

Editor's closing report


Pete Anslow, Ciena, P802.3bm Chief Editor

IEEE P802.3bm Task Force, Ottawa, Canada, September 2014


Progress

Comment resolution on D3.1

- All comments resolved
- Thanks to all TF members participating in discussion for rapid resolutions
- Resolution took 8.4 hours => 6.2 minutes per comment (red cross)



IEEE P802.3bm adopted TF timeline



2013 review schedule

	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue										
May			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31							
5															Victoria										Draft 1.0 review															
Jun						1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30					
6								Draft 1.0 review																																
Jul	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31									
7															Geneva																									
Aug				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31						
8								Draft 1.1 review																																
Sep							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30				
9								York																														Draft 1.2 review		
Oct		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31								
10								Draft 1.2 review																																
Nov					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30						
11								pre							Dallas				Draft 2.0 ballot																					
Dec							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31			
12								Draft 2.0 ballot																																

Sponsor ballot differences

- Can't use the same comment tool as WG ballot. Either:
 - enter comments one at a time through the MyBallot web interface
 - or download spreadsheet from MyBallot and upload as a batch
- Sponsor ballot has additional “G” (General) type comment
 - In addition to the usual T and E types
- “Must Be Satisfied” is equivalent to “R” (Required)
 - Can only submit “Must Be Satisfied” comment if you have voted Disapprove
- Participation requirement is higher for Sponsor ballot
 - $\geq 75\%$ required to close ballot ($> 50\%$ for WG ballot)

Conditions for submitting to RevCom

- Sponsor ballot continues until the following conditions are met:
 - No substantive (technical) changes in the last recirculation
 - No new negative comments (TR/GR/ER) associated with a Disapprove ballot in the last recirculation
 - $\geq 75\%$ approval ratio
 - $\geq 75\%$ response ratio
 - $< 30\%$ abstention ratio
- When the above conditions are met the TF can request that the draft is submitted to RevCom and the SASB for final approval

Thanks!