Cl 45 SC 45.2. Barrass, Hugh	7.14 P 25 Cisco	L 32	# 1	C/ 78 SC 78 Anslow, Pete	Р 37 Ciena	L 1	# 4
Comment Type E Typo 10G instead o	Comment Status A of 100G in Table 45-191		bucket	Comment Type E The title of clause 78	Comment Status A 8 is "Energy efficient Ethernet (EEE)"	bucket
SuggestedRemedy Change 10G to 100	0G in 8 instances.			SuggestedRemedy Add the " (EEE)" to t	the title of Clause 78		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
<i>Cl</i> 69 SC 69.1 . Anslow, Pete	3 P 28 Ciena	L 51	# 2	<i>Cl</i> 78 <i>SC</i> 78.2 Anslow, Pete	Р 38 Ciena	L 37	# 5
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket
Figure 69-1 does n SuggestedRemedy Change the editing	tion says "Change Figure 69-1 ar tot show any changes, it is a repl j instruction to: -1 and insert Figure 69-1a as sh	acement figure.		need to show the se Tr) for supported PH SuggestedRemedy	nge is to Table 78-2 (as reflecte entence "Table 78-2 summarize IYs." ce from the draft as it is not mo	es three key EEE	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
		L 6	# 3				
C/ 69 SC 69.2.4 Anslow, Pete	Ciena						
	Ciena Comment Status A		bucket				
Anslow, Pete Comment Type E		e row are not cor					
Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The cell borders for	Comment Status A	re row are not cor					
Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The cell borders for 93 and 94 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A		sistent for clauses 91,				

C/ 80 SC 80.1.2 Anslow, Pete	P 42 Ciena	L17	# 6	C/ 80 Anslow, F	SC 80	0.1.4	P 44 Ciena	L15	# 8
				,		_			
	Comment Status A on says "Delete the entire sectio tructions in this amendment rela			Comment In Ta the u	ble 80-1 "3	E 33dB" aı	Comment Status A nd "35dB" should have a non-	breaking space	bucke between the number ar
need to be stated. When applied to the 80.1.5 to be 80.1.2 th	base document, this will have t	he effect of renu	mbering 80.1.3 through	Suggeste Chan	dRemedy ge "33dB"		5dB" to "33 dB" and "35 dB" u	sing non-breaki	ng spaces (Ctrl space)
change.				Response ACCI			Response Status C		
Note, the same issue	e for 60.1.2 is the subject of a s	eparate commen	t.	<u></u>			D		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 80	SC 80	0.1.5	P 44	L 27	# 9
Change the editing in	nstruction to "Delete 80.1.2 and	renumber subse	quent clauses	Anslow, F	Pete		Ciena		
accordingly."		and the set of a dist		Commen	t Type	E	Comment Status A		bucke
	0.1.5, move the editing instructi amend the editing instruction to		les, renumber to 80.1.2	A Re	place editi	ng instru	uction does not show the repla	aced object in st	trikeout
"80.1.x (now renumb	0			Suggeste	dRemedy				
Response	Response Status C					d versior	n of Table 80-2 and change th	e editing instruc	tion to match those use
ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.				ously: lace Table	80-2 ar	nd insert Table 80-2a as show	n:"	
The resolution to #43	32 neatly avoids this issue by re	taining a vestigia	al subclause.	Response			Response Status C		
For future cases whe	ere a subclause might be delete	d there are two	ontions:	ACCI	EPT.				
	placeholder (subclause heading			C/ 80	SC 80	0 1 5	P 45	L8	# 10
subclause has been				Anslow, F		0.1.5	Ciena	20	# 10
b) Delete the subclai	use and include editing instructi	ons to renumber	accordingly.	,		_			
The group recomme	nds option a) for future cases.			Comment The C		E s for Tal	Comment Status A	e Nomenclatur	bucke row are not consistent
C/ 80 SC 80.1.3	P 42	L 43	# 7				93 and 94	ie Nomenolatare	
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Suggeste	dRemedy				
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket				r in the Nomenclature row for		
The editing instruction	ons:			right 2a	borders in	the Nor	nenclature row for clauses 91	, 92 and 93 to b	e "very thin" in Table 80
"Change note h) as s				Response	2		Response Status C		
"Add note j) as show	n." nese are items not notes			ACCI					
SuggestedRemedy				AUU	_, ,,				
Change the editing in "Change item h) as s "Add item j) as show	shown." and								
Response	Response Status C								
ACCEPT.									
	ired ER/editorial required GR/		T ^{<i>k</i>} I S I E (I) S I O (I)				Comme		Page 2 of 137

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Anslow, Pete Comment Type	0	P 59 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A below Figure 81-9a is not u	L 35 usual (the left ma	# 11 bucket argin is indented)	Anslow, Pete Comment Type The editing now have	g instruction the "(per M	P72 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A n "Change 82.6 to add new PHY arris_01_0312.pdf)" removed	L 48 Y types (per Mar	# [<u>14</u> <i>bucke</i> rris_01_0312.pdf)" can
Correct the fo	ormatting				SuggestedRen Remove	•	_01_0312.pdf)"		
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
C/ 82 SC Anslow, Pete	82.2.18.2.3	Р 69 Ciena	L 18	# 12					
using upper of SuggestedRemed Change to "a	case letters dy block type f		his subclause fo	rmats Hex characters					
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C							
C/ 82 SC Anslow, Pete	82.2.18.3.1	P 71 Ciena	L 28	# 13					
5b" SuggestedReme	dy	Comment Status A 32-5a" and "TABLE 82-5b" s le" in two places	should be "Table	bucket 82-5a" and "Table 82-					
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C							

	SC 91.5.2.5	P95	L12	# 15		CI 92	SC	92.8.3.3	P122	L 43	# 16
Anslow, P	Pete	Ciena				Anslow, Pe	ete		Ciena		
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A			bucket	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status A		bucke
The u	isual arrangemen	_coded_c<1:0>=01." t for the sync bits is to show t	hem with the first	bit transmitted or	n the le	In "the "100Gl			100GBASE-KR specified in	93.8.1.6", "1000	BASE-KR" should be
	or control, sync =	10). be clearer to show each bit s	enarately			Suggested	Remea	ly			
CONS	equentiy, it would		separatery.			Chang	e "1000	GBASE-KI	R" to "100GBASE-KR4"		
Also,	it would keep the	sync bits in the usual order it	the <0> index w	as shown first.		Response			Response Status C		
Simila	ar issues in 91.5.3	3.5 and 91.5.3.7				ACCEI	PT.				
Suggeste	dRemedy					Use su	iggeste	d remedy.			
	ne 1, change:					0/ 00	00		D. (1.0-	
		tx_coded_j<0>=0," to: tx_coded_j<1>=1,"				C/ 93		93.9.2	P165	L27	# 17
1.2_01	bueu_j<0>=0 anu	$IX_COUEU_J<1>=1,$				Anslow, Pe	ete		Ciena		
On lir	ne 7 change:					Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status A		bucke
		tx_coded_j<0>=1," to: tx_coded_j<1>=0,"				post-cı	ursor co	befficient",	smitter equalizer, pre-cursor Maximum values are given	as "0.00"	•
							ted in 1	.2.6, the ti	ailing zeros have no signific	ance, so this sho	ould be shown as simply
On lir	ne 12 change:	<1·0> -01 " to:				As stat "0"	ted in 1	.2.6, the ti	ailing zeros have no signific	ance, so this sho	ould be shown as simply
On lir "such	that tx_coded_c<					"0"		-	ailing zeros have no signific	ance, so this sho	ould be shown as simply
On lir "such	that tx_coded_c<	<1:0>=01." to: <0>=1 and tx_coded_c<1>=0						-	ailing zeros have no signific	ance, so this sho	ould be shown as simply
On lir "such "such On pa	that tx_coded_c< that tx_coded_c< age 101, line 30 c	<0>=1 and tx_coded_c<1>=0 hange:				"0" <i>Suggested</i> Chang	<i>Remec</i> e "0.00	<i>ly</i>)" to "0" in	two places in Table 93-8	ance, so this sho	ould be shown as simply
On lir "such "such On pa "rx_co	that tx_coded_c< that tx_coded_c< age 101, line 30 c oded_j<1> = 1 an	<0>=1 and tx_coded_c<1>=0 hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 0" to:				"0" <i>Suggested</i> Chang	<i>Remec</i> e "0.00	<i>ly</i>)" to "0" in		ance, so this sho	ould be shown as simply
On lir "such "such On pa "rx_co	that tx_coded_c< that tx_coded_c< age 101, line 30 c oded_j<1> = 1 an	<0>=1 and tx_coded_c<1>=0 hange:				"0" <i>Suggested</i> Chang	<i>Remec</i> e "0.00	<i>ly</i>)" to "0" in	two places in Table 93-8	ance, so this sho	ould be shown as simply
On lir "such "such On pa "rx_co "rx_co	that tx_coded_c< that tx_coded_c< age 101, line 30 c oded_j<1> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 0 an	<0>=1 and tx_coded_c<1>=0 hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 0" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 1"				"0" <i>Suggested</i> Chang Make t	Remea e "0.00 he san	<i>ly</i>)" to "0" in	two places in Table 93-8 in two places in Table 94-8	ance, so this sho	ould be shown as simply
On lir "such "such On pa "rx_co On pa "rx_co	that tx_coded_c< that tx_coded_c< age 101, line 30 c oded_j<1> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 0 an age 101, line 35 c oded_j<1> = 0 an	<0>=1 and tx_coded_c<1>=0 hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 0" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 1" hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 1" to:				"0" Suggested Chang Make t Response ACCEI	<i>Remed</i> e "0.00 he san PT.	ly " to "0" in ne change	two places in Table 93-8 in two places in Table 94-8 <i>Response Status</i> C		
On lir "such "such On pa "rx_co On pa "rx_co	that tx_coded_c< that tx_coded_c< age 101, line 30 c oded_j<1> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 0 an age 101, line 35 c oded_j<1> = 0 an	<0>=1 and tx_coded_c<1>=0 hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 0" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 1" hange:				"0" Suggested Chang Make t Response ACCEI	Remec e "0.00 he san PT. SC	ly " to "0" in ne change	two places in Table 93-8 in two places in Table 94-8 <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 205	L8	# [<u>18</u>
On lir "such "such "rx_ca "rx_ca On pa "rx_ca "rx_ca	that tx_coded_c that tx_coded_c age 101, line 30 c oded_j<1> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 0 an age 101, line 35 c oded_j<1> = 0 an oded_j<0> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 1 an	<pre>x0>=1 and tx_coded_c<1>=0 hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 0" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 1" hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 1" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 0"</pre>				"0" Suggested Chang Make t Response ACCEI	Remec e "0.00 he san PT. SC	ly " to "0" in ne change	two places in Table 93-8 in two places in Table 94-8 <i>Response Status</i> C		
On lir "such "such On pa "rx_co "rx_co "rx_co "rx_co On pa	that tx_coded_c< that tx_coded_c< age 101, line 30 c oded_j<1> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 0 an age 101, line 35 c oded_j<1> = 0 an oded_j<0> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 1 an	<pre>x0>=1 and tx_coded_c<1>=0 hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 0" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 1" hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 1" to: d rx_coded_j<0> = 1" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 0" hange:</pre>				"0" Suggested Chang Make t Response ACCEI	Remed e "0.00 he san PT. SC ete	ly " to "0" in ne change	two places in Table 93-8 in two places in Table 94-8 <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 205		
On lir "such "such On pa "rx_cr "rx_cr "rx_cr "rx_cr On pa "rx_cr	that tx_coded_c< that tx_coded_c< age 101, line 30 c oded_i<1> = 1 an oded_i<0> = 0 an age 101, line 35 c oded_i<1> = 0 an oded_i<0> = 1 an age 101, line 36 c oded_i<1> = 1 an	<pre>x0>=1 and tx_coded_c<1>=0 hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 0" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 1" hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 1" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 0"</pre>				"0" Suggested Chang Make t Response ACCEI CI 83C Anslow, Pe Comment	Remea e "0.00 he san PT. SC ete Type	<i>ty</i> " to "0" in he change 83C E	two places in Table 93-8 in two places in Table 94-8 <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 205 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A	L 8	# [<u>18</u> bucke
On lir "such "such "rx_co "rx_co On pa "rx_co "rx_co "rx_co On pa	that tx_coded_c< that tx_coded_c< age 101, line 30 c oded_j<1> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 0 an age 101, line 35 c oded_j<1> = 0 an oded_j<0> = 1 an age 101, line 36 c oded_j<1> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 0 an age 102, line 32 c	<pre>x0>=1 and tx_coded_c<1>=0 hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 0" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 1" hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 1" to: d rx_coded_j<0> = 1" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 0" hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 0" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 1" hange: hange:</pre>				"0" Suggested Chang Make t Response ACCEI CI 83C Anslow, Pe Comment The te guidelii	Remed e "0.00 he sam PT. SC ete Type xt "The nes an	ty " to "0" in ne change 83C E following	two places in Table 93-8 in two places in Table 94-8 <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 205 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A subclauses provide various mbering conventions are de	L 8 partitioning exan	# [<u>18</u> bucken pples. Partitioning
On lir "such "such "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca	that tx_coded_c< that tx_coded_c< age 101, line 30 c oded_j<1> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 0 an age 101, line 35 c oded_j<1> = 0 an oded_j<0> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 0 an age 101, line 36 c oded_j<0> = 0 an oded_j<0> = 0 an age 102, line 32 c lly, am_x<1:0> = 0	<pre>x0>=1 and tx_coded_c<1>=0 hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 0" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 1" hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 1" to: d rx_coded_j<0> = 1" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 0" hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 0" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 1" hange: D1" to:</pre>				"0" Suggested Chang Make t Response ACCEI CI 83C Anslow, Pe Comment The te: guidelii so it sh	Remea e "0.00 he sam PT. SC ete Type xt "The nes an nould n	ty " to "0" in ne change 83C E following d MMD nu ot be shov	two places in Table 93-8 in two places in Table 94-8 <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 205 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A subclauses provide various mbering conventions are de	L 8 partitioning exan	# [<u>18</u> bucken pples. Partitioning
On lir "such "such "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca	that tx_coded_c< that tx_coded_c< age 101, line 30 c oded_j<1> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 0 an age 101, line 35 c oded_j<1> = 0 an oded_j<0> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 1 an oded_j<0> = 0 an age 101, line 36 c oded_j<0> = 0 an oded_j<0> = 0 an age 102, line 32 c lly, am_x<1:0> = 0	$x_{0} = 1 \text{ and } tx_coded_c < 1 > = 0$ hange: d rx_coded_j < 0 > = 0" to: d rx_coded_j < 1 > = 1" hange: d rx_coded_j < 0 > = 1" to: d rx_coded_j < 1 > = 0" hange: d rx_coded_j < 0 > = 0" to: d rx_coded_j < 1 > = 1" hange: 01" to: and am_x < 1 > = 0"				"0" Suggested Chang Make t Response ACCEI CI 83C Anslow, Pe Comment The tex guidelin so it sh Suggested	Remed e "0.00 he sam PT. SC ete Type xt "The nes an nould n Remed	ty " to "0" in he change 83C E following d MMD nu ot be show ty	two places in Table 93-8 in two places in Table 94-8 <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 205 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A subclauses provide various mbering conventions are de	L 8 partitioning exan	# [<u>18</u> bucken pples. Partitioning
On lir "such "such "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca "rx_ca	that tx_coded_c age 101, line 30 c oded_i<1> = 1 an oded_i<2> = 0 an age 101, line 35 c oded_i<2> = 0 an age 101, line 35 c oded_i<2> = 1 an oded_i<2> = 0 an age 102, line 32 c line 32	<pre>x0>=1 and tx_coded_c<1>=0 hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 0" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 1" hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 1" to: d rx_coded_j<0> = 1" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 0" hange: d rx_coded_j<0> = 0" to: d rx_coded_j<1> = 1" hange: D1" to:</pre>				"0" Suggested Chang Make t Response ACCEI Cl 83C Anslow, Pe Comment The tex guidelii so it sh Suggested Remov	Remed e "0.00 he sam PT. SC ete Type xt "The nes an nould n Remed	ty " to "0" in ne change 83C E following d MMD nu ot be shov	two places in Table 93-8 in two places in Table 94-8 <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 205 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A subclauses provide various mbering conventions are de m.	L 8 partitioning exan	# [<u>18</u> bucken pples. Partitioning
On lir "such "such On pa "rx_cd "rx_cd "rx_cd On pa "rx_cd "rx_cd "rx_cd "rx_cd "rx_cd "rx_cd "rx_cd "rx_cd "rx_cd "rx_cd	that tx_coded_c age 101, line 30 c oded_i<1> = 1 an oded_i<0> = 0 an age 101, line 35 c oded_i<1> = 0 an oded_i<1> = 0 an oded_i<1> = 0 an oded_i<1> = 1 an oded_i<2> = 1 an oded_i<1> = 1 an = 1 an oded_i<1> = 1 an 	$x_{0} = 1 \text{ and } tx_coded_c < 1 > = 0$ hange: d rx_coded_j < 0 > = 0" to: d rx_coded_j < 1 > = 1" hange: d rx_coded_j < 0 > = 1" to: d rx_coded_j < 1 > = 0" hange: d rx_coded_j < 0 > = 0" to: d rx_coded_j < 1 > = 1" hange: 01" to: and am_x < 1 > = 0"				"0" Suggested Chang Make t Response ACCEI CI 83C Anslow, Pe Comment The tex guidelin so it sh Suggested	Remea e "0.00 he san PT. SC ete Type xt "The nes and nould no Remea ye the s	ty " to "0" in he change 83C E following d MMD nu ot be show ty	two places in Table 93-8 in two places in Table 94-8 <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 205 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A subclauses provide various mbering conventions are de	L 8 partitioning exan	# [<u>18</u> bucken pples. Partitioning

-													
C/ 92A SC	C 92A.7	P 211	L 20	# 19		CI 78	SC 7	78.1.4	P3	8	L 5	# 21	
Anslow, Pete		Ciena				Anslow, P	ete		Ciena	a			
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A			bucket	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status	Α			bucket
The text "fro frequency.	om 0.05 GHz	to 18.75 Gw3qw0-Hz" seer	ns to use unusua	al units for the	upper	"Claus	ses asso	ciated wi	has been modified to ith each PHY type"				
SuggestedRem	edy					but "X	GXS (XA	AUI)" and	d "XLAUI/CAUI" are r	not PHY type	es		
Change to '	'"from 0.05 G	Hz to 18.75 GHz"				Note:	a related	d comme	ent proposes to make	similar chai	nges to Table	s 78-2 and 78-4	
Response		Response Status C				Suggested	dRemedy	V					
ACCEPT.									le 78-1 to: ith each PHY or inter	face type"			
	sted remedy.					Chang		ft hand c	olumn heading to:				
	C 69.5	P 32	L 47	# 20		Response	,		Response Status	С			
Anslow, Pete		Ciena				ACCE	PT.						
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A			bucket					•		"	
The text:	er of a protoc	ol implementation that is cla	nimed to conform	to any part of	IEEE Std	C/ 78	SC 7	(8.2	P3		L1	# 22	
		h Clause 74, demonstrates				Anslow, P			Ciena				
		ance statement (PICS) profe				Comment		т	Comment Status				bucket
802.3 demo statement (But this is n	onstrates com PICS) proform	ement. There are many cla	otocol implement	ation conforma	ance	title of Also, t	Table 78 the left ha	8-4 is Su and colu	is "Summary of the k ummary of the LPI tin umn heading in both t contain rows that are	ning parame ables is nov	ters for suppo / "PHY type"	orted PHYs"	and the
Same issue	e for 80.7					Note:	a related	d comme	ent proposes to make	similar chai	nges to Table	78-1	
SuggestedRem	edy					Suggestee	dRemedy	V					
of ", Clause 89, and rela	45, Clause 7 ated annexes ese two state	, Clause 70 through Clause 73, Clause 74, Clause 81 th from 80.7. ements as required to reflect	rough Clause			"Sum of Tab	mary of th ble 78-4 t	he key E to:	le 78-2 to: EE parameters for si ming parameters for			-	the title
Response		Response Status C				Also,	change tl	he left ha	and column heading	in both table	es to "PHY or	interface type"	
ACCEPT.													

			-	-						
C/ 80 SC	80.1.4	P 43	L 52	# 23	C/ 91	SC	91.5.3.1	P 99	L 32	# 26
Anslow, Pete		Ciena			Anslow, Pe	ete		Ciena		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		
level pulse ar	mplitude mo	ASE-P only distinguishes its odulation (PAM)" to "multi-le s 2, this seems inadequate	evel pulse amplitu	SE-R by changing "2- de modulation (PAM)".				eive function shall support Skew Variation of 3.4 ns."	a maximum Skev	v of 134 ns between FEC
SuggestedRemed	dy							d skew variation requiremer Id be the values at SP5 whi		
		match the definition of 100)GBASE-KP4 in 1	.4:			Figure 80-5		ch is at the outpu	
•	e amplitude	modulation (PAM)"			Suggested	Remed	dy			
Response ACCEPT IN I		Response Status C			Chang				0 (445	
	-							ion shall support a maximur ition of 3.6 ns."	n Skew of 145 hs	s between FEC lanes and
See also #34	3 & #449				Response			Response Status C		
		ng may be useful in the futu ne wording changes capture		-level" to "more than 2	ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLI	Ε.		
C/ 81 SC	81.1.7	P55	L 39	# 24			re 80-5a to 2_0912.pc	represent the skew point r	eference model ir	ı
Anslow, Pete	01111	Ciena	200	11 24	-	-				
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		bucket	Also s	et the S	Skew and S	Skew Variation per slide 3 b SP5 values in various PMD	y adding a Table	to Clause 80 and
51	=	in 22.6a", but 22.6a does n	otexist	DUCKEI	assiyi	ing SF			clauses.	
SuggestedReme								tolerances accordingly. Up	odate Clause 82 v	vith a reduced skew
Correct the re	•				tolerar	nce for	the case w	where RS-FEC is used.		
Response		Response Status C								
ACCEPT IN I	PRINCIPI F	•								
Change to 22	2.7									
C/ 83 SC	83.1.1	P83	L 32	# 25						
Anslow, Pete		Ciena								
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		bucket						
Table 80-2, e	except 100G	E-R PMA(s) can support ar BBASE-KP4 (Clause 94)." b s exception should be appli	ut 100GBASE-KF	P4 is not a 40 Gb/s						
SuggestedRemed	dy									
	-	SE-KP4 (Clause 94)" to imr	nediately after "Ta	able 80-2a"						
Response		Response Status C								
ACCEPT.										

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 92	SC 92.8.3	P 120	L 32	# 27	Cl 99	SC	P5	L11	# 29
Anslow, Pe	ete	Ciena			Anslow, Pe	ete	Ciena		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		bucket
"2 Equ "1Equa	ation (92-2)" ation (92-3)"	Far-end transmit output noise	(max)" contains	:	after th This is For ex	ne text that de missing fron	Std 802.3ap-2007 contained:		eir content immediately
		cginning seem spunous.					cludes changes to IEEE Std 802	2.3-2005 and add	s Clause 69 through
	-				new P	hysical Layer	ex 69A, Annex 69B, Annex 73A rs that support the exchange of I es at 1 Gb/s and 10 Gb/s.		
Response	PT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C				aragraph will Is 802.3bk	then also appear in the frontmat	tter of other amer	ndments being developed
ACCL					Suggestea	Remedy			
		specification values. The equ			Add a	paragraph de	escribing 802.3bj		
subcla	use 92.8.3.2. Rer	nove the equation numbers/c	ross-references		Response		Response Status C		
In 92.8	3.3.2, set TBD equ	uations (92-2) and (92-3) per	diminico_3bj_0 ⁻	a_0912 slide 4.	ACCE	PT IN PRINC	IPLE.		
<i>CI</i> 93A Anslow, Pe	SC 93A.1.6	P 217 Ciena	L 39	# 28	The fro	ontmatter will	be updated under the guidance	of the Working G	Group chair.
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		bucket					
Howev		is the target uncorrected syn istent (16 instances) in its use		mbol error ratio" rather					
	Remedy								
Suggested			mbol error ratio.	"					
	e to "where SER	D is the target uncorrected syn	noor on or ratio						

C/ 45 S	SC 45.2.7.13.1	a	P 24	L 41	# 30		CI 69	SC	69.1.2	P	28	L 29	# 31
Anslow, Pete			Ciena				Anslow, Pe	ete		Cien	а		
The (accep "Insert 45. However, 1 "Insert 45. The agree "It has bee subclause inserted af labelled [s For examp 43.2.a and and 43.2.1 43.2.3 and								applied lodifica the sar <i>IRemed</i> ge the e 0.1.3, m g instru- ge the PT IN I	d to the ba tion to what ne issue for dy editing inst nove the e cton to be first parago PRINCIPL	at was formerly 69.1 or 80.1.2 is the subje truction to "Delete 69 diting instruction abo graph of 69.1.3 (now <i>Response Status</i>	ill have the sect of a sec	low should refle eparate commer renumber 69.1. le, renumber to red to 69.1.2) a	a to 69.1.2 according 69.1.2 and amend th s shown:"
Change: "Insert 45.2 "Insert 45.2	2.7.13.1a thro	gh 45.2.7.13.0	d before 45.2.	2.7.13.1 as follov 7.13.1 as follows 1 accordingly.			Cl 93A Moore, Ch	ent #6) SC		P:	214 Jo Techno	L 3	# 32
Response ACCEPT.		Response S					Comment	Туре	E neters in T	Comment Status able 93A-1 got lost b	R		bupy and D1.1
							Suggestea Restor		<i>dy</i> ssing colu	mns.			
							Response REJE0			Response Status	С		
										1 states that "The va cification that invoke			arameters are define
										are included in the essentially a table of			se (see 93.9.1 and

C/ 93ASC 1P 214L 40# 33Moore, CharlesAvago Technologies	C/ 93A SC 1.6.1 P 218 L 30 # 34 Moore, Charles Avago Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status A bucket	Comment Type T Comment Status A
In Table 93A-1 the parameter "W" is called "Victim single bit response exception window". Later in sub-clause 93A1.5, item d) "the exception window [is] defined as [t_z, t_z+WT_b]". I think that the terms "Victim single bit response exception window" and "the exception window are intedned to mean the same thing but they do not.	Equation 93A-20 represents a really painful way of computing sigma^2_m. Much simpler is sigma^2_m = sum(n=0->N-1) (H_m(n)^2) SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	Delete equation 93A-20. Insert
In table 93A-1, call W "Width of single bit response exception window". In 93A.1.5 item d) and in equation 93A-12, replace "WT_b" with "W".	sigma^2_m = sum(n=0->N-1) (H_m(n)^2)
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The units of W are defined to be UI in Table 93A-1. The multiplication of W by Tb in 93A.1.5	prior to equation 93A-17. Move verbage associated with equation 93A-20 having to do with selecting value of m giving maximum sigma_m up to the new equation. Add statement that equation 93A-17, 93A-18, and 93A-19 need only be applied for the value of m giving maximum sigma_m
item d) converts normalized time (UI) to absolute time (s).	Response Response Status C
However, it more precise to call W the "victim single bit response exception window length" as the window itself is relative to the chosen sampling phase ts.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change the Parameter name in Table 93A-1 to ".exception window length".	The proposed equation is proportional, but not equivalent to, the variance of the interference amplitude for phase index m. The equivalent expression is:
	(sigma_m)^2 = sum(n=0 to N-1)(h_m(n)^2)*sigma_x^2/N
	Note that sigma_x^2 is the signal power which is a function of the number of signal levels L.

Also, as comment #233 was accepted, the equation should use the single bit response at sampled at baud intervals.

93ASCP 213L 3# 35pore, CharlesAvago Technologies	C/ 92 SC 92.11 P 145 L 12 # 37 Cole, Chris Finisar
Annex 93A is described as normative but contains no "shall" statement or equivalent.	Comment Type T Comment Status A Add 2nd MDI specification, as justified in cole_01_0712 and supported in mcsorley_01_07
<i>IggestedRemedy</i> End the first paragraph in 93A.1 with:	SuggestedRemedy Incorporate text as per cole_02_0712
"COM shall have a non-negative value." esponse Response Status C	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Incorporate additional MDI style per cole_3bj_01_0912.pdf.
The premise of comment #246 is that it is simpler to specify that COM be greater than or equal to some limit plus COM0, or equivalently, some larger limit. This specification would be stated in the corresponding PMD clause.	C/ 94 SC 94.3.10 P 186 L 31 # 38 Lusted, Kent Intel
Add the appropriate normative requirement for Annex 93A, that is, COM shall be computed using the procedure described therein.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A TX train PMD control function for 100GBASE-KP4 needs a baseline proposal. SuggestedRemedy
93A SC 1.3 P 215 L 46 # 36	See presentation to be submitted at a future date
bore, Charles Avago Technologies	Response Response Status C
omment Type TR Comment Status A The parameter "At" is used in equation 93A-6 but not defined anywhere in sub-clause 93A.1.3	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Incorporate the training frame as proposed in lusted_3bj_03a_0912 and lusted_3bj_01_09
"At" is defined in sub-clause 93A.1.4 and re-used equation 93A-10. Assuming that this is the same parameter it will result in amplitude squared being used where amplitude is appropriate	Cl 94 SC 94.2.3 P176 L 24 # 39
lggestedRemedy	Lusted, Kent Intel
In equation 93A-6, replace "At" with "1"	Comment Type TR Comment Status A TX EEE encod
esponse Response Status C	100GBASE-KP4 needs a ALERT signal
ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy
See also #247.	Use variation of proposed 100GBASE-KP4 training frame as the ALERT signal.
	See presentation to be submitted in the future.
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	See comment #10234.

CI 45	SC 45.2.1.80		L 1	# 40	C/ 45	SC 45.	2.1.83	P 21	L 1	# 43
Lusted, K	ent	Intel			Lusted, Ke	nt		Intel		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment	Туре Т	R	Comment Status A		bucke
	urrent text for the lause 93 PMDs.	BASE-R PMD status registe	er does not refere	ence the new Clause 92		rrent text f Clause 93		ASE-R LP coef update regi	ster does not re	eference the new Clause
Suggeste	dRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
other	te the text to read PHY types using use 93."	"The BASE-R PMD status r the PMDs described in Clau	egister is used fo se 72, Clause 84	or 10GBASE-KR and I, Clause 85, Clause 92,	10GBA	SE-KR ar	d other	The BASE-R LD coefficient PHY types using the PMDs Clause 93."		
Response	9	Response Status C			Response			Response Status C		
ACCE	PT.				ACCEI	PT.				
CI 45 Lusted, Ke	SC 45.2.1.81	P 21 Intel	L 1	# 41	<i>CI</i> 45 Lusted, Ke	SC 45. nt	2.1.84	P 21 Intel	L 1	# 44
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment	Туре Т	R	Comment Status A		bucke
	urrent text for the e 92 and Clause	BASE-R LP coefficient upda 93 PMDs.	ate register does	not reference the new		rrent text f 93 PMDs		ASE-R LD status register d	oes not referen	ce the new Clause 92 and
Suggeste	dRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
10GB		"The BASE-R LP coefficient or PHY types using the PMD or Clause 93."			KR and		Y types	The BASE-R LD status report using the PMDs described		
Response)	Response Status C			Response			Response Status C		
ACCE	PT.	·			ACCEI	PT.				
CI 45	SC 45.2.1.82	P 21	L1	# 42	C/ 45	SC Tat	ole 45-10	05 P21	L1	# 45
Lusted, K	ent	Intel			Lusted, Ke	nt		Intel		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment	Туре т	R	Comment Status A		bucke
	urrent text for the d Clause 93 PMD	BASE-R LP status report re	gister does not r	eference the new Clause				t definitions table does not SE-KR4, 40GBASE-CR4 a		
Suggeste	dRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
KR ar		"The BASE-R LP status rep es using the PMDs described 3."			40GBA	ASE-CR4 a	and 1000	E-CR4, 100GBASE-KR4, 1 GBASE-CR10.		4, 40GBASE-KR4,
Response)	Response Status C			•	propriate	subclaus	ses for each entry in 45.2.3.	.9.x	
ACCE	PT.				Response			Response Status C		
					ACCEI	PT.				

C/ 45 ₋usted, Ker	SC 45.2.3.9 nt		P 21 Intel	L1	# 46	C/ 91 Szczepar	SC 9 nek, Andre	1.5.3.1 Э	P 99 Inphi	L31	# 49
Comment 1		Comme	ent Status A		bucket	Commen	,	ER	Comment Status A		
			ns subclauses do KR4, 40GBASE-		SE-CR4, 100GBASE- ASE-CR10.	do wi	th deskev	v (despite	am" is a misnomer. The SI inheriting the functions of F		
Suggestedl	Remedy					verify	ring FEC b	olock Lock			
			0GBASE-CR4, 1 nd 100GBASE-C						e deskew and testing for F at quite different positions		
Response		Respons	se Status C				regimes.				
ACCEF	PT.								nbine these two functions ir skew, and provide a sepera		
C/ 91	SC Figure 9	1-5	P 98	L 39	# 47	Suggeste			skew, and provide a sepera		CK SIVI.
Szczepane	k, Andre		Inphi						a copy of Figure 82-12.		
Comment 1	Type ER	Comme	ent Status A		bucket				to use the "align_status" or	utput from the de	skew lock SM.
			rather than 10bit s			Response	Э		Response Status C		
	is not a variable		ritten on the basis	s of 10bit symbols	5,	ACCI	EPT IN PF	RINCIPLE			
Suggestedl						[Add	ed Clause	(91) to St	ocl field for consistent sortir	na l	
	-	y element, he	olds 1 w-bit symb	ol"		-		· · ·		01	
			1 10-bit symbol"						deskew" operation is a sma ty pertains to monitoring w		
Response		Respons	se Status C				received		ty pertains to monitoring w		
ACCEF	PT.					A sta	nd-alone	EEC dock	ew state diagram would be	trivial Relative r	placement of deskew
See co	mment #48.					FEC	decode bl	ocks, cloc	k domains, etc. are implem	nentation-specific	considerations that
C/ 91	SC 91.5.2.7		P 97	L33	# 48	shou	ld have lit	tle bearing	on this generalized descri	ption of the requi	ired behavior.
Szczepane			Inphi	200	# 40				of view, defining operations		
Comment 1		Comme	nt Status A		bucket				ate (deskew or "lane align em. Both aspects are requi		
	51		rather than 10bit s	ymbols.	bucher		word lock				
The res		has been w	ritten on the basis		3,				eight to the deskew operati	on, rename Figu	re 91-9 to be the "FEC
Suggestedl	Remedy					aligni	ment state	e diagram"			
	e "GF(2^w) whe F(2^10) where		the symbol size ir size is 10 bits"	bits"							
Response		Respons	se Status C								
ACCEF	PT.	-									
[Added	I Clause (91) to	Sbcl field fo	r consistent sortir	ig.]							
Substit	ute the value 1) for all insta	ances of w in Clau	se 91.							
Cabolit											

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.2	P 99	L 43	# 50	C/ 91 SC 91.5.	3.5 P101	L 39	# 52
Szczepanek, Andre	Inphi			Szczepanek, Andre	Inphi		
	Comment Status A number defined ?. Stating "T markers mapped to each FE				Comment Status R insertion of the first codewor ind what is required. As c only		
SuggestedRemedy Explicitly state that FEC AM_2, and lane 2 AM_3	lane number zero is the lar 3.	ne that caries AM_	_0, lane 1 AM_1, lane 2	why not do it for th	and what is going the reader	will have to calculat	te these four bit muxes - s
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C E.			SuggestedRemedy Replace :			
Clause to Sbcl field for o	the comment against Clause consistent sorting.] ory is in 91.5.2.6 and Figure	Ũ	Clause 91. Added	using the following rx_payloads<(64c- rx_payloads<(64c-	e a vectorrepresenting the p expressions: 3):0> = rx_xcoded<(64c+8): 7):(64c+4)> = 0000 (an arbi 54c+8)> = rx_xcoded<256:(6	5> trary value that is lat	
paragraph. In 91.5.2.6, state that al	reference to 91.5.2.6 at the	prresponding to P		using the following	e a vectorrepresenting the p expressions: ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25		
13, and 17 correspond t	to FEC lane 1, and so on se	e Figure 91-4).	ling to PCS lanes 1, 5, 9	if (c==1) rx_payloa if (c==2) rx_payloa if (c==3) rx_payloa	ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25	6:73> :: 4'b000 :: rx 6:137> :: 4'b000 :: r 6:201> :: 4'b000 :: r	_xcoded <72:5> x_xcoded <136:5> x_xcoded <200:5>
13, and 17 correspond t C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.4	to FEC lane 1, and so on se		ling to PCS lanes 1, 5, 9 # <u>51</u>	if (c==1) rx_payloa if (c==2) rx_payloa if (c==3) rx_payloa where 4'b000 is an	ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 arbitrary value that will be re	6:73> :: 4'b000 :: rx 6:137> :: 4'b000 :: r 6:201> :: 4'b000 :: r	_xcoded <72:5> x_xcoded <136:5> x_xcoded <200:5>
13, and 17 correspond toC/ 91SC 91.5.3.4Szczepanek, Andre	to FEC lane 1, and so on se P 101 Inphi	e Figure 91-4).	# [51	if (c==1) rx_payloa if (c==2) rx_payloa if (c==3) rx_payloa where 4'b000 is ar <i>Response</i>	ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25	6:73> :: 4'b000 :: rx 6:137> :: 4'b000 :: r 6:201> :: 4'b000 :: r	_xcoded <72:5> x_xcoded <136:5> x_xcoded <200:5>
13, and 17 correspond to Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.4 Szczepanek, Andre Comment Type ER Descrambling no longer SuggestedRemedy	to FEC lane 1, and so on se P101 Inphi Comment Status A r forms part of the receive da	e Figure 91-4). <i>L</i> 17		if (c==1) rx_payloa if (c==2) rx_payloa if (c==3) rx_payloa where 4'b000 is ar <i>Response</i> REJECT.	ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 arbitrary value that will be ra <i>Response Status</i> C tted this comment against C	6:73> :: 4'b000 :: rx 6:137> :: 4'b000 :: r 6:201> :: 4'b000 :: r eplaced later in step	_xcoded <72:5> x_xcoded <136:5> x_xcoded <200:5> j
13, and 17 correspond to Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.4 Szczepanek, Andre Comment Type ER Descrambling no longer SuggestedRemedy Remove "descrambling	to FEC lane 1, and so on se P101 Inphi Comment Status A r forms part of the receive da	e Figure 91-4). <i>L</i> 17	# [51	if (c==1) rx_payloa if (c==2) rx_payloa if (c==3) rx_payloa where 4'b000 is ar <i>Response</i> REJECT. [Commenter subm 91.5.3.5, Page 107	ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 arbitrary value that will be ra <i>Response Status</i> C tted this comment against C , Line 39.] as written. Illustrations have	6:73> :: 4'b000 :: rx 6:137> :: 4'b000 :: r 6:201> :: 4'b000 :: r eplaced later in step	_xcoded <72:5> x_xcoded <136:5> x_xcoded <200:5> j to Clause 91, Subcl
13, and 17 correspond to Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.4 Szczepanek, Andre Comment Type ER Descrambling no longer SuggestedRemedy Remove "descrambling Response ACCEPT.	to FEC lane 1, and so on se P101 Inphi Comment Status A r forms part of the receive da and"	e Figure 91-4).	# [51	if (c==1) rx_payloa if (c==2) rx_payloa if (c==3) rx_payloa where 4'b000 is ar <i>Response</i> REJECT. [Commenter subm 91.5.3.5, Page 10 ⁻ The text is correct reader understand The suggested rer vectors 4b'xxxx, th	ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 ds <255:0> = rx_xcoded<25 arbitrary value that will be ra <i>Response Status</i> C tted this comment against C , Line 39.] as written. Illustrations have	6:73> :: 4'b000 :: rx 6:137> :: 4'b000 :: r 6:201> :: 4'b000 :: r eplaced later in step clause 00. Changed been added (see Fig ray concatenation "::	_xcoded <72:5> x_xcoded <136:5> x_xcoded <200:5> j to Clause 91, Subcl gure 91-3) to help the " and definition of binary

C/ 91	SC 91.5.2.5	P 95	L 1	# 53	C/ 91	SC	91.5.3.3	P101	L 6	# 55
Szczepane	ek, Andre	Inphi			Szczepar	ek, And	re	Inphi		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	t Type	TR	Comment Status R		
		oder for invalid sync headers ded_j<1> == tx_coded_j<0>		ed ?	What	is the d	efinition o	nes that a codeword is unco f uncorrectable ?	rrectable, it shal	יי
Suggested	Remedy							has a "shall" tied to it. 'uncorrectable" how can we	determine comp	liance
		ed_j<1> == tx_coded_j<0>	he treneede of	four Local foult input	Suggeste					
words	le transcoded out	put should be equivalent to	ine transcode of	Tour Local_Tault Input	00			ition of an uncorrectable 802	2.3bj codeword.	
Response	PT IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status C			great	er than 7	7 (t), or wł	word is a codeword whose enere the error locator or erro quation cannot be solved).		
	_				uelei	mineu (ine key et	qualion cannol be solved).		
[Addec	d Clause (91) to S	Sbcl field for consistent sortir	ıg.]		This	definitior	n provides	a definitive minimum requir	ement for codev	vord marking.
Specify	y that, if any of th	e four 66-bit blocks tx_coded	d_j has an invalio	d sync. header, then	Response	9		Response Status C		
		0 and tx_xcoded<4:1> is set	to 1111. The se	cond nibble from the first	REJE	CT.				
04-DIL I	block payload is o	Jeleled.			[Adde	ed Claus	e (91) to 3	Sbcl field for consistent sorti	ng.]	
		coded is received with rx_xc			-		· · ·		01	
	d nibble from the	of the blocks rx_coded_j are first 66-bit block payload is s			undet	fined ter		s the term "uncorrectable co locator polynomial", "error e trade.		
type fie	eld is received by	by the commenter is the ca the 256B/257B to 64B/66B _02_0912 slide 20.			trade	-offs bet		clear that there were multip erage or latency. This is an he draft.		
C/ 91	SC 91.5.2.6	P95	L 40	# 54	See o	commen	t #443.			
Szczepane	ek, Andre	Inphi								
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		bucket						
		inge of variable "j" is wrong. e 0 to 4 concistent with the 5	AMs per row sh	own in Figure 91-4						
Suggested	Remedy									
Replac	ce "j=0 to 5" with	"j=0 to 4"								
Response		Response Status C								
ACCEI	PT.									
[Addec	d Clause (91) to S	Sbcl field for consistent sortir	ıg.]							
See co	omment #472.									

C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.5 P 95 Szczepanek, Andre Inphi	L15 # 56	C/ 91 SC 91.5.2. Szczepanek, Andre	6 P95 Inphi	L 45	# 57
Comment Type ER Comment Status R The function for omission of the first codeword "s" nibble dificult to understand what is required. As c only has 4 p possible bit muxes.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Comment Type ER This mapping proces A mapping equation	Comment Status A sss really needs a diagram to though succinct is not descrij ded in gustlin_01_0312, why	ptive.	g on.
SuggestedRemedy Replace :		SuggestedRemedy Add mapping diagra	m based on slide 15 of gustlir	n_01_0312.	
e)Omit tx_coded_c<9:6>, which is the second nibble (ba block type field for tx_coded_c, from tx_xcoded per the f tx_xcoded<(64c+8):5> = tx_payloads<(64c+3):0> tx_xcoded<256:(64c+9)> = tx_payloads<255:(64c+8)>		Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI [Added Clause (91)	Response Status C PLE. to Sbcl field for consistent sor	ting.]	
With : e)Omit tx_coded_c<9:6>, which is the second nibble (ba block type field for tx_coded_c, from tx_xcoded per the f if (c==0) tx_coded <256:5> = tx_payloads<255:8> :: tx_f if (c==1) tx_coded <256:5> = tx_payloads<255:136> :: t if (c==2) tx_coded <256:5> = tx_payloads<255:136> :: t if (c==3) tx_coded <256:5> = tx_payloads<255:200> :: t	ollowing : payloads<3:0> _payloads<67:0> <_payloads<131:0>	Figure 91-4 was incl See comment #150. Cl 91 SC Figure Szczepanek, Andre	uded for this purpose. 91-4 P97 Inphi	L4	# 58
Response Response Status C REJECT.			Comment Status A the mapping process specifi Reed Solomon Symbol Index		
[Added Clause (91) to Sbcl field for consistent sorting.] The text is correct as written. Illustrations have been add	led (see Figure 91-3) to help the		be labelled either by alignme ith both as it makes the mapp		
reader understand the process. The suggested remedy includes notation for array conca elsewhere in IEEE 802.3. The existing definition does no notation.		Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI See comment #150.	Response Status C		
While the mathematical description is precise, it requires computations to understand the construction of the code calculations involving the variable c are more onerous th	word. It is not clear that the	Figure 91-4 illustrate page 95, lines 45 to	s the am_payloads matrix an 48.	d "k" does indeed	relate to the mapping per

C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.7 P 98 L 47 # 59 Szczepanek, Andre Inphi	C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 P 49 L 28 # 61 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Cisco<
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Why are the generator polynomial coefficients relegated to a (presumably informative) and ?.	Comment Type T Comment Status A LPI For change of LPI Rx function
Although they can be derived from field polynomial and number of check symbols this requires a good bit of maths. So why not state them here. The coefficients are normative all, there is no discretion in their values.	Fig 80-3a - fix LPI interface between PMA & PMD ^{EI} SuggestedRemedy Between PMA & PMD:
SuggestedRemedy	Detween FIMA & FIMD.
Add list of generator polynomial coefficients for the two FEC codes, in a format concistent with Figure 91-5.	Change direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>C</i>
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	ACCEPT.
[Added Clause (91) to Sbcl field for consistent sorting.]	C/ 80 SC 80.3.3.6 P 49 L 53 # 62 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Cisc
See comment #234.	Comment Type T Comment Status A LPI
	For change of LPI Rx function
Sommers, Scott Molex	Need definitions for rx_lpi_active
Comment Type ER Comment Status A	SuggestedRemedy
Spec references "The test fixtures of Figure 92-5 and Figure 92-12 are specified in a mate state illustrated in Figure 92-13".	Add subclause 80.3.3.6
Often, this clause is misinterpreted and applied as a MDI specification. SuggestedRemedy	80.3.3.6 IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request The IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request primitive communicates to the FEC that the PCS LPI receive function is active. Without EEE capability, the primitive is never invoked and has ne effect.
"The test fixtures of Figure 92-5 and Figure 92-12 are specified in a mated state, illustrate Figure 92-13, to enable connections to measurement equipment. The requirements in this section are not MDI specifications for an implemented design."	n 80.3.3.6.1 Semantics of the service primitive IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request(rx_lpi_active)
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	The parameter rx_lpi_active is boolean.
Use suggested remedy.	80.3.3.6.2 When generated This primitive is generated by the PCS LPI receive function.
	80.3.3.6.3 Effect of receipt The specific effect of receipt of this primitive is defined by the FEC sublayer that receives t primitive. In general, when rx_lpi_active is true the FEC sublayer uses rapid block lock to reestablish FEC operation following a period of quiescence.
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT.

CI 80 S Barrass, Hugh	SC 80.3.3.7	P 49 Cisco	L 54	# 63	C/ 82 SC 82.2 Barrass, Hugh	.18.2.2	P 68 Cisco	L1	# 65
Comment Type		Comment Status A		LPI Rx	Comment Type T rx_block_lock is d		mment Status A		bucke
Need defin SuggestedRen	nitions for ener nedy	rgy_detect			SuggestedRemedy Change rx_block_	lock to rx_b	ock_lock <x></x>		
Add subcla	ause 80.3.3.7				Add "for each lane	at the end	of the first sentence.		
The IS_EN	NERGY_DETE	DETECT.indicate ECT.indicate primitive is use nergy on the interface follow			Response ACCEPT.	Res	oonse Status C		
capability,	the primitive is	s never invoked and has no the service primitive			C/ 85 SC 85.1 Barrass, Hugh	3.3	Р 90 Cisco	L13	# 66
IS_ENERC	GY_DETECT.i	indicate(energy_detect)			Comment Type T If the new optional		mment Status A accepted then PMD o	only needs to su	EEE optio
80.3.3.7.2	When genera	detect is boolean. ited ed by the PMA, reflecting th	e state of the sig	nal detect narameter	SuggestedRemedy After "Implementa	tion of LPI" i	nsert "with the norma	I wake mode opt	ion"
received fr	rom the PMD.				Response ACCEPT.	Res	bonse Status C		
803373	Effect of recei	int							
The specif primitive. T		ceipt of this primitive is defin r is used to indicate that act			C/ 83A SC 83A. Barrass, Hugh	3.2a	P 202 Cisco	L 28	# 67
The specif primitive. T following a	fic effect of rec This paramete	ceipt of this primitive is defin r is used to indicate that act			Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T	Сог	Cisco		EEE option
The specif primitive. T following a	fic effect of rec This paramete a period of quie	ceipt of this primitive is defin r is used to indicate that act escence.			Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T	Сог	Cisco		
The specif primitive. T following a <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 82 S	fic effect of rec This paramete a period of quie SC 82.1.5	ceipt of this primitive is defin r is used to indicate that act escence.			Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T If the new optional SuggestedRemedy	<i>Col</i> behavior is	Cisco mment Status A accepted then XLAU	I/CAUI only need	EEE optio
The specif primitive. T following a <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 82 S Barrass, Hugh <i>Comment Type</i>	fic effect of rec This paramete a period of quie SC 82.1.5	Peipt of this primitive is defin r is used to indicate that act escence. Response Status C P65 Cisco Comment Status A	ivity has returned	I on the interface	Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T If the new optional SuggestedRemedy After "optional Ene	<i>Col</i> behavior is ergy Efficien	Cisco mment Status A accepted then XLAU	I/CAUI only need	EEE optio
The specif primitive. T following a ACCEPT. C/ 82 S Barrass, Hugh Comment Type For change	fic effect of rec This paramete a period of quie SC 82.1.5 e T	Peipt of this primitive is defin r is used to indicate that act escence. Response Status C P65 Cisco Comment Status A	ivity has returned	d on the interface # 64	Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T If the new optional SuggestedRemedy After "optional Ene option" Response	<i>Col</i> behavior is ergy Efficien	Cisco mment Status A accepted then XLAU t Ethernet (EEE) capa	I/CAUI only need	EEE optio
The specif primitive. T following a ACCEPT. C/ 82 S Barrass, Hugh Comment Type For change Need to fix	fic effect of rec This paramete a period of quie SC 82.1.5 e T e of LPI Rx fur & block diag	Peipt of this primitive is defin r is used to indicate that act escence. Response Status C P65 Cisco Comment Status A	ivity has returned	d on the interface # 64	Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T If the new optional SuggestedRemedy After "optional Ene option" Response	<i>Col</i> behavior is ergy Efficien	Cisco mment Status A accepted then XLAU t Ethernet (EEE) capa	I/CAUI only need	EEE optic
The specif primitive. T following a <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 82 S Barrass, Hugh <i>Comment Type</i> For change Need to fix <i>SuggestedRem</i> Change dii Add inst:IS	fic effect of rec This paramete a period of quie SC 82.1.5 e T e of LPI Rx fun k block diag medy irection inst:IS,	Peipt of this primitive is defin r is used to indicate that act escence. Response Status C P65 Cisco Comment Status A nction _RX_MODE.request DETECT.indicate	ivity has returned	d on the interface # 64	Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T If the new optional SuggestedRemedy After "optional Ene option" Response	<i>Col</i> behavior is ergy Efficien	Cisco mment Status A accepted then XLAU t Ethernet (EEE) capa	I/CAUI only need	EEE optic
The specif primitive. T following a <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 82 S Barrass, Hugh <i>Comment Type</i> For change Need to fix <i>SuggestedRem</i> Change dii Add inst:IS	fic effect of rec This paramete a period of quie SC 82.1.5 e T e of LPI Rx fun k block diag medy irection inst:IS S_ENERGY_D	Peipt of this primitive is defin r is used to indicate that act escence. Response Status C P65 Cisco Comment Status A nction _RX_MODE.request DETECT.indicate	ivity has returned	d on the interface # 64	Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T If the new optional SuggestedRemedy After "optional Ene option" Response	<i>Col</i> behavior is ergy Efficien	Cisco mment Status A accepted then XLAU t Ethernet (EEE) capa	I/CAUI only need	EEE optio

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Barrass, Hugh		P 37	L1	# 68	CI 80	SC	80.3.1	P 46	L 44	# 70
		Cisco			Barrass, H	lugh		Cisco		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status R		EEE FEC	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		LPI R
		changed so that compatibilit o be aligned so that RAMs a	,		chang This c	ges will commer	be require	I receive function needs to be d to achieve this in the mann used as a reference should th	er proposed in tl	he submitted presentatio
SuggestedRem	nedy				n/ m	do noo	da ta ahar	nge direction, also energy_de	toot and ry Ini	notive peed to be added
Add the foll	lowing at the	end of clause 74.7.4.4			_			ige direction, also energy_de	elect and rx_ipi_a	
	poroting of	40 Gb/s and above that inclu	ida tha antianal l	Energy Efficient Ethernet	Suggested Chang		ay			
		e normal wake mode option			Chang	ye.				
shall force another sta	the start of a ite. The FEC	new FEC block following th blocks following this transit	e transition of tx ion shall start wi	_mode from QUIET to the a Rapid Alignment	IS_R>	K_MOD	E.indicatio	on		
Marker (RA	M) that inclu	ides a down_count divisible	by 4 (see 82.2.8	a).	To:					
Response REJECT.		Response Status C			IS_EN	RGY	E.request _DETECT ACTIVE.re	indication		
optimal, bu	t the impact	Clause 74 is preferred. The is small compared to the dis	ruption of chang	es to Clause 74 FEC.	Response ACCE			Response Status C		
Cl 74 Se Barrass, Hugh	C 74.7.4.8	P 37 Cisco	<i>L</i> 1	# 69	C/ 80	SC	80.3.1	P 46	L48	# 71
Comment Type	т	Comment Status R		EEE FEC	Barrass, H	lugh		Cisco		
Clause 74 i	needs to be	changed so that compatibilit eds to take into account RAI	•	-	<i>Comment</i> For ch		T of LPI Rx f	Comment Status A unction		LPI R
SuggestedRem	ledy				Fix the	e descr	iptions of	he primitives.		
		subclause 74.7.4.8 from "If			Suggestee	dReme	dy			
to "If the op	otional EEE o	apability is supported for PH	IYs operating at	10Gb/s"	Delete	e the 2r	nd sentend	e of paragraph, replace with:		
If the optior FEC rapid I	hal EEE capa block lock is	t the end of the subclause: ability is supported for PHYs required. When transitioning cks with Rapid Alignment Ma	out of the sleep	state, the remote FEC	functio comm IS_EN	on to ot nunicate	her sublay to the FE _DETECT	uest primitive is used to com rers. The IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE C that the PCS is using its re indication primitive is used to energy on the interface following	E.request primiti eceive LPI function o communicate t	ve is used to on. The that the PMD has
Response		Response Status C			Response	;		Response Status C		
REJECT.					ACCE	PT.				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

The minimal change to Clause 74 is preferred. The use of scrambler bypass may not be optimal, but the impact is small compared to the disruption of changes to Clause 74 FEC.

<i>CI</i> 80 Barrass, ⊢	SC 80.3.3.5 lugh	P 47 Cisco	L 36	# 72	C/ 80 SC 80.3.3.5.2 Barrass, Hugh	P 47 Cisco	L 51	# 75
<i>Comment</i> For ch	<i>Type</i> T hange of LPI Rx fu	Comment Status A		LPI Rx	<i>Comment Type</i> T For change of LPI Rx fun	Comment Status A		LPI R.
Chang	ge rx_mode defini	tion			Change origin of rx_mode	e		
Suggested	Remedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Chang	ge title - IS_RX_M	IODE.request			Change "received signal"	to "PCS LPI receive functi	on"	
The IS	e 1st sentence. Ac S_RX_MODE.req PI receive function	dd: uest primitive communicates on to other sublayers.	the rx_mode par	ameter generated by the	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Response ACCE		Response Status C			C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 Barrass, Hugh	P 48 Cisco	L 13	# 76
CI 80	SC 80.3.3.5.1		L 44	# 73	Comment Type T For change of LPI Rx fun	Comment Status A		LPI R
Barrass, H	0	Cisco			Fig 80-3 - fix LPI interface	e between PCS & FFC		
Comment	••	Comment Status A		LPI Rx	SuggestedRemedy			
For ch	ange of LPI Rx fu	Inction			Between PCS & FEC:			
Chang	ge rx_mode direct	tion						
Suggested Chang	<i>Remedy</i> ge indicate to requ	Jest			Change direction FEC:IS Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_D Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_AC	ETECT.indicate		
Response ACCE		Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
<i>CI</i> 80 Barrass, ⊢	SC 80.3.3.5.1 lugh	P 47 Cisco	L 47	# 74	C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 Barrass, Hugh	P 48 Cisco	L 21	# 77
Comment	0	Comment Status A		LPI Rx	Comment Type T For change of LPI Rx fun	Comment Status A		LPI R.
No AL	ERT for rx_mode				Fig 80-3 - fix LPI interface	e between FEC & PMA		
Suggested	Remedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Delete	ALERT.				Between FEC & PMA:			
Response ACCE		Response Status C			Change direction FEC:IS Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_D			
					Response	Response Status C		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 80 SC 80. Barrass, Hugh	3.2 P48 Cisco	L 28	# 78	<i>Cl</i> 80 SC 80.3.2 Barrass, Hugh	P 49 Cisco	L13	# 80
Comment Type 1 For change of Li			LPI Rx	Comment Type T Com For change of LPI Rx function	ment Status A		LPI Rx
Fig 80-3 - fix LPI	interface between PMA(20:10) &	PMA(10:n)		Fig 80-3a - fix LPI interface betv	veen PCS & FEC		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Between PMA(2	0:10) & PMA(10:n):			Between PCS & FEC:			
	n FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request ERGY_DETECT.indicate			Change direction FEC:IS_RX_N Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETEC	T.indicate		
Response	Response Status C			Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.	•		
ACCEPT.				Response Response Response	onse Status C		
C/ 80 SC 80 . Barrass, Hugh	3.2 <i>P</i> 48 Cisco	L 36	# 79	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.2	P 68	L12	# 81
Comment Type 1 For change of Ll			LPI Rx	Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T Com For change of LPI Rx function	Cisco ment Status A		LPI Rx
Fig 80-3 - fix LPI SuggestedRemedy Between PMA &	interface between PMA & PMD PMD:			Need to add definition for energ	y_detect		
Change direction	h FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request			Add energy detect:			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			A parameter generated by the F .In the PMD this has the same of without modification by the PMA	lefinition as paramet		
				Response Response Response	onse Status C		

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18 Barrass, Hugh	3.2.2 P68 Cisco	L 30	# 82	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3.1 Barrass, Hugh	P 80 Cisco	L 25	# 85
Comment Type T For change of LPI R:	Comment Status A k function		LPI Rx	<i>Comment Type</i> T For change of LPI Rx func	Comment Status A		LPI R:
Need to change defin	nition for rx_mode			Need to add rx_mode assi	ignments in Rx LPI state c	liagram - Fig 82-17.	
SuggestedRemedy Change definition to:				SuggestedRemedy In state RX_QUIET, assig	n rx_mode = QUIET		
	the state of the LPI receive The parameter has one of			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3.1 Barrass, Hugh	Р 80 Cisco	L 32	# 86
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18 Barrass, Hugh	8.3.1 P72 Cisco	L 5	# 83	<i>Comment Type</i> T For change of LPI Rx func	<i>Comment Status</i> A tion		LPI R
Comment Type T For change of LPI R:	Comment Status A x function		LPI Rx	Need to add rx_mode assi SuggestedRemedy	ignments in Rx LPI state c	liagram - Fig 82-17.	
Need to change the	timing reference in Table 82	2-5b.		In state RX_WAKE, assign	n rx_mode = DATA		
SuggestedRemedy Change "rx_mode to	be set to ALERT or DATA	to "energy_detect to	be set to true"	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18 Barrass, Hugh	3.3.1 P80 Cisco	L	# 84				
Comment Type T For change of LPI R:	Comment Status A k function		LPI Rx				
_	e assignments in Rx LPI st	ate diagram - Fig 82-	17.				
SuggestedRemedy In state RX_ACTIVE	, assign rx_mode = DATA						
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3 Barrass, Hugh	.1 P80 Cisco	L16	# 87	C/ 83 SC 83.3 Barrass, Hugh	P 83 Cisco	L 48	# 89
Comment Type T For change of LPI Rx f	Comment Status A unction		LPI Rx	Comment Type T For change of LPI Rx	Comment Status A function		LPI R:
Need to change state t	ransition conditions in Rx LP	state diagram -	Fig 82-17.	Fix the descriptions of	the primitives.		
SuggestedRemedy Transitions:				SuggestedRemedy Delete 2nd sentence.			
rx_align_status RX_SLEEP > RX_QUI RX_QUIET > RX_LINF RX_QUIET > RX_WAF RX_WAKE > RX_TIME rx_align_status	EP; RX_SLEEP > RX_ACTIV ET - replace rx_mode = QUIB (_FAIL - replace rx_mode = C KE - replace rx_mode != QUIB ER; RX_WAKE > RX_ACTIVE - r	ET with !rx_align_ QUIET with !energ ET with energy_d E - replace rx_mo	status gy_detect etect ode = DATA with	function to other subla	quest primitive is used to com yers. The IS_ENERGY_DET PMD has detected the return <i>Response Status</i> C	ECT.indication p	rimitive is used to
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ 84 SC 84 Barrass, Hugh	P 86 Cisco	L 20	# 90
Cl 83 SC 83.3 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T	P 83 Cisco Comment Status A	L 44	# 88 LPI Rx	SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A to include all 40/100 PHYs to 84 that match the equivaler	nt changes in Cla	400
For change of LPI Rx f		te et e e e de te bee	e date d	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
rx_mode needs to chan SuggestedRemedy Change: IS_RX_MODE.indication To:	nge direction, also energy_de	rect needs to be	added.	AUGEFT.			
IS_RX_MODE.request							
IS_ENERGY_DETEC1 Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

C/ 85 SC 85.2	P 87 Cisco	L 46	# 91	C/ 85 SC 85.2	P 87 Cisco	L 50	# 93
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		
Comment Type T For change of LPI Rx	Comment Status A		LPI Rx	Comment Type T For compatibility with	Comment Status A		EEE FEC
rx_mode needs to cha	inge direction			Add note regarding t	x_mode passed through FEC.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change:				Add note to the end	of the paragraph:		
IS_RX_MODE.indicati	on			Note: if Clause 74 Fl through the FEC to t	EC is in use, only the values D. he PMD.	ATA, QUIET and	ALERT may be passed
To:				Response	Response Status C		
IS_RX_MODE.reques	t			ACCEPT.			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						
C/ 85 SC 85.2 Barrass, Hugh	P 87 Cisco	L 52	# 92				
Comment Type T For change of LPI Rx	Comment Status A function		LPI Rx				
Fix the descriptions of	the primitives.						
SuggestedRemedy							
Replace the 2 sentence	ces with:						
The RX_MODE param and takes the value Q	neter is used to communicate t UIET or DATA.	he state of the F	CS LPI receive function				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

C/ 85 SC 85.7.4	P 88 Cisco	L14	# 94		SC 91.2	P 92	L 33	# 95
Barrass, Hugh	CISCO			Barrass, Hugh		Cisco		
Comment Type T For change of LPI R:	Comment Status A x function		LPI Rx	Comment Type For change	e T e of LPI Rx	Comment Status A function		
Add function for glob	al signal detect.			rx_mode n	eeds to cha	ange direction, also energy_de	etect and rx_lpi_a	active need to be added.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRen	nedy			
Delete editor's note.	Add the following:			Change:				
At the end of the first			indication is also used to	IS_RX_M0	DE.indicati	ion		
	orts the optional EEE capability ERT signal is detected, which			To:				
When the PHY does	ne second and third paragraph not support the EEE capability		pports the EEE capability			t T.indication		
and rx_mode is set t	o DATA			Response		Response Status C		
At the end of the thir	d paragraph add:			ACCEPT I	N PRINCIP	LE.		
	orts the EEE capability, SIGNA	L_DETECT is se	et to FAIL following a	Clause 91	does not re	equire the IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE	E primitive.	
transition from rx_mode = DAT shall be set to	A to rx_mode = QUIET. When	rx_mode = QUI	ET, SIGNAL_DETECT	Add IS_EN	IERGY_DE	TECT and change the direction	on of IS_RX_MO	DE per the comment.
OK within 500 ns foll a channel that satisfi test channels defined unit intervals and per	owing the application of a signation of a signation of a signation of all the p d in 72.7.2.1 when driven by a stak-to-peak differential output an TECT changes from FAIL to O el.	earameters of bo square wave pat mplitude of 720 r	th interference tolerance tern with a period of 16 nV. While rx_mode =					
Response	Response Status C							

ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.13	P23	L 9	# 96		C 45.2.7.13.1		L 41	# 97
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			Barrass, Hugh		Cisco		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		EEE option	Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		EEE option
	proposed that the two wake			If the new o	ptional behav	ior is accepted there ne	eds to be a descrip	otion of the new register b
	ifficient discussion at the tim that time some convincing			SuggestedRem	edy			
-	-	-		Insert an e	tra new subcl	ause 45.2.7.13.1a befo	re the existing one	and renumber the rest.
energy than would be sa	es (PMA/PMD only) to supp aved during LPI. Furthermor	e, modules built		45.2.7.13.1	a Fast Wake o	only (7.60.14)		
EEE could support Fast	Wake but not normal wake.			Support for	Faat Waka or	aly on defined in 92.2.1	9.2.2 aball be adve	ertised if this bit is set to
	he simplistic implementation as sense for Fast Wake to be			one. This b	it is not set for	PHYs less than 40 Gb	/s and for PHYs tha	at support both wake
normal wake being an o	ptional extra mode. Change	es will be required	d in multiple places to	Response		Response Status C		
	he resolution of this commen	nt should serve a	as a reference.	, ACCEPT II	PRINCIPLE.	,		
00 y								
SuggestedRemedy Add a row and adjust the	e reserved row accordingly:			45.2.7.13.1	a Both EEE m	nodes (7.60.14)		
Add a row and adjust the 7.60.14 - Fast Wake only	e reserved row accordingly: y - 1 = Advertise that the PH 9 PHY supports only Fast W	HY supports only	Fast Wake mode : 0 -	Support for one. This b	both EEE mo	des, as defined in 82.2		vertised if this bit is set to at support only Fast Wake
Add a row and adjust the 7.60.14 - Fast Wake only	y - 1 = Advertise that the PH	HY supports only	Fast Wake mode : 0 -	Support for	both EEE mo	des, as defined in 82.2		
Add a row and adjust the 7.60.14 - Fast Wake only Do not advertise that the	y - 1 = Advertise that the PH PHY supports only Fast W Response Status C	HY supports only	Fast Wake mode : 0 -	Support for one. This b mode.	both EEE mo	des, as defined in 82.2 PHYs less than 40 Gb		
Add a row and adjust the 7.60.14 - Fast Wake only Do not advertise that the <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	y - 1 = Advertise that the PH PHY supports only Fast W <i>Response Status</i> C	HY supports only	Fast Wake mode : 0 -	Support for one. This b mode.	both EEE mo it is not set for	des, as defined in 82.2 PHYs less than 40 Gb	/s and for PHYs tha	at support only Fast Wake
Add a row and adjust the 7.60.14 - Fast Wake only Do not advertise that the <i>Response</i>	y - 1 = Advertise that the PH PHY supports only Fast W <i>Response Status</i> C	HY supports only	Fast Wake mode : 0 -	Support for one. This b mode. Cl 45 S Barrass, Hugh	both EEE mo it is not set for C 45.2.7.13.1a	des, as defined in 82.2 PHYs less than 40 Gb a P24 Cisco	/s and for PHYs tha	at support only Fast Wake # 98
Add a row and adjust the 7.60.14 - Fast Wake only Do not advertise that the <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Straw poll in ad-hoc & TI (Chicago rules) If EEE s Both modes required (ac	y - 1 = Advertise that the PH PHY supports only Fast W <i>Response Status</i> C E. F upported. d hoc: 1, TF: 0)	HY supports only /ake mode	Fast Wake mode : 0 -	Support for one. This b mode. Cl 45 S Barrass, Hugh Comment Type Although th	both EEE mo it is not set for C 45.2.7.13.1 E e spelling of "	des, as defined in 82.2 PHYs less than 40 Gb a P24 Cisco Comment Status A	/s and for PHYs tha 	at support only Fast Wake
Add a row and adjust the 7.60.14 - Fast Wake only Do not advertise that the <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Straw poll in ad-hoc & TI (Chicago rules) If EEE s Both modes required (ac Fast Wake mandatory, q	y - 1 = Advertise that the PH PHY supports only Fast W <i>Response Status</i> C E. F upported.	HY supports only /ake mode I hoc: 5, TF: 23)	Fast Wake mode : 0 -	Support for one. This b mode. Cl 45 S Barrass, Hugh Comment Type Although th	both EEE mo it is not set for C 45.2.7.13.13 E e spelling of "a cating the rest	des, as defined in 82.2 PHYs less than 40 Gb a P24 Cisco Comment Status A advertized" is aesthetic	/s and for PHYs tha 	at support only Fast Wake # 98 bucket
Add a row and adjust the 7.60.14 - Fast Wake only Do not advertise that the <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Straw poll in ad-hoc & TI (Chicago rules) If EEE so Both modes required (ac Fast Wake mandatory, q Quiescent mode mandat	y - 1 = Advertise that the PH PHY supports only Fast W <i>Response Status</i> C F upported. d hoc: 1, TF: 0) quiescent mode optional (ad	HY supports only /ake mode I hoc: 5, TF: 23) hoc: 1, TF: 3)	Fast Wake mode : 0 -	Support for one. This b mode. Cl 45 S Barrass, Hugh Comment Type Although th style perme SuggestedRem	both EEE mo it is not set for C 45.2.7.13.1a E e spelling of "a iating the rest edy	des, as defined in 82.2 PHYs less than 40 Gb a P24 Cisco Comment Status A advertized" is aesthetic	/s and for PHYs tha <i>L</i> 45 ally pleasing, it doe	at support only Fast Wake # 98 bucket
Add a row and adjust the 7.60.14 - Fast Wake only Do not advertise that the <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Straw poll in ad-hoc & TI (Chicago rules) If EEE so Both modes required (ad Fast Wake mandatory, q Quiescent mode mandat Both modes independen	y - 1 = Advertise that the PH PHY supports only Fast W <i>Response Status</i> C F upported. d hoc: 1, TF: 0) quiescent mode optional (ad tory, fast wake optional (ad	HY supports only /ake mode I hoc: 5, TF: 23) hoc: 1, TF: 3) 0)	Fast Wake mode : 0 -	Support for one. This b mode. Cl 45 S Barrass, Hugh Comment Type Although th style perme SuggestedRem	both EEE mo it is not set for C 45.2.7.13.1a E e spelling of "a iating the rest edy	des, as defined in 82.2 PHYs less than 40 Gb a P24 Cisco Comment Status A advertized" is aesthetic of the document.	/s and for PHYs tha <i>L</i> 45 ally pleasing, it doe	at support only Fast Wake # 98 bucket

Comment ID 98

C/ 91 SC 91.5.1 P 94 L 4 # 99 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Cisco </th <th>C/ 92 SC 92.2 P 113 L 11 # 101 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Cisco<</th>	C/ 92 SC 92.2 P 113 L 11 # 101 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Cisco<
Comment Type T Comment Status A For change of LPI Rx function	Comment Type T Comment Status A For change of LPI Rx function
Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 SuggestedRemedy	rx_mode needs to change direction SuggestedRemedy
Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request	Change: IS RX MODE.indication
Add FEC.IS_KA_LFI_ACTIVE.lequest Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	To:
Clause 91 does not use the IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE primitive. Implement the other changes in the suggested remedy.	IS_RX_MODE.request Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
C/ 91 SC 91.5.1 P 94 L 40 # 100 Barrass, Hugh Cisco	Use suggested remedy.
Comment Type T Comment Status A For change of LPI Rx function	C/ 93 SC 93.2 P 151 L 11 # 102 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Cisco<
Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 SuggestedRemedy Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request	Comment Type T Comment Status A For change of LPI Rx function rx_mode needs to change direction
Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy Change:
Change the direction of PMA:IS_RX_MODE.request and add PMA:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication	IS_RX_MODE.indication To:
	IS_RX_MODE.request Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

<i>CI</i> 94 Barrass, Hu	SC 94.2 ugh	P171 Cisco	L 19	# 103	<i>Cl</i> 45 Barrass, Hu	SC 45.2.7.14 Jgh	P 25 Cisco	L 29	# 105
Comment 7 For cha	<i>Type</i> T ange of LPI Rx fu	Comment Status A		PMA service layer	Comment 7 If the n	51	Comment Status A vior is accepted there needs	s to be a new reg	EEE option gister bit.
Suggestedi Changu IS_RX_ To: IS_RX_ Response	-	n Response Status C			7.61.14 Wake r <i>Response</i> ACCEF Add a r 7.61.14	row and adjust th 4 - Fast Wake only mode : 0 - Link p PT IN PRINCIPLE row and adjust th 4 - Both EEE mod	e reserved row accordingly: y - 1 = Link partner is adver artner is not advertising that <i>Response Status</i> C E. e reserved row accordingly: des - 1 = Link partner is adv r is not advertising that the F	the PHY suppo	rts only Fast Wake mode
-	SC 94.3.1	Iready as requested on page P 180 Cisco	171, line 19. L 2	# 104	C/ 69 Barrass, Hu Comment 7 For cor text,	с Гуре Е	P 28 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> A so so that commenters can	L 32 see what is cha	# 106
Comment T	•	Comment Status A		PMD service layer	Suggested	R <i>emedy</i> he deleted text.			
	de needs to chan Remedy					PT IN PRINCIPLE mment #31.	Response Status C E.		
	_MODE.indicatio	n			<i>Cl</i> 78 Barrass, Hu	SC 78.1 Jgh	P 37 Cisco	L 32	# 107
To: IS_RX_ Response ACCEF	_MODE.request	Response Status C			Suggested	ng the decision to Remedy	Comment Status A o include all 40/100 PHYs R10" to "40GBASE-CR4 PH	Y, the 100GBAS	40G 6E-CR10 PHY"
					Response ACCEF		Response Status C	,	

C/ 78 SC 78.1 Barrass, Hugh	P 37 Cisco	L 34	# 108	C/ 78 SC 78.5 Barrass, Hugh	Р 38 Сіsco	L 48	# 111
Comment Type T Following the decisio	Comment Status A on to include all 40/100 PHYs		40G	Comment Type T If the new optional b	Comment Status A behavior is accepted then there is	needs to be a de	EEE option scription.
SuggestedRemedy Change "the 100GB/ PHY,"	ASE-KR4 PHY," to "the 40GBAS	E-KR4 PHY, the	∋ 100GBASE-KR4	SuggestedRemedy Add a sentence at t	he end of the paragraph:		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Fast wake is manda <i>Response</i> ACCEPT.	atory for PHYs that implement El Response Status C	EE; normal wake	is an additional option.
C/ 78 SC 78.5 Barrass, Hugh	P 38 Cisco	L 44	# 109	C/ 78 SC 78.5 Barrass, Hugh	P 39 Cisco	L 31	# 112
Comment Type T Following the decisio SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A on to include all 40/100 PHYs		40G	Comment Type T	Comment Status A ion to include all 40/100 PHYs		40G
,	40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy In Table 78-4 add tv Response	wo rows for 40GBASE-CR4 and <i>Response Status</i> C	40GBASE-KR4	
Cl 78 SC 78.5 Barrass, Hugh	P 38 Cisco	L 44	# 110	ACCEPT. C/ 78 SC 78.5.2	2. P 39	L 46	# 113
	Comment Status A ehavior is accepted then the "ma	ay" should be us	EEE option ed.	Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T Following the decisi	Cisco Comment Status A ion to include all 40/100 PHYs		40G
SuggestedRemedy Change "are support Response	ed" to "may be supported" Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Change the title of s			
ACCEPT.	Nosponse status C			40 Gb/s and 100 Gł	b/s PHY extension using XLAUI	and CAUI	
				Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		

Cl 78 SC 78.5.2 Barrass, Hugh	P 39 Cisco	L 48	# 114		<i>Cl</i> 81 <i>SC</i> 81.1 Barrass, Hugh	P 55 Cisco	L 28	# 116
Comment Type T Following the decision to	Comment Status A o include all 40/100 PHYs			40G	Comment Type T Following the decision	Comment Status A n to include all 40/100 PHYs		40G
SuggestedRemedy Change the first part of t	he sentence from				SuggestedRemedy Change CGMII to XL	GMII and CGMII		
"100 Gb/s PHYs may be	extended using CAUI"				Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
to "40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s F <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	PHYs may be extended usin <i>Response Status</i> C	g XLAUI and CAUI	l.		C/ 81 SC 81.3a.3 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T Following the decision	1 P61 Cisco Comment Status A n to include all 40/100 PHYs	L31	# 117 40G
Change the first part of t "100 Gb/s PHYs may be to					SuggestedRemedy Change CGMII to XL Response ACCEPT.	GMII and CGMII - 2 locations. <i>Response Status</i> C		
	PHYs may be extended usin				C/ 81 SC 81.3a.3 Barrass, Hugh	1 P61 Cisco	L	# 118
C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 Barrass, Hugh	Р 47 Cisco	L 5	# 115		Comment Type T Following the decision	Comment Status A n to include all 40/100 PHYs		40G
Comment Type T	Comment Status A b include all 40/100 PHYs			40G	SuggestedRemedy Change CAUI to XLA	UI and CAUI - 2 locations.		
SuggestedRemedy Change Fig 80-2 in the s					Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							

			•		· · ·					
<i>Cl</i> 82 Barrass, Ηι	SC 82.2.18.2 . Jgh	2 P68 Cisco	L15	# 119	<i>CI</i> 83 Barrass, H		83.3	P 83 Cisco	L 40	# 122
Comment 7 If the ne	51	Comment Status A vior is accepted then LPI_F\	V variable will ca	EEE option pture the behavior.	<i>Comment</i> If the		T tional beh	Comment Status A avior is accepted then PMA or	nly needs to sup	EEE option
		rwise" to "and false when the	transmitter is to	use the optional normal	Suggestee After optior	optiona		Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capat	pility" insert "with	n the normal wake mode
	second sentence wake mode is su	"This variable defaults true a upported. "	and may only be	set to false if the optiona	Response ACCE			Response Status C		
Response ACCEF	ΥТ.	Response Status C			C/ 83 Barrass, ⊦	SC Iugh	83	P 83 Cisco	L 51	# 123
<i>CI</i> 82 Barrass, Hu	SC 82.7.6.6 Jgh	Р 82 Cisco	L 6	# 120	Comment If the	,,	T tional beh	Comment Status A navior is accepted then PMA or	nly needs to sup	EEE optior
Suggestedl	ew optional beha	Comment Status A vior is accepted then the PIC	CS must reflect th	EEE option is.	Suggester After optior Response ACCE	'optiona 1"		Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capat Response Status C	pility" insert "with	n the normal wake mode
LP-01 : LPI:O Response	Support for both	wake modes : 82.2.18.2.2 : Response Status C	Variable LPI_FW	/ may be true or false :	C/ 83 Barrass, H		83.7.3	P 85 Cisco	L12	# 124
ACCEF	РТ.	Response Status C			Comment	Туре	T tional bob	Comment Status A avior is accepted then PMA or	alv poods to sur	EEE option
C/ 82 Barrass, Hu	SC 82.7.6.6 ugh	P 82 Cisco	L11	# 121	Suggeste	dReme	dy	of LPI" insert "with the normal		
Comment 7 The nu Suggested	mbering of the ta	Comment Status A ble items is unusual.		bucket	Response ACCE			Response Status C		-
Numbe	-	imple sequence, starting with	ո LPI-01.							
Response ACCEF	РТ.	Response Status C								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 85 SC 85.1 Barrass, Hugh	P 87 Cisco	L 33	# 125	<i>CI</i> 85 Barrass, H		85.7.6	P88 Cisco	L 33	# 128	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A ehavior is accepted then PMD of	only needs to sur	EEE option	Comment	Туре	T tional beh	Comment Status A avior is accepted then PMD or	nly needs to sur		EE option
SuggestedRemedy	y Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capa Response Status C			Suggeste	dRemed "manda	dy	E" insert "with the normal wake Response Status C			
CI 85 SC 85.2	P 87 Cisco	L	# 126	<i>Cl</i> 80 Barrass, H		80.3.2	Р 49 Cisco	L 21	# 129	
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A ehavior is accepted then PMD o y Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capa			Fig 80 Suggestee	hange o)-3a - fix dRemed		Comment Status A unction face between FEC & PMA			LPI Rx
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						IS_RX_MODE.request _DETECT.indicate			
<i>Cl</i> 85 SC 85.7.2 Barrass, Hugh	P 88 Cisco	L 5	# 127	Response ACCE			Response Status C			
Comment Type T If the new optional be	Comment Status A ehavior is accepted then PMD of	only needs to sup	EEE option port the option.							
SuggestedRemedy	y Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capa									
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI	Response Status C PLE.									
Change paragraph a	s suggested in #458									

<i>Cl</i> 93a Mellitz, Richa	SC 93A.1.3 ard	P 215 Intel Corporati	L 46	# 130	C/ 93A Mellitz, Ric		93A.1.6.2	In	P 219 itel Corporati	L 1 on	# 131
Comment Typ	pe TR	Comment Status R			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Sta	atus A		
However applicatio	values to be on of equation	as intended to represent the ri presented by Liav Ben-Artsi te 93A-3 and 93A-5. Use of bot	end to limit rise	time significantly by	The p_		p_dd are p	tivity is missing f proportional to sig			nt a tie into the jitter
	ount risetime f	iltering.			Suggested	Remed	dy				
change li The volta	equation 93A-6 ine 38ff to	nction for each signal path h_	21^(k)(f)(see 93	A.1.2) is multiplied by	to p_n add equati	on like	_g(y)* p_dd 93a-21	(y)* p_vs(y) t(2*pi))*exp(-1/2*	*(y/NA_rms)/	^2))	
_ ()	()							and table 94-8 N			
	e 93A-1-Sumn v, f f, and f	nary of parameters			Response			Response Sta	tus C		
		tries in table 93-8 and 94-8			ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLE	≣.			
Response REJECT.		Response Status C						onse to comment rence due to jitte		discussion of th	ne use of p_G and p_DD to
		/A1 and GAMMA2, included t er rise and fall times. Therefo				ution),					on (requiring another ssian distribution based o
					C/ 93A	SC	93A.1.5		P 217	L 21	# 132
					Mellitz, Ric	hard		In	tel Corporati	on	
					Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Sta	atus A		
											ne "procedure that is used late COM." in equation 93
					Suggested	Remed	dy				
							,	nge denominato *sigma_G^2,NA			
					Response			Response Sta	tus C		
					ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLE	Ξ.			
							^2 (or what 93A-14).	ever it ends up t	being named) to the existing	g terms in the denominato

See comment #131.

C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.5	P 217	,	L 1	# 133	C/ 94	SC 94.3	3.12.1.1		P 194	L 53	# 135
Mellitz, Rich	hard	Intel Co	rporation			Mellitz, Rich	nard		li	ntel Corpora	tion	
Comment Ty	ype TR	Comment Status	4			Comment 7	<i>уре</i> ті	र	Comment St	tatus A		TX test fixture
14, a reg Limit the	gion is define b	hannels that might pror etween t_z and t_z+Wī n_(0)(t) between t_z + 2	T_b		. In equation 93a-12 line mit error propagation	measur Suggested	rement at		uired to accur	ately repres	ent performanc	e at tp5 with
SuggestedR	Remedv					Add	n loon limi	h of 1 1 a	B to 1.6 dB a	+ fh /0		
Add par add enti	rameter someth ry to list on pag	ing like "maximum excl e 217 somewhere after	line 4 indi	icating that o	nly the FOM are	Max ILI	D < +/- 0.1	l dB	piate graph a		n	
		mplitude, normalized to s not exceed wtx.	signal arr	nplitude, any	where between "_z +	Response			Response Sta	atus C		
Response	L_2+WI_0 000	Response Status	-			ACCEF	PT IN PRIN	ICIPLE.				
•	T IN PRINCIPL	,	<i>,</i>						specifications s set to 25.78			iver for 100GBASE-KR4
		tion is to be applied to nd the sample time ts.	h(n), whicł	h is the single	e bit response sampled	From b	enartsi_3b	j_01_09	12:		····,	
h_w(n) -	= h(n)-sign(h(n))*min(abs(h(n)), wtx	()						on limit from s	lide 9		
- 、 /			,			l lse ret				inde 0.		
_ ()	the index to the	e first W post-cursor sa	,	v(n) = h(n) ot	herwise).		urn loss lir	nit from	slide 14.		B over the frequ	ency range.
For n is	uld like the mag	e first W post-cursor sai gnitude of the correctior	mples h_w	() ()	,	Also sp	urn loss lir ecify com	nit from non-mo	slide 14.	limit of 10 d	B over the frequ	, ,
For n is This wo should b	uld like the mag be.	gnitude of the correction	mples h_w	or whatever th	ne parameter name	Also sp The fre C/ 94	urn loss lir becify com quency rai SC 94. 4	nit from mon-moo nge is 0.	slide 14. de return loss 05 to 10 GHz	limit of 10 d 	L 30	ency range. # 136
For n is This wo should b	ould like the mag be. SC 94.3.11.1	gnitude of the correction	mples h_w	() ()	,	Also sp The fre C/ 94 Mellitz, Rich	urn loss lir eecify comi quency rai SC 94. 4 nard	nit from mon-moo nge is 0.	slide 14. de return loss 05 to 10 GHz In	limit of 10 d P 196 ntel Corpora	L 30	# [<u>136</u>
For n is This wo should t C/ 94 Mellitz, Rich	buld like the mag be. SC 94.3.11.1 hard	gnitude of the correction	mples h_w ns to wtx o B prporation	or whatever th	ne parameter name	Also sp The fre C/ 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment 7	urn loss lir ecify comi quency rai SC 94.2 nard īype TI	nit from mon-moo nge is 0. I.1	slide 14. de return loss 05 to 10 GHz In <i>Comment St</i>	limit of 10 d P 196 ntel Corpora <i>tatus</i> A	L 30 tion	# 1 <u>36</u> channel COM
For n is This wo should t C/ 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment Ty	ould like the mag be. SC 94.3.11.1 hard jype TR	gnitude of the correction .1 P118 Intel Co	mples h_w ns to wtx o B prporation	L 25	the parameter name # <u>134</u> <i>TX test fixture</i>	Also sp The fre Cl 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment 7 COM cl	urn loss lir ecify comi quency rat SC 94. 4 nard <i>Type</i> TI riteria need	nit from mon-moo nge is 0. I.1	slide 14. de return loss 05 to 10 GHz In <i>Comment St</i>	limit of 10 d P 196 ntel Corpora <i>tatus</i> A	L 30	# 1 <u>36</u> channel COM
For n is This wo should b C/ 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment Ty Good te	ould like the mag be. SC 94.3.11.1 hard jype TR	gnitude of the correction .1 P118 Intel Co Comment Status	mples h_w ns to wtx o B prporation	L 25	the parameter name # <u>134</u> <i>TX test fixture</i>	Also sp The fre Cl 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment 7 COM cl Suggested	urn loss lir ecify comi guency rai SC 94. 2 nard Type TI riteria need Remedy	nit from mon-moo nge is 0. I.1 R ds a valu	slide 14. de return loss 05 to 10 GHz In <i>Comment St</i>	limit of 10 d P 196 ntel Corpora <i>tatus</i> A	L 30 tion	# <u>136</u> channel COM
For n is This wo should t Cl 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment Ty Good te measure	SC 94.3.11.1 SC 94.3.11.1 hard type TR est fixtures are r ement at tp0a.	gnitude of the correction .1 P118 Intel Co Comment Status	mples h_w ns to wtx o B prporation	L 25	the parameter name # <u>134</u> <i>TX test fixture</i>	Also sp The fre Cl 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment 7 COM cl Suggested	urn loss lir ecify comi guency rai SC 94. 2 nard <i>Type</i> TI riteria need Remedy e TBD to z	nit from mon-moo nge is 0. I.1 R ds a valu	slide 14. de return loss 05 to 10 GHz In <i>Comment St</i>	limit of 10 d P 196 ntel Corpora <i>tatus</i> A	L 30 tion	# <u>136</u> channel COM
For n is This wo should the C/ 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment Ty Good te measure SuggestedR Add	SC 94.3.11.1 SC 94.3.11.1 hard type TR est fixtures are r ement at tp0a. Remedy	gnitude of the correction .1 P118 Intel Co <i>Comment Status</i> P required to accurately r	mples h_w ns to wtx o B prporation	L 25	the parameter name # <u>134</u> <i>TX test fixture</i>	Also sp The fre Cl 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment 7 COM cl Suggested Change Table 9	urn loss lin ecify comi guency rai SC 94. 4 nard <i>Type</i> TI riteria nee Remedy e TBD to z 94-8	nit from non-moo nge is 0. I.1 R ds a valu ero	slide 14. de return loss 05 to 10 GHz In <i>Comment St</i> ue. If zero, adj	Iimit of 10 d	L 30 tion be made to CC	# 1 <u>36</u> channel COM
For n is This wo should b Cl 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment Ty Good te measure SuggestedR Add insertior	SC 94.3.11.1 SC 94.3.11.1 hard type TR est fixtures are r ement at tp0a. Remedy n loss limit of 1.	gnitude of the correction .1 P118 Intel Co Comment Status	mples h_w ns to wtx o B prporation	L 25	the parameter name # <u>134</u> <i>TX test fixture</i>	Also sp The fre Cl 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment 7 COM cl Suggested Change Table 9	urn loss lin ecify comi guency rai SC 94. 4 nard <i>Type</i> TI riteria nee Remedy e TBD to z 94-8	nit from non-moo nge is 0. I.1 R ds a valu ero hich app	slide 14. de return loss 05 to 10 GHz In <i>Comment St</i> ue. If zero, adj	limit of 10 d P 196 Intel Corpora <i>tatus</i> A justment can	L 30 tion be made to CC	# <u>136</u> channel CON
For n is This wo should the Cl 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment Ty Good te measure SuggestedR Add insertior Max ILD	SC 94.3.11.1 SC 94.3.11.1 hard type TR est fixtures are r ement at tp0a. Remedy n loss limit of 1. D < +/- 0.1 dB	gnitude of the correction .1 P118 Intel Co <i>Comment Status</i> P required to accurately r	mples h_w ns to wtx o prporation A represent	L 25	the parameter name # <u>134</u> <i>TX test fixture</i>	Also sp The fre Cl 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment 7 COM ct Suggestedh Change Table 9 COM_C Response	urn loss lin ecify comi guency rai SC 94. 4 nard <i>Type</i> TI riteria nee Remedy e TBD to z 94-8	nit from mon-mon nge is 0. I.1 R ds a valu ero hich app	slide 14. de return loss 05 to 10 GHz li <i>Comment St</i> ue. If zero, adj	limit of 10 d P 196 Intel Corpora <i>tatus</i> A justment can	L 30 tion be made to CC	# <u>136</u> channel COM
For n is This wo should the Cl 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment Ty Good te measure SuggestedR Add insertior Max ILD	SC 94.3.11.1 SC 94.3.11.1 hard type TR est fixtures are r ement at tp0a. Remedy n loss limit of 1. D < +/- 0.1 dB	gnitude of the correction .1 P118 Intel Co <i>Comment Status</i> P required to accurately r 4 dB to 1.6 dB at fb/2	mples h_w ns to wtx o prporation A represent	L 25	the parameter name # <u>134</u> <i>TX test fixture</i>	Also sp The fre Cl 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment 7 COM cl Suggestedh Change Table 9 COM_C Response ACCEF	urn loss lin ecify comi SC 94.2 nard 7ype TI riteria need Remedy TBD to z 94-8 0 = 3 dB w	nit from non-moo nge is 0. I.1 R ds a valu ero hich app ICIPLE.	slide 14. de return loss 05 to 10 GHz li <i>Comment St</i> ue. If zero, adj proximates the <i>Response St</i>	Iimit of 10 d P 196 ntel Corpora tatus A justment can e SNR impac atus C	L 30 tion be made to CC t to be budgeted	# 1 <u>36</u> <i>channel COM</i> MO
For n is This wo should b Cl 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment Ty Good te measure SuggestedR Add insertior Max ILD Max RL Response	SC 94.3.11.1 SC 94.3.11.1 hard type TR est fixtures are r ement at tp0a. Remedy n loss limit of 1. D < +/- 0.1 dB	gnitude of the correction .1 P118 Intel Co <i>Comment Status</i> P required to accurately r 4 dB to 1.6 dB at fb/2 propiate graph and equ <i>Response Status</i> C	mples h_w ns to wtx o prporation A represent	L 25	the parameter name # <u>134</u> <i>TX test fixture</i>	Also sp The fre Cl 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment 7 COM cl Suggestedh Change Table 9 COM_C Response ACCEF	urn loss lin ecify comi SC 94.2 nard 7ype TI riteria need Remedy TBD to z 94-8 0 = 3 dB w	nit from non-moo nge is 0. I.1 R ds a valu ero hich app ICIPLE.	slide 14. de return loss 05 to 10 GHz li <i>Comment St</i> ue. If zero, adj proximates the <i>Response St</i>	Iimit of 10 d P 196 ntel Corpora tatus A justment can e SNR impac atus C	L 30 tion be made to CC t to be budgeted	# <u>136</u> channel CON
For n is This wo should b Aellitz, Rich Comment Ty Good te measure SuggestedR Add insertior Max ILD Max RL Response ACCEP	SC 94.3.11.1 SC 94.3.11.1 aard type TR est fixtures are r ement at tp0a. Remedy n loss limit of 1. D < +/- 0.1 dB L < -12 dB or ap	gnitude of the correction .1 P118 Intel Co <i>Comment Status</i> P required to accurately r 4 dB to 1.6 dB at fb/2 propiate graph and equ <i>Response Status</i> C	mples h_w ns to wtx o prporation A represent	L 25	the parameter name # <u>134</u> <i>TX test fixture</i>	Also sp The fre Cl 94 Mellitz, Rich Comment 7 COM cl Suggestedh Change Table 9 COM_C Response ACCEF	urn loss lin ecify comi SC 94.2 nard 7ype TI riteria need Remedy TBD to z 94-8 0 = 3 dB w	nit from non-moo nge is 0. I.1 R ds a valu ero hich app ICIPLE.	slide 14. de return loss 05 to 10 GHz li <i>Comment St</i> ue. If zero, adj proximates the <i>Response St</i>	Iimit of 10 d P 196 ntel Corpora tatus A justment can e SNR impac atus C	L 30 tion be made to CC t to be budgeted	# 1 <u>36</u> <i>channel</i> CO/ MO d to the Rx chip.

C/ 94 SC 94.4.2 Mellitz, Richard	P 197 Intel Corporati	L 10	# 137	C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.2 Mellitz, Richard	P 162 Intel Corporati	L 47	# 140
Comment Type TR Tx and Rx package m SuggestedRemedy In Table 94-8, change gamma_1=gamma_2 f1=f2=0.77*fb Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Comment Status A nust be defined =0.28 Response Status C PLE.		channel COM	Comment Type TR Good test fixtures are re- measurement at tp0a. SuggestedRemedy Add insertion loss limit of 1.4 Max ILD < +/- 0.1 dB Max RL < -12 dB or appu Response	Comment Status A quired to accurately represe dB to 1.6 dB at fb/2 ropiate graph and equalation <i>Response Status</i> C	ent performance	e at tp0 with
In Table 94-8, assign GHz.	parameters GAMMA_1=GAMM	IA_2=0.315 and	l f_1=f_2=0.8*25.78125	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	is referring to TP5/TP5a an	d 93 8 2 1 1	
<i>Cl</i> 94 SC 94.4.2 Mellitz, Richard	P 197 Intel Corporati	L 3 on	# 138	See comment #166.		u 55.6.2. 1.j	
Comment Type TR If wtx is accepted, add	Comment Status A d entry in table 94-8		channel COM	C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.4 Mellitz, Richard	P 158 Intel Corporati	L 21	# 141
SuggestedRemedy wtx = 0.1 Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP Set the value of wtx (or See comment #133.	<i>Response Status</i> C PLE. or whatever it is named) to 0.2 i	n Table 94-8.		measurement at tp5a. SuggestedRemedy Add insertion loss limit of 1.4 Max ILD < +/- 0.1 dB	Comment Status A quired to accurately represe dB to 1.6 dB at fb/2 ropiate graph and equalation	·	e at tp5 with
C/ 94 SC 94.4.2 Mellitz, Richard	P 197 Intel Corporati	L 41	# 139	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C		
PAM4 work	Comment Status A		channel COM	[Assuming the comment	er is referring to TP0/TP0a a	and 93.8.1.1.]	
SuggestedRemedy Table 94-8 set W=16 Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 93 SC 93.9.1 Mellitz, Richard	P 165 Intel Corporatio	L 46 n	# 142	C/ 93 SC 93.9.2 Mellitz, Richard	P165 Intel Corporation	L 40	# 144
Comment Type TR Co COM criteria needs a value.	omment Status A If zero, adjustment can b	e made to COI	МО	Comment Type TR Exclusion region not d	Comment Status A efined. Need to be large enough	to insure cha	nnels suggested work
SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to zero Table 93-8 COM_0 = 3 dB which approx	imates the SNR impact to	b be budgeted	to the Rx chip.	SuggestedRemedy Table 93-8 set W=12 Response	Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	sponse Status C			ACCEPT IN PRINCIP See comment #254.	LE.		
[Change Subcl to 93.9.1.] See comment #246. Specify from Table 93-8.	that COM shall be greate	r than equal to	3 dB. Delete COM0	Cl 93 SC 93.9.2 Mellitz, Richard Comment Type TR	P165 Intel Corporation Comment Status	L3	# 145
C/ 93 SC 93.9.2 Mellitz, Richard	P 165 Intel Corporatio	L 10	# 143	Comment Type TR If wtx is accepted, adc SuggestedRemedy	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Comment Type TR Co Tx and Rx package must be	omment Status A		channel COM	wtx = 0.1 Response			
SuggestedRemedy In Table 93-8, change				ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C _E.		
gamma_1=gamma_2=0.28 f1=f2=0.77*fb.				Set the value of wtx (c See comment #133.	r whatever it is named) to 1.0 in ⁻	Table 93-8.	
Response Re. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	sponse Status C			See comment #155.			
[Clause from 94 to 93 and Su	ubcl from 93.9.2 to 93.9.1	.]					
Change Equation (93A-3) and	d Equation (93A-4) per b	enartsi_3bj_02	2_0912.				
In Table 93-8, assign parame benartsi_3bj_02_0912.	eters GAMMA_1=GAMM	A_2 and f_1=f_	_2 per slide 16				
See comment #168 and #169	Э.						

itz, Richard Intel Corporation imment Type TR Comment Status Sigma_G and A_dd are indented to be a bound or an estimate for the impact of jitter on			P197 L4	2 # 147
	Mellitz, Richard	Inte	el Corporation	
Sigma G and A dd are indented to be a bound or an estimate for the impact of jitter on	Comment Type TR	Comment State	us R	channel COM
COM. Low jitter will be required for 25Gb/s to operate. A_dd would suggest and amount of deterministic jitter that might inhibit operation.	COM. Low jitter wi		s to operate. The s	te for the impact of jitter on pecified sigma_G and A_dd or PAM4.
gestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy			
Tablle 93-8 Change Add = .025	Tablle 93-8 Change Sigma_g = .005 Add = .025			
ponse Response Status C	Response	Response Statu	10 C	
REJECT.	REJECT.	Response Statt	15 L	
The commenter states that the intent of the normalized RMS Gaussian noise (sigma_G) and peak dual-Dirac noise (A_dd) parameters is to estimate the impact of jitter.	[Changed page fro	om 196 to 197.]		
However, to the first order, the relationship between phase noise and amplitude noise is the	See comment #14	16.		
slope of the signal around the sampling times. A fixed constant scaled by the signal amplitude is a crude estimate of the impairment.	Cl 78 SC 78.1 Ran, Adee	.4 F	° 38 ∠1 ⊌	# 148
It is suggested that the phase-to-amplitude noise model be refined and new parameter	Comment Type E	Comment State	us A	bucke
selected in the context of the improved model. Also, the values of A_dd and sigma_G should be set to the corresponding transmitter output	According to the c of this subclause s		nay support EEE, n	ot the other way around. The title
selected in the context of the improved model.	of this subclause s SuggestedRemedy			
selected in the context of the improved model. Also, the values of A_dd and sigma_G should be set to the corresponding transmitter output jitter limits.	of this subclause s SuggestedRemedy	should reflect that.	PHY types which ma	not the other way around. The title ay support EEE".
selected in the context of the improved model. Also, the values of A_dd and sigma_G should be set to the corresponding transmitter output jitter limits.	of this subclause s SuggestedRemedy Change "EEE sup Response ACCEPT. Cl 82 SC 82.2	should reflect that. ported PHY types" to "F <i>Response Statu</i> .18.2.3 F	PHY types which ma us C P69 L1	ay support EEE".
selected in the context of the improved model. Also, the values of A_dd and sigma_G should be set to the corresponding transmitter output jitter limits.	of this subclause s SuggestedRemedy Change "EEE sup Response ACCEPT.	should reflect that. ported PHY types" to "F <i>Response Statu</i>	PHY types which ma us C P69 L1	ay support EEE".
selected in the context of the improved model. Also, the values of A_dd and sigma_G should be set to the corresponding transmitter output jitter limits.	of this subclause s SuggestedRemedy Change "EEE sup Response ACCEPT. CI 82 SC 82.2 Ran, Adee Comment Type E	should reflect that. ported PHY types" to "F <i>Response Statu</i> .18.2.3 F	PHY types which ma us C P69 L1	ay support EEE". 8 # <u>149</u> bucket
selected in the context of the improved model. Also, the values of A_dd and sigma_G should be set to the corresponding transmitter output jitter limits.	of this subclause s SuggestedRemedy Change "EEE sup Response ACCEPT. CI 82 SC 82.2 Ran, Adee Comment Type E	should reflect that. oported PHY types" to "F <i>Response Statu</i> 18.2.3 F Inte <i>Comment Statu</i> exadecimals should be	PHY types which ma us C P69 L1	ay support EEE". 8 # <u>149</u> bucke

			"	<u></u>		B • •		
Cl 91 SC 91.5.2.6 Ran, Adee	P 95 Intel	L 50	# 150	<i>Cl</i> 91 Ran, Adee	SC 91.5.3.2	P 99 Intel	L 42	# 152
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		bucke
The 5-bit pad should b blocks structure.	better be depicted in figure 91-	4 or elsewhere to	o show the five 257-bit			ndatory then physical lane s this may happen intentionall		
SuggestedRemedy				Compa	are to 82.2.13 wh	nere the reason for possible	re-ordering is sta	ted as "due to Skew
Preferably, update figu	ure 91-4.					Itiplexing by the PMA". No "e		
Response	Response Status C			Suggested	Remedy			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP					e "due to conneo derlying medium	ction errors in the underlying ".	medium" to "due	to possible swapping in
Augment Figure 91-4	to show the inclusion of the 5-	bit pad and the t	ransition into the next 257	Response		Response Status C		
DIT DIOCK.				ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.		
Also clarify the assign	ment of pad bits in the text.			See co	omment #453.			
C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.8 Ran, Adee	P 99 Intel	L13	# 151	<i>CI</i> 92 Ran, Adee	SC 92.8.3.3	P 122 Intel	L 42	# 153
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment	Tvpe E	Comment Status A		bucke
A cross-reference to the	ne relevant place in clause 94	could be useful.			51	ph originates from clause 85	where it explain	
SuggestedRemedy After "When used to fo	orm a 100GBASE-KP4 PHY" a	add " (refer to 94	2.1.1.1)".	measu		compared to clause 72. The		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.					efers to the measurement me st of this paragraph (starting		
Add "(refer to 94.2.1.1)" to the end of the first senter	nce.		Suggested	,			
In 91.5.3.1, add "(refe	r to 94.2.1.2)" to the end of the	e last sentence o	f the last paragraph.		revert to the prev aph enitrely.	vious version (refer to 10GB/	ASE-KR and clau	ise 72) or delete this
				Response		Response Status C		
				ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.		
				See co	omment#365.			

<i>Cl</i> 83 Ran, Adee	SC (83.1.1	P83 Intel	L 31	# 154		Cl 91 Ran, Adee	SC 91.5.2.5	P 95 Intel	L 20	# 155
Comment T	уре	ER	Comment Status A			bucket	Comment 7	Type ER	Comment Status A		
	ASE-K	P4 is a 10	ble 80-2 into two tables, it n 00 Gb/s rather than 40 Gb/s	U U		g it shoulc	one 66 is corre	-bit block is a co ect, but it is prefe	ntrol block, or for all case erable to avoid possible co	es including all-data onfusion.	or the case where at leas blocks. I assume the latte
SuggestedF Move ",		•	ASE-KP4 (Clause 94)" one s	entence ahead (I	ine 32).		The exact assignment of the exact assignment of the text of te		91-3 fail to depict this op	eration - bits 4:0 are	shown as in the original
Response			Response Status C	· ·	,		Also: th	ne second sente	nce in this paragraph sho	ould be in a separate	paragraph.
, ACCEP	ΡT.						Suggestedl	Remedy			
								emporary variat cur before this p	ble tx_xcoded_header<4:(aragraph.	0> for all the assign	nents to tx_xcoded<4:0>
								figure 91-3 to ir restructuring the	nclude both tx_xcoded_he e figure).	eader<4:0> and tx_	xcoded<4:0>. (May
			Change	e the paragraph	in lines 20-22 to the follow	wing:					
					coded<4:0> to t ded<12:8>.	he result of the bit-wise e	xclusive-OR of tx_x	coded_header<4:0>" and			
							Severa "	I examples that	illustrate the transcoding	process are shown	in Figure 91-3.
							Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
								irst paragraph of mbled<256:0>.	f 91.5.2.5, change referer	nce to tx_xcoded<25	i6:0> to
							Replac	e the last parag	raph of 91.5.2.5 with follo	wing definition of tx_	scrambled.
							"Severa	al examples of th	ne construction of tx_xcoo	ded<256:0> are sho	wn in Figure 91-3.
				a) Set t and tx_	tx_scrambled<4: _xcoded<12:8>.	oded<256:0> to yield tx_s 0> to the result of the bit- 56:5> to tx_xcoded<256:5	wise exclusive-OR				
							Re-nan	ne Figure 91-3 to	o be "Examples of the co	nstruction of tx_xcod	led".
							transco approp	ded blocks tx_s	98, line 8 to "The messa crambled (including a ma bit 0 of the first transcode	pped group of align	ment markers when
TYPE: TR/te	echnic	al require	d ER/editorial required GR	/general required	T/technical E/	editorial G/ge	eneral		Co	mment ID 155	Page 38 of 137

In Figure 91-6, replace tx_xcoded with tx_scrambled.	C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.5 P101 L25 # 157
In Figure 91-6, replace tx_xcoded with tx_scrambled. Cl 91 SC 91.5.2.6 P95 L26 # 156 Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type ER Comment Status A This subclause describes the mapping operation but it is unclear how the mapped markers are re-inserted into the normal stream, paired with their removal in clause 91.5.2.4. SuggestedRemedy A figure showing the input and output of these two operations is required. Unfortunately I do not understand the proposed procedure enough to provide it. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Figure 91-4 was intended to be the requested illustration. See comment #150.	Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.5 P101 L25 # 157 Ran, Adee Intel Intel # 157 Comment Type ER Comment Status A Assuming rx_rxcoded<4:0> in this line is a typo, then rx_xcoded<4:0> is assigned twice. Thi can be confusing. It would be preferred to define another variable rx_xcoded_header and use it as in my comment on subclause 91.5.2.5. SuggestedRemedy Change this paragraph to: "Set rx_xcoded_header<4:0> to the result of the bit-wise exclusive-OR of rx_xcoded<4:0> ar rx_xcoded<12:8>". Use rx_xcoded_header<0> instead of rx_xcoded<0>, and rx_xcoded_header instead of rx_xcoded Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add the following sentence to the end of the first paragraph of 91.5.3.3.
	"The message symbols correspond to 20 transcoded blocks rx_scrambled." In the first paragraph of 91.5.3.5, change reference to rx_xcoded<256:0> to rx_scrambled<256:0>. Replace the second paragraph of 91.5.2.5 with following. "First, descramble rx_scrambled<256:0> to yield rx_xcoded<256:0> as follows. a) Set rx_xcoded<4:0> to the result of the bit-wise exclusive-OR of the rx_scrambled<4:0> and rx_scrambled<12:8>. b) Set rx_xcoded<256:5> to rx_scrambled<256:5>." In Figure 91-6, replace rx_xcoded with rx_scrambled.

	2.8.3.7 P128	L 8	# 158	C/ 92 SC 92.8	3.4 P130	L12	# 159			
Ran, Adee	Intel	-•		Ran, Adee	Intel					
	ER Comment Status A aning of the sentence "The reference Equation (92-15) and shall be used		nted circuit board insertion		Comment Status A d "at TP3" which is at the cable side suitable, but bit error ratio canno					
exactly TBD IL Editorially this	equires equality to TBD. One canno (whatever TBD stands for). should probably be "The reference quation (92-15) shall be used" but it	test fixture printe	d circuit board insertion	interface" which r 1e-12 or better (9	BER is defined (per the project on neans after the RS-FEC sublayer. 2.8.4.3) anywhere else, especially severe over-stress.	There is no need	d to specify and test for			
0	ertion loss be specified as being with			FEC sublayers. T over the full 4-lan	uld be specified as 1e-12 and test he actual test should involve RS- e link. It is more likely that a test p er to include the RS-FEC encoding sible.	EC block error r	ate and thus performed require a full compliant			
Response ACCEPT IN PI	Response Status C				n be specified in addition at the PN e.g. in order to verify CDR tracking		tially higher BER target)			
	eference test fixture printed circuit b 5) and shall be used.	oard insertion los	ss is given in	SuggestedRemedy Remove the "Bit error ratio" parameter from this table and from table 92-8.						
	ture printed circuit board insertion lo s the reference test fixture insertion		nined using Equation (92-:	Remove table 92-8 and subclause 92.8.4.3. Instead, add a BER test which includes the RS-FEC sublayer; procedure to be defined in						
insertion loss a	lowing sentence in paragraph is to a nd an actual test fixture: The effects xture and the reference insertion lo	of differences be	etween the insertion loss o	clause 91, with se	etup/stress settings defined separa eholders/editorial comments woul Response Status C	ately for clauses				
				See comment #2	58.					

C/ 81	SC 8	1.3a	P 59	L 10	# 160		C/ 91	SC 9	91.5.2.5	P95	L7	# 162
Ran, Adee			Intel				Ran, Adee			Intel		
Comment T	уре	TR	Comment Status	A		40G	Comment T	ype	TR	Comment Status A		
	ces to C	GMII an	BASE-KR4 and 40GE CAUI in this subclau			AUI	values	00 and	11 are inc	ure does not handle all p leed invalid, but can still ely to happen more often	occur (e.g. due to	errors in reception fron
Change Page 59 Page 67	9 lines 1	10,12	GMII/CGMII" in:				mark th	e 66-b	it block in (e compressed, the rease question as a control blo e receiving PCS.		
Change	e "CAUI'	" to "XLA	UI/CAUI" in:				SuggestedF	Remed	У			
Page 60 Page 67							"If for a	l j=0 to		ed_j<1>!=tx_coded_j<0>	, and for at least o	one value of j,
Response			Response Status	2			tx_code	a_j<1:	>=0 and tx	_coded_j<0>=1"		
ACCEP	PT IN PF	RINCIPLE	Ξ.				Add tex	t base	d on the fo	llowing paragraph after I	ine 19 (expand the	e text inside braces to b
Instead	of XI G	MII/CGM	II, use XLGMII and CO	SMIL			technica	ally ac	curate acco	ording to comment):		
motoda	OI XEO	1111/0010					"					
Instead	of XLA	UI/CAUI,	use XLAUI and CAUI						o 3, tx_coo	led_j<1>=tx_coded_j<0>	, tx_xcoded<256:	0> shall be constructed
C/ 91	SC 9	1.3	P 92	L 44	# 161		follows:					
Ran, Adee			Intel				a) tx_co					
Comment T	ype	TR	Comment Status)		bucket				_coded_k<1> for k=0 to 3 any blocks where invalid		the replaced by contro
RS-FEC upstrea			to be a client of the 10	00GBASE-R PCS wh	ere the number of				ing /E/]			
manner	, and ar	re not use	only appear in one pa ed or officially defined	anywhere else. It wo	uld be easier to se	arch for	Add a s	uitable	example	to figure 91-3.		
			ANES_UPSTREAM a enance change in 83.		TREAM that appe	ar in	Response			Response Status C		
SuggestedF	•		enance change in 05.	1.4 13 8130 000.			ACCEP	T IN P	RINCIPLE			
	-		lance" to "20 unotroop				See cor	nmant	#52			
Change	PMA :	service ir	lanes" to "20 upstrean nterface width, p, is se nd LANES_DOWNSTF	t to 4" to "PMA servic			3ee coi	ment	#55.			
Proposed R	Respons	е	Response Status	2								
REJEC ⁻	Т.											
This cor	mment	was WIT	HDRAWN by the com	menter.								

IEEE P802.3bj D1.1 100 Gb/s Ba	ackplane and Copper Cable 2nd	Task Force review comments
--------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------

C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.0 Ran, Adee	6 P95 Intel	L 40	# 163	C/ 92 SC Ben-Artsi, Liav	92.8.4.2	P 131 Marvell	L 19	# 165	
	Comment Status A ane values (019), but if j=05 hould be only within 04. "j=0 to 4". Response Status C	and i=03, x=i+4	<i>bucket</i> j can take values from 0	SuggestedReme change DCD Response	dy	Comment Status A changed according to the ne dd jitter Response Status C	ew convention (e	bucke even-odd jitter)	
ACCEPT.	ed against Subcl 91.5.2.5, but i	s actually agains	+ 01 5 2 6 1	ACCEPT. Use suggest	ed remedy.				
See comment #472.		s actually agains		C/ 93 SC Ben-Artsi, Liav	93.8.1.1	P 156 Marvell	L 51	# 166	
C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.4 Ran, Adee	5 P101 Intel	L 45	# 164	Comment Type Measuring th measuremer	0	Comment Status A nterconnect as defined in 93.	8.1.1 can obfuse	cate real chip return loss	
scrambling following counterpart in the ori SuggestedRemedy Delete steps f and g?	Comment Status R ed change in transcoding (gustlii transcoding. Unscrambling des ginal 64B/66B to 256B/257B tra e describes exactly the inverse Response Status C	cribed in step g o inscoding proced	does not seem to have a lure in 91.5.2.5.	1. Better retu 2. Defining fi 3. Fixture IL It is taken int required that	ure definition Irn loss (-15 xture ILD () up to 1.6dE o account to the actual		le in multi lane c orthy".		
REJECT.	Response Status C			(Presentation	n to be sup	plied)			
The 64B/66B to 256E input 66-bit blocks (if	B/257B transcoder (see 91.5.2.5 any of the blocks are control bl ore these bits, scrambled in a m 66B blocks.	ocks). The 256E	3/257B to 64B/66B		e test fixtur	Response Status C E. re specifications for the trans b is set to 25.78125 GHz for I		ver for 100GBASE-KR4	
what the second nibb the learned scramble The steps are integra	al to the processing defined in g	en scrambe the s	second nibble based on	Use insertion loss deviation limit from slide 9. Use return loss limit from slide 14.					
comment #70. They	will not be deleted.			The frequence	cy range is	0.05 to 13 GHz.			

C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.2 P 162 L 52 # 167 Ben-Artsi, Liav Marvell	Cl 93 SC 93.9 P 165 L 13 # 169 Ben-Artsi, Liav Marvell
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Differential return loss in equation 93-3 is TBD	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Receiver reflection coefficients are missing
SuggestedRemedy Define return loss according to equation 93A-3 with parameters according to the presentation Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Specify the return loss using the first equation from slide 11 of benartsi_3bj_02_0912. Set the parameters as follows: GAMMA = 0.35, f1 = 2.35*25.78125 GHz.	Will supply a presentation and final recommendation Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The comment is against Table 93-8. See comment #143.
Also specify the common-mode return loss limit to be 6 dB over the frequency range. The frequency range is 0.05 to 13 GHz.	Cl 93 SC 93.9 P 165 L 15 # 170 Ben-Artsi, Liav Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status A
CI 93 SC 93.9 P 165 L 10 # 168 Ben-Artsi, Liav Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status A Transmitter reflection coefficients are missing SuggestedRemedy Suggest using: Gamma = 0.28 ; F = 0.77Fb Or Gamma = 0.315 ; F = 0.8Fb	Table 93-8 does not include package insertion loss model equation SuggestedRemedy Add package insertion loss model equation according to presentation Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Suggest using. Gamma = 0.28 , F = 0.775 Of Gamma = 0.315 , F = 0.675 Will supply a presentation and final recommendation Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The comment is against Table 93-8. See comment #143.	See comment #422. The package filter is defined in Annex 93A and used for both 100GBASE-KR4 and 100GBASE-KP4. There are no parameters to add to Table 93-8.

Cl 93 SC 93.8. Ben-Artsi, Liav	1.4 P158 Marvell	L 37	# 171	CI 80 S Anslow, Pete	C 80.1.5	P 45 Ciena	L 8	# 173
Comment Type TR Differential return lo	Comment Status A oss in equation 93-1 is TBD					Comment Status A 802.3-2012 was structured	with the clauses	along the top in clause
SuggestedRemedy Define return loss a	according to equation 93A-3 with	parameters acc	ording to the presentation	order. Now that it	has been s	plit into Tables 80-2 and 80-2	2a, clause 78 has	s been added out of orde
Response	Response Status C			Also, the P	HYs were p	reviously arranged in reach o	order	
ACCEPT IN PRINC	,			SuggestedRen	nedy			
				Change the	e order of th	e columns in Tables 80-2 an	d 80-2a to put 78	3 between 74 and 81
parameters as follo GAMMA = 0.35, f1	= 2.35*25.78125 GHz.		- ,	clause ord	er):	e rows in Table 80-2a to pres , SR10, LR4, ER4	serve reach orde	er (for KR4 and KP4 use
Specify the commo	n-mode return loss limit to be 6 o	dB over the frequ	iency range.	Response		Response Status C		
The frequency rang	e is 0.05 to 13 GHz.			ACCEPT.		,		
C/ 00 SC 0	Р	L	# 172	C/ 80 S	C 80.1.4	P44	L 3	# 174
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, Pete		Ciena		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment Type	e E	Comment Status A		bucke
	802.3-2012 has been approved, move the reference to "Draft 3.1'				,	says to add three rows, but o ake life difficult for subsequer	,	ere in the table they shoul
•	ferences in the draft to be "IEEE 3.1" in the frontmatter.	Std 802.3-2012	and remove the	,	ne 40G PH\ I0, LR4, ER	's come first and the 100G P 4	HYs are listed in	reach order:
				SuggestedRen	nedy			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			clause ord	er):	nts explicit and such to prese , SR10, LR4, ER4	rve reach order	(for KR4 and KP4 use
		of the Working (Froun chair					
The frontmatter will	be updated under the guidance	of the working c		Response		Response Status C		

C/ 80 SC 80.1.	5 P 45	L35	# 175	CI 80	SC 80.4	P 50	L3	# 178
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, Pet	е	Ciena		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment T	ype E	Comment Status A		
In Table 80-2a und "RS-FEC"	er Clause 91 it says "BASE-R R	S FEC" but Claus	se 91 refers to it as just			n says to add four rows, but d nake life difficult for subseque		e in the table they should
SuggestedRemedy				Current	ly the 40G lay	ers come first and the 100G l	avers are listed st	tack then in reach order:
Change "BASE-R F	RS FEC" to "RS-FEC"				SR10, LR4, El			
Response	Response Status C			SuggestedF	Remedy			
ACCEPT.				Make th		pints explicit and such to prese	erve existing orde	r (for KR4 and KP4 use
C/ 80 SC 80.1.	5 <i>P</i> 44 Ciena	L 22	# 176	MAC&F ER4	RS&MC, PCS,	BASE-R FEC, RS-FEC, PMA	A, KR4, KP4, CR4	ł, CR10, SR10, LR4,
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket	Response		Response Status C		
	2 has been split into two tables,	the reference in 8		ACCEP	Т.			
to be modified to m	•				00.00.4		1.00	" [
				C/ 80	SC 80.4	Р 50 Ciena	L 20	# 179
SuggestedRemedy								
Add text to change	:			Anslow, Pet				
Add text to change "Table 80-2 specifie	es the correlation between nome			Comment T	ype E	Comment Status A		bucket
"Table 80-2 specifie "Table 80-2 and Ta	es the correlation between nome ble 80-2a specify the correlation			Comment T Table 8	ype E 0-3 Footnotes	Comment Status A		
Add text to change "Table 80-2 specific "Table 80-2 and Ta Response	es the correlation between nome			Comment T Table 8 project.	ype E 0-3 Footnotes In both case	Comment Status A		
Add text to change "Table 80-2 specifie "Table 80-2 and Ta	es the correlation between nome ble 80-2a specify the correlation			Comment T Table 8 project. SuggestedF	ype E 0-3 Footnotes In both case Remedy	Comment Status A s a and b were modified by co s, "Note that" was removed fre	om the footnotes.	on D3.1 of the revision
Add text to change "Table 80-2 specific "Table 80-2 and Ta Response	es the correlation between nome able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> C			Comment T Table 8 project. SuggestedF Modify	ype E 0-3 Footnotes In both case Remedy the base versi	Comment Status A	om the footnotes.	on D3.1 of the revision
Add text to change "Table 80-2 specific "Table 80-2 and Ta <i>Response</i> ACCEPT.	es the correlation between nome able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> C	n between nomen	clature and clauses."	Comment T Table 8 project. SuggestedF Modify	ype E 0-3 Footnotes In both case Remedy the base versi	Comment Status A s a and b were modified by co s, "Note that" was removed fro ion of Table 80-3 footnotes a s	om the footnotes.	on D3.1 of the revision
Add text to change "Table 80-2 specific "Table 80-2 and Ta Response ACCEPT. Cl 83 SC 83.1.4	es the correlation between nome able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> C 1 P83	n between nomen	clature and clauses."	Comment T Table 8 project. SuggestedF Modify Std 802	ype E 0-3 Footnotes In both case Remedy the base versi .3-2012 by re	Comment Status A s a and b were modified by con s, "Note that" was removed fre ion of Table 80-3 footnotes a a moving "Note that"	om the footnotes.	on D3.1 of the revision
Add text to change "Table 80-2 specific "Table 80-2 and Ta Response ACCEPT. CI 83 SC 83.1.* Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The editing instruct	es the correlation between nome able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> C 1 P83 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A tion says: "Change the first parage	L23	clature and clauses." # 177 bucket	Comment T Table 8 project. SuggestedF Modify Std 802 Response ACCEP	ype E 0-3 Footnotes In both case: Remedy the base versi 3-2012 by re T.	Comment Status A s a and b were modified by con s, "Note that" was removed fre ion of Table 80-3 footnotes a a moving "Note that" Response Status C	om the footnotes. and b to match th	on D3.1 of the revision e recently approved IEEE
Add text to change "Table 80-2 specific "Table 80-2 and Ta Response ACCEPT. CI 83 SC 83.1.* Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The editing instruct that is being modific	es the correlation between nome able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> C 1 P83 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A tion says: "Change the first parage	L23	clature and clauses." # 177 bucket	Comment T Table 8 project. SuggestedF Modify Std 802 Response ACCEP	ype E 0-3 Footnotes In both case Remedy the base version .3-2012 by re T. SC 0	Comment Status A s a and b were modified by con s, "Note that" was removed fre ion of Table 80-3 footnotes a s moving "Note that" Response Status C P	om the footnotes.	on D3.1 of the revision
Add text to change "Table 80-2 specific "Table 80-2 and Ta Response ACCEPT. CI 83 SC 83.1.* Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The editing instruct that is being modific SuggestedRemedy	es the correlation between nome able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> C 1 P83 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A tion says: "Change the first parage ed.	L 23	clature and clauses." # <u>177</u> <i>bucket</i> ollows:" but it is 83.1.1	Comment T Table 8 project. SuggestedF Modify Std 802 Response ACCEP C/ 00 Anslow, Pet	ype E 0-3 Footnotes In both case: Remedy the base versi 3-2012 by re T. SC 0 e	Comment Status A s a and b were modified by con s, "Note that" was removed fre ion of Table 80-3 footnotes a i moving "Note that" Response Status C P Ciena	om the footnotes. and b to match th	on D3.1 of the revision e recently approved IEEE # 180
Add text to change "Table 80-2 specific "Table 80-2 and Ta Response ACCEPT. CI 83 SC 83.1.* Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The editing instruct that is being modific SuggestedRemedy	es the correlation between nome able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> C 1 P83 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A tion says: "Change the first parage	L 23	clature and clauses." # <u>177</u> <i>bucket</i> ollows:" but it is 83.1.1	Comment T Table 8 project. SuggestedF Modify Std 802 Response ACCEP C/ 00 Anslow, Pet Comment T	ype E 0-3 Footnotes In both case: Remedy the base versi 3-2012 by re T. SC 0 e ype T	Comment Status A s a and b were modified by con s, "Note that" was removed free ion of Table 80-3 footnotes a s moving "Note that" Response Status C P Ciena Comment Status A	om the footnotes. and b to match th	on D3.1 of the revision e recently approved IEEE # <u>180</u> <i>bucket</i>
Add text to change "Table 80-2 specific "Table 80-2 and Ta Response ACCEPT. CI 83 SC 83.1.* Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The editing instruct that is being modific SuggestedRemedy Change the editing Response	es the correlation between nome able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> C 1 P83 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A tion says: "Change the first parage ed.	L 23	clature and clauses." # <u>177</u> <i>bucket</i> ollows:" but it is 83.1.1	Comment T Table 8 project. SuggestedF Modify Std 802 Response ACCEP CI 00 Anslow, Pet Comment T The cor 45, Clau	ype E 0-3 Footnotes In both case: Remedy the base versi .3-2012 by re T. SC 0 e ype T ntent of the P8 use 30 Annex	Comment Status A s a and b were modified by con s, "Note that" was removed fre ion of Table 80-3 footnotes a i moving "Note that" Response Status C P Ciena	om the footnotes. and b to match th <i>L</i> iciently stable that	on D3.1 of the revision e recently approved IEEE # <u>180</u> <i>bucket</i> t the content of Clause
Add text to change "Table 80-2 specific "Table 80-2 and Ta Response ACCEPT. C/ 83 SC 83.1." Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The editing instruct that is being modific SuggestedRemedy Change the editing	es the correlation between nome able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> C 1 <i>P</i> 83 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A tion says: "Change the first parage ed. instruction to: "Change the first	L 23	clature and clauses." # <u>177</u> <i>bucket</i> ollows:" but it is 83.1.1	Comment T Table 8 project. SuggestedF Modify Std 802 Response ACCEP C/ 00 Anslow, Pet Comment T The cor	ype E 0-3 Footnotes In both case: Remedy the base versi .3-2012 by re T. SC 0 e ype T ntent of the P8 use 30 Annex	Comment Status A s a and b were modified by con s, "Note that" was removed free ion of Table 80-3 footnotes a a moving "Note that" Response Status C P Ciena Comment Status A 302.3bj draft seems to be suffi	om the footnotes. and b to match th <i>L</i> iciently stable that	on D3.1 of the revision e recently approved IEEE # <u>180</u> <i>bucket</i> t the content of Clause
Add text to change "Table 80-2 specific "Table 80-2 and Ta Response ACCEPT. CI 83 SC 83.1.* Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The editing instruct that is being modific SuggestedRemedy Change the editing Response	es the correlation between nome able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> C 1 <i>P</i> 83 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A tion says: "Change the first parage ed. instruction to: "Change the first	L 23	clature and clauses." # <u>177</u> <i>bucket</i> ollows:" but it is 83.1.1	Comment T Table 8 project. SuggestedF Modify 5 Std 802 Response ACCEP C/ 00 Anslow, Pet Comment T The cor 45, Clau SuggestedF	ype E 0-3 Footnotes In both case: Remedy the base version .3-2012 by re T. SC 0 e SC 0 tentent of the P8 use 30 Annex Remedy	Comment Status A s a and b were modified by con s, "Note that" was removed free ion of Table 80-3 footnotes a a moving "Note that" Response Status C P Ciena Comment Status A 302.3bj draft seems to be suffi	om the footnotes. and b to match th L iciently stable that oforma should no	on D3.1 of the revision e recently approved IEEE # 180 bucket t the content of Clause w be populated.
Add text to change "Table 80-2 specific "Table 80-2 and Ta Response ACCEPT. CI 83 SC 83.1.* Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The editing instruct that is being modific SuggestedRemedy Change the editing Response	es the correlation between nome able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> C 1 <i>P</i> 83 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A tion says: "Change the first parage ed. instruction to: "Change the first	L 23	clature and clauses." # <u>177</u> <i>bucket</i> ollows:" but it is 83.1.1	Comment T Table 8 project. SuggestedF Modify 5 Std 802 Response ACCEP C/ 00 Anslow, Pet Comment T The cor 45, Clau SuggestedF	ype E 0-3 Footnotes In both case: Remedy the base version .3-2012 by re T. SC 0 e SC 0 tentent of the P8 use 30 Annex Remedy	Comment Status A s a and b were modified by coi s, "Note that" was removed fre- tion of Table 80-3 footnotes a s moving "Note that" Response Status C P Ciena Comment Status A 302.3bj draft seems to be suffi 91A and the various PICS pre-	om the footnotes. and b to match th L iciently stable that oforma should no	on D3.1 of the revision e recently approved IEEE # 180 bucket t the content of Clause w be populated.

C/ 80 SC 80).7	P 54	L 1	# 181	CI 82	SC 82.2.18	3.2.2	P 68	L 31	# 184
Anslow, Pete		Ciena			Slavick, Je	eff		Avago Techr	ologies	
Comment Type	T Comm	nent Status A		bucket	Comment	Туре Е	Comn	nent Status A		LPI R
				(PICS) proforma" not as	Text s	states rx_mode	is one of for	ur values, but only	3 are listed.	
		ientation conformar 100 Gb/s networks"	nce statement (Pl	CS) proforma for Clause	Suggested Chang	dRemedy ge the word fou	Ir to three.			
		S proforma so the e clause stabilizes."		PICS proforma will be	Response	EPT IN PRINCI	•	nse Status C		
SuggestedRemedy					ACCE		PLE.			
Correct the title Remove the ed		removing the copy	right release foot	note.		hanges definitio				
Response ACCEPT.	Respo	nse Status C			<i>Cl</i> 82 Slavick, Je	SC 18.2.18 eff	3.2.3	P 69 Avago Techr	L 44 nologies	# 185
					Comment	Туре Е	Comn	nent Status A		buck
C/91 SC 91	1.5.2.6	P96	L 48	# 182	/LI/ sh	ould just be inc	cluded in the	list of control char	acters that don't	map to a C vector.
Slavick, Jeff		Avago Techn	ologies		Suggestee	dRemedy				
51		nent Status A Control blocks TC I	block is 0, not 1.	bucket		ge a) to be				
SuggestedRemedy					, .			other than /O/,/S/,/	T/,/LI/, and /E/;	
Change the 1 ir	n the 0 bit location	n of tx_xcoded to a	0 for example 4.		Response		Respo	nse Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Respo	nse Status C			ACCE	:P1.				
C/ 91 SC 91 Slavick, Jeff	1.5.2.8	P 99 Avago Techn	L9	# 183						
-	- 0	0	lologies							
51		nent Status A e data within the RS	-FEC	bucket						
SuggestedRemedy										
sentence of 91.	5.2.8	and" along with the								
Response	Respo	nse Status C								
Response										
ACCEPT IN PR										
•										

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.3	P101 L11	# 186	C/ 82	SC 82.2.18.2		L 36	# 188
Slavick, Jeff	Avago Technologies		Slavick,	eff	Avago Teo	chnologies	
Comment Type T Cor	nment Status A		Commen	t Туре Т	Comment Status R		
Ability to bypass the FEC corre	ection function is not defined.		In Ta	ble 82-5a tx_mod	e is set to SLEEP in the s	leep state.	
SuggestedRemedy			Suggeste	dRemedy			
	s set true and the incoming parity of decoder shall corrupt the codeword		Loca ar and	·	ntions to be: n entering the TX_SLEEP n entering the TX_SLEEP	_	
			Respons	-	Response Status C	_	
Added an MDIO register bit to			REJI	ECT.			
	ponse Status C						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	sses the possibility that an implem	ontation may choose to		ct in those terms.	tion is couched in terms o	f the time from <ev< td=""><td>ent> to <event> - and is</event></td></ev<>	ent> to <event> - and is</event>
	ice latency when the operating cor		CI 78	SC 78.5.2	P 39	L 53	# 189
	s are required to do so or to expose	e this feature via a	Slavick,	eff	Avago Teo	chnologies	
management variable.			Commen	t Type T	Comment Status A		
However, after discussion, it w bit will be added in addition to	as decided that this feature should the proposed enable bit.	be an option and an abili			SE and PIASE (CAUI shu don't in Clause 45	utdown control bits)	affect EEE timing exist.
The management variables ar	e described in healey_3bj_02_091	2 Add corresponding text	to Suggeste	dRemedy			
91.5.3.3 describing the option.			Crea		its for PEASE and PIASE cating the capability for P		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.8a	P66 L5	# 187	Respons	Э	Response Status C		
Slavick, Jeff	Avago Technologies			EPT IN PRINCIPL	E.		
Comment Type T Cor	nment Status R		0				
	he ALERT state is exited should be to align the RAM as the first chun		rogio	te register bits for ter space	LPI_FW; PEASE, PIASE;	PEASA; PIASA - s	ee 83.6 - In PMA/PMD
SuggestedRemedy			1.18	0 - EEE Control F	Register		
states. Remove count_down	t no alignment markers are sent du assignments in Figure 82-16 for th all be the first block sent on each F	ose states. Add text to		1 - EEE Status R	egister		
Response Resp	ponse Status C						
REJECT.							
	ents corresponding to QUIET and ice that could use those values to i						
	ling RAMs (or scrambled LPI block es the alignment of RAMs with FEC						
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/e COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatche SORT ORDER: Comment ID				d Z/withdrawn	Col	mment ID 189	Page 47 of 137 9/27/2012 7:31:24

9/27/2012 7:31:24 AM

C/ 91 SC 91.4 P92 L53	# 190	C/ 82	SC 82.2.18.3		L 40	# 191
lavick, Jeff Avago Technologies		Slavick, Jeff		Avago Tecl	hnologies	
Comment Type T Comment Status A		Comment Ty	rpe T	Comment Status A		
Need to replace TBDs with values for maximum delay contribut was set to~3x FEC frame size.	ed by the RS-FEC. Clause 74	·	_	E does not allow for all RA	AMs to be sent for	all data rates.
uggestedRemedy			_	RUE is 240ns minimum M every other FEC frame	and apph EEC fra	ma takan 52na ta
Change TBDs to be 4096 BT, 158.3ns, 8 pause_quanta			This means the	ne minimum time for Twl n		
That's~3.01 RS-FEC frames for KP4 and 3.1 for KR4/CR4				R4 send 36 66b blocks in	240ns, but 100G-	CR10 has to share a PM
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				s, so that means 18 66b b which is 307.2ns	olocks. So 100G-C	CR10 requires 24 66b
It should be noted that the purpose of this Delay specification is link for MAC Control PAUSE operation. Low latency implement	ations are certainly possible.	For 1000 100G-CF	G-KR4 that's 75 R4 it's 9 FEC fr	LSE is 3.9us minimum FEC frames, so a maxim ames, so a maximum of 30 ames, so a maximum of 70	6	
Set TBD to 80 pause_quanta (derive equivalent for other units) implementations.	. This enables a wide range of	SuggestedR			•	
In addition, comment #241 requests more information on the in latency. The specified value is inclusive of error marking and fo		Change maximur	the value in Ta n	ble 82-5a for Twl when LP alue used when LPI_FW =	_	
requirement, a limit without error marking does not need to be s	specified.	Response		Response Status C		
		ACCEPT	г.			
		alternativ	ve approach m	ase the fast wake time from ight be to force the PCS to ng the LP PCS to require a	send a RAM imm	ediately after entry into
		CI 82	SC 82	P65	L34	# 192
		Slavick, Jeff		Avago Tec	hnologies	
		Comment Ty Figure 8		Comment Status A ndication that the tx_mode	and rx_mode are	e optional
		SuggestedR	emedy		_	
			n indication in i	Figure 82-2 that inst.*_MO		ined in EEE is supported
		Response ACCEPT	г.	Response Status C		

C/82 SC 8	32.2.8a	P66	L 8	# 193		C/ 82	SC 8	2.2.8a	F	°66	L 14	# 194
Slavick, Jeff		Avago Technol	logies			Slavick, Je	eff		Ava	igo Techi	nologies	
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A			40G	Comment	Туре	т	Comment State	is A		
by a 40G PCS to be sent for t	for a giver the entire d	at twice the frequency as 10 n time duration is twice that of uration of the TX_WAKE sta o both see RAMs we need to	of the 100G PO ate to allow for	CS. Since we war cascaded alignme	nt RAMs	down_ is set		n the cou				wn_count_done varial nitted is sent with a
SuggestedRemedy	/					Suggested	Remedy	/				
PCS by chang	ing the follo	which RAMs are inserted by owing sentence: rted after every 7 66-bit block			e 100G		ge down_ 82-9a.	_count =	1 and down_count	= 0 to do	own_count = 2 an	d down_count = 1 in
to "The RAMs sh 66-bit block on		rted after every 7 66-bit bloc PCS lane."	ks on each 10	0G PCS lane and	every 1{	chang RAM a	e listed a and last F	above is r RAM sinc	not correct. The cl ce the last RAM yo	nange wo u send in	ould then be to ch TX_SLEEP wou	it state machine, then ange the references to Id have a down_coun
Response		Response Status C				value	of 255 wl	hen goin	g from TX_SLEEP	to TX_A	CTIVE.	
ACCEPT IN P	RINCIPLE.					Response ACCE		RINCIPLI	Response Statu E.	s C		
PCS by chang "The RAMs sh to	ing the follo all be inser all be inser	which RAMs are inserted by owing sentence: rted after every 7 66-bit bloc rted after every 7 66-bit bloc G PCS lane."	ks on each PC	CS lane."		Chang	ge the do as the li	wn_cour	nt as suggested. A			X_ACTIVE should no

Cl 82 SC 82.2.8a P 66 L 11 # 195 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Avago Technologies Here 195 Here 195	C/ 91 SC 91 P104 L0 # 196 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Final Avago
Comment Type T Comment Status A No definition for how to transition from normal AM to RAM.	Comment Type T Comment Status A No definitions for counter to track the following have been added to the RS-FEC.
SuggestedRemedy Add a sentance that states the following to 82.2.8a "After the LPI transmit state machine transitions from TX_ACTIVE to TX_SLEEP the first RAM is inserted into a continuous stream of LPI blocks after PCSL0 has sent an LPI block and the low two bits of am_counter equal 3"	Corrected_block_count Uncorrected_block_count Symbol_error_count_0 Symbol_error_count_1 Symbol_error_count_2 Symbol_error_count_3
Response Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The am_counter is used by the receiver, not the transmitter. However, in order for the RAMs to coincide with the start of an FEC block, the distance between the last normal AM and the first RAM must be a multiple of 4.	Add a new section named RS-FEC Error monitoring capability which defines the following counters and create MDIO access methods for these as well. Corrected_block_count - 32b counter which increments each time a codeword is successfully corrected when fec_bypass_correction is true.
Change to: "After the LPI Transmit state diagram transitions from TX_ACTIVE to TX_SLEEP, the first RAM shall be inserted after at least one block of /LI/ has been transmitted on PCS lane 0. In order to force the RAMs to coincide with the start of an FEC block, the distance between the first RAM and preceding normal alignment marker shall be a multiple of 4 66-bit blocks."	Uncorrected_block_count - 32b counter which increments each time a codeword is uncorrectable when fec_bypass_correction is false and when the local parity and received parity's don't match when fec_bypass_correction is true. Symbol_error_count_03 - 32b counter, one for each PMD lane, which increments each time a symbol for the given lane is corrected when fec_bypass_correction is true.
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Add a summary of management variables per healey_3bj_02_0912 and define the corresponding register and bits to MMD 1 in Clause 45. Give the editor license to assign registers and bit number, but begin a new contiguous address space starting at 1.200.

C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.4 P 93 L 46 # 197 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Avago Technologies # 197	C/ 82 SC 82.2.8a P 67 L 2 # 200 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Avago
Comment Type T Comment Status A Replace TBD with the BIP error counter register that already exist in MDIO.	Comment Type T Comment Status A The last RAM sent in the WAKE state is sent with a down_count value of 1. So the example
SuggestedRemedy Change TBD with 3.200 to 3.219	values listed are incorrect. SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 3.200 and 3.129 are PCS bits/registers. As the BIP check is done by the RS-FEC sublayer,	Change (therefore the last 5 RAMs on PCS lane 0 would have CD3 values: 0xC5, 0xC2, 0xC3, 0xC0 0xC1; for PCS lane 1 these would be: 0x99, 0x9E, 0x9F, 0x9C, 0x9D). To (therefore the last 5 RAMs sent by a 100GBASE-R PCS on PCS lane 0 would have CD3
new counters should be defined in MMD 1 (Clause 74 FEC register space resides in this MMD, so it is proposed that the Clause 91 register space also reside here).	values: 0xC4, 0xC5, 0xC2, 0xC3, 0xC0; for PCS lane 1 these would be: 0x98, 0x99, 0x9E, 0x9F, 0x9C).
See comment #196.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.5 P 95 L 20 # 198 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies 4 198 198	C/ 92 SC 92.7.12 P 119 L 14 # 201 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status A Figure 91-3 doesn't incorporate the XOR function in it's illustration of the transcoding process SuggestedRemedy Change	Comment Type T Comment Status R The clause 72 PMD training sequence has a timeout value of 500ms. We're going 2.5 times faster with more loss then 802.3ap. The channel is going to be more difficult and thus will likely require more time to optimize the link.
"Several examples that illustrate the transcoding process are shown in Figure 91-3." to "Several examples that illustrate the transcoding process steps a-e are shown in Figure 91-3.	SuggestedRemedy Add statements changing the PMD training timeout time for clause 92, 93, and 94 to be 1.5s
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C REJECT.
See comment #155.	Given the increase in rate, training frames will also be exchanged 2.5 times more quickly, implying 2.5 times the updates within a fixed time window of approximately 500 ms.
C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.1 P 107 L 3 # 199 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Avago Technologies	
Comment Type T Comment Status A Figure 91-8. The variable restart_lock is not defined in the State Variables section.	
SuggestedRemedy Add a definition for restart_lock to 91.5.4.2.1	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
See comment #209.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 82 SC 82	P80	L10	# 202	C/ 91 Slovick loff	SC 91.5.4.2.3		L3	# 204
Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T C Figure 82-17 LPI Receive st the self loop from RX_SLEE SuggestedRemedy Remove the RX_TIMER sta Remove the loop from RX_S	EP -> RX_SLEEP changes in the actions of	eed to have a F nothing.	-	SuggestedF	/pe T n first_amp is u <i>emedy</i>	Avago Techno Comment Status A sed but the variable name is ferences to first_pscl in the a Response Status C	irst_pscl	bucket
In clause 49 there is a self lo restart continously until you RX_SLEEP loop in place is <i>Response Ro</i> REJECT.	begin to see data leave. S			ACCEP C/ 91 Slavick, Jeff Comment T	SC 91.5.4.3 /pe T	P108 Avago Techno Comment Status A	-	# 205
The extra state was added t redundant). See comment # C/ 82 SC 82		ting of the timer	which would make it	availabl <i>SuggestedF</i> Change	e instead of gat <i>Remedy</i> the following st	ition out of TEST_CW should ing the exit from a cw_bad_co tate transitions to be:		
Slavick, Jeff <i>Comment Type</i> T C Figure 82-17 LPI Receive sl	Avago Technolog Comment Status A			TEST_C CW_GC	CW -> CW_BAD OD -> TEST_C	DD: test_cw & !cw_bad D: test_cw & cw_bad CW: UCT /: cw_bad_count < 3		
requires that block_lock * rx RX_ACTIVE -> RX_ACTIVE rx_align_status. rx_align_st it can deskew and be set to	<pre>c_block_lock * R_TYPE(rx_c E occurs when block_lock != tatus has to wait for all PCS</pre>	coded) = LI. Th = rx_block_lock 5 lanes to achieved	e transition from and align_status != /e rx_block_lock before			Response Status C E. for consistent sorting.]		
and receiving LI blocks. SuggestedRemedy Change the transition from F align_status * rx_block_lock	RX_ACTIVE -> RX_TIMER			The Sug to not b	gested Remed e considered in	y would cause the first codew cw_bad_count. Otherwise, th osed modifications.		
Response Res	esponse Status C					ng state diagram is not made vious advantage to the sugge		ne form used in clauses 4
			an it in more officient t			of considering this comment,		
Since rx_align_status takes make the transition:	into account the block_lock	tor all PCS lan	es, it is more encient t			gned the value FALSE in the		tate. In Figure 91-9, RED state. Add the

C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.6 P 113 L 38 # 206 Zhong, Qiwen Huawei	C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.1 Sela, Oren	P 105 Mellanox Techr	L 54 nologies	# 208
Comment Type E Comment Status A		ment Status A		
"Figure 91 - 64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoding example" Especially "Example 3: Alternating data and control blocks" might misguide readers as the Ethernet Packet with min length of 64 bytes and 8 bytes Preamble+SFD, and with min 12 bytes Interframe GAPs. It means that the example of Alternating data and control blocks in an 256/257 Block would not appeared!	Also for the optional EEE capab this counter counts the 4096 FE location of the next alignment m	bility, if first_amp corres EC codewords minus 2 harker payload corresp	256 bits to the eponding to PCS	nd of the expected lanes 0, 1, 2, or 3
SuggestedRemedy	This means that for waking in up RAMs - meaning that it will also			is longer than the
Remove or modify the example!	SuggestedRemedy			
Response Response Status C	Option 1 -			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Change amp_valid to look for la normal mode, and to look for 16			
Alternating control and data blocks can appear when errors are enforced during packet transmission. Refer to the possible transition between TX_D and TX_E states in Figure 82-14 However, it would be better to an example that reflects a more common mapping. Change example three to be three data blocks followed by a control block.	Option 2- Have the same behavior for nor should be 4096 FEC codewords != data.			
Cl 91 SC 91-2 P94 L # 207 Sela, Oren Mellanox Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status R	If option 1 is chosen then the AI amp_match should be set to tru If option 2 is chosen then AMP_ first_pcsl, amp_match is set to t	ie if current_pcsl = first _COMPARE should ch	t_pcsl+16 only ange so that - i	f current_pcsl equals
In the receive path should merge the alignment lock and deskew block with the Lane reorder block - all 3 action are done be acquiring FEC block lock based on the alignment markers. Also this will make is consistent with Figure 91-7		onse Status C		
SuggestedRemedy	The definition of amp_counter is	s incorrect. During low	power idle, if fi	rst_amp corresponds to
Create one block "alignment lock, deskew and lane reorder" to replace the 2 blocks in the receive path in figure 91-2	PCS lanes 16, 17, 18, or 19, and the end of the expected location			
Response Response Status C	lanes 0, 1, 2, or 3.			
REJECT.	See also comment #243.			
Figure 91-7 is intended to describe bit order and for that purpose there was no advantage to showing "lane reorder" as a separate block.				
Figure 91-2 is partitioned to correspond with the organization of subclauses.				
Lane reordering is not needed to obtain alignment lock. Lane reordering is needed to verify that valid codewords are being received after alignment lock which requires information from the Reed-Solomon decoder. Therefore, even with the proposed consolidation, the functions are still not self-contained.				
For these reasons the partition will remain as is.				
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial		0	nt ID 208	Page 53 of 137

Cl 91 SC 91.5.4.2.1 Sela, Oren	P 104 Mellanox Tecl	<i>L</i> nnologies	# 209	<i>Cl</i> 91 Sela, Oren	SC 91.5.4.2	1	P104 Mellanox Teo	<i>L</i> chnologies	# 211
Comment Type T	Comment Status A	-		Comment T	ype E	Comment	Status A		
restart_lock varible is not	t defined in the varabile sec	tion		There a confusi		bles that have th	ne same name	e in CL82 and ma	y cause unnecessary
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested					
add restart_lock definition					the naming:				
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C			alignme all_lock	nt_valid> R ed> amps_a		ent_valid		
Define restart_lock as fol	llows (do not include text in	<>):			deskew> R	S_FEC_enable_			
	set by the FEC alignment <			Response		Response S	Status C		
	on all FEC lanes. It is set to (3_BAD state) and set to fa			ACCEP	T IN PRINCIF	LE.			
LOSS_OF_ALIGNMENT				Some v	ariable names	clash with thos	e incorporate	d by reference (se	ee 91.5.2.1 and 91.5.2.2).
C/ 91 SC 91-8	P107	L	# 210			variable names	:		
Sela, Oren	Mellanox Tecl	nnologies			atus to fec_al nt valid to feo	ign_status :_alignment_val	id		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			•	_				
EEE wakeup from LPI Q	n state diagram doesn't take UITE - need to specify that e is DATA and 8 FEC codev	amp_count shou	ld count 4096 FEC	all_lock	ed is not a va	iable name in C	lause 82 and	does not require	change.
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 91	SC 91-9		P108	L	# 212
per comment				Sela, Oren		_	Mellanox Tee	chnologies	
Response	Response Status C			Comment T		Comment			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	•					kew" is not the ri w but also monit			s diagram doesn't only
See comment #243.				Suggested	Remedy				
				•	the name of t state diagram	•	EC block lock	state diagram" or	r "FEC block lock and
				Response		Response S	Status C		
				ACCEF	T IN PRINCIF	LE.			
				See co	nment #49.				
TYPE: TR/technical required COMMENT STATUS: D/disp	ER/editorial required GR/g	general required	T/technical E/editorial G/g	eneral tten C/closed	7/withdrawn		Comn	nent ID 212	Page 54 of 137 9/27/2012 7:31:2

SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.1 P 104 L 26 # 213 Sela, Oren Mellanox Technologies	Cl 82 SC 82.2.8a P 67 L 8 # 215 Sela, Oren Mellanox Technologies
	ucket Comment Type T Comment Status A
typo - am_lock <x> should be amps_lock<x></x></x>	It is not clear if BIP should be calculated from the last RAM to the first normal AM or should the first BIP be calculated from the first "normal" AM to the second normal AM?
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change: "A Boolean variable that is set to true when amps_lock <x> is true for all x and is set to fa when am_lock<x> is false for any x. "</x></x>	
To: "A Realizer verifield that is get to true when among leak we is true for all y and is get to fo	Response Response Status C
"A Boolean variable that is set to true when amps_lock <x> is true for all x and is set to fa when amps_lock<x> is false for any x."</x></x>	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Add the following text - The BIP statistics will be first updated after transitioning from RAMs to normal AMs on the firs received normal AM
C/ 82 SC 82.2.8a P 66 L 10 # 214 Sela, Oren Mellanox Technologies	Cl 78 SC 78.1 P37 L30 # 216
Comment Type T Comment Status R	Sela, Oren Mellanox Technologies
The use of count down to communicate the tx_mode should be an optional extension	Comment Type T Comment Status A 40G
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Need to add the 40GBASE-CR4 and 40GBASE-KR4 PHYs t othe overview
SuggestedRemedy Change:	SuggestedRemedy
The count down field is also used to communicate	Change:
some of the states of the tx_mode when it is not being used to coordinate the transition	"PHY. For operation over twinax cable, EEE supports may be supported by the 100GBASE- CR10 and the 100GBASE-CR4 PHY
To: The count down field may also be used to communicate some of the states of the tx_mod	de To:
when it is not being used to coordinate the transition	"PHY. For operation over twinax cable, EEE supports may be supported by the 40GBASE- CR4, 100GBASE-CR10 and the 100GBASE-CR4 PHY
Response Response Status C	Change:
REJECT.	"For operation over electrical backplanes, EEE may be supported by the 1000BASE-KX
The link partner uses the count down field in received RAMs to derive received_tx_mode	PHY, the 10GBASE-KX4 PHY, the 10GBASE-KR PHY, the 100GBASE-KR4 PHY, and the 100GBASE-KP4 PHY To:
	"For operation over electrical backplanes, EEE may be supported by the 1000BASE-KX PHY, the 10GBASE-KX4 PHY, the 10GBASE-KR4 PHY, the 10GBASE-KR4 PHY, the 100GBASE-KR4 PHY, and the 100GBASE-KP4 PHY"
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	See #107, 108

JEEE D802 2hi D1 1 100 Ch/a Backplana and Conner Cable 2nd Tack Earon raviow comments

CI 82	SC 82-16	P 79	L	# 217	Response		Response Status C		
Sela, Oren		Mellanox Tec	- hnologies	"	ACCEF	T IN PRINCIP	PLE.		
enable	0GBASE-CR10, d. Since for the	Comment Status A , 40GBASE-CR4 and 40GBA CL74 doesn't have any require	ement on the po	osition of the alignment	require	ments of the F	l, however the proposed remedy does EC rapid lock and the lane deskew of other interested people will work to pro	the PCS.	
		the FEC block the RAMs are is required in a similar way as		o acquire fast FEC lock		pose it for the			
be sent	t, this can be do	ypass state the RAMs should ne by setting down_count_do	one to TRUE in t	he scrambler bypass state	Add ne as in 80		riables - scr_bypass_enable and scr_b	oypass. Use the same de	scription
		nedy or by editing 82.2.8a fro R_BYPASS and down_count					eed to add for the 100GBASE-CR10, 4 timing in the Normal wake mode (with		
The ch	ange should onl	y be applicable for non FW m	node		C/ 85	SC 85-1	P 87 L	28 # 218	
Suggested	-				Sela, Oren		Mellanox Technologi		
descrip After TX The tra scr_byp	otion as in 802.3 X wake add 2 m Insition to TX_Cl pass_enable.	riables - scr_baypass_enable az. ore states - TX_CRS_BYPAS RS_BYPASS should be: LPI_ _CRS_BYPASS to TX_DESK	SS, TX_DESKE\ _FW = FALSE *	W tx_tw_timer_done *	Comment T change Suggestedf per con	"Not Applicat Remedy	Comment Status A ole" to "Optional" for 40GBASE-CR4		400
For the (!scr_by There s	2 arcs from TX ypass_enable + should be 2 arcs	_WAKE to TX_ACTIVE and 1 LPI_FW = TRUE)" s from TX_DESKEW: 1) one_	TX_SLEEP shou us_timer_done*	uld add "* T_TYPE(tx_raw) = LI - go	Response ACCEF		Response Status C		
TX_SC	R_BYPASS sho	us_timer_done*T_TYPE(tx_r	, .	TX_ACTIVE	<i>Cl</i> 85 Sela, Oren	SC 85.1	P 87 L Mellanox Technologi	. 33 # 219 ies	
Start or timerdo	bler_bypass <= t ne_us_timer bwn_count_enat				Comment T 40GBA		Comment Status A also enter low power idle		400
down_c	count <= 20 count_done = TI				SuggestedF change	-	E-CR10 PHY" to "100GBASE-CR10 a	and 40GBASE-CR4 PHY	s"
scramb Start or timerdo down_o	SKEW should h bler_bypass <= t ne_us_timer bwn_count_enat count <= 19 count_done = F/	ble <= TRUE			Response ACCEF	т.	Response Status C		
		ed to add for the 100GBASE- ning in the Normal wake mod		E-CR4 and 40GBASE-					
Need to page 8	_	IODE - SCR_BAYPASS and	TX_DESKEW:8	30.3.3.4.1 page 47, 85.2					

C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.12	P 22	L 9	# 220	C/ 91	SC 91.5.2.2	P 93	L27	# 222
Marris, Arthur	Cadence			Gustlin, Ma	ırk	Xilinx		
Comment Type T (Comment Status A			Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status A		
The order that the 100G po priorities.	rt types is listed is differe	nt from Table 73	3-5 which lists the port's			0.2ns is discussed, but it wo w it is refrenced in 83.5.3.3		o refer to SP1 in this
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Swap KP4 and KR4 in Tabl 100GBASE-KR4.	e Table 45-189 so that b	it 9 is for 100GB	ASE-KP4 and bit 10 for	Per the	comment.			
100GDA3E-KK4.				Response		Response Status C		
Do similar change in Table	45-190 and Table 45-197	1 for consistancy	Ι.	ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	.E.		
Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	esponse Status C			See co	mment #26. SP	1 is not the reference point	for these values.	
				Cl 82	SC 82.2.3.6	P65	L 48	# 223
Make the proposed change	is and.			Gustlin, Ma	ırk	Xilinx		
Swap KP4 & KR4 in 73-4 (1	Technology Ability Field)			Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status A		400
C/ 83 SC 83.1.1	P83	L 31	# 221			ope is 40GE also, change:		
Marris, Arthur	Cadence	201	# <u>221</u>	"when I to	LPI control char	acters are received from the	e CGMII."	
	Oddonioo			.0				
Commont Tuno T	Commont Status		bucket	"when I	LPI control char	acters are received from the	e CGMII or XLGMI	l."
<i>,</i> ,	Comment Status A		bucket			acters are received from the	CGMII or XLGMI	1."
Comment Type T ("The 40GBASE-R PMA(s) of 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94	can support any of the 40) Gb/s PMDs in 1		when l" <i>Suggestedl</i> Per cor	Remedy	acters are received from the	e CGMII or XLGMI	l."
"The 40GBASE-R PMA(s) of 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94	can support any of the 40) Gb/s PMDs in ⊺		Suggested	Remedy	acters are received from the Response Status C	CGMII or XLGMI	l."
"The 40GBASE-R PMA(s) of	can support any of the 40) Gb/s PMDs in 1		Suggestedł Per cor	Remedy mment.		CGMII or XLGMI	l."
"The 40GBASE-R PMA(s) of 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 SuggestedRemedy Perhaps: "The 100GBASE-R PMA(s)	can support any of the 40 4)" is a truism. 9 can support any of the 1		Table 80-2, except	Suggestedh Per cor Response ACCEF	Remedy mment. PT.	Response Status C		
"The 40GBASE-R PMA(s) of 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 SuggestedRemedy Perhaps: "The 100GBASE-R PMA(s) 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94	can support any of the 40 4)" is a truism. I can support any of the 1 4)"		Table 80-2, except	Suggestedh Per cor Response ACCEF Cl 82	Remedy mment. PT. SC 82.2.8a	Response Status C	CGMII or XLGMI	I." # <u>224</u>
"The 40GBASE-R PMA(s) of 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 SuggestedRemedy Perhaps: "The 100GBASE-R PMA(s) 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 Response R	can support any of the 40 4)" is a truism. 9 can support any of the 1		Table 80-2, except	Suggested/ Per cor Response ACCEF C/ 82 Gustlin, Ma	Remedy mment. PT. SC 82.2.8a ırk	Response Status C P 66 Xilinx		
"The 40GBASE-R PMA(s) of 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 SuggestedRemedy Perhaps: "The 100GBASE-R PMA(s) 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94	can support any of the 40 4)" is a truism. I can support any of the 1 4)"		Table 80-2, except	Suggested/ Per cor Response ACCEF C/ 82 Gustlin, Ma Comment 1	Remedy mment. PT. SC 82.2.8a Irk <i>Type</i> T	Response Status C P 66 Xilinx Comment Status A	L 15	# <mark>224</mark>
"The 40GBASE-R PMA(s) of 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 SuggestedRemedy Perhaps: "The 100GBASE-R PMA(s) 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 Response R	can support any of the 40 4)" is a truism. a can support any of the 1 4)" <i>Response Status</i> C	00 Gb/s PMDs i	Table 80-2, except n Table 80-2a, except	Suggested/ Per cor Response ACCEF C/ 82 Gustlin, Ma Comment 7 Figure 5 block b RAMs t	Remedy mment. PT. SC 82.2.8a Irk Fype T 82.9a is meant being the sync he	Response Status C P66 Xilinx Comment Status A to show the blocks being tra eader (sync header is sent s backwards, the normal AM	L 15 Insmitted form rightirst). But in this co	# 224
"The 40GBASE-R PMA(s) of 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 SuggestedRemedy Perhaps: "The 100GBASE-R PMA(s) 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Move ", except 100GBASE	can support any of the 40 4)" is a truism. a can support any of the 1 4)" <i>Response Status</i> C	00 Gb/s PMDs i	Table 80-2, except n Table 80-2a, except	Suggested/ Per cor Response ACCEF C/ 82 Gustlin, Ma Comment 7 Figure 5 block b RAMs t	Remedy mment. PT. SC 82.2.8a Irk Fype T 82.9a is meant to reing the sync he to normal AMs is untdown being re	Response Status C P66 Xilinx Comment Status A to show the blocks being tra eader (sync header is sent s backwards, the normal AM	L 15 Insmitted form rightirst). But in this co	# 224
"The 40GBASE-R PMA(s) of 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 SuggestedRemedy Perhaps: "The 100GBASE-R PMA(s) 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Move ", except 100GBASE	can support any of the 40 4)" is a truism. a can support any of the 1 4)" <i>Response Status</i> C	00 Gb/s PMDs i	Table 80-2, except n Table 80-2a, except	Suggested/ Per cor Response ACCEF Cl 82 Gustlin, Ma Comment 7 Figure 5 block b RAMs t the cou Suggested/ Fix the	Remedy mment. PT. SC 82.2.8a Irk Type T 82.9a is meant being the sync he to normal AMs is intdown being re Remedy	Response Status C P66 Xilinx Comment Status A to show the blocks being tra eader (sync header is sent is backwards, the normal AN eversed.	L 15 Insmitted form righ irst). But in this co As should be to the	# 224 at to left, with the small ntext, the transition from e left of the RAMs with
"The 40GBASE-R PMA(s) of 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 SuggestedRemedy Perhaps: "The 100GBASE-R PMA(s) 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Move ", except 100GBASE	can support any of the 40 4)" is a truism. a can support any of the 1 4)" <i>Response Status</i> C	00 Gb/s PMDs i	Table 80-2, except n Table 80-2a, except	Suggested/ Per cor Response ACCEF Cl 82 Gustlin, Ma Comment 7 Figure 5 block b RAMs t the cou Suggested/ Fix the	Remedy mment. PT. SC 82.2.8a rk Fype T 82.9a is meant being the sync he to normal AMs is intdown being re Remedy figure to be cor	Response Status C P66 Xilinx Comment Status A to show the blocks being tra eader (sync header is sent is backwards, the normal AN eversed.	L 15 Insmitted form righ irst). But in this co As should be to the	# 224 at to left, with the small ntext, the transition from e left of the RAMs with
"The 40GBASE-R PMA(s) of 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 SuggestedRemedy Perhaps: "The 100GBASE-R PMA(s) 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Move ", except 100GBASE	can support any of the 40 4)" is a truism. a can support any of the 1 4)" <i>Response Status</i> C	00 Gb/s PMDs i	Table 80-2, except n Table 80-2a, except	Suggested/ Per cor Response ACCEF C/ 82 Gustlin, Ma Comment 7 Figure 1 block b RAMs t the cou Suggested/ Fix the normal	Remedy mment. PT. SC 82.2.8a rk Fype T 82.9a is meant eing the sync he to normal AMs is untdown being re Remedy figure to be cor AMs being afte	Response Status C P66 Xilinx Comment Status A to show the blocks being tra eader (sync header is sent i s backwards, the normal Al eversed.	L 15 Insmitted form righ irst). But in this co As should be to the	# 224 at to left, with the small ntext, the transition from e left of the RAMs with
"The 40GBASE-R PMA(s) of 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 SuggestedRemedy Perhaps: "The 100GBASE-R PMA(s) 100GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94 Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Move ", except 100GBASE	can support any of the 40 4)" is a truism. a can support any of the 1 4)" <i>Response Status</i> C	00 Gb/s PMDs i	Table 80-2, except n Table 80-2a, except	Suggested/ Per cor Response ACCEF C/ 82 Gustlin, Ma Comment 7 Figure 1 block b RAMs t the cou Suggested/ Fix the normal Response ACCEF	Remedy mment. PT. SC 82.2.8a Irk Type T 82.9a is meant eing the sync hi to normal AMs is untdown being re Remedy figure to be cor AMs being afte	Response Status C P66 Xilinx Comment Status A to show the blocks being tra eader (sync header is sent i s backwards, the normal Al eversed.	<i>L</i> 15 Insmitted form righ first). But in this co <i>I</i> s should be to the r being transmitted	# 224 at to left, with the small ntext, the transition from e left of the RAMs with

C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.1 Gustlin, Mark	P 104 Xilinx	L 46	# 225	C/ 82 Gustlin, Marl	SC 82.2.8a	P 67 Xilinx	L 7	# 228
Comment Type T This editor's note can b sufficiently robust for Kl SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D e removed, Zhongfeng Wang	has looked at th	nis and the current SM is	Comment Ty I think it "BIP stat It only ap	pe T would be good	Comment Status A to clarify this statement: updated when the receiver is EEE is being supported, and		
Per the comment. Proposed Response REJECT.	Response Status Z			Add in th	comment, add	additional text to clarify this st Iy when EEE is supported an		e LPI RX SM.
This comment was WIT	HDRAWN by the commenter			Response		Response Status C		
C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.3 Gustlin, Mark	Р 107 Xilinx	L 3	# 226			L. ses the reference to LPI Rx s	/m.	
Comment Type T The signal restart_lock	Comment Status A is not a defined variable. Add	l it to the list of v	ariables.			lity is supported," at the begin		
SuggestedRemedy Per the comment. Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C				pe TR antee technical	P 213 Independent Comment Status R ly objective and repeatable re on Salz SNR bound framewo		
See comment #209.	L .				mental for the l	baseband modulation type sys		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.8a Gustlin, Mark	P 66 Xilinx	L 43	# 227	SuggestedR See prov	emedy vided material	or details.		
	Comment Status A 82-2 is talked about for 100G			Response REJECT		Response Status C		
encoding of AMs.	n scope for EEE, please add	In references to	Iable 02-3 101 40GE	Task Fo	rce reviewed v	areljian_3bj_01_0912.		
SuggestedRemedy Per the comment.				An inforr change.	nal poll of the ⁻	Task Force indicated no one v	wished to show	support to make this

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 92A SC 92A.4	P 208	L 29	# 230	(92A-) . The	2)				
Moore, Charles	Avago Techno	logies		minim		for the transmitter or the receive		controlled impedance	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A				d circuit board is Bmin(f)."	one half of the minimum insertio	n loss		
	2.8.3.4 which separately spec TP5 but then talks priamarily			With:	2(1).				
	nay come from different source								
	e specified and specifying th reference to the loss of a ma					TP0 to the MDI host receptacle etermined using Equation (92A-2		DI host	
SuggestedRemedy				Chan	ge the first part of	equation 92A-2 to			
Change:				IL_F	PCB(f) >= IL_PCE	3min(f) = 0.184*(0.0347 + 0.2124	l sqrt(f) + 0.46	61 f) (dB)	
With the insertion loss TI	P0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 give	en in		Response		Response Status C	• ()		
92.8.3.4	_			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL				
	connector loss of nsertion loss allocation for the inted circuit boards for each (See c	omment #486.				
	on losses from TP0 to the ME			C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.5	P 216	L 49	# 231	
MDI host receptacle) are	e determined using			Healey, A	dam	LSI Corporation			
Equation (92A-1)				Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			
	. The maximum insertion loss allocation for the transmitter and receiver differential controlled impedance printed circuit boards is 13.62				's note implies the	at the procedure is only an exam SE-KR4.	ple. It appear	s to be a suitable	
GHz. The maximum inse	ertion loss for the transmitter			There	is similar editor's	s note in 93A.1.6.3.			
differential controlled imp maximum insertion loss	bedance printed circuit board	l is one half of th	1e	SuggestedRemedy					
					•	otes. If 100GBASE-KP4 requires	a different pro	ocedure, then include this	
to:				proce	dure as a subclau eparate subclaus	use for 100GBASE-KR4 and def	ne the proced	lure for 100GBASE-KP4	
	P0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 give			Response		Response Status C			
or from the MDI host rec	ed for the loss for TP0 to the eptacle to TP5 is determined C board loss at 12.9806 GH	l using Equation		ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL				
	0 50010 1033 01 12.3000 011	2 01 0.01 0.0.		See c	omment #253.				
Change the first part of E	Equation 92A-1 to:								
IL_PCB(f) <= IL_PCB	8max(f) = 0.0347 + 0.2124 sc	ırt(f) + 0.4661 f ((dB)						
Replace:									
impedance printed circui	loss allocation for the transm t boards for each differential om TP0 to MDI receptacle ar	lane (i.e., the m	inimum value of the sum						
TYPE: TR/technical required COMMENT STATUS: D/disp SORT ORDER: Comment ID	atched A/accepted R/reject	eneral required ted RESPON	T/technical E/editorial G/ SE STATUS: O/open W/w	/general rritten C/closed	Z/withdrawn	Comment	ID 231	Page 59 of 137 9/27/2012 7:31:24	

Page 59 of 137 9/27/2012 7:31:24 AM

C/ 93A SC 93A.1.5	P 217 L 6 # 232	C/ 93A SC 93A.1.5	P 217 L 8 # <u>233</u>
Healey, Adam	LSI Corporation	Healey, Adam	LSI Corporation
Comment Type T	Comment Status A	Comment Type T	Comment Status A
In item b) the "zero cro	ssing" of the rising edge of the single bit response does not appear to	Residual inter-symbol i	nterference should be a function of the chosen sampling phase ts

In item b), the "zero crossing" of the rising edge of the single bit response does not appear to be a stable reference point unless sufficient pre-shoot is added, via c(-1), to cause an explicit zero crossing.

Ambiguity in the tz value may disqualify otherwise valid solutions for small c(-1) magnitudes.

SuggestedRemedy

Define tz in a manner that is robust for all values of c(-1), c(1), and gDC. Some examples are given.

1. Define tz to be the time where the single bit response crosses a positive, but non-zero, threshold. If there are multiple such crossings, the latest crossing time that precedes the peak of the single bit response is selected.

2. Define ts to be the time that maximizes the quantity h(ts)-|h(ts-Tb)| and no independent definition of tz is needed.

3. Define ts to be the value that satisfies the equation (again tz does not need to be defined): h(ts-Tb/2)=h(ts+Tb/2)-h(ts+Tb)/2

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The intent of the original proposal was option #1. Update the definition of tz and ts accordingly

Define tz to be the time where the single bit response crosses a positive threshold equal to 1% of its peak amplitude. If there are multiple such crossings, the latest crossing time that precedes the peak of the single bit response is selected.

Residual inter-symbol interference should be a function of the chosen sampling phase ts. Instead, the parameter optimization procedure defined in 93A.1.5 considers the error across all sampling phases and the interference amplitude distribution computed per 93A.1.6.3 takes a worst-case phase independent of ts. This also implies the value used to optimize c(-1), c(1) and gDC is not the same value that is used to noise amplitude and consequently the COM value.

Instead, the single bit response should be sampled at baud intervals around ts and the RMS value computed based on those sampled values. The interference distribution should also be computed from the sampled values. In this scenario, the exception window W would be used to force the first W sampled values after ts to be zero. This is more in-line with the operation of a decision feedback equalizer.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the treatment of inter-symbol interference per the comment.

Response ACCEPT.		Response Status	С		
<i>Cl</i> 91 Healey, Adai	SC 91.5.2.7 n	299 LSI Co	prporation	L 1	# 234

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The RS-FEC encoding is sufficiently stable to define the generator polynomial coefficients and example codewords to assist users of the standard.

SuggestedRemedy

Add Annex 91A with FEC codeword examples in the style of Annex 74A. Include coefficients of the generator polynomial, gi, in Clause 91 or in the proposed annex.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove the editor's note. Add a table to the end of 91.5.2.7 that defines the coefficients of the generator polynomials for 100GBASE-KR4 and 100GBASE-KP4.

Add Annex 91A which includes an example of an FEC codeword (input, transcoded output, FEC encoded output).

Refer to langhammer_3bj_01_0912 for a C model of the encoders. These will also be included in the Annex.

C/ 93 S	SC 93.5	P152	2	L 8	# 235	C/ 93	SC	93.8.3	P 164	L 4	# 238
Healey, Adam		LSI Cor	poration			Healey, A	dam		LSI Corporati	on	
Comment Type	e T	Comment Status	4			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		bucke
		stantiation of the Clause and Skew Variation at S			rface and it does not mak				AC coupling 3 dB cutoff free Channel characteristics.	luency is a cha	nnel specification and
The Skew	and Skew V	ariation allowed at SP3	and SP4	can be take	n from Table 80-4 and	Suggeste	dReme	dy			
	5 respectively						i subcla t subcla		on the topic of AC coupling a	nd move the cu	toff frequency specificatio
SuggestedRen	nedy					Response		ause.	Doononoo Statua		
		s well as the paragraph om Table 80-4 and Tab		ng at line 17.	Populate TBD Skew and	•		PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
Response		Response Status	2			See o	ommen	nt #488.			
ACCEPT I	IN PRINCIPL	.E.				C/ 91	SC	91.2	P92	L 21	# 239
See comm	ient #26.					Healey, A		51.2	LSI Corporati		# 239
C/ 93 S	SC 93.4	P151	1	L49	# 236	Comment		т	Comment Status A		
Healey, Adam			poration		# 200			FEC sync	hronization state diagram ha	s been include	d in the draft, the
Comment Type	e T	Comment Status				assig be de		of the SIGI	NAL_OK parameter of the FE	C:IS_UNITDA	rA.indication primitive car
21		ne 100GBASE-KR4 PM	D are TB	D.		Suggeste		du			
SuggestedRen	nedy							•	OK=OK when align_status=T	RUE and SIGN	IAI OK-FAII when
Consider 8 bit times (2	84.4 (40GBA				PMD/AN delay is fixed in s fixed in time (8 ns, 800	align_	_status=	FALSE. A	Also define the value of the rx ndication primitives when SIG	_bit parameter	for the
bit times).						Response	;		Response Status C		
Response		Response Status	2			ACCE	EPT IN I	PRINCIPL	.E.		
ACCEPT.						Defin	e SIGN/	AL OK pe	er the comment (note the vari	able name has	changes to
C/ 93 S	SC 93.8.1.5	P 158	3	L 48	# 237	fec_a	lign_sta	atus).	Υ.		C C
Healey, Adam		LSI Cor	poration			Speci	fy that v	when SIGI	NAL_OK=FAIL, the value of r	x bit is undefin	ed.
Comment Type	e T	Comment Status	4						_ /	-	
		es that the transition timessary duplication of tex		on is copied	from 86A.5.3.3. This						
SuggestedRen	nedy										
	e the proced E-KR4 in this	ure in 86A.5.3.3 by refe s subclause.	erence an	d only includ	e material specific to						
		Response Status	C								

<i>Cl</i> 91 <i>SC</i> 91.5.2.5 Healey, Adam	P 95 LSI Corporation	L 12	# 240	<i>Cl</i> 91 Healey, Adai	SC 91.5.3.4 n	P 101 LSI Corporatio	L 18 on	# 242
Comment Type T Clarify the assignment	Comment Status A of tx_coded_c<1:0>.		bucket	<i>Comment Ty</i> This sub		Comment Status A not address the case where rap	oid alignment ma	arkers are being receive
SuggestedRemedy Change to tx_coded_c-	<1:0>=01 to tx_coded_c<1>=0	and tx_coded_c	<0>=1.	SuggestedRe Modify th	2	to address both normal and ra	pid alignment m	arkers.
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C _E.		
See comment #15.	2404		# <u>0</u> ##		litorial license ed by other co	to craft to text to be consistent mments.	t with changes to	o EEE functionality
Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.3 Healey, Adam	P 101 LSI Corporation	L 6	# 241	See com	iment #243.			
	Comment Status A g is optional presumably due to ror marking specified in this su		tency. What is the	C/ 91 Healey, Adai Comment Ty		1 P104 LSI Corporation	L 39 on	# 243
SuggestedRemedy	cant, consider optional error ma error marking on latency and d	C C		capabilit when no	y? The intent of	S sublayer discriminate betwee of this statement is to specify the t markers are expected but be expected.	hat the state dia	igram behaves one way
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.				e if normal or	should use the EEE service in rapid alignment markers are e		es defined in 91.2 to
Make error marking optional. Modify text in 91.5.3.3 to indicate this. Add "error indication" ability and enable bits to management per healey_3bj_02_0912.				Tie the b	,	state diagram to the EEE serv	vice interface pr	imitives defined in 91.2.
ability and enable bits t				Response		Response Status C		
	deactivating error marking wou	d have an adve	se impact on MITEPA	ACCEP	IN PRINCIPI	LE.		

C/ 91 SC 91.6	P108	L 52	# 244	C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.3	P 215	L 46	# 247
Healey, Adam	LSI Corporation			Healey, Ad	am	LSI Corporati	on	
Comment Type T Comment	Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
The RS-FEC architecture has stabiliz can be defined.	ed to the point where	e MDIO status	and control variables		riable At is inc on (93A-6).	luded in Equation (93A-10) and	d should not be i	n the numerator of
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Include tables defining RS-FEC status accordingly.	s and control variabl	es and amend	Clause 45	Chang	e the numerate	or of Equation (93A-6) to 1.		
Response Response S	Status C			Response		Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				ACCEI	PT.			
				See als	so comment #3	36.		
Refer to comment #196.				C/ 93	SC 93.8.1.1	P 156	L 47	# 248
C/ 91 SC 91.4		L 52	# 245	Ran, Adee	30 93.0.1.	Intel	L41	# 240
Healey, Adam	LSI Corporation			Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status R		
Comment Type T Comment	Status A			It is no	t absolutely cle	ear that the requirements of tab	le 93-4 should a	II be met using the same
The Clause 91 architecture has stabil provided.	ized to the point whe	ere a delay con	straint can be	test fix		d theoretically meet return loss		
SuggestedRemedy				For ov	nmotry apply	also for TP5a in subclause 93.	9 7 1	
Specify the maximum delay contribute	ed by the RS-FEC s	ublayer.		Suggested			0.2.1.	
Response Response S	Status C			00		e first paragraph in 93.8.1.1 to	read:	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				Chang		e nist paragraph in 95.0.1.1 to	leau.	
See comment #190.						ted, measurements of the trans		,
C/ 93A SC 93A.1	P213	L 24	# 246	for all r	neasurements	".		
Healey, Adam	LSI Corporation	L Z4	# 246	Chang	e the text of th	e first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to	read:	
	·			Chang		o mot paragraph in co.c.z. r to		
Comment Type T Comment			nte define e levren			ted, measurements of the rece		
Equation 93A-1 implies that COM+CC bound on the quantity (COM+COM0),			r to define a lower		rements".	own in Figure 93-6; the same to	est point and lixt	ure shall be used for all
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status C		
Delete COM0 term.				REJEC	CT.			
Response Response S ACCEPT.	Status C				rase "the sam at TP0a/TP5a.	e test point" is redundant with t	he statement that	at all measurements are
				must b	e set in order t	ss of the test fixture is allowed o ensure interoperability in spit be enhanced by enforcing the	te of this variabili	ty. It is not clear that

measurements.

IEEE P802.3bj D1.1 100 Gb/s Backplane and Copper Cable 2nd Task Force review comments

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 248

Page 63 of 137 9/27/2012 7:31:24 AM

C/ 93A SC 93A.1.6.1 Ran, Adee	P 216 Intel	L 17	# 249	C/ s	2 S , Adee	SC 92.1	P 111 Intel	L 19	# 250
	omment Status A		buck	et Cor	nment Type		Comment Status A		
Convolution is also denoted l	by "*" in other equations	s 23, 24 and 25.				lected to PC	S through CGMII, not to RS-F	-EC through CA	UI. "RS" is likely a typo
SuggestedRemedy					and should				
Either refer to all equations o	r just change "In equat	ion (93A-18)" to	"Where".		Figure 92-	1 does not s	how the optional CAUI. If it wa	as shown, the te	ext would be clearer.
Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	sponse Status C				Same com	iment applie	s to 93.1 and 94.1.		
The "*" notation is used in 93 to define this notation in 93A Add the following paragraph		Additional alarification may be required: according to clause 83.1.4 and annex 83A.1 CAUI can be implemented between two PMAs, to separate the PCS (or the optional FEC) from th PMD. With mandatory RS-FEC instead of optional FEC, CAUI can only be used to separate the RS-FEC from the PCS over 10 lanes (top CAUI at right half of figure 83-2), since output of RS-FEC encoder is 4 physical lanes, over which CAUI is not defined. Since such separation would require 10 lanes, it seems to have mainly theoretical value.							
"In this Annex, "*" denotes co	prodution which is defir	ned by Equation ((93A-XX)."	Sug	gestedRen	nedy			
				-	Change "I	between the	RS and the RS-FEC" to "betw	ween the PCS a	nd the RS-FEC".
					Optionally, 83C.1a.2.	add CAUI i	n figure 92-1 to clarify the mea	aning of this ser	itence, or refer to annex
					Apply sam	e changes ir	n clauses 93.1 and 94.1, figu	res 93-1 and 94	-1.
					Consider o	larifying that	t separating PCS and RS-FE0	C through CAUI	requires 10 lanes.
				Res	oonse		Response Status C		
					ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPL	.E.		
					The text ci	ted in this co	omment is modified by comme	ent #489.	

C/ 93 SC 93.8.1 P156 L18 # 251 Ran, Adee Intel	C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.6 P160 L10 # 252 Ran, Adee Intel
Comment Type T Comment Status R Why is there a minimum requirement for transition time for a testpoint near the transmitter? What would go wrong with a faster rise time in a backplane system? Why is there no parallel requirement for the CR4 transmitter? Values near the suggested minimum might be difficult to measure with a sampling scope - which is otherwise a good choice. Also, this requirement may prevent some legitimate solutions for meeting the stringent return loss requirements. The minimum-only-requirement concept seems to be taken from annex 86A which is relevant for nPPI. Perhaps it makes sense there, but this is a very different system - the trace length on backplane will incerase the rise time. SuggestedRemedy Remove this parameter from table 93-4 and delete clause 93.8.1.5.	Comment Type T Comment Status D Current values in Table 93-5 are taken from clause 85. Assuming similar test fixture limitations, and a factor of 2.5 in signaling frequency, the lengths of the channel and equa in UI should scale similarly. Delays should also be scaled to prevent precursor equalization from creating energy outs the linear fit pulse. Suggested remedy also applies to clause 92.8.3.3, table 92-6, where the values are curre TBD. SuggestedRemedy Change NP and NW to 20; change DP and DW to 4. Proposed Response Response Status REJECT.
Response Response Status C REJECT.	C/ 93A SC 93A, 1.5 P 216 L 48 # 253
The (near-end) crosstalk amplitude present at the receiver is related to the aggressor rise and fall times. A minimum rise time is specified as a means to limit the crosstalk amplitude (crosstalk is no less of a concern here than it has been for other standards). This is also reflected in the COM calculation where the transmitter filter bandwidth (inversely proportional to rise time) is larger for near-end aggressors. This bandwidth should be related to this minimum rise time specification.	Cl 93A SC 93A.1.5 P 216 L 48 # 253 Ran, Adee Intel Intel Eased on consensus building and having to alternative procedures, the presented proceed should be accepted into the draft. Same comment applies to clause 93A.1.6.3 (combination of interference and noise distributions). SuggestedRemedy Remove editor's notes in both clauses. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accept. Accept. Accept. C

C/ 93 SC 93.9.1 P165 L40 # 254 Ran, Adee Intel	C/ 94 Ran, Ade	SC 94.3.11	P187 Intel	L35	# 255
Comment Type T Comment Status A Most of the presentations that demonstrated technical feasibility of NRZ over samp backplane channels were assuming 14 DFE taps or more. (ref: meghelli_01a_0917 healey_01_0911.xls, Joy et al. #20.3 at ISSCC 2011, ran_01_0112). This is a logit for an assumed minimum capability. For a receiver with no DFE, the ISI effects starts 1 UI after the sampling point. The with 14 DFE taps, the exception window should be 1+14=15 UI after the sampling point. The makeing W=16. SuggestedRemedy In table 93-8, change the value of W from "TBD" to 16.	e Trans propo al choice prese Suggeste efore, Delet bint, Add r Repla in the	<i>Type</i> T mitter output jitter sed procedure an ntation. <i>dRemedy</i> e the last two row ew rows instead f accompanying pr	Comment Status A and noise should be replace d new parameter definitions s of table 94-4. or the parameters which app 1.8 and 94.3.11.9 with text we esentation.	are described in	an accompanying
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Set W to 14.	Remo Incor (desp excer CRJr CDJ EOJ SNDI	PT IN PRINCIPL we 94.3.11.6 (Tra porate ran_3bj_01 ite that they are m eded (use the sma ns (labeled RJrm labeled DCJ in th Rtx	nsmitter linearity). _0912 to define the following arked TBD) except where th	e comparable C J	OM parameters are

CI 94	SC 94.4.1		L 40	# 256	C/ 93	SC 93.8.1.1	P157	L 28	# 257	
Ran, Adee	1	Intel			Ran, Adee		Intel			
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		channel COM	Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A			
cursor	is about eno	ry analysis in ran_01_0712, assu ugh to get good equalization for hile higher lengths provided dim	ISI-limited chanr		end of a channe	any channel. Th I is not detacha	stics measured on TP0a need ne far end of a channel is TP5 ble, and for a 100GBASE-KR el, which is only relevant for 1	, or possibly TP 4 transmitter, th	5a. A 100GBASE-KR4 le test fixture need not	
This ca	apability is co	nsidered feasible by the consen	sus group which	examined several receive						
archite	ectures.						se can be measured using the nt, which should be TP0a. Sin			
The ex	clusion winde	ow length W should accordingly	be set to 16+2=	18.			be scaled from 2 mV to 4 mV.		ub loss as in clause ob,	
Suggested	IRemedy				Suggested	Remedy				
Change the value of W in table 94-8 from "TBD" to 18.						e the parameter	name from "Far-end output r	oise" to "Outpu	t noise". Specify only at	
Response		Response Status C			one point, TP0a. Change value to 4 mV.					
	PT IN PRINC	,								
					Rewrite	e clause 93.8.1.	7 accordingly.			
See co	omment #139				Response		Response Status C			
					ACCEP	PT IN PRINCIPI	.E.			
					degrees what th based o	s based on its s e actual noise v on the near-end	cifications recognize that the opectral content). A near-end would be at the output of a los I value, the suggested remedy rthwhile to make this distinction	measurement of sy channel. If it would be suffice	f 4 mV may say little abou is acceptable to budget	
							ified for the receiver toleranc in 93.8.2.3 for Test 1 and Tes			
					Leave	values as curre	ntly specified.			

CI 93	SC 93.8.2.3	P163	L23	# 258
Ran, Adee		Intel		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The required BER is defined (per the project objective) "at the MAC/PLS service interface" which means after the RS-FEC sublayer. There is no need to specify and test for 1e-12 or better anywhere else, especially at the "Electrical characteristics" section. This would be a severe over-stress.

Bit error ratio should be specified as 1e-12 and tested between two points that span the RS-FEC sublayers. The actual test should involve RS-FEC block error rate and thus performed over the full 4-lane link. It is more likely that a test procedure would require a full compliant transmitter in order to include the RS-FEC encoding; adding jitter requirements as in table 93-7 may not be feasible.

Per-lane BER can be specified in addition at the PMA with BER target of e.g. 2e-5 (as in tests 3 and 4) with jitter stress, e.g. in order to verify CDR tracking capability.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove columns for tests 1 and 2 from the table.

Add a BER test which includes the RS-FEC sublayer; procedure to be defined in clause 91, with setup/stress settings defined separately for clauses 92, 93, and 94. (For the current draft placeholders/editorial comments would suffice).

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

It should be noted that there is value in providing specifications that can be applied to the subsystems that may be brought together to form a complete PHY. It is expected that a suitable pre-correction BER limit can be derived for the purpose of PMA/PMD testing. While the proposal may be suitable for verifying the BER objective will be met at the MAC/PLS, it should not be the sole means for verifying compliance of the PMD.

Add the following to 93.1 (similarly for Clause 92 and Clause 94):

"Differential signals received at the MDI from a transmitter that meets the requirements of <reference> and have passed through the channel specified in <reference> are received with a BER less than 1E-5.

For a complete Physical Layer, this specification is considered to be satisfied by a frame error ratio less than 1.7E-10 for 64 octet frames with minimum IPG."

Editor to add the appropriate cross-references (per Clause).

C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.5	P 217	L8	# 259
Ran, Adee		Intel		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The exception window should start at tz-Tb to preclude the pre-cursor equalization (which create a pre-shoot of the single bit response) from counting as ISI. After canceling the first precursor, the uncanceled ISI should be measured from the second precursor and back.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "[tz, tz+WTb]" to "[tz-Tb, tz+WTb]".

Apply also in 93A.1.6.3 (line 13).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Overtaken by events. The exception window will no longer be applied to the oversampled single bit response (see comment #233).

C/ 93A	SC 93A-1.6.3	P 219	L14	# 260
Ran, Adee		Intel		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The procedure defined in 93A.1.6.1 needs a sampled version h_w(n) instead of h_w(t).

SuggestedRemedy

Define h_w(n) as h_w(t_n), where

t_n= t_z+(n-4)*T_b, n=0..floor(3*T_prop/T_b)+8

and T_prop is the propagation delay through the channel.

Use $h_w(n)$ for the procedure defined in 93A.1.6.1.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #233.

Cl 94	SC 94.3.12.3	P 195	L37	# 261	Cl 92 SC
Ran, Adee	9	Intel			Lusted, Kent
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		RX performance metric	Comment Type

The required BER is defined (per the project objective) "at the MAC/PLS service interface" which means after the RS-FEC sublayer. There is no need to specify and test for 1e-12 or better anywhere else, especially at the "Electrical characteristics" section. This would be a severe over-stress.

Bit error ratio should be specified as 1e-12 and tested between two points that span the RS-FEC sublayers. The actual test should involve RS-FEC block error rate and thus performed over the full 4-lane link. It is more likely that a test procedure would require a full compliant transmitter in order to include the RS-FEC encoding; adding jitter requirements as in table 94-7 may not be feasible.

Per-lane BER can be specified in addition at the PMA with BER target of e.g. 3e-4 (as in the first row of table 94-7) with jitter stress, e.g. in order to verify CDR tracking capability.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the second row from table 94-7.

Add a BER test which includes the RS-FEC sublayer; procedure to be defined in clause 91, with setup/stress settings defined separately for clauses 92, 93, and 94. (For the current draft placeholders/editorial comments would suffice).

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #258.

C/ 92	SC	Table 92-1	P 134	L 1	# 262
Lusted, Ke	ent		Intel		
Comment	Type	TR	Comment Status R		bucket

Response Status C

Draft 1.1 renumbers the tables in Clause 92 but the first table in the section starts with 92-2. should be 92-1.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix Table numbers

Response Response Status C REJECT.

Page 111 - Line 24 - Table 92-1-Physical Layer clauses associated with the 100GBASE-CR4 PMD. Page 144 - Line 31 - Table 92-2

CI 92	SC Table 92-2	P134	L 9	# 263
Lusted, K	ent	Intel		

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This project's Broad Market Potential response to the 5 criteria states that "Internet, cloud, and higher performance computing applications. are driving the need for higher bandwidth blade and rack server connections." These high performance computing applications are par of the justification for the project and demand low-latency communication. The 5nsec RS-FEC and transcoding latency quoted in gustlin_01_0712 is not realizable in a IEEE 802.3 layered architecture device and was not shown to be technically feasible (unless error detection is not performed at all). Vendors implementing a MAC device connected through a 802.3 standards-compliant CAUI interface to a PHY device that has such low latency, will not be able to detect or correct errors in packets that were already transferred to the MAC. The 5nsec number assumes a vendor-specific implementation choice on how to minimize latency using non-spec compliant techniques and thus precludes the choice of using 802.3 standard PHY and MAC from the different vendors.

Furthermore, the 50nsec latency for RS-FEC detection adds a significant penalty to lowlatency switching architectures that target high-performance computing. Current 10GbE/40GbE Ethernet switch systems have <300nsec switching latency and the additional 50nsec for RS-FEC detection handicaps Ethernet when compared to competing HPC interconnect technologies. The 50ns link latency translates to per hop latency of 2x50=100ns So this adds 25 to 33% additional latency penalty for low latency Ethernet switches for higher performance computing market.

64B/66B encoding is sufficient to address the higher performance market and provide adequate MTTFPA.

SuggestedRemedy

Make FEC optional: Remove the mandatory FEC encoding and transcoding requirement from the clause and enable using 64/66 encoding.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This topic was discussed at the July 2012 Task Force meeting and a decision was made per Motion #3.

Motion #3 (July 2012): Clause 91 FEC transmitter encoding for 100GBASE-KR4 and 100GBASE-CR4 is mandatory. M: M. Dudek, S: P. Patel, Y: 39, N: 4, A: 13

The topic was discussed by the Task Force and it was clear that there was no consensus to make this change.

CI 93	SC Table 93-1	P175	L 9	# 264	C/ 93	SC 93.7.12	P 184	L 3	# 265
Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel			Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel		

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This project's Broad Market Potential response to the 5 criteria states that "Internet, cloud, and higher performance computing applications. are driving the need for higher bandwidth blade and rack server connections." These high performance computing applications are par of the justification for the project and demand low-latency communication. The 5nsec RS-FEC and transcoding latency quoted in gustlin_01_0712 is not realizable in a IEEE 802.3 layered architecture device and was not shown to be technically feasible (unless error detection is not performed at all). Vendors implementing a MAC device connected through a 802.3 standards-compliant CAUI interface to a PHY device that has such low latency, will not be able to detect or correct errors in packets that were already transferred to the MAC. The 5nsec number assumes a vendor-specific implementation choice on how to minimize latency using non-spec compliant techniques and thus precludes the choice of using 802.3 standard PHY and MAC from the different vendors.

Furthermore, the 50nsec latency for RS-FEC detection adds a significant penalty to lowlatency switching architectures that target high-performance computing. Current 10GbE/40GbE Ethernet switch systems have <300nsec switching latency and the additional 50nsec for RS-FEC detection handicaps Ethernet when compared to competing HPC interconnect technologies. The 50ns link latency translates to per hop latency of 2x50=100ns So this adds 25 to 33% additional latency penalty for low latency Ethernet switches for higher performance computing market.

64B/66B encoding is sufficient to address the higher performance market and provide adequate MTTFPA.

SuggestedRemedy

Make FEC optional: Remove the mandatory FEC encoding and transcoding requirement from the clause and enable using 64/66 encoding.

Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

This topic was discussed at the July 2012 Task Force meeting and a decision was made per Motion #3.

Motion #3 (July 2012): Clause 91 FEC transmitter encoding for 100GBASE-KR4 and 100GBASE-CR4 is mandatory. M: M. Dudek, S: P. Patel, Y: 39, N: 4, A: 13

See comment #263.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The draft says that each lane of this PMD shall use the same control function as 10GBASE-KR. However, the baud rate is different and Clause 72.6.10 has many explicit references to 10GBASE-KR UI.

SuggestedRemedy

use the same control function logic but change to the 25Gbaud signaling rate. I'm not entirely sure how to document it. Some possible options are:

Option 1: copy 72.6.10 PMD control function into draft and modify references to state 100GBASE-KR4 baud rates and UI.

Option 2: bring 72.6.10 PMD control function into draft and add clarifications for 100GBASE-KR4 at each instance (so that both 10GBASE-KR and 100GBASE-KR4 are listed)

Option 3: bring 72.6.10 PMD control function into draft and make generic references to new variables for each PMD type. See presentation to be submitted.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment #10175.

CI 92	SC 92.7.12	P143	L 22	# 266
Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The draft says that each lane of this PMD shall use the same control function as 10GBASE-KR. However, the baud rate is different and Clause 72.6.10 has many explicit references to 10GBASE-KR UI.

SuggestedRemedy

use the same control function logic but change to the 25Gbaud signaling rate. I'm not entirely sure how to document it. Some possible options are: Option 1: copy 72.6.10 PMD control function into draft and modify references to state 100GBASE-CR4 baud rates and UI. Option 2: bring 72.6.10 PMD control function into draft and add clarifications for 100GBASE-CR4 at each instance (so that both 10GBASE-KR and 100GBASE-KR4 are listed) Option 3: bring 72.6.10 PMD control function into draft and make generic references to new variables for each PMD type. See presentation to be submitted.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comments #10175 (and #265).

C/ 45 Lusted, Ker	SC Table 45-7	P 21	L1	# 267	CI 45 SC Lusted, Kent	Table 45-10	P 21 Intel	L 1	# 269
		Comment Status A Control 2 register bit definiti	ons" does not lis	<i>bucket</i> t the new PMDs in the	Comment Type receive fault SuggestedRemed	description locati	mment Status A on table does not list	the new PHY typ	<i>bucket</i> es in 802.3bj project.
	e entry 101100 =	reserved for future use			Add the follow	wing entries to the	e end of the table:		
101100 101101 101110	e following entries) = 100GBASE-C = 100GBASE-K) = 100GBASE-K = reserved for fu	R4 PMA/PMD R4 PMA/PMD P4 PMA/PMD			100GBASE-H 100GBASE-H <i>Response</i> ACCEPT.	KP4 94.3.9	ponse Status C		
Response		Response Status C			C/ 45 SC Lusted, Kent	45.2.1.8	P 21 Intel	L 1	# 270
	PT IN PRINCIPLE e 1011xx = reser	 ved for future use			Comment Type		mment Status A	8bh draft 3.1 does	bucket not list the new 802.3bj
101100 101101 101110) = 100GBASE-K = 100GBASE-K) = 100GBASE-C = reserved for fu	P4 PMA/PMD R4 PMA/PMD			"The transmit disable functi	e end of the first t disable function	for 100GBASE-CR4 E-KR4 is described i		2.7.6. The transmit Insmit disable function for
C/ 45 Lusted, Ker	SC Table 45-9	P21	L1	# 268	Response ACCEPT.		ponse Status C		
	nit fault descriptio	Comment Status A n location table does not lis	t the new PHY ty	bucket vpes in 802.3bj project.	CI 45 SC	Table 45-15	P21	L1	# 271
Suggestedl Add the	-	to the end of the table:			Lusted, Kent Comment Type	TR Co	Intel mment Status A		bucket
100GB	ASE-CR4 92.7.	10			51	MA/PMD extende		definitions table d	oes not have entries for
	ASE-KR4 93.7.′ ASE-KP4 94.3.8				SuggestedReme	dy			
100GB					Add entries for	or 100GBASE-CI	R4, 100GBASE-KR4	, and 100GBASE-	KP4 in place of
100GB Response ACCEF	от	Response Status C			1.13.14:12.				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P 21 L 1 # 272 Lusted, Kent Intel	C/ 92 SC 92.10.2 P135 L17 # 274 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
Comment Type TR Comment Status A bucket 40G/100G PMA/PMD extended ability register big definitions subclauses do not have entries for the new 802.3bj PHY types.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A In Table 92-10-Maximum cable assembly insertion loss characteristics the maximum fitted insertion loss coefficients a1, a2, and a4 are TBD's
SuggestedRemedy Add entries for 100GBASE-CR4, 100GBASE-KR4, and 100GBASE-KP4 between 45.2.1.12.1 and 45.2.1.12.2. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy Replace TBD's with a1=4.28, a2=0.326, and a4=0.0185 Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
C/ 92 SC 92.8.3 P 120 L # 273 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications MC Communications # 273	Use suggested remedy. Cl 92 SC 92.8.4.2 P131 L7 # 275 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Resolution to D1.0 comment 273 to Populate Table 92-5 with the values in diminco_01_0712.pdf slide 4 with the following exceptions.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 92-8-100GBASE-CR4 interference tolerance parameters includes TBD parameters and TBD equation references.
 a) Values that are explicitly defined by other comments. b) DC common-mode voltage (max.) is set to 1.9. Should have indicated to use diminico_01_0712.pdf slide 4 Equations 92-1, 92-2 and 92-3. 	SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf provides parameters for Table 92-8-100GBASE-CR4 interference tolerance TBD and related parameters.
SuggestedRemedy Use diminico_01_0712.pdf slide 4 Equations 92-1, 92-2 and 92-3 for D1.1 Equations 92-1, 92 2 and 92-3.	Per remedy D1.0 comment#275 The desired test cases are, at least:
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Test 1: Test channel (host TX plus cable assembly) with the maximum insertion loss that is permitted with the maximum noise (ICN) level allowed for a channel. Test 2: Test channel with maximum insertion loss allowed for the host TX plus cable assme with the maximum noise (ICN) at that loss.
Straw poll #13: For host return loss, use ghiasi_3bj_01a_0912, slide 9, "Host_RLprop" Agree 13 Disagree 1	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Therefore, incorporate ghiasi_3bj_01a_0912, slide 9, "Host_RLprop". In addition change receiver return loss limit Equation (92-16) to match.	Straw poll #6 Replace TBD values in Table 92-8 per diminico_3bj_01a_0912 slide 6. Agree 27
Frequency range is 0.01 to 18.75 GHz.	Disagree 4

Cl 92A SC 92A.8 DiMinico, Christopher	P 211 MC Communio	L 41 cations	# 276	Cl 92 SC 92 DiMinico, Christophe		P 141 MC Communi	L 44 cations	# 278
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R psstalk RMS noise voltage of t		quation (92A-6) and	Comment Type	R Commetest fixtures inse	ent Status R		2-35 and illustration in
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy				
diminico_0912.pdf prov in Equation (92A-6).	vides the total integrated cross	stalk RMS noise	voltage of the channel		df provides the 92 and illustration in		t fixtures insertio	n loss Equations (92-
Response	Response Status C			Response	Respon	se Status C		
REJECT.				REJECT.				
covered in the cited pre	uation (92A-6) is TBD but the esentation, see diminico_3bj_(P128		# 277	fixture insertion l Agree 8 Disagree 4		ia_0912, silue 9, 3	י געענענענענענענענענענענענענאנע	" as the mated test
•	MC Communio	cations						neter will remain TBD
DiMinico, Christopher Comment Type TR 92.8.3.7 Test fixture ref	Comment Status A					us to adopt the properties to adopt the properties of an		
Comment Type TR 92.8.3.7 Test fixture ref SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A ference insertion loss 92-15 is	TBD.			continue on the 10.9.2		appropriate limit	
Comment Type TR 92.8.3.7 Test fixture ref SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf prov	Comment Status A ference insertion loss 92-15 is vides the test fixture reference Response Status C	TBD.	quation 92-15.	and the work wil <i>CI</i> 92 SC 92 DiMinico, Christophe <i>Comment Type</i>	r R Comm	P 142 P C Communi ent Status	appropriate limit <i>L</i> 35 cations	t.
Comment Type TR 92.8.3.7 Test fixture ref SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf prov Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Straw poll #12: TP2/TP ghiasi_3bj_01a_0912, diminico_3bj_01a_0912,	Comment Status A ference insertion loss 92-15 is vides the test fixture reference <i>Response Status</i> C E. ²³ test fixture insertion loss, us slide 7, "HCB_Loss2dB": 16	TBD.	quation 92-15.	and the work wil CI 92 SC 92 DiMinico, Christophe Comment Type 7 92.10.9.2 Mated TBD's. SuggestedRemedy	test fixtures 92.10 df provides 92.10 ure 92-15.	P 142 P 142 MC Communi ent Status A m loss Equation (9).9.2 Mated test fixe	<i>L</i> 35 <i>L</i> 35 cations 2-36) an illustrati	t. # [279
Comment Type TR 92.8.3.7 Test fixture ref SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf prov Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Straw poll #12: TP2/TP ghiasi_3bj_01a_0912, s	Comment Status A ference insertion loss 92-15 is vides the test fixture reference <i>Response Status</i> C E. ²³ test fixture insertion loss, us slide 7, "HCB_Loss2dB": 16	TBD.	quation 92-15.	and the work wil Cl 92 SC 92 DiMinico, Christophe Comment Type T 92.10.9.2 Mated TBD's. SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.p illustration in Fig Response	test fixtures 92.10 df provides 92.10 response	development of an P 142 MC Communi ent Status A m loss Equation (9)	<i>L</i> 35 <i>L</i> 35 cations 2-36) an illustrati	# 279
Comment Type TR 92.8.3.7 Test fixture ref SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf prov Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Straw poll #12: TP2/TP ghiasi_3bj_01a_0912, diminico_3bj_01a_0912, Neither: 2	Comment Status A ference insertion loss 92-15 is vides the test fixture reference <i>Response Status</i> C E. ²³ test fixture insertion loss, us slide 7, "HCB_Loss2dB": 16	TBD. insertion loss e		and the work wil <i>Cl</i> 92 <i>SC</i> 92 DiMinico, Christophe <i>Comment Type</i> 1 92.10.9.2 Mated TBD's. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> diminico_0912.p illustration in Fig	test fixtures 92.10 df provides 92.10 response	P 142 P 142 MC Communi ent Status A m loss Equation (9).9.2 Mated test fixe	<i>L</i> 35 <i>L</i> 35 cations 2-36) an illustrati	# 2 <u>79</u>

C/ 92 SC 92.10.9 P 143 L 24 # 280 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Image: Communication s Image: Communicat	C/ 92 SC 92.10.9.4 P 144 L 35 # 282 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications # 282
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Mated test fixtures common-mode return loss specification not included in the draft. SuggestedRemedy Add Mated test fixtures common-mode return loss subclause 92.10.9.3 and Equation (92-xx and illustration in Figure 92-xx. diminico_0912.pdf provides the 92.10.9.3 Mated test fixtures common-mode return loss Equation (92-xx) an illustration in Figure 92-xx. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Specify common-mode return loss per diminico_3bj_01a_0912, slide 18. C/ 92 SC 92.10.9.3 P 143 L 25 # 281 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. - Cl 92 SC 92.8.3.3 P123 L17 # 283 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Comment Type TR Comment Status A
Comment Type TR Comment Status A 92.10.9.3 Mated test fixtures common-mode conversion loss Equation (92-37) an illustration in Figure 92-16 are TBD's. SuggestedRemedy Guide Conversion Conversio	The parameters for the pulse fit and the equalizing filter given in Table 92-6 are TBD's SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf provides values for TBD parameters for the pulse fit and the equalizin filter given in Table 92-6
loss Equation (92-37) an illustration in Figure 92-16. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Set the mated test fixture common-mode conversion loss limit to:	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace TBDs with values proposed in diminico_3bj_01a_0912 slide 4.

14 from 14 to 18.75 GHz

C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.4 P 126 L 21 # [284] DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications	C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.2 P 121 L 10 # 286 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Insertion loss TP0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 equation 92-14 and Figure 92-4 are TBD's SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Values are provided for TBD's for two reference channels; a "low-loss" cable assembly wi insertion loss on the reference pair of TBD dB ± TBD dB at 12.8906 GHz and a "high-loss" cable assembly with insertion loss on the reference pair of
diminico_0912.pdf provides equation for 92-14 and figure for 92-4.	TBD dB \pm TBD dB at 12.8906 GHz.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf provides the values for TBD's of the two reference channels.
Straw poll #11: Host mated insertion loss limit, use: ghiasi_3bj_01a_0912, slide 9, "SDD21_1289G": 16 diminico_3bj_01a_0912, slide 7: 1 Neither: 1	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Straw poll #5: Replace TBDs with values proposed in diminico_3bj_01a_0912 slide 4.
Therefore, incorporate ghiasi_3bj_01a_0912, slide 9, SDD21_1289G. C/ 92 SC 92.10.7 P 139 L 38 # [285]	Agree 22 Disagree 3 Therefore, replace TBDe with voluce proposed in diminise, 2hi, 016, 0042 clide 4
DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications	Therefore, replace TBDs with values proposed in diminico_3bj_01a_0912 slide 4.
Comment Type TR Comment Status A The total integrated crosstalk RMS noise voltage determined by Equation (92-32) and Figure 92-11 are TBD's.	C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.5 P 133 L 30 # 287 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications MC Communications # 287
SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf provides the total integrated crosstalk RMS noise voltage Equation (92-32) and Figure 92-11.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A The low frequency 3 dB cutoff of the AC coupling is TBD.
Response C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy The low frequency 3 dB cutoff of the AC coupling shall be less than 50 kHz.
Straw poll #7: Replace Equation (92-32) with the equation from diminico_3bj_01a_0912 slide 10. Agree 18 Disagree 3	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Therefore, replace Equation (92-32) with the equation from diminico_3bj_01a_0912 slide 10.	See #396.

C/ 92 SC 92.10.8	P 140	L34	# 288	C/ 92	SC 92.8.3.3	P 123	L10	# 290
DiMinico, Christopher	MC Communi	ications		DiMinico,	Christopher	MC Commu	unications	
Equation (92-33). SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A loard insertion loss is given in wides Equation (9-33). <i>Response Status</i> C LE.			from s TBD TBD× Suggeste	de values fot TBE step 3) divided by V. The peak of th Steady state volt dRemedy values for these p	Comment Status A o's. The Steady state voltag v M from step 3), shall be gr e linear fit pulse response f age. arameters in Table 93-4-Su <i>Response Status</i> C	eater than TBD V rom step 3) shall b	and less than or equal to be greater than
Incorporate ghiasi_3b fixture insertion loss. Frequency range is 0.	i_01a_0912, slide 7, "MCB_Lc 01 to 18.75 GHz. 	L 34	ence cable assembly test # 289	Repla	EPT IN PRINCIPL ace TBDs with va SC 92.8.3.3. Christopher	lues proposed in diminico_3	L 54	e 4. # 291
DiMinico, Christopher	MC Communi	ications		Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		
m cable assembly and SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A he channel insertion loss betw a maximum host channel is T		5 representative of a 0.5	the ra Suggeste	tio (c(0)+c(1)-c(- dRemedy ico_0912.pdf pro	1))/(c(0)+c(1)+c(-1)) is TBD vides ratio TBD. <i>Response Status</i> C	±10%	
alminico_0912.pat pro Response	wides Equation (92A-4). <i>Response Status</i> C				EPT IN PRINCIPL	,		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE.			[Char	nged "," to "." in S	ubcl field for more consiste	nt sorting.]	
	ssembly loss specification (un ILcamin=8 dB per diminico_3		I replace TBD*ILcamax in			ies per diminico_3bj_01a_0 iated with comment #293).	912 slide 5 (the s	pecifications in question

C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.3.2 P 124 L 7 # 292 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications	C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.2.4 P 132 L 44 # 295 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
The change in the normalized amplitude of coefficient c(i) corresponding to a request to "increment" that coefficient is TBD. The change in the normalized amplitude of coefficient c(i)corresponding to a request to "decrement" that coefficient is TBD.	The pattern generator output amplitude is TBD. The rise and fall times of the pattern generator, as defined in 72.7.1.7, are TBD ps. Equati (92-17) is TBD.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
diminico_0912.pdf provides TBD's.	diminico_0912.pdf provides TBD's.
Response Response Status C	Proposed Response Response Status Z
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	REJECT.
Set TBD value per diminico_3bj_01a_0912 slide 5 (note that there are two sections related to comment #292. This comment refers to the first).	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
·	CI 92A SC 92A.4 P209 L12 # 296
C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.3.3 P124 L 21 # [293] DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications	Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom
	Comment Type ER Comment Status R
Comment Type TR Comment Status A The ratio $(c(0) - c(1))/(c(0) + c(1))$ is TBD.	0.184(xyz) euqation not clear
The ratio $(c(0) - c(-1))/(c(0) + c(-1))$ is TBD.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	0.184x(xyz)
diminico_0912.pdf provides TBD's.	Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C	REJECT.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Coefficient without multiplication operator chosen as style in 802.3ba and used in 802.3bj.
Set TBD related to $(c(0) - c(1))/(c(0) + c(1))$ to 4.	CI 92A SC 92A.4 P209 L12 # 297
Set TBD related to $(c(0) - c(-1))/(c(0) + c(-1))$ to 1.54.	Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom
See diminco_3bj_01a_0912 (actually listed as the response to #292).	Comment Type TR Comment Status R
	Min loss equation stop at 18.75 GHz
C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.3.4 P124 L 35 # 294 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications MC P124 L 35 # 294	SuggestedRemedy range should be 0.01 to 18.75 GHz
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Response Response Status C
The value of M is TBD	REJECT.
SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf provides TBD.	The range is 0.01 to 18.75 GHz. There was no consensus to modify the range.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Set TBD value per diminico_3bj_01a_0912 slide 5.	
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/	/general Comment ID 297 Page 77 of 1

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 77 of 137 9/27/2012 7:31:25 AM

<i>Cl</i> 89 <i>SC</i> 1 Ghiasi, Ali	P 30 Broadcom	L 10	# 298	<i>CI</i> 89 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 6.3	P 37 Broadcom	L 36	# 300
	Comment Status D nar need to be added inclduing operability or BER objecctive	g the fact VSR20	<i>bucket</i> 000-3R2 does not have	to requi	e transmitter o re FR receive	Comment Status D center wavelength at 1550 nm c r be dual wavelength. If the res	son to add 131	0 nm band for some
used in ITU-T G.693 [E	nis clause therefore use a simi 3x1] and not recomended for r or BER other 40GBASE-R PI	euse as it does		SONET interope SuggestedF	VSR method erability as IEE Remedy	ted for lower power and cost bu lology is not recommended for r EE specifications.		
Proposed Response	Response Status Z				e the 1310 nm			
REJECT.				Proposed R	'	Response Status Z		
This comment was WI	THDRAWN by the commenter	r.		REJEC	Γ.			
C/ 89 SC 5.1	P34	L33	# 299	This co	mment was W	/ITHDRAWN by the commenter		
Ghiasi, Ali	Broadcom			C/ 89	SC 6.3	P37	L 46	# 301
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		bucket	Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom		
	TP1 and TP4 are not applicat	ble as they are n	ot currenity defined	Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D		bucke
SuggestedRemedy				Receive	er jitter toleran	nce test method missing		
Remove TP1 and TP4 Add XLAUI interface to	the PMA			SuggestedF	Remedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status Z			Add rec	eiver jitter tole	erance		
REJECT.				Proposed R REJEC		Response Status Z		
This comment was wi	THDRAWN by the commenter			This co	mment was W	/ITHDRAWN by the commenter		
				<i>Cl</i> 89 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 7.10	P 42 Broadcom	L 4	# 302
					eiver jitter tole	Comment Status D eance here is unstress which is	different than 8	<i>bucke</i> 02.3 and note should be
				added t	o clarify			
				SuggestedF	Remedy			
				Add not	e receiver jitte	er tolerance is unstress		
				Proposed R REJEC	•	Response Status Z		
				This co	nment was W	/ITHDRAWN by the commenter		

C/ 89 SC 9	P 4	L17	# 303	Cl 92 SC 92.8.3 P120 L 36 # 306
Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR	Broadcom Comment Status D		bucket	Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status
Definition and test met	hod for dispersion is missing			It has not been shown thant allowing DJ to max out at 0.28 it will not have severe impact o the link
SuggestedRemedy Add definition and test	method			SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response	Response Status Z			Add line with max deterministic jitter =0.15 UI
REJECT.				Response Response Status C REJECT.
This comment was WI	THDRAWN by the commenter.			As similar concept was discussed in context of Clause 93. There was lack of consensus to implement suggested remedy. Given the relationship between Clauses 92 and 93, this
C/ 89 SC 9 Ghiasi, Ali	P 4 Broadcom	L 19	# 304	response is intended to make them consistent.
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		bucket	See comment #321.
Test method for DGD is	s missing			C/ 92 SC 92.8.3 P 120 L 36 # 307 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom
SuggestedRemedy Add test method				Comment Type TR Comment Status R
Proposed Response	Response Status Z			Why are we introducing effective random jitter instead of classical definition of the random jitter
REJECT. This comment was WI	THDRAWN by the commenter.			SuggestedRemedy Replace efective random jitter with random jitter
C/ 92 SC 92.7.1 Ghiasi, Ali	P 116 Broadcom	L 53	# 305	Response Response Status C REJECT.
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		bucket	See comment#322.
Cable output test point SuggestedRemedy				C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.1 P 120 L 52 # 308 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom
Repalce TP3 with TP4 Response	Response Status C			Comment Type TR Comment Status A Transmitter RL is TBD
REJECT.				SuggestedRemedy
Line 53 TP3 is for rece	iver measurements.			RL= 12 - 0.5*f for 0.05 to 8 GHz = 5.67 - 9.71*log10(f/14e9) 8 GHz to 25.78 GHz
				Response Response Status C
				ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
				See comment #273.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Ghiasi, Ali	A P126 Broadcom	L 22	# 309	C/ 92 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 92.8.4.1	P 130 Broadcom	L 33	# 311
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		
Maximum insertion	loss mask is TBD					turn loss and high freq porti		pecified to match the
SuggestedRemedy				•		when cascaded with mate	d board	
Max insertion loss is				Suggested	-		n nood to be C.4.4	2 *100/1/12 75)
IL(f)=-0.3144 + 1.53	1*f+0.085*sqrt(f)+0.0173*f^2			To rem	ove the jump th	e 10.31 to 25 GHz equtatio		3 109(1/13.75)
also graph the abov	e for figure 92-4				lefinition would			
Response	Response Status C				*f/1E9 0.05 to 8 .71*log(f/14e9)	8 to 25.78 GHz		
ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.			Response	- 3()	Response Status C		
See comment#284.				ACCEF	T IN PRINCIPI	•		
C/ 92 SC 92.8.3	.8 <i>P</i> 129	L7	# 310	See co	nment #273.			
Ghiasi, Ali	Broadcom			C/ 92	SC 92.8.4.5	P133	L 28	# 312
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R			Ghiasi, Ali	30 92.0.4.5	Broadcom	L 20	# 312
Effective random jith	ter is introduced in this standard	based on dual-di	rc method, depending or	Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status A		
the amount of DJ R	J can varry.				51	s only required when AC co	unling is part of se	norable interface
SuggestedRemedy				otherwi	se the receiver	should just meet BER	dpling is part of se	
	limit random noise / unbonunded PN9, where the RMS noise is th			Suggested	Remedy			
	lue is 0.01 UI (RMS)	e average of the	nong and raining eage			"It is recomended that the		
Response	Response Status C			of plug target E		ut when the AC coupling is	part of the receive	function the receiver n
REJECT.				Response		Response Status C		
The Suggested Rer	nedy would limit uncorrelated jitt	er but not necess	arily random or		T IN PRINCIPI	,		
unbounded jitter.								
The outrie fit proper	lure is based on the assumption	that the offective	P I has a Caussian			e 26 "AC coupling shall be p ectors." Replace sentence i		
	on that is not bounded (at least to					coupled; the coupling capac		
proposed technique	does not make this distinction.			See ele	o #10171.			
	od that this methodology is not p	erfect. and may r	not give a precise	See als	0#10171.			
While it is understoo			ly for many years as a					
measure of actual ra								
measure of actual ra	er on high-speed serial links.							
measure of actual ra means to control jitt The committee shou	er on high-speed serial links. uld consider this, but it is sugges							
measure of actual ra means to control jitt The committee shou comprehensive jitte	er on high-speed serial links. uld consider this, but it is sugges r measurement methodology as							
measure of actual ra means to control jitt The committee shou	er on high-speed serial links. uld consider this, but it is sugges r measurement methodology as							

Cl 92 SC Ghiasi, Ali	92.8.4.5	P 133 Broadcom	L 29	# 313	<i>Cl</i> 92 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 92.10.4	P 137 Broadcom	L 3	# 315
	TR ding capacitor to specify the	Comment Status R value in the case of plug a 3 dB cutoff.	and leaving it to t	he reciver function there		s jump in the RL	Comment Status A		
SuggestedReme Remove 3 d	-				Suggested Change		0(f/5.5) from 4.1 to 25 GHz		
Response REJECT.		Response Status C			Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
	is specified to	be in the plug connector a	and is associated	with the cable assembly		e 16.2-2sqrt(f) 0 5-2sqrt(f) 0.05=f			
The low freq	uency 3 dB fr	equency cutoff is to charac	terize AC couplir	<u> </u>		e 10.59-13log10 3-13log10(f/5.5)	(f/5.5) 4.1=f=25 4.1=f=25		
C/ 92 SC Ghiasi, Ali	92.10	P 134 Broadcom	L 14	# 314	C/ 92	SC 92.10.8	P 140	L 34	# 316
Comment Type It is not help	TR full to specify	Comment Status A just a point for RL in the tal	ole 92-9		Ghiasi, Ali Comment T		Broadcom Comment Status A		
		reference to 92.10.4 and ec	quation 92.24 an	d remove the "at 12.89	ILcat(t) Suggested	is missing Remedy			
GHz" Response		Response Status C				= 1.25 * (-0.001 nas loss of 1.25	+0.096*sqrt(f)+0.046*f^2) dB at 14 GHz		
ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.				Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
		nd not the specification. Th normatively and completel			See res	sponse commer	nt #288.		
However, co	prrect the retur	n loss cross- reference for	m 92.10.5 to 92.1	10.4 in Table 92-9.	<i>Cl 92</i> Ghiasi, Ali	SC 92.10.8	P 140 Broadcom	L 34	# 317
					Comment T	<i>Type</i> TR) of the HCB is r	Comment Status A		
					Suggested	Remedy	-		
					ILcat(f)		or HCB then add following +0.096*sqrt(f)+0.046*f^2) dB at 14 GHz		
					Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
					See co	mment #277.			

Cl 92 SC 92.10.9.4 Ghiasi, Ali	P 141 Broadcom	L 50	# 318	Cl 92 SC 92.10.9 Ghiasi, Ali	9.3 P143 Broadcom	L 35	# 320
Comment Type TR Mated test fixture max	Comment Status R and min loss are missing			Comment Type TR Coversion loss is TB	Comment Status A		
ILMTFmax=(-0.114 + 0 = 4.5 - 0.66*f for		14 GH		SuggestedRemedy SCDxx= -35+1.07*f = -20 dB for 14 to Response	25.78 GHz Response Status C		
Response REJECT. See #278.	Response Status C			ACCEPT IN PRINCI See comment #281.	PLE.		
Cl 92 SC 92.10.9.2 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR	P142 Broadcom Comment Status A	L 34	# <u>319</u>	Cl 93 SC 93.8.1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR There is insufficent p	P 157 Broadcom Comment Status R proof that DJ can be remove with	L 33 hout some penal	# 321
RL= 20 -f for 0.01 to 4 = 18 - 0.5* f for 4 GH	he graph but the propsoed limi GHz	ts are		DJ =0.28 and RJ eff SuggestedRemedy Add line with max de Response REJECT.	ective = 0! eterminsitic jitter = 0.15 UI <i>Response Status</i> C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C .E.			[Changed Subcl fron Table 93-4).]	n 8.1.1 to 93.8.1 for more consis	stent sorting (the	comment is against
Incorporate ghiasi_3bj loss limit. Frequency range is 0.0	_01a_0912, slide 9, "MCB_HC 01 to 18.75 GHz.	B_RL3" as the r	nated test fixture return	Straw poll #3 Do you support sugg Yes 0 No 10	m and suggested remedy was o gested remedy? p implement suggested remedy.		task force.
				The commenter is in to present to the task	vited to quantify the problem an < force.	nd build consensu	us on a detailed remedy

Ghiasi, Ali	SC 92.8.1.1	P 157 Broadcom	L 32	# 322	<i>Cl</i> 94 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 94.3.11	P 18 Broade		4 # [324
Comment T Why are		Comment Status R new jitter term "Effectve rand	lom jitter"		Comment Ty Different		Comment Status on mode RL TBD	A		TX return loss
SuggestedF Replace	•	om jitter with "random jitter"			SuggestedRe Please u	•	imits as in table 93-4 (equation 93-1 ar	nd 93-2)	
Response REJEC	т.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIP	Response Status _E.	с		
assump	otion that the jitte	s added in recognition that the er distribution is Gaussian but true random jitter on the link (in fact says noth	ning about its randomnes		d sub-clause ment #10108	from 3.11 to 94.3.11.]			
the dete	erministic jitter, d	derived from the same method	d, as "effective D	J").	C/ 92A Ghiasi, Ali	SC 92A.4	P 20 Broade		.8 # [325
Cl 93 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 93.8.3	P164 Broadcom	L 4	# 323	Comment Ty Max loss		<i>Comment Status</i> o at 18.75 GHz	R		
frequen but for 2 SuggestedF Replace frequen	o we specify hard icy is function of 25.78 GBd the A Remedy e " Low frequenc icy 3 dB cutoff of	Comment Status R d limit for the AC coupling to b the receiver. Why is it for 10. C coupling 3 dB is getting sm cy 3 dB cutoff of the AC coupling f the AC coupling is implement aseline wander does not indu	125 Gbd the cut aller instead of la ing shall be less itation dependen	toff freq was 100 KHz arger! than 50 KHz" with "Low at the 3 dB cutoff should	Response REJECT	ould be 0.01	to 18.75 GHz Response Status 8.75 GHz. There was r		modify the range.	
Response										
	т	Response Status C								
REJEC In respo be part (Chann 40GBA 10GBA capacitu frequen	onse to commen of the channel. I el characteristics SE-CR4, 100GB SE-KR (and 40G ors be limited to ncy would be abo rable value would	Response Status C tts against Draft 1.0, the AC co Per comment #488, this speci s). The value of 50 kHz was ta BASE-CR10, and 100GBASE- GBASE-KR4) recommend that 100 nF. Assuming 50 Ohm so but 15.9 kHz. Accounting for the d be about 40 kHz. In fact the	ification will be m aken from a com CR4 cable asser t the maximum v ource and load in he 2.5X increase	noved under 93.9 parable specification on mblies. alue of the AC-coupling mpedances, the cut-off e in signaling rate, a						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Ghiasi, Ali	4.3.13	P 196 Broadcom	L 23	# 326	C/ 80 Estes, Dav	SC 80.1.2	P 42 UNH - IOL	L17	# 328	
Why do we spe	ecify hard lim	Comment Status R it for the AC coupling to to receiver. Why is it for 10			Comment T In the p	51	Comment Status R ves were updated not deleted.			
		oupling 3 dB is getting sn			Suggested	Remedy				
SuggestedRemedy	/				Update	the objectives	to include the new PHY types	and the support	for EEE and RS-FI	EC.
frequency 3 dB	3 cutoff of the	dB cutoff of the AC coup AC coupling is impleme line wander does not indu	entation depender	nt the 3 dB cutoff should	Response REJEC		Response Status C			
Response	R	esponse Status C				expressed sup radition for proj	port for deleting the objective ects in 802.3.	s clause with the	intent that it should	l start
REJECT.					C/ 81	SC 81.1	P 55	L 22	# 329	
[Changed sub-c	clause from	3.13 to 94.3.13.]			Estes, Dav		UNH - IOL			B
addition, the red	commended	pecified as part of the ch maximum value of the A off frequency of 15.9 kHz	AC coupling capa		Comment 7 Figure		Comment Status R			
		e channel, as specifications the degree of baseline to be the degree of baseline to be a seline to be a selinet			Suggested	Remedy	e same as NOTE 2 nange all references to be NO	TF 1		
See also comm	nent #408 wł	nich moves this text to the	e channel specifi	cation subclause.	Response		Response Status C			
CI 78 SC 78	8.1.4	P38	L 5	# 327	REJEC	т				
Estes, Dave		UNH - IOL								
				bucket		h the comment d during the re	t is correct, the consolidation ovision.	of the 2 notes ma	y be more easily	
51	E (Comment Status A						1	11 222	
Table 78-1					C/ 81	SC 81.1.5	P 55	L 28	# 330	1
Table 78-1 Most PHY types	es list the PC	S and PMA/PMD clauses	s that they are as	sociated with. The PCS	C/ 81 Estes, Dave		Р 55 UNH - IOL	L 28	# 330	
Table 78-1 Most PHY types is not listed for 2	es list the PC XGXS or 10	S and PMA/PMD clauses	s that they are as	sociated with. The PCS	Estes, Dave Comment	ype E	UNH - IOL Comment Status A	L 28	# [330	40G
Table 78-1 Most PHY types is not listed for 2 SuggestedRemedy	es list the PC XGXS or 10	S and PMA/PMD clauses	·		Estes, Dave Comment	ype E	UNH - IOL	L 28	# [<u>330</u>	40G
Table 78-1 Most PHY types is not listed for 2 SuggestedRemedy For XGXS list "4 Response	es list the PC XGXS or 10 / '47, 48" and	S and PMA/PMD clauses 00BASE-KX.	·		Estes, Davi Comment T Bullet p Suggested	ype E oint g) does no Remedy	UNH - IOL Comment Status A		# [<u>330</u>	40G
Table 78-1 Most PHY types is not listed for 2 SuggestedRemedy For XGXS list	es list the PC XGXS or 10 / '47, 48" and	S and PMA/PMD clauses 00BASE-KX. for 1000BASE-KX list "70	·		Estes, Dave Comment T Bullet p Suggested Change Response	ype E oint g) does no Remedy	UNH - IOL Comment Status A it include XLGMII may" to "The XLGMII/CGMII m Response Status C		# [<u>330</u>	40G
Table 78-1 Most PHY types is not listed for 2 SuggestedRemedy For XGXS list "4 Response	es list the PC XGXS or 10 / '47, 48" and	S and PMA/PMD clauses 00BASE-KX. for 1000BASE-KX list "70	·		Estes, Dave Comment T Bullet p Suggested Change Response ACCEF	ype E oint g) does no Remedy ^a "The CGMII m T IN PRINCIPI	UNH - IOL Comment Status A it include XLGMII may" to "The XLGMII/CGMII m Response Status C	ay"	# <u> 330</u>	40G
Table 78-1 Most PHY types is not listed for 2 SuggestedRemedy For XGXS list " Response ACCEPT.	s list the PC XGXS or 10 ' '47, 48" and <i>R</i>	S and PMA/PMD clauses 00BASE-KX. for 1000BASE-KX list "70 <i>Response Status</i> C	0, 36" instead of '		Estes, Dave Comment T Bullet p Suggested Change ACCEF Change	ype E oint g) does no Remedy ^a "The CGMII m T IN PRINCIPI	UNH - IOL Comment Status A at include XLGMII hay" to "The XLGMII/CGMII m Response Status C LE. III and CGMII may" - see com	ay"	# <u>330</u> Page 84 o	

C/ 78 Se Estes, Dave	C 78.1	Р 37 UNH - IOL	L 30	# 331		C/ 81 Estes, Dave	SC 81.3.4	<i>Р</i> 58 UNH - IOL	L 33	# 333
Comment Type The paragr SuggestedRem	aph does not	Comment Status A t mention 10BASE-Te, 40GB/	SE-CR4, or 40	GBASE-KR4	40G		transmitting L	Comment Status R F, the RS could be sending M d go back to sending MAC dat		Idle. After receiving
Add these I Response	-	respoective positions in the p <i>Response Status</i> C E.	baragraph				e "When this L "When this L	ocal Fault status reaches an R ocal Fault status reaches an R		
	108 C 78.5	P 38	L 44	# 332		operatio	on, sending M	S no longer receives fault state AC data or LPI." to "When the to normal operation, sending M	RS no longer re	ceives fault status
SuggestedRem	uded from Fa	UNH - IOL Comment Status A ast wake? supported for EEE then add 4	0 Gb/s to this pa	aragraph.	40G	being s	ase standard	Response Status C for all RS clauses, the term "M IAC - payload data, IFG, etc. T		
Response ACCEPT IN See #109		Response Status C E.				C/ 81 Estes, Dave Comment T		.1 P 60 UNH - IOL Comment Status A	L 43	# 334
366 #109						SuggestedF Add XL Response		Response Status C		

Change CAUI to XLAUI and CAUI

C/ 81 SC 81.3a.3.1 P 61 L 29 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL	# 335	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.2 P 68 L 29 # 338 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL	
Comment Type E Comment Status A This subclause only references the CGMII and the CAUI		Comment Type E Comment Status A I There are three possible values for rx_mode I	LPI Rx
SuggestedRemedy Add references to the XLGMII and the XLAUI		SuggestedRemedy Change "four values" to "three values"	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Resolved by #117 & #118		Comment #82 reduces this to two values.	
C/ 81 SC 81.3a-2 P 61 L 8 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL	# 336	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.2 P 68 L 41 # 339 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL UNH - IOL Image: state	
Comment Type E Comment Status A Figure 81-10a	bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status A I The sentence is not gramatically correct	bucket
There is a period after "LPI_REQUEST=ASSERT" that should not be th SuggestedRemedy Remove the period	iere	SuggestedRemedy Change "When tx_mode is set to QUIET sublayer may go into a low power state" to "W tx_mode is set to QUIET the sublayer may go into a low power state" Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Vhen
There is a period after "LPI_REQUEST=ASSERT" that should not be th SuggestedRemedy Remove the period Response Response Status C ACCEPT. CI 82 SC 82.1.3 P63 L27	tere # <u>337</u>	SuggestedRemedy Change "When tx_mode is set to QUIET sublayer may go into a low power state" to "W tx_mode is set to QUIET the sublayer may go into a low power state" Response Response Status C	Vhen
There is a period after "LPI_REQUEST=ASSERT" that should not be th SuggestedRemedy Remove the period Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 82 SC 82.1.3 P63 L27 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL		SuggestedRemedy Change "When tx_mode is set to QUIET sublayer may go into a low power state" to "W tx_mode is set to QUIET the sublayer may go into a low power state" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 82 SC 82.2.18.2.3 P 69 L 27 # 340 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL Comment Type E Comment Status A The sentence is not gramatically correct	When bucket
There is a period after "LPI_REQUEST=ASSERT" that should not be th SuggestedRemedy Remove the period Response Response Status C ACCEPT. CI 82 SC 82.1.3 P63 L27 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL Comment Type E Comment Status R Figure 82-1 NOTE 1 will now be the same as NOTE 2		SuggestedRemedy Change "When tx_mode is set to QUIET sublayer may go into a low power state" to "W tx_mode is set to QUIET the sublayer may go into a low power state" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 82 SC 82.2.18.2.3 P 69 L 27 # 340 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL Comment Type E Comment Status A	
There is a period after "LPI_REQUEST=ASSERT" that should not be th SuggestedRemedy Remove the period Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 82 SC 82.1.3 P63 L27 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL Comment Type E Comment Status R Figure 82-1		SuggestedRemedy Change "When tx_mode is set to QUIET sublayer may go into a low power state" to "W tx_mode is set to QUIET the sublayer may go into a low power state" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 82 SC 82.2.18.2.3 P 69 L 27 # 340 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL Comment Type E Comment Status A H The sentence is not gramatically correct SuggestedRemedy Remove the comma to make the sentence "Note: A PCS that does not support EEE	

achieved during the revision.

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2. Estes, Dave	3 P70 UNH - IOL	L 5	# 341		C/ 80 Estes, Dave	SC 80.1.4	P 43 UNH - IOL	L 47	# 343
Comment Type E The sentence is not gra	Comment Status A matically correct		bı	ucket		rding is incor	Comment Status A rect because it implies that t y the PMA/PMD that does th		2-level PAM or multi-level
	make the sentence "Note: A ining one or more /LI/ contro				SuggestedR				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				coding s	sublayer for 4	GBASE-R represents a fami 40 Gb/s or 100 Gb/s operatio ding (see Clause 82) and a	n over multiple PC	S lanes based on
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2. Estes, Dave	5 <i>P</i> 70 UNH - IOL	L 32	# 342		modulat	tion (PAM).			
Comment Type E	Comment Status A se reference a variable calle	d [timer name] d		ucket	Gb/s op	eration over	sents Physical Layer devices multiple PCS lanes based o nting multi-level pulse ampli	n 64B/66B block en	coding (see Clause 82)
	e is gramatically incorrect.	a [Response		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy					ACCEP	T IN PRINCI	, PLE.		
	o [timer name]_done. For ex ie."	ample, line 38 sl	hould end with "it wil	l set	See also	o #449 & #23	3 for justification for other ch	anges.	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				Change	lines 47-53	to:		
					82 Phys Clause 8 100GBA 100GBA Sublaye impleme	sical Coding 3 82) and a PM ASE-R Physio ASE-P represent for 100 Gb, enting more t	GBASE-R represents a fami Sublayer for 40 Gb/s or 100 /ID implementing 2-level pul- cal Layer devices also use the sents Physical Layer devices /s operation over multiple P(han 2-level pulse amplitude ses also use the transcoding	Gb/s operation ove se amplitude modul ne transcoding and using the Clause & CS lanes (see Claus modulation (PAM).	r multiple PCŠ lanes (see ation (PAM). Some FEC of Clause 91. 32 Physical Coding se 82) and a PMD Some 100GBASE-P
					C/ 78	SC 78.5.2	P39	L 46	# 344
					Estes, Dave Comment Ty This sec	уре Т	UNH - IOL Comment Status A also include the XLAUI		40G
					SuggestedR Change	2	es of CAUI to XLAUI/CAUI		
					Response ACCEP	т.	Response Status C		
					See #11	13, 114			
TYPE: TR/technical require	d ER/editorial required GR/						Co	nment ID 344	Page 87 of 137

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

						ce review comments		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.8 Estes, Dave	3 a <i>P</i> 67 UNH - IOL	L 7	# 345	<i>Cl</i> 78 <i>SC</i> 7 Estes, Dave	8-5	Р 39 UNH - IOL	L 25	# 348
Comment Type T The Data state doe Receive State Diag SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A s not exist in the Figure 82-15 Re ram	ceive State Diag	<i>bucket</i> gram or Figure 82-17 LPI	Table 78-4 doe SuggestedRemedy	/	Comment Status A ude any LPI timing paramete	ers for 40G	40G
	RX_ACTIVE state and reference	Figure 82-17			g paramet	ers to table 78-4		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C	-		Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
	8.2.2 P68	L16	# 346	See #112				
Estes, Dave	UNH - IOL	- 10		<i>CI</i> 93 SC 9 Ben-Artsi, Liav	3.8.2.1	P 162 Marvell	L 26	# 349
Comment Type T The possible values	Comment Status A s for received_tx_mode are not de	efined		J	TR	Comment Status A eturn loss through an interco	nnectcan obfus	cate real chin return loss
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	values for received_tx_mode <i>Response Status</i> C CIPLE. values to be the same as for tx_r	node - i.e.		SuggestedRemedy	, e return lo RINCIPLE	ss according to presentatior Response Status C		
and may take the va	riable is inferred from the coding alues defined for tx_mode.	of the RAMs of		Cl 94 SC 9 Ben-Artsi, Liav	4.3.11.1.1	P 188 Marvell	L 20	# 350
Cl 78 SC 78.2 Estes, Dave Comment Type TR Table 78-2 doesn't SuggestedRemedy	P 39 UNH - IOL Comment Status A include EEE parameters for XLAU	L 1 JI/CAUI	# 347	Comment Type 100GBase-KP SuggestedRemedy Define test fixtu	,	Comment Status A re definition is TBD ons according to presentation	n (IL, ILD and re	TX test fixture eturn loss)
Add XLAUI/CAUI pa Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	arameters to table 78-2 Response Status C			Response ACCEPT IN PF See #135.	RINCIPLE	Response Status C		
	JI/CAUI, all parameters TBD.							

Cl 92 SC 92.8.1 P119 L22 # 351	Cl 93 SC 93.8.1.1 P157 L 26 # 354
Kochuparambil, Beth Cisco Systems	Kochuparambil, Beth Cisco Systems
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Comment Type E Comment Status R bucket
Does low-swing differential signaling really make you immune to noise?	For someone looking at the document for the first time, the labels "minimum precursor/post
SuggestedRemedy	cursor fullscale range" may be confusing since the description is of a ratio.
Use editorial license to avoid stating immunity.	SuggestedRemedy Change labels to phrasing similar to "minimum precursor ratio" with editorial license to adjust
Response Response Status C	terminology in section 93.8.1.6.5
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C
See comment #382.	REJECT.
C/ 92 SC 92.8.3 P120 L16 # 352	See comment #355.
Kochuparambil, Beth Cisco Systems	C/ 94 SC 94.3.11 P187 L 32 # 355
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Kochuparambil, Beth Cisco Systems
The label "Common-mode voltage limits" does not well define what the value represents.	Comment Type E Comment Status R bucket
SuggestedRemedy	For someone looking at the document for the first time, the labels "minimum precursor/post cursor fullscale range" may be confusing since the description is of a ratio.
Change label to "Common-mode voltage (max)" for better description and achieve commonality with other table items.	Suggested Remedy
Response Response Status C	Change labels to phrasing similar to "minimum precursor ratio" with editorial license to adjust
ACCEPT.	terminology in section 94.3.11.7.5
See comment #384.	Response Response Status C
	REJECT.
C/ 92 SC 92.8.3 P120 L29 # 353	The pre-cursor and post-cursor taps are adjustable from zero to some "full-scale" value. The
Kochuparambil, Beth Cisco Systems	parameter referred to by the commenter is specifically for the full-scale setting and is a
Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket	minimum value for that full-scale setting. The terminology in Table 94-4 accurately describes the parameter.
For someone looking at the document for the first time, the labels "minimum precursor/post cursor fullscale range" may be confusing since the description is of a ratio.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Suggested Remedy	Cl 92 SC 92.8.3.2 P122 L43 # 356
Change labels to phrasing similar to "minimum precursor ratio" with editorial license to adjust	Kochuparambil, Beth Cisco Systems
terminology in section 92.8.3.3.3	Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket
Response Response Status C	This paragraph references 100GBASE-KR with a section number then references 10GBASE-KR without a section. Perhaps one of these references is in error.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy
Use suggested remedy.	Use editorial license to correct to the intended reference.
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	0
	See comment #365.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 92 Kochupara	SC 92.8.3.4 ambil, Beth	P 126 Cisco Systems	L15	# 357	C/ 93 Kochupar	SC 93.8.1.1 rambil, Beth	P 157 Cisco Systems	L8	# 360
Comment		Comment Status A		bucket	Comment	,	Comment Status A		
Sectio	•••	P2 and TP3-TP5, yet the parag	raph starts v		The c	•••	peak-to-peak output voltage"	are most app	propriate for TP0, but table
Suggested	dRemedy				Suggeste	edRemedy			
Chang	ge opening senter	nce to include the receiver acco	rdingly.				mitter disabled to 24.95mV a		
Response		Response Status C					be used while adding a note tues given assume a 1.6dB te		f "Maximums are 30 and
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLI	E.			Response	9	Response Status C		
		easurements and tests defined Figure 92-5, or its equivalent.	in Table 92-	5 are made at TP2 or TP3		EPT IN PRINCIPL			
	ansmitter and rec 92-5, or its equiv	eiver measurements are made valent .	at TP2 or TF	P3 using the test fixture of	longe	er run lengths in the	ent #10143 changes the test p e proposed test pattern will be tion should not be reduced to	attenuated to	o a much lesser degree.
CI 92	SC 92.8.3.3.2	P 124	L 7	# 358	Leave	e the maximum tra	nsmitter enabled output level	as 1200 mV.	
Cochupara	ambil, Beth	Cisco Systems							
makin differe Suggestec Remo betwe Response	g the draft longer. ent? <i>dRemedy</i> ve first paragraph en paragraph anc	ady listed in Table 92-5, numbe Will Increment step size and o of this section (92.8.3.3.2). Us table in similar sections. Response Status C	lecrement st	ep size limitations really b	the fa	ar end receiver and	affected by the test fixture. The d will be subject to the higher l transmitter disabled maximun	oss of the cha	annel for the high
	92-5 provides sur	mmary of transmitter characteris	stics at TP2.	Subclause referenced in					
C/ 94	SC 94.3.6.1	P 184	L10	# 359					
Kochupara	ambil, Beth	Cisco Systems							
<i>Comment</i> Link d	51	Comment Status A e consistent amongst clauses 9	3 and 94.	bucket					
Suggested	-								
Chang	•	g 184), 94-5 (pg 188), and 94-9 I 93-3).	(pg 194) to	match the style of clause					
Response ACCE		Response Status C							
COMMEN	/technical required T STATUS: D/dis DER: Comment II	d ER/editorial required GR/ger patched A/accepted R/rejecte D	neral require d RESPO	d T/technical E/editorial G/g NSE STATUS: O/open W/wri	eneral tten C/closec	d Z/withdrawn	Comme	nt ID 360	Page 90 of 13 9/27/2012 7:3

Page 90 of 137 9/27/2012 7:31:25 AM

Kachuparamhil Both	P 187 Cisco Systen	L 14	# 361	Cl 94 SC 94.4 Kochuparambil, Beth	P 196 Cisco Syster	L 26	# 363
Kochuparambil, Beth		15		•		ns	
	Comment Status A eak-to-peak output voltage	e" are most appro	<i>TX signal</i> opriate for TP0, but table	Comment Type T Channel characteri	Comment Status A stics are incomplete.		channel parameter
license should be used w	ristics at TP0a. hitter disabled to TBD and [*] hile adding a note to the e sume a TBDdB test fixture	ffect of "Maximun	ns are 30 and 1200mV at	SuggestedRemedy See kochuparambi Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C			Add informative ret MHz.	urn loss limit from slide 3 of koc	huparambil_3bj_	01_0912 with fmin=50
The 1200 mV value is ap	propriate for TP0. Based c 13 GHz, ILD peak of 0.1 dl			C/ 92A SC 92A.7 Dudek, Mike	P 211 QLogic	L 21	# 364
	of the square wave output			Comment Type ER Weird characters.	Comment Status A		bucke
				0			
C .	output limit from 1200 mV			SuggestedRemedy Change to GHz.			
The 30 mVpp limit is base affected by the test fixture end receiver and will be s	ed on a signal that will be l e (see above). The intent c subject to the higher loss o	proadband in natu of this is to minimi f the channel for t	ure and is not significantly ize the signal at the far	SuggesteaRemeay Change to GHz. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C CIPLE.		
The 30 mVpp limit is base affected by the test fixture end receiver and will be s	ed on a signal that will be l e (see above). The intent o subject to the higher loss o abled maximum voltage as	proadband in natu of this is to minimi f the channel for t	ure and is not significantly ize the signal at the far	Change to GHz. Response	•		
The 30 mVpp limit is base affected by the test fixture end receiver and will be s Leave the transmitter disa	ed on a signal that will be l e (see above). The intent o subject to the higher loss o abled maximum voltage as	proadband in natu of this is to minimi f the channel for t	ure and is not significantly ize the signal at the far	Change to GHz. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	CIPLE.	L 43	# 365
The 30 mVpp limit is base affected by the test fixture end receiver and will be s Leave the transmitter disa [common with 92 and 93] See also comments 1014 C/ 93 SC 93.9 Kochuparambil, Beth	ed on a signal that will be le e (see above). The intent of subject to the higher loss of abled maximum voltage as 13, 367, and 360. P164 Cisco System	broadband in natu of this is to minimi f the channel for t is is.	ure and is not significantly ize the signal at the far	Change to GHz. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC See comment#19. C/ 92 SC 92.8.3 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T Stating that the tes	3.2 P30		
The 30 mVpp limit is base affected by the test fixture end receiver and will be s Leave the transmitter disa [common with 92 and 93] See also comments 1014 <i>Cl</i> 93 <i>SC</i> 93.9 Kochuparambil, Beth <i>Comment Type</i> T	ed on a signal that will be le e (see above). The intent of subject to the higher loss of abled maximum voltage as 13, 367, and 360. P164 Cisco System Comment Status A	broadband in natu of this is to minimi f the channel for t is is.	ure and is not significantly ize the signal at the far the high frequencies.	Change to GHz. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC See comment#19. C/ 92 SC 92.8.3 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T Stating that the tes	CIPLE. 3.2 P 30 QLogic Comment Status A t methodology of 10GBASE-KR		
The 30 mVpp limit is base affected by the test fixture end receiver and will be s Leave the transmitter disa [common with 92 and 93] See also comments 1014 <i>Cl</i> 93 <i>SC</i> 93.9 Kochuparambil, Beth <i>Comment Type</i> T Channel characteristics a <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> See kochuparambil_01_0	ed on a signal that will be le e (see above). The intent of subject to the higher loss of abled maximum voltage as 13, 367, and 360. P164 Cisco System Comment Status A are incomplete.	broadband in natu of this is to minimi f the channel for t is is.	ure and is not significantly ize the signal at the far the high frequencies.	Change to GHz. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC See comment#19. Cl 92 SC 92.8.3 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T Stating that the tes standard is unnece SuggestedRemedy Delete the sentenc introduces frequent	CIPLE. 3.2 P 30 QLogic <i>Comment Status</i> A t methodology of 10GBASE-KR ssary and not helpful. e "However, the signal path from cy-dependent loss and phase sh ly characterize equalizer perform	is not a good me n the transmit fur hift that distorts th	ethodology for this action to TP2 the signal and makes it
The 30 mVpp limit is base affected by the test fixture end receiver and will be s Leave the transmitter disa [common with 92 and 93] See also comments 1014 <i>Cl</i> 93 <i>SC</i> 93.9 Kochuparambil, Beth <i>Comment Type</i> T Channel characteristics a <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	ed on a signal that will be le e (see above). The intent of subject to the higher loss of abled maximum voltage as 13, 367, and 360. P164 Cisco System Comment Status A are incomplete. D912. Response Status C	broadband in natu of this is to minimi f the channel for t is is.	ure and is not significantly ize the signal at the far the high frequencies.	Change to GHz. Response ACCEPT IN PRINC See comment#19. C/ 92 SC 92.8.3 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T Stating that the tes standard is unnece SuggestedRemedy Delete the sentenc introduces frequence difficult to accurate	CIPLE. 3.2 P 30 QLogic <i>Comment Status</i> A t methodology of 10GBASE-KR ssary and not helpful. e "However, the signal path from cy-dependent loss and phase sh ly characterize equalizer perform	is not a good me n the transmit fur hift that distorts th	ethodology for this action to TP2 the signal and makes it

C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.8	P129	L13	# 366	C/ 94 Dudek Mike	SC 94.3.11.5		L 38	# 368
significant variation in t SuggestedRemedy Define J0 as 10^-5 and Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Change item a) to: "Measure the jitter Jn w	J1 as 10^-9. Response Status C	e of sampling ti	plation will lead to	SuggestedF Change ones, a "If the te sequen Response	ype T nsition time pro <i>Remedy</i> "If the test pat nd nine ones a est pattern is Pl	QLogic <i>Comment Status</i> A becedure is only really valid for tern is PRBS9, the transitions and five zeros, respectively," RBS9 transitioning between - s and four ones, and nine on <i>Response Status</i> C .E.	s within sequenc ' to ⊦1 and -1 levels,	es of five zeros and four the transitions within
where BER0 is 1E-9 ar 94 SC 94.3.11.3 Dudek, Mike	nd BER1 is 1E-5." P 188 QLogic	L 40	# 367	Add edi	tor's note: A su	itable pattern, methodology,	and values for tra	ansition time is needed.
Comment Type T	Comment Status A 4-6 only has 2 levels not 4. It	is not obvious w	TX signal	C/ 94 Dudek, Mike	SC 94.3.11.6	G P190 QLogic	L 5	# 369
referred to in the diagra SuggestedRemedy Change "For a square v		period, the peak	-to-peak differential	SuggestedF	tence is unclea emedy	Comment Status A ar (and gramatically wrong) ed distortion factor for of the	four levels shall l	<i>TX signa</i> be less than 0.06" to
	t pattern transitioning from that output voltage shall be less setting. Response Status C			Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPI	tion factor for each of the fou <i>Response Status</i> C .E. See comment #255.	r levels shall be	less than 0.06"
ACCEPT.								

	C 94.3.11.7.2	P 192	L18	# 370	CI 94		1.3.12.3	P 195	L28	# 372
Dudek, Mike		QLogic			Dudek, Mi	ke		QLogic		
Comment Type	э Т	Comment Status A		TX signal	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		bı
		appropriate for a PAM4 sig			FEC is	s always ι	used for F	PAM4 and there are only 2 tes	sts.	
	e PRBS9 signa of all the levels	al to this multi-level specifica	tion. The speci	fication should include th	Suggested	dRemedy				
SuggestedRen	nedy							ided for tests 1 and 2. FEC is tests 1 and 2.	s included for te	ests 3 and 4." to
Add an Ed	itors note box.				Response	,		Response Status C		
		be amended to be appropri t pattern (other than PRBS			ACCE	PT IN PR	INCIPLE			
PAM4 sign					FEC is	s mandato	ory for 10	OGBASE-KP4.		
Response		Response Status C			Delete	e "FEC is	not incluc	led for tests 1 and 2. FEC is i	included for tes	ts 3 and 4."
ACCEPT.										
C/ 94 S	C 94.3.11.1	P188	L28	# 371	C/ 93 Matthew, I	SC 93 Brown	3.7.1	P 154 Applied Micro	L 5	# 373
Dudek, Mike		QLogic					-			
Comment Type	F TR	Comment Status A		TX test fixture	Comment		Т	Comment Status A		
51		e is also important			wordir each l	0	only one c	lirection, but each direction h	as four lanes	
SuggestedRen	nedy				Suggested	dRemedy				
Add a sect	ion "94.3.11.1.	1 Test fixture insertion loss.			Chang	ge "one di	rection fro	om one lane" to "one lane fro	m one directior	ו"
The differe dB".	ntial loss of the	e test fixture at the Nyquist ra	ate shall be bet	ween TBD dB and TBD	Response ACCE	PT IN PR		Response Status C		
Make the s	ame changes	in section 94.3.12.1			[Comr	menter dic	d not spec	cify CommentType. Set to T.]		
Response		Response Status C			Chang	ae to:				
ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.					direction fo	or one lar	e"		
See comm	ent #135.				Check	< Clauses	92 and 9	4 for consistency.		

Cl 92 SC 92.8.3.3.3 Matthew, Brown	P 124 Applied Micro	L 19	# 374	C/ 92 Matthew, E	SC 92.10.8 Brown	P 141 Applied Micro	L 8	# 377
Comment Type E Unecessary capital. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A		bucket	•	ure 92-12, since	Comment Status A the block for the cable assemb le and plug should be included.		bucke xcludes the connector
00 ,	dy" to "minimum steady".			Suggestea	lRemedy			
Response	Response Status C			In Figu	ure 92-12, add la	bels for the receptacle and plu	g.	
ACCEPT.				Response ACCE		Response Status C		
Use suggested remedy.					uggested remedy	/.		
Cl 92 SC 92.8.3.5 Matthew, Brown	P 127 Applied Micro	L 25	# 375		ADI is labeled.			
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			C/ 92 Matthew, E	SC 92.10.9.4	A P144 Applied Micro	L 27	# 378
some tests are made in cleaner to consolidate th SuggestedRemedy	nermore, there are reference a conjunction with the cable as the tests fixtures into one sub-o e 92.11 and change "MDI" to a	sembly test fixt clause, indeper	ure. It would be a lot ident of RX and TX.	•	g word <i>IRemedy</i> je "disturber nea	Comment Status A	d crosstalk for"	bucke
newly created 92.11.				Response	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C				-	 r-end" to "disturber near-end cl	rosstalk loss".	
Use editorial license to in	mplement suggested remedy.			C/ 92	SC 92.7.10	P156	L11	# 379
C/ 92 SC 92.8.4	P130	L 1	# 376	Matthew, E Comment		Applied Micro Comment Status A		bucke
Matthew, Brown	Applied Micro				21	specified as option in the previo	ous paragraph	50010
Comment Type E Common naming with ot measurement point is wi	Comment Status A her clauses. It is not necessa thin the title.	ry to specify th	<i>bucket</i> e details of where the	Suggestea				
SuggestedRemedy Change title of 92.8.4 to	"Receiver characteristics"			Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Use su	uggested remedy	<i>I.</i>		
Use suggested remedy.								

94		94.3.8	P 186	L15	# 380	CI 92		92.7.12	P 119	L 6	# 381
atthew, B	Brown		Applied Micro			Matthew,	Brown		Applied Micro		
omment T	Туре	т	Comment Status A			Comment	t Type	т	Comment Status A		
function it must	n"? Fir mean	st, I assur not to cor	should not include the assertior ne must be referring to the vari isider the variable being set as	able, not the fu		It says the seed must be different on lanes, but says nothing about the relative pha specified it would be okay to use "different" seeds on each lane, but such that the the pattern between the lanes was close and thus would defeat the purpose of the seed. Specify that the pattern must not be persistently close between any two lane					such that the phase control of the second
ggested						Suggested Remedy					
"but sh	Change "but should not include the assertion of the Global PMD_transmit_disable function "but should not consider assertion of the Global_PMD_transmit_disable variable as a transmitter fault". sponse Response Status C					Appe	nd the f	irst senten	ce with "and the pattern on eac other lane".	ch of the lanes	shall not be persister
esponse			Response Status C			Upda	te 93.7.	12 similarl	v.		
ACCEF	PT IN F	PRINCIPL	E.			Response			, Response Status C		
[Comm	nent wa	as submitt	ed against Clause 93 but is act	ually against C	Clause 94. Updated	ACCE	EPT IN I	PRINCIPL			
	[Comment was submitted against Clause 93 but is actually against Clause 94. Updated accordingly.] See comment #421.							f the test patterns are dictated between lanes.	in large part b	y the seed values and	
						or ver	rify. The	e desired e	ment is likely to be unclear to the ffect is to minimize the correlat aps a specification this is terms	ion between th	ne test patterns on
						"The	seeds o	of the traini	agraph to: ng pattern described in 72.6.10 es on the medium.").2.6 should be	e chosen to minimize
						Upda	te 93.7.	12 similarl	у.		
						C/ 92 Matthew,		92.8.1	P119 Applied Micro	L 22	# 382
							ast se		Comment Status A low does a "low-swing" improvent at? This statement is outdated		
						Suggeste					
						Delet	e last se	entence in	paragraph.		
						Response ACCE			Response Status C		

Use suggested remendy.

Cl 92 SC 92.8.3 P 120 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro	L 3	# 383	C/ 92 SC 92.8.3 Matthew, Brown	P 120 Applied Micro	L 19	# 385
Comment Type T Comment Status A The sentence referring to Table 92-5 uses the "s" wo of the parameters are defined normatively in respecti specification is informative since it does not give any to not make this normative referral to the summary ta	ive sections. The bounds. Similar	e unit interval	SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A ce for Common-mode AC outpu nce to defining sub-clause.	t voltage (max	«., RMS).
SuggestedRemedy Change sentence to "Transmitter characteristics are Measurements are at TP2 unless otherwise noted." Response Response Status C	summarized in 7	Table 92-5.	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Add a subclause to Cla	Response Status C E. uuse 92 based on 93.8.1.3 and r	eference the s	subclause from the table.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change:Transmitter characteristics shall meet specif Table 92-5 at TP2 unless otherwise noted. To: Transmitter characteristics are summarized in Ta transmitter measurements defined in Table 92-5 are made at TP2 utilizing shall use the tes 92.8.3.5. Confirm that each referenced subclause includes "sh	able 92-5. Unless st fixture specifie	s specified otherwise, all ed in	Cl 92 SC 92.8.3 Matthew, Brown Comment Type T In Table 92-5, no refere SuggestedRemedy On line 15, add referen Response ACCEPT.	P 120 Applied Micro Comment Status A ence for Differential peak-to-pea ce to 92.7.7. Response Status C	L 15 k output volta	# 386
Cl 92 SC 92.8.3 P 120 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status	L15	# 384	C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.6 Matthew, Brown Comment Type T	P 128 Applied Micro Comment Status A	L1	# 387

	P 128 L 30 plied Micro	# 388	C/ 92 Matthew, I		92.8.3.8	P 129 Applied Micro	L 23	# 390
Comment Type T Comment Statu	us D		Comment	Tvpe	т	Comment Status A		
Why do we define EO test with a complex toggling test pattern. The toggling pattern 100GBASE-KR4 PMD (93.8.1.3).	test pattern? It is trivial to de		The B discre	ER refe	erence poir n measure	nts should be explicit specifie ments by different people. S		
SuggestedRemedy			Suggester		,	(a) to "Maggura two voluce	IC and 11 at D	FD0 and RED1
Replace first paragraph with "Even-odd jit	tter shall be measured with a	toggling test pattern				n (a) to "Measure two values R0 is near 1E-9 and BER1 is		ERU and DER I,
with a period of 2 UI."			Response	-		Response Status C		
Proposed Response Response Statu REJECT.	us Z		•		PRINCIPLE	-		
REJECT.			See c	ommen	t #366.			
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the o	commenter.							
	D128 L 53	# 389	C/ 92 Matthew, I		92.8.4	P 130 Applied Micro	L3	# 391
Matthew, Brown App	plied Micro		Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status A		
Comment Type T Comment Statu Is "The difference between TJ and DDJ sh the transmit equalization setting." the sam Table 92-5. If so, use common terms betw	hall be less than or equal to (ne as "Total jitter excluding da	ata dependent jitter" in	of the specif	parame fication	eters are d	o Table 92-7 uses the "s" wo efined normatively in respect we since it does not give any tive referral to the summary t	ive sections. The bounds. Simila	ne unit interval
SuggestedRemedy			Suggested	dReme	dy			
Replace sentence as follows: "Total jitter excluding data dependent jitter	r is the difference between T	J and DDJ and shall be				eceiver characteristics are su wise noted."	Immarized in Ta	able 92-7. Measurements
less than or equal to 0.28 UI regardless of	f the transmit equalization se	tting."	Response)		Response Status C		
Response Response Statu	us C				PRINCIPLE			
ACCEPT.					-			11 11 0 11000
Use suggested remedy.			Ireat	the rec	eive charad	cteristics in a manner similar	to what is spec	ified in Comment #383.
coo ouggested remouy.					aracteristics e requireme	s table as a summary, and er ents.	nsure each refe	rence subclause includes

C/ 92 SC 92.8.4 P 130 L 12 # 392 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro	C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.2.4 P 132 L 53 # 394 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro
Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status A Bit error ratio of 1E-12 as measured at the PMD is not possible when FEC is in use. Furthermore, burst errors of duration similar to a MAC frame size are no worse that a pair of isolate bit errors. Since FEC is mandatory the error rate should be specified as MAC frame error rate as measured after the FEC and PCS decoding. Change the BER requirement to a MAC frame error rate requirement. Using MAC frames of length 800 octets, a BER of 1E-12 with isolated bit errors would result in a MAC frame error ratio of 6.4E-9.	Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status A "meet the jitter specification" is not the goal. In fact, the jitter should be slightly worse. The idea is to be as close to the jitter specification as possible. SuggestedRemedy Change "meet the jitter specification" with "match the jitter specification". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C
SuggestedRemedy Replace the BER requirement with a MAC frame error requirement.	The pattern generator shall be set to match the jitter specification in Table 92-8.
For MAC frames of 800 octet length, frame error ratio shall be less than 6.4E-9.	C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.2.5 P 133 L 9 # 395 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro
Update 92.8.4.3, 93.8.2.3, and 94.3.12.3 similarly. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #258.	Comment Type T Comment Status A Why is the term "test pattern 3 as defined in 86.8.2", whereas "PRBS31" is used elsewhere this context? Also, why is the scrambled idle pattern not relevant? SuggestedRemedy
Cl 92 SC 92.8.4.2.3 P132 L8 # 393 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status A Reference should be to Figure 92-7 not Figure 92-6.	Change "test pattern 3 as defined in 86.8.2" to "either PRBS31 or scramble idle pattern". Also, on line 11 change "scrambled idle characters" to "scrambled idle". Response Response Status ACCEPT.
SuggestedRemedy Change "Figure 92-7" to "Figure 92-6".	Use suggested remedy.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.5 P 133 L 30 # 396 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Applied Micro
Use suggested remedy.	Comment Type T Comment Status A 10GBASE-KR requests a 100 nF capacitor which results in a high pass pole of around 15.9 kHz. For a similar baseline wander penalty, the cutoff can be scaled by 2.5 to around 39.8 kHz. Should be okay to specify 50 kHz as specified in 93.8.3.
	SuggestedRemedy Change "TBD kHz" to "50 kHz".
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

CI 92 SC 92.8.4.5 P133 L 32 # 397	C/ 92 SC 92.10.9.2 P142 L31 # 400	
Matthew, Brown Applied Micro	Matthew, Brown Applied Micro	
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A	
Since the HPF cutoff is specified in the previous paragraph and an explicit capacitor is not required it is not necessary or relevant to specify the capacitor value here. Also, the capacitor value does not limit the in-rush current, it limits the duration.	The sentence implies that I need to measure only one side of the test fixture at my disgression. I assume that the intent is to measure both and meet the specifications	on both.
Suggested Remedy	SuggestedRemedy	
Delete the paragraph starting "It is recommended that".	Change "either test fixture interface" to "each test fixture interface".	
	Response Response Status C	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT.	
ACCEFT IN FRINCIFLE.	Use suggested remedy.	
It is recommended that the value of the coupling capacitors be 100 nF. The capacitor will limit		
the inrush charge and baseline wander.	C/ 92 SC 92.10.9.4 P 145 L 16 # 401 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro	
C/ 92 SC 92.10 P134 L10 # 398		
Matthew, Brown Applied Micro	Comment Type T Comment Status A	CCE
Comment Type T Comment Status A	The connector is specifically the 28 Gbps version. Also, the SFF document is SFF-8	005.
In Table 92-9, there is no sub-clause reference for "Minimum insertion loss at 12.8906 GHz".	SuggestedRemedy	
This is not defined in any of the sub-clauses.	Change "the quad small" to "the 28 Gbps quad small".	
This is not defined in any of the sub-clauses. SuggestedRemedy	Change "the quad small" to "the 28 Gbps quad small". Change "SFF-TBD" to "SFF-8665".	
This is not defined in any of the sub-clauses. SuggestedRemedy Add specification for minimum IL of 4 dB in 92.10.2.	Change "the quad small" to "the 28 Gbps quad small".Change "SFF-TBD" to "SFF-8665".ResponseResponse StatusC	
This is not defined in any of the sub-clauses. SuggestedRemedy Add specification for minimum IL of 4 dB in 92.10.2. On line 10 in Table 92-9 add reference to 92.10.2.	Change "the quad small" to "the 28 Gbps quad small". Change "SFF-TBD" to "SFF-8665".ResponseResponse StatusCACCEPT.C	
This is not defined in any of the sub-clauses. SuggestedRemedy Add specification for minimum IL of 4 dB in 92.10.2.	Change "the quad small" to "the 28 Gbps quad small".Change "SFF-TBD" to "SFF-8665".ResponseResponse StatusC	with the
This is not defined in any of the sub-clauses. SuggestedRemedy Add specification for minimum IL of 4 dB in 92.10.2. On line 10 in Table 92-9 add reference to 92.10.2. Response Response Status C	Change "the quad small" to "the 28 Gbps quad small". Change "SFF-TBD" to "SFF-8665". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. The MDI connector shall be the QSFP+ 28 Gb/s 4X Pluggable (QSFP28) receptacle	with the
This is not defined in any of the sub-clauses. SuggestedRemedy Add specification for minimum IL of 4 dB in 92.10.2. On line 10 in Table 92-9 add reference to 92.10.2. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #289.	Change "the quad small" to "the 28 Gbps quad small". Change "SFF-TBD" to "SFF-8665". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. The MDI connector shall be the QSFP+ 28 Gb/s 4X Pluggable (QSFP28) receptacles mechanical mating interface defined in SFF-8665 and illustrated in Figure 92-18.	with the
This is not defined in any of the sub-clauses. SuggestedRemedy Add specification for minimum IL of 4 dB in 92.10.2. On line 10 in Table 92-9 add reference to 92.10.2. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #289. C/ 92 SC 92.10.8 P140 L 29 # 399	Change "the quad small" to "the 28 Gbps quad small". Change "SFF-TBD" to "SFF-8665". Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. The MDI connector shall be the QSFP+ 28 Gb/s 4X Pluggable (QSFP28) receptacle mechanical mating interface defined in SFF-8665 and illustrated in Figure 92-18. C/ 94 SC 94.3.6.1 P184 L15 # 402	e with the
This is not defined in any of the sub-clauses. SuggestedRemedy Add specification for minimum IL of 4 dB in 92.10.2. On line 10 in Table 92-9 add reference to 92.10.2. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #289. C/ 92 SC 92.10.8 P140 L29 # 399 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro	Change "the quad small" to "the 28 Gbps quad small". Change "SFF-TBD" to "SFF-8665". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. The MDI connector shall be the QSFP+ 28 Gb/s 4X Pluggable (QSFP28) receptacle mechanical mating interface defined in SFF-8665 and illustrated in Figure 92-18. C/ 94 SC 94.3.6.1 P184 L15 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status A In Figure 94-4, replace with updated figure from Figure 93-2 showing physical comp	bucke
This is not defined in any of the sub-clauses. SuggestedRemedy Add specification for minimum IL of 4 dB in 92.10.2. On line 10 in Table 92-9 add reference to 92.10.2. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #289. C/ 92 SC 92.10.8 P140 L29 # 399 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status A	Change "the quad small" to "the 28 Gbps quad small". Change "SFF-TBD" to "SFF-8665". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. The MDI connector shall be the QSFP+ 28 Gb/s 4X Pluggable (QSFP28) receptacle mechanical mating interface defined in SFF-8665 and illustrated in Figure 92-18. C/ 94 SC 94.3.6.1 P184 L15 # 402 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status A	bucke
This is not defined in any of the sub-clauses. SuggestedRemedy Add specification for minimum IL of 4 dB in 92.10.2. On line 10 in Table 92-9 add reference to 92.10.2. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #289. C/ 92 SC 92.10.8 P140 L29 # 399 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro	Change "the quad small" to "the 28 Gbps quad small". Change "SFF-TBD" to "SFF-8665". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. The MDI connector shall be the QSFP+ 28 Gb/s 4X Pluggable (QSFP28) receptacle mechanical mating interface defined in SFF-8665 and illustrated in Figure 92-18. C/ 94 SC 94.3.6.1 P184 L15 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status A In Figure 94-4, replace with updated figure from Figure 93-2 showing physical comp	bucke
This is not defined in any of the sub-clauses. SuggestedRemedy Add specification for minimum IL of 4 dB in 92.10.2. On line 10 in Table 92-9 add reference to 92.10.2. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #289. C/ 92 SC 92.10.8 P140 L29 # 399 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status A There is a reference to return loss specification in 92.8.3.6 which in turn refers to 92.10.9.2.	Change "the quad small" to "the 28 Gbps quad small". Change "SFF-TBD" to "SFF-8665". Response Response Status ACCEPT. The MDI connector shall be the QSFP+ 28 Gb/s 4X Pluggable (QSFP28) receptacle mechanical mating interface defined in SFF-8665 and illustrated in Figure 92-18. C/ 94 SC 94.3.6.1 P184 L15 # [402] Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status A In Figure 94-4, replace with updated figure from Figure 93-2 showing physical comp link. SC	bucke
This is not defined in any of the sub-clauses. SuggestedRemedy Add specification for minimum IL of 4 dB in 92.10.2. On line 10 in Table 92-9 add reference to 92.10.2. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #289. C/ 92 SC 92.10.8 P140 L 29 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Status A There is a reference to return loss specification in 92.8.3.6 which in turn refers to 92.10.9.2. The reference should be directly to the section containing the details.	Change "the quad small" to "the 28 Gbps quad small". Change "SFF-TBD" to "SFF-8665". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. The MDI connector shall be the QSFP+ 28 Gb/s 4X Pluggable (QSFP28) receptacle mechanical mating interface defined in SFF-8665 and illustrated in Figure 92-18. C/ 94 SC 94.3.6.1 P184 L15 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status A In Figure 94-4, replace with updated figure from Figure 93-2 showing physical comp link. SuggestedRemedy	bucke
This is not defined in any of the sub-clauses. SuggestedRemedy Add specification for minimum IL of 4 dB in 92.10.2. On line 10 in Table 92-9 add reference to 92.10.2. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #289. C/ 92 SC 92.10.8 P140 L 29 # 399 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status A There is a reference to return loss specification in 92.8.3.6 which in turn refers to 92.10.9.2. The reference should be directly to the section containing the details. SuggestedRemedy	Change "the quad small" to "the 28 Gbps quad small". Change "SFF-TBD" to "SFF-8665". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. The MDI connector shall be the QSFP+ 28 Gb/s 4X Pluggable (QSFP28) receptacle mechanical mating interface defined in SFF-8665 and illustrated in Figure 92-18. C/ 94 SC 94.3.6.1 P184 L15 # 402 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status A In Figure 94-4, replace with updated figure from Figure 93-2 showing physical comp link. SuggestedRemedy Replace Figure 94-4 with Figure 93-2.	bucke

C/ 93 SC 9 Matthew, Brown	93.7.8	P 155 Applied Micro	L 51	# 403	C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.5 Matthew, Brown	P 159 Applied Micro	L 5	# 406
Cannot have "s	shall" stateme	omment Status A nt against another clause	>		Comment Type T It is trivial to implemen using PRBS9?	Comment Status R nt the 8 ones 8 zeros patterns. V	Vhy do we spe	cify a complex method
SuggestedRemedy Restate "Local		provided by the adjacent P	'MA"		SuggestedRemedy	describing the PRBS9 method.		
Response ACCEPT.	Re	esponse Status C			Response REJECT.	Response Status C		
Cl 93 SC 9 Matthew, Brown	93.8.1.1	P 156 Applied Micro	L 52	# 404	This comment was W	ITHDRAWN by the commenter.		
Comment Type Return loss sho		omment Status A er than limit.		bucket	C/ 93 SC 93.8.3 Matthew, Brown	P 164 Applied Micro	L 4	# 407
SuggestedRemedy Change "shall		to "shall be greater than".			Comment Type T AC coupling frequenc	Comment Status A y is a channel parameter.		bucket
Response ACCEPT IN PI		esponse Status C			SuggestedRemedy Move AC coupling fre	quency specification to 93.9.		
Change to "sha	all be greater	than or equal to".			Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.		
C/ 93 SC 9 Matthew, Brown	3.8.2.1	P 162 Applied Micro	L 30	# 405	See comment #488.			
Comment Type Return loss she		omment Status A er than limit.		bucket	C/ 94 SC 94.3.13 Matthew, Brown	P 196 Applied Micro	L 23	# 408
SuggestedRemedy Change "shall		to "shall be greater than".			Comment Type T AC coupling frequenc	Comment Status A y is a channel parameter.		bucket
Response ACCEPT IN PI		esponse Status C			SuggestedRemedy Move AC coupling fre	quency specification to 94.4.		
Change to: "shall be grea	ater than or e	qual to"			Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.		
					[common with 92 and	93]		
					See also comments 4	88 and 407.		
					The whole sub-clause 94.4.	refers to the AC coupling of the	chanel. Move	the entire sub-clause to

C/ 82 SC 82.2.12	P67	L 26	# 409	CI 92 SC 92.7	7.1	P114	L52	# 411
Matthew, Brown	Applied Micro			Matthew, Brown		Applied Micro		
,	omment Status A 12, the tolerable skew for e the FEC re-aligns the PC tially one or two CAUI inte is therefore around 150 L	CS lanes, the on erfaces between	nly skew tolerance is the FEC and the PCS.	Comment Type T Table 92-5 is a su 92.8.3 instead. SuggestedRemedy Change "Table 92	ummary list of 2-5" to "92.8.3	nment Status A i parameters not meas 3".	urements and to	ests. Refer to section
Add a new specification for a 100GBASE-KP4 PHY specify	ying a skew tolerance of 1 sponse Status C	150 UI.		Make similar char Response ACCEPT IN PRIN Change "Table 92 Please note: 92.8 characteristics" at	Resp NCIPLE. 2-5" to "92.8.3 3.3 is Transmit	bonse Status C 3" page 114 L52 tter characteristics and	d Table 92-5 is '	Transmitter
Cl 92 SC 92.2 Matthew, Brown Comment Type T Co This section defines service p in 80.3.3.3.1. This section onl SIGNAL_DETECT in 92.7.4. SuggestedRemedy Replace paragraph with "The indicates the value of SIGNAL	ly needs to specify that S	IGNAL_OK take	es its value from	SuggestedRemedy	Con ence between ements and te	P 114 Applied Micro mment Status A a test and measurem ests" to "tests" or "mea s in Clause 92.		# 412
Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Verify that Clause 93 is consistent with this response.				92-5 are made at 92.8.3.5	ICIPLE. Specified othe TP2 utilizing ied otherwise	the test fixture specifie , all transmitter measu	ed in	nd tests defined in Table d in Table 92-5 are made

/ 92 SC 92.7.1 P 116 L 29 # 413 atthew, Brown Applied Micro	C/ 92 SC 92.7.4 P 117 L 18 # 415 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Applied Micro	
<i>omment Type</i> T <i>Comment Status</i> A <i>bucket</i> SLn and SLn <n> should be SLi and SLi<n>, respectively. Also, reference to lane n at end of paragraph should be lane i.</n></n>	Comment Type T Comment Status A PMD service layer is specified in 92.2. Specify SIGNAL_DETECT here and refer to 92.2	2.
uggestedRemedy Replace "SLn and SLn <n>" with "SLi and SLi<n>". Replace "lane n (n = 0,1,2,3)" with "lane i (i = 0,1,2,3)".</n></n>	SuggestedRemedy Delete first paragraph. Append last sentence of first paragraph with "see 92.2". Response Response Status C	
ACCEPT.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Use suggested remedy.	The purpose of the function is to convey the value of SIGNAL_DETECT via the service interface primitive so the text is appropriate and will be kept.	
/ 92 SC 92.2 P 113 L 1 # 414 atthew, Brown Applied Micro	However, the cross-reference to 92.2 can be added.	
omment Type T Comment Status A	Also, ensure that Clause 93 is consistent.	
This section defines service primitives. PMD:IS_UNITDATA(SIGNAL_OK) is precisely defined in 80.3.3.3.1. This section only needs to specify that SIGNAL_OK takes its value from SIGNAL_DETECT in 93.7.4.	C/ 92 SC 92.7.4 P 117 L 24 # 416 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Applied Micro # 416	
_	Comment Type T Comment Status A b	bucket
<pre>iggestedRemedy Replace paragraph with "The SIGNAL_OK parameter in PMD:IS_UNITDATA(SIGNAL_OK)</pre>	Should be more specific which state diagram is being referred to.	
indicates the value of SIGNAL_DETECT specified in 93.7.4".	SuggestedRemedy	
esponse Response Status C	Change "training state diagram" to "training state diagram in Figure 72-5".	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C	
[Changed Subcl from 93.2 to 92.2].	ACCEPT.	
	Use suggested remedy.	
Based on the page/line number, it is assumed that the commenter is referring to 92.7.4 in the comment and suggested remedy. However, if the commenter did indeed mean to apply this		
comment to Clause 93, the response comment #410 suggests any changes be consistently applied to Clauses 92 and 93.	C/ 92 SC 92.7.9 P 118 L 31 # 417 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Applied Micro 417	
See comment#410.	Comment Type T Comment Status A PMD_fault must be defined whether or not MDIO is implemented.	
	SuggestedRemedy Delete "If the MDIO is implemented, ". Add a new sentence, "If the MDIO is implemented, PMD_fault shall be mapped to the fa as specified in 45.2.1.2.1."	ault bit
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
	See comment #419.	
YPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/g OMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/wri		

C/ 92 SC 92.7.10 Matthew, Brown	P 118 Applied Micro	L 37	# 418	<i>CI</i> 93 Matthew, Br	SC 93.7.10	P 156 Applied Micr	L 8	# 421
function"? First, I assur	Comment Status A should not include the assertion me must be referring to the varia nsider the variable being set as	able, not the f		function	meant by "but "? First, I assu	Comment Status A should not include the asser me must be referring to the nsider the variable being set	variable, not the	
	t include the assertion of the GI er assertion of the Global_PMD			"but sho	but should n	ot include the assertion of the er assertion of the Global_P		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.			Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIP	Response Status C _E.		
SuggestedRemedy Delete "If the MDIO is i	If the MDIO is implemented, PM		# 419	the hea Change "The GI Change "The PN transmi In 93.7. "When to 3) is	ding, and the c e the first sente obal PMD tran the first sente MD lane-by-lan tter in each lan 7, change item a PMD_transm set to one"	a) to: it_disable_i variable (where	de the undersco nal." coptional and all i represents the	ows the electrical
C/ 94 SC 94.3.7 Matthew, Brown	P186 Applied Micro	L 9	# 420			end of the second sentence Global_PMD_transmit_disal		ered a transmit fault."
Comment Type T	Comment Status A	plemented.	PMD variables					
SuggestedRemedy Delete "If the MDIO is i Add a new sentence, "I as specified in 45.2.1.2	If the MDIO is implemented, PM	ID_fault shall	be mapped to the fault bit					
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							
[non-controversial]								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 93A Li, Mike	SC 93A.1.2	P 215 Altera	L 10	# 422	<i>Cl</i> 78 Dawe, Pier	SC 78.1.4	P 38 IPtronics	L 21	# 425
	el and equation	Comment Status A s for package return-loss an	d insertion-loss	were left out in	Comment Make t	51	Comment Status A asier to use with consistent or	dering.	
SuggestedRe	-	ovided to fill-in the missing ir Response Status C	oformation		Suggested Order Response ACCE	Table 78-1 in th	ne reverse order to Table 73-5 Response Status C	Priority Resolut	ion.
Include T response		: " filters in the voltage transfe i_3bj_02_0912 slide 7 (coeff			Cl 93 Dawe, Pier Comment	SC 93.1 ''s	P 149 IPtronics Comment Status A	L 7	# <u>426</u> buck
<i>CI</i> 69 Dawe, Piers	SC 69.1.3	P 29 IPtronics	L16	# 423	If the c	lause has an a iing, as Clause	ssociated annex, that should b 92 does.	e pointed out to	the reader right at the
	istency with Fig	Comment Status A 80-1,		bucket	This cl associ	ause specifies ated annexes.	the 100GBASE-KR4 PMD and Annex 93A provides a method des an electrical backplane refe	for calculating (Channel Operating Marg
Response	,	ASE-KR, and 40GBASE-KR4 <i>Response Status</i> C	↓ (Fig 69-1a), as	optional.		PT IN PRINCIF e following afte	Response Status C LE. r the first sentence of the first p	paragraph of 93	.1.
CI 73	nge FEC to RS	FEC for 100GBASE-KR4 ar	L 12	P4 (Figure 69-1a). # 424	backpl		ated annexes. Annex 93A defi x 93B extends the electrical ba s."		
SuggestedRe	e document eas emedy	IPtronics Comment Status A ier to use with consistent orc	C	bucket	CI 80 Dawe, Pier Comment Make t	Туре Е	P45 IPtronics Comment Status A asier to use with consistent ord	L 47 dering.	# 427
	_link_ready.	s in the reverse order to Tab Response Status C	le 73-5 Priority F	Resolution. Also the list	Response	Table 80-2a in	the opposeite order to 78-5 prin Response Status C	ority resolution t	hen short to long.
						PT IN PRINCIF	LE. es a different order		

C/ 92 SC 92.7.1 Dawe, Piers	P 116 IPtronics	L 45	# 428	C/ 80 SC 80.2. Dawe, Piers	3 P46 IPtronics	L11	# 431
•	Comment Status A	s not on a line by	bucket iteslf and the table looks	10/1GBASE-PRX- Reed-Solomon FE	Comment Status R BASE-PX10, 1000BASE-PX20, D already use Reed-Solomon FE C" or "Reed-Solomon Forward E stinctive. Also, we recognise RS	EC, so we can't ca Frror Correction (R	II this fourth kind "The S-FEC) sublayer". We
better.				SuggestedRemedy			
Response	Response Status C			Change its name to	o 256B/257B FEC, or Clause 91	FEC.	
ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.			Response	Response Status C		
Will do if possible.				REJECT.			
Cl 92 SC 92.8.3 Dawe, Piers	.4 P126 IPtronics	L17	# 429		n Clause 91 was agreed by the roduce confusion. Within this cor		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket				
Format for informati		in upoful inform	ation in the longer term	C/ 80 SC 80.1.		L 25	# 432
-	q 92-14, not Annex 92A. Also, it		allon in the longer term.	Dawe, Piers	IPtronics		
SuggestedRemedy	inning NOTE See style style	- I think the ene	in 72 10 7 at the better	Comment Type ER			
of the page is correc	inning NOTE See style guide, o ct.	or i unink une one	in 73.10.7 at the bottom	Deleting the object rated at 10^-12 BF	tives doesn't avoid all work. We R. Some clauses specifically re	need to tell the re fer to the objective	ader that 40/100G is
Response	Response Status C			a poor quality link t not meet the 10^-1	to provide sufficient light for a SI	GNAL_DETECT =	OK indication and sti
ACCEPT IN PRINC	IPLE.			SuggestedRemedy			
D1.1 contains the n	ote in D1 changed to enduring n	ote.			thout the long list and don't want	to open three mo	re clauses, have a sho
Change: Note that i dB at 12.8906 GHz	n Annex 92A, the insertion loss f	from TP0 to TP2	or from TP3 to TP5 is 10	subclause: 80.1.2 BER object It is an objective of	tive f 40 Gigabit and 100 Gigabit Ethe	ernet to provide a	
To: Note that the ins	sertion loss from TP0 to TP2 or f	rom TP3 to TP5	is 10 dB at 12.8906 GHz	Response	al to 10^-12 at the MAC/PLS serv Response Status C	nce internace.	
Note: Note style use	ed throughout clause			ACCEPT.	Nesponse Status		
C/ 80 SC 80.3.3 Dawe, Piers	.4.1 P47 IPtronics	L 23	# 430				
<i>Comment Type</i> E The tx_mode param	Comment Status A neter doesn't need eight values a	at most interfaces	bucket				
SuggestedRemedy Change "one of eigh	ht values" to "one of up to eight v	values".					
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						
TVDE: TD/toohnigal rag	uirod ER/aditorial required CR/	apporal required	T/tachnical E/aditatial C/	annoral	Com	nont ID 422	Dage 105 of 1

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.8 Dawe, Piers	P 128 IPtronics	L 30	# 433	<i>Cl</i> 93 Dawe, Piers	SC 93.8	P 156 IPtronics	L 40	# 434
should reference the tr SuggestedRemedy See email. Proposed Response REJECT.	Comment Status D uding that this section needs a ansmitter specs in the table n Response Status Z THDRAWN by the commente	ot repeat them.	each jitter type, and	93.8.1 T This sou house s 86.7 PM 86.7.1 T 52.5 PM 52.5.1 1 38.3 PM 38.3.1 T	DOGBASE-KR4 ransmitter cha inds like a dat tyle of 100GE ID to MDI spec ransmitter opt ID to MDI optio 0GBASE-S tra ID to MDI optio	Comment Status R electrical characteristics racteristics" asheet. Please write in norma unless improving on it. Comp ifications for 40GBASE-SR4 of cal specifications al specifications for 10GBASE insmitter optical specifications as specifications for 1000BASE cal specifications	are e.g. or 100GBASE-S E-S	
				93.8.1 T or 93.8 10 93.8.1 T	to OGBASE-KR4 Transmitter ele OGBASE-KR4 Transmitter spe	electrical specifications ctrical specifications electrical specifications cifications nd the other PMD clauses.		
				Response REJEC	г.	Response Status C		
						ne assertion that a "specification that a "specification that a "specification that a "specification that a specification of the specific term of	on" corresponds	to normative standards
				instance		ics" appears in IEEE Std 802. 241 instances in Section 5, 1		
				normati	ve requiremen	these instances, the use of th s. See for example 68.9 "Cha s that "The fiber optic cabling :	racteristics of th	e fiber optic cabling

C/ 80 SC 80	0.4	P 50	L 20	# 435		SC 73.7.2		34	L 30	# 437
Dawe, Piers		IPtronics			Dawe, Piers		IPtro	onics		
51	aft in line with 8	nment Status A 02.3bh/D3.2 (soon to	be 802.3-2012).	bucket		hing: ceive Swit	Comment Statu the function shall connu- the 100GBASE-CR4 if t	ect the MI		e receive path of the
Delete "Note th			(DO ("		SuggestedRe				•	
to IEEE Std 80			aft D3.1" on pag	e 1 line 32 to D3.2, then		•	ch function shall conn	ect the MI	DI to and to the	e receive path of each
Response	Res	oonse Status C			PMD that	is present	and has Auto-Negotia	tion enab	oled."	
ACCEPT.					Response		Response Statu	s C		
					ACCEPT	IN PRINCI	IPLE.			
Cl 69 SC 69 Dawe, Piers Comment Type		P 30 IPtronics nment Status A	L 45	# 436	Transmit/		and 73.7.2 from the b witch functions connec pleted.			
Not so fast! It's As the channel	s still the case th or medium isn'	nat a 2-lane 10GBASE	PE, and MDI is	e compliant, and so on. shown in other places, it			, the requirement in 73 uto-Negotiation.	.6.10 that	t only "DME page	generator" is connected
SuggestedRemedy		·			To be cor	sistent wit	h 73.6.10, 73.7.2 shou	ld state th	hat, during Auto-N	Negotiation, the DME
Reinstate item	f but change "a A, B, C have or	ne pair/differential elec		ID types. Rework to say th direction while X, Y, Z	page rece		ne receive path of the			ASĔ-KX4 (if present) to
f) The MDI for 1	1000BASE-KX			ectrical connections for ur pairs.			able to quantify what i	t means t	to be "in Auto-Ne	gotiation."
Response	Res	oonse Status C			[Change	hese two s	subclauses as shown.]			
ACCEPT IN PR	RINCIPLE.				73.6.10 T	ransmit Sv	vitch function			
During conside 40GBASE-KR4		mment, it was observe	ed that XLAUI is	not included in the list fo			e AN_GOOD_CHECK generator controlled by			n function shall connect am to the MDI.
Attachment Uni	rvice interface,			as XLAUI (40 Gigabit as a 4 Iane data path as						unction shall connect the denominator) PHY to the
Add item g):		(and 10GBASE-KR us	o o corial data r	ooth while the MDI for			nected to the MDI thro o all of the PHY's spec			inction, the signals at the
		4, 100GBASE-KR4, a			73.7.2 Re	ceive Swit	ch function			
					the DME function s	page recei hall also c	ver to the MDI. For the	Parallel	Detection functio	function shall connect n, the Receive Switch d 10GBASE-KX4 PHY to
				T/technical E/editorial G/g SE STATUS: O/open W/wi		withdrawn		Comm	nent ID 437	Page 107 of 137 9/27/2012 7:31:2

SORT ORDER: Comment ID

26 AM

	Dawe, Piers IPtronics		
80 SC 80.1.4 P43 L48 # 438	Comment Type T Comment Status R		
awe, Piers IPtronics	Should this be simplified by combining IS_RX_MODE.indicate (should be IS_RX_MODE.indication) and IS_SIGNAL.indication?		
Comment Type T Comment Status R Seeing as we don't define pulse amplitude modulation (PAM) and don't need it outside Claus 94, it would be better not to use the term.	SuggestedRemedy ?		
IggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C		
ge 2/4-level pulse amplitude modulation or 4-level PAM to just 2/4-level modulation,	REJECT.		
each time (only 8 instances). esponse Response Status C	The changes proposed in comment #70 redefine the operation of RX_MODE making s combination impossible.		
REJECT.	C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 P49 L16 # 441		
PAM is a defined abbreviation in Clause 1.5	Dawe, Piers IPtronics		
92 SC 92.8.4.2.3 P132 L 40 # 439 awe, Piers IPtronics	Comment Type T Comment Status A The 256b/257b PCS/FEC sublayer is mandatory for 100GBASE-CR4/KR4/KP4 so no r for note 1 (compare Figure 80-5a).		
ent Type T Comment Status A e common mode should be terminated too. Also some terminations are not shown e.g. tput on left in Figure 92-6, Interference tolerance test setup.	SuggestedRemedy Delete note 1. Also in Figure 91-1.		
IggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C		
Change "terminated in 100 ohm differentially." to "terminated with 50 ohm loads.". Add missing output and terminations to figures.	ACCEPT.		
esponse Response Status C	C/ 81 SC 81.3a.1 P60 L2 # 442		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Dawe, Piers IPtronics		
In figure 92-6 add box and arrow indicating 4 Rx. The cable assembly single ended receive lanes are terminated in 50 Ohm to provide 100 Ohm differential termination.	Comment Type T Comment Status A Wrong AN clause!		
	SuggestedRemedy Change 28.2.6.1.1 to the correct reference.		
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		

C/ 91	SC 91.5.2.7	P 97	L 41	# 443	CI 78	SC 78.5	P 38	L 44	# 444
Dawe, Pier	S	IPtronics			Dawe, Piers	S	IPtronics		

Comment Type т Comment Status A

As well us telling us the error correction capability, please tell us the error detection capability of these codes. Also, while a code may be capable of something, the spec needs to say what an implementation must do.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text giving the error detection capability of these codes, and the expected/required error correction and detection capability of implementations.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The error detection capability of a bounded distance decoder is $(n-k) = 2^{*}t$ symbols. For (nk+1) or more symbol errors, there is a chance that the decoder will incorrectly recognize the input as a different codeword. In these cases, it is only possible to bound the probability that errors will be detected (see [1]). Methods that achieve this require one additional codeword of decoding latency. However, there are other methods of error detection that offer reduced latency but are not guaranteed to detect all uncorrectable errors. There is no intention to preclude such methods.

The statement of error correcting capability was intended to establish the relevance of the parameter t. Since 91.5.2.7 specifies the operation of the encoder, decoder requirements should not be added here.

76.3.3.3 states the following:

"Implementations shall be capable of correcting up to 16 symbols in a codeword and detecting uncorrectable codewords."

Using this as a model, add the following paragraph after the first paragraph of 91.5.3.3.

"When used to form a 100GBASE-CR4 or 100GBASE-KR4 PHY, the RS-FEC sublayer shall be capable of correcting any combination of up to t=7 symbol errors in a codeword. When used to form a 100GBASE-KP4 PHY, the RS-FEC sublayer shall be capable of correcting any combination of up to t=15 symbol errors in a codeword. The RS-FEC sublayer shall also be capable of detecting uncorrectable codewords."

In 91.5.2.7, remove "This code has the capability to correct any combination of t=? symbols errors in a codeword." These two sentences are redundant with the information proposed to be added to 91.5.3.3.

[1] R. J. McEliece and L. Swanson, "On the decoder error probability for Reed-Solomon codes," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 32, pp. 701-703, Sep. 1986.

CI 78	SC 78.5	P 38	L 44	# 444
Dawe, Piers		IPtronics		
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		EEE option

This says "For PHYs with an operating speed of 100Gb/s (that implement EEE) two modes of LPI operation are supported." So it's both or nothing.

Implementing traditional EEE in a PHY divided by a CAUI involves extra pattern-recognition circuitry that would consume extra power. Gaining lock with the FEC-encoded lanes takes time even with rapid algnment markers. Turning transmitters and receivers with EQ on and off rapidly adds to the signal integrity challenge. The energy/bit in 100G PHYs is vastly less than 10/100/1000 Meg PHYs but there is still energy to be saved above the MAC. In a highspeed core network that never really goes quiet, energy would have to be saved in very short time slots. For other networks that do go truly quiet at night, the link can be powered down by traditional means whether EEE is present or not.

SuggestedRemedy

Have three ability choices: no EEE, fast EEE only or capable of both EEE modes. Adjust Table 45-190. EEE advertisement register, and Table 45-191. EEE link partner ability. to manage this.

Consider quantitatively (million tons of CO2) whether the slow EEE mode is worththile, particularly for existing PHY types where fast EEE will be added and the link can be shut down above the MAC for long guiet periods anyway.

Response	Response Status	С
Reoponide	nesponse otatus	0

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See #96 (& many others) for resolution.

CI 78	SC 78.5	P38	L 44	# 445
Dawe, Pie	ers	IPtronics		
Commen	t Type TR	Comment Status A		EEE option

Change

For PHYs with an operating speed of 100 Gb/s (that implement EEE) two modes of LPI operation are supported.

SuggestedRemedy

```
То
```

PHYs with an operating speed of 100 Gb/s that implement EEE support the "fast wake" mode of LPI operation and may additionally support the "normal wake" mode. The two modes are not used simultaneously.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See #96 (& many others) for resolution.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 92 SC 9	2.8.3	P 120	L19	# 446	CI 92	SC 92.8.3	P 120	L16	# 447
Dawe, Piers		IPtronics			Dawe, Pie	ers	IPtronics		
51	common-mode o	nent Status R output return loss an	d output mode co	onversion loss (from	Simpl	common-mode vo	Comment Status R oltage limit for a CR4 transmit yould be capricious and irratio	nal because the	circumstances are
SuggestedRemedy							eal DC blocking capacitors in o much charge or break down		
common to diff	erential). se the InfiniBand	tput return loss and FDR specs, scaled nse Status C		,	work single and a voltag	with this voltage e-ended voltages typical silicon in ge allows for a ra	, it chooses its own. But it ma s, as done in nPPI which has t nplemementation will support inge of bias voltages and an a and Table 86A-1.	kes more sense he same QSFP o two or three of th	to define the range of connector, and XLAUI, ese. The single-ended
REJECT.					Suggeste	dRemedy			
Suggested rem draft.	edy proposal lacl	king sufficient recom	mended change	s to implement in the	to	non-mode voltaç	ge limits 72.7.1.4 1.9 V oltage min -0.3, max 4 V		
					Response)	Response Status C		
					REJE	CT.			
					Chan V (ma Agree	•	le voltage to single-ended out	put voltage with I	imits of -0.3 V (min.) to 4
					Furth		s not clear there was consensed that there is no definition oneeded).		
					Reco Agree Disag				

					_		
CI 78 SC 78.5.2 P 39 Dawe, Piers IPtronics	L 53	# 448	CI 80 Dawe, Piers	SC 80.1.4	P 43 IPtronics	L 49	# 449
			,				
Comment Type TR Comment Status A			Comment T		Comment Status A		
Management is optional, and if there is managem So the PMA Egress AUI Stop Enable (PEASE) bit PMA control variable does exist.			100GB so is ne	ASE-KR4 use 6 ever present on	a small part of Clause 82, it 4B/66B block encoding: this i the line (unlike with KR FEC	is removed (trans	coded) before the PMD
SuggestedRemedy				ncoding. I be better to us	e language more like the defi	initions section.	
Write the spec in terms of the variables being true according to the mapping tables. Applies to 81.3a			1.4.51 ⁻	100GBASE-R: /	An IEEE 802.3 family of Physi d in Clause 82 for 100 Gb/s o	ical Layer device	
Response Response Status C			82.)				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Suggested	Remedy			
These are all defined in terms of PMA control vari to reflect that: Change "the PMA Egress AUI Stop Enable (PEAS Enable (PEASE, see 83.3; MDIO register bit 1.n.r		Change to: 40GBASE-R or 100GBASE-R represents a family of Physical Layer devices using the Clau 82 Physical Coding Sublayer for 40 Gb/s or 100 Gb/s operation over multiple PCS lanes based on 2-level pulse amplitude modulation (PAM) and low-overhead block encoding. So 100GBASE-R Physical Layer devices also use the transcoding and FEC of Clause 91.					
Change "the PMA Ingress AUI Stop Enable (PIAS Enable (PIASE, see 83.3; MDIO register bit (1.n.n		MA Ingress AUI Stop	1.4.51 ² coding	sublayer define	An IEEE 802.3 family of Physi d in Clause 82, and in some o tion. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Cl	cases the transco	oding and FEC of Clause
					PHY names, but it seems Ok head (64B/66B, KR FEC, 256		
			Response		Response Status C		
			ACCEF	T IN PRINCIPL	.E.		
			See als	o #343 & #23			
					B/66B is well made, but the c the PHY naming discussions		
			See #3	43 for the detail	ed wording.		

92 SC 92.8.3.8 P 129 L 8 # 450 awe, Piers IPtronics	C/ 92 SC 92.10.9.3 P 143 L 27 # 452 Dawe, Piers IPtronics	
omment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status R	
In the dual-Dirac model, RJrms is expected to be the slope of the tails and RJ a multiple of that. We also expect that RJ+DJ=TJ. These things are compatible with each other and the	Is "common-mode conversion loss" a through loss?	
text if DJ is extrapolated from the specification BER.	SuggestedRemedy	
uggestedRemedy	If so, add "common-mode conversion return loss" spec.	
Say that for definition purposes, BERn are either side of and close to the specification BEF but in practice values as suggested are often used.	Response Response Status C REJECT.	
esponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	The common-mode conversion loss is a through loss.	
See comment #366.	There is no proposal for a common-mode conversion return loss specification.	
92 SC 92.8.3.4 P126 L17 # 451 awe, Piers IPtronics	C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.2 P 99 L 42 # 453 Dawe, Piers IPtronics	
omment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A	buck
An equation such as Eq 92-14 doesn't determine the loss between two points, it limits it. E	The medium is allowed to mix the lanes up, that's no error. See 86.6 Lane assignme	ents
how is it determined? If you can't measure it you can't specify it, and you can't talk about i	SuggestedRemedy	
	S	
maximum - because you can't tell if someone is exceeding that. I think this has to be a	Delete "due to connection errors in the underlying medium".	
	Delete "due to connection errors in the underlying medium".	
maximum - because you can't tell if someone is exceeding that. I think this has to be a	Delete "due to connection errors in the underlying medium". Response Response Status C	
maximum - because you can't tell if someone is exceeding that. I think this has to be a recommendation only, unless we extract the loss from the de-embedding procedure. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change	Delete "due to connection errors in the underlying medium".	
maximum - because you can't tell if someone is exceeding that. I think this has to be a recommendation only, unless we extract the loss from the de-embedding procedure. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change The maximum insertion loss	Delete "due to connection errors in the underlying medium". Response Response Status C	
maximum - because you can't tell if someone is exceeding that. I think this has to be a recommendation only, unless we extract the loss from the de-embedding procedure. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change	Delete "due to connection errors in the underlying medium". Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
maximum - because you can't tell if someone is exceeding that. I think this has to be a recommendation only, unless we extract the loss from the de-embedding procedure. <i>lggestedRemedy</i> Change The maximum insertion loss to The maximum recommended insertion loss	Delete "due to connection errors in the underlying medium". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 P48 L15 # 454	
maximum - because you can't tell if someone is exceeding that. I think this has to be a recommendation only, unless we extract the loss from the de-embedding procedure. <i>IggestedRemedy</i> Change The maximum insertion loss to The maximum recommended insertion loss	Delete "due to connection errors in the underlying medium". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 P48 L15 Dawe, Piers IPtronics	
maximum - because you can't tell if someone is exceeding that. I think this has to be a recommendation only, unless we extract the loss from the de-embedding procedure. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change The maximum insertion loss to The maximum recommended insertion loss <i>esponse</i> Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Delete "due to connection errors in the underlying medium". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 P48 L15 # 454 Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type TR Comment Status R KR FEC for 100GBASE-CR10 remains optional. Suggested Bomedy	
maximum - because you can't tell if someone is exceeding that. I think this has to be a recommendation only, unless we extract the loss from the de-embedding procedure.	Delete "due to connection errors in the underlying medium". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 P48 L15 # 454 Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type TR Comment Status R KR FEC for 100GBASE-CR10 remains optional. SuggestedRemedy Change e NOTE 1-CONDITIONAL BASED ON PHY TYPE	
maximum - because you can't tell if someone is exceeding that. I think this has to be a recommendation only, unless we extract the loss from the de-embedding procedure. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change The maximum insertion loss to The maximum recommended insertion loss <i>esponse</i> Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: The maximum insertion loss from TP0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 including the test fixt is determined using Equation (92-14).	Delete "due to connection errors in the underlying medium". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 P48 L15 # 454 Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type TR Comment Status R KR FEC for 100GBASE-CR10 remains optional. SuggestedRemedy Change	FEC:
maximum - because you can't tell if someone is exceeding that. I think this has to be a recommendation only, unless we extract the loss from the de-embedding procedure.	Delete "due to connection errors in the underlying medium". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. CI 80 SC 80.3.2 P48 L15 # 454 Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type TR Comment Status R KR FEC for 100GBASE-CR10 remains optional. SuggestedRemedy Change e NOTE 1-CONDITIONAL BASED ON PHY TYPE to NOTE 1-CONDITIONAL BASED ON PHY TYPE to NOTE 1-CONDITIONAL, OPTIONAL OR OMITTED DEPENDING ON PHY TYPE Same in Figure 80-4 and Figure 80-5. In figures 81-1 and 82-1, leave note 1 as base spec for 40G, create note 3 for 100G F	FEC:
maximum - because you can't tell if someone is exceeding that. I think this has to be a recommendation only, unless we extract the loss from the de-embedding procedure.	Delete "due to connection errors in the underlying medium". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 P48 L15 # 454 Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type TR Comment Status R KR FEC for 100GBASE-CR10 remains optional. SuggestedRemedy Change e NOTE 1-CONDITIONAL BASED ON PHY TYPE to NOTE 1-CONDITIONAL BASED ON PHY TYPE to NOTE 1-CONDITIONAL, OPTIONAL OR OMITTED DEPENDING ON PHY TYPE Same in Figure 80-4 and Figure 80-5. In figures 81-1 and 82-1, leave note 1 as base spec for 40G, create note 3 for 100G F NOTE 3-CONDITIONAL, OPTIONAL OR OMITTED DEPENDING ON PHY TYPE	FEC:
maximum - because you can't tell if someone is exceeding that. I think this has to be a recommendation only, unless we extract the loss from the de-embedding procedure. IggestedRemedy Change The maximum insertion loss to The maximum recommended insertion loss esponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: The maximum insertion loss from TP0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 including the test fixt is determined using Equation (92-14). To: The recommended maximum insertion loss from TP0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 including to TP5 including to TP5 including the test fixt	Delete "due to connection errors in the underlying medium". Response Response Status ACCEPT. Cl 80 SC 80.3.2 P48 L15 Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status R KR FEC for 100GBASE-CR10 remains optional. SuggestedRemedy Change NOTE 1-CONDITIONAL BASED ON PHY TYPE to NOTE 1-CONDITIONAL, OPTIONAL OR OMITTED DEPENDING ON PHY TYPE Same in Figure 80-4 and Figure 80-5. In figures 81-1 and 82-1, leave note 1 as base spec for 40G, create note 3 for 100G F NOTE 3-CONDITIONAL, OPTIONAL OR OMITTED DEPENDING ON PHY TYPE Response Response Status	FEC:

C/ 82 SC 82.2.8a Dawe, Piers	P 67 IPtronics	L 5	# 455	<i>Cl</i> 82 Dawe, Pier	SC 82.3.1.	. P: IPtro		L 25	# 456
the PCS." Not!	Comment Status A also be used by a detached tra			Comment Type TR Comment Status A EEE option 1. Need to be able to switch EEE on or off. 2. For 40G/100G, fast wake should be the first kind of EEE. So, need second variable to allow slow EEE mode. So, need second variable to allow slow EEE mode.					
	derstand RAMs, it would be a l	PCS. Far too co	mplicated.	Suggested	Remedy				
SuggestedRemedy I don't know if there is	a remedy apart from use fast	EEE, not slow E	EE, so this PMA doesn't			e and bit with two, one e, and a second to ena			enable the "slow" or
need to know.				Response		Response Status	С		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIE	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			ACCEI	PT IN PRINCI	PLE.			
There is no requireme producers of modules is made clear that PM support EEE. The mo	ent for a PMA to understand R. or silicon may wish to use. W IA implementations do not nec st likely case where a detache be the case of a detached FE	ith the modificat essarily need to d sublayer migh	ions to EEE optionality, it modify their behavior to t wish to infer tx_mode	and the from as 2) If the	en controlled f sserting LPI. e optional beh		oport is no ment #96	ot negotiated the	
0h	and the second stands of the second fit		is family a state of the	CI 85	SC 85.1	Pa	37	L 33	# 457
PCS."	used by a detached transmit I	PINA sublayer to	infer the state of the	Dawe, Pier	S	IPtro			
To "may also be used the PCS."	by a device with a detached F	PMA or FEC sub	layer to infer the state of	Comment Type TR Comment Status A EEE of 1. This is the PMD clause. If you want descriptive text about PHYs as a whole, look at Clause 80. 2. If a PHY has fast mode EEE, it doesn't concern the PMD. Only the slow mode does 3. We should be able to give a more specific reference, to slow mode LPI. Wordsmithing attempt below: there may be better official names for fast and slow mode					he slow mode does. le LPI.
			SuggestedRemedy Change A 100GBASE-CR10 PHY with the optional Energy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capability optionally enter the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode to conserve energy during periods of I utilization (see Clause 78). to A 100GBASE-CR10 PMD with the Energy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) slow mode optional capability may optionally enter the slow Low Power Idle (LPI) mode to conserve energy periods of low link utilization (see 78.x).					during periods of low link	
				Response		Response Status	С		
				1005	PT IN PRINCI				

The remedy to #125 achieves the same.

CI 85	SC 85.7.2	P 88	L 5	# 458	C/ 92
Dawe, Pier	rs	IPtronics			Dawe, Piers
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		EEE option	Comment T
		a pattern. It doesn't even hav		pattern must come from	Missing
		h might get it from the Claus e use for EEE fast mode?	e 91 PC5/FEC.		Suggested
Suggested	Remedy				Add rov Commo
Chang If the c		fficient Ethernet (EEE) capal	hility is supporte	d (see Clause 78) then	Response
	tx_mode is set to	ALERT, the PMD will transn			REJEC
to If the c	ontional Enormy E	fficient Ethernet (EEE) slow	modo conshility	is supported (see Clause	Propos
78) the	en when the adjac	cent PMA sets tx_mode to A the PMD, which the PMD ti	LERT, it sends a		C/ 85 Dawe, Piers
Response		Response Status C			Comment T
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	Ξ.			Changi
See al	lso #127				comple is nece
Chang	je to				
Chang If the c suppo	optional Energy E rted (see Clause	fficient Ethernet (EEE) capal 78) then when tx_mode is se	et to ALERT, the	adjacent PMA sends a	<i>SuggestedF</i> Do the Delete
Chang If the c suppo	optional Energy E rted (see Clause		et to ALERT, the	adjacent PMA sends a	Suggestedf Do the Delete specifie Response
Chang If the c suppo	optional Energy E rted (see Clause	78) then when tx_mode is se	et to ALERT, the	adjacent PMA sends a	Suggestedf Do the Delete specifie Response
Chang If the c suppo repeat	optional Energy E rted (see Clause ing 16-bit pattern SC 85.7.4	78) then when tx_mode is se, hexadecimal 0xFF00, to the	et to ALERT, the e PMD, which the	adjacent PMA sends a e PMD transmits.	Suggestedf Do the Delete specifie Response REJEC
Chang If the c suppo repeat C/ 85	bptional Energy E rted (see Clause ting 16-bit pattern SC 85.7.4 rs	78) then when tx_mode is se , hexadecimal 0xFF00, to the P88	et to ALERT, the e PMD, which the	adjacent PMA sends a e PMD transmits.	SuggestedF Do the Delete specifie
Chang If the c suppo repeat Cl 85 Dawe, Piet Comment re "rx_ receive param square ampliti	pptional Energy E rted (see Clause ing 16-bit pattern SC 85.7.4 rs Type TR mode shall be se er input that is the eters of both inte	78) then when tx_mode is set , hexadecimal 0xFF00, to the P88 IPtronics Comment Status A t to QUIET and shal remain e output of a channel that sat ference tolerance test channel th a period of 16 unit interval	et to ALERT, the e PMD, which th <i>L</i> 21 in that state unti tisfies the require hels defined in 7	adjacent PMA sends a e PMD transmits. # 459 <i>LPI Rx</i> I a signal is detected at the ements of all the 2.7.2.1 when driven by a	Suggestedf Do the Delete specifie Response REJEC This be and fun
Chang If the c suppo repeat Cl 85 Dawe, Piet Comment re "rx_ receive param square ampliti	bptional Energy E rted (see Clause ing 16-bit pattern SC 85.7.4 rs <i>Type</i> TR mode shall be se er input that is the eters of both inte e wave pattern wi ude of 720 mV.": s only a PMD, not	78) then when tx_mode is set , hexadecimal 0xFF00, to the P88 IPtronics Comment Status A t to QUIET and shal remain e output of a channel that sat ference tolerance test channel th a period of 16 unit interval	et to ALERT, the e PMD, which th <i>L</i> 21 in that state unti tisfies the require hels defined in 7	adjacent PMA sends a e PMD transmits. # 459 <i>LPI Rx</i> I a signal is detected at the ements of all the 2.7.2.1 when driven by a	Suggested/ Do the Delete specifie <i>Response</i> REJEC This be and fun
Chang If the o suppo repeat C/ 85 Dawe, Piet Comment receive param square amplitu This is Suggested	poptional Energy E rted (see Clause ing 16-bit pattern SC 85.7.4 rs <i>Type</i> TR mode shall be se er input that is the evers of both inte e wave pattern wi ude of 720 mV.": s only a PMD, not <i>IRemedy</i> .g. Table 86-5, SI	78) then when tx_mode is set , hexadecimal 0xFF00, to the P88 IPtronics Comment Status A t to QUIET and shal remain e output of a channel that sat ference tolerance test channel th a period of 16 unit interval	et to ALERT, the e PMD, which the L21 in that state untitisfies the require nels defined in 7 s and peak-to-pe	adjacent PMA sends a e PMD transmits. # 459 <i>LPI Rx</i> a signal is detected at the ements of all the 2.7.2.1 when driven by a eak differential output	Suggestedf Do the Delete specifie Response REJEC This be and fun
Chang If the o suppo repeat Cl 85 Dawe, Piet Comment receive param square amplitu This is Suggested See e.	poptional Energy E rted (see Clause ing 16-bit pattern <i>SC</i> 85.7.4 rs <i>Type</i> TR mode shall be se er input that is the eters of both inte eters of both inte eters of both inte e wave pattern wi ude of 720 mV.": s only a PMD, not <i>IRemedy</i> .g. Table 86-5, Sl- able.	78) then when tx_mode is set, hexadecimal 0xFF00, to the P88 IPtronics Comment Status A t to QUIET and shal remain e output of a channel that sat ference tolerance test chan th a period of 16 unit interval a test lab!	et to ALERT, the e PMD, which the L21 in that state untitisfies the require nels defined in 7 s and peak-to-pe	adjacent PMA sends a e PMD transmits. # 459 <i>LPI Rx</i> a signal is detected at the ements of all the 2.7.2.1 when driven by a eak differential output	Suggestedf Do the Delete specifie Response REJEC This be

This section is deleted and replaced by comment #94

C/ 92 SC 92.10	P134	L15	# 460
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics		
Comment Type TR Missing spec items.	Comment Status R		

Remedy

ws for common-mode return loss, mode conversion reflection loss, Integrated on-mode Conversion Noise, ILD. Consider adding ILDrms.

Response Status C

CT.

al lacking sufficient recommended changes to implement in the draft.

C/ 85	SC 85.7.2	P88	L 6	# 461
Dawe, Pie	rs	IPtronics		

Type TR Comment Status R

ing tap weights quickly and repeatedly and turning up the volume is not good for exity, signal integrity or power consumption. I have not seen any analysis showing if thi essary or worthwhile.

Remedy

analysis.

"When tx_mode is ALERT, the transmitter equalizer taps are set to the preset state ed in 85.8.3.3.1."

Response Status C

CT.

phavior is identical to that defined for 10GBASE-KR which shares most requirements nctionality with 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR10. The onus should be on a enter to demonstrate that the change in tap weights is not required for ALERT function.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 85	SC 85.7.4	P88	L 20	# 462	C/ 91	SC 91.5.2.	6 P	L	# 464
Dawe, Piers		IPtronics			Cideciyan	, Roy	IBM		
Comment Typ	pe TR	Comment Status A		LPI Rx	Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		bucke
tx_mode	= SLEEP, rx_	tion of a data stream containin mode shall be set to QUIET":	0	0			'Alignment mapping and inser apping and insertion"	tion" whereas title	e of subclause 91.5.3.7 is
SuggestedRe It would h	emedy have to be the	deosn't even have a clock, let Clause 91 PCS/FEC or Claus nitive down the stack to the PM	e 82 PCS that p		"Align	subclauses sho ment marker m	uld have the same title, i.e., ei apping and insertion". My pref "Alignment marker mapping a	erence is that bo	
Response		Response Status C			Response	•	Response Status C		
,	IN PRINCIPL	,			•	EPT IN PRINCI	1		
This secti	ion is deleted	and replaced by comment #94	Ļ		Chan	ge heading of 9	1.5.2.6 to "Alignment marker r	mapping and inse	ertion".
<i>Cl</i> 91 Cideciyan, Rc	SC 91.5.2.6 by	Р 95 ІВМ	L 51	# 463	<i>Cl</i> 91 Cideciyan	SC 91.5.2. , Roy	7 P98 IBM	L1	# 465
	apped<1284:12 ion is not very	Comment Status A 280> contains 5 bits whereas (clear.	0x05 and 0x1A	contain 8 bits. Therefore,	Suggeste	graphical error	Comment Status A		bucke
Replace (0x05 by 0010 ²	1 and 0x1A by 11010			Response		Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.			ACCE				
[Commer	nter did not sp	ecify CommentType. Set to T.]		<i>Cl</i> 91 Cideciyan	SC 91.5.2. , Roy	7 P98 IBM	L 12	# 466
		ents on the ambiguity of assign he assignment needs to be fur		nts of binary array to a	Comment Typog	<i>Type</i> ER graphical error	Comment Status A		bucke
See comr	ment #150.				Suggester Repla		coefficients" by "whose coeffic	ients"	
					Response ACCE	9	Response Status C		

C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.7 Cideciyan, Roy	Р 98 IBM	L 23	# 467		C/ 91 Cideciyan, F	SC 91.1.2 Roy	Р 91 ІВМ	L 29	# 470
Comment Type ER Missing blank	Comment Status A			bucket	<i>Comment T</i> RS enc		Comment Status D atory, i.e., not conditional bas	sed on PHY type.	
SuggestedRemedy Insert blank between " Response	. is transmitted last." and "TI Response Status C	he first bit"			sublaye	NOTE 1-CONI		TYPE" and omit s	superscript "1" in
ACCEPT.					Proposed R REJEC		Response Status Z		
C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.3 Cideciyan, Roy	Р 101 IBM	L 10	# 468		This co	mment was WI	THDRAWN by the comment	ter.	
Comment Type ER 64-bytes should not be SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A one word. It is not used as a	an adjective in this		bucket	100GB/	ASE-KP4. For e	nily is not limited to 100GBA example, 100GBASE-LR4 a pre, inclusion of the RS-FEC	nd 100GBASE-EF	R4 do not include the RS-
Replace "64-bytes" by " <i>Response</i> ACCEPT.	64 bytes". Response Status C				<i>Cl</i> 91 Cideciyan, F	-	Р 95 ІВМ	L 21	# 471
See comment #475.					•	51	Comment Status A show the final change of tx_x description.	coded<4:0> by u	sing bitwise XOR which is
C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.1 Cideciyan, Roy	<i>Р</i> 105 ІВМ	L 3	# 469		SuggestedF	•			
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A			bucket	that illus		veral examples that illustrate coding process without the f		
SuggestedRemedy Replace "maker" by "ma	arker"				Response ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C				See cor	mment #155.			
ACCEPT.					C/ 91 Cideciyan, F	SC 91.5.2.6 Roy	Р 95 ІВМ	L 40	# 472
					<i>Comment T</i> j should	<i>ype</i> TR I run from 0 to 4	Comment Status A		bucket
					SuggestedF	Remedy			
					Given i⊧	=0, j=0 to 4, an	d x=i+4j,		

C/ 91 SC 91.5. Cideciyan, Roy	2.5 P96 IBM	L 47	# 473	C/ 91 SC 91.5.3. Cideciyan, Roy	Р 101 IBM	L 17	# 476
Comment Type TR Header bit (first bit)	Comment Status A	s 4 control block	<i>bucket</i> s not correct.	Comment Type TR Data is not descramb	Comment Status A led prior to transcoding at Rx.		bucket
SuggestedRemedy Replace header bit	(first bit) of transcoded block by	0.		SuggestedRemedy Replace " prior to d	escrambling and transcoding."	by " prior to tra	anscoding."
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Comment is agains	st Figure 91-3.			See comment #51.			
C/ 91 SC 91.5. Cideciyan, Roy	2.8 P99 IBM	L 9	# 474	C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.8 Cideciyan, Roy	5 P101 IBM	L 25	# 477
Comment Type TR There is no scramb	Comment Status A oler at Tx of RS-FEC.		bucket	Comment Type TR Notation not correct	Comment Status A		bucket
SuggestedRemedy Replace "Once the encoded,"	data is scrambled and encoded,	" by "Once the	data is transcoded and	SuggestedRemedy Replace "rx_rxcoded Response	<4:0>" by "rx_xcoded<4:0>". Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C CIPLE.			ACCEPT.			
See comment #183	3.			C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.6 Cideciyan, Roy	б Р 102 IBM	L 9	# 478
C/ 91 SC 91.5. Cideciyan, Roy	3.3 P101 IBM	L 10	# 475	Comment Type TR Encoding and scram	Comment Status A bling is not performed at Rx.		bucket
Comment Type TR			bucket	SuggestedRemedy			
	ease clarity and change from pass inimum packet size, I believe, is 6		e form. Minimum frame	Replace "Once the date and transcoded, it sh	ata is encoded and scrambled, all"	it shall" by "O	nce the data is decoded
SuggestedRemedy				Response	Response Status C		
"This will cause the within the uncorrect	PCS to discard all frames 64 by table codeword."	tes and larger that	at are fully or partially	ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	PLE.		
Response	Response Status C			Change to:			
ACCEPT.				"After the data has be	een transcoded, it shall be distri	ibuted to multiple	e PCS lanes, one 66-bit

block at a time..."

C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.7 Cideciyan, Roy	Р 102 IBM	L 27	# 479	C/ 93 SC 93.9 P 164 L 6 # 482 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
Comment Type TR j runs from 0 to 4	Comment Status A		buck	Comment TypeEComment StatusRIaThis time, the channel is normative.
SuggestedRemedy Given i=0 to 3, j=0 to 4	I, and x=i+4j, the			SuggestedRemedy Change "Channel characteristics" to "Channel specifications"
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response Response Status C REJECT.
C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.7 Cideciyan, Roy	Р 102 ІВМ	L16	# 480	See comment #434. C/ 92A SC 92A.4 P 208 L 41 # 483
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		buck	C/ 92A SC 92A.4 P 208 L 41 # 483 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t the RS decoder output. The to be the same as am_x and	· —	_, ,	Comment Type E Comment Status A la
SuggestedRemedy				This editor's note is really useful information; by popular demand there is something similar 86A.6 Recommended electrical channel, which also plots out the limits.
In Section 91.5.2.6 rep In Section 91.5.3.7 rep	place am_x and am_payloads place am_x and am_payloads	by am_tx and an by am_rx and an	n_txpayloads n_rxpayloads	SuggestedRemedy
Response	Response Status C			Please turn it into enduring regular text or informative NOTE. Please add a figure illustrating the limits of equations 92A-1 and 92A-2.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	_E.			Response Response Status C
In 91.5.2.6, change an	n_x to am_tx_x and am_paylo	ads to am_txpay	loads.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
In 91.5.2.6, change an	n_x to am_rx_x and am_paylo	bads to am_rxpay	loads.	The note is not required as this information is now in the introductory paragraph. See comment #230.
The notation is change variable "x" (PCS lane	ed from the suggested remedy number).	y to clearly separa	ate "tx" and "rx" from th	Add figures illustrating the limits of equations 92A-1 and 92A-2.
C/ 93B SC 93B	P 220	L35	# 481	C/ 92A SC 92A.8 P211 L37 # 484
Cideciyan, Roy	IBM			Dawe, Piers IPtronics
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		buck	
Incorrect test point in	Table 93B-1			"MDNEXT_loss(f), is specified using the individual NEXT losses": wrong word. It's not specified using the individual NEXT losses, it's derived/calculated/determined from them.
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy
Replace "TP1 to TP1"				Change "specified using" to "derived from", twice.
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
			3. It has been changed	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.1 P162 L 29 # 485 Dawe, Piers IPtronics IPtroptroptronics IPtro	C/ 92A SC 92A.4 P 208 L 35 # 486 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
Comment Type T Comment Status R late	Comment Type T Comment Status A late
The transmitter test fixture and receiver test fixture are not separate items, because an IC's	This can be simplified, because ILPCBmax is never used except when it is halved.
receiver has to be tested with its outputs running, and they have to be terminated. Crosstalk in the test fixture should be controlled, and we probably need a spec for it.	SuggestedRemedy
This is the kind of reason why a "Definitions of electrical parameters and measurement	Redefine ILPCBmax to be half what it is. Change
methods" would be a good idea, so this stuff can be grouped together conveniently.	is one half of the maximum insertion loss
SuggestedRemedy	to
Combine the sections for transmitter test fixture and receiver test fixture.	is one half of the maximum insertion loss Change
	for the transmitter and receiver PCB
Response Response Status C	to
REJECT.	of the transmitter or receiver PCB
The transmitter and receiver test fixtures are separate items as they are not required to be	four times. Take the x 0.5 out of the editor's note.
identical (and often will not be in practical test fixture implementations).	
	Response Response Status C
The comment on crosstalk applies equally to the transmitter (FEXT) and receiver (NEXT) although the coupling mechanisms are different. While the commenter hints at requirements	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
in this area, no specific recommendations are made.	Replace the first paragraph of 92A.4 with: "The maximum insertion loss allocation for the transmitter or receiver differential controlled impedance printed circuit boards is determined using Equation (92A-1). Note that the maximum insertion loss allocation for the transmitter or receiver differential controlled impedance printed circuit boards is 6.81 dB at 12.9806 GHz. The maximum insertion loss allocation for the transmitter or receiver differential controlled impedance printed circuit boards is consistent with the insertion loss TP0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 given in 92.8.3.4 and an

Grant editorial license to apply new definition of ILpcbmax.

assumed mated connector loss of 1.69 dB."

<i>Cl</i> 93B Dawe, Piers	SC 93B	P 220 IPtronics	L 10	# 487	C/ 93 Dawe, Piers	SC 93.1		P 149 IPtronics	L12	# 489
Comment Ty	ype T	Comment Status R		late	Comment 7	уре тр	ર	Comment Status A		la
		I foundation for future develo				scope false				
use a co SuggestedR	•	d methodology round these co	onnectors, or no	it, of both ways.				pecifies the PMD, not the PM se 91 PCS/FEC is quite out o		MD.
Add "Th precisel	is annex does r y at the interfac	not determine whether the tes e between the connector and n in a compliance board meth	the printed circ		The on this PM	ly relevant ID and the	thing Claus	Clause 91 PCS/FEC is requir that should be here is a remir se 91 PCS/FEC. normative about PMAs, go to 0	der not to put a	a 10-lane PMA betweer
Response		Response Status C			Suggestedl	Remedy				
test poir (connec	gram was base nts. As a result, ctor/board interfa	d on goergen_02a_0712 white Table 93B-1 explicitly defines ace) and therefore the propos	s the position of ed text is in con	the various test points flict with the table.	Delete Simplify NOTE- PMA sł	/: replace l -While 4-la hould not b	ullet, i ines 1 ne PN e use	ill"s. t's irrelevant. 1-20 with: //A(s) may be used to connect d below the RS-FEC. PMD clauses with the same is		e RS-FEC, a 10-lane
C/ 93	SC 93.8.3	P 163	L 47	# 488	Response			Response Status C		
Dawe, Piers		IPtronics			ACCEF	PT IN PRIN	ICIPL	E.		
Comment Ty		Comment Status A		bucket	10 4	ouroo of		na this commont it was disco	uarad that the	rocommondations in
		tions are defined as if the DC That's in the channel, not th		itor is implemented	dambro		'12 (a	ng this comment, it was disco dopted via Draft 1.0 comment		
SuggestedR	Remedy				inplem	enteu III D	iait I.	1.		
		within 93.9 Channel characte characteristics. In 92.8.4.5, c			Clause	83, impler	nent s	lide 7 of dambrosia_02_0712		
		. "The cable assembly contai					-	g a complete" and associate		- /
Response		Response Status C						-1, add a note to "Associated of		
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPL	E.						er of PMA lanes that may be u e reference>."	iseu detween s	sublayers, see
Move 93	3.8.3 to a subcla	ause under 93.9.			Make t	ne same a	djustm	nents of Clause 92 and Clause	94.	
		change to 92.8.4.5 does not dered in the context of the co								
	er, as it is not a i 92.11 would be	receiver specification, it shoul e better).	d be moved to 9	92.10 as suggested (or						

C/ 93 SC 93.8.1 P 156 L 44 # 490 Dawe, Piers IPtronics	C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.6 P 160 L 7 # 492 Dawe, Piers IPtronics
Comment Type TR Comment Status R late	Comment Type TR Comment Status A lat
Make the main Tx and Rx tables normative, as is normal for a PMD clause. SuggestedRemedy Change Transmitter characteristics measured at TP0 are summarized in Table 93-4.	This section references 85.8.3.3 while 92.8.3.3 has written it all out again. These new claus should either refer to each other or all refer back to 85.8.3.3, not both. As 85.8.3.3 / 92.8.3.3 are long and rambling and could use some editorial attention to make them more usable, an because it's likely that we will think of some technical improvements to 85.8.3.3, I think referring to a 25G/lane version is the way to go.
to Transmitter characteristics shall meet specifications summarized in Table 93-4 at TP0. Similarly for Rx, 93.8.2. Response Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy Here, change 85.8.3.3 to 92.8.3.3. Work on the structure of 92.8.3.3: separate out de- embedding methods, parameter definitions and transmitter model/behaviour. Use
Response Response Status C REJECT.	subheadings. Refer to the transmitter table rather than duplicating specs.
REJECT.	Response Response Status C
There is no basis for the assertion that it is normal for a PMD clause to specify such tables as normative. Please refer to Clauses 54, 70, 71, 72, 84, and 85 where this is not the case. The tables summarize the requirements as an aid to the reader. The specific requirements, which may extend beyond numerical limits presented in the table, are detailed in the subclause references. Cl 93 SC 93.8.1 P157 L17 # 491 Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type TR Comment Status A Need specs for common-mode output return loss and output mode conversion loss (from common to differential). SuggestedRemedy Add specs for common-mode output return loss and output mode conversion loss (from common to differential). For example, use the InfiniBand FDR specs, scaled for signalling rate and converted from TP2 specs to TP0 specs.	 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Both 92.8.3.3 and 93.8.1.6 should refer to 85.8.3.3 as the procedure is unaltered from its original form. Modification of the organization of 85.8.3.3 is beyond the scope of this project. The normative specifications are set in the corresponding subclauses. Table 93-4 is a summary intended to be a service to the reader (see comment #490). Note that the response to this comment required changes to Clause 92. Straw poll #4 Organize 92.8.3.3 consistent with 93.8.1.6. Yes 6 No 0 Organize Clause 92.8.3.3 consistent with 93.8.1.6.
Response Response Status C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Comment #171 addresses differential and common-mode return loss.	
The bearing of output mode conversion loss on link operation is unclear. It has not been specified in earlier backplane PHY projects. The commenter is invited to submit a proposal justifying the specification of the parameter and a limit (considerations for test fixtures should be made).	

C/ 93	SC 93.8.1	.8	P 161	L 38	# 493		C/ 91	SC	91.5.4.2.1		P 104	L16	# 495	
Dawe, Piers	3		IPtronics				Dawe, Pier	S		IPt	ronics			
Comment T	ype TR	Commer	nt Status A			late	Comment 7	Гуре	Е	Comment Sta	tus R			late
		ds-like language s should refere		er specs in the t	able not repeat the	m.				between align_s valid. I think the		ie when all lanes a the same.	re synchronized a	and
SuggestedF	Remedy						Suggested	Remed	dy					
	dd jitter is ch				3.8. Even-odd jitter	shall	Combir differer		m into one v	variable, or if not	, add text	to explain why the	ere are two/what t	ne
be less to	than or equa	al to 0.035 UI re	gardless of the tr	ansmit equaliza	tion setting.		Response			Response Stat	us C			
Even-oo					er shall be less than the regardless of the		REJEC	CT.						
	t equalizatio	n setting.						eskew				ng variables) is sin is no clear incenti		
Response			e Status C					-						
ACCEP	T IN PRINC	IPLE.					C/ 92		92.8.4.2.4		P132	L 46	# 496	
For brev	vitv. delete "	characterized us	sina the procedur	e" i.e. "Even-od	d jitter is defined in	"	Dawe, Pier	S		IPt	ronics			
	nij, delete		enig nie precedu	0 2.000			Comment 7	Гуре	Е	Comment Stat	tus A			late
Regard	ing whether	the table or text	should be norma	tive, refer to #4	90.		"The ris	se and	l fall times o	f the pattern ger	nerator, as	s defined in 72.7.1	.7": don't make	
<i>Cl</i> 83A Dawe, Piers	SC 83A.3	4.7	P 203 IPtronics	L 32	# 494		quick r	eview,	it looks like		ons are ec	le reference in a cl quivalent, although ng comment).		
Comment T		Commer	nt Status A		late EEE	ontion	Suggested				·	0 /		
"The glo	obal energy	detect function i			only for slow EEE,	•	Change	e to "T	he transition			erator with no equant to sentence to "trans		ed in
	-						Response		-	Response Stat	us C			
Is it pos use it?	sible for a C	AUI that doesn'	t support slow-mo	ode EEE to allow	v a PMD that does,	, to	ACCEF	PT.						
SuggestedF	Remedy						Change	e: The	rise and fal	I times of the pa	ttern gene	erator, as defined i	n 72.7.1.7	
Change							To: The	e trans	ition times of	of the pattern ge	nerator, a	s defined in 93.8.1	.5	
	bal energy d ode EEE cap		s mandatory for a	PMA connected	d to a CAUI that su	pports				Il times of the pa		erator,		
Response		Response	e Status C					he tran	isition times	of the pattern g	enerator,			
ACCEP	T IN PRINC	IPLE.												
In keep	ing with othe	r comments cha	ange to:											
		etect function is AUI shutdown	s mandatory for E	EE capability wi	th the normal wake	e mode								

C/ 81 SC 81.3a.2 Dawe, Piers	P 60 IPtronics	L 10	# 497	<i>Cl</i> 81 Dawe, P
	Comment Status A R_SENSE.indication or PLS	CARRIER.indic	bucket cation or what?	<i>Commer</i> If wh com
SuggestedRemedy ?				trans Or w
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.			l lool disco mad
PLS_CARRIER.indicati	on(CARRIER_STATUS)			Suggeste It loc
C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.8	P 99	L 9	# 498	Respons
Dawe, Piers			REJ	
scrambling on the Tx si receive side, I can see scrambled. Also that th In 91.5.3.6 receive bloc say the data is scrambl blocks and also control	Comment Status A ta is scrambled and encoded de, nor de-scrambling the 58 that three bits in 257 are sor re received first nibble is scra k distribution, "Once the data ed. First, I would not call it of blocks. Second, if only thre imply the whole stream is so	B-bit scrambler in netimes descram ambled (where w a is encoded and lata because it sl e block type bits	Clause 82. On the bled and three are ere they scrambled?). scrambled" - I wouldn't nould consist of data	The be a RF) conc C/ 80 Lusted, H Commer
Does the Tx process so	cramble or not? Make the ne	ext draft clearer.		Spec
Response	Response Status C			see
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	E.			Suggeste
Scrambling/descramblin updated to reflect this.	ng was removed from the RS	S-FEC sublayer.	The paragraph must be	Chai Clau <i>Respons</i>
See comment #183.				ACC

	SC	81.3.4	P 58	L 32	# 499
Dawe, Pie	ers		IPtronics		
Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status R		lat
come transr Or wil I looko discor	out of I nitting I I some ed in th	LPI, consur RF (pun inte PHY types e base spe	nnected, a PHY sublayer ind ne more power, and blast ou ended), "continuously". For give up after a while and go c but could not see if a norm transmitter is shut down cou	ut EMI (if a coppe ever? back to AN DMI nal loss of signal	er PHY) while <u>=</u> ? event because a cable
Suggestee	dReme	dv			
00			t coding for "low power rem	ote fault".	
Response	,		Response Status C		
REJE	CT.				
	ion and	a minimize	wasted energy (or RF polluti	on) accordingly.	
	SC	80.2.2	P 46	L1	# 10022
CI 80		80.2.2	P 46 Intel	L1	# 10022
C/ 80 Lusted, Ke	ent	80.2.2 T		L1	# [10022
CI 80 Lusted, Ke	ent <i>Type</i>	т	Intel		buck
CI 80 Lusted, Ke Comment Spec	ent <i>Type</i> referen	T Inces Clause	Intel Comment Status A 83 as the only PMA for a 10		buck
CI 80 Lusted, Ke Comment Spec see P	ent <i>Type</i> referen 802.3b	T Inces Clause h D3.1, sec	Intel Comment Status A		buck
Cl 80 Lusted, Ke Comment Spec see P Suggestee Chang	ent <i>Type</i> referen 802.3b d <i>Reme</i> ge endi	T nces Clause h D3.1, seo dy ng of first s	Intel Comment Status A 83 as the only PMA for a 10	00GBASE-R dev om "and the PM/	ice. A specification defined i
Cl 80 Lusted, Ka Comment Spec see P Suggested Chang Claus	ent <i>Type</i> referen 802.3b d <i>Reme</i> ge endi e 83." t	T nces Clause h D3.1, seo dy ng of first s	Intel Comment Status A 83 as the only PMA for a 10 t6, page 62, line 53 entence of first paragraph fr	00GBASE-R dev om "and the PM/	ice. A specification defined i
Cl 80 Lusted, Ke Comment Spec see P Suggestee Chang Claus Response	ent <i>Type</i> referen 802.3b <i>dReme</i> ge endi e 83." t	T nces Clause h D3.1, seo dy ng of first s	Intel Comment Status A 83 as the only PMA for a 10 t6, page 62, line 53 entence of first paragraph fr he PMA specification define <i>Response Status</i> C	00GBASE-R dev om "and the PM/	ice. A specification defined i
Cl 80 Lusted, Ka Comment Spec see P Suggested Chang Claus Response ACCE	ent <i>Type</i> referen 802.3b <i>dReme</i> ge endi e 83." t	T h D3.1, sec dy ng of first s to be "and t PRINCIPLE	Intel Comment Status A 83 as the only PMA for a 10 t6, page 62, line 53 entence of first paragraph fr he PMA specification define <i>Response Status</i> C	DOGBASE-R dev om "and the PM/ d in Clause 83 of	buck ice. A specification defined i r Clause 94."

Change page & line references for D1.1

C/ 94 SC 94.2.2		L18	# 10048	C/ 93	SC 93.8.2.2	P1:		# 10061
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Mellitz, R			Corporation	
	Comment Status A are several arrays of objects der noose a letter that makes it easy							should be constrained with
In draft D1.0: T() for Termination t					dRemedy			
G() for Grey-coded s P() for Precoded syr	symbols					Applied RMS noise for hinimum BER)*sqrt(2).		or" are the like. Suggested nex 69A.
are all easy to reme	mber.			Response		Response Status	С	
C() for FEC frame b F() for overhead frar				REJE	ECT.			
Q() for PAM4 symbo are not very memora					•	omment assumes that (see comment #88).	t the basis of the inter	ference tolerance test is
SuggestedRemedy	ame, O would be a possibility, b		oniuseu with a zero.	The	crest factor of the	broadband noise is sp	becified in 69A.2.3 to l	be no less than 5.
Change the letters to F() for FEC frame bi	its				commenter does r propriate.	not make it clear why t	the existing crest facto	or specification is
V() for oVerhead fra M() for PAM4 symbo				C/ 94	SC 94.3.12.3	B P1	68 L 43	# 10062
Response	Response Status C			Mellitz, R	ichard	Intel C	Corporation	
ACCEPT.				Commen	t Type TR	Comment Status	R	RX interference toleranc
[non-controversial]					e FEC changes th propriate crest fa		ed broad band noise	should be constrained with
[Draft 1.1, 94.2.2, pa	age 173, line 10]				dRemedy			
C/ 94 SC 94.3.1	1.4 P162	L 22	# 10057			ninimum BER)*sqrt(2).		or" are the like. Suggested nex 69A.
Mellitz, Richard	Intel Corpora	tion		Response	9	Response Status	C	
Comment Type TR Resolve Return loss	Comment Status A		TX return loss	REJE	ECT.			
				[Draf	t 1.1, 94.3.12.3, p	age 195, line 51]		
al supported with a by Moore, Ran, Mel	annel specification proposal pre presentation for why the time do litz, et al. nents file names and requestor l	main method is	better and how it works,	See	comment #10061.			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C							
[Draft 1.1, 94.3.11.4								
•								
See comment #1010	υδ.							
YPE: TR/technical requ	uired ER/editorial required GR/	general required	T/technical E/editorial G/g	eneral			Comment ID 10062	Page 124 of 13

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.1 P 136 L 22 # 10063 Mellitz, Richard Intel Corporation Intel Corporation	C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.3 P 132 L 22 # 10065 Mellitz, Richard Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Resolve Return loss TBD	Comment Type TR Comment Status D Resolve Return loss TBD
SuggestedRemedy Tie return loss to channel specification proposal presentation by Mellitz, Moore, Dudek, Li, et al supported with a presentation for why the time domain method is better and how it works, by Moore, Ran, Mellitz, et al. At time of this comments file names and requestor have not been finalized. Proposed Response Response Status REJECT.	SuggestedRemedy Tie return loss to channel specification proposal presentation by Mellitz, Moore, Dudek, Li, et al supported with a presentation for why the time domain method is better and how it works, by Moore, Ran, Mellitz, et al. At time of this comments file names and requestor have not been finalized. Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
C/ 94 SC 94.3.12.2 P 167 L 52 # 10064 Mellitz, Richard Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation	[Subcl 93.1.4, Page 158, Line 37 in Draft 1.0.] See comment #171.
Comment Type TR Comment Status A RX return loss Resolve Return loss TBD	C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.2 P137 L3 # 10078 Moore, Charles Avago Technologies Avago Technologies
SuggestedRemedy Tie return loss to channel specification proposal presentation by Mellitz, Moore, Dudek, Li, et al supported with a presentation for why the time domain method is better and how it works, by Moore, Ran, Mellitz, et al. At time of this comments file names and requestor have not been finalized. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type T Comment Status A table 93-7 is technically imcomplete: full of TBD's SuggestedRemedy replace TBD's with values from moore_02A_0312.pdf page 30. If we wish to use a_n values in the same way as 92.10.2 the numbers from moore_02A_0312.pdf page 30 which are
[Draft 1.1, 94.3.12.2, page 195, line 8]	expressed in Napier and Hz will have to be converted to dB and GHz. <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C
See comment #10109.	Adopt the values in
	moore_02a_0312 page 30: highlighted in green except BER and dibit gain Change DCD to EOJ.
	Moore_3bj_01_0912 page 4 use the "recommended spec" values for broad band noise

C! 94SC 94.2.4P147L40# [10080]Moore, CharlesAvago TechnologiesAvago TechnologiesComment Status ACi 93SC 93.8.1.3P132L 21# [10085]Comment Status ATX encodingread benefit interum. 18 All, there exerver is used and add 2.2% overhead. It is not clear that we resolute the block. Such errors are not likely to be what gets past FEC. Most likely multibit errors, which the tremination bits and either use the reduced overhead to strengthen FEC or reduce line rate.Ci 93SC 93.8.1.3P132L 21# [10085]Suggested/RemedyCi 93SC 93.8.1.3P132L 21# [10085]Jord 11.1.9Jost 2.4page 174, line 40]Corment Status ACThe terrination bits have been included in this draft as a result of the consensus presentation to outweigh the benefit of increasing the FEC stength or reducing the line rate in definicint implementations of enhanced performance receivers.Sc 93.8.2.1P136L 21# [10085]However, in the course of consideration of this comment, it was obse
Comment Type T Comment Status A TX encoding Termination bits complicate the coding and add 2.2%, overhead. It is not clear that we receiver that we receiver the termination botis that and gets past FEC. Most likely multible errors, at the termination botis tass likely to correct a single bit error in a 4. Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy Renove termination botis and either use the reduced overhead to strengthen FEC or reduce line rate. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Draft 11, 194.2.2.4, page 174, line 40] The termination bits have been included in this draft as a result of the consensus presentation to twieigh the benefits of the termination bits have been shown to outweigh the benefits of the termination bits have been shown to duting in 10, 101. The termination bits and dabin. 01.101.11. The utility of termination bits and adabin. 01.01.01.11. The utility of termination bits and ether use of consideration of this comment, it was observed that a change in the encoding would enable a more diverse set of receiver architectures. Change encoding per brown_30_0.3_012. P136 L21 # [100085 Wore, Charles AccePT IN PRINCIPLE. Status A Rs output return loss is TBD, we need values for equations (83-3) and (83-4) Subits enable a wide range of difficut implementations of sharles are receivers. Comment Type TR Comment Type TR Comment Status A Rs output return loss is TBD, we need values for equations (83-3) and (83-4) Suggested/Remedy <
Transformation bits complicate the coding and add 2.2% overhead. It is not clear that we nearly real banefit in terms. If a ML receiver is used it will allow use to correct a single bit error in a 4 bit block. Such errors are not likely to correct, will be what cause FEC failures. Also of the remination block is less likely to correct, will be what cause FEC failures. Also of the receiver does not use ML, there is no value to the termination block. Such errors are not likely to correct, will be what cause FEC failures. Also of the receiver does not use ML, there is no value to the termination block. Such errors are not likely to correct, will be what cause FEC failures. Also of the receiver does not use ML, there is no value to the termination bits. Suggested/Remedy Remove termination bits and either use the reduced overhead to strengthen FEC or reduce line rate. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Draft 11, 94.22.4, page 174, line 40] The termination bits have been included in this draft as a result of the consensus presentation bits enable a wide range of efficient implementations of enhanced performance receivers. However, in the course of consideration of this comment, it was observed that a change in the encoding would enable a more diverse set of receiver architectures. Change encoding per brown_3bj_03_0912. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Subtle data failed is the termination of this comment, it was observed that a change in the encoding would enable a more diverse set of receiver architectures. Change encoding per brown_3bj_03_0912. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Subtle data failed is the receiver architectures. Change encoding per brown_3bj_03_0912. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Subtle data failed is the receiver architectures. Change encoding per brown_3bj_03_0912. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Subtle data failed failed for the receiver architectures. Change encoding per brown_3bj_03_0542.20 (93-4) [In CHL] Respo
line rate. 6 dB, 0.05 0.05 6 dB, 0.05 <
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Draft 1.1, 94.2.2.4, page 174, line 40] The termination bits have been included in this draft as a result of the consensus presentation brown_01_0312 and brown_01_0512. The benefits of the termination bits have been shown to outweigh the benefit of increasing the FEC stength or reducing the line rate in dabin_01_911, parthasarthy_01_0911, and dabin_01_1111. The utility of termination bits is not limited to MLSD as explained in brown_01_0312 and dabin_01_01112. The termination bits enable a wide range of efficient implementations of enhanced performance receivers. However, in the course of consideration of this comment, it was observed that a change in the encoding would enable a more diverse set of receiver architectures. Change encoding per brown_3b_03_0912. However, in the course of consideration of this comment, it was observed that a change in the encoding would enable a more diverse set of receiver architectures. Change encoding per brown_3b_03_0912. Comment Type TR Comment Status A Rx output return loss is TBD, we need values for equations (93-3) and (93-4) SuggestedRemedy use: DifferentiaReturnLoss(f) = 10 x log10((0.026 + (ti/32)^2) / (1 + (ti/32)^2)) dB, 0.05<4<20 (93-3) CommonModeReturnLoss(f) = 10 x log10((0.026 + (ti/32)^2) / (1 + (ti/32)^2)) dB, 0.05<4<20 (93-3) CommonModeReturnLoss(f) = 10 x log10(1, 0.026 + (ti/32)^2) / (1 + (ti/32)^2)) dB, 0.05<4<20 (93-3) CommonModeReturnLoss(f) = 10 x log10(1, 0.026 + (ti/32)^2) / (1 + (ti/32)^2)) dB, 0.05<4<20 (93-3) CommonModeReturnLoss(f) = 6 dB, 0.05<4<20 (93-4) fin GHz Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [93.8.2.2, Page 162, Line 52 in Draft 1.1.]
dabir. 01_0911, parthasarthy_01_0911, and dabir. 01_1111. The utility of termination bits is not limited to MLSD as explained in brown_01_0312 and dabir. 01b_0112. The termination bits enable a wide range of efficient implementations of enhanced performance receivers. However, in the course of consideration of this comment, it was observed that a change in the encoding would enable a more diverse set of receiver architectures. Change encoding per brown_3bj_03_0912. C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.1 P136 L21 # 10086 Comment Type TR Comment Status A Rx output return loss is TBD, we need values for equations (93-3) and (93-4) SuggestedRemedy use: DifferentialReturnLoss(f) = 10 x log10((0.026 + (f/32)^2) / (1 + (f/32)^2)) dB, 0.05 <f<20 (93-3)<="" td=""> CommonModeReturnLoss(f) = 6 dB, 0.05<f<20 (93-4)<="" td=""> f in GHz Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [93.8.2.2, Page 162, Line 52 in Draft 1.1.]</f<20></f<20>
encoding would enable a more diverse set of receiver architectures. Change encoding per brown_3bj_03_0912.
6 dB, 0.05 <f<20 (93-4)<br="">f in GHz Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [93.8.2.2, Page 162, Line 52 in Draft 1.1.]</f<20>
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [93.8.2.2, Page 162, Line 52 in Draft 1.1.]
See comment #167.

C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.2	P136 L42	# 10088	C/ 93 SC 93	3.7.12	P 130	L 33	# 10097
Moore, Charles	Avago Technologies		Slavick, Jeff		Avago Techno	biogles	
Comment Type TR Comm Receiver used in clause 93 is a p bulkhead connector. Using proc instead.			Clause 72 allow update for each	vs for multiple ta tap is done inc		er. There are va	r at the same time. The riables that combine the hen evaluating if it's
SuggestedRemedy			allowed to make	e the change. V	Vhen multiple request	s are made simu	Itaneously that cause the
change: "Receiver interference tolerance	is characterized using the proce	edure defined in 85.8.4.2"		for example se			on of what should be se it doesn't cause you
to: "Receiver interference tolerance	is characterized using the proce	edure defined in Annex 69A."	SuggestedRemedy				
Change Annex 69A.2.2 to allow ~mTC and bTC or a0, a1, a2, an	definition of channel loss either		Add the following	ng text to 93.7.1	2 and 92.7.12 to the e	end of the first pa	ragraph.
Delete reference to channel nois Response Respo	e which is not defined. nse Status C				n adjustment to one C equest before sending		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Response	Resp	oonse Status C		
			REJECT.				
The parameters listed in the tabl Annex 69A or 85.8.4.2. However			[Changed Subc 1.1.]	cl from 7.12 to 9	3.7.12 for more consis	stent sorting. Pag	ge 156, Line 25 in Draft
Change the reference to Annex 6	69A as proposed in the suggest	ed remedy.	-				
Add an Editor's note to suggest of	channel-fit methodology based o	on OIF-CEI-3.0 section 12.2.			Inclear on how the sta Ilts in a violation of the		should be set when a state voltage constraints
			That said, while	e Clause 72 allo	ws parallel coefficient	update requests	, it does not require it.
				to constraint vic	otation algorithm that c plations with parallel co		ambiguity in status repor s may send individual
			Conversely, an updates in para		orithm that is insensitiv	ve to this ambigu	ity may send coefficient
			coefficient upda	ates serially or i	icient updates has the n parallel and therefor on consideration.		whether to send biguity imposed by the
			The commenter proposed in the		ide justification constrance	ain the implemen	tation in the manner

C/ 94 SC 94.4	P169	L1 # 10105	C/ 94 SC 94.3.1 Table 94-4 P160 L8 # 10107
Moore, Charles	Avago Technologie	es	Moore, Charles Avago Technologies
Comment Type T The specifications given a usable. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A are probably insuficient to give h	channel parame igh confidence that a cahnnel w	Table 94-4 contains many TBDs making it technically incomplete. SuggestedRemedy
,	esentation which will be made at	t luly meeting. Or use method	Use values from moore_02a_0312.pdf page 18.
	1.pdf and moore_01_0312.pdf	I July meeting. Of use method	Response Response Status C
Response	Response Status C		ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			[Draft 1.1, 94.3.1, page 187, line 24-41]
[Draft 1.1, 94.4, page 196	, line 26]		Update the Table with the instructions below.
In Draft 1.1, the channel i	s specified the channel operatin	g margin (COM) specified in 94.	Transmitted waveform
In addition, an informative	e return loss limit was added per	comment #363.	PAM4 DAC linearity: delete, see comment 255
			max normalized error(linear fit): use 0.025 for Normalized RMS linear fit error (max.)
			abs coefficient step size use 0.0083 for Normalized coefficient step size (min.) use 0.05 for Normalized coefficient step size (max.)
			minimum precursor fullscale range use 1.54 for Pre-cursor full-scale range (min.)
			minimum post cursor fullscale range use 4 for Post-cursor full-scale range (min.)
			Far-end transmit output noise (max) Low insertion loss channel 2/3 mV High insertion loss channel 1/3 mV
			Max output jitter (peak-to-peak) Random jitter overtaken by Comment 255 Duty Cycle Distortion overtaken by Comment 255 Total jitter excluding data dependent jitter overtaken

C/ 94 SC 94.3.11.4 P 162 L 22 # 10108 Moore, Charles Avago Technologies Avago Technologies # 10108	Cl 94 SC 94.3.12.3 table 94-7 P 168 L 26 # 10110 Moore, Charles Avago Technologies 4 10110 10110 10110
Comment Type TR Comment Status A TX return loss equation 94-3 is TBD, this is technically incomplete TX return loss TX return loss	Comment Type TR Comment Status R RX interference tolerand Technically incomplete: most values are TBD. SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy use equation given in moore_02a_0312.pdf page 20 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [Draft 1.1, 94.3.11.4, page 189, line 22] Specify the return loss using the first equation from slide 11 of benartsi_3bj_02_0912. Set the parameters as follows: GAMMA = 0.35, f1 = 2.35*25.78125 GHz. Also specify the common-mode return loss limit to be 6 dB over the frequency range.	suggesteurcementy use values from moore_02a_0312.pdf page 31, using the values listed under "Test 3" for te 1 and values given for "Test 4" for test 2. Response Response Status C REJECT. [Draft 1.1, 94.3.12.3, Table 94-7, page 195] Lack of consensus to make proposed change. Given the adoption of COM and the revised transmitter test procedures it is desirable for the parameters in Table 94-7 and their values to be reevaluated.
The frequency range is 0.05 to 10 GHz. Cl 94 SC 94.3.12.2 P 167 L 52 # 10109 Moore, Charles Avago Technologies RX return loss Comment Type TR Comment Status A RX return loss	Cl 92 SC 92.8 P94 L1 # 10140 Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type ER Comment Status R The layout of these clauses makes them hard to use, with PMD specifications on the one hand, and measurement and definition detail on the other, muddled together.
Equation 94-14 is TBD, that is technically incomplete. SuggestedRemedy Use equation from moore_02a_0312.pdf page 20. Page 20 gives it a Tx differential return loss but the same equation can be used for Rx Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy Follow the usual layout of a PMD clause, with subclause for transmitter and receiver then a separate subclause: Definition of parameters and measurement methods. Response Response Status C REJECT. Clause 92 (PMD) structure follows Clause 85 providing Tx and Rx subclauses and subclause
[Draft 1.1, 94.3.12.2, page 195, line 8] Specify the return loss using the first equation from slide 11 of benartsi_3bj_02_0912. Set the parameters as follows: GAMMA = 0.35, f1 = 2.35*25.78125 GHz. Specify the common-mode return loss limit to be 6 dB over the frequency range. The frequency range is 0.05 to 10 GHz.	for link segment parameters etc

C/ 92 Dawe, Pie		92.7.1	P89 IPtronics	L 41	# 10141	C/ 93 Dawe, Pie		93.8.1.2	P 131 IPtronics	L 50	# 10143
Comment		ER	Comment Status R			Comment		TR	Comment Status A		
"Funct layer o e.g. at Suggested Try to	tional sp does. T the be dRemed move s	pecificatio This text is ginning of <i>dy</i> some of th	e material between line 41 lir 2 "Annex 92A." into the chan	al detail which is s and measurem ne "A mated conn	better placed elsewhere ent methods" subclause ector pair has been	A patt 52.9.1 A patt be use Table Squar	ern with .2 Squa ern con ed as a 86-11- e wave	h a 2 UI pe are wave p nsisting of t square wa Test patter e (8 ones, 8	riod is not a "square wave": attern definition our to eleven consecutive on ave. ns	·	
			subclause.			want t	o conta		A or steady-state voltage bec		
Response			Response Status C			chanr					
REJE	CT.					Suggestee			nottorn, DDDC21 or opromble		DDDCO
			ne link block diagram and sup	ports the defined	test point definitions in			rrequency	pattern: PRBS31 or scramble	ed idle, possibly	PRD39.
Table	92-4 10	00GBASE	-CR4.			Response ACCE		PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.		
						[93.8.	1.3, paç	ge 158, line	e 11 in Draft 1.1.]		
						test pa	attern w		ay be provided by the PMA a d of 16 UI. It would be benefi bled idle.		
						found	in eithe	er the PRB	attern that is entirely alternatii S9 or PRBS31 test pattern. F it equalizer compliance.		
						or AC		on-mode o	attern is defined for DC or AC utput voltage requirements sl		
						"The regard	beak-to- lless of	-peak diffe f the transr	d third paragraph of 93.8.1.3 t rential output voltage shall be nit equalizer setting. The pea 30 mV when the transmitter	e less than or eo k-to-peak differe	ential output voltage sh
						groun respe	d. The <i>i</i> ct to sig	AC commo	e output voltage shall be betw on-mode output voltage shall d. Common-mode output volta r setting."	be less than or	equal to 12 mV RMS v
						۵ ما ما دا			raph to and of 02.8.1.2:		

Add the following paragraph to end of 93.8.1.3: "Differential and common-mode signal levels are measured with a PRBS9 test pattern."

C/ 93 SC 93.8.1 P 131 L Dawe, Piers IPtronics	# 10145	Cl 93 SC Dawe, Piers	93.8.1.2	P 131 IPtronics	L 51	# 10146
Comment Type T Comment Status A		Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		
For robustness, it would help if there were something like a mV) so that the Tx would never set the signal to invert if the				er signal parameters are spe es, that's just too expensive.		ved in an infinite
weight changes.		SuggestedReme	dy			
SuggestedRemedy Consider adding a minimum VMA spec, or similar, so that T all its the taps to zero when still technically transmitting.	x can never invert the signal or se	as observed (Someone w	through a 3 ith a much	ansition time, DCD, TJ, AC of 33 GHz fourth-order Bessel-1 faster scope can use a softw	homson respon	se.
Response Response Status C		would give g	real accura			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		Response ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE	Response Status C		
[Page 157, Line 9 in Draft 1.1].		[93.8.1.3 Pa	ae 158 line	e 11 in Draft 1.1]		
The PMD control function gives the receiver complete contro stated another way, several lengths of enough rope with whi		The lack of a	recommer	idation on measurement ban te, only that no recommenda		
While the commenter points out the extreme case where rea steady state voltage to zero, or even opposite the symbol po		that matter) i		···,· , · ··· · · · · · · · · ·		
likely exists other settings that yield the same effect which is communicate.	s the inability to effectively			pecifiy a fourth-order 33 GH asurements and receiver str		
When this happens, the receiver is given multiple escape ro initialize to the transmitter in order to return to a known state				a fourth-order 17 GHz Besse urements and receiver stress		
So, while a minimum VMA specification could eliminate one	e problematic case, it does not					

solve the problem of an errant algorithm sending the transmitter into a bad state. Given this, if may be preferrable to not impose such a constraint since these constraints, as pointed out by comment #97, can be problematic for some algorithms.

Straw Poll #2 Should a minimum VMA specification be defined? Yes -- 8 No -- 4

Lack of consensus to make change.

C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.5.1 Dawe. Piers	P134 IPtronics	L19	# 10147	<i>Cl</i> 92 Dawe, Pier		92.8.3.8	P 102 IPtronics	L 33	# 10154
,	ment Status A			Comment		TR	Comment Status A		
This isn't a test spec. No "shall thing comply - it might be estab Transition time is nicer.	be verified" or "shall			This sa to be p bandw	ays "the precise ridth. W	e measure and not bi Ve give the	ment bandwidth should be ased: we can't say whethe reader the hint in the new fference as long as it's a r	er more bandwidth t sentence that it r	is "better", or less may not be critical. (I do
SuggestedRemedy						0	lielence as long as its a i	easonable inteal-p	nase response.)
Change "The steady state volta transmit equalizer coefficients h voltage and linear fit pulse peak the transmit equalizer coefficier	ave been set to the '	"preset" values." with the specific	to "The steady state ations in Table 93-4 whe	to "The	e "For l e wavef	DDJ meas	surements, the measurem served through a fourth-or		
Response Resp	onse Status C			Response			Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLI	Ξ.		
[93.8.1.6.1, Page 160, Line 24 i The suggested remedy adds no	-	s that are redund	ant with subsequent				ed against Clause 93, Pag , Page 102, line 33 and h		
paragraphs. Replace the text of				See co	omment	t #10146.			
"The steady state voltage vf is o (refer to 85.8.3.3 step 3). The s less than or equal to 0.6 V after	teady state voltage s	hall be greater th	an or equal to 0.4 V and	<i>Cl</i> 93 Dawe, Pier		93.8.1.2	P132 IPtronics	L 2	# 10155
"preset" values.		er coemcients na	ve been set to the	Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status A		
The peak value of p(k) shall be have been set to the "preset" va		after the transmi	t equalizer coefficients				surement filter for AC com ame as for DDJ and so on		voltage. It is convenie
				Suggestea	Remea	ly			
C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.5 Dawe, Piers	P 106 IPtronics	L 49	# 10153	"The s 33 GH		observed	through a fourth-order Be	ssel-Thomson res	ponse with a bandwidth
Comment Type T Com	ment Status A			Response			Response Status C		
"The low frequency 3 dB cutoff	of the AC coupling sl			ACCE	PT IN F	PRINCIPLI	Ξ.		
hand, the signalling rate is 2.5x higher. Anyway, one would exp				See co	omment	t #10146.			
SuggestedRemedy									
50 kHz, or perhaps lower.									
Response Resp	onse Status C								
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.									
See comment#396.									

Cl 92 SC 92.7.1 P 90 L 7 # 10161 Dawe, Piers IPtronics	C/ 92 SC 92.7.8 P 92 L 16 # 10165 Dawe, Piers IPtronics IPtroniptroIPtronics <t< th=""></t<>
Comment Type T Comment Status A bucket Figure 92-2 shows TP0 just by the PMD transmit function, TP1 just by the connector and so on. This is at odds with the text: TP1-4 are offset from the connector by the HCB or MCB trace loss, TP0 and TP5 are not offset. SuggestedRemedy Make the arrow for TP0 and TP5 point exactly at the end of the function, move the arrows for TP1-4 further from the connectors. Thanks! C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In Figure 92-2 move TP0 and TP5 as close to end of Tx/Rx functions as possible. TP1 to TP4 includes cable assembly text fixture loss; move TP1 and TP4 further back from MDI.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A This (a PMD clause) says "Local loopback mode shall be provided by the adjacent PMA (see 83.5.8) as a test function to the device." That's impossible: only the PMA clause can tell the PMA what to do. "Device" is not a standards word (too vague). Why is this loopback needed? SuggestedRemedy 83.5.8, PMA local loopback mode, says "PMA local loopback shall be provided by the PMA adjacent to the PMD for 40GBASE-KR4, 40GBASECR4, and 100BASE-CR10 PMDs." If it's really necessary, explain in the comment response, and add 100BASE-CR4 to the list in 83.5.8, and here in 92.7.8, change to "The PMA adjacent to the PMD provides PMA local loopback mode (see 83.5.8) as a test function." Otherwise, change to "The PMA adjacent to the PMD provide PMA local loopback mode (see 83.5.8) as a test function." Similarly for 93.7.8 and 94.2.9.
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The commenter correctly points out the normative requirement is already stated in 83.5.8. It sets the precedent that loopback is required for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s copper PHYs. Change the first sentence of 83.5.8 as follows. "PMA local loopback shall be provided by the PMA adjacent to the PMD for 40GBASE-KR4, 40GBASE-CR4, 100GBASE-CR10, 100GBASE-KR4, and 100GBASE-CR4 PMDs."

"Local loopback mode is provided by the adjacent PMA (see 83.5.8) as a test function."

Change the first sentence of 92.7.8 and 93.7.8 to:

C/ 92 So Dawe, Piers	C 92.8.3	P 94 IPtronics	L13	# 10169	C/ 92 Dawe, Piers	SC 92.8.4.5	P 106 IPtronics	L 49	# 10171
					,				
omment Type		Comment Status A			Comment Ty		Comment Status A		
		ninal unit interval is not neces as including 93 and 94 don't.	ssary, very diffic	ult to do precisely, and nc			eceivers are AC coupled. GBASE-CR4 connectors. I		
uggestedRem					connect	ors, the receive	lanes are AC coupled; the		
	-	able 92-7. In 92.8.3.9 and 9	2.8.4.4. change	e "nominally" to	connect		connector type at present	t with the AC coup	ling in the cable therefo
		e the sentences.	, ,	······································		ded in the recei			
esponse		Response Status C			SuggestedR	Remedy			
ACCEPT IN		.			Delete tl	he first two sen	tences and "Style-1".		
Straw poll #	#10				Response		Response Status C		
Delete "unit		v from Table 92-7.			ACCEP	т.			
Agree 7 Disagree	- 9				Use sug	gested remedy			
Therefore									
Unit UI use		, throughout clause. In additi	on, subclauses	include percentage of UI					
Unit UI use e.g., 92.8.3 In 92.8.3.9	ed extensively 3.3 Transmitte	•	·						
Unit UI use e.g., 92.8.3 In 92.8.3.9	ed extensively 3.3 Transmitte change "nor	r throughout clause. In additi er output waveform . ninally" to "approximately". In	n 92.8.4.4 delete	e nominal.					
Unit UI use e.g., 92.8.3 In 92.8.3.9 9 92 So awe, Piers comment Type	ed extensively 3.3 Transmitte change "nor C 92.8.3 ER	r throughout clause. In additi er output waveform . ninally" to "approximately". In P 94 IPtronics Comment Status R	n 92.8.4.4 deleti	e nominal. # 10170					
Unit UI use e.g., 92.8.3 In 92.8.3.9 / 92 St awe, Piers omment Type "92.8.3 Tra standards l	ed extensively 3.3 Transmitte change "nor C 92.8.3 E ER Insmitter char language!	r throughout clause. In additi er output waveform . ninally" to "approximately". In P 94 IPtronics	h 92.8.4.4 delete L1 tasheet. Please	e nominal. # 10170					
Unit UI use e.g., 92.8.3 In 92.8.3.9 (7 92 St Dawe, Piers Comment Type "92.8.3 Tra standards I	d extensively 3.3 Transmitte change "nor C 92.8.3 E ER Insmitter char language! the house st	r throughout clause. In additi er output waveform . ninally" to "approximately". In P 94 IPtronics <i>Comment Status</i> R racteristics" sounds like a da	h 92.8.4.4 delete L1 tasheet. Please	e nominal. # 10170					
Unit UI use e.g., 92.8.3 In 92.8.3.9 92 Stawe, Piers <i>comment Type</i> "92.8.3 Tra standards I Also follow <i>cuggestedRem</i> Change "92	change "nor C 92.8.3 ER unsmitter char language! the house st nedy 2.8.3 Transm	r throughout clause. In additi er output waveform . ninally" to "approximately". In P 94 IPtronics <i>Comment Status</i> R racteristics" sounds like a da	n 92.8.4.4 delete L1 tasheet. Please	e nominal. # <u>10170</u>					
Unit UI use e.g., 92.8.3 In 92.8.3.9 99 St Pawe, Piers Comment Type "92.8.3 Tra standards I Also follow CuggestedRem Change "92 Similarly fo	change "nor C 92.8.3 ER unsmitter char language! the house st nedy 2.8.3 Transm	r throughout clause. In additi er output waveform . ninally" to "approximately". In P94 IPtronics <i>Comment Status</i> R racteristics" sounds like a da yle of 100GE unless improvi	n 92.8.4.4 delete L1 tasheet. Please	e nominal. # <u>10170</u>					
Unit UI use e.g., 92.8.3 In 92.8.3.9 92 St bawe, Piers <i>Comment Type</i> "92.8.3 Tra standards I Also follow <i>SuggestedRem</i> Change "92	change "nor C 92.8.3 ER unsmitter char language! the house st nedy 2.8.3 Transm	throughout clause. In addition output waveform . P94 IPtronics <i>Comment Status</i> R racteristics" sounds like a da yle of 100GE unless improvi itter characteristics" to "92.8 d the other PMD clauses.	n 92.8.4.4 delete L1 tasheet. Please	e nominal. # <u>10170</u>					
Unit UI use e.g., 92.8.3 In 92.8.3.9 7 92 St awe, Piers comment Type "92.8.3 Tra standards I Also follow uggestedRem Change "92 Similarly fo cesponse	d extensively 3.3 Transmitte change "nor C 92.8.3 E ER Insmitter char anguage! the house st nedy 2.8.3 Transm r receiver and	throughout clause. In addition output waveform . P94 IPtronics <i>Comment Status</i> R racteristics" sounds like a da yle of 100GE unless improvi itter characteristics" to "92.8 d the other PMD clauses.	n 92.8.4.4 delete L1 tasheet. Please	e nominal. # <u>10170</u>					

C/ 93 SC 93.7.12 Dawe, Piers	P 130 IPtronics	L 31	# 10175	C/ 93 Sela, Oren	SC 93-1	P 123 Mellanox Techr	L	# 10188
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment	Гуре Т	Comment Status R	leregiee	
	of the 100GBASE-KR4 PMD s	hall use the sam	e control function as			table 93-1 due to startup protoco	ol and reference	to PMD control
10GBASE-KR, as define	ned in 72.6.10." and 72.6.10 s	ays "The control	channel is signaled	Suggested				
10GBASE-KR signalin	chester encoding (DME) at a s ng rate. Since each DME symb sition is four 10GBASE-KR U	ol contains two I	OME transition positions	Add to	table 93-1: //D control re	auired		
every eight 10GBASE-	-KR UI.			Response		Response Status C		
	same training frames run 2.5 t stated above but PRBS 2.5x f		iding DME 2.5 times	REJEC	CT.			
SuggestedRemedy Please make this clear	-			[Comm	ent is against	Table 93-1, Page 149, Line 23 ir	n Draft 1.1.]	
				The 10	GBASE-KR PI	MD sublayer is not required to fo	rm a complete 1	00GBASE-KR4
Response	Response Status C					ad, the 100GBASE-KR4 PMD su		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	LE.			functio	n that is function	onally equivalent, but not identica	al, to the function	described in 72.6.10.
[Page 156, Line 25 in I	Draft 1.1.]			C/ 93	SC 93.8.1	P131	L 34	# 10203
The timing parameters	s in 72.6.10 should be scaled I	a b a factor of 0.4	for 100GBASE-KR4 to	Hidaka, Ya	suo	Fujitsu Laborate	ories of	
account for the reducti				Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status R		
"The training frame str defined in 72.6.10 with	ence the end of the first parag ructure used by the 100GBAS the exception that 25.78125	E-KR4 PMD cont GBd symbols rep		It was	tter excluding E defined as 0.25 defined as 0.28	DDJ is defined as 0.28UI. 5UI excluding DDJ in clause 85. 3UI including DDJ in clause 72. JI including DDJ.		
symbols and 100GBAS	SE-KR4 UI replace 10GBASE	-KR UI."		We sh	ould change it t	to 0.25UI as it excludes DDJ.		
Make similar changes	to 92.7.12.			Suggested	0			
7 92 SC 92-1	P85	L	# 10187	00	e 0.28UI with 0).25UI.		
Sela, Oren	Mellanox Tec	hnologies		Response		Response Status C		
comment Type T	Comment Status R			REJEC	CT.			
	he table due to startup protoc	ol and the PMD o	ontrol which is	[Subcl	changed from	8.1 to 93.8.1 for more consistent	sorting.]	
uggestedRemedy				Lack o	f consensus to	make the change.		
Add to table 92-1: 72-PMD control requ	uired							
Response	Response Status C							
REJECT.								
Physical Layer. Instead	ID sublayer is not required to d, the 100GBASE-CR4 PMD nally equivalent, but not identi	sublayer incorpor	ates a PMD control					
YPE: TR/technical require	ed ER/editorial required GR/	general required	T/technical E/editorial G/	general		Commer	nt ID 10203	Page 135 of 1

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 Common Common

Page 135 of 137 9/27/2012 7:31:26 AM

C/ 92 SC 92.7 Dudek, Mike	1	L 48	# 10212	C/ 94 Matthew, I	SC 94. 4 Brown	.1	P169 Applied Micro	L 8	# 10233
	Comment Status A Fest points TP0 to TP1 and TP4 nector pairs between eg TP0 and		<i>bucket</i> h the description. There		ion 94-17 w	hich is inherited			<i>bucket</i> econd equation 94-18 o a single equation set.
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	dRemedy				
Change the test p	pints on this row from TP1 to TP	2 and from TP4 to	TP3			quation in 94-1			
Response	Response Status C			a0+a1	l*sqrt(f)+a2'	f+a3*f^2+a4*f^	3		
ACCEPT.					ge the botto 6*(f-f2);	m equation in 9	4-17 to:		
Change Table 92- to TP5".	4 row 3 from "TP0 to TP1" to "TF	P0 to TP2" and fro	m "TP4 to TP5" to "TP3	Delete	e line~17 sta	arting with "Ama	ax".		
C/ 92 SC 92.8	4.5 P 106	L 49	# 10219	Delete	e lines 23 to	32.			
Dudek, Mike	QLogic			۸ ما ما ۴	a fallouing				
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			a0 = 0	ne following).8				
connectors. SuggestedRemedy	ctor isn't to be used for 100G-CF			a2 = 1 a3 = 2 a4 = -	1.7372e-4 1.1554e-9 2.7795e-19 1.0423e-29 33.467				
	and delete "style 1" in the next s		THO Style-2 TOODAGE	a6 = 1					
Response	Response Status C			Response		Respo	nse Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRIN	CIPLE.			ACCE	PT.				
See response con	nment #10171.			[Draft	1.1, 94.4.2,	page 196, line	29]		
				C/ 94	SC 94.2	2.5	P150	L 29	# 10234
				Matthew, I	Brown		Applied Micro		
				Comment	Туре ТІ	comn	nent Status A		TX EEE encoding
						n, a signal strue PMA frame sig		echanism for all	owing the receiver to
				Suggested	dRemedy				
				A prop	oosal will be	provided at the	e July meeting.		
				Response ACCE		•	nse Status C		
				[Draft	1.1, 94.2.5,	page 177, line	26]		

Adopt the ALERT signalling proposed in brown_3bj_01_0912.

C/ 94	SC 94.2.5	P 150	L 29	# 10235
Matthew,	Brown	Applied Micro		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		bucket
		ignal structure and framing me ing the fast wake.	echanism for allo	owing the PMA/PMD to
Suggested	dRemedy			
A prop	oosal will be prov	ided at the July meeting.		
Proposed	Response	Response Status Z		
REJE	CT.			
This o	comment was WI	THDRAWN by the commenter		
11110 0				
[Draft	1.1, 94.2.5, page	e 177, line 26]		
C/ 94	SC 94.2.4	P 50	L 24	# 10236
Matthew,	Brown	Applied Micro		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		RX decoding
Detail	ed descriptions o	f the PMA decoding process a	re required.	
•	dRemedy			
Suggestee		ion to complement sections 94	.2.2.1 to 94.2.2.	8.
00	a de-coding sect	ion to complement sections 3-		
00	Ũ	Response Status C		
Write	,			
Write <i>Response</i> REJE	,	Response Status C		