Draft 2.0	IEEE 802	2.3bn EPON F	Protocol over Coa	ax (EPoC) TF Ini	tial Working	Group ballot comments		Final Response
C/ 00 SC 0 Anslow, Pete	P Ciena	L	# 3859	<i>CI</i> 00 Booth, B	SC 0	P 13 Microsoft	L 1	# 3976
IEEE uses an en-dash for a used instead. SuggestedRemedy Where a hyphen is used as The editor has been sent a replaced.	a minus sign, replace with narked up copy of the dra	n an en-dash.		- Suggesta Chai Respons	e of Contents pe edRemedy nge to only show	Comment Status A r the IEEE-SA style guide is only 3 levels of headers. Response Status C	required to show	EZ w up to heading #3.
ACCEPT.	Response Status C			<i>CI</i> 00 Remein,	SC 0 Duane	P 258 Huawei Techn	L 10 blogies	# 4108
C/ 00 SC 0 Remein, Duane	P 1 Huawei Techr	L 1 nologies	# 3942	Commer OFD		Comment Status A 3) is a bit too slow		
Comment Type E Check the characters that ca Choose Format > Documer Remove "/" and en-dash if p SuggestedRemedy per comment	t > Text Options	each clause:		Pg 9 Pg 1 Pg 1 S <i>uggest</i> e	e/similar issue at 9 ln 37 (figure 10 71 ln 38 (Table 1 59 ln 23 edRemedy	00-6)		
Response F ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Respons	0	Response Status C		
C/ 00 SC 0 Dawe, Piers	P 13 Mellanox	L 0	# 4158	<i>CI</i> 00 Remein,	SC 0 Duane	P 37 Huawei Techn	L 36 plogies	# 3947
Comment Type E Some headers say "IEEE S	Comment Status A td 802.3-2012" while othe	ers say "IEEE Std	802.3-201x"	EZ Commer Muc		Comment Status A is status; this should be reflected	in it's name	EZ
SuggestedRemedy Fix Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change all to IEEE Std 802	Response Status C 3-2015			Chai "10G "10G Tabl Cl 4 Tabl	edRemedy nge in 9 places: iPASS-XR contri iPASS-XR contri e 45–3 1x 5.2.1.131 3x e 101–1 2x e 102–3 3x			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 00 SC 0 Page 1 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:01 PM

However Page 148, line 9 should be "Table 101-2"

C/ 00 SC 0 Anslow, Pete	P 55	L 45	# 3861		C/ 00 SC 0	P 83	L 16	# 3945
nsiow, Pete	Ciena				Remein, Duane	Huawei 10	echnologies	
omment Type E	Comment Status A			ΕZ	Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
	ny instances of text that should be				Title and Heading	gs in Table 100-1 (and 101-1 and	102-3) could be more	re accurate.
Since they are tex	t, they should be checked for accu	racy before being	made cross-referen	ces.	SuggestedRemedy			
uggestedRemedy						a aaab tabla ta "MDIO ragiatar ta	DUV voriable menn	ing"
Change the follow	ing text to cross-references:					o each table to "MDIO register to 1D register name" to "MDIO regi		ang
Page 55, line 45 "						1D variable" to "PHY variable"	Ster Hame	
Page 59, line 14 "	102.2.3"				Response			
Page 109, line 22					•	Response Status C		
Page 122, line 1 "					ACCEPT.			
Page 148, line 9 " Page 153, line 27								
Page 153, line 27								
Page 173, line 12								
Page 173, line 42								
Page 180, line 36								
	"101.4.3.6.x" (with correct reference	ce)						
Page 180, line 40								
Page 186, line 24 Page 196, line 46	"Figure 4" (with correct reference)							
Page 197, line 14								
	"Figure 101.x.x.x" (with correct refe	erence)						
	"101.x.x.x" (with correct reference)							
Page 212, line 18								
Page 231, line 47								
	Clause 45" (should not be forest gi	reen)						
Page 243, line 13 Page 284, line 49	"Cl 45" (Should be "Clause 45")							
Page 296, line 30								
Page 304, line 21								
Page 334, line 2 "								
esponse	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRI	ICIPLE.							

C/ 00 SC 0

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 00 SC 0	P 89	L 14	# 3901	C/ 00	SC 100.	1.1	P 77	L 16	# 4156
Remein, Duane	Huawei Tech	nologies		Dawe, Pier	S		Mellanox		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		RateMatchFail	Comment			Comment Status A		EZ, comprised
DS_RateMatchFail a	nd US_RateMatchFail determine	ed but there is no	way to report this.				ered poor English and has		
SuggestedRemedy							nk the same point applies h ts, or is it an abstraction of		
Add formal definition DS RateMatchFail	of each variable in 100.2.6.3			Suggested	Remedy	•		Ũ	
TYPE: Boolean				••	-	compris	ed of passive segments" to	o e.g.	
	TRUE if the CNU calculation o					•	sive segments		
DS_DataRate calcula variable is set to FAL	ation communicated from the CL	T by more than 1	0 b/s otherwise the				ve segments sive segments		
				topolo	gy containin	g passiv	e segments or		
US_RateMatchFail TYPE: Boolean					gy built of pa av implement		egments passive segments		
	TRUE if the CNU calculation o	f US_DataRate d	liffers from the	•					
	ation communicated from the CL	T by more than 1.	0 b/s otherwise the		the other fiv	e "comp	rised of" in the draft.		
variable is set to FAL	-SE.			Response			Response Status C		
				ACCE	PT.				
	100-1 for DS_RateMatchFail & 0GPASS-XR control US_Rate			Chang	e to Clause	00.			
	0GPASS-XR control DS_Rate			C/ 00	SC 100.	1.1	P 77	L 16	# 4020
				Ran, Adee			Intel	- 10	1020
Add Status bit for the	ese variables in CI 45 Register 1	900. In Table 45–	98a add two new lines	Comment	Type E		Comment Status A		EZ, comprised
modifying the reserve	ed line accordingly: mismatch[b] 0 = the upstream	rate calculated at	the CNUL and the CLT is			ncorrect	comprising = composed of	of.	, comprised
	iter than 10 b/s 1 = the upstream								
matches within 10 b/s					• •	ated sev	eral times in the draft.		
	mismatch[b] $\mid 0 =$ the downstream eater than 10 b/s 1 = the downst			Suggested	-				
CLT matches within 1				-	e "comprise		composed of" or "compris	sing" throughout t	he draft.
Add new 15 2 1 131	1 & 45.2.1.131.2 renumbering as	required		Response			Response Status C		
	e mismatch (1.1900.12)	required		ACCE	PT.				
	es that, when read as a 1, the up			Chang	ed to Clause	e 00.			
variable defined in 10	by greater than 10 b/s. This bit is	s a reflection of tr	e US_RateiviatchFall						
45.2.1.131.2 DS rate	e mismatch (1.1900.11)								
	es that, when read as a 1, the do ed by greater than 10 b/s. This l								
variable defined in 10									
Response	Response Status C								
ACCEPT.									
TYPE: TR/technical requi	red ER/editorial required GR/g	eneral required T	/technical E/editorial G/gene	eral			CI 00)	Page 3 of 123

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 00 SC 100.1.1 Page 3 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:01 PM

C/00 SC 100.1.3 P7	7 L 36	# 4021	C/ 00 SC 100.2.6	P 88	L 25	# 3956
Ran, Adee Intel			Remein, Duane	Huawei Tech	nnologies	
Comment Type E Comment Status	Α	intro move to 101	Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		Def of Channe
subclause 100.1.3 and figures 100-2 through the PMD which is the subject of clause 100.	100-5 seem to describe t	he whole PHY, not just	OFDMA, the remaining	es of "channel" in the draft. 31 ng 255 should be checked by th		
SuggestedRemedy			which channel is being	g referred to.		
Consider adding an introduction clause to des		the sublayer	SuggestedRemedy	16 14 64 6 H 1		
architecture. This subclause seems to belong	there.		"OFDM" (ex CI 45.2.7	rify with one of the following: (a.5.1 pg 62 ln 10		
Alternatively, move this subclause to clause 5	6.		"the channel indi	cated" -> "the OFDM channel ir	ndicated")	
Response Response Status	С		"OFDMA" (no ex fou			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			"baseline" (ex as in C "gap" (ex as in Table	100.2.6 pg 88 in 28) 100-5 note pg 95 in 44)		
Retain Figure 100-1 in Clause 100. Move sub				ex as in Table 100-3 Pg 93 In 5)	
through 43) and Figure 100-2, 100-3,100-4, ar been applied. See comment #3719	101-5 to Clause 101 a	fter other changes have	(The Editors are invit	ad to odd odditional qualifying y	varda oo boodod)	
				ed to add additional qualifying v nearly all 598 instance have so		
C/ 00 SC 100.2 P 8		# 3721		2	·	
lajduczenia, Marek Bright	House Networks		*** Change to CI 00 b	efore bring accepted by TF. **	*	
Comment Type ER Comment Status	Α	EZ	Response	Response Status C		
"10GPASS-XR" with em-dash or "10GPASS-	XR" with normal hyphen.		ACCEPT IN PRINCI		III in the 000 0 defi	
SuggestedRemedy				nt with the definition of "channe M" or "OFMDA" only where it re		
Looking at recent projects and the way the PN	ID/PHY names are spelle	ed out, normal hyphen	· ·	•		
seems to be used. Please change all instances of "10GPASS-XF)" with any deals to "1000	ACC VD" with normal	C/ 00 SC 100.2.8		L 6	# 4035
hyphen		ASS-AR WIIITIOITIAI	Andy Gardner	linear		
Response Response Status	w		Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				tances of "must" in the draft aft e IEEE convention is to use "sh		
Peter says "It is a dash (not and en dash or a		e sure non-breaking	1 0		iaii when a specii	ication is manualory.
(Esc - h). Verify/change throughout documer	t to verify dash.		SuggestedRemedy			
Changed to Clause 00.			Consider replacing ""			
			Response	Response Status C		
			ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PIF		
				0 and the Chief Editor will deal		

C/ 00 SC 100.2.8.6

C/ 00 SC 101.1.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 128 Bright House Ne	L 1 etworks	# 3785	C/ 00 Hajduczenia,	SC 101.3.2.5.1 Marek	P 143 Bright House	L 51 e Networks	# 3840
Comment Type E	Comment Status A ster / bit number column looks		Cl 45 Xref Tables mbers are not of the	Comment Ty Line brea	rpe E ak control for " 64	Comment Status A B/66B Encoder "		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRo Please n		ame does not break across	s "/" character	
Suggest to right align info	rmation in this column. The sa	me for Index ar	nd Bit(s) columns, please.	Response		Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Changed to CI 00	Response Status C			, ACCEP ⁻	F IN PRINCIPLE. I to CI 00 as impa			
For all variable xref tables	; (Cl 100, 101 & 102) umber to justified (do NOT inc	lude header), of	thers as is.	Choose		ers in the Allow Line Breaks ent > Text Options	s After by following	g the procedure below
C/ 00 SC 101.3.2.1.5		L 19	# 3838	C/ 00	SC 101.3.3.1.8	P 163	L 19	# 2000
Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E	Bright House Ne Comment Status A	etworks		Booth, Brad	30 101.3.3.1.8	P 163 Microsoft	L 19	# 3980
Please align symbols that	are used across SDs: note th	ne "-" sign forma	at in Figure 101–2 in	Comment Ty	rpe E	Comment Status A		
DELETE_IDLES state an DELETE_IDLES state an SuggestedRemedy	nd "+" symbols in SEND_VEC nd SEND_IDLE state - they are	TOR state vers e visually differe	us Figure 101–3,	Figures SuggestedRe Correct t	, I01-13 and 101-1 e <i>medy</i> o use the proper	4 don't follow required forr font (Helvetica, Arial) in th		
DELETE_IDLES state an DELETE_IDLES state an SuggestedRemedy This applies to all SDs in t	Id "+" symbols in SEND_VEC Id SEND_IDLE state - they are this draft that use "-" and "+" s	TOR state vers e visually differe	us Figure 101–3,	Figures ² SuggestedRo Correct t words do	, 101-13 and 101-1 e <i>medy</i>	4 don't follow required forr font (Helvetica, Arial) in th s.		
DELETE_IDLES state an DELETE_IDLES state an SuggestedRemedy This applies to all SDs in Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Changed to CI 00 as this a	Id "+" symbols in SEND_VEC Id SEND_IDLE state - they are this draft that use "-" and "+" s <i>Response Status</i> C applies to more than CI 101	TOR state vers e visually differe symbols	us Figure 101–3, ent	Figures SuggestedRe Correct t words do Response ACCEP Per IEEE	, 101-13 and 101-1 emedy o use the proper on't touch the lines I IN PRINCIPLE Style guide font	4 don't follow required forr font (Helvetica, Arial) in th s. <i>Response Status</i> C	e figures. Align te: er Times New Ror	nt blocks so that the man or Arial. Most SD in
DELETE_IDLES state an DELETE_IDLES state an SuggestedRemedy This applies to all SDs in Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Changed to CI 00 as this a Replace all "" (dash spa	Id "+" symbols in SEND_VEC Id SEND_IDLE state - they are this draft that use "-" and "+" s <i>Response Status</i> C	TOR state vers e visually differe symbols with "" (minus	us Figure 101–3, ent s space minus) in all	Figures SuggestedRe Correct t words do Response ACCEP Per IEEE the curre	, 101-13 and 101-1 emedy o use the proper on't touch the lines I IN PRINCIPLE Style guide font	4 don't follow required forr font (Helvetica, Arial) in th s. <i>Response Status</i> C ts in graphic are to be eithe	e figures. Align te: er Times New Ror	xt blocks so that the man or Arial. Most SD in
DELETE_IDLES state an DELETE_IDLES state an SuggestedRemedy This applies to all SDs in Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Changed to CI 00 as this a Replace all "" (dash spa	Id "+" symbols in SEND_VEC Id SEND_IDLE state - they are this draft that use "-" and "+" s <i>Response Status</i> C applies to more than CI 101 ace dash <or> minus minus) bus minus with no space result</or>	TOR state vers e visually differe symbols with "" (minus	us Figure 101–3, ent s space minus) in all	Figures SuggestedRe Correct t words do Response ACCEP Per IEEE the curre	, 101-13 and 101-1 emedy o use the proper on't touch the lines I IN PRINCIPLE. Style guide font nt STD are in Ari	4 don't follow required forr font (Helvetica, Arial) in th s. <i>Response Status</i> C ts in graphic are to be eithe	e figures. Align te: er Times New Ror	xt blocks so that the man or Arial. Most SD in
DELETE_IDLES state an DELETE_IDLES state an SuggestedRemedy This applies to all SDs in the Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Changed to CI 00 as this the Replace all "" (dash spats state diagrams (using min Replace all "+ +" with "++	Id "+" symbols in SEND_VEC Id SEND_IDLE state - they are this draft that use "-" and "+" s <i>Response Status</i> C applies to more than CI 101 ace dash <or> minus minus) nus minus with no space result " in all state diagrams</or>	TOR state vers e visually differe symbols with "" (minus	us Figure 101–3, ent s space minus) in all	Figures SuggestedRo Correct f words do Response ACCEP Per IEEE the curre Changeo	IO1-13 and 101-1 emedy o use the proper on't touch the lines IN PRINCIPLE. Style guide font at STD are in Ari. I to CI 00 SC 101.6.2.2	4 don't follow required forr font (Helvetica, Arial) in th s. <i>Response Status</i> C ts in graphic are to be eithe al. P802.3bn will use Arial	e figures. Align tex er Times New Ror (9 pt prefered) for	nan or Arial. Most SD in SD. # <mark>3872</mark>
DELETE_IDLES state an DELETE_IDLES state an SuggestedRemedy This applies to all SDs in the Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Changed to CI 00 as this the Replace all "" (dash spats state diagrams (using min Replace all "+ +" with "++	Id "+" symbols in SEND_VEC Id SEND_IDLE state - they are this draft that use "-" and "+" s <i>Response Status</i> C applies to more than CI 101 ace dash <or> minus minus) nus minus with no space result " in all state diagrams</or>	TOR state vers e visually differe symbols with "" (minus ts in a single wic	us Figure 101–3, ent s space minus) in all de line)	Figures 7 SuggestedRo Correct f words do Response ACCEP Per IEEE the curre Changeo C/ 00 Anslow, Pete Comment Ty	IO1-13 and 101-1 emedy to use the proper on't touch the lines IN PRINCIPLE. Style guide font nt STD are in Aria to CI 00 SC 101.6.2.2 ppe E	4 don't follow required forr font (Helvetica, Arial) in the s. <i>Response Status</i> C ts in graphic are to be eithe al. P802.3bn will use Arial <i>P</i> 227 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A	e figures. Align tex er Times New Ror (9 pt prefered) for <i>L</i> 22	xt blocks so that the man or Arial. Most SD ir SD. # <u>3872</u>
DELETE_IDLES state an DELETE_IDLES state an SuggestedRemedy This applies to all SDs in the Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Changed to CI 00 as this and Replace all "" (dash spatiate diagrams (using mining Replace all "+ +" with "+++ C/ 00 SC 101.3.2.1.5 Lajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E	Id "+" symbols in SEND_VEC Id SEND_IDLE state - they and this draft that use "-" and "+" s <i>Response Status</i> C applies to more than Cl 101 ace dash <or> minus minus) ius minus with no space result " in all state diagrams <i>P</i> 139 Bright House Ne <i>Comment Status</i> R</or>	TOR state vers e visually differe symbols with "" (minus ts in a single wic <i>L</i> 37 etworks	us Figure 101–3, ent s space minus) in all de line) # 3839	Figures SuggestedRe Correct to words do Response ACCEP Per IEEE the curre Changeo C/ 00 Anslow, Pete Comment Ty The PIC	IO1-13 and 101-1 emedy o use the proper on't touch the lines IN PRINCIPLE. Style guide font at STD are in Aria to CI 00 SC 101.6.2.2 ppe E S_year variable in	4 don't follow required forr font (Helvetica, Arial) in the <i>Response Status</i> C is in graphic are to be eithe al. P802.3bn will use Arial <i>P</i> 227 Ciena	e figures. Align tex er Times New Ror (9 pt prefered) for <i>L</i> 22	xt blocks so that the man or Arial. Most SD in SD. # <u>3872</u>
DELETE_IDLES state an DELETE_IDLES state an SuggestedRemedy This applies to all SDs in the Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Changed to CI 00 as this and Replace all "" (dash spatiate diagrams (using mining Replace all "+ +" with "+++ C/ 00 SC 101.3.2.1.5 Lajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E	Id "+" symbols in SEND_VEC Id SEND_IDLE state - they are this draft that use "-" and "+" s <i>Response Status</i> C applies to more than CI 101 ace dash <or> minus minus) ius minus with no space result " in all state diagrams <i>P</i> 139 Bright House Ne</or>	TOR state vers e visually differe symbols with "" (minus ts in a single wic <i>L</i> 37 etworks	us Figure 101–3, ent s space minus) in all de line) # 3839	Figures SuggestedRe Correct to words do Response ACCEP Per IEEE the curre Changeo C/ 00 Anslow, Peter Comment Ty The PIC SuggestedRe	i01-13 and 101-1 emedy o use the proper on't touch the lines IN PRINCIPLE. Style guide font nt STD are in Aria to CI 00 SC 101.6.2.2 pe E S_year variable in emedy	4 don't follow required forr font (Helvetica, Arial) in the s. <i>Response Status</i> C is in graphic are to be eithe al. P802.3bn will use Arial <i>P</i> 227 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A n Clauses 101, 102 and 10	e figures. Align tex er Times New Ror (9 pt prefered) for <i>L</i> 22 13 is set to "2012",	nt blocks so that the man or Arial. Most SD in SD. # <u>3872</u> , but it should be "201x"
DELETE_IDLES state an DELETE_IDLES state an SuggestedRemedy This applies to all SDs in the Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Changed to CI 00 as this and Replace all "" (dash spatter state diagrams (using mining Replace all "+ +" with "+++ C/ 00 SC 101.3.2.1.5 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E	Id "+" symbols in SEND_VEC Id SEND_IDLE state - they and this draft that use "-" and "+" s <i>Response Status</i> C applies to more than Cl 101 ace dash <or> minus minus) ius minus with no space result " in all state diagrams <i>P</i> 139 Bright House Ne <i>Comment Status</i> R</or>	TOR state vers e visually differe symbols with "" (minus ts in a single wic <i>L</i> 37 etworks	us Figure 101–3, ent s space minus) in all de line) # 3839	Figures SuggestedRe Correct to words do Response ACCEP Per IEEE the curre Changeo C/ 00 Anslow, Peter Comment Ty The PIC SuggestedRe	i01-13 and 101-1 emedy o use the proper on't touch the lines IN PRINCIPLE. Style guide font nt STD are in Aria to CI 00 SC 101.6.2.2 pe E S_year variable in emedy	4 don't follow required forr font (Helvetica, Arial) in the s. <i>Response Status</i> C ts in graphic are to be eithe al. P802.3bn will use Arial <i>P</i> 227 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A	e figures. Align tex er Times New Ror (9 pt prefered) for <i>L</i> 22 13 is set to "2012",	nan or Arial. Most SD in SD. # <u>3872</u> , but it should be "201x"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **00** SC 101.6.2.2

Page 5 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:01 PM

<i>CI</i> 00 Booth, Brad	SC 102.2.6.5	P 261 Microsoft	L 1	# 3984	C/ 00 Zimmerma	SC 45.2 In. George	P 31 CME (L 31 Consulting, Inc.	# 4064
Comment		Comment Status A			Comment	-	Comment Status	0.	Cl 45 Device Address
	51	tent in the font style and hard	l to read. Transi	tion from WAIT is broken.	OFDN	1 is defined as a n	nodulation technique a	ready. It is inapprop	priate for a device name - it
	e to use the correc	t font. Fix the boxes to remo ate from Str- to be StrtOfFm.		nd thick lines. Change	OFDN sublay subpa	l device is a new rers it isn't in any rt of a PMA in Fig	sublayer, a type of PM / layering diagram I wa jure 100-3, but that doe	A/PMD or a comple s able to find. an O	ally, you can't tell if the tte PHY with multiple FDM framer shows up as a bill for a 'device included in
Response		Response Status C			•	0	handled by the PMA.		
Per IEI		ts in graphic are to be either ial. P802.3bn will use Arial (9			some	ce "OFDM" with "(hing else, e.g., Ph	,	,	t appropriate, or if ch and make corresponding
C/ 00	SC 103.2.2.3	P 305	L 31	# 3714	replac	ements (e.g., lines	s 11&12 page 32)		
Hajduczenia		Bright House	Networks				evice "OFDM PMA/PM , 100 and 101, as appr		tever) in the layering
Comment	51	Comment Status A	hauld ha hunha	notod	Response		Response Status	w	
Suggested Change	Remedy e "24 bit unsigned"	"24 bit" is an adjective and s to "24-bit unsigned integer"			Chanç	PT IN PRINCIPL ed from CI 45 to			
Similar Response	change for "16 bit	unsigned", "32 bit unsigned" Response Status C	, "18 bit unsigne	ed", etc.	IN Tat OFDN	le 45–1 change 1 to			
•	ed to CI 00	to enter a maintance reques	st to correct thes	se errors in the Standard	Chang "45.2. "45.2. Pg 58 "OFD "OFD	7a OFDM register 7a OFDM PMA/P line 5 change: M MMD" to M PMA/PMD MM	MD registers" D"		
						le 45–211a chang V registers" to	je		
						M PMA/PMD regi	sters"		
					"PMĂ "OFD and "XR-t <u>y</u>	(Clause 101)" to M PMA (Clause 1 vpe PMD (Clause	100)" to		
					In Fig Chang	M PMD (Clause 1 100-2, 3, 4 & 5 je "PMA" to "OFD hange "PMD" to "	M PMA"		
				T/technical E/editorial G/gene ISE STATUS: O/open W/writi		J/unsatisfied Z/wi	thdrawn	C/ 00 SC 45.2	Page 6 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:01

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

9/18/2015 2:08:01 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 00 SC 45.2.1 P 33 L 12 # 3979 Booth, Brad Microsoft	C/ 00 SC 45.2.1.131 P 37 L 51 # 3651 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
Comment Type E Comment Status A Overuse of the US and DS acronyms. While acronyms are easily understood by those working closely with the draft, the DS and US terms can create confusion (is US the USA?).	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Bit 1.1900.2 definition contains unnecessary detail for Clause 45, has ambiguous name, and could use better description
See Table 75B-1 for how US and DS were used. SuggestedRemedy Change DS to be downstream and US to be upstream.	SuggestedRemedy Change description to read: 1 = frames with detected CRC40 errors are labelled as errored 0 = frames with detected CRC40 errors are not labelled as errored
Change in the registers and other tables in Clause 45. Review EPoC clauses to ensure the use of the terms are easily understood.	Change naming of register to "CRC40 errored frames"
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Changed from CI 45 to CI 00. Most of the 585 instances of "DS" and 430 instances of "US" occur in variable names or register names. In such cases no changes will be made.	Change content of subclause 45.2.1.131.3 Bit 1.1900.2 is used control whether frames with detected CRC40 errors are labelled as errored before being passed to higher layers, as described in 101.3.3.1.4. This bit is a reflection of the variable CRC40ErrCtrl defined in 101.3.3.1.6.
In cases where these acronyms obscure in subclause titles or para text these will be changed to upstream and downstream as requested.	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. . change description to read: . 1 = 65-bit blocks with detected CRC40 errors are labelled as errored 0 = 65-bit blocks with detected CRC40 errors are not labelled as errored
	Change naming of register to "CRC40 errored blocks"
	Change content of subclause 45.2.1.131.3
	Bit 1.1900.2 is used control whether 65-bit blocks with detected CRC40 errors are labelled as errored before being passed to higher layers, as described in 101.3.3.1.4. This bit is a reflection of the variable CRC40ErrCtrl defined in 101.3.3.1.6.
	In Tables 101-1 change the following cell: "CRC40 errors" to "CRC40 errored blocks"

C/ 00 SC 45.2.1.131

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 00 SC 45.2.1.1		L 5	# 3657		C 45.2.1.132.		L 25	# 3661
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	e Networks		Hajduczenia, M	arek	Bright House	Networks	
Comment Type T What is "CLT output p SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A ort" ? There are 6 instances (j	plus 1 in TOC) wit	Soc hout definition.		ates as normal eference then	Comment Status A " - typically, PHYs have "not "CLT PMA/PMD enters the		
trying to achieve Same on page 39, line "CLT PHY transmitter"				spread all o way where	t mode" with a over the place, we can point to	subclause in the draft - righ popping up in different subc o a single location (at best) node" consistently in the dra	clauses. This nee where the test mo	ds to be organized in a ode is defined. Make
In CL 100 pg 117 In 30 change: "100.3.1 CLT RF outp "100.3.1 CLT RF outp	npacts Cl 100 also f "output port" in Cl 45 to "PH ut port muting requirement" to			conditions" Anything el Change the purposes, v "When bit 1 is muted. W is also not	, "test operatic se will be called n "When bit 1. vhen this bit is .1901.15 is se /hen bit 1.190° clear what the		output port of the ates as normal (s MD transmitter en T PMA/PMD ent	CLT is muted for testing ee 100.1.3)" to read nters the test mode and it ers the normal mode." - it osed to do in this
In 34 change: "The output return loss "The output return loss In 39 change: "RF output port = 73 d "RF output power = 73	at TP1/MDI" Bc" to			With the ex Note that s what are te performance	ubclause 100.3 sting condition	Γ output port muting, we dor 3 was created based on the is into a separate subclause s that must be met when the	Commenter's pri	or comments to group erational and
				Change: "When bit 1	.1901.15 is se	et to a one the output port of	the CLT is mute	d for testing purposes.

"When bit 1.1901.15 is set to a one the output port of the CLT is muted for testing purposes, when this bit is set to a zero the CLT operates as normal (see 100.1.3)" to read "When bit 1.1901.15 is set to a one, the CLT PMD transmitter enters the test mode and it is muted. When bit 1.1901.15 is set to a zero, the CLT PMD enters the normal operating state."

C/ 00 SC 45.2.1.132.1

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

ΕZ

3632

C/ 00	SC 45.2.1.134.	1 P 41	L 25	# 3669	C/ 00 SC 45.2.7a	5 P 61 L 42
Hajduczenia,	, Marek	Bright Hou	se Networks		Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House Networks
MSB / L SuggestedR Insert sta being ad can be d Response ACCEP Changed At the er "The mo	egisters carrying s SB is located to r Remedy atement into 45.2 Ided under 802.3 defined up front ar T IN PRINCIPLE d to CI 00 so com nd of the para in 1 ost significant bit i	1.134.1, 45.2.1.134.3, 4 on. I am not sure whether nd applicable to all registe <i>Response Status</i> W	entations encode th 5.2.1.134.4, and ma there is an alternations ers nted in CL 100, 101 1.8 add the followir	e value in the same way. any others in registers ve approach where this & 102. g.	Comment Type E Double space at the e SuggestedRemedy Chane "" to "." Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF Changed to Cl 00 Also found at pg/In in Cl 45 58/28, Cl 100 94/33, and Cl 102 147/2	Comment Status A nd of the sentence in line 42 Response Status C PLE.
C/ 00	SC 45.2.7a.1	P 58	L 29	# 3694		
Hajduczenia,	, Marek	Bright Hou	se Networks			
	"." at the end of lir n Table 45–211b.	Comment Status A ne: "The assignment of b ."	its in the DS OFDM	<i>EZ</i> channel ID register is		
00	e"" with "."					
	T. d to Cl 00 al search.	Response Status C				

CI 00	SC 56.1	P 67	L 16	# 417
Law, Davi	id	HP		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) draft D3.2 subclause 1.4 defines 'Point-to-Multipoint network (P2MP)' in subclause 1.4.331 as 'A passive optical network providing transport of Ethernet frames' so by this definition EPoC can't be a 'Point-to-Multipoint network' as it is not optical. IEEE P802.3bn draft D2.0 adds a definition for coax cable distribution network (CCDN) which is used here, however while IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) draft D3.2 subclause 1.5 'Abbreviations' defines 'ODN' as 'optical distribution network' there is no definition of the term in subclause 1.4. ODN is used in the existing EPON clauses, and additional uses are added in IEEE P802.3bn (e.g. subclause 56.1.2.1, page 67, line 50).

Suggest that 'Point-to-Multipoint network (P2MP)' should just be used in reference to a topology, and since 'point to point' has no definition, only an abbreviation (see IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) subclause 1.5), the same should be true for 'point to multipoint'. There should then be two complementary definitions for the two IEEE 802.3 P2MP media, one for an 'optical distribution network (ODN)' and one for a 'coax cable distribution network (CCDN)'. An EPON is then implemented over a P2MP optical distribution network (ODN), an EPoC network over a P2MP coax cable distribution network (CCDN).

Finally the definition in subclause 1.4.144a for 'coax cable distribution network' seems a bit circular as it starts with 'coaxial distribution network' and then seems to imply a point to point connection by only mentioning 'the MDI at the CNU and the MDI at the CLT'.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

[1] The definition in subclause 1.4.144a 'coax cable distribution network' be updated to read 'coax cable distribution network (CCDN): A Radio Frequency (RF) distribution plant comprising of either amplified or passive coaxial media.'.

[2] A new definition be added in subclause 1.4 that reads 'optical distribution network (ODN): A optical distribution plant comprising of fibre optical cabling and a passive optical splitter or cascade of splitters.

[3] Existing subclause 1.4.331 be deleted by IEEE P802.3bn.

Response Status W

[4] In subclause 56.1 (page 67, line 12) change '... in which a point-to-multipoint (P2MP) network topology is implemented with passive optical splitters, along with ...' to read '... in which a point-to-multipoint network (P2MP) is implemented over an optical distribution network (ODN), along with ...' and that (page 67, line 16) '... in which a P2MP network topology is implemented ...' be changed to read '... in which a P2MP network is implemented ...'.

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 00	SC all	P all	<i>L</i> all	# 3975
Paul Nikolic	h	self		
Comment 7 Kudos ballot		Comment Status		ft and bringing it to WG
Suggested	Remedy			
<i>Response</i> REJEC No Cha		Response Status		Much appreciated.
C/ 01	SC 1.4	P 26	L 11	# 3894
Lusted, Ken	nt	Intel		
Comment 7 The PM	51	Comment Status		dard.
Suggested Add de	Remedy finition for 10G	PASS-XR		
Add: "1.4.49 Gb/s do cable d	ownstream and	LE. R: A collection of IEEE 8 up to 1.6 Gb/s upstream ork. (See IEEE Std 802.3	(EPoC) point-to-multip	oint link over a coax
Gb/s do	ownstream, 1 G	RX: A collection of IEEE b/s upstream (10/1G-EP ee IEEE Std 802.3, Table	ON) point-to-multipoint	link over one single-

C/ 01 SC 1.4

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

ΕZ

C/ 01	SC 1.4		P 26	L 15	# 4030	C/ 01	SC	1.4	P 26	L 20	# 3897
Ran, Adee			Intel			Remein, D	Juane		Huawei Tech	nologies	
Comment T	Туре ТБ	2	Comment Status R		Def of Channel	Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status A		EZ
term is	used in ma	ny place	that the term "channel" had s es in 802.3 and also has a n on used here.			examp 1.4.14	ole I4a coax	< cable di	non practice to include the mne istribution network: would be istribution network (CCDN):	monic in parenthe	esis after the term so for
			into the IEEE standards dic			Suggested	dRemed	ły			
unambi	iguous. Unit	ortunate	ely clause 11 can only be ch	anged through m	aintenance.	Add m	nnemoni	cs to the	e following as shown		
(e.g. in	100.2.6.1) ' s sometime	'channe	ce "OFDM channel" is also c el" may refer to an OFDM ch Hz band". This inconsistency	nannel. Also in us	e is "6 MHz channel"	1.4.14 1.4.14	l5b coax l6c coax	k line tern	istribution network (CCDN): ninal (CLT): c unit (CNU): (CP):		
						Response			Response Status C		
Please term.	use a more	specifi	ic term in this project instead	d of re-using this	way too overloaded	ACCE	PT.				
Suggestedl	Remedy										
	more specif necessary.	ic defin	ition such as "RF channel" c	r "EPoC channe	l" and use it instead						
Make s "band".		annel" i	s always qualified correctly	in clause 100, an	d reconcile usage of						
Response			Response Status W								
standar	- believes w rd and chan	ging tha	sing the term "channel" cons at definition is beyond the so definition please submit a ma	ope of this proje	ct. If the commenter						
Also pl	ease see re	lated cr	mt# 3956, 4059								

C/ 01 SC 1.4

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 01 SC 1.4. Zimmerman, George	134	P 26 CME Consultir	L 14 ng, Inc.	# 4059	<i>Cl</i> 01 Law, David	SC	1.4.144a	HF	P 26	L 21	# 4173	
Comment Type EF	R Comment	t Status R		Def of Channel	Comment 7	Гуре	Е	Comment Stat	tus A			EZ
(and tempting to us	ise) in most PHY cla	auses (where the	proper term is us	s a common word used sually link segment).	Based 1.5.	on the	use of the t	text ' carrying R	F signals	' suggest that RF	F be added to subc	lause
to at least make th		riately restricted.	It is encouraged	Clause 11 is a recent fix I not to expand the use I be OK).	S <i>uggestedl</i> Add 'RI			', in alphabetical o	order, to the	e changes to sub	oclause 1.5 on page	e 27.
(e.g., "under basel		ons"). I highly re		el' and this defined term a different term for the	Response ACCEF	PT.		Response Stat	us C			
SuggestedRemedy		criby baria.			C/ 01	SC	1.4.145b		P 26	L 23	# 4174	
	channel' where it me	eans a band of fre	equencies dedica	ated to a certain service	Law, David			HF	0			
				ition, but inserting and	Comment 7	Гуре	Е	Comment Stat	tus A			ΕZ
				g to the definition: "This 1.4.134, but is added to				being inserted co .4.144b and 1.4.2		y after existing su	ubclause 1.4.144 s	hould
	ith the common, ge			1.4.134, but is added to	Suggested	Remea	dy					
					0.1.1.1.1		4 4 4 5 1 - 1 - 1					
(note -frequency c	hannel would be co	nsistent with what	t is used in table	45-98c)	number			uld be numbered	'1.4.144b' a	and subclause '1.	.4.146c' should be	
(note -frequency c Response		nsistent with what Status W	t is used in table	45-98c)				Response Stat		and subclause '1.	.4.146c' should be	
Response REJECT.	Response	Status W			number	red '1.4				and subclause '1.	.4.146c' should be	
Response REJECT. The TF believes w	Response	Status W m "channel" consi	stent with the del	finition in the current	number Response	red '1.4 PT.		Response Stat		L 32		
Response REJECT. The TF believes w standard and chan	Response	Status W m "channel" consi is beyond the sco	stent with the def		number Response ACCEF	red '1.4 PT. SC	1.144c'. 1.4.170a	Response Stat	us C	L 32	4.146c' should be # <u>3639</u>	
Response REJECT. The TF believes w standard and chan feels strongly about	Response we are using the terr nging that definition	Status W n "channel" consi is beyond the sco ease submit a ma	stent with the def	finition in the current	number Response ACCEF C/ 01	PT. SC	1.144c'. 1.4.170a	Response Stat	us C P 26 ight House	L 32		EZ
Response REJECT. The TF believes w standard and chan feels strongly about Also please see cr C/ 01 SC 1.4.4	Response we are using the terr nging that definition u t this definition ple mt# 4030 and 3956	Status W n "channel" consi is beyond the sco caase submit a ma	stent with the def	finition in the current	Response ACCEF C/ 01 Hajduczenia Comment 7 "sample	red '1.4 РТ. SC а, Маге Гуре es of th	4.144c'. 1.4.170a ek T	Response Stat Br Comment Stat	us C P 26 ight House tus R	L 32 Networks		
Response REJECT. The TF believes w standard and chan feels strongly about Also please see cr C/ 01 SC 1.4.4	Response we are using the terr nging that definition u t this definition ple mt# 4030 and 3956	Status W n "channel" consi is beyond the sco ease submit a ma	stent with the def ope of this projec intence request.	finition in the current ct. If the commenter	Response ACCEF C/ 01 Hajduczenia Comment 7 "sample	red '1.4 PT. SC a, Mare Type es of th	1.144c'. 1.4.170a ek T he same sy mbiguous	Response Stat Br Comment Stat	us C P 26 ight House tus R	L 32 Networks	# <u>3639</u>	
Response REJECT. The TF believes w standard and chan feels strongly about Also please see cr C/ 01 SC 1.4.4 Booth, Brad	Response we are using the terr nging that definition u t this definition ple mt# 4030 and 3956 144a	Status W n "channel" consi is beyond the sco case submit a ma	stent with the def ope of this projec intence request.	finition in the current ct. If the commenter	number Response ACCEF C/ 01 Hajduczenia Comment T "sample "symbo Suggested	PT. SC a, Mare Type es of th I" is ar Remed	1.144c'. 1.4.170a ek T the same sy mbiguous ty	Response Stat Br Comment Stat	us C P 26 ight House tus R e same OFI	<i>L</i> 32 Networks DM symbol" to be	# 3639 e precise - the term	
Response REJECT. The TF believes w standard and chan feels strongly about Also please see cr C/ 01 SC 1.4. Booth, Brad Comment Type E	Response we are using the terr nging that definition u t this definition ple mt# 4030 and 3956 144a	Status W m "channel" consi is beyond the sco ease submit a ma b P 26 Microsoft t Status A	stent with the def ope of this projec intence request.	finition in the current ct. If the commenter # 3977	number Response ACCEF C/ 01 Hajduczenia Comment T "sample "symbo Suggested	PT. SC a, Mare Type es of th I" is ar Remed	1.144c'. 1.4.170a ek T the same sy mbiguous ty	Response Stat Br Comment Stat mbol" - likely, "the	us C P 26 ight House tus R e same OFI	<i>L</i> 32 Networks DM symbol" to be	# 3639 e precise - the term	
Response REJECT. The TF believes w standard and chan feels strongly about Also please see cr C/ 01 SC 1.4. Booth, Brad Comment Type E Definition does no	Response we are using the terr nging that definition u t this definition ple mt# 4030 and 3956 144a Comment of follow typical form	Status W m "channel" consi is beyond the sco ease submit a ma b P 26 Microsoft t Status A	stent with the def ope of this projec intence request.	finition in the current ct. If the commenter # 3977	Response ACCEF Cl 01 Hajduczenia Comment T "sample "symbo Suggested Change	PT. SC a, Mare Type es of th ol" is ar Remed e "sam	1.144c'. 1.4.170a ek T the same sy mbiguous ty	Response Stat Br Comment Stat mbol" - likely, "the same symbol" to	us C P 26 ight House tus R e same OFI	<i>L</i> 32 Networks DM symbol" to be	# 3639 e precise - the term	
Response REJECT. The TF believes w standard and chan feels strongly about Also please see cr C/ 01 SC 1.4. Booth, Brad Comment Type E Definition does no Also applies to 1.4	Response we are using the terr nging that definition u t this definition ple mt# 4030 and 3956 144a Comment of follow typical form	Status W m "channel" consi is beyond the sco ease submit a ma b P 26 Microsoft t Status A	stent with the def ope of this projec intence request.	finition in the current ct. If the commenter # 3977	number Response ACCEF C/ 01 Hajduczenia Comment T "sample "symbo Suggested/ Change Response REJEC The cla	SC a, Mare <i>Type</i> es of th l" is ar <i>Reme</i> c s "samp T. rifying	1.144c'. 1.4.170a ek T he same sy mbiguous dy ples of the s "OFDM" is	Response Stat Br Comment Stat mbol" - likely, "the same symbol" to Response Stat	us C P 26 ight House tus R e same OFI "samples o us C ontext:	<i>L</i> 32 Networks DM symbol" to be	# <u>3639</u> e precise - the tern DM symbol"	
Response REJECT. The TF believes w standard and chan feels strongly about Also please see cr C/ 01 SC 1.4.* Booth, Brad Comment Type E Definition does no Also applies to 1.4 SuggestedRemedy Change to read:	Response we are using the term nging that definition u t this definition ple mt# 4030 and 3956 144a Comment to follow typical form 4.144b and c.	Status W m "channel" consi is beyond the sco case submit a ma p 26 Microsoft t Status A nat.	stent with the def ope of this projec intence request.	finition in the current ct. If the commenter # 3977	number Response ACCEF C/ 01 Hajduczenia Comment T "sample "symbo Suggested/ Change Response REJEC The cla "1.4.17	SC a, Mare Type es of th of is ar Remed s "sam Type ST. urifying 0a cyc nat there	1.144c'. 1.4.170a ek T mbiguous dy ples of the s "OFDM" is lic prefix: A	Response Stat Br Comment Stat mbol" - likely, "the same symbol" to Response Stat clear from the co redundant set of	us C P 26 ight House tus R e same OFI "samples o us C ontext: samples pi	<i>L</i> 32 Networks DM symbol" to be of the same OFD repended to an C	# <u>3639</u> e precise - the tern DM symbol"	n
Response REJECT. The TF believes w standard and chan feels strongly about Also please see cr C/ 01 SC 1.4. ² Booth, Brad Comment Type E Definition does no Also applies to 1.4 SuggestedRemedy Change to read: 1.4.144a coax cab 1.4.144b coax line	Response we are using the term nging that definition u t this definition ple mt# 4030 and 3956 144a Comment of follow typical form 4.144b and c. ble distribution netwo terminal (CLT): work unit (CNU):	Status W m "channel" consi is beyond the sco case submit a ma p 26 Microsoft t Status A nat.	stent with the def ope of this projec intence request.	finition in the current ct. If the commenter # 3977	number Response ACCEF C/ 01 Hajduczenia Comment T "sample "symbol Suggested/ Change Response REJEC The cla "1.4.17 Note th	SC a, Mare Type es of th of is ar Remed s "sam Type ST. urifying 0a cyc nat there	1.144c'. 1.4.170a ek T mbiguous dy ples of the s "OFDM" is lic prefix: A	Response Stat Br Comment Stat mbol" - likely, "the same symbol" to Response Stat clear from the co redundant set of	us C P 26 ight House tus R e same OFI "samples o us C ontext: samples pi	<i>L</i> 32 Networks DM symbol" to be of the same OFD repended to an C	# <u>3639</u> e precise - the tern DM symbol" DFDM symbol"	n

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 01 SC 1.4.170a

Booth, Brad	SC 1.4.294a	P 26 Microsoft	L 47	# 3978	<i>Cl</i> 01 Booth, Brad	SC 1.4.345a	P 27 Microsoft	L 3	# 3983
Comment Typ	pe E	Comment Status A			Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status A		QAM symbol de
51	the acronym in the	e definition.			As this i	s an amendmen	t to the 802.3, this draft stand ke "In EPoC, this term"	ard will become	
Also appli	lies to 1.4.345a.				SuggestedR	emedy			
	o read: orthogonal freque	ncy division multiplexing (O			"The arr		d: epresentation of the bits of da FDM subcarriers."	ta that modulate	a carrier signal or that
		ide modulation (QAM) sym	1001:		Response		Response Status C		
OFDM ch	IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C	s >250x). Thus it	is probably a good	Change "The arr	plitude-phase re	E. epresentation of the bits of da bcarriers in OFDM."	ta that modulate	a carrier signal or that
a mig to ite					modulat				
Change 1	1.4.294a to read:				(also se	e cmt# 4026)			
1.4.294a channel. Add 1.4.3	OFDM channel: se 306a	ee 1.4.306a orthogonal free	. ,		(also se <i>Cl</i> 01 Ran, Adee	e cmt# 4026) SC 1.4.345a	P 27 Intel	L 4	# 4026
1.4.294a channel. Add 1.4.3 Insert the	OFDM channel: se 306a following definition orthogonal frequen	ee 1.4.306a orthogonal free n after 1.4.306 "Organizatio ncy division multiplexing (O	onally Unique Ider	ntifier (OUI)" as follows:	C/ 01 Ran, Adee Comment T	SC 1.4.345a			QAM symbol de
1.4.294a channel. Add 1.4.3 Insert the 1.4.306a current 1.4	OFDM channel: se 306a following definition orthogonal frequen	n after 1.4.306 "Organization ncy division multiplexing (O	onally Unique Ider	ntifier (OUI)" as follows:	C/ 01 Ran, Adee Comment T Definitio Likewise	SC 1.4.345a <i>ype</i> T n of QAM symb a, "OFDM chann	Intel Comment Status A bol uses the term "OFDM subu lel" (1.4.294a) uses the term "	carrier" which is 1	QAM symbol de not defined.
1.4.294a channel. Add 1.4.3 Insert the 1.4.306a current 1.4 Change 1	OFDM channel: se 306a e following definition orthogonal frequen 4.294a 1.4.345a as sugge SC 1.4.294a	n after 1.4.306 "Organization ncy division multiplexing (O sted. <i>P</i> 26	brally Unique Ider FDM) channel:	ntifier (OUI)" as follows:	C/ 01 Ran, Adee Comment T Definitio Likewise	SC 1.4.345a vpe T n of QAM symb	Intel Comment Status A bol uses the term "OFDM subu lel" (1.4.294a) uses the term "	carrier" which is 1	QAM symbol de not defined.
1.4.294a channel. Add 1.4.3 Insert the 1.4.306a current 1.4 Change 1 C/ 01 Hajduczenia, I Comment Typ	OFDM channel: se 306a following definition orthogonal frequen 4.294a 1.4.345a as sugge SC 1.4.294a Marek pe T	n after 1.4.306 "Organization ncy division multiplexing (O sted. <i>P</i> 26 Bright House N Comment Status A	bonally Unique Iden FDM) channel: <i>L</i> 47 Networks	ntifier (OUI)" as follows: . " using definition from # <u>3640</u> <i>EZ</i>	C/ 01 Ran, Adee Comment T Definitio Likewise may be The fina	SC 1.4.345a ype T n of QAM symb a, "OFDM chann understood from I part of the sent	Intel Comment Status A bol uses the term "OFDM subu lel" (1.4.294a) uses the term "	carrier" which is i QAM subcarrier' ulate each of the	QAM symbol de not defined. Which is not defined, but
1.4.294a channel. Add 1.4.3 Insert the 1.4.306a current 1.4 Change 1 C/ 01 Hajduczenia, I Comment Typ "A data tr	OFDM channel: se 306a 9 following definition 9 orthogonal frequen 4.294a 1.4.345a as sugge SC 1.4.294a Marek pe T ransmission channel	n after 1.4.306 "Organization ncy division multiplexing (O sted. <i>P</i> 26 Bright House N	bonally Unique Ider FDM) channel: <i>L</i> 47 Networks data is carried ove	# [3640] # [3640] # area a large number of	C/ 01 Ran, Adee Comment T Definitio Likewise may be The fina does no SuggestedR	SC 1.4.345a ype T n of QAM symb a, "OFDM chann understood from I part of the sent t seem necessa temedy	Intel Comment Status A ool uses the term "OFDM sub- el" (1.4.294a) uses the term " in the context. tence "or, in OFDM, that mod ry for the definition of "QAM	carrier" which is r QAM subcarrier' ulate each of the symbol".	QAM symbol de not defined. Which is not defined, but
1.4.294a channel. Add 1.4.3 Insert the 1.4.306a current 1.4 Change 1 C/ 01 Hajduczenia, I Comment Typ "A data tra orthogona	OFDM channel: se 306a 50lowing definition orthogonal frequen 4.294a 1.4.345a as sugge SC 1.4.294a Marek pe T ransmission channel al QAM subcarrier	n after 1.4.306 "Organization ncy division multiplexing (O sted. P 26 Bright House N Comment Status A el in which the transmitted o	bonally Unique Ider FDM) channel: <i>L</i> 47 Networks data is carried ove	# [3640] # [3640] # area a large number of	C/ 01 Ran, Adee Comment T Definitio Likewise may be The fina does no SuggestedR	SC 1.4.345a ype T n of QAM symb a, "OFDM chann understood from I part of the sent t seem necessa temedy	Intel <i>Comment Status</i> A bol uses the term "OFDM sub- lel" (1.4.294a) uses the term " in the context. tence "or, in OFDM, that mod	carrier" which is r QAM subcarrier' ulate each of the symbol".	QAM symbol de not defined. Which is not defined, but
1.4.294a channel. Add 1.4.3 Insert the 1.4.306a current 1.4 Change 1 C/ 01 Hajduczenia, I Comment Typ "A data tr. orthogona SuggestedRe Change to	OFDM channel: se 306a 1010wing definition orthogonal frequent 4.294a 1.4.345a as sugger SC 1.4.294a Marek pe T ransmission channel al QAM subcarriers pemedy	n after 1.4.306 "Organization ney division multiplexing (O sted. P 26 Bright House N Comment Status A el in which the transmitted of s." - whether the number is sion channel in which the tr	bonally Unique Ider FDM) channel: <i>L</i> 47 Networks data is carried ove large or small is i	# 3640 # 3640 <i>EZ</i> er a large number of irrelevant to a definition	C/ 01 Ran, Adee Comment T Definitio Likewise may be The fina does no SuggestedR Change	SC 1.4.345a vpe T n of QAM symb a, "OFDM chann understood from I part of the sent t seem necessa vemedy "OFDM subcarr	Intel Comment Status A ool uses the term "OFDM sub- el" (1.4.294a) uses the term " in the context. tence "or, in OFDM, that mod ry for the definition of "QAM	carrier" which is r QAM subcarrier' ulate each of the symbol". ".	QAM symbol de not defined. which is not defined, but

C/ 01 SC 1.4.345a

Draft 2.0	IEEE 802	2.3bn EPON	Protocol over Coax (EP	oC) TF Initial Work	ing Group ballot comments		Final Response
C/ 01 SC 1.4.345b Hajduczenia, Marek	P 27 Bright House	L 6 Networks	# 3641	Cl 100 SC Remein, Duane	P 107 Huawei Tech	L 11 Inologies	# 3952
Comment Type E C "a fixed point number" - "fixed "fixed-point" SuggestedRemedy Change "a fixed point number			should be spelled as	In all the followin be defined in so SuggestedRemedy	E Comment Status A Ing formulas "used in the following for me far distant future? ific reference such as "use in Equation		
Response R ACCEPT.	esponse Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 01 SC 1.5 Victor Hou	P 27 Broadcom Co	L 25 prporation	# 3973	C/ 100 SC 1. Effenberger, Frank	1 <i>P</i> 77 Huawei	L 16	# 4005
Definition of abbreviation HF SuggestedRemedy The definition should be "Hy		brid Fiber Coax	EZ Network."	The phrase "Tru branch" term is u though it is not s SuggestedRemedy Make the terms	uniform, one way or another.		
C/ 100 SC Remein, Duane	P 104 Huawei Techr	L 2	# 3927	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Comment Type TR ("Grant Bandwidth" which is v 1) is an Undefined term 2) Crosses a line SuggestedRemedy Define and avoid line feeds i	Comment Status A written as a variable in variables. Response Status C "Grant Spectrum". Add a irrant Spectrum <ital> is the y the bandwidth of a single tal>Grant Spectrum<ital> spectrum<ital> is the ban</ital></ital></ital>	a definition for "g e spectrum of the e RB) allocated may vary from o dwidth of the en	e grant (number of to a CNU in a given RB one RB Frame to tire upstream	amplifiers. Migh SuggestedRemedy	Huawei	alogs are also po	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 100 SC 1.1

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

Cl 100 SC 1.5 Amason, Dale	P 83 Freescale	L 16	# 3989		Cl 100 SC Hajduczenia, Ma	2 100.1.1 rek	P 77 Bright H	L 25 ouse Networks	# 3707
Comment Type E Unecessary comma "M	Comment Status A lapping of PCS, and PMA vari	ables"		ΕZ	Comment Type Either I have	E problems v	Comment Status A		<i>EZ</i> is are of different size.
SuggestedRemedy Remove comma					SuggestedReme Please make	•	symbols are the same (I	nave the same height)	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						ntences for ceil and floo hem into new paras	r functions are togethe	er in the same para - there
C/ 100 SC 100 Dawe, Piers	P 77 Mellanox	L 1	# 4165		<i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN Will review F	-	<i>Response Status</i> C E. if same font size. If the		ljust for editor's eyeball.
	Comment Status R s down the stack of sublayers,	not up.			CI 100 SC Rahman, Saifur	00.1.3	P 77 Comcas	L 43 t Cable	# 4078
SuggestedRemedy Swap clauses 100, PM Response	D, and 101, RS/PCS/PMA. <i>Response Status</i> W				Comment Type Clause 103 i	E s not mentio	Comment Status A		ctional layers of EPoC as
PMA 10G-EPON". Cl 100 SC 100.1	use 75 "PMD 10GBASE-PR/P	L 11	2 Hause 76 "RS/ PCS, # 3706		and Clause 1 SuggestedReme	102 focuses edy	functions of the PMD su on PHY Link. ause 103 is a modified		cuses on PCS and PMA, EPoC
Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E "in downstream directio "downstream" and "ups	Bright House I Comment Status A on and up to 1.6 Gb/s in upstrea tream"		issing "the" before	ΕZ	Response ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLI title for 100	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy For consistency, it seer everywhere else	ns that it is "the downstream d	irection" and "the	e upstream direction"			•	unctions of Clause 77 M PoC operation."	ultipoint MAC Control	Protocol (MPCP) with
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				C/ 100 SC Dwelley, David	00.1.3	P 78 Linear T	L 16 echnology	# 4073
					<i>Comment Type</i> Missing ")" a	E ifter "PMA (Comment Status A		EZ
					SuggestedReme Change to: "	-	se 101)"		
					Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
•	d ER/editorial required GR/ge spatched A/accepted R/rejec			0		tisfied 7/wit		C/ 100 SC 100.1.3	Page 15 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:02 PI

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 100.1.3 9/18/2015 2:08:02 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

ΕZ

4039

Cl 100 Trowbridge	SC 100.1.3 Steve	P 78 Alcatel-Lucent	L 44	# 4038		Cl 100 Trowbridge	SC 100.1.3
Comment T A few o is a few Suggested	Type E of the boxes in the or pixels to the left Remedy	Comment Status A figure are misaligned. For example of the MDI box above it.		around "coax" at line	<i>EZ</i> 44	Comment Severa IFFT b mappe doesn'	
We do	PT IN PRINCIPLE nudge these up an le to see if it beha	nd Framemaker cheerfully misa	ligns at its wh	im. We will go back a	and	Suggested Zoom Response	Remedy in close and tidy
C/ 100 Hajduczenia	SC 100.1.3 a, Marek	P 79 Bright House Ne	L1 etworks	# 3719		We do	PT IN PRINCIPL nudge these up a ge to see if it beh
Comment T	Type ER	Comment Status A		intro move	to 101	C/ 100	SC 100.1.3
•		enty of acronyms that are not in	nmediately ea	sily expandable to th	e full	Hajduczeni	a, Marek
	- R <i>emedy</i> expand all acrony	ms from Figure 100-2 in the sa ant applies to Figure 100-3, Figu			re		n of Figure 100-2 PMA, and PMD s
Response		Response Status W				Suggested	Remedy
There a with this	s comment), "FCF	nyms that are different than Fig ", and will move "CPW" to this	list also. Exp	and "RS" to		PMA, a	e caption for Figu and PMD sublaye
	gure 100-1.	nction box to match 100-1. Sug	gest not repli	cating all the acronyn	ns	Response	
Note: th	e intro and Figure	es 100-2 through 100-5 will be r e. As per comment #4021.	moving to Cla	use 101 after these		ACCE	PT.

•					
IFFT b mappe doesn'	oxes below. T er box above. t go all the way	he pilot insertio	n 1 and 5 boxes right of the Subc he boxes around '	don't align with the	w pixels to the left of t e edges of the symbol n and bit loading box nd "FCP
Suggestea	Remedy				
Zoom	in close and ti	dy up the figure	by nudging the e	elements to line up	
Response		Respons	e Status C		
	0	up and Framem behaves this tim		isaligns at its whir	n. We will go back and
Cl 100	SC 100.1.	3	P 79	L 47	# 3732
Hajduczeni	a, Marek		Bright House	Networks	
Comment	Туре Т	Comme	nt Status A		
		0 0 in incorroct			
	PMA, and PM		: there are no "tra here are "PCS, F	PMA, and PMD su	ıblayers, transmit
PCS, I	PMA, and PMI on"				ıblayers, transmit
PCS, I direction Suggesteon Chang PMA,	PMA, and PMI on" <i>Remedy</i> e caption for F and PMD subl	D sublayers" - t Figure 100-2 to layers, transmit	here are "PCS, F read: "Functional direction".	PMA, and PMD su	SPASS-XR-D CLT PC
PCS, I direction Suggesteon Chang PMA,	PMA, and PMI on" <i>Remedy</i> e caption for F and PMD subl	D sublayers" - t Figure 100-2 to layers, transmit aption of Figure	here are "PCS, F read: "Functional direction".	PMA, and PMD su I blocks within 10G	SPASS-XR-D CLT PC

P 79

Comment Status A

Alcatel-Lucent

L 29

C/ 100 SC 100.1.3

Tx_Enable parameter can take on one of two values: ON or OFF, determining whether the PMD transmitter is on (enabled) or off (disabled). The Clause 101 PCS generates this primitive to indicate a change in the value of Tx_Enable parameter. Upon the receipt of this primitive, the

PMD_SIGNAL.request(Tx_Enable). The Tx_Enable parameter can take on one of two values: ON or OFF, determining whether the PMD transmitter is on (enabled) or off (disabled). Upon the receipt of this primitive, the Clause 100 PMD turns the transmitter on or off as appropriate."

PMD_SIGNAL.request() (see 101.3.2.5.7 and 102.3.1.3). In the PMD, the ON value is the OR product of the PMD_SIGNAL.request() set to the value ON from the PCS data detector with that from the PHY Link, signaling RF power amplifier turn on to the PMD; either the PCS data detector or the PHY Link may signal ON. When both the PCS and the PHY Link set the value to

"As input to the PMD, PMD_SIGNAL.request() is the OR product of the signal from PCS data detector (see 101.3.2.5.7) with that from the PHY Link (see 102.3.1.3) signaling RF power

Clause 100 PMD turns the transmitter on or off as appropriate."

"In the CNU only both the PCS data detector and the PHY Link may set

"In the CNU only, the semantics of the service primitive are

OFF, this signals RF power amplifier turn off to the PMD."

4) Change para beginning Page 87. Line 1:

	2	P 80	L 34	# 4040		C/ 100	SC 100.1	2	P 80	L 40	# 0744
Frowbridge, Steve		Alcatel-Lucent	-	# 4040		Hajduczeni		.5	F 60 Bright House		# 3744
owningle, steve Alcatel-Lucent omment Type E Comment Status A EZ Several misalignments in Figure 100-3. There is a gap between the Pre-equalization and IDFT box and the box below. The arrow below the Staging and Pilot Insertion doesn't go all the way to the box. Several of the corners in the arrow lines either don't join or extend past the intersection point when they go around a 90 degree bend. EZ uggestedRemedy Zoom in close and tidy up the figure by nudging the elements so they line up. esponse Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. We do nudge these up and Framemaker cheerfully misaligns at its whim. We will go back and re-nudge to see if it behaves this time.					FT ay	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Figure 100-3 has two instances of "PMD_SIGNAL.request()" entering PMD FUNCTIONS block from two different locations, which implies that they are one and the same, yet they a generated by different blocks SuggestedRemedy Rationalize the names of primitives as listed in the comment. One of them should be different first PHY Link block and then leave going into PMD FUNCTIONS block, which is not case. Then the PMD_SIGNAL.request() primitive can ge generated in an additive fashion, not create potential race conditions (what happens if one block sets it to ON and another to OFF - which takles priority then???) Once the change is done, text describing the race condition on page 78, lines 1-7 can be simplified, to list only the fact that PMD_SIGNAL.request() is generated by either of the bl in a cascade manner.					the same, yet they are nem should be different VAL.request() should S block, which is not the an additive fashion, and o ON and another to 3, lines 1-7 can be
						Response ACCE 1) Moo side. I being ' Only la OR sig 2) Pag directio	PT IN PRINC dify Figure 10 Move PMD F 'or'd" into the ubel the outpu gnal bus with t ie 86, Line 46	Res IPLE. D-3 to mov unctions to PMD_SIG of the OR wo generat Remove	tors and one detector the single sentence p	hese signals from input to the PMD F IGNAL.request()". .)	PCS and PHY Link

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 100
 Page 17 of 123

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 100.1.3
 9/18/2015 2:08:02 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 100
 Page 17 of 123

to

to

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

3720

Final Response

amplifier turn on to the PMD; either the PCS data detector or the PHY Link may signal ON. When both the PCS and the PHY Link set the value to OFF, this signals RF power amplifier turn off to the PMD."

C/ 100	SC 100.1.3	P 81	L 30	# 4041
Trowbridge	e, Steve	Alcatel-Lucen	t	
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		EZ
FFT be above	oxes below, and D	to previous figures: the De-ir De-interleaving 1 and 5 boxes right of the Subcarrier config	dont' line up with	the symbol mapper box
Suggestea	,	n dha Canada ha an dalar dha ai		

Zoom in close and tidy up the figure by nudging the elements to line up

Response

Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

We do nudge these up and Framemaker cheerfully misaligns at its whim. We will go back and re-nudge to see if it behaves this time.

C/ 100 SC 100.1.3 P 82 Hajduczenia, Marek

```
L1
Bright House Networks
```

Comment Type Comment Status A ER

Figure 100-2 through Figure 100-5 use very inconsistent capitalization for block names. Is there any reason why you use "Gearbox" but for example "FEC DECODER" (or other block names??)

SuggestedRemedy

Rationalize block names. For example, "FEC DECODER" should be "FEC Decoder". "64B/66B DECODER" would become "64B/66B Decoder", etc. This is applicable to Figure 100-2 through Figure 100-5

Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The "Gearbox" function was removed in a prior comment round and missed getting updated in this figure. Removing also removes the mentioned inconsistency as we are using all CAPS for functional block names consistently (mostly).

Action: 1) Remove "Gearbox" function box from Figure 100-5 and adjust figure accordingly, 2) change any lower case to CAPS in the mentioned figures except for cross references.

C/ 100 S	SC 100.1.3	P 82	L 15	# 4042
Trowbridge, Ste	eve	Alcatel-Lucent		
Comment Type	E	Comment Status A		EZ

Similar alignment problems as with previous figures. There is a gap between the 64B/66B decoder box and the FEC decoder box below. The arrow from the Pilot and Marker Pattern box doesn't touch the box. The tiny gap between the OFDM Frame Configuration and Bit Loading box and the Frame Timing box below should be made larger if it was intentional or eliminated if not.

SuggestedRemedy

Zoom in close and tidy up the figure by nudging the elements to line up.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

We do nudge these up and Framemaker cheerfully misaligns at its whim. We will go back and re-nudge to see if it behaves this time.

C/ 100 S	C 100.1.4	P 83	L 10	# 3745
Hajduczenia, Ma	arek	Bright House	Networks	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		EZ

"The data rate of a 10GPASS-XR PHY is dependent on network configuration (see Table 56-1)." - yet Table 56-1 lists only maximum values (up to) and says nothing about conditions you're referencing here, or what the relationship between said network conditions and effective data rate is.

SugaestedRemedv

It seems that reference to 100.2.6.1 and 100.2.6.2 for downstream and upstream directions. respectively, would be much better here, since at least you explain there how data rate is calculated.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Line 9. Change: "is defined in this clause" to "is defined in clause, with DS data rate calculation in 100.2.6.1"

Line 13: Change "is defined in this clause" to "is defined in this clause, with US data rate calculation in 100.2.6.2"

Coordinate changes with Comment #3708

C/ 100 SC 100.1.4 P 83 L 6 # 3733 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks	C/ 100 SC 100.1.5 P 83 L 16 # 4027 Ran, Adee Intel
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
"a variable rate that is determined when configured" - and what happens when PHY is reset, power cycled, or conditions on the cable plant change? I believe data rate reconfguration takes	"Mapping of PCS, and PMA variables" does not seem to belong in the PMD clause. Is it real the PCS/PMA? line 20 and table headings refer to PMD, so I'm confused.
place then as well, yet it is not listed here.	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Provide text describing conditions under which data rate for EPoC PHY is determined. I	If this is then an error in the title, correct the title.
assume it happens when the PHY is power cycled / reset, conditions on CCDN change to force	If the title is correct, then this subclause should be part of clause 101.
changes in the number of ODFM carriers, and due to operator configuration change.	Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Title was change in Comment #3944 which addresses this comment.
On pg 83 line 7 add at end of para "See 102.4.3 for "reset on change" events which may affect rate calculations."	C/ 100 SC 100.1.5 P 83 L 16 # 3944
	Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
The first para of 100.2.6.1 & 100.2.6.2 detail which variable changes cause a recalculation of DS/US rate (resp.).	Comment Type E Comment Status A
	This title seems a bit odd for a PMD clause and does not match the para text.
On pg 89 line 20 change	SuggestedRemedy
"continous and low density" to "Type I and Type II"	Change from
and change xref from	"Mapping of PCS, and PMA variables"
"101.4.2.6" to "101.4.3.6"	to "Mapping of PMD variables"
	Response Response Status C
C/ 100 SC 100.1.4 P 83 L 9 # 3708 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networks	ACCEPT.
Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ	CI 100 SC 100.1.5 P 83 L 33 # 3709
It is odd that the 10GPASS-XR-D type PMD is separated from sentence on 10GPASS-XR-U	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
type PMD that happens to be in a separate para.	Comment Type E Comment Status R
uggestedRemedy Merge sentence in line 9 with sentence in line 13 into a single para. Sentence in line 10 to be added to the end of this new para.	Looking at Table 100-1, the use of "_" in names of PMA/PMD variables is very inconsistent. does not add to readability in any way, and just make typing them and reading them more complex.
Response Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy
ACCEPT.	Since the use of "_" in variable names is not consistent, and does not seem to follow any pattern at all, remove all "_"
	Response Response Status C
	REJECT. This is "make work" for the editors at this point and may introduce problems.

C/ 100 SC 100.1.5

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

Cl 100 SC 100.1.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 84 Bright House	L 38 Networks	# 3734	<i>Cl</i> 100 Ran, Adee	SC 100.2.1	P 86 Intel	<i>L</i> 1	# 4023
Comment Type T Last column, line 38 co	Comment Status A ontains statement "as above" - why not just copy it in?????		t this cell should contain	Comment Ty	•	Comment Status A mbols"? are these the QAM	symbols defined	in 1.4.345a?
SuggestedRemedy Per comment - it is no There are also other in values - such residrec Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	t clear what value is intended to nstances of "as above" in the ta tions are not needed <i>Response Status</i> C	ble without any n	eed. Please use explicit	Response ACCEP Change OFDM/C symbols to: "The PM time don	e to clarify, or a TIN PRINCIPL The PMD serv DFDMA modula are encoded as D service interf nain sampled w	add appropriate definition. <i>Response Status</i> C E. ice interface supports the exit tion symbols between the PN s I / Q value pairs. " ace supports the exchange of aveform between the PMA a mbers, i.e., I / Q value pairs.	IA and PMD enti of a continuous st nd PMD entities.	ties. The modulation ream of OFDM/OFDM/
adjective and should h	P 85 Bright House <i>Comment Status</i> A nplementation dependent " - her nave a hyphen		# 3710 EZ	SuggestedR	pe E para 77.2.2.1 th	P 86 Huawei Tech <i>Comment Status</i> R en points to 64.2.2.1. A refer	-	# 3946
SuggestedRemedy Change all instances o Response ACCEPT.	of "implementation dependent" t Response Status C	o "implementatio	n-dependent"	Response REJECT We decie	ded in a prior co	Response Status C		s references the 10G
C/ 100 SC 100.2.1 Ran, Adee Comment Type E There is one service in	P 85 Intel Comment Status A nterface, with multiple primitives	L 50	# <u>4022</u> EZ	CI 100 Hajduczenia, Comment Ty "one mo	pe T	P 86 Bright House Comment Status A encoded as an I / Q value pa		# <u>3735</u>
SuggestedRemedy	sublayer service interfaces are Response Status C		interface is".	SuggestedRe Given the encounte reader de Response ACCEP	emedy at the "I/Q value ered first, either	pair" has not yet been define a) define it here, or b) put a r wonder what it is and what it <i>Response Status</i> C	ed and Clause 10 eference to wher	00 is where it is re it is defined so that a

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 100 SC 100.2.1.2 Page 20 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:02 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 100 S Ran, Adee	SC 100.2.1.2	P 86 Intel	L 28	# 4028	C/ 100 SC 100.2.10.1 Remein, Duane	<i>P</i> 110 Huawei Tech	L 27 mologies	# 3909
Comment Type	e t C	Comment Status A				omment Status A		
	neasure of frequer	ncy. This seems to be a s	signaling rate, mea	asured in Baud. "speed"	This configuration requirement behavior. This is not typically	nt seems to be saying th	hat the user must ndards.	exhibit some required
SuggestedRem	nedy				SuggestedRemedy			
0	ominal speed of 20 other places as ne	04.8 MHz" to "nominal ra	ate of 204.8 MBd"		Change "The CLT shall be configured "The CLT should be configure			
Response	•	esponse Status C			•	esponse Status C		
ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.	04.8 million samples per	second (Msps)"		ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to "should be" as ind		prresponding line f	rom PICS
Also change	e to "Msps" in all	uses.						
C/ 100 S	C 100.2.1.2	P 86	L 45	# 4029				
Ran, Adee		Intel						
This paragr understand	raph and the follov what it says.	Comment Status R ving one (P89 L1) seems	s badly phrased ar	nd/or punctuated. I can't				
This paragr understand Does "char SuggestedRem Rephrase a Response REJECT. "channels" of	raph and the follov what it says. nnels" refer to OFI nedy and punctuate, use <i>Re</i> does not appear in	ving one (P89 L1) seems	d terms. e on pg 86.	nd/or punctuated. I can't				
This paragr understand Does "char SuggestedRem Rephrase a Response REJECT. "channels" o The intent o	raph and the follov what it says. nnels" refer to OFI nedy and punctuate, use <i>Re</i> does not appear in	ving one (P89 L1) seems DM channels? e concise and well-define esponse Status C n 100.2.1.2 nor anywhere not clear to the Task For P 86	d terms. e on pg 86. rce. <i>L</i> 37	nd/or punctuated. I can't				
understand Does "char SuggestedRem Rephrase a Response REJECT. "channels" o The intent o	raph and the follow what it says. nnels" refer to OFI and punctuate, use Re does not appear in of the comment is C 100.2.1.3	ving one (P89 L1) seems DM channels? e concise and well-define <i>esponse Status</i> C n 100.2.1.2 nor anywhere not clear to the Task For	d terms. e on pg 86. rce. <i>L</i> 37					
This paragr understand Does "char SuggestedRem Rephrase a Response REJECT. "channels" o The intent o Cl 100 S Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type "Both I_valu	raph and the follow what it says. nnels" refer to OFI and punctuate, use Re does not appear in of the comment is C 100.2.1.3 arek e E C	ving one (P89 L1) seems DM channels? e concise and well-define esponse Status C n 100.2.1.2 nor anywhere not clear to the Task For P 86	d terms. e on pg 86. rce. <i>L</i> 37 Networks	# [<u>3711</u> <i>EZ</i>				
This paragr understand Does "char SuggestedRem Rephrase a Response REJECT. "channels" o The intent o C/ 100 S Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type "Both I_valu of paramete	raph and the follow what it says. nnels" refer to OFI and punctuate, use Re does not appear in of the comment is C 100.2.1.3 arek e E C ue and Q_value an ers are italicized	ving one (P89 L1) seems DM channels? e concise and well-define <i>esponse Status</i> C n 100.2.1.2 nor anywhere not clear to the Task For <i>P</i> 86 Bright House <i>comment Status</i> A	d terms. e on pg 86. rce. <i>L</i> 37 Networks	# [<u>3711</u> <i>EZ</i>				
This paragr understand Does "char SuggestedRem Rephrase a Response REJECT. "channels" o The intent o C/ 100 S Hajduczenia, M: Comment Type "Both I_valu of paramete SuggestedRem Italicize the	raph and the follow what it says. nnels" refer to OFI and punctuate, use Re does not appear in of the comment is C 100.2.1.3 arek e E C ue and Q_value an ers are italicized nedy	ving one (P89 L1) seems DM channels? e concise and well-define esponse Status C n 100.2.1.2 nor anywhere not clear to the Task For P 86 Bright House comment Status A re encoded as 32-bit sign eters I_value and Q_valu	d terms. e on pg 86. rce. <i>L</i> 37 Networks ned integers" - in c	# 3711 EZ other locations, names				
This paragr understand Does "char SuggestedRem Rephrase a Response REJECT. "channels" of The intent of C/ 100 S Hajduczenia, M: Comment Type "Both I_valu of paramete SuggestedRem Italicize the	raph and the follow what it says. nnels" refer to OFI aedy and punctuate, use Redoes not appear in of the comment is C 100.2.1.3 arek e E C ue and Q_value are ers are italicized nedy e names of parametalics in 100.2.1.4	ving one (P89 L1) seems DM channels? e concise and well-define esponse Status C n 100.2.1.2 nor anywhere not clear to the Task For P 86 Bright House comment Status A re encoded as 32-bit sign eters I_value and Q_valu	d terms. e on pg 86. rce. <i>L</i> 37 Networks ned integers" - in c	# 3711 EZ other locations, names				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 100 SC 100.2.10.1

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 100	SC 100.2.10.2	P 111	L 17	# 4167
Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

If the FLR for 1500-byte frames is 1e-6, it could be higher or lower for larger or smaller frames depending on the relative size of the frame and the FEC block. On the one hand: Ethernet's maximum frame size was changed from 1500 bytes to 2000 bytes some years ago. On the other: a single lost FEC frame could take out several frames (more of an issue in the downstream direction, I think), so the number of lost frames per hour may be quite poor. This is why other projects specify minimum-length frames for the FLR calculation.

SuggestedRemedy

Ensure that satisfactory performance is obtained with short frames and long frames, not just 1500-byte frames.

Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

There is adequate margin in Table 100-13 and Table 100-15 to guarantee performance for all Ethernet frame sizes from 64 to 2000 bytes.

Minimum length frames were considered in the studies as summarized in:

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bn/public/jul13/prodan_3bn_01b_0713.pdf presented in July 2013. The section on AWGN performance is relative to the two tables. MTTFPA with minimum size packets is detailed in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bn/public/sep13/prodan_3bn_02a_0913.pdf. The September 2013 presentation calculates 26 minimum size 64 byte Ethernet frames per long size codeword. The frame loss ratio is therefore 26 times the FEC word error ratio (WER). The minimum CNR for all constellation orders in the above tables have from 3 to 6 dB of margin from the required 10-6 WER. As seen in the July 2013 presentation, this much margin provides many orders of magnitude lower WER well beyond 26 times 10-6.

A similar situation applies to a maximum 2000 byte Ethernet frame spanning multiple short size codewords. A 2000 byte frame plus 8 byte header occupies 251 65-bit line encoded blocks (with 64 bits of payload per block). The short codewords contain 800 payload bits plus 40 CRC bits that can carry 12 65-bit line encoded blocks each. So 21 short codewords can contain the 221 line encoded blocks of the 2000 byte frame. In this case, the 3 to 6 dB margin again provides many orders of magnitude lower WER well beyond 21 times 10-6.

The cable industry to date has typically worked with 1500 byte packets in its performance specifications and we used what they expect. For 2000 byte versus 1500 byte packets, there will be no issues as just explained. Text in the two areas will be modified as follows:

Page 111, Line 17, Change "The required level for CLT upstream post-FEC error ratio is defined for AWGN as less than or equal to 10-6 frame loss ratio with 1500 byte Ethernet MAC packets" to "The required level for CLT upstream post-FEC error ratio is defined for AWGN as less than or equal to 10-6 frame loss ratio both with both 64-byte and 2000-byte Ethernet frames."

Page 113, Line 42, Change 'The required level for CNU downstream post-FEC error ratio shall be less than or equal to 10-6 frame loss ratio when operating at a CNR as shown in Table 100-

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

15, under input load and channel conditions as follows with 1500 byte Ethernet packets." to "The required level for CNU downstream post-FEC error ratio shall be less than or equal to 10-6 frame loss ratio when operating at a CNR as shown in Table 100-15, under input load and channel conditions as follows with both 64-byte and 2000-byte Ethernet frames."

C/ 100 S	C 100.2.10.2	P 111	L 17	# 4171
Dawe, Piers		Mellanox		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		

"The required level for CLT upstream post-FEC error ratio is defined for AWGN as less than or equal to 10-6 frame loss ratio with 1500 byte Ethernet MAC packets." and "100.2.12.2 CNU receiver capabilities

100.2.12.2 GNU receiver capabilities

The required level for CNU downstream post-FEC error ratio shall be less than or equal to 10-6 frame loss ratio when operating at a CNR as shown in Table 100-15, under input load and channel conditions as follows with 1500 byte Ethernet packets.":

this is the PMD clause. The PMD doesn't contaiun the FEC: what does the PMD have to do to satisfy this condition?

SuggestedRemedy

Define PMD spec.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

"The required level for CLT upstream post-FEC error ratio is defined for AWGN as less than or equal to 10-6 frame loss ratio with 1500 byte Ethernet MAC packets. This section describes the conditions at which the CLT is required to meet this error ratio."

To:

Response

"The required level for CLT upstream post-FEC error ratio is defined for AWGN as less than or equal to 10-6 frame loss ratio with 1500 byte Ethernet MAC packets. This section describes the conditions at which the PMD, PMA, PCS in conjunction are required to meet this error ratio. "

C/ 100 SC 100.2.10.2 Page 22 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:02 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 100 SC 100.2.10.2 P 111 L 21 # 3910 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies	C/ 100 SC 100.2.11 P 112 L 29 # 3929 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
The phrase "when operating at a CNR as shown in Table 100-13" seems to imply that the required error ratio does not have to be met if the CLT is operating at a CNR better than	The statement implies there is a way to specify which CNU the CLT is to collect RxMER measurements for but there is no Cl 45 register for this purpose.
shown in the table.	SuggestedRemedy
Note also that in 100.2.10.2 the list of conditions is a numbered list, in 100.2.12.2 it is a bullet list	Add section 100.2.11.1 Variables.
SuggestedRemedy	Move definition of RxMER_SC(n) and RxMER_Valid from 100.2.12.3.1 to new section 100.2.11.1
Change from "The CLT receiver shall be such that the CLT when operating at a CNR as shown in Table 100- 13," to "The CLT shall achieve a received post-FEC frame loss ratio of 10-6 with 1500 byte MAC packets when the received signal has a CNR better than or equal to that shown in Table 100- 13," Strike the first para.	Change the definition of RxMER_Valid from: " for the OFDM channel indicated by RxMER_ChID" to " for the CNU indicated by RxMER_CNU_ID or the OFDM channel indicated by RxMER_ChID" Add new variable: "RxMER_CNU_ID
Change the list style in both 100.2.10.2 and 100.2.12.2 to DL, DashedList	TYPE: unsigned 14-bit integer This variable identifies the CNU on which to measure the RxMER in the CLT. When set in the
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. to	CLT the values in RxMER_SC(n) will reflect the measurements of the CNU whose CNU_ID matches RxMER_CNU_ID when RxMER_Valid goes TRUE. In the CNU this variable is read only and will always have a value of one."
"The CLT shall achieve a received post-FEC frame loss ratio of 10-6 with 1500 byte MAC packets when the received signal has a CNR greater than or equal to that shown in Table 100- 13," Strike the first para.	Add row to Table 100-1 MER measurement CNU ID 10GPASS-XR receive MER Control 12.10241.14:0 RxMER_CNU_ID 11241 14:0
Change the list style in both 100.2.10.2 and 100.2.12.2 to DL,DashedList	Change "45.2.7a.5 10GPASS-XR receive MER control register (Register 12.10240)" to "45.2.7a.5 10GPASS-XR receive MER control register (Registers 12.10240 and 12.10241)"
	Add to Table 45-211f 12.10241.15 Reserved Value always 0 RO 12.10241.14:0 MER measurement CNU ID Indicates the CNU on which to measure receive MER at the CLT R/Wc cThese bits are valid only in the CLT, in the CNU these bits are reserved and always 0
	Add 42.2.7a.5. MER measurement CNU ID (12.10241.14:0) Bits 12.10241.14:0 indicate the CNU on which to measure receive MER at the CLT. In the CNU these bits are reserved and always 0. These bits are a reflection of variable RxMER_CNU_ID defined in 100.2.11.1

C/ 100 SC 100.2.11 Page 23 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:02 PM

D	raft	2.	0

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status	C		Cl 100 S	C 100.2.12.2	2 <i>P</i> 113	L 46	# 3884
	edy with following caveats	s: CLT requirement to st	tore RxMER values from	Anslow, Pete		Ciena		
a single CNU as pe	r the CNU ID.			Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		FLF
	his variable identifies the ble identifies for the CLT				at which the (a frame loss	CNU is required to meet this or ratio.	error ratio.", but tl	he specification is given
upstream RxMER."				SuggestedRem	edy			
C/ 100 SC 100.2	.12.2 P 1	13 L 42	# 3930	Change "to	meet this err	or ratio" to "to meet this fram	ne loss ratio"	
Remein, Duane	Huaw	ei Technologies		Response		Response Status C		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status	Α	FLR		N PRINCIPL			
			what if CNR is better than	Adapt word	ing to that the	at gets accepted for #3930.		
that of T 100-15?	<i>i</i> ,			C/ 100 S	C 100.2.12.2	2.1 <i>P</i> 113	L 48	# 3883
SuggestedRemedy				Anslow, Pete		Ciena		
Strike Para under 10	00.2.12.2			Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		
Change 1st para in					e tantenori	ate would be errors per unit t	me).	
shown in Table 100 to "The CNU shall ach packets when the re		frame loss ratio of 10-		However, s change the SuggestedRem	ince the spec title to: "CNL <i>edy</i>	ification is given in terms of a J error performance in AWGN J error performance in AWG	a frame loss ratio I channel"	o, it would be better to
shown in Table 100 to "The CNU shall ach packets when the re 15,"	-15, " ieve a received post-FEC ceived signal has a CNR	frame loss ratio of 10- better than or equal to t	6 with 1500 byte MAC	However, s change the SuggestedRem Change the Response	ince the spec title to: "CNL <i>edy</i>	ification is given in terms of a J error performance in AWGN	a frame loss ratio I channel"	o, it would be better to
shown in Table 100- to "The CNU shall ach packets when the re 15," Update PICS entry	-15, " ieve a received post-FEC ceived signal has a CNR CNUER to reflect 100.2.1	frame loss ratio of 10- better than or equal to t 12.2.1	6 with 1500 byte MAC	However, s change the <i>SuggestedRem</i> Change the	ince the spec title to: "CNL <i>edy</i>	ification is given in terms of a J error performance in AWGN J error performance in AWG	a frame loss ratio I channel"	o, it would be better to
shown in Table 100- to "The CNU shall ach packets when the re 15," Update PICS entry	15, " ieve a received post-FEC ceived signal has a CNR CNUER to reflect 100.2.1 <i>Response Statu</i> s	frame loss ratio of 10- better than or equal to t 12.2.1	6 with 1500 byte MAC	However, s change the SuggestedRem Change the Response ACCEPT.	ince the spec title to: "CNL <i>edy</i>	ification is given in terms of a J error performance in AWGN J error performance in AWGI <i>Response Status</i> C	a frame loss ratio I channel"	, it would be better to # 4154
shown in Table 100- to "The CNU shall ach packets when the re 15," Update PICS entry <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINC to "The CNU shall ach	15, " ieve a received post-FEC ceived signal has a CNR CNUER to reflect 100.2.1 <i>Response Statu</i> s	frame loss ratio of 10- better than or equal to t 12.2.1 C frame loss ratio of 10-	6 with 1500 byte MAC hat shown in Table 100- 6 with 1500 byte MAC	However, s change the SuggestedRem Change the Response ACCEPT. C/ 100 S Dawe, Piers Comment Type	ince the spec title to: "CNL edy title to: "CNL C 100.2.12.2 TR	ification is given in terms of a Jerror performance in AWGN Jerror performance in AWGN <i>Response Status</i> C 2.1 <i>P</i> 113	a frame loss ratic I channel" N channel"	
shown in Table 100- to "The CNU shall ach packets when the re 15," Update PICS entry <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINC to "The CNU shall ach packets when the re 15,"	-15, " ieve a received post-FEC ceived signal has a CNR CNUER to reflect 100.2.1 <i>Response Status</i> CIPLE. ieve a received post-FEC	c frame loss ratio of 10- better than or equal to t 12.2.1 C C frame loss ratio of 10- greater than or equal to	6 with 1500 byte MAC hat shown in Table 100- 6 with 1500 byte MAC that shown in Table 100-	However, s change the SuggestedRem Change the Response ACCEPT. C/ 100 S Dawe, Piers Comment Type "less than o SuggestedRem Shown in w	ince the spectitile to: "CNL edy title to: "CNL C 100.2.12.2 TR r equal that s edy hat?	cification is given in terms of a vertication is given in terms of a vertice of the second se	a frame loss ratic I channel" N channel"	

Page 24 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:02 PM

				, <u> </u>			
C/ 100 SC 100.2.12.2.1 Anslow, Pete	P 113 Ciena	L 50	# 3885	C/ 100 SC 100.2.12.2.1 Remein, Duane	<i>P</i> 114 Huawei Tech	L 3 nologies	# 3931
Comment Type T In "less than or equal that s the FLR specification	Comment Status A hown in when operating", th	nere is a missing	pointer to the location of	Comment Type TR Con The phrase "Up to fully loaded s "spectrum" in this list.	nment Status A spectrum" is vague as	s are the other ins	tances of the word
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Change to "less than or equ	ual that shown in 100.2.12.2 Response Status C	2 when operating	n	Add line 3 "(i.e., all OFDM chan 100-3)"	nels operating over th	ne entire frequenc	y band specified in Table
, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				change remaining 3 instances o	f "spectrum" to "occu	pied spectrum"	
Add the cross reference to	the text changes for comm	ient 3930.			oonse Status C		
C/ 100 SC 100.2.12.2.1 Remein, Duane	P 113 Huawei Techn	L 53 ologies	# 3911	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add as note to "fully loaded spe			
Comment Type T We do not have "multiple m SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A nodulation profile configura	tion"	EZ	The frame loss ratio requirement are to be met with a single char OFDM channels being operated	nel operating in isolat	ion and up to and	including all of the other
Strike "multiple"				Change all "spectrum" to "modu	lated spectrum" in the	e dashed list.	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ 100 SC 100.2.12.3 Remein, Duane	P 114 Huawei Tech	L 39 nologies	# 3961
C/ 100 SC 100.2.12.2.1 Remein, Duane	P 113 Huawei Techn	L 54 ologies	# 3954	Comment Type ER Con This is the second definition of slightly different:	nment Status A RxMER, the first app	ears in 100.2.11.	Unfortunately they are
Comment Type E Which spec? There are ma Same issues pg 114 line 9-		hoose from!	EZ	100.2.11 "For the purposes of t average power of the ideal BPS vector is the difference between probe value."	SK constellation to the	e average error-ve	ector power. The error
SuggestedRemedy Change "spec" to "standard	d"			100.2.12.3 "RxMER here is def constellation to the average error		e average power	of the ideal QAM
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Change the definition in 100.2.1 "For the purposes of this measu "For the purposes of RxMER m Change the definition in 100.2.1 "RxMER here is defined as"	urement," to leasurement at the Cl 2.3 from:	-T,"	
				"For the purposes of RxMER r		NU, RxMER is d	efined as"
				Response Resp ACCEPT.	oonse Status C		

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Draft 2.0

Page 25 of 123

Final Response

9/18/2015 2:08:02 PM

C/ 100 SC 100.2.13.2 P 116 L 41 # 3912	C/ 100 SC 100.2.13.2 P 116 L 48 # 3913
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies	Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
This rule contradicts the first rule in the list: "The minimum contiguous modulation band has to be 2 MHz"	There are only two instances of the term "spanned modulation" in the draft, both in lines 48-49. There is not need to create this unique term
The 4th rule in the list is not needed (there is only one profile	SuggestedRemedy Change the item from
SuggestedRemedy	"Exclusion bands plus individually excluded subcarriers are limited to 20% or less of spanned
Change 3rd item to "All contiguous modulation bands are to be 2 MHz or greater"	modulation spectrum, where the spanned modulation spectrum is defined as: frequency of maximum active subcarrier - frequency of minimum active subcarrier." to
Strike the 4th rule	"Exclusion bands plus individually excluded subcarriers are limited to 20% or less of the
Response Response Status C	difference between the maximum and minimum frequencies of all active subcarriers."
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Also change: "Exclusion bands separate contiguous modulation bands. " to "Exclusion bands may separate contiguous modulation bands. "	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Also, Page 117, line 6, "subcarrier" to "subcarriers".
C/ 100 SC 100.2.13.2 P 116 L 42 # 3914 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawe	to "Exclusion bands plus individually excluded subcarriers are limited to 20% or less of the
	encompassed spectrum of any individual OFDM channel and modulated spectrum is to be at
Comment Type T Comment Status A	least 80% of the encompassed spectrum of all active channels."
This is the first instance of the term individually excluded subcarriers. Apparently the term "Exclusion band" is defined in the next "rule" but there is not definition of individually excluded subcarriers.	
SuggestedRemedy	
Remove the definition of exclusion bands here pg 116 ln 44 Add in 100.2.8.1 the following definitions pg 91 lin 36 An exclusion band is a contiguous block of excluded spectrum that is 1 MHz wide or greater. An individually excluded subcarrier is any excluded subcarrier in a contiguous block of excluded spectrum less than 1 MHz. add xref after individually excluded subcarriers pg 116 line 42 "(see 100.2.8.1)"	
Response Response Status C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See Comment #3912.	

C/ 100 SC 100.2.13.2

C/ 100 SC 100.2.	13.4 <i>P</i> 117	L 15	# 3915	C/ 100	SC 100.2	4	P 87	L 23	# 3737
Remein, Duane	Huawei Tech	nnologies		Hajduczen	ia, Marek		Bright House	Networks	
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			Comment	Туре Т	Со	mment Status A		
To be clear the stand does it preclude such	dard does not place restrictions n restrictions.	on US excluded s	ubcarrier however neither	and is this	what happen fact describe	s in CLT? Is d) and if it i	s the PMD transmit ena is not defined at all, it v	able function alwa vould be nice to s	ays asserted (if so, where state jus that
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	dRemedy				
Add a clarifying state	estrictions on upstream exclude	d bandwidth base	d on the capabilities of				out what happens with l or CLT and CLT PMD i		able function in CLT or b
the receiver. Such re	strictions shall be clearly indicat	ed in the unit data	sheet."	Response		Res	sponse Status C		
USEX Upstream su	0.6.2 Major capabilities/options Jocarrier exclusion rules 100.2. rule if any exist CLT:M Yes []	13.4 Documenta	ation indicates upstream	Editor	PT IN PRIN to select b) a "PMD transi	is added "N		graph at line 26.(Change 100.2.4 header
Proposed Response	Response Status Z			C/ 100	SC 100.2	.6.1	P 90	L 43	# 4079
REJECT.				Rahman, S	Saifur		Comcast Cat	ble	
This comment was V	VITHDRAWN by the commente	er.		Comment	51		<i>mment Status</i> A duration does not inclu	do the reliaff time	E
we can't mandate op	rictions in data sheets. The Edi en-ended stipulations on produc f exclusions that a cable operato pliant.	t documentation.	If a CLT cannot handle				ed that the roll off time	is not included an	d intended not be
C/ 100 SC 100.2.	2 <i>P</i> 87	L 14	# 3736	C/ 100	SC 100.2	.7.1	P 90	L 26	# 3902
lajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Networks		Remein, D	luane		Huawei Tech	nologies	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		EZ	Comment	Туре Т	Co	mment Status A		E
Unnecessary repetiti signal being sent (OF	on: "Tx_Enable takes the values F) the transmitter is in the OFF			MR in directi		"" however	in 100.2.7.1 & 100.2.7	2.2 there individua	al requirements for each
definition of PMD_S	IGNAL.request primitive			Suggested	dRemedy				
SuggestedRemedy					elow 100.2.7		- (
Remove tthe selecte Response	d text Response Status C				ency ranges."	ning to this	standard shall clearly r	пагк ѕиррогтеа а	lownstream and upstrean
ACCEPT.	nesponse Status C						ara's 100.2.7.1 & 100.2 all clearly mark suppor		gin "Equipment
				Response		Res	sponse Status C		
				ACCE	PT.				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 100 SC 100.2.7.1

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

	P 90	L 42	# 3986	C/ 100	SC 100.2.8.2	P 92	L 14	# 3920
Szczepanek, Andre	Inphi			Remein, Du	ine	Huawei Techi	nologies	
Comment Type E "OFDM channel n" would be better worded a "OFDM downstream cha and would be concistent	nnel n"		I	"The co equal to X dB. D	, his statement ac figured average the configured a fferent offsets a	e power of an equivalent 6 Ml average power of an equivale are computed separately for t	nt 6 MHz channe	I for the first channel plu
SuggestedRemedy					to contradict th	e definition of		
Change to "OFDM downstream cha	nnel n"			Type: 9 This va	bit unsigned inte able specifies tl	he downstream CLT transmit		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C					r "T 5). The value is set accor ut offsets from Ch1	ding to the require	ements in Table 100 _i V5
	change "the OFDM channel	n" to "downstrear	n OFDM channel n".	Suggested	emedy			
Subclause did not include	100; added by editor					ning ending with "- The cor fourth, and fifth channels"	nfigured average	power of an equivalent
C/ 100 SC 100.2.7.3 emein, Duane	P 90 Huawei Techn	L 50 nologies	# 3964			e power of an equivalent 6 MI n) variable where n is the cha		ich OFDM channel is se
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Response	_ 、	Response Status C		
While the bit definition all not. Note also that this is					T IN PRINCIPL lines 3-17 with t	E. the text in kolze_3bn_10a_09	15.pdf	
	o a subcarrier 0 center freque		Iz to	C/ 100	00 400 0 0 0	5.00	L 35	# 0004
	num value for this register is 1			Remein, Du	SC 100.2.8.2	P 92 Huawei Techi		# 3921
3276.75 GHz. The minim	num value for this register is 1	100.			ine			# [3921
3276.75 GHz. The minim Also 3276.75 GHz seem <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Change to read: "The minimum value for t	hum value for this register is 1 s a bit high. his variable is 100. This defir		subcarrier 0 center	Remein, Du <i>Comment 1</i> Is the "0	ine ipe TR	Huawei Tech Comment Status A andwidth" the same as that fo	nologies	
3276.75 GHz. The minim Also 3276.75 GHz seem SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "The minimum value for t frequency of from 5 to 32	hum value for this register is 1 s a bit high. his variable is 100. This defir 276.75 MHz.		ι subcarrier 0 center	Remein, Du <i>Comment 1</i> Is the "0	ine <i>pe</i> TR FDM channel baned in the text) in	Huawei Tech Comment Status A andwidth" the same as that fo	nologies	
3276.75 GHz. The minim Also 3276.75 GHz seem uggestedRemedy Change to read: "The minimum value for t frequency of from 5 to 32 esponse ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	hum value for this register is 1 s a bit high. his variable is 100. This defir 276.75 MHz. <i>Response Status</i> C		a subcarrier 0 center	Remein, Du Comment 7 Is the "(well def SuggestedF If Yes tl	ne /pe TR FDM channel baned in the text) in emedy	Huawei Tech Comment Status A andwidth" the same as that fo n Eq 100-4? Ichannelbandwidth)" in table 1	nologies or OFDMchannelk	pandwidth used (but not
3276.75 GHz. The minim Also 3276.75 GHz seem SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "The minimum value for t frequency of from 5 to 32 Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Line 50: "Change OFDM Otherwise, the bottom ec	hum value for this register is 1 s a bit high. his variable is 100. This defir 276.75 MHz. <i>Response Status</i> C " to "OFDMA". Ige of upstream was changed	nition equates to a	o 7.4 MHz (due to IDFT	Remein, Du Comment 7 Is the "C well def SuggestedF If Yes th "OFDM Response	ne ype TR IFDM channel banded in the text) in the text) in the text) in the text) in the text of the text of text of text of text of the text of tex of text of text of te	Huawei Tech <i>Comment Status</i> A andwidth" the same as that for n Eq 100-4? Ichannelbandwidth)" in table 1	nologies or OFDMchannelk	pandwidth used (but not
3276.75 GHz. The minim Also 3276.75 GHz seem SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "The minimum value for t frequency of from 5 to 32 Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Line 50: "Change OFDM Otherwise, the bottom ec	hum value for this register is 1 s a bit high. his variable is 100. This defir 276.75 MHz. <i>Response Status</i> C " to "OFDMA".	nition equates to a	o 7.4 MHz (due to IDFT	Remein, Du Comment 7 Is the "C well def Suggestedf If Yes th "OFDM	ne ype TR IFDM channel banded in the text) in the text) in the text) in the text) in the text of the text of text of text of text of the text of tex of text of text of te	Huawei Tech <i>Comment Status</i> A andwidth" the same as that for n Eq 100-4? Ichannelbandwidth)" in table 1 dth"	nologies or OFDMchannelk	pandwidth used (but not

C/ 100 SC 100.2.8.2

C/ 100 SC 100.2.8.2	P 93	L 10	# 3974	C/ 100	SC 100.2.8	8.4 P 9	5 L 28	# 3922
Paul Nikolich	self			Remein, Du	ane	Huaw	vei Technologies	
that average. Is it the sur subcarriers? Or is the 10 number of subcarriers)? (Comment Status A 0-3 specify an "average ME n of MERs in dBs of all the log (the sum of MERs of a 0r is it something else? 10 3 CLT RF output requireme	subcarriers divide Il the subcarriers d 00.2.8.2 CLT outpu	l by the total number of vided by the total	indeper What de	00-5 row 4, 5 ndently adjusta oes this mean werCh(n) in 10	ble" ? We have independent	A power difference remov power settings per OFI oC channel power is alw	DM Channel (see
rows)				Suggested	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy Specify how to compute t	the average MER			Change "with co		wer difference removed	l if channel power is inde	pendently adjustable"
Response	Response Status C			ט with all"	OFDM chann	els set to the same pow	ver level"	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Add footnote to average calculation method"	MER entries in table 100-3:	"See 100.3.2 for a	verage MER	Response ACCEF	PT IN PRINCI	Response Status	С	
C/ 100 SC 100.2.8.4 Remein, Duane	<i>P</i> 95 Huawei Tech	L 1	# 3903	Remov	e row at lines	31-35		
Comment Type T "For an Neqport-channel	Comment Status A	0	re)	"The po	ower difference			ferential power between ower between those two
	channel per RF port CLT"?				27-30 remove Indently adjusta		er difference removed if	channel power is
SuggestedRemedy Correct formatting and ac what is intended here). Response	d clarification (which I would Response Status C	d normally sugges	but I've really no idea			PwrA - (PwrSetB - PwrB SetB) - (PwrA - PwrB)	3)	
	per RF port CLT, the applic equirements are defined usi			requirer			substantial reduction of	the scope of the
	power per OFDM channel the value of N* per Equation			The rec Power	luirement we a per equivalent	are discussing at this mo 6 MHz channel, for cha 6 MHz channel, for cha	innel $A = A_dB$	
					ere is a requir e value [(Dat		Ch A) - (Data subcarrier	power for Ch B)] < 0.5 dB
				order. channel accurat	There are req independentl ely with respe	uirements on flatness or /. This requirement is a	accuracy of the subcar imed at ensuring that the ute accuracy is another i	of the power would be in rier powers in each a various channels are set equirement, and is not as

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/genera	Il required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 100	Page 29 of 123
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 100.2.8.4	9/18/2015 2:08:02 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

Cl 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 96 L 10 # Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies	[±] 3923	C/ 100 Remein, Du	SC 100.2.8.5 Jane		P 96 Huawei Techno	L 8 logies	# 3948
Comment Type TR Comment Status A I find at least 6 shall statements defining various conditions under which Out-of-b		Comment 7 "(of the	<i>Type</i> E e OFDM channel c	<i>Comment</i> S ontaining the P		ll known.	
spurious must be met yet there is only on requirement for Out-of-band noise and the PICS (CLTSE). There should be a one-to-one correspondence between sha and requirements.		<i>Suggestedi</i> Strike t	<i>Remedy</i> the phrase.				
SuggestedRemedy		Response		Response S	tatus C		
Reword the requirement in this section so that there is one global shall such as "The CLT modulator shall satisfy the out-of-band spurious emissions requirement 100-6 under the following conditions: - for measurements below 600 MHz and outside the encompassed spectrum with the second seco		All OFE	PT IN PRINCIPLE DM power settings el 1, need to be cle	are made rela			aining the PHY Link in D
OFDM channels are contiguous or when the ratio of modulated spectrum to gap	o spectrum	Change	e "(of the OFDM c	hannel contain	ing the PHY Lin	k). " to "contair	ned in OFDM channel 1.
within the encompassed spectrum is 4:1 or greater. Gap spectrum is spectrum b OFDM channel's occupied spectrum and excluded bands within OFDM channel's		C/ 100	SC 100.2.8.5		P 97	L 28	# 4043
spectrum.		Trowbridge	e, Steve		Alcatel-Lucent		
 - in gap spectrum between OFDM channels of at least 6 MHz and gap spectrum channels of at least 8 MHz, except for the 1 MHz of excluded subcarriers on each 		Comment	Type E	Comment S	Status A		
exclusion band, with relaxations as described in the following paragraphs when a			ollowing three para	graphs" isn't a	good text const	truct for docum	
"		purpos	es. Also, it is pres	umably the thre	ee paragraphs p	olus (or including	g) Table 100-6.
Search the section for "hidden" requirements and reword accordingly (i.e., includ		Suggested	Remedy	·			
Search the section for "hidden" requirements and reword accordingly (i.e., includ global requirement or reword so they are clearly not a requirmeent). For example 9 has the text "the equipment has to meet spurious emissions requirements" whi	e on pg 97 line	Suggested Put the		ial in its own su	ubclause and ret		
Search the section for "hidden" requirements and reword accordingly (i.e., includ global requirement or reword so they are clearly not a requirmeent). For example 9 has the text "the equipment has to meet spurious emissions requirements" whi be implying a requirement but does not follow correct 802.3 form.	e on pg 97 line	Suggested Put the Response	Remedy referenced mater	ial in its own su <i>Response</i> S	ubclause and ret		
Search the section for "hidden" requirements and reword accordingly (i.e., includ global requirement or reword so they are clearly not a requirmeent). For example 9 has the text "the equipment has to meet spurious emissions requirements" whi	e on pg 97 line	Suggested Put the Response ACCEF Draft te	Remedy	ial in its own su Response S is being worke	ubclause and rel	ference it by nu	mber
Search the section for "hidden" requirements and reword accordingly (i.e., includ global requirement or reword so they are clearly not a requirement). For example 9 has the text "the equipment has to meet spurious emissions requirements" whi be implying a requirement but does not follow correct 802.3 form. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. but put each SHALL into the PICS rather than re-word the text. The text has diff	e on pg 97 line ich appears to	Suggestedi Put the Response ACCEF Draft te laubach	Remedy ereferenced mater PT IN PRINCIPLE ext rearrangement h_3bn_12_0915.pc	ial in its own su Response S is being worke	ubclause and ref tatus C ed on. Draft rep	ference it by nu	imber vill be provided in
Search the section for "hidden" requirements and reword accordingly (i.e., includ global requirement or reword so they are clearly not a requirement). For example 9 has the text "the equipment has to meet spurious emissions requirements" whi be implying a requirement but does not follow correct 802.3 form. esponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. but put each SHALL into the PICS rather than re-word the text. The text has diff requirement cases that should be enumerated separately.	e on pg 97 line ich appears to ferent	Suggested Put the Response ACCEF Draft te	Remedy ereferenced mater PT IN PRINCIPLE ext rearrangement h_3bn_12_0915.pr SC 100.2.8.5	ial in its own su <i>Response S</i> : is being worke df.	ubclause and rel tatus C ed on. Draft rep <i>P</i> 97	ference it by nu lacement text w	mber
Search the section for "hidden" requirements and reword accordingly (i.e., including global requirement or reword so they are clearly not a requirement). For example 9 has the text "the equipment has to meet spurious emissions requirements" while be implying a requirement but does not follow correct 802.3 form. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. but put each SHALL into the PICS rather than re-word the text. The text has different cases that should be enumerated separately. W 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 96 L 3 #	e on pg 97 line ich appears to	Suggested Put the Response ACCEF Draft te laubach C/ 100 Remein, Du	Remedy e referenced mater PT IN PRINCIPLE ext rearrangement h_3bn_12_0915.pd SC 100.2.8.5 Jane	ial in its own su <i>Response S</i> is being worke df.	ubclause and ref tatus C ed on. Draft rep <i>P</i> 97 Huawei Techno	ference it by nu lacement text w	imber vill be provided in
Search the section for "hidden" requirements and reword accordingly (i.e., including global requirement or reword so they are clearly not a requirement). For example 9 has the text "the equipment has to meet spurious emissions requirements" while implying a requirement but does not follow correct 802.3 form. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. but put each SHALL into the PICS rather than re-word the text. The text has diff requirement cases that should be enumerated separately. Image: March 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 96 L 3 # an, Adee	e on pg 97 line ich appears to ferent	Suggested Put the Response ACCER Draft te lauback C/ 100 Remein, Du Comment	Remedy e referenced mater PT IN PRINCIPLE ext rearrangement h_3bn_12_0915.pd SC 100.2.8.5 Jane Type E	ial in its own su Response S is being worke df. Comment S	ubclause and ref tatus C ed on. Draft rep <i>P</i> 97 Huawei Techno Status A	ference it by nu lacement text w <i>L</i> 47 logies	imber vill be provided in # <u>3949</u>
Search the section for "hidden" requirements and reword accordingly (i.e., including global requirement or reword so they are clearly not a requirement). For example 9 has the text "the equipment has to meet spurious emissions requirements" while implying a requirement but does not follow correct 802.3 form. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. but put each SHALL into the PICS rather than re-word the text. The text has diff requirement cases that should be enumerated separately. C/ 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 96 L 3 # can, Adee Intel Comment Type E Comment Status R	e on pg 97 line ich appears to ferent ^f	Suggested Put the Response ACCEF Draft te laubach C/ 100 Remein, Du Comment The law "For the	Remedy e referenced mater PT IN PRINCIPLE ext rearrangement h_3bn_12_0915.pc SC 100.2.8.5 Jane Type E wyer who wrote thi	ial in its own su Response S is being worke of. Comment S s section adde FDM channels	ubclause and ref tatus C ed on. Draft rep <i>P</i> 97 Huawei Techno Status A ed an extraneous adjacent to a co	ference it by nu lacement text w <i>L</i> 47 logies s OFDM I belie portiguous block	imber vill be provided in # <u>3949</u>
Search the section for "hidden" requirements and reword accordingly (i.e., including global requirement or reword so they are clearly not a requirement). For example 9 has the text "the equipment has to meet spurious emissions requirements" while be implying a requirement but does not follow correct 802.3 form. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. but put each SHALL into the PICS rather than re-word the text. The text has differences that should be enumerated separately. Image: March 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 96 L 3 # an, Adee Intel Comment Type E Comment Status R This subclause contains several similar paragraphs, the differences are very differences are very differences that converting it to a table may yield shorter text and make it easier to	e on pg 97 line ich appears to ferent t 4024	Suggestedi Put the Response ACCEF Draft te laubach C/ 100 Remein, Du Comment T The law "For the sentence	Remedy ereferenced mater PT IN PRINCIPLE ext rearrangement h_3bn_12_0915.pr SC 100.2.8.5 uane Type E wyer who wrote thi e measurement O ce refers to a mea	ial in its own su Response S is being worke of. Comment S s section adde FDM channels	ubclause and ref tatus C ed on. Draft rep <i>P</i> 97 Huawei Techno Status A ed an extraneous adjacent to a co	ference it by nu lacement text w <i>L</i> 47 logies s OFDM I belie portiguous block	will be provided in # <u>3949</u> ve in:
Search the section for "hidden" requirements and reword accordingly (i.e., including global requirement or reword so they are clearly not a requirement). For example 9 has the text "the equipment has to meet spurious emissions requirements" while implying a requirement but does not follow correct 802.3 form. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. but put each SHALL into the PICS rather than re-word the text. The text has differences that should be enumerated separately. Ion SC 100.2.8.5 P 96 L 3 # an, Adee Intel Comment Type E Comment Status R This subclause contains several similar paragraphs, the differences are very differences between cases. It on a table may yield shorter text and make it easier to differences between cases.	e on pg 97 line ich appears to ferent t 4024	Suggested Put the Response ACCEF Draft te laubact C/ 100 Remein, Du Comment The law "For the sentence Suggested	Remedy ereferenced mater PT IN PRINCIPLE ext rearrangement h_3bn_12_0915.pr SC 100.2.8.5 uane Type E wyer who wrote thi e measurement O ce refers to a mea	ial in its own su Response S is being worke df. Comment S s section adde FDM channels surement char	ubclause and ref tatus C ed on. Draft rep <i>P</i> 97 Huawei Techno Status A ed an extraneous adjacent to a co	ference it by nu lacement text w <i>L</i> 47 logies s OFDM I belie portiguous block	will be provided in # <u>3949</u> ve in:
Search the section for "hidden" requirements and reword accordingly (i.e., including global requirement or reword so they are clearly not a requirement). For example 9 has the text "the equipment has to meet spurious emissions requirements" while be implying a requirement but does not follow correct 802.3 form. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. but put each SHALL into the PICS rather than re-word the text. The text has differences that should be enumerated separately. It 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 96 L 3 # an, Adee Intel Comment Type E Comment Status R This subclause contains several similar paragraphs, the differences are very differences between cases. atable may yield shorter text and make it easier to differences between cases.	e on pg 97 line ich appears to ferent t 4024	Suggestedi Put the Response ACCEF Draft te laubach C/ 100 Remein, Du Comment T The law "For the sentend Suggestedi strike th	Remedy referenced mater PT IN PRINCIPLE ext rearrangement h_3bn_12_0915.pr SC 100.2.8.5 Jane Type E wyer who wrote thi e measurement O ce refers to a mea Remedy	ial in its own si Response S is being worke df. Comment S s section adde FDM channels surement char	ubclause and ref tatus C ed on. Draft rep P 97 Huawei Techno Status A ed an extraneous adjacent to a co nnel not an OFD	ference it by nu lacement text w <i>L</i> 47 logies s OFDM I belie portiguous block	imber vill be provided in # <u>(3949</u> ve in:
Search the section for "hidden" requirements and reword accordingly (i.e., including global requirement or reword so they are clearly not a requirement). For example 9 has the text "the equipment has to meet spurious emissions requirements" while be implying a requirement but does not follow correct 802.3 form. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. but put each SHALL into the PICS rather than re-word the text. The text has differences that should be enumerated separately. C/ 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 96 L 3 # Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type E Comment Status R This subclause contains several similar paragraphs, the differences are very diffication to a table may yield shorter text and make it easier to differences between cases. SuggestedRemedy Consider reformatting and adding a table. Subsciences Subsciences	e on pg 97 line ich appears to ferent t 4024	Suggested Put the Response ACCER Draft te laubach C/ 100 Remein, Du Comment T The law "For the sentend Suggested strike th Response	Remedy referenced mater PT IN PRINCIPLE ext rearrangement h_3bn_12_0915.pc SC 100.2.8.5 Jane Type E wyer who wrote this e measurement O ce refers to a mea Remedy he extraneous OFI	ial in its own su Response S is being worke df. Comment S s section adde FDM channels surement char DM Response S	ubclause and ref tatus C ed on. Draft rep P 97 Huawei Techno Status A ed an extraneous adjacent to a co nnel not an OFD	ference it by nu lacement text w <i>L</i> 47 logies s OFDM I belie portiguous block	will be provided in # <u>3949</u> ve in:
Search the section for "hidden" requirements and reword accordingly (i.e., includ global requirement or reword so they are clearly not a requirement). For example 9 has the text "the equipment has to meet spurious emissions requirements" while implying a requirement but does not follow correct 802.3 form. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. but put each SHALL into the PICS rather than re-word the text. The text has diff requirement cases that should be enumerated separately. C/ 100 SC 100.2.8.5 P 96 L 3 # Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type E Comment Status R This subclause contains several similar paragraphs, the differences are very diff It seems that converting it to a table may yield shorter text and make it easier to differences between cases. SuggestedRemedy	e on pg 97 line ich appears to ferent t 4024	Suggested Put the Response ACCER Draft te laubach C/ 100 Remein, Du Comment T The law "For the sentend Suggested strike th Response	Remedy referenced mater PT IN PRINCIPLE ext rearrangement h_3bn_12_0915.pd SC 100.2.8.5 Jane Type E wyer who wrote thi e measurement O ce refers to a mea Remedy he extraneous OFI PT IN PRINCIPLE	ial in its own su Response S is being worke df. Comment S s section adde FDM channels surement char DM Response S	ubclause and ref tatus C ed on. Draft rep P 97 Huawei Techno Status A ed an extraneous adjacent to a co nnel not an OFD	ference it by nu lacement text w <i>L</i> 47 logies s OFDM I belie portiguous block	imber vill be provided in # <u>(3949</u> ve in:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 100 SC 100.2.8.5

Page 31 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:02 PM

C/ 100 SC 100.2.8.5	P 98	L 2	# 3955	C/ 100 S	C 100.2.9.4	P 100	L 23	# 3904
Remein, Duane	Huawei Techr	nologies		Remein, Duane		Huawei Techr	nologies	
Comment Type ER Co What is a "commanded chanr "Items 1 through 4 list the req		diacent to the cor	mmanded channels "	Comment Type "P1.6t", or	"P1.6r"?	Comment Status A et transmit normalized channe		, Upstream power reportir
SuggestedRemedy I don't know but the term is or Change to "OFDM Channel u	nly used in this para.			"reported p I smell fish there is no	ower level" I also don't Cl 45 registe	know of any way the CNU has er defined for it.		
Response Re	esponse Status C			SuggestedRen Change to	-			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. This isn't a test subclause.				Response	1 1.01	Response Status C		
channels. Item 5 lists the required channels. Some of these "oth specification. All the exclusion are fully identified in the table, channels and the 3Neqport '3 to: "Items 1 through 4 list the list the second se	er" channels are allowed ns, such as 2nd and 3rd . Item 6 lists the requiren Brd harmonic channels. " requirements in channels	d to be excluded harmonics of the nents on the 2Ne s adjacent to the	from meeting the Item 5 e commanded channel, eqport ' 2nd harmonic	Need to ac this section Align varia	ld Clause 45 n. This is an	n DOCSIS PHY 3.1. support for CNU reporting po oversight. with comment #3934.	ower power for t	he channel as required for
"other" channels are allowed t exclusions, such as 2nd and 3 table. Item 6 lists the requiren 3rd harmonic channels. "	to be excluded from meet Brd harmonics of the mo	dulated channel,	pecification. All the are fully identified in the	"The CNU "The CNU steps"	updates its r	reported power per channel in reported power, ReportedPwr,	, for the upstrea	m channel by the following
"other" channels are allowed t exclusions, such as 2nd and 3 table. Item 6 lists the requirem 3rd harmonic channels. " 2/ 100 SC 100.2.8.6 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Ca The Editor shall remove the "I center frequency with the ratio encompassed spectrum being	b be excluded from mee Brd harmonics of the mo hents on the 2Neqport ' 2 P 99 Huawei Techr comment Status A MUST" in "The CLT MU b of number of active ch g at least 2:1."	dulated channel, 2nd harmonic cha <i>L</i> 5 nologies JST provide for in annels to gap sp	specification. All the are fully identified in the annels and the 3Neqport ' # <u>3924</u> ndependent selection of ectrum in the	"The CNU "The CNU steps" In CI 45 ad Reflect new Add variab ReportedP TYPE: 9-b	updates its r d register: ad v variable an le in 100.2.9 wr t unsigned ir	eported power, ReportedPwr, dd 9-bit register to reflect the v d register in Table 100-1 .4	, for the upstrea	m channel by the following
"other" channels are allowed t exclusions, such as 2nd and 3 table. Item 6 lists the requirem 3rd harmonic channels. " C/ 100 SC 100.2.8.6 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Co The Editor shall remove the "I center frequency with the ratio	b be excluded from mee Brd harmonics of the mo- hents on the 2Neqport ' 2 P 99 Huawei Techr omment Status A MUST" in "The CLT MU o of number of active ch o of number of active ch g at least 2:1." In tby "active channels"? n be many more exclude	dulated channel, 2nd harmonic cha <i>L</i> 5 nologies JST provide for in annels to gap sp 2 We only have a ed bands (which i	specification. All the are fully identified in the annels and the 3Neqport ' # <u>3924</u> ndependent selection of rectrum in the maximum of 5 active if I read pg 96 line 12	"The CNU "The CNU steps" In CI 45 ad Reflect new Add variab ReportedP TYPE: 9-b This variat channel.	updates its r d register: ad v variable an le in 100.2.9 wr t unsigned ir le reports the 	eported power, ReportedPwr, dd 9-bit register to reflect the v id register in Table 100-1 .4 nteger e CNU transmit power, in units	, for the upstread variable Reporte s of 0.25 dBmV <i>L</i> 28	m channel by the following
"other" channels are allowed to exclusions, such as 2nd and 3 table. Item 6 lists the requirem 3rd harmonic channels. " C/ 100 SC 100.2.8.6 Remein, Duane Comment Type The Editor shall remove the "Incenter frequency with the ratio encompassed spectrum being More importantly what is mean OFDM channels and there can qualifies as a "Gap") so this 2	b be excluded from mee Brd harmonics of the mo- hents on the 2Neqport ' 2 P 99 Huawei Techr omment Status A MUST" in "The CLT MU o of number of active ch o of number of active ch g at least 2:1." In tby "active channels"? n be many more exclude	dulated channel, 2nd harmonic cha <i>L</i> 5 nologies JST provide for in annels to gap sp 2 We only have a ed bands (which i	specification. All the are fully identified in the annels and the 3Neqport ' # <u>3924</u> ndependent selection of rectrum in the maximum of 5 active if I read pg 96 line 12	"The CNU "The CNU steps" In CI 45 ad Reflect new Add variab ReportedP TYPE: 9-b This variab channel. <i>C/</i> 100 S Remein, Duane	d register: ac v variable an le in 100.2.9 wr t unsigned ir le reports the C 100.2.9.4	eported power, ReportedPwr, dd 9-bit register to reflect the v id register in Table 100-1 .4 nteger e CNU transmit power, in units	, for the upstread variable Reporte s of 0.25 dBmV <i>L</i> 28	m channel by the following edPwr , for the upsteam OFDMA
"other" channels are allowed t exclusions, such as 2nd and 3 table. Item 6 lists the requirem 3rd harmonic channels. " 7/ 100 SC 100.2.8.6 temein, Duane Comment Type TR Ca The Editor shall remove the "I center frequency with the ratic encompassed spectrum being More importantly what is mea OFDM channels and there ca qualifies as a "Gap") so this 2	o be excluded from mee Brd harmonics of the mo- hents on the 2Neqport ' 2 P 99 Huawei Techr omment Status A MUST" in "The CLT MU o of number of active ch g at least 2:1." Int by "active channels"? In be many more exclude 2:1 ratio will be very hard	dulated channel, 2nd harmonic cha <i>L</i> 5 nologies JST provide for in annels to gap sp 2 We only have a ed bands (which i	specification. All the are fully identified in the annels and the 3Neqport ' # <u>3924</u> ndependent selection of rectrum in the maximum of 5 active if I read pg 96 line 12	"The CNU "The CNU steps" In CI 45 ad Reflect new Add variab ReportedP TYPE: 9-b This variab channel. C/ 100 S Remein, Duane Comment Type	d register: ac v variable an le in 100.2.9 wr t unsigned ir le reports the C 100.2.9.4 E ER	dd 9-bit register to reflect the v dd 9-bit register in Table 100-1 .4 nteger e CNU transmit power, in units P 100 Huawei Techr	, for the upstread variable Reporte s of 0.25 dBmV <i>L</i> 28 nologies	m channel by the following edPwr , for the upsteam OFDMA # [<u>3957</u> E
"other" channels are allowed t exclusions, such as 2nd and 3 table. Item 6 lists the requirem 3rd harmonic channels. " 2/ 100 SC 100.2.8.6 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Co The Editor shall remove the "I center frequency with the ratio encompassed spectrum being More importantly what is mea OFDM channels and there cal qualifies as a "Gap") so this 2 SuggestedRemedy Clarify the sentence removing	o be excluded from mee Brd harmonics of the mo- hents on the 2Neqport ' 2 P 99 Huawei Techr omment Status A MUST" in "The CLT MU o of number of active ch g at least 2:1." Int by "active channels"? In be many more exclude 2:1 ratio will be very hard	dulated channel, 2nd harmonic cha <i>L</i> 5 nologies JST provide for in annels to gap sp 2 We only have a ed bands (which i	specification. All the are fully identified in the annels and the 3Neqport ' # <u>3924</u> ndependent selection of rectrum in the maximum of 5 active if I read pg 96 line 12	"The CNU "The CNU steps" In CI 45 ad Reflect new Add variab ReportedP TYPE: 9-b This variab channel. <i>C/</i> 100 S Remein, Duane <i>Comment Type</i> "The CNU the CNU o	d register: ac v variable an le in 100.2.9 wr t unsigned ir le reports the C 100.2.9.4 E ER updates its r nly has one (eported power, ReportedPwr, dd 9-bit register to reflect the v id register in Table 100-1 .4 nteger e CNU transmit power, in units P 100 Huawei Techr <i>Comment Status</i> A	, for the upstread variable Reporte s of 0.25 dBmV <i>L</i> 28 nologies	m channel by the following edPwr , for the upsteam OFDMA # [<u>3957</u> E
"other" channels are allowed t exclusions, such as 2nd and 3 table. Item 6 lists the requirem 3rd harmonic channels. " 2/ 100 SC 100.2.8.6 temein, Duane Comment Type TR Co The Editor shall remove the "I center frequency with the ratic encompassed spectrum being More importantly what is mea OFDM channels and there cai qualifies as a "Gap") so this 2 SuggestedRemedy Clarify the sentence removing Response Re ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	P 99 Huawei Techr omment Status A MUST" in "The CLT ML of number of active ch g at least 2:1." In be many more exclude 2:1 ratio will be very hard g the MUST. exponse Status C	dulated channel, 2nd harmonic channel, 2nd barmonic channels nologies JST provide for in annels to gap sp 2 We only have a ed bands (which i 1 to maintain if thi	specification. All the are fully identified in the annels and the 3Neqport ' # <u>3924</u> ndependent selection of rectrum in the maximum of 5 active if I read pg 96 line 12	"The CNU "The CNU steps" In CI 45 ad Reflect new Add variab ReportedP TYPE: 9-b This variab channel. <i>C/</i> 100 S Remein, Duane <i>Comment Type</i> "The CNU	d register: ac v variable an le in 100.2.9 wr t unsigned ir le reports the C 100.2.9.4 E ER updates its r nly has one (eported power, ReportedPwr, dd 9-bit register to reflect the v id register in Table 100-1 .4 nteger e CNU transmit power, in units P 100 Huawei Techr <i>Comment Status</i> A reported power per channel in	, for the upstread variable Reporte s of 0.25 dBmV <i>L</i> 28 nologies	m channel by the following edPwr , for the upsteam OFDMA # <u>[3957</u>
"other" channels are allowed to exclusions, such as 2nd and 3 table. Item 6 lists the requirem 3rd harmonic channels. " C/ 100 SC 100.2.8.6 Remein, Duane Comment Type TR Comment Type Comment Type TR Comment Frequency with the ratio encompassed spectrum being More importantly what is meat OFDM channels and there can qualifies as a "Gap") so this 2 SuggestedRemedy Clarify the sentence removing Response Reference	o be excluded from mee Brd harmonics of the mo- hents on the 2Neqport ' 2 P 99 Huawei Techr omment Status A MUST" in "The CLT ML o of number of active ch g at least 2:1." In by "active channels"? In be many more exclude 2:1 ratio will be very hard g the MUST. exponse Status C ovide" to "The CLT shall bannels" to "modulated s	dulated channel, 2nd harmonic cha <i>L</i> 5 nologies JST provide for in annels to gap sp We only have a ed bands (which i I to maintain if thi	specification. All the are fully identified in the annels and the 3Neqport ' # <u>3924</u> ndependent selection of rectrum in the maximum of 5 active if I read pg 96 line 12	"The CNU "The CNU steps" In CI 45 ad Reflect new Add variab ReportedP TYPE: 9-b This variab channel. <i>C/</i> 100 S Remein, Duane <i>Comment Type</i> "The CNU the CNU o <i>SuggestedRem</i> Change to	d register: ad v variable an le in 100.2.9 wr t unsigned ir le reports the c 100.2.9.4 c ER updates its r nly has one (nedy	eported power, ReportedPwr, dd 9-bit register to reflect the v id register in Table 100-1 .4 nteger e CNU transmit power, in units P 100 Huawei Techr <i>Comment Status</i> A reported power per channel in	, for the upstread variable Reporte s of 0.25 dBmV <i>L</i> 28 nologies each channel by	m channel by the following edPwr , for the upsteam OFDMA # [<u>3957</u> E

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 100

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 100.2.9.4

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 C/

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.1 P 101 L 11 # [3905] Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Hu	C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.1 P 101 L 37 # 3958 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ Eq 100-11 does not define NS_Max as implied by the statement "Let NS Max be the number of modulated subcarriers in an OFDMA symbol as per Equation (100-11):" SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type ER Comment Status A Formatting "The measurement bandwidth for" "measurement bandwidth" is not a variable near as I can tell (as opposed to measurementBW which is)
Change para to read: "The parameter SpurFloor is related to the ratio of the number of subcarriers being modulated by a CNU in an OFDMA symbol to the maximum number of subcarriers available (3840) including guardbands and is calculated per Equation (100-11): {*** Equation 101-11 as per draft ***} Where: NS_Max is the number of modulated subcarriers in an OFDMA symbol"	same for pg 101 line 41-42 pg 102 line 13-14 pg 104 line 34, 36-37, 37-39, 48, 9-11 (Table header), 32 (note b), (6 x) pg 105 line 13, 22 pg 106 line 7-10 (table header) SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Change character style to default paragraph style.
CI 100 SC 100.2.9.5.1 P 101 L 24 # 3926 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies # Comment Type TR Comment Status A Conflicting definitions Eq 101-13 and 100-17 both purport to define the ungainly variable "Under-grant Hold Bandwidth" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Page 102, Line 11, change "measurementBW" to "Measurement Bandwidth". Add sentence after line 11 formula, "where <ital>Measurement Bandwidth<ital> value is defined in Table 100-8 and Table 100-9.". In formula on line 11, replace "10% modulated spectrum" with "(100% Grant Spectrum / 10)" In other listed places change "measurement bandwidth" to "Measurement Bandwidth". Page 101, line 38, add "(see Table 100-8 and Table 100-9)" to end of sentence.</ital></ital>
Rationalize the two definitions.	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	

Page 101 line 21 through line 31: Change "Under-grant Hold Bandwidth" to "Under-grant Hold Subcarriers"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.1 Page 32 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:02 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.1 P 102 L 13 # 3906 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies	C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 22 # 3907 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies	7
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status D	
What does this sentence mean? "A 2 dB relief applies in the measurement bandwidth."? I believe it only applies when the conditions in the previous para are met as is clearly stated	I believe Measurement Bandwidth in Eq 100-14 should be MeasurementBW as should been defined in 100.2.9.5.1	l have
there (and therefore not needed again).	SuggestedRemedy	
However at line 11	Change Measurement Bandwidth to MeasurementBW	
measurementBW is an undefined variable	Proposed Response Response Status Z	
SuggestedRemedy	REJECT.	
Strike: "A 2 dB relief applies in the measurement bandwidth." Add: "Where:	This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.	
measurementBW is the measurement bandwidth."	This was remedied as per prior comment. Measurement Bandwidth is the values from	the
Response Response Status C	indicated columns from Table 100-8 and 100-9.	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 24 # 3950)
Page 102, Line 8, change "Table 100-9" to "Table 100-7". Page 102, Line 13, change "A 2 dB relief" to "The 2 dB relaxation". Change "This relief " to	Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies	
rage roz, Ene ro, change A z do reler to the z do relaxation. Onange this reler to	Comment Type E Comment Status A	F 7
"This relaxation".	Comment Type E Comment Status A	EZ
Page 102, Line 23, add as second sentence in paragraph: "The relaxation is added to the	"Spur Floor" should be "SpurFloor" (and in italics)	EZ
Page 102, Line 23, add as second sentence in paragraph: "The relaxation is added to the spurious emissions power limits calculated for the Measurement Bandwidths of Table 100-8	51	EZ
Page 102, Line 23, add as second sentence in paragraph: "The relaxation is added to the	"Spur Floor" should be "SpurFloor" (and in italics)	EZ
Page 102, Line 23, add as second sentence in paragraph: "The relaxation is added to the spurious emissions power limits calculated for the Measurement Bandwidths of Table 100-8 and Table 100-9 for Measurement Bandwidths comprising roughly 10% of the upstream spectrum when the granted spectrum is less than 10% of the 100% Grant Spectrum."	"Spur Floor" should be "SpurFloor" (and in italics) SuggestedRemedy	ΕZ
Page 102, Line 23, add as second sentence in paragraph: "The relaxation is added to the spurious emissions power limits calculated for the Measurement Bandwidths of Table 100-8 and Table 100-9 for Measurement Bandwidths comprising roughly 10% of the upstream spectrum when the granted spectrum is less than 10% of the 100% Grant Spectrum."	"Spur Floor" should be "SpurFloor" (and in italics) SuggestedRemedy per comment	EZ
Page 102, Line 23, add as second sentence in paragraph: "The relaxation is added to the spurious emissions power limits calculated for the Measurement Bandwidths of Table 100-8 and Table 100-9 for Measurement Bandwidths comprising roughly 10% of the upstream spectrum when the granted spectrum is less than 10% of the 100% Grant Spectrum."C/ 100SC 100.2.9.5.2P 103L 13# 3925Remein, Duane	"Spur Floor" should be "SpurFloor" (and in italics) SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
Page 102, Line 23, add as second sentence in paragraph: "The relaxation is added to the spurious emissions power limits calculated for the Measurement Bandwidths of Table 100-8 and Table 100-9 for Measurement Bandwidths comprising roughly 10% of the upstream spectrum when the granted spectrum is less than 10% of the 100% Grant Spectrum."C/ 100SC 100.2.9.5.2P 103L 13# 3925Remein, DuaneHuawei Technologies	"Spur Floor" should be "SpurFloor" (and in italics) SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C	
Page 102, Line 23, add as second sentence in paragraph: "The relaxation is added to the spurious emissions power limits calculated for the Measurement Bandwidths of Table 100-8 and Table 100-9 for Measurement Bandwidths comprising roughly 10% of the upstream spectrum when the granted spectrum is less than 10% of the 100% Grant Spectrum." C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 13 # 3925 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status A "In the rest of the spectrum" Really? Everything outside what is described in the previous two para? From here to infinity and beyond! A	"Spur Floor" should be "SpurFloor" (and in italics) SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 3 # 3958 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status A	
Page 102, Line 23, add as second sentence in paragraph: "The relaxation is added to the spurious emissions power limits calculated for the Measurement Bandwidths of Table 100-8 and Table 100-9 for Measurement Bandwidths comprising roughly 10% of the upstream spectrum when the granted spectrum is less than 10% of the 100% Grant Spectrum." C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 13 # 3925 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status A "In the rest of the spectrum" Really? Everything outside what is described in the previous two para? From here to infinity and beyond! A	"Spur Floor" should be "SpurFloor" (and in italics) SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 3 # 3953 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status A This statement strikes me as odd "Table 100-8 lists the required spurious level in a) EZ
Page 102, Line 23, add as second sentence in paragraph: "The relaxation is added to the spurious emissions power limits calculated for the Measurement Bandwidths of Table 100-8 and Table 100-9 for Measurement Bandwidths comprising roughly 10% of the upstream spectrum when the granted spectrum is less than 10% of the 100% Grant Spectrum." Cl 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 13 # 3925 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status A "In the rest of the spectrum" Really? Everything outside what is described in the previous two para? From here to infinity and beyond! SuggestedRemedy	"Spur Floor" should be "SpurFloor" (and in italics) SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 3 # 3958 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status A) EZ
Page 102, Line 23, add as second sentence in paragraph: "The relaxation is added to the spurious emissions power limits calculated for the Measurement Bandwidths of Table 100-8 and Table 100-9 for Measurement Bandwidths comprising roughly 10% of the upstream spectrum when the granted spectrum is less than 10% of the 100% Grant Spectrum." C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 13 # 3925 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status A "In the rest of the spectrum" Really? Everything outside what is described in the previous two para? From here to infinity and beyond! SuggestedRemedy Clarify what is meant by "In the rest of the spectrum" so it is bounded. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C	"Spur Floor" should be "SpurFloor" (and in italics) SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 3 # 3953 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status A This statement strikes me as odd "Table 100-8 lists the required spurious level in a measurement interval." I would expect that if I can by some miracle be able to make a) EZ
Page 102, Line 23, add as second sentence in paragraph: "The relaxation is added to the spurious emissions power limits calculated for the Measurement Bandwidths of Table 100-8 and Table 100-9 for Measurement Bandwidths comprising roughly 10% of the upstream spectrum when the granted spectrum is less than 10% of the 100% Grant Spectrum." C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 13 # 3925 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status A "In the rest of the spectrum" Really? Everything outside what is described in the previous two para? From here to infinity and beyond! SuggestedRemedy Clarify what is meant by "In the rest of the spectrum" so it is bounded. Response Response Status Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Katal Status C	 "Spur Floor" should be "SpurFloor" (and in italics) SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 3 # 3953 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status A This statement strikes me as odd "Table 100-8 lists the required spurious level in a measurement interval." I would expect that if I can by some miracle be able to make a transmitter without any spurious levels I am not allowed to do so. :-(A similar issues exists at SCL 100.2.9.5.3 pg 104 line 41 "Table 100-8 lists the required) EZ
Page 102, Line 23, add as second sentence in paragraph: "The relaxation is added to the spurious emissions power limits calculated for the Measurement Bandwidths of Table 100-8 and Table 100-9 for Measurement Bandwidths comprising roughly 10% of the upstream spectrum when the granted spectrum is less than 10% of the 100% Grant Spectrum." C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 13 # 3925 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status A "In the rest of the spectrum" Really? Everything outside what is described in the previous two para? From here to infinity and beyond! SuggestedRemedy Clarify what is meant by "In the rest of the spectrum" so it is bounded. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "In the rest of the spectrum" C	 "Spur Floor" should be "SpurFloor" (and in italics) SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 3 # 3953 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status A This statement strikes me as odd "Table 100-8 lists the required spurious level in a measurement interval." I would expect that if I can by some miracle be able to make a transmitter without any spurious levels I am not allowed to do so. :-(A similar issues exists at SCL 100.2.9.5.3 pg 104 line 41 "Table 100-8 lists the required adjacent channel spurious emission levels when there" 	ed
Page 102, Line 23, add as second sentence in paragraph: "The relaxation is added to the spurious emissions power limits calculated for the Measurement Bandwidths of Table 100-8 and Table 100-9 for Measurement Bandwidths comprising roughly 10% of the upstream spectrum when the granted spectrum is less than 10% of the 100% Grant Spectrum." Cl 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 13 # 3925 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status A "In the rest of the spectrum" Really? Everything outside what is described in the previous two para? From here to infinity and beyond! SuggestedRemedy Clarify what is meant by "In the rest of the spectrum" so it is bounded. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "In the rest of the spectrum"	 "Spur Floor" should be "SpurFloor" (and in italics) SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 P 103 L 3 # 3953 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status A This statement strikes me as odd "Table 100-8 lists the required spurious level in a measurement interval." I would expect that if I can by some miracle be able to make a transmitter without any spurious levels I am not allowed to do so. :-(A similar issues exists at SCL 100.2.9.5.3 pg 104 line 41 "Table 100-8 lists the required adjacent channel spurious emission levels when there" SuggestedRemedy Change the statement to read: 	ed

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.2 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 33 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:02 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 100 SC	100.2.9.5 .	B P 105	L 18	# 3960	C/ 100 SC 100.2	9.6.1	P 107	L 23	# 3953
Remein, Duane		Huawei Techr	nologies		Remein, Duane		Huawei Techr	nologies	
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status A			Comment Type E	Comme	ent Status A		
When is a ta	ble not a tab	le? when it has not header of	r reference.		Mnemonic "RB" not	defined in this	context.		
SuggestedReme	edy				"MER per RB"				
Change table	e at line 17-2	4 to properly formatted table nt spurious power in adjacen	e. with title		SuggestedRemedy replace with "resour	e block"			
Header "Para			1 400 KHZ .		Response		se Status C		
Change sent "The requirer using proper	ments for ac	15 from jacent spurious power in adja	acent 400 kHz ar	e listed in Table 100-X."	ACCEPT IN PRINC As per comment, al	, iPLE. io italize "RBM	-		
Response	01033 101.	Response Status C			C/ 100 SC 100.2	5.9.8	P 109	L 20	# 3908
ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE	-			Remein, Duane		Huawei Techr	nologies	
Change to ur	nnumbered e	equations. (that is what they a	are)		Comment Type T		ent Status D		
CI 100 SC Remein, Duane	00.2.9.5.	B P 105 Huawei Techr	L 2 nologies	# 3951	multiplied by the OF	ugh the EPoC I DM symbol tim	PMA (TPMA) is ne e (RBsize of 8 tim	o less than the su les or 16 times 2	imes. Im of the RBframe size 0 fÝs, see 100.2.9.1) range 10 fÝs to 40
Comment Type Reference to choose from		Comment Status A as above," which above, the	ere are lots of cal	EZ culations above to	fÝs)." SuggestedRemedy Change to		cessing time of t		
SuggestedReme Provide a sp	,	nce to a section or table.			"The delay time thro multiplied by the OF	DM symbol tim	e (RBsize of 8 tim	es or 16 times 2	um of the RBframe size 0 fÝs plus equivalent
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C			time in fYs of USN time of the IDFT (no Use care for symbo	minal range 10	fÝs to 40 fÝs)."	s the implementa	tion specific processing
C/ 100 SC	00.2.9.5.4	P 106	L 31	# 3928	Proposed Response	Respons	se Status Z		
Remein, Duane		Huawei Techr	-		REJECT.				
<i>Comment Type</i> This section	TR contains fou	Comment Status A	-		This comment was	VITHDRAWN	by the commenter		
SuggestedReme Remove "sha	•	e a PICS statement for each	1.						
Response		Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN Add PICS er	-								

Cl 100 SC 100.3.1 P 117	L 31	# 3932	C/ 100 SC 10	0.3.2	P 118	L 12	# 3933
Remein, Duane Huawei Te	echnologies		Remein, Duane		Huawei Techno	ologies	
	echnologies becified limit for port m nich clearly states that t ransmit power level". H ne, of the active OFDM lies when all active OFI ed to the same transmi	nuting. this "applies with all tow can "Commanding I channels" also apply? DM channels or all t power level.	Remein, Duane Comment Type Lines 12-18 defin measurements s Also there are tw Do we really nee which are not in 1 standard deviatio Lastly is strikes of SuggestedRemedy Change the last s "The mean, RxM over the M meas directly on the dB to "The mean and s the M measurem on the dB values Strike lines 12-18 In 100.2.12.3 pg "The CNU shall the specified con The difference b CNR = 30 dB sh specified in 100. Why there is no scope but should Response ACCEPT IN PR	TR Comment 3 ine requirements again section. wo requirements here a added to define a variable the proper format) for on? me as odd that there a sould that there a sould that there a sentence of last bullet a surements at both CNF B values." standard deviation (in onents at both CNR values. 8 114 line 45-46 add: provide RxMER meas nditions specified in 10 between the RxMER meas nditions specified in 10 between the RxMER meas nditions specified in 10 between 4 dB an 3.2."	Huawei Techno Status A st the CNU and and only one is liname (RxMER_ such common no are only requirem from: standard deviati re values. The statistic dB) of the RxME ues. The statistic urements with a 10.3.2. ean measure at nd 6 dB when m ication for RxMI TF. Status C	blogies should not be lo sted in the PICS mean, RxMER nathematical en nents for the CN on, RxMER_sto atistical computations ER measurement cal computations standard deviat CNR = 35 dB a easured under h	incated in the test and S. _std & delta_RxMER tities as the mean and U and none for the CLT. If in dB, are computed ations are performed ints are computed over is are performed directly tion of <= 0.5 dB under and the mean measure at the specified conditions it the CLT is beyond my
				on of the TF was to mo			

C/ 100 SC 100.3.2

specified conditions") into 100.3. These test sections do have requirements.

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 100 SC 10	0.3.3	P 118	L 20	# 3934	units of 0					
Remein, Duane		Huawei Techno	logies		RxPwr_C	_				
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		Upstream power reporting		I-bit integer				
A number of issu 1) which "upstrea 2) assuming this in Cl 45 to do thi 3) is "for a single 4) there is no va at least including 5) This appears requirement (sor environment but 6) Why is this sta	ues in this am chann power m is. e specifie riable de 1 to 32 to be a C mething t that is no atement l	s section: nel power metric" does this ref netric is to be reported there is ed upstream user" the same as fined here or in Cl 45 to "provi probes" CLT requirement (something th o be done in a lab, verification	a no variable a CNU? de configura ne CLT is re n of the capa surements a	s defined to use and nothing able averaging over a range quired to do) not a test ability is done in a lab are inherently accurate, the	RxPwrVa TYPE: Bo When TR RxPwr_C Create no Update T US receiv 8:0 US receiv US receiv	lid Dolean UE this flag ir NU_ID. Any v ew register set able 100-1 wi ve power mea ve power valid	which CNU is to be mean ndicates that the value of write to RxPwr_CNU_II t in CI 45 (1.1958 and 1 th new variables and re asurement US receive d US receive power m J US receive power m	of RxPwr is D sets this v .1959), def gisters: power mea easuremen	valid for the CN varible to FALSE fine and assign asurement a 1.1 it a 1.1958.15	NU indicated by E. bits appropriately 1958.8:0 RxPwr 58 RxPwrValid 58 15
	section t	to new section 100.2.10.3. In t	he moved te	ext:	59 14:0					
Change: "upstream chanr					Update P	ICS if needed	ł			
	ved pow	er measurement (RxPwr)"			C/ 100	SC 100.3.3	P 1 *	18	L 23	# 3962
Change: "for a single spe	cified up	stream user" to			Remein, Duar	ne	Huawe	ei Technolo	gies	
"for a single spe Strike the statem	cified CN nent "Whi				Comment Typ We do no		Comment Status ards, only CNUs and CL		e is implementat	<i>EZ</i>
Change the sho		rie znu para to dennitive state		as the CLT provides	SuggestedRe	medv				
	eger?) de	fined appropriately			Strike "lin					
		nteger) defined appropriately			Response		Response Status	W		
		defined appropriately efined appropriately			ACCEPT					
Create new regis appropriately	ster set ir	n CI 45 (1.1958 and 1.1959 sh	ould work),	define and assign bits						
Update Table 10	00-1 appr	ropriately								
Update PICS wi	th new cl	ause number								
Response		Response Status C								
ACCEPT IN PR Leave as 100.3.	-	: is a test subclause and needs	to remain ir	n 100.3 as per line 32.						
Create and defir RxPwr TYPE: 9-bit sign This variable is u	ned intege	,	ne CNU indi	cated by RxPwr_CNU_ID in						
COMMENT STATU	S: D/disp	ER/editorial required GR/ger	neral require	d T/technical E/editorial G/ge DNSE STATUS: O/open W/w	eneral rritten C/closed U/u	nsatisfied Z/w	vithdrawn	C/ 100 SC 100.3	3.3	Page 36 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:02

C/ 100 SC 100.3.3 P 118 L 23 # [3916	C/ 100 SC 100.3.4 P 118 L 47 # 3917
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies	Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A Homework Tom
Which typically is typical? Here we state:	Per 1.4.165 continuous wave (CW): A carrier that is not modulated or switched.
"The measurement is based on upstream probes, which are typically the same probes used for pre-equalization adjustment (see 101.4.3.9)." In 100.2.11 pg 112 line 23 we state: "The CLT measures the RxMER using an upstream probe. The probes used for RxMER	Substituting this definition for the 18 instances of "CW" in the subclause creates grammatical errors and is technically incorrect as all our active subcarrieres are modulated with at least PBSK.
measurement are typically distinct from the probes used for pre-equalization adjustment."	There are lots of other grammatical errors and technical inconsistencies which should be corrected in this section; for ex
One must be wrong	pg 118 ln 52 "In this configuration the EPoC OFDM continuous pilot is in fact phase continuous
SuggestedRemedy	in the time domain; in general the continuous pilots are not phase continuous in the time domain." so continuous pilots are phase continuous but they're not.
Here in 100.3.3 strike ", which are typically the same probes used for pre-equalization adjustment (see 101.4.3.9)"	Pg 118 line 53 "Continuous pilot means that subcarrier is continuously used" grammar
	SuggestedRemedy
In 100.2.11 strike "The probes used for RxMER measurement are typically distinct from the probes used for pre-equalization adjustment."	Sorry but I'm at a loss as to how to fix this.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Grammatical errors could be fixed by ensuring there is an article, such as "a" or "the" before each instance of CW and the word "signal" after. This should be done at a minimum.
The suggested remedy is good. Delete the distinction sentences.	The higher level technical issue is a bit more thorny.
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Change "When CW is processed via FFT, the CW is a continuous pilot selected to …"
	to A CW signal can be generated via an FFT, where the CW signal is constructed as a continuous pilot selected to … "
	Pg 119 line 46 and pg 120 lline 15 change "generating one-CW-per-channel" to "generating one CW signal per channel"
	Pg 119 line 16, 22 & 27 add "signal" after "CW"
	Remedy is not specific enough on "grammatical errors". Use of "CW" is consistent with existing Clause 1 definition for the signal that is used as part of the measurement conditions for this subclause on "test phase noise requirements".
	Globally change "CW carrier" to "CW signal"

C/ 100 SC 100.3.4

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 100 SC 100.6.3.3 Lusted, Kent	P 125 Intel	L 36	# 3889		C/ 100 SC 100.6.3.3 Lusted, Kent	s P 126 Intel	L 6	# 3888
Comment Type E text in TST3 value/comm	Comment Status A nent box is different size from	rest		EZ	Comment Type E text in ES4 value/comm	Comment Status A nent box is different size from r	rest	EZ
SuggestedRemedy fix as appropriate					SuggestedRemedy fix as appropriate			
Response ACCEPT. Will check and fix as nee	Response Status C				<i>Response</i> ACCEPT. Will check and fix as ne	Response Status C		
C/ 100 SC 100.6.3.3 Lusted, Kent	P 125 Intel	L 40	# 3890		C/ 100 SC 2.12.3 McDermott, Thomas	<i>P</i> 115 Fujitsu	L 8	# 3858
SuggestedRemedy fix as appropriate Response	Comment Status A nent box is different size from Response Status C	rest		EZ	a vector. Each term in t subcarrier. The e ^2 op is then time-averaged. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A ar' is not correct. A scalar is a he preceding equation is in fac beration converts the error vec	ct a single comple	ex number for each
ACCEPT. Will check and fix as nee					Change 'complex scala Response	r to complex number. Response Status C		
C/ 100 SC 100.6.3.3 Lusted, Kent	P 126 Intel	L 6	# 3887		ACCEPT.			
Comment Type E text in ES2 value/comme	Comment Status A ent box is 2 different sizes			ΕZ	Cl 100 SC 2.7.3 McDermott, Thomas	P 90 Fujitsu	L 51	# 3855
SuggestedRemedy fix as appropriate Response	Response Status C				Comment Type E Typographical error, sp SuggestedRemedy Change 3276.75 GHz t	Comment Status A ecifies GHz, should specify M o 3276.75 MHz.	Hz.	EZ
ACCEPT. Will check and fix as nee	eded.				Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		

C/ 100 SC 2.7.3

C/ 100	SC 2.8.1	P 91	L 37	#	3856
McDermott	, Thomas	Fujitsu			

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Text is confusing, does not specify which part of the spectrum of the outlying carrier. Revise the text as suggested.

SuggestedRemedy

The encompassed spectrum is the difference between the center frequency of the highest frequency active subcarrier of the highest frequency OFDM channel and the center frequency of the lowest frequency active subcarrier of the lowest frequency OFDM channel, plus the subcarrier spacing (all expressed in MHz). The encompassed spectrum of a single OFDM channel is the difference between the center frequency of the highest frequency active subcarrier and the center frequency of the lowest frequency active subcarrier in the OFDM channel, plus the subcarrier and the center frequency of the lowest frequency active subcarrier in the OFDM channel, plus the subcarrier spacing.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Pg 91, Line 37 begins with the definition of modulated spectrum not encompassed spectrum. Applying alternate suggested change for Paragraph on Line 17:

Response Status C

"The encompassed spectrum is the difference between a) the center frequency of the highest frequency active subcarrier of the highest frequency OFDM channel and b) the center frequency of the lowest frequency active subcarrier of the lowest frequency OFDM channel, plus the subcarrier spacing (all expressed in MHz). The encompassed spectrum of a single OFDM channel is the difference between the center frequency of the highest frequency active subcarrier and the center frequency of the lowest frequency active subcarrier in the OFDM channel, plus the subcarrier spacing."

C/ 100	SC 2.9.2	P 99	L 44	#	3857
McDermott,	Thomas	Fujitsu			

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The paragraph defines the channel power, but does not discuss or relate this to any fidelity requirement. Either the paragraph is mis-titled, or text needs to be added to discuss the relationship between the power and some fidelity requirement.

SuggestedRemedy

Not clear the intent of the paragraph. Either retitle the paragraph, or add text relating the power to a fidelity requirement.

Response Response Status C

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

CNU Fidelity requirements are later in "100.2.9.5 OFDMA fidelity requirements" The paragraph speaks to OFDMA channel power.

Suggested remedy: move paragraph as the first paragraph of the next subclause "100.2.9.3 Transmit power Requirements". Delete subclause heading "100.2.9.2 Fidelity requirements" as it is duplicative with 100.2.9.5.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written

C/ 100	SC 2.9.5.1		101	L 6	# 4006
Effenberger,	Frank	Huar	wei		
Comment T	vpe E	Comment Status	s A		
"Spurs"	is used without o	definition, specifical	y "discret	e spurs".	
SuggestedR	emedy				
Define "		ening of "spurious e s a "spurious emiss ble?)			one subcarrier
Response		Response Status	c C		
Add a fo		" on Line 6 as:			e (CW) sinusoid or other
C/ 100	SC 2.9.5.4	Р	106	L 42	# 4008
ffenberger,	Frank	Huar	wei		
Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status	s A		
power-o	n and power-off	transients." Which	requirem		s not apply to CNU is that really true? A rn it on or off?
eep.i.a.		in a gainina ray bai			
•		in a gamma ray ba			
SuggestedR At a min And, val	<i>lemedy</i> imum, precise w idate if power cy	hat requirement is t	being relea	ased for the powe	
SuggestedR At a min And, val can caus	<i>lemedy</i> imum, precise w idate if power cy	hat requirement is b cles really are exen	being relea hpt, becau llowed.	ased for the powe	er-on/off transients.
SuggestedR At a min And, val can caus Response ACCEP Line 42,	<i>emedy</i> imum, precise w idate if power cy se trouble, then t T IN PRINCIPLI	that requirement is b rcles really are exen hey should not be a <i>Response Status</i> E.	being relean pt, becau llowed. c C	ased for the powe use they happen, a	er-on/off transients.
SuggestedR At a min And, val can caus Response ACCEP Line 42, to	emedy imum, precise w idate if power cy se trouble, then t T IN PRINCIPLI change "This re nsient response	that requirement is b rcles really are exen hey should not be a <i>Response Status</i> E.	peing relea npt, becau llowed. C apply to t	ased for the powe se they happen, a	er-on/off transients. and if these transients nd power-off transients."
SuggestedR At a min And, val can caus Response ACCEP Line 42, to "The tra transient	emedy imum, precise w idate if power cy se trouble, then t T IN PRINCIPLI change "This re nsient response	that requirement is the cles really are exent hey should not be a <i>Response Status</i> <u>E</u> . quirement does not requirement does n	peing relea npt, becau llowed. C apply to t	ased for the powe se they happen, a	er-on/off transients. and if these transients nd power-off transients."
SuggestedR At a min And, val can caus Response ACCEP Line 42, to "The tra transien	temedy imum, precise w idate if power cy se trouble, then t T IN PRINCIPLI change "This re nsient response ts."	that requirement is b rcles really are exen hey should not be a <i>Response Status</i> E. quirement does not requirement does n	being relea hpt, becau llowed. C apply to to ot apply t	ased for the powe use they happen, a CNU power-on ar o CNR power-on	er-on/off transients. and if these transients nd power-off transients." and power-off
SuggestedR At a min And, val can caus Response ACCEP Line 42, to "The tra transient C/ 100 Amason, Da Comment Ty	<i>temedy</i> imum, precise w idate if power cy se trouble, then t T IN PRINCIPLI change "This re nsient response ts." SC 3.4 le	that requirement is b rcles really are exen hey should not be a <i>Response Status</i> <u>E</u> . quirement does not requirement does n <i>P</i> Free <i>Comment Status</i>	apply to a apply to a ot apply to 118 escale	ased for the powe use they happen, a CNU power-on ar o CNR power-on	er-on/off transients. and if these transients nd power-off transients." and power-off
SuggestedR At a min And, val can caus Response ACCEP Line 42, to "The tra transient C/ 100 Imason, Da Comment TJ Poor gra	temedy imum, precise w idate if power cy se trouble, then the T IN PRINCIPLI change "This re- nsient response is." SC 3.4 le ype E ammar: "shall be	that requirement is b rcles really are exen hey should not be a <i>Response Status</i> <u>E</u> . quirement does not requirement does n <i>P</i> Free <i>Comment Status</i>	apply to a apply to a ot apply to 118 escale	ased for the powe use they happen, a CNU power-on ar o CNR power-on	er-on/off transients. and if these transients nd power-off transients." and power-off # <u>3990</u>
SuggestedR At a min And, val can caus Response ACCEP Line 42, to "The tra transient C/ 100 Amason, Da Comment Ty Poor gra SuggestedR	lemedy imum, precise w idate if power cy se trouble, then t T IN PRINCIPLI change "This re nsient response ts." SC 3.4 le ype E ammar: "shall be permedy to "shall meet"	that requirement is b rcles really are exen hey should not be a <i>Response Status</i> <u>E</u> . quirement does not requirement does n <i>P</i> Free <i>Comment Status</i>	being relea npt, becau llowed. C apply to o ot apply to 118 escale s A	ased for the powe use they happen, a CNU power-on ar o CNR power-on	er-on/off transients. and if these transients nd power-off transients." and power-off # <u>3990</u>

9/18/2015 2:08:02 PM

SC 100A.2

								
C/ 100 SC 3.4	<i>P</i> 119	L 43	# 4003		100A.2	P 352	L 16	# 3779
Effenberger, Frank	Huawei			Hajduczenia, Mare		Bright House N	Ietworks	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		EZ	Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		Homework Mark
	he easiest way of validating the s as intended to should be emp			impact on CC - Frequency ra	DN definite ange: is thi	ues with Table 100A-1, mainly on required for EPoC: s the intended minimum freque		5
SuggestedRemedy				If not, what it is		idth"? It is used in table as nor	motivo votitoo	ome that it is the EDoC
most practical method a	sentence with, "The transmitted available." ntence really add anything? It s			ODFM band b	ut defined	using a different term. Ratioa Power at CPE Input"? It seen	nlize with the rea	st of the draft
Response	Response Status C				d quite libe	rarly as a short form for "band	width", yet it is r	not defined anywhere
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	.E.			really	minimum	OEDM band for EDaC is 102	MUT what is th	a point of defining
Delete this sentence.) OFDM band for EPoC is 192 6, 24, 96 MHz ????	IVITIZ, WHAT IS U	le point of defining
C/ 100A SC 100A.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 351 Bright House N	L 22 Vetworks	# 3777		not clear v	entry has then"Signal to Comp why SCN is defined for 6, 24,		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Homeworkk Mark	- CTB / CSO i	nterferenc	e is NOT defined, yet used as		
	e 100A-1 does not show CNU	location - it is no				are not defined anywhere: Nar		
	e and how it irelated with norma					hite) Noise, Amplitude Slope, A context of CCDN and need r		
SuggestedRemedy				definition, which				
to a 2-way splitter and the	onstrate a connection from CL hen EPoC CNU. n any way, form, or fashion in I			MHz, represen included" - who calculation" - v	ntative of 9 ere is the r vhat does	parameters in table are mean 99% of modems" - what are "r reference to said SCTE definit it even mean???? "Worst spe matter, given that CTB / CSO	nodems"? "SC ion? "Small dro ctrum regions fo	E Definition, Echo not p slope effect on or CTB and CSO are not
0				SuggestedRemed	-		•	
Response	Response Status W					100A-1 and Table 100A-2		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL See laubach 3bn 13 0	.⊏. 915.pdf with changes illustrate	d in laubach 3bi	n 13 0915CMP.pdf					
				The only thing	we should	I be specifying in EPoC is: PM to noise, impairments, etc.) an	ID operation (tra	ansmit and receive
C/ 100A SC 100A.1	P 351	L 47	# 3776	guaranteed to	operate.	Content of Table 100A-1 and T	able 100A-2 is	unclear and seems to
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House N	Networks			condition	s for coexisting services on th	e same CCDN	ather than EPoC plant
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		Homeworkk Mark	definition.				
Figure 100A-1 does not which is outside of the s	t make much sense - it focuses scope of EPoC.	on the applicati	ion og CLT fed via OLT,	Response ACCEPT IN F	RINCIPL	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy						s the normative channel model		
	nd connection from EPON OLT in the headend - it does not ma	,		conditions operation (tran	erating on nsmit and i	es, etc. and to establish operation of the stabilish operation of the stability of the stab	ator services fo / to noise, impa	r support of "PMD irments, etc.) and type of
Response	Response Status W					airments from coexisting service		0 0
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL See laubach_3bn_13_0	.E. 1915.pdf with changes illustrated	d in laubach_3bi	n_13_0915CMP.pdf	satisfying obje	ctives, this	s model is required for "Define rall coaxial network operating r	required plant	configurations and
	d ER/editorial required GR/ge			rol		C/ 10		Page 40 of 123

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

spectrum assigned for its operation without causing harmful interference to any signals or services carried in the remainder of the cable spectrum." as well as some other performance related objectives.

See laubach_3bn_13_0915.pdf with changes illustrated in laubach_3bn_13_0915CMP.pdf Summary of changes :

Page 352,

Line 23: "OFDM bandwidth" change to "OFDM modulated spectrum" and change 192 to 190 Line 27: expand "BW" to "bandwidth". This includes Table 100A-2. Line 29/37: remove rows for 96 MHz

Page 354,

Line 14: Expand on definition of "small drop slope effect" to "The tilt due to the drop cable has a small effect on calculation" Line 28: Strike NOTE 14 and renumber remaining notes

Page 355,

Line 8: "OFDM bandwidth" change to "OFDM modulated spectrum" and change 192 to 190 Line 42-44: remove rows for 96 MHz

Entire table 100A-1 and 100A-2, capitalize only the first word in Parameter column. Remove Item/Area col. from both tables.

C/ 100A SC	100A.2	P 352	L 4	# 3775
Hajduczenia, Mar	ek	Bright House N	Networks	
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A		Homework Mark

"These parameters are base on the following conditions:" - likely, "These parameters are >>based<< on the following conditions:"

SuggestedRemedy

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #3778 and laubach_3bn_13_0915.pdf with changes illustrated in laubach_3bn_13_0915CMP.pdf

C/ 100A	SC 100A.2	P 352	L 6	# 3778
Hajduczenia	, Marek	Bright House N	Networks	
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		Homeworkk Mark
and def	initions that are	very confusing - it is quoted as not defined in EPoC in any way e and why it is even important?	y, for example: "	
SuggestedF	Remedy			
Table 1 Similarl	00A-1 should be	tement "These parameters are e sufficient to characterize the B A.3 and statement "These para d to go	EPoC CCDN	Ū.
Response		Response Status W		
	PT IN PRINCIPL 52 is incorrect, a	E. assuming page 352.		
See lau	bach_3bn_13_0	915.pdf with changes illustrate	d in laubach_3br	_13_0915CMP.pdf
through inappro followin	13 and removal priate for the mo g subclause.	1 is based on the required sys of the list would remove the so odel and establishment of base P and Modern Cable Televisior	etup conditions a line channel con	and would be ditions. Same with the
The TF	believes that Ta	able 100A-1 is clear to those sk	villed in the art of	HFC and OFDM.
C/ 100A	SC 100A.2	P 354	L 19	# 3881
Anslow, Pet	e	Ciena		
Comment T	ype E	Comment Status A		EZ
characte	erised as the nur	errors per unit time (e.g., errors mber of errors divided by the n "Error ratio simulation"	s per second). E number of bits, so	rrors are usually b "Error rate
SuggestedF	Remedy			
Change	"Error roto oimu	1		
e nange	Enorrate sinit	ulation" to "Error ratio simulat	tion"	
Response	Enormale Sini	ulation" to "Error ratio simulat Response Status C	tion"	

ACCEPT.

C/ 100A SC 100A.2

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 101 SC	P 177	L 13	# 4095	C/ 101 SC 101.1.		L 29	# 4131
Remein, Duane	Huawei Techn	ologies		Remein, Duane	Huawei Techi	nologies	
Comment Type E "on a excluded"	Comment Status A		EZ		Comment Status A		E
SuggestedRemedy				"The operation of EF SuggestedRemedy	OC MPCP, as"		
Change to "on an excluded"				Change to	of EPoC Multipoint Control Prot		
Response	Response Status C			•	•		as
ACCEPT.				Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 101 SC 101	P 127	<i>L</i> 1	# 4160				
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox			C/ 101 SC 101.1.3		L1	# 3797
Comment Type E	Comment Status R			Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Networks	
sublayers in one clau SuggestedRemedy	ally long (over 100 pages) and, ve ise. The subclauses may get nes		nes multiple brand-new		Comment Status R why Table 101-1 could not be rep and then just reference it in Clau		
Consider if it should b	be broken into two clauses.			SuggestedRemedy			
Response REJECT. Clause heading levels	Response Status C s are aligned with the 802.3 temp	ate and only go t	o level 5 (as		ble 101-1 and Table 100-1 and ⁻), and then reference this table ra		
perscribed). The clau 55 has a comperable	use topics are consistent with prevention of the second seco	vious clauses (e.e	g., Cl 65 & 76). Clause	Response	Response Status W		
Adding another claus recommended.	e at this point would disrupt nume	erous other projec	cts and is not		00 would be inconvenient for the d determine if this is accepted or		01 or 102.
C/ 101 SC 101	P 127	L 24	# 4161				
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox						
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		EZ				
SuggestedRemedy its							
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

C/ 101 SC 101.1.3

C/ 101 SC 101.1.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 130 Bright House N	L 22 letworks	# 3796	C/ 101 SC Trowbridge, Steve	101.1.3	P 132 Alcatel-Lucent	L 44	# 4044	
Comment Type ER Last column, line 22 conta value of 3:0? If so, why n	Comment Status A ains statement "as above" - d		EZ this cell should contain	Comment Type	E nents in F	Comment Status A	box at the bo	ttom does't line up with	<i>EZ</i> th
There are also other insta values - such residrectior This becomes more com	plex to read, especially when	le without any ne	eed. Please use explicit	SuggestedRemed Zoom in close Response ACCEPT.	, ,	up the figure by nudging the elem <i>Response Status</i> C	ents to line up).	
top of page 131 for exam <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Added pg 130 line 22 Replace "as above" at Pg Pg/Ln Index 84/39 1001 85/7 1024 85/36 11241 130/22 1001 131/7 1024 245/46 1001	Response Status W			Dawe, Piers Comment Type Is this the sam SuggestedRemed Don't create ye Response ACCEPT IN F Remove text a	y et anothe RINCIPL and subse	P 133 Mellanox Comment Status A CI.76 10GEPON RS? It should b r RS type, re-use the 10GEPON Response Status W .E. ections from 101.2 add the follow blayer used for 10GPASS-XR is	RS.	# 4169	
C/ 101 SC 101.1.3 Lusted, Kent Comment Type E	P 132 Intel Comment Status A	L 15	# 3891 Laver Dia	Hajduczenia, Mare		P 133 Bright House Ne Comment Status A	L 12 tworks	# 3786	EZ
	blocks in the figure 101-1 sho	ow cross-hatchir	,	SuggestedRemed	y	gure 101-1 is on page 133, line 1 location after 101.2.1, where it is	, C	s on page 132.	EZ
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C	on 5 of the stan		Response ACCEPT.		Response Status C			

over from 10G-EPON

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 101 SC 101.2.1

C/ 101 SC 101.2.1 P 133	L 15 # 3842	C/ 101 SC 101.3.1 P 134 L 26 # 384	3
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Netw	vorks	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks	
Comment Type T Comment Status A "with exceptions noted herein" - i.e., where?		Comment Type T Comment Status A "point-to-multipoint coaxial medium architecture" - I believe this is the definition of CC	DN???
SuggestedRemedy change to "with exceptions noted in XXX" and add referer (likely candidate: 101.2.3)	nce where said exceptions are listed	SuggestedRemedy replace "over the point-to-multipoint coaxial medium architecture" with "over CCDN"	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Text is removed in comment #4169		Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. CCDN (coax cable distribution network) is not defined to be necessarily P2MP. Change "coaxial medium architecture"	
C/ 101SC 101.2.4.1P 134Hajduczenia, MarekBright House Netw	L 8 # 3827	to "coax cable distribution network"	
Comment Type TR Comment Status A "The variables of 65.1.3.1 are inherited except the definition 76.2.6.1.1." - given that 76.2.6.1.1 already references 65. 76.2.6.1.1." SuggestedRemedy		C/ 101 SC 101.3.1 P 134 L 33 # 383 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networks # 383 Comment Type E Comment Status A "The Idle control character insertion and deletion mechanism accommodates" - these	EZ
Similar change in 101.2.4.2 where both existing sentences 101.2.4.2." and 101.2.4.3 where both existing sentences a 76.2.6.1.3."		independent mechanism>>s<< SuggestedRemedy Change to "The Idle control character insertion and deletion mechanisms accommoda	ate"
Response Response Status W ACCEPT.		Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
C/101SC101.3.1P134Hajduczenia, MarekBright House Network	L 25 # 3828	C/ 101 SC 101.3.1 P 134 L 39 # 383 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright H	6
Comment Type TR Comment Status A "The EPoC PCS is specified to support the operation of the direction and up to 10 Gb/s in the upstream direction, when data rates are configured independently" - this statement of upstream data rate of 1.6 Gb/s listed in changes to Clause	re the upstream and downstream does not correspond to max	Comment Type E Comment Status A This does not read right: "Figure 100–4 and Figure 100–5 illustrate the functional bloc of the receive path in the CLT and CNU, respectively in the EPoC PCS". SuggestedRemedy	<i>EZ</i> sk diagram
SuggestedRemedy	1 6 Ch/a in the unstroom direction"	Change to "Figure 100–4 and Figure 100–5 illustrate the functional block diagram of th path in the CLT PCS and CNU PCS, respectively".	he receive
Change "up to 10 Gb/s in the upstream direction" to "up to Simialr change needed on page 134, line 46, where upstre 10 Gb/s"		Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
Response Response Status W ACCEPT.			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 101 SC 101.3.1

Cl 101 SC ·	101.3.2.1.1	P 135 Huawei Tech	L 30 nologies	# 4099	<i>Cl</i> 101 <i>SC</i> 101.3.2.1.2 Dwelley, David
	es not just include	nent Status A parity but also inclue nstituting the parity (of a FEC codeword."	Comment Type E Missing space: "excluding
SuggestedRemed	/				SuggestedRemedy Change to: "excluding the
Change to: "The number of codeword." Response ACCEPT.		nstituting the overhe	ead (parity and CR	C40) portion of a FEC	Response ACCEPT. Wrong clause, correct pa Accept as suggest.
C/ 101 SC -	101.3.2.1.1	P 135	L 38	# 4132	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.1.2 Anslow, Pete
Remein, Duane Comment Type	E Comn	Huawei Tech	nologies		Comment Type E In the definition for PCS_
	HY_OSize vectors PMD derating proc		ize vectors to the	compensation of FEC	SuggestedRemedy Add the space.
In 31 FEC_OS		italics:			Response ACCEPT.
In 32 PHY_DS In 37 PHY_OS	ize				This change is included in
In 39 PHY_DS SuggestedRemed					C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.1.2 Hajduczenia, Marek
	HY_OSize vectors PMD derating proc	per every PHY_DS esses."	ize vectors to cor	npensate for FEC	Comment Type T Equations 101-1 is not re
Format change	es per comment.				SuggestedRemedy
Response	Respo	nse Status C			Add the following stateme

Response

ACCEPT.

Draft 2.0

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

Dwelley, David	01.3.2.1.2		36 • Technology	L 21	# 4074
Comment Type Missing space	E ce: "excluding	Comment Status the64B/65B sync h			
SuggestedReme		040/050			
Response ACCEPT.	e, correct paç	64B/65B sync head <i>Response Status</i> ge and line number.	С	t is against 101.3.	2.1.2.
C/ 101 SC Anslow, Pete	0101.3.2.1.2	P 1: Ciena		L 21	# 3863
Comment Type In the definiti	E ion for PCS_I	<i>Comment Status</i> Rate, there is a space		"the64B/65B"	EZ, remein_2
SuggestedReme Add the space	2				
Response ACCEPT.		Response Status	С		
			15		
	is included in	remein_3bn_22_09	10		
This change	011.3.2.1.2	P 1:		L 25 orks	# 3798
This change Cl 101 SC Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type	C 101.3.2.1.2 rek T	P 1:	36 House Netwo	-	
This change Cl 101 SC Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type Equations 10 SuggestedReme	101.3.2.1.2 rek T 01-1 is not ref edy wwing stateme	P 1: Bright Comment Status	36 House Netwo A	orks	EZ, remein_2
This change Cl 101 SC Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type Equations 10 SuggestedReme Add the follo	101.3.2.1.2 rek T 01-1 is not ref edy wwing stateme	P 1: Bright Comment Status rerenced in text	36 House Netwo A S_Rate definit	orks	EZ, remein_:

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.1.2

Page 45 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:02 PM

Draft 2.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EP	oC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments Final Respon
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.1.2 P 136 L 31 # 3799 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Network	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.1.2 P 136 L 42 # 3837 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bri
Comment Type T Comment Status A remein_22 Position references are bad, especially if text is reflowed by staff editors when amendment is prepared for integration. remein_22	Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ, remein_ Inconsistent text format in equation: "PHY_DSize" is partially italicized - should be itialized as whole
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Change "PHY_OSize is determined by" to "The value of PHY_OSize is calculated based on	Same issue in Equation 101-2 and Equation 101-1 for PCS_Rate
Equation (101-2)." - make sure the link is live.	Response Response Status C
Similar change needed in PHY_OSizeFrac variable (page 136, line 38/39, to tie it to what should be equation 101-3 (lines 41-44, page 136).	ACCEPT.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	This change is included in remein_3bn_22_0915
Change	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.1.5 P 138 L 1 # 3801
"PHY_Osize is determined by" to	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
"PHY_Osize is defined in Equation (101-2)."	Comment Type T Comment Status A remein_
Change	The variable PHY_RSize is really not needed in the state diagram
"The PHY_OSizeFrac is given by" to "PHY_OSizeFrac is defined in Equation (101-3)"	SuggestedRemedy
Add Eq number to PHY_OSizeFrac equation In 42	Merge UPDATE_RESIDUE and UPDATE_COUNTERS states into a single state called UPDATE_COUNTERS with the following content
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.1.2 P 136 L 41 # [3791] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Netwo	accResidue += PHY_OSizeFrac countDelete += (PHY_OSize + floor(accResidue)) accResidue -= floor(accResidue)
Comment Type ER Comment Status A remein_22	countVectorT <= 0
Equation is unnumbered and broken into two lines	Response Response Status C
SuggestedRemedy	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Add number Make sure that equation is not broken into two lines. Decreasing the size of equation text might help quote a lot here. If that does not help, consider shortening the names of individual variables to make them occupt less space	As per comment and Pg 135 line 50 adjust definition of accResidue to remove PHY_Rsize also
Response Response Status W	Pg 136 remove def. of PHY_Rsize
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add number only	

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.1.5

Page 46 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:03 PM

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.1.5 P 138 L 9 # 3800 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Brig	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.1.5 P 140 L 1 # 3849 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Brig
Comment Type T Comment Status R accResidue variable is a floating / real variable and should be loaded with 0.0 instead of 0 to emphasize this point SuggestedRemedy Change "accResidue <= 0" to "accResidue <= 0.0"	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Homework Duane, remein_22 State diagrams shown in Figure 101-3 and Figure 101-4 operate in parallel, which means that each passing (I+E) character is counted by both state diagrams. Since both state diagrams do not synchronize variables in any way, this is what happens (just numeric example): - after observing some non-(I+E) characters, both SDs update their counters, waiting for (I+E) characters to be deleted - if in both state diagrams, UPDATE_COUNTERS states are reached simultanously, on next (I+E) character, both SDs will identify it for deletion and enter DELETE_IDLES state, decrementing countDeleteF/countDeleteP variable - however, only one (I+E) character will be effectively deleted, compensating for either FEC_OSize or PHY_OSize, but not for both
	SuggestedRemedy Update CNU state diagram, by collapsing Figure 101–3 and Figure 101–4 together into a single state diagram, including residual value calculation, following CLT mechanism. The current mechanism does not operate correctly.
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Changed: FEC_OSize -> DS_FEC_OSize FEC_OSize -> DS_PHY_DSize PHY_DSize -> DS_PHY_OSize CountVectorT -> countVector Added constants: US_FEC_Osize and US_PHY_Dsize sized for minimum FEC size. Moved: countDelete from 101.3.2.1.2 Variables to 101.3.2.1.3 Counters Deleted:countDeleteF, countDeleteP, countIdleF, countIdleP, countVectorF, countVectorP Modified Fig 101-2 accordingly
	Combined Fig 101-3 & 101-4 to operate assuming the minimum FEC size. This ensures that the US burst is less than or equal to the time set per MPCP.
	Deleted Fig 101-4 This change is included in remein_3bn_22a_0915

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.1.5 P 140	L 44	# 4133	C/ 101		101.3.2.4	P 141	L 40	# 4134
Remein, Duane Huawei Technol	ogies		Remein, Du	ane		Huawei Teo	hnologies	
Comment Type E Comment Status A		EZ	Comment T	уре	Е	Comment Status A		E
countDelete should be in 101.3.2.1.3 Counters not 101.3	3.2.1.2 Variable	es			S-XR enco			
SuggestedRemedy			Also pg	142 lin	e 2 "PCS (operating on CCDN"		
Move per comment.			Similar	probler	n pg 157 lii	ng 44 for "The 10GPASS	XR decodes" and	"PCS operating on
Response Response Status C			CCDN"	(2x)				
ACCEPT.			SuggestedF	Remedy	/			
			change					
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.2 P 140	L 47	# 3802				encodes" & decodes" &		
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Ne	etworks				g on a CCI			
Comment Type T Comment Status R				^				
Rather than repeat all this text on how it is different from point to 76.3.2.2, which provides the same details, without the same details are the same details without the same details are the same			Response	-		Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy		,	ACCEP	<u>и.</u>				
Replace text on page 140, lines 48-52, with "See 76.3.2	.2."		C/ 101	SC 1	01.3.2.4	P 142	L 1	# 3792
Response Response Status C			Hajduczenia	a, Marel	k	Bright Hous	e Networks	
REJECT.			Comment T	vpe	ER	Comment Status A		
CI 76.3.2.2 does not take exception to the CL 49 scram	oler function as	s is done in EPoC.	"LDPC	(16200	, 14400)" g	jets broken across lines o	f text.	
	L 12	# 3803	SuggestedF	Remedy	/			
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Ne		<i>"</i> 0000		-		h reference to LDPC in te	kt and make sure it	does not get broken
Comment Type T Comment Status A						use "LDPC(16200,14400	" (note no spaces)) which will be treated as
"initialized to the value 0x00" - given that the register is 4	la hits long av	00 covers only 8 bits of			recomme	ken across line. nded.		
40 bits in this register. What happens with the remaining			Response			Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy			•	T IN P	RINCIPLE	,		
Change "initialized to the value 0x00" to "initialized to the represents a 40-bit all 0s value in hex	e value 0x0000	000000", which			-	to none breaking space (·	<ctrl> space)</ctrl>	
Response Response Status C								
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.								

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.1 P 143 L 53 # 3804 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bri	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.1 P 145 L 1 # 3805 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Brig
Comment Type T Comment Status A "The length of the FIFO_FEC_TX buffer is selected in such a way that it is large enough to compensate for the insertion of the FEC parity data and CRC40, as defined in 101.3.2.5.2".	Comment Type T Comment Status A The statement in lines 1-7, including the formula, should be included in the definition of the FIFO_FEC_TX size, and not just in text.
Two issues here: a) 101.3.2.5.2 does not define anything related with CRC40 b) statements in 101.3.2.1 speak about FEC overhead compensation sub-process and data rate adaptation sub-process, implying that there is FEC overhead and PHY overhead - the same language should be used in here as well SuggestedRemedy Change to read "The length of the FIFO_FEC_TX buffer is selected in such a way that it is large enough to compensate for the FEC overhead and PHY overhead, as discussed in 101.3.2.1." - make link live	SuggestedRemedy Remove the indicated lines on page 145. Update the definition of FIFO_FEC_TX in 101.3.2.5.6 by adding the following statement to the end of definition: "The size of FIFO_FEC_TX buffer in the 10GPASS-XR CLT PCS is set to 29 = ceil {(1800+40)/65}." If the statement on CLT buffer size is added, the CNU buffer size should be also calculated, as the worst case scenario (minimum packet sizes, shortest code word + CRC40) Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. As suggested but use xRef of 101.3.2.5.2	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "The size of FIFO_FEC_TX buffer in the 10GPASS-XR PCS is set to 29 = ceil {(1800+40)/65}."
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.1 P 144 L 1 # 3992 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab. of America F	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.2 P 145 L 14 # 3780 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networks Bright House Networks Bright House Networks
Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ	Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ Missing "."
LDCP in captions of table 101-4 and table 101-5 should be LDPC. SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Add missing "."
Change LDCP in captions of table 101-4 and table 101-5 with "DPC. Response Response Status C	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
ACCEPT.	AUULFI.

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.2	P 145	L 16	# 4100	C/ 101	SC 101.3.2.5.2	P 145	-	D #	3806	
lemein, Duane	Huawei Techn	ologies		Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright H	louse Networks			
comment Type T Comm	ment Status A			Comment 7	Гуре Т	Comment Status	4			ΕZ
The para beginning "The 64B/66E Encoder or stricken as it has little the one regarding burst time head	to do with LDPC end	coding. The only	pertenant sentence is	"64B/66	6B Éncoder", but "L	use of a hyphen in "I .DPC-encoder" ????		? We have "FEC	Encoder",	
talks about the CLT.				SuggestedF						
SuggestedRemedy						DPC-encoder" to "LD		nclualing figures		
Add a period after "Table 101-2"	in the 1st para of this	s section.		Response		Response Status (C			
Replace the 2nd para with "The 6	4B/66B Encoder, as	described in 101	.3.2.2 and shown in		PT IN PRINCIPLE. e the 2 instances fo	ound on pg 145 ln 30 a	and 31.			
Figure 101-6, delivers a stream of	f 65-bit blocks to the	FEC Encoder an	nd Data Detector. In the	C/ 101	SC 101.3.2.5.2	P 145	5 L 31	n #	4123	
CNU only, a 65-bit burst time hea (see Figure 101-10)."	ader is added as the f	first 65-bit block a	at the start of a burst	Remein. Du			Technologies	5 #	4123	
(3)	onse Status C			Comment 7		Comment Status	0			
ACCEPT.						ess is occurring in the		ts here mav not l	be 14400-60) as
Note that the 64B/66B encoder is	s well described in 10	1.3.2.2.		stated:		0		,,,		
101 SC 101.3.2.5.2	P 145	L 21	# 3850	"a paylo nor	bad length of FP - E	3P bits (14400 - 60 =	14340 bits)."			
ajduczenia, Marek	Bright House N	Networks			codeword with a le	ngth of (FP - BP) + F	R bits; i.e., (144	400 - 60) + 1800) = 16140 bi	ts."
					Jamadu					
omment Type TR Comm	nent Status A		Burst Structure, Soc	SuggestedF	Remedy					
omment Type TR Comm "In the CLT only, a 65-bit burst tin the start of a burst. "		(accumulated) as		Remov "a paylo		ers to the two stateme 3P bits."	ents read:			
"In the CLT only, a 65-bit burst tin		(accumulated) as		Remov "a paylo nor	e all specific numbe bad length of FP - E					
"In the CLT only, a 65-bit burst tin the start of a burst. "	ne header is placed (s, so the statement is the "burst time heade	not correct. It is	the first 65-bit block at not clear what the	Remov "a paylo nor	e all specific numbe bad length of FP - E codeword with a le	3P bits."	R bits."			
"In the CLT only, a 65-bit burst tin the start of a burst." SuggestedRemedy CLT does not send data in bursts original intent of the text is, what t to figure demonstrating said elem	ne header is placed (s, so the statement is the "burst time heade	not correct. It is	the first 65-bit block at not clear what the	Remov "a paylo nor "output <i>Response</i> ACCEF	e all specific numbe bad length of FP - E codeword with a le PT.	3P bits." ngth of (FP - BP) + F <i>Response Status</i> (R bits." C	1 #	2007	
"In the CLT only, a 65-bit burst tin the start of a burst." <i>uggestedRemedy</i> CLT does not send data in bursts original intent of the text is, what t to figure demonstrating said elem	ne header is placed (s, so the statement is the "burst time heade hents is needed.	not correct. It is	the first 65-bit block at not clear what the	Remov "a paylo nor "output <i>Respon</i> se	e all specific numbe bad length of FP - E codeword with a le PT. SC 101.3.2.5.2	3P bits." ngth of (FP - BP) + F <i>Response Status</i> (<i>P</i> 145	R bits." C	1 #	3807	
"In the CLT only, a 65-bit burst tin the start of a burst." uggestedRemedy CLT does not send data in bursts original intent of the text is, what t to figure demonstrating said elem esponse Respo ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See Cmt# 3851	ne header is placed (s, so the statement is the "burst time heade hents is needed. bunse Status W	not correct. It is r" is, and where i	the first 65-bit block at not clear what the t is located. A referece	Remov "a paylo nor "output <i>Response</i> ACCEF <i>C/</i> 101 Hajduczenia <i>Comment T</i>	e all specific numbe bad length of FP - E codeword with a le PT. SC 101.3.2.5.2 a, Marek Type T	3P bits." ngth of (FP - BP) + F <i>Response Status</i> <i>P</i> 145 Bright H <i>Comment Status</i>	R bits." C 5 <i>L</i> 3' łouse Networks A		-	EZ
"In the CLT only, a 65-bit burst tin the start of a burst." uggestedRemedy CLT does not send data in bursts original intent of the text is, what t to figure demonstrating said elem esponse Respo ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See Cmt# 3851 101 SC 101.3.2.5.2	ne header is placed (s, so the statement is the "burst time heade hents is needed.	not correct. It is pr" is, and where in <i>L</i> 30	the first 65-bit block at not clear what the	Remov "a paylo nor "output <i>Response</i> ACCEF <i>C/</i> 101 Hajduczenia <i>Comment 1</i> The val	e all specific numbe bad length of FP - E codeword with a le PT. SC 101.3.2.5.2 a, Marek Type T	3P bits." ngth of (FP - BP) + F <i>Response Status</i> <i>P</i> 145 Bright F <i>Comment Status</i> 14340 bits)" are just	R bits." C 5 <i>L</i> 3' łouse Networks A		-	EZ
"In the CLT only, a 65-bit burst tin the start of a burst. " SuggestedRemedy CLT does not send data in bursts original intent of the text is, what t to figure demonstrating said elem Response Respo ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See Cmt# 3851 C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.2 lajduczenia, Marek	ne header is placed (s, so the statement is the "burst time heade hents is needed. onse Status W P 145	not correct. It is pr" is, and where in <i>L</i> 30	the first 65-bit block at not clear what the t is located. A referece	Remov "a paylo nor "output <i>Response</i> ACCEF <i>C/</i> 101 Hajduczenia <i>Comment 1</i> The val	e all specific numbe bad length of FP - E codeword with a le PT. SC 101.3.2.5.2 a, Marek Type T ues "(14400 - 60 = nd not universally ap	3P bits." ngth of (FP - BP) + F <i>Response Status</i> <i>P</i> 145 Bright F <i>Comment Status</i> 14340 bits)" are just	R bits." C 5 <i>L</i> 3' łouse Networks A		-	EZ
"In the CLT only, a 65-bit burst tin the start of a burst." uggestedRemedy CLT does not send data in bursts original intent of the text is, what t to figure demonstrating said elem Response Respo ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See Cmt# 3851	ne header is placed (s, so the statement is the "burst time heade hents is needed. burse Status W P 145 Bright House M ment Status A	not correct. It is r" is, and where in <i>L</i> 30 Networks	the first 65-bit block at not clear what the t is located. A referece # <u>3781</u> <i>EZ</i>	Remov "a paylo nor "output <i>Response</i> ACCEF <i>CI</i> 101 Hajduczenia <i>Comment T</i> The val size, ar <i>SuggestedF</i> Change	e all specific numbe bad length of FP - E codeword with a le PT. SC 101.3.2.5.2 a, Marek Type T ues "(14400 - 60 = 143 Remedy e "(14400 - 60 = 143	3P bits." ngth of (FP - BP) + F <i>Response Status</i> <i>P</i> 145 Bright F <i>Comment Status</i> 14340 bits)" are just	R bits." C 5 <i>L</i> 3 House Networks A examples for or 4400 - 60 = 1434	ne specific LDPC 40 bits)". The sa	codeword	
"In the CLT only, a 65-bit burst tin the start of a burst." uggestedRemedy CLT does not send data in bursts original intent of the text is, what t to figure demonstrating said elem esponse Respo ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See Cmt# 3851 / 101 SC 101.3.2.5.2 ajduczenia, Marek omment Type E Comm "The resulting FP bits" should be match the following text / figures.	ne header is placed (s, so the statement is the "burst time heade hents is needed. burse Status W P 145 Bright House M ment Status A	not correct. It is r" is, and where in <i>L</i> 30 Networks	the first 65-bit block at not clear what the t is located. A referece # <u>3781</u> <i>EZ</i>	Remov "a paylo nor "output <i>Response</i> ACCEF <i>CI</i> 101 Hajduczenia <i>Comment T</i> The val size, ar <i>SuggestedF</i> Change	e all specific numbe bad length of FP - E codeword with a le PT. SC 101.3.2.5.2 a, Marek Type T ues "(14400 - 60 = 14: Remedy e "(14400 - 60 = 14: 45, line 33 where ar	3P bits." ngth of (FP - BP) + F <i>Response Status</i> <i>P</i> 145 Bright H <i>Comment Status</i> 14340 bits)" are just pplicable. 340 bits)" to "(e.g., 14	TR bits." 5 L 3 House Networks A examples for or 4400 - 60 = 1434 ric example is given	ne specific LDPC 40 bits)". The sa	codeword	
"In the CLT only, a 65-bit burst tin the start of a burst." uggestedRemedy CLT does not send data in bursts original intent of the text is, what t to figure demonstrating said elem esponse Respo ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See Cmt# 3851 7 101 SC 101.3.2.5.2 ajduczenia, Marek comment Type E Comm "The resulting FP bits" should be	ne header is placed (s, so the statement is the "burst time heade hents is needed. burse Status W P 145 Bright House M ment Status A	not correct. It is r" is, and where in <i>L</i> 30 Networks	the first 65-bit block at not clear what the t is located. A referece # <u>3781</u> <i>EZ</i>	Remov "a paylo nor "output <i>Response</i> <i>ACCEF</i> <i>C/</i> 101 Hajduczenia <i>Comment 1</i> <i>The val</i> size, ar <i>SuggestedF</i> <i>Change</i> page 14 <i>Response</i> <i>ACCEF</i>	e all specific numbe bad length of FP - E codeword with a le PT. SC 101.3.2.5.2 a, Marek Type T ues "(14400 - 60 = 143 Remedy e "(14400 - 60 = 143 45, line 33 where ar	BP bits." ngth of (FP - BP) + F <i>Response Status</i> <i>P</i> 145 Bright F <i>Comment Status</i> 14340 bits)" are just plicable. 340 bits)" to "(e.g., 14 nother specific numer <i>Response Status</i>	TR bits." $L 3^{2}$ House Networks A examples for or 4400 - 60 = 1434 ic example is given C	ne specific LDPC 40 bits)". The sar ven.	codeword	
"In the CLT only, a 65-bit burst tin the start of a burst." uggestedRemedy CLT does not send data in bursts original intent of the text is, what t to figure demonstrating said elem esponse Respo ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See Cmt# 3851 7/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.2 ajduczenia, Marek comment Type E Comm "The resulting FP bits" should be match the following text / figures. uggestedRemedy	ne header is placed (s, so the statement is the "burst time heade hents is needed. burse Status W P 145 Bright House M ment Status A	not correct. It is r" is, and where in <i>L</i> 30 Networks	the first 65-bit block at not clear what the t is located. A referece # <u>3781</u> <i>EZ</i>	Remov "a paylo nor "output <i>Response</i> <i>ACCEF</i> <i>C/</i> 101 Hajduczenia <i>Comment 1</i> <i>The val</i> size, ar <i>SuggestedF</i> <i>Change</i> page 14 <i>Response</i> <i>ACCEF</i>	e all specific numbe bad length of FP - E codeword with a le PT. SC 101.3.2.5.2 a, Marek Type T ues "(14400 - 60 = 143 Remedy e "(14400 - 60 = 143 45, line 33 where ar	3P bits." ngth of (FP - BP) + F <i>Response Status</i> <i>P</i> 145 Bright F <i>Comment Status</i> 14340 bits)" are just plicable. 340 bits)" to "(e.g., 14 nother specific numer	TR bits." $L 3^{2}$ House Networks A examples for or 4400 - 60 = 1434 ic example is given C	ne specific LDPC 40 bits)". The sar ven.	codeword	

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2 Anslow, Pete	2.5.2 <i>P</i> 145 Ciena	L 32	# 3864	C/ 101 SC 101.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	.2.5.2	P 147 Bright House	L 38 Networks	# 3809
Comment Type E spurious space after "14400"	Comment Status A	he "(" to be on a	EZ different line from	Comment Type T Figure 101-7 uses th		nent Status R lean the same: MAC	C data, and data.	
SuggestedRemedy Delete the space,				SuggestedRemedy I believe "data" is us		, 0	"MAC Data" to "c	lata"
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI See Cmt# 3807	Response Status C PLE.			Response REJECT. This also is consiste	, ent with Fig 76			
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	2.5.2 P 146 Bright House	L 47 Networks	# 3810	C/ 101 SC 101.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	.2.5.2	P 147 Bright House	L 43 Networks	# 3782
within Figure 101-7. SuggestedRemedy Remove "(FEC CW)	l (FEC CW)" - this is an odd plac statement. In Figure 101–7, cha d do the same change for "FEC <i>Response Status</i> C	inge "FEC CW1"	to "FEC <n>codeword</n>	bit" is an adjective ir SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT.		nse Status C		
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	2.5.2 P 147 Bright House	L 33 Networks	# 3808					
	Comment Status A lock indicating "First codeword s ng Idle" but pointing to before the		Burst Structure					
Second, move the ar	odeword" to "First FEC codewor row for this block from where it i right now it is pointing to somet ext.	s right now, to the	e first rectangle within the					
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRINCI		9 Fig 76-14						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Draft 2.0	IEEE 802.3	bn EPON P	rotocol over Coax (EF	oC) TF Initia	al Working G	roup ballot comments		Final Respons
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.2	P 147	L 50	# 3851	C/ 101	SC 101.3.2.5		L 10	# 3793
Hajduczenia, Marek Bi	right House Ne	tworks		Hajduczeni	a, Marek	Bright House N	etworks	
Comment Type TR Comment Sta			Burst Structure, Soc	Comment	• •	Comment Status A		E
"starting burst marker", "burst time header	r", "burst marke	r" - which is it?	Are these the same?	In man	y locations in Cla	use 100, 103, and 102, variable between, with some variables i	es are itialicized	for better readability.
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested		between, with some variables i	lancizeu anu su	nne not.
Please aling your terminology - "burst star 10G-EPON. There are multiple instances				00		able names for better readabili	ty - applicable to	o the whole draft!
(for example). For symmetry, "ending burst marker" shou	uld be "burst en	d marker"		Response		Response Status W		
Response Response Stat					PT IN PRINCIPL	.E. ames not noticed as such.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.						ames not noticed as such.		
Change				C/ 101	SC 101.3.2.5	-	L 10	# 3783
"ending burst" to "end burst" (3x) "starting burst" to "start burst" (1x)				Hajduczeni	a, Marek	Bright House N	etworks	
"burst time header" to "Burst Time Heade	er" (proper noun	i)		Comment		Comment Status A		E
Pg 145 ln 20 change "In the CLT only, a 65-bit burst time heade	or is placed (as	oursulated) oo t	ha first 65 hit black at	"assoc	iate US Filling Th	nreshold FT" - "associate" or "a	ssociated" ???	
the start of a burst."	ei is placeu (ac	cumulateu) as t	He HISLOS-DIL DIOCK AL	Suggested	Remedy			
to				I think a	adjective here ("a	ssociated") is correct. "Associa	ate" (noun / verl	b) is not.
"In the CNU only, a 65-bit Burst Time Hea codeword at the start of a burst."	ader is placed a	is the first 65-bi	t block of the first FEC	Response		Response Status C		
					PT IN PRINCIPL	E.		
In Figure 101-7 move the arrow for the Bu codeword.	urst Time Head	er to be the 1st	65 bit block in the	See Ci	mt# 3811			
				C/ 101	SC 101.3.2.5		L 10	# 3811
Note this is followed by 2 Idle blocks that	are technically	"part of" the da	ta.	Hajduczeni	a, Marek	Bright House N	etworks	
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.2	P 147	L 52	# 3852	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		E
Hajduczenia, Marek Bi	right House Ne	tworks				ch codeword size has an asso		
Comment Type TR Comment Sta	atus A		Burst Structure, Soc			ach codeword size." - it seems	ike a circular de	efinition at this time.
"The burst marker is not part of the first F	EC codeword."	- but it is not s	hown in Figure 101-7 !!!	Suggested				
Same for "The ending burst marker is not	part of the last	FEC codeword	1."	Seems be suff		word size has a specific, assoc	ciated US Filling	g Threshold FT." would
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status C		
Show "burst marker" in Figure 101-7, as w stream is right now undefined.	vell as "ending t	ourst marker" - 1	heir location in data	ACCE	PT.			
Response Response Stat	tus W							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.								
Add "but added by the PMA" to the sente "The start burst marker is not part of the fi "The stop burst marker is not part of the la	irst FEC codew	ord but added						

ΕZ

ΕZ

ΕZ

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.4

Page 52 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:03 PM

Cl 101 Hajduczeni	SC 101.3.2.5.4 a Marek	P 148 Bright House	L 12	# 3812	<i>Cl</i> 101 Remein, D		101.3.2.5.4	P 148 Huawai Te	L 27 echnologies	# 4135
		-	INELWOIKS	0	,		-		echnologies	
	51	omment Status A is a tad chaotic - it uses able for transmission.	s B to designate I	Soc ourst size but also	Word	ing y codew	E vord in the bu	Comment Status A	rmined by the numb	er B of 65-bit blocks
The up STAR STEP (BQ >= move STEP otherw STEP STEP	SuggestedRemedy The upstream burst filling process is described as follows: START: Add burst start marker. Move to STEP 1. STEP 1: If the number of available 65-bit blocks (Bin) is sufficient to fill a long FEC codeword (BQ >= 220), create a long FEC codeword. Repeat STEP 1 as long as Bin >= 220; otherwise move to STEP 2. STEP 2: If 220 > Bin >= 101, create a shortened long FEC codeword and move to END; otherwise move to STEP 3. STEP 3: If 101 > Bin >= 76, create a medium FEC codeword. Move to STEP 4. STEP 4: If 76 > Bin >= 25, create a shortened medium FEC codeword and move to END;						dy ord in the bui Equation 101 at line 29 PRINCIPLE. t #3813	Response Status C	ned by the of encode	ed 65-bit blocks, B, as
STEP STEP	,	reate a short FEC codev eate a shortened short Fl			C/ 101 Hajduczer	nia, Mare		-	L 28 use Networks	# 3813
Response ACCE	propriate formatting, a <i>Re</i> PT IN PRINCIPLE. e to (added text **xxx*	esponse Status C			same Suggeste	escriptic page ar	nd it is not ne dy	Comment Status A 3-37 is another represent seded - not referenced a		Soc s descrribed above on the draft.
long) * codew	*create and encode a f ords if B □ BQ = 220 I	full long codeword.** Re blocks are available.	peat **the** crea	codeword (BQ = 220 for te and encode using long	Response ACCE			Response Status C		
	odeword **and** shorte	en to remaining blocks a		**create and encode** a vith this encoded	<i>Cl</i> 101 Remein, I		101.3.2.5.4	P 148 Huawei Te	L 35 echnologies	# 4080
	 4) If remaining B blocks in burst < BQ = 76 blocks and □ 25 blocks, **create and encode** a medium codeword, shorten to remaining blocks and end the burst with this encoded codeword. 			280 fo	ent: e from 1 or 16200),	Comment Status A ks maximum, where BQ ords sizes, respectively		and FR is 1800, 900, and	
					" BC	part of t Q is 220	the previous , 76, or 12 fc	"Where:" or FR = 16200, 5940, or 0 for FR = 16200, 5940		
					Response) EPT IN F	PRINCIPLE.	Response Status C	· •	-
	technical required ED	(aditorial required OD (a	energia en inc. 1. 7						404	Dana 52 of 402

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.4

Page 53 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:03 PM

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.4 P 148 L 39 # 4081 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.5 P 149 L 1 # 3814 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Brig
Comment Type E Comment Status A Somewhat connfusing:	Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ Overgualification: "The fixed size in bits of the downstream FEC LDPC output codeword."
"All codeword encoding follows the same procedures as the downstream with the following differences:" Similar issue pg 158 ln 20 with: "All codeword decoding follows the same procedures as the downstream with the following differences:" SuggestedRemedy To: "All upstream FEC encoding follows the same procedures as the downstream with the following differences:" and: "All upstream FEC decoding follows the same procedures as the downstream with the following differences:" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See 3853	SuggestedRemedy Change to "The size (expressed in bits) of the downstream FEC codeword." - once FEC is defined as LDPC, no need to repeat that oevr and over again ;) Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to "The fixed size, in bits, of the downstream FEC codeword." C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 149 L 13 # 3815 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks So "This variable represents the number of either 65-bit blocks or 66-bit blocks." - the way it is used, it reflects input into FEC encoder - Figure 101–9 (for example) calculates positions in increments of 65.
Cl 101 SC 101.3.2.5.4 P 148 L 39 # 3853 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networks Burst Structure, soc Comment Type TR Comment Status A Burst Structure, soc	SuggestedRemedy Change to "This variable represents the number of 65-bit blocks input into FEC Encoder." Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
 "All codeword encoding follows the same procedures as the downstream with the following differences:" - it is not clear where data burst structure is available in the downstream - there are no burst markers, no burst structure, data is encoded at a single Tx and received by multiple Rx. SuggestedRemedy At this time, it is not clear where downstream burst structure is defined, and then what needs to 	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 149 L 14 # 3819 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networks 3819 Comment Type TR Comment Status R The value of Bp and Bq are selected based on Table 101-2, but it is not clear how the selection is done 3819
be defined here, apart from the fact that data is always encoded into whole long FEC codewords. Unless it is clarified, I suggest to have text in lines 39-47 removed - it is confusing as it is right now. Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy Clarify how proper values (long / medium / short) are selected for Bp and Bq, if they are at all needed. FI cannot find Bp and Bq used in state diagrams at all - why are they defined then? Remove them :)
ACCEPT.	Response Response Status W REJECT. Both BP (appears 19x) and BQ (appears 54x) are used extensively in the draft and cannot be removed. The DS is only one size and selection in the US is clearly described in 101.3.2.5.4 (see pg 148 line 34).

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2		L 17	# 4101		101.3.2.5		L 29	# 3822
Remein, Duane	Huawei T	echnologies		Hajduczenia, Mai	rek	Bright House	e Networks	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		So
BP & BQ are not for o	downstream only.					efined in 101.3.2.5.6, and use ed in Figure 101–11, it is nev		
SuggestedRemedy						s for exit from PMA_CLIENT		cine value, but then used
at line 17 & 23 strike "downstream " from				SuggestedReme	dy			
	e downstream FEC codewo FEC codeword"	ord" so it reads:		increments.	Otherwise,	to set burstSize to some valu the operation is broken signed	e burst size is nev	ver calculated ! it seems
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ARRAY_IN a	array." or a	Size could be changed to "Thi alternatively, remove it altoge ts are located in ARRAY_IN		
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2	.5.6 <i>P</i> 149	L 25	# 3820	Response		Response Status W		
Hajduczenia, Marek		buse Networks	# 3620	ACCEPT IN	-			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		transferToPMA			LATE_CRC40_AND_PARIT (tx coded out, (blockCount*)		RUF)
51	art are defined as variables	and even set to some				ckCount*65) + 40 + FC"	00) 1 40 1 1 0, 11	
when burst start mark SuggestedRemedy Text on page 153, line	 –11, but it is not shown what er and burst end marker are es 20-29 seems to implify the transmitter ON / OFF, and r 	e placed on wire hese are NOT markers	s at all, but only signals	Pg 149 line 2 Change "burs Pg 151 lin 49 "loc += parity transferToPl	stSize" to ')/50 chang /Length;			
explicitly PMD_SIGN PMD_SIGNAL.reque	additional variables, state d AL.request(tx_enable <= FA st(tx_enable <= TRUE) whe riables in already complex s	ALSE) when end of bui en start of burst is dete	rst is detected and	to "xfrSize += p transferToPN	oarityLengt MA(tx_cod	th; led_out, xfrSize, lastcodewor	d);"	
Response	Response Status W	1		(Also see lau	ıbach_3bn	_11a_0915.pdf & cmt 3831)		
ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE.			C/ 101 SC	: 101.3.2.5	5.6 <i>P</i> 149	L 47	# 4102
See comment 3831				Remein, Duane		Huawei Tec	nnologies	
				Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		
				What is "CP' Should this b		arity <fr-1+cp:0></fr-1+cp:0>		
				SuggestedReme	dy			
				Change to B	Р			
				Response		Response Status C		
				ACCEPT.				

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 150 L 21 # 3794 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bri	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 150 L 23 # 4103 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies
Comment Type ER Comment Status R	Comment Type T Comment Status A
"IdleBlockCount" does not seem to follow prevailing variable naming scheme	A 65-bit block cannot have a sync header of 10 as there is only one sync bit in a 65-bit block.
SuggestedRemedy Rename to "idleBlockCount" it would be also valuable to organize locally defined (specific to EPoC) variable names across the whole draft so they use the same capitalization (naming) scheme. It seems that wordWordWordWord Scheme is prevailing right now. Examples of variable name changes in 101.3.2.5.6 include: Short2Payload => short2Payload	SuggestedRemedy Per Figure 101-6 this should be bit 1 (of bits 0 & 1) and per Figure 49-7 this should be a 0 for control blocks Change: "sync header 10 (binary)." to "sync header 0 (binary)."
Short2blockCount => short2BlockCount IdleBlockCount => idleBlockCount	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
tx_coded => txCoded tx_coded_out => txCodedOut US_DataRate => usDataRate PuretTimeHeader => burstTimeHeader	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 150 L 32 # 4105 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies
BurstTimeHeader => burstTimeHeader Calculate_CRC40_and_3Parity => calcCrc40 (does not seem that the function name needs to be longer than that) etc.	Comment Type T Comment Status A PMA_CLK is defined twice with two different meanings.
I do realize it will take some work, but it simplifes reading variable names, and distinguishing them from surrounding text. Note that single word variables like "loc", "transmitting" should be avoided: transmitting => txInProgress loc => locInArray are more descriptive and easy to distinguish from surrounding text	Change PMA_CLK to PMA_TCLK at pg 150 ln 32 and pg 157 ln 26 (2x) PMA_CLK to PMA_RCLK at pg 162 ln 16 and pg 163 ln 35 (2x) Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Response Response Status W REJECT. This proposal to somehow normalize the variable naming across the draft was considered and rejected already by the TF.	Change definition at pg 150 ln 32 to read: "In the CLT this Boolean is to TRUE on every negative edge of a clock that is synchronized to the PMA_UNITDATA.request (see 101.4.1.2.1) data rate of DS_DataRate (see 100.2.6.1). In the CNU this Boolean is to TRUE on every negative edge of a clock that is synchronized to the PMA_UNITDATA.indication (see 101.4.1.3) data rate of US_DataRate (see 101.4.1.2.1).
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 150 L 22 # 3795 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Network	This variable is set to FALSE upon read." Change definiton at 162 line 16 to read: "See 101.3.2.5.6."
Comment Type ER Comment Status A what type is it: "32 bit unsigned"? It is probably integer, and not real (floating point) number	
SuggestedRemedy Change "32 bit unsigned" to "32-bit unsigned integer" Make sure all variables that are intended to be of integer type have the "integer" keyword in Type definition field.	
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change as proposed for IdleBlockCount

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 Page 56 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:03 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 150 L 35 # 4104	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 151 L 11 # 4083
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies	Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status A TRUE, but when is it set to false I wonder.	Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ wording:
SuggestedRemedy add "This variable is reset to FALSE upon read." at end of dewscription	This variable used for counting SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	This variable is used for counting <i>Response</i> Response Status C
See Cmt # 4105	ACCEPT.
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 150 L 5 # 3816 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Brig	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 151 L 8 # 3787 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Brig
Comment Type T Comment Status A "A FIFO array used to store 65-bit blocks, inserted by the input process and retrieved by the output process in the FEC Encoder" SuggestedParagety	Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ Variable formatting (for umth time): "left-most bit is tx_coded_out<0> and the right-most bit is tx_coded_out <fc-1>."</fc-1>
SuggestedRemedy Please add references to figures that define the said input process and output process	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C	Be consistent with the way variable names are italicized !
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add ref to Figure 101-8, Figure 101-9 and Figure 101-10	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See Cmt# 3793
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 150 L 8 # 3817	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 151 L 19 # [3844
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Comment Type T Comment Status R	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
Comment Type T Comment Status R "firstcodeword" and "lastcodeword" do not follow naming conventions consistent for other	Comment Type T Comment Status A Soc
variables.	Unclear description of the value that BurstTimeHeader function returns: "binary 1 followed by the 32-bit PHY Link timestamp value at the time of the call to this function followed by 0x D8 58
SuggestedRemedy	E4 AB." -
Rename to "firstCodeWord" and "lastCodeWord" Also, the definition of a "flag" is not existent. Replace "flag" with "variable" in definitions of both variables.	SuggestedRemedy Given the odd format, it might be simpler to represent it graphically, showing furst bit field with
Response Response Status C	the value of "1", followed by 4 octets (PHY Link timestamp), followed by 4 octets with the value of 0x D8 58 E4 AB. Alternatively, the following text description could be used:
REJECT. There are no naming conventions defined or enforced for 802.3 projects that the editor is aware of.	"The BurstTimeHeader() function returns a 65-bit vector, with the following values: bit <0> = binary 1 bits <1:32> = the current PHY Link timestamp
The term "flag" appears 165 times in Section 5 of 802.3bx Draft 3.2 so apparently it is well known.	bits <33:64> = a fixed value of 0xD858E4AB. This 65-bit vector is transmitted as the first 65-bit block of the upstream burst."
	Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn C/ 101 Page 57 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:03 PM SC 101.3.2.5.7 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general	required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 101	Page 58 of 123
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 101.3.2.5.7	9/18/2015 2:08:03 PM

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

. ,	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 152 L 19 # 3830 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bri
101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 152 L 11 # 3 viduozonia Marak Bright House Naturate	46 Comment Type TR Comment Status A
ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks amment Type T Comment Status R more different ways of referencing FEC code: "LDPC parity", "the code" aggestedRemedy Revise definition of calculateParity function as follows This function calculates the FEC parity (for the FEC code per Table 101-2, selected the paritySize parameter) for data included in ARRAY_IN up to the specified Lengt (expressed in units of bits). All bits <0:Length-1> are data bits and bits <length:fp-padding after="" all="" are="" as="" bits="" bits.="" calculated.="" calculation="" code="" defines="" discarded="" fec="" follows:<="" for="" is="" padding="" parameter="" parity="" td="" the="" used=""> * if paritySize = LONG, FEC code with the FEC codeword size of 16200 bits is use * if paritySize = SHORT, FEC code with the FEC codeword size of 5940 bits is use * sponse Response Status C REJECT. There is no technical issue with the text currently in the standard. It is clear as writte the Draft to accommodate individual writing style is not productive.</length:fp-padding>	Description of Check_dataPayload using pseudocode contains a few issues, as listed below: - additional description in lines 24 is a repetition of text in lines 23-25 and it is not needed (remove) - definition of global variables is unnecessary (lines 27-28) - these have meaning in Matlab and but not within this draft - remove - given that it is pseudocode, ";" at the end of each line is not needed (that is Java / Matlab / C C++ specific) based on - "=" is used as assignment operator AND as comparison operator (equals to) - "return()" statement is meaningless - all operations are done on variables and other functions are called - there is nothing to "return" - "block_count" is not used in the function in any way - it should be reset to 0 explicitly in state diagram d, - keyword "function" is not needed - this is not Matlab script ed, SuggestedRemedy use the function description per 802.3bn_0915_hajduczenia_1.pdf
	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 152 L 8 # 3845 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Brig
	Comment Type T Comment Status A E
	Reference to CRC40 calculation should be added
	SuggestedRemedy
	Suggested terredy
	Insert "(see 101.3.2.3)" after "CRC40 value" Make the link live

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 153 Bright House N	L 19 Networks	# 3831	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2. Hajduczenia, Marek	5.8 P 150 Bright House I	L 45 Networks	# 3834
process,e specially that it	Comment Status A needs more detailed definitio t calls some "Transfer to PM e that all it does is play out co	A process" that is	s not formally defined	FIFO_FEC_TX	Comment Status A oes not match the use in Figure	e 101–8 - it is use	d as size of
service interface (in other PMA_UNIDATA.request	r words, pick bit zero from AF (), remove head in ARRAY_II end PMD_SIGNAL.request(t	RRAY_IN, push it N, and repeat unt	≟ across il there is data; when	stored in the FIFO_FE	zeFifo to read: "This variable re C_TX." emoveFifoHead definition, whi		
	al definition included in 802.31 –11 state diagram, which is b		zenia_2.pdf - this would	only and not some gen		-	FIFO_FEC_TA allay
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status W			removeFifoHead(ARF and any calls done like	RAY_IN, sizeFifo) this: removeFifoHead(Array, s	sizeof(Array))	
See laubach_3bn_11a_0	915.pdf			Response	Response Status W		
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 153 Bright House N	L 28 Networks	# 3789	ACCEPT IN PRINCIP In Figure 101-14 chang	LE. e "sizeFifo" to "sizeFifoRX" (3	x)	
Comment Type E Dead references: "Figure	Comment Status A 100-3 and 100.2.9.7"		EZ		X" from "RemoveFifoHead(FIF D as in Cl 76 Figure 76-16.	FO_FEC_TX)" in	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Pg 162 change definini "sizeFifoRX			
Response	Response Status C			TYPE: 16-bit unsigned	integer		

Draf	+ 2	\cap
Diai	ι Ζ.	υ

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 P 154 L 14 # 3833 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bri	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 P 154 L 21 # 3848 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bri
Comment Type TR Comment Status A What is "BIT_CTRL" and "BIT"DATA" ???? Transition conditions in Figure 76–16 are "SUDR * tx_coded<1:0> = SH_CTRL" and "SUDR * tx_coded<1:0> = SH_DATA" which is what should be used in here as well. SuggestedRemedy Copy transition conditions from Figure 76–16 + any associated variables needed. Response Response Status	Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ Seemingly incorrect state name: RECEIVE_FIFO_HEAD SuggestedRemedy Change to REMOVE_FIFO_HEAD - that is what is happening here, we're dropping FIFO head elements until the size reaches the value of 2. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Accept C C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SUDR alias for SCRAMBLER_UNITDATA.request(tx_coded<65:0>) and has no analog in EPoC	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 P 154 L 26 # 3993 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies Avago Technologies <td< td=""></td<>
SH_CTRL & SH_DATA are defined by ref pg 147 ln 3. tx_coded is defined pg 151 ln 53 Change in Fig 101-8 BIT_CTRL to SH_CTRL BIT_DATA to SH_DATA C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 P 154 L 17 # [3832]	Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ FIFO_FEC_TX{sizeFifo] has a { instead of [SuggestedRemedy A Make the { a [Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C
ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks comment Type TR Comment Status Wrong value assigned to IdleBlockCount variable. It is defined as 32 bit unsigned int and it is assigned the value of -1 (effectively, 0xFFFFFF) uggestedRemedy Either change the definition to signed integer (seems to hurt nothing, since the number is never expected to reach very high values anyway) or the state diagram will need to be redesigned to avoid the use of "-1" assignent - otherwise, we rely on rollover behavior which is implementation specific. Response Response Status W	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 P 154 L 27 # 3847 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks EZ Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ Incorrect opening bracket: FIFO_FEC_TX{sizeFifo] SuggestedRemedy Change to FIFO_FEC_TX[sizeFifo] Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C C
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Redefine (pg 50 ln 20) as signed integer The commenter is encouraged to enter a maintance request to fix the same issue seen in Section 5 of P802.3bx Drafte 3.2 SCI 76.3.2.5.6 pg 624 line 37 (and many other variable definitions in the clause).	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 P 155 L 31 # 3818 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ Unknown variables "FC", "FR" - are these intended to be "F>>C<<" and "F>>R<<", where >><< designated subscript?
YPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/ger OMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/wri ORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line	

Hajduczeni	SC 101.3.2.5.8		L 32	# 3823		101 SC
	a, Marek	Bright Ho	use Networks		Ha	ajduczenia, Mar
	51	Comment Status A ns to disable the transm to TRUE:		& <i>Duane Fig 101-9, Fi</i> f each FEC codeword	•	omment Type Transition be in state NO_E START_BUF
transfe	erToPMA(tx_coded	_out, (blockCount*65) +	- 40 + FC, TRUE	Ξ)	S	uggestedReme
does r	not clarify when Tx i	nere transmitter is enables enabled for CLT.	ed explicitly, and	definition of transferT	оРМА	Either a) rem AGGREGAT clear under w
Suggested	-				R	esponse
functio		ble in one of states, OR Tx enable on the first tr ?)			A	ACCEPT IN Add statemer "firstcodewor
Response		Response Status W				meteodemen
Note: i 9)." In Fig "PMA_	101-10 add _SIGNAL.request(odeword argument to th ON)" to START_BURS OFF)" to END_BURST	эт	ays TRUE (see Figur # 3790	e 101-	as necessary
Hajduczeni	a, Marek	Bright Ho	use Networks			
	Type E	Comment Status A		ework Mark&Duane I		
Comment	iypo 🖿			iework markabuarie r	-ïg 101-9	
Arrow line se right to Suggested	entering RESET st ems to have an ext o "CLK" condition	ate from the right does ra dash under CALCUL	not reach the sta	te. Also, the same tra	nsition	

C 101.3.2.5.8 P 156 L 18 # 3824 arek Bright House Networks TR Comment Status A Mark&Duane Fig 101-10, Soc petween START_BURST and AGGREGATE_BQ_BLOCK is never taken. Note that _BURST_IN_PROGRESS, firstcodeword is set to TRUE, and then not modified in JRST, so it is always TRUE the moment state START_BURST is left. iedy move transition on "firstcodeword = FALSE" between START_BURST and ATE BQ BLOCK, or b) fix the state diagram so that this transition can be taken (not what conditions it would need to be taken, really). Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. nent in AGGREGATE BURST TIME HEADER ord <= FALSE" authors to review SD and associated text for consistency and will make comments ry during the next recirc.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 101 SC 101.3. Hajduczenia, Marek		L 22 use Networks	# 3841	C/ 101 SC Hajduczenia, Mare	101.3.2.5.8 ^{ek}	P 156 Bright Hou	L 22 se Networks	# 3825
and then assigning of Burst_Time_Header meaningless anyway SuggestedRemedy Change		t_Time_Header() to _coded_out<64:0> i	is. I suggest assigning	standalone "= SuggestedRemed Change dataPayload<	" should be ly loc+64:0> =	Comment Status A Iness in state "AGGRE interpreted as "equal to" I Burst_Time_Header() taPayload <loc+64:0></loc+64:0>	GATE_BURST	<i>work Mark&Duane Fig 101-10</i> _TIME_HEADER", all and not assignment operator.
tx_coded_out<64:0	I:0> = Burst_Time_Header() → = dataPayload <loc+64:0></loc+64:0>			to				
to				,		= Burst_Time_Header() lataPayload <loc+64:0></loc+64:0>		
tx_coded_out<64:0	<pre>> <= Burst_Time_Header()</pre>			Response		Response Status W		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINC Per comment and:				ACCEPT IN F Per comment	and convert	to FramMaker native for	mat.	
convert to native Fra Add UTC exit condit states	ImMaker format, ion to AAGGREGATE_BUR	ST_TIME_HEADE	R and END_BURST	See remein_3	bn_21_091	5		
See remein_3bn_21	_0915			Editors and au as necessary			ext for consister	ncy and will make comments
Editors and authors as necessary during	to review SD and associated the next recirc.	text for consistency	and will make comments					
Cl 101 SC 101.3. Remein, Duane		L 22 echnologies	# 3971					

Comment Type T Comment Status A

"Burst_Time_Header()" in state AGGREGATE_BURST_TIME_HEADER is undefined. However BurstTimeHeader() is.

Response Status C

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "BurstTimeHeader() in SD.

Response

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 Page 63 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:03 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 101	SC 101.3.2.5.8	P 156	L 38	# 3826	C/ 101 S	C 101.3.2.5.8	6 P 157	L 7	# 3821
Hajduczenia, N	Marek	Bright House	Networks		Hajduczenia, Ma	arek	Bright House	Networks	
Comment Typ		Comment Status A		Fig 101-10, Soc	Comment Type		Comment Status A		transferToPMA
machine w	vill loop in AGGR guarantee aggreg	GATE_BQ_BLOCK state is EGATE_BQ_BLOCK state ation of BQ blocks of data	e until DelayBoun		Really odd input ARRA Input burstS Input lastco	Y_IN Size	INIT block in Figure 101–11	I	
	•	broken from AGGREGAT	E BQ BLOCK s	tate onwards.	SuggestedRem	edy			
Probably t	the name of AGG	GREGATE_BQ_BLOCK st	ate is confusing,	in that it does not really		ize these varia ' is intended to	ables to some values, or do o mean here	something else,	but it is not clear what
		e that in each clock, we get			Response		Response Status W		
	ext 65-bit block.	on which calculates CRC4	o for selected co	deword, and then go	ACCEPT IN See Cmt 38	N PRINCIPLE 331			
		ld be different, i.e., we agg ve observe end of burst in a			C/ 101 S	C 101.3.3.1.1	P 157	L 51	# 4082
data for lo	an codeword. In	that case, CRC40, parity n	eeds to be calcu	lated and we go back to	Remein, Duane		Huawei Tech	nologies	
dulu for io									
aggregatio (when data	on process (if dat a detector signals	,			Comment Type Wording: "The CLT re		Comment Status A process receives an upstream	am burst from a (CNU from the PMA
aggregatic (when data note that b	on process (if da a detector signals ourst end marker		ID_BURST state		Wording: "The CLT re		process receives an upstrea	am burst from a (CNU from the PMA
aggregatio (when data note that b state - this Response	on process (if da a detector signals ourst end marker s would be a clea	s end of burst). should be transmitter in EN	ID_BURST state		Wording: "The CLT re	eceiving PCS ength of R bits	process receives an upstrea	am burst from a (CNU from the PMA
aggregatio (when data note that b state - this <i>Response</i> ACCEPT	on process (if data detector signals ourst end marker s would be a clea	s end of burst). should be transmitter in EN ner solution to what is curre	ID_BURST state		Wording: "The CLT rd Client of a l SuggestedRem to:	eceiving PCS ength of R bits edy	process receives an upstrea s."		
aggregatic (when data note that b state - this <i>Response</i> ACCEPT Change na	on process (if da a detector signals ourst end marker s would be a clea	s end of burst). should be transmitter in EN ner solution to what is curre <i>Response Status</i> W	ID_BURST state		Wording: "The CLT ru Client of a l SuggestedRem to: "The CLT ru	eceiving PCS ength of R bits edy	process receives an upstreas." s." stream burst with a length of		
aggregatic (when data note that b state - this <i>Response</i> ACCEPT Change na "AGGREC	on process (if data detector signals ourst end marker s would be a clea	s end of burst). should be transmitter in EN ner solution to what is curre <i>Response Status</i> W	ID_BURST state ently done.	and not in aggregation	Wording: "The CLT rd Client of a l SuggestedRem to:	eceiving PCS ength of R bits edy	process receives an upstrea s."		
aggregatic (when data note that b state - this Response ACCEPT Change na "AGGREC Note that 0	on process (if data detector signals ourst end marker s would be a clea IN PRINCIPLE. ame for state to: GATE_BLOCKS' Check_dataPayle SC 101.3.2.5.8	s end of burst). should be transmitter in EN ner solution to what is curre <i>Response Status</i> W	ID_BURST state ently done. citons mentioned	and not in aggregation	Wording: "The CLT r Client of a l SuggestedRem to: "The CLT r Response ACCEPT. C/ 101 S	eceiving PCS ength of R bits edy	process receives an upstreas." stream burst with a length of <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 160	f R bits from a CN	
aggregatic (when data note that b state - this Response ACCEPT Change na "AGGREC Note that of C/ 101	on process (if data detector signals ourst end marker s would be a clea IN PRINCIPLE. ame for state to: GATE_BLOCKS' Check_dataPayke SC 101.3.2.5.8 Marek	s end of burst). should be transmitter in EN ner solution to what is curre <i>Response Status</i> W bad accounts for other func <i>P</i> 157	ID_BURST state ently done. citons mentioned	and not in aggregation	Wording: "The CLT re Client of a l SuggestedRem to: "The CLT re Response ACCEPT. C/ 101 S Remein, Duane	eceiving PCS ength of R bits edy eceives an up C 101.3.3.1.3	process receives an upstreas." stream burst with a length of <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 160 Huawei Tech	f R bits from a CN	NU via the PMA Client." # [<u>4084</u>
aggregatic (when data note that b state - this <i>Response</i> ACCEPT Change na "AGGREC Note that 0 C/ 101 S Hajduczenia, N Comment Typ	on process (if data detector signals ourst end marker s would be a clear IN PRINCIPLE. ame for state to: GATE_BLOCKS' Check_dataPayke SC 101.3.2.5.8 Marek be E ent state naming p	s end of burst). should be transmitter in EN ner solution to what is curre <i>Response Status</i> W bad accounts for other func <i>P</i> 157 Bright House	ID_BURST state ently done. citons mentioned <i>L</i> 13 Networks	and not in aggregation in Suggested Remedy. # 3784	Wording: "The CLT ru Client of a l SuggestedRem to: "The CLT ru Response ACCEPT. C/ 101 S Remein, Duane Comment Type	eceiving PCS ength of R bits edy eceives an up C 101.3.3.1.3	process receives an upstreas." stream burst with a length of <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 160 Huawei Tech <i>Comment Status</i> A	f R bits from a CN	NU via the PMA Client."
aggregatic (when data note that b state - this Response ACCEPT Change na "AGGREC Note that 0 C/ 101 Hajduczenia, N Comment Typ Inconsiste compound	on process (if data detector signals ourst end marker s would be a clear IN PRINCIPLE. ame for state to: GATE_BLOCKS' Check_dataPayle SC 101.3.2.5.8 Marek be E ent state naming p d words.	s end of burst). should be transmitter in EN ner solution to what is curre <i>Response Status</i> W bad accounts for other func <i>P</i> 157 Bright House <i>Comment Status</i> A	ID_BURST state ently done. citons mentioned <i>L</i> 13 Networks	and not in aggregation in Suggested Remedy. # 3784	Wording: "The CLT ru Client of a l SuggestedRem to: "The CLT ru Response ACCEPT. C/ 101 S Remein, Duane Comment Type	eceiving PCS ength of R bits edy eceives an ups C 101.3.3.1.3 E f "Extract BQ	process receives an upstreas." stream burst with a length of <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 160 Huawei Tech <i>Comment Status</i> A	f R bits from a CN	NU via the PMA Client." # [<u>4084</u>
aggregatic (when data note that b state - this Response ACCEPT Change na "AGGREC Note that of Cl 101 Gl 101 Hajduczenia, M Comment Typ Inconsiste compound SuggestedRer Change "V	on process (if data detector signals ourst end marker s would be a clear IN PRINCIPLE. ame for state to: GATE_BLOCKS' Check_dataPayle SC 101.3.2.5.8 Marek be E ent state naming p d words. medy NAIT FOR CALL	s end of burst). should be transmitter in EN ner solution to what is curre <i>Response Status</i> W bad accounts for other funct <i>P</i> 157 Bright House <i>Comment Status</i> A policy. I believe most states " to "WAIT_FOR_CALL". I	ID_BURST state ently done. itons mentioned <i>L</i> 13 Networks s use all caps with	and not in aggregation in Suggested Remedy. # 3784	Wording: "The CLT r Client of a l SuggestedRem to: "The CLT r Response ACCEPT. Cl 101 S Remein, Duane Comment Type formating o SuggestedRem subscript th	eceiving PCS ength of R bits edy eceives an ups C 101.3.3.1.3 E f "Extract BQ edy	process receives an upstreas." stream burst with a length of <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 160 Huawei Techt <i>Comment Status</i> A 65B Blocks"	f R bits from a CN	NU via the PMA Client." # [<u>4084</u>
aggregatic (when data note that b state - this Response ACCEPT Change na "AGGREC Note that of Cl 101 Gl 101 Hajduczenia, M Comment Typ Inconsiste compound SuggestedRer Change "V	on process (if data detector signals ourst end marker s would be a clear IN PRINCIPLE. ame for state to: GATE_BLOCKS' Check_dataPayle SC 101.3.2.5.8 Marek be E ent state naming p d words. <i>medy</i> NAIT FOR CALL ft follow the same	s end of burst). should be transmitter in EN ner solution to what is curre <i>Response Status</i> W bad accounts for other funct <i>P</i> 157 Bright House <i>Comment Status</i> A policy. I believe most states " to "WAIT_FOR_CALL". I	ID_BURST state ently done. itons mentioned <i>L</i> 13 Networks s use all caps with	and not in aggregation in Suggested Remedy. # 3784	Wording: "The CLT r Client of a l SuggestedRem to: "The CLT r Response ACCEPT. C/ 101 S Remein, Duane Comment Type formating o SuggestedRem	eceiving PCS ength of R bits edy eceives an ups C 101.3.3.1.3 E f "Extract BQ edy	process receives an upstreas." stream burst with a length of <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 160 Huawei Tech <i>Comment Status</i> A	f R bits from a CN	NU via the PMA Client." # [<u>4084</u>

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 101 SC 101.3.3.1.3

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

hnologies 1–2)" L 54 ent L 4	# <u>4045</u> EZ, comp # <u>4170</u>	S R 	The two para's duplicates the couggestedRemedy Strike the two pesponse ACCEPT.	descriptioni v para's from 101.4.1.1 E te by the ab	Response Status C P 168 Huawei Tec Comment Status A	L 31	n or each subcarrier" # [<u>4087</u> #
ent	EZ, comp	rised C S	Strike the two p esponse ACCEPT. / 101 SC 1 emein, Duane omment Type "was just update uggestedRemedy Change to "was just update was just update	101.4.1.1 E te by the ab y ted by the a	Response Status C P 168 Huawei Tec Comment Status A pove actions"		
ent	EZ, comp	rrised C S	esponse ACCEPT. / 101 SC 1 emein, Duane omment Type "was just update uggestedRemedy Change to "was just update esponse	101.4.1.1 E te by the ab	Response Status C P 168 Huawei Tec Comment Status A pove actions"		
ent	EZ, comp	rrised R C S	/ 101 SC 1 emein, Duane omment Type "was just updatu uggestedRemedy Change to "was just updatu esponse	E te by the ab V ted by the a	Huawei Tec Comment Status A pove actions"		
L 4		rised C S	omment Type "was just update uggestedRemedy Change to "was just update esponse	te by the ab ⁄ ted by the a	Comment Status A bove actions"	n nogres	E
L 4	# 4170		uggestedRemedy Change to "was just update esponse	v ted by the a	above actions"		
L 4	# 4170		"was just updati esponse				
L 4	# 4170	R	•		Response Status C		
		-	/ 101 SC 1 emein, Duane	101.4.1.1	<i>P</i> 169 Huawei Tec	L 3 hnologies	# 3938
		С	omment Type	Е	Comment Status A		E
PMA does, as we	e have for 101.3.1,		What? "When bit this v	variable is s	set"		
		S	uggestedRemedy Change to: "Wh		riable is set"		
m architecture. The in the downstream and downstream d OGPASS-XR PMA tes the CLT transm strates the CNU transme functional block	e 10GPASS-XR PMA a direction and up to 1. data rates are configur sublayer and the mitter functional block ansmitter functional block	ting A is .6 red ock	esponse ACCEPT.		Response Status C		
	m architecture. Th in the downstream and downstream OGPASS-XR PMA ites the CLT transistrates the CNU transistr	m architecture. The 10GPASS-XR PMA in the downstream direction and up to 1 and downstream data rates are configu DGPASS-XR PMA sublayer and the tes the CLT transmitter functional block strates the CNU transmitter functional bl he functional block diagram of the receiv	ement (PMA) for 10GPASS-XR, supporting im architecture. The 10GPASS-XR PMA is in the downstream direction and up to 1.6 and downstream data rates are configured OGPASS-XR PMA sublayer and the tes the CLT transmitter functional block strates the CNU transmitter functional block he functional block diagram of the receive	ement (PMA) for 10GPASS-XR, supporting im architecture. The 10GPASS-XR PMA is in the downstream direction and up to 1.6 and downstream data rates are configured OGPASS-XR PMA sublayer and the attes the CLT transmitter functional block strates the CNU transmitter functional block he functional block diagram of the receive	ement (PMA) for 10GPASS-XR, supporting im architecture. The 10GPASS-XR PMA is in the downstream direction and up to 1.6 and downstream data rates are configured OGPASS-XR PMA sublayer and the tess the CLT transmitter functional block strates the CNU transmitter functional block he functional block diagram of the receive	ement (PMA) for 10GPASS-XR, supporting in architecture. The 10GPASS-XR PMA is in the downstream direction and up to 1.6 and downstream data rates are configured OGPASS-XR PMA sublayer and the tates the CLT transmitter functional block strates the CNU transmitter functional block he functional block diagram of the receive	ement (PMA) for 10GPASS-XR, supporting in architecture. The 10GPASS-XR PMA is in the downstream direction and up to 1.6 and downstream data rates are configured OGPASS-XR PMA sublayer and the tes the CLT transmitter functional block strates the CNU transmitter functional block he functional block diagram of the receive

C/ 101 SC 101.4.1.1

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

Definitions of these variables need some minor adjustments SuggestedRemedy Change DS_CpyInP and US_CpyInP description from: "This variable indicates" Add to DS_PrfICpy and US_PrfICpy description: "This variable is set to zero by the PHY upon completion of the profile copy." Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 101 SC 101.4.1.1.1 P 169 L 3 # 3966 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ We haven't specified when DS/US_PrfICpy is cleared. SuggestedRemedy Add to asch definition:	Comment Type E Comment Status A 101.4.1.2 PMA Service Interface and 101.4.1.3 PMA_UNITDATA.indication should be at the same level in the hierarchy. SuggestedRemedy Fix. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Do this late in the editing cycle. Move 101.4.1.2 PMA Service Interface up one level to 101.4.2.
SuggestedRemedy Change DS_CpyInP and US_CpyInP description from: "This variable indicates" Add to DS_PrfICpy and US_PrfICpy description: "This variable is set to zero by the PHY upon completion of the profile copy." Response Response Status C/ 101 SC 101.4.1.1.1 P 169 L 3 # 3966 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies EZ Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ We haven't specified when DS/US_PrfICpy is cleared. SuggestedRemedy Add to each definition: The PHY sets this variable to zero on or before indicating the copy process has completed."	same level in the hierarchy. SuggestedRemedy Fix. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Do this late in the editing cycle.
Change DS_CpyInP and US_CpyInP description from: "This variable indicates" Add to DS_PrfICpy and US_PrfICpy description: "This variable is set to zero by the PHY upon completion of the profile copy." Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 101 SC 101.4.1.1.1 P 169 L 3 # 3966 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ We haven't specified when DS/US_PrfICpy is cleared. SuggestedRemedy Add to each definition: "The PHY sets this variable to zero on or before indicating the copy process has completed."	SuggestedRemedy Fix. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Do this late in the editing cycle.
"This variable indicates" "When set to a one this variable indicates" Add to DS_PrflCpy and US_PrflCpy description: "This variable is set to zero by the PHY upon completion of the profile copy." Response Response Status ACCEPT. C/ 101 SC 101.4.1.1.1 P 169 L 3 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status A We haven't specified when DS/US_PrflCpy is cleared. SuggestedRemedy Add to each definition: "The PHY sets this variable to zero on or before indicating the copy process has completed." "	Fix. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Do this late in the editing cycle.
"When set to a one this variable indicates" Add to DS_PrfICpy and US_PrfICpy description: "This variable is set to zero by the PHY upon completion of the profile copy." Response Response Status ACCEPT. C/ 101 SC 101.4.1.1.1 P 169 L 3 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status A We haven't specified when DS/US_PrfICpy is cleared. EZ SuggestedRemedy Add to each definition: "The PHY sets this variable to zero on or before indicating the copy process has completed."	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Do this late in the editing cycle.
Add to DS_PrfICpy and US_PrfICpy description: "This variable is set to zero by the PHY upon completion of the profile copy." Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 101 SC 101.4.1.1.1 P 169 L 3 # 3966 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ We haven't specified when DS/US_PrfICpy is cleared. SuggestedRemedy Add to each definition: "The PHY sets this variable to zero on or before indicating the copy process has completed."	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Do this late in the editing cycle.
"This variable is set to zero by the PHY upon completion of the profile copy." Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 101 SC 101.4.1.1.1 P 169 L 3 # 3966 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ We haven't specified when DS/US_PrfICpy is cleared. SuggestedRemedy Add to each definition: "The PHY sets this variable to zero on or before indicating the copy process has completed."	
ACCEPT. C/ 101 SC 101.4.1.1.1 P 169 L 3 # 3966 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ We haven't specified when DS/US_PrfICpy is cleared. SuggestedRemedy Add to each definition: "The PHY sets this variable to zero on or before indicating the copy process has completed."	
C/ 101 SC 101.4.1.1.1 P 169 L 3 # 3966 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies EZ Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ We haven't specified when DS/US_PrfICpy is cleared. SuggestedRemedy Add to each definition: "The PHY sets this variable to zero on or before indicating the copy process has completed."	Promote 101.4.1.2.1 PMA UNITDATA.request and all it's subtended clauses one level
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ We haven't specified when DS/US_PrfICpy is cleared. SuggestedRemedy EZ Add to each definition: "The PHY sets this variable to zero on or before indicating the copy process has completed."	Subtend 101.4.1.3 PMA_UNITDATA.indication from new 101.4.2 making it 101.4.2.2
Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ We haven't specified when DS/US_PrfICpy is cleared. SuggestedRemedy Add to each definition: "The PHY sets this variable to zero on or before indicating the copy process has completed."	Renumber accordingly
We haven't specified when DS/US_PrfICpy is cleared. SuggestedRemedy Add to each definition: "The PHY sets this variable to zero on or before indicating the copy process has completed."	C/ 101 SC 101.4.1.3.1 P 170 L 16 # 4088
SuggestedRemedy Add to each definition: "The PHY sets this variable to zero on or before indicating the copy process has completed."	Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
Add to each definition: "The PHY sets this variable to zero on or before indicating the copy process has completed."	Comment Type E Comment Status A
"The PHY sets this variable to zero on or before indicating the copy process has completed."	"been prepared for by the"
	SuggestedRemedy
	Change to:
ACCEPT	"been prepared by the" Response Response Status C
	ACCEPT.
C/ 101 SC 101.4.1.2.2 P 169 L 36 # 4046 Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent Alcatel-Lucent <td< td=""><td></td></td<>	
	C/ 101 SC 101.4.1.3.3 P 170 L 32 # 4164
This time "comprise" is OK but spurious "of"	Dawe, Piers Mellanox
SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type ER Comment Status A
replace "burst may comprise of one or more" with "burst may comprise one or more" (since "comprise" meand "include" in this context)	"The effect of receipt of this primitive by the client is unspecified by the PMA sublayer": standards that don't specify the client do this, 802.3 doesn't have to annoy the reader in this way.
Response Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy
ACCEPT.	You know what the client is, 101.4.1.2 says it's the PCS. Replace the offending sentence w a reference to the appropriate place in the PCS subclause.
	Response Response Status W
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
	Change to: "The effect of receipt of this primitive by the client is specfied in 101.3.3."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/genera	Il required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 101	Page 66 of 123
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 101.4.1.3.3	9/18/2015 2:08:03 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

Draft 2.0

C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.1 P 170 L 43 # 4107	C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.11 P 191 L 39 # 4124				
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies	Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies				
Comment Type T Comment Status A Clock Terminology	Comment Type TR Comment Status A				
There is no "sampling rate clock" in Table 101–7	This seems like an odd place for a requirement on SC indexing. Also this requiremnt is not				
SuggestedRemedy	reflected in PICS.				
Change from:	SuggestedRemedy				
"All OFDM channels use the same sampling rate clock as per Table 101–7, cyclic prefix size, window size, and follow the same frame timing."	Strike the para in 101.4.2.11				
to:	Add to 1st para of 101.4.2.4				
"All OFDM channels use the same OFDM symbol clock, cyclic prefix size, window size, and follow the same frame timing."	The CLT ensures that the downstream encompassed spectrum of a 192 MHz OFDM channel does not exceed 190 MHz (3800 active subcarriers, see Table 100-3. These 3800 maximum active subcarriers occupy the range $148 \le k \le 3947$ per Table 101-8, where k is the spectral index of the subcarrier in Equation (101-23).				
Response Response Status C	Add to 1st para of 101.4.3.4				
ACCEPT.	The CLT ensures that the upstream encompassed spectrum of a 192 MHz OFDM channel				
C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.10 P 190 L 44 # 4109	does not exceed 190 MHz (3800 active subcarriers, see Table 100-11. These 3800 maximum active subcarriers occupy the range 148 <= k <= 3947 per Table 101-13, where k is the				
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies	spectral index of the subcarrier in Equation (101-23).				
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Add to Tables 101-8 & 101-13 (bot required in PICS)				
Elsewhere in this section we refer to the output of the SR as Wk in Figure 101-26 it is W1. We should be consistent.	Minimum active subcarrier index 148 Maximum active subcarrier index 3947				
SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C				
Change W1 to Wk in Fig 101-26 as in the text.					
Response Response Status C	Add to PICS "G7 IDFT subcarrier index range 101.4.2.11 148 🗆 k 🗆 3947 Yes [] No []"				
ACCEPT.					
C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.11 P 191 L 32 # 3866	\Box == less than or equal to				
Anslow, Pete Ciena	C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.11.1 P 191 L 45 # 4089				
Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ	Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies				
Numbers should be separated from their unit with a non-breaking space (Ctrl space) to avoid	Comment Type E Comment Status A E				
the number and the unit being on different lines	Stray period and space before ref, none after: "See . 100.2.7.3"				
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy				
Replace the space with a non-breaking space (Ctrl space):	-> "See 100.2.7.3."				
Page 191, line 32 "204.8 Msamples" Page 197, line 13 "22 MHz"	Response Response Status C				
Page 218, line 49 "2.78 dB"	ACCEPT.				
Response Response Status C					
ACCEPT.					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.11.1

Page 67 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:03 PM

V 101 SC 101.4.2.12 P 193 L 50 # 3867	C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.2 P 171 L 18 # 3918
nslow, Pete Ciena	Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
 1.2.6 Accuracy and resolution of numerical quantities states: Unless otherwise stated, numerical limits in this standard are to be taken as exact, with the number of significant digits and trailing zeros having no significance. Consequently, the entries in Table 101–11 and 101.18 should not contain trailing zeros. SuggestedRemedy In Table 101–11 and Table 101.18, change: "0.0000" to "0" "0.6250" to "0.625" 	 This comment is essentially a resubmittal of withdrawn comment #3443 against D1.4. The wording of these para's are overly complex and, in some cases incorrect: "The CLT downstream OFDM symbol and subcarrier frequency and timing relationship is defined in 101.4.2.3. Tolerances for the downstream subcarrier clock frequency are given in this subclause Table 100-3). Functional requirements involving and downstream subcarrier frequencies." Can we just say that if you pass the phase noise it can be assume that the clock jitter requirements are met? Can we make Table 101-9 informative (since otherwise we need to
"1.2500" to "1.25"	identify a place where it is to be measured).
Response Response Status C	Note that the xref to Table 100-3 is tied to Figure 100-3 and needs to be corrected also.
ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy
2/ 101 SC 101.4.2.13 P 196 L 31 # 4125	
emein, Duane Huawei Technologies	Response Response Status C
Comment Type TR Comment Status A The statement indicate that Table 101-12 is required but there is no normative statement:	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See laubach_3bn_10a_0915.pdf
"Table 101–12 enumerates multiple OFDM channel operational requirements"	C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.2 P 171 L 52 # 4093
CuggestedRemedy	Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
Change the statement to read: "The 10GPASS-PX PHY shall comply with the OFDM channel operational requirements in Table 101–12"	Comment Type E Comment Status A Table 101-7 does not relate to the CLT Master Clock "the 10.24 MHz CLT Master Clock (Table 101–7)"
Add PICS statement after OT1 Downstream Synchronization: OC2 DS OFDM Channels 101.4.2.13 Conform to requirements of Table 101-12 CLT:M Yes[] No[]	SuggestedRemedy Remove the ref to Table 101-7.
Renumber PICS as needed.	Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: "The CLT shall lock the 204.8 MHz downstream OFDM Clock and downstream OFDM RF transmissions to the 10.24 MHz CLT Master Clock (Table 101–7)." To "The CLT shall lock the 204.8 MHz downstream OFDM Clock and downstream OFDM RF transmissions to the 10.24 MHz Downstream Master Clock frequency as specified in Table 100–3."

C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.2

C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.2 P 172 L 9 # 4113 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies	C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.4.3 P 173 L 47 # 4115 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status A
This statement "Downstream channel acquisition time for the CNU is defined as the time required for a CNU with no previous network frequency plan knowledge to achieve downstream signal acquisition (frequency and time lock)." should be restricted to time when only a single CNU is joining the network. SuggestedRemedy Change: "Downstream channel acquisition time for the CNU is defined as the time required for a CNU with no previous network frequency plan knowledge to achieve downstream signal acquisition (frequency and time lock)."	This is an improper use of the term "encompassed spectrum" as encompassed spectrum is defined as: "The encompassed spectrum is the difference between the center frequency of the highest frequency active subcarrier of the highest frequency OFDM channel and the lowest frequency active subcarrier of the lowest frequency OFDM channel, plus the subcarrier spacing (all expressed in MHz)." Thus the two 1 MHz guard bands cannt be considered part of the encompassed spectrum. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change 24 MHz to 22 MHz so this statement agrees with Table 100-3
to "Downstream channel acquisition time for a CNU is defined as the time required for a single CNU with no previous network frequency plan knowledge to achieve downstream signal acquisition (frequency and time lock, see Table 101-7))."	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Page 171, line 46, Add the following table footnote "b" to the " < 60 seconds" that reads	C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.4.4 P 174 L 1 # 4116 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status A A This statement regarding exclusion band limits only applies to excluded SC within the encompassed spectrum. "Exclusion bands are limited to 20% or less of encompassed spectrum (see Table 101–8)."
Cl 101 SC 101.4.2.3 P 172 L 44 # 4114 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies EZ Comment Type T Comment Status R EZ Why does this equation not include a factor for the windowing? SuggestedRemedy EZ	SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Exclusion bands internal to the encompassed spectrum are limited to 20% or less of encompassed spectrum (see Table 101–8)." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete the statement
Include a windowing factor (DSNrp) Response Response Status C REJECT. The windowing is eaten by the next CP.	C/101SC101.4.2.4.5P174L10#3699Hajduczenia, MarekBright House NetworksBright House NetworksBright House NetworksBright House NetworksComment TypeEComment StatusABright House Networks
	Spurrious " " in line 10 SuggestedRemedy Remove " " Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.4.5

C/ 101 SC 101.4.	2.5 <i>P</i> 175	L 6	# 4094	C/ 101	SC 101.4.2.	6.4	P 178	L 19	# 4130
Remein, Duane	Huawei Techno	ologies		Remein, Dua	ane		Huawei Techr	ologies	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment T	ype TR	Comment S	Status A		Homework Duane
"The Timestamp ma SuggestedRemedy	use a ref to Fig 102-12 rks the first subcarrier of the first s ntence "(see Figure 102-12)" <i>Response Status</i> C	symbol after the	Preamble."	38 are r "Known interfere suitable	equired they wi regions of inte nces like CSO algorithm" in S	ill need sdditiona rference" in Step /CTB" in step 5 a	I clarification. F o 1, "avoiding s and "perturbation ally a limitation	For example what subcarrier location on of continuous	ne 8 steps starting at line is the defininition of as impacted by pilot locations using a ce of the CLT and
ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Allso the statement at line 22 is redundant with the previous para and we never NPC is the number of contineous pilots.				e never clearly state the	
C/ 101 SC 101.4.	2.6 <i>P</i> 175	L 48	# 4047	SuggestedR	-				
Trowbridge, Steve	Alcatel-Lucent				at line 19-22 fr		(excluding the	eight continuous r	vilots around the PHV
Comment Type E Misuse of "comprise	Comment Status A d"		EZ, comprised	"The CLT shall place continuous pilots (excluding the eight continuous pilots around the Link) per the 8 Steps below after calculating a value for NPC using Equation (101–8). The CLT obtains the value of NPC using the following formula:"					ation (101–8).
SuggestedRemedy Replace "comprised" with "composed"					to: "The CLT places continuous pilots (excluding the eight continuous pilots around the PHY Link) per the 8 Steps below after calculating an initial value for the number of Continuous pilots				
Response	Response Status C			(NPC) u	sing Equation	(101–8)."			
ACCEPT.				Change the statement at line 23 from:					
C/ 101 SC 101.4.	2.6.1 <i>P</i> 176	L 39	# 4048	 "The number of continuous pilots is between 16 and 128. This range includes continuous pilots around the PHY Link channel." 					cludes the eight
Trowbridge, Steve	Alcatel-Lucent	L 39	# 4048	to:					
-	Comment Status A		EZ	"The number of continuous pilots shall be between 16 and 128. This range includes th continuous pilots around the PHY Link channel."					
At least one misaligr	ment in Figure 101-18: the box and k is offset slightly higher than the n	ound the "P" (pr est of the line		Update	PICS entry PI	3 from:			
SuggestedRemedy				"Continu 101.4.2.		ement Meets t	he Equation (1	01–8) and the eig	ht steps given in
Zoom in close and n	udge the elements to line up and ti	idy up the figure		to:	0.1				
Response	Response Status C			"Numbe "	r of Continuou	s Pilots Betwe	en 16 and 128	including the 8 de	efined for the PHY Link"
ACCEPT.	,			Response		Response S	Status C		
		•	T IN PRINCIP	•					
		Pg 178	-						
		Remove	e "Known regio	ns of interferenc	e"				
		In DS_N	lodTypeSC(n)	defined pg 174	line 38				
				Change			- 1- () (-		
				"0 0 0 1		d for continuous ed by PHY for c		s only, if set via N	IDIO to this value the
				Add pg	178 line 19				
	ired ER/editorial required GR/ge						C/ 10	1	Page 70 of 123

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 101.4.2.6.4 9/18/2015 2:08:03 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

"This calculation occurs as the first step of activating a DS profile (See 102.???)" At the end of to: "The CLT shall place continuous pilots (excluding the eight continuous pilots around the PHY Link) per the 8 Steps below after calculating a value for NPC using Equation (101–8).	C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.8.1 P 180 L 36 # 4120 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies				
Pg 174 line 39 Remove "but used for Wideband Probing"	Comment Type T Comment Status A The following counter freferences shold use named counters line 36 "setting an bit counter to 1" line 41 "the FCP bit counter is incremented"				
C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.6.4 P 179 L 32 # 4119 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies	line 46 "the bit counter is reset"				
Comment Type T Comment Status R EZ Clarify which value of NCP is being refered to: "decrementing the value of NPC by one"	Note at pg 183 line 49 is a sttement "The Symbol Mapper resets the bit counter, FCPbitCnt, at the start of each downstream frame" which could be interperated as resetting to zero, this should be clarified.				
SuggestedRemedy	Note also that if each of these refers to the same counter there is a conflict between pg 180 lr 36 and pg 184 ln 24				
Change to: "decrementing the initial value of NPC by one"	SuggestedRemedy				
Response Response Status C REJECT. Perhaps this step will require reiteration. Therefore leave as is.	Pg 180 Line 36 change: "setting an bit counter to 1" to "setting FCP bit counter (FCPbitCnt) to 1"				
C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.7 P 180 L 15 # 4049 Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent Alcatel-Lucent Alcatel-Lucent Alcatel-Lucent	Pg 180 Line 41 change: "the FCP bit counter is incremented" to "the FCPbitCnt is incremented"				
Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ Some misalignment in Figure 101-19. The arrow down to the lower left XOR crosses slightly over the line above. If the arrows down from the Seed (0x4732BA) box were intended to touch the box, they don't. EZ	Pg 184 line 49 change: "resets the bit counter, FCPbitCnt, at the start" to "resets the bit counter, FCPbitCnt, to zero at the start"				
Suggested Remedy	Response Response Status C				
Zoom in close and nudge the elements to line up where intended	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Pg 180 Line 36 change:				
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	"setting an bit counter to 1" to "setting FCPbitCnt to 1"				
	Pg 180 Line 41 change: "the FCP bit counter is incremented" to "the FCPbitCnt is incremented"				
	Pg 183 line 49 change: "resets the bit counter, FCPbitCnt, at the start" to "resets the bit counter, FCPbitCnt, to zero at the start"				
	Pg 184 line 24 " Symbol Mapper to the Time Interleaver function." to " PMA service interface."				

C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.8.1 Page 71 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:03 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.8.1 P 180 L 36 # 4096	C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.9.3 P 186 L 24 # 4121
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies	Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
Comment Type E Comment Status A E Several links not correct and/or live In 36: 101.4.3.6.4 should be 101.4.2.7. In 37: 101.4.3.6.x should be ??? In 40: 101.4.2.1 should be 101.3.2.5.6 E	EZ Comment Type T Comment Status A E We have no "Figure 4" SuggestedRemedy Change to: "Figure 101-23", make live
SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C
Make links live with correct SCI number per comment	ACCEPT.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Ref @ line 37 s/b to 101.4.2.8.7	C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.9.3 P 186 L 8 # 3865 Anslow, Pete Ciena
Cl 101 SC 101.4.2.8.3 P 183 L 36 # 4097 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies	Comment Type E Comment Status A E This says "arranged in a 2-D store". However, the term "2D" is used in Clause 55 for two- dimensional without the hyphen.
Comment Type E Comment Status A The TLA LLR only appears twice in the draft once where it is defined and once where is it used 7 lines later. A quick google search indicates this should be "log-likelihood ratios" without caps and only one hyphen.	
SuggestedRemedy Remove the TLA definition and replace it in line 44 with "log-likelihood ratios". At lin 36 change "Log-Likelihood-Ratios" to "log-likelihood ratios"	Impacts CI 101 & 102
Response Response Status C	C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.9.3 P 188 L 41 # 4122 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies
ACCEPT. C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.9.2 P 185 L 41 # 4098	Comment Type T Comment Status A I believe there are one too many g2's in Figure 101-23 SuggestedRemedy
Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies	Change the rightmost to al
Comment Type E Comment Status A E Verb tense "If NI were not divisible branches would not be filled." SuggestedRemedy Change to "If NI is not divisible branches are not filled."	EZ Change the inglithost to gr Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	

C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.9.3

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.10.1 P 220 L 22 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks	# 3670	C/ 101 SC Anslow, Pete	101.4.3.2.3	P 198 Ciena	L 11	# 3868
Comment Type TR Comment Status R USNcp definition indicates it is a 4 bit value, yet only 3 bits are really reserving additional MSB here? SuggestedRemedy Given that these are *state diagram* variables, and not registers, we about how many bits these have. It would be much more consistent to unsigned integer and then apply individual values as follows:	should not really care	SuggestedRemed	ced to other ly pecified in Se	Comment Status A sub-clauses in IEEE stan ection 101.4.3.2.2" to "as Response Status C	·	·
 7 = 768 samples 6 = 640 samples 5 = reserved 4 = 512 samples 3 = reserved 2 = 384 samples 1 = reserved 0 = 256 samples Bit assignment here does not matter at all, and allows you to add futu without playing around with bits and reserved values. I understand this DOCSIS, but it is unnecessary and adds complexity in definitions of v diagrams. There are also other variables defined in the very same way without at a same variables defined in the very same way without at a same variables defined in the very same way without at a same variables defined in the very same way without at a same variables defined in the very same way without at a same variables defined in the very same way without at a same variables defined in the very same way without at a same variables defined in the very same way without at a same variables defined in the very same variables defined	s is the way it is done in variables in state	Remein, Duane Comment Type Incomplete se "OFDMA cloc 10 ns in each Note that PIC SuggestedRemed	ntance: k timing error burst measur S statement (P 198 Huawei Tec Comment Status A relative to the CLT mas ed within any 35 second OT9 coorelates to this st equirement. Change the s	ter clock as measu measurement peri atement.	
Response Response Status W REJECT. The four bit values allows future expansion if needed. Clearly an enumeration is just as clear as mapping values. Common add some small value. The objective is not to make it easy to genera to implement. Furthermore changing this to an 8 bit integer would breact Cl 45 forcing the MANUAL renumbering of all registers after 1907 an errors in the standard in the process.	allity with DOCSIS may te the standard but easy ak the register mapping in		neasured at th	Response Status C	ilatement to read.	
Passed by voice without opposition For (reject):						

For (reject): Against (change variable name): Abstain:

C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.2.3

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.3		L 15	# 4110	C/ 101	SC 101.4.3.3	3.5	P 200	L 32	# 4127
Remein, Duane	Huawei Techno	logies		Remein, D			Huawei Techr	nologies	
	Comment Status A ne as implied in this statement: Framing Timing implemented the	RB Superfram	ne structure timing as per		s not appear that but not used in a			vhere. It is define	d here, set/reset in Figi
SuggestedRemedy				00	ve the unused va	riable			
Strike the sentence, the	topic is well covered in subseq	uent SCI's.		Response		Response	Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E.			ACCE	PT. is 101.4.3.3.5 & I	,	-		
Change to "The framing timing stat structure per 101.4.3.3.	te machine (see Figure 101-29) 6."	implementes t	ne RB Superframe	<i>Cl</i> 101 Remein, D	SC 101.4.3.3 uane	3.5	P 200 Huawei Techr	L 36 nologies	# 4111
	ning" s/b "frame timing" except f	irst in sentence		Comment "throug	<i>Type</i> T gh RBsize for ea	<i>Comment</i> ch RB Frame"		boolean!	
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.3 Remein, Duane	,	L 36 logies	# 4090	"throug	<i>Remedy</i> e to read: gh RBlen(RBsize	,			
	Comment Status A 101.4.3.3.2 & 101.4.3.3.4 nitted during the burst marker."			Response ACCE	PT.	Response	Status C		
SuggestedRemedy per comment.				<i>Cl</i> 101 Booth, Bra	SC 101.4.3.3 d	3.6	P 201 Microsoft	L 1	# 3981
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C			Comment Figure	<i>Type</i> E 101-29 font size	Comment is inconsistent		igures.	EZ
See 4129 C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.3		L 17	# 4050	Suggested Correc	<i>Remedy</i> at the font size.				
Trowbridge, Steve	Alcatel-Lucent			Response		Response	Status C		
Comment Type E Misuse of "comprised"	Comment Status A		EZ, comprised		EE Style guide fo			Times New Ron opt prefered) for	nan or Arial. Most SD in SD.
SuggestedRemedy Replace "comprised" w	ith "composed"								
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.3.6 Page 74 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:03 PM

C/ 101 SC 101.4.3 Remein, Duane	.4.5 <i>P</i> 203 Huawei Techn	L 26 ologies	# 4091	C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.5.2 P 206 L 15 # 4128 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies
Comment Type E Stray variables sectio SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A		EZ	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Missing Fig ref "See Figure 101.x.x.x." This process "FILL_PROCESS" does not appear to be used anywhere in the draft
Remove Response ACCEPT. Do last to keep numb	Response Status C ering consistent with comments			The same appears to be true for "Stage_RB_Frame" at pg 207 ln 51 SuggestedRemedy Remove both definitions Response Response Status C
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3 Remein, Duane	.5.1 <i>P</i> 204 Huawei Techn	L 16	# 4092	ACCEPT.
Comment Type E Wording (tense) in FII	Comment Status A RST description eceive from the processed"	ologies	Homework Mark	C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.5.2 P 206 L 17 # 4112 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type T Comment Status A Previously we decided that only the US_ModTypeSC(n)/DS_ModTypeSC(n): "based on the profile descriptor information" SuggestedRemedy strike "profile" to the statement reads: "based on the descriptor information" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Accept. Accept.
Line 48 in LBIT undefined TLA "RE"				C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.5.2 P 206 L 20 # 4129 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies
SuggestedRemedy -> " otherwise the bi -> " values is from . "RE" -> "resource ele				Comment Type TR Comment Status A Figure 101–31 appears to begin and end a burst with Map_Start_Marker and Map_End_Marker, resp. However these functions don't make any mention of the required Type 2 Pilot that is to be added before and after the burst markers (see 101.4.3.3.2 & 101.4.3.3.4 pg 1299)
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI As per comment but "RE" -> "resource ele	Response Status C			Updated burst markers no longer require Type 2 pilots before/after surst. SuggestedRemedy remove 101.4.3.3.2 and 101.4.3.3.4 Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.5.2

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.7.1 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 212 Ciena	L 15	# 3869	<i>Cl</i> 101 Powell, Wil	SC 101.5	P 225 Alcatel-Lucent	L 28	# 4181			
	Comment Status A		EZ	,		Comment Status A		TimeSum			
Comment Type E "RB_Type" and "RB_Frai variable names. SuggestedRemedy	me_start" are split across two	lines, which is a		to leve used fo	rrent D2.0 draft do ls required for curr or EPON is include	pes not include methodology to rent Mobile BackHaul application ed in 802.1as Clause 13 using	ons. The curre the MPCP RT	ent time transport method T (round trip) ranging			
•••	yphenate these two variable r	ames. (Click or	the variable name and	are exp	pected to be much	quire DS/US PHY time delay s higher than for EPON (and the Thus, the downstream delay fr	us even higher	CLT & CNU PHY TX/RX			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			delay,		P counter will not be exactly 1/2 an inaccurate transmission of ctionality.					
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.9.2 Anslow, Pete	P 218 Ciena	L 45	# 3870	PHY m	in and max TX an	use 90 includes optional regist d RX time delays, it will likely r	esult in large n	nin/max ranges that result			
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		EZ		s Clause 13.	naccurate time transfer from the CLT to the CNU using the methodology specif Clause 13.					
The 802.3 web page: http://www.jeee802.org/3/	/WG_tools/editorial/requireme	nts/words.html		Suggested	Remedy						
says that 802.3 will use "p					posed to			O			
SuggestedRemedy				(1) Rei	nove the Editor's	Note right under the 101.5 clau	ise title - " I ime	eSync capability"			
Change "p-p" to "peak-to-	-peak" 4 times in 101.4.3.9.2			(2) Ado	d the following add	litional PHY delay asymmetry	registers to Cla	ause 101.5.1:			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			interfa	ce path, and the M	I difference in time delay betw DI interface to the XGMII inter this is a signed variable (+/-).					
C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.1	P 221	L 28	# 3892								
Lusted, Kent Comment Type E	Intel Comment Status A			DiffDe 1/204.8		tolerance (max error) of the D	iffDelay_CLT	variable in units of			
The text for "Gray1 $f(0)$ =	1" and "Gray1(1) = -1" is a d	ifferent font size		interfa	ce path, and the M	al difference in time delay betw DI interface to the XGMII inter					
Same for the Graym text	in #2.			1/204.8	3 MHz. Note that	this is a signed variable (+/-).					
SuggestedRemedy consider using the same t	font size			DiffDe 1/204.8		e tolerance (max error) of the [DiffDelay_CNU	J variable in units of			
	esponse Response Status C ACCEPT. Correct font sizes for Med Eq in 101.4.4.1			the abo	ove new registers	o make any necessary additior	ns to Clause 4	5 documenting access to			
(Open in Eq Ed. Sei all Té	ext, use Char Des to set font :	sze)		Title - I	ate a new sub-clar EPoC Extensions PoC time transpo	to IEEE 802.1as, Clause 13 m	nethodology fo	r			
				Conter	nt - included in: po	well_3bn_01_0915.docx					

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/
 101
 Page 76 of 123

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC
 101.5
 9/18/2015 2:08:03 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 101.5
 9/18/2015 2:08:03 PM

Draft 2.0	IEEE 802	.3bn EPON	Protocol over Coax (EP	oC) TF Initial Working C	Group ballot comments		Final Response
Response ACCEPT IN PRINO See remein_3bn_2-	-			Cl 101 SC 101.6.4. Regev, Alon	2 <i>P</i> 228 Ixia	L 29	# 4072
Editor given licence	e to include an ability register for Ti	mestamp suppor	rt.	Comment Type E "Transmssion" should	Comment Status A		EZ
C/ 101 SC 101.5 Anslow, Pete	5 P 225 Ciena	L 29	# 3886	SuggestedRemedy Change "Transmssion			
	Comment Status A defines three variables and these a e should be replaced by suitable te		<i>TimeSync</i> in changes to Clause	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy	s note with suitable text.			C/ 101 SC Figure 1 Amason, Dale	01-8 P 154 Freescale	L 27	# 3991
Response ACCEPT IN PRINO See Cmt# 4181	Response Status C CIPLE.			Comment Type E Lone curly bracket { in SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A "FIFO_FEC_TX{sizeFifo]"		EZ
C/ 101 SC 101.6	5.2 P 227	L 1	# 3871	Replace with [
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Response	Response Status C		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		EZ	ACCEPT.			
	.2.2 should be on the same page a	s the heading for	101.6	C/ 102 SC 102.1	P 235	L 5	# 4159
Next Pgf (box goes		od, Pagination ta	b, uncheck Keep With	Dawe, Piers Comment Type E its'	Mellanox Comment Status A		EZ
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Remove the '			
C/ 101 SC 101.6 Anslow, Pete	5.4.2 P 228 Ciena	L 29	# 3874	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Comment Type E "Transmssion" sho	Comment Status A uld be "Transmission"		EZ				
SuggestedRemedy Change "Transmss	ion" to "Transmission"						
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 102 SC 102.1 Page 77 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM

C/ 102 SC 102.1 P 235 L 5 # 4162	C/ 102 SC 102.1.2 P 237 L 19 # 3943
Dawe, Piers Mellanox	Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
Comment Type E Comment Status A What to you mean by "subtend"? You haven't defined it, and here's what M-W online says:	Comment Type E Comment Status A In Fig 102-3 "Frame Timing" and "EPoC Variables" are not strictly functional blocks and sho not have boxes around them. Likewise in Fig 102-4.
a: to be opposite to and extend from one side to the other of <a a="" hypotenuse="" right<="" subtends="" td=""><td>SuggestedRemedy</td>	SuggestedRemedy
angle> b: to fix the angular extent of with respect to a fixed point or object taken as the vertex <a central angle subtended by an arc> <the an="" angle="" at="" by="" eye="" given="" object="" of="" subtended="" the="" width<br="">and a fixed distance away> c: to determine the measure of by marking off the endpoints of 2</the></a 	Remove the boxes from Frame Timing and EPoC Variables. Consider matching case (all caps) for these and other analogous items in Fig 100-2/3/4/5. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
 a : to underlie so as to include b : to occupy an adjacent and usually lower position to and often so as to embrace or enclose a bract that subtends a flower> 	C/ 102 SC 102.1.2 P 238 L 24 # 4051 Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent 4051
SuggestedRemedy Use a more normal word. Link partner? connected? subordinate? Also in two other places in the draft.	Comment Type E Comment Status A Misalignments in Figure 102-4. The four "to PMA" instances are all slightly different levels fro each other and the arrows down to them are slightly different lengths.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Subordinate	SuggestedRemedy Zoom in close and nudge the elements of the figure to line up Response Response Status
C/ 102 SC 102.1 P 235 L 6 # 4075 Dwelley, David Linear Technology Linear Technology </td <td>ACCEPT.</td>	ACCEPT.
Comment Type E Comment Status A Extra apostrophe: "between the CLT PHY and its' subtended CNU"	C/ 102 SC 102.1.4.1.1 P 239 L 39 # 3875 Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena
SuggestedRemedy Change to: "between the CLT PHY and its subtended CNU"	Comment Type E Comment Status A Tables 102-1 and 102-2 have blank cells filled with hyphens, but the IEEE style guide says th empty cells should contain em-dash
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	SuggestedRemedy Replace the hyphens in Tables 102-1 and 102-2 with em-dash
See Comments #4159 & 4162	Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Ctrl-q Shft-q

C/ 102 SC 102.1.4.1.1

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 102 SC 102.1.8	B P 243	L 12	# 3876	C/ 102	SC 102.2.3.2	P 253	L 25	# 3877
Anslow, Pete	Ciena			Anslow, P	ete	Ciena		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			EZ Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		E
they can be misconst	al contains: at the unit (e.g., 115 V to 125 V). rued as subtraction signs." should be "(i.e., 0 to 99)"	. Dashes should n	ever be used because	e this is		I-bit number" because both "4" e right hand column of Table 1 4, line 20		
Same issue in the firs	t row of Table 102-6			Suggestee	lRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy						h a space in the right hand colu	umn of Table 10	2-9 (3 instances) and
Change "(i.e., 0-99)" 1	to "(i.e., 0 to 99)" ile 102-6, change "0x00- 0x08" to	o "0x00 to 0x08"		Response		Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCE	PT.			
ACCEPT.	,			C/ 102	SC 102.3.5.7	P 267	L 6	# 4052
	P 249	L 32	# 3985	Trowbridg	e, Steve	Alcatel-Lucent		
Szczepanek, Andre	. P 249 Inphi	L 32	# 3985	Comment	Type E	Comment Status A		E
•				Atlea		nt in figure 102-18: the arrow lo	oping back into	the WAIT state at the
Comment Type E	Comment Status A				es beyond the line	of the box.		
Sentence	Comment Status A	nust take to join ar	n EPoC network."	EZ top go Suggested	Remedy	e of the box. e the elements as appropriate	to line up.	
Sentence "Detection of the PH'		nust take to join ar	n EPoC network."	EZ top go Suggested	IRemedy in close and nudg		to line up.	
Sentence "Detection of the PH' is duplicated SuggestedRemedy		nust take to join ar	n EPoC network."	EZ top go Suggested Zoom Response ACCE C/ 102	IRemedy in close and nudg PT. SC 102.4.1.4	e the elements as appropriate Response Status C P 269	to line up.	# 4053
Sentence "Detection of the PH' is duplicated SuggestedRemedy Remove duplicate Response ACCEPT. CommentType was b	Y Link is the first action a CNU n	nust take to join ar	n EPoC network."	EZ top go Suggested Zoom Response ACCE	IRemedy in close and nudg PT. SC 102.4.1.4 e, Steve	e the elements as appropriate Response Status C		# 4053 EZ, comprise
Sentence "Detection of the PH' is duplicated SuggestedRemedy Remove duplicate Response ACCEPT. CommentType was b	Y Link is the first action a CNU n <i>Response Status</i> C blank - set to E by Editor lude 102; corrected by editor	nust take to join ar	n EPoC network." # 3674	EZ top go Suggested Zoom Response ACCE C/ 102 Trowbridg Comment	IRemedy in close and nudg PT. SC 102.4.1.4 e, Steve	e the elements as appropriate <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 269 Alcatel-Lucent		
Sentence "Detection of the PH' is duplicated SuggestedRemedy Remove duplicate Response ACCEPT. CommentType was b Subclause did not inc	Y Link is the first action a CNU n <i>Response Status</i> C blank - set to E by Editor lude 102; corrected by editor	L 28		EZ top go Suggested Zoom Response ACCE C/ 102 Trowbridg Comment Misus Suggested	IRemedy in close and nudg PT. SC 102.4.1.4 e, Steve Type E e of "comprised" IRemedy	e the elements as appropriate <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 269 Alcatel-Lucent <i>Comment Status</i> A		
Sentence "Detection of the PH' is duplicated SuggestedRemedy Remove duplicate Response ACCEPT. CommentType was b Subclause did not inc C/ 102 SC 102.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	Y Link is the first action a CNU n <i>Response Status</i> C plank - set to E by Editor lude 102; corrected by editor 8.1.1 <i>P</i> 251	L 28		EZ top go Suggested Zoom Response ACCE C/ 102 Trowbridg Comment Misus Suggested	IRemedy in close and nudg PT. SC 102.4.1.4 e, Steve Type E e of "comprised"	e the elements as appropriate <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 269 Alcatel-Lucent <i>Comment Status</i> A		
Sentence "Detection of the PH' is duplicated SuggestedRemedy Remove duplicate Response ACCEPT. CommentType was b Subclause did not inc C/ 102 SC 102.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E	Y Link is the first action a CNU n <i>Response Status</i> C blank - set to E by Editor lude 102; corrected by editor 3.1.1 <i>P</i> 251 Bright House	L 28 Networks		EZ top go Suggested Zoom Response ACCE C/ 102 Trowbridg Comment Misus Suggested EZ Repla Response	IRemedy in close and nudg PT. SC 102.4.1.4 e, Steve Type E e of "comprised" IRemedy ce "comprised" with	e the elements as appropriate <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 269 Alcatel-Lucent <i>Comment Status</i> A		
Sentence "Detection of the PH' is duplicated SuggestedRemedy Remove duplicate Response ACCEPT. CommentType was b Subclause did not inc C/ 102 SC 102.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E	Y Link is the first action a CNU n Response Status C blank - set to E by Editor lude 102; corrected by editor 8.1.1 P 251 Bright House Comment Status A	L 28 Networks		EZ top go Suggested Zoom Response ACCE C/ 102 Trowbridg Comment Misus Suggested EZ Repla	IRemedy in close and nudg PT. SC 102.4.1.4 e, Steve Type E e of "comprised" IRemedy ce "comprised" with	e the elements as appropriate <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 269 Alcatel-Lucent <i>Comment Status</i> A th "composed"		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 102 SC 102.4.1.4 Page 79 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

ΕZ

ΕZ

C/ 102 SC 102.4.1.7 Anslow, Pete	P 273 Ciena	<i>L</i> 1	# 3878		C/ 102 Slavick, Jef	SC 102.4.1.8.7 f		6 L 19 Technologies	# 3996
Comment Type E The title for 102.4.1.7 has	Comment Status A			EZ	Comment 7 In Figur	<i>Type</i> TR re 102-24 in the W	Comment Status	0	IRndDly -= which is
SuggestedRemedy Remove the second "102	2.4.1.7"				Suggested	Remedy			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				Response	t add the missing	Response Status		
C/ 102 SC 102.4.1.8.2		L	# 3683			RndDly	-		
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House I	Networks			C/ 102	SC 102.4.1.8.7			# 3982
word "unsigned" when we	Comment Status A ween "signed 32-bit integer" a care only about non-negative esent negative values. When	e values (0 onw	ards), use "signed" w	vhen	Booth, Brac Comment 1 Figure Suggestedf	Гуре Е 102-24, 102-29 an	Micros <i>Comment Status</i> d 102-30 are inconsis		EZ
SuggestedRemedy							t font. Fix the boxes t	o remove overhangs a	and thick lines.
	ve numbers are expected to cted. Scrub Clause 102 and (<i>Response Status</i> W					E Style guide for			oman or Arial. Most SD in r SD.
Add "unsigned" where rec	quired.			(C/ 102	SC 102.5.2.2	P 28	7 L 34	# 3873
	" does not appear in Section ry. If the commenter feels stru ainst the standard.			est	Anslow, Pet Comment 7		Ciena Comment Status	A	EZ
C/ 102 SC 102.4.1.8.7	P 276	L 10	# 3995				ld be "IEEE Std 802.3	3bn"	
Slavick, Jeff	Avago Techno	ologies			Suggested	2	xx" to "IEEE Std 802.	3hn"	
	Comment Status A exit from INIT and WIAT_Fondition for the exit to occur, o			t	Page 8 Page 8 Page 8 Page 1	, line 4 , line 13 , line 14 0, line 29		001	
Remove the * or add mis	sing condition(s)				0	87, line 34 87, line 40			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status W				Page 3	45, line 26 45, line 32			
Exit condition s/b PD_Enable * !PdCmplt *					Response ACCEF	PT.	Response Status	С	
Exit from INIT state also	needs attention.								
TYPE: TR/technical required COMMENT STATUS: D/disp SORT ORDER: Clause, Subo	batched A/accepted R/reject	•		0		/unsatisfied Z/with	ndrawn	C/ 102 SC 102.5.2.2	Page 80 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:0

Page 80 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM

C/ 102 SC 102.5.2.2 Dawe, Piers	P 287 Mellanox	L 34	# 4157		C/ 103 Dawe, Piers	SC s		P Mellar	юх	L	# 4168
Comment Type E C 2012	Comment Status A			ΕZ	Comment 7 PAR sa	ays:		Comment Status			
SuggestedRemedy 201x 6 or more instances.								tion of Ethernet Pass col (MPCP)	ive Opti	cal Networks (E	PON) protocols, such as
Response Re ACCEPT. Clause was listed as 105 Edi	esponse Status C					will reuse the		Control and OAM a nentation if necessar			IEEE Std 802.3 for PHY specifications.
C/ 102 SC 102.5.4.3 Lusted, Kent	P 289 Intel	L 25	# 3893		Maintai			h 1G-EPON and 100 in to MPCP and/or C			efined in IEEE Std. 802.3 rt the new PHY.
Comment Type E C Typo in value/comment box f	Comment Status A			EZ		e a whole ne e project pro			another	MPMC from th	e ground up. That's not
SuggestedRemedy					Suggested	Remedy					
change to "within"	concerco Statua				Combir				y-neutra	l variable names	s rather than names like
Response Re ACCEPT.	esponse Status C				Response			Response Status	W		
					objectiv PAR, 5 Further	isk Force bel ves as quote iC and Objec	d by t ctives ask Fo	included similar word orce believes the risk	<i>i</i> ith previ ling to ci	ous EPON proj reate a standalo	ects PAR, 5C & ect deliverables whose one clause for MPCP. in Cl 77 outweights the
					PAR S	cope: Define	e 802.	Multipoint MAC Con 3 Media Access Cor C operation, physical	ntrol (MA	.C) parameters	and minimal
					specifications, an subscriber access and approved new			gement parameters f rks at operating spee cts	or the treds within	n the scope of t	he current IEEE Std 802.3
					Technical Feasibility: " The proposed project will, to the extent possible, re-use specifications developed by other standards bodies and develop new specifications in accordance with the rigorous standards of proof applied to 802.3 projects"						
					- Point	ort subscriber to multipoint	ono	ess network topologie ptical fiber"			
					 - PHY f	for PON, >=	10km	cal layer specificatio n, 1000Mbps, single \$ n, 1000Mbps, single \$	SM fiber	· ·	
TYPE: TR/technical required ER.									C/ 10 SC	3	Page 81 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:04

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

P802.3av created Cl 77. Multipoint MAC Control for 10G–EPON	C/ 103 SC 103.1 P 296 L 27 # 3746					
PAR Scope: The scope of this project is to amend IEEE Std 802.3 to add physical layer	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks					
specifications and management parameters for symmetric and/or asymmetric operation at 10 Gb/s on point-to-multipoint passive optical networks.	Comment Type TR Comment Status R					
Vote: For (keep Cl 103): Against (combine 103 & 77): Abstain:	The statement "There are a number of variables, constants and functions that are complementary to those defined for EPON Multipoint MAC Control but that are unique to EPoC. These are listed in Table 103-1." speaks of variables and functions complementary to EPON, but unique to EPoC - given that Clause 103 is defined as standalone and relies only m inimally on Clause 77, there is little sense to list such variables / functions.					
Technical Feasibility: " This project reuses the Ethernet point-to-multipoint and point-to-point	SuggestedRemedy					
technologies that proved to be stable and credible. The project will extend burst mode technology to 10Gb/s" Objectives: "Support subscriber access networks using point to multipoint topologies on optical fiber	Remove the statement and Table 103-1 - there is nothing it adds to understanding MPCP for EPoC and only introduces confusion by speaking of complementary but unique variables / functions.					
Provide physical layer specifications: – PHY for PON, 10 Gbps downstream/1 Gbps upstream, single SM fiber – PHY for PON, 10 Gbps downstream/10 Gbps upstream, single SM fiber	Response Response Status W REJECT.					
C/ 103 SC 103.1 P 295 L 21 # 3738	The Task Force believes this statement and Table 103-1 will be benificial to the reader in understanding the subtle differences between the existing MAC control for EPON and what is needed for EPoC.					
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ	C/ 103 SC 103.1.1 P 297 L 24 # 3747					
"Clause 67 provides additional examples of P2MP topologies." - not for CCDN	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks					
uggestedRemedy Remove statement	Comment Type TR Comment Status A EZ Goals and objectives NO MORE! EX					
ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy There is no value in listing goals and objectives - new projects do not define them at all. Strike 103.1.1					
P 103 SC 103.1 P 296 L 25 # 3712 Jajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks # 3712	Response Response Status W ACCEPT. However I doubt you will get a TF formed without any objectives :-)					
Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ						
Missing serial comma in "Clause 100, Clause 101 and Clause 102" uggestedRemedy	C/ 103 SC 103.1.2 P 297 L 34 # 3748 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networ					
Change to "Clause 100, Clause 101, and Clause 102"	Comment Type TR Comment Status A EZ					
esponse Response Status C	This statement is NOT correct in Clause 103: "Multipoint MAC Control defines the MAC control operation for optical point-to-multipoint networks."					
ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy					
	Change to "Multipoint MAC Control specified in this clause defines the MAC control operation					
	for coaxial distribution networks."					
	for coaxial distribution networks."					

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 103	SC 103.1.2	P 299	L 44	# 4054	
Trowbridge	, Steve	Alcatel-Lucer	ıt		
		Comment Status A nt in Figure 103-2: the MDI b	ox at the bottom is	misaligned with the	EZ
Suggested	Remedy				
Zoom i	in close and nudge	e the elements of the figure t	o line up		
Response ACCEI	PT.	Response Status C			
C/ 103	SC 103.2.1	P 301	L 49	# 3749	
Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright House	Networks		
Suggested Discus 77 (and 77 (the to Clau	Remedy s in TF and decid d then content in 1 n a lot of text is no ise 77)	e CLause 77 as little as pos e whether Clause 103 is supp 03.2.1 needs to replicated fr ot needed, e.g., 103.1.4, 103 nat the second approach (de	bosed to be standa om Clause 77) or j 8.1.5, etc. could be	alone relative to Cla just a delta from Cla removed with point	ause ers
be hard	der to read. The fi	rst approach creates cleaner changes specific to EPoC	specification, but	creates a complete	
	sk Force has dec	Response Status W ided that Cl 103 is a delta cla ided the delta approach wou			

C/ 103	SC 103.2.2	P 3	02	L 4	# 3739
Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright	House Ne	etworks	
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	R		
103–13 nothing	3." - at this level, the	e only difference is t differences begin or	he names	(CLT, CNU v	103–3 through Figure ersus OLT, ONU) and , where variables and
Suggested	Remedy				
		es not add anything I not delta from Clau		at this subclau	use is modelled as a
Response		Response Status	С		
	CT. ed pg to 302 sponse to Cmt# 37	46			
C/ 103	SC 103.2.2.1	P 3	04	L 11	# 3751
Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright	House Ne	etworks	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	Α		
		the exact size of the nole and fractional o			le and fractional octets. ets
Suggested	Remedy				
	e to read: "This cor octets."	nstant represents the	e exact siz	e of the FEC	codeword expressed in
	alculation in Value: n "13" and "(" ????		944/13 (17	60 +(1840*64	/65/8) - what is the sign
Response		Response Status	w		
	PT IN PRINCIPLE	Id the word "or" so v		1700 0011	

C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.1

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.1	P 304	L 15	# 3722		C/ 103	SC 103.2.2.1		P 304	L 47	# 3723
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House No	etworks			Hajduczenia	a, Marek		Bright House	Networks	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A				Comment	Type ER	Comment S	Status R		
are expressed. The pro	ew spec, we can at leats be cor per convention is to use stateme					onstant is defined all you neeed - do			you already poir	nt to definition elsewher
just "in XXX"					Suggested	Remedy				
0	XX", "measured in units of XXX nted in units of XXX" without any	· ·	XXX", "expressed in	n	without	e to "This constar reference. The fi change to definit	rst approach is	s preferred.		finition from 64.2.2.1
SuggestedRemedy					newRT	T, m_sdu_rx, m_s	sdu_tx, Octets	Required, and	others in Clause	103, where you both
	ables and constants, to make su ne unit goes like: "expressed in ι		is are used, the		define					ufficent - a full definitio
Response	Response Status W				Response		Response S	Status W		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL Change "in XXX" to "in	E. units of XXX" where appropriate	as this is consi	istent with the stand:	ard.		ention here was to				n on the constant and r
C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.1	P 304	L 20	# 3713		force him/her to follow the cross reference, especially one to another section of the (something the commenter has pointed out is objectionable). The language used is					
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House No	etworks			•	rmative as the ref			, 0	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			ΕZ	C/ 103	SC 103.2.2.1		P 304	L 5	# 3750
VALUE or Value?					Hajduczenia			Bright House	Networks	0100
SuggestedRemedy					Comment	Type TR	Comment S	Status A		
I believe "VALUE" woul already	d be more appropriate, given th	at we capitalize	"TYPE" everywhere		"This c		ts the approxim	nate size of FE		/hole octets" - is strikes i is not given
Response	Response Status C				Suggested	Remedy				-
ACCEPT.					Change	e to "This constar				essed in units of octets
C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.1	P 304	L 21	# 3752			the addition "DS_ ver is appropriate		+ DS_FEC_Pr	ty_Sz" should be	taken in floor / ceil,
lajduczenia, Marek	Bright House No		# 3752		Response	ver is appropriate	Response S	Statua M		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A	Studite				PT IN PRINCIPLE	•	status VV		
51	20 block of 64-bits as seen from	the MAC Table	101.2)" provide		Change	-	L .			
SINGLE value (why the	re are two???) and additional ex ted values, just provide the corre	planation is not				onstant represent onstant represent				
SuggestedRemedy					DS_FE	C_Pld_Sz + DS_	FEC_Prty_Sz	are both integ	ers so no floor/c	eiling function is neede
	II				_		/_			5
Change to "Value: 1760										
00 ,	Response Status W									

C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.1 Page 84 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.3 P 305	L 49	# 3753	C/ 103	SC 103.2.2.3	P 306	L 27	# 3755
ajduczenia, Marek Bright Ho	use Networks		Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright House	Networks	
Comment Type TR Comment Status A		Soc	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status R		
Definition of Octet_CLK is unclear - the way it rea	ads, it is held in TRUI	E state all the time			d in equation, it is not define		lescription are missing -
SuggestedRemedy				•	1-1 would be then placed in V	alue: statement	
Provide a clearer definition of what Octet_CLK is		Suggested	•				
representation of a clock derived from MAC data aware of the clock rate of MAC, and furthermore,			issing type and des	scription. Add "Value: see Ec	quation 101-1"		
whole frame at a time, and then waits for MAC to			Response		Response Status W		
oriented.			REJEC The sta		ecify a value for variables. T	vne is clearly in	dicated in the referenced
Response Response Status W				should not be duplicated to av			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the definition from "This Boolean value is	tot time period is a the	issues.					
amount of time used to transmit one octet in 10G	b/s MAC data rate."	to	C/ 103	SC 103.2.2.4	P 307	L 36	# 3756
"This clear on read Boolean value is TRUE for ev		d, i.e. the amount of time	Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright House I	Networks	
used to transmit one octet in 10Gb/s MAC data ra	ate."		Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		
C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.3 P 306	L 21	# 3754	Multiple	e references to fec	PldSz, fecCwSz variables / a	arrays without de	efinition
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright Ho	use Networks		Suggested	Remedy			
Comment Type TR Comment Status R			Define	fecPldSz, fecCwS	z (add to variables) or point	to what they are	(if defined elsewhere in
Very cofnusing definition of packet_initiate_delay then say it is defined elsewhere - which is it then a		ovide its definition and	text)				
,	£		Response		Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy Decide whether the variable packet_initiate_delay	is defined in here in	102 2 2 2 (and then	ACCEI Add va				
remove any references to 77.2.2.3) or it is define					fecPldSz is an alias for DS_	FEC_Pld_Sz	
definition is not needed)	-		fecCws	Sz TYPE: real num	ber fecCwSz is an alias for	DS_FEC_CW_	Sz_FRAC
Response Response Status W			C/ 103	SC 103.2.2.4	P 307	L 37	# 3740
REJECT. The intent here is to make the clause easier to un	derotand for these fo		Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright House I	Networks	
wording used here is specifically non-normative a			Comment	Type T	Comment Status A		
from CI 77. However, the commenter has noted before that it is poor form to expect a reader			Since t	here is already "+=	operand being used without	ut any problems,	"-=" is also available
to constantly shift back and forth between different clauses, especially when they are in different Sections of the Standard, thus the initial definition in CI 103 includes the definition and		Suggested	Remedv				
a ref back to the def in Cl 64 or 77 whereas subsequent defintions in Cl 103 only the initial def			Change	e "length = length -	fecPldSz[0]" to "length -= fee	cPldSz[0]"	
in CI 103. Should the TF wish to reconsider this strategy this change would be in order Also see Cmt# 3746		Response	0 0	Response Status C			
AISU SEE UIIII# 3140			ACCEI	PT.			
Passed by voice without opposition							
For (reject): Against (change variable name):							
Abstain:							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.4

Page 86 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM

C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.4 P 307 L 43 # 3742 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Netw	C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.4 P 308 L 12 # 3715 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Netw
Comment Type T Comment Status A "GntSize += length + ceiling(length/64) + fecPrtySz[0];" but before you define symbols for ceil and floor functions SuggestedRemedy change "ceiling" to ceiling function symbol per 77.2.2.4 Also, to guarantee proper order of execution, you might want to change the line "GntSize += length + ceiling(length/64) + fecPrtySz[0];" to read "GntSize += (length + ceiling(length/64) + fecPrtySz[0];" to make sure that GntSize is incremented by the sum of three elements on the	Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ "PHY_Overhead(). returns the number of octets that the PHY inserts during transmission of a particular packet." SuggestedRemedy Remove "" after "()" and before "returns" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. E A EZ
right and not just length itself. Same change in line 49, and line 1 on page 308 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add to the end of the first sentence of 103.1.6 "; in pseudo code listing the term ceiling() is used for this function" so the entire sentence reads: "For equations used in this clause the symbol represents a ceiling function that rounds up it's argument x to the next highest integer; in pseudo code listings the term "ceiling()" is used for this function." Note that the spelling of "it's" in the draft has a typo.	CI 103 SC 103.2.2.4 P 308 L 24 # 3758 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networks Comment Type TR Comment Status A FEC_CODEWORD_SIZE_FRAC, FEC_PAYLOAD_SIZE, and FEC_PARITY_SIZE are NOT defined anywhere SuggestedRemedy Please define what FEC_CODEWORD_SIZE_FRAC, FEC_PAYLOAD_SIZE, and SIZE, and
Note the ceiling character could be added using the char code 00E9 & 00F9 (latin "e" with acute) in Symbol font via the utilities -> Character Palatte menu however this would not work with any know compiler and is contrary to the common practice of putting pseudo code in Courier New font. C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.4 P 307 L 46 # [3741]	FEC_PARITY_SIZE are Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change FEC_CODEWORD_SIZE_FRAC, FEC_PAYLOAD_SIZE, and FEC_PARITY_SIZE to DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC, DS_FEC_PId_Sz, and DS_FEC_Prty_Sz, respectively. C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.4 P 308 L 27 # 3757
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Comment Type T Comment Status A Confusing operator "=>" - it seems like an assignment operator SuggestedRemedy Change "=>" to ">=" which is what I believe you intend to mean here (greater than or equal) Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the following: 1) All "=>" change to ">=" 2) All "elseif" change to "else if"	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Comment Type TR Comment Status A Beta, Soc Given that beta is a parameter passed into Derating_Overhead function, it should be calculated first. Furthermore, given that it is calculated internallt in the function, what is the point of passing it into PHY_Overhead function? But a state of the function of the function of passing it into PHY_Overhead function? SuggestedRemedy Remove beta parameter from PHY_Overhead function definition - it is calculated internally anyway. Roll beta calculation into Derating_Overhead function - there is space for it and it is the only location where it is used anyway. Then remove it from definition of Derating_Overhead, which really needs to take just "length" parameter Response Response Status W
3)Page 307, Line 51, "{length" needs to be "(length" 4)Page 307, Line 53, insert a line with "}" before the "else" to satisfy the else if bracket on line	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 103

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 103.2.2.4

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 103.2.2.4
 SC 103.2.2.4

C/ 103	SC 103.2.2.4	P 308	L 27	# 3759	C/ 103 SC 10
Hajduczenia	, Marek	Bright House N	etworks		Hajduczenia, Marek
they sho Clause 1 SuggestedR	Rate and PCS_F ould be listed as v 101 Remedy	Comment Status A Rate is not defined in Clause 1 rariables / constants in 103.2.2			Comment Type What is a "CLT reference to this Note also that th time does not re SuggestedRemedy
Per com Response ACCEP		Response Status W			The purpose of interacts with oth Response
C/ 103 Hajduczenia,	SC 103.2.2.4 , Marek	P 308 Bright House N	L 8 letworks	# 3724	ACCEPT IN PR Change: "The Multipoint t
The sam <i>SuggestedR</i> Conside	locations, param ne observation in <i>Remedy</i> er using consister	t markup for parameters and	variables as itia		Figure 103–9." to : "The Multipoint t Figure 103-8 an fecOffsetC is us
Response		n parameter names marked in Response Status W			C/ 103 SC 10 Hajduczenia, Marek
	T IN PRINCIPLE single quotes and	 d italicize variable.			Comment Type "length <= sizeo need to create a
					SuggestedRemedy remove "length change "packet_ PHY_Overhead
					Note another co does not need to

103.2.2.7 P 309 L 49 # 3760 **Bright House Networks** k TR Comment Status A Soc FecOffsetC state diagram" and why is it here in the first place? There is no is SD in lines 21-25. this SD is driven by Octet CLK, whereas within MAC Control the notion of octet really exist. / f the state diagram in Figure 103-8 is not clear, as well as it is not clear how it other SDs (Figure 103-9 through 103-14) Response Status W RINCIPI F transmission control function in the CLT shall implement state diagram shown in transmission control function in the CLT shall implement state diagram shown in nd Figure 103-9." used in Fig 103-12 to exit WAIT FOR TRANSMIT state 103.2.2.7 P 313 L 35 # 3761 **Bright House Networks** k TR Comment Status A Beta of(data_tx) + tailGuard" is assigned value only to be used in the next line - no a local variable that is consumed in the next line <= sizeof(data tx) + tailGuard" t_initiate_delay <= PHY_Overhead(length, B)" to "packet_initiate_delay <= d(sizeof(data_tx) + tailGuard, B)" comment about the use of Beta in equations, which does not change at all and to be passed explicitly into functions!!! Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See CMT# 3757. Change to "packet_initiate_delay <= PHY_Overhead(sizeof(data_tx) + tailGuard)"

C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.7

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 313 Bright House N	L 38 letworks	# 3725	<i>Cl</i> 103 Remein, I	SC 103.3.2.1 Duane	<i>Р</i> 315 Ниаwеі Те	L 19 chnologies	# 3900
Comment Type ER Text in "SEND FRAME" SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A state uses different font size a		E. r states - please align	"103.:	<i>Type</i> T 3.2.1 PAUSE ope 7.3.2.1."	Comment Status A ration	Ū	PAUS
Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	AC:MA_DATA.request(DA,SA	۹,m_sdu_tx)" to Ari	iel 8 pt to be	77.3.2 Anne: Suggeste	2.4." ‹ 31B is appropria dRemedy	ning constraints in Annex 3 te for EPoC but not 77.3.2 nts found at 103.3.2.4"		constraints found at
C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 314 Bright House N	L 40 letworks	# 3762	Response ACCE		Response Status C		
	Comment Status A bout the use of Beta in equati sed explicitly into functions!!!	ons, which does no	Bet ot change at all and	Hajduczer	SC 103.3.2.4 nia, Marek	Bright Hou	L 43 se Networks	# 3763
not set anywhere in SD a		d explicitly into fund	ctions within SDs - it is	the tra	CLT shall ensure t	Comment Status A hat a minimum gap time be f one (1) resource block ex "resource block" and when	pressed in units of	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See CMT# 3757.			# 0700	of the	is no need to rec said "resource bl	alculate "resource block" ir ock". Provide definition (or I in units of time_quantaum	reference to definit	
C/ 103 SC 103.3.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 315 Bright House N	L 9 Jetworks	# 3726	Response	?	Response Status W		
Comment Type ER Text style !!!	Comment Status A		E.	7		E. mix of "resource block" an	d "Resource Block"	change so it is
SuggestedRemedy Use the proper text style	in 103.3.1 and in 103.3.1			Chan	ge:	be used for this purpose		
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Good catch. Reset to pa				the tra "The RB_ti	ansmission time of		pressed in units of	time_quantaum." to
				RB_ti	Ref definition for R me_quanta Equation 101-31	B_time_quanta		
				Upda	te PICS CC5 acco	ordingly.		
TYPE: TR/technical required	ER/editorial required CR/ae	peral required T/te	chnical E/editorial G/	reneral		CL	103	Page 88 of 123

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/gener	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 103	Page 88 of 123
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 103.3.2.4	9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

C/ 103 SC 103.3.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 315 Bright House N	L 48 letworks	# 3716		C/ 103 SC 10 Hajduczenia, Marek	3.3.3.1	<i>P</i> 317 Bright House Netw	L 26 vorks	# 3764
Comment Type E How much is "largely" ? SuggestedRemedy Remove the word "large Response ACCEPT.	Comment Status A 50%? 75%? Undefined quanti ely" Response Status C	fiers are not nee	eded	EZ	"This variable ho Clause 77." What does it eve	en mean? face was	Comment Status R me required to terminate the RF a Something is passed through ar to be reused, it was modified alr	n interface and it	is not even needed?
Cl 103 SC 103.3.3 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E In other locations, varial SuggestedRemedy Italicize laserOnTime, la Response	P 315 Bright House N Comment Status A bles were itialicized aserOffTime, rfOnTime, and rfC Response Status C		# <u>3717</u>	EZ	primitives (appar Similarly, it is not explicitly rather th <i>Response</i> REJECT. rfOffTime occurr the phrases "RF maintaining cons	rently not t clear wi han creat rs 25 tim On Time sistency v	Time definitions in 103.3.3.1 (not needed at all). ny "syncTime" is being used if it is e a variable and then assign zero <i>Response Status</i> W es and rfOffTime occurrs 25 time " and "RF Off Time". syncTime of with CI 77 SD's out weights the ne nsider this position.	s zero for EPoC o to it !!!! as in the draft. In a occurs 6 times. It	- just assign zero addition there are is felt by the TF that
103–14	0	MA_CONTROL	0	EZ	Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type	E	P 318 Bright House Netw Comment Status A lefined, remove 103.3.3.3 altoget Response Status C		# <u>3718</u> EZ
ACCEPT.	Response Status W								

C/ 103 SC 103.3.3.3

Draft 2.0	IEEE 802.3bn EP	ON Protocol over Coax (EF	oC) TF Initia	al Working Gi	roup ballot comm	nents	Final Respon	
C/ 103 SC 103.3.3	5 P 319 L 27	# 3766	C/ 103	SC 103.3.3.6	P 3	24 L	17 # 3767	
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House Networks		Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright	House Network	<s< td=""></s<>	
	Comment Status R ed that rfOnTime / rfOffTime do not have re	rfOn/OffTime, Soc eally any meaning in EPoC.					TER_ACK" state and	
SuggestedRemedy					from "COMPLETE D	SCOVERY" sta	ite	
Remove rfOnTime / rfOffTime from primitives MA_CONTROL.request(DA,REGISTER_REQ,status,rfOnTime,rfOffTime) and MA_CONTROL.indication(REGISTER_REQ, status, flags, pending_grants, RTT, rfOnTime, rfOffTime) and MA_CONTROL.request(DA, REGISTER, LLID, status, pending_grants, rfOnTime, rfOffTime) as well as from respective MPCPDUs				SuggestedRemedy Insert the missing conditions, likely following Figure 77–22 Response Response Status W				
Response REJECT. See Cmt# 3764	Response Status W		Betwee REGIS Betwee	STER_ACK en COMPLETE [EGISTER_ACK and C	RIFY ACK add f	SCOVERY add opcode_rx = lag_rx = ACK K add flag_rx != ACK	
C/ 103 SC 103.3.3		# 3765					-	
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House Networks		C/ 103 Hajduczenia	SC 103.3.3.6	P 3	L L L L	21 # <u>3729</u>	
stated that sync_time	Comment Status R interval required to stabilize the receiver at is not needed (and defined only for compa		Comment	Type ER	Comment Status MCI:MA_DATA.reque	Α		
means) SuggestedRemedy			Suggestedl	Remedy				
Remove sync_time pa	arameter from MA_CONTROL.request(DA th, sync_time) primitive, respective MPCP Response Status W	, GATE, discovery, start, DUs and state diagrams in	Response ACCEF	proper text forma PT IN PRINCIPL catch. Change to	Response Status		ate and rest of figure.	
, REJECT. See Cmt# 3764			C/ 103 Hajduczenia	SC 103.3.3.6 a, Marek	P 3 Bright	25 L House Network	41 # <u>3730</u>	
C/ 103 SC 103.3.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	6 P 321 L 11 Bright House Networks	# 3728		font format for li		Α		
Comment Type ER This is the first time th	Comment Status A at I see state diagrams defined in Tables :) EZ	MACI(F	REGISTER, SA,	t(DA, SA, m_sdu_ctl) LLID, status ? deregi	stered)		
SuggestedRemedy Change all "Table" cro	ss references in lines 10-20 to "Figure"			<i>Remedy</i> proper text forma				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status W		Good o			stent with templa	ate and rest of figure. (Note in proper fmt)	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 103 SC 103.3.3.6

Page 90 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM

ΕZ

Final Response

lavick, Jeff comment Type TR in Figure 103-18 what bapt	Avago Technol	ogies		Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Networks	
	Commont Stature P			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.19.11.10.000	INCLIVOINS	
in Figure 103-18 what hap	Comment Status R			Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		
opcode_rx=REGISTER_F	ens in ACCEPT_REGISTE EQ and insideDiscoveryWir	R_REQUEST indow=FALSE o	f both ccur at the same time?	It seems that Gate processi EPON, with changes only to	o some of the values / par	ameters and their o	
uggestedRemedy				 min_processing_time has BurstOverhead has differed 		an in EPON	
Change the path to SIGNA opcode_rx=REGISTER_R	L state to be insideDiscover	ryWindow *		 minor changes in effective minor changes in maxDela 	LengthC relative to effect	iveLength	
esponse	Response Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🖉			- major changes in minGran	0	rantLength	
REJECT. This SD is an adaptation o laserOnTime => rfOnTime laserOffTime => rfOffTime		nor changes su	ch as:	- minor changes in rndDlyTr SuggestedRemedy	nrC		
				Rather than replicate everyt	hing from 103.3.5, I sugge	est to do what follo	WS:
Given that Fig 77-20 has b is inadvisable to change it	een implemented numerous at this time.	time and is know	w to function correctly it	- under 103.3.5, use the follo 77.3.5, with changes to the	owing text: "The Gate proc	cessing in EPoC is	as described in
If the commentor believes maintenance request agair	there is an error in the two fin st the standard.	gures he is invit	ed to submit a	following subclauses." - insert "103.3.5.1 Constant the following EPoC-specific			
7 103 SC 103.3.4	P 327	L 1	# 3768	 insert "103.3.5.2 Variables following EPoC-specific exc 			
ajduczenia, Marek	Bright House N	letworks		- similar change for "103.3.5	5.3 Functions" and "103.3.	.5.4 Timers"	
comment Type TR	Comment Status A			- remove "103.3.5.5 Messa again, no changes from EP		PON, and "103.3.5	5.6 State diagrams" =
The whole Report Process	ing is an exact mirror copy o	of Report Proce	ssing from Clause 77.	-			
uggestedRemedy				Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Status W		
	in EPoC is as described in 7 s not needed, there are no E		, ,	While I generally like the ide difference between CI 77 &	•	in this instance as	there are several
esponse	Response Status W			minGrantLength vs minGrar BurstOverhead(77) vs Burst			40r())
ACCEPT.				BuistOverneau(77) vs Buist			der()).
V 103 SC 103.3.4.6	P 329	L 28	# 4055	Remove tqSizeC pg 331 ln			
rowbridge, Steve	Alcatel-Lucent	L 20	# 4055	Rename BurstTimeHeader() to Burst I imeHeaderC(),	, add to table 103-1	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		EZ				
	n Figure 103-23: the arrow f	rom "BEGIN" d					
uggestedRemedy							
,	he elements of the figure to	line up.					
Pesponse	Response Status C						
ACCEPT.							

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 103 SC 103.3.5 Page 91 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM

C/ 103 SC 103.3.5.6		L 32	# 3773		C 103.3.6.1	P 339	L 28	# 3770
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House I	Networks		Hajduczenia, M	arek	Bright House	Networks	
some reason transition to WAIT state and not to SuggestedRemedy There is no justification	Comment Status A ssing state diagram at CLT for from SEND GATE / PERIODI back to WAIT FOR GATE state for this change - please align v	IC TRANSMISS e as it is in Figur	ION states is made back e 77–28	The GATE exceptions respectivel EPoC; all b Based on t	used in EPoC is In EPoC rfOnTi y. The 16-bit Diso its in this registe he reading of tex	Comment Status A the same as that describe me and rfOffTime replace covery Infor mation register r are reserved and ignored t previous to 103.3.6, I wa and assigned always zeros	ed in 77.3.6.1 with laserOnTime and er described in 77 d on reception. s under impressio	d laserOffTime, 7.3.6.1 is not used in on that rfOnTime and
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status W				uttle them back a	nd forth between CNU and		
such copies are not nee useful. SuggestedRemedy	<i>P</i> 339 Bright House I <i>Comment Status</i> A 29 below is a copy of Figure 77 aded, especially since Figure 10 det that Figure 103–29 below is Figure 103–29 <i>Response Status</i> W	7-31 and is inclue 03-29 is neither	referenced here not	MPCPDU Replace "Ir respective! EPoC; all b laserOffTim and are alw Remove Fi sufficient to Remove al definitions explicitly in Similarly, ir Time and L described i reception." described i on receptio Similarly, ir rfOffTime r Laser On T	used in EPoC is EPoC rfOnTime y. The 16-bit Dis- its in this registenee, and Discover rays set to zero of gure 103-30 and o cover GATE M instances where these are not no state diagrams. 103.3.6.3, chan aser Off Time fiin n 77.3.6.3 is not to read "The last n 77.3.6.3 are not n.". Remove Fig 103.3.6.4, chan ather than the last ime and Target I	erfOnTime and rfOffTime eeded. Respective fields in ge "In EPoC RF On Time elds, respectively. The 16- used in EPoC; all bits in the erOnTime, laserOffTime, a t used in EPoC and are al	d in 77.3.6.1" serOnTime and la r described in 77. d on reception." w bed in 77.3.6.1 at reception." t needed at all - re is used explicitly in MPCPDUs sho and RF Off Time bit Discovery Info is register are rea and Discovery Info ways set to zero the field is calculate me used in 77.3.6.4	aserOffTime, .3.6.1 is not used in ith "The laserOnTime, re not used in EPoC eference to 77.3.6.1 is in primitives and uld be set to zeros fields replace Laser On ormation register served and ignored on formation fields on transmit and ignored ed using rfOnTime, 6.4." to read "The Target are not used in EPoC
				Response	F	Response Status W		-
				ACCEPT I See Cmt# :	N PRINCIPLE. 3764			
						s the same as that describ I in EPoC is the same as t		
				this registe	r are reserved ar	nation register described i Id ignored on reception." v rery Information fields des	vith	
TYPE: TR/technical required	d ER/editorial required GR/ge					C/ 10		Page 92 of 123

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 103.3.6.1	9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line			

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

and are always set to zero on transmit and ignored on reception." Remove Figure 103-30 and Table 103-2	C/ 103 SC 103.4 P 345 L 3 # 3879 Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena					
Similarly, in 103.3.6.3, change "In EPoC RF On Time and RF Off Time fields replace Laser On Time and Laser Off Time	Comment TypeEComment StatusAEZThe Clause 103 PICS is missing an introduction subclause					
fields, respectively. The 16-bit Discovery Information register described in 77.3.6.3 is not used in EPoC; all bits in this register are reserved and ignored on reception." to read "The Discovery Information field described in 77.3.6.3 is not used in EPoC and is always set to zero on transmit and ignored on reception."	SuggestedRemedy Add an introduction as per the 802.3 template: "103.4.1 Introduction The supplier of a protocol implementation that is claimed to conform to Clause 103, Multipoint					
Cl 103 SC 103.3.6.2 P 340 L 52 # 3771 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networks Comment Type TR Comment Status A Statement "The REPORT description for EPoC is identical to that of EPON" is not consistent with the way GATE is described, for example. SuggestedRemedy	MAC Control for EPoC, shall complete the following protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma. A detailed description of the symbols used in the PICS proforma, along with instructions for completing the PICS proforma, can be found in Clause 21." with "Clause 21" in forest green Response Response Status C ACCEPT.					
Change to "The REPORT MPCPDU used in EPoC is the same as that described in 77.3.6.2.". Remove all other content of 103.3.6.2, including Figure 103–31 Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add to the end of the commented sentence "(see 64.3.6.2)" Remove extra period and Fig 103-31 as suggested.	C/ 103 SC 103.4.1.2 P 345 L 26 # 3880 Anslow, Pete Ciena E Ciena EZ Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ "Clause 103, clause title" should be "Clause 103, Multipoint MAC Control for EPoC" EZ					
C/ 103 SC 103.3.6.2 P 342 L 42 # 4056 Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent	SuggestedRemedy Change "Clause 103, clause title" to "Clause 103, Multipoint MAC Control for EPoC"					
Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ At least one misalignment in Figure 103-31: the line down from B0 extends past the horizontal line as the arrow turns to the right. EX SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.					
Zoom in close and nudge the elements of the figure to line up. Same issue Figure 103-33 on page 344						
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.						
The commenter is encouraged to submit a maintenance request against the soon to be standard (802.3bx) and fix an identical problem in Figure 77-33						

C/ 103 SC 103.4.1.2

C/ 103 SC 103.4.	••••	L 5	# 3772	C/ 30	SC 30.3.2.1		P 29	L 18	# 3642
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	e Networks		Hajduczenia	a, Marek		Bright House	e Networks	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment T	Туре Т	Comment S	tatus A		
with proper Figure fr - two MP16 entries: - the purpose of sec clients" tracing the re pending MAC Contro enabled as described	rences 77.3.6 as normative, but om Clause 77 second one should be MP17 ond MP16 is unclear: "MAC Co iference to "shall" indicates "In ti ol frame shall be d in 64.2.2.4.""but this statemen his item should be removed, tog kes little sense. Response Status W	ntrol interface has his case, one of th t back references	prioroty over other the interfaces with a 64.2.2.4, which has no	10GBA 10GBA yet for <i>Suggestedl</i> Change downst Gb/s 64	SE-T Clause 5 SE-PR Clause 10GPASS-XR Remedy e "Clause 100, 1 ream and up to 4B/66B OFDM change in 30.3	1.6 Gb/s 64B/66 downstream and	28 N 10 Gb/s 64 auses for sor Clause 102 up B OFDMA up up to 1.6 Gb/	IB/66B	101 PCS up to 10
AIP - MP1: Replace Accept - two MP16 e	IFLE. fig ref with "Figure 77–31" entries: Replace second MP16 v second MP16 is unclear: Repla		4 with 74.2.2.4	C/ 30 Remein, Du	SC 30.3.2.1	-	P 29 Huawei Tech	L 26 nnologies	# 3898
C/ 30 SC 30.3.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	.1.2 P 29 Bright House	L 15 Networks	# 3643	Comment 7 in 30.3	<i>Type</i> E .2.1.2 we list:	Comment S	tatus A		С
Comment Type E 30.3.2.1.2 includes	Comment Status A		CL30	"ATTR APPRO	IBUTE OPRIATE SYN	TAX:" d 30.5.1.1.2 we d	on't.		
ATTRIBUTE APPROPRIATE SY	NTAX:			We sho Suggested	ould be consiste Remedy	ent.			
whereas other attribut	utes in Clause 30 do not list ther	n		Add					
SuggestedRemedy Remove					OPRIATE SYN		ction in 30.3.2	2.1.3, and 30.5.1.1.2	2
ATTRIBUTE APPROPRIATE SY	NTAX:			Response ACCEF See #3	PT IN PRINCIP 843	Response Si PLE.	tatus C		
from 30.3.2.1.2									
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C								

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3

2/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 29 L 47 # 3644	CI 45 SC P 36 L 6 # 4180						
ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks	Grow, Robert RMG Consulting						
Comment Type T Comment Status A EZ	Comment Type TR Comment Status A B						
Attribute aMAUType makes reference to PHYs for different speeds, e.g.: 10GBASE-PR-D3 One single-mode fiber 10.3125 GBd continuous downstream / burst mode upstream OLT PHY as specified in Clause 75	P802.3bw is defining the value 111101 which you show as reserved. As written, this could remove that definition. P802.3bp does not seem to have defined a value (bit should). P802.3bv is defining 110101. Together, the three amendments are creating a quite sparse matrix, which could push 802.3bs for the mulitple port types it will define. Taqble 45-7						
Whereas aMAUType in this draft lists PCS/PMA for some reason:	SuggestedRemedy						
Coax cable distribution network PCS/PMA continuous downstream / burst mode upstream as specified in Clause 101	I see three options:						
uggestedRemedy	 Change the draft to accomodate amendments expected to be approved prior to yours (e.g. 802.3bw). 						
Change Coax cable distribution network PCS/PMA continuous downstream /	 Define the value and in the editorial instruction indicate that the publication editor should take care of fixing the reserved values (what I currently have in P802.3bv) One amendment could change the list style to individually list the sixteen 11xxxx reserved 						
burst mode upstream as specified in Clause 101	values (this would logically be P802.3bw, but could be P802.3bn). This would then allow all subsequent amendments to to simply change one line in the cell.						
to	Response Response Status W						
10GBASE-XR Coax cable distribution network PHY continuous downstream / burst mode upstream PHY as specified in Clause 101	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Set SCI to 45.2.1.6, Moved "Taqble 45-7" from SCI to Comment						
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change	Change Editors instruction from "Change Table 45–7 as follows:" to "Change row Table 45–7 follows (change "reserved" line(s) as appropriate for values defined by this and other approved amendments):"						
"Coax cable distribution network PCS/PMA continuous downstream / burst mode upstream as specified in Clause 101"	C/ 45 SC 45.2.7a.6 P 62 L 45 # 3637 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks						
to	Comment Type T Comment Status A						
"Coax cable distribution network PHY continuous downstream / burst mode upstream PHY as specified in Clause 101"	Which are first two subcarriers? "Note that the first two subcarriers are not reflected and are always excluded."						
	SuggestedRemedy						
	Modify "Note that the first two subcarriers are not reflected and are always excluded." to read "Note that the first two subcarriers (i.e., subcarriers number 0 and 1) are not reflected in register group 12.10241 through 12.12287 (10GPASS-XR receive MER measurement registers)."						
	Response Response Status C						
	ACCEPT. Changed cmt to Cl 45 , Scl 45.2.7a.6, pg 62 ln 35.						

C/ **45** SC **45.2.7a.6**

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 45 SC 2.7a.6	P 62	L 27	# 3854	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P 32 L 17 # 3899	
McDermott, Thomas	Fujitsu			Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies	
Comment Type E The word register is mis	Comment Status A			Comment Type E Comment Status A We should be explicit about which table is being changed in the Editing Instruction	EZ
SuggestedRemedy Change reggister to regi	ster			SuggestedRemedy add " in Table 45-3 " so the instruction reads:	
Pesponse ACCEPT.	Response Status C			"Change the identified reserved row and insert a new row above it in Table 45-3 as follow (unchanged rows not shown):"	v5
C/ 45 SC 45.2 Ran, Adee	P 31	L 32	# 4025	Editor to review all editing instructions in Cl 45 and make similar changes as needed. Editor to ensure all editing instructions end with a colon.	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Cl 45 Device Ad	Response Response Status C	
It is not clear what "OFD	M" stands for in the context o of OFDM. Shouldn't the OFDM		most other MMD nam	ACCEPT. See Cmt 3935	
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P 32 L 30 # 3935	
5 5	sters into the PMA/PMD, or pr		ce to where the "OFD	Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies	
	d, or add a description in 45.2	./a.		Comment Type E Comment Status A	ΕZ
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL See cmt# 4064	Response Status C E.			Specifically stating the number of new rows in probably not a good idea as it is likely to g of sync with the draft.	et out
				SuggestedRemedy	
C/ 45 SC 45.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 33 Bright House I	L 9 Networks	# 3645	Remove " 30" from editing instruction, (add "in Table 45-3" after "below it so Editing Instr reads: "Change the identified reserved row and insert new rows below it in Table 45-3 as follows	
Comment Type E "1 1899" in Table 45–3 «	Comment Status A should be shown in underline -	this is the new v	value	(unchanged rows not shown):" Response Response Status C	
SuggestedRemedy Underline "1.1899" in Ta				Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See Cmt 3899	
Response	Response Status C			C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P 34 L 24 # 3882	
ACCEPT.				Anslow, Pete Ciena	
				Comment Type T Comment Status A In the second to last row of Table 45-3 "1.1952 through 1.1957" should be "1.1953 throug 1.1957" In the last row of Table 45-3 "1.1952 through 1.32767" should be "1.1958 through 1.3276	-
				SuggestedRemedy	
				In the second to last row of Table 45-3, change "1.1952" to "1.1953" In the last row of Table 45-3, change "1.1952" to "1.1958"	
				Response Response Status C	
				ACCEPT.	

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 45 SC	45.2.1	P 34	L 25	# 3646		C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.	131	P 37	L 48	# 3650
Hajduczenia, Mare	ek	Bright House N	letworks			Hajduczen	ia, Marek		Bright House	e Networks	
Comment Type In Table 45–3, Register 1.195	, "1.1952 throu	Comment Status A gh 1.32767" and "1.1952 t three times !!!	hrough 1.1957"	are incorrect.	EZ	Comment Bit reg Suggested	gister 1.1900.10		ment Status A as "R/w" and should	d be "R/W"	
	52 through 1.19	957" to "1.1953 through 1. 2767" to "1.1959 through 1				00	omment	Respo	onse Status C		
Response	•	esponse Status W				ACCE	PT.				
ACCEPT.						C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.	131	P 38	L 5	# 3652
C/ 45 SC	45.2.1	P 34	L 25	# 4179		Hajduczen	,		Bright House	e Networks	
Grow, Robert		RMG Consultir	ng	-	-	Comment	51		ment Status A		
Comment Type	T C	Comment Status A			ΕZ				h is a bit odd in Cla cription of the regis		The content of the
Reserved regi Table 45-3	isters overlap r	egisters defined in row ab	ove.			Suggested					
SuggestedRemed	ly					This s	tatement is alre	ady present	in 45.2.1.131.4. Re	emove footnote b	to Table 45–98a
Change 1.195	2 to 1.1958.					Response		Respo	onse Status C		
Response	R	esponse Status C				ACCE	PT.				
ACCEPT. Set SCI to 45.	.2.1, moved "T	able 45-3" from SCI to Co	mment			<i>Cl</i> 45 Remein, D	SC 45.2.1.	131.3	P 38 Huawei Tech	L 27	# 3936
C/ 45 SC	45.2.1.131	P 37	L 47	# 3963		Comment		Comr	ment Status A	lilologico	
Remein, Duane		Huawei Techno	ologies				•••			control marking of	f frames with CRC40
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A							ed in 101.3.3.1.4."		
		values for link up ready he Link-Up state"				S <i>uggested</i> Strike	dRemedy the "When"				
SuggestedRemed	lv					Response		Respo	onse Status C		
Change to: 1 = the CNU is	s ready to ente	r the Link-Up state enter the Link-Up state				ACCE	PT.				
Change "R/w"	to "R/W"										
Response	R	esponse Status C									
ACCEPT.											

C/ 45 SC 45.2	.1.131.4	P 38	L 33	# 3654	CI 45		45.2.1.131	.5	P 38	L 45	# 3655	
Hajduczenia, Marek		Bright House	Networks		Hajduczenia	, Mare	k		Bright House	Networks		
Comment Type T	Comm	nent Status A			Comment T	уре	т	Comment	Status A			
"When read as a o Discovery" since speaking of "PMA	e this subclause	e is in the PMA/PM		HY has completed PHY ikely we should be	" - it is a	also á r	eptition of	nt (IMO): "Bit the statemen		default to zero s	o that no transmiss	ion
SuggestedRemedy					SuggestedF	-		- 11 - 1 - 1	and the destruction			
<u> </u>	"PMA/PMD" in	subclause 45.2.1.13	31.4 and other su	bclauses in 45.2.1	to a zer	o so th	at no trans	smission "	ero so that no		' to "Bit 1.1900.0 de	staults
Response	Respo	nse Status C			Keniove		u, page so			;		
ACCEPT IN PRIN Make the suggeste correct (see cmt# 3 C/ 45 SC 45.2	ed change at the 3657).	e discretion of the E	ditor. Note that ir	# 3653	allowed to being is being	by the prope	EPoC CN rly configu	IU or CLT prie ured to operat	or e in the coaxia	l cable distributio	o that no transmission on network under wi	hich it
Hajduczenia, Marek	.1.131.4	F 30 Bright House		# 3053		l." altog	gether leav	ing line 50 ina	act - the reaso	ns for setting it to	o zero are irrelevan	to the
Comment Type T		nent Status A	INELWOIKS		spec. <i>Response</i>			Response	Status C			
symmetric for U ar SuggestedRemedy Change "This bit is read as a one" to "	nd D PHYs. Als s defined in 10G 'Bit 1.1900.1 is	defined for the 10G	PMD only, in 100 PMD only, in 100	umber it is :) GPASS-XR-D always A/PMD only. Bit	prior to which it C/ 45	being p is bein SC 4	properly co g installed 45.2.1.132	onfigured to o ."	perate in the c	oaxial cable dist	by the EPoC CNU ribution network und # <u>3658</u>	
1.1900.1 is always			R-D PMA/PMD.		Hajduczenia	-			Bright House	e Networks		
Response ACCEPT IN PRIN Change to: "This b	ICIPLE.	nse Status C		ly, in the 10GPASS-XR-	Comment T "normal		E tions" - like	<i>Comment</i> ely, "normal oj		ormal operating	conditions"	E.
D PMA/PMD it is a	always read as	a one."			SuggestedF Per con	-	/					
Cl 45 SC 45.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	.1.131.4	P 38 Bright House	L 39	# 3656	Response			Response	Status C			
Comment Type E "The default value	for bit 1.1900.1	nent Status A	r "a zero"? I find	EZ more instances of where le.			RINCIPLE peration"					
SuggestedRemedy			,									
	he use of article	es before "one" / "z	ero"									
Response	Respo	nse Status C										
ACCEPT IN PRIN Globaly change "a	-	(14x) and "a one" t	o "one" (25x)									

Globaly change "a zero" to "zero" (14x) and "a one" to "one" (25x)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.132

Draft 2.0				Protocol over Coa								Final Response
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1	1.132.1	P 39	L 24	# 3659		CI 45	SC	45.2.1.13	2.4	P 39	L 42	# 3662
Hajduczenia, Marek		Bright House I	Networks			Hajduczer	nia, Mare	ek		Bright House	Networks	
Comment Type E "When bit 1.1901.15 SuggestedRemedy		ent Status A the output port" - r	missing comma a	after "a one"	EZ		e 45 is t		ocation where		M clock sample" ample clock perio	Clock Terminology So is used. In Clause 101 it od" and others.
•••	ances I found wh			not missing. There a	re	are no Once clock	e align tl ot aligned the prop samples	he termino d with wha per term is s (204.8 M	t is used in PH defined by TH Hz)," to "Bits	HY clause 101. F, change "Bits 1.1901.6:4 indic	1.1901.6:4 indica cate the size, exp	eters in Clause 45 that te the size, in OFDM ressed in multiples of reference where it is
C/ 45 SC 45.2.4	1.132.1	P 39	L 24	# 3660				use 101.				
port of the CLT is m set to a zero the CL SuggestedRemedy Change to "When b purposes. When this there are other com	tences got glued nuted for testing T operates as r it 1.1901.15 is s s bit is set to a z ments modifying	purposes, when the normal (see 100.1.) et to a one, the ou zero, the CLT oper g this sentence as	bit 1.1901.15 is s nis bit is 3)". tput port of the C rates as normal (s	et to a one the output LT is muted for testin see 100.1.3)." - note ti	g	45.2.1 rely of <i>Response</i> ACCE chang "OFD to:	I.132.5, n the sai e EPT IN F je M clock	45.2.1.134 me unit. PRINCIPL sample"	4.3, 45.2.1.13 Response			hanges are needed: 5.2.1.146, given that they
Response ACCEPT.	Respons	se Status C				C/ 45 Hajduczer		45.2.1.13 ek	2.4	P 39 Bright House	L 43 Networks	# 3663
						comm (http://	e bits ar nent on [/www.iee	D1.5 of 80	ion of the vari 2.3bp /3/bp/commer	Status R able" - I would s	suggest to follow	the recently received comment 24) and change
							the sam	ne type of	changes ever		these bits", "the b	its", "this bit" is still in
						Response REJE	CT.		Response	Status W		
						The b indica	its are c ite". "The	learly iden ese bits" la	tified in the be ater in the para	ginning sentend agraph clearly re	ce of the paragrap efers to the same	ph "Bits 1.1901.11:7 bits.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.132.4

C/ 45 SC 45	.2.1.132.4	P 39	L 44	# 3664		Cl 45	SC 45.2.1.	133.1	P 40	L 29	# 3666
lajduczenia, Marek		Bright House	Networks			Hajduczenia	, Marek		Bright House	Networks	
Comment Type Formatting incor		ent Status A Irp" - it is italicized	everywhere else		ΕZ		er 1.1902 spe	cifies the cente		he first OFDM cha	MSB/LS annel." should indicate
SuggestedRemedy Italicize it						SuggestedF	Remedy	l within the giv	-		
Response ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45	Respor	nse Status C	L 12	# 3665		channel channel where ir	number 0." - 1 numbering, a this register	his will align th nd also focus o we have MSB	ne wording with Ta	able 45–98c, fix th and not register i	er 0 for the OFDM te issue with OFDM tself. What is missing is to make sure that the
Hajduczenia, Marek	.2.1.135	Bright House		# 3003				through 45.2.			
Comment Type	r Comm	ent Status A				Response		•	se Status W		
OFDM channel r "second", etc., it	numbering in Table		mproved. Rather th mber 1", "OFDM ch			Wording which o	nly part of the	istent with othe register is use	ed), 45.2.1.129 ar	nd many others.	I.66-69, 45.2.1.128 (in
SuggestedRemedy								le 98c and text see Cmt# 3669	t is consistent as	is.	
"This >>register number 1<<." - r	<< specifies the close the close the close the changes n	enter frequency of s narked in >><<	arrier 0 of the first C subcarrier 0 of the	>>OFDM channel		C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.		P 41	L 10	# 3667
			ptions in individual	subclauses.		Hajduczenia	·	0	Bright House	Networks	E
Response ACCEPT. Changed SCI fr	,	nse Status C to 45.2.1.133, adde	ed Pg 40 Line 12.							for space in Clau	ے se 45 when defining
							e "Rnd" to "Ra			and title of 45.2.1.1 e 45–98d and title	
						Response ACCEF	т.	Respons	se Status C		
						C/ 45 Hajduczenia	SC 45.2.1. , Marek	134.2	P 41 Bright House	L 28 Networks	# 3668
						Comment T Missing			ent Status A 7)" between regist	er name and oper	E ning paren
						SuggestedF	Remedy				
						Response ACCEF	_	Respons	se Status C		

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.134.2 Page 100 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM

	SC 45.2.1.134	.2	P 41	L 31	# 3937		C/ 45		45.2.1.13	5	P 41	L 49	# 4063
Remein, Duan	Э		Huawei Tech	nologies			Zimmerma	n, Geor	ge		CME Consult	ing, Inc.	
Comment Typ	e E	Comment S	Status A			ΕZ	Comment		TR		t Status A		
Missing "tl	ne variable" be	fore RBsize										dicates the cente	frequency, in steps of
SuggestedRer	nedy						channe	el. Subc	arriers are		om 0 to 4095 w		the lowest frequency.
Add		-								to a center fre register is 100		MHz to 3.27675 C	Hz in 50 kHz steps. T
Response		Response S	status C							0			
ACCEPT.							minim 0 MHz	um valu z to 3.27	e be 100 (⁄675 GHz	(assumed dec	imal) if the regis		Iz? How can the a center frequency from a correct that this
							Suggested	Remea	ly				
							Insert : 50 000		steps of	50 kHz", ", e.(g., the value equ	als the center free	quency (Hz) divided by
							Replac	ce "cent	er frequer	ncy from 0 MH	Hz" with "center	frequency from 5	MHz".
							Editor freque		ch and co	rrect other ref	erences (e.g., 1	00.2.7.3 page 90	line 50) to the start
							Response			Response	Status W		
									PRINCIPL CI 45 to				
							"in ste) kHz" to	Cl 100 (Pg 90	lines 41 & 48)		
								ce "cent line 51.		ncy from 0 Mł	Hz" with "center	frequency from 5	MHz" here and Cl; 100
							Chang "OFDN	M chanr	iel" to	annel" (5x)			
								specifie	8e change s the cente		of subcarrier 0 o	f the upstream O	FDM channel in steps of
								specifie	s the cente	er frequency o	of subcarrier 0 o	f the upstream Ol	- DM channel"

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.135

	\mathbf{n}
Draft 2	υ.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.13	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	L 49	# 3965		C 45.2.1.137	P 43	L 19	# 3672
Remein, Duane	Huawei Technologie	es		Hajduczenia, Ma	arek	Bright House	Networks	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type	T Comn	nent Status A		
This level of detail is no	t needed as the ruling definition is in	100.2.7.3.			r what "normal" means o is also not defined in			y is being made? The
SuggestedRemedy						Trespective Subciat	1565 45.2.1.157.2	anu 45.2.1.157.5
Strike:			 1 ·	SuggestedReme	eay lefinition of what the v	alua of zoro moono	in autolouco, or r	nome "normal" to
	red from 0 to 4095 with subcarrier 0 center frequency from 0 MHz to 3.27				nore descriptive		IT SUDCIAUSE, OF R	ename normai lo
minimum value for this	register is 100."			Response	Respo	nse Status C		
	tes the center frequency of subcarries a reflection of the variable US_Fre			In table char	I PRINCIPLE. nge "normal" to "no co add after 1st sentend		ero this bit indicate	es no copy is to be
Response	Response Status C							
ACCEPT.								
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.13	6.1 <i>P</i> 42	L 38	# 0074					
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House Netwo		# 3671					
Comment Type ER missing reference in "re	Comment Status A	at defined in ."	EZ					
S <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Add the missing referer	nce							
Response	Response Status W							
ACCEPT. Add: "101.4.3.6.1"								
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.13	7 P 43	L 15	# 4057					
Zimmerman, George	CME Consulting, In	с.						
Comment Type E typo - "it not being mod	Comment Status A ifed" should be "is not being modifie	d" - 2 instances, l	<i>EZ</i> ines 15 and 25					
SuggestedRemedy								
replace "it" with "is" on I	ines 15 & 25.							
Response	Response Status C							
,								

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.137

CI 45	SC 45.2.1.137.1	P 43	L 38	# 3673	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.137.3	P 43	L 50	# 3675
Hajduczenia,	, Marek	Bright House	Networks		Hajduczen	a, Marek	Bright House	Networks	
Comment Ty	/pe TR Comr	nent Status A			Comment	Type TR Con	nment Status A		
state dia	0	ables are ignored" -	does it apply to r	egisters or variables in	(see 1	.1910.9:8 indicate the va 02.2.3.1)." - it is not clea does not help here eithe	r what reference to 10		
SuggestedR					0	•	I.		
	hether the statement app , the registers ignoring wi					Remeay add reference to upstrea	m Configuration ID hi	to in 100.0.2.1 and	loove the reference
avoid dif	fferences in implementati accordingly where they a	on). If dtate diagram			here ir	tact, OR, add here refere Right now these are not	ence to specific terms	used in 102.2.3.1	to define individual
This app	blies at least to 45.2.1.13	7.1 and 45.2.1.137.4	ļ		Same	for 45.2.1.137.6			
Similrhy	the statement on "switchi	na hotwoon profiles	is prohibitod" por	de to be clarified as to	Response	Res	oonse Status W		
how that	is done (by setting some ng some specific state in	register to specific			Chang	PT IN PRINCIPLE. e pg 43 ln 50			
Response	Respo	nse Status 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 🗤				e reference to 102.2.3.1.	Ţ		
	T IN PRINCIPLE.				CI 45	SC 45.2.1.138.1	P 44	L 36	# 4060
	pg 43 ln 38 o all upstream profile vari	ables are ignored a	nd switching botu	(000	Zimmerma	n, George	CME Consult	ing, Inc.	
	is prohibited."	ables are ignored, a	nd switching betw	leen	Comment	Type ER Con	nment Status A		
	o all upstream profile des 1) are ignored, and switc				be sub	Inits is the "lowest freque carrier number, but giver step, this should be spel	that other references	were in Hz denote	ed as multiples of a
Change	pg 44 ln 4				The po	pinted to references don't	specify either.		
	o all upstream profile vari	ables are ignored, a	nd switching betw	een profiles is	Suggested	Remedy			
prohibite to	ed "				Clarify	- if it is subcarrier number	er, then say it, or bette	r, give the equivale	ent step size in
	o all downstream profile o				•	ncy units (Hz, kHz, etc.)			
	 are ignored, and switch ange of upstream -> dow 		s (see 102.2.3.1.1	I) is prohibited."	Response		oonse Status W		
	0	,							
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.137.2	P 43	L 44	# 3941		line 35 change .1911.11:0 set the startin	a subcarrier of the do	wnstream "	
Remein, Dua	ane	Huawei Tech	nologies		to		9		
Comment Ty		nent Status A		Ε	Z "Bits 1	.1911.11:0 set the startin	g subcarrier number o	of the downstream	"
Stray "."	in "initiated.and"				0	line 9 change:			
SuggestedR	emedy					.1912.11:0 set the startin	g subcarrier of the up	stream"	
Replace	with space				to "Bits 1	.1912.11:0 set the startin	a subcarrier number o	of the upstream"	
Response ACCEP		nse Status C					goubournor number (
COMMENT	echnical required ER/edit STATUS: D/dispatched ER: Clause, Subclause, p	A/accepted R/reject	•		0	l/unsatisfied Z/withdrawr	C/ 4 5 SC 4	5 5.2.1.138.1	Page 103 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:04

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1. Hajduczenia, Marek	140 P 45 Bright House	L 18	# 3676	C/ 45 Hajduczer		45.2.1.141	P 45 Bright House	L 50	# 3678
•	6	INELWOIKS		,	,		Ũ	INELWOIKS	
"being"	Comment Status A ng the LSB and bit 1.1914.15 bri	ng the MSB" - lik	EZ ely, "bring" should be	Bits 1 CNU	1915.1 ID if the		Comment Status A confusing description: "A new assigned flag is FALSE." - it i		
SuggestedRemedy Per comment				Suggeste					
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Chan	e "A ne	ew CNU ma	y be assigned this value for D to be assigned to a CNU"	CNU_ID if the CI	NU_ID assigned flag is
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.		L 20	# 3677			in 45.2.1.14 sary discuss	1.2 to read as follows. Lot of ion	the text is not ne	eeded because it goes
Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E "this process which is	Bright House Comment Status A fully described in 102.4.1" - no r		<i>EZ</i> hether it is fully or not	10GP	ASS-XI	R-U PHY w	a CNU_ID value. The value hen bit 1.1915.15 is set to a efined in 102.4.1.8.2.		
fully described some	where else			Response			Response Status C		
102.4.1"	which is fully described in 102.4	.1" to "this proce	ess is described in	The ir simul	tent hei aneous	ly as this wil	E. v the CLT to process multiple Il be a relatively lengthy proce re needs to be a handshaking	ess. Given there	is only one register for
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			which	s ultim	ately contro	Iling CNU_ID values and the it's subclauses, in particular of	CLT/CNU PHYs	. The entire process is
				value	alue of	alue may be	5.14:0 are used to indicate to a ssigned to a new CNU whe		

to

"Bits 1.1915.14:0 indicate to the 10GPASS-XR PHY a valid CNU_ID value. The value may be assigned to a new CNU when CNU_ID assigned flag (bit 1.1915.15) is set to zero, ..."

	roft	2	\sim
υ	raft	Ζ.	υ

C/ 45 SC	C 45.2.1.141.1	P 46	L 3	# 3679		Cl 45	SC 45.2.1	142	P 46	L 37	# 3681
Hajduczenia, Ma	arek	Bright House	Networks			Hajduczeni	a, Marek		Bright House	Networks	
Comment Type	T Co	mment Status A			ΕZ	Comment	Type TR	Com	ment Status A		
Unnecessari	ily wordy definition	and uses style differe	nt from other regi	ster definitions.		Table	45–98l reserve	s a whole r	egister 1.1920 withou	ut any need.	
SuggestedReme	edy					Suggested	Remedy				
Change to re	ead:					Remov	/e 1.1920 defi	ntion, renum	nber all existing regist	ter numbers follow	ving 1.1919 by one.
1.1915.15 is 1.1915.15 is 102.4.3 for a AssgndCNU Response ACCEPT IN Change "The value o assigned to	s set to a one, the a s set to a zero, the additional details o J_ID defined in 102 <i>Res</i> N PRINCIPLE. of bit 1.1915.15, is a CNU by the PH ^N	sociated CNU_ID valu associated CNU_ID ha associated CNU_ID h n the use of bit 1.1915 2.4.1.8.2. sponse Status C used to indicate if the Y. When the flag is set whereas when the flag	as been assigned as not been assig .15. This bit is a i associated CNU to a one the assoc	to a CNU. When bit gned. See 102.4.1.6 a eflection of the variat _ID value has been pciated CNU_ID has	und ble	Add "45.2.1 Bits 1. future." At line "MAC		PLE. red (1.1920 reserved in -98l change 8:32 of" to	the event the MAC a	address is expand	led to 64 bits in the
PHY. When set to zero,	15 indicates if the a this bit is set to on the associated CN	associated CNU_ID va le, the associated CNU IU_ID has not been as <i>P</i> 46 Bright House	J_ID has been as signed. " <i>L</i> 29								
Comment Type	Τ Co	mment Status A			Soc						
		ble 45–98l: "as determ		Discovery process" -							
SuggestedReme Remove "as		e PHY Discovery proc	ess" from Table 4	-5–981							
	<i>Res</i> N PRINCIPLE. tt as suggested fro	sponse Status C m Table 45-98l.									
	MAC address of t MAC address of t	he CNU corresponding he CNU, as determine		covery process,							

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.142**

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.144 P 47 L 20 # 3682	Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.144 P 47 L 31 # 3684						
łajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks						
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Comment Type ER Comment Status A						
minor wording improvement for "Registers 1.1923 and 1.1922 form a signed 32-bit integer in units of 1/204.8 MHz. "	Different ways of designating bits from the given variable mappes into specific register bits. Compare Table 45–98n and Table 45–98l. The first uses "[x:y]" designation (which is more						
SuggestedRemedy	clear to me) and the other one uses "bits x:y" - there are other registers as well, where the format used is even different than that (e.g., see Table 45–98p)						
Change to "Registers 1.1923 and 1.1922 form a signed 32-bit integer, expressed in units of 1/204.8 MHz." - it would be also nice to name the unit 1/204.8 MHz that appears in multiple	SuggestedRemedy						
locations in the draft and rather than repeat them over and over again, just reference to them by name	Align the format of referencing to bit ranges to "[x:y]" format for all registers added in Clause 45.						
Similarly change in 45.2.1.145.1, "value in units of 1/4 dB" to "value expressed in units of 1/4	This is especially important in Table 45–98q, Table 45–98r, where "lowest, highest, middle" bit designators are used, and [x:y] format would be much more readable.						
dB"	Response Response Status W						
Response Response Status C							
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change	Impact to the following tables: 98j, 98l, 98n, 98p, 98q, 98r, 98s, 98t, and 98u (table with MW registers). Ensure [x,y] where x > y						
"Registers 1.1923 and 1.1922 form a signed 32-bit integer in units of 1/204.8 MHz. Bit 1.1922.0 is the LSB of this parameter and bit 1.1923.15 is the MSB. A negative value causes							
the timing of the CNU transmissions to be delayed. The PHY timing offset register is used to align the CNU to the upstream OFDM timing. For more information on the use of this register	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.145.1 P 48 L 3 # 3685						
see 102.4.1.6. The assignment of bits in the PHY timing offset registers is shown in Table	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks						
45–98n. These registers are a reflection of the variable PhyTimingOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2."	Comment Type T Comment Status A						
to "The assignment of bits in the PHY timing offset registers is shown in Table 45–98n. Registers 1.1923 and 1.1922 form an offset register used to align the CNU to the upstream OFDM timing. For more information on the use of this register see 102.4.1.6. These registers are a	This text does not pertaint to Clause 45; "The PHY power offset is used to set the CNU upstream transmitter power by indicating the relative change in transmission power level the CNU is to make in order that transmissions arrive at the CLT at the desired power level. " - it has to do with the way the power level is set on the CNU and not with the register itself.						
reflection of the variable PhyTimingOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2." This avoids duplication of information in normative definition of PhyTimingOffset	SuggestedRemedy						
Note that MSB/LSB issues are resolved in Cmt#3669	Move the selected text to 102.4.1.6.						
	Response Response Status C						
	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Changed pg fm 47 to 48 Change "Bits 1.1924.7:0 represent a signed 8-bit value in units of 1/4 dB. The PHY power offset is used to set the CNU upstream transmitter power by indicating the relative change in transmission power level the CNU is to make in order that transmissions arrive at the CLT at the desired power level. For more information on the use of these bits see 102.4.1.6. These bits are a reflection of the variable PhyPowerOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2." to "Bits 1.1924.7:0 represent a power offset the CNU is to make in order that transmissions arrist at the CLT at the desired power level. For more information on the use of these bits see 102.4.1.6. These bits are a reflection of the variable PhyPowerOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2.						

Page 106 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM

gldzzeria. Marek Bright House Networks mment Type Comment Status A Decessary defails for Clause AS infection to for a classer. Segures 1.1925 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset expressed in units of 1/204.8 MHz; general Principle Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: to file PHY anging offset parameter which is an unsigned 32-bit integer in units of 1/204.8 MHz; Sponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: to file PHY anging offset parameter which is an unsigned 32-bit integer in units of 1/204.8 MHz; Sponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change: to file PHY anging offset parameter which is an unsigned 32-bit integer in units of 1/204.8 MHz; These registers 1.1926 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset parameter. The assignment of the variable PhyRingOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2: to Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Comment Status A Departure II set of an onlight House Networks Bright House Networks The paramet Type T Comment Status A Set of Set of 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset parameter. The assignment of the variable PhyRingOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2: to Report the performance of the variable PhyRingOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2: to Report the and the main the performance of the variable PhyRingOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2: to Report the performance of the variable PhyRingOffset definel to 102.4.1.8.2: to Report the performa	C/ 45 S	C 45.2.1.146	P 48	L 11	# 3686	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.147	P 48	L 32	# 3618
mment Type T Comment Status A Uncreasing reference to format of the registers 1.1925 and 1.1926 represent the PHY range of Stepsters 1.1925 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset expressed in units 11204.8 MMz: 2gasted/Remedy Registers 1.1925 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset expressed in units 11204.8 MMz: 2gasted/Remedy Registers 1.1925 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset expressed in units 320 bit register in used 1.1204.8 MMz: 2gasted/Remedy Registers 1.1925 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset expressed in units 320 bit register in used 1.1204.8 MMz: 2gasted/Remedy Registers 1.1925 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset expressed in use 320 bit register in used 1.1204.8 MMz: 2x41.6 The assignment of bits in the PHY range offset registers 342.2 the 4x61 bit the PHY reging offset registers 342.2 the 4x61 bit the PHY range offset registers 342.2 the 3x61 the sentence allogether 3x61 the sentence allogether 3x61 the sentence allogether 3x61 the sentence allogether 3x61 the sentence allogether 3x62 bit sentence $3x62$ bit $3x6$			-		π 5000		-			
Understages of inferences to format of the registers "Registers 11922 and 11926 represent the DHY ranging offset expressed in units of 1/204.8 MHz'. Serial "and" and missing "." Upper series of the registers 1.1925 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset expressed in units of 1/204.8 MHz. Change "The DSPH data rate registers 1.1927, 1.1928 and 1.1929" to "The DS PHY data rate registers 1.1927,	omment Type	e T Comn				Commen	tType E Co			E
ggested/Remedy Change The DS PHY data rate registers 1.1927, 1.1928 and 1.1929' to 'The DS PHY data rate registers 1.1927, 1.1928 and 1.1929' regresent the										
Theory to "Registers 1.1925 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset expressed in units of 1/20.4 MHz." Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The used to privision a delay in the ranging response in the event there is an analog optical segment between the CLT and the CNUs as described in 102.4.1.8.2." to Registers 1.1925 and 1.1926 present the PHY ranging offset pregisters are a related to on the variable PhyRing/State defined in 102.4.1.8.2." to Register 1.1927.1.1928.3 and 1.1926 present the PHY ranging offset pregisters are a related to on the variable PhyRing/State defined in 102.4.1.8.2." to Register 1.1927.1.1928.3 and 1.1926 present the PHY ranging offset pregisters are a related to on the variable PhyRing/State defined in 102.4.1.8.2." to Register 1.1927.1.1928.3 and 1.1926 present the downstream PHY data rate registers 1.1927.1.1928.3 and 1.1928 present the downstream PHY data rate registers 1.1927.1.1928.3 and 1.1928 present the downstream PHY data rate registers 1.1927.1.1928.3 and 1.1928 present the downstream PHY data rate registers 1.1927.1.1928.3 and 1.1928 present the downstream PHY data rate registers 1.1927.1.1928.3 and 1.1928 present the downstream PHY data rate registers 1.1927.1.1928.3 and 1.1928 present the downstream PHY data rate." States A Unnecessarily control data data registers 1.1927.1.1928.3 and 1.1929 present the downstream PHY data rate." States and present the downstream PH	•	•	ch is an unsigned 3	2-bit integer in un	ts of 1/204.8 MHz"	Suggeste	dRemedy			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: Response Status C This device the is an analog optical segment between the CLT and the CNUs as described in 102.4.1.8 The assignment of the variable Phythrol field ethnicians: "These registers are a reflection of the variable Phythrol field ethnic in 102.4.1.8 Lt Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE: P48 L12 #0877 Indexensity of the sensity field the sensity and the CNUs as described in 102.4.1.3 Lt The sensity field the sensity of the sensensity of the sensity of the sensity of the sensensity	Change to	"Registers 1.1925 and	1.1926 represent th	ne PHY ranging of	fset expressed in units				928 and 1.1929"	to "The DS PHY data
Change ACCEPT. Pegisters 1.1925 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset parameter which is an unsigned ACCEPT. S2-bit integer in units of 1/20.4 B MHz. This is used to provision a delay in the ranging response in the event the PHY ranging offset register is shown in Table 45-98p. Bright House Networks These registers 1.1925 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset register is shown in Table 45-98p. Bright House Networks 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P 48 L 12 # 2887 funcessary details for Clause 45 register definitions: "This is used to provision a delay in the ranging response in the event there is an analog sequence segment betworks SuggestedRemedy Strike this sentence allogether segment the CL1 and the CNUs as described in 102.4.1.6" gesterdRemedy Strike this sentence allogether segment betworks C CCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Sense Status G Response Status A Example the runther and the CNUS as described in 102.4.1.6" gesterdRemedy Strike this sentence allogether segment betworks Example the runther and the runther and the CNUS as described in 102.4.1.6" gesterdRemedy Strike this sentence allogether segment betworks Example the runther and the runther and the runther and the CNUS as described in 102.4.1.6" gesterdRemedy Strike this sentence allogether segment betworks France and the CNUS as this information is well documented in the	Response	Respo	nse Status C			Same	e change in 45.2.1.148			
Registers 1.1925 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset parameter which is an unsigned 2x-bit integer in units of 1/204.8 MHz. This is used to provision a delay in the ranging response Registers 1.1925 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset register is shown in Table 45-980. Registers 1.1925 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset register is shown in Table 45-980. Residue 1.1926 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset register is shown in Table 45-980. Residue 1.1926 The evanable PhyRngOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2." Registers 1.1927.1.1928 and 1.1926 represent the PHY ranging offset register is a shown in Table 45-980. reflection of the vanable PhyRngOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2." Registers 1.1927.1.1928, and 1.1928 represent the PhyRngOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2." Registers 1.1927.1.1928, and 1.1929 represent the PhyRngOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2." Change to "Registers 1.1927.1.1928, and 1.1929 represent the downstream PHY data rate, register 3.1927.1.1928, and 1.1929 represent the downstream PHY data rate, register 3.1927.1.1928, and 1.1929 represent the downstream PHY data rate, register 3.1927.1.1928, and 1.1929 represent the downstream PHY data rate, register 3.1927.1.1928, and 1.1929 represent the PHY ranging Offset register is a rate of a rate of register of the UQ34.3 format." Registers 1.1927.1.1928, and 1.1929 represent the downstream PHY data rate." Registers 1.1927.1.1928, and 1.1929 represent the PHY rate of the UQ34.3 designator. SegsterdRemedy Strike this senterce allogether	ACCEPT I	N PRINCIPLE.				Response	e Re	sponse Status C		
32-bit integer in units of 1/204.8 MHz. This is used to provision a delay in the ranging response in the event there is an analog optical segment between the CLT and the CNUs as described in 124.4.1.8.2." P48 L32 # [619] These registers are a reflection of the variable PhyRngOffst edired in 102.4.1.8.2." Bright House Networks Bright House Networks this in the PHY ranging offset register is shown in Table 45-980. These registers are a reflection of the variable PhyRngOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2." C 45 SC 45.2.1.147 P48 L32 # [619] this in the PHY ranging offset register is shown in Table 45-980. These registers are are reflection of the variable PhyRngOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2." Comment Type		1025 and 1 1026 repr	ecent the PHV rang	ing offset parame	ater which is an unsigned	ACCI	EPT.			
in the event there is an analog optical segment between the CL1 and the CNUs as described in 102.4.1.6. The assignment of bits in the PHY ranging offset register is shown in Table 45–869. These registers are a reflection of the variable PhyRngOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2.* to Begisters 1.1925 and 1.1926 regresent the PHY ranging offset register is shown in Table 45–869. These registers are a reflection of the variable PhyRngOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2.* 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P48 L12 # 13687 pluczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type T Comment Status A Unnecessary details for Clause 45 register definitions: "This is used to provision a delay in the ranging response in the event there is an analog optical segment between the CLT and the CNUs as described in 102.4.1.6." ggested/Remody Strike this sentence altogether sponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See 3886 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P48 L22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E Comment Status A L22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E Comment Status A 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P48 L22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E Comment Status A 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P48 L22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E Comment Status A 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P48 L22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E Comment Status A 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P48 L22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E Comment Status A 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P48 L22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E Comment Status A 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P48 L22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E Comment Status A 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P48 L22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E Comment Status A 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P48 L22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E Comment Status A 45 CATEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Segmend/ Same for 1.1925.15.0 and 1.1926.15.0 Songer Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	32-bit integ	er in units of 1/204.8 N	Hz. This is used to	provision a delay	in the ranging response	C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.147	P 48	L 32	# 3619
These registers are areflection of the variable PiyRingOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2.* to Comment Type T						Hajduczer		Bright House	Networks	
bits in the PHY ranging offset register is shown in Table 45–980. These registers are a reflection of the variable PhyRngOffset defined in 102.4.1.8.2." 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P 48 L 12 # <u>\$687</u> mement Type T Comment Status A Unnecessary details for Clause 45 register definitions: "This is used to provision a delay in the ranging response in the event there is an analog optical segment between the CLT and the CNUs as described in 102.4.1.6" ggestedRemedy Strike this sentence altogether sponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See 3886 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P 48 L 22 # <u>3617</u> jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E Comment Status A EZ ************************************	These regis	sters are a reflection of	the variable PhyRr	ngOffset defined i	n 102.4.1.8.2." to	Commen	t Type T Co	omment Status A		
45 SC 45.2.1.146 P 48 L 12 # 3687 jduczeria, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networks Change to "Registers 1.1927, 1.1928, and 1.1929 represent the downstream PHY data rate, expressed in units of b/s in the UQ34.3 format realials of how many fractional bits are used and how many bits there are in total is already part of the UQ34.3 designator. Same change in 45.2.1.148 ggestedRemedy Strike this sentence altogether Response Status C Soc 45.2.1.146 P 48 L 22 # 3617 jduczeria, Marek Bright House Networks Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. So 45.2.1.146 P 48 L 22 # 3617 jduczeria, Marek Bright House Networks Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. So 2 45.2.1.16 P 48 L 22 # 3617 jduczeria, Marek Bright House Networks EZ mment Type E Comment Status A EZ ggestedRemedy Same for 1.1925.15:0 and 1.1926.15:0 same for 1.1925.15:0 and 1.1926.15:0 sponse Response Status C AccEPT IN ACCEPT. Accept T. Accept T.	bits in the F	PHY ranging offset regi	ster is shown in Tab	ole 45–98p. These		1.192	9 form an unsigned 37-b	oit real number with three		1927, 1.1928 and
mment Type T Comment Status A Unnecessary details for Clause 45 register definitions: "This is used to provision a delay in the ranging response in the event there is an analog optical segment between the CLT and the CNUs as described in 102.4.1.6" degested/Remedy expressed in units of b/s in the UQ34.3 format real number." - details of how many fractional bits are used and how many bits there are in total is already part of the UQ34.3 designator. Same change in the 42.2.1.148 ggested/Remedy Strike this sentence altogether C sponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See 3686 # 3617 yduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks EZ "15 least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register." is not a full sentence, remove "." ggested/Remedy Same for 1.1925.15:0 and 1.1926.15:0 sponse Response Status C ACCEPT. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Strike "The number indicates the downstream PHY data rate." Strike "The number indicates the downstream PHY data rate." '15 least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register." is not a full sentence, remove "." EZ '3617 Strike 'The PRINCIPLE. sponse Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. ACCEPT.	C/ 45 S	C 45.2.1.146	P 48	L 12	# 3687					
Imment Type I Comment Status A Unnecessary details for Clause 45 register definitions: "This is used to provision a delay in the aranging response in the event there is an analog optical segment between the CLT and the CNUs as described in 102.4.1.6" ggestedRemedy Strike this sentence altogether sponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See 3686 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P 48 L 22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Ez mment Type E Comment Status A Ez "15 least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register." is not a full sentence, remove "." ggestedRemedy Same for 1.1925.15:0 and 1.1926.15:0 sponse Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Status C Ez "Sponse Response Status C "ACEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See 3686 Ez "Sponse Response Status C "ACCEPT. Comment Status C "ACCEPT. Comment Status C "ACCEPT. Comment Status C "Some for 1.1925.15:0 and 1.1926.15:0 Sponse Response Status </td <td>lajduczenia, M</td> <td>arek</td> <td>Bright House</td> <td>Networks</td> <td></td> <td>Chan</td> <td>ge to "Registers 1.1927,</td> <td>, 1.1928, and 1.1929 rep</td> <td>resent the downs</td> <td>stream PHY data rate,</td>	lajduczenia, M	arek	Bright House	Networks		Chan	ge to "Registers 1.1927,	, 1.1928, and 1.1929 rep	resent the downs	stream PHY data rate,
Unnecessary details for Clause 45 register definitions: "This is used to provision a delay in the ranging response in the event there is an analog optical segment between the CLT and the CNUs as described in 102.4.1.6" Same change in 45.2.1.148 ggested/Remedy Strike this sentence altogether C sponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See 3686 Sc 45.2.1.146 P 48 L 22 # 3817 iduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks EZ "To least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register." is not a full sentence, remove "." EZ "To least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register." is not a full sentence, remove "." EZ sponse Response Status C EZ "15 least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register." is not a full sentence, remove "." EZ sponse Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Status EZ	Comment Type	T Comn	ent Status A							
ranging response in the event there is an analog optical segment between the CLT and the CNUs as described in 102.4.1.6" ggestedRemedy Strike this sentence altogether sponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See 3686 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P48 L22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E Comment Status A EZ "15 least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register." is not a full sentence, remove "." ggestedRemedy Same for 1.1925.15:0 and 1.1926.15:0 sponse Response Status C ACCEPT.			0	: "This is used to	provision a delay in the			nis inere are in lotaris a	lieady part of the	
ggestedRemedy Strike this sentence altogether sponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See 3686 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P 48 L 22 ggestedRemedy ijduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E '15 least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register.'' is not a full sentence, remove ''.'' ggestedRemedy Same for 1.1925.15:0 and 1.1926.15:0 sponse Response Status C ACCEPT.	00			l Is as described i	n 102 4 1 6"	Response	e Re	sponse Status C		
Strike this sentence altogether sponse Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See 3686 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P 48 L 22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E Comment Status A EZ "15 least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register." is not a full sentence, remove "." gestedRemedy same for 1.1925.15:0 and 1.1926.15:0 sponse Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C ACCEPT. C	• •	0			11102.4.1.0					
sponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See 3686 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P 48 L 22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks EZ "15 least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register." is not a full sentence, remove "." EZ "15 least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register." is not a full sentence, remove "." EZ "ggestedRemedy Same for 1.1925.15:0 and 1.1926.15:0 sponse Response Status C ACCEPT. C		-					J	nd 1,1929 represent the	downstream PH	(data rate."
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See 3686 45 SC 45.2.1.146 P 48 L 22 # 3617 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E Comment Status A EZ "15 least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register." is not a full sentence, remove "." ggestedRemedy Same for 1.1925.15:0 and 1.1926.15:0 Isponse Response Status C ACCEPT.	Response	Respo	nse Status C			Strike	"The number indicates	the downstream data ra		
jduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks mment Type E mment Status A "15 least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register." is not a full sentence, remove "." ggestedRemedy Same for 1.1925.15:0 and 1.1926.15:0 rsponse Response Status C						well d	ocumented in the norma	tive variable definition.		
In ment Type E Comment Status A EZ "15 least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register." is not a full sentence, remove "." ggestedRemedy Same for 1.1925.15:0 and 1.1926.15:0 Isponse Response Status C ACCEPT.	C/ 45 S	C 45.2.1.146	P 48	L 22	# 3617					
"15 least significant bits of the PHY ranging offset register." is not a full sentence, remove "." ggestedRemedy Same for 1.1925.15:0 and 1.1926.15:0 sponse Response Status C ACCEPT.	lajduczenia, M	arek	Bright House	Networks						
Same for 1.1925.15:0 and 1.1926.15:0 sponse Response Status C ACCEPT.	Comment Type "15 least si			gister." is not a ful						
ACCEPT.	00		.15:0							
ACCEPT.	Response									
PE: TP/technical required EP/editorial required CP/general required T/technical E/editorial C/general			_							
		nicol required ED/s diff	vial required CD/~		the charge I E (aditorial C (margare					Dogo 107 - f 1

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.147 Page 107 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.147	P 48 L 34	# 3620	C/ 45 SC 4	5.2.1.149	P 48	L 50	# 3623		
Hajduczenia, Marek	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks								
Comment Type T Com	ment Status A	EZ	Comment Type	T Comm	ent Status R				
"Register 1929 is the most signif			Description in 4	5.2.1.149 is not co	nsistent with style	used in other regis	sters for some reason.		
register 1927 is the least signification registers, a much simpler (and click)		ne LSB. " - in previous	SuggestedRemedy						
SuggestedRemedy			Change text to						
Change to "Bit 1.1929.4 is the M needed in 45.2.1.148	SB and bit 1.1927.0 is the LSB o	of the value.". Simialr change	Registers 1.193	33 and 1.1934 shall	be reset to all zer	os when 1.1933 a	FEC codeword counter nd 1.1934 registers are eset. When registers		
Response Response Response	onse Status C		1.1933 and 1.19 (and only when) FecCodeWord	934 are read, regist register 1.1933 is Count defined in 10	ter 1.1933 is read read. These regis	first and register 1	1.1934 is latched when		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.149	P 48 L 49	# 3967	Update PICS a	ccordingly.					
Remein, Duane	Huawei Technologies		Simialr changes in 45.2.1.150 and 45.2.1.151						
Comment Type T Com	ment Status A	Soc	Response	Respon	se Status C				
FecCodeWordCount defined in 1 Here we define a non-rollover cle FecCodeWordCount is describe The same is true for45.2.1.150 1	ear on read variable whereas in 1 d as rollover counter.		45.2.1.94, 45.2.	style are directly tak 1.95, 45.2.1.103, 4 5.2.1.149			# 3624		
10GPASS-XR FEC codeword fa		Iccess and 45.2.1.151	Hajduczenia, Marek		Bright House		<i>"</i> 0024		
SuggestedRemedy			Comment Type	TR Comm	ent Status R				
Response Respo	onse Status C			er is mapped into re st, then fraction, the			able 45–98r is just odd: maining 5 bits.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			SuggestedRemedy						
Change FEC codeword counter, FEC codeword counter success, and FEC codeword fail to normal counters (not clear on read, non-rollover) in clause 45.			Change allocation to 1.1927.15:0 to cover bits [15:0], 1.1928.15:0 to cover bits [31:16] 1.1929.15:14 to cover bits [33:32], and then fractional bits in 1.1929.13:11. We will be I 1.1929.10:0 for reserved space.						
			Aplly the change to Table 45–98q and Table 45–98r alike.						
		Remove all references to "UQ34.3 formated number" - it does not matter at all what format th original number is in. Replace with "downstream PHY data rate" in Table 45–98q and "upstream PHY data rate" in Table 45–98r							
	Response Response Status W								
			highest significate the structure. The structure of the s	nis is consistent with	most significant bi h the note being p	ts. Reserved bits a laces in tables 100	are at the logical top of		

at top).

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.149 Page 108 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	49 P 49 Bright House N	L 40 letworks	# 3622	C/ 45 SC 4 Hajduczenia, Marek	5.2.1.14a.1	P 37 Bright House	L 25 Networks	# 3649
Comment Type ER Text is broken by table SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status R			<i>Comment Type</i> "When read as	ER C a one, bit 1.1 ocument, "PM	comment Status R 7.1 indicates that the PM. A/PMD" is clear enough.	A/PMD is able to	
Please set the orphan	control on tables and text to mal	ke sure that text i	s not broken by tables.	SuggestedRemedy		- 5		
	Response Status W s causes excessive white space rious comments rounds. In publis			Add qualifier "1 this case, chang	ge "When read "When read a	" before each "PMA/PMI d as a one, bit 1.17.1 indi is a one, bit 1.17.1 indica	icates that the PN	MA/PMD is able to
Staff Editors work.				Response	Re	esponse Status W		
SuggestedRemedy Insert missing space ir	Bright House N Comment Status A al FEC codewords counter[15:0]		# <u>3625</u> EZ and 1.1934.15:0	read via MDIO "When read as 10GPASS-XR-	not a specific a one, bit 1.1 D PMA/PMD		s consistent with A/PMD is able to	the rest of Clause 45:
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.1		L 46	# 3626					
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House N	letworks						
are listed one under ar another separated by '	Comment Status A NR, etc. are used with different other, with no "," between them ",".							
SuggestedRemedy		toro ora l'ata - 1 (hav are listed and other					
	sure that where multiple designation with ",". One immediate location							
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP			-					

Check all tables with multiple entries, use comma space ", " for separator.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.14a.1

Cl 45 SC Remein, Duane	45.2.1.152	P 50 Huawei Techr	L 48 ologies	# 3968	C/ 45 Zimmerma	SC 45.2.1.15 n, George	-	P 51 CME Consul	2 1 L 21 ting, Inc.	# 4058	
Comment Type Normative sh	nall's not neede	Comment Status A d here as ruling definition	C	he same is true for:	Comment		Comment S		<u>,</u>		ΕZ
45.2.1.154 PI 45.2.1.155 PI	HY Link EPFH HY Link EPCH HY Link EPCH	counter, error counter,			Suggested replace	<i>Remedy</i> e "recieved" with	"received"				
45.2.1.157 PI 45.2.1.158 PI	HY Link EMB c HY Link EMB e HY Link FPMB HY Link FPMB	rror counter, counter, and			Response ACCE	PT.	Response S	tatus C			
SuggestedRemed	dy	ese sections. for example	change.		C/ 45 Hajduczeni	SC 45.2.1.16 a, Marek	-	P 53 Bright House	L 19 Networks	# 3621	
"The assignm shall be reset bits shall be h EPFHcnt defi	nent of bits in th t to all zeros wh	e PHY Link EPFH counter en read by the managem in the case of overflow. T	er is shown in Tab ent function or up	on PHY reset. These	receive	bits indicate the	nk and are a refl	a CNU to re	PhyLinkRspTm d	C Message Block efined in 102.2.6.3."	a _
is reset to all	zeros when rea	e PHY Link EPFH counter ad by the management fur f overflow. This register is	nction or upon PH	IY reset. These bits are		Remedy formation on the	units for this reg	ister			
defined in 102 Response ACCEPT.	2.2.6.2."	Response Status C				PT IN PRINCIPL hits for all CI 45 re			sistent with past p	practice	
C/ 45 SC	45.2.1.152	P 51	L 5	# 3627	<i>Cl</i> 45 Hajduczeni	SC 45.2.1.16 a, Marek		P 54 Bright House	L 19 Networks	# 3628	
Hajduczenia, Mar Comment Type missing space	E (Bright House	Networks	EZ		S data path 32-Q		not supporte	d" seems to have riptions in this tab	an extra space at te	<i>EZ</i> eh
SuggestedRemed	,				Suggested Remov	Remedy ve the extra spac	e / align the text	left.			
	Table 45–98w, ab, Table 45–9	Table 45–98x, Table 45– 8ac,	98y, Table 45–98	3z, Table 45–98aa,	Response ACCE	PT.	Response S	tatus C			
Response ACCEPT IN see cmt# 362	PRINCIPLE.	Pesponse Status C									

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.161

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.161.1 P 53 L 38 # 4118 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.161.4 P 54 L 38 # 4117 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies
Comment Type T Comment Status A Register bits 1.1948.9:8 can be better aligned with the definition of US_ModAbility.	Comment Type T Comment Status A Register bits 1.1948.4:0 can be better aligned with the definition of DS_ModAbility.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
In Table 45-98ae combine 1.1948.9 and 1.1948.8 into a single entry 1.1948.9:8 US modulation ability Indicates the PHYs ability to support optional upstream modulation types RO	In Table 45-98ae combine 1.1948.4 thru 1.1948.0 into a single entry 1.1948.4:0 DS modulation ability Indicates the PHYs ability to support optional downstream modulation types RO
Combine SCI 45.2.1.161.1 and 45.2.1.161.2 into a single sub clause to read: 45.2.1.161.1 US modulation ability (1.1948.9:8) Bits 1.1948.9:8 indicate the ability of the PHY to support optional upstream modulation formats 4096-QAM and 2048-QAM. This bit is a reflection of the variable US_ModAbility defined in 101.4.3.4.4.	Combine SCI 45.2.1.161.4 thru 45.2.1.161.8 into a single sub clause to read: 45.2.1.161.4 DS modulation ability (1.1948.4:0) Bits 1.1948.4:0 indicate the ability of the PHY to support optional downstream modulation formats 16384-QAM, 8192-QAM, 32-QAM, 16-QAM and 8-QAM. This bit is a reflection of th variable DS_ModAbility defined in 101.4.2.4.5.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.161.3 P 54 L 30 # 3896 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies Huawei Technologies	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.162 P 55 L 24 # 3629 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networks Bright House Networks Bright House Networks
Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ typo: "bits indicates"	Comment Type T Comment Status A Bit 1.1949.15 seems like a binary flag (yes / no). It is customary to define the values in Description field then
SuggestedRemedy to: "bits indicate"	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	Change "Value of PHY Link differential TS is valid" to "1 = value of PHY Link differential TS is valid 0 = value of PHY Link differential TS is not valid"
	Change text in 45.2.1.162.1 to use "one" and "zero" spelled out for consistency. Also, the sentence form needs alignment with the description of ther registers for EPoC.
	When bit 1.1949.15 is read as a one, the value in PHY Link differential TS is valid. When bit 1.1949.15 is read as a zero, the value in PHY Link differential TS is not invalid. This bit is a reflection of the PhyLnkDiffTS_Valid variable defined in 101.5.1.
	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.162**

Draft 2.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EF	PoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments	Final Response
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.162.2 P 55 L 43 # 3630 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networks Bright House Networks Bright House Networks	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.163 P 56 L 10 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies	# 3969
Comment Type TR Comment Status A MSB/LSB Description of bits 1.1949.7:0 is missing information on MSB / LSB as well as units in which the said difference is expressed MSB/LSB MSB/LSB	Comment Type T Comment Status A The description for bits 1.1951.15:8 in Table 45-98ag leave much to be de	esired.
SuggestedRemedy Add the missing information Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See Cmt# 3669	SuggestedRemedy Change table entry to read: "indicate the power increase of the PHY Discovery Response if there is no Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	o acknowledgment"
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.162.3 P 55 L 49 # 3631 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks Bright House Networks Bright House Networks Bright House Networks	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.163 P 56 L 10 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks	# 3688
Comment Type TR Comment Status A Multiple issues with the description of bits 1.1950.14:0: - - wording does not read really English (rather sloppy sentences) - no MSB / LSB indication - SuggestedRemedy Reword to read: Bits 1.1951.14:0 indicate CNU_ID for the CNU for which the value of PhyLnkDiffTS variable is calculated. Bits 1.1951.14:0 are valid only for the 10GPASS-XR-D PMA/PMD. Bits 1.1951.14:0 are reserved for 10GPASS-XR-U PMA/PMD and always return zero on read. Bits 1.1951.14:0 are a reflec tion of the PhyLnkDiffTS_CNU variable defined in 101.5.1. Note that information on MSB/LSB is still missing and needs to be added to k now where the CNU ID starts and ends.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A Perfectly meaningless description for bits 1.1951.15:8: PhyDiscPwrStep Units and MSB/LSB information is missing in 45.2.1.163.1 SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "Discovery Response power step requested by CLT" Also, remove unnecessary details from 45.2.1.163.1: strike "if there is no acknowledgment from the CLT to a PHY Discovery Response is detail unnecessary for Clause 45. information on units and MSB/LSB is still missing and needs to be added Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 4181 (Bit 1950 beign changed)	Change table entry to read: "indicates the power increase of the PHY Discovery Response if there is as in Cmt #3969 For MSB/LSB issue see CMT# 3669	no acknowledgment"

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.163 Page 112 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:04 PM

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.163.2	P 56	L 24	# 3689	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.
Hajduczeni	a, Marek	Bright House N	etworks		Hajduczenia, Marek
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A		MSB/LSB	Comment Type T
Units a	and MSB/LSB inform	ation is missign in 45.2.1.16	3.2		Table 45–98ai conta
Suggested	Remedy				SuggestedRemedy
Add in bits.	formation on units for	r bits 1.1951.7:0, together w	ith MSB/LSB id	entification for these	Remove all b) footno
Response	ŀ	Response Status W			1.1953.8:0 are reser 45.2.1.165.1 and the
	PT IN PRINCIPLE.				45.2.1.165.4, and 45
see Cl	MT# 3669				Response
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.164	P 56	L 28	# 3691	ACCEPT.
Hajduczeni	a, Marek	Bright House N	etworks		0.45 00.45.0.4
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A			C/ 45 SC 45.2.1. Hajduczenia, Marek
		and MSB/LSB location in 4		footnote b) from Table	•
45–98	ah should be moved	to the main text and not han	ging in the table		Comment Type ER
Suggested					Reserved registers v (http://www.ieee802.0
	formation on unit and /e footnote b) in Tab	MSB/LSB location in 45.2.	1.164		SuggestedRemedy
		ne end of line 33: "Bits 1.195	52.9:0 are valid (only for 10GBASS-XR-	Change "Reserved for
D PMA	VPMD. Bits 1.1952.9	0:0 are reserved for 10GBA			0
as zero	o."				Response
Response		Response Status C			REJECT. The comment respo
	PT IN PRINCIPLE.		200		for future use" to "res
Perco	mment except for Ma	SB/LSB issue see CMT# 36	009		3.2 of 802.3bx still in tables in Cl 45 outsic
Cl 45	SC 45.2.1.164	P 56	L 31	# 3690	by the commentor.
Hajduczeni	a, Marek	Bright House N	etworks		,
Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status A		EZ	
	ssignment of bits in t er" instance	he US target receive power	register register	r" - one too many	
Suggested	Remedy				
remov	e one of "register" ins	stances			

Response ACCEPT. Response Status C

C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.165	P 57	L 1	# 3692
Hajduczen	ia, Marek	Bright Hou	se Networks	
<i>Comment</i> Table		Comment Status A everal b) footnotes, whic	h should be conver	EZ ted into text
Insert 1.1953 45.2.1	ve all b) footnotes f the followi text: "Bit 3.8:0 are reserved f .165.1 and then app	rom Table 45–98ai. s 1.1953.8:0 are valid on or 10GBASS-XR-U PM blied also to other subcla 165.5, with chanes to bit	VPMD and always uses: 45.2.1.165.2	read as zero." in
Response ACCE		Response Status C		
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.4	P 34	L 38	# 3647
Hajduczen	ia, Marek	Bright Hou	se Networks	
Comment	Type ER	Comment Status R		EZ
	0	aligned under 802.3bx D3 /bx/comments/P8023-D3		
Suggested	dRemedy			
Chang	ge "Reserved for fut	ure speeds" to "Reserve	d"	
Response		Response Status W		
for fut 3.2 of	omment response foure use" to "reserve 802.3bx still include	d" and does not include s "Reserved for future s	changing "Reserve peeds" in this table	wo instances of "reserved d for future speeds" Draft row as do several other equest should be entered

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.4**

C/ 45 SC 45.2.	1.4 <i>P</i> 34	L 48	# 3972	CI 45	SC 45.2.1.6	P 35	L 3	# 4065
Marris, Arthur	Cadence D	esign Syste		Zimmerm	an, George	CME Consul	lting, Inc.	
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Commen	t Type E	Comment Status A		EZ
No description of "	IOGPASS-XR capable" bit					hange", changes are hard to the having the changed entries, r		
SuggestedRemedy					hanging this.	naving the changed entries, r	ather than the enti	e lable, as other draits
802.3by is using 45	.2.1.4.a so add the following:			Suggeste	edRemedy			
	e 45.2.1.4.b before 45.2.1.4.1	as follows:		Just	show the changed	rows.		
	S-XR capable (1.4.10) e, bit 1.4.11 indicates that the P	MA/PMD is able to	operate as 10GPASS-	Response	9	Response Status C		
	a zero, bit 1.4.10 indicates that				EPT IN PRINCIPL	.E. le (Bits 1.7.15:10, 1.7.9, .1.7.	8 & 1.7.7:6)	
Response	Response Status C				ge editing instructi			
ACCEPT IN PRINO	CIPLE. truction pg 34 line 46:			"Cha	nge Table 45–7 as	follows (unchanged rows no	t shown):"	
	efore 45.2.1.4.a (as inserted by	IEEE Std 802.3by-	201x) as follows:"	CI 45	SC 45.2.7a	P 58	L 5	# 3693
Add subclause 45.2	14b			Hajducze	nia, Marek	Bright House	Networks	
"45.2.1.4.b 10GPA When read as a on	SS-XR capable (1.4.10) e, bit 1.4.10 indicates that the P			Commen Sente		Comment Status A also does not read riht		EZ
XR. When read as a 10GPASS-XR."	a zero, bit 1.4.10 indicates that	the PMA/PMD is no	ot able to operate as	Sugaeste	dRemedy			
Editor to coordinate	the 802.3by editor (Matt Brow	n) to see if we can	a" and they use "b" so			t registers of in the OFDM M the OFDM MMD is shown in		able 45–211a" to "The
as not to confust th	e Staff Editors.			Response	e	Response Status C		
Cl 45 SC 45.2. Hajduczenia, Marek		L 10 se Networks	# 3648	Move	EPT IN PRINCIPL e "of" between "as assignment of reg	.E. signment" and "registers" in the SDM MMD is s	he sentence and a shown in Table 45-	dd period so it reads: -211a."
Comment Type ER			EZ	C/ 45	SC 45.2.7a.1	.1 <i>P</i> 58	L 45	# 3939
	registers were marked as RO u .org/3/bx/comments/P8023-D3			Remein, I		Huawei Tech	-	
SuggestedRemedy				Commen	t Type E	Comment Status A		EZ
Change 1.7.15:10 to Change 1.7.7:6 to F					accurately OFDM descriptor"	is "OFDM DS profile descrip	otor"	
Response	Response Status W			Suggeste	edRemedy			
ACCEPT.				Chan "OFE		OFDM DS profile descriptor	' in 2 places in this	para.
				Response	e	Response Status C		
				ACC	EPT.			

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

C/ 45 SC 45.2.7a.1.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 58 Bright House N	L 48 Networks	# 3695	C/ 45 Trowbridg	SC 45.2.7a. e, Steve	2 P 59 Alcatel-Lucent	L 5	# 4036
Comment Type E missin "." at the end of lin	Comment Status A e 48				prise means "inclu	Comment Status A udes", so I think is not the right t than the channel	word here since	Ez the subcarriers are the
SuggestedRemedy chane "defined in 101.4.2	.4.5" to "defined in 101.4.2.4	.5."		Suggestee replac	,	subcarriers that are transmitted	over the OFDN	1 channel"
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response		Response Status C		
Cl 45 SC 45.2.7a.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 59 Bright House N	L 13 Networks	# 3697	C/ 45 Hajduczer	SC 45.2.7a.	2 P 59 Bright House	L 9 Networks	# 3696
Comment Type T Ambiguous what "these remean. Also, no need to m	Comment Status A egisters" means in "Changing nention active profile here	these registers	does not affect the"	EZ Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		Eź
	registers does not affect the through 12.1023 affects only			to Add "	,	number 0 through 3)" after "firs	t four subcarrier	s"
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCE		Response Status C		
C/ 45 SC 45.2.7a.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 59 Bright House N	L 16 Networks	# 3698					
Comment Type E Missing "." in line 16	Comment Status A			EZ				
SuggestedRemedy Add missing "." at the end	d of sentence							
Response	Response Status C							

C/ **45** SC **45.2.7a.2**

C/ 45 SC 45.2.7a.2.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 59 Bright House	L 35 Networks	# 3700	<i>Cl</i> 45 Trowbridge	SC 45.2.7a.3	P 60 Alcatel-Lucent	L 6	# 4037
Comment Type TR "See the variable definition approach it - definitions of elsewhere. Details of when SuggestedRemedy Remove "See the variable 45.2.7a.2.2, 45.2.7a.2.3, a	Comment Status R n for interpretation of individ reisters should be self-star e and why individual values definition for interpretation	lual bits" - this is i idin and not rely c are set are not ir of individual bits	n cross-reference nportant in Clause 45. " in 45.2.7a.2.1,	Comment Misus Suggested replac clause	<i>Type</i> E e of "comprise" <i>Remedy</i> e with "4096 subca 45.2.7a.4 p61 line 101.4.3.4.4 p203	Actater-Lucent Comment Status A arriers that are transmitted ove e 6, clause 45.2.7a.6 p62 line 3 line 5, clause 101.4.3.9.3 p219 Response Status C	2, clause 101.4	1.2.4.5 p174 line 20,
1 1 1 1 = Excluded subcar 1 1 1 0 = 16384-QAM 1 1 0 = 4096-QAM 1 0 1 = 8192-QAM 1 0 1 0 = 4096-QAM 1 0 1 0 = 1024-QAM 1 0 1 0 = 1024-QAM 1 0 0 1 = 512-QAM 0 1 1 1 = 128-QAM 0 1 1 1 = 128-QAM 0 1 1 0 = 64-QAM 0 1 0 1 = 32-QAM 0 1 0 0 = 16-QAM 0 0 1 1 = 8-QAM 0 0 1 1 = 8-QAM 0 0 1 0 = QPSK 0 0 0 1 = BPSK	rier			should with 10 Suggested Chang Response ACCE Chang	Type T xt "Each number is reference to regis 5 bits (2+14) and re <i>Remedy</i> le text to read: "Th PT IN PRINCIPLE le to	P 61 Bright House N Comment Status A s a 16-bit signed fractional num ster format and not some "num equires no more explanation - e value in each register is a rea Response Status C E.	nber conformin ber". Q2.14 rej real number im	oresents a real number, pliec fractional already
$0\ 0\ 0\ 0 = null$	2.1.11:8, 12.1.7:4, and 12. 3 and subclauses. <i>Response Status</i> W	1.3:0 in the same	fashion.					

REJECT.

The Task Force removed the enum so as not to duplice this information which may lead to inconsistencies and ambiguity.

On the contrary Cl 45 is optional in its entirety. All normative information is contained in the variable definition.

C/ **45** SC **45.2.7a.4**

C/ 45	SC 45.2.7a.4	P 61	L 5	# 3940		C/ 45	SC 45.2.	7a.5.1	P 61	L 46	# 3633
Remein, D	luane	Huawei Tec	hnologies			Hajduczer	ia, Marek		Bright House	e Networks	
at line "regist "regist "(12.1) "(12.1) at line	s/b "parts" 8 & 9 ter pair (12.2050 ar ter pair (12.2050 ar 0238 and 12.10239 0238 and 12.10239 13				EZ	the 10 the Ro Also, Suggeste Chang receiv	nce does not IGPASS-XR of eceive MER of it is typical to <i>dRemedy</i> ge to "When r	read right: "B receive MER channel ID." reference bit ead as a one,	measurement regis	ters are valid for th ame of register bits cates that the value	es in the 10GPASS-XR
S <i>uggestec</i> per co	dRemedy omment								IER channel ID" wit Description field.	th "bits 12.10240.2	:0". The same
Response ACCE		Response Status C					EPT IN PRIN ce para with	,	onse Status C		
	Туре Е	P 61 Bright House Comment Status A etting for subcarrier 0 and s		# 3701	EZ e,	"Whe regist meas	n read as one ers are valid.	When read a ters are not v	0.3 indicates the 100 s zero, this bit indic alid. This bit is a ref	ates the 10GPASS	
S <i>uggestec</i> Remo	dRemedy ve "and so on"										
Response ACCE		Response Status C									

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

	SC 45.2.7a.5.2	P 62	L 20	# 3634	-	5.2.7a.6	P 62	L 27	# 3638	
lajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright House	Networks		Hajduczenia, Marel		Bright House	Networks		
	ot clear how the value	Comment Status A e stored in bits 12.10240.2:	0 is then translate	d into register range	Comment Type What are "regg		ament Status A ASS-XR receive MER	t measurement reg	ggisters"	ΕZ
There i	Il always read as a o	y between footnote b) and t	ext "In the CLT th	ese bits are read only	SuggestedRemedy Replace "reggi Response ACCEPT.	sters" with "regist	ers" onse Status C			
modify which r 12.102 for 10G Remov Insert tl 2 1 0 0 0 1 = 0 1 0 = 1 0 0 = 1 0 1 = 0 ther v Response	y text to read: "The v registers 12.10241 ti 240.2:0 are only valid GPASS-XR-U PMA ve footnote b) the following text in of = OFDM channel nur = OFDM channel nur = OFDM channel nur = OFDM channel nur yalues are reserved	nber 2 nber 3 nber 4	/IER measuremen A/PMD. Bits 12.10 read."	nt value. Bits 0240.2:0 are reserved	Hajduczenia, Marel Comment Type No such reister SuggestedRemedy Replace "indica (Receive MER	T Com name: "Receiver ated by the Receiver channel ID)" nent in Table 45– <i>Resp</i> RINCIPLE.	P 62 Bright House Inment Status A r MER Channel ID" ver MER Channel ID" 211g in Description fin onse Status C	to "indicated by b		
"Bits 12	2.10240.2:0 form a	pointer to one of the five por reflection of the variable Rx			C/ 45 SC 4 Hajduczenia, Marel	5.2.7a.6	P 62 Bright House	L 32 Networks	# 3636	
/ 45	SC 45.2.7a.6	P 62	L 27	# 4070	Comment Type	T Com	ment Status A			
/ 40		/ 02			"D 1 4 4 4 4					3.
egev, Alor comment		lxia Comment Status A		EZ	Register 12.10 OFDM subcarr	242 reflects the re iers number 4 and	receive MER measure eceive MER measure d 5. Finally, register 12 number 4094 and 409	for 2.12287 reflects th	ne receive MER	-
egev, Alor Comment T registe	<i>Type</i> E ers" misspelled as "h	lxia Comment Status A		EZ	Register 12.10 OFDM subcarr	242 reflects the re iers number 4 and FDM subcarriers	eceive MER measure d 5. Finally, register 12	for 2.12287 reflects th	ne receive MER	-
egev, Alor Comment T "registe Cuggested change Also fix	<i>Type</i> E ers" misspelled as "i <i>Remedy</i> e "reggisters" to "re x in Table of Conter	Ixia Comment Status A reggisters" gisters"		EZ	Register 12.10 OFDM subcarr measure for O SuggestedRemedy Modify to: "Re number 2 and 3 number 4 and 5	242 reflects the re iers number 4 and FDM subcarriers gister 12.10241 ro 3. Register 12.102 5. Finally, register	eceive MER measure d 5. Finally, register 12 number 4094 and 409 eflects the receive ME 242 reflects the receiv 12.12287 reflects the	for 2.12287 reflects th 25. " - what is "ME ER measured for 0 we MER measured e receive MER me	ne receive MER R measure" ??? OFDM subcarriers d for OFDM subcarrie easured for OFDM	
egev, Alor comment T "registe cuggested change Also fix cesponse	<i>Type</i> E ers" misspelled as "i <i>Remedy</i> e "reggisters" to "re- x in Table of Conter	Ixia <i>Comment Status</i> A reggisters" gisters"		EZ	Register 12.10 OFDM subcarr measure for O SuggestedRemedy Modify to: "Re number 2 and 3 number 4 and 5	242 reflects the re iers number 4 and FDM subcarriers gister 12.10241 m 3. Register 12.102 5. Finally, register nber 4094 and 40	eceive MER measure d 5. Finally, register 12 number 4094 and 409 eflects the receive ME 242 reflects the receiv	for 2.12287 reflects th 25. " - what is "ME ER measured for 0 we MER measured e receive MER me	ne receive MER R measure" ??? OFDM subcarriers d for OFDM subcarrie easured for OFDM	
egev, Alon comment T "registe uggestedl change Also fix	<i>Type</i> E ers" misspelled as "i <i>Remedy</i> e "reggisters" to "re- x in Table of Conter	Ixia Comment Status A reggisters" gisters"		EZ	Register 12.10 OFDM subcarr measure for O SuggestedRemedy Modify to: "Re number 2 and 3 number 4 and 9 subcarriers nur Response ACCEPT IN P	242 reflects the re iers number 4 and FDM subcarriers gister 12.10241 rd 3. Register 12.102 5. Finally, register nber 4094 and 40 <i>Resp</i>	eceive MER measure d 5. Finally, register 12 number 4094 and 409 eflects the receive ME 242 reflects the receiv 12.12287 reflects the 195. ", which is not con onse Status C	for 2.12287 reflects th 25. " - what is "ME ER measured for 0 we MER measured e receive MER me	ne receive MER R measure" ??? OFDM subcarriers d for OFDM subcarrie easured for OFDM	
egev, Alor Comment T "registe Cuggested change Also fix Response	<i>Type</i> E ers" misspelled as "i <i>Remedy</i> e "reggisters" to "re- x in Table of Conter	Ixia Comment Status A reggisters" gisters"		ΕΖ	Register 12.10 OFDM subcarr measure for O SuggestedRemedy Modify to: "Re number 2 and 3 number 4 and 9 subcarriers nur Response ACCEPT IN P Change "meas	242 reflects the re iers number 4 and FDM subcarriers gister 12.10241 m 3. Register 12.102 5. Finally, register nber 4094 and 40 <i>Resp</i> RINCIPLE. ure for" to "meas	eceive MER measure d 5. Finally, register 12 number 4094 and 409 eflects the receive ME 242 reflects the receiv 12.12287 reflects the 195. ", which is not con onse Status C	for 2.12287 reflects th 25. " - what is "ME ER measured for (we MER measured preceive MER me nsistent with text in	ne receive MER R measure" ??? OFDM subcarriers d for OFDM subcarrie easured for OFDM n line 30.	

C/ 56 SC	P 68	L	# 4004	C/ 56 SC 56.1	P 67	L 15	# 3703
Effenberger, Frank	Huawei			Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Networks	
Comment Type E	Comment Status R			Comment Type E	Comment Status A		E
	belled "Node" in the Coax netwo in the HFC context. The same be changed as well.			we also have state	I also introduces the concept of EI ment "EFM also introduces the co)", making it a list of "also" stateme	ncept of Ethernet	Passive Optical
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
Replace "Node" with "	splitter network".				introduces the concept of Etherne		
Response	Response Status C			"EFM introduces th markup for the rem	ne concept of Ethernet Passive Op noved word "also"	tical Networks (E	PONs)" and use proper
node or amplifier). Ma	also work through an HFC netw king this change would preclude abel after discussion; e.g. "HFC	this operation. T		Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
	_		"	C/ 56 SC 56.1	.2 P 67	L 38	# 3743
C/ 56 SC 1.2.1	P 67	L 54	# 3987	Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Networks	
Amason, Dale	Freescale			Comment Type TF	Comment Status A		E
Comment Type E Figure 56-4 entered tw SuggestedRemedy			EZ	10 Gb/s in the dow	I topologies, EFM supports EPoC instream direction and up to 10 Gb o channel allocation, I am not sure h red	/s in the upstream	direction. " - based on
Replace second instar	ce of Figure 56-4 with Figure 50	5-4a		SuggestedRemedy			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				ream data rates from 10 Gb/s to so lable upstream OFDM channels	omething that is m	nore appropriate given
C/ 56 SC 1.2.2 Amason, Dale	P 69 Freescale	L 20	# 3988		n will be needed on page 68, line 5		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		EZ		-1, Table 67-1, and even 100.1 list	upstream speed	as "up to 1.6 Gb/s"
51	dded text "Clause 101".			Response	Response Status W		
Mussing underline for a				ACCEPT. Page 67, Line 39,	change "10 Gb/s" to "1.6 Gb/s". S	ame for Page 68,	, Line 53.
Missing underline for a SuggestedRemedy Add underline.							

CI 56 SC 56.1.2

Draft 2.0	IEEE 802.	3bn EPON	Protocol over Coax (E	PoC) TF Initial Workin	ng Group ballot comments		Final Response
<i>Cl</i> 56 SC 56.1.2.1 Rahman, Saifur	P 67 Comcast Cable	L 39	# 4076	C/ 56 SC 56.1 Dawe, Piers	I.3 P 69 Mellanox	L 1	# 4166
Comment Type E Not sure if this is accura SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A ate: nominal bit rate ofup to 10) Gb/s in the up	stream direction.	Comment Type El Somewhere you n (isn't EPON at 1e-	eed to confess that the frame loss	ratio isn't up to E	thernet's usual standards
<i>,</i>	d in clause 100.1 with above by <i>Response Status</i> C	changing 10 G	b/s to 1.6 Gb/s.	SuggestedRemedy Here?			
ACCEPT. See comment #3743	Nesponse Status			Response REJECT.	Response Status W		
C/ 56 SC 56.1.2.1 Anslow, Pete	P 67 Ciena	L 54	# 3862		ecified in the leading paragraph for l our approved objectives.	both 100.2.10.2	and 100.2.12.2.
	Comment Status A 2, Figure 56-4, and Figure 56-4	" should be "as	<i>EZ</i> shown in Figure 56-2,	C/ 56 SC 56.1 Zimmerman, George	I.3 P 69 CME Consulti	L 42 ing, Inc.	# 4061
Figure 56-3, and Figure SuggestedRemedy Change "Figure 56-4, ar Response ACCEPT.				unchanged, and it	R Comment Status A is "change" - just show changed row makes it hard to find the edit. If like the change is to insert two row		
Cl 56 SC 56.1.2.2 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E	P 69 Bright House N Comment Status A	L 19 letworks	# <u>3704</u> EZ	following the existi	struction to "Insert two rows at the e ing footnotes" rows for 10GPASS-XR-D and 100		
21	rrong in: "Clause 76, and the R "	S for EPoC P2	MP topologies is	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status W		

SuggestedRemedy

remove underline under "Clause 76" and add it under " Clause 101"

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT. Align with comment #3988. Note: P. Anslow has been ok with this however, happy to change ... <g>

CI 56	SC 56.1.3	P 7	1	L 13	# 3970	
Remein, D	uane	Huaw	ei Tech	nologies		
Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	Α			ΕZ
		er to "One coaxial cabl connected to a PON fo			? We do not refer to	
Suggested Chang	<i>Remedy</i> e to "one CCDN	"				
Response		Response Status	С			

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/ 56 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 56.1.3 9/18/2015 2:08:05 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 120 of 123

Cl 56 SC 56.1. Hajduczenia, Marek	B P 71 Bright House	L 28 Networks	# 3705		<i>CI</i> 56 Law, David	SC 56.1.5	P7 HP	72	L 52	# 4175
Comment Type E	Comment Status A e end of "These rates are based		datory modulation	EZ	Comment Not su Recon change P802.3	Type T re why a dash ha ciliation Sublaye from the publish (IEEE 802.3bx)	and not a PHY. In ac ned standard, IEEE S draft D3.2.	en '10GBA Idition this td 802.3-20	is not marked a 012, and curren	
Response ACCEPT IN PRING	Response Status C						ver, the addition of the 's will be '10GBASE'		S-XR PHY by I	EEE P802.3bn means
Missing a period, n Cl 56 SC 56.1. Zimmerman, George	•	L 30 Itina. Inc.	# 4062		instanc	the addition of t the l can find of th	ne 10GPASS-XR PH e use of the term '100 /s Reconciliation Sub	GBÁSE RS		since this is the only ext '10GBASE-RS' be
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A shange" should be "insert"			EZ	Response ACCE	PT.	Response Status	C		
	uction to "Insert four new columr nd of Table 56-3 (unchanged row		e existing columns, ar	nd	CI 56 Lusted, Kei Comment	Type ER	Intel Comment Status	A	L 40	# 3895 EZ ne table, which have a -U
Show the new rows	without underline. (coordinate wi d - that's above my pay grade)	th IEEE staff whet	her new column head	ers		appendix on the		i with the o		
	Response Status W				Suggested	Remedy	vould also then match entries: one for the 1			
NOTE: the column headers should be cross references to the appropriate clauses.					Response ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	Response Status E.	w	-	

As suggested, coordinate with the changes as per comment #4062.

C/ 56 SC Table 56-3

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

ΕZ

ΕZ

ΕZ

CI 67 SC 67.2	P 73	L 43	# 4077		CI 67 SC	67.6.1	P 74	L 24	# 3731	
Rahman, Saifur	Comcast Cable				-lajduczenia, Ma	rek	Bright House N	Networks		
0,	Comment Status A are example(s) of EPoC topolog	ies in the subclau	ise but was unable			T XR PHYs i	Comment Status A n service" - I believe you do no	t want to enable	unidirectional mode	<i>EZ</i> on
find figure for EPoC. This subclause also sh PON and EPoC topolo	ows some examples of different ogies.	P2MP			CNU only S <i>uggestedReme</i> Modify the te		PASS-XR-U PHYs in service"			
SuggestedRemedy					Response		Response Status C			
Add figure and referen	ce or if figure exists refeence to it				ACCEPT.					
Response	Response Status C				CI 99 SC	;	P 10	L 29	# 4068	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIP		this figure in prior	r commonte rounde		Regev, Alon		Ixia			
No figure was supplied by the commenter. (We deleted this figure in prior comments rounds and removed text, but missed removing this sentence.) Delete the sentence: "This subclause also shows some examples of different P2MP PON and EPoC topologies."					Comment Type "802.3xx" sh	E ould be "80	Comment Status A			ΕZ
C/ 67 SC 67.6.1	P 74	L 21	# 3919		SuggestedReme	,				
Remein, Duane	Huawei Technol	ogies			change "802	.3xx" to "80	02.3bn"			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A				Response		Response Status C			
The paragraph wording may be different from t	does not match the wording in F	802.3bx (shown b	below for D3.2) wh	lich	ACCEPT.					
"This ability should be	used only when the OAM sublaye				CI 99 SC	;	P 25	L 16	# 3860	_
	IGBASE-PRX, or 10GBASE-PR hidirectional link potentially causin				Anslow, Pete		Ciena		L.	
	ature should not be enabled for 1				Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A			ΕZ
ONU."	HYs in service, to avoid simultane	ous transmission	by more than one				nentors" has been changed to " 2.3 template)	Implementers" in	the latest IEEE style	÷
SuggestedRemedy					SuggestedRemedy					
Align wording to that in 802.3bx as "This ability should be used only when the OAM sublayer is present and enabled or for an OLT					Change ""Implementors" to "Implementers""					
or CLT PHY. Otherwise, MAC Client frames will be sent across a unidirectional link potentially causing havoc with bridge and other higher layer protocols. The feature should not be enabled				lly	Response		Response Status C			
	s in service, to avoid simultaneou				ACCEPT.					
Response	Response Status C									
ACCEPT.										

CI 99 SC

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Working Group ballot comments

Final Response

Cl 99 SC Regev, Alon	<i>Р</i> 3 Іхіа	L 4	# 4069	Cl 99 SC 99 Dawe, Piers	P 8 Mellanox	L 4	# 4155
Comment Type E EPoC should not b	Comment Status A e hyphenated at "EP-oC".		EZ	Comment Type E P802.3xx	Comment Status A		E
split as E-PoC), an the beginningn or e Also, EPoC is a pro	d be done between syllables (so if i id should not be hyphenated such th ind of a line (so E-PoC) would not b oper noun, so it should not be hyph	nat you end up w be valid.		SuggestedRemedy P802.3bn, three times of Response ACCEPT.	on this page. Several other ins Response Status C	stances of 802.3:	xx should be changed too
SuggestedRemedy Change "EP-oC" to	o "EPoC" (not hyphenated).			C/ 99 SC FM Law, David	<i>Р</i> 8 НР	L 14	# 4172
Response ACCEPT. (Esc n s)	Response Status C				Comment Status A 2.3bn balloting group has bee of the IEEE 802.3 working gro		E ease complete the list of
C/ 99 SC Regev, Alon	<i>Р</i> 8 Іхіа	L 13	# 4066	SuggestedRemedy Please include the list o	of officers and members of the	e IEEE 802.3 wor	king group.
	Comment Status A IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name" er Coax Task Force"	should be replac	<i>EZ</i> ed by "IEEE P802.3bn	Response ACCEPT. Editor changed Clause	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy On lines 13 & 14, c "IEEE P802.3xx Ta				C/ 99 SC ToC Regev, Alon	P 15 Ixia	L 5	# 4071
to "IEEE P802.3bn El <i>Response</i> ACCEPT.	PON Protocol over Coax Task For Response Status C	ce"		"(1.1951.15:8	Comment Status A ding dots are added inbetweer)") ng lines, the heading naee in th	,	<i>,</i> , ,
C/ 99 SC Regev, Alon	Р 8 Іхіа	L 4	# 4067	than left aligned SuggestedRemedy			
Comment Type E "802.3xx" should be SuggestedRemedy change "802.3xx" to			EZ	Fix ToC <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI See cmt# 3976	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Check FrameMaker for	r stray tab char or some other	thing	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 99 SC To**C** Page 123 of 123 9/18/2015 2:08:05 PM