

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

CI 00 SC P L # 290  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

- Auto-Neg register reference
- Split off BASE-T1 registers into its own register space
- Rename some registers to be more specific to BASE-T1

SuggestedRemedy

See Lo\_McClellan\_3bp\_01a\_0315.pdf page 18 for editing instructions

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 00 SC 0 P 1 L 1 # 261  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

links do not work (they seem to have the command "Go to a page in another document", with the document name "Frame\Fram\Frame\P8023bp\_C97", rather than going to a section within the draft document itself).

SuggestedRemedy

fix links

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 00 SC 0 P 10 L 1 # 262  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

The page numbers in the table of contents are mostly wrong. For example, the page number listed for section 97.6 is 74, but section 97.6 is actually on page 89.

The sections listed in the table of contents do not match the actual sections in the draft (for example, the table of contents has a whole section for 97.3.8, but there is no section 97.3.8 in the main text).

SuggestedRemedy

Regenerate the table of contents.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 45 SC 45 P 25 L 1 # 286  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Clause 45 needs text

SuggestedRemedy

See Lo\_McClellan\_3bp\_01a\_0315.pdf pages 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 for text and editing instructions.

This also takes care of tu\_3bp\_01a\_0215.pdf page 8 that was inadvertently left out of D1.30

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 26 L 7 # 259  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type E Comment Status X

"1000BASE-T1 PHY" should be just "1000BASE-T1" (as the who list is a list of PHYs).

SuggestedRemedy

change "1000BASE-T1 PHY" to "1000BASE-T1"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 78 SC Table 78-2 P 27 L 21 # 204  
 Jim, Graba Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The entries for Ts, Tq, and Tr maximums are TBD.

SuggestedRemedy

- Replace Ts max TBD with 3.6.
- Replace Tq max TBD with 84.96.
- Replace Tr max with 1.44.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

**Cl 79**    **SC 97.3.2.2.8**                      **P 44**                      **L 11**                      # **274**  
 Regev, Alon                                      Ixia  
**Comment Type**    **E**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
 "Interframe" is hyphenated in all other locations in the draft.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Change "Interframe" to "Inter-frame"  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 97**    **SC 97.1**                                      **P 29**                      **L 10**                      # **212**  
 Tu, Mike    Broadcom  
**Comment Type**    **ER**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
 There is no "PLD" sublayer. It should be "PMD" sublayer.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Change line 10 from  
 "... the PCS, PMA, and PLD sublayers ..."  
 to  
 "... the PCS, PMA, and PMD sublayers ..."  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 97**    **SC 97.1.1**                                      **P 29**                      **L 27**                      # **298**  
 McClellan, Brett                                      Marvell  
**Comment Type**    **T**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
 Sections 97.1.1 to 97.1.6, excluding 97.1.3.4 have no text.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 I will submit a text proposal.  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 97**    **SC 97.1.1**                                      **P 29**                      **L 27**                      # **260**  
 Regev, Alon                                      Ixia  
**Comment Type**    **E**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
 per David Law, it is preferred not to include Objectives in the clauses as this complicates the maintenance (if in the long term a clause needs to be modified in a way that is not consistent with the original objectives).  
 Key information from the objectives should be included in the subclauses that describe the operation of 1000BASE-T1, without specifying them as "objectives".  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Remove section 97.1.1.  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 97**    **SC 97.1.2**                                      **P 29**                      **L 33**                      # **256**  
 Regev, Alon                                      Ixia  
**Comment Type**    **T**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
 Brett & I are providing baseline text for the missing front matter. I'm not sure if a comment (or just a presentation) is needed, but I'm filing the comment to make sure.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Provided in separate document.  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

## Received Comments

## IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

CI 97 SC 97.1.3.4 P 30 L 19 # 273  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The word "normal" has multiple meanings in the draft including:

1. PHY control is in SEND\_DATA state (tx\_mode = SEND\_N).
2. PHY is not in LPI mode.
3. link\_status=OK
4. not in a test mode

There is no definition of "normal" in the draft.

While sometimes the meaning is clear, in some instances the meaning of "normal" is left up to interpretation.

*Suggested Remedy*

Use "non-test mode" to refer to non-test modes. Use the term "Normal power mode" to refer to the non-LPI mode. Use "tx\_mode is set to SEND\_N" to refer to the PHY control state. Use "link\_status is OK" when referring to link state. Use a more specific description for other uses. Here are the detailed changes:

Page 30, line 19:

Replace "normal" with "normal power mode"

Page 32, line 40:

Replace "in normal mode." with "where frames can be exchanged with the link partner (i.e not in auto-negotiation, synchronization, or training modes)."

Page 39, line 16:

Replace "When the transmit channel is in normal mode," with "In normal transmit mode, when PMA\_TXMODE.indication message has the value SEND\_N,"

Page 39, line 31:

Replace "the PMA\_TXMODE.indication message has the value SEND\_N, and" with "when PMA\_TXMODE.indication message has the value SEND\_N,"

Page 39, line 41:

Replace "After reaching the normal mode of operation," with "Once PMA\_TXMODE.indication has the value of SEND\_N,"

Page 46, line 45:

Replace "When the transmit channel is operating in normal mode," with "When PMA\_TXMODE.indication has the value of SEND\_N,"

Page 47, line 32:

Replace "When the PHY is not in the normal state," with "When PMA\_TXMODE.indication does not have the value of SEND\_N,"

Page 47, line 44:

Replace "normal operational" with "normal power"

Page 48, line 46:

Replace "normal" with "normal power mode"

Page 48, line 50: addressed via separate comment as this section needs additional editing.

Page 52, line 34:

Replace "normal" with "normal power"

Page 52, line 37:

Replace "normal" with "normal power"

Page 54, line 47:

Replace "normal" with "normal power"

Page 67, line 8:

Replace "normal data transmission" with "frame transmission to the link partner by"

Page 67, line 37:

Replace "Failure of the underlying receive channel typically causes the PMA's clients to suspend normal operation." with "Failure of the underlying receive channel causes the PMA to set link\_status to FAIL, which in turn causes the PMA's clients to stop exchanging frames and restart auto-negotiation (if enabled) or synchronization (if auto-negotiation is not enabled)."

Page 73, line 16:

Replace "Normal operation" with "Normal (non-test mode) operation"

Page 73, line 17:

Replace "normal mode" with "linked mode"

Page 74, line 2:

Replace "normal mode" with "Normal (non-test) mode"

Page 74, line 52:

Replace "normal" with "non-test"

Page 75, line 7:

Replace "normal" with "normal (non-test)"

Page 78, line 48:

Replace "are in the normal mode of operation" with "have established link (link\_status is set to OK)"

Page 79, line 1:

Replace "are in the normal mode of operation" with "have established link (link\_status is set to OK)"

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

Page 79, line 11:  
Replace "normal" with "normal power mode"

Page 79, line 12:  
Replace "normal" with "normal power"

Page 92, line 42:  
Replace "normal operation." with "normal operation(when PMA\_TXMODE.indication message has the value SEND\_N and not in low power mode)."

Page 103, line 30:  
Replace "normal operation" with "normal operation (when PMA\_TXMODE.indication message has the value SEND\_N and not in low power mode)"

Page 104, line 14:  
Replace "normal operation" with "normal operation (when PMA\_TXMODE.indication message has the value SEND\_N and not in low power mode)"

Page 104, line 33:  
Replace "normal operation" with "normal operation (when PMA\_TXMODE.indication message has the value SEND\_N and not in low power mode)"

Page 104, line 40:  
Replace "normal operation" with "normal operation (when PMA\_TXMODE.indication message has the value SEND\_N and not in low power mode)"

Proposed Response      Response Status

Cl 97      SC 97.1.3.4      P 30      L 21      # 263

Regev, Alon      Ixia  
Comment Type    TR      Comment Status    X

In section 97.1.3.4, it states that "Support for EEE capability is advertised during Auto-Negotiation."

The EEE capability is now exchanged in during training in Oct9<7> (containing the bit EEEen). In section 97.4.2.5.9, it states that the optional EEE capability shall only be enabled if both PHYs set the capability bit EEEen=1.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Support for EEE capability is advertised during Auto-Negotiation." to "Support for EEE capability is advertised during Training."

Proposed Response      Response Status

Cl 97      SC 97.1.3.4      P 30      L 21      # 220  
Tu, Mike      Broadcom

Comment Type    TR      Comment Status    X  
The 1000BASE-T1 EEE capability is now advertised via training mode InfoField, instead of autoneg messages.

SuggestedRemedy  
Change line 21 from

"Support for EEE capability is advertised during Auto-Negotiation."

to

"Support for EEE capability is advertised via InfoField during the startup sequence. See 97.4.2.5.5 for details."

Proposed Response      Response Status

Cl 97      SC 97.11      P 109      L 18      # 281

Lo, William      Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type    T      Comment Status    X  
Need to specify a latency bit time

SuggestedRemedy  
Change TBD to 7200.

I don't know if group needs further discussion. I'm throwing a number of two RS frames out here to see if anyone objects - either too short or too long.

Proposed Response      Response Status

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

Cl 97 SC 97.2 P 30 L 50 # 221  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The Technology Dependent Interface is specified in either Clause 97.6 or Clause 98.

SuggestedRemedy

Change line 50 from

"...the Technology Dependent Interface is specified in Clause 98."

to

"...the Technology Dependent Interface is specified in Clause 98 or 97.6."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.2 P 33 L 1 # 300  
 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type T Comment Status X

figure 97-1: the dotted line primitives don't match the text primitives.

SuggestedRemedy

replace in figure 97-1

PMA\_LPIMODE.indication replace with PMA\_PCS\_RX\_LPI\_STATUS.request

PMA\_LPIREQ.request replace with PMA\_PCS\_TX\_LPI\_STATUS.request

remove from figure 97-1:

PMA\_REMLPIREQ.request

PMA\_UPDATE.indication

PMA\_REMUPDATE.request

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.2 P 33 L 2 # 230  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

In Figure 97-1 the Technology Dependent Interface should include the forced mode case. Refer to Figure 97-14 that has been discussed and agreed upon by the task force.

SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 97-1, on top of the figure, change

"Technology Dependent Interface (Clause 98)"

to

"Technology Dependent Interface (Clause 98 or 97.6)"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.2 P 33 L 2 # 251  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type E Comment Status X

As autonegotiation is optional, in Figure 97-1, PMA\_LINK.request and PMA\_LINK.indication should have dashed lines instead of solid lines in the signals.

SuggestedRemedy

In figure 97-1, use dashed lines for the signals PMA\_LINK.request and PMA\_LINK.indication.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

Cl 97 SC 97.2.1 P 31 L 4 # 222  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 In forced mode the Technology Dependent Interface is specified in Clause 97.6.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 4 from  
 "across the Technology Dependent Interface as specified in Clause 98:"  
 to  
 "across the Technology Dependent Interface as specified in Clause 98 or 97.6:"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.2.1.1 P 31 L 12 # 224  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 In forced mode the PMA\_LINK.request primitive is specified by 97.6 PHY Link Synchronization.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 12 and 13 from  
 "This primitive allows the Auto-Negotiation algorithm to enable and disable operation of the PMA as specified in 98.4.2"  
 to  
 "This primitive allows the Auto-Negotiation or the PHY Link Synchronization algorithm to enable and disable operation of the PMA as specified in 98.4.2 or 97.6."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.2.1.1 P 31 L 13 # 223  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Clause 98.4.2 does not exist.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Define 98.4.2. See McClellan\_3bp\_nn\_0315.pdf.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.2.1.1 P 31 L 21 # 265  
 Regev, Alon Ixia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Description of DISABLE & ENABLE states of link\_control are not clear and not consistent with the way they are defined in other clauses.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace  
 "DISABLE PHY processes are disabled. This allows the Auto-Negotiation algorithm to determine how to configure the link"  
 with  
 "DISABLE Used by the Auto-Negotiation algorithm to disable PHY processing while Auto-Negotiation is sending or receiving DME pages. This allows the Auto-Negotiation algorithm to determine how to configure the link"  
 Replace  
 "EENABLE Used by Auto-Negotiation to turn control over to the PHY for data processing functions."  
 With  
 "ENABLE Used by Auto-Negotiation to enable the PHY for data processing functions."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

CI 97 SC 97.2.1.1.1 P 31 L 21 # 225  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Need to include forced mode case.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 21 from  
 "... PHY processes are disabled. This allows the Auto-Negotiation"  
 to  
 "... PHY processes are disabled. This allows the Auto-Negotiation or PHY Link Synchronization"  
 Proposed Response Response Status

CI 97 SC 97.2.1.1.1 P 31 L 23 # 226  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Need to include forced mode case  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 23 from  
 "...Used by Auto-Negotiation to turn control..."  
 to  
 "...Used by Auto-Negotiation or PHY Link Synchronization to turn control..."  
 Proposed Response Response Status

CI 97 SC 97.2.1.1.2 P 31 L 28 # 227  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Need to include forced mode case.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 28 from  
 "Auto-Negotiation generates this primitive to indicate a change in link\_control as described in Clause 98."  
 to  
 "Auto-Negotiation or PHY Link Synchronization generates this primitive to indicate a change in link\_control as described in Clause 98 or 97.6."  
 Proposed Response Response Status

CI 97 SC 97.2.1.1.3 P 31 L 32 # 266  
 Regev, Alon Ixia  
 Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**  
 The description of the effect of receipt of the PMA\_LINK.request(link\_control) primitive is incomplete. While this primitive affects the PMA Link Monitor function, it also affects the PMA PHY Control function.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace  
 "This primitive affects operation of the PMA Link Monitor function as defined in 97.4.2.6."  
 With  
 "This primitive affects operation of the PMA Link Monitor function as defined in 97.4.2.6 and the PMA PHY Control function as defined in 97.4.2.5."  
 Proposed Response Response Status

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

CI 97 SC 97.2.1.2 P 31 L 37 # 228  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 PMA\_LINK.indication is specified in 98.4.1, instead of 28.2.6.1. Also need to include forced mode case.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 37 from

"...28.2.6.1. This primitive informs the PCS, PMA PHY Control function, and the Auto-Negotiation algorithm..."

to

"...98.4.1. This primitive informs the PCS, PMA PHY Control function, and the Auto-Negotiation or PHY Link Synchronization algorithm..."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.2.1.2 P 31 L 37 # 299  
 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 incorrect reference to 28.2.6.1.

SuggestedRemedy  
 change 28.2.6.1 to 98.4.1

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.2.1.2.2 P 31 L 54 # 238  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 "When Generated" for PMA\_LINK.indication (link\_status) states "The PMA generates this primitive to indicate a change in link\_status in compliance with the state diagram given in Figure 97.5". This should be Figure 97-21.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change "Figure 97.5" to "Figure 97-21"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.2.1.2.2 P 31 L 54 # 229  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 The state diagram is shown in Figure 97-21, instead of Figure 97-5.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 54 from

"...given in Figure 97.5."

to

"...given in Figure 97.21."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.2.1.2.3 P 32 L 3 # 240  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The effect of receipt of PMA\_LINK.indication (link\_status) is specified as "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 97.4.1.", but there is no text in 97.4.1 that specifies the effect of receipt of link\_status.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace  
 "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 97.4.1."

With  
 "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 98.4.1"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

Cl 97 SC 97.2.2 P 33 L 1 # 241  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The PMA service interface signals in Table 97-1 do not match the text in 97.2.2. The text is correct, and the figure is wrong.

Figure 97-1 also references the two connections to the balanced pair cabling as "MDI-" and "MDI+" whereas in the PMA subclause they are labeled "BI\_DA-" and "BI\_DA+". The MDI subclause does not refer to these by name.

SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 97-1, make the following changes:

Delete the arrows with the labels PMA\_RESET.indication, PMA\_LPIMODE.indication, PMA\_LPIREQ.request, PMA\_REMLPIREQ.request, PMA\_UPDATE.indication, and PMA\_REMUPDATE.request.

Add a line from PCS to PMA, with an arrowhead on the PMA side with the line labeled "PMA\_PCSSTATUS.request(pcs\_status)". This line should be where PMA\_RESET.indication is in the existing drawing.

Add a dashed line from PCS to PMA, with an arrowhead on the PMA side with the line labeled "PMA\_PCS\_RX\_LPI\_STATUS.request". This dashed line should be where PMA\_LPIMODE.indication is in the current drawing.

Add a dashed line from PCS to PMA, with an arrowhead on the PMA side with the line labeled "PMA\_PCS\_TX\_LPI\_STATUS.request". This dashed line should be where PMA\_LPIREQ.request is in the current drawing.

Change the text "MDI-" to "BI\_DA-".

Change the text "MDI+" to "BI\_DA+".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.2.2 P 37 L 5 # 301  
 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type T Comment Status X

primitive PMA\_RESET.indication in figure 97-1 is not defined

SuggestedRemedy

insert primitive definition for PMA\_RESET.indication before 97.2.2.9

97.2.2.9 PMA\_RESET.indication

This primitive is used to pass the PMA Reset function to the PCS (pcs\_reset=ON) when reset is enabled.

The PMA\_RESET.indication primitive can take on one of two values:

TRUE Reset is enabled.

FALSE Reset is not enabled.

97.2.2.9.1 When generated

The PMA Reset function is executed as described in 97.4.2.1.

97.2.2.9.2 Effect of receipt

The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 97.4.2.1.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.2.2.1.1 P 32 L 41 # 213  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

SEND\_I is not defined in 1000BASE-T1.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "SEND\_I" and the corresponding descriptions in line 41 and 42.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.2.2.1.1 P 32 L 42 # 294  
 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type E Comment Status X

incomplete sentence.

SuggestedRemedy

add "is to take place."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

Cl 97 SC 97.2.2.10 P 37 L 30 # 275  
Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

tx\_lpi\_active is not actually used by the PMA (at least not in the draft). It is not whown in Figure 97-14 and is not referenced anywhere in the PMA.

Theoretically the PMA could use this to power down the transmitter, but there is no mention of this in the PMA text.

SuggestedRemedy

Page 32, line 24:  
Remove the line "PMA\_PCS\_TX\_LPI\_STATUS.request (tx\_lpi\_active)"

Page 33:  
Remove (or do not add) PMA\_PCS\_TX\_LPI\_STATUS.request from Figure 97-1 (yes I'm aware that this is contradicotry to another comment where I requested to add this signal to this figure).

Delete subclause 97.2.2.10 and all its subclauses

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.2.2.10 P 37 L 32 # 250  
Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

PMA\_PCS\_TX\_LPI\_STATUS.request (tx\_lpi\_active) is generated by the PCS transmit function, not the PCS receive function

SuggestedRemedy

Change  
"When the PHY supports the EEE capability this primitive is generated by the PCS receive function to indicate the status of the transmit link at the local PHY."

To:  
"When the PHY supports the EEE capability this primitive is generated by the PCS transmit function to indicate the status of the transmit link at the local PHY."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.2.2.10 P 37 L 35 # 249  
Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The draft states that PMA\_PCS\_TX\_LPI\_STATUS.request (tx\_lpi\_active) is generated by the PCS Transmit state diagram in Figure 97-12, but this is not true. Figure 97-12 uses tx\_lpi\_active as an input.

The generation of tx\_lpi\_active is actually described in 97.3.5 and 97.3.6.2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

On page 37, line 35:  
Delete "The parameter is generated by the PCS Transmit state diagram in Figure 97-12."

On Page 37, line 49,  
Change  
"The PCS generates PMA\_PCS\_TX\_LPI\_STATUS.request messages to indicate a change in the tx\_lpi\_active variable as determined by the transmit state diagram in Figure 97-12."

To  
"The PCS generates PMA\_PCS\_TX\_LPI\_STATUS.request messages to indicate a change in the tx\_lpi\_active variable as described in 97.3.5 and 97.3.6.2.2."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.2.2.2 P 33 L 45 # 242  
Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The PMA\_CONFIG.indication states that "MASTER-SLAVE configuration is determined during Auto-Negotiation", but this is not true when Auto-Negotiation is not used.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "MASTER-SLAVE configuration is determined during Auto-Negotiation (Clause 98). The result of this negotiation is provided to the PMA."

With  
"If Auto-Negotiation is enabled, PMA\_CONFIG MASTER-SLAVE configuration is determined during Auto-Negotiation (Clause 98) and the result of this negotiation is provided to the PMA. If Auto-Negotiation is not enabled, PMA\_CONFIG MASTER-SLAVE configuration is pre-determined to be Master or Slave via management control during initialization or via default hardware set-up"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

CI 97 SC 97.2.2.2 P 33 L 46 # 231  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 In forced mode the MASTER-SLAVE configuration is pre-determined.

SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 45-47 from

"... MASTER-SLAVE configuration is determined during Auto-Negotiation (Clause 98). The result of this negotiation is provided to the PMA."

to

"... MASTER-SLAVE configuration is either preconfigured or determined during Auto-Negotiation (Clause 98), in which case the result of this negotiation is provided to the PMA."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.2.2.4.1 P 34 L 48 # 243  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 In clause 40, there were 4 pairs and 4 symbols were received on each clock cycle. In clause 97, we only have 1 pair, but we still have wording left over from clause 40 that seems to indicate simultaneous receive of symbols. As this is not the case, we need to edit the phrasing

SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace  
 "During reception the PMA\_UNITDATA.indication simultaneously conveys to the PCS via the parameter rx\_symb the values of the symbols detected on the MDI."

With  
 "During reception the PMA\_UNITDATA.indication conveys to the PCS via the parameter rx\_symb the value of the symbols detected on the MDI during each cycle of the recovered clock. Each symbol can have the value of -1, 0, or +1."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.2.2.7.3 P 36 L 31 # 244  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Not all effects of receipt of PMA\_RXSTATUS.indication (loc\_rcvr\_status) are specified

SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace  
 "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 97-20 and in 97.3.2.3 and 97.4.5.2."

With "The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in Figure 97-20 and in 97.3.2.3, 97.4.2.5, and 97.4.5"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.2.2.8 P 36 L 38 # 245  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Section 97.2.2.8 states that "The criterion for setting the parameter rem\_rcvr\_status is left to the implementor. It can be based, for example, on asserting rem\_rcvr\_status is NOT\_OK until loc\_rcvr\_status is OK and then asserting the detected value of rem\_rcvr\_status after proper PCS Receive decoding is achieved."

This is contradictory to other parts of the clause that indicate that "This variable is received in the loc\_rcvr\_status bit in the InfoField from the remote PHY".

SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace  
 "The criterion for setting the parameter rem\_rcvr\_status is left to the implementor. It can be based, for example, on asserting rem\_rcvr\_status is NOT\_OK until loc\_rcvr\_status is OK and then asserting the detected value of rem\_rcvr\_status after proper PCS Receive decoding is achieved."

with  
 "rem\_rcvr\_status is set to the value received in the loc\_rcvr\_status bit in the InfoField from the remote PHY. rem\_rcvr\_status is set to NOT\_OK if the PCS has not decoded a valid InfoField from the remote PHY."

Proposed Response Response Status O

## Received Comments

## IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

CI 97 SC 97.2.2.8.2 P 36 L 53 # 247  
Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The PCS generates PMA\_REMRXSTATUS.request messages to indicate a change in rem\_rcvr\_status based on dedcoding InfoField messages received from the remote PHY during training; not directly on the basis of signals received at the MDI.

## SuggestedRemedy

Replace  
"The PCS generates PMA\_REMRXSTATUS.request messages to indicate a change in rem\_rcvr\_status on the basis of signals received at the MDI."

With  
"The PCS generates PMA\_REMRXSTATUS.request messages to indicate a change in rem\_rcvr\_status based on the PCS decoding the loc\_rcvs\_status bit in InfoField messages received from the remote PHY during training."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.2.2.9 P 37 L 10 # 248  
Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The draft states that PMA\_PCS\_RX\_LPI\_STATUS.request (rx\_lpi\_active) is generated by the PCS Receive state diagram in Figure 97-13, but this is not true. Figure 97-13 uses rx\_lpi\_active as an input.

The generation of rx\_lpi\_active is actually described in 97.3.2.3 and in 97.3.6.2.2.

## SuggestedRemedy

On page 37, line 10:  
Delete "The parameter is generated by the PCS Receive state diagram in Figure 97-13."

On Page 37, line 23,  
Change  
"The PCS generates PMA\_PCS\_RX\_LPI\_STATUS.request messages to indicate a change in the rx\_lpi\_active variable as determined by the receive state diagram in Figure 97-13."

To  
"The PCS generates PMA\_PCS\_RX\_LPI\_STATUS.request messages to indicate a change in the rx\_lpi\_active variable as described in 97.3.2.3 and 97.3.6.2.2."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3 P 38 L 27 # 253  
Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"PMA\_UNITDATA.indication(rx\_symb\_vector)" (used in the PCS subclause) is not consistent with "PMA\_UNITDATA.indication(rx\_symb)" (used elsewhere in Clause 97). As this only contains one symbol, it should not be called "\_vector".

"PMA\_UNITDATA.request(tx\_symb\_vector)" (used in the PCS subclause) is not consistent with "PMA\_UNITDATA.request(tx\_symb)" (used elsewhere in Clause 97). As this only contains one symbol, it should not be called "\_vector".

## SuggestedRemedy

change all instances of "rx\_symb\_vector" to "rx\_symb".

change all instances of "tx\_symb\_vector" to "tx\_symb".

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3.2 P 38 L 40 # 214  
Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

In Figure 97-2, "tx\_symb\_vector" and "rx\_symb\_vector" should be replaced by "tx\_symb" and "rx\_symb" respectively. See Clause 97.2.2.3.1 and 97.2.2.4.1.

## SuggestedRemedy

In Figure 97-2,

Change "PMA\_UNITDATA.request(tx\_symb\_vector)" to "PMA\_UNITDATA.request(tx\_symb)".

Change "PMA\_UNITDATA.indication(rx\_symb\_vector)" to "PMA\_UNITDATA.indication(rx\_symb)".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

CI 97 SC 97.3.2.2 P 39 L 18 # 186  
 Remein, Duane Huawei  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 An OAM9 symbol is not defined or described anywhere that I could find (based on whole reader search for OAM9).  
 Note: This may be contained in 97.7 but that section does not use the term OAM9.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add editors note that a description of OAM9 is needed.  
 If the description in 97.7 applies than replace "OAM symbol" with "OAM9 symbol" as appropriate (note there is a stray OAM symbol pg 52 lin 32).  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3.2.2 P 39 L 33 # 232  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 The 81B bits after 80/81 encoding goes to the RS FEC first, then scrambled by PCS scrambler.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 33 to 35 from  
 "During transmission, the 81B bits are scrambled by the PCS using a PCS scrambler. During data encoding PCS Transmit utilizes a RS frame encoder, then frames are encoded into a sequence of PAM3 symbols and transferred to the PMA."  
 to  
 "During transmission, 45 blocks of the 81B bits shall be aggregated, encoded by a RS frame encoder, and then scrambled by a PCS scrambler. During data encoding PCS Transmit frames shall be encoded into a sequence of PAM3 symbols and transferred to the PMA."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3.2.2.10 P 36 L 30 # 322  
 Thomas Lindner BMW  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Page 36; 97.3.2.2.10 Transmit process line 30  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 tx-coded<80:0> is not passed to the scrambler, at first it is Aggregate to 45 81B blocks, plus OAM9, then passed to the Reed Solomon FEC Encoder and then passed to the scrambler.  
 Change to 0x01 (is already in the comment list)  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3.2.2.10 P 44 L 27 # 270  
 Regev, Alon Ixia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Error control codes are only sent when both TX\_EN & TX\_ER are asserted  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Replace "The Error is sent when TX\_ER is asserted."  
 With "The Error control code is sent when TX\_ER and TX\_EN are both asserted."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3.2.2.10 P 44 L 28 # 271  
 Regev, Alon Ixia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 References to undefined function defintions R\_BLOCK\_TYPE and T\_BLOCK\_TYPE.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove the sentence "See R\_BLOCK\_TYPE and T\_BLOCK\_TYPE function definitions in 97.3.6.2.4 for further information."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

Cl 97 SC 97.3.2.2.11 P 37 L 38 # 323  
 Thomas Lindner BMW  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Page 37 97.3.2.2.11 RS encoder line 38  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to 3654  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.3.2.2.12 P 38 L 17 # 324  
 Thomas Lindner BMW  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Page 38 97.3.2.2.12 PCS scrambler line 1 and line 7  
 Same function-name for Slave and Master GS-Polynome.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change to  $GM(x) = 1 + x^4 + x^{15}$   
 Change to  $GS(x) = 1 + x^{11} + x^1$   
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.3.2.2.12 P 38 L 13-2 # 325  
 Thomas Lindner BMW  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Page 38 97.3.2.2.12 PCS scrambler Figure 97-7—MASTER and SLAVE PCS  
 scramblers line 13..24  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Add missing arrows around the "+" sign and into S0 block  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.3.2.2.12 P 44 L 48 # 207  
 Shen, BZ Broadcom  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 The (450,406) FEC code is not a cyclic code, since it is a shortened code from a length  
 511 RS code.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 48 and 49 from  
 "The FEC code used for 1000BASE-T1 links is a linear cyclic block code—the Reed-  
 Solomon code (450,406) over the Galois Field of  $GF(2^9)$ —a code operating on 9 bit  
 symbols,..."  
 to  
 "The FEC code used for 1000BASE-T1 links is a shortened Reed-Solomon (450,406) code  
 over the Galois Field  $GF(2^9)$ —a code operating on 9 bit symbols,..."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.3.2.2.12 P 45 L 12 # 206  
 Shen, BZ Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 The entire paragraph from line 12 to 14 and Figure 97-6 are redundant, since the parity  
 calculation is already defined in Equation 97-2.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove entire paragraph from line 12 to 14, and remove Figure 97-6.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

Cl 97 SC 97.3.2.2.12 P 45 L 23 # 208  
 Shen, BZ Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

In Figure 97-6, indices of the input to the divider is wrong.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Figure 97-6 input to the divider from

"D449, D448, ..., D46, D45"

to

"D405, D404, ..., D1, D0"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.3.2.2.13 P 46 L 18 # 233  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The scrambler runs continuously on both payload bits and RS parity check bits.

SuggestedRemedy

Change line 18 and 19 from

"...The scrambler is run continuously on all payload bits."

to

"...The scrambler is run continuously on all RS frame output bits."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.3.2.2.13 P 46 L 18 # 211  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The statement needs clarifications. Add a note that the seeds are exchanged via InfoField during startup sequence.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the first sentence from

"The initial seed values for the MASTER and SLAVE are left to the implementor."

to

"The initial seed values for the MASTER and SLAVE scramblers are left to the implementor. The seed values shall be non-zero and shall be transmitted during the InfoField exchange. See Clause 97.4.2.5.5."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.3.2.2.16 P 47 L 40 # 279  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The sentence "When the lpi\_tx\_mode variable takes the value REFRESH the PMA maps the scrambler output into PAM3" is not clear.

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"When the lpi\_tx\_mode variable takes the value REFRESH the PMA maps the scrambler output into PAM3"

To:

"When the lpi\_tx\_mode variable takes the value REFRESH, the PCS passes zero data encoded through PCS data path (through the RS encoder, scrambler, and 3B2T mapper) to the PMA via the PMA\_UNITDATA.request primitive."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

CI 97 SC 97.3.2.2.2 P 40 L 27 # 236  
 Steven, Chen Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 The added "LSB" notation in OAM9 symbol is confusing with previous 81B block.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove the newly added "LSB" within the OAM9 block. Change it to a text with arrow at the bottom of the OAM9 block, similar to the "TXB<80>" notation at line 23.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3.2.2.4 P 42 L 4 # 272  
 Regev, Alon Ixia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 When representing the 81B blocks, figures 97-5 and 97-7 use a bit ordering of H (header), 0, 1, ... 79, whereas the block definition in 97.3.2.2.2 and 97.3.2.2.5 define this as TXB<0:80>.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 In Figure 97-5, Replace H,0..79 with 0..80 in each of the 3 instances in the top row of blocks.  
 In Figure 97-7, Replace "H" with "0", "0" with "1", "1" with "2", "7" with "8", "8:16" with "9:17", and "71:79" with "72:80"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3.2.2.6 P 43 L 28 # 268  
 Regev, Alon Ixia  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Control Codes should not be labeled as "(recommended)" as their use is not optional.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 remove the "(recommended)"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3.2.2.6 P 43 L 30 # 267  
 Regev, Alon Ixia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 The text does not correctly map the GMII to control code mapping for cases where TX\_ER is asserted but TX\_EN is not asserted.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change  
 "When TX\_ER is asserted, the 1000BASE-T1 PCS will convey an Error symbol in the 80B81B block code."

To  
 "When TX\_ER and TX\_EN are both asserted, the 1000BASE-T1 PCS will convey an Error symbol in the 80B81B block code."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3.2.2.6 P 43 L 47 # 269  
 Regev, Alon Ixia  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Use the values for the control codes for "Assert Low Power Idle" currently in the draft (control code value 101) as baseline text.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Accept as baseline the control code value of 101 for Assert Low Power Idle.  
 Remove italics on "101", "Assert Low Power Idle", and "Assert Low Power Idle"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3.2.2.6 P 43 L 47 # 283  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Remove italics font in 101 row  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 See above  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

**Cl 97**    **SC 97.3.2.2.8**                      **P 44**            **L 13**            # **182**  
 Remein, Duane                                      Huawei

**Comment Type**    **E**            **Comment Status**    **X**

This statement is not clear: "They shall not be added while data is being received" received from where; GMII or PMA? My assumption is GMII as this section discusses PCS TX.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Add "from the GMII" so the statement reads:  
 "They shall not be added while data is being received from the GMII"

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 97**    **SC 97.3.2.2.9**                      **P 44**            **L 23**            # **205**  
 Jim, Graba    Broadcom Corporation

**Comment Type**    **TR**            **Comment Status**    **X**

"If EEE is not supported, then LP\_IDLE isn't a valid control character." should be removed. Allowing LP\_IDLE to be a valid control character makes for a simpler PHY implementation without compromising performance.

The ramification is if the PHYs don't advertise EEE and the GMII asserts the low power idle condition the PHY shall transmit normal data across the MDI encoded with LPI\_IDLEs to the link partner PHY. There will be no quiet or refreshes during this condition.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Remove the paragraph, "If EEE is not supported, then LP\_IDLE isn't a valid control character."

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 97**    **SC 97.3.2.3**                              **P 48**            **L 35**            # **280**  
 Regev, Alon    Ixia

**Comment Type**    **T**            **Comment Status**    **X**

The signal indicating transitions to/from LPI rx mode is PMA\_PCS\_RX\_LPI\_STATUS.request(rx\_lpi\_active) which is defined in 97.2.2.9.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Change "97.3.9" to "97.2.2.9"

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 97**    **SC 97.3.2.3**                              **P 48**            **L 35**            # **302**  
 McClellan, Brett                                      Marvell

**Comment Type**    **T**            **Comment Status**    **X**

wrong cross reference

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 change 97.3.9 to 97.3.6

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 97**    **SC 97.3.2.3**                              **P 48**            **L 35**            # **201**  
 Jim, Graba    Broadcom Corporation

**Comment Type**    **ER**            **Comment Status**    **X**

Reference to non-existent subclause. The transition indication is described in the following paragraph so there is no need to reference a subclause.

**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Strike "using signals defined in 97.3.9"

**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

Cl 97 SC 97.3.2.3.1 P 48 L 50 # 254  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The paragraph describing Rx PCS Frame and block synchronization is unclear. It is unclear what "normal" mode is, when it is entered, and when synchronization occurs.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace  
 "When the receive channel is operating in normal mode, the frame and block synchronization function receives data via PAM3 PMA\_UNITDATA.request primitive. It shall form a PAM3 stream from the primitive by concatenating requests in order from rx\_data<0> to rx\_data<2699> (see Figure 97-4). It obtains block\_lock to the RS frames during the PAM2 training pattern using synchronization bits provided in the training sequence. The 81-bit blocks are extracted based on their location in the RS frame."

With  
 "Block and frame lock begins when the PMA PHY control is in the TRAINING state and the PMA has set loc\_rcvr\_status to OK, signaling reliable operation of the receive link for the local PHY and reliable aquisition of the descrambler state. Block lock is obtained by locking to inverted bit pattern thatoccur in the first bit of partial RS FEC frames (180 bits) and signaling the lock by asserting block\_lock. Frame lock uses the location of the InfoField which is found in the first 96 bits of the last (15th) partial RS FEC frame in each block as well as the partial frame count (PFC24) found in each InfoFrame to lock to the RS FEC frames. See 97.4.2.5 for details about this process.

Once block and frame locking has occurred and the link partner has transitioned from transmitting PAM2 to PAM3, the PCS Frame and block synchronization receives data via the PMA PMA\_UNITDATA.request primitive and forms a stream from the primitive by concatenating requests in order from rx\_data<0> to rx\_data<2699> (see Figure 97-4) using the frame & block locks previously obtained. The 81-bit blocks are extracted based on their location in the RS frame."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.3.2.3.1 P 48 L 51 # 277  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

as this is the Rx path, "PMA\_UNITDATA.request" is wrong. It should be "PMA\_UNITDATA.indication"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "PMA\_UNITDATA.request" to "PMA\_UNITDATA.indication"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.3.3 P 49 L 16 # 215  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Test mode 7 is defined in sub-clause 97.5.2, instead of 97.5.4.

SuggestedRemedy

Change end of line 16 from

"This mode is further described as test mode 7 in 97.5.4."

to

"This mode is further described as test mode 7 in 97.5.2."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.3.3 P 49 L 16 # 255  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Test mode 7 is described in subcluse 97.5.2 (not 97.5.4)

SuggestedRemedy

change "97.5.4" to "97.5.2"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

CI 97 SC 97.3.4 P 50 L 6 # 184  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

Figure 97-9 difficult to read due to small size, there is no need for this small font size. IEEE Style Manual requires a font size of 8 pt min (Table 1), 6 pt. is allowed in figures but text should then be all caps (see Figure 1). This also applies to the following figures: Figure 97-20, Figure 97-36, Figure 97-38, Figure 97-39, Figure 97-40, Figure 98-3, Figure 98-7, Figure 98-11, Figure 98-12, Figure 98-13, Figure 98-14, Figure 97A-1, Figure 97A-2, Figure 97B-1 and possibly others. Editor may also want to check equations (free standing Eq should use FrameMaker Med sized eq.)

SuggestedRemedy

Enlarge the figures so the min. font size is maintained.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3.4 P 50 L 6 # 183  
 Remein, Duane Huawei

Comment Type E Comment Status X

There appears to be some stray text in the Figure 97-9 title (Scrn)

SuggestedRemedy

Remove stray text

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3.4.3 P 50 L 43 # 209  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The polynomials have been defined in 97.3.4. Perhaps it is better to refer to Equation 97-5 and 97-6.

SuggestedRemedy

Change line 43 and 44 from

"the MASTER PHY shall employ the receiver descrambler generator polynomial  $g^M(x)=1+x^{20}+x^{33}$  and the SLAVE PHY shall employ the receiver descrambler generator polynomial  $g^S(x)=1+x^{13}+x^{33}$ ."

to

"the MASTER PHY shall employ the receiver descrambler generator polynomial same as Equation 97-6 and the SLAVE PHY shall employ the receiver descrambler generator polynomial same as Equation 97-5."

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3.5.2 P 52 L 22 # 203  
 Jim, Graba Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status X

This line refers to "transmitters". This clause requires only one transmitter.

SuggestedRemedy

Change transmitters to transmitter.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.3.5.3 P 52 L 33 # 202  
 Jim, Graba Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

The OAM position is described twice.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the paragraph that begins on line 33.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

Cl 97 SC 97.3.5.3 P 52 L 33 # 278  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The paragraph "The OAM symbol is XOR'ed with the scrambler stream at the same relative position to the RS boundaries as it occupies during normal mode." seems to repeat a subset of the information contained in the following paragraph and can be deleted.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the paragraph "The OAM symbol is XOR'ed with the scrambler stream at the same relative position to the RS boundaries as it occupies during normal mode."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.3.6.2.4 P 55 L 7 # 252  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

"DECODE(rx\_symb\_vector<81:0>)" shhoud be "DECODE(rx\_coded<81:0>)"

SuggestedRemedy

change "DECODE(rx\_symb\_vector<81:0>)" to "DECODE(rx\_coded<81:0>)"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.4.1 P 60 L 5 # 295  
 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Cross references are correct

SuggestedRemedy

delete "TBD" on line 5, "to be confirmed" on line 6, and "TBD" on line 9

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.4.1 P 60 L 5 # 239  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type E Comment Status X

The PMA functional specifications still contains "(TBD)" and "(to be confirmed)" text, but these are not needed (as the referenced text is provided and correct).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace

"The PMA couples messages from a PMA service interface specified in 97.2.2 (TBD) to the 1000BASE-T1 baseband medium, specified in 97.5 (to be confirmed).

The interface between PMA and the baseband medium is the Medium Dependent Interface (MDI), which is specified in 97.8 (TBD)."

With

"The PMA couples messages from a PMA service interface specified in 97.2.2 to the 1000BASE-T1 baseband medium, specified in 97.5.

The interface between PMA and the baseband medium is the Medium Dependent Interface (MDI), which is specified in 97.8."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.4.2 P 67 L 51 # 305  
 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type T Comment Status X

In November, the task force adopted a EEE refresh monitor.

"The receiver shall force a retrain if Refresh is unreliably detected within a moving window of 50 Q/R cycles (4.32ms)."

But there is no text for this item.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a new section prior to 97.4.2.7 Clock Recovery function.

"97.4.2.7 Refresh Monitor function

The Refresh monitor is required for PHYs that support the EEE capability. The Refresh monitor operates when the PHY is in the LPI receive mode. The receiver shall force a retrain if Refresh is unreliably detected within a moving window of 50 Q/R cycles (4.32ms)."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

Cl 97 SC 97.4.2.1 P 61 L 9 # 303  
 McClellan, Brett Marvell  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 wrong cross reference  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change 97.3.6.2.2 to to 98.5.1  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.4.2.5 P 63 L 9 # 210  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 Remove informative comments (<1msec) on line 9.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove informative comments "<1msec)" on line 9.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.4.2.2 P 61 L 24 # 296  
 McClellan, Brett Marvell  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 cross reference to 97.5.3.3 is correct  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 delete TBD on line 24 and 27.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.4.2.5.10 P 67 L 20 # 288  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 Section needs new table after register movement  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove table 97-9 as that is moved per Lo\_McClellan\_3bp\_01a\_0315.pdf page 4.  
 Insert new table as 97-9 as sentence as shown in Lo\_McClellan\_3bp\_01a\_0315.pdf page 6  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.4.2.2 P 61 L 25 # 276  
 Regev, Alon Ixia  
 Comment Type E Comment Status X  
 "MASTER/SLAVE" should be "MASTER-SLAVE"  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 change "MASTER/SLAVE" to "MASTER-SLAVE"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.4.2.5.10 P 67 L 20 # 218  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 Name of the table is incorrect. It should be "Link Partner Training Registers" as shown in page 9 of "tu\_3bp\_01a\_0215.pdf".  
 Also there is a missing table for "Training Registers". See page 8 of "tu\_3bp\_01a\_0215.pdf".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 1. Change line 20 to "Table 97-xx -- Link Partner Training Registers".  
 2. Add a new table based on page 8 of "tu\_3bp\_01a\_0215.pdf".  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 97 SC 97.4.2.5 P 62 L 51 # 304  
 McClellan, Brett Marvell  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 wrong cross reference  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Page 62 line 51:change "Figure 97-18" to "Figure 97-20"  
 Page 63 line 1: change "Figure 97-18" to "Figure 97-20"  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

CI 97 SC 97.4.2.5.5 P 65 L 12 # 216  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 There is a typo. "SO (sent last)" should be "S0 (sent last)". Change capital character "O" to number "0".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 12 from  
 "...contains bits S14 (sent first) to SO (sent last) to..."  
 to  
 "...contains bits S14 (sent first) to S0 (sent last) to..."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.4.2.5.5 P 65 L 15 # 235  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 The data mode scrambler starts when the partial frame counter equals to the received DataSwPFC24. The original text saying it starts when DataSwPFC24=0 is incorrect.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 15 from  
 "...DataSwPFC24 = 0, see 97.4.2.5.6."  
 to  
 "...the partial frame counter equals to the DataSwPFC24 value, see 97.4.2.5.6".  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.4.2.5.5 P 65 L 14 # 234  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type TR Comment Status X  
 The scrambler is shown in Figure 97-8, instead of Figure 97-7.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 14 from  
 "state of the scrambler in Figure 97-7 shall be S14:S0..."  
 to  
 "state of the scrambler in Figure 97-8 shall be S14:S0..."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.4.2.5.5 P 65 L 18 # 217  
 Tu, Mike Broadcom  
 Comment Type ER Comment Status X  
 There is a typo. "0ct" should be "Oct". Change number "0" to capital character "O".  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Change line 18 from  
 "The remaining 7-bit 0ct10<7:1> shall be user configurable register."  
 to  
 "The remaining 7-bit Oct10<7:1> shall be user configurable register."  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.4.2.5.5 P 65 L 18 # 292  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto  
 Comment Type T Comment Status X  
 Red highlight to 97.4.2.5.10 is correct.  
 SuggestedRemedy  
 Remove highlight  
 New text in Lo\_McClellan\_3bp\_01a\_0315.pdf in particular page 6 make this ok.  
 Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

**Cl 97**    **SC 97.4.2.5.8**                      **P 65**                      **L 48**                      # **237**  
 Steven, Chen                                      Broadcom  
**Comment Type**    **TR**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
 In Figure 97-16, the Auto-Negotiation Page Data does not apply here.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 In Figure 97-16, replace "Auto-Negotiation Page Data" with "Oct4 through Oct10".  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 97**    **SC 97.4.4.1**                      **P 69**                      **L 44**                      # **246**  
 Regev, Alon                                      Ixia  
**Comment Type**    **E**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
 Make it clear that rem\_rcvr\_status shall be set to NOT\_OK if the PCS has not decoded a valid InfoField from the remote PHY.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Replace  
 "This variable is received in the loc\_rcvr\_status bit in the InfoField from the remote PHY."  
  
 With  
 "This variable is received in the loc\_rcvr\_status bit in the InfoField from the remote PHY. This variable is set to NOT\_OK if the PCS has not decoded a valid InfoField from the remote PHY."  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 97**    **SC 97.4.4.1**                      **P 69**                      **L 54**                      # **219**  
 Tu, Mike    Broadcom  
**Comment Type**    **ER**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
 SEND\_I is no longer defined in 1000BASE-T1.  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Remove line 54 "SEND\_I" and the descriptions on line 54 of page 69, and line 1 on page 70.  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 97**    **SC 97.5.2**                                      **P 73**                      **L 6**                      # **307**  
 McClellan, Brett                                      Marvell  
**Comment Type**    **T**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
 doesn't specify which register controls the test modes  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 change "setting a 3-bit control register"  
 to "setting 1.2308.15:13" per the proposal in Lo\_McClellan\_3bp\_01a\_0315.pdf  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

**Cl 97**    **SC 97.5.2**                                      **P 73**                      **L 6**                      # **293**  
 Lo, William    Marvell Semiconducto  
**Comment Type**    **T**                      **Comment Status**    **X**  
 Need to specify register location  
**SuggestedRemedy**  
 Change  
 3-bit control register  
 to  
 3-bit control in register 1.2308.15:13  
  
 The detailed text is already incorporated in  
 Lo\_McClellan\_3bp\_01a\_0315.pdf page 5  
**Proposed Response**                      **Response Status**    **O**

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

CI 97 SC 97.5.2 P74 L 53 # 257  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type T Comment Status X

There is a disagreement between the description of test mode 5 in table 79-10 where it states "normal operation in Idle mode" and the description on page 74, line 53, where it states "with data set to zero".

"Idle mode" implies that you are transmitting an idle or sequence (a sequence of "normal Inter-Frame" control codes).

"data set to zero" implies that the the input to the PCS is valid zero data (so the encoded 81B data would be all zeroes)

SuggestedRemedy

change "with data set to zero" to "with data set to normal Inter-Frame idle signals"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.5.3.1 P77 L 24 # 197  
 Chini, Ahmad Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The droop requirement does not match MDI RL requirement.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the requirement to "less than 10%"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.5.3.3 P79 L 24 # 195  
 Chini, Ahmad Broadcom

Comment Type ER Comment Status X

There are two closed paranthesis used.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the extra paranthesis (also in line 29)

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.5.3.3 P79 L 29 # 196  
 Chini, Ahmad Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

Lower PSD limit defined for frequencies above 40MHz does not match MDI RL requirement

SuggestedRemedy

Modify Equation (97-13) to start from 10MHz. Also modify Figure 97-28 to reflect the same.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.5.6.2 P83 L 45 # 312  
 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications

Comment Type T Comment Status X

incorrect equation reference. Remove equation TBD. TBD was provided to ensure comment review of equation. No changes requested in ballot cycles.

SuggestedRemedy

(1)Correct equation reference (97-17). (2)Remove TBD. TBD was provided to ensure comment review of equation. No changes requested in ballot cycle.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.5.6.2.2 P84 L 40 # 313  
 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Remove TBD. TBD was provided to ensure comment review of equation. No changes requested in ballot cycles.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove TBD. TBD was provided to ensure comment review of equation. No changes requested in ballot cycles.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

CI 97 SC 97.5.6.2.4 P 85 L 25 # 194  
Mitsuru, Iwaoka Yokogawa Electric Cor

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
Test method for coupling attenuation is not specified.

SuggestedRemedy

1) Add the following two documents to the 1.3 Normative references.

IEC 62153-4-14:2012, Metallic communication cable test methods - Part 4-14:  
Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) - Coupling attenuation of cable assemblies (Field conditions) absorbing clamp method

2) Insert the following text at the end of 97.5.6.2.4  
The coupling attenuation is tested as specified in IEC 62153-4-14.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.5.6.2.4 P 85 L 34 # 314  
DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
Currently no automotive applications characterized for E4.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove column E4 in Table 97-12—Coupling attenuation Type B link segment and in  
Table 97-13—Electromagnetic classifications Type B link segment

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.5.6.3.1 P 86 L 12 # 315  
DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
Stated 802.3bp objective includes "Maintain a bit error ratio (BER) of less than or equal to  
10^-10 at the MAC/PLS service interface"

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "specified in TBD".

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.5.6.3.3 P 87 L 26 # 316  
DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
Stated 802.3bp objective includes "Maintain a bit error ratio (BER) of less than or equal to  
10^-10 at the MAC/PLS service interface"

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "specified in TBD"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.5.6.4.1 P 88 L 38 # 310  
DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
Stated 802.3bp objective includes "Maintain a bit error ratio (BER) of less than or equal to  
10^-10 at the MAC/PLS service interface"

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "specified in TBD"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 97 SC 97.5.6.4.3 P 89 L 14 # 311  
DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications

Comment Type T Comment Status X  
Stated 802.3bp objective includes "Maintain a bit error ratio (BER) of less than or equal to  
10^-10 at the MAC/PLS service interface"

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "specified in TBD"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

*Cl* 97    *SC* 97.5.6.4.3    *P* 89    *L* 32    # 317  
 DiMinico, Christopher    MC Communications  
*Comment Type*    **T**    *Comment Status*    **X**  
 Remove TBD's. TBDs were provided to ensure comment review of equation. No changes requested in ballot cycles.  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Remove the three TBDs  
*Proposed Response*    *Response Status*    **O**

*Cl* 97    *SC* 97.6.1.1    *P* 90    *L* 33    # 297  
 McClellan, Brett    Marvell  
*Comment Type*    **E**    *Comment Status*    **X**  
 grammar  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 change "This value is continuously asserted when transmission"  
 to "This value is continuously asserted for transmission"  
*Proposed Response*    *Response Status*    **O**

*Cl* 97    *SC* 97.6.1.1    *P* 90    *L* 25    # 285  
 Lo, William    Marvell Semiconducto  
*Comment Type*    **T**    *Comment Status*    **X**  
 Tighten up send\_s\_sigdet  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 Add the following sentence.  
 This variable shall be set FALSE no later than 400ns after the signal goes quiet on the MDI.  
*Proposed Response*    *Response Status*    **O**

*Cl* 97    *SC* 97.6.1.1    *P* 90    *L* 6    # 287  
 Lo, William    Marvell Semiconducto  
*Comment Type*    **TR**    *Comment Status*    **X**  
 config referenced to wrong section  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 change 98.5.1 to 97.4.4.1  
*Proposed Response*    *Response Status*    **O**

*Cl* 97    *SC* 97.6.1.1    *P* 90    *L* 31    # 306  
 McClellan, Brett    Marvell  
*Comment Type*    **T**    *Comment Status*    **X**  
 SEND\_S is used within the PHY Link Synchronization state machines, but the SEND\_S signal is supposedly generated by the PCS.  
 Nowhere in the PCS text is there any definition for the SEND\_S signal. Further, the PMA\_TXMODE.indication (tx\_mode) primitive definition in 97.2.2.1.1 does not include SEND\_S as a defined value.  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 In 97.2.2.1.1 add this definition  
 SEND\_S: This value is continuously asserted for transmission of 255 PN sequences generated by:  $x^8+x^4+x^3+x^2+1$  for Master and  $x^8+x^6+x^5+x^4+1$  for Slave.  
 We need a text contribution to define SEND\_S signaling within the PCS.  
*Proposed Response*    *Response Status*    **O**

*Cl* 97    *SC* 97.7    *P* 91    *L* 34    # 289  
 Lo, William    Marvell Semiconducto  
*Comment Type*    **TR**    *Comment Status*    **X**  
 OAM register reference changes  
 - Replace all TBDs with actual register addresses  
 - Move registers to clause 45  
 - Update all OAM register references to clause 45  
*SuggestedRemedy*  
 See Lo\_McClellan\_3bp\_01a\_0315.pdf page 10 for editing instructions  
*Proposed Response*    *Response Status*    **O**



Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

Cl **97.10** SC **97.10.2** P **109** L **1** # **187**  
 Matola, Larry Delphi

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Baseline text for section 97.10.2 Network Safety

SuggestedRemedy

97.10.2Network Safety  
 All cabling and equipment shall be installed in a workman like manor, mechanically and electrically secure. The designer is urged to consult the relevant local, national, and international safety regulations to ensure compliance with appropriate requirements. In automotive applications all cabling shall be routed to provide maximum protection by the vehicle sheet metal and structural components. As an example SAE J1292, Automobile, Truck-Tractor, Trailer, and Motor Coach Wiring, can be referred to for general recommendations and guidelines. Several other documents may also be considered as providing motor vehicle guidance, e.g. ISO 14229 Road Vehicles-Unified Diagnostic Services and ISO 15764 Road Vehicles-Extended data Link Security.

Proposed Response Response Status

Cl **97.10** SC **97.10.3** P **109** L **5** # **188**  
 Matola, Larry Delphi

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Proposed baseline text for subclause 97.10.3.1

SuggestedRemedy

97.10.3.1 Environmental Stresses  
 The 1000BASE T-1 PHY was originally designed to operate in the automotive environment. All equipment in this clause shall conform to the potential environmental stresses described in ISO 16750 with respect to their mounting location or as agreed to between the customer and supplier. ISO 16750 is a 5-part specification with the Environmental loads defined as follows:  
 ? Part 1: General  
 ? Part 2: Electrical loads  
 ? Part 3: Mechanical loads  
 ? Part 4: Climatic loads  
 ? Part 5: Chemical loads  
 Automotive environmental conditions are generally more severe than those found in many commercial environments. The targeted application environment(s) require careful analysis prior to implementation.

Proposed Response Response Status

Cl **97.10** SC **97.10.3** P **109** L **5** # **189**  
 Matola, Larry Delphi

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Proposed baseline text for section 97.10.3.2

SuggestedRemedy

97.10.3.2 Electromagnetic Compatibility  
 A system integrating the 1000BASE T-1 PHY shall comply with all applicable local and national codes, or as agreed to between the customer and supplier, for the limitation of electromagnetic interference. CISPR 25 test methods have been defined to measure the EMC cperformance of the PHY in terms of RF immunity and RF emission. Generally, motor vehicle EMC requirements are defined by CISPER 25 (radiated Emissions) and ISO 11452 (Radiated Immunity); however, exact test setup and test limit values may be adapted by each customer.

Proposed Response Response Status

Cl **97.8.** SC **97.8.2.3** P **108** L **17** # **190**  
 Matola, Larry Delphi

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Short circuits of any wire to any wire...  
 Vehicles can be subjected to the connection of a reversed battery when an auxiliary starting device is used. It is routine in some areas to use + 24 volts in these devices. With the advent of 42 volt electrical systems in the near future +/-50 volts is not an unlikely scenario.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise up to 50 V to +/-50 V

Proposed Response Response Status

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

Cl **97A** SC **97A.2** P **141** L **19** # **318**  
 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**  
 Remove and replace TBDs in subclause 97A.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete: (TBD length) in "The 1000BASE-T1 link segment (TBD length)" two instances.  
 Change: (1, 5, TBD) to 10 mm  
 Change: (3, 15, TBD) at least 30 mm

Delete sentence: The test fixtures used in the measurement conform to the test fixture specifications in clause TBD.

Align figures with text revisions;  
 Figure 97A-1—4-port test setup  
 Figure 97A-2—3-port common mode conversion loss measurement  
 Change distance to the edge of the GND plane from 200mm to >= 30mm.  
 Note 3 in both drawings should also change accordingly.  
 Change distances between meanders to >= 30mm.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl **97B** SC **97B.1.1** P **143** L **19** # **321**  
 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**  
 In 97B.1.1 Alien crosstalk test configurations remove 3 TBDs

SuggestedRemedy

In 97B.1.1 Alien crosstalk test configurations remove 3 TBDs.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl **97B** SC **97B.1.1** P **143** L **25** # **319**  
 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**  
 Remove TBD's. TBDs were provided to ensure comment review of equation. No changes requested in ballot cycles.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove three TBDs in 97B.1.1

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl **97B** SC **97B.3** P **144** L **35** # **320**  
 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**  
 Remove TBD's. TBDs were provided to ensure comment review of equation. No changes requested in ballot cycles.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove 3 TBDs and in 97B.3 and Figure 97B-4—Cable bundle in 4-around-1 configuration

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl **97B.1** SC **97B.1.1** P **143** L **25** # **191**  
 Matola, Larry Delphi

Comment Type **TR** Comment Status **X**  
 Currently temperature and humidity have (TBD) next to the values in line 25. The values inline 25 are referenced in section 1 of ISO 16750 part 1 as general test conditions and can be found in section 7.2 of that document. Since that document is referenced in section 97.10 Environmental Conditions I propose removing TBDs.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove TBD and fix values.

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Cl **98** SC **98.1.2** P **115** L **10** # **258**  
 Regev, Alon Ixia

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **X**  
 The draft states "PMD is not specified for 1000BASE-T1". This is not true. The PMD is defined in terms of electrical characteristics, EMC tests, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the line "PMD is not specified for 1000BASE-T1"

Proposed Response Response Status **O**

Received Comments

IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.1.3 P 118 L 36 # 291  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type TR Comment Status X

The DME scheme has evolved and the text is no longer accurate.

SuggestedRemedy

- 1) Change heading from "Sync Header and Manchester violation delimiter" to "DME page Delimiters"
- 2) Remove yellow highlighting - text is correct.
- 3) Change "The page end is followed by a DME violation delimiter." to "The page end is followed by a dummy zero."
- 4) Change "A violation is signaled as shown in Figure 98-7." to "An example of the delimiters as shown in Figure 98-7".
- 5) Change figure 98-7 title to "DME Page"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 98 SC 98.2.1.2 P 119 L 36 # 284  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type T Comment Status X

Fix reference 28.2.1.2

SuggestedRemedy

- Change  
 These bits shall function as specified in 28.2.1.2  
 to  
 These bits shall function as specified in 28.2.1.2.4, 28.2.1.2.5, and 28.2.1.2.6 respectively.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 98 SC 98.2.4.3.1 P 124 L 22 # 282  
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto

Comment Type E Comment Status X

Highlighted reference is correct

SuggestedRemedy

Remove highlight

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 98 SC 98.4 P 126 L 42 # 309  
 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type T Comment Status X

add new section 98.4.2, referred to in 97.2.1.1 for primitive for PMA\_LINK.request (link\_control)

SuggestedRemedy

- 98.4.2 PMA\_LINK.request  
 This primitive is generated by Auto-Negotiation to allow it to enable and disable operation of the PMA.  
 98.4.2.1 Semantics of the service primitive  
 PMA\_LINK.request(link\_control)  
 The link\_control parameter shall assume one of two values: DISABLE or ENABLE.  
 The link\_control=DISABLE mode shall be used by the Auto-Negotiation function to disable PMA processing.  
 The link\_control=ENABLE mode shall be used by Auto-Negotiation to turn control over to a single PMA for all normal processing functions.  
 98.4.2.2 When generated  
 The Auto-Negotiation function shall generate this primitive to indicate to the PHY how to respond, in accordance with the state diagram of Figure 98-14.  
 Upon power-on or reset, if the Auto-Negotiation function is enabled (mr\_autoneg\_enable=true) the PMA\_LINK.request(DISABLE) message shall be issued to all technology-dependent PMAs.  
 98.4.2.3 Effect of receipt  
 This primitive affects operation of the underlying PMA.

Proposed Response Response Status O

## Received Comments

## IEEE P802.3bp D1.3 1000BASE-T1 PHY 4th Task Force review comments

---

*Cl* **98**      *SC* **98.4.1**                      *P* **125**              *L* **46**              # **308**  
McClellan, Brett                              Marvell

*Comment Type*    **T**              *Comment Status*    **X**  
update this primitive to match 97.2.1.2 PMA\_LINK.indication

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change AN\_LINK.indication to PMA\_LINK.indication on line 46 & 54  
change PCS to PMA on lines 41, 48 & page 126 line 36

*Proposed Response*              *Response Status*    **O**

---

*Cl* **99**      *SC* **n/a**                      *P* **10**              *L* **3**              # **185**  
Remein, Duane                              Huawei

*Comment Type*    **ER**              *Comment Status*    **X**  
TOC does not appear to have been updated nor are the links functional

*SuggestedRemedy*

Update TOC for each edition and ensure links are functional.

*Proposed Response*              *Response Status*    **O**