

Agenda and General Information

IEEE P802.3bn EPoC PHY Task Force

Santa Clara, California, USA

21 June 2016

Mark Laubach, Chair mark.laubach@broadcom.com

Agenda

- Welcome and Introductions
- Agenda review
- Approve last meeting minutes
- Goals for this meeting
- Reflector and Web, Task Force Private Area
- Ground Rules
- IEEE
 - Structure, Bylaws and Rules
 - Call for Patents
 - Affiliation
- Attendance and Tool
- Liaisons and Communications
- Closing Business
- Future Meetings

Rules for this ½-day Meeting

- This ½-day meeting is a formal P802.3bn Task Force meeting.
- Voting
 - Anyone physically in the room may vote on motions
 - Anyone joining via teleconference may not vote on motions
- No Working Group Membership / Voting Credit
 - A ½-day meeting does not qualify for IEEE 802.3 Working Group voting membership credit (must be 2-day or longer meeting)

Agenda –Tuesday 21st

(draft, subject to TF approval)

The draft agenda for this meeting will be available at:
<http://www.ieee802.org/3/bn/public/jun16/index.html>

Approve Agenda

Motion #1

- Move to approve the Agenda.
 - Moved:
 - Seconded:

Procedural > 50%

REVIEW / APPROVE MINUTES

From: 25 May 2016, Whistler, BC, Canada

[unconfirmed_minutes_3bn_01_0516.pdf](#)

Approve Minutes

Motion #2

Move to approve minutes from 25 May 2016, Whistler, BC, Canada meeting:

[unconfirmed_minutes_3bn_01_0516.pdf](#)

- Moved:
- Seconded:
- Procedural > 50 %

Task Force Decorum



- Photography or recording by permission only (2010 SASB Op Manual 5.3.3.4)
- Cell phone ringers off
- Press (i.e., anyone reporting publicly on this meeting) are to announce their presence (5.3.3.5)
- Wear your badges at all times in meeting areas
 - Help the hotel security staff improve the general security of the meeting rooms
 - **PCs HAVE BEEN STOLEN** at previous meetings
 - **DO NOT** assume that meeting areas are secure
- Please observe proper decorum in meetings

Goals for this meeting

- Complete Draft 3.2 Comment Resolution
 - Resolve the 1 comment received
- Review REJECT comment responses against Drafts 3.0 and 3.1 for meeting RevCom guidelines
 - Take any comments from the floor to amend rejection text, as needed/found. 802.3 Chair has asked us to review
- Consider asking 802.3 WG to advance draft to RevCom

Reflector and Web

- Method 1: To subscribe to the EPoC reflector, send an email to:

ListServ@ieee.org

with the following in the body of the message (do not include “<>”):

subscribe stds-802-3-epoc <yourfirstname> <yourlastname>
end

- Method 2: Visit Task Force web page URL:
 - <http://www.ieee802.org/3/bn>
 - **Follow link: “Subscribing and unsubscribing to the e-mail reflector”**
 - **Follow link: “ListServ web interface”**
- Send EPoC email reflector messages To:
 - stds-802-3-epoc@listserv.ieee.org


Task Force Private Area

- URL: <http://www.ieee802.org/3/bn/private>
 - Username: 802.3bn
 - Password: xxxx
- Use the 802.3 Working Group maintenance user name as password for all 802.3 subgroups

Ground Rules

- Based upon IEEE 802.3 Rules
 - Foundation based upon Robert's Rules of Order
 - Anyone in the room may speak
 - Anyone in the room may vote
- **RESPECT**... give it, get it
- NO product pitches
- NO corporate pitches
- NO prices!!!
 - This includes costs, ASPs, etc. no matter what the currency
- NO restrictive notices

IEEE Structure



Participants, Patents, and Duty to Inform

All participants in this meeting have certain obligations under the IEEE-SA Patent Policy.

- **Participants [Note: Quoted text excerpted from IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws subclause 6.2]:**
 - **“Shall inform the IEEE (or cause the IEEE to be informed)” of the identity of each “holder of any potential Essential Patent Claims of which they are personally aware” if the claims are owned or controlled by the participant or the entity the participant is from, employed by, or otherwise represents**
 - **“Should inform the IEEE (or cause the IEEE to be informed)” of the identity of “any other holders of potential Essential Patent Claims” (that is, third parties that are not affiliated with the participant, with the participant’s employer, or with anyone else that the participant is from or otherwise represents)**
- **The above does not apply if the patent claim is already the subject of an Accepted Letter of Assurance that applies to the proposed standard(s) under consideration by this group**
- **Early identification of holders of potential Essential Patent Claims is strongly encouraged**
- **No duty to perform a patent search**

Patent Related Links

All participants should be familiar with their obligations under the IEEE-SA Policies & Procedures for standards development.

Patent Policy is stated in these sources:

IEEE-SA Standards Boards Bylaws

<http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6>

IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual

<http://standards.ieee.org/develop/policies/opman/sect6.html#6.3>

Material about the patent policy is available at

<http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/materials.html>

If you have questions, contact the IEEE-SA Standards Board Patent Committee Administrator at patcom@ieee.org or visit <http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/index.html>

This slide set is available at

<https://development.standards.ieee.org/myproject/Public/mytools/mob/slideset.pdf>

Call for Potentially Essential Patents

- If anyone in this meeting is personally aware of the holder of any patent claims that are potentially essential to implementation of the proposed standard(s) under consideration by this group and that are not already the subject of an Accepted Letter of Assurance:
 - Either speak up now or
 - Provide the chair of this group with the identity of the holder(s) of any and all such claims as soon as possible or
 - Cause an LOA to be submitted

Other Guidelines for IEEE WG Meetings


- **All IEEE-SA standards meetings shall be conducted in compliance with all applicable laws, including antitrust and competition laws.**
 - **Don't discuss the interpretation, validity, or essentiality of patents/patent claims.**
 - **Don't discuss specific license rates, terms, or conditions.**
 - Relative costs, including licensing costs of essential patent claims, of different technical approaches may be discussed in standards development meetings.
 - Technical considerations remain primary focus
 - **Don't discuss or engage in the fixing of product prices, allocation of customers, or division of sales markets.**
 - **Don't discuss the status or substance of ongoing or threatened litigation.**
 - **Don't be silent if inappropriate topics are discussed ... do formally object.**

See *IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual*, clause 5.3.10 and “Promoting Competition and Innovation: What You Need to Know about the IEEE Standards Association's Antitrust and Competition Policy” for more details.

Affiliation


- IEEE-SA Standards Board By-Laws, Section 5.2.1.5
 - (<http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect5.html>)
- Disclosure of Affiliation
 - “Every member and participant in a working group, Sponsor ballot, or other standards development activity shall disclose his or her affiliation.”
- What is “Affiliation”
 - “An individual is deemed “affiliated” with any individual or entity that has been, or will be, financially or materially supporting that individual's participation in a particular IEEE standards activity. This includes, but is not limited to, his or her employer and any individual or entity that has or will have, either directly or indirectly, requested, paid for, or otherwise sponsored his or her participation.”
- Affiliation and participation
 - “Failure to disclose every such affiliation may result in complete or partial loss of rights to participate in IEEE-SA activities. An individual is not excused from compliance with this policy by reason of any claim of a conflicting obligation (whether contractual or otherwise) that prohibits disclosure of affiliation.”

Overview of IEEE 802.3 Standards Process (4/5)- Sponsor Ballot Phase



Notes: At "Check Point", either the activity is ended, or there may be various options that would allow reconsideration of the approval.
See 802.3 Operating Rules 7.1.5 and listed references for complete description

Overview of IEEE 802.3 Standards Process (5/5) – Final Approvals / Standard Release



Notes: At "Check Point", either the activity is ended, or there may be various options that would allow resubmission for approval.

IEEE P802.3bn EPoC Sponsor ballot results

The initial Sponsor ballot on IEEE P802.3bn draft D3.0 closed on 22 February 2016 at 11:59 PM AOE

Comments: 383

	Initial Draft D3.0			Req %
	#	%	Status	
Abstain	4	4.94	PASS	< 30
Disapprove with comment	6	-		-
Disapprove without comment	0	-		-
Approve	71	92.1	PASS	≥ 75
Ballots returned	81	81	PASS	≥ 75
Voters	100	-		-

IEEE P802.3bn EPoC Sponsor ballot results

The 1st Sponsor recirculation ballot on IEEE P802.3bn draft D3.1 closed on 10 May 2016 at 11:59 PM AOE

NOTE: results includes 3 Disapprove votes that flipped to Approve on 26 May 2016 as a result of comment resolution.

Comments: 31

	1 st recirculation D3.1			Req %
	#	%	Status	
Abstain	5	5.95	PASS	< 30
Disapprove with comment	1	-	-	-
Disapprove without comment	1	-	-	-
Approve	77	98.7	PASS	≥ 75
Ballots returned	84	84	PASS	≥ 75
Voters	100	-	-	-

IEEE P802.3bn EPoC Sponsor ballot results

The 2nd Sponsor recirculation ballot on IEEE P802.3bn draft D3.2 closed on 16 June 2016 at 11:59PM Eastern


	2 nd recirculation D3.2			Req %
	#	%	Status	
Abstain	6	6.82	PASS	< 30
Disapprove with comment	1	-	-	-
Disapprove without comment	0	-	-	-
Approve	81	98.78	PASS	≥ 75
Ballots returned	88	88	PASS	≥ 75
Voters	100	-	-	-

Comments: 1

Liaisons and Communications

- None

Task Force Timeline (3/11/2015)



END OF OPENING AGENDA AND GENERAL INFORMATION

MOTIONS AND CLOSING BUSINESS

Motion #3

Move to:

- Approve comment resolution material from the June 2016 meeting as recorded in [Draft 3.2 Final Comments](#).

Mover: Duane Remein

Second:

Motion: Technical $\geq 75\%$

Everyone in room (Official):

Yes:

No:

Abstain:

Motion #4

Move to:

- Authorize the Chair to request that the IEEE 802.3 Working Group re-affirm the CSD (grandfathered 5C) responses in <http://www.ieee802.org/3/bn/5Criteria.pdf> and request approval to submit the IEEE P802.3bn draft to RevCom.

Mover: Duane Remein

Second:

Motion: Technical $\geq 75\%$


Everyone in room (Official):

Yes:

No:

Abstain:

Task Force Timeline (3/11/2015)



CLOSING BUSINESS

No Future P802.3bn Meetings

- Pending the approval of Motions #3 and #4 at this meeting.
- If both approved: the P802.3bn Task Force has no compelling reason for any future TF meetings.
 - This is subject to the results of the IEEE SA RevCom review. If we have to “fix” something, we’ll need to meet.
- Our thanks to everyone who has participated and helped to advance the P802.3bn draft towards being a published standard!!!!

Future IEEE 802.3 Meetings

- See: <http://www.ieee802.org/3/interims/index.html>
- Week of July 25th – 2016 – 802 Plenary
 - Manchester Grand Hyatt, San Diego, CA, USA
- Week of September 12th – 2016 – 802.3 Interim
 - Hilton Fort Worth, Fort Worth, TX, USA, hosted by Ixia
- Week of November 7th – 2016 – 802 Plenary
 - Grand Hyatt, San Antonio, Texas, USA

- Anyone interested in hosting a interim meeting contact the Chair or the IEEE 802.3 Executive Secretary [Steve Carlson](#).

P802.3bn Future Meeting Poll

July 2016 – 25th to 28th San Diego, CA, USA:

I will attend ___0___

I probably will attend ___0___

I probably will not attend ___0___

I will not attend ___0___

September 2016 – 16th to 20th Fort Worth, Texas, USA:

I will attend ___0___

I probably will attend ___0___

I probably will not attend ___0___

I will not attend ___0___

Thank You!