

CI 00 SC 0 P 175 L 27 # 3212  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): the above variable definition of DS\_FreqCh(n), taken from 45.2.1.109 should be moved to CI 100 and referenced in the above para. The details in CI 45 should be removed to avoid duplicate definitions and a cross reference to the location in CI 100 provided. A complementary definition for the US OFDMA channel center frequency is also needed in CI 100.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Move DS\_FreqCh(n) definition to 100.2.7.1  
 Change definition in 101.4.2.10.1 to read: "See 100.2.7.1"

Change text of 45.2.1.109.1 from  
 "Register bits 1.1902.15:0 specify the center frequency, in steps of 50 kHz, of subcarrier 0 for the first OFDM channel. Subcarriers are numbered from 0 to 4095 with subcarrier 0 at the lowest frequency. This definition equates to a subcarrier 0 center frequency of from 54.0 to 3,276.75 MHz in 50 kHz steps. The minimum value for this register is 1080. See 101.4.2.12 for additional details."

To:  
 "Register 1.1902 specifies the center frequency for the first OFDM channel. This register is a reflection of the DS\_FreqCh(1) defined in 100.2.7.1."

Similarly change 45.2.1.109.2 thru 45.2.1.109.5 to read:  
 "Register 1.190x specifies the center frequency for the second OFDM channel. This register is a reflection of the DS\_FreqCh(x) defined in 100.2.7.1." Replacing x and second with the appropriate numbering.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 00 SC 0 P 209 L 10 # 3222  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): not all variables need to be included in CI 45. We need to determine how to index variables that need to be communicated over the PHY Link that are not included in CI 45. Current "rule" is:  
 If  $1.1900 \leq \text{RegAdd} \leq 1.1999$  Then  $\text{Index} = \text{RegAdd} - 1.1900 * 1000$  (i.e., 0-99)  
 as of Draft 1.3 38 indexes in this range were in use.  
 If  $12.0000 \leq \text{RegAdd}$  Then  $\text{Index} = (\text{RegAdd} - 12.0000) * 1000 + 100$  (i.e., 100 + )

*SuggestedRemedy*

For variables defined in CL 45 MMD 1 use register address minus 1900 per current rule. This will result in indices of 0 - 38 for currently defined registers.  
 For variables not defined in CI 45 use index of 500-999  
 For variables defined in CI 45 MMD 12 use register address + 1000. Thus registers 12.0000 to 12.10241 will use indices 1000 to 11241.  
 Update Tables 100-1, 101-1, 102-3 and 102-13.  
 Remove editors note.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 00 SC 0 P 235 L 19 # 3235  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

No such variable as NxtCNU\_ID, Shouldn't ref CI 45 as normative.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change 3 instances of NxtCNU\_ID to AllwdCNU\_ID,  
 Strike references to CI 45 in this para: "(see 45.2.1.117)", "(see 45.2.1.120)" and "(see 45.2.1.121)"

Add "variables" to very end of para so it reads: "... write the CNU PHYTimingOffset and PHYPowerOffset variables."

Add the following definitions to 102.4.1.7.2

AllwdCNU\_I  
 TYPE: 15-bit integer

This variable is used to indicate to the 10GPASS-XR PHY a valid CNU\_ID value. The value may be assigned to a new CNU when the associated CNU\_ID assigned flag is set to zero, when the flag is set to one it is an indication that this value has already been assigned to a CNU and it should not be use for another CNU.

DS\_OFDM\_ID

TYPE: 3-bit integer

This variable is a pointer to one of the five possible OFDM channels in the downstream EPoC network. Thus when DS\_OFDM\_ID is set to a value of one variables DS\_ModTypeSC(n) reflect the OFDM descriptor for OFDM channel one. When DS\_OFDM\_ID is set to a value of two variables DS\_ModTypeSC(n) reflect the OFDM descriptor for OFDM channel two, etc.

In 45.2.1.117.2 pg 45 In 13 change:

"See 102.4.1.6 for additional details on the use of these bits."

to:

"These bits are a reflection of the AllwdCNU\_I variable defined in 102.4.1.7.2."

In 45.2.7a.1 pg 49 In 51 add the following:

"These bits are a reflection of the DS\_OFDM\_ID variable defined in 101.4.2.3.5."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 00 SC 0 P 24 L 20 # 3238  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Align capitalization:  
 Coax Cable Distribution Network  
 coax cable distribution network  
 Proper noun or not? I think not

*SuggestedRemedy*

Use coax cable distribution network in all cases Excepting Fig 100-2, 100-3, 100-4 & 100-5 where upper case is used exclusively.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 00 SC 0 P 24 L 31 # 3241  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Align capitalization  
 Cyclic Prefix  
 cyclic prefix  
 Proper noun or not? I think not (not eh term is used in 802.3bx as cyclic prefix)

*SuggestedRemedy*

Convert all instances to cyclic prefix excepting cases where it is all caps in figures (in Fig 100-2 use all caps)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P 24 L 37 # 3242  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A  
Align capitalization  
Modulation Error Ratio or modulation error ratio?  
Also we should not define the abbreviation in the Definitions clause

SuggestedRemedy  
Use modulation error ratio exclusively.  
Change  
1.4.258a Modulation Error Ratio (MER): to  
1.4.258a modulation error ratio:

Add to 1.5 Abbreviations  
MER modulation error ratio

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P 244 L 7 # 3254  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A  
Variables listed in Table 102-13 needs to be aligned with those named in Table 102-1 (and  
CI 100 & 101).

SuggestedRemedy  
See remein\_3bn\_16\_0315.pdf for update to Table 102-13.

Add to Tables 101-1 & 102-1

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P 44 L 16 # 3217  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status D Review  
PHY Discovery is now included in the EPoC Probe Control Header message. Therefore we  
don't need the PHY Discovery start variable to CL 45 Register 1913 & 1914

SuggestedRemedy  
Remove PHY Discovery control register from Cl 45 (mark Register 1913 & 1914 as  
reserved in Table 45-3 and remove 45.2.1.116)  
Remove PHY Discovery start and DiscStrt from  
Table 102-3 pg 210 ln 7-11 and  
Table 102-13 pg 244 ln 38

Proposed Response Response Status Z  
REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

On second thought I'm no longer sure how the unrange CNU knows when to begin  
transmitting the PHY Discovery Response and this register/variable might become a  
required message along with an EPCH that opens the PHY Discovery window.

CI 00 SC 0 P 49 L 47 # 3232  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Inconsistent register name  
pg 31 ln 14 10GPASS-XR FEC success counter  
pg 48 ln 47 10GPASS-XR FEC codeword success counter  
pg 48 ln 49 10GPASS-XR FEC codeword counter success  
pg 49 ln 5 10GPASS-XR FEC codeword counter  
and in table 101-1 (3x)  
pg 113 ln 20 10GPASS-XR FEC success count & 10GPASS-XR FEC codeword success counter

Likewise in 45.2.1.127  
pg 31 ln 16 10GPASS-XR FEC fail counter  
pg 49 ln 16 10GPASS-XR FEC codeword fail counter  
pg 49 ln 18 10GPASS-XR FEC codeword counter fail  
pg 49 ln 27 10GPASS-XR FEC codeword counter fail  
and in table 101-1  
pg 113 ln 24 10GPASS-XR FEC fail count, 10GPASS-XR FEC codeword fail counter & Fec codeword fail count

SuggestedRemedy

Consistently use  
10GPASS-XR FEC codeword success counter  
10GPASS-XR FEC codeword fail counter

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT.

CI 00 SC 0 P 68 L 24 # 3261  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

18 instances of "Editor's Note"

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "EDITORS NOTE"

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT.

CI 00 SC 0 P 80 L 53 # 3253  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A Nrp/Ncp

Two names for US cp and windowing (US\_Nrp => USNrp). Names can be aligned with DS (CI 45, 101, 102 and possibly others)

SuggestedRemedy

Change all instance of  
"US\_Nrp" to "USNrp" (4x;  
CI 100 pg 80 ln 53,  
CI 101 pg 112 ln 29,  
CI 102 pg 233 ln 29 &  
Fig 102-29 pg 234 ln 16)  
and  
"US\_Ncp" to "USNcp" (8x;  
CI 100 pg 81 ln 6,  
Fig 100-6 pg 94 ln 24,  
CI 101 pg 112 ln 30,  
CI 102 pg 233 ln 28,  
Fig 102-21 pg 234 ln 15, 16, 17, 22)

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT.

CI 00 SC 0 P 83 L 33 # 3280  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

Consider changing TxEnable to tx\_enable, aligns variable with similar clauses that use an underscore, e.g. Clause 76. There are differences in settings from clause 75 "enable" and "disable" to clause 76 using "on" and "off".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "TxEnable" to "Tx\_Enable" where applicable in clauses. Change values from "ENABLE" and "DISABLE" to "ON" and "OFF" respectively to match use in Clause 76.

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add Editors note to CI 45 that we need to rationalize Tx Enable in Register 1.1900.0 with TxEnable as used in PON (laser on/off in 10G EPON).

CI 00 SC 100.2.6.1 P 84 L 53 # 3227  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

Another pesky CI 45 ref.  
 "The CLT calculates the downstream PMA data rate after any configuration update that changes the downstream profile descriptor for any channel or the Cyclic Prefix size. See 45.2.7a.1 and Table 45-191c."

*SuggestedRemedy*

Strike:  
 "or the Cyclic Prefix size. See 45.2.7a.1 and Table 45-191c."  
 Note that changing the CP (or window size) causes a network restart and this will presumably cause a recalculation of data rate.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Per comment  
 Check if there exists a list of items that result in a nework restart. If exists ensure CP in included if not create.

CI 00 SC 100.2.8.2 P 87 L 43 # 3248  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review MinUS\_SC

Check that we specify min/max active subcarriers (was Table 101-12 in D1.2)  
 Pg 157 ln 1 DS Min in Table 101-8 (40 SC)  
 Pg 87 ln 43 DS Max as encompassed spectrum in Table 100-3  
  
 pg 182 ln 23 US min - Table 101-13 (40 SC)  
 US Max - as max encompassed in Table 101-13

*SuggestedRemedy*

Impacts CI 101 & possibly 100  
 Rationalize Tables 101-8 with Table 100-3 and Table 101-13 with expected new table in 100 addressing CNU RF output requirements

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Change Units in 1st row to "subcarriers"  
 For US table 101-13 see comment #3330

CI 00 SC 101.3.2.5.5 P 132 L 51 # 3302  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A REVISIT

Need to change as the generation of the PMD\_SIGNAL.request() was moved into the CNU PMA Pilot Insertion function (the reference point in the processing where it is known if an RB is going to be used (turned on with energy in a subcarrier) in an RB Frame prior to passing to IDFT.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Remove subclause 101.3.2.5.5.  
 Page 135, line 12 remove "and Data Detector input".  
 Page 137, line 45 change "Data Detector" to "PMA Client function".  
 Page 138, line 38 remove the redundant ", FEC encode and Data Detector output process," from CLT paragraph.  
 Page 138, line 42 remove "and Data Detector" from CNU paragraph.  
 Page 77, line 14, move "DATA DETECTOR" Pilot Insertion box, line 31.

Note that this comment will likely overlap with other CNU transmit changes entered by comment or by presentation.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This will be fixed in laubach\_3bn\_03\_0315.pdf passed in motion #3.

Changed to CI 00 (effects CL 101 & 100)

CI 01 SC 1 P 24 L 5 # 3234  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Renumber Clause per 802.3bx D2.1 plus editorial updates see related comments on 1.4.135a through 1.4.258a

*SuggestedRemedy*

See remein\_3bn\_15\_0315 and remein\_3bn\_15\_0315CMP

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100 P74 L1 # 3297  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A  
 All tables, make sure that table footnotes are FM footnotes.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.1.1 P74 L15 # 3290  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status A Review  
 Figure 67-2a does not exist. Remove cross reference until such a time the TF approves a new figure for Clause 67..  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete ", as shown in Figure 67-2a".  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.1.2 P74 L15 # 3201  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status A  
 Cross references to the amendment, such as "Figure 67-2a" should be live using cross-reference format Clause, section, Figure #, Equation # or Table #. Those to objects in the standard and not included in the amendment should be in character style "External"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Correct all cross references styles.  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Figure 67-2a does not exist.  
 Otherwise, will check for conformance.

CI 100 SC 100.1.3 P76 L50 # 3202  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type E Comment Status A  
 This editors note has served it's purpose:  
 "EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): US Block diagram needs to reflect symbol duplication for PHY Link Discovery Response message."  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.1.3 P76 L9 # 3255  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies  
 Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 In Fig 100-2 & 100-3 we illustrate a "RATE ADAPTATION" functional block. In CI 101.3.2 (pg 120 In 4) this is referred to as "an Idle control character deletion function performing the function of data rate adaptation". In section 5 of the standard (76.3.2 Fig 76-7 & 76-8) this is referred to as "Idle Deletion". We should be consistent with the standard.  
 See related comment against 101.3.2 pg 120 In 4  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "RATE ADAPTATION" to "IDLE DELETION" in Figure 100-2 & 100-3 and to "IDLE INSTERTION" in Figure 100-4 & 100-5  
 Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.  
 See Releated cmt #3256

CI 100 SC 100.1.4 P 80 L 14 # 3203  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Text to address Editors note:  
EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): need to evaluate adding data rate for OFDMA (reference point MDI) as part of the definition.

SuggestedRemedy

To the end of 1st para in this section add:  
"The 10GPASS-XR-D and 10GPASS-XR-U PMDs both have a variable rate that is determined when configured. See Equation (100-1) and Equation (100-2) for additional information on the 10GPASS-XR-D and 10GPASS-XR-U data rates respectively."  
Remove editors note ln 14.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.1.5 P 81 L 37 # 3279  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Index

Problems in Table 100-1.  
Register numbers to index numbers wrong in new table "1024+100" should be 1124, not 2124, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Editor's discretion to verify and update all index numbers in the table.  
Change color all magenta text to black text in Table 100-1.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
This will change with global renumbering comment. Editor discretion will need to validate numbers after this renumbering takes place. See Comment #3222.  
Expect lines 24-31 "100" to go to "101", line 33, "101" to "102", etc. Also, lines 37-44, "2124" to "1124".

CI 100 SC 100.2.1.1 P 82 L 50 # 3317  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Update to place stake in ground. I've heard from implementers that PMD jitter should be negligible. These values are the same at for 10GEAPON.

SuggestedRemedy

- 1) Change subclause text to: "The PMD shall introduce a transmit delay variation of no more than 0.5 time\_quanta, and a receive delay variation of no more than 0.5 time\_quanta. A description for the time\_quantum can be found in 77.2.2.1."
- 2) Remove editor's note.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.2.1.2 P 83 L 12 # 3204  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Use of appropriate(ly) is inappropriate.  
"... the appropriately formatted stream of I / Q value pairs ..."  
The appropriate format is clearly stated in the previous para (32-bit signed int).  
The same issue exists in 100.2.1.3, 100.2.2, & 100.2.3.  
Note that this interface is not exposed and therefore is not normative, rather this is properly stated as a behavior.

SuggestedRemedy

strike " appropriately formatted" (5x).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.2.10.2 P 104 L 5 # 3301  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"Table 7-12" need to be updated to correct table cross reference.

SuggestedRemedy

Change both Table refs from "7-12" to "100-12".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Just one ref needs to be updated.

CI 100 SC 100.2.5 P 84 L 42 # 3205  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

This points to 102.2.1.2 & 102.3.1.2 which points here, very circular.  
"Modulation format for PHY Link is specified in 102.2.1.2 and 102.3.1.2."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read:  
"See 102.2.1.2 and 102.3.1.2 for a description of downstream and upstream PHY Link modulation respectively."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.2.6 P 84 L 44 # 3220  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Is DS & US data rate calculated at CNU or configured? If configured then add to Table 102-13 and 102-1. If calculated then this should be specified in CI 100.

SuggestedRemedy

NOT FINAL

Question sent to Mark

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
The CLT configures the CNU on DS and US rates. A variable needs to be added for the CNU to raise an error if the CNU calculation is different than the CLT calculation. There are spare bits in 1907 which can be used as a flag. Mismatch would create a link negotiation failure. Need to define accuracy for matching UQ34.3 format.

CI 100 SC 100.2.6.1 P 84 L 53 # 3208  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Eradicate CI 45 ref.  
"The CLT calculates the downstream PMA data rate after any configuration update that changes the downstream profile descriptor for any channel or the Cyclic Prefix size. See 45.2.7a.1 and Table 45-191c."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:  
"The CLT calculates the downstream PMA data rate after any configuration update that changes the downstream profile descriptor variables DS\_ModTypeSC(n) or for any change to the cyclic prefix size DS\_Ncp. See 101.4.2.3.5 and 101.4.2.11.1."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.2.6.1 P 85 L 18 # 3207  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

We shouldn't ref CI 45 but rather the definition of the variable set DS\_ModTypeSC(n).  
"Note in Table 45-191b that the DS Modulation Type values binary 0011 (3 decimal) through 1110 (14 decimal) directly represent data bits per active subcarrier"

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read:  
"Note that in the definition of DS\_ModTypeSC(n) the values binary 0011 (3 decimal) through 1110 (14 decimal) directly represent the number of data bits per active subcarrier (see 101.4.2.3.5)."  
Ln

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.



CI 100 SC 100.2.6.2 P 85 L 32 # 3209  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Eradiate CI 45 ref.  
 "The CLT calculates the upstream PMA data rate after any configuration update that changes the upstream profile descriptor for the channel or the Cyclic Prefix size. See 45.2.7a.2 and Table 45-191c."

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change to:  
 "The CLT calculates the upstream PMA data rate after any configuration update that changes the upstream profile descriptor variables US\_ModTypeSC(n) or for any change to the cyclic prefix size US\_Ncp. See 101.4.3.4.4 and 101.4.3.14.1."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.2.6.2 P 85 L 50 # 3296  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Fix upstream frame data load equation to move "RE" to italics.  
 Look at other italics stuff.

*SuggestedRemedy*

As commented. Editor to review FM equations and text for consistent use of italics.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.2.6.2 P 85 L 9 # 3206  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

There is a variable for "Cycle Prefix Time" used here in the Cycle Prefix Time equation.  
 Same issues exists for US at line 43

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change Equations to read:  
 "DS\_Frame\_Length = 128 x DS\_Extended\_OFDM\_Symbol (usec)" (ln 7)  
 "DS\_Extended\_OFDM\_Symbol = 20 + DS\_Ncp (usec)" (no subscripts, ln 9)  
 "US\_Frame\_Length = (256 + 6) x US\_Extended\_OFDM\_Symbol(usec)" (ln 41)  
 "US\_Extended\_OFDM\_Symbol = 20 + US\_Ncp (usec)" (no subscripts, ln 43)  
 Ln 5 replace "Extended\_OFDM\_Symbol" with "DS\_Extended\_OFDM\_Symbol" and "Cycle Prefix size" with "downstream cyclic prefix size DS\_Ncp"  
 Ln 38 replace "Extended\_OFDM\_Symbol" with "US\_Extended\_OFDM\_Symbol" and "Cycle Prefix size" with "upstream cyclic prefix size US\_Ncp"  
 be sure to use italics for all variable names.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.2.6.2 P 86 L 1 # 3210  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

We shouldn't ref CI 45 but rather the definition of the variable set DS\_ModTypeSC(n).  
 "Note in Table 45-191d that the US Modulation Type values binary 0011 (3 decimal) through 1110 (14 decimal) directly represent data bits per active subcarrier."

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change to read:  
 "Note that in the definition of US\_ModTypeSC(n) the values binary 0011 (3 decimal) through 1110 (14 decimal) directly represent the number of data bits per active subcarrier (see 101.4.3.4.4)."

At pg 85 line 46 replace  
 "the value is the US Modulation Type value minus" with  
 "the value is the US\_ModTypeSC(n) value minus"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.2.8 P 86 L 31 # 3236  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A REVISIT

Add definition of DS\_ChCnt to CI 100 and in tables 100-1 (related comment against 102 on DS\_ChCnt)

SuggestedRemedy

Add section 100.2.8.6 Variables DS\_ChCnt TYPE: 3-bit integer This variable indicates the number of downstream OFDM channels in use. The value of DS\_ChCnt is between 1 and 5.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Need to be included with changes in laubach\_3bn\_05a\_0315.pdf accepted in motion #5

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.2 P 88 L 48 # 3228  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Footnotes do not appear to be connected to the Table but appear as separate text.

SuggestedRemedy

For all table sin this clause ensure the table footnotes are part of the table and not separate text of style "footnote". Footnotes not called out in individual table cells can be attached to the table title or column heading as appropriate.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.4 P 90 L 1 # 3288  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Remove the "all" context from the table footnote to avoid confusion with the rest of the use of ceiling in this Clause, except where indicated. Format all table footnotes in Clause 100 to use Framemaker footnotes (to tables).

SuggestedRemedy

Change "All equations are Ceiling(Power, 0.5) dBc. Use " to "This equation produces values in 0.5 dBc steps. To calculate use ". Update all table footnotes in Clause 100 as FM footnotes, where applicable.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Check this when reviewing downstream electrical sanity check presentation.

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.4 P 90 L 2 # 3309  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"OFCM" is incorrect. This will be caught in the Downstream Electrical sanity check, but wanted to make sure it is attended to.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "OFDM".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.4 P 90 L 5 # 3273  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Two tables labeled "CLT RF output requirements"; Table 100-3 & 100-5

SuggestedRemedy

Change title for 100-5 to "CLT RF output power requirements"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 91 L 26 # 3274  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A Nrp/Ncp

Unique instances of DS\_Ncp and DS\_Nrp.

SuggestedRemedy

change to DSNcp and DSNrp respectively.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.2.9.1 P 94 L 11 # 3229  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A Nrp/Ncp

"Ncp" should be USNcp at CI 100 pg 94 ln 12,

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 100 SC 100.2.9.4 P 95 L 31 # 3289  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

For 3, the relationship in the equation should be greater than.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change "P1.6r <LT> P1.6Min" to "P1.6r <GT> P1.6Min"; i.e. change less-than symbol to greater-than symbol.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 100 SC 100.2.9.6.1 P 101 L 40 # 3340  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A Review

the "where;" at line 40 applies to Eq 100-16 and 100-17 and should be split.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Add new "where:" statement just below Eq 100-16

Move to new "where:"

"Eavg is the average constellation energy for equally likely symbols,

RBsize is the number of symbols averaged, either 8 or 16," and

"ej,k is the error vector from the jth subcarrier in the burst and kth received symbol to the ideal transmitted QAM symbol of the appropriate modulation order."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 100 SC 100.2.9.7 P 102 L 35 # 3281  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

New upstream table, fix "see subclause 10.2.7.2".

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change cross reference to "100.2.7.2".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 100 SC 100.3.3 P 108 L 52 # 3325  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

1) Subclauses through 100.3.3 to 100.6 have no text. If no text is provided by end of this March meeting, remove these subclauses.

2) Subclause 100.7 is "EEE capability" and needs text.

3) Consider removing subclauase or adding an editor's note to remove subclass "100.8 Timesync capability" if no text is provided by the May meeting; i.e. in general, any empty subclauses with no text at the close of the May meeting should be removed.

*SuggestedRemedy*

1) as per comment.

2) Add the following text: "For the 10GPASS-XR-U PHY the CNU shall enable Energy-Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capability to conserve energy by deactivating power-consuming PMD Functions (e.g. RF power amplifier) between bursts using PMD\_SIGNAL.request() (see 100.2.1.4)."

Page 82, Line 30. Add sentence to paragraph: "PMD functions are implementation dependent and include digital-to-analog conversion, analog-to-digital conversion, interpolation, analog filtering, frequency conversion, and/or RF power amplification."

For 3) as per what TF decides.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove sections 100.3.3 - 100.3.13, 100.5 & 100.6

For 100.4 Editor to adapt from 75.8

CI 100 SC 45.2.7a.5 P 54 L 37 # 3320  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The MER variables here are not reflected in any clause variable table (that I can find). MER values will be calculated as part of the CNU and CLT receive Pilot Processing, Equalization, and FFT functions in the PMA. Note to us that we may need to add some extra words into the FFT subclause to require MER calculation.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest adding MER variables into Table 101-1, page 112-114. Editor's discretion on naming and placement.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Changed fm CI 45 to CI 100  
MER defined in:  
100.2.9.6.1 pg 101 & 100.2.12.3  
Rqmt to make meas. In 100.2.12.3 pg 106 ln 42

Add formal definitions in 100.2.12.3.1 as  
100.2.12.3.1 Variables  
RxMER(n)

TYPE: array of 8-bit integer (???)  
This set of variables reflect the MER measured on the OFDM subcarriers for the OFDM channel indicated by the RxMERchID. The measurements are only valid when RvMERvalid is TRUE.  
\*\*\*\* WHAT IS THE UNIT OF THIS VALUE? \*\*\*\*

RxMERchID  
Type: integer  
This variable indicate which of the 5 possible OFDM channels the values in RxMER(n) represent.

RxMERvalid  
TYPE: boolean  
When TRUE this variable indicates that the values in RxMER(n) variables are valid for the channel indicated by RxMERchID. When FALSE this variable indicates the some values in the RxMER(n) variables may be invalid for the channel indicated by RxMERchID.

Add each of the above defined variables to Table 100-1

MDIO Param | MDIO reg | Reg/bit | VarName | Index | Bits  
MER measurement valid | 10GPASS-XR receive MER control | 12.10240.3 | RxMERvalid  
Receive MER Channel ID | 10GPASS-XR receive MER control | 12.10240.0:2 | RxMERchID  
10GPASS-XR receive MER measurement | 10GPASS-XR receive MER measurement | 12.10241 - 10.12287 | RxMER(n)

CI 100A SC 100A.0.0.0 P 323 L 1 # 3314  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

Fix all table footnotes to normative alpha format and use FM table footnote indenting.

SuggestedRemedy

Editor's discretion to fix Tables as per comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Note 1 - apply to Frequency range  
Make all notes informative  
Add editors note that the contents of the table need close review given the changes to the draft that have accumulated over the last year and a half.

CI 101 SC 101.1.1 P 111 L 25 # 3259  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

CI 101 also uses the floor function symbols (see Eq 101-3)

SuggestedRemedy

Add definition of floor symbol (copy from CI 100.1.1 pg 74 ln 25)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.1.2 P 111 L 30 # 3321  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

Time to put a stake in the ground. Also, fix xref to point to 10G EPON subclause as the time\_quantum in 64.2.2.1 does not point to the 10GEPON MPCP clause. This subclauase will likely be ammended to include any (de)jitter effects and impact of upstream symbol mapper operation. Will do so in a later contribution. For now, all functional processing implementations should adhere to the same combined delay variation as 10GEPON (Section 76.1.2.).

SuggestedRemedy

Change "TBD" to "1". Change "64.2.2.1" to "77.2.2.1".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.1.3 P 112 L 26 # 3252  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A  
 Probe Duration (and therefore PrbDur) are no longer used.

SuggestedRemedy  
 remove row from Table 101-3

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.1.3 P 113 L 13 # 3318  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status R  
 Lines 13 through 28. We need a set of counters for the DS (CNU receiver) and a set of counters for the US (CLT receiver).

SuggestedRemedy  
 Add a second set of counters and distinguish US and DS. Variable names Page 145 Line 27 through 36 should be updated for DS as well as names in state diagram on Page 148, lines 6-8, 31, and 34.

Response Response Status C  
 REJECT.  
 These counter are always from the perspective of the receiver; US counters will reside in CLT, DS counters will reside in CNU. There is no need to differentiate US & DS in the variable name.

CI 101 SC 101.2.4.2 P 117 L 10 # 3282  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review  
 Consider taking out all RS text as EPoC does not modify the RS. Clause 101.2.4.2, keep title and first sentence and references. Do for Tx and Rx.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Page 117, Line 10 Clause 101.2.4.2, keep title and first sentence and references. Remove subclauses 101.2.4.2.1 through 101.2.4.2.3.  
 Page 117, Line 46, keep title and add new first paragraph "The receive function of the EPoC RS is described in <green>65.1.3.3</green> with the exceptions as noted in <green>76.2.6.1.3</green>. The XGMII receive function is described in <green>46.3.2</green>." Remove remainder of text in this subclause, and subclauses 101.2.4.3.1 through 101.2.4.3.3.

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.3 P 119 L 29 # 3266  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status D Review  
 The PCS section has gotten a bit disjointed and is poorly organized, with duplicate sections. Recommend reording section.  
 Made technical due to extent of change.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Recommend new outline as illustrated in remain\_3bn\_13\_0315.pdf

Proposed Response Response Status Z  
 REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Need to rationalize this with any contributions in this area.

CI 101 SC 101.3.1 P 119 L 40 # 3331  
 Zhang, Jin Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type E Comment Status A  
 "...that mean time to false frame acceptance is met". It would be better to specify the exact value of the mean time to false frame acceptance.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Modified as "...that the target mean time to false packet acceptance (MTTFPA), or 4.4x10<sup>17</sup> second, is met

Response Response Status C  
 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Change to:  
 "...that the target mean time to false packet acceptance, of 4.4x10<sup>17</sup> second, is met"

CI 101 SC 101.3.2 P 120 L 4 # 3256  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

This statement can be better worded:  
 "the EPoC PCS includes an Idle control character deletion function performing the function of data rate adaptation and a FEC overhead compensation followed by a 64B/66B encoder, and a mandatory FEC encoder."

(also see related comment against 100.1.3, pg 76 ln 9)

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change to read:  
 "the EPoC PCS includes an Idle Deletion function that performs data rate adaptation and FEC overhead compensation, followed by a 64B/66B Encoder, and a FEC Encoder / Data Detector."

In CI 101 replace:  
 15 instances of "Idle control character deletion process" with "Idle Deletion process"  
 14 instances of "FEC encoder" with "FEC Encoder"  
 12 instances of "64B/66B encoder" with "64B/66B Encoder"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.  
 Related cmt #3255

CI 101 SC 101.3.2.1 P 120 L 18 # 3257  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This statement is self contradictory:  
 "to decrease the data rate between the MAC and PHY, while maintaining the effective data rate unchanged (data rate adaptation sub-process)"

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change to read:  
 "to decrease the data rate between the MAC and PHY (data rate adaptation sub-process)"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 "to decrease the effective data rate between the MAC and PHY (data rate adaptation sub-process)"

CI 101 SC 101.3.2.1 P 120 L 24 # 3338  
 Zhang, Jin Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Since the two subprocesses have been merged into one process and one diagram, there is no need to mention the two subprocesses.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Remove the words "The Idle control character deletion process is composed of two subprocesses executed in the following order:

- a)...
- b)..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Replace the text with the following:  
 "The Idle deletion process performs two functions:  
 a) create gaps by Idle removal to allow for FEC parity and CRC40.  
 b) rate adaptation by idle removal to adjust from the XGMII rate to the PMD rate."

CI 101 SC 101.3.2.1 P 120 L 35 # 3258  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

This statement is conflicts with the preceding sentence which states that, once Idle Deletion is complete no excess Idles remain in the data stream:  
 "sufficient number of excess Idle control characters are present in the data stream, so that the minimum IPG between two adjacent frames is preserved once all excess Idle control characters are removed"

*SuggestedRemedy*

Strike first "excess" so the statement reads:  
 "sufficient number of Idle control characters are present in the data stream, so that the minimum IPG between two adjacent frames is preserved once all excess Idle control characters are removed"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.3.2.1.2 P 121 L 17 # 3260  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A  
countVector defined twice, here and in 101.3.3.3.2 with different definitions  
101.3.2.1.2  
TYPE: 16-bit unsigned integer  
Counts the number of 72-bit vectors transmitted after the removal of Idle characters as part of data rate adaptation and FEC overhead compensation.  
101.3.3.3.2  
TYPE: 16-bit unsigned integer  
This variable represents the number of 72-bit vectors stored in the FIFO\_II at the given moment of time.

SuggestedRemedy  
Change variable name in 101.3.2.1.2 to countVectorT  
and in Fig 101-2 (4x)

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.3.2.1.2 P 121 L 26 # 3334  
Zhang, Jin Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type T Comment Status A REVISIT  
The purpose of delayBound is not to stabilize the receiver, but to absorb certain jitters caused by insertion of burst markers, pilots, etc.

SuggestedRemedy  
This value represents the delay sufficient to initiate the transmitter at the CNU and to accommodate timing jitters caused by PMA overhead, such as burst markers, and pilots, (i.e., the maximum FIFO size expressed in units of 66-bit blocks). The value of delayBound includes TBD. This variable is used only by the CNU.

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Covered in laubach\_3bn\_03\_0315.pdf passed in motion #3  
Use  
"This value represents the delay sufficient to initiate the transmitter at the CNU and to accommodate timing jitter caused by PMA overhead, such as burst markers, and pilots."  
in place of the 2nd & 3rd sentence of laubach\_3bn\_03\_0315.pdf

CI 101 SC 101.3.2.1.2 P 121 L 36 # 3343  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review  
the term XGMII\_Rate is used here and in CI 103 but is not defined anywhere.

SuggestedRemedy  
Add to 101.3.2.1.1 Constants  
XGMII\_Rate  
TYPE: Integer  
The data transfer rate of the XGMII interface.  
Value: 10 Gb/s

Add to 103.2.2.1 Constants  
XGMII\_Rate  
See 101.3.2.1.1

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.3.2.1.2 P 121 L 36 # 3335  
Zhang, Jin Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type T Comment Status A  
The equation 101-1 is an approximation of the PCS\_Rate in 101-2. There is a small gap between the two values.

SuggestedRemedy  
Use 101-2 as the definition for PCS\_Rate because it is further used in other equations. We can rename the PCS\_Rate as PCS\_Rate\_Nominal, showing this is a nominal rate.

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Remove Eq 101-1  
( $PCS\_Rate = XGMII\_Rate \times (PHY\_Dsize / (PHY\_Dsize + PHY\_Osize))$ )

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.2.1.2**    **P 122**    **L 15**    # **3283**  
 Laubach, Mark    Broadcom

**Comment Type T**    **Comment Status A**

Consider replacing with DS\_DataRate. Do sanity check on OFDM symbol rate, etc. Why is PLC separated out in this?

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Replace PMD\_Rate lines 16 through 22 with DS\_DataRate variable definition with cross reference to 100.2.6.1 as appropriate.

**Response**    **Response Status C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Per suggestion but also replace "PMD\_Rate" at pg 121 ln 39 (in Eq 101-2)

Remove Ed Note ln 23

Cmt #3336 is related.

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.2.1.2**    **P 122**    **L 16**    # **3336**  
 Zhang, Jin    Marvell Semiconductor

**Comment Type T**    **Comment Status A**    **Review**

PMD\_Rate is a referenced variable, its definition should be found in the PMA section, so are PLCTotalBits and PLCTotalCycles, or similar variables with other names. The equation of PMD\_Rate can be relocated to the appropriate section in PMA

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Remove the equation of PMD\_Rate or put a note saying the equation will be relocated to PMA. Modify the text as "The transmission rate of PMD data. It is a rate determined by the bit loading profile, pilot overhead, band plans, Cycle Prefix, Windowing.

**Response**    **Response Status C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 I believe PMD\_Rate in the context of Cl 103 DS (as used here) is equivalent to DS\_DataRate as defined in Eq 100-1.

Replace all instances of PMD\_Rate with DS\_DataRate in CL 102.  
 Remove the definition of PMD\_Rate here and add:  
 "DS\_DataRate  
 See 100.2.6.1."

At 101.3.2.1 pg 120 ln 8 Add "EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication) the TF need to do a thorough review of Idle control character deletion process as it is currently written to be applicable to both US & DS and these processes will be very different in EPoC where US/DS rates are different and US has multiple FEC's."

Cmt #3283 is related

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.2.5.1**    **P 129**    **L 48**    # **3284**  
 Laubach, Mark    Broadcom

**Comment Type T**    **Comment Status D**

We removed the legacy TDD CLT Tx data detection from figure in earlier comment rounds. This subclause is not needed.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Remove subclause 101.3.2.5.1.

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status Z**

PROPOSED REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.2.5.10**    **P 137**    **L 33**    # **3267**  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type E**    **Comment Status A**

Ref (see 101.4.1.2.1) should be associated with PMA\_UNITDATA.request not DS\_DataRate

Same issues at pg 145 line 51

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 move to just after PMA\_UNITDATA.request

**Response**    **Response Status C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Per suggestion.  
 Also add Ref after DS\_DataRate to 100.2.6.1.

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.2.5.12**    **P 138**    **L 32**    # **3268**  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type E**    **Comment Status A**

Blank section.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Remove

**Response**    **Response Status C**

ACCEPT.



CI 101 SC 101.3.2.5.2 P 131 L 15 # 3263  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status D Review

The para beginning "The 64B/66B encoder produces a stream of 66-bit blocks as shown in Figure 101-6 ..." does not describe the LDPC encoding process.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the para and reword this section to read:

"The process of padding FEC codewords and appending FEC parity octets in the 10GPASS-XR CLT PCS transmit path is illustrated in Figure 101-6. First the FEC encoder accumulates BQ 65-bit blocks (see Table 101-2) to form the payload portion of the FEC codeword. Next, the FEC encoder calculates the CRC40 (see 101.3.3) over the aggregated BQ 65-bit blocks, placing the resulting 40 bits of CRC40 code immediately after the BQ 65-bit blocks, forming the payload portion of the FEC codeword. Finally, the FEC encoder appends BP (see Table 101-2) padding bits (with the binary value of "0") to the payload of the FEC codeword as shown in Figure 101-6. The resulting FP bits are then passed to the LDPC-encoder. The LDPC-encoder generates FR bits of parity. After encoding, the encoder deletes the BP bits of padding and constructs the output codeword with a length of (FP - BP) + FR bits; i.e., (14400 - 60) + 1800 = 16140 bits. For transmit processing in the downstream direction, the codeword size is a constant and is represented by constant FEC\_DS\_CodeWordSize (see 101.3.2.5.2).

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

See related comment #3264

CI 101 SC 101.3.2.5.2 P 131 L 15 # 3264  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Review

This para has little to do with LDPC encode process and more rightly belongs in 101.3.2.2 (which points to CI 49).

"The 64B/66B encoder produces a stream of 66-bit blocks as shown in Figure 101-6 (see 49.2.4.3 for more details); each 66-bit block is composed of 2 bits of sync header and 64 bits of data. These 66-bit blocks are converted to 65-bit blocks by removing the redundant first bit (i.e., sync header bit <0>) in each 66-bit block received from the 64B/66B encoder and are then delivered to the FEC encode and Data Detector input process. The FEC encoder accumulates BQ (see Table 101-2) of these 65-bit blocks to form the payload portion of the FEC codeword." In addition the referenced material in CI 49 includes a scrambler within the 64/66B encoder and is not appropriate for EPoC as we scramble in the PMA layer.

Similar text with similar issues lives in 101.3.2.5.6 pg 135 ln 9

See related comments against wording in 101.3.2.5.2 & 101.3.2.5.6

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the para's from 101.3.2.5.2 & 101.3.2.5.6

Change 101.3.3.2 to read:

"The EPoC PHY utilizes a 64B/66B decoder based on that described in 49.2.11 with several important differences. The EPoC 64B/66B encoder does not include a scrambler function and the output is a 65B block with a single synch header bit as illustrated in Figure 101-11. The state diagram found in Figure 49-16 is followed. The 66-bit blocks produced by the Clause 49 64B/66B encoder are shortened to 65-bits by removing the redundant first bit (i.e., sync header bit <0>). These 65-bit blocks are then delivered to the PMA as described in 101.4.1.2."

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

See related comment #3263

Rationalize with any contributions accepted on this section.

CI 101 SC 101.3.2.5.3 P 132 L 17 # 3265  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Review

It is not clear from Fig 101-6 and Fig 101-11 which sync header bits are added to the data stream. In Figure 76-12 and from the text in 2nd para of 101.3.2.5.2 "LDPC encode process within CLT (downstream)" it is clear. Figure 101-6 should match it's descriptive text.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with illustration in remain\_3bn\_12\_0315.pdf and remain\_3bn\_14\_0315.pdf respectively (available in visio)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Figure as proposed but change Fig 101-6  
"Aggregate BQ 65B blocks & Calculate CRC40"  
to  
"Data detector, Aggregate BQ 65B blocks & Calculate CRC40"

CI 101 SC 101.3.2.5.4 P 132 L 46 # 3262  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

This empty section is a duplicate heading with 101.3.2.5.6 (which has details)

SuggestedRemedy

Remove section heading and Editor's Note.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.  
Changed from CI 100 to 101  
Ensure this is aligned with any contributions in this area.

CI 101 SC 101.3.3.1 P 141 L 49 # 3285  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Did the Annex 101B go away already? We think we said to get rid of it.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "Annex 101B gives an example of LDPC (FC, FP) FEC decoding." sentence.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.3.3.1.3 P 144 L 17 # 3342  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A REVISIT

Conditions for action A & B are the same:  
"If CRC40ErrCtrl is enabled and the calculated value of CRC40 does not match the value of CRC40 retrieved" then do action A  
"If CRC40ErrCtrl is set to enable and the calculated value of CRC40 does not match the value of CRC40 retrieved" then do action B

SuggestedRemedy

Change the second condition from  
"If CRC40ErrCtrl is set to enable and ..."  
to  
"If CRC40ErrCtrl is disabled and ... "

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See para as proposed in laubach\_3bn\_03\_0315 passed in motion #3

CI 101 SC 101.3.3.1.3 P 144 L 20 # 3245  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"If CRC40ErrCtrl is set to enable and the calculated value"  
We typically use TRUE or FALSE

SuggestedRemedy

change "enable" to "TRUE"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.  
(TRUE & FALSE are also used in the def. pg 144)

CI 101 SC 101.3.3.1.7 P 148 L 38 # 3287  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Figure 101-13, "CTC" to "CRC"

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.3.3.2 P 149 L 24 # 3269  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

The reference to CI 49.2.11 64B/66B decoding function needs some clarification as there are some difference in EPoC encoding (notably the lack of scrambling and single sync header bit).

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change 101.3.2.2 to read:

"The EPoC PHY utilizes a 64B/66B encoder based on that described in 49.2.11 with several important differences. The EPoC 64B/66B decoder does not include a descrambler function as described in 49.2.10 and the input is a 65B block with a single synch header bit. The state diagram found in Figure 49-17 is followed after the addition of sync header bit <0> as illustrated in Figure 101-11."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"The EPoC PHY utilizes a 64B/66B decoder based on that described in 49.2.11 with several important differences. The EPoC 64B/66B decoder does not include a descrambler function as described in 49.2.10 and the input is a 65B block with a single synch header bit. The state diagram found in Figure 49-17 is followed after the addition of sync header bit <0> as illustrated in Figure 101-11."

Need to make similar changes in 101.3.2.2 pg 127 ln 47 (remove scrambler)

See comment related comment #3264

CI 101 SC 101.4.1.2.2 P 154 L 29 # 3303  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

Text change made for D1.3 incorrectly states operation of burstStart and burstEnd boolean operation.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change "always a single FEC codeword of size FEC\_DS\_CodeWordSize bits, and the CLT transmits continuously, thus both burstStart and burstEnd are FALSE." to "composed of a single FEC codeword where in the CNU upstream, the burst may comprise of one or more concatenated FEC codewords (see 101.3.2.5.7)."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.4.1.2.3 P 154 L 35 # 3304  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Fix the cross reference. Fix also in next subclause 101.4.1.2.4, Line 51.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Line 35, Change "101.x.x.x" to "100.2.6.2"

Line 50, Change "101.x.x.x" to "101.4.2.7".

Line 49, Change "PMA" to "PMA symbol mapper"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.4.1.2.3 P 154 L 35 # 3299  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Fix reference to 100.x.x.x.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Cross reference to 100.2.6.2.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.4.1.3.1 P 155 L 7 # 3300  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

Why was upstream statement removed from the paragraph?

*SuggestedRemedy*

Consider returning last sentence of paragraph from previous Draft (modified): "In the upstream direction, the burst received by the CLT is variable in size and if comprised of one or more concatenated FEC codewords (see see 101.3.2.5.7)."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The statement was removed by Cmt #2792

While the statement is true I don't see what it adds to the definition of PMA\_UNITDATA.indication.

Remove the last sentence in this para.

CI 101 SC 101.4.2.1 P 155 L 42 # 3211  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **A**

This statement in CI 100 pg 108 ln 26  
 "Channel loading consists of a single OFDM channel with no other signals"  
 conflicts with the following requirement in CI 101:  
 "OFDM channel 1 shall always be enabled."

**SuggestedRemedy**

Change requirement to read:  
 "OFDM channel 1 shall always be enabled except during RxMER testing (see 100.3.2)."

Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 "OFDM channel 1 shall always be enabled but is muted during RxMER testing (see 100.3.2)."

CI 101 SC 101.4.2.11 P 175 L 31 # 3293  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **R** Nrp/Ncp

Double check downstream DSNcp, DSNrp, USNcp, and USNrp and avoid subscription or underscores in this clause.

Line 45, change "CP" to "DSNcp". - can't find this is D1.3 clean text.

**SuggestedRemedy**

Editor's discretion to correct in Clause 101.

Response Response Status **C**

REJECT.  
 It is not clear to the Editor what the issue is nor what the correction should be.  
 Note there is a comment (#3253) to align variable naming to be DSNcp, DSNrp, USNcp & USNrp.

CI 101 SC 101.4.2.11 P 178 L 52 # 3306  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A**

Add a note to Figure 101-25 that Cyclic prefix and windowing: US is created in same fashion using USNcp and USNrp.

**SuggestedRemedy**

As per comment.

Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.4.2.2 P 156 L 26 # 3305  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A** Review

Xref should be 101-12. Before 101.4.2.3.

**SuggestedRemedy**

Change "10X-X" to crossref to Table 101-12.

Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Should be Table 101-7

CI 101 SC 101.4.2.5 P 159 L 41 # 3246  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **A**

The following can be worded better:

"Downstream pilots are comprised of subcarriers modulated with a predefined pattern known to all CNU's. The pilot information is conveyed via the Pilot Insertion function (see Figure 100-2)."

The term pattern when associated with pilots typically refers to the order of the Pilots in the frame. It is not clear what pilot information is in this context.

**SuggestedRemedy**

Change to read:

"Downstream pilots are comprised of subcarriers modulated with a predefined data sequence known to all CNU's. The pilot data sequence is conveyed via the Pilot Insertion function (see Figure 100-2)."

Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Add ref to 101.4.2.9 along with Figure 100-2

CI 101 SC 101.4.2.5.4 P 162 L 17 # 3298  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **A**

Investigate equation numbering mis-restart and correct.

**SuggestedRemedy**

As per comment, editor's discretion.

Response Response Status **C**

ACCEPT.  
 Previous Eq on pg 127 ln 38 (Eq 101-5)

CI 101 SC 101.4.2.5.4 P 162 L 3 # 3247  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Duplicate requirements:

"The CLT shall define a set of continuous pilots distributed as uniformly as possible (see below) over the entire OFDM spectrum in addition to the predefined continuous pilots described in 101.4.3.5.3." (Pg 162 ln 3)

and

"The CLT shall place continuous pilots (excluding the eight continuous pilots around the PHY Link) per the 8 Steps below after calculating a value for NCP using Equation (101-6)." (pg 162 ln 12)

SuggestedRemedy

Keep the latter and change the former to read:  
 "The CLT defines a set of continuous pilots ..."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Related comment #3276

CI 101 SC 101.4.2.5.4 P 162 L 3 # 3276  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

There are a number of "shall"s in this subclause, but the continuous pilot placement is normative in its entirety. Maybe one "shall" at the top?

SuggestedRemedy

Consider placing a single statement at the start of this subclause. Suggestion of adding a first sentence: "The CLT shall follow continuous pilot placement requirements and procedures as defined in this subclause in their entirety." If yes, then consider replacing the occurrences of "shalls" in the subclause with active replacements; e.g. "shall follow" to "follows" or equivalent at editor's discretion.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 See comment #3247

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.10 P 191 L 1 # 3277  
 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This sub clause is duplicative of 101.4.3.8

SuggestedRemedy

Remove blank subclause 101.4.3.10.

Remove blank subclause 101.4.3.12 and add "and pre-equalization" to end of next subclause title.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.13.1 P 191 L 28 # 3250  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

Eq 101-24 and the subsequent para (below) are a bit confusing. How does Ck(i) and Ak(i) relate to EQ\_CoeffR(k) and EQ\_Coeff(k)?

"where Ck(i) is the pre-equalizer coefficient of the k-th subcarrier as used in the last probe transmission, Ck(i+1) is the updated pre-equalizer coefficient of the k-th subcarrier and Ak(i) is the coefficient information received via the PHY Link update. "x" indicates a complex multiplication. The variables EQ\_CoeffR(k) and EQ\_Coeff(k) are updates to the real and imaginary (respectively) coefficient values in the form of I+jQ where I and Q are both using 16-bit fractional two's complement notation (Q2.14 format)."

SuggestedRemedy

change to read:

"... and Ak(i) is the coefficient update, variables EQ\_CoeffR(i) and EQ\_Coeff(i) (see 101.4.3.13.2), received via the PHY Link. The symbol "x" indicates a complex multiplication."

Note the removed info on update variables is in the subclause referenced.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

change equation to assignment:  
 $C(k) \leftarrow C(k) \times A(k)$

change sentence following eq to read:

"where C(k) is the pre-equalizer coefficient of the k-th subcarrier as used in the last probe transmission, and A(k) is the coefficient update in variables EQ\_CoeffR(k) and EQ\_Coeff(k) (see 101.4.3.13.2), received via the PHY Link. The symbol "x" indicates a complex multiplication."

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.14 P 192 L 33 # 3294  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Table 101-17 and 101-18 are using different fonts for table column headers.

*SuggestedRemedy*

As per comment, editor's discretion to remedy font issues.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.  
Font in Table 101-18 is too large.

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.3 P 179 L 47 # 3216  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

The following statement needs to be updated now that we have no time interleaver:  
"Each Resource Block is composed of one subcarrier and has a duration identical to the time interleaver period as set using the RBsize variable, of either 8 or 16 symbols. See RB size parameter in the 10GPASS-XR US OFDM control register 45.2.1.110.1. Changing the Resource Block duration results in a network restart."

*SuggestedRemedy*

change to:  
"Each Resource Block is composed of one subcarrier and has a duration of either 8 or 16 symbols and is set using the RBsize variable. Changing the Resource Block duration results in a network restart."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.  
See related comment #3307

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.3 P 179 L 50 # 3307  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Change references or remove where pointing to Clause 45. Maybe point to Table 101-3 or do away with the reference entirely.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Suggest doing away with the reference to Clause 45.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.  
See response to Comment #3216

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.4 P 182 L 24 # 3330  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review MinUS\_SC

Need to add minimum number of pilots for use by upstream Probe into Table 101-13.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Add a new row to Table 101-13: "Minimum number of combined active and unused subcarriers for Probe", "180", "<blank>" or "subcarriers".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
See Cmt #3308  
Remove Row "Minimum number of active subcarriers in a contiguous group" row from table.  
Add row to table 101-13  
"Minimum number of combined active and nulled subcarriers for Probe", "180", "subcarriers".  
Change last cell 1st row from "SC" to "subcarriers"  
Remove row "Maximum excluded spectrum in the encompassed spectrum"

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.4 P 182 L 29 # 3308  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A MinUS\_SC

Table 101-13.

Line 26: Upstream does not have exclusion band or contiguous group requirements. OFDM channel bandwidth is specified as minimum 10 MHz in Table 100-11, so "40" subcarriers here creates confusion.

Line 29: What is value for TBD? Note no corresponding percentage requirement in D3.1 upstream. CLT will control percentage needed for proper upstream receiver operation.

Page 157:

Also need to look at Table 101-8 want to borrow some terminology from D3.1 and update the table. Page 157, Line 5, we don't define "group" anywhere. Also need minimum size exclusion band.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Page 182:

Line 26: remove "Minimum number of active subcarriers in a contiguous group" row from table.

Line 29: remove "Maximum excluded spectrum in the encompassed spectrum" row from table.

Page 157:

Line 5: change "group" to "modulation band"

Line 5: Add new table row: Parameter: "Minimum number of subcarriers in an exclusion band" Limit: "20" Unit: <blank> or write in "subcarriers" where appropriate.

Page 156, Line 49. Insert "Exclusion bands separate contiguous modulation bands." before the last sentence.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
See Cmt #3330

pg 232 In 35 Change "The PHY Discovery Response shall be contained in a spectrum of 128 contiguous subcarriers and have a duration of four symbols."

To:

"The PHY Discovery Response is comprised of 128 SC and may include exclusions between these 128 SC's (see Figure 101-xx)."

Add figure from kilger\_3bn\_01\_0315.pdf

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.6 P 184 L 37 # 3324  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

laubach\_3bn\_10\_0315.pdf (laubach\_3bn\_10\_0315.fm) contains the upstream symbol mapper draft text as per TQ #148.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Insert the upstream symbol mapper draft text from laubach\_3bn\_10\_0315.pdf for subclause 101.4.3.6.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use laubach\_3bn\_10a\_0315.pdf

Pg 75 In 30 change 32 to 8

Alphabetize variables, remove hyphen on FIR-STRB pg 78 In 42

Pg 82 In 10 change Eq to be

$RB\_time\_quanta = Rblen \times Highestbitload / US\_DataRate / time\_quantum$

Placement of new 101.4.3.6.4 is at editors discretion. Editor to ensure all variables in this section are formally defined.

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.9.3 P 188 L 11 # 3286  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Modify start burst marker 0xFFFF and 0xFFFF encoding to indicate first bit of first RE, all other values reserved.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Add new paragraph "The setting of 0xFF and 0xFFF respectively in the two start burst markers designates that the first bit of data for the burst starts in the MSB bit of the first usable data resource element in the resource block immediately following the start burst marker. All other values and designations are reserved."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"The start burst marker setting of 0xFF and 0xFFF in RB Frames of size 8 and 16 respectively designates that the first bit of data for the burst starts in the MSB bit of the first usable data resource element in the resource block immediately following the start burst marker. All other values and designations are reserved."

CI 101 SC 101.4.4..7 P 183 L 45 # 3249  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

These two sentences say the same thing in differing detail.  
"Low Density Pilots contain data but at a bit loading lower than what the resource element would normally use. The Low Density Pilot resource element is modulated using the higher modulation order of either BPSK or 4 bits lower than the bit loading specified in the ModTypeSC(n) variable for that subcarrier. "

SuggestedRemedy

Keep the last sentence and strike the first.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 102 SC 102.1.8 P 209 L 20 # 3319  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

Variables need to be added for FEC decode counters. There is no subclause for PHY Link FEC decoder.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest adding: DSPL and USPL prefix for FecCodeWordCount, FecCodeWordSuccess, FecCodeWordFail, similar to Clause 101 names. Create a new subclause for PHY Link FEC decoder. Editor to create appropriate text (only, no SD required) that describes the above counter operation in CLT and CNU receivers.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
The PHY Link does not have a CRC associated with each FEC codeword as in the data path but rather has CRC's associated with each message type.  
Define message and CRC error counters and add 8 new registers in CI 45 as shown in remain\_3bn\_21\_0315.pdf

CI 102 SC 102.2.3.1 P 216 L 33 # 3323  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The second and third paragraph here detail how the CNU does new profile activation, yet the subclause title doesn't reflect this.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest changing title "DS EPoC PHY Frame Header" to "DS EPoC PHY frame header and CNU new profile activation"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
We also describe RF\_ID, DA & Timestamp fields in this section.  
Add L5 headers  
pg 216 ln 32:  
102.2.3.1.1 Configuration ID and profile activation.  
Pg 217 ln 30  
102.2.3.1.2 Response Frame ID  
pg 217 ln 34  
102.2.3.1.3 PHY Link DA  
102.2.3.1.4 PHY Timestamp

CI 102 SC 102.2.3.1 P 216 L 4 # 3310  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A REVISIT

Need to update "{ref}".

SuggestedRemedy

Update "{ref}" to a cross reference to any new CL 101 subclause on upstream timestamp insertion that may be adopted by the TF.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Ref 101.3.3.1.3 from laubach\_3bn\_03\_0315.pdf passed in motion #3



**Cl 102**    **SC 102.2.5**                      **P 221**        **L 30**                      # **3221**  
 Remein, Duane                              Huawei Technologies

*Comment Type*    **T**                      *Comment Status*    **A**                      *Review*

Need to provide a variable and register to indicate the time required for CNU to respond to the DS PHY Link

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 NOT FINAL

May not submit

*Response*                              *Response Status*    **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Change TBD to 4.8 ms  
 This value is derived from the length of one superframe minus 16 symbols (Max RB size) and the 6 Probe symbols.  
 $TBD = (262-6-16)*20us = 4.8 ms$   
 This will ensure that the CLT can designate an US response window within the size limit of Response Frame ID (RF\_ID), which is 8 bits.  
 If this minimum time is deemed to be too short for the CNU PHY then we will need to take steps to allow US responses that take more than one Superframe. This will impact RF\_ID field, EPCH message, and require creation of an US\_Superframe counter.

We might want to consider creating a variable that the CNU can pass to the CLT to indicate what it's min response time is if it can be shorter than this.

**Cl 102**    **SC 102.2.6.5**                      **P 224**        **L 12**                      # **3322**  
 Laubach, Mark                              Broadcom

*Comment Type*    **T**                      *Comment Status*    **A**

Subclauses 102.2.6.5 Timers and 102.2.6.6 Messages have no text or TBD.

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Remove these textless subclauses if no text is provided in another comment.

*Response*                              *Response Status*    **C**

ACCEPT.

**Cl 102**    **SC 102.4.1.4**                      **P 234**        **L 24**                      # **3275**  
 Remein, Duane                              Huawei Technologies

*Comment Type*    **T**                      *Comment Status*    **A**                      *Nrp/Ncp*

"NCP" should be USNcp Cl 102 Fig 102-21 pg 234 ln 24

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Per comment

*Response*                              *Response Status*    **C**

ACCEPT.

**Cl 102**    **SC 102.4.1.7.3**                      **P 237**        **L 42**                      # **3270**  
 Remein, Duane                              Huawei Technologies

*Comment Type*    **T**                      *Comment Status*    **A**

SC\_Cnt cannot start at 0 and go to 4096

*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Change 4096 to 4095

*Response*                              *Response Status*    **C**

ACCEPT.

CI 102 SC 102.4.1.7.7 P 237 L 14 # 3311  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

Figure CNU PHY Discovery Response Transmission control state diagram. Need to resolve the TBD in "rnd(TBD)".

SuggestedRemedy

Part of TBD resolution.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Define a provisioned parameter (create variable, add to CI 45, add to Table 102-1, 102-13).

CI 45.2.1.110 add:

"1.1907.15:8 | Rnd | Random back-off seed for PHY Discovery | R/W"

"45.2.1.110.1 Rnd (1.1907.15:8)

Register bits 1.1907.15:8 form an 8-bit integer that is used by the CNU for the seed of the back-off algorithm. These bits are a reflection of the Rnd variable defined in 102.4.1.7.2." renumber as needed.

Add to Table 102-3

"Rnd | US OFDM control | 1.1907.15:8 | Rnd | 7 | 15:8"

In 102.4.1.7.2 add

"Rnd

TYPE: 8-bit integer

This variable is used as a seed in the back-off algorithm for the PHY Discovery Response."

In Figure 102-23 replace "TBD" with "Rnd"

CI 102 SC 102.4.2.6 P 241 L 21 # 3251  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

Updates to Wideband probing SD & variables.

SuggestedRemedy

See remain\_3bn\_11\_0315.pdf & remain\_3bn\_11\_0315CMP.pdf

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Pg 188 omit change to SymNum

For US\_ModTypeSC & DS\_ModTypeSC keep enum in CI 101 and remove from CI 45 equivalent

Add editors note after PrbID update state diagram indicating that we need to define a minimum time of 2.5 ms between the EPCH message and the beginning of the probe. Period.

CI 102 SC 102.4.3 P 245 L 16 # 3218  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Are the following variables needed at the CNU for Link-up declaration?

Type2\_Repeat

Type2\_Start

Type1\_Repeat

Type1\_Start

SuggestedRemedy

Add to Table 102-13 mark both PHY Discovery and Link-Up as "Y"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 102 SC 102.4.3 P 245 L 7 # 3219  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

In table 102-13 US\_BlockTypeSC(0) through US\_BlockTypeSC(TBD) are not used in draft.

SuggestedRemedy

strike row

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 103 SC 103.0.0.0 P 251 L 1 # 3313  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Rate calculations that were added were based on 10GEPON sub layer definitions, in EPoC, much of what was in the PMD is in our PMA.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "PMD" to "PMA" where appropriate to reflect correct sublayer for overheads, calculations, etc. Editor's discretion.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Pg Ln Action  
263 20 PMD -> PHY  
266 10 PMD\_Overhead -> PHY\_Overhead (global)  
266 14 none  
267 5 none  
279 31 none  
279 38 none  
280 11 none  
280 15 none  
280 16 none  
302 53 none  
308 2 none  
Fig 103-2 no change  
Editors notes no change

Cl 103 SC 103.2.2.1 P 262 L 2 # 3244  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Two definitions for FEC CW size which are nearly identical. These need to be more clearly differentiated.

FEC\_CODEWORD\_SIZE

TYPE: integer

This constant represents the size of FEC codeword in octets (FEC\_PAYLOAD\_SIZE + FEC\_PARITY\_SIZE).

Value: 1987

FEC\_CODEWORD\_SIZE\_FRAC

TYPE: real number

This constant represents the exact size of the FEC codeword in octets.

Value: 1760+2944/13

This is confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

NOT FINAL

Change definitions as show below

FEC\_CODEWORD\_SIZE

TYPE: integer

This constant represents the approximate size of the downstream FEC codeword in whole octets (FEC\_PAYLOAD\_SIZE + FEC\_PARITY\_SIZE).

Value: 1987

FEC\_CODEWORD\_SIZE\_FRAC

TYPE: real number

This constant represents the exact size of the FEC codeword in whole and fractional octets.

Value: 1760+2944/13 (1760 +(1840\*64/65/8)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 103 SC 103.2.2.1 P 262 L 2 # 3237  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

derivation of values for FEC\_PARITY\_SIZE and FEC\_PAYLOAD\_SIZE can be less obfuscated.

SuggestedRemedy

NOT FINAL

Change value for  
 FEC\_PARITY\_SIZE from  
 "227" to

and for FEC\_PAYLOAD\_SIZE from  
 "1760" to "1760 (220 block of 64-bits as seen from the MAC Table 101-2)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 103 SC 103.2.2.1 P 262 L 3 # 3243  
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

FEC\_CODEWORD\_SIZE, FEC\_PARITY\_SIZE and FEC\_PAYLOAD\_SIZE are only constants in the DS direction. In the US these will vary depending on OctetsRemaining

SuggestedRemedy

For DS change existing constant names, via global search & replace to:

DS\_FEC\_CW\_Sz

DS\_FEC\_PrtySz

DS\_FEC\_PldSz

Change the definition of each of these constants by replacing

"the size of FEC codeword" with

"the size of the downstream FEC codeword"

Add new functions:

US\_FEC\_CW\_Sz(OctetsRemaining)

This function returns an integer that represents the size of upstream FEC codeword in octets (FEC\_PAYLOAD\_SIZE + FEC\_PARITY\_SIZE) depending on the size of OctetsRemaining.

```
{
  If OctetsRemaining > 0 and OctetsRemaining < 192 then US_FEC_CW_Sz = 1120/8
  Elseif OctetsRemaining > 193 and OctetsRemaining < 800 then US_FEC_CW_Sz =
floor(5940/8)
  Else US_FEC_CW_Sz = 16200/8
}
```

US\_FEC\_PrtySz(OctetsRemaining)

TYPE: integer

This function returns an integer that represents the size of upstream FEC codeword parity field in octets depending on the size of OctetsRemaining.

```
{
  If OctetsRemaining > 0 and OctetsRemaining < 192 then US_FEC_PrtySz = 280/8
  Elseif OctetsRemaining > 193 and OctetsRemaining < 800 then US_FEC_PrtySz =
ceiling(900/8)
  Else US_FEC_PrtySz = 1800/8
}
```

US\_FEC\_PldSz(OctetsRemaining)

TYPE: integer

This function returns an integer that represents the size of upstream FEC codeword payload in octets depending on the size of OctetsRemaining.

```
{
  If OctetsRemaining > 0 and OctetsRemaining < 192 then US_FEC_PldSz = (840-40)/8
  Elseif OctetsRemaining > 193 and OctetsRemaining < 800 then US_FEC_PldSz =
(5040-40)/8
  Else US_FEC_PldSz = (14400-40)/8
}
```

*Response*                      *Response Status* **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
As proposed for DS constants.  
Use DS\_FEC\_CW\_Sz  
DS\_FEC\_Prty\_Sz  
DS\_FEC\_Pld\_Sz

Functions to be addressed with US Idle deletion definition (volunteer needed!).

**CI 103**      **SC 103.2.2.3**                      **P 263**      **L 21**                      # 3346

Remein, Duane                                      Huawei Technologies

*Comment Type*      **T**                      *Comment Status*      **A**                                      *Review*

Several errors in this definition:

"A variable that advances by one after every octet time. After reaching the value of FEC\_CODEWORD\_SIZE, this variable is held for a period of time for PMD derating and then reset to zero. A state diagram of fecOffset behavior is illustrated in Figure 103-9. In the CLT, this variable is initialized to 0 at system initialization. In the CNU, this variable {fecOffset} is assigned in the GATE Processing CNU Activation state diagram (see Figure 103-30)."

We have added Figure 103-9—fecOffset state diagram which sets this variable for the CLT.

For CNU the Title and Ref are both incorrect. fecOffset is not mentioned in Figure 103-30. In P802.3bx D2.1 the title is used but the figure reference is to Fig 77-14 (our Fig 103-14).

For Ref here is the definition from 802.3bx D1.2

"A variable that advances by 1 after every 8 bit times. After reaching the value of FEC\_CODEWORD\_SIZE, this variable is reset to zero. In the OLT, this variable is initialized to 0 at system initialization. In the ONU, this variable is assigned in the GATE Processing ONU Activation state diagram (see Figure 77-14)."

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change to read:

"A variable that advances by one after every octet time. In the CLT, after reaching the value of FEC\_CODEWORD\_SIZE, this variable is held for a period of time for PMD derating and then reset to zero as illustrated in Figure 103-9. In the CNU, this variable is assigned in Figure 103-14 CNU Control Multiplexer state diagram" (use full ref in FrameMaker).

Change title of Figure 103-9 from  
"fecOffset state diagram"  
to:  
"CLT fecOffset state diagram"

*Response*                      *Response Status* **C**

ACCEPT.

**CI 103**      **SC 103.2.2.4**                      **P 265**      **L 27**                      # 3312

Laubach, Mark                                      Broadcom

*Comment Type*      **T**                      *Comment Status*      **R**

Is CheckGrantSize(length) being used.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Removed if not being used.

*Response*                      *Response Status* **C**

REJECT.  
Used in Figure 103-14

**CI 103**      **SC 103.2.2.4**                      **P 266**      **L 32**                      # 3344

Remein, Duane                                      Huawei Technologies

*Comment Type*      **T**                      *Comment Status*      **A**

PCS\_Rate is not defined in this clause.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Add to 103.2.2.3  
PCS\_Rate  
See 101.3.2.1.2 and Eq 101-2

*Response*                      *Response Status* **C**

ACCEPT.

**CI 103**      **SC 103.2.2.7**                      **P 268**      **L 22**                      # 3347

Zhang, Jin    Marvell Semiconductor

*Comment Type*      **T**                      *Comment Status*      **A**

In Fig. 103-9, the exit condition of "START\_DERATING\_TIMER" should not be UCT. It should wait until the timer expires.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change the exit condition for the box "START\_DERATING\_TIMER" to be "derating\_timer\_done".

*Response*                      *Response Status* **C**

ACCEPT.

CI 103 SC 103.2.2.7 P 268 L 7 # 3332  
Zhang, Jin Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type E Comment Status A

In the box "B-1" should be "beta-1"

*SuggestedRemedy*

Replace B to beta (greek letter)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 103 SC 103.2.2.7 P 273 L 5 # 3345  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

P802.3bx is modifying CI 77. We should rationalized these changes complementary changes to CI 103.

*SuggestedRemedy*

In Figure 77-14 (Eq to Fig 103-14)  
IdleCount is changed to IdleGapCount (ln 5, 11 & 16)

Added to 77.2.2.3 (eq to 103.2.2.4)

IdleGapCount

TYPE: 32-bit unsigned

This variable represents length of gap between subsequent frames, expressed in the unit of octet time. This variable advances by 1 after every 8-bit times.

ResetBound

TYPE: 32-bit unsigned

This variable represents the value of DelayBound (see 76.3.1.2) expressed in units of octet time (i.e., ResetBound = 8 \* DelayBound).

In Figure 77-29 in PARSE GATE added "then" (this has already been done in Figure 103-29).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 103 SC 103.3.3 P 275 L 51 # 3291  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

What is all the yellow highlight text mean?

*SuggestedRemedy*

Suggestion: Describe why text is highlighted in the editors note on Line 49, or remove highlight.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change Editors note to read:

"Highlighted material below on Discovery processing and State diagrams needs to be rationalized with CL 101 and 102. If no comments are received on this material in the next comment round it will be assumed that no rationalization is needed and highlighting and this note will be removed."

CI 45 SC 45 P 27 L 3 # 3233  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status A CI 45 Renum

After consulting with the WG Secretary I believe that 802.3bx is sufficiently stable that we can make this change now so as to catch any editorial errors before WG ballot.  
EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): Paragraph and register numbering will need to be reviewed and updated after release of 802.3 2015.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Renumber CI 45 as follows

45.2.1.13a -> 45.2.1.14a

Table 45-15b -> 45.17a

45.2.1.107 -> 45.2.1.131 renumber subsequent subclauses as appropriate

Table 45-78a -> Table 45-98a renumber subsequent Tables as appropriate

Update Editorial notes as appropriate

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See topic CI 45 Renum

**CI 45**    **SC 45.2.1**    **P 30**    **L 1**    # **3239**  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **ER**    **Comment Status**    **A**    **CI 45 Renum**

Align CI 45 numbering with 802.3bx draft.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Change 45.2.1.13b to 45.2.1.14a & renumber subsequent sections  
 Change Table 45-15b to 17a  
 Change 45.2.1.107 to 45.2.1.131 & renumber subsequent sections  
 Change Table 45-78a to 45-98a & renumber subsequent sections  
 (as shown in remain\_3bn\_17\_0315.pdf)

**Response**    **Response Status**    **C**

ACCEPT.  
 See topic CI 45 Renum

**CI 45**    **SC 45.2.1**    **P 31**    **L 8**    # **3271**  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **E**    **Comment Status**    **A**

DS PHY data rate Register naming is inconsistent:  
 Table 45-3 pg 31 ln 8 DS PHY data Rate  
 45.2.1.123 pg 47 ln 20, 22 & 29 DS data rate (Also in Table 45-78q)  
 Table 100-1 Pg 81 ln 8,11,13 DS PHY data rate & DS data rate  
 Table 101-1 Pg 112 Ln 47, 50, 52 DS PHY data rate & DS data rate

Likewise US PHY data rate  
 Table 45-3 pg 31 ln 10 US Phy data Rate  
 45.2.1.124 pg 47 ln 49 US PHY data rate  
 45.2.1.124 pg 47 ln 51 & pg 48 ln 1, 5 US data rate (also in Table 45-78r)  
 Table 100-1 Pg 81 ln 15,18,20 US PHY data rate & US data rate  
 Table 101-1 Pg 113 Ln 7, 9, 12 US PHY data rate & US data rate

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Consistently use  
 US PHY data rate &  
 DS PHY data rate

**Response**    **Response Status**    **C**

ACCEPT.

**CI 45**    **SC 45.2.1.10.17**    **P 36**    **L 20**    # **3341**  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **T**    **Comment Status**    **A**    **VarXRef**

For each register field in 45.2.1.107-45.2.7a the has a corrisponding variable in CI 100, 101 or 102 replace any reference to CI 100, 101 or 102 with the following:  
 "This register {These register bits} is{are} a reflection of the variable\_name defined in {ref}."  
 Wherever possibl e{Ref} shoul dpoint to the para where the variable is defined.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Made technical due to extent of change.  
 per comment.

Use topic VarXRef

**Response**    **Response Status**    **C**

ACCEPT.

**CI 45**    **SC 45.2.1.107.1**    **P 36**    **L 24**    # **3197**  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **E**    **Comment Status**    **A**    **VarXRef**

Ref to 101.4.3.8 incorrect

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 change to 101.4.2.5.4

**Response**    **Response Status**    **C**

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Use 101.4.2.5.5 (where param CntPltSF is defined)  
 See topic VarXRef

**CI 45**    **SC 45.2.1.108**    **P 37**    **L 12**    # **3198**  
 Remein, Duane    Huawei Technologies

**Comment Type**    **E**    **Comment Status**    **A**

this statement is slightly misguided  
 "Sets the CLT output port to a muted state for text purposes"

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 change text to test

**Response**    **Response Status**    **C**

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.110.1 P 40 L 41 # 3213  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Duplicate definitions  
Register bit 1.1907:7 indicates the number of OFDM symbols in a Resource Block in the upstream direction. When this bit is set to a zero there are 8 symbols per Resource Block. When this bit is set to a one there are 16 symbols per Resource Block.

101.4.4.3.3 pg 182 ln 1  
RBsize  
TYPE: boolean

This variable determines the size of the upstream Resource Blocks. When RBsize is TRUE then Resource Block size is 16 symbols, When RBsize is FALSE then Resource Block size is 8 symbols.

EDITORS NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): This definition duplicates that in Cl 45.2.1.110. Only one should be kept.

SuggestedRemedy

change 45.2.1.110.1 to read:  
Register bit 1.1907:7 indicates the number of OFDM symbols in a Resource Block in the upstream direction. This bit is a reflection of RBsize defined in 101.4.4.3.3."

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.112.4 P 42 L 14 # 3214  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Type 1 Start is between 0 and 15 not 0 and 31

SuggestedRemedy

change 31 to 15

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.118 P 45 L 25 # 3240  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

R/O s/b RO (4x)

SuggestedRemedy  
per comment

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.119 P 46 L 2 # 3272  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Wording  
"Register 1.1921.15 through 1.1921.0 represent the DS PHY Link frame count" and  
"The assignment of bits in the DS PHY Link frame counter bit definition is shown in Table 45-78m"

SuggestedRemedy

Change to  
"Register 1.1921 is the DS PHY Link frame counter" and  
"The assignment of bits in the DS PHY Link frame counter register is shown in Table 45-78m"

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.120 P 46 L 20 # 3230  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Wording:  
"Registers 1.1923 through 1.1922 form ... "

SuggestedRemedy

change to:  
"Registers 1.1923 and 1.1922 form ... "

Response Response Status C  
ACCEPT.



CI 45 SC 45.2.1.120 P 46 L 27 # 3278  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Remove "bit definitions" from title.

This comment was captured during Clause 45 walking through on the socialization conference calls. I neglected to get more detail. So am unsure of the remedy, other than a suggestion to remove "bit definitions" from figure titles?

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.  
Change  
"45.2.1.119 DS PHY Link frame counter bit definitions (Register 1.1921)"  
to  
"45.2.1.119 DS PHY Link frame counter (Register 1.1921)"

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.121 P 46 L 47 # 3231  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Table title inconsistent with text.

SuggestedRemedy

Change from:  
Table 45-78o—Phy power offset bit definitions  
to  
Table 45-78o—PHY power offset bit definitions

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.  
Capitalize PHY

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.122 P 47 L 5 # 3224  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A Review

There is no Xref for:  
This is used to provision a delay in the ranging response in the event there is an analogue optical segment between the CLT and the CNU as described in {ref}.

SuggestedRemedy

Add  
"EDITORIAL NOTE (to be removed prior to publication): the care and feeding of this register and it's associated variable is not defined anywhere in the draft."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Add to 102.4.1.7.2  
RngOffset  
TYPE: 32-bit integer  
This variable is used to provision a delay in the ranging response in the event there is an analog optical segment between the CLT and the CNU as described in 102.4.1.4. This variable defaults to a value of 0 on reset.

Add to 102.4.1.4 at pg 232 In 41  
"In the event there is an analog fiber segment between the CLT and CNU the CLT can delay the PHY Discovery Response by the amount of time specified in RngOffset."

This variable needs to be added to table 102-13 required for both PHY Discovery and Link up.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.125 P 48 L 26 # 3223  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A VarXRef

Xref update for:  
See {ref} for a definition of this register

SuggestedRemedy

Change {ref} to "variable FecCodeWordCount in 101.3.3.1.5 and Table 101-1"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
See topic VarXRef  
See Response to comment #3316

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.125 P 48 L 27 # 3316  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A VarXRef

Line 27 and 50 "{ref}" needs to be defined.

Page 46, Line 19. same comment.

SuggestedRemedy

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Changed to Pg 48 (was 2748) 2nd ref should be pg 49 In 19

See topic VarXRef

Pg 48 Ln 27 change

"See {ref} for a definition of this register."

to

"These registers are a reflection of the variable FecCodeWordCount defined in 101.3.3.1.5."

Pg 48 Ln 50 change

"See {ref} for a definition of this register."

to

"These registers are a reflection of the variable FecCodeWordSuccess defined in 101.3.3.1.5."

pg 49 In 19 change

"See {ref} for a definition of this register."

to

"These registers are a reflection of the variable FecCodeWordFail defined in 101.3.3.1.5."

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.126 P 48 L 50 # 3225  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A VarXRef

Xref update for:

See {ref} for a definition of this register.

SuggestedRemedy

Change {ref} to "variable FecCodeWordSuccess in 101.3.3.1.5 and Table 101-1"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See topic VarXRef

See Response to comment #3316

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.127 P 48 L 20 # 3226  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A VarXRef

Xref update for:

See {ref} for a definition of this register.

SuggestedRemedy

Change {ref} to "variable FecCodeWordFail in 101.3.3.1.5 and Table 101-1"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See topic VarXRef

See Response to comment #3316

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.3 P 53 L 20 # 3215  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This statement could be clearer:

"Each number is a 16-bit signed fractional two's complement number."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "Each number is a 16-bit signed fractional number conforming to the Q2.14 format."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.4 P 54 L 12 # 3292  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"the" is spelled wrong in second line of second description in table.

Register numbering should start in 45.2.7a.4.1 "12.10240" not "12.240" Is correct in descriptions.

SuggestedRemedy

Line 12: change "teh" to "the".

Line 28: subtitle problem "12.240.2:0" should be "12.10240.2:0".

Editor's discretion to review and correct any register numbering issues.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7a.5 P 54 L 48 # 3199  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The referenced register should be 12.10241.  
"same bit structure as that of register 12.10242."

SuggestedRemedy

change The remaining registers  
12.10242 to 12.10241

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Change the end of the sentence from  
"of register 12.10242"  
to  
"of register 12.10241"

CI 67 SC 67.1 P 67 L 27 # 3315  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A Review

Need to resolve TBD's or not modify Clause 67. Nominal reach is first defined in  
ammdments to Table 56-1, page 63 as "2.9 km" with table footnote of "Maximal  
differential distance between CNU's. Reach may vary depending on the CCDN."

Note that Table 67.1 has not been updated with other EPON PHY standards that increase  
split ratio beyond 1:16, e.g. 1:32, 1:64. Since EPoC does not specify the maximum  
number of CNU's, the number of PHYs = CLT PHY + N \* CNU PHYs is not readily  
quantifiable into this table format.

SuggestedRemedy

Consider 1 of 2 choices:  
Choice 1: do not modify Clause 67 and remove from our draft.  
Choice 2: try to fill in the TBD's with something that makes some sense:  
Page 67, Lines 27 and 28, replace nominal reach TBDs with "2.9" and add a table footnote  
same as "i" from Table 56-1. Note now that this is duplicative of the changes to Table 56-1.  
Page 67, Lines 27 and 28, replace number of PHYs TBD with "variable" and a new table  
footnote "Based on cable operator's CCDN configuration, the number of PHY's will be the  
CLT PHY plus each CNU PHY." or similar.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Remove changes to 67.3.  
Do Choice 2

CI 76 SC 67.6.3 P 69 L 21 # 3200  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Unlinked ref to 103.3.3.2

SuggestedRemedy

make it a live link (103.3.3.2 is correct).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
It is a live link when tested to 103.3.3.2. Need to change color from magenta to black.

CI 76 SC 76 P 72 L 54 # 3295  
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Fix master page copyright from 2013 to 2015.

SuggestedRemedy

As commented.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 99 SC 99 P 1 L 9 # 3339  
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Change "Ammdment X:" to "Ammdment:" per latest template

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.