#### Editor's closing report


Pete Anslow, Ciena, P802.3bm Chief Editor

IEEE P802.3bm Task Force, York, September 2013

### **Progress**


#### Comment resolution on D 1.1

- All comments resolved
- All associated presentations reviewed
- Thanks to all TF members participating in discussion for rapid resolutions
- Resolution took 14.24 hours => 6.1 minutes per comment (red cross)



P802.3ba, P802.3bg & P802.3bm Comment Resolution

### **Adopted Task Force timeline**



#### **Draft review schedule**

Third Task Force review proposed to be 20 days.

Dates shown are subject to change

		Tue	Wed	I Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mo	n i Tue	Wed	Thu	ı Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	[
May		T	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31		,			May
5		İ İ		! ! !	}	! !			l I I	 	] ]	! ! !		! !	Victoria							! !				Di		aft 1.	0 revi	eview			ı		! !			
	<u>_</u>	<u> </u>						Wk 19	9 I			ı			Wk 20					ı		Wk 21							Wk 22				i					
Jun	!	1		!	}	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30			Jun
	Draft 1											ıft 1.0	revi	ew										!	İ	}	!	}	ĺ	İ	!	!						
6	•	1		!	Ś		l l		1	j	i											Wk 25					ļ		}	;	}	ļ	!					
Jul	1	2	3	1	5	6	7	Wk 2		10	11	12	13	14	Wk 24	16	17	18	19	20	21	Wk 25	23	24	25	26	27	28	Wk 26	30	31		i i		•			Jul
oui	'	4			1	ľ	) ′	ľ	1	1 10	. ''	12	13	'*	13			10	19	1	21		23	2 <del>4</del>	23	_ <u>20</u>	21	1	29	30 	31		l				1	oui
7		į	ı		}	•	Ì		I I	i	l	i I	}	ļ		Gen	eva			! !	}				! !	İ	•			ı	}		] 		ı		•	i
	Wk 27	!					}	Wk 28	<sub>B</sub> I			i		!	Wk 29	i				i		Wk 30							Wk 31				I	1	! !			
Aug		i		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31				Aug
	Draft 1.1 review																!																					
8													1					Wk 34					,		}	j	}	!	!									
Sep		+		ì	l		1	Wk 32	3	- 1	. 5	6	7	8	Wk 33	10	11	12	13	14		Wk 34	17	18	10	20	21	22	Wk 35	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	1	Sep
ОСР	1	2 3 4 5 6 7 6														12		' '-	13		•••		1.5	<u>20</u> 		i	23				1		23	30		ОСР		
9		I	ı		}	ı				York			}	I	] 		ı	ı		<u> </u>	}	1				I	l				Dra	aft 1.	2 rev	iew			I	ı
				ĺ	Ì			Wk 36	6 I			i I		!	Wk 37	Ì				i		Wk 38						ĺ	Wk 39			ĺ	İ		!	Wk 40		8
Oct		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31		i	i			Oct
	ĺ					Dr	aft 1.	2 rev	view						, 	ì				i		!				!	!	İ	}	!	}			!	!			
10			1	!	}	l	Į.	1	1	i			}		l	)				!	}					! !	ļ		}	ļ	}	l			ļ			
Nov		-			1	2	3	Wk 4	5	-	7		9	10	Wk 42	12	13	14	15	16	17	Wk 43	10	20	21	22	23	24	Wk 44	26	27	20	29	20			1	Nov
1404	ı	<u> </u>		ı	'		1	۳		°	'	Ů	9	10	-''			14	13	1 10	''	10	19	20	Z I			i			21	1	29	30				1404
11	pre													Dallas						}						Draft	2.0	ballot							į	i		
		<u> </u>					}	Wk 4!	5 I			i		!	Wk 46	i				i		Wk 47							Wk 48				I	1				
Dec		Ī					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	Dec
40		! !			}											Dra	aft 2.	) bal	lot											) 				! !	! !			
12		!				!		Wk 4	9	!				!	Wk 50							Wk 51				İ	!		Wk 52	ļ.	Ś			•	!	Wk 1		ı
	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Мо	n Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	

## **Conditions for moving to Working Group ballot**

Before a draft is submitted to WG letter ballot it shall in addition have met the following requirements:

- a) It must be complete with no open technical issues.
- b) It must be made available for pre-view by the membership by the Monday prior to the plenary week. If any changes are made to the draft after the draft was made available for pre-view the textual changes shall be presented for review during the closing plenary immediately prior to the vote for approval to go to WG ballot.
- c) It must be formatted according to the IEEE style selected by the WG Chair. This style will be selected to minimize the editorial work required for publication of the draft.
- d) It must be approved for submittal to WG ballot at the WG closing plenary.

# Thanks!