C/ 00	SC 0	Р	L	# 1	C/ 1	SC 1.5	P 25	L 11	# 4		
Anslow, Pe	ete	Ciena			Anslow,	Pete	Ciena				
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A			EZ Commei	nt Type E	Comment Status A		E		
		evision has been approved by t anged from 201x to 2015	the IEEE SASB, t	he "base_year" variabl	e The noui	•	previations in 802.3 does not use	initial caps unles	ss the text is a proper		
Suggested	dRemedy				Suggest	tedRemedy					
		r" variable in all files from 201)		ould change all	Cha	inge "Attenuation	to Crosstalk Ratio - Far End" to "	attenuation to cr	osstalk ratio - far end"		
instances of "IEEE Std 802.3-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3-2015"						Response Response Status C					
Response		Response Status C			ACC	CEPT.					
ACCE	PT.					SC 28.5.3	D.07	L 40	# =		
C/ FM	SC FM	P 11	L 28	# 2	CI 28 Anslow,		<i>P</i> 27 Ciena	L 40	# 5		
Anslow, Pe	ete	Ciena					Comment Status A		_		
Comment	Type E	Comment Status A			Commer EZ "Sec		a very unhelpful cross-reference.		EZ		
Frame	Maker template.	e the latest version of the Intro	·		Suggest	tedRemedy	,	1 2700 in a grans	reference		
		302.3 is comprised of" should b		s is composed of		ū	1.4" to "See 1.4.278a" where 1.4	1.21 od 15 d C1055	-reference.		
Suggested	•	.3 is comprised of" to "IEEE S	td 802 3 is compo	sed of"	Respons	se CEPT.	Response Status C				
Response		Response Status C	10 002.0 10 0011p0	364 01		JLI I.					
•	PT IN PRINCIPL	•			CI 45	SC 45.2.1	P 35	L 32	# 6		
Make	suggested chang	e AND			Anslow,	Pete	Ciena		<u> </u>		
Editor	to confirm that la	test version of introduction text	t is in use in the dr	aft.	Comme	nt Type E	Comment Status A		EZ		
C/ 1 Anslow, Pe	SC 1.4.131a	P 24 Ciena	L 37	# 3		able 45-3, 45.2.1 rences	.74 through 45.2.1.77 are shown	in forest green, I	but they should be cross-		
,						tedRemedy					
Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ A comma is not used in 802.3 as a thousands separator. The Style guide has: "Digits should be separated into groups of three, counting from the decimal point toward the left and right. The groups should be separated by a space, and not a comma, period, or dash. If the magnitude of					EZ Cha	inge 45.2.1.74 th	rough 45.2.1.77 to be cross-refer	ences in black fo	ont.		
					· '	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.					
the nu four di numbe	mber is less than	one, the decimal point should not necessary, unless four-dig r more."	be preceded by a	zero. In numbers of		JEPI.					
Suggested											

Change "2,000" to "2000"

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

P 36 P 38 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 L 16 # 7 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.14c L 6 # 10 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status A Editorial Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ The allocation of bits shown in Table 45-7 for the "25GBASE-T PMA" is "1 0 0 1 1 1" The title of Table 45-17c should not have initial caps for "Extended Ability" This is not the allocation proposed in the meeting of editors on 13 February, see: SuggestedRemedy http://www.ieee802.org/3/by/public/adhoc/architecture/anslow_021815_25GE adhoc.pdf#page= Change "Extended Ability" to "extended ability" as per P802.3by D2.1 This allocation would put 25GBASE-T between 40GBASE-T and 100GBASE-CR10 Response Response Status C The proposed allocation was "1 1 0 1 1 1" which is adjacent to the 25G allocations being made ACCEPT. by P802.3bv. SuggestedRemedy Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14c.0a P 38 L 19 # 11 Change the allocation from "1 0 0 1 1 1" to "1 1 0 1 1 1" Anslow. Pete Ciena Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A F7 ACCEPT. A subclause being inserted before 45.2.1.14c.1 should be 45.2.1.14c.a, not 45.2.1.14c.0a SuggestedRemedy Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.14c P 38 / 1 Change the inserted subclause number (and the number in the editing instruction) from Anslow. Pete Ciena 45.2.1.14c.0a to 45.2.1.14c.a (actually 45.2.1.14b.a due to another comment) Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Response Response Status C Subclause 45.2.1.14c being inserted by P802.3by comes after 45.2.1.14a as inserted by ACCEPT. P802.3bw. hence it should be 45.2.1.14b not 45.2.1.14c. Similar issue for Table 45-17c, which should be Table 45-17b. A comment has been submitted against P802.3by D2.1 to correct these. C/ FM SC FM P 14 L 1 # 12 Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Change 45.2.1.14c to 45.2.1.14b Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Change Table 45-17c to Table 45-17b The task force name has not been changed in the header for even pages of the TOC file Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Correct the task force name in the header for even pages of the TOC file Response Response Status C Cl 45 # 9 SC 45.2.1.14c P 38 L 4 ACCEPT. Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A **Editorial** References to amendments that are expected to complete before this one should be of the form "IFFF Std 802.3xx-201x" SuggestedRemedy In editing instructions, change all references: from "IEEE P802.3by" to "IEEE Std 802.3by-201x"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status C

Editor to check with 802.3 leadership on the established best practice, and implement.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment ID 12

Page 2 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.6 P 44 L 3 # 13 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.7.5a P 44 L 47 # 15 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Ε Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ The editing instruction for Table 45-123 does not match the changes being made: there are The subclause for "25GBASE-T capable (3.8.9)" should be inserted between: more changes than described and the whole table is shown. 45.2.3.7.3 Receive fault (3.8.10) and 45.2.3.7.4 100GBASE-R capable (3.8.5) This table is being modified by P802.3by which is likely to complete before P802.3ba. The P802.3by amendment is changing this to be: The change made to the reserved row is incorrect. 45.2.3.7.3 Receive fault (3.8.10) Footnote a is incorrect. 45.2.3.7.3a 25GBASE-R capable (3.8.7) 45.2.3.7.4 100GBASE-R capable (3.8.5) SuggestedRemedy Consequently. The subclause for bit 3.8.9 should be 45.2.3.7.3aa and for bit 3.8.6 should be Change the editing instruction to "Change Table 45-123 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3by-45.2.3.7.3b giving: 201x) as follows:" 45.2.3.7.3 Receive fault (3.8.10) Show "0 1 1 1" as "= Select 25GBASE-R PCS type" 45.2.3.7.3aa 25GBASE-T capable (3.8.9) Show the reserved bits as being changed to "3.7.15:4" 45.2.3.7.3a 25GBASE-R capable (3.8.7) Change footnote a to "R/W = Read/Write. RO = Read only" 45.2.3.7.3b 40GBASE-T capable (3.8.6) 45.2.3.7.4 100GBASE-R capable (3.8.5) Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change the editing instruction for the bit 3.8.9 subclause to: "Insert 45.2.3.7.3aa after Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7 P 44 L 28 # 14 45.2.3.7.3 and before 45.2.3.7.3a (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3by-201x) as follows:" Add a separate editing instruction for the bit 3.8.6 subclause: "Insert 45.2.3.7.3b after Anslow. Pete Ciena 45.2.3.7.3a (as inserted by IEEE Std 802.3by-201x) as follows:" Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Renumber the subclauses accordingly. Table 45-124 is being modified by P802.3by which is likely to complete before P802.3bg. Response Response Status C "Ignore when read" has been changed to "Value always 0" in the reserved row by the 802.3bx ACCEPT. revision. SuggestedRemedy Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9 P 45 L 20 # 16 Coordinate with the P802.3by editorial team to show consistent changes between the two Anslow. Pete Ciena amendments. Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Change "Ignore when read" to "Value always 0" in the reserved row. The change of title for register 3.20 is not shown in Table 45-119. Response Response Status C The added "1" in the second sentence of 45.2.3.9 should be underlined. ACCEPT. The change to the title of Table 45-125 is not consistent with the register name "EEE control and capability 1" SuggestedRemedy Show the change of title for register 3.20 in Table 45-119.

Response

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 16

Show the added "1" in the second sentence of 45.2.3.9 in underline font.

Response Status C

"EEE control and capability 1 register bit definitions"

Change to the title of Table 45-125 from "EEE control and capability register 1 bit definitions" to

Page 3 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

P 200 C/ 113 SC 113.12.1.1 L 18 # 17 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.14 P 56 L 12 # 20 Ciena Anslow, Pete Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Status A Ε Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment i-52 against P802.3bx D3.0 changed all instances of "enquiries" to "inquiries" in 802.3 Spurious "." in line 12 and line 41 and many more scattered around the document, primarily after tables. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "enquiries" to "inquiries". Remove "." in the empty lines. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.12.1.2 P 200 L 30 # 18 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.14c P 57 L 23 # 21 Anslow. Pete Ciena Haiduczenia. Marek Bright House Network Comment Status A Comment Type F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 "IEEE Std 802.3-201x, Clause 113" should be "IEEE Std 802.3bq-201x, Clause 113" "0= Local device requests" should be "0 = Local device requests" On line 38. "conform to IEEE Std 802.3-201x" should be "conform to IEEE Std 802.3ba-201x" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "IEEE Std 802.3-201x, Clause 113" to "IEEE Std 802.3bg-201x, Clause 113" Multiple instances of "0=" which should be "0 =". Scrub clause 45, please. On line 38, change "conform to IEEE Std 802.3-201x" to "conform to IEEE Std 802.3bq-201x" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.5 P 59 L 12 # 22 C/ 1 SC 1.4.131a L 43 # 19 P 24 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ PICS usually start at the top of the page. Missing serial comma in "10GBASE-T, 25GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please place PICS at the top of the page. Change "10GBASE-T, 25GBASE-T>>,<< and 40GBASE-T." Response Response Status C The same change on page 25, line 4 ACCEPT. Response Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.9 P 60 L 50 # 23 C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 P 83 L7 # 26 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network Comment Type Comment Type T Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A EΖ AM61 has reference broken into two lines without any need. "modulation symbol rate of 2000 Msymbols/s results in a symbol period of 500.0 ps." - how much more precise you want to be about 500 ps? What is the target precision you're after? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Extend the size of "Sublause" column to accomodate reference unbroken into two lines. There Change "500.0 ps" to "500 ps" are plenty of other locations in PICS in thid draft where references are Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. # 24 CI 55 SC 55.6 P 65 L 2 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 111 L 22 # 27 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Network** Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Network** Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Odd "." character at the beginning of title of 55.6 "Block field (see Figure 113-10)" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please remove the "." character. Seems like it is a dot. make sure that "(see" starts in the second line - it is not very readable. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.1.1 P 81 L 49 # 25 C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.2.1 P 142 L 12 # 28 Bright House Network Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Network** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status R EΖ Editorial "Where a functionality or register refers to any member of the MultiGBASE-T set of PHYs, as It would be much clearer for a reader what this is, if the definitions of xpr_master, xpr_slave defined in Clause 1.4. that nomenclature is used." were given in a tabular form, with explanation of what X and Y axis are ... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy It is not "Clause 1.4", it is "1.4" as in subclause 1.4. Please consider putting these into tables and adding X/Y descriptions. And yes, I do realize it is not changed text, but then it is not a technical change. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C. ACCEPT. REJECT. The text as it is will be familiar to the reader from Clause 55. Changing its format may cause reader confusion that the substance has changed. Commenter would be encouraged to submit a maintenance request or future revision comment to ensure that all BASE-T clauses use consistent definitions.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 28

Page 5 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

Cl 113 SC 113.7.3.2.1 P 188 L 37 # 29

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network

Comment Type T Comment Status A Cabling

Statements like this are easy to bake into equation "When Equation (113–30) values are greater than 75 dB, they shall revert to 75 dB." without the need for separate PICS. There are a few of them baked into the draft right now

SuggestedRemedy

Consider changing Equation 113–30 to the following form PSAACRF(f) >= min(75, 61-20log10(f/100)).

Remove PICS associated with the requirement: "When Equation (113–30) values are greater than 75 dB, they shall revert to 75 dB.". Remove statement "When Equation (113–30) values are greater than 75 dB, they shall revert to 75 dB.".

Repeat the process for other equations that carry similar upper bounds on equation values. Repeat the process for other equations that carry similar lower bounds on equation values, using (max) rather than (min) function.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

With editorial licence remove shalls from text limiting reported values e.g.,

Calculations that result in insertion loss values less than 2 dB shall revert to a requirement of 2 dB.

To:

Calculations that result in insertion loss values less than 2 dB revert to a requirement of 2 dB.

(from insertion loss and similar requirements)

Cl 113 SC 113.7.2.3 P 182 L 24 # 30

Flatman, Alan LAN Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Cabling

Comment 220 to 802.3bq D2.0 proposed to change link segment RL requirements from what ISO/IEC had been proposing for Class I/II to the more onerous TIA Cat 8 limits. It was agreed to await the outcome of the Sep 2015 ISO/IEC meeting before finalising any change, as indicated by the Editor's Note on line 43. A formal liaison was forwarded from the ISO/IEC Sep meeting to notify 802.3 of its decision to introduce a slight relaxation to the RL requirements at frequencies above 1.6GHz. I propose that this is adopted by 802.3bq.

SuggestedRemedy

Adopt link seament RL requirements of:

19 dB 1-10 MHz 24-5log(f) dB 10-40 MHz 16 dB 40-130 MHz 35-9log(f) dB 130-1000 MHz 8 dB 1000-2000 MHz

Additionally, due to the close proximity of connectors in short channels, when insertion loss at 1600 MHz <= 15 dB, the channel return loss from 1600 MHz to 2000 MHz is 8-19log(f/1600).

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE:

The link segment return loss specifications should be independent of the link segments measured insertion loss.

Change RL requirements as follows:

Change: 8 dB 1000 <= f < 2000 xS MHz
To: 8 dB 1000 <= f < 1250 MHz

Insert: "(for 40GBASE-T): 8 dB 1250 <= f < 1600 MHz

 $8-19\log(f/1600)$ dB $1600 \le f < 2000$ MHz"

Comment Type T Comment Status A

This draft is expanding the PCS type selection field from 3.7.2:0 to 3.7.3:0, but there are places other than Table 45-123 where this change must also be reflected.

SuggestedRemedy

In 45.2.3.1.2 the draft incorrectly has "(3.7.1:0)". Show a change from "(3.7.2:0)" to "(3.7.3:0)" In 45.2.3.2.7 the draft incorrectly has "(3.7.1:0)" (2 instances). Show a change from "(3.7.2:0)" to "(3.7.3:0)" (2 instances).

Bring 45.2.3.6.1 in to the draft and show the title as changing to: "PCS type selection (3.7.3:0)" and show the first sentence as changing to "The PCS type shall be selected using bits 3 through 0."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 31

Page 6 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

EΖ

EΖ

F7

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.6.1 P 44 L 25 # 32 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Status A This draft is allocating bit 3.8.6, but not reflecting this change in 45.2.3.6.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Show the second sentence of 45.2.3.6.1 as changing to "The PCS type abilities of the PCS are advertised in bits 3.8.9 and 3.8.6:0."

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Т

C/ 1 SC 1.3 P **24** L 9 # 33 Maquire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type Comment Status A

Follow 802.3-2012 style for ordering of punctuation and footnotes.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the superscript 1 after the "." in the first reference.

(i.e. replace "Cabling{^}1." with "Cabling.{^}1")

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 1 SC 1.3 P 24 L 12 # 34

Maguire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Insert a reference to the ISO/IEC Technical Report under development to address installed cabling support of 25GBASE-T.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to Normative references:

ISO/IEC TR 11801-9905 (draft), Guidelines for the use of installed cabling to support 25GBASE-T

Add ISO/IEC TR 11801-9905 to the Editor's Note on line 14 as follows:

References to published versions of ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1-201x, ISO/IEC 11801-1, and ISO/IEC TR 11801-9905 will be substituted when available.

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

Task group needs to review ISO/IEC TR 11801-9905 (draft), "Guidelines for the use of installed cabling to support 25GBASE-T" to ensure specifications meet the 802.3bg link segment specifications.

Commenter provides alternate resolution:

MOTION 7:

MOVE TO ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE:

Add Bibliography to the draft, inserting:

ISO/IEC TR 11801-9905 (draft), Guidelines for the use of installed cabling to support 25GBASE-T

Add an Editor's Note following the entry as follows:

Reference to published version of ISO/IEC TR 11801-9905 will be substituted when available.

M: Valerie Maguire

S: Paul Vanderlaan

Y:9

N:11

A:7

MOTION FAILS

NO CONSENSUS TO CHANGE DRAFT

Cabling

Cl 113 SC 113.7.2 P 181 L 38 # 35

Maquire, Valerie Siemon

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Cabling

The link segment consists of up to 30m of "cabling". Class I is not the correct object of the preposition in this sentence.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace, "A link segment consisting of up to 30 m of Class I that meets the transmission parameters..."

with, "A link segment consisting of up to 30 m of cabling that meets the transmission parameters...

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Cabling

Recognize that up to 30m, 2-connector category 7A channels, to be described in ISO/IEC TR

11801-9905, will support 25GBASE-T.

SuggestedRemedy

See page 3 of "maguire 3bg 01 1115.pptx" to view these changes with revision marks.

Replace entire of clause 113.7.1 (except Editor's Note) with:

The cabling system used to support 40GBASE-T requires 4-pair balanced cabling with a nominal impedance of 100 W listed in Table 113-21. The cabling system used to support 25GBASE-T requires 4-pair balanced cabling with a nominal impedance of 100 W listed in Table 113-22. Operation on other classes of cabling may be supported if the link segment meets the requirements of 113.7.

Additionally:

- a) 40GBASE-T uses balanced cabling listed in Table 113-21- in a star topology to connect PHY entities.
- b) 40GBASE-T is an application of the balanced cabling listed in Table 113-21- with the additional transmission requirements specified in this subclause. The ISO/IEC 11801-1 cabling limit calculation minimums apply to the link segment specifications.
- c)25GBASE-T uses balanced cabling listed in Table 113-22- in a star topology to connect PHY entities.
- d)25GBASE-T is an application of the balanced cabling listed in Table 113-21- with the additional transmission requirements specified in this subclause. The ISO/IEC 11801-1 cabling limit calculation minimums apply to the link segment specifications.

Response Status **U**

REJECT.

MASTER COMMENT ON CAT7A IN 113.7

See resolution to comment#34. Resolve with comments 37,38

(Motion 4)

Move to ACCEPT text as corrected in maguire_01a_1115.pdf

M: Valerie Maguire

S: Paul Vanderlaan

Y: 13

N: 13

A: 8

MOTION FAILS

(Motion 5)

Move to ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE adding a note to Table 113-21 under "Cabling", as follows: "(1) Additionally, 25GBASE-T support over up to 30m of installed Category 7A cabling is possible when qualified per ISO/IEC TR 11801-9905"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 36

Page 8 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

M: Shadi Abughazaleh

S: Valerie Maguire

Motion 6:

Move to Amend Motion 5, deleting "Category 7A" from the text, to read: ""(1) Additionally, 25GBASE-T support over up to 30m of installed cabling is possible when qualified per ISO/IEC TR 11801-9905"

M: Alan Flatman

S: Masood Shariff

Y: 19

N: 6

A:6 MOTION PASSES

Motion 5 AS AMENDED:

Move to ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE adding a note to Table 113-21 under "Cabling", as follows: "(1) Additionally, 25GBASE-T support over up to 30m of installed cabling is possible when qualified per ISO/IEC TR 11801-9905"

Ý: 8

N: 20

A: 6

MOTION FAILS

NO CONSENSUS TO CHANGE THE DRAFT

Commenters are encouraged to provide additional information on the content and status of ISO/IEC TR 11801-9905, and work to achieve consensus during subsequent ballot cycles (Working Group and Sponsor).

C/ 113 SC 113.7.2

P **18**

L 43

37

Maguire, Valerie

Comment Type

Siemon

TR

Comment Status R

Cabling

Recognize that up to 30m, 2-connector category 7A channels, to be described in ISO/IEC TR 11801-9905, will support 25GBASE-T.

SuggestedRemedy

See page 4 of "maguire_3bq_01_1115.pptx" to see proposed table changes and to view these changes with revision marks.

Replace clause 113.7.2, starting at line 44, with:

Table 113-21 lists the supported cabling types and distances for 40GBASE-T and Table 113-22 lists the supported cabling types and distances for 25GBASE-T.

Table 113-21 40GBASE-T cabling types and distances Cabling Supported link segment distancesCabling references ISO/IEC Class I / Class II30 mISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3 Category 830 mANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1 Table 113-22 25GBASE-T cabling types and distances

Table 113-22 25GBASE-T cabling types and distances Cabling Supported link segment distancesCabling references ISO/IEC Class I / Class II30 mISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3 Category 830 mANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1

Category 7A30 mISO/IEC TR 11801-9905

Response Status **U**

REJECT.

See comment 36.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 37

Page 9 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

C/ 1 SC 1.4 P 24 L 23 # 38 Cl 28 SC 28.3.2 P 27 L 17 # 40 Maguire, Valerie Siemon ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type Comment Type TR Comment Status D Cabling Comment Status A Editorial Recognize that up to 30m, 2-connector category 7A channels, to be described in ISO/IEC TR Need to update text for link fail inhibit timer to include MultiGBASE-T and be consistent with 11801-9905, will support 25GBASE-T. (May wish to discuss Maguire-4 and Maguire-5 first.) This aligns with Clause 1.4 of 802.3-2015, which calls out Class E for support of 10GBASE-T. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "operating at 10 Gb/s" to "in the MultiGBASE-T PHY set" Replace, "1.4.64j 25GBASE-T: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for a 25Gb/s LAN Response Response Status C using four pairs of ANSI/TIA Category 8, ISO/IEC Class I, or ISO/IEC Class II balanced ACCEPT. copper cabling. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 113.)" with, "1.4.64j 25GBASE-T: IEEE 802.3 Physical Layer specification for a 25Gb/s LAN using CI 78 SC 78.5 P 68 L 38 four pairs of ANSI/TIA Category 8, ISO/IEC Category 7A, ISO/IEC Class I, or ISO/IEC Class II ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. balanced copper cabling. (See IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 113.) Comment Type E Comment Status A **Editorial** Proposed Response Response Status Z Need to include 25GBASE-T in text REJECT. SuggestedRemedy This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Change "10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T PHY" to "PHY in the MultiGBASE-T set" in 2 places (L38 & L40) Response Response Status C See resolution to comment#34. ACCEPT. Resolve with comments#36.37 C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P 71 L 51 # 42 C/ 105 SC 105.2 P 79 L 23 ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Lo. William Marvell Semiconductor Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type T Comment Status A Architecture RS-FEC needs nonbreaking hyphen Clause 107, 109, 109A, 109B does not apply to 25GBASE-T SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change hyphen to nonbreaking Delete the O from the 4 clauses above. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 42

Page 10 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

C/ 81 SC 81.1 ZImmerman, George	P 73 L 19 CME Consulting, Inc.	# 43	Cl 113 SC 113.2.2.5 P 105 L 53 ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.	# 47
Comment Type E Clean up alignment in F	Comment Status A Figure 81-1 on 40GBASE-T stack	EZ	Comment Type E Comment Status A Editors note no longer applicable	Editorial
SuggestedRemedy See comment			SuggestedRemedy Delete editors note	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
Cl 105 SC ZImmerman, George	P 77 L 1 CME Consulting, Inc.	# 44	CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.6 P 107 L 33 ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.	# 48
Comment Type E Hanging "bq 25G/40GE SuggestedRemedy Delete	Comment Status A BASE-T"	EZ	Comment Type E Comment Status A Table 113-1 footnote a is inappropriate SuggestedRemedy Delete footnote a	PCS
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
C/ 113 SC 113.1.1 ZImmerman, George	P 81 L 53 CME Consulting, Inc.	# 45	Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.13 P 109 L 33 ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.	# [49
Comment Type E typo - tranfer SuggestedRemedy change "tranfer" to "tran	Comment Status A	EZ	Comment Type E Comment Status A Space should be nonbreaking SuggestedRemedy See comment	EZ
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
C/ 113 SC 113.1.1 ZImmerman, George	P 81 L 49 CME Consulting, Inc.	# 46	Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.15 P 110 L 1 ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.	# 50
Comment Type E Clause 1.4 is an unuse	Comment Status A ful reference, be more precise	EZ	Comment Type E Comment Status A needs to include 25GMII with XLGMII	Editorial
SuggestedRemedy Change "Clause 1.4" ci	ross ref to "1.4.278a"		SuggestedRemedy Change to "Where the XLGMII" to "Where the 25GMII or XLGMII"	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 50

Page 11 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 110 L 31 # 51 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.11.2 P 54 L 5 # 55 CME Consulting, Inc. ZImmerman, George ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial Comment Status A EΖ 64/65b are BASE-T codes, not the BASE-R codes "10GBASE-T status register" should be "MultiGBASE-T status register" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 25GBASE-R and 40GBASE-R to 25GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T Change "10GBASE-T" to "MultiGBASE-T" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.20 P 115 L 22 C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.5.3 P 147 L 10 # 52 ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Hyphen should be nonbreaking Clean up figure 113-28, tick marks for bit settings protrude below line, align labels SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See comment See comment Response Response Status C. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10.4e P **52** L 9 # 53 C/ 113A SC 113A.2 P **221** L 43 # 57 ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial Comment Type E Comment Status A Clamp subclause 45.2.7.10.4e should be 4h "As shown in Figure 113A-2 the inner conductor on the bottom half of the clamp extends slightly (~0.1mm)" - this is not shown in the figure SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 45.2.7.10.4e to 45.2.7.10.4h Delete "As shown in Figure 113A-2", capitalize "the" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 54 ACCEPT. (per ad hoc report) - see cibula 01 1115.pdf Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7c P 54 L 40 # 54 ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Comment Type E Comment Status A **Editorial** 45.2.7.11.7c should be 45.2.7.11.7g since it is after the bz bits SuggestedRemedy see comment

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status C

Editor to align subclause numbering between bg and bz after this meeting.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Comment ID 57

Page 12 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

							
C/ 1 SC 1.4	P 24 L	22 # <u>58</u>		C/ 30 SC 30.3.2	P 29	L 37	# 62
ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.				ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.			
Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ Editing instruction should be 'as inserted by IEEE P802.3by'			Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ typo: "PHY devicePHY device managed object class"				
SuggestedRemedy See comment				SuggestedRemedy Change to "PHY device	ce managed object class"		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 1 SC 1.4.131a	P 24 L	38 # 59		C/ 00 SC 0	P 31	L 5	# 63
ZImmerman, George	CME Consulting, Inc.			ZImmerman, George	CME Consul	ting, Inc.	
Comment Type E Comment Status A			EZ	Comment Type E	Comment Status A		BZ Order
2,000 should be 2000 per style guide SuggestedRemedy				It is now clear that 802.3bq will precede 802.3bz to sponsor ballot. References to bz and may be deleted and related editor's notes removed.			
See comment				SuggestedRemedy			
Response Response Status C				Editor to remove editor's notes referring to 802.3bz duplication of text and instructing which amendment is to carry these changes forward.			
ACCEPT.				Response	Response Status C		
C/ FM SC ZImmerman, George	P 11 L CME Consulting, Inc.	3 # 60		ACCEPT. Task Force to discuss	3		_
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		EZ	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1	P 35	L 27	# 64
Update title to include 2	25 Gb/s operation in introductory text			ZImmerman, George	CME Consul	ting, Inc.	
SuggestedRemedy				Comment Type E Comment Status A			EZ
See comment			Table 45-3 subclauses for 45.2.1.70 - should be active cross references, not external as indicated				
Response Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy				
ACCEPT.				Replace 45.2.1.70 and	d on external references with a	ctive cross referer	nces
Cl 28 SC 28.5.3 ZImmerman, George	P 27 L CME Consulting, Inc.	40 # <u>61</u>		Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		EZ				
reference to just clause	e 1.4 is less than useful						
SuggestedRemedy							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Replace reference to Clause 1.4 with 1.4.278a

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Comment ID 64

Page 13 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

SC 45.2.1.65.1 P 39 C/ 45 L 49 # 65 C/ 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 73 L 51 # 69 CME Consulting, Inc. ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. ZImmerman, George Comment Type Comment Type T Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A Architecture Add in 45.2.1.65.1 and 45.2.1.65.2 to the draft to include cross references to Clause 113. Logic for CARRIER_STATUS is convoluted, unclear and stated twice. CARRIER_ON and CARRIER OFF states possibly overlap. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See comment Delete P73 L54 "CARRIER STATUS is set to CARRIER OFF..." through P74 L3, "or if Response Response Status C link fault is Link Interruption" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13 P 46 L 19 # 66 ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. C/ 105 SC 105.2 P **79** L 23 # 70 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 ZImmerman. George CME Consulting, Inc. Include 25GBASE-T in editing instruction Comment Type T Comment Status A Architecture SuggestedRemedy Table 105-2 needs to be consistent with changes to 40GBASE-T stack up - delete BASE-R PCSs, and AUIs -See comment SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C. Delte "O" in columns for Clauses 107, 109, 109A and 109B ACCEPT. Response Response Status C Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.2 P 59 L 27 # 67 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Duplicate of comment 39 Comment Type T Comment Status A PICS C/ 113 SC 113.7 P 181 L 5 # 71 add option *25T to indicate implementation of 25GBASE-T PMA, like 40GBASE-T ZImmerman, George CME Consulting. Inc. SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status A F7 See comment "Each of the four pairs supports an effective data rate of 10 Gb/s in each direction Response Response Status C Only refers to 40GBASE-T. Explanatory statement needs to be updated to include 25GBASE-ACCEPT. Т. Cl 45 SC 45.5.3.3 P 59 L 27 # 68 SuggestedRemedy ZImmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Insert, "for 40GBASE-T and 6.25 Gb/s for 25GBASE-T " after "of 10 Gb/s ". Comment Type T Comment Status A PICS Response Response Status C Add in subclause 45.5.3.3 PMA/PMD management functions - add in *40T and *25T as ACCEPT. MM111 and MM112 SuggestedRemedy see comment Response Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

ACCEPT.

Comment ID 71

Page 14 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

C/ 113 SC 113.7.1 P 181 L 22 # 72 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.3 P 174 L 25 # 74 Rossbach, Martin Nexans McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Т Comment Status R Cabling Comment Type Comment Status A Clamp The Media Choices for 25GBASE-T are different to 40GBASE-T. Introduce a new table 113-22 It is unclear whether the signal power limit is 6dBm as stated in 113.5.4.3 or 6dBm plus the for 25GBase-T. 10% variation allowed by Annex 113A.3. (note - commenter indicated TR, changed on input since commenter isn't listed in ballot pool) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Clarify that the limit is 6dBm by adding this footnote: "The 6dBm limit includes the 10% Add text to say: The cabling system used to support 25GBASE-T requires 4-pair balanced frequency-dependent variation mentioned in Annex 113A.3." cabling with a nominal impedance of 100 listed in Table 113-22. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. (per ad hoc report) Response Response Status C Note: The 6dBm limit includes the 10% frequency-dependent variation mentioned in Annex REJECT. 113A.3. (see cibula 01 1115.pdf) SC 113.5.2.1 P 170 See comment 36. C/ 113.5 L 17 # 75 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope The references in Table 113–21— Cabling types and distances apply to 25GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T. Comment Type Comment Status A PMA Flectrical B not identified C/ 113 SC 113.7.2 P 181 L 45 SuggestedRemedy Rossbach, Martin Nexans delete or ID Comment Status R Comment Type Т Cabling Response Response Status C. Add ISO/IEC Class FA to Table "Cabling types and distances" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete both "A" and "B" (and their arrows) in Figure 113-36. (note - commenter indicated TR, changed on input since commenter isn't listed in ballot pool) SuggestedRemedy C/ 113.5 SC 113.5.2.1 P 170 L 41 # 76 Add ISO/IEC Class FA to Table "Cabling types and distances" Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Response Response Status C Comment Type T Comment Status D PMA Electrical REJECT. why only up to 1600 MHz? Why no balun spec? See comment 36. SuggestedRemedy The 802.3bg link segment consists of up to 30 m of Class I that meets the transmission Make full range. Also the balun should have some specification RL> 15 dB balance > 35 dB parameters of 113.7.2 Link segment transmission parameters. ISO/IEC Class FA does not across 2GHz range uniquely specify a 30 m channel to consider for compliance to 113.7.2. Proposed Response Response Status Z REJECT.

Specification is clear and proven for droop testing in 10GBASE-T.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 76

Page 15 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

C/ 113.5 SC 113.5.3.2 P 171 L 45 # 77 C/ 113.7 SC 113.7.4.2 P 189 L 25 # 80 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Ε Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status R EΖ EΖ Should identify the term SFDR ReturnLoss needs space SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy as suggested The Spurious-Free Dynamic Range (SFDR) of the transmitter Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. REJECT. Term is defined in the abbreviations section (Clause 1.5) of 802.3 C/ 113.7 SC 113.7.4.1 P 189 L 13 # 81 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope C/ 113.7 SC 113.7.1 P 181 L 34 # 78 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Comment Type Comment Status R Cabling Why does this IL have a 3 dB floor, while the other one has a 2 dB floor? Comment Status A Cabling Comment Type SuggestedRemedy What is the intent of this sentence that seems to single out the ISO spec? set to a common floor The ISO/IEC 11801-1 cabling limit calculation minimums apply to the link segment Response Response Status C specifications. REJECT. SuggestedRemedy delete 113.7.2.1 Insertion loss specification aligns with referenced cabling standards. Response Response Status C 113.7.4 Direct attach cable assembly is a short reach link segment supporting up to 5 meters. ACCEPT. The specification aligns with referenced standards "Direct attach channel insertion loss" C/ 113.7 SC 113.7.2.1 P 182 L 15 # 79 C/ 113.7 SC 113.7.4.3.1 P 190 L 1 # 82 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Cabling Comment Type Ε Comment Status R ΕZ this solution isn't targeting work areas Table 113-22 why in a table? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change to change to equation Response Response Status C This includes the insertion loss of the balanced cabling pairs, including attachment cord, equipment cable and connector losses within each duplex channel. REJECT. Requirement is clear Response Status Z Proposed Response REJECT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

considerations.

Although not targeted at work areas, text allows for work area and equipment cable

Comment ID 82

Page 16 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

P 190 SC 113A.3 C/ 113.7 SC 113.7.4.3.5 L 1 # 83 C/ 113A. P 223 L 30 # 86 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Type Ε EΖ Comment Status A EΖ fix:, should be plural - two are shown SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy delete comma change to Oscilloscopes, power meters or spectrum analyzers Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. SC 113A.3 P 222 L 20 C/ 113A. SC 113A.3 P 224 C/ 113A. # 84 L 10 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Comment Status A Comment Type Clamp Comment Type Comment Status A Clamp This sentence gives me the impression that it implies the documented test is normative (not duplicate statement two sentences above (and incorrect as stated) iust doubly equivalent). It is also not clear what it is refering to: the entire procedure, the SuggestedRemedy measurement or the validation. delete The cable between the clamp and the balun should be straight and not in contact with the ground plane. Note that other measurement methods are allowed providing they can demonstrate equivalent equivalent results to the method described in this Annex. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. (see cibula 01 1115.pdf) delete or figure a good way to move the repaired statement into the overview 113A.1 C/ 113A. SC 113A.3 P 224 L 31 # 88 Response Response Status C Moffitt, Bryan CommScope ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete "measurement" in 'other measurement methods...' as shown in highlight in Comment Type E Comment Status A Clamp cibula 01 1115.pdf Note 1 should be with the first figure SC 113A.3 P 223 L 7 SuggestedRemedy C/ 113A. Moffitt, Bryan CommScope move it Comment Status A ΕZ Response Response Status C Comment Type Ε indentations not matching ACCEPT. (see cibula 01 1115.pdf) SuggestedRemedy dent

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Format lines 6-12 as a single paragraph.

SC 113A.4 P **224** C/ 113A. L 36 # 89 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.3 P 174 L 14 # 92 GraCaSI S.A. Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Thompson, Geoff Ε Comment Status R Comment Type Ε Comment Type Clamp Comment Status R Cabling this paragraph reads as if a new cable is now inserted, but the previous section ends This sub-clause seems to grammatically indicate that a shield is always present. The other two uses of the term "shield" in the draft seem to indicate that a shield is optional. instructing the tester not to move the cable used for validation SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy delete it or merge it with the original description in the validation step page 224 line 6 Change grammar here to somehow indicate "when present" or change the other two uses. Response Response Response Status C Response Status C REJECT. REJECT. Text is clear as is. 113 is shielded. Other instances of shield are found in Annex 113A which can be used for C/ 113A. SC 113A.4 P 225 L 11 # 90 shielded or unshielded cabling. Moffitt, Bryan CommScope C/ 113 SC 113.8.1 P 195 L 8 # 93 Comment Status R Comment Type Clamp Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. It would be better to see this image redrawn so it does not appear that the cable was pulled out Comment Type ER Comment Status A F7 an extra length from its original validation position. The term "(published)" is unnecessary. It is assumed that all references are published. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy as suggested Remove the text: "(published)" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT. C/ 00 SC 0 P 00 L O # 91 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 I have examined the draft for correct usage of the terms "MDI" and "MDI connector". All usage of those terms seems to be correct.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

No change required.

ACCEPT.

No change required.

Response Status C

Response

C/ 00

Cl 113 SC 113.7.2.1 P 182 L 3 # 94

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Cabling

This sub-clause is either using the cabling industry definition for channel, which is not among the 802.3 definitions for channel -OR- it is using the the term "duplex channel" in place of the appropriate 802.3 term "link segment". I can't tell which. The two are not precisely equivalent. The term "duplex channel" as defined in 802.3 is not precise and the use here is not sufficiently precise to overcome that deficiency.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the term "duplex channel" and replace with "link segment" or "lane of the link segment" as appropriate. If the technical values need to be adjusted, do that too.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert the following on P181 L5, as the third sentence in 113.7, to define 'duplex channel' as in clause 40.7, and maintain consistent language across the BASE-T PHYs.

"The term "link segment" used in this clause refers to four duplex channels. The term "duplex channel" will be used to refer to a single channel with full duplex capability."

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Editorial

L 0

95

P 00

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

I have no idea what the term "channel" means throughout your document. It seems to be used for both physical signaling paths and "virtual" paths. Further, it is not clear whether it intends to point to one pair when used as a physical term or as a collective term for the 4 pairs. In any case, its use does not conform to the definitions for channel in cl. 1.4 nor are the uses modified to be sufficiently precise.

SuggestedRemedy

Review the entire draft for the use of the term "channel". In that review consider the augmentation of the cl. 1.4 definition being made by other drafts in ballot. When appropriate use the term "link segment" (your draft is already pretty good about this). Align usage to cl. 1.4 definitions and add defining modifiers to make each use of the term explicitly specific.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

SC 0

Editor to review the draft and replace 'channel' with 'link segment' where appropriate. Editor to review draft to check alignment with proposed definition of 'channel' in 802.3by.

- usages of 'channel' as the 4-pair cabling to be replaced by 'link segment'
- usages of 'duplex channel' (113.7): Insert text from clause 40: "The term "duplex channel" will be used to refer to a single channel with full duplex capability." after "simultaneously." (P181 L5, clause 113.7)
- usages of 'channel' as a single twisted pair in Cl 30 parameters and Cl45 register names in the 4-pair medium are consistent with definition proposed in 802.3by
- editor to replace 'transmit channel' and 'receive channel' with 'transmitter' and 'receiver' in descriptive text
- editor notes conflict exists for 802.3by definition in regards to virtual channels, such as the 'handshake control channel' not only in this clause but elsewhere in 802.3. Leave these unchanged, and comment on 802.3by to fix the definition.

Commenter to note that usage of channel is largely as in existing text in 802.3-2015 (specifically Clauses 45 & 55), which any new proposed definition should be made to accommodate.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Cl 113 SC 113.5.4.3 P 174 L 24 # 96
Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status R

Clamp C

The text referring to the impairment signal power in 113.5.4.3 defines a maximum limit by stating that the calibrated power "...does not exceed 6 dBm..." The calibration procedure outlined in Annes 113A, 113A.3 Cable clamp validation uses a nominal value and a tolerance of +/- 10%.

Given that the calibration procedure permits a maximum value of 6.6dBm for the power level defined in Clause 113, the normative text should identify a nominal value with tolerance instead of a maximum value.

Note that the suggested remedy, which explicitly identifies the impairment signal power as a nominal level with a tolerance, is better aligned with Clause 40, which defines a signal level in the normative text (40.6.1.3.3) and a tolerance about this level in the informative annex (Annex 40B).

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text in 113.5.4.3, Page 174, Lines 24 and 25 from

"A sine wave with the amplitude held constant over the whole frequency range from 80 MHz to 2000 MHz, with the amplitude calibrated so that the signal power measured at the output of the clamp does not exceed 6 dBm, is used to generate the external electromagnetic field and corresponding shield current."

to

"A sine wave with the amplitude held constant over the whole frequency range from 80 MHz to 2000 MHz, with the amplitude calibrated to a nominal signal power of 6 dBm measured at the output of the clamp, is used to generate the external electromagnetic field and corresponding shield current."

and add a footnote to 113.5.4.3 stating

"The 6dBm nominal measured power may vary by +/-10% across frequency as discussed in Annex 113A."

Response Status C

REJECT.

C/ 113A SC 113A.4 P 224 L 54 # 97

Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Clamp

The Task Force has been been careful to keep Annex 113A flexible and refer practitioners to the receiver specifications of the PHY under test for specific impairments, impairment source power levels, and relevant frequency ranges.

However, the description of the test setup, Page 224, Line 54 and Page 225, Line 1 states "...the signal generator output frequency is swept incrementally from 1 MHz to 2000 MHz...". Since 113A.4 describes the setup for the referenced specifications, this statement should more generic and refer to the "calling" normative text for the test frequency range.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text in Annex 113A, Page 224, Line 54 and Page 225, Line 1 from

"As with the calibration procedure, the signal generator output frequency is swept incrementally from 1 MHz to 2000 MHz with a step size that should not exceed 1% of the preceding frequency value and with a dwell time at each step of at least 500 ms."

to

"As with the calibration procedure, the signal generator output frequency is swept incrementally over the specified frequency range with a step size that should not exceed 1% of the preceding frequency value and with a dwell time at each step of at least 500 ms."

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Accept text changes shown in cibula 01 1115.pdf, including these and other comments.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Shouldn't the entry for 'MultiGBASE-T' be placed between the entry for '1.4.277 mixing segment' and '1.4.278 multiport device'. If this is correct, it should be noted that IEEE P802.3bn is adding the entry '1.4.277a modulation error ratio (MER)'.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text '1.4.278a MultiGBASE-T' to read '1.4.277b MultiGBASE-T'. Note that this designation may need swapped with IEEE P802.3bn once the approval order becomes more definitive

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment ID 98

Page 20 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

EΖ

Cl 28 SC 28.3.1 P 27 L7 # 99 Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.8 P 28 L 10 # 101 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type BZ order Comment Type Comment Status A BZ order Comment Status A Suggest the editing instructions should be based on inserting the new values alphabetically to An editors note should be added to delete this change if IEEE P802.3bg is approved prior to remove a dependence on which amendment is approved first, it should also note that the IEEE P802.3bz since IEEE P802.3bz contains the same change. subclause is also being modified by IEEE P802.3bz, but only if IEEE P802.3bz is approved SuggestedRemedy first. There is also a typo in the editing instruction since '25Gig T' should read '25GigT'. Suggest that an editors note be added that reads 'Editor's note (to be removed prior to SuggestedRemedy publication) This change is also being made in IEEE P802.3bz. If, once the approval order of the various amendments becomes settled, IEEE P802.3bz is to be approved prior to IEEE Suggest that: P802.3bg this change should be deleted. [1] Update the editing instructions to read 'Insert new rows for 25GigT and 40GigT into the first Response Response Status C list in subclause 28.3.1 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bz-201X), in alphabetical order:'. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. [2] Add an editors note be added that reads 'Editor's note (to be removed prior to publication) If. OBE by comment 63 once the approval order of the various amendments becomes settled. IEEE P802.3bg is to be approved prior to IEEE P802.3bz the editing instructions should be updated to remove C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.24 P 32 L 18 # 102 reference to IEEE P802.3bz. Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Response Response Status C Comment Type T Comment Status A F7 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement [1] of the suggested remedy The attributes 'aLDFastRetrainCount' and 'aLPFastRetrainCount' are not part of the '10GBASE-OBE by 63 T Operating Margin package (conditional)' but instead are part of the 'Energy-Efficient Ethernet (optional)' package, see IEEE Std 802.3-2015 Table 30-1e. SC 28.3.2 CI 28 P 27 L 26 # 100 SuggestedRemedy Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp Change the editing instruction '... (as part of the MultiGBASE-T operating package) ... 'to read Comment Status A Comment Type Ε BZ Order '... (as part of the Energy-Efficient Ethernet package)...' for subclause 30.5.1.1.24 and 30.5.1.1.25. If the intent was to move these attributes, provide editing instructions for table 30-An editors note should be added to delete this change if IEEE P802.3bg is approved prior to 1e. IEEE P802.3bz since IEEE P802.3bz contains the same change. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Suggest that an editors note be added that reads 'Editor's note (to be removed prior to Change editing instruction. publication) This change is also being made in IEEE P802.3bz. If, once the approval order of The intent was NOT to move these, so no editing instructions for table 30-1e due to this. the various amendments becomes settled. IEEE P802.3bz is to be approved prior to IEEE P802.3bq this change should be deleted. SC 30.5.1.1.24 C/ 30 P 32 / 18 # 103 Response Response Status C Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It appears that BQ will precede BZ. Comment Type Comment Status A F7 OBE by comment 63 -Suggest '... Change 30.5.1.1.24 aLDFastRetrainCount include ...' to read '... Change text of 30.5.1.1.24 aLDFastRetrainCount to include ...'. SuggestedRemedy See comment.

Response

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 103

Response Status C

Page 21 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

P **32** C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.25 L 34 # 104 C/ 113 SC 113.1.2 P 82 L 28 # 107 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A EΖ Suggest '... Change 30.5.1.1.25 aLPFastRetrainCount include ...' to read '... Change the text of Suggest that 'AUTO-NEGOTIATION' be replaced with 'AN' in both the 25GBASE-T and 30.5.1.1.25 aLPFastRetrainCount to include ...'. 40GBASE-T layer diagrams since the abbreviation AN is defined in the list. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy See comment. See comment. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13.1 P 47 L 30 # 105 C/ 113 SC 113.1.2 P 82 L 30 # 108 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Status A F7 This change states that '... This bit is a reflection of the PCS_status variable defined in ... in The solid line from the OSI layers to the top of the MEDIUM should be dotted as are other 113.3.6.1 for 25GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T ...'. I can't find mention of PCS status variable in similar lines. subclause 113.3.6.1 'State diagram conventions', nor in 113.3.6.2.2 'Variables'. The nearest SuggestedRemedy mention I could find was in subclause 113.3.6.3 'Messages' however this just states 'Indicates See comment. whether the PCS is in a fully operational state. (See 113.3.7.1.)'. Based on this suggest the reference should be to 113.3.7.1. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Suggest the text '... in 113.3.6.1 for 25GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T ...' be changed to read ... in 113.3.7.1 for 25GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T ...' SC 113.1.2 P 82 C/ 113 L 44 # 109 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A Cabling E Suggest that '... over four pairs of balanced cabling.' should read '... over four pairs of balanced SC 113.1 P 81 L 22 # 106 C/ 113 twisted-pair structured cabling.'. Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ See comment. Suggest '... in this document. This clause also specifies ...' should be changed to read '... in Response Response Status C. this clause. This clause also specifies ...'. ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy See comment.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Comment ID 109

Page 22 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

CI 113 SC 113.1.3 P 85 L 19 # 110

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Ref Model C

PMA_LINK.indication (link_status) is not shown connecting the PMA to the PCS in Figure 113-4 '25GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T service interfaces', is not listed in subclause 113.2.2 'PMA service interface', and is not used in the PCS state diagram on referenced in the PCS related text

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

- [1] Remove the 'link_status' signal from the connection above the 'LINK MONITOR' block to the 'PCS TRANSMIT & TRANSMIT CONTROL' block in figure 113-3 'Function block diagram'.
- [2] Remove the 'link_status' signal from figure 113-5 'PCS reference diagram'.
- [3] Remove the 'link_status' signal from the connection above the 'LINK MONITOR' block to the 'PMA SERVICE INTERFACE' in figure 113-23 'PMA reference diagram'.
- [4] Update the variable definition for 'link_status' in subclause 113.4.5.1 'State diagram variables' to read 'The link_status parameter set by PMA Link Monitor state diagram and communicated through the PMA_LINK.indicate primitive.'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This is apparently correct - PHY implementors should check whether there are any uses of link status within the PCS that should be documented in the standard.

The same issue exists in Clause 55, commenter may wish to file a maintenance request.

Cl 113 SC 113.1.3.3 P 88 L 24 # 111 Hewlett Packard Enterp

Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial

This subclause states that support for the EEE capability is advertised '... during the PMA PBO Exch state.'.

SuggestedRemedy

Either add a cross reference to the Figure 113–30 'PHY Control state diagram' or, since this is introduction text, change the text '... during the PMA_PBO_Exch state.' To read '... during link startup.'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change text reading "during the PMA_PBO_Exch state." to read "during link startup."

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Not sure what a 'logical 25GMII/XLGMII' is. Shouldn't implementations be compatible at the 25GMII/XLGMII, if implemented.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the text '... at the MDI and at a logical 25GMII/XLGMII, if implemented.'. be changed to read '... at the MDI and at the 25GMII/XLGMII, if implemented.'.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.2.1.2 P 90 L 41 # 113
Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp

Comment Type T Comment Status A State diagrams

This subclause states that 'This primitive informs the PCS, PMA PHY Control function, and the Auto-Negotiation algorithm about the status of the underlying link.'. 'PMA_LINK.indication' however is not listed in subclause 113.2.2 'PMA service interface', so is not passed to the PCS, and 'PMA_LINK.indication', nor the link_status parameter communicated by this primitive, are used in Figure 113–30 'PHY Control state diagram'.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the text 'This primitive informs the PCS, PMA PHY Control function, and the Auto-Negotiation algorithm about the status of the underlying link.' be changed to read 'This primitive informs the Auto-Negotiation algorithm about the status of the underlying link.'.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 113

Page 23 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

EΖ

C/ 113 SC 113.2.1.2.1 P 90 L 50 # 114 C/ 113 SC 113.2.2.3.2 P 94 L 32 # 116 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type Comment Type Т Comment Status D State diagrams Comment Status A Ref Model While not used by 25GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T, for completeness, and to match the definition This subclause states that 'The PCS generates PMA_UNITDATA.request (SYMB_4D) in Clause 28, suggest that the READY value be listed as well. synchronously with every transmit clock cycle.'. As well as SYMB 4D, the value ALERT can also be conveyed by this message (see subclause 113,2,2,3,1). Shouldn't this case also be SuggestedRemedy covered, if so the simplest approach would appear to be to send a PMA_UNITDATA.request Suggest that: message every clock cycle. SuggestedRemedy [1] The text '... can take on one of two values: FAIL or OK.' be changed to read '... can take on one of three values: FAIL, READY, or OK.'. Suggest that 'The PCS generates PMA UNITDATA.request (SYMB 4D) synchronously with [2] Add the text 'READY For 25GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T link status does not take the every transmit clock cycle,' should be changed to read 'The PCS generates value READY.' between 'FAIL' and 'OK'. PMA UNITDATA.request synchronously with every transmit clock cycle.'. Proposed Response Response Status Z Response Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT. The same text exists in Clause 55, commenter may wish to file a maintenance request. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.1 P 99 L 52 # 117 Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp Removed in response to prior ballot comments, and not needed for 25G/40GBASE-T Comment Type Comment Status A State diagrams C/ 113 SC 113.2.1.2.3 P 91 L 11 # 115 This subclause states that 'PCS Reset sets pcs_reset=ON while ...' however subclause Hewlett Packard Enterp 113.3.6.2.2 'Variables' defines pcs reset as a Boolean. Law, David SuggestedRemedy Comment Type т Comment Status A Ref Model Suggest that '... sets pcs_reset=ON ...' should be changed to read '... sets pcs_reset = true ...'. This subclause states that 'The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 113.3.6.2.' however 'PMA LINK.indication', nor the 'link status' parameter communicated by this primitive. Response Response Status C are referenced in subclause 113.3.6.2 'State diagram parameters' for the PCS state diagrams. ACCEPT. Instead this primitive is generated by the Link Monitor state diagram and used by Auto-The same text exists in Clause 55, commenter may wish to file a maintenance request. Negotiation. SuggestedRemedy C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2 P 100 L 3 # 118 Suggest the text 'The effect of receipt of this primitive is specified in 113.3.6.2.' should be Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp replaced with 'Auto-Negotiation uses this primitive to detect a change in link status as Comment Type F7 Comment Status A described in Clause 28.1. Should list both parts of the PCS 64B/65B Transmit state diagram. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. The same text exists in Clause 55, commenter may wish to file a maintenance request. Suggest the text '... state diagram in Figure 113–18 and the ...' to read '... state diagram in Figure 113–18 and Figure 113-19, and to the ...'.

Response

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 118

Response Status C

Page 24 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2 P 100 L 18 # 119

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp

Comment Type E Comment Status R

Editorial

This paragraph states '... the transmit channel is in normal mode ...' however 'normal mode' is not described until five paragraph below where it is stated 'In the normal mode of operation, the PMA_TXMODE.indication message has the value SEND_N ...'. In addition, it seems some of this text in this paragraph is duplicative of the text five paragraphs below. For example it states '... the PCS Transmit process then transcode the first 96 25GMII transfers for 25GBASE-T, or 48 XLGMII transfers for 40GBASE_T into 512B/513B blocks ...', five paragraphs below it states '... the PCS Transmit function uses a 65B coding technique, transcoded to a mixed 513B-65B-RS-FEC-LDPC encoding to generate at each symbol period code-groups ...'.

Note: I have submitted another comment on this paragraph in respect to the need to include a 'shall' statement.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that paragraph four be deleted, with its content combined in to the ninth paragraph. The ninth paragraph would then read 'If a PMA_TXMODE.indication message has the value SEND_N, the PCS is in the normal mode of operation, and the PCS Transmit process shall continuously generates 65B blocks based upon the TXD <31:0> and TXC <3:0> signals on the 25GMII for 25GBASE-T, or the TXD <63:0> and TXC <7:0> signals on the XLGMII for 40GBASE-T. The subsequent functions of the PCS Transmit process then transcode the first 96 25GMII transfers for 25GBASE-T, or 48 XLGMII transfers for 40GBASE_T into 512B/513B blocks, append the subsequent four 25GMII transfers (25GBASE-T), or two XLGMII transfers (40GBASE-T) as (non-transcoded) 64B/65B blocks, scramble the bits, pack the resulting blocks, appending an unscrambled auxiliary bit, and split the bits into two sets. The first set is encoded by a Reed-Solomon encoder, and the second set is processed by a low density parity check (LDPC) encoder and then the two sets are joint mapped into a transmit LDPC frame of DSQ128 symbols. Transmit data-units are sent to the PMA service interface via the PMA UNITDATA.request primitive.'.

Response Status C

REJECT.

Proposed text has been clear evidenced by Clause 55 resulting in interoperable 10GBASE-T implementations. This needs to be balanced with the risk of losing information in the existing formulation (e.g., the number of bits to each encoder).

Commenter may consider resubmitting to the first sponsor ballot.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

State diagrams

While this subclause states that the PCS transmit function shall meet the PCS state diagram (Figure 113-18) and bit ordering (Figures 113-6 and 113-8) I don't believe that either of these address the operation of what appears to be a three way multiplexor controlled by the PMA_TXMODE.indication parameter tx_mode which selects between training (SEND_T), normal (SEND_N) and sending zeros (SEND_Z). There does appear to be a description of this in paragraphs six, seven and nine of this subclause, however they do not contain 'shall' statements, nor does it appear there are any related shall statements elsewhere. Based on this there doesn't appear to be any 'shall' statements in relation to the control of the parameter tx mode.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that:

- [1] The text '... has the value SEND_Z, PCS Transmit passes a vector of zeros ...' be change to read '... has the value SEND_Z, PCS Transmit shall pass a vector of zeros ...'.
- [2] The text '... has the value SEND_T, PCS Transmit generates sequences ...' be changed to read '... has the value SEND_T, PCS Transmit shall generate sequences ...'.
- [3] The text 'In the normal mode of operation, the PMA_TXMODE.indication message has the value SEND_N, and the PCS Transmit function uses a ...' to read 'If a
- PMA_TXMODE.indication message has the value SEND_N, the PCS is in the normal mode of operation, and the PCS Transmit function shall use a
- [4] The PICS be updated to add these three new shall statements.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

The same text exists in Clause 55, commenter may wish to file a maintenance request.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2 P 100 L 38 # 121 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.4 P 101 L 48 # 123 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type Ε Comment Type Т Comment Status R State diagrams Comment Status A Editorial Subclause 113.3.2.2 states that when tx_mode = SEND_T the '... PCS Transmit generates This subclause states 'Note that these figures show the mapping from XGMII to 64B/65B sequences of code-groups (TAn, TBn, TCn, TDn) defined in 113.3.4.2 ... and that when block for a block containing eight data characters.' however the figure itself doesn't provide this tx mode = SEND N the '... PCS Transmit function uses a 65B coding technique ...' but there note. Suggest it would be better to provide the note in respect to the figure on the figure itself. seems to be no description of the transition from the tx mode = SEND T to SEND N. I SuggestedRemedy assume however the transition from the tx mode = SEND T to SEND N state needs to ensure Suggest that the note 'Note that this figure shows the mapping from XGMII to 64B/65B block that the first LDPC frame sent is complete. for a block containing eight data characters.' be move to, or added to, Figures 113-6 and 113-8. SuggestedRemedy A similar note should also be added to Figure 113-7. Suggest that a statement be added to subclause 113.3.2.2 that on the transition from the Response Response Status C tx mode = SEND T to SEND N the PCS shall ensure this results in the transmission a of ACCEPT. complete first LDPC frame. Response Response Status C C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.4 P 102 L 11 # 124 REJECT. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp A single frame error may be created in this case, this is considered acceptable. Comment Type Comment Status A PCS C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.4 P 101 L 48 # 122 The 65B block is actually the output of the PCS 64B/65B Transmit state diagram (figure 113-Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** 18 and 113-19). See definition of tx coded<64:0> in subclause 113.3.6.2.2 and description subclause 113.3.2.2.15 which states 'The contents of each block are contained in a vector Comment Type Comment Status A PICS tx coded<64:0> ...'. The statement 'The PCS Transmit bit ordering shall conform to Figure 113-6 and Figure SuggestedRemedy 113–8.' appears to be a duplicate 'shall' statement to that found in the first paragraph of subclause 113.3.2.2 'PCS Transmit function' which reads 'The PCS Transmit function shall Suggest that in Figure 113-6: conform to ... and the PCS Transmit bit ordering in Figure 113-6 and Figure 113-8.'. [1] The text 'Output of encoder function 65B block' be changed to read 'Output of encoder SuggestedRemedy function 65B block (see figure 113-18 and 113-19)' Suggest that: [2] Label the 'Data/Ctrl header' bit as tx coded<0> and bit 7 of D7 as tx coded<64>. Response Response Status C [1] The text 'The PCS Transmit bit ordering shall conform to Figure 113–6 and Figure 113–8.' be changed to read 'The PCS Transmit bit ordering is shown in Figure 113-6 and Figure ACCEPT. 113-8.'. [2] The subclause cross-reference for PICS items PCT3 be changed from 113.3.2.2.4 to C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.5 P 103 L 12 # 125 113.3.2.2. **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law. David Response Response Status C. Comment Status A Comment Type Ε F7 ACCEPT. Suggest the subscripts be removed from D0 through D2 as subscripts aren't used elsewhere in the figure. SuggestedRemedy See comment.

Response

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 125

Response Status C

Page 26 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.5 P 103 L 13 # 126 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.11 P 109 L 16 # 129 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type Ε Comment Type Т Comment Status A PCS Comment Status A This subclause states '... only valid on the first octet of the 25GMII (TXD<0:3> and RXD<0:3>) The 65B block is actually the input to the PCS 64B/65B Receive state diagram (figure 113-20 and 113-21). See definition of rx coded<64:0> in subclause 113.3.6.2.2.'. ...'. Is this correct, shouldn't these be 8 bits? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest that in Figure 113-7: Suggest that '... only valid on the first octet of the 25GMII (TXD<0:3> and RXD<0:3>) ...' should read '... only valid on the first octet of the 25GMII (TXD<7:0> and RXD<7:0>) ...'. [1] The text 'Input to decoder function 65B block' be changed to read 'Input to decoder function Response Response Status C 65B block (see figure 113-20 and 113-21)' ACCEPT. [2] Label the 'Data/Ctrl header' bit as rx coded<0> and bit 7 of D7 as rx coded<64>. Response Response Status C C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.11 P 109 / 16 # 130 ACCEPT. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.6 P 106 L 40 # 127 Suggest that '... TXD<0:7> and RXD<0:7>),' should read '... TXD<7:0> and RXD<7:0>). Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ See comment. Suggest that '25GMII/XLGMII encodes a control ...' be changed to read 'The 25GMII/XLGMII encodes a control ...'. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. See comment. SC 113.3.2.2.11 C/ 113 P 109 L 17 # 131 Response Response Status C Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.6 P 106 L 44 # 128 Suggest that '... octet of TxD ...' should read '... octet of TXD ...'. Hewlett Packard Enterp Law. David SugaestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ See comment. Close brackets without open brackets. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Suggest that '... into a 7-bit C code).' be changed to read '... into a 7-bit C code.'.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.15 P 110 L 5 # 132 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.3 P 120 L 10 # 135 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type Ε Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Т Comment Status A PCS Suggest that the actual title of the state diagram be used, and a cross reference added. Suggest this this text should mention that the 64B/65B mapping to the XGMII is performed by the PCS 64B/65B Receive state diagrams by decoding the output of the transcoded, SuggestedRemedy rx coded<64:0>. Suggest that the text '... as specified in the transmit process state diagram.' be changed to read SuggestedRemedy '... as specified in the PCS 64B/65B Transmit state diagram (see Figure 113-17 and 113-18).'. Suggest the text '... are transcoded to 64B/65B, and the 64B/65B ordered sets are converted to Response Response Status C two 32-bit data blocks in the case of 25GBASE-T, or 64-bit data blocks for 40GBASE-T to ACCEPT. obtain the signals RXD and RXC for transmission to the 25GMII/XLGMII.' be changed to read '... are transcoded to 64B/65B. This process generates the 64B/65B block vector rx coded<64:0> which is then decoded to form the 25GMII signals RXD<31:0> and RXC<3:0> C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.24 P 119 L 25 # 133 for 25GBASE-T or RXD<63:0> and RXC<7:0> for 40GBASE-T, as specified in the PCS Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp 64B/65B Receive state diagram (see Figure 113–20 and 113-21).'. Comment Type Comment Status A Ref Model Response Response Status C It is the tx_symb_vector parameter of the PMA_UNITDATA.request primitive that can be set to ACCEPT. the value ALERT (see subclause 113.2.2.3.1). As a result of that the next time the PMA UNITDATA.request message is sent it will have the value ALERT. C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.3 P 120 L 18 # 136 SuggestedRemedy Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp Suggest the text '... the PMA UNITDATA.request message is set to the value ALERT.' be EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A changed to read '... the PMA_UNITDATA.request parameter tx_symb_vector is set to the value ALERT.'. Suggest the text '... by setting the parameter scr status to OK.' be changed to read '... by setting the scr_status parameter of the PMA_SCRSTATUS.request primitive to OK.'. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. The same text exists in Clause 55, commenter may wish to file a maintenance request. See comment. Response Response Status C C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.3 P 120 L 3 # 134 ACCEPT. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A Update the cross reference. SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that the text '... in Figure 113-20 ...' be changed to read '... in Figure 113-20 and

Response Status C

Figure 113-21 ...'.

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 113	SC 113.3.2.3	P 120	L 23	# 137
Law, David		Hewlett Packar	d Enterp	

Comment Type T Comment Status A

State diagrams

EΖ

Subclause 113.3.7.1 'Status' seems to be the only location where the definition of the parameter PCS_status is provided where it states that 'Indicates whether the PCS is in a fully operational state. It is only true if block_lock is true and hi_lfer is false.'. In addition the PCS_status parameter is defined as having the values 'OK' and 'NOT_OK' (see 113.2.2.6.1) and not 'true' and 'false'.

Since this is a subclause of 113.3.7 'PCS management' suggest this is not the best place to provide the only definition. Instead, since Figure 113-3 shows PCS_status sourced from the PCS RECEIVE block, suggest this definition be provided in subclause 113.3.2.3 'PCS Receive function'.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest that in subclause 113.3.2.3 'PCS Receive function' the text '... hi_lfer is de-asserted, the PCS Receive process continuously accepts blocks.' be changed to read '... hi_lfer is de-asserted, the PCS_status parameter of the PMA_PCSSTATUS.request primitive is set to OK, and the PCS Receive process continuously accepts blocks.'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

The same text is in clause 55, commenter may wish to submit a maintenance request.

C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2.2 P 128 L 34 # 138

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Subclause 113.1.6 'Conventions in this clause' states that 'The notation used in the state diagrams follows the conventions of 21.5.' and IEEE Std 802.3 Table 21–1 'State diagram operators' defines 'Equals (a test of equality)' as '='.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the four instances of '==' to read '='.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.3.6.3 P 132 L 1 # 139

Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp

Comment Type T Comment Status A

State diagrams

Delete the subclause 113.3.6.3 'Messages', a subclause 113.3.6.2 'State diagram parameters' since for the following reasons there are not related to the state diagram.

[1] The message 'PMA_UNITDATA.indication' and the parameter 'rx_symb_vector' are not referenced in the PCS state diagrams.

The input to Figures 113-18 and 113-19 'PCS 64B/65B Receive state diagram' are 'rx_coded' which is the 'Input to decode function 65B block' in Figure 113-7 'PCS Receive bit ordering'. As can be seen in that figure, there are a number of processes that have already been performed on the parameter 'rx_symb_vector' from the message 'PMA_UNITDATA.request' before 'rx_coded' is presented as the input to the PCS state diagram.

- [2] The message 'PMA_UNITDATA.request' and the parameter 'tx_symb_vector' are not referenced in the PCS state diagrams. The output of Figures 113-20 and 113-21 'PCS 64B/65B Transmit state diagram' are 'tx_coded' which is the 'Output of encoder function 65B block' in Figure 113-6 'PCS transmit bit ordering'. As can be seen in that figure, there are a number of processes that have to be performed before the parameter 'tx_symb_vector' for the message 'PMA_UNITDATA.request' is generated.
- [3] 'PCS_status' is not a message, but instead a parameter of a message, regardless it is not generated or used by the PCS state diagrams.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the subclause 113.3.6.3 'Messages'.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

The same text is in clause 55, commenter may wish to submit a maintenance request.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.1 P 135 L 2 # 140 C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.4 P 135 L 8 # 141 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status R State diagrams Comment Status A EΖ It appears the PCS 64B/65B Transmit state diagram is not controlled by the state of the PMA There seem to be three different formats used for when comparing T TYPE(tx raw) to a set of PHY Control State Diagram when EEE is not implemented. In this case, as stated in the possible values On line 8 there is the example where the options are in brackets: definition for the pcs data mode variable in subclause 113.4.5.1, the 'PHY operates as if the 'T TYPE(tx raw) = (E + D + LI +T)': on line 10 there is an example where they are not: value of this variable is TRUE'. Hence once 'pcs' reset = false' and the PHY enterers training, 'T TYPE(tx raw) = C + LII'; and on line 16 the brackets are around the whole equation: the MAC could send a packet (it does not take account of link status) causing the PCS 'T(T TYPE(tx raw) = C+LII)'. Suggest that the first example, where the options are listed in 64B/65B Transmit state diagram to start encoding the packet on to tx coded even though the brackets where there is more than one, be used. And strictly speaking shouldn't these actually PHY is in training mode. This could then result in the transition from the tx mode = SEND T to use the 'Indicates membership' character 'E' rather than the '=' character. If so the first example SEND N occurring mid packet resulting in the transmission of a truncated frame and an error 'T TYPE(tx raw) = (E + D + LI +T)' would read 'T TYPE(tx raw) ∈ {E. D. LI. T}. at the receiver. Similarly when EEE is implemented, pcs data mode = true could occur mid SuggestedRemedy packet with similar results. Please use a consistent format when comparing T TYPE(tx raw) and R TYPE(rx coded) to a SuggestedRemedy set of possible values Suggest that: Response Response Status C ACCEPT. [1] A new 'TX RESET' state be added that is entered on open arrows of 'pcs reset + !pcs_data_mode', sets 'tx_coded <= LBLOCK_T', and exited on 'T_TYPE(tx_raw) = C + LII' to the 'TX INIT' state. This ensure reset is only exited during idle. C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.4 P 144 L 35 # 142 [2] The new 'TX RESET' state is also entered until tx mode = SEND N using a suitable Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp variable. EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A Response Response Status C Suggest that 'PMA Receive contains the ...' should read 'The PMA Receive function contains REJECT. the ...'. SuggestedRemedy This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter. Commenter may resubmit on sponsor ballot, preferably with a diagram. See comment. Response Response Status C Task force to discuss. This same state diagram control has been operational in 10GBASE-T systems without report of ACCEPT. the problem indicated. If a change is needed, recommend commenter file a maintenance request on Clause 55. P 144 C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.4 L 39 # 143 Law. David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** [1] A new 'TX RESET' state be added that is entered on open arrows of 'pcs reset + Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ !pcs data mode', sets 'tx coded <= LBLOCK T', and exited on 'T TYPE(tx raw) = C + LII' to Suggest that '... shall allow LFER of ...' should read '... shall allow a LFER than ...' (missing 'a'). the 'TX INIT' state. This ensure reset is only exited during idle. [2] The new 'TX RESET' state has a second exit condition tx mode = SEND N SuggestedRemedy See comment. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert "an" to read:

"...shall allow an LFER of less than..."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 143

Page 30 of 32 11/11/2015 2:07:14 PM

P 157 C/ 113 SC 113.4.5.1 L 2 # 144 C/ 113 SC 113.4.6.1 P 162 L 45 # 147 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp Comment Type Comment Type Т Comment Status A State diagrams Т Comment Status A State diagrams The definition for the 'link_control' variable states 'This variable is defined in 28.2.6.2' however The variable 'pcs' status' is not defined in the PMA state diagram variables in subclause IEEE Std 802.3 subclause 28.2.6.2 defines the PMA LINK.request primitive. 113.4.5.1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest that variable description be changed to read 'The link control parameter generated by Suggest that variable description be added that reads: Auto-Negotiation and passed to the PMA via the PMA LINK.reguest primitive (see 113.2.1.1). pcs_status Response Response Status C The pcs status parameter generated by the PCS and passed to the PMA via the ACCEPT. PMA SCRSTATUS request primitive (see 113.2.2.5). The same text is in clause 55, commenter may wish to submit a maintenance request. Response Response Status C C/ 113 SC 113.4.5.1 P 157 L 5 # 145 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. PCS status is defined under "Messages" (which was deleted by another comment) (113.3.6.3) Law, David Hewlett Packard Enterp P132 L9, however, it is uppercase in PCS, in error. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Implement suggested remedy AND Suggest that '... PMA Link Monitor and ...' should read '... PMA Link Monitor state diagram and ...'. Change "PCS status" to "pcs status" on P132 L9 and throughout clause 113. SuggestedRemedy See comment. C/ 00 SC 0 PAIIL AII # 148 Law, David **Hewlett Packard Enterp** Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A General Please note that I am willing to re-submit any, or all, of my comments on the initial sponsor SC 113.4.6.1 P 162 C/ 113 L 8 # 146 ballot of IEEE P802.3bg if the IEEE P802.3bg Task Force would prefer. Law. David Hewlett Packard Enterp SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 See comment. Mark the state box wide enough to fit the state name inside. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. No change required to draft - Editor's recommendation is to make changes now that we can. See comment. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

LATE

The parameter 'scr_status' appears to only be used by the PMA Receive function and not by the PHY or Link control functions. In contrast the parameter 'pcs_status' appears to be used by the PHY and Link control functions and not by the PMA Receive function. Based on this, combining these two parameters on to a single line that connects to the PMA Receive, Link control, and PHY control functions doesn't seem to be the cleanest approach.

SuggestedRemedy

- [1] In Figure 113-3 separate lines be drawn from the PCS RECEIVE block (1) for 'scr_status' connecting to the PMA RECEIVE block, and (2) for 'pcs_status' connecting to both the LINK MONITOR and PHY CONTROL blocks.
- [2] In Figure 113-5 separate lines be drawn from the PCS RECEIVE block for 'scr_status' and 'pcs_status' to the PMA service interface.
- [3] In Figure 113-23 separate lines be drawn from the PMA service interface (1) for 'scr_status' connecting to the PMA RECEIVE block, and (2) for 'pcs_status' connecting to both the LINK MONITOR and PHY CONTROL blocks.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID