

**Cl 01**    **SC 1.1**                      **P 28**        **L 10**        # **4318**  
Hajduczenia, Marek                      Bright House Networks

**Comment Type**    **E**                      **Comment Status**    **D**                      *EZ, 802.1AS Fmt*  
Wrong format for IEEE 802.1AS

*SuggestedRemedy*  
Change to "IEEE Std 802.1AS<sup>TM</sup>-2011<, > IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks—

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **W**  
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
There is a special character for the trademark symbol (Ctrl-q \*)

**Cl 01**    **SC 1.1**                      **P 28**        **L 4**        # **4319**  
Hajduczenia, Marek

**Comment Type**    **E**                      **Comment Status**    **D**                      *EZ*  
Wrong subclause

*SuggestedRemedy*  
Is "1.1" and should be "1.3"

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **W**  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

**Cl 01**    **SC 1.4.331**                      **P 29**        **L 16**        # **4320**  
Hajduczenia, Marek                      Bright House Networks

**Comment Type**    **TR**                      **Comment Status**    **D**                      *P2MP def*  
There are issues with the new definition of P2MP

*SuggestedRemedy*  
Change "connected to a number end stations" to "connected to a number of end stations"  
Strike statement: "Frames transit the network between the central station and the end stations and do not transit directly from end station to end station." - we do not restrict ONU/CNU to ONU/CNU communication, if one desired to deploy links between them - these are outside of the scope of our definitions.

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **W**  
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Include the "if" in the 1st sentence.  
Donot strike the phrase regarding end station to end station communications. If ONU/CNUs could communicate directly it would not be P2MP but MP2MP

**Cl 01**    **SC 1.4.331**                      **P 29**        **L 19**        # **4321**  
Hajduczenia, Marek                      Bright House Networks

**Comment Type**    **E**                      **Comment Status**    **D**                      *EZ, and and*  
Extra "and" in "Clause 64 and Clause 65"

*SuggestedRemedy*  
mark this "and" as strikethroug and replace with underlined ", "

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **W**  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

**Cl 30**    **SC 30.3.5.1.3**                      **P 32**        **L 10**        # **4322**  
Hajduczenia, Marek                      Bright House Networks

**Comment Type**    **TR**                      **Comment Status**    **D**                      *OLT/CLT*  
Changes to 30.3.5.1.3 make no sense - Clause 103 does not define OLT and ONU

*SuggestedRemedy*  
Enumeration for aMPCPMode should be extended to include CLT and CNU  
Then behavior description "An interface that can provide the Multipoint MAC Control sublayer functions specified in Clause 64 and Clause 77 operates as an OLT when this attribute has the enumeration "OLT".  
When this attribute has the enumeration "ONU", the interface acts as an ONU."  
should be augmented to read as follows:  
An interface that can provide the Multipoint MAC Control sublayer functions specified in Clause 64 and Clause 77 operates as an OLT when this attribute has the enumeration "OLT".  
An interface that can provide the Multipoint MAC Control sublayer functions specified in Clause 103 operates as an CLT when this attribute has the enumeration "CLT".  
When this attribute has the enumeration "CNU", the interface acts as an CNU.

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **W**  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

**Cl 45**    **SC 45.2.1.149**                      **P 42**        **L 1**        # **4323**  
Hajduczenia, Marek                      Bright House Networks

**Comment Type**    **E**                      **Comment Status**    **D**                      *EZ, NW?*  
"NW = Multi-word" does not exist in Table 45–98s

*SuggestedRemedy*  
Change "NW = Multi-word" to "MW = Multi-word"

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **W**  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 100.3.4.2 P 90 L 33 # 4324  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Incorrect format of NOTE

*SuggestedRemedy*

It should be "NOTE-" and not "NOTE:"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Note: emdash is ctrl q shift q

Also:

Change two occurrences in Table 100-5, page 93, lines 35 and 39.

Change page 115, line 46.

Change in Figure 101-10, page 149, line 19.

Change page 155, line 16.

Change two occurrences on Page 171, lines 48 and 52.

Change page 173, line 35.

Change page 185, line 40.

Change in Figure 101-29, page 198, line 4.

Change in Figure 101-35, page 216, line 25.

Change in Figure 101-36, page 217, line 22.

Change in Figure 101-37, page 220, line 16.

Change in Figure 101-38, page 220, line 45.

Change page 229, line 25.

Change page 249, line 12.

Cl 00 SC 100.3.6.2 P 110 L 20 # 4325  
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Per "3.2.7 MAC Client Data field", "Ethernet implementations shall support at least one of three maximum MAC Client Data field sizes defined as follows", but it does not guarantee that envelope frame is supported. Is it implied that EPoC requires the support of envelope frames?

*SuggestedRemedy*

Add statement on what types of frames are required by EPoC, or alternatively list all possible frame sizes supports by 3.2.7

Similar change on line 34 would be required

Similar changes in 100.3.7.2 as well

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Summary of AIP: 1) restructure to move all conditions into the dashed list, 2) amend 100.3.6.2 and 100.3.7.2 text to cross reference appropriate CL 4A subclauses, these in turn reference 3.2.7, the CL 4A references in turn reference 4A.2.7.1 for maxEnvelopeFrameSize (which is 2000 bytes). This is to move explicit frame sizes out of CL100 and reference the places where they are specified for the Ethernet standard, and 3) add a note to beginning of 101.3.1 PCS overview on recommendation for supporting maximum length envelope frames (see 3.2.7), even though this may be redundant give the largest codeword size information word of 16200 bits, consider/discuss at resolution.

+++++

Clause 100.3.6.2, Page 110

Line 20, change the entire paragraph preceding the dashed list to read:

"The CLT shall achieve a received frame loss ratio of less than or equal to 10<sup>6</sup> under the following input load and channel conditions:

Line , insert new dashed list line after "Input power.." line:

"- Received signal having a CNR greater than or equal to that shown in Table 100-13."

Line 34, change "- Large bursts consisting of both 64-byte and 2000-byte Ethernet frames." To

"- Large bursts consisting of frames of any allowed sizes, including bursts consisting only of minimum-size frames (4A.2.3.2.4) and bursts consisting only of maximum-size frames (4A.2.4.2)."

Clause 100.3.7.2, Page 113

Line 10, change the entire paragraph preceding the dashed list to read:

"The CNU shall achieve a received frame loss ratio of less than or equal to 10<sup>6</sup> under the following input load and channel conditions:

Line 27 , insert new dashed list line after "Average power.." line:

"- Received signal having a CNR greater than or equal to that shown in Table 100-15."

Line 30, change "- Large bursts consisting of both 64-byte and 2000-byte Ethernet frames." To

"- Large bursts consisting of frames of any allowed sizes, including bursts consisting only of minimum-size frames (4A.2.3.2.4) and bursts consisting only of maximum-size frames (4A.2.4.2)."

Clause 101.3.1, Page 136,  
Line 26, add the following note:  
"NOTE-Many implementations of the transmit and receive buffers might be dependent on the maximum length of frame supported. It is recommended that these frame buffers should be sized to accommodate maximum length envelope frames (see 3.2.7). It is also recommended that the FEC function should accommodate maximum length envelope frames."

**Cl 00**    **SC 101.1.4**    **P 133**    **L 40**    # **4326**  
Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Networks

**Comment Type T**    **Comment Status D**  
"PMD\_SIGNAL.request()" has no argument

**SuggestedRemedy**

Either show it with no argument as "PMD\_SIGNAL.request" or (preferred approach) show the argument

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
Change to "PMD\_SIGNAL.request"  
Globally search for PMD\_SIGNAL.request() and change as appropriate.  
Also in Fig 102-3  
Pg 84 ln 48, 120 ln 39

**Cl 101**    **SC 101.3.3.1**    **P 166**    **L 16**    # **4327**  
Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Networks

**Comment Type ER**    **Comment Status D**

Different font styles in SEND\_OUT\_BLOCK state in Figure 101-17  
Also, figure 101-17 is visually different from 101-16 for some reason (boxes are thicker, font is larger, etc.) - please fix style in Figure 101-17

**SuggestedRemedy**

Per comment  
Similar issue with multiple other SDs in the draft - some have thin lines (seems like these are drawn in Framemaker) and some have thick lines (I suspect these are drawn in Visio and imported into Frame)

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
In Fig 101-17 correct Font in state SEND\_OUT\_BLOCK,  
Convert lines around state boxes to 0.5 pt. in Fig 101-17, 18, 31, 32, & 33

**Cl 103**    **SC 103.2.2.3**    **P 312**    **L 11**    # **4328**  
Hajduczenia, Marek    Bright House Networks

**Comment Type T**    **Comment Status D**

Is there any reason for tqSizeC to be marked as Integer?

**SuggestedRemedy**

Change to "unsigned integer" - it is always positive

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status W**  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

**Cl FM**    **SC FM**    **P 1**    **L 30**    # **4329**  
Anslow, Pete    Ciena

**Comment Type E**    **Comment Status D**    **EZ**

"IEEE P802.3bn Working Group review." should be "IEEE P802.3bn Working Group ballot recirculation."

**SuggestedRemedy**

Change "IEEE P802.3bn Working Group review." to "IEEE P802.3bn Working Group ballot recirculation."

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status W**  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

**Cl 01**    **SC 1.1**    **P 28**    **L 10**    # **4330**  
Anslow, Pete    Ciena

**Comment Type E**    **Comment Status D**    **EZ, 802.1AS Fmt**

In "IEEE Std 802.1ASTM-2011", the "TM" is for Trademark, so should be a superscripted TM symbol.

**SuggestedRemedy**

In "IEEE Std 802.1ASTM-2011", make the "TM" a superscripted TM symbol as per page 13, line 13.

**Proposed Response**    **Response Status W**  
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 01 SC 1.4.134 P 28 L 21 # 4331  
 Anslow, Pete Ciena  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ  
 "as modified by P802.3by as follows:" should be "as modified by IEEE Std 802.3by-201x as follows:"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change "as modified by P802.3by as follows:" to "as modified by IEEE Std 802.3by-201x as follows:"  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 01 SC 1.4.331 P 29 L 20 # 4332  
 Anslow, Pete Ciena  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ, and and  
 In "(See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 64 and Clause 65, Clause 76, Clause 77, Clause 101, and Clause 103)", there are two instances of "and" and "Clause 103" should be underlined.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Show "Clause 64 and Clause 65" as being changed to "Clause 64, Clause 65"  
 Underline "Clause 103"  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 01 SC 1.4.400 P 29 L 34 # 4333  
 Anslow, Pete Ciena  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ  
 In "See Clause 64, Clause 77, and Clause 103.", the first comma has been added but is not underlined and the two clause references should be forest green.  
 In "The value of time\_quantum is defined in 64.2.2.1." the reference to 64.2.2.1 should be forest green.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Show the first comma in underline font and apply the character tag "External" to "Clause 64", "Clause 77", and "64.2.2.1"  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 30 SC 30.3.5.1.2 P 31 L 37 # 4334  
 Anslow, Pete Ciena  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 In the editing instruction, "Behaviour Defined As" should be "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS" to match the text below.  
 Same issue in the editing instruction for 30.3.5.1.3  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 In the editing instruction, change "Behaviour Defined As" to "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS"  
 Make the same change in the editing instruction for 30.3.5.1.3  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 30 SC 30.3.5.1.3 P 32 L 10 # 4335  
 Anslow, Pete Ciena  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D OLT/CLT  
 Clause 103 has devices operating as a CLT not as an OLT. Similarly, Clause 103 has devices operating as a CNU not as an ONU.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Show "... operates as an OLT when ..." changing to "... operates as an OLT or a CLT when ..."  
 Also, show "... the interface acts as an ONU." changing to "... the interface acts as an ONU or a CNU."  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Coordinate changes with comment #4322

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.4 P 37 L 3 # 4336  
 Anslow, Pete Ciena  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 As the P802.3by amendment is very likely to complete before P802.3bn, that amendment will have to show bit 1.4.10 as Reserved.  
 A comment has been submided against P802.3by D3.0 to make this change.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change the editing instruction to: "Change the reserved row for 1.4.10 in Table 45-6 as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3by-201x as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"  
 Show the Name entry as changing from "Reserved"  
 Show the Description entry as changing from "Value always 0"  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.4.b P 37 L 22 # 4337  
 Anslow, Pete Ciena  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ  
 Spurious " at the end of 45.2.1.4.b (as noted in comment 4301).  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Delete the "  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.14aa P 38 L 17 # 4338  
 Anslow, Pete Ciena  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 The editing instruction needs to include more information.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change the editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.1.14aa and Table 45-17aa before 45.2.1.14a as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3by-201x as follows:"  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.149 P 52 L 1 # 4339  
 Anslow, Pete Ciena  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ, NM?  
 "NW = Multi-word" should be "MW = Multi-word"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "NW = Multi-word" to "MW = Multi-word"  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 100A SC 100A.2 P 354 L 18 # 4340  
 Anslow, Pete Ciena  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 In D2.1, "DOCSIS" had a registered trademark symbol and footnote: "DOCSIS is a registered trademark of CableLabs." This has disappeared in D2.2  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Reinstate them.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 See comment #4359

CI 100 SC 100.2.1.4 P 84 L 48 # 4341  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D  
 "101.3.2.5.7 needs to be an xref  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Make it so.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.3.4.1 P 90 L 10 # 4342  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D  
 put a space between the minus sign and "9.4 MHz", supposed to read like an equation.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 100 SC 100.3.4.2 P 91 L 19 # 4343  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D  
 In Table 100-3, lower case "Format"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 100 SC 100.3.5.2 P 99 L 15 # 4344  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D  
 In Equation 100-10, put a mult symbol between "10" and "log<sub>10</sub>". Do the same for Equation 100-11, Line 48.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 100 SC 100.3.7.2 P 113 L 10 # 4345  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D  
 Make "2000-byte" no breaking.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED REJECT.  
 Target text disappears when #4325 is applied.

Cl 100 SC 100.4.4 P 118 L 23 # 4346  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D  
 Paragraph is of wrong type, change to T.text  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 100 SC 100.4.4 P 119 L 4 # 4347  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Change table to not leave a hanging single row.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 100 SC 100.3.4.5 P 87 L 53 # 4348  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D  
 Remove space in "Neqport "  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 100 SC 100.3.5.2 P 90 L 27 # 4349  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D  
 In "Pmax" the "max" may need to be capitalized, italicized, and subscripted. Look for other occurrences and editor to make consistent within this clause, e.g. line 40 same page.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.3.4.5.1 P 92 L 16 # 4350  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Look for occurrences of "X db" in paragraph text and change the space to a non-breaking space here and elsewhere as appropriate.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.3.5.6 P 99 L 49 # 4351  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Remove period for this "See Table 100-2." entry for "Modulation format" row. Editor to check for consistency of "see <xref>" entries in tables.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.3.7.3 P 114 L 35 # 4352  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 Use of "10xlog10" in RxMER subclauses and Page 115, Line 17, Figure 100-4 change to "10xlog<sub>10</sub>".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 In RxMER subclauses, change any occurrences as per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.3.5.4.3 P 104 L 2 # 4353  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 Change "10xlog10" to "10xlog<sub>10</sub>".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.3.7.1 P 105 L 35 # 4354  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 In Table 100-14, Use of "10xlog(24)" change to "10xlog<sub>10</sub>(24)". I checked with the technical author of this material.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.3.7.3 P 106 L 27 # 4355  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 In equation 100-19, chage "10log<sub>10</sub>" to "10xlog<sub>10</sub>"; i.e. add the explicit multiplication sign for consistency.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 100 SC 100.3.7.3 P 98 L 37 # 4356  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 In Equation 100-9, add a multiplication symbol between "10" and "log"  
 Page 99, Line 15, same for 100-10, line 48, same for Equation 100-11.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 100A SC 100A.2 P 354 L 13 # 4357  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Put a comma after the first "<sub>0</sub>".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 100A SC 100A.3 P 356 L 15 # 4358  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 lower case "Upstream". line 16 change "an" to "any"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 100A SC 100A P 354 L 18 # 4359  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D  
 Return the copyright (c) and footnote for the use of DOCSIS that was in Draft 2.1. This  
 disappeared in D2.2.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 100A SC 100A.2 P 354 L 14 # 4360  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 These got dropped from Draft 2.1: Expand "NCTA" to "National Cable & Telecommunications  
 Association". Line 18, expand "UCER" to "uncorrectable codeword error rate"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.3.2.1.5 P 141 L 26 # 4361  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ  
 Text extends outside UPDATE\_COUNTERS box. Resize/position box to fix.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.



CI 00 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 154 L 37 # 4362  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

In other places, function pseudo code begin with the word "function" and the font size is a little smaller. Make all function code blocks look consistent.

*SuggestedRemedy*

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Changed to CI 00

Create a Paragraph Tag for Code:

Name – code

1st indent – 18 pt

Left – 18 pt

Line space – 11 pt.

Tabs: 36 pt repeated every 18 pt

Font: Courier New, 9 pt.

All else normal

Apply to all pseudo code in the draft.

Begin all pseudo code with the keywords

Function function\_name( param1, param2, ... ) {

where:

function\_name is the name of the function defined by the code.

param1, param2 - is a list of parameters required by the function

Include code located at:

Pg In

153 7

153 52

154 37

155 5

312 43

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.2 P 173 L 45 # 4363  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Change "\*" characters to multiplication symbols. Change "log" to "log<sub>10</sub>" in both occurrences.

*SuggestedRemedy*

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.4.5 P 176 L 28 # 4364  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Make "DS\_ModTypeSC(1)" non breaking

*SuggestedRemedy*

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.8.3 P 185 L 8 # 4365  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom

Comment Type E Comment Status D line spacing

The superscript "Ai(k)" trespasses in to line above it. See if can fix line spacing or similar to prevent the overlap.

*SuggestedRemedy*

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Move offending text to numbered eq and replace the embeded refer to new Eq.

See similar comment #4386

CI 101 SC 101.4.3.10 P 193 L 53 # 4366  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Consider doing a "keep with next 2", to keep it with next two lines on same page.

*SuggestedRemedy*

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.12 P 198 L 13 # 4367  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ  
 The "t" of "output" is running into the vertical dashed line. Consider providing a little bit of space to avoid overrun.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Also move "DSNrp" outside of arrow markers in 4 places.

Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.13 P 200 L 6 # 4368  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 In Table 101-12 resize "Item" column a little larger to prevent the "cy" hyphenation.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Make offensive hyphenated word non-breaking (Esc n s):  
 Row 1/2 - configuration  
 Row 3 - placement  
 Row 4 - indexing, frequency  
 Row 5 - frequency  
 Row 6 - Spectrum  
 Row 7 - overlap

Cl 101 SC 101.4.4.3.5 P 203 L 11 # 4369  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ  
 sentence missing ending period, add it.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 101 SC 101.4.4.4.4 P 205 L 49 # 4370  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ  
 "show" should be "shown". Consider changing "below." to "below:"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 101 SC 101.5.4 P 231 L 21 # 4371  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Paragraph indenting looks odd after the first line. Fix if needed.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 Change to para tag T, Text

Cl 00 SC 101.6.4.10 P 238 L 44 # 4372  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Do a keep with next for the heading and the following table.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 Chnaged to Cl 00, apply to all PICS clauses.  
 Try  
 1) removing all empty para marks.  
 2) change "Start" param in all PICS Tables to anywhere

Cl 102 SC 102.1.4.2.1 P 247 L 27 # 4373  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ  
 Sentence missing terminating period, add it.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 102 SC 102.2.7.4 P 266 L 18 # 4377  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ  
 Missing period at end of sentence, add it.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 102 SC 102.1.8 P 249 L 10 # 4374  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ  
 "Clause 45" needs to be an xref.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 102 SC 102.2.7.5 P 267 L 38 # 4378  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 "LEN(PhyTx Fifo) = 0" needs a little separate from the horizontal line above.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.  
 As per comment  
 FmLen < MaxMBlen (ln 39) could use some more room also.

Cl 102 SC 102.2.1.1 P 253 L 11 # 4375  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ  
 Dashed lines should be set to "send to back" or what is need to avoid the visual overlap.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 102 SC 102.3.5.5 P 272 L 28 # 4379  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type T Comment Status D  
 This subclause has not content, remove it.  
 Line 31, subclause 102.3.5.6 has no content, remove it.  
 Line 37, need a space between "in" and "Figure 102-18".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.  
 Page changed to 272

Cl 102 SC 102.2.7.1 P 263 L 7 # 4376  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ  
 Missing ending period on lines 7, 12, 18, 22, 28. (Note there is a period ending linse 37, 41, 45, and 51.)  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 102 SC 102.4.1.1 P 274 L 32 # 4380  
Mark, Laubach Broacom

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Did we get rid of "+=" notation? If so, this one has to go also.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix if needed.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

++ is still used in pseudo code, just omitted it from SD's.

Cl 102 SC 102.4.1.4 P 275 L 40 # 4381  
Mark, Laubach Broacom

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

Make "32-bit" non-breaking.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 102 SC 102.4.1.6 P 278 L 44 # 4382  
Mark, Laubach Broacom

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Please a return between "CNU\_ID" and "Allocation" to avoid hyphenation.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 102 SC 102.4.1.8 P 279 L 24 # 4383  
Mark, Laubach Broacom

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Needs period at end of sentence.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 102 SC 102.4.9.2 P 281 L 5 # 4384  
Mark, Laubach Broacom

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Font is size 9 on lines 4 through 7, reset to size 10.

Line 25 and 30, put a period at end of sentence.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 102 SC 102.4.2.2 P 283 L 9 # 4385  
Mark, Laubach Broacom

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Change from parenthetical to type note.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

"NOTE--" (long dash, Ctrl q Shft Q)

Cl 102 SC 102.4.2.4 P 285 L 42 # 4386  
Mark, Laubach Broacom

Comment Type E Comment Status D line spacing

Superscripts are running into text of line above. Increase line spacing slightly on this or something else to prevent the overlap.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See cmt#4365

Move the polynomial to a new numbered Eq and Ref in text as:

"defined by the polynomial in Equation (102-X) seeded"

---

CI 102 SC 102.4.2.7 P 287 L 33 # 4387  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ  
 4 Lines 34 through 38 are font size 9, set to font size 10.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

CI 56 SC 56.1.2.2 P 63 L 30 # 4391  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Convert to non-breaking space in "100 Mb/s"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

CI 102 SC 102.5.4.3 P 296 L 9 # 4388  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ  
 Remove period from end of sentence for consistency.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

CI 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 31 L 16 # 4389  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Convert to non-breaking spaces in "10 Gb/s" and "1.6 Gb/s". Do same on line 27.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment.  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

CI 56 SC 56.1 P 61 L 30 # 4390  
 Mark, Laubach Broacom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status D  
 Add non-breaking spaces to numbers and units for "10 Gb/s" and "1 Gb/s" (four places)  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 As per comment  
 Proposed Response Response Status W  
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.