"receive" should be changed to "receiver".

Response ACCEPT.

Response Status C

Cl 45 SC	45.2.1.136.2	P 36	L 4	!5 #	147
Geoff Thompson		GraCa	SI S.A.		
Comment Type Missing article	_	Comment Status	A		oos
SuggestedRemed	ly				
		"when both the le		d link partner"	
Response ACCEPT.	R	Pesponse Status	С		
C/ 45 SC	45.2.1.136.3	P 36	L 5	52 #	148
Geoff Thompson		GraCa	SI S.A.		
Comment Type Missing article	_	Comment Status	A		oos
SuggestedRemed	ly				
		"when both the le		l link partner"	
Response ACCEPT.	R	Pesponse Status	С		
CI 97 SC 9	97.3.6.3	P 91	L 5	50 #	129
Klaus, Andrew		Marvel	I		
Comment Type "receive" shou	_	Comment Status ed to "receiver".	A		
SuggestedRemed	ly				

CI 97 Klaus, An	SC 97.3.8.2. 1 idrew	P 97 Marvell	L 5	# [130
	<i>t Type</i> E y" should be chan	Comment Status A ged to "Parity"		oos
	dRemedy y" should be chan	ged to "Parity"		
Response ACCE		Response Status C		
Cl 97 Klaus, An	SC 97.5.3.2 idrew	P 133 Marvell	L 44	# [131
Comment "Can		Comment Status A hanged to "Canceller".		
	edRemedy celer" should be c	hanged to "Canceller".		
Response	•	Response Status C		

Cl 97 SC 97.5.4.1 P 136 L 30 # 137 Spirent Communicatio Estes, Dave

Comment Status D Comment Type Т

OOS

CI 97

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A 0.0S

This comment is out of scope however I hope you can include in this comment round anyways.

The calculation for frame error ratio is overly strict. Due to the fact that the RS blocks contain 450 octets of data, a single uncorrected RS block will corrupt three or four 125octet frames at line rate, making the observed frame error ratio 3 or 4 times higher than the limit. Also, to make it similar to 10GBASE-T, the frame error ratio specification should use frames that are twice the size of the RS block.

The math should be 10^{-10} * 7200 bits per frame * 1.47 = 1.06 * 10^{-6} .

The 1.47 factor is because there is a 47% chance at minimum IPG that an uncorrectable RS block will corrupt 2 frames.

When separated by a larger IPG, there is no chance that a single uncorrectable RS block will corrupt more than one frame. However, each frame requires that 3 RS blocks are correct (because there needs to be preamble before each frame meaning that 908 bytes needs to be correct). So the math is 10\^-10 * 10800 bits per frame = 1.08 * 10\^-6.

1.06 * 10^-6 is stricter so that should be the value used.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the frame error ratio specification to be similar to that in 10GBASE-T by using data frames that are twice the size of the RS block and account for the different scenarios of line rate traffic and large IPG.

Change:

"This specification shall be satisfied by a frame error ratio less than 10^-7 for 125-octet frames."

to:

"This specification shall be satisfied by a frame error ratio less than 1.06 × 10^-6 for 900 octet frames with minimum IPG or greater than 500 octet IPG."

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

132 Klaus, Andrew Marvell

L 26

P 138

Figures 97-36 and 97-37 and 97-38 and 97-39 have been updated. Thanks for these corrections.

In Figure 97-36, the "y" in the "Frequency (MHz)" is cut off by the text below it. Please edit to may the "y" readable.

Same issue with Figures 97-37 and 97-38 and 97-39.

SuggestedRemedy

Please edit to may the "y" readable.

SC 97.5.5.1.1

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 97 SC 97.5.5.1.3 P 138 L 44 # 133 Klaus, Andrew Marvell

Comment Type Comment Status A

008

In equation 97-17, "ReturnLoss" should be changed to "Return Loss".

SuggestedRemedy

In equation 97-17, "ReturnLoss" should be changed to "Return Loss". Same issue in Page 142, Line 4, and Page 147, Line 22.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 97 SC 97.5.5.3.4 P 145 L 29 # 144 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology

oos Comment Type T Comment Status D y-axis is mislabeled in Figure 97-42

SuggestedRemedy

Change y-axis label from "Return loss (dB)" to "PSAACRF (dB)"

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 97 SC 97.6.2.1 P 147 L 22 # 146 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology

Comment Status D Comment Type

OOS

The MDI return loss specification is limiting for PoDL applications due to the constraint it places on open-circuit inductance (OCL)

SuggestedRemedy

Relax the low frequency corner from 10MHz to a higher frequency. For example, relaxing the corner frequency from 10MHz to 50MHz reduces PHY SNR by 0.4dB while decreasing PoDL OCL by a factor of 5.

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 97 # 142 SC 97.6.2.1 P **147** L 51 Dinh, Thuyen Pulse Electronics

Comment Type Comment Status R oos Ε

Return Loss figure is incorrectly referenced as PSANEXT.

SuggestedRemedy

Change caption to read "Figure 97-43- MDI Return Loss calculated using equation (97-29)

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

This text is out of scope for the current recirculation. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment during sponsor ballot.

CI 97 SC 97.6.2.1 P 147 L 51 # 143 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology

Comment Status D Comment Type T

Figure 97-43 caption is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

Change Figure 97-43 caption to read "return loss as calculated in equation 97-29"

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

CI 97 SC 97.10.6 P 154 L 31 # 134 Klaus, Andrew Marvell Comment Type Comment Status A oos "synchonization" should be changed to "synchronization". SuggestedRemedy "synchonization" should be changed to "synchronization". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. CI 97 SC 97.10.8 P 157 L 24 # 135 Klaus, Andrew Marvell Comment Type Comment Status A oos "sufficently" should be changed to "sufficiently". SuggestedRemedy "sufficently" should be changed to "sufficiently". Response Response Status C ACCEPT. CI 97 SC 97.10.11 P 162 L 38 # 136 Klaus, Andrew Marvell Comment Type Comment Status A oos "Synchonize" should be changed to "Synchronize".

SuggestedRemedy

"Synchonize" should be changed to "Synchronize".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

oos

oos

oos

Cl 97A SC 97A.2 P 201 L 22 # 145

Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology

Comment Type T Comment Status D OOS
30cm should be 30mm

SuggestedRemedy
See comment

See comment

Proposed Response Response

Response Status Z

Comment Status D

Comment Status R

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 97.5. SC 97.5.5.3.4 P145 L 29 # 138
moffitt, bryan commscope

Need correct axis label

Comment Type E

SuggestedRemedy
Return Loss should be PSAACRF

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Need correct figure label

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

PSANEXT should be MDI RL

Response Status C

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

C/ 97A.2 SC 97A.2 P 201 L 22 # 140 moffitt, bryan commscope Comment Type E Comment Status R oos The figures are correct but this is a typo. SuggestedRemedy 30 cm should be 30 mm Response Response Status C REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. C/ 97B.1 SC 97B.1.1 P 205 L 22 # 141 moffitt, bryan commscope Comment Type E oos Comment Status R missing fig 97B-4 SuggestedRemedy change: in Figure 97B-2, Figure 97B-3, and Figure 97B-5. in Figure 97B-2, Figure 97B-3, Figure 97B-4, and Figure 97B-5. Response Response Status C REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.