Cl 00
 SC 0
 P
 L
 # 4295

 Remein, Duane
 Huawei Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Clause 31A Table 31A–1 lists Mac Control opcode assignements and clauses they are specifiec in. Clause 103 should be listed for GATE, REPORT, REGISTER_REQ, REGISTER, and REGISTER ACK opcodes.

There are 9 cross references in the draft to Table 31A-1

SuggestedRemedy

Open CI 31a, Table 31A-1 and add listings for CI 103.

Make the 9 cross references to Table 31A-1 live.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI **00** SC **0** P L # 4280

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Update Template per V2.5

Changes between Version 2.4 and Version 2.5

- base year variable changed from 201x to 2015
- note regarding the number of levels in the table of contents added
- "A full duplex MAC protocol was added in 1997." added to the Introduction section in front matter.

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 00 SC 0 P 0 L 0 # 4231

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Resource Block and Resource Element are used in the document in multiple locations, yet there is NO definition of what these are, and how they are related to channel parameters.

SuggestedRemedy

Please add definitions of Resource Block and Resource Element, at best early on, to avoid having to back and forth on what these really are

Once it is done, it would be nice to use consistent naming for these (capitalization) as well as decide whether you want to use acronyms or not - they are used sometimes right now, but not consistently.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

On pg 79 line 28 in SCI 100.2.6.2 add:

A Resource Element is a single subcarrier over the duration of a single symbol. A Resource Block is a group of 8 or 16 adjacent Resource Elements in the same subcarrier as defined by the variable RBsize.

Change "resource element" to "Resource Element" Change "resource block" to "Resource Block"

Look for RB & RE and remove abreviations

CI 00 SC 0 P 0 L 0 # 4244

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

CMP version of the draft is useless - most of figures are not marked correctly (hard to figure out which figure was added and which was removed). Also, there is no clear indication of what was modified in PICS

SuggestedRemedy

Given the the scope of recirculation is limited to changed text only, without clear CMP file it is hard to judge what was modified and what was NOT

Response Status C

REJECT.

(no possible change to draft).

The CMP file is generated by FrameMaker and is provided for convenience only.

C/ 00

Draft 2.1

Final Responses

Huawei Technologies

L 1

4292

SC 0 C/ 00 P 34 L 22 # 4283 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

We refer to the variable here as "DS FreqCh1" without parenthesis. However in 100.2.7.3 where it is defined it is "DS_FreqCh(n)"

SuggestedRemedy

Change all instances of "DS FregCh#" to "DS FregCh(#)" where "#" is any single character 1. 2, 3, 4, 5 or n.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

We need a variable and register to identify which OFDM channel profile is to be copied.

P 37

SuggestedRemedy

Remein, Duane

In Table 101-1 Add new row

SC 0

"DS copy channel ID | Profile control | 1.1910.6:4 | DS CpyCh10 | 6:4"

In 101.4.1.1. pg 159 line 24 change:

"This is controlled via the DS PrflCpv and US PrflCpv variables." to

"This is controlled via the DS PrflCpv. DS CpvCh and, US PrflCpv variables."

Create variable in 101.4.1.1.2 as follows:

"DS_CpyCh

TYPE: 3-bit unsigned integer

This variable identifies which of the 5 downstream OFDM channel profiles (profile 1 to 5) is to be copied into the downstream profile variables."

Create new register entry as follows:

In Table 45-98g add:

"1.1910.6:4 | DS copy channel ID | Indicates which of the 5 downstream OFDM channel profiles is to be copied."

Change "1.1910.7:4" to "1.1910.7"

Add new section 45.2.1.137.3:

"45.2.1.137.4 DS copy channel ID (1.1910.6:4)

Bits 1.1910.6:4 indicate which one of the five downstream ODFM channel profiles is to be copied. These bits are a reflection of the >>DS_CpyCh<< variable defined in 101.4.1.1." >><< indicate italics text

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 00 SC 0 P 47 L 8 # 4277

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Status A Comment Type

EPoC Message Block or EPoC message block? we use both.

SuggestedRemedy

Use EPoC message block

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.



ez

Tuesday

Final Responses

Draft 2.1

CI 00 SC 45.2.1.163.2 P 49 L 10 # 4303
Anslow, Pete Ciena

"0.25 dBmV/1.6MHz"

Ε

There should always be a (non-breaking) space between a number and its unit. Also, the draft is inconsistent as to whether there are spaces either side of the /

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change this instance from "0.25 dBmV/1.6MHz" to "0.25 dBmV / 1.6 MHz" using non-breaking spaces (Ctrl space) for all four spaces to ensure that it does not break across two lines. Go through the rest odf the draft to make all other instances of similar text consistent.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See comment 4191

Cl 00 SC 45.2.1.166.3 P 51 L 23 # 4193

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

"Bits 1.1959.14:0 indicate which CNU the CLT is to measure the received power on." - there is no information on how these CNUs are identified, i.e., what value is inserted into this register

SuggestedRemedy

Provide information on how the CNU is beign identified.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to:

"When set to a CNU ID bits 1.1959.14:0 indicate ..."

Change xRef to 100.3.3.1.

Update RxPwr_CINU_ID pg 109 line 16 from

"This variable indicate ..." to

"When set to a CNU_ID this variable indicates ..." and eliminate dup entry for this variable.

C/ 100 SC 100.1.4 P73 L3 # 4196

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status A discussed

Dead link: "numbered register for Clause 45 registers." - no way to check all of them in PDF

SuggestedRemedy

Please scrub the draft and make sure all links are live / active.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

- 1. Add cross reference to Clause 45 on Page 73, Line 3.
- 2. Do a sanity check on xrefs in the clause.

C/ **00** SC **100.2.8.2** P **82** L **15** # 4200

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status A Discussed

Wrong format for a NOTE

SuggestedRemedy

Please use the proper style of text that is intended to be marked as an informative NOTE Multiple instances in the document

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to note format. Other instances in the draft will be corrected if noticed.

ΕZ

Draft 2.1

C/ 00 SC 100.3.4 P 110 L 29 # 4264
Laubach. Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Discussed

There are 10 occurrences of "OFDM Symbol Clock" in the draft. Can these now be safely changed to "OFDM Clock"?

SugaestedRemedy

Page 110, Line 29: change Page 110, Line 51: change Page 161, Line 47: change

Page 162, Line 24: change Page 162, Line 26: change and add "frequency"

Page 162, Line 29: change Page 162, Line 32: change Page 162. Line 42: change

Page 162, Line 52: change and add "frequency"

Page 190. Line 11: change

Response Status C

ACCEPT. Changed to CI 00

C/ 00 SC 100.3.4 P110 L 29 # 4272

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status A

First upon of "OFDM Symbol Clock" in Clouds 100 and 101 poods a cross ref

First uses of "OFDM Symbol Clock" in Clause 100 and 101 needs a cross reference to 101.4.3.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Page 110, Line 29: Add the cross refrence "(see 100.3.4)" after "OFDM Symbol Clock" Page 161, Line 47, do the same.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ **00** SC **101.1.4** P **122** L **34** # 4207

Haiduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

gudozenia, ivialek bliglik House Network

Comment Status A

Looking at Figure 101–1, there is only one instance of PMD_UNITDATA.request(tx_unit), which seems to be the same for eacj CPW talking to PMD FUNCTIONS block.

PMD_UNITDATA does not have any individation which of the individual functional blocks is delivering data - how can them PMD FUNCTIONS make any sense of it?

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Consider labelling individual instances of PMD_UNITDATA, e.g., by changing "PMD_UNITDATA.request(tx_unit)" to "PMD_UNITDATA.request(tx_unit, lane_nbr)" and using CPW instance number as parameter - these are just descriptive primitives Similar issue exists in Figure 101-3 but this time with PMD_UNITDATA.indication(tx_unit)

primitive

Response Response Status C

TR

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Was CI 101

In CI 100 Pg 76 line 20 change

"The semantics of the service primitive are PMD_UNITDATA.request(I_value, Q_value). The data conveyed by PMD_UNITDATA.request is a continuous stream of I / Q value pairs. Both I_value and Q_value are encoded as 32-bit signed integers." to

"The semantics of the service primitive are PMD_UNITDATA.request(I_value, Q_value, ChNum). The data conveyed by PMD_UNITDATA.request is a continuous stream of I / Q value pairs. Both I_value and Q_value are encoded as 32-bit signed integers. ChNum indicates which one of five channels the PMD_UNITDATA.request is for."

Pg 77 line 4 change

PMD_UNITDATA.request(I_value, Q_value) to

PMD_UNITDATA.request(I_value, Q_value, ChNum).

In Figure 101-1 change

PMD_UNITDATA.request(tx_unit) to

PMD UNITDATA.request(I value, Q value, ChNum)

Add circle around the PMD_UNITDATA.request lines

Make similar changes for PMD UNITDATA indication including Fig 101-3

Final Responses

Discussed OR

C/ 00 SC 101.4.4.5.1 P 196 L 17 # 4293 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

"ICLK TYPE: clock"

What type of number is "clock"?

SuggestedRemedy

Change definition to read:

"ICI K

TYPE: Boolean"

This clear on read variable is set to TRUE on each positive transition of a clock running a the US DataRate (see 100.2.6.2)."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Per suggestion but use "at the US DataRate" rather than "a the US DataRate"

Editor to check on "TYPE:" vs "Type:"

C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 21 L 24 # 4279 Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane

Comment Type Comment Status A

From 802.3 2015

"1.4.400 time quantum: The unit of measurement for time related parameters specified in Multipoint MAC Control.

NOTE—See Clause 64 and Clause 77. The value of time guantum is defined in 64.2.2.1."

SuggestedRemedy

Add Editing instruction for 1.4.400 using proper change markings follows

"Change the note in 1.4.400 as follows

NOTE—See Clause 64, and Clause 77, and Clause 103. The value of time quantum is defined

in 64.2.2.1."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 01 SC 1.4.134 P 20 L 21 # 4290

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type Comment Status A Т

Align definition of channel with modifications being made in P802.3by (see

http://www.ieee802.org/3/by/public/comments/ comment #104)

"With editorial licence to coordinate with other 802.3 editors...

Change from 802.3by

"1.4.134 channel: In 10BROAD36, a band of frequencies dedicated to a certain service transmitted on the broadband medium (see IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 11), Otherwise, a defined path along which data in the form of an electrical or optical signal passes."

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"Change the definition of 1.4.134 as follows:

1.4.134 channel: In 10BROAD36 and 10GPASS-XR, a band of frequencies dedicated to a certain service transmitted on the broadband medium. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 11, Clause 100, and Clause 101.)"

To:

"Change the definition of 1.4.134 as modified by P802.3bby as follows:

1.4.134 channel: In 10BROAD36 >>_and 10GPASS-XR,_<< a band of frequencies dedicated to a certain service transmitted on the broadband medium. Otherwise, a defined path along which data in the form of an electrical or optical signal passes. (For 10BROAD36 >> and 10GPASS-XR, << see IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 11>>_, Clause 100, and Clause 101)._<<.)" Where >>_ xyz _<< indicates underlined text "xyz"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to:

"Change 1.4.134 as modified by P802.3by as follows:

1.4.134 channel: In 10BROAD36 > and EPoC < a band of frequencies dedicated to a certain service transmitted on the broadband medium. Otherwise, a defined path along which data in the form of an electrical or optical signal passes. (For 10BROAD36, see IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 11> , for EPoC see Clause 100, Clause 101, and Clause 102 <),"

Where > xvz < is underlined text.

Editor is given license to coordinate with P802.3by editor for final text.

C/ 01 SC 1.4.144a P 20 L 26 # 4297 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type Comment Status A

"comprising of" is poor english.

Same issue in 1.4.294b

SuggestedRemedy

Change "comprising of" to "composed of" here and also in 1.4.294b

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

ez

Final Responses

SC 1.4.144a

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 01 SC 1.4.294b P 21 L 1 # 4298 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type E Comment Status A ez "A optical" should be "An optical" "fiber optical" does not occur in 802.3 whereas "fiber optic" occurs 438 times

SuggestedRemedy

Change "A optical" to "An optical" Change "fiber optical" to "fiber optic"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 01 SC 1.4.331 P 21 L 10 # 4299

Anslow. Pete Ciena

Comment Status A Comment Type

Tuesday

Deleting the definition in 1.4.331 and re-numbering will change the definition numbering from that poit onwards for all subsequent amendments as well as the numbering for this draft. Since P2MP occurs 132 times within 802.3 it seems reasonable to have some explanation of the term in addition to the simple expansion in 1.5.

SuggestedRemedy

If there is an issue with the definition of P2MP network, then change the definition to be just for

If the definition has to be deleted, then the numbering of subsequent definitions in the draft have to be changed.

See IEEE Std 802.3bk-2013 (which deleted 1.4.27) for an example of this painful process. The editing instruction: "Insert the following definitions after 1.4.345 "Q" as follows: "would become: "Insert the following definitions after 1.4.344 "Q" (renumbered from 1.4.345 by by the deletion of 1.4.331) as follows:" etc.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove the removal of 1.4.331

Change the wording to:

Change 1.4.331 as follows:

1.4.331Point-to-Multipoint network (P2MP): A network topology based on a centralized station connected to a number end stations. Frames transit the network between the central station and the end stations and do not transit directly from end station to end station. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 64, Clause 65, Clause 76, Clause 77, Clause 101, and Clause 103).

C/ 01 SC 101.5 P 218 L 1 # 4235

Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks**

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

IEEE Std 802.1AS is not included in normative references for latest IEEE Std 802.3 and this ammendment

SuggestedRemedy

Add reference to IEEE Std 802.1AS

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change EditingInstruction pg 20 line 5 from "Insert the following reference in alphabetical order (after "ATIS-0900105.2008" and before "CISPR 22"):"" to

"Insert the following reference in alphabetical order:"

Add "IEEE Std 802.1ASTM-2011 IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks—Timing and Synchronization for Time-Sensitive Applications in Bridged Local Area Networks." after entry for CFR 76

C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 23 L 15 # 4300 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A

"Clause 101" should be a cross-reference here and on line 27

SugaestedRemedy

Make "Clause 101" a cross-reference here and on line 27

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.2 P 1 L 1 # 4270

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Add cross references for Clause 103 to two places in Clause 30.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert editing directives to IEEE editor(s) for "30.3.5.1.2 aMPCPAdminState" AND "30.3.5.1.3 aMPCPMode" to add Clause 103 to cross references; i.e. change "in Clause 64 or Clause 77" to "in Clause 64, Clause 77, or Clause 103".

C/ 30

SC 30.3.5.1.2

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

EΖ

F7

Cl 45 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.2 P 33 L 48 # 4262 SC 45.2.1.4 P 29 L 20 # 4261 Laubach, Mark Broadcom Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status A Discussed ez Verify clause 30 changes with experts 45.2.1.4.b should be inserted after 45.2.1.4.b SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Need to talk with 802.3 Clause 30 experts for sanity check. Make this an AIP if any changes Make editing instruction on line 20: "Insert 45.2.1.4.b after 45.2.1.4.a (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3by-201x) as follows:" need to be made. Proposed Response Response Status Z Delete the "Reserved for future speeds" row from Table 45-6 so only the "10GPASS-XR REJECT. capable" row remains. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Make editing instruction on line 3: "Insert a new row in Table 45-6 below the row for 1.4.11 as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3by-201x Cl 45 SC 45.2. P 40 L **52** # 4315 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Carlson, Steve High Speed Design, Inc. Response Response Status C Comment Status A Comment Type TR Discussed, Reserved registers ACCEPT. The text states: Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.4.b P 29 L 19 # 4301 "Bits 1.1920.15:0 are reserved in the event the MAC address is expanded to 64 bits in the Anslow, Pete Ciena future." Comment Type Comment Status A ez It is not appropriate to suggest a future use for register bits. They should simply be marked as "Insert 45.2.1.4.b before 45.2.1.4.a" should be "Insert 45.2.1.4.b after 45.2.1.4.a" "reserved." Also, there is a spurious " at the end of the editing instruction and also at the end of the subclause text. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove 45.2.1.142.3 Change "Insert 45.2.1.4.b before 45.2.1.4.a" to "Insert 45.2.1.4.b after 45.2.1.4.a" Delete the two spurious instances of " Response Response Status C Response ACCEPT. Response Status C ACCEPT. P 43 Cl 45 SC 45.2. / 13 # 4313 SC 45.2.1.4.b C/ 45 P 29 Carlson, Steve High Speed Design.Inc. L 20 # 4184 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status A ez. formated In Table 45–98r— and Table 43-98g "formated" is incorrect. Comment Type E Comment Status A ez >>Insert 45.2.1.4.b before 45.2.1.4.a (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3by-201x) as follows:"<< -SuggestedRemedy extra " at the end of editorial instructions Change "formated" to "formatted" in both tables. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Remove extra " ACCEPT. Similar change on page 29, line 25 Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Response

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 30 L 14 # 4185 SC 45.2.1.137.2 P 37 L 44 # 4287 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status A ez Т No RO in Table 45-7 This wording "When read as zero this bit indicates no copy is to be initiated." SuggestedRemedy should match the formal definition in 101.4.1.2 No need to include in the draft amendment "This variable is set to FALSE by the PHY on or before completion of the profile copy." Response Response Status C Similar issue in 45.2.1.137.5 REJECT. SuggestedRemedy You only see part of the table, see Section 4 of Std In 45.2.1.137.2 change: Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14a P 30 L 19 # 4302 "When read as zero this bit indicates no copy is to be initiated." Anslow, Pete Ciena "This bit is set to zero by the PMA/PMD on or before completion of the profile copy." Comment Type Comment Status A ez In 45.2.1.137.5 change: The P802.3bw draft (which has completed sponsor ballot) has inserted 45.2.1.14a for register "When read as zero this bit indicates no copy is to be initiated." 1.18. As register 1.17 is before this, 45.2.1.14a should be 45.2.1.14aa. Same issue for Table 45-17a which has to be Table 45-17aa "This bit is set to zero by the PMA/PMD on or before completion of the profile copy." SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Renumber 45.2.1.14a to be 45.2.1.14aa and Table 45-17a to be Table 45-17aa ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.141.1 P 40 L 4 # 4186 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.131 P 31 L 1 # 4281 Comment Type Comment Status A ez Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies "When set to zero the associated CNU ID has not been assigned." - when what is set to zero? Comment Type Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Subclause numbering in Clause 45 will need to be adjusted depending on publication order of Change "When set to zero the associated CNU ID has not been assigned." to "When bit drafts in process. 1.1915.15 is set to zero the associated CNU ID has not been assigned." SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Add the following Editor's note before Editing instruction for 45.2.1.131: "Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): The Clause numbering in Clause 45 will ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE use "When this bits is set to zero ..." need to be updated once the publication order of the various amendments is determined."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response Status C

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.141.1** Page 8 of 28 11/11/2015 11:54:02 AM

Cl 45 P 48 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.142.3 P 40 L 52 # 4187 SC 45.2.1.162.1 L 28 # 4190 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type TR Comment Status A Discussed, Reserved registers Comment Type E Comment Status A There is NO reason to indicate why something is reserved. There can be hundreds of reasons "Bits 1.1949.15:0 through 1950.7:0 form a 24 bit value" - I believe "24 bit" is used as an why these bits might be used and it is not the role of the TF to restrict how future amendments edjective and should be hyphenated are done (or not) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "24 bit" to "24-bit". Also, scrub the rest of the draft for similar use cases and insert remove 45.2.1.142.3 hyphens as needed Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. fix this instance and any others noticed P 42 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.147 / 37 # 4188 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.163.2 P 49 L 10 # 4191 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Status A Comment Type E ez formated Comment Type Ε Comment Status A "UQ34.3 formated number" - I believe it is "formatted" and not "formated" "Response in units of 0.25 dBmV/1.6MHz." - missing space between numeric value and units in "1.6MHz" SuggestedRemedy Change all instances of "formated" to "formatted" SugaestedRemedy Insert the missing space. Make sure all values in the draf have a following space before unit. Response Response Status C There are multiple instances in the draft (quick search shows at least 10 hits for problems with ACCEPT. MHz) Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.149 P 43 / 45 Response Response Status C # 4189 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** See comment 4303 Comment Type E Comment Status A ez Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.165 P 50 / 1 # 4192 "NMW = Multi-word" - only MW is used in the table Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Change "NMW" to "MW" - scrub the rest of the draft Comment Status R Footnote separated from the table Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. only one instance in draft Please make sure footnotes do not get separated from the tables Response Response Status C REJECT. Tables will continue to shift until very close to final draft, and maybe not even then. I know of no easy way to fix this (I tried several), especially without causing excessive white space which the commenter has objected to before. Consider resubmitting this comment near the end of

Sponsor Ballot.

Final Responses

ez

4194

4195

L 22

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

SC 45.2.7a.5.3

This does not read right: "Bits 12.10241.14:0 indicate which CNU on which to measure the MER and report in registers 12.10242 through 12.12287"

P 56

Bright House Networks

SuggestedRemedy

Hajduczenia, Marek

Draft 2.1

Cl 45

Change to "Bits 12.10241.14:0 indicate the CNU on which to measure the MER and report in registers 12.10242 through 12.12287."

Add also information on how this CNU is identified - there is no information on this right now.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to:

"Bits 12.10241.14:0 indicate the CNU ID of the CNU on which to measure the MER and report in registers 12.10242 through 12.12287."

C/ 100 SC 100.1.3 P 71 L 46 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks**

Comment Status A Comment Type E discussed

"mode, is defined in clause, with downstream data rate calculation in 100.2.6.1" - which Clause is it defined in?

SuggestedRemedy

Provide information on which clause the said PMD is defined in (likely, Clause 100)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The next sentence on upstream is more clear and says "in this clause". Looks like the "this" is missing from the downstream.

For line 46, change "in clause" to "in this clause".

C/ 100 SC 100.2 P 93 L 34 # 4314 Carlson, Steve High Speed Design, Inc.

Line 30 uses log (sub)10, yet line 42 uses log10. Are these supposed to be the same?

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Please clarify if line 42 is intended to be log (sub) 10.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Line 42 contains no math. Assumption is comparision of line 30 and line 34. On checking the DOCSIS PHY D3.1 spec, the "10" in "log10" should be subscript.

Change:

Line 30, insert a times symbol between the "10" and the "log10" as similar in Line 34. Line 34, subscript the "10" in "log10".

C/ 100 SC 100.2 P 106 / 1 # 4310 Carlson, Steve High Speed Design,Inc.

Comment Type Comment Status A

Figure 100-4 has some odd shading going on in the squares and circles.

SuggestedRemedy

Redraw figure to remove shading. It looks like the drawing might be non-Frame; if so suggest redrawing it in Frame.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Redraw the figure in Frame, remove shading.

C/ 100 SC 100.2.1.3 P 76 / 33 # 4197

Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks**

EΖ Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Heading "100.2.1.3 PMD UNITDATA.indication" indicates that PMD UNITDATA.indication primitive is to be described, yet the text speaks of PMD_SIGNAL.request primitive. Which is it? It seems (based on CMP version) that in D2.0 the text was correct, but it was mofified incorrectly in D2.0

SuggestedRemedy

Please revert text from D2.0 - it was correct. Current text is NOT. Current text seems to be repetition of text from 100.2.1.4 PMD SIGNAL.request

Response Response Status C

Draft 2.1: Page 76, paragraph from lines 32 to 35. Replace entire paragraph with the paragraph from Draft 2.0. Page 86. Lines 36 through 38.

Final Responses

EΖ

F7

11/11/2015 11:54:02 AM

EΖ

Draft 2.1

C/ 100 SC 100.2.5 P 77 L 22 # 4305 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type Т Comment Status A Discussed OR Mark

There is much more stuff than usual in the "functional specification".

SuggestedRemedy

Finish 100.2 PMD functional specification with 100.2.4 PMD transmit enable function then start a new subclause.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Take existing 100.2.5 thru 100.2.13 move under new L2 header "PMD functional requirements" Rename existing 100.2 to "PMD service interface requirements"

The editor is given license on L2 header titles.

C/ 100 SC 100.2.6.3 P 80 L 8 # 4198 **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek

Comment Status A Comment Type E

"This variable is set to TRUE if the CNU calculation of DS DataRate differs from the DS DataRate calculation communicated from the CLT by more than 10 b/s otherwise the variable

is set to FALSE" - it seems that there should be "." or ":" before the word "otherwise" to separate two independent portions of the sentence

SuggestedRemedy

Insert ":" in indicated location in the description of DS RateMatchFail and US RateMatchFail variables

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Т

C/ 100 SC 100.2.7 P 80 L 19 # 4199

Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks**

"Equipment conforming to this standard shall clearly mark." - equipment is typically labelled, not marked

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change "Equipment conforming to this standard shall clearly mark" to "Equipment conforming to this standard shall be clearly labelled with information about the"

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.3 P 90 L 21 # 4201 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks**

Comment Status A The CLT can only ensure it once: "The CLT ensures ensure the following"

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

Change to "The CLT ensures the following"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.3.1 P 90 / 42 # 4202

Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks**

Comment Type Comment Status A Variable Def

It is not clear what the purpose of Variables is here - there are no SDs to describe this function.

SuggestedRemedy

ΕZ

ΕZ

Remove, ReportedPwr is used only in this definition anyway.

Similar observation for 100.2.11.1 - these variables are not used in any SDs and are referenced just once outside of 100.2.11. 100.3.3.1 is another example of self-serving variables with no explicit need.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

ReportedPwr is communicated across the PHY Link from each CNU to the CLT.

Our convention is that all managed variables are formally defined in a separate subclause as is the case here.

Pg 90 line 20 add:

"The CNU shall report its transmit power using the variable ReportedPwr when requested by the CLT."

Update PICS

For PHY Link managed variables, isolate these variables into a separate sub-clause titled "PHY Link managed variables"

Add the following intro para to the end of the intro [par for Tables 100-1, 101-1 and 102-3 "These variables are used by the PHY Link for PHY management."

C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.4.2 P 93 L 34 # 4203

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Is it log<sub>10 or log10?

SuggestedRemedy

Line 30 uses log<sub>10 and here it is just log 10 - are they intended to be the same?

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #4314

C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.4.3 P 95 L 22 # 4205

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Discussed

"P1scaled = P1 \times (0.4 MHz)/(Measurement Bandwidth (MHz) used in Table 100-7)" - this is incomprehensible. If this is equation, what is the purpose of MHz in it? If it is expected to be descriptive, then these all items should be bulleted and formatted accordingly

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Page number changed to 95. Line 22, remove "(MHz)". C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.4.3 P 95 L 29 # 4204

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Discussed

Three issues here:

(1) equation number seems to be part of the equation itself

- (2) different multiplication characters used note "x(0.4" where "x" is used and not a proper multiplication character
- (3) is the Round operand rounding up or down or in some other way? Use floor / ceil functions which are already defined

SuggestedRemedy

Per comment

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

1) Accept: change multiplication symbols to be consistent

2) AIP: Floor/ceiling are down/up to the nearest integer, use of Round() in this equation is to round to the nearest 0.1. Add sentence following EQ 100-18: "The notation Round(x) as used in Equation 100-18, represents a rounding function which returns the value of its argument x rounded to the nearest 0.1." Change from "{" and "}" in EQ 100-18 to parenthesis, remove the ",0.1" from the equation.

C/ 100 SC 100.2.9.5.1 P 97 L 9 # 4267

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Discussed

Geoff explained to me that we need to be clear with using "MDI connector" when we mean the connector versus just "MDI". In reviewing, I noticed that Table 100-11 CNU RF output requirements needs a minor adjustment and there is no MDI connector entry in table 100-3 CLT RF output requirements. I believe that fixing the two tables builds the necessary association allowing the EPoC to use "F connector" elsewhere in the text.

SuggestedRemedy

Page 99, Line 21: change "Connector" to "MDI connector" in first column of table. Duplicate this last row of Table 100-11 in Table 100-3 and insert as the last row on Page 83, Line 47.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 100 SC 100.2.10.2 P 100 L 21 # 4308 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status A discussed

Was resolution to TR comment 4167 implemented? I see that the resolution to T comment 3910 deletes the fix made by the resolution to 4167.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "post-FEC frame loss ratio of 10-6 with 1500 byte MAC packets" to "less than or equal to 10-6 frame loss ratio both with both 64-byte and 2000-byte Ethernet frames". Similarly in 100.2.12.2.

Also, revise "Large bursts consisting of several 1500 byte MAC packets," in each list to agree or put the "both 64-byte and 2000-byte Ethernet frames" in the lists only.

Be consistent with base document: MAC packets or Ethernet frames?

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Thanks for catching this, it looks like 3910 interferred with the AIP from comment 4167.

Change: update draft as per remedy. Use "Ethernet frames".

C/ 100 SC 100.2.10.2 P 100 L 25 # 4309 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status R Tuesday, discussed

This is still very indirect as a requirement on the PMD. Compare:

95.1.1 Bit error ratio

The bit error ratio (BER) shall be less than 5 x 10-5 provided that the error statistics are sufficiently random that this results in a frame loss ratio (see 1.4.223) of less than 6.2 x 10-10 for 64-octet frames with minimum interpacket gap when processed according to Clause 91. If the error statistics are not sufficiently random to meet this requirement, then the BER shall be less than that required to give a frame loss ratio of less than 6.2 x 10-10 for 64-octet frames with minimum interpacket gap when processed according to Clause 91.

SuggestedRemedy

Please add some guidance as to what the PMD itself is expected to do, e.g. an error ratio for the OFDM/OFDMA time domain samples at the PMA service interface. Even if this is qualified (e.g., "sufficiently random") as above it would still give the reader a starting point.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Inside the receive direction PMD everything is inside the time domain (pre FFT) and all signal processing is done in the time domain, where there are no relevant statistics related to error ratios. All decoding and error performance is in the frequency domain (post FFT) in the PMA. Even SNR evaluation (using equalized modulation error ratio MER) can only be done immediately after processing by the FFT when analyzing the QAM symbol in each decoded OFDM/A subcarrier. Note for EPoC, the PMD receiver is essentially a pre-amp (with slope correction and assuming wideband conversion), an AGC, and an A/D converter that produces the time domain samples delivered across the PMD service interface.

4307 C/ 100 SC 100.2.10.2 P 101 L 16

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Discussed

Was resolution to TR comment 4171 implemented? I see that the resolution to T comment 3910 deletes the fix made by the resolution to 4171, which says change to "This section describes the conditions at which the PMD, PMA, PCS in conjunction are required to meet this error ratio".

SuggestedRemedy

Insert "This section describes the conditions at which the PMD, PMA, PCS in conjunction are required to meet this error ratio", or better.

"This section describes the conditions at which the CLT PMD when connected to a compliant PMA and PCS is required to meet this frame loss ratio", and change subclause title to "CLT receiver error ratio performance in AWGN channel". Similarly for CNU receiver.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

- 1) Select the "or better" and insert as the first sentence of paragraph on Page 101, Line 17. "This section describes the conditions at which the CLT PMD when connected to a compliant PMA and PCS is required to meet this frame loss ratio." Do similar for Page 104 Line 5.
- 2) Comment 3883 against D2.0 changed the title of 100.2.12.2 to "CNU error performance in AWGN channel" to remove the word "rate". Suggest doing the same for title of 10.2.10.2 and removing "ratio" to be consistent.

4263 C/ 100 SC 100.2.11 P 102 L 24 Broadcom

Laubach, Mark

Comment Type Comment Status D Discussed

Look at RX MER vs RX MER SC(n) and see if these can be made the same.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace RX MER with <ital>RX MER(n)</ital> where appropriate.

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

EΖ

EΖ

F7

Draft 2.1

C/ 100 SC 100.2.12 P 103 L 9 # 4304 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ 100.2.11 CLT upstream receive modulation error ratio requirements follows 100.2.10 CLT receiver requirements while 100.2.12.3 Receive modulation error ratio requirements comes under 100.2.12 CNU receiver requirements. SuggestedRemedy Put 100.2.11 under 100.2.10. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 100 SC 100.2.12.3 P 106 / 1 # 4206 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks**

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Fancy shady background in Figure 100-4 in individual function blocks

SuggestedRemedy

Redraw with no colous in individual boxes and addition symbols, at best in Frame (seems like it is external drawing right now)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #4310

C/ 100 SC 100.3.3 P 118 L 28 # 4269

Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Reword as only one upstream OFDMA channel

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "in a specified OFDMA channel"

Response Status C Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Mistype, comment is regarding Page 108. Line 42. Otherwise, as per comment.

P 351 C/ 100A SC 100A.1 L 11 # 4268 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type Comment Status A т Strengthen the relationship of the topology to the baseline channel conditions.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "The normative EPoC OFDM channel parameters are based on the topology shown in Figure 100A-1" to

"The normative EPoC baseline channel conditions and OFDM channel parameters are referenced to the topology shown in Figure 100A-1"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SC 100A.2 P 340 C/ 100A L 9 # 4265 Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type Fix case and TLA problems in table notes for Table 100A-1.

SuggestedRemedy

Line 9. NOTE 4: lower case "Frequency"

ER

Line 12, NOTE 7: lower case words "Reference, Live Video" and "Interference"

Comment Status A

Line 14, NOTE 8: lower case words "Worst Case Frequency; Good" and "Analog", expand "PAR" to "peak-to-average ratio (PAR)"

Line 17 and 18, NOTE 10: lower case "Bandwidth" and "Levels"

Line 18, NOTE 10: "ReDesign" comes up in searching for "ReDesign channel model" on the web suggesting this is referencing something. AIP this comment to add an appropriate reference and/or change. (Have to check with experts to find what this means.)

Line 20, NOTE 11: lower case "Clipping" Line 21. NOTE 12: lower case "Minimum"

Line 22, NOTE 13: lower case "Single Dominant" and "Does"

Line 23, NOTE 14: lower case "Definition, Echo"

Line 24. NOTE 15: lower case "Basis"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

4210

4211

4212

ez

C/ 100A SC 100A.3 P 342 L 10 # 4266 C/ 101 SC 101.2 P 126 L 3 Laubach, Mark Broadcom Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type ER Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status R Т Fix case and TLA problems in table notes for Table 100A-2. Unnecessary wordiness "The Reconciliation sublayer used for 10GPASS-XR is identical to that described in 76.2." SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Line 10, NOTE 1: lower case "Loss" Change to "See 76.2." Line 12, NOTE 3: change "DS" to "downstream" Line 14, NOTE 4: lower case "Report" Response Response Status C Line 17, NOTE 6: lower case "Upstream" REJECT. Line 18. NOTE 7: lower case "Single Dominant" We are not so limited on bits that we can't be this explicit and clear for the benefit of the reader. Line 19. NOTE 8: lower case "Definition, Echo" Response Response Status C C/ 101 P 130 SC 101.3.2.1.3 / 6 ACCEPT. Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status A C/ 101 SC 101.1.4 P 122 L 34 # 4208 Inconsistent formatting for "DS PHY OSize" vriable. I suspect it was intended to be all in Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type TR Comment Status A ez SuggestedRemedy Looking at Figure 101-1, 4 out of 5 instances of CPW are marked as "CPW5" - I believe the Per comment numbers on individual CPW instances should match numbers on IDFT i.e., 2, 3, 4, and 5. The same observation applies to INTERLEAVING & PILOT INSERTION blocks, 4 out of 5 of Response Response Status C which are also labelled as "5". ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.1.5 P 131 L 1 Fix numbers for CPW and INTERLEAVING & PILOT INSERTION blocks Similar numbering problems exist in Figure 101-3 for FFT and DE-INTERLEAVING blocks **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A ACCEPT. It seems that from D2.0 to D2.1, font type was changed in Figure 101-6, causing problems with readability for += and -= symbols C/ 101 SC 101.1.4 P 125 L 45 # 4209 SugaestedRemedy Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Please use the proper font for SDs, per IEEE Style Manual, Table 1 Comment Type Comment Status A ez Response Response Status C Extra "\" character in "\FFT = FAST FOURIER TRANSFORM" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedy Font was changed based on comment # 3980. Font, and Font size are consistent with Style Manual (9 pt Arial, as in most 802.3 SDs). remove the extra "\" Response Response Status C In Figure 102–16—CLT PHY Link transmit process state diagram pg 255 ln 23 there are 3 instances of "EPCH Len -=" with no argument, correct this. ACCEPT. Editors to consult with other TF Editors and Staff Editors to see if some solution can be found. If no solution is found expand to arg = arg - arg1

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.1.5 P 131 L 33 # 4275 C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 141 L 39 # 4213 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A ez Figure title should include "state diagram" in the following figures: Is there any specific reason to use curly brackets with ceil function in this location: Figure 101-6 {(1800+40)/65}. Figure 101-7 SuggestedRemedy Figure 101-12 Change to ((1800+40)/65) Figure 101-13 Figure 101-15 Response Response Status C Figure 101-16 ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 142 add per comment C/ 101 L 1 # 4317 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A From the Floor Comment #3832 did not get implemented properly. C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.4 P 140 L 16 # 4273 SuggestedRemedy Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Changed Comment Type Comment Status A Ε Tuesday "TYPE: 32-bit unsigned integer" Wording can be clarified on Steps 2 & 4 "TYPE: 32-bit signed integer" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change: ACCEPT. "2) If remaining B blocks in burst < BQ = 220 blocks and %>=% 101 blocks, create and encode a long codeword and shorten to remaining blocks and end the burst with this encoded (same as maintance Req #1282) codeword." to "2) If remaining B blocks in burst < BQ = 220 blocks and %>=% 101 blocks, create and encode C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 142 L 50 # 4214 a long codeword, shortened to accommodate the remaining blocks and end the burst with this Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** codeword." Comment Status A Comment Type E ez Missing "=" symbol in "bits<1:32> the current PHY Link timestamp" - for consistency with the "4) If remaining B blocks in burst < BQ = 76 blocks and %>=% 25 blocks, create and encode a surrounding text medium codeword, shorten to remaining blocks and end the burst with this encoded codeword." to SuggestedRemedy "4) If remaining B blocks in burst < BQ = 76 blocks and %>=% 25 blocks, create and encode a Change "bits<1:32> the current PHY Link timestamp" to "bits<1:32> = the current PHY Link medium codeword, shortened to accommodate the remaining blocks and end the burst with this timestamp" codeword." Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 142 L 50 # 4215 C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 145 L 16 # 4217 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type ER Comment Status R Tuesday Comment Type Comment Status R ez Incorrect format for NOTE: "Note: in the CLT the lastcodeword argument to this function is The text of the PDF when copied into clipboard contains a lot of unprintable characters: BurstTimeHeader() □ always TRUE (see Figure 101-12)." - please apply a correct style The BurstTimeHeader() function returns a 65-bit vector with the following values: SuggestedRemedy bit <0> = binary $1\sqcap$ Per comment bits<1:32> the current PHY Link timestamp □ Also, three locations in 101.4.2.1.2 bits<33:64> = a fixed value of 0xD858E4AB. □ This 65-bit vector is transmitted as the first 65-bit block of an upstream burst. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy REJECT. The text is using Paragraph Tag "Note" as are the two notes in 101.4.2.1.2 (the editor cannot This is the only draft currently in circulation that has this issue - it was not present in D2.0. find a third note in 101.4.2.1.2). This is consistent with the WG template. Please fix it! Having to remove such garbage from text every time anything is copied from the document is C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 P 146 L 17 # 4218 annoying and time conusming. Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Response Response Status C Comment Type ER Comment Status A discussed REJECT. The text in question uses Paragraph Tag "DefinitionList" per the current 802.3 template. ELSE. Else. or else? Copying and pasting from the template example includes the same unprintable character (which SuggestedRemedy is a manual line break). This is consistent with the current template. There is not known solution to this issue that the Editors are aware of. Please use consistent capitalization. The same applies to UTC, TRUE, FALSE, which just makes it harder for a reader to figure out whether true and TRUE when used on the same SD C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 144 L 47 # 4216 are the same or not ... Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Response Response Status C Comment Type T Comment Status A ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ez Change 2 instances of "ARRAY IN[] to the PMA using" - since you do not expect ARRAY IN to be empty, it should "true" to "TRUE" (pg 146 lin 16 & 155 ln 7) be referenced by name without empty [] and 1 instance of SuggestedRemedy "false" to "FALSE" (pg 142 line 27) Change "ARRAY INII to the PMA using" to "ARRAY IN to the PMA using" as the TF agree to use these exclusively some time ago. Change all instances of UTC to UCT Response Response Status C ELSE, Else, & else are all used in the standard as was noted in rejected "E" comment # 3839 ACCEPT. against draft 2.0 and will not be changed. C/ 101 SC 101.3.3.1.6 P 153 L 30 # 4219 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status A ez Missing "is" in "This variable used for counting bits in the Transfer from PMA process." SuggestedRemedy Change to "This variable is used for counting bits in the Transfer from PMA process." Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause. Subclause. page. line

C/ 101 SC 101.3.3.1.6 Page 17 of 28

11/11/2015 11:54:02 AM

Black Sq

4276

4316

Tab101-7 note b

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response Status C

In order to maintain consistency with other SD's indent these more (6 non breaking spaces).

P 154 C/ 101 SC 101.3.3.1.8 L 19 # 4220 C/ 101 SC 101.3.3.1.8 P 156 L 21 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A discussed Two exit conditions from PMA CLIENT not needed, especially that they end up in the same Figures needing conversion to FM native format state anyway 101-8 CRC40 generation 101-17 FEC Decoder, output process state diagram (CNU) SuggestedRemedy 101-18 Idle control character insertion process state diagram Remove one of transitions and change condition on the other one to "PMA_CLK * (burstEnd = 101-32 Upstream symbol mapper idle loop state diagram TRUE + burstEdn = FALSE)" 101-33 Upstream symbol mapper fill process state diagram 101-40 BPSK Response Response Status C 101-41 16-QAM ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 101-42 8-QAM The path from PMA CLK * burstEnd = FALSE should return to state PMA CLIENT not 101-43 32-QAM WAIT FOR CALL SuggestedRemedy C/ 101 SC 101.3.3.1.8 P 155 L 36 # 4221 Convert to native FrameMaker formate. Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** See remein_3bn_10_1115.pdf Comment Type T Comment Status A Response Response Status C If you use the if/else statement within state diagram states, it would be helpful to identify the end/start of a multi-line block with {} ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy C/ 101 SC 101.4 P 162 L 54 In state DECODE FAIL, surround Carlson, Steve High Speed Design, Inc. tx code<0> | | !dataOut<loc> | tx code<1> □ □ dataOut<loc> □ Comment Status A Comment Type TR with {} Footnote text states:

"b Nonetheless, it is expected that the CNU would be able to achieve downstream acquisition in less than 30 seconds."

SuggestedRemedy

The purpose of this footnote is unclear. The requirment from Table 101–7—Downstream time and frequency synchronization is shown as:

Acquisition Time < 60 seconds b.

Which is it, 30 or 60 seconds? If the requirement is 60, but the note states that 30 seconds is the real number, why not say that? Otherwise, the note simply adds confusion.

Remedy: Delete footnote b, or change the value to 30 in Table 101-7.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See Comment #4222 C/ 101 SC 101.4 P 214 L 11 # 4311 Carlson, Steve High Speed Design, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status A ez, Black Sq Figure 101–40—BPSK has black squares---are these supposed to be dots? Same for 101-41, 101-42, 101-43, SuggestedRemedy Suggest redrawing the figure in Frame to get the correct dots. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See remein_3bn_10_1115 and commments #4232 and #4276 C/ 101 P 159 SC 101.4.1 19 # 4306 Dawe. Piers Mellanox

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Please add another few sentences: something like "The PMA translates a serial stream of bits to scrambled, superheated, whitened OFDM/OFDMA time domain samples and vice versa. It also provides timing, whatever else."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Т

At pg line add:

The transmit PMA sub-layer translates a serial data stream into a stream of IQ data pairs using the following functions: data scrambler, symbol mapper, interleaver (CLT only), Pilot Insertion, Inverse Discrete Fourier Transform (IDFT), and Cyclic Prefix insertion. The receive PMA sub-layer translates a stream of IQ data pairs into a serial data stream using the following functions: Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), equalization, Pilot processing, de-interleaver (CNU only), symbol de-mapper, and data de-scrambler.

At pg 161 line 45 replace Inverse Discrete Fourier Transform (IDFT) with IDFT

Comment Status A

The new introductory text is welcome but it doesn't say what the PMA does.

C/ 101 SC 101.4.1.2 P 159 L 40 # 4288

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Definition of DS CpvInP & DS CpvInP don't indicate when set to FALSE.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to each definition

"This variable is set to FALSE by the PMA/PMD when the copy is completed."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.2 P 162 L 54 # 4222

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Discussed, Tab101-7 note b

Footnote b) is completely pointless. If sub-30 second acquisition time is expected, make it a requirement. Otherwise, it is meaningless - the requirement is for up to 60 seconds. There are no shades of gray here.

SuggestedRemedy

Strike foonote b)

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.4.5 P 165 L 44 # 4223

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status A

We do avoid the use of "will" apart from some very specific cases - this is not it: "the PHY will treat the subcarrier as null"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "the PHY will treat the subcarrier as null" to "the PHY treats the subcarrier as null"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.6.5 P 171 L 36 # 4224

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status A iscussed, variable def location

CntPltSF is only used in equation 101-9 and should be defined under the equation and not in a separate subclause.

SuggestedRemedy

Move definition of CntPltSF variable under equation 101-9 and extend the already existign text "CntPltSF is the continuous pilot scaling factor" to include all necessary details. Update all cross references in the text (2 locations total) to point to 101.4.3.6.4

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

For PHY Link managed variables, isolate these variables into a separate sub-clause titled "PHY Link managed variables"

Add the following intro para to the end of the intro [par for Tables 100-1, 101-1 and 102-3 "These variables are used by the PHY Link for PHY management."

C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.9.2 P 177 L 1 # 4225 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status A OR Ε Designations in the figure would be clearer to read if there was a multiplication symbol between J and numeric value SuggestedRemedy Insert "x" (proper multiplication symbol) between J and preceding numeric value. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Use Ctrl-q 0

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Unnecessary redirection: values are expressed in "samples" which are later one explained to be "samples refers to OFDM Clock periods (1/204.8 MHz)"

P 188

18

4226

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 101

Change "samples" to "OFDM Clock periods (1/204.8 MHz)" since this is what they are. Apply consistently in the whole draft (another prime example is in 101.4.4.10.1)

Response Status C

SC 101.4.3.12.1

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

There are 41 instances of the word "samples" in the draft, many of which are not objectionable. Change per suggestion in the definition of DSNcp, DSNrp (in 101.4.3.12.1), USNcp & USNrp (in 101.4.4.10.1), while also removing the note "samples refers to OFDM Clock periods (1/204.8 MHz)"

lajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A**Missing full stop after "downstream Frequency Band as per Table 100–3" in Table 101-12

Missing full stop after "downstream Frequency Band as per Table 100–3" in Table 101-1

SuggestedRemedy

Add missing "."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.2.1

P 189

Huawei Technologies

L 50

4289

Remein, Duane

Comment Type

Comment Status A

Comment Status A

nment Type **T** Comme
Shouldn't 204 MHz be 204.8 MHz?

TR

SuggestedRemedy

Change to 204.8 MHz

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.3.5

P 192

/ 21

4294

Remein, Duane

Comment Type

Huawei Technologies

Discussed

"This Boolean variable is used to reset the frame timing state"

There is no such state.

"The variable is set to TRUE by the frame timing function and may be advanced or delayed when the CLT performs a write to the PhyTimingOffset variable."

There is no such function.

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"This Boolean variable is used to reset the frame timing state. A transition from FALSE to TRUE will cause the state diagram to reset to the beginning of the RB Superframe when SCLK goes TRUE."

to

ez

"This Boolean variable is used to reset the frame timing state diagram. A transition from FALSE to TRUE will cause the state diagram to reset to the beginning of the RB_SUPERFRAME_RESET when SCLK goes TRUE."

Not sure what to do about this:

"The variable is set to TRUE by the frame timing function and may be advanced or delayed when the CLT performs a write to the PhyTimingOffset variable."

There is nothing in the draft about setting this variable to TRUE

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

As per comment with the addition of a xref to figure plus change:

"The variable is set to TRUE by the frame timing function and may be advanced or delayed when the CLT performs a write to the PhyTimingOffset variable." to:

"The variable is set to TRUE on any reset or any Link-down condition (as defined in Table 102–14)."

Remove "" from END variable definition

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.3.6 P 193 L 1 # 4228 C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.9.1 P 210 L 18 # 4296 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A Ε Figure 101–31 seems to contain a lot of "squeezed" text, where transittion condition text is very "Where:" clauses are using an incorrect style. close to the edge of the state block. Transitions out of COUNT_RB_SYMBOLS state are very pg In EQ 210 18 101-35 good examples 218 16 101-38 SuggestedRemedy 218 31 101-39 Please move the text of transition conditions lower, so that it does not "touch" the edge of any SuggestedRemedy of states or other transition lines. There is plenty of space, no need to squeeze in Use paragraph tag VariableList per current template Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. For state transitions beginning with "SCLK" try to enter the text between the state and the ACCEPT. transition line being very careful not to merge the underscred with the transition line. C/ 101 SC 101.4.5.2 P 214 L 5 # 4232 C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.5.1 P 195 L 37 # 4229 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status A ez. Black Sa Comment Type TR Comment Status A Figure 101-40, Figure 101-41, Figure 101-42, and others have black squares, which I believe Despite various attempts. I could not locate what "FILLWORD<>" is and what it represents. It were intended to be dots. is a very odd notation. What is even more confusing is that there seem to be two notitations: SuggestedRemedy FILLWORD and FillWord used and it's not clear whether they are one and the same or not. Please redraw in Frame to make squares look like proper dots SuggestedRemedy Use consistent notation if FILLWORD and FillWord are intended to be the same. Response Response Status C Also, when referencing array, you could just say "array FillWord" or just "FillWord" with proper ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. formatting and that will point to it being a variable, and cause reader to look for its definition. See remein 3bn 10 1115 and Comments #4276 and #4311. Response Response Status C C/ 101 SC 101.4.5.3 P 214 L 23 # 4233 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek Use "FillWord" in all instances (9x) without "<>" unless refering to specific bits. Comment Type ER Comment Status A C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.5.1 P 195 L 44 # 4230 Microprint in equations Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status A Discussed Some of the symbols are 6-point and very hard to read. Please increase the font size! Is there any specific reason why values for END enumeration are shown in ""? In all other The problem persists in multiple equations in the draft, e specially at the end of Clause 101 locations, values are not marked in any specific way Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

C/ 101 SC 101.4.6.1 P 217 L 43 # 4271
Laubach, Mark Broadcom

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Change "upstream Subcarrier Clock" to "the upstream Subcarrier Clock frequency" Page 217 Line 47 insert "frequency" after "Subcarrier Clock"

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 101 SC 101.5 P 218 L 1 # 4234

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Title of 101.5 is incorrect - an 802.3 project cannot create extensions to 802.1AS standard

SuggestedRemedy

Change title of 101.5 to "Applicability of IEEE Std 802.1AS, Clause 13 for EPoC time transport"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 101 SC 101.5 P 218 L 11 # 4236

Haiduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Incorrect reference to IEEE Std 802.1AS

SuggestedRemedy

Change "In 13.1.4 of IEEE STD 802.1AS 2011 "Time synchronization in EPON"," to "In IEEE Std 802.1AS. 13.1.4."

Change "defined in 802.1as, clause 13.1.4" to "defined in IEEE Std 802.1AS, 13.1.4"

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 101 SC 101.5.1 P 218 L 8 # 4241

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Discussed. Bill

The technical aspect of text in 101.5.1 is described in an unclear manner. 802.1AS does not know anything about ToD_EPOC_CLTXi and rather than define new variables, a simple addition should be simpler

SuggestedRemedy

Change equation 101-38 to read: ToDX,i += T_CORR_CLT.
Remove definitions of ToD_EPOC_CLTX,i and DiffDelay_CLT

Change definition of T_CORR_CLT to read: "is equal to 0.5 x DiffDelay (see 101.5.4)"

Change the text preceding the equation to read: "The CLT using the timing and synchronization mechanism defined in IEEE Std 802.1AS, Clasue 13 shall recalculate the value of ToDX,I (see IEEE Std 802.1AS, 13.1.4) using Equation (101-38)."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change the definition of ToD EPOC CLTx,i from:

"is the time of day at the future MPCP counter value i being sent to CNUi, ..."

"is the time of day at the future MPCP counter value X being sent from the CLT to CNUi. ..."

C/ 101 SC 101.5.1 P 218 L 11 # 4237

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Disussed, Bill

Optional requirements??? "for EPoC the following future time at the future MPCP frame should be substituted for ToDX,i:" and "each CNUi should correct the xxx future time value received from the CLT for its own CNU PHY time delay asymmetry as follows"

SuggestedRemedy

Consider whether these two optional requirements are really required. My personal suggestion is to have them removed (changed to Present Simple tense statement instead)

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Per comment (Ichange "should be" to "is" 2x) and also add to the end of the para in 101.5: "CLTs and CNUs should use the methods described in this 101.5.1, 101.5.2, and 101.5.3." (Note comment #4239 moved 101.5.4 to 101.5.3)

Add PICs statement:

G8 | Time synchronization support | 101.5.3 | Methods described in 101.5.4.1, 101.5.4.2, and 101.5.4.3 supported. | O

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Tuesday, Discussed, Bill

Based on the existign text in 101.5.2 and also equation 101-39, it is not clear what time reference value the CNU should be correcting: ToD_EPOC_CLTXi received from the CLT? Local time from the CNU? The way the equation is structured right now, it seems that the CNU calculates the value of ToD_EPOC_CLTXi, which is also calculated in 101-38.

SuggestedRemedy

The utility of equation 101-39 is unclear.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See Response to comment #4242

C/ 101 SC 101.5.2 P 218 L 24 # 4242

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Tuesday, Discussed, Bill

There is something wrong with equation 101-39. If the left side is substituted with 101-38 we have then:

ToDX.i+T CORR CLT = ToD EPOC CNUX.I+T CORR CLTi

Given that correction factor for CLT side is constant for the given CLT, we have

ToDX,i = ToD EPOC CNUX,I

which is not correct

SuggestedRemedy

I believe in equation 101-39, term "T_CORR_CLTi" should be "T_CORR_CNUi", which would be also consistent with definitions under the equation

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change Eq 101-39 from:

"ToD_EPOC_CLTX,I = ToD_EPOC_CNUX,I + T_CORR_CLTi" to:

"ToD EPOC CNUX,I = ToD EPOC CLTX,I + T CORR CNUi"

Add after Eq 103-39:

"(i.e., CNU time = CNUi future time sent by CLT + CNUi correction factor)"

Add after Eq 103-38:

"(i.e., future time sent by CLT to CNUi = 802.1AS future time + CLT correction factor)"

Cl 101 SC 101.5.2 P 218 L 26 # 4240

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type T Comment Status A Discussed

What is "xxx" in "each CNUi should correct the xxx" ???

SuggestedRemedy

Seems that "xxx" can be removed without any loss of information

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 101 SC 101.5.3 P 218 L 38 # 4238

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Discussed

Standard do not need to explain how specific values / formulas were obtained. If the material was presented and it is publicly available, it is sufficient to have the specific calculations available there for future references

SuggestedRemedy

Remove 101.5.3

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE

Add at the beginning of 101.5.3

"The following material is provided to assist IEEE Std 802.1AS implementers in understanding how to apply IEEE Std 802.3AS, 13.1.4 for effective time synchronization within the EPoC environment. This subsection illustrates the intended use of the time variables defined in 101.5.3 and the derivation of this time synchronization methodology for EPoC." (Note comment #4239 renumbered 101.5.4 to 101.5.3)

C/ 101 SC 101.5.4 P 219 L 24 # 4239

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status A iscussed, variable def location

Variables should be defined in equations and not create separate subclause for them, and then cross reference them from within definitions under equations

SuggestedRemedy

Move definitions of individual variables to where they are first defined under equations 101-38 and 101-39

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Our convention is that all managed variables are formally defined in a separate subclause as is the case here

Move Clause 101.5.4 above current 101.5.3 Derivation of Methodology so it is close to the equations where it is used.

4274 C/ 103 C/ 102 SC 102.2.3.1.4 P 247 L 18 SC 103.2.2.1 P 297 L 5 # 4245 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A ez Supurfelous period in figure title Can DS FEC CW Sz be negative? Similarly, DS FEC Prty Sz, DS FEC Pld Sz, and other variables which clearly have only positive values SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy removed. Change type to "unsigned integer" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Change the listed variables to unsigned integer C/ 102 SC 102.3.5.3 P 259 / 49 # 4291 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.1 P 297 / 6 # 4247 Comment Type Comment Status A Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** US PhyLinkMod Comment Type T Comment Status A TYPE: 4 bit integer What is the purpose of "(DS_FEC_Pld_Sz + DS_FEC_Prtv_Sz)" statement? But this is not an integer but a 4-bit binary enumeration. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove, FEC codeword is defined elswhere (not in Clause 103) Change type to binary, Change 4 bit to 4-bit Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE ACCEPT. Change "(DS_FEC_PId_Sz + DS_FEC_Prty_Sz)" to "and is equal to the sum of DS_FEC_Pld_Sz and DS_FEC_Prty_Sz. C/ 103 SC 103.1 P 289 / 32 # 4282 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.1 P 297 L 11 # 4246 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status A missing space in "Clause 31and" Comment Type Comment Status A ez Incorrect multiplication symbol in DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC SuggestedRemedy changed to "Clause 31 and" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change "*" to proper "x" multiplication symbol ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. (Ctrl Q 0)

C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.1 P 297 L 47 # 4248 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type TR Comment Status R Discussed No changes to time guantum as defined in 64.2.2.1 SuggestedRemedy Change "This constant is defined in 64.2.2.1 and is 16 ns." to "See 64.2.2.1." Similarly, for other variables which are taken over from Clause 64/77, do not copy the text over into this clause - it is a mayhem later on for maintenance) but only reference them. If you're trying to do a completely independent clause, then do not reference back to Clause 64/77 Response Response Status U REJECT. This was discussed in the TF and it was agreed that, for variables defined in Cl 64/77 we would reference the normative definition and provide an informative (no "shall") explanation to avoid making the reader swap back and forth between sections of the standard. C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.1 P 297 L 50 # 4249 **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Comment Status A ez Type "TYPE:Unsigned integer" should be "TYPE: unsigned integer" SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.3 P 298 L 23 # 4250 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type ER Comment Status A Why do we need an alias to a constant? SuggestedRemedy rather than create a reference mayhem, consider shortening the name of constant and use it directly and not create two redirection levels. That is harder to read. Remove fecCwSz and fecPldSz, consider shortetning names of respective constants and making them more user friendly

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Now that DS FEC CW Sz FRAC's alias, fecCwSz, is only use in pseudo code we can remove this alias and replace all instances of it with DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC.

C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.3 P 300 L 16 # 4285

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type Comment Status A Discussed Т

What does this mean "This variable represents octet transmission times in 128 time quantum."

SuggestedRemedy

From 64.2.2.1 tqSize

This constant represents time quantum in octet transmission times.

VALUE: 2

From 77.2.2.1 taSize

This constant represents time quantum in octet transmission times.

VALUE: 20

Change definition to read:

"This variable represents 128 time quantum in octet transmission times."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to:

"This variable represents the number of octets that can be transmitted in 128

<italic>time quantum<italic> units; i.e., 2048 ns."

C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.7 P 303 L 1 # 4251

Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks**

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Different fonts (Times and Arial) in the same SD

SuggestedRemedy

Compare states ADVANCE BY 1 and START DERATING TIMER - I understand that either is allowed, but let's not mix them on the same SD. They just look odd.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Use Arial

C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.7 P 304 L 22 # 4278

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A

In Figure 103–9 transmitInProgress[i] = FALSE crosses a line

SuggestedRemedy

nudged left

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 103

SC 103.2.2.7

Page 25 of 28 11/11/2015 11:54:02 AM

ez

C/ 103 P 329 SC 103.3 L 36 # 4312 C/ 103 SC 103.3.3.1 P 312 L 17 # 4253 Carlson, Steve High Speed Design, Inc. Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Fig 103-26 Comment Type E Comment Status A Eq 101-32 Figure 103-26—REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU is not referenced in the test. Dead link: "see Equation 101-31" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove Figure 103-26 if it is not needed; otherwise provide a text reference. Per comment Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE See comment #4259 (adding ref). AIP see 4254 C/ 103 SC 103.3.5.1 C/ 103 SC 103.3.3.1 P 311 L 26 # 4252 P 320 L 41 # 4255 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A ez "8 bit" in "8 bit unsigned integer" is an adjective and should be hyphentated "VALUE: 0x03B9ACA0 (1 s)" - division of a value into 8 bit groups with - helps with readability SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "8 bit unsigned integer" to "8-bit unsigned integer" globally Consider changing larger hex values to 0xaa-bb-cc-dd format. Here, change "VALUE: 0x03B9ACA0 (1 s)" to "VALUE: 0x03-B9-AC-A0 (1 s)" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE Here and also pg 252 line 11 and pg 321 line 37 SC 103.3.3.1 P 312 C/ 103 L 17 # 4254 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** SC 103.3.5.2 C/ 103 P 321 L 1 # 4284 Comment Type TR Comment Status A Eq 101-32 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies RB time quanta is NOT defined in Equation 101-31 Comment Type Comment Status A Т In D2.1 we replaced "BurstTimeHeader()" with "BurstTimeHeaderC()" which was incorrect. SuggestedRemedy Please provide correct reference where the said variable is defined SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Replace "BurstTimeHeaderC()" with "BurstTimeHeader() (see 101.3.2.5.7)" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C s/b Eq 101-32 ACCEPT.

4257

Draft 2.1

Cl 103 SC 103.3.5.2 P 321 L 28 # 4286

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Definition of effectiveLengthC is overly complex:

This variable is used for temporary storage of a normalized net time value. It holds the net effective length of a grant normalized for elapsed time, and compensated for the periods required to turn the RF on and off, and waiting for receiver lock."

Note that RF On/Off time is always 0 as is receiver lock time.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to:

"This variable is used for temporary storage of a normalized net time value. It holds the net effective length of a grant normalized for elapsed time."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

"This variable is used for temporary storage of a normalized net time value. It holds the net effective length of a grant, normalized for elapsed time."

C/ 103 SC 103.3.6 P 328 L 1
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

If there are no changes, all text in lines 3 and 4 is irrelevant.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "MPCPDU structure and encoding in EPoC is as described in 77.3.6 with the exceptions noted below. The

MPCPDU structure shall be as shown in Figure 77-31." with "See 77.3.6."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change

"MPCPDU structure and encoding in EPoC is as described in 77.3.6 with the exceptions noted below. The

MPCPDU structure shall be as shown in Figure 77–31." to

"The generic MPCPDU structure and encoding in EPoC is as described in 77.3.6. The MPCPDU structure shall be as shown in Figure 77–31. Changes to specific MPCPDU messages are noted below."

C/ 103 SC 103.3.6.1 P 328 L 10 # 4256

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

"The Sync Time and Discovery Information fields described in 77.3.6.1 are not used in EPoC and are always set to zero on transmit and ignored on reception." - if that is always set to zero, this should be either a requirement (if setting it to another value breaks anything) or not (then convert it just to statement, without the use of -always-)

SuggestedRemedy

Depending on implementation, setting these fields into non-zero values might imply something to CLT, suggest to convert "are always set" to "shall be set"

Similar change in 103.3.6.3 for REGISTER REQ description

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Per comment add PICS statement.

C/ 103 SC 103.3.6.2 P 328 L 12 # 4258

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

If there are no changes, all text in lines 14/15 is irrelevant. Also, is there any reason to reference Clause 64 here???

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The REPORT MPCPDU used in EPoC is the same as that described in 77.3.6.2 (see 64.3.6.1)." to "See 77.3.6.2."

Similar changes also to 103.3.6.5

Proposed Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Final Responses

Final Responses

C/ 103 SC 103.3.6.3 P 329 L 1 # 4259

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type T Comment Status A discussed, Fig 103-26

Unnecessary Figure 103–26 - it is not referenced in the text anyway.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Figure 103-26

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. On pg 328 line 19 change

"The REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU used in EPoC ..." to

"The REGISTER REQ MPCPDU used in EPoC and illustrated in Figure 103-26 ..."

See comment #4312

C/ 103 SC 103.3.6.4 P 329 L 40 # 4260

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status A discussed, OOS

Irrelevant information as far as the MPCPDU structure is concerned: "In EPoC the Sync Time field is calculated using rfOnTime, rfOffTime rather than the laserOnTime and laserOffTime used in 77.3.6.4" - this should be clear from calculations in individual SDs, based on which content of individual MPCPDU is filled in.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace text in lines 41-43 with "See 77.3.6.4." Remove Figure 103–27

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

An unqualified reference to CI 77 would result in a technical error (use of laserOn/Off time instead of RFON/OFF time). Change "The REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU used in EPoC ..." to "The REGISTER_REQ MPCPDU used in EPoC and illustrated in Figure 103-27 ..."