Responses		IEEE F	802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Ethernet con	nments		November 2009
C/ 01 SC 1.4 Anslow, Peter	P14 Nortel Networks	L 28	# 1	C/ 14 SC 14.8 Anslow, Peter	P 22 Nortel Network	L 53	# 4
	Comment Status A ce between a number and its uni void the unit appearing on a diffe 0 Mb/s" Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A n thje base standard have been m ems c) and d) with underline and s Response Status C		C C
	hange in any other places where	the same erro	Dr occurs.	Cl 22 SC 22.7a Anslow, Peter	P 30 Nortel Network	L 8 (S	# 5
Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.2. Anslow, Peter Comment Type E Spurious " <default-1 f<="" td=""><td>Nortel Networks Comment Status A</td><td>L1</td><td># 2</td><td></td><td>Comment Status A space between a number and its u o avoid the unit appearing on a dir to "100 Mb/s"</td><td></td><td></td></default-1>	Nortel Networks Comment Status A	L1	# 2		Comment Status A space between a number and its u o avoid the unit appearing on a dir to "100 Mb/s"		
SuggestedRemedy remove " <default-1 fo<br="">Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL</default-1>	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT. Cl 24 SC 24.2.2	Response Status C	L 32	# 6
Delete table per comm	ent #199			Anslow, Peter	Nortel Network	s	
Cl 14 SC 14.10.4.5 Anslow, Peter Comment Type E TS2 is an added row so Also applies to LS5 in	Nortel Networks <i>Comment Status</i> A o the subclause number and Rec	L 28	# 3	SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A uses "4B/5B" not "4b5b" ge "4b5b" to "4B/5B" in two place Response Status C	S	14-
SuggestedRemedy Show "14.3.1.2.1" and Show "LS5 row in unde				Subclause is being	deleted		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C .E.						
Also modify the editing not require underlining.	instruction by changing the "inse	ert" to a "chan	ge" as an insert does				

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

45 SC 45.2.3 P 115 L 21 # 7 nslow, Peter Nortel Networks	C/ 55 SC 55.1.1 P 167 L 33 # 9 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks					
omment Type E Comment Status A In Table 45-83 before the 802.3az changes we have a row: 3.16 through 3.23 Reserved	Comment Type E Comment Status A "a LPI" should be "an LPI"					
In the added rows you have: 3.21 Reserved	SuggestedRemedy change "a LPI" to "an LPI"					
You should therefore show the row for 3.16 through 3.23 as modified to be: 3.16 through 3.19 Reserved	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
uggestedRemedy	Make change identified at location in comment as well as in other places in Clause 55					
Show the row for 3.16 through 3.23 as modified to be: 3.16 through 3.19 Reserved	C/ 74 SC 74.4.1 P215 L46 # 10					
esponse Response Status C	Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type E Comment Status A In title of Figure 74-2 "diagra" should be "diagram"					
Change the edit instruction: Change Table 45-83 (as renumbered by 802.3av) to add the following rows and change the reserved rows accordingly:	SuggestedRemedy Change "diagra" to "diagram" Response Response Status C					
45 SC 45.2.3.1 P 116 L 10 # 8 slow, Peter Nortel Networks	ACCEPT.					
<i>In Table 45-84 the name for bit 3.0.10 is "Clock stop enable". However in 45.2.3.1.3a the</i>	C/ 78 SC 78.2 P 228 L 34 # 11 Anslow, Peter Nortel Networks					
nane is given as "Clock stoppable". Making these names different is a source of confusion.	Comment Type E Comment Status A comment 12 against Draft 2.0 has not been fully implemented					
<i>lggestedRemedy</i> change the names so that they are the same.	SuggestedRemedy In Table 78-2 change greek letter mu followed by "sec" to greek letter mu followed by "s" i					
sponse Response Status C	3 places					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C					
	•					

Responses		IEEE	P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Etl	hernet comm	ients		November 2009
	P 239 Nortel Networks Comment Status A se title for clause 79 is still incorr should be a "." after the "79"	L1 ect. As point	# 12		<i>Type</i> T 7a there is a mis	P81 Mellanox Comment Status A ssing exit condition for LPI_K -	L SUDI([/D21.5/	# <u>14</u>] + [/D2.2/])
SuggestedRemedy change "79 IEEE" to "7 Response ACCEPT.				Response ACCE	nd arch from LP		[/D21.5/] + [/D	2.2/])
Cl 79 SC 79.3.a Anslow, Peter Comment Type E The response to comm numbers are still incom SuggestedRemedy Change from 79.3.a 79.3.0.1	P240 P240 Nortel Networks Comment Status A nent 15 against draft 2.0 has not rect	L1	# [<u>13</u>]	Suggested Chang	<i>Type</i> T ge 49.2.6 for sci <i>IRemedy</i> ge to: ge 49.2.6 for sci	P148 Cisco Comment Status A rambler reset" is out of date, sh rambler bypass" Response Status C	L 25	# <u>15</u>
79.3.0.2 79.3.0.3 79.3.0.4 to 79.3.a 79.3.a.1 79.3.a.2 79.3.a.3 79.3.a.4 <i>Response</i> ACCEPT.	Response Status C			"To aid the reg	<i>Type</i> T ve this statemer d block synchror gisters of bler shall be hel	P149 Cisco Comment Status A at should be deleted: nization in the receiver when th d at logic zero while scrambler		
Will check this editoria	lly at all steps of producing the n	ext version d	raft.		PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.		

Responses		ments		November 2009			
C/ 49 SC 49.2.9 Mark, Gustlin	P 149 Cisco	L 15	# 17	C/ 70 SC 70.6.1 Marris, Arthur	0 P200 Cadence	L 35	# 20
<i>Comment Type</i> T This statement says th	Comment Status A he the scrambler will be bypass C is enabled, state this conditic		ronization, but I think	Comment Type E 'responds' should no SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A of the underlined		
SuggestedRemedy Clarify the statement t	that this only applies if FEC is ι	sed.		as above			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C PLE.			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
See comment #239				C/ 71 SC 71.6.4 Marris, Arthur	P 204 Cadence	L 46	# 21
add "when Clause 74	FEC is in use"			Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
C/ 49 SC 49.2.13. Nark, Gustlin Comment Type E	Cisco Comment Status A	L8	# [18	Incorrect underling SuggestedRemedy Remove underlining	from 'is optional and' on line 46		
Clean up the overlap i SuggestedRemedy as above.	in the text and state machine lir	ies in figure 49-	16.	Remove underlining Response ACCEPT.	from the word 'optional' on line Response Status C	7 page 205.	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ 72 SC 72.6.5 Marris, Arthur	P 210 Cadence	L 32	# 22
C/ 70 SC 70.6.5 Marris, Arthur	P 200 Cadence	L 18	# 19	Comment Type E Remove underlining	Comment Status A from 'is' and 'optional'		
	Comment Status A e underlined as it is in the base	document. Sam	e problem in 70.6.4 on	SuggestedRemedy as above			
line 4. SuggestedRemedy Remove underlining fr	rom the word 'optional'.			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Also remove underlini	ing from 'is optional and' on line	4.					
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

Comment ID # 22

Page 4 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:02 AM

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

CI 74SC 74.5.4.1P 216L 51# 23Marris, ArthurCadenceComment TypeEComment StatusA	Cl 74 SC 74.5.5.2 P 217 L 19 # 25 Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Type T Comment Status A The explanation of what the FEC is supposed to do when it receives a
	The explanation of what the FEC is supposed to do when it receives a
Change .FEC	FEC_SIGNAL.request(RX_LPI_ACTIVE) request is not clear.
To . The FEC	SuggestedRemedy Please explain how the FEC layer responds to FEC_SIGNAL.request(RX_LPI_ACTIVE)
SuggestedRemedy as above	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	RX_LPI_ACTIVE is being removed. See comment # 169
C/ 46 SC 46.1.7 P125 L 20 # 24 Marris, Arthur Cadence <	C/ 69 SC 69.1.2 P 198 L 17 # 26 Marris, Arthur Cadence
Comment Type T Comment Status A "LP_IDLE.request shall remain to be set to DEASSERT for 1 second following link_status changing state to OK" reads awkwardly.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A This is a pile on to comment 118 against 2.0.
SuggestedRemedy Delete this sentence and change previous sentence to:	"Optionally support EEE" implies 40GBASE-KR4 can also support EEE. SuggestedRemedy
LPI_IDLE.request shall not be set to ASSERT unless the attached link has been operational for one second (i.e. link_status = OK, according to the underlying PCS/PMA).	Change: Optionally support EEE.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	To: Optionally support EEE for 10 Gb/s rates or lower.
LPI_REQUEST shall not be set to ASSERT unless the attached link has been operational for at least one second (i.e. link_status = OK, according to the underlying PCS/PMA).	Response Response Status W ACCEPT.
	Also answered as an editorial comment

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 74 SC 74.5 Marris, Arthur	5.1.4 <i>P</i> 216 Cadence	L 37	# 27	C/ 14 SC 14.8 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 23 ZTE Corpora	L 1 tion	# 30
Comment Type TI 74.5.4 should rea	Ily be 74.5.1.4			<i>Comment Type</i> E "Which of the two sp	Comment Status R ecifications is implemented, i.e	.' 10BASE-T or 1	0BASE-Te (not both).
74.5.5 should rea 74.5.6 should rea 74.5.7 should rea	llý be 74.5.1.6			SuggestedRemedy change "i.e.' 10BAS	E-T or 10BASE-Te (not both)."∜	to ".e.' either 10E	BASE-T or 10BASE-Te
uggestedRemedy Change		74 5 0		Response REJECT.	Response Status C		
То	ugh 74.5.7 as shown below after rough 74.5.1.7 as shown below a				hanged in D2.1 to the current to current to current form by the BRC.	ext based on a c	omment on D2.0 and
Change paragrap	h numbering appropriately			C/ 14 SC 14.10.	3 P 24	L13	# 31
esponse	Response Status W			Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corpora	tion	
uggestedRemedy	/-2009 was approved, which mean of the state	ans that the TM sh		Wouldn't it make mo Te, so that someone type of MAU is used SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C	w / entry for 10B. without any doul	ASE-T and 10BASE- ots immediately what
ACCEPT.	•				two separate lines, one for 10B		
/ 14 SC 14.3 ajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corpor	L1 ation	# 29	Cl 22 SC 22.2.1 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E	P 25 ZTE Corpora Comment Status A		# <u>32</u>
	Voltage template values for Figu arbage. Remove " <default ¬<sup="">1 For</default>) <default font="" ⊐¹="">"</default>		nged if EEE capability is suppor read "The mapping is changed		
uggestedRemedy Per comment				SuggestedRemedy Per comment			
esponse ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status C NCIPLE.			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRIN				ACCEPT.			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

W 22 SC 22.2.1.3.3 P26		-								
lajduczenia, Marek ZTE C	orporation	0	# 33	C/ 22 Hajduczer		22.7a ek	P 3 ZTE (0 Corporation	L 5	# 36
Comment Type E Comment Status "diagram (see fig 22-21). The signal" should n Marek sure that the link is live SuggestedRemedy Per comment		see Figure 22	2-21). The signal"	negati (2) Mis SPAC	nd to the ive conn ssing sp CE>>Mb/	otation. L ace in lin s operation	Comment Status ner that a break in th Jse "interruption" or s e 8, page 30 in "spec on" 2-20a is strangely ind	e data strea omething ir ified only fo	n the lines. or 100< <here< td=""><td>,</td></here<>	,
Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	с			Suggested Per co	d <i>Remed</i> omment	ly				
But make sure "Marek" is not in the draft!				Response ACCE			Response Status	С		
C/ 22 SC 22.2.2.2 P 27 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE C	L3 prporation	5	# 34	CI 22	SC :	22.7a.1	P3	1	L 2	# 37
Comment Type T Comment Status				Hajduczer	nia, Mare	ek	ZTE	Corporation		
"when Clock stop enable is asserted" - should asserted" SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status		e Clock stop	enable bit is	param The sa Figure	is "The I neter" ?? ame in li e 22-21 s	Please of Please	le 31. indicate that LP_IDL	Do you mea	_	E.request
ACCEPT.						• •	es to clause 46.4a.1.			
C/ 22 SC 22.2.2.7 P28	-	0	# 35	Suggested Per co	omment	У				
lajduczenia, Marek ZTE C	orporation			Response	;		Response Status	С		
Comment Type T Comment Status "For EEE capability, the PHY indicates that it thought all occurrences of "low power idle" we defined in the initial section of this draft?	is receiving low					RINCIPL	E. e written:			
SuggestedRemedy							REQUEST) _INDICATION)			
Per comment. Response Response Status	с			Where	e LPI_RI	EQUEST	and LPI_INDICATIC	N are the pa	arameters pass	ed by the primitives
ACCEPT.				Fix the	e text in	2 locatior	ns appropriately.			

Efficient Ethernet comments November 2009
C/ 24 SC 24.2.5 P 39 L 21 # 40 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation
Comment Type TR Comment Status A 14 "Upon receiving the LPI command," in previous clauses, you speak of LPI assert / deassert very clearly, which is line since it identifies what happens with signals. Here you start using LPI command, which is unclear as to what it carries and how signal assertion / deassertion is mapped into it. 14 Please clarify what an LPI command is, how it maps into specific LPI assert / deassert signals 14 SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Comment #144 deletes this subclause
Cl 24 SC 24.2.2.5 P 39 L 45 # 41 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation 41 Comment Type E Comment Status A 14 (1) "Tq before a Refresh or Wake state appears" - a state does not appear, it occurs. 14 (2) line 47, same page: "transmitted for default or negotiated amount of time denoted by Tw" > "transmitted for < <a>> default or negotiated amount of time denoted by Tw" (3) line 51, same page: "to notify the upper layer the change of operation mode" > "to notify the upper layer <<a box="" box<="" td="">

Response	S		IEEE	P802.3az D2.1 E	Energy	Efficient Ef	therne	t comme	ents		November 2009
Cl 24	SC 24.2.2.5	P 39	L 50	# 42		CI 24		24.2.3.1	P 40	L16	# 44
Hajduczenia,	, Marek	ZTE Corporatio	n			Hajduczei	nia, Mar	ek	ZTE Corporatio	n	
mode" -	uccessfully recei	Comment Status A ving SLEEP code-groups, the t only 100BASE-TX supports CS type?			144 er		0001" is ot clear	what the v	Comment Status A hex or any other representatio variable is (TX_LP_IDLE, RX_I		clear in here, given that
SuggestedR	emedy					00		per comn	nent		
Clarify p	er comment					Response	,	per com	Response Status C		
Response		Response Status C						PRINCIPL			
	T IN PRINCIPLE se to comment #	144 deletes this subclause					•	value 000	1" to the "binary value 0001" in	the following	two places:
CI 24	SC 24.2.2.5	P 40	L3	# 43		P.40, P.40,					
Hajduczenia,	, Marek	ZTE Corporatio	n			CI 24		24.2.3.4	P 41	L 23	# 45
Comment Type T Comment Status A						Hajduczei			ZTE Corporatio	-	# 45
 (1) "as depicted in Figure 24-11b" - link is not live (2) line 11: "The following constants are required only for the optional EEE capability" > "The following constants are required to support the optional EEE capability. Similar changes in line 29, page 40 and line 17, page 41. (3) line 13: "The SLEEP code-group (/P/) used for LPI state delineator, as specified in 24.2.2.1" > "The SLEEP code-group (/P/) used < by the>> LPI state delineator, as specified in 24.2.2.1" 					Comment Type TR Comment Status R Some of the timers have a range of value which is acceptable. Who / What decides what the final value should be, how is such selection done and does that affect interoperability between devices i.e. what happens if the receivong side expect the maximum value nad the transmitter uses the minium value. Does this break operation of an EEE enabled link? SuggestedRemedy						
SuggestedR	emedy					•••		•	s in the comment.		
Per com	iment					Response		4	Response Status C		
Response	T IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C				REJE					
		o comment #144 deletes this	subclause			The p	urpose	of the ran	ge is to allow for implementation	on tolerances.	
For item	(2), the wording	was agreed during the comn ant improvement warranting	nent resolutior	n on D2.0 and it is no	t				break interoperability between or the transmitter and the receiv		ere is no overlap in the
specifiec to	d in 24.2.2.1"	13: "The SLEEP code-group o (/P/) used by the LPI state c			as						

Cl 24 Hajduczen	SC 24.3.1.8 nia. Marek	P 46 ZTE Corpora	L 15 tion	# 46	C/ 24 Haiduczer	SC 24.3.1.8. 1 nia, Marek	P 46 ZTE Corpo	L 23 ration	# 47			
Comment "This p what c or som	<i>Type</i> T primitive is genera does it mean "only nething in the lines	Comment Status A ted by the Receive Process for the EEE capability" ? D ? The original language is 36, subclause 24.3.1.9.	s of PCS only for o you mean " onl	ly if EEE is supported"	Comment Type T Comment Status A What happens when FALSE is sent ? Also in 24.3.1.9.1, there is no description of what TRUE and FALSE mean, when asserted SuggestedRemedy Per comment							
Suggested	•				Response		Response Status C					
	omment					EPT IN PRINCIPL	•					
Response ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			Chan	ge total four place	s in the draft.					
Chanç	ge all sentences w	ith ".generated . only for the	EEE capability.	" to	Chang	ge the text in P.46	5,L.23,24 to					
".gene	erated . only if EEE	is supported"					neter takes on one of two v ondition has been set (TRI					
in the P.46, I P.46, I					Chang	ge the text in P.46	6,L.43 to					
P.50, I P.50, I P.50, I	L.31						akes on one of two values (TRUE) or not (FALSE)."	: TRUE or FALSE,	indicating whether the			
					Chang	ge the text in P.50),L.38 to					
							r takes on one of two value state (TRUE) or not (FALS		E, indicating whether			
					Chang	ge the text in P.51	,L.5 to					
					The tx_quiet parameter takes on one of two values: TRUE or FALSE, indicating whether the transmitter is in Quiet state (TRUE) or not (FALSE).							
					C/ 24	SC 24.4.1	P 50	L18	# 48			
					Hajduczer	nia, Marek	ZTE Corpo	ration				
						ASE-X supports I	Comment Status A LPI for the EEE capability' support LPI for the EEE ca		nandatory. Shouldn't it			
					Suggestee	dRemedy						
					Per co	omment						
					Response)	Response Status C					
					ACCE	EPT.						

Responses		IEEE F	P802.3az D2.1 I	Energy	Efficient Et	November 2009			
C/ 24 SC 24.4.1.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	Р 50 ZTE Corpora	L 31 tion	# 49		<i>Cl</i> 25 Hajduczer	SC 25.4.11.1 nia, Marek	P 55 ZTE Corpora	L 20 ation	# 51
	rst line 51, same page. Response Status C				other Suggested Clarify Response REJE	driver' ? It is driver ? d <i>Remedy</i> y per comment CT.	Comment Status R s used many times in this cl Response Status C e here. No change is recon		laser driver or some
See the response of co	omment #46						d in the TP-PMD original te ently, the driver means to c		
Cl 25 SC 25.4.11.1 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T "the NRZ bit" or "the ni SuggestedRemedy which is the correct ca Response	ZTE Corpora <i>Comment Status</i> R z bit" - which is it then?	<i>L</i> 30 tion	# <u>50</u>		The te ".to th The T throug	ext in this draft alre e driver (see TP-F	eady points to the source of 2MD 7.1.3)." a single word title "Driver". ht of	reference:	
REJECT.									

NRZ is an official acronym defined and used throughout the ANSI+X3.263-1995.pdf. It is also used in the original text of Clause 24 and 25.

C/ 30 SC 30.12.2.1.22 P62	L 19	# 52	C/ 35 SC 35.2.2.	4 P 67	L 2	# 54
Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporat	tion		Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corpora	ation	
Comment Type E Comment Status A "LocTxSystemValue as defined in 78.4.2.3" - link is Similar comment in line 33, same page. - link is Similar comment in line 44, same page. Similar comment in line 44, same page. - link is Similar comment in line 16, page 63. - link is - link is Similar comment in line 26, page 64 - link is - link is	not live		end SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response	Comment Status A > to signal LPI transitions is d Response Status C	escribed in 35.2	.2.6a" - missing "." at th
Similar comment in line 51, page 64 Similar comment in line 13, page 65			ACCEPT.			
Similar comment in line 25, page 65			<i>Cl</i> 35 <i>SC</i> 35.2.2. Hajduczenia, Marek	4 P67 ZTE Corpora	<i>L</i> ation	# 55
In line 32, there is space missing in "DLL receiver st > "DLL receiver state diagram.<< >>This attribute m		s attribute maps to the"	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
Similar missing space in line 45, same page Similar missing space in line 4, page 63 Similar missing space in line 26, page 64 Similar missing space in line 39, page 64 Similar missing space in line 51, page 64 Similar missing space in line 12, page 65 Similar missing space in line 25, page 65 SuggestedRemedy			in low power idle" should read "For EEE capability,	:0> equal to 0x01 shown in Ta the RS shall use the combinat :0> equal to 0x01<<, as>> sho PI mode.>>"	ion of TX_EN de	e-asserted, TX_ER
per comment						
Response Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
ACCEPT.						
C/ 35 SC 35.2.1 P 66 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporat	L 17	# 53	Cl 35 SC 35.2.2. Hajduczenia, Marek	9a P70 ZTE Corpora	L 33 ation	# 56
Comment Type E Comment Status A "The mapping is changed for EEE capability, this is changed for EEE capability, as described in 35.4a" SuggestedRemedy Per comment		4a" > "The mapping is	(figure 35-9a) if and should read "While the PHY devi	Comment Status A ce is indicating LPI the PHY de only if the Clock stop enable b ce is indicating LPI the PHY de f and only if the Clock stop en	it is asserted (45 evice may halt th	5.2.3.1.3a)." e RX_CLK as shown ir
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.			SuggestedRemedy Per comments			
			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

November 2009

C/ 36 SC 36.2.4.7	P 75	L12	# 57	Cl 36	SC 36.2.5.2.9	P86	L 28	# 60
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corporati	on		Hajduczen	iia, Marek	ZTE Corporat	ion	
Comment Type E "The ability to transmit L11/ and /L12/ is an opti Clause 78)."	Comment Status A or receive /LI/, / ion for certain PHYs to suppor	t Energy Efficie	nt Ethernet (see	"Table	ransmit directions a 36-3c"	Comment Status A s using the status variables s	hown in Table 36	i-3c" - link is not live to
,	/LI1/ is a kind of awkward			Suggested	-			
SuggestedRemedy					omment			
per comment				Response ACCE		Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCL	F I.			
ACCEPT.				C/ 40	SC 40.1.4	P 90	L 34	# 61
C/ 36 SC 36.2.4.12		L 49	# 58	Hajduczen Comment		ZTE Corporat Comment Status R	ion	
Hajduczenia, Marek	ZTE Corporati	011			51	e PCS is directed to generate	e only idle code g	roups encoded with
the EEE capability this	Comment Status A / this variable is affected by th variable is identical to code_s					"IDLE code-groups", sometir	mes "idle code gr	oups" - which is it
synchronization state c should read	hagram			Suggested	•			
"If EEE is supported, the	nis variable is affected by the liable is identical to code_sync		5			pecific captitalization rules in throughout all clauses.	the given clause	? Otherwise it should
synchronization state of	liagram"			Response		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy				REJE	CT.			
Per comment				Lower	case "idle code	groups" is used throughout C	lause 40 and is o	consistent with its
Response	Response Status C			usage	in the base docu	iment.		
ACCEPT.				There	is no apparent no	eed to make the capitalizatio	n consistent betv	veen clauses since, for
Cl 36 SC 36.2.5.1. Hajduczenia, Marek	2 P76 ZTE Corporati	L 3 on	# 59	examp	ole, Clause 40 "id	le code groups" are not the s	ame as Clause 2	24 "IDLE code groups."
Comment Type T	Comment Status R							
there are several entrie	t is used only for the EEE cap es which say " for the EEE c ability is supported." Scrub the	apability." - sug						
SuggestedRemedy Per comment								
Response REJECT.	Response Status C							
This wording was agree	ed during the comment resolu	tion for D2 0						

IYPE: IR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

V 40 SC 40.2.12.1 P 92 L 30 # 62 ajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Image: Corporation	C/ 40 SC 40.6.1.2.7 P 109 L 40 # 64 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation						
omment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A						
"is in progress hence 1000BTtransmit (see 40.3.3.1) will also be FALSE" should be reworded to "is in progress hence the variable 1000BTtransmit (see 40.3.3.1) will also be set to FALSE"	"40.6.1.2.7 Transmitter operation during WAKE" should read "40.6.1.2.7 Transmitter operation during the WAKE state"						
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy						
per comment	Per comment						
esponse Response Status C	Response Response Status C						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
It may also be helpful to clarify how the 1000BTtransmit is set to FALSE.	This subclause defines transmitter operation following a transition from the QUIET state to the WAKE state. It is not limited to the WAKE state only.						
Change text to: "hence 1000BTtransmit (see 40.3.3.1) will be set to FALSE by the PCS Transmit state diagram."	Change heading to: "40.6.1.2.7 Transmitter operation following a transition from the QUIET to the WAKE state"						
/ 40 SC 40.4.6.1 P105 L1 # 63	C/ 40 SC 40.12.4 P111 L17 # 65						
ajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation	Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation						
omment Type E Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A						
 (1) different font sizes for e.g. "SEND_I" (2) text in some boxes is misaligned within the boxes e.g. "DISABLE 1000BASE-T TRANSMITTER" and others 	statements in them. The same comment against item PCR5 and PMF24 through PMF37. The same comment against item PME71 through PME77. The same comment against item AN15.						
Per comment	SuggestedRemedy						
esponse Response Status C	Remove shall statements from the PCT18, PCT19, PCR5 PICS items.						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Remove shall statements from the PPMF24 through PMF37 PICS items. Remove shall statements from the PME71 through PME77 PICS items.						
These issues suisting the base desurgert lineares since the state discusses is being	Remove shall statements from the AN15 PICS items.						
These issues exist in the base document. However, since the state diagram is being modified by this amendment, the editor will correct the font size and text alignment issues.	Scrub the rest of the draft for the same issue i.e. shall statements in PICS.						
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
	In the base document, PICS do incorporate the keyword "shall" in the "Feature" and/or "Value/Comment" fields. Clause 40 does this to excess. While this may appear to be unusual, there is no rule (to the editor's knowledge) that prohibits it.						
	However, there is a difference in the style of the EEE-related PICS and the PICS in Clause 40 of the base document. For better or worse, it is preferred to be consistent with the base document style.						
	Update the PICS to be consistent with the style of existing Clause 40 PICS.						
YPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G OMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/							

Responses IEEE P802.3az D2.1	Energy Efficient Ethernet comments November 200
X 45 SC 45.2.3.1.3a P 116 L 21 # 66 lajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.2.2a P 117 L 29 # 68 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation ZTE Corporation End (2010)
Comment Type T Comment Status A There are still occurences of "low power idle" which have not been replaced with LPI a defined at the initial section of the draft. Scrub the draft accordingly. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status A "If bit 3.1.6 is set to 1" in some instances, you write "set to 1/0" etc. In other instances, you write "set to a zero/a one". Pick one nomenclature and use consistently, unless there is anything in the IEEE style guidelines to define what style should be used.
Per comment.	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C	Per comment
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change line 21 to LPI	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Also page 117, line 29	Change 1 to one.
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.1.3a P 116 L 23 # 67 lajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.9a.1 P 118 L 33 # 69 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation ZTE Corporation Angle Corporation Angle Corporation
Comment Type E Comment Status A "see 22.2.2.9a, 35.2.2.9a, 46.3.2.4a" should read "see 22.2.2.9a, 35.2.2.9a, and 46.3.2.4a"	Comment Type E Comment Status A "If the device supports EEE operation for 10GBASE-KR as defined in 72.1 this bit shall be set to 1." is missing a comma before "this bit " Similar in lines 37, 41, 45, 49, 53 on the same page
Similar on page 117, line 31 "see 22.2.2.9a, 35.2.2.9a, 46.3.2.4a" should read	SuggestedRemedy Per comment
"see 22.2.2.9a, 35.2.2.9a, and 46.3.2.4a"	Response Response Status C
SuggestedRemedy Per comment	ACCEPT.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.14a P 121 L 18 # 70 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation ZTE Corporatio
	Comment Type E Comment Status A "All of the bits in the EEE LP advertisement register are read only." should read "All of the bits in the EEE LP advertisement register are < <read-only>>."</read-only>
	SuggestedRemedy Per comment
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments November 2009 Responses C/ 46 SC 46.1.7 P125 L17 # 71 C/ 46 SC 46.3.1.5a P126 L 22 # 73 ZTE Corporation **ZTE** Corporation Hajduczenia, Marek Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A (1) "mapping changes slightly when LPI signaling is in operation" - how much is slightly? (1) "LPI state by asserting TXC and setting TXD to 06 (in all lanes)." - that value 06 is decimal. hexadecimal or in some other encoding. Similar comment to 46.3.2.4a. line 20. Either it changes or not. Remove "slightly" page 127 (2) "LPI IDLE.request shall not be set to ASSERT unless the attached link is operational (2) "shown in Figure 46-7a if and only if the clock stop capable bit is asserted (i.e. link status = OK. according to the underlying PCS/PMA). LP IDLE request shall [45.2.3.2.2a]." - why is the reference in square brackets? change "[45.2.3.2.2a]" to "(see remain to be set to DEASSERT for 1 second following link status changing state to OK."-45.2.3.2.2a)" and make sure that the link is live. Similar comment to 46.3.2.4a. line 25. this block of text is written in smaller font than the rest of the paragraph page 127 SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedv Per comment (1) Probably 0x06 is meant, which corresponds to 0000 0110 in binary, correct ? Make sure that it is clear what encoding is used. Response Response Status C (2) per comment ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 1) "The mapping is changed if EEE capability is supported." Re (1), change to 0x06 2) fix font size. SC 46.3 Re (2) - per comment C/ 46 P125 L45 # 72 ZTE Corporation Hajduczenia, Marek C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.1.3 P136 L5 # 74 Comment Status A Comment Type E Hajduczenia, Marek **ZTE** Corporation "RX CLK may be halted according to 46.3.2.4a" is written in larger font than the res of the Comment Type T Comment Status R paragraph. "For EEE capability, this variable is affected by the LPI receive state diagram. Without EEE SuggestedRemedy capability this variable is identical to deskew align status controlled by the deskew state Per comment diagram" change to Response Response Status C "If EEE capability is supported, this variable is affected by the LPI receive state diagram. ACCEPT. Otherwise, this variable is identical to deskew align status controlled by the deskew state diagram" SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Response Status C REJECT. The "capability" wording was agreed after very long discussions during comment resolution

for D2.0.

Responses	sponses IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments							
C/ 48 SC 48.2.4.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P134 L3 ZTE Corporation	# 75	Cl 74 SC 74.7.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P218 ZTE Corporation	L 48 # 78			
Personally, I think " LPIDLE " shou IDLE. Do not remove that extra I for SuggestedRemedy Suggest a change per comment. S	om within the acronym.	is what it is i.e. it is an LPI		Comment Status A t count if FEC_SIGNAL.indicati counters shall be disabled if" Response Status C	ion (RX_LPI_ACTIVE) is TRUE" - ' - sounds more natural.			
LPI stands for Low Power Idle, then CI 48 SC 48.2.6.1.2	refore a second "I" would b		C/ 74 SC 74.10.2.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P219 ZTE Corporation	L 4 # [79			
Missing space between "specified i	ZTE Corporation <i>nt Status</i> A in 48.2.4.2.3" and "For EEE	capability".		Comment Status A et to true if the" - again, it is T ences within this and other clau				
SuggestedRemedy Per comment			SuggestedRemedy Get the capitalization rigl across various clauses.	nt unless there is a good reason	n to have capitalization different			
Response Response ACCEPT.	e Status C		Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				
C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Commei	P141 L 30 ZTE Corporation	# 77	Cl 74 SC 74.11 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 221 ZTE Corporation	L1 # 80			
"when true. The receive LPI" - som Which is it? It does not seem to be			Comment Type T PICS section is empty. If	Comment Status A EEE does not changes to this	subclause, why have it at all?			
SuggestedRemedy Per comment			SuggestedRemedy Either fill it in or remove i	t				
Response Response Response	e Status C		Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C				
There is only one instance of "true"	' - change to "TRUE"		Please refer to Suggeste	d remedy of #134				

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

	78.1 P	°222	L 15	# 81	CI 78	SC 78.1.3	P	225	L 4	# 83
Hajduczenia, Mar	rek ZTE	E Corporation			Hajduczeni	a, Marek	ZTE	Corporation		
comment Type	T Comment Statu	is A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	R		
supports the should not ca	that MAC was not operated a IEEE 802.3 MAC operation a are about what data rate the N y way. EEE does not extend I	it 100 Mb/s, 10 MAC is operatii	00 Mb/s, and 10 ng it, since it doe) Gb/s.". EEE	interfac such a	ces supported n introduction,	diagram represents a by EEE" and which ar you are invited to pro ve transparency of the	e those in pa vide details v	articular? Sind what types of	ce there is already
are 100BASE	sentence "For operation over t E-TX, 1000BASE-T and 10GE pported are 1000BASE-KX, 1	BASE-T. For op	peration over ele	e PHYs supported ctrical backplanes,	Suggested Per co	-				
10GBASE-KF	R " to read "For operation ove	r twisted pair	cabling systems,	EEE supports the	Response		Response Status	С		
	Ys: 100BASE-TX, 1000BASE EEE supports the following P				REJEC	CT.				
10GBASE-KF	R."		·		It is not	t clear to the e	ditor that listing the xN	III interfaces	s adds any sig	gnificant clarity.
Per comment					CI 78	SC 78.1.3.	1 P:	225	L 50	# 84
esponse	Response Status	۰ ۲			Hajduczeni	a, Marek	ZTE	Corporation		
ACCEPT IN F	,	, U			Comment	Гуре Е	Comment Status	a A		
Re (1), as pe	er comment.						frame" in quotation ma n marks, but 'normal in			
Re (2) Chang	ge sentence: on over twisted pair cabling sy	stems the PH	Ys supported ar		Suggested	Remedy				
	and 10GBASE-T. For operat				Per co	-				
	e 1000BASE-KX, 10GBASE-I	KX4 and			Response		Response Status	с		
10GBASE-KF	۲."				, ACCEF	PT.		•		
to read:		stems FFF si		ASE-TX PHY, the						
"For operation	on over twisted pair cabling sy									
"For operation 1000BASE-T	PHY and the 10GBASE-T P	HY. For operat	tion over electric	al backplanes,	CI 78	SC 78.1.3.		225	L 50	# 85
"For operation 1000BASE-T		HY. For operat	tion over electric X4 PHY and the	al backplanes,	<i>CI 78 Hajduczeni</i>			225 Corporation		# 85
"For operation 1000BASE-T EEE supports PHY."	TPHY and the 10GBASE TP s the 1000BASE-KX PHY, the	HY. For operate e 10GBASE-K	X4 PHY and the	al backplanes, 10GBASE-KR	Hajduczeni Comment	a, Marek <i>Type</i> T	ZTE Comment Status	Corporation		
"For operation 1000BASE-T EEE supports PHY." 78 SC	PHY and the 10GBASE-T P s the 1000BASE-KX PHY, the 78.1	HY. For operat	tion over electric: X4 PHY and the <i>L</i> 26	al backplanes,	Hajduczeni <i>Comment</i> "After a clause,	a, Marek <i>Type</i> T a delay the LP so it should b	ZTE Comment Status - what delay? I think e either spelled out wi	Corporation A this delay is nat the value	parametrized	d in the text of the
"For operation 1000BASE-T EEE supports PHY." 78 SC ajduczenia, Mar	PHY and the 10GBASE-T P s the 1000BASE-KX PHY, the 78.1	HY. For operate 10GBASE-K 2 222 E Corporation	X4 PHY and the	al backplanes, 10GBASE-KR	Hajduczeni Comment "After a clause, referen	a, Marek <i>Type</i> T a delay the LP so it should b ice to 78.4 sho	ZTE Comment Status - what delay? I think e either spelled out wi puld be made much so	Corporation A this delay is nat the value	parametrized	d in the text of the
"For operation 1000BASE-T EEE supports PHY." 78 SC ajduczenia, Mar omment Type "EEE also sp	PHY and the 10GBASE-T P s the 1000BASE-KX PHY, the 78.1 P rek ZTE E Comment Statu pecifies a means to exchange	HY. For operative 10GBASE-K2 2222 E Corporation As A capabilities be	X4 PHY and the	al backplanes, 10GBASE-KR # <mark>82</mark>	Hajduczeni Comment T "After a clause, referen Also m	a, Marek Type T a delay the LP so it should b ice to 78.4 sho issing comma	ZTE Comment Status - what delay? I think e either spelled out wi	Corporation A this delay is nat the value	parametrized	d in the text of the
"For operation 1000BASE-T EEE supports PHY." 78 SC ijduczenia, Mar omment Type "EEE also sp specifies mea	PHY and the 10GBASE-T P s the 1000BASE-KX PHY, the 78.1 rek ZTE E Comment Statu pecifies a means to exchange ans to exchange	HY. For operative 10GBASE-K2 2222 E Corporation As A capabilities be	X4 PHY and the	al backplanes, 10GBASE-KR # <mark>82</mark>	Hajduczeni Comment "After a clause, referen	a, Marek Type T a delay the LP so it should b ce to 78.4 sho issing comma Remedy	ZTE Comment Status - what delay? I think e either spelled out wi puld be made much so	Corporation A this delay is nat the value	parametrized	d in the text of the
"For operation 1000BASE-T EEE supports PHY." 78 SC ajduczenia, Mar omment Type "EEE also sp specifies mea uggestedRemed	 PHY and the 10GBASE-T PI s the 1000BASE-KX PHY, the 78.1 P rek ZTE E Comment Statu becifies a means to exchange ans to exchange capabilities I dy 	HY. For operative 10GBASE-K2 2222 E Corporation As A capabilities be	X4 PHY and the	al backplanes, 10GBASE-KR # <mark>82</mark>	Hajduczeni Comment "After a clause, referen Also m Suggested Per co	a, Marek Type T a delay the LP so it should b ce to 78.4 sho issing comma Remedy	ZTE Comment Status " - what delay? I think e either spelled out wi build be made much so after "After a delay"	Corporation A this delay is hat the value oner.	parametrized	d in the text of the
"For operation 1000BASE-T EEE supports PHY." 78 SC ajduczenia, Mar comment Type "EEE also sp specifies mea uggestedRemed Per comment	PHY and the 10GBASE-T PI s the 1000BASE-KX PHY, the 78.1 P rek ZTE E Comment Statu pecifies a means to exchange ans to exchange capabilities I dy t	HY. For operative 10GBASE-K2 2222 E Corporation <i>is</i> A capabilities be between"	X4 PHY and the	al backplanes, 10GBASE-KR # <mark>82</mark>	Hajduczeni Comment "After a clause, referen Also m Suggested Per cou Response	a, Marek <i>Type</i> T a delay the LP so it should b ice to 78.4 sho issing comma <i>Remedy</i> mment	ZTE Comment Status I" - what delay? I think be either spelled out wi buld be made much so after "After a delay" Response Status	Corporation A this delay is hat the value oner.	parametrized	d in the text of the
"For operation 1000BASE-T EEE supports PHY." C/ 78 SC lajduczenia, Mar Comment Type "EEE also sp specifies mea SuggestedRemed	 PHY and the 10GBASE-T PI s the 1000BASE-KX PHY, the 78.1 P rek ZTE E Comment Statu becifies a means to exchange ans to exchange capabilities I dy 	HY. For operative 10GBASE-K2 2222 E Corporation <i>is</i> A capabilities be between"	X4 PHY and the	al backplanes, 10GBASE-KR # <mark>82</mark>	Hajduczeni Comment "After a clause, referen Also m Suggested Per cou Response	a, Marek Type T a delay the LP so it should b ce to 78.4 sho issing comma Remedy	ZTE Comment Status I" - what delay? I think be either spelled out wi buld be made much so after "After a delay" Response Status	Corporation A this delay is hat the value oner.	parametrized	d in the text of the

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 18 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:03 AM

C/ 78 Hajduczer	SC 78. nia, Marek	1.3.3.1	P 226 ZTE Corporation	L 25 on	# 86	<i>Cl</i> 78 Hajduczen	SC 78.1.3.3. ia, Marek		2 6 Corporati	L 29 ion	# 87		
Comment			omment Status A		sleep signal	Comment		Comment Status			sleen	signal	
"At the start of 'assert LPI' encoding on the xMII, the PHY signals sleep" should read "When the start of 'assert LPI' encoding on the xMII is detected, the PHY signals " I am not sure what 'signal sleep' really means. Is it a special code-group or something else altogether? The sentence reads just fine without it. This term 'sleep' is also used in following sentences without ever defining what this is and what it is used for. Please remove it consistently or define altogether what this 'sleep' is, how it is transmitted etc. Otherwise it seems like a poor description of transmission of LPI encoding onto the other					"and 1 after sl OK so or not? make i to deso Suggested	OGBASE-KX4) r leep is" now we have 's I have not seer homenclature ur cribe operatio of Remedy	equires the transmit leep mode', 'quiet mo a single definition of iform or define each LPI system elements	function of de' and ' f either of and ever	low power mode f them so far so	to enter a quiet mo e' - are they the sam it is hard to tell. Ple	ode ne ease		
Other	wise it seen					Per co	mment						
	of the link.					Response		Response Status	С				
Suggeste	dRemedy					ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	.E.					
Per co	omment					"alaan	mandall in matrix	ad in the dueft					
Response	Э	Re	sponse Status C			sieep	mode" is not us	ed in the draft.					
ACCE		NCIPLE.				"quiet mode" is used in two places - Page 226 lines 19 and 32.							
"At the to: "Whe	Change: "At the start of 'assert LPI' encoding on the xMII, the PHY signals sleep" to: "When the start of 'assert LPI' encoding on the xMII is detected, the PHY signals sleep" The sleep signal is PHY specific and described in the PHY clauses			with: "…the on Pag "quiet" Depen	transmit function local PHY trans ge 226, lines 29 refers to the sta ding on the PHN	n of the local PHY en mitter goes quiet…" and 32 and any other te of a transmitter. ′, LPI mode can invol	r place. Ive a repe	eating sequence					
								clause 1 on page 14 a				; 78	
						CI 78	SC 78.1.3.3.		-	L 43	# 88		
						Hajduczen	ia, Marek	ZTE	Corporati	ion			

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"The PHY then enters the normal operating state where data is transmitted or IDLEs are transmitted" why do we need to mention what is transmitted in a normal state? Just change that sentence to read "The PHY then enters the normal operating state."

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Response

Responses	IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments							November 2009
Cl 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 227 ZTE Corporatio	L 1	# 89	<i>Cl 78</i> Hajduczer	SC 78.1.3.3.2 nia, Marek	P 227 ZTE Corporation	L 21 on	# 92
	Comment Status R ustrates general principles of t ure 78-3 illustrates a general o			power	ert LPI" on the xM	Comment Status A II and the local receiver can d change "some functionality" to		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Suggested Per co	dRemedy omment			
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
Change does not provi Cl 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 Hajduczenia, Marek		L 10	# 90	of son	ne functional bloc	accurate as is. Stating that dis ks makes an assumption on ir ssert" through out the draft to I	nplementation	that is unnecessary.
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			C/ 78	SC 78.1.4	P 227	L 32	# 93
Change caption of Figu active state" SuggestedRemedy Per comment	re 78-3 to read "EEE operating	g cycle: active	state - LPI mode -		Туре Т	ZTE Corporatio Comment Status A ver mode of operation for the t with each PHY"		BPHYs. Table 78-1 lists
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.			"EEE		ver mode of operation for the 8 ssociated with each PHY."	302.3 PHYs lis	ted in Table 78-1,
Change caption to: Overview of EEE LPI o	peration			Suggested Per co	dRemedy omment			
				Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
				Chanc	ne to:			

Change to: "EEE defines a low power mode of operation for the 802.3 PHYs listed in Table 78-1. The table also lists the clauses associated with each PHY."

Responses		IEEE	P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient E	thernet comm	ents		November 2009
Cl 78 SC 78.1.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 227 ZTE Corporation	L 35	# 94	C/ 79 Hajducze	SC 79.3 nia, Marek	P 239 ZTE Corporatio	L 19 on	# 97
Comment Type T Change caption of Ta SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Comment Status A able 78-1 to "PHY types supporting	I EEE"		get th Suggeste	802.3 subtype for ne IEEE 802.3 sub	Comment Status A EEE is not yet assigned. This type for EEE TLVs.	s comment ser	ves as a reminder to
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	Response Status C PLE.			Respons	comment e EPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
The existing table title Change the heading	e seems adequate. of the first column from "Nomencla	ture" to "PH	Y type"		will be assigned b t stage.	y the IEEE 802.3 WG Chair or	r his designee a	at the IEEE-SA Sponsor
Also change the entr	y for 100BASE-TX to "24".	L 31	# 95	C/ 24 CHOU, J	SC 24.2.2.5 OSEPH	P 39 REALTEK SEI	L 20 MICOND	# 98
Please remove any u SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response REJECT.	ZTE Corporation <i>Comment Status</i> R some parameters with three trailing innecessary trailing zeros. <i>Response Status</i> C after a comma (which is used as a			state Suggeste Inser 24.2. shall Proposed REJE	e is a *LPC capab ment in the draft to edRemedy ted the following s 2.5 is required onl comply with the re d Response ECT.	Comment Status D lity that is defined in the PICS ext. tatement at the end of this part y for the EEE capability. If imp equirements in this subclause. Response Status Z	ragraph: plemented, the	
Cl 78 SC 78.4.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E "A summary cross-re SuggestedRemedy Per comment	3 P 232 ZTE Corporation Comment Status A ferences between" > "A summary of	L 21	# 96	Suggeste	<i>t Type</i> TR e is a "shall" state ed <i>Remedy</i>	P41 REALTEK SEI Comment Status A ment in LPI Link Fail condition y for LPI Link Fail with the follo	without the as	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				eceiver shall assu e	ive a valid Refresh or Wake s ne a link failure." <i>Response Status</i> C	ignal before lpi	_rx_tq_timer expires,

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 99

Page 21 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:03 AM

•								
C/ 24 SC 24.2 CHOU, JOSEPH	.4.2 P 42 REALTEK S	L 15 SEMICOND	# 100	CI 24 SC CHOU, JOSEPH	24.2.4.4	P 41 REALTEK S	L 19 EMICOND	# 101
Transmit Process	Comment Status A e diagram (Figure 24-8) has bee (subclause 24.2.4.2) does not ha function for EEE capability.			Receive Pro	state diagram (cess (subclause	omment Status A Figure 24-11) has bee 24.2.4.2) does not ha or EEE capability.		
•	aragraph in 24.2.4.2 as shown b d by the square bracket [] are n				correspondent te	state has been replace ext.	ed with IDENTIFY	_JK state. Need to
process. When ini [except in the LPI continuous Idle co Transmit process these two code-grup five-bit code-group signal is asserted, PMA. Following th	ess sends code-groups to the P tially invoked, and between streat mode for the optional EEE capa de-groups (/l/) to the PMA. Upor passes an SSD (/J/K/) to the PM oup times. Following the SSD, e o until TX_EN is deasserted. If, v the Transmit process passes Tr e de-assertion of TX_EN, an ES e code-groups is resumed by the	ams (delimited by bility,] the Transm in the assertion of IA, ignoring the T> ach TXD <3:0> nil vhile TX_EN is ass ransmit Error code D (/T/R/) is general	TX_EN on the MII), it process sources TX_EN by the MII, the CD <3:0> nibbles during oble is encoded into a serted, the TX_ER -groups (/H/) to the	Note: text er The Receive and aligned. except for th capability.] ti	closed by the so process state d In the prealigne e case of detect ne Receive proc uccessful alignn	n 24.2.4.4 as shown be quare bracket [] are ne liagram can be viewed d states, IDLE, CARRI ion of SLEEP code-gro ess is waiting for an in- nent, the incoming cod	ew. as comprising tw ER DETECT, and bups when suppol dication of channe	I [IDENTIFY JK, ting the optional EEE activity followed by a
[If EEE Capability of TXD, together w the Transmit proce the PMA. In the LF between TX_SLEF state whenever the	[If EEE Capability is supported, when the Receive process successfully aligns and decodes two consecutive SLEEP (/P/) code-groups, it enters the LPI mode and stays in LPI states until either the IDLE code-groups are received, where it leads the Receive process to the IDLE state, or a link failure condition in the LPI mode occurs, where it causes the Receive process to enter the RX_LPI_LINK_FAIL state and eventually move to the IDLE state.] Response Response Status C							
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN Follow suggested	Response Status C CIPLE. remedy with the following chang	es:		ACCEPT IN Adopt sugge		h "a SSD" replaced	l with "an SSD.	"

1) Remove space between "TX_ER" and "," in the first sentence of the second paragraph

2) Replace "...to IDLE state..." with "...to the IDLE state..." in the last sentence

Re

	P 43	L 22	# 102	assertion thresho
C/ 24 SC 24.2.4.2 CHOU, JOSEPH	REALTEK SE		# 102	100BASE-TX sigr To:
				"For the optional I
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			PMD_TXQUIET.r
There is a corner case:				signal that exceed The scrambler sh
	the TX_QUIET state very	briefly, and retur	n to the IDLE state	Transmit function
anytime when it receives a		atata may ba ta	a abort to offectively	The transmitter sh
assert the Signal_detectio	r staying in the TX_QUIET on of the receiver at the rer			than 5 µs after be set to TRUE, ther
	ualizer (EQ) and Clock Re		R) may lose the track	
due to the period of "no-si As a result, the receiver m			decode the symbols	Page 56, Line 20
correctly, and eventually n				Change the trans "link_status != OK
				to
This scenario is a mistake	e and needs to change.			"link_status != OK
However, the fix will affect	5	To reduce the in	npact, it's preferable to	Page 54, Line 43
decrease the signal_detect	ction time.			Change
SuggestedRemedy				" the jitter contr and the first 5 µs
Modify the Transmit State	Diagram (Fig 24-8):			То
Change the maximum As	sert time and De-assert tin	ne of Signal dete	ection of PMD in LPI	" the jitter contr and the first 5 µs
mode (refer to Table 25-3) to 1 microsecond			TX_QUIET state
Add a new timer lpi_tx_tm	_timer in TX_QUIET state	with a value ran	ge between 1 to 1.5	C/ 24 SC 24.3
microseconds, and start it	when entering TX_QUIET	state	-	CHOU, JOSEPH
Change the branch condit	ion between TX_QUIET a	nd IDLE from "se	entCodeGroup.indicate	Comment Type T
? (TX_EN = TRUE +TX_E	ER = FALSE + TXD[3:0] !=	TX_LP_IDLE)"	to	There is a *LPM of
"sentCodeGroup.indicate TXD[3:0] != TX_LP_IDLE)		(TX_EN = TRUE	+TX_ER = FALSE +	statement in the c
				SuggestedRemedy
Parameters are modified i TX:	in the second row of Table	78-4 under the l	PHY type 100BASE-	Inserted the follow
Tw phy = 22				24.3.2.3 is require
Tphy_shrink_tx = 6.5				shall comply with
Tw_sys_rx = 8.5				Response
A presentaion will be mad	e in the Nov. meeting.			ACCEPT.
Response	Response Status C			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
Page 56, Line 20 (25.4.11	7 Changes to TP-PMD 10) 2 "Transmitter")	
Change:			/	
onungo.				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

old within 2 µs, and at the same starting time, deliver a fully compliant gnal within 5 µs.'

EEE capability, when tx guiet (as communicated by the request primitive) is set to FALSE, the transmitter output shall deliver a eds Signal Detect assertion threshold within 2 µs. shall continue to operate for the first 5 us following tx guiet = TRUE. ons may be deactivated after this period.

shall deliver a fully compliant signal when tx_quiet is set to FALSE less being set to TRUE. If tx guiet is set to FALSE more than 5 us after being en the transmitter shall deliver a fully compliant signal within 5 µs."

0 (Figure 25-1)

sition condition to the state ZERO V of Figure 25-1 from 0K + tx quiet = TRUE"

DK + tx_quiet = TRUE * gotNRZbit.indicate"

3 (25.4.6 Change to 9.1.9, "Jitter")

tributions from the clock transitions occurring during the TX QUIET state s of the TX_SLEEP state are ignored."

tributions from the clock transitions occurring during the TX QUIET state s of the TX_SLEEP state or the first 5 µs of the IDLE state following a are ignored."

C/ 24	SC 24.3.2.3	P 47	L 29	# 103
CHOU, J	OSEPH	REALTEK SE	MICOND	

TR Comment Status A

capability that is defined in the PICS list without the associated "shall" draft text.

owing statement at the end of this paragraph:

ired only for the EEE capability. If implemented, the operation of the PMA h the requirements in this subclause.

Response Status C

Comment ID # 103

Page 23 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:03 AM

Responses		IEEE F	P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient E	thernet comm	ents			November 2009
C/ 25 SC 25.4.11 CHOU, JOSEPH	P 55 REALTEK SEI	L 15 MICOND	# 104	<i>Cl</i> 25 CHOU, J	SC 25.4.11.2 OSEPH		P 56 EALTEK SE	L 48 EMICOND	# 106
	Comment Status A nation and the need to con statement associated with th					Comment Stat re text for the modi capability.		ade on The Deco	der state diagram
SuggestedRemedy Insert the following statem	nent at the end of this parag	ıraph:		00	ed <i>Remedy</i> t the following sta	tement at the end	of this para	agraph:	
requirements in this subcl	pported, the operation of th ause. <i>Response Status</i> C	e PMD shall cor	nply with the	(RX_ Chan "It is :	QUIET, see PCS age the last senter also used to set th	Receive state diag nce of rx_quiet at L ne initial state of D	gram, Figu 23, P.57 f ecoder sta	re 24-11b). from te diagram." to	n a quiet line state
Need proper descriptive te (Figure 25-1) for EEE cap SuggestedRemedy	P 55 REALTEK SEI Comment Status A ext for the modification mad ability.	e on The Encod	# 105	Response ACCI Refin The F the T recei	e EPT IN PRINCIPL e the statements PMD Decoder fun P-PMD except that	as follow: ction of the 100BA at the output of the	us C SE-TX wit	h EEE capability is set to a value 2	is identical to that of
line state (TX_QUIET, see Change the last sentence "It is also used to set the i	set to a value ZERO_VOLT PCS Transmit state diagra of tx_quiet at L.51, P.55 fro nitial state of Encoder state diagram to an initial state o	am, Figure 24-8 om e diagram." to		Cl 25 CHOU, Ju Commen 25.4	t Type TR				# 107
	Response Status C			Suggeste	edRemedy wo more PICS er		ly one r to	o chuy.	
Refine the statements as	follow:			Code	-groups used to r	neasure jitter in the	e LPI mode	e shall be SLEEF	code-group.
the TP-PMD except that the	n of the 100BASE-TX with he output of the Encoder is iet state of the LPI mode (1	set to a value Z	ERO_VOLTAGE when		er than 1 second. e			nall be no less tha	an 100 msec and no

Comment ID # 107

Page 24 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:03 AM

/ 25 HOU, JOSI	SC 25.4.11 EPH	P 55 REALTEK SE	L1 EMICOND	# 108	C/ 78 CHOU, JC	SC 78.4.2.2 DSEPH	P 231 REALTEK S	L 4 EMICOND	# 111
omment Ty		Comment Status A			Comment		Comment Status A		
25.4.11. 25.4.11. 25.4.11. 25.4.11. 25.4.11. 25.4.11. 25.4.11. 25.4.11.	1, P.55, L.24 2, P.56, L.50 3, P.57, L.45 4, P.57, L.51 5, P.58, L.29 6, P.58, L.36 7, P.58, L.43 7, P.55, L.44	nents in the following area w	ithout associate	d PICS entries:	the co param It nee fractio Suggestee Add ir "This	Iumn Tw_sys_tx o neter described in t ds clarification on l on of microseconds <i>dRemedy</i> in the text of 78.4.2 parameter should	(actual Tw_sys_tx) can be f the table 78-4. However, this subclause ask for an ir how to convert the intender to an integer number. 2 something like: be rounded up to the neare to 78.2 and Table 78-4."	the value holders tteger with micro d Tw_sys_tx, whi	s of negotiated second as the unit. ich could consist of
	•	list as suggested in the con	nment.		Response ACCE		Response Status C		
esponse ACCEP ⁻	Т.	Response Status C					ct in that the current TLV fin remedy is an efficient way		
descripti between This con Transmi Figure 7 <i>uggestedR</i> Replace Change LOCAL_ Change	<i>tis</i> not a valid pa ion. Instead, it i i link partners b nment will affect tter State Diagr 8.5-EEE DLL F <i>temedy</i> Tw_sys with T the initial value INITIAL_TX_V	t the entire text of 78.4. It als am, and ecciver State Diagram w_sys_tx in the entire subcla of all variables in the INITIA ALUE.	78.2-LPI mode e the only paran so affects Figure ause 78.4. ALIZE state of Fi	gure 78-4 to	Diagra That r "(NEV goes f "(NEV goes f Suggested	<i>Type</i> TR vo exit conditions of am" should be swa means the branch of V_TX_VALUE < Lo NIRROR UPDA V_TX_VALUE >= I to SYSTEM REAL <i>dRemedy</i> pomment	from TX UPDATE with con pcResolvedTxSystemValue TE state, while the branch _ocResolvedTxSystemValu	Figure 78-4 "EE ditions e) * (NEW_TX_V with conditions	ALUE < TempRxVar)"
esponse ACCEP ⁻	Г.	_WAKE_VALUE in 78.4.2.2 <i>Response Status</i> C ect in that the terminology wa		-					

IYPE: IR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 78 SC 78.4.3.1 P 236 L 52 # 113 CHOU, JOSEPH REALTEK SEMICOND	C/ 25 SC 25.4.11 CHOU, JOSEPH	<i>P</i> 55 REALTEK SE		# 115
	,			
Comment Type TR Comment Status A The statement of "If the NEW_TX_VALUE is smaller than either" has technical error and is also inconsistent with what is shown in the Figure 78-4 EEE DLL Transmitter State Diagram.	Comment Type ER The subclause numbe 2008.	Comment Status A r overlaps with the exisiting s	ubclause 25.4.11	of IEEE Std 802.3-
SuggestedRemedy Replace the "smaller than" with "equal to or greater than" in the statement to read "If the NEW_TX_VALUE is equal to or greater than either the resolved Tw_sys value or the	heading2 level. The vo exception.	be better to promote the Ethe plume of information here prol		
value requested by the receiving link partner then it enters the SYSTEM REALLOCATION state where it updates the value of resolved Tw_sys with NEW_TX_VALUE.".	SuggestedRemedy Promote 25.4.11 to be	25.5 and modify the clause r	number of PICS fr	rom 25.5 to 25.6.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
CI 24 SC 24.8 P 52 L 1 # 114 CHOU, JOSEPH REALTEK SEMICOND Image: Choice of the semicond semic	C/ 78 SC 78.4.2.5 CHOU, JOSEPH	P 234 REALTEK SE	L 41 EMICOND	# 116
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		
There is a *LPC capability that is defined. This capability has a direct impact on the functions performed by the PCS and PMA, yet the only new PICS are for the timers.	The figure number of " that of "Figure 78-4 LP wake time".	Figure 78-4 EEE DLL Transn PI mode timing parameters an	nitter State Diagra d their relationshi	am" duplicates with ip to minimum system
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy			
"Shalls" are needed to help define the way the PCS and PMA functions operate in LPI mode. Scrub the clause to make sure that functions modified or impacted by LPI have a corresponding PICS capability entry.	Change the figure num	nber of "Figure 78-4 EEE DLL ondent change on all the subs		e Diagram" to 78-5
Response Response Status C	Response	Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT.			
"Shall"s and associated PICS entries are added in the draft per comment #99, #103, and #117.	C/ 24 SC 24.2.3.4 CHOU, JOSEPH	P 41 REALTEK SE	L 48 EMICOND	# [117
What is more, the following shall statements and associated PICS entries are added:	Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		
P.47, L.15: Change 'Far-End Fault is not generated when in the LPI mode." to "Far-End Fault shall not be generated when in the LPI mode."		ment in wake error counter o	f MMD register w	ithout the associated
P.48, L.12: Change 'If the EEE capability is supported, when the receiver is in the LPI mode, the assertion of Ipi_link_fail sets the link_status to FAIL and eventually brings the	SuggestedRemedy Insert a new PICS entr	ry for the wake error counter v	with the following	comment:
receiver out of the LPI mode." to "If the EEE capability is supported, when the receiver is in the LPI mode, the assertion of Ipi_link_fail shall set the Link Monitor to LINK DOWN state	"For each transition of be incremented."	lpi_rx_tw_timer_done from fa	alse to true, the w	ake error counter shall
and eventually brings the receiver out of the LPI mode."	Response	Response Status C		
P.47, line 43 and 51: Change "operates" to "shall operate" in the sentence of "In the absence of the optional EEE capability, the PHY operates as if the value of this variable is FALSE."	ACCEPT.			

Responses		IEEE	P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Ef	hernet comme	ents		November 200
C/ 69 SC 69.2.3 Dawe, Piers	P 198 Independent	L 44	# 118	<i>Cl</i> 69 Dawe, Pie	SC 69.2.3	P 198 Independent	L 35	# 121
<i>, , , , , , , , , ,</i>	Comment Status A ause 69 is also being amend	ed by P802.3	ba.	Comment AUTC	<i>Type</i> E D-NEGOTIATION	Comment Status A		
basis for modification.	02.3ba (with the 40GBASE-ł	KR4 row and e	extra columns) as your	Suggester Auto- Response ACCE	Negotiation	Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Will change table to match	that of P802.3ba with editor	rs note to sho	w source. # 119	C/ 49	SC 49.2.9	P149 Independent	L 2	# 122
As D2.0 comment 118: P8 addition by 802.3az of "Op 40GBASE-KR4 will suppor uggestedRemedy If you intend to mandate E clear. If you don't, change	Independent Comment Status A 02.3ba will be adding the ob tionally support Energy Effic t EEE. EE as an option for 40GBAS "Backplane Ethernet option energy consumption." to "10	ient Ethernet SE-KR4, Table ally supports	will imply that e 69-1 will make this	descr Suggester shall? Scrub Response	crambler input will ibed in style manu <i>dRemedy</i> (with PICS) "byp the draft.	asses"? Response Status C	except in Cla	use 30 and as
reduce energy consumption		Energy Efficier	nt Ethernet (EEE) to		omment #239 ge will to shall			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment	Response Status C 26.				ne PICS if necessa	ıry		
2/ 49 SC 49.2.6 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T	P149 Independent Comment Status A	L 2	# 120	C/ 51 Dawe, Pie Comment Subcl	Туре Е	P162 Independent Comment Status R Fable 51-3 is missing	L 3	# 123
uggestedRemedy	RUE": I can't find any other	occurrence o	f "scrambler_reset".	Suggeste	•	-		
•	Response Status C			Response REJE	;	Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #239				The c	hange instruction	names the figure.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 123

Page 27 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:03 AM

Responses		IEEE	P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient Et	herne	t comme	ents		November 2009
C/ 51 SC 51.4 Dawe, Piers	P162 Independent	L 29	# 124	C/ 49 Dawe, Pier		49.2.9	P 150 Independent	L 28	# 127
Comment Type E Optional SuggestedRemedy should be "optional" (4 (which has its own bugs	Comment Status A times in this diagram) Bug in b s, but that's off topic).	base documen	t: compare Figure 52-7	where are us proble	ock stat the cur ed only ms e.g.	for the El	Comment Status R a, which I don't think is optional, lard has "block_lock". Yet 49.2 EE capability rx_block_lock". a 36. So I'm piling on to D2.0 c material in an undamaged state	2.13.2.2 says ' Problem - an omment 190 a	'The following variables d there may be similar and 174, we need to
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			duplica	ate stat EE proc	e diagram duct, and a	s or other means. Otherwise, any future maintenance to affect	users will go b	ack to 802.3-2008 for
C/ 49 SC 49.1.6 Dawe, Piers	P147 Independent	L 22	# 125	Presei	rve the	non-EEE	material in an undamaged state s or other means.	e, by use of a	nnexes like 4A,
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A it would not be sufficiently clea	r what "EEE o	nly" applies to.	Response REJE			Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy These signals should be scrambler_bypass is tru	e dotted as in Figure 51-3; so s	should the "Da	ta output when				ength during the resolution of c nst the suggested remedy.	comments aga	ainst draft 2.0 and the
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI Place dotted box aroun	Response Status W E.			<i>CI</i> 49 Pillai, Velu <i>Comment</i> Resolu	Туре	Table 49- TR Commer	1 P148 Broadcom Comment Status A it #130 against draft D2.0 was i	L 7 to change cor	# 128
Also around the "Data o	-			is still S <i>uggestec</i>		ły	-		
C/ 49 SC 49.2.9 Dawe, Piers	P 152 Independent	L 37	# 126	Page	ge the c 148, line 149, line	e 7	e to 0x06 at these loctions.		
Comment Type E Lines 22, 29, 33, 47 "A Line 37 "An boolean" Line 40 "this Boolean"	Comment Status A boolean"			Response ACCE			Response Status C		

SuggestedRemedy

See online editors' guidance (capital B for Mr Boole) and correct. Scrub the draft.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 49 SC Fig 49-13 P 151 L 2 # 129 Pillai, Velu Broadcom	C/ 49 SC Table 49-3 P158 L 28 # 130 Pillai, Velu Broadcom Broadcom
Comment Type TR Comment Status A When the transmitter goes through activation or deactivation, the receiver will see invalid code words. hi_ber might get set before rx_block_lock becomes false (Page 151, line31). This will cause the receive SM (fig 49-15) to transit from RX_LI to RX_INIT (because of Page 155, line 3).	Comment Type TR Comment Status A The transmitter can get a wake command while it is in TX_REFRESH, which means the LPI TX SM will go through the following state changes. TX_ENERGY_ALERT -> TX_REFRESH -> TX_WAKE -> TX_WAKE_SCR_BYPASS and
SuggestedRemedy	then to TX_ACTIVE.
Change the transition to BER_MT_INIT (Page 151, line 2) from reset + r_test_mode + !rx_block_lock	Which means 1usec + 14usec + 12usec + 1usec + 1usec = 29usec.
To reset + r_test_mode + rx_lpi_active.	The receiver wake timer is only 17 usec, hence the LPI RX SM will transition to RX_WTF state. But the above scenario is a valid wake. The way to avoid this is to increase the
This will make it consistent with Clause 55: fig 55-14 (LFER monitor state diagram).	rx_tw_timer value.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Please note that the comment shows TX_ENERGY_ALERT state which is coming from a solution for a different comment. And its solution is addressed through pillai_1109_01.pdf.
The block lock is still required.	Now even without it, the issue exists.
repet + r test mode + law block lock + ry lai pativo	SuggestedRemedy
reset + r_test_mode + !rx_block_lock + rx_lpi_active	Increase the timeout for RX wake timer to 29us (min) to 30us (max). The following are the changes that are required.
	 sub clause: 49.2.13.2.5, page 153, Line 19 Change TUL to TWR. table 49-3, page 158, line 28: Change the values to 29us (min) to 30us (max). table 49-3, page 158, line 31: Remove this line. There is no need for two TWR.
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	If scrambler_bypass is not used then the wake time may be shorter.
	1. as written
	2. 26uS, 27 uS
	3. 29uS, 30uS

C/ 49 SC F Pillai, Velu	Fig 49-17	P 157 Broadcom	L 18	# 131	<i>Cl</i> 49 Pillai, Velu	SC Fig 49-16	P 156 Broadcom	L16	# 132
Comment Type To make the tr transit conditio	ransition from RX_	nent Status A SLEEP to RX_ACTI	√E more robust,	we should change	TX_SL	EC is enabled, t EEP to TX_WAR	Comment Status A hen the transitions from KE, TX_REF_SCR_BYPASS he state transitions to go throu		
!rx_tq_timer_d To	lone * R_TYPE(rx_	_coded)= IDLE			time th In the	e LP receiver ha	s gone to RX_ACTIVE state, EP to TX_WAKE: the received ses, the FEC did see a detern	because: r never went to F	RX_QUIET.
!rx_tq_timer_d SuggestedRemedy		ck * R_TYPE(rx_code	ed) = IDLE		But if t this ma		ain asserts Scrambler bypas decoder to de-assert FEC_t		
Response	Respo	nse Status C			Suggested	Remedy			
ACCEPT.							by modifying the LPI transm these three scenarios.	it state diagram	from entering
					Each c	of the above three	e transitions needs to be mod	lified to	
							IVE, TX_REF_SCR_BYPASS <_ ACTIVE, respectively.	S to TX_ACTIV	E and
					Pillai_1	1109_01.pdf also	addresses these changes.		
					Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
					Transit	tion from TX_SL	EEP: T_TYPE(tx_raw) != LI, g	goes to TX_ACT	IVE
						tion from TX_RE o TX_ACTIVE	F_SCR_BYPASS: T_TYPE(t	x_raw) != LI * on	e_us_timer_done,
					Transi	tion from TX_RE	F_SCR_ON: T_TYPE(tx_raw) != LI, goes to T	TX_ACTIVE
					(the las	st one doesn't ne	ed to wait for the timer).		

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

72 SC 72.6.4	P 210	L 17	# 133	C/ 48	SC	Fig 48-9b	P143	L16	# 135
illai, Velu	Broadcom			Pillai, Velu			Broadcom		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R			Comment 7	уре	TR	Comment Status A		
Figure 72-5 when rx_qu	t. The rx_quiet = FALSE happ	-	-	should	be cha	trasnition fro anged from _tq_timer_d	om RX_SLEEP to RX_ACT	IVE more robust	t, the condition
uggestedRemedy									
	AL_DETECT is defined by the i_active = FALSE."	e training state c	iagram shown in	to II IDLE	* !rx	ta timer d	lone * deskew_align_status	= OK	
Response	Response Status C			Suggested					
REJECT.				euggeeteu		.,			
There currently is no rx on other proposals, I be	_lpi_active signal defined fron lieve there should be.	n the PMA to the	e KR PHY. But based	Response ACCEF	ΥТ.		Response Status C		
74 SC 74.11.3	Р	L	# 134	CI 36	SC	Fig 36-9b	P85	L 31	# 136
illai, Velu	Broadcom			Pillai, Velu		3	Broadcom		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment 7	ype	Е	Comment Status R		
Add EEE to CL 74 PICS	8						RX_WTF to RX_EXW (Ext		
uggestedRemedy				or at lea	ast add	d a "K", whi	ch will make it RX_WKTF (\	Nake time fault)	
Under 74.11.3 Major ca	pabilities/options			Which e	ever w	ay we decid	de, all the reference to WTF	needs to be ch	anged too.
Item: LPI Feature: Rapid block lo	ck			Suggested	Remea	ły			
Subcals: 74.7.4.8 Value/Comment: Devic Status: O Support: Yes [] / No []	e implements Rapid block loc	k mechanism to	suuport EEE.	Response REJEC	т.		Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			Changi	ng the	name will e	effect multiple lines in multip	le clauses.	
, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	,								
Under 74.11.3 Major ca	pabilities/options								
Item: LPI Feature: Rapid block lo Subcls: 74.7.4.8 Value/Comment: Devic Status: O Support: Yes [] / No []	ck e implements Rapid block loc	k mechanism to	support EEE.						

C/ 49 SC Fig 49 - ⁻ Pillai, Velu	17 P157 Broadcom	L 34	# 137	C/ 36 S Pillai, Velu	C Fig 36-9b	P 85 Broadcom	L 16	# 139
or at least add a "K",	Comment Status R ame RX_WTF to RX_EXW (Ext which will make it RX_WKTF (V ecide, all the reference to WTF	Vake time fault)		for RX_SLI from detect	following transitio EEP to RX_ACTI\ t_idle * ODD		tect_idle * ODD	
SuggestedRemedy					⁻ loop for RX_SLE r_done * detect_l			
Response REJECT.	Response Status C				_SLEEP to RX_C r_done * signal_c			
Changing the name v	vill effect multiple lines in multip	le clauses.		SuggestedRen	ledy			
Cl 48 SC Fig 48-9 Pillai, Velu	9b P143 Broadcom	L 30	# 138	Response ACCEPT.	Re	sponse Status C		
	Comment Status R ame RX_WTF to RX_EXW (Ext which will make it RX_WKTF (V			AUULFT.				
Which ever way we d	ecide, all the reference to WTF	needs to be ch	anged too.					
SuggestedRemedy								
Response REJECT.	Response Status C							

Changing the name will effect multiple lines in multiple clauses.

Cl 49 Pillai, Velu	SC Fig 49-16	<i>P</i> Broadcom	L	# 140	<i>Cl</i> 49 Pillai, Velu	SC Fig 49-16	P 156 Broadcom	L 4047	# 141
Comment Type	e TR Co	omment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		
Presently is enabled This will un state. Sev Septembe diagrams	in CL49 LPI receiv I by energy_detect nnecessarily make eral comments and er interim. Changes to handle this appr ss the vulnerability	e state machine, the tra Energy detect is more the LPI RX State mach d concerns were put for s were made to the CL4 opriately during false er of the Energy Detect.	susceptible to r nine transition or ward against Dr 9 LPI transmit a	oise and cross talks. ut of the RX_QUIET aft 2.0 during the nd receive state	Both th qualifie <i>Suggested</i> Modify T_TYP to	e conditions out of d with one_us_tin <i>Remedy</i> the transition cor E(tx_raw) != LI	of TX_REF_SCR_BYPASS mer_done. ndition from	S and TX_REF_SC	CR_ON should be
Pillai_1109 the Transr During EE energy at	9_01.pdf addresse mitter to send out a E mode, Energy d the receiver.	s this issue and propos a pattern as a prequel b etect function may use	efore the refresh this alert pattern	n or wake sequence. to detect electrical	for bot	these states.	addresses this change.		
	, fig 49-16, LPI TX	peating "0XFF00" (eigh state diagram and all th	0	,	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE	. , E.		
Response	Re	sponse Status C			C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.2	P116	L 47	# 142
ACCEPT I	IN PRINCIPLE.				Healey, Ad	am	LSI Corpora	tion	
Change as	s per Pillai_02_110)9.pdf			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
Add anoth	er state RX_SCR_	nge to the receive LPI s _BYPASS. KE need to change:	tate diagram:		suppor	ts this feature or e bit should be R	capable bit (3.1.6) is deterr not. The value cannot be c O, not R/W.		
RX_ACTI\	s - RX_WAKE -> F VE; RX_WTF -> RX _bypass_enable.	RX_ACTIVE; RX_WAKE X_SLEEP - all need to I	E -> RX_SLEEP be "and-ed" with	; RX_WTF -> NOT	00	e the "R/W" colur	nn for bit 3.1.6 to "RO". Als Response Status C	o modify 40.5.1.1	Table 40-3
		-> RX_SCR_BYPASS =	= !rx_tw_timer_d	one *	ACCEI	PT.			
New trans	lock * scrambler_b ition - RX_WTF -> lock * scrambler_b	RX_SCR_BYPASS = !	rx_wf_timer_dor	ne *	Same	as comment #249	9		
In state R	X_SCR_BYPASS,	start one_us_timer							
R_TYPE(r New trans	rx_coded) = IDLE	YPASS -> RX_ACTIVE YPASS -> RX_SLEEP		_					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 24 SC 24.2.3.4	P 41	L 50	# 143		CI 24	SC 24.2.2.5	P	39	L20	# 144
Healey, Adam	LSI Corporatio	on			Healey, A	dam		Corporatio	-	
Comment Type T C The duration of lpi_rx_tw_tin here is superfluous. In addir and should be the gauge fo	tion, the PHY wake time	allowance per Ta				are multiple issu	Comment Statu les with this subclau eferences to an "LPI	se:	d". No such con	struct is defined in the
SuggestedRemedy					draft.	"Assert LPI" is si	gnaled across the M	III.		
Change: "The timer shall have a peri	od between 30 us to 36	us				, 0	Quiet state makes r iagrams in this claus		to a "Refresh" st	ate which appears
To: "This timer shall have a per It should be noted that the 2 when signal_status = ON a	20.5 us upper limit may n	ot be correct. Th		tect	as des deferr	scribed by the sta	ate diagrams in this Table 78-4 while 3	clause. Th	he 30 us time is	ctual PHY wake time the minimum transmit bound on the time to
assertion time have already is offered for now due to a l	passed when the receiv	er begins it cour					r implied that the PH system wake time the			ed parameter, which is
Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "The timer shall have a peri	esponse Status C od between 30 us to 36	us"			provid specif	led in Clause 78. ically applies to	It seems this subcla 100BASE-TX or cou	ause shou Id be dele	uld define operatieted altogether in	
to: "This timer shall have a per	iod that does not exceed	20.5 us."			Suggested Corre	2	ies or delete this su	bclause.		
					Response ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status	C C		
					Delete	e 24.2.2.5				

<i>Cl</i> 36 Healey, Ad	SC 36.2.5.1.3 am		L poration	15	# 145	Cl 36 Healey, A		36.2.5.1.5		P 76 LSI Corporat	L 50 tion	# 146	
Comment The as	51	Comment Status				Commen Issue		T ounter defir	Comment S nitions:	Status A			
	-	.indicate*TX_EN=FAL	—		,,			xcpetion of sage sugge		or_coutner, a	re these truly cou	inters, or timers a	s their
state d it since	iagram in Figure	te message should be 36-5 would exit the XI ate will not be set. The anged to:	/IT_LPIDLE s	state immedia	ately after entering	when	the PM	D receiver	enters the " I	n some case	ions begin with " s this should actu and not the PMI		ed
YMIT		PIDLE: assert lpidle*	IX OSET indi	icate		Suggeste	dReme	dy					
XMIT_	LPIDLE to XMIT	_LPIDLE: assert_lpidle _DATA: !assert_lpidle*	*TX_OSET.in	ndicate					timer to "36.2. m "Timer" to "		" (note that the s	ubclause heading	
b) The xmit=D definitio	ATA. Therefore,	te, and thus the XMIT the xmit=DATA could	LPIDLE state	e, can only be ved in the ass	e reached when sert_lpidle	"PME	receive	er". For all i	_*_timer, char nstances of tx PMD receiver.'	_*_timer, cha	ition to read "PCS ange definition to	S receiver" instead reach "PCS	d of
Suggested	Remedy					Response	9		Response S	tatus C			
Per co	mment.					ACC	EPT.						
Response		Response Status	>			C/ 36	SC	36.2.5.1.3		P 76	L 24	# 147	
ACCE	PT.					Healey, A		•••=••		LSI Corporat			
						Commen	t Type	TR	Comment S	Status A			
						the L	PI Rece	ive state di		36-9b) could		lata reception the n RX_ACTIVE and	
											cation" and "Rx L s is not likely wha	.PI received" from at is intended.	1
						Suggeste	dReme	dy					
						Imple	ment th	e state diag	gram changes	recommende	ed in healey_01_	1109.pdf.	
						Response	9		Response S	tatus C			
						ACC	EPT IN F	PRINCIPLE					
								anges fron		109.pdf with	editorial license	for state machine	

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments November 2009 Responses C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.2 P81 # 148 C/ 36 P81 # 149 L7 SC 36.2.5.2.2 L11 LSI Corporation Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Healey, Adam Comment Type т Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status A Note that this comment refers to Figure 36-7a. There are multiple errors in this figure. Note that this comment refers to Figure 36-7a. 1. In the LP IDLE state, "RUDI(/L/I/)" should be "RUDI(/LI/)". However, it is not clear why There is no exit condition from LPI K in the event a configuration ordered set (/C/) is RUDI(/LI/) is even an action here since RX UNITDATA.indicate is used by the Clause 37 received. The link partner could potentially restart Auto-Negotiation at any time, in which Auto-Negotiation process which does not understand /LI/. It likely should just be removed. case it could start sending /C/ ordered sets and no /l/ or /Ll/ ordered sets would be sent. 2. Transitions to F and C should be gualified by the term "rx lpi active" and not That would cause that the state diagram gets stuck in the LPI K state. "rx lp active" as shown. Figure 36-7a requires the LPI Receive state diagram (Figure 36-9b) to break it out of this SuggestedRemedv deadlock. If /C/ ordered sets are received while the receiver is in RX SLEEP, then Per comment. rx tg timer will eventually expire and the transition to the RX LINK FAIL state will be taken. This will set sync status to FAIL which will pop the Receive state diagram into the Response Response Status C LINK FAILED state. From here, the receiver may recover and Auto-Negotiation can ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. proceed normally. Remove RUDI(/L/I/) If /C/ ordered sets are received while the receiver is in the RX_QUIET or RX_WAKE states, rx_tw_timer_done will eventually expire and the transition to the RX_WTF state will Change rx lp active to rx lpi active be taken. This will increment wake error counter (it is debatable whether this is appropriate or not) and move the RX ACTIVE state. At this point, the receiver is deadlocked. A more graceful handling of /C/ ordered sets is desired. SuggestedRemedy Implement the state diagram changes recommended in healey 01 1109.pdf. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment #147 C/ 36 P75 SC 36.2.4.7 L 28 # 150 Healev. Adam LSI Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status A Encoding notation for /LI1/ and /LI2/ are missing leading and trailing forward slashes. SuagestedRemedv Change /LI1/ encoding to "/K28.5/D6.5/". Change /LI2/ encoding to "/K28.5/D26.4/". Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Comment ID # 150

Page 36 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:03 AM

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments November 2009 Responses C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.2 P80 C/ 48 P144 L16 # 153 L1 # 151 SC 48.2.6.2.5 LSI Corporation Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Healey, Adam Comment Type Е Comment Status A Comment Type Т Comment Status A The editing instruction for Figure 36-7a is wedged below the figure and an assoicated not The duration of rx tw timer is specified to be TWR which in Table 48-10 is given a range of on page 81. Move the instruction to be below the subclause heading. It might be helpful to between 8 to 9 us. A lower limit here is superfluous. It implies that there is lower limit on note that there was no change to Figure 36-7b and it is only included in this amendment for the wake time. ease of reference. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In the definition of rx tw timer change: Per comment. "The timer terminal count is set to TWR." Response Response Status C To: ACCEPT. "The timer terminal count shall not exceed the maximum value of TWR in Table 48-10." Remove TWR(min) from Table 48-10. C/ 36 SC 36.2.5.2.8 P86 L16 # 152 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Type T Comment Status A The duration of rx tw timer is specified to be TWR which in Table 36-3b is given a range of Р C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.2.5 L # 154 between 10 to 11 us. A lower limit here is superfluous. It implies that there is lower limit on Healey, Adam the wake time. LSI Corporation SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A т In the definition of rx_tw_timer change: The duration of rx tw timer is specified to be TUL. This should be TWR. In Table 49-3 "The timer terminal count is set to TWR." TWR is given a range between 11 to 12 us when scrambler bypass enable is FALSE and a range between 13 and 14 us when scrambler bypass enable is TRUE. A lower limit here To: is superfluous. It implies that there is lower limit on the wake time. "The timer terminal count shall not exceed the maximum value of TWR in Table 36-3b." SuggestedRemedy In the definition of rx tw timer change: Remove TWR(min) from Table 36-3b. "The timer terminal count is set to TUR." Response Response Status C ACCEPT. To. "The timer terminal count shall not exceed the maximum value of TWR in Table 49-3" Remove TWR(min) from Table 49-3. Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Page 37 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:03 AM

Responses		IEEE P802	2.3az D2.1 Energy E	Efficient Et	November 2009			
	P137 L SI Corporation	10	# 155	C/ 74 Healey, Ac	SC 74.4.1 Jam	P 215 LSI Corporatio	L 40 n	# 157
Comment Type T Comment Sta With the exception of the rx_wf_timer, ea "PMD's" receiver or transmitter when it s	ach timer definition			Comment In Figu	<i>Type</i> T ure 74-2	Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment.				2) The		the title "diagra" should be "di hat rx_lpi_active is passed from nove it.		yer to the PMA
Response Response Stat	tus C			Suggested	-			
	P215 L SI Corporation	215	# 156	Per co Response ACCE		Response Status C		
10GBASE-R service interface primitive n idea. In the use of these primitive that fol upper case (e.g. "tx_quiet" becomes "TX SuggestedRemedy Recommend the following changes:	llows, the paramete			Healey, Ac <i>Comment</i> Subcla bookm	<i>Type</i> ER ause headings r	LSI Corporatio Comment Status A nake it impossible to reference		# 158
 d) "FEC_SIGNAL.request(tx_quiet)" shote e) "FEC_SIGNAL.request(rx_quiet)" shote f) "FEC_SIGNAL.indication(energy_dete "FEC_ENERGY.indication(energy_detect g) "FEC_SIGNAL.request(rx_lpi_active)" 	uld become "FEC_ ct)" should become ct)"	RXQUIET.requ	uest(tx_quiet)" uest(rx_quiet)"	FEC_I	ENERGY.indica 5 Service primiti	ive from FEC for EEE support (tion (optional)" ive from PCS for EEE support (IVE.request (optional)"	. ,	
"FEC_LPIACTIVE.request(rx_lpi_active) This will also align with service interface		ed in the Clau	se 51 PMA.		6 Service primiti RXQUIET.reque	ive from PCS for EEE support (est (optional)"	optional)" shoul	d be "74.5.6
In addition, consistently use lower case f Response Response Stat ACCEPT.	for the parameter na			consis	e review the stru	ucture of the base document, as ould also be be nice if the primit 1.		
				Response ACCE		Response Status C		

<i>Cl</i> 74 <i>SC</i> 74.7.4 Healey, Adam	.7 P218 LSI Corporati	L16 on	# 159	C/ 40 SC 40.4.3 Healey, Adam	P 98 LSI Corpora	L 12 tion	# 160
Comment Type TR It is proposed that th "Fec_block_lock is in not implemented. Of fec_rapid_block_lock What is fec_normal than this paragraph fec_block_lock is de assignments of fec_ states are shown in fact, this is no differ SuggestedRemedy 1. Remove the prop	Comment Status A he following paragraph be added dentical to fec_normal_block_lo therwise fec_block_lock is fec_r k." block_lock and where is it defin From the FEC Lock state diagr fined as it has always been def block_lock in the FEC_LOCK_I underscore text as if they have ent than what is in the base doc osed addition to 74.7.4.7. ow fec_block_lock assignments <i>Response Status</i> C	ck when the opt formal_block_lo aed? I can find n am (Figure 74-3 ned. For some i NIT, FEC_BLOC been inserted vi ument.	ional EEE capability is ck OR o occurence of it other i), it appears reason, the CK_LOCK, and SLIP a this amendment. In	Comment Type T In Figure 40-9, it is n link_status != OK. Pe after link_status = RE In addition, it should able to successfully o rem_lpi_req are set t without setting link_s is re-training and ma SuggestedRemedy Remove link_status ! add clarifying text to Proposed Response REJECT.	Comment Status D ot necessary to enforce entry in r 22.7a.1, LP_IDLE.request si EADY so this requirement is re- be made clear that, the for op- complete training per Figure 44 o TRUE. This is due to the fact tatus != OK. This implies that y present "Assert LPI" at the = OK term from the transition 40.4.2.4 per the comment. Response Status Z	into the LOC_LP hould remain de- edundant. tional EEE capat 0-15a even wher 2t that a 1000BA the LPI client wil GMII. into the LOC_LF	asserted for 1 second bility, the PHY should be n loc_lpi_req and/or SE-T link may re-train l be unware that the link
ACCEPT IN PRINC	PLE.			This comment was V	/ITHDRAWN by the comment	er.	
See response to co	nment #177			Change Figure 40-9	per comment.		
				Add the following par	agraph at the end of the text t	to be inserted in	40.4.2.4:
				to TRUE during re-tra transition from SEND detection of rem_lpi_ shall not be impeded	oorts the optional EEE capabili aining initiated in response to i IDLE OR DATA to SLAVE SI req = TRUE in the idle code-g from successfully completing req = TRUE is encoded in rec	unsatisfactory re ILENT). This will proups received of training (e.g. ac	ceiver performance (i.e. correspond to the during training. The PHY quisition of descrambler

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

November 2009

C/ 49 SC 49.1.5 P 146 L 28 # 161 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation LSI Corporation LSI Corporation	C/ 78 SC 78.4.3.1 P 236 L 41 # 163 Dove, Daniel HP ProCurve Networki 163
Comment Type T Comment Status A "If the optional Energy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capability is supported (see Clause 78) then the interface with the PMA sublayer (or FEC sublayer) includes rx_quiet and tx_quiet to control power states in lower sublayers and energy_detect that indicates whether the PMD sublayer has detected a signal at the receiver." In the case of the FEC sublayer, it also includes rx_lpi_active. SuggestedRemedy Amend the paragraph accordingly. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Append the sentence:	Comment Type T Comment Status R From the text: During normal operation the transmitting link partner is in the RUNNING state. If the transmitting link partner wants to initiate a change to the presently resolved value of Tw_sys, the local_system_change is asserted and the transmitting link partner enters the LOCAL CHANGE state where NEW_TX_VALUE is computed. +++ If the new value is smaller than the presently advertised value of Tw_sys or if the transmitting link partner is in sync with the receiving link partner, then it enters TX UPDATE state. +++ Otherwise it returns to the RUNNING state. Comment: The portion in "+++" suggests that the local PHY's TX or RX state machine can request for a change in its currently advertised Tw_sys value. However it is also noted that this is only allowed it to reduce the value and there is no support to increase it or restore it to the previous value or a higher value.
If the PHY includes an FEC sublayer the interface includes rx_lpi_active to indicate that the LPI receive state diagram is not in RX_ACTIVE state.	SuggestedRemedy Add clarifying text in 78.4.2.5 (and possibly in 78.4.3.1) that the Transmit Tw_sys must always be the same or longer than the Reciever Tw_sys, so that the receiving link partner will always be ready to accept data, prior to data being sent by the Transmit link. Response Response Status C REJECT. The behaviour is not as described by the commenter. The SM will allow the link partner to move in either direction (up or down) as long as the link partners are in sync (i.e. the echo matches what the local link partner has). The constraint is only when they are out of sync.
point to the states. It is noted that both TX and RX state machine works on the transmission and reception of EEE TLV's and both conditions are need to be considered while entering/exiting to each of the state machine.	C/ 35 SC 35.2.2.4 P 66 L 42 # 164 Koenen, David Hewlett-Packard Hewlettt-Packard Hewlettt-Packard Hew
SuggestedRemedy Search and Replace tx_dll_enable and rx_dll_enable with dll_enable and clean up tables to reflect proper definition. Search and Replace tx_dll_ready and rx_dll_ready with dll_ready and clean up tables to reflect proper definition. Response Response Status REJECT. The comment requests a simplification to the current scheme but the current scheme is not broken. Implementing the suggested remedy would result in considerable changes to the section and could introduce errors for a very marginal benefit.	Comment Type E Comment Status A Incorrect reference for 22.2.2.4 SuggestedRemedy Should be 35.2.2.4 Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
YPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/g	

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 40 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:03 AM

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 35 SC 35.4a.3.1 P72 L 49 # 165 Koenen, David Hewlett-Packard Hewlett-Packard Hewlett-Packard	C/ 51 SC 51.2 P 162 L 1 # 168 Koenen, David Hewlett-Packard Hewlett-P
Comment Type E Comment Status A Two instances of MII instead of GMII in this paragraph.	Comment Type T Comment Status A rx_lpi_active appears in Figure 49-4 & Figure 74-2 going to the PMA, but does not appear in the PMA diagram or signal definitions.
SuggestedRemedy Prefix MII with a G. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	In the PMA diagram or signal definitions. SuggestedRemedy Either add it to the PMA diagram and definitions or delete from the other figures and definitions. Response Response Status
C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3.1 P 156 L 26 # 166 coenen, David Hewlett-Packard He	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The signal should not be shown going to the PMA in Figure 74-2. In Figure 49-4 add "(FEC sublayer only)"
Missing arrow head on line from RX_QUIET to RX_LINK_FAIL. SuggestedRemedy Add arrow head. Response Response Status C	Cl 74 SC 74.4.1 P 221 L 40 # 169 Koenen, David Hewlett-Packard Comment Type T Comment Status A rx_lpi_active is not an output of the FEC nor an input to the PMA sublayer.
ACCEPT. 2/ 36 SC 36.2.5.1.3 P76 L 35 # 167 ioenen, David Hewlett-Packard Comment Type ER Comment Status A ry, lai, active is defined and appears in the state diagram, but decen't appear to be used	SuggestedRemedy Delete from signal name from FEC to PMA on diagram. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
rx_lpi_active is defined and appears in the state diagram, but doesn't appear to be used anywhere. SuggestedRemedy Remove rx_lpi_active definition and it's appearance in state diagrams, or use it somewhere. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	CI 72 SC 72.10 P214 L5 # 170 Kasturia, Sanjay Teranetics Comment Type E Comment Status A Change "FED" to "FEC" to fix typo. SuggestedRemedy
rx_lpi_active is used, but there is a typo - change rx_lp_active to rx_lpi_active See comment #148	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Responses	IEEE F	P802.3az D2.1 Energy	Efficient E	thernet co	mments			November 2009
Cl14SC14.1.1P15Kasturia, SanjayTeranetics	L 36	# 171	<i>Cl</i> 14 Kasturia,	SC 14.4 Sanjay		P 21 Teranetics	L11	# 173
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Delete Figure 1 as it is unchanged from the base tex SuggestedRemedy	t		Page	e 21 line 11 De e 21, line 28 -	Commer elete Fig 14-10 if Delete Fig 14-11 ated text if uncha	if unchanged fro	m base text	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.				edRemedy		0		
Delete Figure 14-1			Response ACCI	e EPT IN PRIN		e Status C		
Duplicate of comment #196			Delet	te Fig 14-10 a	and Fig 14-11			
Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.2.1 P19 Kasturia, Sanjay Teranetics	L 20	# 172			text that is uncha			ave vertical space in
Delete Figure 14-9 as it is unchanged from the base unchanged from base text. Remove associated base SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Duplicate of comment #198			C/ 14 Kasturia, Commen Delet	SC 14.4 . Sanjay <i>t Type</i> ER		P22 Teranetics of Status A	L 20	# <u>174</u>
Delete the figure and the table. Adjust the editing ins	truction appropr	iately.	Response ACCI		Response	e Status C		
			Dupli	icate of comm	nent #202			
			<i>CI</i> 24 Kasturia,	SC 24.2. Sanjay	.2.1	P 38 Teranetics	L 30	# 175
			Comment Many neces	y of the rows a		nt Status A om base text. De oint/location of c	lete most of thes hanges	e. Leave some if
			Suggeste	edRemedy comment			-	
			Response ACCI		Response	e Status C		

74SC 74.11P 221uturia, SanjayTeranetics	L 8	# 176	<i>Cl</i> 74 Healey, Ad	SC 74.10.2.2 dam	P 219 LSI Corporati	L 21 ion	# 177		
nment Type TR Comment Status A			Comment		nment Status A				
Add row in major capabilities table to cover EEE. Rem needed in the clause text.	ove editor's no	ote. Add shalls if	The variable fec_rapid_block_lock_edge "is set to TRUE to detect when fec_rapid_block_lock changes state from FALSE to TRUE." When is it set to FALSE?						
ggestedRemedy			Referr	ing the FEC Lock state of	diagram (Figure 74-3)).			
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Please refer to Suggested remedy of #134			period clear v FALSI an inp	[lpi_active is TRUE and (!signal_ok), the state di why this transition is inhit E. However, there will be ut signal. It seems that it E at some point during th	iagram will not transit bited; perhaps to stop repeated parity chec can be safely assum	tion to FEC_LOC) fec_block_lock ck failures corres ned that fec_bloc	K_INIT state. It is not from being set to ponding to the lack of k_lock will be set to		
		As long as fec_rapid_block_lock_edge is TRUE, the state diagram is held in the RESET_CNT state.							
		tests t	en fec_rapid_block_lock_ he next available block. I s before fec_block_lock i	It proceeds to check t					
		signal TRUE	e variable fec_signal_ok i _ok*(fec_block_lock+fec while fec_rapid_block_lo locks before being set to	_rapid_block_lock_e ock is TRUE, and the	dge). Therefore,				
			5. This fec_signal_ok variable is communicated to the PCS via the FEC_SIGNAL.indica primitive, and used in the PCS Lock state diagram (Figure 49-12). The behavior of fec_signal_ok implies that the PCS lock diagram will first try to obtain block synchronization, and then be forced to lose it, and then try to obtain it again.						
			The in	tended behavior is uncle	ear.				
			Suggested	lRemedy					
			TRUE	e intent is to have the P0 , then it seems unnecess ion of fec_signal_ok.	CS begin to acquire b sary to include the ter	block lock when f rm "+fec_rapid_b	ec_block_lock is lock_lock_edge" in the		
			fec_ra FEC_l "+fec_ assum	e intent is to have the PC pid_block_lock_edge is BLOCK_LOCK where fec rapid_block_lock_edge" tes that the fec_rapid_blo erroneous alignment wou	TRUE, the perhaps to c_block_lock is TRUE becomes redundant ock_lock process reli	o correct entry po E. In this case, th in the definition of ably identifies FE	e term of fec_signal_ok. This C block boundaries,		
				ither case, it seems that ility in 74.10.2.2 is not ne			he optional EEE		

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

4. In either case, it seems necessary to define when fec_rapid_block_lock_edge is set to FALSE. It seems that this time should be (considerably?) less than one FEC block following its time of its assertion.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Agree with the commenter. The way fec_rapid_bloc_lock_edge is used in the state machine is not going to work correctly. The basic idea is to find the SLIP through the deterministic block and guide the FEC lock state machine to achieve fec_block_lock gracefully.

Response Status C

#1: Change the 2nd paragraph of 74.7.4.8 to FEC Rapid block lock mechanism will start looking for a lock on deterministic block when the rx_lpi_active is asserted and rx_quiet is deasserted. A lock on the deterministic FEC block will find the right SLIP and this SLIP is maintained as long as the decoder receives the deterministic frame.

#2: Remove the following sub clauses

74.7.4.7 FEC block synchronization 74.10.2.2 Variables 74.10.3 State diagram

 Cl
 49
 SC
 49.2.13.2.5
 P 153
 L 14
 # 178

 Healey, Adam
 LSI Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The definition of rx_tq_timer states that it is started in the RX_QUIET state. Referring to the LPI Receive state diagram (Figure 49-17) it appears that it is actually started in the RX_SLEEP state.

SuggestedRemedy

Update the definition.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

		onto							
C/ 46 Estes, Da	SC 46.1.7 ve	P 125 UNH - I		# 179					
<i>Comment</i> shall	51	Comment Status I	•						
Suggeste Chan		o be set to" to "shall re	main set to"						
Response ACCE	9 EPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status (E.	C						
Sente	ence reworded by	comment #24.							
C/ 48 Estes, Da	SC 48.2.6.1. ve	2 P 135 UNH - I		# 180					
either of /D2 LI s	s currently define ³ 3 lanes of K au 20.5/ or three lane should also be ind	nd one lane s of R and one lane	ur Idle Sync or Skip c of /D20.5/ as specifie n of an A which is p	preceded by a column of					
	onally, the x de ly three character		scribe a full column a	and should not be used					
"The o	ge the definition c column of four Idl	e Sync or Skip code-gr		ther 3 lanes of K and is specified in 48.2.4.2."					
To:									

"The column consisting of three /K/ characters and one of /D20.5/, or three /R/ characters and one /D20.5/, or a column of ||A|| preceded by a column containing three /K/ characters and one /D20.5/ or three /R/ characters and one /D20.5 as specified in 48.2.4.2."

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Responses		IEEE	P802.3az D2.1 Energ	y Efficient Ef	November 2009			
C/ 49 SC 49.2 Estes, Dave	.4.7 <i>P</i> 148 UNH - IOL	L 7	# 181	C/ 55 Estes, Da	SC 55.3.5.4 ve	<i>Р</i> 189 UNH - IOL	L 23	# [184
Comment Type T Comment #130 wa	Comment Status A as accepted but not all of the text	was changed.		<i>Comment</i> Comr		Comment Status A cepted but the text to define	ldpc_frame_do	ne was not added.
SuggestedRemedy Change "0x07" to accepted in comm	"0x06" on page 148 line 7 and or ent #130.	n page 149 line 4	2 to fulfill the changes	Suggeste Add ti Response	he text from comm	nent #141. Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCE				
Cl 49 SC 49.2 Estes, Dave	.6 <i>P</i> 149 UNH - IOL	L1	# 182	C/ 55 Parnaby,		Solarflare Co	L 33 ommunicat	# 185
Comment Type T	Comment Status A vas removed in comment #456			•	rate the eee definit	Comment Status A tions. Applies to variables, c	constants, timers	s, functions, counters.
_ SuggestedRemedy	To aid block synchronization in th	e receiver when	the optional LPI		mment			
	ed, the registers ofscrambler sha			Response ACCE		Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status C CIPLE.							
See comment #23	9							
C/ 55 SC 55.3 Estes, Dave	.2.2.9 P174 UNH - IOL	L 23	# 183					
Comment Type T Table 55-1	Comment Status A							
	s provided for lp_idle are for the l les for lp_idle used in Clause 48.	o_idle used in Cla	use 36. They should					
SuggestedRemedy Change "K28.5/D6	6.5, K28.5/D26.4" to "K28.0 or K2	8.3 or K28.5 or D	20.5".					
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							
Same as commen	t #204							

Comment ID # 185

Page 45 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:04 AM

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

November 2009

Responses			ouz.saz Dz. i Elleigy							November 2009
C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4	P184	L	# 186			•	rocess to recover from	•		
Parnaby, Gavin	Solarflare C	ommunicat		C/ 49		49.2.4.7	P1		L 7	# 187
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Parnaby, C	Savin		Solar	lare Co	mmunicat	
Link monitoring and recov	very during the LPI state	needs more study.		Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status	Α		
In the current draft the cri can monitor link quality of	nly during refreshes (and	then only for 4 LDF	PC frames (~1.2us))		•		ent #466 (on Clause be changed to 0x06.	55) on d	draft 2.0 said that	the control code for
and since some PHYs ma refresh cycles before link				This w	as mis	sed in the	draft update.			
to go through a complete				Suggested	IReme	dy				
operation mode.				Chang #466 c			code to 0x06 in claus	se 49 as	s agreed in the res	sponse to comment
If the link is disturbed dur refresh signaling since or				Response			Response Status	w		
training. It would be extre PHYs are able to recover	mely valuable to include	a method by which		ACCE	PT.					
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 28D	SC	28D.7	P 2	44	L1619	# 188
See presentation.				Parnaby, C	Savin		Solar	lare Co	mmunicat	
· ·	Response Status C			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status	Α		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Submi	tted on	behalf of	Todd Thompson, So	arflare.		
No consensus to change detailed proposal when the	ne ballot opens and will m	nake it available on	the website.	negoti	ation ti	me. This s	es that extended next tatement is not norm E-T, extended next pa	ative. It'	s an informative r	
Add an editors note in the		roposal to address	this comment is	Suggested	IReme	dy				
available (and identify wh History of discussion is ca	,			Option 1 (preferred): Remove this informative note. Option 2: Clarify that for those technologies requiring XNP's (such as 10GBASE-T), an XNP must be sent which is formatted based on the BASE-T EEE message page/unformatted message page as defined in Clause 78 (as suggested in another						ssage
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.			page/u comm		atted mess	sage page as defined	in Clau	ise 78 (as sugges	sted in another
Yes: 11				Response			Response Status	С		
No: 4				ACCE	PT IN I	PRINCIPL	E.			
Abstain: 6 Motion fails.				In 28D	7 ronl	aco "this u	ise is summarized be	low"		
				with:	. r iepi			10 10		
In favor of proposed roop				"Auton	egotia	tion is mar	ndatory for all EEE PI	HYs that	t support LPI."	
In favor of proposed resp Opposed: 3 Abstain: 11	onse. o			Delete	bullets	s points A	and B.			
Use slides 5-13 and 15 o Add two new state diagra Add four new variables, t Add PMA link fail signalin a) to improve robustness	ms and modify three exis wo timers and two counte g as describes in Slide 1	sting state diagrams ers 0								
TYPE: TR/technical required COMMENT STATUS: D/dispa SORT ORDER: Comment ID					d U/ur	nsatisfied		ommen	t ID # 188	Page 46 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:

11/19/2009 7:54:04 AM

C/ 45	SC 45.2.7.13a	P120	L12	# 190	C/ 40	SC 4	40.5.1.1	P108	L31	# 191
Parnaby, G	Gavin	Solarflare Co	mmunicat		Parnaby, (Gavin		Solarflare Cor	mmunicat	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status R		

Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare.

Tables 45-157a and 45-157b have multiple bits with the same designation without a clear indication of how the bits map to the pages. For example, in Table 45-157a there are multiple D0, D1 and D2. In Table 45-157b there are multiple U0, U1, and U2 bits. There's no indication how these bits are mapped to the individual bits in the next pages. It's not clear how many unformatted pages are being sent nor how multiple bits in the control register map to the same bits in the unformatted page.

SuggestedRemedy

Option 1 (preferred): Use existing reserved bits for previously defined Next Pages and Extended Next Pages as defined in Clause 40.5 and 55.6 and remove this new message code/format.

Option 2: Separate the definition of the NP and XNP out of Clause 45.2.7.13a and 45.2.7.14a and put the format of these pages and mapping of these bits into the EEE Clause 78 to make this consistent to the way 1G and 10G has been done previously. Insert tables into Clause 78 which define the number and format of NPs and/or XNP's similar to Clause 40.5 and 55.6.

Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Different bit designators are used.

See response to comment #193

Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare.

Clause 40.5 previously only referred to control/status bits in Clause 22. This section refers to a mixture of Clause 22 and Clause 45.2.7 bits. This require implementation of both Clause 22 registers and the MMD 7 register in Clause 45.2.7 to control the advertisement/status of EEE.

SuggestedRemedy

Add EEE control/status bits into Clause 22 and make Clause 40.5 refer to these control/status bits instead of the bits in Clause 45.2.7.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The current management structure has been in place since the first Task Force review (July 2008) and subject to multiple subsequent reviews. The rationale behind the current management structure is:

1. There is little usable space in the Clause 22 register to support the control and status bits for 100BASE-TX and 1000BASE-T. The wake error counter requires another 16-bit register.

2. Clause 22 supplies a means to access the Clause 45 management space via registers 13 and 14. Since a EEE-capable PHY is a new PHY, the additional of this feature was expected to contribute little additional disruption.

The commenter does not provide a sufficiently detailed suggested remedy (i.e. specific modifications to the Clause 22 register map) to consider a change to the draft.

11/19/2009 7:54:04 AM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.13a P 120 L 12 # 193 Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat Solarflare Communicat Description Descrinteracting in 10 and in 10 and in 10 and in 10 and	CI 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P188 L 23 # 195 Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare. Also Page 122 Lines 12-33	There are no means to monitor RX wake errors in the current draft. Wake errors are monitored in 1000BASE-T.
Tables 45-157a and 45-157b use different indicators for the bits in the unformatted message page. Table 45-157b uses U0-U2 while Table 45-157a uses D0-D1.	There are no means to monitor TX wake errors in the current draft.
	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Both should use U0-U2.	Add a counter which increments in the RX_W rx wake on error condition and the management to support this counter. Add a counter which increments in the TX_WE tx wake on error condition and the
Response Response Status W	management to support this counter.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C
Both tables should use U1-U3	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change backplane bits to U4-U6	Add counter lpi_rxw_err_cnt
C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.14a P121 L 16 # 194 Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat Solarflare Communicat 194	lpi_rxw_err_cnt increments in a delayless state added to the transition between RX_W and RX_E
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare.	– Make terminology consistent with other PHYs
Also Ppage 122 line 5.	C/ 14 SC 14.1.1 P15 L 36 # 196
The name of Register 7.61 in Clause 45.2.7 is inconsistent with the names of other similar	Chadha, Mandeep Vitesse Semiconducto
autonegotiation registers in Clause 45.2.7 and Clause 22. Outgoing/control registers are called "advertisement" registers while link partner/incoming status registers are called "ability" registers.	Comment Type E Comment Status A Figure 14-1 is unchanged from the base text
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change the name of register 7.61 from "EEE link partner advertisement" to "EEE link	Delete figure 14-1
partner ability". Change any reference to this register to this new name (such as in Clause 40.5 Page 108 Line 34).	Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C	ACCEPT.
ACCEPT.	C/ 14SC 14.3.1.2.1P 19L 9# 197Chadha, MandeepVitesse Semiconducto
	Comment Type E Comment Status A Figure 14-8 is unchanged from the base text.
	SuggestedRemedy Delete figure 14-8
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial GACOMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/ASORT ORDER: Comment ID	

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

November 2009

•							
C/ 14 SC 14.3.1.2. Chadha, Mandeep	1 P19 Vitesse Semico	L 20 nducto	# 198	C/ 14 SC 14.4.1 Chadha, Mandeep	P 22 Vitesse Semico	L 13 # [2	202
Comment Type E Figure 14-9 is unchang	Comment Status A ged from the base text			Comment Type E Figure 14-12 is unchan	Comment Status A ged from base text		
SuggestedRemedy Delete figure 14-9				SuggestedRemedy Delete figure 14-12			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
X 14 SC 14.3.1.2. Chadha, Mandeep	1 P19 Vitesse Semico	L 36 nducto	# 199	Cl 46 SC 46.3.2.4a Brown, Matt	P 127 AppliedMicro (/	L 33 # [2 AMCC)	203
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
Table 14-1 is unchange	ed from the base text			LP_IDLE on XGMII is n Clause 55, then LP_IDI should at least mention	ot always followed by IDLE (4 .E might be followed by Local this.	x07h control characters). I Fault ordered sets. This s	f the PHY is ection
Delete table 14-1				Note that another comm	nent requests that error contro	l characters be sent instea	ad or that
esponse	Response Status C				E. A different remedy than sp		
ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 14 SC 14.4	P 21	L 10	# 200	Add note that LP_IDLE	may be followed by local fault	ordered sets rather than I	DLE.
hadha, Mandeep	Vitesse Semico	nducto		Response	Response Status C		
<i>Comment Type</i> E Figure 14-10 is unchar	Comment Status A nged from the base text			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Ξ.		
SuggestedRemedy Delete figure 14-10					es, LPI may be followed by cha	aracters other than IDLE d	uring the
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			wate time.			
C/ 14 SC 14.4	P 21	L 28	# 201				
Chadha, Mandeep	Vitesse Semico	nducto					
Comment Type E Figure 14-11 is unchar	Comment Status A nged from the base text						
SuggestedRemedy Delete figure 14-11							
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2.9 Brown, Matt	P 174 L 23 AppliedMicro (AMCC)	# 204	C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2 P 173 L 52 # 207 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) # 207
instance, the idle row li	Comment Status A column is for codes used in 10GBASE-X no sts K28.0, K28.3, K28.5 which are used in 1 6/ and /K28.5/D16.2/ used for 1000BASE-X.	0GBASE-X for idle as	Comment Type E Comment Status A wording SuggestedRemedy
D20.5" Add idle row and chang	d "K28.5/D26.4" and replace with "K28.0, o ge 8B/10B column to "K28.0, K28.3, or K28.	5 without D20.5"	Change "MAC across the XGMII" to "MAC via the XGMII". Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Add footnote to both ro Response	ws "Use of idle and lp_idle ordered set per Response Status C	48.2.4.2."	C/ 55 SC 55.3.4a.3 P179 L18 # 208 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC)
ACCEPT.			Comment Type E Comment Status A "alert" and "refresh" are signals
CI 55 SC 55.2.2.10 Brown, Matt	P 172 L 39 AppliedMicro (AMCC)	# 205	SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type E Add reference to figure	Comment Status A		Change "then the alert shall be transmitted in place of the refresh." To "then the alert signal shall be transmitted in place of the refresh signal."
SuggestedRemedy Change "Receive 64B/ 16".	65B state diagram" to "64B/65B receive stat	e diagram in Figure 55-	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		I think we need to be careful with the distinction between the 4 frames of alert signal and the alert sequence. The text shouldn't imply that the 4 frames of refresh are replaced by 4 frames of alert if the overlap is only partial (e.g. refresh starts 3 frames earlier).
C/ 55 SC 0 Brown, Matt	P 0 L 0 AppliedMicro (AMCC)	# 206	Use "then alert signalling shall be transmitted in place of the refresh signalling where the signals overlap."
Comment Type E Many instances of both meaning. The latter is u	Comment Status A "EEE capability" and "LPI capability", but b used only in Clause 55.	oth have the same	ovenap.
SuggestedRemedy Change "LPI capability	" to "EEE capability".		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.2.4 Brown, Matt	P182 L9 AppliedMicro (AMCC)	# 209	C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 189 L 8 # 211 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) 4 4 1
Comment Type E Commen wording	t Status R		Comment Type E Comment Status A comparison to boolean value redundant
SuggestedRemedy Change "to the eight types" To "to one of eight types"			SuggestedRemedy Change "tx_lpi_req=true" to "tx_lpi_req". Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Response Response Response	Status C		C/ 55 SC 55.4.2.4 P 192 L 38 # 212 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedM
C and I overlap, so the type can be next sentence in the draft, so the su 55 SC 55.3.5.2.5 srown, Matt			Comment Type E Comment Status A alert is a 4 frame signals comprised of 3.5 frame periods (7 repeats) of 128-symbol xpr_master or xpr_slave sequence followed by 0.5 frame periods (128 symbols) of zero. SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type E Commen wording counts when frames are not being tr	t Status A		Change "(3.5 LDPC silence)" to "(3.5 LDPC frame periods of xpr_master or xpr_slave sequence and 0.5 frame periods of zero symbols)"
SuggestedRemedy On line 47			Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
change "that counts transmitted LDPC frame to "that counts transmit LPDC frame p			Change "(3.5 LDPC silence)" to "(3.5 LDPC frame periods of xpr_master or xpr_slave sequence and 0.5 frame periods of zero symbols)"
On line 53 change			C/ 55 SC 55.4.2.5.14 P 193 L 11 # 213 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) 4
"that counts received LDPC frames" to "that counts receive LPDC frame pe			Comment Type E Comment Status A Last sentence refers to deleted state diagram.
•	Status C		SuggestedRemedy Delete sentence "PHYs with the EEE figure 55-27a."
			Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Responses			IEEE P	802.3az D2.1 Energ	gy Efficient E	thernet	comme	ents	November 2009
C/ 55 SC 55.4 Brown, Matt	2.4	P192 AppliedMicro	L 40 (AMCC)	# 214	<i>Cl</i> 48 Brown, M		18.2.6.2	P140 L24 AppliedMicro (AMCC)	# 217
state diagram. SuggestedRemedy Delete sentence	Comment ers to deleted state signalling sleep Response	diagram. The fu	unctionality was n	noved to the PCS	Suggeste	ove comp ed <i>Remed</i> y ige "rx_lpi e	/	Comment Status A b logical values. FALSE" to "!rx_lpi_active". Response Status C	
SuggestedRemedy		SCR_BYPASS	to TX_WAKE_SC	# 215	Suggeste Chan "Only Response ACCI	att <i>t Type</i> Id be mor ed <i>Remed</i> y ge "Decri valid on e EPT IN P	iption" to . all four lai RINCIPLE	nes to request LP_IDLE." <i>Response Status</i> C	# <u>219</u>
SuggestedRemedy		es. !rx_lpi_active", t	````	# <u>216</u>	C/ 46 Brown, M Comment Shou Suggeste Chan "Only Response ACCI	SC 4 att <i>t Type</i> Id be mor ed <i>Remed</i> y oge "Decri valid on e EPT IN P	ER re specific / iption" to . all four lan	P127 L8 AppliedMicro (AMCC) Comment Status A : about use of 06. nes to indicate LP_IDLE is asserted." Response Status C	# 220

C/ 46	SC 46.3.1.5a	P126	L 42	# 221	C/ 55	SC	55.2.2.3.1	P171	L 47	# 224
Brown, Matt		AppliedMicro (AMCC)		Brown, Ma	tt		AppliedMicro	(AMCC)	
Comment Ty	vpe ER	Comment Status R			Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status A		
	e 46-7a, it would nof LP_IDLE or	d be instructive to show the LF the XGMII.	P_IDLE.reques	t that triggers the				lata.request is not consiste iis problem.	nt with ALERT re	equest. Changing the
SuggestedRe	emedy				Note	This see	ems like an	awkward way to request a	n action A more	consistent approach
	gnal showing th ting LP_IDLE c	e LP_IDLE.request assert me on the XGMII.	essage and ind	icate it as the impetus	would	be to us	se a reques	else PMA sends data fror	ERT.request(ale	ert). When alert =
Response		Response Status C			Suggested	IRemea	ly			
REJECT					Chang	e desci	ription to			
	agrams do not s TA.indication.	show message transitions - e.	g. PLS_DATA.	request or				and BI_DD. For EEE capal signal during LPI. The tx_s		
C/ 46	SC 46.3.2.2	P 127	L37	# 222	Response			Response Status C		
Brown, Matt		AppliedMicro (-		ACCE	PT.				
Comment Ty	vpe ER	Comment Status R			C/ 55	SC	55.3.2.2.1	P 174	L7	# 225
	e 46-8a, it would n of LP_IDLE of	t be instructive to show the LF	P_IDLE.indicati	on that results upon	Brown, Ma	tt		AppliedMicro	(AMCC)	
SuggestedRe	_				Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status A		
Add a sig	,	e LP_IDLE.indicate assert mo he XGMII.	essage and ind	icate it results from	Also, I		ame bound	as much or as little signific laries delimit LPI cycles. So		
Response		Response Status C			Suggested					
REJECT		-			••		-	om "Outside the LPI and	d alert times " to	"Blocks and frames are
	agrams do not s .TA.indication.	show message transitions - e.	g. PLS_DATA.	request or	unobs	ervable	and have i	no meaning outside the PC wake, refresh, quiet and a	S. During the LF	
					Response			Response Status C		
<i>CI</i> 46 Brown, Matt	SC 46.4a.1	P 128 AppliedMicro (A	<i>L</i> 40 AMCC)	# 223	ACCE	PT.				
Comment Ty LPI indic	<i>pe</i> ER ation goes to L	Comment Status A PI client.								
SuggestedRe Change		ement entity" to "LPI client".								
Response ACCEPT	г.	Response Status C								

Responses IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energ	y Efficient Ethernet comments November 2009
C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2.0 P 174 L 38 # 226 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC) # 226	C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2.21 P176 L 3 # 229 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) Applied
Comment Type ER Comment Status A	Comment Type ER Comment Status A
Use lp_idle to indicate lp idle characters. Also, "/Ll/s" seems like bad syntax.	Fix wording in headers of columns 2 and 3.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change "/Ll/s may be added following LPI" to "/Ll/ control characters may be added following lp_idle". Response Response Status C	Change "lpi_wake_timer during sleep" to "lpi_wake_timer when wake starts before sleep signal is complete". Change "lpi_wake_time after sleep" to "lpi_wake_time when wake starts after sleep signal is complete [or during quiet/refresh]."
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
The '/LI/s' terminology was used to maintain consistent bad-syntax with non-EEE PHYs -	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
 '//s' are used in 55.3.2.2.9 in the existing standard. The new subclause parallels 55.3.2.2.9 with' /l/s' replaced with '/Ll/s'. Change the sentence to '/Ll/s may be added follow low power idle control characters'. 	Change "lpi_wake_timer during sleep" to "lpi_wake_timer when wake starts before sleep signal is complete". Change "lpi_wake_time after sleep" to "lpi_wake_time when wake starts after sleep signal is complete."
C/ 55 SC 55.3.2.2.21 P176 L3 # 228	Cl 55 SC 55.3.4a.1 P177 L41 # 230
Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)	Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Header in column 1 is incorrect.	Comment Type ER Comment Status A symmetric low power mode is not defined
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change "lpi_tx_wake_time" to "lpi_wake_time".	change
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	"during the symmetric low power mode" to "when both transmit and receive are in LPI mode."
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
	C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P183 L10 # 231 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) 4 231 1 <t< td=""></t<>
	Comment Type ER Comment Status A What is a sleep block?
	SuggestedRemedy Change "from the time that the 64B/65B receiver detects a sleep block" To "from the time that the 64B/65B receiver enters TX_L state" Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 54 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:04 AM

C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3.1 Brown, Matt	P157 L19 AppliedMicro (AMCC)	# 232	<i>Cl</i> 55 Brown, Ma	SC 55.3.2.2.2		P 176 AppliedMicro	L 25 (AMCC)	# 234
Transition criteria from RX_SL of SM. R_TYPE is elsewhere a SuggestedRemedy Simple fix. Change "R_TYPE(rx_coded) = Alternately. Consider/define (R_TYPE(x) =	nment Status A EEP to RX_ACTIVE or RX_SLEE anded with rx_block_lock. = IDLE" to "(R_TYPE(rx_coded) = = y) being TRUE to include the con clean up the SM by removing the n	IDLE) * rx_block_lock". dition that rx_block_lock =	Suggested Chang "The /L To "The P operati XGMII Response ACCEI "The P	st two sentences (Remedy e LI/ normal ope HY receive sence ion decoding rec ." PT IN PRINCIPL	ration." Is /I/ to the XGI eived 64B/65B <i>Response</i> S E.	VIII for 9 LDPC blocks and se	c frame periods the decod	hen resumes normal ed values to the periods then resumes
Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Use the simple fix.	oonse Status C		C/ 55 Brown, Ma Comment	Туре Т	Comment S		. ,	# <mark>235</mark>
Cl 55 SC 55.3.2.2.21 Brown, Matt Comment Type T Cor Presumably, the scrambler co	P 175 L 9 AppliedMicro (AMCC) nment Status R ntinues to run as well.	# 233	<i>Suggested</i> Chang "This ti	e defintion to	time the receiv			/or LF blocks after the
SuggestedRemedy Change sentence to: "After the sleep signal is transi	mitted, LP_IDLE characters shall be scrambler shall continue to oper-	e input to the PCS ate until the transmit LPI	<i>Response</i> ACCEI Chang	PT IN PRINCIPL e defintion to: imer defines the	Response S E.	-	blocks after the	ALERT signal is
Response Resp REJECT.	ponse Status C							
There is no text saying that the	e scrambler is disabled.							
Stating that it runs continuoual	ly is not possessory							

Stating that it runs continuously is not necessary.

CI 55 SC 0 P0 L0 # 236	C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3 P154 L 46 # 237
Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)	Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)
Comment Type T Comment Status R	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
In many figures, there is a statement " mandatory for EEE." This doesn't say that its not required by non-EEE PHYs and might be interpreted as saying that its optional for non- EEE PHYs. SuggestedRemedy Wherever there is statement "mandatory for EEE capablity" or similar statement also indicate something like "mandatory for EEE-capable PHYs and is not required for non-	It relates to the state machine in Figure 49-14 and the definition of T_BLOCK_TYPE C and LI on pages 150 and 151. T_BLOCK_TYPE LI is specified as including cases with either 8 /LI/ or 4x/LI/+4x/I/. As the state machine in Figure 49-14 is currently defined this allows and requires transition to low power mode (TX_LI state) if either is detected. Transition to low power mode upon detection of 4x/LI/+4x/I/ should not be permitted. However, provision is required to allow for this special case while in the TX_LI state. Also, 4x/I/+4x/LI/ is a valid block and should not result in an error block.
EEE PHYs".	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C REJECT. Adding 'not required for non-EEE PHYs' does not change the normative requirements of the text so it is not necessary. However, the wording will be changed to match that in other clauses (see e.g. page 89 of draft 2.1), for consistency (though this doesn't seem to address the meat of the comment, which is why the proposed response is reject): NOTESignals and functions shown with dashed lines are only required for the EEE capability. The editor also notes that in at least one state diagram a new variable has been added which are not defined for non-EEE PHYs - in Figure 55-14 lpi_rx_wake_timer_done is used. Add a note to this figure that states 'NOTE- The variable lpi_rx_wake_timer_done is only required for the EEE capability and should be treated as if the value of this variable is TRUE otherwise.'	Define LII as "LII: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then LII occurs when the vector contains either (a) four /LI/ control characters followed by four /I/ control characters or (b) four /I/ control characters followed by four /I/ control characters." Re-define LI as "LI: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then the LI type occurs when the vector contains eight control characters of /LI/." Re-define first criteria of C as eight valid control characters other than /O/, /S/, /T/, /E/ and /LI/. In Figure 49-14 Change the transition criteria as follows: TX_INIT to TX_C: T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(C+LII) TX_C to TX_C: T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(C+LII) TX_E to TX_C: T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(C+LII) TX_E to TX_C: T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(C+LII) TX_T to TX_C: T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(C+LII) TX_T to TX_C: T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(C+LII) TX_L to TX_LI: T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(C+LII)
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Change the definition of C in R_BLOCK_TYPE and T_BLOCK_TYPE. Replace "less than eight of the characters are /LI/" with "zero or four of the characters are /LI/"

C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3.1 P 156 L 43 # 238 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC) # 238	C/ 46 SC 46.3.1.5a P126 L 21 # 240 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC)
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
Transition from RX_REF_SCR_BYPASS or RX_REF_SCR_ON to TX_WAKE will cause result in far end receiver transitting to RX_ACTIVE state the receiving random behaviour when local TX is in SCR_BYPASS state (should be labelled TX_WAKE_SCR_BYPASS).	Throughout this sub-clause there are references to the LPI client. The LPI client is the MAC and this section describes RS Transmit functionality.
SuggestedRemedy	The LPI client indicates LPI request through LP_IDLE.request. This section descript LPI request through the XGMII.
Change SM as follows: (1) change transition "TX_REFRESH_SCR_BYPASS-TX_WAKE" to	SuggestedRemedy
TX_REFRESH_SCR_BYPASS-TX_ACTIVE	Change all instances of "LPI Client" to "RS".
(2) For (1) change criteria from "T_TYPE(tx_raw)=I" to "(T_TYPE(tx_raw)=I)*one_us_timer_done"	Response Response Status C
(3) change transition "TX_REFRESH_SCR_ON-TX_WAKE to TX_REFRESH_SCR_ON- TX_ACTIVE"	ACCEPT.
Response Response Status C	Cl 46 SC 46.3.2.4a P127 L18 # 241
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)
See pillai_02_1109.pdf which was adopted in the response to comment #140 C/ 49 SC 49.2.6 P 149 L 1 # 239	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Throughout this sub-clause there are references to the LPI client. The LPI client is the MAC and this section describes RS Receive functionality.
Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)	The LPI client receives LPI indication through LP_IDLE.indication. This section describes
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	LPI indication through the XGMII.
I think this sentence was unintentionally retained. Scrambler reset is no longer required and	SuggestedRemedy
has been replaced by scrambler bypass.	Change all instances of "LPI Client" to "RS".
SuggestedRemedy Replace sentence with "To aid block synchronization in the receiver when optional LPI function is supported, a scrambler bypass will be provided. When scrambler_bypass = true the scrambler bypass is used and the scrambler will otherwise continue to operate normally."	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Response Response Status C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
The change to draft 2.0 was implemented incorrectly. The paragraph on p. 149 l. 1 should have been replaced by the paragraph that was placed on p. 149 l. 15.	
The paragraph that is in d2.0 p.141 .15 was incorrectly replaced.	
Move paragraph from p.149 I.15 to I.1 (replacing the current). Move paragraph from D2.0 p.151 I.15 to p.149 I.15 (to repair the error).	

/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 186 L 24 # 242 rown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) #	C/ 55 SC 55.3.4a.1 P 177 L 27 # 243 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)
omment Type TR Comment Status A In Figure 55-15a state TX_WE, local fault blocks are sent to indicate that the link has failed. It is previously sent only form transmit when transmit is in reset mode and from receive when receive is in reset or the input has failed (e.g., loss of block lock). A stream of local faults generates a local fault alarm at the RS and indicates that a link is failed and triggers re-calculation of routing tables at higher layers. Also, the state is wake error not wake fault :). Normally, error characters or blocks are used to convey that an error event has occurred. In TX_WE state, send error blocks instead of local faults. uggestedRemedy In TX_WE state, change "tx_coded <= LBLOCK_T" to "tx_coded <= EBLOCK_T".	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Loop timing in slave mode is never explicitly stated as a requirement for EEE. SuggestedRemedy Change "Non-loop timed links are not supported by EEE." To "An EEE capable PHY shall support loop timing and loop timing shall be enabled." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "Non-loop timed links are not supported by EEE." To
esponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	"An EEE capable PHY shall support loop timing and loop timing shall be enabled on the slave PHY." Also add a PICS entry for the "shall"
Delete the TX_WE state and all transitions to and from it. Delete the transition from TX_WN to TX_E. Change the transition condition from TX_WN to TX_C to "!tx_lpi_active". Change the transition condition from TX_L to TX_WN to "T_TYPE(tx_raw) = (C + D + E + S + T)" Change the transition condition from TX_WN to TX_WN to "tx_lpi_active". This simplifies the operation of the transmit state diagram.	CI 55 SC 55 P182 L 29 # 244 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) Comment Type TR Comment Status A LI and LII are defined as RBLOCKS not TBLOCKS. SuggestedRemedy Redefine LI and LII T_BLOCK types for XGMII. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C
	See comments 253, 251

Responses IEEE P802.3az D2.	.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments November 2009
C/ 55 SC 55.4.2.5.14 P 193 L 18 # 245 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC) # 245	Cl 55 SC 55.3.5.2.4 P181 L 34 # 247 Grimwood, Michael Broadcom
Comment Type TR Comment Status A The MDI/MDIX function should apply to the ALERT signal as well. Sentence should be re-worded, regardless.	Comment Type T Comment Status A Simplify the definition of R_BLOCK_TYPE C to be consistent with the new definition for T_BLOCK_TYPE C proposed in another comment.
SuggestedRemedy Change sentence to For EEE capable PHYs, the MDI/MDIX function shall apply to refresh and alert signal	SuggestedRemedy Change: Illing.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	C; The vector contains a data/ctrl header of 1 and one of the following: a) A block type field of 0x1E and eight valid control characters, none of which are /E/ and, if the low power idle function is supported, none of which are /Ll/;
Add the PICS entry to support this.	To:
C/ 55 SC 55.5.3.5 P 193 L 45 # 246 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) 4 4 246 4 <t< td=""><td>C; The vector contains a data/ctrl header of 1 and one of the following: a) A block type field of 0x1E and eight valid control characters other than /E/ and /LI/;</td></t<>	C; The vector contains a data/ctrl header of 1 and one of the following: a) A block type field of 0x1E and eight valid control characters other than /E/ and /LI/;
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Response Response Status C
The frequency variation should apply when changint to and from low power mode as	well. ACCEPT.
SuggestedRemedy Add sentence The short-term frequency variation limit shall also apply when switching to and from L	
mode. Response Response Status C	Comment Type T Comment Status A Clock stop capable is a status bit and therefore should be RO not R/W.
ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy
Add add PICS item	Change the Clock stop capable Type field entry from R/W to RO
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.2 P 116 L 47 # 249 Grimwood, Michael Broadcom Broadcom
	Comment Type T Comment Status A Clock stop capable is a status bit and therefore should be RO not R/W.
	SuggestedRemedy Change the Clock stop capable R/W field entry from R/W to RO.
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Comment ID # 249

Page 59 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:04 AM

55 SC 55.3.5.2.4 rimwood, Michael	P 182 Broadcom	L 8	# 250	C/ 55 Grimwood	SC 55.3.5.2.4 I, Michael	P 182 Broadcom	L 14	# 252		
	<i>Comment Status</i> A /pes T_BLOCK_TYPE may	be classified if L	PI is not supported.		T_BLOCK_TYPE d	Comment Status A efinition, type C conflicts w addresses LII by redefinin		type C to eliminate		
uggestedRemedy Change: "one of the fiv esponse ACCEPT.	e types" to: "one of the f Response Status C	irst five types"		Suggested Chang C; The	dRemedy ge: e vector contains on	e of the following:				
55 SC 55.3.5.2.4 rimwood, Michael	P 182 Broadcom	L 28	# 251	suppo		acters other than /O/, /S/, / ⁻ valid control characters of	,			
omment Type T	Comment Status A			To:						
The definition of LI needs opposed to 65-bit RX blo	to be consistent with the w ck).	ording for a 72-b	bit tx_raw vector (as		e vector contains on ht valid control char	e of the following: acters other than /O/, /S/, / ⁻	[/, /E/, and /LI/.			
uggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status C	,,, <u>,</u> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Change:	war Idla function is supports	d then the LI tw	o occurs when the	ACCE						
LI: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then the LI type occurs when the vector contains a data/ctrl header of 1, a block type field of 0x1e, and eight control			~		D 400		" 0=0			
characters of /LI/.	cters of /LI/.			C/ 55 Grimwood	SC 55.3.5.2.4	P 182 Broadcom	L 31	# 253		
control characters of /LI/.	wer Idle function is supporte	ed then the vecto	or contains eight	<i>Comment</i> In the	Type TR	Comment Status A efinition, type C conflicts w	ith LII. Redefine	LII to eliminate confl		
esponse ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Change:						
ACCEPT.										
				vector	r contains a data/ctrl	wer Idle function is support header of 1, a block type f y four control characters o	ield of 0x1e, and			
				To:						
				LII: If t followi	•	wer Idle function is support	ed then the vect	or contains one of th		
						rs of /LI/ followed by four c rs of /I/ followed by four co				
				Also on page 182 line 6, add LII to the list of types. Response Response Status C						
				ACCE	EPT.					

Comment ID # 253

Page 60 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:04 AM

C/ 55 SC 55.3.5.4 Grimwood, Michael	P 185 Broadcom	L 7	# 254	Cl 55 Grimwood	SC 55.3.5.2.4 Michael	P 181 Broadcom	L 49	# 255	
	Comment Status A , Valid sets of control character transmission of the Error cont to TX_E.			as erro	existing PCS stat	Comment Status A e diagram, certain normally ed by 4/LI/). Redefine LII an n C.			
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	IRemedy				
Eliminate LII from the f	ollowing transitions:			Redef	ne the LII block t	ype as follows:			
TX_INIT to TX_E TX_C to TX_E TX_E to TX_E TX_T to TX_E				heade a) fo	r of 1, a block typ our control charac	Power Idle function is suppor e field of 0x1E, and one of th ters of /LI/ followed by four of ters of /I/ followed by four co	ne following: control character	s of /l/;	
Add LII to the following	transitions: (Outside of TX_L,	, act upon LII ex	actly as C)						
TX_INIT to TX_C TX_C to TX_C TX_E to TX_C TX_T to TX_C				RX_IN	ire 55-16 on pag IIT to RX_C: Cha to RX_C: Chang		g state transition	s:	
Response ACCEPT.	se Response Status C			$ \begin{array}{l} RX_D \text{ to } RX_T: Change \ (S + C + LI) \ \text{ to } \ (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_D \ \text{ to } RX_E: Change \ (E + C + LI + S) \ \text{ to } \ (E + C + LI + LII + S) \\ RX_E \ \text{ to } RX_T: \ Change \ (S + C) \ \text{ to } \ (S + C + LI + LII) \\ RX_T \ \text{ to } RX_C: \ Change \ C \ \text{ to } C + LI \\ RX_E \ \text{ to } RX_C: \ Change \ C \ \text{ to } C + LI \\ \end{array} $					
				consis		the transition from RX_E to LI to follow T, such that the E_NEXT.			
				Response		Response Status C			
				ACCE	PT.				

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2.5 P143 L # 256	CI 48 SC 48.2.6.2.6 P144 L # 258
orner, Rita Avago	Horner, Rita Avago
omment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
Figure 48-9b	The convention is to have similar register map for PCS, PHY XS and DTE XS. PHY and DTE LPI management registers are not defined in AZ.
Figure 48-9b transitions from RX_SLEEP are ambiguous.	SuggestedRemedy
uggestedRemedy Change criteria for RX_SLEEP to RX_SLEEP, to " LPIDLE * !rx_tq_timer_done	Add PHY XS LPI managment registers 4.1.11, 4.1.10, 4.1.9,4.1.8, 4.22 Add DTE XS LPI managment registers 5.1.11, 5.1.10, 5.1.9, 5.1.8, 5.22
*(sig_detect=OK)"	Response Response Status C
Change criteria for RX_SLEEP to RX_ACTIVE, to " IDLE * !rx_tq_timer_done *(sig_detect=OK)"	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
esponse Response Status C ACCEPT.	Adopt the changes in barrass_02_1109.pdf
/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2.5 <i>P</i> 143 <i>L</i> # 257 orner, Rita Avago	D2.2 will have the following: 1) extension of LPI signaling across XAUI 2) LPI mode for XAUI based on LPI mode of KX4
omment Type TR Comment Status A	CI 48 SC 48.2.6.1.4 P L # 259
Figure 48-9b	Horner, Rita Avago
Figure 48-9b transitions fom RX_WAKE are ambiguous	Comment Type TR Comment Status R
lggestedRemedy	check_end function is not defined in 802.3az. When LPI is enabled in the device, there is a
Change criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_QUIET, to "(signal_detect=FAIL) * !rx_tw_timer_	
Change criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_ * deskew align status=OK * IDLE	aone SuggestedRemedy
Change criteria for RX_WAKE to RX_SLEEP, to "(signal_detect=OK) * !rx_tw_timer_c * deskew_align_status=OK * LPIDLE	Prescient Terminate function used by the PCS Receive process to set the RXD<31:0> and
esponse Response Status C ACCEPT.	RXC<3:0> signals to indicate Error if a running disparity error was propagated to any Idle code-groups in T , or to the column following T . The XGMII Error control character is returned in all lanes less than n in T , where n identifies the specific Terminate ordered-set Tn , for which a running disparity error or any code-groups other than /A/ or /K/ or /D20.5/ are recognized in the column following T . The XGMII Error control character is also returned in all lanes greater than n in the column prior to T , where n identifies the specific Terminate ordered-set Tn , for which a running disparity error or any code group other than /K/ is recognized in the corresponding lane of T . For all other lanes the value

Response

REJECT.

Figure 48-6 forces the column following a ||T|| to be either ||A|| or ||K||

Response Status C

Responses IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energ	y Efficient Ethernet comments November 200
C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2.5 P 144 L 14 # 260 Horner, Rita Avago	C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.2.5 P143 L # 262 Horner, Rita Avago
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 48-10. TQR definition is not precise. The tq timer done is also used in RX_SLEEP state.	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Figure 48-9b In Figure 48-9b-LPI Receive state diagram page 143,
SuggestedRemedy TQR : Time to wait for remote partner transmitter to refresh after it's disabled.	RX_ACTIVE transitions to RX_SLEEP when following condition is satisfied:
Response Response Status C	LPIDLE * align_status = deskew_align_status
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "RX QUIET" to "RX SLEEP and RX QUIET" in the existing definition.	Is it possible that the transition occurs when both align_status=FAIL and deskew_align_status=FAIL?
Make similar changes in clauses 36 and 49	In another word, is it possible for LPIDLE to be detected when deskew_align_status=FAIL and how the MAC/RS interpret the LFAULT (as a result of align_status=FAIL) when the XGXS Receive is in low power mode?
C/ 48 SC 48.2.6.1.6a P137 L9 # 261	
Horner, Rita Avago	This should be prevented otherwise the Rx portion of the design will go into low power state when the received LPIDLE column validity is questionable and continue to indicate
Comment Type TR Comment Status R rx_tq_timer is not precise. Not clear about the "enter RX_SLEEP" state.	LFAULT on the RXC/RXD instead of LPIDLE .
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
rx tq timer: This timer is started when the PMD's receiver enters the RX SLEEP state.	Change criteria for RX_ACTIVE to RX_SLEEP, to " LPIDLE * align_status = OK * deskew_align_status = OK"
The timer is restarted everytime LPIDLE is received, sig_detect=1 and !rx_tq_timer_done while in RX_SLEEP state. The timer terminal counter is set to TQR. When the timer reaches terminal count it will set the rx_tq_timer_done=TRUE.	Response Response Status C REJECT.
Response Response Status C REJECT.	If alignment is lost, the PCS receive state diagram will prevent the decode function from operating.
The state diagram conventions make it clear that the action (start rx_tq_timer) is performed each time the state is entered (or re-entered).	C/ 30 SC 30.2 P 61 L 1 # 263 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Cisco
	Comment Type T Comment Status A ment submitted from the flo
	For SNMP management of EEE, it would be convenient to have objects defined in clause 30 to describe LPI usage statistics.
	SuggestedRemedy
	Changes are detailed in barrass_01_1109.pdf

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Changes are detailed in barrass_03_1109.pdf

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

November 2009

C/ 78	SC 78.1.3.3.1	P 226	L 42	# 264	CI 22	SC 22.7a.2		P32	L15	# 10165
Bennett, N		LBNL	L 4 Z	# 204	Frazier, H			Broadcom C	-	# [10165
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A			Commen	t Type TR	Con	nment Status R		
l don'i	t see the value in c	alling the wake signal "spec	ial"					se. Wow. Why can'		deassert the CRS
Suggestee	dRemedy				•		en the trar	nsmit path is in LPI?		
delete	e "special"				•••	edRemedy	oarom Th	e 100BASE-TX PH		ha raananaihla far
Response ACCE		Response Status C			asse	rting and deass	erting CRS		nt the Suggested	Remedy in my general
C/ 00	SC 0	Р	1	# 265	Respons	9	Resp	oonse Status U		
Bennett, N		LBNL	L	# 205	REJE	ECT.				
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A				or of accepting	the propo	sed reject:		
		conformance statements th	roughout the do	cument use	Yes: No: 0					
		s for the Major Capabilities/C			Absta					
Suggestee	dRemedy				The	tata waabiya iy		a sillistica Cublever		
make	the feature descrip	otions consistent. I prefer "Ir	nplementation c	of LPI"				ncilliation Sublayer ed by the Task Force		one of the baseline
Response)	Response Status C			· -	/				
ACCE	PT.					s considered ac or a number of r		us to have the contro	ol of the PLS_CA	RRIER.indication in the
C/ 69 D'Ambrosi	SC 69.1.2 ia, John	P 192 Force10 Netw	L 41 orks	# 10118		eeps the PHY of the receive pa		d transmit paths sep	parate (the PHY c	onsiders CRS to be
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status R			2. lt a	allows the PHY	to ao to sle	eep without having to	o maintain state 8	s control the wake
		the objective "a 4 lane 40Gl rgy Efficient Ethernet will imp			proce		e ge te en	oop malout har ig t		
EEE.								function close to the	e MAC and egres	s buffers, where it
Suggestee	,				would	d be implement	ed in most	designs.		
		e text to rgy Efficent Ethernet for PHY	's that support N	/IAC rates of 10 Gb/s		rees the PHY fr oled using LLD			e wake time negot	iation process (that is
Response	•	Response Status C						ite at speeds greater	r than 1Gbps, so	the same mechanism
REJE	CT.				can b	e used for all s	peeds.		-	
P802.	3az does not state	anywhere that EEE support	s 40G.					esent (or deleted ac ment are accepted o		mment) whether the

CI 22 SC 22.2.2.6	a P 28	L 46	# 10167	C/ 00	SC 0		P1	L1	# 10174
Frazier, Howard	Broadcom Co	orporation		Frazier, Ho	ward		Broadcom (Corporation	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R			Comment	Type TR	Comn	nent Status A		doc-structure
figure presents what a relationship between	gles in Figure 22-6a represent ppears to be a timing diagram rarious logical signals. How do o a logical timing diagram, and	n that shows the bes an abstract		As an the ba X Phys	amendment se standard. sical Coding	to IEEE Std 8 When this ha Sublayers wil	ppens, the definit I be substantially	l in this draft will e ions for the 100B	eventually be folded into ASE-X and 1000BASE-
SuggestedRemedy							e difficult to disce ntially changed.	rn. The definition	s for the
	service primitive from the timir my general comment concern <i>Response Status</i> U			100BA 100BA 1000B	SE-TX and SE-BX10, 1 ASE-BX10,	1000BASE-KX 000BASE-SX 1000BASE-PX	-X PCSs are use X. Among these a , 1000BASE-LX, X10, 1000BASE-F 0G/1GBASE-PRX	re 100BASE-FX, 1000BASE-CX, 1 PX20, 10G/1GBA	000BASE-LX10,
this section.	on the proposal "law_01_110 PLS_CARRIER.indication add			and the not be	e specification changed or	ons for the PC effected in an	d in the set of obj S and MII for the y way by P802.3a 02.3 PCS and MII	se port types mus az. Each of these	st
ambiguity.				Suggestea	Remedy				
This diagram would be	e present regardless of the do	cument structure	e chosen.	There	are many wa	ays to solve th	is problem. I pref	er the following a	pproach:
					serve the de t change.	finitions for the	e MII, GMII, 100B	ASE-X PCS, and	1000BASE-X PCS
				i.e. An	nex 24A for	Clause 24, an	o support EEE in Id Annex 25A for provided by me to	Clause 25, etc. E	xample text for Annex
				3. Refe	er to these n	ormative anne	exes from the bod	y of Clause 78.	
				Response ACCE	PT IN PRIN		nse Status U		
				See re	sponse to C	omment #410)		

364 and 8

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

CI 45	SC 45.2.3	P112	L16	# 10183	C/ 74	SC	74.7	P 216	L 22	# 10185
Ganga, Ila	ango	Intel			Ganga, Ila	ango		Intel		
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status A		
editing other	g instructions sl than IEEE Std 8	other tables in Clause 45 have hould include the appropriate s 802.3-2008. Also the table num ble numbers from previous am	ource document	where the source is	instru S <i>uggeste</i>	ctions to	o indicate dy	g amended by P802.3ba. So the approprate base text (IEI		
amen the dr	dments e.g. P8 aft P802.3ba/D	ters have been modified by the 02.3av). So update the editing 2.2. editing instruction as follows:			Response ACCE			Response Status W		
	3.1 PCS control				C/ 69	SC	69.1.1	P 192	L1	# 10186
Chan	ge Table 45-83	(IEEE P802.3ba/D2.2) for LPI h that the base text is from the			Ganga, Ila	ingo		Intel		
•	dRemedy		above source.		Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status A		
Updat	te the Editing in	strucitons and Table numbers						g amended by P802.3ba. Upo iate source (IEEE Std 802.3-		
		Imbered table number from app e text as appropriate as per the			Suggeste	dRemed	dy .			
	3ba/D2.2).					r comm	-			
Response	;	Response Status W			Response	,		Response Status W		
	OT IN PRINCI						PRINCIPL t appear t	.E. o be any conflicting or overla	oping changes.	
See c	omments #39,	40, 41, 42, 43			Bute	ditor will	add edito	or's note to indicate P802.3ba	may also affect	clause 69 and in
C/ 74 Ganga, Ila	SC 74.5 ango	P 214 Intel	L 12	# 10184				fy draft if the edit is based on		
Comment Unde	51	Comment Status A ive defined in item e) RX_LPI_/	ACTIVE							
Updat		pering and Figure numbers for ng as per the base spec (for exa e Figure 74-2).								
Suggeste	dRemedy									
Response ACCE	EPT IN PRINCI	Response Status W								
Pleas	e refer to comm	nents								

C/ 72		72.6.4		P 207	L 26	# 10189	C/ 00	SC	0	P1	L 25	# 10190
Ganga, Ila	ngo			Intel			ghiasi, ali			Broadcom		
comment	Туре	TR	Comment S	Status A			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		doc-structur
detect are dif) was n ficult to	ot part of define/im	this clause as in plement in the	t was felt that backplane er	t robust analog sig nvironment. (see t	detect (or energy gnal detect functions thaler_01_0505.pdf,	of the		iagram are	of the earlier 802.3 clauses e getting too complicated to		
	es_01_0		Hence define a	a suitable dig	ital signaling med	chanism to exit from the	Suggested	Remea	dy			
uggested									uplicate the optional El	e state diagram in earlier cla EE	auses instead of	changing them so it is
As per	r comm	ent					Response			Response Status U		
esponse			Response S	tatus W			ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLI	,		
ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPL	E.				See re	esponse	e to comm	ent #410		
At this from s	•	nere is no	clear alternativ	ve to a basic e	energy detect to v	vaking up the PHY	C/ 22		22.2.2.4	P 27	L 42	# 10195
The re	eceiver i	s iust rea	uired to wake u	in within a ce	rtain time after de	tecting the electrical	Grow, Rob	ert		Intel		
					bled transmitter.	tooting the ofeethear	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		
	riginal K		dataat would a	at work for El		uires that training to	Awkar	d and p	possibly mi	isleading text.		
						to be too long and we	Suggested	Remec	dy			
neede	d some	thing to w	ake the PHY's	receiver prior	r to that.	Ũ				the combination of TX_EN		
						e are assumed to be /nc and lock much	idle. C	Other va		1 shown in Table 22-1 as a (D<3:0> with this combinition		
	quickly.				,		Response	•		Response Status C		
Chano	nes wer	e made to	the state diag	rams (see res	sponse to comme	nt #425) to fix the	ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLI	,		
observenergy	vable be y detect	havior the threshold	at may be caus I level and dete	ed by false d	letection. There is	concern that the necessary activity in the	Also c	hange i	in the sam	e style as suggested by cor	nment #479	
receiv	er (due	to noise a	and cross-talk).				assert in low	ed and power i	TXD<3:0> idle. Other	RS shall use the combinat equal to 0001 shown in Ta values of TXD<3:0> with th n the PHY."	ble 22-1 as a rec	quest to enter, or remain

IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments

C/ 00 S	SC 0	P 27 Intel	L 50	# 10196	<i>Cl</i> 78 Grow, Rob	SC 78.1.2.1.2 ert	P 228 Intel	L18	# 10197
would follo convention <i>SuggestedRei</i> Don't inse convention	nanual 21.2. w 22.2.2.6, i o other than t <i>nedy</i> t a TX subcl i is being use	Comment Status A 1 isn't followed for numbering t doesn't precede it and the o that of the style manual. ause in the middle of receive ed, what is currently 22.2.2.6 ange instructions need to be	subclauses. If t should be 22.2	ctions do not indicate a he style manual .2.5A. If not following	primitiv <i>Suggested</i> Needs All text	ves are not signa ve, only on the lay <i>IRemedy</i> thought and prop t (e.g., assert and	Comment Status A is, and as I recall, timing rec vers causing generation of a per specification on the timin deassert functions) related ge that reflects continuous	n primitive. Ing in multiple pla to service primit	ices in the standard.
inserts col <i>Response</i> ACCEPT	isistently. N PRINCIPL	Response Status U E.			Response		ange in value being signaled Response Status C E.	d by a primitive.	
indicate th Use lower	e amendmer case alphabe	uctions. When the base text i ht in parenthesis. etic indication for a new subcl equent amendments.			"LPI_I[link_sta	DLE.request shall atus = OK, see 28	tes on lines 17 and 18, page not be set to ASSERT unle 3.2.6.1.1). LP_IDLE.request ange of link_status to OK."	ess the attached	•
When inse	rting a new s	subclause at a level it is x.x.0			to:	Ū			
Coordinate the coordi		with 802.3ba. WG chair will h	elp resolve any	issues that arise from			this primitive is undefined if SERT within 1 second of the		

Doopopoo

Responses		IEEE F	802.3az D2.1 Er	nergy Efficient I	Ethernet com	ments		November 2009
C/ 78 SC 78.1.2.1. Grow, Robert	4 P 228 Intel	L 26	# 10202	C/ 14 Thomps	SC 14.4.1 on, Geoff	P 22 GraCaSI	L 43	# 10457
parts of the PHY? If th appropriate, but it seer of the primitive is to ge SuggestedRemedy Assure MII clause are additional requirement represented. Add gen	Comment Status A ween an RS and its link partner he PHY has no option to signal ms inconsistent with MII text d enerate signals on the MII and consistent in what layer is sign ts on conveying the LPI request erric text that covers the three bly be generic using the MII do	I the request, the lescribing the xMI that isn't specifie naling to what pe st in lower sublay MII types how	n the language is Il signals. The effect of here, but should be er layer, and that any rers is properly the assert or deasser	com the e. Furt word sign / unsl rt utiliz	a no text added a patibility betwee two on a network her, the text in 1 d "Since is inapp ificant number o nielded telephon ohones and netw te Category 5 or	4.4.1 is not correct in the curr ropriate. That is, it is no longe f 10BASE-T networks are exp e wiring" rather, the market hav vorks (especially autonegotiat	e. How is a custon ent market and pi er the case that w bected to be instal as evolved to the	ner to know how to mix roposed context The e believe that "a lled utilizing in-place extent that most
Response	Response Status U		low power lule.	Suggest	edRemedy			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL			opriate however edito	prov new pr and	ision for the hist subclause to cla	tory paragraph to better reflect orical context that made use of ause 14 to address the topic of a. the two MDI can be freely m BASE-Te.	of "left-over" telep of cross compatibi	hone wiring. Also, add a ility between 10BASE-T
Editor to check if that t	this is clear in the xMII clauses	6.		Respon	se	Response Status U		
	3.1 P148 Cisco Comment Status A a text description of the behav M accomplishing at a high leve		# 10224	The whe	first paragraph i n originally writte	een 10BASE-T and 10BASE- n 14.4.1 is text from the origir en. It is not the objective of thi based on resolution of comm	nal standard and v s task force to co	was not future-proof
				C/ 14	SC 14.4.1	P 22	L 48	# 10458
Response	Response Status U			Thomps	on, Geoff	GraCaSI		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Comment #455 may sa				"stu	new text is in th	Comment Status R e wrong place. It is not "overv to avoid the sticky issue of re		
				Suggesi	edRemedy			
						ontext of 14.4.2. I recognize the up as a clean, well-structur		restructuring necessary
				Respon REJ	se ECT.	Response Status U		
						it with the rest of the overview ment #356 on D2.0.	clause. Also, the	text was revised based
	ed ER/editorial required GR/g spatched A/accepted R/reject				ed U/unsatisfie	ed Z/withdrawn		Page 69 of 75

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Responses	Res	pon	ses
-----------	-----	-----	-----

C/ 14 SC 14.5.2	Р	L	# 10460	C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1.2	1 P61	L 6	# 10463
Thompson, Geoff	GraCaSI			Thompson	i, Geoff	GraCaSI		
That mandate make implementations are expect many imple SuggestedRemedy Revise text so that	Comment Status R at any port that offers MDI-X cc es no allowance for current tech e not of a fixed configuration wi mentations of 10BASE-Te to ha he X labeling requirement only uld be nice if we could all agree	nnology in which th respect to the ave automatic Mi applies to ports	many PHY e cross-over function. I DI-X correction. with fixed MDI/MDI-X	imple opera Suggeste Revis Response	understand what nentation? Or is i tion? dRemedy e "BEHAVIOUR [Comment Status A this attribute indicates. Is the PHYs for which the R DEFINED AS:" text to clar Response Status W E.	PCS and higher ca	
Response REJECT. This comment requ made for 10BASE-	Response Status U ests a change to the base stan e.	dard that is not i	mpacted by the changes			oossible PHY types for whet as defined in Clause 78		system supports
It should be submit	ed as a maintenance request to	o the base stand	dard.					

Cl 24	SC 24.1.1	P34	L 10	# 10462	
Thompson, G	eoff	GraCaSI			
Comment Typ	De TR	Comment Status A			230
There is r	mention of an "	I PL agent" in this clause as t	the active eleme	nt that causes the	

I here is mention of an "LPI agent" in this clause as the active element that causes the 100BASE-X PHY to go back and forth between LPI and normal operation. I find it strange that (a) there is no definition or specification of an LPI agent nor even any mention of it anywhere else in the draft, not even in the other clauses where one would expect a parallel use of such an agent to cause the same sort of switch for the other LPI PHYs (except 10BASE-Te)

SuggestedRemedy

Fully define and specify the operation and service interfaces for the activating function for LPI (be it an "LPI agent" or other mechanism). Further, have that mechanism act on each of the LPI PHYs in a manner that is architecturally consistent across the entire standard.

Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(need help to respond)

C/00 SC 0									
	P1	L1	# 10509	C/ 14	SC 14.1.1		P16	L 21	# 10511
ooth, Brad	AppliedMicro			Booth, Bra	d		AppliedMicro		
omment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment	Status R		
In reading through the draf While RX_DV is de-asserted			low power idle by	than co	onformance is	nfusing. It appear ssues. The critica teroperability.			tation strategies rath attention is
asserting the RX_ER signa	I while driving the value <0	1> onto RXD<7	:0>.	Suggested	Remedy				
May also implies may not. draft to avoid the addition of statement above, the only	of PICS requirements assoc	ciated with LPI.	In the case of the	NOTE					E-T PHY unless the
assert RX_ER and drive 0>				Response		Response	Status U		
with LPI capabilities shall u there should be a PICS ent		e LPI detection	across the GMII. And	REJEC	CT.				
SuggestedRemedy This draft should be scrubb LPI have appropriate shall	ed to make sure that behaves statements and PICS entries	es with an LPI c	apability associated	device					ASE-Te in a single ASE-Te is addresse
with them. Otherwise, con	•	e open to interpr	etation and confusion.	C/ 14	SC 14.1.1	.1	P 17	L 15	# 10512
Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status U			Booth, Bra	d		AppliedMicro		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Comment	Type TR	Comment	Status A		
In D2.1 in clause 22 and 46 indicates" and no further		hanged to read	" the PHY			solete and has be Category 5 is un			m my understanding 88-B.
In clause 35, the same cha	nge will be made.			Suggested	Remedy				
The mendator we will be made		o on a serieto D		Update	e reference to	568-B.			
The mandatory requiremer	ts are, and should be, in th	e appropriate P	US clauses.	Update	e throughout (Clause 14.			
				Response		Response	Status U		
This comment was not con response.	sidered by the BRC and the	e above respons	se is a proposed	ACCE	PT.				
		t the Nov plenar	y along with all other	1) pag	e references c e 16, line 40 e 21, line 53	n			

Responses IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy					Efficient Ethernet comments				
C/ 22 SC 22.2.1 Booth, Brad	P 25 AppliedMicro	L 9	# 10516	C/ 25 Booth, Bra	SC 25.4.11 d	P53 AppliedMicro	L 41	# 10520	
Comment Type ER Inconsistent use of the In 22.7a, it is Low Powe	Comment Status A term low power idle. For exan er Idle.	ple, in 22.2.1	t is all in lower case.		d be better to pr	Comment Status A omote the Ethernet Efficient E here probably should not be b			
SuggestedRemedy Scrub the draft to use le	ow power idle in a consistent n	anner.		Suggestea Promo	,	25.5 and modify the PICS from	m 25.5 to 25.6		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status U E.			Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status W			
Resolved by comment change is required.	260 on D2.0. It is no longer an	issue in D2.1 a	and no additional	See th	e response to co	omment #115.			
C/ 24 SC 24.8 Booth, Brad	P 50 AppliedMicro	L1	# 10518	<i>Cl</i> 25 Booth, Bra	SC 25.4.11 d	P 53 AppliedMicro	L 45	# 10521	
Comment Type TR There is a *LPI capabili	Comment Status A ity that is defined. This capabil the PCS and PMA, yet the onl			inform	nce calls the sub	Comment Status A clause a clause and labels as ed to conform with the informa with this.			
	elp define the way the PCS and e to make sure that functions r pability entry.			implen	e sentence to re	ad: This subclause only appli- nented, the operation of the F bclause.			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status U E.			Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
See the response to co	mment #114. Multiple shalls a	e added		See th	e response to co	omment #104.			
C/ 25 SC 25.4.6 Booth, Brad	P 53 AppliedMicro	L 31	# 10519						
Comment Type TR 25.4.6 has three shall s	Comment Status A statements and only one PICS	entry.	107						
SuggestedRemedy Add other PICS entries	or delete unnecessary shalls.								
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status W								
See the response to co	mment #107.								

Comment ID # 10521

Page 72 of 75 11/19/2009 7:54:04 AM

C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3 P146 L 18 # 10545 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC) AppliedMicro (AMCC) # 10545	C/ 49 SC 49.2.13.3.1 P 149 L 18 # 10546 Brown, Matt AppliedMicro (AMCC)					
Comment Type TR Comment Status A late This comment reports an issue similar to that reported in comment #93 in CL 55. It relates to the state machine in Figure 49-14 and the definition of T_BLOCK_TYPE LI on pages 142 and 143. T_BLOCK_TYPE LI is specified as including cases with either 8 /LI/ or 4x/LI/+4x/I/. As the state machine in Figure 49-14 is currently defined this allows and requires transition to low power mode (TX_LI state) if either is detected. Transition to low power mode upon detection of 4x/LI/+4x/I/ should not be permitted. However, provision is required to allow for this special case while in the TX_LI state. SuggestedRemedy Define LII as "LII: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then LII occurs when the vector	Comment Type TR Comment Status A late It is possible to be caught in RX_SLEEP state. The only exit conditions are detection of IDLE blocks or detection of no energy at PMA. It is possible that with a compromised signal that neither !signal_ok or IDLE will be detected. SuggestedRemedy Move the "start rx_tq_timer" from RX_QUIET state to the RX_SLEEP state (as proposed in Comments #425 and #448) and add a transition to RX_LINK_FAIL on "rx_tq_timer_done * signal_ok". Note that this transition is already included in the CL 49 LPI RX SM. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Accept and a status and					
contains four /LI/ control characters followed by four /I/ control characters." Re-define LI as "LI: If the optional Low Power Idle function is supported then the LI type occurs when the vector contains eight control characters of /LI/." In Figure 49-14 Change the criteria for transition for the following transition to include LII: TX_C to TX_E TX_INIT to TX_E TX_INIT to TX_F	This was resolved by the response to D2.0 comments #99 and #456 Cl 78 SC 78.1.3.3.2 P 227 L 18 # 20091 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation ZTE Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status R sleep signal What is this 'sleep signal'? Where is this defined? How is it transmitted? Status R Status R					
TX_D to TX_E TX_E to TX_E TX_T to TX_E Change the criteria for transition from TX_LI to TX_LI (loop) to "T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(LI+LII)". Alternately, change the criteria for transition from TX_L to TX_C to "T_TYPE(tx_raw)=(I+LII)".	SuggestedRemedy Similar comment was submitted against previous version of the draft and yet there are no changes so far. Response Response Status U					
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This has been resolved by the response to D2.0 comments #99 and #456	REJECT. The sleep signal is PHY dependent and described in individual PHY clauses.					

C/ 40 SC 40.4.5.2 P 103 L 29 # 20109 CHOU, JOSEPH REALTEK SEMICOND REALTER SEMICOND	C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.13a P119 L 32 # 20189 Parnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat					
Comment Type TR Comment Status A The duration of lpi_postupdate_timer has a period between 2.0us to 2.2us. It does not have a comfortable margin for the field application.	Comment Type TR Comment Status R Submitted on behalf of Todd Thompson, Solarflare.					
The increase of this lpi_postupdate_timer has no impact on the wakeup time. SuggestedRemedy Change the duration of lpi_postupdate_timer as follows: Duration: This timer shall have a period between 4.0 microseconds to 4.4 microseconds Response Response Status U ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Clause 45.2.7.13a and 45.2.7.14a are inconsistent with the rest of the standard in that the format of NP and XNP are partially defined in this clause. In the rest of the standard, the formats of NP and XNP are separated from the control/status registers controlling and reporting the status of what's to be advertised/been advertised. (See Clause 40.5 for 1G and 55.6 for 10G). The current definition is more difficult to read/follow than the way pages have been previously defined in the standard. It is not clear from the text in 45.2.7.13a and 45.2.7.14a how many pages are being sent, whether these pages are regular next pages or extended next pages, and what the format of those pages is to be.					
Duration: This timer shall have a period between 2 μ s to 3.2 μ s	Option 1 (preferred): Use existing reserved bits for previously defined Next Pages and Extended Next Pages as defined in Clause 40.5 and 55.6 and remove this new message code/format.					
 Prior discussion: Duration: This timer shall have a period between 2.5 μs to 3 μs	Option 2: Separate the definition of the message page/unformatted page out of Clause 45.2.7.13a and 45.2.7.14a and put the format of these pages and mapping of these bits into the EEE Clause 78 to make this consistent to the way 1G and 10G has been done previously. Insert tables into Clause 78 which define the number and format of NPs and/or					
Strawpoll: In favor: 5 Opposed: 3 Abstain: 10	XNP's similar to Clause 40.5 and 55.6. <i>Response Response Status</i> U REJECT. These registers are consistent with other registers in 45.2.7 for autonegotiation.					

Responses		IEEE P802.3az D2.1 Energy Efficient Ethernet comments							November 2009		
	8C.12	P243	L18	# 20192	<i>Cl</i> 49 Brown, M	SC 48.2.6.2	2	P138	L 52	# 20218	
Parnaby, Gavin	arnaby, Gavin Solarflare Communicat					att		AppliedMicro	(AMCC)		
		<i>mment Status</i> R Thompson, Solarflare.			Comment Trans	<i>Type</i> ER sitions are on or		t Status R code groups.			
Annex 28C and Clause 45.2.7.13a and Clause 45.2.7.14a require new EEE Next Pages and new message codes adding 1/2 second during autonegotiation. This time is largely wasted as the PHY must send bits for technologies it does not support and send many bits which are unused.				SuggestedRemedy Change code-groups to ordered_sets. (yeah, that underscore's supposed to be there) Response Response Status U							
SuggestedRemedy	/				REJE	CT.					
100M/1G) and Define existing	XNP defined in reserved bits i	existing NP's defined in Clause 55.6 (to contro n Clause 22 (for 1000E Ivertising of BASE-T El	ol BASE-T EEE f BASE-T) and Cla	or 100M/1G/10G). use 45.2.7 (for	group C/ 55	SC 55.3.2. 2		P175	L 47	hine are on code- # 20227	
Response	Res	ponse Status U			Brown, M		_	AppliedMicro	(ANICC)		
REJECT.	103				Commen			t Status R			
No consensus	No consensus to make the change. Proposed AIP was discussed - see below:				It is not clear what these two sentences are saying. Are they saying that there are two wake timer values for the transmitter depending on when the wake is requested? Or are they talking about the maximum time that the receive requires to wake up in each of the two modes. The use of the word maximum seems to have two meanings here.						
See parnaby_0	arnaby_02_1109.pdf				It would clear things up immensely to give different variable names to the timer values for "during sleep" and "after sleep".						
Add three bits i	Add three bits in 55.6 for EEE capability, make these bits mandatory for 10GBASE-T EEE				SuggestedRemedy						
0	No changes in clauses 22 and 40				On page 175, line 4648 Change "The maximum PHY wake time, lpi_wake_timer, is 7.36 us (lpi_wake_timer=Tw_phy as						
Straw poll In favor of prop Opposed: 4 Abstain: 11	oosed response	2: 2		transi	mitted. Typically	, wake will be r	which occurs only when wake is requested before sleep has been ake will be requested after the sleep signal is transmitted and in PHY wake time value is 4.48 us."				
					"Typic maxir sleep the re	num PHY wake has been trans eceiver for the sl	time, phy_wak mitted the max eep sequence	e_timer, is 4.48 imum PHY wake	us. When wake e time, is 7.36 us either case, the v	d and in this case the is requested before to allow extra time at wake signal will be sent	
					Response	è	Response	Status U			
					REJE	CT.					
					Not c confu		an improvemen	t and the second	d sentence in the	e suggested remedy is	