00 SC	Р	L	# 280	C/ 00	SC 0	Р	L	# 160
wn, Matthew	APM			Lusted, Kent		Intel		
<i>mment Type</i> T In table, 94-16 the sin methodology for CRJr	Comment Status X nusoidal jitter and random jitte rms and CDJ.	r should be chara	acterized using the	Comment Ty The term defined.		Comment Status X " is now used in 13 separa	te instances the o	draft. However, it is
ggestedRemedy				F ee) uses the terms in the DhuT		a fialda an unlid aum
Replace note c with "s for CRJrms and CDJ i oposed Response	sinusoidal jitter and random ji in 94.3.12.8.1. Response Status O	tter are measure	d use the methodology	To make 100GBA Coding S	matters worse SE-R represen ublayer a phys) uses the term in the PhyTy , Clause 80.1.4 Nomenclatu ts a family of Physical Laye sical coding sublayerand a	ure now states "4 r devices using th a PMD implement	0GBASE-R or ne Clause 82 Physic ting 2-level pulse
00 SC 0 la, Oren	<i>P</i> Mellanox Te	<i>L</i> chnologies	# 84	devices u PCS lane	ising the Claus	PAM)." Then it states "1000 e 82 Physical Coding Subla 82) and a PMD implementi	ayer for 100 Gb/s	operation over mul
21	Comment Status X s not the best name for the "n	on-FW" mode. S	nould come up	encoding	" Why call i	0GBASE-KP4 is a "100 Gb t out as using BASE-P enco dard imply encoding to be t	oding? All of the	
Normal wake mode is with better naming uggestedRemedy some options: higher		save mode, dea	·	encoding entries ir Then the	" Why call i the base stan term sneaks i	t out as using BASE-P enco	oding? All of the he PCS.	other Table 80-1
Normal wake mode is with better naming uggestedRemedy some options: higher	s not the best name for the "n power save mode, full power	save mode, dea	·	encoding entries ir Then the of all play Furtherm 802.3 far	" Why call i the base stan term sneaks ii ces! There is r ore, the IEEE nily of Physica	t out as using BASE-P enco dard imply encoding to be the nto Table 82-5 and attempts	oding? All of the he PCS. s to camoflages it defines "100GBA hysical coding sub	other Table 80-1 self in the PCS colu .SE-R" as "An IEEE

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

CI 00 SC 0

CL 00 0C 0	Р	,	# 050	01.00 00.00.4.4.45	D22	1.40	# 00
C/ 00 SC 0 Anslow, Pete	Ciena	L	# 350	C/ 30 SC 30.1.1.15 Sela, Oren	P 23 Mellanox Tecł	L19 hnologies	# 93
Now that IEEE Std 802 reflect 2012. This has not been done SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X st D 1.1 was accepted, but not 2.3-2012 has been approved, e in the page headers. age headers for the clauses fr	update all refere	nces in the draft to		Comment Status X C is not optional ndicates if the PHY supports or correction (see 65.2, and		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			A read-only value that in	ndicates if the PHY supports or correction (see 65.2, and atory FEC.		
C/ 00 SC Table 94 Lusted, Kent	- 17 P Intel	L	# 162	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X use the term "signaling rate" w d or change signaling rate to s		uld it be GBaud?	Cl 30 SC 30.1.1.16 Sela, Oren Comment Type T	P23 Mellanox Tech Comment Status X	Ū	# 94
Proposed Response	Response Status O	,ometning else.		SuggestedRemedy There are 3 possible wa		uldn't be enabled	l or disabled
C/ 01 SC 1.4.53a Anslow, Pete	P 21 Ciena	L15	# 353	1. remove CL91 FEC fr 2. Make the FEC 91 val 3. Use this verible to en side		rection at the rece	eive
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
5	ss up to 33 dB at 7.0 GHz" trailing zeros have no significa	ance, so this shc	ould be shown as				
As stated in 1.2.6, the	trailing zeros have no significa 3 dB at 7.0 GHz" to:	ance, so this shc	ould be shown as				

C/ 30 SC 30.1.1.16

C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P L # 354	C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.16 P23 L38 # 356 Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type E Comment Status X "100 Gb/s multi-PCS lane using more than 2-level PAM" could be taken to mean 2-level PAM and something else. Same issue in 30.3.2.1.3	Comment Type E Comment Status X The text ", and Clause 91" has been added, but is not in underline font. The text "or FEC enable bit in RS-FEC control register (see 45.2.1.93a)" has been added, but is not in underline font.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Use the format from aMAUType below:	Show the inserted text ", and Clause 91" in underline font.
Change: "100 Gb/s multi-PCS lane using more than 2-level PAM" to: "100 Gb/s multi-PCS lane using >2-level PAM"	Show the inserted text "or FEC enable bit in RS-FEC control register (see 45.2.1.93a)" in underline font.
Make the same change in 30.3.2.1.3 Proposed Response Response Status O	Note: this comment may be OBE due to a companion comment that RS-FEC cannot be disabled.
C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.15 P 23 L 20 # <u>355</u>	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.16 P23 L47 # [367]
	Anslow, Pete Ciena
Comment Type E Comment Status X The text ", and Clause 91" has been added, but is not in underline font.	Comment Type T Comment Status X
	This text says "or FEC enable bit in RS-FEC control register (see 45.2.1.93a)".
SuggestedRemedy Show the inserted text ", and Clause 91" in underline font.	However, there isn't a FEC enable bit in the RS-FEC control register (Register 1.200) in 45.2.1.93a only "FEC enable error indication" which is quite different.
Proposed Response Response Status O	BASE-R FEC is optional, but I understood RS-FEC is not and hence a "FEC enable" isn't appropriate.
	Am I missing something?
	SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.16

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1 Dawe, Piers	1.1.17	P23 IPtronics	L 53	# 382	<i>CI</i> 30 Dudek, M	SC 30.5.1.1. ike	17	P 24 QLogic	L 7	# 301
Comment Type E nonresetable	Comment	Status X				it make sense to			er PCS lane whe	en the FEC is not
SuggestedRemedy					opera Suggeste	ting on a per PC	S lane basis?			
nonresettable, as ir	n base document.	Two places.			00	after "do not use l	PCS lanes" "o	r use the RS-F	EC described in	clause 01
Proposed Response	Response	Status O								
						e same for 30.5.7		_		
C/ 30 SC 30.5.1 Anslow, Pete	1.1.17	P 24 Ciena	L 4	# 357	Proposed	Response	Response	Status O		
Comment Type E	Comment	Status X			C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1.	18	P 24	L 36	# 358
The base text for 30			-force standard		Anslow, P	ete		Ciena		
SuggestedRemedy					Comment	Туре Е	Comment	Status X		
THE ITST SEMENCE (ΟΙ ΒΕΠΑΥΙΟυΚ Π	PERMED AS: IN	1 D 3 2 Was:		with S	strikethrough font	, but it should i	not be there at	all.	
"For 1000BASE-PX The last sentence is	is: IO Interface to the	SE-R PHYs, an PCS is preser	array of correcte	ed FEC block counters." oute maps to the FEC	At the <i>Suggester</i> Delete	e end in "(see 45.	2.8.6, 45.2.1.9 h "s" at the er			ima missing.
"For 1000BASE-PX The last sentence is "If a Clause 45 MDI	 X, 10/40/100GBAS S: IO Interface to the bunter(s) (see 45.2 	SE-R PHYs, an PCS is preser 2.8.5, 45.2.1.91	array of correctent, then this attrib I, and 45.2.1.93).	ute maps to the FEC ;"	At the Suggester Delete Add ti	end in "(see 45. dRemedy e the strikethroug	2.8.6, 45.2.1.9 h "s" at the er	02 and 45.2.1.9 nd of "blocks".		ıma missing.
"For 1000BASE-PX The last sentence is "If a Clause 45 MDI corrected blocks co Show changes with	 X, 10/40/100GBAS S: IO Interface to the bunter(s) (see 45.2 	SE-R PHYs, an PCS is preser 2.8.5, 45.2.1.91 ext with underlin	array of correctent, then this attrib I, and 45.2.1.93).	ute maps to the FEC ;"	At the Suggester Delete Add ti	e end in "(see 45. dRemedy e the strikethroug he comma after '	2.8.6, 45.2.1.9 h "s" at the er 45.2.1.92"	02 and 45.2.1.9 nd of "blocks".		ima missing.
"For 1000BASE-PX The last sentence is "If a Clause 45 MDI corrected blocks co Show changes with Proposed Response	k, 10/40/100GBAS is: IO Interface to the punter(s) (see 45.2 n respect to this te <i>Response</i> a	SE-R PHYs, an PCS is preser 2.8.5, 45.2.1.91 ext with underlin	array of correctent, then this attrib I, and 45.2.1.93).	ute maps to the FEC ;"	At the Suggester Delete Add ti	e end in "(see 45. dRemedy e the strikethroug he comma after ' ' Response SC 30.6.1.1.	2.8.6, 45.2.1.9 h "s" at the er 45.2.1.92" <i>Response</i>	02 and 45.2.1.9 nd of "blocks".		ima missing. # <u>384</u>
"For 1000BASE-PX The last sentence is "If a Clause 45 MDI corrected blocks co Show changes with Proposed Response Cl 30 SC 30.5.1	k, 10/40/100GBAS is: IO Interface to the punter(s) (see 45.2 n respect to this te <i>Response</i> a	SE-R PHYs, an PCS is preser 2.8.5, 45.2.1.91 ext with underlin Status 0	array of correctent, then this attrib I, and 45.2.1.93).	ute maps to the FEC ;" ugh font.	At the Suggeste Delete Add ti Proposed C/ 30	e end in "(see 45. dRemedy e the strikethroug he comma after " Response SC 30.6.1.1 .	2.8.6, 45.2.1.9 h "s" at the er 45.2.1.92" <i>Response</i>	2 and 45.2.1.9 ad of "blocks". Status O P 25 IPtronics	4" there is a com	
"For 1000BASE-PX The last sentence is "If a Clause 45 MDI corrected blocks co Show changes with Proposed Response C/ 30 SC 30.5.1 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T	<pre>K, 10/40/100GBAS is: IO Interface to the punter(s) (see 45.2 n respect to this te</pre>	SE-R PHYs, an PCS is preser 2.8.5, 45.2.1.91 ext with underlin Status O P24 QLogic Status X	array of correctent, then this attrib I, and 45.2.1.93). The and strikethround	ute maps to the FEC ;" ugh font.	At the Suggeste Add ti Proposed C/ 30 Dawe, Pie Comment	e end in "(see 45. dRemedy e the strikethroug he comma after " Response SC 30.6.1.1 .	2.8.6, 45.2.1.9 h "s" at the er 45.2.1.92" <i>Response</i>	2 and 45.2.1.9 ad of "blocks". Status O P 25 IPtronics	4" there is a com	
"For 1000BASE-PX The last sentence is "If a Clause 45 MDI corrected blocks co Show changes with Proposed Response C/ 30 SC 30.5.1 Dudek, Mike	<pre>K, 10/40/100GBAS is: IO Interface to the punter(s) (see 45.2 n respect to this te</pre>	SE-R PHYs, an PCS is preser 2.8.5, 45.2.1.91 ext with underlin Status O P24 QLogic Status X	array of correctent, then this attrib I, and 45.2.1.93). The and strikethround	ute maps to the FEC ;" ugh font.	At the Suggeste Add ti Proposed C/ 30 Dawe, Pie Comment	e end in "(see 45. <i>dRemedy</i> e the strikethroughe he comma after ' <i>Response</i> <i>SC</i> 30.6.1.1. ers <i>Type</i> ER of PHY types.	2.8.6, 45.2.1.9 h "s" at the er 45.2.1.92" <i>Response</i>	2 and 45.2.1.9 ad of "blocks". Status O P 25 IPtronics	4" there is a com	
"For 1000BASE-PX The last sentence is "If a Clause 45 MDI corrected blocks co Show changes with <i>Proposed Response</i> C/ 30 SC 30.5.1 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T We should have err SuggestedRemedy Add 100GBase-P F	 K, 10/40/100GBAS IO Interface to the punter(s) (see 45.2 in respect to this te <i>Response</i> in <i>Response</i> in <i>Response</i> in <i>Response</i> in <i>Comment</i> in <i>Comment</i> in <i>Comment</i> for counters for 10 in <i>Comment</i> in <i>Comme</i>	SE-R PHYs, an PCS is preser 2.8.5, 45.2.1.91 ext with underlin Status O P24 QLogic Status X DOGBASE-KP4 Ilso to 30.5.1.1.	array of correctent, then this attribut, and 45.2.1.93). The and strikethround <i>L</i> 5	ute maps to the FEC ;" ugh font.	At the Suggeste Add ti Proposed C/ 30 Dawe, Pie Comment Order Suggeste Use ti or (re narrow	e end in "(see 45. <i>dRemedy</i> e the strikethroughe he comma after ' <i>Response</i> <i>SC</i> 30.6.1.1. ers <i>Type</i> ER of PHY types. <i>dRemedy</i> he order chosen versed) in p50 73 w, high power or	2.8.6, 45.2.1.9 h "s" at the er 45.2.1.92" <i>Response</i> 5 <i>Comment</i> for p48 line 42 9.7.6 Table 73-	2 and 45.2.1.9 ad of "blocks". Status O P 25 IPtronics Status X 73.6.4 Table 7 5-Priority Resc	4" there is a com <i>L</i> 22 Jution. That is: s	# [<u>384</u> Ability Field encodi
"For 1000BASE-PX The last sentence is "If a Clause 45 MDI corrected blocks co Show changes with <i>Proposed Response</i> C/ 30 SC 30.5.1 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T We should have err SuggestedRemedy	K, 10/40/100GBAS IO Interface to the bunter(s) (see 45.2 in respect to this te <i>Response</i> 1.1.17 <i>Comment</i> ror counters for 10	SE-R PHYs, an PCS is preser 2.8.5, 45.2.1.91 ext with underlin Status O P24 QLogic Status X DOGBASE-KP4 Ilso to 30.5.1.1.	array of correctent, then this attribut, and 45.2.1.93). The and strikethround <i>L</i> 5	ute maps to the FEC ;" ugh font.	At the Suggeste Add ti Proposed C/ 30 Dawe, Pie Comment Order Suggeste Use ti or (re	e end in "(see 45. <i>dRemedy</i> e the strikethroughe he comma after ' <i>Response</i> <i>SC</i> 30.6.1.1. ers <i>Type</i> ER of PHY types. <i>dRemedy</i> he order chosen versed) in p50 73 w, high power or	2.8.6, 45.2.1.9 h "s" at the er 45.2.1.92" <i>Response</i> 5 <i>Comment</i> for p48 line 42 9.7.6 Table 73-	2 and 45.2.1.9 ad of "blocks". Status O P 25 IPtronics Status X 73.6.4 Table 7 5-Priority Resc	4" there is a com <i>L</i> 22 Jution. That is: s	# <u>384</u> Ability Field encodi

C/ 30 SC 30.6.1.1.5

		,	•				
C/ 45 SC 2.1.93f Slavick, Jeff	P 34 Avago Techno	L 21 ologies	# 186	C/ 45 SC 2.7.13 a Slavick, Jeff	P 39 Avago Techr	L 43 nologies	# 193
Comment Type E "register bits 15:0" ma	Comment Status X y cause confusion regarding t		ror counter register.	Comment Type T Both is not the best t	Comment Status X erm to use for descriping supp	-	d Fast Wake options.
the corresponding reg to	ed in each FEC lane are coun ister." ch FEC lane are counted and		-	SuggestedRemedy Change "Both EEE r Proposed Response	nodes" to be "Quiescent EEE <i>Response Status</i> 0	mode support" fo	r Tables 45-190, 45-1
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 45 SC 45.2.1. Dudek, Mike	3 P 29 QLogic	L 44	# 297
C/ 45 SC 2.1.93f Slavick, Jeff	P 34 Avago Techno	L 23 ologies	# <u>187</u>	<i>Comment Type</i> E This is a very long lis	Comment Status X t contained in Text it would be	e better to use a ta	able
Comment Type E Typo on the ending FE	Comment Status X EC lane number.			SuggestedRemedy Create a table for Tra	ansmit disable description and	point to it from h	ere.
SuggestedRemedy Change "FEC lane 2, FEC lane 1, upper 16	lower 16 bits are shown in reg bits."	ster 1.213; thro	ugh register 1.217 for	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
to	bits are shown in register 1.2	4; through regis	ster 1.217 for FEC lane	Cl 45 SC 45.2.1. Anslow, Pete	8 P 29 Ciena	L 53	# 359
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type E The additions to 45.2	Comment Status X .1.8 are not shown with under	line font	
C/ 45 SC 2.1.93g Slavick, Jeff	P 34 Avago Techno	L 39 ologies	# 192	SuggestedRemedy Show the additions v			
Comment Type T Register number is inc	Comment Status X correct in the table.			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Change 3.200.15:0 to	1.230.15:0						
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.8

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.8 Dudek, Mike	1 P 31 QLogic	L 6	# 302	<i>CI</i> 45 Anslow, Pete	SC 45.2.1.9 3	3a P31 Ciena	L 37	# 360
information to that cor SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X build be useful for the 100GBA tained in 45.2.1.81 to 45.2.1.4 e BASE-R and Base-P or crea	34		Where a subclaus subclaus number][For exan	ed convention subclause is e - one level]. e - assuming a through z]. ple to insert t	Comment Status X n on inserted clause numberi inserted prior to the existing [a through z]. Where a subcl it is not the last - the new su two subclauses before 43.2.1	first subclause it lause is inserted a bclause it is labe 1 the subclauses	after an existing lled [subclause would be numbered
Proposed Response	Response Status O				1b. Two subo	o subclauses between 43.2.1 clauses added after the last s		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.9 Sela, Oren Comment Type T	Mellanox Tec Comment Status X	C C	# 120			: ugh 45.2.1.93f before 45.2.1	.93 for RS-FEC r	egisters:" does not
when FEC bypass is r	ot supported the FEC bypass	should be read	only 0	Also, the	e are additio	ns of subclauses a through h	1	
	nored and reads return a zero bypass correction (see 91.5.3		does	SuggestedRe Change "Insert 4	0:	ugh 45.2.1.92h before 45.2.1	1.93 for RS-FEC	registers as follows:"
Proposed Response	Response Status O	,		Change : Proposed Re		mbers accordingly. <i>Response Status</i> O		
				<i>CI</i> 45 Kvist, Bengt	SC 45.2.1.93	3f P34 Ericsson AB	L 24	# 373
				Comment Ty FEC lane		Comment Status X	lane 3	
				for FEC I	ane 1, upper	16 bits.		
				SuggestedRe for FEC I	emedy ane 3, upper	16 bits.		
				Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status 0		

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.93f

Anslow, Pete	3g P 34 Ciena	L 39	# 368	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.9. Anslow, Pete	a P35 Ciena	L 46	# 361
	Comment Status X Bit(s) cell should be "1.230.1	5:0" rather than "3.	200.15:0"	Comment Type E The editing instruction should be 45.2.3.9.1	Comment Status X says "Insert the following su	ubclauses before	45.2.1.9.1:" but this
SuggestedRemedy Change "3.200.15:0"	to "1.230.15:0"			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Change "45.2.1.9.1:" t Proposed Response			
				-	Response Status O		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.9 Sela, Oren	P 36 Mellanox Te	L 21 echnologies	# 121	C/ 45 SC 45-7 Sela, Oren	P 28 Mellanox Te	L	# 90
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X	chhologies	
EEE both modes.	ory and normal mode is not	this register should	d change to	For consistancy PHYs	should be listed in the same and the priority resolution s		
SuggestedRemedy				be listed below 100GE			i onoulu
	05 3.20.0 in the folwoing way a LPI both mode supported.			SuggestedRemedy			
				per comment			
in the description repl	lace: and normal mode are supports s supported	ted		per comment Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
in the description repl 1 = Both Fast Wake a 0 = only Fast Wake is Replace in 45.2.3.9.6 LPI normal mode (3.2	lace: and normal mode are suppor s supported the text with: 20.0)		he I PI	·	Response Status 0	L	# 91
in the description repl 1 = Both Fast Wake a 0 = only Fast Wake is Replace in 45.2.3.9.6 LPI normal mode (3.2 If this bit is read as 1 FW and normal mode	lace: and normal mode are support s supported the text with: 20.0) the device support both mode.	les for PHYs with t	he LPI	Proposed Response		_	# 91
in the description repl 1 = Both Fast Wake a 0 = only Fast Wake is Replace in 45.2.3.9.6 LPI normal mode (3.2 If this bit is read as 1 FW and normal mode If this bit is set to 0 de	lace: and normal mode are supports supported the text with: 20.0) the device support both mode a evice support LPI FW only for	les for PHYs with t	he LPI	Proposed Response	P 3 1	_	# <u>91</u>
in the description repl 1 = Both Fast Wake a 0 = only Fast Wake is Replace in 45.2.3.9.6 LPI normal mode (3.2 If this bit is read as 1 FW and normal mode If this bit is set to 0 de	lace: and normal mode are support s supported the text with: 20.0) the device support both mode.	les for PHYs with t	he LPI	Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45-72a Sela, Oren Comment Type E	P 31 Mellanox Te <i>Comment Status</i> X ror indication field it will be be	chnologies	
in the description repl 1 = Both Fast Wake a 0 = only Fast Wake is Replace in 45.2.3.9.6 LPI normal mode (3.2 If this bit is read as 1 FW and normal mode If this bit is set to 0 de Proposed Response	lace: and normal mode are support s supported the text with: 20.0) the device support both mode. evice support LPI FW only for <i>Response Status</i> O	les for PHYs with t	the LPI # 362	Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45-72a Sela, Oren Comment Type E for the FEC enable en	P 31 Mellanox Te <i>Comment Status</i> X ror indication field it will be be	chnologies	
in the description repl 1 = Both Fast Wake a 0 = only Fast Wake is Replace in 45.2.3.9.6 LPI normal mode (3.2 If this bit is read as 1 FW and normal mode If this bit is set to 0 de Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9 Anslow, Pete	lace: and normal mode are supports supported the text with: 20.0) the device support both mode. evice support LPI FW only for <i>Response Status</i> O 0.6 P36 Ciena	des for PHYs with t or those phys		Proposed Response CI 45 SC 45-72a Sela, Oren Comment Type E for the FEC enable err is phrased like the cas SuggestedRemedy change: 0 = FEC decoder does	P31 Mellanox Ter Comment Status X for indication field it will be be re for	chnologies	
in the description repl 1 = Both Fast Wake a 0 = only Fast Wake is Replace in 45.2.3.9.6 LPI normal mode (3.2 If this bit is read as 1 FW and normal mode If this bit is set to 0 de Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E	lace: and normal mode are supports supported the text with: 20.0) the device support both mode evice support LPI FW only for <i>Response Status</i> O 0.6 P36 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X	des for PHYs with t or those phys <i>L</i> 19	# 362	Proposed Response CI 45 SC 45-72a Sela, Oren Comment Type E for the FEC enable errisis phrased like the case SuggestedRemedy change: 0 = FEC decoder does To:	P31 Mellanox Ter Comment Status X for indication field it will be be re for	chnologies etter if the case o	
in the description repl 1 = Both Fast Wake a 0 = only Fast Wake is Replace in 45.2.3.9.6 LPI normal mode (3.2 If this bit is read as 1 FW and normal mode If this bit is set to 0 de Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The editing instruction	lace: and normal mode are supports supported the text with: 20.0) the device support both mode evice support LPI FW only for <i>Response Status</i> O 0.6 P36 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X n says "Insert the following s	des for PHYs with t or those phys <i>L</i> 19	# 362	Proposed Response CI 45 SC 45-72a Sela, Oren Comment Type E for the FEC enable errisis phrased like the case SuggestedRemedy change: 0 = FEC decoder does To:	P31 Mellanox Ter <i>Comment Status</i> X for indication field it will be be the for s not indicate errors	chnologies etter if the case o	
in the description repl 1 = Both Fast Wake a 0 = only Fast Wake is Replace in 45.2.3.9.6 LPI normal mode (3.2 If this bit is read as 1 FW and normal mode If this bit is set to 0 de Proposed Response C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.9 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The editing instruction Firstly, this should be	lace: and normal mode are supports supported the text with: 20.0) the device support both mode evice support LPI FW only for <i>Response Status</i> O 0.6 P36 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X n says "Insert the following s	des for PHYs with t or those phys <i>L</i> 19	# 362	Proposed Response CI 45 SC 45-72a Sela, Oren Comment Type E for the FEC enable err is phrased like the cas SuggestedRemedy change: 0 = FEC decoder does To: 0 = FEC decoder does	P31 Mellanox Ter <i>Comment Status</i> X for indication field it will be be be for s not indicate errors s not indicate errors to the P0	chnologies etter if the case o	
in the description repl 1 = Both Fast Wake a 0 = only Fast Wake is Replace in 45.2.3.9.6 LPI normal mode (3.2 If this bit is read as 1 FW and normal mode If this bit is set to 0 de Proposed Response C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.9 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The editing instruction Firstly, this should be Secondly, 45.2.3.9.6	lace: and normal mode are supports supported the text with: 20.0) the device support both mode evice support LPI FW only for <i>Response Status</i> O 0.6 P36 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X In says "Insert the following s 45.2.3.9.5	des for PHYs with t or those phys <i>L</i> 19	# 362	Proposed Response CI 45 SC 45-72a Sela, Oren Comment Type E for the FEC enable err is phrased like the cas SuggestedRemedy change: 0 = FEC decoder does To: 0 = FEC decoder does	P31 Mellanox Ter <i>Comment Status</i> X for indication field it will be be be for s not indicate errors s not indicate errors to the P0	chnologies etter if the case o	
in the description repl 1 = Both Fast Wake a 0 = only Fast Wake is Replace in 45.2.3.9.6 LPI normal mode (3.2 If this bit is read as 1 FW and normal mode If this bit is set to 0 de Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E The editing instruction Firstly, this should be Secondly, 45.2.3.9.6 is SuggestedRemedy	lace: and normal mode are supports supported the text with: 20.0) the device support both mode. evice support LPI FW only for <i>Response Status</i> O 0.6 <i>P</i> 36 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X n says "Insert the following s 45.2.3.9.5 already exists for bit 3.20.1	des for PHYs with t or those phys <i>L</i> 19 ubclause after 45.2	# <u>362</u> 2.1.9.5:"	Proposed Response CI 45 SC 45-72a Sela, Oren Comment Type E for the FEC enable err is phrased like the cas SuggestedRemedy change: 0 = FEC decoder does To: 0 = FEC decoder does	P31 Mellanox Ter <i>Comment Status</i> X for indication field it will be be be for s not indicate errors s not indicate errors to the P0	chnologies etter if the case o	

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45-72a** Page 7 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:48 PM

		-						
C/ 72 SC 72.6.1 Matthew, Brown	0.2.4 P476 Applied Micro	L 34	# 125	C/ 73 SC 73 Sela, Oren	3.10.7	P 51 Mellanox Tec	L 25 hnologies	# 83
Comment Type E In Clause 72 of 802 (rather than 72.6.10 SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X .3bh in sub-clause 72.6.10.2.4, t .2.4.1).	he first sub-sub-	clause is 72.6.10.2.4.4	To be consiste	nt we should hav lity field and prior	ment Status X re the PHY order in r rity resolution - switc		
	ing so that the first sub-sub-clau	se under 72.6.10	0.2.4 is 72.6.10.2.4.1.	SuggestedRemedy	,			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			per comment				
				Proposed Respons	se Respo	onse Status O		
C/ 73 SC 6.10	P 49	L15	# 194	CI 73 SC 7	2.44	P 52	/ 40	# 40
Slavick, Jeff	Avago Techno	ologies		D'Ambrosia, John	3.11	P 32 Dell	L19	# 12
Comment Type T	Comment Status X				TR Com	ment Status X		
The transmit switch	function is only applicable during	g Auto-Negotiatio	on.				ar Rov D3 1 is sr	pecific to 40GBASE-
SuggestedRemedy				CR4 and 40GB	BASE-KR4. 802.	3bj D1.2 adds text to	o address various	rates of backplane
	try into the AN_GOOD_CHECK					has not been modif		
shall connect only the MDI."	ne DME page generator controlle	ed by the Transm	hit State Diagram to the	SuggestedRemedy	,			
to:				Add LE17 mod	lification to 73.11	.4.3		
	ation and prior to entry into the A Il connect only the DME page ge			Change value /	comment to			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			"PHYs for oper advertised sime		ical backplane and o	copper cable asse	embly shall not be
				Proposed Respons	e Respo	onse Status O		
CI 73 SC 7.2	P 50	L1	# 195					
Slavick, Jeff	Avago Techno	ologies						
Comment Type T	Comment Status X							
21	function is only applicable during	auto-negotiation	۱.					
SuggestedRemedy	· ·· ·	-						
Change "Prior to en	try into the AN_GOOD_CHECK age receiver to the MDI."	state, the Receiv	ve Switch function shall					
	ation and prior to entry into the A Il connect the DME page receive		CK state, the Receive					
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							
, , , .								

C/ 73 SC 73.11

	P 48	L17	# 82	C/ 78 SC 5.2	P 56	L 8	# <u>196</u>
Sela, Oren	Mellanox Tech	nologies		Slavick, Jeff	Avago Techno	ologies	
	Comment Status X the same order as they are in			Comment Type T Regiset bits for PEAS	Comment Status X SE have been defined.		
field and the priority res 100GBASE-KR4	solution so 100GBASE-KP4 sł	nould be listed b	before	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Change 1.n.n to 1.7.8	}		
	, 10GBASE-KX4, 10GBASE-K)GBASE-KR4, 100GBASE-KP			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
to:		,		C/ 78 SC 78.1	P53	L 30	# 7
	, 10GBASE-KX4, 10GBASE-K IGBASE-KP4, 100GBASE-KR			D'Ambrosia, John	Dell		
Proposed Response	Response Status O	.,		Comment Type E Avoid listings of PHY	Comment Status X s		
Cl 73 SC 73.6.4 D'Ambrosia, John Comment Type TR Statement "Reserved f	P 49 Dell <i>Comment Status</i> X ields shall be sent as zero and	L3	# <u>13</u>	backplanes, XGMII e service interfaces usi	clauses for EEE operation over xtension using the XGXS for 10 ng the XLAUI for 40 Gb/s PHY) Gb/s PHYs and	d and inter-sub layer
corresponding PIC.			eive. does not nave a	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
corresponding PIC. SuggestedRemedy add PIC			eive. uues nut nave a	C/ 78 SC 78.1	P53	L 32 nnologies	# <u>92</u>
corresponding PIC. SuggestedRemedy add PIC Proposed Response	Response Status O			Cl 78 SC 78.1 Sela, Oren Comment Type T	P 53 Mellanox Tech Comment Status X	nnologies	# 92
corresponding PIC. SuggestedRemedy add PIC Proposed Response		L13	# <u>197</u>	Cl 78 SC 78.1 Sela, Oren Comment Type T Typo - replace 40GB, SuggestedRemedy	P 53 Mellanox Tech	nnologies	# <u>92</u>
corresponding PIC. SuggestedRemedy add PIC Proposed Response CI 78 SC 5.2 Slavick, Jeff	Response Status O P 56 Avago Techno Comment Status X	L13		Cl 78 SC 78.1 Sela, Oren Comment Type T Typo - replace 40GB	P 53 Mellanox Tech Comment Status X	nnologies	# <u>92</u>
corresponding PIC. SuggestedRemedy add PIC Proposed Response C/ 78 SC 5.2 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T	Response Status O P 56 Avago Techno Comment Status X	L13		Cl 78 SC 78.1 Sela, Oren Comment Type T Typo - replace 40GB SuggestedRemedy Per comment	P 53 Mellanox Tech <i>Comment Status</i> X ASECR10 with 40GBASE-CR4	nnologies	# <u>92</u>

CI 78 SC 78.1

	SC 78.1.4	P 54	L1	# 363	CI 78	SC 78.5	P 54	L 48	# 95
Anslow, Pete		Ciena			Sela, Oren		Mellanox	Technologies	
Comment Type The title of		ment Status X ve been changed witho	out this being indi	icated in the draft		, is:Fast wake	Comment Status X is mandatory for PHYs th		
SuggestedRen	nedy						nt his statement is only true EE and not to all PHYs	e for the 40G and Tot	G
Add an edi strikethrou		e title of 78.1.4 and sh	now the changes	with underline and	SuggestedR				
Proposed Resp	8	onse Status O				the text to - F ent EEE; norn	ast wake is mandatory for nal wake is an additional o		PHYs that
CI 78 S Anslow, Pete	SC 78.2	P 55 Ciena	L 5	# 348	Fast wa	ke is mandato	ory for PHYs that impleme nal wake is an additional o		ected to
Comment Type Comment	#22 against D 1.1 ch	ment Status X anged the left hand co	blumn heading in	both tables 78-2 and	Proposed R	esponse	Response Status 0		
However, i	HY or interface type" in D 1.2 it has been c apital T in "Type"	hanged to "PHY or int	erface Type" in b	ooth cases (with a	C/ 78 Barrass, Hu	SC 78.5 gh	P 55 Cisco	L 20	# <u>38</u>
SuggestedRen	nedy				Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status X		
-		left hand column head	ling in both tables	6			o longer needed - the deci- ents, but either way the no		bler bypass will
Proposed Resp	oonse Respo	onse Status O			SuggestedR				
CI 78 S Trowbridge, Ste	SC 78.5 eve	P 54 Alcatel-Lucent	L 47	# 250	Proposed R	esponse	Response Status O		
	e T Com	ment Status X			CI 78	SC 78.5	P55	L32	# 40
"Fast Wake more a diff		hich, by not turning of	f the transmitter,	is able to wake	Barrass, Hu		Cisco		# 42
"Fast Wake more a diff	ferent type of sleep w ure 78-3 of the base of		f the transmitter,	is able to wake	Comment Ty	gh /pe T	Cisco Comment Status X		
"Fast Wake more a diff faster. Figu of sleep wo	ferent type of sleep w ure 78-3 of the base o orks.	hich, by not turning of	f the transmitter,	is able to wake	Comment Ty The valu	gh /pe T les in Table 7	Cisco		
"Fast Wak more a diff faster. Figu of sleep wo SuggestedRen Come up v 3) to show	ferent type of sleep w ure 78-3 of the base of orks. <i>nedy</i> with a term to better of the operation of this	hich, by not turning of	f the transmitter, curately show the	is able to wake e way this new kind ew figure (besides 78-	Comment Ty The valu SuggestedR	gh /pe T les in Table 7	Cisco Comment Status X 8-4 have been proposed a		
more a diff faster. Figu of sleep wo SuggestedRen Come up w	ferent type of sleep w ure 78-3 of the base of orks. <i>nedy</i> with a term to better of the operation of this _01	which, by not turning of document does not ac characterize the type o	f the transmitter, curately show the	is able to wake e way this new kind ew figure (besides 78-	Comment Ty The valu SuggestedR change Normal	gh <i>ipe</i> T les in Table 7 <i>emedy</i> Tw_sys_rx as	Cisco Comment Status X 8-4 have been proposed a follows: for 40G, 1.0uS for 100G		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	CI 78	Page 10 of 76
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 78.5	11/1/2012 7:05:48 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

C/ 78 SC 78.5 Barrass, Hugh	P 55 Cisco	L 32	# 40	C/ 78 SC 78.5 Barrass, Hugh	P 55 Cisco	L 35	# 35
Comment Type T With the addition of	Comment Status X scrambler bypass, rows need to	be added to tal	ble 78-4.	Comment Type T The values in Table 7	Comment Status X 8-4 have been proposed and	discussed, these	e can now be inserted
	SE-CR4, 40GBASE-KP4 and 1 ues of Tw_sys_tx, Tw_phy and T s for "Normal."			SuggestedRemedy Change Tw_sys_tx to Proposed Response	5.5uS for Normal mode, all F Response Status O	PHYs; 0.34uS for	Fast Wake, all PHYs
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Cl 78 SC 78.5 Barrass, Hugh	P 55 Cisco	L 8	# 41
C/ 78 SC 78.5 Barrass, Hugh	P 55 Cisco	L 33	# 43	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Comment Type T The values in Table SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X 78-4 have been proposed and c 5.5uS Normal; 0.30uS Fast Wak Response Status O		e can now be inserted.	presentation). SuggestedRemedy Insert the following va Ts = 0.9/1.1 uS Tq = 1700/1800 uS Tr = 5.9/6.5 uS		ted and discusse	ed (see separate
Cl 78 SC 78.5 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T The values in Table	P 55 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> X 78-4 have been proposed and c	L34	# 34	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy	tx to 2uS for Normal mode, all	PHYs	can now be inserted.				

Change Tphy_shrink_rx to 3uS for Normal mode, all PHYs Change Tphy_shrink_rx to 0uS for Fast Wake mode, all PHYs Change Tphy_shrink_rx to 0uS for Fast Wake mode, all PHYs

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 78 SC 78.5

In table 78-4 PHYs with the 0 mode - case 1 and case 2 w CL74 FEC SuggestedRemedy for the 40GBASE-CR4, 40Gi into 2 rows - case 1 and case in 78.5 change:		s under the noi EC and case 2		where th	ype ER like to see a	nother figure	Cisco nent Status X added similar to F rated from the 1000		
In table 78-4 PHYs with the 0 mode - case 1 and case 2 w CL74 FEC SuggestedRemedy for the 40GBASE-CR4, 40Gi into 2 rows - case 1 and cas in 78.5 change: Case-1 of the 10GBASE-KR 10GBASE-KR PHY applies t	CL74 FEC should have 2 rows hen case 1 is without CL74 FE BASE-KR4 and 100GBASE-C e 2.	EC and case 2		I would where th	like to see a	nother figure	added similar to F		
mode - case 1 and case 2 w CL74 FEC SuggestedRemedy for the 40GBASE-CR4, 40Gi into 2 rows - case 1 and case in 78.5 change: Case-1 of the 10GBASE-KR 10GBASE-KR PHY applies t	hen case 1 is without CL74 Ff BASE-KR4 and 100GBASE-C e 2.	EC and case 2		where th					
for the 40GBASE-CR4, 40G into 2 rows - case 1 and case in 78.5 change: Case-1 of the 10GBASE-KR 10GBASE-KR PHY applies t	e 2.	R10 split the r		I think it					
Case-1 of the 10GBASE-KR 10GBASE-KR PHY applies t			ormal mode	where the		s implemetn			ar that applications hip can be, and in fact
	PHY applies to PHYs without o PHYs with FEC.	t FEC. Case-2	of the						ent we may overlook is critical application.
applies to PHYs without FEC 40GBASE-CR4, and 100GB	, 40GBASE-KR4, 40GBASE-(C. Case-2 of the 10GBASE-KF ASE-CR10 PHYs applies to P	R, 40GBASE-K	(R4,	to show	vn an examp	le where the	FEC		
Proposed Response Re	sponse Status O			SuggestedR	Remedy				
							80-3a, but showing R PCS block by a F		re the RS-FEC layer is
C/ 79 SC 79.4 Barrass, Hugh	P 58 I Cisco	L1	# 36	Proposed R			nse Status O		
	omment Status X	ation of Fast V	Vake.	C/ 80	SC 3.2		P63	L 32	# 329
uggestedRemedy				Nicholl, Gar	у		Cisco		
	t & make the changes include	ed in the separ	ate submission.	Comment T	ype TR	Comn	nent Status X		
•	sponse Status O			Comme	ent against Fi	g 80-3b (ph)	ysically located on p	page 65).	
				layer. It below a	is my under	standing that RS-FEC laye	t the only PMA laye r is a PMA (4:4), i.e	er that is allowed t	ted below a RS-FEC to be implemented bwed to do any lane bit
				SuggestedR	Remedy				
				Please	correct figure	accordingly	/.		
				Proposed R	esponse	Respo	nse Status O		

CI 80 SC 3.2

C/ 80 SC 3.2	P 63	L 32	# 332	CI 80	SC 3.3.6.1	P 66	L15	# 337
Nicholl, Gary	Cisco			Nicholl, (Gary	Cisco		
80.3.3.4.3. on page all of the different p	Comment Status X erenced in this section, but is phy a 65. Why ? I actually found it co primitaves defined in 80.3.3.4 thre bing the primatives.	onfusing that Figu	re 80-3b which shows	FEC	does this work if the layer, i.e. how is the	Comment Status X here is a intermediate PMA I he IS_RX_LPI_Active.reques y reside between PCS and F	st primitive transp	
SuggestedRemedy Propose reposition Proposed Response	ing Fig 80-3a and Fig 80-3b und Response Status O	ler section 80.3.2	where they belong.	rece secti	ipt is defined speci	primitive seems a little different fically by the FEC sublayer v reipt is defined by the sublay ver)	whereas for the o	ther primitives in this
-,				Suggest	edRemedy			
C/ 80 SC 3.3.4 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T	.1 P63 Avago Techn Comment Status X	L 52 ologies	# 198	layei IS_F surro	r between the PCS X_LPI_Active.requ punding section, IS	er clarification around how th and the FEC, and whether t test primitive should be trate _TX_MODE, IS_RX_MODE	the intent was in ad different to the	fact that
	Γ and RF_WAKE no longer exist	as tx_mode valu	es.	Propose	d Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy								
QUIET, FW, ALER	ode parameter takes on one of u T, RF_ALERT, WAKE or RF_W		: DATA, SLEEP,	<i>CI</i> 80 Nicholl, (SC 3.3.7 Gary	P 66 Cisco	L 34	# 338
to: "The tx_mode para ALERT."	meter takes on one of up to five	values: DATA, S	LEEP, QUIET, FW or	Commer Does		Comment Status X e to be invoked in the case of	of fast wake EEE	?
Proposed Response	Response Status O					at the IS_ENERY_DETECT ake mode is active ?	primitive is neve	er invoked and has no
				Suggest	edRemedy			
						y that this primitive is never i bility or fast wake EEE capa		

case on no EEE cappability or fast wake EEE capability ? However this comment could be incorrect sa I still don't fully understand fast wake EEE :)

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 80 SC 3.3.7

C/ 80 SC 4	P 67	L14	# 339	C/ 80 SC 80.		P 58	L 29	# 251
Nicholl, Gary	Cisco			Trowbridge, Steve		Alcatel-Lucent		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type T		Comment Status X		
implementation on a	Table 80-3 have any aimplicat 802.3ba host line card not orig	inally designed fo	or supporting RS-FEC.	does not have th	is obje	objective "Provide Appropriate ctive, it touches three interface posed for EEE does not prese	es from the 802	2.3ba project which d
the new 100GBASE existing 802.3ba hos wondering if the add	build be the inclusion of the RS-F -SR4 PMD being developed wit st line card. It is critical that this litional delay of the RS-FEC lay ample with PAUSE buffering?	hin 802.3bm, and application can b er would break ar	d plugged into an be supported so I am	should not be us Modify the opera	ed for a tion of	place, a warning note about the an interface that is transparent the "fast wake" mode so that I he OTN mapper. See supporting	tly carried over	an OTN network.
SuggestedRemedy					Jugirui		ig presentation	r trombinage_or
More of a question f	for clarification, so no proposed	d remedy just yet.		Proposed Response		Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 80 SC 80. Dudek. Mike	1.3	P 58 QLogic	L 48	# 303
C/80 SC 5	P67	L 44	# 333	, -		GEOGIO		
		- • •				Comment Ctature N		
Nicholl, Gary	Cisco			Comment Type T		Comment Status X	actrical or maa	haniaal ana sification
Nicholl, Gary Comment Type E Do we need to add a	Cisco Comment Status X an additional figure (say Figure)			It states at the to the MDI for bakp	p of the	e next page that there is no ele	ectrical or mec	hanical specification
Comment Type E Do we need to add a CAUI4 interfacae be is not required if the Figure 80-5a ?	Comment Status X	80-5b), showing a ayer and RS-FEC	an example with a Clayer ? Perhaps this	It states at the to the MDI for bakp SuggestedRemedy	p of the lane Pl	e next page that there is no ele	ectrical or mec	hanical specification
Comment Type E Do we need to add a CAUI4 interfacae be is not required if the Figure 80-5a ? SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X an additional figure (say Figure a etween the 100GBASE-R PCS is skew points and skew values w	80-5b), showing a ayer and RS-FEC vould be identical	an example with a Clayer ? Perhaps this to those shown in	It states at the to the MDI for bakp SuggestedRemedy Delete "in Clause	p of the lane Pl	e next page that there is no ele hysical lanes r 40GBASE-KR4,"	ectrical or mec	hanical specification
Comment Type E Do we need to add a CAUI4 interfacae be is not required if the Figure 80-5a ? SuggestedRemedy If you agree with the	Comment Status X an additional figure (say Figure) tween the 100GBASE-R PCS I	80-5b), showing a ayer and RS-FEC vould be identical	an example with a Clayer ? Perhaps this to those shown in	It states at the to the MDI for bakp SuggestedRemedy Delete "in Clause	p of the lane Pl e 84 for	e next page that there is no ele hysical lanes r 40GBASE-KR4,"	L49	hanical specification
Comment Type E Do we need to add a CAUI4 interfacae be is not required if the Figure 80-5a ? SuggestedRemedy If you agree with the	Comment Status X an additional figure (say Figure) tween the 100GBASE-R PCS is skew points and skew values w	80-5b), showing a ayer and RS-FEC vould be identical	an example with a Clayer ? Perhaps this to those shown in	It states at the to the MDI for bakp SuggestedRemedy Delete "in Clause Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80.	p of the lane Pl e 84 for 1.3	e next page that there is no ele hysical lanes r 40GBASE-KR4," <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 58	L49	
Comment Type E Do we need to add a CAUI4 interfacae be is not required if the Figure 80-5a ? SuggestedRemedy If you agree with the Proposed Response	Comment Status X an additional figure (say Figure) tween the 100GBASE-R PCS is skew points and skew values w	80-5b), showing a ayer and RS-FEC vould be identical re as described at	an example with a Clayer ? Perhaps this to those shown in	It states at the to the MDI for bakp SuggestedRemedy Delete "in Clause Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80. Sela, Oren Comment Type T	p of the lane Pl e 84 for 1.3	e next page that there is no ele hysical lanes 40GBASE-KR4," <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 58 Mellanox Techr <i>Comment Status</i> X g - 40GBASE-LR4, 100GBASE	L 49 nologies	# 97
Comment Type E Do we need to add a CAUI4 interfacae be is not required if the Figure 80-5a ? SuggestedRemedy If you agree with the Proposed Response C/ 80 SC 5 Slavick, Jeff	Comment Status X an additional figure (say Figure) between the 100GBASE-R PCS is skew points and skew values w e comment then add a new figur Response Status O P 70 Avago Techn	80-5b), showing a ayer and RS-FEC vould be identical re as described at	an example with a Clayer ? Perhaps this to those shown in bove. If not then don't.	It states at the to the MDI for bakp SuggestedRemedy Delete "in Clause Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80. Sela, Oren Comment Type T bullet g and h are	p of the lane Pl e 84 for 1.3	e next page that there is no ele hysical lanes 40GBASE-KR4," <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 58 Mellanox Techr <i>Comment Status</i> X g - 40GBASE-LR4, 100GBASE	L 49 nologies	# 97
Comment Type E Do we need to add a CAUI4 interfacae be is not required if the Figure 80-5a ? SuggestedRemedy If you agree with the Proposed Response C/ 80 SC 5 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T Table 80-5 states tha PMA(4:4) for a 100C SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X an additional figure (say Figure 3 stween the 100GBASE-R PCS is skew points and skew values w e comment then add a new figur Response Status 0 P70 Avago Techn Comment Status X at SP6 is N/A for 25G rates, but GBASE-R PHY stackup which w	80-5b), showing a ayer and RS-FEC vould be identical re as described at <i>L</i> 23 ologies t Figure 80-5a sho	an example with a Clayer ? Perhaps this to those shown in bove. If not then don't. # <u>199</u> ows it coming out of a	It states at the to the MDI for bakp SuggestedRemedy Delete "in Clause Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80. Sela, Oren Comment Type T bullet g and h are single lane MDI a SuggestedRemedy g) The MDIs as a 40GBASE-LR4, single lane data h) The MDIs as a	p of the lane Pl a 84 for 1.3 and not specifie n Claus path. specifie	e next page that there is no ele hysical lanes 40GBASE-KR4," <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 58 Mellanox Techr <i>Comment Status</i> X g - 40GBASE-LR4, 100GBASE 4 lanes ed in Clause 89 for 40GBASE- se 88 for 100GBASE-LR4 and ed in Clause 84 for 40GBASE-	<i>L</i> 49 nologies E-LR4 and 100 FR, in Clause i 1 100GBASE-E KR4, in Clause	# 97 OGBASE-ER4 are 87 for ER4 all uses a e 85 for
Comment Type E Do we need to add a CAUI4 interfacae be is not required if the Figure 80-5a ? SuggestedRemedy If you agree with the Proposed Response CI 80 SC 5 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T Table 80-5 states tha PMA(4:4) for a 100C SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X an additional figure (say Figure 3 stween the 100GBASE-R PCS is skew points and skew values w e comment then add a new figur Response Status 0 P70 Avago Techn Comment Status X at SP6 is N/A for 25G rates, but	80-5b), showing a ayer and RS-FEC vould be identical re as described at <i>L</i> 23 ologies t Figure 80-5a sho	an example with a Clayer ? Perhaps this to those shown in bove. If not then don't. # <u>199</u> ows it coming out of a	It states at the to the MDI for bakp SuggestedRemedy Delete "in Clause Proposed Response Cl 80 SC 80. Sela, Oren Comment Type T bullet g and h are single lane MDI a SuggestedRemedy g) The MDIs as a 40GBASE-LR4, single lane data h) The MDIs as a	p of the lane Pl a 84 for 1.3 e wrong and not specifie n Clau path. specifie in Clau	e next page that there is no ele hysical lanes 40GBASE-KR4," <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 58 Mellanox Techr <i>Comment Status</i> X g - 40GBASE-LR4, 100GBASE 4 lanes ed in Clause 89 for 40GBASE-LR4 and ed in Clause 84 for 40GBASE-LR4 and ed in Clause 84 for 40GBASE-LR4 and	<i>L</i> 49 nologies E-LR4 and 100 FR, in Clause i 1 100GBASE-E KR4, in Clause	# <u>97</u> DGBASE-ER4 are 87 for ER4 all uses a e 85 for

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3

0/ 00 00 0		/ 00	" 400			0		" 000
Cl 80 SC 80.1.3 Dawe, Piers	3 P 59 IPtronics	L 33	# 406	C/ 80 Anslow, P	SC 80.2.2	<i>P</i> Ciena	L	# 366
conditional: 74.1 "T optionally use the f SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X FIONAL BASED ON PHY TYPE" The 40GBASE-CR4 and 100GBA FEC sublayer". DING ON PHY TYPE". Also Fig	SE-CR10 PHYs	HY types it's not	5 the PN Suggested	ne PMA specific MA specification <i>Remedy</i> ge " in Clause 83	Comment Status X ations defined in Clause 83 at s defined in Clause 83 or Clau 8 and Clause 94" to "in Clause Response Status O	ıse 94"	
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			FTOPOSEd	Response			
C/ 80 SC 80.1. Sela, Oren	4 P59 Mellanox Tecl	L 50	# 98	<i>Cl</i> 80 Dudek, Mi	SC 80.2.2 ke	P 62 QLogic	L 5	# 304
Comment Type T if we state that son some 40GBASE-R SuggestedRemedy after - "Layer dev	Comment Status X ne 100GBASE-R PHYs use CL91 and 100GBASE-R may use CL7 rices also use the transcoding and	I FEC we should 4 FEC d FEC of Clause	ə 91."	100GE Suggested	e 94 does not be BASE-P. <i>IRemedy</i>	Comment Status X elong in this section unless the the list of Phy types on line 5.	ere is also some	e description of
add "Some 40GBA Proposed Response	SE-R and 100GBASE-R also ma Response Status 0	ay use FEC of ca	aluse 74"	Do so Proposed		30.2.5 on line 35 Response Status O		
C/ 80 SC 80.1. Anslow, Pete	5 <i>P</i> 61 Ciena	L 37	# 351	<i>Cl</i> 80 Sela, Orer	SC 80.2.6	P 62 Mellanox Tecl	L 43 hnologies	# 85
0	Comment Status X ainst D 1.1 changed the nomencl EC", however the hyphen is miss		Table 80-2a under	Techn	nsistancy PHYs	Comment Status X should be listed in the same d and the priority resolution so BASE-KR4		
	clature column of Table 80-2a ur	nder Clause 91 f	rom "RS FEC" to "RS		<i>IRemedy</i> mment			
FEG								

C/ 80 SC 80.2.6

C/ 80 SC 80.3.1 Sela, Oren	P 62 Mellanox Tech	L 51	# 99	C/ 80 SC 80.3.3.4 Sela, Oren	4 P63 Mellanox Teo	L 51	# 100
Comment Type T There are 4 aditional pri SuggestedRemedy change: sublayer service interf follows To:	Comment Status X	rimitives defined		Comment Type T Per changes to the L changed SuggestedRemedy change: The tx_mode parame QUIET, FW, ALERT, To:	Comment Status X PI transnit state diagram (Figu eter takes on one of up to eigh RF_ALERT, WAKE or RF_W eter takes on one of up to six v	t values: DATA, S AKE.	SLEEP,
Toposeu Nesponse				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
are four. SuggestedRemedy	P62 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> X olayer service interface include			not been preserved. SuggestedRemedy	P67 Ciena Comment Status X nst D 1.1 was accepted but not		# <u>352</u> ed. Reach order has
Proposed Response C/ 80 SC 80.3.2 Dawe, Piers	Response Status O P 63 IPtronics	L31	# <u>4</u> 07	100GBASE-R RS-FE 100GBASE-KR4 100GBASE-KP4 100GBASE-CR4	the additional rows shown in T	adie 80-3 to de:	
Comment Type T Draft proposes changin CONDITIONAL BASED	Comment Status X g OPTIONAL OR OMITTED I ON PHY TYPE in Figure 80- these can mix up the lanes s	3. Yet figure sh	ows 10-lane PMAs	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Don't do proposed chan change is appropriate, u	nge. I think the same applies use just "DEPENDING ON PH	to Figure 80–4, I					

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 80 SC 80.4

C/ 80 SC 80.5	P 70	L11	# 385	C/ 80		80-4	<i>P</i> 69	L	# 111
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics			Sela, Ore	n		Mellanox Te	chnologies	
there can be no more are probably higher th SuggestedRemedy Review the Skew and 10 lanes and 4.	Comment Status X ularly, Skew Variation allocation e than 4 lanes, trace length mis han needed for 4 lanes, costing d Skew Variation allocations, be	match will be ready buffers that will	duced, so these limits never be used.	100G are n PMA Suggeste	80-4 PCS lan BASE- ot relev skew th dReme	CR4/KR4/ vent - optic hat is too h edy	Comment Status X skew should not be applicab KP4. Those number include al PMD skew - SP3 and SP- nigh for a 4:4 PMA ble. Table 1 should remain t	significant skew 4, it also has sig	inifcant
Proposed Response	Response Status O			in 802 the se	2.3-201	2. able shou	ld only have the 100G skew		
C/ 80 SC 80-3b	P 65	L	# 87				should remain 29ns, SP1 c	an be 29ns. SP2	should be
ela, Oren	Mellanox Tecl	hnologies		~36n	s. SP3	should be	~41ns, SP4 should be~60ns	(copper MDI on	lly), SP5
e .	Comment Status X Il inter-sublayer service interfac	e for EEE suppo	ort is	as a i	esult th	ne latency	P6 should be~73ns. SP7 sh at the FEC receive should c 91.5.3.1 on page 124 line 4	hange from 180	
U U	Irify and split into 2 figures			Proposed	Respo	onse	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy	at the figure and the athen addit	in al sine als and	ton of						
those in Figrue 80-3a 2) the PMA attached	at this figure only has the addit below an RS-FEC sublayer ca S-FEC and CL74 FEC in the si	n only be a 4:4, l	because the	<i>Cl</i> 81 Nicholl, G		3.1.5	P 73 Cisco	L 40	# 334
	10 PMA can be attached to the			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status X		
	gures - one with the optional C C will make this more clear	L74 FEC and on	e with	all lar	nes). Ho	owever Fig	PI is requested by the RS aa g 81-6a at the top of page 74		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			sento	on lane	0 , i.e. TX	(D <7:0>.		
				Suggeste	dReme	edy			
					y Fig 8 <7:0>).		ow that LPI is signalled as 0	x06 on all lanes	and not just on lane 0
				D # a # a c c c	D				

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 81 SC 3.1.5

Comment Type T Comment Status X This section indicates that the PHY signals LPI to the RS by asserting RXC and setting RXD to 0x06 (on all lanes). However Figure 81-8a gives the impression that only lane 0, i.e. RXD-7:O- is set to 0x06. What appears to be missing in this section (and in Figure 91-9a) is a description of wheth this LPI assertion and detection functional block and associated state machines is implemeted upstream (or downstream from the link fault signalling functional block as when the clear that all lanes are set and that only lane 0 is shown in the diagram for clarity. Propose modifying the table to show that all RXD lanes are set to 0x06, or at least make it clear that all lanes are set and that only lane 0 is shown in the diagram for clarity. Ibelieve it must be implemented upstream (above) the link fault signalling block as when to clearly that 0 is a description of wheth this LPI assertion is to RST. Vi 81 SC 3.4 P75 L31 # 341 Comment Type T Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Piese clarity where in the data path this function is to be included, with respect to link fault signalling section (81.4) then you can ignore th communication. Upon recognition of a fault condition, a PHY sublayer indicates Local Fault signalling. If the convention is that this is amplicitely defined by the fact that this section 18.2 (S 3a) The term "unreliable for communication" is very vague and not clearly defined. Row that signalling one seriously (and see more value in it), I am getting increasing questions from the field where a customer sea at LF condition and wants to know what caused if This is adways a difficult question to answer	C/ 81 SC 3.2.4	P 74	L 41	# 340	C/ 81 SC	C 3a	P 76	L1	# 327
This section indicates that the PHY signals LP1 to the RS by asserting RXC and setting RXD to dxf6 (or all lanes). However Figure 81-8a gives the impression that only lane 0, i.e. RXD-7:0- is set to 0x06. NagestedRemedy Proposes Mostlying the table to show that all RXD lanes are set to 0x06, or at least make it clear that all lanes are set and that only lane 0 is shown in the diagram for clarity. Proposes Mostlying the table to show that all RXD lanes are set to 0x06, or at least make it clear that all lanes are set and that only lane 0 is shown in the diagram for clarity. Proposes Mostlying the table to show that all RXD lanes are set to 0x06, or at least make it clear that all lanes are set and that only lane 0 is shown in the diagram for clarity. Proposed Response Response Response Status O Cl 81 SC 34 P75 L31 # 341 This section states: "Sublayers within the PHY are capable of detecting faults that render a link unreliable for communication. Upon recognition of a fault condition, a PHY sublayer indicates Local Fault the convention is that this is implicitely defined by the fact that this section for a fault condition, a PHY sublayer indicates Local Fault the convention is that this is miplicitely defined by the fact that this section for a fault condition, a PHY sublayer indicates Local Fault the convention is that this is miplicitely defined by the fact that this section for a fault condition, a PHY sublayer indicates Local Fault the convention is that this is miplicitely defined by the fact that this section for the fault signaling meeting of the fact that all fault the fault signaling meeting for the state of the physical defined that the section states: Nuclear data path. The term 'unreliable for communication' is very vague and not clearly defined in the state of TAC-70- and TXC-70- and TXC-63-0- is derived from the state of PLS. DATA request and LP_IDLE.request (11.7.1), except when it is overriden by an assertion of LP_IDLE.request and LP_IDLE.request and the fault signaling meetas of the fo	Nicholl, Gary	Cisco			Nicholl, Gary		Cisco		
RXD to XDG (on all lanes). However Figure 81-8a gives the impression that only lane 0, i.e. RXD-770 is set to XDG. SuggestedRemady Propose modifying the table to show that all RXD lanes are set to XDG, or at least make it car that all lanes are set and that only lane 0 is shown in the diagram for clarity. Propose modifying the table to show that all RXD lanes are set to XDG, or at least make it car that all lanes are set and that only lane 0 is shown in the diagram for clarity. Propose Response Response Response Status O $\frac{1}{1281}$ SC 3.4 P75 L31 # $\frac{1}{1241}$ This section status: Sublayers within the PHY are capable of detecting faults that render a link unreliable for communication. Upon recognition of a fault condition, a PHY sublayer indicates Local Fault Scale and that difficult question to and wants to how what tact as ear and wants to how what were are moving to these higher speed ethernet links customers are stating to take link fault signalling more seriously (and see more value in II). Tam getting increasing to take of the SC 3a P76 L35 # $\frac{1}{130}$ Nicholi, Gary Cisco Comment Type TR Comment Status X The term 'unreliable for communication' is very vague and not clearly defined. Now that were are moving to these higher speed ethernet links customers are starting to take link fault signalling more seriously (and see more value in II). Tam getting increasing to take of LP1. DLE request difficult question to answer as it is not clearly defined in the standard as to which alarm conditions speerate a LC condition series the standard as to which alarm conditions speerate at Could define difficult question to conditions. Mich BER - basically the basic PHY alarms reported in the MDIO section. I think standridzing this is always a difficult question to answer as it is not clearly defined in the state of the following in protivo order: 1 think standridzing this would be a great service to the industry. This is really no different to what has been done in the past for SONET and OTN equipment	Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type	т	Comment Status X		
Uggested/Rendy Propose modifying the table to show that all RXD lanes are set to 0x06, or at least make it clear that all lanes are set and that only lane 0 is shown in the diagram for clarity. Propose modifying the table to show that all RXD lanes are set to 0x06, or at least make it clear that all lanes are set and that only lane 0 is shown in the diagram for clarity. Propose modifying the table to show that all RXD lanes are set to 0x06, or at least make it clear that all lanes are set and that only lane 0 is shown in the diagram for clarity. Propose modifying the table to show that all RXD lanes are set to 0x06, or at least make it clear that all lanes are set and that only lane 0 is shown in the diagram for clarity. Propose modifying the table to show that all RXD lanes are set to 0x06, or at least make it clear that all lanes are set and that only lane 0 is shown in the diagram for clarity. Prof to the field where the set to clear to the diagram for clarity. Prof to the field where a customer set to communication is very vague and not clearly defined. Now that were are moving to these higher speed ethernet links customers are straing to take link fault signalling more seriously (and see more value in it), 1 ang etting increasing questions from the field where a customer set as at it is not clearly defined in the state of PLS_DATA request (81.1.7), except when it is solerided from the state of PLS_DATA request (81.1.7), except when it is solerided from the state of PLS_DATA request and LP_IDLE. request. Usgested/Remedy I think standridizing this would be a great service to the industry. This is really defined	RXD to 0x06 (on all	lanes). However Figure 81-8a g			this LPI ass implemeted	ertion and upstream	detection functional block an or downstream from the link	d associated stat	e machines is
clear that all lanes are set and that only lane 0 is shown in the diagram for clarity. ipposed Response Response Status 0 ipposed Response Response Status 0 its SC 3.4 P75 L31 # 341 itcholl, Gary Cisco Cisco Stope section comment Type T Comment Status X This section states: Proceeding and the section (81.3) occurs before the link fault signalling. If the convention is that this is simplicitely defined by the fact that this sin that difficuly define in the state of the fol	SuggestedRemedy				(described ii	n section 8	31.3.4).		
21 B1 SC 3.4 P75 L 31 # 341 itcholl, Gary Cisco Plase clarify where in the data path this function is to be included, with respect to link is section (81.3a) occurs before the link fault signalling. If the convention is that this is implicitely defined by the fact that this section (81.4) then you can ignore the communication. Upon recognition of a fault condition, a PHY sublayer indicates Local Fault status on the data path." Comment. 7: Sublayers within the PHY are capable of detecting faults that render a link unreliable for communication. Upon recognition of a fault condition, a PHY sublayer indicates Local Fault status on the data path." Cisco The term "unreliable for communication" is very vague and not clearly defined. Now that were are moving to these higher speed thermet links customers are starting to take link fault signalling more seriously (and see more value in it). I am getting increasing questions from the field where a customer see a LF condition and wants to know what caused it This is always a difficult question to answer as it is not clearly defined in the stadnard. Comment Type TR Comment Status X Nickholl, Gary Cisco SuggestedRemedy I think we should clearly define in the standard as to which alarm conditions generate a Local Fault (LP). I don't think this is that difficult and the list would be something like PMD-LOS, PMA-LOL, PCS:Loss-d-block-lock: PCS: HI-BER basically the basic PHY alarm sreported in the MDID section. I he case of a Remote Fault condition aren't both the state of the following in priority order. 1. Ithink standrdizing this would be a great ser	Propose modfiying t clear that all lanes a	he table to show that all RXD la re set and that only lane 0 is sh	nes are set to 0x own in the diagra	06, or at least make it am for clarity.	Local Fault i	is received	by the RS from the PHY lay	er, then the trasr	mit RS stops sending
C1 81 SC 3.4 P75 L31 # [341] Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status X This section states: "Subjayers within the PHY are capable of detecting faults that render a link unreliable for communication. Upon recognition of a fault condition, a PHY subjayer indicates Local Fault signalling more seriously (and see more value in it). Tam getting increasing questions from the field where a customer see a LF condition and where a customer see a LF condition and water see more value in it). Tam getting increasing questions from the field where a customer see a LF condition and water to know that were are moving to these higher speed ethernet links customers are starting to take link fault signalling more seriously (and see more value in it). Tam getting increasing questions from the field where a customer see a LF condition and wants to know what caused it This is always a difficult question to answer as it is not clearly defined in the standard as to which alarm conditions generate a Local Fault (LF). I don't think this is that difficult and the list would be something like PMD:LOS, PMA:LOL, PCS:LOS-of-block-lock: PCS: HI-BER basically the basic PHY areams reported in the MDI section. I the kandrdizing this would be a great service to the industry. This is really no different to what has been done in the past for SONETTOT equipment where the alarm conditions which generate AIS (SONETTOT equivalent of LF) are clearly defined and implemented consistently across equipment from multiple vendors. I Remote Fault 1. Here, clearly defined and implemented consistently across equipment from multiple vendors. I. P. IDLE request. 2. PL) DLE request. Suggeste	Proposed Response		either MAC	date or LF	I and instead sends continuo	us Remote Fault	towards the PHY.		
27 B1 SC 3.4 P75 L31 # [341] bicholl, Gary Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status X This section states: "Subjayers within the PHY are capable of detecting faults that render a link unreliable for communication. Upon recognition of a fault condition, a PHY sublayer indicates Local Fault status on the data path." O The term "unreliable for communication" is very vague and not clearly defined. Now that were are moving to these higher speed ethernet links customers are starting to take link fault signalling one seriously (and see more value in it), I am getting increasing questions from the field where a customer sea it is not clearly defined in the standard. SuggestedRemedy Ithink we should clearly define in the standard as to which alarm conditions generate a Local Fault (LF). I don't think this is that difficult and the list would be something like PMD:LOS, PMA:LOL, PCS:Loss-of-block-lock: PCS: HI-BER basically the basic PHY are modified in the state of SONET and OTN equipment where the alarm conditions which generate AIS (SONET/OTN equivalent of LF) are clearly defined and implemented consistently across equipment from multiple vendors. I. Remote Fault Comment Status Q I think standrizing this would be a great service to the industry. I. Remote Fault Comment Status Q I think standrizing this would be a great service to the industry. I. Remote Fault Comment Status Q I think standrizing this would be a great service to the industry. I. Remote Fault Condition aren't both the state of the follwoi					00				
Comment Type T Comment Status X This section states: "SubJayers within the PHY are capable of detecting faults that render a link unreliable for communication. Upon recognition of a fault condition, a PHY subJayer indicates Local Fault status on the data path." The term "unreliable for communication" is very vague and not clearly defined. Now that were are moving to these higher speed ethernet links customers are starting to take link fault signalling more seriously (and see more value in it), 1 am getting increasing questions from the field where a customer see a LF condition and wants to know what caused it This is always a difficult question to answer as it is not clearly defined in the standard. SuggestedRemedy I think we should clearly define in the standard as to which alarm conditions generate a Local Fault (LF). I don't think this is that difficult and the list would be something like PMD:LOS, PMA:LOL, PCS:Loss-of-block-lock: PCS: HI-BER basically the basic PHY alarms reported in the MDIO section. I think standrdizing this would be a great service to the industry. This is really no different to what has been done in the past for SONET and OTN equipment where the alarm conditions which generate AIS (SONET/OTN equivalent of LF) are clearly defined and implemented consistently across equipment from multiple vendors I think standrdizing this would be a great service to the industry. This is really no different to what has been done in the past for SONET and OTN equipment where the alarm conditions which generate AIS (SONET/OTN equivalent of LF) are clearly defined and implemented consistently acrose equipment from multiple vendors	C/ 81 SC 3.4 Nicholl, Gary	-	L 31	# <u>3</u> 41	fault signalli section(81.3	ng. If the o	convention is that this is impli	icitely defined by	the fact that this
communication. Upon recognition of a fault condition, a PHY sublayer indicates Local Fault status on the data path." C/ 81 SC 3a P76 L35 # [330] The term "unreliable for communication" is very vague and not clearly defined. Now that were are moving to these higher speed ethernet links customers are starting to take link fault signalling more seriously (and see more value in it), 1 am getting increasing questions from the field where a customer see a LF condition and wants to know what caused it This is always a difficult question to answer as it is not clearly defined in the standard. C/ 81 SC 3a P76 L35 # [330] SuggestedRemedy I think we should clearly define in the standard as to which alarm conditions generate a Local Fault (LF). I don't think this is that difficult and the list would be something like PMD:LOS, PMA:LOL, PCS:LOSS-of-block-lock: PCS: HI-BER basically the basic PHY alarms reported in the MDIO section. I think standrdizing this would be a great service to the industry. This is really no different to what has been done in the past for SONET and OTN equipment where the alarm conditions which generate AIS (SONET/OTN equivalent of LF) are clearly defined and implemented consistently across equipment from multiple vendors. I. Remote Fault 2. LP. IDLE.request SuggestedRemedy I think standrdizing this conditions which generate AIS (SONET/OTN equivalent of LF) are clearly defined and implemented consistently across equipment from multiple vendors. I. Remote Fault I. P. IDLE.request SuggestedRemedy I think standrdizing the text to reflect this. Proposed Response Response Status O	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Comment Status X				onse	Response Status O		
The term different to what has been done in the past for SONET and OTN equipment from multiple vendors. This is really no different to what has been done in the past for SONET and OTN equipment where the alarm conditions which generate AIS (SONET/OTN equivalent of LF) are clearly defined and implemented consistently across equipment from multiple vendors.			n, a PHY sublaye	er indicates Local Fault		C 3a		L 35	# <u>3</u> 30
Now that were are moving to these higher speed ethernet links customers are starting to take link fault signalling more seriously (and see more value in it). I am getting increasing questions from the field where a customer see a LF condition and wants to know what caused it This is always a difficult question to answer as it is not clearly defined in the stadnard. "The definition of TXC<7:0> and TXD<63:0> is derived from the state of PLS_DATA.request (81.1.7), except when it is overridden by an assertion of LP_IDLE.request." SuggestedRemedy I think we should clearly define in the standard as to which alarm conditions generate a Local Fault (LF). I don't think this is that difficult and the list would be something like PMD:LOS, PMA:LOL, PCS:Loss-of-block-lock: PCS: HI-BER basically the basic PHY alarms reported in the MDIO section. I think standrdizing this would be a great service to the industry. This is really no different to what has been done in the past for SONET and OTN equivalent of LP are clearly defined and implemented consistently across equipment from multiple vendors. I. Remote Fault 2. LP IDLE.request 3. PLS_DATA.request SuggestedRemedy I think standrdizing this would be a great service to the industry. I. Remote Fault 2. LP IDLE request 3. PLS_DATA.request SuggestedRemedy I think standright for the state of SONET and OTN equivalent of LF) are clearly defined and implemented consistently across equipment from multiple vendors. I. Remote Fault 2. LP IDLE request 3. PLS_DATA.request SuggestedRemedy If my comment is correct then I suggest updating the text to reflect this.	The term "unreliable	e for communication" is very vac	ue and not clear	ly defined.	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status X		
Lipstearemedy I tihnk we should clearly define in the standard as to which alarm conditions generate a Local Fault (LF). I don't think this is that difficult and the list would be something like PMD:LOS, PMA:LOL, PCS:Loss-of-block-lock: PCS: HI-BER basically the basic PHY alarms reported in the MDIO section. I think standrdizing this would be a great service to the industry. This is really no different to what has been done in the past for SONET and OTN equipment where the alarm conditions which generate AIS (SONET/OTN equivalent of LF) are clearly defined and implemented consistently across equipment from multiple vendors.	take link fault signal	ling more seriously (and see mo	ore value in it), I condition and wa	am getting increasing	PLS_DATA. LP_IDLE.red	request (8 quest."			
I tink we should clearly define in the standard as to which alarm conditions generate a Local Fault (LF). I don't think this is that difficult and the list would be something like PMD:LOS, PMA:LOL, PCS:Loss-of-block-lock: PCS: HI-BER basically the basic PHY alarms reported in the MDIO section. I think standrdizing this would be a great service to the industry. This is really no different to what has been done in the past for SONET and OTN equipment where the alarm conditions which generate AIS (SONET/OTN equivalent of LF) are clearly defined and implemented consistently across equipment from multiple vendors. Proposed Response Response Response Status O	caused it This is alw	ays a difficult question to answe	er as it is not clea			,			
I think standrdizing this would be a great service to the industry. 2. LP_IDLE.request This is really no different to what has been done in the past for SONET and OTN equipment where the alarm conditions which generate AIS (SONET/OTN equivalent of LF) are clearly defined and implemented consistently across equipment from multiple vendors. 2. LP_IDLE.request 3. PLS_DATA.request <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> If my comment is correct then I suggest updating the text to reflect this. <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i>	caused it This is alw stadnard.	ays a difficult question to answe	er as it is not clea		In the case	of a Remo			
This is really no different to what has been done in the past for SONET and OTN equipment where the alarm conditions which generate AIS (SONET/OTN equivalent of LF) are clearly defined and implemented consistently across equipment from multiple vendors.	caused it This is alw stadnard. SuggestedRemedy I tihnk we should cle Local Fault (LF). I d PMD:LOS, PMA:LO	early define in the standard as to on't think this is that difficult and L, PCS:Loss-of-block-lock: PCS	o which alarm cou I the list would be	arly defined in the nditions generate a e something like	In the case of LP_IDLE.red	of a Remo quest ultin	nately overwritten by the asse	rtion of Remote	Fault.
are clearly defined and implemented consistently across equipment from multiple vendors. If my comment is correct then I suggest updating the text to reflect this. Proposed Response Response Status O	caused it This is alw stadnard. SuggestedRemedy I tihnk we should cle Local Fault (LF). I d PMD:LOS, PMA:LO alarms reported in the I think standrdizing the	early define in the standard as to on't think this is that difficult and L, PCS:Loss-of-block-lock: PCS ne MDIO section. this would be a great service to	o which alarm cou I the list would be S: HI-BER basic the industry.	arly defined in the nditions generate a e something like cally the basic PHY	In the case of LP_IDLE.red The definitic priority orde 1. Remote F 2. LP_IDLE.	of a Remo quest ultin on of TXC< r: Fault request	nately overwritten by the asse	rtion of Remote	Fault.
	caused it This is alw stadnard. SuggestedRemedy I tihnk we should cle Local Fault (LF). I d PMD:LOS, PMA:LO alarms reported in th I think standrdizing the This is really no diffe	early define in the standard as to on't think this is that difficult and L, PCS:Loss-of-block-lock: PCS ne MDIO section. this would be a great service to erent to what has been done in f	o which alarm cou I the list would be S: HI-BER basic the industry. the past for SON	arly defined in the nditions generate a e something like cally the basic PHY ET and OTN	In the case of LP_IDLE.red The definition priority orde 1. Remote F 2. LP_IDLE. 3. PLS_DAT	of a Remo quest ultin on of TXC- r: Fault request FA.request	nately overwritten by the asse	rtion of Remote	Fault.
	caused it This is alw stadnard. SuggestedRemedy I tihnk we should cle Local Fault (LF). I d PMD:LOS, PMA:LO alarms reported in th I think standrdizing the This is really no diffe equipment where th	early define in the standard as to on't think this is that difficult and L, PCS:Loss-of-block-lock: PCS ne MDIO section. this would be a great service to erent to what has been done in to e alarm conditions which genera	o which alarm cou I the list would be B: HI-BER basic the industry. the past for SON ate AIS (SONET/	arly defined in the nditions generate a e something like cally the basic PHY ET and OTN OTN equivalent of LF)	In the case of LP_IDLE.red The definition priority orde 1. Remote F 2. LP_IDLE. 3. PLS_DAT SuggestedRemo	of a Remo quest ultin on of TXC r: Fault request FA.request edy	nately overwritten by the asse <7:0> and TXD<63:0> is deriv	rtion of Remote	Fault. of the follwoing in

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 81	Page 18 of 76
TTE. Trytechnical required Enveditional required Onvgeneral required Trechnical Ereditorial Orgeneral	0/ 01	rage to or ro
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 3a	11/1/2012 7:05:4
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

7:05:48 PM

C/ 81 SC 81.1.7 P72 L43 # 14 C/ 81 SC 81.3a P77 L11 # 15 D'Ambrosia. John Dell D'Ambrosia, John Dell Comment Type **TR** Comment Status X Comment Type **TR** Comment Status X Following sentence Wake up time / Transmit LPI state diagram has shall statement with no corresponding PIC "EEE capability requires the use of the MAC defined in Annex 4A for simplified full duplex SuggestedRemedy operation (with ... " add PIC table for LPI Assertion and Detection states a requirement, but there is associated SHALL statement Feature > Wake up time subclause > 81.3.a.2SuggestedRemedy Value - Per Transmit LPI state diagram 81-10a Change sentence to "EEE capability shall use the MAC defined in Annex Proposed Response Response Status 0 4A for simplified full duplex operation (with...." Add corresponding PIC C/ 81 SC 81.3a.3.1 P**78** L31 # 16 Proposed Response Response Status O D'Ambrosia, John Dell Comment Status X Comment Type **TR** C/ 81 SC 81.3.1.5 P**73** L45 # 101 RS Mapping function has Shall statement with no corresponding PIC Sela, Oren Mellanox Technologies SuggestedRemedy Comment Type **T** Comment Status X add PIC to LPI Asssertion and Detection Might be good to calrify that the time in this statement is Tw sys tx Feature > RS Mapping DATA_NOT_VALID subclause > 81.3.a.3.1 SuggestedRemedy Value - "signal DATA NOT VALID on PLS DATA VALID.indication while it is detecting change to: LP IDLE on the XLGMII and CGMII." The RS should not present a start code for valid transmit data until after Proposed Response Response Status **O** the wake up time specified for the PHY (Tw sys tx). The wake times are shown in Table 78-4 Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 82 SC 1.3 P80 L27 # 188 Slavick. Jeff Avago Technologies C/ 81 SC 81.3a P76 L35 # 365 Comment Type E Comment Status X Anslow, Pete Ciena Note 1 & 2 now state the same thing. Comment Type Е Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Comment #11 against D 1.1 was accepted, but not implemented. Remove NOTE 2 from Figure 82-1 and change all references in the diagram for NOTE 2 (the two instances of AN2) to reference NOTE 1. The formatting of the text below Figure 81-9a is not usual (the left margin is indented) Proposed Response Response Status 0 SugaestedRemedv Correct the formatting Proposed Response Response Status **O**

IEEE P802.3bj D1.2 100 Gb/s Backplane and Copper Cable 3rd Task Force review comments

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/genera	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	

Page 19 of	76
11/1/2012	7:05:48 PM

C/ 82 SC 1.3

C/ 82 SC 1.4	P 80	L 36	# 328	C/ 82 SC 2.3.6	P 82	L 52	# 336
Nicholl, Gary	Cisco			Nicholl, Gary	Cisco		
	Comment Status X nat use Clause 91 RS-FEC, it ted directly below the PCS si			Comment Type ER "/Ll/s may only be ins	Comment Status X serted following other LPI char	racters."	
connects to the FEC su I want to make sure that electrical interface) bein sublayer. Perhaps this is someth in 802.3bm. I do see ap (FR4,KP4) would be co electrical interface.		a CAUI-4 (i.e. o PCS sublayer a ntil we add an opt standalone back	ptionaly 4 lane ind the RS-FEC ional CAUI4 interface plane PHY chip	were inserted when the transmitting to the terminal sector of the terminal sector of the terminal sublayer itself of termi	? How would you ever transme ne appropriate LPI control cha referred to here is the local in eded to adapt between clocka equired for the deletion of /LI/s	aracters were reconsertion of additor c rates ?	evied from the XLGMII nal /LI/s by the PCS
SuggestedRemedy More of a question for a against a future 802.3b	clarification. Remedy if requi	red may be punt	ed to a comment		nething like the text above to		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	of /LI/s by the PCS layer for c Response Status O	lock rate compen	sation.
C/ 82 SC 2.18.2.5 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type T	P 88 Avago Techn <i>Comment Status</i> X	L 41 ologies	# 201				
The state TX_RF_WAR							
SuggestedRemedy Remove the "or TX_RF	WAKE" from the tx_tw_tim	er definition.					
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
C/ 82 SC 2.18.3.1	P 89	L12	# 202				
Slavick, Jeff	Avago Techn	ologies					
	Comment Status X machine needs update to sup ble 82-5a and 82-5b are also						
SuggestedRemedy	12 pdf						
See slavick_3bj_01_11							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

 C/
 82
 Page 20 of 76

 SC
 2.3.6
 11/1/2012 7:05:48 PM

Comment Type TR Rapid alignment marker	Comment Status X							
	rs cause issues when runnir	ng over OTN equi	ipment.	- · · · //· ·		omment Status X should be sent every 1	5 blocks for 40G	BASE-R
no backplane or copper For optical PMDs I belie mode. For EEE fast wake mod reason or value in switc For EEE fast wake mod	MDs used to connect to OTI). eve the proposal is to only d le, where the PCS, PMA and hing to rapid alignment mar le I would propose to continu sue with interop over OTN e	efine support for d PMD are never kers. ue using standard	the EEE fast wake turned of I see no	alignment mar alignment mar To: This counter c alignment mar blocks for 100	ounts 16383 6 kers for norma kers for the op ounts 16383 6 kers for norma GBASE-R PC consecutive rap	6-bit blocks that separa I alignment markers or tional EEE capability 6-bit blocks that separa I alignment markers. T S or 15 66-bit blocks fo bid alignment markers f sponse Status 0	7 66-bit blocks f ate two consecut his counter cour r 40GBASE-R P	for rapid tive hts 7 66-bit 'CS that
	ment makers are only used d value), whereas standard ake mode. <i>Response Status</i> O			Sela, Oren Comment Type	be transmitte	P 87 Mellanox Tec omment Status X d or received when EE	0	# <u>103</u>
we're in standard operat SuggestedRemedy Change "For the optiona to	P83 Avago Techn <i>Comment Status</i> X Imment process when we're in ting mode. al EEE function, an alternate nction, an alternate method <i>Response Status</i> O	n a lower power s e method of align	ment is used."	SuggestedRemed change: Note: A PCS t more /LI/ cont To: Note: A PCS t	hat does not s rol characters a hat does not s assifies vector type E	upport EEE classifies v as type E upport EEE or a PCS th s containing one or mo sponse Status O	nat does support	-

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2

C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.2 P86 L # 37 Barrass, Hugh Cisco	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3.1 P 89 L 18 # 283 Barrass, Hugh Cisco
Comment Type E Comment Status X The definition for scr_bypass_enable should be underlined SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status X LPI Tx state diagram needs to change to support scrambler bypass. State TX_RF_ALERT is being deleted.
Underline it.	SuggestedRemedy Delete references to state TX_RF_ALERT.
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.2.5 P88 L 25 # 18 D'Ambrosia, John Dell	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3.1 P89 L20 # 282 Barrass, Hugh Cisco
Comment Type TR Comment Status X rx_tg_timer SHALL statement does not have a corresponding PIC statement	Comment Type T Comment Status X
SuggestedRemedy Add PIC	LPI Tx state diagram needs to change to support scrambler bypass. In support of this Twl needs to be set for the cases of scr_bypass_enable = TRUE or FALSE.
Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Duplicate the row with Twl & LPI_FW = FALSE, the two rows consisting of:
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3.1 P88 L33 # 39	Twl Time spent in the TX_WAKE states, LPI_FW = FALSE & scr_bypass = FALSE 3.9 4.1 uS
Barrass, Hugh Cisco Comment Type T Comment Status X	Twl Time spent in the TX_WAKE states, LPI_FW = FALSE & scr_bypass = TRUE 2.4 2.6 uS
Scrambler bypass will require extra time for the wake.	Proposed Response Response Status O
SuggestedRemedy Change Table 82-5b:	C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3.1 P97 L1 # 284
Add a row:	Barrass, Hugh Cisco
Twr \mid Time the receiver waits in the RX_WAKE state before indicating a wake time fault, LPI_FW = FALSE & scr_bypass = TRUE \mid — \mid 6.5 \mid uS	Comment Type T Comment Status X LPI Tx state diagram needs to change to support scrambler bypass.
Add "& scr_bypass = TRUE" to other row with LPI_FW = FALSEProposed ResponseResponse StatusO	SuggestedRemedy Replace Fig 82-16 with the version supplied in a separate submission. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 82 SC 82.2.18.3.1 Page 22 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:48 PM

C/ 82 SC 82.2.3.4 D'Ambrosia, John	P 81 Dell	L 19	# 6	Cl 82 Trowbridge	SC 82.2.8a	P83 Alcatel-Lucent	L 294	# 249
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment		Comment Status X		
control characters are t	t the control codes. THe pir ransmitted), however there included SHALL statements	isn't a correspond	ding SHALL statement	frame	the refresh or w of operation, LF	ers are only needed for the "No rake signal after turning back on I control characters should be s	the transmitte	r. For the "fast wake"
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	IRemedy			
Proposed Response	o properly address codes to <i>Response Status</i> W Γ (not specified by comment		nd not transmitted.	charac This pi and ma	ters are change rovides a simple	hould be signaled while maintain ad to Idle characters Tw prior to er method of "fast wake" operation npatibility for those interfaces.	resuming trans on that could b	smission of MAC data. be reused for P802.3bm
C/ 82 SC 82.2.3.4 Sela, Oren	P 81 Mellanox Teo	L 31 chnologies	# 102	Proposed I [Comn	•	Response Status W T (commenter did not specify).]	I	
Comment Type T LPI should not be trans it is not enabled.	Comment Status X mitted or received when EE	E is not supporte	d or when	Cl 82 Wong, Dor		P 83 Cisco Systems	L 49	# 75
SuggestedRemedy Change: If EEE is not supported an error if received.	LPI shall not be transmitted	and shall be trea	ated as	marke	urrent propose n r relies upon the	Comment Status X nethod of distinguishing betwee e replacement of the bip fields w rker, it's hard to tell if a bip3 or (ith the CD. Up	oon sampling single a
To: If EEE is not supported transmitted and shall b	or EEE is supported but no		all not be		should not share .3ba. This woul	9 M0, M1, M2, M4, M5 & M6 of e d make it easier to distinguish b	0 0	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
C/ 82 SC 82.2.8a	P83	L10	# 17	C/ 82	SC 82.6	P 92	L 38	# 76
D'Ambrosia, John	Dell			Wong, Dor		Cisco Systems	230	# 10
Comment Type TR NO PIC statements for Line 10, Line 15, Line 1	Comment Status X corresponding shall stateme 7, Line 50	ents in this subcla	ause on this page.	Comment Figure	<i>Type</i> T 82-11. When tr	Comment Status X ansiting from alignment marker		
SuggestedRemedy				-		am_counter terminal count cha	anges from 16k	K to 8/16 blocks.
Add corresponding PIC	statement or statements.			Suggested	lRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
	d ER/editorial required GR		T/toobaical E/aditarial C/			C/ 82		Page 23 of 76

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 82.6	11/1/2012 7:05:48 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 82 SC 82.6	P 92	L 38	# 77	C/ 83 SC 83.3	P 102	L 50	# 372
Wong, Don	Cisco System	S		Kvist, Bengt	Ericsson AB		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
	nsiting from align marker to rap lose alignment lock. 83.8 ms				rimitives then lists and defines		bage
SuggestedRemedy					additional primitives defined a	as	
				SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0				e additional primitives defined	las	
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
CI 83 SC 3	P102	L 50	# 189	C/ 83 SC 83.5.8	0.07	/ 00	# 40
Slavick, Jeff	Avago Techno	ologies		D'Ambrosia, John	P 27 Dell	L 28	# 19
Comment Type E	Comment Status X						
There are 3 additional	I primitives added by EEE to th	ne PMA sub-clau	ISE	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X nent for the PMA adjacne to tl		ar whore 100GBASE
SuggestedRemedy Change "two" to "three	e"				CR4 have been added. Howe		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy	dor Fosturo 100CBASE KP	4 and 100CBAS	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			add in Item *KRCR un	der Feature - 100GBASE-KR	4 and 100GBAS	SE-CR4
Proposed Response	Response Status O P 101 Mellanox Tech	L 43 hnologies	# 86	•••	der Feature - 100GBASE-KR Response Status 0	4 and 100GBAS	SE-CR4
C/ 83 SC 83.3 Sela, Oren	<i>P</i> 101		# 86	add in Item *KRCR un	Response Status O	4 and 100GBAS	SE-CR4 # <u>286</u>
C/ 83 SC 83.3 Sela, Oren Comment Type E	P 101 Mellanox Tech	hnologies	# 86	add in Item *KRCR un Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Cl 83 SC 83.3 Sela, Oren Comment Type E Replace 100GBASE-I	P 101 Mellanox Tech Comment Status X	hnologies	# 86	add in Item *KRCR un Proposed Response Cl 83A SC 83A.3.2a	Response Status O		
Cl 83 SC 83.3 Sela, Oren Comment Type E Replace 100GBASE-I	P 101 Mellanox Tech Comment Status X	hnologies	# <u>86</u>	add in Item *KRCR un Proposed Response Cl 83A SC 83A.3.2a Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T The XLAUI/CAUI EEE	Response Status O P269 Cisco Comment Status X behavior can be defined in th	L 33	# 286
Cl 83 SC 83.3 Sela, Oren Comment Type E Replace 100GBASE-I SuggestedRemedy	P 101 Mellanox Tech Comment Status X	hnologies	# 86	add in Item *KRCR un Proposed Response Cl 83A SC 83A.3.2a Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T The XLAUI/CAUI EEE as it is a similar 10Gb	Response Status O P269 Cisco Comment Status X behavior can be defined in th	L 33	# 286
Cl 83 SC 83.3 Sela, Oren Comment Type E Replace 100GBASE-I SuggestedRemedy per comment	P 101 Mellanox Tech <i>Comment Status</i> X R FEC with 100GBASE-R RS-	hnologies	# 86	add in Item *KRCR un Proposed Response Cl 83A SC 83A.3.2a Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T The XLAUI/CAUI EEE as it is a similar 10Gb SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O P269 Cisco Comment Status X behavior can be defined in thos interface.	L 33 Ne same way as	# 286 40GBASE-CR4 (etc.)
Cl 83 SC 83.3 Sela, Oren Comment Type E Replace 100GBASE-I SuggestedRemedy per comment	P 101 Mellanox Tech <i>Comment Status</i> X R FEC with 100GBASE-R RS-	hnologies	# 86	add in Item *KRCR un Proposed Response Cl 83A SC 83A.3.22 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T The XLAUI/CAUI EEE as it is a similar 10Gb SuggestedRemedy If the EEE capability in set to ALERT, the trans 0xFF00 which is trans transmit direction XLA when the received tx_ repeating 16-bit patter	Response Status O P269 Cisco Comment Status X behavior can be defined in the sinterface. A Cludes XLAUI/CAUI shutdow ismit direction sublayer sends mitted across the XLAUI/CAU UI/CAUI transmitter is disable mode is set to ALERT, the re n, hexadecimal 0xFF00 which mode is QUIET, the receive of	L 33 the same way as in (see 78.5.2) th a repeating 16- I. When tx_mod d as specified in ceive direction s is transmitted a	# 286 40GBASE-CR4 (etc.) hen when tx_mode is bit pattern, hexadecimal de is QUIET, the n 83A.3.3.1.1. Similarly sublayer sends a across the XLAUI/CAUI.

C/ 83A SC 83A.3.2a Page 24 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM

Cl 83A SC 83A.3.2a Barrass, Hugh	P270 Cisco	L 30	# 285	C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.6 P270 L22 # 288 Barrass, Hugh Cisco Cisco
	Comment Status X ode operation from draft 1.1 to	draft 1.2 were r	not reflected in this	Comment Type T Comment Status X Some instances of CAUI need to be changed
clause. SuggestedRemedy Change "two additiona Proposed Response	al primitives" to "four additiona <i>Response Status</i> O	primitives"		SuggestedRemedyChange CAUI to XLAUI/CAUI - 2 instances.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusO
	n P 270 Cisco	L 33	# 281	C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.6 P270 L24 # 290 Barrass, Hugh Cisco
Comment Type E The editor's note is no	Comment Status X longer relevant.			Comment Type T Comment Status X The rx_mode changes need to be reflected in this paragraph. SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Delete the editor's not	e.			On line 24, change "rx_mode is QUIET" to "the received tx_mode is QUIET"
Proposed Response	Response Status O			on line 25, change "tx_mode or rx_mode (as appropriate)" to "the appropriate direction tx_mode"
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.	1.1 P270	L 52	# 287	Proposed Response Response Status O
Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T	Cisco Comment Status X			C/ 83A SC 83A.3.3.6 P 270 L 35 # 291 Barrass, Hugh Cisco 291 291 291 291 201
as it is a similar 10Gb SuggestedRemedy	behavior can be defined in th os interface.	e same way as a	10GBASE-CR4 (etc.)	Comment Type T Comment Status X The rx_mode changes need to be reflected in this paragraph.
Delete the editor's not	e.			SuggestedRemedy
Change the clause to	read:			Change the paragraph after "If no energy is being received on the CAUI for the ingress direction" to:
differential peak-to-pe changing to QUIET in differential peak-to-pe	h XLAUI/CAUI shutdown, the ak output voltage shall be less the relevant direction. Further ak output voltage shall be grea e QUIET in the relevant directi	than 30mV with more, the CAUI ater than 720mV	in 500ns of tx_mode transmitter lane's	SIGNAL_DETECT is set to FAIL following a transition from rx_mode = DATA to rx_mode QUIET. When rx_mode = QUIET, SIGNAL_DETECT shall be set to OK within 500 ns following the application of a signal at the receiver input detects an ALERT signal driven from the XLAUI/CAUI link partner. While rx_mode = QUIET, SIGNAL_DETECT changes from FAIL to OK only after the valid ALERT signal is applied to the channel.
tx_mode ceasing to be				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

 C/
 83A
 Page 25 of 76

 SC
 83A.3.3.6
 11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM

C/ 83A SC 83A.3.4.7 P 27 Barrass, Hugh Cisco	L 36	# 289	C/ 84 SC 2 Slavick, Jeff	P 106 Avago Techno	L 50 blogies	# 203
Comment Type T Comment Status X Some instances of CAUI need to be changed			<i>Comment Type</i> T RF_ALERT, WAKE n	Comment Status X ad RF_WAKE are no longer va	alid settings for t	x_mode.
SuggestedRemedy Change CAUI to XLAUI/CAUI - 2 instances. Proposed Response Response Status O)			es in 84.2 to RF_ALERT, WAK s to be five. Also fix section 85 <i>Response Status</i> 0		E and update the
Cl 83A SC 83A.4 P271 Barrass, Hugh Cisco	L1	# 292	C/ 84 SC 84.2 D'Ambrosia, John	Р 106 Dell	L 43	# 20
Comment Type T Comment Status X PICS items need to be added. SuggestedRemedy Add PICS items for:			Comment Type TR PIC statement for LPI SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X	statement	
83A.3.2a - Support for XLAUI/CAUI shutdown 83A.3.3.1.1 - Amplitude & swing for XLAUI/CA	UI shutdown		add SHALL statemen Proposed Response	t Response Status O		
83A.3.3.6 - transmit disable for XLAUI/CAUI sl	hutdown		C/ 84 SC 84.2 Sela, Oren	P 106 Mellanox Tech	L 54 nnologies	# 106
83A.3.4.7 - signal detect for XLAUI/CAUI shute Proposed Response Response Status O			Comment Type T per latest change to the change	Comment Status X he LPI transmit state diagram	TX_MODE value	es should
C/ 83A SC 83A.4 P271 Healey, Adam LSI Corp Comment Type T Comment Status X The editor's note indicates that the PICS profoclause stabilizes. The contents appear to be stabilized. The stabilizes.	poration rma will be updated wi		QUIET, FW, ALERT, To:	ter takes on one of up to eight RF_ALERT, WAKE or RF_WA ter takes on one of up to six va SS.	KE.	·
SuggestedRemedy Update the PICS proforma for Annex 83A.			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response Response Status O						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 84	Page 26 of 76
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 84.2	11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

C/ 84 SC 84.7.2 D'Ambrosia, John	P 107 Dell	L 6	# 8	C/ 84 D'Ambrosia	SC 84.7.4 a, John	P Dell	L	# 22
Comment Type E subclause numbering	Comment Status X g is incorect				51	Comment Status X 13 (signal detect during LPI nt) and FS14 (signa	al detect for EEE) but
SuggestedRemedy	should not be subclauses und	der 84 2		Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			add ap	propriate shall	statement (believe it is for Lf	PI)	
Froposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed I	Response	Response Status 0		
CI 84 SC 84.7.2 D'Ambrosia, John Comment Type TR	P8 Dell Comment Status X	L10	# 21	<i>Cl</i> 84 Sela, Oren	SC 84.7.4	P 107 Mellanox Te	L21 cchnologies	# 107
	ere should be some SHALL st	atements in here		Comment T The Al		Comment Status X y needed if normal mode is a	supported	
change When tx_mode is ALE specified in 72.6.10.2	ERT, the transmitter equalizer	taps are set to the	he preset state	change When		ts the optional EEE capabili		indiantian in
to	ERT, the transmitter equalizer			also us to the l To: When SMD_S	sed to indicate v beginning of a re the PHY suppor SIGNAL.indicati	when the ALERT signal is de efresh or a wake ts the optional EEE capabili on is also used to indicate w ponds to the beginning of a	etected, which cou ity with the norma when the ALERT	rresponds al wake mode, signal is
to When tx_mode is ALE specified in 72.6.10.2 add PIC Change When tx_mode is QU	ERT, the transmitter equalizer	taps shall be set		also us to the l To: When SMD_S	sed to indicate v beginning of a ro the PHY suppor SIGNAL.indicati ed, which corres	when the ALERT signal is de efresh or a wake ts the optional EEE capabili on is also used to indicate w	etected, which cou ity with the norma when the ALERT	rresponds al wake mode, signal is
to When tx_mode is ALE specified in 72.6.10.2 add PIC Change When tx_mode is QU 84.7.6 to	ERT, the transmitter equalizer 2.3.1.	taps shall be set d as specified in	t to the preset state	also us to the P To: When P PMD_S detecte Proposed P C/ 84 Sela, Oren Comment	sed to indicate v beginning of a re the PHY suppor SIGNAL indicati ed, which corres Response SC 84.7.4 Type T	when the ALERT signal is de efresh or a wake ts the optional EEE capabili on is also used to indicate w ponds to the beginning of a	tected, which control ity with the normative when the ALERT strength or a wake refresh or a wake L31 schnologies	rresponds al wake mode, signal is
to When tx_mode is ALE specified in 72.6.10.2 add PIC Change When tx_mode is QU 84.7.6 to When tx_mode is QU 84.7.6	ERT, the transmitter equalizer 2.3.1. JIET, the transmitter is disabled	taps shall be set d as specified in	t to the preset state	also us to the P To: When PMD_S detecte Proposed P C/ 84 Sela, Oren Comment The Al Suggested change When To:	sed to indicate v beginning of a re the PHY suppor SIGNAL indicati ed, which corres Response SC 84.7.4 Type T ert detect is only Remedy e: the PHY support	when the ALERT signal is de effesh or a wake ts the optional EEE capabili on is also used to indicate w ponds to the beginning of a <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 107 <i>Mellanox Te</i> <i>Comment Status</i> X	etected, which con ity with the norma when the ALERT refresh or a wake <i>L</i> 31 schnologies supported	rresponds al wake mode, signal is e # <u>105</u>

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 84 SC 84.7.4 Page 27 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM

C/ 84 SC 84.7.4 Dudek. Mike	P 107 QLogic	L 35	# 305	C/ 85 SC 85.7.4 Dudek. Mike	P111 QLogic	L 31	# 306
Comment Type T	Comment Status X output of the channel even w	ith a 16 unit inter	rval square wave will	Comment Type T	Comment Status X	with a 16 unit inte	erval square wave wil
slightly larger than the T	tect should be set to OK withi ransmitter Off amplitude (35 ut interference tolerance test <i>Response Status</i> 0	mV). 40mV wou		slightly larger than t	detect should be set to OK with he Transmitter Off amplitude (3 about interference tolerance tes <i>Response Status</i> O	0mV). 40mV wa	
C/ 84 SC 84.7.6	P106	L 50	# 23	C/ 85 SC 85.7.6 D'Ambrosia, John	P110 Dell	L 49	# 24
D Ambrosia, John	Dell			Commont Type TP			
Comment Type TR Loopback during blogal_ SuggestedRemedy add pic to address	Comment Status X _PMD_transmit_disable Shal Response Status 0	I statement with	no corresponding PIC	Comment Type TR THis shall statemen Loopback, as define has no PIC SuggestedRemedy add PIC	Comment Status X t ed in 85.7.8, shall not be affecte	d by Global_PMI	D_transmit_disable.
Loopback during blogal_ SuggestedRemedy add pic to address Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85.7.4	Comment Status X _PMD_transmit_disable Shal Response Status 0 P111	L19	no corresponding PIC	THis shall statemen Loopback, as define has no PIC SuggestedRemedy	t	d by Global_PMI	D_transmit_disable.
Comment Type TR Loopback during blogal_ SuggestedRemedy add pic to address Proposed Response Cl 85 SC 85.7.4 Sela, Oren Comment Type T The Alert detect is only in SuggestedRemedy change: When the PHY supports To:	Comment Status X _PMD_transmit_disable Shal Response Status 0 P111 Mellanox Tech Comment Status X needed if normal mode is sup	L19 inologies pported	# <u>108</u>	THis shall statemen Loopback, as define has no PIC SuggestedRemedy add PIC Proposed Response CI 85 SC 85.7.6 D'Ambrosia, John Comment Type TR Output amplitude LF	t ed in 85.7.8, shall not be affecte <i>Response Status</i> O	L 50	# 25

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 85 SC 85.7.6 Page 28 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM

C/ 85 SC 85.7.6 Sela, Oren	P111 Mellanox Tech	L 29 nologies	# 109	C/ 91 Ofelt, David	SC 3	P 116 Juniper No	L37 etworks	# 295
Comment Type T The Alert detect is on SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ly needed if normal mode is su	oported		<i>Comment T</i> The cur width (p	rrent draft indic	Comment Status X cates that the RS FEC is of	nly supported on se	rvices interfaces with
change: When the PHY suppo To: When the PHY suppo Proposed Response	orts the EEE capability, orts the EEE capability with the <i>Response Status</i> O		·	that we lanes in comes burst er that har the PCS	"II need to rewe nto two or one, up is that "we" rrors (e.g. no E ndles a large v	ve and ensures that when y ork this part of the standard but the result does not ha Il only support muxing for in SFE)". This is unsatisfying ariety of interface structure move all that good flexibilit	d. It is possible to be noted burst errors we neterfaces that are noted to me- we have an to me- we have an es and then we follow	it-interleave the four ell. An argument that nore unlikely to have architecture from .3ba w it with the next rev of
Cl 91 SC Pillai, Velu Comment Type E Fig 91-2 does not sho SuggestedRemedy	P118 Broadcom Comment Status X w the BER Monitor in the trans	L14 mit path.	# 67	"If a PM	t to 91.3 indica	ating something like: ultiplex the four FEC lanes ed-Solomon codeword bou		es, then the multiplexing
	he BER Monitor attached to the	e Alignment lock	and deskew.	I believe	e this is the ne	ecessary requirement to ma	ake FEC work prop	erly once multiplexed.
Proposed Response	Response Status O				is change, we sed in .3bm.	should have the features r	needed to implemer	t all optics variety being
				Proposed R	Response	Response Status O		
				<i>Cl</i> 91 Slavick, Jef	SC 5.3.4	P 126 Avago Teo	L 38 chnologies	# 190
				Comment T If rx_lpi	51	Comment Status X erted, then the Rx will see I	RAMs every other c	odeword.
					R <i>emedy</i> e "The rx_lpi_a en rx_lpi_activ			
				Proposed R	Pasnonsa	Response Status O		

C/ 91 SC 5.3.4

C/ 91 SC 5.4.2.1 Slavick, Jeff	P130 L16 Avago Technologies	# 205	C/ 91 SC 5.4.2.1 Slavick, Jeff	P 133 Avago Techno	L17 ologies	# 208
Comment Type T With the inclusioin of Ef then align_status. Othe	Comment Status X EE into cluase 82, Figure 82-12 now sets rx_ er text in Clause 82 states that align_status = However, Clause 91 just references Figure 8	rx_align_status when	Comment Type T	Comment Status X the quiet timers for Clause 91		
SuggestedRemedy	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		see slavick_3bj_01_1	112.pdf		
Change align_status va Change Figure 91-10 to	riable name to be rx_align_status use rx_align_status rather then align_status to refer to rx_align_status	5	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O		C/ 91 SC 5.4.3 Slavick, Jeff	P 136 Avago Techno	L 35 ologies	# 204
C/ 91 SC 5.4.2.1 Slavick, Jeff	P130 L36 Avago Technologies	# 207	Comment Type T The last RAM down_c reflect that.	Comment Status X count value transmitted is 1 no	ot 0. So figures 9	1-10 and 91-11 need t
Comment Type T Setting amp_valid true to valid when we're receivi	Comment Status X by comparing alignment markers to PCS lan ng RAMs.	es 16,17,18,19 is only	SuggestedRemedy Change the test value *_down_count agains	es on the exit of TX_TEST_NE t 1.	XT and RX_TES	ST_NEXT to compare
SuggestedRemedy			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
block to the alignment n to: "For the optional EEE c	al EEE capability, each FEC lane also comp narker payload for PCS lanes 16, 17, 18, an apability, each FEC lane also compares the	d 19." candidate block to the		P 138 Avago Techno	L 47 ologies	# 191
alignment marker paylo Proposed Response	ad for PCS lanes 16, 17, 18, and 19 when ro Response Status O	<_lpi_active is true."	Comment Type E The FEC_*_ability reg	Comment Status X gisters reference the wrong ME	-	
	P 131 L 50 Avago Technologies	# 206		_correction_ability to refer to 1 ndication_ability to refer to 1.20		
Comment Type T ram_valid and ramps_v	Comment Status X alid are testing for valid Rapid Alignment Ma	ırkers.	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
and ramps_valid variabl	t markers" to "valid Rapid Alignment Marker les.	s" for both ram_valid				
Duanaaad Daamamaa						

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 91	Page 30 of 76
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 6.3	11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

C/ 91 SC 91.5.2. Sela, Oren	5 P 119 Mellanox Tec	L 19 hnologies	# 88	C/ 91 SC 91.5.2. Pillai, Velu	6 P122 Broadcom	L19	# 72
all synch header are	Comment Status X redundent statement. In line 14 valid so there is no need to sta ough to say that c<0> = 1	4 we establisth t			Comment Status X rror monitoring, but there are n error counters or remove this		ched to this statment.
SuggestedRemedy change: Let c be the smallest tx_coded_c<1>=0. In block that was receiv	value of j such that tx_coded_ other words, tx_coded_c is the red in the current group of four	e first 66-bit con	trol	SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response	Response Status O		
	value of j such that tx_coded_ st 66-bit control block that was blocks.		r words,	C/ 91 SC 91.5.2. Sela, Oren	5 P122 Mellanox Tec	L 28 hnologies	# 110
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Comment Type T The tx_lpi_active referenced to the new	Comment Status X erence to 82.2.7a is no loger co v figure 91-10	prrect and should	dbe
C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.8 Sela, Oren	5 P119 Mellanox Tec	L 31 hnologies	# 89	SuggestedRemedy per comment			
Comment Type E bullet b) - change to t	Comment Status X tx_xcoded<4:0>=1111			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy per comment				C/ 91 SC 91.5.2. Cideciyan, Roy	7 P 123 IBM	L 34	# 374
Proposed Response	Response Status O				Comment Status X ymbol delay element, holds 1 ²	10-bit symbol". T	he formulation can be
91 SC 91.5.2.0	6 P 120	L 28	# 69	improved. SuggestedRemedy			
illai, Velu Comment Type ER	Broadcom Comment Status X				ay element, holds 1 10-bit sym	bol" by "symbol	delay element, holds
payloads correspond	ling to PCS lanes 1, 5, 6, 13, ar	nd 17 are		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
is not correct <i>uggestedRemedy</i> It needs to be							
payloads correspond	ling to PCS lanes 1, 5, 9, 13, ar	nd 17 are					
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.7 Page 31 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM

C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P126 L16 # 376 Cideciyan, Roy IBM	C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P126 L17 # 377 Cideciyan, Roy IBM
Comment Type TR Comment Status X MTTFPA computations in cideciyan_01_0512.pdf always assume that RS decoder reports	Comment Type TR Comment Status X MTTFPA computations in cideciyan_01_0512.pdf always assume that RS decoder reports
(indicates) errors to PCS layer whenever there is an uncorrectable code word (error correction mode) or code word contains errors (error detection mode). Therefore, indication of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS decoder in order to have satisfactory MTTFPA.	(indicates) errors to PCS layer whenever there is an uncorrectable code word (error correction mode) or code word contains errors (error detection mode). Therefore, indicatic of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS decoder order to have satisfactory MTTFPA.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Replace "The Reed-Solomon decoder may optionally provide" by "The Reed-Solomon decoder shall provide"	Omit the following two sentences: "The presence of this option is indicated by the assertio (see 91.6.4). When the option is provided, it is enabled (see 91.6.2).
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P126 L16 # 369	C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P126 L21 # 378
Anslow, Pete Ciena	Cideciyan, Roy IBM
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
But if uncorrected errors are not indicated, the MTTFPA will be poor because any FEC frame with uncorrected errors will contain at least 8 or 16 errored symbols. Doing a simple minded calculation:	(indicates) errors to PCS layer whenever there is an uncorrectable code word (error correction mode) or code word contains errors (error detection mode). Therefore, indication of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS decoder order to have satisfactory MTTFPA.
If the errors turn up in bursts of 8, then a BER of 1E-12 is a block of errors every 80 seconds. The only thing stopping this from being accepted as a good packet is the CRC. This fails with a probability of 2.3E-10 which is a false packet every 10,000 years.	SuggestedRemedy Replace "When the error indication function is enabled and the decoder determines that a
	code word" by "When the decoder determines that a code word"
If the BER falls to 1E-6, this is a false packet every 4 days.	Proposed Response Response Status O
	-
If the BER falls to 1E-6, this is a false packet every 4 days. I think Roy Cideciyan has shown that reporting errors with FEC enabled gives a MTTFPA of	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P126 L22 # 3 Szczepanek, Andre Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X
If the BER falls to 1E-6, this is a false packet every 4 days. I think Roy Cideciyan has shown that reporting errors with FEC enabled gives a MTTFPA of better than 10,000 years at 1E-6. This is a huge improvement in performance, so marking uncorrected errors should be mandatory.	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P126 L22 # 3 Szczepanek, Andre Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X "or is uncorrectable"
If the BER falls to 1E-6, this is a false packet every 4 days. I think Roy Cideciyan has shown that reporting errors with FEC enabled gives a MTTFPA of better than 10,000 years at 1E-6. This is a huge improvement in performance, so marking uncorrected errors should be	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P126 L22 # 3 Szczepanek, Andre Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X "or is uncorrectable" See previous comment related to line 9 on the same page. SuggestedRemedy
If the BER falls to 1E-6, this is a false packet every 4 days. I think Roy Cideciyan has shown that reporting errors with FEC enabled gives a MTTFPA of better than 10,000 years at 1E-6. This is a huge improvement in performance, so marking uncorrected errors should be mandatory. SuggestedRemedy Make the indication of uncorrected errors mandatory in Clause 91.	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P126 L22 # 3 Szczepanek, Andre Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X "or is uncorrectable" See previous comment related to line 9 on the same page.
If the BER falls to 1E-6, this is a false packet every 4 days. I think Roy Cideciyan has shown that reporting errors with FEC enabled gives a MTTFPA of better than 10,000 years at 1E-6. This is a huge improvement in performance, so marking uncorrected errors should be mandatory. SuggestedRemedy Make the indication of uncorrected errors mandatory in Clause 91. Make the appropriate changes to the other clauses e.g. Clause 45	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P126 L22 # 3 Szczepanek, Andre Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X "or is uncorrectable" See previous comment related to line 9 on the same page. SuggestedRemedy Replace "or is uncorrectable" with

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/ 91 Page 32 of 76 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SC 91.5.3.3 11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 91 SC 91.5.3. Sela, Oren	3 P126 Mellanox Tec	L23	# 113	C/ 91 SC 91.5.3. Sela. Oren	B P126 Mellanox Tec	L25	# 117
Comment Type T Should allow an imp	Comment Status X lementation to nullify more than ock - for example an implement	one 64/66 blocl		Comment Type T	Comment Status X 267B with 256B/257B	Thorogrou	
	, at least for every other 257-bit			per comment Proposed Response	Response Status O		
header for the first 6 transcoder, rx_coded rx_coded_3<1:0> co codeword is set to 1 bytes and larger that	ith the first (1st, 3rd, 5th, etc.), t 6-bit block at the output of the 2 d_0<1:0>, is set to 11. In additional presponding to the last (20th) 2 1. This will cause the PCS to dia t are fully or partially within the o	256B/267B to 64 on, it shall ensur- 57-bit block in th scard all frames codeword. The	B/66B e e 64	Cl 91 SC 91.5.3. Sela, Oren Comment Type T The RS-FEC can't de	B P126 Mellanox Tec Comment Status X etect all the uncorrectable code	Ū.	# 112
decoder may set rx_ the PCS.	coded_j<1:0> to 11 and thus no	ullify more 66-bit	blocks at	SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			change: The RS-FEC sublaye codewords To:	er shall also be capable of dete	cting uncorrectabl	le
Cl 91 SC 91.5.3. Cideciyan, Roy	3 P126 IBM	L 23	# 375	The RS-FEC sublaye uncorrectable codew	er shall also be capable of dete ords	cting some of the	
	Comment Status X not supported or enabled" does	not seem to be	clear.	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Replace " not supp	ported or enabled)," by " no	t supported or n	ot enabled),"				
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
<i>Cl</i> 91 <i>SC</i> 91.5.3. Cideciyan, Roy	3 P126 IBM	L 25	# 379	I			
Comment Type TR Transcoder in the re	Comment Status X ceiver is 256B/257B to 64B/66E	3 transcoder.					
SuggestedRemedy Replace "256B/267E	3 to 64B/66B transcoder" by "25	56B/257B to 64B	/66B transcoder"				
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.3

C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.3 P126 L9 # 2	C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.5 P127 L31 # 73
Szczepanek, Andre Inphi Comment Type TR Comment Status X "The RS-FEC sublayer shall also be capable of detecting uncorrectable codewords" It is not theoretically possible to detect all possible uncorrectable codewords as some patterns can change one valid codeword into another valid codeword. The text in almost all of the rest of the clause has been altered to be consistent with 74 and use the termininology "corrected" and "uncorrected" codewords/blocks. This terminology was adopted for Clause 74 to avoid the issue of what is and isn't a corre	clause SuggestedRemedy It needs to be ctable
block and focus instead on what the sublayer actually does : correct, or fail to correct block.	
SuggestedRemedy Delete sentence "The RS-FEC sublayer shall also be capable of detecting uncorrect codewords" as it includes a "shall" that isn't achievable or verifiable.	ble <i>Cl</i> 91 <i>SC</i> 91.5.3.5 <i>P</i> 127 <i>L</i> 34 # 71 Pillai, Velu Broadcom
Proposed Response Response Status W	Comment Type T Comment Status X
[changed Sublause to 91.5.3.3 for consistent sorting.]	a)Set c = 1 and h<3:0> = 0000. The variable c is set to 1; On the transcoding side for the case of invalid sync header, of set to 0
C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.4 P126 L25 # 68	The variable c is set to 1; On the transcoding side for the case of invalid sync header, o
Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.4 P126 L25 # 68 Pillai, Velu Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status X	The variable c is set to 1; On the transcoding side for the case of invalid sync header, or set to 0 SuggestedRemedy For consistency sake C should be set to 0
C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.4 P126 L 25 # 68 Pillai, Velu Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status X 256B/267B to 64B/66B transcoder, rx_coded_0<1:0>	The variable c is set to 1; On the transcoding side for the case of invalid sync header, or set to 0 SuggestedRemedy For consistency sake C should be set to 0 Proposed Response Response Status
Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.4 P126 L25 # 68 Pillai, Velu Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status X 256B/267B to 64B/66B transcoder, rx_coded_0<1:0> SuggestedRemedy Needs to be	The variable c is set to 1; On the transcoding side for the case of invalid sync header, or set to 0 SuggestedRemedy For consistency sake C should be set to 0 Proposed Response Response Status Cl 91 SC 91.5.3.5 P127 L6 # 74
C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.4 P126 L 25 # 68 Pillai, Velu Broadcom Broadcom Broadcom Broadcom Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status X 256B/267B to 64B/66B transcoder, rx_coded_0<1:0> SuggestedRemedy Needs to be 256B/257B to 64B/66B transcoder, rx_coded_0<1:0>, is s SuggestedRemedy	The variable c is set to 1; On the transcoding side for the case of invalid sync header, or set to 0 SuggestedRemedy For consistency sake C should be set to 0 Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.4 P126 L 25 # 68 Pillai, Velu Broadcom Broadcom # 68 Comment Type E Comment Status X 256B/267B to 64B/66B transcoder, rx_coded_0<1:0> SuggestedRemedy Needs to be 256B/257B to 64B/66B transcoder, rx_coded_0<1:0>, is s SuggestedRemedy	The variable c is set to 1; On the transcoding side for the case of invalid sync header, or set to 0 SuggestedRemedy For consistency sake C should be set to 0 Proposed Response Response Status O CI 91 SC 91.5.3.5 P127 L6 # 74 Pillai, Velu Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X If rx_xcoded<0> is 0 and any rx_coded <j+1>=1 is not correct SuggestedRemedy</j+1>

C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.5

C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2 P130 L36	# 115 C/ 91	SC	91.5.4.2.1	P13	l	L 51	# 209
Sela, Oren Mellanox Technologies	Healey	, Adam		LSI Co	poration		
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comm	ent Type	т	Comment Status	K		
When EEE is supported lanes 16,17,18 and 19 should only be compared rx_lpi_active is true - this is because in the next state the amp_counter counts lower only when the rx_lpi_active is true. It is not broken as EEE capble device when rx_lpi_active false and first_pcsl is 16,17,18 or 19 th 4096 FEC code word later there should be lane 16, 17, 18 or 19 in the sate possision but this was not the intent	to va hen ame Th	be low (les id alignme erefore, it	s than 1E-1 nt marker in s not neces		unlikely (d	on the order o	C sublayer is expected of 1/2^50) to detect a nent markers. The
SuggestedRemedy	Sugge	stedReme	dy				
change:	•••		d, set TBD to	o 2.			
For the optional EEE capability, each FEC lane also compares the candi block to the alignment marker payload for PCS lanes 16, 17, 18, and 19 To:) Propos	ed Respo	nse	Response Status	C		
For the optional EEE capability, when rx_lpi_active is true each FEC lane also compares the candidate block to the alignment marker payload for F lanes 16, 17, 18, and 19			91.5.4.2.1	P 13 Broadc		L 8	# 70
Proposed Response Response Status O	fec			Comment Status	ls to indica		FEC lane needs to lid variable description
C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.1 P130 L39						0 =	
	# <u>212</u> in	CL82.2.18	.2.2			0 –	·
Healey, Adam LSI Corporation	# <u>212</u> in		.2.2			0 –	
Healey, Adam LSI Corporation	# 1 <u>212</u> in Sugge	CL82.2.18	2.2 dy	Response Status		0 _	
Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X Editor's note states the maximum distance of 3 nibbles may not be suital	# 1212 in Sugge. able for a Propos (ang): gain for -KP4 could be 10x lock with 6 RS-	CL82.2.18 stedReme	2.2 dy				
Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X Editor's note states the maximum distance of 3 nibbles may not be suital 100GBASE-KP4 PHY. However, the following argument has been suggested (by Zhongfeng Wa 1. Estimates of the net coding gain imply about 0.4 dB additional coding 100GBASE-KP4 FEC. 2. Therefore roughly assume the uncorrected error ratio for 100GBASE-KP4 FEC. 3. This implies, for the worst-case scenario, the mechanisn would fail to I FEC codewords on an average of once every 1E7 years rather than 1E9	# 12 in Sugge. able for a Propos (ang): g gain for -KP4 could be 10x lock with 6 RS- 9 years for	CL82.2.18 stedReme	2.2 dy				
Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X Editor's note states the maximum distance of 3 nibbles may not be suital 100GBASE-KP4 PHY. However, the following argument has been suggested (by Zhongfeng Wa 1. Estimates of the net coding gain imply about 0.4 dB additional coding 100GBASE-KP4 FEC. 2. Therefore roughly assume the uncorrected error ratio for 100GBASE-KP4 FEC. 3. This implies, for the worst-case scenario, the mechanism would fail to I FEC codewords on an average of once every 1E7 years rather than 1E9 100GBASE-KR4. If this is the case, the likelihood of failure is very small and thus there is reason to modify the synchronization mechanism for 100GBASE-KP4.	# 12 in Sugge. able for a Propos (ang): g gain for -KP4 could be 10x lock with 6 RS- 9 years for	CL82.2.18 stedReme	2.2 dy				
Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X Editor's note states the maximum distance of 3 nibbles may not be suital 100GBASE-KP4 PHY. However, the following argument has been suggested (by Zhongfeng Wat 1. Estimates of the net coding gain imply about 0.4 dB additional coding 100GBASE-KP4 FEC. 2. Therefore roughly assume the uncorrected error ratio for 100GBASE-KP4 rec. 3. This implies, for the worst-case scenario, the mechanisn would fail to 1 FEC codewords on an average of once every 1E7 years rather than 1E9 100GBASE-KR4. If this is the case, the likelihood of failure is very small and thus there is reference.	# 12 in Sugge. able for a Propos (ang): g gain for -KP4 could be 10x lock with 6 RS- 9 years for	CL82.2.18 stedReme	2.2 dy				

C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.1

C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.1 P132 L2 # 210	C/ 91 SC 91.6 P138 L26 # 183
Healey, Adam LSI Corporation	Gustlin, Mark Xilinx
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type T Comment Status X
The variable ramps_valid checks for "rapid" alignment marker payload sequences on the FEC lanes.	Since a given FEC lane can be received on any of the four service interface lanes, ad register that captures which FEC lane is recieved at a given time on each service interlane.
Since FEC codeword boundaries are known during this search, the corrected message could be used as the subject of the search (unless correction is bypassed).	This is analogous to Lane x mapping register that is part of Clause 82 (Table 82-7).
	SuggestedRemedy
If correction is not bypassed, it is unlikely that the RAM payload patterns would appear in	Per the comment.
random data. Therefore, it should be sufficient to check that a 64-bit block marker payload on any 2 FEC lanes corresponds to the first rapid alignment marker payload corresponding to that lane.	Proposed Response Response Status O
If the mechanism is intended to be operated with correction bypassed, a more complicated	C/ 91 SC 91.6.2 P138 L35 # 380
analysis of the appropriate distance between the reference pattern and the observed	Cideciyan, Roy IBM
pattern must be performed.	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
uggestedRemedy	MTTFPA computations in cideciyan_01_0512.pdf always assume that RS decoder rep
Update the definition of ramps_valid accordingly.	(indicates) errors to PCS layer whenever there is an uncorrectable code word (error
roposed Response Response Status O	correction mode) or code word contains errors (error detection mode). Therefore, indic of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS deco order to have satisfactory MTTFPA.
	of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS deco
7 91 SC 91.5.4.2.3 P133 L17 # 211	of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS deco order to have satisfactory MTTFPA.
C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.3 P133 L17 # 211 lealey, Adam LSI Corporation	of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS deco order to have satisfactory MTTFPA. SuggestedRemedy
Image: Provide state state P133 L17 # 211 Plane LSI Corporation LSI Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X	of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS deco order to have satisfactory MTTFPA. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Omit subclause 91.6.2 as this variable is not needed.
/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.3 P133 L17 # 211 ealey, Adam LSI Corporation	of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS deco order to have satisfactory MTTFPA. SuggestedRemedy Omit subclause 91.6.2 as this variable is not needed. Proposed Response Response Status O
91 SC 91.5.4.2.3 P133 L17 # 211 ealey, Adam LSI Corporation comment Type T Comment Status X The counters rx_quiet_timer and tx_quiet_timer are both TBD. Both timers should exceed the maximum value of the rx_quiet_timer at the PCS (currently set to 3 ms).	of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS deco order to have satisfactory MTTFPA. SuggestedRemedy Omit subclause 91.6.2 as this variable is not needed. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 91 SC 91.6.4 P138 L48 # 381
Image: Problem in the maximum value of th	of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS deco order to have satisfactory MTTFPA. SuggestedRemedy Omit subclause 91.6.2 as this variable is not needed. Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.3 P133 L17 # 211 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Example 1 Support 1 Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X The counters rx_quiet_timer and tx_quiet_timer are both TBD. Both timers should exceed the maximum value of the rx_quiet_timer at the PCS (currently set to 3 ms). SuggestedRemedy Set the range of both timers to 3.1 to 3.4 ms.	of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS deco order to have satisfactory MTTFPA. SuggestedRemedy Omit subclause 91.6.2 as this variable is not needed. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 91 SC 91.6.4 P138 L48 # 381 Cideciyan, Roy IBM Comment Type TR Comment Status X
I 91 SC 91.5.4.2.3 P133 L17 # 211 ealey, Adam LSI Corporation omment Type T Comment Status X The counters rx_quiet_timer and tx_quiet_timer are both TBD. Both timers should exceed the maximum value of the rx_quiet_timer at the PCS (currently set to 3 ms). uggestedRemedy Set the range of both timers to 3.1 to 3.4 ms.	of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS deco order to have satisfactory MTTFPA. SuggestedRemedy Omit subclause 91.6.2 as this variable is not needed. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 91 SC 91.6.4 P138 L48 # 381 Cideciyan, Roy IBM
Image: Provide the maximum value of the rx_quiet_timer at the PCS (currently set to 3 ms). uggestedRemedy Set the range of both timers to 3.1 to 3.4 ms.	of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS deco order to have satisfactory MTTFPA. SuggestedRemedy Omit subclause 91.6.2 as this variable is not needed. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 91 SC 91.6.4 P138 L48 # 381 Cideciyan, Roy IBM Comment Type TR Comment Status X MTTFPA computations in cideciyan_01_0512.pdf always assume that RS decoder rep (indicates) errors to PCS layer whenever there is an uncorrectable code word (error correction mode) or code word contains errors (error detection mode). Therefore, indic of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS decoder
C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.3 P133 L17 # 211 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Example 1 Support 1 Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X The counters rx_quiet_timer and tx_quiet_timer are both TBD. Both timers should exceed the maximum value of the rx_quiet_timer at the PCS (currently set to 3 ms). SuggestedRemedy Set the range of both timers to 3.1 to 3.4 ms.	of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS deco order to have satisfactory MTTFPA. SuggestedRemedy Omit subclause 91.6.2 as this variable is not needed. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 91 SC 91.6.4 P138 L48 # <u>381</u> Cideciyan, Roy IBM Comment Type TR Comment Status X MTTFPA computations in cideciyan_01_0512.pdf always assume that RS decoder rep (indicates) errors to PCS layer whenever there is an uncorrectable code word (error correction mode) or code word contains errors (error detection mode). Therefore, indic of errors to the PCS sublayer is not an option but a mandatory feature of the RS deco order to have satisfactory MTTFPA.

C/ 91 SC 91.6.4 Page 36 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM

C/ 91 SC 91.7.3 D'Ambrosia, John	<i>P</i> 141 Dell	L 5	# 26	C/ 91 SC 91.7.4.2 P143 L21 # 5
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X e no corresponding shall stat	ements. Also, I	both values are set to -	Comment Type TR Comment Status X See previous comments related to the use of "uncorrectable" on page 126
SuggestedRemedy delete the determination of the	KR4 and KP4 PHY is not do	one in the FEC	sublayer	SuggestedRemedy Replace "for uncorrectable codewords" with "for uncorrected errored codewords"
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed ResponseResponse StatusW[Changed Clause from 19 to 91, changed Sublause to 91.7.4.2 for consistent sorting.]
C/ 91 SC 91.7.4.1 D'Ambrosia, John	P 142 Dell	L 31	# 9	C/ 91 SC 91.7.4.2 P143 L26 # 10 D'Ambrosia, John Dell
Comment Type E TF9 is for 100GBASE-K	Comment Status X (R4 and 100GBASE-CR4			Comment Type E Comment Status X subclause reference for RF7 wrong
SuggestedRemedy Add 100GBASE-CR4				SuggestedRemedy change to 91.5.3.4
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 91 SC 91.7.4.2 Szczepanek, Andre	P 143 Inphi	L18	# 4	C/ 91 SC 91.7.4.3 P143 L53 # 11 D'Ambrosia, John Dell
Comment Type TR See previous comments	Comment Status X s related to the use of "uncor	rectable" on pag	ge 126	Comment Type E Comment Status X Feature name for SD5 is incorrect
SuggestedRemedy Delete Item RF5				SuggestedRemedy change to Rx LPI process
Proposed Response [Changed Clause from ²	Response Status W 19 to 91, changed Sublause	to 91.7.4.2 for c	consistent sorting.]	Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 91 SC 91.7.4.3 Page 37 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM

C/ 91 SC 91-1	0 P136	L	# 114	C/ 92 SC 10	P167	L 4648	# 165
Sela, Oren	Mellanox Tech	hnologies		Bugg, Mark	Molex		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
When only FW EE not be taken	E is supported the arch from TX_	_TEST_NEXT to	TX_QUITE should	Modify Eqn 92-14 ba	ased on measured data		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
00 ,	ed LPI FW - true in FW mode fals	se in normal wal	ke modei n	Change Equation 92 10.80-13log(f/5.5)	2-14 from		
Figrue 91-10 - on t	the arch from TX_TEST_NEXT to	TX_QUITE add		to			
	gn_status + !ram_valid). And add ign_status + !ram_valid) from TX_			10.70-14LOG(f/5.5)			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
r roposed nesponse							
				C/ 92 SC 10	P 167	L 4648	# 166
C/ 91A SC 91A.		L 1	# 66	Bugg, Mark	Molex		
Pillai, Velu	Broadcom			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
Comment Type E	Comment Status X			Return loss limit ext	ending to 25GHz is inconsistent	with remainder of	of cable limits
	EC blocks contains only Idle con			SuggestedRemedy			
	has a mix of data and control code						
and 91.5.3.5	sically a set that exercises the con	nplex equations	in subclause 91.5.2.5	Change Frequency	limits of Eqn 92-14 from		
				$11 - f_{-25}$	•		
				4.1<=f<=25 to	·		
SuggestedRemedy				to	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O			to 4.1<=f<=20	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O			to 4.1<=f<=20	Response Status O	L41	# 258
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Cl 91A SC 91A.	2 P277	L1	# 65	to 4.1<=f<=20 Proposed Response			# <u>258</u>
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Cl 91A SC 91A.		L1	# 65	to 4.1<=f<=20 Proposed Response C/ 92 SC 10.2	P164		# 258
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Cl 91A SC 91A. Pillai, Velu	2 P277	L1	# 65	to 4.1<=f<=20 Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 10.2 Shanbhag, Megha Comment Type T	P 164 TE Connectivi	ity	
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response C/ 91A SC 91A. Pillai, Velu Comment Type E The CL91 text alree	2 P277 Broadcom Comment Status X eady clarifies in section 91.5.2.7 th	nat when the trai	nscoded data [0:256] is	to 4.1<=f<=20 Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 10.2 Shanbhag, Megha Comment Type T It reads "b The limit	P 164 TE Connectivi Comment Status X	ity at 12.8906 GHz	
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Cl 91A SC 91A. Pillai, Velu Comment Type E The CL91 text alre partitioned into 100	2 P277 Broadcom Comment Status X eady clarifies in section 91.5.2.7 th bit message symbols from left to	nat when the train right in the enco	nscoded data [0:256] is oder, the resulting	to 4.1<=f<=20 Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 10.2 Shanbhag, Megha Comment Type T It reads "b The limit	P164 TE Connectivi Comment Status X on the maximum insertion loss a	ity at 12.8906 GHz	
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Cl 91A SC 91A. Pillai, Velu Comment Type E The CL91 text alre partitioned into 10- values are {m <k-1< td=""><td>2 P277 Broadcom Comment Status X eady clarifies in section 91.5.2.7 th bit message symbols from left to >[0:9], m<k-2>[0:9],,m<0>[0:9]}</k-2></td><td>nat when the tran right in the enco }. An additional s</td><td>nscoded data [0:256] is oder, the resulting statement to section</td><td>to 4.1<=f<=20 Proposed Response CI 92 SC 10.2 Shanbhag, Megha Comment Type T It reads "b The limit the parameter being SuggestedRemedy</td><td>P164 TE Connectivi Comment Status X on the maximum insertion loss a</td><td>ity at 12.8906 GHz ss.</td><td>" but</td></k-1<>	2 P277 Broadcom Comment Status X eady clarifies in section 91.5.2.7 th bit message symbols from left to >[0:9], m <k-2>[0:9],,m<0>[0:9]}</k-2>	nat when the tran right in the enco }. An additional s	nscoded data [0:256] is oder, the resulting statement to section	to 4.1<=f<=20 Proposed Response CI 92 SC 10.2 Shanbhag, Megha Comment Type T It reads "b The limit the parameter being SuggestedRemedy	P164 TE Connectivi Comment Status X on the maximum insertion loss a	ity at 12.8906 GHz ss.	" but
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Cl 91A SC 91A. Pillai, Velu Comment Type E The CL91 text alre partitioned into 10- values are {m <k-1 91A.2 to indicate t must first be flippe</k-1 	2 P277 Broadcom Comment Status X eady clarifies in section 91.5.2.7 th bit message symbols from left to	nat when the tra right in the enco }. An additional s or parity symbol [9:0], m <k-2>[9:</k-2>	nscoded data [0:256] is oder, the resulting statement to section generation, the values	to 4.1<=f<=20 Proposed Response CI 92 SC 10.2 Shanbhag, Megha Comment Type T It reads "b The limit the parameter being SuggestedRemedy	P164 TE Connectivi <i>Comment Status</i> X on the maximum insertion loss a prefered is minimum insertion loss	ity at 12.8906 GHz ss.	" but
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Cl 91A SC 91A. Pillai, Velu Comment Type E The CL91 text alre partitioned into 10- values are {m <k-1 91A.2 to indicate t must first be flippe before being applie</k-1 	2 P277 Broadcom Comment Status X eady clarifies in section 91.5.2.7 th -bit message symbols from left to >[0:9], m <k-2>[0:9],,m<0>[0:9]) hat when these values are used f ed end-to-end to become {m<k-1></k-1></k-2>	nat when the tra right in the enco }. An additional s or parity symbol [9:0], m <k-2>[9:</k-2>	nscoded data [0:256] is oder, the resulting statement to section generation, the values	to 4.1<=f<=20 Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 10.2 Shanbhag, Megha Comment Type T It reads "b The limit the parameter being SuggestedRemedy change to "b The lim	P164 TE Connectivi Comment Status X on the maximum insertion loss refered is minimum insertion loss	ity at 12.8906 GHz ss.	" but
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Cl 91A SC 91A. Pillai, Velu Comment Type E The CL91 text alre partitioned into 10- values are {m <k-1 91A.2 to indicate t must first be flippe</k-1 	2 P277 Broadcom Comment Status X eady clarifies in section 91.5.2.7 th -bit message symbols from left to >[0:9], m <k-2>[0:9],,m<0>[0:9]) hat when these values are used f ed end-to-end to become {m<k-1></k-1></k-2>	nat when the tra right in the enco }. An additional s or parity symbol [9:0], m <k-2>[9:</k-2>	nscoded data [0:256] is oder, the resulting statement to section generation, the values	to 4.1<=f<=20 Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 10.2 Shanbhag, Megha Comment Type T It reads "b The limit the parameter being SuggestedRemedy change to "b The lim	P164 TE Connectivi Comment Status X on the maximum insertion loss refered is minimum insertion loss	ity at 12.8906 GHz ss.	" but
SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response C/ 91A SC 91A. Pillai, Velu Comment Type E The CL91 text alre partitioned into 10- values are {m <k-1 91A.2 to indicate t must first be flippe before being applie</k-1 	2 P277 Broadcom Comment Status X eady clarifies in section 91.5.2.7 th -bit message symbols from left to >[0:9], m <k-2>[0:9],,m<0>[0:9]) hat when these values are used f ed end-to-end to become {m<k-1></k-1></k-2>	nat when the tra right in the enco }. An additional s or parity symbol [9:0], m <k-2>[9:</k-2>	nscoded data [0:256] is oder, the resulting statement to section generation, the values	to 4.1<=f<=20 Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 10.2 Shanbhag, Megha Comment Type T It reads "b The limit the parameter being SuggestedRemedy change to "b The lim	P164 TE Connectivi Comment Status X on the maximum insertion loss refered is minimum insertion loss	ity at 12.8906 GHz ss.	" but

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 92	Page 38 of 76
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 10.2	11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 92 SC 11.1.1 P172 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom	L 36	# 220	C/ 92 SC 11.3.1 Ghiasi, Ali	P 174 Broadcom	L 7	# 228
Comment Type TR Comment Status X Please multiply the factor 2 in Eq 92-23			Comment Type T Mated test fixture max a	Comment Status X and minimum loss is TBD		
SuggestedRemedy IL(f) = 0.002 + 0.192*sqrt(f) + 0.092 *f			SuggestedRemedy ILMTFmin=(0.08*sqrt(f))+0.2*f) for 0.01 to 25.78 GH;	Z	
Proposed Response Response Status O			ILMTFmax=(-0.114 + 0 = 4.5 - 0.66*f for 1	.45*sqrt(f)+0.21*f) for 0.01 to 4 to 25.78 GHz	14 GH	
C/ 92 SC 11.1.2 P172	L 36	# 218	See ghiasi_01_1112 for	r the proposed graph		
Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom			Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Comment Type TR Comment Status X			[CommentType set to T	Γ (not specified by the comm	enter).]	
Please multiply the factor 2 in front of the equation SuggestedRemedy IL(f)= -0.002 + 0.192*sqrt(f) + 0.092*f			C/ 92 SC 11.3.4 Ghiasi, Ali	P 176 Broadcom	L 28	# 225
IL(I) = -0.002 + 0.192 Sq $II(I) + 0.092$ I			Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		waretestion the motor
Proposed Response Response Status O			board differential to con	e return loss of only 3 dB doe mmon mode return have bee		
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 92 SC 11.2 P173 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom	L 7	# 221		nmon mode return have bee		
CI 92 SC 11.2 P173	L7	# 221	board differential to con generation SuggestedRemedy	nmon mode return have bee		
Cl 92 SC 11.2 P173 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Please multiply factor 1.25 SuggestedRemedy	L7	# 221	board differential to con generation SuggestedRemedy Remove section 92.11.	nmon mode return have bee 3.4		
Cl 92 SC 11.2 P173 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Please multiply factor 1.25 SuggestedRemedy IL(f) = -0.00125 + 0.120 * sqrt(f) + 0.0575 * f	L7	# 221	board differential to con generation SuggestedRemedy Remove section 92.11. Proposed Response	nmon mode return have bee 3.4 <i>Response Status</i> O	n tighten to limit	common mode
Cl 92SC 11.2P173Ghiasi, AliBroadcomComment TypeTRComment TypeTRPlease multiply factor 1.25SuggestedRemedyIL(f) = -0.00125 + 0.120 * sqrt(f) + 0.0575 * f	L7	# 221	board differential to con generation SuggestedRemedy Remove section 92.11. Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 11.3.5	nmon mode return have bee 3.4 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 177 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X	n tighten to limit	common mode
Cl 92SC 11.2P173Ghiasi, AliBroadcomComment TypeTRComment TypeTRPlease multiply factor 1.25SuggestedRemedyIL(f) = -0.00125 + 0.120 * sqrt(f) + 0.0575 * f	L 7	# 221	board differential to con generation SuggestedRemedy Remove section 92.11. Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 11.3.5 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR	nmon mode return have bee 3.4 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 177 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X rrosstalk are TBD	n tighten to limit	common mode
Cl 92SC 11.2P173Ghiasi, AliBroadcomComment TypeTRComment TypeTRPlease multiply factor 1.25SuggestedRemedyIL(f) = -0.00125 + 0.120 * sqrt(f) + 0.0575 * f	L7	# 221	board differential to con generation SuggestedRemedy Remove section 92.11. Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 11.3.5 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Near end and far end cl SuggestedRemedy Proposed limit for NEXT = 1 mV RMS	nmon mode return have been 3.4 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 177 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X prosstalk are TBD	n tighten to limit	common mode
Cl 92SC 11.2P173Ghiasi, AliBroadcomComment TypeTRComment TypeTRPlease multiply factor 1.25SuggestedRemedyIL(f) = -0.00125 + 0.120 * sqrt(f) + 0.0575 * f	L7	# <u>221</u>	board differential to con generation SuggestedRemedy Remove section 92.11. Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 11.3.5 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Near end and far end cc SuggestedRemedy Proposed limit for NEXT = 1 mV RMS MDNEXT = 1.7 mV RMS FEXT = 2.6 mV RMS	nmon mode return have been 3.4 <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 177 Broadcom <i>Comment Status</i> X prosstalk are TBD	n tighten to limit	common mode

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/92Page 39 of 76COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawnSC 11.3.511/1/2012 7:05:49 PMSORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, lineSC 11.3.511/1/2012 7:05:49 PM

C/ 92 SC 11.32 Ghiasi, Ali	P 174 Broadcom	L 3	# 224	<i>CI</i> 92 Ran, Adee	SC 7.12	P 151 Intel	L10	# 185
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X to 18.75 GHz the difference be	tween 18-0.5*f	and 11.2-	Comment 7 Choice	of seeds to m	Comment Status X inimize correlation seems like can be achieved, nor criteria o		
SuggestedRemedy Remove the third part 4<=f<=18.75 GHz	of 92-27 and change the range	on the 2nd pa	rt from 4<=f<=16 to			arge inter-lane skew allowed in eliably by just selecting seeds.		such minimzation
Proposed Response	Response Status O			lane" in		ve!) requirements of "randomn nd 85 do not achieve this goal,		
C/ 92 SC 12.1 Shanbhag, Megha	P 177 TE Connectivity	L 17	# 254		ry loose specif e that a produc	ication of the seed requirements the meets it.	nts in clause 72 n	nakes it impossible to
	Comment Status X .2 are referenced for definition of 92.11.1.1 and 92.11.1.2 are su			avoid c		ess to specify something that is beating an error.	s both unverifyab	le and ineffective. Let's
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Change 92.11.1.1 and Proposed Response	92.11.1.2 to 92.12.1.1 and 92. <i>Response Status</i> O	12.1.2 respecti	vely.	Specify	the polynomia PICS item P	11 polynomial for each lane. als and the initial bit patterns e F18 in 92.13.4.1 accordingly a		
C/ 92 SC 12.1.1 Shanbhag, Megha	P 178 TE Connectivity	L 24	# 255	Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
	Comment Status X 2 example MDI board receptacl			C/ 92 Shanbhag,	SC 8.3.2 Megha	P 153 TE Connectiv	L 33 ity	# 256
SuggestedRemedy Change Figure title fro	Style-2 when it should be Style om Style-2 to Style-1	-1			ation (92-1) Ma	Comment Status X eximum frequency for Tx Outpute-4) is defined up to a maximur		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested	Remedy	-1) to reflect a maximum frequ		
				Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 92	Page 40 of 76
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/with	drawn SC 8.3.2	11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

Ghiasi, Ali	P 157 Broadcom	L 45	# 217	<i>Cl</i> 92 <i>SC</i> 8.4 . Ghiasi, Ali	1 P160 Broadcom	L 28	# 219
	Comment Status X constant factor in EQ 92.4				R Comment Status X ave used 0.05 GHz for low freq R the case of Eq 92-5	L measuremnts a	and in some case 0.01
	31 sqrt(f) + 0.6092 * f 0.01<=f<=1	4 GHz		SuggestedRemedy	01 GHz limit with 0.05 GHz		
IL = 19.368 + 2.152 Proposed Response	* f for 14 <=f <=18.75 GHz Response Status 0			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
				C/ 92 SC 8.4.	2 <i>P</i> 159	L 42	# 216
SC 8.3.6	P 157	L 35	# 184	Ghiasi, Ali	Broadcom		
an, Adee	Intel			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
omment Type TR	Comment Status X			Differential to cor	nmon mode conversion with flat v	alue of 10 dB is t	oo relax and simplistic
negative pulse. By it odd pulses. These o	dd jitter refers to the difference be ts name, it should compare the d definitions coincide when the test but with odd length (such as PRI	ifference betwee pattern has per	en even pulses and iod with an even		wing limit 5.78) dB for 0.05<=f<=12.89 GHz 2.89 GHz to 25.87 GHz	<u>z</u>	
Change	een the mean width of the positiv	e pulse and the	mean width of the	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
to				CI 92 SC 92.		L 42	# 235
odd-numbered pulse	een the mean width of even-num	bered pulses ar	id the mean width of	Arumugham, Vinu	Cisco		
Consider adding				Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
	period is an odd number of symb in both positive and negative pola		and odd-numbered		Is received at the MDI from a tran		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			BER less than 10	bassed through the cable assemb -5"	by specified in 92	.10 are received with a
				"92.8.4.4 Bit erro	, ratio		
					Tallu		
	P159	L 29	# 257		l operate with a BER 10–12 or be l in 92.8.3, through a compliant ca		
hanbhag, Megha	TE Connectivit		# 257	signal, as defined		able assembly as	
hanbhag, Megha omment Type T	TE Connectivit Comment Status X	у		signal, as defined	l in 92.8.3, through a compliant ca	able assembly as	
hanbhag, Megha comment Type T In equation (92-5) a	TE Connectivit	y defined as 25G	Hz. But IL	signal, as defined Seem like two dif SuggestedRemedy	l in 92.8.3, through a compliant ca	able assembly as onfiguration?	defined in 92.10"
hanbhag, Megha comment Type T In equation (92-5) a in equation (92-4) is	TE Connectivit Comment Status X nd (92-6) maximum frequency is	y defined as 25G	Hz. But IL	signal, as defined Seem like two dif SuggestedRemedy	l in 92.8.3, through a compliant ca ferent BER values for the same c	able assembly as onfiguration?	defined in 92.10"
hanbhag, Megha comment Type T In equation (92-5) a in equation (92-4) is cuggestedRemedy	TE Connectivit Comment Status X nd (92-6) maximum frequency is	y defined as 25G ncy of 18.75G⊦	Hz. But IL	signal, as defined Seem like two dif <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change BER to t	l in 92.8.3, through a compliant ca ferent BER values for the same c he same value in both sections or	able assembly as onfiguration?	defined in 92.10"

TTPE. TR/lectifical required ER/editorial required GR/gene	rai required Triechnical L/eutonal G/general	C/ 92	Fage 41 0170
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 92.1	11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 92 SC 92.1 P144 L46 # 3		SC 92.10	P164	L 9	# 313
Dawe, Piers IPtronics	Dudek, Mike		QLogic		
Comment Type T Comment Status X Where do 1e-5 and 1.7e-10 come from? I'm not convinced they are exactly rig		reduction in lo	Comment Status X oss of the Cable assembly with no change in the cable		
SuggestedRemedy Add an informative section documenting the calculations - perhaps in 80.1.2 BI		ne cable inser	tion loss in table 92-9 shou	uld be increased	
Objective, because the issue is not specific to Clause 92.	SuggestedRe				
Proposed Response Response Status O	Make the	e same chang	ertion loss at 12.8906 GHz e in Table 92-10	r from 22.64dB to 2	22.48dB.
	Proposed Re	sponse	Response Status O		
X 92 SC 92.1 P164 L1 # L	400				
awe, Piers IPtronics	C/ 92	SC 92.10.2	P165	L33	# <u>3</u> 22
omment Type TR Comment Status X	Dudek, Mike		QLogic		
For 35 dB headline loss, the consensus was that this method of specification is for backplanes. Cables have worse low frequency loss and the channel is divic		pe TR	Comment Status X		
Ta shuisal Esseibility of this shaft has not been established	io vorv o			Second Set are int	ended to describe the
Technical Feasibility of this draft has not been established. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Use COM and other analysis to establish what level of performance is reasonal this method of specification, a reduced headline loss and reach and/or tighter II needed.	minimum efficients ble. With isn't true LD may be SuggestedRe	n Insertion los (particularly f emedy	s curve but we do not reall for the square root and squ	y want to limit the	minimum value of the
uggestedRemedy Use COM and other analysis to establish what level of performance is reasonal this method of specification, a reduced headline loss and reach and/or tighter II needed. roposed Response Response Status O	minimum efficients ble. With isn't true LD may be SuggestedRo Delete fo Delete th Replace "The mir	n Insertion loss (particularly f emedy otnote b e last 3 rows the paragraph imum measu	s curve but we do not reall for the square root and squ	y want to limit the uare terms). Also t d meet the attenua	minimum value of the of the of the footnote b certainly
SuggestedRemedy Use COM and other analysis to establish what level of performance is reasonal this method of specification, a reduced headline loss and reach and/or tighter II needed. Proposed Response Response Status O	minimum efficients ble. With isn't true LD may be SuggestedRo Delete fo Delete th Replace "The mir	Insertion los (particularly f emedy otnote b e last 3 rows the paragraph imum measu qrt(f)+0.3*f+0.	s curve but we do not reall for the square root and squ in the table. h starting on row 16 with red loss of the cable shoul	y want to limit the uare terms). Also t d meet the attenua	minimum value of the o
UggestedRemedy Use COM and other analysis to establish what level of performance is reasonal this method of specification, a reduced headline loss and reach and/or tighter II needed. roposed Response Response Status O 1 92 SC 92.10 P164 L1 # 2 awe, Piers IPtronics	minimum efficients ble. With isn't true LD may be Delete fc Delete th Replace "The min 404	Insertion los (particularly f emedy otnote b e last 3 rows the paragraph imum measu qrt(f)+0.3*f+0.	s curve but we do not reall for the square root and squ in the table. In starting on row 16 with red loss of the cable shoul 01*(f^2) which is shown in	y want to limit the uare terms). Also t d meet the attenua	minimum value of the o
Use COM and other analysis to establish what level of performance is reasonal this method of specification, a reduced headline loss and reach and/or tighter II needed. roposed Response Response Status O 1 92 SC 92.10 P164 L1 # A awe, Piers IPtronics	minimum efficients isn't true LD may be SuggestedRe Delete fo Delete th Replace "The min IL=0.7*so Proposed Re	Insertion loss (particularly f emedy otnote b e last 3 rows the paragraph imum measur qrt(f)+0.3*f+0. sponse	s curve but we do not reall for the square root and squ in the table. In starting on row 16 with red loss of the cable shoul 01*(f^2) which is shown in <i>Response Status</i> O	y want to limit the uare terms). Also t d meet the attenua figure 92-9"	minimum value of the of the of the footnote b certainly ation curve given by
Use COM and other analysis to establish what level of performance is reasonal this method of specification, a reduced headline loss and reach and/or tighter II needed. roposed Response Response Status O 92 SC 92.10 P164 L1 # 2 awe, Piers IPtronics pomment Type TR Comment Status X Cable needs a spec to control common-mode generation and maybe an Scc22	ble. With efficients ble. With isn't true LD may be SuggestedRo Delete th Replace "The mir 104 IL=0.7*so Proposed Ro	Insertion los (particularly f emedy otnote b e last 3 rows the paragraph imum measu qrt(f)+0.3*f+0.	s curve but we do not reall for the square root and squ in the table. In starting on row 16 with red loss of the cable shoul 01*(f^2) which is shown in <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 165	y want to limit the uare terms). Also t d meet the attenua	minimum value of the o
SuggestedRemedy Use COM and other analysis to establish what level of performance is reasonal this method of specification, a reduced headline loss and reach and/or tighter II needed. Proposed Response Response Status O Public Response Response Status N Cable needs a spec to control common-mode generation and maybe an Scc22 SuggestedRemedy Add an Scd21 or ICMCN spec. Check if other common-mode or mixed-mode stable	ble. With efficients LD may be SuggestedRe Delete fo Delete th Replace "The min IL=0.7*so Proposed Re Spec. C/ 92 Dudek, Mike	a Insertion loss (particularly f emedy otnote b e last 3 rows the paragraph imum measur grt(f)+0.3*f+0. sponse SC 92.10.2	s curve but we do not reall for the square root and squ in the table. In starting on row 16 with red loss of the cable shoul 01*(f^2) which is shown in <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 165 QLogic	y want to limit the uare terms). Also t d meet the attenua figure 92-9"	minimum value of the of the of the footnote b certainly ation curve given by
uggestedRemedy Use COM and other analysis to establish what level of performance is reasonal this method of specification, a reduced headline loss and reach and/or tighter II needed. roposed Response Response Status O / 92 SC 92.10 P164 L1 # awe, Piers IPtronics omment Type TR Comment Status X Cable needs a spec to control common-mode generation and maybe an Scc22 uggestedRemedy Add an Scd21 or ICMCN spec. Check if other common-mode or mixed-mode smissing, add them if appropriate.	ble. With efficients LD may be SuggestedRe Delete for Delete th Replace "The min IL=0.7*so Proposed Re specs are C/ 92 Dudek, Mike Comment Ty Having th constrain at Nyquis	a Insertion loss (particularly f emedy otnote b e last 3 rows the paragraph imum measur grt(f)+0.3*f+0. sponse SC 92.10.2 pe T nese fitted co- is the channel st will fail one	s curve but we do not reall for the square root and squ in the table. In starting on row 16 with red loss of the cable shoul 01*(f^2) which is shown in <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 165	y want to limit the uare terms). Also the d meet the attenua figure 92-9" <i>L</i> 33 g the maximum los nany channels that eters. (It also rem	minimum value of the of the footnote b certainly ation curve given by # <u>314</u> s at Nyquist heavily t pass the maximum lo noves the need for the
uggestedRemedy Use COM and other analysis to establish what level of performance is reasonal this method of specification, a reduced headline loss and reach and/or tighter II needed. roposed Response Response Status O / 92 SC 92.10 P164 L1 # awe, Piers IPtronics omment Type TR Comment Status X Cable needs a spec to control common-mode generation and maybe an Scc22 uggestedRemedy Add an Scd21 or ICMCN spec. Check if other common-mode or mixed-mode smissing, add them if appropriate.	ble. With efficients LD may be SuggestedRe Delete for Delete th Replace "The min IL=0.7*so Proposed Re specs are C/ 92 Dudek, Mike Comment Ty Having th constrain at Nyquis	a Insertion loss (particularly f emedy otnote b e last 3 rows the paragraph imum measur grt(f)+0.3*f+0. sponse SC 92.10.2 pe T hese fitted co- is the channel st will fail one which should	s curve but we do not reall for the square root and squ in the table. In starting on row 16 with red loss of the cable shoul 01*(f^2) which is shown in <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 165 QLogic <i>Comment Status</i> X refficients exactly matching I fit so that it is likely that m or other of these fit param	y want to limit the uare terms). Also the d meet the attenua figure 92-9" <i>L</i> 33 g the maximum los nany channels that eters. (It also rem	minimum value of the of the footnote b certainly ation curve given by # <u>314</u> s at Nyquist heavily t pass the maximum lo noves the need for the
SuggestedRemedy Use COM and other analysis to establish what level of performance is reasonal this method of specification, a reduced headline loss and reach and/or tighter II needed. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 92 SC 92.10 P164 L1 # Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type TR Comment Status X Cable needs a spec to control common-mode generation and maybe an Scc22 SuggestedRemedy Add an Scd21 or ICMCN spec. Check if other common-mode or mixed-mode smissing, add them if appropriate.	specs are minimum efficients isn't true SuggestedRe Delete th Replace "The minimum SuggestedRe "The minimum Delete th Replace "The minimum Delete th Replace "The minimum Delete th Replace "The minimum Comment Ty Having th constrain at Nyquis footnote SuggestedRe	a Insertion loss (particularly f emedy otnote b e last 3 rows the paragraph imum measu grt(f)+0.3*f+0. sponse SC 92.10.2 pe T nese fitted co- is the channel st will fail one which should emedy	s curve but we do not reall for the square root and squ in the table. In starting on row 16 with red loss of the cable shoul 01*(f^2) which is shown in <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 165 QLogic <i>Comment Status</i> X refficients exactly matching I fit so that it is likely that m or other of these fit param	y want to limit the uare terms). Also the d meet the attenua figure 92-9" <i>L</i> 33 g the maximum los nany channels that eters. (It also rem d remedy is not ac	minimum value of the the footnote b certainly ation curve given by # <u>314</u> s at Nyquist heavily t pass the maximum lo noves the need for the

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 92	Page 42 of 76
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 92.10.2	11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

C/ 92 SC 92.10.2	P166	L30	# 315	C/ 92 SC 92.10.7	P170	L 29	# 317
Dudek, Mike	QLogic			Dudek, Mike	QLogic		
	Comment Status X onstraints" is on the wrong si	de of the curve.		Comment Type T The range for insertion the minimum attenua	Comment Status X on loss in the equation is going ation in table 92-10	g to less attenuat	ion than is allowed by
SuggestedRemedy Move it below the curve	e.			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Change the range to	start at 8dB in both Equation	92-22 and Figure	92-12
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 92 SC 92.10.2 Dudek. Mike	P 166 QLogic	L 7	# 299	C/ 92 SC 92.11	P171	L 32	# 318
	6			Dudek, Mike	QLogic		
Comment Type E letter got lost	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy In Figure 92-8 change Proposed Response	"eets" to "meets" Response Status 0				e sentence this section are not MDI spec that these are not connector s		
roposed nesponse				SuggestedRemedy			
CI 92 SC 92.10.4	P168	L 9	# 408	Change the sentence for an implemented of	e to "The requirements in this a lesign."	section are not co	onnector specifications
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X		la	e			
Because of the (throug frequencies.	h) loss of the MCB, this retuin	m loss limit is ine	effective at high	C/ 92 SC 92.11	P173	L 4	# 319
SuggestedRemedy				Dudek, Mike	QLogic		
,	n frequencies by up to twice t	he MCB trace lo	SS.	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			significantly degrade continued the specifi	rds to have un-restricted perfors system performance, resulting cations up to Baud Rate for th s for the San Antonio meeting	g in good devices e equivalent test	s failing. OIF has boards. I hope to have
C/ 92 SC 92.10.5 Dudek, Mike	P 168 QLogic	L 5 1	# 316	specifications for the same specifications	se test boards in their VSR sp for these two standards so that e specifications are already ide	ecification. It wo t the same test b	uld be good to have the
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			SuggestedRemedy			
There are not 9 lanes i	n 100GBASE-CR4			,	cy range for the test boards to	25.9GHz for all	the equations in this
SuggestedRemedy Delete "or nine"					tions from the OIF document ecifications.(eg Mated MDNE)		
Boloto ol mino							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/genera	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 92	Page 43 of 76
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 92.11	11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 92 SC 92.11.3.1 P L1 # <u>62</u>	C/ 92 SC 92.12.1 P177 L17 # 33
DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications	D'Ambrosia, John Dell
Comment Type TR Comment Status X 92.11.3.1 Mated test fixtures insertion loss Equations (92-25) and (92-26) and Figure 92-16 are TBDs	Comment Type TR Comment Status X two shall statements do not have PIC statements Connectors meeting the requirements of 92.11.1.1 (Style-1) or 92.11.1.2 (Style-2) shall be
SuggestedRemedy Revise 92.11.3.1 with TBD equations provided here. From D1.1 comment#318 with revison	used as the mechanical interface between the PMD of 92.7 and the cable assembly of 92.10. The plug connector shall be used on the cable assembly and the receptacle on the PHY. Style-1 or Style-2 connectors may be used as the MDI interface
to max frequency. Equation (92-25)	SuggestedRemedy
ILMTFmin=0.08*SQRT(f)+0.2*f for f= 0.01 GHz to 18.75 GHz Equation (92-26) ILMTFmax=0.114+0.45*SQRT(f)+0.21*f	add pic statements Proposed Response Response Status O
for f= 0.01 GHz to 14 GHz ILMTFmax=-4.5+0.66*f for f= 14 GHz to 18.75 GHz Use Equation (92-25) and Equation (92-26) for Figure 92-16 TBD	C/ 92 SC 92.12.1.1 P178 L24 # 1 Sommers, Scott Molex
	Comment Type E Comment Status X Figure 92-21 - Style-2 example MDI board receptacle
Proposed Response Response Status O	
Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy
C/ 92 SC 92.11.3.5 P177 L35 # 63	
Cl 92 SC 92.11.3.5 P 177 L 35 # 63 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Comment Type TR Comment Status X	SuggestedRemedy The drawing is a Style 1 connector and not a Style 2, (Style 2 connectors are in the next section of the document, 92.12.1.2). Remedy - need to simply change the -2 to -1 in the
C/ 92 SC 92.11.3.5 P177 L 35 # 63 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Comment Type TR Comment Status X 92.11.3.5 Mated test fixtures integrated crosstalk noise Table 95-12 includes TBDs. SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy The drawing is a Style 1 connector and not a Style 2, (Style 2 connectors are in the next section of the document, 92.12.1.2). Remedy - need to simply change the -2 to -1 in the text:
Cl 92 SC 92.11.3.5 P 177 L 35 # 63 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications 63 Comment Type TR Comment Status X 92.11.3.5 Mated test fixtures integrated crosstalk noise Table 95-12 includes TBDs. SuggestedRemedy diminico_1112.pdf provides the Table 95-12 TBDs 63	SuggestedRemedy The drawing is a Style 1 connector and not a Style 2, (Style 2 connectors are in the next section of the document, 92.12.1.2). Remedy - need to simply change the -2 to -1 in the text: Figure 92-21 - Style-1 example MDI board receptacle
Cl 92 SC 92.11.3.5 P177 L35 # 63 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Comment Type TR Comment Status X 92.11.3.5 Mated test fixtures integrated crosstalk noise Table 95-12 includes TBDs. SuggestedRemedy diminico_1112.pdf provides the Table 95-12 TBDs Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 92 SC 92.11.3.5 P177 L39-44 # 326	SuggestedRemedy The drawing is a Style 1 connector and not a Style 2, (Style 2 connectors are in the next section of the document, 92.12.1.2). Remedy - need to simply change the -2 to -1 in the text: Figure 92-21 - Style-1 example MDI board receptacle Proposed Response Response Status C/ 92 SC 92.12.1.1 P178 L25 # 405
Cl 92 SC 92.11.3.5 P177 L35 # 63 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications 63 Comment Type TR Comment Status X 92.11.3.5 Mated test fixtures integrated crosstalk noise Table 95-12 includes TBDs. SuggestedRemedy diminico_1112.pdf provides the Table 95-12 TBDs Proposed Response Response Status 0 Cl 92 SC 92.11.3.5 P177 L39-44 # 326 Li, Mike Altera Comment Type TR Comment Status X	SuggestedRemedy The drawing is a Style 1 connector and not a Style 2, (Style 2 connectors are in the next section of the document, 92.12.1.2). Remedy - need to simply change the -2 to -1 in the text: Figure 92-21 - Style-1 example MDI board receptacle Proposed Response Response Status Cl 92 SC 92.12.1.1 P178 L25 # 405 Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type E Comment Status X Ia
Cl 92 SC 92.11.3.5 P177 L35 # 63 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications MC Communications Comment Type TR Comment Status X 92.11.3.5 Mated test fixtures integrated crosstalk noise Table 95-12 includes TBDs. SuggestedRemedy diminico_1112.pdf provides the Table 95-12 TBDs Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 92 SC 92.11.3.5 P177 L39-44 # 326 Li, Mike Altera Comment Type TR Comment Status X parameters are still TBDs Filtera Comment Status X Status X	SuggestedRemedy The drawing is a Style 1 connector and not a Style 2, (Style 2 connectors are in the next section of the document, 92.12.1.2). Remedy - need to simply change the -2 to -1 in the text: Figure 92-21 - Style-1 example MDI board receptacle Proposed Response Response Status Cl 92 SC 92.12.1.1 P178 L25 # 405 Dawe, Piers IPtronics IPtronics Ia Comment Type E Comment Status X Ia No need for obfuscatory names. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Ia
Cl 92 SC 92.11.3.5 P177 L35 # 63 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications MC Communications Comment Type TR Comment Status X 92.11.3.5 Mated test fixtures integrated crosstalk noise Table 95-12 includes TBDs. SuggestedRemedy diminico_1112.pdf provides the Table 95-12 TBDs Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 92 SC 92.11.3.5 P177 L39-44 # 326 Li, Mike Altera Comment Type TR Comment Status X	SuggestedRemedy The drawing is a Style 1 connector and not a Style 2, (Style 2 connectors are in the next section of the document, 92.12.1.2). Remedy - need to simply change the -2 to -1 in the text: Figure 92-21 - Style-1 example MDI board receptacle Proposed Response Response Status C/ 92 SC 92.12.1.1 P178 L25 # 405 Dawe, Piers IPtronics IPtronics Comment Type E Comment Status X Ia No need for obfuscatory names. SuggestedRemedy Rename "Style-1" as QSFP, "Style-2" as CFP4.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 92	Page 44 of 76
SC 92.12.1.1	11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM

C/ 92 SC 92.13 Kvist, Bengt	P 183 Ericsson AB	L 1	# 371	Cl 92 SC 92.13.4.4 P188 L 20 # 31 D'Ambrosia, John Dell
Comment Type T This is a second sub-c	Comment Status X clause 92.13			Comment Type TR Comment Status X Item RC7 and RC8 refer to the wrong subclause
92.13 .Environmental : 92.13 Protocol implem	specifications nentation conformance			SuggestedRemedy change subclause reference to 92.8.4.3.4
SuggestedRemedy Change to				Proposed Response Response Status O
•	nentation conformance			C/ 92 SC 92.5 P146 L1 # 60
Proposed Response	Response Status W			DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
[CommentType set to C/ 92 SC 92.13.4.3	T (commenter did not specify).]	L 3	# 29	Comment Type TR Comment Status X 92.5 Skew constraints includes TBDs
D'Ambrosia, John	Dell	23	# 29	SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type TR value / comment field of TC12 value: 0.52 x vf Text value: 0.5 x vf SuggestedRemedy make equations consist Proposed Response				Revise 92.5 with TBD values provided here. 92.5 Skew constraints If the PMD service interface is physically instantiated so that the Skew at SP2 can be measured, then the Skew at SP2 is limited to TBD=43 ns and the Skew Variation at SP2 is limited to TBD=400 ps.The Skew at SP3 (the transmitter MDI) shall be less than TBD=54 ns and the Skew Variation at SP3 shall be less than TBD=600 ps.The Skew at SP4 (the receiver MDI) shall be less than TBD=134 ns and the Skew Variation at SP4 shall be less than TBD=3.4 ns. If the PMD service interface is physically instantiated so that the Skew at SP5 can be measured, then the Skew at SP5 shall be less than TBD=145 ns and the Skew Variation at SP5 shall be less than TBD=3.6 ns.
				Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 92 SC 92.13.4.4 D'Ambrosia, John	4 <i>P</i> 188 Dell	L 12	# 30	Cl 92 SC 92.7.1 P148 L43 # 387
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Dawe, Piers IPtronics
51	ve a matching SHALL statement	t in 92.8.4.1		Comment Type T Comment Status X
SuggestedRemedy	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			maximum insertion loss
change				SuggestedRemedy
The reference impeda	nce for differential return loss m	easurements is	s 100 Ù.	Change to recommended maximum insertion loss, as D1.1 comment 451.
to The reference impeda	nce for differential return loss m	easurements s	hall be 100 Ù.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response	Response Status O			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T	echnical E/editorial G/general	C/ 92	Page 45 of 76
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSI	STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 92.7.1	11/1/2012 7:05:49 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 92 SC 92.7.12 D'Ambrosia, John	P151 Dell	L 17	# 28	C/ 92 SC 92.7. Dudek, Mike	7 <i>P</i> 151 QLogic	L 4	# 298
Comment Type TR no pic statement for	Comment Status X	Carachall as an di	en en ser de la ser de la se	Comment Type E The sentence is in	Comment Status X		
appropriate bits in	s implemented, then this func	tion shall map th	ese variables to the	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Add "to be disable	d" on the end of the sentence.		
add pic statement				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						
	P151	L6	# 27	C/ 92 SC 92.7. Kvist, Bengt	7 P151 Ericsson AB	L 4	# 370
D'Ambrosia, John	Dell	20	# 27	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Selective or individ Compare 93.7.7, 9	ual disable dissappeared in last e 4.3.6.7	dit.	
No PIC statement for The training frame stru defined in	ucture used by the 100GBASI	E-CR4 PMD cont	rol function shall be as	The PMD lane-by-l transmitter in each	ane transmit disable function is op lane.	otional and allo	ws the electrical
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
add pic statement					ane transmit disable function is or	otional and allo	ws the electrical
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	lane to be selectively disabled. <i>Response Status</i> W		
				, ,	t to T (commenter did not specify)	1	
C/ 92 SC 92.7.4 Sela, Oren	P 150 Mellanox Tec	L 22 hnologies	# 116	C/ 92 SC 92.8.		L 25	# 307
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			Dudek, Mike	QLogic		
signal detect should a supported and rx_mod	lso function as Alert detect whe	nen EEE normal	mode is	Comment Type T The AC coupling is	Comment Status X in the cable not at the receiver.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Add the following text:	when the continue of CCC and a little			Replace "at the rec	ceiver" with "within the cable"		
PMD_SIGNAL.indicati detected, which correst	rts the optional EEE capability ion is also used to indicate wh sponds to the beginning of a r a condition of PMD:IS_RX_M0	nen the ALERT si efresh or a wake	ignal is	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 92 SC 92.8.1 Page 46 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM

C/ 92 SC 92.8. Dawe, Piers	3 P153 IPtronics	L15	# 398	C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.5 Dawe, Piers	P 157 IPtronics	L 28	# 390
Comment Type TR Need specs for co common to different	mmon-mode output return loss a	nd output mode o	conversion loss (from	Comment Type T Recommending insert SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ion loss for host channel is go	ood but not the w	vhole story.
SuggestedRemedy				,	n for ILD or other metric to co	ontrol host chann	nel quality.
	mon-mode output return loss an ntial). For example, use the Infin			Proposed Response	Response Status O		ion quanty.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.5 Dudek, Mike	Р 157 QLogic	L 32	# 308
C/ 92 SC 92.8. Dudek, Mike Comment Type TR	QLogic Comment Status X	L 21	# 321		Comment Status X ss of the HCB from 1.5dB at N the insertion loss from TP0 to		
SuggestedRemedy	e (min) value in table 92-5 does r	not match the val	ue in 92.8.3.4.1	Change 10dB to 10.37	7dB on line 33. s in equation 92-4 from 1.076	to 1.115	
Change the value				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						
C/ 92 SC 92.8.		L 36	# 239	Cl 92 SC 92.8.3.5 Arumugham, Vinu	P 158 Cisco	L 6	# 240
Comment Type T	Cisco Comment Status X			<i>Comment Type</i> E Figure 92-5 Y axis rea	Comment Status X		
uggestedRemedy	atch value in Table 92-5			SuggestedRemedy Should be only Max.			
Remove one.				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response	Response Status O						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.5 Page 47 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM

Dawe, Piers	5 P158 IPtronics	L 28	# 399	C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.7 Dawe, Piers	P 159 IPtronics	L 36	# 383
Comment Type TR Following up on D1.1				Comment Type E Put the subclauses in	Comment Status X the same order as Table 92-5	5 (or vice versa).	
Several editorials and each jitter type.	d technical points, including that	it this section nee	ds subheadings for	SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Also in 92.8.4.			
Editor see email I ser	nt you on 13 August and again	on 18 Septembe	r.	Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0						
	6 <i>P</i> 159	L12	# 397	C/ 92 SC 92.8.4 Arumugham, Vinu	Р 159 Cisco	L 40	# 241
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics	L 1 2	# 397	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			No sinusoidal jitter ma	ask is specified.		
Don't proliferate almo where BER0 is 10^–9	ost-identical jitter metrics. We a 9".	already have J9, v	we don't need "J0	SuggestedRemedy Add sinusoidal jitter m	ask spec. like Figure 86A-10.		
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
	ER0 is 10 ^{^_} 9" to J9, consider c lues appropriately.	hanging "J1 whe	re BER0 is 10^–5" to				
JS OF J4, aujust Q Va				C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.3	P161	L12	# 311
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Dudek, Mike	QLogic		
Proposed Response		L 2	# 309	Dudek, Mike Comment Type T	QLogic <i>Comment Status</i> X ance test can be performed w	ith a PRBS patter	
Proposed Response	6 P159	L 2	# 309	Dudek, Mike <i>Comment Type</i> T The Interference toler	QLogic <i>Comment Status</i> X ance test can be performed w	ith a PRBS patter	
Proposed Response	6 P159 QLogic Comment Status X	L2	# 309	Dudek, Mike <i>Comment Type</i> T The Interference toler to specify the BER be <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change the Paramete	QLogic Comment Status X ance test can be performed w fore FEC. er in table 92-8 from Maximum	·	rn and hence we need
Proposed Response Cl 92 SC 92.8.3.6 Dudek, Mike Comment Type T	5 P159 QLogic Comment Status X o longer required	L2	# 309	Dudek, Mike Comment Type T The Interference toler to specify the BER be SuggestedRemedy Change the Paramete Change the Test 2 va Consider changing the	QLogic Comment Status X ance test can be performed w fore FEC.	BER to Maximur	rn and hence we need

C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.3

C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.3.1 Dawe, Piers	P161 IPtronics	L 42	# 391	C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.3.4 DiMinico, Christopher	P162 MC Communic	L 46 cations	# 61
	Comment Status X cal if signals from test equip in the compliance board me			Comment Type TR Subclause 92.8.4.3.4 inclu	Comment Status X udes TBDs		
	onnector loss and crosstalk			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Revise 92.8.4.3.4 with TB	D values provided here.		
Define the signals from MCB/HCB rather than a	test equipment (including c t PGC or equivalent.	rosstalk, Figure 9	2-7) after a mated	Its output amplitude shall TBD = 800 mV.	be no more than		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			The transition times of the 93.8.1.5 are TBD= 19 ps.	e pattern generator, as defi	ned in	
C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.3.1 Arumugham, Vinu	P161 Cisco	L 43	# 243	If the transition times of th r,are less than TBD=19 ps			
Comment Type E Figure 92-6 has PCG. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X			Equation 92-7: TBD= da4=6.05·10^-5·(tr2^2-19⁄ tr in ps	Y2)		
Change to PGC. Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
				C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.3.4 Dawe, Piers	P162 IPtronics	L 48	# 403
					Comment Status X DEFINITION of what interferent TBD m"" can make anyth		
				SuggestedRemedy Delete "no more than".			

C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.3.4

IEEE P802.3b	01.2 100 Gb/s Backplane and Copper Cable 3rd Task Force review comments

C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.3.4 P162 L48 # 310	C/ 92 SC 92-4 P146 L44 # 59
Dudek, Mike QLogic	DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
Comment Type T Comment Status X	Comment Type TR Comment Status X
We should make clear that during the training algorithm the pattern generator sho to increase its amplitude above the stated value.	uld refuse 92.4 Delay constraints includes TBDs.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
After "alternating one zero pattern" add "including after the training described in 93	Revise 92.4 with TBD values provided here. 92.4 Delay constraints 2.8.4.3.5 The sum of the transmit and the receive delays at one end of the link contributed by the
Proposed Response Response Status O	100GBASE-CR4 PMD, AN, and the medium in one direction shall be no more than (TBD=2048) bit times (TBD=2 pause_quanta or TBD=20.48 ns). It is assumed that the one way delay through the medium is no more than TBD=6000 bit times (TBD= 60 ns).
C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.4 P162 L21 # 32 D'Ambrosia, John Dell	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type TR Comment Status X	CI 92A SC P281 L6 # 320
no pic statement for shall statement	Dudek, Mike QLogic
The receiver shall operate with a BER $10-12$ or better when receiving a compliar signal, as defined in 92.8.3, through a compliant cable assembly as defined in 92	
•	
SuggestedRemedy	
SuggestedRemedy add pic statement	SuggestedRemedy Change the title to "100GBASE-CF4 TP0 and TP5 test point parameters and channel
add pic statement	SuggestedRemedy
add pic statement	SuggestedRemedy Change the title to "100GBASE-CF4 TP0 and TP5 test point parameters and channel
add pic statement Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 92 SC 92.8.4.4 P163 L21 # 312	SuggestedRemedy Change the title to "100GBASE-CF4 TP0 and TP5 test point parameters and channel characteristics. Add to the end of 92A.1 "It also provides information on channel characteristics.
add pic statement Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 92 SC 92.8.4.4 P163 L21 # 312 Dudek, Mike QLogic	SuggestedRemedy Change the title to "100GBASE-CF4 TP0 and TP5 test point parameters and channel characteristics. Add to the end of 92A.1 "It also provides information on channel characteristics.
add pic statement Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.4 P163 L21 # 312 Dudek, Mike QLogic Comment Type T Comment Status X We should specify the error rate before FEC	SuggestedRemedy Change the title to "100GBASE-CF4 TP0 and TP5 test point parameters and channel characteristics. Add to the end of 92A.1 "It also provides information on channel characteristics. Proposed Response Response Status C/ 92A SC 3 P281 L36 222
add pic statement Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 92 SC 92.8.4.4 P163 L21 # 312 Dudek, Mike QLogic Comment Type T Comment Status X We should specify the error rate before FEC	SuggestedRemedy Change the title to "100GBASE-CF4 TP0 and TP5 test point parameters and channel characteristics. Add to the end of 92A.1 "It also provides information on channel characteristics. Proposed Response Response Status C/ 92A SC 3 P281 L36 # 222 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Equation 92A-1 is not consistant with the TP0 to TP2 loss where coefficent SQRT(F) and
add pic statement Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 92 SC 92.8.4.4 P163 L21 # 312 Dudek, Mike QLogic Comment Type T Comment Status X We should specify the error rate before FEC SuggestedRemedy Change "10e-12" to "10e-5 before FEC"	SuggestedRemedy Change the title to "100GBASE-CF4 TP0 and TP5 test point parameters and channel characteristics. Add to the end of 92A.1 "It also provides information on channel characteristics. Proposed Response Response Status C/ 92A SC 3 P281 L36 # 222 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X
add pic statement Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 92 SC 92.8.4.4 P163 L21 # 312 Dudek, Mike QLogic Comment Type T Comment Status X We should specify the error rate before FEC SuggestedRemedy Change "10e-12" to "10e-5 before FEC"	SuggestedRemedy Change the title to "100GBASE-CF4 TP0 and TP5 test point parameters and channel characteristics. Add to the end of 92A.1 "It also provides information on channel characteristics. Proposed Response Response Status CI 92A SC 3 P281 L36 # 222 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Equation 92A-1 is not consistant with the TP0 to TP2 loss where coefficent SQRT(F) and are about the same, but equation 92A-1 linear term is twice the SQRT term. Propose to use scale version of equation 92-4 SuggestedRemedy
add pic statement Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.4 P163 L21 # 312 Dudek, Mike QLogic Comment Type T Comment Status X We should specify the error rate before FEC SuggestedRemedy Change "10e-12" to "10e-5 before FEC"	SuggestedRemedy Change the title to "100GBASE-CF4 TP0 and TP5 test point parameters and channel characteristics. Add to the end of 92A.1 "It also provides information on channel characteristics. Proposed Response Response Status Cl 92A SC 3 P281 L36 # 222 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Equation 92A-1 is not consistant with the TP0 to TP2 loss where coefficent SQRT(F) and are about the same, but equation 92A-1 linear term is twice the SQRT term. Propose to use scale version of equation 92-4
Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 92 SC 92.8.4.4 P163 L21 # 312 Dudek, Mike QLogic Comment Type T Comment Status X We should specify the error rate before FEC SuggestedRemedy Change "10e-12" to "10e-5 before FEC"	SuggestedRemedy Change the title to "100GBASE-CF4 TP0 and TP5 test point parameters and channel characteristics. Add to the end of 92A.1 "It also provides information on channel characteristics. Proposed Response Response Status Cl 92A SC 3 P281 L36 # 222 Ghiasi, Ali Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status X Equation 92A-1 is not consistant with the TP0 to TP2 loss where coefficent SQRT(F) and are about the same, but equation 92A-1 linear term is twice the SQRT term. Propose to use scale version of equation 92-4 SuggestedRemedy If equation 92-4 is multipled by 0.7 then loss at 12.89 Ghz will be 6.8 dB

C/ 92A SC 3 Page 50 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM

C/ 92A SC 4 Ghiasi, Ali	P 280 Broadcom	L 37	# 223	CI 92A SC 92A.4 Healey, Adam	P282 LSI Corporation	L 28	# 168
are about the same, b use scale version of e SuggestedRemedy If equation 92-4 is mul	Comment Status X consistant with the TP0 to TP2 lo ut equation 92A-1 linear term is t quation 92-4 tipled by 0.7*0.5/0.092 then loss 29*sqrt(f)+0.0692*f where f is from	wice the SQRT at 12.89 Ghz w	term. Propose to vill be 1.25 dB	Comment Type E The caption to Figure 9 SuggestedRemedy Repair the figure captic Proposed Response			
	ompare these two graphs			C/ 92A SC 92A.5	P283	L15	# 170
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Healey, Adam	LSI Corporation		
C/ 92A SC 5 Shanbhag, Megha	P283 TE Connectivity	L34	# 253	insertion loss from Equ	Comment Status X Jer aligned with Clause 92. For ation 92-23 is approximately 2 d test fixture insertion loss will	dB but is show	wn in the figure as 1.5
<i>Comment Type</i> T Isn't equation (92A-5)	Comment Status X same as (92A-4)?			SuggestedRemedy Re-align Figure 92A-2	with Clause 92.		
SuggestedRemedy Delete eq. (92A-5) if re	edundant.			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Proposed Response [CommentType set to	Response Status W T (commenter did not specify).]			C/ 92A SC 92A.5 Dudek, Mike	Р 284 QLogic	L 2	# 323
C 92A SC 92A.4	P 281	L 29	# 389	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
awe, Piers	IPtronics		<u> </u>		e updated based on the adopte 3 for the Cable Assembly Test		
comment Type T	Comment Status X			the HCB.	S IOI THE CADIE ASSEMBLY TEST	Fixiule (a.K.a i	
maximum insertion los	'S			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy	ded maximum insertion loss, as [D1.1 comment	451.	Change the Cable Asso Change the HCB loss f	embly Text Fixture loss from 1. rom 1.5dB to 1.87dB	25 dB to 1.170	B
				Change the TP0 to TP2	2 loss from 10 dB to 10.37dB		
30 ,	Response Status O			Change the mated cab	4 loss from 22.64dB to 22.48dE le assembly and test point test bers in the channel loss equat	fixture loss fro	

 C/
 92A
 Page 51 of 76

 SC
 92A.5
 11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM

C/ 92A SC 92A.8 DiMinico, Christopher	P 285 MC Communica	L 29 ations	# 64	<i>Cl</i> 93 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 8.2.2	P 206 Broadcom	L 22	# 230
Comment Type TR 92A.8 Channel integrate 92A–3	Comment Status X ed crosstalk noise (ICN) includ	des TBDs; Equa	tion 92A-7 and Figure		itter output ret	Comment Status X urn loss 93-5 is very unreal		
SuggestedRemedy diminico_1112.pdf provi Proposed Response	ides Equation 92A-7 to be use <i>Response Status</i> O	ed for Figure 92 <i>i</i>	A-3.	RL= 12-	e to use EQ 92 -0.5ffrom 0.05 -9.71log (f / 1/	2-1 from section 92.8.3.2 as I as ==f=8 4)8 <= f <= 25 GHz(dB)(92–1) <i>Response Status</i> O	ssume these are	the same chip anyw
C/ 93 SC 8.1.2 Ghiasi, Ali	P 200 Broadcom	L 20	# 227	C/ 93	SC 9.2	P 207	L 50	# 214
,				Ghiasi, Ali		Broadcom		
Comment Type TR It is not clear the purpose elimiante the option of c	Comment Status X se of the common mode return coupled differential traces to m I test fixture common-mode co	neet RL of 10 dE		Comment Ty The inse	ertion loss is d	Broadcom Comment Status X lefined up 13.89 GHz where the	e loss is~80 dB	
Comment Type TR It is not clear the purpose elimiante the option of co what matters the mated SuggestedRemedy Please use EQ 92-28 fr	Comment Status X se of the common mode return coupled differential traces to m	neet RL of 10 dE onversion loss	 Lets insted define 	Comment T The inse SuggestedR	ertion loss is d Remedy t to limit the ra	Comment Status X	e loss is∼80 dB	
Comment Type TR It is not clear the purpos elimiante the option of c what matters the mated SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X se of the common mode return coupled differential traces to m I test fixture common-mode co om section 92.11.3.3 to replace	neet RL of 10 dE onversion loss	 Lets insted define 	Comment Ty The inse SuggestedR Sugges Proposed R C/ 93 Ghiasi, Ali	SC 9.2	Comment Status X lefined up 13.89 GHz where the ange to 60 dB loss Response Status O P207 Broadcom	e loss is~80 dB	# 231
Comment Type TR It is not clear the purpose elimiante the option of co what matters the mated SuggestedRemedy Please use EQ 92-28 fr Proposed Response Cl 93 SC 8.1.4 Shiasi, Ali Comment Type TR	Comment Status X se of the common mode return coupled differential traces to m I test fixture common-mode co om section 92.11.3.3 to replace Response Status O P201	neet RL of 10 dE	3. Lets insted define e common mode RL	Comment Ty The inse SuggestedR Suggesi Proposed R Cl 93 Ghiasi, Ali Comment Ty The inse	ertion loss is d Remedy t to limit the ra esponse SC 9.2 ype TR ertion loss is d	Comment Status X lefined up 13.89 GHz where the ange to 60 dB loss Response Status O P207	L 50	

C/ 93 SC 9.2

/ 93 SC 93.1 rumugham, Vinu	P 192 Cisco	L 38	# 237	C/ 93 SC 93.7.10 Healey, Adam	P 198 LSI Corporatio	L 9 n	# 172
omment Type T	Comment Status X			Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
	values in different sub-clause	s. (93.1/1e-5, 93	3.8.2.3/1e-12 and 2e-5).	Function/variable name	confusion:		
	ER, FEC and MTTFPA Add th		o the section:	3	implies that the name of the the variable "PMD_transmit_		D transmit fault
	gned to target either a BER of is the target, the receiver is rec		ent error correction	SuggestedRemedy			
using FEC information				Change the first senten "The PMD transmit faul	ce of 93.7.10 to: t function is optional."		
DFE error propagation on these lanes and de that such burst errors	n can result in burst errors. Due epending on the channel chara are undetectable by CRC. Thi To False Packet Acceptance)	cteristics, there s could result is	is a higher probability undesirably low	Change the second par "If PMD_transmit_fault to one."	agraph to: is set to one, then Global_PN	ID_transmit_dis	sable should also be se
oposed Response	Response Status O	in receiver igne.		Change the third parag ", then PMD_transmit	raph to: fault shall be mapped to the	Transmit fault	bit"
				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
93 SC 93.2	P 193	L 20	# 174				
aley, Adam	LSI Corporation	on		C/ 93 SC 93.7.11	P198	L20	# 173
mment Type T	Comment Status X			Healey, Adam	LSI Corporatio		
The functional and ele Efficient Ethernet cap	ectrical behavior of the 100GB/ ability is undefined.	ASE-KR4 PMD f	or the optional Energy	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
lggestedRemedy				Function/variable name	confusion:		
Define the functional a healey_02_3bj_1112.	and electrical behavior as reco pdf.	mmended in co	ntribution		implies that the name of the ble "PMD_receive_fault".	function is "PM	D receive fault function
oposed Response	Response Status O			Also, what does it mear	n for a variable to "contribute"	to an MDIO bit	?
				SuggestedRemedy			
93 SC 93.4	P 194	L 4	# 296	Change 93.7.11 to:			
ı, Zhenyu	Marvell Semic	conductor		"The PMD receive func	tion is optional. The faults det	ected by this fu	unction are
	Comment Status X of PMD is inconsistent with cor lay is fixed at 2048BT, but draf			implementation specific one.	c. A fault is indicated by settin	g the variable F	PMD_receive_fault to
medium. If medium is	excluded, PMD/AN delay will is 1024BT at 10G, this is very	be 1248BT. Con		"If the MDIO interface is Receive fault bit as spe	s implemented, then PMD_reactive the provided in 45.2.1.7.5."	ceive_fault sha	ll be mapped to the
uggestedRemedy	AN delay only, instead of PMD	+AN+medium.		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Put 2048BT as PMD/							
Put 2048BT as PMD/	Response Status 0						

TIFE. INtechnical required Entreditional required GN/gene	C/ 93	Fage 55 0170	
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 93.7.11	11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 93 SC 93.7.4 Sela, Oren	P 196 Mellanox Techr	L 49 nologies	# 118	<i>Cl</i> 93 <i>SC</i> 93.8.1. Kochuparambil, Beth	1 P199 Cisco Syster	L 46 ns	# 294
Comment Type T signal detect should a supported and rx_mod SuggestedRemedy Add the folowing text: When the PHY suppo PMD_SIGNAL indicat detected, which corre	Comment Status X Iso function as Alert detect whe de is not active rts the optional EEE capability r ion is also used to indicate whe sponds to the beginning of a ref	n EEE normal normal wake m n the ALERT si resh or a wake	ode, ignal is	Comment Type E Differential return los symbol being used fo confusion exists thro SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X as and return loss are used inter or differential return loss and c hughout the clause.	erchangeably. A ommon-mode re	turn loss. This
Proposed Response	a condition of PMD:IS_RX_MOI Response Status O	JE != ACTIV		C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.	4 P201	L 32	# 53
Cl 93 SC 93.7.5 Kochuparambil, Beth Comment Type E The first statement er only one paragraph th SuggestedRemedy Remove the word 'two Proposed Response) '		# 2 <u>93</u> graphs" yet there is	to coeficients / equat SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X eturn loss (eq. 93-2) has a low tion of 93.9 eturn loss limit acoording to Ben Response Status O		
C/ 93 SC 93.7.9 Healey, Adam Comment Type T	Response Status O P198 LSI Corporation Comment Status X	L1	# 171	C/ 93 SC 93.8.1. Moore, Charles Comment Type T Use linear fit pulse to	5 P201 Avago Techr <i>Comment Status</i> X o find transition time. It will elir	-	# 245
to an MDIO bit. Refer	te confusion: to refer to the definition of a var ring to 93.7.10 and 93.7.11, it a ble should be "PMD fault". 3.7.9 to "PMD fault function".			It is the time the line of the steady state v	ead something like: e and fall times) are measured ar fit pulse takes to transition b alue, using linear interpolation of linear fit pulse is less than 80	etween 20% and to work between	80%

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.5 Page 54 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM

C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.6.3 P 203 L 41 # 175 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation LSI Corporation LSI Corporation LSI Corporation	C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.8 P 204 L 32 # 236 Arumugham, Vinu Cisco Cisco
Comment Type T Comment Status X The initialized values for the transmitter pre- and post-cursor equalization ratios are TBD.	Comment Type E Comment Status X Multiple references to 92.8.3.8, should be 92.8.3.6.
SuggestedRemedy Specify the ratio [c(0)+c(1)-c(-1)]/v2 to be 1.29 +/- 10%. Specify the ratio (c(0)-c(1)+c(-1)]/v2 to be 2.57 +/- 10%.	SuggestedRemedy Multiple references to 92.8.3.8, should be 92.8.3.6. Proposed Response Response Status O
Note $v2=c(0)+c(1)+c(-1)$.	
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 93 SC 93.8.2 P 204 L 44 # 242 Arumugham, Vinu Cisco
C/ 93 SC 93.8.1.7 P 204 L 24 # 176 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation LSI Corporation LSI Corporation LSI Corporation	Comment Type T Comment Status X No sinusoidal jitter mask is specified.
Comment Type T Comment Status X The "low-loss" and "high-loss" channels for the transmitter far-end output noise measurement should have well-defined transfer functions as they filter the noise and influence the measurement. However, the test channel ICN does not need be limited. It only needs to be known so that it can be removed from the measurement.	SuggestedRemedyAdd sinusoidal jitter mask spec. like Figure 86A-10.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusO
SuggestedRemedy	C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.1 P205 L16 # 49
Define the shape of the test channels via the polynomial models corresponding to Test 1 and Test 4 in Table 93-7 with reasonable tolerances.	Ben-Artsi, Liav Marvell
Rather than refer to the ICN requirements in 93.9.4 (which have been TBD for some time), define sigma_I and sigma_h to the be the far-end ICN for for the "low-loss" and "high-loss"	Comment Type TR Comment Status X The test fixture return loss lacks the definition between 13GHz and 20GHz
test channels respectively.	SuggestedRemedy
Finally, the procedure in 85.8.3.2 measures the RMS deviation from the mean amplitude of a fixed point on the square wave test pattern at the output of the test channel. These are	Add a slope from 15dB @ 13GHz and 12dB @ 20GHz according to benartsi_3bj_01_0912.pdf slide 14 (already adopted during the September interim)
labeled RMSIdev and RMShdev respectively. To be consistent, rephrase the requirements at follows:	Proposed Response Response Status O
"For the low-loss channel, RMSIdev shall be less than or equal to sqrt(sigma_l^2+2^2). For the high-loss channel, RMShdev shall be less than or equal to sqrt(sigma_h^2+1^2)."	

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.1 Page 55 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM

C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.2 Ben-Artsi, Liav	P 206 Marvell	L 52	# 50	C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.3 Ben-Artsi, Liav	P 207 Marvell	L15	# 46
	Comment Status X r return loss at TP5a of equation te to the return loss as defined		w frequency region		Comment Status X erference tolerance parame akes to test interconnect ar		M definition per test
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
•	n loss limit according to BenA	tsi_3bj_01_111	2	Reccomend adding a CC inserted for now and upd	M parameter per test case ated later on.	- a defaults max	x value of 3dB can be
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.2 Healey, Adam	P206 LSI Corporation	L 52	# 177	C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.3 Mellitz, Richard	P 207 Intel Corporati	L 19	# 80
Comment Type T The differential to com	Comment Status X mon-mode return loss limit (Eq	uation 93-7) is T	ſBD.	Comment Type TR	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Define the limit or remo		,			-246 ff first defines a1, a2, rence tolerance table 93-7 a		s a0
Proposed Response	Response Status O			reference to a0 needs to	ripple through standard wh	ere appropriate.	
roposed Nesponse				SuggestedRemedy			
		/ == ==	" [===]	Either update clause 85 c	or add appendix describing	fitting in general	I
C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.2 .i, Mike	P 206 Altera	L 52-53	# 325	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
<i>Comment Type</i> TR Eq (93-7) is still TBD	Comment Status X			C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.3	P 207	L 7	# 178
SuggestedRemedy				Healey, Adam Comment Type T	LSI Corporatio	n	
A proposed Eq for (93-	7) will be provided.			<i>,</i> ,	methodology is undefined.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy			
					based on OIF-CEI-3.0 secti perating Margin).	on 12.2 as a ne	w section in Annex 93
				Add a cross-reference to	the procedure in 93.8.2.3.		
					-		

C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.3

C/ 93 SC 93.9 Ben-Artsi, Liav	P 165 Marvell	L15	# 48	C/ 93 Mellitz, Rich	SC 93.9 ard	P 209 Intel Corporation	L 48 on	# 79
Comment Type T PKG insertion loss	Comment Status X model may cause SBR to becom	ne somewhat no	n-causal	Comment T Table 9		Comment Status X		
·	tion loss model according to Ben/	Artsi_3bj_01_111	12	SER_0 SuggestedF		d be lower since the KP4 FEC i	is stronger than	the KR4 FEC
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Table 9 Change	3–8 SER_0 to 1e∙	7		
C/ 93 SC 93.9 Ben-Artsi, Liav	P 209 Marvell	L10	# 51	Proposed R	esponse	Response Status O		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			CI 93	SC 93.9.1	P 209	L17	# <u>5</u> 4
	ion coeficients (as a part of the concerned receiver capabilities) makes to			Ben-Artsi, L	av	Marvell		
(especially at the l BenArtsi_3bj_01_ This may require a	dding a different equation on top nin this specification)	G equation and c	coefficients according to	ambigu <i>SuggestedF</i> Define t 800mV	ous location al <i>Remedy</i> he victim and	d Far-end aggressor diferential ong the end to end path Far-end aggressor differential p evice PKG ball) ==> incorporat /)	peak output volt	age at TP0 (min
Toposeu Nesponse	Response Status O			Proposed R	esponse	Response Status O		
C/ 93 SC 93.9 Ben-Artsi, Liav	P 209 Marvell	L13	# 52					
	Comment Status X coeficients (as a part of the com nee receiver capabilities) makes to							
SuggestedRemedy		-						
The reflection equa	ation does not represent the appropriation does not represent the appropriation of the terms of terms							

Proposed Response	Response Status	0
-------------------	-----------------	---

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 93 SC 93.9.1 Page 57 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM

Comment Type T Comm It is not clear that the transmitter	P209 LSI Corporation	L 21	# 180	<i>Cl</i> 93 Healey, A	SC 93.9.1 dam		2 09 Corporatio	L 45 n	# 182
It is not clear that the transmitter	ment Status X			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	x		
worst-case performance allowed		ulation of COM	corresponds to the			a compliant transmitte -12 and effective RJ o			
Presumably, a transmitter 3 dB l value of 0.8*vf at the output of a			t linear fit pulse peak			nows that the jitter cor UI peak-to-peak.	ntributed v	<i>v</i> ia sigma_RJ an	nd A_DD is
Furthermore, this fv setting, com this should yield a vf value of ab					s considerably late e margin?	arger than the corresp	onding tra	ansmitter limit. Is	s this intended to
Such a linkage is necessary to p	provide confidence that	t transmitters, cł	nannels, and	Suggestee	-				
receivers that are compliant to the	he standard will interop	perate.							h the limits in 93.8.1.8 be better to include it
SuggestedRemedy Verify the values of fv and Av in	Table 02.9 are consis	toot with the limi	ita in 02 8 1 6 ar	as a li		t this out in a note to t			
modify them accordingly. The va					Response	Response Status	0		
Proposed Response Respo	onse Status O			, lopoood	Response	Response Glatas	U		
				C/ 93	SC 93.9.1	P2	209	L 48	# <u>3</u> 88
6/ 93 SC 93.9.1	P209	L 25	# 181	Dawe, Pie	rs	IPtro	nics		
ealey, Adam	LSI Corporation			Comment		Comment Status			
Comment Type T Comm The transmitter pre- and post-cu	<i>ment Status</i> X ursor equalizer coefficie	ents should have	e a smallest range			ror ratio mean? In 91 or a PAM-4 symbol (2			n one FEC lane. But
and largest step size that would	•			Suggestee	-		,		
Such a linkage is necessary to p	provide confidence that	t transmitters, cł	nannels, and	••	e clarify.				
receivers that are compliant to the	he standard will interop	perate.		Proposed	Response	Response Status	ο		
SuggestedRemedy Verify that the range and step si	izes in Table 02.8 are (consistant with t	ha limita in 02.8.1.6						
			ne innits in 93.0.1.0	C/ 93	SC 93.9.3	P2	208	L 32	# 55
or modify them accordingly.	onse Status O			Ben-Artsi,	Liav	Marv	ell		
				Comment		Comment Status			
				Interc	onnect return lo	ss (as a part of the co	mplex: Pl		
				Interc	onnect return lo eference receiv		mplex: Pl		
				Interc loss, r <i>Suggester</i> In ord intero	onnect return lo eference receiv dRemedy er to provide be perability a tight	ss (as a part of the co	increase	terconnect meet certainty of mee ed.	ting problematic

TTE. Trateoninea required Eracutona required Oragene	01 93	1 age 50 0170	
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 93.9.3	11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 93 SC 93.9.4 Healey, Adam	P 210 LSI Corporation	L 24	# 179	CI 93A SC 93A Dawe, Piers	P 287 IPtronics	L	# 402
Comment Type T This placeholder for cha provided to complete th Channel Operating Mar necessary. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X annel ICN has existed for multip is subclause. Since the normat gin (COM), a recommendation tion for channel ICN or remove	ive channel s on ICN may b	pecification is based on be useful but not	Comment Type TR Is the COM metric st	Comment Status X able against small changes in xpansion? I.e., does it predict		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 93A SC 93A.1.1		L 49	# 244
SuggestedRemedy Use OIF CEI 3.0, CEI 1 Add a requirement that Proposed Response	P210 Cisco Comment Status X s desirable (DC-blocking impler 1G LR electrical requirements f transmitter and receiver shall s Response Status O	for DC couple upport hot plu	d operation. Ig.	Moore, Charles <i>Comment Type</i> E "The input and output <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> replace "The input and output with "The input and output <i>Proposed Response</i>		C C	
94.3.13.3 Receiver inte reference to a0 needs to SuggestedRemedy	P255 Intel Corporation Comment Status X 0~246 ff first defines a1, a2, an rference tolerance Table 94–16 o ripple through standard where o or add appendix describing fitt Response Status O	d a4 adds parame appropriate.		time step no larger th frequency of at least to infinity. SuggestedRemedy	IPtronics Comment Status X mended that the scattering pa han Delta_f from a start frequent the signaling rate fb." However	ncy no larger th er, Eq. 93A–17 i	an fmin to a stop ntegrates from -infinity

C/ 93A SC 93A.1.1

C/ 93A SC 93A.1.3.1 Dawe, Piers	P 290 IPtronics	L19	# 394	C/ 93A SC 93A. Dawe, Piers	1.4 P291 IPtronics	L 33	# 396
Comment Type T Are these losses really pe	Comment Status X er m?				Comment Status X Nyquist frequency must be chose		
SuggestedRemedy Check.					the Nyquist frequency (half the s should be measured "to at least t		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy ?			
C/ 93A SC 93A.1.3.1 Dawe, Piers	P290 IPtronics	L19	# 393	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type T Don't use a mixture of un	Comment Status X	The rest of this c	locument uses decibels.	C/ 93a SC 93A. Mellitz, Richard	1.5 P292 Intel Corpora	L 9 tion	# 78
SuggestedRemedy Change the three entries	in 93A-2 from nepers to d	3. Also adjust E	q. 93A–8.	Comment Type TR Bmax is "DFE coe	fficient magnitude limit". It should	be related to the	e avaliable signal.
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Equation 93A-19 s	should have the term b_max mult	plied by the aval	iable signal, A_s.
				SuggestedRemedy	_	. ,	0 / 1
C/ 93A SC 93A.1.4	P 291	L 32	# 395	Replace,			
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics			Equation 93A-19 r	niddle line with:		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X)(n))min(b_max*A_s, h^(0)(n)), 1	< n < N_a	
The extrapolation method	tage transfer function may I must be chosen carefu o better to measure what w	lly to limit the er		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 93A SC 94.4.	.1 P 256	L 44	# 130
	suitable network analysers			Matthew, Brown	Applied Micro)	
•	from 10 MHz) and change	e fmin from 50 M	Hz to that.	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Proposed Response	Response Status O				transmitter coefficient step size s than the maxiumum step size spe		
				SuggestedRemedy			
					in 93A.1.3.4, such that after findir th coefficient offset from the optin e (e.g., 0.025).		
				Similar considerati	ion may be required for Clause 9	3.	
				Proposed Response			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gene	ral required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 93A	Page 60 of 76
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn	SC 94.4.1	11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

Cl 94 SC 2.11.3 Ran, Adee	P 228 Intel	L 45	# 344	CI 94 Ran, Adee	SC 3.10.6.4	P 239 Intel	L 26	# <u>342</u>
	Comment Status X specified with a length of 18 the training pattern (not just le				request to incre	Comment Status X ment or decrement is not to verts to not_updated."	be sent before th	ne incoming status
align with the PMA fra	sal (see lusted_3bj_01_1112) ame. If it is accepted, the leng nce to clause 94.3.10.8 is suf	th should be cha	nged here as well.	SuggestedF	Remedy	requirement to deserve the	s-word.	
	pattern is a repeating 8372-sy to the training pattern specific		g frame words)	"The ho to not_u	updated. A new	o be maintained until the incor request to increment or dec ge for that tap is not_update	rement a tap may	
To: "The QPRBS13 test p training frames, as sp	attern is a repeating sequence ecified in 94.3.10.8. The PRE RBS13 with the same seeds	ce equivalent to th 3S13 pattern gene	erator is re-initialized for	Proposed R Cl 94	SC 3.10.6.4	Response Status 0	L 26	# 346
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Ran, Adee <i>Comment T</i>	ype ER	Intel Comment Status X ing requests for that tap (??		-
	P 239 Intel	L 3	# 345	(???) is My inter	missing. Is it "s	hould", "shall", "may", or so that the request can be kep	mething else?	ndefined) period after
Ran, Adee Comment Type ER				(???) is My inter one of t SuggestedF	missing. Is it "s rpretation is that he status values	hould", "shall", "may", or so that the request can be kep s is detected.	mething else?	ndefined) period after
Ran, Adee Comment Type ER Wrong reference to 72 72.6.10.2.3.2.	Intel Comment Status X 2.6.10.3.2. In 802.3-2008 sec			(???) is My inter one of t SuggestedF	missing. Is it "s rpretation is that he status values <i>Remedy</i> may" at the marl	hould", "shall", "may", or so that the request can be kep s is detected.	mething else?	ndefined) period after
Ran, Adee Comment Type ER Wrong reference to 72 72.6.10.2.3.2. SuggestedRemedy Refer to 72.6.10.2.3.2	Intel Comment Status X 2.6.10.3.2. In 802.3-2008 sec			(???) is My inter one of t <i>SuggestedF</i> Insert "r	missing. Is it "s rpretation is that he status values <i>Remedy</i> may" at the marl	hould", "shall", "may", or so that the request can be kep s is detected. ked position.	mething else?	ndefined) period after # <u>343</u>
Ran, Adee Comment Type ER Wrong reference to 72 72.6.10.2.3.2. SuggestedRemedy Refer to 72.6.10.2.3.2	Intel Comment Status X 2.6.10.3.2. In 802.3-2008 sec 2 instead.			(???) is My inter one of t SuggestedF Insert "r Proposed R C/ 94 Ran, Adee Comment T "Coeffic	missing. Is it "s rpretation is that he status values Remedy may" at the mark Response SC 3.10.6.4	hould", "shall", "may", or so that the request can be kep is detected. ked position. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 239	mething else? ot up for some (ur	# 343
can, Adee Comment Type ER Wrong reference to 72 72.6.10.2.3.2. SuggestedRemedy Refer to 72.6.10.2.3.2	Intel Comment Status X 2.6.10.3.2. In 802.3-2008 sec 2 instead.			(???) is My inter one of t SuggestedF Insert "r Proposed R C/ 94 Ran, Adee Comment T "Coeffic initialize	missing. Is it "s rpretation is that he status values Remedy may" at the mark Response SC 3.10.6.4 Type E cient increment a	hould", "shall", "may", or so that the request can be kep s is detected. ked position. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 239 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> X	mething else? ot up for some (ur	# 343
Ran, Adee Comment Type ER Wrong reference to 72 72.6.10.2.3.2. SuggestedRemedy	Intel Comment Status X 2.6.10.3.2. In 802.3-2008 sec 2 instead.			(???) is My inter one of t SuggestedF Insert "r Proposed R C/ 94 Ran, Adee Comment T "Coeffic initialize "Shall" i SuggestedF	missing. Is it "s rpretation is that he status values Remedy may" at the mark Response SC 3.10.6.4 Sype E cient increment a e or preset."	hould", "shall", "may", or so that the request can be kep s is detected. ked position. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 239 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> X	mething else? ot up for some (ur	# 343

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/94Page 61 of 76COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawnSC3.10.6.411/1/2012 7:05:50 PMSORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 94 SC 3.10.7.		L 37	# 347	C/ 94 SC 3.12.		L 14	# 234
Ran, Adee	Intel			Ghiasi, Ali	Broadcom		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type TR			
Countdown must be	syncronized on the four lanes.	t is currenly not	specified.	Transmitter output	return loss 94-6 is very unreal		
ready is 1, the transr	us report receiver ready is 1 and nitter will decrement the countd			RL= 12–0.5ffrom 0	92-1 from section 92.8.3.2 as I a .05<=f<=8 / 14)8 <= f <= 14 GHz(dB)(92–1)		re the same chip anywa
report receiver ready	us report receiver ready is 1 in a v is 1 in all four lanes, the transr mes. the countdown values sha	nitter will decrem	ent the countdown in	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
				C/ 94 SC 3.13.	2 P 253	L 50	# 213
with editorial license.				Ghiasi, Ali	Broadcom		-
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type TR Transmitter output	Comment Status X return loss 94-14 is very unreal		
SC 3.12.1.	1 P 245	L 45	# 232	SuggestedRemedy			
ihiasi, Ali Comment Type TR	Broadcom Comment Status X			RL= 12–0.5ffrom 0	92-1 from section 92.8.3.2 as I a .05<=f<=8 / 14)8 <= f <= 14 GHz(dB)(92–1)		re the same chip anywa
elimiante the option of	pose of the common mode retu of coupled differential traces to ted test fixture common-mode of	meet RL of 10 dl		Proposed Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 94 SC 3.13.	2 P 254	L7	# 215
Please use EQ 92-28	8 from section 92.11.3.3 to repla	ace the test fixtu	re common mode RL	Ghiasi, Ali	Broadcom	<i>L1</i>	# 213
Proposed Response	Response Status O				n return loss is defined which req		
SC 3.12.1.	1 P246 Broadcom	L 45	# 233	key parameter is d	plex implementation and will degr ifferential to common mode conve- out limiting the implementation		
Shiasi, Ali				SuggestedRemedy Purpose the follow	ing limit		
	Comment Status X 10 GHz						
Comment Type TR Return loss stops at SuggestedRemedy	10 GHz			RL>= -25+20*(f/13 = -15 dB from 6.	.89) dB for 0.05<=f<=6.95 GHz 95 GHz to 13.89 GHz		
Return loss stops at SuggestedRemedy				RL>= -25+20*(f/13			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 94 SC 3.13.2 Page 62 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM

C/ 94 SC 4.2 Shanbhag, Megha	P 256 TE Connectivity	L 35	# 252	C/ 94 S Brown, Matthew	C 94 v	Р 219 АРМ	L1	# 267
	Comment Status X efined as -> a5+a6.f-f2 for freque ould be ambiguity on whether this			C	ammar, spel	Comment Status X ling, etc. errors.		
SuggestedRemedy change Equation (94 Proposed Response	17) to a5+a6.(f-f2) for frequency Response Status O	range f2 <f<=< td=""><td>fmax</td><td>page 221, page 222, page 223, page 226,</td><td>ine 8, chang ine 45, chan ine 4, chang ine 43, chan ine 35, chan</td><td>ge "sub-layers" to "sublayers". nge "client to PMA" to "client t ge "in the FEC" to "in a FEC". nge "i also indicates" to "i indic nge "P,(i" to "P(i".</td><td>o the PMA". cates"</td><td></td></f<=<>	fmax	page 221, page 222, page 223, page 226,	ine 8, chang ine 45, chan ine 4, chang ine 43, chan ine 35, chan	ge "sub-layers" to "sublayers". nge "client to PMA" to "client t ge "in the FEC" to "in a FEC". nge "i also indicates" to "i indic nge "P,(i" to "P(i".	o the PMA". cates"	
true. SuggestedRemedy Unless someone sho	P219 IPtronics Comment Status X ole to work on KR class channels ws a significant class of channe handle and PAM2 with FEC can Response Status O	s with Broad I	Market Potential that	page 230, page 238, page 238, page 238, page 238, page 238, page 240, page 240, page 240, page 245, page 246, page 248,	ine 10, char ine 3, char ine 18, char ine 19:20, c ine 50, dele ine 50 char ine 26, char ine 30, char ine 52, char ine 23, char ine 14, char	nge "process with meeting" to nge "interface based on" to "in ge "frame marker" to "a frame nge "represent" to "represents hange "a series" to "a series of te "sent". ge "updates" to "update fields nge "tap be set" to "tap must b nge "are not be sent" to "must nge "indicate" to "indicates". nge "always set" to "always be nge "4th" to "fourth" (consister nge "each the zero" to "each z	nterface is based marker". ". of". oe set". c not be sent". e set". nt with Clause 9	d on".
				Proposed Resp Cl 94 S Matthew, Brow	C 94.2.1	Response Status O P 221 Applied Micro	L 23	# [<u>1</u> 32

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The editor's note points out that the function of rx_mode and tx_mode must be defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide functional specifications for rx_mode and tx_mode.

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 94 SC 94.2.1

C/ 94 SC 94.2 Brown, Matthew	10	Р 228 АРМ	L 52	# 262	<i>Cl</i> 94 Brown, M	SC 94.2.2 latthew	<i>Р</i> 223 АРМ	L1 2	# 259
the PMA is manda	•		6.6.8 specifies	the remote loopback in			Comment Status X s PMA client referred to in the p	evious section.	
SuggestedRemedy						-	C to" to "from the FEC (the PMA	client) to".	
Remove "(optiona)" for sub-clause tit	le.			Proposed	d Response	Response Status 0		
Change "from the	FEC to" to "from th	e FEC (the PMA	client) to".						
Page 228, line 54,	delete "PMA remo	te loopback mod	e is optional. I	f implemented,"	<i>Cl</i> 94 Brown, M	SC 94.2.2	Р 223 АРМ	L 25	# 268
Page 229, line 1, o Proposed Response	delete ", if provided, Response S				Commen Clarif	t Type E	Comment Status X ce between the "insert terminati	on bits" and "g	ray coding" include
C/ 94 SC 94.2 Matthew, Brown	11	P 229 Applied Micro	L18	# 145		edRemedy nge "termination	blocks" to "terminations blocks,	PMA frames".	
Comment Type T The editor's note p specified.	Comment S points out that mana		of the three te	st patterns must be	Proposed	d Response	Response Status O		
	ontrol bits with desc he Clause 45 contro				C/ 94 Matthew,		Applied Micro	L 43	# 122
Proposed Response	Response S				Comment the w	<i>t Type</i> E vord "also" is not	Comment Status X required		
			/ ===	"	00	edRemedy e "also"			
C/ 94 SC 94.2 Matthew, Brown	12	P229 Applied Micro	L 50	# 155	Proposed	d Response	Response Status O		
Comment Type T	Comment S			atral and atotus fields					
SuggestedRemedy		ior the FinA-spe		ntrol and status fields.	<i>CI</i> 94 Matthew,	SC 94.2.2.3 Brown	B P224 Applied Micro	L 30	# 142
	O summary table(s d status fields: 1.0.			le 94.4 for PMA	Commen Edito	51	Comment Status X ut that the usage of the overhea	d bits must be	specified.
Proposed Response	Response S	Status O			Suggeste	edRemedy	d behavior of the overhead bits.		
					Proposed	d Response	Response Status O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 94 SC 94.2.2.3 Page 64 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM

C/ 94 SC 94.2.2.4 Lusted, Kent	4 P223 Intel	L 42	# 164	C/ 94 SC 94.2. Brown, Matthew	4 P 227 APM	L 36	# 269
Comment Type TR The number of termin	Comment Status X nation blocks to form a PMA fra enly used from the training 94.3		This number appears	Comment Type E	Comment Status X	nination bits" and	"inverse gray coding"
The PMA frame size termination blocks.	is 31320 bits. 31320 bits / 90 b	vits per terminati	on block = 348	SuggestedRemedy Change "terminatio Proposed Response	n blocks" to "terminations block Response Status O	ks, PMA frames".	
Update the number to	o 348.						
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 94 SC 94.2. Brown, Matthew	4 P227 APM	L 46	# 260
C/ 94 SC 94.2.2.4 Lusted, Kent	4 P224 Intel	L 42	# 158	Comment Type T tx_symbol should b	Comment Status X		
after it is used to form	Comment Status X is are confusing to read. The leann a PMA frame. Ing sentences and combining in	-		SuggestedRemedy Change "tx_symbo Proposed Response	l" to "rx_symbol". Response Status O		
readability.				C/ 94 SC 94.2.	5 P 228	L 4	# 144
SuggestedRemedy Consider this:				Matthew, Brown	Applied Mici	o	
"The PMA shall creat for every 90 overheat	te a sequence of termination block d frame bits as specified in this e overhead frame mapped into Response Status 0	sub-clause. The	termination block is	SuggestedRemedy Provide functional	Comment Status X bints out that the receive EEE of specification for receive EEE of		specified.
· · ·				Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 94 SC 94.2.3	P 227 Applied Micro	L 4	# <u>1</u> 43				
Matthew, Brown							
Comment Type T	Comment Status X nts out that the transmit EEE op	eration must be	specified.				
The editor's note poin SuggestedRemedy			specified.				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 94 SC 94.2.5 Page 65 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM

C/ 94 SC 94.2.6 P 228 L 13 # 261 Brown, Matthew APM APM	C/ 94 SC 94.3.1.2.2 P 231 L 35 # 264 Brown, Matthew APM
Comment Type T Comment Status X The net skew for the PMA/PMD combination is specified the the PMD section.	Comment Type T Comment Status X tx_symbol should be rx_symbol
SuggestedRemedy Add the following paragraph "Skew considerations for the 100GBASE-KP4 PMA, PMD, and AN are specified in 94.3.4."	SuggestedRemedy Change "tx_symbol" to "rx_symbol".
The values in response to the editor's note should be captured in 94.3.4.	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 94 SC 94.3.1.3 P 231 L 54 # 119 Sela, Oren Mellanox Technologies Mellanox Technologies Mellanox Technologies Mellanox Technologies
C/ 94 SC 94.3.1 P 230 L 24 # [133] Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Applied Micro # [133] •	Comment Type T Comment Status X
Comment Type T Comment Status X	signal detect should also function as Alert detect when EEE normal mode is supported and rx_mode is not active
The editor's note points out that the function of rx_mode and tx_mode must be defined.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Provide functional specifications for rx_mode and tx_mode. Proposed Response Response Status O	Add the folowing text: When the PHY supports the optional EEE capability normal wake mode, PMD_SIGNAL.indication is also used to indicate when the ALERT signal is detected, which corresponds to the beginning of a refresh or a wake. Can consider adding a condition of PMD:IS_RX_MODE != ACTIV
C/ 94 SC 94.3.1.2.1 P231 L29 # 263 Brown, Matthew APM	Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type T Comment Status X There is no start parameter on the PMD interface.	
SuggestedRemedy Delete the second sentence in the paragraph "The start parameter is otherwise FALSE."	

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 94 SC 94.3.1.3

Matthew, Brown	.1 P231 Applied Micro	L 52	# 128	C/ 94 SC 94.3.10. Lusted, Kent	5.1 P238 Intel	L19	# 161
Comment Type T This sub-clause redun	Comment Status X adantly redefines SIGNAL_DETI napping of SIGNAL_DETECT to			Comment Type TR Items "b" and "c" in th	Comment Status X		
SuggestedRemedy Replace the contents PMD:IS_SIGNAL.indic	of 94.3.1.3.1 with the following: cation(SIGNAL_OK)			c)A negative value is	represented by a series PAM represented by a series of PA are superfulous because a D	M4 -1 symbols."	
	ameter indicates the global statu global_signal_detect variable de			SuggestedRemedy Strike these 2 lines ar	d re-numerate the list.		, C
Replace the contents	of 94.3.1.3.2 with			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
	he PMD_IS_SIGNAL.indication he value of the global_signal_de		PMD client whenever	C/ 94 SC 94.3.10.	7.1 P241	L24	# 273
Replace the contents	of 94.3.6.4 including editor's no	te with		Brown, Matthew	APM	L 27	# 213
protocol on all lanes. T system reset or the m of training on all lanes	al_detect variable indicates the The pmd_global_signal_detect v anual reset of the training state , the pmd_global_signal_detect oy management, the global_sign	variable shall be diagram. Upon variable shall b	e set to FAIL following successful completion be set to OK.	-	ages" to "status messages".		
II II all ling is usabled L				Dropood Doopopoo			
If the MDIO interface i continuously set to the 45.2.1.9.7.	is implemented, then Global PN e value of the pmd_global_signa		t (1.10.0) shall be	Proposed Response Cl 94 SC 94.3.10. Matthew, Brown	Response Status O 7.2 P241 Applied Micro	L 31	# 146
If the MDIO interface i continuously set to the 45.2.1.9.7. Similar changes to Cla	e value of the pmd_global_signa auses 92 and 93 are required.		t (1.10.0) shall be	C/ 94 SC 94.3.10.	7.2 P241		# 146
If the MDIO interface i continuously set to the 45.2.1.9.7. Similar changes to Cla	e value of the pmd_global_signa		t (1.10.0) shall be	Cl 94 SC 94.3.10. Matthew, Brown Comment Type T The editor's note poin	7.2 P241 Applied Micro	0	
If the MDIO interface i continuously set to the 45.2.1.9.7. Similar changes to Cla Proposed Response	e value of the pmd_global_signa auses 92 and 93 are required. <i>Response Status</i> O		t (1.10.0) shall be	Cl 94 SC 94.3.10. Matthew, Brown Comment Type T The editor's note poin SuggestedRemedy Provide functional spe	7.2 P241 Applied Micro <i>Comment Status</i> X ts out that the trigger to start ecification describing when the	countdown must	be re-visited.
If the MDIO interface i continuously set to the 45.2.1.9.7. Similar changes to Cla Proposed Response CI 94 SC 94.3.10.2 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E Refer to Figure 94-5 n	e value of the pmd_global_signa auses 92 and 93 are required. <i>Response Status</i> O 2 <i>P</i> 237 APM <i>Comment Status</i> X	I_detect variab	: (1.10.0) shall be le as described in	Cl 94 SC 94.3.10. Matthew, Brown Comment Type T The editor's note poin SuggestedRemedy	7.2 P241 Applied Micro <i>Comment Status</i> X ts out that the trigger to start of	countdown must	be re-visited.
If the MDIO interface i continuously set to the 45.2.1.9.7. Similar changes to Cla Proposed Response Cl 94 SC 94.3.10.2 Brown, Matthew Comment Type E Refer to Figure 94-5 n For training frame wor SuggestedRemedy Change "Figure 94-4"	e value of the pmd_global_signa auses 92 and 93 are required. <i>Response Status</i> O 2 <i>P</i> 237 APM <i>Comment Status</i> X not Figure 94-4. ds refer to describing section.	al_detect variab	: (1.10.0) shall be le as described in	Cl 94 SC 94.3.10. Matthew, Brown Comment Type T The editor's note poin SuggestedRemedy Provide functional spe	7.2 P241 Applied Micro <i>Comment Status</i> X ts out that the trigger to start ecification describing when the	countdown must	be re-visited.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 94 SC 94.3.10.7.2 Page 67 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM

C/ 94 SC 94.3.10.8 P242 L6 # 163	C/ 94 SC 94.3.10.8 P243 L7 # 147
usted, Kent Intel Comment Type TR Comment Status X	Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status X
Comment Type TR Comment Status X 100GBASE-KP4 training pattern details need updating per editors note.	Comment Type T Comment Status X The editor's note points out that a method for initializing the termination bit generator must be specified.
A method for initializing the termination bit generator was not specified in the lusted_01_0912 or lusted_03a_0912. The PRBS13 seeds were chosen for optimal performance using the PMA encoding specified in Draft 1.1. Since the PMA encoding has changed in Draft 1.2, the seed values	SuggestedRemedy Specify method for initializing the termination bit generator during training and by extension for EEE alert. Proposed Response Response Status O
must be re-visited. To ensure interoperability, inclusion of a table or diagram showing the training pattern PAM4 symbol values after PMA encoding is suggested. As an example, see lusted_3bj_01_0912 slide 25.	Cl 94 SC 94.3.10.8 P 243 L 7 # 149 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Applied Micro
uggestedRemedy See presentation lusted_3bj_01_1112 to be submitted in the future. roposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type T Comment Status X The editor's note points out that a table or diagram should be provided to show the training pattern content for the first several cycles to ensure correct interpretation by the implementor.
/ 94 SC 94.3.10.8 P 243 L 2 # 324 Vang, Zhongfeng Broadcom Corp. omment Type TR Comment Status X	SuggestedRemedy Provide a table or diagram showing explicit values for the training pattern for several cycle Proposed Response Response Status O
Terminations bits for PMA frame were specified to use PRBS13 to generate in normal mode. The initial state of PRBS is said to be the ending state of PRBS after training. Then in training mode, how do we determine termination bits? Not clear yet. In addition, it is not clear whether the PRBS in normal mode will change state only for termination bits. uggestedRemedy In training mode, those termination bits can be defined in another way, e.g., termination symbol=(13th symbol + 33th symbol in previous TB45blk) mod 4. The PRBS for termination bits in normal mode should change state once every 45 symbols. roposed Response Response Status	Cl 94 SC 94.3.10.8 P243 L7 # 148 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status X The editor's note points out that the training pattern each lane must be re-specified taking into account the new termination symbol generation introduced in Draft 1.2. SuggestedRemedy Re-specify the training pattern seeds. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 94 SC 94.3.10.8

C/ 94 SC 94.3.11 Brown, Matthew	Р 244 АРМ	L 21	# 274	C/ 94 SC 94.3.12. Matthew, Brown	3 P248 Applied Micro	L 28	# 151
Comment Type T Use correct service la SuggestedRemedy					Comment Status X ts out that the methodology and and 93. A common (or at leas		
	X_MODE and PMD_RX_MODE DE.request and PMD:IS_RX_M			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			For measuring the pe the peak limit to 1200	ak value, use the QPRBS13 pa mVppd.	attern as specifie	ed in 94.2.11.3 and se
C/ 94 SC 94.3.12	P 247	L36	# 275	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
rown, Matthew	APM Comment Status X			C/ 94 SC 94.3.12. Brown, Matthew	4 <i>P</i> 249 APM	L 4	# 276
	dundant. These details are fully	described in the	referenced sections.	Comment Type T	Comment Status X		
Notes a and b are rea There are many cruc provided in the refere for one or two and no	ial details associated with each nced sections. It seems incons t the others.	of the parameter	rs in this table that are	Comment Type T The reference impeda make sense to write a SuggestedRemedy line 5 and line 13 cha	Comment Status X Ince for the test is not in itself r PICS entry for this.	normative. Remo	ove the shall. It doesn
Notes a and b are rea There are many cruc provided in the refere for one or two and no <i>suggestedRemedy</i> Remove notes a and	ial details associated with each nced sections. It seems incons t the others.	of the parameter	rs in this table that are	Comment Type T The reference impeda make sense to write a SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X ance for the test is not in itself r PICS entry for this.	normative. Remo	ove the shall. It doesn
Notes a and b are real There are many cruc provided in the refere for one or two and no uggestedRemedy Remove notes a and roposed Response	ial details associated with each nced sections. It seems incons t the others. b from table 94-13. <i>Response Status</i> O .1.2 <i>P</i> 248	of the parameter istent to provide	rs in this table that are	Comment Type T The reference impeda make sense to write a SuggestedRemedy line 5 and line 13 cha Proposed Response Cl 94 SC 94.3.12. Ben-Artsi, Liav	Comment Status X unce for the test is not in itself r PICS entry for this. nge "shall be" to "is". Response Status O 4 P249 Marvell	L 8	ove the shall. It doesn # <u>56</u>
Notes a and b are real There are many cruc provided in the refere for one or two and no uggestedRemedy Remove notes a and roposed Response	ial details associated with each nced sections. It seems incons t the others. b from table 94-13. <i>Response Status</i> O	of the parameter istent to provide	rs in this table that are details as footnotes	Comment Type T The reference impeda make sense to write a SuggestedRemedy line 5 and line 13 cha Proposed Response Cl 94 SC 94.3.12. Ben-Artsi, Liav Comment Type TR Transmitter output ret	Comment Status X Ince for the test is not in itself r PICS entry for this. nge "shall be" to "is". Response Status 0 4 P249	L8	# <u>56</u>
Notes a and b are real There are many cruc provided in the refere for one or two and no SuggestedRemedy Remove notes a and Proposed Response Cl 94 SC 94.3.12 Matthew, Brown Comment Type T The editor's note poir SuggestedRemedy	ial details associated with each nced sections. It seems incons t the others. b from table 94-13. <i>Response Status</i> O .1.2 <i>P</i> 248 Applied Micro <i>Comment Status</i> X	of the parameter istent to provide <i>L</i> 6 came from.	rs in this table that are details as footnotes	Comment Type T The reference impeda make sense to write a SuggestedRemedy line 5 and line 13 cha Proposed Response Cl 94 SC 94.3.12. Ben-Artsi, Liav Comment Type TR Transmitter output ret to coeficients / equations SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status X Ince for the test is not in itself r PICS entry for this. nge "shall be" to "is". Response Status O 4 P249 Marvell Comment Status X urn loss (eq. 94-6) has a low fr	L8 requency value t perating margin	# <u>56</u> that does not correlate parameters.

C/ 94 SC 94.3.12.4

Moore, Charles	P 248 <i>L</i> Avago Technologies	_ 17	C/ 94 SC 94.3.12.6.1 Matthew, Brown Ap	P250 L51 # 137
Comment Type T Use linear fit pulse to find	Comment Status X transition time. It will eliminate a	a messy test.	Comment Type T Comment Sta The vlaues for steady state voltage and	
Use the same 8ps value a	us used in 93.8.1.5		SuggestedRemedy Provide values for the steady state volta	ge and peak value.
SuggestedRemedy change 94.3.12.5 to read	something like:		Proposed Response Response Stat	
It is the time the linear fit of the steady state value, values. The transition time	d fall times) are measured on the pulse takes to transition between using linear interpolation to work e shall be greater than 8 ps. If th	20% and 80% between sampled	Matthew, Brown Ap	P 251 L 16 # 152
than 80% of the steady state value t minimum value."	he transition time is considered t	o exceed its	Comment Type T Comment Sta The editor's note points out that the test make use of a PAM4 test signal.	tus X method for linear fit error must be modified to
Proposed Response	Response Status O		SuggestedRemedy	
			Re-specify the linear fit error test method QPRBS13 test pattern specified in 94.2.	d to make use of a PAM4 test pattern such as 1 11.3.
C/ 94 SC 94.3.12.5 Matthew, Brown	P249 L Applied Micro	42 # <u>136</u>	Proposed Response Response Stat	tus O
Comment Type T	Comment Status X			
The editor's note indicates	s that test pattern, methodology,	and values are needed.	C/ 94 SC 94.3.12.6.3	P251 L30 # 129
SuggestedRemedy				oplied Micro
	odology, and values for transitior	n time or replace with appropriate	Comment Type T Comment Sta Sub-clause 94.3.12.6.3 specifies empha	us x sis ratios for the INITIALIZE, but provides no
alternative.			and all and an the second burds	
	Response Status O		specification for the amplitude.	
Proposed Response			specification for the amplitude. SuggestedRemedy In addition to the two ratios, specify the	
Proposed Response		_51 # <mark>247</mark>	SuggestedRemedy	amplitude.
Proposed Response Cl 94 SC 94.3.12.6.1 Moore, Charles	P249 L Avago Technologies Comment Status X		SuggestedRemedy In addition to the two ratios, specify the	amplitude.
Proposed Response Cl 94 SC 94.3.12.6.1 Moore, Charles Comment Type T TBD's make this spec tec	P 249 Avago Technologies Comment Status X		SuggestedRemedy In addition to the two ratios, specify the	amplitude.
Proposed Response Cl 94 SC 94.3.12.6.1 Moore, Charles Comment Type T TBD's make this spec tec SuggestedRemedy	P249 L Avago Technologies <i>Comment Status</i> X hnically incomplete		SuggestedRemedy In addition to the two ratios, specify the	amplitude.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 94 SC 94.3.12.6.3 Page 70 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:50 PM

C/ 94 SC 94.3.12.6.3 Matthew, Brown	P 251 Applied Micro	L 32	# 138	C/ 94 SC 94.3.13 Brown, Matthew	<i>Р</i> 254 АРМ	L 21	# 278
Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Type T	omment Status X d post-cursor peaking rati	os are specifie	ed as TBD.	Comment Type T In table 94-15 add re	Comment Status X ference to Interference toleranc	e test.	
SuggestedRemedy Provide values for the TBD p Proposed Response Re	beaking ratios. sponse Status O			SuggestedRemedy Add new row parameter = "Interfer reference = "94.3.13. value = "N/A" units = ""			
C/ 94 SC 94.3.12.7 Matthew, Brown	P 252 Applied Micro	L15	# 139	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
The values for low-loss and SuggestedRemedy Provide values for low-loss a	and high-loss channel inse	·	cified as TBD.	Cl 94 SC 94.3.13 Matthew, Brown Comment Type T The value for CM retu	P255 Applied Micro Comment Status X urn loss is specified as TBD.	L5	# <mark>140</mark>
Proposed Response Re	sponse Status O	L 42	# 277	SuggestedRemedy Provide specification Proposed Response	for CM return loss. Response Status O		
Various fixes to linearity test	APM omment Status X methodology.			C/ 94 SC 94.3.13 Brown, Matthew		L 4	# 279
SuggestedRemedy Line 41, change to "multiple" line 8, append "p = {1,2,,M line 48.5, change "+1" to "+1	}			Comment Type T The reference imped make sense to write	Comment Status X ance for the test is not in itself r a PICS entry for this.	normative. Rem	ove the shall. It doesn't
Proposed Response Re	sponse Status O			SuggestedRemedy line 46 and line 53 ch	ange "shall be" to "is".		
				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		

C/ 94 SC 94.3.13.2

94 SC 94.3.13.2 P 254 L 48 # 58 en-Artsi, Liav Marvell	C/ 94 SC 94.3.3 P 232 L 20 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro	# 134
comment Type TR Comment Status X Receiver output return loss (eq. 94-14) has a low frequency value that does not correlate to coeficients / equation of Table 94–17—Channel operating margin parameters. uggestedRemedy Update measured return loss limit according to BenArtsi_3bj_01_1112 roposed Response Response Status O	Comment TypeTComment StatusXDelay contraints have TBD values.SuggestedRemedy Provide values for TBD delay constraints.Proposed ResponseResponse Status0	
Cl 94 SC 94.3.13.3 P 2255 L 31 # 141 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type T Comment Status X In Table 94-16 several parameters for the receiver interference tolerance test are specified as TBD. SuggestedRemedy Provide values for each of the parameters in 94-16 currently specified as TBD. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O	Cl 94SC 94.3.4P 232L 46Matthew, BrownApplied MicroComment TypeTComment StatusXSkew contraints have TBD values.SuggestedRemedy Provide values for TBD skew constraints.Proposed ResponseResponse StatusO	# <u>135</u>
Cl 94 SC 94.3.13.3 P254 L7 # 248 Moore, Charles Avago Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status X References to Annex 69A may be insufficient to define this test. It will need a PAM4 oriented test pattern which has not been defined. If we use Annex 69A, we need to define the channel in terms of mTC and bTC not a0, a1, a2, a4. SuggestedRemedy	Cl 94 SC 94.3.6.3 P 235 L 9 Brown, Matthew APM Comment Type T Comment Status X tx_symbol should be rx_symbol SuggestedRemedy Change "tx_symbol" to "rx_symbol". Proposed Response Response Status O	# <u>265</u>

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 94 SC 94.3.6.3

C/ 94 SC 94.3.6.5 P 235 L 36 # 126 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Applied Micro # 126	C/ 94 SC 94.3.6.6 P235 L52 # 270 Brown, Matthew APM
Comment Type E Comment Status X Concatenation of words with underscore is typically used for variable and function names whereas as MDIO field names do not. SuggestedRemedy replace "PMD_signal_detect_i" with "PMD signal detect i". replace "PMD_signal_detect_0" with "PMD signal detect 0". replace "PMD_signal_detect_1" with "PMD signal detect 1". replace "PMD_signal_detect_2" with "PMD signal detect 1". replace "PMD_signal_detect_2" with "PMD signal detect 2". replace "PMD_signal_detect_3" with "PMD signal detect 3".	SuggestedRemedy Change "may turn off the electrical transmitter in all lanes" to "may set global_pmd_transmit_disable to one". Proposed Response Response Status
Similar corrections are required in Clause 92 and 93.	C/ 94 SC 94.3.6.7 P236 L13 # 272 Brown, Matthew APM
Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type T Comment Status X Add list item specifying MDIO control.
C/ 94 SC 94.3.6.5 P235 L 37 # 127 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro Comment Type E Comment Status X Only one following paragraph.	SuggestedRemedy Add list item (d): "If the MDIO interface is implemented, then PMD_transmit_disable_i is set to 1 when the corresponding PMD transmit disable bit (1.9.1, 1.9.2, 1.9.3, and 1.9.3) is set to 1 (see 45.2.1.8.3 to 45.2.1.8.7)."
SuggestedRemedy Change "two paragraphs" to "paragraph".	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 94 SC 94.3.6.8 P 236 L 17 # 131 Matthew, Brown Applied Micro
Cl 94 SC 94.3.6.6 P235 L52 # 271 Brown, Matthew APM Comment Type T Comment Status X Add list itom specifying MDIQ control	Comment Type T Comment Status X Specification of the loopback in the PMD is redundant and out of place. It is already specified for the PMA. SuggestedRemedy
Add list item specifying MDIO control. SuggestedRemedy Add list item (d): "If the MDIO interface is implemented, then Global_PMD_transmit_disable is set to one when Global PMD transmit disable bit (1.9.0) is set to one (see 45.2.1.8.7)."	Replace the first two paragraphs of 94.3.6.8 with "Local loopback mode is provide by the PMA (94.2.9). Loopback shall not affect the state of the transmitter, which continues to send data unless disabled (94.3.6.7)." Delete Note 1.
Proposed Response Response Status O	Similar corrections are required for Clause 92 and 93.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 94 SC 94.3.6.8 Page 73 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:51 PM

Cl 94 SC 94.3.7 Matthew, Brown	P236 Applied Micro	L 30	# 123	C/ 94 SC Ben-Artsi, Liav	94.4.1	P 256 Marvell	L 26	# 47
	Comment Status X s are typically not concatenated ble and function names.	with undersco	ore. The underscore is	Comment Type PAM4 PKG meets the P		Comment Status X oss model does not represent on	the worst case i	insertion loss that
	e "pmd_fault" with "PMD fault". of 94.3.8 replace "PMD_transmit	fault" with "P	MD transmit fault".	SuggestedReme Update acco Proposed Respo	ording to B	enArtsi_3bj_01_1112 Response Status 0		
	of 94.3.9 replace "PMD_receive_ required in Clauses 92 and 93. <i>Response Status</i> O	fault" with "PN	/ID receive fault".	Ben-Artsi, Liav Comment Type	94.4.1 TR tter reflecti	P256 Marvell Comment Status X on equation does not represe	L 26 nt the appropria	# 44
Cl 94 SC 94.3.8 Matthew, Brown Comment Type E The fact that PMD trar paragraph. SuggestedRemedy	P236 Applied Micro <i>Comment Status</i> X asmit fault function is optional is a	L 42 already establ	# 124	SuggestedReme Update PKG This may red	edy equation quire addin ns within th	v at the low frequency range). and coefficients according to l ig a different equation on top of his specification) <i>Response Status</i> O		
In the second paragrap Proposed Response	oh in 94.3.8, delete "(optional)". <i>Response Status</i> 0			Cl 94 SC Ben-Artsi, Liav	94.4.1	P 256 Marvell	L 29	# 45
C/ 94 SC 94.4.1 Matthew, Brown	P256 Applied Micro	L17	# 153			Comment Status X equation does not represent t at the low frequency range).	the appropriate i	reflection coefficient
Comment Type T	Comment Status X Table 94-17 must be reconciled and 94.3.	d against the t	ransmitter and receiver	This may red	equation	and coefficients according to l g a different equation on top on high specification)		
specificiations in 94.2								
specificiations in 94.2 SuggestedRemedy	ers in Table 94-17 with the corres	sponding trans	smitter and receiver	Proposed Respo		Response Status 0		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 94 SC 94.4.1 Page 74 of 76 11/1/2012 7:05:51 PM

				-			
C/ 94 SC 94.4.1	P 256	L 33	# 57	CI 99 SC	P 4	L 26	# 349
Ben-Artsi, Liav	Marvell			Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
	nd Far-end aggressor diferential long the end to end path	peak output vo	ltage defined at an	include a description	been updated in accordance w of the 802.3bj amendment.		against D 1.1 to
SuggestedRemedy				•	quotation mark at the end of the	e added text.	
	erential peak output voltage and			SuggestedRemedy		aablaa "	
code (the Rx side)	device PKG ball) ==> incorporat	e only one PKC	IL model in the COM	•	is quotation mark after "copper	cables.	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
				C/ 99 SC	P6	L13	# 156
C/ 94 SC 94.4.4	P258	L 27	# <u>1</u> 54	Lusted, Kent	Intel		-
Matthew, Brown	Applied Micro			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
Comment Type T	Comment Status X	. Could be use		Officer title of Chair	contains redundant information		
	ts out that the ICN must be spe	cified here.		SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Provide ICN specifica	ition(s).			Change "IEEE P802 Force Chair"	2.3bj Task Force name Task Fo	rce Chair" to "IEE	EE P802.3bj Task
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 94 SC Table 9	4-6 P239	L 6	# 159	C/ 99 SC	P6	L14	# 157
Lusted, Kent	Intel			Lusted, Kent	Intel		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status X			Comment Type E	Comment Status X		
	he table shows the frame marke			Officer title of Editor	-in-Chief contains redundant inf	formation.	
columns are misleadi	ng because the value of "0" is r	not a valid PAM	4 level.	SuggestedRemedy			
	clearly defines the frame marke	er.		Change "IEEE P802 Task Force Editor-ir	2.3bj Task Force name Task Fo h-Chief"	rce Editor-in-Chie	ef" to "IEEE P802.3
SuggestedRemedy	r way to describe it. Consider s	striking the fram	e marker row from the	Proposed Response	Response Status O		

CI **99** SC

C/ 99	SC 99	P 6		L13	# 167
Healey, A	dam	LSI Co	orporation		
Comment Repla Chief	ace "Task Forc	Comment Status e name" with the actual T		ame for b	oth Chair and Editor-in-
00	<i>dRemedy</i> omment.				
Proposed	l Response	Response Status	0		

C/ 99 SC 99