

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P 17 L 39 # 1 [REDACTED]
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

The Definitions section is 27 pages long. Although it is finely subdivided, the subheadings do not appear in the bookmarks, so it is hard to navigate quickly to a particular definition.

SuggestedRemedy

Please introduce bookmarked subheadings e.g. 1 to 9, A to E, F to O, P to Z. The current subheadings can become fourth-level non-bookmarked subheadings.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

There was no agreement that this change improves the document.

Cl 01 SC 1.5 P 45 L 13 # 2 [REDACTED]
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

The Abbreviations section is 5 pages long with no subdivisions. It is hard to navigate quickly to a particular abbreviation.

SuggestedRemedy

Please consider introducing bookmarked subheadings e.g. 1 to L, M to Z.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

There was no agreement that this change improves the document.

Cl 01 SC 1 P 1 L # 3 [REDACTED]
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A

pdf page number does not match printed page number.

SuggestedRemedy

Please make the pdf page numbers match the printed page numbers (or vice versa, for a draft).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 16 L 8 # 4 [REDACTED]
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Some SDOs are now making their standards freely available at stable URLs. ITU-T is a good example: the URL for G.650.1 is <http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-G.650.1/en> and remains so even if the standard is revised.

SuggestedRemedy

Please consider using web links to these stable URLs in the list of references.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The large amount of work required to implement the change, and let alone maintain it once changed, is not worth the small resulting benefit.

Cl 01 SC 1.1 P 1 L # 5 [REDACTED]
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"and in 4.4.2.": not a clickable link.

SuggestedRemedy

Make 4.4.2 a link.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.1.4 P 6 L 17 # 6 [REDACTED]
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Global link change

Cross-reference doesn't work.

SuggestedRemedy

Please ensure that the cross-references between sections work. If that is not feasible, produce a pdf with the whole standard in one section.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Make cross-references between sections work provided the section files are not re-named and all reside in the same directory.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 9 L 37 # 7
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Standards reference change

This reference: ANSI/EIA/TIA-455-127-1991, FOTP-127-Spectral Characterization of Multimode Laser Diodes is very old. There is now TIA-455-127-A FOTP-127-A Basic Spectral Characterization of Laser Diodes Publication Date: Nov 1, 2006 (note no ANSI - and is this the same content or not?). But there is an even newer, and international, IEC 61280-1-3 ed2.0 Fibre optic communication subsystem test procedures - Part 1-3: General communication subsystems - Central wavelength and spectral width measurement, Publication date 2010-03-18 http://webstore.iec.ch/Webstore/webstore.nsf/Artnum_PK/43879 1.3 Normative references also lists IEC 61280-1-3:1998.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider if the references to ANSI/EIA/TIA-455-127-1991, FOTP-127 and the references to IEC 61280-1-3:1998 should be updated to IEC 61280-1-3 ed2.0. If so, remove ANSI/EIA/TIA-455-127-1991, FOTP-127 from the list of normative references but consider adding TIA-455-127-A FOTP-127-A to the bibliography. Update 1.4.350 RMS spectral width. Consider doing the same for other old or non-international references, unless used by the non-maintained clauses or where we refer to an old version for a reason.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The historical references are appropriate in this case, and there is no consensus to make this change.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 14 L 41 # 8
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status A FC

Is INCITS-TR-25:1999-Fibre Channel Methodologies for Jitter Specification still in force? Where is it referenced in 802.3? I found "NCITS TR-25:1999, "Methodology of Jitter Specification"." in a NOTE in 48A.4.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider if this should be removed, moved to the bibliography and/or replaced by FC-MJSQ or FC-MSQS. Use the same name each time.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Move to Annex A. Insert a reference to this new entry in locations where it is currently used.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 11 L 22 # 9
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Par 1-48

SuggestedRemedy

Part 1-48? Also change dispersions to dispersion

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Clause Editor to change "dispersions" to "dispersion" and "Par 1- 48" to "Part 1-48".

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 12 L 21 # 10
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status R Standards reference change

IEC 61076-3-113 not found at IEC webstore (not even Replaced / Withdrawn), although it's available from BSI (Expiry Date31 July 2004).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a valid current reference, perhaps an SFF one.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Although the commenter is correct, we do not have a reference to change it to. The commenter is invited to produce the right reference.

Deleted from Programme of work according to decision taken at Berlin meeting 2006-09-22 (see 48B/1732/RM). It is not clear what reference to replace this with and/or if any portion of the document that relies on this reference would need to be changed.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 01 SC 1.1.3 P3 L1 # 11
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status R Reference Feature

While the informative references e.g. [B22] are clickable, the more numerous and important normative references are not.

SuggestedRemedy

Please set up the Frame template so that normative references clickable: e.g. clicking on "ISO/IEC 7498-1:1994" here would take one to the entry for ISO/IEC 7498-1:1994 in 1.3 Normative references. As the standard is revised or extended, use clickable references within new or changed material.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This seems like a lot of work for little value that also introduces a significant maintenance burden.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P17 L20 # 12
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type TR Comment Status A al Standard reference change

These two TIA references were included in 802.3ae and 802.3ba respectively because the equivalent international references were not available in time. Now they are. See text at line 30:

"NOTE--Local and national standards such as those supported by ANSI, EIA, MIL, NFPA, and UL are not a formal part of this standard except where no international standard equivalent exists."

SuggestedRemedy

Change TIA-492AAAC-2002; Detail Specification for 850-nm Laser-Optimized, 50-um core diameter/125-um cladding diameter class Ia graded-index multimode optical fibers. and TIA-492AAAD, Detail Specification for 850-nm Laser-Optimized, 50-um core diameter/125-um cladding diameter class Ia graded-index multimode optical fibers suitable for manufacturing OM4 cabled optical fiber. to the appropriate IEC reference. Note that the IEC document contains several fibre types in one document, so be careful to name the fibre type when updating the places that use these references. For preference, give both "A1a.1" and "OM2" style names, perhaps using a correspondence table.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete the references to TIA-492AAAC and TIA-492AAAD

See response to comment #45

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P17 L54 # 13
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Blue text but no link. Anyway, outsourcing our meanings to an expensive "closed book" that might contradict us would be bad. WE should say what we mean, using English words and specific references if necessary.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete mention of IEEE Standards Dictionary: Glossary of Terms & Definitions.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This reference is routinely included in IEEE standards.

Cl 30 SC 30.6.1.1.8 P413 L45 # 14
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Is the full stop after INCITS acceptable?

SuggestedRemedy

Remove it?

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 30A SC 30A P701 L8 # 15
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

This says "NOTE—The GDMO specification was moved to IEEE Std 802.3.1-2011."

SuggestedRemedy

So, add IEEE Std 802.3.1-2011 to the list of references, and explain in 1.1 and 30.1 how it fits in.

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Will add a reference to Clause 1. If the commenter would like to see intro text, he is invited to propose some for the BRC to consider.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 30A **SC 30A** **P 703** **L 8** # **16**

Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type **ER** **Comment Status** **A**

This says "NOTE—The SNMP for Link Aggregation specification was moved to IEEE Std 802.1AX-2008."

SuggestedRemedy
So, add IEEE Std 802.1AX-2008 to the list of references, and explain in 1.1 and 30.1 how it fits in.

Response **Response Status** **U**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Will add a reference to the Annex A (references to 802.1AX are non-normative). If the commenter would like to see intro text, he is invited to propose some for the BRC to consider.

Cl 31 **SC 31.4.1** **P 476** **L 26** # **17**

Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type **ER** **Comment Status** **A** *Figure Fonts*

Font too small in Figure 28–19. Minimum per style guide is 8 point, this is 6 point. There is plenty of room to do it right.

SuggestedRemedy
Change the 6 point text to 8 point, adjust layout if necessary.

Response **Response Status** **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Make changes to Figure 31-3, space permitting.

Cl 31 **SC 31.3.2.4** **P 476** **L 9** # **18**

Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A**

Not a link

SuggestedRemedy
Make "Annex 31A" a link.

Response **Response Status** **C**

ACCEPT.

Cl 31A **SC 31A** **P 705** **L 16** # **19**

Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A**

Cross-references in Table 31A-1 don't work.

SuggestedRemedy
Please fix.

Response **Response Status** **C**

ACCEPT.

Cl 31D **SC 31D** **P 724** **L 6** # **20**

Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A**

PFC? What?

SuggestedRemedy
Spell it out in full at least once in this annex.

Response **Response Status** **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"The PFC operation is used ... " to be changed to "The Priority-based Flow Control (PFC) operation is used ..." in line 11

Cl 31D **SC 31-3** **P 729** **L 3** # **21**

Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type **ER** **Comment Status** **A** *Figure Fonts*

Font too small in Figure 31D-3. Minimum per style guide is 8 point, this is a mixture of 7 and 8 point.

SuggestedRemedy
Change the 7 point text to 8 point.

Response **Response Status** **C**

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.8 P 626 L 3 # 22
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 This is not all new text, some of it comes from 802.3at.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Show the change correctly so we can vote on it appropriately next time.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See #382.

Cl 34 SC 34 P 1 L 27 # 23
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type E Comment Status R
 "Introduction to 1000 Mb/s baseband network": they aren't all baseband, some are optical (around 2.10^{14} Hz). A singular "network" seems odd. Compare "80. Introduction to 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s networks". This point applies to Clause 44 also.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Please delete baseband and change network to networks, here and at Clause 44.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 The port type is BASE and this clause title has been stable for a long time

Cl 36 SC 36.2.4.13 P 51 L 39 # 24
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Why the backslashes?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use forward slashes /L/ as elsewhere.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 36 SC 36.3.5.2 P 80 L 44 # 25
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 ac
 SuggestedRemedy
 AC Also for next table.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 38 SC 38 P 115 L 1 # 26
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type T Comment Status R
 An optical fibre is not a baseband medium. It works at very high frequencies. It doesn't even form a waveguide if the frequency is too low (wavelength too long). Compare clause titles for optical PMDs in EFM and 40/100GE.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete "baseband" here and consequently in PICS.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 The port type is BASE and this clause title has been stable for a long time

Cl 38 SC 38.6.11 P 127 L 42 # 27
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type T Comment Status A
 This says $VECP = 10.\log(AO/AN)$
 SuggestedRemedy
 Shouldn't it be $10 \log_{10}(AN/AO)$? And please give the base of the log.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Make the order (AN/A0). Delete the dot in the equation.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 38 SC 38.11.1 P 131 L 26 # 28
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Updating reference to IEC 60793-2, which is too broad anyway.
 The dispersion limits have changed slightly for 50 um MMF and I think for SMF. Both old and new limits are allowable, and this must be made clear.
 I don't think SMF is called "10/125" any more.
 The "type A1a" naming is not memorable. It might help to give the "OM2" style names as well.

SuggestedRemedy

List old and new dispersion limits.
 Use dated old and new references to IEC 60793-2-10 and IEC 60793-2-50.
 Update the name of SMF.
 Add rows to Table 38-12 with A1a and OM2 style fibre names.
 Do similar in Clause 52.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

The key fiber parameters are called in the table and not from the references.

Cl 38 SC 38.11.2.2 P 132 L 24 # 29
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status R

"The return loss for multimode connections shall be greater than 20 dB" is not as clear as it should be. I think it should specify the return loss of each connection. Maybe there should be an additional specification for the return loss of an appropriately terminated channel.

SuggestedRemedy

One remedy would be to copy the wording of 52.14.2.2.
 Change
 38.11.2.2 Connection return loss
 The return loss for multimode connections shall be greater than 20 dB.
 The return loss for single-mode connections shall be greater than 26 dB.
 to
 38.11.2.2 Maximum discrete reflectance
 The maximum discrete reflectance for 10GBASE-S shall be less than -20 dB.
 The maximum discrete reflectance for 10GBASE-L and 10GBASE-E shall be less than -26 dB.
 Update the PICS LI2 and LI3.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

There is no consensus that the proposed remedy improves the clarity of the exiting text.

Cl 38 SC 38.6.4 P 124 L 28 # 30
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status A FC-PH

If FC-PH has been withdrawn, we could refer to a later document in the FC series or we could refer to 52.9.6, adding here an equation for RIN (as opposed to RIN_OMA).

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change reference to ANSI X3.230-1994 (FC-PH) to ANSI/INCITS 450-2009 (FC-PI-4), conditional on confirmation with the FC expert.

Cl 40 SC 40.8.3.3 P 253 L 22 # 31
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status A MR 1203

I don't think "total common-mode output voltage" can sensibly be measured at a single frequency as stated here. I presume the peak-to-peak is in the time domain, not the peak of a spectrum analyser sweep?

SuggestedRemedy

I think this should say something like "less than 50 mV peak-to-peak after a 1 MHz high-pass filter and a 100 MHz low-pass filter, when transmitting data". Defining the filter type would be advisable, e.g. "50 mV peak after a 1 MHz first-order high-pass filter...".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the text "shall be less than 50 mV peak-to-peak when transmitting data. The frequency of the measurement shall be from 1 MHz to 100 MHz" to read "shall be less than 50 mV peak-to-peak when transmitting data at frequencies above 1 MHz"

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 44 SC 44.1 P 1 L 38 # 32
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status R

The first sentence "10 Gigabit Ethernet extends the IEEE 802.3 MAC beyond 1000 Mb/s to 10 Gb/s." is obsolete advertising material. The second "The bit rate is faster and the bit times are shorter-both in proportion to the change in bandwidth." misuses bandwidth, an analog quantity measured in hertz. The third sentence "The minimum packet transmission time has been reduced by a factor of ten." is an obsolete copy from an older clause. It was true when rates were low and links were never long. For 10G, the time of flight (up to 200 us) can vastly exceed the spooling time of a frame (up to 1.6 us), so it's misleading and wrong. We deleted equivalent sentences in D1.0 of 802.3ba. We should correct this too.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete these three sentences. As "A rate control mode (see 4.2.3.2.2) is added to the MAC to adapt" is an out-of-date way of putting it (this isn't an amendment that adds, this is the base standard now), change "is added to" to "is included in", or change to "A rate control mode of the MAC (see 4.2.3.2.2) adapts". Consider combining the text that remains into fewer paragraphs.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This text is not incorrect and this style of text also exists in other rate introduction clauses

Cl 44A SC 44A P 661 L 1 # 33
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Although Annex 44A contains useful material it is not referred to from the relevant places in Section 4.

SuggestedRemedy

Remedy to follow.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The commenter has not proposed any change to the draft.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.73 P 73 L 51 # 34
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A

8db

SuggestedRemedy

8 dB Make the change 4 times.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See also comment #387

Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 213 L 36 # 35
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Blue text.

SuggestedRemedy

Nice clickable link. Text can be black now.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change text colour to black

Cl 48 SC 48.1.6 P 290 L 13 # 36
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Font too small in Figure 48-2. Minimum per style guide is 8 point, this is a mixture of 7 and 7.5 point. There is plenty of room to do it right.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the small text to 8 point.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 48 SC 48.2.6.2.4 P 315 L 1 # 37
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Font too small in Figure 48-9. Minimum per style guide is 8 point, this is mainly 7 point.
 There is plenty of room to do it right.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change to 8 point.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 48 SC 48.2.6.2.4 P 315 L 1 # 38
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 What is the unlabelled arrow coming from top right?

SuggestedRemedy
 Define or remove.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Remove unlabelled arrow
 Also, clean up the exit from LPI

Cl 48 SC 48.2.6.2.5 P 316 L 5 # 39
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Font too small in Figure 48-10. "reset" is in 7 point.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change to 8 point.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 48A SC 48A.1 P 673 L 20 # 40
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 Misuse of bit time, which specifically refers to MAC bits (see 1.4.110 and 1.4.406). The bits here are not the same.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "bit time" to "unit interval" or "UI" throughout 48A.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Since unit interval is defined in 1.4, change the first instance from:
 "a duration of 1 bit time" to:
 "a duration of 1 unit interval (UI)"

Change the other instances to UI

Cl 49 SC 49.2.13.3 P 355 L 2 # 41
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type TR Comment Status A
 This state diagram requires a definition of rx_block_lock to be usable. Yet 49.2.13.2.2 Variables says:
 The following variables are used only for the EEE capability:
 ...
 rx_block_lock
 Variable used by the lock state diagram to reflect the status of the code-group delineation. This variable is set TRUE when the receiver acquires block delineation.
 So, EEE has broken the non-EEE PCS. It has made a state diagram rely on a variable it says is not used.

SuggestedRemedy
 Mend it!

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Move the rx_block_lock definition to be above the "The following variables are used only for the EEE capability:" statement in 49.2.13.2.2
 Also, in 49.2.9 change:
 "Otherwise the relationship between block_lock and rx_block_lock is given by Figure 49-15." to refer to the LPI Receive state diagram (Figure 49-17 in D2.0)

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 49 SC 49.1.6 P 331 L 22 # 42
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Font too small in Figure 48-9. Minimum per style guide is 8 point, this is 7 and 7.5 point.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to 8 point.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[Editor's Note: This comment refers to Figure 49-4]

Cl 50 SC 50.3.8.3 P 385 L 11 # 43
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Font too small in Figure 48-9. Minimum per style guide is 8 point, this is 7 and 6 point. There is plenty of room to do it right.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to 8 point.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[Editor's Note: This comment refers to Figure 50-12]

Cl 51 SC 51.3.3 P 405 L 44 # 44
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status A

As the bits in the PMA are line-coded, not MAC bits,

SuggestedRemedy

change "bit times" to "unit intervals".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 52 SC 52.14.1 P 456 L 26 # 45
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Now that IEC 60793-2-10 ed.4 is published, we should not include TIA-492AAAD in the normative spec. That's the policy: international standards only unless there isn't a suitable one available, "NOTE--Local and national standards such as those supported by ANSI, EIA, MIL, NFPA, and UL are not a formal part of this standard except where no international standard equivalent exists."

In general, we refer to IEC 60793-2-10 without a date or edition number, except in the table of references and two cases which I think are in error.

Also, as IEC 60793-2-10 contains many things, and doesn't mention OM4 by that name (at least in the table of contents), we need to mention type A1a.3 so the reader can find the right spec.

Also, there have been minor changes in chromatic dispersion limits, for 50 um MMF and I believe for SMF. The newer limits provide slightly better performance but one case is formally outside the previous limits. We do not want to make existing serviceable fibre non-compliant, so we need to keep the old limits (as 802.3 does for twisted pair copper) as well as introduce the new ones.

SuggestedRemedy

So, please change

Effective modal bandwidth for fiber meeting TIA/EIA-492AAAC-2002 when used with sources meeting the wavelength (range) and encircled flux specifications of Table 52-7. to

Effective modal bandwidth for OM4 fibers are specified for type A1a.3 in IEC 60793-2-10. Add IEC 60793-2-10 (2011) to 1.3 Normative references, or replace IEC 60793-2-10 (2004). Give the old and new chromatic dispersion parameters for 50 um MMF and SMF, and say that either old or new is compliant.

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This note is for OM3 fibre.

Change:

"Effective modal bandwidth for fiber meeting TIA/EIA-492AAAC-2002 when used with sources meeting the wavelength (range) and encircled flux specifications of Table 52-7." to:

"Effective modal bandwidth for fiber meeting IEC 60793-2-10 Type A1a.2 when used with sources meeting the wavelength (range) and encircled flux specifications of Table 52-7."

Replace IEC 60793-2-10 (2004) with IEC 60793-2-10 (2011) in 1.3 Normative references.

See also comments #12, #106, #109, #108

A vote of the BRC was taken on whether to accept this proposed response:

Yes 15

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

No 1
Abstain 3

CI 52 SC 52.5.1 P 428 L 29 # 46

Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Compare this mask with the SRn mask:

X1, X2, X3, Y1, Y2, Y3

SR 0.25, 0.40, 0.45, 0.25, 0.28, 0.40

SRn 0.23, 0.34, 0.43, 0.27, 0.35, 0.4

The SRn mask, which was designed a long time later with more knowledge, is longer and lower, although there is more jitter in nPPI than SFI, and less fibre (100 m vs. 300 m) in SRn. This implies that the SR mask should be at least as long. This comment takes the effect of hit ratio mask definition into account.

SuggestedRemedy

For the 10GBASE-S mask, reduce X1 to 0.23. Consider increasing Y1 and Y2 (reducing the height of the central polygon).

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Commenter has not provided sufficient technical justification for any change to the long established 10GBASE-S mask coordinates to be made.

CI 52 SC 52.5.1 P 428 L 29 # 47

Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status R

I strongly suspect that the LR mask can be more demanding than TDP, which was not the intention in 802.3ae. Moving to hit ratio mask definition will take out much of the poor reproducibility, but may not fix the problem.

SuggestedRemedy

If the problem remains, increase the 10GBASE-L mask coordinates Y1 and Y2 towards 0.30, 0.33 (reducing the height of the central polygon).

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Commenter has not provided sufficient technical justification for any change to the long established 10GBASE-L mask coordinates to be made.

CI 52 SC 52.9.10.3 P 451 L 22 # 48

Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Why did we choose this way of timing extraction:

"For all transmitter and dispersion penalty measurements, determination of the center of the eye is required.

Center of the eye is defined as the time halfway between the left and right sampling points within the eye where the measured BER is greater than or equal to 1×10^{-3} ."

Does it represent what test equipment or a product receiver actually does?

SuggestedRemedy

Consider if a definition based on mean crossing times would be more practical and a better predictor of performance in service.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The commenter has not provided sufficient technical justification for any change to the long established definition of the center of the eye to be made.

CI 52 SC 52 P 421 L 1 # 49

Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status R

An optical fibre is not a baseband medium. It works at very high frequencies. It doesn't even form a waveguide if the frequency is too low (wavelength too long). Compare newer clause titles for optical PMDs.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "baseband" here and consequently in PICS.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The port type is BASE and this clause title has been stable for a long time

CI 55 SC 55.1.3 P 537 L 4 # 50

Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Figure is incomplete.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See also comment #327

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 55 SC 55.4.2.5.14 P 598 L 27 # 51
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type T Comment Status A
 What does timing_lock_OK=0/1 mean? 0/1 is a fraction I can calculate: it's 0. If it means 0 or 1, then the entry 420 ms doesn't make sense.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Explain what you mean another way.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See response to comment #183

CI 55 SC 55.4.6.2 P 609 L 3 # 52
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Font too small in Figure 55-26. Minimum per style guide is 8 point, this is mainly 7.5 point.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to 8 point.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 This figure is not in editable form in the current draft, so it will have to be re-drawn with the potential for errors to be introduced.

CI 55 SC 55.5.2.1 P 616 L 5 # 53
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Font too small in Figure 55-30. Minimum per style guide is 8 point, this is mainly 7 point.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to 8 point.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 This figure is not in editable form in the current draft, so it will have to be re-drawn with the potential for errors to be introduced.

CI 55 SC 55.12.4 P 653 L # 54
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 4dB
 SuggestedRemedy
 4 dB
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #287

CI 58 SC 58.3.2 P 70 L 37 # 55
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type T Comment Status A
 Document uses a mixture or two words for the same thing: reflectance and reflectivity. Reflectance dominates, in Section 5.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change reflectivity to reflectance, 8 times.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 64 SC 64.3.3 P 274 L 28 # 56
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A BULK
 Font too small in Figure 64-16 and 64-17. Minimum per style guide is 8 point, this goes as small as 6 point!
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to 8 point.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 68 SC 68.5 P 358 L 53 # 57
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status R

As we have added OM4 to other PMD clauses:

SuggestedRemedy

Add OM4 to Table 68-2. Operating range will be 220 m or a little better.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.
 Too little information to fill in the table and no specific justification to add the information.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 17 L 14 # 58
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status A *al Standard reference change*

SFF-8436, Rev 3.4, Nov. 12, 2009-Specification for QSFP+ Copper And Optical Modules.
 SFF-8642, Rev 2.4, Nov. 16, 2009-Specification for Mini Multilane Series: Shielded Integrated Connector.

SuggestedRemedy

SFF-8436, Rev 4.1, Aug 24, 2011 Specification for QSFP+ 10 Gbs 4X Pluggable Transceiver
 SFF-8642, Rev 2.7, February 26, 2010 Specification for Mini Multilane 12 Gbs 12X Shielded Connector, has been replaced by an EIA specification.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

SFF-8436, Rev 4.1, Aug 24, 2011 Specification for QSFP+ 10 Gbs 4X Pluggable Transceiver
 SFF-8642, Rev 2.7, February 26, 2010 Specification for Mini Multilane 12 Gbs 12X Shielded Connector.

Cl 01 SC 1.1.3 P 3 L 4 # 59
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status R MR 1198

Maintenance request 1198 quotes the IEEE Standards Style Manual:

"All capital letters or mixed uppercase and lowercase letters may be used, depending on the amount of text, as long as the presentation is consistent throughout the document." but contradicts that with an assertion that "Figures should use all CAPS for text that is in reference to sublayer or interface." Consistent throughout the document means what it says, not consistent except when we feel like disobeying the rule. It seems that back in the day, the first clauses of 802.3 were written with the ALL CAPS style of figures. Along the way, it has changed except for some figures that get copied from project to project. The huge majority of figures are mixed case now, there is no turning back. So, to be consistent, we should fix the minority. As to layer diagrams: look at ISO/IEC 7498-1 Figure 11. The layers are Proper Nouns but not ALL-CAPITAL items like states in a state machine. Words like "optional" aren't even proper nouns. In a mixed-case-figures document like this one, the same rules apply in figures as elsewhere.

SuggestedRemedy

Bring Figure 1-1 in line with the mixed-case presentation of 802.3. Plan to correct the other diagrams in the maintained clauses at some stage. Luckily, the vocabulary in these diagrams is very restricted, so that a search for e.g. MAC CONTROL (in caps) will bring an editor very quickly to the other instances that need changing.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The topic of capitalisation in Layer Diagrams was discussed in the Maintenance meeting in September 2008 in connection with Maintenance request 1198. This resulted in guidelines being placed on the 802.3 Tools web page (http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html) which includes: Layer diagram guidelines
 1) All capitals will be used in these diagrams - the only exception will be text in brackets such as '(Optional)'

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 14 SC 14.1.1.3 P 322 L 40 # 60
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type E Comment Status R
 media that exceeds
 SuggestedRemedy
 media that exceed
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.
 It is the specification that exceeds the requirements and this is singular, so "exceeds" is correct.

Cl 14 SC 14.3.1.2.5 P 342 L 46 # 61
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type T Comment Status A MR 1202
 I don't think "total common-mode output voltage" can sensibly be measured at a single frequency as stated here.
 SuggestedRemedy
 I think this should say something like "50 mV peak after a 1 MHz high-pass filter". Defining the filter type would be advisable, e.g. "50 mV peak after a 1 MHz first-order high-pass filter". There should be an upper measurement limit also.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change the text "shall be less than 50 mV peak. The frequency of the measurement shall be above 1 MHz" to read "shall be less than 50 mV peak at frequencies above 1 MHz"

Cl 15 SC 15.3.1.1 P 386 L 34 # 62
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 This sentence is garbled: "This standard was developed on the basis of cabled optical fiber an attenuation value ..."
 SuggestedRemedy
 Should this be "on the basis of a cabled optical fiber attenuation value"?
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 OBE #193

Cl 99 SC 21 P 1 L # 63
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type E Comment Status A Pub
 Page numbers are re-used in the different sections while clause numbers are not.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Although the numbers might get large, please consider having unique page numbers: either by continuing the numbers of the Arabic-numbered pages or (assuming we have less than 1000 pages per section), starting from 1001, 2001 and so on for the different sections.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Will discuss with Pub editors. Editor changed clause number from 21 to 99

Cl 28 SC 28.3.4 P 282 L 5 # 64
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A Figure Fonts
 Font too small in Figure 28-16. Minimum per style guide is 8 point, this is 6 point. There is plenty of room to do it right.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the 6 point text to 8 point, adjust layout as necessary.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 28 SC 28.3.4 P 282 L 5 # 65
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A Figure Fonts
 Font too small in Figure 28-17. Minimum per style guide is 8 point, this is 6 point. There enough room to do better.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the 6 point text to 8 point if it fits, failing that 7 point. Adjust layout as necessary.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 So long as the figure stays on one page

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 28 SC 28.3.4 P 282 L 5 # 66
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A Figure Fonts
 Font too small in Figure 28–19. Minimum per style guide is 8 point, this is 6 point. There is plenty of room to do it right.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the 6 point text to 8 point, adjust layout as necessary.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 So long as the figure stays on one page

Cl 28A SC 28A P 687 L 33 # 67
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Table 82A-1 footnote a has disappeared:
 For up-to-date information on the allocation of Auto-Negotiation Selector Fields see <http://www.ieee802.org/3/selectors/selectors.html>
 SuggestedRemedy
 Please reinstate it.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement commenter remedy. Note that commenter means Table 28A and not 82A

Cl 30 SC 30.3.1.1.3 P 347 L 38 # 68
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 nonresetable: presumably something to do with silk (seta)? This was spelled correctly in the earlier editions.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Revert to correct spelling.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P 315 L 37 # 69
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type E Comment Status A Editor Note
 This isn't an insertion, it's a change.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Correct the editor's note and any similar instances.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 The Editor's note is intended as additional information for the balloter. It will not be part of the standard. Nevertheless, your comment will be considered on the next draft

Cl 30 SC 30.2.3 P 322 L 4 # 70
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Subclause references should be clickable links, as on next page.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Per comment. Also change green text to black, serif font to Arial.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.5 P 328 L 20 # 71
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A MR 1233
 Far too many crosses for Energy-Efficient Ethernet in Table 30-1b. There should be just six.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove all the blue crosses except
 aTransmitLPIMicroseconds
 aReceiveLPIMicroseconds
 aTransmitLPITransitions
 aReceiveLPITransitions
 aLDFastRetrainCount
 aLPFastRetrainCount
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See #181

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 30 SC 30.2.5 P 325 L 41 # 72
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Text says "For LLDP management, the LLDP Basic Package is mandatory." and Table 30-7 says LLDP Basic Package (mandatory). I don't think management is like MDIO or I2C where there are reserved register addresses that are zero whether an implementation knows what they will be used for or even whether they will be used. As far as I know, LLDP is not a requirement of 802.3 so its management package can't be mandatory either.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "For LLDP management, the LLDP Basic Package is mandatory." to "The LLDP Basic Package is optional." and show it as optional in the table.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

There are requirements where LLDP is mandatory. The text is correct. There are other instances where the term "mandatory" is used for other management packages that are mandated when an option is supported.

Cl 69B SC 69B.4.2 P 779 L 24 # 73
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial; BULK

NO NEED TO SHOUT

SuggestedRemedy

Per style manual, change HIGH CONFIDENCE REGION to High confidence region seven times.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 70 SC 70.2.2 P 394 L 31 # 74
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status A

lower power mode or low power mode (as in the maintenance request)?

SuggestedRemedy

?

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 [Changed to "T"]
 "low power mode" per original maintenance request.

Cl 70 SC 70 P 393 L 1 # 75
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Editorial; BULK

Gratuitous capitals

SuggestedRemedy

Change Physical Medium Dependent Sublayer and Baseband Medium, Type 1000BASE-KX to Physical Medium Dependent sublayer and baseband medium, type 1000BASE-KX and similarly for 71. Physical Medium Dependent Sublayer and Baseband Medium, Type 10GBASE-KX4 and 72. Physical Medium Dependent Sublayer and Baseband Medium, Type 10GBASE-KR

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 71 SC 71.7.1.5 P 420 L 2 # 76
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial

We should be working to replace the few bitmap figures: they cause large file size and contents can't be searched for.

SuggestedRemedy

Graphs like these can be redrawn giving the clearer graphs as in 40/100GE. There are three or four easy ones in the document.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The only change to be done is to make sure that caption for Figure 71-4 is not cut into half

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 72 SC 72.7.1.8 P 453 L 39 # 77
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The definition of DCD in 72.7.1.8 is ambiguous by up to a factor of 2 until, after discussing something else, 72.7.1.9 gives the pattern to be used. Remarks about 10¹² can't be applied to this DCD definition.

SuggestedRemedy

Please reorder 72.7.1.8 and 72.7.1.9 so that all the DCD material is together and all the non-DCD jitter material is together.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Reorder 72.7.1.8 and 72.7.1.9, plus fix any references, as needed.

Cl 76 SC 76.3.2.5.2 P 591 L 18 # 78
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status A MR 1218

Maintenance request said SH_CTRL ... 0x01 (binary representation 01)
 Draft says SH_CTRL ... Value: 0x02 (binary representation 10)
 Which is it?

SuggestedRemedy

?

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Maintenance Request 1218 was not rolled in correctly into the draft.

SH_CTRL value should read: "Value: 0x1 (binary representation 01)" with changes per meeting discussion.

Change the value in SH_DATA from 0x02 to 0x2.

Add note under both items with the text: "The binary representation of the sync header in here is different than that in Clause 49. In Clause 49, binary values are shown with the first transmitted bit (the LSB) on the left."

Cl 83 SC 83.5.10 P 147 L 41 # 79
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This sentence:

The checker shall increment the test-pattern error counter by one for each incoming bit error in the PRBS31 pattern (see 49.2.8) for isolated single bit errors.
 Causes confusion. The reference specifies the pattern but it also contains a different error counter.

There are four paragraphs that normatively generate or check PRBS31 and two for PRBS9. Giving the reference for each pattern each time seems unnecessary. the first paragraph says "shall generate a PRBS31 pattern (as defined in 49.2.8) on each of the lanes" which seems enough. The other two paragraphs say e.g. "when send Tx PRBS9 test-pattern mode (see 68.6.1) is enabled" but 68.6.1 does not define a test-pattern mode, a table within it defines PRBS9.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "(see 49.2.8)" here.

Change

If supported, when send Tx PRBS9 test-pattern mode (see 68.6.1) is enabled by the PRBS9_enable and PRBS_Tx_gen_enable control variables, the PMA shall generate a PRBS9 pattern on each lane...

to

If supported, when send Tx PRBS9 test-pattern mode is enabled by the PRBS9_enable and PRBS_Tx_gen_enable control variables, the PMA shall generate a PRBS9 pattern (as defined in Table 68-6) on each lane...

and change

If supported, when send Rx PRBS9 test-pattern mode (see 68.6.1) is enabled by the PRBS9_enable and PRBS_Rx_gen_enable control variables, the PMA shall generate a PRBS9 pattern on each lane...

to

If supported, when send Rx PRBS9 test-pattern mode is enabled by the PRBS9_enable and PRBS_Rx_gen_enable control variables, the PMA shall generate a PRBS9 pattern on each lane...

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 90 SC 90 P 287 L 1 # 80
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Rogue capitals. This phrase in the clause heading isn't a proper noun, although Time Synchronization Service Interface and Time Synchronization Protocol (TimeSync) Client may be. Words don't get capitals just because they are in a heading.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Ethernet Support for Time Synchronization Protocols to Ethernet support for time synchronization protocols

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 99 SC Errata P vi L 50 # 81
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Draft says "Errata, if any, for this and all other standards can be accessed at" an IEEE URL. It's not so. IEEE is not the whole world; there are plenty of other standards, including ones we use, with errata elsewhere. In any case the web site denies it: "Not all of the available IEEE standards errata and or corrections are online, this list should not be considered to be comprehensive."

SuggestedRemedy

Change "all other" to "other IEEE".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Cl 99 SC Errata P vi L 50 # 82
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The text "Errata, if any, for this and all other standards can be accessed at the following URL:", while not the printed link nor coloured blue, is clickable. Only the link should be clickable.

SuggestedRemedy

Please fix.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Cl 99 SC Errata P vi L 51 # 83
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Is <http://standards.ieee.org/reading/ieee/updates/errata/index.html> out of date? It redirects to <http://standards.ieee.org/findstds/errata/index.html>.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider changing the URL.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Cl 99 SC Errata P vi L 51 # 84
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

<http://standards.ieee.org/findstds/errata/index.html> contains for example IEEE Corrections to 802.3ae, issued 2004. This should have been superseded by 802.3-2005 or 802.3-2008.

SuggestedRemedy

Obsolete errata should be identified as such.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Refer to staff.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 99 **SC Interpretations** **P vii** **L** # **85**
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A**

The text "Current interpretations can be accessed at the following URL:" is a link. It should not be.

SuggestedRemedy
 Please fix.

Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Interpretations may be deleted all together as other comments have pointed out the process is going away

Cl 99 **SC Interpretations** **P vii** **L** # **86**
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A**

The link "http://standards.ieee.org/reading/ieee/in-terp/index.html" doesn't work because it has a hyphen in it. In any case, http://standards.ieee.org/reading/ieee/interp/index.html redirects to http://standards.ieee.org/findstds/interps/index.html

SuggestedRemedy
 Please correct the URL.

Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

This may be deleted anyway as other comments have pointed out interpretations are going away

Cl 99 **SC 99** **P iii** **L** # **87**
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A**

Odd numbered pages of front matter don't have line numbers.

SuggestedRemedy
 Please fix.

Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Cl 99 **SC Introduction** **P vi** **L 9** # **88**
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A** **CAPS**

According to the editors' guidelines, physical layer

SuggestedRemedy
 should be Physical Layer, as elsewhere.

Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Cl 99 **SC** **P v** **L** # **89**
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type **ER** **Comment Status** **A**

There are two things at the top level of the bookmarks called "Introduction", possibly next to each other. It makes it hard to know what one is talking about.

SuggestedRemedy
 Please rename one or both of them so they have different names.

Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

One is the name of Clause 1, the other is an introduction in the Frontmatter.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 99 SC Introduction P vi L 10 # 90
Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A
Rogue capitals. This phrase isn't a proper noun, although it's a clause heading and Time Synchronization Service Interface and Time Synchronization Protocol (TimeSync) Client may be proper nouns.

SuggestedRemedy
specifies Ethernet Support for Time Synchronization Protocols should be specified Ethernet support for time synchronization protocols

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Cl 99 SC Historical participants P x L # 91
Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A
Missing space in "22 March 2007(IEEE)"

SuggestedRemedy
Insert space after 2007

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Cl 99 SC Historical participants P vii L # 92
Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A
Layout could be improved.

SuggestedRemedy
Make the table as wide as the text frame.

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Cl 99 SC List of special symbol P xix L # 93
Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status A
This isn't the up-to-date list of special symbols. The version in P8023ba-D32.pdf contains approximately equal to and capital pi.

SuggestedRemedy
Please use the correct version and maintain proper version control.

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The FM special symbols page is the responsibility of the WG Chair. Your comments will be provided to him to enhance the FM.

Cl 99 SC List of special symbol P xix L # 94
Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A
Most of the Greek letters are described by name and case. For consistency,

SuggestedRemedy
change "Lambda" to "Lower case lambda", "Micro" to "Lower case mu", "Omega" to "Capital omega".

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 99 SC 99 P i L # 95
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

The front matter is 19 pages long (before the contents) and contains several sections. Its structure is not very clear.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider numbering these sections 0.1 0.2 and so on. Bookmark some sections. Add the heading "Contents" to the contents.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Will look to restructure to make the FM shorter and more crisp

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Cl 99 SC Historical participants P xv L # 96
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A

I believe Jan P. Peeters Weem and Jan P. Peeters-Weem are the same.

SuggestedRemedy

Use just the one he chooses.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC P vi L 45 # 97
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Some URLs are blue and underlined, some just blue. In 1.3 Normative references several are neither.

SuggestedRemedy

Please underline all web links.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

The Editor changed this comment from 99 to 01 as the commenter is talking about section 1.3

Cl 99 SC ? P iv L # 98
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Text says "Users are cautioned to check to determine that they have the latest edition of any IEEE Standard" yet does not bother to refer the reader to page vi, "Updating of IEEE documents" or "Errata" or "Interpretations".

SuggestedRemedy

Could this be better organised?

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Add a reference to the areas the commenter has indicated

Cl 99 SC 99 P 1 L # 99
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E Comment Status A

If this "IMPORTANT NOTICE" is not repeated in each SECTION, it should appear before "SECTION ONE:". Also, there are disclaimers in at least three different places, e.g. iv, vii and here. They should be brought together.

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Move the Important Notice above the para that starts Section 1.

The other disclaimers part of the FM, which is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl A **SC** **P 516** **L 10** # **100**
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type T **Comment Status A** **FC-PH**
 Has this standard been withdrawn? [B22] ANSI X3.230-1994 (FC-PH), Information Technology—Fibre Channel—Physical and Signaling Interface.

SuggestedRemedy
 If it has, there are replacement documents in the FC series. It's mentioned in 36.3.8 and in 38.6.4 Relative Intensity Noise (RIN) - a normative reference.

Response **Response Status C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change reference to ANSI X3.230-1994 (FC-PH) to ANSI/INCITS 450-2009 (FC-PI-4), conditional on confirmation with the FC expert.

Cl B **SC B.5.2** **P 540** **L 20** # **101**
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E **Comment Status A**
 Start the cell with a capital letter. Layout.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change cabled to Cabled, and make the left column wider to fit its contents.

Response **Response Status C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 86 **SC 86.8.1** **P 232** **L 49** # **102**
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E **Comment Status A**
 Blank line.

SuggestedRemedy
 Remove, and check that the layout of following pages is still OK.

Response **Response Status C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 86 **SC 86.11.2.2** **P 245** **L 38** # **103**
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E **Comment Status A** **PICS**
 Identification of protocol standard IEEE Std 802.3ba-2010

SuggestedRemedy
 Identification of protocol standard IEEE Std 802.3-201x

Also for 86A.

Response **Response Status C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See Response to comment #261

Cl 86 **SC 86.1** **P 221** **L 10** # **104**
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type E **Comment Status A**
 Cross-references to other clauses don't seem to be working. Cross-references to base document not made.

SuggestedRemedy
 Please fix.

Response **Response Status C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 83B **SC 83B.1** **P 317** **L 22** # **105**
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type ER **Comment Status A**
 Text says "Equation (83B-1) for the host and Equation (83B-2) for the module. ... Equation (83B-1) is illustrated in Figure 83B-1 and Equation (83B-2) is illustrated in Figure 83B-1." However, Figure 83B-1 shows the module insertion loss and not the host insertion loss.

SuggestedRemedy
 Add the line for the host insertion loss to Figure 83B-1.

Response **Response Status C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This is an Erratum as these graphs were corrupted between D3.2 and the published version of 802.3ba.
 Show the graphs as Figures 83B-1 and 83B-2 as per D3.2 of 802.3ba

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 86 SC 86.1 P 222 L 43 # 106
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The latest IEC 60793-2-10 includes OM4.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "50/125 um multimode, type A1a.2^a (OM3) or OM4^b" to "50/125 um multimode, type A1a.2 (OM3) or A1a.3 (OM4)^a"

Change

"a Type A1a.2 (OM3) specified in IEC 60793-2-10. See 86.10.2.1.

OM4 specified in TIA-492AAAD. See 86.10.2.1."

to

"a See 86.10.2.1."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"50/125 um multimode, type A1a.2^a (OM3) or OM4^b" to:

"50/125 um multimode, type A1a.2^a (OM3) or A1a.3^b (OM4)"

Also change:

"b OM4 specified in TIA-492AAAD. See 86.10.2.1" to:

"b Type A1a.3 (OM4) specified in IEC 60793-2-10. See 86.10.2.1.

See also comments #12, #45, #109, #108

Cl 86 SC 86.9.2 P 240 L 10 # 107
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

As IEC 60825-1 and IEC 60825-2 evolve, is this still Class 1M or is it now Class 1?

SuggestedRemedy

If Class 1 is now appropriate, change 1M to 1, here and in the PICS.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The technical analysis to determine whether this is now within the Class 1 limit of the latest version of IEC 60825-1 has not been provided.

Cl 86 SC 86.7.4 P 232 L 11 # 108
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The latest IEC 60793-2-10 includes OM4.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete note b. Move the tag for note a to after "850 nm".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See also comments #12, #45, #106, #109

Cl 86 SC 86.10.2.1 P 242 L 18 # 109
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The latest IEC 60793-2-10 includes OM4.

SuggestedRemedy

Change note b to "IEC 60793-2-10 type A1a.3".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See also comments #12, #45, #106, #108

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 83A SC 83A.3.3.1 P 302 L # 110
 Dawe, Piers IPtronics

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

According to the PCI Express Base Specification Revision 3.0,
 De-emphasis = $20\log_{10} V_b/V_a$, where in our terminology V_b is VMA and V_a is differential peak-to-peak amplitude.
 Or, from the same document,
 VTX-DE-RATIO = $-20\log_{10} (VTX-DIFF-PP/VTX-DE-EMPH-PP)$, where in our terminology VTX-DIFF-PP is differential peak-to-peak amplitude and VTX-DE-EMPH-PP is VMA.
 Example: -3.5 dB De-emphasis
 So, it is clear that more negative de-emphasis is more emphasis, in line with what de-emphas means in English.
 But 83A and 83B have got this upside down.

SuggestedRemedy

Either change the sign of all entries for de-emphasis, paying attention to maxima and minima, and equation 83B-6 (about 12 changes in all of Section 6 including consequential changes such as PICS);
 or change "de-emphasis" to "emphasis and keep the positive sign. 24 changes, easy to do.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 De-emphasis is an industry standard term where implementations are de-emphasizing low frequency content.
 This was repeatedly debated during the development of the 802.3ba amendment with no consensus to change from the current usage.
 See Comment #84 against D2.2
http://ieee802.org/3/ba/public/sep09/P8023ba-D22-Final_Responses_byID.pdf
 See Comment #55 against D2.3
http://ieee802.org/3/ba/public/nov09/P8023ba-D23-Final_Responses_byID.pdf
 See Comment #318 against D3.0
http://ieee802.org/3/ba/public/jan10/P8023ba-D30-Final_Responses_byID.pdf

CI 85 SC 85.10.7 P 201 L 40 # 111
 Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Figure 85-12 is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

Copy it from 802.3ba

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The editor changed the clause from 00 to 85.

See also #170

CI 52 SC 52.5 P 427 L 42 # 112
 Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

4700MHz.km fiber (OM4) should be added with a reach of 2 to 400m

SuggestedRemedy

See Matt Traverso presentation.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Modify the draft per changes outlined in traverso_1_0711 in slides 10 to 13 inclusive.
 (http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/traverso_1_0711.pdf).

Adopt the result of comment #45 for the fiber standards referred to in the proposed additional note f of Table 52-25.

A vote of the BRC on whether to accept the proposed response was:
 Yes 16
 No 1
 Abstain 8

CI 33 SC 3.7.8 P 626 L 3 # 113
 Dwelley, David Linear Technology

Comment Type TR Comment Status D PoE: MR 1230

Note: This text was changed by maintenance request 1230.

This change implies a change to state diagram 33-16, since the current state machine does not require a rising-only voltage transition.

It also introduces a risk that existing compliant PSE devices may fail to interoperate with compliant PDs that do not present classification signatures after a falling edge. This could occur if a type 2 PSE includes classification circuitry that overshoots the Vclass range (but does not reach $V_{port_pd}(min)$) and then returns to the Vclass range within the time defined in Table 33-10 ($T_{cle1}(min)$ or $T_{pdc}(min)$). If the PD fails to present a classification signature in this case, the PSE will treat the PD as a Class 0 device and may fail to provide enough power for the PD to operate.

SuggestedRemedy

Submit a suitable change to state diagram 33-16 and demonstrate that this change does not impact interoperability.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 82 SC 82.2.3.3 P 105 L 53 # 114
Ewen, John IBM

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Footnote 6 states that there are 4 unused block type field values that maintain a 4-bit Hamming distance. 0x55 seems to be missing from this list.

SuggestedRemedy

Change footnote 6 to:

The block type field values have been chosen to have a 4-bit Hamming distance between them. There are five unused values that maintain this Hamming distance: 0x00, 0x2D, 0x33, 0x55, and 0x66.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 49 SC 49.2.9 P 342 L 24 # 115
Ewen, John IBM

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This sentence describes the relationship between the variables block_lock and rx_block_lock, and refers to the state diagram in Figure 49-15. However there is no reference to either variable in Figure 49-15.

SuggestedRemedy

Change reference on line 24 from Figure 49-15 to Figure 49-17.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 85 SC 85.8.3 P 182 L 38 # 116
Healey, Adam LSI Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 85-5 defines the limit to "max normalized error (linear fit), e" of 0.037 and refers to 85.8.3.3. However, 85.8.3.3 limits the RMS value of the error to 0.037. The label in Table 85-5 should be updated to reduce the possibility for confusion.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 85-5, change "max normalized error (linear fit)" to "max RMS normalized error (linear fit)".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 85 SC 85.8.3.3.5 P 187 L 10 # 117
Healey, Adam LSI Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Equation (85-5) is incorrect. The last term in the square brackets should be x(D_p) and not x(N-D_p).

SuggestedRemedy

Change the last term in the square brackets to x(D_p).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 85 SC 85.8.3.3.6 P 187 L 51 # 118
Healey, Adam LSI Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Equation (85-10) is incorrect. The last term in the square brackets should be p_i(D_w) and not p_i(N-D_w).

SuggestedRemedy

Change the last term in the square brackets to p_i(D_w).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 85 SC 85.8.3.3 P 185 L 52 # 119
 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The RMS value of the linear fit error, e, is required to be less than 0.037 for each configuration of the transmit equalizer. Linear fit pulse values in the time window of [-D_p, N_p-D_p-1) unit intervals are excluded from the linear fit error calculation. D_p is set to 1 and N_p is set to 7 in Table 85-6.

However, decreasing c(-1) values (negative quantity) yield increasing "pre-shoot" in the linear fit pulse and much of this pre-shoot occurs outside of the exception window i.e. prior to -1 unit intervals. This pre-shoot incorrectly influences the linear fit error measurement because it does not represent an actual link impairment. It is the consequence of over-equalizing the host channel with c(-1) values that were provisioned for the end-to-end channel i.e. two host channels and cabling. Given the 10GBASE-KR start-up protocol is leveraged by 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 to tune the transmit equalizer for best performance, it is unlikely that a receiver will tune the transmitter to over-equalize the channel.

It can be shown that changing D_p to 2 eliminates the influence of pre-shoot even for over-equalized cases. N_p would need to be increased to 8 to avoid changing the upper boundary of the exception window.

SuggestedRemedy

Change D_p to 2 and N_p to 8 in Table 85-6.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.324 P 39 L 4 # 120
 Ganga, Ilango Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

As per 802.3bd, add reference at the end of this definition: "(See IEEE Std 802.1Q.)"

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 4A SC 4A.4.2 P 608 L 7 # 121
 Ganga, Ilango Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

In Note 4, change "lanealignment" to "lane alignment"

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 31B SC 31B.3.7 P 717 L 3 # 122
 Ganga, Ilango Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

In line 2 and line 7: Change "ofpause_time" to "of pause_time" (2 instances)

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 31B SC 31B.4.3 P 719 L 21 # 123
 Ganga, Ilango Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

In last two rows of table
 Change "40Gb/s" to "40 Gb/s"
 Change "100Gb/s" to "100 Gb/s"

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

See #311

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 31B SC 31B.4.6 P 720 L 24 # 124
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Change "of10GBASE-T" to "of 10GBASE-T"
 SuggestedRemedy
 As per comment
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 31D SC 31D.2 P 725 L 6 # 125
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Remove change bar from second paragraph
 Remove change bar from Fig 31-D1
 SuggestedRemedy
 As per comment
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 31D SC 31D.7.1.2 P 730 L 31 # 126
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS
 Change "IEEE Std 802.3bd-200x," to IEEE Std 802.3-201x,
 SuggestedRemedy
 This is a global change required throughout the merged document for all PICS subclauses.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See #261

Cl 31 SC 31.3.1.2 P 475 L 25 # 127
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Fix missing cross reference to "Annex 31A"
 31.3.2.4: line 33: Fix missing cross reference to "Annex 31A"
 SuggestedRemedy
 As per comment
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 73 SC 73.10.1 P 488 L 44 # 128
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type E Comment Status A BULK
 Variable single_link_ready: Delete underline for the inserted lines 4-6
 SuggestedRemedy
 As per comment
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 74 SC 74.5.1 P 510 L 20 # 129
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type E Comment Status A BULK
 Add missing cross reference to Clause 78
 Add missing cross reference to Clause 49
 SuggestedRemedy
 As per comment
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Reference to Clause 78 is in line 20
 Reference to Clause 49 is in line 16

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 74 SC 74.11.5 P 531 L 15 # 130
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type E Comment Status A BULK
 Renumber items in PICs table after merge from 802.3ba.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change FE3a to FE4 and renumber subsequent items in table.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Scrub the remaining draft clauses to make sure no reference to FE3a and Fexxx items are made anywhere.

Cl 80 SC 80.4 P 67 L 23 # 131
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Remove bold line between rows for 40GBASE-FR and LR4 PMDs.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As per comment
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #314

Cl 31B SC 31B.4.6 P 720 L 20 # 132
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Incorrect merge for TIM5:
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "Measurement point for station at 10 Gb/s with PHY types other than 10GBASE-T"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 31D SC 31D.7.4 P 731 L 25 # 133
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Fix references to point to the right subclauses & figures.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Item PSDT: Change missing reference to "Figure 31D-2"
 Item PSDR: Change references to Subclause "31D.6" and "Figure 31D-3".
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 31 SC 31.5.3.4 P 480 L 36 # 134
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Merge is not as per 802.3bd.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "state are opcode-specific (see Annex 31A)."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 74 SC 74.1 P 505 L 13 # 135
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A Than in 74; BULK
 In correct merge from 802.3ba
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "than are defined in Clause 69"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 74 SC 74.4 P 506 L 35 # 136
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A BULK; 802.3ba merge
 Incorrect merge from 802.3ba. Remove change "10GBBASE-R PCS" to "PCS" in the first sentence of this paragraph
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change as per 802.3ba as follows: "An FEC service interface is provided to allow the FEC sublayer to transfer information to and from the PCS."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 74 SC 74.8.4.1 P 524 L 21 # 137
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A BULK; 802.3ba merge
 Fix incorrect reference due to merge from 802.3ba
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "defined in 45.2.1.91 (1.172, 1.173) for single-lane PHYs and 45.2.1.93 (1.300 to 1.339) for multi-lane PHYs."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Reconcile changes to references in Clause 45 with section 4 editor.

Cl 74 SC 74.8.4.2 P 524 L 33 # 138
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A BULK; 802.3ba merge
 Fix incorrect reference due to merge from 802.3ba.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "defined in 45.2.1.92 (1.174, 1.175) for single-lane PHYs and 45.2.1.94 (1.700 to 1.739) for multi-lane PHYs."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Reconcile changes to references in Clause 45 with section 4 editor.

Cl 80 SC 80.1.5 P 60 L 11 # 139
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 In the last column of Table 80-2, change 40GBASE-ER to 40GBASE-FR
 Also remove the bold vertical line for last column
 SuggestedRemedy
 As per comment
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comments #269 and #299

Cl 80 SC 80.5 P 70 L 15 # 140
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 In Table 80-4: Item SP4; Add missing reference to 89.3.2
 SuggestedRemedy
 As per comment
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #313

Cl 70 SC 70.1 P 393 L 41 # 141
 Ganga, Ilango Intel
 Comment Type T Comment Status A
 Change last sentence of the paragraph to "This transmission will be detected by the remote PHY, causing it to also exit the LPI mode."
 The above change would make this sentence to be consistent with 71.1 and 72.1
 SuggestedRemedy
 As per comment
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 30 SC 30.2.5 P 329 L 24 # 142
 Ganga, Ilango Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A
 Table 30-1b, NOTE 2" Change "PFCEnable attribute" to "PFCEnableStatus attribute"

SuggestedRemedy
 As per comment

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 83B SC 83B.2.1 P 323 L 1 # 143
 King, Jonathan Finisar

Comment Type TR Comment Status A
 Equation 83B-6 allows compliant module XLAUI/CAUI transmitters to have unreasonably low VMA values for short transition time module outputs.

As well as eqn 83B-6, a minimum 272 mV VMA is implied by the electrical eye mask definition in Table 83B-3, which is for a 0 dB de-emphasis signal and any rise time. During development of 83B, a Finite Impulse Response (FIR) implementation with constant main tap was assumed for adding de-emphasis; the intent was that the minimum VMA spec'd in 83B-6 should be consistent with an FIR implementation of de-emphasis for the 0dB de-emphasis transmitter eye mask test value, and for the operating range of 3.5 to 6 dB de-emphasis range.
 The issues and proposed remedy are described in the supporting presentation:
 The issue can be resolved by adding a lower limit of 38 to the value of x used in equation 83B-6.
http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/king_1_0911

SuggestedRemedy
 Implement the changes on slide 7 of presentation
http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/king_1_0911

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 52 SC 52.5.1 P 428 L 29 # 144
 King, Jonathan Finisar

Comment Type TR Comment Status A
 Table 52-7 (page 428), Table 52-12 (page 432), Table 52-16 (page 435).
 The current optical transmitter eye-mask test for 10GBASE-R optical transmitters, commonly implemented as a zero hit eye-mask test leads to poor repeatability and has a large range in allowed device performance between all-passing and all-failing. Statistical eye-mask tests have been adopted in recent standards 802.3aq and 802.3ba to provide more accurate and repeatable measurements with better discrimination between 'good' and 'bad' transmitters.
 This comment proposes adding an equivalent alternative statistical mask to the existing eye mask definition in clause 52, full details are given in the presentation:
http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/king_2_0911

SuggestedRemedy
 Add an alternative optical transmitter eye-mask test for 10GBASE-R optical modules, to allow the use of a statistical eye mask test, with revised eye-mask coordinates and a maximum ratio of 5x10-5 hits per sample. Implement changes as described on slide 10 of
http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/king_2_0911

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement changes as described on slide 10 of
http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/king_2_0911

Cl 33 SC 2.7.5 P 605 L 47 # 145
 Michael, McCormack Texas Instruments

Comment Type TR Comment Status R PoE: PSE Startup
 In IEEE Std 802.3-2008, section 33.2.8.5 which was the equivalent section, there was allowance for 1ms of settling time (item b.) This settling time has been removed which will make some previously compliant systems no longer compliant.

SuggestedRemedy
 1) Restore the 1ms allowance.
 2) Add note that preferred behavior is to meet output requirements during 1ms settling time.
 3) Add note in section 33.3.5.2 that some PSEs may oscillate during the first millisecond and therefore filtering of 1ms variations may be prudent.

Response Response Status U
 REJECT.

The suggested remedy does not fully resolve the problem identified in the comment.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 85 SC 10.9.3 P 204 L # 146
Palkert, Thomas Luxtera

Comment Type T Comment Status A

85.10.9.3 specifies common mode output return loss. This spec. was added to limit EMI. It has been shown that there is no correlation between common mode return loss and EMI.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate section 85.10.9.3 and fig. 85-17.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 85 SC 8.3 P 182 L # 147
Palkert, Thomas Luxtera

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 85-5 specifies common mode output return loss. This spec. was added to limit EMI. It has been shown that there is no correlation between common mode return loss and EMI.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the common mode return loss spec and consider adding an intra pair skew specification to limit EMI.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove the common mode return loss spec.

Cl 83B SC 2.1 P 359 L # 148
Palkert, Thomas Luxtera

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Table 83B-2 specifies module output common mode output return loss. This spec. was added to limit EMI. It has been shown that there is no correlation between common mode return loss and EMI.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete common mode return loss from Table 83B-2

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 86A SC 4.1.2 P 380 L 30 # 149
Palkert, Thomas Luxtera

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Table 86A-1 specifies host output common mode return loss. This spec. was added to limit EMI. It has been shown that there is no correlation between common mode return loss and EMI.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete common mode return loss from Table 86A-1 and delete section 86A.4.1.2

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 86A SC 4.2.2 P 387 L 12 # 150
Palkert, Thomas Luxtera

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Table 86A-3 specifies module common mode output return loss. This spec. was added to limit EMI. It has been shown that there is no correlation between common mode return loss and EMI.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete common mode return loss from Table 86A-3. Delete section 86A.4.2.2

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 85 SC 10.9.5 P 206 L 35 # 151
 Palkert, Thomas Luxtera

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The mated test fixture ICN values were generated based on a 4 lane interface. The values are used for both 4 and 10 lane implementations and need to be modified to include the performance of 10 lane compliance boards.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the values in Table 85-12 per the following:

- Change SDNEXT from 0.7 to 3.0
- Change SDFEXT from 2.5 to 4.0
- Change MDNEXT from 1.0 to 3.5
- Change MDNEXT from 3.5 to 5.0

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

This modification would modify the specification for the 4 lane interfaces as well as the 10 lane interfaces.

The commenter has not provided information on the impact of this change on the SR10 specifications such as the jitter budget.

The chair has appointed an Adhoc to gather more information on the impact of this proposed change.

Cl H SC H3.1.1 P 575 L 38 # 152
 Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type T Comment Status A 8802

Because we have flip-flopped on withdrawing 8802-3 we may want to do something about the arcs to isolate us from such indecision.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider advisability of changing the 17 8802 management arcs to an 802 arc.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

OBE by #329

Cl 21 SC 21.7 P 7 L 11 # 153
 Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A 8802

Should the 8802-3 references in this subclause be retained?

SuggestedRemedy

Review with experts and either rewrite or update. Do the same thing in 34.4.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete subclauses 21.7, 34.4 and 44.5

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 154
 Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A CAPS

Inconsistent use terms for interpacket gap:
 Inter-Packet Gap 8 (various capitalization)
 interpacket gap 44
 interpacket-gap 1

SuggestedRemedy

Search and replace with interpacket gap. Where the reference is to the Pascal variable interPacketGap, there should be no change.

Add to 802.3 compound words

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 01 SC 1.3 P 13 L 34 # 155
 Grow, Robert Intel
 Comment Type T Comment Status A
 We use EtherType and 802 uses Ethertype as evidenced in the normative reference to 802a.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Recommend replacing reference to 802 with an undated reference and deleting 802a. Doing so will require rewrite of NOTE in 3.2.6 also. I believe the common use is EtherType, and comment on P802 would be appropriate if we agree.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Implement per suggested remedy.
 "NOTE—Clause 2 of IEEE Std 802a-2003 (an amendment to IEEE Std 802) defines a set of Type values and associated mechanisms for use in prototype and vendor-specific protocol development." change to "NOTE—Clause 2 of IEEE Std 802 defines a set of Type values and associated mechanisms for use in prototype and vendor-specific protocol development."

CI 00 SC 0 P L # 156
 Grow, Robert Intel
 Comment Type E Comment Status A CAPS
 Inconsistent capitalization for next page and base page, e.g., Next Page, next page, or Next page.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Pick one, search and replace to make consistent. Next page and base page capitalization should be consistent.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Use "Next Page" and "Base Page"

CI 00 SC 0 P L # 157
 Grow, Robert Intel
 Comment Type E Comment Status A CAPS
 Inconsistent capitalization for physical layer.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Pick one, search and replace. My preference is Physical Layer.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change to Physical Layer

CI 00 SC 0 P L # 158
 Grow, Robert Intel
 Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS
 Major Capabilities/Options (various PICS title capitalizations)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Pick one, search and replace.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Refer to #261

CI 00 SC 0 P L # 159
 Grow, Robert Intel
 Comment Type E Comment Status A Pub
 Inconsistent capitalization and hyphenation of vendor specific. In general it should be vendor specific (though I'd be happy to get advice from our publication editor). Multiple uses though require sentence case. Vendor Specific Information Field is used as a proper name, yet we don't do the same for Vendor specific MMD uses.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make consistent (109 hits on search) with the exception of proper names and sentence case.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change to "vendor specific" unless used as an adjective where use "vendor-specific"
 Don't change "Vendor specific MMD"

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 99 SC **Keywords** P ii L 15 # 160
 Grow, Robert Intel
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A**
 Add Backplane Ethernet to keywords
SuggestedRemedy
 Add backplane Ethernet to keywords
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.
 The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Cl 99 SC P L # 161
 Grow, Robert Intel
 Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A**
 Boilerplate frontmatter could be improved.
SuggestedRemedy
 Provide input to publications editor.
 p. vi, l. 42, there is no information about errata at the cited URL. Errata should not be included in the list on this line.
 p. vi, l. 51, following the link take one to a list of all errata, in the case of 802.3, all have been superseded, but are not identified as such. The text either needs to indicate this or the site needs to have structure to segregate the superseded errata from current errata.
 p. vii, l. 1, with Interpretations going away can we do away with this paragraph?
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.
 The editor changed the Clause from 00 to 99 as its related to the FM.
 The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 162
 Grow, Robert Intel
 Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A** URL
 We use inconsistent URL references to the Registration Authority (SA home page or RegAuth home page).
SuggestedRemedy
 Make all references to the Registration Authority home page (assuming it will be a durable URL. Page 36, line 37 (1.4.289) redirects to <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/regauth/>. Either change all to this URL or to the one currently in this NOTE. Also:
 footnote 20 on page 57 (3.2.4)
 footnote 21 on page 58 (3.2.6)
 footnote 35 on page 401 (16.3.1.1.3)
 footnote 25 on page 721 (31C.2)
 footnote 4 on page 43 (57.4.3.6), this footnote should also be rewritten for consistency with other OUI references.
 Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change all to <http://standards.ieee.org/develop/regauth/>
 Change footnote 4 on page 43 (57.4.3.6) to match other footnotes

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 163
 Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A URL

Inconsistent URLs for downloads. We shouldn't have three download sites, staff has promised a site with sufficient structure, but I've yet to see it meet requirements. The site must support revisions (e.g., the current file needs to be distinguished from a superseded file). The first URL given to us is now a broken link, that makes one question the durability of the current downloads link.

We have a Style Manual detailing all sorts of stuff, but there is no guidance on important topics that should have equal rigor and consistency across IEEE standards. For example, does one name the file for the parent standard or the amendment? Is the year included to cover superseded files? If an amendment is superseded does one keep the same file name? Should the references be to file lists or to specific files?

SuggestedRemedy

Fix with consistent file naming conventions, the following URLs.

40.1.3.5, NOTE on p. 185, l. 51 is broken, footnote on next page is to <http://standards.ieee.org/reading/ieee/std/downloads/index.html>. Unfortunately this redirects to Xplore.

76A.1, footnote on p. 803, l. 54 is to a list at http://www.ieee802.org/3/av/online_resources/.

40.6.1.3, NOTE on p. 236, l. 1 has same problems as above.

40.6.1.2.4, NOTE on p. 241, l. 11 is broken

55A.2, footnote 29 on p. 593, l. 54 does link to a zip file, its parent <http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/802/> takes one to a flat list for all 802 (not very forward looking if IEEE-SA ever enters the electronic age with gusto).

68.6.6.2, footnote 24, p. 367, l. 54 takes one to the file, but unlike the clause 55 matrices, the file name includes project identification.

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Issue currently being worked on with IEEE staff

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 13 L 52 # 164
 Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A Refer to staff

We are pointing to the SA home page (not bad with the current web site design), but the front matter points to Xplore.

SuggestedRemedy

We should be consistent.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Point to the SA homepage throughout. Refer to staff on FM.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.222 P 32 L 31 # 165
 Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Definition for IPG is dated. It does not identify that the numbers are only for transmitted IPG and that the length can change for various reasons.

SuggestedRemedy

1.4.222 inter packet gap (IPG): A MAC delay or time gap between Ethernet packets intended to provide interframe recovery time for other Ethernet sublayers and for the Physical Medium. (See IEEE Std 802.3, 4.2.3.2.1 and 4.2.3.2.2.) For example, for 10BASE-T, the MAC generated IPG is 9.6 us (96 bit times); for 100BASE-T, the IPG is 0.96 us (96 bit times). The minimum length of IPG is enforced by the MAC parameter interPacketGap, the actual interpacket gap may change between transmitting MAC and receiving MAC.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 04 SC 4.4.2 P 97 L 38 # 166
 Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A interPacketGap

I think the use of interPacketGap is incorrect here. interPacketGap is a MAC variable specifying a minimum, interpacket gap on the other hand is the actual gap that can be larger or shrink to be smaller than that initial minimum gap.

SuggestedRemedy

Change interPacketGap in NOTES 1, 3, 4, and 7 to be interpacket gap. Make parallel changes to 4A.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 08 SC P 151 L 5 # 167

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

It looks like text was pasted in error.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "See Section Six for this clause."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 10 SC P 227 L 3 # 168

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Deprecate

We should reconsider what PHY types to deprecate. I thought 10BASE2 was not recommended for new installations, if not it should be. Consider what other PHY types are similarly obsolete.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert not recommended for new installations note in all PHY types now obsolete.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Clause 10
 Clause 17
 Clause 9
 Clause 27
 Clause 41

Cl 4A SC 4A.7.2.4 P L # 169

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Inconsistent with 4.2.7.4

SuggestedRemedy

Change interFrameGap to interPacketGap

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 85 SC Figure 85-12 P 201 L 29 # 170

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Something happend in the merge to make the figure unreadable.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See #111

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 00 SC 0 P L # 171

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A 8802

We need to decide what to do with the 8802 references in the document.

Suggested Remedy

I recommend all self references to be converted to non-specific references where possible (delete the self reference, change to Ethernet, etc.) as follows:

1.1.3.2, p.4, I.3 - "communication by way of the ISO/IEC 8802-3 [IEEE Std 802.3] Local Area Network" becomes "communication in an Ethernet Local Area Network"

4.2.2.4, p.66, I.33 - Strike "beyond that provided in ISO/IEC 8802-3:1990", (keep consistent with 4A.2.2.4, p.591, I.2, separate instruction follows)

4.2.2.4, p.66, I.40 - Strike "of ISO/IEC 8802-3:1990"

5.2.1, p.100, I.24 - Replace "the ISO/IEC 8802-3 CSMA/CD" with "the Ethernet"

10.1.1, p.227, I.11 - Replace "entire ISO/IEC 8802-3 CSMA/CD LAN International Standard is shown" with "OSI Reference Model is shown"

15.1.1, p.373, I.20 - Replace "entire ISO/IEC 8802-3 CSMA/CD LAN International Standard is shown" with "OSI Reference Model is shown"

16.1.1, p.397, I.12 - Replace "entire ISO/IEC 8802-3 CSMA/CD LAN International Standard is shown" with "OSI Reference Model is shown"

16.3.1.1.3, p.401, I.53 - Strike "for ISO/IEC 8802-3"

17.1.1, p.435, I.10 - Replace "entire ISO/IEC 8802-3 CSMA/CD LAN International Standard is shown" with "sublayers used within this standard is shown"

18.1.1, p.461, I.10 - Replace "entire ISO/IEC 8802-3 CSMA/CD LAN International Standard is shown" with "OSI Reference Model is shown"

18.1.1.1, p.461, I.23 - Strike: "defined in ISO/IEC 8802-3"

19.1.1, p.491, I.13 - Replace "ISO/IEC 8802-3" with "Ethernet"
 D.1, p.543, I.12 - Replace "on what particular clauses of the ISO/IEC 8802-3 International Standard might be considered useful for different application environments" with "on the particular clauses of this standard considered useful for different 10 Mb/s application environments"

4A.2.2.4, p.591, I.2 - Strike "beyond that provided in ISO/IEC 8802-3:1990", (keep consistent with 4.2.2.4, p.66, I.33, separate instruction preceeded)

27.1.1, p.211, I.10 - Replace "entire ISO/IEC 8802-3 CSMA/CD LAN International Standard

is shown" with "OSI Reference Model is shown"

28.1.3, p.248, I.43 - Change title to: "Relationship to architectural layering"

30.1, p.311, I.46 - Replace "a network specified by ISO/IEC 8802-3" with "an Ethernet network"

34.1p.1, I.31 Replace "ISO/IEC 8802-3" with "Ethernet", also I.32, I.35, I.39
 Table 34-1, p.4, I.12, Delete "8802-3:" (two occurrences), do the same thing in Table 34-2

Table 34-2, p.4, I.39, Replace "8802-3 with 1000BASE-T

37.1.3, p.92, I.3 - Change title to: "Relationship to architectural layering"

41.1.1, p.279, I.10 - Replace "ISO/IEC 8802-3" with "Ethernet", also I.11

41.1.1, p.279, I.12 - Replace "entire ISO/IEC 8802-3 CSMA/CD LAN International Standard is shown" with "OSI Reference Model is shown"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 00 SC P L # 172

Grow, Robert Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

There is no 12-bit Manufacturer ID in the list of registries. (There is a 14-bit Manufacturer ID for IEEE 1451.4 which makes the confusion of this text and its footnote even worse.) Though the clause is deprecated, the footnote is wrong because it provides no useful information on a 12-bit Manufacturer ID.

Suggested Remedy

Either delete the footnote or change by inserting a sentence at the beginning of the footnote: 35 The Manufacturer ID specified here is not an active registry.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The location is in 16.3.1.1.3 Unique word, footnote 35. The suggested remedy of deleting the footnote altogether is preferred.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 04 SC 4.3.2.1.1 P 93 L 13 # 173
Thaler, Patricia Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A MR 1196

The change here seems to be related to the change requested in 1196, but 1196 doesn't bare directly on it. Is there another maintenance request that should have been cited?

SuggestedRemedy

If the change number is wrong, please correct it. If not, please modify the text to more clearly indicate the relationship of this change to 1196 (e.g. during discussion of 1196, a problem was noticed with this state machine behavior).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #182

Cl 24 SC 24.2.2.1.1 P 150 L 30 # 174
Thaler, Patricia Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The table is split awkwardly and looks like it could fit on one page.

SuggestedRemedy

Float the table so that it is on one page. If that isn't possible, at least make a cleaner break in the table including finishing the bracket for DATA on the same page as the data values and putting a bottom line on.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Will look to improve table appearance

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P 315 L 38 # 175
Thaler, Patricia Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The hypertext URL produces "Object not found!" - It ends in .pdfIEEE rather than .pdf.

SuggestedRemedy

Please correct the hypertext. This also applies to the links on page 316, 318, 326 so please check globally for the error.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.6.1.1.8 P 413 L 45 # 176
Thaler, Patricia Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Since the nature of this object is that new values will be assigned from time to time to organizations without any relationship to an IEEE 802.3 project, perhaps the sequence list should be done by referencing the selector webpage rather than including it here.

Also, the hypertext URL points to maint_1199 rather than 1201. Also applies to the URL on 626, section 4 page 73.

SuggestedRemedy

Correct the hypertext URLs to match the text URLs.

Consider replacing the sequence list with a reference to the selector webpage. (Or keep the list here for the existing items but change the syntax to "A sequence that meets the requirements of the description below or on <URL for the selector webpage>."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace the sequence list with a reference to the selector webpage

Cl 01 SC 1.2.1 P 6 L 30 # 177
Thaler, Patricia Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status R

This contains only a small part of the state diagram conventions used in most of IEEE 802.3.

Most (probably all) Clauses after 14 that use timers reference the state diagram timer conventions of 14.2.3.2. I think that all Clauses after 21 reference the state diagram conventions of 21.5. Or in some cases such as 31B, they don't have the explicit statement but should have made it because they are designed for that notation.

It is inconvient to have the conventions scattered in 3 places.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider moving 14.2.3.2 and 21.5 up to subclauses of 1.2 or 1.2.1 with statements indicating that the early Clauses to which they don't apply.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This would be a significant change that does not change functionality with a risk of introducing unintended errors in the draft

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 80 SC 80.4 P 67 L 15 # 178
 Thaler, Patricia Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

31B.3.7 says 118 pause quantum bit times for 40 Gb/s and 394 pause quantum for 100 Gb/s.

The times in Table 80-3 sblayer delay constraints sums to 122 for the largest delay (CR4 PMD plus R PMA, R FEC and MAC, RS and MAC control). Also, the largest sum for 100 Gb/s is 404.

It is possible that the discrepancy is due to the Annex 31B time being measured from the MDI. While the CR4 and CR10 delays in Table 80-3 include the delay of one direction through the cable medium. If so, it is confusing to have the two parts of the standard specify delay differently.

SuggestedRemedy

It would be better to use the same measurement point for delay in Table 80-3 and 84.4 and 85.4 as in Annex 31B. If there is a reason why that isn't practical, there should be a note on those values in the table that mentions the difference between this delay and the total in 31B.3.7.

If the cable delay doesn't completely cover the difference, then correct the total in 31B.3.7.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Since 31B.3.7 says "as measured at the MDI", refer the delays to this point.

The delay for the 40GBASE-CR4 PMD layer to the MDI is 6144 bit times minus the one way delay through the medium (2072 bit times) = 4072 bit times. Divide by 512 = 7.95 which rounds up to 8 pause_quanta. Using 8 instead of 12 for the 40GBASE-CR4 PMD gives 118 pause_quanta total as in 31B.3.7

The delay for the 100GBASE-CR10 PMD layer to the MDI is 14848 bit times minus the one way delay through the medium (5180 bit times) = 9668 bit times. Divide by 512 = 18.88 which rounds up to 19 pause_quanta. Using 19 instead of 29 for the 100GBASE-CR10 PMD gives 394 pause_quanta total as in 31B.3.7

In Table 80-3:

For 40GBASE-CR4 PMD change:

Maximum (bit time) from 6144 to 4096

Maximum (pause_quanta) from 12 to 8

Maximum (ns) from 153.6 to 102.4

Notes from "Includes delay of one direction through cable medium. See 85.4." to: "Does not include delay through cable medium. See 85.4."

For 100GBASE-CR10 PMD change:

Maximum (bit time) from 14848 to 9728

Maximum (pause_quanta) from 29 to 19

Maximum (ns) from 148.48 to 97.28

Notes from "Includes delay of one direction through cable medium. See 85.4." to: "Does not include delay through cable medium. See 85.4."

In 85.4 change:

"The sum of the transmit and the receive delays at one end of the link contributed by the 40GBASE-CR4 PMD, AN, and the medium in one direction shall be no more than 6144 bit times (12 pause_quanta or 153.6 ns). It is assumed that the one way delay through the medium is no more than 2072 bit times (51.8 ns).

The sum of the transmit and the receive delays at one end of the link contributed by the 100GBASE-CR10 PMD, AN, and the medium in one direction shall be no more than 14848 bit times (29 pause_quanta or 148.48 ns). It is assumed that the one way delay through the medium is no more than 5180 bit times (51.8 ns)." to:

"The sum of the transmit and the receive delays at one end of the link contributed by the 40GBASE-CR4 PMD and AN shall be no more than 4096 bit times (8 pause_quanta or 102.4 ns). The delay through the medium is not included.

The sum of the transmit and the receive delays at one end of the link contributed by the 100GBASE-CR10 PMD and AN shall be no more than 9728 bit times (19 pause_quanta or 97.28 ns). The delay through the medium is not included."

CI 04 SC 4.3.2.1.1 P 93 L 8 # 179
 Thaler, Patricia Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A MR 1196

This becomes true by its definition when the TransmitFrame function has finished all of its processing, but nothing ever sets it false.

SuggestedRemedy

I'd prefer a resolution that explicitly set TransmitFrameCompleted = false when GENERATE_TRANSMIT_FRAME is entered before calling TransmitFrame and explicitly set it true in function TransmitFrame just before end:{TransmitFrame}

The other alternative is to make setting it false part of the definition as setting it true already is by inserting "and becomes false when the GENERATE_TRANSMIT_FRAME state is entered" in the definition.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #182

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 4A SC 4A.3.2.1.1 P 603 L 8 # 180
 Thaler, Patricia Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A MR 1196

My comments on Annex 4 also apply here. In addition, the variable name here is inconsistent: transmission_completed should be TransmitFrameCompleted. The text here is also inconsistent with that in Clause 4 for TransmitFrameCompleted. Since the state machine calls TransmitFrame, the wording in Clause 4 is more direct.

The variable definition is indented too much.

SuggestedRemedy

Change transmit_completed to TransmitFrameCompleted and the definition should be the same as in Clause 4.

Also, please correct the indentation or paragraph format for the variable definition.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #182

Cl 30 SC 30.2.5 P 328 L 19 # 181
 Thaler, Patricia Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A MR 1233

Table 30-1b. Maint 1233 appears to have been misinterpreted. It was saying that the 6 objects in table 30-1b in the amendment should have Xs added in the EnergyEfficient Ethernet column. That should have only been applied to those 6 items, not to all the objects in 30-1b.

On a more minor editorial item: I think that Table 30-1 was divided into 30-1a through 30-1e just to make each page a separate table. Now 30-1b spans two pages so it should be split Tables 30-1b and Table 30-1c. The same applies to 30-1e (or all of 30-1 should be one table).

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the blue Xs for all objects except the 6 EEE objects (the 6 objects start with aTransmitPLMicroseconds and end with aLPFastRetrainCount).

Consider whether to resegment or join Tables 30-1a through 30-1e.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 31B SC 31B.3.2 P 713 L 43 # 182
 Thaler, Patricia Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A MR 1196

This change is incompletely implemented. It should be done correctly or left as magic (i.e. the state machine magically knows to stay in SEND CONTROL FRAME and SEND DATA FRAME states until the frame from the MA_DATA request was actually transmitted).

As it is now, nothing defines transmission_completed. The TransmitFrameCompleted variable is in the MAC and there is no primitive that transfers that signal from the MAC to MAC Control. Even if the signal was transferred, there would be a race condition between the time MAC Control issued the primitive and the time the MAC started TransmitFrame when TransmitFrameCompleted would still be false.

I can't find any reference here to state machine conventions. 21.5 should be referenced because it adds the requirement that all the actions in the state block are performed one time before evaluating the exit conditions. The state machine conventions of 1.2 alone don't supply that behavior.

SuggestedRemedy

The simplest complete fix would be:

Add to 31B.3 a statement that the state machines follow the conventions in 21.5. (See 25.1.1 for an example statement. That also covers the timer conventions from 14 which apply here.)

Add to the definition for MAC:MA_DATA.request that the action it invokes isn't considered to end until the transmission of the frame by the MAC has concluded and how the MAC control layer determines that is implementation dependent.

Remove transmission_completed.

If that isn't done, a definition will be needed for transmission_completed which still requires MAC Control knowing magically that it has or a primitive would need to be added that carries the value of TransmitFrameCompleted from the MAC to MAC Control.

Since Annex 31D transmit has similar SEND CONTRL FRAME and SEND DATA FRAME states, if a change is made, it should probably also be applied there.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove changes from MR 1196 to Clause 4, Annex 4A, Annex 31B, so that they match 802.3-2008. Make sure that the exit conditions use "UCT" in Figure 4-6 and Figure 4A-3.

Make changes to Clause 64, Figure 64-12 and Figure 64-13 to match equivalent figures in Clause 77.

Add the following statements to Annex 31B (31B.3) and Annex 31D (31D.3) indicating that

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

the state machines follow the conventions in 21.5. (See 25.1.1 for an example statement. That also covers the timer conventions from 14 which apply here.)

In Annex 31B, Annex 31D, Annex 4A and Clause 4, add in to the definition for MAC:MA_DATA.request that the action it invokes isn't considered to end until the transmission of the frame by the MAC has concluded and how the MAC control layer determines that is implementation dependent.

Add the following statements to Clause 4 (4.3.2) and Annex 4A (4A.3.2) indicating that the state machines follow the conventions in 21.5.

Cl 55 SC 55.4.2.5.14 P 598 L 26 # 183
Thaler, Patricia Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

This change is not clear without reading the maintenance request. There are two times given, one with timing_lock_OK=0/1 and one with timing_lock_OK=1 - the meaning of timing_lock_OK = 0/1 is ambiguous as its relationship to the second time. From reading the maintenance request, it appears that the intent is that the total time allowed for the state is 520 max and 468 average (i.e. the sum of the two values). However, an alternative interpretation would be that once timing_lock_OK=1, the max time should be 420 regardless of how long it took to get there.

Also, note that there is a typo in the average value for the timing_lock_OK = 1 time. It should be 378, not 78.

Suggested Remedy

I think it would be more clear to have two lines:

one for timing_lock_OK = 0 with a maximum of 100 (an average probably isn't needed for this one - it is okay if it happens faster).

a second for total time in the state with the existing values of 520 and 468.

This has the same result but makes the total time constraint on the state and the relationship between the two time values clear. Another alternative would be to leave two lines as they are, correcting the second average value and add an explanation of the relationship between the times.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change inserted rows to:

Rec max	Rec ave	Slave
100	90	PMA_Coeff_Exch state with timing_lock_OK=0
520	468	Total for PMA_Coeff_Exch state

A vote of the BRC on whether to accept the above resolution was:

Yes 6
No 1
Abstain 8

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 64 SC 64.2.2.3 P 263 L 5 # 184
 Thaler, Patricia Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A MR 1196

See my comment on Annex 31B.3.2.

This comment also applies to 77.2.2.3 page 634 line 8.

At least in this case, transmission_completed is defined. However, there is no linkage between the MAC and MAC Control that lets MAC Control know when transmission has been completed.

The definition of transmission completed has the same problem as the definition of TransmitFrameCompleted in Clause 4. The definition says when it is set true, but nothing sets it false.

SuggestedRemedy

One doesn't need transmission_completed if one adds to the definition for MAC:MA_DATA.request that the action it invokes isn't considered to end until the transmission of the frame by the MAC has concluded and how the MAC control layer determines that is implementation dependent.

If that isn't done, the definition for transmission_completed still requires MAC Control knowing magically that the MAC has completed transmission since there is no primitive for it to use. The definition should acknowledge that by saying that how transmission_completed determines that is implementation dependent. Also, transmission_completed needs to be set false, either stating in its definition that it is set false when the invocation of MAC:MA_DATA.Request is initiated or by setting it false in states before making the invocation.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #182

Cl 76 SC 76.3.2.5.2 P 591 L 12 # 185
 Thaler, Patricia Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A MR 1218

The value for SH_DATA shouldn't be the same as the value for SH_CTRL. The value for SH_DATA should be 01 (where 0 is the LSB which is transmitted first; Clause 49 always shows the sync codes as binary, but if it were shown as hex, it would be 0x02).

The value for SH_CTRL should be 10 or 0x01.

SuggestedRemedy

It would be more consistent with Clause 49 to show these values the same way that Clause 49 does - as bits transmitted left to right. If that isn't done, there should be a note to explain why Clause 49 shows the control value of the sync header as 10 while this Clause says it is 0x01 and vice versa for the data value.

In any case, correct the values.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #78

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 25 SC 25.4.5 P 191 L 42 # 186
 Tracy, Nathan TE Connectivity

Comment Type TR Comment Status A OCL

Background: IEEE Std 802.3at (POE+) allowed an alternate droop test (Sub-Clause 25.4.5) to be applied to Type 2 100BASE-TX. Type 2 Transmitters are allowed to meet this requirement or the previous 350uH Open Circuit Inductance requirement.

This comment proposes to allow this same alternate droop test to be available to all 100BASE-TX transmitters. The specification modification will increase design flexibility by supporting the use of advanced manufacturing techniques and processes in magnetics which will provide cost avoidance, improved consistency, improved DPPM, improved EMI and potentially simpler PHY design.

To incorporate this change, comments have been submitted against the following sub clauses:
 25.4.5, 25.4.5.1 Figure 25-1 and Figure 25-2, 25.4.7, 25.6.3.1, 25.6.4.2, and 25.6.4.4

SuggestedRemedy

This comment removes the special treatment of Type 2 transmitters since now all transmitters will have the same requirement

From: A receiver in a Type 2 Endpoint PSE or Type 2 PD (see Clause 33) shall meet the requirements of 25.4.7. A transmitter in a Type 2 Endpoint PSE or Type 2 PD delivering or accepting more than 13.0 W average power shall meet either the Open Circuit Inductance (OCL) requirement in 9.1.7 of TP-PMD, or meet the requirements of 25.4.5.1.

To: Transmitters shall meet either the Open Circuit Inductance (OCL) requirement in 9.1.7 of TP-PMD, or meet the requirements of 25.4.5.1.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 25 SC 25.4.7 P 193 L 42 # 187
 Tracy, Nathan TE Connectivity

Comment Type TR Comment Status A OCL

Background: IEEE Std 802.3at (POE+) allowed an alternate droop test (Sub-Clause 25.4.5) to be applied to Type 2 100BASE-TX. Type 2 Transmitters are allowed to meet this requirement or the previous 350uH Open Circuit Inductance requirement.

This comment proposes to allow this same alternate droop test to be available to all 100BASE-TX transmitters. The specification modification will increase design flexibility by supporting the use of advanced manufacturing techniques and processes in magnetics which will provide cost avoidance, improved consistency, improved DPPM, improved EMI and potentially simpler PHY design.

To incorporate this change, comments have been submitted against the following sub clauses:
 25.4.5, 25.4.5.1 Figure 25-1 and Figure 25-2, 25.4.7, 25.6.3.1, 25.6.4.2, and 25.6.4.4

SuggestedRemedy

This comment removes the special treatment of Type 2 end points since now all endpoints will have the same requirement.

From: Differential voltage signals generated by a remote transmitter that meets the specifications of Clause 25; passed through a link specified in 25.4.8; and received at the MDI of a 100BASE-TX PMD in a Type 2 Endpoint PSE or a Type 2 PD shall be translated into one of the PMD_UNITDATA.indicate messages with a bit error ratio less than 10⁻⁹ after link reset completion.

To: Differential voltage signals generated by a remote transmitter that meets the specifications of Clause 25; passed through a link specified in 25.4.8; and received at the MDI of a 100BASE-TX PMD shall be translated into one of the PMD_UNITDATA.indicate messages with a bit error ratio less than 10⁻⁹ after link reset completion.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 25 SC 25.6.3.1 P 202 L 13 # 188
 Tracy, Nathan TE Connectivity

Comment Type TR Comment Status A OCL

Background: IEEE Std 802.3at (POE+) allowed an alternate droop test (Sub-Clause 25.4.5) to be applied to Type 2 100BASE-TX. Type 2 Transmitters are allowed to meet this requirement or the previous 350uH Open Circuit Inductance requirement.

This comment proposes to allow this same alternate droop test to be available to all 100BASE-TX transmitters. The specification modification will increase design flexibility by supporting the use of advanced manufacturing techniques and processes in magnetics which will provide cost avoidance, improved consistency, improved DPPM, improved EMI and potentially simpler PHY design.

To incorporate this change, comments have been submitted against the following sub clauses:
 25.4.5, 25.4.5.1 Figure 25-1 and Figure 25-2, 25.4.7, 25.6.3.1, 25.6.4.2, and 25.6.4.4

SuggestedRemedy

Delete in its entirety since "DTE Power via MDI" is now treated the same as all other 100BASE-TX

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 25 SC 25.6.4.4 P 204 L 16 # 189
 Tracy, Nathan TE Connectivity

Comment Type TR Comment Status A OCL

Background: IEEE Std 802.3at (POE+) allowed an alternate droop test (Sub-Clause 25.4.5) to be applied to Type 2 100BASE-TX. Type 2 Transmitters are allowed to meet this requirement or the previous 350uH Open Circuit Inductance requirement.

This comment proposes to allow this same alternate droop test to be available to all 100BASE-TX transmitters. The specification modification will increase design flexibility by supporting the use of advanced manufacturing techniques and processes in magnetics which will provide cost avoidance, improved consistency, improved DPPM, improved EMI and potentially simpler PHY design.

To incorporate this change, comments have been submitted against the following sub clauses:
 25.4.5, 25.4.5.1 Figure 25-1 and Figure 25-2, 25.4.7, 25.6.3.1, 25.6.4.2, and 25.6.4.4

SuggestedRemedy

Delete in its entirety since "DTE Power via MDI" is now treated the same as all other 100BASE-TX

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 25 SC 25.6.4.2 P 203 L 13 # 190
 Tracy, Nathan TE Connectivity

Comment Type TR Comment Status A OCL

Background: IEEE Std 802.3at (POE+) allowed an alternate droop test (Sub-Clause 25.4.5) to be applied to Type 2 100BASE-TX. Type 2 Transmitters are allowed to meet this requirement or the previous 350uH Open Circuit Inductance requirement.

This comment proposes to allow this same alternate droop test to be available to all 100BASE-TX transmitters. The specification modification will increase design flexibility by supporting the use of advanced manufacturing techniques and processes in magnetics which will provide cost avoidance, improved consistency, improved DPPM, improved EMI and potentially simpler PHY design.

To incorporate this change, comments have been submitted against the following sub clauses:
 25.4.5, 25.4.5.1 Figure 25-1 and Figure 25-2, 25.4.7, 25.6.3.1, 25.6.4.2, and 25.6.4.4

SuggestedRemedy

Add additional rows to the table as shown in MS Word file name:
 "Comment to Clause 25_6_4_2 Table file.doc"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use tracy_2_0911.pdf for reference.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 25 SC 25.4.5.1 P 192 L 8 # 191
 Tracy, Nathan TE Connectivity

Comment Type TR Comment Status A OCL

Table 25-1 and Table 25-2
 Background: IEEE Std 802.3at (POE+) allowed an alternate droop test (Sub-Clause 25.4.5) to be applied to Type 2 100BASE-TX. Type 2 Transmitters are allowed to meet this requirement or the previous 350uH Open Circuit Inductance requirement.

This comment proposes to allow this same alternate droop test to be available to all 100BASE-TX transmitters. The specification modification will increase design flexibility by supporting the use of advanced manufacturing techniques and processes in magnetics which will provide cost avoidance, improved consistency, improved DPPM, improved EMI and potentially simpler PHY design.

To incorporate this change, comments have been submitted against the following sub clauses:

25.4.5, 25.4.5.1 Figure 25-1 and Figure 25-2, 25.4.7, 25.6.3.1, 25.6.4.2, and 25.6.4.4

SuggestedRemedy

25.4.5.1 Figure 25-1 and Figure 25-2

Delete the word "Type 2" from the title of both Figure 25-1 and Figure 25-2

Change the "Note" in Figure 25-1

From: NOTE- IBIAS is the current $I_{lunb} / 2$ defined in Clause 33.

To: NOTE-For transmitters in a Type 1 or Type 2 PSE or PD, IBIAS is the current $I_{lunb} / 2$ defined in Clause 33. For transmitters not in a Type 1 or Type 2 PSE or PD, IBIAS is not required.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.2.6 P 9 L 18 # 192
 Booth, Brad Dell

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editor Note

Reference or links in editor's notes need to be checked.

SuggestedRemedy

Maintenance item 1204 shows 1202 in editor's note.

Links for 1212, 1218, 1225, 1229 and 1230 point to 1199.

URL link to 1226 needs to be corrected (.pdf instead of .pdfIEEE).

Link for 1233 is not there.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 15 SC 15.3.1.1 P 386 L 33 # 193
 Booth, Brad Dell

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Correction to text doesn't read properly.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read "of cabled optical fiber with an attenuation".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 64 SC 64.3.5.6 P 295 L 1 # 194
 Booth, Brad Dell

Comment Type E Comment Status A MR related; BULK

Comment for maintenance item 1222 indicates the change is in blue in figure 64-29. Cannot see any blue in the figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Either use a different color or highlight by other means. Thanks.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change is shown in Figure 64-29 in red right now. We can change the colour marking to blue to align it with the maintenance 1222 request text.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.73 P 73 L 49 # 195
 Booth, Brad Dell
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Improper case.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change Rx to be RX.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change Rx to RX in the inserted text in 45.2.1.73 through 45.2.1.76 (4 instances)

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.8 P 626 L 4 # 196
 Booth, Brad Dell
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Missing a space
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to read as "the duration".
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P 1 L 1 # 197
 Booth, Brad Dell
 Comment Type E Comment Status A MR 1198
 Could not find any reference to maintenance item 1198 in the draft.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either delete from the ballot or highlight in the draft.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The actual agreed upon resolution for the MR was to incorporate in the .3 style guide (see http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/revision_history.html#REQ1198 and http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/minutes_0908.pdf#Page=3).

There are a number of comments included on caps.

Cl 01 SC 1.2.6 P 9 L 18 # 198
 Booth, Brad Dell
 Comment Type T Comment Status R MR 1204
 The "unless otherwise stated" creates too many issues for having to valid the truth in the rest of the statement.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change to read:
 Unless significant digits or trailing zeros are stated, numerical values are to be taken as exact.
 Response Response Status C
 REJECT.

The current wording "Unless otherwise stated, numerical limits in this standard are to be taken as exact, with the number of significant digits and trailing zeros having no significance." is easily understood and clear.

The re-wording adds some ambiguity and moves away from the consensus text developed in November of 2009 for this MR.

Cl 49 SC 49.2.2 P 332 L 35 # 199
 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 There's an excessive amount of space around the "or" on this line.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the extra spaces
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 49 SC 49.2.6 P 340 L 12 # 200
 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Figure 49-8--Scrambler does not match the updated 802.3az revision of the Figure.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Update the figure to match the 802.3az figure.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 30 SC 30.2.5 P 328 L 19 # 201
 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status A MR 1233

Request from main_1233.pdf was to insert an X into the Energy Efficient Ethernet (optional) column for the following entries:

- aTransmitLPIMicroseconds
- aReceiveLPIMicroseconds
- aTransmitLPITransitions
- aReceiveLPITransitions
- aLDFastRetrainCount
- aLPFastRetrainCount

Those entries and many more within the table recieved an X in that column.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the X in the EEE (optional) column for all entries except:

- aTransmitLPIMicroseconds
- aReceiveLPIMicroseconds
- aTransmitLPITransitions
- aReceiveLPITransitions
- aLDFastRetrainCount
- aLPFastRetrainCount

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See #181

Cl 49 SC Figure 49-15 P 357 L 1 # 202
 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Figure 49-14 contains

NOTE-Optional state (inside the dotted box) and transition E are only required to support EEE capability.

which is missing from Figure 49-15. This was true in the approved 802.3az standard too.

There's a comment against D2.0 of 802.3az requesting to add it to Figure 49-15 which was Approved in Principal with a change to the text. The text change occurred by D2.3 but the replication of the note into Figure 49-15 did not happen.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the same note from Figure 49-14 to Figure 49-15.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[Editor's note: the comment referred to was comment #454 against 802.3az D2.0.

Comment:

This state diagram also needs a note saying the state in the dotted box is optional.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Also add the following note:

Note: transition E is only required for EEE capability.]

Cl 30 SC 30.1.1 P 358 L 23 # 203
 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A MR 1229

The attribute aSlowProtocolFrameLimit has not been added to Clause 30 as requested in maint_1229.pdf. Additionally the request for Table 30-1a to include the attribute has not been done.

SuggestedRemedy

Complete the edits stated in main_1229.pdf

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 54 SC 54.1 P 527 L 13 # 204
 D'Ambrosia, John Dell

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Table 54-1 is titled "Table 54-1-PHY (Physical Layer) clauses associated with the 10GBASE-CX4 PMD" However, a PHY is defined by 1.4310 as "Within IEEE 802.3, the portion of the Physical Layer between the Medium Dependent Interface (MDI) and the Media Independent Interface (MII), Gigabit Media Independent Interface (GMII) or 10 Gigabit Media Independent Interface (XGMII), consisting of the Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), the Physical Medium Attachment (PMA), and, if present, the WAN Interface Sublayer (WIS) and Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayers." Therefore a table defining a PHY would not include the associated MII layer.

SuggestedRemedy

Rename Table 54-1 from
 PHY (Physical Layer) clauses associated with the 10GBASE-CX4 PMD
 to
 Physical Layer clauses associated with the 10GBASE-CX4 PMD

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 70 SC 70-1 P 425 L 12 # 205
 D'Ambrosia, John Dell

Comment Type ER Comment Status A BULK

Table 70-1 is titled "Table 70-1-PHY (Physical Layer) clauses associated with the 1000BASE-KX PMD" However, a PHY is defined by 1.4310 as "Within IEEE 802.3, the portion of the Physical Layer between the Medium Dependent Interface (MDI) and the Media Independent Interface (MII), Gigabit Media Independent Interface (GMII) or 10 Gigabit Media Independent Interface (XGMII), consisting of the Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), the Physical Medium Attachment (PMA), and, if present, the WAN Interface Sublayer (WIS) and Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayers." Therefore a table defining a PHY would not include the associated MII layer.

SuggestedRemedy

Rename Table 70-1 from
 PHY (Physical Layer) clauses associated with the 1000BASE-KX PMD
 to
 Physical Layer clauses associated with the 1000BASE-KX PMD

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.
 Format then will be aligned with 40G/100G clauses as well, which is additional advantage of the proposal.

Cl 71 SC 71-1 P 443 L 15 # 206
 D'Ambrosia, John Dell

Comment Type ER Comment Status A BULK

Table 71-1 is titled "Table 71-1-PHY (Physical Layer) clauses associated with the 10GBASE-KX4 PMD" However, a PHY is defined by 1.4310 as "Within IEEE 802.3, the portion of the Physical Layer between the Medium Dependent Interface (MDI) and the Media Independent Interface (MII), Gigabit Media Independent Interface (GMII) or 10 Gigabit Media Independent Interface (XGMII), consisting of the Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), the Physical Medium Attachment (PMA), and, if present, the WAN Interface Sublayer (WIS) and Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayers." Therefore a table defining a PHY would not include the associated MII layer.

SuggestedRemedy

Rename Table 71-1 from
 PHY (Physical Layer) clauses associated with the 10GBASE-KX4 PMD
 to
 Physical Layer clauses associated with the 10GBASE-KX4 PMD

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.
 Format then will be aligned with 40G/100G clauses as well, which is additional advantage of the proposal.

Cl 72 SC 72.1 P 431 L 12 # 207
 D'Ambrosia, John Dell

Comment Type ER Comment Status A BULK

Table 72-1 is titled "Table 72-1-PHY (Physical Layer) clauses associated with the 10GBASE-KR PMD" However, a PHY is defined by 1.4310 as "Within IEEE 802.3, the portion of the Physical Layer between the Medium Dependent Interface (MDI) and the Media Independent Interface (MII), Gigabit Media Independent Interface (GMII) or 10 Gigabit Media Independent Interface (XGMII), consisting of the Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), the Physical Medium Attachment (PMA), and, if present, the WAN Interface Sublayer (WIS) and Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayers." Therefore a table defining a PHY would not include the associated MII layer.

SuggestedRemedy

Rename Table 72-1 from
 PHY (Physical Layer) clauses associated with the 10GBASE-KR PMD
 to
 Physical Layer clauses associated with the 10GBASE-KR PMD

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.
 Format then will be aligned with 40G/100G clauses as well, which is additional advantage of the proposal.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P 26 L 30 # 208
 D'Ambrosia, John Dell

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Table 78-1 is titled -"Table 78-1-Clauses associated with each PHY type" but in the table XGXS (XAUI) is included. However, the XGXS (XAUI) is not a PHY type, as it resides above a 10G PHY type.

See also Table 78-2,

SuggestedRemedy

change title of Table 78-1 from
 Table 78-1-Clauses associated with each PHY type

to

Table 78-1- PHY type or Physical Layer Clauses.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change title to:
 Table 78-1-Clauses associated with each interface type

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.6.1 P 120 L 1 # 209
 Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The '10G PCS control 2 register bit definitions' table was renamed to be the 'PCS control 2 register bit definitions' by IEEE Std 802.3ba-2010 (see page 46).

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the text '10G' from the Table 45-102 title so that it reads 'PCS control 2 register bit definitions' (note that based on previous comment this should be table 45-101).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See also comment #347

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.7.4 P 120 L 1 # 210
 Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The '10G PCS status 2 register bit definitions' table was renamed to be the 'PCS status 2 register bit definitions' by IEEE Std 802.3ba-2010 (see page 47). In addition I can't find an amendment that deletes the text PCS from the title.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the text '10G' from the Table 45-103 title, add the text 'PCS' to the Table 45-103 title, so that it reads 'PCS status 2 register bit definitions' (note that based on previous comment this should be table 45-102).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See also comment #347

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.9 P 122 L 32 # 211
 Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status A

It isn't normal to include the register bits in the table title.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 'EEE capability register (Register 3.20) bit definitions' to read 'EEE capability register bit definitions'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.13.2 P 126 L 1 # 212
 Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status A

While IEEE Std 802.3ba-2010 changed the table 45-107 title by removing 10G from 10GBASE-R (see page 48) I'm not able to find an amendment that deletes 10G from the 10GBASE-T in the title.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 'BASE-R and BASE-T PCS status 1 register bit definitions' to read 'BASE-R and 10GBASE-T PCS status 1 register bit definitions'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See also comment #298

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.42 P 148 L 43 # 213
 Law, David HP
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 It is normal to end the table titles in Clause 45 with 'register bit definitions'. For Table 45-136 this isn't the case, and the R in register is upper case.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change '10GBASE-PR and 10/1GBASE-PRX BER monitor status Register' to read '10GBASE-PR and 10/1GBASE-PRX BER monitor status register bit definitions'.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.48 P 150 L 29 # 214
 Law, David HP
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Table 45-124 'TimeSync PCS capability' follows Table 45-139 'Lane 0 mapping register bit definitions' and is the second Table 45-124 in the draft.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Renumber the table 'TimeSync PCS capability' to be Table 45-139.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #317

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4 P 151 L 40 # 215
 Law, David HP
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Typo, Table 45-127 'PHY XS register' should read 'PHY XS registers' as there is more than one PHY XS register.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.7 P 158 L 13 # 216
 Law, David HP
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 It isn't normal to include the register bits in the table title.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change 'EEE capability register (Register 4.20) bit definitions' to read '132-EEE capability register bit definitions'.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 In table title change:
 "EEE capability register (Register 4.20) bit definitions" to:
 "EEE capability register bit definitions"

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12.6 P 37 L 13 # 217
 Law, David HP
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Typo.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Missing G after 40, '40BASE-FR ability' should read '40GBASE-FR ability'.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #321

Cl 19 SC 19.1 P 491 L 10 # 218
 Law, David HP
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 While this is a deprecated clause there is a broken cross reference, '.. to Clause 9' should be to '.. to Clause 19'.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fix the cross reference.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 30 SC 30.6.1.1.6 P 413 L 8 # 219
 Law, David HP

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The syntax for this attributes is 'Same as aAutoNegLocalTechnologyAbility' (see 30.6.1.1.5) which means that it allows values such as 1000BASE-T and 10GBASE-T to be read and written to this attribute.

The behaviour however states that this attribute maps to the Technology Ability Field of the Auto-Negotiation Link Codeword which only supports 10BASE-T, 100BASE-TX, 100BASE-T4 and PAUSE (see Table 28B-1 Technology Ability Field bit assignments). This behaviour needs to be expanded to also include the Next page Message Codes such as the 10GBASE-T/1000BASE-T Technology message code.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest use text similar to that used for 30.6.1.1.5 along the lines of 'This GET-SET attribute maps to the technology ability of the local device, as defined in Clause 28 and Clause 37.'

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 10 L 40 # 220
 Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The is no footnote as to where ETSI standards can be obtained.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a footnote as to where ETSI standards can be obtained, I understand they are available free of charge from <<http://pda.etsi.org/pda/queryform.asp>>.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 10 L 40 # 221
 Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status A ! Standards reference change

Shouldn't 'ETSI TS1 101 270-1' be 'ETSI TS 101 270-1' (See http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_ts/101200_101299/10127001/01.02.01_60/ts_10127001v010201p.pdf).

SuggestedRemedy

Correct if required.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Clause Editor will implement proposed remedy by verifying the title and implementing if necessary.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 10 L 43 # 222
 Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status A ! Standards reference change

Shouldn't 'ETSI TS 270-2' be 'ETSI TS 101 270-2' (see http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_ts/101200_101299/10127002/01.01.01_60/ts_10127002v010101p.pdf).

SuggestedRemedy

Correct if required.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Clause Editor will implement proposed remedy by verifying the title and implementing if necessary.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.105 P 95 L 4 # 223
 Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Somehing odd has happened with the subclause numbering here, it reads '4.5.2.105TimeSync ..', that is the subclause.

SuggestedRemedy

Subclause should be 45.2.1.105 and there should be a space between the subclause number and the title.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 45 SC 45.2.4.2 P 154 L 40 # 224
 Law, David HP

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 While register bits 4.1.11, 4.1.10, 4.1.9, 4.1.8 and 4.1.6, that we added by IEEE Std 802.3az-2010, have been included in Table 45-129 'PHY XS status 1 register bit definitions' the associated subclauses (numbered 45.2.4.2.a, 45.2.4.2.b, 45.2.4.2.c, 45.2.4.2.d and 45.2.4.2.2a in IEEE Std 802.3az-2010) have been inserted in the wrong location as subclauses of 45.2.4.4 'PHY XS speed ability (Register 4.4)' so they are subclause 45.2.4.4.1 through 45.2.4.4.5.

Also note that the instruction for 45.2.4.2.2a in IEEE Std 802.3az-2010 did not place the subclause is what we be the normal location. The subclauses are usually in descending order for bits. The instruction states 'Insert new subclause 45.2.4.2.2a before 45.2.4.2.3 as follows'. Subclause 45.2.4.2.2a is bit 4.16, subclause 45.2.4.2.2 is bit 4.12 and subclause 45.2.4.2.3 is bit 4.11. This would place bit 4.16 between 4.12 and 4.11, instead 4.16 should be between subclause 45.2.4.2.1 which is bit 4.16 and subclause 45.2.4.2.2 which bit 4.12.

Hence as part of the revision please place subclause 45.2.4.2.2a from IEEE 802.3az-2010 as described below instead of following the IEEE Std 802.3az-2010 instructions.

SuggestedRemedy

Add and renumber subclauses as follows:

- [1] Insert subclause 45.2.4.2.a 'Transmit LPI received (4.1.11)' added by IEEE Std 802.3az-2010 as subclause 45.2.4.2.1.
- [2] Insert subclause 45.2.4.2.b 'Receive LPI received (4.1.10)' added by IEEE Std 802.3az-2010 as subclause 45.2.4.2.2.
- [3] Insert subclause 45.2.4.2.c 'Transmit LPI indication (4.1.9)' added by IEEE Std 802.3az-2010 as subclause 45.2.4.2.3.
- [4] Insert subclause 45.2.4.2.d 'Receive LPI indication (4.1.8)' added by IEEE Std 802.3az-2010 as subclause 45.2.4.2.4.
- [5] Renumber subclause 45.2.4.2.1 'Fault (4.1.7)' to be 45.2.4.2.5.
- [6] Insert subclause 45.2.4.2.2a 'Clock stop capable (4.1.6)' added by IEEE Std 802.3az-2010 as subclause 45.2.4.2.6.
- [7] Renumber subclauses 45.2.4.2.2 'PHY XS transmit link status (4.1.2)' to be 45.2.4.2.7.
- [8] Renumber subclause 45.2.4.2.3 'Low-power ability (4.1.1)' to be 45.2.4.2.8.
- [9] Delete subclause 45.2.4.4.1 through 45.2.4.4.5.
- [10] Renumber '45.2.4.4.6 10G capable (4.4.0)' to be subclause 45.2.4.4.1.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.4.7.1 P 158 L 8 # 225
 Law, David HP

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 While subclause 45.2.4.8a.1 'PHY XS EEE supported (4.20.4)' and 45.2.4.8a.2 'XAUI stop capable (4.20.0)' added by IEEE Std 802.3az-2010 have been included in the draft (subclause 45.2.4.7.1 and 45.2.4.7.2) as well as their associated next level up subclause text and table (Table 45-132), the associated subclause heading is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

- [1] Add subclause heading 45.2.4.7a 'EEE capability (Register 4.20)' found in IEEE Std 802.3az-2010 as subclause 45.2.4.8.
- [2] Renumber all following subclause to subclause 45.2.5 as required.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #318

CI 45 SC 45.2.5.7.1 P 168 L 43 # 226
 Law, David HP

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 Subclauase 45.2.5.7.1 'Clock stop capable (5.1.6)' is a duplication of subclause 45.2.5.2.6 'Clock stop capable (5.1.6)'. This duplication should be deleted as the earlier instance is the correct one appearing between register 5.1.2 and 5.1.6.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete this duplicated subclause.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 45 SC 45.2.4.10 P 160 L 21 # 227
 Law, David HP

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The instructions in IEEE Std 802.3bf-2011 in respect to it's subclause 45.2.4.10 'TimeSync PHY XS capability (Register 4.1800)' states 'Insert subclauses 45.2.4.10, 45.2.4.11, 45.2.4.12 immediately after 45.2.4.9'. Subclause 45.2.4.9 is '10G PHY XGXS test control register (Register 4.25)' so register 4.1800 through 4.1808 added by IEEE Std 802.3bf-2011 should be after it. The draft has a different order:

- 45.2.4.10 TimeSync PHY XS capability (Register 4.1800)
- 45.2.4.11 TimeSync PHY XS transmit path data delay (Registers 4.1801, 4.1802, 4.1803, 4.1804)
- 45.2.4.12 TimeSync PHY XS receive path data delay (Registers 4.1805, 4.1806, 4.1807, 4.1808)
- 45.2.4.13 10G PHY XGXS test control register (Register 4.25)
 - 45.2.4.13.1 10G PHY XGXS test-pattern enable (4.25.2)
 - 45.2.4.13.2 10G PHY XGXS test-pattern select (4.25.1:0)

SuggestedRemedy

The order and numbering of these subclauses (assuming implementation of my other comment to add missing subclause heading 45.2.4.8 'EEE capability (Register 4.20)' that will renumber subsequent subclauses) should be:

- 45.2.4.11 10G PHY XGXS test control register (Register 4.25)
 - 45.2.4.11.1 10G PHY XGXS test-pattern enable (4.25.2)
 - 45.2.4.11.2 10G PHY XGXS test-pattern select (4.25.1:0)
- 45.2.4.12 TimeSync PHY XS capability (Register 4.1800)
- 45.2.4.13 TimeSync PHY XS transmit path data delay (Registers 4.1801, 4.1802, 4.1803, 4.1804)
- 45.2.4.14 TimeSync PHY XS receive path data delay (Registers 4.1805, 4.1806, 4.1807, 4.1808)

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #319

CI 45 SC 45.2.5.7.2 P 168 L 50 # 228
 Law, David HP

Comment Type T Comment Status A

There seems to have been a duplication of the 'PHY XS EEE supported (5.20.4)' and 'XAUI stop capable (5.20.0)' subclauses as follows:

- 45.2.5.7.2 PHY XS EEE supported (5.20.4)
- 45.2.5.7.3 XAUI stop capable (5.20.0)
- 45.2.5.8 EEE capability (Register 5.20)
 - 45.2.5.8.1 PHY XS EEE supported (5.20.4)
 - 45.2.5.8.2 XAUI stop capable (5.20.0)

SuggestedRemedy

Delete duplicate subclause 45.2.5.7.2 'PHY XS EEE supported (5.20.4)' and subclause 45.2.5.7.3 'XAUI stop capable (5.20.0)'. This will result in 'EEE capability (Register 5.20)' remaining as subclause 45.2.5.8 which is correct.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.5.13 P 171 L 20 # 229
 Law, David HP

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Subcluse 45.2.5.13 'EEE wake error counter (Register 5.22)' is a duplication of subclause 45.2.5.9 'EEE wake error counter (Register 5.22)'.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete duplicate subclause 45.2.5.13 'EEE wake error counter (Register 5.22)'.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #320

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 45 SC 45.2.5.10 P 169 L 50 # 230

Law, David HP

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The instructions in IEEE Std 802.3bf-2011 in respect to it's subclause 45.2.5.10 'TimeSync DTE XS capability (Register 5.1800)' state 'Insert subclauses 45.2.5.10, 45.2.5.11, 45.2.5.12 immediately after 45.2.5.9'. Subclause 45.2.5.9 is '10G DTE XGXS test control register (Register 5.25)' so register 5.1800 through 5.1808 added by IEEE Std 802.3bf-2011 should be after it. The draft has a different order:

- 45.2.5.10 TimeSync DTE XS capability (Register 5.1800)
- 45.2.5.11 TimeSync DTE XS transmit path data delay (Registers 5.1801, 5.1802, 5.1803, 5.1804)
- 45.2.5.12 TimeSync DTE XS receive path data delay (Registers 5.1805, 5.1806, 5.1807, 5.1808)
- 45.2.5.13 EEE wake error counter (Register 5.22)
- 45.2.5.14 10G DTE XGXS lane status register (Register 5.24)
 - 45.2.5.14.1 DTE XGXS receive lane alignment status (5.24.12)
 - 45.2.5.14.2 Pattern testing ability (5.24.11)
 - 45.2.5.14.3 Lane 3 sync (5.24.3)
 - 45.2.5.14.4 Lane 2 sync (5.24.2)
 - 45.2.5.14.5 Lane 1 sync (5.24.1)
 - 45.2.5.14.6 Lane 0 sync (5.24.0)
- 45.2.5.15 10G DTE XGXS test control register (Register 5.25)
 - 45.2.5.15.1 10G DTE XGXS test-pattern enable (5.25.2)
 - 45.2.5.15.2 10G DTE XGXS test-pattern select (5.25.1:0)

SuggestedRemedy

Correct the order to be:

- 45.2.5.9 EEE wake error counter (Register 5.22)
- 45.2.5.10 10G DTE XGXS lane status register (Register 5.24)
 - 45.2.5.10.1 DTE XGXS receive lane alignment status (5.24.12)
 - 45.2.5.10.2 Pattern testing ability (5.24.11)
 - 45.2.5.10.3 Lane 3 sync (5.24.3)
 - 45.2.5.10.4 Lane 2 sync (5.24.2)
 - 45.2.5.10.5 Lane 1 sync (5.24.1)
 - 45.2.5.10.6 Lane 0 sync (5.24.0)
- 45.2.5.11 10G DTE XGXS test control register (Register 5.25)
 - 45.2.5.11.1 10G DTE XGXS test-pattern enable (5.25.2)
 - 45.2.5.15.2 10G DTE XGXS test-pattern select (5.25.1:0)
- 45.2.5.12 TimeSync DTE XS capability (Register 5.1800)
- 45.2.5.13 TimeSync DTE XS transmit path data delay (Registers 5.1801, 5.1802, 5.1803, 5.1804)
- 45.2.5.14 TimeSync DTE XS receive path data delay (Registers 5.1805, 5.1806, 5.1807, 5.1808)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See also comment #322

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11.8 P 194 L 34 # 231

Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The subclause title doesn't include the register address which it is normal to do.

SuggestedRemedy

Change '45.2.7.11.8 Fast retrain ability' to read '45.2.7.11.8 Fast retrain ability (7.33.1)'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.2.20 P 112 L 8 # 232

Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Error in Table numbering, Table 45-95 'TimeSync WIS capability' follows Table 45-93 '10G WIS J0 receive 0-15 register bit definitions'.

SuggestedRemedy

'TimeSync WIS capability' should be numbered Table 45-94 and all subsequent tables will be renumbered.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See also comment #316

CI 07 SC 7.4.3.6 P 147 L 6 # 233

Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status A

There appears to be an extra space at the beginning of the heading "Timing jitter".

SuggestedRemedy

remove extra space.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P 35 L 3 # 234
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D** mLLID

Other comments submitted with this ballot will require a definition for the term "multicast LLID".

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following definition to 1.4:
 1.4.XXX multicast LLID (mLLID): An LLID bound to one or more ONU DTEs.

Proposed Response Response Status **Z**

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 65 SC 65.1.3.3.2 P 312 L 54 # 235
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** mLLID

The ONU receive filtering rules must be extended to support multicast LLIDs.
 Material to support this change has been previously provided to the Working Group.

SuggestedRemedy

Following the paragraph that begins with "If the device is an ONU .," add the following sentence as a third bullet item:
 "f) If the received logical_link_id value matches one of the assigned multicast LLIDs, then the comparison is considered a match."

Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Make changes per barrass_1_0911.pdf
 In favour: 12
 Against: 6
 Abstain: 6
 Motion fails

Make changes per barrass_1_0911.pdf with the addition of an editor's note in both Clause 65 and Clause 76 that states that management attributes for multicast LLID need to be added to the draft.
 Moved by: Hugh
 Seconded by: Howard
 In favour: 18
 Against: 6
 Abstain: 4
 Motion passes

Cl 76 SC 76.2.6.1.3.2 P 577 L 4 # 236
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** mLLID

The ONU receive filtering rules must be extended to support multicast LLIDs.
 Material to support this change has been previously provided to the Working Group.

SuggestedRemedy

Following the paragraph that begins with "If the device is an ONU .," add the following sentence as a third bullet item:
 "f) If the received logical_link_id value matches one of the assigned multicast LLIDs, then the comparison is considered a match."

Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See #235

Accept the resolution:
 In favour: 18
 Against: 6
 Abstain: 5
 Motion passes

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 57 SC 57.6.1 P 52 L 19 # 237
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status A 802.3.1 alignment

One of the goals for the revision project is to redirect the variable descriptors in OAM to point to the SNMP(SMlv2) branch and leaf encodings defined in IEEE Std 802.3.1, rather than the CMIP encodings defined in what used to be Annex 30A of IEEE Std 802.3. To this end, all references to "the CMIP protocol encodings as found in Annex 30A" should be replaced. However, this is not as easy as it first appeared to be, and will take more thought and effort to bring about. The CMIP (GDMO) encodings are much flatter than the SNMP (SMlv2) encodings. Whereas GDMO objects can be referenced by a two-value branch and leaf encoding, an equivalent SMlv2 object are referenced by up to 6 levels of branch and a leaf. As an example, the attribute aSingleCollisionFrames can be accessed via the GDMO branch/leaf combination of 0x07/0x0003. The same object in the SNMP MIB module would be accessed by the branch/leaf combination of 0x0A/0x01/0x02/0x01/0x0004. This would require a change to the variable descriptors to allow multiple levels of branching, and this in turn would necessitate the use of a new set of OAMPDU code points for the variable request and response OAMPDUs. Another approach would be to specify the OAM variable request and response PDUs the way SNMP does, using ASN.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the words "the CMIP protocol encodings as found in Annex 30A" with "the CMIP protocol encodings found in Annex B of IEEE Std 802.3.1". Further work is needed to accomplish the transition to using SNMP (SMlv2) encodings, but at least the suggested change will bring the documents into alignment, for now.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 57 SC 57.6.1 P 52 L 26 # 238
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status A 802.3.1 alignment

One of the goals for the revision project is to redirect the variable descriptors in OAM to point to the SMlv2 (SNMP) branch and leaf encodings defined in IEEE Std 802.3.1, rather than the CMIP encodings defined in what used to be Annex 30A of IEEE Std 802.3. To this end, all references to "the CMIP protocol encodings in Annex 30A" should be replaced.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 57-13, in two places in the Description column, replace "the CMIP protocol encodings in Annex 30A" with "the CMIP protocol encodings found in Annex B of IEEE Std 802.3.1".

Also change in two places in Table 57-14, page 53, line 8.
 Also change in two places in Table 57-15, page 54, line 5.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 57 SC 57.6.2.2 P 54 L 25 # 239
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status A 802.3.1 alignment

One of the goals for the revision project is to redirect the variable descriptors in OAM to point to the SMlv2 (SNMP) branch and leaf encodings defined in IEEE Std 802.3.1, rather than the CMIP encodings defined in what used to be Annex 30A of IEEE Std 802.3. To this end, all references to "Annex 30A" should be replaced.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the text:
 "Attributes within packages and objects are returned in the order those attributes are listed in Annex 30A."

with:
 "Objects are returned in the order they are listed in Annex B of IEEE Std 802.3.1."

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 13 L 33 # 240
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A** Standards reference change
 Need to add IEEE Std 802.3.1 to the list of normative references.

SuggestedRemedy

Add "IEEE Std 802.3.1-2011 IEEE Standard for Management Information Base (MIB) Module Definitions for Ethernet." to the list of normative references.

Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 07 SC 7.4.3.6 P 147 L 15 # 241
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A**
 What is the meaning of this note? It looks like an artifact of some long ago dream to run Ethernet at 20 Mb/s. As far as I know, the standard never specified the AUI to run at 20 Mb/s. There is no other reference to "20 Mb/s" that I can find. This note should go. It doesn't say anything significant anyway.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the note.

Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 08 SC 8 P 153 L 5 # 242
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A**
 I understand all of the note except for the last sentence. What is there in Section Six (which I take to mean section six of the standard, i.e. Clauses 78-90) that has anything to do with 10BASE5?

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the last sentence of the note.

Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.
 See #167

Cl 64 SC 64.3.4.1 P 284 L 45 # 243
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A** TF approval

Current text of 802.3 relative to EPON systems, in subclauses 64.3.4.1, 64.3.5.1, 77.3.4.1, 77.3.5.1, defines the following maximum allowed intervals: report_timeout, gate_timeout and mpcp_timeout. During the development of IEEE 1904.1 power saving mechanisms for EPON, it became critical to tolerate longer timeout values, especially for intervals defined by report_timeout, gate_timeout constants, allowing the ONU sleep longer and save more energy.

It is desired for the network operator to be able to adjust these values on per ONU basis (S-ONU using the IEEE 1904.1 nomenclature), maintaining the default values equal to the values currently defined in 802.3 text.

SuggestedRemedy

1)Move definition of gate_timeout from 77.3.5.1 to 77.3.5.2 and 64.3.5.1 to 64.3.5.2, changing the type from constant to variable and modify the definition to read as follows:
 gate_timeout

TYPE: 32 bit unsigned
 This variable represents the maximum allowed interval of time between two GATE messages generated by the OLT to the same ONU, expressed in units of time_quanta. VALUE: 0x002FAF08 (50 ms, default value)

2)Move definition of report_timeout from 77.3.4.1 to 77.3.4.2 and 64.3.4.1 to 64.3.4.2, changing the type from constant to variable and modify the definition to read as follows:
 report_timeout

TYPE: 32 bit unsigned
 This variable represents the maximum allowed interval of time between two REPORT messages generated by the OLT to the same ONU, expressed in units of time_quanta. VALUE: 0x002FAF08 (50 ms, default value)

3)Move definition of mpcp_timeout from 77.3.4.1 to 77.3.4.2 and 64.3.4.1 to 64.3.4.2, changing the type from constant to variable and modify the definition to read as follows:
 mpcp_timeout

TYPE: 32 bit unsigned
 This variable represents the maximum allowed interval of time between two MPCPDU messages. Failure to receive at least one frame within this interval is considered a fatal fault and leads to deregistration. This variable is expressed in units of time_quanta. VALUE: 0x03B9ACA0 (1 s, default value)

4)Remove subclause 77.3.4.1 and 64.3.4.1 (there are no more constants left once the changes in the previous steps are done), renumbering the following subclauses as needed

Response Response Status **C**
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 01 SC 1.4.337 P 39 L 48 # 244
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 Current definition of the term Errored symbol period, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, 57.5.3.1, speaks of the ".the number of symbol errors that occurred during the specified period.". However, the definition of the term 'symbol' in 1.4.337 is not clear in respect to 1G-PON and 10G-EPON PMDs.
 Clarification is needed, preferably by extending the definition of the term 'symbol' in 57.5.3.1.

SuggestedRemedy
 Modify the definition of the term "symbol" in 1.4.337 to read as follows:
 1.4.337 symbol: Within IEEE 802.3, the smallest unit of data transmission on the medium. Symbols are unique to the coding system employed. For example, 100BASE-T4 uses ternary symbols; 10BASE-T uses Manchester symbols; 100BASE-X uses binary symbols or code bits; 100BASE-T2 and 1000BASE-T uses quinary symbols. For 1000BASE-PX, and 10GBASE-PRX PMDs operating at 1.25 GBd, a symbol corresponds to a code bit after the 8B/10B encoding operation i.e. has the duration of 0.8 ns. For 10GBASE-PR and 10GBASE-PRX PMDs operating at 10.3125 GBd, a symbol corresponds to a code bit after the 64B/66B encoding operation i.e. has the duration of approx. 0.097 ns.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Modify the definition of the term "symbol" in 1.4.376 to read as follows:
 1.4.376 symbol: Within IEEE 802.3, the smallest unit of data transmission on the medium. Symbols are unique to the coding system employed. For example, 100BASE-T4 uses ternary symbols; 10BASE-T uses Manchester symbols; 100BASE-X uses binary symbols or code-bits; 100BASE-T2 and 1000BASE-T uses quinary symbols. For 1000BASE-X PMDs operating at 1.25 GBd, a symbol corresponds to a code-bit after the 8B/10B encoding operation i.e. has the duration of 0.8 ns. For 10GBASE-R PMDs operating at 10.3125 GBd, a symbol corresponds to a code-bit after the 64B/66B encoding operation i.e. has the duration of approx. 0.097 ns.

CI 71 SC 71.7.2 P 422 L 44 # 245
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A TF approval
 Lines 43 and 46 in Table 71-6 contain unresolved reference to 71.6.4a. A search shows no such subclause, bullets in 71.6.4 etc.

SuggestedRemedy
 Need to fix the reference - identify the correct one, replace existing two incorrect instances and make sure that the links are live.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change to 71.6.4

CI 72 SC 72.2 P 432 L 8 # 246
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status A TF approval
 Text "These messages are defined for the PCS in 49.2.13.2.6" contains unresolved reference to non-existing subclause 49.2.13.2.6.

SuggestedRemedy
 Need to fix the reference - identify the correct one, replace existing incorrect instance and make sure that the link is live.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change "49.2.13.2.6" to "49.2.13.2.2"

CI 73 SC 73.7.1 P 477 L 25 # 247
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status A TF approval
 Text "The DME transmit signal level and receive sensitivity are specified in 73.5.1.1" contains unresolved reference to subclause 73.5.1.1

SuggestedRemedy
 Need to fix the reference - identify the correct one, replace existing incorrect instance and make sure that the link is live.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change to 73.5.1 - "73.5.1 DME electrical specifications" and includes the transmit and receive signal levels for DME.

CI 75 SC 75.7.14 P 556 L 14 # 248
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status A TF approval; BULK
 Text "Tcode_group_align is defined in 36.6.2.4" contains unresolved reference to subclause 36.6.2.4

SuggestedRemedy
 Need to fix the reference - identify the correct one, replace existing incorrect instance and make sure that the link is live.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change 36.6.2.4 to 36.3.2.4, which seems to be the correct referenece (36.3.2.4 Code-group alignment)

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 75 **SC 75.7.14** **P 556** **L 16** # **249**
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A** *TF approval; BULK*
 Text "Toff is defined in 60.7.13.11.1" contains unresolved reference to subclause 60.7.13.11.1

SuggestedRemedy
 Need to fix the reference - identify the correct one, replace existing incorrect instance and make sure that the link is live.

Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

Change 60.7.13.11.1 to 60.7.13.1.1, which seems to be the correct reference (60.7.13.1.1 Definitions)

Cl 64 **SC 64.2.2.1** **P 261** **L 18** # **250**
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A** *TF approval; BULK*
 Unnecessary reference to Clause in "The value of the Length/Type field as defined in Clause 31.4.1.3."

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "The value of the Length/Type field as defined in Clause 31.4.1.3." to "The value of the Length/Type field as defined in 31.4.1.3."

Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 64 **SC 64.2.2.1** **P 261** **L 26** # **251**
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A** *TF approval; BULK*
 Unnecessary reference to Clause in "overhead items are described in Clause 3.1.1"

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "overhead items are described in Clause 3.1.1" to "overhead items are described in 3.1.1"

Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 64 **SC 64.2.2.1** **P 261** **L 26** # **252**
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A** *TF approval; BULK*
 Unnecessary reference to Clause in "The size of the EPD is described in Clause 36.2.4.14."

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "The size of the EPD is described in Clause 36.2.4.14." to "The size of the EPD is described in 36.2.4.14."

Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 73 **SC 73.11.2.2** **P 496** **L 35** # **253**
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type **ER** **Comment Status** **A** *TF approval; PICS*
 "Identification of protocol standard " field contains the project designation "IEEE P802.3/D1.0, Clause 73" even though it has been balloted and approved.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "IEEE P802.3/D1.0" to "IEEE 802.3-2008" in two locations: in line 35 and line 41

Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolved per #261

Cl 74 **SC 74.11.2.2** **P 528** **L 34** # **254**
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type **ER** **Comment Status** **A** *TF approval; PICS*
 "Identification of protocol standard " field contains the project designation "IEEE P802.3/D1.0, Clause 74" even though it has been balloted and approved.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "IEEE P802.3/D1.0" to "IEEE 802.3-2008" in line 34

Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolved per #261

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 75 **SC 75.10.2.2** **P 561** **L 38** # **255**
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type ER **Comment Status A** **PICS; TF approval**

Field "Identification of protocol standard" contains standard designation that reads "IEEE Std 802.3av-2009, Clause 75" - this needs to be changed.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "IEEE Std 802.3av-2009" to "IEEE Std 802.3-2008", in lines 38 and 46.

Response **Response Status C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolved per #261

Cl 75 **SC 75.10.4.13** **P 567** **L 34** # **256**
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type ER **Comment Status A** **TF approval; BULK**

Item OM5 contains unresolved reference to non-existing subclause 52.9.5.6.
 Item OM6 contains unresolved reference to non-existing subclause 58.8.7.

SuggestedRemedy
 Need to fix the reference - identify the correct one, replace existing incorrect instance and make sure that the link is live.

Response **Response Status C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In OM5, change 52.9.5.6 to 52.9.5 - it seems to be the correct one (52.9.6 Relative intensity noise optical modulation amplitude (RINxOMA) measuring procedure)

In OM6, change the text to read "As described in 58.7.7 for 1 Gb/s PHY and in 52.9.6 for 10 Gb/s PHY."

Cl 76 **SC 76.3.2.1.2** **P 580** **L 50** # **257**
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type ER **Comment Status R** **TF approval; BULK**

Text "This variable is defined in 49.2.13.2.2." references to non-existing subclause 49.2.13.2.2

SuggestedRemedy
 Need to fix the reference - identify the correct one, replace existing incorrect instance and make sure that the link is live.

Response **Response Status C**
 REJECT.

Reference seems OK after reconfirmation.

Cl 76 **SC 76.3.2.1.3** **P 581** **L 4** # **258**
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type ER **Comment Status R** **TF approval; BULK**

Text "This variable is defined in 49.2.13.2.3." references to non-existing subclause 49.2.13.2.3

SuggestedRemedy
 Need to fix the reference - identify the correct one, replace existing incorrect instance and make sure that the link is live.

Response **Response Status C**
 REJECT.

Reference seems OK after reconfirmation.

Cl 76 **SC 76.5.2.2** **P 614** **L 4** # **259**
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type ER **Comment Status A** **PICS; TF approval**

Field "Identification of protocol standard" contains standard designation that reads "IEEE Std 802.3av-2009, Clause 76" - this needs to be changed.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "IEEE Std 802.3av-2009" to "IEEE Std 802.3-2008", in lines 4 and 12.

Response **Response Status C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolved per #261

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 77 SC 77.5.2.2 P 681 L 34 # 260
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type ER Comment Status A PICS; TF approval

Field "Identification of protocol standard" contains standard designation that reads "IEEE Std 802.3av-2009, Clause 77" - this needs to be changed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "IEEE Std 802.3av-2009" to "IEEE Std 802.3-2008", in lines 34 and 41.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Resolved per #261

Cl 00 SC 0 P 00 L 0 # 261
 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type ER Comment Status A PICS

We have apparently different ways of filling in the "Protocol summary" table. For example. In 77.5.2.2, field "Identification of protocol standard" says "IEEE Std 802.3av-2009, Clause 77, Multipoint MAC Control", listing ammendment reference, Clause and title. In 71.10.2.2, field "Identification of protocol standard" says "IEEE Std 802.3-2008, Clause 71, Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer and baseband medium type 10GBASE-KX4", listing standard reference, Clause and title. In 65.4.2.2, field "Identification of protocol standard" says " IEEE Std 802.3-2008, Extensions of the Reconciliation Sublayer (RS) and Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) / Physical Media Attachment (PMA) for 1000BASE-X for multipoint links and forward error correction", listing standard and title, without clause number. In some cases, instead of "Identification of protocol standard", text "Identification of protocol specification" is used (in Annex 57A and 57B) - any reason for that?

SuggestedRemedy

Align the description format for the "Identification of protocol standard" in PICS to have the following format "IEEE Std 802.3-2008, Clause X, title"
 Need to change any instances of "Identification of protocol specification", to "Identification of protocol standard" is used.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Make the "Identification of protocol standard" have the format "IEEE Std 802.3-201x, Clause Y, Title".

Change any instances of ""Identification of protocol specification" to ""Identification of protocol standard"

After "(See Clause 21; the answer Yes means that the implementation does not conform to" change to "IEEE Std 802.3-201x"

See if we can implement the year as a variable so that this is automatic on the next revision.

For the title case for major capabilities/options use: "Major capabilities/options"

In any PICS introduction subclause that contains "IEEE Std 802.3-2008", remove it.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 99 SC P ii L 12 # 262
Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Why is 10 Gigabit Ethernet singled out in the keywords but not other rates?

SuggestedRemedy

Either add other Ethernet rates (e.g., Fast Ethernet, 40 Gigabit Ethernet, 100 Gigabit Ethernet) to the keywords or remove 10 Gigabit Ethernet.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Add new keywords for 40G and 100G

Cl 99 SC P vii L 1 # 263
Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status A

If the interpretations process has been stopped, it should no longer be discussed in the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove mention of the interpretations process from the draft. Also mentioned other places in the front matter.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The FM is the responsibility of the WG Chair and IEEE Staff. Your comments will be provided to them to enhance the FM.

Need to verify implementation date. Believe it will be January of next year,

Cl 01 SC 1.14.29 P 19 L 33 # 264
Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status A

10BROAD36 should be alphebetized between other 10M PMDs and not between gigabit and 10 Gigabit PMDs.

SuggestedRemedy

Realphebetize so that 10M PMDs are together. Same for 10PASS-TS, clause 1.4.59

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.14.303 P 37 L 31 # 265
Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status R

PDV is used today to mean "Packet Delay Variation". I suspect that "Packet Delay Value" is a much older term.

SuggestedRemedy

Assuming that PDV is used only in a few places in the text, consider spelling it out where it means "Packet Delay Value" rather than using an acronym which is usually understood to have a different meaning.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

PDV is used in 13.4.1, B.1.5.2, 29.3.1, 42.3.1. In all cases, the term is introduced as "path delay value (PDV)"

So there is little room for confusion and using the full term everywhere (34 matches to PDV) does not seem appropriate.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.337 P 39 L 50 # 266
Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The list of RS clauses is inconsistent with what is done for PCS, PMA, PHY, and PMD by providing only one example instead of an exhaustive list of clauses

SuggestedRemedy

Make RS definition consistent with the others, referencing clauses 46, 81

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

1.4.337 Reconciliation Sublayer (RS): A mapping function that reconciles the signals at the Media Independent Interface (MII) to the Media Access Control (MAC)-Physical Signaling Sublayer (PLS) service definitions. (E.g., IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 22.)

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 01 SC 1.5 P 47 L 1 # 267
Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The acronyms LACP, LACPDU, LAG, and LAG ID may not be used anymore since the specification for LAG has been moved to 802.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Check that the acronyms are not used in the draft, and assuming not, remove these from the acronym list. 802.1 should have the normative explanation for these acronyms.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The only one in the list that is no longer used is LAG. The rest are being used. Implement the removal LAG.

Cl 78 SC 78.4.2.3 P 29 L 36 # 268
Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Spurious page break in the middle of Table 78-3.

SuggestedRemedy

Let Table 78-3 float and keep on one page. Same for Table 79-3a.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Re-pagination due to moving Title from Page 19 of D2.0 fixes Table 78-3.

For Table 79-3a (re-numbered to 79-4) show bottom ruling on first page of split table.

Cl 80 SC 80.1.5 P 60 L 11 # 269
Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status A

40GBASE-ER should be 40GBASE-FR in the table heading

SuggestedRemedy

Change 40GBASE-ER to 40GBASE-FR

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See also comment #139

Cl 80 SC 80.2.8 P 61 L 50 # 270
Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"little or no modification" may have made sense when 802.3ba was a new project, but reads funny now that it is part of the existing suite of Ethernet specifications.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "can be managed by existing network management stations with little or no modification to the agent code" with "can be managed by the same network management stations".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"Clause 30 consolidates all IEEE 802.3 management specifications so that 10/100/1000 Mb/s, 10 Gb/s, 40 Gb/s, and 100 Gb/s agents can be managed by existing network management stations with little or no modification to the agent code." to: "These items are defined in Clause 30."

Make equivalent changes in
21.1.15 for 100 Mb/s
34.1.6 for 1000 Mb/s
44.1.5 for 10 Gb/s
56.1.4 for EFM
69.2.5 for backplane

Cl 80 SC 80.3.2 P 62 L 54 # 271
Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Stray colon in italics at bottom of page

SuggestedRemedy

Remove stray colon.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 80 **SC 80.4** **P 67** **L 23** # **272**
 Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent
Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A**
 Lines above and below 40GBASE-LR4 PMD are thicker than those in the rest of the table
SuggestedRemedy
 Make lines in table consistent width
Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 The line below 40G-BASE-LR4 is thick to help separate the 40G PMDs from the 100G PMDs. See response to comment #314

Cl 82 **SC 82.2.3.3** **P 106** **L 35** # **273**
 Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent
Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A**
 Missing space between "seeITU"
SuggestedRemedy
 Change "seeITU" to "see ITU"
Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 83 **SC 83.7.4** **P 156** **L 24** # **274**
 Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent
Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A**
 Missing space in "Skewvariation"
SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Skewvariation" to "Skew variation"
Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 01 **SC 1.14.151** **P 27** **L 48** # **275**
 Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent
Comment Type **T** **Comment Status** **A** **HIS**
 ATIS references are outdated
SuggestedRemedy
 Update references to ATIS-0900105.2008 and ATIS-0600417.2003
Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 01 **SC 1.4.309** **P 38** **L 2** # **276**
 Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent
Comment Type **T** **Comment Status** **A**
 Clause 82 is missing from the list of clauses defining PCS sublayers
SuggestedRemedy
 Add clause 82 to the list of clauses defining PCS sublayers
Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 01 **SC 1.4.310** **P 38** **L 11** # **277**
 Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent
Comment Type **T** **Comment Status** **A**
 The clauses for 40 and 100 Gigabit PHYs are missing from the list of clauses defining PHYs
SuggestedRemedy
 Add clauses 82-89 to the list of clauses which define PHYs
Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 01 SC 1.4.311 P 38 L 16 # 278
Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The 40 and 100 Gigabit PMA is missing from the clauses referenced in the definition of PMA

SuggestedRemedy

Add clause 83 to the list of clauses defining PMAs

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.4.312 P 38 L 21 # 279
Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type T Comment Status A

40 and 100 Gigabit PMD clauses are missing from the list of clauses defining PMDs

SuggestedRemedy

Add clauses 84-89 to the list of clauses defining PMDs

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 79 SC 79.5.8 P 55 L 1 # 280
Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Is the Link Aggregation TLV still needed here since LAG is moved to 802.1?

SuggestedRemedy

Remove this TLV assuming this duplicates capability moved to 802.1.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This is already indicated by deprecating:
79.3.3 Link Aggregation TLV (deprecated)
and the associated note:

NOTE-As the Link Aggregation specification has now been removed from IEEE Std 802.3 and is now standardized as IEEE Std 802.1AX, new implementations of this standard are encouraged to make use of the Link Aggregation TLV that is now part of the IEEE 802.1 extension MIB specified in Annex E of IEEE Std 802.1AB-2009.

Cl 82 SC 82.1.5 P 100 L 23 # 281
Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type T Comment Status R

It doesn't seem that the bi-directional arrow is correct between the "Alignment Lock/Lane Deskew" block and the "BER Monitor" block. The BER Monitor State Diagram (Figure 82-13) looks at sync headers and controls the "HIGH_BER" variable, but I don't see that this is fed back into either the alignment marker lock or block lock state diagrams. It seems that if you have a bunch of bad sync headers, the way you lose alignment lock is that you first lose block lock (Figure 82-10 is independently looking at sync headers on a per-PCS lane basis).

SuggestedRemedy

Change the arrow to a single-ended arrow pointing left

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The state of the hi_ber variable controls whether the PCS processes blocks or not. 82.2.1 contains:

"When the PCS deskew process has obtained alignment, the BER monitor process monitors the signal quality asserting hi_ber if excessive errors are detected. When align_status is asserted and hi_ber is de-asserted, the PCS Receive process continuously accepts blocks and generates RXD <63:0> and RXC <7:0> on the XLGMII/CGMII."

Cl 52 SC 52.14.2 P 456 L 8 # 282
Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The text changes due to maintenance request 1213 could be shown more clearly

SuggestedRemedy

Should be shown as "cabled optical" in dark blue underlined font, "fiber" in normal font and "cable" in red strikethrough font.

In Editor's note change "inserted based on" to "change based on"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Show as "Cabled optical fiber" in dark blue underlined font and "Fiber cable" in red strikethrough font.

In Editor's note change "inserted based on" to "change based on"

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 53 SC 53.14.1 P 493 L 9 # 283
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The text changes due to maintenance request 1213 could be shown more clearly

SuggestedRemedy

Show as "cabled optical" in dark blue underlined font, "fiber" in normal font and "cable" in red strikethrough font.

In Editor's note change "inserted based on" to "change based on"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Show as "cabled optical fiber" in dark blue underlined font and "Fiber cable" in red strikethrough font.

In Editor's note change "inserted based on" to "change based on"

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 284
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

In several clauses the amendments have inserted subclauses, tables and figures without renumbering the existing elements, or they have caused numbering issues elsewhere in the clause.

For example, in Clause 55 there is a Table 55-1a, Figure 55-13a etc. Clause 45 has two figures numbered Figure 45-1

Rationalise Subclause, Table and Figure numbering for all amended clauses where this has not been done.

SuggestedRemedy

Rationalise Subclause, Table and Figure numbering in all amended clauses where this has not already been done.

Includes at least Clauses 36, 40, 55, 72, 79

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

The editorial team will go through the document and rationalize such outstanding items.

Cl 55 SC 55.4.2.5.14 P 598 L 26 # 285
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The text changes due to maintenance request 1216 could be shown more clearly

SuggestedRemedy

show the row that has been replaced in red strikethrough font.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See also comment #183

Cl 55 SC 55.5.4.4 P 619 L 26 # 286
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The text changes due to maintenance request 1224 could be shown more clearly

SuggestedRemedy

Show as "a receiver shall operate with an Ethernet frame error" in normal font, "rate" in red strikethrough font, "ratio" in dark blue underlined font, "less than" in normal font, "6.4" in red strikethrough font, "9.6" in dark blue underlined font, "x 10-9 for 800 octet frames" in normal font and "with minimum IPG or greater than 799 octet IPG" in dark blue underlined font

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 55 SC 55.12.4 P 653 L 7 # 287
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The text changes due to maintenance request 1223 could be shown more clearly
 Link to maintenance request shows maint_1223.pdf but goes to maint_1199.pdf

SuggestedRemedy

Show as "Slave's PBO final setting" in normal font, "should be" in red strikethrough font and "within two levels (4dB) of the MASTER's PBO level" in normal font.

Change link to go to maint_1223.pdf

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Show as "Slave's PBO final setting" in normal font, "should be" in red strikethrough font and "within two levels (4 dB) of the MASTER's PBO level" in normal font.

Change link to go to maint_1223.pdf

See also comment #54

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 58 SC 58.9.2 P 95 L 50 # 288
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A MR related; BULK
 The text changes due to maintenance request 1213 could be shown more clearly
 SuggestedRemedy
 Show as "cabled optical" in dark blue underlined font, "fiber" in normal font and "cable" in red strikethrough font.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 59 SC 59.9.2 P 121 L 17 # 289
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A MR related; BULK
 The text changes due to maintenance request 1213 could be shown more clearly
 SuggestedRemedy
 Show as "cabled optical" in dark blue underlined font, "fiber" in normal font and "cable" in red strikethrough font.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 60 SC 60.9.3 P 150 L 12 # 290
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A MR related; BULK
 The text changes due to maintenance request 1213 could be shown more clearly
 SuggestedRemedy
 Show as "cabled optical fiber" in dark blue underlined font and "cable" in red strikethrough font.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 60 SC 60.9.3 P 150 L 31 # 291
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A MR related; BULK
 The text changes due to maintenance request 1213 could be shown more clearly
 SuggestedRemedy
 Show as "cabled optical" in dark blue underlined font, "fiber" in normal font and "cable" in red strikethrough font.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 76 SC 76.3.2.5.2 P 591 L 4 # 292
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A MR related; BULK
 Link to maintenance request shows maint_1218.pdf but goes to maint_1199.pdf
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change link to go to maint_1218.pdf
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 57A SC 57A.2 P 685 L 38 # 293
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A MR related; BULK
 Link to maintenance request shows maint_1229.pdf but goes to maint_1199.pdf
 The text changes due to maintenance request 1229 could be shown more clearly
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change link to go to maint_1229.pdf
 "frames" and "transmitted in any one-second period per Slow Protocol subtype" should be in normal font as they have not changed.
 Show "the absolute" in red strikethrough font.
 When the appropriate 30.3.1.1.3X aSlowProtocolFrameLimit subclause has been added, update 30.3.1.1.3X to the correct reference
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Coordinate the link change from 30.3.1.1.3X to the correct location with section 3 editor

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.1.3 P 19 L 32 # 294
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 space missing in "a100G" and see 45.2.1.6.1 should be a link
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert space in "a100G" and make 45.2.1.6.1 a link
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.5 P 26 L 24 # 295
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 The references for the 40/100GBASE-SR4/10 and the 40GBASE-LR4 PMDs are swapped over
 SuggestedRemedy
 Swap the references "87.5.11" and "86.5.11"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.8 P 28 L 1 # 296
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 The note at the end is missing a "."
 SuggestedRemedy
 add "." at the end of the note.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.104 P 94 L 35 # 297
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Space missing in "seeTable 45-74"
 On line 36 "repectively" should be "respectively"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert a space
 Change "repectively" to "respectively"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13 P 126 L 1 # 298
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 The title of Table 45-107 is not as modified by 802.3ba
 SuggestedRemedy
 In the title of Table 45-107 change "BASE-R and BASE-T" to "BASE-R and 10GBASE-T"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #212

Cl 80 SC 80.1.5 P 60 L 6 # 299
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 In Table 80-2, the border to the left of the clause 89 column should not be thick and should not go through the "Clause" row
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fix the border and straddle cells.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #139

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 16 L 48 # 300
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A al Standard reference change

See slide 11 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/anslow_1_0711.pdf for the justification for this change.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.993.1, 2001-Very high-speed digital ..." to:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.993.1, 2001-Very high speed digital ..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 16 L 18 # 301
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A Global reference change

See slide 12 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/anslow_1_0711.pdf for the justification for this change.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.691, 2006-Optical interfaces for single-channel ..." to:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.691, 2006-Optical interfaces for single channel ..."

Also, on line 29, delete " (SDH)" from the end of the title for G.957

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 73 SC 73.7.2 P 477 L 35 # 302
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A BULK

40GBASE-KR4, 40GBASE-CR4, and 100GBASE-CR10 is shown in underline font

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the underline

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 73 SC 73.10.1 P 488 L 43 # 303
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A BULK

Items 4 to 6 of single_link_ready are shown in underline font

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the underline

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 304
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS

For all of the PICS "Protocol summary" subclauses there are two places that refer to the clause and the standard.

For example in 45.5.2.2 is:
 "Identification of protocol standard" "IEEE P802.3/D1.0, Clause 45, ..."
 "... the implementation does not conform to IEEE P802.3/D1.0"

Other PICS clauses have different formats.
 For consistency, ease of updating through the various versions and ease of converting to a published standard it would be useful to change all instances in all PICS proforma to "IEEE Std 802.3-201x"

SuggestedRemedy

Change all instances in all PICS proforma to "IEEE Std 802.3-201x"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Refer to #261

Cl 74 SC 74.1 P 505 L 13 # 305
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A Than in 74; BULK

"that are defined" should be "than are defined" (802.3ba)

SuggestedRemedy

change "that are defined" to "than are defined"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 74 SC 74.4 P 506 L 35 # 306
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A BULK; 802.3ba merge
 Some changes made by 802.3ba have not been implemented
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change:
 "to and from the 10GBASE-R PCS, which is the sole FEC client." to:
 "to and from the PCS."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 74 SC 74.8.4.1 P 524 L 19 # 307
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A BULK; 802.3ba merge
 A change made by 802.3ba is still shown with strikethrough font
 same issue in 74.8.4.2 on line 31
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change:
 "for each corrected FEC blocks processed" where the "s" at the end of "blocks" is in
 strikethrough font to:
 "for each corrected FEC block processed"
 Make the equivalent change in 74.8.4.2 on line 31
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 82 SC 82.2.3.3 P 106 L 32 # 308
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 "G.709[Bx1]" should be "G.709[B50]"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "G.709[Bx1]" to "G.709[B50]" (2 instances)
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 4A SC 4A.4.2 P 608 L 6 # 309
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 space missing in "valueof 8 BT"
 SuggestedRemedy
 insert space in "valueof"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 31B SC 31B.3.7 P 717 L 3 # 310
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Space missing in "ofpause_time"
 Same issue on line 7
 SuggestedRemedy
 insert space to make it ""of pause_time"
 do the same on line 7
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See #122

CI 31B SC 31B.4.3 P 719 L 21 # 311
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 space missing between number and unit in "40Gb/s" and "100Gb/s"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert spaces to become "40 Gb/s" and "100 Gb/s"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 72 **SC 72.5** **P 433** **L 26** # **312**
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **A** *BULK*
 There are two tables numbered Table 72-1
SuggestedRemedy
 Fix the table numbering in Clause 72
Response *Response Status* **C**
 ACCEPT.
 Correct the autonumbering format for Tables in Clause 72

Cl 80 **SC 80.5** **P 70** **L 15** # **313**
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **A**
 In Table 80-4 Item SP4, the change made by 802.3bg has not been implemented.
 Also, the instances of "89.3.2" are not links (or in Table 80-5)
SuggestedRemedy
 Add "or 89.3.2"
 make all instances of "89.3.2" links in Tables 80-4 and 80-5
Response *Response Status* **C**
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #140

Cl 80 **SC 80.4** **P 67** **L 22** # **314**
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **A**
 In Table 80-3, item 40GBASE-FR PMD, "89.3.1" should be a link and the row should have a thin lower border
SuggestedRemedy
 Make it a link and fix the border
Response *Response Status* **C**
 ACCEPT.
 See also comments #272 and #131

Cl 89 **SC 89.1** **P 267** **L 9** # **315**
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **A**
 "G.693 [Bx1]" should be "G.693 [B49]" here, on line 11 and on Page 274 line 27
SuggestedRemedy
 Change "G.693 [Bx1]" to "G.693 [B49]" (3 instances)
Response *Response Status* **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 **SC 45.2.2.20** **P 112** **L 7** # **316**
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **A**
 Table 45-93 is followed by Table 45-95
SuggestedRemedy
 Fix Table numbering
Response *Response Status* **C**
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #232

Cl 45 **SC 45.2.3.48** **P 150** **L 29** # **317**
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **A**
 Table 45-139 is followed by Table 45-124
SuggestedRemedy
 Fix Table numbering
Response *Response Status* **C**
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #214

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.7 P 158 L 9 # 318
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 The heading inserted by 802.3az for "EEE wake error counter (Register 4.22)" is missing

SuggestedRemedy
 Insert heading as 45.2.4.8

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See response to comment #225

Cl 45 SC 45.2.4.10 P 160 L 21 # 319
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 The text describing register 4.25 comes after that for register 4.1800 through 4.1808

SuggestedRemedy
 Move the text for register 4.25 before that for register 4.1800

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #227

Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.13 P 171 L 20 # 320
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 The text for "EEE wake error counter (Register 5.22)" is there twice
 45.2.5.9 and 45.2.5.13

SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the second instance

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #229

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12.6 P 37 L 13 # 321
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 In the heading of 45.2.1.12.6, "40BASE-FR ability" should be "40GBASE-FR ability"

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "40BASE-FR ability" to "40GBASE-FR ability"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #217

Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.10 P 169 L 50 # 322
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 The text describing registers 5.24 and 5.25 comes after that for register 5.1800 through
 5.1808

SuggestedRemedy
 Move the text for registers 5.24 and 5.25 before that for register 4.1800

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #230

Cl 45 SC 45.4.2 P 206 L 19 # 323
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 There are two Figures numbered 45-1

SuggestedRemedy
 Fix the Figure numbering

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 48 SC 48.2.6.1.5 P 308 L 44 # 324
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Incorrect cross-reference
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "(see 45.2.3.8b)" to "(see 45.2.3.10)"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 48 SC 48.2.6.1.5a P 308 L 46 # 325
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 The heading "48.2.6.1.5a Timers" should be re-numbered
 SuggestedRemedy
 Re-number the headings
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 55 SC 55.3.5.4 P 578 L 5 # 326
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 The editing instruction in 802.3az said "Replace Figure 55-14, Figure 55-15, and Figure 55-16 with new figures"
 However, the LFER monitor state diagram appears twice as Figures 55-14 and 55-15
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete Figure 55-14
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 55 SC 55.1.3 P 537 L 1 # 327
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Figure 55-3 seems to have been corrupted compared to the version in 802.3az
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fix the figure
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comment #50

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 328
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A PICS
 Several clauses have had PICS items added using letter subscripts to avoid re-numbering the PICS items.
 e.g. in Clause 45 we have MM19, MM19a, MM19b, .. MM19d, MM20 and no MM14
 SuggestedRemedy
 Re-number the PICs items
 This is needed in at least:
 Clause 45
 Clause 55
 Clause 70
 Clause 71
 Clause 72
 Clause 74
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 329
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The draft has a number of references to:
 Annex 30A (16 instances in Sections 1, 2 and 5)
 e.g. "... as defined by the NAMEBINDINGS in 30A.10.1 ..."
 Annex 30C (2 instances in Section 2)
 "see 30C.4.2" and "see 30C.4.4"

But Annex 30A has been moved to IEEE Std 802.3.1
 Annex 30C has been moved to IEEE Std 802.1AX

SuggestedRemedy

Replace all references with appropriate references to where the material went.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete both notes referencing 30C

Delete Annex H

Remove sentence from 5.1 containing reference to Annex H
 Fix frontmatter description of section 1
 In 19.1.5 delete words "in Annex H"

Delete the Note in line 20, page (PDF) 331 and the sentence immediately before it in 30.1.

See also response to #237, #238, #239.

Editor has upgraded this to a T.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 14 L 35 # 330
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Various amendments have added a "subclause" column to Table 45-3 PMA/PMD registers and put in cross-references to the applicable subclause for each register. However, there are many entries missing.

Also, IEEE 802.3bf added a subclause column to Table 45-77 WIS registers, Table 45-98 PCS registers, Table 45-127 PHY XS register, Table 45-138 DTE XS registers and Table 45-149 TC registers but this has not been implemented.

The title of Table 45-127 has lost the "s" from "registers" since the 802.3-2008 version.

SuggestedRemedy

Complete the subclause column in Table 45-3 PMA/PMD registers

Add a subclause column to:

- Table 45-77 WIS registers
- Table 45-98 PCS registers
- Table 45-127 PHY XS register
- Table 45-138 DTE XS registers
- Table 45-149 TC registers

Change the name of Table 45-127 "PHY XS register" to be "PHY XS registers"

For consistency in the rest of clause 45 add a subclause column to:

- Table 45-163 Auto-Negotiation MMD registers
- Table 45-175 Clause 22 extension registers
- Table 45-183 Vendor specific MMD 2 registers

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 49 SC 49.1.6 P 331 L 36 # 331
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Figure 49-4 still has the underlines showing added text from 802.3az
 Same issue in Figures 49-14 and 49-15

SuggestedRemedy

Remove underlines

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 49 SC 49.2.13.3.1 P 352 L 32 # 332
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Table cells with no value entered should contain an em dash (see IEEE style manual)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Put an em dash in empty (Min) cells in Table 49-3
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 333
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Some of the text inserted by the various amendments is still underlined when this was done only to mark the insertion.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the underline.
 This is needed at least in:
 The heading of 48.2.4.2
 48.2.6.1.3
 49.2.13.2.3
 51.2
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 79 SC 79.4.2 P 49 L 7 # 334
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 In Tables 79-6 and 79-7 right hand column, some of the managed object class attribute entries are links and others are not.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make them all links.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 72 SC 72.7.1 P 449 L 17 # 335
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A BULK
 Table 72-5 contains two rows where the Value is two numbers separated by "-"
 The IEEE Standards Style Manual (2009) in section 14.2 Numbers says that for ranges: "Dashes should never be used because they can be misconstrued for subtraction signs"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "0-1.9" to "0 to 1.9"
 Change "24-47" to "24 to 47"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 17 L 26 # 336
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 45.2.1.99 calls register 1.1500 "Test-pattern ability" but when it is referenced in Table 45-3 and Table 83-3 it is shown without the hyphen as "Test pattern ability"
 SuggestedRemedy
 In Table 45-3 and Table 83-3 change "Test pattern" to "Test-pattern" (8 instances in total)
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 87 SC 87.7 P 257 L 49 # 337
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Fibre type "B6_A" in IEC 60793-2-50 should be shown with a lower case a
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change all instances of "B6_A" to "B6_a" in clauses 87 and 88
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 52 SC 52.9.6.2 P 442 L 6 # 338
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 802.3 has chosen to use "single-mode" rather than "singlemode" see:
http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html

Section 4 has 7 instances in Clauses 52 and 53 of "singlemode"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "singlemode" to "single-mode" (7 instances)

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 43C SC 43C P 339 L 6 # 339
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 In "was moved to IEEE Std 802.1AX-200X during the IEEE Std 802.3-200X revision"
 has two instances of "200X"

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "was moved to IEEE Std 802.1AX-2008 during the IEEE Std 802.3-2008
 revision"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 15 SC 15.8.6.1 P 395 L 40 # 340
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 The hypertext link for http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/maint_1213.pdf is
 associated with the black text rather than the blue text

SuggestedRemedy

Move the hypertext marker in to the blue text

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.2.1 P 315 L 37 # 341
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editor Note
 The correct hypertext link for http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/maint_1199.pdf is
 associated with the black text and the the blue text has a hypertext link which has a
 spurious "IEEE" at the end.

Editor's notes on pages 316, 318 and 326 have the link associated with black text rather
 than blue text

SuggestedRemedy

Fix the links

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

The Editor's note is intended as additional information for the balloter. It will not be part of
 the standard. Nevertheless, your comment will be considered on the next draft

Cl 40 SC 40.8.3.3 P 253 L 18 # 342
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 Says "inserted based on maintenance request 1202" but it should be request 1203 (URL is
 correct)
 Also, http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/revision_history.html says:
 "(a) After discussion, the suggested text was changed to say "The frequency of the
 measurement shall be above 1 MHz."

SuggestedRemedy

Change 1202 to 1203
 If revision history is correct, change text to "The frequency of the measurement shall be
 above 1 MHz."

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See #31

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 53 SC 53.8.1 P 475 L 19 # 343
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type T Comment Status A
 The changes due to maintenance request 1213 have not been made to Table 53-9 Note c
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "multimode fiber" in note c to "cabled multimode optical fiber"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 68 SC 68.5 P 359 L 1 # 344
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type T Comment Status A MR related
 The text changes due to maintenance request 1213 have not been implemented correctly
 SuggestedRemedy
 "cable" should be "cabled"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 68 SC 68.9 P 379 L 11 # 345
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type T Comment Status A MR related
 The text changes due to maintenance request 1213 have not been implemented in Tables 68-8 and 68-9
 SuggestedRemedy
 In Table 68-8 Change "fibre insertion loss" to "cabled optical fiber insertion loss"
 In Table 68-9 Change "cable attenuation" to "cabled optical fiber attenuation"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.1.1 P 347 L 8 # 346
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type T Comment Status A MR 1229
 Part of maintenance request 1229 has not been implemented
 SuggestedRemedy
 In subclause 30.3.1.1, add a new subclause which defines the attribute:
 30.3.1.1.3X aSlowProtocolFrameLimit
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See #203

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.6 P 120 L 1 # 347
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type T Comment Status A
 The titles of Tables 45-102 and 45-103 were changed by 802.3ba
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change:
 "Table 45-102-10G PCS control 2 register bit definitions" to:
 "Table 45-102-PCS control 2 register bit definitions"
 Change:
 "Table 45-103-10G status 2 register bit definitions" to:
 "Table 45-103-PCS status 2 register bit definitions"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See also comments #209 and 210

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.6.1 P 119 L 52 # 348
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type T Comment Status A
 This says "The PCS type abilities of the PCS are advertised in bits 3.8.2:0." as per the changes made by 802.3ba. However this should have been "in bits 3.8.5:0"
 See Table 45-103
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "in bits 3.8.2:0." to "in bits 3.8.5:0"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.2 P 209 L 15 # 349
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 Several entries in the subclause column are not links
 Items *10P and *2B should have status of PMA:O as modified by 802.3ba
 Items *KX, *KX4 and *KR should have subclause of 45.2.1.6 as modified by 802.3ba
 Item *40XAR should have a subclause of 45.2.1.12
 Item *FEC-R should have feature "Implementation of BASE-R FEC" and subclause 45.2.1.89 as modified by 802.3ba

SuggestedRemedy
 Make all entries in the subclause column links
 Make status of items *10P and *2B "PMA:O"
 Make subclause of items *KX, *KX4 and *KR "45.2.1.6" (and a link)
 Change subclause of item *40XAR from "45.2.1.10" to "45.2.1.12"
 Change item *FEC-R feature to "Implementation of BASE-R FEC" and subclause to "45.2.1.89"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 80 SC 80.1.4 P 59 L 26 # 350
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 In Table 80-1, item 40GBASE-SR4 "100 km" should be "100 m"

SuggestedRemedy
 change "100 km" to "100 m"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 16 L 8 # 351
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A al Standard reference change
 See slide 3 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/anslow_1_0711.pdf for the justification for this change.
 A comment was made during the maintenance meeting in San Francisco that it would be better to remove the "(2010)" from 75.9.3

SuggestedRemedy
 Change:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.650.1, 2004-Transmission media characteristics-Optical fibre cables" to:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.650.1, 2010-Definitions and test methods for linear, deterministic attributes of single-mode fibre and cable"

Also, in Section 5, subclause 75.9.3, Table 75-14 footnote d on Page 560 Line 19, change:
 "in G.650.1 (06/2004)" to:
 "in G.650.1"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 16 L 10 # 352
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A al Standard reference change
 See slide 4 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/anslow_1_0711.pdf for the justification for this change.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.652, 2005-Characteristics of a single-mode optical fibre cable" to:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.652, 2009-Characteristics of a single-mode optical fibre and cable"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 16 L 12 # 353
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A al Standard reference change

See slide 5 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/anslow_1_0711.pdf for the justification for this change.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.657, 2006-Characteristics of a bending loss insensitive single mode optical fibre and cable for the access network" to:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.657, 2009-Characteristics of a bending-loss insensitive single-mode optical fibre and cable for the access network"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 16 L 15 # 354
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A al Standard reference change

See slide 6 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/anslow_1_0711.pdf for the justification for this change.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.671 am 1, 2006-Transmission characteristics of optical components and subsystems, Amendment 1" to:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.671, 2009-Transmission characteristics of optical components and subsystems"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 16 L 25 # 355
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A al Standard reference change

See slide 7 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/anslow_1_0711.pdf for the justification for this change.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.695, 2006-Optical ..." to:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.695, 2010-Optical ..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 16 L 31 # 356
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A al Standard reference change

See slide 8 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/anslow_1_0711.pdf for the justification for this change.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.959.1, 2008-Optical ..." to:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.959.1, 2009-Optical ..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 16 L 35 # 357
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A MR 1228

See slide 9 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/anslow_1_0711.pdf for the justification for this change.

SuggestedRemedy

Show references:
 "ITU-T Recommendation G.983.1, 2005-Broadband optical access systems based on Passive Optical Networks (PON).
 ITU-T Recommendation G.984.3, 2008-Gigabit-capable Passive Optical Networks (G-PON): Transmission convergence layer specification."
 in red strikethrough font and add an editor's note:
 "Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): deleted based on maintenance request 1228.
 See http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/maint_1228.pdf"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 01 SC 1.3 P17 L9 # 358
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A al Standard reference change

See slide 10 of http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/anslow_1_0711.pdf for the justification for this change.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
 "ITU-T Recommendation O.172, 1999-Jitter ..." to:
 "ITU-T Recommendation O.172, 2005-Jitter ..."

Also, in Section 4, subclause 50.3.8.3.1, Note on Page 386 line 52, change:
 "in ITU-T Recommendation O.172, 1999" to:
 "in ITU-T Recommendation O.172"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 01 SC 1.3 P15 L1 # 359
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A Standards reference change

In 802.3 there are numerous references to LLC as well as three references to ISO/IEC 8802-2 but it does not appear in the list of references

SuggestedRemedy

Add a reference in subclause 1.3:
 "ISO/IEC 8802-2:1998, Information technology-Telecommunications and information exchange between systems-Local and metropolitan area networks-Specific requirements-Part 2: Logical link control"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 74 SC 74.8.1 P522 L53 # 360
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A 802.3ba merge

A change made by 802.3ba has not been implemented

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
 "for the 10GBASE-R PHY" to:
 "for the BASE-R PHY"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 46 SC 46.6.3.8 P270 L14 # 361
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Item EC4 has subclause of 46.4.2.3 which does not exist

SuggestedRemedy

Reference the correct subclause (presumably 46.4 as it contains Figure 46-11)

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change the subclause to 46.4

CI 48 SC 48.2.6.1.5a P309 L3 # 362
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 48-10 does not contain a value for TWR

SuggestedRemedy

Correct the references to Table 48-10 after the missing table has been added.
 See related comment.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 48 SC 48.2.6.2.5 P317 L51 # 363
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Table 48-10 as added by 802.3az is missing

SuggestedRemedy

Add the table

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.9.1 P 180 L 1 # 364
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 The heading for 45.2.6.9.1 is "Link partner aggregate operation (1.21.1:0)" but the subclause appears to describe register 6.21 bits 1:0.
 Am I missing something?

SuggestedRemedy
 Change the heading for 45.2.6.9.1 to "Link partner aggregate operation (6.21.1:0)"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 51 SC 51.4 P 408 L 36 # 365
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 The bottom box of Figure 51-3 (as inserted by 802.3az) says "see 51.8a" which does not exist.

SuggestedRemedy
 Correct the reference

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Since the only other occurrence of PMA_ENERGY.indication is in 51.2.6, change reference to that.

Cl 55 SC 55.6.1.2 P 621 L 47 # 366
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 D12 says "Defined in 28.2.1.2.6" but that is D15 Next Page

SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "Defined in 28.2.1.2.3"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 53 SC 53.15.4.3 P 498 L 31 # 367
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 53.4.8 says "If the optional PMD_lane_by_lane_transmit_disable function is not implemented in MDIO, an alternative method shall be provided to independently disable each transmit lane."

PICS item MR4 "PMD_lane_by_lane_transmit_disable" points to 53.4.8 and has Value/Comment "Disables each optical transmitter independently if FN12 = NO"

But FN12 is the "PMD_reset function" which is nothing to do with disabling lanes.
 Since 53.4.8 says that an "alternative method shall be provided" MR3 and MR4 should not both be optional.

SuggestedRemedy
 In PICS item MR3 Status change "MD:O" to "MD:O.2"
 In PICS item MR4 Value/Comment change "if FN12 = NO" to "if MR3 = NO" and in Status change "O" to "O.2"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 59 SC 59.6 P 111 L 35 # 368
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 Equation 59-1 is
 $TJ = 14.1s + DJ$ at 1012" where "s" is sigma and "1012" is 10 to the power 12
 However, this should be 10 to the power -12

SuggestedRemedy
 Change equation 59-1 to end 10 to the power -12

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 59 SC 59.7.12 P 117 L 10 # 369
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This says "stressed receive sensitivity level in Table for 1000BASE-LX10", but the table number is missing (although the link works)

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Table for" to "Table 59-5 for"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 370
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A BER

In 1.5 the abbreviation "BER" is expanded to "bit error ratio" and "BERT" is "bit error ratio tester"

A search of the entire D2.0 gives 143 instances of "error ratio" and 29 instances of "error rate"

Since a number like 10 to the power -12 is not a rate but a ratio, change the 29 instances to be "error ratio"

SuggestedRemedy

In section 1 change 2 instances of "error rate" to "error ratio"
 In section 2 change 2 instances of "error rate" to "error ratio"
 In section 3 change 3 instances of "error rate" to "error ratio"
 In section 4 change 19 instances of "error rate" to "error ratio"
 In section 5 change 3 instances of "error rate" to "error ratio"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 53 SC 53.9.10.2 P 483 L 3 # 371
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This says "This shall be achieved using ITU-T G.652 fiber (note 2) or fibers ..."

What does "(note 2)" refer to?
 There isn't a note 2 in G.652 or in 53.9.10.2

SuggestedRemedy

Either clarify what this refers to or remove "(note 2)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Remove "(note 2)"

Cl 82 SC 82.2.18.2.2 P 116 L 51 # 372
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Item signal_ok has "...value of inst:IS_UNITDATA.indication(SIGNAL_OK)" but this should be: "...value of inst:IS_SIGNAL.indication(SIGNAL_OK)"

SuggestedRemedy

change
 "...value of inst:IS_UNITDATA.indication(SIGNAL_OK)" to:
 "...value of inst:IS_SIGNAL.indication(SIGNAL_OK)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 45 SC 45.5.3 P 213 L 27 # 373
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Item MM45a points to 45.2.1.10 but should be 45.2.1.13
 The change to the Status of Item RM43 made by 802.3ba has not been implemented.
 The change to the Status of Items RM49 and RM50 made by 802.3ba have not been implemented correctly.

SuggestedRemedy

In MM45a change 45.2.1.10 to 45.2.1.13
 In RM43 change the Status to "!RM50f:M"
 In RM49 and RM50 change the Status to "XCR:M"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 In MM45a change 45.2.1.10 to 45.2.1.12
 In RM43 change the Status to "!RM50f:M"
 In RM49 and RM50 change the Status to "XCR:M"

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 374
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A Safety References

There are two entries for IEC 60950 in subclause 1.3:

IEC 60950:1991, Safety of information technology equipment.
 IEC 60950-1:2001, Information technology equipment—Safety—Part 1: General requirements.

However, since 2001 IEC 60950-1 has been updated with Edition 2.0 in 2005

There are 111 references to IEC 60950. These can be divided into those under the heading "General safety" and those for isolation requirements.

SuggestedRemedy

If there are differences in the isolation requirements between the 1991, 2001 and 2005 versions, then leave the isolation references as they are. If the requirements are the same then update the isolation requirements to be the 2005 edition.

For the "General safety" clauses (and their associated PICS) change the reference to be "IEC 60950-1:2005" and add an entry in 1.3 for this version.

This applies to at least:
 8.7.1, 14.7.1, 23.9.1, 27.5.1, 32.10.1, 33.7.1, 52.10.1, 53.10.1, 55.9.1, 58.8.1, 59.8.1, 60.8.1, 68.10.3.5, 70.9.1, 71.9.1, 72.9.1, 75.8.1, 84.10.1, 86.9.1, 87.9.1, 88.9.1, 89.8.1, 83A.6.1, 83B.3.1, 86A.7.1
 and their associated PICS item.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

For the "General safety" clauses (and their associated PICS) change the reference to be "IEC 60950-1" and add an undated entry in 1.3 for this standard.

Do not change in deprecated clauses.
 Leave isolation references as they are.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 01 SC 1.3 P 11 L 51 # 375
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Standards reference change

See http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/anslow_2_0711.pdf for the justification for this change.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
 "IEC 60825-1:2001, Edition 1.2, Consolidated Edition; Safety of Laser Products-Part 1: Equipment classification, requirements and user's guide" to:
 "IEC 60825-1, Safety of laser products-Part 1: Equipment classification and requirements"

On line 54, change:
 "IEC 60825-2:1993, Safety of laser products-Part 2: Safety of optical fibre communication systems" to:
 "IEC 60825-2, Safety of laser products-Part 2: Safety of optical fibre communication systems (OFCS)"

In subclause 9.9.7.1.2 in Section 1, Page 224, Line 13, change:
 "of IEC 60825: 1993, if" to:
 "of IEC 60825-1 and IEC 60825-2, if"

In subclause 52.10.2 in Section 4, Page 453, Line 14, change:
 "in the IEC 60825-1:2001, under" to:
 "in IEC 60825-1, under"

In subclause 52.15.3.11 in Section 4, Page 464, Line 8, change:
 "in the IEC 60825-1" to:
 "in IEC 60825-1"

In subclause 53.10.2 in Section 4, Page 490, Line 41, change:
 "to the IEC 60825-1, which has been updated by Amendment 2 (2001-01)." to:
 "to IEC 60825-1."

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 01 SC 1.2.6 P 9 L 23 # 376
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Says "inserted based on maintenance request 1202" but it should be request 1204 (URL is correct)

SuggestedRemedy

Change 1202 to 1204

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 15 SC 15.3.1.1 P 386 L 33 # 377
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

In the added text, "of" should not be in blue underlined (it has not been added)

SuggestedRemedy

show "of" in normal font

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI B SC B.5.2 P 540 L 11 # 378
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The text changes due to request 1213 could be shown more clearly.

SuggestedRemedy

The change on line 11 should be shown as: "cabled optical" in dark blue underlined font, "fiber" in normal font and "optic cable" in red strikethrough font.
 In Table B-3 only "cabled optical" should be in blue underlined font
 In Example 1 only "cabled" should be in blue underlined font

In Editor's note change "inserted based on" to "change based on"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 25 SC 25.3 P 189 L 52 # 379
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Link to maintenance request shows maint_1212.pdf but goes to maint_1199.pdf
 Also, text changes could be shown more clearly
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change link to go to maint_1212.pdf
 In Table 25-1 show text as "3062" in red strikethrough font, "4018" in dark blue underlined font and "code-groups" in normal font.
 In Editor's note change "inserted based on" to "change based on"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 33 SC 33.3.7.8 P 626 L 3 # 382
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Text changes could be shown more clearly
 Link to maintenance request shows maint_1230.pdf but goes to maint_1199.pdf
 SuggestedRemedy
 The text should be shown as "Following a valid detection and a rising voltage transition from Vvalid to VClass, t" in dark blue underlined font, "T" in red strikethrough font and the rest of the text in normal font as it is unchanged.
 Change link to go to maint_1230.pdf
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 26 SC 26.3 P 189 L 52 # 380
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Link to maintenance request shows maint_1212.pdf but goes to maint_1199.pdf
 Also, text changes could be shown more clearly
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change link to go to maint_1212.pdf
 In Table 26-1 show text as "maximum stream size =" in normal font, "3062" in red strikethrough font, "4018" in dark blue underlined font and "code-groups" in normal font.
 In Editor's note change "inserted based on" to "change based on"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 33 SC 33.6.3.3 P 644 L 22 # 383
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Hyperlink has spurious "IEEE" at the end
 SuggestedRemedy
 Correct the link
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.6.1.1.8 P 413 L 48 # 381
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A Editor Note
 Link to maintenance request shows maint_1201.pdf but goes to maint_1199.pdf
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change link to go to maint_1201.pdf
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 28A SC 28A P 687 L 32 # 384
 Anslow, Peter Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 In Table 28A-1 text changes could be shown more clearly
 SuggestedRemedy
 Show as "INCITS" in dark blue underlined font and "Reserved for future Auto-Negotiation developmenta" in red strikethrough font.
 In Editor's note change "inserted based on" to "change based on"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

The Editor's note is intended as additional information for the balloter. It will not be part of the standard. Nevertheless, your comment will be considered on the next draft

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 31C SC 31C.1 P 721 L 15 # 385
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editor note

The text changes due to maintenance request 1228 could be shown more clearly

SuggestedRemedy

Show added text in dark blue underlined font, deleted text in red strikethrough font and unchanged text in normal font in 31C.1, 31C.2 and Figure 31C-1
 In Editor's note change "inserted based on" to "change based on"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

The Editor's note is intended as additional information for the balloter. It will not be part of the standard. Nevertheless, your comment will be considered on the next draft

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.73 P 73 L 46 # 386
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Link to maintenance request shows maint_1225.pdf but goes to maint_1199.pdf

SuggestedRemedy

Change link to go to maint_1225.pdf

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.73 P 73 L 51 # 387
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

In the changes due to maintenance request 1225, the references to 55.4.6.1 should be a link and "8db" should be "8 dB"

SuggestedRemedy

In 45.2.1.73, 45.2.1.74, 45.2.1.75 and 45.2.1.76, change the references to 55.4.6.1 to links and "8db" to "8 dB" (4 instances of each)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See also comment #34

Cl 52 SC 52.6.3 P 434 L 14 # 388
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The text changes due to maintenance request 1213 could be shown more clearly

SuggestedRemedy

"fiber" should not be in blue underlined (it has not been added)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.6.13.1 P 181 L 51 # 389
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

This says "(see 61.2.3.3.8)" but 61.2.3.3.8 does not exist
 Same issue in 45.2.6.13.2

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "(see 61.3.3.8)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Change in both places

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.2.3 P 186 L 35 # 390
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A

This says "(see 28.2.4.5)" but 28.2.4.5 does not exist.
 Also, next sentence says "This bit is a copy of bit 6.1 in register 6, if present (see 28.2.4.1)" but 28.2.4.1 covers all of the registers whereas 28.2.4.1.5 is specific to register 6

SuggestedRemedy

Change "(see 28.2.4.5)" to "(see 28.2.4.1.5)"
 Change "(see 28.2.4.1)" to "(see 28.2.4.1.5)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.26.3 P 142 L 6 # 391
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 This says "in 61.2.3.4" but 61.2.3.4 does not exist

SuggestedRemedy
 Change to pint to the correct subclause. 61.2.3?

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change reference to 61.2.3

CI 48 SC 48.7.4.5 P 326 L 41 # 392
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 The PICS items in 48.7.4.5 all have the same item description "LP-01"

SuggestedRemedy
 Change them to be "LP-01" through "LP-05"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.2.3 P 186 L 32 # 393
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 This says "... registers 7.19-7.21 ..."
 The IEEE Standards Style Manual (2009) in section 14.2 Numbers says that for ranges:
 "Dashes should never be used because they can be misconstrued for subtraction signs"
 Same issue in two other places in this subclause.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "... registers 7.19-7.21 ..." to "... registers 7.19 to 7.21 ..." (2 instances)
 Change "... registers 7.25-7.27 ..." to "... registers 7.25 to 7.27 ..."

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 55 SC 55.4.3.1 P 600 L 45 # 394
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 This says "... in registers 1.141-1.144 ..."
 The IEEE Standards Style Manual (2009) in section 14.2 Numbers says that for ranges:
 "Dashes should never be used because they can be misconstrued for subtraction signs"

SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "... in registers 1.141 to 1.144 ..."

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 53 SC 53.9.13 P 485 L 30 # 395
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 This says "The test may use two optical sources and an optical combiner as defined in
 52.9.12", but 52.9.12 does not exist.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "in 52.9.12" to "in 52.9.11"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

CI 51 SC 51.8 P 417 L 15 # 396
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 This says "then this function maps to the PMA loopback function as specified in
 45.2.1.1.4", but this is referring to local loopback, which has been re-numbered to
 45.2.1.1.5
 same issue in 54.5.8

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "in 45.2.1.1.4" to "in 45.2.1.1.5" here and in 54.5.8

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 55 SC 55.6.2 P 623 L 28 # 397
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This says "...shown in the Arbitration state diagram (Figure 28-13.)", but Figure 28-13 is "Extended Message Page encoding"

Also, on Page 625 line 15 it says "Determination of MASTER-SLAVE values occur on the entrance to the FLP LINK GOOD CHECK state (Figure 28-16)", but the state FLP LINK GOOD CHECK does not appear in Figure 28-16

SuggestedRemedy

Change "(Figure 28-13.)" to "(Figure 28-18)."
 On Page 625 change "(Figure 28-16)" to "(Figure 28-18)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change "(Figure 28-13.)" to "(Figure 28-18)."
 On Page 625 change "(Figure 28-16)" to "(Figure 28-18)" (2 instances)

CI 48B SC 48B.3.2.1.1 P 689 L 30 # 398
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This says "...corresponding to 10E-12 BER..." and on line 35 "... (approximately 10E-4)..."
 10E-12 is equivalent to 1E-11 and 10E-4 is equivalent to 1E-3 which isn't what was meant.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
 "...corresponding to 10E-12 BER..." to:
 "...corresponding to 1E-12 BER..."
 Change:
 "... (approximately 10E-4)..." to:
 "... (approximately 1E-4)..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 48B SC 48B.3.2.2.1 P 690 L 23 # 399
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This says "...described in 48B3.2.1.2,...", but 48B.3.2.1.2 does not exist

SuggestedRemedy

Change "...described in 48B3.2.1.2,..." to "...described in 48B.3.2.1.1,..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 72 SC 72.10.4.3 P 462 L 49 # 400
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Says "Sets PMD_transmit_fault as specified in 45.2.1.7.5", but this is PMD_receive_fault

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "Sets PMD_receive_fault as ..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.
 Comment type was changed from "E" to "T"

CI 45 SC 45.3.7 P 205 L 8 # 401
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This says "Figure 22-13 shows the behavior of the MDIO signal during the turnaround field of a read or post-read-increment-address transaction.", but Figure 22-13 is "Octet/nibble transmit and receive order"

However, Figure 22-15 is "Behavior of MDIO during TA field of a read transaction"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Figure 22-13 shows..." to "Figure 22-15 shows..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 79 SC 79.5.7 P 54 L 8 # 402
 Anslow, Peter Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Item PVT1 has a Value/Comment of "Bit map of the MDI power capabilities and status as defined in Table 79-2", but Table 79-2 is "IEEE 802.3 auto-negotiation support/status".
 This should be Table 79-3 "MDI power capabilities/status"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "...defined in Table 79-2" to "...defined in Table 79-3"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 31B SC 31B.3.7 P 717 L 3 # 403
 Barrass, Hugh Cisco
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Missing space:
 ofpause_time
 SuggestedRemedy
 of pause_time
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See #122

Cl 31B SC 31B.3.7 P 717 L 7 # 404
 Barrass, Hugh Cisco
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Missing space:
 ofpause_time
 SuggestedRemedy
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 See #122

Cl 28C SC 28C P 692 L 21 # 405
 Barrass, Hugh Cisco
 Comment Type T Comment Status A OUI
 Although the OUI message code defined in 28C.6 may be transported by an extended next page message using the encapsulation defined in 28C, such an encapsulation requires 2 extended next pages to transport a mere 20 bits of user-defined information. PHYs that have negotiated the use of extended next pages can take advantage of the more efficient definition for future use of OUI defined messages.
 This has no impact to compliant devices. The change adds a new message code and does not preclude the use of the previous message code as defined.

SuggestedRemedy
 Subclause 28C - Table 28C-1
 Insert a new message code definition - 11 : Organizationally Unique Identifier Tagged Message (extended next page)
 Subclause 28C.6
 Add a paragraph at the end of the subclause:
 Devices that negotiate the use of extended next page messages may use this message encapsulated within the extended next page message as described in clause 28C, however it is recommended that devices use message code 11 for extended next page OUI tagged messages.
 Add a new subclause
 28C.13 Message code 11—Organizationally Unique Identifier Tagged Message (extended next page)
 Devices that negotiate the use of extended next page meessages may use the extended next page OUI Tagged Message. This shall consist of a single message code of 000 0000 1101 and bits U23-U0 of the unformatted code field shall contain the OUI (with most significant bit of the OUI in U23, the second most significant bit in U22, etc.). Bits U31-U24 contain userdefined data. If the next page flag is set, the message page shall be followed by an unformatted extended next page containing user-defined data.
 (an example can be produced in the same way as for 28C.6).

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Subclause 28C - Table 28C-1

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Insert a new message code definition - XX : Organizationally Unique Identifier Tagged Message (extended next page)

Subclause 28C.6

Add a paragraph at the end of the subclause:

Devices that negotiate the use of extended next page messages may use this message encapsulated within the extended next page message as described in clause 28C, however it is recommended that devices use message code XX for extended next page OUI tagged messages.

Add a new subclause

28C.13 Message code XX—Organizationally Unique Identifier Tagged Message (extended next page)

Devices that negotiate the use of extended next page messages may use the extended next page OUI Tagged Message. This shall consist of a single message code of 000 0000 1101 and bits U23-U0 of the unformatted code field shall contain the OUI (with most significant bit of the OUI in U23, the second most significant bit in U22, etc.). Bits U31-U24 contain userdefined data. If the next page flag is set, the message page shall be followed by an unformatted extended next page containing user-defined data.

(an example can be produced in the same way as for 28C.6).

Cl 31B SC 31B.3.7 P717 L 11 # 406
Barrass, Hugh Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A PAUSE

For speeds of 10Gb/s and above, the complexity of the PHY and the encoding make it difficult to verify the PAUSE response time using complex traffic patterns. This problem is made worse for the case of PFC (although that isn't covered in this clause/standard).

The most important aspect of the port behavior is that the amount of data sent after a PAUSE is received will be limited according to the PAUSE timing requirements. Therefore, there should a test that confirms this limit to the data overrun should be sufficient to prove compliance.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following at the end of the sub-clause:

The PAUSE response time may be verified by demonstrating that no more than max_overrun bytes of frame data are sent by the station after reception of a valid PAUSE frame that contains a non-zero value of pause_time. The value of max_overrun is defined for the following operating speeds, where frame_length is the maximum frame length transmitted by the station during the test:

- 10Gb/s (using 10GBASE-T) - max_overrun = 4736 + frame_length.
- 10Gb/s (not using 10GBASE-T) - max_overrun = 3840 + frame_length.
- 40Gb/s - max_overrun = 7552 + frame_length.
- 100Gb/s - max_overrun = 25216 + frame_length.

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 30 SC 30.9.1.1 P 449 L 27 # 407
Barrass, Hugh Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A PoE Management

It would be advantageous to include a managed object that allows the PSE to indicate the actual power drawn by the PD.

SuggestedRemedy

Add 2 new subclauses 30.9.1.1.12 and 30.9.1.1.13

30.9.1.1.12 aPSEActualPower

ATTRIBUTE
APPROPRIATE SYNTAX:
INTEGER
BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS:

An integer value indicating current (actual) power being supplied by the PSE as measured at the MDI in milliwatts. The behaviour is undefined if the state of aPSEPowerDetectionStatus is anything other than deliveringPower.;

30.9.1.1.13 aPSEPowerAccuracy

ATTRIBUTE
APPROPRIATE SYNTAX:
INTEGER
BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS:

An integer value indicating the accuracy associated with aPSEActualPower in +/- milliwatts.;

Update table 30-4 as appropriate.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add 2 new subclauses 30.9.1.1.12 and 30.9.1.1.13

30.9.1.1.12 aPSEActualPower

ATTRIBUTE
APPROPRIATE SYNTAX:
INTEGER
BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS:

An integer value indicating present (actual) power being supplied by the PSE as measured at the MDI in milliwatts. The behaviour is undefined if the state of aPSEPowerDetectionStatus is anything other than deliveringPower. The sampling frequency and averaging is vendor-defined.;

30.9.1.1.13 aPSEPowerAccuracy

ATTRIBUTE
APPROPRIATE SYNTAX:
INTEGER
BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS:
An integer value indicating the accuracy associated with aPSEActualPower in +/- milliwatts.;

Update table 30-4 by:
- adding these two new attributes into object class "oPSE managed object class"
- adding "X" in the column "PSE Recommended Package" for these two new objects

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 30 SC 30.9.1.1 P 449 L 28 # 408
 Barrass, Hugh Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A PoE Management

It would be advantageous to include a managed object that allows the PSE to indicate the cumulative energy drawn by the PD.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a new subclauses 30.9.1.1.14
 30.9.1.1.12 aPSECumulativeEnergy

ATTRIBUTE
 APPROPRIATE SYNTAX:
 Generalized nonresetable counter. The counter has a maximum increment rate of 30000 per second.
 BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS:
 A count of the cumulative energy supplied by the PSE as measured at the MDI in millijoules.;

Update table 30-4 as appropriate.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a new subclauses 30.9.1.1.14
 30.9.1.1.12 aPSECumulativeEnergy

ATTRIBUTE
 APPROPRIATE SYNTAX:
 Generalized nonresetable counter. The counter has a maximum increment rate of 100000 per second.
 BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS:
 A count of the cumulative energy supplied by the PSE as measured at the MDI in millijoules.;

Update table 30-4 by:
 - adding these new attribute into object class "oPSE managed object class"
 - adding "X" in the column "PSE Recommended Package" for this new object

Cl 88 SC 88.11.1 P 296 L 20 # 409
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Update Standards reference with current publication.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:
 "The 0.5 dB/km attenuation is provided for Outside Plant cable as defined in ANSI/TIA/EIA 568-B.3-2000."

with:
 "The 0.5 dB/km attenuation is provided for Outside Plant cable as defined in ANSI/TIA-568-C.3.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 10 L 51 # 410
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status D HIS

EIA and JEDEC ocuments are available for purchase from IHS.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:
 "EIA publications are available from Global Engineering Documents, 15 Inverness Way East, Englewood, Colorado 80112, USA (<http://global.ihs.com/>). JEDEC publications are available from JEDEC, 2001 I Street NW, Washington, DC 20006, USA."

with:
 "EIA and JEDEC publications are available from The IHS Standards Store (<http://global.ihs.com/>)"

Apply changes to other locations as applicable.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 01 SC 1.3 P 10 L 49 # 411
Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status D HIS

CISPR documents are available for purchase from IHS.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:
"CISPR documents are available from the International Electrotechnical Commission, 3 rue de Varembe, Case Postale 131, CH 1211, Genève 20, Switzerland/Suisse (<http://www.iec.ch/>). CISPR documents are also available in the United States from the American National Standards Institute."

with:
"CISPR documents are available from The IHS Standards Store (<http://global.ihs.com/>)"

Apply changes to other locations as applicable.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

CI 01 SC 1.3 P 10 L 53 # 412
Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status D HIS

IEC documents are available for purchase from IHS.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:
"IEC publications are available from IEC Sales Department, Case Postale 131, 3 rue de Varembe, CH-1211, Genève 20, Switzerland/Suisse (<http://www.iec.ch/>). IEC publications are also available in the United States from the American National Standards Institute."

with:
"IEC publications are available from The IHS Standards Store (<http://global.ihs.com/>)"

Apply changes to other locations as applicable.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

CI 01 SC 1.3 P 17 L 27 # 413
Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status A al Standard reference change

Revise to most current edition of the Standard. Comments to apply this change to other applicable sections of the document have been made individually.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:
"TIA TSB-155; Guidelines for the Assessment and Mitigation of Installed Category 6 Cabling to Support 10GBASE-T, March 2007"

with:
"TIA TSB-155-A-2010-Guidelines for the Assessment and Mitigation of Installed Category 6 Cabling to Support 10GBASE-T"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

There was no substantive change made in the revision of TIA TSB-155 to TIA TSB-155-A

CI 55 SC 55.7.2 P 626 L 43 # 414
Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Reference most current edition of TSB-155-A

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:
"...as specified in ISO/IEC TR 24750 and TIA TSB-155."

with:
"...as specified in ISO/IEC TR 24750 and TIA TSB-155-A."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 55 **SC 55.7.3.2.2** **P 636** **L 11** # **415**
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
Comment Type E **Comment Status A**
 Reference most current edition of TSB-155-A
SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "The field testing of length and insertion loss are addressed in TIA TSB-155 and ISO/IEC TR 24750."
 with:
 "The field testing of length and insertion loss are addressed in TIA TSB-155-A and ISO/IEC TR 24750."
Response **Response Status C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 55 **SC 55B1.2** **P 696** **L 25** # **416**
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
Comment Type E **Comment Status A**
 Update reference to most current edition of TSB-155-A.
SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "For more information on mitigation techniques, see TIA TSB-155 and ISO/IEC TR 24750."
 with:
 "For more information on mitigation techniques, see TIA TSB-155-A and ISO/IEC TR 24750."
Response **Response Status C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 01 **SC 1.4** **P 43** **L 46** # **417**
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
Comment Type T **Comment Status A** **UTP**
 Improve the definition of "twisted-pair cable"
SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "twisted-pair cable: A bundle of multiple twisted pairs within a single protective sheath. (From ISO/IEC 11801:1995.)"
 with:
 "twisted-pair cable: A bundle of multiple twisted pairs within a single protective sheath. The bundle may be unshielded or enclosed by an overall shield."
Response **Response Status C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 01 **SC 1.4** **P 44** **L 36** # **418**
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
Comment Type T **Comment Status A** **UTP**
 This definition is not necessary if Siemon-36 is accepted. If Siemon-36 is not accepted, then a definition for shielded twisted-pair cable should be added.
SuggestedRemedy
 Delete:
 "1.4.407 unshielded twisted-pair cable (UTP): An electrically conducting cable, comprising one or more pairs, none of which is shielded. There may be an overall shield, in which case the cable is referred to as unshielded twisted-pair with overall shield. (From ISO/IEC 11801:1995.)"
 Re-number accordingly.
Response **Response Status C**
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change the definition to read:
 "1.4.407 unshielded twisted-pair cable (UTP): An electrically conducting cable, comprising one or more pairs, none of which is shielded."

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl H SC H.4.2 P 581 L 2 # 419
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type T Comment Status A UTP
 10BASE-T operates over shielded twisted-pair cabling.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "UTP MAU as specified in Clause 14"
 with:
 "Twisted-pair MAU as specified in Clause 14"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 25 SC 25.4.3 P 191 L 10 # 420
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type T Comment Status A UTP
 100BASE-TX operates over shielded twisted-pair cabling.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "25.4.3 Change to Table 8-1, "Contact assignments for unshielded twisted pair"
 100BASE-TX for unshielded twisted pair adopts the contact assignments of 10BASE-T. Therefore, the contact assignments shown in TP-PMD Table 8-1 shall instead be as depicted in Table 25-2.
 Table 25-2—UTP MDI contact assignments"
 with:
 "5.4.3 Change to Table 8-1, "Contact assignments for twisted pair"
 100BASE-TX for twisted pair adopts the contact assignments of 10BASE-T. Therefore, the contact assignments shown in TP-PMD Table 8-1 shall instead be as depicted in Table 25-2.
 Table 25-2—Twisted-pair MDI contact assignments"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Accept the suggested remedy with the modification that the clause number stays the same. The commenter seems to have inadvertently deleted the 2 from 25.4.3

Cl 30 SC 30.50.1.1.2 P 400 L 2 # 421
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type TR Comment Status A UTP
 Specifying "UTP" in these lists implies that these applications are not supported by shielded cabling.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Globally replace "UTP" with "twisted-pair cabling" in this clause.
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Agree with direction. Change the reference to 30.5.1.1.2.

Cl 33 SC 33.1.4 P 579 L 42 # 422
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type T Comment Status A UTP
 Specifying "UTP" here implies that this application is not supported by shielded cabling.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "UTP per 14.4 and 14.5a"
 with:
 "twisted-pair cabling per 14.4 and 14.5a"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 42 SC 42.1.1 P 307 L 7 # 423
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type T Comment Status A UTP
 UTP is exclusionary and not required here.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "Category 5 UTP Link Segment (1000BASE-T)"
 with:
 "Category 5 Link Segment (1000BASE-T)"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 42 SC 42.3 P 308 L 27 # 424
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type T Comment Status A UTP
 UTP is exclusionary and not required here.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "Category 5 UTP"
 with:
 "Category 5 Twisted-Pair"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 42 SC 42.3 P 308 L 36 # 425
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type T Comment Status A UTP
 UTP is exclusionary and not required here.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "Assumes 100 m of Category 5 UTP and one Optical Fiber link of 110 m."
 with:
 "Assumes 100 m of Category 5 twisted-pair and one Optical Fiber link of 110 m."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 42 SC 42.3.1.2 P 310 L 16 # 426
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type T Comment Status A UTP
 UTP is exclusionary and not required here.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "Category 5 UTP Cable segment"
 with:
 "Category 5 Cable segment"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 54 SC 54.6 P 509 L 42 # 427
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 Balanced twisted-pair and optical fiber MDI interfaces are interoperable between vendors. In addition, industry comparative evaluation events (e.g. Ethernet Alliance Plugfests) go to great lengths to ensure interoperability between equipment manufactured by different vendors. In may cases, however, EEPROM circuitry is built into the 10GBASE-CX4 MDI for the specific purpose of ensuring that products between vendors DO NOT work together. This is outside the spirit of an applications Standard that specifies requirements "to allow for maximum interoperability between various 10 Gb/s components" (e.g. see clause 54.6.4.3) and should not be allowed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert new clause:
 "54.6.1 Interoperability

The 10GBASE-CX4 MDI shall not contain circuitry or use other means to prohibit interoperability between compliant interfaces and cable assemblies.
 Response Response Status U
 REJECT.

An interface that does not operate according to the requirements for 10GBASE-CX4 when connected to equipment from a different vendor (that does meet the requirements for 10GBASE-CX4) is already non-compliant with the 10GBASE-CX4 specification, so no new subclauses are needed.

A vote of the BRC on whether to reject the comment with the above text was:
 Yes 8
 No 3
 Abstain 6

The 10GBASE-CX4 MDI shall be interoperable with compliant interfaces and cable assemblies

A vote of the BRC on whether to AIP the comment with the above text was:
 Yes 8
 No 7
 Abstain 2

Move to re-consider the first vote
 Yes 12
 No 3

Motion to overrule the chair
 Yes 3
 No 11
 Abstain 3

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

The first vote of the BRC on whether to reject the comment with the proposed text was re-taken:
 Yes 11
 No 3
 Abstain 2

Cl 85 SC 85.8 P 181 L 48 # 428
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Balanced twisted-pair and optical fiber MDI interfaces are interoperable between vendors. In addition, industry comparative evaluation events (e.g. Ethernet Alliance Plugfests) go to great lengths to ensure interoperability between equipment manufactured by different vendors. In many cases, however, EEPROM circuitry is built into 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 MDIs for the specific purpose of ensuring that products between vendors DO NOT work together. This is outside the spirit of an applications Standard that specifies generic performance requirements and should not be allowed.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert new clause:
 "85.8.1 Interoperability

The 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10 MDI shall not contain circuitry or use other means to prohibit interoperability between compliant interfaces and cable assemblies.

Response Response Status U

REJECT.
 An interface that does not operate according to the requirements for 40GBASE-CR4 when connected to equipment from a different vendor (that does meet the requirements for 40GBASE-CR4) is already non-compliant with the 40GBASE-CR4 specification (likewise for 100GBASE-CR10), so no new subclauses are needed.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 10 L 29 # 429
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status A TIA/EIA-568-A

Missing publication date

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:
 "ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A, Commercial Building Telecommunications Cabling Standard."

with:
 "ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A-1995-Commercial Building Telecommunications Cabling Standard."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 9 L 37 # 430
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status D

There is no reference to this Standard in the document text.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete:
 "ANSI/EIA/TIA-455-127-1991, FOTP-127-Spectral Characterization of Multimode Laser Diodes."

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl A SC annex A P 515 L 32 # 431
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Annex A.

There is no reference to this Standard in the document text.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete:
 "[B10] ANSI/EIA/TIA 455-127-1991 (FOTP-127), Spectral Characterization of Multimode Lasers."

Re-number references accordingly.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 01 SC 1.3 P 9 L 35 # 432
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

There is no reference to this Standard in the document text.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete:
 "ANSI/EIA-455-95A-2000, Absolute Optical Power Test for Optical Fibers and Cables."

Move the superscript, "2" to the next Normative ANSI Standard

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 01 SC 1.3 P 9 L 54 # 433
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status A HIS

Standards are available through IHS.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:
 "ANSI publications are available from the Sales Department, American National Standards Institute, 11 West 42nd Street, 13th Floor, New York, NY 10036, USA (<http://www.ansi.org/>)."

with:
 "ANSI publications are available from The IHS Standards Store (<http://global.ihs.com/>)"

Apply changes to other locations as applicable.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 01 SC 1.3 P 10 L 12 # 434
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status A TIA-568-B

The contents of this Standard have been superseded by '568-C.2. Comments to apply this change to other applicable sections of the document have been made individually.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete:
 "ANSI/TIA-568-B.2-10-2008; Transmission Performance Specifications for 4-pair 100W Augmented Category 6 Cabling."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 33 SC 33.1.4.1 P 580 L 5 # 435
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

'568-B-2 and applicable addenda have been rolled into '568-C.2. Remode unnecessary date reference from '568-A.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:
 "These requirements are also met by Category 5e or better cable and components as specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-B.2, ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-B.2-1, and ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-B.2-10; or Category 5 cable and components as specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A-1995."

with:
 "These requirements are also met by Category 5e or better cable and components as specified in ANSI/TIA-568-C.2; or Category 5 cable and components as specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 55 SC 55.1 P 533 L 11 # 436
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Update to most current editions of TSB-155-A and '568-C.2. ISO/IEC equivalent of TSB-155-A is missing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "It is recommended that the guidelines in TIA TSB-155, ANSI/TIA-568-B.2-10, and ISO/IEC 11801:2002/Amendment 1 be considered before the installation of 10GBASE-T equipment for any cabling system."
 with:
 "It is recommended that the guidelines in TIA TSB-155-A, ISO/IEC TR 24750, ANSI/TIA-568-C.2, and ISO/IEC 11801:2002/Amendment 1 be considered before the installation of 10GBASE-T equipment for any cabling system."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 55 SC 55.7 P 625 L 47 # 437
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Update to most current editions of TSB-155-A and '568-C.2
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "It is recommended that the guidelines in TIA TSB-155, ISO/IEC TR 24750, ANSI/TIA-568-B.2-10, and ISO/IEC 11801:2002/Amendment 1 be considered before the installation of 10GBASE-T equipment for any cabling system."
 with:
 "It is recommended that the guidelines in TIA TSB-155-A, ISO/IEC TR 24750, ANSI/TIA-568-C.2, and ISO/IEC 11801:2002/Amendment 1 be considered before the installation of 10GBASE-T equipment for any cabling system."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 55 SC 55.7.2 P 626 L 29 # 438
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Update to most current revision of TSB-155-A
 SuggestedRemedy
 In 3 locations in Table 55-6, change "TSB-155" to "TSB-155-A"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 Editor has changed the clause number from 5 to 55.

Cl 55 SC 55.7.2 P 626 L 37 # 439
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Update to most current revision.
 SuggestedRemedy
 In Table 55-6, change "ANSI/TIA-568-B.2-10" to "ANSI/TIA-568-C.2"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 10 L 15 # 440
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type E Comment Status A TIA-568-C.0
 Missing publication date.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "ANSI/TIA-568-C.0-Generic Telecommunications Cabling."
 with:
 "ANSI/TIA-568-C.0-2010-Generic Telecommunications Cabling."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 01 **SC 1.3** **P 10** **L 17** # **441**
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A** **TIA-568-C.2**
 Missing publication date.
SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-Copper Cabling Components."
 with:
 "ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-2010-Copper Cabling Components."
Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 55 **SC 55.7.2** **P 626** **L 36** # **442**
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A**
 Harmonize text with '568-C.2 Standard
SuggestedRemedy
 In Table 55-6, replace:
 "Augmented Category 6"
 with:
 "Category 6A"
Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 55 **SC 55.7.3.1.2** **P 633** **L 21** # **443**
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A**
 Harmonize text with '568-C.2 Standard
SuggestedRemedy
 In Table 55-8, replace:
 "Augmented Category 6"
 with:
 "Category 6A"
Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl B **SC B.5.2** **P 540** **L 33** # **444**
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A**
 Update to most current reference
SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "A horizontal structured building wiring system (e.g., as detailed in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A-1995) of 100 m from the wiring..."
 with:
 "A horizontal structured building wiring system (e.g., as detailed in ANSI/TIA-568-C.0) of 100 m from the wiring..."
Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

Cl 01 **SC 1.3** **P 10** **L 31** # **445**
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
Comment Type **E** **Comment Status** **A** **TIA-568-B**
 This Standard is not referenced in the document.
SuggestedRemedy
 Delete:
 "ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-B:2001, Commercial Building Telecommunications Cabling Standard."
Response **Response Status** **C**
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

CI 14 SC 14.1.1.3 P 322 L 37 # 446
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 Incorrect Standards Reference

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:
 "These channel requirements can also be met by the Category 5 channel specified by ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-B:2001."

with:
 "These channel requirements can also be met by the Category 5 channel specified by ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A."

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Editor has changed this from an E to a T

CI 14 SC 14.4.1 P 347 L 16 # 447
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type T Comment Status A
 Incorrect Standards reference

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:
 "This requirement can also be met by Category 5 cable and components as specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-B:2001."

with:
 "This requirement can also be met by Category 5 cable and components as specified in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-A."

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Editor has upgraded the comment from an E to a T.

CI A SC Annex A P 515 L 3 # 448
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 This Standard is not referenced in the document.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete:
 "[B19] ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-B: 2001, Commercial Building Telecommunications Cabling Standard."

and re-number accordingly.

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace with "[B19] ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-B series, Commercial Building Telecommunications Cabling Standard."

CI 55 SC 55.7.3.1.2 P 633 L 37 # 449
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Harmonize text with '568-C.2 Standard

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 55-9, replace:
 "Augmented Category 6"

with:
 "Category 6A"

Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 55 SC 55.7.3.2.2 P 636 L 34 # 450
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Harmonize text with '568-C.2 Standard
 SuggestedRemedy
 In Table 55-11, replace:
 "Augmented Category 6"
 with:
 "Category 6A"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 55 SC 55.7.3.2.2 P 636 L 50 # 451
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Harmonize text with '568-C.2 Standard
 SuggestedRemedy
 In Table 55-12, replace:
 "Augmented Category 6"
 with:
 "Category 6A"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 55 SC 55.B.1.2 P 696 L 43 # 452
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Harmonize text with '568-C.2 Standard
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "Augmented Category 6"
 with:
 "Category 6A"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.3 P 10 L 33 # 453
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type E Comment Status A TIA-568-C
 Update Standards reference. Comments to apply this change to other applicable sections of the document have been made individually.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-B.3-2000; Optical Fiber Cabling Components Standard."
 with:
 "ANSI/TIA/-568-C.3-2008; Optical Fiber Cabling Components Standard."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to, "ANSI/TIA-568-C.3-2008; Optical Fiber Cabling Components Standard."
 (delete extra "/")

Cl 53 SC 53.14.1 P 493 L 24 # 454
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 Update Standards reference
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace:
 "For the single-mode case, the 0.5 dB/km attenuation is provided for Outside Plant cable as defined in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568-B.3-2000."
 with:
 "For the single-mode case, the 0.5 dB/km attenuation is provided for Outside Plant cable as defined in ANSI/TIA-568-C.3."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.
 [Editor's note: Clause changed from 55 to 53 and Subclause changed from 55.14.1 to 53.14.1]

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 87 SC 87.11.1 P 272 L 20 # 455
 Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Update Standards reference with current publication.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace:
 "The 0.5 dB/km attenuation is provided for Outside Plant cable as defined in ANSI/TIA/EIA-568 B.3-2000."

with:
 "The 0.5 dB/km attenuation is provided for Outside Plant cable as defined in ANSI/TIA-568-C.3."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 15 SC 15.3.1.1 P 386 L 13 # 456
 Koussalya Balasubramanian Cisco

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Existing Text as shown below is not punctuated properly.
 "This standard was developed on the basis of cabled optical fiber an attenuation value of less than or equal to 3.75 dB/km, when measured at a wavelength of 850 nm."

SuggestedRemedy

This standard was developed on the basis of cabled optical fiber. An attenuation value of less than or equal to 3.75 dB/km, when measured at a wavelength of 850 nm should be met.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

OBE #193

Cl 28C SC P 691 L 51 # 457
 Koussalya Balasubramanian Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The maintenance request # 1231 says
 "Subclause 28C - Table 28C-1 Insert a new message code definition - 11 : Organizationally Unique Identifier Tagged Message (extended next page)"
 But the text in the standard is using "5" for organizationally unique Identifier tagged message. This seems to not match the maintenance request.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide explanation or correct the text to match maintenance request

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Please refer to http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/revision_history.html#REQ1231 for the full notes on the MR. Only part of the original MR was accepted. Refer to comment #405 which addresses the new message.

Cl 52 SC 52.5 P 427 L 42 # 458
 Barrass, Hugh

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Add OM4 category to clause 52 consistent with the fiber characteristics in clause 86.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify text per changes outlined in traverso_1_0711 in slides 10 thru 13 (http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/public/traverso_1_0711.pdf).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See Response to comment #112

Cl 55 SC 55.4.2.5.14 P 597 L 33 # 459
 Daniel Dove Hewlett Packard

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

"The PMA frame after the transition_count reach zero, the PHYs enter the PMA_Fine_Adjust state and..."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "During the first PMA frame after the transition_count reaches zero, the PHYs enter the PMA_Fine_Adjust state and..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bh) Ethernet Initial Working Group ballot comments

Cl 55 SC 55.4.2.5.14 P 597 L 33 # 460
 Daniel Dove Hewlett Packard
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A
 Spelling error on the word "start"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add an 's' on the end to "starts"
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 55 SC 55.4.2.5.14 P 598 L 28 # 461
 Daniel Dove Hewlett Packard
 Comment Type TR Comment Status R
 The recommended values in this table can lead to potential interoperability problems with existing devices that are known to use different timing values for PMA_Coeff_Exch state timing_lock_OK=0/1. While this is only a recommended value table, it can potentially lead to implementations that assume the maximum values are required, and thus suggest that anything that exceeds these maximum values are not compliant.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change Recommended maximum time (ms) from 100ms to 200ms and from 420ms to 320ms respectively.
 Response Response Status U
 REJECT.
 Feedback from those making and testing PHYs was that 100 ms is sufficient for this and that raising the maximum to 200 ms would leave too little time in the 1 state

Cl 74 SC 74.1 P 505 L 13 # 462
 Arthur Marris Cadence
 Comment Type T Comment Status A Than in 74
 Text does not have the same meaning as in 802.3ba-2010
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change 'that' to 'than'
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.78.4 P 75 L 49 # 463
 Brett McClellan Marvell Semiconducto
 Comment Type E Comment Status A
 The text as written implies that fast retrain negotiation is defined in 55.4.2.5.15. However there is no definition of fast retrain negotiation anywhere in Clause 55.
 SuggestedRemedy
 "When read as a one, bit 1.147.3 indicates that the PHY negotiated fast retrain, as defined in 55.4.2.5.15 during the most recent auto-negotiation. When read as a zero, bit 1.147.3 indicates that the PHY did not negotiate fast retrain. See 45.2.7.10.6."
 to:
 "When read as a one, bit 1.147.3 indicates that the PHY negotiated fast retrain, as defined in 55.4.2.5.15 during the most recent auto-negotiation. This is the condition where both the local device indicated fast retrain ability (7.32.1 = 1) and the link partner indicated fast retrain ability (7.33.1 = 1). When read as a zero, bit 1.147.3 indicates that the PHY did not negotiate fast retrain. See 45.2.7.10.6."
 Response Response Status C
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 In 45.2.1.78.4, insert a new second sentence:
 "This is the condition where both the local device indicated fast retrain ability (bit 7.32.1 is one) and the link partner indicated fast retrain ability (bit 7.33.1 is one)."