CI 78 SC	C 78.5	P 90	L 1	# 1	CI 82 S	C 82.2.18.2	.2 P 124	L 15	# 3
Barrass, Hugh		Cisco			Barrass, Hugh		Cisco		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		Wake times	Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		Definition
		many lines devoted to the fa			The LPI_FV	V variable i	s used in both teh transmit	and receive function	ons.
space is wa	asted. Furthe	in the PCS it is common for ermore, a new project definir er to write the same informat	ng EEE for optica	al PHYs will be forced to	SuggestedRem Change the	,	nce of the definition to:		
' SuggestedRem			,		-				manalise for all and This
Delete all of	f the rows d	efined for fast wake.			variable is s	set true whe	olling the wake mode for th on the link is to use the Fast al deep sleep mechanism t	Wake mechanism	
Add a row for	or 40G and	a row for 100G with the sam	e information fro	m those rows.	Response		Response Status C		
Delete the r	references to	o fast wake in the definition of	of the cases on p	age 89.	ACCEPT.				
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C			2.1 and her	nce is not w	t apply to the substantive cl ithin the scope of the recirc error in the draft that should	ulation ballot. How	
		apply to the substantive cha thin the scope of the recircul			C/ 91 S	C 91.6	P 177	L 47	# 4
		ent to the draft that should b			Szczepanek, Ar				
nigningints a						luic	Inphi		
	C 78.4.2.3	P 83	L 10	# 2	Comment Type		Comment Status A		
			L 10	# 2	Comment Type The location	E n of the "Blo	Comment Status A		
CI 78 SC	C 78.4.2.3	P 83	L 10	# 2 Definitions	Comment Type The location register", w	E n of the "Blo hereas clau	Comment Status A bock x lock" variables is lister se 45 uses the register nar		
Cl 78 SC Barrass, Hugh Comment Type There are se	C 78.4.2.3 T several ment	P 83 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> A tions of FW_enable without a	any definition. Th	<i>Definitions</i> is should refer to	Comment Type The location register", w and "RS-FE	E n of the "Blo hereas clau EC PCS alig	Comment Status A bock x lock" variables is lister se 45 uses the register nar inment status 2"	nes "RS-FEC PCS	alignment status 1"
CI 78 SC Barrass, Hugh Comment Type There are s LPI_FW in t SuggestedRem	C 78.4.2.3 T several ment the LPI trans	P 83 Cisco Comment Status A tions of FW_enable without a smit and receive state diagra	any definition. Th	<i>Definitions</i> is should refer to	Comment Type The location register", w and "RS-FE The location register", w	E n of the "Blo hereas clau C PCS alig n of the "La hereas clau	Comment Status A bock x lock" variables is lister se 45 uses the register nar inment status 2" ne x aligned" variables is list se 45 uses the register nar	nes "RS-FEC PCS sted as "RS-FEC P	alignment status 1" PCS alignment status
CI 78 SC Barrass, Hugh Comment Type There are s LPI_FW in t SuggestedRem	C 78.4.2.3 T several ment the LPI trans	P 83 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> A tions of FW_enable without a	any definition. Th	<i>Definitions</i> is should refer to	Comment Type The location register", w and "RS-FE The location register", w and "RS-FE	E n of the "Blo hereas clau C PCS alig n of the "La hereas clau C PCS alig	Comment Status A bock x lock" variables is lister se 45 uses the register nar inment status 2" ne x aligned" variables is list	nes "RS-FEC PCS sted as "RS-FEC P	alignment status 1" PCS alignment status
CI 78 SC Barrass, Hugh Comment Type There are s LPI_FW in t SuggestedRemo Change all i	T T several ment the LPI trans ledy instances of	P 83 Cisco Comment Status A tions of FW_enable without a smit and receive state diagra	any definition. Th	<i>Definitions</i> is should refer to	Comment Type The location register", w and "RS-FE The location register", w	E n of the "Bid hereas clau C PCS alig n of the "La hereas clau C PCS alig edy	Comment Status A bock x lock" variables is lister se 45 uses the register nar inment status 2" ne x aligned" variables is lis se 45 uses the register nar inment status 4"	nes "RS-FEC PCS sted as "RS-FEC P	alignment status 1" PCS alignment status
Cl 78 SC Barrass, Hugh Comment Type There are so LPI_FW in t SuggestedRemo Change all i Add a defini	T T several ment the LPI trans ledy instances of	P 83 Cisco Comment Status A tions of FW_enable without a smit and receive state diagra	any definition. Th	<i>Definitions</i> is should refer to	Comment Type The location register", w and "RS-FE The location register", w and "RS-FE SuggestedRem Use concist	E n of the "Bid hereas clau C PCS alig n of the "La hereas clau C PCS alig edy	Comment Status A bock x lock" variables is lister se 45 uses the register nar inment status 2" ne x aligned" variables is list se 45 uses the register nar inment status 4"	nes "RS-FEC PCS sted as "RS-FEC P	alignment status 1" PCS alignment status
Cl 78 SC Barrass, Hugh Comment Type There are s LPI_FW in t SuggestedRemo Change all i	T T several ment the LPI trans ledy instances of	P 83 Cisco Comment Status A tions of FW_enable without a smit and receive state diagra	any definition. Th	<i>Definitions</i> is should refer to	Comment Type The location register", w and "RS-FE The location register", w and "RS-FE SuggestedRem	E n of the "Bid hereas clau C PCS alig n of the "La hereas clau C PCS alig edy tent register	Comment Status A bock x lock" variables is lister se 45 uses the register nar inment status 2" ne x aligned" variables is list se 45 uses the register nar inment status 4" names Response Status C	nes "RS-FEC PCS sted as "RS-FEC P	alignment status 1" PCS alignment status
Cl 78 SC Barrass, Hugh Comment Type There are so LPI_FW in t SuggestedRemo Change all i Add a defini LPI_FW	C 78.4.2.3 T several ment the LPI trans ledy instances of ition of LPI_ riable contro	P 83 Cisco Comment Status A tions of FW_enable without a smit and receive state diagra	any definition. Th ams in Clause 82	<i>Definitions</i> is should refer to	Comment Type The location register", w and "RS-FE The location register", w and "RS-FE SuggestedRem Use concist Response ACCEPT IN	E n of the "Bid hereas clau EC PCS alig n of the "La hereas clau EC PCS alig edy tent register	Comment Status A bock x lock" variables is lister se 45 uses the register nar inment status 2" ne x aligned" variables is list se 45 uses the register nar inment status 4" names Response Status C	nes "RS-FEC PCS sted as "RS-FEC P nes "RS-FEC PCS	alignment status 1" PCS alignment status
Cl 78 SC Barrass, Hugh Comment Type There are so LPI_FW in t SuggestedRemo Change all i Add a defini LPI_FW Boolean var defined in 8	C 78.4.2.3 T several ment the LPI trans ledy instances of ition of LPI_ riable contro	P 83 Cisco Comment Status A tions of FW_enable without a smit and receive state diagra f FW_enable to LPI_FW FW in 78.4.2.3	any definition. Th ams in Clause 82	<i>Definitions</i> is should refer to	Comment Type The location register", w and "RS-FE The location register", w and "RS-FE SuggestedRem Use concist Response ACCEPT IN In Table 91	E n of the "Bid hereas clau C PCS alig n of the "La hereas clau C PCS alig edy tent register I PRINCIPL -4, change	Comment Status A bock x lock" variables is lister se 45 uses the register nar inment status 2" ne x aligned" variables is lis se 45 uses the register nar inment status 4" names <i>Response Status</i> C .E. PMA/PMD register names	nes "RS-FEC PCS sted as "RS-FEC P nes "RS-FEC PCS as follows.	alignment status 1" PCS alignment status alignment status 3"
Cl 78 SC Barrass, Hugh Comment Type There are so LPI_FW in t SuggestedRemo Change all i Add a defini LPI_FW Boolean var defined in 8	C 78.4.2.3 T several ment the LPI trans ledy instances of ition of LPI_ riable contro	P 83 Cisco Comment Status A tions of FW_enable without a smit and receive state diagra f FW_enable to LPI_FW FW in 78.4.2.3	any definition. Th ams in Clause 82	<i>Definitions</i> is should refer to	Comment Type The location register", w and "RS-FE The location register", w and "RS-FE SuggestedRem Use concist Response ACCEPT IN In Table 91 For "Block s	E n of the "Bid hereas clau C PCS alig n of the "La hereas clau C PCS alig edy tent register N PRINCIPL -4, change k lock", cha	Comment Status A bock x lock" variables is lister se 45 uses the register nar inment status 2" ne x aligned" variables is lis se 45 uses the register nar inment status 4" names <i>Response Status</i> C .E. PMA/PMD register names inge to "RS-FEC PCS aligned	nes "RS-FEC PCS sted as "RS-FEC P nes "RS-FEC PCS as follows. ment status 1 and 1	2 alignment status 1" PCS alignment status 5 alignment status 3" 2 registers".
Cl 78 SC Barrass, Hugh Comment Type There are so LPI_FW in t SuggestedRemo Change all i Add a defini LPI_FW Boolean var defined in 8 Response ACCEPT.	T reveral ment the LPI trans redy instances of ition of LPI_ riable contro 32.2.18.2.2.	P 83 Cisco Comment Status A tions of FW_enable without a smit and receive state diagra f FW_enable to LPI_FW FW in 78.4.2.3	any definition. Th ams in Clause 82 LPI transmit and	<i>Definitions</i> is should refer to	Comment Type The location register", w and "RS-FE The location register", w and "RS-FE SuggestedRem Use concist Response ACCEPT IN In Table 91 For "Block s	E n of the "Bid hereas clau C PCS alig n of the "La hereas clau C PCS alig edy tent register N PRINCIPL -4, change k lock", cha	Comment Status A bock x lock" variables is lister se 45 uses the register nar inment status 2" ne x aligned" variables is lis se 45 uses the register nar inment status 4" names <i>Response Status</i> C .E. PMA/PMD register names	nes "RS-FEC PCS sted as "RS-FEC P nes "RS-FEC PCS as follows. ment status 1 and 1	2 alignment status 1" PCS alignment status 5 alignment status 3" 2 registers".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 4

Szczepanek, And	91.6	P 177	L 51	# 5	C/ 45 SC 4	5.2.1.98a	P 57	L 15	# 7
szczepanek, Ano	dre	Inphi			Marris, Arthur		Cadence Des	sign Syste	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		Pattern gener
	of register t	oit "PCS lane alignmnet state	us" is inconsister	nt between Clause 45	It is not clear w	hat the po	olynomial identifier is for. It	is not explained i	in 92.7.12
		bit is at MDIO address 201. 4, it is at MDIO address 283.		us register)		its 12 and	l 11 or give a proper explan		
uggestedRemed	dy				lane.	are redund	dant as it is already possible	e to set a unique	seed for each PMD
Resolve the i	inconcistend	cy between the clauses.			Response		Response Status W		
		us register) is probably a bet			ACCEPT IN PF	RINCIPLE			
status" bit cu	urrently in the	nmnet status bit is to be mov e FEC lane mapping registe concistency ?					r in bits 12 & 11 for each lar n 92.6, Table 92-2.	ne (i) correspond	s to the variable
Response		Response Status C			The commente	er has enc	countered a problem with the	e explanation in 9	92.7.12.
ACCEPT IN F	PRINCIPLE	•					·		
See commen	nt #117.				Change 92.7.1	2 – last pa	aragraph, after the first 2 se	entences from:	
In addition, cl Clause 91 (as	change the lo as well as co	PCS lane alignment status" ocation of "FEC lane alignm prrect small inconsistencies i use from "Table 91-4" to 91.4	ent status" to 1.2 in the naming).		each lane give with default val implementatior 92–3. The first	n in Table lues given n of the Pf	ectable by polynomial_i (whe e 92–5. The state of the gen n in Table 92–5, at the start RBS generator for lane 0 wi f the training pattern for a gi	erator shall be so of the training pa th default setting	et to the value in seed attern. An example is is given in Figure
	69.5	873	L 48		To:				
C/ 69 SC		P 72	L 40	# 6					
Aarris, Arthur Comment Type Add Clause 8 SuggestedRemed	edy	Cadence Des Comment Status A t of backplane Clauses		# 6bucket	(polynomial_n, (where i is the 0; identifier_1 = i is the lane nu start of the train with default set	where n g lane numl = 1, etc.). mber), wit ning patte ttings is gi	each lane shall implement goes from 0 to 3) given in T ber). By default identifier_i i The state of the generators th default values given in Ta ern. An example implementa iven in Figure 92–3. The first	able 92–5, selec s set to lane num shall be set to the able 92–5 for eac ation of the PRBS	table by identifier_i hber i (i.e., identifier_(e value in seed_i (whe ch polynomial, at the S generator for n = 0
Aarris, Arthur Comment Type Add Clause 8 SuggestedRemed Add Clause 8	84 to the list edy	Cadence Desi Comment Status A t of backplane Clauses t of backplane Clauses			(polynomial_n, (where i is the 0; identifier_1 = i is the lane nu start of the train with default set	where n g lane numl = 1, etc.). mber), wit ning patte ttings is gi	goes from 0 to 3) given in T ber). By default identifier_i i The state of the generator s th default values given in Ta ern. An example implementa	able 92–5, selec s set to lane num shall be set to the able 92–5 for eac ation of the PRBS	table by identifier_i hber i (i.e., identifier_(e value in seed_i (whe ch polynomial, at the S generator for n = 0
Aarris, Arthur Comment Type Add Clause 8 SuggestedRemed	84 to the list edy	Cadence Des Comment Status A t of backplane Clauses			(polynomial_n, (where i is the 0; identifier_1 = i is the lane nu start of the train with default set polynomial is a	where n (lane numl = 1, etc.). mber), wit ning patte ttings is gi llso provid	goes from 0 to 3) given in T ber). By default identifier_i i The state of the generator s th default values given in Ta ern. An example implementa iven in Figure 92–3. The firs	able 92–5, selec s set to lane nun shall be set to the able 92–5 for eac ation of the PRBS st 32 bits of the tr	table by identifier_i hber i (i.e., identifier_0 e value in seed_i (whe ch polynomial, at the S generator for n = 0 raining pattern for eac
Marris, Arthur Comment Type Add Clause 8 SuggestedRemed Add Clause 8 Response ACCEPT. The commen	84 to the list edy 84 to the list nt does not a	Cadence Desi Comment Status A t of backplane Clauses t of backplane Clauses Response Status C	ign Syste	bucket	(polynomial_n, (where i is the 0; identifier_1 = i is the lane nu start of the train with default set polynomial is a Change Table	where n g lane numl = 1, etc.). mber), wit ning patte ttings is gi llso provid 92-5, first	goes from 0 to 3) given in T ber). By default identifier_i i The state of the generator s th default values given in Ta ern. An example implementa iven in Figure 92–3. The firs ded in Table 92–5.	able 92–5, selec s set to lane nun shall be set to the able 92–5 for eac ation of the PRBS st 32 bits of the tr n; polynomial_n,	table by identifier_i hber i (i.e., identifier_0 e value in seed_i (whe ch polynomial, at the S generator for n = 0 raining pattern for eac
Marris, Arthur Comment Type Add Clause 8 SuggestedRemed Add Clause 8 Response ACCEPT. The commen 2.1 and hence	84 to the list edy 84 to the list nt does not a ce is not with	Cadence Desi Comment Status A t of backplane Clauses t of backplane Clauses Response Status C	ign Syste nges made betwe ation ballot.	bucket	(polynomial_n, (where i is the 0; identifier_1 = i is the lane nu start of the train with default set polynomial is a Change Table Figure 92-3 : C	where n g lane numl = 1, etc.). mber), wit ning patte ttings is gi ilso provid 92-5, first Change titl	goes from 0 to 3) given in T ber). By default identifier_i i The state of the generator s th default values given in Ta ern. An example implementa iven in Figure 92–3. The firs ded in Table 92–5.	able 92–5, selec s set to lane nun shall be set to the able 92–5 for eac ation of the PRBS st 32 bits of the tr n; polynomial_n, nomial_0"	table by identifier_i hber i (i.e., identifier_0 e value in seed_i (whe ch polynomial, at the S generator for n = 0 raining pattern for eac

C/ 80 SC 80.2.4	P 100	L 29	# 8		CI 80	SC 80.1.4	P 98	L 15	# 11
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Des	ign Syste			Marris, Art	thur	Cadence De	sign Syste	
Comment Type E Delete the word "the"	Comment Status A			Bucket	Comment Incons	<i>Type</i> E sistant capitalisa	Comment Status A		Bucket
To:	e 100GBASE-KP4 is specifie 0GBASE-KP4 is specified in				Suggested Chang "Phys Response	ge to: ical Layer device	es" Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C	Clause 34			ACCE	PT.			
This is fixed in a better	manner by comment #30								
C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.6 Marris, Arthur	P 159 Cadence Des	L 36 ign Syste	# 9						
Comment Type E Missing word "the"	Comment Status A			bucket					
SuggestedRemedy Change: "it replaces fixed bytes" to: "it replaces the fixed by									
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C								
See comment #66.									
C/ 80 SC 80.1.1 Marris, Arthur	P 96 Cadence Des	L 15 ign Syste	# 10						
Comment Type E "40 Gigabit and 100 Gig paragrap in the base st	Comment Status A gabit Ethernet is defined for fr andard so should not be part	ull duplex opera of the first para	tion only." is the graph.	Bucket second					
SuggestedRemedy		-							
Delete repeated text: "40 Gigabit and 100 Gig	gabit Ethernet is defined for f	ull duplex opera	tion only."						
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C								

CI 69	SC 69.1.1	P 68	L 7	# 12
Marris, Ar	thur	Cadence Des	ign Syste	

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

These should be kept as separate paragraphs

SuggestedRemedy

Use the following editing instructions for 69.1.1 instead of the text in draft 2.1 and for the second paragraph instructions underline "full duplex", ", or 100 Gb/s providing a bit error ratio (BER) better than or equal to 10-12 at the MAC/PLS service interface" and "For 100 Gb/s operation, the 100GBASE-R family is extended to include 100GBASE-KR4 and 100GBASE-KP4 that operate over four lanes.":

Change the second paragraph as shown:

Backplane Ethernet supports the IEEE 802.3 full duplex MAC operating at 1000 Mb/s, 10 Gb/s, or 40 Gb/s, or 100 Gb/s providing a bit error ratio (BER) better than or equal to 10-12 at the MAC/PLS service interface. For 1000 Mb/s operation, the family of 1000BASE-X Physical Layer signaling systems is extended to include 1000BASE-KX. For 10 Gb/s operation, two Physical Layer signaling systems are defined. For operation over four logical lanes, the 10GBASE-X family is extended to include 10GBASE-KX4. For serial operation, there is 40GBASE-KR4 that operates over four lanes. For 100 Gb/s operation, the 100GBASE-KR4 that operates over four lanes. For 100 Gb/s operation, the 100GBASE-KR4 that operates over four lanes.

Replace the third paragraph with the following:

Auto-Negotiation enables PHY selection amongst Backplane Ethernet Physical Layer signaling systems.

Replace the fourth paragraph with the following:

Energy Efficient Ethernet (EEE) is optionally supported for all Backplane Ethernet PHYs.

Also consider including the original "69.1.2 Objectives" subclause with strike throughs for consistancy with 80.1.2 $\,$

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the content of 69.1.1 as follows.

After the heading "69.1.1 Scope" insert the editing instruction "Change the second paragraph as shown."

Response Status W

Insert the paragraph from D2.1 without the last two sentences.

Remove the underline except for the following (to indicate new text): "full duplex" ", or 100 Gb/s providing ... equal to 10-12." "For 100 Gb/s ... over four lanes" Add the word "operation" after "full duplex MAC".

Insert the editing instruction "Replace the third paragraph as shown."

Import the text from the third paragraph in 802.3-2012 69.1.1 and format with strike-through (to indicate it is to be deleted).

Insert the second last sentence from D2.1 69.1.1 and format with underline (to indicate it is new text).

Insert the editing instruction "Replace the fourth paragraph as shown."

Import the text from the fourth paragraph in 802.3-2012 69.1.1 and format with strikethrough (to indicate it is to be deleted).

Insert the last sentence from D2.1 69.1.1 and format with underline (to indicate it is new text).

Note that the modifications proposed above, except for addition of the word "operating" only make the editing instructions more clear and do not change any of the resulting content.

C/ 91 S	SC 91.7.4.2	P 18	34 L	9 #	13
Marris, Arthur		Cader	nce Design Sy	ste	
Comment Type	e T	Comment Status	Α		bucket
RF11 and	RF13 look like	duplicates			

SuggestedRemedy

Delete RF11 because RF13 looks like a more accurate description

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete the current RF11. Move the current RF13 to be the new RF11. Renumber remaining items accordingly.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 91 SC 91.6.2 Marris, Arthur	P 178 Cadence Desig	L 12 In Syste	# 14	C/ 30 Marris, Arthu	SC 30.12.2.1 r		P 34 Cadence De	L 12 sign Syste	# 16
Comment Type T Comment S This is a variable rather than a bit	Status A			<i>Comment T</i> y This is a		Comment St er than an Intege			Bucke
SuggestedRemedy Change "bit" to "variable" and add PIC Response Response S ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				also cha	to Boolean nge aLldpXdoo ot3RemTxFw,			BLocRxFw, aLldp) and aLldpXdot3R	Xdot3LocRxFwEcho, emRxFwEcho to
The normative requirement/PICS are a P183, L27).	addressed in 91	.5.3.3 (see P1	65, L1) and RF7 (see	Response ACCEPT	г.	Response Sta	atus C		
Change the second sentence of 91.6.2 "This variable has no effect (the decord correction enable (1.200.0) is set to or	ler does not by	bass error indic	cation) if FEC bypass	2.1 and	hence is not w tified a fault th		f the recircu		een Draft 2.0 and Draft ever, the commenter
	P 318	L 12	# 15	C/ 78 Anslow, Pete	SC 78.4.2.3	C	P 84 Ciena	L 15	# 17
	Cadence Desig		"	Comment Ty		Comment St			Bucke
Comment Type T Comment S Remove redundant PICs entries for SI SuggestedRemedy Delete DFS3 on line 11 Delete DFS2 on line 13				Howeve <i>SuggestedR</i> Change	r, the editing in	struction is still " truction to:	nto the draft Insert the fo	due to comment Illowing rows" whi	#37 against D2.0. ich is inappropriate.
Response Response S ACCEPT.	tatus C			Response ACCEPT	г.	Response Sta	atus C		
The comment does not apply to the su 2.1 and hence is not within the scope			veen Draft 2.0 and Draft	<i>Cl</i> 69 Anslow, Pete	SC 69.1.3	(P 70 Ciena	L 36	# 18
However a legitimate problem has bee	en identified and	I needs to be o	corrected.	editing ir	nt #43 against	not been amende	additional it ed in accord	ance with this.	bucke o the list. However, the nsert editing instruction.
				SuggestedR		-			, and the second s
				"Change		sert items g) and	l h) as show	m:"	
						-			
				Response		Response Sta	atus C		

CI 69	SC (69.1.3	P 70	L 43	# 19		C/ 01
Anslow, P	ete		Ciena				Anslow, Pete
	9802.3bm		Comment Status A nendment changes the title of anual says "In general text, is				Comment Typ The editir but this s
spelle throug	ed out." b ghout a p	out also "I paragraph	Numbers applicable to the sain n; numerals should not be use	me category sho	ould be treated al	like	SuggestedRe Change:
Suggeste		, ,	o" to "four long"				" into th
	,	0	ie" to "four-lane" ane" to "ten-lane"				" into th
			lane" to "four-lane"				Response
Response	9		Response Status C				ACCEPT
ACCE	PT.						C/ 30
C/ 01	SC 4	1.4.60	P 24	L 39	# 20		Anslow, Pete
Anslow, P		1.4.60	Ciena	L 39	# 20		Comment Typ
		-					The cross
Comment		E	Comment Status A	atoto: "and a D			SuggestedRe
			GBASE-R, there is no need to nodulation.".		wid that employs	→ Z-	Page 26,
This t	ext is no	t present	for 10GBASE-R. It is needed		-R to distinguish	it from	Page 27,
		,	is not the case for 40GBASE		omplitudo modul	otion"	Page 27,
			40GBASE-R say that they use uses confusion.	e 2-ievei puise a	amplitude modula	ation",	Page 28,
Suggeste		•					Response
00		•	t employs 2-level pulse ampli	tude modulation	۱ "		ACCEPT
Response			Response Status C				C/ 30
ACCE	PT.						Anslow, Pete
			tly points out that for 40GBAS blitude modulation. All 40GBA				Comment Typ The "1" ir
			ambiguity by implementing the			_ 410	SuggestedRe

C/ 01	SC	1.5	P 2	5	L 3	# 21	
Anslow, P	ete		Ciena				
	diting in		Comment Status ays "Insert the follo breviations list.		ew abbreviation in	o the definitio	<i>buckei</i> ns list"
	ge: o the de	<i>ly</i> finitions list breviations					
Response ACCE			Response Status	С			
C/ 30	SC	30.2.5	P 2	6	L 27	# 22	
Anslow, P	ete		Ciena				
Page : Page : Page :	26, line 27, line 27, line 28, line	27 "30.5.1" 23 "30.5.1" 50 "30.12.2	should be in green should be in green " should be black a " should be black a	font and a li			
Response ACCE			Response Status	С			
<i>Cl</i> 30 Anslow, P		30.5.1.1.2	P 2 Ciena	-	L 52	# 23	
Comment The "1		E)GBASE-P	Comment Status is not underlined	Α			Bucke
Suggested Under	dRemect line the						
Response							

C/ 30	SC 30.5.1.1.1	5 P 29	L 44	# 24	C/ 45	SC 45	.2.1.921.2	P 49	L 50	# 26
Anslow, Pe	ete	Ciena			Anslow, P	ete		Ciena		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		Bucket	Comment	Туре	E Con	nment Status A		Bucke
the FE	C capability regis	clause 45 MDIO Interface is ter (see 45.2.8.2 or 45.2.1.	.;"		In 45.2	2.1.92m.2		92I.8, the second ser I.92m.12, the second		a double full stop "". vithout a full stop ".".
	h 30.5.1.1.31)	a link. (same for 30.5.1.1.16	annough 50.5.1.1	. 10 anu 30.3.1.1.20	Suggestee	-				
Also "	or 45.2.1.89" shou	Ild be in underline font as it	has been added.					92I.8, delete one full I.92m.12, add the ful		
Suggested	lRemedy				Response		•	oonse Status C	stop.	
throug	"Clause 45" a link h 30.5.1.1.31 line "or 45.2.1.89'	here and in 30.5.1.1.16 th	ough 30.5.1.1.18	and 30.5.1.1.26	ACCE	PT.	,			
Response		Response Status C			Recyc	cle the full	stop as descril	bed.		
ACCE	PT.	,			C/ 45		.2.1.98a	P 57	L 5	# 27
C/ 00	SC 0	Р	L	# 25	Anslow, P		_	Ciena		
Anslow, Pe	ete	Ciena			Comment			nment Status A		<i>Bucke</i> a pattern registers, lane 0
74" or These Page 2 Page 3 Page 3 Page 9	nat Clause 74 has "74" (in tables) th	3	ft, there are some	bucket instances of "Clause	throug Also, 1 Suggested Chang "The a 72a. define	gh 3, is she the name d <i>Remedy</i> ge the sen assignmer The assig ed similarly	tence to: to f bits in the ment of bits in the to lane 0.".	5-72a." but the table is "PMD training patter PMD training pattern the PMD training pa 2a to "PMD training p	is specific to Reg ern lane 0 registe lane 0 register is ttern lanes 1 thro	ister 1.1450. r" shown in Table 45- ugh 3 registers are
Page Page Page	100, lines 21 and 105, line 5 110, line 6				Response ACCE		Resp	oonse Status C		
Page	140, line 27 148, line 38				<i>Cl</i> 80 Anslow, P	SC 80	.1.3	Р 97 Ciena	L 8	# 28
Suggested	2				Comment		E Con	nment Status A		Bucket
Response		Response Status C				ences to C			lded to item h), b	ut these are text rather
ACCE	PT.				Suggestee	dRemedv				
							l, Clause 93 ar	nd Clause 94 cross-re	eferences.	
					Response ACCE		Resp	oonse Status C		

CI 80									
	SC 80.1.5	P 98	L 48	# 29	C/ 83	SC 83.1.1	P 140	L 20	# 32
Anslow, Pe	ete	Ciena			Anslow, Pet	e	Ciena		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		Bucket	Comment T	ype E	Comment Status A		Bucke
"The g		Gigabit and 100 Gigabit Etherr				ot provide the e" i.e. interface	PMD service interfaces" shou e singular.	Ild be "does not p	provide the PMD service
		MAC (the 40 Gigabit and 100 or 100GBASE Physical Lave			SuggestedF	Remedy			
	isappeared from	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	mplementation	3.	Change	"interfaces" to	o "interface"		
If it is t	to be deleted, the	en it must be shown in strikeo	ut font.		Response		Response Status C		
Suggested	Remedy				ACCEP	Т.			
Show	the deleted text i	in strikeout font.							
Response		Response Status C			C/ 91	SC 91.6	P 177	<i>L</i> 1	# 33
ACCE	PT.				Anslow, Pet	e	Ciena		
	<u> </u>	D. (" [20]	Comment T		Comment Status A		bucket
C/ 80	SC 80.2.4	P 100	L 29	# 30	The refe	erence to 45.2	1 should be a link		
Anslow, Pe		Ciena			SuggestedF	Remedy			
Comment		Comment Status A		Bucket	Make 4	5.2.1 a cross-r	eference		
as:	PMA specific to	the 100GBASE-KP4 is speci	fied in Clause 94	." but would be better	Response ACCEP	Т.	Response Status C		
" the	e pivia sdecilic lo	the 100GBASE-KP4 PHY is	specified in Clau	se 94."					
		the 100GBASE-KP4 PHY is	specified in Clau	se 94."	C/ 92	SC 92.1	P 185	L 18	# 34
Suggested			specified in Clau	se 94."	<i>Cl</i> 92 Anslow, Pet		<i>P</i> 185 Ciena	L 18	# 34
Suggested Insert	IRemedy "PHY" after "100	GBASE-KP4"	specified in Clau	se 94."		e		L 18	# 34
Suggested Insert Response	IRemedy "PHY" after "100		specified in Clau	se 94."	Anslow, Pet Comment T For the	e ype E Physical Laye	Ciena Comment Status A r clauses associated with ta	ables introduced	bucket
Suggested Insert	IRemedy "PHY" after "100	GBASE-KP4"	specified in Clau	se 94."	Anslow, Pet Comment T For the project,	e ype E Physical Laye the clause nu	Ciena Comment Status A r clauses associated with ta nbers at the left of each row a	ables introduced are links.	bucker
Suggested Insert Response ACCE	IRemedy "PHY" after "100	GBASE-KP4"	specified in Clau	se 94."	Anslow, Pet Comment T For the project, This is a	e ype E Physical Laye the clause nu a useful feature	Ciena Comment Status A r clauses associated with ta	ables introduced are links. raight to the relev	bucker by the P802.3ba vant associated clause.
Suggested Insert Response ACCE See al	Remedy "PHY" after "100 PT.	GBASE-KP4"	specified in Clau		Anslow, Pet Comment T For the project, This is a Howeve	e ype E Physical Laye the clause nur a useful feature er, this has not	Ciena Comment Status A r clauses associated with ta mbers at the left of each row a e allowing the user to jump sti	ables introduced are links. raight to the relev	bucker by the P802.3ba vant associated clause.
Suggested Insert Response ACCE See al C/ 80	Remedy "PHY" after "100 PT. Iso comment #8	GBASE-KP4" Response Status C		se 94." # 31	Anslow, Pet Comment T For the project, This is a Howeve SuggestedF	e ype E Physical Laye the clause num a useful feature er, this has not Remedy	Ciena Comment Status A r clauses associated with ta mbers at the left of each row a e allowing the user to jump sti	ables introduced are links. raight to the relev able 93-1 or Tabl	bucker by the P802.3ba vant associated clause. e 94-1
Suggested Insert Response ACCE See al	Remedy "PHY" after "100 PT. Iso comment #8 SC 80.5 ete	GBASE-KP4" Response Status C P 108			Anslow, Pet Comment T For the project, This is a Howeve SuggestedF	e ype E Physical Laye the clause num a useful feature er, this has not Remedy	Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A r clauses associated with ta mbers at the left of each row a e allowing the user to jump str been done for Table 92-1, Table poers in Table 92-1, Table 93-1	ables introduced are links. raight to the relev able 93-1 or Tabl	bucker by the P802.3ba vant associated clause. e 94-1
Suggested Insert Response ACCE See al Cl 80 Anslow, Pe Comment A new	IRemedy "PHY" after "100 PT. Iso comment #8 SC 80.5 ete Type E note e has been	GBASE-KP4" Response Status C P 108 Ciena	L 38	# <u>31</u> Bucket gnaling rate of	Anslow, Pet Comment T For the project, This is a Howeve SuggestedF Make th	e ype E Physical Laye the clause num a useful feature r, this has not Remedy the clause number the c	Ciena Comment Status A r clauses associated with ta mbers at the left of each row a e allowing the user to jump str been done for Table 92-1, Ta	ables introduced are links. raight to the relev able 93-1 or Tabl	bucker by the P802.3ba vant associated clause. e 94-1
Suggester Insert Response ACCE See al Cl 80 Anslow, Pe Comment A new 25.78'	IRemedy "PHY" after "100 PT. Iso comment #8 SC 80.5 ete Type E note e has been 125 Gb/s.", but a	GBASE-KP4" <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 108 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A a added to Table 80-4 which e	L 38	# <u>31</u> Bucket gnaling rate of	Anslow, Pet Comment T For the project, This is a Howeve SuggestedF Make th Response ACCEP	e ype E Physical Laye the clause num a useful feature r, this has not Remedy the clause number the c	Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A r clauses associated with ta mbers at the left of each row a e allowing the user to jump str been done for Table 92-1, Table pers in Table 92-1, Table 93-1 <i>Response Status</i> C	ables introduced are links. raight to the relev able 93-1 or Tabl	<i>bucke</i> by the P802.3ba vant associated clause. e 94-1
Suggester Insert Response ACCE See al C/ 80 Anslow, Pe Comment A new 25.78' Suggester	IRemedy "PHY" after "100 PT. Iso comment #8 SC 80.5 ete Type E note e has been 125 Gb/s.", but a	IGBASE-KP4" Response Status C P 108 Ciena Comment Status A n added to Table 80-4 which e II of the other notes to this tab	L 38	# <u>31</u> Bucket gnaling rate of	Anslow, Pet Comment T For the project, This is a Howeve SuggestedF Make th Response ACCEP	e ype E Physical Laye the clause num a useful feature r, this has not Remedy the clause numb T.	Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A r clauses associated with ta mbers at the left of each row a e allowing the user to jump str been done for Table 92-1, Table pers in Table 92-1, Table 93-1 <i>Response Status</i> C	ables introduced are links. raight to the relev able 93-1 or Tabl	bucker by the P802.3ba vant associated clause. e 94-1
Suggester Insert Response ACCE See al C/ 80 Anslow, Pe Comment A new 25.78' Suggester	IRemedy "PHY" after "100 PT. Iso comment #8 SC 80.5 ete Type E note e has been 125 Gb/s.", but a IRemedy ge "Gb/s" to "GBC	IGBASE-KP4" Response Status C P 108 Ciena Comment Status A n added to Table 80-4 which e II of the other notes to this tab	L 38	# <u>31</u> Bucket gnaling rate of	Anslow, Pet Comment T For the project, This is a Howeve SuggestedF Make th Response ACCEP	e ype E Physical Laye the clause num a useful feature r, this has not Remedy the clause numb T.	Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A r clauses associated with ta mbers at the left of each row a e allowing the user to jump str been done for Table 92-1, Table pers in Table 92-1, Table 93-1 <i>Response Status</i> C	ables introduced are links. raight to the relev able 93-1 or Tabl	bucker by the P802.3ba vant associated clause. e 94-1

C/ 85 SC 85.1	P 148	L 15	# 35	C/ 80	SC 80	0.1.2	P 96	L 25	# 38
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, Pe			Ciena		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		Bucket	Comment	Туре	ER	Comment Status A		Deleted subclause
In Table 85-1 in the ba link also. SuggestedRemedy	se document, all of the clause	e numbers are li	nks, so 78 should be a	subcla When	use 80.1 applied, t	2 as sh his will	ent #6 against D1.1, the edit own." nave the effect of renumberi ugh 80.1.4.	U U	
Make "78" a cross-refe	rence.			The m	odificatio		at were formerly 80.1.3 thro	ugh 80.1.5 just b	below should reflect this
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCE	sponse to PT IN PR	INCIPL		4 - 1 - 1	
2.1 and hence is not w	t apply to the substantive cha ithin the scope of the recircula error in the draft that should b	ation ballot. How	veen Draft 2.0 and Draft ever, the comment	For fui a) Lea subcla	ture cases ve a vest iuse has l	s where igial pla been de		ed, there are two g) with the note t	options: hat the content of this
C/ 92 SC 92.10.8	P 213	L 47	# 36				and include editing instruct option a) for future cases.	ions to renumbe	r accordingly.
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Note.	the same	issue fo	r 69.1.2 is the subject of a s	eparate comme	nt.
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket	Suggested					
should be: S(CHILp) is the chanr SuggestedRemedy	sertion loss between TP0 and nel insertion loss between TP ections of Equations 92-19 thr) and TP5	art the variable	that th or: Chang accord For 80 throug	e content ge the edin lingly." 0.1.3 throu h 80.1.4 a	of this : ting inst ugh 80.1 and ame	esponse copied above and lesubclause has been deleted ruction to "Delete 80.1.2 and .5, move the editing instruct and the editing instruction to ed to 80.1.y)"	renumber subs	equent subclauses
Response	Response Status C			Response			Response Status W		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	.E.			ACCE	PT IN PR	INCIPL	Ε.		
Implementation will be	in resolution to comment #10	8.		Impler	nent optic	on a) fro	m the previous draft (vestigi	al subclause).	
IEEE style manual will	be followed.								
C/ 94 SC 94.1 Anslow, Pete	P 269 Ciena	L 11	# 37						
Comment Type E In the second paragrap	Comment Status A oh of 94.1 "Figure 94-1" and "	Clause 45" shou	Bucket						
SuggestedRemedy Make "Figure 94-1" and	d "Clause 45" cross-reference	S							
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 69 Anslow, P	SC 69. ⁻ ete	1.2	P Cier	'68 na	L 18	# 39	<i>CI</i> 45 Anslow, F		45.2.1.920	0.7	P 56 Ciena	L 17	# 40	
Comment As dis			Comment Statu #31 against D1		ting instruction fo	or 69.1.2 says "Delete	Comment This I		T 281.28" inst		e <i>nt Status</i> A 283.5" in three pla	aced including the	e title.	Bucket
subcla When The m (it has The re was:	ause 69.1.2 applied, th nodifications been renu	." is will have s to what w mbered sil comment a	e the effect of r vas formerly 80 lently)	enumberin).1.3 just b	ng 69.1.3 to be 80 elow should expl		Suggeste	dRemea ge "1.28 e	dy	.283.5" in	three placed incl	Ū		
The re For fu a) Lea	esolution to ture cases ave a vestig	#432 neat where a su jial placeho	ubclause might older (subclaus	be delete	taining a vestigia d, there are two o) with the note th		<i>Cl</i> 45 Anslow, F	ete	45.2.1.920		P 56 Ciena	L 50	# 41	
b) Del		clause and			ons to renumber	accordingly.		nas "1.2		ad of "1.2	e <i>nt Status</i> A 83.0" in two place le 8" in two places			Bucket
Suggested	dRemedy	ssue for 80).1.2 is the sub	ject of a se	eparate comment	t.		ge "1.28			two places. o places.			
that th or: Chang accord For 69 amend	option a) in the content of ge the editin dingly." 9.1.3 move d the editing	of this subc ng instructi the editing g instructic	clause has bee ion to "Delete 6	n deleted 69.1.2 and bove the tit	renumber subse tle, leave the nur	se heading and a note quent subclauses nber as 69.1.2 and	Response ACCI			Respon	se Status C			
Response ACCE			esponse Statu	s W										
			ion on line 18 to 8 to 69.1.2."	o "Delete s	subclause 69.1.2	as shown and								
	t the headir strike-throug		t for subclause	69.1.2 froi	m 802.3-2012 an	d mark for deletion								
Renur	mber the he	ading "69.	.1.3 Relationsh	ip" to "6	9.1.2 Relationshi	p"								
			Relationship enumber to 69.			n "Change the first								
In 69.	1.2, delete	the instruc	tion "Change tl	he first par	agraph as showr	ו:"								
			ions proposed by of the resulting			g instructions more								
			B (11)										_	40 -6 47

C/ 80 SC 80.3.2	P 103	L 37	# 42	C/ 82	SC 82.2.8a	P 121	L 53	# 43
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, F	ete	Ciena		
Inslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type T Comment Status A Bucket According to 80.3.1, the four additional primitives are only defined if the deep sleep mode option is supported. However, Figure 80-3b title is: "Optional inter-sublayer service interfaces for EEE support" and the figure contains a note: Note: this diagram illustrates only the service interfaces associated with the optional EEE function. This suggests that the extra primitives are required for any EEE support, not just for the deep sleep option. Same issue for Note1 in Figures 82-2 and 91-2 SuggestedRemedy Change Figure 80-3b title to: "Optional inter-sublayer service interfaces for EEE deep sleep support" Change the note in Figure 80-3b to: Note: this diagram illustrates only the service interfaces associated with the optional EEE					t Type T e end of 82.2.8a says: BIP statistics wile eceived normal W is TRUE. This subclause is this subclause is this subclause is this subclause the second rec s not an approp mitter is in fast to to read this sub dRemedy this information B BIP calculatio	Comment Status A which is about Rapid align l be first updated after tran AM when LPI_FW is FALS about rapid alignment ma applies. eived AM after what? Ther priate place to put informati wake mode. An implemen	sitioning from RAMs SE and on the secor rker insertion, so LP e is no transition fro on on when the BIP ter building a fast wa that BIP is only valion n of the text that def	s to normal AMs on the nd received AM when PI_FW is never TRUE om RAMs in fast wake. P is updated when the ake only PHY does not d during RX_ACTIVE to
	ures 82-2 and 91-2 to: NAL EEE DEEP SLEEP CAPA Response Status C	ABILITY		Response ACCE	EPT.	Response Status C		
ACCEPT.				C/ 94 Lusted, K	SC 94.3.11 ent	I.1 P 297 Intel	<i>L</i> 1	# 44
2.1 and hence is not	ot apply to the substantive cha within the scope of the recircul e error in the draft that should b	ation ballot. How		trainir Howe TFW <i>Suggeste</i>	ext says ""The ong frame words ever, 1 training f *92 bits/TFW =	rame work (TFW) is 92 bit 4416 bits	-	
				Response ACCE	,	Response Status C		
				<edit 2.1></edit 	or changed con	nment type from TR to T be	ecause the balloter	voted to approve draft
						not apply to the substantive within the scope of the rec		ween Draft 2.0 and Draft
				Howe	ever a legitimate	problem has been identifi	ed and needs to be	corrected.

C/ 92 SC 92.9 P 207 L 22 # 45 Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation	C/ 92 SC 92.10.3 P 210 L 24 # 47 Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Intel Corporation
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Insertion Loss, ILD, and ICN are not sufficient channel characteristics for determining Channel Operating Margin. They are replace by COM requirements. SuggestedRemedy Delete lines 20-22 Insert: Channel Operating Margin is determined from differential mode channel s-paremeters for signal paths and is defined 93A.1.1.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A ILD is replaced by COM ILD is replaced by COM ILD is replaced by COM SuggestedRemedy Delete Clause 09.10.3 ILD is replaced by COM Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ILD is replaced by COM ILD is replaced by COM
Editorial licence granted. Response Response Status C	Commenter was referring to 92.10.3 in suggested remedy. See comment #107
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #120	C/ 92 SC 92.10.9 P 214 L 44 # [48] Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation
CI 92 SC 92.10 P 207 L 47 # 46 Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status A MDNEXT and MDFEXT loss are replaced by COM SuggestedRemedy Delete last 2 lines in table 92-11 for MDNEXT and MDFEXT loss	Comment Type TR Comment Status A MDNEXT loss is replaced by COM SuggestedRemedy Delete Clause 92.10.9 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove all instances of "shall" in 92.10.11 and corresponding PICS if any remain; for equations use "is determined using" rather than "shall". "92.10.11 Cable assembly integrated crosstalk noise (ICN) calculation methodology" is used in "92.11.3.6 Mated test fixtures integrated crosstalk noise" and "92.8.3.6 Transmitter noise parameter measurements".	See comment #46 Cl 92 SC 92.10.10 P 215 L 14 # 49 Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status A MDFEXT loss is replaced by COM SuggestedRemedy Delete Clause 92.10.10
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #46.

92 SC 92.10.11 chard, Mellitz comment Type TR ICN is replaced by COM uggestedRemedy Delete Clause 92.10.11	P 215 Intel Corporat Comment Status A	L 35 ion	# 50	C/ 92 SC 92 Richard, Mellitz	10.8 P 212 Intel Corpo	L 54 ration	# 51
omment Type TR ICN is replaced by COM uggestedRemedy	Comment Status A						
uggestedRemedy	1				R Comment Status A of correct. COM is derived from th		differential mode c
					not insertion loss and crosstalk lo		
esponse ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See comment #46.	Response Status C <u>=</u> .			measurements of differential NEX couple into a reo Replace with: The cable asser scattering paran associated four except the meas	mbly COM is derived from the cal of the insertion loss of a receive la c losses and three individual pair- eeve lane." mbly COM is derived from the cab beter measurements, S^(k)_ca, of near plus three far end crosstalk p curement is between TP1 and TP4 COM are required to represent the	to-pair differential l le assembly differe each thru path and baths as defined in 4. Differential scatte	dividual pair-to-pair FEXT losses that can ential mode d the 93A.1.1 ering
				Delete lines 5 pa Keep table 92-1	age 213 to line 41 page 214 3.		
				Replace with:			
				transmission line	h between TP0 and TP5 shall be e, S^(Ic), paths between TP0 and ering parameter measured betwee	MDI and MDI and	TP5 around the
				S^(k) =cascade(cascade (S^(lc), S^(k)_ca), S^(lo	c)_ca))	
				S^(k) is use to d	etermine COM.		
				Editorial licence	granted		
				Response ACCEPT IN PRI	Response Status C NCIPLE.		
				See comment #	108.		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Clause 94.4.3 is "Return Loss" and ICN is not specified. Suggested/Remedy Comment Type TR Comment Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 87 which deletes the entire subclause. C1 94 SC 94.3.13.3 P 308 L 9 # [3] Retard. Mellitz Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. Response to comment Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Suggested/Remedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 94 SC 94.3.12.7 P 304 L 49 # 52 Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation	C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 10 # 55 Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation
Clause 94.4.3 is 'Return Loss' and ICN is not specified. SuggestedRemedy Dolle first sentence of 94.3.12.7 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 87 which deletes the entire subclause. CO14 S C 94.3.13.3 P3 06 L 9 Response Type The corner fits and ICON's not specified. Comment Type TR Comment Status A The corner fits and the fact or adues column. For a 33dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 3.6 mV (pre amplification). Lie. values fold montely and the fact or adues column. See comment #97. That seeme accessively demanding of a reciever. SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. C19 S C 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 Kehand, Militz Intel Corporation Camment Type R Comment Status A See comment #97. Response Status C AccEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. Response Status A SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. Response Status A SuggestedRemedy <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td></tr<>		
Suggested/Remedy Pasponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See response to comment 87 which deletes the entire subclause. Suggested/Remedy Cl 94 SC 94.3.13.3 P 308 L 9 ± 53 Khand, Milliz Intel Corporation Response Response Status S Comment Type TR Comment 51 walkes column. See seconse status S For a 33dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 2.6 mV (pre amplification). i.e. axiable signal - noise. See comment #97. Comment Status A Comment Status A Suggested/Remedy See comment #97. Comment Status A Suggested/Remedy See comment #97. Comment Status A Suggested/Remedy Scapparts Comment Status A Suggested/Remedy Status Column is with and point or correction. Suggested/Remedy Scapparts Comment Status A Suggested/Remedy Comment #97. Comment Status A Suggested/Remedy Status Column is walke socium. Suggested/Remedy Comment Status A Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy Comment Status A Suggested/Remedy Comment Status A Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy		There are 4 test values columns defined in Table 93-7.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type The Comment 87 which deletes the entire subclause. C/ 94 SC 94.3.13.3 P 308 L 9 # 53 Response to comment 87 which deletes the entire subclause. Intel Corporation Response Status Z Comment Type TR Comment Status A Fig. 2000 Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. Response Xecssively demanding of a reciever. SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. Response Xecssively demanding of a reciever. SuggestedRemedy Start, Melliz Intel Corporation SuggestedRemedy Cri 93 S 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 # [54] Response Table 93-7 Specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Response Table 93-7 Specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Response Table 93-7 Specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Response Table 93-7 Specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy </td <td>Delete first sentence of 94.3.12.7</td> <td>values column is without error correction. Test 3 values column is with error correction. Tes 4 values column seems more demanding the Test 3 values column. Both are with error</td>	Delete first sentence of 94.3.12.7	values column is without error correction. Test 3 values column is with error correction. Tes 4 values column seems more demanding the Test 3 values column. Both are with error
Reported Control Remove Test 3 values column from Tabel 97-3 See response to comment 87 which deletes the entire subclause. Remove Test 3 values column from Tabel 97-3 Cl 94 SC 94.3.13.3 P 308 L 9 # [53] Cl 94 SC 94.3.13.3 P 308 L 9 # [53] Cl 94 SC 94.3.13.3 P 308 L 9 # [53] Cl 94 SC 94.3.13.3 P 308 L 9 # [53] Comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. For a 33dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 3.6 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. Table 93-7 Specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN RRINCIPLE. See comment #97. See comment #97. Cl 93 SC 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 # [54] Comment Type TR Comment Status A Bucket Response Status C ACCEPT IN RINCIPLE. See comment #97. Comment Status A Bucket Response Status C Cl 93 SC 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 # [54] Cl 93 SC 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 <t< td=""><td></td><td>· ·</td></t<>		· ·
Cl 94 SC 94.3.13.3 P 308 L 9 # 53 Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation Status A Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. For a 33dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 3.6 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. Test 3 is not superfluous. It verifies higher noise tolerance for the 30 dB channel when error correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to compensate for a maximum loss channel. Cl 93 SC 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 # 54 Schard, Mellitz Intel Corporation Suggested/Remedy Comment Type TR Comment Status A Suckar See comment #97. Canneent Status A bucket See comment #97. Canneent Status A bucket Cl 93 S 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 # 54 Stable 93-7 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Type TR Comment Status A Bucket approxement Status A Bucket approxement Status A Table 93-7 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. For a 35dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 2.8 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. ACCEPT. <t< td=""><td>ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.</td><td></td></t<>	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Ci 94 SC 94.3.13.3 P 308 L 9 # 53 Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. For a 33dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 3.6 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket See comment #97. Ci 93 SC 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 # 54 Comment Type TR Comment Status A bucket Table 93-7 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. See comment #37. Ci 30 SC 30.5.1.1.30 P 32 L 36 # 57 Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation Ci 30 SC 30.5.1.1.30 P 32 L 36 # 57 Comment Type TR Comment Status A Bucket SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket Corporation Cr 30 SC 30.5.1.1.30 P 32 L 36 # 57 SuggestedRemedy	See response to comment 87 which deletes the entire subclause.	Proposed Response Response Status 7
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. For a 33dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 3.6 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. Test 3 is not superfluous. It welfferent from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to correction is included. It is different from test 4 which is an aboreviation. Cl 93 SC		
Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. For a 33dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 3.6 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. That seems excessively demanding of a reciever. SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #97. Cl 93 SC 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 Table 93-7 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. For a 35dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 2.8 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. Table 93-7 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. For a 35dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 2.8 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. That seems excessively demanding of a reciever. SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. For a 35dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 2.8 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. That seems excessively demanding of a reciever. SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. For a 35dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 2.8 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise.	Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.
That seems excessively demanding of a reciever. Suggested/Remedy Cl 01 SC 1.5 P25 L6 # [56] Suggested/Remedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. Response Response Status C Avago Technologies ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #97. E Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Schard, Mellitz Intel Corporation f54 Schard, Mellitz Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.30 P32 L36 # [57]	Table 94-16 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 2 values column.	correction is included. It is different from test 4 which investigates the receiver's ability to
Suggested/Remedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #97. Cl 93 SC 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 # 54 Comment Type TR Comment Status A Suggested/Remedy Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation Sc 30.5.1.1.30 P 32 L 36 # 57 Comment Type TR Comment Status A Stavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status A Stavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status A Stavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Stavick, Jeff Avago Technologies C ACCEPT. Stavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status A Bucke Stavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Stavick, Jeff Avago Technologies C ACCEPT. Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.30 P 32 L 36 # 57 Stavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status A Bucke Bucke That seems excessively demanding of a	6	C/ 01 SC 1.5 P25 / 6 # 56
SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 2 values column. Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #97. Comment Status A bucket See comment #97. Intel Corporation Comment Status A Comment Status A Comment Status C Cl 93 SC 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 # 54 Comment Type TR Comment Status A Response Status C Accept IN PRINCIPLE. Table 93-7 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. For a 35dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 2.8 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. That seems excessively demanding of a reciever. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column E Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column E Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Change the "see 45.2.1.92b" to "45.2.1.92a" for both. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT		
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #97. Cl 93 SC 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 # 54 Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 93-7 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. For a 35dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 2.8 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. That seems excessively demanding of a reciever. SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Esponse Response Status C SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type E Comment Status C		Comment Type E Comment Status A buck
See comment #97. See comment #97. Cl 93 SC 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 # 54 Richard, Melliz Intel Corporation Accept. Comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 93-7 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.30 P 32 L 36 # 57 Solution available signal - noise. That seems excessively demanding of a reciever. C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.30 P 32 L 36 # 57 SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Esponse Response Status C Response Response Status C Accept IN PRINCIPLE. C 30 SC 30.5.1.1.30 P 32 L 36 # 57 SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Esponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type E Comment Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Type Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C Accept. Accept.	Response Response Status C	
See comment #97. Cl 93 SC 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 # 54 Cl net Corporation Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type TR Comment Status A Accept. Table 93-7 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. For a 35dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 2.8 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. Accept. That seems excessively demanding of a reciever. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Esponse Response Status C Response Response Status C Accept IN PRINCIPLE. Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Accept IN PRINCIPLE. Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Cl 93 SC 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 # 54 Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation # 54 Comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 93-7 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. Avago Technologies For a 35dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 2.8 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. Accept in Principle. SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Bucket Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Status C	See comment #97.	
Richard, Mellitz Intel Corporation Comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 93-7 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. Avago Technologies For a 35dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 2.8 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. Avago Technologies That seems excessively demanding of a reciever. Bucket SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C		
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Table 93-7 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies For a 35dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 2.8 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. Barket Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket Stavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Bucket		
Table 93-7 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column. Bucket For a 35dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 2.8 mV (pre amplification). i.e. available signal - noise. Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket SuggestedRemedy That seems excessively demanding of a reciever. SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column SuggestedRemedy Change the "see 45.2.1.92b" to "45.2.1.92a" for both. C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C ACCEPT.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A	
For a 35dB loss channel the headroom over the noise is only 2.8 mV (pre amplification). i.e. aRSFECBypassEnable and aRSFECIndicationEnable MDIO registers are in section available signal - noise. That seems excessively demanding of a reciever. SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Response Response Status CCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C	Table 93-7 specifies COM of 1.5 dB for the Test 4 values column.	
That seems excessively demanding of a reciever. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT.		n). i.e. aRSFECBypassEnable and aRSFECIndicationEnable MDIO registers are in section
SuggestedRemedy Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Change the "see 45.2.1.92b" to "45.2.1.92a" for both. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT.		
Change to COM 2.5 dB for the Test 4 values column Response Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT.		-
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C	
		ACCEPT.
See comment #78.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.92h P 48 L 14 # 58	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.92I P 49 L 5 # <u>61</u>
Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies	Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies
Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket	Comment Type TR Comment Status A non-separated RS-FE
BIP error counters are non-rollover but missing that property.	The following is attached to this section (and 45.2.91n), but really applies to all the RS-FEC
SuggestedRemedy	PCS alignment, BIP checking, mapping registers.
Add the NR property to the R/W column and define it in the footnote. Note that 45.2.3.44 (base standard PCS BIP error counter is also missing this property).	A device that does not implement a separated RS-FEC shall return a zero for all bits in the RS-FEC PCS alignment status 1 register. It is the responsibility of the STA management entity to ensure that a port type is supported by all MMDs before interrogating any of its status bits.
Response Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy
ACCEPT.	Add this text to 45.2.1.92h, 45.2.1.92j, 45.2.1.92b.4 as well. Or find a more general location
The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft	to state it once and refer to that text from all necessary sections.
2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, the comment highlights a legitimate error in the draft that should be addressed.	Response Response Status W
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.92i P 48 L 21 # 59	The additional text suggested is appropriate for the BPI error counter; lane mappings; block
lavick, Jeff Avago Technologies	lock bits; and am lock bits. However, the align status bit in 45.2.1.92b.4 should be always 1
Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket	for a non-separated RS-FEC.
45.2.1.92h calls the error counter "RS-FEC BIP error counter lane 0"	45.2.1.92I already has the sentence:
SuggestedRemedy	
Remove the word "PCS" from the end of the first sentence.	"A device that does not implement a separated RS-FEC shall return a zero for all bits in the RS-FEC PCS alignment status 1 register."
Response Response Status C	
ACCEPT. The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft	The sentence "It is the responsibility of the STA management entity to ensure that a port type is supported by all MMDs before interrogating any of its status bits." is true of all the registers in an MMD & is therefore redundant.
2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, the comment	
highlights a legitimate error in the draft that should be addressed.	Add the equivalent sentence to 45.2.1.92h and 45.2.1.92j as suggested.
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.92b P 45 L 10 # 60	Add the following sentence to 45.2.1.92b.4
Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies	"A device that implements the RS-FEC status register but does not implement a separated
Comment TypeTRComment StatusAAlign statusPCS align status is part of the Encode path of the RS-FEC.	RS-FEC shall return a one for bit 1.201.15."
SuggestedRemedy	
Change 1.201.15 description to use encoder instead of decoder.	
Response Response Status W	
ACCEPT.	
See also comment #117	

Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies
Comment Type TR Comment Status A UI math Bad math. <approximately>49ns / 193.939393ps</approximately>
SuggestedRemedy 253 UI Change 258 for "At RS-FEC transmit", "At PCS receive (w/ RS-FEC)" and in table 82.2.12 to 253
Response Response Status W ACCEPT.
The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, the comment highlights a legitimate error in the draft that should be addressed.
C/ 82 SC 82.6 P 136 L 25 # 65 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies 65
Comment Type TR Comment Status A down_count down_count isn't used in FAST-WAKE operation SuggestedRemedy Remove the down_count <= 192 from the TX_FW box.
ACCEPT.
The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, the comment highlights an improvement to the draft that should be addressed.

Comment ID 65

C/ 91	SC 91.5.2.6	P 159	L 16	# 66	C/ 91	SC 91.5.4.3	P 172	L 47	# 67
Slavick, Jef	f	Avago Technol	ogies		Slavick, J	eff	Avago Techno	ologies	

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The new AM mapping scheme has the Rx restore the ideal markers back into the data stream. But the Tx swizzles lanes 4-15, and inserts ideal copies of lane 0 & 16. Since BIP coverage is not used over the RS-FEC link, and we're going to put the ideal markers back at the end of the RS-FEC link, we should insert ideal markers in both sides. This will save area and power.

SuggestedRemedy

Change lines 17-33 to:

For x=0 to 19, amp tx x<63:0> is constructed as follows.

a) if $x \le 3$ lane num = 0 else if $x \ge 16$ lane num = 16 else lane num = x b) amp tx x<23:0> is set to M0. M1. and M2 as shown in Figure 82-9 (bits 25 to 2) using the values in Table 82-2 for PCS lane number lane num. If am tx x corresponds to a Rapid Alignment marker, then the M4, M5, and M6 values are used instead (see Figure 82-9b). c) amp tx x<31:24> = am tx x<33:26>

d) amp tx x<55:32> is set to M4. M5. and M6 as shown in Figure 82-9 (bits 57 to 34) using the values in Table 82-2 for PCS lane number lane num. If am tx x corresponds to a Rapid Alignment marker, then the M0. M1, and M2 values are used instead (see Figure 82-9b). e) amp_tx_x<63:56> = am_tx_x<65:58>

Response

Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement the suggested remedy and change the beginning of the paragraph starting at line 35 as follows.

"This process replaces the fixed bytes of the alignment markers received, possibly with errors, with the values from Table 82-2. In addition it substitutes the fixed bytes of the alignment markers corresponding to PCS lanes 1, 2, and 3 with the fixed bytes for the alignment marker corresponding to PCS lane 0. Similarly, it substitutes the fixed bytes of the alignment markers corresponding to PCS lanes 17, 18, and 19 with the fixed bytes for the alignment marker corresponding to PCS lane 16."

Slavick, Jeff		Avago Technologies	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A	

The RS-FEC uses Rapid Alignment Markers (RAMs) to infer the LPI state of the PCS. In Fast-Wake mode, the transmission of RAMs has been removed. So the addition of the LPI transmit and receive State Machines are only necessary if EEE capability with Deep Sleep mode is supported.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Page 172 Line 46: "When the optional EEE capability is supported" to "When the optional EEE capability is supported and deep sleep operation is supported"

In Figures 91-10 and 91-11

Remove the transition to A from TX_TEST_NEXT and RX_TEST_NEXT Remove fec lpi fw from transition from TX TEST NEXT -> TX QUIET Remove fec lpi fw from transition from RX TEST NEXT -> RX QUIET Add "AND lfec lpi fw" to the exit condition from TX ACTIVE and RX ACTIVE

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

However, it is true that these functions are no longer needed for the optional EEE Fast Wake capability.

Change P172, L46 to "When the optional EEE deep sleep capability is supported, ."

Change Figure 91-10 and Figure 91-11 per the suggested remedy.

C/ 92 SC 92.7.12 P 193 L 31 # 68	CI 92A SC 92A.7 P 340 L 44 # 70					
Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies	Ben-Artsi, Liav Marvell					
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A					
PMD PRBS polynomial selection is mentioned in this paragraph. In the MDIO registers (1.1450-1.1453) definitions there is a shall statement that states each lane will use a	The interconnect target COM recommendation of being greater or equal to 3dB does not line up with the required margin for implementation.					
different polynomial. We should have a PICs for the shall statement either in clause 92 or Clause 45, not sure where. It also may make sense to move the unique polynomial	SuggestedRemedy					
requirement to Clause 92 since in the MDIO registers you will have transiant states where 2	Will supply a presentation					
MDIO registers are configured to the same value as you change from [0,1,2,3] -> [3,2,1,0] which may to go through [3,1,2,3].	Response Response Status C					
SuggestedRemedy	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
Add a shall statement to 92.7.12 that requires the polynomial_i values to be unique when	Implement changes in ran_3bj_02_0713 slides 3, 5 and 6 with editorial license.					
training is started.						
Add a PICs for it to Clause 92 as well.	C/ 93 SC 93.8.2.3 P 255 L 28 # 71					
Response Response Status W	Ben-Artsi, Liav Marvell					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Comment Type T Comment Status A					
Move the following sentence from 45.2.1.98a to 92.7.12.	The Rx interference tolerance test Target COM of 1.5dB does not line up with required margin for implementation					
"The polynomial identifier for each lane shall be unique; therefore no two lanes have the same identifier."	SuggestedRemedy					
	Will supply a presentation					
Add appropriate PICS.	Response Response Status C					
In 93.7.12, change the first sentence on P246 to:	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
"In addition, the training pattern defined in 72.6.10.2.6 shall be replaced with the set of training patterns defined in 92.7.12 which are designed to minimize the correlation between						
physical lanes."	See comment #78.					
Add appropriate DICS	Cl 93 SC 93.9.1 P 257 L 12 # 72					
Add appropriate PICS.	Ben-Artsi, Liav Marvell					
C/93A SC 93A.1.2.4 P 345 L 5 # 69	Comment Type T Comment Status A					
Ben-Artsi, Liav Marvell	The Device package model includes Single ended ball capacitance and not Single ended					
Comment Type T Comment Status A	board capacitance					
The representation of the 1mm PKG trace may introduce a small non-causality	SuggestedRemedy Change the description of Cb in table 93A-1 to Single-ended ball capacitance					
SuggestedRemedy						
Updated values will be supplied	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
Response Response Status C						
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Update the terminology to be consistent with Annex 93A (see #73 and #74).					
Update the coefficient values according to slide 3 of benartsi_3bj_01_0713.						

	,				5 1			
C/ 93A SC 93A.1.2.4	P 344	L 50	# 73	C/ 94	SC 94.4.1	P 310	L 32	# 75
Ben-Artsi, Liav	Marvell			Ben-Artsi,	Liav	Marvell		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		
board capacitance was discontinuity is expected	esult of cascading the die ca taken into account in the PK d to be a part of the measure	G modeling, the	refore any board related		capacitance	model includes Single ended	ball capacitance	and not Single ended
parameters				00		on of Cb in table 93A-1 to Sing	gle-ended ball ca	pacitance
SuggestedRemedy				Response	,eeepe	Response Status C		paonanoo
transmission line, and b	ult of the cascade connection oard capacitance as defined e capacitance, package trans	" to: " are the	e result of the cascade		PT IN PRINCIP			
defined"	ge also to the Rx PKG descr			See re	esponse to comr	ment 73.		
Response	Response Status C	1		C/ 92	SC 92.8.4.4	P 204	L	# 76
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	,			Ben-Artsi,	Liav	Marvell		
				Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		
channel at the "package	I TP5 clearly demarcate the te- board" interface." Per 93A. board contribution to the exc	1.1, the channel	is measured between	The receiver interference tolerance test as described at 92.8.4.4 lacks correlation to COM and therfore may introduce understress or overstress.				
be included in the chan		ess capacitance	at the interface should	Suggested	lRemedy			
regardless of the name	tance" was introduced per co this quantity has always refe			Each I	e following sent ane shall also c bed in 92.10.8	tence: comply with the "Cable assem	bly channel oper	ating margin" as
excess capacitance at the	he package-board interface.			Response		Response Status C		
Change the notation in 9	93A and invoking PMD claus	ses to:		ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	•		
C_p = "single-ended part	ckage capacitance at package	ge-to-board inter	face"	F ditori	al licence to ad	d taut to indicate that cable of	a a mhlu ta at a ha	anal maata ahaanal
C/ 93A SC 93A-1 Ben-Artsi, Liav	P 342 Marvell	L 12	# 74			d text to indicate that cable as escribed in 92.10.8.	ssembly test cha	nnei meets channei
				C/ 92	SC 92.10.8	P 213	L 6	# 77
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		and not Cingle and a	Ben-Artsi,	Liav	Marvell		
board capacitance	odel includes Single ended b	all capacitance	and not Single ended	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy	of Cb in table 93A-1 to Singl	e-ended ball ca	pacitance	worst	case crosstalk v	rd and Tx side host board are vould be having as low loss a talk / far end crosstalk.		
Response	Response Status C			Suggested				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE				00	2	host boards (and the corresp	onding 2xhost bo	ard in the case of near
See comment #73.						Far end aggression path) be provide the amount of conca		
<changed fro<="" subclause="" td=""><td>om 93A-1 to 93A.1. Presuma</td><td>ably the commer</td><td>ter was referencing to</td><td>Response</td><td></td><td>Response Status C</td><td></td><td></td></changed>	om 93A-1 to 93A.1. Presuma	ably the commer	ter was referencing to	Response		Response Status C		
Table 93A-1.>			to was referencing to	ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
						ontribution to the following for and 3dB (90mm) to Tx side o		
EVDE: TD/toohnigal rage into	L ED/aditorial required CD/	nonorol roquine d	T/technical E/aditorial C/a	nonorol		0	aant (D 77	Daga 10 of 4

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 77

Page 19 of 47 2013/07/17 11:38

	-							
C/ 93 SC 93.9.1 Ben-Artsi, Liav	P 256 Marvell	L 43	# 78	C/ 93A Ran, Adee	SC 93A.1	P 341 Intel	L 24	# 81
Comment Type TR The Channel COM marg implementation. SuggestedRemedy Will supply a presentation	Comment Status A gin of 3 dB is not aligned with	the required m	argin for	letter n i annex. I	rently has two s also commoi More meaningf	Comment Status A meanings in this annex - total nly used as an enumerator, in ul labels are preferred. ng A_f is also suggested.		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C E.			SuggestedF Use A_r	-	f A_n in equation 93A-1 and §	93A.1.7.	
C/ 92 SC 92.7.12 Slavick, Jeff Comment Type TR	in ran_3bj_02_0713 slides 3 P 193 Avago Techno Comment Status A red set to 0x000 which produ	L 32 logies	# 79	Response ACCEP The con	T IN PRINCIPL	of A_n and A_FEXT instead o <i>Response Status</i> C .E. t apply to the substantive cha ithin the scope of the recircula	nges made betw	
generator at the start of Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	then a seed of 0x7FF shall b training. Response Status W		nitial state of the	referring Change not chos	and A_n to A_ and since there	names are overloaded. Chan ressor amplitude. ni when referring to "noise ar are references to specific "no noise distribution).	d interference" a	mplitude (A_noise wa
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.79 Slavick, Jeff	P 42 Avago Techno	<i>L</i> 1	# 80					
Comment Type TR 45.2.1.79 lists the clause SuggestedRemedy Add Clause 93, 93 and 9	Comment Status A es that use this register, Clau 94 to the list of clauses.	ıse 92-95 also ι	<i>Clause lists</i> use this register.					
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE								
Bring subclause 45.2.1.	79 into the draft and add clau	ises 92, 93 and	94 to the list of clauses.					

	SC 93A.1.7.1	P 349	L 17	# 82	C/ 93	SC 9	93.9.1	P 257	L 43	# 83
lan, Adee		Intel			Ran, Adee			Intel		
Comment Typ	pe T	Comment Status A			Comment 7	уре	т	Comment Status D		
35 dB in p below 0.1	oatel_01_0511.z	ing voltage bin size of 0.1 r (p) A_s can become lower to ificant, but may be zeroed too optimistic.	than 10 mV and	FEXT/NEXT impulses	respon annex	se to co 93A res	omment # sults in <a< td=""><td>supposed to cause equation #73 on D2.0). However, calcu- approximately>0.92 mV.</td><td>lation according</td><td>to the new equations</td></a<>	supposed to cause equation #73 on D2.0). However, calcu- approximately>0.92 mV.	lation according	to the new equations
		d above, setting bin size to OM, which is non-negligibl		d of 0.1 mV results in a	not incl	ude the	e effect of	ably based on an estimate do f the CTLE with g_DC=0.	Ū	ay meeting, which did
Proposed	I remedv is to ree	commend Delta_y to be sm	all enough to pre	event zeroing out anv			_	nould be used in clause 94 as	s well.	
contributio			5 1 5	3 • • • 3 • • • 9	Suggested					
uggestedRe	emedy				0		_	from 5.2e-8 to 6.0e-8, in tabl	e 93-9 and in tar	DIE 94-18.
Change					Proposed F		se	Response Status Z		
"It is reco	mmended that D	elta_y be no larger than 0.	1 mV in order to	limit the error"	REJEC	Т.				
to					This co	mment	t was WIT	HDRAWN by the commente	r.	
"In order t equation	93A-36 is not ree	it is recommended to set D duced to a single Dirac Delt corresponds. A value of 0.0	ta function at y=0), for any interference	The res	ponse culatior	to comm	ent #73 did not direct the edi	tor to include H_	U
Response		Response Status C						a noise spectral density at th output for 100GBASE-KR4."	e input to the rec	ceiver noise filter that
ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.				yleids	IIIV K				
		pply to the substantive char the scope of the recircula		een Draft 2.0 and Draft				was not included in the calcu on slide 12 of ran_3bj_01a_0		e spectral density
		this point is warranted. The			CI 93A Ran, Adee	SC 9	93A.1.6	<i>P</i> 348 Intel	L 24	# 84
		er than the smallest magnit			,	-	-			
		e numerical calculations of sh what is "close enough" to		ary smail numbers. It	Comment T Transm		T bise (othe	Comment Status A er than jitter efects) is not incl	uded in FOM and	d COM calculations.
"It is reco		of the note in 93A.1.7.1 to ELTAy be no larger than A		nV, whichever is	Suggested A prese		,	ng on the comment and prop	osing a remedy	will be supplied.
smaller."					Response			Response Status C	-	
					•		RINCIPL			

See comment #78.

CI 93	SC 93.8.2.3	P 255	L 2	# 85
Ran, Adee		Intel		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The criterion for this test (in table 93-7) is RS-FEC symbol error rate. The test pattern should enable counting symbol errors using the errored symbol counter (step 11 of 93C.2). This means the RS-FEC decoder has to be functional; it requires the data to be transcoded and RS-FEC encoded, and specifically include alignment markers (for RS-FEC codeword alignment).

PRBS31 generation is an optional function of a PMA sublayer, so the PMA can theoretically override the RS-FEC output with PRBS31; but in that case, the received signal would not be RS-FEC decodable so the RS-FEC error counters would not function. Using an optional PMA sublayer between RS-FEC and PCS (as described in 91.3) would not solve this problem either, since PRBS31 is not encodable by RS-FEC (no valid 66-bit blocks and no alignment markers).

Note that if transcoding and RS-FEC encoding are used, then any valid PCS output (not just idles) would enable using the RS-FEC errored symbol counter. I don't see a reason to restrict the pattern to scrambled idles only.

Note that clause 94 interference tolerance test uses only the scrambled idles test pattern.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "either PRBS31 or the scrambled idles test pattern" to "scrambled idles or valid PCS output, encoded by the RS-FEC sublayer", with editorial license.

Consider noting in 91.3 that the optional PMA test patterns in 83.5.10 cannot be used as input to the RS-FEC sublayer.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the last sentence of the third paragraph (P255, L2) of 93.8.2.3 as follows.

"The test pattern to be used is any valid PCS output (such as scrambled idle) which is subsequently encoded by the RS-FEC sublayer."

Regarding the addition of a note in 91.3, the PMA test patterns work no better through Clause 74 BASE-R FEC or "upwards" toward the PCS. It should be understood that any test mode (including loopback etc.) may compromise the end-to-end communication of the link. Regarding test modes to verify PMD compliance, these most likely originate from the PMA adjacent to the PMD.

CI 93	SC 93.8.2.4	P 256	L 1	#	86
Ran, Adee		Intel			

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

If jitter tolerance test is done with PRBS31, then BER can be measured at the PMD service interface if it is exposed, or at the PMA if the optional PRBS31 check is implemented, or externally if the optional PMA remote loopback is implemented.

If it is done with scrambled idles, measuring BER prior to RS-FEC correction is impossible the recovered scrabmled idles test pattern is only available at the PCS, after RS-FEC decoding that either corrects errors or marks them (complete bypass is not allowed); so it can only be checked there.

The current test definition works only with PRBS31, and even then depends on optional features. To enable using scrambled idles (or any valid PCS output), without requiring optional features, we should allow measuring SER instead of BER, and define the required SER in that case.

Note that clause 94 jitter tolerance test (93.8.2.4) uses only the scrambled idles test pattern, and counts symbols errors rather than bit errors.

Comment also applies to the jitter tolerance test in 92.8.4.5, where the test patterns are defined indirectly. It also applies to interference tolerance test (92.8.4.4.5) which currently uses only BER; this is addressed by another comment.

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"The BER at the output of the receiver under test, and prior to error correction by the RS-FEC sublayer, is measured."

to

"If PRBS31 is used, the BER is measured at the PMA sublayer (see 83.5.10). If scrambled idles pattern is used, the BER is estimated by dividing FEC_symbol_error_counter_i (see 91.6.10), where i is the lane under test, by 10."

Apply similarly to 92.8.4.5 and possibly 92.8.4.4.5.

Editorial license granted.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

However, since the receiver interference tolerance requirements are now based on RS-FEC symbol error ratio, it is not clear why jitter tolerance requirements should continue to be based on bit error ratio.

Change the end of the fourth paragraph and the end of the fifth paragraph as follows.

"The test transmitter then transmits any valid PCS output (such as scrambled idle) which is subsequently encoded by the RS-FEC sublayer. The RS-FEC symbol error ratio is measured using the errored symbol counter FEC_symbol_error_i where i is the lane number of the receiver under test.

The RS_FEC symbol error ratio shall be less than or equal to 10^(-4) for each case listed in Table 93-8."

Modify Clause 92 to be consistent.

C/ 94	SC S	94.3.12.7	P 304	L 51	# 87
Ran, Adee	9		Intel		
Comment	Tvpe	TR	Comment Status A		

The procedure defined in 85.8.3.2 uses the square wave pattern specified in 83.5.10 (PMA clause). But the the 100GBASE-KP4 PHY does not use this PMA - and the PMA defined in 94.2 does not have a square wave test pattern. Therefore, this measurement can't be conducted as specified.

SuggestedRemedy

A few alternatives for task force consideration:

1. Add the square wave test pattern to the PMA, use same method.

2. Add a 4-level slow pattern (such as the one described in 94.3.11.6 in D1.1) to the PMA, instead, and change the method to match it; has larger coverage than (1).

3. Remove this subclause, as its requirements somewhat parallel the SNDR.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

However a legitimate problem has been identified and needs to be corrected.

Far-end output noise is redundant and obsolete.

Delete subclause 94.3.12.7 and far end output noise parameters in Table 94-13.

C/ 93	SC 93.8.2.3	P 254	L 43	# 88
Ran, Adee	•	Intel		
<i>Comment</i> Missin	51	Comment Status A ure 93C-2 (twice in this pa	aragraph).	bucket
Suggested Add hy	<i>lRemedy</i> yperlinks.			
Response		Response Status C		

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

 C/
 93C
 SC
 93C.1
 P 355
 L 20
 # 89

 Ran, Adee
 Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Interference tolerance test is done with all lanes on both devices active. Since training is performed during the test, and FEC decoding requires all lanes to be received, all the signals must be routed between the DUT and the remote device (test setup) just as in a regular link, and the FEXT/NEXT transmitters can't be turned off or terminated.

Crosstalk in the test fixture is not taken into account in the noise calibration procedure, and can create an over-stressed test.

Test fixture design may or may not be driven to minimize NEXT and FEXT; it is worth stating the implication explicitly.

SuggestedRemedy

Response

Add a note in 93C.1:

FEXT and NEXT in the test fixture are not accounted for in the test channel calibration (93A.2). It is recommended that test fixtures be designed to minimize these effects.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a note:

"FEXT and NEXT in the test setup are not accounted for in the test channel calibration (93A.2). It is recommended that the test setup be designed to minimize these effects."

C/ 93	SC 93.8.2.3	P 2	55	L 30	# 90
Ran, Adee	e	Intel			
<i>Comment</i> Missir	51	Comment Status 3C.2 and figure 93C-			bucket
Suggeste Add h	dRemedy nyperlinks.				
Response ACCE		Response Status	С		

C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.6	P 347	L 7	# 91	C/ 93C	SC 93C	P 354	L 30	# 93
Ran, Adee		Intel			Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment T		Comment Status A			Comment 7	••	Comment Status A		Decide
		se "Signal Amplitude" does r	ot represent its	content.			cant step forward in specifying should have a clear underst		
SuggestedF		10 - 20 - 10			means	0		3	<u>.</u>
0	the title to "Line	ear equalization".			The cla	uses that invol	ke the test specify the items	isted in the introc	luction to the annex. Th
Response		Response Status C			way the	ey are specified	d in clauses 93 and 94 should	d be understood a	as minimum stress
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPL	E.					e performed with higher stres d be within its specification.	s parameters (e.	g. higher-than-minimur
		ut linear equalization and mo	reover the phras	se "linear equalization"		,			
is not el	sewhere in the	Annex.					terpretation that "if a test is p d pass". This interpretation is		
Change							ice to fail the test. If that is in		
"Determ	nination of varial	ble equalizer parameters"			all devi	ces can be dis	qualified, and the test is mea	ningless.	
C/ 94	SC 94.3.12.7	P 304	L 49	# 92	To avo	id confusion be	etween vendors, testers, and	customers, we sl	nould clarify the intende
Ran, Adee		Intel					r, rather than disqualify; a deverse that meet the requirements		ted to pass the test
Comment T	ype ER	Comment Status A					s mai meet me requirements		
		d output noise is a source of		n to the channel	Suggestedl Add a r	note after the li	st of items:		
Integrat	ed crosstalk hol	ise (ICN) specified in 94.4.3.							
		ted. 94.4.3 deals with recomr	nended channel	I return loss. ICN is not			se specifies the items above her stress for practical reaso		
	clause 94.						ess conditions, and failing an		
SuggestedF	-					as long as it p ations".	asses the test under lower s	ress conditions th	nat are within the
	his sentence.								
Response	T IN PRINCIPL	Response Status W			With ec	ditorial license.			
ACCEP		. C .			Response		Response Status C		
See res	ponse to comm	ent 87 which deletes the ent	re subclause.		ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIP	LE.		
					The ref	erence should	be to the "invoking PMD clar	ıse".	
							ot limited to the items listed in the test setup and method		t of Annex 93C; further
							meters fall into two classes a ne parameters to be at or be		specified limits (e.a., th
					transmi	itter tap values	are constrained so as not to	exceed minimum	required pre-emphas
							er parameters to be anywhe n loss must be within limits o		
							ference tolerance test is to e ameters anywhere within the		Y operates correctly w
					The wo	ord disqualify in	nplies a selection process, w	nereas this stand	ard specifies complian
	abaical require	d ER/editorial required GR/	ann aral raguirag	t Theshaisel Fladitarial Ola			Com	nent ID 93	Page 24 of 4

or not.

Add the following note after the list of items:

"Note: The intent of the interference tolerance test is to ensure that the PHY receiver operates correctly with transmitter parameters anywhere within the specified limits including the case where all parameters are at the specified limits. Testing of the receiver with transmitter parameters beyond the specified limits may be helpful to determine margin or to provide comparative metrics, but failure of the receiver to operate correctly under these conditions is not to be interpreted as non-compliance."

C/ 92	SC 92.7.12	P 193	L 18	# 94
Ran, Adee		Intel		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The response time requirement is dependent on the status of frame_lock_i which may be difficult to verify (e.g. if the MDIO interface is unavailable) and synchronize with a captured waveform. In addition, it is not available to the link partner.

It is relatively easy to make the lane frame lock state available as part of the status report field. This information would be very useful in analyzing link training issues and thus promote interoperability.

Comment applies to clauses 93 and 94 as well.

SuggestedRemedy

In clauses 92 and 93, assign cell 14 of the status report field (currently reserved) to represent the value of the PMD status variable frame_lock_i.

In clause 94, use cell 7 of the status report field instead of cell 14 (14 is already assigned, 7 is currently reserved).

Editorial license granted.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

After initial frame lock the the response time should be 2 ms regardless of whether the receiver loses frame lock or not.

Replace:

Response

"In addition to the coefficient update process specified in 72.6.10.2.5, when frame_lock_i is TRUE for lane i

(where i represents the lane number in the range 0 to 3), the period from receiving a new request to responding

to that request shall be less than 2 ms."

With:

In addition to the coefficient update process specified in 72.6.10.2.5, after responding to the first request after training begins, the period from receiving a new request to responding to that request shall be less than 2 ms.

CI 93C	SC 93C.1	P 355	L 20	# 95
Ran, Adee		Intel		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Following comment #234 on D2.0, the test setup now requires that "During the test, the transmitters of the PMD under test transmit the pattern specified by the PMD clause that invokes this method, with the transmitters in the preset condition."

But, since training requires transmitting a training sequence, the "pattern specified by the PMD clause" mentioned above can only be applied after the training procedure is completed (step 10 of 93C.2).

SuggestedRemedy

Move the requirement of setting the local transmitter pattern and equalization state to step 10 of 93C.2.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In the last paragraph of 93C.1, delete:

"During the test, the transmitters of the PMD under test transmit the pattern specified by the PMD clause that invokes this method, with the transmitters in the preset condition."

In step 10 it is necessary to remove mention of the PCS as the test pattern may also be generated by the PMA.

In step 10 of 93C.2 delete "PCS" and add similar text to the text deleted from 93C.1 so it reads:

"Using the test setup in Figure 93C-2, the transmitter taps and transmit noise as determined in step 4, and the channel noise as determined in step 8, configure the transmitter to transmit the test pattern specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. Also configure the transmitters of the PMD under test to transmit the same test pattern, with their transmitters in the preset condition."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 92	SC 92.8.4.4	P 104	L 25	# 96	C/ 94	SC 94.3.12.9	P 306	L 25	# 97
Ran, Adee	9	Intel			Ran, Adee	•	Intel		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
RS-FI	EC (using errored	change in the receiver tolera symbol counters) instead of hitter that incorporates trainir	BER target befo				ot completely aligned with th ure and pass with any allow		
The re	option of using a transmitter that incorporates training. The reasons for these changes, presented in moore_3bj_02a_0513, are valid for clause 92 as well.					" to be near transi -compliant TX ma	ly linear except for jitter; jitte tions, and leaves a better S y have additive noise instea e receiver performance. CC	NDR in the desi ad of jitter, with a	red sampling phase. An a "flat" SNDR that would
The te	est calibration met	hod used in clause 92 does	not need to char	nge.		nitter well.			
Suggeste	dRemedy				Asac	onsequence PX	interference tolerance test c	alibration which	adds BBN at the TX

Use RS-FEC symbol error ratio as the test requirement, with same values as in table 93-7, read from FEC_symbol_error_counter_i (see 91.6.10).

In 92.8.4.4.3 and 92.8.4.4.5, specify using only encoded scrambled idles pattern across all lanes (described in another comment on 93.8.2.3).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

(1)Use RS-FEC symbol error ratio as the test requirement, with same values as in table 93-7

(2) In 92.8.4.4.3 and 92.8.4.4.5, specify using only encoded scrambled idles pattern across all lanes.

As a consequence, RX interference tolerance test calibration which adds BBN at the TX side in order to reach the target SNDR, might stress for the receiver more than using a high-jitter transmitter.

SuggestedRemedy

A presentation expanding on the comment and proposing a remedy will be supplied.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Implement changes in ran_3bj_02_0713 on slides 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9 and ran_3bj_01a_0713 slide 13 with editorial license.

· · · ·						
C/ 93A SC 93A.1.2.1 P 343 L 35 # 98	C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.7 P 200 L 1 # 99					
Moore, Charles Avago Technologies	Moore, Charles Avago Technologies					
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A					
 At the time my Comment 91 to D2.0 was discussed we decided to accept in principle, but i was asked to provide some real analysis on the difference between worst case slow (for victim) and worst case fast (for NEXT) package models. I have done this analysis and come to the following conclusions 1. Changing Cd and Cb values is not the best approach, we should change zp, the package trace length. 2. Current zp value of 12mm used in 93.9.1, and 94.4.1 could be a good value for NEXT channel but victim needs a longer package. 3. changing zp will require changes in zp in 93.9.1 and 94.4.1 and 	Method given in 85.8.3.3 for computing coefficients c(-1), c(0), and c(1) can give different values for the coefficients for the same transmitter at the same equalization setting if different channel are interposed between the transmitter and the measurement. The coefficient value are supposed to measure the transmitter independent of the channel. Note: Clause 93.8.1.6 has similar problems although not as severe. It probably should be changed as well.					
to peak/vf in 93.8.1.6., 94.3.12.6, and possibly 92.8.3.7.1	probably should be changed as well.					
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy					
I will have a presentation detailing needed changes.	I will have a presentation detailing needed changes.					
Response Response Status C	Response Response Status C					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
According to material presented it is not possible for the measured rise time to be lower than or equal to the minimum specified value. Delete minimum rise time specification in Clauses 93 and 94.	The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.					
Modify 93A.1.2 to use the minimum Z_p specified by the invoking PMD clause package for the NEXT aggressor transmitters. Modify 93A.1.2 so that the capacitor (C_d, C_b) values are not halved for k corresponding to a NEXT aggressor.	The commenter points out a legitimate problem with the draft. In 92.8.3.7, specify that the linear fit to the captured waveform, and the linear fit pulse response, is calculated per 85.8.3.3.5.					
For other changes requested by this comment see comment #78.	Include the method of computing the transmit equalizer coefficients for each equalizer setting that is described by the equations on slides 11 through 13 of moore_3bj_01_0713.pdf, or their equivalent, including the following modifications.					
	On slide 12, note that the second "=" in the definition of B0, B1, and B2 was incorrectly included.					

The offset value "o", labeled "j_offset" in some instances, is swept from -M/2 to M/2. Use the normalized coefficient values that correspond to the value of "o" that minimizes the mean squared error (epsilon(o)^2).

Change 93.8.1.6 and 94.3.12.6 to refer to the Clause 92 procedure as defined above.

C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.1 C/ 83 SC 83.6 P169 L 21 # 100 P 141 L 46 # 103 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket Comment Type Е Comment Status A Bucket Variables are not in alphabetical order (e.g. rx align status is the first variable defined). Editorial instruction indicates "fast wake and stop enable." I don't see what Table 83-2 has to do with fast wake SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Re-order the variable definition to be alphabetical. Change instruction to "Insert rows at the end of Table 83-2 for stop enable:" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Note that this comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 Change instruction to "Insert rows at the end of Table 83-2:" and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, the variable ordering should be alphabetical and will be corrected. C/ 93 P 255 # 101 SC 93.8.2.3 L 30 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Comment Type Е Comment Status A bucket The references to Annex 93C in the footnotes of Table 93-7 are not "live". SuggestedRemedy Please add the appropriate cross-references. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 00 SC 0 P 29 L 42 # 102 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status A bucket Clause 74 is now in the draft and is no longer an external cross-reference.

EE P802.3bj D2.1 100 Gb/s Backplane and Copper Cable 1st Working Group recirculation ballot commen

Add appropriate cross-references for each instance of "Clause 74" in the draft.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SuggestedRemedy

91 SC 91.2	P 154	L 28	# 104	C/ 93	SC	93.8.2.4		P 256	L 4	# 105
lealey, Adam	LSI Corporation			Healey, Ad	dam		I	_SI Corporation		
omment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре	т	Comment S	tatus A		
interface, receives t	E deep sleep capability, the RS-FEC	C sublayer, via de. The values	the FEC service of these parameters	For consistency with the receiver interference tolerance requirements, the receiver jitter tolerance requirements should be expressed in terms of FEC symbol error ratio. SuggestedRemedy						
should be passed to	the PMA service interface.									
that is communicate	EC sublayer does not assign a valud across the service interface. This MA service interface.				0	all be less	than or equal to	o 10^(-5) for eac	h case listed	in Table 93-8."
IggestedRemedy				To: "The F	RS-FF	C symbol e	error ratio meas	ured using the e	rrored symbol	ol counter
Add a paragraph to		"The RS-FEC symbol error ratio, measured using the errored symbol counter FEC_symbol_error_i where i is the lane number of the receiver under test, shall be less the								
esponse	Response Status C			or equ	ual to 1	0^(-4) for €	each case listed	in Table 93-8."		
ACCEPT IN PRINC	PLE.									ar how the RS-FEC
The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.					symbol error ratio can be measured with the cited counter and a PRBS31 pattern. It is like that the test pattern also needs to be limited to scrambled idles or a different way of arrivi a symbol error ratio needs to be defined.					
However, the servic the end of 91.2.	e interface parameters must be def	ined. Add the fo	ollowing paragraphs to	Response ACCE		PRINCIPL	Response St .E.	atus C		
	parameter of the FEC:IS_TX_MOD			See comment #86.						
Otherwise the RS-F	Sublayer may disable transmit fun EC transmit function operates norm r via the PMA:IS_TX_MODE.reque	ally. The value		<i>Cl</i> 93C Healey, Ad		93C	I	P 354 _SI Corporation	L 3	# 106
FEC sublayer may o	parameter of the FEC:IS_RX_MOD lisable receive functional blocks to	conserve energ	y. Otherwise the RS-	Comment Annex		T annot be t	Comment S both informative			
	C receive function operates normally. The value of rx_mode is passed to the client blayer via the PMA:IS_RX_MODE.request primitive.					SuggestedRemedy Pick one (suspect normative) and remove the other.				
		er of the FEC:IS_ENERGY_DETECT.indication primitive is used	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.							
period of quiescenc	t the PMD has detected the return c e. It is assigned the value that is rec DETECT.indication primitive."	of energy on the interface following a beived via the								
				See a	also cor	nment 149)			
				Maka	it norm	native like (69A the Anney f	or 10G backplar	e interferen	ce tolerance testing.
				mane						so totorarioo tooting.

CI 92	SC 92.10.3	P 210	L 24	# 107	C/ 92	SC 92.10.8	P 213	L 1	# 108		
Healey, Ac	lam	LSI Corporati	ion		Healey, A	dam	LSI Corporat	ion			
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A				
the CO Suggested Delete	DM-based cable a IRemedy 92.10.3.	on loss deviation (ILD) is def assembly specification).	ined but never u	sed (it was overtaken by	loss" refers of the descr	and similarly to "NE> to the magnitude, ir cable assembly with	ers to the "scattering para (T losses" and "FEXT los a dB, of the inverse of a s a models of the host trans e require both the magnit the signal path	ses". This can b cattering parame mitter and recei	e confusing since "loss" eter. The concatenation ver PCB traces that is		
Response		Response Status C				•					
ACCE Use si	PT. Jggested remedy	,			SuggestedRemedy Rewrite the text to refer to the scatter parameters of cable assmebly "signal paths" using 93A.1.1 for guidance.						
					Response ACCE	EPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C				
					Replace the contents of 92.10.8 with the following text:						
					<start< td=""><td>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></start<>	>					
					92.10.8 Cable assembly channel operating margin						
			The cable assembly COM for each victim signal path (receive lane) is derived from measurements of the cable assembly victim signal path and the four individual near-end crosstalk paths and the three far-end crosstalk paths that can couple into a victim signal path. The derivation method is specified in 93A.1 Channel operating margin.								
				92.10.8.1 Channel signal path The channel signal path between TP0 and TP5 for the cable assembly (COM) consists of the cable assembly signal path measurements between TP1 and TP4 and the signal path TP0 to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 to account for the additional transmitter and receiver PCB signal paths and the MDI signal paths. The channel signal path to be used in COM (93A.1.2) is the concatenation of the cable assembly signal path measurement and the TF to TP1 and TP4 to TP5 signal paths (calculated in 92.10.8.1.1) using the cascade function defined in 93A.1.2.1 given in Equation (92-19). SCHSp^(k)=cascade(cascade(S^(HOSP) S^(CASP)) S^(HOSP)) equation (92-19) Where: SCHSp^(k)= channel signal path S^(HOSP)= is the signal path calculated in 92.10.8.1.1 S^(CASP)= cable assembly signal path k=0							
					The a define (93A- hundr	dditional transmitter ed in 93A.1.2.3. A 1 r 10), and the parame ed and eighty five 1	nd TP4 to TP5 signal path or receiver PCB signal p mm section of the PCB is ters values given in Table mm sections representin the cable assembly meas	aths are calculat defined by Equ 92-13. The PC g an insertion lo	ation (93A-9), Equation B model consists of one ss of 6.26 dB at 12.89		

Comment ID 108

Page 30 of 47 2013/07/17 11:38

92.10.8.2 Channel crosstalk paths

The channel structure includes three far-end and four near-end crosstalk paths. The MDI is the significant contributor to the channel crosstalk. The MDI crosstalk contribution is included in and characterized by the cable assembly crosstalk measurements. The cable assembly crosstalk signal paths to be used in COM are the four individual near-end crosstalk paths and the three far-end crosstalk paths that can couple into a victim signal path using the cascaded function defined in 93A.1.2.1 given in Equation (92-20) and Equation (92-21).

SCHNXTp^(k)=cascade(cascade(S^(HOSP), S^(CANXTk)), S^(HOSP)) equation (92-21) Where: SCHNXTp^(k)= channel near-end crosstalk path S^(CANXTk)= is cable assembly near-end crosstalk path k S^(HOSP)= is the signal path calculated in 92.10.8.1.1 k= 1 to 4 near-end crosstalk paths

SCHFXTp^(k)=cascade(cascade(S^(HOSp), S^(CAFXTk)), S^(HOSp)) equation (92-21) S^(CAFXTk)= is the cable assembly far-end crosstalk path k S^(HOSp)= is the signal path calculated in 92.10.8.1.1 k= 1 to 3 far-end crosstalk paths

The cable assembly COM shall be greater than or equal to 4 dB.

<end>

Note that the proposed response is not intended to change the requirements but rather to state them more accurately.

Grant editorial license to ensure grammar and style is correct.

C/ 84	SC 84.2	<i>P</i> 144	L 37	# 109
Healey, Ada	m	LSI Corporation		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The text includes the following note. "Note: if Clause 74 FEC is in use, only the values DATA, QUIET and ALERT may be passed through the FEC to the PMD."

This sounds like a requirement for the Clause 74 BASE-R FEC sublayer but it is not enforced there. For 10GBASE-R PHYs tx_mode is passed through to the PMA service interface but for 40GBASE-R and 100GBASE-R PHYs no behavior is defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the appropriate requirements to Clause 74 (now that it is open) and remove this note if necessary.

This note also appears in Clause 85.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add "see 74.5.1.7" to the notes in Clause 84 & 85.

Bring 74.5.1.7 into draft.

Add to the end of the 2nd paragraph: "For speeds greater than 10Gb/s, the tx_mode parameter may also take the values SLEEP, FW, or BYPASS (see 80.3.3.4)."

FEC primitives

C/ 83A SC 83 Healey, Adam	A.3.2a	P 325 LSI Corporatio	L 14	# 110	C/ 93 Kochupar	SC 93.9.1 ambil, Beth	P 256 Cisco Systems	L 43	# 112
Comment Type	r Con	nment Status A		CAUI shutdown	Comment		Comment Status D		
The electrical int	terface that con unicate the prin			JI, does not provide a	With chanr	multiple change nels has only be	s in COM since adoption in the c en done on limited submitted cha	annels.	of range of compliant
Therefore EEE of	deep sleep can	not be used with XLA	UI or CAUI. Sin	ce XLAUI/CAUI			ds to be done to verify broad ma	rket potential.	
shutdown is also feature cannot b		with the optional EEE	fast wake capa	bility, it appears that this	Once	dRemedy COM changes nel design limit.	taper, channel verification is nee	ded to confirm	if 3dB limit is a realistic
SuggestedRemedy						Response	Response Status Z		
There a number	of ways to add	dress this issue. Two	suggestions.		REJE	,	Response Status Z		
CAUI. Remove 2	XLAUI and CA	E deep sleep capabili UI shutdown from the posed in healey_3bj_	draft.	ed with XLAUI and	This	comment was V	/ITHDRAWN by the commenter.		
Response	• •	onse Status C	01_0110.		C/ 94	SC 94.4.1	P 310	L 17	# 113
ACCEPT IN PR					Kochupar	ambil, Beth	Cisco Systems		
	-				Comment	t <i>Туре</i> Т	Comment Status D		
2.1 and hence is	s not within the	o the substantive cha scope of the recircula he draft that should b	ation ballot. How	veen Draft 2.0 and Draft vever, the comment	With chanr	multiple change nels has only be	s in COM since adoption in the c en done on limited submitted ch	Iraft, analysis o annels.	of range of compliant
Implement chan	aes per healey	_3bj_01_0713 with e	ditorial license		Syste	m analysis nee	ds to be done to verify broad man	rket potential.	
	ges per healey	_30j_01_0713 with e			Suggeste	dRemedy			
Cl 94 SC 94 Kochuparambil, Beth		P 310 Cisco System	L 16 s	# 111	Once chanr	COM changes nel design limit.	taper, channel verification is nee	ded to confirm	if 3dB limit is a realistic
Comment Type		nment Status A	ana and the Art	Bucket	Proposed REJE	Response	Response Status Z		
needed.	entirety does	not discribe the COM	procedure. Act	ually 93A.1 is all that is			/ITHDRAWN by the commenter.		
Current text:					C/ 92	SC 92.8.3.4	4 P 197	/ 40	# 444
"The channel op parameters in Ta			ing the procedu	e in Annex 93A and the	Hidaka, Y		Fujitsu Laborat	L 49 ories of	# 114
SuggestedRemedy					Comment	tType E	Comment Status A		bucke
Change "Annex	93A" to "93A.1	" this remedy is als	so consistant wit	h text in clause 93.	There	e is no space be	tween the last line of 92.8.3.4 an	d the section t	itle of 92.8.3.5.
Response	Resp	onse Status C			Suggeste	dRemedy			
ACCEPT.					Insert	a blank line be	fore the section title of 92.8.3.5 T	ransition time.	
		o the substantive cha scope of the recircula		veen Draft 2.0 and Draft	Response ACCE		Response Status C		
However a legiti	mate problem	has been identified ar	nd will be correc	ted.	Use s	suggested reme	dy		
TYPE: TR/technical	required ER/e	ditorial required GR/g	general required	T/technical E/editorial G/g	eneral		Commer	nt ID 114	Page 32 of 47

C/ 92	SC 92.8.3	P 195	L 22	# 115			xfeh and Txfel should be cor , respectively, is negative.	nsidered to be zer	o if the sqrt argument in	
Hidaka, Yası		Fujitsu Labora	atories of		Editor	ial license to im	plement the changes.			
Comment Ty		mment Status A		table 00 C and any stimes						
92-4 or 9		e is defined in different	ways between	table 92-6 and equations	C/ 92 Hidaka, Ya	SC 92.8.3.9 asuo	9 P 202 Fujitsu Labo	L 34 pratories of	# 116	
SuggestedR	Remedy				Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			
table 92 Replace	-6 with the right hand the value (1) of far-e	side (sqrt(sigma_l^2+2	2^2)) of equations (max) for hig	h insertion loss channel	TJ ex This is equal	cluding DDJ is d s a problem, bed ization setting.	lefined regardless of the tran cause TJ excluding DDJ dep unsmit equalization is change	ends on DDJ as w	ell as the transmit	
Response	Res	sponse Status C					urces other DDJ are kept sar			
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE.					as well as TJ exc				
		to the substantive cha e scope of the recircula		tween Draft 2.0 and Draft		qualizer may be	all be measured with some eo the transmit equalization fur			
		aina in matulational in di		atura an tabla 00.0 and	Suggeste	dRemedy				
	ns 92-4 or 92-5.	oise is not defined in di	ifferent ways be	etween table 92-6 and	Repla	ce line 33 and 3	34 of page 202 with the follow	/ing:		
	changes offered belo n changed.	ow are for clarification o	of the specifica	tion; requirements have	Total jitter excluding data dependent jitter is the difference between TJ and DDJ a be less than or equal to 0.28UI. TJ shall be measured either with the transmit equalization enabled or after a conti					
Change	92.8.3 as follows:				time filter function. If TJ is measured with the transmit equalization, $c(-1)$ shall be set to zero, $c(0)$ shall be to minimum, and $c(1)$ shall be set to the value which minimizes DDJ. If TJ is measured after a continuous-time filter function, the filter shall have a transfer function defined by equation (93A-20) and g_DC shall be set to the value which minimi DDJ.					
(1) Repl	ace sentence P198, L	_28 with:								
"For the than 2 m		nbly, the far-end transn	nitter output no	ise (Txfel) shall be less						
(2) Repl	ace equation (92-4) w	vith:			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C PLE.			
"Txfel =	sqrt(RMSdevI^2-sigm	na_l^2) (92-4)"					ot apply to the substantive ch		veen Draft 2.0 and Draft	
(3) Repl	ace sentence P198, L	_34 with:			2.1 ar	2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.				
"For the than 1 m		mbly, the far-end trans	mitter output no	oise (Txfeh) shall be less			nt #123 resolves this issues b UI from other transitions.	y measuring jitter	on a single edge	
(4) Repla	ace equation (92-5) w	vith:								
"Txfeh=	sqrt(RMSdevh^2-sigr	ma_h^2) (92-5)"								
5) In ste	p 7 identify RMSdev i	is the RMS deviation fr	om the mean v	voltage as follows:						
mean vo	oltage at this observat		denote this me	RMS deviation from the easurement RMSdevI for assembly."						
COMMENT				d T/technical E/editorial (NSE STATUS: O/open W/		U/unsatisfied		ment ID 116	Page 33 of 47 2013/07/17 11:3	

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.92b P 45 L Shah, Sameer Broadcom	10 # 117	C/ 91 SC 91.5.4.2.1 P 170 L 14 # 119 Shah, Sameer Broadcom				
Comment Type T Comment Status A Based on Line #15 on Page #177 in Clause #91, "PCS alig incorrectly listed here in 1.201.15.	<i>Align status</i> n status" for Transmitter	Comment Type T Comment Status A There is no recommendation on how to derive the FEC lane number from the AM payload sequence. Is it left to user's implementation.				
SuggestedRemedy Remove "PCS align status" bit from 1.201.15 and list it in 1	.283.15	For example: Is tolerating 3/12 nibble errors acceptable when looking at AM for PCS lanes 4-7.				
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		SuggestedRemedy Clarify tolerating 3/12 nibble errors acceptable when looking at AM for PCS lanes 4-7.				
<commenter 802.3="" an="" change<="" editor="" is="" member.="" not="" td="" voting=""><td></td><td>Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.</td></commenter>		Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
It is more convenient for management to keep this bit in the Therefore the change should be made in Clause 91 - Chan 1.201.15		<commenter 802.3="" an="" changed="" comment="" editor="" from="" is="" member.="" not="" t.="" to="" tr="" type="" voting=""></commenter>				
See also comment #5 (& comment #60)		The FEC lane can be identified based on a match to any one of the three central alignment marker payloads. Change the definition of fec_lane as follows.				
C/ 91 SC 91.5.2.6 P 159 L Shah, Sameer Broadcom	35 # 118	"A variable that holds the FEC lane number (0 to 3) received on lane x of the PMA service interface when amps_lock <x>=true. The FEC lane number is determined by the alignment</x>				
Comment Type T Comment Status A With reference to lines 21 and 32, the fixed bytes for alignm corresponding to PCS lanes 0 and 16 are being regenerate consistent with this.		marker payloads in the 2nd, 3rd, or 4th positions of the sequence based on the mapping defined in 91.5.2.6. The 48 bits that are in the positions of the known bits in the received alignment marker payload are compared to the expected values for a given payload position and FEC lane on a nibble-wise basis (12 comparisons). If no more than 3 nibbles in the candidate block fail to match the corresponding known nibbles for any payload position on				
SuggestedRemedy Update lines 35-37 to reflect the same - On line 35, change "PCS lanes 1, 2, and 3" with "PCS lane	s 0, 1, 2, and 3"	given FEC lane, then the FEC lane number is assigned accordingly."				
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. <commenter 802.3="" an="" change<="" editor="" is="" member.="" not="" td="" voting=""></commenter>	ed comment type from TR to T.>					

See comment #66.

C/ 92	SC 92.9	P 207	L 16	# 120	C/ 92	SC 92.10.8	P 212	L 51	# 121
DiMinico, C	hristopher	MC Communica	tions		DiMinico,	Christopher	MC Communi	cations	

Comment Type **T** Comment Status A

Revise 92.9 and 92.10 to addresses changes in CL92 and annex 92A from D2 to D2.1 not included in 92.9 and 92.10 text.

SuagestedRemedv

Replace 92.9 text with text below:

The 100GBASE-CR4 channel is defined between TP0 and TP5 to include the transmitter and receiver differential controlled impedance printed circuit board and the cable assembly as illustrated in Figure 92-2. The channel parameters insertion loss, return loss, channel operating margin (COM) and the transmitter and receiver differential controlled impedance printed circuit boards for each differential lane are provided informatively in 92A.4 through 92A.7.

(2)Add sentence (new paragraph) to 92.10 Cable assembly characteristics The cable assembly channel operating margin is specified in 92.10.8.

(3)Update Table 92-11-Cable assembly differential characteristics summary with additional differential parameters included in D2.1 from D2.0.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

<Commenter did not submit a ballot. Editor changed Comment Type from TR to T.>

Use suggested remedy

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Revise 92.10.8 Cable assembly channel operating margin text to correct implementation of cable assembly COMs derivation.

SuggestedRemedv

Replace text in 92.10.8 Cable assembly channel operating margin with text below

The cable assembly COM for each victim signal path (receive lane) is derived from measurements of the cable assembly victim signal path (measured from TP1 to TP4) and the four individual near-end crosstalk paths (measured at TP1 or TP4) and the three far-end crosstalk paths (measured from TP1 to TP4) that can couple into a victim signal path. The derivation method is specified in 93A.1 Channel operating margin.

92.10.8.1 Channel signal path

The channel signal path between TP0 and TP5 for the cable assembly (COM) consists of the cable assembly signal path measurements between TP1 and TP4 and the signal paths TP0 to MDI and TP5 to MDI to account for the transmitter and receiver PCB signal paths and the MDI signal paths. The transmitter or receiver PCB signal paths are calculated using the method defined in 93A.1.2.3. A 1 mm section of the PCB is defined by Equation (93A-9). Equation (93A-10), and the parameters values in Table 92-13. The PCB model consists of one hundred and eighty five 1 mm sections representing an insertion loss of 6.26 dB at 12.89 GHz.

The channel signal path to be used in COM (93A.1.2) is the concatenation of the cable assembly signal path measurement and the TP0 to MDI and TP5 to MDI signal paths. (derived above) using the cascade function defined in 93A.1.2.1 given in Equation (92-19).

SCHSp^(k)=cascade(cascade(S^(HOSP) S^(CASP)) S^(HOSP)) equation (92-19) Where: SCHSp $^(k)$ = channel signal path S^(CASP)= cable assembly signal path S^(HOSP)= TP0 to MDI or TP5 to MDI signal paths k=Ò

92.10.8.2 Channel crosstalk paths

The channel structure includes three far-end and four near-end crosstalk paths. The MDI is the significant contributor to the channel crosstalk. The MDI crosstalk contribution is included in and characterized by the cable assembly crosstalk measurements. The cable assembly crosstalk signal paths to be used in COM are the four individual near-end crosstalk paths and the three far-end crosstalk paths that can couple into a victim signal path adjusted by the TP0 to MDI or TP5 to MDI signal paths (calculated in 92.10.8.2) using the cascaded function defined in 93A.1.2.1 given in Equation (92-21) and Equation (92-22).

SCHNXTp^(k)=cascade(cascade(S^(HOSP), S^(CANXTk)), S^(HOSP)) equation (92-21) Where: SCHNXTp^(k)= channel near-end crosstalk path adjusted by TP0 to MDI or TP5 to MDI signal paths

S^(CANXTk)= cable assembly near-end crosstalk path k S^(HOSP) = TP0 to MDI or TP5 to MDI signal paths calculated in 92.10.8.2. k= 1 to 4 near-end crosstalk paths

Comment ID 121

Page 35 of 47 2013/07/17 11:38 SCHFXTp^(k)=cascade(cascade(S^(HOSp), S^(CAFXTk)), S^(HOSp)) equation (92-21) Where: SCHFXTp^(k)=channel far-end crosstalk path adjusted by TP0 to MDI or TP5 to MDI signal paths

S^(CAFXTk)= cable assembly far-end crosstalk path k

S^(HOSp)= TP0 to MDI or TP5 to MDI signal paths calculated in 92.10.8.2

k= 1 to 3 far-end crosstalk paths

The cable assembly COM shall be greater than or equal to 4 dB.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

<Commenter did not submit a ballot. Editor changed Comment Type from TR to T.>

See comment #108.

C/ 92	SC 92.8.3.9	P 202	L 6	# 122
Zivny, Pave		Tektronix		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Subclause 92.8.3.9 "Transmitter output jitter" sets normative requirements on components of jitter, but does so with a test pattern too long for jitter component separation; total jitter @ BER is not limited and pathological devices might thus be erroneously evaluated as passing.

SuggestedRemedy

Specify the TJ @ BER for pattern PRBS31 (see 83.5.10) or scrambled idle (see 82.2.10) as TJ @ BER to be less or equal to 0.28 UI for each link BER target.

Other jitter components should be specified on shorter, measurable patterns, e.g. as proposed in zivny_3bj_01_0715 .

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

See comment #123.

C/ 92	SC 92.8.3.9	P 202	L 33	# 123
Zivny, Pavel		Tektronix		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Subclause 92.8.3.9.2 "Total jitter" defines "Total jitter excluding data dependent jitter" in a difficult to measure and physically incorrect way; details in zivny_3bj_01_0715. Subclause 92.8.3.9.4 "Effective deterministic and random jitter" defines "effective random jitter (RJ)" in a difficult to measure way; details in zivny_3bj_01_0715 Same subclause then defines "Effective deterministic jitter excluding data dependent jitter" in a difficult to measure and physically incorrect way; details in zivny_3bj_01_0715. This comment is a pile-in to comment # 165.

SuggestedRemedy

Use the methodology given in zivny_3bj_01_0715 to define "Effective deterministic jitter excluding data dependent jitter", "Effective random jitter" and "Total jitter excluding data dependent jitter".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

Background in zivny_3bj_01_0713.

Summary of changes to D2.1: -No change to even-odd jitter. -Update measurement method for: - Effective DJ excluding DDJ.

- Effective random iitter

-Remove "TJ excluding DDJ"

Change "effective DJ excluding DDJ" to "effective bounded UJ". UJ = "uncorrelated jitter"

Note that UJ is currently defined in definitions and abbreviations.

Delete subclause "92.8.3.9.3 Data dependent jitter".

Delete subclause "92.8.3.9.2 Total jitter"

Replace content of "92.8.3.9.4 Effective deterministic and random jitter"

- change title to "Effective bounded uncorrelated jitter and random jitter"
- Incorporate the method from zivny_3bj_01_0713 slides 9-12.
- Specify RJ in RMS.
- For RJ, specify maximum of 0.01 UI RMS for 92 and 93.
- For BUJ, specify 0.1 UI PP for both 92 and 93.

Update tables 92-6 and 93-4.

Update relevant sections in 93 which point to the jitter subclauses in 92.

Comment ID 123

Page 36 of 47 2013/07/17 11:38

Update PICS.				CI 84	SC	84.1	P 144	L 20	# 126
Grant editorial license				Dawe, Pier			IPtronics		
	•			Comment	,,	т	Comment Status R		Table order
Cl 92 SC 92.10.8 Ben-Artsi, Liav	P 213 Marvell	L 19	# 124	interac	tions b	etween a	E is at the bottom of the layer in RS client and PCS for Fast ep Sleep mode.		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			Suggested	Remed	ly			
of non-causality	1mm trace used to create the	host board may	introduce small amount	84-1, p	perhaps	s just belo	ast Wake only clauses, put the own or above the RS. Similarly		
SuggestedRemedy				with sir	milar ta	ibles.			
Will provide updated o	oefficients			Response			Response Status C		
Proposed Response	Response Status Z			REJEC	CT.				
REJECT.				The or	der of I	ows in Ta	able 84-1 does not have any g	reat significand	e. The row describing
This comment was WI	THDRAWN by the commente	r.		EEE si layers			pottom as EEE is an optional f	unction that pe	rvades all of the other
C/ 91 SC 91.5.3.5 Liu, Zhenyu	P 165 Marvell Semi	L 53 conductor	# 125				nent does not apply to the subst nence is not within the scope of		
Comment Type ER Here the description is	Comment Status A s "If rx_coded<0> is 0 and any	rx_xcoded <j+1:< td=""><td>>=1"</td><td><i>Cl</i> 92 Dawe, Pier</td><td></td><td>92.8.3</td><td>P 195 IPtronics</td><td>L 32</td><td># 127</td></j+1:<>	>=1"	<i>Cl</i> 92 Dawe, Pier		92.8.3	P 195 IPtronics	L 32	# 127
As the reverse of subc	clause 91.5.2.5 and from othe	context, this se	ntence should read:	Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status R		
"If my padad (0) is 0 a	nd any rx_xcoded <j+1>=0 for</j+1>	i_0 to 2 "		Depen	ding w	hat happe	ens to the jitter specs, an outp	ut noise spec w	vill likely be needed
—	nu any rx_xcoueu <j+r>=0 ior</j+r>	J=0 10 S		Suggested	Remed	lv			
SuggestedRemedy						•	er it, add Qsq or SNDR spec.		
Change as suggested				Response	•		Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.			REJEC	CT.				
	ot apply to the substantive cha vithin the scope of the recircul		veen Draft 2.0 and Draft				t apply to the substantive chan rithin the scope of the recircula		ween Draft 2.0 and Draft
The document is in er	ror and needs to be corrected			An out	put noi	se specif	iation is in subclause 92.8.3.6		
Change to:									

"If rx_xcoded<0> is 0 and any rx_xcoded<j+1>=0 for j=0 to 3...."

C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.9.2 P 202 L 33 # 128	C/ 92 SC 92.8.3 P 194 L 41 # 130
Dawe, Piers IPtronics	Dawe, Piers IPtronics
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status R
D2.0 comment 227 observed that while these jitter metrics must be met regardless of the transmit equalization setting, for a maximum host channel with the transmitter at Preset, this might be challenging because the eye at TP2 is pretty closed up. But this is not relevant to real-world use with an equalizer. Comment 165 also points out difficulties with the way jitter is specified. For CR4, with FEC, TJ isn't relevant anyway.	Following up on D2.0 comment 240: inconsistency between S-parameter frequency ran and waveform measurement frequency range. Response says "The capability of an instrument to measure higher frequencies is not a justification to specify them." Adding that, the cost and increased noise of measuring them is a justification to NOT specify th Notice that in 92.10.11 Cable assembly integrated crosstalk noise (ICN), the 3 dB refere receiver bandwidth is set to 18.75 GHz.
Determine if "regardless of the transmit equalization setting" is too wide, and if the	SuggestedRemedy
specifications should apply above some threshold of emphasis. Don't use TJ for CR4.	In clauses 92 and 93, Reduce the observation bandwidth for waveforms, jitter and similar
Response Response Status W	from 33 GHz to between 18.75 GHz and 25 GHz TBD (e.g. 19.34). Make an exception for transition time.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Alternatively, increase the S-parameter frequency range to the signalling rate, as OIF de
The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft	Response Response Status W
2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.	REJECT.
Response to comment #123 resolves this issues by measuring jitter on a single edge separated by several UI from other transitions.	The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and I 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.
C/ 92 SC 92.8.3 P 195 L 35 # 129	The bandwidth was chosen to be sufficiently high to minimize the influence of the test equipment on the measured results.
Dawe, Piers IPtronics	equipment on the measured results.
Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type TR Comment Status A Total Jitter (BER=1e-12) is not relevant for CR4 with FEC, and it may not be reasonable to keep it below 0.28 UI regardless of the transmit equalization setting for the host channel of 92A.5 (D2.0 comments 165, 227). Without TJ we can't specify Effective Random Jitter as	
Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type TR Comment Status A Total Jitter (BER=1e-12) is not relevant for CR4 with FEC, and it may not be reasonable to keep it below 0.28 UI regardless of the transmit equalization setting for the host channel of 92A.5 (D2.0 comments 165, 227). Without TJ we can't specify Effective Random Jitter as proposed.	equipment on the measured results.
Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type TR Comment Status A Total Jitter (BER=1e-12) is not relevant for CR4 with FEC, and it may not be reasonable to keep it below 0.28 UI regardless of the transmit equalization setting for the host channel of 92A.5 (D2.0 comments 165, 227). Without TJ we can't specify Effective Random Jitter as	equipment on the measured results.
Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type TR Comment Status A Total Jitter (BER=1e-12) is not relevant for CR4 with FEC, and it may not be reasonable to keep it below 0.28 UI regardless of the transmit equalization setting for the host channel of 92A.5 (D2.0 comments 165, 227). Without TJ we can't specify Effective Random Jitter as proposed. SuggestedRemedy Either do the work to show that it is reasonable to keep TJ below 0.28 UI regardless of the transmit equalization setting for the host channel of 92A.5, or change the spec for CR4. E.g. replace the spec for TJ-DDJ with one for J5-	equipment on the measured results.

See comment #123.

CI 92	SC 92.8.3.9.2	P 202	L 27	# 131
Dawe, Piers		IPtronics		

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The continuing discussion about jitter definitions goes to show that TJ, DDJ, EDJ and ERJ as used in this project are proper nouns because they have definitions that are not the obvious meaning of the phrases: TJ is not all the jitter there is, DDJ is not all the data-dependent jitter, ERJ could contain any fraction that's random, EDJ is not all the deterministic jitter. Other clauses may have used similar but uncapitalized terms without definition (making them common nouns, if ambiguous), or may have simply ignored the editorial rules on proper nouns in Merriam-Webster. Our terms mean what we say they mean and should not be confused with theirs by making different things look the same! Making our newly defined terms look "consistent with similar terms in 802.3-2012" would be misleading the reader.

SuggestedRemedy

Use Total Jitter, Data Dependent Jitter, Effective Random Jitter (ERJ) and Effective Deterministic Jitter (EDJ) (all with capitals) as these are undeniably proper nouns. Also, Even-odd Jitter can be treated as a proper noun because it has a definition, although its definition agrees with the meaning of the words.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The substance of this comment was rejected in D2.0 ballot comment #231.

CI 92	SC 92.11.1.2	P 217	L 42	# 132
Dawe, Piers	;	IPtronics		

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

D2.0 comment 237: "Are the 100GBASE-CR4 HCB, MCB PCB losses achievable in practice? If not, make adjustments, keeping consistency with the OIF/InfiniBand EDR specifications. REJECT. Confidence expressed that current specifications can be met. Implementations to verify are pending."

Repeating the question, specifically for the HCB: has this been verified yet and are the losses achievable?

SuggestedRemedy

If the reference loss is too low, make adjustments, keeping consistency with the OIF and InfiniBand EDR specifications.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

Suggested remedy lacking sufficient defined problem statement to support implementation in the draft.

Presentation submitted demonstrating 92.11 Test Fixture specifications are achievable. See diminico_3bj_01_0713.

C/ 93	SC 93.8.1.1	Р	L	# 133
Dawe, Piers	5	IPtronics		

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The compliance boards in SFP+, Annex 86A (nPPI), InfiniBand FDR and Clause 92 each have a defined reference insertion loss curve. A user has an actual compliance board with a similar but not identical loss. For S-parameter measurements, he can de-embed his actual loss and re-embed the reference loss and get an accurate result. The documents also give a loss range, defining what is a good-enough pair of compliance boards. The ideal (reference) compliance board does not have intentional reflections.

Clause 93's test fixtures are defined differently. There is a range of losses, and only at one frequency. Some ILD and reflections are allowed.

A user with an actual compliance board can de-embed his actual loss, but has to re-embed a loosely specified loss, an ILD, and the reflections of Eq 93-1. Depending what he is measuring, he needs to re-embed the least or the most loss, ILD and/or reflections. He has to do the work at least twice over, possibly more times depending how many corners are relevant. Both in design/simulation and in measurement. The allowed test fixture variability leaks into measurement results unless everyone agrees which corners are relevant for which measurements (e.g. least insertion loss, most return loss of the test fixture for measuring product return loss, most loss for linear fit pulse peak, and so on).

SuggestedRemedy

Use the range of losses, ILD and return loss as guidance for an adequate test fixture. But define the REFERENCE (ideal) test fixture with a specific insertion loss (preferably with an equation) and without deliberate ILD or return loss, as the other specs that use compliance boards do.

Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The Clause 93 test fixtures are defined differently from SFP+, nPPI, 100GBASE-CR4, etc. but this doesn't necessarily render the definition invalid.

The permitted range of insertion loss at 12.89 GHz is 1.2 to 1.6 dB with an ILD allowance of 0.1 dB. This implies the nominal loss target, allowing for ILD, must be in the range of 1.3 to 1.5 dB. Assuming a reference insertion loss would split this difference e.g. 1.4 dB, the difference between the in situ test fixture and the embedded reference would not exceed 0.1 dB. It seems the de-embedding and embedding process could introduce errors of this degree.

Regarding the use of an equation to define the reference insertion loss over frequency, no equation is provided in the suggested remedy for implementation in the draft.

CI 92	SC 92.11.1.2	P 217	L 42	# 134
Dawe, Piers		IPtronics		
Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status A		bucket

It would help to have a graph like Figure 86A-2, Reference differential insertion losses of HCB, MCB excluding connector

SuggestedRemedy

Please add graph.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Graph reference test fixture insertion loss equation (92-30) in subclause 92.11.1.2.

CI 45	SC 45.2.1.7.4	P 40	L 22	#	135
Dawe, Piers		IPtronics			
Comment Ty	pe E	Comment Status A			Bucket

Try to keep a consistent order - easier for the readers to find things. I believe that lists of port types and similar that aren't constrained by bit definitions go slow to fast, short to long, wide to narrow - or the reverse.

SuggestedRemedy

40GBASE-FR 100GBASE-KP4 100GBASE-KR4 100GBASE-CR4 100GBASE-LR4, 100GBASE-ER4

Same for the receive fault table.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the editorial instructions to say:

Insert the following between "40GBASE-FR" and "100GBASE-LR4, 100GBASE-ER4" in Table 45-9:

Insert the following between "40GBASE-FR" and "100GBASE-LR4, 100GBASE-ER4" in Table 45-10:

Reverse the order of the additional rows in the both tables.

The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, this minor change represents a useful improvement to the draft.

EE P802.3bj D2.1 100 Gb/s Back	plane and Copper Cable	1st Working Group	recirculation ballot commen

Cl 45 Dawe, Pier	SC 45.2.1.8	P 33 IPtronics	L 2	# 136	<i>Cl</i> 78 Dawe, Pie	SC 78.1.4	P 81 IPtronics	L 9	# 139
Comment 1		Comment Status A		Bucket	Comment		Comment Status A		Bucke
		is a table without the formattin	a. It keeps on				nt order - easier for the rea	ders to find thinas.	
Suggested	Remedy		0		port ty	pes and similar	that aren't constrained by b reverse, as in AN priority r	it definitions go slo	
Please	use a table, like	Table 45-9 and Table 45-10.			Suggeste	dRemedy			
	PT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E. ne same manner as 45.2.1.7.5	, also observe	the order as per	40GB	ASE-KR ASE-KR4 ASE-CR4 I/CAUI			
	ent #135			·		BASE-KP4			
<i>Cl</i> 80 Dawe, Pier	SC 80.5 s	P 108 IPtronics	L 11	# 137	100G 100G	3ASE-KR4 3ASE-CR10 3ASE-CR4 rly in Table 78-2			
Comment 1	Туре Е	Comment Status A		Bucket		•			
		re unwieldy. You wouldn't wri nd E and F and G and H and			Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.		
Suggested	Remedy				Chan	ge the editorial ir	nstruction to:		
See 83	-	5, 86.3.2, 87.3.2, 88.3.2, 89.3.	2, 92.5, or 93.5				and body of Table 78-1 as	shown:	
Response		Response Status C			Re-or	der the table wit	the rows:		
ACCE	ΡT.	·							
					-	SE-Te			
		apply to the substantive chan				ASE-TX BASE-KX			
		thin the scope of the recircula ent to the draft that should be		ever, the comment		BASE-RA BASE-T			
ingingi			200103300.			(XAUI)			
C/ 84	SC 84.1	P 144	L 20	# 138		ASE-KX4			
Dawe, Pier	s	IPtronics				ASE-KR ASE-T			
Comment	Түре Е	Comment Status R		Wording		I/CAUI			
	51	wer Idle mode" reads oddly. A	re they allowed	0		ASE-KR4			
			are they allowed			ASE-CR4			
Suggested						BASE-KP4			
Chang	e to use? enter	and exit? 5 times.				BASE-KR4 BASE-CR10			
Response		Response Status C				BASE-CR4			
REJEC	ст.								
		Power Idle mode to conserve	energy - exiting	it would not serve that	. and	make the same	changes for Table 78-2		
purpos	e.								
		ent does not apply to the subs ence is not within the scope o							
TYPE: TR/I	technical require	d ER/editorial required GR/g patched A/accepted R/reject	eneral required	T/technical E/editorial	G/general			ment ID 139	Page 41 of 47

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 92 SC 92.11.3.2	2 P 220	L 4	# 140	CI 92 S	SC 92.11.1	P 217	L 3	# 142
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics			Dawe, Piers		IPtronics		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment Type	e T	Comment Status A		
Blank line(s).						ment 222 on test fixture termi		
SuggestedRemedy						02.3ba-2010; 84.8.1.1 Test fix cription of function performed.		est fixture. Test fixture
Remove.				It turns out	it's not so s	imple and there are test fixture	e within test fixt	
Response	Response Status C					.1.1 (as defined for 10GBASE d to the transmitter under test		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	LE.			the test fixt	tures in 55.5	.2.1 similarly present a 100 of	nm load without	insertion loss.
Will try to remove as m	nany blank spaces as possible	e while otimizing	n placement of graphs			5 Fig 85-5 there is a lossy "TP ". Similarly, Figure 92-14 sho		
						s added further test fixtures in		
C/ 92 SC 92.11.3.3	3 P 220	L 1	# 141	things calle	ed "test fixtu	re", and some of them have w		
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics					2.3 has been lost already.		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		bucket	SuggestedRen	2			
Blank line(s).						Board" is well established in th (when referring to this test fix		
SuggestedRemedy						smitter and receiver test fixtu		
Remove. Also p222, 2	223.			Response		Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPL	.E.		
ACCEPT.						COOD"Teat fivture" is well up	dereteed deeer	intion of function
Will try to remove as n	nany blank spaces as possible	e while otimizing	placement of graphs.	performed.		C222 <"Test fixture" is well un nply "all" test fixtures are the s		
						adequate reference to the ter		nce board". See P217

To address the test fixture within test fixture... Change the title of Figure 92-14 to "Transmitter and receiver test setup".

L3: "The test fixture (also known as Host Compliance Board) ..."

C/ 92 SC 92.10		L 9	# 143	C/ 92	SC 92.	8.3.6	P 198	L 21	# 145
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics			Dawe, Pier	S		IPtronics		
Comment Type T	Comment Status R			Comment	Туре Т		Comment Status A		
	erence receiver bandwidth of 18 e would be 19.34 GHz.	3.75 GHz (scaled	from Clause 85). But	encode	ed scramb	led idle.	d in 82.2.10 without RS-FE Non-FEC scrambled idle w	ould be fine as	
SuggestedRemedy				but it's	not what a	a Clause	92 transmitter is supposed	to generate.	
Consider if the refe	rence receiver bandwidth shoul	d be 19.34 GHz.		Suggested					
	Response Status C		veen Draft 2.0 and Draft	in this Consic accept	draft. Coo er if in any	ordinate v of thes onal alter	a allow RS-FEC encoded sc with P802.3bm. e cases an RS-FEC encode ernative (RF is what a transm	ed scrambled R	emote Fault would be
2.1 and hence is ne	ot within the scope of the recircu	lation ballot.		Response			Response Status C		
the draft. As commentor poir	lacks sufficiently defined proble nts out, CL92 3 dB reference red 5)*(25.78125) scaled as in Clau	ceiver bandwidth	of 18.75 GHz	The co 2.1 and		es not a not with	pply to the substantive char in the scope of the recircula		
C/ 92 SC 92.10	.3 P 210	L 24	# 144	The PC	CS is gene	rating so	crambled idle which is furthe	er encoded by the	he RS-FEC.
Dawe, Piers	IPtronics				S-FEC to 6	s) as foll	ows		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A						the transmitter under test s	ends a square	wave test pattern as
There is no cable a of other things.	ssembly insertion loss deviation	n (ILD) in this draf	t, but there are still ILD		ed in 83.5. C encodin		all other adjacent transmitt BS31."	er lanes send e	ither scrambled idle v
SuggestedRemedy									
Delete "cable asse	mbly", 5 times, and move the su	bclause to the de	efinitions section ;-)						
Response	Response Status C								
ACCEPT IN PRINC	JIPLE.								
See comment #107	7.								

C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.9	P 60	L 11	# 146	C/ 00	SC	0	Р	L	# 147
Dawe, Piers	;	IPtronics			Anslow, F	Pete		Ciena		
Comment T With 80 SuggestedF	2.3bm, we'll nee	Comment Status A ed two registers for EEE cont	rol and capability	EEE capability y register bits.	Comment Type T Comment Status A skew end per In 92.5, 93.5 and 94.3.4 we have the text: "and specified at the points SP1 to SP6 shown in Figure 80-4 and Figure 80-5." but these are all PMDs that use RS-FEC, so the appropriate diagram is Figure 80-5a and					
Conside	er putting all the	40G and 100G type-by-type						includes SP0 and SP7	iopliate diagra	in is rigule 00-5a and
		actly the same positions as ir r (Register 1.13).	1 1 able 45-15, 40	IG/100g PMA/PMD	Suggeste	dRemec	ły			
Response	T IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C			"and	specified	d at the p	.4 change: oints SP1 to SP6 shown in Fig oints SP0 to SP7 shown in Fig		Figure 80-5." to:
Since th	ne fast wake fun	ction resides entirely in the F	PCS it is redund:	ant to have a senarate	Response	Э		Response Status C		
	bit fo reach PHY.				ACC	EPT IN F	PRINCIP	LE.		
Keep th	e bit to choose	between fast wake & deep sl	eep.					t apply to the substantive cha /ithin the scope of the recircula		ween Draft 2.0 and Draft
Change supporte		bit names - each copper PH	Y has a bit "EEE	deep sleep is				tely specified at SP1 to SP6. T eskews the PMA/PMD lanes w		
Add a b supporte		"EEE fast wake is supported	d" & 100GBASE-	R "EEE fast wake is		RS-FE		ed skew between the four PM end of the link to the encoded		•
The edit	tor will make the	e changes in the same style a	as the current dra	aft.	٨٥٠	low hot		S lanes at SP7 is due to the		or and/or the ottoched
The con	nment does not	apply to the substantive cha	nges made betw	een Draft 2.0 and Draft				r. In this context, the skew is s		
		thin the scope of the recirculatent to the draft that should be		ever, the comment				ctly points out that the reference -FEC rather than Figure 80-		
					repla		re 80-4 a	40, 93.5 page 240 line 51, and nd Figure 80-5"	94.3.4 page 2	84 line 21.

Cl 93A SC 93A.1 P341 L9 # 148 Dawe, Piers Pitronics Pitronics Pitronics Comment Type E Comment Status A tete Commont Type E Comment Status A tete Commont Type E Comment Status A tete Commont Type E Comment Type I Comment Type I Comment Type I Suggested/Remedy Change Coperating Margin throughout. Response Status C ACCEPT. The comment Loes not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the rescrutation oblic. Response Status C Cast Coperating Margin', it should abide by the expected capitalization of Proper nours. Cast Comment Type I Comment Type I Comment Type I Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status A # 149 Cast Comment Status C Cast Coperse Type E Comment Status A # 149 Cast Comment Status C Response To Comment Status A If 149 Dawe, Piers Pitronics # 149 Comment Type I Comment Ty									
Comment Type E Comment Status A tate Comment Type E Comment Status A The new interference tolerance test for clauses 93 and 94 allows a wide range of transmitter or transmitter will affect the results. There is no equivalent of the correction for transmitter will affect the results. There is no equivalent of the correction for transmitter will affect the results. There is no equivalent of the correction for transmitter will affect the results. There is no equivalent of the correction for transmitter mission time. Add one, and/or in Table 93-9 SuggestedRemedy Change to Channel Operating Margin throughout. Response Response status C ACCEPT. The comment within the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. Response is not within the scope of the recirculation of proper nouns. clate comment.> Comment Status C RELECT. Clase comment.> IP transmiter with high quality throughout. Response is not within the scope of the recedent for the capitalization of "Channel Operating Margin", it should abide by the expected capitalization of proper nouns. Clase comment.> Clase comment.> IP transmitter with high quality throughout is added to equation 93A-17 which has the same 20%-80% transition time as the transmitter measured at Tpta." SuggestedRemedy Delete. ACCEPT. Late comment.> SuggestedRemedy <td< th=""><th>CI 93A SC 93A.1</th><th>P 341</th><th>L 9</th><th># 148</th><th>C/ 93C SC</th><th>93C</th><th>P 354</th><th>L 23</th><th># 150</th></td<>	CI 93A SC 93A.1	P 341	L 9	# 148	C/ 93C SC	93C	P 354	L 23	# 150
COM is a proper noun (not the ordinary English meaning of the three words "channel operating margin" but this particular figure of ment defined by this particular mathematical computation. SuggestedRemedy Change to Channel Operating Margin throughout. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, since this amendment will set the precedent for the capitalization of "Channel Operating Margin", it should abide by the expected capitalization of proper nouns. https://www.classication.comment.ypp Cf 93C SC 93C P 354 L3 # 149 Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment.ypp E Comment Status A (informative) - not SuggestedRemedy Delete. Also please try to get the format fixed so that annex titles appear in the pdf bookmarks. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. https://www.classication.comment.ypp Cf 93C SC 93C P 354 L3 # 149 Delete. Also please try to get the format fixed so that annex titles appear in the pdf bookmarks. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. accenter apply to the substantive apply and table spt to get the format fixed so that annex titles appear in the pdf bookmarks. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. 	Jawe, Piers	IPtronics			Dawe, Piers		IPtronics		
operating margin* but this particular figure of merit defined by this particular mathematical computation. SuggestedRemedy Change to Channel Operating Margin throughout. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, since this amendment will set the precedent for the capitalization of "Channel Operating Margin", it should abide by the expected capitalization of proper nouns. <late comment.=""> Cl 32C P 354 L 3 # [149] Dawe, Piers IP tronics Comment Type Comment Status A (informative) - not SuggestedRemedy Delete. ACCEPT. Also please try to get the format fixed so that annex titles appear in the pdf bookmarks. Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT. </late>	Comment Type E	Comment Status A		late	Comment Type	т	Comment Status R		
Change to Channel Operating Margin throughout. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Channel obes not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, since this amendment will set the precedent for the capitalization of "Channel Operating Margin", it should abide by the expected capitalization of "Channel Operating Margin", it should abide by the expected capitalization of proper nouns. <late comment.=""> Cl 93C SC 93C P 354 L 3 # [49] Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type E Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Delete. Also please try to get the format fixed so that annex titles appear in the pdf bookmarks. Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. </late>	operating margin" but				bandwidths, w	vhich will aff	fect the results. There is no		
Response Response Status C ACCEPT. The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. Present, COM does not contain an internal transition time. Add one, and/or in Table 93-9 and Table 94-18, adjust zp, within limits, to best fit the observed waveform. ACCEPT. The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. C However, since this amendment will set the precedent for the capitalization of "Channel Operating Margin", it should abide by the expected capitalization of proper nouns. Clate comment.> C C/ 93C SC 93C P 354 L 3 # 149 Dawe, Piers IPtronics IPtronics In 93C-2, step 8 includes the following instruction with respect to the COM calculation: Comment Type E Comment Status A (informative) - not SuggestedRemedy Deleie. Also please try to get the format fixed so that annex titles appear in the pdf bookmarks. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accept. C Accept. C Accept. Late comment.> C Accept. C Accept. C Accept.	SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemea	ly			
Response Response Status C ACCEPT. The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. Response Response Status C However, since this amendment will set the precedent for the capitalization of "Channel Operating Margin", it should abide by the expected capitalization of proper nouns. Late comment.> Cl 93C P 354 L 3 # 149 Dawe, Piers IPtronics IPtronics IPtronics IPtronics In added as ideal and a Gaussian low pass filter is added to equation 93A-17 which has the same 20%-80% transition time as the transmitter measured at Tpta." SuggestedRemedy Delete. Also please try to get the format fixed so that annex titles appear in the pdf bookmarks. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Accept. In table 94-18, adjust zp, within limits, to best fit the observed waveform. Late comment.> Internative provide the state of the capitalization of "Channel Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status C Accept. In table 94-18, adjust zp, within limits, to best fit the observed waveform. Late comment.> Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Status C Accept. In table 94-18, adjust zp, within limits, to best fit the observed waveform. Late comment.> Commen	Change to Channel O								
The comment does not apply to the substantive changes made between Draft 2.0 and Draft 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, since this amendment will set the precedent for the capitalization of "Channel Operating Margin", it should abide by the expected capitalization of proper nouns. Late comment.> (I got SC got P 354 L 3 # [149] Image: Piers IPtronics Provide Image: Piers IPtronics Ima	•	Response Status C							
 2.1 and hence is not within the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, since this amendment will set the precedent for the capitalization of "Channel Operating Margin", it should abide by the expected capitalization of proper nouns. <late comment.=""></late> C/ 93C SC 93C P 354 L 3 # 149 Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type E Comment Status A (informative) - not SuggestedRemedy Delete. Also please try to get the format fixed so that annex titles appear in the pdf bookmarks. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. <late comment.=""></late> 	ACCEPT.				Response		Response Status C		
However, since this amendment will set the precedent for the capitalization of "Channel Operating Margin", it should abide by the expected capitalization of proper nouns. < <u>Late comment.></u>				veen Draft 2.0 and Draft					
Operating Margin", it should abide by the expected capitalization of proper nouns. <late comment.=""> C/ 93C SC 93C P 354 L 3 # 149 Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type E Comment Status A (informative) - not SuggestedRemedy Delete. Also please try to get the format fixed so that annex titles appear in the pdf bookmarks. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. <late comment.=""></late></late>					<late comme<="" td=""><td>ent.></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></late>	ent.>			
CI 93C SC 93C P 354 L 3 # 149 Dawe, Piers IPtronics Comment Type E Comment Status A (informative) - not SuggestedRemedy Delete. Also please try to get the format fixed so that annex titles appear in the pdf bookmarks. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. <late comment.=""> C ACCEPT. C</late>					The bandwidt	h of the trar	nsmitter is taken into consid	leration in the te	st method.
C/ 93C SC 93C P 354 L 3 # 149 is modeled as ideal and a Gaussian low pass filter is added to equation 93A-17 which has the same 20%-80% transition time as the transmitter measured at Tpta." Comment Type E Comment Status A (informative) - not SuggestedRemedy Delete. Also please try to get the format fixed so that annex titles appear in the pdf bookmarks. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. <late comment.=""></late>	<late comment.=""></late>								
(informative) - not SuggestedRemedy Delete. Also please try to get the format fixed so that annex titles appear in the pdf bookmarks. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. <late comment.=""></late>			L 3	# 149	is modeled as	ideal and a	Gaussian low pass filter is	s added to equat	ion 93A-17 which has
Delete. Also please try to get the format fixed so that annex titles appear in the pdf bookmarks. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. <late comment.=""></late>		Comment Status A							
Response Response Status C ACCEPT. <late comment.=""></late>	Delete.								
ACCEPT. <late comment.=""></late>	1 9 0		titles appear in	the par bookmarks.					
	•	Response Status C							
See also comment 106	<late comment.=""></late>								
	See also comment 10	6							

C/ 93 SC 93.8.1. Ghiasi, Ali	4 P 249 Broadcom	L 37	# 151	<i>Cl</i> 93A Ghiasi, Ali	SC 93A.12.4	P 344 Broadcom	L 5	# 152		
	Comment Status A return loss crosses differential r the common mode return loss			improve	set of cofficent the fit and red	Comment Status A t in table 93A-2 produces no uce the resonance number of				
uggestedRemedy				Suggested		plate toward a resolution for	Sont monting			
Please change common mode return loss to follow differential return loss of equation 93-2 but 3 dB worse RLcm(f)>=9.05-f 0.05<=f<=6 GHz = 3.45-0.075f 6<=f<19 GHz					Need to get on Adhoc plate toward a resolution for Sept meeting Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
esponse	Response Status W			Sugges	sted remedy do	es not propose any specific o	change to the dra	aft.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.			See co	mment #69.					
<editor changed="" sub<="" td=""><td>oclause from 8.1.4 to 93.8.1.4.></td><td></td><td></td><td><editor< td=""><td>changed subcl</td><td>ause from 12.4 to 93A.12.4></td><td>•</td><td></td></editor<></td></editor>	oclause from 8.1.4 to 93.8.1.4.>			<editor< td=""><td>changed subcl</td><td>ause from 12.4 to 93A.12.4></td><td>•</td><td></td></editor<>	changed subcl	ause from 12.4 to 93A.12.4>	•			
	not apply to the substantive cha within the scope of the recircul		een Draft 2.0 and Draft	<i>Cl 94</i> Ghiasi, Ali	SC 94.3.12.4	P 301 Broadcom	L 47	# 153		
The equation in the s	suggested remedy has a discor	ntinuity at 6 GHz.		Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A				
Change Equation 93 RLcm(f) >= 9.05-f for 0.05<=						turn loss crosses differential le common mode return loss				
>= 3.5-0.075*f for 6				Suggested	Remedy					
Also, there is a disco Change bottom term 6.5 - 0.075*f	ontinuity in equation 93-2. a of equation 93-2 to:			but 3 dl RLcm(f	change commo 3 worse)>=9.05-f 0.05- 3.45-0.075f 6<=		differetnial return	n loss of equation 94-5		
Modify equations in (Clause 94 to be consistent.			Response ACCEF	T IN PRINCIPI	Response Status W				
				<editor< td=""><td>changed subcl</td><td>ause from 3.12.4 to 94.3.12.</td><td>4.></td><td></td></editor<>	changed subcl	ause from 3.12.4 to 94.3.12.	4.>			
						t apply to the substantive ch ithin the scope of the recircu		ween Draft 2.0 and Dra		
				See res	sponse to comn	nent 151 against Clause 93.				

C/ 45	SC 45.2.3.9.a	P 60	L 41	# 154
Dan Dove		Applied Micro	C	
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status A		Definitions
		tion" Fast Wake mode and ument to the next. Its incon		e terminology varies
Suggested	Remedy			
		e and Fast Wake Mode in a naming for each throughou		finition table/location
Response		Response Status W		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPLE			
Add de	efinitions for EEE,	Fast Wake Mode and Deep	p Sleep Mode in (Clause 1.4
Chang	e reference in 45.	2.3.9.a to be 78.1 (that is a	n error).	
or from	n a lower level of p	t (EEE) - Provides a protoc power consumption, without ames. (reference Clause 78	t changing the linl	
which receive	the transmitter cor	two modes of operation for ntinues to transmit signals o eration . (reference Fig 78-3a)		
which	the transmitter cea	two modes of operation fo ases quiet state to maximize the		

transmission during the quiet state to maximize the energy saving potential. (reference Fig 78-3)