PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 1 SC 1.4.277a	P 28	L 47	# i-1		CI 45 SC 45.2.	I.14aa	P 38	L 17	# i-4
Anslow, Peter	Ciena Corpora	ition			Anslow, Peter		Ciena Corpo	ration	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D			ΕZ	Comment Type E	Com	ment Status D		EZ
draft is inserting a new	nent is expected to be approve definition for "MultiGBASE-T" s as 1.4.277b, but a comment	which should b	be 1.4.277a .		In "Insert 45.2.1.14 201x as follows:", " SuggestedRemedy			2.1.14a as inserte	ed by IEEE Std 802.3by-
SuggestedRemedy					Change "after" to "	pefore".			
Change the editing ins segment" and before 1 Change the definition t	truction to: "Insert the following .4.277a (as inserted by IEEE o be 1.4.277aa	g definition afte Std 802.3bq-20	er 1.4.277 "mixing 01x) as follows:"		Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCI	,	onse Status W		
Proposed Response	Response Status W				C/FM SC FM		P 1	<i>L</i> 1	# i-5
PROPOSED ACCEPT					Hajduczenia, Marek		Bright House		
C/ 1 SC 1.4.294b	P 29	L 5	# i-2		Comment Type E	Com	ment Status A		+REV+ Sed
Anslow, Peter	Ciena Corpora	ition			Based on IEEE P8	02.3by enter	ing sponsor ballot ir	n November 2015	5, IEEE P802.3bq and
Comment Type E "optical distribution net and Maintenance (OAN	Comment Status D work (ODN)" should be after <i>A</i>)"	1.4 296 "Opera	tions, Administration	<i>EZ</i> n,	P802.3bq showing showing showing approval i	approval in . n August 201	June 2016, and the 16, it seems likely th	published timelin hat that IEEE P80	ished timeline for IEEE e for IEEE P802.3bp)2.3by will be the nd IEEE P802.3bn will
SuggestedRemedy	.,						to IEEE Std 802.3-		
,	1.4.296a and add appropriate	editing instruc	tion		SuggestedRemedy				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W				Std 802.3(TM)-201	5 as amende 2.3bq(TM)-2	ed by IEEE Std 802 01X, IEEE Std 802.	.3bw(TM)-2015),	'Amendment of IEEE IEEE Std 802.3by(TM)-
C/ 30 SC 30.3.5.1.		L 11	# i-3		Response		onse Status C		
Anslow, Peter	Ciena Corpora	ition			ACCEPT.				
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			ΕZ					
acts as a CLT. When t CNU."	o say "When this attribute has his attribute has the enumerat PRIATE SYNTAX section of 30	ion "CNU", the	interface acts as a						
"OLT" and "ONU"		,							
SuggestedRemedy									
Add enumerations of " 30.3.5.1.3	CLT" and "CNU" to the APPR	OPRIATE SYN	TAX section of						
Proposed Response	Response Status W								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/FM S	CFM	P 13	L 14	# i-6	C/ 1	SC 1.4	4.331	P 29	L 16	# <u>i-7</u>
ajduczenia, M	larek	Bright House	Network		Hajduczeni	a, Marek	ĩ	Bright Hous	e Network	
omment Type	E	Comment Status A		+REV+ Sed	Comment 7	Гуре -	TR	Comment Status D		ML/G
the likelihoo the third an 2015; (b) us	od that IEE nendment se of the (be updated based on: (a) the a EE P802.3by will be the second , and IEEE P802.3bp will be the TM) symbol only on the first ins , with other amendment descrip	d amendment, IE e fourth amendm stance; and (c) a	EE P802.3bq will be nent to IEEE Std 802.3-	stations ONU/C	s and do NU to Ol are outsid	not trar NU/CNI de of the	nes transit the network betw nsit directly from end statior U communication, if one de e scope of our definitions.	n to end station." -	we do not restrict
uggestedRem	nedy				per cor					
[1] The follo 201x':	owing text	should be inserted prior to the	existing text 'IEE	E Std 802.3bn(TM)-	Proposed F		e	Response Status W		
IEEE Std 8 Amendmer Clause 96.	nt 1This a This ame	015 amendment includes changes t ndment adds 100 Mb/s Physica aters for operation on a single b	al Layer (PHY) s	pecifications and	ONU/C		NU/CN	U communication is not sup through a bridge above 80		PHY and such
IEEE Std 8				pail copper cable.	C/ 30	SC 30)	P 31	L 1	# i-8
Amendmer	nt 2This a	amendment includes changes t			Hajduczeni	a, Marek	Ξ.	Bright Hous	e Network	
Clause 105	through (Clause 112, Annex 109A, Anne nendment adds MAC paramete	x 109B, Annex 1	10A, Annex 110B, and	Comment 7	Γνρε Ι	F	Comment Status A		+RF
IEEE Std 802.3bq-201x Amendment 3This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2015 and adds Clause 113 and Annex 113A. This amendment adds new Physical Layers for 25 Gb/s and 40 Gb/s operation over balanced twisted-pair structured cabling systems. IEEE Std 802.3bp-201x Amendment 4This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2015 and adds Clause 97 and 98. This amendment adds point-to-point 1 Gb/s Physical Layer (PHY) specifications and management parameters for operation on a single balanced twisted-pair copper cable in automotive and other applications not utilizing the structured wiring plant. [2] Insert "Amendment 5" before the current descriptive text for IEEE Std 802.3bn(TM)-				ayers for 25 Gb/s and tems. 3-2015 and adds sical Layer (PHY) e balanced twisted-pair ructured wiring plant.	also he the roa This ap Suggested For exa ones): Change	elp staff e d oplies to a <i>Remedy</i> ample, aF	editorial aPhyTyj PhyType in alpha	nderstand that this object is combine individual amendr pe, aPhyTypeList, aMAUTy e is being modified by all 5 anumeric order a single line AX	nents into a single pe amendments (this	e base document down
201x								ype as shown below." to In		ric order a single line
Response ACCEPT IN		Response Status C PLE.			for "10GPASS-XR" type into the APPROPRIATE SYNTAX list of 30.3.2.1.2 aPhyType (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bw-2015, IEEE Std 802.3by- 201X, IEEE Std 802.3bq-201X, and IEEE Std 802.3bp-201X) as shown below.					
REVISED Per comme	ent excent	[2] (WG Chair has not vet ann	ounced the orde	r of this amendment)	Response			Response Status C		
Per comment except [2] (WG Chair has not yet announced the order of this amendment)			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Insert "(as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bw-2015, IEEE Std 802.3by-20xx, IEEE Std 802.3bc 20xx, and IEEE Std 802.3bp-20xx)" Note this is the syntax agreed with IEEE staff editors.							

CI 45 SC 45.2 P 33 L 6 # i-9	C/ 00 SC 45.2.1.147 P 51 L 1 # i-12
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ No need to show unchanged rows. SuggestedRemedy EX Change editorial instructions to read: "Change reserved row 12 through 28 as shown below (unchanged rows are not shown)" Strike rows 0 through 11, 29 through 31 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 45 SC 45.2.1.131 P 39 L 1 # i-10 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type ER Comment Status A +REV+ DR Sed Cl45 renum	Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV-4 It is not clear why DS PMA/PMD data rate is chopped up in such an unreadable format: bits 15:0 first, followed by bits 2:0, followed by bits 31:16, followed by Reserved space and followed by bits 36:32 The same applies to Table 45-98r SuggestedRemedy Suggest the following order: 1.1927.15:0 -> bits 36:21 (call it fixed, upper) 1.1925:15:0 -> bits 20:5 (call it fixed, middle) 1.1925:15:14 -> bits 4:3 (call it fixed, bottom) 1.1925:10:0 -> Reserved Similar changes for Table 45-98r
Registers 45.2.1.133 through 45.2.1.137 are already allocated by P802.3bw, which will likely be published before .3bn SuggestedRemedy move registers 45.2.1.131 - 165 to 45.2.1.138 - 172 and renumber accordingly Renumber also Tables to make sure there is no conflict with projects in Sponsor Ballot or approved. Response Response Status REVISED Coordinate with other clause 45 editors and change clause numbering as agreed, register numbering remains as is. Tables will be renumbered per comment i-371 (resolution copied below) Editors to consult with WG Secretary and IEEE staff editors for preferred resolution.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Changed to CI 00 The mapping assigns the least significant bit to the lowest numbered register/bits and the highest significant numbers to the most significant bits. Reserved bits are at the logical top of the structure. This is a logical order from a machine readable point of view. Change the note accompanying tables 100-1, 101-1 & 102-3 regarding MSB/LSB to : "The least significant bit in each variable is mapped to the lowest numbered bit in the lowest numbered register for Clause 45 registers." C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.149 P 52 L 1 # i-13 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.144 P 49 L 32 # i-11 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	Comment Type E Comment Status R +REV+ Table footnote got separated from table SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ You might likely want to list full register number: "Registers 1.1923 and 1922 form an offset" Suggested Remedy EZ Suggested Remedy Change to "Registers 1.1923 and 1.1922 form an offset" EZ	Please make sure there are no runaway footnotes to tables Response Response Status REJECT. Standards are professionally edited by IEEE editors prior to publication
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

Comment ID i-13

Page 3 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:28 PM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	A.4 P 64 Bright House	L 18 Network	# i-14	Cl 100 Hajduczenia	SC 100.1.4 Marek	P 8 Brigh	3 House Ne	L 32 etwork	# i-17
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R		+REV+	Comment Ty	·	Comment Status			+REV+ Sed
Table 45-98q and Ta a floating point numb	ble 45-98r specify order of map ber. Why is the same not done	n Table 45-211	e and other table	Different 3052		cify ranges: "RxMER_S	SC(4) throu	ugh RxMER_S	SC(4095)" but "3050,
part?	r coefficients? Is the mapping i	ntended to start	with fixed of fractional	SuggestedR	-				
SuggestedRemedy						, for example: "3050 th Clause 100, 101, 102 -			ices
	ails from Table 45-98q/r to mak f the floating point numbers wo		clear where fractional	Response					
Response REJECT.	Response Status W				etting up a se	eries: 3050, 3052, 3054 / 3050, 3051 through <i>1</i>		Changing this	to 3050 through would
This 16-bit number wholly maps into a single MDIO register whereas the numbers in Table 45-98q/r require 3 registers with some spare register bits requiring enumeration of used and spare bits.				<i>Cl</i> 100 Hajduczenia	SC 100.2.1. , Marek		4 House Ne	L 17 etwork	# [i-18
C/ 56 SC 56.1	P 69	L 31	# i-15	Comment Ty	/pe E	Comment Status	Α		+REV+
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Network				uld make more sense	to call it "a	an I/Q value" (r	no spaces) to avoid
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		EZ		aking across " ure that line br	I / Q" eaking on "/" is disabl	ed		
The list of Clauses for some reason	or 10G-EPON lists PHY and PM	1D only, while E	PoC also lists MPCP	SuggestedR Per com	emedy				
SuggestedRemedy				Response	IIIIEIII	Response Status	•		
Change "Clause 101	, Clause 102, and Clause 103"	to "Clause 101	and Clause 102"	ACCEP	г	Response Status	C		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W			, looel					
C/ 56 SC 56.1.3	P72	L 10	# [i-16						
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Network							
Comment Type E Some spurious "\" in	Comment Status D Rate column		EZ						
SuggestedRemedy Change "(tx)\h" to "(t	x)h" with proper footnote refere	nce format							
Proposed Response	Response Status W								

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Comment ID i-18

100 SC 100.2.1.2 P 84 L 20 # i-19	C/ 100 SC 100.2.3 P 85 L 13 # i-20					
ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network					
omment Type TR Comment Status A +R	Comment Type TR Comment Status A +RE					
Text does not match primitive: "PMD_UNITDATA.request(I_value, Q_value, ChNum)" versus "The data conveyed by PMD_UNITDATA.request is a continuous stream of I / Q value pairs." - it is not just I/Q pairs that are being transmitted, but also channel number <i>uggestedRemedy</i>	Text "The PMD Receive function conveys the bits received from the MDI to the PMD service interface using the message PMD_UNITDATA.indication(I_value, Q_value), creating appropriately formatted stream of I / Q value pairs." does not match Figure 101-3 where PMD_UNITDATA.indication(I_value, Q_value, ChNum) is shown					
Change "The data conveyed by PMD_UNITDATA.request is a continuous stream of I/Q	SuggestedRemedy					
value pairs and target OFDM channel." Change "The Clause 101 PMA continuously sends the stream of I / Q value pairs to the Clause 100 PMD for transmission on the medium, at the nominal rate of 204.8 million samples per second (Msps)." to "The Clause 101 PMA continuously sends the stream of	Change text to read "The PMD Receive function conveys the bits received from the MDI t the PMD service interface using the message PMD_UNITDATA.indication(I_value, Q_value, ChNum), creating appropriately formatted stream of I/Q value pairs and OFDM channel information."					
 I/Q value pairs and OFDM channel number to the Clause 100 PMD for transmission on t medium, at the nominal rate of 204.8 million samples per second (Msps)." See Figure 101-1 for reference on what is sent to PMD via PMD_UNITDATA primitive Similar changes needed to 100.2.1.3, where PMD_UNITDATA.indication is defined only 	Response Response Status W ACCEPT.					
terms of I/Q pairs, omitting OFDM channel information altogether	C/ 100 SC 100.2.4 P 85 L 20 # i-21					
esponse Response Status W	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network					
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED	Comment Type T Comment Status A Unclear what "this" is in the statement: "this is not defined for the CLT"					
Change "The data conveyed by PMD_UNITDATA.request is a continuous stream of I/Q value pairs." to "The data conveyed by PMD_UNITDATA.request is a continuous stream of I/Q value pa and target OFDM channel." Change "The Clause 101 PMA continuously sends the stream of I/Q value pairs to the	SuggestedRemedy Change to "PMD_SIGNAL.request(Tx_Enable) message is not defined for the CLT" Response Response Status C ACCEPT.					
Clause 100 PMD for transmission on the medium, at the nominal rate of 204.8 million samples per second (Msps)." to "The Clause 101 PMA continuously sends the stream of I/Q value pairs and OFDM	C/ 100A SC 100A.1 P 349 L 45 # i-22 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network					
channel number to the Clause 100 PMD for transmission on the medium, at the nominal	Comment Type T Comment Status R +RE					
rate of 204.8 million samples per second (Msps)."	Figure 100A-1 is intended (I believe) to be an example, rather than a normative representation of EPoC network topology					
Add "and received OFDM channel" to end of sentence on line 33/34. Add "and OFDM channel number" just after "I / Q value pairs" at line 37.	SuggestedRemedy Change "Figure 100A-1EPoC network topology" to "Figure 100A-1EPoC network topology (example)"					
	Response Response Status C					
	REJECT. First paragraph explains it. The channel model is based on that topology model.					

Draft 3.0			l Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sp	ponsor	ballot comments		Proposed Responses		
Cl 100A SC 10 Hajduczenia, Marek		L 48 Network	# i-23	Cl 100A SC 1 Hajduczenia, Mareł	00A.2 k	<i>P</i> 350 Bright Ho	L 7 use Network	# i-25		
Reference in line via [XX] reference SuggestedRemedy Per comment Change "NOTE	Comment Status A ses 49-53 should be converted into en ses - these are non-normative refere Additional information on cable coa	nce axial network top	ology can be found	Is "HFC Node" SuggestedRemedy Seems that "No Also, consider	/ ode" is n adding c	nore common. Change a	e" is, since it is u	sed under assumption that		
and [B]." update	Additional information on cable coaxi the proper letters, when references er FM style to NOTE - it is in T,Text <i>Response Status</i> W	are inserted.	ogy can be found in [A]		CCEPT expand " a 13 char	Response Status W IN PRINCIPLE. NODE" to "HFC NODE" age "the EPoC RF couple		"the EPoC RF coupled		

i-24

Note that an HFC Node is a specific type of node which is well known in the cable industry. Other uses of the word node in the standard is consistent with the definitions of CCDN, ODN, etc.

C/ 100A SC 100A.2	P 350	L 17	# i-26
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House N	Network	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		EZ

Table 100A-1 contains multiple acronyms that are not defined anywhere - when they are used in 1/2 locations, just expand them and not define them at all

SuggestedRemedy

Examples: SCN, CTB, CSO, SCN

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

REVISED

First use of SCN is already expanded in Table 100A-1. Will add first-use expansions for first use of acronyms for CTB, CSO, as found. Note that SCN, CTB, and CSO well known in the cable RF industry.

Comment ID i-26

Page 6 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:28 PM

C/ 100A

Hajduczenia, Marek

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

REVISED

1.

Comment Type ER

SC 100A.2

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

P 350

Please provide expansion on first use and consider adding to list of acronyms in Clause 1

Only used in 100A, better to just expand on first use within Clause 100A. This is a well known term in the cable RF industry, not sure important enough to 802.3 to add to Clause

Comment Status D

Response Status W

PSD is used in 8 locations, but never really defined / expanded

Bright House Network

L11

ΕZ

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

Cl 100ASC 100A.2P 352L 4# i-27Hajduczenia, MarekBright House Network	Cl 100A SC 100A.4.3 P 356 L 6 # [i-28] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Comment Type ER Comment Status R +REV+ Sed All notes under the table are NOT in the right format. SuggestedRemedy Apply proper FM style - right now these are simple T,Text style text. Also, is the intent to use informative or normative notes here? There is a difference and it seems that you're after footnotes, and not notes to table. If that is the case, use footnotes, and not notes.	Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ I am very confused by TOPO PICS entry - what does it even mean that the baseline channel model shall be based on Figure 100A-1? PERF1 and PERF2 make some sense, in that these are requirements for channel to meet in order to support baseline EPoC operation. SuggestedRemedy Strike 100A.4.3 + remove associated shall requirement Fequirement Strike 100A.4.3 + remove associated shall requirement
The same observation applies to Table 100A-2 Response Response Status W REJECT. These are Table Notes and informative (see 14.4 in the Style Manual). IEEE Staff Editors approved the current format and paragraph tag.	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Strike "TOTO" requirement and 100A.4.4 header. At pg 349 line 4 strike the statement "Devices designed to the EPoC PHY standard shall meet or exceed normative performance when operated in any network which meets or exceeds the parameters given in Table 100A-1 and Table 100A-2 regardless of the network topology." Add at pg 350 line 3 as the 1st sentence of the para: "Devices designed to the EPoC PHY standard shall meet or exceed normative performance when operated in any network which meets or exceeds the parameters given in Table 100A-1 regardless of the network topology." Add at pg 350 line 3 as the 1st sentence of the para: "Devices designed to the EPoC PHY standard shall meet or exceeds the parameters given in Table 100A-1 regardless of the network topology." Add at pg 352 line 30 as 1st senttence of the para: "Devices designed to the EPoC PHY standard shall meet or exceed normative

Update PICS PERF1 & PERF2

in Table 100A-2 regardless of the network topology."

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 100SC 100.3.2.1P 87L 10Hajduczenia, MarekBright House Network	# i-29	C/ 100 SC 100.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	3.3 P 88 Bright Hous	L 37 e Network	# i-32
Comment Type T Comment Status D "This establishes nominal data rate for CLT PMA_UNITDATA.request() unclear what "This" means in this sentence. Is this reference to equation DataRate? Please clarify Also, "CLT PMA_UNITDATA.request()" should be "CLT PMA_UNITDAT we do not list all primitive parameters. Same on page 88, line 1	n 100-1 or DS-	Comment Type TR This kind of inform SuggestedRemedy Move this to 100.5	Comment Status A ation should be included in the 4 and convert into a non-requir abelling wavelength ranges, it is	subclause called " ement. Unless you	ı provide specific
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Change "This" to "Equation 100-1" cross ref. Do the other two changes		100.5.4.1.2 respector to 100.3.2.3. Delet	Response Status W	d US_FreqCh1 de Update PICS.	finitions from 100.3.3.3
C/ 100SC 100.3.2.2P 87L 30Hajduczenia, MarekBright House NetworkComment TypeTComment StatusD	# [i-30		ck box without labeling on sup		
Odd unit: "(upstream) (us))		·		1.00	#
SuggestedRemedy		Cl 100 SC 100.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	3. 4.1 <i>P</i> 89 Bright Hous	L 29 e Network	# i-33
Change to "(us)" It is not clear what the implication of "(upstream)" is here Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Remove "(upstream)".		Comment Type TR I do not see any va simple words SuggestedRemedy Strike Eq (100-3)	Comment Status A lue in Equation 100-3 - it is a s	imple division, whi	+ <i>RE</i> N ch can be described in
C/ 100SC 100.3.2.3P 88L 19Hajduczenia, MarekBright House Network	# [i-31	single OFDM chan	ber of equivalent 6 MHz channe nel size of 192 MHz" to "The nu nd calculated for a single OFDN	umber of equivalen	it 6 MHz channels,
Comment Type T Comment Status D There is no reason to keep DS_ChCnt variable in bit-format - it should b unsigned integer and how it is mapped into register(s) is quite straightfo the value range: 1-5 Similar comment on DS_PowerCh(n) in 100.3.4.2.1		Response ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy					
Per comment					
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Change "3-bit integer" to "3-bit unsigned integer"					
TVPE: TP/technical required EP/editorial required CP/general required T/				ment ID i-33	Page 8 of 84

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-33

Page 8 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:28 PM

Draft 3.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (I	EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments Proposed Responses
C/ 100 SC 100.3.4.1 P 89 L 43 # [i-34] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network	C/ 100 SC 100.3.4.2 P 92 L 21 # [i-37] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ Text does not match the equation 100-4. "Occupied spectrum (Occupiedspectrum) is the sum of " Sector Sector	Comment Type ER Comment Status A +REV+ Sed Seems like definition of MER should be moved to a normative part of the text, where other definitions are also detailed: 100.3.4.1 OFDM channel power definitions
SuggestedRemedy Change to "Occupied spectrum (Occupiedspectrum) as shown in Equation (100-4) is the product of " Response Response Status ACCEPT. C/ 100 SC 100.3.4.1 P 90 L 13 # i-35	SuggestedRemedy Per comment - it is used in at least 286 locations in the draft today, with no other definition. Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED MER is being added as a definition in Clause 1. See Page 28, line 47, CL 1.4.277a, of draft D3.0. In Table Footnote "c" change "MER (modulation error ratio)" to "Modulation error
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ "The modulated spectrum at the MDI ("RF port") is" - MDI is defined already before EZ	ratio (MER)" <i>C</i> / 100 SC 100.3.4.4 <i>P</i> 93 <i>L</i> 14 <i>#</i> [i-38] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
SuggestedRemedy Strike "("RF port")" here and going forward - there is no need to repeat the statement that MDI is the said RF port Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Page 89, Line 31, change "MDI ("RF port")" to "MDI (TP1, see 100.4)" Page 90, Line 13 and 21 remove "("RF port")"	Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ minimum function is typically surrounded by () and not by [] SuggestedRemedy Change "minimum[]" to "minimum()" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. V
Page 93, Line 11, 31, and 36: change "RF port" to "MDI" Page 94, Line 11 and 12 change "RF port" to "MDI" Page 95, Line 52, change "RF port" to "MDI"	C/ 100 SC 100.3.4.4 P 93 L 14 # [i-39] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Cl 1 SC 100.3.4.2 P 92 L 16 # [i-36] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type ER Comment Status A +REV+ "[ISO/IEC-61169-24] or [SCTE 02]" are not in the list of references right now	Comment Type T Comment Status R +REV+ Equations splicing two curves are typically written with a curly bracket format: see P802.3bp D3.1, Eq 97-17 as an example. Then whole "if" conditioning becomes unnecessary +REV+ SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Add these as normative references to Clause 1 Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Changed to Clause 1. The references on Page 92, line 16 need to be added to Clause 1.	Per comment Response Response Status C REJECT. As is, the IEEE Staff Editor feels that current equation is more clear. The TF also prefers the equation as is.

Comment ID i-39

Page 9 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:28 PM

0, 400 00, 400 0 1 1	Das	1.04	"		01.00	00 400 5 5		DA 4		"
<i>Cl</i> 100 <i>SC</i> 100.3.4.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 93 Bright House	L 34 Network	# <u>i</u> -40		C/ 00 Hajduczer	SC 100.3.4. nia, Marek		P 94 Bright House	L 7 Network	# <u>i-42</u>
provide condition, i.e., f SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D om term in Equation (100-6 leqport ' >= Neqport		essary, you already	EZ	Suggestee Pleas	ion for ceiling no dRemedy	of "ceiling" functio	100.1.1, whe		+REV+ Sea ols are introduced defined in 100.1.1
	= top term in Equation (100					ble locations in th				
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W					EPT IN PRINCIP	Response St	atus W		
C/ 100 SC 100.3.4.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 94 Bright House	L 1 Network	# [i-41		Chang "All eo	footnote d to the ge text of footno quations are Cei	te d from: ling(Power, 0.5)			potnote d to line 36. (2" to get 0.5 steps
Comment Type E Notes separated from t	Comment Status D able			EZ	from o return to	ceiling functions only integer val	that ues. For example	e Ceiling(–63	.9, 0.5) = -63.5 (dBc."
SuggestedRemedy Please make sure that	ootnotes are not separated	from the table				ng function roun	ds to the nearest	0.5."		
Proposed Response	Response Status W				In Fig	ure 101-6 SD ch	nange the two ins	taces of "floo	or()" into floor b	acket symbols.
PROPOSED REJECT.	andards are professionally e	edited by IEEE ec	litors prior to		<i>Cl</i> 100 Hajduczer	SC 100.3.4. nia, Marek		P 94 Bright House	L 12 Network	# <u>i-43</u>
publication.					port a requir values less th	CLT shall compl and with all ements for the c s of Neqport'	levice operating v unclear what thes	ments operat	active channels	+ <i>EX</i> + ort channels on the RF on the RF port for all equirement is not
					Suggeste	dRemedy	-			
					Pleas	e add clear refe	rence where the	said requiren	nents are listed	
					PROF REVIS Chang the te	SED ge the sentence rms are first def	ined within Section	s, and place on 100.3.4:		ction 100.3.4.1, where 00.3.4) operating with

Comment ID i-43

Page 10 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:28 PM

Draft 3.0	IEEE 8	02.3bn EPO	N Protocol over Coax (I	EPoC) TF I	nitial Sponsor	ballot commen	S	Pro	oposed Respons	ses
C/ 100 SC 100.3.4.5	P 94	L 25	# i-44	C/ 100	SC 100.3.4.6	в Р	97	L 25	# i-47	
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House I	Network		Hajduczen	iia, Marek	Brig	nt House N	Network		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		+REV+	Comment	Type TR	Comment Statu	s R		+R	REV+
	all satisfy " - it is hardly a r MD that we're writing require		the modulator itself that	"The C	CLT shall provide	e for " - CLT as a	system? T	his is the PMD	clause	
SuggestedRemedy	IND that we re writing require	ements against.		Suggested	-					
Change all requirement	s towards the "CLT modulato s specific as we need to get ffected.		E-XR-D PMD", which is	suppo	rt" e PICS. There a	a CLT PMD require	-		S-XR-D PMD shall r generic requiremen	ıt
Response	Response Status W				are also similar	generic statements ction	for a CNU	, without indicat	ing which layer is	
ACCEPT.				Response		Response Status	w			
Cl 100 SC 100.3.4.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 95 Bright House I	L 10 Network	# i-45		-		with usage	in IEEE STD 8	02.3 2015 clauses 6	4.
Comment Type E No need to break out N	Comment Status A eqi definition into a separate	line and merge	+REV+ with text from line 12	The co	ommenter is invit	ted to submit a mair	tence req	uest if this rema	ains a blocking issue.	
	n contiguous sub-block is der > is the number of contiguou			<i>Cl</i> 100 Hajduczen	SC 100.3.5.1 iia, Marek	-	97 ht House N	L 37 Network	# <u>i-48</u>	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C	is blocks. There	1016,	Comment RB Su	<i>Type</i> E Iperframe or RB	Comment Status superframe?	5 D			ΕZ
				Suggested	•					
C/ 100 SC 100.3.4.5	P 96	L 20	# i-46		ne, use consiste	ntly				
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House I	Network		Proposed		Response Status	W			
the text down into multip	Comment Status A lumn for some of rows is ver ole lines per entry, or alternal he way it is right now it is on	tively create ext	ernal equation, and just	REVIS	RB Superframe"		n the title f	or Figure 100-2	. Consider capitalizi	ng
SuggestedRemedy				C/ 100	SC 100.3.5.2	2 P	98	L 38	# i-49	
Per comment - this app external equations	lies to items 1, 2, 6. Other ite	ems could be als	so more readable as	Hajduczen		-	ht House N	Network		
Response	Response Status C				<i>Type</i> T ending dot in Eq	Comment Status 100-9 associated w	_	ecific meaning?)	ΕZ
	L. ditors. Will reduce size of co s 24 to 27, and 28 to 30, and			Suggested Remo	<i>Remedy</i> ve the dot in Eq	100-9				
	5 24 to 27, and 20 to 30, and	51, IU 55 IU SIZ	ट <i>उ</i> .	Proposed PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status	W			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 100 SC 100.3.5.2 P 98 L 40 # i-50 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network	C/ 100 SC 100.3.5.4.1 P 100 L 16 # i-53 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
Comment Type T Comment Status D Units in the wrong location: "53.2 dBmV+ (PMax - 65)"	EZ Comment Type E Comment Status R +EX+ Another unnecessary equation, which is not referenced + + +
SuggestedRemedy Change to "53.2 + (PMax - 65) dBmV" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy Change "plus an amount X dB where: X dB = 17 dBmV - Pt" to "plus 17 - Pt dBmV" Response Response Status C
C/ 100 SC 100.3.5.3 P 98 L 55 # i-51 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network # i-51	REJECT. Task Force feels this approach is more clear overall, compared to alternatives.
Unnecessary equation	X+ <i>Cl</i> 100 SC 100.3.5.4.2 <i>P</i> 102 <i>L</i> 10 # <u>i-54</u> Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
SuggestedRemedy Change "power P1.6t, as follows: P1.6r = reported power level (dBmV) of CNU for the channel." to	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ In Eq 100-14, the Round function for some reason is written in non-italics. Is this intentional?
power P1.6t, i.e., the reported power level (dBmV) of CNU for the channel." Response Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy Per comment
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED The opening sentence of Section 100.3.5.3, Page 98 line 52, change "The CNU determines its target transmit normalized channel power P _{1.6t} , as follows:"	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. As per style guide "16.3 Presentation of equations", functions are Roman.
"The CNU determines individual subcarrier transmit power and maintains reported power level P _{1.6r} in dBmV." Remove "P1.6r = reported power level (dBmV) of CNU for the channel."	C/ 100 SC 100.3.5.4.2 P 102 L 17 # [i-55] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network<
C/ 100 SC 100.3.5.4.1 P 100 L 6 # [i-52] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network	Comment Type ER Comment Status A +EX+ It is odd to see units of MHz stuck in the middle of the equation, especially when it is not clear what the end unit should be in this case
Comment Type E Comment Status D +E Under-grant Hold Subcarriers - very long parameter name :) Please consider changing it into something shorter, e.g., SubCount (which is consistent with the definition in the brackets)	X+ SuggestedRemedy Consider moving MHz out of the equation and putting "(MHz)" outside of equation, to indicate what units are used. There are several equations in Clause 100 with the same problems.
SuggestedRemedy	Response Response Status U
Per comment	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Remove "Hold" from this variable name in the four places from Line 6 to 13 on Page 100.	REVISED Page 102, Line 17: Remove " MHz" from Eq 100-16 Page 104, Line 22: Remove " MHz" from Eq Page 104, Line 29: Remove " MHz" from Eq

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-55

Page 12 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM

C/ 100 SC 100.3.5.4.3 P 103 L 46 # [i-58] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ This is not intended to be lecture notes: "Firstly, it should be noted" EZ
SuggestedRemedy Change to "Note that" if such introduced is needed at all. Later in the same para, remove "Secondly," which is also not necessary
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Cl 100 SC 100.3.5.4.3 P 103 L 48 # i-59 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ "provides specification "dBc" only" - what does it mean that Table provides such specification? The term "dBc" is not explained, and it is not cleat what "specification dBc
really is" SuggestedRemedy
Please clarify - no clue what it is supposed to be
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED
Add footnote to Table 100-7 "dBc" at line 18 & line 19 to read "The signal reference power, 0 dBc, is the total transmit power defined in 100.3.5.4.1."
In Table 100-8 add the a footnote with same text as above to "dBc" at line 9 (2x).
In Table 100-9 add the a footnote with same text as above to "dBc" at line 9 (2x).

Page 105, Line 27, do the same for Table 100-9.

Comment ID i-59

Page 13 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM

C/ 100 SC 100.3.5.4.3 P 104 L 10 # i-60 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network </td <td>C/ 100 SC 100.3.5.4.3 P 104 L 31 # [i-61 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network</td>	C/ 100 SC 100.3.5.4.3 P 104 L 31 # [i-61 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ Rather odd equation with "The" in the middle: "Modulated Subcarriers - The Under-grant Hold Bandwidth" SuggestedRemedy EZ SuggestedRemedy Change "for a grant equal to: Modulated Subcarriers - The Under-grant Hold Bandwidth." Kodulated Subcarriers - The Under-grant Hold Bandwidth." For a grant equal to <i>Modulated Subcarriers Proposed Response Response Status W</i>	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type ER Comment Status D Round function has been used before, but explained only here. SuggestedRemedy Suggest to move the definition to 100.1.1 (terminology and conventions) if it is used pervasively (so it seems now) in this clause Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The Round() function is used only twice and explained imediately after each use.
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED See i-340. Copy of Reponse: Change Page 104, Line 1, "with the number of Modulated Subcarriers" to "with the Grant Spectrum". Change, page 104, line 3, in the denominator of the equation, "Modulated Subcarriers" should be replaced with "Grant Spectrum", with the latter in italics as on page 102. On page 104, line 10, the italicized words "Modulated Subcarriers" in the equation should be replaced with the italicized words "Grant Spectrum". Remove the "The" On page 104, line 18, in the equation, the italicized words "Modulated Subcarriers" should be replaced with the italicized words "Grant Spectrum". 	Cl 100 SC 100.3.5.4.4 P 105 L 37 # [-62] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Fight House Network Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ Requirement broken into two sentences: "The CNU's voltage step shall be dissipated no faster than 4 us of constant slewing. This requirement applies when the CNU is transmitting at +55 dBmV or more." SuggestedRemedy Change to "The CNU's voltage step shall be dissipated no faster than 4 us of constant slewing when the CNU is transmitting at +55 dBmV or more." Update PICS
 6)Page 103, line 39, first sentence of Section 100.3.5.4.3, the use of "Table 100-8" should be "Table 100-9". 7)Page 103, line 48, second word of third sentence of paragraph, the use of "Table 100-8" should be "Table 100-9". (The use of "Table 100-8" later in the sentence, on line 49, is CORRECT and should not be changed. 8)Page 104, line 7, the use of "Table 100-8" should be "Table 100-9". 9)Page 104, line 8, the use of "Table 100-7" should be "Table 100-8". 10)Page 104, line 12 through 16 are CORRECT, FYI. 11)Page 104, line 21, the use of "Table 100-8" should be "Table 100-8". 12)Page 104, line 21, the use of "Table 100-8" should be "Table 100-9". 13)Page 104, line 26, the use of "Table 100-9" is CORRECT, FYI.) 	Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 100 SC 100.3.5.4.4	P 105	L 40 #	1-03	C/ 100 SC	00.3.5.5)	P 105	L 54	# i-65
łajduczenia, Marek	Bright House Netwo	vork		Hajduczenia, Ma	arek	E	Bright House	Network	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		+ <i>EX</i> +	Comment Type	TR	Comment Sta	atus A		+R
maximum change in voltag	wo sentences: "At backed-off tr ge shall decrease by a factor of	f 2 for each		Requiremen calibrated te	its can be h st instrume	hardly measured ent "	"MER req	uirements are r	neasured with a
6 dB decrease of power level dBmV and	vel, from +55 dBmV down to a	maximum change of	3.5 mV at 31	SuggestedReme	∋dy				
	onse requirement does not appl	ly to CNU power-on a	and power-off	calibrated te	st instrume				
SuggestedRemedy									ve MER test procedu E?
	transmit levels, the CNU's maxi		, from +55 dBmV down to a						
	or each 6 dB decrease of powe NV at 31 dBmV and below, excl			REVISED	-	-E.			detail - SCTE? ER test procedures in the industry ence is provided it can be included
Proposed Response	Response Status W								
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE.			in the draft.	oninbation				
				C/ 100 SC	00.3.5.5	: 1	P 106	L 27	# i-66
REVISED					/ 100.3.3.3				
Line 42, change "The trans	sient response requirement doe	es not apply to CNU p	power-on and	Hajduczenia, Ma			Bright House	Network	
Line 42, change "The trans power-off transients" at pg	sient response requirement doe 105 line 42." to "The amplifier 4) are not applicable when the	turn on and turn off ti	ransients of	Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type	erek E	E Comment Sta	Bright House	Network	
Line 42, change "The trans power-off transients" at pg this subclause (100.3.5.4.4 off. "	105 line 42." to "The amplifier 4) are not applicable when the o	turn on and turn off ti	ransients of	Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type Seems like t	erek E the top of E	E	Bright House	Network	
Line 42, change "The trans power-off transients" at pg this subclause (100.3.5.4.4 off. " See Response to commen	105 line 42." to "The amplifier 4) are not applicable when the o nt i-342 copied below:	turn on and turn off ti entire CNU is being p	ransients of oowered on or	Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type Seems like t SuggestedReme	erek E the top of E edy	E <i>Comment Sta</i> Eq 100-19 is cut o	Bright House atus D off		
Line 42, change "The trans power-off transients" at pg this subclause (100.3.5.4.4 off. " See Response to commen Replace the sentence at lin transmit levels below +55 of	105 line 42." to "The amplifier 4) are not applicable when the nt i-342 copied below: ne 40 beginning with "At backe dBmV, the CNU's maximum ch	turn on and turn off ti entire CNU is being p ed-off transmit level ." nange in voltage shall	ransients of powered on or with "At decrease by	Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type Seems like t SuggestedReme	e the top of E	E <i>Comment Sta</i> Eq 100-19 is cut o	Bright House atus D off		ements of (I assume)
Line 42, change "The trans power-off transients" at pg this subclause (100.3.5.4.4 off. " See Response to commen Replace the sentence at lin transmit levels below +55 of	105 line 42." to "The amplifier 4) are not applicable when the nt i-342 copied below: ne 40 beginning with "At backe dBmV, the CNU's maximum ch decrease of power level, from	turn on and turn off ti entire CNU is being p ed-off transmit level ." nange in voltage shall	ransients of powered on or with "At decrease by	Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type Seems like t SuggestedReme Please move round bracke Proposed Respo	e the top of E edy e the top ed ets onse	E Comment Sta Eq 100-19 is cut o dge of equation o Response Sta	Bright House atus D off up, and show		
Line 42, change "The trans power-off transients" at pg this subclause (100.3.5.4.4 off. " See Response to commen Replace the sentence at lin transmit levels below +55 of a factor of 2 for each 6 dB change of 3.5 mV at 31 dB	105 line 42." to "The amplifier 4) are not applicable when the nt i-342 copied below: ne 40 beginning with "At backe dBmV, the CNU's maximum ch decrease of power level, from BmV and below."	turn on and turn off ti entire CNU is being p ed-off transmit level ." hange in voltage shall +55 dBmV down to a	ransients of powered on or with "At decrease by maximum	Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type Seems like t SuggestedReme Please move round brack	e the top of E edy e the top ed ets onse	E Comment Sta Eq 100-19 is cut o dge of equation o Response Sta	Bright House atus D off up, and show		
Line 42, change "The trans power-off transients" at pg this subclause (100.3.5.4.4 off. " See Response to commen Replace the sentence at lin transmit levels below +55 of a factor of 2 for each 6 dB change of 3.5 mV at 31 dE	105 line 42." to "The amplifier 4) are not applicable when the nt i-342 copied below: ne 40 beginning with "At backe dBmV, the CNU's maximum ch decrease of power level, from BmV and below." P 105	turn on and turn off ti entire CNU is being p ed-off transmit level ." hange in voltage shall +55 dBmV down to a	ransients of powered on or with "At decrease by	Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type Seems like t SuggestedReme Please move round bracke Proposed Respo PROPOSED	e the top of E edy e the top ed ets onse	E Comment Sta Eq 100-19 is cut o dge of equation o Response Sta	Bright House atus D off up, and show		ements of (I assume)
Line 42, change "The trans power-off transients" at pg this subclause (100.3.5.4.4 off. " See Response to commen Replace the sentence at lin transmit levels below +55 of a factor of 2 for each 6 dB change of 3.5 mV at 31 dE 7 100 SC 100.3.5.5 ajduczenia, Marek	105 line 42." to "The amplifier 4) are not applicable when the nt i-342 copied below: ne 40 beginning with "At backe dBmV, the CNU's maximum ch decrease of power level, from BmV and below." P 105 Bright House Netwo	turn on and turn off ti entire CNU is being p ed-off transmit level ." hange in voltage shall +55 dBmV down to a	ransients of powered on or with "At decrease by maximum i-64	Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type Seems like t SuggestedReme Please move round bracke Proposed Respo PROPOSED	e the top of E edy e the top ed ets onse D ACCEPT	E Comment Sta Eq 100-19 is cut o dge of equation o Response Sta 5.1	Bright House atus D off up, and show atus W	v the missing ele	
Line 42, change "The trans power-off transients" at pg this subclause (100.3.5.4.4 off. " See Response to commen Replace the sentence at lin transmit levels below +55 of a factor of 2 for each 6 dB change of 3.5 mV at 31 dE 1 100 SC 100.3.5.5 ajduczenia, Marek comment Type E	105 line 42." to "The amplifier 4) are not applicable when the not i-342 copied below: ne 40 beginning with "At backe dBmV, the CNU's maximum ch decrease of power level, from BmV and below." P 105 Bright House Network Comment Status D	turn on and turn off ti entire CNU is being p ed-off transmit level ." hange in voltage shall +55 dBmV down to a <i>L</i> 52 # [vork	ransients of powered on or with "At decrease by maximum	Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type Seems like t SuggestedReme Please mow round brack Proposed Respo PROPOSED C/ 100 SC Hajduczenia, Ma	e the top of E edy e the top ed ets onse D ACCEPT	E Comment Sta Eq 100-19 is cut o dge of equation o Response Sta 5.1 E	Bright House atus D off up, and show atus W P 106 Bright House	v the missing ele	ements of (I assume)
Line 42, change "The trans power-off transients" at pg this subclause (100.3.5.4.4 off. " See Response to commen Replace the sentence at lin transmit levels below +55 of a factor of 2 for each 6 dB change of 3.5 mV at 31 dE (7 100 SC 100.3.5.5 lajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E of Inter-Carrier Interference (1)	105 line 42." to "The amplifier 4) are not applicable when the nt i-342 copied below: ne 40 beginning with "At backe dBmV, the CNU's maximum ch decrease of power level, from BmV and below." P 105 Bright House Netwo	turn on and turn off ti entire CNU is being p ed-off transmit level ." hange in voltage shall +55 dBmV down to a <i>L</i> 52 # [vork	ransients of powered on or with "At decrease by maximum i-64	Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type Seems like t SuggestedReme Please mow round brack Proposed Respo PROPOSEE Cl 100 SC Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type	e the top of E edy e the top of e onse D ACCEPT 2 100.3.5.5 arek TR	E Comment Sta Eq 100-19 is cut o dge of equation o Response Sta 5.1 5.1 E Comment Sta	Bright House atus D off up, and show atus W <i>P</i> 106 Bright House atus A	v the missing ele <i>L</i> 41 Network	ements of (I assume) # <u>i-67</u>
Line 42, change "The trans power-off transients" at pg this subclause (100.3.5.4.4 off. " See Response to commen Replace the sentence at lii transmit levels below +55 of a factor of 2 for each 6 dB change of 3.5 mV at 31 dE 7/ 100 SC 100.3.5.5 ajduczenia, Marek comment Type E of Inter-Carrier Interference (in uggestedRemedy	105 line 42." to "The amplifier 4) are not applicable when the not i-342 copied below: ne 40 beginning with "At backe dBmV, the CNU's maximum ch decrease of power level, from BmV and below." P 105 Bright House Network Comment Status D	turn on and turn off ti entire CNU is being p ed-off transmit level ." hange in voltage shall +55 dBmV down to a <i>L</i> 52 # [vork	ransients of powered on or with "At decrease by maximum i-64	Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type Seems like t SuggestedReme Please move round bracke Proposed Respo PROPOSED Cl 100 SC Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type The summa	e the top of E edy e the top of e ets onse D ACCEPT C 100.3.5.5 arek TR tion symbo	E Comment Sta Eq 100-19 is cut o dge of equation o Response Sta 5.1 5.1 E Comment Sta	Bright House atus D off up, and show atus W <i>P</i> 106 Bright House atus A	v the missing ele <i>L</i> 41 Network	ements of (I assume) # [<u>i-67</u> + <i>R</i>
Line 42, change "The trans power-off transients" at pg this subclause (100.3.5.4.4 off. " See Response to commen Replace the sentence at lin transmit levels below +55 of a factor of 2 for each 6 dB change of 3.5 mV at 31 dE / 100 SC 100.3.5.5 ajduczenia, Marek omment Type E (Inter-Carrier Interference () uggestedRemedy Remove "(ICI)"	105 line 42." to "The amplifier 4) are not applicable when the of the i-342 copied below: ne 40 beginning with "At backe dBmV, the CNU's maximum ch decrease of power level, from BmV and below." P105 Bright House Netwo Comment Status D ICI) - used only once, no need	turn on and turn off ti entire CNU is being p ed-off transmit level ." hange in voltage shall +55 dBmV down to a <i>L</i> 52 # [vork	ransients of powered on or with "At decrease by maximum i-64	Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type Seems like t SuggestedReme Please mow round brack Proposed Respo PROPOSEE Cl 100 SC Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type The summa SuggestedReme	e the top of E edy e the top of e ets onse D ACCEPT C 100.3.5.5 arek TR tion symbo	E Comment Sta Eq 100-19 is cut o dge of equation o Response Sta 5.1 5.1 E Comment Sta	Bright House atus D off up, and show atus W P 106 Bright House atus A sed "j" index,	v the missing ele <i>L</i> 41 Network , which is NOT u	ements of (I assume) # [<u>i-67</u> + <i>R</i>
Line 42, change "The trans power-off transients" at pg this subclause (100.3.5.4.4 off. " See Response to commen Replace the sentence at lin transmit levels below +55 of a factor of 2 for each 6 dB change of 3.5 mV at 31 dE / 100 SC 100.3.5.5 ajduczenia, Marek comment Type E (Inter-Carrier Interference () uggestedRemedy Remove "(ICI)"	105 line 42." to "The amplifier 4) are not applicable when the not i-342 copied below: ne 40 beginning with "At backe dBmV, the CNU's maximum ch decrease of power level, from BmV and below." P 105 Bright House Network Comment Status D	turn on and turn off ti entire CNU is being p ed-off transmit level ." hange in voltage shall +55 dBmV down to a <i>L</i> 52 # [vork	ransients of powered on or with "At decrease by maximum i-64	Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type Seems like t SuggestedReme Please mow round brack Proposed Respo PROPOSEE Cl 100 SC Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type The summa SuggestedReme	e the top of E edy e the top of e ets onse D ACCEPT C 100.3.5.5 arek TR tion symbo	E Comment Sta Eq 100-19 is cut o dge of equation o Response Sta 5.1 E Comment Sta ol in Eq 100-20 us	Bright House atus D off up, and show atus W P 106 Bright House atus A sed "j" index, index is used	v the missing ele <i>L</i> 41 Network , which is NOT u	ements of (I assume) # [<u>i-67</u> + <i>R</i>

C/ 100 Haiduczej	SC 100.3.5.5 nia, Marek			L 10	# i-68	C/ 100	SC 100.3.5.6	P 107 Bright Ho	L 47	# i-71
Comment		Ũ			٦Ē			e e		+REV+
"The	51	nel measurements	Bright House NetworkHajdument Status A $+EX+$ surements with no tilt are made" - but there are NOItsurements with no tilt are made" - but there are NOItsurement? Is this a reference to Table 100-10? Either remove onfusing right now in the context) or provide the said ments"Itonse Status WA0 from "The following flat channel measurements with no tilt he pre-equalizer coefficients have been set to theirItd in Table 100-10 are made with flat channel (as nearly flat ment), after the pre-equalization coefficients have been setIt5% grant, from 44 to 50Comm SP 107L 29# i-69Bright House NetworkFment Status A $+REV+$ able 100-11"Suggbred in Table 100-11"bthe din Table 100-11"b	Doubl	e requirements -	:: "CNU shall be cap				
Suggeste	dRemedy	Bright House Network Comment Status A hannel measurements with no tilt are made" - but there are NO hents. e of this statement? Is this a reference to Table 100-10? Either remove (which is confusing right now in the context) or provide the said hel measurements" Response Status W EIPLE. Ice on line 10 from "The following flat channel measurements with no tilt made after the pre-equalizer coefficients have been set to their ents indicated in Table 100-10 are made with flat channel (as nearly flat test environment), after the pre-equalization coefficients have been set	Suggested	Remedy						
the w	ord "following" (wh	nich is confusing rig	A +EX+ vith no tilt are made" - but there are NO Comment Type TR Comment Status A built no tilt are made" - but there are NO Double requirements - must be really important: "CNU shall it total average output power." with no tilt are made" - but there are NO Double requirements - must be really important: "CNU shall it total average output power." with no tilt are made" - but there are NO Either move it out of the normative (required) table, or convertable with no tilt now in the context) or provide the said Response Response Status W W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Change "Level CNU shall be capable of transmitting a total a To: "Total average transmit output power" Update PICS as needed. 00-10 are made with flat channel (as nearly flat the pre-equalization coefficients have been set C/ 100 SC 100.3.5.7 P 108 L 1 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	ble, or convert into a	a normative footnote to					
Response	8		14/			•				
ACCE REVI Chan (Table	PT IN PRINCIPL ED ge first sentence o	E. on line 10 from "The	following			+EX+ Comment Type TR Comment Status A O Double requirements - must be really important: "CNU shall be capable of total average output power." SuggestedRemedy emove Either move it out of the normative (required) table, or convert into a normatable Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Change "Level CNU shall be capable of transmitting a total average output To: "Total average transmit output power" Update PICS as needed. CI 100 SC 100.3.5.7 P 108 L 18 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type E Comment Status D Seems like two sentences were joined together? "In EPoC, the upstream power amplifier (PA) may be turned off between bursts as shown in Figure 100-3 PMD_SIGNAL.request(ON) is asserted when the first bit of th conveved from the	e output power."			
						t .			1.40	# . 70
	ir optimum values		r the pre-e	equalization coe	fficients have been se					# i-72
						,	,	-	JUSE NELWOIK	
Upda	e the value in the	row for 5% grant, fi	om 44 to	50			51		2 "In EPoC the up	EZ
Cl 100 Hajduczei <i>Comment</i>	SC 100.3.5.6 nia, Marek <i>Type</i> E		t House N	-		power Figure conve	amplifier (PA) main 100-3 PMD_SIG yed from the	ay be turned off betweer NAL.request(ON) is ass	n bursts as shown in serted when the first	
"chara	acteristics delinea	ted in Table 100-11	" - this is a	a new word :)) (300 101.4.2.1).	
Suggeste	dRemedy					•••	•	ne upstream CNU PMD	RF power amplifier	(PA) may be turned off
Chan	ge to "characteris	tics defined in Table	100-11"			betwe	en bursts as show	vn in Figure 100-3. PMD	_SIGNAL.request(C	
Response	,	Response Status	С					is conveyed from the PC est() (see 101.4.2.1)."	S to the PMA via	
	PT IN PRINCIPL	E.				_ Proposed	•	Response Status W		
REVI See h	-	n-webster.com/dictio	onary/delir	neate		PROP See i-	OSED ACCEPT. 345.			
C/ 100 Hajduczei	SC 100.3.5.6 nia, Marek		1 07 ht House N	L 35 Jetwork	# <mark>i-70</mark>		of Suggested Rer omment."	nedy:		
Comment	51	Comment Status		lease indicate i	f 204 is included or no	<i>EZ</i> ot				
"7.4 te	dRemedy	4"								
Suggeste	ge to "7.4 to >=20									
Suggeste Chan	Response	Response Status	w							

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

34 3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM

C/ 100 SC 100.3	.5.7 <i>P</i> 108	L 21	# i-73	C/ 100	SC 100.3.6.1	P110 L1	# i-76
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House			Hajduczen		Bright House Network	
Comment Type T	Comment Status D		EZ	Comment	Type ER	Comment Status R	+REV+ Sed
TPMA is mentione PMA???	d, but not really defined. TPMA =	= The delay time	through the EPoC		title is incomplete steristics (con-"	e: "Upstream OFDMA channel demode	ulator input power
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	lRemedy		
Please define the a	acronym, it is used 6 times in the	e document altog	ether	Make	sure it is complet	e, even when broken across line	
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Response		Response Status W	
REVISED It is defined as "the occurences of "TP	EPT IN PRINCIPLE e delay time through the EPoC P MA" to "T _{PMA} ".			appen	ppears to be a Fr ded "(continued)' ditors say that st	ramemaker table continuation issue w ' text. andards are professionally edited by I	2
C/ 100 SC 100.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	.6.1 P 109 Bright House	L 28	# i-74	C/ 100	SC 100.3.6.1	P110 L14	4 # i-77
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A	INELWOIK	+REV+	Hajduczen		Bright House Network	
SuggestedRemedy Consider making it	ame table is referenced in a nor a normative requirement (if rece e it for sure) or changing into inf ents are odd <i>Response Status</i> W	eived power norn	nalization is really	Suggested Replic Proposed	<i>IRemedy</i> ate footnote a) ar		
ACCEPT IN PRINO REVISED	CIPLE.			Hajduczen		Bright House Network	
Change "should" to				Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A	+REV+
Update PICS as ne Cl 100 SC 100.3 Hajduczenia, Marek		L 30 Network	# [i-75	There "frame inclusi	is a very long list loss ratio of less	of conditions under which CLT receives than or equal to 10-6". Are these conserved to allow Rx to achieve target FE	rer is expected to obtain aditions expected to be
Comment Type ER	Comment Status D			Suggested	IRemedy		
A variable intermix SuggestedRemedy	ed with text?			shall a	chieve a receive	ect (that is my inclination), change the d frame loss ratio of less than or equa t channel conditions are met:	
Please move into a	a separate subclause, like done i	in other locations	;	Response	ng mpatioaa and	Response Status W	
REVISED	Response Status W EPT IN PRINCIPLE. I PHY Link Managed Variables"	and move it into	there	ACCE	PT.		
	uired ER/editorial required GR			G/general		Comment ID i-7	78 Page 17 of 84

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-78

Page 17 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM

Draft 3.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (E	A Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network EZ Comment Type T Comment Status D Ineduler implementation." - unnecessary statement, which Since M is not defined, the statement is meaningless: "An ensemble of M frequency- averaged RxMER measurements (M large enough for reliable statistics, i.e. such that the result within a desired confidence interval) would be sufficient for a given level of confidence in the estimate."
Cl 100 SC 100.3.6.2 P 110 L 37 # i-79 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	
Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ "CLT is allowed to construct Grants according to its own scheduler implementation." - given that scheduler is NOT defined in Clause 103, it is an unnecessary statement, which brings questions on where such a scheduler be specified. EZ	Since M is not defined, the statement is meaningless: "An ensemble of M frequency-
SuggestedRemedy Strike	within a desired confidence interval) would be sufficient for a given level of confidence in the estimate." SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Strike it Proposed Response Response Status W
REVISED As per suggested remedy. Note: This was DOCSIS'ism carried over. Agree that the DBA is outside the spec.	PROPOSED REJECT. M is not specifically "defined" but rather chosen using statistical criteria that is well defined
C/ 100 SC 100.3.6.3 P 111 L 23 # i-80 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network	C/ 100 SC 100.3.6.3.1 P 112 L 5 # [i-83] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ "This item provides measurements" - rather, "subclause" SuggestedRemedy EZ Change to "This subclause provides measurements" SuggestedRemedy EZ	Comment Type TR Comment Status A + <i>REV</i> . Incomplete statement: "When TRUE this variable indicates that the values RxMER_SC(n) for the CNU indicated by RxMER_CNU_ID or the OFDM channel indicated by RxMER_ChID" ???
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy Please finish the statement
C/ 100 SC 100.3.6.3 P 111 L 30 # i-81 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Image: State	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED
Comment Type T Comment Status A +EX+ Meaningless optional requirement: "A sufficient number of upstream probe symbols should be used for a reliable estimate of RxMER." - how would it be expected to be tested? +EX+	Insert "are valid" at end of first sentence.
SuggestedRemedy Change to "The OLT uses a sufficient number of upstream probe symbols for a reliable estimate of RxMER."	
Response Response Status C	

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Update PICS if required.

Comment ID i-83

Page 18 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 100 SC 100.3	3.7.1 <i>P</i> 112	L 13	# i-84	C/ 100	SC 100.3.7.1	P 113	L1	# i-86
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House			Hajduczenia,		Bright House	-	
Comment Type TR Way too many req receiver shall mee First, we cannot m channel model is s Strike the stateme characteristics and Second, requireme delineation, it is a s	Comment Status A uirements for the same thing: "Th t", and "The OFDM signals and ake requirements towards "OFMI supposed to define, and these hav nt: "The OFDM signals and CNU limitations defined in Table 100- ents towards CNU and CNU recei single shall test point anyway, giv	ne CNU shall me CNU interfaces D signals", giver ve been covered interfaces shall 14." iver and overlap ren that it points	shall have" that it is what the before, I believe. have the ping - without clear to a single table.	Comment Tyj More foo SuggestedRe Please m Response REJECT Staff edit	be E thotes separa emedy hake sure they ors say that s	Bright House Comment Status R ted from tables go together with the table fo Response Status C tandards are professionally e	r improved read	+ <i>RE</i> ed readability EEE editors prior to # [<u>i-87</u> + <i>RE</i>
conformant to the electrical paramete electrical performa Update respective Remove any requi	shall meet all performance spec parameters shown in Table 100-1 ers per Table 100-14." to "The 100- nce requirements per Table 100- PICS. rements for OFDM *signal* itself, should be located, not in the rece	I4. The CNU rec GPASS-XR-U P 14." and put these ir	eiver shall meet MD receiver shall meet nto the channel model.	Hajduczenia, Comment Tyj	SC 100.3.7.3 Marek	P 114 Bright House Comment Status R	L 8 Network	# [i-87 +REV+
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Response ACCEPT IN PRIN	Response Status W	iver requirement		Page 114 constella Page 111	i, line 8: "RxM tion to the ave I, line 23: "Rxl tion to the ave	ER is defined as the ratio of erage error-vector power" MER is defined as the ratio of erage error-vector power"	0 1	
REVISED Replace the para v "The CNU shall me				acronym	ze - either it is	one and the same (then whi one is for QAM and another		
Update PICS as no C/ 100 SC 100.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	eeded.	L 32	# li-85	the CLT, The othe	111) is for the ."	Response Status W CLT: "For the purposes of R or the CNU: "For the purpose		
Comment Type ER "Maximum average		U from 54 MHz t	+ <i>EX</i> + o 1.794 GHz" -	CNU," And yes t	these are diffe	erent.		
SuggestedRemedy Move the equation 100-XXX"	outside the table and reference i	t inside of the ta	ble per "see Equation					
Response	Response Status U							

REJECT.

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Cl 100 SC 100.3.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	.3 P 114 Bright House	L 38 Network	# [i-88	<i>Cl</i> 100 Hajduczen	SC 100.3.7 ia, Marek	.3	P 115 Bright House	L 1 e Network	# i-90
Comment Type TR Repeated (though re Page 114, line 3: "Th receive modulation e Page 114, line 38: "T active subcarrier loca preamble symbols."	Comment Status A phrased) requirement: e CNU receiver shall provide r rror ratio (RxMER) for each su he CNU shall be capable of pr ations for each OFDM downstre ombined into a single statemer	neasurements o bcarrier in all en oviding measure eam channel, us	abled OFDM channels." ements of RxMER for all sing pilots and PHY Link	Comment Figure Suggested Sugge vector	Type TR 100-4 seems to <i>Remedy</i> st that the line e in the lower p he continuity is	from top of th bart of the figure only logical (s	nt Status A y broken across e figure (Error ve ure, showing cor	the Error Vecto ector e) be conti	+REV+ r [e] nued to input of Error of electrical signal
receive modulation e downstream channel	114, line 38 to read "The CNU rror ratio (RxMER) for all active , using pilots and PHY Link pre ombined into a single statemer <i>Response Status</i> W	e subcarrier loca eamble symbols	ations for each OFDM ."	Cl 100 Hajduczen Comment What MHz c active MHz c what e	SC 100.3.8 ia, Marek Type TR does it really m ownstream OF subcarriers (se f spectrum and lse the OLT ca	Commer ean: "The CL DM channel o e Table 100-3 I up to 3800 a n ensure. Thi	does not exceed 3)." - the only thin ctive subcarriers s statement and	ne encompasse 190 MHz and d ng that the OLT s, but apart from the whole subc	# i-91 +REV+ d spectrum of each 192 oes not exceed 3800 can do is use up to 192 that, I am not clear lause 100.3.8 seems to st of Clause 100.
Strike last sentence Replace the sentenc receive modulation e	of the para beginning lon line 3 e at line 3 with "The CNU provi rror ratio (RxMER) for all active , using pilots and PHY Link pre	ides measureme e subcarrier loca	ations for each OFDM	instea	d make sense d, and make th	em normative		ormative text is	D specification tables kind of in the middle - it
SuggestedRemedy Make sure text is not make sure it is not b Similarly, box with "M Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF REVISED Adjust "10xlog10" as	Bright House <i>Comment Status</i> D 0-4, box: 10xlog10 does not ne broken into two lines - there is oken across lines lag Squared" - should be chan <i>Response Status</i> W PT IN PRINCIPLE.	eed ot be broker s enough space ged to "Magnitu	to make box wider and	Response ACCE REVIS See S Remo Remo "The e 190 M Remo Remo senter	PT IN PRINCIF ED uggested Remove we the phrase a ncompassed s Hz and does no ve the phrase a ve the phrase a no the phrase a	Response PLE. edy for accept at line 32. tt line 38 and pectrum of ea of exceed 380 at line 42. at pg 116 line encompassed	e <i>Status</i> W ted comment i-3 change "does no ach 192 MHz dow 0 active subcarr 24 and change "	48 copied below ot" to "cannot" so wnstream OFDN iers (see Table does not" to "ca e upstream OFD	o the sentence reads: / channel cannot exceed 100-3)." innot" 2x so the DMA channel cannot

Comment ID i-91

Page 20 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 100 SC 100.3.8.1 P115 L 46 # [-92] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status D Confusing text of the note: "within the entirety of the downstream spectrum on a condistribution network, EPoC will be operating concurrently with other cable operator services: e.g. video channel, etc. Collective are referred to as non-OFDM channels in the context of these downstream channel bandwidth rules. SuggestedRemedy Simplify to read: "The term "non-OFDM channels" describes other applications us downstream spectrum concurrently with EPoC, per channel model in Annex 100A destech channel model Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Line 46, Change the entire text of the NOTE to "The term "non-OFDM channels" conter applications using downstream spectrum concurrently with EPoC, e.g., video channels, etc." C/ 100 SC 100.3.8.2 P115 L 51 # [-93] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status A "The CLT and CNU are not expected to meet performance and fidelity requirement the system configuration does not comply with the downstream exclusion band rules listed below. These rule	+EX- oax cable ly, these ing ." - there scribign describes
Comment Type T Comment Status D Confusing text of the note: "within the entirety of the downstream spectrum on a condistribution network, EPoC will be operating concurrently with other cable operator services: e.g. video channel, etc. Collective are referred to as non-OFDM channels in the context of these downstream channel bandwidth rules. SuggestedRemedy Simplify to read: "The term "non-OFDM channels" describes other applications us downstream spectrum concurrently with EPoC, per channel model in Annex 100A si no need to create examples, when theya re already included in Annex 100A desteh channel model Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Line 46, Change the entire text of the NOTE to "The term "non-OFDM channels" of other applications using downstream spectrum concurrently with EPoC, e.g., video channels, etc." C/ 100 SC 100.3.8.2 P 115 L 51 # [i-93] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status A "The CLT and CNU are not expected to meet performance and fidelity requirement the system configuration A	ing " - there scribign
Confusing text of the note: "within the entirety of the downstream spectrum on a car distribution network, EPoC will be operating concurrently with other cable operator services: e.g. video channel, etc. Collective are referred to as non-OFDM channels in the context of these downstream channel bandwidth rules. <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Simplify to read: "The term "non-OFDM channels" describes other applications usi downstream spectrum concurrently with EPoC, per channel model in Annex 100A si no need to create examples, when theya re already included in Annex 100A des teh channel model <i>troposed Response</i> Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Line 46, Change the entire text of the NOTE to "The term "non-OFDM channels" of other applications using downstream spectrum concurrently with EPoC, e.g., video channels, etc." <i>T</i> 100 SC 100.3.8.2 P 115 L 51 # [-93 ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network <i>comment Type</i> T Comment Status A "The CLT and CNU are not expected to meet performance and fidelity requirement the system configuration	ing " - there scribign
concurrently with other cable operator services: e.g. video channel, etc. Collective are referred to as non-OFDM channels in the context of these downstream channel bandwidth rules. <i>IggestedRemedy</i> Simplify to read: "The term "non-OFDM channels" describes other applications usi downstream spectrum concurrently with EPoC, per channel model in Annex 100A si no need to create examples, when theya re already included in Annex 100A des teh channel model <i>oposed Response</i> Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Line 46, Change the entire text of the NOTE to "The term "non-OFDM channels" of other applications using downstream spectrum concurrently with EPoC, e.g., video channels, etc." 100 SC 100.3.8.2 P115 L 51 # [-93 ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network <i>omment Type</i> T Comment Status A "The CLT and CNU are not expected to meet performance and fidelity requirement the system configuration	ing ." - there scribign lescribes
aggestedRemedy Simplify to read: "The term "non-OFDM channels" describes other applications us downstream spectrum concurrently with EPoC, per channel model in Annex 100A si no need to create examples, when theya re already included in Annex 100A dested channel model roposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Line 46, Change the entire text of the NOTE to "The term "non-OFDM channels" or other applications using downstream spectrum concurrently with EPoC, e.g., video channels, etc." 100 SC 100.3.8.2 P115 L 51 # i-93 ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network omment Type T Comment Status A "The CLT and CNU are not expected to meet performance and fidelity requirement the system configuration A	." - there scribign lescribes
Simplify to read: "The term "non-OFDM channels" describes other applications us downstream spectrum concurrently with EPoC, per channel model in Annex 100A destended i	." - there scribign lescribes
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Line 46, Change the entire text of the NOTE to "The term "non-OFDM channels" of other applications using downstream spectrum concurrently with EPoC, e.g., video channels, etc." / 100 SC 100.3.8.2 P 115 L 51 # i-93 ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network omment Type T Comment Status A "The CLT and CNU are not expected to meet performance and fidelity requirement the system configuration	
REVISED Line 46, Change the entire text of the NOTE to "The term "non-OFDM channels" of other applications using downstream spectrum concurrently with EPoC, e.g., video channels, etc." C/ 100 SC 100.3.8.2 P 115 L 51 # i-93 Lajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status A "The CLT and CNU are not expected to meet performance and fidelity requirement the system configuration Figure 100 Configuration	
other applications using downstream spectrum concurrently with EPoC, e.g., video channels, etc." / 100 SC 100.3.8.2 P 115 L 51 # i-93 ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network omment Type T Comment Status A "The CLT and CNU are not expected to meet performance and fidelity requirement the system configuration	
ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status A "The CLT and CNU are not expected to meet performance and fidelity requirement the system configuration	
Comment Type T Comment Status A "The CLT and CNU are not expected to meet performance and fidelity requirement the system configuration	
"The CLT and CNU are not expected to meet performance and fidelity requirement the system configuration	
the system configuration	+EX-
to each OFDM channel and also to the composite downstream inclusive of OFDM and nor channels." - really? We usually state conditions under which PMD pair can operate anythign outside of these boundries is no-mans' land. No need to state this explici	les apply n-OFDM e, and
uggestedRemedy	
Change to read: "The downstream exclusion band rules listed below apply to each channel:"	OFDM
Response Response Status C	
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Change Paragraph located Line 51 to 54 to: "The downstream exclusion band rules listed below apply to each OFDM channel composite downstream channel inclusive of OFDM and non-OFDM channels. The and CNU are not expected to meet performance and fidelity requirements when th configuration does not comply with the downstream exclusion band rules listed be	e CLT ie system

C/ 1	SC 100.3.8.2	P 1	16	L 5	# <u>i-94</u>	
Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright	t Hous	e Network		
Comment 7 CFR 76	<i>ype</i> ER is not defined a	Comment Status	R			+REV+
SuggestedI Add to	Remedy list of references	, if needed				
<i>Response</i> REJEC See ed		<i>Response Status</i> o change in 1.3 Nor		e references.		
C/ 100	SC 100.3.8.2	P1		L5	# i-95	
Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Brigh	t Hous	se Network		
	<i>Type</i> E NLY exception" -	Comment Status why is ONLY capita	_	?		EZ
Suggested	Remedy					

We do not use capitalization as emphasis in standard. If something is very important, it becomes a requirement of a sort. Drop case down

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

0.4.1	C/ 100 SC ·	# i-96	L 50	P 115	C 100.3.8.2	C/ 100
	Hajduczenia, Mare		Network	Bright House	larek	Hajduczenia
TR Comment	Comment Type	+REV+		mment Status A	F TR	Comment Ty
nit applies" - wher	The specified			0.3.8, I am still confuse		
	SuggestedRemed Per comment			ded to cover rules for v especially in 100.3.8.4	ot clear right no	
Response S	Response				nedy	SuggestedR
-350 with response co becified limit" to "The	Change "The	created to account for 0.3.8.4 really have,	100A which was 100.3.8.2 and 10	equirements for channe and moved into Annex ot sure what the value I into the PMD requirer	into requiremen odel. If not, I am	converte channel
gate power (see <ita< td=""><td>configure agg</td><td></td><td></td><td>sponse Status W</td><td>F</td><td>Response</td></ita<>	configure agg			sponse Status W	F	Response
0.4.1	C/ 100 SC ² Hajduczenia, Mare				N PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT REVISE
	•			ns of parameters	34 strike "Definit arement method	
E Comment - n "TP1/MD1of"	Comment Type					anumea
	SuggestedRemed Per comment	ned in the first t talk to channel model		rules for usage of exc 100A is not relevent as	Further appendi	
		CLT and CNU are not		dd as first sentence in ce and fidelity requiren	o meet performa	expected
	Proposed Respon PROPOSED	stem configuration	ents when the sy nd rules listed bel	vnstream exclusion ba	omply with the c	does not
	PROPOSED	vstem configuration low."	nd rules listed bel	vnstream exclusion ba		
0.4.1	PROPOSED	stem configuration	nd rules listed bel	vnstream exclusion ba P 116 Bright House	C 100.4	<i>Cl</i> 100 Hajduczenia
0.4.1	PROPOSED	vstem configuration low."	nd rules listed bel	vnstream exclusion ba P 116 Bright House	C 100.4 Narek	<i>Cl</i> 100 Hajduczenia
CCEPT. 0.4.1 TR Comment rement - Table 100-3 muted device shall co	PROPOSED / C/ 100 SC Hajduczenia, Mare Comment Type Repeated req TP1/MD1of th	ystem configuration low." # [i-97 y defined in Clause	nd rules listed bel <i>L</i> 39 Network rerafces are really	vnstream exclusion ba	C 100.4 Marek E ER	Cl 100 Hajduczenia Comment Ty Forward
CCEPT. 0.4.1 TR Comment rement - Table 100-3	PROPOSED / C/ 100 SC · Hajduczenia, Mare Comment Type Repeated req TP1/MD1of th Return Loss re	ystem configuration low." # [i-97 y defined in Clause	nd rules listed bel <i>L</i> 39 Network rerafces are really	vnstream exclusion ba P 116 Bright House mment Status D It would seem that in	C 100.4 Marek E ER eference to Figur they are used for	Cl 100 Hajduczenia Comment Ty Forward
CCEPT. 0.4.1 TR Comment rement - Table 100-3 muted device shall co	PROPOSED / C/ 100 SC + Hajduczenia, Mare Comment Type Repeated req TP1/MD1of th Return Loss re SuggestedRemed	y defined in Clause se 100 as well.	L 39 L 39 Network erafces are really of PMD in Claus	vnstream exclusion ba P 116 Bright House mment Status D It would seem that in	C 100.4 Marek e ER oference to Figure they are used for medy res 101-1 thoug	Cl 100 Hajduczenia Comment Ty Forward 101, whi SuggestedR Move Fig
CCEPT. 0.4.1 TR Comment - rement - Table 100-3 muted device shall co juirements for inactive	PROPOSED / C/ 100 SC + Hajduczenia, Mare Comment Type Repeated req TP1/MD1of th Return Loss re SuggestedRemed	y defined in Clause se 100 as well.	L 39 L 39 Network erafces are really of PMD in Claus	P 116 P 116 Bright House mment Status D the lt would seem that in description of operation	C 100.4 Marek e ER oference to Figure they are used for medy res 101-1 thoug	Cl 100 Hajduczenia Comment Ty Forward 101, whi SuggestedR

C/ 100	SC 100.4.1	P 1	16	L 45	# i-98	
Hajduczeni	ia, Marek	Brigh				
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	Α			+ <i>EX</i> +
The sp	ecified limit app	lies" - wher is this	limit s	pecified?		
Suggested	Remedy					
Per co	mment					
Response		Response Status	U			
REVIS See Co Chang	omment i-350 wi e "The specified	E. th response copied b limit" to "The specifi ower (see <ital>CLT_</ital>	ed lim	it of 73 dB below th	e operationally	
C/ 100	SC 100.4.1	P 1	16	L 48	# <u>i-99</u>	
Hajduczeni	ia, Marek	Brigh	t Hou	se Network		
Comment ⁻ Missing	<i>Type</i> E g space in "TP1/	Comment Status /MD1of"	D			ΕZ
Suggested Per co	<i>Remedy</i> mment					
Proposed I PROP	Response OSED ACCEPT	Response Status	w			
C/ 100	SC 100.4.1	P 1	16	L 28	# <u>i-100</u>	
Hajduczeni	ia, Marek	Brigh	t Hou	se Network		
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	Α		-	REV+
TP1/M	D1of the muted	- Table 100-3 is alreadevice shall comply on the second strain of the se	with th	ne Output		
Suggested	Remedy					
Remov	ve the requireme	nt, make it into state	ment.	Remove any assoia	ated PICS	
Response		Response Status	w			
1005	DT					

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 100	SC 100.4.1	P 116	L 53	# <u>i-101</u>	C/ 100
Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright House I	Network		Hajduczenia
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		+REV+	Comment Ty
the RF	output power =	requirement - "When this var 73 dBc" - this should be part I Tx in EPON), while it is not t	of Table 100-3		Require RxMER_ Define d
follows:	ne requirement	to Table 100-3. Change the d iable is set to TRUE the CLT	sets the RF out	put power = 73 dBc	at CNR_ subcarri delta_R: under th
		w the operationally configured channel from 258 MHz to 121		ver of the RF modulated	SuggestedR
	e any associate				Move the not really Update I
ACCEF	ΥТ.				Response
<i>Cl</i> 100 Hajduczenia	SC 100.4.2 a, Marek	P 117 Bright House I	L 16 Network	# i-102	ACCEP REVISE Move the
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status R		+REV+	specified
		time of 30 minutes occurs bef			C/ 100
		e correlated in any way, meas every 5 minutes for a specific			Hajduczenia
	time, at an arb	esented. Otherwise, it is hardl itrary distance (30 minutes) fr			<i>Comment T</i> y "The CL dB or be
Suggested					requirem
		xMER is a mean value for X r every X minutes for Y total me			measure
The las	t bullet kind of g	goes in that direction, but M re	emains undefine	d, measurement	SuggestedR
	,	fined ("are taken in successio values" - does not provide for			Per com
vendors	S				Response ACCEP ⁻
is kind		e not provided as normative p , given the variability expected			REVISE Change deviation
Response		Response Status W			deviation
	-				

REJECT.

The TF believes requiring a warm-up time is reasonable and appropriate.

		ballot comments			oposed Responses
C/ 100	SC 100.4.2	-	17	L 27	# i-103
Hajduczenia	, Marek	Brigh	t House	Network	
Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status	Α		+RE\
RxMER Define of at CNR subcarri delta_R	_std_0.5 dB unde lelta_RxMER = (l _data	CNU shall provide	ied cond NR_dat	litions. a_subcarrier = 3	5 dB) - (RxMER_mean
SuggestedF	Remedy				
not real	ese requirements y have any require PICS accordingly	rements	nich alrea	ady covers RxM	ER for CNU, but does
Response		Response Status	w		
	e text at line 27 -	n "under the conditi			ce "under the above . Update PICS. # i-104
Hajduczenia				Network	# 1-104
Comment T		Comment Status			+RE
"The CL dB or be requirer	T shall provide u	Ipstream power me Ilowing test condition and text in 100.4.3	easureme ons" - thi	is should go into	lard deviation of 0.33 100.3.6 where CLT Rx
SuggestedF	Remedy				
Per com	nment + update F	PICS accordingly			
REVISE Change	line 45 to read: '		upstrear		ements with a standard
deviatio	11 01 0.33 UB 01 D				
At the e	nd of 100.3.6.1 a				r measurements with a en in 100.4.3."
At the e standar	nd of 100.3.6.1 a	add: "The CLT shal 3 dB or better unde			

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 100 SC 100.4.3	P 117	L 41	# i-105	C/ 100 SC	100.4.4	P 118	L 29	# i-107
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House N	letwork		Hajduczenia, Ma	rek	Bright House	Network	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D		+ <i>EX</i> +	Comment Type	т	Comment Status D		E
	uirements: "The CLT should p DMA channel at the TP1 refe		nate of total received	Definition of	CW signal i	s hidden in a footnote on p	age 99 odd	
	specified upstream user. The		ovide configurable		to "Continuo	bus Wave (CW)" in Table 1	100-16	
SuggestedRemedy				Proposed Respo		Response Status W		
	duct requirements, unless we	have associate	ed requirements for	PROPOSED Definition of page 99.		1.165. First use is expande	d already in this	clause in footnote on
Proposed Response	Response Status W			C/ 100 SC	100.4.4	P 119	L 30	# i-108
PROPOSED ACCEPT	,			Hajduczenia, Ma	rek	Bright House	e Network	
REVISED	antanan af tha nanannah har	nimerine er stellte little		Comment Type	Е	Comment Status D		E
upstream." add to the e	entence of the paragraph beg			It seems like		t modes are defined in her	e and in line 52,	and they are "hidden"
Line 41. Strike sentenc	e "The CLT should provide a	n estimate of to	otal received power in a	in the text its SuggestedReme	dy			
Line 41. Strike sentenc specified OFDMA chan user." Line 42, Convert remair provide configurable ave	e "The CLT should provide a nel at the TP1 reference inpu ning sentence into a paragrap eraging over a range at least ded that the CLT provide con	n estimate of to t point, for a sin h Note tag from including 1 to 3	otal received power in a ngle specified upstream n "The CLT should 32 probes." to read:	SuggestedReme Suggest to c - 100.4.4.1 T	dy reate est Mode 1 est Mode 2 accordingly nse	and include page 119, line and include page 119, line y Response Status W		
Line 41. Strike sentenc specified OFDMA chan user." Line 42, Convert remair provide configurable av "NOTE- It is recommen- least including 1 to 32 p	e "The CLT should provide a nel at the TP1 reference inpu ning sentence into a paragrap eraging over a range at least ded that the CLT provide con	n estimate of to t point, for a sin h Note tag from including 1 to 3	otal received power in a ngle specified upstream n "The CLT should 32 probes." to read:	SuggestedReme Suggest to c - 100.4.4.1 T - 100.4.4.2 T nes cubalsue Update PICS Proposed Respo PROPOSED C/ 100 SC	dy reate est Mode 1 est Mode 2 e accordingly nse ACCEPT. 100.5.3	and include page 119, line y Response Status W P 120	es 52-54, and pag	
Line 41. Strike sentenc specified OFDMA chan user." Line 42, Convert remair provide configurable ave "NOTE- It is recommen- least including 1 to 32 p Update PICS	e "The CLT should provide a nel at the TP1 reference inpu ning sentence into a paragrap eraging over a range at least ded that the CLT provide con robes."	n estimate of to t point, for a sin h Note tag from including 1 to 3 figurable avera	otal received power in a ngle specified upstream n "The CLT should 32 probes." to read: ging over a range at	SuggestedReme Suggest to c - 100.4.4.1 T - 100.4.4.2 T nes cubalsue Update PICS Proposed Respo PROPOSED C/ 100 SC Hajduczenia, Ma	dy reate est Mode 1 est Mode 2 e accordingly nse ACCEPT. 100.5.3 rek	and include page 119, line y <i>Response Status</i> W <i>P</i> 120 Bright House	es 52-54, and pag	ge 120, lines 1-8 in this # <u>i-109</u>
Line 41. Strike sentence specified OFDMA channe user." Line 42, Convert remain provide configurable ave "NOTE- It is recommended least including 1 to 32 p Update PICS C/ 100 SC 100.4.4 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR This has nothing to do v	e "The CLT should provide a nel at the TP1 reference inpu ning sentence into a paragrap eraging over a range at least ded that the CLT provide con robes."	n estimate of to t point, for a sin h Note tag from including 1 to 3 figurable average <i>L</i> 23 Network	tal received power in a agle specified upstream n "The CLT should 22 probes." to read: ging over a range at # [i-106 + <i>REV</i> +	SuggestedReme Suggest to c - 100.4.4.1 T - 100.4.4.2 T nes cubalsue Update PICS Proposed Respo PROPOSED C/ 100 SC Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type "It is recomm we do not p[t	dy reate est Mode 1 est Mode 2 e accordingly nse ACCEPT. 100.5.3 rek E enended that rescribe whe	and include page 119, line <i>Response Status</i> W <i>P</i> 120 Bright House <i>Comment Status</i> R manufacturers indicate in ere it needs to be indicated	<i>L</i> 28 Network	ge 120, lines 1-8 in this # [<u>i-109</u> + <i>REV</i> ociated with the PHY" -
Line 41. Strike sentenc specified OFDMA chann user." Line 42, Convert remain provide configurable avor "NOTE- It is recommen- least including 1 to 32 p Update PICS C/ 100 SC 100.4.4 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR This has nothing to do w SuggestedRemedy	e "The CLT should provide a nel at the TP1 reference inpu ning sentence into a paragrap eraging over a range at least ded that the CLT provide con probes." P118 Bright House N Comment Status A with measurement methods -	n estimate of to t point, for a sin h Note tag from including 1 to 3 figurable average <i>L</i> 23 Vetwork these are CLT	tal received power in a agle specified upstream n "The CLT should 22 probes." to read: ging over a range at # [i-106 + <i>REV</i> +	SuggestedReme Suggest to c - 100.4.4.1 T - 100.4.4.2 T nes cubalsue Update PICS Proposed Respo PROPOSED Cl 100 SC Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type "It is recomm we do not p[r are also allow	dy reate est Mode 1 est Mode 2 e accordingly nse ACCEPT. 100.5.3 rek E nended that rescribe whe wed. Poems	and include page 119, line <i>Response Status</i> W <i>P</i> 120 Bright House <i>Comment Status</i> R manufacturers indicate in	<i>L</i> 28 Network the literature asso	ge 120, lines 1-8 in this # [<u>i-109</u> + <i>REV</i> ociated with the PHY" -
Line 41. Strike sentenc specified OFDMA channuser." Line 42, Convert remain provide configurable ave "NOTE- It is recommend least including 1 to 32 p Update PICS C/ 100 SC 100.4.4 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR This has nothing to do w SuggestedRemedy If these are needed, mod Update PICS as needed	e "The CLT should provide a nel at the TP1 reference inpu and sentence into a paragrap eraging over a range at least ded that the CLT provide con robes." P118 Bright House N Comment Status A with measurement methods - ove them to 100.3.6 in approp	n estimate of to t point, for a sin h Note tag from including 1 to 3 figurable average <i>L</i> 23 Vetwork these are CLT	tal received power in a agle specified upstream n "The CLT should 22 probes." to read: ging over a range at # [i-106 + <i>REV</i> +	SuggestedReme Suggest to c - 100.4.4.1 T - 100.4.4.2 T nes cubalsue Update PICS Proposed Respo PROPOSED CI 100 SC Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type "It is recomm we do not p[t are also allow SuggestedReme Change to "It	dy reate est Mode 1 est Mode 2 e accordingly nse ACCEPT. 100.5.3 rek E nended that rescribe whe wed. Poems dy t is recomm	and include page 119, line <i>Response Status</i> W <i>P</i> 120 Bright House <i>Comment Status</i> R manufacturers indicate in ere it needs to be indicated i might be a tad too much ended that manufacturers	<i>L</i> 28 Network He literature asso L Technical notes	ge 120, lines 1-8 in this # [<u>i-109</u> + <i>REV</i> ociated with the PHY" -
Line 41. Strike sentence specified OFDMA channe user." Line 42, Convert remain provide configurable ave "NOTE- It is recommen- least including 1 to 32 p Update PICS Cl 100 SC 100.4.4 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR This has nothing to dow SuggestedRemedy If these are needed, mod	e "The CLT should provide a nel at the TP1 reference inpu ning sentence into a paragrap eraging over a range at least ded that the CLT provide con robes." P118 Bright House N Comment Status A with measurement methods - ove them to 100.3.6 in approp	n estimate of to t point, for a sin h Note tag from including 1 to 3 figurable average <i>L</i> 23 Vetwork these are CLT	tal received power in a agle specified upstream n "The CLT should 22 probes." to read: ging over a range at # [i-106 + <i>REV</i> +	SuggestedReme Suggest to c - 100.4.4.1 T - 100.4.4.2 T nes cubalsue Update PICS Proposed Respo PROPOSED CI 100 SC Hajduczenia, Ma Comment Type "It is recomm we do not p[t are also allow SuggestedReme	dy reate est Mode 1 est Mode 2 e accordingly nse ACCEPT. 100.5.3 rek E nended that rescribe whe wed. Poems dy t is recomm	and include page 119, line <i>Response Status</i> W <i>P</i> 120 Bright House <i>Comment Status</i> R manufacturers indicate in ere it needs to be indicated i might be a tad too much ended that manufacturers	<i>L</i> 28 Network He literature asso L Technical notes	ge 120, lines 1-8 in this # [<u>i-109</u> + <i>REV</i> ociated with the PHY" -

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-109

Page 24 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM Draft 3.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments **Proposed Responses** SC 100.6 SC 103.1 C/ 100 P 120 L 42 # i-110 C/ 103 P 299 L 23 # i-112 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Haiduczenia, Marek Bright House Network +REV+ Sed Comment Type TR Comment Status R +REV+ Comment Type TR Comment Status R Untestable requirement: "For the 10GPASS-XR-U PHY the CNU shall enable Energy-Comment bait: "Topics dealt with in this clause include allocation of upstream transmission Efficient Ethernet (EEE) capability to conserve energy by deactivating power-consuming resources to different CNUs, PMD Functions (e.g. RF power amplifier) between bursts using PMD_SIGNAL.request (see discovery and registration of CNUs into the network, and reporting of congestion to higher 100.2.1.4)." lavers to allow for dynamic bandwidth allocation schemes and statistical multiplexing across the CCDN. SuggestedRemedy This clause does not deal with topics including bandwidth allocation strategies, The very nature of EPoC (like EPON) implies that transmit path is disabled in between authentication of enddevices. bursts. quality-of-service definition, provisioning, or management." - line 30 already states what is Change the text to read: "In order to support EEE-like power saving, the 10GPASS-XR being specified in this clause, and everything else is NOT specified. Period PHYs may deactivate some PHY functional blocks, e.g., RF power amplifier, between SugaestedRemedv individual data bursts (in case of 10GPAS-XR-U PHY), disable some of OFDM channels (in case of 10GPAS-XR-D PHY) when traffic load is low, or use other vendor-specific Strike text "Topics dealt with in this clause include allocation of upstream transmission mechanisms to lower the overal PHY consumption without affecting the latency and BER resources to different CNUs, on the EPoC link." - this is as good as we can do here without specific hooks for EEE at discovery and registration of CNUs into the network, and reporting of congestion to higher the PHY layer lavers to allow for dynamic bandwidth allocation schemes and statistical multiplexing across the CCDN. Response Response Status W This clause does not deal with topics including bandwidth allocation strategies, REJECT. authentication of enddevices, There is no support in this standard to "disable some of OFDM channels (in case of guality-of-service definition, provisioning, or management." 10GPAS-XR-D PHY) when traffic load is low". "other vendor-specific mechanisms " are Response Response Status W outside the scope of the standard. REJECT. C/ 103 SC 103.1 P 299 L 8 # i-111 For concistency reasons the Staff editors would prefer if we included this given that it already appears in Cl 64 and 77. Haiduczenia. Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status D If this wording is objectionable, the commenter is invited to submit a maintainance request on the similar text in Cl 64 and 77 "in which passive and usually active elements" - sounds like these "usually active" elements can be also passive at times. C/ 103 SC 103.1 P 300 L 1 # i-113 SuggestedRemedv Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Change to "in which both passive and active elements" Comment Type **TR** Comment Status D remein 02 Proposed Response Response Status W Even at high level, Figure 103-1 does not resemble Figure 100A-1, which shows amplifiers PROPOSED ACCEPT. (not feeder) and contains mor details - there are taps, and splitter are only at home/ SuggestedRemedy Either replace everything between CLT and CNUs with cloud and name it CCDN (that is the level needed for Clause 103) or reproduce Figure 100A-1 in here Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED See 3bn remein 02 1602.pdf

Comment ID i-113

Page 25 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 103 SC 103.1 P 300 L 26 # i-114	C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.4 P 311 L 29 # i-116	
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type E Comment Status D	
These are not PRIOR versions, just versions. EPoC MPCP cannot be executed on EPON, just like EPON MPCP cannot be executed on EPoC without changes	The issue with these equations is the use of very long and wordy names of functions a parameters: Derating_Overhead, DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC, etc. The names are	
SuggestedRemedy	meaningless anyway, and could be easily replaced with simpler and shorter versions, DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC with DS_FEC_Frac, Derating_Overhead with DerateO,	e.g.,
Change: "The EPoC Multipoint MAC Control shares much in common with prior versions of the Multipoint MAC Control protocol defined in Clause 64 and Clause 77." to "The EPoC Multipoint MAC Control shares operating principles with the Multipoint MAC Control	FEC_Overhead with FecO, etc allowing equations to actually fit into a single line to improve readability	
protocol defined in Clause 64 and Clause 77."	SuggestedRemedy	
Proposed Response Response Status W	Per comment	
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W	
REVISED The EPoC Multipoint MAC Control shares much in common with the Multipoint MAC Control protocol defined in Clause 64 and Clause 77.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Make the following substitutions:	
	PMD_Overhead(length) -> PMDohd(len) (1x)	
C/ 103 SC 103.2.2 P 305 L 1 # i-115	FEC_Overhead(length) -> FECohd(len) (2x) Derating Overhead(length -> Dovhd(len (2x)	
łajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC -> DS_FECfrac (6x)	
Comment Type ER Comment Status D		
There does not seem to be anything different in 103.2.2 when compared with 77.2.2, apart from CLT and CNU labels - does that require importing all figures into the new Clause?	C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.7 P 313 L 7 # i-117 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	
SuggestedRemedy		
suggesteakernedy	Comment Type T Comment Status D	
In other locations 802.3, there are cases where text was marked as applicable, with some listed changes. Here, change "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint	Comment Type T Comment Status D Too many brackets: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC)) - 2 w open, three were closed	vere
In other locations 802.3, there are cases where text was marked as applicable, with some listed changes. Here, change "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 27.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 27.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 27.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 27.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 27.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 27.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 27.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 20.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.	Too many brackets: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC)) - 2 w open, three were closed SuggestedRemedy	vere
In other locations 802.3, there are cases where text was marked as applicable, with some listed changes. Here, change "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON, including Figure 77-6 through Figure 77-9, where the term "ONU" is replaced with "CNU" and the term "OLT" is replaced with "CLT"."	Too many brackets: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC)) - 2 v open, three were closed	vere
In other locations 802.3, there are cases where text was marked as applicable, with some listed changes. Here, change "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON, including Figure 77-6 through Figure 77-9, where the term "ONU" is replaced with "CNU" and the term "OLT" is replaced with "CLT"." Strike Figure 103-4 through Figure 103-7	Too many brackets: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC)) - 2 w open, three were closed SuggestedRemedy Change to: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC)	vere
In other locations 802.3, there are cases where text was marked as applicable, with some listed changes. Here, change "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON, including Figure 77-6 through Figure 77-9, where the term "ONU" is replaced with "CNU" and the term "OLT" is replaced with "CLT"." Strike Figure 103-4 through Figure 103-7	Too many brackets: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC)) - 2 v open, three were closed SuggestedRemedy Change to: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC) Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.7 P 314 L1 # [-118	/ere
In other locations 802.3, there are cases where text was marked as applicable, with some listed changes. Here, change "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON, including Figure 77-6 through Figure 77-9, where the term "ONU" is replaced with "CNU" and the term "OLT" is replaced with "CLT"." Strike Figure 103-4 through Figure 103-7	Too many brackets: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC)) - 2 v open, three were closed SuggestedRemedy Change to: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC) Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.7 P 314 L 1 # [-118 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	/+ Sed
In other locations 802.3, there are cases where text was marked as applicable, with some listed changes. Here, change "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON, including Figure 77-6 through Figure 77-9, where the term "ONU" is replaced with "CNU" and the term "OLT" is replaced with "CLT"." Strike Figure 103-4 through Figure 103-7	Too many brackets: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC)) - 2 v open, three were closed SuggestedRemedy Change to: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC) Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.7 P 314 L 1 # [-118 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type TR Comment Status R +RE Figure 103-9 is no different than Figure 77-10	
In other locations 802.3, there are cases where text was marked as applicable, with some listed changes. Here, change "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON, including Figure 77-6 through Figure 77-9, where the term "ONU" is replaced with "CNU" and the term "OLT" is replaced with "CLT"." Strike Figure 103-4 through Figure 103-7	Too many brackets: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC)) - 2 v open, three were closed SuggestedRemedy Change to: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC) Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.7 P 314 L 1 # i-118 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type TR Comment Status R +RE	
In other locations 802.3, there are cases where text was marked as applicable, with some listed changes. Here, change "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON, including Figure 77-6 through Figure 77-9, where the term "ONU" is replaced with "CNU" and the term "OLT" is replaced with "CLT"." Strike Figure 103-4 through Figure 103-7	Too many brackets: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC)) - 2 v open, three were closed SuggestedRemedy Change to: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC) Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 103 SC 103.2.2.7 P 314 L 1 # [-118 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type TR Comment Status R +RE Figure 103-9 is no different than Figure 77-10 SuggestedRemedy	
In other locations 802.3, there are cases where text was marked as applicable, with some listed changes. Here, change "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON, including Figure 77-6 through Figure 77-9, where the term "ONU" is replaced with "CNU" and the term "OLT" is replaced with "CLT"." Strike Figure 103-4 through Figure 103-7	Too many brackets: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC)) - 2 v open, three were closed SuggestedRemedy Change to: ceil((XGMII_Rate/PCS_Rate-1) * DS_FEC_CW_Sz_FRAC) Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 103 SC 103.2.2.7 P 314 L 1 # [-118 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type TR Comment Status R +RE Figure 103-9 is no different than Figure 77-10 SuggestedRemedy Remove Figure 103-9 and replace all references with Figure 77-10	/+ Sed

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

<i>Cl</i> 103 <i>SC</i> 103.2.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 315 L 4 Bright House Network		C/ 103 SC 103.3.2.2 P 319 L 23 # [i-122] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
-	Comment Status D		
	comment Status D erent than Figure 77-11, excluding g Figure 77-11 - a change that can be		Comment Type TR Comment Status D Reference to 77.3.2.2 is sufficient - it already contains reference to 76.2.6.1.3.2
SuggestedRemedy Remove Figure 103-10	and replace all references with Figu	re 77-11	SuggestedRemedy Change text in lines 23-24 to read: "Optional Shared LAN emulation for EPoC is the same as described in 77.3.2.2."
Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT. The suggested change	Response Status W would create an unnecessary refere	ence to a clause in another	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
section of the standard here does no harm and	and leave a hanging PICS without a is much more convenient for the rea sholdCLT is used in several SDs and	reference. Including the SD ader.	C/ 103 SC 103.3.2.3 P 319 L 23 # i-123 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network IIII - 123 IIIII - 123
C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 316 L ² Bright House Network		Comment Type TR Comment Status D Reference to 77.3.2.3 is sufficient - it already contains reference to 76.2.6.1.3.2 SuggestedRemedy
guardThresholdONU in SuggestedRemedy	erent than Figure 77-12, excluding g Figure 77-12 - a change that can be and replace all references with Figu	e described in words.	the same as described in 77.3.2.3." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Response REJECT. The staff editors indicate	Response Status W e that in such cases it is preferred to pointed out that the titles do not ma	o include the similar figure in the	
C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.7	P316 L2	24 # i-121	
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House Network	<	
Comment Type E Name of state in PARS	Comment Status D E OPCODE state overlaps with top b	EZ border of the state	
SuggestedRemedy	bit down, so that it does not overlap		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT I REVISED	Response Status W N PRINCIPLE. Ispect the comment is about pg 317.		

C/ 103 SC 103.3.3 P 320 L 1 # [i-124	C/ 103 SC 103.3.3.1 P 321 L 28 # i-125
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status D
Figure 103-14/15/16 is no different from Figure 77-16/17/18, apart from the statement already included in the draft: "The laserOnTime and laserOffTime parameters in 77.3.3 are replaced in EPoC with	This is the strangest definition yet: This variable holds the time required to terminate the RF and is included for consistency with Clause 77 it is defined but has the value of zero. The same applies to rfOnTime
rfOnTime and rfOffTime, respectively."	SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy Strike Figure 103-14/15/16 Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	A cleaner approach would be remove them altogether, given that they are not used for anything. If you want to keep them, change definition of rfOffTime to "PlaceholderOff: This variable replaces laserOffTime in Clause 77." and rfOnTime to read: "PlaceholderOn: This variable replaces laserOnTime in Clause 77." - since these do not hold really any meaning, do not pretend they have some meaning.
REVISED Per comment but add:	Similar observation applies to syncTime on page 322, line 18, which is only present for "compatibility" purposes
Discovery Processing service interfaces for the CLT in broadcast instances are the same as shown in Figure 77-16 with the exceptions that CLT, rfOnTime and rfOffTime replace	Proposed Response Response Status W
the terms OLT, laserOnTime and laserOffTime, respectively and the CLT does not include discoveryInformation. Discovery Processing service interfaces for the CLT in unicast instances are the same as shown in Figure 77-17 with the exceptions that CLT, rfOnTime and rfOffTime replace the terms OLT, laserOnTime and laserOffTime, respectively. Discovery Processing service interfaces for the CNU are the same as shown in Figure 77-	PROPOSED REJECT. The change would result in unnecessary work (rfOffTime appears 25x in the draft and rfOnTIme 26x) and would change several technical figures and requirements. The risk of introducing technical problems into the draft outweights the personal preference of the commenter.
18 with the exceptions that CLT, rfOnTime and rfOffTime replace the terms OLT, laserOnTime and laserOffTime, respectively and the CNU does not include	C/ 103 SC 103.3.3.4 P 323 L 18 # i-126
discoveryInformation.	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
	Comment Type E Comment Status D E

Is there any special reason why rfOnTime and rfOffTime are in italics, when most other parameters are not?

SuggestedRemedy

Either use italics for all parameters, or do not - right now it is almost half/half for no special reason

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

REVISED

Italicise rfOnTime, rfOffTime on pg 339 line 42 (remove line break also). All other instances are already in italic, in SD (which use a different font) are targeted to be removed per commentes from the commenter.

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 103 SC 103.3.3		L 52	# i-127	C/ 103	SC 103.3.5.2		L1	# i-130
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Network		Hajduczen	ia, Marek	Bright House	Network	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		2 IDLES
parameter. This begs	odified from Figure 77-19 by re a question - instead of trying t	to maintain "com	patibility" with existing	l was purpos	ooking for justific se for them in 10	ation of the "two leading ID G-EPON, but it is not clear v	LE vectors of the what they are used	payload" - there was a d for in EPoC.
	ouldn't it be clearer to remove ri ere, and just make Clause 103			Suggested	-			
SuggestedRemedy						5.7 does not help		
Per comment				•	Response	Response Status W		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			PROP REVIS	OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
	T. sult in unnecessary work (rfOff time 5x) and would change sev			Chang 101.3.		le represents the burst over	head and equals ι	urstTimeHeader() (see
requirements. The ris	sk of introducing technical prob	lems into the dra	aft outweights the	C/ 103	SC 103.3.5.2	P 331	L 12	# i-131
personal preference raised or discussed v	of the commenter. Removal of	sync_time para	meter has never been	Hajduczer	ia, Marek	Bright House	e Network	
				Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		EZ
C/ 103 SC 103.3.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 330 Bright House	L 31 Network	# i-128		ound adjectives i ied, etc.	needs to be hyphenated: 48	bit unsigned, 32 b	bit unsigned, 16 bit
Comment Type TR	Comment Status D			Suggested	IRemedy			
	not seem to be any different fro	m Figure 77-27.				ned, 32-bit unsigned, 16-bit aft, there are more instance		
SuggestedRemedy Remove 103-22 and	replace all references to 77-27	which is function	onally the same	Proposed		Response Status W		
Proposed Response		,			OSED ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLE.		
PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W			REVIS Ref po				
REVISED				48 bit:	331/12			
Per comment. No ref	erences exist so they cannot b	e removed.			257/3, 263/31, 2 331/14	64/7, 266/49, 331/13		
C/ 103 SC 103.3.5	.1 <i>P</i> 330	L 46	# i-129	16 bit.	331/14			
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	-	# 1-129	C/ 103	SC 103.3.5.2		L 15	# i-132
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		+REV+	Hajduczen	ia, Marek	Bright House	Network	
	nin_processing_time? Please c	larify what 1024		Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status D		
something else?)		any what 1024		"RB_te logical	otal_time = RB_t AND meaning a	me_quanta * Number_of_B ssigned. Use "x" symbol ins	urst_RBs" - "*" sy tead	mbol is assigned a
SuggestedRemedy	ed as: VALUE: 0x00000400 (1	6 38 <i>4</i> us)		Suggested	IRemedy			
		0.00- 03/			mment			
Response	Response Status W					ances in equations		
ACCEPT IN PRINCI REVISED	LE.			Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
Change link to 77.3.5	5.1			PROP	OSED ACCEPT			
8	(00000400 (16.384 us)							
TVDE. TD/toohaiaal rate	rad ED/aditorial required OD/	annoral require	T/tooppion Fladitorial O	/gonorol		0	ant ID : 132	Doco 20 of 24
	red ER/editorial required GR/ dispatched A/accepted R/reje				d U/unsatisfied 2		nent ID i-132	Page 29 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:2
SORT ORDER: Commen								0/1/2010 0.00.2

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

	103.3.5.6	P 335	L 36	# i-133	C/ 103 SC 103.3.6		- 15 # i-135
Hajduczenia, Mare	ek	Bright House N	Network		Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House Netwo	rĸ
Comment Type Figure 103-23		Comment Status R as Figure 77-28		+REV+ Sed	Comment Type E Double reference with	Comment Status D out any need: in 77.3.6.2 (see 64.3.6	5.1).
SuggestedRemed Remove 103-2		ce all reference with Figure	e 77-28		SuggestedRemedy Change to "in 77.3.6.	2"	
Response REJECT. The staff edito		Pesponse Status W	erred to includ	e the similar figure in the	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W	
new Clause. It	It was also po	inted out that the titles do	not match.		C/ 103 SC 103.4.4 . Hajduczenia, Marek	2 P 343 L Bright House Netwo	- 6
	103.3.6.1	P 338	L8	# i-134	Comment Type T	Comment Status D	
Hajduczenia, Mare Comment Type	TR	Bright House N Comment Status D			••	r OM1 and OM2 to track Clause 64 a	and not Clause 77 (77.2.2.2)
		ffTime, and sync-time, tog Clause 77 where not nee		coveryInformation to	SuggestedRemedy		
SuggestedRemed					Change 64.2.2.2 to 7	7.2.2.2	
Change "In EF respectively. T	PoC rfOnTim	e and rfOffTime replace la ne and Discovery Informat set to zero on transmit ar	ion fields desc	ribed in 77.3.6.1 are not	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP	Response Status W	
laserOnTime,	, laserOffTime	e, Sync Time, and Discove shall be set to zero on trai	ery Information	fields described in	Cl 101 SC 101.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 127 L Bright House Netwo	2 9 # <u>i-137</u> rk
		3, where REGISTER_REC (not needed anymore, wo			Comment Type T Unnecessary detail -	Comment Status D already included in definition of CCDI	E N: "passive or amplified"
In 103.3.6.4, g the SyncTime	e can be then	erOnTime and laserOffTir calculated using rules for hen replace text in 103.3.	10G-EPON, a	nd still arrived to the	SuggestedRemedy Remove "passive or a	Implified"	
		as that described in 77.3.			Proposed Response	Response Status W	
Proposed Respon	nse F	esponse Status W			PROPOSED ACCEP	г.	
rfOnTIme 26x requirements.	would result in x, sync_time t . The risk of i	unnecessary work (rfOff 5x) and would change sev ntroducing technical proble commenter. Removal of s	eral technical f ems into the d	igures and raft outweights the			

C/ 101 SC 101	1.1 <i>P</i> 127	L 18	# i-138	C/ 101	SC 101.1	.4	P 132	L 19	# i-140
lajduczenia, Marek		louse Network		Hajduczer	-		Bright House		
Comment Type E	Comment Status)	Notation(+=)	Comment	Type TR	Comm	ent Status A		+RE
	"" and "++" look different t	han "-+" (they seem	n tobe bolded?)						not clear. It seems that ION operating at the
SuggestedRemedy		******	l av wala ala fin a dia tha	same	level, feeding	PHY Link			
same subclause	d "++" does not look differen	it than += and -=	symbols defined in the	Suggestee	dRemedy				
Proposed Response	Response Status V	v					eword pointer for F generated by FEC		a, it would seem be
	EPT IN PRINCIPLE.			Response			se Status W		
REVISED See Accepted co	nment i-353 copied below			ACCE REVIS	PT IN PRINC				
could be removed	", and "+ =" do not appear e dy: "per comment."	Isewhere in the dra	ft and these descriptions	Chanç SYMB	ge the two line	es seperating tinto dotted li		the FCP GENE	RATION and the GENERATION are su
C/ 101 SC 101	1.4 <i>P</i> 132	L 1	# i-139			-	not superframe tim	ng aware.	
łajduczenia, Marek	Bright H	louse Network		C/ 101	SC 101.3	.2.1.1	P 138	L 42	# i-141
Comment Type T	Comment Status)		Hajduczer	nia, Marek		Bright House	Network	
	ates functions of Clause 77 ssary for EPoC operation" -			Comment	21		ent Status D		wast of the town is
SuggestedRemedy				Why?			e - "DS_" is not itali	cized, while the	rest of the term is.
	e 103 defines Multipoint MA Clause 77 model as necess		(MPCP) for operation in	Suggested	dRemedy				
Proposed Response	Response Status V	,		Italiciz	the term for	r consistency	with other terms sh	nown in italics. N	lultiple instances
PROPOSED ACC	,	•			Response POSED ACCE		nse Status W		
				C/ 101	SC 101.3	.2.1.1	P 139	L 15	# i-142
				Hajduczer	nia, Marek		Bright House	Network	
				Comment	Туре Т	Comm	ent Status D		
				consta	ant for XGMII	data rate. Ori	used by this stand ginally, the standar onstant made sens	d was supposed	need to create a I to use 1G and 10G
				Suggestee	dRemedy				
				00	-	te and replac	e with a fixed const	ant value of 10 i	n all equations
				Proposed PROF	<i>Response</i> POSED REJE	CT.	nse Status W		ber" would not make

Comment ID i-142

Page 31 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM

Draft 3.0	IEEE 80	02.3bn EPO	N Protocol over Coax (I	EPoC) TF Init	ial Sponsor	ballot comments	Pi	roposed Responses
<i>Cl</i> 101 SC 101.3.2.1.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 139 Bright House N	L 50 Network	# i-143	C/ 101 Hajduczenia,	SC 101.3.2.1. Marek	5 P 141 Bright House	L 26 Network	# [i-145
Comment Type T Com Given the equation 101-02, it s rate	ment Status D seems that PCS_Rate	is really a dow	nstream only PCS data	Comment Ty Note that state	•	Comment Status D erators were defined, but are	not used in the	Notation(+= UPDATE_COUNTERS
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRI REVISED TF can consider replacing the C/ 00 SC 101.3.2.1.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	onse Status W NCIPLE. 7 instances of PCS_R P 141 Bright House N ament Status A kes for states, while m	Rate in equation	ns, figures, & text. # [i-144 +REV+ Sed	countDel accResic to accResic countDel accResic <i>Proposed Re</i> PROPOS These op	due = accResid lete = countDel due = accResid due += PHY_O lete += (DS_PH due -= floor(acc esponse SED REJECT. berators were n	HY_OSize + floor(accResidu	e)) lue to font diffici	∕ ulties with -=. The
SuggestedRemedy Consider aligning format of sta Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED	ite diagrams for consis onse Status C	stency		could be	removed. ed Remedy: "po SC 101.3.2.1.		L 40	and these descriptions # [i-146
Staff editors prefer lines of 0.5 Chnages to CI 00	pt.			Comment Ty, Note that state SuggestedRe Change countDel to countDel Proposed Re PROPOS These op definition The nota could be	t += and -= ope emedy lete = countDel lete += DS_PH esponse SED REJECT. berators were r is are being rer	Comment Status D erators were defined, but are lete + DS_PHY_OSize + DS Y_OSize + DS_FEC_Osize Response Status W emoved in a previous draft d moved from the draft. See Ar	not used in the _FEC_Osize lue to font diffici	ulties with -=. The ent i-353 copied below

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments **Proposed Responses** P 142 P 147 C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.2 # i-147 C/ 101 L 50 SC 101.3.2.5.2 L 26 # i-150 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Haiduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type т Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status A +RFV+Unnecessary details: "The EPoC PHY utilizes a 64B/66B Encoder based on that described What does "specify" mean in this statement: "The resulting FP bits of data are then passed in 49.2.5 with several important differences. The EPoC 64B/66B Encoder does not include to the LDPC Encoder specifying a payload length of FP - BP bits." a scrambler function as described in 49.2.6 and the output is a 65B block with a single SugaestedRemedv synch header bit." ??? Seems like a logical change would be to modify text to "The resulting FP bits of data SuggestedRemedy are then passed to the LDPC Encoder operating on a payload of FP - BP bits." Change to "The EPoC PHY utilizes a 64B/66B Encoder per 49.2.5." - unless you reference Response Response Status W Scrambler, it is not used. Period ACCEPT. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. SC 101.3.2.5.2 P 148 C/ 101 L 36 # i-151 CI 49.2.5 includes the following "The contents of each block are contained in a vector Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network tx_coded<65:0>, which is passed to the scrambler. " EPoC does not include the refereced scrambler and passes the data instead to the FEC Comment Type **TR** Comment Status D 2 IDI FS Encoder/DD. "two 65-bit Idle blocks" are shown in Figure 101-10 but never mentioned in text. Given the lack of self-synchronous scrambler, their purpose is questionable C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.1 P 147 L1 # i-148 SugaestedRemedv Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Remove "two 65-bit Idle blocks" from Figure 101-10 Comment Type T Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status W Definition of the FIFO_FEC_TX is already present in 101.3.2.5.6, where it should be. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SuggestedRemedv REVISED Remove lines 1-7 The two CTRL blocks should satisfy the minimum IPG requirement between two adjacent packets. Proposed Response Response Status W Change Figure 101-11: PROPOSED ACCEPT. 1)Remove "* sizeFifo > 2" from the state traversal from RECEIVE CTRL BLOCK to REMOVE FIFO HEAD. (This causes a transition REMOVE FIFO HEAD whenever the Do we want to include a ref to 101.3.2.5.6? CNU is not transmitting.) 2)Remove the entire loopback transition (line, arrow, and text) for "sizeFifo > 2" that C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.2 P 147 L 11 # i-149 returned to REMOVE FIFO HEAD. 3) Change the "ELSE" transition from REMOVE FIFO HEAD to Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** ADD 65BIT BLOCK TO FIFO to "UCT". Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 The above changes will remove CTRL blocks from the fifo whenever the CNU not Unit of size missing in "a single FEC LDPC codeword size of 16200 indicated by "DS"" transmitting. Any between packet CTRL (during transmitting) will remain as is. SuggestedRemedv Change to "a single FEC LDPC codeword size of 16200 bits indicated by "DS"" Change Figure 101-10: 1.Remove the two blocks, label, and arrow for "two 65-bit Idle blocks" from the beginning There are other locations in this subclause where the size of parity and payload is (left most) beginning of the burst (i.e., the two after Burst Time Header). expressed in numeric value without any units Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Change in 3x

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Draft 3.0

Comment ID i-151

Page 33 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.4 P 150 L 6 # i-152 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright Hou	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 150 L 46 # [i-153] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
The description in lines 12-26 is a tad chaotic - it uses B to designate burst size but also number of 65-bit blocks available for transmission.	"This variable represents the number of either 65-bit blocks input to the FEC Encoder." - the use of "either" implies an "on/nor" to complete the sentence, yet it is not present
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
The upstream burst filling process is described as follows: START: Add burst start marker. Move to STEP 1.	Change to "This variable represents the number of 65-bit blocks input to the FEC Encoder."?
STEP 1: If the number of available 65-bit blocks (Bin) is sufficient to fill a long FEC codeword (BQ >= 220), create a long FEC codeword. Repeat STEP 1 as long as Bin >= 220; otherwise move to STEP 2.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
STEP 2: If 220 > Bin >= 101, create a shortened long FEC codeword and move to END; otherwise move to STEP 3.	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.6 P 151 L 10 # [i-154
STEP 3: If 101 > Bin >= 76, create a medium FEC codeword. Move to STEP 4.	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
STEP 4: If 76 > Bin >= 25, create a shortened medium FEC codeword and move to END; otherwise move to STEP 5.	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
STEP 5: If $25 > Bin >= 12$, create a short FEC codeword. Move to STEP 6. STEP 6: If $12 > Bin >= 1$, create a shortened short FEC codeword and move to END.	Variables seem to be ordered alphabetically apart from xfrSize, which is stuck now in between burstEnd and burstStart for some reason
END: Add burst end marker. use appropriate formatting, as needed	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status W	Move xfrSize to proper location in the list
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Change to:	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
1)Add burst start marker.	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 153 L 3 # 1-155
2) If the number of available 65-bit blocks (Bin) is sufficient to fill a long FEC codeword (BQ >= 220), create a long FEC codeword.	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
 If 220 > Bin >= 101, create a shortened long FEC codeword. 	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 4) If 101 > Bin >= 76, create a medium FEC codeword. 5) If 76 > Bin >= 25, create a shortened medium FEC codeword. 6) If 25 > Bin >= 12, create a short FEC codeword. 7) If 12 > Bin >= 1, create a shortened short FEC codeword and move to END. 	Unclear designation: "dataPayload<> and tx_coded_out<> to add CRC40 and appropriate LDPC parity. The tx_coded_out<>" - given the the size of arrays is not given, skip "<>" - they do not add anything and individual arrays are already defined separately and clearly.
 8) If Bin = 0 go to step 9 else go to step 2. 9) Add burst end marker. 	SuggestedRemedy Per comment
	Proposed Response Response Status W
	PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Draft 3.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Co	ax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments Proposed Responses
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 153 L 7 # i-156	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 153 L 10 # i-159
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
Comment Type ER Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+
Unclear what "global: " statement is. It does not follow any "C" language syntax, which is used as reference for pseudo-code in the introduction to Clause 101	Logical comparison operator (=) and assignment operator (=) are the same. Compare line 10 and 17, for example.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Remove lines 7-8 - all variables are accessible as globals within the SD, no need to emphasize it over and over again. Apply to all pseudocode in Clause 101	Use "==" as logical comparison for IF statements Applies to all code snippets (except page 155, lines 3-13, which seems to be using proper C++ syntax already)
Proposed Response Response Status W	Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED	ACCEPT.
Remove "Global: loc, blockCount, dataPayload, firstcodeword, lastcodeword;" and at line 47 "Clobal: los blockCount, dataPayload, ty, coded, out, firstcodeword, lastcodeword,"	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 153 L 51 # [i-160] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
"Global: loc, blockCount, dataPayload, tx_coded_out, firstcodeword, lastcodeword;"	Comment Type T Comment Status D
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 153 L 27 # i-157	The code would be simpler to read if IF / ELSE was not used unless strictly necessary
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	SuggestedRemedy
Comment Type E Comment Status D	Z Change to read:
Extra spaces in resetArray(dataPayload); and resetArray(dataParity);	IF (lastblock = FALSE AND blockCount = 220)
SuggestedRemedy	<tab>Calculate_CRC40_and_3Parity(LONG);</tab>
Change ")" to ")"	IF (lastblock = TRUE) { <tab>IF (blockCount < 200 AND blockCount >= 101)</tab>
Proposed Response Response Status W	<tab>ctab>Calculate_CRC40_and_3Parity(LONG);</tab>
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Proposed Response Response Status W
	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 153 L 46 # [i-158	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 154 L 15 # i-161
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	Haiduczenia Marek Bright House Network
Comment Type ER Comment Status A +REV+ S	
Code snippet for Check_dataPayload uses smaller font than Calculate_CRC40_and_3Parity (which I find more readable)	Comment Type T Comment Status D ELSE IF not needed -
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Align the use of font size for code snippets	Change
Response Response Status W	ELSE IF (blockCount >= 1) { Calculate_CRC40_and_3Parity(SHORT);
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	}
	to
REVISED Should be 9 pt Courier New using new style defined for code	IF (blockCount ≥ 1)
REVISED Should be 9 pt Courier New using new style defined for code.	
	<tab>Calculate_CRC40_and_3Parity(SHORT);</tab>

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM

Draft 3.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax	(EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments Proposed Responses
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 154 L 23 # [i-162] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 155 L 9 # [i-164] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
Comment Type ER Comment Status D Seems like formatting gone wrong	Comment Type ER Comment Status D Inconsistent logical AND operator. Most locations use AND and here we have &&
SuggestedRemedy Format text in lines 23/25 with T,Text and not as code snippet Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy Decide which of the logical operators syntax you want to follow and update code snippets accordingly. My personal preference would be for && Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Change AND -> && 4x pg/line 153/53 154/1 154/3 154/5
C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 154 L 27 # i-163 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Inconsistent line delimiters - previous two code snippets used ";" as line delimiter. This code snippet does not use any SuagestedRemedy	
Decide whether line delimiters are needed, and then apply prevailing style to all code snippets in the draft	C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.7 P 155 L 15 # i-165 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Include line delimiter in this snippet and also at line 14 after "Calculate_CRC40_and_3Parity(SHORT)" "" does not require a line delimiter	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ The way the NOTE is placed, it seems to apply to all functions in 101.3.2.5.7 and not just the last function SuggestedRemedy Either indent the NOTE to right to be visually part of the code snippet and move it above the code snippet, or make it part of the function definition, and not a separate NOTE for some reason Either indent the NOTE for some reason
The commenter may wish to review the std and fix elsewhere in the std.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED

Remove note and add text after sentence ending on line 1: "In the CLT the lastcodeword argument to this function is always TRUE (see Figure 101–12)."

Dra	aft	3.0)

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 101 SC 101.3.2.5.8 P 156 L 31 # [i-166 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	C/ 101 SC 101.3.3.1.6 P 161 L 15 # i-169 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Brigh
Comment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV-
Unnecessary operation in state diagram: tx_coded_out <fr+40-1:40></fr+40-1:40>	The description of CRC40ErrCtrl variable is not correct - it implies right now that CRC40 is
SuggestedRemedy Change to tx coded out <fr+39:40></fr+39:40>	calculated for individual 66B vectors, and that is not the case - there is a single CRC40 per FEC codeword.
5	SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Change definition of CRC40ErrCtr to read: This variable controls the processing of 66B blocks recovered from FEC codewords that fail the CRC40 checksum test. When CRC40ErrCtrl is set to TRUE, all 66B blocks recovered from a FEC codeword that fail the
C/ 00 SC 101.3.3.1.3 P 160 L 16 # [i-167] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network	CRC40 checksum test are flagged as errored. When CRC40ErrCtrl is set to FALSE, all 66B blocks recovered from a FEC codeword that fail the CRC40 checksum test are not marked in any way.
Comment Type ER Comment Status A +REV+ Sed	Response Response Status W
Persistent use of "will" in multiple locations in the draft outside of FM. "the CLT will remove"	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
SuggestedRemedy	REVISED Replace "When CRC40ErrCtrl is TRUE 66B vectors that fail the CRC40 checksum test are
Please convert all cases of "will" to Present Simple statement (here: "the CLT removes"),	flagged as errored. When this variable is set to FALSE 66B vectors that fail the CRC40
unless the very specific use case of "will" is met, per Style Manual	checksum test are passed as is." with
esponse Response Status W	"See 101.3.3.1.4."
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED	C/ 101 SC 101.3.3.1.6 P 161 L 37 # i-170
Changed to Clause 00 as this impact several clauses. Editors to review each instance on a	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
case by case basis. Below is the Style Guide note on use of "will" for editors reference: NOTE—The use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements; must is used only to describe unavoidable situations. The use of	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
	Missing closing bracket in dataIn<(dataInSize-1:0>
the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements;	
	SuggestedRemedy
the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements; will is only used in statements of fact.	SuggestedRemedy Change to dataIn <datainsize-1:0></datainsize-1:0>
the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements; will is only used in statements of fact. 101 SC 101.3.3.1.4 P 160 L 26 # [i-168	SuggestedRemedy Change to dataIn <datainsize-1:0> Proposed Response Response Status W</datainsize-1:0>
the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements; will is only used in statements of fact. 7 101 SC 101.3.3.1.4 P 160 L 26 # [i-168 ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	SuggestedRemedy Change to dataln <datalnsize-1:0> Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.</datalnsize-1:0>
the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements; will is only used in statements of fact. 101 SC 101.3.3.1.4 P 160 L 26 # <u>i-168</u> ijduczenia, Marek Bright House Network <i>pmment Type</i> TR Comment Status A +REV+ "The FEC Decoder in the CNU shall provide" - what happened with this function in the CLT,	SuggestedRemedy Change to dataln Change to dataln Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements; will is only used in statements of fact. 101 SC 101.3.3.1.4 P 160 L 26 # i-168 lajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ "The FEC Decoder in the CNU shall provide" - what happened with this function in the CLT, where it is more needed due to bursty feature of upstream channel?	SuggestedRemedy Change to dataln <datalnsize-1:0> Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.</datalnsize-1:0>
the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements; will is only used in statements of fact. 101 SC 101.3.3.1.4 P 160 L 26 # [-168 ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ "The FEC Decoder in the CNU shall provide" - what happened with this function in the CLT, where it is more needed due to bursty feature of upstream channel? uggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Change to dataln Change to dataln Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.8 P 163 L 12 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type E Comment Status D
the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements; will is only used in statements of fact.	SuggestedRemedy Change to dataIn Change to dataIn Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.8 P163 L 12 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements; will is only used in statements of fact. 101 SC 101.3.3.1.4 P 160 L 26 # [-168 ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network <i>omment Type</i> TR Comment Status A +REV+ "The FEC Decoder in the CNU shall provide" - what happened with this function in the CLT, where it is more needed due to bursty feature of upstream channel? <i>uggestedRemedy</i> Please consider adding support for signalling uncorrestable FEC codewords to CLT, where it is more useful and does not lead to additional new requirements (CRC40 is calculated in	SuggestedRemedy Change to dataln Change to dataln Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 101 SC 101.3.3.1.8 P163 L 12 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type E Comment Status D
the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements; will is only used in statements of fact. To approximate the statements of fact. To approximate the statement of the statement o	SuggestedRemedy Change to dataln Change to dataln Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.8 P163 L 12 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment 12 is 1 pt smaller than in remaining text.
the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements; will is only used in statements of fact. If 101 SC 101.3.3.1.4 P 160 L 26 # [i-168 lajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network <i>Comment Type</i> TR Comment Status A +REV+ "The FEC Decoder in the CNU shall provide" - what happened with this function in the CLT, where it is more needed due to bursty feature of upstream channel? <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Please consider adding support for signalling uncorrestable FEC codewords to CLT, where it is more useful and does not lead to additional new requirements (CRC40 is calculated in upstream anyway)	SuggestedRemedy Change to dataln Change to dataln Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.8 P 163 L 12 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type E Comment Type E Text in line 12 is 1 pt smaller than in remaining text. SuggestedRemedy
the word will is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements; will is only used in statements of fact. Cl 101 SC 101.3.3.1.4 P 160 L 26 # i-168 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ "The FEC Decoder in the CNU shall provide" - what happened with this function in the CLT, where it is more needed due to bursty feature of upstream channel? SuggestedRemedy Please consider adding support for signalling uncorrestable FEC codewords to CLT, where it is more useful and does not lead to additional new requirements (CRC40 is calculated in upstream anyway) Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy Change to dataIn Change to dataIn Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. C/ 101 SC 101.3.3.1.8 P163 L 12 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status D Text in line 12 is 1 pt smaller than in remaining text. SuggestedRemedy Please applt T,Text and remove any overrides in this line

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-171

Page 37 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 101SC 101.3.3.1.8P 164Hajduczenia, MarekBright House	L 18 Network	# i-172	C/ 101 SC 101.4. Hajduczenia, Marek	1 P 169 Bright House	L 5 e Network	# i-174
Comment Type E Comment Status D I believe += and -= operands are defined		Notation(+=)	Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		+ <i>REV</i> + nce it is a stream of I/Q
SuggestedRemedy Change loc = loc + 65 to loc+ = 65 (twice on page 1) Change loc = loc + (40 + BP) to loc += (40 + BP)	64)		SuggestedRemedy Change "a stream o	f IQ data pairs" to "a stream of		
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.			the same	he use of "IQ pair" and "I/Q pa	Ir" - I believe thes	se are intended to be
These operator were removed in a previous draft du definitions are being removed from the draft. See Ac The notations "- =", and "+ =" do not appear elsewhe could be removed. Suggested Remedy: "per comment."	ccepted comment	t i-353 copied below	Response ACCEPT IN PRINCI REVISED Change 2x from "IQ data pairs" to "I/Q value pair and c			
C/ 101 SC 101.3.3.1.8 P 164 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House	L 26 Network	# i-173	C/ 101 SC 101.4. Hajduczenia, Marek	1.1 P 169 Bright House	L 20 e Network	# i-175
Comment Type E Comment Status D "CLK" is written in different font than the res of the S which look to be using different font, e.g "d" in tx_c DECODE_FAIL) SuggestedRemedy Please make sure that consistent fonts are used in the second construction of the second construc	code<1> dataOut<		US_PrflCpy variable SuggestedRemedy	Cpy, DS_CpyCh, and US_Prfl		
REVISED The two instances noted will be corrected.			C/ 101 SC 101.4. Hajduczenia, Marek	1.1 P 169 Bright House	L 19 e Network	# i-176
			Comment Type TR The mechanics of p	Comment Status A rofile change belong to Clause	102, and not Cla	+ <i>REV</i> - uuse 101.
			SuggestedRemedy Move text from lines	19-30 to Clause 102 into pro	per location	
			Response ACCEPT IN PRINC REVISED	Response Status WIPLE.		

Draft 3.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (E	EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot commentsProposed Responses
C/ 101 SC 101.4.2 P 170 L 22 # i-177 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network End to the second secon	C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.3 P 173 L 36 # i-180 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ Definition of PMA primitives is not consistent between 101.4.2 and Figures 101-1/2/3/4 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Update Figures 101-1/2/3/4 to match PMA_UNITDATA primitive syntax	Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ Odd equation 101-6: ((2(10))/4096) - what is the operand between 2 and 10? SuggestedRemedy Please clarify what operand is expected between 2 and 10
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED	Response Response Status W ACCEPT.
In figures change to: "PMA_UNITDATA.request()" "PMA_UNITDATA.indication()"	C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.3 P 174 L 6 # [i-181 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Note this is consistent with style use in Fig 77-4	Comment Type TR Comment Status R +REV+ Equation 101-8 is not the final form +<
C/ 101 SC 101.4.2.1.2 P 170 L 48 # i-178 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Eright House Network EZ Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ	SuggestedRemedy Change to: "6.4 x DSNcp", which is simpler and avoids unnecessary multiplications and exponents
When multiple NOTEs are added one after another, they should be numbered SuggestedRemedy Please add numbers to NOTEs Proposed Response Response Status W	REJECT. While this is true it would leave the reader with no hint as to how we arrived at this magic number of 6.4. It is informative to the reader to know how the formula was arrive at in this case; 128 and 50,000 should be well known to the reader at this point.
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.6.1 P 177 L 13 # i-182 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.2 P 172 L 39 # i-179 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network	Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ The figure is hardly sufficiently detailed for a normative reference. Image: Comment Status Image: Comment Status Image: Comment Status
Comment Type TR Comment Status R +REV+ There is requirement for downstream clock synchronization: "CLT transmitters and CNU receivers shall conform to the requirements given in Table 101-7." - what about upstream direction? The CLT and CNU clocks are not synchronized? SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Change The scattered pilot pattern shall be synchronized to the PHY Link as illustrated in Figure 101-20." to "The scattered pilot pattern are synchronized to the PHY Link as illustrated in Figure 101-20." The requirement on page 178 is sufficient, where a mathematical formula is used
Please add either a requirement for upstream or informative text explaining why there is no requirement for upstream (perhaps it is not needed)	Response Response Status W
Response Response Status W REJECT. The CLT is the only master clock in the network. This is the same time synchronization architecture as EPON or DOCSIS and should not be confused with burst mode clock recovery.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Per comment except use proper verb tense ("is" instead or "are"). Remove PICS PI2 and renumber.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-182

Page 39 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.6.3 P 179 L 20 # i-183	C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.7 P 182 L 22 # i-186				
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network				
Comment Type T Comment Status D	Comment Type T Comment Status D				
Is there any difference between "spectral band", "spectral region", and "spectrum"?	This seems like a set of requirements you'd want to be mandatory: "The CLT initializes the scrambler at the				
SuggestedRemedy Right now it seems to me that we are using three different terms to define the same concept, i.e., a contiugous amount of RF spectrum	first codeword of the downstream frame. The CNU initializes the scrambler with the hexadecimal value at the beginning of each grant."				
Please cosider consolidating terms	SuggestedRemedy				
Proposed Response Response Status W	Convert into "shall" statements + add PICS for them.				
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Proposed Response Response Status W				
REVISED Change spectral band to spectral region at pg 181 line 12 (only occurrence). Use of the term spectrum 204x is not synomonious with spectral region.	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Change to:				
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.6.4 P180 L 15 # i-184	"The CLT shall initialize the scrambler at the first codeword of the downstream frame. The CNU shall initialize the scrambler at the beginning of each grant."				
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network					
	IFNOLOLIT expensions and the first sector of ACA ACIT states from the design of the states are				
Not sure what "190e6" in Eq 101-9 is expected to mean. Is "6" supposed to be the expotent? SuggestedRemedy Please fix the equation	"EN2 CLT scrambler initialization 101.4.3.7 at the first codeword of the downstream frame CLT:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" "EN3 CNU scrambler initialization 101.4.3.7 at the beginning of each grant CNU:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" Renumber PICS Table.				
expotent? SuggestedRemedy Please fix the equation	frame CLT:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" "EN3 CNU scrambler initialization 101.4.3.7 at the beginning of each grant CNU:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" Renumber PICS Table.				
expotent? SuggestedRemedy Please fix the equation Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	frame CLT:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" "EN3 CNU scrambler initialization 101.4.3.7 at the beginning of each grant CNU:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" Renumber PICS Table.				
expotent? SuggestedRemedy Please fix the equation Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED	frame CLT:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" "EN3 CNU scrambler initialization 101.4.3.7 at the beginning of each grant CNU:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" Renumber PICS Table. C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.8.1 P182 L 31 # <u>i-187</u>				
expotent? SuggestedRemedy Please fix the equation Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED I believe this should be equivilent to 190 x 10^6	frame CLT:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" "EN3 CNU scrambler initialization 101.4.3.7 at the beginning of each grant CNU:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" Renumber PICS Table. C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.8.1 P182 L 31 # <u>i-187</u> Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network				
expotent? SuggestedRemedy Please fix the equation Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED I believe this should be equivilent to 190 x 10^6 Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.6.4 P 180 L 25 # i-185	frame CLT:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" "EN3 CNU scrambler initialization 101.4.3.7 at the beginning of each grant CNU:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" Renumber PICS Table. C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.8.1 P 182 L 31 # [i-187 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type E Comment Status D E It is not clear what "begins by" is supposed to imply - it initializes scrambler and other				
expotent? SuggestedRemedy Please fix the equation Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED I believe this should be equivilent to 190 x 10^6 C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.6.4 P 180 L 25 # i-185 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status D	frame CLT:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" "EN3 CNU scrambler initialization 101.4.3.7 at the beginning of each grant CNU:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" Renumber PICS Table. C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.8.1 P 182 L 31 # [-187 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type E Comment Status D E It is not clear what "begins by" is supposed to imply - it initializes scrambler and other functions. Period SuggestedRemedy Change to "Initializes (resetting) the scrambler function (see 101.4.3.7), sets an FCPbitCnt to to 1 (see 101.4.3.8.7), and initializes the mapping function with the lowest numbered				
expotent? SuggestedRemedy Please fix the equation Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED I believe this should be equivilent to 190 x 10^6 C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.6.4 P 180 L 25 # i-185 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	frame CLT:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" "EN3 CNU scrambler initialization 101.4.3.7 at the beginning of each grant CNU:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" Renumber PICS Table. C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.8.1 P 182 L 31 # [-187 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type E Comment Status D E It is not clear what "begins by" is supposed to imply - it initializes scrambler and other functions. Period SuggestedRemedy Change to "Initializes (resetting) the scrambler function (see 101.4.3.7), sets an FCPbitCnt to to 1 (see 101.4.3.8.7), and initializes the mapping function with the lowest numbered active subcarrier."				
expotent? SuggestedRemedy Please fix the equation Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED I believe this should be equivilent to 190 x 10^6 C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.6.4 P 180 L 25 # i-185 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status D "The typical value proposed for CntPltSF is 48." - is this expected to be a default value? If so, it should be marked accordingly. If not, remove the statement, it means nothing	frame CLT:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" "EN3 CNU scrambler initialization 101.4.3.7 at the beginning of each grant CNU:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" Renumber PICS Table. C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.8.1 P 182 L 31 # <u>i-187</u> Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type E Comment Status D E It is not clear what "begins by" is supposed to imply - it initializes scrambler and other functions. Period SuggestedRemedy Change to "Initializes (resetting) the scrambler function (see 101.4.3.7), sets an FCPbitCnt to to 1 (see 101.4.3.8.7), and initializes the mapping function with the lowest numbered active subcarrier." Proposed Response Response Status W				
expotent? SuggestedRemedy Please fix the equation Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED I believe this should be equivilent to 190 x 10^6 C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.6.4 P 180 L 25 # i-185 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status D "The typical value proposed for CntPltSF is 48." - is this expected to be a default value? If so, it should be marked accordingly. If not, remove the statement, it means nothing	frame CLT:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" "EN3 CNU scrambler initialization 101.4.3.7 at the beginning of each grant CNU:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" Renumber PICS Table.				
expotent? SuggestedRemedy Please fix the equation Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED I believe this should be equivilent to 190 x 10^6 Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.6.4 P 180 L 25 # i-185 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status D "The typical value proposed for CntPltSF is 48." - is this expected to be a default value? If so, it should be marked accordingly. If not, remove the statement, it means nothing SuggestedRemedy	frame CLT:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" "EN3 CNU scrambler initialization 101.4.3.7 at the beginning of each grant CNU:M Yes [] No [] N/A []" Renumber PICS Table. C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.8.1 P 182 L 31 # [-187 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type E Comment Status D E It is not clear what "begins by" is supposed to imply - it initializes scrambler and other functions. Period SuggestedRemedy Change to "Initializes (resetting) the scrambler function (see 101.4.3.7), sets an FCPbitCnt to to 1 (see 101.4.3.8.7), and initializes the mapping function with the lowest numbered active subcarrier." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				

Draft 3.0	IEEE 8	02.3bn EPON	N Protocol over Coax (B	PoC) TF Initial	Sponsor b	ballot comme	ents	Pro	posed Responses
<i>Cl</i> 101 SC 101.4.3.8.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 182 Bright House I	L 35 Network	# i-188	<i>Cl</i> 101 <i>SC</i> Hajduczenia, Ma	2 101.4.3.8.3 arek		P 184 right House	L 15 Network	# [i-190
Comment Type E Com Variable formatting	ment Status D		EZ	Comment Type These are "		<i>Comment Sta</i> annels, with or		datory and remain	ining 4 optional
SuggestedRemedy Put burstStart and burstEnd in There are more instances of su				SuggestedReme Change "As accommoda	five OFDM	channels are a	ccommodate	d" to "As up tp fiv	ve OFDM channels are
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	onse Status W			REVISED	D ACCEPT II	Response Sta N PRINCIPLE.	tus W		
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.8.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 183 Bright House I	L 41 Network	# i-189		101.4.3.8.3		P 184	L 19	# i-191
	ment Status D			Hajduczenia, Ma	arek	В	right House	Network	
The term "symbol" is used and definition. As is, it just means " difference between symbol in F	some amount of data					Comment Sta "The symbol m		tion therefore sha	+REV
SuggestedRemedy				subcarriers	across all OF	- DM channels.'	- there is no	measurement o	r reference point
Please clarify the use of the wo				per symbol across all OFDM channels." - there is no measurement or reference point allowing access to mapper function to confirm that it is indeed happening					
"symbol" within each function, i PHY layer, etc.	if they are different. T	here are symbol	is in PCS, in PMA, at	SuggestedReme	edy				
	onse Status W			Convert itno	a statement	t. Remove PICS	6		
PROPOSED REJECT. The word symbol appears in th				Response REJECT.		Response Sta			
143x in Section 1, 418x in Section 2, 271x in Section 3, 183x in Section 4, 172x in Section 5, and 255x in section 6.				This is testa	able at the MI	DI connector us	sing an NSA	that looks at OFI	DM symbols.
If the commenter feels strongly maintence request against the									

Comment ID i-191

Page 41 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM

C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.8.3 P 185 L 6 # i-192 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network	C/ 00 SC 101.4.3.8.4 P 186 L 6 # i-193 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Comment Type ER Comment Status D There are multiple lists of steps in the draft. Some are numbered as the one startign in line 6. Some include explicit reference to "Step X" instead. Others use a combination of both styles. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ "downstream frame" - another one of ambiguous terms. The only definition I can find is in 101.4.3.5, and it is unclear, since it references symbols, which are not defined by themselves. +REV+ SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy +REV+
Please use one style for description of steps, prefereably the one page 185, line 6 Proposed Response Response Status W	Please provide clear definition of "downstream frame" and "upstream frame". I would also suggest that these be renamed to "PHY frames" or soemthing similar, emphasizing the fact that we do not mean MAC frames by any chances
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Reformate to L11,NumberedList: Pg 150 line 13-29 (see comment i-192) Pg 182 line 30-48 Pg 214 line 30-40 Note that the list of steps starting on pg 180 line 29 and extending to page 181 line 35 does note lend itself to this format and will not be changed.	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Changed to CL 00 Change "downstream frame" to "downstream OFDM frame" at (pg/line): 171/7, 176/10, 176/12, 182/23. 185/50, 186/5, 186/6, 186/9, 186/24. 188/4 Change "upstream frame" to
	"upstream OFDM superframe" in Cl 100 pg 87 line 31 Change "upstream frame" to "upstream PHY Link frame" in Cl 102 (pg/line): 258/6, 258/28, 258/48, 256/26 (102.3.2)

On pg 262 Cl 102.2.7.3 Line 48 Change "EPoC frame" to "PHY Link frame"

Draft 3.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments **Proposed Responses** C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.8.5 P 186 # i-194 C/ 101 P 187 L11 SC 101.4.3.9.2 L 21 # i-196 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status R +REV+ Given that there is no state diagram to follow, what is the purpose of separating variables, Clearly untestable: The CLT shall support values of DS TmIntrlv from 1 to 32 (see constant, counters and functions in 101.4.3.8.5/6/7/8? They could be aggregated into a 101.4.3.9.5). single subclause, at best left in 101.4.3.8.4 if they are really needed. This also avoid the SugaestedRemedv problem of them being used to describe content of 101.4.3.8.4 and being at the same Convert into statement. Update PICS heading level :) Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status W REJECT. Per comment This is testable at the MDI connector using an NSA that looks at OFDM symbols. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.9.3 P 187 L43 # i-197 REVISED Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network remove 101.4.3.8.5, 101.4.3.8.6 & 101.4.3.8.8 Pull the text of 101.4.3.8.7 into a "where" Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ statement following Eq101-17 and strike the clause number. We have a requirement to perform time interleaving and when it is done (lines 52/53) but # i-195 C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.9.2 P 186 L 43 no requirement that I can find to follow the specific methodology described in this draft Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status A +RFV+Please add a requirement to perform time interleaving per method described in this subclause. On pages 190/191 there are reference implementations for specific functions We have a requirement to perform time interleaving and when it is done (lines 43/44) but for frequency interleaver, which I would expect to be functionally normative, as we always no requirement that I can find to follow the specific methodology described in this draft do, ie., require the implementation produce the same result. SuggestedRemedv Add PICS. Please add a requirement to perform time interleaving per method described in this Response Response Status W subclause. Add PICS. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status W REVISED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment i-195 REVISED Response copied below: At the end of the first sentence of 101.4.3.9.2 add "as described in this subclause." At the end of the first sentence of 101.4.3.9.2 add "as described in this subclause." change Value/Comment of EN3 from: change Value/Comment of EN3 from: "Time interleaving meets the requirement of 101.4.3.9.2" to "Time interleaving meets the requirement of 101.4.3.9.2" to "Time interleaving as described in 101.4.3.9.2" "Time interleaving as described in 101.4.3.9.2" C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.9.3 P 189 L 37 # i-198 Haiduczenia. Marek Bright House Network Comment Type E Comment Status D F7 Tiny little text SuggestedRemedy

Please make sure text of inline equations meets the T,Text style font size requirements

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.11 P 193 L 41 # [i-199] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network	C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.12 P 195 L 39 # i-201 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ Sounds like a requirement for CLT/CNU transmitter: These 3800 maximum active	Comment Type E Comment Status D E Rather than add notes to Table 101-10/11, add "[OFDM Clock period (1/204.8 MHz)] under
subcarriers shall occupy the range 148 k 3947, where k is the spectral index of the subcarrier in Equation (101-25).	DSNcp and DSNrp. SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy There is no DUTright now. Please rewrite and make it a requirement for CLT/CNU Tx (I guess)	per comment Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED	C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.12 P 197 L 1 # [i-202 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Image: Second Seco
Change from : "These 3800 maximum active subcarriers shall" to "These 3800 maximum active subcarriers of a CLT or CNU OFDM transmitter channel shall"	Comment Type T Comment Status D Given that we have apparently a separate subclause for upstream windowing, the note is not needed Image: Comment Status
/ 101 SC 101.4.3.12 <i>P</i> 195 <i>L</i> 24 # [i-200] ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	SuggestedRemedy Remove the note
omment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ Two separate requirements, one would be enough uggestedRemedy Provide the comment of the permissible values Change to: "In the downstream direction, the CLT shall use one of the permissible values Provide the curve of the permissible values	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The figure is to be used as a reference for both US & DS (note that 101.4.4.10 references 101.4.3.12) and it may be usefule to the reader to clarify which variables to us for US & DS. The note certianly does not create any confusion.
for DSNcp and DSNrp given in Table 101-10 and Table 101-11, respectively, selected such that DSNrp < DSNcp." Update PICS accordingly	C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.12.1 P 198 L 10 # i-203 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright H
Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Per comment and change OC5 from: "As shown in Table 101–11" to "As shown in Table 101–11 and less than CP value" Strike PICS OC6 and renumber	Comment Type E Comment Status D Given the number of instances of OFDM Clock period term in the draft, would it make sense to define this as unit up front in each clause and not have to carry it onwards everywhere? SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status W

Draft 3.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments Proposed Responses
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.13 P 198 L 27 # i-204 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network	C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.2.1 P 199 L 40 # i-206 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright Ho
Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ These seem like downstream OFDM channel requirements, not just any requirements +REV+	Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ Unnecessary separate requirements +
SuggestedRemedy Change "The 10GPASS-PX PHY shall comply" to "The 10GPASS-PX-D PHY shall comply" since we are placing requirements on Tx side only Update PICS Response Response Status W	SuggestedRemedy Change to: "The CNU shall lock the frequency of the upstream Subcarrier Clock (50 kHz) and subcarrier frequency to the 10.24 MHz Master Clock derived from the downstream OFDM signal." Update PICS
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status W
REVISED Per comment, no change to PICS required. C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.2 P 199 L 29 # [i-205] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Change to "The CNU shall lock the frequency of the upstream Subcarrier Clock (50 kHz) and lock each upstream subcarrier frequency to the 10.24 MHz Master Clock derived from the downstream OFDM signal."
Comment Type T Comment Status D "based on downstream tracking" - likely, "based on tracking downstream channel" SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Change OT8 Value/Comment from "CNU Subcarrier Clock locked to 10.24 MHz Master Clock" to "CNU Subcarrier Clock and 50 kHz subcarrier frequency locked to 10.24 MHz Master Clock"
Proposed Response Response Status W	Strike OT11 & renumber.
PROPOSED ACCEPT.	C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.3.1 P 200 L 21 # [i-207] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
	Comment Type TR Comment Status R +REV+
	Unnecessary requirement - it is not testable anyway: The upstream Superframe shall be composed of the Probe Period followed by 256 OFDMA symbols.
	SuggestedRemedy
	Change into informative text instead. Remove PICS
	Response Response Status W

REJECT.

This is easily observable with a NSA and is required for proper interoperability.

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 101 SC 101.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	I .4.3.1 P 200 Bright Hous	L 21 se Network	# i-208	C/ 101 Hajduczen	SC 101.4.4.3 a, Marek		1 L 35 House Network	# <u>i-209</u>
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		+REV+	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	Α	+REV-
what is the differer used, with no clear	ce between "upstream frame" definitions	and "upstream su	perframe"? Both are		T. Rewrite to "Th frame with"	ne 10GPASS-XR-U sł	all start the transmiss	ion of the upstream
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested	Remedy			
Please clarify when frame"	her these are the same. In dov	vnstream, we only	vuse "downstream		mment. Update me issue in 101			
Response	Response Status W			Response		Response Status	w	
Change "downstream fram "downstream OFD	3 Response copied below	50, 186/5, 186/6, ²	186/9, 186/24. 188/4	REVIS Chang "An Of "A CN	e from DMA transmissi J OFDMA transr	.E. ion shall start" to nission shall start" st begins with" to "CN	U Burst begins with"	
Change "upstream "upstream OFDM s in Cl 100 pg 87 line	superframe"							
Change "upstream "upstream PHY Lir in Cl 102 (pg/line):		(102.3.2)						

On pg 262 Cl 102.2.7.3 Line 48 Change "EPoC frame" to "PHY Link frame"

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.3.5 P 202 L 5 # i-210	C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.3.5 P 202 L 16 # i-211
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
Comment Type T Comment Status D RBsize/len	Comment Type T Comment Status D
Unnecessarily circular definition. Rather than make TBsize a Boolean that points to specific	Odd statement: "This clear on read Boolean"
RB size, just make it an unsigned integer which holds the size of RB. Then Rblen function is not needed at all and could be removed	SuggestedRemedy
	Change to "This variable" (type is already defined)
SuggestedRemedy Per comment	Add a statement at the end "This variable is cleared on read."
	Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
PROPOSED REJECT. There are instances of RBsize and 17 instances of RBlen. Each of these instances would	REVISED Change to "This clear on read variable"
need to be visited and posibly edited at the editord discreation (cannot do a simply global	
replace). This construction (RBsize/RBlen) has been in the draft since at least 1.4 without comment.	C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.3.5 P 202 L 16 # i-212
Comment. The possibility of introducing a technical error into the draft at this point outweights the	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
merits of the change.	Comment Type E Comment Status D E
Should the TF decide otherwise here is a summary of needed changes:	No need to repeat variable type when it is explicitly defined using TYPE field: "This
Change the Clause of this comment to 00	Boolean variable "
	SuggestedRemedy
Change the definition of RBsize to read: TYPE: enumeration	Change all instances of "This Boolean variable" to "This variable" when TYPE field is present explicitly and set to Boolean already
This variable determines the size of the upstream Resource Blocks.	Proposed Response Response Status W
Rbsize Resource Block size 0 8 symbols	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
1 16 symbols	
	C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.3.5 P 202 L 39 # i-213
Strike RBlen(RBsize) defintion at 202/8	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
Editors to search the draft for RBsize and make appropriate wording changes as necessary.	Comment Type T Comment Status D E
Editors to consult the draft for Dirley and we leave with Direits making any provide wording	I assume both SYMcount and Rbmode variables donot need to be negative.
Editors to search the draft for Rblen and replace with Rbsize making appropriate wording changes as necessary.	SuggestedRemedy
	Change type to "unsigned integer"
	Proposed Response Response Status W
	PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Draft 3.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments Proposed Responses
C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.3.6 P 203 L 17 # i-214 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Interval 100 (Interval 1	C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.4 P 203 L 46 # i-217 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Comment TypeEComment StatusDEZ"SYMcount = SYMcount + 1" uses different font than rest of the SD	Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ No DUT in "Subcarrier configuration in an EPoC OFDM channel of 192 MHz shall conform
SuggestedRemedy Align font use	" SuggestedRemedy
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	rewrite the requirement to include actual DUT (CLT/CNU). Update PICS Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.3.6 P 203 L 1 # i-215 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Image: Second Sec	REVISED Change "192 MHz shall conform" to "192 MHz at the CNU shall conform" PICS ok as is (CNU: M)
Comment Type T Comment Status D We have ++ and operators defined	C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.4.3 P 204 L 29 # i-218 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
SuggestedRemedy Change "SYMcount = SYMcount + 1" to "SYMcount ++"	Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ Undefined DUT: "EPoC devices "
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. This is a personal preference and has no other significance. Either construct is completely correct. If we changed the specification to try to meet every individual style preference it would constnetly be changing back and forth.	SuggestedRemedy rewrite the requirement to include actual DUT (CLT/CNU). Update PICS Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.3.6 P 203 L 28 # i-216 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status D	REVISED Change "EPoC devices" to "CNUs" At pg 175 line 2 change "An EPoC Phy" to "CLTs" Change Status of PICS TX1 to CLT:M, add "N/A[]" to support col.
Unclear precedence in: "If (SYMcount - 6) mod RBmod = 1" SuggestedRemedy Change to "If ((SYMcount - 6) mod Rbmod) = 1" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.5.1 P 205 L 35 # i-219 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network E Comment Status D Really inconsistent variable naming - in this subclause, it seems that the majority of the variables are all upper caps, which makes Figure 101-32 look just odd E
FROPOSED ACCEPT.	SuggestedRemedy Consider using some consistent naming scheme, at least within the draft. Proposed Response Response Status W
	PROPOSED REJECT. The variables are all consistently named. The odd looking figure will keep the reader awake. This is purely a personal preference on the part of the commenter.

Comment ID i-219

Page 48 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:29 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.5.1	P 206	L 17	# i-220	C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.5.4 P 212	L 5 # i-223		
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Network		Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Ne	twork		
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		EZ	Comment Type TR Comment Status R	+REV+		
Extra " after Boolean SuggestedRemedy				It seems like there should be a requirement about this minimum gap time between bursts" to make sure the	at the CLT receiver can operate		
Strike "				correctly, but I could not locate such a requirement any SuggestedRemedy	where		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			Consider converting this statement into a requirement where the CLT transmitter is defined (likely in Clause 1 upstream scheduling)			
C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.5.1	P 206	L 30	# i-221	Response Response Status W			
Hajduczenia, Marek <i>Comment Type</i> T	Bright House	Network		REJECT. See CC5 in 103.4			
51	implies it should be unsig	ned intereaer		C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.5.4 P 212	L9 # i-224		
SuggestedRemedy	1 0	0		Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Ne			
integers, since they are a to support negative value	rand majority of the variab lways positive. IRB is the c s.			Comment Type E Comment Status D Some odd strikethrough in the word "time_quantaum"	EZ		
	Response Status W			SuggestedRemedy			
PROPOSED REJECT.	choose to use a signed inte	aor it will not im	aact intoroporability in	Remove "a" in this word. Also, remove italics from this	word - it is not variable.		
	matter of personal prefere			Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			
C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.5.3	P 211	L 44	# i-222	REVISED Remove "a", (note time_quantum is used in Eq 101-33 at line 12 and so should be considered a variable)			
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Network					
Comment Type T	Comment Status D			Good catch.			
Can BITPOS be negative	?			C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.6.1 P 213	L 51 # i-225		
SuggestedRemedy				Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Ne	twork		
Change "BITPOS <=0" w	ith "ELSE"			Comment Type E Comment Status D	EZ		
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Seems like there is space missing between "TYPE:" a	nd following variable definition		
PROPOSED REJECT. The meaning is the same. This is purely a matter of personal preference and there is no technical fault in the draft.				SuggestedRemedy Scrub the draft, make sure there is space after "TYPE:	" definition		
				Proposed Response Response Status W			
				PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.			

Draft	3.0

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.7.1 P 214 L 15 # [i-226] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network	C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.9.1 P 220 L 25 # [i-229 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ Incomplete variable formatting for "RB_Frame" EZ E	Comment Type TR Comment Status R +REV Is this externally observable: "The CNU shall normalize the newly calculated coefficients?
SuggestedRemedy Make sure "R" is italicized	SuggestedRemedy If so, leave it as is. If not, convert into a statement instead and remove associated PICS
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Response Status W REJECT. This is observable by the CLT and NSAs. It is the only way the CLT can update the
C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.8.1 P 214 L 53 # [i-227] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network	coefficients to observe the CLT's output and set what they need to be. The CNU must update upon receiving from the CLT. As this is essentially a CLT/CNU feedback loop.
Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ Missing space between numeric value and units in "3dB" EZ	C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.9.1 P 220 L 35 # [i-230 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
SuggestedRemedy Per comment Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Comment Type TR Comment Status R +REV. This requirement seems more like a product spec than anything that we need for Tx/Rx definitions. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Operant line information text is the backward series are producted by DOO.
C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.8.2 P 215 L 5 # [-228] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network +REV+ Comment Type TR Comment Status R +REV+	Convert into informative text instead and remove any associated PICS <i>Response</i> REJECT. This must be a CLT requirement in order to meet interoperability.
It seems that both statements in lines 5 and 6 should be converted into requirements - I do not see any other requirements for burst marker structure anywhere	C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.9.2 P 220 L 41 # i-231 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Instant Science Scie
SuggestedRemedy Per comment + add PICS Response Response Status W REJECT. See TX4 & TX5 and states PLACE_START_MARKER and PLACE_END_MARKER in SD Figure 101–33	Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV- All testing modes and testign procedures should be moved to 101.4.6 which already defines PMA testing SuggestedRemedy Per comment
	Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Move to 101.4.6.1 & renumber

Draft 3.0

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 101 SC 101.4.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 223 Bright House I	L 5 Network	# i-232	C/ 101 SC 101.4.8 Hajduczenia, Marek	5.5 P 227 Bright House	L 37 e Network	# i-235
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		+REV+	Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		+REV+
no DUT in requirement: t	ne output bit stream of			No DUT in "Both rea	I and imaginary axes of a QA	V constellation sh	all be scaled"
	apped to QAM symbols suc	ch that first bit is	s the least-significant bit	SuggestedRemedy			
of the first QAM subcarrier constellation n	-tuple, see Figure 101-39				this requirement and then upo	late PICS	
SuggestedRemedy				Response	Response Status W		
	equirement and then upda	te PICS		ACCEPT IN PRINCI	,		
	Response Status W			REVISED			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE REVISED Change:				Change "shall be scaled usin "shall be scaled by tl	ng" to he CLT or CNU transmitter us	ing"	
"output bit stream of the "output bit stream of the	CLT and CNU Symbol Map	per"		Not change to PICS	required.		
No change to PICS need	ed.			C/ 101 SC 101.5.1		L 41	# i-236
C/ 101 SC 101.4.5.3	P 224	L 20	# i-233	Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	e Network	
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Network		Comment Type E	Comment Status D		EZ
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		EZ	Formatting mess			
More tiny equations				SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Please fix equation size t	n motch T Toyt definition			Change "In 13.1.4 of IEEE Std 802.1AS, 1	f IEEE STD 802.1AS 2011 "Ti 13.1.4,"	me synchronizatio	on in EPON"," to "In
`				Proposed Response	Response Status W		
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W			PROPOSED ACCER	PT.		
	D			C/ 101 SC 101.5.1		L 54	# i-237
C/ 101 SC 101.4.5.4	P 225	L 4	# i-234	Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	e Network	
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Network		Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		+REV+
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		EZ	What is the purpose	of T_CORR_CLT where all it	does it replace D	iffDelay/2?
Incorrent multiplication o	berator			SuggestedRemedy			
SuggestedRemedy Please use "x" instead - I	nultiple instances in draft				CLT with "DiffDelay/2". Remov CNUi on page 229, line 16	e T_CORR_CLT	definition
Proposed Response	Response Status W			Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN REVISED	PRINCIPLE. Eq Editor; cut term(s) to rig	ght of offensive	dot, select multi	ACCEPT IN PRINCI REVISED Use DiffDelay_CLT/2	PLE. 2 & DiffDelay_CNU/2		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 101 SC 101.5.1	P 229 L 1	# i-238	C/ 101 SC 101.	5 P*	228 L 32	# li-239	
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House Network	# 1200	Hajduczenia, Marek	-	t House Network	# 1233	
Comment Type T DiffDelay_CLT define	Comment Status D d and not used.			whole idea relies on mea	suring transmit and receiv	<i>TimeSync</i> + <i>EX</i> - ve delay between MDI	
SuggestedRemedy				, which are already supp	brted by 802.3bf.		
Remove	NUi on page 229, line 17		SuggestedRemedy	new variables to keep tra	ck of the delay through s	tack suggest to:	
Proposed Response	Response Status W		Instead of adding new variables to keep track of the delay through stack, suggest to: 1) add mandatory support for Clause 90 (Ethernet support for time synchronization				
PROPOSED REJEC	•	T_CORR_CLT with	protocols) and TSSI interface, which allows 802.1AS applications perform all measurements and compensate for residency time in PCS/PMA 2) remove existing calculations in 101.5.1/2/3 - these are not necessary once native access to residency time measurements in both receive and transmit 3) add support for registers: 1.1800 1.1808 and 3.1800 3.1808, which w measurement capability as well as Tx and Rx path delay measurements (mir can be reported then between devices via PHY Link Given that all register and interface work is done, this is the simplest mechar support 802.1AS without making purpose-built extensions into this PHY only				
			Proposed Response	Response Status	W		
			It was the clear wi	ECT. 4 (response copied below III of the TF to perform TC nuch more accurate synch	D synchronization as de		
			XMII delays throu each end of an Ef parameters to cor symmetry for TX a to give wildly asyr	delay parameters specific gh the PHY: min_TX, ma PoC CLT-to-CNU connect isider and no guidelines f and RX delay times (up to nmetric nominal delay tim accurate time transport re	x_TX, min_RX, max_RX ion. With a total of 8 of t or bounds on min and ma manufacturer), these pa les through the EPoC CL	, which would apply to these CLT & CNU ax values or desirable arameters are forseen	
			nominal PHY TX-	and parameters specified RX delay difference parar nuch more accurate time	neter along with a compa	anion tolerance value is	

the CNU XMII.

	Draft	3.0
--	-------	-----

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

Cl 101 SC 101.6.4.4 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 234 Bright House N	L 29 letwork	# i-240		C/ 102 SC 102 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 239 Bright House N	L 1 Network	# i-243
Comment Type E Seems like font in this ta SuggestedRemedy Align font size Same in 101.6.4.9	Comment Status D able is larger than in previous	tables		EZ	Maintenance (OAM) pr GPOF does it in their o aptly called "1000BASI	Comment Status R ber based projects define their otocols, providing the function wn OAM specification. All of t E-T1 OAM", "1000BASE-H OA	of what you c hese OAMs ar	all "PHY Link". Even
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W					"10GPASS-XR OAM", which e of some data and provides t		
<i>Cl</i> 101 <i>SC</i> 101.6.4.7 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 236 Bright House N	L 33 letwork	# i-241		The proposed name do multiple projects consis	bes not conflict with Clause 57 stently.	OAM, and ha	s been accepted by
Comment Type E Wrong "," placement in SuggestedRemedy Is "," and should be ", " Proposed Response	C C			EZ	draft 542 times. Chang	Response Status W clear, unambigous and not tec ing now would be a massive o point in the process is ill advis	change to reso	lve a personal
PROPOSED ACCEPT.					<i>Cl</i> 102 SC 102.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 239 Bright House N	L 8 Network	# i-244
Cl 101 SC 101.6.4.10 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Unclear mathematical m SuggestedRemedy	Bright House N Comment Status D	L 28 letwork	# [<u>i-242</u>	EZ	Comment Type T There is no difference to still the same SuggestedRemedy Strike "or rejoin"	Comment Status D that I can see between "join" a	and "rejoin" - th	e registration process is
It is probably meant to b Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	e (Ck) ² Response Status W				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Cl 102SC 102.1P 239L 10# i-245Hajduczenia, MarekBright House Network	C/ 102 SC 102.1 P 239 L 17 # i-247 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Ne
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status D "In a multi OFDM channel PHY only OFDM channel one has a PHY Link." - a pretty confusing statement, likely due to lack of commas SuggestedRemedy Suggested Remedy Change to "In a multi channel 10GPASS-XR PHY, only the first downstream and upstream OFDM channels have a PHY Link." - reference to architecture figures from Clause 101 might be welcome, to show where PHY Link is actually located	Comment Type TR Comment Status D "Probe Period" or "Probing Period" SuggestedRemedy Pick one, use consistently Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED	REVISED Change the one instance of Probing Period to Probe Period. Is this really a technical required comment?
Change to "In a multi OFDM channel PHY, only OFDM channel one has a PHY Link (see Figure 100-1 and Figure 100-3)."	C/ 102 SC 102.1.1 P 240 L 1 # i-248 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Image: Comparison of the second
Alt: In a PHY that supports multiple downstream OFDM channels only the first OFDM channel has a downstream PHY Link (see Figure 100-1 and Figure 100-3). C/ 102 SC 102.1 P 239 L 13 # i-246 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type TR Comment Status D And one more "frame" in this draft.	Comment TypeERComment StatusDFigure 102-1 is really composed of multiple figures, where you show downstream PHY Link frame and its elements. This should be broken into separate figures: 102-1 Downstream PHY Link frame, 102-2 EPFH field in Downstream PHY Link frame, etc. Then change "The PHY Link frame is illustrated in Figure 102-1 and Figure 102-2" to "The structure of the downstream PHY Link frame is shown in Figure 102-1, followed by structure of individual fields in the downstream PHY Link frame shown in Figure 102-2" Apply similar changes to current Figure 102-2, to break down Upstream PHY Link frame into pieces.
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
When referring to a frame in the context of a frame of PHY Link Channel, please use "PHY Link frame" consistently in Clause 102	Per comment - this will allow to reference specific figures later on, when fields are being described.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The meaning is clear from the context. If this is not the case the commenter is invited to submit a comment against the specific offensive text.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. These figures have been in the draft in this format since Draft 0.3 (15 or 16 versions). The commentor has not noticed this blocking issue before nor has anyone else in past ballots brought up this. Changing at this point would likely introduce an error in one or more of the ~60 crossreferences for the sake of a personal preference and is ill advised. Looked at/ usable & informative as is

Comment ID i-248

Page 54 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:30 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

duczenia, Marek Bright House Network mment Type TR Comment Status D Fig 102-1/2 It is not clear how Figure 102-3 and 102-4 fit with the layering model shown in Figures 101-1, where PHY link has a single interface (unnamed, undefined) to PMA IDFT , one interface to FRAME TIMING, one interface to SUBCARRIER etc. To be consistent, Figures 102-3 and 102-4 should be demonstrated in the same layout, or have all interfaces defined and used consistently between clauses. Otherwise it is very hard to put these two together and understand what is really happening in here. gestedRemedy Per comment - my preference would be to specify individual interfaces between PHY Link and PMA/PMD and have them used in Clause 102 in Figure 102-3/4 consistently with rchitecture drawings from Clause 101 cosed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED
It is not clear how Figure 102-3 and 102-4 fit with the layering model shown in Figures 101- 1, where PHY link has a single interface (unnamed, undefined) to PMA IDFT, one interface to FRAME TIMING, one interface to SUBCARRIER etc. To be consistent, Figures 102-3 and 102-4 should be demonstrated in the same layout, or have all interfaces defined and used consistently between clauses. Otherwise it is very hard to put these two together and understand what is really happening in here. <i>gestedRemedy</i> Per comment - my preference would be to specify individual interfaces between PHY Link and PMA/PMD and have them used in Clause 102 in Figure 102-3/4 consistently with rchitecture drawings from Clause 101 <i>bosed Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Response Status</i> W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
1, where PHY link has a single interface (unnamed, undefined) to PMA IDFT, one interface to FRAME TIMING, one interface to SUBCARRIER etc. To be consistent, Figures 102-3 and 102-4 should be demonstrated in the same layout, or have all interfaces defined and used consistently between clauses. Otherwise it is very hard to put these two together and understand what is really happening in here. gestedRemedy Per comment - my preference would be to specify individual interfaces between PHY Link and PMA/PMD and have them used in Clause 102 in Figure 102-3/4 consistently with rchitecture drawings from Clause 101 posed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Per comment - my preference would be to specify individual interfaces between PHY Link and PMA/PMD and have them used in Clause 102 in Figure 102-3/4 consistently with rchitecture drawings from Clause 101 bosed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
and PMA/PMD and have them used in Clause 102 in Figure 102-3/4 consistently with rchitecture drawings from Clause 101 posed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Align Figures 102-2 & 3 to the names used in Fig 101-1 to 4. In Figure 102-3 change: Frame Timing -> FRAME TIMING Subcarrier Configuration and bit loading -> OFDM FRAME CONFIGURATION AND BIT LOADING from PMA (3x) -> PILOT PROCESSING, EQUALIZATION, AND FFT to PMD -> ???? To PMA -> IDFT 1 Tx FCP from PCS -> FCP GENERATION Strike Probe & PHY Disc to PCS and remove PROBE RCV and attached SYM MAP blocks and PMD_SIGNAL.request In Figure 102-3 change: Subcarrier Configuration and bit loading -> OFDM FRAME CONFIGURATION AND BIT LOADING Frame Timing -> FRAME TIMING Rx FCP to PCS -> FCP ALIGNMENT from PMA -> PILOT PROCESSING, EQUALIZATION, and FFT 1 to PMA (3x) -> PRE-EQUALIZATION AND IDFT
char Fran Subb LOA from to PI To P Tx F Strik and In Fi char Subb LOA Fran Rx F from

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 102	SC 102.1.2	P 241	L 19	# i-251
Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright House	Network	
Comment T	уре Т	Comment Status D		Fig 102-1/2

"EPoC Variables ariables'

SuggestedRemedy

Seems like repetition, unless there is some specific need for "ariables"

Also, there are some trimmed names like "SYM MAP", D'INTERLEAVER", PROBE RCV, which are not explained under the figure and one has to guess what they are intended to mean. Either expand them to full words, of it there is space missing - expand acronyms under the figures. This applies to Figure 102-3/4 alike

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Strike "airables" Fig 102-3 change FEC ENCODE to FEC ENC FEC to FEC DEC INTERLEAVING to INTL D'INTERLEAVER TO DEINTL

Add key to Figure 102-3 DEINTL = DEINTERLEAVER FEC DEC = FEC DECODER FEC ENC = FEC ENCODER INTL = INTERLEAVER PCS = PHYSICAL CODING SUBLAYER PHY DISC RCV = PHY DISCOVERY RECEIVE PHY DISC = PHY DISCOVERY PMA = PHYSICAL MEDIUM ATTACHMENT PMD = PHYSICAL MEDIUM DEPENDENT PROBE RCV = PROBE RECEIVE SYM MAP = SYMBOL MAPPER

In Fig 104-4 remove stray char in from of "DISC" in PHY DISC GEN block Change: FEC to FEC ENC FEC DECODE to FEC DEC SYMBOL DEMAP to SYM MAP DEINTERLEAVER to DEINTL INTERLEAVE to INTL

Add key to Figure 102-4 DEINTL = DEINTERLEAVER FEC DEC = FEC DECODER FEC ENC = FEC ENCODER INTL = INTERLEAVER PCS = PHYSICAL CODING SUBLAYER PHY DISC GEN = PHY DISCOVERY RECEIVE PHY DISC GEN = PHY DISCOVERY GENERATOR PMA = PHYSICAL MEDIUM ATTACHMENT PMD = PHYSICAL MEDIUM DEPENDENT PROBE GEN = PROBE GENERATOR

C/ 102	SC 102.1.3		P 242	L 32	# i-252
Hajduczer	nia, Marek		Bright House	Network	
•		~			

Comment Type T Comment Status D

SYM MAP = SYMBOL MAPPER

"passed over the PHY Link and all PHY to PHY signaling" - I do not think that 'all PHY to PHY signaling' is correct here - there are signals which end up in data path and not PHY path

SuggestedRemedy

Strike "and all PHY to PHY signaling"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

C/ 102	SC 102.1.3	P 242	L 35	#	i-253
Hajduczenia,	Marek	Bright Ho	ouse Network		

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

What is the difference between "message block" and "signalling type" - they are mentioned in the same context, implying these are just fields in the PHY Link frame

SuggestedRemedy

Change "PHY to PHY signalling types" to "PHY message blocks" if that is what is intended here. Please make this change consistently in Clause 102 - there are many instances where creative terminology is made on the fly to mean "PHY Link message block" Make sure all standalone "message block" instances are converted into "PHY Link message block" (e.g., PHY signalling types, PHY types (not meaning a PHY type), etc.)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Neither PHY Discovery Response nor Probing are PHY Link messages. The commenter is invited to make specific comments agains specific offensive text if such exists.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-253

Page 56 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:30 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

Cl 102 SC 102.1.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 242 Bright House I	L 41 Network	# i-254	C/ 102 SC 102.1.4.2 P 244 L 16 # i-257 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Ii-257
Comment Type T MSB first is clear enou	<i>Comment Status</i> D lgh.			Comment Type T Comment Status D "Shortening encoder consists of 3 steps" - the encode does not consist of any steps
SuggestedRemedy Strike ", as illustrated i Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	n Figure 102-5." and remove I Response Status W	Figure 102-5		SuggestedRemedy Change to "The operation of the shortening PHY Link encoder includes the following 3 steps:" Similarly, in line 24, change to "The operation of the puncturing PHT Link encoder includes the following 2 steps:"
Cl 102 SC 102.1.4.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	I.1 P 243 Bright House I Comment Status D	L 37 Network	# i-255	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Change "consists of x steps" to "operationally includes x steps"
Comment Type T Structure of Table 102 SuggestedRemedy	-1 is different than Table 101-	3 (as example)		C/ 102 SC 102.1.4.2 P 244 L 14 # i-258 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
Add the missing row a The same applies to T Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ The purpose of 102.1.4.2 is unclear. SuggestedRemedy EZ Move text from lines 15 - 22 to 102.1.4.2.1 EZ
C/ 102 SC 102.1.4.2 Hajduczenia, Marek	2 P 244 Bright House M	L 18 Network	# i-256	Move text from lines 24 - 28 to 102.1.4.2.2 Remove 102.1.4.2 Promote 102.1.4.2.1 and 102.1.4.2.2 one level up
Comment Type E Style of Steps 13 an SuggestedRemedy Please apply proper no	Comment Status D d then Step 12 is not correct umbered list style	t		Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Leave text in place but combine with 102.1.4. Promote 102.1.4.2.1 and 102.1.4.2.2
	Response Status W objection is here. The two lists			

numbered list style.

Page 57 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:30 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 102 SC 102.1.4.2.1 P 244 L 35 # [i-259] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Fight House Network Fight House Network	C/ 102 SC 102.1.5 P 246 L 25 # [i-260 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network
Comment Type ER Comment Status D "The puncturing operation is as follows (also see Figure 102-6):" - it seems that a list should follow, but the text in lines 37 onwards is not formwatted as a list.	Comment Type TR Comment Status D DUT Wrong DUT - it says "The PHY shall scramble", while scrambler is likely in PHY Link block?
SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy
Consider either formatting text in lines 37 onwards as a bulleted list. Alternatively, merge this text together to build introduction descrition for LDPC (384, 288) puncturing encoder, to have text as follows:	Fix the DUT for this requirement and update PICS. Also, please align the structure of requirement to match 101.3.2.3, to include a requirement to produce the same result as serial implementation shown in Figure 102-XX, and also add initialization requirements (text right now has initialization as informative only)
The mother code is defined in 102.1.4.1.1. Denote the information bits sent to the mother code encoder by (a0,, a287), and let the encoding output be (a0,, a287, b288,, b479), where (b288,, b479) are parity-check bits. The coordinates to be deleted by the puncturing step are: - Period 1: 48 consecutive coordinates a48,, a95 - Period 2: 48 consecutive coordinates b384,, b431 The puncturing operation is shown in Figure 102-6). Similar changes need to be done in 102.1.4.2.2	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Change "The PHY shall scramble" to "The CLT and CNU shall scramble" At line 32 change "The PHY initializes the" to " The CLT shall initialize the" At line 34 change
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED	" the PHY initializes" to " the CNU shall initialize" to
Per alternative sans the dashes infront of "Period x:"	Add PICS PG7 CLT PHY Link scrambler initialization 102.1.5 at the beginning of the first OFDM symbol following the PHY Link preamble CLT: M Yes [] No [] N/A [] PG8 CNU PHY Link scrambler initialization 102.1.5 at the beginning of an upstream PHY Link transmission CNU: M Yes [] No [] N/A []

Draft 3.0	IEEE 80	2.3bn EPON	N Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF I	nitial Sponsor	ballot comments	Pro	oposed Responses
C/ 102 SC 102.1.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 246 Bright House N	L 37 etwork	# i-261	C/ 102 Hajduczen	SC 102.1.8 nia, Marek	P 247 Bright House	L 14 Network	# [i-263
"The PHY does not scramble the P requirement SuggestedRemedy Convert to a requirement + add PIC	S Status W	" - this is impor	tant enough to be a	Suggested Per co Proposed	ect multiplication	Comment Status D operator: *. Use "x" instead Response Status W		Ε
REVISED Change: "The PHY does not scramble" to				<i>Cl</i> 102 Hajduczen	SC 102.1.8 nia, Marek	P 247 Bright House	L 14 Network	# <u>i-264</u>
"The PHY shall not scramble" Add PICS				<i>Comment</i> Consi	51	Comment Status D if statement using C pseudo of	code instead	
PG9 PHY Link preamble 102.1.5	at the is not scra	ambled M Ye	s [] No []	Suggested	dRemedy			
C/ 102 SC 102.1.6	P 246	L 41	# i-262	Use:	-			
Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type TR Commen Missing requirements for symbol m - In the downstream direction the as - The upstream PHY Link may use SuggestedRemedy	ssigned modulatio	on mapping: n order is alway	ys 16-QAM	<tab> else lf <tab> else</tab></tab>	Index = (RegAd (RegAdd <= 12.	AND RegAdd <= 1.1999) ther d - 1.1900) x 1000 0000) then d - 12.0000) x 1000 + 1000	1	
Convert both statements into requir	ements and add F			Where	e XXX needs to b	be defined in Table 102-3		
	Status W	100		Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIP REVISED Line 42 change "is always" to "shall	LE.			The e	POSED REJECT nglish is non-am a rigorous inform	biguous and this is a note (inf	ormative). There	e is no technical benefit
Line 43 change "may use" to "shall				C/ 102	SC 102.1.8	P 247	L 18	# i-265
Add PICS				Hajduczer	ia, Marek	Bright House	Network	
PG10 DS PHY Link modulation 1				Comment	Type TR	Comment Status D		
PG11 US PHY Link modulation 1	02.1.6 16-QAM (or higher M Y	′es [] No []	Table 102-3 and Table 101-1 do not match and they have the same title: MDIO register to PHY variable mapping - I would expect them to match in terms of content				
				Suggested	dRemedy			
					der merging both _ink is defined.	n tables into a sigle one, locat	ed preferably in	Clause 102, where
				Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
				-	OSED REJECT	n information on the variables	used in that Cla	ause.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-265

Page 59 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:30 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

102 SC 102.2.1.1 P 250 L 45 # [i-266 jduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	C/ 102 SC 102.2.1.2 P 251 L 34 # [i-267 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network						
mment Type TR Comment Status R +REV							
There is terminology confusion here: first we say Phy Link is allocated 400 Khz and then	This should be a requirement - this is the only subcarrier for downstream.						
we say it is allowed 24 MHz of contiguous OFDM channel. I am not sure how both of these requirements can be met at the same time.	SuggestedRemedy						
ggestedRemedy	Convert into requirement + add PICS						
Change	There is no other requirement right now covering the modulation for downstream PHY Link						
Ŭ	Proposed Response Response Status W						
During network setup the downstream PHY Link shall be allocated 400 kHz of spectrum. The allocated spectrum for the downstream PHY Link shall reside anywhere within a 24	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED						
MHz contiguous OFDM channel spectrum (i.e., 24 MHz with no internal exclusion bands)	See resolution to i-262 copied below (ref'd to pg 246)						
and have at least 3 MHz of contiguous spectrum above and below it for a total band of 6 MHz.	Line 42 change "is always" to "shall be"						
IVII IZ.	Line 43 change "may use" to "shall be"						
to	Add PICS						
During network setup the downstream PHY Link is allocated 400 kHz of spectrum	PG10 DS PHY Link modulation 102.1.6 16-QAM M Yes [] No []						
anywhere within a 24 MHz contiguous OFDM channel spectrum (i.e., 24 MHz with no	PG11 US PHY Link modulation 102.1.6 16-QAM or higher M Yes [] No []						
internal exclusion bands) and have at least 3 MHz of contiguous spectrum above and below it for a total band of 6 MHz.	C/ 102 SC 102.2.3 P 254 L 42 # i-268						
Demons suisting DICC. Demons d4 d2 d2 d4 from Eigune 400.0 unless they are readed	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network						
Remove existing PICS. Remove d1,d2,d3,d4 from Figure 102-8 unless they are needed somewhere (I could not locate any references to these in text today)	Comment Type E Comment Status D E						
Add a requirement in 102.2.11 saying: The placement of the PHY Link within the	Wrong font for heading						
contiguous OFDM channel shall be per Figure 102-8. Add a new PICS.	SuggestedRemedy						
sponse Response Status W REJECT.	Please reapply heading style to 102.2.3						
The text reads: "During network setup the downstream PHY Link shall be allocated 400	Proposed Response Response Status W						
kHz of spectrum. The allocated spectrum for the downstream PHY Link shall reside	PROPOSED ACCEPT.						
anywhere within a 24 MHz contiguous OFDM channel spectrum (i.e., 24 MHz with no internal exclusion bands) and have at least 3 MHz of contiguous spectrum above and	C/ 102 SC 102.2.3 P 254 L 54 # [i-269						
below it for a total band of 6 MHz."	Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network						
Note that the "allocated 400 kHz" is not the same as "24 MHz contiguous OFDM channel	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ						
spectrum".	"CRC(32)" ???						
	SuggestedRemedy						
	Change to "CRC32"						
	There are multiple instances in Clause 102						
	There are also instances of "CRC-32" and "CRC 32", which should be also converted to "CRC32" for consistency						
	Proposed Response Response Status W						
	PROPOSED ACCEPT.						

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-269

Page 60 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:30 PM

Draft 3.0	IEEE 802.3bn E	PON Protocol over Coax (E	EPoC) TF Initial Spons	or ballot comments	Proposed Responses
C/ 102 SC 102.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 254 L 52 Bright House Network	# i-270	Cl 102 SC 102.2.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	B.1.1 P 255 Bright House	L 33 # [i-273
	Comment Status D propriate message Type fields listed in T s like it should be a requirement for both both ends)			Comment Status A g: "The CLT shall ensure that th sing it the active profile."	+REV ne inactive profile in all CNUs is
102-6."	d CNU PHY link shall support message	Type field values per Table		shall set an identical inactive p	profile in all active CNU prior to its
Update PICS Proposed Response PROPOSED REJECT.	Response Status W		Response ACCEPT.	Response Status W	
See 102.3.2 Upstream f C/ 102 SC 102.2.3.1 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E	frame P 255 L 24 Bright House Network Comment Status D	# [i-271		Bright House Comment Status D tion: "The CLT updates the unu	L 34 # <u>i-274</u> Network used profile then, using the PHY ated profile. Once the CLT begins the
RD_IF should be itialicit SuggestedRemedy Per comment	sed		switchover, as indica switchover. During a	ated by Configuration ID field val switchover the value of the Cor	lues 0b01 or 0b10 it shall complete the nfiguration ID field is either incremente hus a switchover takes three PHY Link
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W				connected CNUs by setting the PHY 0b10. The CNU switches the target
Cl 102 SC 102.2.3.1. Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House Network	# i-272	profile, incrementing	or decrementing the PHY Conf	figuration ID field value by one in each takes three PHY Link frame times."
Comment Type E Comma not needed in "	Comment Status D "inform a CNU, to"	EZ	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEF	Response Status W PT IN PRINCIPLE.	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment			REVISED The suggested reme Change:	dy is incorrect.	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		"frame; thus a switch "frame. The switchov Configuration ID field Link frame times."		ne times." to activates the new profile when the 11; thus a switchover takes three PHY

Comment ID i-274

Draft 3.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (I	EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments Proposed Responses
C/ 102 SC 102.2.3.1.2 P 256 L 20 # [i-275] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network	C/ 102 SC 102.2.3.1.4 P 257 L 3 # [i-278] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Comment Type T Comment Status D C_ID is not defined. I assume it is "Configuration ID", but it is not shown anywhere	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ More compound adjectives: "32 bit field"
SuggestedRemedy Add a note to figure 102-11 explaining what C_ID is	SuggestedRemedy Change to "32-bit field"
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Add "C_ID = Configuration ID" Cl 102 SC 102.2.3.1.2 P 256 L 31 # i-276	C/ 102 SC 102.2.3.1.4 P 257 L 6 # [i-279] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network Bright House Network
Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type T Comment Status	Comment Type ER Comment Status D "its" versus "it's" -these are not the same
"The CLT shall ensure that all CNUs have sufficient time (as determined by the variable PhyLnkRspTm) to respond to the downstream PHY Link frame." - meaningless requirements, without specifying how much of time is needed. SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy There are 7 instances of "it's in the draft and all of them wrong ! Proposed Response Response Status W
Convert into informative text instead and remove any associated PICS	PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The specific time is dependent on capabilities of the networked devices and is specified by PhyLnkRspTm. This is similar to a mechanism used in EPON to allow for devices with various laser on/off times.	C/ 102 SC 102.2.3.2 P 258 L 2 # [i-280 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House Network # [i-280 Comment Type TR Comment Status D "within 2.5 ms" - what is the reference point for these 2.5 ms? # [i-280
Cl 102 SC 102.2.3.1.3 P 256 L 42 # i-277 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type TR Comment Status D	SuggestedRemedy Please add information for reference point for this 2.5ms period: is it since data is received on PHY, processed, etc.? The same applies to 102.2.5 "The CNU shall decode and be capable of acting on EPoC message block instructions included in a downstream PHY Link frame within 4.8 ms."
What happened with values 0x8000 - 0xFFF? SuggestedRemedy Add them to Table 102-8 and mark them as reserved (ignored on reception). Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. PHY Link DA & SA are 14 bit values, 0x8000 - 0xFFFF are very difficult to reserve with onlt 14 bits.	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Add "after reception"

Comment ID i-280

Page 62 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:30 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 102 SC 102.2.3.2.1 P 258 L 15 # [i-281] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	C/ 102 SC 102.2.7.5 P 265 L 12 # i-284 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network
Comment Type ER Comment Status D What is "0x00b" ???? Is it hex or binary? It is also not clear what 1b, 15b, 2b etc. are. If these are intended to be bit sizes for individual fields, show the size as "1 bit" in the line below the field name	Comment Type T Comment Status D What is this statement intended to mean: "EPFHtp DS_CID US_CID RF_ID 0b0 PhyDA LocalTS" - the " " operator is not defined right now SuggestedRemedy
SuggestedRemedy	If it is supposed to be a binary summation, then use "I" with no surrounding spaces
Per comment The same applies to Figure 102-14/15	Proposed Response Response Status W
Proposed Response Response Status W	PROPOSED REJECT. See Table 21–1. (Catenate operator)
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Strike "0x" Add "The notation (#b) indicates the number of bits in the field."	C/ 1SC 1.4.144bP 28L 33# i-285Rolfe, BenjaminBlind Creek Associate
C/ 102 SC 102.2.3.4 P 260 L 18 # [i-282] Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	Comment Type TR Comment Status R +REV+ Sea the term is used in it's own definition. This is not allowed in an IEEE standard.
Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ EMBcnt and EMBerr variables seem to be using smaller font than normal T,Text	SuggestedRemedy Delete second sentence
SuggestedRemedy Please apply proper style Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. The font is correct in the source file.	Response Response Status W REJECT. The definition is modeled directly after a similar definition for the OLT in the 2015 STD. We would like to maintain consistency with previous PON related definitions. "1.4.302 Optical Line Terminal (OLT): The network-end DTE for an optical access network. The OLT is the master entity in a P2MP network with regard to the MPCP protocol."
C/ 102 SC 102.2.4 P 260 L 36 # i-283 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Bright House	If the commenter feels strongly about this issue they are invited to submit a maintence request.
Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ binary size of the FEC code follows code name, usually EZ EZ EZ	
SuggestedRemedy Change a (384,288) binary punctured LDPC code to a binary punctured LDPC (384,288) code	
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

Draft 3.	.0	IEEE 8	802.3bn EPO	N Protocol over Coax	(EPoC) TF	Initial Sponsor	ballot comments
C/ 1	SC 1.4.144c	P 28	L 37	# i-286	C/ 1	SC 1.4.277a	P 28
Rolfe, Be	enjamin	Blind Creek A	ssociate		Rolfe, Be	enjamin	Blind C
Commen	nt Type TR	Comment Status R		+REV+	Commei	nt Type TR	Comment Status
Style	e Manual)	nition. This is not allowed in	an IEEE Standa	rd (see IEEE Standard	More	e specifically, MER	asure of how spread of is a measure of the clune of the clune of the clune output of an ideal re
00	edRemedy						purious, noise, carrier le
Delet	te everything after f	first period.					tortions, other undesire
Respons	e	Response Status W					channel impairments."
	definition is modele				infor		is better suited to a nor
					Suggest	tedRemedy	
netwo	ork. An ONU is a s	lave entity in a P2MP netwo	rk with regard to	the MPCP protocol."		nove extra informati ropriate place in the	ive and normative text e standard.
If the reque		strongly about this issue the	y are invited to s	ubmit a maintence	Respons	se	Response Status
						CEPT IN PRINCIPL	E.
C/ 1	SC 1.4.170a			# i-287		/ISED	text. Normative descr
Rolfe, Be	enjamin	Blind Creek A	ssociate				
Commen	nt Type TR	Comment Status A		+REV+	C/ 1	SC 1.4.306a	P 29
		erything after first period. Response Status W tion is modeled directly after a similar definition for the ONU in the 2015 like to maintain consistency with previous PON related definitions. Optical Network Unit (ONU): The subscriber-end DTE to an optical acce on ONU is a slave entity in a P2MP network with regard to the MPCP pr menter feels strongly about this issue they are invited to submit a maint SC 1.4.170a P28 L42 # <u>i-28</u> in Blind Creek Associate e TR Comment Status A lundant CP samples attached at the beginning of the symbol are identic ples of the same symbol prior to applying windowing." is a normative stic of the cyclic prefix, and does not belong in the definition of the term			Rolfe, Be	enjamin	Blind Ci
					Commei	nt Type TR	Comment Status
prefix	,		ing in the definition				subcarriers carry a sma
	edRemedy						ting and informative bit This text belongs in an
Rem	ove from definition,	and move to appropriate no	ormative clause.		Suggest	tedRemedy	
Respons	e	Response Status W			00		and informative extra
	EPT IN PRINCIPLE	Ξ.			over		it will be both interestir

L 47

sure of the cluster variance that exists in a transmitted or of an ideal receive matched filter. MER includes the oise, carrier leakage, clock lines, synthesizer products, other undesired transmitter and receiver products, mpairments." may well be useful to know, but is WAY lefinition of the term. This appears a mix of normative and suited to a normative clause(s) and general informative

ormative text from the definition and move it to an

_			
lesponse	Response Status	w	

rmative description in CI 100 is sufficient as is.

C/ 1	SC 1.4.306a	P 29	L 10	# i-289
Rolfe, Be	enjamin	Blind Creek A	ssociate	
Commei	nt Type TR	Comment Status A		+REV+ OFDM def

nent Status A

s carry a small percentage of the total payload at a low informative bit of additional information, but not part of the

belongs in an overview discussion of OFDM.

Response Status W

rmative extra text from the definition and move to an both interesting have useful context for the user of the standard.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Strike the sentence.

Remove the phrase. The CP description in Cl 101 is sufficient as is.

Proposed Responses

i-288

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

C/ 1 SC 1.4.345b	P 29	L 27	# i-290	C/ 100	SC 100.3.	5.2	P 98	L 49	# i-291	
Rolfe, Benjamin	Blind Creek A	Associate		Rolfe, Ber	njamin		Blind Creek	Associate		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		+REV	- Comment	Type TR	Comme	ent Status A		+	REV-
This text is explaining a no MDIO registers, It is not a better place might be clau	"term" and so this definiti	ion does not belo	ong in this clause. A	and t do no	hus invalid. Is t find a specifi	this meant to l	be the lowest po	wer supported by is meant by "lowe	irement is unverifia an implementation est power" other th	n? I
SuggestedRemedy				Suggeste		,				
Delete the definition. Add MDIO registers.	text in clause 45 to expla	ain the notation a	as used in defining		-	t clearly and ir	n a way which m	ay be verified.		
Response	Response Status W			Response	;	Respons	se Status W			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Remove current definition 1.4.345b and 1.4.424a and adjust editing instructions as				ACCE REVIS	EPT IN PRINC SED	IPLE.				
appropriate. Add 1.2.7 as follows:		na aajaat bahing		Modif	y the text to re	ad:				
Insert the following notatic quantities.	n after subclause 1.2.6 A	accuracy and res	olution of numerical	power	r, which is set	by the CLT us		e communications wr (see Section 1	s starting from lowe	est
1.2.7 Qm.n number forma	at			Updat	te PICS as ne	eded.				
The Qm.n number format is a fixed-point number format where the number of fractional		C/ 100	SC 100.3.	5.6	P 107	L 48	# i-292			
bits is specified by n and e example, a Q14 number h				Rolfe, Ber	njamin		Blind Creek	Associate		
fractional bits. Preceding				Comment	Type TR	Comme	ent Status A		+	REV
indicates an unsigned number.		an RF "requi contra avera power transr comp	"shall" in table is unnecessary and contradicts text. The sentence "The CNU sh an RF Modulated signal with characteristics delineated in Table 100-11" makes "requirements"; "shall be capable of" is not the same as "shall output" so this is contradicting the normative text above; "CNU shall be capable of transmitting a average output power." is not an compete (sensible) requirement, but for example "be capable of transmitting a total average output power of 65 dBmV" would be both complete completely sensible. It would appear either this text is misplaced, or otherwise r editing?					ble		
				Suggeste	dRemedy					
								fact a power rang at any given time.	e intended, specify	/ the
				Response)	Respons	se Status W			
				REVI See r Chan	esponse to i-7 ge "Level CNL	1 copied belov	ble of transmitti	ng a total average	output power."	

Update PICS as needed.

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

	P 120	L 23	# i-293	C/ 101	SC	101.6.1		P 231	L 7	# i-295
Rolfe, Benjamin	Blind Creek As	ssociate		Rolfe, Ber	njamin		BI	ind Creek	Associate	
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		+REV+	Comment	Туре	GR	Comment Sta	tus R		+REV
"Normative specifications in this clause shall be met by a system integrating 10GPASS-XR over the life of the product while the product operates within the manufacturer's range of environmental, power, and other specifications." How would one verify that this requirement has been met by a conforming product? It would require testing the entire life of the product, which is only possible if the product is designed to end it's life at the completion of conformance testing. If that is the intention clearly state the self-destruct requirement (although this seems to limit severely the utility					The statement "The supplier of a protocol implementation that is claimed to conform to Clause 101, Reconciliation Sublayer, Physical Coding Sublayer, and Physical Media Attachment for EPoC, shall complete the following protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma." is specifying a required behavior of the user (implementer) of a standard, which is out of scope of this standard. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change "shall" to "will". Or delete the paragraph. Or change the scope of the standard to include human behavior.					
of the product).				Response			Response Stat			
SuggestedRemedy	L.			REJE			Response Stat	us vv		
 delete the paragraphic or 	n,			-	-	of this pa	ragraph is consis	tent with a	l other PICS clau	uses in STD 802.3 2015
(2) change "shall" to "s	hould be designed to"					ng group				
Response	Response Status W					blocking i		aintenance	request against	the standard if this is
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI REVISED Option 2 as per remed				C/ 102	SC	102.1.1		P 241	L 3	# i-296
	/			Rolfe, Ber	njamin		В	ind Creek	Associate	
C/ 100 SC 100.7	P 121	L 5	# i-294	Comment		TR	Comment Sta			
Rolfe, Benjamin	Blind Creek As	ssociate					hall be fixed;" is r ant "not variable"			nd the ";" should be a
Comment Type GR	Comment Status R		+REV+ Sed	•		0,			n not broken).	
	ocol implementation that is cla	imed to confor	m to Clause 100,	Suggeste		-	al from a longth o	hall ha five	d."	
Physical Medium	layer and medium for passive	ontical network	s type 10GPASS-XR				nk frame length s		ed.	
shall complete the	ayer and medium for passive	optical network		Proposed	•		Response Stat	tus W		
	ementation conformance state e USER of the standard (imple			PROF	POSED	ACCEPT.				
SuggestedRemedy										
Change "shall" to "will										
Response	Response Status W									
REJECT.	aragraph is consistent with all o	other PICS clau	uses in STD 802.3 2015							
	template.									

Comment ID i-296

Page 66 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:30 PM

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 102 SC 102.2.3.1.3 P 256 L 39 # [i-297] Rolfe, Benjamin Blind Creek Associate Blind Creek Ass	Cl 103 SC · Rolfe, Benjamin	103.4.1		P 341 Blind Creek A	L 6	# i-299
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	Comment Type	GR	Comment Si		SSUCIALE	+REV
"The CLT shall only transmit the valid values of the PHY DA as given in Table 102-8." contradicts normative statements elsewhere in the draft which specify other things transmitted by the CLT. I might guess that what is intended is to specify that the PHY DA field of transmitted frames shall contain a valid value from table 102-8. SuggestedRemedy	"The supplier Multipoint MA conformance	of a proto CControl statemen	col implementa for EPoC, shall t (PICS)proform	ation that is cla complete the na." is (again)	following proto specifying requ	m to Clause 103, col implementation ired behavior of a d thus out of scope of
Change to "The PHY DA field shall contain one of the valid values given in table 102-2"	SuggestedRemed	ly				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	or		ne content exce	eds the scop	e of the PAR.	
REVISED REVISED Change to "The CLT shall only transmit the valid values of the PHY DA field as given in Table 102–8."	change "shall' <i>Response</i> REJECT. The phrasing		Response St		other PICS clau	uses in STD 802.3 2015
C/ 102 SC 102.5.1 P 291 L 5 # [i-298 Rolfe, Benjamin Blind Creek Associate Blind Creek Asso	and the working	ng group t	template. The c ndard if this is c	commenter is	invited to submi	it a maintenance
	C/ 100A SC	100A.4.1		P 355	L 6	# i-300
	Cl 100A SC · Rolfe, Benjamin	100A.4.1		P 355 Blind Creek A		# i-300
Comment Type GR Comment Status R +REV+ "The supplier of a protocol implementation that is claimed to conform to Clause 102, EPoC PHY Link, shall complete the following protocol implementation conformance statement	Rolfe, Benjamin			Blind Creek A		
Comment Type GR Comment Status R +REV+ "The supplier of a protocol implementation that is claimed to conform to Clause 102, EPoC	Rolfe, Benjamin <i>Comment Type</i> "The supplier EPoC OFDM	GR of a proto channel r	Comment Sa col implementa nodel, shall cor	Blind Creek A tatus R ation that is cla nplete the foll	ssociate aimed to confor owing protocol i	+ <i>RE\</i> m to Annex 100A, mplementation
Comment Type GR Comment Status R +REV+ "The supplier of a protocol implementation that is claimed to conform to Clause 102, EPoC PHY Link, shall complete the following protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma." is specifying a required behavior or the implementer of the standard (a human being), which is out of scope of this standard (which defines behaviors of	Rolfe, Benjamin <i>Comment Type</i> "The supplier EPoC OFDM conformance	GR of a proto channel r statemen	Comment Si col implementa nodel, shall cor t (PICS) proforr	Blind Creek A tatus R ation that is cla nplete the foll ma." specifies	ssociate aimed to confor owing protocol i s requirements of	+ <i>RE</i> \ m to Annex 100A, mplementation putside the scope of
Comment Type GR Comment Status R +REV+ "The supplier of a protocol implementation that is claimed to conform to Clause 102, EPoC PHY Link, shall complete the following protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma." is specifying a required behavior or the implementer of the standard (a human being), which is out of scope of this standard (which defines behaviors of conforming devices).	Rolfe, Benjamin Comment Type "The supplier EPoC OFDM conformance this standard PAR, or we ar	GR of a proto channel n statemen (e.g. beha re stating	Comment Sa col implementa nodel, shall cor t (PICS) proforr avior of the supp	Blind Creek A tatus R ation that is cla nplete the foll ma." specifies plier). Either t	ssociate aimed to conforr owing protocol i s requirements of the draft exceed	+REN m to Annex 100A, mplementation outside the scope of ts the scope of the
comment Type GR Comment Status R +REV+ "The supplier of a protocol implementation that is claimed to conform to Clause 102, EPoC PHY Link, shall complete the following protocol implementation conformance statement (PiCS) proforma." is specifying a required behavior or the implementer of the standard (a human being), which is out of scope of this standard (which defines behaviors of conforming devices). uggestedRemedy Change "shall" to "will"	Rolfe, Benjamin Comment Type "The supplier EPoC OFDM conformance this standard	GR of a proto channel n statemen (e.g. beha re stating	Comment Sa col implementa nodel, shall cor t (PICS) proforr avior of the supp	Blind Creek A tatus R ation that is cla nplete the foll ma." specifies plier). Either t	ssociate aimed to conforr owing protocol i s requirements of the draft exceed	+ <i>RE</i> \ m to Annex 100A, mplementation putside the scope of
Comment Type GR Comment Status R +REV+ "The supplier of a protocol implementation that is claimed to conform to Clause 102, EPoC PHY Link, shall complete the following protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma." is specifying a required behavior or the implementer of the standard (a human being), which is out of scope of this standard (which defines behaviors of conforming devices). +REV+ SuggestedRemedy Change "shall" to "will"	Rolfe, Benjamin Comment Type "The supplier EPoC OFDM conformance this standard PAR, or we an the second op SuggestedRemed	GR of a proto channel r statemen (e.g. beha re stating obtion ;-)	Comment Sa col implementa nodel, shall cor t (PICS) proforr avior of the supp	Blind Creek A tatus R ation that is cla nplete the foll ma." specifies plier). Either t	ssociate aimed to conforr owing protocol i s requirements of the draft exceed	+REN m to Annex 100A, mplementation outside the scope of ts the scope of the
Comment Type GR Comment Status R +REV+ "The supplier of a protocol implementation that is claimed to conform to Clause 102, EPoC PHY Link, shall complete the following protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma." is specifying a required behavior or the implementer of the standard (a human being), which is out of scope of this standard (which defines behaviors of conforming devices). SuggestedRemedy Change "shall" to "will" Response Response Status W REJECT. The phrasing of this paragraph is consistent with all other PICS clauses in STD 802.3 2015	Rolfe, Benjamin Comment Type "The supplier EPoC OFDM conformance this standard PAR, or we ar the second op	GR of a proto channel r statemen (e.g. beha re stating obtion ;-)	Comment Sa col implementa nodel, shall cor t (PICS) proforr avior of the supp	Blind Creek A tatus R ation that is cla nplete the foll ma." specifies plier). Either t	ssociate aimed to conforr owing protocol i s requirements of the draft exceed	+REN m to Annex 100A, mplementation outside the scope of ts the scope of the
Comment Type GR Comment Status R +REV+ "The supplier of a protocol implementation that is claimed to conform to Clause 102, EPoC PHY Link, shall complete the following protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma." is specifying a required behavior or the implementer of the standard (a human being), which is out of scope of this standard (which defines behaviors of conforming devices). SuggestedRemedy Change "shall" to "will" Response Response Status W REJECT. Response	Rolfe, Benjamin Comment Type "The supplier EPoC OFDM conformance this standard PAR, or we an the second op SuggestedRemed	GR of a proto channel r statemen (e.g. beha re stating obtion ;-)	Comment Sa col implementa nodel, shall cor t (PICS) proforr avior of the supp	Blind Creek A tatus R ation that is cla nplete the foll ma." specifies plier). Either t requirement (i	ssociate aimed to conforr owing protocol i s requirements of the draft exceed	+REN m to Annex 100A, mplementation outside the scope of ts the scope of the

Draft 3.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments **Proposed Responses** C/ 1 P 29 SC 1.4.306a # i-301 C/ 103 P 308 / 54 L 10 SC 103.2.2.3 # i-303 Rolfe. Beniamin Blind Creek Associate Remein. Duane Futurewei Technologie Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ OFDM def Comment Type Ε Comment Status D remein 02 This definition contradicts the NORMATIVE definition of OFDM Channel used in for Phrasing of variables used by reference should place emphasis on reference not definition. example Table 45-98a which states the OFDM Channel includes pilots, which are SuggestedRemedy modulated using BPSK, and 101.4.3.4.3 where it states When a subcarrier is used to carry MAC data it uses the modulation type of QPSK or 2n-QAM. Thus "over a number of See changes to definition in 3bn remein 02 0216.pdf for the following orthogonal QAM subcarriers." is incorrect. variable/counters/functions and constants: localTime, data rx, data tx, grantStart, IdleGapCount, initial derating delay, newRTT, m sdu rx, m sdu tx, m sdu ctl, SuggestedRemedy OctetsRequired, opcode rx, opcode tx, packet initiate delay, RTT, stopTime, timestamp, Remove the definition from this clause. timestampDrift. tgOffset. transmitAllowed. transmitEnable. transmitEnable. transmitPending, Opcode-specific function(opcode), select(), SelectFrame(), sizeof(sdu), Response Response Status W transmissionPending(), grantEndTime, insideDiscoveryWindow, pendingGrants, registered, ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. syncTime, discovery window size timer, mpcp timer, max future grant time, REVISED min processing time, currentGrant, gate timeout, grantList, maxDelay, nextGrant, Strike the word QAM from the definition. nextStopTime, empty(list), InsertInOrder(sorted_list, inserted_element), IsBroadcast(grant), PeekHead(sorted list), Random(r), RemoveHead(sorted list), antWinTmr. and # i-302 C/ 100 SC 100.3.4.2 P 92 L 22 gate periodic timer. Nakada, Juichi ADVANTEST Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type Е Comment Status D ΕZ PROPOSED ACCEPT. Table 100-3 CLT RF output requirements p.92, line 22, "Phase noise up to of the subcarrier's center". C/ 103 SC 103.1.3 P 304 / 1 # i-304 I think that numerical value is insufficient in this sentence. Remein. Duane Futurewei Technologie SuggestedRemedv Comment Type Comment Status D Е This section is essentially a duplicate of 77.1.4 and can be removed. Proposed Response Response Status W SugaestedRemedv PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace the para with "See 77.1.4" REVISED Proposed Response See comment i-382. Response Status W Suggest remedy copied below: PROPOSED ACCEPT. "After +- symbol add "50 kHz""

Comment ID i-304

Page 68 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:30 PM

F7

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

CI 103 SC 103.2.2 P 305 L 9 # i-305 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie	C/ 103 SC 103.2.2 P 306 L 1 # i-307 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
Comment TypeEComment StatusDEZ i-115Figure 103-4 is a duplicate of 77-6.	Comment TypeEComment StatusDEZ i-11Figure 103-6 is a near duplicate of 77-8.
SuggestedRemedy Replace the figure 103-4 with "See Figure 77-6 for a high level diagram of the multipoint transmission control service interfaces."	SuggestedRemedy Replace the figure 103-6 with "See Figure 77-8 for a high level diagram of the CLT control multiplexer service interfaces (CLT operates the same as an OLT)."
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED See accepted comment i-115 (suggested remedy copied below)	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. See accepted ER comment i-115 by the same commenter which removed this figure
In other locations 802.3, there are cases where text was marked as applicable, with some listed changes. Here, change "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint	C/ 103 SC 103.2.2 P 306 L 1 # i-308 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie
transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON." to "The purpose and high level functionality of multipoint transmission control is similar to those described in 77.2.2 for EPON, including Figure 77-6 through Figure 77-9, where the term "ONU" is replaced with "CNU" and the term "OLT" is replaced with "CLT"." Strike Figure 103-4 through Figure 103-7	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ i-11 Figure 103-7 is a near duplicate of 77-9. SuggestedRemedy EX is a near duplicate of 77-9 for a high level diagram of the CNU control
C/ 103 SC 103.2.2 P 305 L 21 # i-306 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie EZ i-115 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ i-115	multiplexer service interfaces (CNU operates the same as an ONU)." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT. See accepted ER comment i-115 by the same commenter which removed this figure
Figure 103-5 is a duplicate of 77-7.	C/ 103 SC 103.2.2.5 P 312 L 13 # i-309 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie
Figure 103-5 is a duplicate of 77-7. SuggestedRemedy Replace the figure 103-5 with "See Figure 77-7 for a high level diagram of the control parser service interfaces."	
Figure 103-5 is a duplicate of 77-7. SuggestedRemedy Replace the figure 103-5 with "See Figure 77-7 for a high level diagram of the control parser service interfaces." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Comment Type TR Comment Status D The definition of counter packet_initiate_timerC refers back to Cl 64 but it is unique to EPoC and should be a standalone definition. Also there are two instances of "packet_initiate_timer_done" (Fig 103-12 & 103-13) which are incorrect.
Figure 103-5 is a duplicate of 77-7. SuggestedRemedy Replace the figure 103-5 with "See Figure 77-7 for a high level diagram of the control parser service interfaces." Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED REJECT.	Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Comment Type TR Comment Status D The definition of counter packet_initiate_timerC refers back to Cl 64 but it is unique to EPoC and should be a standalone definition. Also there are two instances of "packet_initiate_timer_done" (Fig 103-12 & 103-13) which

Draft 3.0	IEEE 8	02.3bn EPO	N Protocol over Coax (I	EPoC) TF Initial Sponso	Proposed Responses	
Cl 103 SC 103.2.2.3 Remein, Duane	B P 308 Futurewei Tec	L 54 hnologie	# [i-310	Cl 101 SC 101.1.2 Remein, Duane	P 127 L Futurewei Technolog	33 # <u>i-313</u> ie
Comment Type E Many cross references reference.	Comment Status D s to Cl 64 can be changed to C	Cl 77 without cre	<i>remein_02</i> eating a double	64.2.2.1).	Comment Status D quantum is located in 64.2.2.1 not 77	EZ 7.2.2.1 (which references
SuggestedRemedy See reference change	s in 3bn_remein_02_0216.pdf			SuggestedRemedy Change the reference	from 77.2.2.1 to 64.2.2.1 so as to ave	oid a double reference.
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W	
C/ 103 SC 103.2.1 Remein, Duane	P 304 Futurewei Tec	L 49 hnologie	# i-311	C/ FM SC FM Remein, Duane	P1 L Futurewei Technolog	30 # [i-314 ie
Comment Type E Verb tense incorrect	Comment Status D		EZ	Comment Type E Change "IEEE P802.3	Comment Status A bn initial Sponsor ballot"	+REV+ Sed EZ
	o the sentence reads "The prin scribed in 77.2.1 for EPON." 1 .pdf.			Response	onsor ballot recirculation" Response Status C	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W			ACCEPT IN PRINCIP REVISED "IEEE P802.3bn Spon	LE. sor ballot first recirculation"	
C/ 100 SC 100.2.1.1 Remein, Duane	I P 84 Futurewei Tec	L 13 hnologie	# i-312	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.3 Remein, Duane	P 36 L Futurewei Technolog	27 # [<u>i-315</u> ie
64.2.2.1). SuggestedRemedy Change the reference Proposed Response	Comment Status D quantum is located in 64.2.2.1 from 77.2.2.1 to 64.2.2.1 so a <i>Response Status</i> Z THDRAWN by the commente	s to avoid a dou		Comment Type E "1.1958 through 1.195 SuggestedRemedy per comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Comment Status D 9" should be "1.1958 and 1.1959" Response Status W	EZ

Draft 3.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coa	ax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments Proposed Responses
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.131 P 39 L 3 # [i-316 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.132.2 P 41 L 31 # i-319 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie
Comment Type E Comment Status D Cl45 renur Error in Editing Instruction: "Insert 45.2.1.131 through 45.2.1.165" should be "Insert 45.2.1.131 through 45.2.1.167" SuggestedRemedy Insert 45.2.1.165" should be "Insert 45.2.1.165" should be "Insert 45.2.1.167" SuggestedRemedy per comment. Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Insert 45.2.1.165" Insert 45.2.1.165"	m Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ Missing word "counter between "the DS_ChCnt" SuggestedRemedy per comment. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
REVISED See AIP comment i-10 Response copied below	Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.160 P 55 L 21 # i-320 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie
Coordinate with other clause 45 editors and change clause numbering as agreed, register numbering remains as is. Tables will be renumbered per comment i-371 (resolution copied below) Editors to consult with WG Secretary and IEEE staff editors for preferred resolution.	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ Missing word "variable" between "the PhyLinkRspTm" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.131.1 P 39 L 50 # [i-317 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	per comment. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Comment Type E Comment Status D E Missing word "variable" between "TimeSyncCapable defined" E	Z C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.161.1 P 56 L 3 # i-321 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
SuggestedRemedy per comment. Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Comment Type ER Comment Status A +REV+ The text indicates a 2 bit value maps to 1 bit variable. Also an incorrect reference to 101.4.3.4.5. SuggestedRemedy
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.131.8 P 40 L 44 # [i-318 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie	Change "These bits are a reflection of bit 1 of variable US_ModAbility defined in 101.4.3.4.5." to "These bits are a reflection of the variable US_ModAbility defined in 101.4.4.4.4."
Comment Type E Comment Status D E. missing period after "102.2.7.3" SuggestedRemedy per comment.	Z Response Response Status W ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

Draft	3.0
-------	-----

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.4.4 Remein, Duane	Р 204 Futurewei Te	L 36 chnologie	# i-322	Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.166 Remein, Duane	5.1 <i>P</i> 59 Futurewei Te	L 20 echnologie	# i-325
Comment Type T Cor Definition indicates a 4-bit bin	<i>mment Status</i> D ary field but only 2 bit	s are defined.		Comment Type E "indicated" shold be "ind	Comment Status D		E
SuggestedRemedy Change "4-bit binary" to 2-bit	binary"			SuggestedRemedy per comment.			
Proposed Response Resp REJECT.	oonse Status Z			Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
This comment was WITHDRA	WN by the commenter	er.		C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.166 Remein, Duane	6.1 <i>P</i> 59 Futurewei Te	L 23 echnologie	# [i-326
Incorrect reference to US_Mo	P 56 Futurewei Te mment Status D dAbility	L 16 chnologie	# [i-323	Comment Type E Incorrect ref to 100.4.3. Same issue line 30. SuggestedRemedy Change to 100.4.3.1.	Comment Status D		E
SuggestedRemedy Change to DS_ModAbility. (e Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT.	nsure variable name i ponse Status W	is none-breaking	(Esc-n-s)	Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.167 Remein, Duane		L 4	# [i-327
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.163.1 Remein, Duane Comment Type E Con Incorrect reference to 102.4.1 Same issue for 45.2.1.163.2 I	-	L 16 cchnologie	# <u>i-324</u> EZ	Comment Type E	Comment Status D dPwr should be italics. Refe	-	E 100.3.5.3.1.
SuggestedRemedy Change to 102.4.1.8.				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
Proposed Response Resp PROPOSED ACCEPT.	oonse Status W			C/ 56 SC 56.1.2.1 Remein, Duane	Р 69 Futurewei To	L 53 echnologie	# [i-328
				<i>Comment Type</i> E ODN is already spelled	Comment Status D out and doe not need to be	e done again here	E
				SuggestedRemedy Change "optical distribu	ition network (ODN)" to "OI	DN" with underline	ing.
				Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W		
		/	The chainel Fladitorial Cl		0		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID i-328

Page 72 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:30 PM

Draft 3.0	IEEE 802.3bn EPON	I Protocol over Coax (I	EPoC) TF Initial Sponso	r ballot comments	Proposed Responses
C/ 56 SC 56.1.3 Remein, Duane	P 72 L 1 Futurewei Technologie	# i-329	Cl 100 SC 100.7.3. Remein, Duane	1 P 123 L 19 Futurewei Technologie	# [i-332
Comment Type E The editing instruction	Comment Status D should refer to Table 56-1 not 56-2.	EZ	<i>Comment Type</i> E Everywhere else in th	Comment Status D e draft "I/Q" is "I / Q" (with spaces).	E
SuggestedRemedy Change "Insert two rov Table 56-1"	ws at the end of Table 56-2," to "Insert two re	ows at the end of	SuggestedRemedy Change "I/Q" to "I / Q	" in 2 places (line 19 & 22).	
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEP REVISED See accepted comme	Response Status W T IN PRINCIPLE. ent i-18 which changes all instances to "I/0	ק"
C/ 67 SC 67.1 Remein, Duane	P 75 L 10 Futurewei Technologie	# i-330		J. J	
revision. SuggestedRemedy Change the editing ins two new footnotes labe shown)".	sis for Table 67-1 was taken from 2012 edition struction to read: "Insert two new rows at the er eled d and e as shown below (unchanged rows ed rows and footnotes from the table.	nd of Table 67-1 and			
Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT	Response Status W				
C/ 100 SC 100.2.1.4 Remein, Duane	1 P 84 L 13 Futurewei Technologie	# i-331			
	<i>Comment Status</i> D 64.2.2.1. rather than create a double reference ould also point to 103.2.2.1 for a "sectional loca				
SuggestedRemedy Change 77.2.2.1 to 64	.2.2.1.				
Proposed Response	Response Status Z				

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Comment ID i-332

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 00 SC 100.2.4 P 85 L 20 # [i-333 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie	C/ 100 SC 100.3.2.1 P 88 L 6 # i-334 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
Comment Type TR Comment Status D This statement is not strictly true: "CLT PMD data transmission is always enabled." When PD_Enable is FALSE the CLT is not allowed to transmit onto the media. This prevents a partially configured CLT from interfering with existing services (see Figure 102- 16) Task Force may wish to adjust the wording in 102.2.7.3 also (see comment against pg 152 Cl 101.3.2.5.6 Line 27)	Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ This statement disagree with the definition of in 100.3.2.3 " sets DS_RateMatchFail to "1" indicating mismatch, otherwise "0"." Same issue for US_RateMatchFail in 100.3.2.2 SuggestedRemedy Change to read " sets DS_RateMatchFail to TRUE indicating mismatch, otherwise it is set to FALSE." and " sets US_RateMatchFail to TRUE indicating mismatch, otherwise it is set to FALSE."
SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "CLT PMD data transmission is always enabled except when PD_Enable is FALSE (see 102.2.7.3)."	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED	C/ 100 SC 100.3.3 P 88 L 37 # [i-335] Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie
Per Suggested Remedy and In 102.2.7.3 Variables pg 263 line 45 change	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ Spelling "labelled"
"It is set to TRUE after all elements required for PHY Discovery listed in Table 102-13 have been written by the CLT." to "In the CNU it is set to TRUE after all elements required for PHY Discovery listed in Table 102-13 have been written by the CLT. In the CLT this variable, when set to FALSE, prevents transmissions from the CLT until it is fully configured and when TRUE permits transmissions."	SuggestedRemedy "labeled" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Add PD_Enable to Table 100-1	C/ 100 SC 100.3.4.1 P 89 L 26 # i-336 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie
Add the following as the new last paragraph in 100.3.4.6 CLT Transmitter Output Requirements:	Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ The "N" in "Neq" is not italicised: "The number of equivalent 6 MHz channels, Neq, is"
"The CLT shall disable transmitter output when <ital>PD_Enable</ital> is equal to FALSE and continue in normal transmitter operation when <ital>PD_Enable</ital> is equal to TRUE."	SuggestedRemedy per comment.
	Proposed Response Response Status W
Add the following as the new last paragraph in 100.3.5.7 CNU RF power amplifier requirements:	PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED The "N" in "Neq" should italicised.
"The CNU shall disable transmitter output when <ital>PD_Enable</ital> PD_Enable is equal to TRUE. This requirement has precedence over the requirements in 100.3.5.7."	
Update PICS as needed.	
TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/ COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/w SORT ORDER: Comment ID	

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 100 SC 100.3.5.2	P 98	L 24	# i-337		C/ 100	SC 100.3.5.	4.3	P 104	L 3	# i-340
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Teo	chnologie			Remein, Duar	e		Futurewei Te	chnologie	
Comment Type E "P1.6" should be italicised pg 98 In 27 "Pmax" pg 98 In 52 "P1.6t" SuggestedRemedy per comment.	<i>Comment Status</i> D . Same issue:			EZ	the bandw of the mo mentioned	ear what "Me vidth of the n dulated carri d earlier in th	odulated Sub nodulated ca ers (in which le sentence o		ly or the units do t use NS_Max a e?	+ <i>EX</i> + nes 10 and 18. Is this on't work)? The number s in Eq 100-11)
•	Response Status W				<subscrip< td=""><td>at is meant t t>S_Max). I</td><td>Jse Italics as</td><td></td><td></td><td>S_Max X 0.05)" urious "The" on line 10.</td></subscrip<>	at is meant t t>S_Max). I	Jse Italics as			S_Max X 0.05)" urious "The" on line 10.
In Eq 100-16 the term "Un with a space between "#" a SuggestedRemedy remove the excess space.	and "Users"	Ũ	# [i- <u>338</u> -grant Hold # Users	EZ s"	REVISED 1)Change Spectrum 2)Change should be 3)On pag be replace 4)On pag should be 5)Page 10	F FD ACCEP Page 104, I , page 104, replaced wi e 104, line 1 ed with the it e 104, line 1 replaced wi 00, line 1, "si	IN PRINCIP ine 1, "with ine 3, in the th "Grant Sp 0, the italiciz alicized word 8, in the equ th the italiciz multaneous"	the number of M denominator of t ectrum", with the ed words "Modul ds "Grant Spectru ation, the italicize ed words "Grant ' is misspelled.	the equation, "M latter in italics a ated Subcarriers um". Remove th ed words "Modul Spectrum".	s" in the equation should
The term "Under-grant Ho SuggestedRemedy Define the term (could this		100-17 is undefi		-EX+	should be CORREC 8)Page 10 9)Page 10 10)Page 1 11)Page 1 12)Page 1 13)Page 1	 33, line 48, s "Table 100- T and should 44, line 7, the 44, line 8, the 44, lines 12 44, line 19, 44, line 21, 44, line 21, 44, line 22, 	9". (The use d not be chai e use of "Tab e use of "Tab through 16 a the use of "T the use of "T the use of "T	e of "Table 100-8	" later in the sen be "Table 100-9 be "Table 100-8 YI. uld be "Table 100 uld be "Table 100 uld be "Table 100 uld be "Table 100	3". 0-8". 0-9".

Cl 100 SC 100.3.5.4.4 P 105 L 37 # i-341 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie	Cl 100 SC 100.3.5.5.1 P 106 L 37 # i-344 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie
Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ To what voltage step does this refer "The CNU's voltage step shall be dissipated"? Presumably that at the MDI SuggestedRemedy Change to read "The CNU's voltage step at the MDI shall be dissipated" Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Change to read "The CNU's voltage step at the MDI (TP2) shall be dissipated"	Comment Type E Comment Status D E2 "BURSTMER" should be italicised. SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED To be clear: <ital>BURST_{MER}</ital>
C/ 100 SC 100.3.5.4.4 P 105 L 40 # [i-342] Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie	C/ 100 SC 100.3.5.7 P 108 L 19 # [i-345] Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie
Comment Type TR Comment Status A +REV+ What is a "backed-off transmit level"? This term is not used anywhere in the draft. "Back-off" is only used to refer to the Discovery back-off algorithm. Back-off SuggestedRemedy Clarify the term. Response Response Status C	Add missing period between "Figure 100-3" and "PMD_SIGNAL.request(ON)" SuggestedRemedy per comment. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Replace the sentence at line 40 beginning with "At backed-off transmit level ." with "At transmit levels below +55 dBmV, the CNU's maximum change in voltage shall decrease by a factor of 2 for each 6 dB decrease of power level, from +55 dBmV down to a maximum change of 3.5 mV at 31 dBmV and below." Update PICS as needed.	Cl 100 SC 100.3.7.1 P 112 L 27 # i-346 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Excessive white space in row starting "OFDM channel input level range" (probably due to para mark rather then linefeed). EZ
Cl 100 SC 100.3.5.5.1 P 106 L 14 # i-343 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie E Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ Hopefully this is true "carrier phase offset, and timing will be adjusted" but we typically don't use the work "will" SuggestedRemedy	SuggestedRemedy Remove excess white space. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Change to "carrier phase offset, and timing are adjusted" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	

Comment ID i-346

Page 76 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:30 PM

Draft	3.0	

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

	P 115	L 33	# i-347	C/ 101	SC 101.4.3.1	11	P 193	L 39	# i-349
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Tee	chnologie		Remein, Du	ane		Futurewei Te	chnologie	
Comment Type E	Comment Status D		EZ	Comment T	ype TR	Comment S	Status A		+REV+ ensure
Unwarrented period betw	veen "subclause" and "Define	nitions"						ement on the CL	
SuggestedRemedy									ts these objectives n easily be provided by
Remove period, insert m	issing space, and change "	""Definitions" to "	"definitions"	a system	n which include	es the PHY but	should not be		nents of the PHY.
Proposed Response	Response Status W				•	pg 115 line 32	CI 100.3.8.		
PROPOSED ACCEPT I REVISED	N PRINCIPLE.			Suggested		hanga "daga n	ot" to "connot"	and along naron	thesis so the sentence
	ions of parameters and me bclause changes.	easurement meth	nods." It is a remenent	reads: "	The encompas		of a 192 MHz (OFDM channel c	annot exceed 190 MHz
C/ 100 SC 100.3.8	P 115	L 32	# i-348	Response		Response S	Status C		
Remein, Duane	Futurewei Te	-	" 1340		T IN PRINCIPL	LE.			
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A	Ū	+REV+ ensures	REVISE At line		CLT ensures the	at" and change	e "does not exce	ed" to "is"
(e.g., a "NACK" capabili	e is no way to ensure the co ty in MDIO). These implied	requirements ca	n easily be provided by	<i>Cl</i> 100 Remein, Du	SC 100.4.1 ane		Futurewei Te	chnologie	# i-350
See comment against p SuggestedRemedy Remove the phrase at li "The encompassed spe 190 MHz and does not e Remove the phrase at li Remove the phrase at p sentence reads: "the en exceed 190 MHz and ca	ne 38 and change "does no ctrum of each 192 MHz dow exceed 3800 active subcarri ne 42. g 116 line 24 and change "o compassed spectrum of the nnot exceed 3800 active su	ot" to "cannot" so vnstream OFDM iers (see Table 1 does not" to "car e upstream OFDI	the sentence reads: channel cannot exceed 00-3)." nnot" 2x so the MA channel cannot	Suggestedf Clarify s Proposed R PROPC REVISE Change	h specified limi Remedy statement. PSED ACCEPT ED "The specifiec	Response S	tement apply? Status W E. e specified lim		+ <i>EX</i> mit applies" y the operationally
See comment against p SuggestedRemedy Remove the phrase at li Remove the phrase at li "The encompassed spe 190 MHz and does not e Remove the phrase at li Remove the phrase at p sentence reads: "the en exceed 190 MHz and ca Response	g 193 line 39 Cl 101.4.3.11. ne 32. ne 38 and change "does no ctrum of each 192 MHz dow exceed 3800 active subcarri ne 42. g 116 line 24 and change "d compassed spectrum of the nnot exceed 3800 active su <i>Response Status</i> U	ot" to "cannot" so vnstream OFDM iers (see Table 1 does not" to "car e upstream OFDI	the sentence reads: channel cannot exceed 00-3)." nnot" 2x so the MA channel cannot	To whic SuggestedF Clarify s Proposed R PROPO REVISE Change	h specified limi Remedy statement. PSED ACCEPT ED "The specifiec	it does this stat <i>Response</i> S IN PRINCIPLI d limit" to "The	tement apply? Status W E. e specified lim	it of 73 dB below	mit applies"
See comment against p SuggestedRemedy Remove the phrase at li "The encompassed spe 190 MHz and does not e Remove the phrase at li Remove the phrase at p sentence reads: "the en	g 193 line 39 Cl 101.4.3.11. ne 32. ne 38 and change "does no ctrum of each 192 MHz dow exceed 3800 active subcarri ne 42. g 116 line 24 and change "d compassed spectrum of the nnot exceed 3800 active su <i>Response Status</i> U	ot" to "cannot" so vnstream OFDM iers (see Table 1 does not" to "car e upstream OFDI	the sentence reads: channel cannot exceed 00-3)." nnot" 2x so the MA channel cannot	To whic Suggestedf Clarify s Proposed R PROPO REVISE Change configu	h specified limi Remedy statement. lesponse DSED ACCEPT ED "The specified re aggregate po SC 100.4.4	it does this stat <i>Response</i> S IN PRINCIPLI d limit" to "The	tement apply? Status W E. e specified lim I>CLT_TxMute	it of 73 dB below)". <i>L</i> 25	mit applies"
See comment against p SuggestedRemedy Remove the phrase at li "The encompassed spe 190 MHz and does not e Remove the phrase at li Remove the phrase at p sentence reads: "the en exceed 190 MHz and ca Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE REVISED As per remedy. Editor t	g 193 line 39 Cl 101.4.3.11. ne 32. ne 38 and change "does no ctrum of each 192 MHz dow exceed 3800 active subcarri ne 42. g 116 line 24 and change "d compassed spectrum of the nnot exceed 3800 active su <i>Response Status</i> U	ot" to "cannot" so vnstream OFDM iers (see Table 1 does not" to "car e upstream OFDI ubcarriers (see T	the sentence reads: channel cannot exceed 00-3)." nnot" 2x so the MA channel cannot able 100-11)."	To which SuggestedF Clarify s Proposed R PROPO REVISE Change configu C/ 100 Remein, Du Comment T	h specified limi Remedy statement. DSED ACCEPT ED "The specifiec re aggregate po SC 100.4.4 ane type E	it does this stat <i>Response</i> S IN PRINCIPLE d limit" to "The ower (see <ital <i>Comment</i> S</ital 	tement apply? Status W E. e specified lim >CLT_TxMute P 119 Futurewei Ter Status D	it of 73 dB below)". <i>L</i> 25 chnologie	mit applies" the operationally # [<u>i-351</u>
See comment against p SuggestedRemedy Remove the phrase at li "The encompassed spe 190 MHz and does not e Remove the phrase at li Remove the phrase at p sentence reads: "the en exceed 190 MHz and ca Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE REVISED	g 193 line 39 Cl 101.4.3.11. ne 32. ne 38 and change "does no ctrum of each 192 MHz dow exceed 3800 active subcarri ne 42. g 116 line 24 and change "d compassed spectrum of the nnot exceed 3800 active su <i>Response Status</i> U	ot" to "cannot" so vnstream OFDM iers (see Table 1 does not" to "car e upstream OFDI ubcarriers (see T	the sentence reads: channel cannot exceed 00-3)." nnot" 2x so the MA channel cannot able 100-11)."	To whic SuggestedF Clarify s Proposed R PROPO REVISE Change configu C/ 100 Remein, Du Comment T In "The	h specified limi Remedy statement. PSED ACCEPT D "The specified re aggregate po SC 100.4.4 ane ype E OFDM test rec	it does this stat <i>Response</i> S IN PRINCIPLE d limit" to "The ower (see <ital <i>Comment</i> S</ital 	tement apply? Status W E. e specified lim >CLT_TxMute P 119 Futurewei Ter Status D	it of 73 dB below)". <i>L</i> 25	mit applies" the operationally # [<u>i-351</u>
See comment against p SuggestedRemedy Remove the phrase at li "The encompassed spe 190 MHz and does not e Remove the phrase at li Remove the phrase at p sentence reads: "the en exceed 190 MHz and ca Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE REVISED As per remedy. Editor t	g 193 line 39 Cl 101.4.3.11. ne 32. ne 38 and change "does no ctrum of each 192 MHz dow exceed 3800 active subcarri ne 42. g 116 line 24 and change "d compassed spectrum of the nnot exceed 3800 active su <i>Response Status</i> U	ot" to "cannot" so vnstream OFDM iers (see Table 1 does not" to "car e upstream OFDI ubcarriers (see T	the sentence reads: channel cannot exceed 00-3)." nnot" 2x so the MA channel cannot able 100-11)."	To which SuggestedF Clarify s Proposed R PROPO REVISE Change configu C/ 100 Remein, Du Comment T	h specified limi Remedy statement. lesponse DSED ACCEPT ED "The specified re aggregate po SC 100.4.4 ane ype E OFDM test rec Remedy	it does this stat <i>Response</i> S IN PRINCIPLE d limit" to "The ower (see <ital <i>Comment</i> S</ital 	tement apply? Status W E. e specified lim >CLT_TxMute P 119 Futurewei Ter Status D	it of 73 dB below)". <i>L</i> 25 chnologie	mit applies" the operationally # [<u>i-351</u>

Draft 3.0	IEEE 80	02.3bn EPO	N Protocol over Coax (E	EPoC) TF Ir	nitial Sponsor	ballot comments	I	Proposed Responses
Cl 100 SC 100.3.2.1 Remein, Duane	Р 87 Futurewei Tec	L 5 hnologie	# i-352	<i>Cl</i> 101 Remein, D	SC 101.3.2.5 uane		L 27 i Technologie	# [i-355
Comment Type T "DS_Frame_Data_Load should be for every supe SuggestedRemedy Change "frame to super	,	ame," My re	+REV+ collection is that this	When preven Task F	tatement is not s PD_Enable is F, hts a partially cor		wed to transmit or riing with existing	
	Response Status C E. perframe and ensure that it is m change to "OFDM frame".			is FAL Proposed I PROP	e to read: "At the SE (see 102.2.7	.3)." Response Status W	vays set to TRUE	except when PD_Enable
C/ 101 SC 101.1.1 Remein, Duane Comment Type E	P 127 Futurewei Tec Comment Status D	L 19 hnologie	# i-353	<i>Cl</i> 101 Remein, D	SC 101.4.3.3	<i>P</i> 173	L 41 i Technologie	# [<u>i-356</u>
The notations "- =", and could be removed. SuggestedRemedy per comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	"+ =" do not appear elsewhe	ere in the draft a	and these descriptions	Proposed I	r" <i>IRemedy</i> ve excess dash	Comment Status D Response Status W		Ež
Cl 101 SC 101.1.4 Remein, Duane Comment Type E In Fig 101-1 & 101-2 the	P 132 Futurewei Tec <i>Comment Status</i> D e "Clause 102" in the Phy Lin	Ū	# [i-354 <i>EZ</i> be made a live link.					
SuggestedRemedy per comment. Proposed Response PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Status W							

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.6.4 P 180 L 8 #	i-357	C/ 101	SC 101.4.4.3	3.5	P 202	L 12	# i-359
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie		Remein, Duai	ne		Futurewei Teo	hnologie	
Comment Type TR Comment Status D	+REV+	Comment Typ	pe T	Comment	Status D		Rbsize/l
This requirement cannot be enforced by the PHY as continuous pilots are pro "The CLT shall place continuous pilots"	ovissioned.					FALSE to the for nen RBsize is 1."	bllowing statement
SuggestedRemedy		SuggestedRe	emedy				
Change statement to "The CLT should place continuous pilots"		Change to	o "Value: 8 w	hen RBsize is	FALSE, 16 whe	en RBsize is TRI	JE."
Change statement for Step 8 (line 33) from "The CLT transmits this continuous pilot" to		Proposed Rea	sponse	Response	Status W		
"The CLT shall transmit this continuous pilot" Change PICS PI3 from		PROPOS REVISED		IN PRINCIPL	_E.		
"Continuous Pilot placement/Meets the Equation (101-9) and the eight steps of 101.4.3.6.4" to ""Continuous Pilot transmittion/ The CLT transmits the continuous pilot patter	-	lf i-210 is	AIP then this	comment depe s should be rej en this should	jected.	lution of comme	nt i-210.
communicates their placement to CNUs" Another alternative		C/ 100	SC 100.3.5.7	7	P 108	L 21	# i-360
Proposed Response Response Status Z		Remein, Duai	ne		Futurewei Teo	hnologie	
REJECT.		Comment Typ	pe T	Comment	Status D		l
This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.					lues of TRUE & or 16 times"		bllowing statement
···· · ··· · ···		cannot be	e correct "RB	Size of 6 times	5 OF TO UNICS		
		cannot be SuggestedRe		Size of 6 times			
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.12 P 198 L 23 #	i-358	SuggestedRe	emedy		es or 16 times		
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.12 P 198 L 23 #	i-358	SuggestedRe	emedy o RBlen(RBs		es or 16 times		
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.12 P 198 L 23 # Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Comment Type E Comment Status D	i-358 EZ	SuggestedRe Change to Proposed Res	emedy o RBlen(RBs	size) of 8 time <i>Response</i>	es or 16 times		
7 101 SC 101.4.3.12 P 198 L 23 # emein, Duane Futurewei Technologie comment Type E Comment Status D Missing space "0 1 0="		SuggestedRe Change to Proposed Re PROPOS	emedy o RBlen(RBs sponse	size) of 8 time Response -	es or 16 times		# [i-36 1
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.12 P 198 L 23 # Lemein, Duane Futurewei Technologie # Comment Type E Comment Status D Missing space "0 1 0=" # SuggestedRemedy *		SuggestedRe Change to Proposed Re PROPOS	emedy o RBlen(RBs sponse SED ACCEPT SC 101.4.4	size) of 8 time Response -	es or 16 times Status W	" L 22	# [<u>i-361</u>
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.12 P 198 L 23 # Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie # Comment Type E Comment Status D Missing space "0 1 0=" # SuggestedRemedy Change to "0 1 0 ="		SuggestedRe Change to Proposed Res PROPOS	ermedy o RBlen(RBs sponse SED ACCEPT SC 101.4.4. 4	size) of 8 time <i>Response</i> 4.2	es or 16 times Status W P 204	" L 22	# [i-361
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.12 P 198 L 23 # temein, Duane Futurewei Technologie # comment Type E Comment Status D Missing space "0 1 0=" # SuggestedRemedy Change to "0 1 0 ="		SuggestedRe Change to Proposed Res PROPOS Cl 101 Remein, Duar Comment Typ While true There is a	emedy o RBIen(RBs sponse SED ACCEPT SC 101.4.4.4 ne De E e this statement at least one c	size) of 8 time <i>Response</i> 4.2 <i>Comment</i> ent is slightly r	es or 16 times Status W P 204 Futurewei Teo Status D misleading as th MHz or greater t	" <i>L</i> 22 hnologie ere is only one L	
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.12 P 198 L 23 # Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie # Comment Type E Comment Status D Missing space "0 1 0=" # SuggestedRemedy Change to "0 1 0 =" # Proposed Response Response Status W		SuggestedRe Change to Proposed Res PROPOS Cl 101 Remein, Duar Comment Typ While true There is a	ermedy o RBlen(RBs sponse EED ACCEPT SC 101.4.4.4 ne De E e this stateme at least one c OFDM chann	size) of 8 time <i>Response</i> 4.2 <i>Comment</i> ent is slightly r ontiguous 10	es or 16 times Status W P 204 Futurewei Teo Status D misleading as th MHz or greater t	" <i>L</i> 22 hnologie ere is only one L	JS channel"
C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.12 P 198 L 23 # temein, Duane Futurewei Technologie # Comment Type E Comment Status D Missing space "0 1 0=" # # SuggestedRemedy Change to "0 1 0 =" # Proposed Response Response Status W		SuggestedRe Change to Proposed Res PROPOS C/ 101 Remein, Duar Comment Typ While true There is a 192 MHz SuggestedRe Change to	emedy o RBlen(RBs sponse ED ACCEPT SC 101.4.4.4 ne E this stateme at least one c OFDM channe emedy o read "There	size) of 8 time Response 4.2 Comment ent is slightly r ontiguous 10 nel (see Table e is at least on	es or 16 times Status W P 204 Futurewei Teo Status D misleading as th MHz or greater to 100-11)."	" <i>L</i> 22 hnologie ere is only one L	JS channel" lbcarriers in any single band of active
Cl 101 SC 101.4.3.12 P 198 L 23 # Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie # Comment Type E Comment Status D Missing space "0 1 0=" # SuggestedRemedy Change to "0 1 0 =" # Proposed Response Response Status W		SuggestedRe Change to Proposed Res PROPOS C/ 101 Remein, Duar Comment Typ While true There is a 192 MHz SuggestedRe Change to	emedy o RBIen(RBs sponse ED ACCEPT SC 101.4.4.4 ne De E e this statemed at least one c OFDM channe emedy o read "There rs in the upst	size) of 8 time Response 4.2 Comment ent is slightly r ontiguous 10 nel (see Table e is at least on	es or 16 times Status W P 204 Futurewei Tec Status D misleading as th MHz or greater to 100-11)." the contiguous 10 z OFDM channe	" <i>L</i> 22 chnologie ere is only one L band of active su	JS channel" lbcarriers in any single band of active

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 101 SC 101.4.4.4.4 P 204 L 36	# i-362	C/ FM	SC FN	Л	P 13	L 13	# i-364
Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie		Grow, Robe	ert		RMG Consultir	ng	
Comment Type T Comment Status D		Comment T	ype I	ER	Comment Status A		+REV+ Sed
"TYPE: 4-bit binary" but only two are defined (Cl 45 only uses 2 bits also)					ed or likely to be approved an before P802.3bp approval.	nendments to	IEEE Std 802.3 that
SuggestedRemedy change to "TYPE: 2-bit binary"		SuggestedF	Remedy				
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	# [000	Amendr conditio note tha	ment 2, F ons for Re at other a	P802.31 evCom amendr	and designated Amendment 1 oq Amendment 3 and P802.3t submittal, by and bq also in S nent descriptions will be adde information (I think they are a	op Amendmer Sponsor ballot. d during public	it 4. br failed to meet Either add an editor's ation preparation, or
C/FM SC FM P 13 L 13 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting	# i-363	Response		lament	Response Status W	an in 11 002.00V)	
Comment Type ER Comment Status A The amendment identification is not consistent. I believe it is correct here a in the draft, but not at P.12, L.3. Basically, we have drifted away from all re body of the standard being of the form IEEE Std 802.3bp-20xx, (with docu	ferences in the ment title and	REVISE See cor	mment i-	6 (Res	•	unced the orde	er of this amendment)
headers using the project designation P802.3bp/D3.1). Though likely to be publication preparation (especially since this note is instructed to be this w IEEE templates), we should strive for consistency in the body of the docum publication editors only search for one string that needs to be updated.	ay in current	C/ FM Grow, Robe	SC FN	Л	P 27 RMG Consultir	L 44 ng	# i-365
SuggestedRemedy		Comment T	ype I	ER	Comment Status A		+REV+ Sed
The note is something carried into the published standard and therefore sh		I expect	t the WG	6 Chair	will designate an amendment	number for this	s project.
be IEEE Std 802.3bp-201x. This may be something that IEEE editorial star recently. We should get clear guidance from staff (especially since they ar revising the Style Manual). We also use the IEEE Std 802.3bp-201x in the and PICS in this draft.	e currently		te should		dated for the known preceding t assumes to precede this in a		

Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

REVISED

Staff Editors would like to change all amendment references to "IEEE Std 802.3yy-20xx" where yy is the project designation and xx is the year completed. If a project is not completed when this draft is approved by SASB leave the "xx".

Editors verified this with staff editors and will make appropriate changes.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Add the following after confirming with Working Group Secretary: IEEE Std 802.3bw-2015 IIEEE Std 802.3by-20xx IEEE Std 802.3bq-20xx IEEE Std 802.3bp-20xx

See i-363 (response copied below) Staff Editors would like to change all amendment references to "IEEE Std 802.3yy-20xx" where yy is the project designation and xx is the year completed. If a project is not completed when this draft is approved by SASB leave the "xx".

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 1 SC Grow, Robert	1.5	P 29 RMG Consulting	L 42	# i-366	<i>Cl</i> 45 Grow, R		45.2.1.17a	а	P 38 RMG Consu	L 17 Iltina	# i-369	
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status D	9		EZ Comme		ER	Comment	Status A	lining		+REV
The acronym	ns list is alph	anumeric, not only alphabetic	с.		This	editorial i	nstruction	is wrong. C	lause 45 prese	ents registers in	assending number	. The
SuggestedRemed Change alpha Proposed Respor	abetical to a	lphanumerical. Response Status W			45.2 desc Whi	.1.14a des riptions. (e the aa is	scribing re P802.3by i s arguably	gister 1.18. inserts 45.2 correct (wh	Register 1.17 1.1.14b and Tat	belongs betwee ble 45-17b descr nen we need to d	2.3bw-2015 inserts in these two register riging register 1.19) to the 27th insert a	ər).
PROPOSED	ACCEPT.					edRemed	,	referenced		coneci.		
C/ 30 SC Grow, Robert	30.3.2.1.2	P 31 RMG Consulting	L 11 g	# i-367	l rec Corr	ommend ect instruc	using the le	ad Insert 45.	2.1.14c and Ta		anything about ord r 45.2.1.14 (before as follows:	
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status A		+RI	EV+ Respons				Status W	,		
The SYNTAX	K list is not s	orted. (It begins with other, u	nknown, none)).	•		RINCIPLE					
SuggestedRemed	dy					ISED	1. 4 h. 1. h.		(a ta las atatas a d	
		t is insert after 10GBASE-P			See	comment	I-4 Which (cnanges "at	ter" to "before"	" so correct order	r is maintaned.	
			offor 10CRAS	SE_T		~~~					# i-370	
51		UType, I believe the insert is	aller TUGBAS	,∟ ,.	C/ 45	SC 4	45.2.1.17a	а	P 38	L 17	# 1-370	
Response		Response Status W		·∟ · ı .	<i>CI</i> 45 Grow, R		45.2.1.1 <i>1</i> aa	а	P 38 RMG Consu		# 1-370	
51		Response Status W			Grow, R Comme	obert nt Type	E	Comment	RMG Consu	Ilting		
Response ACCEPT IN F REVISED Change editir 10/1GBASE-F	PRINCIPLE.	Response Status W	eric order" and s per comment <i>L</i> 3	insert "after	Grow, R Commen This this adm style	bbert <i>at Type</i> lettering of case two) itted after manual of cularly go	E of inserts il . When dis consultation describes b od. They a	Comment Ilustrates ho scussing thi on with the preaks pretty also did not	RMG Consu Status A www.se of letters is problem with manager of the y quickly. They jump at makin	Ilting s is broken giver o our publication e IEEE editorial c y agreed a long s ng letters simply a		(in they nat the
Response ACCEPT IN F REVISED Change editir 10/1GBASE-f C/ 45 SC	PRINCIPLE. ng instructio PRX" for aP	Response Status W n by removing "in alphanume hyType and aPhyTypeList as P 38	eric order" and s per comment <i>L</i> 3	insert "after t for aMAUType.	Grow, R Commen This this adm style parti orde	bbert <i>at Type</i> lettering of case two) itted after e manual of cularly go r not mea	E of inserts il . When dis consultatio describes b od. They a ning anyth	Comment Ilustrates ho scussing thi on with the preaks pretty also did not	RMG Consu Status A w use of letters is problem with manager of the y quickly. They	Ilting s is broken giver o our publication e IEEE editorial c y agreed a long s ng letters simply a	n sufficient inserts editors in Atlanta, t department that wh string of a's is not	they hat the
Response ACCEPT IN F REVISED Change editir 10/1GBASE-F CI 45 SC Grow, Robert Comment Type	PRINCIPLE. ng instructio PRX" for aP 45.2.1.6 T	Response Status W n by removing "in alphanume hyType and aPhyTypeList as P 38 RMG Consulting	eric order" and s per comment <i>L</i> 3 g	insert "after t for aMAUType. # [<u>i-368</u> + <i>REV</i> + -	Grow, R Commen This this adm style parti orde Sed Suggest A re	bbert <i>at Type</i> lettering of case two) itted after manual of cularly go r not mea <i>edRemed</i> vision of th	E of inserts il . When dis consultation describes b od. They a ning anyth y he Style M	Comment Ilustrates ho scussing thi on with the p preaks pretty also did not ing (my pre	RMG Consu <i>Status</i> A w use of letters is problem with manager of the y quickly. They jump at makin ferred solution) derway and this	Ilting is is broken giver our publication e IEEE editorial o y agreed a long s g letters simply a). s is on the list for	n sufficient inserts editors in Atlanta, t department that wh string of a's is not a tag, with alphabe r better directions.	(in they nat the
Response ACCEPT IN F REVISED Change editir 10/1GBASE-F Cl 45 SC Grow, Robert Comment Type P802.3bv has	PRINCIPLE. ng instructio PRX" for aP 45.2.1.6 T s comments	Response Status W n by removing "in alphanume hyType and aPhyTypeList as P 38 RMG Consulting Comment Status R	eric order" and s per comment <i>L</i> 3 g	insert "after t for aMAUType. # [<u>i-368</u> + <i>REV</i> + -	Grow, R Commen This this adm style parti orde Sed Suggest A re prob	bbert <i>at Type</i> lettering of case two) itted after manual of cularly go r not mea <i>edRemed</i> vision of the ably need	E of inserts il . When dis consultation describes b od. They a ning anyth y he Style M I to apply g	Comment Ilustrates ho scussing thi on with the p preaks pretty also did not ing (my prei lanual is und greater press	RMG Consu <i>Status</i> A w use of letters is problem with manager of the y quickly. They jump at makin ferred solution) derway and this sure for an ans	Ilting is is broken giver our publication e IEEE editorial o y agreed a long s g letters simply a). s is on the list for	n sufficient inserts editors in Atlanta, t department that wh string of a's is not a tag, with alphabe	(in they nat the
Response ACCEPT IN F REVISED Change editir 10/1GBASE-F CI 45 SC Grow, Robert Comment Type P802.3bv has SuggestedRemed This is possib	PRINCIPLE. ng instructio PRX" for aP 45.2.1.6 T s comments dy ble when am	Response Status W n by removing "in alphanume hyType and aPhyTypeList as P 38 RMG Consulting Comment Status R to put in the specifications for endment order is known, but	eric order" and s per comment <i>L</i> 3 g or changes to t t better is a sug	insert "after t for aMAUType. # [<u>i-368</u> + <i>REV</i> + - the reserved rows. ggestion the publicati	Grow, R Commen This this adm style parti orde Sed Suggest A re prob	bbert <i>at Type</i> lettering of case two) itted after manual of cularly go r not mea <i>edRemed</i> vision of the ably need	E of inserts il . When dis consultation describes b od. They a ning anyth y he Style M I to apply g	Comment Ilustrates ho scussing thi on with the i preaks pretty also did not ing (my prei lanual is und greater press ter b in this	RMG Consu <i>Status</i> A w use of letters is problem with manager of the y quickly. They jump at makin ferred solution) derway and this sure for an ans case, not aa.	Ilting is is broken giver our publication e IEEE editorial o y agreed a long s g letters simply a). s is on the list for	n sufficient inserts editors in Atlanta, t department that wh string of a's is not a tag, with alphabe r better directions.	(in they nat th
Response ACCEPT IN F REVISED Change editir 10/1GBASE-F CI 45 SC Grow, Robert Comment Type P802.3bv has SuggestedRemed This is possib editors liked f in blocks), the	PRINCIPLE. ng instructio PRX" for aP 45.2.1.6 T s comments dy ble when am for an early p en subseque	Response Status W n by removing "in alphanume hyType and aPhyTypeList as P 38 RMG Consulting Comment Status R to put in the specifications for	eric order" and s per comment <i>L</i> 3 g or changes to t t better is a sug code points as indicate a char	insert "after t for aMAUType. # <u>i-368</u> + <i>REV</i> + whe reserved rows. ggestion the publicati s reserved (rather that	Grow, R Commen This this adm style parti orde Sed Suggest A re prob enco an Responsi ie ACC	bbert <i>at Type</i> lettering of case two) itted after manual of cularly go r not mea <i>edRemed</i> vision of the ably need ourage use	E of inserts il . When dis consultation describes b od. They a ning anyth y he Style M I to apply g	Comment Ilustrates ho scussing thi on with the p preaks pretty also did not ing (my pres lanual is und greater press ter b in this Response	RMG Consu <i>Status</i> A w use of letters is problem with manager of the y quickly. They jump at makin ferred solution) derway and this sure for an ans case, not aa.	Ilting is is broken giver our publication e IEEE editorial o y agreed a long s g letters simply a). s is on the list for	n sufficient inserts editors in Atlanta, t department that wh string of a's is not a tag, with alphabe r better directions.	(in they nat the
Response ACCEPT IN F REVISED Change editir 10/1GBASE-F Cl 45 SC Grow, Robert Comment Type P802.3bv has SuggestedRemed This is possib editors liked f in blocks), the	PRINCIPLE. ng instructio PRX" for aP 45.2.1.6 T s comments dy ble when am for an early p en subseque vs. Encourage	Response Status W n by removing "in alphanume hyType and aPhyTypeList as P 38 RMG Consulting Comment Status R to put in the specifications for endment order is known, but project to individually list the ent amendments can simply is	eric order" and s per comment <i>L</i> 3 g or changes to t t better is a sug code points as indicate a char	insert "after t for aMAUType. # <u>i-368</u> + <i>REV</i> + whe reserved rows. ggestion the publicati s reserved (rather that	Grow, R Commen This this adm style parti orde Sed Suggest A re prote enco an Respons te ACC	bbert <i>at Type</i> lettering of case two) itted after manual of cularly go r not mea <i>edRemed</i> vision of the ably need ourage use EPT IN P ISED	E of inserts il . When dis consultation describes b od. They a ning anyth y he Style Ma to apply g e of the lett PRINCIPLE	Comment llustrates ho scussing thi on with the i preaks pretty also did not ing (my prei lanual is und greater press ter b in this Response	RMG Consu <i>status</i> A w use of letters is problem with manager of the y quickly. They jump at makin ferred solution) derway and this sure for an ans case, not aa. <i>Status</i> C	Ilting s is broken giver our publication e IEEE editorial c y agreed a long s ig letters simply a). s is on the list for swers to our inse	n sufficient inserts editors in Atlanta, t department that wh string of a's is not a tag, with alphabe r better directions.	(in they nat th etic

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

Proposed Responses

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1		L 3	# i-371	C/ 101	SC 101.4.4.5	5.2 P 209	L 4	# i-373
Grow, Robert	RMG Consu	lting		Remein, D	uane	Futurewei Tech	nologie	
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		+REV+ Cl45 renum	Comment	Туре Е	Comment Status D		EZ
	nas inserted 45.2.1.131 and 45			"data d	arry Resource E	Element" ? Same issue in In 5.		
	ning registers 1.2101 and 1.21 s to be 45.2.1.130a through 45			Suggested	Remedy			
	of inserts as there is a 45.2.1.10			"data d	arrying Resourc	e Element"		
problem with aa bei	ng ambiguous as used on P.3	9, L.17.		Proposed	Response	Response Status W		
SuggestedRemedy				PROP	, OSED ACCEPT			
amendment numbe case, these would b 45.2.1.130a through specify renumbering instruction would be	n that I did not present to our p r rather than trying to enforce a se 45.2.1.130bn1 through 45.2. n 45.2.1.130ak. Option 3 Pe g (but I seem to be in the mino s: Insert 45.2.1.131 through 45. he inserts at the same place b	an alphabetical o 1.130bn31. Pre- rsonally, I'd prefe- rity of vocal parti- 2.1.167 and sub	rdered meaning. In that tty ugly. Option 2 er not using letters but cipants). Doing that the -clauses after					
Response	Response Status W							
ACCEPT IN PRINC REVISED Editors to consult w	•	aff editors for pre	ferred resolution.					
C/ 00 SC 0	P 0	L 0	# i-372					
Thompson, Geoffrey	GraCaSI S./	۹.						
Comment Type G	Comment Status R		+REV+					
	another flavor to the point-to-m r position that the P2MP claus							
SuggestedRemedy								
standard would the	to be a standalone standard (8 n provide the foundation during ed to it. The end result would b /IP in another.	the next revision	n cycle to have all of the					
Response	Response Status C							
5.2.b. Scope of the specifications and r up to 10 Gb/s on po either amplified or p Optical Networks (E	nedy is beyond the scope of th project: The project is to amen nanagement parameters for sy int-to-multipoint Radio Freque assive coaxial media. It also e PON) protocols, such as Multi ration and Management (OAM)	d IEEE Std 802. mmetric and/or a ncy (RF) distribut xtends the opera Point Control Pro	3 to add physical layer asymmetric operation of tion plants comprising tion of Ethernet Passive					
	uired ER/editorial required GF /dispatched A/accepted R/rej ent ID				U/unsatisfied		t ID i-373	Page 82 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:30

SORT ORDER: Comment ID

IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments

ΕZ

							-					
Cl 101 SC Carlson, Steven	C 101.5	P 228 Marvell Semio	L 32 conductor	# i-374	C/ FM Healey, Ac	SC lam	FM	В	P 13 roadcom Ltd.	L 14	# i-375	
	nat this section of	comment Status D deals with measuring the v is in 802.3-2015 as Cla		<i>TimeSync</i> veen the MDI and MII		ete the		<i>Comment Sta</i> mendments based		ted order of p		Sed i-6
SuggestedReme	edy				Suggested Per co	mment	•					
 Add mano protocols) ar applications residency tin 	datory support for nd the TSSI inter and to perform ne within the Po	mechanisms in Clause or Clause 90 (Ethernet s orface. Clause 90 is desi all the necessary measu CS/PMA outations in 101.5.1/2/3,	support for time s gn to directly su urements and co	oport 802.1AS mpensate for	REVIS See A	, OSED ED IP com	ACCEPT ment i-6	Response Sta IN PRINCIPLE. (Response copied	l below)	nced the orde	er of this ammendn	nent)
3) Add supp	ort for registers:	: 1.1800 1.1808 and 3	8.1800 3.1808	, which provides the					-			
then be repo	orted between de	d Tx and Rx path delay r evices via the PHY link.			C/ 1 Healey, Ac		1.4.49a	В	P 28 roadcom Ltd.	L 18	# i-376	
		oss all 802.3 PHYs was one mechanism tothis F		lause 90, please use it	Comment		Е	Comment Sta	tus D			EZ
Proposed Respo	•	esponse Status W	-)				tical "(EF	PoC)" seems to be	out of place h	nere.		
PROPOSED					Suggested	IRemed	dy					
It was the cle	ear will of the TI	e copied below) F to perform TOD synch accurate synchronizatio			with "A specifi	collec	tion of IE for up to	intended here. Pe EE 802.3 EPON 0 10 Gb/s downstr mpler alternative v	Protocol over (eam and up to	Coax (EPoC) 1.6 Gb/s ups	tream point-to-	lin
XMII delays each end of parameters t symmetry fo to give wildly	through the PH an EPoC CLT-t to consider and r TX and RX de / asymmetric no	meters specified in Clau Y: min_TX, max_TX, m o-CNU connection. Wit no guidelines for bound lay times (up to manufa pminal delay times throu	in_RX, max_RX h a total of 8 of t s on min and ma cturer), these pa	which would apply to hese CLT & CNU ax values or desirable rameters are forseen	REVIS Chang Physic	OSED ED le to be al Laye	ACCEPT		EE 802.3 EPC		/er Coax (EPoC) to 1.6 Gb/s upstrea	am
accompanyi	ng inaccurate ti	me transport results.			C/ 100	SC	100		P 79	L1	# i-377]
		neters specified in Claus difference parameter alo			Healey, Ac			В	oadcom Ltd.			
	give much more	e accurate time delay ca			Comment The ec neces	diting in	E Instruction	Comment Sta Insert new claus		ponding anne	+ <i>RE\</i> xes as follows" isn	V+ Sed 't
					Suggested	IRemed	dy struction.					
					Response REJE0 Staff e		nsist that	Response Stat		ed.		
				T/technical E/editorial G/ ISE STATUS: O/open W/w	general			Ŭ	·	ea. t ID i -377	Page 83 3/7/2016	

Page 83 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:30 PM

Draft 3.0 IEEE 802.3bn EPON Protocol over Coax (EPoC) TF Initial Sponsor ballot comments Proposed Responses
Cl 100 SC 100.2.1.1 P 84 L 10 # i-378 Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd. Broadcom Ltd. Broadcom Ltd.	C/ 102 SC 102.4.1.9.7 P 280 L 1 # [i-381 Lin, Ru Shanghai Luster Terab Shanghai Luster Terab Shanghai Luster Terab
Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ The "PMD Delay constraints" subclause should not be nested in the PMD service interface definition. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status D The subclause number is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy
Suggest moving 100.2.1.1 to the same level in the heirarchy as the PMD service interface subclause (e.g., to 100.2.2 or 100.2.5).	It should be corrected as "102.4.1.9.5". Below the title State Diagram, add one sentence as "The CNU PHY Discovery Response transmission control shall conform to the state diagram shown in Figure 102-24."
Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Use 100.2.2 Use 100.2.2 C/ 101 SC 101.4.3.8.3 P 184 L 12 # [i-379] Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd. Comment Type E Comment Status D	Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED Changed to technical by Editors due to added requirement. Per comment. Add PICS: PD5 CNU Discover Response 102.4.1.9.5 Per Figure 102-24 M: CNU Yes [] No [] NA []
An equation is usually expressed as "variable = value". Equation 101-15 looks odds as it is simply a value.	C/ 100 SC 100.3.4.2 P 92 L 21 # [j-382 Remein, Duane Futurewei Technologie Futurewei Technologie
SuggestedRemedy The expression seems trivial enough to be included in-line with the previous paragraph and Equation 101-15 seems unnecessary. Altneratively, modify the equation to include the variable that is being assigned a value. Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED The expression was in-line as suggested in the comment in D2.2 but was moved to an equation as the superscripts were running into the line above.	Comment Type GR Comment Status D In note c for Table 100-3 there is this statement: "Phase noise up to +- of the subcarrier's center frequencies is excluded from inband specification". This reads a bit odd. SuggestedRemedy After +- symbol add "50 kHz" Proposed Response Response Status PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Formalize the equation as "QAM order = " $C/$ 102 SC 102.2.3.1.4 P 257 L 4 # i-380	C/ 00 SC 0 P L # i-383 Stanton, Penny
Lin, Ru Shanghai Luster Terab Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment ODFMA" is incorrect.	Comment Type E Comment Status A +REV-1 This draft meets all editorial requirements. SuggestedRemedy +REV-1
SuggestedRemedy It should be corrected as "OFDMA" Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REVISED

Comment ID i-383

Page 84 of 84 3/7/2016 8:05:30 PM