

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

CI 113 SC 113.3.5.3 P 110 L 33 # 123
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor
 Comment Type T Comment Status D Training
 Need to zero out info field
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change:
 as is shown in Figure 113–14
 to:
 as is shown in Figure 113–14 with the exception that the InfoField consists
 of a sequence of 128 zeros.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.6.1 P 160 L 9 # 124
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Autoneg
 Auto-Negotiation is not used to determine fast retrain capability or EEE capability
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete items d) and e)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.78 P 40 L 23 # 125
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Management
 P8023_D3p2_SECTION4.pdf page 114 line 22
 mentions 1.25ns resolution and 2.5 ns accuracy.
 This presumes 1.25ns symbol time in 10GBASE-T.
 Need to adjust this for 0.3125ns for 40GBASE-T
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add text to differentiate
 1.25 ns resolution 2.5ns accuracy for 10GBASE-T
 0.3125 ns resolution 0.625 ns accuracy for 40GBASE-T
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Make change scalable with symbol period:
 Add edit to change text of 45.2.1.78 as follows:
 From: It is reported with 1.25 ns resolution to an accuracy of 2.5 ns.
 To: It is reported with resolution equal to one symbol period (see 55.1.3 and 113.1.2) of the
 PHY (e.g. 1.25ns for 10GBASE-T) to an accuracy of two symbol periods (e.g., 2.5ns for
 10GBASE-T).
 From: If the delay exceed the maximum amount that can be represented by the range (-80 ns
 to +78.75 ns), the field displays the maximum respective value.
 To: If the delay exceeds the maximum amount that can be represented by the range (-64
 symbols to +63 symbols), the field displays the maximum respective value.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11.9 P 50 L 45 # 126
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor
 Comment Type T Comment Status D Training
 Add a clarifying sentence since fast retrain ability is not advertised
 during auto-neg.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add following at end of paragraph.
 This bit is valid only after link is established.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13 P 51 L 1 # 127
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Management
 40GBASE-T EEE ability is not advertised via the Extended next page
 It is exchanged via the InfoField
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete the following:
 or the 40GBASE-T Extended Next Page as defined in 113.6.1
 and change to:
 . For 40GBASE-T the EEE advertisement is exchanged in the InfoField during training as
 defined in 113.4.2.5.10
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13.4a P 51 L 24 # 128
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor
 Comment Type T Comment Status D Training
 Clarify the the EEE bit is exchanged via InfoField and not wia extended next page
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete current paragraph and replace with:
 Bit 7.60.9 is used to select whether or not the 40GBASE-T PHY advertises the
 ability to support EEE. EEE ability is exchanged during link training, see
 126.4.2.5.10. If bit 7.60.9 is set to one, the PHY shall advertise EEE
 ability. If bit 7.60.9 is set to zero, the PHY shall not advertise EEE
 ability.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.14 P 52 L # 129
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor
 Comment Type T Comment Status D Training
 P8023_D3p2_SECTION4.pdf page 259 line 45 to page 260 line 1
 mentions the EEE LP bits are updated after Auto-Neg completed.
 This is not true for 40GBASE-T.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the following sentence after the paragraph to clarify:
 In 40GBASE-T the EEE ability is exchanged in the InfoField during link training. The
 40GBASE-T EEE LP ability register is updated after link is established.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.x P 46 L # 130

Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Management

The THP Bypass Request in PMA_Coeff_Exchstate bit is defined in 113.4.2.5.10 but there are no registers defined to exchange this.

Suggested Remedy

Page 46 lines 45, 46 Table 45-200
 Change "MultiGBASE-T AN control" to "MultiGBASE-T AN control 1"
 Change "MultiGBASE-T AN status" to "MultiGBASE-T AN status 1"
 Add 7.64, MultiGBASE-T AN control 2, subclause 45.2.7.14a
 Add 7.65, MultiGBASE-T AN status 2, subclause 45.2.7.14b

Also apply the heading changes above to 45.2.7.10 and 45.2.7.11 and the table headings in the section

Add section
 45.2.7.14a MultiGBASE-T AN control 2 (Register 7.64)
 Register 7.64 is a continuation of register 7.32.

Add a table
 7.64.0 40GBASE-T THP Bypass Request
 0 = Local device requests link partner not to reset THP during fast retrain
 1 = Local device requests link partner to initially reset THP during fast retrain
 R/W

Add a section
 45.2.7.14a.1 40GBASE-T THP Bypass Request
 Bit 7.64.0 is valid only if 7.32.3 is set to one advertising fast retrain ability, and is used to request the link partner whether to initially reset the THP during fast retrain. THP Bypass Request is exchanged during link training, see 113.4.2.5.10. If bit 7.64.0 is set to zero the local device requests link partner not to reset THP during fast retrain. If bit 7.64.0 is set to one the local device requests link partner to initially reset THP during fast retrain.

Add section
 45.2.7.14b MultiGBASE-T AN control 2 (Register 7.65)
 Register 7.65 is a continuation of register 7.33.

Add a table
 7.65.0 40GBASE-T Link Partner THP Bypass Request
 0 = Link partner requests local device not to reset THP during fast retrain
 1 = Link Partner requests local device to initially reset THP during fast retrain
 RO

Add a section
 45.2.7.14b.1 40GBASE-T Link Partner THP Bypass Request

Bit 7.65.0 is valid only if 7.33.0 is set to one indicating that the link partner has fast retrain ability.
 When read as a zero, the link partner requests local device not to reset THP during fast retrain.
 When read as a one, the link Partner requests local device to initially reset THP during fast retrain.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Allocate bit 9 of the MultiGBASE-T AN control register and bit 7 of the MultiGBASE-T AN status register to 40GBASE-T THP Bypass request, with the descriptions (Table 45-207 (P47 L23))
 (change reserved row to allocate bit 9)
 insert row:
 7.32.9
 40GBASE-T THP Bypass Request
 0 = Local device requests link partner not to reset THP during fast retrain
 1 = Local device requests link partner to initially reset THP during fast retrain
 RO

Insert clause and renumber inserted subsequent inserted clauses in subclause 45.2.7.10:
 45.2.7.10b 40GBASE-T THP Bypass Request
 Bit 7.32.9 is valid only if 7.32.3 is set to one advertising fast retrain ability, and is used to request the link partner whether to initially reset the THP during fast retrain. THP Bypass Request is exchanged during link training, see 113.4.2.5.10. If bit 7.32.9 is set to zero the local device requests link partner not to reset THP during fast retrain. If bit 7.32.9 is set to one the local device requests link partner to initially reset THP during fast retrain.

Change Table 47-208 (P49 L19) as follows:
 change reserved row to release bit 7
 insert row:
 7.33.7
 0 = Link Partner requests local device not to reset THP during fast retrain
 1 = Link Partner requests local device to initially reset THP during fast retrain
 RO

Insert section 45.2.7.11b and renumber subsequent inserted clauses in 45.2.7.11b 40GBASE-T Link Partner THP Bypass Request
 Bit 7.33.7 is valid only if 7.33.0 is set to one indicating that the link partner has fast retrain ability.
 When read as a zero, the link partner requests local device not to reset THP during fast retrain.
 When read as a one, the link Partner requests local device to initially reset THP during fast retrain.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 78 SC 78.3 P 59 L # 131
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Autoneg

P8023_D3p2_SECTION6.pdf page 40 line starting in line 26 makes a blanket statement about EEE capabilities being exchanged during Auto-Negotiation. This is not true for 40GBASE-T

SuggestedRemedy

Change line 26 from
 The EEE capability shall be advertised....
 to
 With the exception of 40GBASE-T the EEE capability shall be advertised....

Add to the end of the first paragraph:
 The EEE capability for 40GBASE-T shall be advertised during link training according to clause 126.4.2.5.10.

Add to the end of the second paragraph:
 The same applies to 40GBASE-T except the EEE capabilities are exchanged and resolved during link training instead of during Auto-Negotiation

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.6.1.2 P 161 L 42 # 132
 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type T Comment Status D Autoneg

The definition for U20 does not match the definition in Clause 55 page 57 line 13

SuggestedRemedy

add this line to the definition:
 "This bit is not defined for 10GBASE-T but reserved for future use."

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.3.5.3 P 110 L 33 # 133
 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Training - PTS

"The training sequence without periodic reinitialization described in 113.3.4 shall be used during the LPI mode, with the scramblers free-running starting in the state PMA_PBO_Exch. If scrambler reinitialization is used for normal training, it shall be disabled and the scramblers shall begin free-running when the PHY Control state diagram is in the state PMA_PBO_Exch and the receiver detects a valid requested transmitter PBO setting (Octet 7 Valid<7> equal to 1)."

This statement is placed in an optional subclause for devices that support EEE. Does that mean only EEE capable devices are required to comply? Further, this statement contradicts the statement in 113.4.2.5.16 that scramblers start free-running at the PCS_Test state. 113.4.2.5.16 Fast retrain function is also an optional subclause.

SuggestedRemedy

For multiple reasons given in McClellan_3bq_01_0715, delete this text in combination with other deletions outlined in comment #93 on draft 2.0.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Discuss with 134 & 190

If PTS option is deleted, retain first sentence with "without periodic reinitialization" deleted, so that it reads:

"The training sequence described in 113.3.4 shall be used during the LPI mode, with the scramblers free-running starting in the state PMA_PBO_Exch. " (second sentence is deleted)

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

CI 113 SC 113.4.2.5.16 P 137 L 45 # 134

McClellan, Brett

Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Training - PTS

"The training sequence without periodic re-initialization described in 113.3.4 shall be used during fast retraining, with the scramblers free-running from PCS Reset. If scrambler re-initialization is used for normal training, it shall be disabled and the scramblers shall begin free-running when the PHY Control

state diagram enters the PCS_Test state and the variable fr_active is FALSE."

This statement is placed in an optional subclause for devices that support Fast Retrain. Does that mean only Fast Retrain capable devices are required to comply? Further, this statement contradicts the statement in 113.3.5.3 that scramblers start free-running at the PMA_PBO_Exch state. 113.3.5.3 Refresh period signaling is also an optional subclause.

SuggestedRemedy

For multiple reasons given in McClellan_3bq_01_0715, delete this text in combination with other deletions outlined in comment #93 on draft 2.0.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Discuss with comments 133 & 190,

If PTS option is deleted, retain first sentence, deleting "without periodic reinitialization" so it reads: "The training sequence described in 113.3.4 shall be used during fast retraining, with the scramblers free-running from PCS Reset." (delete second sentence as proposed)

CI Annex SC 113A.3 P 204 L 20 # 135

Cohen, Larry

Aquantia

Comment Type E Comment Status D Clamp Test

Table reference is incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

Change 113A.2 to 113A.1

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change 113A.2 to Table 113A-1

LATE

CI Annex SC 113A.3 P 204 L 35 # 136

Cohen, Larry

Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status D Clamp Test

Clarification on balun specification. Add allowance for separate differential and common-mode component measurement configurations.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed new (modified) text:

c) Balun-3 ports, laboratory quality with a 100 W balanced differential input (Port 1), a 50 W unbalanced single-ended output for the differential component (Port 2), and a 50 W unbalanced single-ended output for the common-mode component (Port 3):

Insertion Loss (Port 1 <-> Port 2): < 4 dB (80 MHz-2000 MHz)

Return Loss (Port 1, Zref = 100 W): > 15 dB (80 MHz-2000 MHz)

Common-Mode Rejection (Port 1 <-> Port 2): > 45 dB (80 MHz-1000 MHz), > 40dB at 2000 MHz

Common-Mode Return Loss (Port 1, Zref = 25 W): > 8dB (80 MHz-2000 MHz)

Note 1: The use of two separate differential and common-mode signal component measurement configurations is permissible provided the above specifications are met for each measurement configuration

Note 2: The common-mode reference (termination) impedance may be standard specific. The common-mode return loss requirement does not change, but Zref (common-mode) may be 50 W or 75 W for UTP applications.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See CMRR ad hoc report recommendation

LATE

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl Annex SC 113A.3 P 204 L 54 # 137

Cohen, Larry Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status D Clamp Test

Clarification of signal generator specification.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed new modified text:

h) Signal generator capable of providing a sine wave signal of 80 MHz to 2000 MHz:

Output harmonic distortion: < -40 dBc

Maximum output power (while maintaining harmonic distortion specification): > 13 dBm

RF Envelope rise/fall time (output on/off transitions): 50 usec to 1000 usec

Note 1: The signal generator blocks shown in Figure 113A-3 and Figure 113A-4 may consist of separate signal generator, output power amplifier, and RF envelope modulator modules connected together.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Consider with CMRR ad hoc recommendation on frequency ranges of test and how to specify in the annex.

LATE

Cl Annex SC 113A.3 P 205 L 3 # 138

Cohen, Larry Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status D Clamp Test

Add directional coupler between signal generator and clamp as a measurement port for signal power level, harmonic distortion, and envelope rise/fall time at the clamp input

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed new text for directional coupler:

j) Directional coupler

Mainline Insertion Loss: < 2 dB (80 MHz-2000 MHz)

Coupling Loss: < 20 dB (80 MHz-2000 MHz)

Return Loss (Mainline Ports): > 20 dB (80 MHz-2000 MHz)

Return Loss (Coupling Port): > 15 dB (80 MHz-2000 MHz)

k) Receiver

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Consider with CMRR ad hoc report on recommendation on directional coupler.

LATE

Cl Annex SC 113A.3 P 205 L 6 # 139

Cohen, Larry Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status D Clamp Test

Add a directional coupler for use as a measurement port to Figure 113A-3 Cable clamp validation test configuration. This is a better test configuration because there is significant frequency response distortion in the signal path to the other clamp source port when a cable is inserted in the clamp.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a directional coupler between the signal generator and clamp input as a measurement port to Figure 113A-3 Cable clamp validation test configuration. Connect the signal sensor to the directional coupler port and put a 50 W termination on the other clamp source port. See attached Figure 113A-3 Example.

Important note: Figure 113A-3 Example is not intended to be copied exactly into the standard document. Its main purpose is to show the insertion location for the added directional coupler for modification of the existing figure.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Consider with CMRR ad hoc report recommendation on directional coupler

LATE

Cl Annex SC 133A.3 P 205 L 21 # 140

Cohen, Larry Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status D Clamp Test

Modify text for application of a directional coupler in the clamp validation test setup.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed new modified text:

With the test cable inserted in the cable clamp, a signal generator with a 50 W output impedance is connected to one end of the cable clamp through an intermediate directional coupler, and a 50 W termination is connected to the other end of the cable clamp. Measurement equipment (with a 50 W input impedance) for verification of the test signal power, harmonic distortion, and envelope rise/fall time is connected to the coupled port of the directional coupler. It is assumed that the coupling loss and mainline loss of the directional coupler have been previously determined by measurement or other means, and these loss factors are used to correct all measurements to their proper value.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Consider with CMRR ad hoc report recommendation on directional coupler

LATE

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl Annex SC 113A.3 P 205 L 24 # 141
 Cohen, Larry Aquantia
Comment Type T Comment Status D Clamp Test
 Modify text to reflect test frequency sweep range.
SuggestedRemedy
 Change 1 MHz to 80 MHz
Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Consider with CMRR ad hoc report on recommendation on frequency ranges of test and how to specify in the annex.
 LATE

Cl Annex SC 113A.3 P 205 L 38 # 144
 Cohen, Larry Aquantia
Comment Type T Comment Status D Clamp Test
 Modify text to reflect test frequency sweep range.
SuggestedRemedy
 Change 1 MHz to 80 MHz
Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Consider with CMRR ad hoc report on recommendation on frequency ranges of test and how to specify in the annex.
 LATE

Cl Annex SC 113A.3 P 205 L 25 # 142
 Cohen, Larry Aquantia
Comment Type T Comment Status D Clamp Test
 Modify text to reflect test frequency sweep range.
SuggestedRemedy
 Change 20 MHz to 100 MHz
Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Consider with CMRR ad hoc report on recommendation on frequency ranges of test and how to specify in the annex.
 LATE

Cl Annex SC 113A.3 P 205 L 41 # 145
 Cohen, Larry Aquantia
Comment Type T Comment Status D Clamp Test
 Modify Table 113A-2 to reflect test frequency sweep range.
SuggestedRemedy
 Proposed changes to Table 113A-2:
 Eliminate the top two entries (rows) for the validation requirements (frequency ranges of 1 MHz to 30 MHz and 30 MHz to 80 MHz) in Table 113A-2.
Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Consider with CMRR ad hoc report on recommendation on frequency ranges of test and how to specify in the annex.
 LATE

Cl Annex SC 113A.3 P 205 L 26 # 143
 Cohen, Larry Aquantia
Comment Type T Comment Status D Clamp Test
 Modify text to allow use of an alternate equivalent measurement network configuration in addition to the balun
SuggestedRemedy
 Proposed new text:
 The cable pairs not connected to the balun (or equivalent measurement network) are terminated in a resistor network.
Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Consider with CMRR ad hoc report.
 LATE

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl Annex SC 113A.3 P 206 L 3 # 146

Cohen, Larry Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status D Clamp Test

In Note 1, modify the text to reflect test frequency sweep range.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed new modified text:

The signal generator output should be adjusted to the specified signal power (for example 6 dBm for 40GBASE-T) at 100 MHz on the signal sensor. When the frequency is varied from 80 MHz to 2000 MHz, the measured power should not vary more than ±10%.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Consider with CMRR ad hoc report on recommendation on frequency ranges of test and how to specify in the annex.

LATE

Cl Annex SC 113A.4 P 206 L 24 # 147

Cohen, Larry Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status D Clamp Test

Modify text to reflect test frequency sweep range.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 1 MHz to 80 MHz.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Consider with CMRR ad hoc report on recommendation on frequency ranges of test and how to specify in the annex.

LATE

Cl Annex SC 113A.4 P 206 L 28 # 148

Cohen, Larry Aquantia

Comment Type T Comment Status D Clamp Test

Add text defining the frequency test sweep increment, the dwell time at each frequency, and the carrier envelope rise/fall time at each frequency point in the equipment test procedure.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed added new text after line 26:

The signal generator output frequency is swept incrementally from 80 MHz to 2000 MHz with a step size that should not exceed 1% of the preceding frequency value while using the signal level during the validation process. In any case, the frequency sweep shall use the same frequency point set used during the validation process. During the transition to the next frequency point, the signal generator output shall be off. When the transition is complete, the carrier envelope shall rise to its prescribed amplitude in no less than 50 usec but no more than 1.0 msec. Before the next frequency transition, the carrier envelope shall fall to zero amplitude in no less than 50 usec but no more than 1.0 msec. The dwell time at each frequency shall not be less than the time necessary for the EUT to be exercised and to respond, but shall in no case be less than 0.5 seconds.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Consider with CMRR ad hoc report recommendation on frequency ranges of test and how to specify in the annex.

LATE

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl **Annex** SC **113A.4** P **206** L **29** # **149**
 Cohen, Larry Aquantia

Comment Type **T** Comment Status **D** Clamp Test

Add a directional coupler for use as a measurement port to Figure 113A-4 Cable clamp test configuration. This is a better test configuration because there is significant frequency response distortion in the signal path to the other clamp source port when a cable is inserted in the clamp.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a directional coupler between the signal generator and clamp input as a measurement port to Figure 113A-4 Cable clamp test configuration. Connect the signal sensor to the directional coupler port and put a 50 W termination on the other clamp source port. See attached Figure 113A-4 Example.

Important note: Figure 113A-4 Example is not intended to be copied exactly into the standard document. Its main purpose is to show the insertion location for the added directional coupler for modification of the existing figure.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See CMRR ad hoc report recommendation on directional coupler
 LATE

Cl **99** SC **Introduction** P **12** L **19** # **150**
 Amason, Dale Freescale

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **D** EZ

Text incomplete: "This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-20XX and adds Clause 113, and ."

SuggestedRemedy

Combine two sentences into one:

This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-20XX and adds a new Physical Layer for 40 Gb/s operation over balanced twisted-pair structured cabling systems.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Insert "Annex 113A" after "and".
 (following sentence is customary to describe the technical content of the standard)

Cl **113** SC **113.1** P **67** L **10** # **151**
 HESS, DAVE CORD DATA

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **D** Refs

UPDATE REFERENCE:
 The official project listing for ISO/IEC 11801-1 is now given as "Edition 1".
 CHANGE "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3" TO "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 1",
 1 place(s)

SuggestedRemedy

CHANGE:
 "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3"
 TO:
 "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 1"

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl **113** SC **113.7** P **165** L **1** # **152**
 HESS, DAVE CORD DATA

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **D** Refs

UPDATE REFERENCE:
 The official project listing for ISO/IEC 11801-1 is now given as "Edition 1".
 CHANGE "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3" TO "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 1",
 3 place(s)

SuggestedRemedy

CHANGE:
 "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3"
 TO:
 "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 1"

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

CI 01 SC 1.3 P 24 L 11 # 153
 HESS, DAVE CORD DATA

Comment Type ER Comment Status D Refs

UPDATE REFERENCE:
 The official project listing for ISO/IEC 11801-1 is now given as "Edition 1".
 CHANGE "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3" TO "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 1",
 1 place(s)

SuggestedRemedy

CHANGE:
 "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3 (draft), Information technology - Generic cabling for customer premises"
 TO:
 "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 1 (draft), Information technology - Generic cabling for customer premises"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 01 SC 1.4 P 24 L 31 # 154
 HESS, DAVE CORD DATA

Comment Type ER Comment Status D Refs

UPDATE REFERENCE:
 The official project listing for ISO/IEC 11801-1 is now given as "Edition 1".
 CHANGE "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3" TO "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 1",
 2 place(s)

SuggestedRemedy

CHANGE:
 "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3"
 TO:
 "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 1"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.1 P L # 155
 Dove, Daniel DNSI

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Architecture

Comment #9 against Draft 2.1 asks for the subclause 113.1 to define the mandatory and optional sublayers required for a complete physical layer, as is done for all 10GBASE-R, 40GBASE-R, and 100GBASE-R PHYs, in a table format like Table 84-1.

As a reader and user of this specification, I find it valuable to have this table in the start of the PCS/PMA clause.

SuggestedRemedy

As per the original comment #9, Add a table "Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-T PCS/PMA" list the "associated clauses" and indicate "optional" or "mandatory" for each. (similar to Table 84-1 in the base document)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Resolve with comment 156
 Previously this approach has been isolated to optical/plug-in designs.
 Consider presentations on application to BASE-T.

CI 113 SC 113.1 P L # 156
 Lusted, Kent Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Architecture

Comment #9 against Draft 2.1 asks for the subclause 113.1 to define the mandatory and optional sublayers required for a complete physical layer, as is done for all 10GBASE-R, 40GBASE-R, and 100GBASE-R PHYs, in a table format like Table 84-1.

As a reader and user of this specification, I find it valuable to have this table in the start of the PCS/PMA clause.

SuggestedRemedy

As per the original comment #9, Add a table "Physical Layer clauses associated with the 40GBASE-T PCS/PMA" list the "associated clauses" and indicate "optional" or "mandatory" for each. (similar to Table 84-1 in the base document)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See comment 155

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2 P 85 L 18 # 157

Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Some sloppiness in Figure 113.5. Not all the arrow heads are at the same level (some go over the line and some don't meet it). Some dots not over the lines they connect. Some lines don't connect where they are supposed to.

SuggestedRemedy

Zoom in close and nudge the elements of the figure to align and tidy it up.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Commenter is advised that these same minor defects exist in Clause 55 for 802.3bx d3p2, and may consider editorial clean-up next time a revision comes around.

Cl 113 SC 113.3.3.2.5 P L # 158

Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Some sloppiness in Figure 113-7: also in previous figure 113-6 although less pronounced. The box for 513B block #2 is taller than the box for 513B block #1. What looks like bit divisions within the 513B blocks and 65B blocks isn't, and all of the small lines aren't the same length or at the same level, but since they don't correspond to any fixed unit of information, perhaps just eliminate the small lines rather than fix them.

SuggestedRemedy

Zoom in close and tidy up the figure(s) as indicated.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Commenter is advised that these same minor defects exist in Clause 55 for 802.3bx d3p2, and may consider editorial clean-up next time a revision comes around.

Cl 113 SC 113.3.3.2.20 P 100 L 39 # 159

Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The arrowhead down from the "Switch" box overlaps the word "Output" below.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the word "Output" out from under the arrow head

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.4.1 P 124 L 37 # 160

Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The term "received_clock" runs over the edge of the box to the right of it.

SuggestedRemedy

Shift the words down, or make them smaller font, or increase the space between the boxes so that the words fit. While editing the figure, take the opportunity to zoom in close and nudge some of the dots closer to the intersection of lines and making sure that lines meet around corners.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Commenter is advised that these same minor defects exist in Clause 55 for 802.3bx d3p2, and may consider editorial clean-up next time a revision comes around.

Cl 113 SC 113.4.2.5.3 P 131 L 9 # 161

Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Figure 113-27 is drawn sloppily.

SuggestedRemedy

Make sure the small lines at the bottom between bit positions are the same height and evenly spaced. The words "bit7", "bit6", etc., seem to be a few pixels off from each other in vertical spacing.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Commenter is advised that these same minor defects exist in Clause 55 for 802.3bx d3p2, and may consider editorial clean-up next time a revision comes around.

Cl 113 SC 113.1.1 P 68 L 13 # 162

Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The dashed lines from the OSI stack to the rest of the figure aren't the same style as the rest of the standard. The line between the data link and physical layers doesn't extend all the way to the corner of the MAC box on the right as the rest of the figures in the standard

SuggestedRemedy

Clean up the figure so that the line styles match the rest of the standard and the lines all continue to where they are supposed to go

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Commenter is advised that these same minor defects exist in Clause 55 for 802.3bx d3p2, and may consider editorial clean-up next time a revision comes around.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 113 SC 113.1.2 P 71 L 30 # 163

Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Several sloppy things in the figure: many of the dots aren't positioned over the actual intersection of the lines they are supposed to connect. Some of the lines don't meet around corners. Some of the "T" intersections of lines extend across the other side of the line where they are supposed to terminate

SuggestedRemedy

Zoom in close and nudge the various elements to line up and tidy up the figure.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Commenter is advised that these same minor defects exist in Clause 55 for 802.3bx d3p2, and may consider editorial clean-up next time a revision comes around.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 29 L 48 # 164

Law, David HP

Comment Type T Comment Status D Format

The IEEE P802.3bw and IEEE P802.3by amendment drafts, which are likely to publish before this amendment draft, as well as IEEE P802.3bp and IEEE P802.3bn amendment drafts, are all modifying a number of the subclause within Clause 30 which this draft is also modifying. This should be noted in the editing instructions in cases where the subclause being edited has already been edited by an earlier amendment. In such case an editor's note also be added stating that the editing instruction need to be updated once the publication order of the various amendments becomes settled.

In addition suggest that only the text being inserted by this draft should be shown so that the remaining text doesn't have to be updated due to the changes in the other drafts that are approved before IEEE P802.3bq, and so there is no risk of this draft inadvertently undoing a previous change.

SuggestedRemedy

[1] Replace the current subclause 30.3.2.1.2 text with:

30.3.2.1.2 aPhyType

Insert the following new entry in "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX" (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bw-201X, IEEE Std 802.3by-201X and TBD) after the entry for "40GBASE-R":

40GBASE-T Clause 113 40 Gb/s DSQ128

Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): The editing instruction need to be updated once the publication order of the various amendments becomes settled.

[2] Replace the current subclause 30.3.2.1.3 text with:

30.3.2.1.3 aPhyTypeList

Insert the following new entry in "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX" (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bw-201X, IEEE Std 802.3by-201X and TBD) after the entry for "40GBASE-R":

40GBASE-T Clause 113 40 Gb/s DSQ128

Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): The editing instruction need to be updated once the publication order of the various amendments becomes settled.

[3] Replace the current subclause 30.6.1.1.5 text with:

30.6.1.1.5 aAutoNegLocalTechnologyAbility

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Insert the following new entry in "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX" (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bw-201X, IEEE Std 802.3by-201X and TBD) after the entry for "40GBASE-CR4":

40GBASE-T 40GBASE-T as specified in Clause 113

Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): The editing instruction need to be updated once the publication order of the various amendments becomes settled.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 **SC 45.2.1.6** **P 35** **L 45** # 165
Law, David HP

Comment Type **T** *Comment Status* **D** *EZ*

The editing instructions for subclause 45.2.1.6 'PMA/PMD control 2 register (Register 1.7)' state that 'unchanged rows not shown', yet Table 45-7 'PMA/PMD control 2 register bit definitions' show the unchanged rows.

Further, the changes made by the IEEE P802.3bw and IEEE P802.3by amendment drafts, which are likely to publish before this draft, are not shown, and the IEEE P802.3bp and IEEE P802.3bn amendment drafts are also modifying this register.

SuggestedRemedy

[1] Remove the unchanged rows from Table 45-7.

[2] Change the editing instructions to read 'Change the indicated line, and insert the new line immediately after, in the 1.7.5:0 row of Table 45-7 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bw-201X, IEEE Std 802.3by-201X and TBD), as follows (unchanged lines not shown):'

[3] Add an editor's note that reads 'Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): The editing instruction need to be updated once the publication order of the various amendments becomes settled.'

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 30 **SC 30.5.1.1.2** **P 31** **L 18** # 166
Law, David HP

Comment Type **T** *Comment Status* **D** *EZ*

An entry in "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX" list for subclause 30.5.1.1.2 'aMAUType' should be added for 40GBASE-T.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following change for subclause 30.5.1.1.2:

30.5.1.1.2 aMAUType

Insert the following new entry in "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX" (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bw-201X, IEEE Std 802.3by-201X and TBD) after the entry for "40GBASE-FR":

Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): The editing instruction need to be updated once the publication order of the various amendments becomes settled.

40GBASE-T Four-pair twisted-pair balanced copper cabling PHY as specified in Clause 113

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 30 **SC 30.5.1.1.19** **P 31** **L 20** # 167
Law, David HP

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **D** *EZ*

In the changes to subclause 30.5.1.1.19 'aSNROpMarginChnIA' through 30.5.1.1.22 'aSNROpMarginChnID' the terminology '10G or 40GBASE-T' is used however in the change to subclause 30.5.1.1.24 'aLDFastRetrainCount' and subclause 30.5.1.1.25 'aLPFastRetrainCount' the terminology '10/40GBASE-T' is used.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the terminology '10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T' be used in all six cases, hence:

In subclause 30.5.1.1.19 'aSNROpMarginChnIA' through 30.5.1.1.22 'aSNROpMarginChnID' change the text '... for the 10G or 40GBASE-T PMA.' to read '... for the 10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T PMA.'

In subclause 30.5.1.1.24 'aLDFastRetrainCount' and subclause 30.5.1.1.25 'aLPFastRetrainCount' change the text '... number of 10/40GBASE-T fast retrains ...' to read '... number of 10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T fast retrains ...'.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 29 L 46 # 168

Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The editing instruction should appear under the subclause heading of the subclause they apply to, not above (see pdf page 57 and 58 of 2014 IEEE-SA Standards Style Manual). This seems to have been followed throughout the draft, except in the case of the Clause 30 changes and some Clause 45 changes.

SuggestedRemedy

Ensure editing instruction are under the subclause heading of the subclause they apply to.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.24 P 32 L 24 # 169

Law, David HP

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

Rather than just listing a cross-reference to the subclause where the register can be found to support this attribute, suggest that the behaviour be updated to follow the more usual format (see subclause 30.5.1.1.22 'aSNROpMarginChnID' above for an example).

SuggestedRemedy

[1] Change the subclause 30.5.1.1.24 'aLDFastRetrainCount' editing instructions to read 'Change 30.5.1.1.24 aLDFastRetrainCount as follows:'.

[2] In subclause 30.5.1.1.24 'aLDFastRetrainCount' change the text '... PHY event counter (see 45.2.1.79.2, 55.4.5.1, and 113.4.5.4).' to read '... PHY event counter (55.4.5.1 and 113.4.5.4). If a Clause 45 MDIO Interface to the PMA/PMD is present, then this attribute maps to the LD fast retrain count register (see 45.2.1.79.2).;

[3] Change the subclause 30.5.1.1.25 'aLPFastRetrainCount' editing instructions to read 'Change 30.5.1.1.25 aLPFastRetrainCount as follows:'.

[4] In subclause 30.5.1.1.25 'aLPFastRetrainCount' change the text '... PHY event counter (see 45.2.1.79.1, 55.4.5.1, and 113.4.5.4).;' to read '... PHY event counter (see 55.4.5.1, and 113.4.5.4.). If a Clause 45 MDIO Interface to the PMA/PMD is present, then this attribute maps to the LP fast retrain count register (see 45.2.1.79.1).;

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.5 P 27 L 6 # 170

Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Suggest that only the table header, with the changed column header, be shown, and unchanged rows should not.

SuggestedRemedy

[1] Change the editing instructions from '... in Table 30-1e as follows:' to read '... in Table 30-1e as follows (unchanged lines not shown):'

[2] Delete all unchanged Table 30-1e rows from draft.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 63 L 42 # 171

Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

It seems odd to state that 'The RS never generates this primitive ...' but to then state there are two cases where it does, when EEE or Link Interruption is supported.

SuggestedRemedy

The RS only generates this primitive when optional EEE capability or the optional detection of Link Interruption is supported.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 81 SC 81.5.3.7 P 66 L 13 # 172

Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status D PICS

The support field for a option items should read 'Yes[] No []'.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 'N/A []' to read 'No []'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 81 SC 81.5.3.7 P 66 L 14 # 173

Law, David HP

Comment Type E Comment Status D PICS

If this PICS item is predicated on implementation of PICS item 'LINT1', and when 'LINT1' is implemented this item is required, which I believe is the case, the status field should read 'LINT1:M'.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 'LINT:O' to read 'LINT1:M'.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.4 P 31 L 18 # 174

Law, David HP

Comment Type T Comment Status D Management

IEEE Std 802.3 subclause 30.5.1.1.4 'aMediaAvailable' states that 'For 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s the enumerations map to value of the link_fault variable (see 81.3.4) within the Link Fault Signaling state diagram (see 81.3.4.1 and Figure 46-11) as follows: the value OK maps to the enumeration "available", the value Local Fault maps to the enumeration "not available" and the value Remote Fault maps to the enumeration "remote fault.". IEEE P802.3bq however changes subclause 81.3.4.1 'Variables and counters' to add a new value for the 'link_fault' called 'Link Interruption' (see page 64, line 53). Based on this, an additional enumeration mapping needs to be added to subclause 30.5.1.1.4 'aMediaAvailable' by IEEE P802.3bq to support 'Link Interruption'. Since 'Link Interruption' seems to operate in the same way as being in, and during exit of, EEE LPI, I suggest 'Link Interruption' maps to the enumeration 'available'.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following change for subclause 30.5.1.1.4:

30.5.1.1.4 aMediaAvailable

Change the sixth paragraph of "BEHAVIOUR DEFINED AS" (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bw-201X, IEEE Std 802.3by-201X and TBD) as follows:

Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): The editing instruction need to be updated once the publication order of the various amendments becomes settled.

For 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s the enumerations map to value of the link_fault variable (see 81.3.4) within the Link Fault Signaling state diagram (see 81.3.4.1 and Figure 46-11) as follows: the value OK <underscore>and Link Interruption </underscore>map<strikeout>s</strikeout> to the enumeration "available", the value Local Fault maps to the enumeration "not available" and the value Remote Fault maps to the enumeration "remote fault."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 63 L 42 # 175

Law, David HP

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

To cover all the cases of the two options being supported or not, suggest that first two sentences of the second paragraph of 81.1.7.3 be changed to read 'CARRIER_STATUS is set to CARRIER_ON if the optional EEE capability is supported and LPI_CARRIER_STATUS is TRUE, or if optional detection of Link Interruption is supported and link_fault is Link Interruption (see 81.3.4.1). CARRIER_STATUS is set to CARRIER_OFF if, the optional EEE capability is not supported or LPI_CARRIER_STATUS is FALSE, and, if optional detection of Link Interruption is supported or link_fault is not Link Interruption.'

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113A SC 113A.3 P 205 L 24 # 176

Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review.

Is the use of "shall" in an informative annex ok? Would "should" be more appropriate?

"shall" also appears on pg 206 line 23.

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Commenter is correct - shall's should not be in an informative annex. Editor to search and replace all shalls in Annex 113A with "should"

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 113 SC 113.12.9 P 194 L 23 # 177

Donahue, Curtis

UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status D PICS

The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review.

"INS" is used in the Status field of ENV4 (also ENV2), but not listed in 113.12.2.

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Add INS and appropriate supporting text to the table in 113.12.2.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Commenter is advised that while the option INS is defined in other 802.3 clauses (e.g., clauses 40 and other as "Items marked with INS include installation practices and cable specifications not applicable to a PHY manufacturer", same error exists in clause 55, and commenter may wish to submit a maintenance request.

Cl 113 SC 113.12.6 P 191 L 44 # 178

Donahue, Curtis

UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status D PICS

The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review.

"LT" is used in the Status field of PME22, but not listed in 113.12.2.

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Add LT and appropriate supporting text to the table in 113.12.2.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete LT: from P 191 L44

(LT referred to optional loop timing, now mandatory in 40GBASE-T)

Cl 113 SC 113.12.7 P 193 L 5 # 179

Donahue, Curtis

UNH-IOL

Comment Type T Comment Status D PICS

The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review.

Add PICS for parameters defined in 113.7.4 Direct attach cable assembly - Short Reach Mode. Additionally add PICS for short reach mode parameters outside of 113.7.4.

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.12.7 P 193 L 14 # 180

Donahue, Curtis

UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status D PICS

The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review.

Add "Equation (113-19)" and "Equation (113-20)" to the Value/Comment field of LKS5.

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.12.7 P 193 L 8 # 181

Donahue, Curtis

UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status D PICS

The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review

Change "Equation (113-11)" to "Equation (113-13)".

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 113 SC 113.4.5.4 P 145 L 1 # 182
 Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status D PICS

The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review

Add PICS for lpi_refresh_rx_timer, link_fail_sig_timer, and fr_maxwait_timer.

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Commenter is advised same issues exist in Clause 55 and may wish to submit a maintenance request

Cl 113 SC 113.12.7 P 153 L 37 # 183
 Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status D PICS

The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review

PME15 lists "Test mode 7 operations" as mandatory but there isnt any shall in this paragraph. Should there be? All other text in this subclause for the other 6 test modes have "shalls".

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change P153 L40 from:

This mode reuses the 40GBASE-T scrambler and is defined in detail in 113.3.3.

to read:

This mode shall reuse the 40GBASE-T scrambler and is defined in detail in 113.3.3.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.4.3.5 P 175 L 47 # 184
 Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review

Equation is missing (moved to next page for some reason).

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Show FrameMake who's boss and anchor that equation in the appropriate location.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.4.5.1 P 143 L 54 # 185
 Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status D PICS

The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review

Add PICS for mtc and stc.

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Commenter is requested to provide proposed text.

Commenter is advised that the same issue exists in Clause 55, and may wish to file a maintenance request.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P 24 L 39 # 186
Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL

Comment Type T Comment Status D Refs

Definition for MultiGBASE-T is different in bq draft vs bz draft. Is this intentional? I would expect the definitions to be the same in both.

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Definitions are different because bq is ahead of bz, and therefore bz assumes bq content, but bq does not assume bz content.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 P 31 L 6 # 187
Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review

Add a space between "Clause 73" and "Auto-Negotiation". Also, remove ";" on line 11.

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9.4a P 43 L 21 # 188
Donahue, Curtis UNH-IOL

Comment Type E Comment Status D PICS

The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review

"shall" missing a PICS.

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

SuggestedRemedy

Add appropriate PICS.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113A SC 113A.3 P 206 L 4 # 189
Feyh, German Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status D Clamp Test

The cable clamp test is an preliminary test to predict the behavior in the electro-magnetic chamber test. Most industry practioners agree the test suffers from being highly variable in e.g. the exact positioning of the cable in the clamp, the position of the ferrites and the distance of the clamp to MDI. A signal power calibration to 10% aggravates the situation by boosting signal power in regions of varying transfer function. While giving the impression of higher repeatability, for setups that are comparing test results for a longer period of time calibration will result in unpredictable test outcomes.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove text:

"When the frequency is varied from 1 MHz to 2000 MHz, the measured power should not vary more than $\pm 10\%$. If the measured power varies more than $\pm 10\%$, then a correction factor must be applied at each measurement frequency."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change text to:

"When the frequency is varied from 1 MHz to 2000 MHz, the measured power should not vary more than $\pm 10\%$. If the measured power varies more than $\pm 10\%$, then a correction factor is recommended, and may be applied at each measurement frequency."

See CMRR ad hoc report for additional text clarifying the purpose of this test and the status of this as an informative annex.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

CI 113 SC 113.3.5.3 P 110 L 36 # 190
 Feyh, German Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status D Training - PTS

Responding to concerns raised in comment #93 the periodic training sequence description is updated.

SuggestedRemedy

113.3.5.3 Refresh period signaling
 Change text in line 36 to 38 from:
 "the scramblers shall begin free-running when the PHY Control state diagram is in the state PMA_PBO_Exch and the receiver detects a valid requested transmitter PBO setting (Octet 7 Valid<7> equal to 1)." to
 "the scramblers shall begin free-running as the PHY Control state diagram enters the state PMA_Coeff_Exch state and enables the requested PBO."
 113.4.2.5.15 Startup Sequence page 135, after line 47 add text"
 If periodic initialization of the scrambler is used, the scramblers are set to free running after each transition_count reaches zero.
 113.4.2.5.16 Fast retrain function page 137, line 47 replace:
 "when the PHY Control state diagram enters the PCS_Test state and the variable fr_active is FALSE." by
 "when the PHY Control state diagram enters the PMA_Coeff_Exch state."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Discuss with 133 & 134
 If PTS is retained and modified, make editorial changes to the proposed text to read:
 "the scramblers shall begin free-running as the PHY Control state diagram enters the PMA_Coeff_Exch state and enables the requested PBO."
 113.4.2.5.15 Startup Sequence page 135, after line 47 add text"
 If periodic initialization of the scrambler is used, the scramblers are set to free running after each transition_count reaches zero.
 113.4.2.5.16 Fast retrain function page 137, line 47 replace:
 "when the PHY Control state diagram enters the PCS_Test state and the variable fr_active is FALSE." by
 "when the PHY Control state diagram enters the PMA_Coeff_Exch state."

CI 01 SC 1.4.278a P 24 L 39 # 191
 Klempa, Michael UNH IOL

Comment Type T Comment Status D Refs

MultiGBASE-T is defined differently in bq than bz. I would assume they should be defined the same, and bq would include 2.5G and 5G.

SuggestedRemedy

Define MultiGBASE-T as:
 PHYs that belong to the set of specific BASE-T Ethernet PCS/PMA's at speeds in excess of 1000 Mb/s, including 2.5GBASE-T, 5GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T. (See IEEE Std. 802.3 Clause 126 (2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T), IEEE Std. 802.3 Clause 55 and IEEE Std. 802.3 Clause 113.)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.
 See comment 186 for relationship of bq and bz text

CI 00 SC 0 P L # 192
 Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syste

Comment Type TR Comment Status D 25G

What's the story regarding including 25GBASE-T in the 802.3bq draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Now that an objective has been added to the PAR to include 25GBASE-T please give a timeline for including 25GBASE-T in the draft.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Inclusion of 25G in PAR was not complete at time of ballot close. Assuming NESCOM approves PAR, 25G will content will be included into next WG ballot cycle.

CI 113 SC 113.7.1 P 165 L 12 # 193
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope

Comment Type E Comment Status D Refs

has three references to the table below and seems like it could be written with more direct language

SuggestedRemedy

no suggestions

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.
 Text is unchanged except for cross-reference update - out of scope and Commenter fails to provide sufficient remedy

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 113 SC 113.7.2.4.1 P 166 L 50 # 194
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling
 equation is offset from parameter (also in following NEXT MDNEXT ACRF)
 SuggestedRemedy
 fix offset
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.2.4.4 P 169 L 7 # 195
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling
 Why do we define FEXT and ACRF but don't define any of the other parameters? (and pg 174 line 45)
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove them or add definitions to the other parameter for consistent treatment.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED REJECT.
 Editor notes this comment is out of scope for this review

The definition of FEXT appears to be a carry over from 1000BASE-T. Consider deletion in future drafts.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.2.4.5 P 170 L 4 # 196
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type T Comment Status D Cabling
 Measurement floor specification is missing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 add: Calculations that result in MDACRF loss values greater than 62 dB shall revert to a requirement of 62 dB minimum.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED REJECT.
 Editor notes this comment is out of scope for this review

Cl 113 SC 113.7.3 P 170 L 37 # 197
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling
 alien FEXT is not specified
 SuggestedRemedy
 Identify PSAACRF instead
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED REJECT.
 MDAFEXT as specified in 113.7.3.2

Cl 113 SC 113.7.3.1.1 P 171 L 19 # 198
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling
 there is no point in stating the equation from 1 to 100 MHz since it is below 75 dB
 SuggestedRemedy
 use single equation
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED REJECT. Editor notes this comment is out of scope for this review

Cl 113 SC 113.7.3.1.1 P 171 L 28 # 199
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling
 The statement is vague and could apply to either 113-27 or 113-28 above
 SuggestedRemedy
 When equation 113-28 values are greater than 75 dB, they shall revert to 75 dB.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 113 SC 113.7.3.2 P 171 L 34 # 200
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling
 not specified
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "is specified" to "must be great enough"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED REJECT.
 Language is consistent with other 802.3 usage.
 Editor notes this comment is out of scope for this review.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.4.1 P 172 L 32 # 203
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 dB is smushed into the equation
 SuggestedRemedy
 unsmush
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 Editor notes this comment is out of scope for this review

Cl 113 SC 113.7.3.2.1 P 172 L 7 # 201
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 dB is italicised
 SuggestedRemedy
 un
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 Editor notes this comment is out of scope for this review

Cl 113 SC 113.7.4.1 P 172 L 39 # 204
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling
 an extra hanging B and the B<= should be B= since the IL equation already has the inequality.
 Leaving the second inequality allows zero to be used.
 SuggestedRemedy
 fix
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.3.2.1 P 172 L 14 # 202
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling
 The statement is vague and could apply to either of the 2 equations above, and why did we
 switch to "for information only" form?
 SuggestedRemedy
 When equation 113-30 values are greater than 75 dB, they shall revert to 75 dB.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 Editor notes this comment is out of scope for this review

Cl 113 SC 113.7.4.1 P 172 L 42 # 205
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling
 dB suddenly switched to an non-parenthesized version (later as well)
 SuggestedRemedy
 supersize it
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Replace with "(dB)"
 Editor notes this comment is out of scope for this review

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 113 SC 113.7.4.3.4 P 175 L 20 # 206
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling
 The statement is vague and could apply to either of the 2 equations above
 SuggestedRemedy
 When equation 113-37 values are greater than 65 dB, they shall revert to 65 dB.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.4.3.5 P 176 L 13 # 207
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type T Comment Status D Cabling
 Measurement floor specification is missing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 add: Calculations that result in MDACRF loss values greater than 62 dB shall revert to a requirement of 62 dB minimum.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 Editor notes this comment is out of scope for this review

Cl 113 SC 113.7.4.3.9 P 177 L 12 # 208
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling
 The statement is vague and could apply to either of the 2 equations above and why did we switch to "for information only" form?
 SuggestedRemedy
 When equation 113-41 values are greater than 75 dB, they shall revert to 75 dB.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 Use language here and for PSAACRF 172, L2; 177, L50

Cl 113 SC 113.7.4.3.10 P 177 L 21 # 209
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling
 not specified
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "is specified" to "is limited"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED REJECT.
 The differential pair-to-pair alien far-end crosstalk loss between the disturbed duplex channel in a link segment and the disturbing duplex channels in other link segments is specified. Language usage consistent with other BASE-T clauses.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.4.3.10 P 177 L 51 # 210
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling
 The statement is vague and could apply to either of the 2 equations above
 SuggestedRemedy
 When equation 113-43 values are greater than 75 dB, they shall revert to 75 dB.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.5 P 178 L 4 # 211
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Cabling
 doubled over the description
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "and the noise coupled between the link segments referred to as alien crosstalk noise. The remaining noise sources, which are secondary sources, are discussed in the following" to "but other sources can also be significant."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED REJECT.
 Suggested change does not improve text and does not sufficiently characterize noise coupled between link segments.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 113 SC 113.8.2.1 P 180 L 17 # 212
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D MDI
 is there something unique about MDI RL that needs the plot?
 SuggestedRemedy
 delete plot
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED REJECT.
 Plot was put in in response to commenters request on previous WG drafts.

Cl 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 181 L 12 # 213
 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope
 Comment Type T Comment Status D MDI
 cabling standards are specifying 50 ohm common mode
 SuggestedRemedy
 change to 50
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED REJECT.
 The balance is specified with PHY connected to the MDI as in normal operation which can be different than connecting hardware specified in cabling standards. Alignment with cabling standards is not sufficient information to make suggested change. For committee discussion.

Cl 113 SC 113.1.1 P 68 L 2 # 214
 Brown, Matthew APM
 Comment Type T Comment Status D Architecture
 According to subclause 80.1.1, the 40GBASE-T PHY device may connect to the MAC device through either a chip-to-chip XLAUI (Annex 83A) or chip-to-chip XLAUI (Annex 83B). However, this paragraph lists only Annex 83B.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Annex 83B" to "Annex 83A and Annex 83B".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Discuss with contribution detailing MAC-PHY interface specification and architecture for BASE-T

Cl 113 SC 113.1.1 P 68 L 2 # 215
 Brown, Matthew APM
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Architecture
 According to subclause 80.1.1 and this paragraph, an XLAUI interface (either chip-to-chip or chip-to-module or possibly both) is supported between the MAC device and the 40GBASE-T PHY device. It stated here that the connection using the XLAUI will "use the PCS defined in Clause 82". However, no more details are provided.
 For the various 40GBASE-R clauses a number of architecture examples are shown in subclause 83.1.4 and Annex 83C. None of these include the case where the PHY device regenerates the PCS as is required for 40GBASE-T.

SuggestedRemedy
 Provide one or more example layering diagrams similar to Figure 83-2 demonstrating the expected sublayer stack-up for the case when one or more XLAUI are used.
 Example
 MAC
 RS (Clause 81)
 XLGMII (Clause 81)
 PCS (Clause 82)
 PMA (Clause 83)
 XLAUI (C2M Annex 83B or C2C Annex 83A)
 PMA (Clause 83)
 PCS (Clause 82) *** new ***
 XLGMII (Clause 81) *** new ***
 PCS (Clause 113)
 PMA (Clause 113)

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Application to BASE-T may be different from optical. Consider with presentations on application to BASE-T.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 31B SC 31B.3.7 P 0 L 0 # 216

Brown, Matthew

APM

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Architecture

P802.3bx D3.2 Annex 31B.3.7 provides pause turnaround times for each rate class of PHY from the MDI through the to MAC and MAC Control and back to the MDI. In particular, for 10G it provides two pause turnaround time specifications: one for 10GBASE-T PHYs and one for all other PHYs.

Since the 40GBASE-T PMA/PMD delay is considerably longer than for any other currently specified 40G PHY, a similar pause turnaround specification for 40GBASE-T (different from all other PHYs) is required.

SuggestedRemedy

Import Annex 31B into P802.3bq for editing.

Change the following paragraph (P802.3by D3.2 page 743 line 1) from:

"At operating speeds of 40 Gb/s, a station shall not begin to transmit a (new) frame more than 118 pause_quanta after the reception of a valid PAUSE frame that contains a non-zero value of pause_time, as measured at the MDI."

To:

"At operating speeds of 40 Gb/s, a station with a 40GBASE-T PHY shall not begin to transmit a (new) frame more than <xxx> pause_quanta after the reception of a valid PAUSE frame that contains a non-zero value of pause_time, as measured at the MDI. A station using any other PHY shall not begin to transmit a (new) frame more than 118 pause_quanta after the reception of a valid PAUSE frame that contains a non-zero value of pause_time, as measured at the MDI."

The value xxx should be determined taking into consideration both the PMA/PMD delay and the extra delay of PCS sublayers required for an XLAUI sublayer between the MAC device and the PMA/PMD device.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

For 10GBASE-T, Annex 31B needed to be modified because the PHY delay 25600BT (50 pause quanta) was a big enough portion of the specified turn around time that it needed to be especially accomodated. This is no longer true for 40GBASE-T, where the PHY delay is still 50 pause quanta, less than the optional 40GBASE-CR4 (8 pause quanta)+40GBASE-R FEC(48 pause quanta) = 52, which is enabled by allowing 118 pause_quanta for turn around.

Cl 113 SC 113.1.1 P 68 L 2 # 217

Brown, Matthew

APM

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Architecture

According to subclause 80.1.1 and this paragraph, an XLAUI interface (either chip-to-chip or chip-to-module or possibly both) is supported between the MAC device and the 40GBASE-T PHY device. Furthermore, a second Clause 82 PCS is required to provide a XLGMII between the XLAUI and the Clause 113 PCS.

In this case, there are now 3 PCS sublayers within the physical layer to be managed using MDIO. Both Clause 82 and Clause 113 require the PCS to be managed as MMD 3.

Clause 83.1.4 provides guidelines for MMD numbering for PMA sublayers and examples are provided in Figure 83-2 and Annex 83C. Something similar should be provided for the multiple PCS sublayers used in a 40GBASE-T physical layer with one or more XLAUI links.

SuggestedRemedy

Provide guidelines for MMD numbering of PCS sublayers when one or more XLAUI are used in a 40GBASE-T physical layer.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Application to BASE-T may be different from optical. Consider with presentations on application to BASE-T.

Cl 55 SC 55.3.5.3 P 56 L 44 # 218

Regev, Alon

Ixia

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

"signaling" misspelled as "signalling" (in multiple places in the draft).

SuggestedRemedy

change "signalling"
to "signaling"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Signaling is a correct alternative spelling and is used throughout the draft of 802.3 d3p2. Signalling is not used in 802.3 d3p2 (at least in sections 4 & 6)

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

CI 45 SC 45.2.1 P 36 L 9 # 219
 Regev, Alon Ixia
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 In editorial instructions, "through" is misspelled as "though".
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "1.145 though 1.146" to "1.145 through 1.146"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.24 P 103 L 18 # 220
 Regev, Alon Ixia
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 "a analogous manner" should be "an analogous manner"
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "a analogous manner" to "an analogous manner"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.3.6.2.3 P 113 L 45 # 221
 Regev, Alon Ixia
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 "it's" should be "its"
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "it's" to "its"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI Annex SC 113A.3 P 204 L 19 # 222
 Regev, Alon Ixia
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 extra "measured to" in "The clamp should be tested to measured to ensure the insertion loss and return loss are as specified in 113A.2."

SuggestedRemedy
 change
 "The clamp should be tested to measured to ensure the insertion loss and return loss are as specified in 113A.2."
 To
 "The clamp should be tested to ensure the insertion loss and return loss are as specified in 113A.2."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI Annex SC 113A.3 P 204 L 20 # 223
 Regev, Alon Ixia
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 "teh" should be "the"

SuggestedRemedy
 change "teh" to "the"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI Annex SC 113a.3 P 205 L 34 # 224
 Regev, Alon Ixia
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 missing space between "from the cable clamp." and "The cable".

SuggestedRemedy
 change "from the cable clamp.The cable"
 to "from the cable clamp. The cable"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.14 P 45 L 12 # 225
 Regev, Alon Ixia
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 "MultiGBASE-T PCS status 2 register is shown in Table ." should be
 "MultiGBASE-T PCS status 2 register is shown in Table 45-129."
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change
 "MultiGBASE-T PCS status 2 register is shown in Table ."
 To
 "MultiGBASE-T PCS status 2 register is shown in Table 45-129."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.3.6.2.2 P 113 L 16 # 226
 Regev, Alon Ixia
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 "!tx_refresh_active" should be "!tx_refresh_active"
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "!tx_refresh_active" to "!tx_refresh_active"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.4.2.5.15 P 136 L 40 # 227
 Regev, Alon Ixia
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 "rem_rcvr status" should be "rem_rcvr_status"
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "rem_rcvr status" to "rem_rcvr_status"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.4.6.3 P 149 L 20 # 228
 Regev, Alon Ixia
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 "maxwait_time_done" should be "maxwait_timer_done"
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "maxwait_time_done" to "maxwait_timer_done"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 Commenter is advised that same error exists in 802.3bx D3p2, Clause 55.4.6.3, Figure 55-31,
 and may wish to submit a maintenance request.

Cl 113 SC 113.4.6.5 P 151 L 15 # 229
 Regev, Alon Ixia
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 "start_link_fail_sig_timer" should be "start link_fail_sig_timer"
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "start_link_fail_sig_timer" to "start link_fail_sig_timer"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 Commenter is advised that same error exists in 802.3bx D3p2, Clause 55.4.6.3, Figure 55-31,
 and may wish to submit a maintenance request.

Cl 113 SC 113.6.2 P 164 L 39 # 230
 Regev, Alon Ixia
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 "PMA_CONFIG.indicate" should be "PMA_CONFIG.indication" (to match the definition in
 113.2.2.2).
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "PMA_CONFIG.indicate" to "PMA_CONFIG.indication" (in 2 locations in the draft)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Commenter is advised that same errors exists in 802.3bx D3p2, Clause 55 and may wish to
 submit a maintenance request.

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 96 L 24 # 231
 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 In the Examples 1&2 step 3 is missing
 SuggestedRemedy
 Renumber Example 1 & 2 appropriately
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 94 L 38 # 232
 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D PCS
 Shifting all the control blocks around is un-necessary effort since all input locations could end up at all output locations. All that is necessary is to shift the first control block to the head of the list. Then each output location has 2 output locations n or n-1, (except for location 0 which can get data from all 8 input locations). Follow up to D2.1 comment #2
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change:
 Within the group of eight 65-bit blocks, let C be the set of k integers corresponding to the values of j that have tx_coded_j<0> = 1, and U be the set of 8-k integers corresponding to the values of j that have tx_coded_j<0> = 0, where the integers that comprise both C and U are arranged in ascending order. For instance, if tx_coded_1<0>=1 and tx_coded_4<0>=1, C = {1,4}, and U = {0,2,3,5,6,7}.

To:
 Within the group of eight 65-bit blocks, let the set C be the integer corresponding to the first values of j that has tx_coded_j<0> = 1, and U be the set of 7 integers corresponding to the remaining values of j, where the integers that comprise both C and U are arranged in ascending order. For instance, if tx_coded_1<0>=1 and tx_coded_4<0>=1, C = {1}, and U = {0,2,3,4,5,6,7}.

Change:
 A continuation flag (FC) that if set to 1 indicates that another control block is to follow, and if set to 0 indicates that this is the last control block in the group of 8 transcoded 65B blocks, followed by

To:
 A parity bit (PB) that is the even parity of the BlockType and Position fields, followed by

Change FC to PB on line 7 of page 95

Change:
 Example #1: C = {1,4}, and U = {0,2,3,5,6,7}, with the first control block being 0x1E, and the second being 0x78. Thus:
 1) 65B control words are present, so the 513B control flag bit gets set to 0
 2) The first control word is C0 where Position = 0x1, and BlockType = 0x8. Since this is not the last control word the continuation flag FC = 1. Thus the 513B control word for this block will be:
 a. C0 Control Word = {1,0x1, 0x8} = 1 100 0001 in bit order of transmission
 4) The second control word is C1 where Position = 0x4, and BlockType = 0x7. Since this is the last control word the continuation flag FC = 0. Thus the 513B control word for this block will be:
 a. C4 Control Word = {0,0x4, 0x7} = 0 001 1110 in bit order of transmission
 5) After this the payload of the remaining data blocks is placed

To:
 Example #1: C = {1}, and U = {0,2,3,4,5,6,7}, with the first control block being 0x1E Thus:

cal Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T 2nd Working Group rec

- 1) 65B control words are present, so the 513B control flag bit gets set to 0
- 2) The first control word is C0 where Position = 0x1, BlockType = 0x8, and PB = 0 since the even parity of 0x1 and 0x8 is 0. Thus the 513B control word for this block will be:
 - a. C0 Control Word = {0,0x1, 0x8} = 0 100 0001 in bit order of transmission
- 3) After this the payload of the remaining blocks is placed

Change:

Example #2: C = {7}, and U = {0,1,2,3,4,5,6}, with the control block being 0xB4. Thus:

- 1) 65B control words are present, so the 513B control flag bit gets set to 0
- 2) The first and only control word is C0 where Position = 0x7, and BlockType = 0x5. Since this is also the last control word the continuation flag FC = 0. Thus the 513B control word for this block will be:
 - a. C0 Control Word = {0,0x7, 0x5} = 0 111 1010 in bit order of transmission
- 4) After this the payload of the remaining data blocks is placed

To:

Example #2: C = {7}, and U = {0,1,2,3,4,5,6}, with the control block being 0xB4. Thus:

- 1) 65B control words are present, so the 513B control flag bit gets set to 0
- 2) The first control word is C0 where Position = 0x7, BlockType = 0x5, and PB = 1 since the even parity of 0x7 and 0x5 is 1. Thus the 513B control word for this block will be:
 - a. C0 Control Word = {0,0x7, 0x5} = 1 111 1010 in bit order of transmission
- 4) After this the payload of the remaining data blocks is placed

Update the Figure 113-10 to match the new encoding scheme.

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED REJECT.

No defect in the draft - just another way of doing the same function, and likely to cause more churn getting it right. Advantage of rearrangement is lost when used with a blocked frame processing scheme like is used in 40GBASE-T.

Cl 113 *SC* 113.5.3.2 *P* *L* # 233

NoName

Comment Type **E** *Comment Status* **X**

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response *Response Status* **O**

Cl 113 *SC* 113.5.2 *P* 151 *L* 36 # 234

Chini, Ahmad Broadcom

Comment Type **T** *Comment Status* **D** *PMA*

For transmit distortion test mode 4, figure 113-36, the test does not have the remote signal present which pushes the signal into non-linearity. In order to test non linearity, an external tone needs to be injected into local transmitter, representing maximum level of remote PHY signal. See clause 40 for similar test set up.

SuggestedRemedy

See comment

Proposed Response *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED REJECT.

This was considered during 10GBASE-T. Stressing the transmitter with a remote signal to simulate a short line is unnecessary because of the use of power back off.