

Cl 00 SC 0 P 1 L 1 # 2850  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Update Copyright date to 2015  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 00 SC 0 P 116 L 20 # 2851  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Should we set variables to true (7x), True (4x) or TRUE (50x)  
 How about false (24x), False (6x) and FALSE (13)?  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Use TRUE & FALSE consistently.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 00 SC 0 P 39 L 39 # 2854  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 No longer need 4 bits to specify US time interleaver.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 In Table 45-78d  
 change 1.1907.15:11 to 1.1907.15:8  
 change 1.1907.10:7 to 1.1907.7

Change  
 Register bits 1.1907.10 through 1.1907.7 indicate the integer number of time interleaved OFDM symbols in the upstream direction. The number is either 8 or 16; where bit 1.1907.7 is the LSB and bit 1.1907.11 is the MSB. All other values are reserved.  
 To  
 Register bit 1.1907:7 indicates the number of time interleaved OFDM symbols in the upstream direction. When this bit is set to a zero 8 symbols are time interleaved. When this bit is set to a one 16 symbols are interleaved.  
 In Table 101-1  
 Change 1.1907.10:7 to 1.1907.7 and in the same row 10:7 to 7  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 00 SC 0 P 50 L 11 # 2853  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): we need a way to copy the active profile copy to the inactive profile. This would affect these registers.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove note and add text to the draft per remain\_3bn\_13\_0115.pdf  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 00 SC 0 P 51 L 50 # 2855  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): need a good reference for the UQ2.14 notation (other than Wiki) or need to include a good description; here is a synopsis of wiki,.

The Qm.n number format is a fixed point number format where the number of fractional bits is specified by n and optionally the number of integer bits is specified by m. For example, a Q14 number has 14 fractional bits; a Q2.14 number has 2 integer bits and 14 fractional bits. Preceding the "Q" with a "U" indicates an unsigned number.

SuggestedRemedy

In CI 1 add the following after 1.4.331a QAM symbol  
"Insert the following definition after existing definition at 1.4.332 "Q".  
1.4.332a Qm.n: The Qm.n number format is a fixed point number format where the number of fractional bits is specified by n and optionally the number of integer bits is specified by m. For example, a Q14 number has 14 fractional bits; a Q2.14 number has 2 integer bits and 14 fractional bits. Preceding the "Q" with a "U" indicates an unsigned number.  
Insert the following after 1.4.411 upstream.  
1.4.411a UQm.n: See 1.4.332a Qm.n."  
Remove the editors note at pg 51 line 50.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 00 SC 0 P 80 L 44 # 2852  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

We iterate the definition of ceiling and floor functions each time they are used. This is unnecessary.

SuggestedRemedy

In each clause using ceiling or floor function include the definitions (see pg 80 line 44 for ceiling and pg 90 line 26 for floor) in the conventions section for that clause.

There are 19 instances of ceiling and 14 instances of floor functions

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 01 SC 1.4 P 24 L 12 # 2857  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Incorrect para style for text: Change the definition of 1.2.127 as shown below:

SuggestedRemedy

Change style to Editing Instruction

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 01 SC 1.4 P 24 L 12 # 2858  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Due to changes introduced in 802.3bk para numbering is incorrect and inconsistencies exist between Editing Instructions and para numbers.

SuggestedRemedy

Change section 1 per remein\_3bn\_12\_0115.pdf  
changes shown in remein\_3bn\_12\_0115 CMP.pdf

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 01 SC 1.4.160a P 24 L 29 # 2739  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

This is confusing: editorial instruction says "Insert the following definition after 1.4.161:", but the actual assigned number says "1.4.160a". Either fix the number or fix the editorial instruction.

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment. Also, insert the editorial note to update the list of definitions once 802.3-2015 moves to Sponsor Ballot - draft D2.0 is now in WG ballot and 802.3bn will be published as amendment to 802.3-2015 and not 802.3-2012 ;)

Proposed Response Response Status O

**Cl 01**    **SC 1.5**                      **P 24**        **L 51**                      # 2738  
 Hajduczenia, Marek                      Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **E**                      **Comment Status**    **X**

Is there any specific reason why all abbreviations start with a catpial letter? Compare with 802.3-2012

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 I believe only expansion of EPoC should start with capital "EPON" - the rest should start with lower caps.

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 100**    **SC 100**                      **P 70**        **L 1**                      # 2778  
 Hajduczenia, Marek                      Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **ER**                      **Comment Status**    **X**

There are many cross-references in Clause 100 are either dead (hyperlink is there, but it is empty) or there are no hyperlinks at all. These are cross-references internal to Clause 100 and external (leading to other Clauses in this draft).

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Please fix all cross-references in Clause 100 to make them clickable and work between Clauses.

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 100**    **SC 100**                      **P 70**        **L 1**                      # 2889  
 Remein, Duane                              Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **E**                      **Comment Status**    **X**

When updating FrameMaker book get error: Use Condition Indicators setting is inconsistent

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 import conditional text settings from 8023xx-200 template.

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 100**    **SC 100.1**                      **P 70**        **L 5**                      # 2887  
 Remein, Duane                              Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **T**                      **Comment Status**    **X**

Need table for variable mapping to Cl 45 registers.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Add section 100.1.5 per remain\_3bn\_14\_0115.pdf (available in framemaker).

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 100**    **SC 100.1.3**                      **P 73**        **L 33**                      # 2891  
 Remein, Duane                              Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **T**                      **Comment Status**    **X**

Figure 100-3 includes a "PROBE GENERATOR" block but this would more properly be in the PHY Link block.  
 See related comment against Figure 102-4

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Remove block from Fig 100-3

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 100**    **SC 100.2**                      **P 76**        **L 20**                      # 2779  
 Hajduczenia, Marek                      Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **T**                      **Comment Status**    **X**

"PMD service interface and the MDI All" - seems that the end of the sentence got truncated

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Please either add what was supposed to be at the end or remove "All"

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

Cl 100 SC 100.2.1 P 76 L 27 # 3018  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The PMD service interface needs to be updated to conform to OFDM/OFDMA signal processing - it is not a bit serial interface.

SuggestedRemedy

Line 27: Change "The PMD service interface supports the exchange of a continuous stream of bits between the PMA and PMD entities. Bits exchanged across the PMD service interface are organized in TBD." To: "The PMDF service interface supports the exchange of a continuous stream of OFDM/OFDMA modulation symbols between the PMA and PMD entities. The modulation symbols are encoded as I / Q value pairs."

Page 77, Line 39. Remove subsection "100.2.1.1 Delay constraints"

Page 76, Line 38, and Page 77 Line

Page 76, Line 51. Change "This primitive defines the transfer of 1 bit of data from the Clause 101 PMA to the Clause 100 PMD." To: "This primitive defines the transfer of one symbol encoded as an I / Q value pair from the Clause 100 PMA to the Clause 100 PMD."

Page 77, Line 1. Change "The semantics of the service primitive are PMD\_UNITDATA.request(tx\_unit). The data conveyed by PMD\_UNITDATA.request is a continuous stream of bits. The tx\_bit parameter can take one of two values: ONE or ZERO." To: "The semantics of the service primitive are PMD\_UNITDATA.request(I\_value, Q\_value). The data conveyed by PMD\_UNITDATA.request is a continuous stream of I / Q value pairs. Both I\_value and Q\_value are encoded as 32-bit signed integers."

Page 77, Line 4: Change "The Clause 101 PMA continuously sends the appropriately formatted stream of bits to the Clause 100 PMD for transmission on the medium, at the nominal speed in the function of the aggregate OFDM channel capacity, as defined by TBD (see {ref}). Upon the receipt of this primitive, the PMD converts the received appropriately formatted stream of bits into the appropriate signals at the MDI, effectively sending data across the coaxial media." To: "The Clause 101 PMA continuously sends the appropriately formatted stream of I / Q value pairs to the Clause 100 PMD for transmission on the medium, at the nominal speed of 204.8 MHz. Upon the receipt of this primitive, the PMD converts the received appropriately formatted I / Q value pairs into the appropriate signals at the MDI, effectively sending data across the coaxial media."

Page 77, Line 10. Remove Editor's note.

Page 77, Line 15. Change "TBD" to "I / Q value pair"

Page 77, Line 17. Change "The semantics of the service primitive are PMD\_UNITDATA.indication(rx\_unit). The data conveyed by

PMD\_UNITDATA.indication is a TBD. The rx\_unit parameter represents TBD." To: "The semantics of the service primitive are PMD\_UNITDATA.indication(I\_value, Q\_value). The data conveyed by PMD\_UNITDATA.indication is a continuous stream of I / Q value pairs. Both I\_value and Q\_value are encoded as 32-bit signed integers."

Page 77, Line 20. Remove Editor's note.

Page 77, Line 23. Change "bits" to "I / Q value pairs"

Page 77, Line 24, Change "TBD GBd" to " 204.8 MHz"

Page 77, Line 26, Remove Editor's note.

Page 77, Line 30, Change "PCS" to "PMA". Change "the granted time" to "the presence of non-null data presented to the IFFT" Delete "A signal for transmitter control is generated by the Data Detector function - see TBD. Clause 101 PCS transfers this signal across towards the Clause 100 PMD without any changes.". Delete "The Clause 101 PCS generates this primitive to indicate a change in the value of tx\_enable parameter."

Page 77, Line 42 Change "bits" to "I / Q value pairs". Change "tx\_unit" to "I\_value, Q\_Value".

Page 77, Line 46 Change "bits" to "I / Q value pairs".

Page 77, Line 47, Change "This implies three RF signal levels: 1, 0, and none." to: "Tx\_enable takes the values of ENABLE and DISABLE. Change "none" to "DISABLE".

Page 77, Line 52 Change both occurrences of "bits" to "I / Q value pairs" Change "rx\_unit" to "I\_value, Q\_value".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.1.2 P 77 L 7 # 2780  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
as defined by TBD (see {ref})..  
Need to mark ref in color for better visibility. Also, remove double "."

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.1.3 P 77 L 15 # 2781  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

PMD\_UNITDATA.request and PMD\_UNITDATA.indication are complementary messages and there should be little doubt as to what kind of data .indication provides to PHY - 1 bit at a time.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change TBD in this section to "1 bit"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.1.4 P 77 L 34 # 2892  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

We have a defined variable TxEnable that is mapped to mdio register 10GPASS-XR control. I believe this tx\_enable is the same parameter.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Replace 9 instance of tx\_enable with TxEnable. Add to CI 45 mapping table.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.10.1 P 97 L 45 # 2878  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Misguided requirement: "shall operate with an average input signal level, including ingress and noise to the upstream demodulator, up to 31 dBmV."  
So then at 31.1 dBmV and higher the CNU must not operate?

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change "up to 31 dBmV" To "of 31 dBmV or better"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.10.1 P 97 L 47 # 2879  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"The CLT shall be settable according to Table 100–11 for intended received power normalized to 6.4 MHz of bandwidth." This "set-ability" should have an associated variable and register in CI 45.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change "settable according to" to "provisionable per"

Add Editors note that a variable and CI 45 Register are required for this provisioning. (or define such a variable).

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.10.1 P 97 L 50 # 2880  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

We have no Table 7-12

"When using the modulation formats shown in Table 100–11, the CLT Upstream demodulator shall operate within its defined performance specifications with received bursts within the ranges defined in Table 7-12 of the set power."

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change to read

"When using the modulation formats and power set points shown, the CLT Upstream demodulator shall operate within its defined performance specifications when received bursts are within the ranges specified in Table 100–11."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.10.1 P 97 L 54 # 2881  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Misplaced footnote for table 100-11. Same issues with note to Table 100-12.

Is the Min set point not with respect to 6.4 MHz also?

*SuggestedRemedy*

Footnotes should be part of the table.

In Table 100-11 add Footnote Ref 1 to Min set point.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.10.1 P 98 L 3 # 2882  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
Range of what? How about a units to this number?

SuggestedRemedy  
Change "Range" to "Input power range (dBmV)"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.10.2 P 98 L 25 # 2883  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
No need to define a TLA for something that is only used once in the draft.  
Also 10-6 should not break across a line.

SuggestedRemedy  
Replace "PER (packet error ratio)" with "packet error ratio"  
Can make 10-6 not breaking by using ESC n s to designate the "word" as non-breaking  
changing "-6" to superscript may also work.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.11.1 P 99 L 36 # 2884  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
Table 100-13 mixes receiver characteristic and input signal characteristics. These should be in separate tables.  
It is also not at all clear to me why there are three lines for Return Loss.  
Lastly I don't think we go to 6754 MHz

SuggestedRemedy  
Split into two tables  
1) Electrical input signal requirements (Total power, Input Level Range and Max Avg power)  
2) CNU receiver requirements (Input Impedance, Return Loss).  
Combine Return Loss into a single row of 108 MHz - 1794 MHz | > 6 | dB and remove notes 1 & 2  
Change row 3 from "6754 MHz to 1218 MHz OR From 258 MHz to 1.794 GHz" to "108 MHz to 1218 MHz OR From 258 MHz to 1794 MHz"  
Add Table Continuation variable to title.

Change at line 27  
"The CNU receiver shall meet electrical parameters per Table 100-13."  
to  
"The CNU shall meet all performance specification when receiving a signal conformant to the parameters shown in Table 100-13(1). The CNU receiver shall meet electrical parameters per Table 100-13(2)." with appropriate table references

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.11.2 P 100 L 12 # 2885  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
Well at least we use the TLA FLR twice :-)  
But we should be consistent

SuggestedRemedy  
Change "10-6 FLR (frame loss ratio)" to "10-6 packet error ratio when operating at a CNR as shown in Table 100-14, under input load and channel conditions as follows" (observe superscripting).  
At line 18 change "CNU FLR shall be less than or equal to the required loss ratio" to "CNU packet error ratio shall be less than or equal that shown in when operating at a CNR as shown in Table 100-14, under input load and channel conditions as follows"

Proposed Response Response Status O

**Cl 100**    **SC 100.2.5**                    **P 78**            **L 11**            # **3019**  
 Laubach, Mark                            Broadcom

**Comment Type**    **TR**            **Comment Status**    **X**

It is useful to have QPSK through 32-QAM available for upstream data transmission due to having to adjust bit loading in the 5-20MHz region as well as in subcarriers adjacent to exclusion bands.

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Lines 19 through 24, remove "c" superscript. Line 40, remove table note "C".

**Proposed Response**                    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 100**    **SC 100.2.5**                    **P 78**            **L 40**            # **2782**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek                    Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **T**                **Comment Status**    **X**

"This modulation format is require only for low density pilots" - likely should be "This modulation format is >>required<< only for low density pilots"

This note is also creating a conditional requirement. Note that the table itself is mandatory, and this note creates an exception of some sort.

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Per comment

**Proposed Response**                    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 100**    **SC 100.2.5**                    **P 78**            **L 42**            # **2783**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek                    Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **E**                **Comment Status**    **X**

"Modulation format for PHY Link is specified in 102.2.1.2 and 102.3.1.2" should be "Modulation format for PHY Link is specified in >> <<102.2.1.2 and 102.3.1.2" - there is a missing space.

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Per comment

**Proposed Response**                    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 100**    **SC 100.2.6.1**                    **P 79**            **L 2**                # **2888**  
 Remein, Duane                            Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **T**                **Comment Status**    **X**

Should not include a ref to Cl 45 in a normative statement nor refer to CL 45 registers as variables. "the CLT shall update the value of the variable DS\_DataRate (see 45.x.x.x)." Same issue in ln 33

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 remove cl 45 ref.

**Proposed Response**                    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 100**    **SC 100.2.6.1**                    **P 79**            **L 2**                # **2784**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek                    Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **T**                **Comment Status**    **X**

"variable DS\_DataRate (see 45.x.x.x.)"  
 a) it is not a variable, it is a register if it is in Clause 45  
 b) insert the reference correctly  
 c) since when we started using italics for names of variables?  
 Similar issue in 100.2.6.2 for US\_DataRate

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Please address three issues per comment

**Proposed Response**                    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 100**    **SC 100.2.6.1**                    **P 79**            **L 7**                # **2720**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek                    Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **T**                **Comment Status**    **X**

There are several numbered equations, but they are not referenced anywhere in the text. It seems that they could be easily replaced with a pseudo-code without any reference, and it would avoid the complexity of showing multiple equations.

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Replace equations with pseudo-code in a single block. Define all variables if they are needed for calculation purposes. The same applies to 100.2.6.2

**Proposed Response**                    **Response Status**    **O**

Cl 100 SC 100.2.6.2 P 79 L 35 # 3015  
Victor, Hou Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

It says: "The upstream PMA OFDMA superframe repeats every 256 + Probe region is 6 symbols. The superframe length is determined using the Extended\_OFDM\_Symbol based on size of the selected Cyclic Prefix size (usec)." This sentence is not constructed correctly and is confusing.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Suggested fix: The upstream PMA OFDMA superframe repeats every 256 + 6 symbols, where the Probe region is 6 symbols in length. The superframe length is determined using the Extended\_OFDM\_Symbol based on size of the selected Cyclic Prefix size (?sec)."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.7.1 P 80 L 17 # 2722  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"Equipment conforming to this standard shall clearly mark downstream frequency ranges." - probably, "Equipment conforming to this standard shall clearly mark >>supported<< downstream frequency ranges."

*SuggestedRemedy*

Per comment. Same in 100.2.7.2

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.7.2 P 80 L 22 # 2721  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"defined in Table 100-XXX" - should it be 100-4 here as well?

*SuggestedRemedy*

Either change that to 100-4 if that is the correct table, or mark as TBD.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.8.1 P 80 L 51 # 2723  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The example "For example, provided the OFDM channel of 204.8 MHz, subcarrier spacing of 50 kHz and 148 lower band edge subcarriers and 148 upper band edge subcarriers (a total of 302 subcarriers in two band edge exclusion sub-bands), the encompassed spectrum is equal to  $789.05 - 600.00 + 0.050 = 190.00$  MHz." to be clear should also show where numbers 789.05, 600 and 0.050 come from and what they mean. Otherwise, it is just arithmetics with little sense

*SuggestedRemedy*

Please expand the example to demonstrate where 789.05, 600 and 0.050 come from

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.8.1 P 80 L 51 # 3016  
Victor, Hou Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X

It says "...the encompassed spectrum is equal to  $789.05 - 600.00 + 0.050 = 190.00$  MHz." The context of this calculation seems to be missing, in particular where 789.05 or 600.0 MHz comes from.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Suggested fix: Explain or show additional context to this computation.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.8.1 P 80 L 52 # 2893  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Duplicate text (see 1st sentence in same para):  
"The encompassed spectrum is also equal to the center frequency of the highest frequency modulated subcarrier minus the center frequency of the lowest frequency modulated subcarrier in an OFDM channel, plus the subcarrier spacing."

*SuggestedRemedy*

Strike last sentence.

Move para to just after the NOTE on pg 80 ln 44 (better text flow).

Proposed Response Response Status O



Cl 100 SC 100.2.8.1 P 81 L 1 # 2894  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This note has been here long enough.  
 EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): 802.3 prefers spectrum, and where bandwidth means data capacity. Do we need to change bandwidth to spectrum? Note that in cable industry bandwidth = RF spectrum.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Strike the note.  
 Change all (20) instances of occupied bandwidth to occupied spectrum  
 Change all (2) instances of Occupiedbandwidth to Occupiedspectrum

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.8.2 P 81 L 24 # 2726  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The bullet points in lines 24 - 33 are hardly requirements that are testable. These describe the process under which specific parameters are described, and the process of calculating parameter cannot be mandatory - values for specific parameter can.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Remove the requirement in line 23, making the text descriptive. The testable requirement is already included in line 34. Anything before describes just the way parameters are calculated. None of these are testable externally at defined test points.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.8.2 P 81 L 24 # 2724  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"CLT power is configured by power per 6 MHz channel and number of occupied 6 MHz channels for each OFDM channel" - this statement reads funny when you read it without knowing what the author really meant.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Suggest to reword as follows: "CLT transmit power level is configured independently for each 6 MHz channel in the function of the number of 6 MHz channels occupied in each OFDM channel". There are two important changes here:

- power level is configured >>independently<< for each 6MHz channel,
- power output configuration is in the function of number of 6MHz channels per OFDM channel

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.8.2 P 81 L 26 # 2725  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"For each OFDM channel, the total power is Power per 6 MHz channel + 10log10(Number of occupied 6 MHz channels) for that OFDM channel." - this seems like a perfect place where equation should be created, and placed within the text and then referenced.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Insert equation that describes total power (100-X) and then reword the text to read: "For each OFDM channel, the total power is given by Equation (100-X)."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.8.2 P 81 L 35 # 2727  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"These requirements are all tested under the condition where all Neq' [channels] are commanded to the same average power," - [] square brackets are not a standard convention for inserting additional information. Likely () need to be used. It is more likely that "channels" can be inserted without additional markup.  
 Also, we were to avoid the use of word "commanded" and use "configure" instead.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

**Cl 100**    **SC 100.2.8.2**    **P 81**    **L 45**    # **2890**  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type T**    **Comment Status X**

It seems odd that the CLT & CNT RF output requirements tables (100-2 & 100-10) are so different in their description of the OFDM/A parameters.  
 See related comment on Table 101-12

**SuggestedRemedy**

Restructure the first 11 rows of these to table per remain\_3bn\_18\_0115.pdf (avail in framemaker). Summary of changes:

Table 100-2

Row 1 - mod wording

Row 2 - add Signal Type

Row 5 - add Occupied spectrum

Row 6 - add Active spectrum (was row7 Max Num of data SC per FFT)

Row 7 - wording (was OFDM Symbol rate FFT Duration)

Row 10 - added Sampling rate

Row 11 - was row 9

Table 100-10

Row 1 - wording

Row 3 - was Max OFDMA channel BW

Row 4 - add Encompassed spectrum

Row 5 - was Min occupied spectrum

Row 6 - added Active spectrum (was part of FFT size)

Row 7 - was Subcarrier Channel spacing

Row 9 - was FFT Size, 3800 Maximum active SC

Row 10 - was 204 instead of 204.8

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status O**

**Cl 100**    **SC 100.2.8.2**    **P 82**    **L 1**    # **2731**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Network

**Comment Type TR**    **Comment Status X**

A lot of descriptive text from Table 100-2 should be really part of test setup description. Examples include: "528 MHz total occupied bandwidth, 6 MHz gap (Internal Excluded subcarriers) 88 equivalent 6 MHz channels", "528 MHz total occupied bandwidth, 88 equivalent 6 MHz channels", "single OFDM channel only, 24 MHz total occupied bandwidth" - these are specific for the measurement conditions for the given parameter and not for the parameter itself.

**SuggestedRemedy**

Move these details into the measurement section for the given parameter and not cram them into table that is supposed to be listing just the values. This goes in line with the Editors' Note on page 83, line 27.

Similar note on Table 100-3, 100-4

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status O**

**Cl 100**    **SC 100.2.8.2**    **P 82**    **L 10**    # **2728**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Network

**Comment Type E**    **Comment Status X**

Formatting of notes to table is not correct - please see 802.3-2012, Table 75-5 for an example of formatting notes to items in the table.

**SuggestedRemedy**

Per comemnt. This applies to all tables in Clause 100.

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status O**

**Cl 100**    **SC 100.2.8.2**    **P 82**    **L 11**    # **3020**  
 Laubach, Mark    Broadcom

**Comment Type T**    **Comment Status X**

In Table 100-2, the "1.5" and "dB" have been found to be erroneous entries when going back and comparing to the latest DOCSIS I04 specification.

**SuggestedRemedy**

Remove "1.5" and "dB" from this row.

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status O**

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.2 P 82 L 19 # 2729  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 MER is not defined in the whole draft, but used heavily (38 hits in the whole draft)

SuggestedRemedy  
 Add definition of what it is and consider adding definition to Clause 1 if it is handy in a more global fashion.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.2 P 82 L 20 # 3017  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Editorial mistakes when converting the columns for this table for Draft 1.2

SuggestedRemedy  
 Line 20: change subscripts to be "1,2,4,5,6,7,11"  
 Lines 23 through 34, remove the "1" superscript  
 Lines 32 through 34, remove the "7,11" superscript

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.2 P 82 L 21 # 2730  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Seems that some table formatting needs some more work:  
 lines "For measurements below 600 MHz:", "For measurements from 600 MHz to 1002 MHz:", and "For measurements 1002 MHz to 1218 MHz:" should be moved to the right one tab, and then lines "Any single subcarrier" and "Average over the complete OFDM channel" should be moved also one more tab to the right. Only then the relationship between individual entries makes sense.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.2 P 82 L 44 # 2895  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Output Impedance 75 ohms

SuggestedRemedy  
 Move ohms to units col.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.4 P 84 L 22 # 2732  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Multiple issues with Table 100-4:  
 a) most of the parameters are really whole definitions crammed into the table - details of the definitions should be inserted into the section on their measurement conditions and not table intended to list just their numeric values  
 b) notes to parameters in tables have wrong format - see 802.3-2012, Table 75-5 for formatting reference  
 c) Note 1 should be described as an informative text in the section describing the measurement itself - also, 0.5 dBc seems to be the tolerance here and it should not be hidden in a note to a table.  
 d) relaxation parameters are not typically listed as informative notes to parameters - these need to be part of mandatory parameters, likely part of the measurement conditions for individual parameters  
 e) Neq' is not defined anywhere. Neq is

SuggestedRemedy  
 Address individual comments.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 85 L 13 # 2897  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

In this section we use a large number of poorly defined terms. We can define them now or wait until someone from the WG asks for the definitions of these terms:  
 OFDM channel - here we have a definition in CL 1 but it could equally apply to multiple 192 MHz OFDM Channels  
 OFDM Channels - prefixed with a number of qualifiers; active, modulated, contiguous, non-contiguous, maybe others  
 Neq - not defined (as noted in Ed Note)  
 Neq' - not defined (as noted in Ed Note)  
 gap spectrum - not defined  
 subband - not defined  
 sub-block (contiguous & non-contiguous) - not defined  
 measurement channel, measurement band (I guess these are different but how?)  
 N\* - know how to calculate this but what is it?  
 commanded channel, harmonic channel, active channel, ...  
 transmit channel - not defined  
 isolated channel - sort of defined

*SuggestedRemedy*

Add an Editors note at the minimum that the wording in this section needs cleaning up and clarifying.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 85 L 17 # 2733  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"When commanded to the same power level, dBc should be interpreted as the average OFDM channel power, averaged over the active OFDM channels, to mitigate the variation in OFDM channel power across the active OFDM channels (see Table 100-4), which is allowed with all OFDM channels commanded to the same power." - is this intended to be an optional requirement?

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change to read: "When commanded to the same power level, dBc denotes the average OFDM channel power, averaged over the active OFDM channels, to mitigate the variation in OFDM channel power across the active OFDM channels (see Table 100-4), which is allowed with all OFDM channels commanded to the same power.". The sentence is still complex to interpret, given the number of subordinate sentences. Is there any way to simplify it, separating into two sentences?

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 85 L 34 # 2734  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"in measurements with 603 MHz <= center frequency <= 999 MHz" - typically, I would expect to see statement like this: "in measurements for center frequency from 603 MHz to 999 MHz, inclusive."

*SuggestedRemedy*

Consider the proposed change. Similar change in line 40, same page.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 85 L 44 # 2896  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

We should be consistent with the use of variable names such as Ncp & Nrp. In this para they are clearly associated with DS.  
 See similar comments against CI 102

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change Ncp pg 85 ln 44 to DS\_Ncp (no subscripting)  
 Change NCP pg 88 ln 24 to US\_Ncp (no subscripting)  
 Change NCP (subscripted) in Fig 100-6 to US\_Ncp (no subscripting)

Change Nrp pg 85 ln 46 to DS\_Nrp (no subscripting)

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 85 L 50 # 2735  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"The full set of Neq' OFDM channels is referred to throughout this specification as the modulated OFDM channels or the active OFDM channels." - is this the first time where we use this definition? I see the first use of term "active OFDM channel" at the top of 100.2.8.5

*SuggestedRemedy*

Consider moving the said definition of "active OFDM channel" to the beginning of 100.2.8.5. Also, remove "modulated OFDM channel" - it is not used in the draft right now at all. No need to add new terms that are not used in the draft.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 85 L 51 # 2736  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Term "sub-block" is introduced in 100.2.8.5 and used exclusively in this subclause and without definition.

*SuggestedRemedy*

This terms is introduced in this subclause without definition. Could we use a simpler term "sub-set" that does not require definition?

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.1 P 88 L 18 # 2899  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This ref can be provided and we should probably refer to the proper variable name.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change to:  
32 8-symbol Resource Blocks, or 16 16-symbol Resource Blocks, as configured by US\_TmIntrlv (see 101.4.4.3).

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.1 P 88 L 22 # 2898  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

To which of the three dashed arrows does this refer to?  
pointed to by the dashed arrow of Figure 100-6

At line 28 we refer to a dotted arrow which does not exist

*SuggestedRemedy*

change to "as illustrated in Figure 100-6"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.1 P 88 L 23 # 2737  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"The parameter NFFT refers to the length" - this parameter is shown as N>>FFT<< (subscript) in Figure 100-6. Are these the same?

*SuggestedRemedy*

Please align the name of the parameter between the text and the figure  
The same applies to "NCP"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.1 P 88 L 23 # 2859  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

NFFT should be subscripted (or not)

*SuggestedRemedy*

Make the text match the figure.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.1 P 88 L 23 # 2743  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"pointed to by the dashed arrow of Figure 100-6" - there are three dashed arrows in Figure 100-6 - which one do you mean? Any of these? Any specific one?

*SuggestedRemedy*

Either show just one dashed arrow in Figure 100-6 or reference which of the dashed arrows you mean. The same in line 27 on the same page.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.9.1 P 88 L 35 # 2860  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Dimension arrow for NFFT missing  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add dimension arrow  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.9.4 P 89 L 31 # 2862  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The CNU only has one "mode": In OFDMA mode the CNU  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Strike the phrase.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.9.2 P 88 L 51 # 2861  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 What is meant by "fully Granted"?  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change from:  
 The channel power is defined as the average power when the channel is fully granted.  
 to  
 The channel power is defined as the average power that would be measured if an entire OFDMA symbol were granted to a single CNU.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.9.4 P 89 L 39 # 2744  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 "The CLT SHOULD ensure the following" - is this intended to be an optional requirement?  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change this statement to read: "The CLT observes the following limits" if the OLT really has a way to enforce these limits on the CNU. It seems more like something CNU would have to comply with.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.9.3 P 89 L 9 # 2864  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Font size for Eq 100-13 & 100-14 looks small. Check to make sure these are med size equations and not small.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 100 SC 100.2.9.5.1 P 90 L 10 # 2863  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Do we have two Table 100-7's?  
 "in Table 100-6, Table 100-7, and Table 100-7"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Perhaps this should be "in Table 100-6, Table 100-7, and Table 100-8".  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.5.1 P 90 L 15 # 2745  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 "SpurFloor is related to the ratio of the number of subcarriers" - it is not clear what SpurFloor is until a few lines below.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to "The parameter SpurFloor is related to the ratio of the number of subcarriers" Simialar comment for line 29, and line 33, same page.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.5.1 P 90 L 33 # 2865  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Stray DOCSISisms "modem" in 3 places

SuggestedRemedy  
 change to CNU

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.5.1 P 90 L 46 # 2746  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 DOCSIS 3.1 references? "Section 7.4.13.5"

SuggestedRemedy  
 Mark these as TBD and insert Editor's Note with the source reference from DOCSIS.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.5.1 P 90 L 49 # 2866  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 We do not do specs (little bits of things). We do specifications

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change specs to specifications in 4 places.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.5.1 P 91 L 14 # 2867  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 This sentence starting with "Spurious emissions requirements for transmission ..." and ending on line 20 with " specified in Table 100–7 for Table 100–7" is rather clumsy.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Reword as follow to avoid the split across Eq 100-20  
 The spurious emissions requirements over the entire upstream spectrum given in Table 100-7 for transmission of NS\_Max / 10 or fewer subcarriers may be relaxed by 2 dB in an amount of spectrum equal to:

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.5.1 P 91 L 8 # 2875  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 definition of "granted burst"  
 "For the purpose of spurious emissions definitions, a granted burst refers to a burst of resource blocks to be transmitted at the same time from the same CNU;."  
 So successively transmitted OFDM symbols are not part of the same burst?  
 Note that the term is only used twice in the draft here and in 100.2.9.5.1 MER Requirements.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove "granted" from definition in both cases

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.5.3 P 93 L 10 # 2869  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Firstly it should be noted that Table 100-7 is different than Table 100-7.  
Wow that's gotta be difficult.

SuggestedRemedy

Check all xrefs in para and correct as necessary. In order should probably be Table 100-8,  
Table 100-7, Table 100-8, Table 100-7

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.5.4 P 94 L 31 # 2870  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The CNU shall control spurious emissions prior to and during ramp-up, during and  
following ramp-down, and before and after a burst.  
Sounds like all the time to me.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:  
The CNU shall control spurious emissions at all times.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.6 P 94 L 46 # 2871  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"TxMER or just MER"  
Given that TxMER only appears here do we even need to mention it?

SuggestedRemedy

Strike "TxMER or just "

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.6.1 P 95 L 23 # 2868  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Equations 100-26 & 100-26 include units (in an obviously different font). The equation  
shouldn't include these.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "(dB)" from both equations in 3 places)  
At line 23 change  
"MER per RB is computed as follows:"  
to  
"MER per RB (RBMER, in dB) is computed as follows:" {MER in RBMER is subscripted}  
In line 31 change  
"MER per burst is computed as follows:"  
to  
MER per burst (BURSTMER, in dB) is computed as follows:" {MER in BURSTMER is  
subscripted}  
Change font in both equations as some portions (10log10 and 1/) look to be in a different  
font.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.6.1 P 95 L 40 # 2872  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Para style incorrect; should use an indented para style (appears to use T,text.

SuggestedRemedy

Use same indented para style (suggest H,HangingIndent)for all eq parameter definitions In  
39-48.

Proposed Response Response Status O



CI 100 SC 100.2.9.6.1 P 95 L 49 # 2874  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Normative statements should not be left up to the test tech.  
 "A sufficient number of OFDMA symbols shall be included in the time average so that ..."

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to  
 "A sufficient number of OFDMA symbols should be included in the time average so that ..."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.6.2 P 96 L 13 # 2873  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Table style should be per IEEE style.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Separate into 3 col; Parameter | Value | Units  
 all words in parameter  
 numbers in value  
 units in units  
 notes per IEEE Style in template

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.6.2 P 96 L 6 # 2876  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 I believe the "following MER limits" are those in Table 100-9. Should ref the table.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to  
 "MER limits in Table 100-9"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.7 P 97 L 1 # 2877  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Table continuation missing

SuggestedRemedy  
 add Table Continuation variable to table title.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100 SC 100.3.1 P 101 L 45 # 2886  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 What does it mean to mute? This is the only place this term is used in the draft.  
 Also this reads like a requirement not a test as I would expect in a section on parameter definitions & measurement methods.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change the title of 100.3.1 to "CLT RF output port muting for test purposes"  
 Add an editors note that we need to add a definition of what muting means, and add a provisionable variable and CI 45 register control bit to place the RF port in the muted test state.  
 (OR AIP and do all this stuff).

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 100A SC 100A.4.1 P 313 L 1 # 2900  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 PICS for 100A

SuggestedRemedy  
 See remain\_3bn\_10\_0115.pdf

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.1 P 105 L 8 # 2747  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"These are passive or amplified multipoint coaxial cable distribution networks (CCDN) that connect multiple DTEs using a single shared coaxial link. The architecture is asymmetric, based on a tree and branch topology utilizing coaxial taps and splitters." - it is not clear whether details of CCDN (passive / amplified) really belong to Clause 101 - they should be moved to Clause 100 Introduction, where CCDN has any meaning. From PCS perspective, CCDN does not matter at all.

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.1.3 P 106 L 1 # 2749  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Table 101-1 contains redundant information: register name and register number. Since there is a lot of information and table is crowded, I suggest you drop second column and leave just register number. Rather than register name, it would be more helpful to provide active cross-reference link to specific table to allow reader to jump directly where it is defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.1.3 P 107 L 16 # 2748  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Remove empty line(s) from table

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.2 P 110 L 2 # 2901  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication):This subclause is modeled after 76.2 for 10G-EPON, removing multi-rate MII interface definitions.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.2.2 P 110 L 36 # 2750  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"PLS\_DATA.indication and PLS\_-DATA\_VALID.indication primitives." - primitive name is broken across lines. Either force line break manually or exclude "\_" from list of characters that are allowed to break across lines.

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.2.3.3 P 111 L 3 # 2751  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is nothing in Tables 101-2 and 101-3 that looks any different from XGMII.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove this subclause altogether, unless there is a very good reason to keep it in the draft and extensions to XGMII signalling are planned.

Proposed Response Response Status O

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.2.4.2**                      **P 111**            **L 40**            # **2752**  
Hajduczenia, Marek                      Bright House Network

*Comment Type*    **T**                      *Comment Status*    **X**

There is nothing in 101.2.4.2 and 101.2.4.3 that looks any different from 10G-EPON definitions.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Leave both headings in, but point to 10G-EPON PCS definitions, rather than copy stuff over without any changes.

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.1**                      **P 115**            **L 1**            # **2753**  
Hajduczenia, Marek                      Bright House Network

*Comment Type*    **T**                      *Comment Status*    **X**

"Figure 100-1 shows the relationship " ... likely Figure 101-1?"

*SuggestedRemedy*

Point to Figure 101-1 instead.

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.1**                      **P 115**            **L 4**            # **2754**  
Hajduczenia, Marek                      Bright House Network

*Comment Type*    **T**                      *Comment Status*    **X**

This statement is not really necessary: "The EPoC PCS extends the 10GBASE-PR PCS described in Clause 76 to support operation over the point-to-multipoint coaxial medium architecture." - EPoC PCS will be substantially different from 10G-EPON and we do not extend EPON PCS, but define new PCS that extends 10GBASE-X PCS

*SuggestedRemedy*

Strike this statement altogether. It does not mean anything anyway.

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.2**                      **P 115**            **L 16**            # **2755**  
Hajduczenia, Marek                      Bright House Network

*Comment Type*    **T**                      *Comment Status*    **X**

"the PCS transmit function operates in a burst fashion" - likely, "bursty fashion" or "supports burst mode operation", as stated in 10G-EPON PCS.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Pick either of the options and implement per comment.

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.2.1.1**                      **P 116**            **L 3**            # **2842**  
Zhang, Jin                                      Marvell Semiconductor

*Comment Type*    **T**                      *Comment Status*    **X**

In accordance with the modified CLT idle deletion diagram, the constant and variable definitions also need to be modified.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Please see the attached file zhang\_3bn\_05\_0115.pdf (also available in .docx format)

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.2.1.5**                      **P 118**            **L 1**            # **2841**  
Zhang, Jin                                      Marvell Semiconductor

*Comment Type*    **T**                      *Comment Status*    **X**

The two separate processes of idle deletion need to be consolidated into a single process. The idle deletion output data rate has to match the PMD rate exactly in the long run.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Consolidate the idle deletion process as attached file zhang\_3bn\_04\_0115.pdf, (also available in vsd format). Basically, the idea is to use accResidue to track the residual difference between the PMD rate and the idle deletion output rate. If accResidue exceeds 1, an extra idle block needs to be deleted.

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **O**

Cl 101 SC 101.3.2.4 P 121 L 52 # 2756  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Please add Annex 101A and model content after Annex 76A in 802.3-2012, leaving all data as TBD.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.2.4 P 122 L 1 # 2757  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Extend the side of column 1 to avoid breaking data across lines. There is enough space to do so.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.2.4.1 P 122 L 44 # 2758  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 "Table 101-6 presents a 5 × 45 base matrix of the low-density parity-check matrix H for LDPC (16200, 14400) code listed in Table 101-5 for downstream and upstream. The lifting factor of the matrix is L=360." - if possible, break the line manually before the name of the FEC code - avoid code name breaking across lines for improved readability.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.2.5.10 P 129 L 25 # 2771  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 "VALUE: see Table 101-5" - said Table contains multiple values. How do I select the right value?

SuggestedRemedy  
 Add a selector (FEC code type) to allow to pick the right value from Table 101-5. Otherwise, one has to assume which code is used in state diagram

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.2.5.13 P 131 L 28 # 2772  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 "The CNU PCS shall implement the FEC encode and Data Detector process, comprising the input process as shown in Figure 101-8 and the output process as shown in Figure 101-9. EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): a transfer to PMA process is needed for the CNU." - this is incorrect. CNU cannot use Figure 101-9, which assumes no Data Detector and PHY enable/disable signal.

SuggestedRemedy  
 The editorial note should be expanded to indicate that also "FEC encode and Data Detector output process" for CNU is missing right now, not just "transfer to PMA process"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.2.5.13 P 133 L 28 # 2904  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Figure 101-10—CLT transfer to PMA process  
 From where; PMD or PCS?  
 Similar issue on Fig 101-12 CLT transfer from PMA process

SuggestedRemedy  
 change title to Downstream CLT transfer to PMA process and Upstream CLT transfer from PMA process

Proposed Response Response Status O

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.2.5.2**    **P 125**    **L 24**    # **2759**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **E**    **Comment Status**    **X**

"This resulting FP bits of data is then passed" ... given that we speak of plural bits, the statement should read "This resulting FP bits of data >>are<< then passed"

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Per comment. The same issue on page 128, line 1.

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.2.5.2**    **P 125**    **L 27**    # **2760**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **T**    **Comment Status**    **X**

What is this: "For downstream TX processing,"? Is this supposed to mean "In the downstream direction" ???

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Change per comment

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.2.5.2**    **P 125**    **L 28**    # **2761**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **ER**    **Comment Status**    **X**

"see section101.3.2.5.2" - we do not use word "section" anywhere

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 strike the word "section". Scrub the whole draft for instances of section and subsection - there are at least 25 hits to be replaced.

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.2.5.5**    **P 126**    **L 51**    # **2762**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **TR**    **Comment Status**    **X**

Subclause 101.3.2.5.5 contains plenty of details on the CNU burst structure, yet it is not clear how the sizes of individual burst markers play with FIFO. Recall that FIFO operates on whole 66-bit codewords, but the size of burst markers is not a multiple of 66-bit symbols, requiring proper calculations in Data Detection in CNU to make sure that there is enough space to insert burst markers. The text does not account for that right now.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Text needs to be updated to account for disparity between burst market size and the codeword size within Data Detector. State diagram is needed urgently to describe the said process in more detail and show calculations.

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.2.5.5**    **P 127**    **L 23**    # **2902**  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **T**    **Comment Status**    **X**

Figure 101-XX illustrates the details of the 10GPASS-XR CNU burst structure. In particular, this figure shows the details of the necessary burst elements and the FEC protected portions of the burst transmission, explicitly showing each FEC codeword (FEC CW).  
 Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): Figure is currently missing

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 see remain\_3bn\_15\_0115.pdf for figure. Update reference and remove Ed Note.

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.2.5.6**    **P 128**    **L 4**    # **2763**  
 Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **TR**    **Comment Status**    **X**

"is passed to the scrambler." - likely, "the Scrambler".  
 Also, where is the said Scrambler described? There is reference to it 101.3.2.5.6 as well as in 101.3.2.5.3, but there is no definition of what type of Scrambler is used.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Insert subclause in 101.3.2 covering the operation of Scrambler for the transmit path. There is a descrambler in the receive path (101.3.3.2 Descrambler - kind of empty), but there is no sign of Scrambler right now.

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status**    **O**

Cl 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 P 128 L 12 # 2764  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Cut down the fluff: "Upstream bursts are necessarily variable in length and as EPON can concatenate in the upstream, an EPoC upstream burst may contain more than one MAC frame." > "Upstream bursts in EPoC are variable in length and may contain more than one MAC frame."

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 P 128 L 17 # 2765  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Wrong font format: "Note that this is overview is presented in an abstract manner and does not imply any particular implementation."

SuggestedRemedy

Apply T, Text style.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 P 128 L 20 # 2769  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Lists need to be numbered / lettered only when we plan to reference individual items within the said lists. Here, it is not the case.

SuggestedRemedy

Convert lists in lines 20-33 and 43-51 to bulleted lists instead.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 P 128 L 20 # 2766  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Text in lines 20-32 is intended to describe the filling operation. This is what we typically have state diagrams for.

SuggestedRemedy

Either convert into a state diagram OR a pseudo code description to eliminate lengthy textual descriptions and avoid differences in interpretation.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 P 128 L 34 # 2767  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Every codeword in the burst will have a length of determined by the number B of 65-bit blocks encoded." - we do not use the word "will" too often.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "will have" to "has"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 P 128 L 39 # 2768  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Quite convoluted statement "B can be from 1 to B Q blocks maximum, where BQ is 220, 76, and 12 and FR is 1800, 900, and 280 for 16200, 5940, 1120 LDPC codewords sizes respectively (see Table 101-4)."

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest to simplify to read:

"where:

- a) B ranges from 1 to BQ blocks,
  - b) Bq is equal to 220 for LDPC (x, y), 76 for LDPC (x, y), and 12 for LDPC (x, y), and
  - b) Fr is equal to 1800 for LDPC (x, y), 900 for LDPC (x, y), and 280 for LDPC (x, y)
- Replace (x, y) with proper code designations. Reference to Table 101-4 is then not needed.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.3.2.5.9 P 129 L 3 # 2903  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Editors notes here and on line 10 seem to have served their purpose.

SuggestedRemedy  
 remove.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.3.2.5.9 P 129 L 5 # 2770  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 FEC\_DS\_CodeWordSize does not need to represent negative values.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "16-bit integer" to "16-bit unsigned integer"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.3.3.1.1 P 133 L 54 # 2828  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 "Note that this is overview is presented in an abstract manner and does not imply any particular implementation." - if this is intended to be a NOTE, it is in a wrong style format.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change the style to correct style of a NOTE, or apply T, Text style.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.3.3.1.1 P 134 L 39 # 2830  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 "The process of decoding FEC codewords in the 10GPASS-XR CNU receiver is illustrated in Figure 101-11" - where is the figure to illustrate bit flow in 10GBASE-XR CLT receiver to be referenced in 101.3.3.1.1?

SuggestedRemedy  
 Insert reference in 101.3.3.1.1 to a figure showing FEC decoding process in CLT receiver. Such a figure is also needed.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.3.3.1.1 P 134 L 4 # 2829  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 The process described in lines 4 through 25 describes the process of decoding FEC codewords in the upstream direction. We usually use state diagrams or pseudo-code in this case, and not descriptive text to avoid problems with differing interpretations.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace the text in lines 4 through 25 with pseudo-code or state diagram.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.3.3.1.3 P 136 L 16 # 2831  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 "The FEC decoder in the CNU shall provide a user-configurable option (variable CRC40ErrCtrl)" - there are references to variables peppered in the text, but it never says where they are defined.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Please insert references to location where specific variables / parameters are defined, unless it is the very same subclause and the reader does not have to jump a few pages to find this location.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.3 P 136 L 18 # 2832  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"If CRC40ErrCtrl is enabled" - the variable cannot be "enabled" or "disabled"

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "If CRC40ErrCtrl is set to enable". Similarly, for disable. Changes limited to 101.3.3.1.3

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.3 P 136 L 26 # 2905  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): this subclause was at 101.3.3.2. The editor move it here as it really is part of FEC decoding and is included in SD's below Has served it's purpose.

SuggestedRemedy

remove

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.5 P 136 L 34 # 2836  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

The names of variables / parameters are very inconsistent right now, especially in terms of their capitalization.

SuggestedRemedy

It would be much simpler to read and figure out what is the name of a variable and what is regular text if the names of all variables / parameters in the draft observed the following naming convention: word1Word2Word3..., where the word1 is always written in lower caps, Word2 and the wording Words have first letter capitalized. dataInSize is a prime example here. FecCodeWordFail should be fecCodeWordFail, FecCodeWordSuccess should be fecCodeWordSuccess, FIFO\_FEC\_RX should be fifoFecRx, PMA\_CLK should be pmaClk etc. There is no need to use underlines, or any other special characters and variables become more compact, simpler to read, and isolate from the main text without the use of any special formatting.

Please apply consistently in the whole draft! The same applies to names of functions, messages, constants, etc. unless they are defined already elsewhere in the standard and we just reference them verbatim.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.5 P 136 L 48 # 2833  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"(BQ + 1) x 65 + CRC bits + BP" - the value of CRC bits is fixed at 40 and does not change in function of FEC codeword

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "(BQ + 1) x 65 + 40 + BP"

Proposed Response Response Status O



Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.5 P 137 L 14 # 2834  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

"of dataInSize bit" - sometimes names of variables / parameters are italicized and sometimes they are not, without any consistency.

*SuggestedRemedy*

I like the idea of marking names of variables / parameters with italics, but (a) it needs to be confirmed with the style manual (I could not find statement preventign the use of italics for variables), (b) confirmed with 802.3 Chief Editor, and once it is confirmed we can use this style, apply it consistently in the whole draft and not just selected locations.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.5 P 137 L 23 # 2835  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"After reaching 0xFF-FF-FFFF" should be "After reaching 0xFF-FF-FF>><<FF"

*SuggestedRemedy*

Just missing "-"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.5 P 137 L 45 # 2906  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

PMA\_CLK is set on neg edge of the pma cloak but when is it reset?

*SuggestedRemedy*

Add:  
This variable is reset to FALSE upon read.

Also change "This Boolean is true on every negative edge" to "This Boolean is set to TRUE on every negative edge"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.6 P 138 L 22 # 2837  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Length" needs a proper style applied

*SuggestedRemedy*

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.7 P 138 L 36 # 2838  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Remove 101.3.3.1.7, there is very little chance that we will need new messages here.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.8 P 138 L 41 # 2839  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

There are no requirements for CNU implementing PMA process. it this not needed?  
There are no requirements for CLT decoding process. It this not needed?

*SuggestedRemedy*

Insert at least editorial note to indicate that the CNU PMA process and CLT FEC decoding process state diagrams are missing and needed to be added.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.8 P 139 L 1 # 2785  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

A few issues with Figure 101–12:

- a) names of states should use the following convention: WORD1\_WORD2\_WORD3
- b) rxCount is not used for anything

*SuggestedRemedy*

Fix the name of state "WAIT FOR CALL" to "WAIT\_FOR\_CALL". Remove "rxCount"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.8 P 140 L 28 # 2786  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

To address the editorial note in Figure 101-13, the following changes in state diagram are needed:

- a) change "dataCrcA != dataCrcB" to "dataCrcA != dataCrcB \* CRC40ErrCtrl = TRUE"
  - b) change "dataCrcA = dataCrcB" to "dataCrcA = dataCrcB + CRC40ErrCtrl = FALSE"
- Effectively, if CRC40ErrCtrl is enabled (errors are to be reported to upper layers), SyncHeader is invalidated when CRC40 does not match. Otherwise, when CRC40ErrCtrl is disabled, data is always treated as decoded correctly and passed along.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.2 P 141 L 23 # 2787  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Section 101.3.3.2 has no content today

*SuggestedRemedy*

Insert at least an editorial note indicating that content is missing

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.2 P 144 L 49 # 2788  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"The PMA converts data-groups into bits and passes these to the PMD:

- a) what are data groups? this is the only location in the whole draft where such a term is used ...
- b) "these" refers to bits or data-groups?

*SuggestedRemedy*

Cosnider revising to read: "The PMA converts data vectors into bits and passes then these data bits to the PMD"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.2 P 144 L 49 # 2789  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"The PMA converts data-groups into bits and passes these to the PMD, and vice versa. It also generates an additional status indication for use by its client." looking at figure Figure 100–3 (example), PMA performs many other functions, which are not described in this indtroduction.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Either add the high level description of other functions provided by PMA or remove the summary of PMA functions as is right now - it is very incomplete at best.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.2.1 P 145 L 3 # 2790  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"This primitive defines the transfer of data (in the form of data bits) from the PMA client to the PMA." - not only, you are also transferring markers for start and end of the burst.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Revise to read: "This primitive defines the transfer of data (in the form of data bits) from the PMA client to the PMA and notifies the PMA on the start and the end of the data burst."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.2.1.1 P 145 L 14 # 2791  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"In the downstream direction, the CLT transmission burst is always a single FEC codeword of size FEC\_DS\_CodeWordSize bits, and the CLT is continually sending bursts."

- a) continually or continuously? I believe the latter is correct  
b) In the downstream direction, is there really any need to mark burst start and end? If there is really no need, burstStart and burstEnd should also have one more value of "NA" used in downstream, where burst marking is really not needed.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Per comment  
Similar comment on 101.4.2.2.1

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.2.1.1 P 145 L 16 # 2792  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"In the upstream direction, the CNU transmission burst is scheduled by MPCP, is variable in size and may be composed of one or more concatenated FEC codewords." this is very little to do with the definition of the primitive itself. Remove.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Per comment  
Similarly, the last statement in 101.4.2.2.1 is not needed.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.2.1.2 P 145 L 22 # 2793  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"Both DS\_DataRate and US\_DataRate are expressed in bits per second (bps)" - irrelevant in this subclause - this definition needs to be included where the said two variables are first defined (101.x.x.x.x)

Simiarly, text of two notes in lines 24-31 is out of place.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Remove the highlighted text  
Move the text from notes into definition of individual variables, if there is any value in this text at all.  
Similar changes in 101.4.2.2.2

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.2.1.3 P 145 L 34 # 2794  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"Upon receipt of this primitive, the PMA Symbol Mapper transfers the data bit into the downstream OFDM frame." - not true. In the upstream direction, the same primitive is used and it is then "upstream" OFDM frame.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Strike the word "downstream"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.2.1.3 P 145 L 41 # 2795  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"In the CNU, both burstStart and burstEnd booleans are used by the upstream Symbol Mapper for placing start and end burst markers, respectively, into the appropriate resource elements. See 101.4.4.8." - in the context, these are parameters, and not booleans.

*SuggestedRemedy*

revise to read as follows: "In the CNU, the values of burstStart and burstEnd >>parameters<< are used by the upstream Symbol Mapper >>to infer placement of << start and end burst markers, respectively, into the appropriate resource elements. See 101.4.4.8."

Proposed Response Response Status O

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.4.3.1**    **P 146**    **L**    # **2796**  
Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **T**    **Comment Status**    **X**

"The PMA supports five channels where each channel is a 190 MHz OFDM channel (3800 subcarriers)" - why do we need to complicate statements without any need?

**SuggestedRemedy**  
Revise to read: "The PMA supports five 190 MHz wide OFDM channels where each OFDM channel contains up to 3800 subcarriers"

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.4.3.1**    **P 146**    **L 24**    # **2798**  
Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **T**    **Comment Status**    **X**

"OFDM channel 1 is always enabled." - this seems like a hard requirement, while the following sentence seems like an optional requirement.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
Change "OFDM channel 1 is always enabled. OFDM channels 2, 3, 4, and 5 are optionally configured for operation as per operator deployment requirements." to read "OFDM channel 1 shall be always enabled. OFDM channels 2, 3, 4, and 5 should be enabled when configured for operation."  
it is not really relevant who or what configures these channels

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.4.3.1**    **P 146**    **L 28**    # **2797**  
Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **T**    **Comment Status**    **X**

"Each OFDM channel is comprised of the following processing functions" - I am confused how an RF spectrum can be composed of processing functions ...

**SuggestedRemedy**  
Revise to read: "Each OFDM channel is associated with the following processing functions"

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.4.3.1**    **P 146**    **L 36**    # **2799**  
Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **T**    **Comment Status**    **X**

"of the cable network" - likely, CCDN?

**SuggestedRemedy**  
Change per comment

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.4.3.1**    **P 146**    **L 41**    # **2800**  
Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Network

**Comment Type**    **T**    **Comment Status**    **X**

"The Symbol Mapper multiplexes PCS data over all active subcarriers" - multiplexes seems like a very bad word here.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
Revise to read: "The Symbol Mapper maps PCS data into active subcarriers" - alternatively, "spreads" or "distributes" would be also fine, but "maps" seems to be the most appropriate given the name of the functional block itself.

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.4.3.10**    **P 165**    **L 2**    # **2931**  
Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **T**    **Comment Status**    **X**

It would be better to introduce DSNrp using wording similar to what was used for DSNcp

**SuggestedRemedy**  
Change:  
"The variable DSNrp represents the samples at the start of this N-point IDFT are copied and appended to the end of the IDFT output to give a sequence of length (N+DSNcp+DSNrp):"  
to  
"The variable DSNrp represents the provisioned duration, in OFDM clocks, of the DS windowing parameter (see Table 101-14) for the CLT. The DSNrp samples at the start of the N-point IDFT are copied and appended to the end of the IDFT output to give a sequence of length (N+DSNcp+DSNrp):"

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status**    **O**

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.10 P 165 L 37 # 2932  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 (see Table Ref)s/b 100-13  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.10 P 166 L 1 # 2933  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Window size (DSNrp) options are selected from the DS windowing parameter for the CLT  
 (see 45.2.1.108.1).  
 No need to ref CI 45 (we have mapping tables for that). The Req. is stated on pg 167 ln 20  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Strike  
 "Window size (DSNrp) options are selected from the DS windowing parameter for the CLT  
 (see 45.2.1.108.1)."  
 Move  
 "CP and  
 Window sizes shall be selected such that the DSNrp value is less than the CP value."  
 to pg 167 ln 22  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.10 P 167 L 4 # 2987  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Here we refer to "the last stage of Figure 101–25" but there is only one stage in that figure.  
 Probably Fig 101-26 was meant which includes Fig 101-25.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove Figure 101-25 and change references to 101-26 (3x)  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.11 P 169 L 14 # 2988  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Table 101–15 is normative, don't need double normatives.  
 A larger question is why this table is in CI 101 and not CI 100.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 row 1 change "shall always be" to "is always"  
 row 2 change "should be" to "is"  
 row 7 change "shall not" to "does not"  
 row 8 change "shall permit" to "permits"  
 Do we want to move this Table to CI 100?  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.2 P 147 L 21 # 2801  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 fDS should be changed to f>>DS<<, where "DS" is in subscript  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.2 P 147 L 39 # 2803  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

In addition to meeting the clock jitter requirements given above, the CLT is required to meet the phase noise specifications defined in Figure 100-1. In the event of a conflict between the clock jitter and the phase noise requirement, the CLT shall meet the more stringent requirement.

The first statement is a repetition of a requirement already existing in Clause 100 next to Table 100-1. Remove the first statement.

The second statement is not testable. Under what conditions would this be really required? If such conflicts are known to exist, they need to be spelled out and proper requirements need to be listed.

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.2 P 147 L 8 # 2802  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Table 101-9 contains a lot of descriptive text, which pertains to measurement subclause and not normative table itself.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the following text to subclause to describe the measurement process for specific values:

The maximum transmission time skew between any two OFDM channels  
The downstream clock timing is defined with respect to downstream PHY Link frame.  
The CNU adjusts its clock to synchronize its own clock timing with PHY Link frame for proper operation.

The CNU acquires downstream clock timing from the downstream signal (pilots, preambles, or mixed pilots, preambles, and data).

The CNU achieves downstream signal acquisition (frequency and time lock) in .. for a device with no previous network frequency plan knowledge

The CNU has a timing acquisition accuracy

Remove the following parameters from the table - they have no numeric values. These should become hard requirements in the text itself:

Carrier Frequency Acquisition  
Sampling rate  
OFDM RF Transmission Synchronization

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.3 P 147 L 46 # 2804  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"Each subcarrier in an OFDM channel is configured using the DS\_ModTypeSC(n) variables" - I believe these are registers in Clause 45.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read "Each subcarrier in an OFDM channel is configured using DS\_ModTypeSC(n) registers" - insert also cross reference to Clause 45 where these are defined.

Make sure these are not called "variables" but registers. There are plenty of locations where such terminology is still used and needs to be aligned accordingly.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.3 P 147 L 52 # 2805  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 "All devices in an EPoC network" - do you mean "all CNU's" ?  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to read "All CNUs"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.3.3 P 148 L 28 # 2807  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 "This may include subcarriers intended" ... what is "this" referring to in this case?  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Please replace "this" with a full subject to avoid interpretation problems.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.3 P 148 L 1 # 2806  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 In Table 101-10, what is "SC"? It seems that no unit is more appropriate here  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove "SC" from unit for "Minimum number of active subcarriers in a contiguous group"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.3.4 P 148 L 32 # 2809  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 "1Excluded subcarriers" - "1" does not seem to be needed :)  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove "1"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.3.3 P 148 L 27 # 2808  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 "There is at least one contiguous 22 MHz or greater band of subcarriers with an assigned bit loading in any single 192 MHz OFDM channel. " - this seems like a hard requirement for EPoC PHY Is there a normative requirement anywhere?  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 If there is no normative language for this minimum requirement in Clause 100, it should be added there.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.3.4 P 148 L 34 # 2810  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 "EPoC devices shall not transmit energy" - you probably mean "EPoC PHY"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to "EPoC PHY shall not transmit energy"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.3.4 P 148 L 34 # 2811  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

EPoC devices shall not transmit energy into a subcarrier that has been excluded from the OFDM channel (i.e, excluded subcarriers have zero amplitude). Typically there is a band edge Exclusion Band at both the top and bottom of the OFDM channel and there may be up to 14 exclusion bands internal to a single 192 MHz OFDM channel. Exclusion bands are limited to 20% or less of encompassed spectrum (see Table 101-10).

All of these rules call for an illustration of a spectrum with a typical allocation of the channel, exclusion bands, pilots, etc. to demonstrate what it is we are talking about.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert a new figure showing example of a typical spectrum allocation, with exclusion band, pilots, nulled subcarriers, etc.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.4 P 148 L 43 # 2812  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"The downstream OFDM frame pattern" - what is a "frame pattern"? it is used in just two locations in the whole draft and not defined anywhere.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the word "pattern" in this context, since it is meaningless.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.4 P 148 L 44 # 2813  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"Ref 102.2" should be "see 102.2"

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.4 P 149 L 1 # 2814  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Figure 101-16 does not show the coverage of "128 symbols" - are these all symbols shown in the figure, or just a subgroup of these symbols?

SuggestedRemedy

In either case, add vertical dashed line to present the start and the end of the OFDM frame.

It is also not clear whether the timestamp reference is at the start of the OFDM frame or its end, or somewhere in the middle.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.5 P 149 L 40 # 2815  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"Downstream pilots are subcarriers modulated by the CLT with a defined modulation pattern that is known to all the CNU's in the system to allow interoperability. " - this is a very complex way to express a simple concept - CNU's know in advance the modulation pattern for downstream pilots.

SuggestedRemedy

Reword to read "Downstream pilots are comprised of subcarriers modulated with a predefined pattern known to all CNU's. "

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.5 P 149 L 41 # 2816  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"This information is conveyed via" - what is "this information"?

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest to reword "Information about the modulation pattern for downstream pilots is transferred to CNU's via"

Proposed Response Response Status O



CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5 P 149 L 42 # 2817  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Consistency in capitalization of functional block names: "Pilot insertion follows time and frequency interleaving, before IDFT processing" should be likely "The Pilot Insertion process follows the Time and Frequency Interleaving process and precedes the IDFT Processing"

*SuggestedRemedy*

Per comment. Make sure that the names of individual functional blocks are consistent with the names used in Figures 100-2 through 6

Proposed Response Response Status

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.1 P 149 L 52 # 2818  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Either make the figure or the text normative, but not both.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change the text "The scattered pilot pattern shall be synchronized to the PHY Link as shown in Figure 101-17" to read "The scattered pilot pattern is synchronized to the PHY Link as shown in Figure 101-17" - the textual description is sufficient to guarantee IOP.

Proposed Response Response Status

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.1 P 150 L 7 # 2819  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

A normative normative requirement does not exist :) The whole list in lines 2 - 10 is already normative.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change "Scattered pilots placed in excluded subcarriers shall not be transmitted." to "Scattered pilots placed in excluded subcarriers are not transmitted."

Also, not sure whether this statement should not be really part of bullet 2)

Similarly, no need for "shall" statement in bullet 4.

The additional description on page 151, lines 1-20 is not really needed and should be removed.

Alternatively, if mathematical description is preferred, the text on page 151 should be made as mandatory (after cleanup and clarification) and the summary text on page 150 be removed.

Proposed Response Response Status

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.2 P 151 L 22 # 2820  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Scattered pilots have a nice figure showing how they are spread across different subcarriers. Is there any plan to add a similar figure for continuous pilots?

*SuggestedRemedy*

Insert a new figure, similar to Figure 101-17, showing placement of continuous pilots.

Overlapping between scattered and continuous pilots should be also demonstrated.

Proposed Response Response Status

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.3 P 151 L 42 # 2821  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"Table 101-11 provides the values of d1, d2, d3, and d4, " - there is no mandatory requirement for continuous pilots placed around PHY Link to follow the placement described in Table 101-11.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Add a "shall" statement making the placement of continuous pilots around PHY Link follow Table 101-11.

Proposed Response Response Status

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.4 P 152 L 10 # 2822  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"The CLT shall define a set of continuous pilots distributed as uniformly as possible" - now we have to define the precision for "as uniformly as possible"

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Change "as uniformly as possible" to "uniformly" and add informative text descriing the allowed tolerances for the uniformity or how the palcement of individual pilots is transfered to CNU.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.4 P 152 L 13 # 2823  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"The CLT ensures that there are no isolated active OFDM spectral regions that are not covered by continuous pilots." - it would be just sufficient to specify the maximum allowed spacing between neighboring continuous pilots across OFDM spectrum and leave out such imprecise statements out.

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Add a requirement on the maximum allowed spacing between neighboring continuous pilots across OFDM spectrum

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.4 P 152 L 16 # 2824  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"It is not practical to predefine the locations of this set of continuous pilots because of exclusion bands and excluded subcarriers." 0 unnecessary fluff. The standard says what it says and we do not need to explain why it does not say something else.

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Remove.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.4 P 152 L 20 # 2907  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

We should be referring to variables not CI 45 registers. "The CLT provides the continuous pilot placement definition via the 10GPASS-XR DS profile descriptor control registers (see 45.2.7a.1) using the PHY Link messaging formats contained in Clause 102."

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Change to "The CLT provides the continuous pilot placement definition via the 10GPASS-XR DS profile descriptor variables DS\_ModTypeSC(n) using the PHY Link EPoC message block format contained in 102.2.3.3." use live link

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.4 P 152 L 22 # 2825  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"The CLT shall adhere to the rules given below for the definition of this set of continuous pilot locations conveyed to the CNU via PHY Link messaging. It is noted that these rules do not apply to the eight predefined continuous pilots." - very complex way of saying the CLT places continuous pilots in specific locations.

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Change to the following statement: "The CLT shall place continuous pilots following Equation 101-4, excluding eight continuous pilots placed around PHY Link channel per 101.4.3.5.4."  
 Remove the statements: "The CLT places the continuous pilots generated using these rules in every OFDM symbol, in addition to the eight predefined continuous pilots.  
 The CLT obtains the value of N  
 PC using the following formula:" - they do not add anything to the specification

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.4 P 152 L 35 # 2908  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): in the above equation the term Npc conflicted with an identical term used in the cyclic prefix definition. The Editor substituted the term Npc.

This has served it's purpose

SuggestedRemedy

Remove

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.4 P 152 L 38 # 2827  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

A lot of unnecessary fluff in the text:

In equation 101-4 Fmax refers to frequency in Hz of the highest frequency active subcarrier and Fmin refers

to frequency in Hz of the lowest frequency active subcarrier of the OFDM channel. It is observed that the

number of continuous pilots is linearly proportional to the frequency range of the OFDM channel. It may

also be observed that the minimum number of continuous pilots defined cannot be less than 8, and the maxi

mum number of continuous pilots defined cannot exceed 120. Therefore, the total number of continuous

pilots, including the predefined ones, will be in the range 16 to 128, both inclusive.

Which seems to be more appropriate to a scientific paper than a standard.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise to read:

"The parameter Fmax in Equation (101-4) describes the frequency (in Hz) of the highest (in frequency) active subcarrier and the parameter Fmin describes the frequency (in Hz) of the lowest (in frequency) active subcarrier of the OFDM channel. The number of continuous pilots ranges from 16 to 126, inclusive, including eight continuous pilots placed around the PHY Link channel."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.4 P 152 L 45 # 2826  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"The value of M in Equation (101-4) is kept as a parameter that can be adjusted by the CLT. Nevertheless, the CLT ensures that M is in the range given by the following equation:

$120 \leq M \leq 48$  (101-5)

The typical value proposed for M is 48."

This is not intended to be a scientific paper - we just need to stick to the facts here.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise to read:

"The value of parameter M in Equation (101-4) ranges from 48 to 120, inclusive. ". CLT has no way to assure that the operator does not configure the said parameter to a different value.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.4 P 152 L 45 # 2909  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The value of M in Equation (101-4) is kept as a parameter that can be adjusted by the CLT. We need to add this as a formal variable and include in CI 45.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "M" with CntPitSF

Add section 101.4.3.5.5 Variables with definition of CntPitSF

Add mapping of variable to Table 101-1

Add mdio variable to register 1.1900.9:3

All changes summarized in remein\_3bn\_16.pdf

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.4 P 152 L 52 # 2911  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The CLT shall follow Step 1 through Step 6 and Step 8

Should be 1-8

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read :The CLT shall follow Step 1 through Step 8:

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.5.4 P 153 L 43 # 2910  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): we need a definition of "band edge".  
 The following is suggested: "(the boundary between an excluded subcarrier and a non-excluded subcarrier)"

SuggestedRemedy

Remove note - a definiton exists (see 101.4.4.3.2)

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.6.1 P 154 L 25 # 2912  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This statement is no longer true as we've moved the scrambler into the PMA  
 "Continually accepts a tx\_unit (bit) from the PCS via the PMA\_UNITDATA.request"

SuggestedRemedy

change to read:  
 "Continually accepts bits from the Scrambler"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.6.1 P 154 L 25 # 2913  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

We should be clear which "start of frame indication" we are referring to.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "start of OFDM frame indication"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.6.1 P 154 L 345 # 2914  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Update reference (see Section 101.4.3.6.x)

SuggestedRemedy

to: (see Section 101.4.3.6.5)

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.6.1 P 154 L 36 # 2915  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Wording:

"Per OFDM symbol, converts bits per subcarrier to an array of QAM constellation points using a two-dimensional array with an I and Q "bin" value per subcarrier. The bin array is then passed to the Interleaver per completed OFDM symbol."

SuggestedRemedy

to:

"Converts tx\_unit bits to an array of QAM constellation points using a two-dimensional array with an I and Q "bin" value for each subcarrier and passes these values to the Interleaver."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.6.1 P 154 L 39 # 2916  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

I expect this is done when the current symbol is filled and not when we exhaust the supply of bits:

"When all available data bits are mapped for the current symbol, the Symbol Mapper increments to the lowest active subcarrier of the next OFDM symbol."

SuggestedRemedy

to:

"When the last active subcarrier of the current symbol is completed, counter k is reset to 1 and begins processing the next OFDM symbol."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.6.2 P 155 L 46 # 2918  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 NI wrong format

SuggestedRemedy  
 italics with I subscripted.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.6.3 P 156 L 8 # 2917  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 References typically do not include titles and page number

SuggestedRemedy  
 remove title and page number.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.6.4 P 157 L 38 # 2919  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 This section is out of place, per block dia (fig 100-2) this should be above the scrambler.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Move to 101.4.3.6 and renumber.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.6.5 P 158 L 28 # 2920  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The FCP calculation section has lots of little problems:  
 1) supplied wrong tense  
 2) increments a bit counter at the start - should be resets at the start  
 3) of each downstream superframe s/b frame not superframe)  
 4) bit counter should inc. w/ each bit in the frame  
 5) clumsy wording in para starting "This function calculates the next (new)"  
 5) The value s/b FCP not UpdateFCP

SuggestedRemedy  
 Reword per remein\_3bn\_17\_0115.pdf (diff version in remein\_3bn\_17\_0115 CMP.pdf\_

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.7.1 P 158 L 49 # 2921  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 How can you time interleave a single symbol?  
 "The CLT first applies a time interleaver to an OFDM symbol worth of NI (see Equation (101-10)) subcarriers for the single IDFT to get a new set of NI subcarriers. The CLT then subjects these NI subcarriers to frequency interleaving."

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to:  
 The CLT first applies a time interleaver to all NI subcarriers (see Equation (101-10)) in a group of DS\_TmIntrlv OFDM symbols. The CLT then subjects these reordered NI x DS\_TmIntrlv subcarriers to frequency interleaving.

Add DS\_TmIntrlv to table 101-1  
 DS time interleaving | DS OFDM control | 1.1907.10:7 | DS\_TmIntrlv | 7 | 10:7

Add definition for DS\_TmIntrlv  
 DS\_TmIntrlv  
 TYPE: Integer  
 This variable determines the number of symbols in the downstream time interleaver. The value of TmIntrlv is between 1 and 32 inclusive.

Proposed Response Response Status O

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.4.3.7.1**    **P 158**    **L 51**    # **2923**  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **T**    **Comment Status**    **X**

This statement is not precisely correct as there is a separate time interleaver for the PHY Link.  
 "There is a single Time and Frequency interleaving function per OFDM channel."

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Change to:  
 "There is a single Time and Frequency interleaving function per OFDM channel for the MAC data path."

*Proposed Response*    *Response Status*   

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.4.3.7.2**    **P 159**    **L 28**    # **2856**  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **T**    **Comment Status**    **X**

The variable "M" is used in several places in the draft for different things"  
 1) Cl 100 pg 95 ln 42 - US time interleaver period (RB size)  
 2) Cl 101 pg 152 ln 45, 46, 48, 50 ... - a scaling factor for continuous pilots  
 3) Cl 101 pg 157 ln 12, 16 - DS time interleaver period  
 4) Cl 101 pg 161 ln 33, 30, 35 - DS time interleaver period(?)  
 Should also refer to variables not Cl 45

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Change "M" in this section and pg 157 with "DS\_TmIntrlv"  
 Change "M" to US\_TmIntrlv" pg 95

Add US\_TmIntrlv to table 101-1  
 US time interleaving | US OFDM control | 1.1901.11:7 | US\_TmIntrlv | 1 | 11:7

Add definition for US\_TmIntrlv  
 US\_TmIntrlv  
 TYPE: Integer  
 This variable determines the number of symbols in the upstream time interleaver (and thus the size of a resource block) to either 8 or 16.

*Proposed Response*    *Response Status*   

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.4.3.7.2**    **P 159**    **L 48**    # **2922**  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **E**    **Comment Status**    **X**

Rather than refer to the section we should refer to the equation here.  
 Change  
 Where, NI is the number of data subcarriers and scattered pilots in an OFDM symbol. See section 101.4.3.6.2.

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 to  
 Where, NI (see equation 101-10) is the number of data subcarriers and scattered pilots in an OFDM symbol.

*Proposed Response*    *Response Status*   

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.4.3.7.3**    **P 160**    **L 14**    # **2925**  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **T**    **Comment Status**    **X**

The following statement is inconsistent: "Although ND and NS are not the same for every symbol, the value of NI is a constant for all OFDM symbols in a given system configuration."

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Change to read:  
 "Although ND and NS are not the same for every symbol, the value of NI is a constant for all OFDM symbols in the downstream frame for a given system configuration."  
 Note that "are" in "NS are" should not be italics.

*Proposed Response*    *Response Status*

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.7.3 P 160 L 9 # 2924  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The following statement should only refer to frequency interleaving:  
"The CLT shall frequency interleave the OFDM symbols after the OFDM symbols have been time interleaved. The CLT shall not interleave continuous pilots, excluded subcarriers, or the subcarriers of the PHY Link."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read:  
"The CLT shall perform frequency interleaving after time interleaving; subcarriers containing continuous pilots, excluded subcarriers, or PHY Link data are not frequency interleaved."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.7.3 P 162 L 25 # 2926  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"m = L" should be in italics

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.7.3 P 163 L 17 # 2927  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Wording can be better than "follows the following process"

SuggestedRemedy

performs the following

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.7.4 P 163 L 48 # 2928  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"note the some"

SuggestedRemedy

"note that some"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.9 P 165 L 13 # 2930  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Table 101-12 is not reference and is included in Tables 100-2 & 100-10 (or at least should be, see separate comment on these)

SuggestedRemedy

remove table and subsequent ed note, and note in 24-26.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.9 P 165 L 49 # 2929  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

In the following statement it is not clear what "this signal" is:  
"This signal is described according to the following IDFT equation:"

SuggestedRemedy

Combine with previous para and reword as follows  
"These OFDM/OFDMA signals are described in IDFT equation 101-18."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.4.12.1 P 177 L 49 # 2996  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Another pesky CI 45 ref.

"Note that the complex numbers for the update coefficients values are in the form of  $I+jxQ$  where I and Q are both using 16-bit fractional two's complement notation -"s1.14" (sign bit, integer bit, and 14 fractional bits). See 45.x.x.x."

Number format is Q2.14 not s2.14

SuggestedRemedy

Combine with previous para and reword to:

"The variables EQ\_CoeffR(k) and EQ\_CoeffI(k) are updates to the real and imaginary (respectively) coefficient values in the form of  $I+jxQ$  where I and Q are both using 16-bit fractional two's complement notation (Q2.14 format).

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.4.13 P 178 L 22 # 2997  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

shall us one?

SuggestedRemedy

shall use one

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.4.4 P 171 L 24 # 2991  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Per Table 101-10 this TBD s/b 190 MHz

SuggestedRemedy

Change row to read:

Maximum OFDMA channel encompassed spectrum | 190 | MHz

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.4.4 P 171 L 8 # 2989  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Table 101-11 ref s/b Table 101-16

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.4.4.2 P 171 L 34 # 2990  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"There is at least one contiguous TBD MHz or greater band of subcarriers with an assigned bit loading in any single 192 MHz OFDM channel."

The TBD is 10 MHz and rather than referring to these as "assigned bit loading" we should use "Active subcarriers"

SuggestedRemedy

to:

"There is at least one contiguous 10 MHz or greater band of active subcarriers in any single 192 MHz OFDM channel."

Replace "TBD with "10" in two other places in this para.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.4.7 P 172 L 12 # 2993  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Wording "The Low Density Pilot resource element is modulated using either BPSK or 4 bits lower than normal, or which ever is higher"

SuggestedRemedy

to:

"The Low Density Pilot resource element is modulated using the higher modulation order of either BPSK or 4 bits lower than the bit loading specified in the ModTypeSC(n) variable for that subcarrier."

Proposed Response Response Status O



CI 101 SC 101.4.4.7 P 172 L 8 # 2992  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 "modulated per the 10GPASS-XR US profile descriptor control (see 45.2.7a.2)" should be per US\_ModTypeSC(n)

SuggestedRemedy  
 to read:  
 modulated per the US\_ModTypeSC(n) variable where n is the subcarrier index.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.4.8.3 P 175 L 35 # 2994  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): the TF has agreed that only one upstream profile is allowed to be in use at a time by all CNU. Text to support this position is requested from the TF.  
 See 101.4.4.4

SuggestedRemedy  
 strike note.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 101 SC 101.4.4.8.3 P 175 L 43 # 2995  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 This statement is no longer valid "DP is either data or pilot element."

SuggestedRemedy  
 strike

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.1 P 187 L 11 # 3000  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 We should introduce the PHY Link frame:  
 "Each frame is composed of message blocks"

SuggestedRemedy  
 To:  
 Both the US and the DS PHY Link include a frame structure. Each frame is composed of message blocks

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.1 P 187 L 15 # 3001  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 We should mention Probing in this introduction.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Add:  
 "The upstream superframe (see 101.4.4.3) begins with the Probe Period. CNU PHY Discovery responses and probing are performed during the Probing Period. The discovery response is used for initial CNU bring up and is fully described in 102.2.1.4. Probing is used to perform fine ranging and periodic link maintenance tasks and is described in 102.4.2."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.1 P 187 L 8 # 2998  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Introduce abbreviations:  
 "both the US and the DS directions"

SuggestedRemedy  
 to  
 "both the upstream (US) and the downstream (DS) directions"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.1 P 187 L 9 # 2999  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
This was changed recently: "In a multi OFDM channel PHY each OFDM channel has a PHY Link."

SuggestedRemedy  
to  
"In a multi OFDM channel PHY only OFDM channel one has a PHY Link."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.1 P 188 L 24 # 3012  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
Need a high level requirement that states the CLT and CNU support both US and DS PHY Link

SuggestedRemedy  
Add the following as the second sentence of this section:  
"The CLT and the CNU shall support both an upstream and a downstream PHY Link channel."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.1.1 P 188 L 5 # 3002  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
the "Fixed number of symbols' in Figure 102-2 is known.

SuggestedRemedy  
Change to 256 symbols  
Add a 6 symbol block to front of frame labeled Probe Period.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.1.2 P 189 L 3 # 3007  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
Figures 102-3 and 102-4 needs a clearer representation of Probe and PHY Discovery receiver/generator

SuggestedRemedy  
Replace with figures in remain\_3bn\_19\_0515.pdf section 102.4.2.6

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.1.3 P 190 L 32 # 3003  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
Still have four but different than those listed here:  
"In the downstream direction there are four message blocks; the Timestamp message block, the EPoC PHY Frame Header, the EPoC message block, and the FEC Parity message block."

SuggestedRemedy  
To:  
"In the downstream direction there are four message blocks; the EPoC PHY Frame Header (EPFH), the EPoC Probe Control Header (EPCH), the EPoC message block, and the FEC Parity message block."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.1.3 P 190 L 35 # 3004  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
Should we mention Probing as a "signaling type" here?  
The upstream PHY Link Message Engine also has the one additional PHY to PHY signaling types; PHY Discovery Response.

SuggestedRemedy  
To:  
The upstream PHY Link Message Engine also has the two additional PHY to PHY signaling types; PHY Discovery Response and Probing.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.1.3 P 190 L 41 # 3010  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Does this bit transmission order also apply to PHY Discovery and Probing signaling types?  
 "Once a PHY Link message block has been created the stream of bytes is converted into a  
 stream of bits, MSB first, as illustrated in Figure 102-5."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 I don't know.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.2.1.2 P 197 L 32 # 3014  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Ref should be Table 100-1 not Figure 100-1  
 under PHY Link CLT Tx / CNU Rx in Figure 100-1.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.1.4 P 194 L 23 # 3011  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): In draft 1.0 the figure above was  
 redrawn in native FrameMaker format and to be consistent with other figures in this series,  
 original authors are advised to review.  
 By now this should have happened.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Strike EDITORS NOTE  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.2.1.3 P 197 L 40 # 2934  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 u\_i should be ui with i subscripted  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.2.1.1 P 196 L 36 # 3013  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 What about scattered pilots?  
 "No additional continuous pilots are allowed within ..."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change to  
 "No additional pilot tones are allowed within ..."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.2.1.3 P 198 L 18 # 2935  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 are then be time interleaved  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 are then time interleaved  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.2.2 P 199 L 28 # 2936  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This statement regarding the preamble should be normative  
 The downstream Preamble is a fixed pattern of 64 bits that fill the first eight symbols of the PHY Link frame.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change to:

The downstream Preamble shall be a fixed pattern of 64 bits as illustrated in Table 102-4, modulated using binary phase-shift keying (BPSK), that fill the first eight symbols of the PHY Link frame.

Add to end of para

Detection of the PHY Link is the first action a CNU must take to join an EPoC network.

Reword next para from:

"The CLT shall modulate the subcarriers in the DS PHY Link preamble (the first eight symbols in the PHY Link frame) using binary phase-shift keying (BPSK), as shown in Table 102-4 and map each of the binary bits shown to a BPSK constellation point in the complex plane using the following transformation:"

to:

"The CLT maps each of the binary bits shown in Table 102-4 to a BPSK constellation point in the complex plane using the following transformation:"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.2.3.1 P 203 L 6 # 2938  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There are at least four instances of the following statement in clause 102 "The contents of the [message block name] is protected by a CRC(32). See 3.2.9 for a description of how this field is calculated". In no case do we describe what action should be taken or not taken if the CRC does not match.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Remove existing statements and add the following para at the end of section 102.2.3  
 The contents of the each message block is protected by a CRC(32). See 3.2.9 for a description of how this field is calculated. The CNU shall calculate a CRC(32) on the data fields within each message block received and, if the calculated CRC(32) does not match the received CRC(32) discard the message and take no action based on it.  
 Add the following to the end of section 102.3.3.

The contents of the each message block is protected by a CRC(32). See 3.2.9 for a description of how this field is calculated. The CLT shall calculate a CRC(32) on the data fields within each message block received and, if the calculated CRC(32) does not match the received CRC(32) discard the message and take no action based on it.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.2.3.2.1 P 204 L 2 # 2937  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Confusion  
 "The remaining subfields set per the corresponding"

*SuggestedRemedy*

To:

"The remaining subfields set the corresponding"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.2.3.2.3 P 205 L 4 # 2952  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Fig 102-15  
 32b should be 64b  
 MAC1 should just be MAC  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.2.6.2 P 207 L 22 # 2939  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 duplicate types  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove the latter.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.2.6.3 P 207 L 35 # 2940  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 We should be clear which FEC codeword we are referring to.  
 "This variable represents the beginning of the first FEC codeword in the current downstream PHY Link frame as described in 102.2.3.5"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change first FEC codeword to first MAC data FEC codeword  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.2.6.7 P 210 L 1 # 2941  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Update to Figure 102-16  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See text and figure from remain\_3bn\_19\_0515.pdf for section 102.2.6  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.3.1.2 P 211 L 14 # 2942  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 We should have a normative statement on what modulation types are allowable for the US PHY Link  
 "The US PHY Link may use any of the modulation formats listed under PHY Link CNU Tx/CLT Rx in Figure 100-1."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change may to shall  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.3.2.2.1 P 213 L 3 # 2943  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Originally we were intending to send PHY Discovery response in the PHY Link so "normal data transfers" made sense. This is not longer the case.  
 "For normal data transfers the upstream PHY Link shall use a (384,288) binary punctured LDPC code described in 102.1.4.2.1."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 To:  
 "The upstream PHY Link shall use a (384,288) binary punctured LDPC code described in 102.1.4.2.1."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.3.4 P 213 L 6 # 2944  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 We haven't specified what the data pattern for these PHY Link pilots are.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add an Ed note that the data pattern for these US Pilots is needed.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.3.5.4 P 210 L 25 # 2945  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 RndDly(r) - this function is not used here.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Move to 102.4.1.7.4 Functions  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.3.5.7 P 215 L 1 # 2946  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Update for SD Figure 102-18  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See text and figure from remain\_3bn\_19\_0115.pdf section 102.3.5  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.4 P 215 L 40 # 2947  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 While we describe PHY Discovery we have no description of wideband probing.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add:  
 While an EPoC network is in operation, periodic verification of the CNU's OFDMA timing is needed to ensure orthogonally. This is accomplished using wideband probing. Wideband probing is also used during the PHY Discovery process to fine tune the timing of CNU's joining the network.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.4.1.1 P 215 L 46 # 2948  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 We removed Fine Ranging in name only, we now use Wideband Probing for this purpose. The PHY Discovery process is composed of; PHY Link acquisition, PHY Discovery window opening, PHY Discovery response, and CNU\_ID Allocation.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 to:  
 The PHY Discovery process is composed of; PHY Link acquisition, PHY Discovery window opening, PHY Discovery response, CNU\_ID Allocation, and Wideband Probing.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.4.1.4 P 217 L 12 # 2951  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 For consistency we should refer to these opportunities as windows  
 "Each CNU selects a random number of Discovery response opportunities it waits before transmitting the PHY Discovery Response."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 to  
 Each CNU selects a random number of PHY Discovery windows it waits before transmitting the PHY Discovery Response.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.4.1.4 P 217 L 21 # 2950  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The following statement is not quite true. PHY Disc response is contained in 128 SC's.  
 "The PHY Discovery Response shall include a spectrum of 128 contiguous subcarriers ..."

SuggestedRemedy  
 to  
 "The PHY Discovery Response shall be contained in a spectrum of 128 contiguous subcarriers ..."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.4.1.4 P 217 L 34 # 2953  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 In Figure 102–20 "US Frame" should be US Superframe

SuggestedRemedy  
 per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.4.1.4 P 217 L 6 # 2949  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 We no longer have a PHY Discovery Instruction

SuggestedRemedy  
 remove phrase

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.4.1.4 P 218 L 1 # 2954  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 When adding the SD we included a CRC with the PHY Discovery Response.  
 "only data included is the CNU MAC address"

SuggestedRemedy  
 to  
 "only data included is the CNU MAC address protected by a CRC(32)."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 102 SC 102.4.1.4 P 218 L 20 # 2955  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Figure 102–21 NCP & NRP should be US\_Rcp & US\_Nrp resp.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Update figure

Reword:  
 "This duplication is accomplished by duplicating the time domain samples at the output of the iFFT in the upstream data path for these signals, and adding cyclic prefix and windowing as illustrated in Figure 102–21."  
 to:  
 "This duplication is accomplished by duplicating the time domain samples at the output of the iFFT in the upstream data path for these signals, and adding cyclic prefix and windowing (per variables US\_Ncp and US\_Nrp respectively) as illustrated in Figure 102–21."

In Table 102-3 add entries for US\_Ncp and US\_Nrp:  
 US time interleaving | US OFDM control | 1.1907.10:7 | US\_TmIntrlv | 7 | 10:7  
 US windowing | US OFDM control | 1.1907.6:4 | US\_Nrp | 7 | 6:4

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.4.1.4 P 218 L 20 # 3009  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

*Comment Type* T *Comment Status* X

We should be consistent with the use of variable names such as Ncp & Nrp. In this para they are clearly associated with DS.  
 See similar comments against CI 100

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Change NCP (subscripted) 5x in Fig 102-21 to US\_Ncp (no subscripting)  
 Change NRP(subscripted) in Fig 102-21 to US\_Nrp (no subscripting)

*Proposed Response* *Response Status* O

CI 102 SC 102.4.1.6 P 219 L 19 # 3008  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

*Comment Type* T *Comment Status* X

Duplicate requirements. TEXT: To allocate the CNU\_ID the CLT shall use the CNU\_ID Allocation message ... AND: These parameters shall be transmitted to the CNU via the CNU\_ID Allocation instruction

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Change the second requirement to: These parameters are transmitted to the CNU via the CNU\_ID Allocation instruction.

*Proposed Response* *Response Status* O

CI 102 SC 102.4.1.6 P 219 L 34 # 2956  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

*Comment Type* T *Comment Status* X

Variable names for MAC address are incorrect. Also the way we've specified read instructions they don't include data so the MAC address cannot be included in a read.

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Change variable names to NewCNU\_MAC0 through NewCNU\_MAC2  
 Change Read to Write

*Proposed Response* *Response Status* O

CI 102 SC 102.4.1.7.7 P 221 L 11 # 2957  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

*Comment Type* T *Comment Status* X

This SD needs to be aligned to the EPCH added in the last round.

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 See updated text and figure in remain\_3bn\_19\_0115.pdf section 102.4.1.7  
 Can we get rid of the TBD?

*Proposed Response* *Response Status* O

CI 102 SC 102.4.2.1 P 221 L 44 # 2958  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

*Comment Type* T *Comment Status* X

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication); should we include an item in the above list for fine ranging (or whatever we decide to call it now that we don't have fine ranging)?

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Add:  
 3) Upstream fine tuning. During CNU bring up the CLT can use wideband probing to fine tune the new CNU to the upstream OFDMA frame and superframe.  
 Remove the note.

*Proposed Response* *Response Status* O

CI 102 SC 102.4.2.3 P 222 L 13 # 2960  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

*Comment Type* T *Comment Status* X

It would be better if we used the proper variable names in this statement:  
 "The CNU uses the start subcarrier and subcarrier skipping parameters"

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 to  
 "The CNU uses the PrbStrtSC and PrbSkp variable"

*Proposed Response* *Response Status* O



CI 102 SC 102.4.2.3 P 222 L 7 # 2959  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 We should be consistent in our reference to this: "EPoC Probe Control"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add "Header"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.4.2.6 P 226 L 6 # 2963  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Misplaced variable name PrbID.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.4.2.3 P 223 L 26 # 2961  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 In these examples it would be better to include the proper variable names for symbol ID.  
 "1) Allocate a specific probing symbol to a single CNU."  
 "1) Allocate the same probing symbol at any given time to more than one CNU."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to:  
 "1) Allocate a specific probing symbol to a single CNU using StrtSym and SymNum." (in 2x)  
 "1) Allocate the same probing symbol at any given time to more than one CNU using StrtSym and SymNum."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.4.2.6 P 226 L 9 # 3005  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 A reasonable restriction on StrtSym & SymNum is that their sum be <= 6  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add the following to the description of both variables:  
 "The sum of StrtSym and SymNum is less than or equal to six."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.4.2.6 P 225 L 24 # 2962  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 This this is confusing:  
 "When this CNU\_ID is contained in this set of variables the CNU is allowed to transmit ..."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 to:  
 "When the value of the CNU\_ID of the CNU is contained in this set of variables the CNU is allowed to transmit ..."  
 This change is included in remein\_3bn\_19\_0115.pdf  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 102 SC 102.4.2.9 P 227 L 10 # 2849  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 In Fig 102-28 exit statement for WAIT FOR PROBE SYM "PrbID" should be "ActPrbID"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

**Cl 102**    **SC 102.4.3**                      **P 227**            **L 46**                      # **3006**  
 Remein, Duane                              Huawei Technologies

*Comment Type*    **E**                      *Comment Status*    **X**  
 EDITORS NOTE has served it's purpose. Ref to Table 102-12 in error

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 remove note  
 add live ref to Table 102-13

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **O**

**Cl 103**    **SC 103.2.2.1**                      **P 246**            **L 11**                      # **2847**  
 Zhang, Jin                                      Marvell Semiconductor

*Comment Type*    **TR**                      *Comment Status*    **X**  
 FEC\_PARITY\_SIZE value needs to be determined.

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 The value should be 227. (ceiling(2944/13))

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **O**

**Cl 103**    **SC 103.2.2.1**                      **P 246**            **L 16**                      # **2848**  
 Zhang, Jin                                      Marvell Semiconductor

*Comment Type*    **TR**                      *Comment Status*    **X**  
 FEC\_PAYLOAD\_SIZE needs to be determined

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 The value should be 1760.

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **O**

**Cl 103**    **SC 103.2.2.1**                      **P 246**            **L 6**                        # **2845**  
 Zhang, Jin                                      Marvell Semiconductor

*Comment Type*    **T**                        *Comment Status*    **X**  
 FEC\_CODEWORD\_SIZE value should be determined. In accordance with the PMD\_Overhead function, a fractional number constant FEC\_CODEWORD\_SIZE\_FRAC should be added.

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 The value of FEC\_CODEWORD\_SIZE is 1987 bytes.  
  
 The definition of FEC\_CODEWORD\_SIZE\_FRAC is  
  
 FEC\_CODEWORD\_SIZE\_FRAC  
 TYPE: FRACTIONI  
 This constant represents the exact size of FEC codeword in fraction of octets, because the parity bit is not multiple of 65 bits  
 Value: (1760+2944/13)

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **O**

**Cl 103**    **SC 103.2.2.3**                      **P 247**            **L 14**                      # **2846**  
 Zhang, Jin                                      Marvell Semiconductor

*Comment Type*    **T**                        *Comment Status*    **X**  
 The decription of fecOffset needs to be modified in accordance with the CLT Control multiplexer diagram.

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 fecOffset  
 TYPE: 32 bit unsigned fn  
 A variable that advances by 1 after every octet time. After reaching the value of FEC\_CODEWORD\_SIZE, this variable is on hold for a period of time for PMD derating and then reset to zero. The diagram of fecOffset can be seen at Figure 103-x. (Please see attached file zhang\_3bn\_03\_0115.pdf for diagram, also available in vsd format).

*Proposed Response*                      *Response Status*    **O**

CI 103 SC 103.2.2.3 P 249 L 4 # 2840  
Zhang, Jin Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The variable PhyOutDataSize and PhyInDataSize are unclear how to determine their values. It also seems that these two variables are not necessary in equation (103-1). The beta parameter can just be defined with XGMII\_rate and PCS\_rate

*SuggestedRemedy*

Remove these two variables.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 103 SC 103.2.2.4 P 250 L 11 # 2844  
Zhang, Jin Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The definition of PMD\_Overhead function needs to be updated in accordance with the diagram of CLT control multiplexer

*SuggestedRemedy*

Please see the attached text zhang\_3bn\_02\_0115.pdf, also available in .docx format.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 103 SC 103.2.2.7 P 255 L 1 # 2843  
Zhang, Jin Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Fig. 103-12, the diagram of CLT control multiplexer needs to be updated to take into account the PMD derating overhead.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Use the modified CLT control multiplexer diagram as attached in zhang\_3bn\_01\_0115.pdf, also available in .vsd format.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 103 SC 103.3.3 P 259 L 11 # 2965  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

PIC OM3 points to this section but there is no shall in the section. CI 77 excludes the shall while CI 64 includes it. TEXT: Each CNU waits a random amount of time before transmitting the REGISTER\_REQ MPCPDU that is shorter than the length of the discovery window.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change to: Each CNU shall wait a random amount of time before transmitting the REGISTER\_REQ MPCPDU that is shorter than the length of the discovery window.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 103 SC 103.3.5 Gate P 275 L 38 # 2964  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

I believe this phrase was added to accommodate TDD and should be removed; "and the DA field differs from the local address of the CLT"

*SuggestedRemedy*

remove the phrase

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 103 SC 103.3.6.2 P 286 L 16 # 2966  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

PIC MP7 points to this section but there is no shall in the section. Both CI 77 and 64 exclude the shall. TEXT: CNUs issue REPORT messages periodically in order to maintain link health at the CLT as defined in 103.3.4.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change to: ONUs shall issue REPORT messages periodically in order to maintain link health at the OLT as defined in 77.3.4.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 103 SC 103.3.6.2 P 287 L 2 # 2967  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**

Shall with no PIC statement. The following statement has no PICS statement. TEXT: The reported length shall be adjusted and rounded up to the nearest time\_quantum to account for the necessary inter-frame spacing and preamble. FEC parity overhead is not included in the reported length.

This problem exists in CI 77 also.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Editor to coordinate resolution with maintance and apply a similar resolution as that accepted in P802.3bx Suggested remedy there is:

Add PICS

MP8a | 77.3.6.2 | REPORT Queue #n length roundeign | ONU:M | Yes[]

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 103 SC 103.5.4.2 P 296 L 31 # 2968  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**

OM5 pointing to incorrect section (103.3.3.4)  
 OM6 pointing to incorrect section (103.3.3.5)

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change to 103.3.4  
 Change to 103.3.5  
 resp.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 45 SC 45.2 P 27 L 5 # 2969  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**

Due to changes that will be introduced in 802.3 2015 para and register numbering may become incorrect.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Add editors note:

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): Paragraph and register numbering will need to be reviewed and updated after release of 802.3 2015.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 45 SC 45.2.1 P 30 L 3 # 2740  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**

I believe 802.3bj was published in June 2014

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change publication date for 802.3bj globally, and make sure it is now part of the frontmatter with the proper scope statement.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.109 P 38 L 20 # 2741  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**

Title of 45.2.1.109.1 reads: "DS OFDM freq ch1" but the register name is "DS OFDM freq ch 1" in Table 45-78c - note the extra space between "ch" and "digit"

*SuggestedRemedy*

Align the subclause heading names with the names of registers

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.109.1 P 38 L 21 # 2970  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **X**

Errant comma: 1.1902,15:0

*SuggestedRemedy*

Changed to 1.1902.15:0

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.110 P 39 L 3 # 2971  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 The assignment is not are

SuggestedRemedy  
 Changed all "assignment ... are" to "assignment .... is"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.110 P 39 L 5 # 2773  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 The draft still has plenty of empty lines

SuggestedRemedy  
 Exercise the draft and remove unnecessary empty lines

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.110.1 P 38 L 44 # 2742  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 The text of the NOTE does not have a proper style. See 802.3-2012, section 1, page 56, for proper style.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.112 P 40 L 29 # 2774  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 "The assignment of bits in the US OFDMA pilot pattern registers are shown in Table 45-78x. " - it is actually shown in "Table 45-78f"

SuggestedRemedy  
 Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.112 P 40 L 35 # 2972  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Table 45-78f should only address register 1.1909

SuggestedRemedy  
 Replaced instances of "1.1910." with "1.1909."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.115 P 42 L 11 # 2973  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 IEEE style guide precludes sub-section with only one section. Combine Sections 45.2.1.115 and 45.2.1.115.1

SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove section 45.2.1.115.1 and change section to read 45.2.1.115 PHY Discovery control register (Registers 1.1913 and 1.1914)  
 The PHY Discovery process is used to bring up new CNUs on the EPoC coax cable distribution network. Registers 1.1913 and 1.1914 indicate when the next PHY Discovery window is opened relative to the downstream Timestamp with bit 1.1913.0 being the LSB and bit 1.1914.15 bring the MSB. Setting the PHY Discovery start parameter to zero disables the PHY Discovery window. The PHY Discovery process is fully described in 102.4. The assignment of bits in the PHY Discovery control register is shown in Table 45-78j.

Proposed Response Response Status O

**CI 45**    **SC 45.2.1.115**    **P 45**    **L 43**    # 2984  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type E**    **Comment Status X**  
 (Register should be plural  
 same for 45.2.1.123, 45.2.1.124 & 45.2.1.125, 45.2.1.126, 45.2.1.127, 45.2.7a.1,  
 45.2.7a.2, and 45.2.7a.3)

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Changed to (Registers)

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status O**

**CI 45**    **SC 45.2.1.116.1**    **P 43**    **L 2**    # 2975  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type E**    **Comment Status X**  
 The CNU\_ID assigned flag is used ... should refer to the register number not the name.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Change to:  
 The value of bit 1.1915:15 is used

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status O**

**CI 45**    **SC 45.2.1.116.2**    **P 43**    **L 10**    # 2976  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type E**    **Comment Status X**  
 The Allowed CNU\_ID bits ... should refer to the register number not the name.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Change to:  
 The value of bits 1.1915:14 through 1.1915:0 are used to

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status O**

**CI 45**    **SC 45.2.1.117.1**    **P 43**    **L 44**    # 2974  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type T**    **Comment Status X**  
 New CNU Range units need to be defined. We have two obvious options:  
 TQ (16 ns or 1047.576 us max)  
 or  
 OFDM clock (1/204.8MHz or 319 us max)

Also should refer to register bits not name.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 use OFDM Clock.  
 Change from  
 The New CNU Range bits are an integer that indicates the range of the CNU  
 corresponding to Allowed CNU\_ID (see 102.4) in units of TBD.  
 to  
 Register bits 1.1916.15 through 1.1916.0 form an integer indicating range of the CNU  
 corresponding to Allowed CNU\_ID (see 102.4) in units of OFDM clock (1/204.8 MHz).

Remove "(in TBD)" from table 45-78l

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status O**

**CI 45**    **SC 45.2.1.118**    **P 43**    **L 46**    # 2977  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type E**    **Comment Status X**  
 Should be L5 header not L4.  
 Also reword to refer to register bits not name

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Change from:  
 45.2.1.118 New CNU MAC 0 through 2 (1.1917.15:0 through 1.1919.15:0)  
 The New CNU MAC registers hold the MAC address of the CNU corresponding to Allowed  
 CNU\_ID (see  
 45.2.1.116) with register 1.1917.0 being the LSB and 1919.15 being the MSB.

to  
 45.2.1.117.2 New CNU MAC 0 through 2 (1.1917.15:0 through 1.1919.15:0)  
 Register bits 1.1919.15 through 1.1917.0 hold the MAC address of the CNU corresponding  
 to Allowed CNU\_ID (see 45.2.1.116) with register 1.1917.0 being the LSB and 1.1919.15  
 being the MSB.

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status O**

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.119 P 44 L 1 # 2979  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

IEEE style guide precludes sub-section with only one section. Combine Sections 45.2.1.119 and 45.2.1.119.1

*SuggestedRemedy*

Remove section 45.2.1.119.1 and change section to read 45.2.1.119 DS PHY Link frame counter bit definitions (Register 1.1921) Register 1.1921.15 through 1.1921.0 represent the DS PHY Link frame count. This counter is incremented at the beginning of the PHY Link frame and, on terminal count, rolls over to zero. The assignment of bits in the DS PHY Link frame counter bit definition is shown in Table 45-78m.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.120 P 44 L 21 # 2978  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Missing Registers

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change (1.1922 and 1.1923) to (Registers 1.1922 and 1.1923)

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.120 P 44 L 22 # 2980  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

IEEE style guide precludes sub-section with only one section. Combine Sections 45.2.1.120 and 45.2.1.120.1

*SuggestedRemedy*

Remove section 45.2.1.120.1 and change section to read 45.2.1.120 PHY timing offset (Registers 1.1922 and 1.1923) Registers 1.1923 through 1.1922 form a signed 32-bit integer in units of 1/204.8 MHz. Bit 1.1922.0 is the LSB of this parameter and bit 1.1923.15 is the MSB. A negative value causes the timing of the CNU transmissions to be delayed. The PHY timing offset register is used to align the CNU to the upstream OFDM timing. For more information on the use of this register see 102.4. The assignment of bits in the PHY timing offset bit registers is shown in Table 45-78n.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.120 P 44 L 23 # 2775  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X

missing "." at the end of "The assignment of bits in the PHY timing offset bit registers is shown in Table 45-78n"

*SuggestedRemedy*

Per comment. Same in 45.2.1.121

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.121 P 45 L 1 # 2983  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

IEEE style guide precludes sub-section with only one section. Combine Sections 45.2.1.121 and 45.2.1.121.1

*SuggestedRemedy*

Remove section 45.2.1.121.1 and change section to read 45.2.1.121 PHY power offset (Register 1.1924) Register bits 1.1924:7 through 1.1924:0 represent a signed 8-bit value in units of 1/4 dB. The PHY power offset is used to set the CNU upstream transmitter power by specifying the relative change in transmission power level the CNU is to make in order that transmissions arrive at the CLT at the desired power level. For more information on the use of this register see 102.4. The assignment of bits in the PHY power offset bit definition is shown in Table 45-78o.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.123 P 45 L 45 # 2776  
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

"that conforms to the UQ34.3 format" - normative reference for the said format is missing.

*SuggestedRemedy*

My searches come up empty - please add normative reference for the said format.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a P 48 L 15 # 2982  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

We currently only have sufficient registers defined for a single 4k OFDM channel in both US and DS but we have up to 5 such channels. A way is needed to set the OPFDM parameters for each channel.

SuggestedRemedy

for each OFDM register set, define the register that would apply to the lowest SC or SC's to use as a channel designator and hand-shaking flags.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.1 P 49 L 31 # 2986  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Editors note has served it's purpose.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.1 P 49 L 31 # 2985  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Footnote regarding "Continuous pilot" to BPSK has served it's purpose. Also on Pg 50 line 46

SuggestedRemedy

Removed footnotes

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.2 P 50 L 20 # 2777  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Table 45-191c needs to have the first column extended to avoid breaking register numbers across lines

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.3.1 P 51 L 49 # 2981  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Number format should be Q2.14 not UQ2.14  
Also ref in preceding para at line 29 should be 101.4.4.11 not 101.4.5

SuggestedRemedy

Change to UQ2.14  
update ref.

Proposed Response Response Status O