Approved minutes

40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s Fiber Optic TF SMF Ad Hoc Teleconference 5 Mar 2013

Minutes taken by Pete Anslow, Ciena

The meeting started at 8:05 am Pacific chaired by Pete Anslow, the attendee list was taken from the Webex attendee list.

Documentation for the call can be found at the Ad Hoc web page: http://www.ieee802.org/3/bm/public/smfadhoc/meetings/index.html

Pete reminded everyone of the IEEE patent policy (http://www.ieee802.org/3/patent.html) and asked if anyone was unfamiliar with it. No one responded.

Pete asked if anyone had any corrections to the draft minutes from the 19 February2013 call. No one responded, so these minutes are approved by the Ad Hoc.

Pete asked if anyone had any objection to the draft agenda sent to the group reflector on 5 March. There were no objections.

Presentation #1

Title: CWDM Solution for 500m SMF Economical Feasibility

By: Tek Ming Shen, Huawei See shen_01_0313_smf

During the presentation of shen_01_0313_smf there was an objection to the line shown on page 10 for "LR4 (without TEC)" as not correctly representing the data in welch_01a_0113_optx and it was requested to include data for 150 m in the chart on page 14. Tek Ming agreed to update the presentation after the meeting (also with corrections to the chart captions on slides 17 through 20) as shen_01a_0313_smf which will be posted on the SMF web page.

Presentation #2

Title: 500 m SMF Objective Baseline Proposal

By: Jon Anderson, Oclaro See anderson_01_0313_smf

This was an updated version of anderson_01_0213_smf, so the presentation focussed on the differences between the two versions.

The main points that arose in discussion were:

- The Allocation for penalties (at max TDP) on page 6 is 3.66 dB, but the Transmitter and dispersion penalty (TDP), each lane (max) on page 7 is 2.6 dB leaving a difference of 1.06 dB. What is the reason for this difference?
- Page 7 has a TDP maximum but there is no minimum value for TDP that is used in equation 1 on page 8.
- Equation 1 on page 8 increases the minimum transmitter OMA by 1.19 dB for every 1 dB of TDP increase. Why is this?

The meeting closed at 10:07 am Pacific.

Attendee list (taken from Webex attendee list)

Jon Anderson, Oclaro Pete Anslow, Ciena Dave Brown, Semtech

Hsu-Feng Chou, Source Photonics

Chris Cole, Finisar

Heather Colella, OneChip Photonics

Piers Dawe, IPtronics Dan Dove, Applied Micro Mike Dudek, Qlogic

Galen Fromm, Cray Ali Ghiasi, Broadcom Douglas Gill, IBM Mark Gustlin, Xilinx Hiro Iwadate, SEI

Jack Jewell, GreenVcsel

Cui Kai, Huawei Scott Kipp, Brocade

Keisuke Kojima, Mitsubishi Electric

Paul Kolesar, Commscope Greg D Le Cheminant, Agilent

Sharon Lutz, US Conec
Dale Murray, LightCounting

Tom Palkert, Xilinx, Luxtera, Molex Randy Perrie, OneChip Photonics John Petrilla, Avago Technologies Liang Qiu, Source Photonics

Michael Ressl, Hitachi Cable
Tek-Ming Shen, Huawei
Kapil Shrikhande, Dell
Xiaolu Song, Huawei
Peter Stassar, Huawei
Andre Szczepanek, Inphi

Katsuhisa Tawa, Sumitomo Electric

Brian Teipen, Adva

Pirooz Tooyserkani, Cisco Nathan Tracy, TE Connectivity

Steve Trowbridge, Alcatel-Lucent

Marc Verdiell, Samtec Yurii Vlasov, IBM Brian Welch, Luxtera

Yu Xu, Huawei Li Zeng, Huawei

Wissen Zhang, OneChip Photonics

Xueyan Zheng, Huawei