 said that the control cod /LI/ in clause 49 would be changed to 0x06.	
This was missed in the draft update.SuggestedRemedyChange the /Ll/ control code to 0x06 in clause 49 as agreed in the response to com#466 on draft 2.0.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusO	nment Clause 45.2.7.13a and 45.2.7.14a are inconsistent with the rest of the standard in that the format of NP and XNP are partially defined in this clause. In the rest of the standard, the formats of NP and XNP are separated from the control/status registers controlling and reporting the status of what's to be advertised/been advertised. (See Clause 40.5 for 1G and 55.6 for 10G). The current definition is more difficult to read/follow than the way pages have been previously defined in the standard. It is not clear from the text in 45.2.7.13a and 45.2.7.14a how many pages are being sent, whether these pages are regular next pages or extended next pages, and what the format of those pages is to be.
Cl 28D SC 28D.7 P 244 L 1619 # 188 Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat # Comment Type TR Comment Status X Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. In Annex 28D.7, it states that extended next pages "may" be used to reduce autonegotiation time. This statement is not normative. It's an informative note. It's also informative note. It's also	Extended Next Pages as defined in Clause 40.5 and 55.6 and remove this new message code/format. Option 2: Separate the definition of the message page/unformatted page out of Clause 45.2.7.13a and 45.2.7.14a and put the format of these pages and mapping of these bits into the EEE Clause 78 to make this consistent to the way 1G and 10G has been done previously. Insert tables into Clause 78 which define the number and format of NPs and/or XNP's similar to Clause 40.5 and 55.6.
incorrect. For 10GBASE-T, extended next pages are required. SuggestedRemedy Option 1 (preferred): Remove this informative note. Option 2: Clarify that for those technologies requiring XNP's (such as 10GBASE-T), XNP must be sent which is formatted based on the BASE-T EEE message page/unformatted message page as defined in Clause 78 (as suggested in another comment).	

Proposed Response Response

Response Status 0

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

Comment Type TR Comment Status X Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Tables 45-157a and 45-157b have multiple bits with the same designation without a clear multiple D0, D1 and D2. In Table 45-157b three are multiple U0, U1, and U2 bits. There are multiple U1, U1, and U2 bits. There are mere are are are are are are are are are	5 SC 45.2.7.13a P120 L12 # 190 aby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat	C/ 28C SC 28C.12 P 243 L 18 # 192 Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat Solarflare Communicat
Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Tables 45-157a have multiple bits with the same designation without a clear multiple D0. D1 and D2. In Table 45-157b there are multiple U0. U1, and U2 bits. There's no indication how thes bits may are mapped to the individual bits in the next pages. It's no indication how these bits are mapped to the individual bits in the next pages. It's no indication how these bits are mapped to the individual bits in the next pages and there are unseed. SugmestedRemedy: SuggestedRemedy: Option 1 (preferred): Use existing reserved bits for previously defined Next Pages and fact. 21.7.13a and 45.2.7.14a require new EEE Next Pages action (1/3) can XIM 2 defined in Clause 40.5. (to control EEE for 1000M 16/10G). Define existing reserved bits in clause 22.2 (for 1000BASE-T) and Clause 40.5. (to control EEE for 1000M 16/10G). Option 1 (preferred): Use existing reserved bits in clause 22.1 (for 1000BASE-T) and Clause 40.5. (to control EEE for 1000M 16/10G). Define existing reserved bits in clause 22.1 (for 1000BASE-T) and Clause 40.5. (to control EEE for 1000M 16/10G). Clause 40.5. and 55.6 and remove this and/or XNP's similar to clause 40.5 and 55.6 and remove this and/or XNP's similar to clause 40.5 and 55.6 and remove this and/or XNP's similar to clause 40.5 and 55.6 and remove this and/or XNP's similar to clause 40.5 and 55.6 and the match incluses 40.5 and 55.6 and remove this and/or XNP's similar to clause 40.5 and 55.6 and the remove similar beaches and to report link partner's BASE-T EEE and to report link partner's BASE-T EEE and to report link partner's BASE-T is control for make this constrained to make this constand to Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Also Page 1		
Tables 45-157a and 45-157b have multiple bits with the same designation without a clear indication of how the bits map to the pages. For example, in Table 45-157 there are multiple 100, U1, and U2 bits. There ar		
indication of how the bits map to the pages. For example, in Table 45-157 a there are multiple D0. 01 and D2. In Table 45-157 a there are multiple D0. 01, and U2 bits. There are multiple bits in the extended sate he PHY must send bits for technologies it does not support and send many bits which are unused. SuggestedRemedy Option 1 (preferred): Use existing reserved bits for previously defined hext Pages as defined in Clause 40.5 (to control EEE for 100M/1G/10G). Define existing reserved bits in Clause 22.6 (to control BASE-T EEE and to report link partner's BASE-T (and PAM the format of these pages and mapping of these bits into the EEE Clause 78 to make this consistent to the way 1G and 10G has been done previously. Insert tables into Clause 78.8 which define the number and format of NPs and/or XNP's similar to Clause 40.5 and 55.6. roposed Response Response Status 0 14 0 SC 40.5.1.1 P 108 L31 # 191 arraby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat Comment Status X Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Clause 40.5 previously only referred to control/status bits in Clause 42.7 to control the advertisement/status of EEE. UggestedRemedy Add EEE control/status bits in Clause 42.2.7 to control the advertisement/status bits in the use 40.5 refer to these control/status bits in the use 40.5 refer to these control/status bits in Clause 42.2.7 to control the advertisement/status bits in the use 40.5 refer to these control/status bits in Clause 42.2.7 to control the advertisement/status bits in the use 40.5 refer to these control/status bits in Clause 42.2.7 to control the advertisement/status bits in the use 40.5 refer to these control/status bits in the use 40.5 refer to these control/status bits in the use 40.5 refer to these control/status bits in the use 40.5 refer to these control/status bits instend of the bi		
register map to the same bits in the unformatited page/pages. Suggested/Remedy Option 1 (preferred): Use existing reserved bits for previously defined Next Pages and Extended Next Pages as defined in Clause 40.5 and 55.6 and remove this new message code/format. Option 2: Separate the definition of the NP and XNP out of Clause 45.2.7.13a and 45.2.7.14a and put the format of these pages and mapping of these bits into the EEE Clause 78 to make this consistent to the way 1G and 10G has been done previously. Insert tables into Clause 78 which define the number and format of NPs and/or XNP's similar to Clause 40.5 and 55.6. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Clause 40.5 and 55.6. Proposed Response Status 0 Clause 40.5 and 55.7. Proposed Response Status 0 Clause 40.5 nervicusly only referred to control/status bits in Clause 22. This section refers to a mixture of Clause 45.2.7 bits. This require implementation of both Clause 40.5 previously only referred to control/status bits in Clause 45.2.7 to control the advertisement/status of EEE. Suggested/Remedy Add EEE control/status bits into Clause 45.2.7. to control the advertisement/status bits into Clause 40.5 refer to these control/status bits instead of the bits in Clause 40.5 refer to these control/status bits instead of the bits in Clause 45.2.7.	ndication of how the bits map to the pages. For example, in Table 45-157a there are nultiple D0, D1 and D2. In Table 45-157b there are multiple U0, U1, and U2 bits. There's no indication how these bits are mapped to the individual bits in the next pages. It's not	and new message codes adding 1/2 second during autonegotiation. This time is largely wasted as the PHY must send bits for technologies it does not support and send many bits
UggestedRemedy Option 1 (preferred): Use existing reserved bits for previously defined Next Pages and Extended Next Pages as defined in Clause 45.6 and 55.6 and remove this new message code/format. Use existing reserved bits in existing NP's defined in Clause 45.2 (for 1000BASE-T) and Clause 45.2.7 (for 100M/1G) and XNP defined in Clause 25.6 (in control BASE-T EEE for 1000BASE-T) and Clause 45.2.7 (for 100BASE-T) to control the adventising of BASE-T EEE and to report link partner's BASE-T code/format. Option 2: Separate the definition of the NP and XNP out of Clause 45.2.7.13a and 45.2.7.14a and put the format of these pages and mapping of these bits into the EEE Clause 78 to make this consistent to the way 16 and 10G has been done previously. Insert tables into Clause 78 with the format of NP's and/or XNP's similar to Clause 40.5 and 55.6. Clause 10.5 (and SNP defined in Clause 45.2.7.13a P120 L12 # [193] Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat Solarflare Communicat Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Also Page 122 Lines 12-33 Clause 40.5 previously only referred to control/status bits in Clause 22. This section refers to a mixture of Clause 22 and Clause 45.2.7 to control the advertisement/status of EEE. Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. SubgestedRemedy Add EEE control/status bits in Clause 45.2.7 to control the advertisement/status of EEE. L12 # [193] Proposed Response Response Status O Clause 22 and Clause 45.2.7 to control the advertisement/status of EEE.		SuggestedRemedy
Option 1 (preferred): Use existing reserved bits for previously defined Next Pages and Extended Next Pages as defined in Clause 40.5 and 55.6 and remove this new message code/format. Option 2: Separate the definition of the NP and XNP out of Clause 45.2.7.13a and 45.2.7.14a and put the format of these pages and mapping of these bits into the EEE Clause 78 to make this consistent to the way 1G and 10G has been done previously. Insert tables into Clause 40.5 and 55.6. Define existing reserved bits in Clause 22 (for 1000BASE-T) and Clause 45.2.7.13a and 45.2.7.14a and put the format of these pages and mapping of these bits into the EEE Clause 78 to make this consistent to the way 1G and 10G has been done previously. Insert tables into Clause 45.6. Define existing reserved bits in Clause 42.1.1 (Dot NUC). Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 40 SC 40.5.1.1 P 108 L 31 # 191 Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat Solarflare Communicat Comment Type TR Comment Status X Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Solarflare. Also Page 122 Lines 12-33 Tables 45-157 to use U0-U2 while Table 45-157 a uses D0-D1. Suggested/Remedy Add EEE Suggested/Remedy Add EEE Solard status bits in Clause 42.2.7. to control the advertisement/status of EEE. Suggested/Remedy Add EEE Solare 22 and Clause 45.2.7. to control the advertisement/status bits instead of the bits in Clause 40.5 refer to these control/status bits instead of the bits in Clause 45.2.7.		
45.2.7.14a and put the format of these pages and mapping of these bits into the EEE Clause 78 to make this consistent to the way 1G and 10G has been done previously. Insert tables into Clause 78 to make this consistent to the number and format of NPs and/or XNP's similar to Clause 40.5 and 55.6. C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.13a P120 L12 # 193 Perposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 SC 40.5.1.1 P108 L31 # 191 Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat Solarflare Communicat Comment Type TR Comment Status X Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Clause 40.5 previously only referred to control/status bits in Clause 45.2.7 bits. This require implementation of both Clause 22 registers and the MMD 7 register in Clause 45.2.7 to control the advertisement/status of EEE. SuggestedRemedy Add EEE control/status bits into Clause 45.2.7. Ease 40.5 refer to these control/status bits instead of the bits in Clause 45.2.7. These 40.5 refer to these	Dption 1 (preferred): Use existing reserved bits for previously defined Next Pages and Extended Next Pages as defined in Clause 40.5 and 55.6 and remove this new message code/format.	Define existing reserved bits in Clause 22 (for 1000BASE-T) and Clause 45.2.7 (for 10GBASE-T) to control the advertising of BASE-T EEE and to report link partner's BASE-T
Proposed Response Response Status O Paraby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat Comment Type TR Comment Status X Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Also Page 122 Lines 12-33 Tables 45-157b use different indicators for the bits in the unformatted message page. Table 45-157b uses U0-U2 while Table 45-157a uses D0-D1. Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Clause 40.5 previously only referred to control/status bits in Clause 22. This section refers to a mixture of Clause 22 and Clause 45.2.7 bits. This require implementation of both Clause 22 and Clause 45.2.7 to control the advertisement/status of EEE. Both should use U0-U2. SuggestedRemedy Add EEE control/status bits into Clause 22 and make Clause 40.5 refer to these control/status bits instead of the bits in Clause 45.2.7. Response Response Status O	15.2.7.14a and put the format of these pages and mapping of these bits into the EEE Clause 78 to make this consistent to the way 1G and 10G has been done previously. Insert ables into Clause 78 which define the number and format of NPs and/or XNP's similar to	
Chapter of hosponie of		
C/ 40 SC 40.5.1.1 P 108 L 31 # 191 Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat Solarflare Communicat Comment Type TR Comment Status X Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Clause 40.5 previously only referred to control/status bits in Clause 22. This section refers to a mixture of Clause 22 and Clause 45.2.7 bits. This require implementation of both Clause 22 registers and the MMD 7 register in Clause 45.2.7 to control the advertisement/status of EEE. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add EEE control/status bits into Clause 45.2.7. Response Status O	osed Response Response Status O	Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat
C/ 40 SC 40.5.1.1 P108 L 31 # 191 Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat Solarflare Communicat Comment Type TR Comment Status X Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Solarflare to control/status bits in Clause 22. This section refers to a mixture of Clause 22 and Clause 45.2.7 bits. This require implementation of both Clause 22 registers and the MMD 7 register in Clause 45.2.7 to control the advertisement/status of EEE. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add EEE control/status bits into Clause 22 and make Clause 40.5 refer to these control/status bits in Clause 45.2.7. Also Page 122 Lines 12-33		
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Clause 40.5 previously only referred to control/status bits in Clause 22. This section refers to a mixture of Clause 22 and Clause 45.2.7 bits. This require implementation of both Clause 22 registers and the MMD 7 register in Clause 45.2.7 to control the advertisement/status of EEE. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add EEE control/status bits into Clause 22 and make Clause 40.5 refer to these control/status bits into Clause 45.2.7. Response Response Status O		
Clause 40.5 previously only referred to control/status bits in Clause 22. This section refers to a mixture of Clause 22 and Clause 45.2.7 bits. This require implementation of both Clause 22 registers and the MMD 7 register in Clause 45.2.7 to control the advertisement/status of EEE. SuggestedRemedy Add EEE control/status bits into Clause 22 and make Clause 40.5 refer to these control/status bits instead of the bits in Clause 45.2.7.		
Clause 40.5 previously only referred to control/status bits in Clause 22. This section refers to a mixture of Clause 22 and Clause 45.2.7 bits. This require implementation of both Clause 22 registers and the MMD 7 register in Clause 45.2.7 to control the advertisement/status of EEE. SuggestedRemedy Add EEE control/status bits into Clause 22 and make Clause 40.5 refer to these control/status bits instead of the bits in Clause 45.2.7.	Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare.	SuggestedRemedy
to a mixture of Clause 22 and Clause 45.2.7 bits. This require implementation of both Clause 22 registers and the MMD 7 register in Clause 45.2.7 to control the advertisement/status of EEE. uggestedRemedy Add EEE control/status bits into Clause 22 and make Clause 40.5 refer to these control/status bits instead of the bits in Clause 45.2.7.	Clause 40.5 previously only referred to control/status bits in Clause 22. This section refers	
Add EEE control/status bits into Clause 22 and make Clause 40.5 refer to these control/status bits instead of the bits in Clause 45.2.7.	o a mixture of Clause 22 and Clause 45.2.7 bits. This require implementation of both Clause 22 registers and the MMD 7 register in Clause 45.2.7 to control the	Proposed Response Response Status O
control/status bits instead of the bits in Clause 45.2.7.	yestedRemedy	
Proposed Response Response Status O		
	osed Response Response Status O	

Comments received

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

27 45 SC 45.2.7.14a P121 L16 # 194	C/ 14 SC 14.1.1 P15 L36 # 196
arnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat	Chadha, Mandeep Vitesse Semiconducto
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare.	Comment Type E Comment Status X Figure 14-1 is unchanged from the base text
Also Ppage 122 line 5.	SuggestedRemedy
The name of Register 7.61 in Clause 45.2.7 is inconsistent with the names of other similar	Delete figure 14-1
autonegotiation registers in Clause 45.2.7 and Clause 22. Outgoing/control registers are called "advertisement" registers while link partner/incoming status registers are called "ability" registers.	Proposed Response Response Status O
uggestedRemedy	C/ 14 SC 14.3.1.2.1 P19 L9 # 197
Change the name of register 7.61 from "EEE link partner advertisement" to "EEE link partner ability". Change any reference to this register to this new name (such as in Clause	Chadha, Mandeep Vitesse Semiconducto
40.5 Page 108 Line 34).	Comment Type E Comment Status X
Proposed Response Response Status O	Figure 14-8 is unchanged from the base text.
	SuggestedRemedy
7 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P188 L23 # 195	Delete figure 14-8
arnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	
There are no means to monitor RX wake errors in the current draft. Wake errors are	C/ 14 SC 14.3.1.2.1 P19 L20 # 198
monitored in 1000BASE-T.	Chadha, Mandeep Vitesse Semiconducto
There are no means to monitor TX wake errors in the current draft.	Comment Type E Comment Status X Figure 14-9 is unchanged from the base text
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Add a counter which increments in the RX_W rx wake on error condition and the management to support this counter.	Delete figure 14-9
Add a counter which increments in the TX_WE tx wake on error condition and the management to support this counter.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	
	C/ 14 SC 14.3.1.2.1 P19 L 36 # 199
	Chadha, Mandeep Vitesse Semiconducto
	Comment Type E Comment Status X Table 14-1 is unchanged from the base text
	SuggestedRemedy
	Delete table 14-1
	Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comments received		IEEE F	P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Et	hernet comm	ents			November 2009
C/ 14 SC 14.4 Chadha, Mandeep	P 21 Vitesse Semi	L 10 iconducto	# 200	<i>Cl</i> 46 Brown, Ma	SC 46.3.2.4 a		P 127 pliedMicro (L 33 (AMCC)	# 203
Comment Type E Figure 14-10 is unchar SuggestedRemedy Delete figure 14-10 Proposed Response	Comment Status X nged from the base text Response Status O			Claus should Note t	DLE on XGMII is i e 55, then LP_ID d at least mention that another com dles follow LP_ID	LE might be follow n this.	d by IDLE (4 ved by Loca	l Fault ordered s	sent instead or that
C/ 14 SC 14.4 Chadha, Mandeep	P 21 Vitesse Semi	L 28 iconducto	# 201	Add n	-	E may be followed Response State	,	It ordered sets ra	ather than IDLE.
Comment Type E Figure 14-11 is unchar SuggestedRemedy Delete figure 14-11 Proposed Response	Comment Status X nged from the base text Response Status O			instan	<i>Type</i> T ble 55-1, 8B/10B ble, the idle row I	Ap Comment Stat column is for code	s used in 10 K28.5 which	0GBASE-X not and are used in 10	# 204
Cl 14 SC 14.4.1 Chadha, Mandeep Comment Type E Figure 14-12 is unchar SuggestedRemedy Delete figure 14-12	P22 Vitesse Semi <i>Comment Status</i> X nged from base text	L 13 iconducto	# [<u>202</u>]	Suggester Delete D20.5 Add ic Add fo	dRemedy e "K28.5/D6.5" ar ;" dle row and chan	nd "K28.5/D26.4" a ge 8B/10B column ows "Use of idle an <i>Response Stat</i> i	nd replace to "K28.0, I d lp_idle or	with "K28.0, or I K28.3, or K28.5	without D20.5".
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggester Chan 16".	Type E eference to figure dRemedy	Ap Comment Stat e.	" to "64B/65	`````	# 205

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

November 2009

C/ 55 SC 0 Brown, Matt	P 0 L 0 AppliedMicro (AMCC)	# 206	C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.2.4 P182 L 9 # 209 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) # 209
<i>Comment Type</i> E Many instances of both meaning. The latter is u	Comment Status X "EEE capability" and "LPI capability", but both used only in Clause 55.	have the same	Comment Type E Comment Status X wording
SuggestedRemedy Change "LPI capability Proposed Response	" to "EEE capability". Response Status O		SuggestedRemedy Change "to the eight types" To "to one of eight types"
C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2	P173 L52	# 207	Proposed Response Response Status O
Brown, Matt Comment Type E	AppliedMicro (AMCC)		C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.2.5 P182 L 47 # 210 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) 4 10 </td
wording SuggestedRemedy Change "MAC across t	he XGMII" to "MAC via the XGMII".		Comment Type E Comment Status X wording counts when frames are not being transmitted
Proposed Response	Response Status O		SuggestedRemedy On line 47 change
C/ 55 SC 55.3.4a.3 Brown, Matt	P179 L18 AppliedMicro (AMCC)	# 208	"that counts transmitted LDPC frames" to "that counts transmit LPDC frame periods"
Comment Type E "alert" and "refresh" are	Comment Status X e signals		On line 53 change
SuggestedRemedy Change	transmitted in place of the refresh."		"that counts received LDPC frames" to "that counts receive LPDC frame periods"
То	nall be transmitted in place of the refresh signa	l."	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response	Response Status O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

November 2009

Cl 55 SC 55.3.5.4 Brown, Matt	P 189 AppliedMicro	L 8 (AMCC)	# 211	Cl 55 SC 55.4.2.4 Brown, Matt	P 192 AppliedMicro	L 40 (AMCC)	# 214
Comment Type E comparison to boolean	Comment Status X			Comment Type E Last sentence refers t state diagram.	Comment Status X o deleted state diagram. The	functionality was	moved to the PCS
SuggestedRemedy Change "tx_lpi_req=tru Proposed Response	ue" to "tx_lpi_req". Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Delete sentence "The receive state s	signalling sleep."		
C/ 55 SC 55.4.2.4 Brown, Matt	P 192 AppliedMicro	L 38	# 212	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type E alert is a 4 frame signa xpr_master or xpr_slav SuggestedRemedy Change "(3.5 LDPC	Comment Status X Ils comprised of 3.5 frame per ve sequence followed by 0.5 f	riods (7 repeats) rame periods (12	28 symbols) of zero.	SuggestedRemedy	3.1 P156 AppliedMicro Comment Status X ency re-name SCR_BYPASS SS to TX_WAKE_SCR_BYPA Response Status O	S to TX_WAKE_S	# 2 <u>15</u> CR_BYPASS.
Proposed Response Cl 55 SC 55.4.2.5.	Response Status O	L11	# 213	C/ 49 SC 49.2.13. Brown, Matt	3 P155 AppliedMicro	L 11 (AMCC)	# 216
SuggestedRemedy Delete sentence "PHYs with the EEE	AppliedMicro Comment Status X o deleted state diagram. figure 55-27a."	(AMCC)		Comment Type ER Remove comparisons SuggestedRemedy Change "rx_lpi_active Proposed Response	Comment Status X		
"PHYs with the EEE Proposed Response	figure 55-27a." Response Status O			Proposea Response	Response Status O		

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

CI 48 SC 48.2.6.2 P 140 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro	L 24 (AMCC)	# 217	Cl 46 SC 46.3.2.2 P127 L 8 # 220 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC)
Comment Type ER Comment Status X Remove comparisons to logical values.			Comment Type ER Comment Status X Should be more specific about use of 06.
SuggestedRemedy Change "rx_lpi_active = FALSE" to "!rx_lpi_active". Proposed Response Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Change "Decription" to "Only valid on all four lanes to indicate LP_IDLE is asserted." Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 49 SC 48.2.6.2 P138 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro	L 52 (AMCC)	# 218	Cl 46 SC 46.3.1.5a P126 L42 # 221 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) 4 221 4 221 4 221 4
Comment Type ER Comment Status X			Comment Type ER Comment Status X
Transitions are on ordered_sets not code groups.			In Figure 46-7a, it would be instructive to show the LP_IDLE.request that triggers the
SuggestedRemedy Change code-groups to ordered_sets. (yeah, that ur	nderscore's suppor	sed to be there)	assertionof LP_IDLE on the XGMII.
SuggestedRemedy Change code-groups to ordered_sets. (yeah, that un Proposed Response Response Status O 	L12	sed to be there) # 219	assertionof LP_IDLE on the XGMII. SuggestedRemedy Add a signal showing the LP_IDLE.request assert message and indicate it as the impetu
SuggestedRemedy Change code-groups to ordered_sets. (yeah, that un Proposed Response Response Status O CI 46 SC 46.1.3.2 P126 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro	L12		assertionof LP_IDLE on the XGMII. SuggestedRemedy Add a signal showing the LP_IDLE.request assert message and indicate it as the impetu for asserting LP_IDLE on the XGMII.
SuggestedRemedy Change code-groups to ordered_sets. (yeah, that un Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 46 SC 46.1.3.2 P 126 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro Comment Type ER Comment Status X Should be more specific about use of 06. SuggestedRemedy Change "Decription" to	L12		assertionof LP_IDLE on the XGMII. SuggestedRemedy Add a signal showing the LP_IDLE.request assert message and indicate it as the impetu for asserting LP_IDLE on the XGMII. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 46 SC 46.3.2.2 P127 L37 # 222
SuggestedRemedy Change code-groups to ordered_sets. (yeah, that un Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 46 SC 46.1.3.2 P126 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro Comment Type ER Comment Status X Should be more specific about use of 06. SuggestedRemedy	L12		assertionof LP_IDLE on the XGMII. SuggestedRemedy Add a signal showing the LP_IDLE.request assert message and indicate it as the impetut for asserting LP_IDLE on the XGMII. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 46 SC 46.3.2.2 P127 L37 # 222 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) Comment Type ER Comment Status X In Figure 46-8a, it would be instructive to show the LP_IDLE.indication that results upon

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 46 SC 46.4a.1 P 128 L 40 # 223 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMi	C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2.0 P 174 L 38 # 226 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC)
Comment Type ER Comment Status X LPI indication goes to LPI client.	Comment Type ER Comment Status X Use Ip_idle to indicate Ip idle characters. Also, "/LI/s" seems like bad syntax.
SuggestedRemedy Change "station management entity" to "LPI client".	SuggestedRemedy Change "/LI/s may be added following LPI" to "/LI/ control characters may be added
Proposed Response Response Status O	following lp_idle".
	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 55 SC 55.2.2.3.1 P171 L47 # 224	C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2.21 P175 L47 # 227
Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)	Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)
Comment Type ER Comment Status X Description of pma_unitdata.request is not consistent with ALERT request. Changing the	Comment Type ER Comment Status X
Note: This seems like an awkward way to request an action. A more consistent approach would be to use a request signal, e.g., PCS_TX_ALERT.request(alert). When alert = TRUE, PMA sends alert, else PMA sends data from PMA_UNITDATA.request. SuggestedRemedy Change description to "During transmission, and BI_DD. For EEE capable PHYs, the vector also requests the PMA to send the ALERT signal during LPI. The tx_symb_vector parameter takes on the form:" Proposed Response Response Status O	two modes. The use of the word maximum seems to have two meanings here. It would clear things up immensely to give different variable names to the timer values for "during sleep" and "after sleep". <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> On page 175, line 4648 Change "The maximum PHY wake time, lpi_wake_timer, is 7.36 us (lpi_wake_timer=Tw_phy as defined by Clause 78), which occurs only when wake is requested before sleep has been transmitted. Typically, wake will be requested after the sleep signal is transmitted and in
C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2.1 P 174 L 7 # 225 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC) # Comment Type ER Comment Status X Blocks and frames have as much or as little significance in LPI mode as in any other mode Also, LPDC frame boundaries delimit LPI cycles. So retain, legacy wording and change new sentence. Blocks	 this case the maximum PHY wake time value is 4.48 us." To "Typically, wake will be requested after the sleep signal is transmitted and in this case the maximum PHY wake time, phy_wake_timer, is 4.48 us. When wake is requested before sleep has been transmitted the maximum PHY wake time, is 7.36 us to allow extra time at the receiver for the sleep sequence to complete. In either case, the wake signal will be sent for a minimum time as indicated by phy_wake_timer."
SuggestedRemedy Change two sentences from "Outside the LPI and alert times." to "Blocks and frames a	Proposed Response Response Status O
unobservable and have no meaning outside the PCS. During the LPI mode, LDPC frame boundaries delimit sleep, wake, refresh, quiet and alert cycles."	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

CI 55 SC 55.3.2.2.21 P 176 L 3 # 228 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) Image: Compare the second secon	C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 183 L 10 # 231 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) 4 4 231 4
Comment Type ER Comment Status X Header in column 1 is incorrect.	Comment Type ER Comment Status X What is a sleep block?
SuggestedRemedy Change "lpi_tx_wake_time" to "lpi_wake_time". Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Change "from the time that the 64B/65B receiver detects a sleep block" To "from the time that the 64B/65B receiver enters TX_L state"
C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2.21 P 176 L 3 # 229 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type ER Comment Status X Fix wording in headers of columns 2 and 3.	C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3.1 P 157 L 19 # 232 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) 4 232 4
SuggestedRemedy Change "Ipi_wake_timer during sleep" to "Ipi_wake_timer when wake starts before sleep signal is complete". Change "Ipi_wake_time after sleep" to "Ipi_wake_time when wake starts after sleep signal is complete [or during quiet/refresh]."	Comment Type T Comment Status X Transition criteria from RX_SLEEP to RX_ACTIVE or RX_SLEEP not consistent with rest of SM. R_TYPE is elsewhere anded with rx_block_lock. SuggestedRemedy Simula fin
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 55 SC 55.3.4a.1 P177 L41 # 230 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) Comment Type ER Comment Status X symmetric low power mode is not defined SurgeostedPomedy	Simple fix Change "R_TYPE(rx_coded) = IDLE" to "(R_TYPE(rx_coded) = IDLE) * rx_block_lock". Alternately Consider/define (R_TYPE(x) = y) being TRUE to include the condition that rx_block_lock = TRUE. In which case, we can clean up the SM by removing the rx_block_lock condition from the following transitions: RX_WAKE to RX_SLEEP RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE RX_WTF to RX_ACTIVE RX_WTF to RX_ACTIVE RX_ACTIVE to RX_SLEEP
SuggestedRemedy change "during the symmetric low power mode" to "when both transmit and receive are in LPI mode."	Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comments received		IEEE	P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Ethernet comm	nents	Nov	ember 200
C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2.21 Brown, Matt	P 175 AppliedMicro (A	L 9 MCC)	# 233	C/ 55 SC 0 Brown, Matt	Р 0 AppliedMicro (A		236
Comment Type T Co Presumably, the scrambler co SuggestedRemedy Change sentence to: "After the sleep signal is trans scrambler continuously and th mode ends."	mment Status X ontinues to run as well. smitted, LP_IDLE charac	ers shall be ir		Comment Type T In many figures, there required by non-EEE EEE PHYs. SuggestedRemedy Wherever there is sta	Comment Status X e is a statement " mandatory for PHYs and might be interpreted a tement "mandatory for EEE cap te "mandatory for EEE-capable Response Status O	EEE." This doesn't sa is saying that its option pablity" or similar state	al for non- ment also
Cl 55 SC 55.3.2.2.21 Brown, Matt Comment Type T Co The last two sentences are no	P 176 AppliedMicro (A mment Status X		# 234				
SuggestedRemedy Change "The /LI/ normal operation." To "The PHY receive sends /I/ to operation decoding received of XGMII."	the XGMII for 9 LDPC f	ame periods t					
Proposed Response Res	ponse Status O						
C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.2.3 Brown, Matt	P 181 AppliedMicro (A	L 18 MCC)	# 235				
Comment Type T Co Defintion of "lpi_rx_wake_time	<i>mment Status</i> X er" does not match SM.						
SuggestedRemedy Change defintion to "This timer defines the time th ALERT signal is detected."	e receiver continues to	end IDLE and	l/or LF blocks after the				

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3 P 154 L 46 # 237 rown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedM	C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3.1 P 156 L 43 # 238 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) 4			
<pre>comment Type TR Comment Status X It relates to the state machine in Figure 49-14 and the definition of T_BLOCK_TYPE C and LI on pages 150 and 151. T_BLOCK_TYPE LI is specified as including cases with either 8 /LI/ or 4x/LI/+4x/I/. As the state machine in Figure 49-14 is currently defined this allows and requires transition to low power mode (TX_LI state) if either is detected. Transition to low power mode upon detection of 4x/LI/+4x/I/ should not be permitted. However, provision is required to allow for this special case while in the TX_LI state. Also, 4x/I/+4x/LI/ is a valid block and should not result in an error block. uggestedRemedy Define LII as "LII: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then LII occurs when the vector contains either (a) four /LI/ control characters followed by four /I/ control characters or (b) four /I/ control characters followed by four /I/ control characters." Re-define LI as "LI: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then the LI type occurs when the</pre>	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Transition from RX_REF_SCR_BYPASS or RX_REF_SCR_ON to TX_WAKE will cause result in far end receiver transitting to RX_ACTIVE state the receiving random behaviour when local TX is in SCR_BYPASS state (should be labelled TX_WAKE_SCR_BYPASS). SuggestedRemedy Change SM as follows: (1) change transition "TX_REFRESH_SCR_BYPASS-TX_WAKE" to TX_REFRESH_SCR_BYPASS-TX_ACTIVE (2) For (1) change criteria from "T_TYPE(tx_raw)=I" to "(T_TYPE(tx_raw)=I)*one_us_timer_done" (3) change transition "TX_REFRESH_SCR_ON-TX_WAKE to TX_REFRESH_SCR_ON-TX_ACTIVE" Proposed Response Response Status O			
vector contains eight control characters of /LI/." Re-define first criteria of C as eight valid control characters other than /O/, /S/, /T/, /E/ and /LI/.	C/ 49 SC 49.2.6 P 149 L 1 # 239 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicr			
In Figure 49-14 Change the transition criteria as follows: TX_INIT to TX_C: T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(C+LII) TX_C to TX_C: T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(C+LII) TX_D to TX_E: T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(E+C+S+LI+LII) TX_E to TX_C: T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(C+LII) TX_T to TX_C: T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(C+LII) TX_LI to TX_LI: T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(LI+LII) roposed Response Response Status 0	Comment Type TR Comment Status X I think this sentence was unintentionally retained. Scrambler reset is no longer required and has been replaced by scrambler bypass. SuggestedRemedy Replace sentence with "To aid block synchronization in the receiver when optional LPI function is supported, a scrambler bypass will be provided. When scrambler_bypass = true the scrambler bypass is used and the scrambler will otherwise continue to operate normally."			

Comments received IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Ethernet comments November 2009
C/ 46 SC 46.3.1.5a P126 L 21 # 240 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) Image: Compare the second	C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 186 L 24 # 242 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) 4 4 242 4
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Throughout this sub-clause there are references to the LPI client. The LPI client is the MAC and this section describes RS Transmit functionality. The LPI client indicates LPI request through LP_IDLE.request. This section descript LPI request through the XGMII. SuggestedRemedy Change all instances of "LPI Client" to "RS". Proposed Response Response Status	Comment Type TR Comment Status X In Figure 55-15a state TX_WE, local fault blocks are sent to indicate that the link has failed. It is previously sent only form transmit when transmit is in reset mode and from receive when receive is in reset or the input has failed (e.g., loss of block lock). A stream of local faults generates a local fault alarm at the RS and indicates that a link is failed and triggers re-calculation of routing tables at higher layers. Also, the state is wake error not wake fault :). Normally, error characters or blocks are used to convey that an error event has occurred. In TX_WE state, send error blocks instead of local faults. SuggestedRemedy
Cl 46 SC 46.3.2.4a P 127 L 18 # 241 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) 241 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Throughout this sub-clause there are references to the LPI client. The LPI client is the MAC and this section describes RS Receive functionality. X	In TX_WE state, change "tx_coded <= LBLOCK_T" to "tx_coded <= EBLOCK_T". On page 175, line 42, change "local fault 64B/65B blocks" to "64B/65B error blocks". Proposed Response Response Status 0
The LPI client receives LPI indication through LP_IDLE.indication. This section describes LPI indication through the XGMII. SuggestedRemedy Change all instances of "LPI Client" to "RS". Proposed Response Response Status O	Cl 55 SC 55.3.4a.1 P 177 L 27 # 243 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) 243 Comment Type TR Comment Status X 243 Loop timing in slave mode is never explicitly stated as a requirement for EEE. SuggestedRemedy Change "Non-loop timed links are not supported by EEE." To "An EEE capable PHY shall support loop timing and loop timing shall be enabled."

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Comments received		IEEE I	P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Ethernet co	omments			November 2009
C/ 55 SC 55 Brown, Matt	P 182 AppliedMicro (A	L 29 MCC)	# 244	CI 55 SC 55. Grimwood, Michael	3.5.2.4	P 181 Broadcom	L 34	# 247
	Comment Status X RBLOCKS not TBLOCKS.				nition of R_BL	nment Status X OCK_TYPE C to be co in another comment.	onsistent with th	e new definition for
SuggestedRemedy Redefine LI and LII T_B Proposed Response	LOCK types for XGMII. Response Status 0			SuggestedRemedy Change:				
C/ 55 SC 55.4.2.5.14 Brown, Matt	4 P193 AppliedMicro (A	L 18 MCC)	# 245	a) A block type fi	eld of 0x1E a	ctrl header of 1 and on nd eight valid control cl supported, none of whit	haracters, none	
Comment Type TR The MDI/MDIX function Sentence should be re-v	Comment Status X should apply to the ALERT sig vorded, regardless.	gnal as well.		a) A block type fi	eld of 0x1E a	ctrl header of 1 and on nd eight valid control cl		0
SuggestedRemedy Change sentence to For EEE capable PHYs, Proposed Response	the MDI/MDIX function shall a Response Status 0	apply to refres	h and alert signalling.	Proposed Response		P108 Broadcom	L 22	# 248
C/ 55 SC 55.5.3.5 srown, Matt	P 193 AppliedMicro (A	L 45 MCC)	# 246	Comment Type T Clock stop capal SuggestedRemedy		nment Status X bit and therefore shoul	ld be RO not R/	W.
Comment Type TR The frequency variation SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X should apply when changint to	o and from low	power mode as well.	Change the Cloc Proposed Response	• •	e Type field entry from bonse Status O	R/W to RO	
Add sentence The short-term frequenc mode.	y variation limit shall also app	y when switch	ing to and from LPI	Cl 45 SC 45. Grimwood, Michael	2.3.2	P 116 Broadcom	L 47	# 249
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy	ole is a status	nment Status X bit and therefore shoul e R/W field entry from		W.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 249

Page 51 of 65 11/6/2009 11:59:04 AM

Comments received		IEEE F	802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Ethernet	comments			November 2009
C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.2.4 Grimwood, Michael	P 182 Broadcom	L 8	# 250	Cl 55 SC 5 Grimwood, Michael	5.3.5.2.4	P 182 Broadcom	L 14	# 252
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ypes T_BLOCK_TYPE may I e types" to: "one of the fi Response Status O		PI is not supported.	In the T_BLOC conflict (anothe <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change:	K_TYPE definit r comment add	nment Status X tion, type C conflicts wi Iresses LII by redefinin		e type C to eliminate
CI 55 SC 55.3.5.2.4 Grimwood, Michael Comment Type T	P182 Broadcom Comment Status X	L 28	# 251		ontrol character than eight vali	the following: 's other than /O/, /S/, /J d control characters of		
The definition of LI needs opposed to 65-bit RX blo	s to be consistent with the wo	ording for a 72-b	it tx_raw vector (as	C; The vector c a) eight valid co		the following: 's other than /O/, /S/, /I	7, /E/, and /LI/.	
•	wer Idle function is supporte rl header of 1, a block type fir			Proposed Respons	e Resp	ponse Status O		
To: LI: If the optional Low Po control characters of /LI/.	wer Idle function is supporte	d then the vecto	or contains eight					
Proposed Response	Response Status O							

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

November 2009

CI 55 SC 55.3.5.2.4 P182 L 31 # 253 Grimwood, Michael Broadcom Broadcom Broadcom	CI 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 185 L 7 # 254 Grimwood, Michael Broadcom
Comment Type TR Comment Status X In the T_BLOCK_TYPE definition, type C conflicts with LII. Redefine LII to eliminate conflict (another comment addresses C). SuggestedRemedy Change:	Comment Type TR Comment Status X When LPI is supported, Valid sets of control characters and should not trigger transitions to TX_E and subsequent transmission of the Error control block. Currently, 4/Ll/ followed by 4/l/ causes transitions to TX_E. SuggestedRemedy Eliminate LII from the following transitions:
LII: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then the LII type occurs when the vector contains a data/ctrl header of 1, a block type field of 0x1e, and four control characters of /l/ followed by four control characters of (/LI/); To:	TX_INIT to TX_E TX_C to TX_E TX_E to TX_E TX_T to TX_E
 LII: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then the vector contains one of the following: a) four control characters of /LI/ followed by four control characters of /l/; b) four control characters of /I/ followed by four control characters of /LI/. 	Add LII to the following transitions: (Outside of TX_L, act upon LII exactly as C) TX_INIT to TX_C TX_C to TX_C TX_E to TX_C TX_T to TX_C
Also on page 182 line 6, add LII to the list of types. Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.2.4 P181 L 49 # 255	C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2.5 P143 L # 256
Grimwood, Michael Broadcom	Horner, Rita Avago
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
In the existing PCS state diagram, certain normally occurring control blocks are considered as error (e.g. 4/I/ followed by 4/LI/). Redefine LII and add this type to state transitions	Figure 48-9b
currently conditioned on C.	Figure 48-9b transitions from RX_SLEEP are ambiguous.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Redefine the LII block type as follows:	Change criteria for RX_SLEEP to RX_SLEEP, to " LPIDLE * !rx_tq_timer_done *(sig_detect=OK)"
LII: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then the vector contains a data/ctrl header of 1, a block type field of 0x1E, and one of the following:	Change criteria for RX_SLEEP to RX_ACTIVE, to " IDLE * !rx_tq_timer_done *(sig_detect=OK)"
 a) four control characters of /LI/ followed by four control characters of /I/; b) four control characters of /I/ followed by four control characters of /LI/. 	Proposed Response Response Status O
	C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2.5 P143j L # 257
In Figure 55-16 on page 187 add LII to the following state transitions:	Horner, Rita Avago
RX_INIT to RX_C: Change C to C + LII	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
RX_C to RX_C: Change C to C + LII	Figure 48-9b
RX_C to RX_C: Change C to C + LII RX_D to RX_T: Change (S + C + LI) to (S + C + LI + LII)	
RX_C to RX_C: Change C to C + LII RX_D to RX_T: Change (S + C + LI) to (S + C + LI + LII) RX_D to RX_E: Change (E + C + LI + S) to (E + C + LI + LII + S) RX_E to RX_T : Change (S + C) to (S + C + LI + LII)	Figure 48-9b Figure 48-9b transitions fom RX_WAKE are ambiguous SuggestedRemedy
RX_C to RX_C : Change C to C + LII RX_D to RX_T : Change (S + C + LI) to (S + C + LI + LII) RX_D to RX_E : Change (E + C + LI + S) to (E + C + LI + LII + S) RX_E to RX_T : Change (S + C) to (S + C + LI + LII) RX_T to RX_C : Change C to C + LII	Figure 48-9b Figure 48-9b transitions fom RX_WAKE are ambiguous SuggestedRemedy Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_QUIET, to "(signal_detect=FAIL) * !rx_tw_timer_
RX_C to RX_C: Change C to C + LII RX_D to RX_T: Change (S + C + LI) to (S + C + LI + LII) RX_D to RX_E: Change (E + C + LI + S) to (E + C + LI + LII + S) RX_E to RX_T : Change (S + C) to (S + C + LI + LII)	Figure 48-9b Figure 48-9b transitions fom RX_WAKE are ambiguous SuggestedRemedy Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_QUIET, to "(signal_detect=FAIL) * !rx_tw_timer_ Change criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_
$\begin{array}{l} RX_C \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C + LI) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_E \text{ : Change } (E + C + LI + S) \text{ to } (E + C + LI + LII + S) \\ RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_E \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_E \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ \end{array}$ Note that the change to the transition from $RX_E \text{ to } RX_T$ also includes LI in order to be	Figure 48-9b Figure 48-9b transitions fom RX_WAKE are ambiguous SuggestedRemedy Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_QUIET, to "(signal_detect=FAIL) * !rx_tw_timer_ Change criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * IDLE Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_SLEEP, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_
$\begin{array}{l} RX_C \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LI \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C + LI) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LI) \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_E \text{ : Change } (E + C + LI + S) \text{ to } (E + C + LI + LI + S) \\ RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LI) \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LI \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LI \\ RX_E \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LI \\ \\ RX_E \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LI \\ \\ Note \text{ that the change to the transition from } RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ also includes } LI \text{ in order to be consistent with allowing } LI \text{ to follow } T, \text{ such that the transition from } RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ should} \\ \\ \end{array}$	Figure 48-9b Figure 48-9b transitions fom RX_WAKE are ambiguous SuggestedRemedy Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_QUIET, to "(signal_detect=FAIL) * !rx_tw_timer_ Change criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * IDLE Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_SLEEP, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * LPIDLE
$\begin{array}{l} RX_C \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C + LI) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_E \text{ : Change } (E + C + LI + S) \text{ to } (E + C + LI + LII + S) \\ RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LI \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ is } RX_T \text{ so } includes LI \text{ in order } to be consistent \text{ with allowing } LI \text{ to follow } T, \text{ such that the transition from } RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ should } include LI \text{ in the } R_TYPE_NEXT. \\ \end{array}$	Figure 48-9b Figure 48-9b transitions fom RX_WAKE are ambiguous SuggestedRemedy Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_QUIET, to "(signal_detect=FAIL) * !rx_tw_timer_ Change criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * IDLE Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_SLEEP, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_
RX_C to RX_C: Change C to C + LII RX_D to RX_T: Change (S + C + LI) to (S + C + LI + LII) RX_D to RX_E: Change (E + C + LI + S) to (E + C + LI + LII + S) RX_E to RX_T : Change (S + C) to (S + C + LI + LII) RX_T to RX_C: Change C to C + LII RX_E to RX_C: Change C to C + LII Note that the change to the transition from RX_E to RX_T also includes LI in order to be consistent with allowing LI to follow T, such that the transition from RX_E to RX_T should include LI in the R_TYPE_NEXT.	Figure 48-9b Figure 48-9b transitions fom RX_WAKE are ambiguous SuggestedRemedy Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_QUIET, to "(signal_detect=FAIL) * !rx_tw_timer_ Change criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * IDLE Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_SLEEP, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * LPIDLE
$\begin{array}{l} RX_C \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C + LI) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_E \text{ : Change } (E + C + LI + S) \text{ to } (E + C + LI + LII + S) \\ RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LI \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ is } RX_T \text{ so } includes LI \text{ in order } to be consistent \text{ with allowing } LI \text{ to follow } T, \text{ such that the transition from } RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ should } include LI \text{ in the } R_TYPE_NEXT. \\ \end{array}$	Figure 48-9b Figure 48-9b transitions fom RX_WAKE are ambiguous SuggestedRemedy Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_QUIET, to "(signal_detect=FAIL) * !rx_tw_timer_ Change criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * IDLE Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_SLEEP, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * LPIDLE
$\begin{array}{l} RX_C \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C + LI) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_E \text{ : Change } (E + C + LI + S) \text{ to } (E + C + LI + LII + S) \\ RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LI \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ is } RX_T \text{ so } includes LI \text{ in order } to be consistent \text{ with allowing } LI \text{ to follow } T, \text{ such that the transition from } RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ should } include LI \text{ in the } R_TYPE_NEXT. \\ \end{array}$	Figure 48-9b Figure 48-9b transitions fom RX_WAKE are ambiguous SuggestedRemedy Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_QUIET, to "(signal_detect=FAIL) * !rx_tw_timer_ Change criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * IDLE Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_SLEEP, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * LPIDLE Proposed Response Response Status O
$\begin{array}{l} RX_C \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C + LI) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_E \text{ : Change } (E + C + LI + S) \text{ to } (E + C + LI + LII + S) \\ RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LI \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ is } RX_T \text{ so } includes LI \text{ in order } to be consistent \text{ with allowing } LI \text{ to follow } T, \text{ such that the transition from } RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ should } include LI \text{ in the } R_TYPE_NEXT. \\ \end{array}$	Figure 48-9b Figure 48-9b transitions fom RX_WAKE are ambiguous SuggestedRemedy Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_QUIET, to "(signal_detect=FAIL) * !rx_tw_timer_ Change criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * IDLE Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_SLEEP, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * LPIDLE Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2.6 P144 L # 258
$\begin{array}{l} RX_C \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C + LI) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_E \text{ : Change } (E + C + LI + S) \text{ to } (E + C + LI + LII + S) \\ RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LI \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ is } RX_T \text{ so } includes LI \text{ in order } to be consistent \text{ with allowing } LI \text{ to follow } T, \text{ such that the transition from } RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ should } include LI \text{ in the } R_TYPE_NEXT. \\ \end{array}$	Figure 48-9b Figure 48-9b transitions fom RX_WAKE are ambiguous SuggestedRemedy Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_QUIET, to "(signal_detect=FAIL) * !rx_tw_timer_ Change criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * IDLE Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_SLEEP, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * LPIDLE Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 48 SC 48.2.6.2.6 P144 L # 258 Horner, Rita Avago
$\begin{array}{l} RX_C \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C + LI) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_E \text{ : Change } (E + C + LI + S) \text{ to } (E + C + LI + LII + S) \\ RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LI \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ is } RX_T \text{ so } includes LI \text{ in order } to be consistent \text{ with allowing } LI \text{ to follow } T, \text{ such that the transition from } RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ should } include LI \text{ in the } R_TYPE_NEXT. \\ \end{array}$	Figure 48-9b Figure 48-9b transitions fom RX_WAKE are ambiguous SuggestedRemedy Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_QUIET, to "(signal_detect=FAIL) * !rx_tw_timer_ Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * IDLE Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_SLEEP, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * LPIDLE Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 48 SC 48.2.6.2.6 P144 L # 258 Horner, Rita Avago Comment Type TR Comment Status X The convention is to have similar register map for PCS, PHY XS and DTE XS. PHY a
$\begin{array}{l} RX_C \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C + LI) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_D \text{ to } RX_E \text{ : Change } (E + C + LI + S) \text{ to } (E + C + LI + LII + S) \\ RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ : Change } (S + C) \text{ to } (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LI \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_T \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ : Change } C \text{ to } C + LII \\ RX_t \text{ to } RX_C \text{ is } RX_T \text{ so } includes LI \text{ in order } to be consistent \text{ with allowing } LI \text{ to follow } T, \text{ such that the transition from } RX_E \text{ to } RX_T \text{ should } include LI \text{ in the } R_TYPE_NEXT. \\ \end{array}$	Figure 48-9b Figure 48-9b transitions fom RX_WAKE are ambiguous SuggestedRemedy Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_QUIET, to "(signal_detect=FAIL) * !rx_tw_timer_ Change criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * IDLE Chagne criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_SLEEP, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew_align_status=OK * LPIDLE Proposed Response Response Status Cl 48 SC 48.2.6.2.6 P144 L # [258] Horner, Rita Avago Comment Type TR Comment Status X The convention is to have similar register map for PCS, PHY XS and DTE XS. PHY a DTE LPI management registers are not defined in AZ.

C/ 48	SC 48.2.6.1.4	Р	L	# 259	C/ 48	SC 48.2.6.1.6a	P 137	L 9	# 261
Horner, Rit	а	Avago			Horner, R	ita	Avago		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

check_end function is not defined in 802.3az. When LPI is enabled in the device, there is a possibility that /D20.5/ will apear in the column following ||T||.

SuggestedRemedy

Check_end

Prescient Terminate function used by the PCS Receive process to set the RXD<31:0> and RXC<3:0> signals to indicate Error if a running disparity error was propagated to any Idle code-groups in ||T||, or to the column following ||T||. The XGMII Error control character is returned in all lanes less than n in ||T||, where n identifies the specific Terminate orderedset ||Tn||, for which a running disparity error or any code-groups other than /A/ or /K/ or /D20.5/ are recognized in the column following ||T||. The XGMII Error control character is also returned in all lanes greater than n in the column prior to ||T||, where n identifies the specific Terminate ordered-set ||Tn||, for which a running disparity error or any code-groups other than /A/ or /K/ or /D20.5/ is recognized in the column following ||T||. The XGMII Error control character is also returned in all lanes greater than n in the column prior to ||T||, where n identifies the specific Terminate ordered-set ||Tn||, for which a running disparity error or any code group other than /K/ is recognized in the corresponding lane of ||T||. For all other lanes the value set previously is retained.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 48	SC 48.2.6.2.5	P144	L14	# 260
Horner, Rita	a	Avago		

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Table 48-10. TQR definition is not precise. The tq timer done is also used in RX_SLEEP state.

SuggestedRemedy

TQR : Time to wait for remote partner transmitter to refresh after it's disabled.

Proposed Response Response Status O

		•
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X
rx_tq_timer is	not precis	e. Not clear about the "enter RX_SLEEP" state.
SuggestedRemed	ly	
rx_tq_timer: T	his timer is	s started when the PMD's receiver enters the RX_SLEEP state.
The timer is re	estarted ev	erytime LPIDLE is received, sig_detect=1 and !rx_tq_timer_done
while in RX_S	LEEP stat	e. The timer terminal counter is set to TQR. When the timer
reaches termi	nal count i	t will set the rx_tq_timer_done=TRUE.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 48	SC 48.2.6.2.5	P143	L	# 262
Horner, Ri	ta	Avago		
0	e 48-9b	Comment Status X	3,	
RX_A	CTIVE transitions	to RX_SLEEP when followin	ng condition is	satisfied:
LF	PIDLE * align_stat	us = deskew_align_status		
	ossible that the tra w_align_status=FA	nsition occurs when both ali	gn_status=FA	AIL and
		sible for LPIDLE to be de		

deskew_align_status=FAIL and how the MAC/RS interpret the ||LFAULT|| (as a result of align_status=FAIL) when the XGXS Receive is in low power mode?

This should be prevented otherwise the Rx portion of the design will go into low power state when the received ||LPIDLE|| column validity is questionable and continue to indicate ||LFAULT|| on the RXC/RXD instead of ||LPIDLE||.

SuggestedRemedy

Change criteria for RX_ACTIVE to RX_SLEEP, to "||LPIDLE|| * align_status = OK * deskew_align_status = OK"

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Comments received IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Ene	rgy Efficient Ethernet comments November 2005
C/ 69 SC 69.1.2 P 192 L 41 # 10118 D'Ambrosia, John Force10 Networks Force10 Networks	C/ 22 SC 22.7a.2.3 P 32 L 15 # 10165 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation Broadcom Corporation 10165
	 It keeps the PHY receive and transmit paths separate (the PHY considers CRS to be part of the receive path). It allows the PHY to go to sleep without having to maintain state & control the wake process.
	3. It keeps the "data holdback" function close to the MAC and egress buffers, where it would be implemented in most designs.
	It frees the PHY from having to participate in the wake time negotiation process (that is controled using LLDP frames).
	5. It works for PHYs that operate at speeds greater than 1Gbps, so the same mechanism can be used for all speeds.

The state diagram would be present (or deleted according to the comment) whether the proposed changes to the document are accepted or not.

Comment ID # 10165

Page 56 of 65 11/6/2009 11:59:05 AM

CI 22	SC 22.2.2.6	a P 28	L 46	# 10167	CI 00	SC	0	P 1	L1	# 10174
Frazier, Ho	oward	Broadcom C	orporation		Frazier, H	oward		Broadcom (Corporation	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		doc-structure
figure relatio service	presents what a nship between	Igles in Figure 22-6a represe appears to be a timing diagra various logical signals. How o o a logical timing diagram, ar	m that shows the does an abstract		As an the ba X Phy	amendi ise stan sical Co	ment to I dard. Wh oding Sub	nent regarding the structure EEE Std 802.3, the material then this happens, the definit players will be substantially	in this draft will of the state of the second	eventually be folded into ASE-X and 1000BASE-
Suggested	lRemedy							nges will be difficult to discent be substantially changed.	n. The definition	s for the
	ested Remedy in dment.	service primitive from the tim n my general comment conce <i>Response Status</i> U			100BA 100BA 1000E	ASE-TX ASE-BX BASE-B	and 100 10, 1000 X10, 100	1000BASE-X PCSs are used 0BASE-KX. Among these a BASE-SX, 1000BASE-LX, 1 0BASE-PX10, 1000BASE-F U2, and 10G/1GBASE-PRX	re 100BASE-FX, 000BASE-CX, 1 2X20, 10G/1GBA	100BASE-LX10, 000BASE-LX10,
this se The re	ection. epresentation of	on the proposal "law_01_11 PLS_CARRIER.indication ad			and th not be	ne speci e change	fications ed or effe	not included in the set of objection for the PCS and MII for the sected in any way by P802.3a EEE Std 802.3 PCS and MII	e port types mus z. Each of these	st
ambig	uity.				Suggested	dRemed	ły			
This d	iagram would b	e present regardless of the d	ocument structure	chosen.	There	are ma	ny ways	to solve this problem. I prefe	er the following a	pproach:
						serve th ut chang		ions for the MII, GMII, 100B	ASE-X PCS, and	1000BASE-X PCS
					i.e. Ar	nex 24	A for Cla	required to support EEE in a use 24, and Annex 25A for 0 ave been provided by me to	Clause 25, etc. E	xample text for Annex
					3. Ref	er to the	ese norm	ative annexes from the bod	y of Clause 78.	
					Response ACCE		PRINCIPI	Response Status U		
					See re	esponse	e to Com	ment #410		

364 and 8

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

	00 45 0 4	D.(10		" 10100		00		Data		" 40405
C/ 45 Ganga, Ila	SC 45.2.3	B P112 Intel	L16	# 10183	<i>Cl</i> 74 Ganga, Ila		74.7	P 216 Intel	L 22	# 10185
0	0				0	0				
editing other	able 45-83 and g instructions than IEEE Sto	Comment Status A d other tables in Clause 45 hav should include the appropriate d 802.3-2008. Also the table nu able numbers from previous an	source document	where the source is	instru <i>Suggeste</i>	e 74 is ctions to	o indicate dy	Comment Status A g amended by P802.3ba. So the approprate base text (IE		
amen the dr	dments e.g. P aft P802.3ba/				Response ACCI			Response Status W		
	cample chang	e editing instruction as follows: ol 1 register			C/ 69	SC	69.1.1	P192	L1	# 10186
		3 (IEEE P802.3ba/D2.2) for LP			Ganga, Ila	ingo		Intel		
•	e the table su dRemedy	ich that the base text is from the	e above source.		Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status A		
00	-	instrucitons and Table numbers	to indicate appro	priate source for base				amended by P802.3ba. Up		
text a	nd use the rer	numbered table number from ap	propriate amend	nent to 802.3-2008.	Suggeste			iate source (IEEE Std 802.3	-2008 01 P802.31	<i>ba).</i>
Also u P802.	ipdate the bas 3ba/D2.2).	se text as appropriate as per th	e source docume	nt (for example IEEE	00	r comm				
Response		Response Status W			Response			Response Status W		
	PT IN PRINC	IPLE. , 40, 41, 42, 43			ACCI	PT IN I	PRINCIPL t appear t	•	apping changes.	
					But e	ditor will	add edito	or's note to indicate P802.3b	a may also affect	t clause 69 and, in
C/ 74 Ganga, Ila	SC 74.5	P 214 Intel	L 12	# 10184				fy draft if the edit is based of		·
Comment Unde	51	Comment Status A itive defined in item e) RX_LPI	_ACTIVE							
Updat	e the number	nbering and Figure numbers for ing as per the base spec (for e: be Figure 74-2).								
Suggeste	dRemedy									
Response		Response Status W								
	PT IN PRINC	,								
Pleas	e refer to com	iments								

CI 72 SC 72.6.4 P 207 L 26 # 10189	C/ 00 SC 0 P1 L25 # 10190
Ganga, Ilango Intel	ghiasi, ali Broadcom
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A doc-structur
Clause 72 supports digital signal detect mechanisms. Analog signal detect (or energy detect) was not part of this clause as it was felt that robust analog signal detect functions are difficult to define/implement in the backplane environment. (see thaler_01_0505.pdf,	EEE is modifying some of the earlier 802.3 clauses adding optional EEE/LPI support, some of the state diagram are getting too complicated to know what is required and what is added for EEE
minutes_01_0505.pdf). Hence define a suitable digital signaling mechanism to exit from the low power idle state.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Propose to duplicate the state diagram in earlier clauses instead of changing them so it is clear what is optional EEE
As per comment	Response Response Status W
Response Response Status W	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	See response to comment #410
At this point there is no clear alternative to a basic energy detect to waking up the PHY	
from sleep.	Cl 22 SC 22.2.2.4 P27 L 42 # 10195
The receiver is just required to wake up within a certain time after detecting the electrical	Grow, Robert Intel
energy on the diff signal pair from a compliant, enabled transmitter.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Awkard and possibly misleading text.
The original KR signal_detect would not work for EEE because it requires that training to be complete before it could wake up the receiver. This was believed to be too long and we needed something to wake the PHY's receiver prior to that.	SuggestedRemedy The PHY shall interpret the combination of TX_EN deasserted, TX_ER asserted and
For EEE, the KR's transmit coefficients and receive equalization state are assumed to be saved before going quiet and quickly restored after wake so it can sync and lock much	TXD<3:0> equal to 0001 shown in Table 22-1 as a request to enter, or remain in low power idle. Other values of TXD<3:0> with this combinition of TX_EN and TX_ER shall have no effect upon the PHY.
more quickly.	Response Response Status U
Changes were made to the state diagrams (see response to comment #425) to fix the observable behavior that may be caused by false detection. There is concern that the	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
energy detect threshold level and detection circuitry could cause unnecessary activity in the receiver (due to noise and cross-talk).	Also change in the same style as suggested by comment #479
	"For EEE capability, the RS shall use the combination of TX_EN deasserted, TX_ER asserted and TXD<3:0> equal to 0001 shown in Table 22-1 as a request to enter, or remain in low power idle. Other values of TXD<3:0> with this combinition of TX_EN and TX_ER

shall have no effect upon the PHY."

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 00 Grow, Rober	SC 0 ert	P 27 Intel	L 50	# 10196	C/ 78 Grow, Robe	SC 78.1.2.1.2 ert	P 228 Intel	L 18	# 10197
would fo convent SuggestedR	le manual 21.2.1 ollow 22.2.2.6, it tion other than th Remedy	Comment Status A I isn't followed for numbering doesn't precede it and the c hat of the style manual.	fraft insert instruc	tions do not indicate a	primitiv Suggested	ves are not signal ve, only on the lay <i>Remedy</i>	Comment Status A s, and as I recall, timing re ers causing generation of er specification on the tim	a primitive.	
convent the style	tion is being use	ause in the middle of receive d, what is currently 22.2.2.6 nge instructions need to be	a should be 22.2.	2.5A. If not following	reviewe	ed for any langua	deassert functions) relate ge that reflects continuous ange in value being signale	s visibility of a pri	mitive value between
Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status U E.			Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status U		
		ictions. When the base text i t in parenthesis.	s from an approv	red amendment	-		es on lines 17 and 18, page	-	
		tic indication for a new subcl quent amendments.	lause, table or fig	ure to avoid disrupting	link_sta	atus = ÓK, see 28	not be set to ASSERT un 3.2.6.1.1). LP_IDLE.reques ange of link_status to OK."	st shall remain se	
When in	nserting a new s	ubclause at a level it is x.x.0	a		to:				
	ate numbering vrdination.	with 802.3ba. WG chair will h	nelp resolve any i	ssues that arise from			this primitive is undefined SERT within 1 second of t		

CI 78	SC 78.1.2.1.	4 P 228	L 26	# 10202	C/ 14	SC 14.4.1	P 22	L 43	# 10457
Grow, Rob	ert	Intel			Thompson	n, Geoff	GraCaSI		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	t Type ER	Comment Status R		

Is signaling of LPI between an RS and its link partner, or between the RS and the lower parts of the PHY? If the PHY has no option to signal the request, then the language is appropriate, but it seems inconsistent with MII text describing the xMII signals. The effect of the primitive is to generate signals on the MII and that isn't specified here, but should be.

SugaestedRemedv

Assure MII clause are consistent in what layer is signaling to what peer layer, and that any additional requirements on conveying the LPI request in lower sublayers is properly represented. Add generic text that covers the three MII types -- how the assert or deassert is signaled, can probably be generic using the MII definition of assert low power idle.

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The PHY has no option to signal the request so the language is appropriate however editor will look into adding clarifying text as in the suggested remedy.

Editor to check if that this is clear in the xMII clauses.

CI 49	SC 49.2.13.3.1	P148	L 3	# 10224
Gustlin, N	/lark	Cisco		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

It would help to put in a text description of the behavior of each state machine, 49-16 and 49-17, what is each SM accomplishing at a high level.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment #455 may satisfy this.

Comment Status R Comment Type ER

I find no text added anywhere to clause 14 that states or even gives a hint of the compatibility between 10BASE-T and 10BASE-Te. How is a customer to know how to mix the two on a network?

Further, the text in 14.4.1 is not correct in the current market and proposed context.. The word "Since is inappropriate. That is, it is no longer the case that we believe that "a significant number of 10BASE-T networks are expected to be installed utilizing in-place unshielded telephone wiring" rather, the market has evolved to the extent that most telephones and networks (especially autonegotiating multi-speed adapters) are expected to utilize Category 5 or better cabling.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite the introductory paragraph to better reflect both the current market AND still make provision for the historical context that made use of "left-over" telephone wiring. Also, add a new subclause to clause 14 to address the topic of cross compatibility between 10BASE-T and 10BASE-Te, i. e. the two MDI can be freely mixed as long as the cabling meets the requirements for 10BASE-Te.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Interoperability between 10BASE-T and 10BASE-Te is addressed in 14.1.1.1 (i).

The first paragraph in 14.4.1 is text from the original standard and was not future-proof when originally written. It is not the objective of this task force to correct such text.

There changes to 14 based on resolution of comment #356

C/ 14	SC 14.4.1	P 22	L 48	# 10458
Thompson,	Geoff	GraCaSI		

Comment Type Comment Status R ER

This new text is in the wrong place. It is not "overview" text. (I do recognize that it was "stuck" here in order to avoid the sticky issue of restructuring and renumbering subclauses.)

SuggestedRemedy

Move to within the context of 14.4.2. I recognize that there may be restructuring necessary in order for this to end up as a clean, well-structured clause.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The text in consistent with the rest of the overview clause.

C/ 14 SC 14.5.2 P	L # 10460	C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.21	P61	L 6	# 10463
hompson, Geoff GraCaSI		Thompson, Geoff	GraCaSI		
Comment Type ER Comment Status R		Comment Type TR Cor	mment Status A		
14.5.2 mandates that any port that offers MDI-X connect That mandate makes no allowance for current technolog implementations are not of a fixed configuration with res	gy in which many PHY pect to the cross-over function. I	I don't understand what this at implementation? Or is it the Pl operation?			
expect many implementations of 10BASE-Te to have at	nomatic MDI-X correction.	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy		Revise "BEHAVIOUR DEFINE	ED AS:" text to clarify.		
Revise text so that the X labeling requirement only appli configuration. It would be nice if we could all agree on a auto-correction.		Response Res	oonse Status W		
Response Response Status U REJECT.		"A read-only list of the possible Energy Efficient Ethernet as d		e underlying s	ystem supports
This comment requests a change to the base standard made for 10BASE-Te.	hat is not impacted by the change	C/ 00 SC 0 Booth, Brad	P1 AppliedMicro	<i>L</i> 1	# 10509
It should be submitted as a maintenance request to the	base standard.	Comment Type TR Con	mment Status D		
C/ 24 SC 24.1.1 P34	L10 # 10462	In reading through the draft, I'	ve noticed statements su	uch as:	
Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI		While RX_DV is de-asserted,	the PHY may indicate th	at it is receivin	g low power idle by
		asserting the RX_ER signal w	hile driving the value $<0^{\circ}$	1> onto RXD<	7.0

There is mention of an "LPI agent" in this clause as the active element that causes the 100BASE-X PHY to go back and forth between LPI and normal operation. I find it strange that (a) there is no definition or specification of an LPI agent nor even any mention of it anywhere else in the draft, not even in the other clauses where one would expect a parallel use of such an agent to cause the same sort of switch for the other LPI PHYs (except 10BASE-Te)

SuggestedRemedy

Fully definne and specify the operation and service interfaces for the activating function for LPI (be it an "LPI agent" or other mechanism). Further, have that mechanism act on each of the LPI PHYs in a manner that is architecturally consistent across the entire standard.

Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Please refer to comment #230 for the suggested modification

draft to avoid the addition of PICS requirements associated with LPI. In the case of the statement above, the only way to indicate LPI across the GMII is to de-assert RX_DV, assert RX_ER and drive 0x01 onto RXD. The statement should be such to indicate a PHY with LPI capabilities shall use that signalling to indicate LPI detection across the GMII. And there should be a PICS entry for it.

May also implies may not. This method appears to be used multiple times throughout the

SuggestedRemedy

This draft should be scrubbed to make sure that behaviors that differ between LPI and non-LPI have appropriate shall statements and PICS entries with an LPI capability associated with them. Otherwise, conformance testing this will be open to interpretation and confusion.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This comment was not considered by the BRC and the above response is a proposed response.

This comment will be re-submitted for consideration at the Nov plenary along with all other comments received on D2.1.

	Comments	received
--	----------	----------

C/ 14 SC 14.1.1 P16 L 21 # 10511 Booth, Brad AppliedMicro AppliedMicro # 10511 # 10511	C/ 22 SC 22.2.1 P 25 L 9 # 10516 Booth, Brad AppliedMicro
Comment Type TR Comment Status D The note is a bit confusing. It appears to be talking about implementation strategies rather than conformance issues. The critical issue the note needs to call to attention is conformance and interoperability. The note issue the note needs to call to attention is conformance and interoperability.	Comment Type ER Comment Status D Inconsistent use of the term low power idle. For example, in 22.2.1 it is all in lower case. In 22.7a, it is Low Power Idle. SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Change note to read: NOTE - A 10BASE-Te PHY may not support operation with a 10BASE-T PHY unless the minimum cabling requirements for 10BASE-Te are met.	Scrub the draft to use low power idle in a consistent manner. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Should be resolved by responses to comment # 260
This comment was not considered by the BRC and the above response is a proposed response. This comment will be re-submitted for consideration at the Nov plenary along with all other comments received on D2.1.	This comment was not considered by the BRC and the above response is a proposed response. This comment will be re-submitted for consideration at the Nov plenary along with all other comments received on D2.1.
C/ 14 SC 14.1.1.1 P17 L 15 # 10512 Booth, Brad AppliedMicro	C/ 24 SC 24.8 P 50 L 1 # 10518 Booth, Brad AppliedMicro AppliedMicro # 10518 # 10518
Comment Type TR Comment Status D TIA/EIA-568-A is obsolete and has been superceded by 568-B. From my understanding,	Comment Type TR Comment Status D There is a *LPI capability that is defined. This capability has a direct impact on the
	functions performed by the PCS and PMA, yet the only new PICS are for the timers.
unlike ISO/IEC, TIA Category 5 is unchanged between 568-A and 568-B. SuggestedRemedy Update reference to 568-B.	SuggestedRemedy Shalls are needed to help define the way the PCS and PMA functions operate in LPI mode. Scrub the clause to make sure that functions modified or impacted by LPI have a corresponding PICS capability entry.
unlike ISO/IEC, TIA Category 5 is unchanged between 568-A and 568-B. SuggestedRemedy Update reference to 568-B. Update throughout Clause 14. Proposed Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy Shalls are needed to help define the way the PCS and PMA functions operate in LPI mode. Scrub the clause to make sure that functions modified or impacted by LPI have a
unlike ISO/IEC, TIA Category 5 is unchanged between 568-A and 568-B. SuggestedRemedy Update reference to 568-B. Update throughout Clause 14.	SuggestedRemedy Shalls are needed to help define the way the PCS and PMA functions operate in LPI mode. Scrub the clause to make sure that functions modified or impacted by LPI have a corresponding PICS capability entry. Proposed Response Response Status W

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 25 SC 25.4.6 P 53 L 31 # 10519 Booth, Brad AppliedMicro	C/ 25 SC 25.4.11 P 53 L 45 # 10521 Booth, Brad AppliedMicro
	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
25.4.6 has three shall statements and only one PICS entry.	Sentence calls the subclause a clause and labels as optional. Given the volume of information and the need to conform with the information in 25.4.11, there should be a
SuggestedRemedy	PICS entry associated with this.
Add other PICS entries or delete unnecessary shalls.	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W	Change sentence to read: This subclause only applies to the optional low power idle is
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	implemented. If implemented, the operation of the PMD shall comply with the requirements in this subclause.
This may be partly resolved by changes being made to satisfy the response to comment	Proposed Response Response Status W
#410 but clause 25 still needs to be scrubbed for consistency between the Shall statements and the PICS.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
This comment was not considered by the BRC and the above response is a proposed response.	This may be partly resolved by changes being made to satisfy the response to comment #250
This comment will be re-submitted for consideration at the Nov plenary along with all other comments received on D2.1.	The response to #250 does not explicitly call out the needed shall.
	This comment was not considered by the BRC and the above response is a proposed
C/ 25 SC 25.4.11 P53 L41 # 10520	response.
Booth, Brad AppliedMicro	This comment will be re-submitted for consideration at the Nov plenary along with all other
Comment Type ER Comment Status D	comments received on D2.1.
It would be better to promote the Ethernet Efficient Ethernet to its own heading2 level. The volume of information here probably should not be buried as an exception.	
SuggestedRemedy	
Promote 25.4.11 to be 25.5 and modify the PICS from 25.5 to 25.6.	
·	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	
This comment was not considered by the BRC and the above response is a proposed response. The change will not be made in D2.1.	
This comment will be re-submitted for consideration at the Nov plenary along with all other comments received on D2.1.	

#456

Comment Type TR Comment Status X Jate Comment Type TR Comment Status X Jate This comment reports an issue similar to that reported in comment #93 in CL 55. It relates to the state machine in Figure 49-14 and the definition of T_BLOCK_TYPE LI on pages and that requires transition to low power mode upon detection of the state machine in Figure 49-14 is currently defined this allows and requires transition to low power mode upon detection of 4x/Ll/+4x/l/ should not be permitted. However, provision is required to allow for this special case while in the TX_LI state. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Nove the "start rx, tq_itmer" from RX_QUIET state to the RX_SLEEP state (as proposed in contains eight control characters of /L/.* Nove the "start rx, tq_itmer" from RX_QUIET state to the RX_SLEEP state (as proposed in contains eight control characters of /L/.* "LI: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then the LI type occurs when the vector contains eight control characters of /L/.* Nove the "start rx, tq_itmer" from RX_QUIET state to the task force meeting. "L1: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then the LI type occurs when the vector contains eight control characters of /L/.* Some of the issues raised may have been resolved by the response to comments #99 and #450 "L2. Charge the criteria for transition form TX_LI to TX_LI (loop) to "T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(LI+LII)". Attentately, change the criteria for transition from TX_LI to TX_C to T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(LI+LII)". The criteria for transition from TX_LI to TX_C to T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(LI+LII)".