### Echo Cancelling Power For 1Gbps 1TP RTPGE with 15m Category cabling

Will Bliss, Broadcom

IEEE P802.3 RTPGE Study Group

Phoenix, AZ

January 22, 2013

### **Motivation and Overview**

- The use of 1TP solutions requires full duplex 'echo cancelling'
- Echo cancelling is known to be 'hard', so the question is raised, "How much implementation power is required for echo cancelling?
- A simple analytic model of the power to cancel echo is introduced
- Results for different cable categories and variable analog bandwidth are given
- Power results are given with respect to the latest design 10GBASE-T PHYs (which everyone has a good idea of total power)
  - The actual 'echo cancelling' power must be less than this total PHY power

## **Channel Assumptions**

- Effects due to board, magnetics and associated connectors not considered
  - We will operate at relatively low BW, so these can easily be quite minor
- For a simple first look, we use IL and RL specifications of Class Ea (Category 6a) cable specifications
  - 2-connector (+2 end cords) channel model was used (may be pessimistic)

# **SNR Margin to Capacity Definition**

- See development details in grimwood01\_0113NGBT.pdf in www.ieee802.org/3/NGBASET
- Let *BW* be the design analog bandwidth in Hz.
- Let C' be the desired capacity for one twisted pair (=1Gb/s)
- From Shannon-Hartley the theoretical min SNR in dB is given by

$$SNR_{C} = 10 \log_{10}(2^{(C'/BW)} - 1)$$

 For each cable parameter, define the SNR margin to capacity, SNR\_margin<sub>cable\_param</sub>(BW), as the required constant change in loss across all frequencies in order to reach SNR<sub>c</sub>.

## **SNR Margin to Capacity Equation**

• From Shannon-Hartley,

$$C = \int_0^{BW} \log_2((S(f)/N(f)) + 1)df$$

- For reasonable bandwidths, S(f)/N(f) >> 1 at capacity.
- Express C' as a function of  $SNR\_margin_{cable\_param}(BW)$ :  $C' = \int_{0}^{BW} log_{2} \left( (S(f)/N(f)) * 10^{(SNR\_margin_{cable\_param}(BW)/10)} \right) df$
- Solve for  $SNR\_margin_{cable\_param}(BW)$  to get the following:  $SNR\_margin_{cable\_param}(BW) = \frac{(C - C') * 10log_{10}(2)}{BW}$

# **Margin Assumptions for RTPGE**

- Allow significantly sub-optimal 'coding' that operates 8dB from the Shannon limit
  - 10GBASE-T operates 4.7dB from capacity
  - Current aggressive PHY proposals target <= 4dB</li>
  - Target of 8dB can be met with a relatively modest code with ~6dB coding gain
- Allow another 6dB for Implementation Margin (against the unknown)
  - More than has been allowed for 10GBASE-T
  - More than proposed for some Ethernet PHYs under development
- When calculating 'allowed impairment' (for incompletely cancelled echo here) we'll allow the 6dB implementation margin to be degraded to 5dB

### **Return Loss Overview**

- Analyze combined effect of return loss and insertion loss.
- Determine the margin to capacity, *SNR\_margin<sub>RL</sub>*, based on the ratio of the far-end signal to the local echo.
- Provide a simple model for the PHY power to cancel echo.
- Estimate gains in power efficiency that can be realized by improving return loss.

#### **Return Loss Specifications**



IEEE 802.3bp RTPGE Task Force Jan 2013

### **Insertion Loss Specifications 15m**



IEEE 802.3bp RTPGE Task Force Jan 2013

## **Return Loss Margin to Capacity**



- Required Echo Cancellation = code\_margin + impl\_margin<sub>RL</sub> – SNR\_margin<sub>RL</sub>.
- 55 dB for 10GBASE-T
- For >= 250MHz analog BW, the echo cancellation required for RTPGE is reduced by over 39-4 =35 dB compared to 10GBASE-T
- And only 1TP to cancel vs. 4TP

### **Echo Canceling Relative Power Model**

• Define a new power model that reflects the relative power consumption due to echo cancellation:

 $P_{RL} \propto BW * 2^{\left(\frac{code\_margin+impl\_marginRL-SNR\_margin_{RL}(BW)}{20*log10(2)}\right)}$ 

- The term (code\_margin + impl\_margin<sub>RL</sub> SNR\_margin<sub>RL</sub>(BW)) reflects the dynamic range of cancellation required
- The dynamic range required is closely related to the required ENOB for ADC and DAC and noise floor
- The above equation very effectively captures the power of well designed analog circuits achieving this BW and the effective 'ENOB'
  - Add reference
- The above equation has been argued as a good first order prediction for the total PHY power
- We don't explicitly consider the power breakdown for the electronic hybrid function

#### **1TP RTPGE Echo Canceling Relative Power vs. Bandwidth**



- The power plotted is relative to the echo cancelling power calculated for 10GBASE-T
- The minimum occurs for analog BandWidths between 200 and 250MHz
- The worst cable still achieves power < 3.5 thousandths of 10GBASE
- IF we say all the 10GBASE-T PHY power is spent on echo cancelling, then using published numbers of 3W PHY power, this puts RTPGE echo cancelling power = 10mW

## Conclusions

- The overall complexity of sending 1Gbps on 1TP over 15m of CAT6a (class Ea) like cabling is trivial compared to 10GBASE-T
  - The 'communications complexity' is reduced by over 99%!
  - The industry may wish to consider using cables with less copper, as the low frequencies and short reach makes the Insertion Loss rather trivial
  - As an aside (not proven here), the industry may also wish to consider using more plastic (spacing) to control proximity to other wiring and thus control 'Alien' (not studied in this presentation)
- The added complexity of 'Full Duplex' (only 1TP for bi-directional traffic) is very low under these conditions, estimated less than 10mW
  - Market users need to decide whether ~10mW power is worth eliminating half the cables and connections

## Thank you