



# FEC Requirements for 100Gb/s Backplane and Copper Cable

*Phil Northcott*

*Nov 2011*

- Phil Northcott, PMC-Sierra
  - Stephen Bates, RAD3 Communications
  - Mark Gustlin, Cisco Systems
- 
- **THANK YOU** to members of the 802.3bj FEC informal discussion group who provided valuable feedback.

- The purpose of this exercise is to gather requirements information from the channel modelers, to drive the design of the FEC.
  - The actual FEC design will probably not be hard once we know what it has to do.
- The requirements may be met by a combination of FEC, precoding, synchronization, and scrambling
- These requirements are meant to draw a ‘box’ within which the team can explore. It does NOT preclude us specifying something better!
  - E.g. if we agree that  $F_{max} = 28\text{Gbps}$ , but we find a workable solution with 26.5 Gbps, the final spec will be 26.5Gbps.

# Draft Requirements for FEC + Line Code

	Requirement <i>(Implications)</i>	Proposed Value(s)	Status
1.1	Must not require more than <b>L<sub>max</sub></b> latency at its full coding gain.	100 ns	Agreed
1.2	Should allow a latency adder less than <b>L<sub>max_NoFG</sub></b> when no coding gain is required. <i>(Affects whether we can have just one Tx format, with Transcode &amp; FEC bits, and only vary the Rx.)</i>	20ns	Does this need to be super-small?
2.1	Must not require a SERDES speed greater than <b>D<sub>max</sub></b> . <i>(No greater than OTN)</i>	28 Gbps	Recommended
2.2	Must allow a SERDES speed equal to <b>D<sub>min</sub></b> when no coding gain is required <i>(Affects whether we can have just one Tx format, with Transcode &amp; FEC bits, and only vary the Rx.)</i>	“No req” or “25.78 Gbps”	Discuss.
2.3	Must carry a data rate not less than <b>C<sub>min</sub></b> at its maximum coding gain	100Gpbs	Agreed

# Draft Requirements for FEC + Line Code

	Requirement <i>(Implications)</i>	Proposed Value(s)	Status
3.1	Must reduce an AWGN input <b>BER_in</b> to a BER_out of 1E-12 or less. <i>(An important starting point. Detailed error models can be contentious, and will take time)</i>		<b>Request Input</b>
3.2	Must provide a Total Coding Gain of <b>TCG_A</b> , <b>TCG_B</b> , <b>TCG_C</b> under error models A,B,C at a BERout = 1E-12. <i>(Running sims vs. 20 channel models is not practical. We need to pick about 3-5. At least one should be Coax.)</i>		<b>Request 3 Error Models</b>
3.3	Must not have an appreciable error flare or floor above BERout = 1E-15 under AWGN, or under Error Models A, B, C. <i>(Many practical applications must be operated far below 1E-12. Analysis and emulation will be required to show a high likelihood that 3.3. is met)</i>	No Flare	<b>Request 3 Error Models</b>

# Draft Requirements for FEC + Line Code

	Requirement	Proposed Value(s)	Action Sugg.
4.1	<p>Must correct the worst burstiness event (bursts + ambient) that occurs with with <b>Pburst</b> likelihood on a single channel</p> <p><i>(Burst error impact is <math>P_{burst} * BurstLength</math>, so <math>P_{burst} &lt; BER_{out}/BurstLength</math> is required)</i></p> <p><i>(Likely to be strongly driven by DFE error propagation. Differential coding may satisfy this.)</i></p>	<p>1E-14 minimum.</p> <p>1E-17 preferred.</p>	<b>Derive from channel models.</b>
4.2	<p>Must correct a burst of <b>Bml</b> bits on all lanes simultaneously, which is the maximum burst occurring with Pburst likelihood.</p> <p><i>(Solution must be robust to card pull, power-supply, or correlated crosstalk events. This requirement strongly affects striping, and trades off against 4.1)</i></p>		<b>Consult board experts.</b>
5.1	<p>Reasonable Power consumption (precise requirement needs more discussion)</p>		Discuss

- Are these the right requirements?
- Are members willing to provide representative error models?