

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 25 L 12 # r01-23
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

The response to my D3.0 comment on removing unnecessary lists of amendments was unsatisfactory, and the primary reason I did not flip my disapprove vote. In discussion with our publication editors at the Atlanta meeting, I understood their instruction to be to only include reference to an amendment when it is relevant to the editing instruction. In this case and most other instructions with a parenthetical list, the list has nothing to do with the insertion point for new content. In looking at this for P802.3bv (assuming it could be Amendment 9), if following this format, I would be listing six amendments that inserted something into the SYNTAX before bv, none of which are relevant to the insert point specified. The insert point can be specified clearly in all of the seven amendments inserting into this attribute without a list of previous amendments.) This draft (though not all 802.3 drafts in ballot) is also inconsistent. The list is included in SYNTAX, but not in BEHAVIOUR, both are part of an attribute specification. Similarly, this draft inserts into 1.5, but correctly does not list all amendments that have modified that alphanumeric list.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the parenthetical list of amendments in editing instructions and only include reference to an amendment when it is necessary to specify the insertion point. *Eight times in clause 30, two times in clause 45,

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Please see the guidelines "Listing of prior amendments in editing instructions", located at the following URL: http://ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html

Cl 97 SC 97.6.4.2 P 146 L 54 # r01-41
 Schicketanz, Dieter Reutlingen University

Comment Type TR Comment Status R TF

see explanation at clause 97.6.4.4

SuggestedRemedy

insert at line 54 " for local environment E3" line 54 would read..... segment.shall meet for local environment E3 the values determined using equation (97-27).

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Current specification reflects Task Force consensus that the alien crosstalk limits apply to "all" type B link segments consistent with the use of shield or screen.

Cl 97 SC 97.6.4.4 P 147 L 27 # r01-40
 Schicketanz, Dieter Reutlingen University

Comment Type TR Comment Status R TF

In Atlanta there was a deathlock around a similar comment. To solve this the comment was withdrawn. Additionally there was a linkage between coupling attenuation and PSAACRF which was not intended to but at the end was discussed as being of major importance. As for type B links there are 3 specified local environments it should be indicated to what level it is meant. Type A link has a ~20 dB lower specification.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert at line 28 after " shall meet" and before " the values" for local environment E3 .
 Line 28 would read :.....shall meet for local environment E3 the values determined using Equation(97-28) or 70 dB, whichever is less

Response Response Status U

REJECT.

Current specification reflects Task Force consensus that the alien crosstalk limits apply to "all" type B link segments consistent with the use of shield or screen.

This comment is essentially a restatement of comments i-7 and i-8 from Initial Sponsor Ballot on draft D3.0 (http://www.ieee802.org/3/bp/comments/8023bp_D30_approved_A.pdf)