

# IEEE 802.3 P802.3bg Task Force November 2010 Plenary, Dallas, TX

Wael William Diab  
Vice-Chair, IEEE 802.3 Working Group  
[wdiab@broadcom.com](mailto:wdiab@broadcom.com)

# Agenda

---

- Deltas: WG Ballot and SASB Ballot
- Discussion regarding schedule
- Parting Thoughts

# Deltas: Process

---

- Requirements are fairly similar for a ballot
- Thresholds are different
  - Participation rate
    - WG requires  $> 50\%$
    - SASB requires  $\geq 75\%$
- Other thresholds are similar
  - Abstention  $< 30\%$
  - Approval  $\geq 75\%$

# Deltas: Commenting

---

- Commenting process
  - WG: Use of comment tool provided
  - SASB: Cannot use tool. There are 2 options
    - Manual entry, one comment at a time through IEEE-SA MyBallot web interface
    - Use of Excel spreadsheet formatted for SASB comments
      - Maybe uploaded in one shot to MyBallot
- Type of comments and responses
  - SASB allows for G, T and E vs. just T and E in the comment tool
  - Responses are transparent and mapped for you
- What is a “Must be satisfied”
  - Equivalent to an “R” comment in that it is associated with a disapprove ballot. i.e. Can't submit a MBS unless you have voted Disapprove
- Responding to comments
  - Access database provided to Task Force maps the “802.3 style responses” to the IEEE SASB



# Scheduling Discussion

# Parting Thoughts

---

One guaranteed way to complete the balloting process *once you meet the closing requirements* is

**STOP**

**MAKING**

**CHANGES**