C/ 00 SC 0 Ρ L # 11 C/ 00 SC 0  $P\mathbf{0}$ L 0 # 68 Anslow. Pete Ciena Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X Comment #84 against D1.0 was accepted, but the part that says "Go through the rest of Page numbering in Clause 60 seems to be off by 2 pages. Please fix it in the next revision the draft ensuring that only change, delete, insert, or replace are used, that each of the draft modification has a corresponding editing instruction and that the text corresponding to SuggestedRemedy each instruction matches the style in the added description." has not been implemented. Per comment "Modify" is not a valid editing instruction. Proposed Response Response Status O When Insert is used, the text to be inserted is not shown in underline font. SuggestedRemedy There are 51 instances of "Modify" in the draft. Replace these with "Change" except for Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 19 L 5 # 58 the instance in the strikethrough footnote to Table 75-10 which is the subject of another Sugawa, Jun Hitachi, Ltd. comment. Comment Type E Comment Status X For the text associated with the "Insert" editing instruction, show in normal (not underline) font. This applies to 45.2.1.11.a through 45.2.1.11.d, 60.4a, 60.4b, 60.10.4.5a through "10GBASE--PR-D4" should be "10GBASE-PR-D4" 60.10.4.5d, 75.10.4.4a, 75.10.4.7a, 75.10.4.9a and 75.10.4.12a. SuggestedRemedy In 56.1.3, the style of the text uses underline and strikethrough, so is appropriate to a Change editing instruction, not Insert. Replace both "Insert" editing instructions with Changes per comment Proposed Response Response Status O Replace only applies to figures or equations, so the changes to Table 60-2 and Table 75-4 should be "Change" instructions. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 P 23 L 10 # 43 Brown, Alan Aurora Networks C/ 00 SC 0  $P\mathbf{0}$ L 0 # 53 Comment Type E Comment Status X Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Undesireable use of comma in "includes the combination of 1000BASE-PX10-D (Passive Optical Network Downstream 10 km), plus Comment Type E Comment Status X 1000BASE-PX10-U (PON Upstream 10 km)" Correct improper serial comma use throughout document. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete comma.

Proposed Response

·

Response Status O

A. b. c. and d is correct.

Proposed Response

A. b. c and d is not correct.

Response Status O

Cl 56 SC 56.1.3 P 26 L 1 # 63 C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 L 22 # 46 Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X In the modified Table 56-3, 1000BASE-PX30-D, 1000BASE-PX30-U, 1000BASE-PX40-D The sentence no longer reads well, since we've added so many PMDs. and 1000BASE-PX40-U list as mandatory (M) presence of Clause 60 1000BASE-PX20 "This clause specifies the following PMDs (including MDI): " blah, blah ", and the single-PMD type. This is clearly incorrect, since PX30 should be listing mandatory 1000BASEmode fiber medium." PX30 PMD, while PX40 should be listing mandatory 1000BASE-PX40 PMD. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Move list of PMDs to the end of the sentence, as in: "This clause specifies the single-mode fiber medium and the following PMDs (including Revise Table 56-3 as shown in P8023bk 1209 haiduczenia 1.pdf (changes are marked in red - two new columns and moving M entries into newly added columns accordingly). MDI): " blah, blah Frame source is also provided for convenience. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 L 23 # 45 C/ 60 SC 60 P 27 L 1 # 44 Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Extra space in "1000BASE-PX10-U.". It appears that a space is needed in "1000BASE-PX20,1000BASE-PX30". Note- It is very hard to definitively cite the line reference. It may be 23 or 24. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete extra space Change to "1000BASE-PX20, 1000BASE-PX30". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 SC 60 P 29 L 30 # 47 C/ 60 L 32 # 40 Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type TR Comment Status X The paragraph as written seems disjoint. Reference to "Table f" is incorrect. Two occurances, lines 32 and 34. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change references to "Table 60-8d" Break into two paragraphs before "Typically, the 1490 nm band is used to transmit". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O

C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 L 34 # 48 C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 L 42 # 85 Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Status X The pre-existing text discusses interoperabily, in "A 1000BASE-PX20-D PMD is This text (copied below) has alway been the case, why is it needed now? While I can interoperable with a 1000BASEPX10-U PMD". Do we expect the new PMDs to interop, for understand the mention of two optional temperature ranges I find the special mention of example. PX30D interop on same PON with PX30U and PX20U? If so, we should add compliance declaration especially objectionable as this is always the case and need no similar text. special mention here, far from the PICS. "Implementations may be declared as compliant over one or both complete ranges, or not SuggestedRemedy so declared (compliant over parts of these ranges or another temperature range)." Task Force discussion may be needed to determine answer to question above, and if SuggestedRemedy positive, to suggest text. Remove the text "Implementations may be declared as compliant over one or both Proposed Response Response Status 0 complete ranges, or not so declared (compliant over parts of these ranges or another temperature range)." Proposed Response Response Status O # 84 C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 L 35 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status X C/ 60 L 48 SC 60.1 P 27 The text added in Draft 1.1, copied below, was not needed in the past, why is it needed Anslow. Pete Ciena now? Comment Status X Comment Type This allows certain upgrade possibilities from 10 km to 20 km PONs. Typically, the 1490 nm band is used to transmit away from the center of the network D and the 1310 nm band The editing instruction says "Insert new rows ..." but columns have been added. towards the center U. The suffixes D and U indicate the PMDs at each end of a link which An insert editing instruction has been used, but the style of the text is appropriate to a transmit in these directions and receive in the opposite directions. The splitting ratio or change editing instruction. reach length may be increased in an FEC enabled link. FEC refers to forward error The footnotes to Table 60-1 are shown in underline font, but most of them are unmodified. correction for P2MP optical links and is described in 65.2. The maximum reach length is SugaestedRemedy not limited by the protocol, see 64.3.3. Change the editing instruction to: "Change Table 60-1 ..." SuggestedRemedy Use normal font for the parts of the footnotes that are unmodified. Remove the text. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 L 48 # 90 C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 27 L 42 # 3 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The editing instructios are incorrect, the insertion is a column: "Insert new rows in Table 60-1 for 1000BASE-PX30-U, 1000BASE-PX30-D, 1000BASE-Comment #81 added the missing text from 60.1 to the draft. PX40-U. and 1000BASE-PX40-D PMDs, as shown below:" However the paragraph starting with "Two optional temperature ranges are defined;" is shown in underline font despite being unchanged. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "rows" with "columns" Change this paragraph to normal font Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 60 SC 60.1 Page 3 of 17 06-09-2012 22:30:06

C/ 60 SC 60.1 P 29 L 35 # 64 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The text "This allows certain upgrade possibilities from 10 km to 20 km PONs. Typically. the 1490 nm band is used to transmit away from the center of the network D and the 1310 nm band towards the center U. The suffixes D and U indicate the PMDs at each end of a link which transmit in these directions and receive in the opposite directions. The splitting ratio or reach length may be increased in an FEC enabled link. FEC refers to forward error correction for P2MP optical links and is described in 65.2. The maximum reach length is not limited by the protocol, see 64.3.3." as well as text "Two optional temperature ranges are defined; see 60.8.4 for further details. Implementations may be declared as compliant over one or both complete ranges, or not so declared (compliant over parts of these ranges or another temperature range)." is part of balloted standard and was not changed in this project. As such, it should not be marked with underline.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove underline for the text listed in the body of the comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 60 SC 60.1.4 P 29 # 14 L 32 ZTE Corporation

Kuang, Guohua

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is no "Table f".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Table f" to "Table "60-8e".

Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 60 SC 60.1.4 P 29

L 32

# 59

Sugawa, Jun

Hitachi, Ltd.

Comment Type E Comment Status X

In Table 60-2. Receive conditions of 1000BASE-PX40 is described as "Average input optical power < Signal Detect Threshold (min) in Table f at the specified receiver wavelength"

But "Table f" is not the correct reference.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 60-2.

"Table f" should be changed to "Table 60-8e"

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 60 SC 60.1.4 P 29

L 34

# 15

Kuang, Guohua

ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is no "Table f".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Table f" to "Table 60-8e".

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 60 SC 60.1.4 P 29

L 34

# 60

Sugawa, Jun

Hitachi, Ltd.

Comment Type Comment Status X

In Table 60-2. Receive conditions of 1000BASE-PX40 is described as "Average input optical power > Receive sensitivity (max) in Table f with a compliant 1000BASE-X signal input at the specified receiver wavelength"

But "Table f" is not the correct reference

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 60-2.

"Table f" should be changed to "Table 60-8e".

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 60 SC 60.1.4 P 29 L 48 # 49 C/ 60 SC 60.10.3 P 40 L 29 # 12 Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X Text is not clear: "The specifications for OMA have been derived from extinction ratio and Item "\*INS" has a subclause reference of "60.3.1" in IEEE Std 802.3-2008 and the same in average launch power (minimum) or the revision D 3.2. receiver sensitivity (maximum)." This occurs in multiple locations (such as page 33, line However, D1.1 has the subclause as "60.4a.1" in normal font (not underlined) which would be an unmarked change. SugaestedRemedy Is derived from A and (B or C)? Either change the subclause to "60.3.1" or mark it as a change. Is derived from (A and B) or C? Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Clarify meaning, then use comma(s) appropriately to convey meaning. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 60 SC 60.10.4 P 40 L 41 Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Status X C/ 60 SC 60.1.4 P 31 L 32 # 65 Comment Type E Comment #85 against D1.0 was accepted, but the part that says "Include the location of Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation the insertion in each "Insert" editing instruction" has not been fully implemented. Comment Type E Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Broken reference in text: "Average input optical power =Signal Detect Threshold (min) in In 60.10.4, change: Table f at the specified receiver wavelength" - probably table 60-8e (?) should be "Insert new PICS subclauses 60.10.4.5a, 60.10.4.5b, 60.10.4.5c, and 60.10.4.5d, ..." to: referenced? Same in line 34 "Insert new PICS subclauses 60.10.4.5a, 60.10.4.5b, 60.10.4.5c, and 60.10.4.5d after SuggestedRemedy 60.10.4.5 ..." Per comment Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O CI 60 SC 60.10.4.5c P 41 L 45 # 61 C/ 60 SC 60.10 P 41 L 34 # 76 Hitachi, Ltd. Sugawa, Jun Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X In the value/Comments of PX40D2, "Meets specifications in Table f" is described. But "Table f" is not the correct reference. Missing space in line 34 in "1000BASE-PX20,1000BASE-PX30" - before "1000BASE-PX30" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Table f" should be changed to "Table 60-8e". Insert the missing space Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5c P 41 L 46 # 26 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5c P 41 L 52 # 27 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X There is no "Table f". There is no "Table f". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Table f" to "Table 60-8e". Change "Table f" to "Table 60-8e". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5c P 41 L 47 # 54 C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5c P 43 L 46 Sugawa, Jun Hitachi, Ltd. Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The Value/Comment of PX40D3 is described as "Meets specifications in Wrong reference in PICS items. Is "Table f" and should be "Table 60-8e" in lines 46, 48, Table f". but "Table f" is not the correct reference. and 52 on page 43 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Table f" should be changed to "Table 60-8e". Fix per comment. Make sure the inserted links are live. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 60 SC 60.10.4.5c P 41 L 50 # 55 C/ 60 SC 60.4a P 29 L 38 Sugawa, Jun Hitachi. Ltd. Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X The Value/Comment of PX40D4 is described as "If the receiver does not The editing instruction says "Insert a new subclause, 60.4a, after the text in 60.4.2, as meet the damage requirements in Table f then label accordingly". But "Table f" is not the shown below", but the new subclause should be after Table 60-8 and Figure 60-4. correct reference. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "Insert a new subclause, 60.4a, after 60.4.2, as shown below" "Table f" should be changed to "Table 60-8e". Make equivalent change to editing instruction for 60.4b Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 60 SC 60.4a P 30 L 35 # 923 C/ 60 SC 60.4a.1 P 30 L 36 # 51 Taiima, Akio **NEC Corporation** Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X "The maximum" is written doubly as "The maximum The maximum RMS spectralwidth". Run on sentence. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy The maximum RMS spectralwidth Replace "." with ":". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O SC 60.4a.1 P 29 L 53 # 50 C/ 60 SC 60.4a.1 P 32 L 25 C/ 60 Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type Ε Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X This paragraph is mixing singular and plural uses (two items as possessive singular "Optical return loss of ODN (min)" for 1000BASE-PX30-U is still TBD. "transmitter's") ("Its"). Also, not properly using serial comma (comman missing after SuggestedRemedy "eye"). Also, missing article "the" before "measurement". This occurs in multiple locations, such as page 33 line 14. Chang ethe "TBD" to "20", following the minimum required value applicable to all other EPON PMD types. There is no reason to use a different value. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Rewrite as: The signaling speed, operating wavelength, spectral width, average launch power, extinction ratio, return loss tolerance, OMA, eve. and TDP of the 1000BASE-PX30-D and 1000BASE-PX30-U transmitters shall meet the specifications defined in Table 60-8a per C/ 60 SC 60.4a.1 P 33 L 17 the measurement techniques described in 60.7. The RIN15OMA of the transmitters should Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation meet the value listed in Table 60-8a per the measurement techniques described in 60.7.7. Comment Type ER Comment Status X Editor to use judgement to correct other locations. Remove editorial note - the text and values have been already circulated at least once and Proposed Response Response Status O generated no negative feedback. Additionally, the values in Table 60-8b and in Figure 60-4a were taken verbatim from 802.3av specification and were never debated. SuggestedRemedy C/ 60 SC 60.4a.1 P 30 L 25 # 16

Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

In table 60-9 (page 35), the minimum Optical return of ODN is more than 20dB for PX10, PX20, PX30 and PX40.

This value in the table 6-8a should be kept same with in table 60-9. The optical return loss (min) of ODN for 1000BASE-PX30-U should be 20 dB.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "TBD" to "20".

Proposed Response Status O

Proposed Response Status O

Per comment

C/ 60 SC 60.4a.2 P 31 L 51 # 52

Brown, Alan Aurora Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This paragraph is mixing singular and plural uses (two items as possessive singular "receiver's") ("Its"). Improper serial comma use. Missing article. This occurs in multiple locations, such as page 34 line 3.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite as:

The signaling speed, operating wavelength, overload, sensitivity, reflectance, and signal detect of the 1000BASE-PX30-D and 1000BASE-PX30-U receivers shall meet the specifications defined in Table 60–8c per the measurement techniques defined in 60.7.10. The stressed receive characteristics should meet the values listed in Table 60–8c per the measurement techniques

Editor to use judgement to correct other locations.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 60 SC 60.4a.2 P32 L5 # 86

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This cautionary statement reads wrong, surely receiver are not damaged because direct ONU-OLT connections are not guarented by the spec. If that were the case optical component manufacturese would never be able to make operable receivers. "The damage threshold included inTable 60–8c does not guarantee direct ONU-OLT connection, which may result in damage of the receiver. If direct ONU-OLT connection is necessary, optical attenuators and/or equivalent loss components should be inserted to decrease receive power below the damage threshold." Similar text exists in 60.4b.2 pg 34 line 10.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read:

"The damage threshold included in Table 60–8c does not guarantee direct ONU–OLT connection. If direct ONU–OLT connection which may result in damage of the receiver is necessary, optical attenuators and/or equivalent loss components should be inserted to decrease receive power below the damage threshold."

Make similar text changes in 60.4b.2 pg 39 line 1.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 60 SC 60.4a.2 P 34 L 22 # 69

Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The value of "Receiver sensitivity OMA (max)" for 1000BASE-PX30-U should be formatted in two lines and it is now in just one.

SuggestedRemedy

(2.39)"

Change "-26.22 (2.39)" to "-26.22

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 60 SC 60.4b P33 L4 # 23

Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The operating range for 1000BASE-PX40 is defined in Table 60-1.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "60-8d" to "60-1".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 60 SC 60.4b.1 P33 L15 # 25

Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**There is no "spectral width" in Table 60-8d.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "spectral width" to "side mode suppression ratio".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 60 SC 60.4b.1 P 33 L 27 # 20 C/ 60 SC 60.4b.1 P 35 L 33 # 70 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Minimum Channel insertion loss = Average launch power(max) - Average receive The value of "Launch OMA (min)" for 1000BASE-PX40-U and 1000BASE-PX40-D should be formatted in two lines and it is now in just one. power(max) PX40 DS minimum channel insertion loss is 18dB (in Table 60-1) SuggestedRemedy Average launch power (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-D is 7 dBm (in Table 60-8d) Change Average receive power (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-U is -8 dBm (in Table 60-8e) "4.78 (3.01)" So. Minimum Channel insertion loss of PX40 DS = 7-(-8) = 15 dB, it is not meet 18 dB in to Table 60-1. "4.78 Solution 1: (3.01)" Increase the OLT average launch power range from 4-7dBm to 4-9 dBm And reduce the ONU maximum average receive power from -8 dBm to -9 dBm Change Correlatively, the maximum damage threshold of ONU can be reduced from -3 to -4 dBm "2.78 (1.90)" Minimum Channel insertion loss of PX40 DS = 9-(-9) = 18 dB SuggestedRemedy "2.78 Change the Average launch power (max) for 1000BASE-PX40-D from "7" to "9". (1.90)" See 8023bk ZTE 201208.pdf for details. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 60 P 34 SC 60.4b.2 L 23 # 21 P 33 C/ 60 SC 60.4b.1 L 29 # 17 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Comment Type Comment Status X Т Comment Type T Comment Status X Minimum Channel insertion loss = Average launch power(max) - Average receive Average launch power of OFF transmitter for 1000BASE-PX10-D, 1000BASE-PX20-D and power(max) 1000BASE-PX30-D are defined less than -39 dBm. PX40 DS minimum channel insertion loss is 18dB (in Table 60-1) Average launch power (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-D is 7 dBm (in Table 60-8d) SuggestedRemedy Average receive power (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-U is -8 dBm (Table 60-8e) Change Average launch power of OFF transmitter for 1000BASE-PX40-D from "-45" to "-So, Minimum Channel insertion loss of PX DS = 7-(-8) = 15 dB, it is not meet 18 dB in 39". Table 60-1. solution 2: Proposed Response Response Status 0 Keep the OLT average launch power, reduce the ONU maximun average receive power from -8 dBm to -11 dBm. Minimum Channel insertion loss of PX DS = 7-(-11) = 18 dB SC 60.4b.1 P 33 L 48 C/ 60 # 24 SuggestedRemedy Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Change Average receive power (max) for 1000BASE-PX40-U from "-8" to "-11". Comment Status X Comment Type T See 8023bk ZTE 201208.pdf for details. PX40-U and PX40-D are all used DFB laser. There is no need the note for spectral width. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response Status O

Remove Note b.

Proposed Response

C/ 60 SC 60.4b.2 Page 9 of 17 06-09-2012 22:30:06

C/ 60 SC 60.4b.2 P 34 L 23 # 18

Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Ruang, Guonua ZTE Corporation

Т

Minimum Channel insertion loss = Maximum Average launch power - Average receive power.

PX40 US minimum channel insertion loss is 18dB (in Table 60-1).

Maximum Average launch power of 1000BASE-PX40-U is 7 dBm (in Table 60-8d)

Average receive power of 1000BASE-PX40-D is -8 dBm (in Table 60-8e)

Comment Status X

So, minimum channel insertion loss for PX40 US = 7 - (-8) = 15 dB, it is not meet the 18 dB in table 60-1.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change the Average receive power of 1000BASE -PX40-D from "-8" to "-11".

Correlatively, the Damage threshold (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-D should be reduced from "-3" to "-6" dBm.

See 8023bk\_ZTE\_201208.pdf for details.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 60 SC 60.4b.2 P 34 L 23 # 19

Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Minimum Channel insertion loss = Average launch power(max) - Average receive power(max)

PX40 DS minimum channel insertion loss is 18dB (in Table 60-1)

Average launch power (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-D is 7 dBm (in Table 60-8d)

Average receive power (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-U is -8 dBm (in Table 60-8e)

So, Minimum Channel insertion loss of PX40 DS = 7-(-8) = 15 dB, it is not meet 18 dB in Table 60-1.

Solution 1:

Increase the OLT average launch power range from 4-7 dBm to 4-9 dBm And reduce the ONU maximum average receive power from -8 dBm to -9 dBm  $\,$ 

Correlatively, the maximum damage threshold of ONU can be reduced from -3 to -4 dBm Minimum Channel insertion loss of PX40 DS = 9-(-9) = 18 dB

SuggestedRemedy

Change the Average receive power of 1000BASE -PX40-U from "-8" to "-9". See 8023bk ZTE 201208.pdf for details.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 60 SC 60.4b.2 P 34 L 24 # 30

Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

If Changed Average receive power (max) for 1000BASE-PX40-U from "-8" to "-11".

Correlatively, the maximum damage threshold of 1000BASE-PX40-U should be changed from -3 to -6 dBm

See 8023bk\_ZTE\_201208.pdf for details.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the maximum damage threshold of 1000BASE-PX40-U from "-3" to "-6".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 60 SC 60.4b.2 P34 L24 # 29

Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

If reduced the 1000BASE-PX40-U maximum average receive power from -8 dBm to -9 dBm Correlatively, the maximum damage threshold of ONU should be changed from -3 to -4 dBm.

See 8023bk\_ZTE\_201208.pdf for details.

SuggestedRemedy

Change damage threshold for 1000BASE-PX40-U from "-3" to "-4".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 60 SC 60.4b.2 P34 L24 # 28

Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

If Change the Average receive power of 1000BASE -PX40-D from "-8" to "-11".

Correlatively, the Damage threshold (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-D should be reduced from "-3" to "-6" dBm.

See 8023bk ZTE 201208.pdf for details.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the Damage threshold (max) of 1000BASE-PX40-D from "-3" to "-6".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 60 SC 60.4b.2 P 34 L 36 # 22 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Comment Type Т Comment Status X Power in dBm =  $10 \times LOG10$  (Power in mW), -28.22 dBm = 1.51 uW. SuggestedRemedy Change Stressed receive sensitivity OMA (max) for 1000BASE-PX40-U from "-28.22(1.55)" to "-28.22(1.51)". Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type E Comment Status X

SC 60.4b.2

The value of "Receiver sensitivity OMA (max)" for 1000BASE-PX40-U and 1000BASE-PX40-D should be formatted in two lines and it is now in just one. Same for "Stressed receive sensitivity OMA (max)" for both PMDs

P 36

ZTE Corporation

L 27

# 71

#### SuggestedRemedy

Hajduczenia, Marek

C/ 60

Change "-31.22 (0.76)" to -31.22(0.76)Change "-29.22 (1.20)" "-29.22 (1.20)" Change "-30.22 (0.95)" to "-30.22 (0.95)" Change "-28.22 (1.55)"

"-28.22 (1.55)" Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 60 SC 60.5 P 35 L 6 # 87 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type E Comment Status X Appears to be a dash (removed space?) instead of a white space. 1000BASE-PX20,-1000BASE-PX30, Same issue in table 60-9 title. SuggestedRemedy Please don't remove the spaces, they are needed. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 60 SC 60.5 P 37 L 17 # 73 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Status X Comment Type ER Table 60-9 becomes a bit akward to read with "Upstream" and "Downstream" in written in vertical manner. Suggest to replace "Upstream" with "US" and "Downstream" with "DS" and attach footnote to the first instance of US and DS expanding them to full word. Then "US" and "DS" can be written horizontally and not vertically.

SuggestedRemedy

Similar change is suggested for Table 60-1, for Transmit Direction parameter

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 60 SC 60.5 P 37 L 40 # 72

Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation

See Table 75B-1 for an example of how it should be done.

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**missing space in "PX10. PX20,PX30, and PX40", between "PX20" and "PX30"

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the missing space per comment

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 60 SC 60.7.2 P 39 L 22 # 74 Cl 75 SC 75.1.2 P 43 L 15 # 88 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Missing space in "Table 60-6.and Table 60-8a" - before "and". Appears to be a missing comma between 1:16 and 1:32 in "split ratios of at least 1:16 Also, probably missing also reference to Table 60-8d - TDP is also specified for PX40 PMD. 1:32, and 1:64, ..." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the text in line 22 to read "specified in Table 60-3, Table 60-6, Table 60-8a, and Add the comma to read: Table 60-8d, and described in 58.7.9" "ssplit ratios of at least 1:16, 1:32, and at least 1:64, ..." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 60.9.4 P 41 SC 75.1.3 P 45 C/ 60 L 29 # 75 Cl 75 L 18 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type E Missing space in line 29 in "PX20,1000BASE-PX30, and 1000BASE-PX40", before The editing instruction says: "Add a new bullet on extended power budget class in 75.1.3, "1000BASE-PX30" as shown below:" "Add" is not a valid editing instruction. The style of the text is appropriate to a change SuggestedRemedy editing instruction. Insert the missing space SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change to: "Change 75.1.3 to add a new bullet on extended power budget class, as follows:" Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 60 SC Table 60-8d P 33 L 49 # 924 Taiima, Akio **NEC Corporation** CI 75 SC 75.1.3 P 45 Comment Type T Comment Status X L 31 Anslow, Pete The notation of "Chirp" has broader meaning. Ciena Comment Status X SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Add "Wavelength" at the beginning of the sentence. The added power budget class doesn't have the same text format as the existing ones. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Change "Extended power budget class" to italic font. Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 75 SC 75.1.3 P 45 L 31 # 78 Cl 75 SC 75.4.1 P 49 L 18 Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X Words "Extended power budget class" should be written in italics, following the format 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4 is missing in Table 75-5. used in previous 3 bullets SuggestedRemedy Additionally, missing "." at the end of line 32. Change "10GBASE-PR-D2. 10GBASE-PR-D4. 10/1GBASE-PRX-D2" in Table 75-5 SuggestedRemedy to "10GBASE-PR-D2, 10GBASE-PR-D4, 10/1GBASE-PRX-D2, 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4". Fix the style for the selected words and add missing ".". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O CI 75 SC 75.4.1 P 49 L 49 SC 75.2.1.1 Cl 75 P 47 L 17 # 8 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Parameters in Table 75B-1 are defined for PR10, PR20, PR30 and PR40, and in Table The editing instruction says: "Modify the structure of Table 75-2 in 75.2.1.1, as shown 75B-2 are defined for PRX10, PRX20, PRX30 and PRX40. The note a in Table 75-5 should be changed to "Chirp is allowed such that the total optical Modify isn't a valid editing instruction - another comment proposes to change this to a path penalty does not exceed that found in Table 75B-1 and Table 75B-2". "Change" editing instruction. SuggestedRemedy Saying "the structure" is confusing as text has been added. Change "Table 75B-2" to "Table 75B-1 and Table 75B-2". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change to: "Change Table 75-2 in 75.2.1.1, as follows:" Make the equivalent change to the editing instruction for Table 75-3 Proposed Response Response Status O CI 75 SC 75.4.2 P 50 L 17 Sugawa, Jun Hitachi, Ltd. Cl 75 SC 75.4.1 P 49 L 10 # 37 Comment Type T Comment Status X ZTE Corporation Kuang, Guohua In Table 75-6, The value of the damage threshold is 1dB higher than the value of the average receive Comment Type T Comment Status X power(max) in 10GBASE-PR-D1, 10GBASE-PR-D3, etc. 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4 is missing. But the value of the damage threshold(max) in 10GBASE-PR-D4 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4

SuggestedRemedy

Change "10GBASE-PR-D4 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D2."

to "10GBASE-PR-D4, 10/1GBASE-PRX-D2 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4.".

Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

change the value of the damage threshold(max) in 10GBASE-PR-D4 from "-5" to "-8".

I think the damage threshold of -5dBm is feasible for APD receiver, but I'm afraid that the

Proposed Response Response Status O

is 4dB higher than the value of average receiver power(max).

damage threshold is specified as unnecesarrily high value.

# 38

# 39

# 56

Cl 75 SC 75.4.2 P 50 L 21 # 922 Cl 75 SC 75.4.2 P 51 L 1 # 91 Nishihara, Susumu NTT Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status X Comment Type ER Comment Status X In Table75-6, Receiver sensitivity OMA (max) for 10GBASE-PR-D4 should be -28.22 (1.51) Table 75-7 seems to be a waste of space, in the draft 2012 spec edition it had some value. instead of -28.22 (1.26). here it is just a new way to create an indirect reference (go see here, which says go see there ...). SuggestedRemedy I realize there are a lot of refererences to table 75-7 but if we decided to move all Per comment. parametric values out of the table it seems kind of mean hearted to keep it in just because we con't want to finish the job. Proposed Response Response Status O Same comment on Table 75-9 pg 53 SuggestedRemedy SC 75.4.2 CI 75 P 50 L 22 # 34 Add editorial note to remove the table and replace it with the following text. ZTE Corporation Kuang, Guohua "PMD reveice chaaristice for 10/1GBASE-PRX-D1 are the same as 1000BASE-PX10D Comment Type Т Comment Status X found in Table 60-5. PMD reveice chaaristice for 10/1GBASE-PRX-D2 are the same as 1000BASE-PX20D Power in dBm =  $10 \times LOG10$  (Power in mW), -28.22 dBm = 1.51 uW. found in Table 60-8. SuggestedRemedy PMD reveice chaaristice for 10/1GBASE-PRX-D3 are the same as 1000BASE-PX30D Change the Receiver sensitivity OMA (max) for 10GBASE-PR-D4 from "-28.22(1.26)" to "found in Table 60-8c. 28.22(1.51)". PMD reveice chaaristice for 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4 are the same as 1000BASE-PX40D found in Table f." Proposed Response Response Status O Editor to remove all references to Table 75-7 and replace with appropriate reference per above text. Cl 75 SC 75.4.2 P 50 L 39 # 79 May need to move notes from table also. Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type E Comment Status X The value "4" in line 39 was not modifed under this project and should not be marked with Cl 75 SC 75.4.2 P 51 L 31 # 80 underline ZTE Corporation Hajduczenia, Marek SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Remove the formatting of the value "4" in line 39 Wrong reference in "same as 1000BASE-PX40-D receive parameters (see Table f)" -Proposed Response Response Status O "Table f" should be "Table 60-8e" SuggestedRemedy

> Fix per comment Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 75 SC 75.4.2 P 51 L 32 # 13 Cl 75 SC 75.5.1 P 53 L 39 # 81 Anslow. Pete Ciena Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type T Comment Status X Table 75-7 for 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4 says "(see Table f)" Text on RMS spectral width seems incorrect as written right now: "The maximum RMS spectral width vs. wavelength for 10/1GBASE-PRX-U1, 10/1GBASE-PRX-U2, and SuggestedRemedy 10/1GBASE-PRX-U3 PMDs are shown, respectively, in Table 60-4, Table 60-7 and, and Correct this cross reference. Table 75–10 Table 60–8b, and in Table 60–4. " - there is reference to Table 60-4 which is not correct Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Modify the text in lines 39 - 42 by removing the statement ", and in Table 60-4" Cl 75 SC 75.4.2 P 51 L 32 # 36 Proposed Response Response Status 0 ZTE Corporation Kuang, Guohua Comment Type E Comment Status X CI 75 SC 75.5.1 P 53 L 41 # 33 There is no "Table f". Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation SuggestedRemedy Comment Status X Comment Type T Change "Table f" to "Table 60-8e". "Table 60-4" is listed twice. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Change "Table 60-8b, and in Table 60-4" to "and Table 60-8b". Cl 75 SC 75.4.2 P 51 L 6 # 57 Proposed Response Response Status O Hitachi, Ltd. Sugawa, Jun Comment Status X Comment Type E Cl 75 SC 75.5.2 P 54 L 22 In Table75-7, the spcification of 10/1GBASE-PRX-D4 is described as "same as 1000BASE-PX40-D receive parameters (see Table f)". But "Table f" is not the correct reference. Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X "Table f" should be changed to "Table 60-8e". For the deleted Table 75-10, footnote "a" has some spurious extra text in strikethrough font: "Modify Table 75-11 as shown below:" Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Remove the spurious text. Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 75 SC 75.5.2 P 55 L 23 # 35 Cl 75 SC 75.6.2 P 56 L 22 # 31 Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Type Т Comment Status X Power in dBm =  $10 \times LOG10$  (Power in mW), -27.59 dBm = 1.74 uW. There is no "Table 60-8f". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the Receiver sensitivity OMA (max) for 10GBASE-PR-U4 and 10/1GBASE-PRX-Change "Table 60-8f" to "Table 60-8e". U4 from "-27.59(1.12)" to "-27.59(1.74)". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 75 SC Table 75-8 P 52 L 34 # 925 CI 75 SC 75.6.2 P 56 L 19 # 32 **NEC Corporation** Tajima, Akio Kuang, Guohua ZTE Corporation Comment Type T Comment Status X Comment Status X Comment Type T "3.0 dB - TDP" is efffective in the case of PR-U1 and PR-U2. In the case of PR-U4, the There is no "Table 60-8f". amount is "2.0 dB- TDP". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Table 60-8f" to "Table 60-8e". "3.0 dB - TDP" for 10GBASE-PR-U1 and 10GBASE-PR-U2 and "2.0 dB- TDP" for 10G-BASE-PR-U4. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 75 SC 75.6.2 P 56 L 19 # 82 C/ 75A SC 75A.1 P 63 L 8 # 10 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type E Comment Status X Incorrect reference: is "Table 60-8f" and should be "Table 60-8e" Similar problem on page 65, line 21 The editing instruction says "Modify the text in 75A.1 as follows:" Modify isn't a valid editing instruction - another comment proposes to change this to a SuggestedRemedy "Change" editing instruction. Per comment Only some of the text in 75A.1 is shown Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Change the editing instruction to: "Change the third and the last paragraphs in 75A.1 as follows:" and show the whole of the text of those paragraphs. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 75B SC 75B.1 P 67 L 16 # 83 Cl 99 SC 99 P 15 L 23 Haiduczenia. Marek ZTE Corporation Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status X Missing space in "PRX30.and PRX40", before "and" Two spaces missing in editing instructions description in "andreplace" and Similar problem in line 25, same page "existingmaterial." Similar problem in line 51, page 68 SuggestedRemedy Similar problem in line 9, page 71 (two instances) Similar problem in line 9, page 69, in text "1000BASE-PX30-U,10GBASE-PRX-U1" insert two spaces Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Insert missing space. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 99 SC 99 P 3 L 15 Hajduczenia, Marek ZTE Corporation C/ 75B SC 75B.2.2 P 67 # 89 Comment Type E Comment Status X L 13 The text in the box says: " Media Access Control (MAC) service interface and management Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies parameters to support time synchronization protocols" and described P802.3bf project and Comment Status X Comment Type not P802.3bk The statement below is somewhat incorrect. While the WDM cannot be used in the SugaestedRemedy standard because of the overlap compliant ONUs could certainly be multiplexed with WDM. Replace the selected text with "Physical Laver Specifications and Management For example an ONU operating at 1265nm +-5nm could be WDM'd with another that Parameters for Extended Ethernet Passive Optical Networks" operates at 1275nm +-5nm, both ONUs would be fully compliant. Proposed Response Response Status O "The 1260-1360 wavelength band and the 1260-1280 wavelength band overlap, thus WDM channel multiplexing cannot be used to separate the two data rates for 1000BASE-PX10-U. 1000BASE-PX20-U. 1000BASE-PX30-U compliant ONUs and 10GBASE-PRX-U1. Cl 99 SC 99 P **5** L 51 # 41 10GBASE-PRX-U2, 10GBASE-PRX-U3 compliant ONUs." Brown, Alan Aurora Networks SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status X Change "thus WDM channel multiplexing cannot be used to separate the two data rates" to "thus WDM channel multiplexing cannot be used to specify separattion of the two data As of 1 January, 2012, IEEE is no longer accepting requests for interpretations. Do IEEE, rates" 802, or 802.3 have improved text for this section? It seems to me that we should state the fact. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy If no other suggested text, add Cl 99 SC 99 P 15 L 1 "As of 1 January 2012, IEEE no longer accepts requests for interpretations of IEEE standards. Refer to the IEEE page on Standards Interpretations for more information." Brown, Alan Aurora Networks Note- "Standards Interpretation" should be a hyperlink to URL Comment Type ER Comment Status X http://standards.ieee.org/findstds/interps/. Page 15 occurs twice in this document with distinct content. Same problem for page 16. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Correct page numbering. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **99** SC **99**  Page 17 of 17 06-09-2012 22:30:07