Editor's closing report

Pete Anslow, Ciena, P802.3bm Chief Editor


IEEE P802.3bm Task Force, Geneva, July 2013

Progress


Comment resolution on D 1.0

- All comments resolved
- All associated presentations reviewed
- Thanks to all TF members participating in discussion for rapid resolutions
- Resolution took 14.25 hours => 5.0 minutes per comment (red cross)
- This is faster than any session involving this volume of comments in P802.3ba optical track or P802.3bg





Adopted Task Force timeline



Draft review schedule

Second Task Force review proposed to be 18 days.

Dates shown are subject to change

		Tue		_	Fri	Sat	_			Wed			Sat	Sun			•				Sun	Mon		Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	_		Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	
May			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31					May
	[!	}											Victoria						l					Dra	aft 1.0	0 revi	iew						; ;		
5				l	<u> </u>												1		1	1					}					1	l	!	1					
Jun	<u> </u> +						2	Wk 19	4	-	6	7	8	9	Wk 20	44	1 12	12	14	45	16	Wk 21	40	19	20	21	22	l l ₂₃	Wk 22	25	26	27	28	29	30	¦¦	1	Jun
Juli				i	Ì	'	2	,	4	1 3	, ,	, '	•					13	14	l 15	16	1 17	10	19	20	²¹	22	23 	24	²³	20	1 21	20	29] 30 			Juii
6			i	!	ļ.									Dra	aft 1.0	ft 1.0 review									ĺ	$\left\{ \right.$!	}	i	}	!	Ĭ	i	i				
6		l	l	i i			!	Wk 23		!					Wk 24			!		i		Wk 25)		}		Wk 26	l	}			!	ı			
Jul	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31				!			Jul
		ļ	ı	ļ	} '		1	1	!	I	ı	I I				Genev		va			}	ı				ı				ı			1	!		! ! ! !		
7			I I	l	(ı	! !		ı					1			ı				1 1	(-		•		I I						li		
Aug	Wk 27			_	2	-	!	Wk 28		-	•		40	44	Wk 29	40	44	45	46	47	40	Wk 30	20	21	- 00	22	24	25	Wk 31	07	-00	29	30	24	1			Aug
Aug	i			1	2	3	4	5	١٥	ı '	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	1/	18	l 19	20	21	22	23	24	25 I	26	1 27 1	28	29	30	31		i i		Aug
•	!		Draft 1.1 review															i		!!!																		
8	, i		!		Ì	į	ļ	Wk 32		<u>!</u>		<u>'</u>			Wk 33			!	!	i	Ì	Wk 34)	Į.	Í	j	Wk 35	!	<u> </u>	i	l	!		, ,		
Sep			— — 				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30		Sep
																		Dr	off 1	ı 2 rev	iow																	
9			! !	l	}					IOIK	<u> </u>						į		l	i	}]		}				<i> </i>	ait 1.	l iev	ie w				
	1				<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	Wk 36				<u> </u>			Wk 37		<u> </u>					Wk 38		<u> </u>)		Wk 39			<u> </u>		-		Wk 40	}	<u>-</u>
Oct	i	1	2	3	4	5	ı 6	7	8	1 9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	' 19 I	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31		!	!			Oct
	!	Draft 1.2 review														i																						
10	ľ		ı		ì	i	İ	Wk 41		İ	1				Wk 42			ı	İ		1	. Wk 43)	į	ţ		Wk 44	ı	1	i		ı				İ
Nov			i	ı	1	2	3			6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30		ı — ı		Nov
	<u> </u>	i	I			ĺ	İ	nro		i	ĺ	ı				Dallas				!				1 (Droff	201	allot)		[j		
11		I	!	i			!	pre		!	<u> </u>				Julius									Dialit		2.0 ballot			, ,									
	<u>'</u>			<u> </u>			1	Wk 45							Wk 46					!		Wk 47					-		Wk 48	-	-	!						
Dec	,		ı	!	Ì		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	Dec
	1		!	I	(Di	aft 2.	0 bal	lot											!								
12			i		1			Wk 49		i	1				M/k EO				ı		1	Wk 51)	ı	(Wk 52	i				i	i	NA/k-1		
	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun			Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun		Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	***************************************	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	

Major items to be resolved

- 100GBASE-SR4
 - TDP value (currently "5dB TBC")
 - Allocation for penalties value (currently 6.3 dB).
 - TDP reference receiver bandwidth used in TDP test (currently 12.6 GHz).
- CAUI-4 chip-to-chip
 - Channel loss target
 - Transmitter equalization range
 - COM model modifications
 - Interference tolerance parameters

Conditions for moving to Working Group ballot

Before a draft is submitted to WG letter ballot it shall in addition have met the following requirements:

- a) It must be complete with no open technical issues.
- b) It must be made available for pre-view by the membership by the Monday prior to the plenary week. If any changes are made to the draft after the draft was made available for pre-view the textual changes shall be presented for review during the closing plenary immediately prior to the vote for approval to go to WG ballot.
- c) It must be formatted according to the IEEE style selected by the WG Chair. This style will be selected to minimize the editorial work required for publication of the draft.
- d) It must be approved for submittal to WG ballot at the WG closing plenary.

Thanks!