Reinstate deleted "1.16" for register numbers in Tables 94-4 and 94-5. Suggested/Remedy Add 1.16 in front of changed text for Register/bit number in Tables 94-4 and 94-5. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment f/01-10. Cit 45 SC 4.52.1100 P59 L.36 Lis 0 in front of changed text for Register/bit number in Tables 94-4 and 94-5. Response Comment f/01-10. Cit 45 SC 4.52.1100 Cadence Design Syst Comment fype T Comment fype T Comment fype Comment fyster pattern 0 Disability frammitter linearity test pattern in Tables 45-73 and reference it in Clause 94 Suggested/Remedy Change 1.150.11 The comment fyster pattern 0 Disability frammitter linearity test pattern 0 Disability frammitter linearity test pattern 0 Disability for the draft aportion of the draft aportion of the draft aportion ballot, However, the comment inghights a deficiency that needs to be addressed. Add the bit as suggested. Change the inserted paragraph to: To The Comment Size ALP PACTIVE request primitive is used to communicate to the RS-FEC (see Clause 74) that t	C/ 94 SC 94.2.10 P 326 L 38 # [r01-1] Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syst	C/ 80 SC 80.3.1 P 121 L 52 # r01-3 RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation
Add 1.16 in front of changed text for Register/bit number in Tables 94-4 and 94-5. <i>Response</i> Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cadence Design Syst Cadence Design Syst	51	
Comment Type T Comment Status A test control Add enable for transmitter linearity test pattern in Table 45-73 and reference it in Clause 94 Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapid block lock; the RS-FEC does not use this signal." SuggestedRemedy 1.1501.11 Transmitter linearity test pattern enable 1 1 1 = Enable transmitter linearity test pattern 0 Disable transmitter linearity test pattern 0 0 = Disable transmitter linearity test pattern C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. To The comment highlights a deficiency that needs to be addressed. C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C Add the bit as suggested. Change the inserted paragraph to: Register 1.1501 bit 8 enables testing with the JP03A pattern defined in 94.2.9.1 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 9 enables testing with the JP03B pattern defined in 94.2.9.3 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 19 enables testing with the JP03B pattern defined in 94.2.9.3 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 10 enables testing with the QPRBS13 pattern defined in 94.2.9.3 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 10 enables testing with the QPRBS13 pattern defined in 94.2.9.4 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 11 enables the transmitter linearity test defined in 94.2.9.4 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 11 enables the transmitter linearity test defined in 94.2.9.4 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 10 enables testreng with the QPRBS13 pattern defined in 94.2.9.4 for 1	Add 1.16 in front of changed text for Register/bit number in Tables 94-4 and 94-5. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	In addition, stating what RS-FEC doesn't do (without referring to clause 91) is unnecessary. Clause 91 is clear enough. The fact that only clause 74 FEC uses this
Add enable for transmitter linearity test pattern in Table 45-73 and reference it in Clause 94 SuggestedRemedy 1.1501.11 Transmitter linearity test pattern enable 1 = Enable transmitter linearity test pattern 0 = Disable testing with the JP03A pattern defined in 94.2.9.1 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 9 enables testing with the JP03A pattern defined in 94.2.9.4 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 9 enables testing with the JP03A pattern defined in 94.2.9.4 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 10 enables		
1.1501.11 Transmitter linearity test pattern To 1.1501.11 Transmitter linearity test pattern To 0 = Disable transmitter linearity test pattern "The IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request primitive is used to communicate to the BASE-R FEC (see Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapid block lock." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft fait is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, the comment highlights a deficiency that needs to be addressed. C Add the bit as suggested. Change the inserted paragraph to: Register 1.1501 bit 8 enables testing with the JP03A pattern defined in 94.2.9.1 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 9 enables testing with the QPRBS13 pattern defined in 94.2.9.3 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 11 enables the transmitter linearity test defined in 94.2.9.3 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501.10, 1.1501.10, 1.1501.10, and 1.1501.10, and 1.1501.11 operates in conjunction with register 1.1501 To To To	Add enable for transmitter linearity test pattern in Table 45-73 and reference it in Clause 94	Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapid
ResponseResponseStatusCAdd the bit as suggested. Change the inserted paragraph to:Add the bit as suggested. Change the inserted paragraph to:Add the bit as suggested. Change the inserted paragraph to:It is useful to point out that the signal is not used by the RS-FEC (by contrast).Register 1.1501 bit 8 enables testing with the JP03A pattern defined in 94.2.9.1 forChange100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 9 enables testing with the JP03A pattern defined in 94.2.9.3 forThe IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request primitive is used to communicate to the RS-FEC (seeClause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapidblock lock; the RS-FEC does not use this signal."ToThe IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request primitive is used to communicate to the BASE-R FEC(see Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapidblock lock; the RS-FEC does not use this signal."ToThe IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request primitive is used to communicate to the BASE-R FEC(see Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapidblock lock; the RS-FEC (see Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapidblock lock; the RS-FEC (see Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapidblock lock; the RS-FEC (see Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapidblock lock; the RS-FEC (see Clause 91) does not use this signal."	 1.1501.11 Transmitter linearity test pattern enable 1 = Enable transmitter linearity test pattern 0 = Disable transmitter linearity test pattern 	"The IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request primitive is used to communicate to the BASE-R FEC (see Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapid
by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, the comment highlights a deficiency that needs to be addressed. Add the bit as suggested. Change the inserted paragraph to: Register 1.1501 bit 8 enables testing with the JP03A pattern defined in 94.2.9.1 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 9 enables testing with the JP03B pattern defined in 94.2.9.2 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 10 enables testing with the QPRBS13 pattern defined in 94.2.9.3 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 11 enables the transmitter linearity test defined in 94.2.9.4 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. The assertion of bits 1.1501.8, 1.1501.9, 1.1501.10, 1.1501.11 are mutually exclusive. If more than one bit is asserted the behavior is undefined. The assertion of 1.1501.8, 1.1501.9, 1.1501.10, and 1.1501.11 operates in conjunction with register 1.1501	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C
Add the bit as suggested. Change the inserted paragraph to: Register 1.1501 bit 8 enables testing with the JP03A pattern defined in 94.2.9.1 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 9 enables testing with the JP03B pattern defined in 94.2.9.2 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 10 enables testing with the QPRBS13 pattern defined in 94.2.9.3 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 11 enables the transmitter linearity test defined in 94.2.9.4 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. The assertion of bits 1.1501.8, 1.1501.9, 1.1501.10, 1.1501.11 are mutually exclusive. If more than one bit is asserted the behavior is undefined. The assertion of 1.1501.8, 1.1501.9, 1.1501.10, and 1.1501.11 operates in conjunction with register 1.1501	by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, the	It is useful to point out that the signal is not used by the RS-FEC (by contrast).
	Register 1.1501 bit 8 enables testing with the JP03A pattern defined in 94.2.9.1 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 9 enables testing with the JP03B pattern defined in 94.2.9.2 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 10 enables testing with the QPRBS13 pattern defined in 94.2.9.3 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 11 enables the transmitter linearity test defined in 94.2.9.4 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. The assertion of bits 1.1501.8, 1.1501.9, 1.1501.10, 1.1501.11 are mutually exclusive. If more than one bit is asserted the behavior is undefined. The assertion of	"The IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request primitive is used to communicate to the RS-FEC (see Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapid block lock; the RS-FEC does not use this signal." To "The IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request primitive is used to communicate to the BASE-R FEC (see Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapid

C/ 82 SC 82.6	P 164	L 49	# <u>r</u> 01-4	CI 99	SC 99		P 1	L 33	# <u>r</u> 01-6
RAN, ADEE	Intel Corporatio	n		Anslow, Pete	er		Ciena Corpor	ation	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		LPI state	Comment Ty	rpe E	Comment S	Status A		
	n includes "rx_down_count = 255". ainst D3.0 it should include "rx_dov		ne response to		d for Working				ecirculation" rather thar d be 2014 throughout
SuggestedRemedy					-				
In transition from R "rx_down_count =	X_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE, replace 1".	"rx_down_cou	nt = 255" with	SuggestedR Change	-	ared for sponso	r ballot recircu	lation". Also, ch	ange the copyright year
Response	Response Status C								
ACCEPT IN PRINC	CIPLE.			Response ACCEP ⁻	-	Response S	status C		
The error is in the t	ransition from RX WTF to RX AC	TIVE. Change	the condition to:	ACCEP					
		C C		C/ 00	SC 0		P 25	L 6	# r01-7
!rx_tw_timer_done	* rx_align_status * rx_down_count	:= 1		Anslow, Pete	er		Ciena Corpor	ation	
2/ 02A 00 02A	D 442	1 00	# 04 5	о <i>(</i> т	_	<u> </u>	• • •		
frequencies, so the as scalars in the nu SuggestedRemedy Delete the (f) argur	Intel Corporation Comment Status A ficients (Gamma 1 and 2), as definitely need not be a function of frequent umerator and as functions of frequent ments after Gamma_1 and Gamma	led, are consta ncy. In equation ency in the den	n 93A-16 they appear ominator.	removed SuggestedR	amendment I, hence IEEE emedy IEEE Std P8	E Std P802.3bk-	oved and publis 2013 should re read 'IEEE St	ead IEEE Std 80	e designation is 2.3bk-2013. throughout the draft.
RAN, ADEE Comment Type E The reflection coeff frequencies, so the as scalars in the nu SuggestedRemedy Delete the (f) argun denominator of equ	Intel Corporation Comment Status A ficients (Gamma 1 and 2), as defining y need not be a function of frequent umerator and as functions of frequent ments after Gamma_1 and Gamma uation 93A-16 (6 instances total).	n led, are consta ncy. In equation ency in the den	nt across all n 93A-16 they appear ominator.	Once an removed SuggestedR Change Response ACCEP	amendment I, hence IEEE emedy IEEE Std P8 T. SC 45.2.1.8	has been appro E Std P802.3bk- 302.3bk-2013' to <i>Response</i> S	ved and publis 2013 should re read 'IEEE St Status C P 46	ead IEEE Std 80 d 802.3bk-2013' <i>L</i> 6	2.3bk-2013.
RAN, ADEE Comment Type E The reflection coeff frequencies, so the as scalars in the nu SuggestedRemedy Delete the (f) argur	Intel Corporation Comment Status A ficients (Gamma 1 and 2), as definitely need not be a function of frequent umerator and as functions of frequent ments after Gamma_1 and Gamma	n led, are consta ncy. In equation ency in the den	nt across all n 93A-16 they appear ominator.	Once an removed SuggestedR Change Response ACCEP	amendment , hence IEEE emedy 'IEEE Std P8 T. SC 45.2.1.8 er	has been appro E Std P802.3bk- 302.3bk-2013' to <i>Response</i> S	ved and publis 2013 should re read 'IEEE St Status C P46 Ciena Corpor	ead IEEE Std 80 d 802.3bk-2013' <i>L</i> 6	2.3bk-2013. throughout the draft.

	C 78.1.1	P 82	L 48	# <u>r</u> 01-9		C 93C.1	P 35		# <u>r</u> 01-11
Anslow, Peter		Ciena Corporat	tion		Anslow, Peter		Ciena	Corporation	
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A		bucket	Comment Type	Е	Comment Status	Α	
,		78.1.1 contains six instances	s of "Clause xx	". These should either	In (see Figu	ıre 93C-6),	"Figure 93C-6" should	be a cross-referen	nce.
SuggestedRem		shown in green.			SuggestedRem	edy			
00	,	es of "Clause xx" to cross-refe	arences where	they exist in the	Make "Figu	re 93C-6" a	a cross-reference.		
		een where they don't.			Response		Response Status	С	
Response		Response Status C			ACCEPT.				
ACCEPT.					CI 78 S	C 78.1.3.3.	.1 P 83	L 32	# r01-12
C/94 S	C 94.2.10	P 281	L 43	# r01-10	Anslow, Peter		Ciena	Corporation	
Anslow. Peter	04.2.10	Ciena Corporat			Comment Type	Е	Comment Status	Α	EEE description
at the begir	edy 6 rows of Taning of the l	able 94-4 and the last 4 rows Register/bit number.		0	802.3 as m greater sho clearer if it wake.	odified by F wn in Table nad the sar	P802.3bj. For all of the e 78-1 deep sleep is ar	PHYs with an open option. This sent	an option for the PHYs in erating speed of 40 Gb/s or ence would be much ragraph concerning fast
For instance "1.162.7:0"	e the value f	or PMA transmit overhead pa	ittern changes	from "2.7:0" to	SuggestedRem	,	to "Doon cloon is ontio	nal for DUVs with	an operating speed of 40
	the variable	names in the last four rows of	of Table 94-5 a	nd Table 45-67c match.			plement EEE."		an operating speed of 40
Response		Response Status C			Response		Response Status	с	
ACCEPT IN	N PRINCIPL	Ε.			ACCEPT IN	I PRINCIP	LE.		
	,	omment r01-1: anged text for Register/bit nur	nber in Tables	94-4 and 94-5"			to "Deep sleep suppor hat implement EEE."	t is optional for PH	Ys with an operating speed
	moo with To	ble 94-5, in Table 45-67c in fo							

	0.4.00-	Dat	1.40	# 04.40		00 00 1 4	•		D 440	1.44	# 01.11
C/ 45 SC 45 Szczepanek, Andre	5.2.1.98a	P 61 Inphi Corporation	L 13	# <u>r</u> 01-13	CI 93A RAN, ADEE	SC 93A.1	.6		P 416 tel Corporat	L 11	# <u>r</u> 01-14
•			1		,				•	1011	
Comment Type TR Comment Status R test control Allowing arbitrary non-zero polynomial seeds (via seed_i) breaks the uniqueness property of the training frame delimiter (0x00FF0000). Any seed that creates a PRBS pattern starting with 8 or more zero bits combined with a status report ending in 3 zero bits will create a false training frame delimiter, if there are an even number of DM transitions in the DM payload. If this occurs at training start there is a 50% probability of a consistent false lock. SuggestedRemedy Add this sentence to the end of the paragraph : "If the default seed values are not used, the values used must be selected carefully. Seed values that produce a PRBS sequence starting with 8 or more zero bits shall not be used."					combina c(1) is p On the o requiren values f below its For exal	A-1 specifi titions are p ermitted. other hand, hents for th or c(-1), c(0 s required n mple, To re	the f ermi the f e rat) and ninin	transmitter speci tios R_pre and R d c(1). Some cor num, so it is not R_post=4, the re	for c(-1) and plied that a fications in _post, which mbinations o guaranteed quired coef	ny combination of clauses 92-94 cr h implicitly define of c(-1) and c(+1 that all transmith ficients are c(-1)	of valid c(-1) and valid eate minimum e minimum required) imply c(0) which is ers will support them. =0, c(0)=0.62 and c(1)
			or more zero	bits shall not be used.							arly, from R_pre, the 1) and c(+1) would both
Response REJECT.	Res	oonse Status W			be set to		num	values, the valu			
		o withdraw this comment.			It should be clarified that combinations in which any coefficient is outside its minimum requirement should not be used in COM. SuggestedRemedy						
		s to a training frame delir locument identifies this a									
value 0xFFFF00	The IEEE 802.3-2012 errata document identifies this as an error and provides the correct value 0xFFFF0000. It is not possible for a PRBS11 pattern generator to reproduce the pattern 0xFFFF0000, therefore the recommendation in the suggested remedy is not required.				Add the following sentence after "The FOM is calculated for each permitted combination of $c(-1)$, $c(1)$, and g_DC values per Table 93A-1":						
The document "		E Standard for Ethernet"		d here:	The combination of c(-1), c(1) values is constrained as required by the transmitter full-scale ratio specifications for the Physical Layer that invokes this method.						
http://standards	.ieee.org/finds	tds/errata/802.3-2012_er	rata.pdf		Response Response Status C						
					ACCEP	T IN PRINC	IPL	E.			
					by chan with "Dis	ges, or a po sapprove" v	ortior otes	n of the draft that	is the subje	ect of unresolved	of the draft affected comments associated lot. However, this
					requiren complia addition what the	nents in the nt transmitt , the statem e constraint	Phy er m nent s are	vsical Layer spectors and the second se	ifications th befficient ini sers of the can be clea	at currently invo tialization and ra standard as it re arly stated as a n	ere no "full-scale ratio" ke the method. A nge requirements. In quires them to work out ninimum value of c(0) ments.
								o Table 93A-1. ninimum cursor o	coefficient	93A.1.4.2 c(0)	

Add the corresponding row to Table 93-8 and Table 94-17 with the value 0.62.

Add the following sentence to the end of the first paragraph of 93A.1.4.2. "If the value of c(0) is less than the specified minimum value, the corresponding combination of c(-1) and c(1) is considered invalid and is not used to calculate COM."

C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.4	P 403	L 39	# <u>r01-15</u>
Marris, Arthu	ur	Cadence	Design Syst	
-	ype E n 93A-17 is trun	Comment Status A cated		
SuggestedF Fix to m	,	Also fix text on lines 43	3 and 45.	
Response		Response Status C		

ACCEPT.

The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot.

However, the commenter correctly points out an editorial issue that should be corrected. Implement the suggested remedy.

CI 78	SC 78.1.3.3.1	P 85	L 32	# <u>r</u> 01-16
Marris, Arthu	r	Cadence De	sign Syst	
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status A		EEE description

This paragraph says that deep sleep support is called out in Table 71. This is not true, it will be 802.3bm that will indicate in Table 71 which PHYs do not support deep sleep.

Also it says deep sleep "is similar to the mechanism defined for PHYs with an operating speed less than 40 Gb/s". This is not correct, deep sleep is the mechanism defined for PHYs with an operating speed less than 40 Gb/s. So delete this qualification. It is important to do this because otherwise the explanation of AN in 78.3 will not make sense.

Also there should be an explanation of how fast wake differs from normal operation.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the paragraph so that it reads as follows:

"For PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake. Deep sleep refers to the mode for which the transmitter ceases transmission during Low Power Idle (as shown in Figure 78-3). Deep sleep support is optional for PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement EEE and mandatory for PHYs with an operating speed less than 40 Gb/s that implement EEE. Fast wake refers to the mode for which the transmitter continues to transmit signals during Low Power Idle so that the receiver can resume operation with a shorter wake time (as shown in Figure 78-3a). Fast wake support is mandatory for PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement EEE.

For transmit, other than the PCS encoding LPI, there is no difference between fast wake and normal operation.

Add the following text to the end of the second sentence in the first paragraph of 78.1.3.3.2 PHY LPI receive operation

"If in fast wake mode BIP running disparity is not calculated while in the fast wake state which is entered on reception of the sleep signal."

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Deep sleep is not defined for any PHYs <40Gb/s. Unless changes are made to all of the clauses written in P802.3az, the paragraph here should refer only to PHYs >=40Gb/s. Also, BIP statistics are not updated for either deep sleep or fast wake operation while the receiver is in any state other than RX_ACTIVE. It's not clear that special text is required for fast wake and/or BIP in this clause.

Change the paragraph so that it reads as follows:

"For PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake. Deep sleep refers to the mode for which the transmitter ceases transmission during Low Power Idle (as shown in Figure 78-3) and is equivalent to the only mechanism defined for PHYs

Comment ID r01-16

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Page 5 of 20 3/19/2014 3:58:41 AM

with an operating speed less than 40 Gb/s. Deep sleep support is optional for PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement EEE. Fast wake refers to the mode for which the transmitter continues to transmit signals during Low Power Idle so that the receiver can resume operation with a shorter wake time (as shown in Figure 78-3a). For transmit, other than the PCS encoding LPI, there is no difference between fast wake and normal operation. Fast wake support is mandatory for PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement EEE."

Also change the first sentence in 78.3 from

"PHYs capable of deep sleep operation shall advertise that capability during the Auto-Negotiation stage."

to

"The EEE capability shall be advertised during the Auto-Negotiation stage, except for PHYs that only support fast wake operation."

C/ 94	SC 94.2.9.4	P 326	L 10	# <u>r</u> 01-17
Marris, Arthu	r	Cadence Des	ign Syst	

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Add the word "repeating" to make it consistent with previous test pattern subclauses.

Add text to describe control variables for the Transmitter linearity test pattern

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"The transmitter linearity test pattern is a 160-symbol pattern"

To:

"The transmitter linearity test pattern is a repeating 160-symbol pattern"

Add following text to the end of 94.2.9.4:

"The transmitter linearity test pattern is enabled by the test_pattern_enable and TX_linearity_enable control variables. If the optional Clause 45 MDIO is implemented, the control variables map to the registers and bits defined in 94.2.10."

Add TX_linearity_enable to Table 94-4 referencing 1.1501.11

Also make sure the enable bit 1.1501.11 is added in Clause 45. I have submitted a separate comment for this.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

out not the clean e <i>medy</i>	Comment Status lata stream". This			in the comparison
te deleted text "o out not the clean emedy	lata stream". This		n as deleted	in the comparison
	eleted text "data st	ream" at the	end of 94.3.	10.10.
	Response Status	С		
·		ne compare	version but is	s present in the clean
		16	/ 10	# r01-19
	-		-	# 101-13
istency consider EEE capability	changing all insta (with the deep slee	nces of:	on)"	bucke
all instances of: EEE capability		p mode opti	on)"	
	Response Status	С		
	d text shows up a ge is necessary. SC 80.3.3.5 r pe E istency consider EEE capability EEE deep sleep emedy all instances of: EEE capability EEE deep sleep c. ment is not agai	d text shows up as deleted text in the ge is necessary. SC 80.3.3.5 P 1 r Cade r C	d text shows up as deleted text in the compare ge is necessary. SC 80.3.3.5 P 116 r Cadence Design pe E Comment Status A istency consider changing all instances of: EEE capability (with the deep sleep mode opti EEE deep sleep mode capability" emedy all instances of: EEE capability (with the deep sleep mode opti EEE deep sleep mode capability" Response Status C T. ment is not against a changed portion of the du	d text shows up as deleted text in the compare version but is ge is necessary. SC 80.3.3.5 P 116 L 10 r Cadence Design Syst pe E Comment Status A istency consider changing all instances of: EEE capability (with the deep sleep mode option)" EEE deep sleep mode capability" emedy all instances of: EEE capability (with the deep sleep mode option)" EEE deep sleep mode capability" EEE deep sleep mode capability" EEE deep sleep mode capability" EEE deep sleep mode capability"

C/ 01	SC 1.4.52b	P 25	L 39	# <u>r</u> 01-20
Rolfe, Benja	amin	Blind Creek A	ssociate	

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The resolution to comment I-8 fails to provide an valid reason for rejecting the comment. The statement identified in comment I-8 constitutes information not appropriate in a definition as defined by the ieee style manual. This standard does not meet the requirements stated therein, and no valid reason is given for correcting the deficiency. The resulting error hides potentially important information in a non-normative clause, which may lead to implementation errors and interoperability issues (thus it is a technical issue). Admitting that a a normative requirement is stated in non-normative language reinforces the need to correct the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Implement the proposed resolution to I-8 and remove extraneous text from the definition of the term and ensure that normative characteristics are properly contained in appropriate normative clauses. Alternately delete the definition.

Response	Response Status	w
----------	-----------------	---

REJECT.

The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The definitions in question follow the general form of other Physical Layer definitions in IEEE Std 802.3-2012. Two examples of other definitions follow:

"1.4.52 100GBASE-CR10: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s using 100GBASE-R encoding over ten lanes of shielded balanced copper cabling, with reach up to at least 7 m. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 85.)"

"1.4.54 100GBASE-LR4: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s using 100GBASE-R encoding over four WDM lanes on single-mode fiber, with reach up to at least 10 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 88.)"

These definitions are structured to describe the Physical Layer in terms of the encoding used and the medium supported. Compare these examples to the definition of 100GBASE-KR4 in IEEE P802.3bj.

"1.4.52b 100GBASE-KR4: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s using 100GBASE-R encoding, Clause 91 RS-FEC, and 2-level pulse amplitude modulation over four lanes of an electrical backplane, with a total insertion loss up to 35 dB at 12.9 GHz. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 93.)"

This definition also describes the Physical Layer in terms of the encoding and supported medium. It is intended to be descriptive, identify the purpose of this Physical Layer, and highlight how it is distinct from similar Physical Layers. The major difference between this definition and the previous examples is how the medium is defined. For connections

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

between boxes, the emphasis is on length because the reader is more likely interested in how far the two boxes can be separated within an equipment room or across a campus. For "inside the box" connections, length is a consideration but the reader (one who wishes to build a system utilizing Ethernet over backplane links) is expected to be more interested in the loss that can be supported. After much deliberation, it was concluded that loss was the best way to define this particular medium.

Defining the medium in these terms then allows us to clarify the distinction between 100GBASE-KR4 and 100GBASE-KP4 since both are 100 Gb/s Physical Layer specifications that operate over four lanes of an electrical backplane. For reference, the informative channel insertion losses may be found in 93.9.2 and 94.4.2, respectively.

CI 83	SC	83.3	P 158 L 36	# r01-21
Marris, Ai	rthur		Cadence Design Syst	
Commen	t Type	т	Comment Status A	xref fix
Deep	sleep n	node is a	ctually described in 78.1.3.3.1 rather than 78.3.	

SuggestedRemedy

Change cross reference from 78.3 to 78.1.3.3.1. Page 158 line 36 (or just reference Clause 78 here); also Page 158 line 54 Page 101 line 38 (Clause 80) Page 158 line 36, 54 (Clause 83) Page 166 line 29 (Clause 84) Page 170 line 30 (Clause 84) Page 379 line 15(Annex 83A) Page 166 line 29 (Clause 84)

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Response

The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, the comment addresses an error in cross-references that escaped notice in previous drafts.

C/ 80 SC 80.3.1 Marris, Arthur	P 111L 52Cadence Design Syst	# <u>r01-22</u>	C/ 93A SC 93A.4 P 420 L 33 # r01-24 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation LSI Corporation
Comment Type TR Comment S Clause 74 is the BASE-R FEC	Status A	FEC name	Comment Type E Comment Status A There is only one rise and fall time.
SuggestedRemedy Change: RS-FEC (see Clause 74) To: BASE-R FEC (see Clause 74)			SuggestedRemedy Change "20% to 80% rise and fall times, T_t." to "20% and 80% rise and fall time, T_t." Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Response Response S ACCEPT.	itatus W		C/ 93A SC 93A.4 P 420 L 26 # 101-25 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation LSI Corporation LSI Corporation LSI Corporation
See also comment #3 Cl 92 SC 92.7.12 Healey, Adam Comment Type T Comment S The requirement corresponding to the SuggestedRemedy Replace the first sentence of item b)	e first line of item b) could be		Comment Type TR Comment Status A The equation for ILD_RMS is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy Change the equation to sqrt(sum_over_n(W(f_n)*ILD(f_n)^2)/N). Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bj/public/mar14/healey 3bj 02 0314.pdf
update process specified in 72.6.10.2 responding to that request shall be le the beginning the start-up protocol. T entry to the AN_GOOD_CHECK state 93.7.12 and 94.3.10.7.5.	ss than 2 ms, except during he beginning of the start-up	the first 50 ms following protocol is defined to be	C/ 92 SC 92.11.3.1 P 264 L 40 # r01-26 Healey, Adam LSI Corporation
Response Response S ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Status C		Comment Type TR Comment Status A The definition of RMS insertion loss deviation is incomplete. The frequency range for the fitted insertion loss must also be defined.
In 92.7.12 and 93.7.12, use the suggested remedy. In 94.3.10.7.5, replace the sentence on page 294 line 9 with: "In addition, the period from receiving a new request to responding to that request shall be less than 2 ms, except during the first 50 ms following the beginning the start-up protocol. The beginning of the start-up protocol is defined to be entry to the AN_GOOD_CHECK state in Figure 73-11."			SuggestedRemedy Change the second paragraph of 92.11.3.1 to the following. "The RMS insertion loss deviation, ILD_RMS, is calculated according to 93A.4 with f_b=25.78125 GHz, T_t=9.6 ps, and f_r=0.75 x f_b. The fitted insertion loss is computed over the range f_min=0.01 GHz to f_max=25 GHz. ILD_RMS shall be less than 0.13 dB." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
			Comment r01-56 changes the name of the term from "ILD_RMS" to "FOM_ILD". Change the second paragraph of 92.11.3.1 to the following. "FOM_ILD is calculated according to 93A.4 with f_b=25.78125 GHz, T_t=9.6 ps, and f_r=0.75 x f_b. The fitted insertion loss and insertion loss deviation are computed over the range f_min=0.01 GHz to f_max=25 GHz. FOM_ILD shall be less than 0.13 dB."

Comment ID r01-26 Page 8 of 20 3/19/2014 3:58:41 AM

C/ 93A SC 93A	.1.2.3	P 411	L 38	# r <u>01-27</u>	C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.6	P 415	L 42	# <u>r</u> 01-28
lealey, Adam		LSI Corporatio	n		Healey, A	dam	LSI Corporatio	on	
f^2 term to mode yield a X mm trai tranmission lines acceptable and u SuggestedRemedy Replace the equa theory that are ca such a model tha values in Table 9 Response ACCEPT IN PRI Implement with e	line model defir insertion loss d mission line are These inaccura nacceptable cha tions in 93A.1.2 usal by constru- t is a function of 3A-3 and Table <i>Respon</i> NCIPLE. ditorial license th 02.org/3/bj/public	eviation. The equati e also inaccurate, es acies impair the abil annels. .3 with equations m ction. Supporting ma 5 real-valued parar 92-12 (to be provide se Status C he changes describu c/mar14/healey_3bj	ions for cascad specially for sho ity of COM to d nore grounded i aterial will be p meters. Replace ed as part of the ed in	ifferentiate between	The v presu the ex h(0)(t we tal transr 100Gi seem: Suggester Remo h(0)(t corres Response ACCE The c by ch with "I techni Impler (94.3. See h	arameter SNR_ alue of SNR_TX mably accounts (pression for sign _s)/(L-1). The de ke sigma_e ~ 0 a nitter to be abou BASE-KR4, L = BASE-KP4, the sis inconsistent wi dRemedy ove the extraneou _s)^2*10^(-SNR_ sponding value for PT IN PRINCIP omment is not a anges, or a porti Disapprove" vote ical issue should ment the sugges 12.7, Table 94-1	gainst a changed portion of the on of the draft that is the subje as. It is out of the scope of the	ce with variance ance due to min gma_X^2 and (/ o_max^2/(sigma find the effectiv)+SNR_TX. For ransmitter is SN is 12 dB better for rorst-case impai n (93A-28) to sig t for 100GBASE e draft, a portion ct of unresolved recirculation bal 100GBASE-KP4 NR_TX value (T z_3bj_01a_0314	e sigma_TX^2 which imum SNDR. However A_s/R_LM) = e^2+sigma_n^2)). If re SNDR for the COM r 100GBASE-CR4 and IR_TX as expected. Fo than SNR_TX. This rments. gma_TX^2 = -KP4 transmitter and n of the draft affected d comments associated lot. However, this 4 SNDR limit Table 94-17) to 31 dB.

C/ 93A SC 93A.1.2.	3 P 346	L 51	# <u>r01-29</u>	CI 78 SC	78.1.1	P 85	L 4	# r01-31	
Noore, Charles	Avago Techno	ologies		Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syst					
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		service interfac	
will cause difficulties in	a transfer characteristic which computing COM. Also equa be incorrect. We need a new	tions 93A-11 ar	nd	Alert request SuggestedReme Change:		sent over XLAUI/CAUI in addit	ion to quiet req	uests.	
SuggestedRemedy	l be made in a presentation.			"transmit qui to: "transmit qui	•				
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE.			Change: "interfaces ir	nfer the qu	liet request"			
See r01-27.				To: "interfaces ir	nfer quiet a	and alert requests"			
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.	B.1 P 154	L 19	# r01-30	Add reference	e to 83.5.	11.1 in this paragraph.			
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Des	ign Syst		Response		Response Status C			
	Comment Status A st Figure 82-17LPI Receive FW switch to all transitions ou		LPI state	ACCEPT.					
SuggestedRemedy Add "* LPI_FW = FAL do not already have a	SE" qualifier to the three trans LPI_FW qualifier.	sitions out of the	RX_SLEEP state that						
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C								

C/ 93C	SC 93C.2	P 417	L 47	# <u>r</u> 01-32
Mellitz, Ric	hard	Intel Corporation		

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The peak voltage of the transmitter should be account for. For a "transmitter with high quality termination" V_f should be set to 0.4V. Otherwise V_a and V_fe should be set to the measured V_f as long as it is > 0.4V. The COM calculation is somewhat insensitive to V_f in a normal sense. However for calibration there would be and impact on the applied noise.

SuggestedRemedy

Change

The procedure is based on the calculation of COM which uses the parameters defined in the COM parameter table in the PMD clause that invokes this method with the following exceptions. The value of sigma_RJ and ADD are set based on a transformation of measured parameters as specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. The value of SNRTX is set based on a transformation of the measured parameters specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. The value of SNRTX is set based on a transformation of the measured parameters specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. In the COM computation the transmitter package model is included only if a compliant transmitter with a similar termination is used. If a transmitter with high quality termination is used, in the COM calculation, the termination is modeled as ideal and a Gaussian low pass filter is added to Equation (93A-17) which has the same 20%-80% transition time as the transmitter measured at TP0a. To:

The procedure is based on the calculation of COM which uses the parameters defined in the COM parameter table in the PMD clause that invokes this method with the following exceptions. The value of sigma_RJ, ADD, V_f are set based on a transformation of measured parameters as specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. The value of SNRTX and V_f is set based on a transformation of the measured parameters specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. The value of SNRTX and V_f is set based on a transformation of the measured parameters specified in these PMD clause that invokes this method. In the COM computation the transmitter package model is included only if a compliant transmitter with a similar termination is used. If a transmitter with high quality termination is used, in the COM calculation, the termination is modeled as ideal and a Gaussian low pass filter is added to Equation (93A-17) which has the same 20%-80% transition time as the transmitter measured at TP0a and V_f is adjusted in the transmitter to the V_f parameter specified in this PMD clause that invokes this method. In this case the parameters V_a and V_fe defined in the COM parameter table are set to the measured value fo V_f. Alternatively:

The procedure is based on the calculation of COM which uses the parameters defined in the COM parameter table in the PMD clause that invokes this method with the following exceptions. The value of sigma_RJ and ADD are set based on a transformation of measured parameters as specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. The value of SNRTX is set based on a transformation of the measured parameters specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. The value of SNRTX is set based on a transformation of the measured parameters specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. In the COM computation the transmitter package model is included only if a compliant transmitter with a similar termination is used. If a transmitter with high quality termination is used, in the COM calculation, the termination is modeled as ideal and a Gaussian low pass filter is added to Equation (93A-17) which has the same 20%-80% transition time as the transmitter measured at TPOa. The transmitter voltage amplitude is adjusted to the parameter V f defined in the PMD

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

clause that invokes this method. Editorial license granted

Response

REJECT.

The comment suggests that an allowance should made be when the test transmitter peakto-peak differential output voltage exceeds 800 mV. However, this is not necessary since the test transmitter output voltage is constrained (see the 3rd paragraph of 93C.1). Specific constraints are stated in the clause that refers to this method. See, for example, 93.8.2.3:

"The test transmitter is constrained such that for any transmit equalizer setting the differential peak-to-peak voltage (see 93.8.1.3) is less than or equal to 800 mV ."

Response Status C

CI 93A	SC 93A.1.6	P 415	L 42	# r01-33
Mellitz, Richa	ard	Intel Corporation		

Comment Type GR Comment Status A

Equation 98A-28 essentially reduces a to proportion of channel attenuation times (sigma_e+sigma_n) but divided by (L-1). The effect of sigma_e + sigma_n is not affect by levels. The impact it requires a lower sndr level for clause 94.

SuggestedRemedy

In equation 98A-28 change As to As*(L-1) In table 94-13, page 356 line, 35 change SNDR to 33 And In table 94-17, p373, line 42change SNR_TX to 33 Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot.

See r01-28.

C/ 92 SC 92.14		L 24	# <u>r</u> 01-34	C/ 93 SC 93.9			# <u>r01-35</u>
alkert, Thomas	Molex Incorp	orated		Palkert, Thomas	Molex In	corporated	
	Comment Status A uation 92-25 but the constraints PICS related to equation 92-25.			Comment Type TF COM results are i extrapolation met SuggestedRemedy	nconsistent due to VNA reso		cies and selected DC
ggestedRemedy				,	.05 GHz to .1GHz and spec	ify DC extranolation	method
	ge reference from equation '92-	-25' to equation '9	92-28'	5	•	, ,	metriou.
esponse ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status W			Response REJECT.	Response Status V	1	
Receiver interferen	ce tolerance test (92.8.4.4) cons	strains cable asse	embly coefficients.	by changes, or a	not against a changed portion portion of the draft that is the votes. It is out of the scope	subject of unresolve	d comments associate
In CA2 add referen	ce to 92.8.4.4.			The result of a ca	lculation based on measured	data is limited by the	e accuracy of the
Add after sentence	S for cable assembly min (Eq. 9 P214, L25 "The measured inse o the maximum cable insertion I	rtion loss of the c	able assembly shall be	measurement. CO assumed that the accurate measure	DM is a function of measured measurements are accurate ements e.g., the method of no t does not dictate how to det	channel scattering p . The draft does not o etwork analyzer calib	barameters and it is dictate how to make ration is not defined.
implementation of c Change equation 9 f < 14	equation 92-10 to yield 9.85 dB comment i-122 against Draft 3.0 2-10 coefficients to implement.).		COM is related to	ues can result in causality at the severity of the violation a force causality and/or passiv	and can be compoun	
-19.067+2.119*f	14 = f </= 19</td <td></td> <td></td> <td>transfer function r frequency)" and th</td> <td>s, NOTE 1 of 93A.1.5 advises nay need to be extrapolated nat the "extrapolation method ne error in the COM computa</td> <td>(both to DC and to or and sampling freque</td> <td>ne half of the sampling</td>			transfer function r frequency)" and th	s, NOTE 1 of 93A.1.5 advises nay need to be extrapolated nat the "extrapolation method ne error in the COM computa	(both to DC and to or and sampling freque	ne half of the sampling
				DC value for any not broadly applic	medy does not include a "foo channel that could be evalua able and accurate, there is th nat are mandated by the star	ted with COM. If a m ne risk that an otherw	ethod is specified that
					ue of f_min in Table 93-8, it l er measurements between 0 results.		
					ariability may result from the		

Comment ID r01-35

algorithms to the non-causal package and host tranmission line models defined in 93A.1.2.3 and 92.10.7.1.1 respectively. This issue is addressed in r01-27.

C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.2	P 223	L 18	# <u>r</u> 01-36	C/ 92 SC 92.	8.3.6.1	P 226	L 49	# <u>r</u> 01-38
Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox Tech	inologie		Dawe, Piers J G		Mellanox Teo	hnologie	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A			Comment Type E	Co	mment Status R		
others e.g. in 72, 85, 93,	e and Annex 92A are bitmap 86A.	es, with their dis	advantages. Unlike	(optional), is a lo	ng subclaus		ntrolling the vari	5.10, PMA test patterns ous test pattern modes, Table 68-6)" Let's
SuggestedRemedy	biog					ore user friendly.	(····, ···
Replace with vector grap				SuggestedRemedy				
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE				PRBS9 pattern (Similarly in 92.8.	see Table 68 3.8.	,		
	d in the draft but some graph ile was generated. The caus			6).	0		10)." to "a PRBS	9 pattern (see Table 68-
			"	Response REJECT.	Res	ponse Status C		
C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.6.1 Dawe, Piers J G	P 226 Mellanox Tech	L 49	# r01-37	REJECT.				
85.8.3.3.4, Waveform ac sample rate that is M tim shall be an integer not le allowed.) But there is no need to c oversampling): the need M=32 with an equivalent SuggestedRemedy Change "per 85.8.3.3.4 v	Comment Status A r 85.8.3.3.4 with M not less equisition, says "The wavefo hes the signaling rate of the ess than 7." (It's "effective" s capture with such high overs is to process the linear fit al -time scope would take long with M not less than 32 sam otured waveform is resample rval."	rm shall be cap transmitter und to that equivale ampling (nor wi gorithm with M er than needed ples per unit int	otured with an effective er test. The value of M ent-time scopes are ith integral >=32. Measuring with d.	with "Disapprove The reference in similar reference Also, the sugges properly understo Additionally, 83.5	" votes. It is 92.8.3.6.1 to s in IEEE 80 ted remedy i bod. 5.10 includes	out of the scope of the o the PRBS9 definition 2.3-2012 Clauses 86, is not required in order	e recirculation ba in subclause 83 87, and 88. for the definition nfiguration and c	5.10 is consistent with
•	•							
To: " per 85.8.3.3.4. In the	not less than 32 samples p following calculation, M sho ured waveform may be used	ould be an integ	ger not less than 32.					

D/ 92 SC 92.10.2 P 238 L 8 # [r01-39] Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie	C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.2 P 232 L 45 # r01-41				
awe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie	Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie				
Comment Type E Comment Status R	Comment Type ER Comment Status R				
92.10.2, Cable assembly insertion loss, is a confusing section because for over a page it goes through a fitting procedure, then doesn't do anything with the answer, then provides limits - but for measured, not fitted?	Equation 92-22, for receiver differential input return loss, is just the same as Equation 92-1 for transmitter differential output return loss. Don't waste the reader's time.				
	SuggestedRemedy				
uggestedRemedy Use subclauses to divide the fitted and non-fitted material. Consider putting the non-fitted material first.	Change "The differential input return loss, in dB, of the receiver shall meet Equation (92- 22)." to "The differential input return loss, in dB, of the receiver shall meet Equation (92- 1).". Remove Equation 92-22. The PICS RC4 remains.				
Pesponse Response Status C	Response Response Status C				
REJECT.	REJECT.				
The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected	This comment is a restatement of comment i-159.				
by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot.	The draft is correct and unambiguous as written.				
The definitions and specifications are correct and unambiguous as written.	Also, having the reader refer to another section of the document it could be more difficult for the reader.				
7/ 92 SC 92.11.3.1 P 254 L 46 # r01-40	C/ 92 SC 92.11.1.2 P 251 L 36 # r01-42				
awe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie	Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie				
omment Type T Comment Status R					
Now that the minimim mated loss has been increased, there is a larger gap between min	Comment Type T Comment Status R				
and max, degrading measurement accuracy, yet at least at the lower frequencies, performance is clearly better than these specs allow, as shown in slide 8 of diminico_3bj_01a_0114.pdf.	The HCB reference insertion loss has been reduced by scaling all three terms. Yet slide 12 of diminico_3bj_01a_0114.pdf shows measurements with a little more curvature than the new reference loss.				
uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy				
In eq 92-45, low frequency part, reduce the ~f term but add a quadratic term so that the	Adjust the three coefficients so that the insertion loss is more curved.				
spec remains continuous at 14 GHz.	Response Response Status C				
esponse Response Status C	REJECT.				
REJECT.					
Commentor has not provided sufficient justification for change suggested in remedy and has not provided sufficient information to implement in the draft.	The shape of the measurements provided should not be used to generate limit lines as they are representative of a limited set of measurements performed on mated test fixtures of the same design. Other IL shapes are possible. IL (minimum and maximum) and ILD of the mated test fixtures are specified.				
The shape of the measurements provided should not be used to generate limit lines as					

C/ 92 SC 92.11.3.3 P 254 L 6 # r01-43 Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie Mellanox Technologie Mellanox Technologie Mellanox Technologie	C/ 93A SC 93A. 4 Dawe, Piers J G		L 26 echnologie	# <u>r</u> 01-45			
Comment Type T Comment Status R	Comment Type E	Comment Status R	-				
The newly relaxed mixed-mode specs for the compliance boards imply that the mixe mode specs for CAUI-4 (and OIF VSR) must be relaxed also, possibly degrading us	Why fn? I believe i ul the number of poin	in 40 and 100G Ethernet we hats.	ave tried to use i a	s the index. N might be			
performance and/or requiring that something else must be tightened up.	SuggestedRemedy						
SuggestedRemedy		places) and n to i under the big	sigma.				
Review what compliance board performance is practicable. Can the mixed-mode sp returned to the D3.0 limits?	cs be <i>Response</i> REJECT.	Response Status C					
Response Response Status C	REJECT.						
REJECT.		he index to a particular frequer This convention is used in a n					
See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bm/public/mar14/dawe_02_0314_optx.pdf.	C/ 93A SC 93A.4	4 P 410	L 26	# r01-46			
The mated test fixture specification changes referred to by the commentor were con on the basis of measurements of mated test fixtures (i.e., practically implemented) g	dered Dawe Piers J G	Mellanox T	-	<i>π</i> 101-40			
http://www.ieee802.org/3/bj/public/jan14/diminico_3bj_01a_0114.pdf.	Comment Type T Isn't ILD done in dE	Comment Status A B space not raw response?					
Tightening of the compliance board mixed mode specification requires changes to o specifications.	er SuggestedRemedy	,					
•	,	ould be ILD(fn)^2, I think.					
C/ 93 SC 93.8.3 P 231 L 34 # r01-44	Response	Response Status C					
awe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie	ACCEPT.						
	st jitter						
The limit for effective total uncorrelated jitter, peak-to-peak is the same 0.18 UI here as it is in Table 93-4 At TP0a. As the host channel and connector must add some	t TP2 See r01-25.						
Gaussian jitter, this seems inconsistent.	C/ 93A SC 93A.4	4 P 410	L 26	# r01-47			
SuggestedRemedy	Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox T	echnologie				
Tweak one of the limits to make room for host channel and connector.	Comment Type T	Comment Status A					
esponse Response Status C	The ILDrms formul	la needs a denominator.					
REJECT.	SuggestedRemedy						
The response to r01-57 points out that the test conditions generate correlated cross	Within the square r	root, divide by sum(W).					
that will not appear in the uncorrelated jitter measurement. No relaxation of the jitter	Response	Response Status C					
specification is necessary.	ACCEPT IN PRINC	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					
		enominator is chosen to be N t tworking Forum implementatio					
)1-56 designates this term as a nd names it "FOM_ILD" to dist					
	See http://www.iee	e802.org/3/bj/public/mar14/he	aley_3bj_02_0314	.pdf.			

SORT ORDER: Comment ID

CI 92 SC	92.7.12	P 197	L 23	# r01-48	C/ 92	SC	92.8.3.2	P	200	L 36	# r01-49
Dudek, Michael		QLogic Corpo	-		Dudek, M				gic Corpo		
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A			Commen	t Type	TR	Comment Status	Α		
etc. The inter have mis-und SuggestedRemed Either A - Cha as specified in complete the to the AN_GC ii) . The period than 2ms, wh new request a corresponding from the coeff status report for or B - if the in training then coord as it is covere	nt of this co erstood the by ange the be n 72.6.10.2 process is DOD_CHEC d from rec ere the sta and the end g reponse. ficient filed is upd tent is that delete "In a ed by the fil	e understand what is intender omment is not to technically e original intent, however the eginning of the section to. "". 5 with the following addition 50ms from the beginning of CK state in Figure 73-11). eiving a new request to resp t of the period is the frame r A new request occurs wher in the preceding frame. The ated to indicate the correspondent to requirement to respondent didition to the coefficient upor st sentence in the section. to 93.7.12 on page 247, and <i>Response Status</i> C	change what is a different wordin The coefficient un hal requirements training (as der wonding to that re marker of the train in the coefficient e response occu- onding action is only starts 50m date process spo	in the draft, but I may ng in clause 94 helps. update process shall be s. i) The time to marcated by the entry equest shall be less aining frame with the update field is different urs when the coefficient complete." s after the start of ecified in 72.6.10.25"	board host return desc Suggeste Char 19Gł figure Respons ACC The d http:/	d it is no being us n loss so ribe this edRemen ge the e Hz. M e 92-5. e EPT IN priginal a /www.ie final proj /www.ie	t possible sed to test o relaxing t dy equation 92 lake the sa PRINCIPL analysis an ee802.org/ posal is he ee802.org/	to pass the host ret the cables in the Cr his specification wil 2-1 to 8.5-0.35*f fro ame changes to equ <i>Response Status</i> E. d proposal are prov /3/bj/public/mar14/d	urn loss DM calcu I not cau n 0.01 to ation 92 W rided her udek_3b udek_3b	specifications. T ulation is worse t ise a system issu 0 8GHZ, and 3.9- -22. Make corre -22. Make corre	worst case compliance The return loss of the han the effective host ue. A presentation will -7.4*log(f/14) from 8 to esponding changes to
ACCEPT IN F	RINCIPLE				Char	ige equa	ations 92-1	and 92-22 to the fo	llowing:		
See comment	t r01-23.				• • •	0.37*f 7.4*LO0		0.01 ≤ f < 8 GHz 8 ≤ f ≤ 19 GHz	Ū		
					Upda	te the c	orrespondi	ing figures.			
					CI 93A	SC	93A.1.2.3	P:	847	L 7	# <u>r</u> 01-50
					Dudek, M	lichael		QLo	gic Corpo	oration	
					Commen	t Type	TR	Comment Status	Α		
								on of the transmissio 2), the value of p1			and to match the e negative not positive
					Suggeste Char			from "0.106" to "-0	106".		

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment ID r01-50

Response Status W

The response to comment r01-27 removes the parameter rho_1.

	_							
Cl 92 SC 92.8.3 Dudek, Michael	P 199 QLogic Corp	L 17 poration	# <u>r</u> 01-51	<i>Cl</i> 92 Dudek, Mic	SC 92.10.2 hael	P 213 QLogic Corpo	L 14 pration	# <u>r</u> 01-53
	Comment Status A of Signal-to-noise-and-distor oles it is no longer necessar			MDNE>	e use of COM t KT, MCFEXT, a	Comment Status A o specify cables and calibrate nd ICN are only used for the iffication numbers for these p	test fixture speci	fications Note there
SuggestedRemedy				Suggestedl	Remedy			
Delete the Far-end no	se rows and also section 92	2.8.3.5				nd MDFEXT rows in Table 92		,
Response	Response Status C), and 92.9.10 r l of section 92.1	eplacing "cable Assembly" wi 1.	th "Test Fixture"	and moving them to
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE.			Response		Response Status C		
Remove 92.8.3.5.				ACCEF	PT IN PRINCIPI	.E.		
Remove row with far e	nd transmit output noise par	rameters in Table	92-6.	Use su	ggested remed	with editorial license to impl	ement.	
Also, remove the relat	ed PICS TC23 and TC24.			CI 94	SC 94.3.12.7		L 26	# r01-54
C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.4	3 P 211	L 5	# r01-52	Dudek, Mic	hael	QLogic Corpo	bration	
Dudek, Michael	QLogic Corp	ooration		Comment 7	51	Comment Status A		
Comment Type T Erroneous sentence.	Comment Status A The disturbers are to be set		ude. There can't be	COM c		etween the allowed SNDR he SNR in the COM code is related full amplitude.		
3dB difference betwee	n them.			Suggestedl	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Change the TX SNDR requirement from 27dB to 36.54dB (or change the meaning of TxSNR in the COM code).				
	The amplitudes of each of th an of the disturber amplitude		id not deviate more	Response		Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			•	T IN PRINCIPI	-		
ACCEPT.				The cor by char	mment is not ag nges, or a portio	gainst a changed portion of th on of the draft that is the subj s. It is out of the scope of the	ect of unresolved	l comments associat

See response to comment r01-28

Dudek, Michael O.Logic Corporation Healey, Adam LSI Corporation Commont Type T Commont Status A A The definition for ILDrms have is different from the definition in OF CEI 3.1, and has multiple issues (gr. The result depends on the number of samples and it will be a large number because 10 ⁴ (0) is 1.1 The definition is about the definition of the number of samples and it will be a large number because 10 ⁴ (0) is 1.1 Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy All aggression meet to be included. Suggested/Remedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Corporation The state of the aggressor the add transmitting the same pattern. Coldek, Michael O.Logic Corporation The state of the aggressor the add transmitting the same pattern. Suggested/Remedy Comment Status A I.Loms isn't the technically the RMS of the insertion ioss deviation. The state of the aggressor the add transmitting the same pattern. Suggested/Remedy Comment Status A I.Loms isn't the technically the RMS of the insertion ioss deviation. It is calculated using Equation (03A-54). Suggested/Remedy Comment Status A I.Lom isn't the state PAID isnes and PAID isnes. Suggested/Remedy Comment Status A I.Loms isn't the state PAID isnes ender the state PAID isnes ende add transmitting re	C/ 93A SC 93	3A.4	P 355	L 24	# <u>r</u> 01-55	C/ 92	SC 92.8.3.9.2	P 230	L 43	# <u>r</u> 01-57	
The definition for LLDms here is different from the definition in OF CEI 31.1 and has multiple issues (eg., The result depends on the number of samples and it will be a large number because (a). Noise from switching aggressors need to be included. SuggestedRemedy Change the definition in macht that in OIF CEI 3.1. That definition has been provided to the definition that in OIF CEI 3.1. That definition has been provided to the definition. Noise from switching aggressors need to be included. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See r01-25. Comment is submitted on behalf of Yun Aumungham. Comment Type T Comment Status A L19 # / 10.56 LUDms isn't technically the RNS of the insertion loss deviation. It is the weighted RNS insertion is diviation Noise from switching aggressor transmitters is not explicitly specified for SNDR and jiter. The intert was that all PMD lanes, end and PMD lanes. SuggestedRemedy Change "The RNS insertion to "The weighted RMS insertion" Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C The definition inging. In submitter output loise and libro libro. Change "The RNS insertion to see deviation. ILD_RNS is a figure of merit for a channel that is based on ILD(f) is given by Equation (g3A-54)." In 42.3.9 "Transmitter output loise and distortion": Compare the first ansmitter output libra again the first and distortion." to: "A CCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bipublic/mar14/healey_3	Dudek, Michael		o .	oration		Healey, A			on		
SuggestedRemedy All aggression/ander the definition to match that in OIF CEI 3.1. That definition has been provided to the editors. It is square roots uni(W(ff)(ILD(f))*2/N) All aggression/ander the standard match that in OIF CEI 3.1. That definition has been provided to the editors. It is square roots uni(W(ff)(ILD(f))*2/N) Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Status A Cl 33A SC 33A.4 P 355 L 19 # [r01-56] Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation The pattern configuration variables and corresponding MDIO configuration bits do not allow a PRBS31 pattern on some lanes and PRBS9 on other. Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Status C LDoms instrict technically the RMS of the insertion loss deviation. It is the weighted RMS insertion* to "The weighted RMS insertion" The state of the aggressor transmitter out PIDU lanes. SuggestedRemedy/ Change "The RMS Insertion to status a figure of merit for a channel that is calculated using Equation (33A-54).* Change "The RMS Insertion loss deviation ILD_RMS is a figure of merit for a channel that is calculated using Equation (33A-54).* In 52.3.3 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": to: "A gure of merit for a channel that is based on ILD(f) is given by Equation (33A-54).* In 52.3.3 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": to: "A gure of merit for a channel that is based on ILD(f) is given by Equation (33A-54).* In 52.3.3 "Transmi	The definition for multiple issues	or ILDrms here is (eg. The result o	s different from the o		,	This	comment is submitted of	on behalf of Vinu Arum	0		
Change the definition to match that in OIF CEI 3.1. That definition has been provided to the editors. It is square root sum(W(fm)*(LD(f))*2/N) Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See r01-25. C1 93A SC 93A.4 P 355 L 19 # [r01:56] Dudek, Michael OLogic Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A LLDms isn't technically the RNS of the insertion loss deviation. It is the weighted RMS insertion loss deviation Suggested/Remedy Change "The RMS insertion" to "The weighted RMS insertion" Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See thtp://www.ieee802.org/3/bj/public/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bj/public/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. Response match and intermitting the same patient with the victim signal and a patient of the crosstalk is of the crosstalk for a patient of the crosstalk for a patient of the crosstalk for a patient of the crosstalk is offer the crosstalk is disortion": No the crosstalk is disortion in the first paragraph from: Signal-to-noise and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the first paragraph from: No the crosstalk is disortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the ration match the same patient with the victim signal are all possibility in contains align from: No the crosstalk offect mil appear in the SINDR insert in the crosstalk for a parallel statisty in the linear ift process may reduce the impact of the crosstalk for a parallel measurement. In Equation (93A-54), change "LD_RMS" to "FOM_LLD". See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bj/public/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. H 943.312.7 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": Orange the first paragraph from: "Uter measurements are performed with transmitter output using the following method." In 943.312.7 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": Orange the first paragraph from: "Uter measurements are performed with transmitter output using the following method." In 943.312.7 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": Orange the fir		e 10^(0) is 1.)				Suggeste	dRemedy				
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See r01-25. C C1 93A SC 93A.4 P 355 L 19 # [01-56] Dudek, Michael OLogic Corporation The state of the aggressor transmitters is not explicitly specified for SNDR and jitter. The intent was that all PMD lanes were enabled and transmitting the same pattern. Comment Type The Comment Status A The state of the aggressor transmitters is not explicitly specified for SNDR and jitter. The intent was that all PMD lanes were anabled and transmitting the same pattern. SuggestedRemedy Change "The RMS insertion" to "The weighted RMS insertion" Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The editer of intra-link crosstalk (so opposed to aller crosstalk) will not appear in the jitter and the SNDR noise ierm (sigma_n) since crosstalk is coherent with the victim signal. The crosstal effect will appear in the SNDR linear fit error term (sigma_n) since crosstalk is coherent with the victim signal. The crosstal effect will appear in the SNDR linear fit error term (sigma_n) since crosstalk will not appear in the jitter and the SNDR linear fit process may reduce the impact of the crosstalk for a particular measurement. tor: "A figure of merit for a channel that is based on ILD(f) is given by Equation (93A-54)." tor: "A figure of merit for a channel that is based on ILD(f) is given by Equation (93A-54)." tor: "A figure of merit for a channel that is baseed on ILD(f) is given by Equation (93A-54)."	Change the defi				nas been provided to			ers shall be transmitting	PRBS31 patter	n with amplitude set to	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See 01-25. C/ 93A SC 93A4 P355 L19 # 101-56 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A ILDms isn't technically the RMS of the insertion loss deviation. It is the weighted RMS insertion loss deviation Suggested/Remedy Change "The RMS insertion" to "The weighted RMS insertion" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "The RMS insertion loss deviation ILD_RMS is a figure of merit for a channel that is calculated using Equation (93A-54)." In Equation (93A-54). change "ILD_RMS" to "FOM_ILD". See http://www.ieee802.org/3/b/jpublic/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In See http://www.ieee802.org/3/b/jpublic/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In See http://www.ieee802.org/3/b/jpublic/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In See http://www.ieee802.org/3/b/jpublic/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See http://www.ieee802.org/3/b/jpublic/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In See http://www.ieee802.org/3/b/jpublic/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In See http://www.ieee802.org/3/b/jpublic/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In See http://www.ieee802.org/3/b/jpublic/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In See http://www.ieee802.org/3/b/jpublic/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. In See http://www.ieee802.org/3/b/jpublic/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN		•		(N)				sponse Status C			
See r01-25. Cl 93A SC 93A4 P355 L19 # 01-56 Dudek, Michael DLogic Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A ILD ms isn't technically the RMS of the insertion loss deviation. It is the weighted RMS insertion loss deviation SuggestedRemedy Change "The RMS insertion" to "The weighted RMS insertion" Response Response Status C Change "The RMS insertion loss deviation ILD_RMS is a figure of merit for a channel that is calculated using Equation (93A-54)." In Equation (93A-54), change "ILD_RMS' to "FOM_ILD". See http://www.leee802.org/3/bjpublic/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. The pattern output noise and distortion": "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method. with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitter output using the following method. The pattern couptut pilter": Add a new paragraph from: "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method. "In the same pattern with identical transmitter output noise and distortion": "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method." "In 92.8.3.8 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method." "In 92.8.3.9 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method." "In 92.8.3.9 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method." "In 92.8.3.9 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method." "In 92.8.3.9 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following	•					ACCI	EPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
Dudek, Michael OLogic Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status A ILDms isn't technically the RMS of the insertion loss deviation. It is the weighted RMS insertion to se deviation A For both measurements, the text needs to specify the following conditions: (a) enable transmitter on all PMD lanes, SuggestedRemedy Change "The RMS insertion" to "The weighted RMS insertion" For both measurements, the text needs to specify the following conditions: (b) set pattern to be the same on all PMD lanes, Change "The RMS insertion to so deviation ILD_RMS is a figure of merit for a channel that is calculated using Equation (93A-54)." Change "The RMS insertion loss deviation ILD_RMS is a figure of merit for a channel that is based on ILD(f) is given by Equation (93A-54)." In Equation (93A-54), change "ILD_RMS" to "FOM_ILD". See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bi/public/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. In 92.8.3.9 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": Change the first paragraph from: "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings." In 92.8.3.9 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": Change the first paragraph from: "Jitter measurements are performed with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings."		INGIF EE.								uration bits do not allow	
ILDms isn't technically the RMS of the insertion loss deviation. It is the weighted RMS insertion loss deviation SuggestedRemedy Change "The RMS insertion" to "The weighted RMS insertion" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "The RMS insertion loss deviation ILD_RMS is a figure of merit for a channel that is calculated using Equation (93A-54)." to: "A Great of the response of the r		3A.4			# r01-56						
SuggestedRemedy Change "The RMS insertion" to "The weighted RMS insertion" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "The RMS insertion loss deviation ILD_RMS is a figure of merit for a channel that is calculated using Equation (93A-54)." to: "A figure of merit for a channel that is based on ILD(f) is given by Equation (93A-54)." In Equation (93A-54), change "ILD_RMS" to "FOM_ILD". See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bj/public/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. The set of intra-link could that the transmitter output noise and distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method": To: "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method": To: "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method.": To: "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method.": To: "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method.": To: "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method.": To: "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitte	ILDrms isn't tec	hnically the RM		s deviation. It is	s the weighted RMS	(a) ei (b) se	hable transmitter on all et pattern to be the sam	PMD lanes, ie on all PMD lanes, an	d	ditions:	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. and the SNDR noise term (sigma_n) since crosstalk is coherent with the victim signal. The crosstalk effect will appear in the SNDR linear fit error term (sigma_e). It is understood that there is a small possibility if conditions align (e.g., the agressor and victim signal are aligned within N_P UI) that the linear fit process may reduce the impact of the crosstalk for a particular measurement. to: "A figure of merit for a channel that is based on ILD(f) is given by Equation (93A-54)." In Equation (93A-54), change "ILD_RMS" to "FOM_ILD". "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method": See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bj/public/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method": In 92.8.3.9 "Transmitter output jitter": Add a new paragraph after the second paragraph: "Jitter measurements are performed with identical transmit equalizer settings." In 94.3.12.7 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": Change the first paragraph from: "Jitter measurements are performed with identical transmit equalizer settings."	SuggestedRemedy										
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "The RMS insertion loss deviation ILD_RMS is a figure of merit for a channel that is calculated using Equation (93A-54)." crosstalk effect will appear in the SNDR linear fit error term (sigma_e). It is understood that there is a small possibility if conditions align (e.g., the aggressor and victim signal are aligned within N_P UI) that the linear fit process may reduce the impact of the crosstalk for a particular measurement. to: "A figure of merit for a channel that is based on ILD(f) is given by Equation (93A-54)." In Equation (93A-54), change "ILD_RMS" to "FOM_ILD". "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method.": See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bj/public/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. "In 92.8.3.9 "Transmitter output jitter": Add a new paragraph after the second paragraph. "Jitter measurements are performed with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings." In 94.3.12.7 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": Change the first paragraph from: Change the first paragraph from: "Add a new paragraph from: Note "Add a new paragraph from: Note "Add a new paragraph from: "Distribution" "Add a new paragraph from: Change the first paragraph from: "Distribution" Change the first paragraph from: </td <td>Change "The R</td> <td>MS insertion" to</td> <td>"The weighted RMS</td> <td>6 insertion"</td> <td></td> <td>The</td> <td>effect of intra-link cross</td> <td>talk (as opposed to alie</td> <td>n crosstalk) will</td> <td>not appear in the jitter</td>	Change "The R	MS insertion" to	"The weighted RMS	6 insertion"		The	effect of intra-link cross	talk (as opposed to alie	n crosstalk) will	not appear in the jitter	
 is calculated using Equation (93A-54)." is calculated using Equation (93A-54)." in See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bj/public/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. is calculated using Equation (93A-54)." in Equation (93A-54), change "ILD_RMS" to "FOM_ILD". in 92.8.3.9 "Transmitter output settings." in 92.8.3.9 "Transmitter output jitter": Add a new paragraph after the second paragraph: "Jitter measurements are performed with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings." in 94.3.12.7 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": Change the first paragraph from: 	ACCEPT IN PR	NINCIPLE.		S is a figure of n	nerit for a channel that	cross there aligne	crosstalk effect will appear in the SNDR linear fit error term (sigma_e). It is understood that there is a small possibility if conditions align (e.g., the aggressor and victim signal are aligned within N_P UI) that the linear fit process may reduce the impact of the crosstalk for				
to: "A figure of merit for a channel that is based on ILD(f) is given by Equation (93A-54)." In Equation (93A-54), change "ILD_RMS" to "FOM_ILD". See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bj/public/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bj/public/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. Change the first paragraph from: "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method": To: "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method, with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings." In 92.8.3.9 "Transmitter output jitter": Add a new paragraph after the second paragraph: "Jitter measurements are performed with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings." In 94.3.12.7 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": Change the first paragraph from:				e .e aga.e e							
In Equation (93A-54), change "ILD_RMS" to "FOM_ILD". To: See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bj/public/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. "Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method, with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings." In 92.8.3.9 "Transmitter output jitter": Add a new paragraph after the second paragraph: "Jitter measurements are performed with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings." In 94.3.12.7 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": Change the first paragraph from:		it for a channel	that is based on ILD	(f) is given by Ec	quation (93A-54)."	Chan "Sign	ge the first paragraph f al-to-noise-and-distortion	rom:		nsmitter output using the	
See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bj/public/mar14/healey_3bj_02_0314.pdf. following method, with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings." In 92.8.3.9 "Transmitter output jitter": Add a new paragraph after the second paragraph: "Jitter measurements are performed with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings." In 94.3.12.7 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": Change the first paragraph from:	In Equation (93)	A-54), change "I	LD_RMS" to "FOM_	ILD".							
Add a new paragraph after the second paragraph: "Jitter measurements are performed with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings." In 94.3.12.7 "Transmitter output noise and distortion": Change the first paragraph from:	See http://www.	ieee802.org/3/b	j/public/mar14/heale	ey_3bj_02_0314.	pdf.	follov	ving method, with trans	mitters on all PMD lane			
Change the first paragraph from:						Add a "Jitte	a new paragraph after t r measurements are pe	he second paragraph: erformed with transmitte			
Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (Sivilar) is measured at the transmitter output using the						Chan	ge the first paragraph f	rom:		omittor output uping the	
						"Sign	al-to-noise-and-distortio	on ratio (SNDR) is mea	sured at the tran	ismitter output using the	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

57 Page 18 of 20 3/19/2014 3:58:41 AM

following method"

To:

"Signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio (SNDR) is measured at the transmitter output using the following method, with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings."

In 94.3.12.6 "Transmitter output jitter":

```
Insert the following text before 94.3.12.6.1:
```

"Jitter measurements in this subclause are performed with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings."

CI 92	SC 92.8.4.5	P 236	L 45	# r01-58
Healey, Ada	am	LSI Corporation		

Comment Type T Comment Status R

This comment is submitted on behalf of Vinu Arumugham.

The jitter tolerance test is not stressful enough and the SJ spec. is not aligned to Clause

SuggestedRemedy

The test procedure is as described in 92.8.4.4.5 except that during the test the pattern generator sinusoidal jitter frequency and peak-to-peak amplitude are as specified in Table 88-13. In addition, the pattern generator is set to generate 0.05UI BUJ.

Response

Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The purpose of the receiver jitter tolerance test is to verify the receiver's ability to track lowfrequency jitter consistent with the transmitter jitter filter defined in 92.8.3.9. It is not intended to be a comprehensive stress test.

Table 92-9 specifies the jitter tolerance to be 1 UI peak-to-peak at 940 kHz and 5 UI peak-to-peak at 190 kHz. Table 88-13 specifies the jitter tolerance to be 5E5/f UI peak-to-peak for f = 1E5 to 1E7 Hz. This translates to [approximately] 0.53 UI peak-to-peak at 940 kHz and 2.6 UI peak-to-peak at 190 kHz. Table 92-9 requires twice the jitter tolerance at these specific frequency points and in that respect is more stressful.

In addition, the commenter has not provided justification why the requirements for a copper cable PHY should be consistent with the requirements for a PHY that supports up to 10 and 40 km over single-mode fiber.

CI 93	SC 93.8.2.4	P 236	L 45	# r01-59
Healey, Adam		LSI Corporation		

Comment Type T Comment Status R

This comment is submitted on behalf of Vinu Arumugham.

The jitter tolerance test is not stressful enough and the SJ spec. is not aligned to Clause

SuggestedRemedy

Receiver jitter tolerance is verified for jitter frequency and peak-to-peak amplitude values specified in Table 88-13.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The purpose of the receiver jitter tolerance test is to verify the receiver's ability to track lowfrequency jitter consistent with the transmitter jitter filter defined in 93.8.1.7. It is not intended to be a comprehensive stress test.

Table 93-7 specifies the jitter tolerance to be 1 UI peak-to-peak at 940 kHz and 5 UI peakto-peak at 190 kHz. Table 88-13 specifies the jitter tolerance to be 5E5/f UI peak-to-peak for f = 1E5 to 1E7 Hz. This translates to [approximately] 0.53 UI peak-to-peak at 940 kHz and 2.6 UI peak-to-peak at 190 kHz. Table 93-7 requires twice the jitter tolerance at these specific frequency points and in that respect is more stressful.

In addition, the commenter has not provided justification why the requirements for a backplane PHY should be consistent with the requirements for a PHY that supports up to 10 and 40 km over single-mode fiber.

C/ 00	SC 0	P 0	L 0	# r01-60
Healey, Adam		LSI Corporation		

Comment Type E Comment Status A

This comment is submitted on behalf of Michelle Turner, Managing Editor, Technical Community Content Publishing IEEE Standards Association. This draft meets all editorial requirements

SuggestedRemedy

Response

Response Status C

Thank you.

C/ 80	SC 80.3.3.7	P 117	L 3	# <u>r</u> 01-61
Healey, Ad	lam	LSI Corporatio	n	
Also, i	imitive IS_ENEF n 83A.3.2a, the	Comment Status A RGY_DETECT.indicate should primitive PMA:IS_UNITDATA_ indication (2 instances).		
Suggestea Correc	2	ames as stated in the commer	ıt.	
Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status C		
C/ 93A Healey, Ac	SC 93A.1 lam	P 398 LSI Corporatio	L 52 n	# <u>r</u> 01-62
Comment Merge	51	Comment Status A ith the preceding paragraph.		
in Tab Chang param	the sentence "T le 93A-2." le the last senter	The Physical Layer specification nce of the preceding paragraph by the Physical Layer specifi	n to "The value	es assigned to these
Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status C		