P 1 Microsoft	<i>L</i> 1	# 54	C/ O1 SC 1.3 P 20 L 23 # 55 Booth, Brad Microsoft
Comment Status D be shown for D2.0 in what is s	supposed to be a	clean draft.	Comment Type TR Comment Status D IEC 61754-7-1 reference should not use 201x as its date as there is no IEC 61754-7- 1:201x that can be found.
eating a clean draft. Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Change to be the current draft for IEC 61754-7-1. Proposed Response Response Status O
P 18 Microsoft Comment Status D as is not required. natting to eliminate blank page Response Status O	L 1 es.	# 70	Cl 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 33 # 176 Law, David HP Image: Status D Image: Status D
P 75 Microsoft	L 42	# 63	P802.3bj-201x' to read 'IEEE Std 802.3bj-201x' throughout the draft. Proposed Response Response Status O
ASE-ER4 and 40GBASE-FR. and 40GBASE-R4, that should aware of this, but I believe it r juire the definition of 40GBAS e original text used 40GBASE-	If there needs to d be highlighted. may occur in othe E-R and 40GBA -LR (which is not	be distinction or places in the SE-R4. I believe in	Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 23 L 11 # 10 Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent # 10 Comment Type E Comment Status D All clauses except clause 30 implemented the 802.3ba decision that the PMD nomenclature would just map a character string to a medium and reach and the character themselves wouldn't stand for anything. Clause 30 seems to have retained an earlier "convention" where SR=short reach; LR=long reach; ER=extended reach. While the proposed addition for 40GBASE-ER4 using the words "extended reach" is consistent with others in clause 30, this is not consistent with the rest of the document. SuggestedRemedy Consider whether 40GBASE-ER4 should be described in clause 30 the same way as in Table 80-1 (four WDM lanes over single-mode fiber with reach up to at least 40km) rated
	Microsoft <i>Comment Status</i> D be shown for D2.0 in what is se eating a clean draft. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 18 Microsoft <i>Comment Status</i> D s is not required. hatting to eliminate blank page <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 75 Microsoft <i>Comment Status</i> D I in the use of 40GBASE-R. T ASE-ER4 and 40GBASE-R. T ASE-ER4 and 40GBASE-R. T aware of this, but I believe it r juire the definition of 40GBASE original text used 40GBASE-R 4 (which would require a definition of a defin	Microsoft Comment Status D be shown for D2.0 in what is supposed to be a be shown for D2.0 in what is supposed to be a be shown for D2.0 in what is supposed to be a be stating a clean draft. Response Status O P 18 L 1 Microsoft Comment Status D s is not required. hatting to eliminate blank pages. Response Status O P 75 L 42 Microsoft Comment Status D I in the use of 40GBASE-R. The 40GBASE-R ASE-ER4 and 40GBASE-FR. If there needs to and 40GBASE-R4, that should be highlighted. aware of this, but I believe it may occur in other use of 40GBASE-R2. If there needs to and 40GBASE-R4, that should be highlighted. aware of this, but I believe it may occur in other use of 40GBASE-R2. If there needs to and 40GBASE-R4, that should be highlighted.	Microsoft Comment Status D be shown for D2.0 in what is supposed to be a clean draft. Beating a clean draft. Response Status O P18 L1 # 70 Microsoft Comment Status D s is not required. hatting to eliminate blank pages. Response Status O P75 L42 # 63 Microsoft Comment Status D I in the use of 40GBASE-R. The 40GBASE-R family will include ASE-ER4 and 40GBASE-R. If there needs to be distinction and 40GBASE-R4, that should be highlighted. aware of this, but I believe it may occur in other places in the pure the definition of 40GBASE-R and 40GBASE-R4. I believe in original text used 40GBASE-LR (which is not defined), the use may R4 (which would require a definition).

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.12 Slavick, Jeff	P 30 Avago Techn	L 16 ologies	# 25	C/ 45 Grow, Rob	SC 45	.2.1.3	P RMG	Consulting	L	# 31
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D			Comment		г	Comment Status	•		
Table 45-15 1.13.7 is m	issing the RO property					as also b	een submitted as	a Revision Re	quest but s	ince this is the first
SuggestedRemedy Add missing RO proper	tv to 1 13 7						caught early on, I'n tration Authority.	n also submitt	ing as an 80	02.3bm comment on
Proposed Response				Suggested						
Toposed Response	Response Status O			45.2.1	.3):		e number at the er f the OUI as descri		• •	
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.12	P 30	L 16	# 174				nd therefore should			
Law, David	HP			Proposed	Response	;	Response Status	w		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			[Editor	's note: C	omment	type set to "T"]			
Bit 1.13.7 is missing an	entry in the R/W column of	Table 45-15.		C/ 45	SC 45	.2.1.6	P 2	26	L 8	# 177
SuggestedRemedy				Law, David			HP			
Add 'RO' in the R/W col	umn for bit 1.13.7.			Comment	Туре І	Ξ	Comment Status	D		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			appen	ded to all	PMA/PM	ID type enumeratio	ons - with the e	exception of	table - the word 'type' the EPON ee IEEE P802.3bj draft
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.12	P 30	L 18	# 33	D3.0,	page 39, l	ine 16 wl	nich adds the enum	nerations '100	GBASE-CR	4 PMA/PMD type'.
Grow, Robert	RMG Consult	ing		Suggested	lRemedy					
Comment Type E RO is on the wrong line	Comment Status D of table.				no object strikeout		ing this - but the te	xt should be r	ecorded as	deleted through the
SuggestedRemedy Move RO up to 1.13.7				Proposed	Response)	Response Status	0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 45	SC 45	.2.1.7.5	P 2	28	L 33	# 178
				Law, David	ł		HP			
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.12	.6b <i>P</i> 30	L 43	# 175	Comment	Туре І	ER	Comment Status	D		
Law, David	HP	L 43	# 173	descri	ption locat	tion' yet i	SE-SR4 is added a sadded a sadded after 1000			ble 45-9 'Transmit fault 10 'Receive fault
Comment Type E	Comment Status D				ption locat	tion'.				
	es are being inserted after 4 1.12.5b as indicated in the ed			Suggested		sama la	cation should be u	read in both to	bloc	
SuggestedRemedy		9		Proposed			Response Status		DIE3.	
Change '45.2.1.12.6b' t	o read '45.2.1.12.5b'.			rioposeu	nesponse	•	Nesponse status	0		
Proposed Response	Response Status O									
TYPE: TR/technical require								C/ 45		Page 2 of 38

i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			. age <u>=</u> e. ee
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 45.2.1.7.5	22/12/2013 11:21:21
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3 Barrass, Hugh	P 30 Cisco	L 49	# 82	<i>Cl</i> 45 Marris, Arth	SC 45.2.3.9a	P 31 Cadence De	L 10	# 30
, C	Comment Status D					omment Status D	sign byst	
Comment Type TR It seems strange that changes to the PCS	t a draft which makes no subst	antial change to t	eh PCS should require		m only supports EEE	fast wake operation (r his register is redundar		EE fast wake is
of PMA/PMD. Theref 40GBASE-R or 1000	t fast wake is defined for a PCS fore it is redundant to indicate I BBASE-R PHY types. For this r EE fast wake and indications f	EEE fast wake su eason, 802.3bj ad	oport for specific Ided indications for	Suggested	Remedy subclause 45.2.3 and	licated by the PCS bit 45.5 for associated P sponse Status O		SE-R fast wake".
EEE parameters may wake, as it operates	ation may support deep sleep f y be specific according to the F with no interaction with the PM atations that predate EEE.	MA/PMD. This is	not the case for fast	<i>Cl</i> 45 Marris, Arth	SC 45.2.3.9a	P 31 Cadence De	L 20 esign Syst	# [6
uggestedRemedy	·			Comment 1		omment Status D		
Delete all changes to	45.2.3 and subclauses.				ould be indicating "de			
Also delete changes	to 45.5.			Suggested	Remedy			
Proposed Response Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.		L 10	# 179	Change "EEE is To: "EEE d				
aw, David	HP			for all t	he port types and do i	d both for the "is" and	"is not" lines	
	Comment Status D apability 2 register' is a PCS re			also ch clauses		to "EEE deep sleep o	operation" in the b	it description sub
ER4 EEE', 3.21.8 '10 '100GBASE-SR10 E '40GBASE-FR EEE',	of a PCS supporting register 3 00GBASE-LR4 EEE', 3.21.7 '10 EE', 3.21.4 '40GBASE-ER4 EE 3.21.1 '40GBASE-SR4 EEE' s PMD which could potentially b	0GBASE-SR4 El E', 3.21.3 '40GB/ ince these are Pl	EE', 3.21.6 ASE-LR4 EEE', 3.21.2	Proposed F	Response Re	sponse Status O		
SR4 and 100GBASE seems to be redunda (3.20.15). Similarly fo	upports 100GBASE-R fast wak -SR10 EEE is supported and a ant information based on the 10 or the 40GBASE-ER4, 40GBAS the 40GBASE-R EEE fast wak	all those bits can b 0GBASE-R EEE SE-LR4, 40GBAS	be set - however this fast wake supported E-FR and 40GBASE-					
SuggestedRemedy		、	,					
Suggest that the bits the register should not	in the EEE capability 2 (Regis ot be added.	ter 3.21) are not r	equired and therfore					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.9a Page 3 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:21

CI 78	SC 78.1.3.3.1	P 37	L 24	# 56	CI 78	SC 78.1.3.3.1	P 37	L 26	# 180
Booth, Brad		Microsoft			Law, David	b	HP		
					-				

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Wording in this paragraph doesn't read well. While some of the text is not part of the modification being performed by 802.3bm, a service to humanity would make this text simpler.

Made this an ER so that it has a chance for review by a larger audience. Thanks.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read:

For PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or 100 Gb/s that implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake. Deep sleep refers to the mode for which the transmitter ceases transmission during Low Power Idle (as shown in Figure 78-3) and is only defined for PHYs with an operating speed less than 40 Gb/s. For 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s PHYs, deep sleep is optional, and exceptions are noted in Table 78-1. Fast wake refers to the mode for which the transmitter continues to transmit signals during Low Power Idle so that the receiver can resume operation with a shorter wake time (as shown in Figure 78-3a). Fast wake is mandatory for 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s PHYs that implement EEE.

Proposed Response Response Status **0** Comment Type Comment Status D т

The INITIALIZE state of the Figure 78-7 'EEE DLL Transmitter fast wake state diagram' of IEEE P802.3bj draft D3.0 (page 88) is entered based on an open arrow with the conditions (!tx_dll_enabled + !tx_dll_ready). Table 78-3 of IEEE Std 802.3-2012 (section 6, page 31) shows that the aLldpXdot3LocDllEnabled attribute maps to the tx_dll_enabled variable (aLldpXdot3LocDllEnabled => tx dll enabled) and subclause 30.12.2.1.29 of IEEE Std 802.3-2012 (section 2, page 506) defines the aLldpXdot3LocDIIEnabled attribute as follows:

30.12.2.1.29 aLldpXdot3LocDIIEnabled

ATTRIBUTE APPROPRIATE SYNTAX: A BOOLEAN value FALSE: Local system has not completed auto-negotiation with a link partner that has indicated at least one EEE capability. TRUE: Local system has completed auto-negotiation with a link partner that has indicated at least one EEE capability.

BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS:

A GET operation returns the status of the EEE capability negotiation on the local system.:

Based on the above, the attribute aLldpXdot3LocDllEnabled, and hence the tx_dll_enabled variable, will remain false, holding the EEE DLL Transmitter fast wake state diagram in the INITIALIZE state, until auto-negotiation with a link partner that has indicated at least one EEE capability. This was not a problem for IEEE P802.3bj as all the PHYs that support EEE also support auto-negotiation, however with the addition of the PHYs in IEEE P802.3bm draft that do not support auto-negotiation, there is now no way for the EEE DLL Transmitter fast wake state diagram to exit the INITIALIZE state.

SuggestedRemedy

Potentially the simplest approach would seem to be to remove tx dll enabled as a condition in the open arrow equation leading to the INITIALIZE state. This however would leave tx dll ready as the only condition to exit the INITIALIZE state, meaning that EEE Fast Wake TLVs will be transmitted to the link partner once the local system is ready, to do so regardless of the ability of the link partner to process them. This may not be ideal from a diagnosis point of view - in this situation would the lack of response from the link partner indicate a fault in the link partner - or indicate the link partner is unable to support EEE.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 78 SC 78.1.4	P 37	L 49	# 83	C/ 83 SC 83.1.4	P 55	L 51	# 58
an, Adee	Intel			Booth, Brad	Microsoft		
comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
	LAUI/CAUI-n for which the on			Figure 83-2 is inserte	ed in the middle of the text for it	tems b) and c).	
	it lists PHY types that do not a d in these PHY types, it canno			SuggestedRemedy			
	xplicitly mentioned anywhere.			Change figure setting	gs so the figure is not inserted i	in the middle of t	ext.
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
Add a note or modify supported when deep	the existing note a, stating that sleep is enabled.	at XLAUI/CAUI-n s	shutdown is only				
Consider noting this ir	n 78 1 3 3 1 as well			C/ 83 SC 83.5.6	P 60	L 5	# 112
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Trowbridge, Steve	Alcatel-Lucer	nt	
Toposed Response	Response Status U			Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
C/ 80 SC 80.4	P 43	L 45	# 57	Clause 87.2 is exten- but this is not reflected	ded in this draft to cover 40GB	ASE-ER4 in addi	itoin to 40GBASE-ER4
Booth, Brad	Microsoft		" 01	SuggestedRemedy			
Comment Type E	Comment Status D				specifies the PMD service inters the PMD service interface for		
Table 80.3 is placed of	on the next name in the middle	of toxt for 80 5					
Table 80-3 is placed o	on the next page in the middle	of text for 80.5.		PMDs"			
	on the next page in the middle les 80-4 and 80-5 in the middl				Response Status 0		
Same applies for Tab SuggestedRemedy	les 80-4 and 80-5 in the middl	le of 80.7.		PMDs"			
Same applies for Tab SuggestedRemedy	1 0	le of 80.7.	the next subclause.	PMDs" Proposed Response Cl 83 SC 83C.1a	Response Status 0	L 20	# [168
Same applies for Tab SuggestedRemedy Change the table sett	les 80-4 and 80-5 in the middl	le of 80.7.	the next subclause.	PMDs" Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Same applies for Tab SuggestedRemedy Change the table sett	les 80-4 and 80-5 in the middl	le of 80.7.	the next subclause.	PMDs" Proposed Response C/ 83 SC 83C.1a Thaler, Pat Comment Type T	Response Status O .2 P 138 Broadcom Comment Status D		
Same applies for Tab SuggestedRemedy Change the table sett Proposed Response	les 80-4 and 80-5 in the middl	le of 80.7.	the next subclause.	PMDs" Proposed Response Cl 83 SC 83C.1a Thaler, Pat Comment Type T In the lower PMA box	Response Status O .2 P 138 Broadcom		
Same applies for Tab SuggestedRemedy Change the table sett Proposed Response	les 80-4 and 80-5 in the middl ings such that it is not inserted <i>Response Status</i> O	le of 80.7. d in the middle of		PMDs" Proposed Response C/ 83 SC 83C.1a Thaler, Pat Comment Type T	Response Status O .2 P 138 Broadcom Comment Status D		
Same applies for Tab SuggestedRemedy Change the table sett Proposed Response Cl 82 SC 82.2.14 Ran, Adee	les 80-4 and 80-5 in the middl ings such that it is not inserted <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 54	le of 80.7. d in the middle of		PMDs" Proposed Response CI 83 SC 83C.1a Thaler, Pat Comment Type T In the lower PMA box SuggestedRemedy	Response Status 0 .2 P 138 Broadcom Comment Status D <, (4:4) should be (20:4)		
Same applies for Tab SuggestedRemedy Change the table sett Proposed Response Cl 82 SC 82.2.14 Ran, Adee Comment Type T CAUI-4 receivers can	les 80-4 and 80-5 in the middl ings such that it is not inserted <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 54 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> D introduce error bursts (e.g. if	le of 80.7. d in the middle of <i>L</i> 1 implemented with	# 102	PMDs" Proposed Response CI 83 SC 83C.1a Thaler, Pat Comment Type T In the lower PMA box SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response	Response Status O .2 P 138 Broadcom Comment Status D c, (4:4) should be (20:4) Response Status W		
Same applies for Tab SuggestedRemedy Change the table sett Proposed Response Cl 82 SC 82.2.14 Ran, Adee Comment Type T CAUI-4 receivers can other reasons), which	les 80-4 and 80-5 in the middl ings such that it is not inserted <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 54 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> D	le of 80.7. d in the middle of <i>L</i> 1 implemented with Error burst detect	# 102	PMDs" Proposed Response CI 83 SC 83C.1a Thaler, Pat Comment Type T In the lower PMA box SuggestedRemedy	Response Status O .2 P 138 Broadcom Comment Status D c, (4:4) should be (20:4) Response Status W		
Same applies for Tab SuggestedRemedy Change the table sett Proposed Response Cl 82 SC 82.2.14 Ran, Adee Comment Type T CAUI-4 receivers can other reasons), which defined, so links with Bursts can be identifie	les 80-4 and 80-5 in the middl ings such that it is not inserted <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 54 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> D introduce error bursts (e.g. if could compromise MTTFPA. high burst rates cannot be ide ed and counted using multi-lar onal diagnostic feature, which	le of 80.7. d in the middle of <i>L</i> 1 implemented with Error burst detect entified. he BIP mismatch	# 102	PMDs" Proposed Response CI 83 SC 83C.1a Thaler, Pat Comment Type T In the lower PMA box SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response	Response Status O .2 P 138 Broadcom Comment Status D c, (4:4) should be (20:4) Response Status W		
Same applies for Tab SuggestedRemedy Change the table sett Proposed Response Cl 82 SC 82.2.14 Ran, Adee Comment Type T CAUI-4 receivers can other reasons), which defined, so links with Bursts can be identifie suggested as an optic the per-lane BIP coun	les 80-4 and 80-5 in the middl ings such that it is not inserted <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 54 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> D introduce error bursts (e.g. if could compromise MTTFPA. high burst rates cannot be ide ed and counted using multi-lar onal diagnostic feature, which	le of 80.7. d in the middle of <i>L</i> 1 implemented with Error burst detect entified. he BIP mismatch	# 102	PMDs" Proposed Response CI 83 SC 83C.1a Thaler, Pat Comment Type T In the lower PMA box SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response	Response Status O .2 P 138 Broadcom Comment Status D c, (4:4) should be (20:4) Response Status W		
Same applies for Tab SuggestedRemedy Change the table sett Proposed Response Cl 82 SC 82.2.14 Ran, Adee Comment Type T CAUI-4 receivers can other reasons), which defined, so links with Bursts can be identifie suggested as an optic the per-lane BIP coun SuggestedRemedy	les 80-4 and 80-5 in the middl ings such that it is not inserted <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 54 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> D introduce error bursts (e.g. if could compromise MTTFPA. high burst rates cannot be ide ed and counted using multi-lar onal diagnostic feature, which	le of 80.7. d in the middle of <i>L</i> 1 implemented with Error burst detect entified. he BIP mismatch	# 102	PMDs" Proposed Response CI 83 SC 83C.1a Thaler, Pat Comment Type T In the lower PMA box SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response	Response Status O .2 P 138 Broadcom Comment Status D c, (4:4) should be (20:4) Response Status W		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 83 SC 83C.1a.2 Page 5 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:21

C/ 83A SC 83A Booth, Brad	P 121 Microsoft	L 8	# 68	C/ 83B SC 83B.1 Ran, Adee	P 13120 Intel	L 20	# 92
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
Wording should be imp	proved as ten-lane 100 Gb/s s	ounds like a tera	ıbit. :-)	"The purpose of this compliance points f	s annex is to provide electrical ch or pluggable module applications	that use the XL	AUI/CAUI-10 interface
Same applies to Annex	k 83B.			and shall use the sa	ame number of lanes and signalir	ng rate defined ir	n Annex 83A"
SuggestedRemedy				This sentence is ma	alformed, and it creates an illegibl	le normative stat	tement.
Change to read in title 100 Gb/s ten-lane atta				SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Change "and shall	use" to "with".		
				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
C/ 83A SC 83A.3.2a	P 123	L 50	# 29		_		
Marris, Arthur	Cadence Des	ign Syst		C/ 83B SC 83B.2		L 40	# 93
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			Ran, Adee	Intel		
Why not support CAUI with PHYs that support	-4 shutdown as well as CAUI- t deep sleep mode.	10 shutdown? C	AUI-4 may be used		Comment Status D nts should refer to measurement	results rather that	an test equipment
SuggestedRemedy				requirements and s	ettings.		
Change CAUI-10 to C/	AUI-n in this subclause.			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Change "shall be d	efined" to "are defined".		
				Change			
C/ 83A SC 83A.5	P 126	L 15	# 91		lded to the test signal using an int ource capable of producing white		
Ran, Adee	Intel			amplitude. The pow	ver spectral density shall be flat to		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			crest factor of no le to	ss than 5"		
Normative statements settings.	should refer to measurement	results rather tha	an test equipment	"Random jitter is ac broadband noise so	lded to the test signal using an int ource capable of producing white	Gaussian noise	with adjustable
SuggestedRemedy Change "shall be" to "i	s".			amplitude, a crest f from 50 MHz to 6 G	actor of no less than 5, and flat po Hz".	ower spectral de	ensity (up to to ±3 dB)
Proposed Response	Response Status 0				ter injection shall meet the receivent the receivent the receiver eye mask".	er eye mask" to	"random jitter injectio
				Change "All XLAUI,	CAUI-10 lanes shall be active" to	"All XLAUI/CAU	JI-10 lanes are active

CI 83B SC 83B.2.3

C/ 83B SC 83B.4.3 Ran, Adee	P 135 Intel	L 28	# 94	C/ 83D SC 83D Booth, Brad	P 141 Microsoft	L 6	# 69
Comment Type E	Comment Status D Annex 83A. The same items	s also exist in the	PICS for Annex 83A.	,	mment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy Delete these items.				Same applies to Annex 83E.			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Change text in Annex to reac 100 Gb/s 4-lane attachment			
C/ 83C SC 83C.1a.2 Ran, Adee	P 138 Intel	L 10	# 95	Proposed Response Res	sponse Status O		
	Comment Status D ad CAUI-10 between PCS and lification to CAUI-4 it is praction			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	P 141 Independent Inmment Status D	L 10	# 52
SuggestedRemedy Revert to original figure Proposed Response	e and change CAUI to CAUI-1 Response Status O	10 in the figure ar	nd the subclause title.	We are moving toward 20 dB low power on-board ASIC to SuggestedRemedy Suggest preserving current c chapter F for C2C with 20 dB ghiasi 02 0114	PIC hapter D as 10-12 dB C	2C with CTLE o	nly then add new
83C SC 83C.2.3 can, Adee	P 140 Intel	L 1	# 96	0 = =	sponse Status W Inged from 1 to 83D.1]		
	Comment Status D "separate SERDES", but at le tle would be "XLAUI/CAUI ext			CI 83D SC 83D.1 Ran, Adee Comment Type TR Co	P 141 Intel	L 18	# 97

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 83D SC 83D.1

Page 7 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:21

C/ 83D SC 83D.1 P 141 L 26 # 34 Mellitz, Richard Intel Corporation	C/ 83D SC 83D.1 P 141 L 50 # 98 Ran, Adee Intel
Comment Type TR Comment Status D The following illustrates the market need for 20dB of insertion loss chip to chip CAUI-4	Comment Type E Comment Status D Sentence should be re-structured for clarity.
http://ieee802.org/3/bm/public/cuadhoc/meetings/sep30_13/SLi_01_300913_caui.pdf http://ieee802.org/3/bm/public/cuadhoc/meetings/apr26_13/rabinovich_01_042613_caui4.p df change 15dB reference to 20dB SuggestedRemedy Change Equation (83D–1) factor 1.614 to 2.152 or change to a mathematically equivalent	SuggestedRemedy Change "Figure 83D–2 and Equation (83D–1) (illustrated in Figure 83D–3) depict a typical CAUI-4 application, and summarize the informative differential insertion loss budget associated with the chip-to-chip application" to "Figure 83D–2 depicts a typical CAUI-4 application, and Equation (83D–1) (illustrated in Figure 83D–3) summarizes the informative differential insertion loss budget associated with the chip-to-chip application".
Change Figure 83D–3 accordingly roposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O
83D SC 83D.1 P 141 L 26 # 28	C/ 83D SC 83D.1 P 141 L 52 # 1 Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena
Iavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status D The two listed CAUI-4 in Figure 83D-1 are confusing if both are the CAUI-4 chip to chip being defined in 83D or just one of them	Comment Type E Comment Status D In "The CAUI-4 chip-to-chip interface is comprised of independent data paths", "is comprised of" is poor english.
being defined in 83D or just one of them. JagestedRemedy	Same issue in 83E.1, Page 164, line 4
Change the top CAUI-4 to be CAUI-4c and the bottom to be CAUI-4m and provide definitions that CAUI-4c is the chip to chip CAUI-4 and CAUI-4m is the chip to module.	SuggestedRemedy Change: "The CAUI-4 chip-to-chip interface is comprised of independent" to: "The CAUI-4 chip-to-chip interface comprises independent"
	In 83E.1, Page 164, line 4 change: "The CAUI-4 chip-to-module interface is comprised of independent" to: "The CAUI-4 chip-to-module interface comprises independent"
	Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 83D SC 83D.1

C/ 83D SC 83D.1 Dudek, Mike	P 142 QLogic	L 14	# 108	C/ 83D SC 83D.2 Mellitz, Richard	P 143 Intel Corpora	L 26 tion	# 35
Comment Type T The text says that the ch	Comment Status D hannel includes AC coupling l	but Figure 83D-2	2 doesn't show it.	Comment Type TR Reference for channel ne	Comment Status D eed to be TP0 to TP5		
	itors between the connector a ut detailing the connector as i <i>Response Status</i> O		ure 83D-2. (or just	compliance points for the transmitter (TP0a) and re characteristics of	stics for the CAUI-4 chip-to- e eceiver (TP5a) respectively leasure transmitter characte	. The location of	TP0a and electrical
CI 83D SC 83D.1 Ran, Adee Comment Type T	P 142 Intel Comment Status D	L 2	# 99		d electrical characteristics on 93.8.2.1 respectively.	of the test fixture	used to measure the
Operation and control of this standard. SuggestedRemedy	f any receiver, not just non-ac			compliance points for the transmitter (TP0a) and re	stics for the CAUI-4 chip-to- e eceiver (TP5a) respectively		
Operation and control of this standard. SuggestedRemedy Change "Operation and standard" to "Receiver o		ceiver is outside t	the scope of this his standard".	The electrical characteris compliance points for the transmitter (TP0a) and re characteristics of the test fixture used to m 93.8.1.1 respectively. The location of TP5a and receiver are	e eceiver (TP5a) respectively neasure transmitter characte d electrical characteristics c	The location of eristics are defin	TP0a and electrical ed in Figure 93-4 and
Operation and control of this standard. SuggestedRemedy Change "Operation and	f any receiver, not just non-ac control of a non-adaptive rec operation and control is outsic	ceiver is outside t	the scope of this	The electrical characteris compliance points for the transmitter (TP0a) and re characteristics of the test fixture used to m 93.8.1.1 respectively. The location of TP5a and receiver are defined in Figure 93-8 ar	e eceiver (TP5a) respectively neasure transmitter characte d electrical characteristics c	The location of eristics are defin	TP0a and electrical ed in Figure 93-4 and
Operation and control of this standard. SuggestedRemedy Change "Operation and standard" to "Receiver of Proposed Response Cl 83D SC 83D.1 Latchman, Ryan Comment Type TR 83D CAUI-4 chip to chip SuggestedRemedy	f any receiver, not just non-ac control of a non-adaptive rec operation and control is outsic <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 142	ceiver is outside t de the scope of th <i>L</i> 8 budgets.	the scope of this his standard". # 23	The electrical characteris compliance points for the transmitter (TP0a) and re characteristics of the test fixture used to m 93.8.1.1 respectively. The location of TP5a and receiver are defined in Figure 93-8 ar	e eceiver (TP5a) respectively leasure transmitter character d electrical characteristics of nd 93.8.2.1 respectively. <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 143 Altera <i>Comment Status</i> D bers are incorrect	The location of eristics are defin	TP0a and electrical ed in Figure 93-4 and

C/ 83D SC 83D.2

C/ 83D SC 83D.2	P 143	L 29	# 71	C/ 83D	SC 83D.3.1	P 143	L 37	# 104
Hidaka, Yasuo	Fujitsu Laborate	ories of		Moore, Cl	narles	Avago Techr	ologies	
Comment Type E References to Figure 9 2.3.	Comment Status D 93-4 and Figure 93-8 seems inc	correct with resp	ect to P802.3bj Draft		ns of project goa	Comment Status D als the 83D PMD has more in transmitter specification meth		
SuggestedRemedy	:// E' 00 5			Suggeste	dRemedy			
Change Figure 93-4 w Change Figure 93-8 w					-	ce 93.8.1 to generate 83D.3.1	. Use editorial lice	ence
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			where RJ sp	there are clear	differences. This could includ lower required BER, and diffe	de 20% lower	
C/ 83D SC 83D.3	P 143	L 35	# 7	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
Petrilla, John	Avago Technol	ogies						
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D			C/ 83d	SC 83D.3.1	P 143	L 37	# 36
	ntion to mandate specific tests a			Mellitz, Ri	chard	Intel Corpora	tion	
	ed according to the methods de Ild be included in 83D.3.	efined in the sul	clauses of 83D.3,	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy						ansmitter specification reduce providing a smoother meshine		ests for configurable
	the first paragraph in 83D.3, "T			Suggeste	,		g with COM.	
transmitter and receive	.3 are not mandated to be applier, rather only that the defined r Alternative test methods that	esults are realized	ed if tested according	Repla	ce 83D.3.1 with	93.8.1 eliminating text about 93.8.1.5.5; keep 93.8.1.5.1	coefficient training	g
useu.				Keep	Tx settings in 83	3D.3.1.6		
specifications defined	hange, "A CAUI-4 chip-to-chip t in Table 83D–1 when measured the specifications defined in Ta	d at TP0a." to "	A CAUI-4 chip-to-chip	Proposed	Response	Response Status O		
defined in Table 83D-	hange, "A CAUI-4 chip-to-chip i 4 when measured at TP5a." to s defined in Table 83D–4 if mea	"A CAUI-4 chip	to-chip receiver shall					
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							
•								

C/ 83d SC 83D.3.1

C/ 83D SC 83D.3.1.2	P 146	L 18	# 72	CI 83D SC 83D.3	3.1.4	P 147	L 8	# 74
Hidaka, Yasuo	Fujitsu Laborat	ories of		Hidaka, Yasuo		Fujitsu Labor	atories of	
Comment Type E Label of vertical axis of Fig "Common-mode output ref Caption of Figure 83D-5 is 83D-6 is "Transmitter com They are inconsistent. They are also not consiste <i>suggestedRemedy</i> Change the label of vertica Change the caption of Figu	Comment Status D gure 83D-5 is just "Return lo turn loss". s just "Transmitter differentia mon-mode output return los	oss", whereas tha al return loss", wh ss". "Differential outp	nereas that of Figure	Comment Type T Transmitter output at this high data rat zivny_3bj_01a_071 P802.3bj has now a effective bounded of P802.3bj now does (See zivny_3bj_01a SuggestedRemedy Rewrite the first pa 92.8.3.9. Remove subclause	Comment S jitter is defined with te because of many 13 in P802.3bj July adopted a new defii uncorrelated jitter, a s not define TJ at al a_0713 in P802.3bj ragraph of 83D.3.1.	<i>itatus</i> D TJ, DJ, and I difficulties in meeting) nition using th and effective r July meeting 4 as describe 33D.3.1.4.2.	RJ in a traditional actual measurer ree components: andom jitter. materials for the ed in 92.8.3.9 and	even-odd jitter,
7 83D SC 83D.3.1.4 idaka, Yasuo	P 147 Fujitsu Laborat Comment Status D	L 12 cories of	# 73	optimum mask resu transmitter output ji Proposed Response	ults for measureme	nt of the trans gardless of th	mitter output way	eform, whereas the
Test specification for the c Where is the test point? Is propagating lane? Or, is it TP5a of a receiver Also, what is the "target" d Is it different from different Is transition time of 8ps als SuggestedRemedy Define the test point of the	counter propagating lanes is it TP0a of the transmitter w r on the same device as the lifferential peak-to-peak am tial peak-to-peak amplitude	vhich sends the s transmitter unde plitude of 800mV ?	er test? '?	Cl 83D SC 83D.3 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E "low pass" should the SuggestedRemedy Change "low pass" Proposed Response	Comment S be hyphenated (whe	en used as an	L 4	# [4
Proposed Response F	Response Status O				Comment S of no training is tole ween IC and TP0a	rancing the tr		# 142 sis. As there can be a signer's control, these
				SuggestedRemedy Increase to 15% (2	-			

Page 11 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:21

C/ 83D SC 83D.3.2 P 150 L 38 # 37	C/ 83D SC 83D.3.2.2.1 P 153 L 26 # 47
Aellitz, Richard Intel Corporation	Ghiasi, Ali Independent
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
Reuse of clause 93 transmitter receiver reduces the number of tests for configurable PHYs, etc. as well as providing a smoother meshing with COM	CTE zero coefficient were not updated to higher decimal point per D1.2 comment SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy replace with 93.8.2	Adjust CTE zero per http://www.ieee802.org/3/bm/public/tools/index.html
with new table for —Receiver interference tolerance parameters	Proposed Response Response Status W [Editor's note: Subclause changed from 3.2.2.1 to 83D.3.2.2.1]
Proposed Response Response Status O	C/ 83D SC 83D.3.2.2.1 P 153 L 4 # 170 Li, Mike Altera
C/ 83D SC 83D.3.2.2 P 152 L 23 # 105	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
Noore, Charles Avago Technologies	Eq (83D-8) is incorrect
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	CommentEnd: 7
In terms of project goals the 83D PMD has more in common with Clause 93 PMD than Annex 83B. Receiver interference tolerance method should reflect this.	SuggestedRemedy Change it to be the same as Eq. (83E-4)
SuggestedRemedy	Proposed Response Response Status O
Either copy or reference 93.8.2.3 and 93.8.2.4 to generate 83D.3.2.2. A new version of table 93-6 will be needed with 15dB insertion loss and BER instead of RS-FEC symbol error ratio.	C/ 83D SC 83D.4 P 155 L 36 # 75
	Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
Proposed Response Response Status O	Comment Type T Comment Status D
	It is defined as COM shall be greater than or equal to 2dB using "any" combination of
C/ 83D SC 83D.3.2.2.1 P152 L4 # 103	discrete transmit equalizer and continuous time filter. This is different from how COM is defined, because COM is calculated for the combinatior
Noore, Charles Avago Technologies	of values of $c(-1)$, $c(1)$, g_DC , and t_s which maximizes the FOM.
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	See page 346, line 46 of P802.3bj Draft 2.3.
Equation 83D-8 is incorrect. It needs parentheses in the denominator	SuggestedRemedy
to separate the poles. Also it is not in dB.	Rewrite the first paragraph of 83D.4 similar to the second paragraph of 93.9.1 as follows:
SuggestedRemedy	The channel operating margin (COM) computed using the procedure in Annex 93A (with the exception that the continuous time filter (CTLE) is as defined in Equation (83D-8) and
First wait to make sure that this is not overtaken by events. Delete (dB) from equation. Add "(" at beginning of denominator of second expression. In the same denominator add ")(" between P_1 and j2pi and ")" at the end.	with coefficients given in Table 83D-6) and the parameters in Table 83D-7 shall be greate than or equal to 2dB. This minimum value allocates margin for practical limitations on the receiver implementation as well as the allowed transmitter equalizer coefficients.
Proposed Response Response Status O	Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 83D SC 83D.4

C/ 83D SC 83D.4 Mellitz, Richard	P 156 Intel Corporation	L 11	# 38	C/ 83D SC 83D.4 Mellitz, Richard	P 156 Intel Corporation	L 44	# 40
routing.	Comment Status D istic estimates if for package le i/public/jul13/moore_3bj_02a_0	0 0	n 12 mm or trace	http://ieee802.org/3/bm	Comment Status D s required to support 20dB loss. /public/cuadhoc/meetings/aug2: /public/cuadhoc/meetings/sep3(
Change to Tx and Rx	Z_p to match clause 93.			·			
SuggestedRemedy Table 83D–7				SuggestedRemedy set N_b to 5			
change Z_pt and Z_p Proposed Response	r to 12 mm, 30 mm Response Status 0			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 83D SC 83D.4 Mellitz, Richard	P 156 Intel Corporati	L 14	# 39	C/ 83D SC 83D.4 Mellitz, Richard	P 156 Intel Corporation	L 46	# 41
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D to be limited but realistic	511		suggest limiting DFE ta	Comment Status D /public/cuadhoc/meetings/sep30 ps to 0.3 yield an acceptable M		01_093013.pdf
SuggestedRemedy Set C_dr to 2e-4 set C_br to 1.8e-4				SuggestedRemedy Change test for b_max "Normalized DFE coeffi change b_max to b_ma	cient magnitude limit, for n = 1 t	o N_b"	
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 83D SC 83D.4 Dawe, Piers	P 156 Mellanox	L 14	# [135	C/ 83D SC 83D.4.1 Slavick, Jeff	P 156 Avago Technolog	L 23 gies	# 26
Comment Type T Zero package not real SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D			Comment Type TR The Transmitter equaliz tables 83D-8,9 in mV, V	Comment Status D eer settings don't have any units /, dB, %?	assigned to	them. Is the data in
Include receiver packa	age model.			SuggestedRemedy			
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Assign Tables 83D-7,8, Proposed Response	9 to have the appropriate unit. <i>Response Status</i> O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 83D SC 83D.4.1 Page 13 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:21

SuggestedRemedy Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. enable power saving in the module it would be good to enable the module to be set be management and still close the link budget. Proposed Response Response Status O I undertand that the CAUI-4 ad hoc report will provide a complete remedy based on the following. The host is required to provide a "Recommended CTLE setting" with a tote of +/-1dB. ie the Host must pass it's output specifications with one of the 3 settings, Recommended, Recommended -1dB, or Recommended +1dB. The module must me its BER target with the stressed input with the "Recommended CTLE setting" provide					
* signal to noise* should be hyphenated Suggested/Remedy Change *signal to noise* to *signal-to-noise* Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 83D SC 83D.4.1 P156 L 51 # IB Ghiasi, Ali Independent O O Wrong symbol DER Suggested/Remedy O Logic O Suggested/Remedy Cl 83D SC 83D.3/1/4/2 P147 L 46 # 109 Suggested/Remedy Cl 83D SC 83D.3/1/4/2 P147 L 46 # 109 Suggested/Remedy Response Status D O O The Dineeds to be measurements is part of the ters definitions and has no corresponding normative statement. Suggested/Remedy Comment Type T Comment Status D O D Ren, Adee Intel To To To To To To D Cl 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P 161 L 26 # To To Comment Type T TR Comment St			L 41	# 3	
¹ signal to noise ' should be hyphenated SuggestedRemedy Change 'signal to noise ' to 'signal-to-noise ' Proposed Response Casus O Cl 83D SC 83D.4.1 P156 L51 # [48 Cl 76 3D SC 83D.4.1 P156 L51 # [48 Cl 83D SC 83D.4.1 P156 L51 # [48 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Wrong symbol DER SuggestedRemedy Response Status W Editor's note: Subclause changed from 4.1 to 83D.4.1] Cl 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P161 L26 # [10] Channent Type T Comment Status D The Di needs to be measured with optimal transmit equalizer setting. SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Cl 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P161 L26 # [10] Cl 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P161 L26 # [10] Comment Type T Comment Status D The Di needs to be measured with optimal transmit equalizer setting. SuggestedRemedy Add at the beginning of the last sentence. With the transmit equalizer setting that is optimal to 'Cotal jiter' Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P161 L26 # [10] Comment Type T Comment Status D The channel requirements are practically separate from the rest of the PICS, and conformance is not stated by the same vendor. They should be marked by a separate option similar to 'CLL' in 92.14.3. SuggestedRemedy Add option 'CHAN' in 838.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 83E SC 83E P170 L1 # [06] Comment Type TR Comment Status D The existing specification requires the module to enable the module to be set to enable free module to the set set ing, removing the based enable in the cAUL4 ad hoc report will provide a complete remedy based on to following: "The optimal CTLE setting' norther the Status optimal to evide to provide a complete remedy based on to following: "The optim	Comment Type F Comment	Status D			Comment Type T Comment Status D
Uggested/Remedy Change "signal to noise" to "signal-to-noise" Proposed Response Response Status 0 R3D SC 83D.4.1 P 156 L 51 # 48 0 Independent Independent O 0 R3D SC 83D.4.1 P 145 L 51 # 48 0 Wrong symbol DER Independent Ouge: Comment Status D Virgal sed DER with BER Replace DER with BER Response Status M Editor's note: Subclause changed from 4.1 to 83D.4.1] The Dineeds to be measured with optimal transmit equalizer setting. 20 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P 161 L 26 # 101 21 Rab SC 83D.5.4.2 P 161 L 26 # 101 22 Rab SC 83D.5.4.2 P 161 L 26 # 101 23 Magested/Remedy Add at the beginning of the last sentence. With the transmit equalizer setting the setting specification requires the module to have an adaptive CTLE. In order to enable power saving in the module it would be good to enable the module to be set to enable power saving in the module it would be good to enable the module to be set to enable power saving in the module it would be good to enable the module to be set to enable power saving in the module it would be good to enable the module to be s	51				Reference impedance for measurements is part of the test definitions and has no
Clauge signated house Clauge signated h					
Troposed Response Response Status O 21 83D SC 83D.4.1 P156 L 51 # 48 Shihai, Ali Independent Independent O Vomment Type TR Comment Status D Wrong symbol DER Response Status D Cl 83D SC 83D/3/1/4/2 P147 L 46 # 109 VagestedRemedy Response Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Replace DEr with BER Proposed Response Response Status W Add at the beginning of the last sentence. "With the transmit equalizer setting that is optimal for Total jiter" Proposed Response Intel L 26 # 101 Value MagestedRemedy Add at the beginning of the last sentence. "With the transmit equalizer setting that is optimal for Total jiter" Proposed Response Intel L 26 # 101 Value D The channel requirements are practically separate from the rest of the PICS, and conformance is not stated by the same vendor. They should be marked by a separate option simila thicksee the inst budge. L1 # 106 Values Comment Type T Comment Type TR Comment Status <	Change "signal to noise" to "signal-to	o-noise"			
Shiasi, Ali Independent Comment Type TR Comment Status D Wrong symbol DER SuggestedRemedy QLogic Replace DER with BER P161 L 26 The D needs to be measured with optimal transmit equalizer setting. Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy Replace DER with BER P161 L 26 101 Cl 83D SC 83D-5.4.2 P161 L 26 101 Cl 83E SC 83E P170 L 1 # 106 Comment Type T Comment Status D Cl 83E SC 83E P170 L 1 # 106 Conformance is not stated by the same vendor. They should be marked by a separate option similar to "CBL" in 92.14.3. Dudek, Mike QLogic Comment Type TR Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. Proposed Response Response Status O The existing specification requires the module to have an adaptive CTLE. In order to enable power saving in the module it would be good to enable the module to be set to management and still close the link budget. SuggestedRemedy Iundeentand that the CAUI-4 ad hoc report will provide a com	Proposed Response Response	Status O			
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Wrong symbol DER UggestedRemedy Replace DER with BER Proposed Response Response Status W [Editor's note: Subclause changed from 4.1 to 83D.4.1] M 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P 161 L 26 # 101 M 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P 161 L 1 L 26 The channel requirements are practically separate from the rest of the PICS, and conformance is not stated by the same vendor. They should be marked by a separate option similar to "CBL" in 92.14.3. UggestedRemedy Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. Proposed Response Response Status O Change Response Response Status O Change Response Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Type T<			L 5 1	# 48	
Wrong symbol DER uggestedRemedy Replace DER with BER roposed Response Response Status W [Editor's note: Subclause changed from 4.1 to 83D.4.1] / 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P 161 L 26 # 101 / n, Adee Intel Intel Proposed Response Response Status O / 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P 161 L 26 # 101 Comment Status O / 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P 161 L 26 # 101 Comment Status O / 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P 161 L 26 # 101 Comment Status O / 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P 161 L 26 # 101 Comment Status O / 700 mment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status O / 83D SC 83E P 170 L 1 # 106 D D uggested/Remedy Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. roposed Response Response Status O roposed Response Response Status O Indeffee	omment Type TR Comment	Status D			Dudek, Mike QLogic
The Di needs to be measured with optimal transmit equalizer setting. Replace DER with BER roposed Response Response Status W [Editor's note: Subclause changed from 4.1 to 83D.4.1] / 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P 161 L 26 intel comment Type T Comment Status D The channel requirements are practically separate from the rest of the PICS, and conformance is not statued by the same vendor. They should be marked by a separate option similar to "CBL" in 92.14.3. uggestedRemedy Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. ropposed Response Response Status O Troposed Response Response Status O The existing specification requires the module to have an adaptive CTLE. In order to enable power saving in the module to have an adaptive CTLE. In order to enable power saving in the module to bay ea complete remedy based on to following. The host is required to provide a complete remedy based on to following. The host is required to provide a complete remedy based on to following. The host is required to provide a meanded CTLE setting "rom the stressed signal calibration, and with this "output specifications with one of the 3 settings. Recommended, Recommended 14B, or Recommended CTLE setting "rom the stressed signal calibration, and with this "output specificating" in the "Recommended CTLE setting "rowides the maximum value of					Comment Type T Comment Status D
Replace DER with BER roposed Response Response Status W [Editor's note: Subclause changed from 4.1 to 83D.4.1] Add at the beginning of the last sentence. "With the transmit equalizer setting that is optimal for Total jitter" If 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P 161 L 26 # 101 an, Adee Intel Intel C/ 83E SC 83E P 170 L 1 # 106 conformance is not status D The channel requirements are practically separate from the rest of the PICS, and conformance is not statud by the same vendor. They should be marked by a separate option similar to "CBL" in 92.14.3. D Comment Type T Comment Status D D Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. ropposed Response Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Generative and still close the link budget. suggestedRemedy Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. Composed Response Response Status O ropposed Response Response Status O Indeettand that the CAUI-4 ad hoc report will provide a complete remedy based on to following. The host is required to provide a "Recommended CTLE setting" provide being the "optimal CTLE setting + 1dB", and with this "optimal CTLE setting + 1dB", and with this "optimal CTLE setting + 1dB", and with this "optim	0.7				The Dj needs to be measured with optimal transmit equalizer setting.
Add at the beginning of the last sentence. "With the transmit equalizer setting that is optimal for Total jitter" [Editor's note: Subclause changed from 4.1 to 83D.4.1] / 83D SC 83D.5.4.2 P 161 L 26 # 101 an, Ade Intel Comment Status D The channel requirements are practically separate from the rest of the PICS, and conformance is not stated by the same vendor. They should be marked by a separate option similar to "CBL" in 92.14.3. uggestedRemedy Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. roposed Response Response Status O The channel requirements are practically separate from the rest of the PICS, and conformance is not stated by the same vendor. They should be marked by a separate option similar to "CBL" in 92.14.3. uggestedRemedy Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. roposed Response Response Status O The existing specification requires the module to have an adaptive CTLE. In order to enable power saving in the module it would be good to enable the module to be set to management and still close the link budget. SuggestedRemedy I undertand that the CAUI-4 ad hoc report will provide a complete remedy based on to following. The host is required to provide a "Recommended CTLE setting" with a to of 4/-1dB. ie the Host must pass it's output specifications with one of the 3 settings, Recommended, Recommended, Recommended CTLE setting" provide being the "optimal CTLE setting" from the stressed signal calibration, and with this "optimal CTLE setting is that setting is that setting is that setting that provides the maximum value of EW15* EV15 for the stresses					SuggestedRemedy
If as a constraint of the series of the pick of the	roposed Response Response				
 tan, Adee Intel comment Type T Comment Status D The channel requirements are practically separate from the rest of the PICS, and conformance is not stated by the same vendor. They should be marked by a separate option similar to "CBL" in 92.14.3. StuggestedRemedy Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. Troposed Response Response Status O Indertand that the CAUI-4 ad hoc report will provide a complete remedy based on t following. The host is required to provide a "Recommended CTLE setting" with a tole of +/-1dB. is the Host must pass it's output specifications with one of the 3 setting. Recommended -14B. The module it we to the 3 setting that provide setting -1dB" where the optimal CTLE setting + 1dB", and with this "optimal CTLE setting -1dB" where the optimal CTLE setting is that setting that provide the maximum value of EW15"EH15 for the stressed signal calibration, and with this "optimal CTLE setting + 1dB", and with this "optimal CTLE setting -1dB" where the optimal CTLE setting is that setting that provides the maximum value of EW15"EH15 for the stressed signal calibration is the setting is that setting that provide the maximum value of EW15"EH15 for the stressed is partical setting is that setting that provide the maximum value of EW15"EH15 for the stressed is partical setting is that setting that provide the maximum value of EW15"EH15 for the stressed is partical setting is that setting that provide the maximum value of EW15"EH15 for the stressed is partical setting is that setting that provide the maximum value of EW15"EH15 for the stressed is partical setting is that setting that provides the maximum value of EW15"EH15 for the stressed is partical setting is that setting that provides the maximum value of EW15"EH15 for the stressed is partical setting that provides the maximum value of EW15"EH15 for the stressed is partical setting that provide the maximum value of EW15"EH15 for the stressed is partical	[Editor's note: Subclause changed fr	om 4.1 to 83D.4.1]		Proposed Response Response Status O
Comment Type T Comment Status D The channel requirements are practically separate from the rest of the PICS, and conformance is not stated by the same vendor. They should be marked by a separate option similar to "CBL" in 92.14.3. SuggestedRemedy Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Status O Proposed Response Testing + 1dB. in the CAUI-4 ad hoc report will provide a complete remedy based on the following. The host is required to provide a "Recommended CTLE setting" with a tole of +/-1dB. ie the Host must pass it's output specifications with one of the 3 settings, Recommended, Recommended -1dB, or Recommended +1dB. The module must m its BER target with the stressed input with the "Recommended CTLE setting" provide being the "optimal CTLE setting" the toptimal CTLE setting is that setting that provides the maximum value of EW15*EH15 for the stresses			L 26	# 101	
The channel requirements are practically separate from the rest of the PICS, and conformance is not stated by the same vendor. They should be marked by a separate option similar to "CBL" in 92.14.3. SuggestedRemedy Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. Tho proposed Response Response Status O I undertand that the CAUI-4 ad hoc report will provide a complete remedy based on the following. The host is required to provide a "Recommended CTLE setting" with a tole of +/-1dB. ie the Host must pass it's output specifications with one of the 3 settings, Recommended, Recommended -1dB, or Recommended CTLE setting" provide being the "optimal CTLE setting" from the stressed signal calibration, and with this "optimal CTLE setting" and the these setting is that setting that provides the maximum value of EW15*EH15 for the stresses	an, Adee	Intel			C/ 83E SC 83E P 170 L 1 # 106
conformance is not stated by the same vendor. They should be marked by a separate option similar to "CBL" in 92.14.3. uggestedRemedy Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. roposed Response Response Status O I undertand that the CAUI-4 ad hoc report will provide a complete remedy based on t following. The host is required to provide a "Recommended CTLE setting" with a tole of +/-1dB. ie the Host must pass it's output specifications with one of the 3 settings, Recommended, Recommended -1dB, or Recommended CTLE setting" provide being the "optimal CTLE setting" from the stressed signal calibration, and with this "oftimal CTLE setting" from the stressed signal calibration, and with this "of the stressed signal calibration,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				Dudek, Mike QLogic
option similar to "CBL" in 92.14.3. uggestedRemedy Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. roposed Response Response Status O I undertand that the CAUI-4 ad hoc report will provide a complete remedy based on t following. The host is required to provide a "Recommended CTLE setting" with a tole of +/-1dB. ie the Host must pass it's output specifications with one of the 3 settings, Recommended, Recommended -1dB, or Recommended CTLE setting" provide being the "optimal CTLE setting" from the stressed signal calibration, and with this "optimal CTLE setting -1dB" where the optimal CTLE setting is that setting is that setting that provides the maximum value of EW15*EH15 for the stresse					Comment Type TR Comment Status D
uggestedRemedy Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. management and still close the link budget. roposed Response Response Status O I undertand that the CAUI-4 ad hoc report will provide a complete remedy based on t following. The host is required to provide a "Recommended CTLE setting" with a tole of +/-1dB. ie the Host must pass it's output specifications with one of the 3 settings, Recommended -1dB, or Recommended +1dB. The module must m its BER target with the stressed input with the "Recommended CTLE setting" provide being the "optimal CTLE setting "from the stressed signal calibration, and with this "o CTLE setting + 1dB", and with this "optimal CTLE setting -1dB" where the otpimal CT		ne vendor. mey s		led by a separate	The existing specification requires the module to have an adaptive CTLE. In order to
Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and make items in this table conditional on it. roposed Response Response Status O I undertand that the CAUI-4 ad hoc report will provide a complete remedy based on t following. The host is required to provide a "Recommended CTLE setting" with a tole of +/-1dB. ie the Host must pass it's output specifications with one of the 3 settings, Recommended, Recommended -1dB, or Recommended +1dB. The module must m its BER target with the stressed input with the "Recommended CTLE setting" provide being the "optimal CTLE setting" from the stressed signal calibration, and with this "o CTLE setting + 1dB", and with this "optimal CTLE setting -1dB" where the otpimal CT setting is that setting that provides the maximum value of EW15*EH15 for the stresses	uggestedRemedy				
roposed Response Response Status 0 I undertand that the CAUI-4 ad hoc report will provide a complete remedy based on t following. The host is required to provide a "Recommended CTLE setting" with a tole of +/-1dB. ie the Host must pass it's output specifications with one of the 3 settings, Recommended, Recommended -1dB, or Recommended +1dB. The module must m its BER target with the stressed input with the "Recommended CTLE setting" provide being the "optimal CTLE setting" from the stressed signal calibration, and with this "o CTLE setting + 1dB", and with this "optimal CTLE setting -1dB" where the otpimal CT setting is that setting that provides the maximum value of EW15*EH15 for the stresses	Add option "CHAN" in 83B.4.3 and n	nake items in this	table condition	al on it.	5
	Proposed Response Response	Status O			I undertand that the CAUI-4 ad hoc report will provide a complete remedy based on the following. The host is required to provide a "Recommended CTLE setting" with a tolerand

C/ 83E SC 83E

Page 14 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:21

						5		
C/ 83E SC 83E.1	P 163	L 24	# 27	C/ 83E	SC 83E.2	P 165	L 33	# 9
Slavick, Jeff	Avago Technolo	ogies		Petrilla, Joh	n	Avago Techr	nologies	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment 7	<i>уре</i> т	Comment Status D		
0	g a layout that could exist. ====> PMA n:20 + RS-FEC -	+ PMA 20:4 ==	=> PMA 4:4 + PMD	dimens	ion line look lik	hrase, "Module insertion loss a residue from Figure 83E- of confusion as it may be inte	2, do not appear	useful in Figure 83E-5
	RS-FEC being conditional bas			Suggestedl	-			
4_c2m on one end and	ld have a gearbox chip between a CAUI-10 or CAUI-4_c2c to the			dimens	ion line.	e the phrase, "Module insertion	on loss up to 1.5 o	dB" and associated
	lavors of the PHY types listed.			Proposed F	Response	Response Status 0		
SuggestedRemedy	at includes an intermdiate PMA	with optional P						
Proposed Response	Response Status O	with optional N	.5-1 LO.	C/ 83E	SC 83E.2	P 165	L 33	# 128
rioposeu nesponse	Response Status 0			Dawe, Piers	6	Mellanox		
				Comment 7	ype ER	Comment Status D		
C/ 83E SC 83E.1	P 164	L 6	# 5	MCB is	n't the same s	hape as HCB: see e.g. Fig 83	E-9 or 86-3.	
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Suggestedl				
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Redrav	/ MCB so it is o	different to HCB.		
It would be helpful to ac 83E.1.	dd an informative reference to t	the OIF CEI-28	G-VSR specification in	Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy								
each lane is 25.78125 ("The chip-to-module inte	before the last sentence of 83E GBd.) to say: erface is defined using a speci CEI-28G-VSR defined in OIF-C	fication and tes						
	y entry for: mmon Electrical I/O (CEI) - Ele s, 11G+ bps and 25G+ bps I/O		er Interoperability					
[Editor's note (to be rem	ned, add an appropriate editor's noved prior to publication) - The proval process, and is expected	e OIF CĔI-28G	•					
Proposed Response	Response Status 0							

C/ 83E SC 83E.2

Page 15 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:21

C/ 83E	SC 83E.3	

Petrilla, John

P 165 L Avago Technologies

L 49



Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Since it is not the intention to mandate specific tests and test methods but only to require specified results if tested according to the methods defined in the subclauses of 83E.3, such a statement should be included in 83E.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following as the first paragraph in 83E.3, "The tests and test methods defined in the subclauses of 83E.3 are not mandated to be applied to each CAUI-4 host and module, rather only that the defined results are realized if tested according to the defined method. Alternative test methods that generate equivalent results may be used."

In 83E.3.1 page 165 change, "A CAUI-4 host output shall meet the specifications defined in Table 83E–1 when measured at TP1a." to "A CAUI-4 host output shall meet the specifications defined in Table 83E–1 if measured at TP1a."

In 83E.3.2 page 171 change, "A CAUI-4 module output shall meet the specifications defined in Table 83E–3 when measured at TP4." to "A CAUI-4 module output shall meet the specifications defined in Table 83E–3 if measured at TP4."

In 83E.3.3 page 173 change, "A CAUI-4 host input shall meet the specifications defined in Table 83E–4 when measured at TP4a." to "A CAUI-4 host input shall meet the specifications defined in Table 83E–4 if measured at TP4a."

In 83E.3.4 page 177 change, "A CAUI-4 module input shall meet the specifications defined in Table 83E–7 when measured at TP1." to "A CAUI-4 module input shall meet the specifications defined in Table 83E–7 if measured at TP1."

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

 C/ 83E
 SC 83E.3.1
 P 166
 L 31
 # 161

 Dawe, Piers
 Mellanox

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **D**

My study in OIF a while back showed disappointing correlation between Eye Height / Eye Width and useful performance at the host Rx after a host channel. Among other factors (some of which have been improved), it seems that a lower observation bandwidth might improve this, being more like a real host channel and Rx. There are other benefits such as lower cost, lower noise measurements (or, more accurate results from a real-time scope with a set sampling rate).

There's a similar comment against P802.3bj.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 33 GHz to 25 GHz, or if feasible, 19.34 GHz = 0.75*fb. For consistency, do this throughout the document. Make small adjustments to the EH15 (and EH6) limits. Also review the VEC limits (any change would be very small, as high-VEC signals are already low bandwidth), EW15/EW6 and transition time limits.

Proposed Response	Response Status	0	
-------------------	-----------------	---	--

C/ 83E	SC 83E.3.1	P 166	L 33	# 160
Dawe, Pier	s	Mellanox		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The host must provide the recommended CTLE peaking values, in case the module needs it (see other comments). Also, the recommended value must be not too far from the truth or the eye opening will collapse rapidly with CTLE tuning. There is more than one way to achieve this.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text: The recommended CTLE peaking value shall be within 1 dB of the optimum CTLE peaking value.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 83E	SC 83E.3.1	P 1	66	L 7	# 122
Dawe, Pier	S	Mellar	nox		
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status	D		
		nal" is not something so should not be in th			d isn't in the PICS, and
Suggested	Remedy				
Delete	the row. Also in	tables 83-3, 4, 7.			
Proposed I	Response	Response Status	0		

C/ 83E SC 83E.3.1.2 P166 L 42 # 137	C/83E SC 83E.3.1.6 P169 L10 # 10
Dawe, Piers Mellanox	Petrilla, John Avago Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D
The apparent peak-to-peak differential output voltage of the host depends on the pattern used, because the host channel and HCB have loss and the signal is under-emphasised	It would be helpful if the term, "continuous time linear equalizer" is followed by the acron "CTLE" that is used in the associated block diagram in Figure 83E-9.
where observed. Also it is better to have a spec that relates consistently to voltage swing at the IC, so there is no need to set up the swing port by port.	SuggestedRemedy
PRBS9 is too short for consistent measurements across different host losses.	Change "The host output eye is measured using a reference receiver with a continuous
SuggestedRemedy	time linear equalizer defined in 83E.3.1.6.1." to "The host output eye is measured using reference receiver with a continuous time linear equalizer (CTLE) defined in 83E.3.1.6.1
Define suitable patterns for peak-to-peak differential voltage: any of PRBS15, PRBS31, scrambled idle, RF, any other 100GBASE-R signal (FEC encoded or not).	Proposed Response Response Status O
Proposed Response Response Status O	
	C/ 83E SC 83E.3.1.6 P 169 L 6 # 130 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
X 83E SC 83E.3.1.3 P 167 L 45 # 159	Comment Type ER Comment Status D
awe, Piers Mellanox	In this subclause we don't specify jitter, we specify eye width. The two are not quite
51 51	complementary (one would not usually measure TJ with PRBS9) and even if they were,
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 -	have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes	have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4 Host / Module eye contour measurement method" to derive eye width, but the word has
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes increasingly stringent at higher frequencies, the opposite of reasonable. We should align	have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4 Host / Module eye contour measurement method" to derive eye width, but the word has place in 83E.3, as it happens.
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes increasingly stringent at higher frequencies, the opposite of reasonable. We should align with what CEI-28G-VSR has had since May 2013.	have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4 Host / Module eye contour measurement method" to derive eye width, but the word has place in 83E.3, as it happens. SuggestedRemedy
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes increasingly stringent at higher frequencies, the opposite of reasonable. We should align with what CEI-28G-VSR has had since May 2013.	have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4 Host / Module eye contour measurement method" to derive eye width, but the word has place in 83E.3, as it happens.
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes increasingly stringent at higher frequencies, the opposite of reasonable. We should align with what CEI-28G-VSR has had since May 2013. SuggestedRemedy Change the limit for RLdc in the range 12.89 GHz to 25.78 GHz in Eq 83E–3 from 15 dB to 18-6f/25.78 dB.	 have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4 Host / Module eye contour measurement method" to derive eye width, but the word has place in 83E.3, as it happens. SuggestedRemedy Change "host output jitter" to "host eye width" 5 times. Change "output jitter" to "eye width" once in 83E.3.1.6.1.
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes increasingly stringent at higher frequencies, the opposite of reasonable. We should align with what CEI-28G-VSR has had since May 2013. SuggestedRemedy Change the limit for RLdc in the range 12.89 GHz to 25.78 GHz in Eq 83E–3 from 15 dB to 18-6f/25.78 dB.	 have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4 Host / Module eye contour measurement method" to derive eye width, but the word has place in 83E.3, as it happens. SuggestedRemedy Change "host output jitter" to "host eye width" 5 times. Change "output jitter" to "eye width" once in 83E.3.1.6.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1.
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes increasingly stringent at higher frequencies, the opposite of reasonable. We should align with what CEI-28G-VSR has had since May 2013. SuggestedRemedy Change the limit for RLdc in the range 12.89 GHz to 25.78 GHz in Eq 83E–3 from 15 dB to 18-6f/25.78 dB. Proposed Response Response Status O Response Response Status O	 have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4 Host / Module eye contour measurement method" to derive eye width, but the word has place in 83E.3, as it happens. SuggestedRemedy Change "host output jitter" to "host eye width" 5 times. Change "output jitter" to "eye width" once in 83E.3.1.6.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Proposed Response Response Status 0
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes increasingly stringent at higher frequencies, the opposite of reasonable. We should align with what CEI-28G-VSR has had since May 2013. SuggestedRemedy Change the limit for RLdc in the range 12.89 GHz to 25.78 GHz in Eq 83E–3 from 15 dB to 18-6f/25.78 dB. Proposed Response Response Status O State P 168 L 51 # 116	have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4 Host / Module eye contour measurement method" to derive eye width, but the word has place in 83E.3, as it happens. SuggestedRemedy Change "host output jitter" to "host eye width" 5 times. Change "output jitter" to "eye width" once in 83E.3.1.6.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 83E SC 83E.3.1.6 P 169 L 9 # 21
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes increasingly stringent at higher frequencies, the opposite of reasonable. We should align with what CEI-28G-VSR has had since May 2013. SuggestedRemedy Change the limit for RLdc in the range 12.89 GHz to 25.78 GHz in Eq 83E–3 from 15 dB to 18-6f/25.78 dB. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 83E SC 83E.3.1.4 P 168 L 51 # 116 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type E Comment Status D	have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4 Host / Module eye contour measurement method" to derive eye width, but the word has place in 83E.3, as it happens. SuggestedRemedy Change "host output jitter" to "host eye width" 5 times. Change "output jitter" to "eye width" once in 83E.3.1.6.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Change "module output jitter" to "seye width" once in 83E.3.2.1.1. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 83E SC 83E.3.1.6 P 169 L 9 # 21 Latchman, Ryan Mindspeed
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes increasingly stringent at higher frequencies, the opposite of reasonable. We should align with what CEI-28G-VSR has had since May 2013. SuggestedRemedy Change the limit for RLdc in the range 12.89 GHz to 25.78 GHz in Eq 83E–3 from 15 dB to 18-6f/25.78 dB. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 83E SC 83E.3.1.4 P 168 L 51 # 116 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Mellanox Comment Type E Comment Status D This subclause is used for outputs as well as inputs.It is better not to mix up definitions and Status D	have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4 Host / Module eye contour measurement method" to derive eye width, but the word has place in 83E.3, as it happens. SuggestedRemedy Change "host output jitter" to "host eye width" 5 times. Change "output jitter" to "eye width" once in 83E.3.1.6.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Change "module output jitter" to "seye width" once in 83E.3.2.1.1. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 83E SC 83E.3.1.6 P 169 L 9 # 21 Latchman, Ryan Mindspeed Comment Type T Comment Status D
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes increasingly stringent at higher frequencies, the opposite of reasonable. We should align with what CEI-28G-VSR has had since May 2013. uggestedRemedy Change the limit for RLdc in the range 12.89 GHz to 25.78 GHz in Eq 83E–3 from 15 dB to 18-6f/25.78 dB. roposed Response Response Status Ø # 83E SC 83E.3.1.4 P 168 L 51 # 116 omment Type E Comment Status D This subclause is used for outputs as well as inputs.It is better not to mix up definitions and limits, and each limit is given in the relevant table.	have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4 Host / Module eye contour measurement method" to derive eye width, but the word has place in 83E.3, as it happens. SuggestedRemedy Change "host output jitter" to "host eye width" 5 times. Change "output jitter" to "eye width" once in 83E.3.1.6.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Change "module output jitter" to "seye width" once in 83E.3.2.1.1. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 83E SC 83E.3.1.6 P 169 L 9 # 21 Latchman, Ryan Mindspeed
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes increasingly stringent at higher frequencies, the opposite of reasonable. We should align with what CEI-28G-VSR has had since May 2013. uggestedRemedy Change the limit for RLdc in the range 12.89 GHz to 25.78 GHz in Eq 83E–3 from 15 dB to 18-6f/25.78 dB. roposed Response Response Status O	have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4 Host / Module eye contour measurement method" to derive eye width, but the word has place in 83E.3, as it happens. SuggestedRemedy Change "host output jitter" to "host eye width" 5 times. Change "output jitter" to "eye width" once in 83E.3.1.6.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Change "module output jitter" to "seye width" once in 83E.3.2.1.1. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 83E SC 83E.3.1.6 P 169 L 9 # 21 Latchman, Ryan Mindspeed Comment Type T Comment Status D Host output can be evaluated with any CTLE reference setting. Should use recommend
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes increasingly stringent at higher frequencies, the opposite of reasonable. We should align with what CEI-28G-VSR has had since May 2013. SuggestedRemedy Change the limit for RLdc in the range 12.89 GHz to 25.78 GHz in Eq 83E–3 from 15 dB to 18-6f/25.78 dB. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 83E SC 83E.3.1.4 P 168 L 51 # 116 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type E Comment Status D This subclause is used for outputs as well as inputs. It is better not to mix up definitions and limits, and each limit is given in the relevant table. SuggestedRemedy BuggestedRemedy D Delete "Differential termination mismatch of the output is less than 10%.".	have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4 Host / Module eye contour measurement method" to derive eye width, but the word has place in 83E.3, as it happens. SuggestedRemedy Change "host output jitter" to "host eye width" 5 times. Change "output jitter" to "eye width" once in 83E.3.1.6.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 83E SC 83E.3.1.6 P 169 L 9 # 21 Latchman, Ryan Mindspeed Comment Type T Comment Status D Host output can be evaluated with any CTLE reference setting. Should use recommend CTLE setting communicated to the module
RLdc is too close to the mixed-mode reflection limit for the mated compliance boards (25 - 5f/14 above 14 GHz) such that the requirement on an IC behind the connector becomes increasingly stringent at higher frequencies, the opposite of reasonable. We should align with what CEI-28G-VSR has had since May 2013. SuggestedRemedy Change the limit for RLdc in the range 12.89 GHz to 25.78 GHz in Eq 83E–3 from 15 dB to 18-6f/25.78 dB. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 83E SC 83E.3.1.4 P 168 L 51 # 116 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type E Comment Status D This subclause is used for outputs as well as inputs.It is better not to mix up definitions and limits, and each limit is given in the relevant table. SuggestedRemedy	have to use the same name for the same thing, every time. We might use jitter in "83E.4 Host / Module eye contour measurement method" to derive eye width, but the word has place in 83E.3, as it happens. SuggestedRemedy Change "host output jitter" to "host eye width" 5 times. Change "output jitter" to "eye width" once in 83E.3.1.6.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Change "module output jitter" to "module eye width" 5 times in 83E.3.2.1. Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 83E SC 83E.3.1.6 P 169 L 9 # 21 Latchman, Ryan Mindspeed Comment Type T Comment Status D Host output can be evaluated with any CTLE reference setting. Should use recommend CTLE setting communicated to the module SuggestedRemedy

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 83E

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 83E.3.1.6

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 C/ 83E
 SC 83E.3.1.6

1.6

Page 17 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:22

83E SC 83E.3.1.6.1 P 170 L 1 # 119 awe, Piers Mellanox	C/ 83E SC 83E.3.1.6.1 P 170 L 4 # 129 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Any of the 9 equalizer aggestedRemedy Any of the nine equalizer	Comment Type ER Comment Status D This equation has P1, P2 and Z1 in Grad/s but the entries in Table 83E-2 are in GHz, and in P802.3bj, the equation (93A-20) is in GHz (or Hz, it doesn't matter) with the equivalents of P1, P2 and Z1 given in that equation, in GHz (or Hz). We can remove some clutter that makes the equation and table harder to understand than they need be.
oposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy H(f) = G*P1*P2*(jf+Z1) / (Z1 * (jf+P1) * (Jf+P2)) In Table 83E-2,s delete "/2pi", 3 times.
83E SC 83E.3.1.6.1 P 170 L 26 # 50 niasi, Ali Independent	Change "in Grad/s" to "in GHz", twice. Similarly in 83D.3.2.2.1.
omment Type TR Comment Status D CTE zero coefficient were not updated to higher decimal point per D1.2 comment	Proposed Response Response Status O
<i>IggestedRemedy</i> Adjust CTE zero per http://www.ieee802.org/3/bm/public/tools/index.html	C/ 83E SC 83E.3.2 P 171 L 34 # 171 Li, Mike Altera
roposed ResponseResponse StatusW[Editor's note: Subclause changed from 3.1.6.1 to 83D.3.1.6.1]	Comment Type TR Comment Status D DC Common Mode Voltage is missing CommentEnd: 54
83E SC 83E.3.1.6.1 P 170 L 26 # 153 awe, Piers Mellanox	SuggestedRemedy Add DC Common Mode Voltage -350 mv (min), 2850 mV (max)
omment Type TR Comment Status D CTLE consistency. This OIF-like reference equalizer and the one used in 802.3bj differ: this like the one in 83D has poles at 14.1 and 15 to 19 GHz; that has poles at 6.4 and 26 GHz. The difference is an impediment to making and testing dual-purpose electrical receivers, and I have not seen a justification for the difference.	Proposed Response Response Status O
lagested Remedy	

Can these two be made consistent enough? As the OIF equalizer was established earlier and has been studied more, is it preferable, and is it suitable for bj?

Proposed Response Response Status **0**

C/ 83E SC 83E.3.2

C/ 83E SC 83E.3.2.1

Petrilla. John

P 172 Avago Technologies



Comment Status D Comment Type Е

Since Table 83E-3 defines Eve width and not jitter, it seems more accurate and less confusing to refer to eye width and not jitter in subsequent subclauses, e.g. "83E.3.2.1 Module output iitter and eve height" and "Figure 83E-11-Example module output iitter and eye height test configuration" as well as several instances within 83E.3.2.1

L1

SuggestedRemedy

Change "83E.3.2.1 Module output jitter and eye height" and "Figure 83E-11-Example module output jitter and eye height test configuration" to "83E.3.2.1 Module output eye width and eve height" and "Figure 83E-11-Example module output eve width and eve height test configuration"

Within 83E.3.2.1 replace "output jitter" with "output eye width" two times. Within 83E.3.2.1.1 replace "output iitter" with "output eve width" once.

Change "83E.3.1.6 Host output jitter and eye height" and "Figure 83E-9-Example host output iitter and eve height test configuration" to "83E.3.1.6 Host output eve width and eve height" and "Figure 83E-9-Example host output eye width and eye height test configuration"

Within 83E.3.1.6 replace "output jitter" with "output eye width" two times. Within 83E.3.1.6.1 replace "output jitter" with "output eye width" once.

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 83E	SC 83E.3.2.1	P 172	L 11	# 143
Dawe, Piers	3	Mellanox		

Comment Type **T** Comment Status D

The transition time of 10 ps is the fastest a host is allowed. But the worst case for which we want the module's output to perform is with a high loss host trace, where the crosstalk transition time will be greater. Also, I don't think it's feasible to get 10 ps out of the mated compliance boards without using emphasis in the crosstalk generators, which is an unnecessary expense.

We keep the spec consistent by using the same crosstalk in output spec as in the corresponding stressed input spec.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change 10 ps to what would be obtained from a reasonable pattern generator without emphasis, through the mated compliance boards and the usual observation filter. Change the 10 ps in 83E.3.3.3.1 similarly.

For the 9.5 ps in 83E.3.1.6 - the module doesn't need emphasis to counteract the MCB and connector loss because the measurement CTLE does that for it. So to reduce test costs, change this also to what would be obtained from a reasonable pattern generator without emphasis, through the mated compliance boards and the usual observation filter. Change the 9.5 ps in 83E.3.4.2.1 similarly.

C/ 83E	SC 83E.3.3	P 173	L 1	# 120
Dawe, Pier	S	Mellanox		
Comment .	Tvpe E	Comment Status D		

Response Status **O**

This says "specifications defined in Table 83E-4 when measured at TP4a" but some table entries are measured at TP4, as noted.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a column "Test point" with entries TP4a and TP4 as appropriate. Delete "Subclause". Delete "at TP4a" twice. Similarly for module input.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 83E SC 83E.3.3

CI 83E SC 83E.3.3 Dawe, Piers	P 173 Mellanox	L 6	# 121	C/ 83E SC 83E.3.3.2 P 174 L 24 # 131 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Co Table could be laid out better	omment Status D			Comment Type ER Comment Status D Completing implementation of D1.1 comment 136.
SuggestedRemedy As it doesn't add anything, au "Subclause". Select table, re Proposed Response Res				SuggestedRemedy Change Receiver input return loss to Differential input return loss Figure 83E-13, change
Cl 83E SC 83E.3.3.1 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR Co Need two BERs (with and with SuggestedRemedy Change The CAUI-4 chip-to-module H than 1e-15 for an input signat to When the host will provide FI	nost input is defined to c I defined by 83E.3.3.3.	perate at a bit e	error ratio (BER) better	Receiver differential to common mode conversion input return loss to Differential to common mode conversion input return loss Table 83E-5, change Host stressed receiver parameters to Host stressed input parameters Also, to avoid confusion and for consistency with figures 83E-9, 11 and 14, in Figure 83E-15, delete the inner box "Module Tx Module Rx", but show that it's AC coupled by indicating capacitors as in Figure 83E-11. Proposed Response Response Status O
input is defined to operate at defined by 83E.3.3.3. When the host will not alway module host input is defined input signal defined by 83E.3	a bit error ratio (BER) b s provide FEC correctio to operate at a bit error	etter than 2.5e- n (CAUI-4u), the	6 for an input signal e CAUI-4 chip-to-	Cl 83E SC 83E.3.3.2 P 175 L 10 # 51 Ghiasi, Ali Independent Independent Comment Type TR Comment Status D Receiver differential to common mode conversion should follow mated compliance board response as well as TP4a SDD11 response. Flat line specification unrealistic SuggestedRemedy Define SCDxx 6 dB better than SDD response defined by Eq 83E-5 Proposed Response

Proposed Response Response Status W

[Editor's note: Subclause changed from 3.3.2 to 83D.3.3.2]

C/ 83E SC 83E.3.3.2

IEEE P802.3bm D2.0 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s Fiber O	ptic TF Initial Working Group ballot comments
---	---

C/ 83E SC 83E.3.3.3 P 175 L 27 # 144 Dawe, Piers Mellanox	C/ 83E SC 83E.3.3.1 P 175 L 46 # 145 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type T Comment Status D "test is characterized using the procedure" doesn't make sense. Use standards language.	Comment Type T Comment Status D Use measurement/standards language.
SuggestedRemedy Change "The host stressed input test is characterized using the procedure" to "Host stressed input tolerance is defined by the procedure". Similarly in 83E.3.4.2. Proposed Response Response Status O	SuggestedRemedy Change characterized characterize characterization (in Fig 83E-14) with calibrated calibrate calibration . Similarly in 83E.3.4.2.1 Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 83E SC 83E.3.3.3 P 175 L 37 # 127 Dawe, Piers Mellanox	C/ 83E SC 83E.3.3.3.1 P 175 L 46 # 132 Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Layout.	This says "Pattern 4 (PRBS9) as defined in Table 86-11" yet Table 86-11 doesn't define it:
Make the left column wide enough for its contents. Also Table 83E-8.	it says "Pattern defined in 83.5.10", and 83.5.10 says "a PRBS9 pattern (as defined in Table 68-6)". Likewise in 83E.3.1.6, "Patterns 3 and 5 are defined in Table 86-11.", but Table 86-11 says they are defined in 83.5.10 and 82.2.10 (and that's not right for RS-FEC encoded Pattern 5 anyway): 83.5.10 says PRBS31 is defined in 49.2.8. Don't waste the reader's time.
	it says "Pattern defined in 83.5.10", and 83.5.10 says "a PRBS9 pattern (as defined in Table 68-6)". Likewise in 83E.3.1.6, "Patterns 3 and 5 are defined in Table 86-11.", but Table 86-11 says they are defined in 83.5.10 and 82.2.10 (and that's not right for RS-FEC encoded Pattern 5

C/ 83E SC 83E.3.3.3.1

	3.3.1 <i>P</i> 175	L 48	# 12		83E.3.3.3		P 176	L 25	# 123
Petrilla, John	Avago Techno	logies		Dawe, Piers		Μ	ellanox		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			Comment Type	Е	Comment Sta	tus D		
	nistic sinusoidal jitter" used to m I be defined and the Sinusoidal .			Inefficient la	yout.				
changed to Determin	nistic Sinusoidal Jitter. See also	83E.3.4.2.1. If	here are two different	SuggestedReme	•				
types of SJ needed f diagrams	or this test procedure, then show	uld there e anoth	er block in the block	Please mov figure. Also		ed box with the ke 83E-15.	ey up and to	the left, reduce	the height of the
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Respo	onse	Response Stat	us O		
	nistic sinusoidal jitter" is used to a definition and change the Sine								
and 83E-15 to Deter	ministic Sinusoidal Jitter and ad	d another Sinuso	bidal Jitter block where	C/ 83E SC	83E.3.3.3	3.1	P 177	L 3	# 125
appropriate. Otherw 83E.3.3.3.1 and 83E	ise change "deterministic sinuso	oidal jitter" to "sir	usoidal jitter" in	Dawe, Piers		М	ellanox		
Proposed Response	Response Status 0			Comment Type	Е	Comment Sta	tus D		
				There is no	"minimum e	eye height" in Tat	ole 83E-5.		
				SuggestedReme	edy				
83E SC 83E.3.		L 15	# 162	Delete "mini	mum". (831	E.3.4.2.1 doesn't	need fixing.)	1	
awe, Piers	Mellanox			Proposed Respo	onse	Response Stat	us O		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D	and the solution							
28G-VSR where app	s effort to set up so, to contain co propriate.	osis, il snould de	Consistent with CEI-	C/ 83E SC	83E.3.3.3	8.1	P 177	L 9	# 140
CEL 28C V/SP door	n't have the low pass filter or limi	ter but has a UB	HPJ source.	Dawe, Piers		М	ellanox		
CEI-20G-VSR duesi									
uggestedRemedy				Comment Type	т	Comment Sta	tus D		
uggestedRemedy Consider if UBHPJ is	s a lower cost and acceptable su	Ibstitute for the l	ow pass filter and	We don't us	ually allow	any valid signal fo	or the signal		
uggestedRemedy Consider if UBHPJ is limiter.	s a lower cost and acceptable su			We don't us But, as aske	ually allow ed before, s	any valid signal fo shouldn't we allow	or the signal Remote Fa	ult, because that	t's what a port shoul
uggestedRemedy Consider if UBHPJ is limiter. We may need a low				We don't us But, as aske transmit whe	ually allow ed before, s en receiving	any valid signal fo	or the signal Remote Fa	ult, because that	t's what a port shoul
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Consider if UBHPJ is limiter. We may need a low	pass filter after any limiter to adj			We don't us But, as aske	ually allow ed before, s en receiving	any valid signal fo shouldn't we allow	or the signal Remote Fa	ult, because that	t's what a port shoul
SuggestedRemedy Consider if UBHPJ is limiter. We may need a low Proposed Response	pass filter after any limiter to adj Response Status O		· 	We don't us But, as aske transmit whe <i>SuggestedReme</i> Change Pattern 5 (w	ually allow ed before, s en receiving edy	any valid signal fo shouldn't we allow	or the signal Remote Fa er-propagatir	ult, because tha ng crosstalk sign	t's what a port shoul als?
SuggestedRemedy Consider if UBHPJ is limiter. We may need a low Proposed Response	pass filter after any limiter to adj Response Status O	ust VEC anyway		We don't us But, as aske transmit whe SuggestedReme Change Pattern 5 (w to	ually allow ed before, s en receiving edy ith or witho	any valid signal fo houldn't we allow g PRBS31 counte	or the signal Remote Fa er-propagatir), Pattern 3 d	ult, because thang crosstalk sign or a valid 100GE	t's what a port shoul als?
uggestedRemedy Consider if UBHPJ is limiter. We may need a low troposed Response	pass filter after any limiter to adj Response Status O 3.3.1 P 176	ust VEC anyway	· 	We don't us But, as aske transmit whe SuggestedReme Change Pattern 5 (w to Pattern 5 (w or	ually allow ed before, s en receiving edy ith or witho ith or witho	any valid signal fo shouldn't we allow g PRBS31 counte out FEC encoding out FEC encoding	or the signal Remote Fa er-propagatir), Pattern 3 () or Pattern 3	ult, because tha ng crosstalk sign or a valid 100GE 3	t's what a port shoul als? BASE-R signal
SuggestedRemedy Consider if UBHPJ is limiter. We may need a low Proposed Response Cl 83E SC 83E.3.3 Dawe, Piers	pass filter after any limiter to adj Response Status O 3.3.1 P 176 Mellanox	ust VEC anyway	· 	We don't us But, as aske transmit whe SuggestedReme Change Pattern 5 (w to Pattern 5 (w or	ually allow ed before, s en receiving edy ith or witho ith or witho	any valid signal fo shouldn't we allow g PRBS31 counte out FEC encoding out FEC encoding	or the signal Remote Fa er-propagatir), Pattern 3 () or Pattern 3	ult, because tha ng crosstalk sign or a valid 100GE 3	t's what a port shoul als?
Consider if UBHPJ is limiter. We may need a low proposed Response 8 83E SC 83E.3.3 hawe, Piers comment Type E	pass filter after any limiter to adj Response Status O 3.3.1 P 176 Mellanox	ust VEC anyway	· 	We don't us But, as aske transmit whe SuggestedReme Change Pattern 5 (w to Pattern 5 (w or Pattern 5 (w	ually allow ed before, s en receiving edy ith or witho ith or witho	any valid signal fo shouldn't we allow g PRBS31 counte out FEC encoding out FEC encoding	or the signal Remote Fa er-propagatir), Pattern 3 () or Pattern 3), Remote Fa	ult, because tha ng crosstalk sign or a valid 100GE 3	t's what a port shoul als? BASE-R signal
uggestedRemedy Consider if UBHPJ is limiter. We may need a low troposed Response 8 83E SC 83E.3.3 awe, Piers comment Type E Two blank lines. uggestedRemedy	pass filter after any limiter to adj Response Status O 3.3.1 P 176 Mellanox	ust VEC anyway <i>L</i> 25	· 	We don't us But, as aske transmit whe SuggestedReme Change Pattern 5 (w or Pattern 5 (w Pattern 5 (w Pattern 3	ually allow ed before, s en receiving edy ith or witho ith or witho	any valid signal for shouldn't we allow g PRBS31 counter out FEC encoding out FEC encoding out FEC encoding	or the signal Remote Fa er-propagatir), Pattern 3 () or Pattern 3), Remote Fa	ult, because tha ng crosstalk sign or a valid 100GE 3	t's what a port shoul als? BASE-R signal

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 83E SC 83E.3.3.3.1 Page 22 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:22

2/ 83E 3 Dawe, Piers	SC 83E.3.4	P 177 Mellanox	L	# 165	C/ 83E SC 83	3E.3.4	P 177 Mellanox	L 36	# 163
comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status D				TR Con	nment Status D		
21	test points rig				Table 83E-1 co	onstrains the ho	st DC common-mode		
uggestedRer					SuggestedRemedy	•			
Differentia Mode Volt nPPI, to d	al pk-pk input v tage at TP1 bu lefine single-e t be better dor	mode input return loss (min) s voltage tolerance (min) at TP ut it would be more practical, nded voltage and DC commo ne with a test point column, a	1a (footnote b). and consistent on-mode voltage	OIF has Common with Table 83E-1 and at TP1a (footnote b).	Add rows for D 50 mV insets th Add footnote sa	C common-mod nat OIF uses). aying that DC c e-ended voltag	ommon-mode input vo e tolerance" to "Single	ltage is generate	
Proposed Res	sponse	Response Status 0			Proposed Respons	e Resp	oonse Status O		
						3E.3.4.1	P 178	L 45	# 167
	SC 83E.3.4	P 177	L 17	# 172	Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
, Mike		Altera			21		nment Status D		
omment Typ		Comment Status D			Need two BER	s (with and with	out FEC protection) p	er another comm	ent.
Comment		tage is missing			SuggestedRemedy	,			
<i>IggestedRei</i> Add DC C	-	e Voltage -350 mv (min), 2850) mV (max)		Change The CAUI-4 mo for an input sign		efined to operate at a l 33E.3.3.3.	oit error ratio (BE	R) better than 1e-15
roposed Res	sponse	Response Status O			100GBASE-SR	(4), the CAUI-4	ide FEC correction (C module input is define signal defined by 83E	d to operate at a	
/ 83E Sudek, Mike	SC 83E.3.4	<i>P</i> 177 QLogic	L 31	# 107	When the link p type is 100GBA	oartner will not a SE-LR4), the 0		prrection (CAUI-4 defined to operation	u - e.g. when the PHY ate at a bit error ratio
omment Typ	e TR	Comment Status D			. ,			Dy 03E.3.4.2.	
Differentia	al input return	mon mode input return loss s loss). It isn't measureable at			Proposed Respons	e Resp	oonse Status O		
	-	should be defined at TP1a			C/ 83E SC 8	3E.3.4.2	P 179	L 23	# 24
IggestedRei	-	ference for the differential to	common modo	input roturn loss	Latchman, Ryan		Mindspeed		
		the "Differential pk-pk input			Comment Type	TR Con	nment Status D		
oposed Res	•	Response Status W	-				e frequency depender ould have loss informa		
	iole: Clause Cl	nanged from 177 to 83E]			SuggestedRemedy	,			
[⊑uitor s h					Implement cha	naos in latebras	m 01 120012 CALL		
i⊏uitoi s n					implement cha	nges in latenna	an_01_120913_CAUI	o address this.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/83EPage 23 of 38COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawnSC83E.34.222/12/2013 11:21:22SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

83E SC 83E.3.4 awe, Piers	4.2.1 <i>P</i> 177 Mellanox	L 14	# 141	Cl 83E SC 83E.4.2 Dawe, Piers	P 179 Mellanox	L 51	# 118
	Comment Status D effort to set up so, to contain c	osts, it should be	consistent with CEI-	<i>Comment Type</i> E The follow procedure	Comment Status D		
28G-VSR. CEI-28G-VSR doesn	't have the low pass filter or lim	iter but has a UE	HPJ source.	SuggestedRemedy			
uggestedRemedy				The following procedur			
Consider if UBHPJ is limiter.	a lower cost and acceptable s	ubstitute for the I	ow pass filter and	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
roposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 83E SC 83E.4.2 Dawe, Piers	P 179 Mellanox	L 53	# 139
83E SC 83E.3.4	4.2.1 <i>P</i> 178	L 49	# 164	Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
awe, Piers comment Type TR	Mellanox Comment Status D				me CRU bandwidth for host a I clock, so its low frequency ji		
	requency dependent attenuator Bessel-Thomson filter would not		SR has done since		jitter bandwidth should be re affects the applied SJ in Tabl		
,			and and man ha	parameters, and 83D.			
	y-dependent attenuator represe CB traces (a Bessel-Thomson fill			Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
roposed Response	Response Status O						
83E SC 83E.4.2	2 <i>P</i> 179	L 46	# 136				
awe, Piers	Mellanox						
omment Type T	Comment Status D						
to justify "eye contou	Module eye contour measuren r" (and we don't need contours ement method" as we have des	to find eye width	and eye height).				
uggestedRemedy							
or simply	e width and eye height calculat	ion method"					
	eight calculation method".						
roposed Response	Response Status 0						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 83E SC 83E.4.2

Cl 83E Dawe, Pier	SC 83E.4.2	P 180 Mellanox	L 17	# 154	C/ 83E SC 83I Latchman, Ryan	E.4.2	P 180 Mindspeed	L 3	# 22
checki use th The m goes v	BASE-SR4 always ing will be in the ho at FEC benefit in c odule supports a p vith FEC-protected	Comment Status D uses FEC. In a new QSFP- ost. 100GBASE-CR4 always chip-to-module CAUI-4: oarticular PMD type which us d C2M CAUI-4 which doesn' goes with present draft 1e-1	s has FEC in the ses FEC or it doe t need to work / b	host too. So we can esn't. 100GBASE-SR4	SuggestedRemedy Implement chang Proposed Response	Ild be evaluated w ges in latchman_(<i>Respon</i> s	ent Status D vith its recommend 01_120913_CAUI se Status W	-	
which more i I belie will reo standa The co	translates into cos nteresting with 16- ve these with-FEC duce confusion if II ard.	and without-FEC variants we EEE acknowledges that and hort packets for 2.5e-6 is [Ti	h density 100G e vill exist in the ma I provides the sta	equipment (also, 4x arket whatever, but it bility of a good	Cl 83E SC 83I Dawe, Piers Comment Type T Apply respective		P 180 Mellanox ent Status D	L 3	# [134
FEC-p EH6 a	e two classes of C2 protected one with: nd EW6 in place c	2M CAUI-4. The one without of EH15 and EW15, with san 6 of the 5e-5 that delivers 16	ne limits.	max 1e-15), and the	SuggestedRemedy Apply the approp Proposed Response		eceiver including C se Status O	TLE	
We co for the At line eye wi	uld name the two unprotected inter 17, change "The	flavours CAUI-4p for the RS face. eye width is then given by E r CAUI-4u, the eye width is g	-FEC protected in quation (83E-7)"	to "For CAUI-4p, the	C/ 83E SC 83I Dawe, Piers Comment Type E PICS doesn't ma	E Comme	P 183 Mellanox ent Status D clause: there is no	L 37	# [<u>117</u>
	Response 's note: tilde charc	Response Status W cater changed to [Tilde] in Co	omment text]		SuggestedRemedy	·	in part of the claus		
<i>Cl</i> 83E Dawe, Pier	SC 83E.4.2	P 180 Mellanox	L 25	# 126	Proposed Response	Respons	se Status O		
Comment We do histog	on't want to make h	Comment Status D histograms of the signal's an (its voltage). Aligning with 0	າplitude (its swinເ CEI-28G-VSR.	g). We want	C/ 85 SC 85. Booth, Brad	.3	P 65 Microsoft	L 27	# 59
Suggester	Remedy	tage, 3 times.			This paragraph is	s talking about ex	ent Status D tension in relation		otiation and the JI-10, not CAUI-n.
•••	je amplitude to vol	-			number of lanes,		Would be exteride		
•••		Response Status O			SuggestedRemedy Change instance	es of CAUI-n to be	e CAUI-10.		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/85Page 25 of 38COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawnSC85.322/12/2013 11:21:22SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 86 SC 86.1 Trowbridge, Steve	P 67 Alcatel-Lucent	L 37	# 111	C/ 86 SC 86.1 Booth, Brad	P 68 Microsoft	L 2	# 60
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Comment Type ER	Comment Status D		
References to Annex	kes 83B and i3E explicitly say "C BD explicitly say "Chip-to-chip"?	hip-to-module".	Should References to	Optional may be opt	tionally? EEE is defined as optic correct wording to be succinct.	onal. The use of	the word "may" also
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
	ip-to-chip" to the references to A f this throughout the clauses.	nnexes 83A and	d 83D in Table 86-1.	Change to read: 40GBASE-SR4 and	100GBASE-SR10 PHYs with E	Energy Efficient E	thernet (EEE)
Proposed Response	Response Status O				the fast wake Low Power Idle (tilization (see Clause 78).	(LPI) mode to co	nserve energy during
				Proposed Response	Response Status W		
C/ 86 SC 86.1	P 67	L 45	# 113				
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			C/ 87 SC 87.1	P 69	L 46	# 61
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			Booth, Brad	Microsoft		
In this table the rows	are in clause/annex number ord	ler, whether nor	mative or not (this is		Comment Status D		
	layer stack) - except 78 EEE. For the PMD.	or 40GBASE-SF	R4 and 100GBASE-	Comment Type ER Optional may option			
also the order in the SR10, EEE is above		or 40GBASE-SF	R4 and 100GBASE-				
also the order in the SR10, EEE is above SuggestedRemedy Place 78 EEE in the would be easier to go		n heading is "As r order. Howev	ssociated clause", it	Optional may option SuggestedRemedy 40GBASE-LR4 and	ally bad wording. 40GBASE-ER4 PHYs with Ene the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode		
also the order in the SR10, EEE is above SuggestedRemedy Place 78 EEE in the would be easier to go used layer stack orde	the PMD. correct place. As the first colum	n heading is "As r order. Howev	ssociated clause", it	Optional may option SuggestedRemedy 40GBASE-LR4 and capability may enter	ally bad wording. 40GBASE-ER4 PHYs with Ene the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode		
also the order in the SR10, EEE is above SuggestedRemedy Place 78 EEE in the would be easier to go used layer stack orde Proposed Response	the PMD. correct place. As the first colum o with strict clause/annex numbe er. Either way, EEE comes befo	n heading is "As r order. Howev	ssociated clause", it	Optional may option SuggestedRemedy 40GBASE-LR4 and capability may enter low link utilization (s	ally bad wording. 40GBASE-ER4 PHYs with Ene the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode ee Clause 78).		
also the order in the SR10, EEE is above SuggestedRemedy Place 78 EEE in the would be easier to go used layer stack orde Proposed Response	the PMD. ´ correct place. As the first colum o with strict clause/annex numbe er. Either way, EEE comes befo <i>Response Status</i> O	n heading is "As r order. Howev re/above PMD.	ssociated clause", it er, other clauses have	Optional may option SuggestedRemedy 40GBASE-LR4 and capability may enter low link utilization (s Proposed Response	ally bad wording. 40GBASE-ER4 PHYs with Ene the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode ee Clause 78). <i>Response Status</i> O	e to conserve ene	ergy during periods c
also the order in the SR10, EEE is above SuggestedRemedy Place 78 EEE in the would be easier to go used layer stack orde Proposed Response	the PMD. ´ correct place. As the first colum o with strict clause/annex numbe er. Either way, EEE comes befo <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 67	n heading is "As r order. Howev re/above PMD.	ssociated clause", it er, other clauses have	Optional may option SuggestedRemedy 40GBASE-LR4 and capability may enter low link utilization (s Proposed Response C/ 87 SC 87.1	ally bad wording. 40GBASE-ER4 PHYs with Ene the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode ee Clause 78). <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 69	e to conserve ene	ergy during periods o
also the order in the SR10, EEE is above SuggestedRemedy Place 78 EEE in the would be easier to go used layer stack orde Proposed Response C/ 86 SC 86.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T The PMD sublayer ha	the PMD. ´ correct place. As the first colum o with strict clause/annex numbe er. Either way, EEE comes befo <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 67 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> D as no choice in whether it suppo ing fast wake without recourse to	n heading is "As r order. Howev re/above PMD. <i>L</i> 50 rts EEE or not, a	ssociated clause", it er, other clauses have # 77	Optional may option SuggestedRemedy 40GBASE-LR4 and capability may enter low link utilization (s Proposed Response Cl 87 SC 87.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T The PMD sublayer H decide to operate us	ally bad wording. 40GBASE-ER4 PHYs with Ene the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode ee Clause 78). <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 69 Cisco	L 46	# <mark>78</mark> as the PCS may
also the order in the I SR10, EEE is above SuggestedRemedy Place 78 EEE in the would be easier to go used layer stack orde Proposed Response Cl 86 SC 86.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T The PMD sublayer hadecide to operate usi additional paragraph	the PMD. ´ correct place. As the first colum o with strict clause/annex numbe er. Either way, EEE comes befo <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 67 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> D as no choice in whether it suppo ing fast wake without recourse to	n heading is "As r order. Howev re/above PMD. <i>L</i> 50 rts EEE or not, a	ssociated clause", it er, other clauses have # 77	Optional may option SuggestedRemedy 40GBASE-LR4 and capability may enter low link utilization (s Proposed Response Cl 87 SC 87.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T The PMD sublayer H decide to operate us	ally bad wording. 40GBASE-ER4 PHYs with Ene the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode ee Clause 78). <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 69 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> D has no choice in whether it supp sing fast wake without recourse	L 46	# <mark>78</mark> as the PCS may
also the order in the I SR10, EEE is above SuggestedRemedy Place 78 EEE in the would be easier to go used layer stack orde Proposed Response Cl 86 SC 86.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T The PMD sublayer had decide to operate usi additional paragraph SuggestedRemedy	the PMD. ´ correct place. As the first colum o with strict clause/annex numbe er. Either way, EEE comes befo <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 67 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> D as no choice in whether it suppo ing fast wake without recourse to	n heading is "As r order. Howev re/above PMD. <i>L</i> 50 rts EEE or not, a	ssociated clause", it er, other clauses have # 77	Optional may option SuggestedRemedy 40GBASE-LR4 and capability may enter low link utilization (s Proposed Response Cl 87 SC 87.1 Barrass, Hugh Comment Type T The PMD sublayer H decide to operate us additional paragraph SuggestedRemedy	ally bad wording. 40GBASE-ER4 PHYs with Ene the Low Power Idle (LPI) mode ee Clause 78). <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 69 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> D has no choice in whether it supp sing fast wake without recourse	L 46	# <mark>78</mark> as the PCS may

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line CI 87 SC 87.1

Page 26 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:22

CI 87 SC 87.7.1	P 73	L 6	# 62	C/ 89 SC 89.1	P 85	L 35	# 80
Booth, Brad	Microsoft			Barrass, Hugh	Cisco		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
Paragraph could be sh Same applies to 87.7.	hortened to be more succinct.	(Technical becau	use a shall is involved.)		s no choice in whether it supp ng fast wake without recourse n 89 1 is superflous		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change paragraph in	87.7.1 to read:				paragraph at the end of 89.1		
The 40GBASE-LR4 tr	ransmitter and the 40GBASE- in Table 87–7 per the definition		shall meet the	Proposed Response	Response Status O		
Change paragraph in The 40GBASE-LR4 re	87.7.2 to read: eceiver and the 40GBASE-ER	4 receiver shall r	neet the specifications	C/ 89 SC 89.1	P 85	L 36	# 65
	per the definitions in 87.8.			Booth, Brad	Microsoft		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Comment Type ER	Comment Status D		
				Optional may optional	ly bad wording.		
88 SC 88.1	D.00		# a :				
100 30 00.1	P 83	L 40	# 64	SuggestedRemedy			
	P 83 Microsoft	L 40	# 64	40GBASE-FR PHYs v	with the Energy Efficient Ether		
ooth, Brad		L 40	# 64	40GBASE-FR PHYs v enter the Low Power I	Idle (LPI) mode to conserve e		
ooth, Brad	Microsoft Comment Status D	L 40	# 64	40GBASE-FR PHYs v enter the Low Power I utilization (see Clause	Idle (LPI) mode to conserve e 9 78).		
Sooth, Brad	Microsoft Comment Status D	L 4 0	# 64	40GBASE-FR PHYs v enter the Low Power I	Idle (LPI) mode to conserve e		
cooth, Brad Comment Type ER Optional may optional CuggestedRemedy 100GBASE-LR4 and	Microsoft Comment Status D ly bad wording. 100GBASE-ER4 PHYs with the	e Energy Efficier	t Ethernet (EEE) fast	40GBASE-FR PHYs v enter the Low Power I utilization (see Clause Proposed Response	Idle (LPI) mode to conserve e 78). <i>Response Status</i> O	nergy during peri	ods of low link
ooth, Brad comment Type ER Optional may optional uggestedRemedy 100GBASE-LR4 and wake capability may e	Microsoft Comment Status D ly bad wording. 100GBASE-ER4 PHYs with the enter the Low Power Idle (LPI)	e Energy Efficier	t Ethernet (EEE) fast	40GBASE-FR PHYs v enter the Low Power I utilization (see Clause Proposed Response Cl 95 SC 95	Idle (LPI) mode to conserve e e 78). <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 95		
ooth, Brad omment Type ER Optional may optional uggestedRemedy 100GBASE-LR4 and wake capability may e periods of low link utili	Microsoft Comment Status D Ily bad wording. 100GBASE-ER4 PHYs with the enter the Low Power Idle (LPI) ization (see Clause 78).	e Energy Efficier	t Ethernet (EEE) fast	40GBASE-FR PHYs v enter the Low Power I utilization (see Clause Proposed Response Cl 95 SC 95 Dawe, Piers	Idle (LPI) mode to conserve e e 78). <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 95 Mellanox	nergy during peri	ods of low link
ooth, Brad comment Type ER Optional may optional <i>tuggestedRemedy</i> 100GBASE-LR4 and wake capability may e periods of low link utili	Microsoft Comment Status D ly bad wording. 100GBASE-ER4 PHYs with the enter the Low Power Idle (LPI)	e Energy Efficier	t Ethernet (EEE) fast	40GBASE-FR PHYs v enter the Low Power I utilization (see Clause Proposed Response Cl 95 SC 95 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR	Idle (LPI) mode to conserve e 78). Response Status O P 95 Mellanox Comment Status D	nergỳ during peri L 4	ods of low link # [<u>152</u>
both, Brad comment Type ER Optional may optional uggestedRemedy 100GBASE-LR4 and wake capability may e periods of low link utili roposed Response	Microsoft <i>Comment Status</i> D Ily bad wording. 100GBASE-ER4 PHYs with the enter the Low Power Idle (LPI) ization (see Clause 78). <i>Response Status</i> O	e Energy Efficier mode to conserv	at Ethernet (EEE) fast re energy during	40GBASE-FR PHYs v enter the Low Power I utilization (see Clause Proposed Response C/ 95 SC 95 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR We have found and co	Idle (LPI) mode to conserve e e 78). <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 95 Mellanox	L 4 L 4 Om Clause 87 th	ods of low link # [<u>152</u>
ooth, Brad comment Type ER Optional may optional uggestedRemedy 100GBASE-LR4 and 7 wake capability may e periods of low link utili proposed Response	Microsoft <i>Comment Status</i> D Ily bad wording. 100GBASE-ER4 PHYs with the enter the Low Power Idle (LPI) ization (see Clause 78). <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 83	e Energy Efficier	t Ethernet (EEE) fast	40GBASE-FR PHYs v enter the Low Power I utilization (see Clause Proposed Response Cl 95 SC 95 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR We have found and co what's in 86 is prefera	Idle (LPI) mode to conserve e 78). Response Status O P 95 Mellanox Comment Status D porrected some items copied fr	L 4 L 4 Om Clause 87 th	ods of low link # [<u>152</u>
Cooth, Brad Comment Type ER Optional may optional SuggestedRemedy 100GBASE-LR4 and C wake capability may e periods of low link utili Proposed Response	Microsoft <i>Comment Status</i> D Ily bad wording. 100GBASE-ER4 PHYs with the inter the Low Power Idle (LPI) ization (see Clause 78). <i>Response Status</i> O P83 Cisco	e Energy Efficier mode to conserv	at Ethernet (EEE) fast re energy during	40GBASE-FR PHYs v enter the Low Power I utilization (see Clause Proposed Response Cl 95 SC 95 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR We have found and co what's in 86 is prefera SuggestedRemedy Compare Clause 95 a	Idle (LPI) mode to conserve e e 78). Response Status O P 95 Mellanox Comment Status D prected some items copied fr ible. We need to check if ther against Clause 86. This is bes	L 4 L a om Clause 87 the e are any more.	eds of low link # <u>152</u> at don't apply, and
Cooth, Brad Comment Type ER Optional may optional SuggestedRemedy 100GBASE-LR4 and C wake capability may e periods of low link utili Proposed Response Cl 88 SC 88.1 Garrass, Hugh Comment Type T	Microsoft <i>Comment Status</i> D Ily bad wording. 100GBASE-ER4 PHYs with the enter the Low Power Idle (LPI) ization (see Clause 78). <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 83 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> D	e Energy Efficien mode to conserv	t Ethernet (EEE) fast re energy during # 79	40GBASE-FR PHYs v enter the Low Power I utilization (see Clause Proposed Response Cl 95 SC 95 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR We have found and co what's in 86 is prefera SuggestedRemedy Compare Clause 95 a Correct unwanted disc	Idle (LPI) mode to conserve e e 78). Response Status O P 95 Mellanox Comment Status D prected some items copied fr ible. We need to check if ther against Clause 86. This is bes	L 4 L a om Clause 87 the e are any more.	eds of low link # <u>152</u> at don't apply, and
Cooth, Brad Comment Type ER Optional may optional SuggestedRemedy 100GBASE-LR4 and 7 wake capability may e periods of low link utili Proposed Response Cl 88 SC 88.1 Earrass, Hugh Comment Type T The PMD sublayer ha	Microsoft <i>Comment Status</i> D Ily bad wording. 100GBASE-ER4 PHYs with the inter the Low Power Idle (LPI) ization (see Clause 78). <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 83 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> D s no choice in whether it support g fast wake without recourse for	e Energy Efficien mode to conserv <i>L</i> 40	t Ethernet (EEE) fast re energy during # 79	40GBASE-FR PHYs v enter the Low Power I utilization (see Clause Proposed Response Cl 95 SC 95 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR We have found and co what's in 86 is prefera SuggestedRemedy Compare Clause 95 a	Idle (LPI) mode to conserve e e 78). Response Status O P 95 Mellanox Comment Status D prected some items copied fr ible. We need to check if ther against Clause 86. This is bes	L 4 L a om Clause 87 the e are any more.	eds of low link # <u>152</u> at don't apply, and
ooth, Brad comment Type ER Optional may optional fuggestedRemedy 100GBASE-LR4 and 7 wake capability may e periods of low link utili troposed Response 7 88 SC 88.1 arrass, Hugh comment Type T The PMD sublayer ha decide to operate usin	Microsoft <i>Comment Status</i> D Ily bad wording. 100GBASE-ER4 PHYs with the inter the Low Power Idle (LPI) ization (see Clause 78). <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 83 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> D s no choice in whether it support g fast wake without recourse for	e Energy Efficien mode to conserv <i>L</i> 40	t Ethernet (EEE) fast re energy during # 79	40GBASE-FR PHYs v enter the Low Power I utilization (see Clause Proposed Response Cl 95 SC 95 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR We have found and co what's in 86 is prefera SuggestedRemedy Compare Clause 95 a Correct unwanted disc	Idle (LPI) mode to conserve e e 78). Response Status O P 95 Mellanox Comment Status D orrected some items copied fr ble. We need to check if ther regainst Clause 86. This is best crepancies.	L 4 L a om Clause 87 the e are any more.	eds of low link # <u>152</u> at don't apply, and
ooth, Brad comment Type ER Optional may optional uggestedRemedy 100GBASE-LR4 and ' wake capability may e periods of low link utili troposed Response 8 88 SC 88.1 arrass, Hugh comment Type T The PMD sublayer ha decide to operate usin additional paragraph in uggestedRemedy	Microsoft <i>Comment Status</i> D Ily bad wording. 100GBASE-ER4 PHYs with the inter the Low Power Idle (LPI) ization (see Clause 78). <i>Response Status</i> O <i>P</i> 83 Cisco <i>Comment Status</i> D s no choice in whether it support g fast wake without recourse for	e Energy Efficien mode to conserv <i>L</i> 40	t Ethernet (EEE) fast re energy during # 79	40GBASE-FR PHYs v enter the Low Power I utilization (see Clause Proposed Response Cl 95 SC 95 Dawe, Piers Comment Type TR We have found and co what's in 86 is prefera SuggestedRemedy Compare Clause 95 a Correct unwanted disc	Idle (LPI) mode to conserve e e 78). Response Status O P 95 Mellanox Comment Status D orrected some items copied fr ble. We need to check if ther regainst Clause 86. This is best crepancies.	L 4 L a om Clause 87 the e are any more.	eds of low link # <u>152</u> at don't apply, and

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 95 SC 95 Page 27 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:22

C/ 95 SC 95.1 Booth, Brad	P 95 Microsoft	L 48	# 66	Cl 95 SC : Ran, Adee	95.12.4.1	P 115 Intel	L 21	# 89
<i>Comment Type</i> ER Optional may optional	Comment Status D				-	<i>comment Status</i> D s of one normative state	ment. Each one d	doesn't make sense
uggestedRemedy				on its own.				
	's with the Energy Efficient Eth Idle (LPI) mode to conserve en			SuggestedRemed	ly	not bits. PMD converts t		cal signals received
Proposed Response	,					pit streams and delivers		
roposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Respon	ise Re	esponse Status O		
C/ 95 SC 95.1	P 95	L 48	# 81					
arrass, Hugh	Cisco				95.12.4.2	P 116	L 16	# 90
comment Type T	Comment Status D			Ran, Adee		Intel		
	s no choice in whether it suppo ng fast wake without recourse t n 95.1 is superflous.			Comment Type CM4 is a dupl (PMD_lane_b	icate of CM3.	Comment Status D There is only one option nit_disable).	al feature	
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemed	ly			
Delete the penultimate	e paragraph of 95.1			Delete CM4, a	and change Cl	M3 status to MD:O.		
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Proposed Respon	ise Re	esponse Status O		
C/ 95 SC 95.11.1	P 110	L 7	# 20		95.5.2	P 99	L 43	# 84
Petrilla, John	Avago Techno	ologies		Ran, Adee		Intel		
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			Comment Type		omment Status D		
	79, and skew variation, 2.4, in			"Bit streams"	make sense. "	Optical signal streams"	don't. These are o	optical signals.
Table 95-11 explains	en SP3 and SP4 in 95.3.2, 80 of the difference for Skew Variation blaining the difference, or if the	on, there is no ex	planation for Skew.	0 1	al signal strea	ms" to "optical signals"		,
SuggestedRemedy				change "each	signal stream	" to "each signal" (once	in 95.5.2 and onc	e in 95.5.3).
Add a note explaining	the difference between the difference is unintentiona			Proposed Respon	ise Re	esponse Status O		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 95 SC 95.5.2 Page 28 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:22

CI 95 SC 95.5.4	P 100	L 11	# 76	C/ 95 SC 95.7.1		L 19	# 16
Szczepanek, Andre	Inphi			Petrilla, John	Avago Te	chnologies	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment Type T	Comment Status D		
The sentence :					ilane transceiver and the spe this is understood, it seems		
"The value of the SIGNAL conditions defined in Tabl	DETECT parameter shall le 95–4. "	l be generated a	ccording to the	introductory sentend	ce. At present some of the a mn and some do not.		
Annlies a "Shall" to table	95-4, which states "AND (c	ompliant 100GB	ASE-SR4 signal	SuggestedRemedy			
input)". But the following s	sentence then says "The PI GBASE-SR4 signal is being	MD receiver is n	ot required to verify	95–6" to "Each la defined in Table 95-	BASE-SR4 transmitter shall ne of a 100GBASE-SR4 trar -6". If accepted, then the the Description column of T	nsmitter shall meet phrase, "each lane	the specifications
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Response	Response Status 0		
	t 100GBASE-SR4 signal inj	put)" from Table	95-4				
Proposed Response	Response Status O			C/ 95 SC 95.7.1	P 102	L 37	# 148
				Dawe, Piers	Mellanox		
/ 95 SC 95.5.7	P 101	L 3	# 49	Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		
,	Independent				of -7.1 dB is based on the 0 R4, although the maximum T		
omment Type ER The way text reads "allow	Independent Comment Status D s all of the optical transmitt	ers to be 3 disal	bled. "	as for 40GBASE-SF way TDP is defined TDP below 1.4 dB (happen in a complia		DP is different. How 4 transmitter is mo f). We should rule (wever, because of the st unlikely to have a out cases that just won'
Comment Type ER The way text reads "allow CuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D		bled. "	as for 40GBASE-SF way TDP is defined TDP below 1.4 dB (happen in a complia SuggestedRemedy	R4, although the maximum T , a very good 100GBASE-SF see dawe_02_0913_optx.pd ant situation so that the spec	DP is different. How 44 transmitter is mo f). We should rule of can be used for dia	wever, because of the st unlikely to have a out cases that just won'
comment Type ER The way text reads "allow uggestedRemedy with "allows all transmit of roposed Response	Comment Status D is all of the optical transmitt	d. "	bled. "	as for 40GBASE-SF way TDP is defined TDP below 1.4 dB (happen in a complia SuggestedRemedy Change the 0.9 dB Change minimum C Make consequent c	R4, although the maximum T , a very good 100GBASE-SF see dawe_02_0913_optx.pd ant situation so that the spec TDP in footnote b to at least 0MA of -7.1 dB to at least -6.0 hanges in receiver specs.	DP is different. How 4 transmitter is mo f). We should rule can be used for dia 1.4 dB. 5 dB.	wever, because of the st unlikely to have a out cases that just won'
The way text reads "allow uggestedRemedy with "allows all transmit of roposed Response [Editor's note: Subclause	Comment Status D as all of the optical transmitt ptical lanes to be 3 disabled <i>Response Status</i> W	d. "	bled. " # 173	as for 40GBASE-SF way TDP is defined TDP below 1.4 dB (happen in a complia SuggestedRemedy Change the 0.9 dB Change minimum C Make consequent c Increase the minimum	R4, although the maximum T , a very good 100GBASE-SF see dawe_02_0913_optx.pd ant situation so that the spec TDP in footnote b to at least MA of -7.1 dB to at least -6.0 hanges in receiver specs. um average powers by the sa	DP is different. How 4 transmitter is mo f). We should rule can be used for dia 1.4 dB. 5 dB.	wever, because of the st unlikely to have a out cases that just won'
Comment Type ER The way text reads "allow uggestedRemedy with "allows all transmit of roposed Response [Editor's note: Subclause 4 95 SC 95.7	Comment Status D vs all of the optical transmitt ptical lanes to be 3 disabled <i>Response Status</i> W changed from 5.7 to 95.5.7	d. " '] <i>L</i> 16		as for 40GBASE-SF way TDP is defined TDP below 1.4 dB (happen in a complia SuggestedRemedy Change the 0.9 dB Change minimum C Make consequent c	R4, although the maximum T , a very good 100GBASE-SF see dawe_02_0913_optx.pd ant situation so that the spec TDP in footnote b to at least 0MA of -7.1 dB to at least -6.0 hanges in receiver specs.	DP is different. How 4 transmitter is mo f). We should rule can be used for dia 1.4 dB. 5 dB.	wever, because of the st unlikely to have a out cases that just won'
The way text reads "allow SuggestedRemedy with "allows all transmit op Proposed Response [Editor's note: Subclause	Comment Status D vs all of the optical transmitt ptical lanes to be 3 disabled <i>Response Status</i> W changed from 5.7 to 95.5.7 <i>P</i> 102	d. " '] <i>L</i> 16		as for 40GBASE-SF way TDP is defined TDP below 1.4 dB (happen in a complia SuggestedRemedy Change the 0.9 dB Change minimum C Make consequent c Increase the minimum	R4, although the maximum T , a very good 100GBASE-SF see dawe_02_0913_optx.pd ant situation so that the spec TDP in footnote b to at least MA of -7.1 dB to at least -6.0 hanges in receiver specs. um average powers by the sa	DP is different. How 4 transmitter is mo f). We should rule can be used for dia 1.4 dB. 5 dB.	wever, because of the st unlikely to have a out cases that just won'
omment Type ER The way text reads "allow uggestedRemedy with "allows all transmit of roposed Response [Editor's note: Subclause / 95 SC 95.7 aki, Jeffery omment Type T There are low latency app	Comment Status D is all of the optical transmitt ptical lanes to be 3 disabled Response Status W changed from 5.7 to 95.5.7 P 102 Juniper Netwo	d. " 7] <i>L</i> 16 orks, Inc. perate a 100GB.	# 173	as for 40GBASE-SF way TDP is defined TDP below 1.4 dB (happen in a complia SuggestedRemedy Change the 0.9 dB Change minimum C Make consequent c Increase the minimum	R4, although the maximum T , a very good 100GBASE-SF see dawe_02_0913_optx.pd ant situation so that the spec TDP in footnote b to at least MA of -7.1 dB to at least -6.0 hanges in receiver specs. um average powers by the sa	DP is different. How 4 transmitter is mo f). We should rule can be used for dia 1.4 dB. 5 dB.	wever, because of the st unlikely to have a out cases that just won'
Comment Type ER The way text reads "allow uggestedRemedy with "allows all transmit of troposed Response [Editor's note: Subclause of 95 SC 95.7 laki, Jeffery Comment Type T There are low latency app FEC disabled. There is n	Comment Status D Is all of the optical transmitt ptical lanes to be 3 disabled Response Status W changed from 5.7 to 95.5.7 P 102 Juniper Netwo Comment Status D oblications that will seek to o	d. " 7] <i>L</i> 16 orks, Inc. perate a 100GB.	# 173	as for 40GBASE-SF way TDP is defined TDP below 1.4 dB (happen in a complia SuggestedRemedy Change the 0.9 dB Change minimum C Make consequent c Increase the minimum	R4, although the maximum T , a very good 100GBASE-SF see dawe_02_0913_optx.pd ant situation so that the spec TDP in footnote b to at least MA of -7.1 dB to at least -6.0 hanges in receiver specs. um average powers by the sa	DP is different. How 4 transmitter is mo f). We should rule can be used for dia 1.4 dB. 5 dB.	wever, because of the st unlikely to have a out cases that just won'
Comment Type ER The way text reads "allow uggestedRemedy with "allows all transmit of troposed Response [Editor's note: Subclause 95 SC 95.7 laki, Jeffery Comment Type T There are low latency app FEC disabled. There is n FEC disabled. uggestedRemedy Add footnote to Table 95-	Comment Status D vs all of the optical transmitt ptical lanes to be 3 disabled Response Status W changed from 5.7 to 95.5.7 P 102 Juniper Netwo Comment Status D olications that will seek to o to stated operating range in 5 stating either "There is no sabled, the required operati	d. " /] <i>L</i> 16 prks, Inc. perate a 100GB. Table 95-5 that prequired opera	# 173 ASE-SR4 link with can be achieved with	as for 40GBASE-SF way TDP is defined TDP below 1.4 dB (happen in a complia SuggestedRemedy Change the 0.9 dB Change minimum C Make consequent c Increase the minimum	R4, although the maximum T , a very good 100GBASE-SF see dawe_02_0913_optx.pd ant situation so that the spec TDP in footnote b to at least MA of -7.1 dB to at least -6.0 hanges in receiver specs. um average powers by the sa	DP is different. How 4 transmitter is mo f). We should rule can be used for dia 1.4 dB. 5 dB.	wever, because of the st unlikely to have a out cases that just won'

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 95 SC 95.7.1 Page 29 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:22

C/ 95 SC 95.7.1	P 102	L 39	# 19	C/ 95	SC 95.7.1	P 102	L 41	# 146
Petrilla, John	Avago Techno	logies		Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
TDP attributes, Trar power in OMA minu BT filter should also impairments due to chromatic dispersion without the effects of 4.96 dB and a filter SuggestedRemedy In Table change the Transmitter and disp Launch power in OM	Comment Status D place TDP with TxVEC is not acc smitter and dispersion penalty (T s TDP (min), should be adjusted be adjusted. The present values chromatic dispersion in the set of n effects are not captured in the T f chromatic dispersion lead to a r 3W of 16.21 GHz versus the prio value for persion penalty (TDP), each lane tA minus TDP (min) from -8 to -7 ange 12.6 GHz to 16.2 GHz. <i>Response Status</i> 0	DP), each lane and in 95.8.5 it s are based on penalties inclu DP test metho nax TDP of 4.0 r 12.61 GHz. (max) from 5 to	e (max) and Launch tem d), the value for the the inclusion of uded in TDP. However, id. Recalculating TDP 08 dB versus the prior	lower tha dawe_0' We need mmfadho SuggestedR Change Consequ Change In receiv In receiv In Table max TDF In Table Other co	P limit is much an that calcula _0513_optx. d to allow 0.2 oc/meetings/n emedy 5 dB to 4 dB ⁻ uent changes: Average laun e specs, char e specs, char 95-8, 100GB, P) from 8.2 dE 95-8, change nsequent cha	change OMA-TDP from -8 dl ch power, each lane (min)? nge Average receive power, e nge Stressed receiver sensitiv ASE-SR4 illustrative link powe 3 to 7.4 dB TBC. Allocation for penalties (for n	I. TDP of 5 is ne ary). dal noise (see ASE-SR4v3a_m B to -7 dB TBC; each lane (min)? /ity (OMA), each er budget, chang	ear to a "cliff" (see hmf.pdf). lane (max)? ge Power budget (for
SuggestedRemedy Provide reference fo		L 39 alue is	# 42	Proposed Re	esponse	Response Status 0		
Proposed Response [Editor's note: Subcl	Response Status W ause changed from 7.1 to 95.7.1]						
SuggestedRemedy Provide reference fo Proposed Response Duplicate of comme	Response Status W		# 43					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 95 SC 95.7.1 Page 30 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:22

C/ 95	SC 95.7.1	P 102	L 41	# 13	C/ 95	SC 95.7.1	P 10
Petrilla, Joh	าท	Avago Techn	ologies		Dawe, Pie	rs	Mellan
accepta does no measu	alculating TDP fo able link margin, ot appear adequa red at the Tx outp	Comment Status D r multiple worst case transm i.e. zero, the ability of TDP t ate. Another metric, TxVEC but, TP2, should be used ins	o predict link ma , based on vetric stead. See petril	rgin for MMF links al eye closure la_01_0114 for more	but fai A 10 s	eye mask may be ils some accepta sided mask will p	Comment Status e suitable for the pure G able transmitters that pa provide a statistically be e mask margin) than a l
that exi that's re	ists with the TDP	s metric will improve the bala metric and removes the pro DP metric. The set of Tx att	blems associate	d with a reference Tx			sidering the range of acc ease Y3.
Suggested	Remedy				Proposed	Response	Response Status
OMA m each la	ninus TDP (min), ane (max) change	DP with TxVEC; 3 times inc change -8 to -8.1. For Trar e 5 to 5.1. In footnote b, the Power budget (for max TDP)	nsmitter and disp re's no need to c	persion penalty (TDP), hange 0.9 dB.	C/ 95 Petrilla, Jo	SC 95.7.1 ohn	P 10 2 Avago
	ange 'Allocation f	for penalties (for max TDP)				onal analysis of	Comment Status worst case transmitters cording to the link mode
	e 95-10, change sion penalty (TxV	'Transmitter and dispersion EC)'	penalty (TDP)' to	o 'Transmitter and		95-6 can lead to	p rejection of otherwise
ln 95.8	.11 change TDP	(occurs twice) to TxVEC					e Transmitter eye mask (6, 0.44, 0.4}" to "{0.31, (
		95.8.5 Transmitter and dispe mitter Vertical Eye Closure f			Proposed	Response	Response Status
		es are updated they will be f	• -	_	C/ 95	SC 95.7.2	P 10
In 95.1 closure		ansmitter and dispersion pe	nalty" with "Trans	smitter vertical eye	Petrilla, Jo		Avago

Proposed Response Response Status 0

----102 L 50 # 156 nox D Gaussian waveforms in the spreadsheet model pass TDP. better measurement (reduced false positives or a hexagon. cceptable transmitters that pass TDP: 0 02 L 50 # 15 o Technologies D rs, ones that provide just sufficient link margin, del, found that the eye mask coordinates in e acceptable transmitters. k definition {X1, X2, X3, Y1, Y2, Y3} from , 0.35, 0.43, 0.36, 0.44, 0.4}" 0 03 L 27 # 14 o Technologies Comment Type **TR** Comment Status D The value, 3.6, for the condition Vertical eye closure penalty (VECP), each lane is only sufficient to capture ISI effects and does include the effects of noise penalties that would be observed when setting this condition. SuggestedRemedy Change the condition Vertical eye closure penalty (VECP), each lane from 3.6 to 4.2. Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 95 SC 95.7.2 P 103 L 27 # 155 Dawe, Piers Mellanox	C/ 95 SC 95.7.2 P 103 L 41 # 45 Ghiasi, Ali Independent Independe
Comment Type TR Comment Status D Are the J2 and J4 values correct? SuggestedRemedy	Comment TypeTRComment StatusDLRM introduced a flawed jitter tolerance metholology where you take credit for transmitterSJ which exist in real system with addition of other stress, but the receiver is only tested unstress SJ
Review them in light of changes to TDP and VECP.	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status O	Add note stress receiver sensitivity that it must be tested SJ as defined by the golden CRU with 10 MHz corner frequency see ghiasi_01_0114
C/ 95 SC 95.7.2 P 103 L 3 # 17 Petrilla, John Avago Technologies 17	Proposed Response Response Status W [Editor's note: Subclause changed from 7.2 to 95.7.2]
Comment Type T Comment Status D	C/ 95 SC 95.7.2 P 103 L 52 # 2
Since SR4 is a multilane transceiver and the specifications in table 95-7 apply to each lane, to ensure that this is understood, it seems appropriate to cover this explicitly in the introductory sentence. At present some of the attributes have the phase, "each lane" in the Description column and some do not.	Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status D Iow-frequency should be hyphenated
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
	7 Change "low frequency" to "low-frequency"
Change "The 100GBASE-SR4 receiver shall meet the specifications defined in Table 95-	
Change "The 100GBASE-SR4 receiver shall meet the specifications defined in Table 95– " to "Each lane of a 100GBASE-SR4 receiver shall meet the specifications defined in Table 95–7". If accepted, then the phrase, "each lane" can be deleted from specific attributes in the Description column of Table 95-7	Proposed Response Response Status O
" to "Each lane of a 100GBASE-SR4 receiver shall meet the specifications defined in Table 95–7". If accepted, then the phrase, "each lane" can be deleted from specific attributes in the Description column of Table 95-7	Proposed Response Response Status O
" to "Each lane of a 100GBASE-SR4 receiver shall meet the specifications defined in Table 95–7". If accepted, then the phrase, "each lane" can be deleted from specific attributes in the Description column of Table 95-7	
" to "Each lane of a 100GBASE-SR4 receiver shall meet the specifications defined in Table 95–7". If accepted, then the phrase, "each lane" can be deleted from specific attributes in the Description column of Table 95-7 Proposed Response Response Status 0	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 95 SC 95.7.3 P 104 L 12 # 158
" to "Each lane of a 100GBASE-SR4 receiver shall meet the specifications defined in Table 95–7". If accepted, then the phrase, "each lane" can be deleted from specific attributes in the Description column of Table 95-7 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 95 SC 95.7.2 P 103 L 30 # 44	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 95 SC 95.7.3 P 104 L 12 # 158 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D With the change to allow a very low extinction ratio, we need to allow an additional 0.2 dB
" to "Each lane of a 100GBASE-SR4 receiver shall meet the specifications defined in Table 95–7". If accepted, then the phrase, "each lane" can be deleted from specific attributes in the Description column of Table 95-7 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 95 SC 95.7.2 P 103 L 30 # 44 Ghiasi, Ali Independent	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 95 SC 95.7.3 P 104 L 12 # 158 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D With the change to allow a very low extinction ratio, we need to allow an additional 0.2 dB in the budget for modal noise (see mmfadhoc/meetings/nov6_13/ModalNoiseIn100GBASE-
" to "Each lane of a 100GBASE-SR4 receiver shall meet the specifications defined in Table 95–7". If accepted, then the phrase, "each lane" can be deleted from specific attributes in the Description column of Table 95-7 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 95 SC 95.7.2 P 103 L 30 # 44 Ghiasi, Ali Independent	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 95 SC 95.7.3 P 104 L 12 # 158 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D With the change to allow a very low extinction ratio, we need to allow an additional 0.2 dB in the budget for modal noise (see mmfadhoc/meetings/nov6_13/ModalNoiseIn100GBASE SR4v3a_mmf.pdf), but the TDP limit should be reduced anyway.
" to "Each lane of a 100GBASE-SR4 receiver shall meet the specifications defined in Table 95–7 Table 95–7 ". If accepted, then the phrase, "each lane" can be deleted from specific attributes in the Description column of Table 95-7 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 95 SC 95.7.2 P 103 L 30 # 44 Ghiasi, Ali Independent Independent Comment Type TR Comment Status D It is not clear how J2 and J4 are measured It is not clear how J2 and J4 are measured It is not clear how J2 and J4 are measured	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 95 SC 95.7.3 P 104 L 12 # 158 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D With the change to allow a very low extinction ratio, we need to allow an additional 0.2 dB in the budget for modal noise (see mmfadhoc/meetings/nov6_13/ModalNoiseIn100GBASE-SR4v3a_mmf.pdf), but the TDP limit should be reduced anyway. SuggestedRemedy
" to "Each lane of a 100GBASE-SR4 receiver shall meet the specifications defined in Table 95–7 Table 95–7 ". If accepted, then the phrase, "each lane" can be deleted from specific attributes in the Description column of Table 95-7 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 95 SC 95.7.2 P 103 L 30 # 44 Ghiasi, Ali Independent Independent Comment Type TR Comment Status D It is not clear how J2 and J4 are measured It is not clear how J2 and J4 are measured It is not clear how J2 and J4 are measured	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 95 SC 95.7.3 P 104 L 12 # 158 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D With the change to allow a very low extinction ratio, we need to allow an additional 0.2 dB in the budget for modal noise (see mmfadhoc/meetings/nov6_13/ModalNoiseIn100GBASE SR4v3a_mmf.pdf), but the TDP limit should be reduced anyway.
" to "Each lane of a 100GBASE-SR4 receiver shall meet the specifications defined in Table 95–7". If accepted, then the phrase, "each lane" can be deleted from specific attributes in the Description column of Table 95-7 Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 95 SC 95.7.2 P 103 L 30 # 44 Ghiasi, Ali Independent Comment Type TR Comment Status D It is not clear how J2 and J4 are measured SuggestedRemedy Need to define reference receiver bandwidth suggest BW=18 GHz and suggest OMA	Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 95 SC 95.7.3 P 104 L 12 # 158 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D With the change to allow a very low extinction ratio, we need to allow an additional 0.2 dB in the budget for modal noise (see mmfadhoc/meetings/nov6_13/ModalNoiseIn100GBASE: SR4v3a_mmf.pdf), but the TDP limit should be reduced anyway. SuggestedRemedy See other comments and presentations.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 95 SC 95.7.3

C 95 SC 95.8	P 104	L 28	# 85	C/ 95	SC 95.8.1	P 104	L 40	# 115
an, Adee	Intel	- 20		Dawe, Pier		Mellanox		" 110
omment Type T	Comment Status D			Comment	уре Е	Comment Status D		
methods, and it needs	should refer to measurement no normative statements.	·	·		eter definitions.	0, Test-pattern definition And it doesn't address p		
	.4 don't make sense. Their explute the sense is the sense			Suggested				
uggestedRemedy					e title of Table 9 eter definitions	95-10 to: and related test patterns		
Change "shall be mad in subclauses of 95.8.	le" to "are made", and rephras	e similarly for a	I normative statements	Consid Table 9	er adding new s 5-10 lists the p	sentence at the end of 95 arameters with a referen		and the appropriate test
Proposed Response	Response Status O			pattern Add an		nat don't have test patterr	IS.	
				Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
95 SC 95.8	P 104	L 28	# 18					
etrilla, John	Avago Techn	ologies		C/ 95	SC 95.8.1	P 105	L 18	# 151
omment Type ER	Comment Status D ntion to mandate specific tests			Dawe, Pier	6	Mellanox		
the subclauses of 95.8 transmitter and receive to the defined method used." If inserted the	the first sentences in 95.8, "T 3 are not mandated to be appl er, rather only that the defined . Alternative test methods tha sentence, "Alternative test me e deleted from 95.8.1.1.	ied to each 100 I results are real t generate equiv	GBASE-SR4 lized if tested according valent results may be	95.8.4 ones, 8 52.9.9. 52.9.5	says "OMA sha zeros) test pat 3 (part of 52.9.9 using the squar 3.4 is the prefer	receiver tests Square wa all be as defined in 52.9.5 ttern or 68.6.2"; and 9) says "OMA is measure re wave pattern." rred definition, and should	for measurement wit	· ·
Proposed Response	Response Status O			Suggested	Remedy			
C/ 95 SC 95.8 Dawe, Piers	P 104 Mellanox	L 29	# 181	of OM/ modula	for receiver te tion amplitude 8 a), insert as	attern definitions and rela sts Square wave or 4 52. (OMA) Square wave or 4 second sentence "Optica	9.9" so that the earlie 95.8.4" applies.	er row "Optical
Comment Type E Discrepancy vs. 86.8.1	Comment Status D			Proposed F	Response	Response Status O		
SuggestedRemedy Add sentence: A patcl connectors may be su	n cord that connects the MDI t itable.	ransmit side to	four individual					
Proposed Response	Response Status W mment was sent after the clos	e of the commo	nt period 1					
YPE: TR/technical requir	ed ER/editorial required GR/ ispatched A/accepted R/reject	general required	t T/technical E/editorial G	0	U/unsatisfied Z		/ 95 C 95.8.1	Page 33 of 38 22/12/2013 11:2

C/ 95 Ran, Adee	SC 95	5.8.1	P Intel	105 I	L 5	# 86	CI 95 Ran, Adee	SC 95.8.1	1 P 105 Intel	5 L 29	# 87
Comment Ty	vpe	т	Comment Statu	s D			Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status)	
PMD ca	n transr	mit "valio	d RS-FEC encoded	100GBAS	SE-R signal".				s, according to 52.9.9.1: T		
uggestedR	Remedy						tested f	or conforma	nce by enabling the error of	counter on the rece	iving side.
Change first two			SE-R signal" to "va le.	lid RS-FE	C encoded 100G	BASE-R signal" in					rror counters are in the RS to the PCS. RS-FEC error
roposed R	esponse	e	Response Status	6 O					e so this allows lane-by-la alid RS-FEC encoded 100		ust as in pattern 3. It can
							specific (assum	ation in 95.1 ing bit errors	at the RS-FEC error cour 1 is for bit errors. Since th are independent), the per by-lane BER.	e counts are expe	cted to be the same
									ed that pattern 3 testing u erence to this in the text (r		
							pattern		ing pattern 5 requires an e es all lanes to be received		
							Suggested	Remedy			
							Change	e this paragra	ph to read:		
							stresse	d at the same	urements are performed o e time or separately. To fin I are averaged. All aggres	nd the interface BE	R, the BERs of all the
						counter scramb done or counter	rs at the PMA led idle) or van all lanes in rs (91.6.10) w	each lane can be tested s (85.3.10) when stress is alid RS-FEC encoded 100 parallel, and BER is read then stress is applied. Bit or the purpose of this mea	applied. If Pattern to GBASE-R signal is from the per-lane F error count is cons	5 (RS-FEC encoded s used, transmission is RS-FEC symbol error	
							Add the	e following pa	ragraph:		
							in paral be crea	lel and decounted by setting veying the co	g the power at the referen	unstressed lanes ce receivers well al	able of receiving all lanes for the error detector may bove their sensitivities, or est to the error detector by
							Proposed F	Response	Response Status)	

C/ 95 SC 95.8.1.1 Page 34 of 38 22/12/2013 11:21:23

CI 95 SC 95.8.3 P 106 L 3 # 182	C/ 95 SC 95.8.4 P 106 L 10 # 150
Dawe, Piers Mellanox	Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
This "shall" duplicates the one in 95.7.1, which is bad practice. Also this text differs from 86.8.4.2. Table 95–10 doesn't define test pattern, it merely selects the appropriate ones. For average optical power, Table 95–10 has more than one test pattern.	This says: OMA shall be as defined in 52.9.5 for measurement with a square wave (8 ones, 8 zeros) test pattern or 68.6.2 (from the variable MeasuredOMA in 68.6.6.2) for measurement with a PRBS9 test pattern.
uggestedRemedy	while 86.8.4.3 Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA)
Change The average optical power of each lane shall be within the limits given in Table 95–6 if measured using the methods given in IEC 61280-1-1. The average optical power is measured using the test pattern defined in Table 95–10. to Average optical power is defined by the methods given in IEC 61280-1-1. or to Average optical power is defined by the methods given in IEC 61280-1-1 using one of the the test patterns specified in Table 95–10.	Says OMA shall be as defined in 52.9.5 for measurement with a square wave (8 ones, 8 zeros) test pattern or 68.6.2 (from the variable MeasuredOMA in 68.6.6.2) for measurement with a PRBS9 test pattern, with the exception that each optical lane is tested individually. See 86.8.2 for test pattern information. (i.e. there is text at the end in 86 that's missing in 95). OMA should be consistently defined for such similar PMDs. The methods in 52.9.5 and 68.6.2 /68.6.6.2 scale with signalling rate. If you want a figure to illustrate OMA, it's Figure 68-4.
Proposed Response Response Status W [Editor's note: This comment was sent after the close of the comment period.]	SuggestedRemedy Options include: Add the missing text to 95.8.4. Optionally change to "test pattern (see Figure 68-4), or 68.6.2" Change 95.8.4 to "OMA shall be as defined in 86.8.4.3." In Table 95-10, Test-pattern definitions and related subclauses, change the row "Optical modulation amplitude (OMA) Square wave or 4 95.8.4" to "Optical modulation amplitude (OMA) Square wave or 4 95.8.4." to "Optical modulation amplitude (OMA) square wave or 4 86.8.4.3. The last option is attractive because it cuts out repetition (or almost-repetition, as the case may be), ensuring consistency and reducing time and cost.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

C/ 95 SC 95.8.4

¥ 95	SC 95.8.5	P 106	L 25	# 147	C/ 95	SC	95.8.6	P 107	L 48	# 183
awe, Piers	6	Mellanox			Dawe, Pie	rs		Mellanox		
comment T	ype TR	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status D		
log10(C of the lo normal a There a More im a good introduc penalty sensitiv Also, Fi	MA/AO) where ower histogram amplitude with re two problem oportantly, in sp estimate for this ses a large error at 5e-5). See ity test in 95.8. gure 52-11 doo n in Figure 52-	bite of its name, VECP isn't a e penalty at BER=1e-12 but s or into TDP (the difference be presentation. Also it ruins the 8. esn't define OMA. As 52.9.5	ye opening from t e upper histogram 2-11. true penalty: as d ignificantly in erro tween its VECP a e calibration of the	he 99.95th percentile a, and OMA is the efined in Eq 52-4 it's or for BER=1e-5. This nd its transmitter e stressed receiver	86.8.4 Table For av Suggester hange The e using test p to Extinc specif	I.5. 95–10 verage dRemen e: xtinctio the me attern d tion rat ied in T	doesn't de optical por dy n ratio of e thods spe lefined in		ilects the approp nan one test pat imits given in Ta extinction ratio is	briate ones. tern. able 95–6 if measured s measured using the
		clause in a new subclause 95			Proposed			Response Status W		
Xth per	centile of the u	ve opening from the Xth perce pper histogram, and OMA is a m 95.8.5 Transmitter and dis	as defined in 95.8	.4.	[Editor's note: This comment was sent after the close of the comment period.]					
Stresse	d receiver sen	sitivity.			C/ 95	SC	95.8.7	P 107	L 7	# 157
		attern definitions and related enalty calibration 3 or 5 52.9.9		ge the row:	Dawe, Pie	rs		Mellanox		
to	eye closule pe	enalty calibration 5 of 5 52.9.3	2		Comment	Туре	TR	Comment Status D		
(See pre English	esentation for 2 meaning of the .g. VEC2.)	Penalty (VECP) 3 or 5 [new su X. Note the capitals because e words: it is not a true penalt Response Status O	this phrase does		There 5. Im perfor	fore it v proving mance	would be re this is exp in the field	5e-5 was found suitable for F emarkable if 5e-5 were the ap pected to improve the correla I, improve eye measurement 6-lane 400G!).	opropriate hit rat	io limit for a BER of 5e e mask test and
i opoood i i					Suggeste	dReme	dy			
					Optim	ise the	mask hit r	atio limit, make this, mask co	ordinates and T	DP consistent.
95 Dia	SC 95.8.6	<i>P</i> 106	L 46	# 114	Proposed	Respo	nse	Response Status 0		
awe, Piers		Mellanox								
<i>comment T</i> Wrong f		Comment Status D								
uggestedF Remove	Remedy e override.									

C/ 95 SC 95.8.7

C/ 95 SC 95.8.8 Ghiasi, Ali	P 107 Independent	L 20	# 46	Cl 95 SC 95.9 Dawe. Piers	P 108 Mellanox	L 13	# 133
	•						
Comment Type TR C Replacing 4th order BT low filter can be another BT4 filte		ter makes no se	nse as the low pass		Comment Status D nvironment, and labeling requir ke it easy for the document use		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Replace with 2nd order Butt	herworth low-pass filter			Replace all the conte			
	esponse Status W			Safety, installation, ei 40GBASE–SR4 in 86	nvironment, and labeling requir .9.	rements are the s	same as for
[Editor's note: Subclause ch	anged from 8.8 to 95.8.8			Proposed Response	Response Status O		
C/ 95 SC 95.8.8	P 107	L 25	# 149				
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			C/ 99 SC	P 1	L 10	# 53
	Comment Status D			Booth, Brad	Microsoft		
The high TDP, lower VECP discrepancy between the sit				Comment Type E	Comment Status D		
SuggestedRemedy					dment X. While X is supposed	to be a number,	there is nothing to
					replaced with a number.		
See other comments for new	w TDP limit and new VEC	P definition.		SuggestedRemedy	replaced with a number.		
	w TDP limit and new VEC esponse Status 0	CP definition.		<i>SuggestedRemedy</i> There are a few optio 1) replace X with Y		be replaced with	a number, or
		EP definition.	# 88	SuggestedRemedy There are a few optio 1) replace X with Y 2) provide an editor's	ns:		
Proposed Response Re Cl 95 SC 95.8.8 Ran, Adee	P 107 Intel		# 88	SuggestedRemedy There are a few optio 1) replace X with Y 2) provide an editor's 3) remove the X and	ns: note or indication that X is to b		
Proposed Response Re Cl 95 SC 95.8.8 Ran, Adee Comment Type T C 100GBASE–R4 is not define	P 107 Intel		# 88	SuggestedRemedy There are a few optio 1) replace X with Y 2) provide an editor's 3) remove the X and at a later date.	ns: note or indication that X is to b leave it up to the IEEE-SA edit		
Proposed Response Re Cl 95 SC 95.8.8 Ran, Adee Comment Type T C	P 107 Intel Comment Status D ed.	L 36	# <mark>88 </mark>	SuggestedRemedy There are a few optio 1) replace X with Y 2) provide an editor's 3) remove the X and at a later date. Proposed Response	ns: note or indication that X is to b leave it up to the IEEE-SA edit <i>Response Status</i> 0	orial staff to inse	rt the correct number
Proposed Response Re Cl 95 SC 95.8.8 Ran, Adee Comment Type T C 100GBASE–R4 is not define SuggestedRemedy Change "100GBASE–R4" to	P 107 Intel Comment Status D ed.	L 36	# 88	SuggestedRemedyThere are a few optio1) replace X with Y2) provide an editor's3) remove the X and at a later date.Proposed ResponseCl 99 SCGrow, RobertComment Type E	ns: note or indication that X is to b leave it up to the IEEE-SA edit Response Status O P1	orial staff to inse	# 32
Proposed Response Re Cl 95 SC 95.8.8 Ran, Adee Comment Type T C 100GBASE–R4 is not define SuggestedRemedy Change "100GBASE–R4" to	P 107 P 107 Intel Comment Status D ed.	L 36	# <u>88</u>	SuggestedRemedyThere are a few optio1) replace X with Y2) provide an editor's3) remove the X and at a later date.Proposed ResponseCl 99 SCGrow, RobertComment Type E	ns: note or indication that X is to b leave it up to the IEEE-SA edit <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 1 RMG Consult <i>Comment Status</i> D	orial staff to inse	# <u>32</u>
Proposed Response Re Cl 95 SC 95.8.8 Ran, Adee Comment Type T C 100GBASE–R4 is not define SuggestedRemedy Change "100GBASE–R4" to	P 107 P 107 Intel Comment Status D ed.	L 36	# <u>88</u>	SuggestedRemedy There are a few optio 1) replace X with Y 2) provide an editor's 3) remove the X and at a later date. Proposed Response Cl 99 SC Grow, Robert Comment Type E 2014 is coming and I SuggestedRemedy When you are updating	ns: note or indication that X is to b leave it up to the IEEE-SA edit <i>Response Status</i> 0 <i>P</i> 1 RMG Consult <i>Comment Status</i> D	<i>L</i> 37 L 37 Ing the next draft.	rt the correct number # <u>32</u>

CI A	SC A	P 1	19	L 1	# 67
Booth, Brad		Micro	soft		
Comment Annex	<i>Type</i> E x A contains no i	Comment Status information.	D		
Suggeste Delete	<i>dRemedy</i> e page.				
Proposed	Response	Response Status	0		

C/ A SC A