"receive" should be changed to "receiver".

"receive" should be changed to "receiver".

Response Status W

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45	SC 45.2.1.13	6.2 P 36	L 45	# 147
Geoff Thompson		GraCaSI S.A.		
Comment Missi	t Type E ng article	Comment Status D		oos
Chan		ext: "when both the local he local device and its link		rtner"
•	l Response POSED ACCEPT	Response Status W		
C/ 45	SC 45.2.1.13	6.3 P 36	L 52	# 148
Geoff Tho	ompson	GraCaSI S	5.A.	
Comment Missi	<i>t Type</i> E ng article	Comment Status D		oos
Suggeste	dRemedy			
		ext: "when both the local he local device and its link		rtner"
Proposed	l Response	Response Status W		
PROI	POSED ACCEPT			
C/ 97	SC 97.3.6.3	P 91	L 50	# [129
Klaus, Andrew		Marvell		
Commen	t Type E	Comment Status D		

CI 97	SC 97.3.8.2.1	P 9	7	L 5	# 130	
Klaus, Andrew		Marvell				
Comment Type E "Pariy" should be changed		Comment Status ed to "Parity"	D			oos
	<i>dRemedy</i> y" should be chang	ed to "Parity"				
•	l Response POSED ACCEPT.	Response Status	w			
C/ 97	SC 97.5.3.2	P1	33	L 44	# 131	
Klaus, An	drew	Marve	ell		<u> </u>	
Commen	t Type E	Marve Comment Status anged to "Canceller	D			
Comment "Cand Suggeste	t Type E celer" should be ch rdRemedy	Comment Status	D ".			

Comment Type T Comment Status D

oos

This comment is out of scope however I hope you can include in this comment round anyways.

The calculation for frame error ratio is overly strict. Due to the fact that the RS blocks contain 450 octets of data, a single uncorrected RS block will corrupt three or four 125-octet frames at line rate, making the observed frame error ratio 3 or 4 times higher than the limit. Also, to make it similar to 10GBASE-T, the frame error ratio specification should use frames that are twice the size of the RS block.

The math should be 10^{-10} * 7200 bits per frame * 1.47 = 1.06 * 10^{-6} .

The 1.47 factor is because there is a 47% chance at minimum IPG that an uncorrectable RS block will corrupt 2 frames.

When separated by a larger IPG, there is no chance that a single uncorrectable RS block will corrupt more than one frame. However, each frame requires that 3 RS blocks are correct (because there needs to be preamble before each frame meaning that 908 bytes needs to be correct). So the math is 10^-10 * 10800 bits per frame = 1.08 * 10^-6.

1.06 * 10^-6 is stricter so that should be the value used.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the frame error ratio specification to be similar to that in 10GBASE-T by using data frames that are twice the size of the RS block and account for the different scenarios of line rate traffic and large IPG.

Change:

"This specification shall be satisfied by a frame error ratio less than 10^-7 for 125-octet frames."

to:

"This specification shall be satisfied by a frame error ratio less than 1.06×10^{4} –6 for 900 octet frames with minimum IPG or greater than 500 octet IPG."

Proposed Response Response Status Z

PROPOSED REJECT.

This text is out of scope for the current recirculation. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment during sponsor ballot.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Comment Type E Comment Status D
Figures 97-36 and 97-37 and 97-38 and 97-39 have been updated. Thanks for these

corrections. In Figure 97-36, the "y" in the "Frequency (MHz)" is cut off by the text below it. Please edit to may the "y" readable.

Same issue with Figures 97-37 and 97-38 and 97-39.

SuggestedRemedy

Please edit to may the "y" readable.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Comment Type E Comment Status D

oos

oos

0.0S

In equation 97-17, "ReturnLoss" should be changed to "Return Loss".

SuggestedRemedy

In equation 97-17, "ReturnLoss" should be changed to "Return Loss".

Same issue in Page 142, Line 4, and Page 147, Line 22.

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 97 SC 97.5.5.3.4 P145 L 29 # 144

Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology

Comment Type T Comment Status D

y-axis is mislabeled in Figure 97-42

SuggestedRemedy

Change y-axis label from "Return loss (dB)" to "PSAACRF (dB)"

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This text is out of scope for the current recirculation. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment during sponsor ballot.

CI 97 SC 97.6.2.1 P147 L 22 # 146
Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology

Comment Type T Comment Status D

oos

The MDI return loss specification is limiting for PoDL applications due to the constraint it places on open-circuit inductance (OCL).

SuggestedRemedy

Relax the low frequency corner from 10MHz to a higher frequency. For example, relaxing the corner frequency from 10MHz to 50MHz reduces PHY SNR by 0.4dB while decreasing PoDL OCL by a factor of 5.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This text is out of scope for the current recirculation. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment during sponsor ballot.

Cl 97 SC 97.6.2.1 P147 L51 # 142

Dinh, Thuyen Pulse Electronics

Comment Type E Comment Status D OOS

Return Loss figure is incorrectly referenced as PSANEXT.

SuggestedRemedy

Change caption to read "Figure 97-43- MDI Return Loss calculated using equation (97-29)

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This text is out of scope for the current recirculation. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment during sponsor ballot.

Cl 97 SC 97.6.2.1 P147 L 51 # 143

Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology

- - -

Comment Type T Comment Status D 00S

Figure 97-43 caption is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

Change Figure 97-43 caption to read "return loss as calculated in equation 97-29"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This text is out of scope for the current recirculation. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment during sponsor ballot.

CI 97 SC 97.10.6 P 154 L 31 # 134 Klaus, Andrew Marvell Comment Status D Comment Type oos "synchonization" should be changed to "synchronization". SuggestedRemedy "synchonization" should be changed to "synchronization". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. Cl 97 P 157 SC 97.10.8 L 24 # 135 Klaus, Andrew Marvell Comment Type Comment Status D oos "sufficently" should be changed to "sufficiently". SuggestedRemedy "sufficently" should be changed to "sufficiently". Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT. CI 97 SC 97.10.11 P 162 L 38 # 136 Klaus, Andrew Marvell Comment Type Comment Status D oos "Synchonize" should be changed to "Synchronize".

SuggestedRemedy

"Synchonize" should be changed to "Synchronize".

Proposed Response Response Status W PROPOSED ACCEPT.

 Cl 97A
 SC 97A.2
 P 201
 L 22
 # 145

 Gardner, Andrew
 Linear Technology

 Comment Type
 T
 Comment Status
 D
 OOS

30cm should be 30mm

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This text is out of scope for the current recirculation. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment during sponsor ballot.

C/ 97.5. SC 97.5.5.3.4 P 145 L 29 # 138
moffitt, bryan commscope

Comment Type E Comment Status D 00S

Need correct axis label

SuggestedRemedy

Return Loss should be PSAACRF

Proposed Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This text is out of scope for the current recirculation. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment during sponsor ballot.

Need correct figure label

SuggestedRemedy

PSANEXT should be MDI RL

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This text is out of scope for the current recirculation. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment during sponsor ballot.

 CI 97A.2
 SC 97A.2
 P 201
 L 22
 # 140

 moffitt, bryan
 commscope

 Comment Type
 E
 Comment Status
 D
 OOS

The figures are correct but this is a typo.

SuggestedRemedy

30 cm should be 30 mm

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This text is out of scope for the current recirculation. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment during sponsor ballot.

C/ 97B.1 SC 97B.1.1 P 205 L 22 # 141

moffitt, bryan commscope

Comment Type E Comment Status D OOS

missing fig 97B-4

SuggestedRemedy

change:

in Figure 97B-2, Figure 97B-3, and Figure 97B-5.

to:

in Figure 97B-2, Figure 97B-3, Figure 97B-4, and Figure 97B-5.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

This text is out of scope for the current recirculation. The commenter is invited to resubmit this comment during sponsor ballot.