

**OIF Liaison : CEI-25 / CEI-28 Projects Ad hoc**  
Nov 18, 2009 Meeting Notes  
Prepared by Steve Trowbridge / John D' Ambrosia

Attendees:

John D' Ambrosia, Force10 Networks (Chair)  
Pavel Zivny, Tektronix  
Merrick Moeller, Cinch Connectors  
Greg LeCheminant, Agilent  
Piers Dawe, Independent  
Steve Trowbridge, Alcatel-Lucent  
Ghani Abbas, Ericsson  
Hans Lackner  
George Noh, Vitesse  
Chris Cole, Finisar  
David Lewis, JDSU  
Jon Beckwith, UNH-IOL  
Mike Li, Altera  
Ed Nakomote, Spirent  
Dimitris Giannakopoulos, AMCC  
John Sawdy, The Siemon Co  
Ralf-Peter Braun, DT  
Raj Ojha, Gigfire Micro  
Rick Pimpinella, Panduit  
John Petrilla, Avago  
Brian Misek, Avago  
Ryan Latchman, Gennum  
Steffen Hagene, Tyco  
Hidenori Takahashi, KDDI Labs  
Farzin Firoozmand, SMI  
Farhad Shafai, Sarance  
Hirotake Iwadate, Sumitomo  
Siddharth Sheth, Netlogic  
Paul Vanderlaan, Nexans  
Chris DiMinico, Leoni  
Charles Cook, Qwest  
Sashisekaran Thiagarajan, Ciena  
Shawn Searler, AMD  
Adam Healey, LSI  
Ali Ghiasi, Broadcom  
Rick Rabinovich, Alcatel-Lucent  
Phil McClay, Zarlink  
Hidehiro Toyoda, Hitachi  
Hiroshi Hamano, Fujitsu  
Song Wu, Xilinx  
Larry Green, Ixia

Ishwar Hosagrahar, Texas Instruments  
Andre Szczepanek, HSZ / Inphi  
Ron Nordin, Panduit  
Jiang Ziaofeng, Nokia  
Frank Chang, Vitesse  
Scott Kipp, Brocade  
Mike Dudek, Qlogic  
Peter Rautenberg, Nexans  
John McDonough, NEC  
Rita Horner, Avago  
Gary Nicholl, Cisco  
Tom Palkert, Xilinx / Luxtera  
Myles Kimmitt, Emulex  
Wael Diab, Broadcom  
David Law, 3COM  
Hyungsoo Shin, LS Cable

Meeting convened at 2:06pm, Wed, Nov 18  
Chair went over patent policy. Call for patents made. No one came forward.

Chair presented proposed draft response for ad hoc discussion (see dambrosia\_OIF\_01b\_1109.pdf. from previous ad hoc discussion)

Meeting break at 3:03pm  
Reconvened at 3:30pm

Proposed draft response updated per ad hoc discussion– see dambrosia\_OIF\_01c\_1109.pdf

Presentation: nicholl\_OIF\_01.pdf  
Title: CEI25/CEI-28 Projects Ad Hoc: Some Initial Thoughts for Discussion  
Presenter: Gary Nicholl (Cisco)  
Some more detail is needed in some of the figures, but the presentation resulted in good discussion.

Presentation: szczepanek\_OIF\_01\_1109.pdf  
Title: CEI-28G-SR Preliminary Channel Analysis  
Presenter: Andre Szczepanek (HSZ/Inphi)

Presentation: palkert\_OIF\_01\_1109.pdf  
Title: 25G I/O for Ethernet  
Presenter: Tom Palkert (Luxtera)  
Some points from the presentation were already covered in the discussion of nicholl\_OIF\_01.pdf. There was discussion about the Ethernet required signaling rate (one quarter of 103.125 Gb/s) vs. the 28G specification of OIF. The OIF specification takes

into account the OTU4 application. It was pointed out that the ITU-T SG15 liaison statement described use of the 100GBASE-LR4/ER4 modules for OTU4 client applications running at a slightly higher bit-rate, which brings it closer to the OIF 28G per lane assumption. For the optical budget, the OTU4 includes an RS-8 FEC. Part of the coding gain of this FEC is consumed by the optical difference in bit-rate between Ethernet and OTU4.

An informal poll was taken as to whether there was interest to continue discussions of 25G signaling, and numerous participants raised their hands. The chair encouraged people to get their comments in so that they could be taken into account in planning for the Feb 1 workshop.

Ad hoc adjourned at 4:50pm.