



Motions





Motion # 1

Motion: Direct the editor to change all instances of the generic “CAUI” to be “CAUI-n” for D1.0

Moved: Pete Anslow

Second: Steve Trowbridge

Technical ($\geq 75\%$ required)

Y: 84 N: 0 A: 12

Passes



Motion # 2

Motion: Direct the editor to change
100GBASE-SR4 OM3 and OM4 reach
numbers to 70 m and 100 m respectively for
D1.0

Moved: Jonathan King Second: John Petrilla

Technical ($\geq 75\%$ required)

Y: 78 N: 1 A: 18

Passes



Motion # 3

Motion: Direct the editor to change CAUI-4 objective Bit Error Ratio (BER) as per slide 4 of latchman_02_0513_optx

Moved: Ryan Latchman Second: Mike Li

Technical ($\geq 75\%$ required)

Y: 45 N: 14 A: 40

Passes



Motion # 4

Motion: Direct the editor to produce IEEE P802.3bm draft D1.0 from the adopted baselines and begin Task Force Review

Moved: Pete Anslow Second: Kapil Shrikhande
Technical ($\geq 75\%$ required)

Y: 86 N: 0 A: 8

Passes



Motion # 5

Motion: Direct the SMF ad hoc to continue working on SMF proposals and document review for potential draft inclusion in July 2013.

Moved: Dan Dove Second: Jonathan King

Technical ($\geq 75\%$ required)

Y: N: A:

Withdrawn



Motion # 6

Motion: Move to adopt PAM-8 baseline proposal as described in farhood_01a_0513_optx

Moved: Scott Kipp Second: Andy Moorwood

Technical ($\geq 75\%$ required)

Y: 12

N: 74

A: 17

Fails



Motion # 7

- Motion: Move to table motion # 6
- Moved: Arash Farhood
- Seconded: Ali Ghiasi

(> 50% required)

Y: 23

N: 58

A: 8

Fails



Motion # 8

Motion: Move to adopt DMT baseline proposal as described in tanaka_01_0513_optx

Moved: Scott Kipp Second: Andy Moorwood

Technical ($\geq 75\%$ required)

Y: 17 N: 62 A: 27

Fails



Motion # 9

- Motion: Move to table motion # 8
- Moved: Isono
- Seconded: Hamano

(> 50% required)

Y: 28

N: 63

A: 13

Fails



Motion # 10

Motion: Direct the SMF ad hoc to continue working on SMF proposals and document review for potential draft inclusion in July 2013.

Moved: John Petrilla Second: Scott Kipp

Technical ($\geq 75\%$ required)

Y: 59 N: 22 A: 21

Fails



Motion # 11

- Motion: move to table motion # 10
- Moved: Arash Farhood
- Seconded: Will Bliss
- > 50%
- Y: 35 N: 41 A: 22
- Fails



Motion # 12

Motion: Move to adopt PSM4 baseline proposal as described in anderson_01_0513_optx

Moved: Arash Farhood Second: Will Bliss

Technical ($\geq 75\%$ required)

Y: 38 N: 46 A: 15

Fails



Motion # 13

Motion: Move to adopt CWDM baseline proposal as described in vlasov_01a_0513_optx

Moved: Arash Farhood Second: Will Bliss

Technical ($\geq 75\%$ required)

Y: 31 N: 52 A: 19

Fails



Motion # 14

- Motion: move to reconsider motion # 10
- Moved: Arash Farhood
- Seconded: Pete Anslow
- > 50%
- Y: 69 N: 10 A: 20
- Passes



Motion # 15

Motion: Continue working on the SMF proposals and document review for potential draft inclusion in July 2013.

Moved: John Petrilla Second: Scott Kipp

Technical ($\geq 75\%$ required)

Y: 71 N: 5 A: 22

Passes



Motion # 16

- Motion: move to table motion # 15
- Moved: Arash Farhood
- Seconded: Will Bliss
- > 50%
- Y: 25 N: 44 A: 17
- Fails



Straw Polls





Straw Poll # 1

I would support a change in CAUI naming to change all instances of “CAUI” that mean either CAUI-10 or CAUI-4 to be “CAUI-n”.

- Y: 74
- N: 2
- A: 12
- Room count: 97



Straw Poll # 2

I would support a baseline proposal for a SMF PMD based on:

- a) CWDM
- b) C-BAND
- c) DMT
- d) PSM4
- e) PAMn
- f) none of the above - rely on LR4 with CAUI-4.

Pick one of the above only

a) 30 b) 0 c) 7 d) 26 e) 7 f) 16

Room count = 97



Straw Poll # 3

I would support a baseline proposal for a SMF PMD based on:

- a) CWDM
- b) C-BAND
- c) DMT
- d) PSM4
- e) PAMn
- f) none of the above - rely on LR4 with CAUI-4.

- **Chicago Rules**

- a) 54 b) 1 c) 25 d) 51 e) 31 f) 47



Straw Poll # 4

I would NOT support a baseline proposal for a SMF PMD based on:

- a) CWDM
- b) C-BAND
- c) DMT
- d) PSM4
- e) PAMn
- f) none of the above - rely on LR4 with CAUI-4.

- **Chicago Rules**

- a) 24 b) 50 c) 34 d) 30 e) 36 f) 4



Straw Poll # 5

- Do you believe this proposal is technically feasible:

- a) CWDM Y: 70 N: 8
- b) DMT Y: 27 N: 22
- c) PSM4 Y: 79 N: 0
- d) PAMn Y: 24 N: 38



Straw Poll # 6

- Do you believe this proposal is economically feasible:

a) CWDM Y: 47 N: 15

b) DMT Y: 17 N: 31

c) PSM4 Y: 54 N: 22

d) PAMn Y: 22 N: 34

e) LR4 Y: 31 N: 32



Straw Poll # 7

- Do you believe this proposal has broad market potential :
 - a) CWDM Y: 41 N: 24
 - b) DMT Y: 24 N: 26
 - c) PSM4 Y: 33 N: 38
 - d) PAMn Y: 25 N: 26
 - e) LR4 Y: 32 N: 21



Straw Poll # 8

- Would you be supportive of the investigation to include 20dB insertion loss channel using a tradeoff methodology such as COM (Channel Operating Margin) in the CAUI-4 chip-to-chip specification?
- Y: 59
- N: 0
- A: 40
- Room count: 107



Straw Poll # 9

- Would you be supportive to further investigate the transmitter trade-off as per ghiasi_02_0513_optx in the CAUI-4 ad hoc

- Y: 19
- N: 0
- A: 71