Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.1 Barrass, Hugh	4 P25 Cisco	L <b>32</b>	# 1	C/ 78 SC 78 Anslow, Pete	Р <b>37</b> Ciena	L <b>1</b>	# 4
Comment Type <b>E</b> Typo 10G instead of 1	Comment Status X 100G in Table 45-191			Comment Type E The title of clause 78	Comment Status X is "Energy efficient Ethernet (I	EEE)"	
SuggestedRemedy Change 10G to 100G	in 8 instances.			SuggestedRemedy Add the " (EEE)" to th	ne title of Clause 78		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 69 SC 69.1.3 Anslow, Pete	Р <b>28</b> Ciena	L 51	# 2	<i>Cl</i> 78 SC 78.2 Anslow, Pete	Р <b>38</b> Ciena	L37	# 5
	Comment Status X n says "Change Figure 69–1 a show any changes, it is a repla		9–1a as shown:" but		Comment Status X ge is to Table 78-2 (as reflected sentence "Table 78–2 summa PHYs."		
Change the editing ins	struction to: and insert Figure 69–1a as sl	hown:"		SuggestedRemedy Remove this sentence	e from the draft as it is not mo	odified.	
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
	Р <b>32</b> Ciena	L <b>6</b>	# 3				
Anslow, Pete Comment Type E							
Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The cell borders for Ta 91, 93 and 94 SuggestedRemedy	Ciena Comment Status X	re row are not cor	nsistent for clauses				

C/ 80 SC 80.1.2	P <b>42</b>	L17	# 6	C/ 80 SC 80.1.4	P <b>44</b>	L15	# 8
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Firstly, all editing inst	on says "Delete the entire section tructions in this amendment rel			In Table 80-1 "33dB and the unit.	' and "35dB" should have a nor	n-breaking space	e between the number
need to be stated.	base document, this will have t	the effect of renu	mbering 80.1.3 through	SuggestedRemedy			
80.1.5 to be 80.1.2 th			mbening 60.1.5 through	Change "33dB" and	"35dB" to "33 dB" and "35 dB"	using non-break	ing spaces (Ctrl space
The modifications to change.	what were formerly 80.1.3 thro	ugh 80.1.5 just b	elow should reflect this	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Note, the same issue	e for 60.1.2 is the subject of a s	eparate commer	nt.	C/ 80 SC 80.1.5	P <b>44</b>	L27	# 9
uggestedRemedy				Anslow, Pete	Ciena	L <b>21</b>	# 9
5 5	nstruction to "Delete 80.1.2 and	l renumber subse	equent clauses				
accordingly." For 80.1.3 through 80	0.1.5, move the editing instruct	ions above the tit	tles, renumber to	Comment Type E	Comment Status X struction does not show the rep	laced object in s	strikeout
80.1.2 through 80.1.4	4 and amend the editing instruc	ction to refer to:					hincout
100 A /							
"80.1.x (now renumbe				SuggestedRemedy	ion of Table 90.2 and abanged	the edition instru	ation to match these
,	ered to 80.1.y)" Response Status <b>O</b>			Remove the old versused previously:	sion of Table 80-2 and change t	0	ction to match those
Proposed Response		L <b>43</b>	# [7]	Remove the old versused previously:	5	0	ction to match those
roposed Response 7 80 SC 80.1.3 nslow, Pete	Response Status <b>0</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 7	Remove the old vers used previously: "Replace Table 80-2	and insert Table 80-2a as sho	0	
roposed Response <b>80</b> SC <b>80.1.3</b> Inslow, Pete omment Type <b>E</b> The editing instruction	Response Status O P42 Ciena Comment Status X	L <b>43</b>	# [7]	Remove the old vers used previously: "Replace Table 80-2 Proposed Response	and insert Table 80-2a as sho Response Status <b>0</b>	wn:"	ction to match those # [ <u>10</u>
roposed Response 7 80 SC 80.1.3 nslow, Pete comment Type E The editing instruction "Change note h) as s	Response Status O P42 Ciena Comment Status X ons: shown." and	L <b>43</b>	# 7 <u> </u>	Remove the old versused previously: "Replace Table 80-2 Proposed Response C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 Anslow, Pete	and insert Table 80-2a as sho Response Status <b>O</b> P <b>45</b> Ciena	wn:"	
roposed Response <b>80</b> SC <b>80.1.3</b> Inslow, Pete omment Type <b>E</b> The editing instruction "Change note h) as s "Add note j) as shown refer to "notes" but th	Response Status O P42 Ciena Comment Status X ons: shown." and	L <b>43</b>	# 7 <u></u> _	C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The cell borders for	and insert Table 80-2a as sho Response Status O P45 Ciena Comment Status X Table 80-2 and Table 80-2a in	um:"	# <u>10</u>
Image: Section of the section of th	Response Status O P42 Ciena Comment Status X ons: shown." and m." nese are items not notes	L <b>43</b>	# [ <u>7</u> _	Remove the old versused previously:         "Replace Table 80-2         Proposed Response         Cl 80 SC 80.1.5         Anslow, Pete         Comment Type       E         The cell borders for consistent for clause	and insert Table 80-2a as sho Response Status <b>0</b> P <b>45</b> Ciena Comment Status <b>X</b>	um:"	# <u>10</u>
Proposed Response 27 80 SC 80.1.3 Inslow, Pete Comment Type E The editing instruction "Change note h) as s "Add note j) as shown refer to "notes" but the Suggested Remedy Change the editing in	Response Status O P42 Ciena Comment Status X ons: shown." and m." nese are items not notes instructions to:	L <b>43</b>	# 7 <u></u>	Remove the old versused previously: "Replace Table 80-2 Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80.1.5 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The cell borders for consistent for claus SuggestedRemedy	and insert Table 80-2a as sho Response Status <b>O</b> P <b>45</b> Ciena Comment Status <b>X</b> Table 80-2 and Table 80-2a in es 78, 91, 93, 93 and 94	wn:" <i>L</i> 8 the Nomenclatur	# <u>10</u> re row are not
Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80.1.3 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The editing instruction "Change note h) as s "Add note j) as shown refer to "notes" but th SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P42 Ciena Comment Status X ons: shown." and m." nese are items not notes nstructions to: shown." and	L <b>43</b>	# 7	Remove the old versused previously: "Replace Table 80-2 Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80.1.5 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The cell borders for consistent for claus SuggestedRemedy Change the right bo	and insert Table 80-2a as sho Response Status O P45 Ciena Comment Status X Table 80-2 and Table 80-2a in	wn:" <i>L</i> 8 the Nomenclatur or clause 89 in Ta	# [ <u>10</u> re row are not able 80-2 and the left

C/ 81 SC 81.3a Anslow, Pete	Р <b>59</b> Сіепа	L <b>35</b>	# 11	C/ 82 SC 82.6 Anslow, Pete	P <b>72</b> Ciena	L <b>48</b>	# 14
Comment Type E The formatting of the te SuggestedRemedy Correct the formatting Proposed Response	Comment Status X ext below Figure 81-9a is not Response Status O	usual (the left m	argin is indented)		Comment Status X on "Change 82.6 to add new PH Marris_01_0312.pdf)" removed s_01_0312.pdf)" Response Status <b>0</b>	HY types (per Ma	rris_01_0312.pdf)" ca
7 82 SC 82.2.18.2 nslow, Pete	.3 P 69 Ciena	L18	# 12				
Comment Type <b>E</b> This says "a block type using upper case letter	Comment Status X field of 0x1e" but the rest of s	this subclause f	ormats Hex characters				
SuggestedRemedy Change to "a block type	e field of 0x1E"						
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3 Anslow, Pete	.1 <i>P</i> 71 Ciena	L <b>28</b>	# [13				
Comment Type E The references "TABLE 82–5b"	Comment Status X E 82–5a" and "TABLE 82–5b"	" should be "Tab	le 82–5a" and "Table				
SuggestedRemedy Change "TABLE" to "Ta	able" in two places						
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>						

Cl 91 SC 91.5 Anslow, Pete	. <b>2.5</b> <i>P</i> <b>95</b> Ciena	L <b>12</b>	# 15	<i>Cl</i> <b>92</b> Anslow, P	SC 92.8.3		P <b>122</b> Ciena	L <b>43</b>	# 16
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment		Comment Si			
This says "such th The usual arrange left (i.e. for contro	nat tx_coded_c<1:0>=01." ement for the sync bits is to she		st bit transmitted on the	In "the	e requirement BASE-KR4"			n 93.8.1.6", "1000	GBASE-KR" should be
		bit separately.		Chang	ge "100GBAS	E-KR" to "100GBAS	SE-KR4"		
Also, it would kee	p the sync bits in the usual ord	ler if the <0> index v	vas shown first.	Proposed	Response	Response St	atus <b>O</b>		
Similar issues in 9	91.5.3.5 and 91.5.3.7								
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 93	SC 93.9.2	2	P165	L <b>2</b> 7	# 17
On line 1, change				Anslow, P			Ciena		
	and tx_coded_j<0>=0," to: and tx_coded_j<1>=1,"			Comment	Tvpe E	Comment Si	tatus X		
"tx_coded_j<0>=1 On line 12 change	) and tx_coded_j<0>=1," to: and tx_coded_j<1>=0,"			post-c As sta simply	cursor coefficiented in 1.2.6, t	ent", Maximum valu he trailing zeros ha	ies are given	as "0.00"	"Transmitter equalizer
	ed_c<0>=1 and tx_coded_c<1:	>=0		Suggeste	dRemedy				
On page 101, line "rx_coded_j<1> =				Make		" in two places in T ange in two places in Response St	n Table 94-8		
On page 101, line									
	0 and rx_coded_j<0> = 1" to: 1 and rx_coded_j<1> = 0"			C/ 83C	SC 83C		P <b>205</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 18
IX_000004_J<0> =				Anslow, P	ete	(	Ciena		
On page 101, line				Comment	Type E	Comment St	tatus X		
	1 and rx_coded_j<0> = 0" to: 0 and rx_coded_j<1> = 1"			guide	lines and MMI	ving subclauses pro D numbering conve d not be shown.			
On page 102, line				Suggeste					
"Finally, am_x<1:0 "Finally, am_x<0>	0> = 01" to: v = 1 and am x<1> = 0"			00	ove the senten				
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>0</b>				Response	Response St	atus O		
· ·				rioposeu	1.03001130	Response St			

C/ 92A SC 92A.7	P <b>211</b> Ciena	L <b>20</b>	# 19	C/ 78 SC 78.1.4 Anslow, Pete	P <b>38</b> Ciena	L <b>5</b>	# 21
Comment Type E The text "from 0.05 GF frequency. SuggestedRemedy Change to ""from 0.05	Comment Status X Hz to 18.75 Gw3qw0-Hz" seer	ns to use unusua	I units for the upper	"Clauses associated v but "XGXS (XAUI)" ar	nd "XLAUI/CAUI" are not PHY		oo 70 0 ood 70 4
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedRemedy Change the title of Ta	ent proposes to make similar ble 78-1 to: with each PHY or interface typ	Ũ	es 70-2 and 70-4
:/ <b>69</b> SC <b>69.5</b> nslow, Pete	P <b>32</b> Ciena	L <b>47</b>	# 20	Change the left hand "PHY or interface type Proposed Response	column heading to:		
"The supplier of a prof		aimed to conform					
Std 802.3, Clause 70 t protocol implementatio "The supplier of a prot Std 802.3 demonstrate statement (PICS) prof	tatement. There are many cla	ates compliance b ICS) proforma." h aimed to conform a protocol implem	by completing a has been changed to: to any part of IEEE hentation conformance	title of Table 78-4 is S Also, the left hand col	P39 Ciena Comment Status X : is "Summary of the key EEE summary of the LPI timing par umn heading in both tables is contain rows that are not PHN	ameters for supp now "PHY type"	ported PHYs"

C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 Anslow, Pete	Р <b>43</b> Ciena	L <b>52</b>	# 23	<i>Cl</i> <b>91</b> Anslow, Pe	SC 91.5.3.1	Р <b>99</b> Ciena	L <b>32</b>	# 26
Comment Type <b>T</b> The definition of 100G level pulse amplitude r	Comment Status X BASE-P only distinguishes its nodulation (PAM)" to "multi-le des 2, this seems inadequate.			<i>Comment</i> This sa FEC la	<i>Type</i> <b>T</b> ays "The FEC re thes and a max	Comment Status X eceive function shall support a mum Skew Variation of 3.4 n	s."	
SuggestedRemedy Change 100GBASE-P "4-level pulse amplitud Proposed Response	to match the definition of 100 le modulation (PAM)" <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b>	GBASE-KP4 in	1.4:	sublay as per <i>Suggested</i> Chang "The F	er, but they sho the new Figure <i>Remedy</i> e to: EC receive fund	nd skew variation requiremen uld be the values at SP5 whice 80-5a ction shall support a maximur Variation of 3.6 ns."	ch is at the outpu	t of the PMD sublaye
C/ 81 SC 81.1.7 Anslow, Pete	P <b>55</b> Ciena	L <b>39</b>	# 24	Proposed I		Response Status <b>0</b>		
Comment Type <b>T</b> This says "as describe SuggestedRemedy Correct the reference Proposed Response	Comment Status X ad in 22.6a", but 22.6a does no Response Status O	ot exist		"2 Equ	Туре Т	P <b>120</b> Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> "Far-end transmit output nois	L 32 e (max)" contain:	# <u>27</u> s:
Table 80-2, except 10	P83 Ciena Comment Status X SE-R PMA(s) can support an 0GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94)." b this exception should be applied	ut 100GBASE-k	(P4 is not a 40 Gb/s	<i>Suggested</i> Chang "See E	Remedy e to: Equation (92–2)' Equation (92–3)'			

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

		-	-						
C/ 93A SC 93A.1.6	6 P <b>21</b> 7	L <b>39</b>	# 28	C/ <b>45</b>	SC 45.2.7	13.1a	P <b>24</b>	L <b>41</b>	# 30
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, F	Pete		Ciena		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Commen	tType E	Comme	ent Status X		
However, 802.3 is co than "symbol error ra SuggestedRemedy	R0 is the target uncorrected sy onsistent (16 instances) in its u ate" ER0 is the target uncorrected s	se of the term "s	ymbol error ratio" rather	The ( "Inse Howe	accepted) Sug rt 45.2.7.13.a tl ever, the editing	gested remed nrough 45.2.7 ) instruction is	.13.d before 45.2 now:	nplemented. liting instruction to .7.13.1 as follows .2.7.13.1 as follow	."
•	•			The a	agreed format f	or numberina	insertions is:		
Proposed Response Cl 99 SC Anslow, Pete	Response Status <b>0</b> P <b>5</b> Ciena	L11	# 29	"It ha subcl inser labell For e	s been agreed ause it is labell ed after an exis ed [subclause xample to inse	with staff that ed [existing s sting subclaus number][a thr rt two subclau	where a subclau ubclause - one le se - assuming it is ough z]. ises before 43.2.1	vel].[a through z]. s not the last - the the subclauses v	r to the existing first Where a subclause is new subclause it is would be numbered be numbered 43.2.1
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			and 4	3.2.1b. Two su				would be numbered 43.2.1
	ments to 802.3 to include a she			-	3 and 43.2.4."				
This is missing from	e text that describes the sectior this draft	ns of IEEE Std 80	J2.3.	Suggeste	dRemedy				
For example IEEE Si IEEE Std 802.3ap-20 This amendment incl	td 802.3ap-2007 contained:			"Inse	rt 45.2.7.13.1a rt 45.2.7.13.a tl	nrough 45.2.7		.2.7.13.1 as follow .7.13.1 as follows d accordingly.	
	that support the exchange of I s at 1 Gb/s and 10 Gb/s.	EEE Std 802.3 f	ormat frames over	Proposed	l Response	Respon	se Status <b>O</b>		
This paragraph will th developed such as 8	hen also appear in the frontma 02.3bk	tter of other ame	ndments being	C/ 69	SC 69.1.2		P <b>28</b>	L <b>29</b>	# 31
SuggestedRemedy				Anslow, F	Pete		Ciena		
Add a paragraph des	scribing 802.3bi			Commen	tType E	Comme	ent Status X		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Wher 69.1.	2.	base docum	ent, this will have	the effect of renu	mbering 69.1.3 to be ct this change.
				Note,	the same issu	e for 80.1.2 is	the subject of a	separate commer	nt.
				Suggeste	dRemedy		2		
				Chan For 6 editin	ge the editing i 9.1.3, move the g instructon to	e editing instrube:	uction above the t		3 to 69.1.2 accordingly 69.1.2 and amend the s shown:"
					l Response		se Status O		

Moore, Charles	P <b>214</b> Avago Techno	L <b>3</b> blogies	# 32	Cl 93A SC Moore, Charles	P <b>213</b> Avago Techn	L <b>3</b> ologies	# <u>35</u>
Comment Type E All the parameters in Tab	Comment Status X ble 93A-1 got lost between n	ny advanced cor	by and D1.1	Comment Type <b>T</b> Annex 93A is descr	Comment Status X ibed as normative but contains r	no "shall" statem	ent or equivalent.
SuggestedRemedy Restore 2 missing colum	ins.			SuggestedRemedy End the first paragr	aph in 93A.1 with:		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			"COM shall have a Proposed Response	non-negative value." <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b>		
C/ 93A SC 1 Moore, Charles	P <b>214</b> Avago Techno	L <b>40</b> blogies	# 33		P215	L <b>46</b>	# 36
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Moore, Charles	Avago Techn	- ••	# 30
	neter "W" is called "Victim s			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
I think that the terms "Vio	I.5, item d) "the exception with ctim single bit response exce mean the same thing but the	eption window" a		21	is used in equation 93A-6 but no	ot defined anywh	nere in sub-clause
SuggestedRemedy	-	-		"At" is defined in su	b-clause 93A.1.4 and re-used ed	nuation 93A-10	Assuming that this is
	/idth of single bit response e equation 93A-12, replace "\				r it will result in amplitude square		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedRemedy In equation 93A-6,	replace "At" with "1"		
C/ 93A SC 1.6.1 Moore, Charles	P <b>218</b> Avago Techno	L <b>30</b> blogies	# 34	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
	-	-		C/ 92 SC 11	P145	L12	
21	Comment Status X ents a really painful way of c	omputing sigma	<sup>1</sup> 2_m. Much simpler is	Cole, Chris	Finisar	L 12	# 37
Equation 93A-20 represe sigma^2_m SuggestedRemedy	ents a really painful way of contract of a really painful way of contract of the sum (n=0->N-1) (H_m(n)/		^2_m. Much simpler is	Cole, Chris Comment Type <b>T</b>	Finisar <i>Comment Status</i> X fication, as justified in cole_01_0		
Equation 93A-20 represe sigma^2_m	ents a really painful way of contract of a really painful way of contract of the sum (n=0->N-1) (H_m(n)/		^2_m. Much simpler is	Cole, Chris <i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> Add 2nd MDI speci	Finisar <i>Comment Status</i> X fication, as justified in cole_01_0		
Equation 93A-20 represe sigma^2_m SuggestedRemedy Delete equation 93A-20.	ents a really painful way of contract of a really painful way of contract of the sum (n=0->N-1) (H_m(n)/	^2)	^2_m. Much simpler is	Cole, Chris Comment Type T Add 2nd MDI speci mcsorley_01_0712	Finisar <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> fication, as justified in cole_01_0		
Equation 93A-20 represe sigma^2_m SuggestedRemedy Delete equation 93A-20. sigma^2_m prior to equation 93A-17. selecting value of m givir	ents a really painful way of contract of a really painful way of contract of a really painful way of contract of a real painful way of a real painful way of contract of a real painful way of a rea	^2) ^2) with equation 93 o the new equatio	BA-20 having to do with	Cole, Chris Comment Type T Add 2nd MDI speci mcsorley_01_0712 SuggestedRemedy	Finisar <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> fication, as justified in cole_01_0		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 94 SC 94.3.10 Lusted, Kent	P <b>186</b> Intel	L <b>31</b>	# 38	<i>CI</i> <b>45</b> Lusted. Ke	SC <b>45.2.1.81</b>	P <b>21</b> Intel	L1	# 41
Comment Type <b>TR</b> PMD control function f	Comment Status X for 100GBASE-KP4 needs a b	oaseline proposa	I.	<i>Comment</i> The cu	Type TR	Comment Status X BASE-R LP coefficient up	date register does	not reference the new
SuggestedRemedy	a submitted at a future data			Suggested				
Proposed Response	e submitted at a future date Response Status <b>O</b>			Update 10GBA	e the text to read	"The BASE-R LP coefficie er PHY types using the PM or Clause 93."		
C/ 94 SC 94.2.3	P <b>176</b> Intel	L <b>24</b>	# 39	Proposed I	Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Comment Type <b>TR</b> 100GBASE-KP4 need	Comment Status X			CI <b>45</b> Lusted, Ke	SC <b>45.2.1.82</b> nt	P <b>21</b> Intel	L1	# 42
	sed 100GBASE-KP4 training	frame as the ALI	ERT signal.		51	Comment Status X BASE-R LP status report 93 PMDs.	register does not r	eference the new
See presentation to be Proposed Response	e submitted in the future. Response Status <b>O</b>			10GBA	e the text to read	"The BASE-R LP status re er PHY types using the PM or Clause 93."		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.8 Lusted, Kent	0 P21 Intel	L1	# 40	Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0		
Comment Type <b>TR</b> The current text for the and Clause 93 PMDs.	Comment Status X e BASE-R PMD status registe	er does not refere	ence the new Clause 92	C/ <b>45</b> Lusted, Ke	SC <b>45.2.1.83</b> nt	P <b>21</b> Intel	L1	# 43
SuggestedRemedy				Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status X		
other PHY types using	d "The BASE-R PMD status re g the PMDs described in Claus				rrent text for the 92 and Clause	BASE-R LP coef update r 93 PMDs.	egister does not re	eference the new
92, or Clause 93."				Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			10GBA		"The BASE-R LD coefficie or PHY types using the PM or Clause 93."		
				Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0		

CI 45 Lusted, Kent	SC 45.2.1.84	P <b>21</b> Intel	L <b>1</b>	# 44	Cl <b>91</b> Szczepane	SC Figure 91 ek, Andre	-5 P98 Inphi	L <b>39</b>	# 47
Comment Ty	pe <b>TR</b>	Comment Status X			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status X		
	ent text for the use 93 PMDs.	BASE-R LD status register de	oes not referer	nce the new Clause 92	The re	st of this clause I	it symbols rather than 10bit has been written on the basi		1
SuggestedRe	emedy					is not a variable			
10GBAS		"The BASE-R LD status repo r PHY types using the PMDs or Clause 93."				ce "symbol delay	element, holds 1 w-bit symb nent, holds 1 10-bit symbol"	ool"	
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed	Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
C/ <b>45</b>	SC Table 45-	105 P21	L1	# 45	C/ 91	SC 5.2.7	P97	L <b>33</b>	# 48
Lusted, Kent		Intel			Szczepane	ek, Andre	Inphi		-
	, bability register SE-KP4, 40GB	bit definitions table does not I ASE-KR4, 40GBASE-CR4 ar			The re So "w"	o we refer to w-b st of this clause I is not a variable	it symbols rather than 10bit has been written on the basi		
		SE-CR4, 100GBASE-KR4, 1 OGBASE-CR10.	00GBASE-KP	4, 40GBASE-KR4,		ce "GF(2^w) whe	e w=10 is the symbol size ir ne symbol size is 10 bits"	n bits"	
Add appr	ropriate subcla	uses for each entry in 45.2.3.	9.x		Proposed	Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status <b>O</b>			·	·			
	SC 45.2.3.9	P <b>21</b>	L <b>1</b>	# 46					
Lusted, Kent		Intel							
	, ability register	Comment Status X bit definitions subclauses do 40GBASE-KR4, 40GBASE-C		-					
SuggestedRe	emedy								
		uses for 100GBASE-CR4, 10 ASE-CR4 and 100GBASE-CR							

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

<u>.</u>								
C/ 91	SC 5.3.1	P <b>99</b>	L <b>31</b>	# 49	CI 00 SC	Р	L	# 52
zczepanel	k, Andre	Inphi			Szczepanek, Andre	Inphi		
omment T	Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment Type ER	Comment Status X		
do with verifyin The fur indeper	n deskew (desp ng FEC block L nctions of FEC ndent and will l	lane deskew and testing for F be implemented at quite difference	Figure 82-12), ins	tead it is all about e functionaly	makes it dificult to u just state all 4 poss	nd what is going the reader wil	c only has 4 pos	ssible values, why no
possibl	ly in different cl	ock regimes.			Replace :			
82-12 a Suggestedl Replac	as is for FEC la <i>Remedy</i> :e Figure 91-1 v	combine these two functions in ane deskew, and provide a sep with a copy of Figure 82-12. 1-1 to use the "align_status" o	berate FEC block	Lock SM.	derived using the fo rx_payloads<(64c+ rx_payloads<(64c+	a vectorrepresenting the payle llowing expressions: 3):0> = rx_xcoded<(64c+8):5> 7):(64c+4)> = 0000 (an arbitran 4c+8)> = rx_xcoded<256:(64c-	y value that is lat	
roposed F	Response	Response Status 0			d)let rx_payloads be derived using the fo if (c==0) rx_payload	a vectorrepresenting the payle llowing expressions: s <255:0> = rx_xcoded<256:9: s <255:0> = rx_xcoded<256:7;	> :: 4'b000 :: rx_:	xcoded <8:5>
C/ <b>99</b> Szczepanel	SC <b>5.3.2</b> k, Andre	Р <b>99</b> Inphi	L <b>43</b>	# 50	if (c==2) rx_payload if (c==3) rx_payload	$s <255:0> = rx_xcoded <256:13$ $s <255:0> = rx_xcoded <256:13$ $s <255:0> = rx_xcoded <256:20$ arbitrary value that will be repla	37> :: 4'b000 :: r 01> :: 4'b000 :: r	x_xcoded <136:5> x_xcoded <200:5>
	is the FEC lan	Comment Status X e number defined ?. Stating " nt markers mapped to each FE			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>0</b>		
	-	EC lane number zero is the lar	e that caries AM	_0, lane 1 AM_1, lane				
		M 3.			C/ 91 SC 5.2.5	P <b>95</b>	L <b>1</b>	# 53
2 AM_2	2, and lane 2 A	.M_3. Response Status <b>O</b>			Szczepanek, Andre	P <b>95</b> Inphi	<i>L</i> 1	# 53
2 AM_2	2, and lane 2 A	—					L1	# 53
2 ÅM_2 roposed F 7 <b>91</b>	2, and lane 2 A Response SC <b>5.3.4</b>	—	L17	# [51	Szczepanek, Andre <i>Comment Type</i> <b>TR</b> The output of the tra	Inphi	s is not defined.	
2 AM_2 Proposed F	2, and lane 2 A Response SC <b>5.3.4</b>	Response Status <b>O</b>	L17	# 51	Szczepanek, Andre <i>Comment Type</i> <b>TR</b> The output of the tra	Inphi <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ancoder for invalid sync header	s is not defined.	
2 AM_2 Proposed F 2/ <b>91</b> Szczepanel Comment 7 Descra	2, and lane 2 A Response SC <b>5.3.4</b> Ik, Andre Type <b>ER</b> ambling no long	Response Status <b>0</b> P <b>101</b>		# <u>51</u>	Szczepanek, Andre Comment Type TR The output of the tra If for any j=0 to 3, to SuggestedRemedy for any j=0 to 3, tx_d	Inphi <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ancoder for invalid sync header	s is not defined. > what is tx_xcod	ded ?
2 AM_2 Proposed F Cl <b>91</b> Szczepanel Comment 7 Descra Suggestedł	2, and lane 2 A Response SC <b>5.3.4</b> Ik, Andre Type <b>ER</b> ambling no long	Response Status O P101 Inphi Comment Status X ger forms part of the receive da		# <u>51</u>	Szczepanek, Andre Comment Type TR The output of the tra If for any j=0 to 3, to SuggestedRemedy for any j=0 to 3, tx_ then the transcoded	Inphi <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ancoder for invalid sync header coded_j<1> == tx_coded_j<0 coded_j<1> == tx_coded_j<0>	s is not defined. > what is tx_xcod	ded ?

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 91 SC 5.2.6 Szczepanek, Andre	Р <b>95</b> Inphi	L <b>40</b>	# 54	C/ <b>91</b> Szczepanek,	SC <b>5.2.5</b> Andre	Р <b>95</b> Inphi	L15	# 56
	Comment Status X range of variable "j" is wrong. be 0 to 4 concistent with the 5	5 AMs per row she	own in Figure 91-4	dificult to	, tion for omiss	Comment Status X sion of the first codeword "s" r what is required. As c only ha as.		
Replace "j=0 to 5" wit	th "j=0 to 4"			SuggestedRe	emedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Replace	:			
C/ 91 SC 5.3.3 Szczepanek, Andre	<b>Р101</b> Inphi	L <b>6</b>	# 55	block typ tx_xcode tx_xcode	e field for tx_ ed<(64c+8):5>	<ul> <li>:6&gt;, which is the second nibbl coded_c, from tx_xcoded per</li> <li>= tx_payloads&lt;(64c+3):0&gt;</li> <li>9)&gt; = tx_payloads&lt;255:(64c+4)</li> </ul>	the following exp	
What is the definition This is important as it	Comment Status X nines that a codeword is uncou of uncorrectable ? t has a "shall" tied to it. f "uncorrectable" how can we do			block typ if (c==0) if (c==1)	be field for tx_ tx_coded <25 tx_coded <25	6>, which is the second nibbl coded_c, from tx_xcoded per 56:5> = tx_payloads<255:8> : 56:5> = tx_payloads<255:72>	the following : : tx_payloads<3: :: tx_payloads<6	0> 67:0>
An uncorrectable cod greater than 7 (t), or v	inition of an uncorrectable 802 leword is a codeword whose er where the error locator or error equation cannot be solved).	ror locator polyno			tx_coded <25	56:5> = tx_payloads<255:136 56:5> = tx_payloads<255:200 Response Status <b>0</b>		
This definition provide	es a definitive minimum require	ement for codewo	ord marking.					
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			C/ <b>91</b> Szczepanek,	SC 5.2.6 Andre	Р <b>95</b> Inphi	L <b>45</b>	# 57
				A mappi	, oping process ng equation th	Comment Status X is really needs a diagram to s hough succinct is not descript ed in gustlin_01_0312, why n	ive.	g on.
				SuggestedRo Add map	,	based on slide 15 of gustlin_	_01_0312.	

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

C/ 91 SC Figure		L <b>4</b>	# 58	C/ 80 SC 80.3.2		L28	# 61
Szczepanek, Andre	Inphi			Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
	s the mapping process specifi "Reed Solomon Symbol Inde:			For change of LPI R	Rx function		
process.		.,		Fig 80-3a - fix LPI ir	nterface between PMA & PMD		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
	l be labelled either by alignme Il with both as it makes the ma			Between PMA & PM			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Change direction FE	EC:IS_RX_MODE.request		
	,			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 91 SC 5.2.7	P <b>98</b>	L <b>47</b>	# 59				
Szczepanek, Andre	Inphi			C/ 80 SC 80.3.3		L <b>53</b>	# 62
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		
	tor polynomial coefficients rele	egated to a (presur	nably informative)	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
annex ?.	e denius d'éneme field melunement			For change of LPI R	Rx function		
requires a good bit o	e derived from field polynomia of maths. So why not state the	and number of cr	cients are normative	Need definitions for	rx lpi active		
	discretion in their values.			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Add subclause 80.3	3.6		
Add list of generator	polynomial coefficients for th	e two FEC codes,	in a format concistent				
with Figure 91-5.				80.3.3.6 IS_RX_LPI			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			receive function is a effect.	TIVE.request primitive commur ictive. Without EEE capability, th	he primitive is ne	C that the PCS LPI ever invoked and has n
C/ 92 SC 10.9	P141	L22	# 60	80.3.3.6.1 Semantic	s of the service primitive		
Sommers, Scott	Molex		# 00		E.request(rx_lpi_active)		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			The parameter rx_lp	pi_active is boolean.		
Spec references "Th	ne test fixtures of Figure 92-5	and Figure 92-12 a	re specified in a mated	,			
	gure 92-13".			80.3.3.6.2 When ge	nerated erated by the PCS LPI receive	function	
state illustrated in Fi		s a MDI specificatio	on.				
state illustrated in Fi	misinterpreted and applied as			80.3.3.6.3 Effect of	receipt		
state illustrated in Fi Often, this clause is	misinterpreted and applied as	o u mor opcomount					and the second back we want
state illustrated in Fi Often, this clause is SuggestedRemedy		·	mated state illustrated	The specific effect of	of receipt of this primitive is defir	ned by the FEC s the FEC sublave	sublayer that receives
state illustrated in Fi Often, this clause is SuggestedRemedy "The test fixtures of in Figure 92-13, to e	Figure 92-5 and Figure 92-12 nable connections to measure	are specified in a rement equipment.		The specific effect of this primitive. In gen		the FEC sublaye	sublayer that receives r uses rapid block lock
state illustrated in Fi Often, this clause is SuggestedRemedy "The test fixtures of in Figure 92-13, to e	Figure 92-5 and Figure 92-12	are specified in a rement equipment.		The specific effect of this primitive. In gen	of receipt of this primitive is defir neral, when rx_lpi_active is true	the FEC sublaye	sublayer that receives r uses rapid block lock

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Barrass, Hugh	3.7 P49 Cisco	L <b>54</b>	# 63	C/ 82 SC 82.2 Barrass, Hugh	.18.2.2	P <b>68</b> Cisco	L1	# 65
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> For change of LPI	Comment Status X Rx function			Comment Type <b>T</b> rx_block_lock is d		nment Status X		
Need definitions fo	r energy_detect			SuggestedRemedy Change rx_block_	lock to rx_bl	ock_lock <x></x>		
Add subclause 80.	3.3.7			Add "for each lane	e" at the end	of the first sentence.		
The IS_ENERGY_	GY_DETECT.indicate DETECT.indicate primitive is use of energy on the interface follow			Proposed Response	Resp	oonse Status <b>O</b>		
EEE capability, the	primitive is never invoked and h	as no effect.		C/ 85 SC 85.1	3.3	P <b>90</b>	L13	# 66
	ics of the service primitive			Barrass, Hugh		Cisco		
IS_ENERGY_DET	ECT.indicate(energy_detect)			Comment Type T		nment Status X		
The parameter ene	ergy_detect is boolean.				behavior is	accepted then PMD	only needs to su	pport the option.
80.3.3.7.2 When g	enerated			SuggestedRemedy				4:
	nerated by the PMA, reflecting th	he state of the sig	nal_detect parameter	Proposed Response		nsert "with the norma	al wake mode opi	tion
80.3.3.7.3 Effect of		ad by the DCS a	ublever that receives					
	or receipt or this primitive is dem	ied by the PCS s	uplayer that receives	C/ 83A SC 83A	3.2a	P <b>202</b>	L <b>28</b>	# 67
this primitive. This following a period of	parameter is used to indicate that of quiescence.	at activity has retu		Barrass, Hugh		Cisco		
this primitive. This following a period of		at activity has retu		Comment Type <b>T</b>		Cisco nment Status X accepted then XLAU	I/CAUI only need	ds to support the opt
this primitive. This following a period o roposed Response	of quiescence. Response Status <b>O</b>			Comment Type <b>T</b>		nment Status X	I/CAUI only need	ds to support the opt
this primitive. This	of quiescence. Response Status <b>O</b>	L33	# <u>64</u>	Comment Type <b>T</b> If the new optiona SuggestedRemedy	behavior is	nment Status X		
this primitive. This following a period of roposed Response / 82 SC 82.1.4 arrass, Hugh	of quiescence. Response Status O 5 P65 Cisco Comment Status X			Comment Type T If the new optiona SuggestedRemedy After "optional End	l behavior is ergy Efficien	nment Status X accepted then XLAU		
this primitive. This following a period of roposed Response 7 82 SC 82.1.4 arrass, Hugh omment Type <b>T</b>	of quiescence. Response Status O 5 P65 Cisco Comment Status X Rx function			Comment Type T If the new optiona SuggestedRemedy After "optional En- mode option"	l behavior is ergy Efficien	nment Status X accepted then XLAU t Ethernet (EEE) capa		
this primitive. This following a period of proposed Response 87 82 SC 82.1.4 arrass, Hugh comment Type T For change of LPI	of quiescence. Response Status O 5 P65 Cisco Comment Status X Rx function			Comment Type T If the new optiona SuggestedRemedy After "optional En- mode option"	l behavior is ergy Efficien	nment Status X accepted then XLAU t Ethernet (EEE) capa		
this primitive. This following a period of proposed Response 27 82 SC 82.1.4 arrass, Hugh Comment Type T For change of LPI 1 Need to fix block di SuggestedRemedy Change direction ir	of quiescence. Response Status O 5 P65 Cisco Comment Status X Rx function iag hst:IS_RX_MODE.request GY_DETECT.indicate			Comment Type T If the new optiona SuggestedRemedy After "optional En- mode option"	l behavior is ergy Efficien	nment Status X accepted then XLAU t Ethernet (EEE) capa		

C/ 74 SC 74.7.4.4 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>37</b> Cisco	L1	# 68	C/ 80 SC 80.3.1 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>46</b> Cisco	L <b>44</b>	# 70
, ç	so that RAMs a so that RAMs a se 74.7.4.4 above that incl ormal wake mo a new FEC blo	are at the start of ude the optional ode option (see C ock following the	a block to allow rapid Energy Efficient clause 78, 78.3), the transition of tx_mode	Comment Type <b>T</b> The behavior of the LPI changes will be required presentation. This comm accepted, rejected or m	Comment Status X receive function needs to b d to achieve this in the mani ment may be used as a refe hodified.	ner proposed in t rence should the	he submitted proposed method be
Rapid Alignment Marker (RAM) that         Proposed Response       Response         Cl 74       SC 74.7.4.8		vn_count divisible	e by 4 (see 82.2.8a). # <u>69</u>	To: IS_RX_MODE.request IS_ENERGY_DETECT IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.re Proposed Response			
Barrass, Hugh Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Clause 74 needs to be changed so t The rapid block lock needs to take ir SuggestedRemedy Change the first part of subclause 7- supported" to "If the optional EEE ca Add a new paragraph at the end of t If the optional EEE capability is supp FEC rapid block lock is required. Wh encoder starts FEC blocks with Rap by 4.	hto account RA 4.7.4.8 from "If apability is sup he subclause: ported for PHY hen transitionin	Ms for 40/100G the optional EEI ported for PHYs s operating at or ig out of the slee	E capability is operating at 10Gb/s" above 40Gb/s a similar o state, the remote FEC	The IS_RX_MODE.require receive function to othe	P <b>46</b> Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> X unction	nmunicate the state	st primitive is used to

C/ 80 SC 80.3.3.5 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>47</b> Cisco	L <b>36</b>	# 72	C/         80         SC         80.3.3.5.2         P 47         L           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco	<b>_51</b>
Comment Type <b>T</b> For change of LPI Rx fu	Comment Status X			Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> For change of LPI Rx function	
Change rx_mode definit	ion			Change origin of rx_mode	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy	
Change title - IS_RX_M	ODE.request			Change "received signal" to "PCS LPI receive function"	
	ld: lest primitive communicates lotion to other sublayers.	the rx_mode par	rameter generated by	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>0</b>			C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 P48 L	_ <b>13</b>
, ,				Barrass, Hugh Cisco	
 C/ 80 SC 80.3.3.5.1 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>47</b> Cisco	L <b>44</b>	# 73	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> For change of LPI Rx function	
, C				Fig 80-3 - fix LPI interface between PCS & FEC	
Comment Type <b>T</b> For change of LPI Rx fu	Comment Status X			SuggestedRemedy	
Ũ				Between PCS & FEC:	
Change rx_mode directi SuggestedRemedy Change indicate to requ				Change direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indicate Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	
 C/ 80 SC 80.3.3.5.1 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>47</b> Cisco	L <b>47</b>	# 74	C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 P48 L Barrass, Hugh Cisco	_21 # 77
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> For change of LPI Rx fu	Comment Status X			Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> For change of LPI Rx function	
No ALERT for rx mode				Fig 80-3 - fix LPI interface between FEC & PMA	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy	
Delete ALERT.				Between FEC & PMA:	
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Change direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indicate	
				Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 Barrass, Hugh	Р <b>48</b> Cisco	L <b>28</b>	# 78	C/         80         SC 80.3.2         P49         L13         # 80           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco	
Comment Type <b>T</b> For change of LPI Rx fun	Comment Status X			Comment Type T Comment Status X For change of LPI Rx function	
Fig 80-3 - fix LPI interface	e between PMA(20:10) & F	PMA(10:n)		Fig 80-3a - fix LPI interface between PCS & FEC	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy	
Between PMA(20:10) & F	PMA(10:n):			Between PCS & FEC:	
Change direction FEC:IS Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_E Proposed Response				Change direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indicate Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request	
Toposed Nesponse				Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	
C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>48</b> Cisco	L <b>36</b>	# 79	Cl 82 SC 82.2.18.2.2 P68 L12 # 81	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Barrass, Hugh Cisco	
For change of LPI Rx fun	ction			Comment Type T Comment Status X	
Fig 80-3 - fix LPI interface	e between PMA & PMD			For change of LPI Rx function	
SuggestedRemedy				Need to add definition for energy_detect	
Between PMA & PMD:				SuggestedRemedy	
Observe direction FEO.IC				Add energy detect:	
Change direction FEC:IS Proposed Response	Response Status <b>0</b>			A parameter generated by the PMA/PMD sublayer to reflect the state of the received . In the PMD this has the same definition as parameter signal_detect and is passed th without modification by the PMA (and FEC).	
				Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2		L <b>30</b>	# 82	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18		L <b>25</b>	# 85
arrass, Hugh	Cisco			Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
For change of LPI Rx f	function			For change of LPI R	function		
Need to change definit	tion for rx_mode			Need to add rx_mode	e assignments in Rx LPI state	e diagram - Fig 82-17	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change definition to:				In state RX_QUIET,	assign rx_mode = QUIET		
	ne state of the LPI receive func 17). The parameter has one o			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			C/ 82 SC 82.2.18	.3.1 <i>P</i> 80	L <b>32</b>	# 86
				Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3	3.1 P72	L5	# 83	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			For change of LPI R			
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			-			
For change of LPI Rx f				—	e assignments in Rx LPI state	e diagram - Fig 82-17	•
-				SuggestedRemedy			
Need to change the tin	ming reference in Table 82-5b.				assign rx_mode = DATA		
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Change "rx_mode to b	be set to ALERT or DATA" to "	energy_detect to be	set to true"				
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3	3.1 <i>P</i> 80	L	# 84				
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco						
Comment Type <b>T</b> For change of LPI Rx f	Comment Status X function						
Need to add rx_mode	assignments in Rx LPI state d	liagram - Fig 82-17.					
SuggestedRemedy							
,	assign rx_mode = DATA						
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>						
	······						

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18. Barrass, Hugh	.3.1 <i>P</i> 80 Cisco	L16	# 87	C/ 83 SC 83.3 Barrass, Hugh	Р <b>83</b> Cisco	L <b>48</b>	# 89
Comment Type <b>T</b> For change of LPI Rx	Comment Status X function			Comment Type <b>T</b> For change of LPI Rx	Comment Status X		
Need to change state	transition conditions in Rx LP	l state diagram -	Fig 82-17.	Fix the descriptions of	the primitives.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Transitions:				Delete 2nd sentence.			
rx_align_status RX_SLEEP > RX_QU RX_QUIET > RX_LIN RX_QUIET > RX_WA RX_WAKE > RX_TIM rx_align_status	EEP; RX_SLEEP > RX_ACTIV JIET - replace rx_mode = QUIE IK_FAIL - replace rx_mode = C IKE - replace rx_mode != QUII IER; RX_WAKE > RX_ACTIVE IR; RX_WTF > RX_ACTIVE - r	ET with !rx_align_ QUIET with !energ ET with energy_d E - replace rx_mo	status gy_detect letect ode = DATA with	receive function to oth	quest primitive is used to com her sublayers. The IS_ENERG he PMD has detected the retu <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	Y_DETECT.indi	ication primitive is use
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 84 SC 84 Barrass, Hugh	Р <b>86</b> Cisco	L <b>20</b>	# 90
CI 83 SC 83.3	P83	L <b>44</b>	# 88	Comment Type <b>T</b> Following the decision	Comment Status X to include all 40/100 PHYs		
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			SuggestedRemedy			
-	Commence Clatter V			Make all the changes	to 84 that match the equivaler	nt changes in Cla	ause 85
	Comment Status X						
Comment Type <b>T</b> For change of LPI Rx				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
For change of LPI Rx		tect needs to be	added.	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
For change of LPI Rx rx_mode needs to change SuggestedRemedy	function	etect needs to be	added.	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
For change of LPI Rx rx_mode needs to cha	function	etect needs to be	added.	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
For change of LPI Rx rx_mode needs to ch SuggestedRemedy	function ange direction, also energy_de	etect needs to be	added.	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
For change of LPI Rx rx_mode needs to ch SuggestedRemedy Change:	function ange direction, also energy_de	etect needs to be	added.	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
For change of LPI Rx rx_mode needs to change SuggestedRemedy Change: IS_RX_MODE.indicat	function ange direction, also energy_de tion st	etect needs to be	added.	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		

C/ 85 SC 85.2 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>87</b> Cisco	L <b>46</b>	# 91	C/ <b>85</b> SC <b>85.2</b> Barrass, Hugh	Р <b>87</b> Cisco	L <b>50</b>	# 93
Comment Type <b>T</b> For change of LPI Rx i	Comment Status X			<i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> For compatibility with	Comment Status X		
rx_mode needs to cha	nge direction			Add note regarding t	x_mode passed through FEC.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change:				Add note to the end	of the paragraph:		
IS_RX_MODE.indicati	on			Note: if Clause 74 FI passed through the I	EC is in use, only the values DA FEC to the PMD.	TA, QUIET and	ALERT may be
To:				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
IS_RX_MODE.reques	t						
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
C/ 85 SC 85.2 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>87</b> Cisco	L <b>52</b>	# 92				
Comment Type T For change of LPI Rx t	Comment Status X						
Fix the descriptions of	the primitives.						
SuggestedRemedy							
Replace the 2 sentence	es with:						
	neter is used to communicate value QUIET or DATA.	the state of the I	PCS LPI receive				
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						

C/     85     SC     85.7.4     P 88     L 14     # 94       Barrass, Hugh     Cisco	C/         91         SC         91.2         P 92         L 33         # 95           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco
Comment Type T Comment Status X For change of LPI Rx function	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> For change of LPI Rx function
Add function for global signal detect. <i>suggestedRemedy</i> Delete editor's note. Add the following: At the end of the first paragraph add: When the PHY supports the optional EEE capability, PMD_SIGNAL.indication is also used to indicate when the ALERT signal is detected, which corresponds to the beginning of a refresh or a wake. At the beginning of the second and third paragraphs add:	rx_mode needs to change direction, also energy_detect and rx_lpi_active need to be add SuggestedRemedy Change: IS_RX_MODE.indication To: IS_RX_MODE.request IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication
When the PHY does not support the EEE capability or if the PHY supports the EEE capability and rx_mode is set to DATA At the end of the third paragraph add:	IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
When the PHY supports the EEE capability, SIGNAL_DETECT is set to FAIL following a transition from rx_mode = DATA to rx_mode = QUIET. When rx_mode = QUIET, SIGNAL_DETECT shall be set to OK within 500 ns following the application of a signal at the receiver input that is the output of a channel that satisfies the requirements of all the parameters of both interference tolerance test channels defined in 72.7.2.1 when driven by a square wave pattern with a period of 16 unit intervals and peak-to-peak differential output amplitude of 720 mV. While rx_mode = QUIET, SIGNAL_DETECT changes from FAIL to OK only after a valid ALERT signal is applied to the channel.	Cl 45       SC 45.2.7.13       P23       L9       #       96         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco       Comment Type       T       Comment Status X         Comment #128 on D1.0 proposed that the two wake modes for EEE should be made optional. There was insufficient discussion at the time to conince the BRC to make the change. However, since that time some convincing arguments have been made:         Requiring simple modules (PMA/PMD only) to support line quiescence could consume more energy than would be saved during LPI. Furthermore, modules built before the definition of EEE could support Fast Wake but not normal wake.         Because Fast Wake is the simplistic implementation of EEE (that requires no changes to the PMA/PMD/FEC) it makes sense for Fast Wake to be the default behavior for EEE PHYs, with normal wake being an optional extra mode. Changes will be required in multiplaces to support this operation, the resolution of this comment should serve as a reference.         SuggestedRemedy         Add a row and adjust the reserved row accordingly:         7.60.14 - Fast Wake only - 1 = Advertise that the PHY supports only Fast Wake mode : 0 Do not advertise that the PHY supports only Fast Wake mode : 0 Do not advertise that the PHY supports only Fast Wake mode

Barrass, Hugh       Cisco       Barrass, Hugh       Cisco         Comment Type       T       Comment Status X       Barrass, Hugh       Cisco         If the new optional behavior is accepted there needs to be a description of the new register bit.       For change of LPI RX function       Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2         Suggested/Remcdy       Insert an extra new subclause 45.2.7.13.1a before the existing one and renumber the rest.       Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2         Suggested/Remcdy       Suggested/Remcdy       Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2         Support for Fast Wake only (7.60.14)       Suggested/Remcdy       Change in direction FE-C15, RX, MODE request Add FEC:15_ENERGY_DETECT indication         Proposed Response       Response Status O       O         Ci 45       SC 45.2.7.13.1a       P24       L45       # [98]         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco       Cornment Type T       Comment Type T			-	-					
Comment Type T Comment Status X If the new optional behavior is accepted there needs to be a description of the new register bit. Suggested/Remedy Insert an extra new subclause 45.2.7.13.1a before the existing one and renumber the rest. 45.2.7.13.1a Fast Wake only (7.60.14) Support for Fast Wake only, as defined in 82.2.18.2.2, shall be advertised if this bit is set to one. This bit is not set for PHY's less than 40 Gb/s and for PHY's that support both wake mode. Note that is bit defaults set for PHY's greater than or equal to 40 Gb/s. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C1.45 SC 45.2.7.13.1a P24 L45 # 98 To change of LPI RX function Rx mode needs to change direction Suggested/Remedy Change i advertized 'to "advertised if a locations. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C1.92 SC 92.2 P113 L11 # [10] Barrass, Hugh Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status X Attough the spalling of "advertized to is assistically pleasing, it does not fit the degenerate style permeating the rest of the document. Suggested/Remedy Change i advertized 'to "advertized to is casting. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C1.91 SC 91.5.1 P94 L4 # 99 Darrass, Hugh Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status X For change of LPI RX function Rx the block diagram in Fig 91-2 Suggested/Remedy Change the direction FECIS, RX, MODE request Proposed Response Response Status 0 D1.91 SC 91.5.1 P94 L4 # 99 D1.91 Comment Status X For change of LPI RX function Rx the block diagram in Fig 91-2 Suggested/Remedy Change the direction FECIS, RX, MODE request Proposed Response Response Status 0			L <b>41</b>	# 97		C 91.5.1	• •	L <b>40</b>	# 100
If the new optional behavior is accepted there needs to be a description of the new register bit. Insert an extra new subclause 45.2.7.13.1a before the existing one and renumber the rest. 45.2.7.13.1a Fast Wake only (7.60.14) Support for Fast Wake only (7.60.14) Support for Fast Wake only (7.60.14) Support for Fast Wake only in sot est for PHYs less than 40 Gbbs. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C1.45 SC 45.2.7.13.1a P24 L45 # 188 To change a Comment Status X Atthough the spelling of "advertized" to "advertised" in the degenerate style permeating the rest of the document. SuggestedRemedy Change 't Comment Status X For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 SuggestedRemedy Change 't Comment Status X For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 SuggestedRemedy Change 't Comment Status X For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 SuggestedRemedy Change 't Comment Status X For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 SuggestedRemedy Change 't Comment Status X For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 SuggestedRemedy Change 't direction FECIS, RX_MODE request Add FECIS, RVENCY DETECT indication To: IS_RX_MODE.request Proposed Response Response Status 0	Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			Barrass, Hugh		Cisco		
bit. SuggastedRemedy Insert an extra new subclause 45.2.7.13.1a Fast Wake only (7.60.14) Support for Fast Wake only (7.60.14) Supposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 Supposed Response Response Status O Comment Status X For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 Supposed Response Response Status X For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 Supposed Response Response Status X For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 Supposed Response Response Status O Supposed Response Response Status O	· · · //· ·				51				
SuggestedRemedy       Insert an extra new subclause 45.2.7.13.1a before the existing one and renumber the rest.       Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2         45.2.7.13.1a Fast Wake only (7.60.14)       SuggestedRemedy       Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE request Add FEC:S_ENERGY_DETECT.Indication         7.45       SC 45.2.7.13.1a       P24       L45       # 98         7.6       Cisco       Cisco       Cisco       Cisco         Comment Type       Cisco       T       Comment Type       T       Comment Status X         Although the spelling of "advertized" in 6 locations.       Cisco       Number of the document.       SuggestedRemedy         C1 91       SC 91.5.1       P94       L4       # 195       Is RX_MODE indication         To::       Is_RX_MODE indication       T:       Is_RX_MODE indication         Cropsoed Response       Response Status       O       O		ehavior is accepted there need	s to be a descrip	tion of the new register	For change	of LPI Rx	function		
Insert an extra new subclause 45.27.13.1a before the existing one and renumber the rest.       45.27.13.1a Fast Wake only (7.60.14)         Support for Fast Wake only as defined in 82.2.18.2.2, shall be advertised if this bit is set to one. This bit is not set for PHY's least than 40 Gb/s and for PHY's that support both wake mode. Note that his bit defaults set for PHY's greater than or equal to 40 Gb/s.       Orange the direction FEC.1S, RX, MODE. request Add FEC.1S, ENERGY_DETECT.indication         Proposed Response       Response Status O       O         C/ 45       SC 45.27.13.1a       P24       L45       # 08         C/ 45       SC 45.27.13.1a       P24       L45       # 08         Comment Type E       Comment Status X       For change of LPI Rx function       r., mode needs to change direction         SuggestedRemedy       Change the direction FEC.1S, PX, MODE.request       Add FEC.1S, PX, MODE.request       Poposed Response         Comment Type T       Comment Status X       For change of LPI Rx function       To:       IS, RX, MODE.request         Proposed Response       Response Status O       O       To:       IS, RX, MODE.request         Comment Type T       Comment Status X       For change of LPI Rx function       To:       IS, RX, MODE.request         Proposed Response       Response Status O       O       O       To:       IS, RX, MODE.request         Comment Type T					Fix the bloc	k diagram	in Fig 91-2		
45.2.7.13.1a Fast Wake only (7.60.14) Support for Fast Wake only (7.60.14) Support for Fast Wake only (7.60.14) Support for Fast Wake only, as defined in 82.2.18.2.2, shall be advertised if this bit is set to one. This bit is not set for PHYs greater than or equal to 40 Gb/s. Proposed Response Response Status <b>0</b> C1 45 SC 45.2.7.13.1a P24 L45 # 98 C1 45 SC 45.2.7.13.1a P24 L4 # 99 C1 45 SC 45.2.7.13.1a P24 L4 # 99 C1 45 SC 91.5.1 P94 L4 # 99 C1 91 SC 91.5.1 P94 L4 # 99 C1 91 SC 91.5.1 P94 L4 # 99 C2 191 SC 91.5.1 P94 L4 # 99 C2 191 SC 91.5.1 P94 L4 # 99 C2 191 SC 91.5.1 P94 L4 # 99 C3 arras, Hugh Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status X For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 Suggested/Remedy Change the direction FFC.1S, RX, MODE request Add FFC.1S, ENERGY DETFCT.indication Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 Suggested/Remedy Change the direction FFC.1S, RX, MODE request Add FFC.1S, ENERGY DETFCT.indication Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 Suggested/Remedy Change the direction FFC.1S, RX, MODE request Add FFC.1S, ENERGY DETFCT.indication Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 Suggested/Remedy Change the direction FFC.1S, RX, MODE request Add FFC.1S, ENERGY DETFCT.indication Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 Suggested/Remedy Change the direction FFC.1S, RX, MODE request Add FFC.1S, ENERGY DETFCT.indication Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 Suggested/Remedy Change the direction FFC.1S, RX, MODE request Add FFC.1S, ENERGY DETFCT.i		subclause 45.2.7.13.1a before t	the existing one a	and renumber the rest.	SuggestedRem	edy			
Support for Fast Wake only, as defined in 22.18.2.2, shall be advertised if this bit is set to one. This bit is not set for PHYs less than 0 Gb/s and 0 Gb/s and 0 Gb/s.         Proposed Response       Response Status 0         Cl 45       SC 45.2.7.13.1a       P24       L45       # 38         Cl 45       SC 45.2.7.13.1a       P24       L45       # 38         Comment Type E       Comment Status X       Cisco       Comment Status X         Although the spelling of "advertized" is aesthetically pleasing, it does not fit the degenerate style permeating the rest of the document.       SuggestedRemedy         Change "advertized" to "advertised" in 6 locations.       Proposed Response       Response Status 0         Cl 91       SC 91.5.1       P94       L4       # 99         SuggestedRemedy       Cisco       To:       IS_RX_MODE.request         Connent Type T       Comment Status X       Proposed Response       Response Status 0         Cl 91       SC 91.5.1       P94       L4       # 99         SuggestedRemedy       Cisco       To:       IS_RX_MODE.request         Proposed I LPI Rx function       Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2       SuggestedRemedy       O         Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request       Add FEC:IS_RX_LPL_ACTIVE.request       O <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
Proposed Response       Response Status       0         End 45       SC 45.2.7.13.1a       P24       L45       # 98         C/ 45       SC 45.2.7.13.1a       P24       L45       # 98         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco       Comment Status X         Atthough the spelling of "advertized" is aesthetically pleasing, it does not fit the degenerate style permeating the rest of the document.       SuggestedRemedy         Change "advertized" to "advertized" in 6 locations.       O       SuggestedRemedy         Change "advertized" to "advertized" in 6 locations.       O       Is_RX_MODE.inducation         Proposed Response       Response Status O       To:       Is_RX_MODE.inducation         Cl 91       SC 91.5.1       P94       L4       # 99         Sarrass, Hugh       Cisco       Sco       Sco         Comment Type T       Comment Status X       For change of LPI Rx function       To:         Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2       SuggestedRemedy       Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request       Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request         Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request       Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request       Proposed Response       Response Status O	one. This bit is not se	et for PHYs less than 40 Gb/s a	and for PHYs tha	t support both wake	Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Cl 45       SC 45.2.7.13.1a       P24       L45       # 98         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco       Comment Type       Image: Comment Status X         Athough the spelling of "advertized" is aesthetically pleasing, it does not fit the degenerate style permeating the rest of the document.       Number of the document.       Number of the document.         SuggestedRemedy       Change "advertized" to "advertised" in 6 locations.       Number of the document.       SuggestedRemedy         Cl 91       SC 91.5.1       P94       L4       # 99         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco       To:         Sarrass, Hugh       Cisco       Sco         Comment Type       T       Comment Status X         For change of LPI Rx function       Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2         SuggestedRemedy       Change the direction FEC:IS RX_MODE:request         Add FEC:IS_ENERGY.DETECT: Indication       Add FEC:IS_ENERGY.DETECT indication	Proposed Response	Response Status 0			C/ 92 S	C 92.2	P113	L11	# 101
Cl 45       SC 45.2.7.13.1a       P24       L45       # 98         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco       rx_mode needs to change direction         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X         Atthough the spelling of "advertized" is aesthetically pleasing, it does not fit the degenerate style permeating the rest of the document.       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       Change "advertized" to "advertised" in 6 locations.       IS_RX_MODE.indication         Proposed Response       Response Status       O       To:         IS_RX_MODE.request       IS_RX_MODE.request       Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Cl 91       SC 91.5.1       P94       L4       # 99       Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Comment Type       T       Comment Status X       For change of LPI Rx function       To:       IS_RX_MODE.request       Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Comment Type       T       Comment Status X       For change of LPI Rx function       To:       IS_RX_MODE.request       Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication         Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication       Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication       Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication       IS_RX_HUB       IS_RX_HUB       IS_RX_HUB       IS_RX_HUB       IS_RX_HUB       IS_RX_HUB       I					Barrass, Hugh		Cisco		-
Barrass, Hugh Cisco   Comment Type E Comment Status X Although the spelling of "advertized" is aesthetically pleasing, it does not fit the degenerate style permeating the rest of the document. Suggested/Remedy Change "advertized" to "advertised" in 6 locations. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C191 SC 91.5.1 P94 L4 99 Change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 Suggested/Remedy Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request	C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.	13.1a P24	L <b>45</b>	# 98	51				
Comment Type       E       Comment Status X         Although the spelling of "advertized" is aesthetically pleasing, it does not fit the degenerate style permeating the rest of the document.       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       Change "advertized" to "advertised" in 6 locations.       IS_RX_MODE.indication         Proposed Response       Response Status       O       To:         IS_RX_MODE.request       IS_RX_MODE.request       Proposed Response       Response Status       O         C/ 91       SC 91.5.1       P94       L4       # 99       IS_RX_MODE.request       Proposed Response       Response Status       O         SuggestedRemedy       Cisco       IS_RX_MODE.request       Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Comment Type       T       Comment Status X       For change of LPI Rx function       Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2       SuggestedRemedy       IS_RX_MODE.request       Proposed Response       Response Status       O         SuggestedRemedy       Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request       Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication       Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request       IS_RAMODE.request	Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			i or change		Tunction		
Although the spelling of "advertized" is aesthetically pleasing, it does not fit the degenerate style permeating the rest of the document. SuggestedRemedy Change "advertized" to "advertised" in 6 locations. Proposed Response Response Status O C1 91 SC 91.5.1 P94 L4 # 99 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status X For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 SuggestedRemedy Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication	Comment Type E	Comment Status X			rx_mode ne	eds to cha	ange direction		
Change "advertized" to "advertised" in 6 locations. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 91 SC 91.5.1 P94 L4 # 99 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status X For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 SuggestedRemedy Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request			v pleasing, it does	s not fit the degenerate		edy			
Proposed Response Response Status O   To:    To:      Cl 91 SC 91.5.1 P94 L4 # 99   Barrass, Hugh Cisco   Comment Type T Comment Status X   For change of LPI Rx function   Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2   SuggestedRemedy   Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request   Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication   Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request	SuggestedRemedy	to "odvortional" in Classifiana			IS_RX_MO	DE.indicati	ion		
Cl 91 SC 91.5.1       P94       L4       # 99         Barrass, Hugh       Cisco       Comment Type T       Comment Status X         For change of LPI Rx function       Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2         SuggestedRemedy       Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request         Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication         Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request	e e				To:				
Cl 91 SC 91.5.1 P94 L4 # 99   Barrass, Hugh Cisco Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 SuggestedRemedy Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>							
C/ 91     SC 91.5.1     P94     L4     # 99       Barrass, Hugh     Cisco       Comment Type     T     Comment Status X       For change of LPI Rx function       Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2       SuggestedRemedy       Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request       Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication       Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request						•			
Comment Type T Comment Status X For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 SuggestedRemedy Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request	C/ 91 SC 91.5.1	P <b>94</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 99	Proposed Resp	onse	Response Status <b>O</b>		
For change of LPI Rx function Fix the block diagram in Fig 91-2 SuggestedRemedy Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request	Barrass, Hugh	Cisco							
SuggestedRemedy Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request	· · · //· ·								
Change the direction FEC:IS_RX_MODE.request Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request	Fix the block diagram	n in Fig 91-2							
Add FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication Add FEC:IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request	SuggestedRemedy								
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Add FEC:IS_ENERG	GY_DETECT.indication							
	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>0</b>							

C/ 93 SC 93.2 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>151</b> Cisco	L11	# 102	C/ 94 SC 94.3.1 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>180</b> Cisco	L <b>2</b>	# 104
Comment Type <b>T</b> For change of LPI Rx func	<i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> tion			Comment Type <b>T</b> For change of LPI Rx	Comment Status X		
rx_mode needs to change	direction			rx_mode needs to cha	ange direction		
SuggestedRemedy Change:				SuggestedRemedy Change:			
IS_RX_MODE.indication				IS_RX_MODE.indicat	ion		
То:				To:			
IS_RX_MODE.request Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			IS_RX_MODE.reques Proposed Response	t Response Status <b>O</b>		
	P <b>171</b> Cisco	L19	# 103	C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.1 Barrass, Hugh	4 P25 Cisco	L <b>29</b>	# 105
Comment Type <b>T</b> For change of LPI Rx fund	Comment Status X			Comment Type <b>T</b> If the new optional be	Comment Status X havior is accepted there need	s to be a new reg	jister bit.
rx_mode needs to change SuggestedRemedy	direction			SuggestedRemedy Add a row and adjust	the reserved row accordingly:		
Change: IS_RX_MODE.indication					only - 1 = Link partner is advect partner is not advertising that		
To:				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
IS_RX_MODE.request Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			C/ 69 SC 69.1.2 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>28</b> Cisco	L <b>32</b>	# 106
				Comment Type <b>E</b> For consistency - and deleted text.	Comment Status X also so that commenters can	see what is cha	nging - show the
				SuggestedRemedy Show the deleted text			
				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		

C/ 78 SC 78.1 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>37</b> Cisco	L <b>32</b>	# 107	<i>Cl</i> <b>78</b> <i>SC</i> <b>78.5</b> Barrass, Hugh	P <b>38</b> Cisco	L <b>48</b>	# 111
Comment Type T	Comment Status X to include all 40/100 PHYs			Comment Type T	Comment Status X avior is accepted then there	needs to be a des	scription.
SuggestedRemedy Change "100GBASE-C	CR10" to "40GBASE-CR4 PHY,	the 100GBAS	E-CR10 PHY"	SuggestedRemedy Add a sentence at the	end of the paragraph:		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Fast wake is mandato	ry for PHYs that implement E Response Status <b>0</b>	EE; normal wake	is an additional optio
C/ 78 SC 78.1 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>37</b> Cisco	L <b>34</b>	# 108				
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			CI 78 SC 78.5 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>39</b> Cisco	L <b>31</b>	# 112
Following the decision	to include all 40/100 PHYs			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy	E-KR4 PHY," to "the 40GBAS			Following the decision	to include all 40/100 PHYs		
PHY,"		E-KK4 FH1, III	e TOUGBASE-KR4	SuggestedRemedy	rows for 40GBASE-CR4 and		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>0</b>	40GDASE-NR4	
C/ 78 SC 78.5 Barrass, Hugh	P 38 Cisco	L <b>44</b>	# 109	CI 78 SC 78.5.2	P <b>39</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 113
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		
Following the decision	to include all 40/100 PHYs			Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X to include all 40/100 PHYs		
SuggestedRemedy	Chia and 100 Chia			SuggestedRemedy			
Change 100 Gb/s to 40 Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Change the title of sub	clause to:		
				40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s	PHY extension using XLAUI	and CAUI	
C/ 78 SC 78.5 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>38</b> Cisco	L <b>44</b>	# 110	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type <b>T</b> If the new optional beh	Comment Status X avior is accepted then the "mag	y" should be us	sed.				
SuggestedRemedy	d" to "may be supported"						
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 78 SC 78.5.2 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>39</b> Cisco	L <b>48</b>	# 114	C/         81         SC         81.3a.3.1         P 61         L 31         # 117           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco         117
Comment Type <b>T</b> Following the decision to	Comment Status X o include all 40/100 PHYs			Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> Following the decision to include all 40/100 PHYs
SuggestedRemedy Change the first part of t	the sentence from			SuggestedRemedy Change CGMII to XLGMII and CGMII - 2 locations.
"100 Gb/s PHYs may be	e extended using CAUI"			Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
to				C/ 81 SC 81.3a.3.1 P61 L # 118
	PHYs may be extended using	XLAUI and CAUI"		Barrass, Hugh Cisco
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> Following the decision to include all 40/100 PHYs
2/ <b>80</b> SC <b>80.3.2</b> arrass, Hugh	P <b>47</b> Cisco	L <b>5</b>	# 115	SuggestedRemedy Change CAUI to XLAUI and CAUI - 2 locations.
Comment Type <b>T</b> Following the decision to	Comment Status X o include all 40/100 PHYs			Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
SuggestedRemedy Change Fig 80-2 in the s	same way as 80-3.			C/         82         SC         82.2.18.2.2         P68         L15         # 119           Barrass, Hugh         Cisco
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> If the new optional behavior is accepted then LPI_FW variable will capture the behavior.
2/ <b>81</b> SC <b>81.1</b> arrass, Hugh	P <b>55</b> Cisco	L <b>28</b>	# 116	SuggestedRemedy Change "and false otherwise" to "and false when the transmitter is to use the optional
Comment Type <b>T</b> Following the decision to	Comment Status X o include all 40/100 PHYs			normal wake mechanism" Add a second sentence "This variable defaults true and may only be set to false if the
SuggestedRemedy Change CGMII to XLGN	/III and CGMII			optional normal wake mode is supported. " Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			

C/ 82 SC 82.7.6.6 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>82</b> Cisco	L <b>6</b>	# 120	C/ 83 SC 83 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>83</b> Cisco	L <b>51</b>	# 123
Comment Type T	Comment Status X	CS must reflect	this.	Comment Type T	Comment Status X behavior is accepted then PMA of	only needs to sup	oport the option.
SuggestedRemedy Add row (1st in table): LP-01 : Support for bo : LPI:O	th wake modes : 82.2.18.2.2	Variable LPI_F	W may be true or false	SuggestedRemedy After "optional Ener mode option" Proposed Response	rgy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capa <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b>	ability" insert "wit	h the normal wake
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			C/ 83 SC 83.7.3	3 P85	L12	# 124
	P82	L11	# 121	Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X behavior is accepted then PMA of	only needs to sur	oport the option.
Comment Type <b>T</b> The numbering of the	Comment Status X table items is unusual.			SuggestedRemedy	on of LPI" insert "with the norma		
SuggestedRemedy Number the items in a	simple sequence, starting with	h LPI-01.		Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						
			" [100	C/ 85 SC 85.1 Barrass, Hugh	Р <b>87</b> Cisco	L <b>33</b>	# 125
C/ 83 SC 83.3 Barrass, Hugh	P <b>83</b> Cisco	L <b>40</b>	# 122	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			If the new optional	behavior is accepted then PMD of	only needs to su	pport the option.
	navior is accepted then PMA c	nly needs to su	oport the option.	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy	·			After "optional Ener mode option"	rgy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capa	ability" insert "wit	h the normal wake
1 0,	Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capa	bility" insert "wit	h the normal wake	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
mode option"							

C/ 85 SC 85.2	P87	L	# 126		SC 80.3.2	P <b>49</b>	L <b>21</b>	# 129
Barrass, Hugh	Cisco			Barrass, Hugh	ו	Cisco		
Comment Type <b>T</b> If the new optional	Comment Status X behavior is accepted then PMD	only needs to su	oport the option.	Comment Typ For chang	pe <b>T</b> ge of LPIRx fu	Comment Status X unction		
SuggestedRemedy After "optional Ene mode option"	rgy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) cap	ability" insert "wit	h the normal wake	SuggestedRe	medy	face between FEC & PMA		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Between I	FEC & PMA:			
	,					IS_RX_MODE.request _DETECT.indicate		
C/ <b>85</b> SC <b>85.7.</b> Barrass, Hugh	2 P88 Cisco	L <b>5</b>	# 127	Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status O		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X							# 100
•	behavior is accepted then PMD	only needs to su	oport the option.	Mellitz, Richar		P <b>215</b> Intel Corporati	L <b>46</b> ion	# <u>1</u> 30
SuggestedRemedy	behavior is accepted then PMD orgy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) cap			Mellitz, Richar Comment Typ The transp	rd De <b>TR</b> mitter filter wa	Intel Corporati Comment Status X as intended to represent the r	ise and fall time	es of the transmitter.
SuggestedRemedy After "optional Ene mode option"	·			Mellitz, Richar Comment Typ The trans However application	rd be <b>TR</b> mitter filter wa values to be p	Intel Corporati Comment Status X as intended to represent the r presented by Liav Ben-Artsi te 93A-3 and 93A-5. Use of bot	ise and fall time and to limit rise	es of the transmitter. time significantly by
SuggestedRemedy After "optional Ene	ergy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) cap Response Status <b>O</b>			Mellitz, Richar Comment Typ The trans However application double co SuggestedRea remove ea	rd be <b>TR</b> mitter filter wa values to be p n of equation ount risetime fi <i>medy</i> quation 93A–0	Intel Corporati Comment Status X as intended to represent the r presented by Liav Ben-Artsi te 93A-3 and 93A-5. Use of bot iltering.	ise and fall time and to limit rise	es of the transmitter. time significantly by
SuggestedRemedy After "optional Ene mode option" Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85.7. Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T	ergy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) cap Response Status O 6 P88	L 33	the normal wake # 128	Mellitz, Richar Comment Typ The trans However application double co SuggestedRea remove ea change lin The voltag	rd be <b>TR</b> mitter filter wa values to be p n of equation nunt risetime fi <i>medy</i> quation 93A–6 ne 38ff to	Intel Corporati Comment Status X as intended to represent the r presented by Liav Ben-Artsi te 93A-3 and 93A-5. Use of bot iltering. 6 nction for each signal path h_	ise and fall time and to limit rise h rise time filter	es of the transmitter. time significantly by and Gamma seems to
SuggestedRemedy After "optional Ene mode option" Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85.7. Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T If the new optional SuggestedRemedy	ergy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) cap Response Status O 6 P88 Cisco Comment Status X	ability" insert "wit	the normal wake # 128	Mellitz, Richar Comment Typ The transu However application double co SuggestedRed remove ed change lin The voltag H_r(f) to y ref: Table remove f_	rd be <b>TR</b> mitter filter wa values to be p n of equation punt risetime fi medy quation 93A–0 to 38ff to ge transfer fun vield H_tf^k(f). 93A–1—Sum v, f_f, and f_r	Intel Corporati <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> as intended to represent the r presented by Liav Ben-Artsi te 93A-3 and 93A-5. Use of bot iltering. 6 nction for each signal path h_ mmary of parameters n	ise and fall time and to limit rise h rise time filter	es of the transmitter. time significantly by and Gamma seems to
SuggestedRemedy After "optional Ene mode option" Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85.7. Sarrass, Hugh Comment Type T If the new optional SuggestedRemedy	ergy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) cap Response Status O 6 P88 Cisco Comment Status X behavior is accepted then PMD	ability" insert "wit	the normal wake # 128	Mellitz, Richar Comment Typ The transu However application double co SuggestedRed remove ed change lin The voltag H_r(f) to y ref: Table remove f_	rd be <b>TR</b> mitter filter wa values to be p n of equation punt risetime fi medy quation 93A–0 to 38ff to ge transfer fun vield H_tf^k(f). 93A–1—Sum v, f_f, and f_r	Intel Corporati <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> as intended to represent the r presented by Liav Ben-Artsi te 93A-3 and 93A-5. Use of bot iltering. 6 nction for each signal path h_ mmary of parameters	ise and fall time and to limit rise h rise time filter	es of the transmitter. time significantly by and Gamma seems to

Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X         There is need to limit channels that might promote error propagation. In equation 93a-12 line 14, a region is define between t_z and t_z+WT_b         Limit the maximum of h_(0)(t) between t_z + 2*UI to t_z+WT_b will limit error propagation and frame errors.         SuggestedRemedy         Add parameter something like "maximum exclusion region excursion" as "wtx" table 93a-1 add entry to list on page 217 somewhere after line 4 indicating that only the FOM are considered when the amplitude, normalized to signal amplitude, anywhere between "_z + 2*UI to t_z+WT_b" does not exceed wtx.
add entry to list on page 217 somewhere after line 4 indicating that only the FOM are considered when the amplitude, normalized to signal amplitude, anywhere between " $_z$ +
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
C/         94         SC         94.3.11.1.1         P118         L25         # 134           Mellitz, Richard         Intel Corporation
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Good test fixtures are required to accurately represent performance at tp0 with measurement at tp0a.
SuggestedRemedy Add insertion loss limit of 1.4 dB to 1.6 dB at fb/2

C/ 94 SC 94.3.12.1 Mellitz, Richard	I.1 P194 Intel Corporation	L <b>53</b>	# 135	C/ 94 SC 94.4.2 Mellitz, Richard	P197 Intel Corporation	L <b>3</b>	# 138
Comment Type TR Good test fixtures are measurement at tp5a	Comment Status X required to accurately represent	performance at	tp5 with	• •	Comment Status X dd entry in table 94-8		
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy wtx = 0.1			
Add insertion loss limit of 1 Max ILD < +/- 0.1 dB Max RL < -12 dB or ap	.4 dB to 1.6 dB at fb/2			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			C/ 94 SC 94.4.2 Mellitz, Richard	P <b>197</b> Intel Corporation	L <b>41</b>	# 139
/ 94 SC 94.4.1 lellitz, Richard	P196 Intel Corporation	L <b>30</b>	# 136	Comment Type TR table 94-8 Exclusion region not PAM4 work	Comment Status X defined. Needs to be large enough	to insure channel	s suggested fo
uggestedRemedy Change TBD to zero Table 94–8	Comment Status X value. If zero, adjustment can be		ne Rx chip.	SuggestedRemedy Table 94-8 set W=16 Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
roposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>	-		C/ 93 SC 93.8.2. Mellitz, Richard	2 P162 Intel Corporation	L <b>47</b>	# 140
94 SC 94.4.2 ellitz, Richard	P <b>197</b> Intel Corporation	L <b>10</b>	# 137	Comment Type <b>TR</b> Good test fixtures ar measurement at tp0	Comment Status X e required to accurately represent ba.	performance at tp	0 with
omment Type <b>TR</b> Tx and Rx package mu uggestedRemedy In Table 94–8, change gamma_1=gamma_2=				SuggestedRemedy Add insertion loss limit of Max ILD < +/- 0.1 dl	<sup>:</sup> 1.4 dB to 1.6 dB at fb/2		
f1=f2=0.77*fb Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		

C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.4 P158 L21 # 141 C/ 93 SC 93.9.2 P165 L40 # 144 Mellitz, Richard Intel Corporation Mellitz, Richard Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X Good test fixtures are required to accurately represent performance at tp5 with Exclusion region not defined. Need to be large enough to insure channels suggested work measurement at tp5a. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Table 93-8 Add set W=12 insertion loss limit of 1.4 dB to 1.6 dB at fb/2 Proposed Response Response Status **O** Max ILD <  $\pm$  0.1 dB Max RL < -12 dB or appropiate graph and equalation Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 93 SC 93.9.2 P165 L3 # 145 Mellitz, Richard Intel Corporation SC 93.9.2 P165 L46 C/ 93 # 142 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status X Mellitz, Richard Intel Corporation If wtx is accepted, add entry in table 93-8 Comment Status X Comment Type TR SugaestedRemedv COM criteria needs a value. If zero, adjustment can be made to COM0 wtx = 0.1Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Change TBD to zero Table 93-8 C/ 93 SC 93 9 2 P165 L43 # 146  $COM_0 = 3 dB$  which approximates the SNR impact to be budgeted to the Rx chip. Mellitz. Richard Intel Corporation Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type TR Comment Status X Sigma G and A dd are indented to be a bound or an estimate for the impact of litter on COM. Low jitter will be required for 25Gb/s to operate. A\_dd would suggest and amount of SC 93.9.2 P165 C/ 94 L10 # 143 deterministic iitter that might inhibit operation. Mellitz. Richard Intel Corporation SuggestedRemedy Comment Type **TR** Comment Status X Tablle 93-8 Tx and Rx package must be defined Change Add = .025 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 In Table 93-8, change gamma 1=gamma 2=0.28 f1=f2=0.77\*fb.

IEEE P802.3bj D1.1 100 Gb/s Backplane and Copper Cable 2nd Task Force review comments

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status 0

Proposed Response

Mellitz, Richard	P196	L <b>42</b>	# 147	C/ <b>91</b> Ran, Adee	SC 91.5.2.6	P <b>95</b>	L <b>50</b>	# 150
viellitz, Richard	Intel Corporation	1		Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment T	•	Comment Status X		
COM. Low jitter will be re	indented to be a bound or an e equired for 25Gb/s to operate.	The specified	sigma_G and A_dd		t pad should be tructure.	etter be depicted in figure 91-	4 or elsewhere to	o show the five 257-bi
	unt jitter that might inhibit oper	ation for PAIVI4		SuggestedF	emedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Prefera	oly, update figu	re 91-4.		
Tablle 93-8 Change Sigma_g = .005 Add = .025				Proposed R	esponse	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ <b>91</b> Ran, Adee	SC 91.5.2.8	P <b>99</b> Intel	L <b>13</b>	# 151
				Comment T	/pe E	Comment Status X		
C/78 SC 78.1.4	P38	L <b>1</b>	# 148	A cross	reference to th	e relevant place in clause 94	could be useful.	
Ran, Adee	Intel			SuggestedF	emedy			
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			After "V	hen used to fo	rm a 100GBASE-KP4 PHY" a	add " (refer to 94	.2.1.1.1)".
According to the changes title of this subclause sho	s in 78.1, PHYs may support I ould reflect that.	EEE, not the ot	her way around. The	Proposed R	esponse	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy								
SuggestedRemedy Change "EEE supported	PHY types" to "PHY types wh	nich may suppo	ort EEE".	C/ 91	SC 91.5.3.2	P <b>99</b>	L <b>42</b>	# 152
Change "EEE supported	PHY types" to "PHY types wh Response Status <b>0</b>	nich may suppo	ort EEE".	<i>Cl</i> <b>91</b> Ran, Adee	SC 91.5.3.2	Р <b>99</b> Intel	L <b>42</b>	# 152
Change "EEE supported		nich may suppo	ort EEE".	Ran, Adee		Intel	L <b>42</b>	# 152
Change "EEE supported Proposed Response	Response Status O	nich may suppo	# 149	Ran, Adee <i>Comment T</i> If lane r	/pe E eordering is ma		wapping should r	not be considered an
Change "EEE supported Proposed Response C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.3 Ran, Adee	Response Status <b>O</b>			Ran, Adee Comment T If lane r error. F	<i>type</i> <b>E</b> eordering is ma or some media e to 82.2.13 wh	Intel Comment Status X ndatory then physical lane sv this may happen intentionally here the reason for possible r	wapping should r / and consistentl e-ordering is stat	not be considered an y. ted as "due to Skew
Change "EEE supported Proposed Response Cl 82 SC 82.2.18.2.3 Ran, Adee Comment Type E	Response Status O	L18	# 149	Ran, Adee Comment T If lane r error. F Compar betwee	upe E eordering is ma or some media e to 82.2.13 wh a lanes and mul	Intel Comment Status X ndatory then physical lane sv this may happen intentionally	wapping should r / and consistentl e-ordering is stat	not be considered an y. ted as "due to Skew
Change "EEE supported Proposed Response CI 82 SC 82.2.18.2.3 Ran, Adee Comment Type E	Response Status O P69 Intel Comment Status X cimals should be consistent wi	L18	# 149	Ran, Adee Comment T If lane r error. F Compar betweet SuggestedF Change	orpe E eordering is ma or some media e to 82.2.13 wh a lanes and mul emedy	Intel Comment Status X ndatory then physical lane sy this may happen intentionally here the reason for possible r tiplexing by the PMA". No "en ction errors in the underlying in	wapping should r / and consistentl e-ordering is stat rror" is mentioned	not be considered an y. ted as "due to Skew d.

C/ 92 SC 92.8.3	3.3 P122	L <b>42</b>	# 153	C/ 91	SC 91.5.2.5	P <b>95</b>	L <b>20</b>	# 155
an, Adee	Intel			Ran, Adee		Intel		
omment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	Type ER	Comment Status X		
	agraph originates from clause 85 od compared to clause 72. The se 93.			least o	ne 66-bit block i	r from the text whether the s a control block, or for all ca t it is preferable to avoid pos	ases including all-	
	o refers to the measurement me e rest of this paragraph (starting				amples in figure I assignment.	91-3 fail to depict this operation	ation - bits 4:0 are	shown as in the
IggestedRemedy				Also: th	ne second sente	nce in this paragraph should	h ha in a sanarata	naragraph
	previous version (refer to 10GBA	SE-KR and clau	se 72) or delete this	Suggested				, paragraph.
paragraph enitrely.				00		ble tx_xcoded_header<4:0>	for all the assign	nents to
oposed Response	Response Status O					ccur before this paragraph.	for an the assign	
		1.04	# [r=r]			nclude both tx_xcoded_head	der<4:0> and tx_	xcoded<4:0>. (May
83 SC 83.1.1 n, Adee	P83 Intel	L <b>31</b>	# 154	require	restructuring th	e figure).		
				Change	e the paragraph	in lines 20-22 to the following	ng:	
Following the split of	Comment Status X of table 80-2 into two tables, it no	o longer lists 100	Gb/s PMDs.		coded<4:0> to t xcoded<12:8>.	he result of the bit-wise excl	usive-OR of tx_x	coded_header<4:0>"
100GBASE-KP4 is should refer to table	a 100 Gb/s rather than 40 Gb/s e 80-2a.	PMD and the cor	nment excluding it	-	-	illustrate the transcoding pro	ocess are shown	in Figure 91–3.
uggestedRemedy Move ", except 100	GBASE-KP4 (Clause 94)" one s	entence ahead (I	ine 32).	Proposed I	Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
oposed Response	Response Status 0							
				C/ 91	SC 91.5.2.6	P <b>95</b>	L <b>26</b>	# 156
				Ran, Adee		Intel		
				Comment	Type ER	Comment Status X		
						es the mapping operation b normal stream, paired with		
				Suggested	Remedy			
						put and output of these two proposed procedure enough		uired. Unfortunately I
				Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0		

C/ 91	SC 🕄	91.5.3.5	P101	L <b>25</b>	# 157	C/ 92	SC 92.8.	4 P130	L12	# 159
Ran, Adee			Intel			Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment T	Гуре	ER	Comment Status X			Comment T	<i>уре</i> <b>т</b>	Comment Status X		
		rxcoded<4 onfusing.	:0> in this line is a typo, the	n rx_xcoded<4:(	)> is assigned twice.			"at TP3" which is at the cable suitable, but bit error ratio car		
		eferred to o subclause s	define another variable rx_x 91.5.2.5.	coded_header a	nd use it as in my	interfac	e" which m	BER is defined (per the project eans after the RS-FEC sublay	er. There is no nee	ed to specify and test for
Suggestedl	Remed	У						.8.4.3) anywhere else, especi be a severe over-stress.	ally at the "Electrica	al characteristics"
"Set rx_ and rx_ Use rx_	_xcode _xcodec _xcodec	d<12:8>". d_header<	:4:0> to the result of the bit- 0> instead of rx_xcoded<0:		_	Bit error FEC su over th transm	r ratio shou blayers. Th e full 4-lane tter in orde	ld be specified as 1e-12 and t e actual test should involve R link. It is more likely that a test to include the RS-FEC encod	S-FEC block error t procedure would	rate and thus performe require a full complian
_		,	following steps.			92-8 m	ay not be fe	asible.		
Proposed F	Respon	se	Response Status <b>O</b>					be specified in addition at the g. in order to verify CDR track		ntially higher BER targe
C/ 92	SC 9	92.8.3.7	P <b>128</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 158	Suggested	Remedy			
an, Adee			Intel	-		Remov	e the "Bit e	ror ratio" parameter from this	table and from tab	le 92-8.
Comment T	Гуре	ER	Comment Status X			Remov	e table 92-8	and subclause 92.8.4.3.		
insertio	on loss i	is given in	he sentence "The reference Equation (92–15) and shall quality to TBD. One cannot	be used"?		clause	91, with set	R test which includes the RS-F up/stress settings defined sep holders/editorial comments w	arately for clauses	
			r TBD stands for).			Proposed F	Response	Response Status 0		
			bbably be "The reference to 2–15) shall be used" but it							
Should	the ins	ertion loss	be specified as being withi	n a range?						
S <i>uggestedl</i> Please										
Proposed F	Respon	se	Response Status <b>O</b>							

C/ 81 SC 81.3a Ran, Adee	P <b>59</b> Intel	L10	# 160	C/ <b>91</b> SC Ran, Adee	C 91.5.2.5	P <b>95</b> Intel	L <b>7</b>	# 162
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type	TR C	comment Status X		
With the addition of 4	0GBASE-KR4 and 40GBASE and CAUI in this subclause sh			The transco values 00 a	oding procedure and 11 are indeed	does not handle all pos d invalid, but can still of o happen more often th	ccur (e.g. due to e	rrors in reception from
SuggestedRemedy Change "CGMII" to "> Page 59 lines 10,12 Page 61 lines 32,33	<lgmii cgmii"="" in:<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td>mark the 66</td><td></td><td>ompressed, the reasor stion as a control block ceiving PCS.</td><td></td><td></td></lgmii>			mark the 66		ompressed, the reasor stion as a control block ceiving PCS.		
Fage of lines 52,55				SuggestedRem	edy			
Change "CAUI" to "XI Page 60 line 43 Page 61 lines 37,38	_AUI/CAUI" in:			"If for all j=0	e condition in line ) to 3, tx_coded_ <1>=0 and tx_co	j<1>!=tx_coded_j<0>,	and for at least on	ne value of j,
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>					ving paragraph after lin ng to comment):	e 19 (expand the t	ext inside braces to be
C/ 91 SC 91.3	P <b>92</b>	L <b>44</b>	# 161	" If for any i–i	0 to 3 tx coded	_j<1>=tx_coded_j<0>,	tx xcoded<256:0>	shall be constructed
an, Adee	Intel			as follows:	0 10 0, IX_00000 <u>0</u>			
upstream lanes is 20. Also: the terms p and manner, and are not for the more unique to	l q only appear in one paragra used or officially defined anyw erms LANES_UPSTREAM an	ph in subclause 83 here else. It would d LANES_DOWN	3.1.4 in a descriptive be easier to search	, _	d <k+1> = tx_coo specify that any</k+1>	ded_k<1> for k=0 to 3 e blocks where invalid h		be replaced by control
	maintenance change in 83.1.4	is also due.		Add a suital	ble example to fi	gure 91-3.		
Change "PMA service	m lanes" to "20 upstream lane e interface width, p, is set to 4 and LANES_DOWNSTREAM	" to "PMA service	interface widths	Proposed Resp	onse Re	esponse Status <b>O</b>		
Proposed Response		ale set to 20 and	14 respectively.	C/ 91 SC	C 91.5.2.5	P <b>95</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 163
roposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Ran, Adee		Intel		
				Comment Type	TR C	comment Status X		
						lues (019), but if j=0ł I be only within 04.	5 and i=03, x=i+4	lj can take values from
				SuggestedRem Change "j=0	•	4".		
					0 to 5" to "j=0 to	4". esponse Status <b>O</b>		

C/ 91 SC 91.5	.3.5 <i>P</i> 101	L <b>45</b>	# 164	CI 93	SC 93.8.2.2	P162	L <b>52</b>	# 167
Ran, Adee	Intel			Ben-Artsi, L	iav	Marvell		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment T	ype <b>TR</b>	Comment Status X		
	pted change in transcoding (gust			Differen	itial return loss	in equation 93-3 is TBD		
	ng transcoding. Unscrambling des e original 64B/66B to 256B/257B			SuggestedF	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy	-			Define I present		ording to equation 93A-3 with	n parameters acc	ording to the
Delete steps f and				Proposed R		Response Status <b>O</b>		
	use describes exactly the inverse	operation of 91.	5.2.5.	Toposed N	esponse	Response Status U		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>							
				CI 93	SC 93.9	P165	L10	# 168
C/ 92 SC 92.8	.4.2 P131	L19	# 165	Ben-Artsi, L	iav	Marvell		
Ben-Artsi, Liav	Marvell			Comment T		Comment Status X		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Transm	itter reflection	coefficients are missing		
Applied DCD shou	uld be changed according to the n	ew convention (e	ven-odd jitter)	SuggestedF	-			
SuggestedRemedy						na = 0.28 ; F = 0.77Fb Or Gar ation and final recommendatio		= 0.8Fb
change DCD to ev	ven-odd jitter			Proposed R	esponse	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							
				C/ 93	SC 93.9	P165	L13	# 169
CI 93 SC 93.8	.1.1 P156	L <b>51</b>	# 166	Ben-Artsi, L	iav	Marvell		
Ben-Artsi, Liav	Marvell			Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status X		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Receive	er reflection co	efficients are missing		
Measuring through loss measurement	n an interconnect as defined in 93	.8.1.1 can obfuse	cate real chip return	SuggestedF	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy	ι.			Sugges	t using Gamm	a = 0.28 ; F = 0.77Fb Or Gam tion and final recommendatio		= 0.8Fb
<ol> <li>Better return los</li> <li>Defining fixture</li> <li>Fixture IL up to It is taken into acc required that the a</li> </ol>	1.6dB count that fixture may not be feasil actual fixture be "de-embedding we al fixture will be de-embedded and	ble in multi lane o orthy".		Proposed R		Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							

C/ <b>93</b> SC <b>93.9</b> Ben-Artsi, Liav	P <b>165</b> Marvell	L15	# 170	C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 Anslow, Pete	P <b>45</b> Ciena	L <b>8</b>	# 173
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X nclude package insertion loss n loss model equation accordi	·	n	Comment Type E 0 Table 80-2 in IEEE Std 802 order. Now that it has been split in order			0
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Also, the PHYs were previo	ously arranged in reach	order	
C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.4 Ben-Artsi, Liav	P158 Marvell Comment Status X	L37	# [171	SuggestedRemedy Change the order of the co Change the order of the row clause order):	ows in Table 80-2a to pre		
	s in equation 93-1 is TBD			KR4, KP4, CR4, CR10, SR	R10, LR4, ER4		
Differential return loss SuggestedRemedy Define return loss acc presentation		n parameters acc	cording to the	Proposed Response R Cl 80 SC 80.1.4 Anslow, Pete	Response Status <b>O</b> P <b>44</b> Ciena	L <b>3</b>	# 174
Differential return loss SuggestedRemedy Define return loss acc presentation Proposed Response	s in equation 93-1 is TBD cording to equation 93A-3 with	n parameters acc	eording to the	Proposed Response R Cl 80 SC 80.1.4 Anslow, Pete	Response Status <b>O</b> P <b>44</b> Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> s to add three rows, but	does not say whe	ere in the table they
Differential return loss SuggestedRemedy Define return loss acc presentation Proposed Response Cl 00 SC 0 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E Now that IEEE Std 80	s in equation 93-1 is TBD cording to equation 93A–3 with <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	L update all refere	# 172	Proposed Response R Cl 80 SC 80.1.4 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E C The editing instruction says	Response Status <b>O</b> P44 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> s to add three rows, but I make life difficult for sul	does not say whe bsequent amend	ere in the table they ments.
Differential return loss SuggestedRemedy Define return loss acc presentation Proposed Response C/ 00 SC 0 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E Now that IEEE Std 80 reflect 2012 and remo	s in equation 93-1 is TBD cording to equation 93A–3 with <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> 02.3-2012 has been approved, ove the reference to "Draft 3.1" rences in the draft to be "IEEE	L update all refere " in the frontmatte	# 172	Proposed Response       R         Cl 80       SC 80.1.4         Anslow, Pete       Comment Type         Comment Type       E         The editing instruction says should be added. This will         Currently the 40G PHYs cord CR10, SR10, LR4, ER4	Response Status <b>O</b> P44 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> s to add three rows, but of I make life difficult for sul ome first and the 100G F explicit and such to prese	does not say whe bsequent amend PHYs are listed in	ere in the table they ments.

C/80 SC 80.1.5	5 P <b>4</b> 5	L35	# 175	CI 80 SC	80.4	P 50	L <b>3</b>	# 178
nslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, Pete		Ciena		
omment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type	Е	Comment Status X		
In Table 80-2a unde "RS-FEC"	er Clause 91 it says "BASE-R R	S FEC" but Claus	se 91 refers to it as just			says to add four rows, but o s will make life difficult for su		
SuggestedRemedy				Currently the	AOG lave	ers come first and the 100G	lavers are listed s	stack then in reach
Change "BASE-R F	RS FEC" to "RS-FEC"			order:	, 400 laye			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			CR10, SR10	), LR4, EF	<u>8</u> 4		
				SuggestedReme	edy			
		1.00	" [1=0		•	ints explicit and such to pres	erve existing orde	er (for KR4 and KP4 us
2/ 80 SC 80.1.5 Inslow, Pete	5 P <b>44</b> Ciena	L <b>22</b>	# 176	clause order MAC&RS&M ER4		BASE-R FEC, RS-FEC, PM	A, KR4, KP4, CR	4, CR10, SR10, LR4,
Comment Type E Now that Table 80- needs to be modifie	Comment Status X 2 has been split into two tables, ed to match.	the reference in a	80.1.5 to this table	Proposed Respo	onse	Response Status <b>O</b>		
				~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~			1.00	# 179
uggestedRemedy				C/80 SC	; 80.4	P 50	L 20	
SuggestedRemedy Add text to change:	:			CI 80 SC Anslow, Pete	80.4	P <b>50</b> Ciena	L <b>20</b>	# 179
Add text to change: "Table 80–2 specifi	es the correlation between nom			Anslow, Pete		Ciena	L <b>20</b>	# 179
Add text to change: "Table 80–2 specifi "Table 80–2 and Ta				Anslow, Pete <i>Comment Type</i> Table 80-3 F	E Footnotes		omment resolution	n on D3.1 of the revisio
"Table 80–2 specifi	es the correlation between nom able 80-2a specify the correlation			Anslow, Pete <i>Comment Type</i> Table 80-3 F	E Footnotes both cases	Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> a and b were modified by co	omment resolution	n on D3.1 of the revisio
Add text to change: "Table 80–2 specifi "Table 80–2 and Ta troposed Response	es the correlation between nom able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>			Anslow, Pete Comment Type Table 80-3 F project. In b SuggestedReme Modify the b	E Footnotes both cases edy ase versio	Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> a and b were modified by co	omment resolution rom the footnotes	n on D3.1 of the revisio
Add text to change: "Table 80–2 specifi "Table 80–2 and Ta Proposed Response	es the correlation between nom able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>1 P83</b> Ciena	n between nomer	nclature and clauses."	Anslow, Pete Comment Type Table 80-3 F project. In b SuggestedReme Modify the b	E Footnotes both cases ady ase versio 2.3-2012	Ciena Comment Status X a and b were modified by co s, "Note that" was removed for on of Table 80-3 footnotes a	omment resolution rom the footnotes	n on D3.1 of the revisio
Add text to change: "Table 80–2 specifi "Table 80–2 and Ta proposed Response 83 SC 83.1.1 nslow, Pete comment Type E The editing instruct	es the correlation between nom able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>1 P83</b> Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ion says: "Change the first para	n between nomer	# 177	Anslow, Pete Comment Type Table 80-3 F project. In b SuggestedReme Modify the b IEEE Std 80	E Footnotes both cases ady ase versio 2.3-2012	Ciena Comment Status X a and b were modified by co s, "Note that" was removed fi on of Table 80-3 footnotes a by removing "Note that"	omment resolution rom the footnotes	n on D3.1 of the revisio
Add text to change: "Table 80–2 specifi "Table 80–2 and Ta roposed Response <b>1 83</b> SC <b>83.1.1</b> nslow, Pete omment Type <b>E</b> The editing instruct that is being modified	es the correlation between nom able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>1 P83</b> Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ion says: "Change the first para	n between nomer	# 177	Anslow, Pete Comment Type Table 80-3 F project. In b SuggestedReme Modify the b IEEE Std 80 Proposed Respondent	E Footnotes both cases ady ase versio 2.3-2012 onse	Ciena Comment Status X a and b were modified by co s, "Note that" was removed fi on of Table 80-3 footnotes a by removing "Note that"	omment resolution rom the footnotes	n on D3.1 of the revisio
Add text to change: "Table 80–2 specifi "Table 80–2 and Ta roposed Response / 83 SC 83.1.1 nslow, Pete omment Type E The editing instruct that is being modifie uggestedRemedy	es the correlation between nom able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>I P83</b> Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ion says: "Change the first para ed.	n between nomer	follows:" but it is 83.1.1	Anslow, Pete Comment Type Table 80-3 F project. In b SuggestedReme Modify the b IEEE Std 80 Proposed Respon	E Footnotes both cases ady ase versio 2.3-2012 onse	Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X a and b were modified by co s, "Note that" was removed fr on of Table 80-3 footnotes a by removing "Note that" <i>Response Status</i> O	omment resolution rom the footnotes	n on D3.1 of the revisio
Add text to change: "Table 80–2 specifi "Table 80–2 and Ta roposed Response 7 83 SC 83.1.1 nslow, Pete comment Type E The editing instruct that is being modifie uggestedRemedy Change the editing	es the correlation between nom able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>1 P83</b> Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ion says: "Change the first para ed. instruction to: "Change the first	n between nomer	follows:" but it is 83.1.1	Anslow, Pete Comment Type Table 80-3 F project. In b SuggestedReme Modify the b IEEE Std 80 Proposed Respondent	E Footnotes both cases ady ase versio 2.3-2012 onse	Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X a and b were modified by co a, "Note that" was removed for on of Table 80-3 footnotes a by removing "Note that" <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i>	omment resolution rom the footnotes	n on D3.1 of the revisio ne recently approved
Add text to change: "Table 80–2 specifi "Table 80–2 and Ta roposed Response / 83 SC 83.1.1 nslow, Pete omment Type E The editing instruct that is being modifie uggestedRemedy Change the editing	es the correlation between nom able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>I P83</b> Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ion says: "Change the first para ed.	n between nomer	follows:" but it is 83.1.1	Anslow, Pete Comment Type Table 80-3 F project. In b SuggestedReme Modify the b IEEE Std 80 Proposed Respondent CI 00 SC Anslow, Pete Comment Type The content	E Footnotes both cases edy ase versio 2.3-2012 onse cons conse conse conse cons cons conse cons cons cons co	Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X a and b were modified by co s, "Note that" was removed for on of Table 80-3 footnotes a by removing "Note that" <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> Ciena	omment resolution rom the footnotes and b to match th L	n on D3.1 of the revision the recently approved # [ <u>180</u>
Add text to change: "Table 80–2 specifi "Table 80–2 and Ta roposed Response 7 83 SC 83.1.1 nslow, Pete omment Type E The editing instruct that is being modifie uggestedRemedy Change the editing	es the correlation between nom able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>1 P83</b> Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ion says: "Change the first para ed. instruction to: "Change the first	n between nomer	follows:" but it is 83.1.1	Anslow, Pete Comment Type Table 80-3 F project. In b SuggestedReme Modify the b IEEE Std 80 Proposed Respondent CI 00 SC Anslow, Pete Comment Type The content	E Footnotes both cases ady ase versio 2.3-2012 onse co	Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X a and b were modified by co s, "Note that" was removed fr on of Table 80-3 footnotes a by removing "Note that" <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X 02.3bj draft seems to be suff	omment resolution rom the footnotes and b to match th L	n on D3.1 of the revision the recently approved # [ <u>180</u> at the content of Clause
Add text to change: "Table 80–2 specifi "Table 80–2 and Ta roposed Response / 83 SC 83.1.1 nslow, Pete omment Type E The editing instruct that is being modifie uggestedRemedy	es the correlation between nom able 80-2a specify the correlation <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>1 P83</b> Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ion says: "Change the first para ed. instruction to: "Change the first	n between nomer	follows:" but it is 83.1.1	Anslow, Pete Comment Type Table 80-3 F project. In b SuggestedReme Modify the b IEEE Std 80 Proposed Respondent CI 00 SC Anslow, Pete Comment Type The content 45, Clause 3 SuggestedReme	E Footnotes both cases ady ase versio 2.3-2012 onse co	Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X a and b were modified by co s, "Note that" was removed fr on of Table 80-3 footnotes a by removing "Note that" <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X 02.3bj draft seems to be suff	omment resolution rom the footnotes and b to match th L ficiently stable that oforma should no	n on D3.1 of the revision the recently approved # <u>180</u> at the content of Claus bow be populated.

C/ 80 SC 80.7 Anslow, Pete	Р <b>54</b> Сіепа	L1	# 181	C/ 82 SC 82.2.1 Slavick, Jeff	8.2.2	P <b>68</b> Avago Techno	L <b>31</b> ologies	# 184
The title of 80.7 is "Protoco as shown in D1.1: "Protoco Clause 80, Introduction to 4 Clause 80 does not have a updated when the content of	bl implementation conform 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s ne a PICS proforma so the e	nance statement tworks" ditor's note: "The	(PICS) proforma for	Comment Type E Text states rx_mode SuggestedRemedy Change the word fo Proposed Response	e is one of four ur to three.	nt Status X values, but only 3 e Status <b>O</b>	3 are listed.	
SuggestedRemedy Correct the title of 80.7 incl Remove the editor's note.	0 0 17	right release foo	tnote.	C/ 82 SC 18.2.1 Slavick, Jeff	8.2.3	P <b>69</b> Avago Techno	L <b>44</b> ologies	# 185
Proposed Response R	Response Status O			Comment Type E /Ll/ should just be ir		nt Status X	acters that don't	map to a C vector.
C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.6 Slavick, Jeff	P <b>96</b> Avago Techn	L <b>48</b> blogies	# 182	SuggestedRemedy Change a) to be				
Figure 91-3. Header bit for SuggestedRemedy				a) Eight valid contro Proposed Response		her than /O/,/S/,/٦ e Status <b>O</b>	Γ/,/LI/, and /E/;	
Change the 1 in the 0 bit lo Proposed Response R	Response Status <b>O</b>	o for example 4.		C/ 91 SC 91.5.3 Slavick, Jeff	.3	P <b>101</b> Avago Techno	L <b>11</b> ologies	# 186
C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.8 Slavick, Jeff	P <b>99</b> Avago Techn	L <b>9</b> plogies	# 183	Comment Type <b>T</b> Ability to bypass the		nt Status X n function is not o	defined.	
We no longer are scramblin	-				correction is se parity the deco	der shall corrupt		ne codeword does not the same manner as
Remove the words "scram! sentence of 91.5.2.8 Remove the words "descra	-			Added an MDIO reg Proposed Response	jister bit to cont		correction	
Proposed Response R	Response Status <b>O</b>			rioposed response	respons			

CI 82 SC 82.2.8a	P66	L <b>5</b>	# 187	C/ 91 SC 9	1.4	P <b>92</b>	L <b>53</b>	# 190
Slavick, Jeff	Avago Techno	ologies		Slavick, Jeff		Avago Techno	ologies	
Comment Type T Con	nment Status X			Comment Type	т	Comment Status X		
The first bit of data sent after t since both FEC modules need				Need to replac 74 was set to~		n values for maximum dela ne size.	ay contributed by	/ the RS-FEC. Claus
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy	/			
Add text to 82.2.8a stating that ALERT states. Remove count				Change TBDs	to be 4096	BT, 158.3ns, 8 pause_qua	anta	
text to 82.2.8a stating that a R ALERT state is exited.				That's~3.01 R	S-FEC fram	es for KP4 and 3.1 for KR	4/CR4	
Proposed Response Resp	oonse Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Respons	se l	Response Status O		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.3.1	P71	L <b>36</b>	# 188	C/82 SC 8	2.2.18.3.1	P <b>79</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 191
Slavick, Jeff	Avago Techno	ologies		Slavick, Jeff		Avago Techno	ologies	
Comment Type <b>T</b> Com	nment Status X			Comment Type	т	Comment Status X		
In Table 82-5a tx_mode is set	to SLEEP in the sleep	p state.		Time spent in	TX_WAKE	loes not allow for all RAM	s to be sent for a	all data rates.
SuggestedRemedy Change the Tsl descriptions to Local Sleep Time when enterin and Local Sleep Time when enterin Proposed Response Rest	ng the TX_SLEEP sta			100G-KR4 ins transmit. This send 3 RAMs. 100G-CR10 ar	erts 1 RAM means the nd 40G-CR4	E is 240ns minimum every other FEC frame an minimum time for Twl nee send 36 66b blocks in 24 nes, so that means 18 66	eds to be 312ns t Ons, but 100G-0	to guarantee you can CR10 has to share a
Topolog Rosponde - Resp				66b blocks to i	nsert 3 RAM	ls which is 307.2ns		
C/78 SC 78.5.2	P39	L53	# 189			E is 3.9us minimum EC frames, so a maximum	of 37 RAMe	
Slavick, Jeff	Avago Techno		m 100	100G-CR4 it's	9 FEC fram	es, so a maximum of 36 es, so a maximum of 76		
Comment Type T Con	nment Status X			SuggestedRemedy				
				Suggesteunemeur	/			
Definitions for how PEASE and exist. However the MDIO bits	PIASE (CAUI shutdo	own control bits) a	affect EEE timing	Change the va	lue in Table	82-5a for Twl when LPI_F	FW = TRUE to b	e 312ns minimum,
Definitions for how PEASE and exist. However the MDIO bits	PIASE (CAUI shutdo	own control bits) a	affect EEE timing	Change the va 332ns maximu	llue in Table Im	82-5a for Twl when LPI_F used when LPI_FW = FA		
Definitions for how PEASE and	PIASE (CAUI shutdo don't in Clause 45 PEASE and PIASE.	,	affect EEE timing	Change the va 332ns maximu	llue in Table im _count value	_		

C/ <b>82</b> SC <b>82</b> Slavick, Jeff	P <b>65</b> Avago Techno	L <b>34</b> blogies	# 192	<i>Cl</i> <b>82</b> Slavick, J	SC 82.2.8 eff	a	P <b>66</b> Avago Techn	L <b>14</b> ologies	# 194
SuggestedRemedy Added an indication in	Comment Status X indication that the tx_mode a Figure 82-2 that inst.*_MODE	_		down <sub>.</sub> variat	e 82-9a. _count is decr	emented each ti when the count r		RAM and the dov refore the last RA	wn_count_done M transmitted is sen
roposed Response	Response Status O	L8	# 193		-	nt = 1 and down_	count = 0 to do	wn_count = 2 an	d down_count = 1 in
	Avago Techno <i>Comment Status</i> X es at twice the frequency as 1 ven time duration is twice that	00G. So the nu		the ch refere	hange listed at ences to RAM	bove is not corre and last RAM sir	ct. The change	would then be to	SLEEP would have
RAMs to be sent for th	e entire duration of the TX_W FEC & PCS) to both see RAM	AKE state to all	ow for cascaded	Proposed	l Response	Response	Status O		
100G PCS by changin "The RAMs shall be in to	at which RAMs are inserted t g the following sentence: serted after every 7 66-bit blo serted after every 7 66-bit blo h 40G PCS lane "	cks on each PC	S lane."	C/ <b>82</b> Slavick, Je Comment No de	t Туре <b>Т</b>		P <b>66</b> Avago Techn Status X	0	# 195
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Suggeste	dDomody				

Add a sentance that states the following to 82.2.8a

"After the LPI transmit state machine transitions from TX\_ACTIVE to TX\_SLEEP the first RAM is inserted into a continuous stream of LPI blocks after PCSL0 has sent an LPI block and the low two bits of am\_counter equal 3"

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

C/ <b>91</b> SC <b>91</b> Slavick, Jeff	P <b>104</b> Avago Technol	L <b>0</b> logies	# 196	C/ 91 SC 91. Slavick, Jeff	.5.2.5	P <b>95</b> Avago Techn	L <b>20</b> nologies	# 198
No definitions for counter to tra	nment Status X ack the following have b	been added to t	he RS-FEC.	Comment Type <b>1</b> Figure 91-3 does process.		ent Status X he XOR function in	n it's illustration o	of the transcoding
Corrected_block_count Uncorrected_block_count Symbol_error_count_0 Symbol_error_count_1 Symbol_error_count_2 Symbol_error_count_3				to		the transcoding pro		n in Figure 91–3." are shown in Figure
uggestedRemedy					D	0/11/11		
Add a new section named RS- counters and create MDIO acc			defines the following	Proposed Response	Respon	ose Status O		
Corrected_block_count - 32b c successfully corrected when fe			codeword is	C/ <b>91</b> SC <b>91</b> Slavick, Jeff	5.4.2.1	P <b>107</b> Avago Techn	L <b>3</b> nologies	# <u>1</u> 99
Uncorrected_block_count - 32				Comment Type 1	Comm	ent Status X		
uncorrectable when fec_bypas parity's don't match when fec_ Symbol_error_count_03 - 32t time a symbol for the given lan	ss_correction is false ar bypass_correction is tr b counter, one for each	nd when the loc rue. n PMD lane, whi	al parity and received ch increments each		e variable restart_ for restart_lock to	lock is not defined	d in the State Va	riables section.
uncorrectable when fec_bypas parity's don't match when fec_ Symbol_error_count_03 - 32t time a symbol for the given lar Proposed Response Resp C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.4	ss_correction is false ar bypass_correction is tr b counter, one for each he is corrected when fer ponse Status <b>O</b> P <b>93</b>	nd when the loc rue. n PMD lane, whi c_bypass_corre	al parity and received ch increments each	Figure 91-8. The SuggestedRemedy Add a definition	e variable restart_ for restart_lock to <i>Respon</i>	lock is not defined 91.5.4.2.1	L2	riables section. # 200
uncorrectable when fec_bypas parity's don't match when fec_ Symbol_error_count_03 - 32t time a symbol for the given lan Proposed Response Resp C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.4	ss_correction is false ar bypass_correction is tr b counter, one for each he is corrected when fer bonse Status <b>O</b> <b>P93</b> Avago Technol mment Status <b>X</b>	nd when the loc rue. n PMD lane, whi c_bypass_corre <i>L</i> 46 logies	al parity and received ch increments each ection is true. # 197	Figure 91-8. The SuggestedRemedy Add a definition Proposed Response Cl 82 SC 82. Slavick, Jeff Comment Type	e variable restart_ for restart_lock to <i>Respon</i> 2.8a Comment in the WAKE s	lock is not defined o 91.5.4.2.1 ose Status <b>O</b> P <b>67</b> Avago Techn ent Status <b>X</b> state is sent with a	L <b>2</b> vologies	# 200
uncorrectable when fec_bypas parity's don't match when fec_ Symbol_error_count_03 - 32t time a symbol for the given lan Proposed Response Resp C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.4 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T Con Replace TBD with the BIP error SuggestedRemedy	ss_correction is false ar bypass_correction is tr b counter, one for each he is corrected when fer bonse Status <b>O</b> P93 Avago Technol mment Status <b>X</b> or counter register that	nd when the loc rue. n PMD lane, whi c_bypass_corre <i>L</i> 46 logies	al parity and received ch increments each ection is true. # 197	Figure 91-8. The SuggestedRemedy Add a definition Proposed Response Cl 82 SC 82. Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T The last RAM se example values SuggestedRemedy	e variable restart_ for restart_lock to <i>Respon</i> 2.8a Comment in the WAKE s	lock is not defined o 91.5.4.2.1 ose Status <b>O</b> P <b>67</b> Avago Techn ent Status <b>X</b> state is sent with a	L <b>2</b> vologies	# 200
uncorrectable when fec_bypas parity's don't match when fec_ Symbol_error_count_03 - 32t time a symbol for the given lan Proposed Response Resp C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.4 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T Con Replace TBD with the BIP error SuggestedRemedy Change TBD with 3.200 to 3.2	ss_correction is false ar bypass_correction is tr b counter, one for each he is corrected when fer bonse Status <b>O</b> P93 Avago Technol mment Status <b>X</b> or counter register that	nd when the loc rue. n PMD lane, whi c_bypass_corre <i>L</i> 46 logies	al parity and received ch increments each ection is true. # 197	Figure 91-8. The SuggestedRemedy Add a definition Proposed Response Cl 82 SC 82. Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T The last RAM se example values SuggestedRemedy Change (therefore the las 0xC0, 0xC1; for To (therefore the las	e variable restart_ for restart_lock to <i>Respon</i> 2.8a 2.8a 2.8a 2.8a 2.8a 2.8a 2.8a 2.8a	lock is not defined o 91.5.4.2.1 <i>P</i> 67 Avago Techn <i>ent Status</i> X state is sent with a ct. S lane 0 would ha e would be: 0x99, 0 y a 100GBASE-R	L2 nologies a down_count va nve CD3 values: 1 0x9E, 0x9F, 0x9F PCS on PCS lar	# 200 lue of 1. So the 0xC5, 0xC2, 0xC3,

C/ 92 SC 92.7.12 P119 L14 # 201	Cl 82 SC 82 P80 L8 # 203
Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies	Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
The clause 72 PMD training sequence has a timeout value of 500ms. We're going times faster with more loss then 802.3ap. The channel is going to be more difficut thus will likely require more time to optimize the link. SuggestedRemedy Add statements changing the PMD training timeout time for clause 92, 93, and 94	RX_ACTIVE -> RX_ACTIVE occurs when block_lock != rx_block_lock and align_status != rx_align_status. rx_align_status has to wait for all PCS lanes to achieve rx_block_lock before it can deskew and be set to true. I believe we want remain in RX_ACTIVE until
1.5s.	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Change the transition from RX_ACTIVE -> RX_TIMER to be: align_status * rx_block_lock * R_TYPE(rx_coded) = LI
C/ 82         SC 82         P80         L10         # 202           Slavick, Jeff         Avago Technologies	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type T Comment Status X	C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.3 P106 L3 # 204
Figure 82-17 LPI Receive state diagram. There is no need to have a RX_TIMER s	Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies
since the self loop from RX_SLEEP -> RX_SLEEP changes nothing.	Comment Type T Comment Status X
SuggestedRemedy	The term first_amp is used but the variable name is first_pscl
Remove the RX_TIMER state and move the actions of RX_TIMER into RX_SLEEF Remove the loop from RX_SLEEP -> RX_SLEEP.	SuggestedRemedy
In clourse 40 there is a solf loop of DV, CLEED , DV, CLEED which sources the ry	Change all first_amp references to first_pscl in the amp_counter definition.
In clause 49 there is a self loop of RX_SLEEP -> RX_SLEEP which causes the rx_ to restart continously until you begin to see data leave. So leaving the RX_SLEEP RX_SLEEP loop in place is an option.	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
	C/ 91 SC 91 P108 L37 # 205
Proposed Response Response Status O	Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies
	Comment Type T Comment Status X
	Figure 91-9. The transition out of TEST_CW should be gated by a new codeword being available instead of gating the exit from a cw_bad_count adjustment state being gated.
	SuggestedRemedy
	Change the following state transitions to be: TEST_CW -> CW_GOOD: test_cw & !cw_bad TEST_CW -> CW_BAD: test_cw & cw_bad CW_GOOD -> TEST_CW: UCT CW_BAD -> TEST_CW: cw_bad_count < 3
	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>

C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.6 P113 L38 # 206	C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.1 P105 L 54 # 208
Zhong, Qiwen Huawei	Sela, Oren Mellanox Technologies
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         "Figure 91 - 64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoding example" Especially "Example 3: Alternating data and control blocks" might misguide readers as the Ethernet Packet with min length of 64 bytes and 8 bytes Preamble+SFD, and with min 12 bytes Interframe GAPs. It means that the example of Alternating data and control blocks in an 256/257 Block would not appeared!         SuggestedRemedy Remove or modify the example!       Response Status       O	Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         Also for the optional EEE capability, if first_amp corresponds to PCS lane 16, 17, 18, or 19, this counter counts the 4096 FEC codewords minus 256 bits to the end of the expected location of the next alignment marker payload corresponding to PCS lanes 0, 1, 2, or 3         This means that for waking in up from EEE the 4096 FEC block time is longer than the RAMs - meaning that it will also take longer for the PCS to lock         SuggestedRemedy       Option 1 -         Change amp_valid to look for lanes 0,1,2 or 3 only in FIND_1ST state for both EEE and normal mode, and to look for 16, 17,18 or 19 in COMP_2ND sate for EEE.
C/       91       SC       91-2       P94       L       # 207         Sela, Oren       Mellanox Technologies       Mellanox Technologies         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         In the receive path should merge the alignment lock and deskew block with the Lane reorder block - all 3 action are done be acquiring FEC block lock based on the alignment markers. Also this will make is consistent with Figure 91-7         SuggestedRemedy       Create one block "alignment lock, deskew and lane reorder" to replace the 2 blocks in the	Option 2- Have the same behavior for normal and EEE mode for the amp_valid and amp_counter should be 4096 FEC codewords when rx_mode = data and 8 FEC codewords when rx_mode != data. If option 1 is chosen then the AMP_COMPARE should be changed so that for EEE amp_match should be set to true if current_pcsl = first_pcsl+16 only If option 2 is chosen then AMP_COMPARE should change so that - if current_pcsl equals first_pcsl, amp_match is set to true - is applicable for both EEE and normal mode
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Cl 91       SC 91.5.4.2.1       P104       L       # 209         Sela, Oren       Mellanox Technologies         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       X         restart_lock varible is not defined in the varabile section         SuggestedRemedy       add restart_lock definition
	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>

C/ 91         SC 91-8         P107         L         # 210           Sela, Oren         Mellanox Technologies	C/         91         SC         91.5.4.2.1         P104         L 26         # 213           Sela, Oren         Mellanox Technologies
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> The FEC synchronization state diagram doesn't take into account the fast lock needed for EEE wakeup from LPI QUITE - need to specify that amp_count should count 4096 FEC codeword when rx_mode is DATA and 8 FEC codeword when rx_mode is not DATA.	Comment Type ER Comment Status X typo - am_lock <x> should be amps_lock<x> SuggestedRemedy</x></x>
SuggestedRemedy per comment	Change: "A Boolean variable that is set to true when amps_lock <x> is true for all x and is set to fals when am_lock<x> is false for any x. "</x></x>
Proposed Response Response Status O	To: "A Boolean variable that is set to true when amps_lock <x> is true for all x and is set to fals when amps_lock<x> is false for any x."</x></x>
CI 91         SC 91.5.4.2.1         P104         L         # 211           Sela, Oren         Mellanox Technologies	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
Comment Type E Comment Status X There are many variables that have the same name in CL82 and may cause unnecessary confusion.	C/         82         SC         82.2.8a         P 66         L 10         # 214           Sela, Oren         Mellanox Technologies         Mellanox Technologies         P 66         L 10         Mellanox Technologies
SuggestedRemedy Change the naming: align_status> RS_FEC_align_status alignment_valid> RS_FEC_alignment_valid all_locked> amps_all_locked	Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> The use of count down to communicate the tx_mode should be an optional extension SuggestedRemedy Change:
enable_deskew> RS_FEC_enable_deskew Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	The count down field is also used to communicate some of the states of the tx_mode when it is not being used to coordinate the transition To: The count down field may also be used to communicate some of the states of the tx_mode
Proposed Response         Response Status         O           C/ 91         SC 91-9         P108         L         # 212	The count down field is also used to communicate some of the states of the tx_mode when it is not being used to coordinate the transition To:
Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Cl 91       SC 91-9       P108       L       # 212         Sela, Oren       Mellanox Technologies         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         The name: "FEC deskew" is not the right name for that diagram. This diagram doesn't only enable/disable deskew but also monitors the FEC block lock       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       Change the name of the Figure to: "FEC block lock state diagram" or "FEC block lock and deskew state diagram"	The count down field is also used to communicate some of the states of the tx_mode when it is not being used to coordinate the transition To: The count down field may also be used to communicate some of the states of the tx_mode when it is not being used to coordinate the transition
Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Cl 91       SC 91-9       P108       # 212         Sela, Oren       Mellanox Technologies         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         The name: "FEC deskew" is not the right name for that diagram. This diagram doesn't only enable/disable deskew but also monitors the FEC block lock       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       Change the name of the Figure to: "FEC block lock state diagram" or "FEC block lock and deskew state diagram"	The count down field is also used to communicate some of the states of the tx_mode when it is not being used to coordinate the transition To: The count down field may also be used to communicate some of the states of the tx_mode when it is not being used to coordinate the transition <i>Proposed Response</i> Response Status <b>O</b> CI 82 SC 82.2.8a P67 L8 # 215 Sela, Oren Mellanox Technologies Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> It is not clear if BIP should be calculated from the last RAM to the first normal AM or should the first BIP be calculated from the first "normal" AM to the second normal AM? SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 215

Page 44 of 109 9/5/2012 12:52:34 PM

78 SC 78.1	P <b>37</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 216	C/ 82	SC 82-16	P <b>79</b>	L	# 217
ela, Oren	Mellanox Teo	chnologies		Sela, Oren		Mellanox Te	chnologies	
comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status X		
Need to add the 40G	BASE-CR4 and 40GBASE-KF	R4 PHYs t othe o	verview			0, 40GBASE-CR4 and 40GB		
uggestedRemedy						e CL74 doesn't have any requ to the FEC block the RAMs a		
Change:						s is required in a similar way		
	n over twinax cable, EEE supp d the 100GBASE-CR4 PHY	ports may be sup	ported by the	During	dh a sa an an an h-la a		lation affective de	
To:	J INE TOUGDASE-CR4 PHY					bypass state the RAMs shou can be done by setting down_		
"PHY. For operation	n over twinax cable, EEE supp	ports may be sup	ported by the	bypass	state as in the	e suggested remedy or by edi	ting 82.2.8a froi	n: LPI transmit states
	GBASE-CR10 and the 100GB	ASE-CR4 PHY		other th	nan TX_ACTI\	/E or TX_SCR_BYPASS and	down_count_do	one = FALSE
Change: "For operation over e	lectrical backplanes, EEE ma	v be supported b	v the 1000BASE-KX	The ch	ange should o	nly be applicable for non FW	mode	
PHY, the 10GBASE-I	KX4 PHY, the 10GBASE-KR I				ange enera e			
100GBASE-KP4 PHY To:	'			Suggested	Remedy			
	lectrical backplanes, EEE ma	y be supported by	v the 1000BASE-KX			variables - scr_baypass_enabl	e and scr_bypa	ss. Should use the sam
	KX4 PHY, the 10GBASE-KR I		SE-KR4 PHY, the		tion as in 802		OO TY DEOK	
	(, and the 100GBASE-KP4 PI	HY"				more states - TX_CRS_BYPA CRS_BYPASS should be: LP	<i>'</i> –	
oposed Response	Response Status 0				pass_enable.			
						X_CRS_BYPASS to TX_DES		
						X_WAKE to TX_ACTIVE and + LPI_FW = TRUE)"	TX_SLEEP Sh	buid add ""
						cs from TX_DESKEW: 1) one	_us_timer_don	e*T_TYPE(tx_raw) = LI
				go to T	X_SLEEP. 2)	one_us_timer_done*T_TYPE	(tx_raw) != LI -	go to TX_ACTIVE
				TX SC	R BYPASS s	hould have the following conte	ent:	
				scramb	oler_bypass <=			
					ne_us_timer	able <= FALSE		
					count <= 20	able <= FALSE		
				down_c	count_done =	TRUE		
				TX DF	SKEW should	have the following content:		
				scramb	oler_bypass <=			
					ne_us_timer			
					count <= 19	able <= TRUE		
				_	count_done =	FALSE		
				Also tal	ble 78-4 will n	eed to add for the 100GBASE	-CR10, 40GBA	SE-CR4 and 40GBASE
				KR4 2 (	cases for the t	timing in the Normal wake mo	de	

Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>					_		
				C/ 83 Marris, Arth	SC <b>83.1.1</b> ur	P <b>83</b> Cadence	L <b>31</b>	# 221
C/ 85 SC 85-1	P <b>87</b>	L <b>28</b>	# 218	Comment T	ype T	Comment Status X		
Sela, Oren	Mellanox Tech	nologies				A(s) can support any of the 4 se 94)" is a truism.	0 Gb/s PMDs in	Table 80–2, except
Comment Type <b>T</b> change "Not Applicabl	Comment Status X le" to "Optional" for 40GBASE-0	CR4		Suggested	,			
SuggestedRemedy per comment						/A(s) can support any of the se 94)"	100 Gb/s PMDs	in Table 80–2a, excep
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed F	esponse	Response Status O		
C/ 85 SC 85.1	P87	L <b>33</b>	# 219	C/ 91	SC 91.5.2.2	P <b>93</b>	L <b>27</b>	# 222
Sela, Oren	Mellanox Tech	nologies		Gustlin, Ma	ĸ	Xilinx		
Comment Type <b>T</b> 40GBASE-CR4 can al	Comment Status X			Comment 7 The ske		Comment Status X 0.2ns is discussed, but it wou	uld be good to als	so refer to SP1 in this
change "A 100GBASE	E-CR10 PHY" to "100GBASE-C	R10 and 40GBA	SE-CR4 PHYs"	Suggested	-	w it is refrenced in 83.5.3.3.		
SuggestedRemedy change "A 100GBASE Proposed Response		R10 and 40GBA	SE-CR4 PHYs"	Suggested	Remedy comment.	-		
change "A 100GBASE	E-CR10 PHY" to "100GBASE-C Response Status <b>0</b>	R10 and 40GBA <i>L</i> <b>9</b>	SE-CR4 PHYs" # 220	<i>Suggestedl</i> Per the	Remedy comment. esponse SC 82.2.3.6	w it is refrenced in 83.5.3.3.	L <b>48</b>	# 223
change "A 100GBASE Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.12 Marris, Arthur Comment Type T	E-CR10 PHY" to "100GBASE-C Response Status O 2 P22	L <b>9</b>	# 220	Suggested/ Per the Proposed F C/ 82 Gustlin, Ma Comment 7 Since th	Remedy comment. esponse SC 82.2.3.6 k ype T ne assumed sca	w it is refrenced in 83.5.3.3. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>65</b> Xilinx <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ope is 40GE also, change:	L48	
change "A 100GBASE Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.12 Marris, Arthur Comment Type T The order that the 100 priorities.	E-CR10 PHY" to "100GBASE-C Response Status <b>0</b> 2 P22 Cadence Comment Status <b>X</b>	L <b>9</b>	# 220	Suggested/ Per the Proposed F C/ 82 Gustlin, Ma Comment 7 Since th	Remedy comment. esponse SC 82.2.3.6 k ype T ne assumed sca	w it is refrenced in 83.5.3.3. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>65</b> Xilinx <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b>	L48	
change "A 100GBASE Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.12 Marris, Arthur Comment Type T The order that the 100 priorities. SuggestedRemedy	E-CR10 PHY" to "100GBASE-C Response Status <b>0</b> 2 P22 Cadence Comment Status <b>X</b>	L <b>9</b> ht from Table 73-	# 220 5 which lists the port's	Suggested/ Per the Proposed F C/ 82 Gustlin, Ma Comment 7 Since th "when I to "when I	Remedy comment. esponse SC 82.2.3.6 k ype T he assumed sca PI control char	w it is refrenced in 83.5.3.3. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>65</b> Xilinx <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ope is 40GE also, change:	L 48	# 223
change "A 100GBASE Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.12 Marris, Arthur Comment Type T The order that the 100 priorities. SuggestedRemedy Swap KP4 and KR4 in for 100GBASE-KR4.	E-CR10 PHY" to "100GBASE-C <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b> <b>2</b> <i>P</i> <b>22</b> Cadence <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> OG port types is listed is differer	L <b>9</b> ht from Table 73- it 9 is for 100GB/	# 220 5 which lists the port's ASE-KP4 and bit 10	Suggested/ Per the Proposed F CI 82 Gustlin, Ma Comment 7 Since tt "when I to	Remedy comment. esponse SC 82.2.3.6 k ype T ne assumed sco PI control char Remedy	w it is refrenced in 83.5.3.3. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> 65 Xilinx <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ope is 40GE also, change: acters are received from the	L 48	# <mark>223</mark>

<u></u>							
C/ 82 SC 82.2.8a	P66	L15	# 224	C/ 82 SC 82.2.8		L <b>43</b>	# 227
Gustlin, Mark	Xilinx			Gustlin, Mark	Xilinx		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
block being the sync h from RAMs to normal	to show the blocks being tran leader (sync header is sent fir AMs is backwards, the norma	st). But in this co	ntext, the transition		able 82-2 is talked about for 100 Iso in scope for EEE, please ad		
with the countdown be	eing reversed.			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Per the comment.			
Fix the figure to be con to normal AMs being a	nsistent with the sync header after RAMs.	being transmitted	d first and the transition	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						
				CI 82 SC 82.2.8	Ba P 67	L <b>7</b>	# 228
C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.	1 P104	L 46	# 225	Gustlin, Mark	Xilinx		
Gustlin, Mark	Xilinx	240	# 223	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X				good to clarify this statement:		
				"BID statistics are a	anly undeted when the receiver i	c in the DATA ct	ata "
This editor's note can is sufficiently robust fo	be removed, Zhongfeng Wan r KP4 also.	g has looked at t	his and the current SM		only updated when the receiver in then EEE is being supported, and chine?		
is sufficiently robust fo		g has looked at t	his and the current SM	It only applies to who of the LPI state ma	nen EEE is being supported, and		
is sufficiently robust fo		g has looked at t	his and the current SM	It only applies to wh of the LPI state ma SuggestedRemedy	nen EEE is being supported, and	d here the recevie	
is sufficiently robust fo SuggestedRemedy	r KP4 also.	g has looked at t	his and the current SM	It only applies to wh of the LPI state ma <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Per the comment, a	nen EEE is being supported, and chine?	d here the recevie statement.	er means the rx_mode
is sufficiently robust fo SuggestedRemedy Per the comment.		g has looked at t	his and the current SM	It only applies to wh of the LPI state ma <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Per the comment, a	nen EEE is being supported, and chine? add additional text to clarify this	d here the recevie statement.	er means the rx_mode
is sufficiently robust fo SuggestedRemedy Per the comment. Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.4.3	r KP4 also. Response Status O P107	g has looked at t L3	his and the current SM	It only applies to wh of the LPI state ma SuggestedRemedy Per the comment, a Add in that it applie Proposed Response	nen EEE is being supported, and chine? add additional text to clarify this is only when EEE is supported a <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	d here the recevit statement. and it refers to the	er means the rx_mode e LPI RX SM.
is sufficiently robust fo SuggestedRemedy Per the comment. Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.4.3 Gustlin, Mark	r KP4 also. <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b> <i>P</i> <b>107</b> Xilinx	-		It only applies to wi of the LPI state ma SuggestedRemedy Per the comment, a Add in that it applie Proposed Response	hen EEE is being supported, and chine? add additional text to clarify this is only when EEE is supported a <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>213</b>	d here the recevit statement. and it refers to the	er means the rx_mode
is sufficiently robust fo SuggestedRemedy Per the comment. Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.4.3 Gustlin, Mark Comment Type T	r KP4 also. Response Status O P107 Xilinx Comment Status X	L3	# 226	It only applies to wh of the LPI state ma SuggestedRemedy Per the comment, a Add in that it applie Proposed Response C/ 93A SC Vareljian, Albert	hen EEE is being supported, and chine? add additional text to clarify this is only when EEE is supported a <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>213</b> Independent	d here the recevit statement. and it refers to the	er means the rx_mod
is sufficiently robust fo SuggestedRemedy Per the comment. Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.4.3 Gustlin, Mark Comment Type T The signal restart_lock	r KP4 also. <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b> <i>P</i> <b>107</b> Xilinx	L3	# 226	It only applies to wh of the LPI state ma SuggestedRemedy Per the comment, a Add in that it applie Proposed Response CI 93A SC Vareljian, Albert Comment Type TR	hen EEE is being supported, and chine? add additional text to clarify this is only when EEE is supported a <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>213</b> Independent <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b>	d here the recevit statement. and it refers to the	er means the rx_mod
is sufficiently robust fo SuggestedRemedy Per the comment. Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.4.3 Gustlin, Mark Comment Type T The signal restart_lock	r KP4 also. Response Status O P107 Xilinx Comment Status X	L3	# 226	It only applies to wh of the LPI state ma SuggestedRemedy Per the comment, a Add in that it applie Proposed Response C/ 93A SC Vareljian, Albert Comment Type TR To guarantee techr compute "COM" ba methodology is fun	hen EEE is being supported, and chine? add additional text to clarify this is only when EEE is supported a <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>213</b> Independent <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> hically objective and repeatable is used on Salz SNR bound framew damental for the baseband mod	d here the recevit statement. and it refers to the <i>L</i> 24 results for the cha vork instead. The	er means the rx_mode e LPI RX SM. # [229 annel figure of merit e Salz SNR
is sufficiently robust fo SuggestedRemedy Per the comment. Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.4.3 Gustlin, Mark Comment Type T The signal restart_lock SuggestedRemedy Per the comment.	r KP4 also. Response Status O P107 Xilinx Comment Status X	L3	# 226	It only applies to wh of the LPI state ma SuggestedRemedy Per the comment, a Add in that it applie Proposed Response CI 93A SC Vareljian, Albert Comment Type TR To guarantee techr compute "COM" ba methodology is fun and PAM4 used in	hen EEE is being supported, and chine? add additional text to clarify this is only when EEE is supported a <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>213</b> Independent <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> hically objective and repeatable is used on Salz SNR bound framew damental for the baseband mod	d here the recevit statement. and it refers to the <i>L</i> 24 results for the cha vork instead. The	er means the rx_mod e LPI RX SM. # 229 annel figure of merit s Salz SNR
is sufficiently robust fo SuggestedRemedy Per the comment. Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.4.3 Gustlin, Mark Comment Type T The signal restart_lock SuggestedRemedy Per the comment.	r KP4 also. <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b> <i>P</i> 107 Xilinx <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> < is not a defined variable. Add	L3	# 226	It only applies to wh of the LPI state ma SuggestedRemedy Per the comment, a Add in that it applie Proposed Response CI 93A SC Vareljian, Albert Comment Type TR To guarantee techr compute "COM" ba methodology is fun and PAM4 used in SuggestedRemedy	hen EEE is being supported, and chine? add additional text to clarify this is only when EEE is supported a <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>213</b> Independent <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> hically objective and repeatable is damental for the baseband mod the standard.	d here the recevit statement. and it refers to the <i>L</i> 24 results for the cha vork instead. The	er means the rx_mod e LPI RX SM. # 229 annel figure of merit s Salz SNR
is sufficiently robust fo SuggestedRemedy Per the comment. Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.4.3 Gustlin, Mark Comment Type T The signal restart_lock SuggestedRemedy	r KP4 also. <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b> <i>P</i> 107 Xilinx <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> < is not a defined variable. Add	L3	# 226	It only applies to wh of the LPI state ma SuggestedRemedy Per the comment, a Add in that it applie Proposed Response CI 93A SC Vareljian, Albert Comment Type TR To guarantee techr compute "COM" ba methodology is fun and PAM4 used in	hen EEE is being supported, and chine? add additional text to clarify this is only when EEE is supported a <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>213</b> Independent <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> hically objective and repeatable is damental for the baseband mod the standard.	d here the recevit statement. and it refers to the <i>L</i> 24 results for the cha vork instead. The	er means the rx_mod e LPI RX SM. # 229 annel figure of merit s Salz SNR

C/ 92A	SC 4	P <b>208</b>	L 29	# 230
Moore, Ch	arles	Avago Technol	ogies	

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Annex 92A.4 refers to 92.8.3.4 which separately specifies the loss from TP0-TP2 and from TP3-TP5 but then talks priamarily about the sum. In any one link the Tx and Rx may come from different sources, to get the sum correct each part must be specified and specifying the sum is un-necessary and confusing. Also the reference to the loss of a mated pair seems like a non-sequitur.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change:

With the insertion loss TP0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 given in 92.8.3.4

and an assumed mated connector loss of

1.69 dB, the maximum insertion loss allocation for the transmitter and receiver differential controlled impedance printed circuit boards for each differential lane (i.e., the maximum value of the sum of the insertion losses from TP0 to the MDI host receptacle and from TP5 to the MDI host receptacle) are determined using Equation

(92A‑1)

. The maximum insertion loss allocation for the transmitter and receiver differential controlled impedance printed circuit boards is 13.62 dB at 12.9806

GHz. The maximum insertion loss for the transmitter or the receiver differential controlled impedance printed circuit board is one half of the maximum insertion loss IL\_PCBmax(f)"

to:

"With the insertion loss TP0 to TP2 or TP3 to TP5 given in 92.8.3.4, the portion of the loss allowed for the loss for TP0 to the MDI host receptacle or from the MDI host receptacle to TP5 is determined using Equation (92A-1). This gives a maximum PC board loss at 12.9806 GHz of 6.81 dB."

Change the first part of Equation 92A-1 to:

IL\_PCB(f) <= IL\_PCBmax(f) = 0.0347 + 0.2124 sqrt(f) + 0.4661 f (dB)

#### Replace:

"The minimum insertion loss allocation for the transmitter and receiver differential controlled impedance printed circuit boards for each differential lane (i.e., the minimum value of the sum of the insertion losses from TP0 to MDI receptacle and TP5 to MDI receptacle) are determined using

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Equation (92Aâ€'2)

. The

minimum insertion loss for the transmitter or the receiver differential controlled impedance printed circuit board is one half of the minimum insertion loss IL\_PCBmin(f)."

With:

"The minimum loss for TP0 to the MDI host receptacle or from the MDI host receptacle to TP5 is determined using Equation (92A-2)."

Change the first part of equation 92A-2 to

 $IL_PCB(f) \ge IL_PCBmin(f) = 0.184^{(0.0347 + 0.2124 sqrt(f) + 0.4661 f)} (dB)$ 

Proposed Response Response Status W

[Commenter did not specify CommentType. Set CommentType to "T".]

C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.5	P <b>216</b>	L <b>49</b>	# 231
Healey, Ad	lam	LSI Corporation		

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Editor's note implies that the procedure is only an example. It appears to be a suitable procedure for 100GBASE-KR4.

There is similar editor's note in 93A.1.6.3.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Remove the editor's notes. If 100GBASE-KP4 requires a different procedure, then include this procedure as a subclause for 100GBASE-KR4 and define the procedure for 100GBASE-KP4 in a separate subclause.

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

Comment ID 231

Page 48 of 109 9/5/2012 12:52:34 PM

	<b>D</b> • • • -			<u></u>			<b>D</b> + +		
<b>93A</b> SC <b>93A.1.5</b> aley, Adam	P217 LSI Corporation	L <b>6</b>	# 232	C/ <b>91</b> Healey, Ad	SC <b>91.5</b> Iam	.2.7	P <b>99</b> LSI Corporatio	L <b>1</b>	# 234
	omment Status X			Comment		C	mment Status <b>X</b>		
In item b), the "zero crossing		sinale bit resp	onse does not appear				ficiently stable to define	the generator r	olvnomial coefficients
to be a stable reference poin							ssist users of the standa		,
explicit zero crossing.				Suggested	Remedy				
Ambiguity in the tz value may	y disqualify otherwise valio	d solutions for	small c(-1) magnitudes.				deword examples in the		
ggestedRemedy						•	polynomial, gi, in Clause	e 91 or in the pr	oposed annex.
Define tz in a manner that is are given.	robust for all values of c(-	1), c(1), and gl	DC. Some examples	Proposed	Response	Res	sponse Status <b>O</b>		
1. Define tz to be the time wi				CI 93	SC 93.5	;	P <b>152</b>	L <b>8</b>	# 235
threshold. If there are multipl peak of the single bit response		st crossing tim	e that precedes the	Healey, Ac	lam		LSI Corporatio	n	
peak of the single bit respons	se is selected.			Comment	Туре Т	Co	omment Status X		
2. Define ts to be the time th definition of tz is needed.	at maximizes the quantity	h(ts)- h(ts-Tb)	and no independent				ation of the Clause 93 Pl and Skew Variation at S		rface and it does not
3. Define ts to be the value the defined): h(ts-Tb/2)=h(ts+Tb/2)=h		(again tz does	not need to be		kew and Sk 80-5 respec		n allowed at SP3 and S	P4 can be take	n from Table 80-4 and
oposed Response Re	sponse Status <b>O</b>			Suggested	Remedy				
							as the paragraph at stan Table 80-4 and Table		Populate TBD Skew
<b>93A</b> SC <b>93A.1.5</b> aley, Adam	P217 LSI Corporation	L <b>8</b>	# 233	Proposed	Response	Re	sponse Status <b>O</b>		
mment Type T Co	omment Status X								
Residual inter-symbol interfe				C/ 93	SC 93.4	ļ	P151	L <b>49</b>	# 236
Instead, the parameter optim across all sampling phases a				Healey, Ac			LSI Corporatio	n	
93A.1.6.3 takes a worst-case				Comment			omment Status X		
optimize c(-1), c(1), and gDC consequently the COM value		at is used to no	bise amplitude and			for the 100	GBASE-KR4 PMD are 1	BD.	
				Suggested					
Instead, the single bit respor RMS value computed based also be computed from the s	on those sampled values	. The interferer	nce distribution should	bit tim			R4 delay constraints) an anta, 20.48 ns) and the r		
would be used to force the fi with the operation of a decisi		r ts to be zero.	This is more in-line	Proposed	Response	Re	sponse Status O		
ggestedRemedy									

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/         91         SC         91.5.2.5         P 95         L 12         # 240           Healey, Adam         LSI Corporation         LSI Comment Type         T         Comment Status X
Comment Type T Comment Status X
Clarify the assignment of tx_coded_c<1:0>. SuggestedRemedy Change to tx_coded_c<1:0>=01 to tx_coded_c<1>=0 and tx_coded_c<0>=1.
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
C/         91         SC         91.5.3.3         P101         L6         # 241           Healey, Adam         LSI Corporation
Comment Type T Comment Status X
Clause 74 error marking is optional presumably due to its impact on latency. What is the latency impact of the error marking specified in this subclause? If the increase is significant, consider optional error marking for Clause 91.
SuggestedRemedy Evaluate the impact of error marking on latency and determine whether or not the feature should be optional.
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
C/         91         SC         91.5.3.4         P 101         L 18         # 242           Healey, Adam         LSI Corporation
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> This subclause does not address the case where rapid alignment markers are being received.
SuggestedRemedy Modify the subclause to address both normal and rapid alignment markers. Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>

C/ 91 SC 91.5.4	.2.1 <i>P</i> 104	L <b>39</b>	# 040	C/ 93A	SC 93A.1	P <b>213</b>	L24	# 040
C/ 91 SC 91.5.4. Healey, Adam	LSI Corporation	L 39	# 243	Healey, Ada		LSI Corporation		# 246
Comment Type <b>T</b> How does the RS-FI EEE capability? The one way when norm rapid alignment mar The RS-FEC sublay	Comment Status X EC sublayer discriminate between intent of this statement is to spec al alignment markers are expected	ify that the sta I but behaves	ate diagram behaves a different way when	Comment Ty Equation bound o SuggestedR	ype <b>T</b> n 93A-1 implie n the quantity <i>emedy</i> COM0 term.	Comment Status X es that COM+COM0=20*log(As/A (COM+COM0), which may still b Response Status O	An) and it is s	
SuggestedRemedy								
Tie the behavior of t	he state diagram to the EEE service	e interface pr	imitives defined in 91.2.	C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.3	P <b>215</b>	L <b>46</b>	# 247
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Healey, Ada	m	LSI Corporation		
Cl 91 SC 91.6 Healey, Adam Comment Type T	P108 LSI Corporation Comment Status X	L <b>52</b>	# 244	Equation SuggestedR	n (93A-6). emedy	luded in Equation (93A-10) and s or of Equation (93A-6) to 1.	hould not be	in the numerator of
The RS-FEC archite variables can be def	ecture has stabilized to the point whi	nere MDIO sta	atus and control	Proposed R	esponse	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy Include tables defini accordingly.	ng RS-FEC status and control vari	ables and am	end Clause 45					
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							
<i>Cl</i> <b>91</b> <i>SC</i> <b>91.4</b> Healey, Adam	P <b>92</b> LSI Corporation	L <b>52</b>	# 245					
Comment Type <b>T</b> The Clause 91 archi provided.	Comment Status X itecture has stabilized to the point of	where a delay	constraint can be					
SuggestedRemedy Specify the maximu	m delay contributed by the RS-FE0	C sublaver.						
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>	2						

Ran, Adee       Intel         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X         Lis not absolutely clear that the requirements of table 93-4 should all be met using the same test fixture. One could theoretically meet return loss requirements in one test fixture and output waveform on another.       Comment Type       ER       Comment Status X         Suggested/Remedy       Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.1.1 to read:       "Unless otherwise noted, measurements".       Figure 92-1 does not show the optional CAUI. If it was shown, the text would be clear Same comment applies to 93.1 and 94.1.         Additional alarification may be required: according to clause 83.1.4 and annex 83A.1 can be implemented between two PMAs, to separate the PCS (or the optional FEC, 10.1 can only be used to rail measurements".         "Unless otherwise noted, measurements".       The same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements".         "Unless otherwise noted, measurements".       Notin measurements".         "Unless otherwise noted, measurements".       Notin measurements".         "Unless otherwise noted, measurements".       O         Proposed Response       Response Status O         Ci 93A       SC 93A.1.6.1       P216       L17       # 249         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X       Consider clarifying that separating PCS and RS-FEC through CAUI requires 10 lanes         Proposed Response       Intel       Comment Status X       Co	C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.1 P156 L47	# 248	C/ 92 SC 92.1	P111	L19	# 250
It is not absolutely clear that the requirements of table 93-4 should all be met using the same test fixture. One could theoretically meet return loss requirements in one test fixture and output waveform on another.       RS is connected to PCS through CGMII, not to RS-FEC through CAUI. "RS" is likely and should read "PCS".         For symmetry, apply also for TP5 in subclause 93.8.2.1.       SuggestedRemedy         Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.1.1 to read:       "Unless otherwise noted, measurements of the transmitter are made at TP0a, which is the output of a test fixture as shown in Figure 93-3; the same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements".       Additional alarification may be required: according to clause 83.1.4 and annex 83A.1 can be implemented between two PMAs, to separate the PCS (or the optional FEC, CAUI is not define 83.1.4 and annex 83A.1 can be implemented between two PMAs, to separate the PCS (or the optional FEC, CAUI is not define 83.1.4 and annex 83A.1 can be implemented between two PMAs, to separate the PCS (or the optional FEC, CAUI is not define 83.1.4 and annex 83A.1 can be implemented between two PMAs, to separate the PCS (or the optional FEC, CAUI is not define 83.1.4 and annex 83A.1 can be implemented between two PMAs, to separate the PCS (or the optional FEC, CAUI is not define 83.1.4 and annex 83A.1 can be implemented between two PAS, to separate the PCS over 10 lanes (top CAUI is not define 83.1.4 and annex 83A.1 can be implemented between two PAS, to separate the PCS over 10 lanes (top CAUI is not define 83.1.4 and annex 83A.1 can be implemented between two PAS, to separate the PCS over 10 lanes (top CAUI is not define 83.1.4 and annex 83A.1 can be implemented between two PAS, to separate the PCS over 10 lanes (top CAUI is not define 83.1.4 and annex 84.1.4 can only be used 1 optional FEC, CAU is not define 83.1.4 and annex 8	Ran, Adee Intel		Ran, Adee	Intel		
Figure 92-1 does not show the optional CAUI. If it was shown, the text would be clearSuggestedRemedyChange the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.1.1 to read:Same comment applies to 93.1 and 94.1."Unless otherwise noted, measurements of the transmitter are made at TPOa, which is the output of a test fixture as shown in Figure 93–3; the same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements".Additional alarification may be required: according to clause 83.1.4 and annex 83A.1 can be implemented between two PMAs, to separate the PCS (or the optional FEC) I the PMD. With menadory RS-FEC instead optional FEC) CAUI can only be used input to a test fixture as shown in Figure 93–6; the same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements".Proposed Response Response Status 0Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:SuggestedRemedyProposed Response Response Status 0Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:SuggestedRemedyChange the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:SuggestedRemedy"Unless otherwise noted, measurements".Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:SuggestedRemedyChange the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:Change the text of	It is not absolutely clear that the requirements of table 93-4 sh		RS is connected to P	CS through CGMII, not to RS-	FEC through CA	UI. "RS" is likely a type
SuggestedRemedy       Same comment applies to 93.1 and 94.1.         SuggestedRemedy       Same comment applies to 93.1 and 94.1.         "Unless otherwise noted, measurements of the transmitter are made at TP0a, which is the output of a test fixture as shown in Figure 93-3; the same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements".       Additional alarification may be required: according to clause 83.1.4 and annex 83A.1 can be implemented between two PMAs, to separate the PCS (or the optional FEC) for the PCD optional FEC (Supposed Response new rest)         "Unless otherwise noted, measurements of the receiver are made at TP5a, which is the input to a test fixture as shown in Figure 93-6; the same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements".       SuggestedRemedy         "Unless otherwise noted, measurements of the receiver are made at TP5a, which is the input to a test fixture as shown in Figure 93-6; the same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements".       SuggestedRemedy         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         C1 93A       SC 93A.1.6.1       P216       L17       # 249         Comment Type       Comment Status X       Consider clarifying that separating PCS and RS-FEC through CAUI requires 10 lanes         Convolution is also denoted by *** in other equations 23, 24 and 25.       SuggestedRemedy       Consider clarifying that separating PCS and RS-FEC through CAUI requires 10 lanes         Proposed Response       Response Status X       O       Consider clarifying that separating PCS and RS-FEC through CAUI requires 10 la			Figure 92-1 does not	show the optional CAUI. If it v	vas shown, the te	ext would be clearer.
Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.1.1 to read:         "Unless otherwise noted, measurements of the transmitter are made at TP0a, which is the output of a test fixture as shown in Figure 93–3; the same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements".         Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:         "Unless otherwise noted, measurements of the receiver are made at TP5a, which is the input to a test fixture as shown in Figure 93–6; the same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements".         Proposed Response       Response Status         O         Cl 93A       SC 93A.1.6.1       P216       L17       # 249         Connoultion is also denoted by "*" in other equations 23, 24 and 25.       Suggested/Remedy       Consider clarifying that separating PCS and RS-FEC through CAUI requires 10 lanes         Proposed Response       Intel       Consider clarifying that separating PCS and RS-FEC through CAUI requires 10 lanes         Suggested/Remedy       Consider clarifying that separating PCS and RS-FEC through CAUI requires 10 lanes         Proposed Response       Response Status X       O         Connoultion is also denoted by "*" in other equations 23, 24 and 25.       Suggested/Remedy       O	For symmetry, apply also for TP5a in subclause 93.8.2.1.					
"Unless otherwise noted, measurements of the transmitter are made at TP0a, which is the output of a test fixture as shown in Figure 93–3; the same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements".       Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:       "Unless otherwise noted, measurements of the receiver are made at TP5a, which is the input to a test fixture as shown in Figure 93–6; the same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements".       With mandatory RS-FEC instead of optional FEC, CAUI can only be used for all measurements.         "Unless otherwise noted, measurements of the receiver are made at TP5a, which is the input to a test fixture as shown in Figure 93–6; the same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements."       SuggestedRemedy         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Cl 93A       SC 93A.1.6.1       P216       L17       # [249]         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X       Consider clarifying that separating PCS and RS-FEC through CAUI requires 10 lanes         Convolution is also denoted by "**" in other equations 23, 24 and 25.       SuggestedRemedy       O	SuggestedRemedy		Same comment appli	es to 93.1 and 94.1.		
"Unless otherwise noted, measurements of the transmitter are made at TP0a, which is the output of a test fixture as shown in Figure 93–3; the same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements".       the PMD. With mandatory RS-FEC instead of optional FEC, CAUI can only be used is separate the RS-FEC from the PCS over 10 lanes, over which CAUI is not defined.         "Unless otherwise noted, measurements".       "Unless otherwise noted, measurements of the receiver are made at TP5a, which is the input to a test fixture as shown in Figure 93–6; the same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements".       SuggestedRemedy         "Unless otherwise noted, measurements."       "Unless otherwise noted, measurements."       SuggestedRemedy         "Unless otherwise noted, measurements."       Response Status O       SuggestedRemedy         Cl 93A       SC 93A.16.1       P216       L17       # [249]         Comment Type       Comment Status X       Consider clarifying that separating PCS and RS-FEC through CAUI requires 10 lanes         Consider clarifying that separate by "*" in other equations 23, 24 and 25.       SuggestedRemedy	Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.1.1 to read:					
Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read: "Unless otherwise noted, measurements of the receiver are made at TP5a, which is the input to a test fixture as shown in Figure 93–6; the same test point and fixture shall be used for all measurements". <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> O <i>Cl</i> 93A SC 93A.1.6.1 <i>P216 L17 #</i> 249 <i>Cl</i> 93A SC 93A.1.6.1 <i>P216 L17 #</i> 249 <i>Convent Type E Comment Status X</i> Convolution is also denoted by "*" in other equations 23, 24 and 25. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	output of a test fixture as shown in Figure 93-3; the same test		the PMD. With manda separate the RS-FEC since output of RS-FE	atory RS-FEC instead of optic from the PCS over 10 lanes C encoder is 4 physical lanes	nal FEC, CÀUI c (top CAUI at righ s, over which CA	an only be used to t half of figure 83-2), UI is not defined. Since
<ul> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC" to "between the PCS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the RS and the RS-FEC".</li> <li>Change "between the</li></ul>	Change the text of the first paragraph in 93.8.2.1 to read:		such separation would	d require 10 lanes, it seems to	o nave mainly the	eoretical value.
for all measurements". Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 93A SC 93A.1.6.1 P216 L17 # 249 Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type E Comment Status X Convolution is also denoted by "*" in other equations 23, 24 and 25. SuggestedRemedy	"Unless otherwise noted, measurements of the receiver are m	ade at TP5a, which is the	SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response       Response Status       0       83C.1a.2.         Apply same changes in clauses       93.1 and 94.1, figures       93-1 and 94-1.         C/ 93A       SC 93A.1.6.1       P216       L17       # 249         C/ 93A       SC 93A.1.6.1       P216       L17       # 249         Ran, Adee       Intel       Consider clarifying that separating PCS and RS-FEC through CAUI requires 10 lanes         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         Convolution is also denoted by "*" in other equations 23, 24 and 25.       Suggested Remedy       Response       Response		point and fixture shall be used	Change "between the	e RS and the RS-FEC" to "be	ween the PCS a	nd the RS-FEC".
C/       93A       SC       93A.1.6.1       P 216       L 17       # 249       Consider clarifying that separating PCS and RS-FEC through CAUI requires 10 lanes         Ran, Adee       Intel       Intel       Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X       Convolution is also denoted by "*" in other equations 23, 24 and 25.       SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>			in figure 92-1 to clarify the me	eaning of this ser	ntence, or refer to anne
Ran, Adee     Intel     Proposed Response     Response Status     O       Comment Type     E     Comment Status     X       Convolution is also denoted by "*" in other equations 23, 24 and 25.       SuggestedRemedy			Apply same changes	in clauses 93.1 and 94.1, fig	ures 93-1 and 94	-1.
Comment Type E Comment Status X Convolution is also denoted by "*" in other equations 23, 24 and 25. SuggestedRemedy		# 249		at separating PCS and RS-FE	C through CAUI	requires 10 lanes.
Convolution is also denoted by "*" in other equations 23, 24 and 25. SuggestedRemedy	Ran, Adee Intel		Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy		nd 25.				
Either refer to all equations or just change "In equation (93A-18)" to "Where".						
	Either refer to all equations or just change "In equation (93A-	18)" to "Where".				
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O					

Ran, Adee	P <b>156</b> Intel	L18	# 251	C/ <b>93A</b> Ran, Adee	SC 93A.1.5	P <b>216</b> Intel	L <b>48</b>	# 253
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status X		
	m requirement for transition t with a faster rise time in a ba					building and having to alternat ccepted into the draft.	ive procedures, t	the presented
	sted minimum might be diffic	ult to measure w	ith a sampling scope -	distributi	ons).	s to clause 93A.1.6.3 (combir	nation of interfere	ence and noise
-				SuggestedRe	-	in both clauses.		
Also, this requirement i return loss requirement	may prevent some legitimate	solutions for me	eting the stringent					
				Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status O		
	uirement concept seems to b aps it makes sense there, bu							
	ane will incerase the rise time		nereni system - the	C/ 93	SC 93.9.1	P165	L <b>40</b>	# 254
SuggestedRemedy				Ran, Adee		Intel		
••	r from table 93-4 and delete	clause 93.8.1.5.		Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status X		
/ 93 SC 93.8.1.6	P160	L10	# 252	healey_0	01_0911.xls, 、	ere assuming 14 DFE taps or oy et al. #20.3 at ISSCC 2011 I minimum capability.		
Ran, Adee	Intel					DFE, the ISI effects starts 1		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			with 14 [ makeing		exception window should be 1	I+14=15 UI after	the sampling point,
	93-5 are taken from clause			SuggestedR				
limitations, and a factor equalizer in UI should s	r of 2.5 in signaling frequency	y, the lengths of t	he channel and	00		he value of W from "TBD" to	16.	
	scale similarly.			Proposed Re	•	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Delays should also be outside the linear fit pu	scaled to prevent precursor e lse.	equalization from	creating energy	, ropolou ric	ioponico			
Suggested remedy als	o applies to clause 92.8.3.3,	table 92-6, where	e the values are					
currently TBD.								
currently TBD.								
currently TBD.	20; change DP and DW to	1.						

C/ 94 SC 94.3.11	P187	L35	# 255		CI 93	SC 93.8.1.1	P <b>157</b>	L <b>28</b>	# 257
Ran, Adee	Intel				Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X				Comment Ty	pe <b>TR</b>	Comment Status X		
	r and noise should be replace and new parameter definition				end of a channel	ny channel. Th is not detachal	tics measured on TP0a need e far end of a channel is TP5 ble, and for a 100GBASE-KR I, which is only relevant for 10	, or possibly TP 4 transmitter, th	5a. A 100GBASE-KR4
Delete the last two rov Add new rows instead	for the parameters which app			ion.	except f	or the test poin	e can be measured using the t, which should be TP0a. Sin Id be scaled from 2 mV to 4 i	ce there is no 6	
described in the accor	11.8 and 94.3.11.9 with text w	mich specifies tr	le procedures		SuggestedR	emedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>				one poir		name from "Far-end output r	noise" to "Output	t noise". Specify only at
C/ 94 SC 94.4.1	P <b>197</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 256		Rewrite	clause 93.8.1.7	7 accordingly.		
Ran, Adee	Intel				Proposed R	sponse	Response Status 0		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X								
the cursor is about end	analysis in ran_01_0712, assu bugh to get good equalization lits, while higher lengths provi	for ISI-limited ch	hannels. Length lov						
This capability is cons receiver architectures.	idered feasible by the consens	sus group which	examined several						
The exclusion window	length W should accordingly l	be set to 16+2=	18.						
SuggestedRemedy									

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

Cl 93 SC 93.8. Ran. Adee	2.3 P163 Intel	L <b>23</b>	# 258	C/ <b>93A</b> Ran. Adee	SC 93A-1.6.	3 P219 Intel	L <b>14</b>	# 260
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment 7	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status X		
The required BER	s defined (per the project object the RS-FEC sublayer. There is r				51	in 93A.1.6.1 needs a sampl	ed version h_w(n	) instead of h_w(t).
better anywhere els severe over-stress	se, especially at the "Electrical c	haracteristics" se	ction. This would be a	Suggested Define	<i>Remedy</i> h_w(n) as h_w	(t_n), where		
FEC sublayers. Th	d be specified as 1e-12 and test e actual test should involve RS-F	EC block error r	ate and thus performed		_ 、 / _	=0floor(3*T_prop/T_b)+8		
transmitter in order	link. It is more likely that a test p to include the RS-FEC encoding			and T_	prop is the prop	pagation delay through the ch	annel.	
93-7 may not be fe	asible.			Use h_	w(n) for the pro	cedure defined in 93A.1.6.1.		
	be specified in addition at the PM jitter stress, e.g. in order to verify			Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 94	SC 94.3.12.	3 <i>P</i> 195	L37	# 261
Remove columns f	or tests 1 and 2 from the table.			Ran, Adee		Intel		
with setup/stress s	ich includes the RS-FEC sublay ettings defined separately for cla editorial comments would suffice	uses 92, 93, and			quired BER is d	Comment Status X efined (per the project object RS-FEC sublayer. There is a		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			better a		especially at the "Electrical c		
C/ <b>93A</b> SC <b>93A.</b> Ran, Adee Comment Type <b>TR</b>	.5 P217 Intel Comment Status X	L8	# 259	FEC su over th transm	ublayers. The a e full 4-lane link	e specified as 1e-12 and test ctual test should involve RS-I t. It is more likely that a test p include the RS-FEC encodin ole.	FEC block error r	ate and thus performed require a full compliant
create a pre-shoot	low should start at tz-Tb to precl of the single bit response) from o inceled ISI should be measured	counting as ISI. A	fter canceling the first	Per-lan	ne BER can be	specified in addition at the PI 1-7) with jitter stress, e.g. in c		
SuggestedRemedy								
Change "[tz, tz+W	<sup>-</sup> b]" to "[tz-Tb, tz+WTb]".			Suggested	•	ow from table 94-7.		
Apply also in 93A.	.6.3 (line 13).			Kemov				
Proposed Response	Response Status 0					includes the RS-FEC sublay ngs defined separately for cla		

with setup/stress settings defined separately for clauses 92, 93, and 94. (For the current draft, placeholders/editorial comments would suffice).

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

C/ 92	SC Table 92-	1 P134	L <b>1</b>	# 262	C/ 93	SC	Table 93-1	P175	L <b>9</b>	# 264
Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel			Lusted, Ke	ent		Intel		
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status X			Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
	1.1 renumbers the should be 92-1.	e tables in Clause 92 but the	first table in th	e section starts with 92-				ket Potential response to the computing applications ar		
Suggeste	dRemedy							nnections." These high per		

Fix Table numbers

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 92	SC Table 92-2	P <b>134</b>	L <b>9</b>	# 263
Lusted, K	Cent	Intel		

#### Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This project's Broad Market Potential response to the 5 criteria states that "Internet, cloud, and higher performance computing applications... are driving the need for higher bandwidth blade and rack server connections." These high performance computing applications are part of the justification for the project and demand low-latency communication. The 5nsec RS-FEC and transcoding latency quoted in gustlin\_01\_0712 is not realizable in a IEEE 802.3 layered architecture device and was not shown to be technically feasible (unless error detection is not performed at all). Vendors implementing a MAC device connected through a 802.3 standards-compliant CAUI interface to a PHY device that has such low latency, will not be able to detect or correct errors in packets that were already transferred to the MAC. The 5nsec number assumes a vendor-specific implementation choice on how to minimize latency using non-spec compliant techniques and thus precludes the choice of using 802.3 standard PHY and MAC from the different vendors.

Furthermore, the 50nsec latency for RS-FEC detection adds a significant penalty to lowlatency switching architectures that target high-performance computing. Current 10GbE/40GbE Ethernet switch systems have <300nsec switching latency and the additional 50nsec for RS-FEC detection handicaps Ethernet when compared to competing HPC interconnect technologies. The 50ns link latency translates to per hop latency of 2x50=100ns. So this adds 25 to 33% additional latency penalty for low latency Ethernet switches for higher performance computing market.

64B/66B encoding is sufficient to address the higher performance market and provide adequate MTTFPA.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Make FEC optional: Remove the mandatory FEC encoding and transcoding requirement from the clause and enable using 64/66 encoding.

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

This project's Broad Market Potential response to the 5 criteria states that "Internet, cloud, and higher performance computing applications... are driving the need for higher bandwidth blade and rack server connections." These high performance computing applications are part of the justification for the project and demand low-latency communication. The 5nsec RS-FEC and transcoding latency quoted in gustlin\_01\_0712 is not realizable in a IEEE 802.3 layered architecture device and was not shown to be technically feasible (unless error detection is not performed at all). Vendors implementing a MAC device connected through a 802.3 standards-compliant CAUI interface to a PHY device that has such low latency, will not be able to detect or correct errors in packets that were already transferred to the MAC. The 5nsec number assumes a vendor-specific implementation choice on how to minimize latency using non-spec compliant techniques and thus precludes the choice of using 802.3 standard PHY and MAC from the different vendors.

Furthermore, the 50nsec latency for RS-FEC detection adds a significant penalty to lowlatency switching architectures that target high-performance computing. Current 10GbE/40GbE Ethernet switch systems have <300nsec switching latency and the additional 50nsec for RS-FEC detection handicaps Ethernet when compared to competing HPC interconnect technologies. The 50ns link latency translates to per hop latency of 2x50=100ns. So this adds 25 to 33% additional latency penalty for low latency Ethernet switches for higher performance computing market.

64B/66B encoding is sufficient to address the higher performance market and provide adequate MTTFPA.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Make FEC optional: Remove the mandatory FEC encoding and transcoding requirement from the clause and enable using 64/66 encoding.

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 264

Page 56 of 109 9/5/2012 12:52:34 PM

CI 93	SC 93.7.12	P184	L <b>3</b>	# 265	C/ <b>45</b>	SC Table 45	- <b>7</b> P:	21 <i>L</i> 1	# 267
usted, Ke	ent	Intel			Lusted, Kent	t	Intel		
comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status X			Comment Ty	/pe TR	Comment Status	S <b>X</b>	
KR. H	lowever, the bau	h lane of this PMD shall use d rate is different and Clause			Table 45 802.3bj		Control 2 register b	it definitions" does not	t list the new PMDs in the
	BASE-KR UI.				SuggestedR	emedy			
	Remedy	unation logic hut choose to th		line ante llas est	Remove	entry 101100	= reserved for future	euse	
		unction logic but change to th cument it. Some possible op		ling rate. I m not	Add the	following entri	es:		
100GE	BASE-KR4 baud		-		101101 101110	= 100GBASE- = 100GBASE-	CR4 PMA/PMD KR4 PMA/PMD KP4 PMA/PMD		
	BASE-KR4 at ea	0 PMD control function into d ch instance (so that both 10G			101111 Proposed Re	= reserved for esponse	future use Response Status	0	
new va		0 PMD control function into d PMD type. See presentation Response Status <b>0</b>		neric references to	CI 45 Lusted, Kent		Intel		# 268
	·				Comment Ty Transmi	•	Comment Status ion location table do		Y types in 802.3bj projec
					Suggested	emedy			
	SC 92.7.12	P <b>143</b> Intel	L <b>22</b>	# 266		•	es to the end of the	able:	
usted, Ke Comment The dr KR. H	ent <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> raft says that eac lowever, the bau		the same control	function as 10GBASE-	Add the 100GBA 100GBA	•	7.10 7.10	able:	
Lusted, Ke Comment The dr KR. H to 10G	ent <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> raft says that ead lowever, the bau BBASE-KR UI.	Intel Comment Status X sh lane of this PMD shall use	the same control	function as 10GBASE-	Add the 100GBA 100GBA	following entri SE-CR4   92.7 SE-KR4   93.7 SE-KP4   94.3	7.10 7.10		
KR. ⊢ to 10G Suggested	ent <i>Type</i> <b>TR</b> raft says that ead lowever, the bau BBASE-KR UI. <i>IRemedy</i>	Intel Comment Status X sh lane of this PMD shall use	the same control 72.6.10 has man	function as 10GBASE- y explicit references	Add the 100GBA 100GBA 100GBA	following entri SE-CR4   92.7 SE-KR4   93.7 SE-KP4   94.3	7.10 7.10 8.8		

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Lusted. Kent	P <b>21</b> Intel	L <b>1</b>	# 269	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.1 Lusted. Kent	2 P21 Intel	L1	# 272
Comment Type TR receive fault description lo SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X	the new PHY typ	es in 802.3bj project.	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X extended ability register big de	efinitions subcla	auses do not have
Add the following entries t 100GBASE-CR4   92.7.11 100GBASE-KR4   93.7.11 100GBASE-KP4   94.3.9	I			SuggestedRemedy Add entries for 100G 45.2.1.12.1 and 45.2.	BASE-CR4, 100GBASE-KR4, a 1.12.2.	and 100GBASE	-KP4 between
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.8	P <b>21</b> Intel	L <b>1</b>	# 270	C/ 92 SC 92.8.3 DiMinico, Christopher	P <b>120</b> MC Communi	L cations	# 273
Comment Type TR PMD transmit disable regi PHY types. SuggestedRemedy Append to the end of the f "The transmit disable funct disable function for 100GE for 100GBASE-KP4 is des Proposed Response C/ 45 SC Table 45-15	first paragraph: ction for 100GBASE-CR4 BASE-KR4 is described in scribed in 94.3.6.6." Response Status <b>O</b>	is described in 92	2.7.6. The transmit	diminco_01_0712.pd exceptions. a) Values that are ex b) DC common-mode Should have indicate SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X mment 273 to Populate Table slide 4 with the following blicitly defined by other comme e voltage (max.) is set to 1.9. d to use diminico_01_0712.pdf 2.pdf slide 4 Equations 92-1, s <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	ents. slide 4 Equatio	ns 92-1, 92-2 and 92-
Lusted, Kent Comment Type <b>TR</b>	Intel Comment Status X ended ability register big o			Cl 92 SC 92.10.2 DiMinico, Christopher Comment Type TR	P135 MC Communi Comment Status X imum cable assembly insertior		# 274

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

	C/ 92 SC 92.10.9.1 P141 L44 # 278
co, Christopher MC Communications	DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
ent Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> ble 92-8-100GBASE-CR4 interference tolerance parameters includes TBD parameters d TBD equation references.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X 92.10.9.1 Mated test fixtures insertion loss Equations (92-34) and (92-35 and illustration Figure 92-14 are TBD's.
stedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
ninico_0912.pdf provides parameters for Table 92-8-100GBASE-CR4 interference erance TBD and related parameters.	diminico_0912.pdf provides the 92.10.9.1 Mated test fixtures insertion loss Equations (9 34) and (92-35) and illustration in Figure 92-14.
	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
er remedy D1.0 comment#275 The desired test cases are, at least:	
est 1: Test channel (host TX plus cable assembly) with the maximum insertion loss that is srmitted with the maximum noise (ICN) level allowed for a channel.	S C/ 92 SC 92.10.9.2 P142 L35 # 279 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
est 2: Test channel with maximum insertion loss allowed for the host TX plus cable smebly with the maximum noise (ICN) at that loss.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
sed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	92.10.9.2 Mated test fixtures return loss Equation (92-36) an illustration in Figure 92-15 TBD's.
	SuggestedRemedy
A SC 92A.8 P211 L41 # 276 co, Christopher MC Communications	diminico_0912.pdf provides 92.10.9.2 Mated test fixtures return loss Equation (92-36) ar illustration in Figure 92-15.
ent Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> the total integrated crosstalk RMS noise voltage of the channel in Equation (92A-6) and stration in Figure 92A-3 are TBD's.	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
stedRemedy	C/ 92 SC 92.10.9 P143 L24 # 280
ninico_0912.pdf provides the total integrated crosstalk RMS noise voltage of the channel	
Equation (92A-6).	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
sed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Mated test fixtures common-mode return loss specification not included in the draft.
	SuggestedRemedy     Add Mated test fixtures common-mode return loss subclause 92.10.9.3 and Equation (9
SC 92.8.3.7         P128         L12         # 277           co, Christopher         MC Communications	xx) and illustration in Figure 92-xx. diminico_0912.pdf provides the 92.10.9.3 Mated test fixtures common-mode return loss
ent Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> .8.3.7 Test fixture reference insertion loss 92-15 is TBD.	Equation (92-xx) an illustration in Figure 92-xx. Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
stedRemedy ninico_0912.pdf provides the test fixture reference insertion loss equation 92-15.	
sed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	

C/ 92 SC 92.10.9.	.3 P143	L25	# 281	C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.4	P12	26 L21	# 284
DiMinico, Christopher	MC Communi	cations		DiMinico, Christopher	MC Co	ommunications	
Comment Type TR 92.10.9.3 Mated test t	Comment Status X	sion loss Equatio	on (92-37) an		Comment Status TP2 or TP3 to TP5 equ		ire 92-4 are TBD's
illustration in Figure 9	2-16 are TBD's.			SuggestedRemedy	i da a constitua (an OO	1.1	
SuggestedRemedy				= 1 1	ovides equation for 92-	5	ł.
	ovides the 92.10.9.3 Mated tes an illustration in Figure 92-16.		n-mode conversion	Proposed Response	Response Status	0	
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			C/ 92 SC 92.10.7 DiMinico, Christopher	P13 MC Co	<b>39</b> <i>L</i> <b>38</b> ommunications	# 285
C/ 92 SC 92.10.9.	.4 P144	L35	# 282	Comment Type TR	Comment Status	x	
DiMinico, Christopher	MC Communi	cations			rosstalk RMS noise vol		Equation (92–32) and
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Figure 92–11 are TBD	D's.		
21	Comment Status X fixtures integrated crosstalk no	ise parameter va	lues in Table 92-12	Figure 92–11 are TBE SuggestedRemedy	)'s.		
		ise parameter va	lues in Table 92-12	SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro	ovides the total integrat	ed crosstalk RMS no	ise voltage Equation
92.10.9.4 Mated test		ise parameter va	lues in Table 92-12	SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro (92–32) and Figure 92	ovides the total integrat 2–11.		ise voltage Equation
92.10.9.4 Mated test t are TBD's. SuggestedRemedy	fixtures integrated crosstalk no ovides the 92.10.9.4 Mated tes	·		SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro	ovides the total integrat		ise voltage Equation
92.10.9.4 Mated test t are TBD's. SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro parameter values in T	fixtures integrated crosstalk no ovides the 92.10.9.4 Mated tes	·		SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro (92–32) and Figure 92 Proposed Response	ovides the total integrat 2–11. Response Status	0	
92.10.9.4 Mated test t are TBD's. SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro parameter values in T	fixtures integrated crosstalk no povides the 92.10.9.4 Mated tes Table 92-12.	·		<i>SuggestedRemedy</i> diminico_0912.pdf pro (92–32) and Figure 92 <i>Proposed Response</i>	ovides the total integrat 2–11. Response Status P12	O 21 <i>L</i> 10	bise voltage Equation # 286
92.10.9.4 Mated test f are TBD's. SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro parameter values in T Proposed Response	fixtures integrated crosstalk no ovides the 92.10.9.4 Mated tes Table 92-12. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	·		SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro (92–32) and Figure 92 Proposed Response CI 92 SC 92.8.3.2 DiMinico, Christopher	ovides the total integrat 2–11. Response Status P12	O 21 L10 pmmunications	
92.10.9.4 Mated test f are TBD's. SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro parameter values in T Proposed Response	fixtures integrated crosstalk no ovides the 92.10.9.4 Mated tes Table 92-12. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	t fixtures integrat	ed crosstalk noise	SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro (92–32) and Figure 92 Proposed Response CI 92 SC 92.8.3.2 DiMinico, Christopher Comment Type TR	ovides the total integrat 2–11. Response Status P12 MC Co Comment Status	O 21 L10 pmmunications X	# 286
92.10.9.4 Mated test f are TBD's. SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro parameter values in T Proposed Response C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.3 DiMinico, Christopher Comment Type TR The parameters for th	fixtures integrated crosstalk no povides the 92.10.9.4 Mated tes Table 92-12. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>123</b> MC Communi <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ne pulse fit and the equalizing fi	t fixtures integrat	ed crosstalk noise	SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro (92–32) and Figure 92 Proposed Response CI 92 SC 92.8.3.2 DiMinico, Christopher Comment Type TR Values are provided for insertion loss on the provided for	Prize the total integrat 2–11. Response Status P12 MC Co Comment Status or TBD's for two referen eference pair of TBD d e assembly with inserti	O 21 L10 communications X nce channels; a "low- B ± TBD dB at 12.89	# 2 <u>86</u> loss" cable assembly wi 06 GHz
92.10.9.4 Mated test t are TBD's. SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro parameter values in T Proposed Response C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.3 DiMinico, Christopher Comment Type TR The parameters for th Table 92–6 are TBD's	fixtures integrated crosstalk no povides the 92.10.9.4 Mated tes Table 92-12. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>123</b> MC Communi <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> ne pulse fit and the equalizing fi	t fixtures integrat	ed crosstalk noise	SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro (92–32) and Figure 92 Proposed Response CI 92 SC 92.8.3.2 DiMinico, Christopher Comment Type TR Values are provided for insertion loss on the r and a "high-loss" cabl	Prize the total integrat 2–11. Response Status P12 MC Co Comment Status or TBD's for two referen eference pair of TBD d e assembly with inserti	O 21 L10 communications X nce channels; a "low- B ± TBD dB at 12.89	# 2 <u>86</u> loss" cable assembly wi 06 GHz
92.10.9.4 Mated test t are TBD's. SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro parameter values in T Proposed Response C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.3 DiMinico, Christopher Comment Type TR The parameters for th Table 92–6 are TBD's SuggestedRemedy	fixtures integrated crosstalk no ovides the 92.10.9.4 Mated tes Table 92-12. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>123</b> MC Communi <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> he pulse fit and the equalizing fis	t fixtures integrat <i>L</i> 17 cations ilter given in	ed crosstalk noise # 283	SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro (92–32) and Figure 92 Proposed Response C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.2 DiMinico, Christopher Comment Type TR Values are provided for insertion loss on the r and a "high-loss" cabl TBD dB ± TBD dB at SuggestedRemedy	Prize the total integrat 2–11. Response Status P12 MC Co Comment Status or TBD's for two referen eference pair of TBD d e assembly with inserti	O 21 L 10 communications X nce channels; a "low- B ± TBD dB at 12.89 ion loss on the refere	# 286 Hoss" cable assembly w 06 GHz nce pair of
92.10.9.4 Mated test t are TBD's. SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro parameter values in T Proposed Response C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.3 DiMinico, Christopher Comment Type TR The parameters for th Table 92–6 are TBD's SuggestedRemedy	fixtures integrated crosstalk no ovides the 92.10.9.4 Mated tes Table 92-12. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>123</b> MC Communi <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> he pulse fit and the equalizing f S	t fixtures integrat <i>L</i> 17 cations ilter given in	ed crosstalk noise # 283	SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro (92–32) and Figure 92 Proposed Response C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.2 DiMinico, Christopher Comment Type TR Values are provided for insertion loss on the r and a "high-loss" cabl TBD dB ± TBD dB at SuggestedRemedy	ovides the total integrat 2–11. Response Status P12 MC Co Comment Status or TBD's for two referen eference pair of TBD d e assembly with inserti 12.8906 GHz.	O 21 L10 communications X nce channels; a "low- B ± TBD dB at 12.89 on loss on the refere BD's of the two refere	# 286 loss" cable assembly w 06 GHz nce pair of

CI 92 SC 92.8.4.5	P <b>133</b>	L <b>30</b>	# 287	Cl 92 SC 92.8.3.3 P123 L10 # 290
DiMinico, Christopher	MC Communic	ations		DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
Comment Type TR The low frequency 3 dB cutoff of the AC co	Comment Status X			Comment TypeTRComment StatusXProvide values fot TBD's. The Steady state voltage, the sum of linear fit pulse response, p(k), from step 3) divided by M from step 3), shall be greater than TBD V and less than or
SuggestedRemedy				equal to TBD V. The peak of the linear fit pulse response from step 3) shall be greater tha TBD×Steady state voltage.
The low frequency 3 dB cutoff of the AC co kHz.	upling shall be less than 50			SuggestedRemedy Use values for these parameters in Table 93–4—Summary of transmitter characteristics a
Proposed Response	Response Status O			TP0a. Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
C/ 92 SC 92.10.8 DiMinico, Christopher	P <b>140</b> MC Communic	L <b>34</b> ations	# 288	C/ 92 SC 92,8.3.3.1 P123 L 54 # 291
Comment Type <b>TR</b> The reference test fixture printed circuit b Equation (92–33).	Comment Status X			DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Comment Type TR Comment Status X the ratio $(c(0)+c(1)-c(-1))/(c(0)+c(1)+c(-1))$ is TBD ±10%
SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf pro	,			SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf provides ratio TBD. Proposed Response Response Status <b>0</b>
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			
C/ <b>92A</b> SC <b>92A-5</b> DiMinico, Christopher	P <b>210</b> MC Communic	L34 ations	# 289	C/         92         SC         92.8.3.3.2         P124         L7         #         292           DiMinico, Christopher         MC Communications         4         292         4         4         292         4         4         4         292         4         4         4         292         4         4         4         292         4<
Comment Type TR Equation (92A-4) for th	Comment Status X	en TP0 and TF	25 representative of a	Comment Type TR Comment Status X The change in the normalized amplitude of coefficient c(i) corresponding to a request to "increment" that coefficient is TBD. The change in the normalized amplitude of coefficient c(i)corresponding to a request to "decrement" that coefficient is TBD.
0.5 m cable assembly	and a maximum host channel	is TBD.		SuggestedRemedy
	vides Equation (92A-4).			diminico_0912.pdf provides TBD's. <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> O
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			

C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.3.3 DiMinico, Christopher	B P <b>124</b> MC Communi	L <b>21</b> cations	# 293	C/ <b>92A</b> SC <b>4</b> Ghiasi, Ali	P <b>209</b> Broadcom	L12	# 296
Comment Type <b>TR</b> The ratio (c(0) - c(1))/(c The ratio (c(0) - c(-1))/(				Comment Type ER 0.184( xyz) euqation	Comment Status X not clear		
SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf prov				SuggestedRemedy 0.184x(xyz)			
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.3.4		L35	# 294	<i>Cl</i> <b>92A</b> SC <b>4</b> Ghiasi, Ali	P <b>209</b> Broadcom	L12	# 297
DiMinico, Christopher Comment Type <b>TR</b>	MC Communi Comment Status X	cations		Comment Type <b>TR</b> Min loss equation sto	Comment Status X op at 18.75 GHz		
The value of M is TBD				SuggestedRemedy range should be 0.01	to 18.75 GHz		
SuggestedRemedy diminico_0912.pdf prov	ides TBD.			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>				P30	L10	# 298
C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.2.4	P132	L <b>44</b>	# 295	Ghiasi, Ali	Broadcom	L 10	# 290
DiMinico, Christopher	MC Communi	cations		Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X				imar need to be added inclduin properability or BER objecctive	g the fact VSR2	000-3R2 does not hav
1 0	output amplitude is TBD. of the pattern generator, as d D.	efined in 72.7.1	7, are TBD ps.	SuggestedRemedy The specifications in	this clause therefore use a sim	ilar methodolog	v to that
SuggestedRemedy				used in ITU-T G.693	[Bx1] and not recomended for r ty or BER other 40GBASE-R PI	reuse as it does	
diminico_0912.pdf prov Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status O		

C/89 SC 5.1	P <b>34</b>	L <b>33</b>	# 299	C/ 89 SC 7.10	P <b>42</b>	L <b>4</b>	# 302
hiasi, Ali	Broadcom			Ghiasi, Ali	Broadcom		
	Comment Status X e TP1 and TP4 are not applicat	ble as they are n	ot currenlty defined	Comment Type <b>TR</b> The receiver jitter toleanc be added to clarify	Comment Status X e here is unstress which is	different than 8	302.3 and note should
uggestedRemedy Remove TP1 and TP Add XLAUI interface	-			SuggestedRemedy Add note receiver jitter tol	lerance is unstress		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ <b>89</b> SC <b>6.3</b> Ghiasi, Ali	Р <b>37</b> Broadcom	L <b>36</b>	# 300	<i>Cl</i> <b>89</b> <i>SC</i> <b>9</b> Ghiasi, Ali	P <b>4</b> Broadcom	L17	# 303
need to require FR re some future 1310 nm	Comment Status X center wavelength at 1550 nm c ecciver be dual wavelength. If th targeted for lower power and c SR methodology is not recomme	he reason to add ost but we alrea	d 1310 nm band for dy declared at the	Comment Type <b>TR</b> Definition and test method SuggestedRemedy Add definition and test me	Comment Status X d for dispersion is missing ethod		
level of interoperabilit	ty as IEEE specifications.		for not naving sume	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
level of interoperabilit SuggestedRemedy Remove the 1310 nm	ty as IEEE specifications.			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy	ty as IEEE specifications.			Proposed Response Cl 89 SC 9 Ghiasi, Ali	Response Status <b>O</b> P <b>4</b> Broadcom	L19	# 304
uggestedRemedy Remove the 1310 nm roposed Response / 89 SC 6.3 hiasi, Ali comment Type TR Receiver jitter toleran uggestedRemedy	ty as IEEE specifications. In window Response Status <b>O</b> P37 Broadcom Comment Status <b>X</b> ace test method missing	L 46	# <u>301</u>	Cl 89 SC 9 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Test method for DGD is n SuggestedRemedy Add test method	P <b>4</b> Broadcom Comment Status <b>X</b>	L 19	# 304
uggestedRemedy Remove the 1310 nm roposed Response / 89 SC 6.3 hiasi, Ali omment Type TR Receiver jitter toleran uggestedRemedy Add receiver jitter tole	ty as IEEE specifications. In window Response Status <b>O</b> P37 Broadcom Comment Status <b>X</b> ace test method missing			Cl 89 SC 9 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Test method for DGD is n SuggestedRemedy Add test method	P4 Broadcom Comment Status X nissing Response Status O P116 Broadcom Comment Status X	L19 L53	# <u>304</u> # <u>305</u>
SuggestedRemedy Remove the 1310 nm Proposed Response 2/ 89 SC 6.3 Shiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Receiver jitter toleran SuggestedRemedy	ty as IEEE specifications. n window <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>37</b> Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> nce test method missing erance			Cl 89 SC 9 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Test method for DGD is n SuggestedRemedy Add test method Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 7.1 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR	P4 Broadcom Comment Status X nissing Response Status O P116 Broadcom Comment Status X TP4 and not TP3		

C/ <b>92</b> SC <b>8.3</b> Ghiasi, Ali	P <b>120</b> Broadcom	L <b>36</b>	# 306	<i>Cl <b>92</b></i> Ghiasi, Ali	SC 8.	.3.4	P <b>126</b> Broadcom	L <b>22</b>	# 309
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Com It has not been shown thant allo the link	<i>ment Status</i> <b>X</b> owing DJ to max out a	at 0.28 it will not	have severe impact on		um inser		Comment Status X mask is TBD		
SuggestedRemedy Add line with max deterministic	jitter =0.15 UI				sertion lo	oss is de	fined as +0.085*sqrt(f)+0.0173*f^2		
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status <b>O</b>			e	•		r figure 92-4		
C/ 92 SC 8.3	P120	L <b>36</b>	# 307	Proposed I	Respons	е	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Shiasi, Ali Comment Type <b>TR</b> Communication Why are we introducing effective	Broadcom ment Status X			<i>Cl</i> <b>92</b> Ghiasi, Ali	SC 8.	.3.8	P <b>129</b> Broadcom	L <b>7</b>	# 310
jitter SuggestedRemedy Replace efective random jitter v Proposed Response Respo	with random jitter onse Status <b>O</b>			on the <i>Suggested</i> If the ir on squ	ve rando amount <i>Remedy</i> ntention i re patter	of DJ R. is to limit	Comment Status X s introduced in this standard b l can varry. t random noise / unbonunded PN9, where the RMS noise is i	itter why not j	ust use 1 sigma RMS
7 <b>92</b> SC <b>8.3.1</b> Shiasi, Ali	P <b>120</b> Broadcom	L <b>52</b>	# 308	edge ji <i>Proposed I</i>	-		value is 0.01 UI (RMS) <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b>		
Transmitter RL is TBD SuggestedRemedy RL= 12 - 0.5*f for 0.05 to 8 GHz = 5.67 - 9.71*log10(f/14e9) 8 0				respon Suggested To rem Better 12 - 0.5	is jump in se of the <i>Remedy</i> nove the definitior 5*f/1E9 0	TR n the ret device jump the n would I 0.05 to 8		ard	
				Proposed I	Respons	е	Response Status <b>O</b>		

C/ 92 SC 8.4.5 Ghiasi, Ali	P <b>133</b> Broadcom	L <b>28</b>	# 312	C/ <b>92</b> SC <b>10.4</b> Ghiasi, Ali	P <b>137</b> Broadcom	L <b>3</b>	# <u>315</u>
	Comment Status X is only required when AC coup r should just meet BER	ling is part of se	eperable interface	Comment Type <b>TR</b> There is jump in the F SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X RL equation		
SuggestedRemedy				,	10(f/5.5) from 4.1 to 25 GHz		
	n "It is recomended that the val nF but when the AC coupling i BER"			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			C/ <b>92</b> SC <b>10.8</b> Ghiasi, Ali	P <b>140</b> Broadcom	L <b>34</b>	# 316
C/ <b>92</b> SC <b>8.4.5</b> Ghiasi, Ali	P <b>133</b> Broadcom	L <b>29</b>	# 313	Comment Type TR ILcat(f) is missing	Comment Status X		
	Comment Status X actor value in the case of plug a specify the 3 dB cutoff.	and leaving it to	the reciver function	SuggestedRemedy ILcat(f) = 1.25 * (-0.00 which has loss of 1.25	01+0.096*sqrt(f)+0.046*f^2) 5 dB at 14 GHz		
SuggestedRemedy Remove 3 dB cutoff				Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			C/ <b>92</b> SC <b>10.8</b> Ghiasi, Ali	P <b>140</b> Broadcom	L <b>34</b>	# 317
C/ <b>92</b> SC <b>10</b> Ghiasi, Ali	P <b>134</b> Broadcom	L <b>14</b>	# 314	Comment Type <b>TR</b> ILxyz(f) of the HCB is	Comment Status X missing		
Comment Type <b>TR</b> It is not helpfull to spe SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ecify just a point for RL in the ta	ble 92-9			for HCB then add following )1+0.096*sqrt(f)+0.046*f^2) 5 dB at 14 GHz		
	with reference to 92.10.4 and e	quation 92.24 ar	nd remove the "at	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>0</b>		
12.89 GHz"							

# 92       SC 10.9.1       P 141       L 50       # 318         hiasi, Ali       Broadcom         omment Type       TR       Comment Status       X         Mated test fixture max and min loss are missing       uggestedRemedy       ILMTFmin=(0.08*sqrt(f)+0.2*f) for 0.01 to 25.78 GHz       ILMTFmax=(-0.114 + 0.45*sqrt(f)+0.21*f) for 0.01 to 14 GH         = 4.5 - 0.66*f for 14 to 25.78 GHz       roposed Response       Response Status       O	Cl 93       SC 8.1.1       P157       L33       # 321         Ghiasi, Ali       Broadcom       Broadcom       # 321         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status X       There is insufficent proof that DJ can be remove without some penalty due to the case when DJ =0.28 and RJ effective = 0!       SuggestedRemedy         Add line with max deterministic jitter = 0.15 UI       Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Cl 92       SC 8.1.1       P157       L32       # 322
Mated test fixture max and min loss are missing uggestedRemedy ILMTFmin=(0.08*sqrt(f)+0.2*f) for 0.01 to 25.78 GHz ILMTFmax=(-0.114 + 0.45*sqrt(f)+0.21*f) for 0.01 to 14 GH = 4.5 - 0.66*f for 14 to 25.78 GHz	There is insufficent proof that DJ can be remove without some penalty due to the case when DJ =0.28 and RJ effective = 0! SuggestedRemedy Add line with max determinsitic jitter = 0.15 UI Proposed Response Response Status <b>0</b>
ILMTFmin=(0.08*sqrt(f)+0.2*f) for 0.01 to 25.78 GHz ILMTFmax=(-0.114 + 0.45*sqrt(f)+0.21*f) for 0.01 to 14 GH = 4.5 - 0.66*f for 14 to 25.78 GHz	SuggestedRemedy         Add line with max determinsitic jitter = 0.15 UI         Proposed Response       Response Status
	$-$ C $P_2$ SC 811 P157 132 # $322$
92 SC 10.9.2 P142 L34 # 319	Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom
omment Type TR Comment Status X Mated board RL value TBD	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Why are we introducing new jitter term "Effectve random jitter"
UggestedRemedy Presenttion will show the graph but the propsoed limits are RL= 20 -f for 0.01 to 4 GHz = 18 - 0.5* f for 4 GHz to 16 GHz = 11.2 - 20.5*log10(f/14e9) for 16 to 25.78 GHz	SuggestedRemedy Replace effective random jitter with "random jitter" Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
roposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	C/ 93         SC 8.3         P164         L4         # 323           Ghiasi, Ali         Broadcom
/ 92     SC 10.9.3     P143     L 35     # 320       hiasi, Ali     Broadcom       omment Type     TR     Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X Why do we specify hard limit for the AC coupling to be 50 KHz? AC coupling cut off frequency is function of the receiver. Why is it for 10.125 Gbd the cutoff freq was 100 KH but for 25.78 GBd the AC coupling 3 dB is getting smaller instead of larger!
Coversion loss is TBD uggestedRemedy SCDxx= -35+1.07*f for 0.01 to 14 GHz	SuggestedRemedy Replace " Low frequency 3 dB cutoff of the AC coupling shall be less than 50 KHz" with "Low frequency 3 dB cutoff of the AC coupling is implementation dependent the 3 dB cuto should be low enough so the baseline wander does not induce BER penalty".
= -20 dB for 14 to 25.78 GHz roposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>

C/ 94 SC 3.11 Ghiasi, Ali	P187 Broadcom	L <b>24</b>	# 324	C/ 78 SC 78.1.4 Estes, Dave	Р <b>38</b> UNH - IOL	L <b>5</b>	# 327
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comme Differential and common mode RI	ent Status X L TBD			Comment Type E Table 78-1	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Please use the same limits as in t	able 93-4 (equation	n 93-1 and 93-2)			the PCS and PMA/PMD clauses XGXS or 1000BASE-KX.	s that they are a	ssociated with. The
Proposed Response Response	se Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedRemedy For XGXS list "47, 4	8" and for 1000BASE-KX list "7(	), 36" instead of	"70, 35"
C/ <b>92A</b> SC <b>4</b> Ghiasi, Ali	P <b>208</b> Broadcom	L <b>48</b>	# 325	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comme Max loss equation stop at 18.75 C	ent Status X GHz			C/ 80 SC 80.1.2 Estes, Dave	Р <b>42</b> UNH - IOL	L17	# 328
SuggestedRemedy range should be 0.01 to 18.75 GH	łz			Comment Type E In the past the object	Comment Status X tives were updated not deleted.		
Proposed Response Response	se Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedRemedy Update the objective	es to include the new PHY types	and the suppor	t for EEE and RS-FEC.
C/ <b>94</b> SC <b>3.13</b> Ghiasi, Ali	P <b>196</b> Broadcom	L <b>23</b>	# 326	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Why do we specify hard limit for the frequency is function of the received	er. Why is it for 10	.125 Gbd the cu	off freq was 100 KHz	CI 81 SC 81.1 Estes, Dave Comment Type E	P <b>55</b> UNH - IOL Comment Status X	L <b>22</b>	# 329
but for 25.78 GBd the AC coupling			0	Figure 81-1			
Replace " Low frequency 3 dB cut "Low frequency 3 dB cutoff of the should be low enough so the base	AC coupling is imp	lementation dep	endent the 3 dB cutoff	SuggestedRemedy	the same as NOTE 2		
Proposed Response Response	se Status O			Delete NOTE 2 and Proposed Response	change all references to be NO Response Status <b>O</b>	IE1	

Comment Type E Comment Status X Bullet point g) does not include XLGMII SuggestedRemedy Change 'The CGMII may' to 'The XLGMII/CGMII may' Change 'The CGMII may' to 'The XLGMII/CGMII may' to 'The XLGMII may' to '	C/ 81 SC 81.1.5	P <b>55</b>	L <b>28</b>	# 330	C/ 81 SC 81	.3.4	P 58	L 33	# 333
Bullet point g) does not include XLGMII         SuggestedRemedy         Change "The CGMII may" to "The XLGMII/CGMII may"         Proposed Response         Response Status         O         21.78       SC 78.1         Part of the SC 0000 per sectives fault status reaches an RS, the RS stops sending MAC data or ILPI, or Idle.         SuggestedRemedy         C1.78       C 78.1         Damment Type       Comment Status X         The paragraph does not mention 10BASE-Te, 40GBASE-CR4, or 40GBASE-KR4         SuggestedRemedy       O         Add these PHYs in their respoective positions in the paragraph         Proposed Response       Response Status O         C1 78       SC 78.5         Page       Comment Type         C1 78       SC 78.5         Page       Comment Type         C1 78       SC 78.5         Page       UNH - IOL         SuggestedRemedy       If Fast wake should be supported for EEE then add 40 Gb/s to this paragraph.         Proposed Response       Response Status O         C1 81       SC 81.3a.3.1       Pe1         L29       # [335]         SuggestedRemedy       If Fast wake should be supported for EEE then add 40 Gb/s to this paragraph.         Proposed Respons	Estes, Dave	UNH - IOL			Estes, Dave		UNH - IOL		
SuggestedRemedy Change The CGMII may' to "The XLGMII/CGMII may"       Italits the device could go back to sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle.         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Proposed Response       UNH - IOL       Change "When this Local Fault status reaches an RS, the RS stops sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle."         Proposed Response       UNH - IOL       Change "When this Local Fault status reaches an RS, the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle."         Change "When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle."       Change "When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle."         Comment Type E       Comment Status X       The paragraph des not mention 10BASE-Te, 40GBASE-CR4, or 40GBASE-KR4         SuggestedRemedy       Ad these PHY's in their response Status O       C/ 81 SC 81.3a.2.1 P60 L43 # §34         Change Type E       Comment Type E       Comment Type E       Comment Type E         Comment Type E       Comment Type E       Comment Type E       Comment Type E         UNH - IOL       SuggestedRemedy       Nutre the definition         Is 40G excluded from Fast wake?       O       C/ 81 SC 81.3a.3.1 P61 L29 # §335         UNB - IOL       Comment Type E <td>Comment Type E</td> <td>Comment Status X</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Comment Type</td> <td>E Comme</td> <td>ent Status X</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type	E Comme	ent Status X		
SuggestedRemedy       Change "The CGMII may" to "The XLGMII/CGMII may"         Change The CGMII may" to "The XLGMII/CGMII may"       Change "When this Local Fault status reaches an RS, the RS stops sending MAC data or LPI, to "When this Local Fault status reaches an RS, the RS stops sending MAC data or LPI, to "When this Local Fault status reaches an RS, the RS stops sending MAC data or LPI, to "When this Local Fault status reaches an RS, the RS stops sending MAC data or LPI, to "When this Local Fault status reaches an RS, the RS stops sending MAC data or LPI, to "When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle."         Change When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle."       Change When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle."         Comment Type E       Comment Status X       NoggestedRemedy         Add these PHYs in their response Status O       C/ 81       S 81.3a.2.1       P60       L43       # 334         Change Keponse       Response Status X       Numeronly references the CAUI.       SuggestedRemedy       Numeronly references the CAUI.         SuggestedRemedy       If Fast wake should be supported for EEE then add 40 Gb/s to this paragraph.       P61       L29       # 335         Proposed Response       Response Status O       C/ 81       S 81.3a.1       P61       L29       # 335         SuggestedRemedy       If Sat wake should be su	Bullet point g) does no	ot include XLGMII							Idle. After receiving
Change The Comment Type Comment Status V       Change When this Local Fault status reaches an RS, the RS stops sending MAC data or LPI, 'to 'When this Local Fault status reaches an RS, the RS stops sending MAC data or LPI, 'to 'When this Local Fault status reaches an RS, the RS stops sending MAC data or LPI, 'to 'When the Sc no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data or LPI, 'to 'When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data or LPI, 'to 'When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data or LPI, 'to 'When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle.''         Change "When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data or LPI, 'to 'When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle.''         Change "When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle.''         Change "When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle.''         Change "When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle.''         Change "When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle.''         Change Status V       Comment Status X         The paragraph does not mention 10BASE-Te, 400BASE-CR4, or 40GBASE-KR4       SuggestelRemedy         Is 40G excluded from Fast wake? <td< td=""><td>SuggestedRemedy</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>e could go back to</td><td>sending MAC data</td><td>a, LPI, or Idle.</td><td></td></td<>	SuggestedRemedy					e could go back to	sending MAC data	a, LPI, or Idle.	
Proposed Response       Response Status       O         C/ 78       SC 78.1       P37       L30       # 331         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X       Non-onger receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle,*         Change "When the RS no longer receives fault status messages, it returns to normal operation, sending MAC data, LPI, or Idle,*       Proposed Response Status O         C/ 78       SC 78.5       P38       L44       # 332         C/ 78       SC 78.5       P38       L44       # 332         C/ 78       SC 78.5       P38       L44       # 332         SuggestedRemedy       If Fast wake?       SuggestedRemedy       NH - IOL         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X       tw_timer only references the CAUI.         SuggestedRemedy       If Fast wake?       SuggestedRemedy       Add XLAU to the definition         Proposed Response       Response Status O       C/ 81 <td< td=""><td>Change "The CGMII r</td><td>may" to "The XLGMII/CGMII ma</td><td>ay"</td><td></td><td></td><td>de la constanta de ser</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Change "The CGMII r	may" to "The XLGMII/CGMII ma	ay"			de la constanta de ser			
Steen, Dave       UNH - IOL         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X         The paragraph does not mention 10BASE-Te, 40GBASE-CR4, or 40GBASE-KR4       SuggestedRemedy         Add these PHYs in their respoective positions in the paragraph       Proposed Response       Response Status O         C/ 78       SC 78.5       P38       L44       # [332]         SuggestedRemedy       It Fast wake?       SuggestedRemedy       It Fast wake?       NuH - IOL         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X       It So (81.3a.3.1)       P61       L29       # [335]         SuggestedRemedy       It Fast wake should be supported for EEE then add 40 Gb/s to this paragraph.       Proposed Response       Response Status X       It is subclause only references the CGMII and the CAUI         SuggestedRemedy       It fast wake should	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			LPI," to "When t				
Comment Type       E       Comment Status X         The paragraph does not mention 10BASE-Te, 40GBASE-CR4, or 40GBASE-KR4         SuggestedRemedy         Add these PHYs in their respoective positions in the paragraph         Proposed Response         Response Status O         C/ 78       SC 78.5         Pase       L44         Istes, Dave       UNH - IOL         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X         Is 40G excluded from Fast wake?       SuggestedRemedy         If Fast wake should be supported for EEE then add 40 Gb/s to this paragraph.       Proposed Response       Response Status O         Proposed Response       Response Status O       C/ 81       SC 81.3a.3.1       P61       L29       # 335         States, Dave       UNH - IOL       Comment Type       E       Comment Status X       C/ 81       SC 81.3a.3.1       P61       L29       # 335         SuggestedRemedy       If Fast wake should be supported for EEE then add 40 Gb/s to this paragraph.       C/ 81       SC 81.3a.3.1       P61       L29       # 335         Estes, Dave       UNH - IOL       Comment Type       E       Comment Status X       This subclause only references the CGMII and the CAUI         SuggestedRemedy       Add references to the XLGMII and the XLAUI	C/ 78 SC 78.1 Estes, Dave		L <b>30</b>	# 331	operation, sendi	ng MAC data or L	PI." to "When the	RS no longer rec	ceives fault status
Add these PHYs in their respoective positions in the paragraph         Proposed Response       Response Status         O       Cl 81       SC 81.3a.2.1       P60       L43       # 334         Ch 78       SC 78.5       P38       L44       # 332         Ch 78       SC 78.5       P38       L44       # 332         Sistes, Dave       UNH - IOL       Comment Type       E       Comment Status X         Is 40G excluded from Fast wake?       SuggestedRemedy       Add XLAUI to the definition         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Cl 81       SC 81.3a.3.1       P61       L29         Cl 81       SC 81.3a.3.1       P61       L29 <t< td=""><td>21</td><td></td><td>ASE-CR4, or 4</td><td>0GBASE-KR4</td><td>Proposed Response</td><td>e Respons</td><td>se Status O</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	21		ASE-CR4, or 4	0GBASE-KR4	Proposed Response	e Respons	se Status O		
Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Estes, Dave       UNH - IOL         C/ 78       SC 78.5       P38       L44       # 332         Estes, Dave       UNH - IOL       Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         Is 40G excluded from Fast wake?       SuggestedRemedy       If Fast wake should be supported for EEE then add 40 Gb/s to this paragraph.       Proposed Response       Response Status       O         Proposed Response       Response Status       O       C/ 81       SC 81.3a.3.1       P61       L29       # 335         Estes, Dave       UNH - IOL       Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         Droposed Response       Response Status       O       C/ 81       SC 81.3a.3.1       P61       L29       # 335         Estes, Dave       UNH - IOL       Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X       This subclause only references the CGMII and the CAUI         SuggestedRemedy       Add references to the XLGMII and the XLAUI       SuggestedRemedy       Add references to the XLGMII and the XLAUI	SuggestedRemedy Add these PHYs in th	eir respoective positions in the	paragraph		C/ 81 SC 81	.3a.2.1	P <b>60</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 334
C/ 78 SC 78.5 P38 L44 # 332 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL Comment Type E Comment Status X Is 40G excluded from Fast wake? SuggestedRemedy If Fast wake should be supported for EEE then add 40 Gb/s to this paragraph. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 81 SC 81.3a.3.1 P61 L29 # 335 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL Comment Type E Comment Status X This subclause only references the CAUI. SuggestedRemedy Add XLAUI to the definition Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 81 SC 81.3a.3.1 P61 L29 # 335 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL Comment Type E Comment Status X This subclause only references the CAUI SuggestedRemedy Add references to the XLGMII and the XLAUI			P 9 P		Estes, Dave		UNH - IOL		
Estes, Dave UNH - IOL Comment Type E Comment Status X Is 40G excluded from Fast wake? SuggestedRemedy If Fast wake should be supported for EEE then add 40 Gb/s to this paragraph. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 81 SC 81.3a.3.1 P61 L29 # 335 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL Comment Type E Comment Status X This subclause only references the CGMII and the CAUI SuggestedRemedy Add YLAUI to the definition					21				
Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         Is 40G excluded from Fast wake?       SuggestedRemedy       Proposed Response       Response Status       O         SuggestedRemedy       If Fast wake should be supported for EEE then add 40 Gb/s to this paragraph.       Proposed Response       P61       L29       # 335         Proposed Response       Response Status       O       C/ 81       SC 81.3a.3.1       P61       L29       # 335         Estes, Dave       UNH - IOL       Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X       This subclause only references the CGMII and the CAUI         SuggestedRemedy       Add references to the XLGMII and the XLAUI       Add references to the XLGMII and the XLAUI       SuggestedRemedy	C/ 78 SC 78.5 Estes, Dave		L <b>44</b>	# 332		o definition			
If Fast wake should be supported for EEE then add 40 Gb/s to this paragraph.       C/ 81 SC 81.3a.3.1       P61 L29 # 335         Proposed Response       Response Status       O         C/ 81 SC 81.3a.3.1       P61 L29 # 335         C/ 81 SC 81.3a.3.1       P61 L29 # 335         Estes, Dave       UNH - IOL         Comment Type       E         Comment Type       E         Comment Status       X         This subclause only references the CGMII and the CAUI         SuggestedRemedy         Add references to the XLGMII and the XLAUI	21						se Status <b>O</b>		
Proposed Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status X This subclause only references the CGMII and the CAUI SuggestedRemedy Add references to the XLGMII and the XLAUI	SuggestedRemedy If Fast wake should be	e supported for EEE then add 4	10 Gb/s to this p	baragraph.		.3a.3.1	+ -	L <b>29</b>	# 335
This subclause only references the CGMII and the CAUI SuggestedRemedy Add references to the XLGMII and the XLAUI	Proposed Response	Response Status 0							
Add references to the XLGMII and the XLAUI								CAUI	
					SuggestedRemedy				
Proposed Response Response Status O					Add references	to the XLGMII and	I the XLAUI		
					Proposed Response	e Respons	se Status O		

C/ 81 SC 81.3a-2 Estes, Dave	Р <b>61</b> UNH - IOL	L <b>8</b>	# 336	C/         82         SC         82.2.18.2.2         P 68         L 41         # 339           Estes, Dave         UNH - IOL         UNH - IOL         Image: constraint of the set of the se
Comment Type E Figure 81-10a	Comment Status X			Comment Type E Comment Status X The sentence is not gramatically correct
There is a period after " S <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Remove the period	LPI_REQUEST=ASSERT" that	at should not be	e there	SuggestedRemedy         Change "When tx_mode is set to QUIET sublayer may go into a low power state" to "When tx_mode is set to QUIET the sublayer may go into a low power state"         Proposed Response       Response Status       O
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			
C/ 82 SC 82.1.3	P63	L <b>27</b>	# 337	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.3 P69 L27 # 340 Estes, Dave UNH - IOL
Estes, Dave Comment Type <b>E</b> Figure 82-1	UNH - IOL Comment Status X			Comment Type E Comment Status X The sentence is not gramatically correct
NOTE 1 will now be the SuggestedRemedy	same as NOTE 2 inge all references to be NOT	≣ 1		SuggestedRemedy         Remove the comma to make the sentence "Note: A PCS that does not support EEE classifies vectors containing one or more /LI/ control characters as type E."         Proposed Response       Response Status       O
Proposed Response	Response Status O			
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2. Estes, Dave	2 P68 UNH - IOL	L <b>29</b>	# 338	CI         82         SC         82.2.18.2.3         P70         L5         # 341           Estes, Dave         UNH - IOL         UNH - IOL         Image: Comment Status X         Image
Comment Type E There are three possible	Comment Status X e values for rx_mode			The sentence is not gramatically correct SuggestedRemedy Remove the comma to make the sentence "Note: A PCS that does not support EEE
SuggestedRemedy Change "four values" to	"three values"			classifies vectors containing one or more /LI/ control characters as type E. Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.		L <b>32</b>	# 342	C/ 82 SC 82.2.8a		L <b>7</b>	# 345
Estes, Dave	UNH - IOL			Estes, Dave	UNH - IOL		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
	ause reference a variable calle ble is gramatically incorrect.	d [timer name]_d	one, however the	The Data state does LPI Receive State D	not exist in the Figure 82-15 R iagram	eceive State Diag	gram or Figure 82-17
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
remove the "the" prior set one_us_timer_do	r to [timer name]_done. For ex ne=true."	ample, line 38 sł	nould end with "it will	Ū.	X_ACTIVE state and reference	e Figure 82-17	
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 80 SC 80.1.4	P <b>4</b> 3	L <b>47</b>	# 242	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18		L16	# 346
Estes, Dave	243 UNH - IOL	L41	# 343	Estes, Dave	UNH - IOL		
				Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X ect because it implies that the	PCS lanes are 2	level PAM or multi-	The possible values	for received_tx_mode are not of	defined	
	really the PMA/PMD that does			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Define the possible v	values for received_tx_mode		
Change lines 47-53 to	):			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
<ul> <li>40GBASE-R or 100GBASE-R represents a family of Physical Layer devices using a physical coding sublayer for 40 Gb/s or 100 Gb/s operation over multiple PCS lanes based on 64B/66B block encoding (see Clause 82) and a PMD implementing 2-level pulse amplitude modulation (PAM).</li> <li>100GBASE-P represents Physical Layer devices using a physical coding sublayer for 100 Gb/s operation over multiple PCS lanes based on 64B/66B block encoding (see Clause 82) and a PMD implementing 2-level pulse amplitude modulation (PAM).</li> </ul>				CI 78 SC 78.2 Estes, Dave Comment Type TR Table 78-2 doesn't ir SuggestedRemedy	P <b>39</b> UNH - IOL <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> nclude EEE parameters for XLA	L1 Aui/Caui	# <u>347</u>
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>					rameters to table 78-2		
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
	P39	L <b>46</b>	# 344				
CI 78 SC 78.5.2	F 39			CI 78 SC 78-5	P39	L <b>25</b>	# 348
	UNH - IOL			0/10 00 10-0	1 00		
Estes, Dave				Estes, Dave	UNH - IOL		
Estes, Dave	UNH - IOL Comment Status X						
Estes, Dave Comment Type <b>T</b> This section should a SuggestedRemedy	UNH - IOL Comment Status X Iso include the XLAUI			Estes, Dave Comment Type TR	UNH - IOL		
Estes, Dave Comment Type <b>T</b> This section should a SuggestedRemedy Change all references	UNH - IOL Comment Status X			Estes, Dave Comment Type TR	UNH - IOL Comment Status X		
Estes, Dave Comment Type <b>T</b> This section should a SuggestedRemedy	UNH - IOL Comment Status X Iso include the XLAUI			Estes, Dave Comment Type TR Table 78-4 does not SuggestedRemedy	UNH - IOL Comment Status X		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ <b>93</b> SC <b>93.8.2.1</b> Ben-Artsi, Liav	P <b>162</b> Marvell	L <b>26</b>	# 349	C/ <b>92</b> Kochuparar	SC <b>92.8.3</b> nbil, Beth	P <b>120</b> Cisco Systems	L16	# 352	
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Measuring the receiver r loss	ate real chip return	Comment Type E Comment Status X The label "Common-mode voltage limits" does not well define what the value represents SuggestedRemedy							
SuggestedRemedy Redefine fixture return loss according to presentation				Change label to "Common-mode voltage (max)" for better description and achieve commonality with other table items.					
Proposed Response	Proposed Response Response Status O								
C/ 94 SC 94.3.11.1. Ben-Artsi, Liav	P <b>188</b> Marvell	L <b>20</b>	# 350	<i>Cl</i> <b>92</b> Kochuparar	SC <b>92.8.3</b> nbil, Beth	P <b>120</b> Cisco Systems	L <b>29</b>	# <u>353</u>	
Comment Type <b>TR</b> 100GBase-KP4 test fixtu	Comment Status X ure definition is TBD				neone looking	Comment Status X g at the document for the first time e" may be confusing since the des	,		
SuggestedRemedy         Define test fixture equations according to presentation (IL, ILD and return loss)         Proposed Response       Response Status         O				SuggestedRemedy Change labels to phrasing similar to "minimum precursor ratio" with editorial license to adjust terminology in section 92.8.3.3.3					
				Proposed F	Response	Response Status O			
C/ 92 SC 92.8.1 Kochuparambil, Beth	P <b>119</b> Cisco Systems	L <b>22</b>	# 351	C/ 93	SC 93.8.1.	1 <i>P</i> 157	L <b>26</b>	# 354	
Comment Type E Comment Status X Does low-swing differential signaling really make you immune to noise?				Kochuparar		Cisco Systems			
SuggestedRemedy Use editorial license to a			··		neone looking	Comment Status X g at the document for the first time e" may be confusing since the des			
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Suggested Change adiust t	e labels to ph	rasing similar to "minimum precurs	sor ratio" with	editorial license to	

C/ 94 SC 94.3.11	P187	L <b>32</b>	# 355	C/ 92	SC 92.8.3.3.2	· ·=·	L <b>7</b>	# 358		
Kochuparambil, Beth	Cisco Systems				ambil, Beth	Cisco Systems				
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Comment	•••	Comment Status X				
	at the document for the first time					ady listed in Table 92-5, number				
Ū.	" may be confusing since the dea	scription is of	a ratio.		ng the draft longer ferent?	. Will Increment step size and	decrement st	ep size limitations real		
SuggestedRemedy										
Change labels to phrasing similar to "minimum precursor ratio" with editorial license to adjust terminology in section 94.3.11.7.5				SuggestedRemedy Remove first paragraph of this section (92.8.3.3.2). Use editorial license to remove duplicity between paragraph and table in similar sections.						
										Proposed Response
				FTOPOSEU	Response	Response Status U				
C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.2	P <b>122</b>	L <b>43</b>	# 356	<u></u>		D (0 )				
Kochuparambil, Beth	Cisco Systems			C/ 94	SC 94.3.6.1	P184	L10	# 359		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Kochupar	ambil, Beth	Cisco Systems				
This paragraph references 100GBASE-KR with a section number then references				Comment Type E Comment Status X						
10GBASE-KR without	t a section. Perhaps one of thes	e references i	s in error.	Link o	diagrams should b	e consistent amongst clauses	93 and 94.			
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste	dRemedy					
Use editorial license t	o correct to the intended referen	ce.			-	g 184), 94-5 (pg 188), and 94-9	) (pg 194) to r	natch the style of		
Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>				claus	e 93 (ex: figures 9	3-2 and 93-3).				
				Proposed	Response	Response Status O				
	P126	L15	# 357							
Kochuparambil, Beth	Cisco Systems			CI 93	SC 93.8.1.1	P157	L <b>8</b>	# 360		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Kochupar	ambil, Beth	Cisco Systems				
Section refers to TP0-TP2 and TP3-TP5, yet the paragraph starts with "Transmitter				Comment	Tvpe <b>T</b>	Comment Status X				
measurements"	-TFZ and TF3-TF3, yet the parag	graph starts w			51	peak-to-peak output voltage" a	are most appr	ropriate for TP0, but		
SuggestedRemedy						haracteristics at TP0a.		.,		
	ence to include the receiver acco	ordinaly		Suggeste	dRemedy					
Change opening sentence to include the receiver accordingly.			Change value for Transmitter disabled to 24.95mV and Transmitter enabled to 998.12mV.							
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Editorial license should be used while adding a note to the effect of "Maximums are 30 ar 1200mV at TP0, but values given assume a 1.6dB test fixture."						
				Proposed	Response	Response Status 0				

C/ 94 SC 94.3.11 Kochuparambil, Beth	P <b>187</b> Cisco Systems	L14	# 361	C/ 92A SC 92A.7 Dudek, Mike	P <b>211</b> QLogic	L <b>21</b>	# 364
Comment Type <b>T</b> The current "differentia table 94-4 represents of SuggestedRemedy Change value for Tran license should be used at TP0, but values give	Comment Status X al peak-to-peak output voltage" a characteristics at TP0a. Ismitter disabled to TBD and Tran d while adding a note to the effect en assume a TBDdB test fixture.	nsmitter enat	bled to TBD. Editorial ms are 30 and 1200mV	Comment Type ER Weird characters. SuggestedRemedy Change to GHz. Proposed Response	Comment Status X Response Status 0		
is known. roposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			C/ <b>92</b> SC <b>92.8.3</b> Dudek, Mike	3.2 P30 QLogic	L <b>43</b>	# 365
/ 93 SC 93.9 ochuparambil, Beth	P <b>164</b> Cisco Systems	L <b>7</b>	# 362		Comment Status X methodology of 10GBASE-KR i ssary and not helpful.	is not a good me	thodology for this
Comment Type <b>T</b> Channel characteristic uggestedRemedy See kochuparambil_07				introduces frequence	e "However, the signal path from :y-dependent loss and phase shi y characterize equalizer perform \SE-KR."	ift that distorts th	e signal and makes i
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
/ 94 SC 94.4 ochuparambil, Beth	P <b>196</b> Cisco Systems	L <b>26</b>	# 363	C/ 92 SC 92.8.3 Dudek, Mike	8.8 P129 QLogic	L13	# 366
Comment Type <b>T</b> Channel characteristic	Comment Status X as are incomplete.				Comment Status X or rates are to be used for the D in the measurements.	ual Dirac extrap	olation will lead to
uggestedRemedy See kochuparambil_0	-			SuggestedRemedy Define J0 as 10^-5	and J1 as 10^-9.		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		

C/ 94 SC 94.3.11 Dudek, Mike	1.3 P188 QLogic	L <b>40</b>	# 367	C/ 94 SC 94.3.11.7. Dudek, Mike	2 P 192 QLogic	L18	# 370
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X		
21	94-6 only has 2 levels not 4. gram.	It is not obvious w	/hich levels are being	This test procedure is n how to apply the PRBS	ot appropriate for a PAM4 s 9 signal to this multi-level sp		
SuggestedRemedy				include the exercising o	f all the levels.		
	re wave test pattern with a 2 U be less than or equal to 1200 r			SuggestedRemedy Add an Editors note boy	κ.		
"For a square wave t peak-to-peak differer	test pattern transitioning from t ntial output voltage shall be les				to be amended to be approp est pattern (other than PRB		
of the transmit equal Proposed Response	lizer setting. Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
				C/ 94 SC 94.3.11.1	P188	L <b>28</b>	# 371
C/ 94 SC 94.3.11		L <b>38</b>	# 368	Dudek, Mike	QLogic		
Dudek, Mike	QLogic			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			The loss of the test fixtu	ire is also important		
This transition time p	procedure is only really valid fo	r two level signals		SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Add a section "94.3.11.	1.1 Test fixture insertion los	SS.	
	battern is PRBS9, the transition ones and five zeros, respective		s of five zeros and	The differential loss of t TBD dB".	he test fixture at the Nyquist	t rate shall be bet	ween TBD dB and
	PRBS9 transitioning between			Males the same show as	- in easting 04.0.40.4		
	eros and four ones, and nine or	ies and five zeros	, respectively,"	Make the same change			
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 94 SC 94.3.11	- · · ·	L <b>5</b>	# 369	C/ 94 SC 94.3.12.3	P195	L <b>28</b>	# 372
- · · · · · · · ·	QLogic			Dudek, Mike	QLogic		
				Comment Type TR FEC is always used for	Comment Status X PAM4 and there are only 2	tooto	
Comment Type T	Comment Status X lear (and gramatically wrong)					10313.	
Comment Type <b>T</b> The sentence is uncl						16313.	
The sentence is uncl SuggestedRemedy Change "The normal				SuggestedRemedy	luded for tests 1 and 2. FEC		ests 3 and 4." to

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 93       SC 93.7.1       P154       L5       # 373         Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro       Applied Micro       Applied Micro         Comment Type       T       Comment Status X       Applied Micro         wording       each lane has only one direction, but each direction has four lanes       Suggested/Remedy         Change "one direction from one lane" to "one lane from one direction"       Proposed Response       Response Status W         Cl 92       SC 92.8.3.3       P124       L19       # 374         Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro       Applied Micro       Common trype       E       Common trype I       Common trype I       Common trype I       Common trype I       Comment Status X       Composed Response       Response Status O         Cl 92       SC 92.8.3.5       P127       L25       # 375       Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro       Comment Type I       Comment Status X       In Figure 92.12, and labels for the receptacle and plug.       Proposed Response       Response Status O         Cl 92       SC 92.8.3.5       P127       L25       # 375       Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro       Comment Type E       Comment Status X       In Figure 92.12, and labels for the receptacle and plug.       Proposed Response       Response Status O       Ci 92       SC 92.10.9.4       P144									
wording each lane has only one direction, but each direction has four lanes         Suggested/Remedy Change "one direction from one lane" to "one lane from one direction"         Proposed Response (Comment rd id not specify CommentType. Set to T.]         C1 92 SC 92.8.3.3 Comment Type E Comment Status X Uncessary capital.         Suggested/Remedy Change "innimum Steady" to "innimum steady".         Proposed Response Response       Pt24         L19       # 374         Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status X       Comment Type E Comment Status X         C1 92 SC 92.8.3.5 P127       P127         Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status X       P144       L27         The TP2/TP3 test fixture is used by both the transmitter and receiver so shouldn't be in the transmitter section. Furthermore, there are reference to the cable assembly test fixture. Nabo, some tests are made in conjunction with the cable assembly test fixture. Nabo some tests are made in conjunction with the cable assembly test fixture. Nabo some tests are made in conjunction with the cable			L <b>5</b>	# 373				L1	# 376
Change "one direction from one lane" to "one lane from one direction"         Proposed Response       Response Status W         [Commenter did not specify CommentType. Set to T.]       Image: Status Sta	wording		as four lanes		Comm	on naming with c	other clauses. It is not necessa	ry to specify t	he details of where the
[Commenter did not specify CommentType. Set to T.]         (I 92 SC 92.8.3.3.3       P124       L19       # 374         Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro       Applied Micro         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X       Applied Micro         Unccessary capital.       SuggestedRemedy       Change "minimum Steady" to "minimum steady".       Natthew, Brown       Applied Micro         Proposed Response       Response Status O       O       SuggestedRemedy       In Figure 92-12, since the block for the cable assembly test fixture excludes the connector labels for the receptacle and plug should be included.         SuggestedRemedy       Cl 92 SC 92.83.5       P127       L25       # 375         Cl 92 SC 92.83.5       P127       L25       # 375         Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro       C       92       SC 92.83.5       P127       L25       # 375         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X       In Figure 92-12, add labels for the receptacle and plug.       Proposed Response       Response Status O         Cl 92       SC 92.10.9.4       P144       L27       # 378         Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro       Comment Type E       Comment Status X         The TP2/TP3 test fixture is used by both the transmitter and receiver so shouldn't be in the transmitter section. Furthermore, ther	00 ,	from one lane" to "one lane fror	n one direction"		00	,	o "Receiver characteristics"		
Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X         Unecessary capital.       SuggestedRemedy         Change "minimum Steady" to "minimum steady".       In Figure 92-12, since the block for the cable assembly test fixture excludes the connector labels for the receptacle and plug should be included.         Proposed Response       Response Status O         Cl 92       SC 92.8.3.5       P127       L25       # 375         Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro       SuggestedRemedy         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X       Netwee, Brown         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X       C/ 92       SC 92.10.9.4       P144       L27       # 378         Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro       Comment Type       E       Comment Status X       C/ 92       SC 92.10.9.4       P144       L27       # 378         Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro       Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro       Comment Type       E       Comment Status X         The TP2/TP3 test fixture is used by both the transmitter and receiver so shouldn't be in the transmitter section. Furthermore, there are reference to the cable assembly test fixture. It would be a lot cleaner to consolidate the tests fixtures into one sub-clause, independent of RX and TX.       SuggestedRemedy       Chanage "disturber near-end for" to "disturber nea	, ,	,			Proposed I	Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Unecessary capital.         SuggestedRemedy Change "minimum Steady" to "minimum steady".         Proposed Response       Response Status         O         C/ 92       SC 92.8.3.5         P127       L25       # 375         Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro         Comment Type       E       Comment Status X         The TP2/TP3 test fixture is used by both the transmitter and receiver so shouldn't be in the transmitter section. Furthermore, there are reference to the cable assembly test fixture. It would be a lot cleaner to consolidate the tests fixtures into one sub-clause, independent of RX and TX.         SuggestedRemedy Create a new sub-clause 92.11 and change "MDI" to 92.12. Move 92.8.3.5 and 92.10.8 to the newly created 92.11.       Cl 92.12. Move 92.8.3.5 and 92.10.8 to the newly created 92.11.			L19	# 374				L <b>8</b>	# 377
SuggestedRemedy       Change "minimum Steady" to "minimum steady".         Proposed Response       Response Status         Cl       92       SC 92.8.3.5       P127       L25       # 375         Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro       Cl       92       SC 92.8.3.5       P127       L25       # 375         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X       Cl       92       SC 92.10.9.4       P144       L27       # 378         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X       Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X       Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro         Cl ester a new sub-clause by both the transmitter and receiver so shouldn't be in the transmitter section. Furthermore, there are reference to the cable assembly test fixture. It would be a lot cleaner to consolidate the tests fixtures into one sub-clause, independent of RX and TX.       SuggestedRemedy       Change "disturber near-end for" to "disturber near-end crosstalk for".         SuggestedRemedy       Change "disturber near-end for" to "disturber near-end crosstalk for".       Proposed Response       Response Status       O	21	Comment Status X			In Figu	ure 92-12, since t	he block for the cable assembl	y test fixture e	excludes the connector
Matthew, Brown       Applied Micro         Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         The TP2/TP3 test fixture is used by both the transmitter and receiver so shouldn't be in the transmitter section. Furthermore, there are reference to the cable assembly test fixture. Also, some tests are made in conjunction with the cable assembly test fixture. It would be a lot cleaner to consolidate the tests fixtures into one sub-clause, independent of RX and TX.       C/ 92       SC 92.10.9.4       P144       L27       # 378         SuggestedRemedy       Comment Type       E       Comment Status       X         Create a new sub-clause 92.11 and change "MDI" to 92.12. Move 92.83.5 and 92.10.8 to the newly created 92.11.       Proposed Response       Response Status       O	Change "minimum Stea	, ,			<i>Suggested</i> In Figu	Remedy Ire 92-12, add lat	pels for the receptacle and plug	].	
The TP2/TP3 test fixture is used by both the transmitter and receiver so shouldn't be in the transmitter section. Furthermore, there are reference to the cable assembly test fixture. Also, some tests are made in conjunction with the cable assembly test fixture. It would be a lot cleaner to consolidate the tests fixtures into one sub-clause, independent of RX and TX. SuggestedRemedy Create a new sub-clause 92.11 and change "MDI" to 92.12. Move 92.8.3.5 and 92.10.8 to the newly created 92.11.	Matthew, Brown	Applied Micro	L <b>25</b>	# 375				L <b>27</b>	# 378
Iot cleaner to consolidate the tests fixtures into one sub-clause, independent of RX and TX.       SuggestedRemedy         SuggestedRemedy       Change "disturber near-end for" to "disturber near-end crosstalk for".         Create a new sub-clause 92.11 and change "MDI" to 92.12. Move 92.8.3.5 and 92.10.8 to the newly created 92.11.       Proposed Response       Response Status       O	The TP2/TP3 test fixture transmitter section. Fur	re is used by both the transmitte rthermore, there are reference t	o the cable asse	mbly test fixture.		51	Comment Status X		
Create a new sub-clause 92.11 and change "MDI" to 92.12. Move 92.8.3.5 and 92.10.8 to the newly created 92.11. Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>	lot cleaner to consolida				00	,	-end for" to "disturber near-end	crosstalk for	".
Proposed Response Response Status O	Create a new sub-claus		2.12. Move 92.8	.3.5 and 92.10.8 to	Proposed I	Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
	Proposed Response	Response Status 0							

		•	•	••				
C/ 92 SC 92.7.10 Natthew, Brown	P <b>156</b> Applied Micro	L11	# 379	<i>Cl</i> <b>92</b> Matthew, B	SC <b>92.8.1</b> rown	P119 Applied Micro	L <b>22</b>	# 382
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X pecified as option in the previou	us paragraph			ast sentence ement in EMI is	Comment Status X How does a "low-swing" improv compared to what? This staten		
delete " (optional)"				Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Delete	last sentence i	n paragraph.		
				Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0		
C/ <b>93</b> SC <b>94.3.8</b> Matthew, Brown	P186 Applied Micro	L15	# 380					
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			C/ 92	SC 92.8.3	P120	L <b>3</b>	# 383
51	should not include the assertion	of the		Matthew, B		Applied Micro		
	t include the assertion of the Gl ider assertion of the Global_PM			clauses <i>Suggested</i> Change	s to not make th R <i>emedy</i> e sentence to " <sup>-</sup>	ative since it does not give any the sum is normative referral to the sum	nmary table.	
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Measu Proposed I		TP2 unless otherwise noted." <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b>		
C/ 92 SC 92.7.12 Matthew, Brown	P <b>119</b> Applied Micro	L <b>6</b>	# 381	<i>Cl</i> <b>92</b> Matthew, B	SC 92.8.3	P <b>120</b> Applied Micro	L15	# 384
specified it would be ok the pattern between the	Comment Status X e different on lanes, but says n ay to use "different" seeds on e e lanes was close and thus wou pattern must not be persistently	ach lane, but s ld defeat the p	such that the phase of urpose of the random	Comment	<i>Type</i> <b>T</b> 02-5 "Common-	Comment Status X mode voltage limits", only one li	mit specified.	
SuggestedRemedy	. ,		-	On line	16, change "lir	nits" to "(max)".		
Append the first senten	ce with "and the pattern on eac ase with any other lane".	h of the lanes	shall not be	Proposed I	Response	Response Status O		
Update 93.7.12 similarly	у.							
Brananad Baananaa	,							

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

C/ 92 SC 92.8.3 Matthew, Brown	P <b>120</b> Applied Micro	L <b>19</b>	# 385	C/ <b>92</b> Matthew, B	SC 92.8.3.8 Brown	P <b>128</b> Applied Micro	L <b>30</b>	# 388
Comment Type T Comment Table 92-5. No reference for Commo SuggestedRemedy On line 120, add reference to definin Proposed Response Response	g sub-clause.	t voltage (max.	., RMS).	a toggl a 1000 Suggested	o we define EO ing test pattern. BBASE-KR4 PM <i>Remedy</i>	· · · ·	for measure	ement of output levels on
	P <b>120</b> Applied Micro	L15	# 386		period of 2 UI."	n with "Even-odd jitter shall be n <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b>	neasured wit	h a toggling test pattern
Comment Type <b>T</b> Comment In Table 92-5, no reference for Differ disabled. SuggestedRemedy	Status X	k output voltag	e (max) with Tx	C/ <b>92</b> Matthew, B Comment T	Туре Т	P <b>128</b> Applied Micro <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> veen TJ and DDJ shall be less th	L 53	# 389
On line 15, add reference to 92.7.7. Proposed Response Response	Status <b>O</b>			the tran in Tabl <i>Suggested</i> Replac	nsmit equalization e 92-5. If so, us <i>Remedy</i> se sentence as fo	on setting." the same as "Total ji e common terms between this p ollows:	tter excludin aragraph an	g data dependent jitter" d Table 92-5.
Cl 92 SC 92.8.3.6 Matthew, Brown Comment Type T Comment 92.8.3.6 is specifically return loss.	P128 Applied Micro Status X	L1	# 387		than or equal to	lata dependent jitter is the differe o 0.28 UI regardless of the trans <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b>		
SuggestedRemedy Change tite of 92.8.3.6 to "Test fixtur	e return loss".			C/ <b>92</b> Matthew, B	SC 92.8.3.8 Brown	P129 Applied Micro	L <b>23</b>	# 390
Proposed Response Response	Status <b>O</b>			discrep 5. <i>Suggested</i> Changu	ER reference poi pancy in measur <i>Remedy</i> e last sentence	Comment Status X ints should be explicit specified, ements by different people. Spe in (a) to "Measure two values JC RO is near 1E-9 and BER1 is ne	cify BER0 a and J1 at B	s 1E-9 and BER1 as 1E- BER0 and BER1,
				Proposed I		Response Status <b>0</b>	5αι IU ΙΕ-Э.	

C/ 92 SC 92.8.4	P130	L <b>3</b>	# 391	C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.2	2.4 P132	L 53	# 394
Matthew, Brown	Applied Micro			Matthew, Brown	Applied M	licro	
Comment Type <b>T</b>	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Most of the parameter	to Table 92-7 uses the "s" word s are defined normatively in resp ative since it does not give any b	pective sections	. The unit interval	idea is to be as close	ication" is not the goal. In factor to the jitter specification as		d be slightly worse. The
	his normative referral to the sur			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				•	er specification" with "mate	the jitter specification	ation".
	Receiver characteristics are sum TP3 unless otherwise noted."	imarized in Tab	le 92-7.	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.7 Matthew, Brown	2.5 P133 Applied M	L <b>9</b> licro	# 395
C/ 92 SC 92.8.4 Matthew, Brown	P <b>130</b> Applied Micro	L12	# 392		Comment Status X pattern 3 as defined in 86.		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X				why is the scrambled idle p	battern not relevant	?
Furthermore, burst erro of isolate bit errors. Sin frame error rate as me requirement to a MAC Using MAC frames of	as measured at the PMD is not ors of duration similar to a MAC nee FEC is mandatory the error easured after the FEC and PCS of frame error rate requirement. length 800 octets, a BER of 1E-	frame size are rate should be decoding. Char	no worse that a pair specified as MAC ge the BER	Also, on line 11 chan Proposed Response	3 as defined in 86.8.2" to " ge "scrambled idle charactu <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> comment against Clause ?	ers" to "scrambled	idle".
result in a MAC frame	error ratio of 6.4E-9.			C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.	5 P133	L30	# 396
SuggestedRemedy				Matthew, Brown	Applied M		<i>"</i> 330
	irement with a MAC frame error 0 octet length, frame error ratio		an 6.4E-9.	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Update 92.8.4.3, 93.8.	2.3, and 94.3.12.3 similarly.				sts a 100 nF capacitor whic seline wander penalty, the		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0				to specify 50 kHz as specif		
				SuggestedRemedy Change "TBD kHz" to	o "50 kHz".		
	_	L8	# 393	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
	3 P132 Applied Micro	-•					
Matthew, Brown Comment Type T							
Matthew, Brown Comment Type T	Applied Micro <i>Comment Status</i> X o Figure 92-7 not Figure 92-6.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 92 SC 92.8.4.5 Matthew, Brown	P <b>133</b> Applied Micro	L <b>32</b>	# 397	C/ 92 SC 92. Matthew, Brown	10.9.2	P142 Applied Micro	L31	# 400
required it is not neces capacitor value does r SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X is specified in the previous parages ssary or relevant to specify the c not limit the in-rush current, it lim starting "It is recommended that	apacitor value he its the duration.		disgression. I as both. SuggestedRemedy	Comment plies that I need to sume that the intent est fixture interface"	t is to measure bo	oth and meet the	
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response			ine interface .	
C/ 92 SC 92.10 Matthew, Brown	P <b>134</b> Applied Micro	L10	# 398	C/ <b>92</b> SC <b>92</b> . Matthew, Brown	10.9.4	P <b>145</b> Applied Micro	L16	# 401
GHz". This is not defir SuggestedRemedy Add specification for n	Comment Status X no sub-clause reference for "Mi ned in any of the sub-clauses. ninimum IL of 4 dB in 92.10.2.	nimum insertion	oss at 12.8906	SuggestedRemedy Change "the qua		·		ument is SFF-8665.
On line 10 in Table 92	Response Status <b>0</b>			Proposed Response	Response	Status O		
Proposed Response	,							
C/ 92 SC 92.10.8	P140	L <b>29</b>	# 399	C/ <b>94</b> SC <b>94.</b> Matthew, Brown		P184 Applied Micro	L15	# 402
2/ 92 SC 92.10.8 latthew, Brown comment Type T There is a reference to	P <b>140</b> Applied Micro <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> o return loss specification in 92.8	.3.6 which in turr		Matthew, Brown Comment Type T	Comment	Applied Micro Status X		
Matthew, Brown Comment Type <b>T</b> There is a reference to	P140 Applied Micro <i>Comment Status</i> X o return loss specification in 92.8 be directly to the section contain	.3.6 which in turr		Matthew, Brown Comment Type T In Figure 94-4, re of link. SuggestedRemedy	Comment eplace with updated 94-4 with Figure 93-	Applied Micro Status X figure from Figur		# 402

C/ 93 SC 93.7.8 Matthew, Brown	P <b>155</b> Applied Micro	L <b>51</b>	# 403	Cl 93 SC 93.8.3 Matthew, Brown	P <b>164</b> Applied Micro	L <b>4</b>	# 407
Comment Type <b>T</b> Cannot have "shall" sta	Comment Status X atement against another clauses			Comment Type <b>T</b> AC coupling frequency i	Comment Status X is a channel parameter.		
SuggestedRemedy Restate "Local loopba	k is provided by the adjacent Pl	MA"		SuggestedRemedy Move AC coupling frequ	ency specification to 93.9.		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.1 Matthew, Brown	P <b>156</b> Applied Micro	L <b>52</b>	# 404	C/ 94 SC 94.3.13 Matthew, Brown	P <b>196</b> Applied Micro	L <b>23</b>	# 408
Comment Type <b>T</b> Return loss should be	Comment Status X greater than limit.			Comment Type <b>T</b> AC coupling frequency i	Comment Status X is a channel parameter.		
SuggestedRemedy Change "shall be less	than" to "shall be greater than".			SuggestedRemedy Move AC coupling frequ	ency specification to 94.4.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.1 Matthew, Brown	P <b>162</b> Applied Micro	L <b>30</b>	# 405	C/ 82 SC 82.2.12 Matthew, Brown	P <b>67</b> Applied Micro	L <b>26</b>	# 409
Comment Type <b>T</b> Return loss should be SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X			Comment Type <b>T</b> In 802.3bh, sub-clause to be 180 ns (~1856 bits is required to account fo	Comment Status X 82-2.12, the tolerable skew for s). Since the FEC re-aligns the pr potentially one or two CAUI v tolerance is therefore around	PCS lanes, the PCS lanes, the provided set with the provided set w	he only skew tolerand ween the FEC and the
Proposed Response	Response Status O			for 1856 UI is overkill by SuggestedRemedy	/ a factor of 10.		
C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.5	P159	L <b>5</b>	# 406		for a PCS operating in 100GE pecifying a skew tolerance of 7		0GBASEKR4, or a
Matthew, Brown	Applied Micro			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Comment Type <b>T</b> It is trivial to implemen using PRBS9?	Comment Status X t the 8 ones 8 zeros patterns. W	'hy do we spe	cify a complex method				
SuggestedRemedy Delete the paragraph of	lescribing the PRBS9 method.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

<i>Cl</i> <b>92</b> <i>SC</i> <b>92.2</b> Matthew, Brown	P113 Applied Micro	L <b>1</b>	# 410	<i>Cl</i> <b>92</b> <i>SC</i> <b>92.7.1</b> Matthew, Brown	P <b>116</b> Applied Micro	L <b>29</b>	# 413
Comment Type <b>T</b> This section defines se	Comment Status X ervice primitives. PMD:IS_UNITE This section only needs to specif	``		Comment Type <b>T</b> SLn and SLn <n> at end of paragraph s SuggestedRemedy</n>	Comment Status X should be SLi and SLi <n>, r</n>	. ,	Also, reference to lane
Replace paragraph wit	th "The SIGNAL_OK parameter i SIGNAL_DETECT specified in 9		NITDATA(SIGNAL_OK)		(1,2,3) with "lane i (i = 0,1,2,3) Response Status <b>O</b>		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>						
C/ 92 SC 92.7.1 Matthew, Brown	P <b>114</b> Applied Micro	L <b>52</b>	# 411	C/ 92 SC 93.2 Matthew, Brown	P <b>113</b> Applied Micro	L1	# 414
Comment Type T	Comment Status X ary list of parameters not measu	rements and	tests. Refer to section		Comment Status X service primitives. PMD:IS_UNIT This section only needs to spec		
02.0.0 motodu.				IIUIII SIGNAL_DETE	J III 93.7.4.		
	o "92.8.3".			 SuggestedRemedy Replace paragraph w	ith "The SIGNAL_OK parameter SIGNAL_DETECT specified in		JNITDATA(SIGNAL_O
SuggestedRemedy Change "Table 92-5" to Make similar changes				 SuggestedRemedy Replace paragraph w	ith "The SIGNAL_OK parameter		JNITDATA(SIGNAL_O
SuggestedRemedy Change "Table 92-5" to Make similar changes Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 92.7.1	throughout Clause 92. Response Status O	L <b>52</b>	# [412	SuggestedRemedy Replace paragraph w indicates the value of	ith "The SIGNAL_OK paramete SIGNAL_DETECT specified in		JNITDATA(SIGNAL_O # <u>415</u>
SuggestedRemedy Change "Table 92-5" to Make similar changes Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 92.7.1 Matthew, Brown Comment Type T	throughout Clause 92. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>114</b> Applied Micro <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b>		# [ <u>412</u> ]	<i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Replace paragraph w indicates the value of <i>Proposed Response</i> <i>Cl</i> <b>92</b> SC <b>92.7.4</b> Matthew, Brown <i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b>	ith "The SIGNAL_OK parameter SIGNAL_DETECT specified in <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b> <i>P</i> <b>117</b>	93.7.4". L18	# 415
SuggestedRemedy Change "Table 92-5" to Make similar changes Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 92.7.1 Matthew, Brown Comment Type T What is the difference SuggestedRemedy	throughout Clause 92. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> 114 Applied Micro	nt?	# 4 <u>12</u>	SuggestedRemedy Replace paragraph w indicates the value of Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 92.7.4 Matthew, Brown Comment Type T PMD service layer is SuggestedRemedy Delete first paragraph	ith "The SIGNAL_OK parameter SIGNAL_DETECT specified in <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b> <i>P</i> <b>117</b> <i>Applied Micro</i> <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> specified in 92.2. Specify SIGN/	93.7.4".	# 415
SuggestedRemedy Change "Table 92-5" to Make similar changes Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 92.7.1 Matthew, Brown Comment Type T What is the difference SuggestedRemedy	throughout Clause 92. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> 114 Applied Micro <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> between a test and measureme hts and tests" to "tests" or "meas	nt?	# 4 <u>12</u>	SuggestedRemedy Replace paragraph w indicates the value of Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 92.7.4 Matthew, Brown Comment Type T PMD service layer is SuggestedRemedy Delete first paragraph	ith "The SIGNAL_OK parameter SIGNAL_DETECT specified in <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b> <i>P</i> <b>117</b> Applied Micro <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> specified in 92.2. Specify SIGN/ n.	93.7.4".	# <u>415</u>

C/ 92 SC 92.7.4 P117 L24 # 416 C/ 93 SC 93.7.9 P156 L3# 419 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type **T** Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Should be more specific which state diagram is being referred to. PMD fault must be defined whether or not MDIO is implemented. SugaestedRemedv SuggestedRemedv Delete "If the MDIO is implemented, ". Change "training state diagram" to "training state diagram in Figure 72-5". Add a new sentence, "If the MDIO is implemented, PMD\_fault shall be mapped to the fault Proposed Response Response Status 0 bit as specified in 45.2.1.2.1." Proposed Response Response Status 0 P118 CI 92 SC 92.7.9 L31 # 417 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro C/ 94 SC 94.3.7 P186 L9 # 420 Comment Type T Comment Status X Matthew, Brown Applied Micro PMD fault must be defined whether or not MDIO is implemented. Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy PMD fault must be defined whether or not MDIO is implemented. Delete "If the MDIO is implemented. ". SuggestedRemedy Add a new sentence, "If the MDIO is implemented, PMD fault shall be mapped to the fault Delete "If the MDIO is implemented. ". bit as specified in 45.2.1.2.1." Add a new sentence, "If the MDIO is implemented, PMD fault shall be mapped to the fault Proposed Response Response Status 0 bit as specified in 45.2.1.2.1." Proposed Response Response Status **O** C/ 92 SC 92.7.10 P118 L37 # 418 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro # 421 C/ 93 SC 93.7.10 P156 L8 Comment Type **T** Comment Status X Matthew, Brown Applied Micro What is meant by "but should not include the assertion of the Comment Type T Comment Status X Global PMD transmit disable function"? First, I assume must be referring to the variable. not the function. Second, I assume it must mean not to consider the variable being set as a What is meant by "but should not include the assertion of the Global PMD transmit disable function"? First, I assume must be referring to the variable. fault. not the function. Second, I assume it must mean not to consider the variable being set as a SuggestedRemedy fault. Change "but should not include the assertion of the Global PMD transmit disable function" SuggestedRemedy to "but should not consider assertion of the Global PMD transmit disable variable as a Change "but should not include the assertion of the Global PMD transmit disable function" transmitter fault". to "but should not consider assertion of the Global PMD transmit disable variable as a Proposed Response Response Status 0 transmitter fault". Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

IEEE P802.3bj D1.1 100 Gb/s Backplane and Copper Cable 2nd Task Force review comments

C/ 93A SC 93A.1.2		L10	# 422	C/ 78 SC 78.1.4	P38	L <b>21</b>	# 425
Li, Mike	Altera			Dawe, Piers	IPtronics		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
The model and equate mellitz_01_0712.pdf	ions for package return-loss ar	nd insertion-loss	were left out in	Make the document e	easier to use with consistent or	dering.	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
,	provided to fill-in the missing i	information			ne reverse order to Table 73-5	Priority Resoluti	ion.
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
				C/ 93 SC 93.1	P149	L <b>7</b>	# 426
C/ 69 SC 69.1.3	P <b>29</b>	L16	# 423	Dawe, Piers	IPtronics		
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E For consistency with F	Comment Status X Fig 80-1,			If the clause has an a beginning, as Clause	essociated annex, that should be 92 does.	pe pointed out to	the reader right at the
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
	BASE-KR, and 40GBASE-KR	4 (Fig 69-1a), as	s optional.	This clause specifies	the 100GBASE-KR4 PMD and		
SuggestedRemedy Mark the FEC for 10G Proposed Response	BASE-KR, and 40GBASE-KR Response Status <b>0</b>	:4 (Fig 69-1a), as	s optional.	This clause specifies associated annexes.	the 100GBASE-KR4 PMD and Annex 93A provides a method B provides an electrical backp	for calculating C	Channel Operating
Mark the FEC for 10G	·	24 (Fig 69-1a), as <i>L</i> 12	s optional. # 424	This clause specifies associated annexes. Margin and Annex 93	Annex 93A provides a method	for calculating C	Channel Operating
Mark the FEC for 10G Proposed Response Cl 73 SC 73.10.7 Dawe, Piers	Response Status O		·	This clause specifies associated annexes. Margin and Annex 93 test points.	Annex 93A provides a method B provides an electrical backp	for calculating C	Channel Operating nodel with additional
Mark the FEC for 10G Proposed Response Cl 73 SC 73.10.7 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	Response Status O P35 IPtronics	L12	·	This clause specifies associated annexes. Margin and Annex 93 test points. Proposed Response	Annex 93A provides a method B provides an electrical backp <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	l for calculating ( lane reference n	Channel Operating
Mark the FEC for 10G Proposed Response Cl 73 SC 73.10.7 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Make the document e	Response Status O P35 IPtronics Comment Status X	L12	·	This clause specifies associated annexes. Margin and Annex 93 test points. Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80.1.5	Annex 93A provides a method B provides an electrical backp <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>45</b>	l for calculating ( lane reference n	Channel Operating nodel with additional
Mark the FEC for 10G Proposed Response Cl 73 SC 73.10.7 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Make the document e SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P35 IPtronics Comment Status X	L12	# 424	This clause specifies associated annexes. Margin and Annex 93 test points. Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80.1.5 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	Annex 93A provides a method B provides an electrical backp <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>45</b> IPtronics	l for calculating ( lane reference n <i>L</i> <b>47</b>	Channel Operating nodel with additional
Mark the FEC for 10G Proposed Response Cl 73 SC 73.10.7 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Make the document e SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P35 IPtronics Comment Status X easier to use with consistent or MDs in the reverse order to Tab	L12	# 424	This clause specifies associated annexes. Margin and Annex 93 test points. Proposed Response CI 80 SC 80.1.5 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Make the document of	Annex 93A provides a method B provides an electrical backp <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>45</b> IPtronics <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b>	l for calculating ( lane reference n <i>L</i> <b>47</b>	Channel Operating nodel with additional
Mark the FEC for 10G Proposed Response Cl 73 SC 73.10.7 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Make the document e SuggestedRemedy Put the PMAs and PM	Response Status O P35 IPtronics Comment Status X easier to use with consistent or MDs in the reverse order to Tab	L12	# 424	This clause specifies associated annexes. Margin and Annex 93 test points. Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80.1.5 Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Make the document of SuggestedRemedy	Annex 93A provides a method B provides an electrical backp <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>45</b> IPtronics <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b>	l for calculating ( lane reference n <i>L</i> <b>47</b> rdering.	Channel Operating model with additional # 427

C/ 92 SC 92.7.1 Dawe, Piers	P <b>116</b> IPtronics	L <b>45</b>	# 428	C/         80         SC         80.2.3         P46         L11         # 431           Dawe, Piers         IPtronics
Comment Type E Table layout. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X	a not on a line bu	itaalf and the table	Comment Type ER Comment Status X 10PASS-TS, 1000BASE-PX10, 1000BASE-PX20, 10GBASE-PR-D, 10GBASE-PR-U and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D already use Reed-Solomon FEC, so we can't call this fourth kind "Th Reed-Solomon FEC" or "Reed-Solomon Forward Error Correction (RS-FEC) sublayer". N
looks better.		s not on a line by		need something distinctive. Also, we recognise RS as Reconciliation Sublayer.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Change its name to 256B/257B FEC, or Clause 91 FEC.
				Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.4 Dawe, Piers	P <b>126</b> IPtronics	L17	# 429	
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			C/         80         SC         80.1.2         P42         L25         # 432           Dawe, Piers         IPtronics
Format for informative	NOTE 2-14, not Annex 92A. Also, it	t is useful inform:	ation in the longer term	Comment Type ER Comment Status X
SuggestedRemedy	ng NOTE See style guide, o		-	Deleting the objectives doesn't avoid all work. We need to tell the reader that 40/100G is rated at 10^12 BER. Some clauses specifically refer to the objectives, e.g. "It is possible for a poor quality link to provide sufficient light for a SIGNAL_DETECT = OK indication ar still not meet the 10^12 BER objective."
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			SuggestedRemedy
C/ 80 SC 80.3.3.4.1 Dawe, Piers	IPtronics	L23	# 430	If we want to go without the long list and don't want to open three more clauses, have a short subclause: 80.1.2 BER objective It is an objective of 40 Gigabit and 100 Gigabit Ethernet to provide a bit error ratio (BER) better than or equal to 10^-12 at the MAC/PLS service interface.
Comment Type E The tx_mode paramete	Comment Status X r doesn't need eight values a	at most interfaces		Proposed Response Response Status <b>O</b>
SuggestedRemedy Change "one of eight v	alues" to "one of up to eight v	values".		C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.8 P128 L30 # 433
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Dawe, Piers IPtronics
				Comment Type         ER         Comment Status         X           Several editorials, including that this section needs subheadings for each jitter type, and should reference the transmitter specs in the table not repeat them.
				SuggestedRemedy See email.

C/ <b>93</b> SC <b>93.8</b> Dawe, Piers	P <b>156</b> IPtronics	L <b>40</b>	# 434	C/ 69 SC 69.1.3 Dawe, Piers	3 P30 IPtronics	L <b>45</b>	# 436
Comment Type ER "93.8 100GBASE-KR4 of 93.8.1 Transmitter char This sounds like a datas house style of 100GE u 86.7 PMD to MDI specia 86.7.1 Transmitter optic 52.5 PMD to MDI optica 52.5.1 10GBASE-S tran 38.3 PMD to MDI optica	Comment Status X electrical characteristics acteristics" sheet. Please write in norma nless improving on it. Comp ications for 40GBASE-SR4 al specifications l specifications for 10GBASI smitter optical specifications I specifications for 1000BAS	pare e.g. or 100GBASE-\$ E-S	5 5	Comment Type T Not so fast! It's sti on. As the channe places, it may be c SuggestedRemedy Reinstate item f bu say MDIs for types X, Y, Z have four. f) The MDI for 1000	IPtronics <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> If the case that a 2-lane 10GBA: I or medium isn't normative for of onvenient to attach this requirer t change "as specified in" to "of A, B, C have one pair/differenti No need for clause numbers: DBASE-KX and 10GBASE-KR u le 10GBASE-KX4, 40GBASE-K	blder BPE, and M ment to the MDI. ". Add the new P al electrical path i ses one pair of el	DI is shown in other MD types. Rework to n each direction while ectrical connections fo
38.3.1 Transmitter optic and plenty more.	al specifications			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		ui pails.
•	rical specifications lectrical specifications ifications d the other PMD clauses.				IPtronics Comment Status X itch function shall connect the N		# 437
Proposed Response           21         80         SC 80.4	P50	L <b>20</b>	# 435	SuggestedRemedy " the Receive Sw PMD that is preser	nd 100GBASE-CR4 if the PHY itch function shall connect the N t and has Auto-Negotiation ena	/IDI to and to th	ne receive path of each
Dawe, Piers Comment Type ER Bringing this draft in line SuggestedRemedy Delete "Note that" twice	IPtronics Comment Status X with 802.3bh/D3.2 (soon to	be 802.3-2012	).	Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80.1. Dawe, Piers	IPtronics	L48	# 438
Update "an amendment then to IEEE Std 802.3- Proposed Response	of IEEE Std 802.3-201X. Di 2012 when available. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	aft D3.1" on pa	ge 1 line 32 to D3.2,	Clause 94, it would SuggestedRemedy Change 2/4-level p each time (only 8 in	,		
				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		

Cl 92 SC 92.8.4.2 Dawe, Piers	2.3 P132 IPtronics	L <b>40</b>	# 439	C/ 81 SC 81.3a.1 Dawe, Piers	P <b>60</b> IPtronics	L <b>2</b>	# 442
Comment Type <b>T</b> The common mode s	Comment Status X should be terminated too. Also re 92-6, Interference tolerance		ns are not shown e.g.	Comment Type <b>T</b> Wrong AN clause! SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy		mania at a dissider 50	) alara la a da " - A dal	Change 28.2.6.1.1 to	the correct reference.		
	in 100 ohm differentially." to "te erminations to figures.	erminated with 50	onm loads Add	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						
				C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.7	P <b>97</b>	L <b>41</b>	# 443
CI 80 SC 80.3.3.5		L <b>39</b>	# 440	Dawe, Piers	IPtronics		
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X				ne error correction capability, p des. Also, while a code may b		
	fied by combining IS_RX_MOD		ld be		implementation must do.		inclining, the spee
IS_RX_MODE.indica	fied by combining IS_RX_MOE tion) and IS_SIGNAL.indication		ld be				mething, the spee
IS_RX_MODE.indica			ld be	needs to say what an SuggestedRemedy Add text giving the en		e codes, and the	
IS_RX_MODE.indica SuggestedRemedy ?			ld be	needs to say what an SuggestedRemedy Add text giving the en	implementation must do. or detection capability of thes	e codes, and the	
IS_RX_MODE.indica SuggestedRemedy ? Proposed Response	tion) and IS_SIGNAL.indication		ld be # [441	needs to say what an SuggestedRemedy Add text giving the er error correction and d	implementation must do. For detection capability of thes etection capability of impleme	e codes, and the	
IS_RX_MODE.indica SuggestedRemedy ? Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80.3.2	tion) and IS_SIGNAL.indication	n?		needs to say what an SuggestedRemedy Add text giving the er error correction and d	implementation must do. For detection capability of thes etection capability of impleme	e codes, and the	
IS_RX_MODE.indica SuggestedRemedy ? Proposed Response CI 80 SC 80.3.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T	tion) and IS_SIGNAL.indication Response Status <b>O</b> P49 IPtronics Comment Status <b>X</b> (FEC sublayer is mandatory for	n? L16	# [441	needs to say what an SuggestedRemedy Add text giving the er error correction and d	implementation must do. For detection capability of thes etection capability of impleme	e codes, and the	
IS_RX_MODE.indica SuggestedRemedy ? Proposed Response C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T The 256b/257b PCS/ for note 1 (compare F	tion) and IS_SIGNAL.indication Response Status <b>O</b> P49 IPtronics Comment Status <b>X</b> (FEC sublayer is mandatory for	n? L16	# [441	needs to say what an SuggestedRemedy Add text giving the er error correction and d	implementation must do. For detection capability of thes etection capability of impleme	e codes, and the	
IS_RX_MODE.indica SuggestedRemedy ? Proposed Response C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 Dawe, Piers Comment Type T The 256b/257b PCS/	tion) and IS_SIGNAL.indication Response Status <b>O</b> P49 IPtronics Comment Status <b>X</b> /FEC sublayer is mandatory for Figure 80-5a).	n? L16	# [441	needs to say what an SuggestedRemedy Add text giving the er error correction and d	implementation must do. For detection capability of thes etection capability of impleme	e codes, and the	

445

CI 78	SC 78.5	P <b>38</b>	L <b>44</b>	#	444
Dawe, Piers	S	IPtronic	S		

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X** 

This says "For PHYs with an operating speed of 100Gb/s (that implement EEE) two modes of LPI operation are supported." So it's both or nothing.

Implementing traditional EEE in a PHY divided by a CAUI involves extra pattern-recognition circuitry that would consume extra power. Gaining lock with the FEC-encoded lanes takes time even with rapid algnment markers. Turning transmitters and receivers with EQ on and off rapidly adds to the signal integrity challenge. The energy/bit in 100G PHYs is vastly less than 10/100/1000 Meg PHYs but there is still energy to be saved above the MAC. In a high-speed core network that never really goes quiet, energy would have to be saved in very short time slots. For other networks that do go truly quiet at night, the link can be powered down by traditional means whether EEE is present or not.

### SuggestedRemedy

Have three ability choices: no EEE, fast EEE only or capable of both EEE modes. Adjust Table 45-190, EEE advertisement register, and Table 45–191, EEE link partner ability, to manage this.

Consider quantitatively (million tons of CO2) whether the slow EEE mode is worththile, particularly for existing PHY types where fast EEE will be added and the link can be shut down above the MAC for long quiet periods anyway.

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

CI 78	SC	78.5	P <b>38</b>	L <b>44</b>	#
Dawe, Pie	ers		IPtronics		
Comment Chan		TR	Comment Status X		

For PHYs with an operating speed of 100 Gb/s (that implement EEE) two modes of LPI operation are supported.

#### SuggestedRemedy

### То

PHYs with an operating speed of 100 Gb/s that implement EEE support the "fast wake" mode of LPI operation and may additionally support the "normal wake" mode. The two modes are not used simultaneously.

Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

CI 92	SC 9	2.8.3	P120	L19	# 446
Dawe, Pie	ers		IPtronics		
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
	specs for non to diff		n-mode output return loss an	nd output mode o	conversion loss (from
Suggeste	dRemedy	,			
comm	non to diff	erential)	-mode output return loss and ifiniBand FDR specs, scaled		,
Proposed	Respons	e	Response Status O		
CI 92	SC 9	2.8.3	P120	L16	# 447
Dawe, Pie	ers		IPtronics		
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status X		
Simpl	y copying ent. Ther	g KR4 wo e are rea	tage limit for a CR4 transmitt ould be capricious and irratior I DC blocking capacitors in th much charge or break down	hal because the he cable so any	circumstances are voltage that doesn't

cause them to hold too much charge or break down is OK - the receive silicon doesn't have to work with this voltage, it chooses its own. But it makes more sense to define the range of single-ended voltages, as done in nPPI which has the same QSFP connector, and XLAUI, and a typical silicon implementation will support two or three of these. The single-ended voltage allows for a range of bias voltages and an allowance for signal swing. Compare Table 83A-1 and Table 86A-1.

#### SuggestedRemedy

Change Common-mode voltage limits 72.7.1.4 1.9 V to Single ended output voltage min -0.3, max 4 V Proposed Response Response Status **O** 

	P <b>39</b>	L <b>53</b>	# 448	C/ 92 SC 92.8.3	8 P129	L <b>8</b>	# 450
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics			Dawe, Piers	IPtronics		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
optional. So the PM/	nal, and if there is managemer A Egress AUI Stop Enable (PE) control variable does exist.			that. We also expec	del, RJrms is expected to be the that RJ+DJ=TJ. These things applated from the specification	s are compatible	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
	ns of the variables being true o ping tables. Applies to 81.3a.2				n purposes, BERn are either si values as suggested are often		the specification
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 Dawe, Piers	P <b>43</b> IPtronics	L <b>49</b>	# 449	C/ 92 SC 92.8.3. Dawe, Piers	4 P126 IPtronics	L17	# 451
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
PMD so is never pres 256b/257b block enc It would be better to u 1.4.51 100GBASE-R	64B/66B block encoding: this i sent on the line (unlike with KR oding. use language more like the defi : An IEEE 802.3 family of Phys ned in Clause 82 for 100 Gb/s o	FEC which is opt initions section: ical Layer devices	tional). They use s using the physical	about its maximum be a recommendation SuggestedRemedy Change The maximum inserto		one is exceeding	that. I think this has
Change to:					nmended insertion loss		
40GBASE-R or 100G Clause 82 Physical C	BASE-R represents a family of Coding Sublayer for 40 Gb/s or el pulse amplitude modulation (	100 Gb/s operation	on over multiple PCS	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
	GBASE-R Physical Layer devic			Cl 92 SC 92.10.9 Dawe, Piers	9.3 P143 IPtronics	L <b>27</b>	# 452
coding sublayer defir	: An IEEE 802.3 family of Phys	cases the transco	oding and FEC of	Comment Type <b>TR</b> Is "common-mode c SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X onversion loss" a through loss?	?	
Clause 91 for 100 G		102 3 Clause 82 5					
Or we could revisit th	ed in Clause 82, and in some o b/s operation. (See IEEE Std 8 he PHY names, but it seems OF % overhead (64B/66B, KR FEC	K to have the thre	e coding schemes	If so, add "common- Proposed Response	mode conversion return loss" s <i>Response Status</i> <b>0</b>	spec.	

C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.2 Dawe, Piers	P <b>99</b> IPtronics	L <b>42</b>	# 453	C/ 82 SC 82. Dawe, Piers	3.1. P72 IPtronics	L <b>25</b>	# 456
Comment Type <b>TR</b> The medium is allowed SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X to mix the lanes up, that's no	error. See 86.6	Lane assignments		ble to switch EEE on or off. G, fast wake should be the first k	ind of EEE. So, ne	eed second variable to
Delete "due to connection	on errors in the underlying me	edium".		SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Replace this vari	able and bit with two, one to ena node, and a second to enable the		Il enable the "slow" or
C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 Dawe, Piers	P48 IPtronics	L15	# 454	Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
Comment Type <b>TR</b> KR FEC for 100GBASE	Comment Status X -CR10 remains optional.			C/ 85 SC 85. Dawe, Piers	1 P87 IPtronics	L <b>33</b>	# 457
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type T	R Comment Status X		
NOTE 1—CONDITIONA							
to NOTE 1—CONDITION/ Same in Figure 80-4 an In figures 81-1 and 82-1 NOTE 3—CONDITION/	AL, OPTIONAL OR OMITTED Id Figure 80-5. 1, leave note 1 as base spect AL, OPTIONAL OR OMITTED	for 40G, create r	note 3 for 100G FEC:	<ol> <li>We should be Wordsmithing at SuggestedRemedy Change</li> </ol>	fast mode EEE, it doesn't conce able to give a more specific refe tempt below: there may be bette	erence, to slow mo r official names for	ode LPI. r fast and slow modes
to NOTE 1—CONDITION/ Same in Figure 80-4 an In figures 81-1 and 82-1 NOTE 3—CONDITION/	AL, OPTIONAL OR OMITTEL ad Figure 80-5. 1, leave note 1 as base spec f	for 40G, create r	note 3 for 100G FEC:	3. We should be Wordsmithing at SuggestedRemedy Change A 100GBASE-CF	able to give a more specific refe tempt below: there may be bette R10 PHY with the optional Energ he Low Power Idle (LPI) mode to	erence, to slow mo r official names for y Efficient Etherne	ode LPI. r fast and slow modes et (EEE) capability ma
to NOTE 1—CONDITION/ Same in Figure 80-4 and In figures 81-1 and 82-1 NOTE 3—CONDITION/ Proposed Response	AL, OPTIONAL OR OMITTED Id Figure 80-5. 1, leave note 1 as base spect AL, OPTIONAL OR OMITTED	for 40G, create r	note 3 for 100G FEC:	3. We should be Wordsmithing at SuggestedRemedy Change A 100GBASE-Cf optionally enter t link utilization (se to A 100GBASE-Cf capability may op	e able to give a more specific refe tempt below: there may be bette R10 PHY with the optional Energ he Low Power Idle (LPI) mode to be Clause 78). R10 PMD with the Energy Efficie botionally enter the slow Low Pow	erence, to slow mo r official names for by Efficient Etherne conserve energy nt Ethernet (EEE)	ode LPI. r fast and slow modes et (EEE) capability ma during periods of low slow mode optional
to NOTE 1—CONDITION/ Same in Figure 80-4 and In figures 81-1 and 82-1 NOTE 3—CONDITION/ Proposed Response C/ 82 SC 82.2.8a Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR "The CD field may als of the PCS." Not!	AL, OPTIONAL OR OMITTEL ad Figure 80-5. 1, leave note 1 as base spect AL, OPTIONAL OR OMITTEL <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>67</b>	for 40G, create r D DEPENDING ( <i>L</i> 5	mote 3 for 100G FEC: ON PHY TYPE # 455 ayer to infer the state	3. We should be Wordsmithing at SuggestedRemedy Change A 100GBASE-Cf optionally enter t link utilization (se to A 100GBASE-Cf capability may op	e able to give a more specific refe tempt below: there may be bette R10 PHY with the optional Energ he Low Power Idle (LPI) mode to be Clause 78). R10 PMD with the Energy Efficie btionally enter the slow Low Pow low link utilization (see 78.x).	erence, to slow mo r official names for by Efficient Etherne conserve energy nt Ethernet (EEE)	ode LPI. r fast and slow modes et (EEE) capability ma during periods of low slow mode optional
to NOTE 1—CONDITION/ Same in Figure 80-4 and In figures 81-1 and 82-1 NOTE 3—CONDITION/ Proposed Response C/ 82 SC 82.2.8a Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR "The CD field may als of the PCS." Not! If a PMA could do under SuggestedRemedy	AL, OPTIONAL OR OMITTED d Figure 80-5. 1, leave note 1 as base spect AL, OPTIONAL OR OMITTED <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> P67 IPtronics <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> so be used by a detached tra	for 40G, create r D DEPENDING of <i>L</i> 5 ansmit PMA suble PCS. Far too cor	note 3 for 100G FEC: DN PHY TYPE # 455 ayer to infer the state nplicated.	3. We should be Wordsmithing at SuggestedRemedy Change A 100GBASE-CF optionally enter t link utilization (se to A 100GBASE-CF capability may op during periods of	a able to give a more specific refe tempt below: there may be bette R10 PHY with the optional Energ he Low Power Idle (LPI) mode to be Clause 78). R10 PMD with the Energy Efficie bionally enter the slow Low Pow low link utilization (see 78.x).	erence, to slow mo r official names for by Efficient Etherne conserve energy nt Ethernet (EEE)	ode LPI. r fast and slow modes et (EEE) capability ma during periods of low slow mode optional

A PMD can't generate a pattern. from the adjacent PMA, which m What alert pattern do we use for SuggestedRemedy Change If the optional Energy Efficient E when tx_mode is set to ALERT, hexadecimal 0xFF00. to If the optional Energy Efficient E Clause 78) then when the adjace bit pattern, hexadecimal 0xFF00	ight get it from the 6 EEE fast mode? thernet (EEE) capal the PMD will transm thernet (EEE) slow ent PMA sets tx_mo	Clause 91 PCS/ bility is supported nit a repeating 16 mode capability ode to ALERT, it	FEC. d (see Clause 78) then 6-bit pattern, is supported (see sends a repeating 16-	Common- Proposed Res Cl 85 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ Changing complexit this is neo SuggestedRe	bec items. medy for common- mode Conve ponse SC 85.7.2 e TR tap weights y, signal inte- ressary or wo	IPtronics <i>Comment Status</i> X mode return loss, mode conversion Noise, ILD. Consider a <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 88 IPtronics <i>Comment Status</i> X quickly and repeatedly and tu grity or power consumption.	dding ILDrms.	# 461
A PMD can't generate a pattern. from the adjacent PMA, which m What alert pattern do we use for SuggestedRemedy Change If the optional Energy Efficient E when tx_mode is set to ALERT, hexadecimal 0xFF00. to If the optional Energy Efficient E Clause 78) then when the adjace bit pattern, hexadecimal 0xFF00 Proposed Response Respon	It doesn't even hav ight get it from the ( EEE fast mode? thernet (EEE) capal the PMD will transm thernet (EEE) slow f ent PMA sets tx_mo , to the PMD, which mse Status <b>O</b> <b>P88</b>	Clause 91 PCS/i bility is supporten nit a repeating 16 mode capability ode to ALERT, it the PMD transn	FEC. d (see Clause 78) then 6-bit pattern, is supported (see sends a repeating 16- nits.	Missing s SuggestedRe Add rows Common- Proposed Res C/ 85 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ Changing complexit this is neo SuggestedRe	bec items. medy for common- mode Conve ponse SC 85.7.2 e TR tap weights y, signal inte- ressary or wo	mode return loss, mode conv ersion Noise, ILD. Consider a <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> 88 IPtronics <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> quickly and repeatedly and tu grity or power consumption.	dding ILDrms.	# 461
from the adjacent PMA, which m What alert pattern do we use for SuggestedRemedy Change If the optional Energy Efficient E when tx_mode is set to ALERT, hexadecimal 0xFF00. to If the optional Energy Efficient E Clause 78) then when the adjace bit pattern, hexadecimal 0xFF00 Proposed Response Respon	thernet (EEE) capal thernet (EEE) capal the PMD will transm thernet (EEE) slow ent PMA sets tx_mo , to the PMD, which nse Status <b>O</b>	Clause 91 PCS/i bility is supporten nit a repeating 16 mode capability ode to ALERT, it the PMD transn	FEC. d (see Clause 78) then 6-bit pattern, is supported (see sends a repeating 16- nits.	SuggestedRe Add rows Common- Proposed Res Cl 85 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ Changing complexit this is nec SuggestedRe	medy for common- mode Conve ponse SC 85.7.2 e TR tap weights y, signal inter ressary or wo	Presion Noise, ILD. Consider a Response Status <b>O</b> P88 IPtronics Comment Status <b>X</b> quickly and repeatedly and tu grity or power consumption.	dding ILDrms.	# 461
Change If the optional Energy Efficient E when tx_mode is set to ALERT, hexadecimal 0xFF00. to If the optional Energy Efficient E Clause 78) then when the adjace bit pattern, hexadecimal 0xFF00 Proposed Response Respon Respon	thernet (EEE) capal the PMD will transm thernet (EEE) slow f ent PMA sets tx_mo , to the PMD, which nse Status <b>O</b> P88	nit a repeating 16 mode capability ode to ALERT, it the PMD transn	6-bit pattern, is supported (see sends a repeating 16- nits.	Common- Proposed Res Cl 85 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ Changing complexit this is neo SuggestedRe	mode Conve ponse SC 85.7.2 e TR tap weights y, signal inte ressary or wo	Presion Noise, ILD. Consider a Response Status <b>O</b> P88 IPtronics Comment Status <b>X</b> quickly and repeatedly and tu grity or power consumption.	dding ILDrms.	# 461
If the optional Energy Efficient E when tx_mode is set to ALERT, hexadecimal 0xFF00. to If the optional Energy Efficient E Clause 78) then when the adjace bit pattern, hexadecimal 0xFF00 Proposed Response Respon	the PMD will transmether PMD will transmether (EEE) slow ent PMA sets tx_mo , to the PMD, which <i>nse Status</i> <b>O</b> P88	nit a repeating 16 mode capability ode to ALERT, it the PMD transn	6-bit pattern, is supported (see sends a repeating 16- nits.	Cl 85 Dawe, Piers Comment Typ Changing complexit this is nec SuggestedRe	EC 85.7.2 e TR tap weights y, signal inte essary or wo	P88 IPtronics <i>Comment Status</i> X quickly and repeatedly and tu grity or power consumption.	rning up the volu	ume is not good for
If the optional Energy Efficient E Clause 78) then when the adjace bit pattern, hexadecimal 0xFF00 Proposed Response Respon	ent PMA sets tx_mo , to the PMD, which nse Status <b>O</b> P88	ode to ALERT, it the PMD transn	sends a repeating 16- nits.	Dawe, Piers Comment Typ Changing complexit this is nec SuggestedRe	e <b>TR</b> tap weights y, signal inter sessary or we	IPtronics Comment Status X quickly and repeatedly and tu grity or power consumption.	rning up the volu	ume is not good for
Proposed Response Response	nse Status O P88			Changing complexit this is nec SuggestedRe	tap weights y, signal inte essary or wo	quickly and repeatedly and tu grity or power consumption.		
C/ 85 SC 85.7.4	P88	L <b>2</b> 1	# 459	complexit this is nec SuggestedRe	y, signal inte essary or wo	grity or power consumption.		
		L <b>21</b>	# 459		medy			
				Do the an	,	e is ALERT, the transmitter e	nualizer tans are	set to the preset stat
omment Type <b>TR</b> Comm	nent Status X				n 85.8.3.3.1	-	qualizer laps are	set to the preset stat
re "rx_mode shall be set to QUIE the receiver input that is the outp parameters of both interference a square wave pattern with a per	out of a channel that tolerance test channer	t satisfies the rec nels defined in 72	quirements of all the 2.7.2.1 when driven by	Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status O		
amplitude of 720 mV.":		ais and peak-to-	peak unerential output	CI 85	SC 85.7.4	P <b>88</b>	L <b>20</b>	# 462
This is only a PMD, not a test lab	o!			Dawe, Piers		IPtronics		
ggestedRemedy				Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status X		
See e.g. Table 86-5, SIGNAL_D truth table.	ETECT value defini	ition, for an exam	nple of a signal detect	tx_mode =	= SLEEP, rx_	otion of a data stream contain _mode shall be set to QUIET"	:	
roposed Response Respon	nse Status <b>O</b>			This is on	ly a PMD. It	deosn't even have a clock, le	t alone the abilit	y to parse RAMs.
				SuggestedRe	-			
						Clause 91 PCS/FEC or Clau mitive down the stack to the F		parses the RAMs and
				Proposed Res	ponse	Response Status 0		

C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.6 Cideciyan, Roy	<b>р 95</b> IBM	L <b>51</b>	# 463	<i>Cl</i> <b>91</b> <i>SC</i> <b>91.5.2.7</b> Cideciyan, Roy	Р <b>98</b> ІВМ	L 12	# 466
<i>Comment Type</i> <b>T</b> am_txmapped<1284: Therefore, the notatic	Comment Status X 1280> contains 5 bits wherea on is not very clear.	as 0x05 and 0x1A	contain 8 bits.	Comment Type ER Typographical error SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Replace 0x05 by 001	01 and 0x1A by 11010			Replace "whose the coeffi		ients"	
Proposed Response	Response Status W specify CommentType. Set to	о Т.]		Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		
C/ <b>91</b> SC <b>91.5.2.6</b> Cideciyan, Roy	в Р IBM	L	# 464	C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.7 Cideciyan, Roy	Р <b>98</b> IBM	L <b>23</b>	# 467
Comment Type ER Title of subclause is " is "Alignment marker	Comment Status X Alignment mapping and inse mapping and insertion"	rtion" whereas title	of subclause 91.5.3.7	Missing blank SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X		
				Insert blank between " is	s transmitted last." and "T	he first bit"	
Both subclauses sho or "Alignment marker	uld have the same title, i.e., e mapping and insertion". My j title "Alignment marker mapp	preference is that	both subclauses have		Response Status <b>O</b>		
Both subclauses sho or "Alignment marker the more descriptive		preference is that	both subclauses have			L10	# 468
Both subclauses show or "Alignment marker the more descriptive Proposed Response	mapping and insertion". My j title "Alignment marker mapp <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	preference is that	both subclauses have	Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.3 Cideciyan, Roy Comment Type ER 64-bytes should not be on	Response Status O P101 IBM Comment Status X		
Both subclauses show or "Alignment marker the more descriptive Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.2.7 Cideciyan, Roy Comment Type ER	mapping and insertion". My p title "Alignment marker mapp <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	preference is that ing and insertion".	both subclauses have	Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.3 Cideciyan, Roy Comment Type ER	Response Status O P101 IBM Comment Status X ne word. It is not used as a		
Both subclauses shot or "Alignment marker the more descriptive Proposed Response C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.7 Cideciyan, Roy Comment Type ER Typographical error	mapping and insertion". My p title "Alignment marker mapp <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>98</b> IBM <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b>	preference is that ing and insertion".	both subclauses have	Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.3 Cideciyan, Roy Comment Type ER 64-bytes should not be on SuggestedRemedy Replace "64-bytes" by "64	Response Status O P101 IBM Comment Status X ne word. It is not used as a		
Both subclauses show or "Alignment marker the more descriptive Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.2.7 Cideciyan, Roy Comment Type ER Typographical error SuggestedRemedy Replace "polynomina	mapping and insertion". My p title "Alignment marker mapp <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <i>P</i> <b>98</b> IBM <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b>	preference is that ing and insertion".	both subclauses have	Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.3 Cideciyan, Roy Comment Type ER 64-bytes should not be on SuggestedRemedy Replace "64-bytes" by "64	Response Status O P101 IBM Comment Status X ne word. It is not used as a 4 bytes".		
Both subclauses show or "Alignment marker the more descriptive Proposed Response 2/ 91 SC 91.5.2.7 Edeciyan, Roy Comment Type ER Typographical error SuggestedRemedy Replace "polynomina	mapping and insertion". My p title "Alignment marker mapp <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>P98</b> IBM <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> I" by "polynomial"	preference is that ing and insertion".	both subclauses have	Proposed ResponseCl 91SC 91.5.3.3Cideciyan, RoyComment TypeER64-bytes should not be onSuggestedRemedyReplace "64-bytes" by "64Proposed ResponseCl 91SC 91.5.4.2.1Cideciyan, Roy	Response Status O P101 IBM Comment Status X ne word. It is not used as a 4 bytes". Response Status O P105	an adjective in thi	is sentence.
or "Alignment marker the more descriptive Proposed Response Cl 91 SC 91.5.2.7 Cideciyan, Roy Comment Type ER Typographical error SuggestedRemedy	mapping and insertion". My p title "Alignment marker mapp <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b> <b>P98</b> IBM <i>Comment Status</i> <b>X</b> I" by "polynomial"	preference is that ing and insertion".	both subclauses have	Proposed ResponseCl 91SC 91.5.3.3Cideciyan, RoyComment TypeER64-bytes should not be onSuggestedRemedyReplace "64-bytes" by "64Proposed ResponseCl 91SC 91.5.4.2.1Cideciyan, RoyComment TypeER	Response Status O P101 IBM Comment Status X ne word. It is not used as a 4 bytes". Response Status O P105 IBM Comment Status X	an adjective in thi	is sentence.

C/ <b>91</b> SC <b>91.1.2</b> Cideciyan, Roy	Р <b>91</b> ІВМ	L <b>29</b>	# 470	C/ 91 SC 91.5.2. Cideciyan, Roy	5 <b>P96</b> IBM	L <b>47</b>	# 473
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X atory, i.e., not conditional base	ed on PHY type.		Comment Type TR	Comment Status X	ns 4 control block	s not correct.
SuggestedRemedy Delete "NOTE 1-CON sublayers RS-FEC and Proposed Response	DITIONAL BASED ON PHY T d AN. <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	YPE" and omit s	superscript "1" in	SuggestedRemedy Replace header bit ( Proposed Response	first bit) of transcoded block by Response Status <b>O</b>	0.	
/ <b>91</b> SC <b>91.5.2.5</b> ideciyan, Roy	Р <b>95</b> ІВМ	L <b>21</b>	# 471	C/ <b>91</b> SC <b>91.5.2.</b> Cideciyan, Roy	8 <i>P</i> 99 IBM	L <b>9</b>	# 474
is part of the transcode uggestedRemedy Replace sentence "Se	veral examples that illustrate ethe transcoding process with	in Figure 91-3.	." by "Several	Comment Type TR There is no scramble SuggestedRemedy Replace "Once the c and encoded," Proposed Response	Comment Status X er at Tx of RS-FEC. lata is scrambled and encoded, <i>Response Status</i> <b>O</b>	" by "Once the	e data is transcoded
roposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			C/ <b>91</b> SC <b>91.5.3.</b> Cideciyan, Roy	3 <i>P</i> 101 IBM	L10	# 475
C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.6 Sideciyan, Roy	P <b>95</b> IBM Comment Status <b>X</b>	L <b>40</b>	# 472		Comment Status X se clarity and change from pas s. Minimum packet size, I belie		
Commont Tuno TD				SuggestedRemedy "This will cause the I	PCS to discard all frames 64 by	tes and larger th	
Comment Type <b>TR</b> j should run from 0 to SuggestedRemedy Given i=0, j=0 to 4, an				within the uncorrecta			at are fully or partial

C/ <b>91</b> SC <b>91.5.3.4</b> Cideciyan, Roy	<i>Р</i> <b>101</b> ІВМ	L17	# 476	C/ <b>91</b> SC <b>91.5.3.7</b> Cideciyan, Roy	Р <b>102</b> ІВМ	L16	# 480
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X d prior to transcoding at Rx. scrambling and transcoding." t	ov " prior to tr	anscodina."	There may be errors at the F Section 91.5.2.6 does not ha 91.5.3.7			
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>		J	SuggestedRemedy In Section 91.5.2.6 replace a In Section 91.5.3.7 replace a			
C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.5 Cideciyan, Roy	Р <b>101</b> IBM	L <b>25</b>	# 477	Proposed Response Re	sponse Status <b>O</b>		
Comment Type TR Notation not correct	Comment Status X			C/ 93 SC 93B Cideciyan, Roy	Р <b>220</b> IBM	L <b>35</b>	# 481
S <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Replace "rx_rxcoded<4	:0>" by "rx_xcoded<4:0>".			Comment Type TR Co Incorrect test point in Table 9	omment Status X 93B-1		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedRemedy Replace "TP1 to TP1" by "Tf	P0 to TP1"		
C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.6 Cideciyan, Roy	Р <b>102</b> ІВМ	L9	# 478	Proposed Response Re	sponse Status O		
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Encoding and scrambli	Comment Status X ng is not performed at Rx.			CI 93 SC 93.9 Dawe, Piers	P164 IPtronics	L <b>6</b>	# 482
SuggestedRemedy Replace "Once the data decoded and transcode	a is encoded and scrambled, i	t shall" by "C	once the data is	Comment Type E Co This time, the channel is nor	omment Status X mative.		lat
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			SuggestedRemedy Change "Channel characteri	stics" to "Channel spec	ifications"	
C/ <b>91</b> SC <b>91.5.3.7</b> Cideciyan, Roy	Р <b>102</b> ІВМ	L <b>27</b>	# 479	Proposed Response Re	sponse Status <b>O</b>		
Comment Type <b>TR</b> j runs from 0 to 4	Comment Status X						
S <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Given i=0 to 3, j=0 to 4,	and x=i+4j, the						
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 92A SC 92A.4 Dawe, Piers	P208 IPtronics	L <b>41</b>	# 483	C/ 92A Dawe, Piers	SC 92A.4	P <b>208</b> IPtronics	L35	# 486
Comment Type E	Comment Status X		late	Comment T		Comment Status X		
	eally useful information; by populed electrical channel, which als			This ca SuggestedF		, because ILPCBmax is never	used except wh	nen it is halved.
	luring regular text or informative ustrating the limits of equations		A–2.	Redefin	e ILPCBmax t	o be half what it is. Change mum insertion loss		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			is one h Change		mum insertion loss I receiver PCB		
C/ 92A SC 92A.8 Dawe, Piers	P211 IPtronics	L37	# 484	four tim		eceiver PCB he editor's note.		
	Comment Status X specified using the individual N dividual NEXT losses, it's derive			Proposed R		Response Status <b>O</b>		
SuggestedRemedy Change "specified usi	ng" to "derived from", twice.			CI 93B Dawe, Piers	SC 93B	P220 IPtronics	L <b>10</b>	# 487
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			Comment T This dia		Comment Status X	pments, but we	don't know if people
C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.1	P162	L <b>29</b>	# 485			board methodology round thes	e connectors, c	or not, or both ways.
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics			SuggestedF				
Comment Type <b>T</b> The transmitter test five	Comment Status X xture and receiver test fixture a	re not separate	<i>late</i> items, because an IC's	are pred	cisely at the int	not determine whether the test erface between the connector actrical path in a compliance bo	and the printed	l circuit board, or are
receiver has to be test Crosstalk in the test fi This is the kind of reas	ted with its outputs running, an xture should be controlled, and son why a "Definitions of electr good idea, so this stuff can be	d they have to b we probably ne cal parameters	be terminated. Bed a spec for it. and measurement	Proposed R	Pesponse	Response Status <b>O</b>		
SuggestedRemedy								
Combine the sections	for transmitter test fixture and	receiver test fix	ture.					

Proposed Response Response Status **0** 

Cl 93 SC 93.8.3 Dawe, Piers	P <b>163</b> IPtronics	L <b>47</b>	# 488	<i>Cl</i> <b>93</b> Dawe, Pier	SC 93.8.1	P <b>156</b> IPtronics	L <b>44</b>	# 490		
	Comment Status X ifications are defined as if the DO P5. That's in the channel, not th		<i>late</i> citor is implemented	Make	Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>X</b> Make the main Tx and Rx tables normative, as is normal for a PMD clau					
92.10 Cable assem are AC coupled." to signal line."	e to within 93.9 Channel characte bly characteristics. In 92.8.4.5, e.g. "The cable assembly conta	change "The 100	GBASE-CR4 receivers	to Transr	le nitter characte	ristics measured at TP0 are su ristics shall meet specification: 3.2.				
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O				
C/ 93 SC 93.1 Dawe, Piers	P149 IPtronics	L12	# 489	<i>CI</i> <b>93</b> Dawe, Pier	SC 93.8.1	P <b>157</b> IPtronics	L17	# 491		
	se specifies the PMD, not the PI		late	Needs		Comment Status X mon-mode output return loss a al).	nd output mode	<i>la</i> conversion loss (from		
The table says that The only relevant th this PMD and the C If you want somethi	Clause 91 PCS/FEC is quite out the Clause 91 PCS/FEC is requ ing that should be here is a rem lause 91 PCS/FEC. ng normative about PMAs, go to	iired. inder not to put a		SuggestedRemedy Add specs for common-mode output return loss and output mode conversion loss (from common to differential). For example, use the InfiniBand FDR specs, scaled for signalling rate and converted from TP2 specs to TP0 specs.						
SuggestedRemedy Delete these three ' Delete the third bull Simplify: replace lin	et, it's irrelevant.			Proposed		Response Status <b>O</b>				
NOTEWhile 4-land PMA should not be	e PMA(s) may be used to conne used below the RS-FEC. ther PMD clauses with the same		e RS-FEC, a 10-lane	<i>CI</i> <b>93</b> Dawe, Pier	SC <b>93.8.1.</b> rs	6 P160 IPtronics	L <b>7</b>	# 492		
Proposed Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			clause / 92.8. usable	ection references s should either 3.3 are long ar a, and because	Comment Status X ces 85.8.3.3 while 92.8.3.3 has r refer to each other or all refer nd rambling and could use som e it's likely that we will think of s rring to a 25G/lane version is th	back to 85.8.3.3 be editorial atten come technical ir	3, not both. As 85.8.3.3 ation to make them more		
				Suggestea Here, d embeo	<i>IRemedy</i> change 85.8.3. dding methods	.3 to 92.8.3.3. Work on the str , parameter definitions and trar	ucture of 92.8.3. nsmitter model/b			
				subhea	adings. Refer	to the transmitter table rather t	han duplicating			

C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.8 Dawe, Piers	P161 IPtronics	L <b>38</b>	# 493	C/ <b>91</b> SC Dawe, Piers	91.5.4.2.1	P <b>104</b> IPtronics	L16	# 495	
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Co Use clearer standards-like la Parameter definitions should		er specs in the t	<i>late</i> able not repeat them.			Comment Status X e between align_status (true _valid. I think they can be the		are synchronized a	<i>late</i> and
SuggestedRemedy Change Even-odd jitter is characteriz shall be less than or equal to to Even-odd jitter is defined by equal to the limit given in Tal	0.035 UI regardless of the procedure in 92.8.3	the transmit equ .8. Even-odd jitte	alization setting. er shall be less than or	SuggestedReme Combine the difference is. Proposed Respo	em into one	e variable, or if not, add text to Response Status <b>O</b>	explain why th	ere are two/what th	۱e
transmit equalization setting and so on.				C/ <b>92</b> SC Dawe, Piers	92.8.4.2.4	P132 IPtronics	L <b>46</b>	# 496	
Proposed Response Re Cl 83A SC 83A.3.4.7 Dawe, Piers	sponse Status <b>O</b> P <b>203</b> IPtronics	L32	# 494	unecessary r On a quick re	reference t eview, it lo	Comment Status X of the pattern generator, as o o 72 when there is a suitable oks like the two definitions are indwidth (to be discussed in a	reference in a c e equivalent, alt	clause in this projec hough 93.8.1.5 shc	
Comment Type TR Co "The global energy detect fur then only if this CAUI support			<i>late</i> only for slow EEE, and		The transiti	on times of the pattern genera "rise and fall times" in next s			ed in
Is it possible for a CAUI that use it?	doesn't support slow-m	ode EEE to allow	w a PMD that does, to	Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status O			
SuggestedRemedy Change to The global energy detect fun supports slow-mode EEE ca	pability.	PMA connected	d to a CAUI that	Dawe, Piers Comment Type	81.3a.2 E	P60 IPtronics Comment Status X		# <u>497</u>	late
Proposed Response Re	sponse Status O			Snould this c SuggestedReme ?		R_SENSE.indication or PLS_	_CARRIER.Indi	cation or what?	
				Proposed Respo	nse	Response Status <b>O</b>			

C/ 91	SC 91.5.2.8	P99	L <b>9</b>	# 498	C/ 80	SC 80.2.2	P33	L <b>8</b>
Dawe, Pie	rs	IPtronics			Lusted, Ke	ent	Intel	
Comment	51	Comment Status X		late	Comment		Comment Status D	
scram	bling on the Tx si	ta is scrambled and encoded de, nor de-scrambling the 58- that three bits in 257 are som	bit scrambler in C	Clause 82. On the	•		e 83 as the only PMA for a 10	0GBASE-R device.
		he received first nibble is scra				-	cto, page 62, line 55	
		k distribution, "Once the data			Suggester	-	contance of first nervourage fro	m "and the DMA and
		scrambled. First, I would no control blocks. Second, if or					sentence of first paragraph fro nd the PMA specification defin	
		misleading to imply the whole				Response	Response Status W	
Suggeste						POSED ACCEPT	,	
	the Tx process so Response	ramble or not? Make the nev Response Status <b>O</b>	kt draft clearer.		Chang	ge to "and the PN	IA specifications defined in Cla	ause 83 and Clause §
ropoodu	reopenee				C/ 94	SC 94.2.2	P146	L <b>18</b>
					Anslow, P	ete	Ciena	
C/ 81	SC 81.3.4	P <b>58</b>	L <b>32</b>	# 499	Comment	Type E	Comment Status D	
Dawe, Pie	rs	IPtronics					several arrays of objects deno	ted by single letters.
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status X		late	of the	se arrays is to ch	oose a letter that makes it eas	
		nnected, a PHY sublayer indi				ft D1.0: Termination blo	cke	
		sume more power, and blast ended), "continuously". For e		per PHY) while		r Grey-coded syr		
		give up after a while and go		?	P() for	Precoded symb	ols	
l look	ed in the base spe	ec but could not see if a norm	al loss of signal e	vent because a cable	are al	easy to rememb	per.	
	connected or the l ade clear?	ar transmitter is shut down co	ounts as "local fau	Ilt" or not. Where is	C() fo	r FEC frame bits		
						overhead frame	bits	
Suggeste	•	nt coding for "low power remo	to foult"			r PAM4 symbols	e - F() in particular would muc	h more naturally stan
	-	<b>-</b> .	le lault.		bits.			Thore naturally start
Proposed	Response	Response Status <b>O</b>			For th	e overhead fram	e, O would be a possibility, but	this could be confus
					Suggestee	dRemedy		
						ge the letters to:		
					F() for	FEC frame bits	a hite	
					F() for V() for		e bits	
					F() for V() for M() fo	FEC frame bits	e bits Response Status W	

nbled?). I consist are	<ul> <li>SuggestedRemedy</li> <li>Change ending of first sentence of first paragraph from "and the PMA specification defined in Clause 83." to be "and the PMA specification defined in Clause 83 or Clause 94."</li> <li>Proposed Response Response Status W</li> <li>PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.</li> </ul>									
	Change to "and	d the PMA specific	ations defined in	Clause 83 and Cla	iuse 94"					
	C/ 94 SC 9	4.2.2	P <b>146</b>	L18	# 10048					
	Anslow, Pete		Ciena							
	Comment Type	E Comm	ent Status D							
<i>late</i> the PHY ile e a cable /here is	of these arrays In draft D1.0: T() for Termina G() for Grey-cc P() for Precode are all easy to C() for FEC fra F() for overhea Q() for PAM4 s are not very me bits.	is to choose a lett tion blocks ided symbols ed symbols remember. me bits d frame bits ymbols emorable - F() in p	er that makes it e articular would m	easy to remember	tters. A useful feature which array is which. v stand for FEC frame onfused with a zero.					
	SuggestedRemedy Change the let F() for FEC fra V() for oVerhea M() for PAM4 s	ters to: me bits ad frame bits								
	Proposed Respons PROPOSED A	1	se Status W							

# 10022

C/ 94         SC 94.3.11.4         P162         L22         # 10057           Mellitz, Richard         Intel Corporation         Intel Corporation	C/         94         SC         94.3.12.3         P168         L43         # 10062           Mellitz, Richard         Intel Corporation         Intel Corporation         10062
Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D         Resolve Return loss TBD       SuggestedRemedy         Tie return loss to channel specification proposal presentation by Mellitz, Moore, Dudek, Li, et al supported with a presentation for why the time domain method is better and how it works, by Moore, Ran, Mellitz, et al. At time of this comments file names and requestor have not been finalized.         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED REJECT.       Comment #108 provides a specific remedy.	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status D         Since FEC changes the minimum BER applied broad band noise should be constrained with an appropriate crest factor         SuggestedRemedy         Add entry in table after Applied RMS noise for "Applied Crest factor" are the like. Suggested value for is erfcinv(2*minimum BER)*sqrt(2). This could go into Annex 69A.         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED REJECT.         The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient guidance to implement any changes.         A presentation with detailed changes is expected from the commenter.
The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient guidance to implement any changes.         A presentation with detailed changes is expected from the commenter.         C/ 93       SC 93.8.2.2       P137       L 19       # 10061	Cl 93SC 93.8.2.1P136L22# 10063Mellitz, RichardIntel CorporationComment TypeTRComment StatusD
Mellitz, Richard       Intel Corporation         Comment Type       TR       Comment Status D         Since FEC changes the minimum BER applied broad band noise should be constrained with an appropriate crest factor       D         SuggestedRemedy       Add entry in table after Applied RMS noise for "Applied Crest factor" are the like. Suggested value for is erfcinv(2*minimum BER)*sqrt(2). This could go into Annex 69A.         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED REJECT.       The response to this comment assumes that the basis of the interference tolerance test is changed to Annex 69A (see comment #88).         The crest factor of the broadband noise is specified in 69A.2.3 to be no less than 5.         The commenter does not make it clear why the existing crest factor specification is inappropriate.	Resolve Return loss TBD SuggestedRemedy Tie return loss to channel specification proposal presentation by Mellitz, Moore, Dudek, Li. et al supported with a presentation for why the time domain method is better and how it works, by Moore, Ran, Mellitz, et al. At time of this comments file names and requestor have not been finalized. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Refer to comment #86.

% 94         SC 94.3.12.2         P 167         L 52         # 10064           Iellitz, Richard         Intel Corporation	C/         93         SC         93.8.2.2         P 137         L 3         # 10078           Moore, Charles         Avago Technologies         Avago Technologies         P 10078         P 10078
omment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type T Comment Status D
Resolve Return loss TBD         tuggestedRemedy         Tie return loss to channel specification proposal presentation by Mellitz, Moore, Dudek, Li, et al supported with a presentation for why the time domain method is better and how it works, by Moore, Ran, Mellitz, et al.         At time of this comments file names and requestor have not been finalized.         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED REJECT.         Comment #109 provides a specific remedy.         The suggested remedy does not provide sufficient guidance to implement any changes.         A presentation with detailed changes is expected from the commenter.         793       SC 93.8.1.3       P132       L22       # 10065         Resolve Return loss TBD         uggestedRemedy         uggestedRemedy         uggestedRemedy         uggestedRemedy         ust is supported with a presentation for why the time domain method is better and how it works, by Moore, Ran, Mellitz, et al.         at time of this comments file names and requestor have not been finalized.         troposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         Refer to comment #85.	table 93-7 is technically incomplete: full of TBD's SuggestedRemedy replace TBD's with values from moore_02A_0312.pdf page 30. If we wish to use a_n values in the same way as 92.10.2 the numbers from moore_02A_0312.pdf page 30 whic are expressed in Napier and Hz will have to be converted to dB and GHz. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Pending discussion by the Task Force and a measurement of the consensus to make the proposed change. C/ 94 SC 94.2.2.4 P147 L40 # 10080 Moore, Charles Avago Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status D Termination bits complicate the coding and add 2.2% overhead. It is not clear that we receive real benefit in return. If a ML receiver is used it will allow us to correct a single bit error in a 45 bit block. Such errors are not likely to be what gets past FEC. Most likely multibit errors, which the termination block is less likely to correct, will be what cause FEC failures. Also if the receiver does not use ML, there is no value to the termination bits. SuggestedRemedy Remove termination bits and either use the reduced overhead to strengthen FEC or reduce line rate. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The termination bits have been included in this draft as a result of the consensus presentations brown_01_0312 and brown_01_0512. The benefits of the termination bits have been shown to outweigh the benefit of increasing the FEC stength or reducing the lin rate in dabiri_01_0911, parthasanthy_01_0911, and dabiri_01_0111. The utility of termination bits is not limited to MLSD as explained in brown_01_0312 and dabiri_012. The termination bits and either use have a range of efficient implementations of

C/         93         SC         93.8.1.3         P132         L21         # 10085           Moore, Charles         Avago Technologies         Avago Technologies         P132         P132 <th>Cl         93         SC         93.8.2.2         P136         L42         # 10088           Moore, Charles         Avago Technologies</th>	Cl         93         SC         93.8.2.2         P136         L42         # 10088           Moore, Charles         Avago Technologies					
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status D					
Tx output return loss is TBD, we need values for equations (93-1) and (93-2)	Receiver used in clause 93 is a package PHY, where clause 85 receiver is defined at a					
SuggestedRemedy	bulkhead connector. Using procedure defined in 85.8.4.2 in not appropriate, use annex 69A instead.					
use:	SuggestedRemedy					
DifferentialReturnLoss(f) = 10 x log10(( 0.026 + (f/32)^2) / (1 + f/32)^2)) dB, 0.05 <f<20 (93-1)<="" td=""><td>change:</td></f<20>	change:					
	"Receiver interference tolerance is characterized using the procedure defined in 85.8.4.2"					
CommonModeReturnLoss(f) =	to: "Descriver interference televence is characterized using the presedure defined in Appen					
6 dB, 0.05 <f<20 (93-2)<="" td=""><td>"Receiver interference tolerance is characterized using the procedure defined in Annex 69A."</td></f<20>	"Receiver interference tolerance is characterized using the procedure defined in Annex 69A."					
f in GHz	Change Annex 69A.2.2 to allow definition of channel loss either in terms of					
Proposed Response Response Status W	~mTC and bTC or a0, a1, a2, and a4. Delete reference to channel noise which is not defined.					
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
	Proposed Response Response Status W					
Pending discussion by the Task Force and a measurement of the consensus to make the proposed change.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
	The parameters listed in the table are not an exact fit to the test procedure described in					
C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.1 P136 L21 # 10086	either Annex 69A or 85.8.4.2. However, Annex 69A appears to be the closer fit.					
Moore, Charles Avago Technologies	Change the reference to Annex 69A as proposed in the suggested remedy and implement					
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	the following changes.					
Rx output return loss is TBD, we need values for equations (93-3) and (93-4)	1. Neither "Channel noise" nor "TX-RX re-reflection noise are defined terms so delete this					
SuggestedRemedy	row from Table 93-7 as suggested.					
use:						
DifferentialReturnLoss(f) =	<ol><li>Use the test channel calibration methodology from 85.8.4.2.3 in place of what is described in 69A.2.2. This may be accomplished by adding a new subclause to Annex 69A</li></ol>					
10 x log10(( 0.026 + (f/32)^2) / (1 + (f/32)^2)) dB, 0.05 <f<20 (93-3)<="" td=""><td>or defining an exception in 93.8.2.2 (favoring the latter).</td></f<20>	or defining an exception in 93.8.2.2 (favoring the latter).					
CommonModeReturnLoss(f) =						
6 dB, 0.05 <f<20 (93-4)<="" td=""><td><ol><li>The "channel insertion loss at 12.89 GHz" is not used in 85.8.4.2.3 and thus its role mus be defined or the parameter should be deleted.</li></ol></td></f<20>	<ol><li>The "channel insertion loss at 12.89 GHz" is not used in 85.8.4.2.3 and thus its role mus be defined or the parameter should be deleted.</li></ol>					
f in GHz	be defined of the parameter should be deleted.					
Proposed Response Response Status W						
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						
Pending discussion by the Task Force and a measurement of the consensus to make the						

proposed change.

93 SC 7.12	P <b>130</b>	L <b>33</b>	# 10097	C/ 94 S	C 94.4		P169	L <b>1</b>	# 10105	
avick, Jeff	Avago Techno	ologies		Moore, Charles	5		Avago Techno	logies		
omment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Type	e T	Comment S	Status D			
The update for each	Clause 72 allows for multiple tap coefficient change requests to occur at the same time. The update for each tap is done independent of each other. There are variables that combine the current overall setting of the transmitter and are used by each TAP when					n are probably	insuficient to g	ive high confide	ence that a cahnnel wi	
	overall setting of the transmitter ved to make the change. When			SuggestedRen	nedy					
simultaneously that of clear definition of wh				hich will be ma oore_01_0312.		ing. Or use method				
requests because it o	doesn't cause you to go out of b	oounds, or you ca	an deny all.	Proposed Resp	oonse	Response S	tatus W			
uggestedRemedy				PROPOSE	D ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE	Ξ.			
Add the following tex	0 1		oposals are	on the table in	addition to thos	e in the comme	enter's suggested			
	request an adjustment to one C for that request before sending			remedy.						
oposed Response	Response Status W			CI 94 S Moore, Charles	С <b>94.3.1 Та</b> ;		P <b>160</b> Avago Techno	L <b>8</b> logies	# 10107	
It is agreed that Clau	ROPOSED REJECT. is agreed that Clause 72 is unclear on how the status report fields should be set when a arallel coefficient update results in a violation of the peak or steady state voltage onstraints.			Comment Type <b>TR</b> Comment Status <b>D</b> Table 94-4 contains many TBDs making it technically incomplete. SuggestedRemedy						
That said, while Clau	se 72 allows parallel coefficient	t update requests	s, it does not require it.	Use values Proposed Res		e_02a_0312.pd <i>Response</i> S				
	at an adaptation algorithm that og to constraint violations with pa			PROPOSE	DACCEPT					
individual coefficient	updates serially.			CI <b>94</b> S	C 94.3.11.4		P162	L <b>22</b>	# 10108	
Converselv, an adap	tation algorithm that is insensitiv	ve to this ambigu	itv mav send	Moore, Charles	5		Avago Techno	logies		
coefficient updates in				Comment Type	TR	Comment S	Status D			
Therefore the initiate	or of coefficient updates has the	ability to choose	whether to send	equation 9	4-3 is TBD, 1	this is technica	lly incomplete			
coefficient updates s	erially or in parallel and therefor plementation consideration.			SuggestedRemedy use equation given in moore_02a_0312.pdf page 20						
The commenter does proposed in the suge	s not provide justification constr gested remedy.	ain the implemer	ntation in the manner	Proposed Resp PROPOSE	oonse D ACCEPT	Response S	tatus <b>W</b>			

		-	·	••				
C/ 94 SC 94.3.12. Moore, Charles		L <b>52</b> chnologies	# 10109	CI 92 S Dawe, Piers	C 92.7.1	P89 IPtronics	L <b>41</b>	# 10141
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Type	ER	Comment Status D		
	D, that is technically incom	nlete				ons" are brief, high-level (log	ic level) specifica	tions of what the PMD
' SuggestedRemedy	pore_02a_0312.pdf page 2	•	a Ty differential return	layer does	This text is e.g. at the l	s going too far into the electro peginning of the "Definitions	rical detail which i	s better placed
	ation can be used for Rx							
Proposed Response	Response Status W			SuggestedRen		a matarial batwaan line 11	line "A moted con	nantar nair haa haan
PROPOSED ACCEPT	,			included" a	nd p90 line	he material between line 41 2 "Annex 92A." into the cha s" subclause.		
C/ 94 SC 94.3.12.	3 table 94-7 P168	L <b>26</b>	# 10110	Proposed Res	oonse	Response Status W		
Moore, Charles	Avago Te	chnologies			D REJECT.	•		
Comment Type <b>TR</b> Technically incomplet	Comment Status D e: most values are TBD.				describes th 2-4 100GBA	ne link block diagram and su	pports the define	d test point definitions
test 1 and values give	e_02a_0312.pdf page 31, n for "Test 4" for test 2.	using the valuse lis	ted under "Test 3" for					
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W							
C/ 92 SC 92.8	P <b>94</b>	L1	# 10140					
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics							
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D							
	auses makes them hard to ent and definition detail on							
SuggestedRemedy								
	ut of a PMD clause, with su Definition of parameters an							
Proposed Response	Response Status W							
PROPOSED REJECT	-							
Clause 92 (PMD) stru subclauses for link se	cture follows Clause 85 pro gment parameters etcPr	oposal insufficently						

sufficient recommended changes to implement in the draft.

							Tuon					
CI 93	SC 93.8.1.2	P131	L <b>50</b>	# 10143	C/ 93	SC	93.8.1	P <b>131</b>	L	# 10145		
Dawe, Pie	rs	IPtronics			Dawe, Pie	rs		IPtronics				
Comment	Type <b>TR</b>	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D				
52.9.1 A patt	.2 Square wave ern consisting of	eriod is not a "square wave": pattern definition four to eleven consecutive or	nes followed by a	n equal run of zeros	50 m\	/) so th		ld help if there were somethir would never set the signal to				
	e used as a squa 86-11-Test patte				SuggestedRemedy							
Squar	e wave (8 ones, 8		ige could be sign	ificantly larger. We	Consider adding a minimum VMA spec, or similar, so that Tx can never invert the signal or set all its the taps to zero when still technically transmitting.							
		e of that passes though	Proposed Response Response Status W									
	y channel.				PROF	POSED	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.				
Suggested Use a		v pattern: PRBS31 or scrambl	ed idle, possibly	PRBS9.				ion gives the receiver comple				
Proposed	Response	Response Status W			stated	anoth	er way, se	veral lengths of enough rope	with which to h	ang itself.		
PROP	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The test patterns that may be provided by the PMA are PRBS9, PRBS31, and a square				While the commenter points out the extreme case where receiver forces that transmitter steady state voltage to zero, or even opposite the symbol polarity, for a given channel ther likely exists other settings that yield the same effect which is the inability to effectively							
wave	test pattern with a	a period of 16 UI. It would be or scrambled idle.			communicate. When this happens, the receiver is given multiple escape routes such as sending preset o initialize to the transmitter in order to return to a known state. So, while a minimum VMA specification could eliminate one problematic case, it does not solve the problem of an errant algorithm sending the transmitter into a bad state. Given this, it may be preferrable to not impose such a constraint since these constraints, as pointed out by comment #97, can be problematic for some algorithms.							
While	there is no test p	pattern that is entirely alternation PRBS9 or PRBS31 test patter										
		transmit equalizer compliance										
DC or	AC common-mo	battern is defined for DC or AC										
transn	nit equalizer setti	ng.			The n	nerits o	f the prop	osed specification should be	discussed by th	e Task Force.		
"The p regard	beak-to-peak diffe lless of the transion less than or eco	d third paragraph of 93.8.1.2 erential output voltage shall be mit equalizer setting. The pea qual to 30 mV when the transi	e less than or eq k-to-peak differe	ential output voltage								
signal RMS v	ground. The AC with respect to signature of the second sec	de output voltage shall be betv common-mode output voltag gnal ground. Common-mode ransmit equalizer setting."	e shall be less th	nan or equal to 12 mV								

Add the following paragraph to end of 93.8.1.2: "Differential and common-mode signal levels are measured with a PRBS9 test pattern."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 93         SC 93.8.1.2         P131         L 51         # 10146           Dawe, Piers         IPtronics	C/         93         SC         93.8.1.5.1         P134         L19         # 10147           Dawe, Piers         IPtronics
Comment Type       TR       Comment Status D         At present, this and other signal parameters are specified as if observed in an infinite bandwidth. At these rates, that's just too expensive. And noisy.         SuggestedRemedy         Define output voltage, transition time, DCD, TJ, AC common-mode output voltage and more as observed through a 33 GHz fourth-order Bessel-Thomson response. (Someone with a much faster scope can use a software filter for most parameters, which would give great accuracy.)         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         The lack of a recommendation on measurement bandwidth does not imply that the bandwidth is prescribed to be infinite, only that no recommendation on the bandwidth (or filter shape for that matter) is made.         It is agreed that if such a filter were to be defined, it should be common to all	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status D         This isn't a test spec. No "shall be verified" or "shall be tested" allowed! All we ask is that the thing comply - it might be established by design or batch testing. The wording in 93.8.1.4 Transition time is nicer.         SuggestedRemedy         Change "The steady state voltage and linear fit pulse peak values shall be verified after the transmit equalizer coefficients have been set to the "preset" values." to "The steady state voltage and linear fit pulse peak values." to "The steady state voltage and linear fit pulse peak values shall be verified after the transmit equalizer coefficients have been set to the "preset" values."         Proposed Response       Response Status W         PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.         The suggested remedy adds normative requirements that are redundant with subsequent paragraphs. Replace the text of 93.8.1.5.1 with the following.         "The steady state voltage vf is defined to be the sum of the linear fit pulse p(k) divided by M
measurements. Task Force should discuss whether or not such a filter needs to be defined, and if so, if a 33 GHz Bessel-Thompson filter the correct filter.	(refer to 85.8.3.3 step 3). The steady state voltage shall be greater than or equal to 0.4 V and less than or equal to 0.6 V after the transmit equalizer coefficients have been set to the "preset" values.The peak value of p(k) shall be greater than 0.8 × vf after the transmit equalizer coefficients have been set to the "preset" values."C/ 92SC 92.8.4.5P106L 49# 10153Dawe, PiersIPtronics

Comment Type T Comment Status D

"The low frequency 3 dB cutoff of the AC coupling shall be less than TBD kHz." On the one hand, the signalling rate is 2.5x higher. On the other, the signal integrity challenge is much higher. Anyway, one would expect backwards compatibility of a passive cable.

SuggestedRemedy

50 kHz, or perhaps lower.

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In 92.8.4.5 replace TBD with 50 kHz.

CI 93	SC 92.8.			3	# 10154	CI 92	SC 9	92.7.1	P <b>90</b>	L	7	# 10161
Dawe, Pie	rs	IPtron	ics			Dawe, Pier	S		IPtronics	i		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	D			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D			
needs less b (I don' Suggested Chang GHz." a band Proposed	to be precis andwidth. W t think it mak <i>Remedy</i> ge "For DDJ to "The way dwidth of 33 <i>Response</i>	surement bandwidth shore e and not biased: we can' e give the reader the hint es a huge difference as lo measurements, the meas eform is observed through GHz." <i>Response Status</i> EPT IN PRINCIPLE.	t say whether mo in the next sente ong as it's a rease urement bandwic n a fourth-order B	ore bandwidth ence that it m onable linear dth should be	n is "better", or ay not be critical. -phase response.) at least TBD	so on. MCB t Suggested Make t for TP Proposed PROP In Figu	This is race los: <i>Remedy</i> the arrov 1-4 furth <i>Respons</i> OSED <i>A</i> ure 92-2	at odds y s, TP0 ar w for TP0 her from t se ACCEPT move TF	y just by the PMD transm with the text: TP1-4 are nd TP5 are not offset. and TP5 point exactly he connectors. Thanks <i>Response Status</i> <b>W</b> IN PRINCIPLE. P0 and TP5 as close to embly text fixture loss;	offset from th at the end of ! end of Tx/Rx	the funct	tor by the HCB or ion, move the arrows as possible. TP1 to
See co	omment #14	5.										
CI 93	SC 93.8.	1.2 P13	32 L2		# 10155							
Dawe, Pie	rs	IPtron	ics									
	to define the	Comment Status measurement filter for AC cost) if it is the same as fo	C common-mode		ge. It is							
Suggested	Remedv											
00	signal is obse	rved through a fourth-ord	er Bessel-Thoms	on response	with a bandwidth							
•	Response POSED ACCI	Response Status EPT IN PRINCIPLE.	w									
See co	omment #14	6.										

C/ 92 SC 92.7.8	P <b>92</b>	L16	# 10165	CI 92	SC 92.8.3	3	P <b>94</b>	L13	# 10169	
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics			Dawe, Piers	6		<b>IPtronics</b>			
Comment Type TR Con	nment Status D			Comment 7	ype ER	Comme	ent Status D			
This (a PMD clause) says "Loc (see 83.5.8) as a test function							nterval is not nece uding 93 and 94 c		ult to do precisely, a	and
tell the PMA what to do. "Device" is not a standards wo	rd (too vaque).			Suggested	Remedy					
Why is this loopback needed?	.a (.co .agac).					d in Table 92-7 delete the sent	. In 92.8.3.9 and ences.	92.8.4.4, change	"nominally" to	
SuggestedRemedy 83.5.8, PMA local loopback me	ada, aava "DMA laaal	loophook chall bo	provided by the DMA	Proposed F	Response	Respons	se Status W			
adjacent to the PMD for 40GB				PROPO	OSED ACCE	EPT IN PRINCI	PLE.			
If it's really necessary, explain in 83.5.8, and here in 92.7.8, o loopback mode (see 83.5.8) a	hange to "The PMA a s a test function."	djacent to the PM	ID provides PMA local			sively througho ansmitter outpu		tion, subclauses i	include percentage o	of
Otherwise, chnage to "The PM loopback mode (see 83.5.8) as Similarly for 93.7.8 and 94.2.9.	s a test function."	D may optionally	provide PMA local	In 92.8.	.3.9 change	e "nominally" to	"approximately".	In 92.8.4.4 delete	e nominal.	
•	oonse Status W			C/ 92	SC 92.8.3	3	P <b>94</b>	L <b>1</b>	# 10170	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI				Dawe, Piers	6		<b>IPtronics</b>			
The commenter correctly point It sets the precedent that loop	back is required for 40			standar	Transmitter	characteristics	ent Status <b>D</b> s" sounds like a d GE unless improv		e write in normative	
Change the first sentence of 8	3.5.8 as follows.			Suggested	Remedy					
"PMA local loopback shall be p KR4, 40GBASE-CR4, 100GBA							cteristics" to "92.3 ver and the other		lectrical	
Change the first sentence of 9 "Local loopback mode is provid		MA (see 83.5.8) a	as a test function."	Proposed F PROPC	Response DSED REJE	,	se Status W			
				Charac Table 9 Table 9 Table 9 Table 9 Table 5 Table 5	13-4 13-6 14-4 14-6 18-3	ed in normative	standards langua	ıge; see		

	2.8.4.5	P106	L <b>49</b>	# 10171	C/ 92	SC 92	2-1	P85	L	# 10187
we, Piers		IPtronics			Sela, Oren			Mellanox Teo	chnologies	
21	т	Comment Status D			Comment 7		т	Comment Status D		
function for Sty	/le-2 100G	ceivers are AC coupled. AC BASE-CR4 connectors. Fo	r Style-1 100GB	ASE-CR4 plug		o add CL ced to C		he table due to startup proto	col and the PM	D control which is
connectors, the plug connector		anes are AC coupled; the c	oupling capacito	rs shall be within the	Suggested	Remedy	,			
	only one c	connector type at present, where receiver?	vith the AC coup	ling in the cable,		table 92 D contro		uired		
iggestedRemedy	/				Proposed F	Respons	e	Response Status W		
		nces and "Style-1".			PROPO	OSED R	EJECT			
oposed Respons PROPOSED A	CCEPT.	Response Status W			Physica	al Layer.	. Instea	ID sublayer is not required to d, the 100GBASE-CR4 PMD nally equivalent, but not iden	sublayer inco	porates a PMD control
Use suggested	a remedy.				C/ 93	SC 9	3-1	P <b>123</b>	L	# 10188
93 SC 9	3.7.12	P130	L <b>31</b>	# 10175	Sela, Oren			Mellanox Teo	chnologies	
we, Piers		IPtronics			Comment 1	vne	т	Comment Status D		
omment Type	т	Comment Status D						able 93-1 due to startup prot	ocol and refere	nce to PMD control
This says "Each lane of the 100GBASE-KR4 PMD shall use the same control function as 10GBASE-KR, as defined in 72.6.10." and 72.6.10 says "The control channel is signaled using differential Manchester encoding (DME) at a signaling rate equal to one quarter of the 10GBASE-KR signaling rate. Since each DME symbol contains two DME transition positions and each transition position is four 10GBASE-KR UI, one control channel bit is transmitted every eight 10GBASE-KR UI. Do you mean use the same training frames run 2.5 times faster (including DME 2.5 times				72 - PN Proposed F	table 93 1D contr	8-1: rol rec se	Response Status W			
faster) or DME	at rate sta	ted above but PRBS 2.5x f	aster?		The 10	GBASE	-KR PN	1D sublayer is not required to	form a comple	ete 100GBASE-KR4
ggestedRemedy Please make tl					Physica	al Layer.	. Instea	d, the 100GBASE-KR4 PMD nally equivalent, but not iden	sublayer incor	porates a PMD control
oposed Respons PROPOSED A		Response Status W I PRINCIPLE.								
		72.6.10 should be scaled l on in the unit interval.	by a factor of 0.4	for 100GBASE-KR4						
Add the followi	ing sentend	ce the end of the first parag	raph of 93.7.12.							
defined in 72.6	6.10 with th	ture used by the 100GBAS e exception that 25.78125 -KR4 UI replace 10GBASE	GBd symbols re	place 10.3125 GBd						

Make similar changes to 92.7.12.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 93 SC 8.1 P131 L34 # 102	03 C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.5 P106 L49 # <u>10219</u>
lidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of	Dudek, Mike QLogic
Comment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type T Comment Status D
Table 93-4. Total jitter excluding DDJ is defined as 0.28UI.	The Style 2 connector isn't to be used for 100G-CR4 and we haven't defined different S connectors.
It was defined as 0.25UI excluding DDJ in clause 85. It was defined as 0.28UI including DDJ in clause 72.	SuggestedRemedy
OIF define it as 0.28UI including DDJ.	Delete the sentence "AC coupling shall be part of the receive function for Style-2 100GBASE-CR4 connectors." and delete "style 1" in the next sentence.
We should change it to 0.25UI as it excludes DDJ.	Proposed Response Response Status W
SuggestedRemedy	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change 0.28UI with 0.25UI.	See response comment #171.
Proposed Response Response Status W	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PROPOSED REJECT.	C/ 94 SC 94.4.1 P169 L8 # 10233
Pending discussion by the Task Force and a measurement of the consensus to m	Matthew, Brown Applied Micro
proposed change.	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
	Comment Type         TR         Comment Status         D           Equation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94-
C/ 92 SC 92.7.1 P90 L48 # 102	Comment Type         TR         Comment Status         D           Equation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94-           12         which is no longer required separately for this Clause. Consolidate to a single equation
C/ 92 SC 92.7.1 P90 L48 # 102 Dudek, Mike QLogic	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D         Equation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94- which is no longer required separately for this Clause. Consolidate to a single equation         SuggestedRemedy
Cl 92       SC 92.7.1       P90       L48       # 102         Dudek, Mike       QLogic         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         In table 92-4 The Test points TP0 to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 don't match the description	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D         Equation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94-17         12       SuggestedRemedy         Change the top equation in 94-17 to:
Cl 92       SC 92.7.1       P90       L48       # 102         Dudek, Mike       QLogic         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         In table 92-4 The Test points TP0 to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 don't match the descript         There are no mated connector pairs between eg TP0 and TP1         SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D         Equation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94-17         12       SuggestedRemedy         Change the top equation in 94-17 to:
Cl 92       SC 92.7.1       P90       L48       # 102         Dudek, Mike       QLogic         Comment Type       T       Comment Status       D         In table 92-4 The Test points TP0 to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 don't match the descript         There are no mated connector pairs between eg TP0 and TP1         SuggestedRemedy         Change the test points on this row from TP1 to TP2 and from TP4 to TP3	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D         Equation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94- which is no longer required separately for this Clause. Consolidate to a single equation         SuggestedRemedy       Change the top equation in 94-17 to: a0+a1*sqrt(f)+a2*f+a3*f^2+a4*f^3         Change the bottom equation in 94-17 to: a5+a6*(f-f2);
2/92       SC 92.7.1       P90       L48       # 102         Dudek, Mike       QLogic       Intable 92-4       T       Comment Status D         In table 92-4       The Test points TP0 to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 don't match the descript       There are no mated connector pairs between eg TP0 and TP1         SuggestedRemedy       Change the test points on this row from TP1 to TP2 and from TP4 to TP3	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D         Equation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94- which is no longer required separately for this Clause. Consolidate to a single equation         SuggestedRemedy         Change the top equation in 94-17 to:         a0+a1*sqrt(f)+a2*f+a3*f^2+a4*f^3         Change the bottom equation in 94-17 to:
Cl 92       SC 92.7.1       P90       L48       # 102         Dudek, Mike       QLogic       Intable 92-4       The Test points TP0 to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 don't match the descript       There are no mated connector pairs between eg TP0 and TP1         SuggestedRemedy       Change the test points on this row from TP1 to TP2 and from TP4 to TP3	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D         Equation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94- which is no longer required separately for this Clause. Consolidate to a single equation         SuggestedRemedy       Change the top equation in 94-17 to: a0+a1*sqrt(f)+a2*f+a3*f^2+a4*f^3         Change the bottom equation in 94-17 to: a5+a6*(f-f2);
Cl 92       SC 92.7.1       P90       L48       # 102         Dudek, Mike       QLogic       QLogic       In table 92-4 The Test points TP0 to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 don't match the descript       There are no mated connector pairs between eg TP0 and TP1         SuggestedRemedy       Change the test points on this row from TP1 to TP2 and from TP4 to TP3         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Change Table 92-4 row 3 from "TP0 to TP1" to "TP0 to TP2" and from "TP4 to TP	Comment Type       TR       Comment Status       D         Equation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94-which is no longer required separately for this Clause. Consolidate to a single equation         SuggestedRemedy         Change the top equation in 94-17 to:         a0+a1*sqrt(f)+a2*f+a3*f^2+a4*f^3         Change the bottom equation in 94-17 to:         a5+a6*(f-f2);         Delete line~17 starting with "Amax".         Delete lines 23 to 32.
Cl 92       SC 92.7.1       P90       L48       # 102         Dudek, Mike       QLogic       QLogic       In table 92-4 The Test points TP0 to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 don't match the descript       There are no mated connector pairs between eg TP0 and TP1         SuggestedRemedy       Change the test points on this row from TP1 to TP2 and from TP4 to TP3         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Comment TypeTRComment StatusDEquation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94- which is no longer required separately for this Clause. Consolidate to a single equationSuggestedRemedy Change the top equation in 94-17 to: $a0+a1^*sqrt(f)+a2^*f+a3^*f^2+a4^*f^3$ Change the bottom equation in 94-17 to: $a5+a6^*(f-f2);$ Delete line~17 starting with "Amax". Delete lines 23 to 32.'5" toAdd the following: $a0 = 0.8$
Cl 92       SC 92.7.1       P90       L48       # 102         Dudek, Mike       QLogic       QLogic       In table 92-4 The Test points TP0 to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 don't match the descript       There are no mated connector pairs between eg TP0 and TP1         SuggestedRemedy       Change the test points on this row from TP1 to TP2 and from TP4 to TP3         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Change Table 92-4 row 3 from "TP0 to TP1" to "TP0 to TP2" and from "TP4 to TP	Comment TypeTRComment StatusDEquation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94- which is no longer required separately for this Clause. Consolidate to a single equationSuggestedRemedy Change the top equation in 94-17 to: $a0+a1^*sqrt(f)+a2^*f+a3^*f^2+a4^*f^3$ Change the bottom equation in 94-17 to: $a5+a6^*(f-f2);$ Delete line~17 starting with "Amax".Delete lines 23 to 32.'5" toAdd the following: $a0 = 0.8$ $a1 = 1.7372e-4$
Cl 92       SC 92.7.1       P90       L48       # 102         Dudek, Mike       QLogic       QLogic       In table 92-4 The Test points TP0 to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 don't match the descript       There are no mated connector pairs between eg TP0 and TP1         SuggestedRemedy       Change the test points on this row from TP1 to TP2 and from TP4 to TP3         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Change Table 92-4 row 3 from "TP0 to TP1" to "TP0 to TP2" and from "TP4 to TP	Comment TypeTRComment StatusDEquation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94- which is no longer required separately for this Clause. Consolidate to a single equationSuggestedRemedy Change the top equation in 94-17 to: $a0+a1*sqrt(f)+a2*f+a3*f^2+a4*f^3$ Change the bottom equation in 94-17 to: $a5+a6*(f-f2);$ Delete line~17 starting with "Amax".Delete lines 23 to 32.*5" toAdd the following: $a0 = 0.8$ $a1 = 1.7372e-4$ $a2 = 1.1554e-9$
Cl 92       SC 92.7.1       P90       L48       # 102         Dudek, Mike       QLogic       QLogic       In table 92-4 The Test points TP0 to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 don't match the descript       There are no mated connector pairs between eg TP0 and TP1         SuggestedRemedy       Change the test points on this row from TP1 to TP2 and from TP4 to TP3         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Change Table 92-4 row 3 from "TP0 to TP1" to "TP0 to TP2" and from "TP4 to TP	Comment TypeTRComment StatusDEquation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94- which is no longer required separately for this Clause. Consolidate to a single equationSuggestedRemedy Change the top equation in 94-17 to: $a0+a1^*sqrt(f)+a2^*f+a3^*f^2+a4^*f^3$ Change the bottom equation in 94-17 to: $a5+a6^*(f-f2);$ Delete line~17 starting with "Amax".Delete lines 23 to 32.'5" toAdd the following: $a0 = 0.8$ $a1 = 1.7372e-4$
Cl 92       SC 92.7.1       P90       L48       # 102         Dudek, Mike       QLogic       QLogic       In table 92-4 The Test points TP0 to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 don't match the descript       There are no mated connector pairs between eg TP0 and TP1         SuggestedRemedy       Change the test points on this row from TP1 to TP2 and from TP4 to TP3         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Change Table 92-4 row 3 from "TP0 to TP1" to "TP0 to TP2" and from "TP4 to TP	Comment TypeTRComment StatusDEquation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94- which is no longer required separately for this Clause. Consolidate to a single equationSuggestedRemedy Change the top equation in 94-17 to: $a0+a1*sqrt(f)+a2*f+a3*f^2+a4*f^3$ Change the bottom equation in 94-17 to: $a5+a6*(f-f2);$ Delete line~17 starting with "Amax".Delete lines 23 to 32.'5" toAdd the following: $a0 = 0.8$ $a1 = 1.7372e-4$ $a2 = 1.1554e-9$ $a3 = 2.7795e-19$
Cl 92       SC 92.7.1       P90       L48       # 102         Dudek, Mike       QLogic       QLogic       In table 92-4 The Test points TP0 to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 don't match the descript       There are no mated connector pairs between eg TP0 and TP1         SuggestedRemedy       Change the test points on this row from TP1 to TP2 and from TP4 to TP3         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Change Table 92-4 row 3 from "TP0 to TP1" to "TP0 to TP2" and from "TP4 to TP	Comment Type TR Comment Status D Equation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94- which is no longer required separately for this Clause. Consolidate to a single equation SuggestedRemedy Change the top equation in 94-17 to: $a0+a1*sqrt(f)+a2*f+a3*f^2+a4*f^3$ Change the bottom equation in 94-17 to: a5+a6*(f-f2); Delete line-17 starting with "Amax". Delete lines 23 to 32. 75" to Add the following: a0 = 0.8 a1 = 1.7372e-4 a2 = 1.1554e-9 a3 = 2.7795e-19 a4 = -1.0423e-29
Cl 92       SC 92.7.1       P90       L48       # 102         Dudek, Mike       QLogic       QLogic       In table 92-4 The Test points TP0 to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 don't match the descript       There are no mated connector pairs between eg TP0 and TP1         SuggestedRemedy       Change the test points on this row from TP1 to TP2 and from TP4 to TP3         Proposed Response       Response Status       W         PROPOSED ACCEPT.       Change Table 92-4 row 3 from "TP0 to TP1" to "TP0 to TP2" and from "TP4 to TP	Comment TypeTRComment StatusDEquation 94-17 which is inherited from Clause 69 is based upon a second equation 94- which is no longer required separately for this Clause. Consolidate to a single equationSuggestedRemedy Change the top equation in 94-17 to: $a0+a1^*sqrt(f)+a2^*f+a3^*f^2+a4^*f^3$ tion.Change the bottom equation in 94-17 to: $a5+a6^*(f-f2);$ Delete line~17 starting with "Amax".Delete lines 23 to 32.'5" toAdd the following: $a0 = 0.8$ $a1 = 1.7372e-4$ $a2 = 1.1554e-9$ $a3 = 2.7795e-19$ $a4 = -1.0423e-29$ $a5 = 33.467$

Cl 94	SC	94.2.5	P150	L <b>29</b>	# 10234
Matthew, E	Brown		Applied Micro	D	
Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		
			ignal structure and framing ı A frame signal.	mechanism for a	llowing the receiver to
S <i>uggestec</i> A prop		•	ded at the July meeting.		
Proposed PROP	•		Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		
Impler	nent th	e changes	s proposed in brown_01_07	12.	
CI 94	SC	94.2.5	P150	L <b>29</b>	# 10235
Matthew, E	Brown		Applied Micro	C	-
remair Suggested	n opera IRemec	tional duri <i>ly</i>	ignal structure and framing i ng the fast wake. ded at the July meeting.	mechanism for a	llowing the PMA/PMD to
Proposed PROP	•		Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE.		
Impler	nent th	e changes	s proposed in brown_01_07	12.	
C/ 94 Matthew, E		94.2.4	P 50 Applied Micro	L <b>24</b>	# 10236
Comment Detaile		TR priptions o	Comment Status D f the PMA decoding process	are required.	
Suggested Write			on to complement sections	94.2.2.1 to 94.2.2	2.8.
Proposed PROP	•		Response Status WIIN PRINCIPLE.		
Give tl	ne edito	or license	to write the new sub-clauses	s as necessary.	