

IEEE P802.3bm D1.2 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s Fiber Optic Task Force 3rd Task Force review comments

Cl 83E SC 83E P167 L45 # 19
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes increasingly stringent at higher frequencies, the opposite of reasonable. We should align with CEI-28G-VSR.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from 15 dB to 18-6f/25.78 dB.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 83E SC 83E.3.1 P166 L33 # 20
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Host must provide the recommended CTLE peaking values, in case the module needs it. The recommended value must be not too far from the truth or the eye opening will collapse rapidly with CTLE tuning.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text:
 The host shall determine a recommended CTLE peaking value selected from Table 13-8 that is within 1 dB of the optimum CTLE peaking value. This value is reported to station management via register X of the MDIO, or otherwise.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 83E SC 83E.3.1.2 P166 L41 # 4
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This section is used for input voltage (voltage tolerance) as well as output voltage

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "output", here and in Figure 83E-6.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 83E SC 83E.3.1.3 P167 L17 # 2
 Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is a missing word that is needed to complete the specification

SuggestedRemedy

Change "is than" to "is less than"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 83E SC 83E.3.1.4 P168 L51 # 3
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This subclause is used for outputs as well as inputs.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "of the output" to "of input or output". Or better, because each limit is given in the relevant table, the sentence is unnecessary, so delete it. It is better not to mix up definitions and limits.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 83E SC 83E.3.1.5 P169 L3 # 10
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This subclause is used for transition time where the minimum is 9.5 ps not 10 ps.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "10 ps" to "10 ps or 9.5 ps as given in the appropriate table" or "the minimum given in the appropriate table". Or better, as the sentence is unnecessary, delete it. It is better not to mix up definitions and limits.

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3bm D1.2 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s Fiber Optic Task Force 3rd Task Force review comments

Cl 83E SC 83E.3.1.6 P169 L6 # 5
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status X

In this clause we don't specify jitter, we specify eye width. The two are not quite complementary (one would not usually measure TJ with PRBS9) and if they were, we have to use the same name for the same thing, every time.

SuggestedRemedy

- Change "host output jitter" to "host eye width" 5 times.
- Change "output jitter" to "eye width" once in 83E.3.1.6.1.
- Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1.
- Change "output jitter" to "eye width" once in 83E.3.2.1.1.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 83E SC 83E.3.1.6.1 P170 L4 # 11
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Equation is not correct - missing brackets. Not dB. Also 2pi clutter makes it harder to understand than it need be.

SuggestedRemedy

- $H(f) = G * P1 * P2 * (jf + Z1) / (Z1 * (jf + P1) * (Jf + P2))$
- Delete "(dB)"
- In Table 83E-2,s delete "/2pi", 3 times.
- Change "in Grad/s" to "in GHz", twice.
- Similarly in 83D.3.2.2.1.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 83E SC 83E.3.3 P173 L1 # 21
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

This says "specifications defined in Table 83E-4 when measured at TP4a." Yet differential pk-pk input voltage is measured at TP4.

SuggestedRemedy

- The thorough solution is to add a column "Test point" with entries TP4a and TP4 as appropriate. Delete "Reference" (should be "reference") after "Subclause", or delete "Subclause".
- Delete "at TP4" twice.
- Similarly for module input.
- Signaling rate is common to everything in this annex and is stated in 83E.3.1.4: once is enough, can be deleted from 4 tables. "Unit interval (UI) nominal" is not something to be conformed to independent of signaling rate, and isn't in the PICS, and is in text at 83E.3.1.1, so should not be in these tables at all.
- As an interim measure, one could footnote Differential pk-pk input voltage tolerance (min) in tables 83E-4 and 83E-7, and single-ended and common mode voltage tolerances in Table 83E-7.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 83E SC 83E.3.3.2 P173 L46 # 7
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status X

This specification is used for module input return loss too.

SuggestedRemedy

- Delete "host".

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3bm D1.2 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s Fiber Optic Task Force 3rd Task Force review comments

Cl **83E** SC **83E.3.3.2** P **174** L **24** # **8**
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**
 Completing implementation of D1.1 comment 136.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Receiver input return loss to Differential input return loss Figure 83E-13, change Receiver differential to common mode conversion input return loss to Differential to common mode conversion input return loss Table 83E-5, change Host stressed receiver parameters to Host stressed input parameters Also, to avoid confusion and for consistency with figures 83E-9, 11 and 14, in Figure 83E-15, delete the inner box "Module Tx Module Rx", but show that it's AC coupled by indicating capacitors as in Figure 83E-11.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl **83E** SC **83E.3.3.3.1** P **175** L **18** # **13**
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**
 This test setup takes effort to set up so, to contain costs, it should be consistent with CEI-28G-VSR. CEI-28G-VSR doesn't have the low pass filter or limiter but has a UBHPJ source.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider if UBHPJ is a lower cost and acceptable substitute for the low pass filter and limiter. We may need a low pass filter after any limiter to adjust VEC anyway.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl **83E** SC **83E.3.3.3.1** P **175** L **51** # **12**
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**
 CRU definition needs to define the order and be consistent with current CEI-28G-VSR, other 25G/lane 802.3 clauses and the jitter mask of Table 88-13.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "with bandwidth of 10 MHz and peaking of less than 0.1 dB" to "with a first order transfer function with a 3 dB tracking bandwidth of 10 MHz". Similarly in 83E.3.4.2.1 and 83E.4.2. Also 83D.3.1.5.1.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl **83E** SC **83E.3.3.3.1** P **175** L **52** # **17**
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**
 This says "Pattern 4 (PRBS9) as defined in Table 86-11" yet Table 86-11 doesn't define it: it says "Pattern defined in 83.5.10", and 83.5.10 says "a PRBS9 pattern (as defined in Table 68-6)". Likewise in 83E.3.1.6, "Patterns 3 and 5 are defined in Table 86-11.", but Table 86-11 says they are defined in 83.5.10 and 82.2.10 (and that's not right for RS-FEC encoded Pattern 5 anyway): 83.5.10 says PRBS31 is defined in 49.2.8. Don't waste the reader's time.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Pattern 4 (PRBS9) as defined in Table 86-11 to Pattern 4 (PRBS9) as defined in Table 68-6 (see Table 86-11) 8 times. Change Patterns 3 and 5 are defined in Table 86-11. to Patterns 3 is defined in 49.2.8, Pattern 5 is defined in 82.2.10, and RS-FEC encoded Pattern 5 is defined in 91.5.2 (see Table 86-11). 6 times. It would be better to put an improved version of Table 86-11-Test patterns in Clause 80 and refer to it from bj and bm clauses. Table 95-9 could be improved similarly.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

IEEE P802.3bm D1.2 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s Fiber Optic Task Force 3rd Task Force review comments

CI 83E SC 83E.3.3.3.1 P176 L32 # 6
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
 Comment Type E Comment Status X
 There is no "minimum eye height" in Table 83E-5.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete "minimum". (83E.3.4.2.1 doesn't need fixing.)
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 83E SC 83E.3.4 P177 L51 # 22
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X
 Single-ended voltage tolerance (min), -0.8 V, is not consistent with Table 83E-1, single-ended output voltage (min) -0.4 V.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change -0.8 to -0.4.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 83E SC 83E.3.3.3.1 P176 L38 # 1
 Dudek, Mike QLogic
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 The test pattern is allowed to be scrambled idle or other valid signals earlier in the text as well as the PRBS31 required here. This is an inconsistency. The same problem exists for the module input stressed test.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "PRBS31 for the input test" to "Pattern 5 (with or without FEC encoding), Pattern 3 or a valid 100GBASE-R signal for the input test"
 Also on page 179 line 4
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 [Editor's note: Type set to T]

CI 83E SC 83E.3.4 P177 L51 # 23
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X
 Table 83E-1 constrains the host DC common-mode output voltage as well as single-ended output voltage. Any test of module input must be within these constraints.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add rows for DC common-mode output voltage.
 Rename "Single-ended voltage tolerance" to "Single-ended voltage" twice.
 Add footnote saying these are set by the host not the module; the operating region is where all four conditions are met.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 83E SC 83E.3.3.3.1 P176 L38 # 25
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X
 Should allow Pattern 5 (with or without FEC) as usual for BER testing.
 Editorial; use pattern numbers, as for PRBS9.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change:
 The pattern is then changed to PRBS31 to
 The pattern is then changed to Pattern 3 (PRBS31) or Pattern 5 (scrambled idle, RS-FEC encoded if appropriate)
 Same for 83E.3.4.2.1.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 83E SC 83E.3.4.2.1 P177 L14 # 18
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 This test setup takes effort to set up so, to contain costs, it should be consistent with CEI-28G-VSR.
 CEI-28G-VSR doesn't have the low pass filter or limiter but has a UBHPJ source.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Consider if UBHPJ is a lower cost and acceptable substitute for the low pass filter and limiter.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3bm D1.2 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s Fiber Optic Task Force 3rd Task Force review comments

Cl 83E SC 83E.3.4.2.1 P178 L43 # 14
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 10 ps
 SuggestedRemedy
 9.5 ps
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 83E SC 83E.3.4.2.1 P178 L44 # 15
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 TP1a
 SuggestedRemedy
 TP4
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 83E SC 83E.3.4.2.1 P178 L51 # 16
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
 Comment Type T Comment Status X
 Need to explain the frequency dependent attenuator more; a clean Bessel-Thomson filter would not be suitable.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add:
 The frequency-dependent attenuator is intended to represent the host channel, and may be implemented with PCB traces.
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 83E SC 83E.3.4.2.1 P179 L6 # 24
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X
 Say that the module is provided with the ideal recommended CTLE peaking value and one or two neighbours (whichever exist in the range 1 to 9) for the module stressed input test signal, via MDIO or otherwise. Module has to pass with each of the two or three recommendations. Module is expected to work with other signals if given appropriate recommendations.

SuggestedRemedy
 The optimal recommended CTLE peaking value for the module stressed input test signal is determined. The optimal value is the setting, as an integral number of dB, that results in the maximum value of EW15*EH15. This value is communicated to the module via MDIO or otherwise. The module is tested, and the process is repeated once or twice with the next higher and next lower values if they exist in the range 1 to 9 dB.
 The BER at the Tx side output of the module (PMA) under test (typically an optical output) shall comply with the BER specification in Table 83E-7 when the module is provided with each of the two or three recommended CTLE peaking values. These are: a) the optimal value, b) the value 1 dB higher if present in Table 83E-2 and c) the value 1 dB lower if present in Table 83E-2.
 Modules are also expected to operate within the BER specified in Table 83E-7 when presented with signals that require different CTLE settings as long as the signal complies with the specifications in Table 83E-1 and the recommended CTLE peaking value supplied by the host is within 1 dB of the optimal value for the signal.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 83E SC 83E.4.2 P179 L33 # 26
 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X
 "Any single CTLE setting" needs qualification.
 SuggestedRemedy
 For host, it's recommended CTLE peaking value, 1 dB more if <=9, or 1 dB less if >=1.
 Also, recommended CTLE peaking value must not be too inaccurate.
 For module, either 1 or 2 dB.

Proposed Response Response Status O

IEEE P802.3bm D1.2 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s Fiber Optic Task Force 3rd Task Force review comments

Cl **83E** *SC* **83E.4.2** *P* **180** *L* **1** #

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **X**

We don't want to make histograms of the signal's amplitude (its swing). We want histograms of the signal (its voltage). Aligning with CEI-28G-VSR.

SuggestedRemedy

Change amplitude to voltage, 3 times.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **O**