## (Approved 5/15/2013) IEEE P802.3bn EPoC PHY Task Force March 19-21, 2013, Orlando, FL Chair: Mark Laubach Recording Secretary: Duane Remein

## **Summary:**

The P802.3bn Task Force met between March 19 and 21 during the IEEE 802 Plenary meeting. The Task Force reviewed 41 presentations. Twenty-eight (28) technical motions were passed, including one (1) baseline proposal. A summary of decisions can be viewed at <u>decisions</u> and <u>baseline proposals</u>. The updated Time Line can be viewed at: <u>timeline</u>.

# Opening

Tuesday 3/19/2013 8:05 AM The Chair called the meeting to order. Introductions and affiliations were made.

## Motion #1

Move to approve the minutes from the Jan. meeting.

Moved:Duane RemeinSecond:Victor HouProcedural (> 50%)Motion Passed by Voice without opposition

The Chair inquired if there was anyone from the press present, no one made themselves known. The Chair covered meeting goals, reflector, web page (including username and password), ground rules, and IEEE structure.

8:17 The Chair reviewed the IEEE Patent Policy slides 1-4 and made a call for patents, no response was received.

The Chair covered affiliation and attendance requirements, including the IEEE attendance tool.

The Chair announced receipt of a <u>liaison letter from ITU-T Study Group 9 (Q9/1) regarding HiNoC v1</u> standardization work. Two documents were attached to the liaison (J.HiNoC-phy "PHY layer specification of high performance network over coax" and J.HiNoC-mac "MAC layer specification of high performance network over coax"); the Chair suggested 802.3bn members review these documents. The Chair proposed a response to be voted on Thursday morning.

A second liaison was received by 802.3 from ITU-T SG15 "New versions of the Access Network Transport (ANT) Standardization Plan and Work Plan", a paragraph to be included in the response to this liaison has been submitted to the 802.3 Chair regarding 802.3bn work.

The Chair noted that all formal communications between the Task Force and other outside groups must go through the 802.3 Chair.

The Chair reviewed work activities regarding Chinese language ad hoc activity and informal communication with Mr. Yao.

The Chair reviewed our timeline, noting that we are behind at this point (we should have a baseline draft at this point).

The Chair announced that Joe Solomon has been appointed as a Co-Editor.

The Chair discussed possible additional interim meetings, noting that Task Force consensus will be required for these additional meetings. A proposal has been made for a meeting in Beijing the 1<sup>st</sup> week of June, all details are TBD. This potential meeting was discussed briefly by the Task Force.

The Chair discussed time management and noted that he has, at personal expense, obtained a time tracking device to help with time management. The Task Force was duly impressed.

### Motion #2

Move to approve the amended agenda for this meeting.

	Duane Rem Kevin Noll	ein
Procedura	al (> 50%)	Motion Passed by Voice without opposition

9:10 AM – began presentations

### **Opening report: TDD Sub-Task Force**

Steve Shellhammer Qualcomm Summary of TDD Sub-task Force activities since the last meeting and review of several motions intended to be made during this meeting.

### **Opening report: Channel Model ad hoc**

Duane Remein Huawei Summary of Channel Model Ad Hoc activities since the Phoenix meeting and review of a motion on baseline channel model intended to be made during this meeting.

### **Opening report: Evaluation Criteria and Requirements ad hoc**

Steve Shellhammer, Guangsheng Wu Qualcomm, Huawei

Summary of Evaluation and Requirements Ad Hoc activities and straw polls since the Phoenix meeting and motions intended to be made this meeting. The Ad Hoc Chair recommends continuing regular calls in China but only hold US calls as needed.

### **Opening report: MMP ad hoc**

Saif Rahman on behalf of Jorge Salinger Comcast Summary of MMP Ad Hoc activities and straw polls since the Phoenix meeting and reviewed a motion intended to be made during this meeting on MMP.

### **Opening report: PHY Link ad hoc**

Ed Boyd Broadcom Summary of PHY Link Ad Hoc activities and straw polls since the Phoenix meeting and potential motions to be made during this meeting.

### **Opening report: RF Spectrum ad hoc**

Steve Shellhammer Qualcomm Summary of RF spectrum Ad Hoc activities and straw polls since the Phoenix meeting and potential motions to be made during this meeting.

10:10 AM Steve Shellhammer assumed TF Chair while Mark Laubach presented.

## Work Plan and Baseline Checklist Going Forward

### Mark Laubach Broadcom

Review of open items that need to be addressed by the Task Force before the draft can be considered complete. Areas include UD & DS RF link, PHY Link, other architecture/system issues, management, Consensus challenge items (from Geneva), Baseline needs (clause outlines), Electrical specifications, and functional assumptions. This also discussed time required to develop the draft standard (baseline proposals). Suggested that Chair & Vice Chair track check-lists, work plans, etc. to assist the Task Force in progressing the standard.

10:40 AM Mark resumed Task Force Chair.

## **Editor Presentations**

### Care and Feeding of Editors - What your editors expect from a baseline proposal

Duane Remein Huawei General presentation on the responsibilities of the Editors and what is expected from a baseline proposal from the Editors perspective.

### **EPoC PCS structure**

Marek Hajduczenia ZTE Overview of PCS structure as illustrated by 802.3av with some additional examples.

### **PMD structure**

Saif Rahman Comcast Overview of PMA/PMD sub-layers with an example of sub-layer structure and possible functions.

### **MPCP clause outline**

Duane Remain Huawei Possible outline for the MPCP Clause (largely copy of Clause 64/77 outline)

11:30 AM - recessed for Lunch

12:40 PM - reconvened by Chair

### **Main Task Force Presentations**

### **Management registers and MIB objects**

Howard Frazier Broadcom Overview of management within 802.3 and, more specifically, use and characteristics of MDIO.

### **EPoC Downstream Pilot Proposal**

Christian Pietsch, Avi Kliger Qualcomm, Broadcom Proposal for DS pilot structure for both FDD & TDD

### **EPoC Upstream Pilot Proposal**

Avi Kliger, Christian Pietsch Broadcom, Qualcomm Proposal for US pilot structure based on various sized resource blocks each with some number of subcarriers and symbols. Each resource block would have data, pilots, and possibly burst markers.

### **Upstream Burst Markers**

#### Ed Boyd, Avi Kliger Broadcom

This presentation proposed a mechanism to differentiate between upstream bursts, in both time and frequency, between different profiles within the same or different symbols. The basic idea is a very short sequence of low order modulation communicating a few bits to discriminate and identify between a small set of profiles.

### **Upstream MMP**

Ed Boyd, Juan Montojo Broadcom, Qualcomm This presentation outlines a mechanism that could be used to support multiple modulation profiles in the upstream channel.

### **Markers for EPoC Burst Mode**

Nicola Varanese, Hendrik Schoeneich, Juan Montojo Qualcomm This presentation included a detailed proposal on upstream and downstream burst markers.

### Data Rate Adaptation (part1) and (part2)

Marek Hajduczenia, Andrea Garavaglia ZTE, Qualcomm Rehash of data rate adaptation material presented in Phoenix with pertinent updates and clarifications.

# Straw poll #1

IDLE Deletion in EPOC RS in Rx direction to use 10G-EPON IDLE Deletion mechanism per IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 76. This applies to both CLT and CNU.

Yes:38No:0Undecided:1

# Straw poll #2

IDLE Insertion in EPOC PCS in Rx direction reuses 10G-EPONdesign as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 76. The value for FIFO\_II\_SIZE is TBD at this time, pending selection of FEC code and coax data rate. This applies to both CLT and CNU as per page 10 of <u>garavaglia\_3bn\_03\_0313.pdf</u>.

Yes: 28 No: 8 Undecided: 3

# Straw poll #3

IDLE Insertion in EPoC RS in the TX direction re-uses 10G-EPON design as defined in IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 77 with new FEC parameters for EPoC. The functionality is extended to include de-rating by means of a new function FEC\_Derate\_Overhead() that replaces the FEC\_Overhead() function.

The exact modifications to the overhead formula and related parameters are TBD as described in pages 21 and 22 of garavaglia\_3bn\_03\_0313.pdf.
 Yes: 19
 No: 3
 Undecided: 16

## Power-Saving Mechanisms in IEEE Std 1904.1 (SIEPON)

Marek Hajduczenia, Motoyuki Takizawa ZTE, Fujitsu

This presentation provided an overview of sleep modes and mechanisms defined in Std 1904.1 SIEPON which could be adopted by EPoC with little or more modifications.

## **Power-Saving Mechanism for EPoC**

Marek Hajduczenia ZTE This presentation provided an overview of potential sleep mechanisms that EPoC could pursue.

6:18 PM - recessed for the day.

# TDD sub-Task Force Track

Wednesday March 20, 2013 (as parallel track to PHY sub-Task Force) 8:10 AM Called TDD sub-Task Force meeting to order.

# **TDD Open Items and Checklist**

Steve Shellhammer, Andrea Garavaglia Qualcomm A program management presentation covering items that will need to be addressed to conclude TDD Sub-task Force work. Other areas discussed; changes to GATEs or REPORTs, how to control CNU PHY state (TX, RX & !RX), how to synchronize TDD Cycle between MAC and PHY at CLT, Physical plant dimensions, and CLT TX control (Data Detector behavior insufficient). A primary goal for the next meeting is to resolve the MAC/PHY synchronization issues.

## **Summary Presentation for TDD MPCP Enhancements**

Andrea Garavaglia Qualcomm Revisited slide set from Phoenix meeting (<u>gravaglia 02a 0113.pdf</u>).

# TDD Straw poll #1

Do you support <u>garavaglia 3bn 02 0313.pdf</u> with changes as discussed in the TDD sub-Task Force meeting as a baseline for EPoC MPCP Clause 103?

Yes: 7 No: 0 Undecided: 1

10:35 AM the TDD Sub-task Force adjourned.

# **PHY sub-Task Force Track**

Recorded by Victor Hou Wednesday March 20, 2013 (as parallel track to TDD sub-Task Force) 8:07 AM PHY sub-Task Force called to order

## 64b/66b Line Code

Marek Hajduczenia-ZTE

9:56 AM, Mark Laubach passes gavel to Ed Boyd as Chair of PHY Link ad hoc.

# **PHY Link Ad Hoc**

PHY Link ChannelAvi Kliger, Nicola VaraneseBroadcom, Qualcomm

PHY Link Channel and FEC Avi Kliger Broadcom

Avi Kliger Broadcom

# PHY Config Switchover

Ed Boyd Broadcom

## PHY Straw Poll #1

EPoC must support hitless switchover for certain PHY configuration (e.g., Bit loading, Nulling)?

Yes: 32 No: 0 Abstain: 1

# PHY Straw Poll #2

The PLC should include a Configuration ID for hitless switchover?

Yes: 31 No: 0 Abstain: 3

## PHY Straw Poll #3

The downstream PHY Link should include an error correcting code or error checking code?

Error Correcting	25
Error checking Code	4
Nothing	0
Abstain	7

## PHY Straw Poll #4

The PLC is transparent to the MAC. No additional Jitter and latency No additional buffering

> Yes 36 No 0 Abstain 2

# PHY Straw Poll #5

The Downstream PHY Link Channel shall be composed of a start of frame delimiter (preamble) and PLC frame.

It will not include MAC Data. Note: Guard time or dead-time may also be included.

Yes 23 No 0 Abstain 10

10:51 AM the PHY Sub-task Force adjourned

11:03 AM Chair reconvened Main Task Force track after TDD and PHY Sub-task Force concluded their parallel tracks.

# **Channel Model Ad Hoc**

### **Channel Model Ad Hoc face-to-face opening slides**

Duane Remein Huawei

Channel Model Ad Hoc F2F opening slides including preview of proposed motion on Baseline Channel Model

### **Baseline Channel Model**

Rob Howald, (Saifur Rahman of Comcast presented for Rob), Duane Remein Motorola, Huawei Proposed Baseline Channel Model

Action Item: Duane to post excel spreadsheets in ad hoc space or other space designated by Mark Laubach

12:05 Recessed for Lunch

1:20 PM Reconvened by Chair

#### **Cable network situation in Japan and Requirements for EPoC**

Keiji Tanaka KDDI Input from Japanese operators on EPoC. Topics covered include; spectrum use and allocation, transmission technologies (FDD required), Cable Network supporting 256 CNUs preferred.

Short discussion on Baseline Channel Model showing revised presentation.

## **General Presentations**

#### **Broadcast LLID and Discovery**

Ed Boyd, Marek Hajduczenia Broadcom, ZTE This presentation suggested modifications to DISCOVERY to better accommodate EPoC.

### Straw poll #4

The Discovery Information Field Definition should be modified to include EPoC.

EPoC Capable:	36
EPoC Discovery:	41
Neither:	0
Abstain:	3

### **EPoC and CNU Generations**

Edwin Mallette Brighthouse Networks

This presentation provided BHNs view on introducing multiple generations of equipment with differing features in a network and the complexities involved. Load balancing and qualification are concerns. However this must be balanced with respect to cost (OPEX versus CAPEX).

### FEC Code Evaluation Methodology for EPoC

Richard Prodan, BZ Shen Broadcom Stefan Brueck, Tom Richardson Qualcomm Bernard Arambepola Intel This presentation outlined a proposed method of assessing various FEC proposals.

### Straw Poll #5

DS continuous mode FDD shall use a LDPC codeword size of 16200 bits and a code rate of 8/9. Yes: 37 No: 0 Undecided: 3

### Wideband Channel Estimation in Upstream EPoC

Syed Rahman Huawei Proposal on US probes for channel estimation.

### **PHY related EPoC Motions**

Christian Pietsch, Nicola Varanese Qualcomm Avi Kliger, Ed Boyd Qualcomm, Broadcom, Broadcom Various straw polls on PHY Channel

# StrawPolls #6

A raised cosine windowing function shall be applied (for details see slide 4 and slide 6 in "montreuil 01a 0113.pdf". The amount of overlap T<sub>p</sub> shall be configurable. In terms of samples,

possible values for T<sub>p</sub> are 0, 32, 64, 128, 192, and 256

 Yes:
 33

 No:
 0

 Undecided:
 9

# Straw Poll #7

The assigned RF bandwidth of an upstream channel shall be 192 MHz. The definition of exclusion bands will allow for smaller channels when there is not 192 MHz of spectrum available.

 Yes:
 33

 No:
 3

 Undecided:
 4

# Straw Poll #8

The repetition of the preamble shall be aligned with the repetition of the regular pilot pattern, i.e., the preamble repeats every Y symbols, where Y is also the number of symbols in a downstream frame. Notes:

Center frequency of PLC may or may not be the same as center frequency of the corresponding OFDM channel.

Yes:	35
No:	0
Undecided:	3

# Straw Poll #9

Usage of Markers (Upstream) The beginning of a burst is indicated by a start marker

The end of a burst is indicated by an end marker

Yes:	38
No:	0
Undecided:	5

# Straw Poll #10

Usage of Markers (TDD Downstream)

The marker identifies when a profiles starts in TDD downstream. The marker is places at the beginning of each profile burst.

Yes: 29 No: 1 Undecided: 11

# Straw Poll #11

Usage of Start Markers

The start marker indicates the profile that is used

Yes: 31 No: 2 Undecided: 9

# Straw Poll #12

**Definition of Markers** 

A Marker

\* is a known sequence of modulated symbols (in frequency domain)

\* shares the same resources as regular data symbols

- no dedicated control channel

- markers and pilots do not overlap

Yes:	36	
No:	0	
Undecided:	5	

# Straw Poll #13

Downstream Pilot Structure

Presentation "<u>pietsch\_3bn\_01\_0313.pdf</u>" shall be adopted as a starting point towards a baseline proposal for the downstream pilot structure.

Yes:	28
No:	1
Undecided:	11

# Straw Poll #14

Upstream Pilot Structure Presentation "<u>kliger\_3bn\_01\_0313.pdf</u>" shall be adopted as a starting point towards a baseline proposal for the upstream pilot structure.

Yes:	30
No:	1
Abstain:	12

### **Responses to Feedback on Channel Bonding Proposal**

Steve Shellhammer, Patrick StuparQualcommHesham ElBakoury, Duane RemeinHuaweiThis presentation provided answers to feedback on a channel bonding presentation given in the Phoenixmeeting

### **Closing Reports and Motions**

### Motion #3

Adopt the Channel model parameter lists and topology illustrations shown in "remein 3bn 07 0313.pdf" as baseline channel conditions.

Moved: Duane Remein Second: Saifur Rahman For: 35 Against: 0 Abstain: 4 Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

### Motion #4

The EPoC standard shall support multiple modulation profiles for the bursting DS and US PHY and a single modulation profile for the continuous DS PHY.

Moved:Saifur RahmanSecond:Edwin MalletteFor:32Against:2Abstain:7Technical (>= 75%)Motion Passed

## Motion #5

EPoC PHY shall use the 64b/66b line coding (as defined in IEEE Standard 802.302012, Clause 49), with shortened Sync header (1 bit as in 10G-EPON).

Moved: Marek Hajduczenia Second: Ed Boyd For: 31 Against: 4 Abstain: 5 Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

Amend Agenda to go until 6:00 PM to allow additional motions to be considered.

Moved:Duane RemeinSecond:John DickinsonProcedural (> 50%)Motion Passed by Voice without opposition

## Motion #7

The PLC shall be transparent to the MAC. It shall not add jitter or latency to the data.

Moved: Ed Boyd Second: Christian Pietsch For: 37 Against: 0 Abstain: 3 Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

Wed. 6:00 PM recessed for the day

Thursday 3/21/2013 8:05 AM Task Force reconvened by Chair

### **TDD Sub-task Force Closing Report**

Briefly reviewed TDD Sub-task Force meeting activities.

## Straw Poll #15

Do you support document garavaglia 3bn 02a 0313 as a baseline for EPoC MPCP

Yes:	17
No:	0
Undecided:	16

Approve garavaglia <u>3bn</u> 02a 0313 as a baseline for EPoC TDD MPCP, Clause 103.

Moved: Andrea Garavaglia Second: Duane Remein For: 19 Against: 0 Abstain: 20 Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

### **PHY Sub-task Force Closing Report**

### Motion #9

A raised cosine windowing function is applied (for details see slide 4 and slide 6 in

"montreuil\_01a\_0113.pdf"). The amount of overlap Tp shall be configurable. In terms of samples,

possible values for  $T_p$  are 0, 32, 64, 128, 192, and 256.

Moved: Ed Boyd Second: Saifur Rahman For: 29 Against: 0 Abstain: 10 Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

# Motion #10

The supported RF bandwidth of an upstream channel shall be 192 MHz. The definition of exclusion bands shall allow for smaller channels when there is not 192 MHz of spectrum available.

Moved: Ed Boyd Second: Saifur Rahman For: 32 Against: 1 Abstain: 6 Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

The beginning of an upstream burst is indicated by a start marker The end of an upstream burst is indicated by an end marker

Moved:Ed BoydSecond:Saifur RahmanFor:39Against:0Abstain:3Technical (>= 75%)Motion Passed

## Motion #12

The start marker identifies when a profiles starts in TDD downstream. The start marker is placed at the beginning of each profile burst.

Moved: Ed Boyd Second: Saifur Rahman For: 31 Against: 0 Abstain: 10 Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

# Motion #13

The start marker indicates the profile that is used.

Moved: Ed Boyd Second: Saifur Rahman For: 33 Against: 1 Abstain: 7 Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

A Marker

- contains one of a predefined set of pre-defined set of sequences of modulated symbols (in frequency domain)
- shares the same resources as regular data symbols
  - No dedicated control channel
  - Markers and pilots do not overlap

```
Moved: Ed Boyd
Second: Saifur Rahman
For: 40
Against: 0
Abstain: 3
Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed
```

## Motion #15

Presentation "<u>pietsch\_3bn\_01\_0313.pdf</u>" shall be adopted as a starting point towards a baseline proposal for the downstream pilot structure. Presentation "<u>kliger\_3bn\_01\_0313.pdf</u>" shall be adopted as a starting point towards a baseline proposal for the upstream pilot structure.

Moved: Ed Boyd Second: Saifur Rahman For: 32 Against: 0 Abstain: 14 Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

The granularity for setting the Center Frequency (fc) of the 192 MHz OFDM Channel, in both US and DS, shall be 1 MHz.

Moved:Steve ShellhammerSecond:Duane RemeinFor:38Against:0Abstain:6Technical (>= 75%)Motion Passed

## Motion #17

The EPoC PHY shall be capable of communicating an upper bound of the RF spectrum of at least 5 GHz.

Moved:	Steve Shellhammer	
Second:	Duane Remein	
For:	30	
Against:	0	
Abstain:	11	
Technical (	(>= 75%)	Motion Passed

## Motion #18

Downstream and upstream exclusion sub-bands within an OFDM channel can be configured in both the CLT and CNU by MDIO.

Moved:Steve ShellhammerSecond:Duane RemeinFor:39Against:0Abstain:3Technical (>= 75%)Motion Passed

Downstream and upstream exclusion sub-band configuration in an OFDM channel can be communicated from the CLT to the CNU over the PHY Link Channel.

```
Moved:Steve ShellhammerSecond:Duane RemeinFor:41Against:0Abstain:3Technical (>= 75%)Motion Passed
```

## Motion #20

The PHY will have a number of MDIO registers to report on subcarrier or subcarrier group, signal parameters including quality.

Moved:	Steve Shellhammer	
Second:	Duane Remein	
For:	37	
Against:	0	
Abstain:	3	
Technical	(>= 75%)	Motion Passed

# Motion #21

The minimum contiguous downstream spectrum with no internal exclusion sub-bands should be 24 MHz. This does not preclude nulled subcarriers which do not carry information.

Moved:	Steve Shellh	ammer
Second:	Duane Remein	
_		
For:	24	
Against:	4	
Abstain:	14	
Technical	(>= 75%)	Motion Passed

Move to Table motion 21

Moved: Tom Kolze Second: Tom Staniec For: 6 Against: 16 Abstain: Procedural (> 50%) Motion Failed

### **PHY-Link Ad Hoc closing**

### Motion #23

The downstream PHY Link shall include an error correcting code.

Moved: Juan Montojo Second: Kevin Noll For: 37 Against: 1 Abstain: 4 Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

## Motion #24

The PLC is transparent to the MAC. No additional Jitter and latency No additional buffering

> Moved: Sanjay Kasturia Second: Avi Kliger For: 39 Against: 0 Abstain: 2 Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

The Downstream PHY Link Channel shall be composed of a preamble (with start of frame delimiter) and PLC frame. It will not include MAC Data. Note: Guard time or dead-time may also be included.

```
Moved: Juan Montojo
Second: Ed Boyd
For: 40
Against: 0
Abstain: 1
Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed
```

# Motion #26

The downstream PLC will be 400KHz wide without continuous pilots. 8 subcarriers at 50KHz spacing or 16 subcarriers at 25KHz spacing.

Moved:	Nicola Varar	lese
Second:	Avi Kliger	
_	24	
For:	31	
Against:	1	
Abstain:	10	
Technical (>= 75%)		Motion Passed

# **Evaluation and Requirements Ad Hoc closing**

Future US calls will be held as needed. Regular calls will be schedule for Chinese participants.

# Motion #27

The standard will target a downstream data rate of 1.6 Gb/s at the MAC/PLS service interface, in a 192-MHz OFDM channel, in baseline channel conditions (<u>remein 3bn 07 0313.pdf</u>).

Moved: John Ulm Second: Duane Remein For: 39 Against: 1 Abstain: 4 Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

EPoC Delay as calculated using the methodology described in <u>garavaglia\_02\_0912.pdf</u> (or a future update), should be used as an evaluation criteria in evaluating proposals.

```
Moved:Andrea GaravagliaSecond:Duane RemeinFor:31Against:1Abstain:10Technical (>= 75%)Motion Passed
```

### **MMP Ad Hoc closing**

Calls will be as needed, focus will be on "how" MMP is specified.

### **Misc Business**

### Time Line

The Task Force agreed to move the baseline by 2 plenary cycles with additional confirmation to be accomplished by the Task Force officers.

### **Meeting Proposal**

The Task Force discussed holding an Interim meeting in China 1<sup>st</sup> week of June.

## Motion #29

The Task Force will hold an additional interim meeting in China, the 1st week of June 2013. The host, venue, fee, and other details are T.B.D.

Moved: Saifur Rahman Second: Steve Shellhammer For: 6 Against: 16 Abstain: 15 Procedural (> 50%) Motion Failed

### **Liaison Letter**

The Task Force discussed the liaison response to ITU-T SG9

### Motion #30

Adopt Chair's draft for liaison response to ITU-T SG9.

Moved: Duane Remein Second: Marek Hajduczenia

Procedural (> 50%) Motion Passed by Voice without opposition

#### **DRA** motions

### Motion #31

In the receive direction, EPoC CNU and CLT shall support Idle Deletion process used by 10G-EPON.

Moved: Marek Hajduczenia Second: Andrea Garavaglia For: 31 Against: 0 Abstain: 7 Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

### Motion #32

In the receive direction, EPoC CNU and CLT shall support Idle Insertion process used by 10G-EPON, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3-2012, 76.3.3.7, with the following changes:

- The value of FIFO\_II\_SIZE is TBD at this time and shall be set once FEC and PMD details are defined.

Moved: Marek Hajduczenia Second: Alan Brown For: 28 Against: 0 Abstain: 12 Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

In the transmit direction, EPoC CNU and CLT shall support Idle Insertion process used by 10G-EPON, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3-2012,77.2.2 (Figures 77-13 for CLT and 77-14 for CNU), with the following changes:

- Function FEC\_Overhead shall be replaced with the function accounting for FEC and PHY overhead (tentative name: PMD\_Overhead) once FEC and PMD details are defined.

Moved: Second:	Marek Hajduczenia Andrea Garavaglia	
For: Against: Abstain:	28 0 10	
Technical	(>= 75%)	Motion Passed

# Motion #34

In the transmit direction, EPoC CNU and CLT shall support Idle Deletion process used by 10G-EPON, as defined in IEEE Std 802.3-2012, 76.3.2.1 with the following changes.

- Figure 76–9 (CLT operation) shall be modified to account for EPoC-specific FEC and PMD overhead, once these details are settled.
- Figure 76–10 (CNU operation) shall be modified to account for EPoC-specific FEC and PMD overhead, once these details are settled.

Moved: Marek Hajduczenia Second: Andrea Garavaglia For: 25 Against: 0 Abstain: 10

Technical (>= 75%) Motion Passed

## **Future Meetings**

The Chair reviewed Future Meetings in Victoria, Geneva and York and polled those present regarding attendance.

The Chair asked if there was any new business, there was no response.

12:08 PM the meeting was adjourned.

# **Meeting Attendance**

The following represents the meeting attendance as initialed in the attendance binder that was passed around the meeting each day. 55 individuals indicated their attendance for this meeting.

<u>Lastname</u>	<u>Firstname</u>	Affiliation	<u>Tue</u>	<u>Wed</u>	<u>Thu</u>
Agata	Akira	KDDI	Х	Х	Х
Allard	Michel	Cogeco Cable	Х	Х	Х
Anglade	Ony	Cox Communications	Х	Х	Х
Arunarthi	Venkat	Cortina Systems	Х	Х	Х
Awai	Hiromitsu	Sumitomo Electric	Х		
Barr	David	Entropic Communications	Х	Х	Х
Bist	Hemlata	Cadence Design Systems		Х	Х
Boyd	Ed	Broadcom	Х	Х	Х
Brophy	Tim	Cisco		Х	Х
Brown	Alan	Aurora Networks	Х	Х	Х
Cooper	William	Self	Х	Х	Х
Dickinson	John	Bright House Networks	Х	Х	Х
ElBakoury	Hesham	Huawei	Х	Х	Х
Garavaglia	Andrea	Qualcomm	Х	Х	Х
Guangseng	Wu	Huawei	Х	Х	Х
Hajduczenia	Marek	ZTE Corp	Х	Х	Х
Hanna	Charaf	ST Microelectronics	Х	Х	Х
Hou	Victor	Broadcom	Х	Х	Х
Jones	Doug	Comcast		Х	
Kasturia	Sanjay	Qualcomm	Х	Х	Х
Keasler	Bill	Ikanos Communications	Х	Х	Х
Kelsen	Michael	Time Warner Cable	Х	Х	Х
Kliger	Avi	Broadcom	Х	Х	
Knittle	Curtis	CableLabs	Х	Х	Х
Ко	Dylan	Qualcomm	Х	Х	Х
Kolze	Tom	Broadcom	Х	Х	Х
LaBelle	Robert	IEEE	Х		
Laubach	Mark	Broadcom	Х	Х	Х
Lessard	Anore	Commscope	Х	Х	Х
Lin	Rujian	Shanghai Luster Teraband Photonics	Х	Х	Х
Mallette	Edwin	Bright House Networks	Х	Х	Х
Montojo	Juan	Qualcomm	Х	Х	Х
Montreuil	Leo	Broadcom	Х	Х	Х
Noll	Kevin	Time Warner Cable	Х	Х	Х
Peters	Michael	Sumitomo	Х	Х	Х
Pietsch	Christian	Qualcomm	Х	Х	Х
Powell	Bill	Alcatel-Lucent	Х	Х	Х
Prodan	Rich	Broadcom	Х	Х	Х
Qu	Tong	Cisco	Х		

<u>Lastname</u>	<b>Firstname</b>	Affiliation	Tue	Wed	<u>Thu</u>
Rahman	Saifur	Comcast	X	Х	Х
Rahman	Syed	Huawei	Х	Х	Х
Rajkotia	Purva	Qualcomm	Х	Х	
Remein	Duane	Huawei	Х	Х	Х
Saitoh	Agi	KDDI	Х	Х	Х
Schmitt	Matt	CableLabs	Х	Х	
Shen	BZ	Broadcom	Х	Х	Х
Sherman	Matthew	BAE Systems	Х	Х	Х
Staniec	Thomas	Cohere Networks	Х	Х	Х
Suzuki	Ken-Ich	NTT	Х	Х	Х
Suzuki	Shinji	Sumitomo Electric	Х	Х	Х
Tanaka	Keiji	KDDI	Х	Х	Х
Ulm	John	Motorola Mobility	Х	Х	Х
Varanese	Nicola	Qualcomm	Х	Х	Х
Wang	Allen	Huawei	Х	Х	
Weitzner	Andrew	Ikanos		Х	Х