C/ 94 SC 94.2.10 P 326 L 38 # r01-1 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syst Cadence Design Syst	C/ 80 SC 80.3.1 P 121 L 52 # [r01-3] RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation
Comment Type E Comment Status D Reinstate deleted "1.16" for register numbers in Tables 94-4 and 94-5.	Comment Type ER Comment Status D FEC description Response to comment i-91 against D3.0 was implemented incorrectly: FEC description FEC description
SuggestedRemedy Add 1.16 in front of changed text for Register/bit number in Tables 94-4 and 94-5. Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. See also comment r01-10	The sentence starting with "The IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request primitive" relates to clause 74 FEC rather than RS-FEC. The second sentence is badly punctuated (semicolon instead of a period as in the response). In addition, stating what RS-FEC doesn't do (without referring to clause 91) is unnecessary. Clause 91 is clear enough. The fact that only clause 74 FEC uses this primitive is clearly stated in 80.3.3.6.
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.100 P 59 L 36 # [r01-2] Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syst Cadence Design Syst From the system of the system o	SuggestedRemedy Change
Comment Type T Comment Status D test control Add enable for transmitter linearity test pattern in Table 45-73 and reference it in Clause 94	"The IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request primitive is used to communicate to the RS-FEC (see Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapid block lock; the RS-FEC does not use this signal."
SuggestedRemedy 1.1501.11 Transmitter linearity test pattern enable 1 = Enable transmitter linearity test pattern 0 = Disable transmitter linearity test pattern Proposed Response Response Status	To "The IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request primitive is used to communicate to the BASE-R FEC (see Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapid block lock."
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W
The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, the comment highlights a deficiency that needs to be addressed.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It is useful to point out that the signal is not used by the RS-FEC (by contrast). Change
Add the bit as suggested. Change the inserted paragraph to: Register 1.1501 bit 8 enables testing with the JP03A pattern defined in 94.2.9.1 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 9 enables testing with the JP03B pattern defined in 94.2.9.2 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 10 enables testing with the QPRBS13 pattern defined in 94.2.9.3 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. Register 1.1501 bit 11 enables the transmitter linearity test defined in 94.2.9.4 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD. The assertion of bits 1.1501.8, 1.1501.9, 1.1501.10, 1.1501.11 are mutually exclusive. If more than one bit is asserted the behavior is undefined. The assertion of 1.1501.8, 1.1501.9, 1.1501.10, and 1.1501.11 operates in conjunction with register 1.1501 bit 3 for 100GBASE-KP4 PMA/PMD.	"The IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request primitive is used to communicate to the RS-FEC (see Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapid block lock; the RS-FEC does not use this signal." To "The IS_RX_LPI_ACTIVE.request primitive is used to communicate to the BASE-R FEC (see Clause 74) that the PCS has detected LPI signaling. This allows the FEC to use rapid block lock; the RS-FEC (see Clause 91) does not use this signal."

See comment #17 for the corresponding changes in 94.2.9.4, Table 94-4.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 82 SC 82.6 P 164 L 49 # [r01-4] RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation	C/ 99 SC 99 P 1 L 33 # [r01-6] Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation
Comment Type TR Comment Status D LPI state	Comment Type E Comment Status D
Transition condition includes "rx_down_count = 255". According to the response to comment i-104 against D3.0 it should include "rx_down_count = 1".	The text on page 1 line 33 should say "prepared for sponsor ballot recirculation" rather than "prepared for Working Group ballot". Also, the copyright year should be 2014 throughout the draft.
In transition from RX_WAKE to RX_ACTIVE, replace "rx_down_count = 255" with	SuggestedRemedy
"rx_down_count = 1".	Change text to: "prepared for sponsor ballot recirculation". Also, change the copyright yea
Proposed Response Response Status W	to be 2014 throughout the draft.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W
The error is in the transition from RX_WTF to RX_ACTIVE. Change the condition to:	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
	C/ 00 SC 0 P 25 L 6 # r01-7
!rx_tw_timer_done * rx_align_status * rx_down_count = 1	Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation
C/ 93A SC 93A.1.3 P 413 L 22 # r01-5	Comment Type E Comment Status D
RAN, ADEE Intel Corporation	Once an amendment has been approved and published the 'P' in the designation is
Comment Type E Comment Status D	removed, hence IEEE Std P802.3bk-2013 should read IEEE Std 802.3bk-2013.
The reflection coefficients (Gamma 1 and 2), as defined, are constant across all	SuggestedRemedy
frequencies, so they need not be a function of frequency. In equation 93A-16 they appear	Change 'IEEE Std P802.3bk-2013' to read 'IEEE Std 802.3bk-2013' throughout the draft.
as scalars in the numerator and as functions of frequency in the denominator.	Proposed Response Response Status W
SuggestedRemedy	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Delete the (f) arguments after Gamma_1 and Gamma_2, in equation 93A-15 and in the denominator of equation 93A-16 (6 instances total).	
Proposed Response Response Status W	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.88a P 46 L 6 # r01-8
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation
	Comment Type E Comment Status D buck
The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot.	The tables inserted as Table 45-67b and 45-67c are the first tables being inserted after Table 45-67 so they should be Tablse 45-67a and 45-67b. (This incorrect numbering is probably due to the insertion of Table 45-15a above them in the draft.)
	SuggestedRemedy
However, the commenter correctly points out an editorial issue that should be corrected. Implement the suggested remedy.	Re-number the tables as Tables 45-67a and 45-67b
implement the suggested formedy.	Proposed Response Response Status W

Cl 78 SC 78.1.1 Anslow, Peter	P 82 Ciena Corporat	L 48 ion	# r01-9	<i>Cl</i> 93C Anslow, P		93C.1		P 357 Ciena Corpor	L 46 ration	# <u>r</u> 01-11
Comment Type E The newly added text in be cross-references or	Comment Status D n 78.1.1 contains six instances shown in green.	of "Clause xx'	<i>bucket</i> . These should either		e Figure		Comment S Figure 93C-6"	_	cross-reference.	
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste Make			cross-reference	<u>م</u>		
Change the six instanc P802.3bj draft and in g	es of "Clause xx" to cross-refe reen where they don't.	rences where t	they exist in the	Proposed	l Respon	ise	Response S			
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROF	POSED	ACCEPT.				
PROPOSED ACCEPT.				<i>Cl</i> 78 Anslow, P		78.1.3.3.1		P 83 Ciena Corpor	L 32	# r01-12
C/ 94 SC 94.2.10 Anslow, Peter	P 281 Ciena Corporat	L 43	# <u>r</u> 01-10	Comment		Е	Comment S			EEE description
names in Table 45-67c SuggestedRemedy For the last 6 rows of T at the beginning of the	variable names for the last fou able 94-4 and the last 4 rows Register/bit number.	of Table 94-5 ii	nsert the "1.16" missing	greate Table 802.3 greate cleare wake	er, deep 78-1 do as mod er showr er if it ha	sleep is o bes not sho lified by P8 n in Table d the same	ptional as sho ow anything re 802.3bj. For a 78-1 deep sle	wn in Table 7 lated to deep ll of the PHYs ep is an optiol	8-1." is rather cor sleep being an o s with an operatin n. This sentence	ption for the PHYs in g speed of 40 Gb/s or
	for PMA transmit overhead pa	ttern changes f	from "2.7:0" to	Suggeste		-				
"1.162.7:0" Also, make the variable	e names in the last four rows o	f Table 94-5 ar	nd Table 45-67c match.				o "Deep sleep olement EEE."		PHYs with an op	perating speed of 40
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Proposed	Respon	ise	Response S	tatus W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			PROF	POSED	ACCEPT I	IN PRINCIPLE			
Implement remedy to c "Add 1.16 in front of ch	comment r01-1: anged text for Register/bit nun	nber in Tables	94-4 and 94-5"				o "Deep sleep at implement l		ional for PHYs w	ith an operating speed
In Clause 45 change th bit definitions"	ne bit names in "Table 45-67c-l	PMA overhead	status 1 and 2 register	See a	also com	ment #16				
Change: "PMA receive To: "PMA receive over in four places in Table o	head sequence"									

45 SC 45.2.1.9		L 13	# <u>r</u> 01-13		93A.1.6	P 416	L 11	# <u>r</u> 01-14
zczepanek, Andre	Inphi Corpora	tion		RAN, ADEE		Intel Cor	poration	
omment Type TR	Comment Status D		test control	Comment Type	GR	Comment Status D		
of the training frame d starting with 8 or more create a false training	zero polynomial seeds (via se elimiter (0x00FF0000). Any se zero bits combined with a st frame delimiter, if there are a curs at training start there is a	eed that creates atus report endin n even number o	a PRBS pattern g in 3 zero bits will f DM transitions in the	combinations c(1) is permit On the other requirements	are perm ted. hand, the for the ra	ranges of values for c(-1 nitted. It can be implied the transmitter specification atios R_pre and R_post,	hat any combination as in clauses 92-94 of which implicitly defir	of valid c(-1) and valid create minimum me minimum required
uggestedRemedy						nd c(1). Some combinati mum, so it is not quaran		
"If the default seed val values that produce a	ne end of the paragraph : ues are not used, the values PRBS sequence starting with			For example, is -0.38; this o	To reach creates m	R_post=4, the required ninimum requirements fo for c(-1) turns out to be -	coefficients are c(-1 r c(0) and c(1). Simi)=0, c(0)=0.62 and c(1) liarly, from R_pre, the
roposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W				r minimur	m values, the value for c		
	tion, therefore no "shall". The Manual. The suggested reme d"					hat combinations in which t be used in COM.	n any coefficient is o	utside its minimum
				SuggestedRemed	dy			
"If the default seed val	ne end of the paragraph : ues are not used, the values uce a PRBS sequence startin			Add the following sentence after "The FOM is calculated for each permitted combination of $c(-1)$, $c(1)$, and g_DC values per Table 93A-1":				
used."		•		The combination of c(-1), c(1) values is constrained as required by the transmitter full-scale ratio specifications for the Physical Layer that invokes this method.				
				Proposed Respor	nse	Response Status W		
				PROPOSED	ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
				by changes, o with "Disappr	or a portio	gainst a changed portion on of the draft that is the es. It is out of the scope of be addressed.	subject of unresolve	d comments associated
				requirements compliant trai addition, the what the cons	in the Ph nsmitter r statemen straints ar	ne suggested remedy is hysical Layer specificatio must satisfy the coefficie t is unfriendly to users of re. The constraint can be not significantly exceed	ns that currently invo nt initialization and r the standard as it re clearly stated as a	oke the method. A ange requirements. In equires them to work ou minimum value of c(0)
						to Table 93A-1. minimum cursor coeffici	ent 93A.1.4.2 c(0)	

Add the corresponding row to Table 93-8 and Table 94-17 with the value 0.62.

Add the following sentence to the end of the first paragraph of 93A.1.4.2. "If the value of c(0) is less than the specified minimum value, the corresponding combination of c(-1) and c(1) is considered invalid and is not used to calculate COM."

C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.4	P 4	03	L 39	# r01-15
Marris, Art	hur	Cade	nce De	sign Syst	
	<i>Type</i> E ion 93A-17 is trur	Comment Status	D		
Suggested Fix to	,	e. Also fix text on line	es 43 ar	nd 45.	
,	Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status	w		

The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot.

However, the commenter correctly points out an editorial issue that should be corrected. Implement the suggested remedy.

C/ 78	SC 78.1.3.3.1	P 85	L 32	# <u>r</u> 01-16
Marris, Arthu	r	Cadence	Design Syst	
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status D		EEE description

This paragraph says that deep sleep support is called out in Table 71. This is not true, it will be 802.3bm that will indicate in Table 71 which PHYs do not support deep sleep.

Also it says deep sleep "is similar to the mechanism defined for PHYs with an operating speed less than 40 Gb/s". This is not correct, deep sleep is the mechanism defined for PHYs with an operating speed less than 40 Gb/s. So delete this qualification. It is important to do this because otherwise the explanation of AN in 78.3 will not make sense.

Also there should be an explanation of how fast wake differs from normal operation.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the paragraph so that it reads as follows:

"For PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake. Deep sleep refers to the mode for which the transmitter ceases transmission during Low Power Idle (as shown in Figure 78-3). Deep sleep support is optional for PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement EEE and mandatory for PHYs with an operating speed less than 40 Gb/s that implement EEE. Fast wake refers to the mode for which the transmitter continues to transmit signals during Low Power Idle so that the receiver can resume operation with a shorter wake time (as shown in Figure 78-3a). Fast wake support is mandatory for PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement EEE.

For transmit, other than the PCS encoding LPI, there is no difference between fast wake and normal operation.

Add the following text to the end of the second sentence in the first paragraph of 78.1.3.3.2 PHY LPI receive operation

"If in fast wake mode BIP running disparity is not calculated while in the fast wake state which is entered on reception of the sleep signal."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Deep sleep is not defined for any PHYs <40Gb/s. Unless changes are made to all of the clauses written in P802.3az, the paragraph here should refer only to PHYs >=40Gb/s. Also, BIP statistics are not updated for either deep sleep or fast wake operation while the receiver is in any state other than RX_ACTIVE. It's not clear that special text is required for fast wake and/or BIP in this clause.

Change the paragraph so that it reads as follows:

"For PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake. Deep sleep refers to the mode for which the transmitter ceases transmission during Low Power Idle (as shown in Figure 78-3) and is equivalent to the only mechanism defined for PHYs

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID Comment ID r01-16 Page 5 of 19 3/12/2014 6:50:26 PM

with an operating speed less than 40 Gb/s. Deep sleep support is optional for PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement EEE. Fast wake refers to the mode for which the transmitter continues to transmit signals during Low Power Idle so that the receiver can resume operation with a shorter wake time (as shown in Figure 78-3a). For transmit, other than the PCS encoding LPI, there is no difference between fast wake and normal operation. Fast wake support is mandatory for PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement EEE."

Also change the first sentence in 78.3 from

"PHYs capable of deep sleep operation shall advertise that capability during the Auto-Negotiation stage."

to

"The EEE capability shall be advertised during the Auto-Negotiation stage, except for PHYs that only support fast wake operation."

C/ 94	SC 94.2.9.4	P 326	L 10	# <u>r</u> 01-17
Marris, Arthu	r	Cadence Des	ign Syst	

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Add the word "repeating" to make it consistent with previous test pattern subclauses.

Add text to describe control variables for the Transmitter linearity test pattern

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"The transmitter linearity test pattern is a 160-symbol pattern"

To:

"The transmitter linearity test pattern is a repeating 160-symbol pattern"

Add following text to the end of 94.2.9.4:

"The transmitter linearity test pattern is enabled by the test_pattern_enable and TX_linearity_enable control variables. If the optional Clause 45 MDIO is implemented, the control variables map to the registers and bits defined in 94.2.10."

Add TX_linearity_enable to Table 94-4 referencing 1.1501.11

Also make sure the enable bit 1.1501.11 is added in Clause 45. I have submitted a separate comment for this.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 94	SC 94.3.10	.10 <i>P</i> 341	L 49	# r01-18
Marris, Arth	nur	Cadence [Design Syst	
Comment 7	Гуре Е	Comment Status D		
	ate deleted te but not the cl	xt "data stream". This text is ean version.	s shown as deleted	in the comparison
Suggested	Remedy			
If neces	ssary re-instat	e deleted text "data stream'	at the end of 94.3.	10.10.
Proposed F	Response	Response Status W		
PROPO	DSED ACCEP	чт.		
C/ 80	SC 80.3.3.	5 P 116	L 10	# r01-19
Marris, Arth			Design Syst	# 101-19
,			ooligii oyat	
Comment 7	51	Comment Status D		bucke
		ider changing all instances lity (with the deep sleep mo		
To:		iity (with the deep sleep no		
	ut EEE deep s	leep mode capability"		
Suggested	Remedy			
00	e all instances	of:		
0		lity (with the deep sleep mo	de option)"	
To:				
"Withou	ut EEE deep s	leep mode capability"		
Proposed F	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖤		

The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, the comment fixes an inconsistency introduced by a previous comment.

C/ 01	SC 1.4.52b	P 25	L 39	# <u>r</u> 01-20
Rolfe, Benj	amin	Blind Creek A	ssociate	

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The resolution to comment I-8 fails to provide an valid reason for rejecting the comment. The statement identified in comment I-8 constitutes information not appropriate in a definition as defined by the ieee style manual. This standard does not meet the requirements stated therein, and no valid reason is given for correcting the deficiency. The resulting error hides potentially important information in a non-normative clause, which may lead to implementation errors and interoperability issues (thus it is a technical issue). Admitting that a a normative requirement is stated in non-normative language reinforces the need to correct the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Implement the proposed resolution to I-8 and remove extraneous text from the definition of the term and ensure that normative characteristics are properly contained in appropriate normative clauses. Alternately delete the definition.

Proposed Response	Response Status	W	
PROPOSED REJECT.			

The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot.

The definitions in question follow the general form of other Physical Layer definitions in IEEE Std 802.3-2012. Two examples of other definitions follow:

"1.4.52 100GBASE-CR10: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s using 100GBASE-R encoding over ten lanes of shielded balanced copper cabling, with reach up to at least 7 m. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 85.)"

"1.4.54 100GBASE-LR4: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s using 100GBASE-R encoding over four WDM lanes on single-mode fiber, with reach up to at least 10 km. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 88.)"

These definitions are structured to describe the Physical Layer in terms of the encoding used and the medium supported. Compare these examples to the definition of 100GBASE-KR4 in IEEE P802.3bj.

"1.4.52b 100GBASE-KR4: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for 100 Gb/s using 100GBASE-R encoding, Clause 91 RS-FEC, and 2-level pulse amplitude modulation over four lanes of an electrical backplane, with a total insertion loss up to 35 dB at 12.9 GHz. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 93.)"

This definition also describes the Physical Layer in terms of the encoding and supported medium. It is intended to be descriptive, identify the purpose of this Physical Layer, and highlight how it is distinct from similar Physical Layers. The major difference between this definition and the previous examples is how the medium is defined. For connections

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

between boxes, the emphasis is on length because the reader is more likely interested in how far the two boxes can be separated within an equipment room or across a campus. For "inside the box" connections, length is a consideration but the reader (one who wishes to build a system utilizing Ethernet over backplane links) is expected to be more interested in the loss that can be supported. After much deliberation, it was concluded that loss was the best way to define this particular medium.

Defining the medium in these terms then allows us to clarify the distinction between 100GBASE-KR4 and 100GBASE-KP4 since both are 100 Gb/s Physical Layer specifications that operate over four lanes of an electrical backplane.

CI 83	SC 83.3	P 158	L 36	# r01-21
Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syst				
Comment 7	<i>уре</i> т	Comment Status D		xref fix

Deep sleep mode is actually described in 78.1.3.3.1 rather than 78.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Change cross reference from 78.3 to 78.1.3.3.1. Page 158 line 36 (or just reference Clause 78 here); also Page 158 line 54 Page 101 line 38 (Clause 80) Page 158 line 36, 54 (Clause 83) Page 166 line 29 (Clause 84) Page 315 line 1 (Clause 94) Page 379 line 15(Annex 83A) Page 166 line 29 (Clause 84)

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, the comment addresses an error in cross-references that escaped notice in previous drafts.

C/ 80 SC 80.3.1 P 111 L 52	# <u>r</u> 01-22	C/ 93A SC 93A.4	P 420	L 33	# r01-24
Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syst		Healey, Adam	LSI Corporation		
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Clause 74 is the BASE-R FEC	FEC name	Comment Type E There is only one rise	Comment Status D and fall time.		
SuggestedRemedy Change: RS-FEC (see Clause 74) To:		Proposed Response	6 rise and fall times, T_t." to "2 Response Status W	20% and 80% ris	e and fall time, T_t."
BASE-R FEC (see Clause 74)		PROPOSED ACCEPT			
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.		CI 93A SC 93A.4 Healey, Adam	P 420 LSI Corporation	L 26	# r01-25
See also comment #3		Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		
	# r01-23	The equation for ILD_F	RMS is incorrect.		
Healey, Adam LSI Corporation	# 101-23	SuggestedRemedy			
Comment Type T Comment Status D		Change the equation to	o sqrt(sum_over_n(W(f_n)*ILD((f_n)^2)/N).	
The requirement corresponding to the first line of item b) could	be stated more clearly.	Proposed Response	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy		PROPOSED ACCEPT			
Replace the first sentence of item b) with the following. "In addi		Also see r01-56.			
update process specified in 72.6.10.2.5, the period from receivi responding to that request shall be less than 2 ms, except durin the beginning the start-up protocol. The beginning of the start-u entry to the AN_GOOD_CHECK state in Figure 73-11." A similar	g the first 50 ms following p protocol is defined to be	C/ 92 SC 92.11.3.1 Healey, Adam	P 264 LSI Corporation	L 40	# r01-26
93.7.12 and 94.3.10.7.5.		Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.		The definition of RMS fitted insertion loss mu	insertion loss deviation is incomp st also be defined.	plete. The freque	ency range for the
In 02 7 12 and 02 7 12, use the suggested remarks		SuggestedRemedy			
In 92.7.12 and 93.7.12, use the suggested remedy. In 94.3.10.7.5, replace the sentence on page 294 line 9 with: "In addition, the period from receiving a new request to responding to that request shall be less than 2 ms, except during the first 50 ms following the beginning the start-up protocol.		Change the second paragraph of 92.11.3.1 to the following. "The RMS insertion loss deviation, ILD_RMS, is calculated according to 93A.4 with f_b=25.78125 GHz, T_t=9.6 ps and f_r=0.75 x f_b. The fitted insertion loss is computed over the range f_min=0.01 GHz t f_max=25 GHz. ILD_RMS shall be less than 0.13 dB."			
The beginning of the start-up protocol is defined to be entry to t		Proposed Response	Response Status W		
state in Figure 73-11."		PROPOSED ACCEPT			
		Use suggested remedy	/		

CI 93A SC 93A	A.1.2.3	P 411	L 38	# <u>r</u> 01-27	C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.6	6 P 415	L 42	# <u>r</u> 01-28		
Healey, Adam		LSI Corporation	on		Healey, Ad	am	LSI Corporat	tion			
Comment Type T	R Com	ment Status D			Comment 7	Туре Т	Comment Status D				
The transmission line model defined in this Annex is not causal and erroneously uses an f ² term to model insertion loss deviation. The equations for cascading X 1 mm sections to yield a X mm transision line are also inaccurate, especially for shorter, lower loss transition lines. These inaccuracies impair the ability of COM to differentiate between acceptable and unacceptable channels.						lue of SNR_T nably accounts pression for sig s)/(L-1). The d	_TX is set to the minimum SN X is used to define a noise sous of the degradation in perform gma_TX^2 includes factors of lefinition of SNDR is 10*log10	urce with variance nance due to mir sigma_X^2 and ((p_max^2/(sigma	e sigma_TX^2 which nimum SNDR. Howeve A_s/R_LM) = a_e^2+sigma_n^2)). If		
uggestedRemedy						0 -	and p_max ~ $h(0)(t_s)$ then w				
Replace the equations in 93A.1.2.3 with equations more grounded in transmission line theory that are causal by construction. Supporting material will be provided that defines a such a model that is a function of 5 real-valued parameters. Replace the parameters and				transmitter to be about 10*log10((L-1)^2/sigma_X^2)+SNR_TX. For 100GBASE-CR4 an 100GBASE-KR4, L = 2 and the SNDR of the COM transmitter is SNR_TX as expected. F 100GBASE-KP4, the SNDR of the COM transmitter is 12 dB better than SNR_TX. This seems inconsistent with the notion of representing worst-case impairments.							
		· ·	ied as part of th	e supporting material).	SuggestedRemedy Remove the extraneous factors and change Equation (93A-28) to sigma_TX^2 =						
Proposed Response		onse Status W									
	OPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.					h(0)(t_s)^2*10^(-SNR_TX/10). Adjust the SNDR limit for 100GBASE-KP4 transmitter corresponding value for SNR_TX as necessary.					
Implement the ch	hanges to 93A	.1.2.3 and 92.10.7.1.	1 described in h	ealey_3bj_02_0314.pdf.	Proposed F	Response	Response Status W				
						•	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				
							against a changed portion of t tion of the draft that is the sub				

by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot. However, this technical issue should be addressed.

Implement the suggested remedy. Also change the 100GBASE-KP4 SNDR limit (94.3.12.7, Table 94-13, PICS item TC28) and the SNR_TX value (Table 94-17) to 31 dB. See mellitz_3bj_01_0314.pdf.

In addition, change the caption of Table 94-17 to "COM parameter values".

Cl 93A SC 93A.1.2.3 Moore, Charles	P 346 Avago Techno	L 51	# <u>r01-29</u>	<i>Cl</i> 78 Marris, Art	SC 78.1.1	P 85 Cadence De	L 4 esign Syst	# <u>r</u> 01-31
Comment Type TR Equation 93A-10 gives will cause difficulties in 93A-12 are known to be model. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D a transfer characteristic whic computing COM. Also equat a incorrect. We need a new p be made in a presentation. <i>Response Status</i> W	h is non-causa ions 93A-11 ai	nd	Comment Alert r Suggested Chang "transi to: "transi Chang "interfa To:	<i>Type</i> T equests can be <i>IRemedy</i> ge: mit quiet reques mit quiet and ale ge: aces infer the qu	Comment Status D sent over XLAUI/CAUI in ad ts" ert requests"		service interfac quests.
C/ 82 SC 82.2.18.3. Marris, Arthur	1 P 154 Cadence Desi Comment Status D	<i>L</i> 19 gn Syst	# r <u>01-30</u>	Add re Proposed	eference to 83.5 Response	11.1 in this paragraph. <i>Response Status</i> W		
5	st Figure 82-17LPI Receive W switch to all transitions our	0		PROP	OSED ACCEPT			
SuggestedRemedy Add "* LPI_FW = FALS do not already have a L	E" qualifier to the three trans PI_FW qualifier.	itions out of the	e RX_SLEEP state that					
Proposed Response	Response Status W							

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 93C	SC 93C.2	P 417	L 47	# <u>r</u> 01-32
Mellitz, Ric	chard	Intel Corporation		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The peak voltage of the transmitter should be account for. For a "transmitter with high quality termination" V_f should be set to 0.4V. Otherwise V_a and V_fe should be set to the measured V_f as long as it is > 0.4V. The COM calculation is somewhat insensitive to V_f in a normal sense. However for calibration there would be and impact on the applied noise.

SuggestedRemedy

Change

The procedure is based on the calculation of COM which uses the parameters defined in the COM parameter table in the PMD clause that invokes this method with the following exceptions. The value of sigma_RJ and ADD are set based on a transformation of measured parameters as specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. The value of SNRTX is set based on a transformation of the measured parameters specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. The value of SNRTX is set based on a transformation of the measured parameters specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. In the COM computation the transmitter package model is included only if a compliant transmitter with a similar termination is used. If a transmitter with high quality termination is used, in the COM calculation, the termination is modeled as ideal and a Gaussian low pass filter is added to Equation (93A-17) which has the same 20%-80% transition time as the transmitter measured at TP0a. To:

The procedure is based on the calculation of COM which uses the parameters defined in the COM parameter table in the PMD clause that invokes this method with the following exceptions. The value of sigma_RJ, ADD, V_f are set based on a transformation of measured parameters as specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. The value of SNRTX and V_f is set based on a transformation of the measured parameters specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. The value of SNRTX and V_f is set based on a transformation of the measured parameters specified in these PMD clause that invokes this method. In the COM computation the transmitter package model is included only if a compliant transmitter with a similar termination is used. If a transmitter with high quality termination is used, in the COM calculation, the termination is modeled as ideal and a Gaussian low pass filter is added to Equation (93A-17) which has the same 20%-80% transition time as the transmitter measured at TP0a and V_f is adjusted in the transmitter to the V_f parameter specified in this PMD clause that invokes this method. In this case the parameters V_a and V_fe defined in the COM parameter table are set to the measured value fo V_f. Alternatively:

The procedure is based on the calculation of COM which uses the parameters defined in the COM parameter table in the PMD clause that invokes this method with the following exceptions. The value of sigma_RJ and ADD are set based on a transformation of measured parameters as specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. The value of SNRTX is set based on a transformation of the measured parameters specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. The value of SNRTX is set based on a transformation of the measured parameters specified in the PMD clause that invokes this method. In the COM computation the transmitter package model is included only if a compliant transmitter with a similar termination is used. If a transmitter with high quality termination is used, in the COM calculation, the termination is modeled as ideal and a Gaussian low pass filter is added to Equation (93A-17) which has the same 20%-80% transition time as the transmitter measured at TPOa.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

clause that invokes this method. Editorial license granted Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.

The comment suggests that an allowance should made be when the test transmitter peakto-peak differential output voltage exceeds 800 mV. However, this is not necessary since the test transmitter output voltage is constrained (see the 3rd paragraph of 93C.1). Specific constraints are stated in the clause that refers to this method. See, for example, 93.8.2.3:

"The test transmitter is constrained such that for any transmit equalizer setting the differential peak-to-peak voltage (see 93.8.1.3) is less than or equal to 800 mV ."

C/ 93A	SC 93A.1.6	P 415	L 42	# r01-33	
Mellitz, Richa	ard	Intel Corporation			

Comment Type **GR** Comment Status **D**

Equation 98A-28 essentially reduces a to proportion of channel attenuation times (sigma_e+sigma_n) but divided by (L-1). The effect of sigma_e + sigma_n is not affect by levels. The impact it requires a lower sndr level for clause 94.

SuggestedRemedy

In equation 98A-28 change As to As*(L-1) In table 94-13, page 356 line, 35 change SNDR to 33 And In table 94-17, p373, line 42change SNR_TX to 33 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot.

See r01-28.

						_		
C/ 92 SC 92.14.4.5	P 273	L 24	# <u>r</u> 01-34	C/ 93	SC 93.9.3	P 300	L7	# <u>r</u> 01-35
Palkert, Thomas	Molex Incorpor	rated		Palkert, Tho	omas		corporated	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Comment Status D			Comment T		Comment Status D		
CA2 references equation s should not have a PICS re					esults are inco lation method	nsistent due to VNA reso	lution at low frequer	ncies and selected DC
does not have PICS.				SuggestedF	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Change	e fmin from .05	5 GHz to .1GHz and spec	ify DC extrapolation	method.
In PICS CA2 Change refe	ence from equation '92-2	5' to equation '9	2-28'	Proposed R	Response	Response Status 🛛 🛛	1	
Proposed Response F	Response Status W			PROPC	DSED REJEC	т.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN 92.8.4.4 Receiver interfere constraints cable assembl In CA2 add reference to 92 Add additional PICS for ca Add after sentence P214, less than or equal to the m In addition, change of 92.8 12.8906 GHz not impleme Change equation 92-10 cc f < 14 -19.06+2.23*f	nce tolerance test y coefficients. 2.8.4.4 ble assembly min and ma L25 "The measured inserti aximum cable insertion lo 3.3.7 Insertion loss TP0 to nted in equation 92-10.	ion loss of the c ss of 22.48 dB a TP2 or TP3 to 1	at 12.8906 GHz." IP5 to 9.85 dB at	by chan with "Di The res measur assume accurate Similarly the [evo Inaccura COM is algorithe For thes transfer frequen carefully The sug DC valu not broa limited b	nges, or a port isapprove" vot sult of a calcula rement. COM ed that the me e measureme y, the draft do obving] state of ate DC values related to the ms that enford se reasons, N r function may icy)" and that i y to limit the e ggested remea ue for any cha adly applicable by errors that	es. It is out of the scope of ation based on measured is a function of measured asurements are accurate nts e.g., the method of ne es not dictate how to deter the art. can result in causality and severity of the violation a ce causality and/or passiv OTE 1 of 93A.1.5 advises need to be extrapolated the "extrapolation method rror in the COM computa	subject of unresolv of the recirculation b data is limited by th channel scattering . The draft does not etwork analyzer calil ermine an accurate nd/or passivity violation and can be compoun- ity on the data. The user that the "th both to DC and to can and sampling frequences the with COM. If a m re risk that an other dard.	ed comments associated hallot. he accuracy of the parameters and it is dictate how to make bration is not defined. DC value. This is left to how the interest on he defined by post-processing he filtered voltage one half of the sampling hency must be chosen accurately determine the hethod is specified that is wise valid channel is shed that the resolution

Note that some variability may result from the application of various causality enforcement algorithms to the non-causal package and host tranmission line models defined in 93A.1.2.3 and 92.10.7.1.1 respectively. This issue is addressed in r01-27.

C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.2 P 223 L 18 # r01-36	C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.6.1 P 226 L 49 # [<u>r01-38</u>
Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie	Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie
Comment Type ER Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D
The graphs in this clause and Annex 92A are bitmaps, with their disadvantages. Unlike others e.g. in 72, 85, 93, 86A.	e This says "the test pattern PRBS9 as specified in 83.5.10", but 83.5.10, PMA test patterns (optional), is a long subclause that's really about controlling the various test pattern modes, not pattern definition. It does say "a PRBS9 pattern (as defined in Table 68-6)". Let's
SuggestedRemedy	make the document a little more user friendly.
Replace with vector graphics.	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W	Cut out the indirection: change "the test pattern PRBS9 as specified in 83.5.10" to "the
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	PRBS9 pattern (see Table 68-6)". Similarly in 92.8.3.8.
Vector graphics are used in the draft but some graphs were inadvertently rendered as bitmaps when the PDF file was generated. The cause of this issue has been identified	In 92.8.3.9.2, change "a PRBS9 pattern (see 83.5.10)." to "a PRBS9 pattern (see Table 68- and 6).
corrected.	Proposed Response Response Status W
C/ 92 SC 92.8.3.6.1 P 226 L 49 # r01-37	PROPOSED REJECT.
Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie	The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected
 85.8.3.3.4, Waveform acquisition, says "The waveform shall be captured with an effect sample rate that is M times the signaling rate of the transmitter under test. The value of shall be an integer not less than 7." (It's "effective" so that equivalent-time scopes are allowed.) But there is no need to capture with such high oversampling (nor with integral 	f M The reference in 92.8.3.6.1 to the PRBS9 definition in subclause 86.8.2 is consistent with
oversampling): the need is to process the linear fit algorithm with M>=32. Measuring w M=32 with an equivalent-time scope would take longer than needed.	C/ 92 SC 92.10.2 P 238 L 8 # [r_01-39] Dawe, Piers J G Mellanox Technologie
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type E Comment Status D
Change "per 85.8.3.3.4 with M not less than 32 samples per unit interval." to "per 85.8.3.3.4. The captured waveform is resampled so that M is an integer, not less 32 samples per unit interval."	 92.10.2, Cable assembly insertion loss, is a confusing section because for over a page it goes through a fitting procedure, then doesn't do anything with the answer, then provides limits - but for measured, not fitted?
Proposed Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Use subclauses to divide the fitted and non-fitted material. Consider putting the non-fitted material first.
Change: "per 85.8.3.3.4 with M not less than 32 samples per unit interval."	Proposed Response Response Status W
per 05.0.5.5.4 with in hot less than 52 samples per unit interval.	PROPOSED REJECT.
To: " per 85.8.3.3.4. In the following calculation, M should be an integer not less than 32. Interpolation of the captured waveform may be used to achieve this."	The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot.
	The definitions and specifications are correct and unambiguous as written.

C/ 92 SC 92.11.3.1	-	L 46	# <u>r</u> 01-40	C/ 92	SC 92.11.1.		L 36	# <u>r</u> 01-42
Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox Teo	chnologie		Dawe, Pie	ers J G	Mellanox	Technologie	
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		
and max, degrading m	mated loss has been increas leasurement accuracy, yet at better than these specs allo	t least at the lowe	r frequencies,	12 of the ne	diminico_3bj_01 w reference los	sertion loss has been red a_0114.pdf shows measu s.		
-	pui.			Suggeste	-			
uggestedRemedy	anay part raduce the fterm	but odd o guodr	tio torm on that the	Adjus	t the three coeffi	cients so that the insertio	n loss is more curve	d.
spec remains continuo	ency part, reduce the ~f term ous at 14 GHz.	i but add a quadia	and term so that the	,	Response	Response Status W		
roposed Response	Response Status W			PROF	POSED REJECT			
	rovided sufficient justification cient information to implemer		ested in remedy and	they a of the	ire representativ	surements provided shou e of a limited set of meas ther IL shapes are possit are specified.	urements performed	on mated test fixtures
	surements provided should r e of a limited set of measurm			<i>Cl</i> 92 Dawe, Pie	SC 92.11.3 . ers J G		L 6 Technologie	# <u>r01-43</u>
				Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		
/ 92 SC 92.8.4.2 awe, Piers J G	P 232 Mellanox Teo Comment Status D	L 45 chnologie	# [r01-41	mode	specs for CAUI	ed-mode specs for the co 4 (and OIF VSR) must be equiring that something el	e relaxed also, possil	bly degrading useful
omment Type ER	ceiver differential input return	loss is just the	same as Equation 02.1	Suggeste	dRemedy			
for transmitter different	tial output return loss. Don't			Review what compliance board performance is practicable. Can the mixed-mode specs l returned to the D3.0 limits?				
lggestedRemedy					Response	Response Status W		
22)." to "The differentia	ial input return loss, in dB, of al input return loss, in dB, of 1 92-22. The PICS RC4 rem	the receiver shall		,	POSED REJECT	•		
roposed Response	Response Status W					specification changes re		
PROPOSED REJECT	,				e basis of measu co_3bj_01a_01	rements of mated test fix 4.pdf.	tures (i.e., practically	/ implemented) given i
This comment is a res	tatement of comment i-159.							
	d unambiguous as written. A nt for the equation could be i							

	P 231	L 34	# <u>r</u> 01-44	CI 93A	SC 93A.4	P 410	L 26	# <u>r</u> 01-47
Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox Tech	nologie		Dawe, Pie	rs J G	Mellanox Tec	hnologie	
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		host jitter	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		
	tal uncorrelated jitter, peak-to			The IL	Drms formula need	ls a denominator.		
Gaussian jitter, this see	TP0a. As the host channel a ms inconsistent.	ina connector m	lust add some	Suggested	lRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Within	the square root, div	vide by sum(W).		
	to make room for host chann	el and connecte	or.	Proposed	•	Response Status W		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROP	OSED ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.			See r0	1-25. The denomin	ator is chosen to be N to r	natch the definiti	on of a similar term in
Change the 100GBASE	-CR4 effective total uncorrela	ated iitter limit to	0 22 1 11 (02 8 3 0 2	the Op	tical Internetworkin	g Forum implementation a	agreement OIF-C	EI-3.1.
	TC28). See healey_3bj_03_03		0.22 01 (92.0.3.9.2,			esignates this term as a "f		
C/ 93A SC 93A.4	P 410	L 26	# r01-45	based	on ILD(f)" and nam	nes it "FOM_ILD" to disting	uish it from the s	standard deviation.
Dawe, Piers J G	Mellanox Tech		# 101-45	CI 92	SC 92.7.12	P 197	L 23	# r01-48
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Dudek, Mi	chael	QLogic Corpo	oration	
	and 100G Ethernet we have	tried to use i as	the index. N might be	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		
the number of points.			0			understand what is intende		
SuggestedRemedy						nment is not to technically original intent, however the		
						enginal intern, nerrerer an		
Change fn to fi (7 place	s) and n to i under the big sig	ma.		Suggester	IRemedy	-		
Change fn to fi (7 place Proposed Response	s) and n to i under the big sign Response Status W	ma.		Suggested Either	-	ainning of the section to. "	The coefficient u	pdate process shall be
	,	ma.		Either as spe	A - Change the beg cified in 72.6.10.2.5	ginning of the section to. " 5 with the following additio	nal requirements	. i) The time to
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. Lower case "n" is the in	Response Status W	value and uppe	r case "N" is the	Either as spe compl	A - Change the beg cified in 72.6.10.2.5 ete the process is 5	5 with the following addition of the following addition of the beginning o	nal requirements	. i) The time to
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. Lower case "n" is the in	Response Status W	value and uppe	r case "N" is the oughout the draft.	Either as spe compl to the ii). Th	A - Change the beg cified in 72.6.10.2.5 ete the process is 5 AN_GOOD_CHECH ne period from recei	5 with the following additio 0ms from the beginning of K state in Figure 73-11). iving a new request to resp	nal requirements f training (as den ponding to that re	 i) The time to narcated by the entry equest shall be less
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. Lower case "n" is the in	Response Status W	value and uppe	r case "N" is the oughout the draft. # r01-46	Either as spe compl to the ii) . Th than 2	A - Change the beg cified in 72.6.10.2.5 ete the process is 5 AN_GOOD_CHECH he period from receins, where the start	5 with the following addition 0ms from the beginning of K state in Figure 73-11).	nal requirements f training (as den conding to that re marker of the tra	 i) The time to narcated by the entry equest shall be less aining frame with the
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. Lower case "n" is the in number of values. This	Response Status W dex to a particular frequency convention is used in a numb	value and uppe er of places thr <i>L</i> 26	oughout the draft.	Either as spe compl to the ii) . Th than 2 new re corres	A - Change the beg cified in 72.6.10.2.5 ete the process is 5 AN_GOOD_CHECH he period from receir ms, where the start equest and the end ponding reponse.	5 with the following additio iOms from the beginning of K state in Figure 73-11). iving a new request to resp of the period is the frame of the period is the frame of A new request occurs whe	nal requirements f training (as den ponding to that re marker of the tra marker of the tra n the coefficient	a. i) The time to narcated by the entry equest shall be less aining frame with the ining frame with the update field is different
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. Lower case "n" is the in number of values. This C/ 93A SC 93A.4	Response Status W dex to a particular frequency convention is used in a numb P 410	value and uppe er of places thr <i>L</i> 26	oughout the draft.	Either as spe compl to the ii) . Th than 2 new re corres from th	A - Change the beg cified in 72.6.10.2.5 ete the process is 5 AN_GOOD_CHECH he period from receir ms, where the start equest and the end ponding reponse. A he coefficient filed in	5 with the following additio iOms from the beginning of K state in Figure 73-11). iving a new request to resp of the period is the frame of the period is the frame of A new request occurs whe n the preceding frame. Th	nal requirements f training (as den ponding to that re marker of the tra marker of the tra n the coefficient te response occu	a. i) The time to narcated by the entry equest shall be less aining frame with the ining frame with the update field is different urs when the coefficient
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. Lower case "n" is the in number of values. This C/ 93A SC 93A.4 Dawe, Piers J G	Response Status W dex to a particular frequency convention is used in a numb P 410 Mellanox Tech Comment Status D	value and uppe er of places thr <i>L</i> 26	oughout the draft.	Either as spe compl to the ii) . Th than 2 new re corres from th status or B -	A - Change the beg crified in 72.6.10.2.5 ete the process is 5 AN_GOOD_CHECH be period from recei- ms, where the start equest and the end ponding reponse. A he coefficient filed ir report field is updat if the intent is that the	5 with the following additio 00ms from the beginning of K state in Figure 73-11). iving a new request to resp of the period is the frame of the period is the frame of A new request occurs whe n the preceding frame. The ted to indicate the corresp he requirement to respond	nal requirements f training (as den ponding to that re marker of the tra marker of the tra n the coefficient le response occu onding action is l only starts 50m	i) The time to narcated by the entry equest shall be less aining frame with the ining frame with the update field is different irs when the coefficient complete."
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. Lower case "n" is the in number of values. This Cl 93A SC 93A.4 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T Isn't ILD done in dB spa	Response Status W dex to a particular frequency convention is used in a numb P 410 Mellanox Tech Comment Status D	value and uppe er of places thr <i>L</i> 26	oughout the draft.	Either as spe compl to the ii) . Th than 2 new re corres from th status or B - trainin	A - Change the beg crified in 72.6.10.2.5 ete the process is 5 AN_GOOD_CHECH ne period from receins, where the start quest and the end ponding reponse. <i>A</i> ne coefficient filed in report field is updat if the intent is that the g then delete "In ad	5 with the following additio iOms from the beginning of K state in Figure 73-11). iving a new request to resp of the period is the frame of the period is the frame in A new request occurs whe n the preceding frame. The ted to indicate the corresp he requirement to respond Idition to the coefficient up	nal requirements f training (as den ponding to that re marker of the tra marker of the tra n the coefficient le response occu onding action is l only starts 50m	i) The time to narcated by the entry equest shall be less aining frame with the ining frame with the update field is different irs when the coefficient complete."
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. Lower case "n" is the in number of values. This Cl 93A SC 93A.4 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T	Response Status W dex to a particular frequency of convention is used in a numb P 410 Mellanox Tech Comment Status D ace not raw response?	value and uppe er of places thr <i>L</i> 26	oughout the draft.	Either as spe compl to the ii) . Th than 2 new re corres from th status or B - trainin as it is	A - Change the beg crified in 72.6.10.2.5 ete the process is 5 AN_GOOD_CHECH he period from recei- ms, where the start quest and the end ponding reponse. A he coefficient filed in report field is updat if the intent is that th g then delete "In ad covered by the firs	5 with the following additio 00ms from the beginning of K state in Figure 73-11). iving a new request to resp of the period is the frame of the period is the frame of A new request occurs whe n the preceding frame. The ted to indicate the corresp he requirement to respond	nal requirements f training (as den ponding to that re marker of the tra marker of the tra n the coefficient le response occu onding action is d only starts 50m date process spo	a. i) The time to narcated by the entry equest shall be less aining frame with the ining frame with the update field is different irs when the coefficient complete." s after the start of ecified in 72.6.10.25"
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. Lower case "n" is the in number of values. This Cl 93A SC 93A.4 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T Isn't ILD done in dB spa SuggestedRemedy	Response Status W dex to a particular frequency of convention is used in a numb P 410 Mellanox Tech Comment Status D ace not raw response?	value and uppe er of places thr <i>L</i> 26	oughout the draft.	Either as spe compl to the ii) . Th than 2 new re corres from th status or B - trainin as it is Make	A - Change the beg cified in 72.6.10.2.5 ete the process is 5 AN_GOOD_CHECH he period from recein ms, where the start equest and the end ponding reponse. A he coefficient filed in report field is updat if the intent is that th g then delete "In ad covered by the first the same change to Response	5 with the following additio 10ms from the beginning of K state in Figure 73-11). 10ms from the period is the frame of the period is the frame of the period is the frame of the period is the frame A new request occurs whe n the preceding frame. Th ted to indicate the corresp he requirement to respond Idition to the coefficient up t sentence in the section. 0 93.7.12 on page 247, and Response Status W	nal requirements f training (as den ponding to that re marker of the tra marker of the tra n the coefficient le response occu onding action is d only starts 50m date process spo	a. i) The time to narcated by the entry equest shall be less aining frame with the ining frame with the update field is different irs when the coefficient complete." s after the start of ecified in 72.6.10.25"
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. Lower case "n" is the in number of values. This Cl 93A SC 93A.4 Dawe, Piers J G Comment Type T Isn't ILD done in dB spa SuggestedRemedy 10^(ILD(fn)/10) should I	Response Status W dex to a particular frequency to convention is used in a numb P 410 Mellanox Techt Comment Status D ace not raw response? De ILD(fn)^2, I think. Response Status W	value and uppe er of places thr <i>L</i> 26	oughout the draft.	Either as spe compl to the ii) . Th than 2 new re corres from th status or B - trainin as it is Make	A - Change the beg cified in 72.6.10.2.5 ete the process is 5 AN_GOOD_CHECH he period from recei- ms, where the start equest and the end ponding reponse. A he coefficient filed ir report field is updat if the intent is that the g then delete "In ad covered by the firs- the same change to	5 with the following additio 10ms from the beginning of K state in Figure 73-11). 10ms from the period is the frame of the period is the frame of the period is the frame of the period is the frame A new request occurs whe n the preceding frame. Th ted to indicate the corresp he requirement to respond Idition to the coefficient up t sentence in the section. 0 93.7.12 on page 247, and Response Status W	nal requirements f training (as den ponding to that re marker of the tra marker of the tra n the coefficient le response occu onding action is d only starts 50m date process spo	a. i) The time to narcated by the entry equest shall be less aining frame with the ining frame with the update field is different irs when the coefficient complete." s after the start of ecified in 72.6.10.25"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID r01-48

dek, Michael QLogic Corporation mment Type TR Comment Status D With an ASIC that just passes the return loss for TP0a/TP5a and a worst case compliance board it is not possible to pass the host return loss specifications. The return loss of the host being used to test the cables in the COM calculation is worse than the effective host	Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status D With the specification of Signal-to-noise-and-distortion and the use of this specification COM to qualify the cables it is no longer necessary to have the far end noise specification for the Tx.
return loss so relaxing this specification will not cause a system issue. A presentation will describe this. <i>ggestedRemedy</i> Change the equation 92-1 to 8.5-0.35*f from 0.01 to 8GHZ, and 3.9-7.4*log(f/14) from 8 to 19GHz. Make the same changes to equation 92-22. Make corresponding changes to figure 92-5.	SuggestedRemedy Delete the Far-end noise rows and also section 92.8.3.5 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Use suggested remedy
pposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 92 SC 92.8.4.4.3 P 211 L 5 # r01-52
Pending task force discussion. See dudek_3bj_01_0314.pdf.	Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status D Erroneous sentence. The disturbers are to be set to a given amplitude. There can't be
dek, Michael QLogic Corporation mment Type TR Comment Status D To match the description of the transmission line given on line 32, (and to match the accepted comment i-172), the value of p1 in table 93A-3 needs to be negative not positive. ggestedRemedy Change the value of p1 from "0.106" to "-0.106". To "-0.106".	SuggestedRemedy Delete the sentence "The amplitudes of each of the disturbers should not deviate more than 3dB from the mean of the disturber amplitudes." Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 92 SC 92.10.2 P 213 L 14 # [r01-53]
pposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	CI 92 SC 92.10.2 P 213 L 14 # r01-53 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation P 213 L 14 # r01-53
The response to comment r01-27 removes the parameter rho_1.	Comment Type T Comment Status D With the use of COM to specify cables and calibrate the interference tolerance test MDNEXT, MCFEXT, and ICN are only used for the test fixture specifications Note there are no longer any specification numbers for these parameters for the cable. SuggestedRemedy Delete the MDNEXT and MDFEXT rows in Table 92-10. Relabel sections 92.10.8, 92.10.9, and 92.9.10 replacing "cable Assembly" with "Test Fixture" and moving them the end of section 92.11. Proposed Response Response Status W
	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Use suggested remedy with editorial license to implement.

C/ 94 SC 94.3.12.7 P 309 L 26 # r01-54 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation	C/ 93A SC 93A.4 P 355 L 19 # [r01-56] Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation <
Comment Type T Comment Status D There is a disconect between the allowed SNDR here and the equivalent TxSNR in the COM code because TxSNR in the COM code is relative to the 1/3 eye height whereas SNDR is related to the full amplitude.	Comment Type T Comment Status D ILDrms isn't technically the RMS of the insertion loss deviation. It is the weighted RMS insertion loss deviation SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Change the TX SNDR requirement from 27dB to 36.54dB (or change the meaning of TxSNR in the COM code). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The comment is not against a changed portion of the draft, a portion of the draft affected by changes, or a portion of the draft that is the subject of unresolved comments associated with "Disapprove" votes. It is out of the scope of the recirculation ballot.	Change "The RMS insertion" to "The weighted RMS insertion" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "The RMS insertion loss deviation ILD_RMS is a figure of merit for a channel that is calculated using Equation (93A–54)." To: "A figure of merit for a channel that is based
See response to comment r01-28 Cl 93A SC 93A.4 P 355 L 24 # r01-55 Dudek, Michael QLogic Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status D The definition for ILDrms here is different from the definition in OIF CEI 3.1, and has multiple issues (eg. The result depends on the number of samples and it will be a large number because 10^(0) is 1.) SuggestedRemedy Change the definition to match that in OIF CEI 3.1. That definition has been provided to the editors. It is square root sum((W(fn)*(ILD(f))^2/N)) Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See r01-25.	on ILD(f) is given by Equation (93A–54)." In Equation (93A-54), change "ILD_RMS" to "FOM_ILD". <i>Cl</i> 92 SC 92.8.3.9.2 <i>P</i> 230 <i>L</i> 43 # <u>r01-57</u> Healey, Adam LSI Corporation <i>Comment Type</i> T <i>Comment Status</i> D This comment is submitted on behalf of Vinu Arumugham. Noise from switching aggressors need to be included. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> All aggressor lane transmitters shall be transmitting PRBS31 pattern with amplitude set to maximum value. <i>Proposed Response Response Status</i> W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add sentence "All aggressor lane transmitters are to transmit PRBS31 pattern set to the same transmitter parameters as the lane under test." After sentence"Effective bounded uncorrelated jitter and effective random jitter are measured on each of two specific transitions in a PRBS9 pattern (see 83.5.10)."

		•				•			
Cl 92 SC 92.8 Healey, Adam		P 236 SI Corporatior	L 45	# r <u>01-58</u>	<i>Cl</i> 93 Healey, A	SC 93.8.2.4	P 236 LSI Corpora	L 45	# <u>r</u> 01-59
•			1		-		•		
Comment Type T	Comment Sta	_			Comment		Comment Status D		
	ubmitted on behalf of test is not stressful e			not aligned to Clause			nitted on behalf of Vinu Arum t is not stressful enough and	0	not aligned to Clause
SuggestedRemedy					Suggeste	dRemedy			
generator sinusoid		l peak-to-peal	k amplitude ar	e as specified in Table		ver jitter tolerand ied in Table 88-	e is verified for jitter frequen	cy and peak-to-p	eak amplitude values
	the pattern generator	is set to gene	erate 0.05UI B	UJ.	Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
Proposed Response	Response Stat	tus W			PROF	OSED REJECT			
PROPOSED REJ	ECT.								
by changes, or a p		t is the subjec	t of unresolve	n of the draft affected d comments associated allot.	by ch	anges, or a porti	gainst a changed portion of t on of the draft that is the sub es. It is out of the scope of th	ject of unresolve	d comments associated
frequency jitter co	e receiver jitter toleran sistent with the trans omprehensive stress t	mitter jitter filt		ver's ability to track low- 22.8.3.9. It is not	freque	ency jitter consis	ceiver jitter tolerance test is t tent with the transmitter jitter orehensive stress test.		
Table 92-9 specifi to-peak at 190 kH f = 1E5 to 1E7 Hz 2.6 UI peak-to-pea specific frequency	es the jitter tolerance t z. Table 88-3 specifies This translates to [ap k at 190 kHz. Table 9 points and in that res	to be 1 UI pea s the jitter tole oproximately] 03-7 requires t pect is more s	erance to be 5I 0.53 UI peak-t twice the jitter stressful.		to-pea f = 1E 2.6 U specif estab	ak at 190 kHz. T 5 to 1E7 Hz. Th I peak-to-peak a fic frequency poi lished why the re	he jitter tolerance to be 1 UI j able 88-3 specifies the jitter t is translates to [approximate] t 190 kHz. Table 93-7 require ints and in that respect is mo equirements for a backplane Y that support up to 10 and	olerance to be 5 b) 0.53 UI peak- es twice the jitter re stressful. In a PHY should be o	E5/f UI peak-to-peak for to-peak at 940 kHz and tolerance at these ddition, it has not been consistent with the
	not been established went with the requireme iber.				<i>Cl</i> 00 Healey, A	SC 0 dam	P 0 LSI Corpora	L 0 tion	# r01-60

0 and 40 km	C/ 00	C/ 00 SC 0		P 0	0_0
	Healey, Adar	m		LSI Corporation	
	Comment Ty	/pe	Е	Comment Status D	

This comment is submitted on behalf of Michelle Turner, Managing Editor, Technical Community Content Publishing IEEE Standards Association. This draft meets all editorial requirements

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response	Response Status	w
PROPOSED ACCEPT.		

Thank you.

Comment ID r01-60

CI 80	SC 80.3.3.7	P 117	L 3	# <u>r</u> 01-61
Healey, A	dam	LSI Corporation	า	
Comment	t Type E	Comment Status D		
Also,	in 83A.3.2a, the	RGY_DETECT.indicate should primitive PMA:IS_UNITDATA_i indication (2 instances).		
Suggeste	dRemedy			
Corre	ect the primitive na	ames as stated in the commen	t.	
Proposed	l Response	Response Status W		
PRO	POSED ACCEPT			
CI 93A	SC 93A.1	P 398	L 52	# r01-62
Healey, A	dam	LSI Corporation	1	
Comment	t Type E	Comment Status D		
Merg	e this sentence w	ith the preceding paragraph.		
Suggeste	dRemedy			
	e the sentence "T ble 93A-2."	he Physical Layer specification	ns that employ	this method are listed
parar		nce of the preceding paragraph d by the Physical Layer specific		
Proposed	l Response	Response Status W		
PRO	POSED ACCEPT			