IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

Seems that if this long list is to be inserted in alphnumerical order is should be in alphnumerical. In this case IEC 62153-4 should not be the first entry in the list. In particulare see: IEC 62153-4-14:2012 IEC 61967-1 SuggestedRemedy Sort the list properly. Given that this is a paragraph and not a list it would be better to include some surrounding text for context. AAIso I don't think you intent to add a new line after the 3rd para 2nd sentance but that could be infered from : " For 10000BASE-T1," SuggestedRemedy Sort the list properly.	C/ 1 SC 1.3 Remein, Duane	P 24 Huawei	L 10	# 32	<i>Cl</i> 30 Remein, I	SC 30.5.1.1 Duane	.4 P 27 Huawei	L 38	# 33
Sort the list properly. Sort the list properly. Change: Response Response Status ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Insert into the third paragraph in BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS section of 30.5.1.1.4 after the second sentence as follows: Move "IEC 62153-4-14:2012" after "IEC 62132-1" BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: Tor 1000BASE-T1, a link_status of OK maps to the enumeration "available". All other states of link_status map to the enumeration "not available". All other states of link_status map to the enumeration smatch the states within the second sentence as follows: BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS: Tor 100BASE-T2 and 100BASE-T4 PHYs the enumerations match the states within the respective link integrity state diagrams, Figure 32-16 and Figure 23-12. For 100BASE-TX, 100BASE-T4, 10	Seems that if this lo alphnumerical. In th particulare see: IEC 62153-4-14:20	ng list is to be inserted in alphn is case IEC 62153-4 should not			Given text fo senta "	that this is a pa or context. AAlso nce but that cou	aragraph and not a list it wou b I don't think you intent to ad Id be infered from :		
	Response ACCEPT IN PRINC	Response Status C IPLE.			Chang "Inser secon as foll BEHA For 10 states to: "Char secon as foll BEHA For 10 respe 100B/ states link_s to the Claus	ge: t into the third p d sentence ows: VIOUR DEFINE 000BASE-T1, a of link_status r d sentence ows: VIOUR DEFINE 00BASE-T2 and ctive link integri ASE-FX, 100BA within the link i tatus of OK maj enumeration "n e 73 Auto-Nego	ED AS: link_status of OK maps to th nap to the enumeration "not agraph in BEHAVIOUR DEF ED AS: 100BASE-T4 PHYs the enu sy state diagrams, Figure 32- SE-LX10 and 100BASE-BX ntegrity state diagram Figure os to the enumeration "availa ot available". Any MAU that tiation will"	ne enumeration "a available".;" "INED AS section umerations match 16 and Figure 23 10 PHYs the enur e 24–15. For 100 able". All other sta	vailable". All other of 30.5.1.1.4 after the the states within the –12. For 100BASE-TX, nerations match the 0BASE-T1, a tes of link_status map
					•				

Existing editorial instructions are sufficient to merge the text into base standard.

C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.4

IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

C/ 30 SC 30.6.1.1.5 P 28 L 2 # 34 Remein, Duane Huawei	C/ 34 SC 34.1 P 31 L 15 # 36 Remein, Duane Huawei
Comment Type E Comment Status A In 30.3.2.1.2 and 30.3.2.1.3 you include the words "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX: " whereas in 30.6.1.1.5 you don't. You should be consistent. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type ER Comment Status R The specific reference to automotive here is not needed and counter productive. If I use this technology in a boat or a house or and airplane will it not work? is it non-compliant in these applications? I suggest removing the term automotive where is is not essential to the meaning of the sentence.
Add: "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX: " after each "Insert the following" editing instruction. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX: " in 30.3.2.1.2, 30.3.2.1.3, 30.5.1.1.2	SuggestedRemedy Strike the word "automotive" in the following locations: Cl 34.1 pg 31 line 15 Cl 97.1 pg 57 line 17 Cl 97.1.2 pg 57 line 41 (to read "a link segment") Cl 97.5.5 pg 114 line 38 (to read "a link segment") Cl 97B.1.1 pg 193 line 22 (to read "The link segment test configurations are derived from two automotive industry use cases representative of common scenarios" In Cl 34.1 pg 31 line 27 strike "the automotive media" so the sentence reads: "There are a number of other PHY types and their associated media, including 1000BASE-T1 which uses a single balanced twisted-pair."
C/ 34 SC 34 P 31 L 1 # <u>35</u> Remein, Duane Huawei	Response Response Status C REJECT.
Comment Type E Comment Status R What is a "yIntroduction" ?? SuggestedRemedy Clause title is "Introduction" without the y Response Response Status C REJECT.	The link segment defined for 1000BASE-T1 PMD is based on automotive requirements, which is emphasized many times in Clause 97. The emphasis on "automotive link" segment is intended to prevent a casual reader from trying to use this link type in other applications, where operating conditions might differ substantially from the said automotive conditions.

(http://www.ieee802.org/3/bp/private/Sources/P8023bp%20D1.5.pdf) or diff (http://www.ieee802.org/3/bp/private/Sources/P8023bp%20D1.5.CMP.pdf) documents

C/ 34 SC 34.1

IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

C/ 45 SC 45 Tu, Mike	P 36 Broadcom	L 40	# 13	<i>Cl</i> 45 Tu, Mike	SC 45.2.1.13	30a	P 35 Broadcom	L 46	# 12
	Comment Status A Y low-power ability is indicate node is non-mandatory. This s			Comment 7 Registe accord	er 1.2304 is only		t Status A SE-T1 PHY. The	register name s	1.230 should be changed
45.2.1.130a.3.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Suggested	0,				
SuggestedRemedy Change the beginning	of line 40 as the following:			1. Chai	-		se title to "45.2.1	.130a 1000BAS	E-T1 PMA control
	s indicated by register bit 1.2 SE-T1 PMA/PMD may be pla				0 1 0		ts in the 1000BA le to "1000BASE		ntrol register".
Response	Response Status C			definitio		idie 45-90a iii	IE IO TOUBASL		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPI	-			4. On p	bage 36 betwee	n line 18 and	line 29, change	all "BASE-T1 PI	MA/PMD" to
Rewording of the first s BASE-T1 and not only	entence to tie in BASE-T1 PN 1000BASE-T1	/IA/PMD. Also, I	bit 1.2304.11 affects	"1000Ē	BAŠE-T1 PMA/F	PMD", and "B		/A" to "1000BA	SE-T1 PMD/PMA" in
Change the first senter	nce in line 40 to read as follow		ndiacted by register bit	"1000Ė	BAŠE-T1 PMA/F	PMD", and "B	line 49, change ASE-T1 PMD" to e 45.2.1.130a.3.	0 "1000BASE-T1	MA/PMD" to PMD" in the first and
1.2305.8. When the lov	r mode by setting bit 1.2304.1	orted, the BASE			bage 99 Table 9 register" at line			control register"	to "1000BASE-T1 PMA
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 Tu, Mike	P 35 Broadcom	L 15	# 11	Response ACCEF	PT.	Response	Status C		
Comment Type ER	Comment Status A		1.2304	This is	TECHNICAL co	ommentl			
	register 1.2304 is shown as " E-T1 PMA only. The name sl			1113 13		omment:			
SuggestedRemedy In Table 45-3, change	name of register 1.2304 to "10	000BASE-T1 PI	1A control".						
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C								

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.130**a

IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.130a.1 P 36 L 24 # 38 Remein, Duane Huawei	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.130b.4 P 37 L 52 # 40 Remein, Duane Huawei
Comment Type ER Comment Status R If bit 1.2304.15 is indeed a copy of 1.0.15 then I would quesiton the need for this bit. If you insist on including this then you should include bit 1.0.15 in the list of functional bits during a reset. SuggestedRemedy Remove this bit (prefered solution) from text and Table 45–98a	Comment Type ER Comment Status R This statement "If the 1000BASE-T1 PMA/PMD supports the low-power feature, then it is controlled using bit 1.2304.11." contradicts cl 45.2.1.130a.3 Low power (1.2304.11) which clearly states: "Bit 1.2304.11 is copy of 1.0.11. Setting either bit shall put the 1000BASE-T1 PMA/PMD in low power mode
OR	SuggestedRemedy Strike the statement.
Change sentence at line 27 to read "During a reset, a PMD/PMA shall respond to reads from register bits 1.0.15, 1.8.15:14 and 1.2304.15."	Response Response Status C REJECT.
Response Response Status C REJECT.	This is a TECHNICAL comment!
Given that "1.2304.15 is a copy of 1.0.15", there is no need for PMD to track status of 1.0.15. Tracking status of 1.2304.15 is sufficient.	Task Force believes reference to only locally defined registers should be made, without calling out lower register numbers.
C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.130a.3 P 36 L 39 # 39 Remein, Duane Huawei Huawei	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.130b.6 P 38 L 6 # 14 Tu, Mike Broadcom
Comment Type ER Comment Status R There is no obvious reason to duplicate funcitons in the MMD.	Comment Type ER Comment Status A Title of this subclause is different from the bit name shown in Table 45-98b.
SuggestedRemedy Remove bit 1.2304.11 from text and Table 45–98a	SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause title to "Receive fault (1.2305.1)".
Response Response Status C REJECT.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
The replication of individual register bits was done to keep all necessary control bits in a single space and simplify implementation - see TF decisions at May 2015 meeting.	

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.130b.6 Page 4 of 16 7/13/2015 9:50:31 PM

IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.13 Lo, William	B1 P 40 Marvell Sem	L 27 iconducto	# 1		<i>Cl</i> 45 Lo, William		45.2.1.131	.3	P 41 Marvell Sem	L 3 iconducto	# 6
Bit 1.2100.15 is alway However if Auto-Nego Bit 1.2100.15 is not ne If 7.512.12 = 0 (Auto-N If 7.512.12 = 1 (Auto-N SuggestedRemedy Change 1.2100.15 to n Proposed Response REJECT. This comment was WI	Comment Status D dant and description conflict s set to 1 which means man tiation is enabled what happ reded since 7.512.12 serves legotiation is disabled) mean legotiation is enabled) mean reserved and remove clause <i>Response Status</i> Z THDRAWN by the comment submit in WG ballot if the pr	ual configuration ens? this function. ns manual config is automatic conf 45.2.1.131.1 ter.	uration is needed		Suggested Chang from "Bits 1 to "Bits 1 7.512. Add to These Response ACCE	arifying Remed e page .2100.3 .2100.3 12 is se the enc bits sha PT IN P	y 41 line 3 :0 are use :0 are use t to zero, o d of the pa	when Auto d to set the or if Auto-N ragraph: ed when th <i>Response</i>	legotiation is not	tion." tion when Auto-N implemented."	1.2100 legotiation enable bit 512.12 is set to 1.
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.13 Lo, William Comment Type TR Clarifying what happer SuggestedRemedy	31.2 P 40 Marvell Sem <i>Comment Status</i> A ns when Auto-Negotiation is		# 5	1.2100	"Bits 1 to "Bits 1 7.512. Add to	.2100.3 12 is se the end	:0 are use t to zero, d d of the pa	d to set the or if Auto-N ragraph:	legotiation is not	tion when Auto-N implemented."	legotiation enable bit 512.12 is set to one.
to "Auto-Negotiation enal implemented." Add to the end of the p	nual config enable bit 1.210	o, or if Auto-Nego	tiation is not		Cl 45 Remein, D <i>Comment</i> You ca even th	SC 4 uane <i>Type</i> annot ch nough b	ER bange an ii w is at D3	Commer nprocess d .1 not D1.4	P 35 Huawei ht Status R Iraft (but I'm sym	L 25	# 37
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				EDITC regiset <i>Response</i> REJEC Editors	litors no RS NO er 1.18 CT.	ote just bel TE (to be will be up	removed p lated once <i>Response</i> 02.3bw pro	rior to publicatio 9 P802.3bw work 9 Status C	is complete.	to read: truction regardiong rticipants. Adding notes
	ed ER/editorial required GF								CI 4		Page 5 of 16

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SC 45.2.1.14b 7/13/2015 9:50:31 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

45 SC 45.2.	3 P 41 Broadcom	L 16	# 15	<i>CI</i> 45 Remein, I		45.2.3.50	a.1	<i>P</i> 42 Huawei	L 8	# 22
omment Type ER				Comment		ER	Common	t Status R		
In Table 45-119, re The register name	egister 3.2304, 3.2305, and 3.2 s should be changed according		0 1000BASE-T1 only.	lf bit 3 If you	3.2304.15	5 is indee n includin	ed a copy of	3.0.15 then I w	ould quesiton the de bit 3.0.15 in th	need for this bit. le list of functional bits
<i>IggestedRemedy</i> In Table 45-119:				Suggestee						
				Remo	ove this b	oit (prefer	ed solution)	from text and T	able 45–98a	
2. Change name o	f register 3.2304 to "1000BAS f register 3.2305 to "1000BAS f register 3.2305 to "1000BAS	E-T1 PCS status 1'	II.	OR						
Replace all occurre	ences of " BASE-T1 PCS" to "	1000BASE-T1 PC	S" within subclauses					"During a rese and 3.2304.15		all respond to reads
45.2.3.50a, 45.2.3. line 29 and page 4	50b, and 45.2.3.50c, and all s 4 line 42).	ubclauses under th	em (between page 41	Response)		Response	Status C		
sponse	Response Status C			REJE	CT.					
ACCEPT IN PRIN	•							3.0.15", there is 5 is sufficient.	no need for PMD) to track status of
In Table 45-119:				C/ 45	SC 4	45.2.3.50	a 2	P 42	L 10	# 23
	f register 3.2304 to "1000BAS			Remein, I				Huawei	2.10	11 20
0	f register 3.2305 to "1000BAS f register 3.2306 to "1000BAS			Comment	Туре	ER	Commen	t Status R		
Ū	0			There	is no ob	ovious rea	ason to dupli	cate funcitons i	n the MMD.	
	ences of "BASE-T1 PCS" to " 50b, and 45.2.3.50c, and all s			Suggeste	dRemedy	y				
line 29 and page 4								d Table 45–98a in 45.2.3.1.2 Lo	oopback (3.0.14)	
				Response)		Response	Status C		
				REJE	CT.					
								· bits was done ntation - see TF		sary control bits in a

C/ **45** SC **45.2.3.50a.2** Page 6 of 16 7/13/2015 9:50:31 PM

IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.50b.6 P 43 L 27 # 41 Remein, Duane Huawei	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.50c.6 P 44 L 37 # 24
Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Type ER Comment Status R Given that bit 3.2305.2 is a latching low bit you cannot say that "When read as a zero, bit 3.2305.2 indicates that the BASE-T1 PCS receive link is down." As it may currently be in the link up state.	Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Type ER Comment Status A Typically in Cl 45 the bit(s) being described are referenced by number not name. In either case they should never be referred to as "This bit" (or some like phrase) before the explicit reference.
SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "When read as a zero, bit 3.2305.2 indicates that the BASE-T1 PCS receive link was down since the last time this register was read." Response Response Status C REJECT. For all effects and purposes, the link is down as far as the purpose of this register is concerned. The text also follows other register descriptions already in Clause 45 and this is how it is intended to work. C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.50c.1 P 44 L 7 # 21 Remein, Duane Huawei Comment Type E Comment Status A	SuggestedRemedyChange:Cl 45.2.3.50c.6 Pg 44 line 30 from "The BER counter is" to Bits 3.2306.5:0 form"Cl 45.2.3.50d.1 Pg 44 line 49 from "This bit" to "Bit 3.2308.15"Cl 45.2.3.50d.2 Pg 45 line 36 from"The state machine shall assign a value alternating between 0 and 1 to associate with the 8 octet OAM message transmit by the 1000BASE-T1 PHY." to"Bit 3.2308.14) reflects an alternating assignement by the xxx state machine of 0 and 1 to associate with the 8 octet OAM message transmit by the 1000BASE-T1 PHY." Replace xxx with the proper name of the state maching (an xRef would also be nice).Cl 45.2.3.50d.3 Pg 45 line 43 change "This bit" to "Bit 3.2308.13"Cl 45.2.3.50d.4 Pg 45 line 49 change This bit" to "Bit 3.2308.12"Make similar changes to: 45.2.3.50d.5, 45.2.3.50d.6, 45.2.3.50d.7, 45.2.3.50d.8, 45.2.3.50e, 45.2.3.50f.1, 45.2.3.50f.2, 45.2.3.50f.3, 45.2.3.50f.4, and 45.2.3.50g,
What is the difference between: "This bit is a reflection of the" (here in 45.2.3.50c.1) and "This bit is a direct reflection of the" (as in 45.2.3.50c.2 and elsewhere) SuggestedRemedy Globaly change: "This bit is a direct reflection of the" to "This bit is a reflection of the" Response Response Response ACCEPT.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C C Change: CI 45.2.3.50c.6 Pg 44 line 40 from "The BER counter is" to "The BER counter formed by bits 3.2306.5:0 is" CI 45.2.3.50d.1 Pg 44 line 49 from "This bit" to "Bit 3.2308.15" CI 45.2.3.50d.1 Pg 44 line 49 from "This bit" to "Bit 3.2308.15" CI 45.2.3.50d.3 Pg 45 line 43 change "This bit" to "Bit 3.2308.13" CI 45.2.3.50d.4 Pg 45 line 49 change This bit" to "Bit 3.2308.12" Make similar changes to: 45.2.3.50d.5, 45.2.3.50d.6, 45.2.3.50d.7, 45.2.3.50d.8, 45.2.3.50e, 45.2.3.50f.1, 45.2.3.50f.2, 45.2.3.50f.3, 45.2.3.50f.4, and 45.2.3.50g,

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.50c.6 Page 7 of 16 7/13/2015 9:50:31 PM

IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

7/45 SC 45.2.3.50d.6 P 46 L 10 # 25	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.50g P47 L40 # 29
emein, Duane Huawei	C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.50g P 47 L 40 # 29 Remein, Duane Huawei
Comment Type TR Comment Status A	Comment Type T Comment Status R
The description her of bit 3.2308.3 is not entirly clear. I believe what you're trying to say is that this bit reflects something received from the link partner but the way it is worded this is	You should probably clear the entire register set when 2317 is read. Also there is no reference to Table $45-163g$.
not explicit. "This bit is a delayed version of the value in 3.2308.2 that is loopback by the link partner."	SuggestedRemedy Change text of 45.2.3.50g from:
uggestedRemedy	"The 8 octet OAM message data from the link partner. Register 3.2313.15 shall be cleared
Change to read: "Bit 3.2308.3 reflects the value of the most recent Ping RX received from the link partner	when register 3.2317 is read." to:
(see 97.7.2.2.1)."	"Registers 3.2314 to 3.2317 contain teh 8 octet OAM message data from the link partner as shown in Table 45–163g. These registers shall be cleared when register 3.2317 is read.
esponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	Response Response Status C
	REJECT.
partner (see 97.7.2.2.1)." 2/ 45 SC 45.2.3.50d.7 P 46 L 14 # 26 emein, Duane Huawei	The intent is not to clear all 8 octets but use bit 3.2313.15 as a status indication only. Current text is correct as is. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.50g P 48 L 1 # 2 Lo, William Marvell Semiconducto
omment Type TR Comment Status A The description her of bit 3.2308.2 is not entirly clear. I believe what you're trying to say is that this bit is sent to the link partner but the way it is worded this is not explicit. "This bit is set by the 1000BASE-T1 PHY for the link partner to loopback. The loopback	Comment Type E Comment Status A Move table 45-163g together with clause 45.2.3.50g SuggestedRemedy
value should be received after a small delay."	See above
buggestedRemedy Change to read: Bit 3.2308.2 is the value to be sent to the link partner via the Ping TX fucntion (see 97.7.2.2.2)	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Pesponse Response Status C	There is no space today on page 47. Will consider forcing 45.2.3.50g onto the next page.
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Change the first sentence in 45.2.3.50d.7 to read as follows:	
"Bit 3.2308.2 represents the value to be sent to the link partner via the Ping TX function (see 97.7.2.2.2)."	

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.50g

IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

C/ 45 SC 45.7.14a P 47 L 48 # 30 Remein, Duane Huawei Huawei	C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.14b.6 P 51 L 4 # 17 Tu, Mike Broadcom Broadcom
Comment Type E Comment Status A Given that 45.2.7.14a to 45.2.7.14f are an addition to CI 45.2.7 a separet Editors instruction is in order.	Comment Type T Comment Status A Bit 7.513.0 is read only, which indicates the link partner auto-negotiation ability. This information is generally not available untill the auto-negotiation is successfully started. I think this bit is not needed.
SuggestedRemedy Renumber 45.2.7.14a thru 45.2.7.14f to 45.2.7.15 thru 45.2.7.20, respectively (use default para style with no overrides). Add immediately before 45.2.7.15 BASE-T1 AN control register (Register 7.512) "Insert 45.2.7.15 through 45.2.7.20 and sub-clauses after 45.2.7.14 as follows:"	SuggestedRemedy Remove subclause 45.2.7.14b.6. Also delete the corresponding entry in Table 45-211b for bit 7.513.0. Response Response Status C
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove subclause 45.2.7.14b.6. Mark the corresponding entry in Table 45-211b for bit 7.513.0 as reserved
Add editorial instruction before 45.2.7.14a to read as follows: "Insert subclauses 45.2.7.14a through 45.2.7.14f as shown below" X 45 SC 45.2.7.14a.2 P 49 L 23 # 16	C/ 97 SC 97.1.2.1 P 59 L 16 # 31 Remein, Duane Huawei
u, Mike Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status A The current text on line 23 to 25 said:	Comment Type E Comment Status R I find it strage that there no indicatation in this draft of which Reconcilliation Layer specification is to be used for this. Presumable it is CI 35 but that should be excplicitly stated.
"The default value of bit 7.512.12 is one, unless the BASE-T1 PHY reports via bit 7.513.3 that it lacks the ability to perform Auto-Negotiation, in which case the default value of bit 7.512.12 is zero." However if a PHY supports auto-negotiation but the oem decides not to enable it, then this	SuggestedRemedy Chnage: "The 1000BASE-T1 PCS couples a Gigabit Media Independent Interface (GMII)," to "The 1000BASE-T1 PCS couples a Reconciliation Sublayer (RS) and Gigabit Media Independent Interface (GMII),"
becomes impossible based on the current text. We need to let the oem control the auto- negotiation enable/diable even when auto-negotiation is supported by the PHY.	Response Response Status C
uggestedRemedy	REJECT.
Option #1: Remove this paragraph. Option #2: Change the paragraph to "If the BASE-T1 PHY reports via bit 7.513.3 that it lacks the ability to perform Auto-Negotiation, then the value of bit 7.512.12 shall be zero."	This is PCS - it does not attach to RS in any way. North-bound it is connected to GMII and south-bound - to PMA.
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	
Change the selected paragraph to "If the BASE-T1 PHY reports via bit 7.513.3 that it lacks the ability to perform Auto-Negotiation, then the value of bit 7.512.12 shall be zero."	

C/ 97 SC 97.1.2.1

Approved respon	ses IEEE	E P802.3bp D1.	5 1000BASE-T1 PHY ⁻	Fask Force 5t	h Task Forc	e review comments		
<i>Cl</i> 97 <i>SC</i> 97.10 Chini, Ahmad	2.1 P 141 Broadcom	L 19	# 42	<i>Cl</i> 97 Regev, Alon	SC 97.2.2	Р 64 Іхіа	L 38	# 53
Comment Type TR Need to clarify the I SuggestedRemedy Change	Comment Status R equirement is only for autom	otive applications (not industrial)	match th	, ATAREADY.ind	Comment Status A dication should be renamed t les of the variable from loc_d		
	PHY is designed to operate i o this clause shall conform to		nvironment. All	SuggestedR change docume	"PMA_DATAR	EADY.indication" to "PMA_P	HYREADY.india	cation" throughout the
to				Response		Response Status C		
The 1000BASE-T1	PHY is designed to operate i	n the automotive a	nd industrial	ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPL			
	used in an automotive envir			See com	nments #18 an	d #58		
Response REJECT.	Response Status C					a #00		
	rrently modified by 802.3bw her than keep a local copy.	and once it is stabi	lized, P802.3bp will					
C/ 97 SC 97.2	P 65	L 28	# <u>5</u> 8					
Regev, Alon	Ixia							
service interface. I	Comment Status A ignal labeled "PMA_LOCDAT actually refers to "PMA_DAT PHYREADY.indication" per m	FAREADY.indicatio						
	signal lableled "PMA_REMDA amed to PMA_REMPHYREA							
SuggestedRemedy		· · ·						
In Figure 97-3:								
change "PMA_LOC	DATAREADY" to "PMA_PH)	READY.indication	n					
change "PMA REM	IDATAREADY" to "PMA_RE	MPHYREADY.reau	est"					
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C							

CI 97 SC 97.2.2

IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

<i>Cl</i> 97 SC 97.2.2 Lo, William	P 64 Marvell Semico	L 38 nducto	# [4	<i>Cl</i> 97 Tu, Mike	SC 97.2.2	P 64 Broadcom	L 38	# 18
"rem_data_ready" to "rem However two newly defini PMA_REMDATAREADY should also have been re be consistent. There is also one place w PMA_REMDATAREADY SuggestedRemedy PMA_DATAREADY char Page 64 line 38 Page 65 line 28 Page 69 line 1, 8, 17 PMA_REMDATAREADY Page 64 line 40 Page 65 line 26 Page 70 line 17 PMA_DATAREADY char Page 70 line 1, 8	Comment Status A Ily renamed in D1.5 "loc_data n_phy_ready" to make the na- ed variables in D1.5 PMA_D/- enamed as PMA_LOCPHYRE where the wrong variable is us is erronously listed as PMA nge to PMA_LOCPHYREAD change to PMA_REMPHYREAD mage to PMA_REMPHYREAD Response Status C	ames more clear ATAREADY and EADY and PMA_ sed 97.2.2.10 _DATAREADY Y		1. PMA_ 2. PMA_ SuggestedRe 1. Chang 2. Chang The nece 1. Page (3. Page (4. Page (5. Page (6. Page (8. Page (8. Page (9. Page (9	e of primitive s DATAREADY REMDATARE emedy ge all occurren ge all occurren ge all occurren ge all occurren ge start cha 64 line 38 PMA 64 line 40 PMA 65 line 26 Figu 65 line 26 Figu 65 line 28 Figu 69 line 1 PMA 69 line 1 PMA 70 line 1 PMA 70 line 8 PMA 70 line 8 PMA 10 line 17 PM 70 line 17 PM	Comment Status A should match to the correspond ADY should be renamed to PMA_ ADY should be renamed to P ces of "PMA_DATAREADY" to ces of "PMA_REMDATAREADY" to ces of "PMA_REMDATAREADY anges include the following low A_DATAREADY => PMA_PHA A_REMDATAREADY => PMA_PHA A_REMDATAREADY => PMA_PHY DATAREADY => PMA_PHY A_DATAREADY => PMA_PHY A_DATAREADY => PMA_PHY A_DATAREADY => PMA_PHY A_DATAREADY => PMA_PHY A_DATAREADY => PMA_REM DATAREADY => PMA_REM A_REMDATAREADY => PMA_REM MA_REMDATAREADY => PMA_REM MA_REMDATAREADY => PMA_REM MA_REMDATAREADY => PMA_REM A_REMDATAREADY => PMA_REM A_REMARAMARAMARAMARAMARAMARAMARAMARAMARAMA	PHYREADY. MA_REMPHYR DY" to "PMA_PHYR DY" to "PMA_R Cations: YREADY A_REMPHYREA ADY => PMA_R READY READY YREADY YREADY IPHYREADY IPHYREADY	READY. EADY". EMPHYREADY". ADY EMPHYREADY HYREADY

See comments #18 and #58

CI 97 SC 97.2.2

IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

Regev, Alon	97.2.2	<i>Р</i> 64 Іхіа	L 40	# 57	C/ 97 SC 97.3.2.2.5 Lo, William		L 7 miconducto	# 7
	name for a se	Comment Status A rvice interface between F		LOCDATAREADY READY.request and	Comment Type TR Added control code in I	Comment Status A D1.5 but did not update ed	quations	
"PMA_REM "PMA_DATA	DATAREADY. AREAD.reques	st: On page 64, line 40 i request" and on page 70 st" ADY.request should be re	line 1 it is called		SuggestedRemedy Change TD[n][5:7] = 010 – IPG, to	, 101 – LPI, 001 – TX Erro	Dr	
		quest to match the name ny_ready during the last i		variable from	TD[n][5:7] = 010 – IPG (loc_phy_ready = NOT_	(loc_phy_ready = OK), 10 _OK)	01 – LPI, 001 – TX I	Error, 000 – IPG
SuggestedReme	ədy				Response	Response Status C		
0		"PMA_REMDATAREAD` est" to "PMA_REMPHYR	•		ACCEPT.			
Response		Response Status C	·		C/ 97 SC 97.3.2.3	P 81	L 53	# 52
•	I PRINCIPLE.				Regev, Alon	Ixia		
	ents #18 and #	58				Comment Status A efined for 1000BASE-T1 a	and it is only used in	n 1 place in the
CI 97 SC	97.3	P 72	L 11	# 54	specificaion.			
Regev, Alon		Ixia			SuggestedRemedy			
Comment Type	т	Comment Status A			changed "80B/81B orde	ered sets" to "80B/81B blo	ocks" to match the r	rest of the text.
In Figure 97		/_ready signal is missing	. It should be a s	olid arrow from the	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
	0							
	U U					Doo	/ 17	# 47
SuggestedReme	edy arrow from the	bottom of the figure to the	ne PCS Transmit	block and label is	Cl 97 SC 97.3.2.3 Chini, Ahmad	P 82 Broadcom	L 17	# [47
SuggestedReme Add a solid a "loc_phy_rea	edy arrow from the ady"	bottom of the figure to th	ne PCS Transmit	block and label is				# 47
SuggestedReme Add a solid a	edy arrow from the ady"		ne PCS Transmit	block and label is	Chini, Ahmad Comment Type T	Broadcom		
SuggestedReme Add a solid a "loc_phy_rea Response ACCEPT.	edy arrow from the ady"	bottom of the figure to th	ne PCS Transmit	block and label is # [<u>56</u>	Chini, Ahmad <i>Comment Type</i> T "Partial RS frame" is us	Broadcom Comment Status R sed in the mentioned page		
SuggestedReme Add a solid a "loc_phy_rea Response ACCEPT. Cl 97 SC Regev, Alon	edy arrow from the ady" F 97.3.2.2.16	bottom of the figure to the Response Status C			Chini, Ahmad Comment Type T "Partial RS frame" is us definition provided SuggestedRemedy	Broadcom Comment Status R sed in the mentioned page		
SuggestedReme Add a solid a "loc_phy_rea Response ACCEPT. Cl 97 SC Regev, Alon Comment Type "normal ope	edy arrow from the ady" <i>F</i> 97.3.2.2.16 T erational mode	bottom of the figure to the Response Status C P 81 Ixia Comment Status A	L 36 er mode". This w	# <u>56</u>	Chini, Ahmad Comment Type T "Partial RS frame" is us definition provided SuggestedRemedy Define "Partial RS fram	Broadcom Comment Status R sed in the mentioned page		
SuggestedReme Add a solid a "loc_phy_rea Response ACCEPT. C/ 97 SC Regev, Alon Comment Type "normal ope earlier comn	edy arrow from the ady" <i>F</i> 97.3.2.2.16 T erational mode ment (between	bottom of the figure to the Response Status C P 81 Ixia Comment Status A	L 36 er mode". This wa to mistake one o	# <u>56</u>	Chini, Ahmad Comment Type T "Partial RS frame" is us definition provided SuggestedRemedy Define "Partial RS fram Response	Broadcom Comment Status R sed in the mentioned page e" Response Status C		
SuggestedReme Add a solid a "loc_phy_rea Response ACCEPT. Cl 97 SC Regev, Alon Comment Type "normal ope earlier comm against D1.4	edy arrow from the ady" <i>F</i> 97.3.2.2.16 T erational mode' ment (between 4 it was reverte	bottom of the figure to the Response Status C P 81 Ixia Comment Status A should be "normal power D1.3 and D1.4), but due	L 36 er mode". This wa to mistake one o	# <u>56</u>	Chini, Ahmad Comment Type T "Partial RS frame" is us definition provided SuggestedRemedy Define "Partial RS fram Response REJECT.	Broadcom Comment Status R sed in the mentioned page e" Response Status C		
SuggestedReme Add a solid a "loc_phy_rea Response ACCEPT. Cl 97 SC Regev, Alon Comment Type "normal ope earlier comn against D1.4 SuggestedReme	edy arrow from the ady" F C 97.3.2.2.16 T erational mode' ment (between 4 it was reverte edy	bottom of the figure to the Response Status C P 81 Ixia Comment Status A should be "normal power D1.3 and D1.4), but due	<i>L</i> 36 er mode". This wa to mistake one o tional mode"	# 56 as corrected by an f my comments	Chini, Ahmad Comment Type T "Partial RS frame" is us definition provided SuggestedRemedy Define "Partial RS fram Response REJECT.	Broadcom Comment Status R sed in the mentioned page e" Response Status C		
SuggestedReme Add a solid a "loc_phy_rea Response ACCEPT. Cl 97 SC Regev, Alon Comment Type "normal ope earlier comn against D1.4 SuggestedReme	edy arrow from the ady" <i>F</i> 97.3.2.2.16 T erational mode ment (between 4 it was reverte edy , line 36, chang	bottom of the figure to the Response Status C P 81 Ixia Comment Status A 'should be "normal power D1.3 and D1.4), but due ed back to "normal operated	<i>L</i> 36 er mode". This wa to mistake one o tional mode"	# 56 as corrected by an f my comments	Chini, Ahmad Comment Type T "Partial RS frame" is us definition provided SuggestedRemedy Define "Partial RS fram Response REJECT.	Broadcom Comment Status R sed in the mentioned page e" Response Status C		
SuggestedReme Add a solid a "loc_phy_rea Response ACCEPT. Cl 97 SC Regev, Alon Comment Type "normal ope earlier comm against D1.4 SuggestedReme On page 81,	edy arrow from the ady" <i>F</i> 97.3.2.2.16 T erational mode ment (between 4 it was reverte edy , line 36, chang	bottom of the figure to the Response Status C P 81 Ixia Comment Status A should be "normal power D1.3 and D1.4), but due ed back to "normal operational m	<i>L</i> 36 er mode". This wa to mistake one o tional mode"	# 56 as corrected by an f my comments	Chini, Ahmad Comment Type T "Partial RS frame" is us definition provided SuggestedRemedy Define "Partial RS fram Response REJECT.	Broadcom Comment Status R sed in the mentioned page e" Response Status C		

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

C/ 97 SC 97.3.4.1 Tu, Mike	P 84 Broadcom	L 10	# 9	C/ 97 SC 97.3.6 McClellan, Brett	A.2.2 P 105 Marvell	L 46	# 51
Comment Type TR (The equations should be re	Comment Status A eformatted for accuracy.				Comment Status A guity on when tx_lpi_active is		
SuggestedRemedy See tu_3bp_01_0715.pdf.				We should clarify w SuggestedRemedy	hether tx_lpi_active is set TRI	JE by a single sym	bol or an entire block
•	esponse Status C				E detected on GMII during the ed on GMII during the entire la		k"
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Use equation per tu_3bp_0)1a_0715.pdf.			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 97 SC 97.3.4.1 Tu, Mike	P 84 Broadcom	L 7	# 10	Comment is agains document!	t page 88, line 26 - please cor	nment against CLE	AN version of the
Comment Type ER (Replace "Infofield" with "Int	Comment Status A foField".			Cl 97 SC 97.3.6 Lo, William	.2.2 P 88 Marvell Ser	L 47 miconducto	# 3
SuggestedRemedy Change "Infofield" to "InfoF 1. Page 84 line 7 (end of p. 2. Page 84 line 9 (within Ed 3. Page 96 line 36 (title os 4. page 96 line 51.	aragrapph). quation 97-7).	ions:		Comment Type TR The x sign in lines 4 They were correct in We want a logic AN SuggestedRemedy Change "x" to "*"			
Response R ACCEPT.	esponse Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 97 SC 97.3.5 Jim, Graba	P 85 Broadcom Cor	L 4 poration	# 19	C/ 97 SC 97.3.7 Jim, Graba		L 6 Corporation	# 20
In Figure 97-11 the numbe Refresh occurs in units of t SuggestedRemedy		confused with I	lpi_offset (195).	Comment Type TR "A latch high view o This is a typographi 3.2305.11 is allocat SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A f this status is reflected in MD cal error since 3.2305.9 is alre ed for Tx LPI received.	IIO register 3.2305.	

IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

bt clear wh Frame is n dRemedy b line 5 "Th ed." PT IN PR	hether the state not received at t The variable will <i>Resp</i> RINCIPLE.		the next Normal	
o line 5 "Tr red." PT IN PR	The variable will <i>Resp</i> RINCIPLE.	oonse Status C		
PT IN PR	, RINCIPLE.	-		_
	he variable reta	ains its value until the	s port pormal late	–
				er-Frame idle is
SC 97	7.4.4.1	P 129 Marvell	L 34	# 49
Туре в	E Com s assigned, not	nment Status A		
d <i>Remedy</i> je "defined		", also on line 39		
PT.	Resp	oonse Status C		
	jainst page 103,	, line 42 - please com	nment against CL	LEAN version of the
	in line 47, same	e page.		
	ocument!	ocument!		

C/ 97 SC 97.4.4.1 Page 14 of 16 7/13/2015 9:50:31 PM

IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

CI 97	SC 97.5.5.2		L 39	# 44		SC 97.5.5.2.4		L 40	# 43		
hini, Ahm	nad	Broadcom			Chini, Ahmac	1	Broadcom				
	to provide addit	Comment Status R tional statement for cable requ			Comment Ty In table 9		Comment Status R for E1 and E2 are the same.				
to include aircraft, railway, bus and heavy trucks. The existing requirements for Type B cables do not cover all these applications.						SuggestedRemedy Update the table 97-13 to reflect a new class of requirement for E2. Also note that Class E2 needs to be 10dB tighter than E1 and E3 to be 20dB tighter than E1 in order to match					
	dRemedy ne following stat	tement on line 42.			97-12 red		3 requirement may need to be				
require	ements that is r	cations using 40m link segme not covered by this subclause	nt, there may be and needs to be	additional or different satisfied and agreed	Response REJECT		Response Status C				
betwee besponse		er and the supplier. Response Status C					ally correct as is, and different ubstantial number of other par				
REJE	CT.	, -			<i>Cl</i> 97 Remein, Dua	SC 97.7.3	<i>P</i> 132 Huawei	L 8	# 27		
		/hat the standard does not cov t of RFI/RFP and not standard		ustomer specific	Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status R				
/ 97 hini, Ahm	SC 97.5.5.2 nad	2.4 P 119 Broadcom	L 27	# 46	CI 45 is option and should not be made mandatory, in whole or in part, for any modern PHY. "MMD3 of the Clause 45 Management Data Input/Output (MDIO) interface shall be						
Comment Table	<i>Type</i> ER 97-13 does not	Comment Status A				as the logical nent purposes	interface to access the device ."	e registers for C	AM and other		
Suggested chang	-				PICS with		sidered mandatory then I woul w requriements added to that				
E1, E2	2, E3 or E4.				SuggestedRe	emedy					
to					is found i	n 82.3.1	comment adopting the wordir	-			
E1, E2 Response	2 or E3.	Response Status C			provide c variables	ontrol and sta to PCS contro	tus information for and about of variables is shown in Table	the PCS. Mapp 82–10. Mappin	ing of MDIO control		
ACCE					variables to PMD status variables is shown in Table 82–11." Response Response Status C						
					REJECT						
							es the current text is consister hich this project is building on		text from other		

C/ 97 SC 97.7.3 Page 15 of 16 7/13/2015 9:50:32 PM

IEEE P802.3bp D1.5 1000BASE-T1 PHY Task Force 5th Task Force review comments

C/ 97 SC 97.7.3 Remein, Duane	<i>P</i> 132 Huawei	L 8	# 28	C/ 99 SC 99 Chini, Ahmad	P1 Broadcom	L 17	# 45
82–10 & Table 82–11. the standard. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status R 07-15 is very similare ot variou The structure shoudl match a header & columns) to match	as well to help n	naintain consistency in	SuggestedRemedy Change	Comment Status A ent from one used in the text.		
Response REJECT.	Response Status C			Twisted Pair Copper to Balanced Twisted P			
We are consistently in more functional. <i>Cl</i> 98 <i>SC</i> 98.2.1.1. Lo, William	consistent Task Force beli 1 <i>P</i> 152 Marvell Semi	L 40	t format is correct and # 8	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Comment Type TR Text makes no sense manner.	Comment Status A when random polarity is deter	mined in an imp	elementation specific				
SuggestedRemedy Delete the following te If the bit is a 1 then the negative.	xt: e starting polarity is positive, o	otherwise the sta	arting polarity is				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						
Actual location *seems	s to be* page 160, line 40.						

C/ 99 SC 99