Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 45 P 36 SC 45.2.1.62.1 # r01-1 L 38 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Comment Type Е Comment Status A ΕZ Typo in "25G/45GBASE-T" SuggestedRemedy Change to "25G/40GBASE-T" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.64.2 P 37 L 37 # r01-2 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A "55.5.4.5" should be in forest green SuggestedRemedy Apply character tag External Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.9a P 44 L 21 # r01-3 Ciena Corporation Anslow, Peter EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A The name of the register is "EEE control and capability 2", so "EEE control and capability register 2" should be "EEE control and capabilty 2 register" in the editing instruction and title of Table 45-125a SuggestedRemedy In the editing instruction and title of Table 45-125a change "EEE control and capabilty register 2" to "EEE control and capabilty 2 register" Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

(note this is correct in 802.3bz D2.0)

C/ 45 P 44 L 21 # r01-4 SC 45.2.3.9a Anslow. Peter Ciena Corporation Comment Status A Comment Type Ε EΖ 45.2.3.9a and 45.2.3.9a.1 are not clauses. Also, the instruction to Insert 45.2.3.9a.1 appears twice SuggestedRemedy Change the first editing instruction to: Insert 45.2.3.9a, Table 45-125a, and 45.2.3.9a.1 after 45.2.3.9 as follows: Remove the second editing instruction "Insert 45.2.3.9a.1 after 45.2.3.9a as follows:"

Response Status C

P 48 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.14a # r01-5 C/ TBD SC TBD P 42 L 1 L 16 Anslow, Peter Ciena Corporation Rannow, R K APIC Corp Comment Type Т Comment Status R Registers Comment Type GR Comment Status R When the 802.3bg and 802.3bz amendments have been applied the registers will be: A couple uses of the "neither ... nor" can be extremely confusing to ESL individuals, 7.60 EEE advertisement 1 leading to missed opportunities. 7.61 EEE link partner ability 1 SuggestedRemedy 7.62 EEE advertisement 2 7.63 EEE link partner ability 2 7.64 MultiGBASE-T AN control 2 Response Response Status W 7.65 MultiGBASE-T AN status 2 REJECT. It seems likely that at some time there may be a need to expand the EEE registers to add The proposed change in the comment does not contain sufficient detail so that the CRC EEE advertisement 3 and EEE link partner ability 3. This would naturally be 7.64 and 7.65. can understand the specific changes that satisfy the commenter As the block of 14 registers below: 7.32 MultiGBASE-T AN control 1 Comment is out of scope - no changed text at the referenced page 7.33 MultiGBASE-T AN status 1 is unallocated, it seems better to move to: Draft 3.1 of 802.3bg has 3 instances of "neither... Nor combinations" which are in 7.34 MultiGBASE-T AN control 2 unchanged text, and a fourth (P52 L8), in response to a comment on draft 3.1. IEEE Std 7.35 MultiGBASE-T AN status 2 802.3-2015 uses "neither ... nor" language between 30 and 50 times. SuggestedRemedy C/ 113 SC 113.11 P 192 L 27 Move 7.64 MultiGBASE-T AN control 2 Zimmerman, George Aquantia, and CommS 7.65 MultiGBASE-T AN status 2 Comment Type T Comment Status A 7.34 MultiGBASE-T AN control 2 Equation 80-1 does not specify the delay in bit times per meter of cabling, but rather in 7.35 MultiGBASE-T AN status 2 terms of ns/m of cabling. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy REJECT. Change "Equation (105-1) and Equation (80-1) respectively specify the calculation of bit The comment is out of scope for the recirculation and refers to unchanged text. time per meter of electrical cable." to read: "Equation (105-1) specifies the calculation of bit time per meter of electrical cable for 25GBASE-T. Equation (80-1) specifies the delay per Register addresses have been stable for some time. meter of electrical cable in nanoseconds, and may be used for 40GBASE-T, given the bit Additionally, it does not fix a problem now or in the near future, as there are 14 extra bits to time for 40 Gb/s Ethernet of 25 ps (see notes to Table 80-5)." be filled before a new set of EEE registers are needed. Response Response Status C C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.1 P 189 L 12 # r01-6 ACCEPT. Ciena Corporation Anslow, Peter Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Typo "efrom" SuggestedRemedy Change to "from"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status C ACCEPT. (duplicate of r01-13, same definition, only needs implementing once)

Response

Comment ID r01-8

Page 2 of 4 3/15/2016 4:52:06 PM

# r01-7

# r01-8

Cabling

Editorial - Required

C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 P 78 # r01-9 C/ 113 P 105 L 43 SC 113.3.2.2.14 L 44 # r01-12 Zimmerman, George Aquantia, and CommS Mcclellan, Brett Marvell Semiconducto Comment Type E Comment Status A Editorial Comment Type T Comment Status A PCS Editorial staff instructions are to use Baud, and its abbreviation Bd for signalling rate. invalid blocks only appear at the receiver, not the transmitter SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change Msymbol/s in all instances to MBd. (P78 L43, 44; P79 L2, 3; and P83 L5) delete "It is also sent when invalid blocks are received." Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 3 # r01-10 C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.1 P 189 L 12 # r01-13 Zimmerman, George Aguantia, and CommS Mcclellan, Brett Marvell Semiconducto F7 F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A Editorial staff instruction that references to other amendments be parenthesized typo SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Parenthesize "(as modified by IEEE Std 802.3by-201X)" (P43, L3 and L7) change "efrom" to "from" Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.8 P 104 L 31 # r01-11 C/ 113 SC 113.5.3.5 P 167 L 45 # r01-14 Marvell Semiconducto Mcclellan, Brett Zimmerman, George Aguantia, and CommS Comment Type T Comment Status A PCS Comment Type T Comment Status A **Unsatisfied Comments** this section defines invalid blocks that may be seen at the receiver, not the transmitter Does the frequency requirement also apply to SLAVE PHYs? (related to unsatisfied comment i-93) SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy move this section to 113.3.2.3.3 Change "When the transmitter is" to add text "Invalid blocks are replaced with Error." "For a MASTER PHY, when the transmitter is" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. A specification for the SLAVE is not required during either during normal operation, Move this section to 113.3.2.3.3, and retitle "Invalid blocks" (there are no valid blocks in the MASTER in LPI, or SLAVE in LPI. section) During normal operation and SLAVE in LPI the SLAVE has no trouble tracking since the MASTER is always transmitting. (aligns with similar text adopted by IEEE P802.3bp in add text "Invalid blocks are replaced by error." as the first sentence of the section. comment resolution) Response Response Status C After item (e) add the following (based on 55.3.2.3.3 CRC8 receive function text, which we ACCEPT. deleted and inadvertently deleted the 'invalid PHY frame' detection): "The PCS Receive function shall check the integrity of the LDPC and RS-FEC parity bits

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

defined in 113.3.2.2.19 and 113.2.2.20, respectively. If either check fails the PHY frame is

invalid."

Comment ID r01-14

Page 3 of 4 3/15/2016 4:52:06 PM

C/ 113 SC 113.7.1 P 175 # r01-15 L 25

CORD DATA Hess. David

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Cabling

ISO/IEC TR 11801-9905 is included in the Bibliography

Add informative reference to it for "operation on other classes of cabling",

113.7.1 Cabling system characteristics

The cabling system used to support 25G/40GBASE-T requires 4-pair balanced cabling with a nominal impedance of 100ohm listed in Table 113-21. Operation on other classes of cabling may be supported if the link segment meets the requirements of 113.7.

### SuggestedRemedy

Add third sentence to 113.7.1:

It is recommended that the guidelines in ISO/IEC TR 11801-9905, be considered before the installation of 25GBASE-T equipment for operation on other classes of cabling.

#### Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add the following at the end of 113.7.1 (P175 L36):

NOTE - ISO/IEC JTC1 SC25/WG3 is in the process of developing technical report ISO/IEC TR 11801-9905 "Guidelines for the use of installed cabling to support 25GBASE-T application", to provide guidance on the use of other cabling classes with this standard at the 25 Gb/s rate. When complete, it is expected to provide specifications for cabling. certification, and mitigation procedures suitable for use with this standard.

Delete Editor's note in Annex A (P217 L13-16)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID r01-15

Page 4 of 4 3/15/2016 4:52:06 PM