*Cl* **113**    *SC* **113.3.3.2.5**    *P*    *L*    # 158
Trowbridge, Steve    Alcatel-Lucent

*Comment Type* **E**    *Comment Status* **D**    *EZ*

Some sloppiness in Figure 113-7: also in previous figure 113-6 although less pronounced. The box for 513B block #2 is taller than the box for 513B block #1. What looks like bit divisions within the 513B blocks and 65B blocks isn't, and all of the small lines aren't the same length or at the same level, but since they don't correspond to any fixed unit of information, perhaps just eliminate the small lines rather than fix them.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Zoom in close and tidy up the figure(s) as indicated.

*Proposed Response*    *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Commenter is advised that these same minor defects exist in Clause 55 for 802.3bx d3p2, and may consider editorial clean-up next time a revision comes around.

*Cl* **113**    *SC* **113.3.3.2.20**    *P* **100**    *L* **39**    # 159
Trowbridge, Steve    Alcatel-Lucent

*Comment Type* **E**    *Comment Status* **D**    *EZ*

The arrowhead down from the "Switch" box overlaps the word "Output" below.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Move the word "Output" out from under the arrow head

*Proposed Response*    *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

*Cl* **113**    *SC* **113.3.2.2.24**    *P* **103**    *L* **18**    # 220
Regev, Alon    Ixia

*Comment Type* **E**    *Comment Status* **D**    *EZ*

"a analogous manner" should be "an analogous manner"

*SuggestedRemedy*

change "a analogous manner" to "an analogous manner"

*Proposed Response*    *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

*Cl* **113**    *SC* **113.3.6.2.2**    *P* **113**    *L* **16**    # 226
Regev, Alon    Ixia

*Comment Type* **T**    *Comment Status* **D**    *EZ*

"!tx _refresh_active" should be "!tx_refresh_active"

*SuggestedRemedy*

change "!tx _refresh_active" to "!tx_refresh_active"

*Proposed Response*    *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

*Cl* **113**    *SC* **113.3.6.2.3**    *P* **113**    *L* **45**    # 221
Regev, Alon    Ixia

*Comment Type* **E**    *Comment Status* **D**    *EZ*

"it's" should be "its"

*SuggestedRemedy*

change "it's" to "its"

*Proposed Response*    *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

*Cl* **99**    *SC* **Introduction**    *P* **12**    *L* **19**    # 150
Amason, Dale    Freescale

*Comment Type* **E**    *Comment Status* **D**    *EZ*

Text incomplete: "This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-20XX and adds Clause 113, and ."

*SuggestedRemedy*

Combine two sentences into one:

This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-20XX and adds a new Physical Layer for 40 Gb/s operation over balanced twisted-pair structured cabling systems.

*Proposed Response*    *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Insert "Annex 113A" after "and".
(following sentence is customary to describe the technical content of the standard)

TYPE: TR/technical required  ER/editorial required  GR/general required  T/technical  E/editorial  G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched  A/accepted  R/rejected    RESPONSE STATUS: O/open  W/written  C/closed  U/unsatisfied  Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Page, Line

*Pa* **12**
*Li* **19**

Page 1 of 7
9/2/2015 7:19:38 PM

---

*Cl* **113**　　　*SC* **113.4.1**　　　　　*P* **124**　　　*L* **37**　　　# 160

Trowbridge, Steve　　　　　　　Alcatel-Lucent

*Comment Type*　**E**　　　*Comment Status*　**D**　　　　　　　　*EZ*

The term "received_clock" runs over the edge of the box to the right of it.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Shift the words down, or make them smaller font, or increase the space between the boxes so that the words fit. While editing the figure, take the opportunity to zoom in close and nudge some of the dots closer to the intersection of lines and making sure that lines meet around corners.

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status*　**W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Commenter is advised that these same minor defects exist in Clause 55 for 802.3bx d3p2, and may consider editorial clean-up next time a revision comes around.

---

*Cl* **113**　　　*SC* **113.4.2.5.3**　　　　*P* **131**　　　*L* **9**　　　# 161

Trowbridge, Steve　　　　　　　Alcatel-Lucent

*Comment Type*　**E**　　　*Comment Status*　**D**　　　　　　　　*EZ*

Figure 113-27 is drawn sloppily.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Make sure the small lines at the bottom between bit positions are the same height and evenly spaced. The words "bit7", "bit6", etc., seem to be a few pixels off from each other in vertical spacing.

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status*　**W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Commenter is advised that these same minor defects exist in Clause 55 for 802.3bx d3p2, and may consider editorial clean-up next time a revision comes around.

---

*Cl* **113**　　　*SC* **113.4.2.5.15**　　　*P* **136**　　　*L* **40**　　　# 227

Regev, Alon　　　　　　　　　　Ixia

*Comment Type*　**T**　　　*Comment Status*　**D**　　　　　　　　*EZ*

"rem_rcvr status" should be "rem_rcvr_status"

*SuggestedRemedy*

change "rem_rcvr status" to "rem_rcvr_status"

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status*　**W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

*Cl* **113**　　　*SC* **113.4.6.3**　　　　*P* **149**　　　*L* **20**　　　# 228

Regev, Alon　　　　　　　　　　Ixia

*Comment Type*　**T**　　　*Comment Status*　**D**　　　　　　　　*EZ*

"maxwait_time_done" should be "maxwait_timer_done"

*SuggestedRemedy*

change "maxwait_time_done" to "maxwait_timer_done"

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status*　**W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Commenter is advised that same error exists in 802.3bx D3p2, Clause 55.4.6.3, Figure 55-31, and may wish to submit a maintenance request.

---

*Cl* **113**　　　*SC* **113.4.6.5**　　　　*P* **151**　　　*L* **15**　　　# 229

Regev, Alon　　　　　　　　　　Ixia

*Comment Type*　**T**　　　*Comment Status*　**D**　　　　　　　　*EZ*

"start_link_fail_sig_timer" should be "start link_fail_sig_timer"

*SuggestedRemedy*

change "start_link_fail_sig_timer" to "start link_fail_sig_timer"

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status*　**W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Commenter is advised that same error exists in 802.3bx D3p2, Clause 55.4.6.3, Figure 55-31, and may wish to submit a maintenance request.

---

*Cl* **113**　　　*SC* **113.6.2**　　　　　*P* **164**　　　*L* **39**　　　# 230

Regev, Alon　　　　　　　　　　Ixia

*Comment Type*　**T**　　　*Comment Status*　**D**　　　　　　　　*EZ*

"PMA_CONFIG.indicate" should be "PMA_CONFIG.indication" (to match the definition in 113.2.2.2).

*SuggestedRemedy*

change "PMA_CONFIG.indicate" to "PMA_CONFIG.indication" (in 2 locations in the draft)

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status*　**W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Commenter is advised that same errors exists in 802.3bx D3p2, Clause 55 and may wish to submit a maintenance request.

---

TYPE: TR/technical required  ER/editorial required  GR/general required  T/technical  E/editorial  G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched  A/accepted  R/rejected　　RESPONSE STATUS: O/open  W/written  C/closed  U/unsatisfied  Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Page, Line

*Pa* **164**
*Li* **39**

Page 2 of 7
9/2/2015 7:19:38 PM

*Cl* **113**     *SC* **113.7.4.1**          *P* **172**          *L* **32**          # 203
Moffitt, Bryan                          CommScope

*Comment Type*    **E**        *Comment Status*  **D**                                    EZ
dB is smushed into the equation

*SuggestedRemedy*
unsmush

*Proposed Response*        *Response Status*  **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Editor notes this comment is out of scope for this review

*Cl* **113**     *SC* **113.7.3.2.1**          *P* **172**          *L* **7**          # 201
Moffitt, Bryan                          CommScope

*Comment Type*    **E**        *Comment Status*  **D**                                    EZ
dB is italicised

*SuggestedRemedy*
un

*Proposed Response*        *Response Status*  **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Editor notes this comment is out of scope for this review

*Cl* **113**     *SC* **113.7.4.3.5**          *P* **175**          *L* **47**          # 184
Donahue, Curtis                          UNH-IOL

*Comment Type*    **E**        *Comment Status*  **D**                                    EZ
The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review

Equation is missing (moved to next page for some reason).

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

*SuggestedRemedy*
Show FrameMake who's boss and anchor that equation in the appropriate location.

*Proposed Response*        *Response Status*  **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

*Cl* **Annex**     *SC* **113A.3**          *P* **204**          *L* **19**          # 222
Regev, Alon                          Ixia

*Comment Type*    **E**        *Comment Status*  **D**                                    EZ
extra "measured to" in "The clamp should be tested to measured to ensure the insertion loss
and return loss are as specified in 113A.2."

*SuggestedRemedy*
change
"The clamp should be tested to measured to ensure the insertion loss and return loss are as
specified in 113A.2."
To
"The clamp should be tested to ensure the insertion loss and return loss are as specified in
113A.2."

*Proposed Response*        *Response Status*  **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

*Cl* **Annex**     *SC* **113A.3**          *P* **204**          *L* **20**          # 223
Regev, Alon                          Ixia

*Comment Type*    **E**        *Comment Status*  **D**                                    EZ
"teh" should be "the"

*SuggestedRemedy*
change "teh" to "the"

*Proposed Response*        *Response Status*  **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

*Cl* **113A**     *SC* **113A.3**          *P* **205**          *L* **24**          # 176
Donahue, Curtis                          UNH-IOL

*Comment Type*    **E**        *Comment Status*  **D**                                    EZ
The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review.

Is the use of "shall" in an informative annex ok? Would "should" be more appropriate?

"shall" also appears on pg 206 line 23.

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

*SuggestedRemedy*
See comment.

*Proposed Response*        *Response Status*  **W**
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Commenter is correct - shall's should not be in an informative annex.
Editor to search and replace all shalls in Annex 113A with "should"

TYPE: TR/technical required  ER/editorial required  GR/general required  T/technical  E/editorial  G/general          *Pa* **205**          Page 3 of 7
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched  A/accepted  R/rejected     RESPONSE STATUS: O/open  W/written  C/closed  U/unsatisfied  Z/withdrawn          *Li* **24**          9/2/2015 7:19:38 PM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line

*Cl* **Annex**　　*SC* **113a.3**　　　　*P* **205**　　　*L* **34**　　　# 224

Regev, Alon　　　　　　　　　Ixia

*Comment Type* **E**　　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　　　EZ

missing space between "from the cable clamp." and "The cable".

*SuggestedRemedy*

change "from the cable clamp.The cable"
to "from the cable clamp. The cable"

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

*Cl* **30**　　　*SC* **30.2.5**　　　　*P* **27**　　　*L* **6**　　　# 170

Law, David　　　　　　　　　HP

*Comment Type* **E**　　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　　　EZ

Suggest that only the table header, with the changed column header, be shown, and
unchanged rows should not.

*SuggestedRemedy*

[1] Change the editing instructions from '... in Table 30-1e as follows:' to read '... in Table 30-1e
as follows (unchanged lines not shown):'

[2] Delete all unchanged Table 30-1e rows from draft.

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

*Cl* **30**　　　*SC* **30.3.2.1.2**　　　*P* **29**　　　*L* **46**　　　# 168

Law, David　　　　　　　　　HP

*Comment Type* **E**　　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　　　EZ

The editing instruction should appear under the subclause heading of the subclause they apply
to, not above (see pdf page 57 and 58 of 2014 IEEE-SA Standards Style Manual). This seems
to have been followed throughout the draft, except in the case of the Clause 30 changes and
some Clause 45 chnages.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Ensure editing instruction are under the subclause heading of the subclause they apply to.

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

*Cl* **30**　　　*SC* **30.5.1.1.2**　　　*P* **31**　　　*L* **18**　　　# 166

Law, David　　　　　　　　　HP

*Comment Type* **T**　　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　　　EZ

An entry in "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX" list for subclause 30.5.1.1.2 'aMAUType' should be
added for 40GBASE-T.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Insert the following change for subclause 30.5.1.1.2:

30.5.1.1.2 aMAUType

Insert the following new entry in "APPROPRIATE SYNTAX" (as modified by IEEE Std
802.3bw-201X, IEEE Std 802.3by-201X and TBD) after the entry for "40GBASE-FR":

Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): The editing instruction need to be updated
once the publication order of the various amendments becomes settled.

40GBASE-T Four-pair twisted-pair balanced copper cabling PHY as specified in Clause 113

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

*Cl* **30**　　　*SC* **30.5.1.1.19**　　*P* **31**　　　*L* **20**　　　# 167

Law, David　　　　　　　　　HP

*Comment Type* **E**　　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　　　EZ

In the changes to subclause 30.5.1.1.19 'aSNROpMarginChnIA' through 30.5.1.1.22
'aSNROpMarginChnID' the terminology '10G or 40GBASE-T' is used however in the change to
subclause 30.5.1.1.24 'aLDFastRetrainCount' and subclause 30.5.1.1.25
'aLPFastRetrainCount' the terminology '10/40GBASE-T' is used.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Suggest that the terminology '10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T' be used in all six cases, hence:

In subclause 30.5.1.1.19 'aSNROpMarginChnIA' through 30.5.1.1.22 'aSNROpMarginChnID'
change the text '... for the 10G or 40GBASE-T PMA.' to read '... for the 10GBASE-T or
40GBASE-T PMA.'.
In subclause 30.5.1.1.24 'aLDFastRetrainCount' and subclause 30.5.1.1.25
'aLPFastRetrainCount' change the text '... number of 10/40GBASE-T fast retrains ...' to read '...
number of 10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T fast retrains ...'.

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

TYPE: TR/technical required  ER/editorial required  GR/general required  T/technical  E/editorial  G/general　　　　*Pa* **31**　　　Page 4 of 7
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched  A/accepted  R/rejected　　RESPONSE STATUS: O/open  W/written  C/closed  U/unsatisfied  Z/withdrawn　*Li* **20**　　　9/2/2015 7:19:38 PM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line

*Cl* **30**　　*SC* **30.3.2.1.3**　　　*P* **31**　　　*L* **6**　　　# 187
Donahue, Curtis　　　　　　　　UNH-IOL

*Comment Type* **E**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　　　　　*EZ*

The commenter recognizes this text as unchanged/out of scope of this review

Add a space between "Clause 73" and "Auto-Negotiation". Also, remove ";" on line 11.

Note: Subclause, page, and line references are from CLEAN version of D2.2.

*SuggestedRemedy*

See comment.

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

*Cl* **30**　　*SC* **30.5.1.1.24**　　*P* **32**　　　*L* **24**　　　# 169
Law, David　　　　　　　　　　HP

*Comment Type* **T**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　　　　　*EZ*

Rather than just listing a cross-reference to the subclause where the register can be found to support this attribute, suggest that the behaviour be updated to follow the more usual format (see subclause 30.5.1.1.22 'aSNROpMarginChnID' above for an example).

*SuggestedRemedy*

[1] Change the subclause 30.5.1.1.24 'aLDFastRetrainCount' editing instructions to read 'Change 30.5.1.1.24 aLDFastRetrainCount as follows:'.

[2] In subclause 30.5.1.1.24 'aLDFastRetrainCount' change the text '... PHY event counter (see 45.2.1.79.2, 55.4.5.1, and 113.4.5.4).' to read '... PHY event counter (55.4.5.1 and 113.4.5.4). If a Clause 45 MDIO Interface to the PMA/PMD is present, then this attribute maps to the LD fast retrain count register (see 45.2.1.79.2).;'.

[3] Change the subclause 30.5.1.1.25 'aLPFastRetrainCount' editing instructions to read 'Change 30.5.1.1.25 aLPFastRetrainCount as follows:'.

[4] In subclause 30.5.1.1.25 'aLPFastRetrainCount' change the text '... PHY event counter (see 45.2.1.79.1, 55.4.5.1, and 113.4.5.4).;' to read '... PHY event counter (see 55.4.5.1, and 113.4.5.4.). If a Clause 45 MDIO Interface to the PMA/PMD is present, then this attribute maps to the LP fast retrain count register (see 45.2.1.79.1).;'.

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

*Cl* **45**　　*SC* **45.2.1.6**　　　*P* **35**　　　*L* **45**　　　# 165
Law, David　　　　　　　　　　HP

*Comment Type* **T**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　　　　　*EZ*

The editing instructions for subclause 45.2.1.6 'PMA/PMD control 2 register (Register 1.7)' state that 'unchanged rows not shown', yet Table 45-7 'PMA/PMD control 2 register bit definitions' show the unchanged rows.

Further, the changes made by the IEEE P802.3bw and IEEE P802.3by amendment drafts, which are likely to publish before this draft, are not shown, and the IEEE P802.3bp and IEEE P802.3bn amendment drafts are also modifying this register.

*SuggestedRemedy*

[1] Remove the unchanged rows from Table 45-7.

[2] Change the editing instructions to read 'Change the indicated line, and insert the new line immediately after, in the 1.7.5:0 row of Table 45-7 (as modified by IEEE Std 802.3bw-201X, IEEE Std 802.3by-201X and TBD), as follows (unchanged lines not shown):'

[3] Add an editor's note that reads 'Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): The editing instruction need to be updated once the publication order of the various amendments becomes settled.

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

*Cl* **45**　　*SC* **45.2.1**　　　*P* **36**　　　*L* **9**　　　# 219
Regev, Alon　　　　　　　　　　Ixia

*Comment Type* **E**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　　　　　*EZ*

In editorial instructions, "through" is misspelled as "though".

*SuggestedRemedy*

change "1.145 though 1.146" to "1.145 through 1.146"

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

TYPE: TR/technical required  ER/editorial required  GR/general required  T/technical  E/editorial  G/general　　　　*Pa* **36**　　　Page 5 of 7
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched  A/accepted  R/rejected　　RESPONSE STATUS: O/open  W/written  C/closed  U/unsatisfied  Z/withdrawn　　*Li* **9**　　　9/2/2015 7:19:38 PM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line

---

*Cl* **45**　　*SC* **45.2.3.14**　　　*P* **45**　　　*L* **12**　　　# 225

Regev, Alon　　　　　　　　　Ixia

*Comment Type* **E**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　　EZ

"MultiGBASE-T PCS status 2 register is shown in Table ." should be
"MultiGBASE-T PCS status 2 register is shown in Table 45-129."

*SuggestedRemedy*

Change
"MultiGBASE-T PCS status 2 register is shown in Table ."
To
"MultiGBASE-T PCS status 2 register is shown in Table 45-129."

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

*Cl* **55**　　*SC* **55.3.5.3**　　　*P* **56**　　　*L* **44**　　　# 218

Regev, Alon　　　　　　　　　Ixia

*Comment Type* **E**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　　EZ

"signaling" misspelled as "signalling" (in multiple places in the draft).

*SuggestedRemedy*

change "signalling"
to "signaling"

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED REJECT.
Signaling is a correct alternative spelling and is used throughout the draft of 802.3 d3p2.
Signalling is not used in 802.3 d3p2 (at least in sections 4 & 6)

---

*Cl* **81**　　*SC* **81.1.7.3**　　　*P* **63**　　　*L* **42**　　　# 171

Law, David　　　　　　　　　HP

*Comment Type* **E**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　　EZ

It seems odd to state that 'The RS never generates this primitive ...' but to then state there are two cases where it does, when EEE or Link Interruption is supported.

*SuggestedRemedy*

The RS only generates this primitive when optional EEE capability or the optional detection of Link Interruption is supported.

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

*Cl* **81**　　*SC* **81.1.7.3**　　　*P* **63**　　　*L* **42**　　　# 175

Law, David　　　　　　　　　HP

*Comment Type* **T**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　　EZ

To cover all the cases of the two options being supported ot not, suggest that first two sentences of the second paragraph of 81.1.7.3 be changed to read 'CARRIER_STATUS is set to CARRIER_ON if the optional EEE capability is supported and LPI_CARRIER_STATUS is TRUE, or if optional detection of Link Interruption is supported and link_fault is Link Interruption (see 81.3.4.1). CARRIER_STATUS is set to CARRIER_OFF if, the optional EEE capability is not supported or LPI_CARRIER_STATUS is FALSE, and, if optional detection of Link Interruption is supported or link_fault is not Link Interruption.'.

*SuggestedRemedy*

See comment.

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

---

*Cl* **113**　　*SC* **113.1.1**　　　*P* **68**　　　*L* **13**　　　# 162

Trowbridge, Steve　　　　　　Alcatel-Lucent

*Comment Type* **E**　　*Comment Status* **D**　　　　　　EZ

The dashed lines from the OSI stack to the rest of the figure aren't the same style as the rest of the standard. The line between the data link and physical layers does't extend all the way to the corner of the MAC box on the right as the rest of the figures in the standard

*SuggestedRemedy*

Clean up the figure so that the line styles match the rest of the standard and the lines all continue to where they are supposed to go

*Proposed Response*　　　*Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Commenter is advised that these same minor defects exist in Clause 55 for 802.3bx d3p2, and may consider editorial clean-up next time a revision comes around.

---

TYPE: TR/technical required  ER/editorial required  GR/general required  T/technical  E/editorial  G/general
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched  A/accepted  R/rejected     RESPONSE STATUS: O/open  W/written  C/closed  U/unsatisfied  Z/withdrawn
SORT ORDER: Page, Line

*Pa* **68**
*Li* **13**

Page 6 of 7
9/2/2015 7:19:38 PM

*Cl* **113**    *SC* **113.1.2**    *P* **71**    *L* **30**    # 163

Trowbridge, Steve    Alcatel-Lucent

*Comment Type* **E**    *Comment Status* **D**    *EZ*

Several sloppy things in the figure: many of the dots aren't positioned over the actual intersection of the lines they are supposed to connect. Some of the lines don't meet around corners. Some of the "T" intersections of lines extend across the other side of the line where they are supposed to terminate

*SuggestedRemedy*

Zoom in close and nudge the various elements to line up and tidy up the figure.

*Proposed Response*    *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Commenter is advised that these same minor defects exist in Clause 55 for 802.3bx d3p2, and may consider editorial clean-up next time a revision comes around.

*Cl* **113**    *SC* **113.3.2**    *P* **85**    *L* **18**    # 157

Trowbridge, Steve    Alcatel-Lucent

*Comment Type* **E**    *Comment Status* **D**    *EZ*

Some sloppiness in Figure 113.5. Not all the arrow heads are at the same level (some go over the line and some don't meet it). Some dots not over the lines they connect. Some lines don't connect where they are supposed to.

*SuggestedRemedy*

Zoom in close and nudge the elements of the figure to align and tidy it up.

*Proposed Response*    *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Commenter is advised that these same minor defects exist in Clause 55 for 802.3bx d3p2, and may consider editorial clean-up next time a revision comes around.

*Cl* **113**    *SC* **113.3.2.2.16**    *P* **96**    *L* **24**    # 231

Slavick, Jeff    Avago Technologies

*Comment Type* **ER**    *Comment Status* **D**    *EZ*

In the Examples 1&2 step 3 is missing

*SuggestedRemedy*

Renumber Example 1 & 2 appropriately

*Proposed Response*    *Response Status* **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required  ER/editorial required  GR/general required  T/technical  E/editorial  G/general    *Pa* **96**    Page 7 of 7
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched  A/accepted  R/rejected    RESPONSE STATUS: O/open  W/written  C/closed  U/unsatisfied  Z/withdrawn    *Li* **24**    9/2/2015 7:19:38 PM
SORT ORDER: Page, Line