C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 21 # 1 Cl 28 SC 28.3 P 23 L 6 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status A **Format** Comment Status A It is not clear why we say "2,000 MHz" and not rather "2 GHz" Editorial instruction should be more precise: "Insert row in clause 28.3.1 as appropriate." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "2.000 MHz" to "2 GHz" in line 21 and 30 in definition of Category 8.1 and Category Change to read: "Insert row in 28.3.1 under ."10GigT:" 8.2. There is no reason to spell out MHz when the number in GHz is much more readable. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 409 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 2000 MHz is used for consistency with the cabling specifications CI 28A SC 28A P 25 L 1 Remove comma and write as 2 000 MHz per IEEE style guide. Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** See comment 120 Comment Type ER Comment Status A C/ 113 SC 113.1.1 P 71 L 31 There are no chanegs in Annex 28A Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status A Format Remove Annex 28A or implement changes required to support 40GBASE-T Objectives should not be listed anymore. Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove 113.1.1 altogether - objectives are recored in project documentation and do not matter Remove Annex 28A (dup of comments 138, 375, 248, 260, 263) for definition of PHY. Response Response Status W ACCEPT. See comment 457 Cl 28 SC 28.3 P 23 L 6 # 3 **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ "Insert row in clause 28.3.1 as appropriate." should not reference "clause", we typically reference subclauses just by number SuggestedRemedy

Strike "clause" in line 6

ACCEPT. See comment 409

Response Status C

Response

4

EΖ

F7

C/ 28C SC 28C P 27 L 11 # 6 C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 21 # 7 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Haiduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status A xGBASE-T Comment Status A EΖ Unnecessary serial comma in "Clause 55 (10GBASE-T), and Clause 113" It is not clear xGBASE-T is and where it is defined. There are two ways it seems to be defined "multigigabit", "multiple Gigabit", and "xGBASE-T" - which one is to be used? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove serial comma in "Clause 55 (10GBASE-T), and Clause 113" If we want to use "xGBASE-T" in the document, it should be defined in Clause 1 as follows: Response Response Status C "xGBASE-T: designates jointly 1000BASE-T, 10GBASE-T, and 40GBASE-T" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 22 Add new definition to 1.4 defining MultiGBASE-T as "Specific BASE-T Ethernet Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** PCS/PMA/PMDs at speeds in excess of 1000Mbps, including 10GBASE-T (Clause 55) and 40GBASE-T (Clause 113)" Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Missing serial comma in "40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T" xGBASE-T 15 1 NGBASE-T 1 10 SuggestedRemedy X10GBASE-T 0 Change "40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T" to "40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T>>,<< nGBASE-T 192 and 1000BASE-T" PAM16BASE-T 0 Response Response Status C GBASE-T 37 SPEC10GBASE-T 1 > 10 ACCEPT. Dup of 142 10G/xBASE-T 83 MULTIGBASE-T 10 0 C/ 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 L 24 **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek Move: Resolve Comment 6 as: Definition of MultiGBASE-T added to the definitions section, to specifically include 40GBASE-Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ T and 10GBASE-T. References on lines 13 thru 15 changed to "1000BASE-T and Reference to 45.2.7 and 28.3.1 should be made live and not marked in green. MultiGBASE-T". SuggestedRemedy Change references to MultiGBASE-T Technology Message Code to be "MultiGBASE-T and Make links to 45.2.7 and 28.3.1 live, and make sure they are marked in black. 1000BASE-T Technology Message Code" Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Editor to review draft and change all references from xGBASE-T to MultiGBASE-T.

M: Shadi AbuGhazaleh

S: Hossein Sederat

Y: 28 N: 0 A: 5 (TECHNICAL >= 75%) MOTION PASSES

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 9

Page 2 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:03 AM

C/ 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 L 10 # 10 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.8.1 P 39 L 25 # 13 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status R Autonea Comment Status A EΖ Newly added text in 28D.8 contains many statements about mandatory and required functions. Editorial instruction "Insert row in Table 45-12 as appropriate." is not precise enough. It is not clear whether these are expected to be testable (and have PICS) or not. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Reconcile Change editorial instruction to read: "Insert row in Table 45–12 under 40GBASE-FR Consider making statements about mandatory / required features into "shall" statements, if they entry" are not covered elsewhere. Add PICS if new "shall" statements are added. Response Response Status C For example: "Auto-Negotiation is mandatory for 40GBASE-T" might be converted into "A ACCEPT. 40GBASE-T PHY shall use Auto-Negotion per XXX", where XXX contains reference where Auto-Negotion is defined. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P 39 L 37 # 14 Response Response Status C Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** REJECT. New text is consistent with existing text for 10GBASE-T which states substantially the same mandatory and required functions, resulting in no confusion. Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 The commenter may consider comments on the revision or maintenance drafts. Editorial instruction in line 37 is not precise enough. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P 38 L 53 # 11 SuggestedRemedy Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Change "Change and insert rows in Table 45-16 as appropriate." to "Change definition of bit 1.13.6 as shown below" Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Response Response Status C Editorial instruction "Insert row in Table 45–9 as appropriate." is not precise enough. ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change editorial instruction to read: "Insert row in Table 45–9 under 40GBASE-FR entry" Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12.10 P 40 L 3 # 15 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ ER New subclause 45.2.1.12.10 should be marked as 45.2.1.12.9a and inserted after 45.2.1.12.9 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.7.5 P 39 L 10 # 12 that exists today. Renumbering is done later on by the staff editor when documents are merged. Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Editorial instruction "Insert row in Table 45–10 as appropriate." is not precise enough. Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. See comment 477 Change editorial instruction to read: "Insert row in Table 45–10 under 40GBASE-FR entry" Response Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.64.1 P 41 L 13 # 16 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 49 # 18 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Haiduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A EΖ Missing space "are defined in 113.4.2.5 and 113.4.5.1" Eitorial instruction "Change row and insert row in Table 45–124 as appropriate." is not precise enough SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "are defined in 113.4.2.5and 113.4.5.1" to "are defined in 113.4.2.5>> << and 113.4.5.1" Change "Change row and insert row in Table 45-124 as appropriate." to read "Change Response Response Status C definition of bits 3.8.9:7 in Table 45-124 as show below" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.66 P 41 L 34 # 17 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7.6 P 44 L 12 # 19 Comment Type Comment Status R Format Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Is there any reason for separating 10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T when in other locations we Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 used "10G/40GBASE-T" to designate them together? New subclause 45.2.3.7.6 should be marked as 45.2.3.7.5a and inserted after 45.2.3.7.5 that SuggestedRemedy exists today. Renumbering is done later on by the staff editor when documents are merged. Change "10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T PMAs" to "10G/40GBASE-T PMAs" SuggestedRemedy Similar change on page 41, line 43; page 41, line 52; page 42, line 6 Similarly, "10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T" should be changed to "10G/40GBASE-T" on page 42, line 31. line 39. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. There are also similar instances in 45.2.3.13.4, 45.2.3.13.5, 45.2.3.14 and following subclauses where entries for 40GBASE-T were added. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9 P 44 L 20 # 20 Response Response Status C **Bright House Networks** Haiduczenia, Marek REJECT. Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Rule is that when text refers to a jointly used control or status bit or register (or other joint functional unit) 10G/40G (or xG) is used. When PMAs are referred to, they are specific and Eitorial instruction "Change rows in Table 45–125 as appropriate." is not precise enough distinct, for example, a 10GBASE-T PHY may or may not have a 40GBASE-T functionality there is no such thing as a single PMA capable of 10G & 40G operation defined in 802.3 SuggestedRemedy (although devices may be built that implement both 10G and 40G PMAs)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 20

Change "Change rows in Table 45–125 as appropriate." to read "Change definition of bit 3.20.7

Response Status C

in Table 45-125 as shown below"

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.9.8 P 44 L 35 # 21 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.14 P 46 L 25 # 24 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Type T Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status R **Format** New subclause 45.2.3.9.8 should be marked as 45.2.3.9.7a and inserted after 45.2.3.9.7 that In Table 45-129, there are multiple instances of "10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T". Following exists today. Renumbering is done later on by the staff editor when documents are merged. other changes in Clause 45, text "10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T" should be "10/40GBASE-T" since the statements are applicable to 10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T alike SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Update the editorial instruction accordingly. Change "10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T" to "10G/40GBASE-T in Table 45-129. Consider Response Response Status C applying similar changes in other locations in Clause 45, where similar text exists. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C REJECT. See comment 17 P 44 # 22 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13 L 46 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.14.4 P 47 L 30 # 25 Comment Type Comment Status A MGMT Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Some of the marked change make little sense: "BASE-R, and 10GBASE-T, or 40GBASE-T", Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ or "when the BASE-R PCS or the 10GBASE-T or the 40GBASE-T PCS " missing "for" in newly added text "and in 113.3.6.2 40GBASE-T" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "when the BASE-R PCS or the 10GBASE-T or the 40GBASE-T PCS " to read "when the BASE-R PCS, 10GBASE-T, or the 40GBASE-T PCS " - use proper markup Change "and in 113.3.6.2 40GBASE-T" to "and in 113.3.6.2 >>for<< 40GBASE-T" Change "BASE-R, 10GBASE-T, or 40GBASE-T" - use proper markup Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.7 P 47 L 43 # 26 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.14 P 46 L 22 # 23 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Register 7.32 in Table 45–200 seems to have multiple subclause references "45.2.7.1045. "Change title and rows in Table 45–129 as appropriate." - no change in title is shown. 2.7.1045.2.7. 10" SuggestedRemedy which are repeated entries for "45.2.7.10" Show change in title of Table 45-129 SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Replace existing subclause reference with "45.2.7.10" marked in black and make it live. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 45 SC 45.2.7 P 47 L 46 # 27 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.11 P 49 L 6 # 30 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Haiduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A EΖ Subclause 45.2.7.11 exists in this draft and should be marked in black. Editorial instruction ".Change title and rows in Table 45–208 as appropriate." is not precise enough. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Mark "45.2.7.11" in black and make link live. Change ".Change title and rows in Table 45-208 as appropriate." to "Change title of Table 45-Response Response Status C 208 and definition of rows 7.33.8:2, 7.33.1, and 7.33.0 as shown below." ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 352 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10 P 48 L 9 # 28 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Cl 45 P 50 / 1 # 31 SC 45.2.7.11.7 Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Editorial instruction "Change title and rows and insert rows in Table 45-207 as appropriate." is Comment Type ER Comment Status A F7 not precise enough Subclause 45.2.7.11.7 should be 45.2.7.11.6a - any renumbering necessary is typically done by SuggestedRemedy staff editor when merging with base document Change "Change title and rows and insert rows in Table 45-207 as appropriate." to read SuggestedRemedy "Change rows 7.32.11:3 and 7.32.11.1 in Table 45–207 as shown below." Change 45.2.7.11.7 to 45.2.7.11.6a in editorial instruction (page 49. line 51) and in title - page Response Response Status C 50. line 1 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 176 Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comments 353, 184 Cl 45 # 29 SC 45.2.7.10 P 48 L 32 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Change 45.2.7.11.8 to 45.2.7.11.7a in clause title. No change to editorial instruction. Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type ER Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.9 P 50 L 7 Missing numbers for headings on page 48, lines: 32, 39, 50, Haiduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Insert number 45.2.7.10.3a on page 48, like 32 Editorial instruction "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.11.7 and re-number remaining clauses Insert number 45.2.7.10.5a on page 48, like 39 (7.32.3 goes before 7.32.2 and not after it) accordingly and in consideration with newly inserted 45.7.11.7." is not precise enough. Change editorial instruction "Change title 45.2.7.10.6. Re-number to 45.2.7.10.8." to read "Change title 45.2.7.10.6 as shown below" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status W Since we are inserting a new subclause at the end covering register 7.33.0, instruction ought to read as follows: "Insert new paragraph 45.2,7,11.9 as shown below," - anything else is ACCEPT. unnecessary Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 183

33 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.11.10 P 50 L 16 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 16 # 36 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A EΖ Editorial instruction "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.13.9 and re-number remaining clauses Editorial instruction "Change paragraph 45.2.7.11.8 and re-number to 45.2.7.11.10 in consideration of newly inserted 45.7.11.7 accordingly." is imprecise and 45.2.7.11.9." is incorrect SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.13.9 and re-number remaining clauses Change "Change paragraph 45.2.7.11.8 and re-number to 45.2.7.11.10 in consideration of accordingly." to read "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.13.4 as shown below." newly inserted 45.7.11.7 and 45.2.7.11.9." to read "Change title and content of 45.2.7.11.8 as Change 45.2.7.13.4 to 45.2.7.13.4a shown below." Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 355 for more complete remedy ACCEPT. See comments 353, 184 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 23 # 37 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13 P 50 L 29 # 34 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Incorrect editorial instruction "Change rows in Table 45–211 as appropriate." Unnecessary "." at beginning of page 50, line 29 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Change rows in Table 45-211 as appropriate, to read "Change row 7.61.9 in Table 45-Remove "." 211 as shown below." Response Status C Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 188 ACCEPT. Dup of comments 185, 101 # 35 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P 37 L 14 # 38 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13 P 51 L 1 **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ With the addition of 40GBASE-T, middle column "register name" has multiple rows spanning Imprecise editorial instruction "Change rows in Table 45–210 as appropriate.." two lines, e.g. "10G/40GBASE-T SNR operating margin channel A", where just a single letter SuggestedRemedy is placed in the second line Change "Change rows in Table 45–210 as appropriate..." to read "Change row 7.60.9 in Table SuggestedRemedy 45-210 as shown below." Extend the width of the middle column to make sure that "10G/40GBASE-T SNR operating Response Response Status C margin channel A" (for example) Also, consider checking font for "10G/40GBASE-T" - it seems to be larger than anything else ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 186 in the table. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 38

Page 7 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:03 AM

39 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.7 P 37 L 52 CI 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 11 # 42 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Haiduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ ER Comment Status A EΖ ".PMA/PMD status 2 register (Register 1.8)" contains "." at the beginning of heading Text in 78.1.3.3.1 is modified only in line 48 - if there are no other changes, remove all unmodified text and updat editorial instructions accordingly. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "." in the heading. Per comment Also, remove "." at the start of editorial instruction on page 38 line 53, page 39 line 21 Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 358 for more complete remedy # 40 CI 78 SC 78.5 P 59 L 10 CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P 58 L 34 # 43 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Stray ":" TExt inserted intl Table 78-1 seems to be a different font style than the remainder of the table. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove stray ":" Apply proper font style to Table 78-1. Same issue applies to Table 78-2 and in Table 78-5 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 195 for a more complete response CI 78 SC 78.1 P 57 L 8 # 41 Cl 78 SC 78.5 P 59 L 3 # 44 **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type TR Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status R **Format** Text "For operation over twisted-pair cabling systems, EEE supportsmay be supported by the "10GBASE-T PHY and 40GBASE-T PHY" - in other locations, we used "10G/40GBASE-T 100BASE-TX PHY, the 1000BASE-T PHY, the 10GBASE-T PHY, and the 40GBASE-T PHY." PHYs" does not exist in 802.3bx D3.0 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "10GBASE-T PHY and 40GBASE-T PHY" to "10G/40GBASE-T PHYs" and then Where does this text come from? It is not part of P802.3bx text that is being balloted. modify verbs to match accordingly. Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. REJECT. See comment 17 Text was removed during revision process. Remove cited text to align with 802.3bx D3.0

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 6 # 45 C/ 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 46 # 48 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A EΖ No editorial instructions for changes to Figure 80–1—Architectural positioning of 40 Gigabit Editorial note is incorrect: "Change 81.1.7.3 for carrier indication definition:" and 100 Gigabit Ethernet SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Change 81.1.7.3 for carrier indication definition:" to "Change 81.1.7.3 as follows" Insert editorial instruction above Figure 80-1 as follows "Replace Figure 80-1 with the figure Response Response Status C shown below" ACCEPT. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 81 SC 81.3.4 P 66 L 6 # 49 See comments 61, 381 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 46 # 46 Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Editorial instruction imprecise: "Change 81.3.4 add Link Interruption Sequence ordered_set definition:" Comment Type E Comment Status R EΖ SuggestedRemedy Row with 40GBASE-T in Table 80–2 should nbe marked with underline. Change "Change 81.3.4 add Link Interruption Sequence ordered set definition:" to "Insert a SuggestedRemedy new pagaraph after paragraph number 4 in 81.3.4, as shown below." Per comment The same observation applies to Table 80-5, Table 80-1 Insert a new editorial instruction above Table 81-5 as follows: "Change Table 81-5 by adding a new row for Link Interruption, as shown below." Response Response Status C REJECT. Remove teh rest of text from 81.3.4. Table 80-2 is now replaced, so no underline. See comment 134 for use of underline, only "change" uses that. Table 80-1 is an insert Response Response Status C instruction, as is Table 80-5. ACCEPT. C/ 81 SC 81.1 P 65 L 6 # 47 C/ 81 SC 81.3.4.1 P 67 L 1 # 50 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Comment Type T Comment Status A F7 Editorial instruction is unclear "Change Figure 81-1 as follows:" - there are no changes marked In 81.3.4.1, only fault sequence, last seq type, link fault, and seq type are modified - the rest in Figure 81-1. stavs the same. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change editorial note from "Change Figure 81-1 as follows:" to "Replace Figure 81-1 with Change editorial instruction from "Change 81.3.4.1 to include Link Interruption in figure shown below" or explicitly show changes (in red) in Figure 81-1 and then leave the fault_sequence, last_seq_type, link_fault, and seq_type editorial note alone. variables" to "Change definitions of variables: fault sequence, last seq type, link fault, and Response Response Status C sea type in 81.3.4.1 as shown below." Remove all variables apart from fault_sequence, last_seq_type, link_fault, and seq_type ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 204 Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 50

Page 9 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:03 AM

SC 81.3.4.2 # 51 C/ 81 P 67 L 48 CIA SC A P 21 L 1 Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Status A EΖ Text on page 67, lines 49-53 has not been modifed and should not be shown. There is no reason to have Annex A if there are not entries SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove text n page 67. lines 49-53 Remove Annex A - there is no content. Show changes in Figure 81–11 in red. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dup of comments 232, 256, 213, 373. ACCEPT. See comment 256 C/ 113 SC 113.8.1 P 182 L 9 C/ 81 SC 81.3.4.2 P 68 L 36 Haiduczenia. Marek **Bright House Networks** McDermott, Thomas Fujitsu Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Status R At this point in time, it appears that all Cat 8 cables are shielded cable. Figures 133-40 and 113-Editorial instruction is missing for text pn page 68 41, and table 113-23 do not indicate any shield connection point(s). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert the following editorial instruction on page 68, line 35: "Change second and third Revised both figures and the table to indicate shield connection point(s). paragraph in 81.3.4.2, as shown below." Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. REJECT. C/ 99 SC 99 P 6 L 14 # 53 The figures are meant to depict compatible interfaces. There are many missing details from Hajduczenia, Marek **Bright House Networks** referenced documents. Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Name of Chair and Chief Editor for the project are known I assume? SuggestedRemedy Fill in the names for the Chair and Chief Editor for the project Response Status C Response

ACCEPT. Dup of comments 211, 227, 406

54

55

EΖ

MDI

This wording is confusing, it is difficult to determine which modes are optional and required for the various different interface types and speeds.

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Recommended text: For Base-T PHYs with an operating speed of 10Gb/s or less that implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake...

Then insert: For Base-T PHYs with an operating speed of 40Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability, LPI deep sleep is optional and fast wake is mandatory ... or whatever was intended.

Response Status W

ER

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

No BASE-T PHYs currently support fast wake. Intent was 40GBASE-T is exempted from that requirement in 78.1.3.3.1

Change page 57, line 48: from:

"Except for BASE-T<For> PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake."

To:

"Except for BASE-T PHYs, for PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake."

Insert "Except for BASE-T PHYs", on page 58, line 4 so it reads: "Except for BASE-T PHYs, fast wake support is mandatory for PHYs with an operating speed of 40Gb/s or greater that implement EEE."

See comments 486

Cl 113 SC 1.2 P72 L 10 # 57

McDermott, Thomas Fujitsu

Comment Type ER Comment Status A PCS

Figure 113-1 does not show the RS-LPDC FEC PCS sublayer, as shown in figure 81-1 for 40GBASE-T.

SuggestedRemedy

Revise figure 113-1 to include RS LDPC FEC PCS sublayer.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Revise Figure 113-1 to show 40GBASE-T PCS See comment 332

C/ 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 48 # 58

McDermott, Thomas Fujitsu

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Double negative text is confusing.

SuggestedRemedy

Recommend revising to:

40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s operation supports full duplex operation only. The RS never generates this primitive for PHYs unless they support either EEE or Link Interruption. ...

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 34 # 59

Marris, Arthur Cadence

dams, Annui Cauence

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Fix cross reference

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "<XREF>" and elsewhere in the document

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comments 74, 194.

Editor to search pdf for remaining XREFS after implementing fix.

F7

EΖ

| Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 Marris, Arthur | 62.1 P 40 Cadence | L 26 | # 60 | | C/ 81 SC 81.1.7.3 Marris, Arthur | P 65 Cadence | L 49 | # 63 |
|--|--|----------------|--|---|---|---|--------------|-------|
| Comment Type T | Comment Status A wrong as only the table's title has | ben changed. | | EZ | Comment Type T | Comment Status A or clarity and there is an extr | a full stop. | EZ |
| SuggestedRemedy Change Change title and rows To Change title of Table Response ACCEPT. | and insert row in Table 45–54 a 45–54 as follows. Response Status C | s appropriate. | | | Interruption" To "The RS never generates | this primitive for PHYs that of this primitive for PHYs that s Response Status C See comment 58 | | |
| CI 80 SC 80.1 Marris, Arthur Comment Type ER | P 61 Cadence Comment Status A | L 11 | # <u>61</u> | EZ | Cl 113 SC 113.1 Marris, Arthur Comment Type E | P 71 Cadence Comment Status A | L 13 | # [64 |
| No editing instruction SuggestedRemedy Insert editing instructi "Replace Figure 80–1 | on with the following:" | | | | 100ohm SuggestedRemedy Use correct format for "100ohm" | | | |
| Response Response Status W ACCEPT. Dup of comment 381 | | | | | Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comments 123 & 385 | | | |
| C/ 81 SC 81.1 Marris, Arthur | P 65 Cadence | L 10 | # 62 | | Cl 113 SC 113.1 Marris, Arthur | P 71 Cadence | L 20 | # [65 |
| Comment Type ER Incorrect editing instru | Comment Status A | | | EZ | Comment Type TR No need to mention Cluase | Comment Status A e 30 here. | | EZ |
| SuggestedRemedy Change Change Figure 81-1 a To Replace Figure 81-1 a Also fix key at bottom Response ACCEPT. | | | Clause 45, or equivalent." Response ACCEPT. Text is consistent with Cla | in Clause 30." e optionally accessible throu Response Status W use 55 but that is inconsiste maintenance request or con | nt with other 10G | G clauses. Commenter | | |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 65

Page 12 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:03 AM

C/ 113 SC 113.1.2 P 72 L7 # 66 C/ 113 SC 113.1.3.1 P 76 L 1 # 68 Marris, Arthur Cadence Marris, Arthur Cadence Comment Type TR Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ XLGMII is never physically implemented. Heading depth wrong. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change Promote to heading 2: The 40GBASE-T PHY architecture specified in this standard is referenced to the XLGMII 113.2 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS) interface, it is recognized that the XLGMII interface need not be physically implemented. Chip to chip interfaces based on other IEEE defined 40Gb/s PCS/PMA combinations which Consider promoting "113.1.3 Operation of 40GBASE-T" translate to XLGMII may be used Consider restructuring document to remove split between PCS description in the overview and To later in the document. The 40GBASE-T PHY service interface is the XLGMII, which is defined in Clause 81. The Response Response Status W 40GBASE-T PHY may connect to the 40 Gb/s Attachment Unit Interface (XLAUI) defined in ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Annex 83B using the PCS defined in Clause 82. Change headings to read "Summary of Physical Coding Sublayer" so as not to be confused with 113.3 which specifies the PCS do similar change to other 113.1.3.x titles Remove "*XLGMII is optional" from Figure 113-1 Response Response Status W C/ 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 L 10 # 69 ACCEPT. Marris. Arthur Cadence Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ P 72 # 67 C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 L 42 Missing space Cadence Marris, Arthur SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ Т 28D.8 Extensions required for Clause 113 (40GBASE-T) Change "10000 Mb/s" to "10 Gb/s" SuggestedRemedy 28D.8Extensions required for Clause 113 (40GBASE-T) Change "10000 Mb/s" to "10 Gb/s" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dup of 262 ACCEPT. Dup of comment 362 C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 29 L 13 # 70 Marris. Arthur Cadence Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Column heading not completely vusuble SuggestedRemedy Correct:

Response

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 70

10G/40GBASE-T Operating Margin package (condi-

Response Status C

Page 13 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:03 AM

C/ 113 SC 113.2.1.2.1 P 80 L 28 # 71 CI 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 33 # 74 Mark, Laubach Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type TR Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ PMA LINK.indication(link status) states that READY is a value it can take on. But then states "<XREF>" text present: that READY is not used by 40GBASE-T Page 57 Line 33 Page 57 Line 51 SuggestedRemedy Page 58 Line 2 Removed READY from the list of values link status can PMA LINK.indication can take on. SugaestedRemedy Response Status W Resolve or remove. ACCEPT. See comment 485 for a complete remedy Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 194 C/ 113 SC 113.7.2 P 122 L 0 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies C/ 81 SC 81.3.4.2 P 67 L 46 # 75 Comment Type TR Comment Status A Mark, Laubach **Broadcom Corporation** Figure 113-16 has variable lfer_timer_done and lfer_time_not_done neither of these are defined. Comment Type Comment Status A F7 SuggestedRemedy I went and pulled out the latest 802.3bx Section 6 draft to review if Figure 81-11 has any Add to the following to the Ifer timer definition: changes. I didn't see any. If that is the case, perhaps updating the editing directive to focus on When the timer reaches it's terminal count it will set Ifer timer done = TRUE paragraph text only? SuggestedRemedy Change the Ifer_timer_not_done to !Ifer_timer_done in the Figure As per comment. Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Same defect exists in Clause 55 for 125us_timer states. Commenter is encouraged to ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. consider maintenance or comments on revision for Clause 55 Editing instruction to focus on text per comment. See comment 51 for a more complete remedy SC 80.1.5 # 73 C/ 80 P 62 L 16 C/ 113 SC 113. P 96 L 36 # 76 Mark, Laubach **Broadcom Corporation Broadcom Corporation** Mark, Laubach F7 Comment Type ER Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Update editing directive for better clarity, as multiple rows are being inserted as well a the single right most column. Looking in latest 802.3bx draft, I think the cross-reference should be to Figure 81-4. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: "Insert the following row after 40GBASE-LR4 and rightmost end column to Table 80-2 Correct crossref if needed. (existing PHY entries in new column are blank)" Response Response Status C to: "Change: "Insert the following rows after 40GBASE-LR4 and rightmost single end column to Table 80-2 (existing PHY entries in new column are blank)"" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Figure is 82-4 in bx draft 3.0 Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

See comment 201

Comment ID 76

Page 14 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:03 AM

PCS

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.5 P 95 L7 # 77 **Broadcom Corporation** Mark, Laubach

Regarding "Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): Figure 113-9 shows the full set of 32 bit block alignments n the anticipation of updating the document to include a 25Gbps rate which may be 32 bit aligned.". First "n" should be "in". Second, is there any technical impact on this specification if Figure 113-9 is left as is and then remove this EN?

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Remove EN if possible.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Fix 'n' to "in".

Purpose of note is to avoid comments deleting extra block alignments until 25GBASE-T PAR is resolved. EN to be removed at that time.

SC 1.4.x # 78 C/ 01 P 20 L 26 Mark, Laubach **Broadcom Corporation**

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Cablinarefs Lines 26 and 34. These EN's aren't clear to me. Do they relate to the EN on Page 173 Line 46

about a future ISO/IEC document revision? Is this a warning that these definitions are going to be updated in the future or that they will become representative of TIA and ISO documents after some future date or documentation release? Will these EN's be removed prior to publication?

SuggestedRemedy

Consider removal or update with "(to be removed prior to publication)" and fix clarity/purpose issues.

Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comments 478

P 170 C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2 L 20 # 79

Comment Status A

Kim, Yong Broadcom

ER

Autonea

Presumed 10G values, U20 LD PMA traning reset request, U19 Fast re-train ability, U18 PHY Short reach mode, and U17 loop timing ability, should add "10GBASE-T" in their Name (description) to be clearer to the readers that those bits are for 10GBASE-T, and not 40GBASE-T (and not 1000BASE-T, 100BASE-TX, etc). Note: Fast re-train for 40G needs to added (the ability being per-PHY ability), and separate comment is submited for that.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Add the word "10BASE-T" to U20, U19, U18, and U17 Names.

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Autonea

C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2 P 170 L 41 # 80 Broadcom

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

U13 - Port Type bit (1 = Multiport device, 0 = single-port device) -- following all the references to 45.2.7.10.3 and 40.5.1.1 and few other references, there is no clarity on what Multiport device is when: Multiport device supports a two technology ability. 40.5.1.1 is clear in the context of 1000BASE-T and solely for 1000BASE-T. 10GBASE-T duplicates these bits and make no clarification on how definition changes (or NOT change) when mixed 1000BASE-T and 10GBASE-T are implemented in the device. Addition of 40GBASE-T to this mix without clarification would be confusing., i.e. if a device has two ports, one 1G/10GBASE-T and one 10G/40GBASE-T only port(for example), and the 10G/40GBASE-T negotiates at 40GBASE-T on one port, does it set multiport? Also the definition from the 1000BASE-T conveys "PREFERNECE" context, and that is not present in this section (unless you follow nested references). The intent is was to allow favoring multiport device to be MASTER, if so desired. So clarify that, no technical change, and move forward re-using this bit for 40G (or any other ability).

======= for easy reference, 40.5.1.1 copied here ======

(1000BASE-T) 40.5.1.1 table entry states:

Bit 9.10 is to be used to indicate the preference to operate as MASTER (multiport device) or as SLAVE (single-port device) if the MASTER-SLAVE Manual Configuration Enable bit, 9.12, is not set.

Usage of this bit is described in 40.5.2

1=Multiport device 0=single-port device"

SuggestedRemedy

Either a) delete "1= multiport device, and 0 = single-port device) and replace it with direct reference to 40.5.1.1 (and leave the 45.2.7.10.3 reference as is), OR, b) copy the text from bit 9.10 of 40.5.1.1 for U13.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Copy text from bit 9.10 of 40.5.1.1 for U13.

Commenter is advised he may wish to submit a comment on the revision draft for Clause 55 or a maintenance request, since same issue exists in Clause 55.

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Autoneg

In anticipation of 25GBASE-T being added to .3bq project, and allocating two AN bits for 40GBASE-T not currently in D2.0 (fast retrain and repeat train - separate comments to D2.0) and respective AN bits for 25G (4), MC9 would be full (no spare bits). Consider taking a new message code and define AN bits that may be more friendly to modern higher speed PHY types, e.g. 10G/25G/40GBASE-T. Note: Not a part of this comment, but if the comment is accepted, then consider coordinating the effort with overlapping project 802.3bz anticipated PHY types of 2.5G and 5G that may serve 1G/2.5G/5G/10GBASE-T.

SuggestedRemedy

Define a new extended message code (other than MC9) that serves 40GBASE-T AN requirements, along with 10G, 1G, and anticipated 25GBASE-T inclusion.

Proposed Response

Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Task force to consider proposal along with comments 92 & 105

Kim, Yong Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

xGBASE-T

Change to make MC9 to be a generic does not work (i.e. change to xGBASE-T) from 10GBASE-T and 1000GBASE-T), because it implies that all future xxBASE-T would use this. Secondary part of this comment is 1000BASE-T is not noted anywhere as 1GBASE-T, requiring careful changes everywhere apppropriate to indicate 1000BASE-T == 1GBASE-T.

SugaestedRemedy

Just revise to reflect what is actually being done.

Change to:

Line 11 - 40GBASE-T/10GBASE-T/1000BASE-T

Line 16 - 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T, and 1000BASE-T.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

xGBASE-T replaced with MultiGBASE-T, to be specifically defined term Generic reference to MC9 to be 1000BASE-T and MultiGBASE-T

See comment 6

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 82

Page 16 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:03 AM

P **71** C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2 P 170 L 5 # 83 C/ 113 SC 113.1 L 13 # 86 Kim, Yong Broadcom Maguire, Valerie Siemon Comment Type TR Comment Status A Autonea Comment Type Т Comment Status A Cablingrefs Fast re-train for 40GBASE-T needs to added (the ability being per-PHY ability). Standards names and the publication date are not needed in body text if the document is referenced in the Bibliography. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please do so (add a 40GBASE-T Fast re-train ability). Delete, "-201x Addendum 1: Specification for 100ohm Category Cabling" Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 79, Task Force to discuss autonegotiation of features ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#214 and whether bits are joint for 10G/40G or separate. C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2 P 170 L 5 # 84 C/ 113 SC 113.7 P 173 L 44 Kim, Yong Broadcom Maguire, Valerie Siemon Comment Type TR Comment Status A Trainina Comment Type Comment Status A Cablinarefs "repeat training" capability as presented in A "casual" reference to the Standard title should not appear here. http://www.ieee802.org/3/bg/public/jul14/souvignier 3bg 01 0714.pdf SuggestedRemedy was adopted by a motion (in minuites) in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bq/public/jul14/unconfirmed_minutes_3bq_0714.pdf Delete, "Category 8 Cabling". Response Response Status C So unless there were a committee action to reverse this requirement (the commenter is not ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Overtaken by events. See comment#214. aware of such) and in which case, this comment is to be withdrawn by the commenter, this ability needs to be defined. C/ 113 SC 113.7 P 173 L 42 # 88 SuggestedRemedy Maguire, Valerie Siemon Please do so (add a 40GBASE-T repeat-train ability). Comment Type Comment Status A Cablingrefs Response Response Status C Refering to the ISO/IEC/TR 11801-9901 guidelines is problematic in that the channel ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. performance information in this document is only described to 1.6GHz and, thus, is incompatible with the link segment characteristics defined in 113.7. ISO/IEC/TR 11801-9901 Allocate a new autoned bit (U21) for 40GBASE-T LD PMA training reset request quildeines are anticipated to be rolled into ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3 and will be correctly Add Editor's note (to be removed prior to publication) that if other PHY projects wish to use the referenced to 2GHz. PMA training reset request feature, they may use this same bit. SuggestedRemedy # 85 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.3 P 161 L 22 Replace, "ISO/IEC/TR 11801-9901: Information technology - Generic cabling for customer Maguire, Valerie Siemon premises - Part 9901: Guidance for balanced cabling in support of at least 40 Gbit/s data transmission," with "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3". Comment Type Comment Status A Cablingrefs "Category" is usually not capitalized when used mid-sentence. And, delete Editor's note on lines 46 and 47. Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status C Replace "Category" with "category" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C. Comment 247 addresses document reference change. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Overtaken by events. Text replaced by comment#445. Delete Editor's note on lines 46 and 47.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 88

Page 17 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:03 AM

C/ 113 SC 113.7.2 P 174 L 19 # 89 Maguire, Valerie Siemon Comment Type TR Comment Status A Cablingrefs The layout of Table 113-22 is not harmonized with the layout of Table 55-17. As a result, users familiar with the 10GBASE-T table may look at the 40GBASE-T table and mistakeningly believe that only one grade of cabling supports 40GBASE-T. Eliminate this potential for confusion by revising the table to show separate rows for "Class I / Category 8" and "Class II". In addition, the cabling references in column 3 should be updated to align with the name of the reference Standard. SuggestedRemedy Column 1: Cabling Class I / Category 8 Class II Column 2: Supported link segment distances 30 m 30 m Column 3: Cabling references ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3 / ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1-201x ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3 Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement rows in table 113-22 as follows: Class I / Class II 30 m ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3 Category 8 30 m ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 37 L 11 # 90 Mark, Laubach **Broadcom Corporation** F7 ER Comment Status A Comment Type

"as appropriate" does not give explicit instructions to the IEEE editors.

Response Status W

Replace all uses of "as appropriate" in this clause with explicit instruction to the IEEE editors.

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

C/ 113 SC 113.7 P173 L 47 # 91

Mark, Laubach Broadcom Corporation

The Editors note mentions "pending". This raises the question to me of: do we need to pause on 802.3bq until ISO/IEC publication or can we proceed, and if so how far? What is the technical dependency of Table 113-22 with respect to the planned date of the publication of the

ISO/IEC document?

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Please give some reviewers some guidance and update the editors note.

Comment Status A

Response Status W

TR

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor's notes deleted in comment#88

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 91

Page 18 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:03 AM

Cablingrefs

Cl 113 SC 113.6.1.2 P 170 L 6 # 92

McClellan, Brett Marvell

TR

Autoneg

Advertisement of 40GBASE-T EEE should be moved from the xGBASE-T technology message extended next page exchange to an Infofield message exchange during link training. See presentations: Lo_3bq_01_0515.pdf and McClellan_3bq_01_0515.pdf EEE capability exchange is not necessary prior to the start of link training. Similary 40G fast retrain capability should be part of an Infofield message exchange. By moving these capability exchanges to the Infofield we can free up enough bits in the xGBASE-T technology message to advertise 25G, 2.5G and 5G speeds. Without this change a new technology message will be required for 25G, 2.5G and 5G.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

See presentations for text and figure changes: Lo_3bq_01_0515.pdf and McClellan_3bq_01_0515.pdf text changes required are as follows:

Comment Status A

page 48 line 42

change "Bit 7.32.3 is used to select whether or not Auto-Negotiation advertises the ability to support 40GBASE-T fast retrain."

to "Bit 7.32.3 is used to select whether or not the 40GBASE-T PHY advertises the ability to support 40GBASE-T fast retrain. Fast retrain ability is exchanged during link training. See 113.4.2.5.10."

page 51 line 9 Clause 45.2.7.13 change "113.6.1; U21" to "113.4.2.5.10; Infofield Octet 12 bit 7"

page 51 line 32 Clause 45.2.7.14

change "28.2.3.4.128; U3 / 113.6.1;U24" to "113.4.2.5.10; Infofield Octet 12 bit 7" NOTE: 28.2.3.4.128 does not exist

.....

page 71 line 26 Clause 113.1

change "Configurations wishing to disable fast retrain on the link may do so by advertising lack of support in Clause 28 AutoNegotiation,thus preventing the link partner from attempting fast retrain and potentially dropping the link."

to "Configurations wishing to disable fast retrain on the link may do so by advertising lack of support in register 7.32, thus preventing the link partner from attempting fast retrain and potentially dropping the link. See 45.2.7.10."

page 78 line 16 Clause 113.1.3.3

change "Support for the EEE capability is advertised during Auto-Negotiation." to "Support for the EEE capability is advertised in the Infofield (Octect 12 bit 7) during the PMA_PBO_Exch state.

page 134 Clause 113.4.2.5 line 4 change "Reserved" to "Reserved / Ability" line 26

change "LPI Disable Time" to "Reserved / Ability / LPI Disable Time"

page 137 line 20 Clause 113.4.2.5.10 change

"113.4.2.5.10 Reserved Field

All InfoField fields denoted Reserved in Figure 113–24, Figure 113–25, and Figure 113–26 are reserved for future use. This includes octets Oct11 and Oct12 when Coeff_exchange<2>=0, Oct9<3:2> when transition counter is announced and [Oct9<3:0>, Oct10<7:0>] when no transition is announced and no coefficients are exchanged."

to

"113.4.2.5.10 Ability Field

Ability field (1 octet). Represented by the octet Oct12{EEE Ability<7>, THP Bypass Request<6>,Fast Retrain<5>, Reserved<4:0>}. Used to advertise the abilities of the PHY during the PMA_PBO_Exch state when Message<7:6> = 01.

For every other state, this octet is set to zero and ignored by the link partner. The Ability bits are defined as follows:

Oct12 < 4:0 > = Reserved

Oct12<5> = Fast Retrain

0 = Fast Retrain not supported

1 = Fast Retrain supported

Oct12<6> = THP Bypass Request in PMA_Coeff_Exchstate

0 = Local device requests link partner not to bypass THP during fast retrain

1 = Local device requests link partner to bypass THP during fast retrain

Oct12<7> = EEE Ability

0 = EEE not supported

1 = EEE supported

113.4.2.5.11 Reserved

All InfoField fields denoted Reserved in Figure 113–24, Figure 113–25, and Figure 113–26 are reserved for future use. This includes octets Oct11 and Oct12 when Coeff_exchange<2>=0 and Message<7:6>~= 01, Oct9<3:2> when transition

counter is announced and [Oct9<3:0>, Oct10<7:0>] when no transition is announced and no coefficients are exchanged."

page 139 line 6 Clause 113.4.2.5.14

change "minwait timer expires. In the PMA PBO Exch state."

To "minwait_timer expires. In the PMA_PBO_Exch state while Infofield Message<7:6> = 01, the PHY advertises EEE and Fast Retrain capability in octet 12 of the Infofield. When both the local device and remote device advertise EEE capability then EEE is supported. When both the local device and remote device advertise Fast Retrain capability then Fast Retrain is supported. In the PMA_PBO_Exch state,"

page 141 line 5 Clause 113.4.2.5.15

change "After completing the link failure signal the PHY shall transition to the

PMA_Coeff_Exch state, keep its THP turned on with its previously exchanged coefficients, and send PAM2 signaling within a time period equivalent to 9 LDPC frame periods."

to "After completing the link failure signal the PHY shall transition to the PMA INIT FR state

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 92

Page 19 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:03 AM

followed immediately by the PMA_Coeff_Exch state. If the link partner requested THP bypass for fast retrain the PHY will bypass the THP (or set THP coefficients to zero). Otherwise the PHY will keep its THP turned on with its previously exchanged coefficients, and send PAM2 signaling within a time period equivalent to 9 LDPC frame periods."

page 168 line 39 Clause 113.6.1 delete items d) and e) page 170 line 6 Clause 113.6.1.2 set U25 to "Reserved, transmit as 0" (was EEE ability)

Response Status C

Response ACCEPT.

Consider with Comments 105 & 81
Task Force to consider presentations

Straw Poll: Accept as is 19 Accept in principle w/o EEE 0 Reject & accept new XNP 4 Reject all 0

Motion to accept comment 92 M: Brett McClellan S: Jon Lewis (Technical > 75%) Y: 24 N: 3 A: 10 MOTION PASSES C/ 113 SC 113.3.4 P 110 L 12 # 93

McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Training

The optional periodic training sequence in this text is identical to the 10GBASE-T periodic training that was added to Clause 55 based on a vendor proposal: http://www.ieee802.org/3/an/public/nov04/ungerboeck_1_1104.pdf slide 23 However, the same vendor recently reported that the periodic training sequence is not used by any 10GBASE-T device and is not suitable for adapting equalizer and canceller coefficients. http://www.ieee802.org/3/bq/public/jul14/souvignier_3bq_01_0714.pdf slide 3 If requested by the link partner a local device is required to transmit the periodic training sequence resulting in poor adaptation of echo and NEXT cancellers at the local device. Further, 10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T share one advertisement bit for the periodic training request from the link partner. Since 10GBASE-T PHY's cannot work with the periodic training, a 10G/40G capable PHY will never advertise the periodic training.

SuggestedRemedy

Eliminate the optional periodic training sequence.

113.3.4 PMA training side-stream scrambler polynomials remove text:

"Moreover during Auto-Negotiation each transceiver may request the remote transceiver to reinitialize the values of its scrambler state after every 16384 symbol periods, to generate a periodically repeating pattern with repetition period 16384. The initial 33-bit values of the scrambler state shall be generated by combining 0x39A422 for the 22 MSBs and random value SB10-SB0 from Table 113-20 generated by the local device for the 11 LSBs as shown in Figure 113-14."

Figure 113-14

remove text from "n mod 16384 = 0" through "else:"

113.3.5.3 Refresh period signaling

delete the text:

"The training sequence without periodic reinitialization described in 113.3.4 shall be used during the LPI mode, with the scramblers free-running starting in the state PMA_PBO_Exch. If scrambler reinitialization is used for normal training, it shall be disabled and the scramblers shall begin free-running when the PHY Control state diagram is in the state PMA_PBO_Exch and the receiver detects a valid requested transmitter PBO setting (Oct 7 Valid<7> equal to 1)."

113.4.2.5.15 page 141 line 15

change "The training sequence without periodic re-initialization described in 113.3.4 shall be used

during fast retraining, with the scramblers free-running from PCS Reset. If scrambler reinitialization is used for normal training, it shall be disabled and the scramblers shall begin free-running when the PHY Control state diagram enters the PCS_Test state and the variable fr active is FALSE."

to "The training sequence in 113.3.4 shall be used during fast retraining, with the scramblers free-running from PCS Reset."

113.6.1 Support for Auto-Negotiation

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 93

Page 20 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:03 AM

page 168 line 38 delete item c)

Table 113-20 in row U20 change text from "LD PMA training reset request"

to either "10GBASE-T LD PMA training reset request" or "This bit is not defined for 10GBASE-T but reserved for future use." depending on resolution to comment on 10GBASE-T periodic

training.

113.12.3 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS)

delete the line items:

PCT19 PMA training scrambler reset

PCT31 Disable scrambler reinitialization

under "PCT30 LPI scrambler" delete the text:

"The training sequence without periodic re-initialization described in 113.3.5 shall be used"

Response

Response Status W

REJECT.

See comment 84.

Periodic training sequence for 40GBASE-T was modified during d1.1.1 comment resolution to address issues with 10GBASE-T periodic training.

C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.5.15

P **141**

L **5**

9

McClellan, Brett

Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Trainin

The current text for fast retrain has the THP enabled during the PMA_Coeff_Exch state. During normal link training, the THP is bypassed in PMA_Coeff_Exch state enabling the receiver to determine the optimal DFE/THP for the link conditions. Allowing the local device to request the link partner to bypass the THP during fast retrain in the PMA_Coeff_Exch state will enable the receiver to determine the optimal DFE/THP for the link conditions.

SuggestedRemedy

change "After completing the link failure signal the PHY shall transition to the PMA_Coeff_Exch state, keep its THP turned on with its previously exchanged coefficients, and send PAM2 signaling within a time period equivalent to 9 LDPC frame periods."

to "After completing the link failure signal the PHY shall transition to the PMA_Coeff_Exch state. If the link partner requested THP bypass during fast retrain the PHY will bypass the THP (or set THP coefficients to zero) during the PMA_Coeff_Exchstate state. Otherwise the PHY will keep its THP turned on with its previously exchanged coefficients, and send PAM2 signaling within a time period equivalent to 9 LDPC frame periods."

Response

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Commenter to provide text to implement a link partner to requesting THP bypass.

note - provided by comment 92

C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2

P **169**

L 24

95

McClellan, Brett

Marvell

Comment Type T Comment Status A

xGBASE-T

"10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T formatted Extended Next Page" should be "xGBASE-T technology message Extended Next Page" so that it matches the change made in 28C.11 similary page 169 line 27

"40GBASE-T message page exchange" should be "xGBASE-T technology message Extended Next Page exchange"

SuggestedRemedy

page 169 line 24 change "10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T formatted Extended Next Page" to "xGBASE-T technology message Extended Next Page"

line 27 change "40GBASE-T message page exchange" to "xGBASE-T technology message Extended Next Page exchange"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to "MultiGBASE-T and 1000BASE-T technology message Extended Next page" since new definition does not include 1000BASE-T (see comment 6)

C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.3 P 171 L 15 # 96 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Autonea

Somehow this paragraph originally from Clause 40 lost some important information in the Clause 55 and 113 versions.

Original:

"40.5.1.3 Sending Next Pages

Implementers who do not wish to send additional Next Pages (i.e., Next Pages in addition to those required to perform PHY configuration as defined in this clause) can use Auto-Negotiation as defined in Clause 28 and the Next Pages defined in 40.5.1.2. Implementers who wish to send additional Next Pages are advised to consult Annex 40C." Also note the change in "implementer" per Maintenance draft 2.1

SuggestedRemedy

change text from

"113.6.1.3 Sending Next Pages

Implementors who do not wish to send additional Next Pages (i.e., Next Pages in addition to those required to perform PHY configuration as defined in this clause) can use Auto-Negotiation as defined in Clause 28."

"113.6.1.3 Sending Next Pages

Implementers who do not wish to send additional Extended Next Pages (i.e., Extended Next Pages in addition to those required to perform PHY configuration as defined in this clause) can use Auto-Negotiation as defined in Clause 28. Implementers who wish to send additional Extended Next Pages may do so using the AN XNP transmit registers. See 45.2.7.8."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In addition to suggested remedy, editor to scrub draft for instances of "implementor"

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6 P 162 L 42 # 97

McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Shortreach

Subclause 113.5.4.6 Direct attach cable assembly and subclauses 113.5.4.6.1 through 113.5.4.6.14 specify a link segment, not receiver electrical specifications. The appropriate locations for this section is under Subclause 113.7 Link segment characteristics.

SuggestedRemedy

Move Subclauses 113.5.4.6 through 113.5.4.6.14 into 113.7.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Move to 113.7 and relable as 'short reach/direct attach link segment specifications'. Check all references to 113.5.4.6 to refer to new subclause under 113.7. Check all references to short reach test mode.

Editorial license to implement.

C/ 113 SC 113.12.2 P 187 L 37

McClellan, Brett Marvell

Loop timing is required, not an option. There is no need to list loop timing under Major capabilities/options. Also several PIC line items need to be corrected.

Comment Status A

SugaestedRemedy

Comment Type

delete row "*LT Support of loop timing"

page 155 line 16 loop timing is required delete "(if loop timing is supported)" page 159 line 16 delete "For a PHY that can operate in loop timing mode," page 172 line 40 delete "and both devices have the same loop timing support," page 173 line 15 delete "and identical loop timing support" page 193 line 21 delete rows containing MF9 and MF10 page 194 line 43 delete "Applicable only if loop timing is supported"

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 98

Page 22 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:03 AM

EΖ

| C/ 45 | SC 45.2.1.8 | P 39 | L 21 | # 99 | C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.62 P 40 L 11 # 102 | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| McClellan, Brett Marvell | | | | McClellan, Brett Marvell | | | | | |
| Comment extra p | Type E beriod before 'PMD | Comment Status A | | EZ | Clause 45 registers and bits should be renamed from '10G/40GBASE-T' to 'xGBASE-T' for | | | | |
| SuggestedRemedy remove extra period before 'PMD' | | | | | simplification and in anticipation of supporting 25G, 2.5G and 5G which will use the same registers. NOTE: Annex 28C has already been modified to use xGBASE-T. See page 27 line 16 Clause 55 was also changed, see page 55. | | | | |
| Response ACCE | | Response Status C | | | SuggestedRemedy Replace '10G/40GBASE-T' with 'xGBASE-T' in register and register bit names. Replace only in register names and bit names but not in descriptions that include a listing of | | | | |
| Cl 45 McClellan, | SC 45.2.3.9 Brett | P 44 Marvell | L 18 | # 100 | speeds. e.g. do not replace on page 46 line 40. Example locations: 45.2.1.62 page 40 lines 11, 13, 23, 28, 41, 45, 49, 51 | | | | |
| Comment | Type E | Comment Status A | | EZ | Response Response Status C | | | | |
| extra period before EEE SuggestedRemedy delete extra period before EEE | | | | | ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace 10G/40GBASE-T with "MultiGBASE-T" as commenter describes. See comment 6 for definition of MultiGBASE-T | | | | |
| Response | | Response Status C | | | Cl 55 SC 55 P55 L1 # 103 | | | | |
| ACCE | PT. | | | | McClellan, Brett Marvell | | | | |
| C/ 45 | SC 45.2.7.13 | P 50 | L 29 | # 101 | Comment Type TR Comment Status A Training | | | | |
| McClellan, | Brett | Marvell | | - | In November the Maintenance task force considered a maintenance request to remove the 10GBASE-T periodic training. The task force forwarded the request to the 802.3bg task force | | | | |
| Comment Type E extra period before 'This' SuggestedRemedy | | Comment Status A | | EZ | for consideration. | | | | |
| | | | | | http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/maint_1266.pdf http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/revision_history.html#REQ1266 | | | | |
| delete | extra period before | e 'This' | | | SuggestedRemedy | | | | |
| Response ACCEPT. Dup | PT. Dup of comme | Response Status C ents 34, 185 | | | Implement the changes to Clauses 45 and 55 as detailed in http://www.ieee802.org/3/maint/requests/maint_1266.pdf as part of 802.3bq. In addition, in 55.4.2.5.15 Fast retrain function delete text "The training sequence without periodic re-initialization described in 55.3.4 shall be used during fast retraining, with the scramblers free-running from PCS Reset. If scrambler re-initialization is used for normal training, it shall be disabled and the scramblers shall begin free-running when the PHY Control state diagram enters the PCS_Test state and the variable fr_active is FALSE." | | | | |
| | | | | | Response Response Status C | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

ACCEPT.

CI 78 SC 78.1 P 57 L 9 # 104 C/ 113 SC 113.6.1.2 Lo, William McClellan, Brett Marvell Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type TR missing space after 'the' in table 113-20 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy add missing space after 'the' Option 1: Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 41 SC 113.6.1.2 P 170 L 5 C/ 113 # 105 Lo. William Marvell Semiconductor Option 2: Comment Type TR Comment Status A Autonea 40GBASE-T fast retrain bit not defined in Auto-Negotiation page SuggestedRemedy See Lo_3bq_01_0515.pdf for alternate scheme and McClellan_3bq_01_0515.pdf for proposed Response text. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Recommend fast retrain and EEE bits to be exchanged in InfoField during training instead of See comment 84. during Auto-Negotiation Response Status C Response Straw Poll: ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Task Force to consider presentation consider along with comments 92 & 81 C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.5 P 134 L 26 # 106 OR

Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor Comment Type Comment Status A

TR

Figure 113-26 LPI Disable Time

There is no text to describe this variable.

Page 115 line 2 references this but does not contain sufficient details.

There should at least be some description specifying the PCS behavior when host concurrently exits and re-enters LPI while the LPI disable mechanism is active

SuggestedRemedy

Propose deletion of this feature as detailed behavior is not specified.

- 1) Change LPI Disable Time in Figure 113-26 back to reserved
- 2) Delete "with the exception that the InfoField consists

of a sequence of 128 zeros except when the PHY wishes to signal the link partner to leave LPI mode. " in line 33-34 page 114.

3) Delete lines 1, 2, 3 page 115.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

P 170 L 20 # 107

Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Status A

40GBASE-T specifies option to reset training PRBS. However it is not clear such bit is defined

In bit U20 rename "LD PMA training reset request" to

"40/10GBASE-T LD PMA training reset request"

The rationale of sharing the same bit for both speeds is that any implementation that prefers one way for one speed will most likely prefer the same way for the other speed. There is no need to specify a separate bit for 10G and 40G.

Remove the option to reset PMA training PRBS every frame in 40GBASE-T

Commenter is ok if either option 1 or 2 adopted.

Response Status W

Task Force to discuss with 93 & 84

Allocate a new autoneg bit (U21) for 40GBASE-T LD PMA training reset request

EEE

Remove the option to reset PMA training PRBS every frame in 40GBASE-T 13

Move to remove the option to reset PMA training PRBS every frame in 40GBASE-T

M: Brett McClellan

S: William Lo

Y: 13

N: 6

A: 17

MOTION FAILS (Technical >= 75%)

Training

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.14.3 P 47 L 16 # 108 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.9 P 44 L 18 # 111 Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation Ε Ε Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Punctuation - The title of the subclause is missing a space. Punctuation - There is a stray period/full stop in the subclause title. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "45.2.3.14.3 BER(3.33.13:8)" to "45.2.3.14.3 BER (3.33.13:8)", inserting a space Change "45,2,3,9, EEE control and capability (Register 3,20)" to "45,2,3,9 EEE control and capability (Register 3.20)", removing the period before EEE. between "BER" and "(3.33.13:8)" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 42 # 109 C/ Annex SC 28D.8 P 28 L 10 # 112 Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Status A F7 In subclause 113.8.2.2, the 1st instance of the mixed-mode parameter is correctly defined as Punctuation - The title of the subclause is missing a space. "Sdc11". The other two instances (found in Line 42 and Line 46), referring to the reciprocal S-SuggestedRemedy parameter "Scd11", appear to be in error and are inconsistent with the 1st instance. Change "28D.8Extensions required for Clause 113(40GBASE-T)" to "28D.8 Extensions SuggestedRemedy required for Clause 113(40GBASE-T)", inserting a space between "28D.8" and "Extensions" As indicated in the recommended text on Page 12 of cibula 3bg 02 0115.pdf, change the two Response Response Status C instances of "Scd11" in subclause 113.8.2.2 from "Scd11" to "Sdc11." ACCEPT. Response Status C Response ACCEPT. C/ 00 SC 0 P 65 L 49 # 113 Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 49 # 110 Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation Punctuation - double periods/full stops at the end of various sentences. Locations include: Comment Type Ε Comment Status A MDI Page 65. Line 49 Subclause 113.8.2.2 makes reference to two different transmitter states when describing the Page 66, Line 36 impedance balance requirement and the descriptive test method. Lines 31 and 32 state "Test-Page 67. Line 14 mode 5 may be used to generate an appropriate transmitter output.", while Lines 49 and 50 Page 76, Line 14 state "... but with the transmitter output disabled." The phrase in Lines 49 and 50 appears to Page 172, Line 1 be in error and is inconsistent with other text. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the occurrences of ".." at the end of the sentences noted above to "." As indicated in the recommended text on Page 12 of cibula_3bq_02_0115.pdf, change the text Response Response Status C in Lines 49 and 50 from "During the test the PHY is connected to the MDI as in normal operation, but with the transmitter output disabled." to "During the test the PHY is connected to ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

the MDI as in normal operation."

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Comment ID 113

Page 25 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

Ρ C/ 00 SC 0 P 3 L 1 # 114 C/ 00 SC 0 L # 116 Anslow, Pete Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type TR Comment Status A 25G As correctly indicated on Page 1, this will be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-201x (the The objectives of the P802.3bq project were changed by motion #32 of the Berlin plenary to outcome of the 802.3bx revision) rather than IEEE Std 802.3-2012. The headers in the draft incorrectly say "Draft Amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2012" "Support a data rate of 25 Gb/s at the MAC/PLS Service Interface Define a single 25 Gb/s PHY supporting operation on the link segment" Also, the header for the frontmatter is missing the "P" from "P802.3bg" This draft does not include a PHY to satisfy these objectives SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change all of the headers to say "Draft Amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-201x" Change the frontmatter headers from: Either: "IEEE 802.3bq 40GBASE-T Task Force" to: remove the objectives "IEEE P802.3bg 40GBASE-T Task Force". modify the project PAR and CSD responses to reflect the additional objectives and revise the This can be done by changing the odd and even page headers in the Clause 1 file to say draft to include a suitable PHY "201x", then with that file open, in the left hand pane highlight all of the other files in the book Response Response Status W and use File, Import, Formats, Deselect All, Page layouts, Import. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Objectives are removed AND ACCEPT. PAR modifications were accidently omitted from motions at Berlin plenary - project CSD modifications were approved. Move project PAR for WG approval and progress project documentation at earliest opportunity. SC 0 C/ 00 P 11 L 9 # 115 Anslow. Pete Ciena P C/ 00 SC 0 # 117 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Anslow, Pete Ciena Amendments to 802.3 are usually ordered with all of the clauses first and the Annexes second. Comment Status A Comment Type ER EΖ SuggestedRemedy All ocurrences of "ordered set" have been changed to "ordered set" in 802.3bx draft D3.0 Move all of the Annexes to be after Clause 113 SuggestedRemedy Response Status C Response Change all instances of "ordered" set "to "ordered set" throughout the draft. ACCEPT. Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 L 32 # 118 C/ 01 SC 1.4.x P 20 L 21 # 120 Anslow, Pete Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Ε Comment Status A EΖ The copyright year should be "2015" not "201x", "2014", or "2012" as it is in the various parts of The IEEE style manual includes: the draft. 13.3.2 Numerical values Digits should be separated into groups of three, counting from the decimal point toward the left SuggestedRemedy and right. The groups should be separated by a space, and not a comma, period, or dash. If the Change the variable "copyright year" to "2015" in one of the Framemaker files, then with that magnitude of the number is less than one, the decimal point should be preceded by a zero. In file open, in the left hand pane highlight all of the other files in the book and use File, Import, numbers of four digits, the space is not necessary, unless four-digit numbers are grouped in a Formats, Deselect All, Variable definitions, Import. column with numbers of five digits or more. Response Response Status C Consequently, "2,000 MHz" should be "2000 MHz" on line 21 and on line 30. ACCEPT. Dup of comment 388 (Note, a comment has been submitted against 802.3bx D3.0 to remove the comma from 1.4.131) C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 15 # 119 Anslow, Pete Ciena Also, "Clause 55" should be a cross-reference rather than forest green text on line 23 and line Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy Provide the information as to where in 1.4 the various new definitions should be inserted. Change "2,000 MHz" to "2000 MHz" on line 21 and on line 30 Change the editing instruction accordingly Make "Clause 55" a cross-reference on line 23 and line 32 SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change: ACCEPT. See comment 1 "1.4.x 40GBASE-T:..." to: "1.4.72a 40GBASE-T:..." "1.4.x Category 8.1 balanced ..." to "1.4.131a Category 8.1 balanced ..." "1.4.x Category 8.2 balanced ..." to "1.4.131b Category 8.2 balanced ..." CI 01 SC 1.5 P 20 L 40 # 121 Replace the single editing instruction: "Insert the following new definitions into the list, in Anslow. Pete Ciena alphanumerical order:" to: Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ "Insert the following new definition into the list after 1.4.72 40GBASE-SR4:" before 1.4.72a and: "Insert the following new definitions into the list after 1.4.131 Category 7A balanced cabling:" Either include some abbreviations to be added to 1.5 or remove it from the draft Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Either include some abbreviations to be added to 1.5 and remove: ABBR expanded version [abbreviations use paragraph tag AcrList,ac] or remove 1.5 from the draft entirely Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add abbreviation to 1.5: ACRF Attenuation to Crosstalk Ratio - Far End

Delete ABBR abbreviation.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 121

Page 27 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

C/ 113 SC 113 P 71 L 24 # 122 C/ 113 SC 113 P 94 L 1 # 125 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A **Format** There are many pieces of text in Clause 113 that are shown green that should be cross-While many figures in Clause 113 appear to be editable, so are not. This makes life very references (for example "Clause 78" (2 instances) and "Clause 28" on page 71 and the five difficult for the editors of the revision project when figures need to be changed. green items on page 73) The IEEE style guide recommends a minimum font size in figures of 8pt. Some figures in Clause 113 have text with a much smaller size than this that is very difficult to read. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Review every piece of green text in Clause 113 and convert those that exist in the draft to cross-Make all of the figures in Clause 113 (with the exception of figures illustrating equations such references. as Figure 113-38) editable in FrameMaker. This includes Figures 113-8, 113-10, and 113-14. Response Response Status C Increase the font size of text in figures that is smaller than 8 pt. ACCEPT. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 13 # 123 Editor to review figures for font sizes smaller than 8 pt. Editor to redraw figures as updated Anslow, Pete Ciena making them editable in FrameMaker. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.8 P 96 L 33 # 126 "100ohm" should be "100", space, capital omega Anslow. Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy F7 Comment Type F Comment Status A Change "100ohm" to "100", space, capital omega The note is not formatted correctly. Response Response Status C "40Gbps" should be "40 Gb/s" ACCEPT. See comment 385 "zeroes" should be "zeros" (See http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html) "Transmission" should be "transmission" C/ 113 SC 113.1.1 P 71 L 31 # 124 "64 bit alignment" should be 64-bt alignment" Anslow. Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Format Change "Note: " to "NOTE—" where "—" is an em dash (Ctrl-q Shft-q). Recent amendments to 802.3 (802.3bj, 802.3bm, 802.3bw, 802.3by) have not included the Apply the paragraph Tag "Note" (9 pt font). project objectives in the draft and have removed some that were already there. Change: See 69.1.2 and 80.1.2 in IEEE Std 802.3bi-2014. "For 40Gbps Transmission. 64 bit alignment ..." to: See 96.1.1 in the compare version of P802.3bw D1.4. "For 40 Gb/s transmission, 64-bit alignment ..." SuggestedRemedy Change "zeroes" to "zeros" Remove 113.1.1 entirely. Response Response Status C. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 457

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

P 186 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 99 L 24 # 127 C/ 113 SC 113.12 L 2 # 130 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Ε Comment Type Т Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Table 113-2 has no heading row to clarify what the columns contain The text after "Clause 113" in the title of 113.12 should match the Clause 113 title. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add an appropriate heading row Change: "Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for Clause 113—Physical Response Response Status C Coding Sublaver (PCS). Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublaver and baseband medium. ACCEPT. type 40GBASE-T" to: "Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for Clause 113—Physical L 41 Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer, Physical Medium C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 99 # 128 Dependent (PMD) sublayer, and baseband medium, type 40GBASE-T" Anslow. Pete Ciena Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A F7 ACCEPT. Table 113-3 is not formatted correctly. The heading row does not have the correct format (is it actually a body row?) C/ 113 SC 113.2 P 186 L 10 # 131 The part of Table 113-3 that is on page 100 should have (continued) in italic font at the end of Anslow. Pete Ciena The rows are centred on page 99, but left aligned on page 100 Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy The tables that should be on the first page of the PICS have moved to page 187 Correct the formatting of the heading row. SuggestedRemedy Place the cursor at the end of table title on page 99. Then click on the Variables Tab and insert "Table Continuation" variable. This will add the (continued) on page 100. Move the tables down to the "Date of Statement" row back on to page 186. Make all rows centred. (It may be that "Keep With Next Pgf" is checked for the heading 113.12.1.2 Protocol summary. If so, uncheck it (you have to click the check mark twice) and if may fix this.) Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.20 P 103 L 45 # 129 C/ 113 SC 113.12.2 P 187 L 37 # 132 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A ΕZ "zeroes" should be "zeros' (See http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG tools/editorial/requirements/words.html) *LT Support of loop timing is mandatory, so there shouldn't be a "No []" box SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy change "zeroes" to "zeros" Remove the "No { }" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 132

Page 29 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

CI 28 SC 28.5.4.8 P 23 L 43 # 133 Cl 28 SC 28.5 P 23 L 28 # 136 Anslow, Pete Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Ε Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ "28.3.2" should be a cross-reference Editing instruction does not say where the new row is to be inserted. It is usual to show the heading row of tables being changed. SuggestedRemedy Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" Make "28.3.2" a cross-reference SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change the editing instruction to: ACCEPT. "Insert a row for *40G at the end of the table in 28.5.3 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Show the heading row for the table. Remove the underline from the new row. CI 28 SC 28.3 P 23 L 6 # 134 Anslow. Pete Ciena Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A F7 State where the new variable should be inserted. P 23 Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.8 L 39 # 137 Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Change the editing instruction to: "Insert new variable definition into 28.3.1 below 10GigT as follows:" Editing instruction is not clear. Remove the underline from the new text. There are no paragraphs in the subclause. It is usual to show the heading row of tables being changed Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. See comment 409 Change the editing instruction to: "Change the row for SD11 in the table in 28.5.4.8 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Cl 28 SC 28.3.2 P 23 L 14 # 135 Show the heading row for the table. Anslow. Pete Ciena Response Response Status C F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A ACCEPT. Editing instruction is not clear. SuggestedRemedy C/ 28A SC 28A P 25 L 1 # 138 Change the editing instruction to: Anslow, Pete Ciena "Change the indicated row in Table 28-9 as follows (unchanged rows not shown): F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A Response Response Status C There are no editing instructions shown for Annex 28A and there are no changes shown ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Either add editing instructions and show changes or remove Annex 28A from the draft. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove Annex 28A (dup of comments 5, 375, 248, 260, 263)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 138

Page 30 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

C/ 28B SC 28B P 26 L 5 # 139 C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 22 # 142 Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Anslow, Pete Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type EΖ EΖ The title of Annex 28B is missing The IEEE Editorial Style Manual includes: "In a series of three or more terms, use a comma immediately before the coordinating SuggestedRemedy conjunction (usually and, or, or nor)." Add the title of Annex 28B: "IEEE 802.3 Selector Base Page definition" Consequently, "... that 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T abilities..." should have an extra comma after "10GBASE-T" Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change: C/ 28B SC 28B.3 P 26 L 9 # 140 "... that 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T abilities..." to: "... that 40GBASE-T. 10GBASE-T. and 1000BASE-T abilities..." Anslow. Pete Ciena with the added comma in underline font. Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Response Response Status C To use a "change" editing instruction would require the rest of the list to be included in the draft ACCEPT. Dup of 8 SuggestedRemedy C/ 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 L 8 # 143 Change the editing instruction to: "Insert a new item a) "40GBASE-T full duplex" at the top of the list in 28B.3 as follows and Anslow. Pete Ciena renumber the other items:" Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Remove the underline from the new item. 28D.8 is not a paragraph Response Response Status C Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: SC 28C.11 P 27 C/ 28C L 21 # 141 "Insert 28D.8 after 28D.7 as follows:" Anslow, Pete Ciena Remove the underline from the new subclause. Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Response Response Status C "Clause 55" should be a cross-reference ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy C/ 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 L 24 # 144 Make "Clause 55" a cross-reference Anslow. Pete Ciena Response Response Status C Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ ACCEPT. "45.2.7" on line 24 and "28.3.1" on line 26 should be cross-references SuggestedRemedy Make "45.2.7" on line 24 and "28.3.1" on line 26 cross-references Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 144

Page 31 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1 P 31 L 42 # 145 C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 32 L 9 # 147 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Status A EΖ EΖ Editing instruction is "Change text of 30.3.2.1.2a include 40GBASE-T." In several of the changed subclauses in Clause 30 there is text shown in forest green which The referenced subclause has a spurious "a" at the end. should be cross-references SuggestedRemedy Same issue for 30.3.2.1.3 Make the following cross-references: SuggestedRemedy page 32, line 9 "Clause 55" Remove the spurious "a" from the subclause references on page 31 line 42 and also on page page 32, line 49 "Clause 55" page 33, line 29 "Clause 45" 32 line 27 page 33. line 30 "45.2.1.66" Response Response Status C page 33, line 43 "Clause 45" ACCEPT. page 33. line 44 "45.2.1.67" page 34. line 2 "Clause 45" C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 31 L 42 # 146 page 34, line 3 "45.2.1.68" page 34, line 15 "Clause 45" Anslow. Pete Ciena page 34, line 16 "45.2.1.69" Comment Status A Comment Type F7 page 34, line 29 "45.2.1.79.2" page 34, line 42 "45.2.1.79.1" The editing instructions for 30.3.2.1.2, 30.3.2.1.3, 30.5.1.1.19, 30.5.1.1.20, 30.5.1.1.21, page 35, line 7 "30.2.5" 30.5.1.1.22, 30.5.1.1.24, and 30.5.1.1.25 are all: page 35, line 16 "Annex 28B" "Change text of 30.x.x.x.x include 40GBASE-T." which should be "to include" rather than page 35, line 18 "Annex 28B" "include" page 35, line 20 "Annex 28B" SuggestedRemedy page 35, line 30 "Clause 55" In the editing instructions for 30.3.2.1.2, 30.3.2.1.3, 30.5.1.1.19, 30.5.1.1.20, 30.5.1.1.21, page 35, line 47 "Clause 28" 30.5.1.1.22, 30.5.1.1.24, and 30.5.1.1.25 change: Response Response Status C "include" to "to include" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 45 C/ 45 P 37 L 3 # 148 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A F7 This editor's note from the 802.3 template should not have been included in the draft. SuggestedRemedy Remove the editor's note. Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SC 45.2.1 C/ 45 P 37 L 12 # 149 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.7 P 37 L 52 # 152 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Type EΖ Comment Status A EΖ The editing instruction for Table 45-3 is unclear. The title of 45.2.1.7 starts with a "." Same issue for 45.2.1.8 and 45.2.3.9 SuggestedRemedy (from the autonumber format?) Change the editing instruction to: SuggestedRemedy "Change the identified rows in Table 45–3 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Remove the spurious "." from the titles of 45.2.1.7, 45.2.1.8, and 45.2.3.9 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 37 L 17 # 150 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 38 L 13 # 153 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ All of the entries in the "Subclause" column of Table 45-3 are in forest green but they should be The changes to Table 45-7 are not shown correctly. cross-references. Also, the subclause numbers for register 1.134 through to the end are incorrect. 45.2.1.71 to The base standard (P802.3bx D3.0) has: 45.2.1.83 should be 45.2.1.67 to 45.2.1.79, respectively. 10011x = reserved for future use1 0 0 1 0 1 = 40GBASE-ER4 PMA/PMD SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make all of the entries in the "Subclause" column of Table 45-3 cross-references. Correct the subclause numbers for register 1.134 through to the end. Change the draft to show: 10011x1 = reserved for future useResponse Response Status C where the x is in strikethrough and the following 1 is underlined ACCEPT. 1 0 0 1 1 0 = 40GBASE-T PMA/PMD Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 37 L 50 # 151 all underlined Anslow, Pete Ciena 1 0 0 1 0 1 = 40GBASE-ER4 PMA/PMD EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A in normal font The editing instruction for Table 45-7 is unclear. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change the editing instruction to: "Change the identified row in Table 45-7 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 38 L 48 # 154 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P 39 L 37 Anslow, Pete Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Ε Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Footnote a to Table 45-7 is "aR/W = Read/Write, RO = Read only" not as shown. The editing instruction is: "Change and insert rows in Table 45–16 as appropriate." but there is no inserted row SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change footnote a to: Change the editing instruction to: "aR/W = Read/Write, RO = Read only" "Change the row for 1.13.6 in Table 45–16 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P 38 L 53 # 155 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.12.10 P 40 L 1 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A The editing instructions for Table 45-9, Table 45-10, and Table 45-12 are unclear. The place Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time the new rows are to be inserted should be included. Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instructions to: "Insert a row for 40GBASE-T below the row for 40GBASE-FR in Table 45-9 as follows Change the editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.1.12.9a after 45.2.1.12.9 as follows:" (unchanged rows not shown):" Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.1.12.9a "Insert a row for 40GBASE-T below the row for 40GBASE-FR in Table 45-10 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Response Response Status C "Insert a row for 40GBASE-T below the row for 40GBASE-FR in Table 45-12 as follows ACCEPT. (unchanged rows not shown):" , respectively. Remove the underline from the new rows. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 45.2.1.8.1 Cl 45 P 39 L 23 # 156 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Status A Comment Type EΖ

The draft includes a heading for 45.2.1.8.1 above the editing instruction for Table 45-12. However, this table is in 45.2.1.8 and not 45.2.1.8.1, so the heading is not required.

Response Status C

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT.

Response

Remove the heading for 45.2.1.8.1

157

158

F7

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.62 P 40 L 13 # 159 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 L 26 # 161 Anslow, Pete Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Ε Ε Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ This is showing a change from: The editing instruction is "Change title and rows and insert row in Table 45–54 as appropriate." "The assignments of in the 10GBASE-T status register is shown in Table 45–54." The table shows only one of the two rows of Table 45-54 and this is the same as in the base standard. "The assignments of bits in the 10G/40GBASE-T status registers are shown in Table 45–54." However, the change to "registers are" is not appropriate as there is still only one register. The title in the base standard is "10GBASE-T status register bit definitions", so the underlined Same issue in 45.2.1.65, 45.2.7.10 (without change being shown in underline), 45.2.7.11. space between "10G/40GBASE-T" and "status" is not correct. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Leave the text as "register is" in 45.2.1.62, 45.2.1.65, 45.2.7.10, and 45.2.7.11 Change the editing instruction to: Also, leave as "All the bits in the 10G/40GBASE-T AN status register are read only..." in "Change the title of Table 45-54 as follows:" 45.2.7.11 Leave the title with changemarks and remove the body of the table. Remove the underline from the space between "10G/40GBASE-T" and "status" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "registers" to "register" as in comment. ACCEPT. Verb remains "are" because subject of sentence is not "register", but is "assignments" (assignments ARE shown). Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.64.1 P 41 L 13 # 162 Anslow. Pete Ciena C/ 45 P 40 SC 45.2.1.62.1 L 15 # 160 EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A Anslow. Pete Ciena Space missing in "... are defined in 113.4.2.5 and ..." Comment Type F Comment Status A F7 SuggestedRemedy Editing instructions usually use the term "paragraph" only when particular paragraphs are being modified. Change to "... are defined in 113.4.2.5 and ..." Same issue for 45.2.1.64, 45.2.1.66, 45.2.1.67, 45.2.3.1.2, 45.2.3.13, 45.2.3.13.1, 45.2.3.13.4, Response Response Status C 45.2.3.13.5, 45.2.3.14.1, 45.2.3.14.2, 45.2.3.14.3, 45.2.7.10, 45.2.7.11.1, 45.2.7.11.2 ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction for 45.2.1.62.1 to: C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.78 P 42 L 16 # 163 "Change text of 45.2.1.62.1 to include 40GBASE-T." Anslow, Pete Ciena Likewise, change "paragraph" to "text" in the editing instructions for 45.2.1.64, 45.2.1.66, Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ 45.2.1.67, 45.2.3.1.2, 45.2.3.13, 45.2.3.13.1, 45.2.3.13.4, 45.2.3.13.5, 45.2.3.14.1, 45.2.3.14.2, The title of Table 45-58 in the base standard is: "10GBASE-T skew delay register bit 45.2.3.14.3. 45.2.7.10. 45.2.7.11.1. 45.2.7.11.2 definitions" so the "definitions" has got lost Response Response Status C SugaestedRemedy ACCEPT. add "definitions" in normal font to the end of the title for Table 45-58 Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

SC 45.2.3 Cl 45 P 42 L 44 # 164 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.1.2 P 43 L 7 # 166 Anslow, Pete Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Status A EΖ EΖ The editing instruction for Table 45-119 is unclear. The IEEE Editorial Style Manual includes: "In a series of three or more terms, use a comma immediately before the coordinating SuggestedRemedy conjunction (usually and, or, or nor)." Change the editing instruction to: "Change the rows for registers 3.32 and 3.33 in Table 45-119 as follows (unchanged rows not Consequently, "... the 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T or the 10GBASE-R mode ..." should have an shown):" extra comma after "10GBASE-T" Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change: "... the 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T or the 10GBASE-R mode ..." to: SC 45.2.3 "... the 40GBASE-T. 10GBASE-T. or the 10GBASE-R mode ..." Cl 45 P 42 L 50 # 165 with the added comma in underline font. Anslow, Pete Ciena Response Response Status C Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ACCEPT. Both of the entries in the "Subclause" column of Table 45-119 are in forest green but they should be cross-references. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 29 # 167 Also, the subclause numbers are incorrect. Register 3.32 is defined in 45.2.3.13 Anslow. Pete Ciena Register 3.33 is defined in 45.2.3.14 Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy The heading for 45.2.3.7 appears just above Table 45-123, but Table 45-123 (related to the Make both of the entries in the "Subclause" column of Table 45-119 cross-references to the PCS control 2 register) is in 45.2.3.6 correct subclause numbers SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Insert the heading: ACCEPT. 45.2.3.6 PCS control 2 register (Register 3.7) and move Table 45-123 to be below the new heading, leaving Table 45-124 in 45.2.3.7 Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 49 # 168 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.9 P 44 L 19 # 171 Anslow, Pete Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Ε Ε Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ The editing instruction for Table 45-124 is unclear. The editing instruction for Table 45-125 is unclear. "3.20.7" and "RO" are not changed, so should not be underlined SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: Change the editing instruction to: "Change the identified reserved row in Table 45-124 and insert a new row for bit 3.8.6 below it "Change the identified reserved row in Table 45-125 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Remove the underline from "3.20.7" and "RO" Remove the underline from the new row as it is associated with an "insert" editing instruction. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.13 P 45 L 1 Cl 45 # 172 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 51 # 169 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 Comment Status A EΖ The editing instruction for Table 45-128 is unclear. Correctly formatted tables do not allow the table title to be on a different page from the table Also, the table title has been changed body. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use the correct IEEE table format (in the Table Designer pod. set "Title" to "Above Table"). Change the editing instruction to: Check that this is the case for all Clause 45 tables. "Change the title and identified rows in Table 45-128 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9.8 P 44 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7.6 P 44 L 10 L 33 # 173 # 170 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 Comment Status A Comment Type Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to: Change the editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.3.9.7a after 45.2.3.9.7 as follows:" "Insert 45.2.3.7.5a after 45.2.3.7.5 as follows:" Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.3.9.7a Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.3.7.5a Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 173

Page 37 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gro

P 46 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.14 L 20 # 174 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.10.4 P 48 L 30 # 177 Anslow, Pete Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A The editing instruction for Table 45-129 is unclear. Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time Also, the insertion in the table title has not been underlined re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. "after 45.2.7.10.3" should be "after 45.2.7.10.4" SuggestedRemedy The new subclause titles should match the name in Table 45-207. Change the editing instruction to: "Bit 7.32.11 is to be used ..." is inappropriate wording. "Change the title and identified rows in Table 45-129 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" The new subclause for bit 7.32.3 goes immediately below that for bit 7.32.11. show "/40G" in the table title in underline font. Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change the first editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.7.10.4a and 45.2.7.10.4a after 45.2.7.10.4 as follows:" C/ 45 SC 45.2.7 P 47 L 37 # 175 Remove the underline from the new subclauses. Change the first new subclause title to be: Anslow, Pete Ciena "45.2.7.10.4a 40GBASE-T ability (7.32.11)" Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Change ""Bit 7.32.11 is to be used ..." to ""Bit 7.32.11 is used ..." Delete the editing instruction: The editing instruction for Table 45-200 is unclear. "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.6 and re-number remaining clauses accordingly." Also, the entries in the subclause column need fixing Change the second new subclause title to be: SuggestedRemedy "45.2.7.10.4b 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.3)" Change the editing instruction to: Response Response Status C "Change the identified rows in Table 45-200 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" ACCEPT. Remove the spurious forest green text from the row for 7.32 Make 45.2.7.11 in the 7.33 row a cross-reference Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10.6 P 48 L 46 # 178 Response Response Status C Anslow, Pete Ciena ACCEPT. Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10 P 48 L 9 # 176 Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A Change the editing instruction to: The editing instruction for Table 45-207 is unclear. "Change the title of 45.2.7.10.6 as follows:" Also, the text in the reserved row has been changed in 802.3bx D3.0 add "45.2.7.10.6" to the beginning of the modified title. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change the editing instruction to: ACCEPT. "Change the reserved row and the row for 7.32.1 in Table 45-207 and insert new rows for 7.32.11 and 7.32.3 above and below the reserved row, respectively as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Delete ". writes ignored" from the reserved row.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Remove the underline from the two new rows (insert editing instruction).

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT. See comment 28

Comment ID 178

Page 38 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.11 P 49 L 20 # 179 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 49 L 51 # 182 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Ε Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ In the row for bit 7.33.0 in Table 45-208, "7.33.0" and "RO" have not changed, so should not be Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time underlined. Also, ", writes ignored" has been removed in 802.3bx D3.0 re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. "after 45.2.7.11.6" should be "after 45.2.7.11.7" SuggestedRemedy Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change" Remove the underline from "7.33.0" and "RO" SuggestedRemedy Remove the strikethtough text ", writes ignored" Change the editing instruction to: Response Response Status C "Insert 45.2.7.11.7a after 45.2.7.11.7 as follows:" ACCEPT. Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.7.11.7a Response Response Status C Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.1 P 49 L 34 # 180 ACCEPT. Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 Cl 45 P 50 SC 45.2.7.11.9 L7 # 183 "for 40GBASE-T in contained" should be "for 40GBASE-T is contained" Anslow, Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Change "for 40GBASE-T in contained" to "for 40GBASE-T is contained" Bit 7.33.0 should come after bit 7.33.1 in the draft. Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time Response Response Status C re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. ACCEPT. Dup of comment 433 SuggestedRemedy C/ 45 P 49 Change the editing instruction to: SC 45.2.7.11.2 L 49 # 181 "Insert 45.2.7.11.9 after 45.2.7.11.8 as follows:" Ciena Anslow, Pete Remove the underline from the new subclause and move both the editing instruction and Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ subclause below "10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.1)" Ε The text of 45.2.7.11.2 is truncated Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 32 SuggestedRemedy Reinstate the remainder of the text Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.10 P 50 L 16 # 184 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 70 # 187 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Ε Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. "55.4.2.5.15" should be in forest green. Bit 7.60.9 should be described after bit 7.60.10 (45.2.7.13.4) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Leave the numbering of 45.2.7.11.8 as it is. Change the editing instruction to: Change the editing instruction to: "Insert 45.2.7.13.4a after 45.2.7.13.4 as follows:" "Change the title and text of 45.2.7.11.8 as follows:" Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.7.13.4a Apply character tag "External" to "55.4.2.5.15" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. See comments 353, 31, 33 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.14 P 51 L 24 # 188 Cl 45 P 50 L 29 SC 45.2.7.13 # 185 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Table 45-211 is in 45.2.7.14 but this heading is missing. Spurious "." at the start of the text. The editing instruction for Table 45-210 is unclear. When "Reserved" is deleted, the Name column will be blank. SuggestedRemedy The text in the reserved row has been changed in 802.3bx D3.0 Change ".This" to "This" "28.2.3.4.128" does not exist Footnote a should be "RO = Read only" Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Dup of comments 34, 101 Add a heading for 45.2.7.14. Change the editing instruction to: C/ 45 P 51 L 1 SC 45.2.7.13 # 186 "Change the row for 7.61.9 in Table 45-211 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Anslow. Pete Ciena Add "40GBASE-T EEE" to the Name column Comment Status A Delete ", writes ignored" in strikethrough font from the reserved row. Comment Type Ε F7 Correct the cross-reference to Clause 28 The editing instruction for Table 45-210 is unclear. Change footnote a to "RO = Read only" Also, the text in the reserved row has been changed in 802.3bx D3.0 Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change the editing instruction to: "Change the row for 7.60.9 in Table 45-210 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Delete ". writes ignored" in strikethrough font from the reserved row.

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.2 P **52** L 4 # 189 C/ 45 SC 45.5 P **52** L 9 # 191 Anslow, Pete Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Ε Ε Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Headings in 45.5 are missing and table heading rows are not shown Many of the cross-references in 45.5 are shown in green, but should be active crossreferences. SuggestedRemedy In 45.5.3.2, "45.2.1.8" is the "PMD transmit disable register". "45.2.1.12" seems to be a better Add headings for: place to point to as this is where the 40GBASE-T ability bit resides. 45.5.3 In 45.5.3.9, there should be entries in the subclause column for AM61 and AM62. 45.5.3.2 SuggestedRemedy 45.5.3.6 In 45.5.3.2, change "45.2.1.8" to "45.2.1.12" and make it a cross-reference. 45.5.3.7 In 45.5.3.6. make "45.2.3" a cross-reference. 45.5.3.9 Show the heading rows for the various tables. In 45.5.3.7, make "45.2.13" (2 instances) and "45.2.14" (2 instances) cross-references. In 45.5.3.8. make "45.2.7" a cross-reference. Response Response Status C In 45.5.3.9, make "45.2.7.11.1" a cross-reference and add cross-references to "45.2.7.10" to ACCEPT. the AM61 and AM62 rows. Response Response Status C P **52** Cl 45 SC 45.5 L 4 # 190 ACCEPT. Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ C/ 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P **52** L 30 # 192 Anslow. Pete The editing instructions are not appropriate. Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Т Change 45.5.3.2 editing instruction to: RM16 says "Loopback bit returns zero when operating at 10 Gb/s with port "Insert a new row for *40T below the row for *10T in the table in 45.5.3.2 as follows (unchanged type selections other than 10GBASE-R or 10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T". Now that 40GBASE-T has been added, "at 10 Gb/s" is no longer correct. rows not shown):" Remove the underline from the new row. SuggestedRemedy Change 45.5.3.6 editing instruction to: Change "when operating at 10 Gb/s" to "when operating at 10 Gb/s or 40 Gb/s" "Change the row for *CT in the table in 45.5.3.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Change 45.5.3.7 editing instruction to: Response Response Status C. "Change the rows for RM15, RM16, and RM37 through RM40 in the table in 45.5.3.7 as follows ACCEPT. (unchanged rows not shown):" Change 45.5.3.8 editing instruction to: "Change the row for *AT in the table in 45.5.3.8 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

"Change the row for AM51 in the table in 45.5.3.9 and insert new rows for AM61 and AM62 at

Change 45.5.3.9 editing instruction to:

Response

ACCEPT.

the end of the table as follows (unchanged rows not shown):" Remove the underline from the AM61 and AM62 rows.

Response Status C.

Comment ID 192

Page 41 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

CI 47 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 50 L 3 # 193 CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P 58 L 35 # 195 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ This says "The bit is only valid when page receive bit 7.1.6 in is set to one." The inserted rows in Tables 78-1, 78-2, and 78-5 should not be underlined as they are "The bit" would be better as "Bit 7.33.8" associated with "Insert" editing instructions. "page receive bit 7.1.6" should be "page received bit 7.1.6" The font used for these inserted rows is incorrect. spurious "in" after "7.1.6" Also, the title of Table 78-2 is "Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHYs or SuggestedRemedy interfaces" not "Clauses associated with each interface type" Change: SuggestedRemedy "The bit is only valid when page receive bit 7.1.6 in is set to one." to: "Bit 7.33.8 is only valid when page received bit 7.1.6 is set to one." In Tables 78-1, 78-2, and 78-5 remove the underline from the inserted rows. Re-apply the "CellBody" paragraph tag to the inserted rows (Times New Roman 9pt). Response Response Status C Correct the title of Table 78-2 to "Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHYs or ACCEPT. See comment 321 interfaces" Response Response Status C CI 78 P 57 L 34 SC 78.1.3.3.1 # 194 ACCEPT. See comments 43, 271, 272, 328, 329 Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 9 # 196 The cross-reference to "Figure 78-3" is showing as "<XREF>Figure 78-3". Anslow. Pete Ciena This is because the "FigNumber" cross-reference format used in Clause 78 is "<XREF>Figure\ Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type <\$paranumonly>" and this has been pasted into a clause file other than the original Clause 78 file which does not have an "XREF" character tag defined. The point of the list in 80.1.3 is to define the locations where the data-path widths are cannot be changed by the implementation. Each element in the existing list states what the width at that SuggestedRemedy location is. The easiest way to fix this is to highlight the cross-reference, open the Cross-reference pod, Edit Format, delete the "XREF" from the start of the Definition, Done, Internal Cross-Also, as this is associated with an Insert editing instruction it should not be underlined. References, Update, SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change to: "k) The MDI as specified in Clause 113 for 40GBASE-T uses a 4 lane data path." ACCEPT. See comment 74 Remove the underline

Response

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 196

Response Status C

Page 42 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gro

C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 24 # 197 C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P 62 L 10 # 200 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ There is no editing instruction associated with Figure 80-1. Word missing in "using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding for transmission balanced twisted-pair" The curly brackets associated with the left hand two stacks have no labels. SuggestedRemedy The AN block in the 40GBASE-T stack has note 2 applied: "NOTE 2—CONDITIONAL Change to "using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding for transmission over balanced twisted-pair" BASED ON PHY TYPE", but there is only one PHY type and the AN layer is not shown as optional in Table 80-2 or Figure 113-1. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add an editing instruction: "Replace Figure 80-1 as follows:" Change "40 Gb/s PHY using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding for transmission balanced twisted-pair Remove the curley brackets associated with the left hand two stacks in Figure 80-1. Remove note 2 fron the AN block in the middle stack in Figure 80-1 structured cabling systems" to "40 Gb/s PHY using RS-FEC and LDPC encoding over balanced twisted-pair structured Response Response Status C cabling systems (see Clause 113)" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comments 234, 381 (see comment 487) # 198 C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P 61 L 47 C/ 80 P 62 SC 80.1.5 L 16 # 201 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Text associated with an Insert editing instruction should not be underlined. (strictly, this would result in underlined text being inserted into the standard.) As the changes to Table 80-2 have not been marked, a "Replace" editing instruction is more appropriate than an Insert. SuggestedRemedy The "113" clause number in the rightmost heading should be a cross-reference Remove the underline from the inserted text in 80.1.4 The ruling between the headings for the 40GBASE-FR PMD and 40GBASE-T PCS/PMA/PMD columns is the wrong thickness Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change the editing instruction to "Replace Table 80-2 as follows:" L 1 Make the "113" clause number in the rightmost heading a cross-reference C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P 62 # 199 Make the ruling between the headings for the 40GBASE-FR PMD and 40GBASE-T Anslow, Pete Ciena PCS/PMA/PMD columns "Very thin" Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Response Response Status C The Green font to denote an external cross-reference is not appropriate for an editing ACCEPT. instruction since, by definition, the thing it refers to is in the draft! See comments 335, 488, 382, 73, 336, 46, 359 SuggestedRemedy And remove "/PMD" from 40GBASE-T entry if comment 347 is accepted Use the normal font for "Table 80-1" on line 1, "Table 80-2" on line 16 and also for "Table 80-2" on line 50.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

SC 80.1.5 C/ 80 P **62** L 52 # 202 C/ 81 SC 81.1 P 65 L 7 # 204 Anslow, Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Status A EΖ EΖ The note is not formatted correctly. A "Change" editing instruction isn't appropriate here. The AN block in the 40GBASE-T stack has note 2 applied. If it was visible, this would be: SuggestedRemedy "NOTE 2—CONDITIONAL BASED ON PHY TYPE", but there is only one PHY type and the Change "Note: " to "NOTE—" where "—" is an em dash (Ctrl-q Shft-q). AN layer is not shown as optional in Table 80-2 or Figure 113-1. Apply the paragraph Tag "Note" (9 pt font). The acronym expansions and notes in the figure have an inappropriate format. Make "Clause 28" a cross-reference The spacing of the three stacks id uneven. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change the editing instruction to: "Replace Figure 81-1 as follows:" Remove note 2 from the AN block in the middle stack in Figure 81-1 SC 80.4 C/ 80 P 63 L 16 # 203 Fix format of the acronym expansions and notes in the figure. Change the position of the three stacks to be even and centred on the blocks above. Anslow, Pete Ciena Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ ACCEPT. The footnotes are not formatted as table footnotes. In footnote b 1.4.110 should be 1.4.117 C/ 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 52 # 205 Footnote c is missing Anslow. Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Format the footnotes at table footnotes In footnote b change "1.4.110" to "1.4.117" "in Figure 81-10a" should be "in Figure 81-13" and it should be in green font. add footnote c SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change "in Figure 81-10a" to "in Figure 81-13" and apply the character tag "External" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gru

C/ 81.5 SC 81.5.3.7 P 69 L 12 # 206 C/ 99 SC P 3 L 13 # 209 Anslow, Pete Anslow, Pete Ciena Ciena Comment Type Т Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ 81.3.1.2 TXC<7:0> (transmit control) does not define detection of Link Interruption. In the second editor's note, "Amendment title (SHALL match PAR)" should be replaced by the The entries in the Subclause column for both rows and "Table 81-5" should be a crossexact wording of the amendment title from the PAR. reference (not green). SuggestedRemedy The item numbering does not follow the numbering practice for the rest of this PICS. In the second editor's note, replace "Amendment title (SHALL match PAR)" with the exact SuggestedRemedy wording of the amendment title from the PAR. Change "81.3.1.2" to "81.3.4". Response Response Status C Make the entries in the Subclause column for both rows and "Table 81-5" cross-references. ACCEPT. Change item "LINT" to "LINT1" Change item "LINT1" to "LINT2" Cl 99 SC P 4 L 24 # 210 Response Response Status C Anslow. Pete Ciena ACCEPT. Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Ε C/ 99 SC P 1 L 10 # 207 There is a spurious "IEEE 802.3 will continue to evolve." on line 24 Also, the summary of other amendments that are likely to be published before 802.3bg (at least Anslow. Pete Ciena IEEE Std 802.3bw-201x) should be added here Comment Type Comment Status A F7 SuggestedRemedy The "X" was removed from "Amendment X:" in the 802.3 template in February 2014 Remove "IEEE 802.3 will continue to evolve." on line 24 SuggestedRemedy Add the summary of other amendments that are likely to be published before 802.3bg (at least IEEE Std 802.3bw-201x). Change "Amendment X:" to "Amendment:" on page 1, line 10 and also on page 19, line 3 Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. SC P 6 Cl 99 L 13 # 211 C/ 99 SC P 1 L 29 # 208 Ciena Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type Change: "[review/balloting stage]" should be replaced with the stage that the draft is at. FirstName SecondName, IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Chair SuggestedRemedy FirstName SecondName, IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Editor-in-Chief to the text appropriate for this project Change "[review/balloting stage]" to "Working Group ballot recirculation" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change: ACCEPT. Dup of comments 405, 252, 474 FirstName SecondName, IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Chair FirstName SecondName, IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Editor-in-Chief to the text appropriate for this project Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dup of comments 53, 227, 406

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 211

Page 45 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

C/ 99 SC P 19 L 46 # 212 C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 13 # 214 Anslow, Pete Shariff, Masood Ciena CommScope Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Ε Cablingrefs The editor's note refers to "IEEE P802.3bi and IEEE P802.3bk" which will both be superseded Addendum 1 is already encoded into the number ANSI/TIA-568-C.2-1 where -1 means amendments by the time P802.3bg is published. addendum 1. Adding addendum to this implies and addendum to this addendum. Also added Category 8 to the title SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change: "(e.g., IEEE P802.3bj and IEEE P802.3bk)" to: Change: Addendum 1: Specification for 100 ohm Category Cabling with appropriate "(e.g., IEEE P802.3bn and IEEE P802.3bw)" augmentation as specified in 113.7. Response Response Status C To: Specification for 100 ohm Category 8 Cabling with appropriate augmentation as specified in ACCEPT. 113.7. Response Response Status C CI A SC A P 21 L 1 # 213 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status A Delete EΖ -201x Addendum 1: Specification for 100ohm Category Cabling Either include some bibliography entries to be added to Annex A or remove it from the draft SuggestedRemedy In sentence-Addendum 1: Specification for 100 ohm Category Cabling with appropriate augmentation as specified in Either include some bibliography entries to be added to Annex A and remove the editor's note 113.7. and "[Bx1] Name—Title." or remove Annex A from the draft entirely SC 113.5.4.6.2 P **163** C/ 113 L 25 # 215 Response Response Status C Shariff, Masood CommScope ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dup of comments 232, 256, 54, 373. Comment Status A Comment Type EΖ See comment 256 RL for direct attach link segements has duplicate specs at 25 MHz and 1000 MHz SuggestedRemedy Change <= 25 to < 25 and <= 1000 to < 1000 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Use consistent notation for symbols in equations (e.g., =,>,etc) 1000<f <= 2000

> does not include 1000 25<f <= 1000 does not include 25

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 215

Page 46 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

216 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.4 P 164 L 10 Shariff, Masood CommScope Ε Comment Status A Comment Type EΖ Missing spec for 2000 MHz SuggestedRemedy Change < 2000 to <= 2000 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.5 P 164 L 33 # 217 Shariff, Masood CommScope F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Missing spec at 2000 MHz

SuggestedRemedy

Change < 2000 MHz to <= 2000 MHz

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.4.5.11 P166 L36 # 218

Shariff, Masood CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status A Cabling

Delay skew does not match Category 8 specs in draft 3.1

SuggestedRemedy

Change: shall not exceed 2.9 ns at all frequencies from 2 MHz to 2000 MHz. It is a further functional requirement that, once installed, the skew between any two of the four duplex channels due to environmental conditions shall not vary more than 3 ns within the above requirement.

To: shall not exceed 4.8 ns at all frequencies from 2 MHz to 2000 MHz. It is a further functional requirement that, once installed, the skew between any two of the four duplex channels due to environmental conditions shall not vary more than 0.5 ns within the above requirement.

The value 4.8 is calculated as follows: 13.5*5/30+2*1.25=4.8

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

To: shall not exceed 4.8 ns at all frequencies from 2 MHz to 2000 MHz. It is a further functional requirement that, once installed, the skew between any two of the four duplex channels due to environmental conditions shall not vary more than 0.5 ns within the above requirement.

Comment Type T Comment Status A Cabling

Equation 113-25 needs to be updated to match TIA-568-C.2-1 draft 3.1

SuggestedRemedy

Change equation 113-25 to

PSAACRF => 61-20log(f /100)

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cabling

EΖ

Cl 113 SC 113.7.2.3 P 175 L # 220
Shariff, Masood CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Duplicate specifications at 10, 100, 1000 MHz because of using the <= sign for the upper

Duplicate specifications at 10, 100, 1000 MHz because of using the <= sign for the upper frequencies in the ranges

SuggestedRemedy

Replace <= at these upper frequencies in the ranges with < in equatin 113-27

Also update equation 113-27 to use the latest TIA-568-C.2-1 draft 3.1 RL equations for consitency with equations other paramters.

 $\begin{array}{lll} 19.0 & 1 <= f < 10 \\ 24-5 \log(f) & 10 <= f < 40 \\ 16.0 & 40 <= f < 130 \\ 35-9 \log(f) & 130 <= f < 1000 \\ 8 \text{ dB} & 1000 <= f <= 2000 \\ \end{array}$

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace <= at these upper frequencies in the ranges with < in equatin 113-27 Also update equation 113-27 to use the latest TIA-568-C.2-1 draft 3.1 RL equations for consitency with equations other paramters.

Add Editor's Note (to be removed prior to publication): The Task Force is awaiting final resolution on this equation 113-27 by ISO/IEC SC25 WG3, expected in September 2015.

CI 113 SC 113.8.2.1 P 183 L 12 # 221
Shariff, Masood CommScope

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Improve MDI RL specifications formatting

SuggestedRemedy

Format equations on the rows so the frequency ranges and equations line up from top to bottom

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Improve equation 113-46 formatting

SuggestedRemedy

Format equation so columns line up (maybe use left alignment)

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Double period at end of paragraph.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.1 P71 L9 # 224

Booth, Brad Microsoft

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Over-use of defining acronyms. PCS, PMA and PMD are defined in the title and don't need to be redefined in the first paragraph. IEEE editor may catch these also.

SuggestedRemedy

Review usage with editor and eliminate excessive definitions.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Editor to review relative to existing IEEE Std. 802.3 style

EΖ

F7

EΖ

SC 113.1 C/ 113 P 71 L 28 # 225 C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 20 L 8 # 228 Booth, Brad Microsoft Booth, Brad Microsoft Ε Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type TR Comment Status R Cablingrefs Auto-negotation is hyphenated. Reference to ANSI specification is incorrect. This draft specification must reference an existing specification or draft specification, not a pending specification. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert hyphen. Provide the correct reference. Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT. REJECT. Referenced document is a draft specification. SC 113.3.2.2.20 P 103 L 20 C/ 113 # 226 Booth, Brad Microsoft C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 20 L 11 # 229 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 Booth, Brad Microsoft Equation 113-3 is chopped. Comment Type Comment Status R Cablinarefs SuggestedRemedy Reference to ISO/IEC specification is incorrect. This draft specification must reference an existing specification or draft specification, not a pending specification. Fix. SugaestedRemedy Response Response Status C. Provide the correct reference. ACCEPT. See comment 289 Response Response Status C C/ 00 SC 0 P 6 L 13 # 227 REJECT. Booth, Brad Microsoft Referenced document is a draft specification. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ I'm sure that there is a task force chair and an editor for this project whose names are not C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 20 # 230 FirstName SecondName. :-) Booth, Brad Microsoft SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Comment Status A Cablingrefs Add the name for the Task Force chair and editor. Also add the names of the 802.3 voters. Both Category 8.1 and 8.2 definitions have an editor's note stating that these definitions are Response Response Status C forward-looking. There should not be any forward-looking definitions in the draft. The draft must only reference existing information in standards or draft standards. ACCEPT. Dup of comments 53, 211, 406 SuggestedRemedy Correct these definitions to eliminate any requirement for the editor's note. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete definitions: 1.4.x Category 8.1 balanced cabling: 1.4.x Category 8.2 balanced cabling:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 230

Page 49 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gru

| Cl 01 SC 1. | 5 P 20 Microsoft | L 38 | # 231 | C/ 80 SC 80.1 P 61 L 20 # 234 Booth, Brad Microsoft |
|---|---|------------|-------|--|
| Comment Type No abbreviations | E Comment Status A s listed. | | | EZ Comment Type T Comment Status A Formal Figure 80-1 should be cleaned up to improve readability. Plus, a few corrections are required. |
| SuggestedRemedy Remove 1.5. Response ACCEPT IN PR | Response Status C | | | SuggestedRemedy Remove note 2 from the AN in the 40GBASE-T PHY (AN is mandatory). Remove the brackets on the right side of both the 40GBASE-R and 40GBASE-T stack, and create separation between bracket and 100GBASE-R stack to help indicate that PHY applies to all the sublayers between the xMII and the MDI. Remove the XLGMII label and arrow from the 40GBASE-R, and add arrow from XLGMII label for 40GBASE-T to point to the 40GBASE-R. |
| C/ A SC A | | <i>L</i> 1 | # 232 | Make similar fixes to Figure 81-1. |
| Booth, Brad Comment Type No bibliography | Microsoft E Comment Status A | | | EZ Response Response Status C ACCEPT. |
| SuggestedRemedy Remove Annex | A | | | CI 80 SC 80.1.4 P 61 L 49 # 235 Booth, Brad Microsoft |
| Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dup of comments 54, 256, 213, 373. See comment 256 | | | | Comment Type E Comment Status A Twisted-pair should be hyphenated. SuggestedRemedy |
| Cl 78 SC 78 Booth, Brad | 3.1 P 57 Microsoft | L 9 | # 233 | Add hyphen. Check draft for other occurrences. **Response** Response Status C** |
| ,, | mment Type E Comment Status A Missing a space between "the" and "10GBASE-T" | | | EZ ACCEPT. |
| SuggestedRemedy Add space. Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF | Response Status C | | | CI 99 SC Table of Contents P11 L 27 # 236 Brown, Thomas Vitesse Semiconductor Comment Type E Comment Status A E2 Under Annex 28c in TOC, a phrase is listed 1000 xGBASE-T |
| | RINCIPLE. See comment 41 | | | The phrase 1000 xGBASE-T is not correct. SuggestedRemedy I believe the author should replace 1000 xGBASE-T with 1000BASE-T Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Edit to title of 28.C.11 removed 10GBASE-T and 1000, and inserted xG to make this the xGBASE-T code - Editor to review table of contents generation to fix. |

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 236

Page 50 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

SC 113.11 SC 113.5.4.6.14 C/ 113 P 185 L 46 # 237 C/ 113 P 168 L 1 # 240 Brown, Thomas HESS, DAVE CORD DATA Vitesse Semiconductor Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type Comment Status A **MGMT** ER Cablingrefs Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC" The sum of transmit and receive delays shall not exceed 25 600 BT. SuggestedRemedy The number of BT's of delay should be specified as one number. Replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Correct the sum of transmit and receive delays by specifying one number of BT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#243 Response Response Status C REJECT. Practice is consistent with 10GBASE-T Phys and allows for implementation flexibility. C/ 113 SC 113.7.2 P 174 L 23 # 241 HESS. DAVE CORD DATA C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 27 # 238 Comment Type ER Comment Status A F7 HESS, DAVE CORD DATA Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC". Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Ε Complete "Category 8", should be "TIA Category 8" Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Table 113-22 replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC" Replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC" in 3 places Table 113-22, row 2, column 1 Response Response Status C Replace "ISO Class I / Category 8" with "ISO/IEC Class I / TIA Category 8" ACCEPT. Response Response Status W ACCEPT. SC 1.4 C/ 01 P 20 L 36 # 239 HESS. DAVE CORD DATA P 174 C/ 113 SC 113.7.2.1 L 40 # 242 Comment Status A Comment Type Ε F7 HESS, DAVE CORD DATA Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC" Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC" Replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC" ACCEPT. Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 242

Page 51 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Group

C/ 113 SC 113.7.3.2 P 180 L 45 # 243 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 29 # 245 HESS, DAVE CORD DATA HESS, DAVE CORD DATA Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablingrefs ER Comment Status A Cablingrefs Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IFC" Use correct references in definitions: "category n" refers to a cabling component, whereas "class N" refers to the cabling. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC" change: Response Response Status W "1.4.x Category 8.2 balanced cabling: Balanced 100 Ù cables and associated connecting hardware whose transmission characteristics are specified up to 2,000 MHz ..." ACCEPT. "1.4.x Category 8.2 balanced cabling components: Balanced 100 Ù cables and associated Editors to review document for other instances for consistency. connecting hardware, used in Class II cabling, whose transmission characteristics are C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 20 # 244 specified up to 2.000 MHz ..." HESS, DAVE CORD DATA Response Response Status C Comment Type ER Comment Status A ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#230. Cablingrefs Use correct references in definitions: C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.3 P 161 L 22 # 246 "Category n" refers to a cabling component, whereas "Class N" refers to the cabling. CORD DATA HESS, DAVE SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status A change: Cablingrefs "1.4.x Category 8.1 balanced cabling: Balanced 100 Ù cables and associated connecting Include all cabling standards designations hardware whose transmission characteristics are specified up to 2,000 MHz ..." SuggestedRemedy "1.4.x Category 8.1 balanced cabling components: Balanced 100 Ù cables and associated change: connecting hardware, used in Class I cabling, whose transmission characteristics are specified "Category 8 channel" up to 2,000 MHz ..." "ISO/IEC Class I / ISO/IEC Class II / TIA Category 8 channel"

Response

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment #230.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Overtaken by events. See comment#445.

Response Status C

C/ 113 SC 113.7 P 173 L 41 # 247 C/ 30 SC Table 30-1e P 29 L 13 # 249 HESS, DAVE CORD DATA Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablingrefs Comment Type ER Comment Status A xGBASE-T Update ISO/IEC standard. Insert has caused a text wrap that is not shown. Also a problem for second and third pages of SuggestedRemedy There are other locations where adding speeds to the name may become a problem like in the change: PICS where non-breaking spaces have not been used resulting in a name split with only a "ISO/IEC/TR 11801-9901: Information technology - Generic cabling for customer premises single letter in the last line. For example 10G/25G/40G, increases row height would eliminate Part 9901: Guidance for balanced cabling in support of at least 40 even more data rows below Gbit/s data transmission." the headings. The guick solution of increasing row height to allow all text to show in one line is probably not the best for long term purposes. "ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3: Information technology - Generic cabling for customer premises -SuggestedRemedy Part 1: General requirements," Perhaps something like xG (as used in other locations) might be better than a list of speeds. Response Response Status W This will require a search and selective replace of 10G/40G. ACCEPT. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 28A SC 0 P 25 / 1 # 248 Use newly defined term MultiGBASE-T for header Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** See comment 6 for definition of MultiGBASE-T. Editor to review tables for spacing and row height issues. TR Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Annex 28A does not belong in this draft amendment because there are no changes being made C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 32 L 14 # 250 to it. Grow, Robert RMG Consulting SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Delete Annex 28A from this draft amendment. Clause number should be a cross reference. Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. (dup of comments 5, 138, 375, 260, 263) Replace text with cross reference. Also line 54. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 L 12 # 251 Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Awkward alignment. SuggestedRemedy

Response

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 251

Make left justified rather than left right justified. Also title page 19.

Response Status C

Page 53 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:04 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gro

C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 L 29 # 252 C/ 00 SC 0 P 18 L 27 # 255 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A **Format** Something crept into the definitions here, a space is needed between the number and title. Didn't edit the bracketed text SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace bracketed text with: for Working Group balloting Fix FrameMaker definitions. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dup of comments 405, 474, 208 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor to fix spacing in table of contents. Dup of 451 C/ 00 SC 0 P3L 13 # 253 C/ Annex SC P 21 L 1 # 256 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Typically this box uses the expected name of the standard with 201x year. (It would be helpful Looks like the FrameMaker book is messed up. publication editor to be consistent in use of 201x or 20xx. Though we aren't very close to SuggestedRemedy Delete Annex A at this point from the FrameMaker book. we will have to start using 20xx in a few years. Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. (Dup of comments 232, 54, 213, 373.) Replace IEEE P802.3bg with IEEE Std 802.3bg-201x (or 20xx). Add Editor's note (to be removed prior to publication): This clause is a placeholder for Response Response Status C bibiliographic entries, and is to be deleted if none are added by the end of the WG ballot phase. ACCEPT. CI 28 SC 28.3.1 P 23 L 6 # 257 **RMG** Consulting C/ 00 SC 0 P 4 L 19 # 254 Grow. Robert Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Status A F7 What is appropriate. Looking at P802.3/D3.0, this list of variables appears to be random. I expect alphanumerical order would be appropriate, and will submit a comment against Might want to add an editors note here for the publication editor to insert descriptions of any P802.3/D4.0 to make this section alphanumerical ordered. Also, format does not match base other amendments approved before or at the same SASB meeting (take text from the approved document. amendment front matter). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change editing instruction to be Insert the following in the first variable list in alphanumerical See comment. order. Additionally, it appears that the semicolon should be followed by a tab rather than a Response Response Status C space (please use same format as is used in the base, the list is also slightly indented on the left). ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 409. Insert in order consistent with revision draft Format same as base on indentation

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 257

Page 54 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

Cl Annex SC Cl 28 SC Table 26-9 P 23 L 13 # 258 P 26 L 8 # 261 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Ε Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Again, what is appropriate, don't make the publication editor guess. This should be an insert instruction. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert row in Table 28-9 in alphabetic order. Insert new list item a) and re-letter following list items. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 409. ACCEPT. CI 28 P 23 L 13 SC 28D.8 P 28 SC Table 26-9 # 259 C/ Annex L 10 # 262 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type ER Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Again, what is appropriate, don't make the publication editor guess. Also a problem with line 27 FrameMaker definition seems to be messed up, no space after number. and line 39. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Fix FrameMaker definition. In all locations replace "appropriate." with "as follows:". Editor is requested to search for Response Response Status C appropriate and make all editing instructions precise. Better to use instructions like: Change the indicated row of Table x-x as follows, Insert the following in numeric order. ACCEPT. See comment 69 Response Response Status W C/ 28A SC P 25 L 1 # 263 ACCEPT. Dup of comment 258, see comment 409 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Cl Annex SC P 25 L 1 # 260 Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Grow. Robert RMG Consulting There are no obvious changes to Annex 28A. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy There does not appear to be any modifications to this Annex. Remove Annex 28A from the draft amendment. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Remove Annex 28A from the FrameMaker book. ACCEPT. (dup of comments 5, 138, 375, 248, 260) Response Response Status C CI 28 SC 28.5.3 P 23 L 32 # 264 ACCEPT. (dup of comments 5, 138, 375, 248, 263) Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 The option "*40G" is defined but is not used. SuggestedRemedy Add "40G:M" to the "Status" column for item SD11 and "!40G:M" to the "Status" column for item SD10. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 264

Page 55 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

SC 30.2.5 C/ 30 P 29 L 10 # 265 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.7 P 37 L 52 # 268 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Ε EΖ Make the ruling and shading of Table 30-1e consistent with IEEE P802.3/D3.0. Superfluous "." in the heading. Similar issue for 45.2.1.8 (p39/l21). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Contact the Editor-in-Chief of IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) for the ruling and shading Remove extra ".". information and reformat accordingly. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.1.1 P 71 L 40 # 269 C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 29 L 14 # 266 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Clause 28 and 78 are amended by this draft and hence are not external cross-references. The column heading for the 10G/40GBASE-T Operating Margin package has become too long SuggestedRemedy and "(conditional)" qualification word-wraps into oblivion. Point the "Clause 28" and "Clause 78" text to the appropriate cross-reference markers. SuggestedRemedy Increase the height of heading row so that the heading text fits. This occurs several other times throughout the draft (other examples include but are not limited to 113.1, 113.1,3, 113.1,3,1, 113.2, 113.2,1, 113.2,1,2), It is suggested that the external cross-Response Status C Response references in the draft be checked and updated as appropriate. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C SC 30.3.2.1.2 L 47 ACCEPT. C/ 30 P 31 # 267 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 98 L 51 # 270 Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Ε Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Use paragraph style consistent with IEEE P802.3/D3.0 for all attributes changed in this clause. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 SuggestedRemedy Red font appears in "65-bit". Contact the Editor-in-Chief of IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) for the paragraph style information SuggestedRemedy and reformat accordingly. Revert to default font color (black). Response Response Status C. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 270

Page 56 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gro

SC 78.1.4 CI 78 P 58 L 35 # 271 C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 16 # 274 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A The phrase "the type 40GBASE-T PCS, 40GBASE-T PMA, type 40GBASE-T PMD sublaver" Per IEEE P802.3/D3.0, the title of Table 78-1 is "Clauses associated with each PHY or interface type" and the heading of the first column is "PHY or interface type". The font of the is redundant. inserted body row should be changed to match to table it will be added to. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "the type 40GBASE-T PCS, 40GBASE-T PMA, type 40GBASE-T PMD sublayer" on line 16 with "the type 40GBASE-T PCS, PMA, and PMD sublayer". Update the table per the comment. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. See comment 195 for a more complete response Change as commenter describes, except "sublayer" should be "sublayers", per comment 361. Delete reference to PMD per comment 347 if it is accepted. CI 78 SC 78.2 P 58 L 44 # 272 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 17 # 275 Comment Status A Comment Type Ε EΖ Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Per IEEE P802.3/D3.0, the title of Table 78-2 is "Summary of the key EEE parameters for Comment Type Comment Status A F7 supported PHYs or interfaces" and the heading of the first column is "PHY or interface type". The "the" before "PMD sublayer" on line 17 is not needed. The font of the inserted body rows should be changed to match to table it will be added to. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Renive "the" before "PMD sublayer" on line 17. Update the table per the comment. Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete reference to PMD sublayer in entirety if 347 is accepted. ACCEPT. See comment 195 for a more complete response C/ 113 SC 113.1.2 P 72 L 9 # 276 C/ 113 SC 113.6.2 P 171 L 38 # 273 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A Comment Type xGBASE-T A period "." is missing. I do not agree to use abbreviation of xGBASE-T, because there are many xGBASE-T to be defined in near future, and it is not clear which xGBASE-T will be included. SuggestedRemedy Add a period "." at the end of line 9. I think it is safe to consider for each description for each technology rather than just using abbreviation. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. If we are motivated to use an abbrevation to represent some common abstraction, we should give a clear definition of the abstraction rather than just using abbreviation.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Change "xGBASE-T" on line 13 thru 15 with "40GBASE-T/10GBASE-T/1000BASE-T".

Response Status C

SuggestedRemedy

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 6

Response

Comment ID 276

Page 57 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 P 73 L 19 # 277 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.5 P 92 L 28 # 280 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Ε Comment Type Т Comment Status R EEE Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ "to signal an end to the LPI mode" seems wrong. There is a meaningless mark above "Note: zero-pad replaced with random bits for transmission" in Figure 113-6 around line 28, page 92. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "to signal an end to the LPI mode" on line 19 with Remove the mark above the note in Figure 113-6. "to signal an end of the LPI mode". Response Response Status C Response Status C Response REJECT. ACCEPT. Text is clear as is and is consistent with 802.3 C/ 113 SC 113.2.2.4.2 P 85 L 4 # 278 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.5 P 94 / 30 # 281 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of F7 Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 800 MHz is probably incorrect. Lower left part of Figure 113-8 is blurred. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "800 MHz" with "3.2 GHz". Use a higher resolution to import the lower left part of Figure 113-8. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor to redraw and generally clean up figure 113-8. See comments 125 and 437 C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 P 72 L 45 # 279 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.15 P 98 L 24 # 282 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of ΕZ Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Status A Comment Type PCS Words "auxiliary channel bit" and "auxiliary bit" are used inconsistently. The second and third statements "A single XLGMII data transfers is encoded into each block. It SuggestedRemedy takes 256 PMA_UNITDATA transfers to send an LDPC frame of data," in the paragraph do not Change "auxiliary channel bit" in the following locations with "auxiliary bit": describe the transmit process well. SugaestedRemedy Page 72, line 45 Change the second and third statements of the paragraph with the following: Page 76. line 15 Page 76. line 19 50 XLGMII data transfers are encoded into an RS-LDPC frame. Page 90, line 46 It takes 256 PMA UNITDATA transfers to send an RS-LDPC frame of data. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Same usage occurs in Clause 55 - commenter may wish to file comments in revision or Change to read, "50 XLGMII data transfers are encoded into an LDPC frame. maintenance It takes 256 PMA UNITDATA transfers to send an LDPC frame of data." (note the term LPDC frame is used throughout both Clause 55 and draft clause 113 to represent the framing structure including the uncoded or RS-FEC coded bits)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 282

Page 58 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.15 P 98 L 26 # 283 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 100 L 3 # 286 Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo т Comment Status A Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type PCS EΖ The ratio of transfer rates should be "25:128". Header row is missing in Table 113-3 in page 100. Texts are not at the center in Table 113-3 in page 100. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "25:64" on line 26, page 98 with "25:128". Add a header row to Table 113-3 in page 100. Response Response Status C Center the texts for both of left and right columnn of Table 113-3 in page 100. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 98 L 38 # 284 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Laboratories of C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 100 L 17 # 287 Comment Type Т Comment Status A F7 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of There are eight 65-bit blocks. Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 SuggestedRemedy ")" is used where "}" should be used. Change "four 65-bit blocks" on line 38, page 98 with "eight 65-bit blocks". SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change ")" in the following locations with "}": ACCEPT. Page 100, line 17 Page 100. line 31 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 98 L 41 # 285 Page 100, line 40 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Response Response Status C Comment Type Т Comment Status A EΖ ACCEPT. "tx_coded<512:0>" on line 41 should be "tx_xcoded<512:0>". SuggestedRemedy C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.18 P 102 L 43 # 288 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Change "tx_coded<512:0>" on line 41, page 98 with "tx_xcoded<512:0>". Response Response Status C Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ ACCEPT. A period "." is missing. SuggestedRemedy Add a period "." at the end of line 43, page 102. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.20 P 103 L 20 # 289 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.21 P 105 L 24 # 292 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Ε Comment Type Т Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A EΖ The number above "PI" symbol is not readable in equation (113-3). A white space is missing between "output)" and "LDPC-coded bits" on line 24, page 105. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make the number above "PI" symbol to be read as "5" in equation (113-3). Add a white space between "output)" and "LDPC-coded bits" on line 24, page 105. Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 226 ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.20 P 103 L 21 # 290 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.3 P 108 L 8 # 293 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Laboratories of Comment Type Т Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Status A PCS The coefficient g_6 of x^6 is missing in equation (113-3). The statement "One XLGMII data transfer is decoded from each block," does not describe the PCS receive function well. It is not necessary, because q 6 is always 1. SuggestedRemedy Change the statement "One XLGMII data transfer is decoded from each block." with the However, it is recommended to include for consistency with figure 113-12. following: SuggestedRemedy Add coefficient g_6 in front of x^6 in equation (113-3). 50 XLGMII data transfers are decoded from one RS-LDPC frame. Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. 50 XLGMII data transfers are decoded from one LDPC frame. P 103 # 291 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.20 L 36 Editor to check correct nomenclature for LDPC frame. Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.3 P 108 L 9 # 294 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of ")" is used where ">" should be used. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy Т The XLGMII and PMA sublayer data rate ratio should be 25:128. Change "<(185-i)8 + j)" with "<(185-i)8 + j>". SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change "25:64" on line 9, page 108 with "25:128". ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 294

Page 60 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gru

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.3 P 108 L 37 # 295 C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.5.6 P 136 L 5 # 298 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Т Comment Status A EEE Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ 7 LDPC frames is not consistent with 6 LDPC frames on line 51, page 106. The right most column of Table 113-10 is narrow to fit the header row in two lines. lpi tx sleep timer also has duration of 6 LDPC frame periods. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Increase the width of the right most column of Table 113-10. Change "7 LDPC frames" with "6 LDPC frames" on line 37, page 108. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. SLEEP was changed to 6 LDPC frame periods in adoption of graba 3bg 01 0714.pdf C/ 113 SC 113.5 P 154 L 33 # 299 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2.2 P 117 L 31 # 296 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Comment Status A PMAThe statement "Common-mode tests use the common-mode return point as a reference." on F7 Comment Type Comment Status A line 33, page 154 is out of context and not clear. Indentation is not correct for line 31 through line 38 in page 117. There is not definition of the common-mode return point. SuggestedRemedy There is no nearby descriptions about common-mode tests. Add the following indentation: It should be moved to an appropriate location with a referenct to the defnition of the common-One level to line 31, page 117. mode return point, or removed. Two levels to line 33, page 117. SuggestedRemedy Three levels to line 35 through 38, page 117. Remove the statement of "Common-mode tests use the common-mode return point as a Response Response Status C reference" on line 33, page 154. ACCEPT. See comment 481 Response Response Status C C/ 113 SC 113.3.7.2 P 123 L 19 # 297 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor to search for any tests left hanging and reinsert statement there if needed. Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Comment Status A F7 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.1 P 163 L 2 # 300 Dashed lines in Figure 113-17 at the middle left and the bottom left are inconsistent with the Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of bottom right and not clear. Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ SuggestedRemedy The outer most "(" and ")" of equation (113-13) are not necessary. Use the same dashed line at the middle left and the bottom left in Figure 113-17 ad the bottom SuggestedRemedy right. Remove the outer most "(" and ")" of equation (113-13). Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 367 for more complete remedy ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 300

Page 61 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gro

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.1 P 163 L 12 # 301 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.2 P 163 L 25 # 304 Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status A Cabling Comment Type Comment Status A Cabling B is not defined for f less than 10 MHz. Return loss is not defined for f < 10. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change " $10 \le f \le 500$ " on line 12 with " $1 \le f \le 500$ ". Change " $10 \le f \le 25$ " on line 25, page 163 with " $1 \le f \le 25$ ". This is consistent with TR42.7-2015-04-04x, Draft 3.1, Table 96, page 79. This is consistent with TR42.7-2015-04-04x, Draft 3.1, Table 53, page 52. Response Response Response Status C Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.1 P 163 L 13 # 302 C/ 113 SC 113.7.2.3 P 175 L3 # 305 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Type Т Cabling Ε Comment Status A EΖ "10" of "log10" should be subscript. B has large discontinuity at f = 500. Also, the definition of B for f > 500 is inconsistent with TR42.7-2015-04-04x. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use subscript for "10" of "log10" in the following locations: Change "- 0.000605 x sqrt(f)" with "+ 0.000605 x f". 2 locations in equation (113-27), line 3, page 175 This is consistent with TR42.7-2015-04-04x, Draft 3.1, Table 96, page 79. 2 locations in equation (113-28), line 28, page 175 2 locations in equation (113-29), line 40, page 175 Response Response Status C 2 locations in equation (113-30), line 2, page 176 ACCEPT. 2 locations in equation (113-31), line 28, page 176 2 locations in equation (113-32), line 40, page 176 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.1 P 163 L 15 # 303 2 locations in equation (113-33), line 3, page 177 2 locations in equation (113-37), line 15, page 178 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of 2 locations in equation (113-38), line 37, page 178 Comment Type т Comment Status A Cabling Response Response Status C 2dB on line 15, page 163 is inconsistent with 3dB defined in TR42.7-2015-04-04x, Draft 3.1, ACCEPT. section 6.4.2, line 1444, page 53. SuggestedRemedy C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.1 P 183 L 12 # 306 Change the line 15 as follows: Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Calculations that result in insertion loss values less than 3 dB shall revert to a requirement of Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Ε 3dB maximum. The range of f in equation (113-45) is not aligned. SuggestedRemedy This is consistent with TR42.7-2015-04-04x, Draft 3.1, Section 6.4.2, line 1444, page 53. Aligh the range of f in equation (113-45). Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 306

Page 62 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 25 # 307 C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 21 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε The range of f in equation (113-46) is not aligned. "Clause 55" is followed by "(10GBASE-T)", but "Clause 113" is not followed by "(40GBASE-SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Aligh the range of f in equation (113-46). Insert "(40GBASE-T)" after "Clause 113". Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Dup of comment 410 C/ 113 SC 113.12.1.2 P 187 L 20 C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 P 29 L 12 # 308 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A "Clause 98" should be "Clause 113". The updated cell in the header row of Table 30-1e which contains "10G/40GBASE-T Operating Margin package (condi-" is not big enough, and some texts are not visible. SuggestedRemedy Change "Clause 98" on line 20, page 187 with "Clause 113". Same problem in page 30 and 31. Response Response Status C. SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Make the header row taller to include the whole text. Apply same changes to page 30 and 31 as well. C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 11 # 309 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Comment Type Т Comment Status A xGBASE-T I do not agree to use abbreviation of xGBASE-T, because there are many xGBASE-T to be defined in near future, and it is not clear which xGBASE-T will be included.

SuggestedRemedy

abbreviation.

Change "xGBASE-T" on line 11, page 27 with "40GBASE-T/10GBASE-T/1000BASE-T".

I think it is safe to consider for each description for each technology rather than just using

If we are motivated to use an abbrevation to represent some common abstraction, we should

give a clear definition of the abstraction rather than just using abbreviation.

Change "xGBASE-T" on line 16, page 27 with "40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 6

310

311

EΖ

F7

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 37 L 26 # 312 Cl 4
Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hida

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ Com

Subclause numbers 45.2.1.71 thru 45.2.1.83 for register 1.134 through 1.147
in Table 45-3 are not correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Change subclause numbers as follows:

Register subclause

1.134 45.2.1.67 1.135 45.2.1.68

1.136 45.2.1.69

1.137 45.2.1.70

1.138 45.2.1.71

1.139 45.2.1.72

1.140 45.2.1.73

1.141 45.2.1.74

1.142 45.2.1.75

1.143 45.2.1.76 1.144 45.2.1.77

1.145 through 1.146 45.2.1.78

1.147 45.2.1.79

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 L 17 # 313

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type T Comment Status R

MGMT

The definition of a new field of 1.129.1 is confused and mixed with the definition of an existing field of 1.129.0.

The same problem in Table 45-54.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 45.2.1.62.1 as follows:

45.2.1.62.1 40GBASE-T LP information valid (1.129.1)

When read as a one, bit 1.129.1 indicates that the startup protocol defined in 113.4.2.5 has been completed, and that the contents of bits 1.130.11:0, 1.131.15:10, 1.145.14:8, 1.146.14:8, and 1.146.6:0, which are established during the startup protocol, are valid. When read as a zero, bit 1.129.1 indicates that the startup process has not been completed, and that the contents of these bits that are established during the startup protocol are invalid. A 40GBASE-T PMA shall return a value of zero in bit 1.129.1 if PMA link_status=FAIL.

45.2.1.62.2 10GBASE-T LP information valid (1.129.0)

When read as a one, bit 1.129.0 indicates that the startup protocol defined in 55.4.2.5 has been completed, and that the contents of bits 1.130.11:0, 1.131.15:10, 1.145.14:8, 1.146.14:8, and 1.146.6:0, which are established during the startup protocol, are valid. When read as a zero, bit 1.129.0 indicates that the startup process has not been completed, and that the contents of these bits that are established during the startup protocol are invalid. A 10GBASE-T PMA shall return a value of zero in bit 1.129.0 if PMA link status=FAIL.

Change Table 45-54 as follows:

Bit(s) Name Description

1.129.15:2 Reserved (same as before)

1.129.1 40GBASE-T LP information valid (same as 1.129.0)

1.129.0 10GBASE-T LP information valid (same as before)

Response Status C

REJECT. There is only one link partner at a time so the functionality of LP information valid is combined into one bit for 10G & 40GBASE-T (see comment 316)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 313

Page 64 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.63 P 40 L 39 # 314 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.9.8 P 44 L 33 # 317 Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Ε Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ The text above title of 45.2.1.63 refers to 45.2.1.67. The new paragraph 45.2.3.9.8 for 3.20.7 should be inserted after 45.2.3.9.4. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the reference to "45.2.1.67" with a reference to "45.2.1.63". Change the reference to "45.2.3.9.7" on line 33 with "45.2.3.9.4". Response Response Status C Change the subclause number "45.2.3.9.8" on line 35 with "45.2.3.9.5". ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.64.1 P 41 L 13 # 315 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Laboratories of Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10.5 P 48 L 30 # 318 Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of A white space is missing between "113.4.2.5" and "and". Comment Status A Comment Type Ε EΖ SuggestedRemedy The new two paragraphs for 7.32.11 and 7.32.3 should be inserted after 45.2.7.10.4 and the Change "113.4.2.5and" with "113.4.2.5 and". clause numbers are missing. Response Response Status C. SuggestedRemedy Change "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.3" on line 30 with "Insert two new paragraphs ACCEPT. after 45.2.7.10.4". Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.64.1 P 41 L 13 # 316 Insert clause number "45.2.7.10.5" at the beginning of line 32. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Remove the note of "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.6 and re-number remaining clauses Comment Type Т Comment Status R MGMT accordingly." on line 38. Only existing LP information valid bit 1.129.0 is referred. SuggestedRemedy Insert clause number "45.2.7.10.6" at the beginning of line 40. Change "If LP information valid bit, 1.129.0, is set to one" with Insert clause number "45.2.7.10.8" at the beginning of line 48. "If either 10GBASE-T LP information valid bit, 1.129.0, or 40GBASE-T LP information valid bit, 1.129.1. is set to one". Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. REJECT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.10.5 P 48 L 36 # 319 Since there can only be one LP at a time, there is only one LP information valid bit. (see comment 313) Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of F7 Comment Type T Comment Status A Reference to bit 7.32.12 should be 7.32.11. SuggestedRemedy Change "7.32.12" on line 36 with "7.32.11". Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 319

Page 65 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Group

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 49 L 51 # 320 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.11.9 P 50 L7 # 322 Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A New paragraph for 7.33.8 should be inserted after 45.2.7.11.7 instead of after 45.2.7.11.6. New paragraph for 7.33.0 should be inserted after the paragraph for 7.33.1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change line 7 through 23 in page 50 as follows: Change reference to "45.2.7.11.6" on line 51 in page 49 with "45.2.7.11.7". Change paragraph 45.2.7.11.8 and re-number to 45.2.7.11.9 and insert new paragraph Change clause number "45.2.7.11.7" on line 1 in page 50 with "45.2.7.11.8". 45.2.7.11.10 in consideration of newly inserted 45.2.7.11.8. Response Response Status C 45.2.7.11.9 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.1) ACCEPT. When read as a one, bit 7.33.1 is used to indicate that the link partner has the ability to support C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 50 L 3 # 321 the 10GBASE-T fast retrain capability as specified in 55.4.2.5.15. When read as a zero, bit 7.33.1 indicates that the PHY lacks the ability to support the 10GBASE-T fast retrain capability. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Comment Status A Ε EΖ 45.2.7.11.10 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.0) "in" after "bit 7.1.6" does not make sense. When read as a one, bit 7.33.0 is used to indicate that the link partner has the ability to support SuggestedRemedy the 40GBASE-T fast retrain capability as specified in 113.4.2.5.15. When read as a zero, bit Remove "in" after "bit 7.1.6". 7.33.0 indicates that the PHY lacks the ability to support the 40GBASE-T fast retrain capability. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, See comment 183 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 193 Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 16 # 323 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Comment Status A New paragraph for 7.60.9 should be inserted after 45.2.7.13.4 instead of after 45.2.7.13.9. SuggestedRemedy

> Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 355

Change reference to "45.2.7.13.9" on line 16 with "45.2.7.13.4". Change clause number "45.2.7.13.10" on lin 18 with "45.2.7.13.5".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

F7

EΖ

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gro

CI 55 SC 55.6.2 P 55 L 13 # 324 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Comment Status A xGBASE-T I do not agree to use abbreviation of xGBASE-T, because there are many xGBASE-T to be defined in near future, and it is not clear which xGBASE-T will be included. I think it is safe to consider for each description for each technology rather than just using abbreviation. If we are motivated to use an abbrevation to represent some common abstraction, we should give a clear definition of the abstraction rather than just using abbreviation. SuggestedRemedy Change "xGBASE-T" on line 13 thru 15 with "40GBASE-T/10GBASE-T/1000BASE-T". Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 6 See also comments 82, 95, 92, 102, 273, 309, 324 CI 78 SC 78.1 P 57 L 3 # 325 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Changed text in 78.1 does not exist in P02.3bx draft D3.0. SuggestedRemedy Remove line 3 through 9 in page 57 (i.e. change to text in 78.1). Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Cl 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 48 # 326

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

EEE

The distinction of optional or mandatory support for deep sleep and fast weke is very confusing and not clear.

For instance, for the first sentence, changing "For PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability" with "Except for BASE-T PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement EEE capability" may be wrong, because the qualifier is changed in a wrong way.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Т

Change the first sentence of the paragraph starting on line 48, page 57 as follows:

Comment Status A

Except for BASE-T PHYs, PHYs with an operating speed of 40Gb/s or greater that implement the optional EEE capability may support two modes of LPI operation: deep sleep and fast wake.

Add two columns to Table 78-1 to indicate whether the deep sleep support and the fast wake support are mandatory or optional for each PHY or interface type.

Unfortunately, I am not familiar enough with EEE to give specific changes to Table 78-1, but I believe it helps to make it clear.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 56.

Since this is the only exception to the deep sleep rule, a table would be redundant and not add value to the existing content. Further, all EEE is optional so there are no mandatory capabilities, a table with optional and mandatory capabilities if an optional capability were implemented would likely add confusion.

Cl 78 SC 78.1.4 P 58 L 27 # 327

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status A EZ

Clause title is not correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the clause title of 78.1.4 with "PHY types optionally supporting EEE".

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 327

Page 67 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

SC 78.1.4 CI 78 P 58 L 30 # 328 C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 9 Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Caption and header row of Table 78-1 are incorrect and inconsistent. A period is missing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "each interface type" in Table 78-1 caption with "each PHY or interface type". Add a period "." at the end of line 9. Response Response Status C Change "PHY type" in header row of Table 78-1 with "PHY or interface type". ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 195 for a more complete response. C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 37 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of CI 78 SC 78.2 P 58 L 44 # 329 Comment Type Comment Status A Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of A new abbreviation for "RS-LDPC" is not defined. Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type SuggestedRemedy Caption and header row of Table 78-2 are incorrect. Add a definition of "RS-LDPC" as follows" SuggestedRemedy Change caption of Table 78-2 with "Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHYs RS-LDPC = REED-SOLOMON LOW-DENSITY PARITY CHECK or interfaces". Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "Protocol" in header row with "PHY or interface type". Use existing 802.3 defined abbreviations, RS-FEC and LDPC, as follows: Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 195 for a more complete response Change RS-LDPC PCS in Figures 80-1 and 81-1 to "40GBASE-T PCS" In 113.3.2.2 (p. 80. line 44) change "mixed 513B-65B-RS-LDPC encoding" to "mixed 513B-C/ 80 P 61 SC 80.1.3 L 7 # 330 65B-RS-FEC-LDPC encoding" Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 In Figure 113-7 (p. 93) change "RS-LDPC received frame" to "Received frame" and change "RS-LDPC decoded frame" to "FEC-decoded frame" (since LDPC and RS-FEC are already Title of clause is not correct. called out in the figure) SuggestedRemedy Change the title of 80.1.3 with "Relationship of 40 Gigabit and 100 Gigabit Ethernet to the ISO Insert in 80.1.4 after line 49, "40GBASE-T uses a combination of Reed-Solomon-FEC (RS-OSI reference model". FEC) and low density parity check (LDPC) FECs in its physical coding sublaver that is Response Response Status C mapped to a 128 double-square (DSQ128) constellation for transmission on 4-pair, twisted-pair ACCEPT. copper cabling."

See comments 200 and 439

331

332

EΖ

PCS

SC 80.1.4 C/ 80 P 61 L 49 # 333 C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P **62** L 25 # 336 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Status A Ε Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type EΖ Ε EΖ Phrase "for transmitting 40GBASE-T over" is odd and inconsistent with other paragraphs in the Vertical border line in the header row of Table 80-2 between columns 89 and 113 is thick. same clause. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Use thin line for the vertical border line in the header row of Table 80-2 between columns 89 Change "for transmitting 40GBASE-T over" with "for 40Gb/s operation over". and 113. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 201 P 63 C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 P 62 L 1 # 334 C/ 80 SC 80.4 L 17 # 337 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Laboratories of Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 40GBASE-ER4 is added to Table 80-1 in P802.3bx. Reference to 1.4.110 is updated in P802.3bx. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "between 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-KR4" with Change reference to "1.4.110" on line 17 with "1.4.117". "between 40GBASE-ER4 and 100GBASE-KR4". Response Response Status C Response Status C Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 203 for a more complete remedy ACCEPT. C/ 81 SC 81.1 P 65 L 33 # 338 SC 80.1.5 P 62 C/ 80 L 16 # 335 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Comment Type E Comment Status A **PCS** Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Definition of RS-LDPC is missing. 40GBASE-ER4 is added in P802.3bx. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add definition of RS-LDPC as follows: Change "after 40GBASE-LR4" on line 16 with "after 40GBASE-ER4". RS-LDPC = REED-SOLOMON LOW-DENSITY PARITY CHECK Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 201 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 332, removing RS-LDPC as an abbreviation from the text and using existing RS-FEC and LDPC abbreviations.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Group

C/ 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 52 # 339 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 98 L 51 # 342 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of Lusted, Kent Intel Ε Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ EΖ Reference to Figure 81-10a is not correct. There is a red letter "5". I think it should be black text per the IEEE style guide. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change reference to "Figure 81-10a" on line 52 with "Figure 81-13". Fix if necessary Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 205 for a more complete remedy ACCEPT. C/ 81 SC 81.5.3.7 P 69 L 5 # 340 C/ 113 SC 113.5.3.2 P 158 L 38 # 343 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Laboratories of Lusted. Kent Intel Comment Status A Comment Type Ε F7 Comment Type Comment Status A F7 The clause numbers on line 5 are not correct. Title of 113.5.3.2 has a lower case first letter. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the description on line 5 as follows: Change "transmitter nonlinear distortion" to "Transmitter nonlinear distortion" Response Response Status C Insert the new subclause 81.5.3.7 for Link Interruption after 81.5.3.6 ACCEPT. Dup of comment 416 Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.1 P 183 L 12 # 344 Lusted, Kent Intel C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 20 # 341 Comment Type Comment Status A MDI Lusted. Kent Intel An illustration of the RL limit given in EQ 113-45 improves readability. Comment Status A Comment Type Cablinarefs SuggestedRemedy The difference between the definition of Category 8.1 balanced cabling and Category 8.2 balanced cabling isn't obvious to the casual reader. It looks to me to be the same definition two Add graphic. times. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy Consider adding some text to each that helps the reader understand the difference between the Editor to add figure. two cablings.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#230

Comment ID 344

Page 70 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 27 # 345 C/ 113 SC 113 P 71 L 1 # 347 Lusted, Kent Intel Lusted, Kent Intel Ε Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status R MDI ER EΖ An illustration of the Insertion Loss limit given in EQ 113-46 improves readability. The title "Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer, Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer, and baseband medium, type 40GBASE-T" SuggestedRemedy states that a PMD sublayer is present in the 40GBASE-T PHY. Add graphic. However, no PMD is listed in the architectural diagram nor specified in the text of Clause 113. Response Response Status C REJECT. Clause 55 title does not use PMD nor it PMD defined in the Clause 55 text EQ 113-46 is 113.8.2.2 MDI impedance balance. Commentor please check comment. SuggestedRemedy C/ 81 P 65 L 10 # 346 SC 81.1 Suggest updating the title to align with clause 55. Something like "Physical Coding Sublaver Lusted. Kent Intel (PCS), Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer and baseband medium, type 40GBASE-Comment Type ER Comment Status A F7 It isn't clear from the editing instructions on line 7 as to what is changing in the figure. Also remove PMD reference in first and second paragraph of CI 113.1 The figure does not contain any underlined text, strikeouts, etc. Response Response Status W ACCEPT. Furthermore, when compared to Figure 81-1 in P802.3bx Draft 3.0, much of the text between the figure and the figure title is missing (i.e. NOTE 1, XLGMII, etc) P 44 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9.8 L 35 # 348 SuggestedRemedy Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Clarify editing instructions and add align missing text with Figure 81-1 from P802.3bx D3.0. Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Response Response Status W Should be 45.2.3.9.7a not 45.2.3.9.8 in both header and Editing Instruction. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 62 SuggestedRemedy per comment Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gru

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13 P 44 L 39 # 349 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.11 P 49 L 6 # 352 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Ε Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Editing Instructions should be consistent: Stray period. "Change paragraph of 45.2.3.13 ..." ".Change title and rows in Table 45-208 as appropriate." Same issue in 45.2.3.13.1, 45.2.3.13.4, 45.2.3.13.5, & 45.2.3.14 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy strike. Change to read: Response Response Status C "Change title and paragraph of 45.2.3.13 ..." ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 30 for more complete response. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 45.2.7.11.6 Cl 45 P 50 L 1 # 353 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.14 P 46 L 22 # 350 Comment Type Comment Status A Ε EΖ Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Inserted para numbering incorrect Comment Status A Comment Type F7 "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.11.6 ..." Title for Table 45-129 does not appear to have change marking (may just be a frame issue but "45.2.7.11.7 Link partner 40GBASE-T capability (7.33.8)" should be fixed) "45.2.7.11.9 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.0)" SuggestedRemedy "45.2.7.11.10 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.1)" Show mark-up in title. SugaestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change 45.2.7.11.7 to 45.2.7.11.6a ACCEPT. Change 45.2.7.11.9 to 45.2.7.11.7a Change 45.2.7.11.10 to 45.2.7.11.7b Cl 45 SC 45.2.7 P 47 L 37 # 351 Response Response Status C Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies ACCEPT. See comments 31, 33, 184 EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A Cl 45 P 51 SC 45.2.7.13 L 1 # 354 The title of the table does not appear to be changed: "Change title and rows in Table 45-200 as appropriate." Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ There appear to be several references in the table to 45.2.7.10 Only changing one row and excessive use of periods SuggestedRemedy "Change rows in Table 45-210 as appropriate.." Change to read: Μ "Change rows in Table 45-200 as shown." SuggestedRemedy Remove excess references. Change to "Change row in Table 45-210 as appropriate." Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 186

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 354

Page 72 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 16 # 355 CI 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 11 # 358 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Ε Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Incorrect inserted para number: No need to include text that is not changing: "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.13.9 and re-number remaining clauses accordingly. "Change text in clause 78.1.3.3.1 as follows:" There are also thee stray "<XREF>" marks in this section (ln 34, 51 & pg 58 ln 2). 45.2.7.13.10 40GBASE-T EEE supported (7.60.9)" Excessive use of underlined (not needed for inserts) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to read: Change 45.2.7.13.9 to 45.2.7.13.4 in Editing Instruction "Change 7th paragraph in clause 78.1.3.3.1 as follows:" Change 45.2.7.13.10 to 45.2.7.13.4a in header Remove the first 6 paras: "When the start of "Assert LPI" encoding on the xMII is detected, No data frames are lost or corrupted during the transition to or from the LPI mode." Remove underlining Remove stray "<XREF>"s Response Response Status C Remove unchanged Figure 78-4 and WARNING following. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 23 # 356 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies SC 80.1.5 C/ 80 P 62 L 50 # 359 Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Only changing one row: Comment Type Comment Status A ΕZ "Change rows in Table 45-211 ..." Excessive use of forest green text "Table 80-2" SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change rows to row change color to black Response Status C Response Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 188 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 202 for a more complete remedy Apologies from a red-green colorblind editor. Cl 45 SC 45.5 P 52 L 4 # 357 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Cl 81 SC 81.1 P 65 17 # 360 Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Huawei Technologies Remein. Duane More rows of "rows" that should be rows or "row" Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Pg In I believe this is a replacement not a change (no changes indicated in figure): 52 4 "Change Figure 81-1 as follows:" 52 13 53 1 SuggestedRemedy Change Editing Instruction to: "Replace Figure 81-1 with the following:" Isn't editing CI 45 a pain? This too shall pass :-) Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. See comment 204 for a more complete remedy per comment Response Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

ACCEPT.

Comment ID 360

Page 73 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Control of the Control of

C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 17 # 361 C/ 113 SC 113.1.3.1 P 76 L 4 # 364 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Status A EΖ EΖ I believe these three things are plural Clause 81 cross reference should be live (Cl 81 is included in the draft) and not forest green. "Together, the PCS, PMA and the PMD sublayer" Same issue for pg 76 ln 44 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "sublayer" to "sublayers" Per Comment Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See comment 274 ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 P 72 L 42 # 362 C/ 113 SC 113.1.4 P 78 L 49 # 365 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Is there some good reason to use 10000 Mb/s here rather than 10 Gb/s? It would certainly Why are you using an indirect cross reference here. make the sentence more readable. "See the PCS reference diagram in 113.2." SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change 10000 Mb/s to 10 Gb/s Change to "see Figure 113-5" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dup of comment 67 ACCEPT. P 75 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.14.3 P 47 C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 L 24 # 363 L 19 # 366 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EEE Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Ε What is the meaning of the dotted boxes in Figure 113-3? Ifer count is referred to as a variable, while it is defined as a counter a counter Same issue with Figure 113-4 pg 82. Figure 113-5 pg 89 The same issues appears in 45.2.3.14.4 pg 47 ln 29 for errored block count SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Explain what these boxes mean or remove. change Response Response Status C "defined by the Ifer count variable" ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Note 2 explains these are only used if EEE or fast retrain options are enabled. "defined by counter Ifer count" Insert text at end of Note 2: Response Response Status C "These are indicated by dotted boxes". ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 366

Page 74 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

C/ 113 SC 113.3.7.2 P 123 L 17 # 367 C/ 113 SC 113.3.5.3 P 114 L 39 # 370 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A Misc issues in Figure 113-17 Apparently October is a very important month for 40G Eth. (does it work during Nov-Sep too?) :-1) There appear to be two different dashed boxes, I assume there only one is intended. 2) Transition from TX C to TX D does not connect to TX C state. So I see 51 instance of this undefined abbreviation of Octet. Surely 102 characters won't break 3) Dashed line below TX E (around T TYPE(tx raw)=LI) crosses state transition the bank and cause an overdraft of characters! T TYPE(tx raw) = (E + S). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change all 51 instance of "Oct" to Octet 1) Use the same pen for all dashed boxes There are a few instance of "octet Oct" (ex pg 134 ln 50, pg 134 Ln 17, 26, & 35) which could 2) Connect the line probably be shortened to Octet 3) omit the extended leg up from the dashed box (use a simple rectangle) Response Response Status C While not required it might be easier to read the transition states out of TX. E if the exit paths ACCEPT. ran horizontally for a bit and conditions were all above the lines as is done for (T TYPE(tx raw)) Commenter may consider this text is also in Clause 55 on revisions of 802.3 = LI) exiting TX T state. Response Response Status C And thank you for the laugh after 100 comments on missing or double periods. ACCEPT. See comment 297 C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 20 L 7 C/ 113 SC 113.3.7.2 P 126 L 40 # 368 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status A ER Cablingrefs Comment Type Comment Status A FFF Ε Should not reference draft documents Figure 113-20, Figure 113-21, Figure 113-33 have no dashed line while Figure 113-18 does. SuggestedRemedy All are only for EEE. Presentation should be consistent Add editors note that these two references will be updated before the end of sponsor ballot SuggestedRemedy when the specifications are released. Add a dashed box to Figure 113-20, Figure 113-21, & Figure 113-33 Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Figure are identical to that in clause 55 - commenter may wish to file maintenance or Add editor's note to be included consistent with other IEEE copper standards pointing to comments on revision currently in process ISO/IEC references e.g., 802.3ba. Editorial license to implement. C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.2 P 129 L 45 # 369 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status A F7 No reason this cannot be a live reference "45.2.1.7.4" SuggestedRemedy

Make live and remove forest green

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC n/a SC 30.2.5 P 29 L7 # 372 C/ 28A P 25 L 1 # 375 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status A Format Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ If there are no changes (as indicated by Editors Instructions) then the clause should be While the Edition Instruction indicate there are changes in the COLUMN HEADER (which should be marked) of Table 30-1e there are none apparent. excluded from the draft. If you anticipate changes then why are you in WG Ballot when you are Also the Table has some Bold borders which are not in the original Table and should be clearly not technically complete? removed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove Annex 28A from Draft. Change the Editing Instruction to more accurately describe the change or remove the Editing Response Response Status W Instruction and Table 30-1e. ACCEPT. (dup of comments 5, 138, 248, 260, 263) Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Cl 45 SC 45 P 37 L3 # 376 Change editing instruction to read "Change column header of '10GBASE-T Operating Margin Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Package...' to read '10G/40GBASE-T Operating Margin Package...' as shown " Check borders and align with current table in revision draft EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A Strike the bracketed text as indicate by the following note in the template: C/ A SC n/a P 21 / 1 # 373 "[Notes for editors (not to be included in the published draft - not even D1.0!)" Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies SuggestedRemedy Comment Type FR Comment Status A F7 per comment Annex A should not be included if there are no changes to it. Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Remove Annex A Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12.10 P 40 L 2 # 377 Response Response Status W Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dup of comments 232, 256, 213, 54. See comment 256 Comment Type ER Comment Status A F7 Subclause number is incorrect: P 23 Cl 28 SC 28.3 L 5 # 374 45.2.1.12.10 40GBASE-T ability (1.13.6) Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies SuggestedRemedy Comment Type ER Comment Status A F7 Change to 45.2.1.12.9a in heading and Editing Instruction. 28 instances of "as appropriate" are inappropriate. You need to tell the staff editors what they (See P802 3xx D0p1 version 2p3 pg 15 ln 31 for conventions) are to do and not do what they think is appropriate. Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Change "as appropriate" to "as shown below" or "as follows" or similar wording that does not leave it to the editor's desecration.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status W

Response

ACCEPT. See comment 409.

The editor shall not desecrate the standard.

Comment ID 377

Page 76 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Control of the Control of

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P 39 L 37 # 378 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.10 P 48 L 30 # 380 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ ER Comment Status A EΖ Are you change rows or inserting rows (only one row is shown in the table)? Editing Instructions pointing to incorrect subclauses and headers missing section numbers: "Change and insert rows in Table 45–16 as appropriate." "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.3 ..." "40GBASE-T capability (7.32.11)" SuggestedRemedy Change Editing Instruction to read: "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.6 ..." "Change row in Table 45-16 as shown." 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.3) Response Status W Response "Change title 45.2.7.10.6. Re-number to 45.2.7.10.8." ACCEPT. 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.1) 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.1) Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 L 26 # 379 SuggestedRemedy Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Change to: Comment Type ER Comment Status A F7 "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.4 as shown." "45.2.7.10.4a 40GBASE-T capability (7.32.11)" Editing instruction is very confusing bla bla bla "Change title and rows and insert row in Table 45-54 as appropriate." SuggestedRemedy "45.2.7.10.4b 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.3)" Change to read: bla bla bla "Change title in Table 45-54 as shown. Might also want to drop the actual table which is not being changed as has been done in "Change title 45.2.7.10.6. (renumbered due to above);" subsequent sections. 45.2.7.10.6 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.1) Response Response Status W Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Change to read "Change title in Table 45-54 as shown." Delete table, and show only title SC 80.1.3 P 61 C/ 80 L 11 # 381 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ No indication that Figure 80-1 is new. SuggestedRemedy Add editing instruction before figure: "Replace Figure 80-1 with the following." Response Response Status W

ACCEPT. Dup of comment 61

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Control of the Control of

C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 16 # 382 C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 8 # 384 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ We finally get "CSMA/CD out of the standard title and yet we need it here? This Editing Instruction is unclear at best and possible misleading: "Insert the following row after 40GBASE-LR4 and rightmost end column to Table 80-2 (existing Note that later clauses of Section 6 only use this phrase in the LAN Model figures. PHY entries in new column are blank)" SuagestedRemedy Note that the "row after 40GBASE-LR4" is 40GBASE-ER4 NOT 40GBASE-T! Unless you can demonstrate Carrier Sense Multiple Access/Carrier Detect functionality strike SuggestedRemedy this phrase. Change to read: Response Response Status W "Insert a bottom row and rightmost end column to Table 80-2 (existing PHY entries in new ACCEPT. column are blank)" Recommend commenter submit maintenance or similar comment to Clause 55 on revision draft Remove unchanged rows in table OR use Mark-up text in table. Response Response Status W C/ 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 13 # 385 ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies See comment 201 Comment Type Comment Status A ER Cablingrefs C/ 81 SC 81.3.4.2 P 68 L 46 # 383 Is some augmentation specified in 113 not "appropriate"? Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies SugaestedRemedy Comment Type FR Comment Status A F7 Remove "appropriate" The combination of Editing Instruction and included figure are confusing. Response Response Status W "Change 81.3.4.2 State Diagram to include Link Interruption under conditions for variable ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. link fault" Is the figure changed or not? It doesn't look like it. Delete: with appropriate augmentation as specified in SuggestedRemedy Change Editing Instruction to read: Remove period: Page 71 L12 between "cabling as" "Change the text of 81.3.4.2 to include Link Interruption under conditions for variable link fault C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2 P 115 L 20 # 386 as shown." Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remove is unchanged Figure 81-11 Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Response Response Status W Constants, variables and functions should be using paragraph tag (style) DefinitionList ACCEPT. "For the lists of constants, variables, functions, counters, timers, etc. use the Paragraph Tag DefinitionList." SuggestedRemedy Use the proper paragraph styles per the current template. Response Response Status W ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 386

Editor to review draft for proper paragraph styles prior to publication.

Page 78 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.2.3 P 117 L 44 # 387 C/ 28B SC 28B.3 P 26 L 9 # 390 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Ε Autoneg Strav colon: Why are you not placing this at the end of the list so that the staff editor does not have to "Ifer timer:" "renumber other bullets"? SuggestedRemedy Make the addition item "k)" and remove the instruction to renumber. This appears to be a common error through the draft; some counters have the colon some do Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy REJECT. List is the priority order of technologies, highest speeds go first. Strike all stray colons Regardless of the accepted remedy be consistent throughout the draft. C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1 P 31 L 42 # 391 Response Response Status W Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies ACCEPT. EΖ Comment Type Comment Status A C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 L 54 # 388 Subclause reference in editors instruction is incorrect: "Change text of 30.3.2.1.2a include 40GBASE-T." Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type ER Comment Status A F7 Similar problem in "Change text of 30.3.2.1.3a include 40GBASE-T." Copyright date is not 201x SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change instructions to: "Change text of 30.3.2.1.2 to include 40GBASE-T as shown" Change to 2015 "Change text of 30.3.2.1.3 to include 40GBASE-T as shown" Response Response Status W Response Response Status C. ACCEPT. Dup of comment 118 ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 L 18 # 389 C/ 45 P 38 SC 45.2.1.7.4 L 52 # 392 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Status A ΕZ Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Disagreement in bit designation: Stray period in front of Editing Instruction: Header: 45.2.1.62.1 "... (1.129.0)" ".Insert row in Table 45-9 as appropriate." Text" "bit 1.129.1" ٨ Table 45-54 "1.129.0" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Strike the errant period. Change text to "1.129.0" so it agrees with header and table. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 392

Page 79 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.63 P 40 L 39 # 393 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.9 P 44 L 17 # 396 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Ε Comment Status A EΖ Editing Instruction and header number disagree: Errant period: "Change title 45.2.1.67 to include 40GBASE-T. "45.2.3.9 .EEE ..." 45.2.1.63 10G/40GBASE-T pair swap and polarity register (Register 1.130)" SuggestedRemedy Also you are not changing rows but a row Change 45.2.1.67 to 45.2.1.63 SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Remove period ACCEPT. Change Editing Instruction to "Change row in ..." Response Response Status C Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 38 # 394 ACCEPT. Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 Comment Status A CI 78 Comment Type Format L 48 # 397 Change marking in Table 45-123 indicate that the "2 1 0" at top of description are being added Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies (they are underlined). This is not the case. Comment Type Comment Status A EEE SuggestedRemedy This wording seems excessively broad and may lead to problems in the future: Remove underlining of the "2 1 0" header. "Except for BASE-T PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s ..." Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change to: Align with the 802.3bx resolution of similar comment. "Except for 40GBASE-T. PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s ..." (don't forget to include the stricken "For") Response Response Status C After review of the current 802.3 revision draft (802.3bx) and the text of IEEE 802.3-2012, the ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. (see comment 56) "2 1 0" header in the base standard is underlined, not to indicate a change, but to make it clear that these are column headers identifying bits in a multi-bit code, consistent with use in the L 45 base standard – hence the underlining should be retained. C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 P 75 # 398 Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7.6 P 44 L 10 # 395 Comment Type Comment Status R EΖ Remein. Duane Huawei Technologies In Figure 113-3 Note 1 refers to a "recovered clock arc". Is this synonymous with the Comment Type Ε Comment Status A F7 recovered clock signal? An inserted subclause after 45.2.3.7.5 should be designated 45.2.3.7.5a not 45.2.3.7.6 per template. SuggestedRemedy Inserted text should not be underlined. Change "recovered clock arc" to "recovered clock signal" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change to 45.2.3.7.5a in header and Editing Instruction. REJECT. The meaning may be interpreted as same, but arc properly indicates the line on the Remove underline diagram, whereas signal connotes electrical properties. Response Response Status C

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

ACCEPT.

Comment ID 398

Page 80 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Research Physic

Cl 113 SC 113.3.7.2 P124 L 20 # 399

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status A Format

Exit condition from TX_L , $T_TYPE(tx_raw) = (C + D + E + S + T)$ is different from the exit state tx_pi_active . These lines should not be connected.

SuggestedRemedy

Redraw loop tx lpi active line so it does not connect to the exit transition from TX L

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 L 12 # 400

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A MGMT

This statement implies Cl 45 (which is optional in it's entirety) is required: "requires additional MDIO registers"

This also applies to other instances in the draft (such as 113.6.1.1 pg 168 ln 43 which also implies Cl 45 registers are required).

SuggestedRemedy

Create a cross reference table (for example see 82.3.1 PMD MDIO function mapping Table 82-10 and elsewhere in Section 6 of the Std that lists required variables and their corresponding MDIO registers.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "requires" to "defines"

and add "for use when Clause 45 is implemented." after "registers".

Editor to review other instances and make similar changes.

Cl 113 SC 113.6 P 168 L 20 # 401

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status R MGMT

This para make is sound like CI 45 and MDIO are required for 40G. However CI 45 is optional for all subsequent clauses.

See related comment against CI 28D.8 pg 28 ln 12

SuggestedRemedy

Create a cross reference table (for example see 82.3.1 PMD MDIO function mapping Table 82-10 and elsewhere in Section 6 of the Std that lists required variables and their corresponding MDIO registers.

Response Status W

REJECT.

Statement is clear that the functions MAY BE provided by Cl 45, language and definitions are consistent with existing language in Clause 55.

Cl 113 SC 113.6.1.1 P 168 L 43 # 402

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

This statement requires CI 45 which is optional for all Eth.

"A 40GBASE-T PHY shall use the management register definitions and values specified in Table 113–19."

SuggestedRemedy

See comment against Cl 113.6 pg 168 ln 20.

Scrub the draft for any statements that require Cl 45 and reword to require variables rather than Cl 45 registers.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Insert "When Clause 45 registers are implemented" so that it reads:

"When Clause 45 registers are implemented, a 40GBASE-T PHY..."

MGMT

CI 113 SC 3 P 99 L # 403
Wang, Zhongfeng Broadcom Corp.

Comment Type TR Comment Status R PCS

Table 113-2

title: Trancoded bocks including control blocks (without leading 0).

Given the trancoding operation shown in Table 113-2, we always move control blocks to the top and dmove at ablocks to the bottom. Since data blocks in original 512B block can be in any row, this operation will involve muxing logic for all 64 bits for every data and control block, which casue extra hardware. In addition, at the receiver side, we need wait until entire 513B data is received before finishing reverse trancoding.

SuggestedRemedy

- 1) We only need swap location of first byte for each data or control block. This leads to much reduced muxing logic.
- 2) We transmit the first bytes of each data and control block immediately after leading 0. Then we transmit the rest 7 bytes for each data and control block. This will save significant processing latency at receiver side.

The aboves changes fully maintain data mapping of original trancoding operation for each data byte. Only data reordering is involved. So there is no performance hurt.

Please see wang's contributions for detailed description.

Response Status **U**

REJECT.

Attempt at accept-in-principle:

Make changes documented in Text-comments-40G-T-transcoding.pdf, with the following changes:

give Editor license to connect text edit (3) in "comments..." correctly to referenced 'above case with pure data blocks'.

Straw Poll: Y: 8 N: 11

No consensus to make change

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

MDI

40GBASE-T is intended to operate over the cabling that meets the requirements of the ISO/IEC 111801 standard that includes Class I and Class II channels and in fact recognizes that components of categories 6a and 7a or better can support such transmission. The IEC 60603-7-81 is not published, very limited technical data is available for such connectors Connectors with mechanical interface specified in the IEC61076-3-110 have a better balance (no-split pair issues) and support more robust channel transmission performance. Numerous presentations were given to IEEE illustrating the superior transmission performance. The reliance on the only one connector type will result in the limited deployment of the 40GBASE-T technology

Figures 113-40 & 113-41: The informational figures 113-40 and 113-41 are misleading.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove pictures 113-40 and 113-41

Line 6 remove the sentence starting with "These connectors are depicted...."

Line 4 add "Eight -pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 61076-3-110 (published) shall be used as an alternative mechanical interface to the balanced cabling"

Response Status C

REJECT.

Motion: Add in 113.8.1 "Eight -pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 61076-3-110 (published) may be used as an alternative mechanical interface to the balanced cabling"

M: Val Macguire

S: Dave Hess

Y:7 N:15

A:3

A.C

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A** front matter is missing balloting stage text

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "[review/balloting stage]" by "Working Group ballot"

Response Response Status C
ACCEPT. Dup of comments 252, 474, 208

EΖ

C/ 99 SC₁ P 6 L 13 # 406 C/ 00 SC 0 P 23 L 13 # 409 CME Consulting CME Consulting Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Type Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A EΖ Replace "as appropriate" with direct reference Missing task force chair and task force editor in chief and designation of task force Consider comment generic to editing instructions on the draft SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "FirstName SecondName IEEE P802.3xx" with: Replace "as appropriate" by reference to where row or text is inserted "David Chalupsky IEEE P802.3bg" as Task Force Chair "George Zimmerman IEEE P802.3bg" as Task Force Editor-in-Chief Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. Dup of comments 53, 211, 227 Editor to review draft and replace 'as appropriate' with specific references and instructions. C/ 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 21 # 410 C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 26 # 407 Zimmerman. George CME Consulting CME Consulting Zimmerman, George EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E Missing reference to 40GBASE-T by name Editors notes on lines 26 and 35 should be marked as being removed prior to publication SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert "(40GBASE-T)" after "Clause 113". insert "(to be removed prior to publication)" after "Editor's Note" on lines 26 & 35. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dup of comment 310 ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.7.2.4.5 P 178 L 47 # 411 CI 28 SC 28.3.1 P 23 L 5 # 408 Zimmerman, George **CME** Consulting Zimmerman, George CME Consulting EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A Comment Status A Comment Type F7 title is incorrect relative to abbreviation and content indicate where to insert row SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Multiple disturber power sum equal level far-end-crosstalk (PS ACRF)" to "Multiple replace "as appropriate" with "following row for 10GigT" disturber power sum attenuation to crosstalk ratio, far-end (PS ACRF)" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C. ACCEPT. See comment 409. ACCEPT. Dup of 473

C/ 113 SC 113.7.3.2.1 P 181 L 5 # 412 C/ 113 SC 113.5.2 P 155 L 2 # 415 CME Consulting Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A EΖ Name of register 1.132 is incorrect relative to clause 45 register name Text says PSANEXT, but is obviously about PSAACRF. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change, "the PSANEXT result at that frequency is for information only," with "the PSAACRF Change "(40GBASE-T Control Register)" to "(10G/40GBASE-T test mode register)" result at that frequency is for information only." Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.5.3.2 P 158 L 37 # 416 C/ 113 SC 113.7.4 P 181 L 32 # 413 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A Cablingrefs missing capitalization in title Use of the ambiguous term "channel" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy replace "transmitter" with "Transmitter" Change "on the same channel." to "on the same balanced twisted pair." Response Response Status C. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dup of comment 343 ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.6.1 P 168 L 37 # 417 C/ 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 31 # 414 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting CME Consulting Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Status D Autonea Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ autonegotiation doesn't determine whether the local PHY performs or supports a capability, it is transmission condition is on the relationship, not on the frequency in MHz either to ADVERTISE whether the local PHY performs or supports, or, alternatively whether the REMOTE PHY performs or supports, or, alternatively, whether the local PHY performs these SuggestedRemedy functions, not whether it supports them... Move "when the transmitter is transmitting random or pseudo random data." to line 21, so it SugaestedRemedy reads "When the transmitter is transmitting random or pseudo random data, the common-modeto-differential mode..... (eq 113-46) ... where f is the frequency in MHz. Test mode 5..." change "determine" to "advertise" in items c. d. and e. Response Response Status C Proposed Response Response Status Z ACCEPT. REJECT. This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 417

Usage of 'support' is consistent with other clauses of IEEE Std. 802.3

Page 84 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Research Physic

C/ 113 SC 113.6.2 P 172 L 40 # 418 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 50 L 4 # 421 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type Comment Type T Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A MGMT Loop timing support is mandatory in 40GBASE-T. "both devices have the same loop timing Incorrect bit referenced in paragraph support" is text left over from 10GBASE-T. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 7.33.11 to 7.33.8 Delete: "and both devices have the same loop timing support" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. SC 113.3.6.2.1 P 115 C/ 113 L 24 # 422 C/ 01 SC 1.5 P 20 L 41 # 419 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A PCS Comment Type ER Comment Status A Format blocks don't go to LDPC encoder anymore, now they go to the transcoder and framer first Abbreviation text is a placeholder. Abbreviations missing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "to the LDPC encoder" to "to the 512B/513B transcoder and block-LDPC framer" (or Insert: "Editors Note (to be removed prior to publication): Abbreviations clause here is a 65B-LDPC framer if previous comment on 113.3.2.2.23 is not accepted) - in 4 places. placeholder for abbreviations new to this amendment to be added to IEEE Std. 802.3 -EBLOCK T, LBLOCK T, LPBLOCK T, IBLOCK T Commenters should comment on and flag new abbreviations to be added" Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Replace "ABBR" abbreviation entry with: "xGBASE-T BASE-T Ethernet PCS/PMA/PMDs with 1000Mbps or greater speed" C/ 113 SC 113.7.3.1.1 P 180 L 1 # 423 Response Response Status C Zimmerman, George CME Consulting ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Insert editors note, delete the abbreviation ABBR, and add abbreviations as other comments Comment Status A Comment Type T Cablinarefs require. Annex 55B does not provide information on the PSANEXT calculation. P 42 SuggestedRemedy Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.79.1 L 30 # 420 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Delete "Annex 55B provides additional information on identifying the number of adjacent link segments to consider in the PSANEXT calculation." Comment Type ER Comment Status A F7 Response Response Status C Missing reference for fr rx counter and fr tx counter in 40GBASE-T, clause 113 ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy insert after 55.4.5.1 references in both line 30 (45.2.1.79.1) and 38 (45.2.1.79.2):

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

"for 10GBASE-T, and 113.4.5.4 for 40GBASE-T."

Response Status W

Response

ACCEPT.

Comment ID 423

Page 85 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:05 AM

C/ 113 SC 113.5.3.2 P 158 L 47 # 424 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.5 P 162 L 40 # 427 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type T Comment Type Comment Status A **PMA** Comment Status A Shortreach Equation 113-9, needs to be frequency scaled to get the same SNR due to transmitter Register 1.131 (Phy Short reach mode) is misnamed, and also needs 40G inserted in clause nonlinear distortion as 10GBASE-T, as flagged by editors note. Editor's note has served its 45 definition (45.2.1.64.2) purpose. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "PHY short reach register setting" to "PHY short reach mode register setting". In Equation 113-9: change f/25 to f/100 Insert text to Clause 45.2.1.64.2, after "The short reach mode of the 10GBASE-T PHY Delete editors note. lines 47-50 provides a means for operation on a cable plant that has parametric performance equivalent to 30 m of Class F and Class EA cabling as defined in Response Response Status C 55.5.4.5.": ACCEPT. "The short reach mode of the 40GBASE-T PHY provides a means for operation on a link segment that has C/ 113 SC 113.5.4 P 160 L 49 # 425 parametric performance equivalent to a 5m direct attach cable assembly specified in 113.5.4.6." CME Consulting Zimmerman, George Response Response Status C Comment Type T Comment Status A ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 97 Cabling 113.7 does not specify patch cabling and interconnecting hardware. It specifies the link C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.19 P 33 L 28 # 428 segment as a whole. Cabling specifications describe the patch cabling and interconnecting hardware. (same issue exists in clause 55) Zimmerman. George CME Consulting SuggestedRemedy ΕZ Comment Type E Comment Status A Change "using patch cabling and interconnecting hardware that is within the limits specified in Text reads for the 10/40GBASE-T PMA, as though the PMA defined does both rates. Meaning 113.7" to "through link segments that are within the limits specified in 113.7". should be 10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T PMA. occurs in 30.5.1.1.19. 20. 21. 22. (consider maintenance request to clause 55 as well). SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Replace "10/40GBASE T PMA" with "10G or 40GBASE-T PMA" in 4 places. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C Replace: patch cabling and interconnecting hardware ACCEPT. To: cabling P 34 C/ 30 SC 30.5.1.1.24 L 29 # 429 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.5 P 162 L 33 # 426 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting CME Consulting Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A Comment Type T Shortreach Missing reference to clause 113 40GBASE-T fast retrains, same issue on lines 29 "both short reach test channels" - there is only one, and it is specified in 113.5.4.6 (30.5.1.1.24) and 42 (30.5.1.1.25) SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "through both short reach test channels" with "through a (short reach) link segment replace "and 55.4.5.1" with "55.4.5.1 and 113.4.5.4" in two places. meeting the requirements specified in 113.5.4.6". Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 97.

Comment ID 429

Page 86 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:06 AM

SC 45.2.1.64.1 C/ 45 P 41 L 13 # 430 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.11 P 49 L 34 # 433 CME Consulting CME Consulting Zimmerman, George Zimmerman, George Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Status A EΖ missing space between 113.4.2.5 and "and" typo - "in" shoiuld be "is" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy insert space after "113.4.2.5" change "40GBASE-T in contained" to "40GBASE-T is contained" Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. Dup of comment 180 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13 P 45 L 10 C/ 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 50 L 3 # 431 # 434 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Table 45-128 description of bit 3.32.12 should insert new text before "PCS", not after. typo "in is" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "0 - BASE-R or 10GBASE-T PCS or 40GBASE-T receive..." to delete "in" ""0 - BASE-R or 10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T PCS receive..." Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 193 ACCEPT. C/ 45 SC 45.5 P **52** L 14 # 435 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.14.3 P 47 L 19 # 432 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Missing references on AM61 and AM62 Missing reference to clause 113. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert cross references to 45.2.7.10, 10G/40GBASE-T AN control register. Change, "Ifer count variable in 55.3.6.2 for 10G/40GBASE-T." to Response Response Status C "Ifer count variable in 55.3.6.2 for 10GBASE-T and in 113.3.6.2.2 for 40GBASE-T." ACCEPT. Response Status C Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 366 C/ 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 10 # 436 Zimmerman. George CME Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Missing editing instruction SuggestedRemedy Insert editing instruction prior to Figure 80-1: Change Figure 80-1 as follows: Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 381

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 436

Page 87 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:06 AM

437 C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.5 P 94 L 3 CME Consulting Zimmerman, George Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ Clean up format of figure 113-8, including aligning with text to show first encoding in 64/65b frames, then 512/513b transcoding, aligning boxes and lines, and pointing to RS parity bits SuggestedRemedy Redraw figure with suggested corrections. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 101 L 1 # 438 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Clean up figure 113-10, removing visible edges of boxes. SuggestedRemedy Clean up figure. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

C/ 113 SC 113.3.2.2.23 P 106 L 31 # 439

CME Consulting Zimmerman, George

Comment Type E Comment Status A PCS

References to LDPC framer blocks of purely 65B blocks should now be mixed 512B and 65B blocks.

SuggestedRemedy

Rename 65B-LDPC framer to block-LDPC framer in 113.3.2.2.23 title & paragraph

Change: "betewen the 65-bit width of the 65B blocks and the 4D-PAM16" to

"between the mixed 513B and 65B blocks and the 4D-PAM16" (line 31)

Change "entirely of 64B/65B LDPC-encoded LP IDLE" to "entirely of RS-LDPC encoded LP IDLE" (line 50, cl. 113.3.2.2.24)

Change "64B/65B encoding technique" to "mixed 512B/513B 64B/65B RS-LDPC encoding used in normal data mode"(p. 130, line 52, cl. 113.4.2.2.1)

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Rename 65B-LDPC framer to block-LDPC framer in 113.3.2.2.23 title & paragraph

Change: "betewen the 65-bit width of the 65B blocks and the 4D-PAM16" to

"between the mixed 513B and 65B blocks and the 4D-PAM16" (line 31)

Change "entirely of 64B/65B LDPC-encoded LP IDLE" to "entirely of RS-FEC and LDPC encoded LP IDLE" (line 50, cl. 113.3.2.2.24)

Change "64B/65B encoding technique" to "mixed 512B/513B 64B/65B RS-FEC and LDPC encoding used in normal data mode"(p. 130, line 52, cl. 113.4.2.2.1)

(see comment 332)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.9 P 166 L 18 # 440 C/ 113 SC 113.4.6.1 P 149 L 37 # 442 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type Comment Status A Cabling Comment Type ER Comment Status A **Format** Description of PSACRF in terms of pair-to-pair ELFEXT is redundant In Figure 113-29, all arcs must enter the top of the state and exit from the bottom of the state. but this was not done for the state PMA INIT FR. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Minimize redundancies in 113.5.4.6.x sections. Change the arcs so that they enter the top and exit from the bottom of the state PMA INIT FR. Response Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. ACCEPT. (1)See comment#472 to correct 113-21 Figure is identical to that in clause 55, as well as similar in style to many updated at the same (response to remedy)PSACRF is limit (113-20) and 113-21 is calculation of impairmants to time in 802.3az (Clause 78) - commenter may wish to file maintenance or comments on compare against the limit. revision currently in process Response to add clarification and remove subclause 113.5.4.6.9 (which may be considered a redundancy) C/ 113 SC 113.4.6.1 P 149 L 50 # 443 (3-1) Delete subclause text "113.5.4.6.9 Multiple disturber power sum equal level far-end crosstalk (PS ACRF)" Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** (3-2)Move equation 113-21 before 113-20 Comment Type Comment Status A Format (3-3) Move text "PS ACRF is determined by summing the power of the three individual pair-topair differential ACRF values over the frequency range 1 MHz to 2000 MHz as follows in In Figure 113-29, the state diagram has instances where a space is missing between an Equation (113–21) after sentence "To ensure operator and operand. the total FEXT coupled into a duplex channel is limited, multiple disturber ACRF is specified as SuggestedRemedy the power Look for "minwait timer done*" and change to "minwait timer done *". sum of the individual ACRF disturbers. Response Response Status C C/ 113 SC 113.4.6.1 P 149 18 # 441 ACCEPT. **Broadcom Corporation** Frazier, Howard ER Comment Status A P 158 Comment Type Format C/ 113 SC 113.5.3.2 L 47 # 444 In Figure 113-29, the entry tag "I" should not appear on the arc going from the PCS Data state Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** to the INIT MAXWAIT TIMER state but must instead have it's own arc that goes Comment Type TR Comment Status A **PMA** directly into the top of the INIT MAXWAIT TIMER state. I realize that this is a crowded If the editor's note is correct, then this draft was not ready for WG ballot. diagram. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Give the entry tag "I" its own arc into INIT MAXWAIT TIMER. If the note is false, then remove it. If it is true, then fix the SFDR and restart the WG ballot.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See comment 424

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Figure is identical to Figure in Clause 55, and in the revision draft, without comment, commenter may wish to address with comments on revision or maintenance.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 444

Response Status C

Page 89 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:06 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Research Physic

Cl 113 SC 113.5.4.3 P 161 L 32 # 445

Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status A PMA

If the editor's note is correct, then this draft was not ready for WG ballot.

SuggestedRemedy

If the editor's note is incorrect, then remove it. If the editor's note is correct, then "confirm the source-adjustment criteria, measurement points, and levels used with the clamp methodology in this subclause" and restart the WG ballot.

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove editor's note.

- •Include the proposed text in cibula 3bg 02a 0515.pdf as an informative Annex
- •Update 113.5.4.3 to reference the informative Annex as shown on slide 16 of cibula 3bg 02a 0515.pdf

Including editors notes to highlight technical issues is consistent with 802.3 practice in working group ballots.

Cl 113 SC 113.5.4.5 P162 L 37 # 446

Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type ER Comment Status A Shortreach

Subject/verb agreement problem in the sentence: "The short reach link segment meeting the transmission requirements in 113.5.4.6 are specified to support up to 5 meters."

SuggestedRemedy

Delete this sentence, and add change the text of 113.5.4.6 to read:

"The short reach cable assembly contains balanced twisted-pair terminated in a connector at each end for use as a short reach link segment of up to 5 meters in length between MDIs."

Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Implement with comment#97

Cl 113 SC 113.5.4.6 P 162 L 43 # 447

Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Shortreach

Use of the term "direct attach cable assembly" will cause confusion in the industry. The industry generally regards a DAC cable as being constructed of two twin-axial cables, not a short segment of 4 twisted pair.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the subclause heading to be "Short reach cable assembly" and change the text of the subclause to read:

"The short reach cable assembly contains balanced twisted-pair terminated in a connector at each end for use as a short reach link segment of up to 5 meters in length between MDIs."

Response Status W

REJECT.

Direct attach subclause to remain:

Direct attach usage consistent with definitions in

specifications for 100 Ω Category 8 Cabling (TR42.7-2015-04-04x-Category-

8_d3.1_Copyright.pdf) direct attach: A reduced channel definition that includes plug connectors at the beginning and end of the channel and does not contain connecting hardware within the channel.

C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6 P162 L 43 # 448

Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The description of the short reach cable assembly should not be a subclause of the receiver electrical specifications. Instead, it should be a subclause of 113.7 Link segment characteristics.

SuggestedRemedy

ggostourtomouy

Move all of 113.5.4.6 and its subclauses under 113.7.

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

See comment 97.

Cl 99 SC 0 P3 L1 # 449

Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

I believe that we agreed that this would be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-201x.

SuggestedRemedy

Change year of base standard in the header to be 201x.

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

F7

Shortreach

C/ 99 SC 0 P 3 L 13 # 450 C/ 00 SC 0 P 25 L 54 # 453 Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Missing title of amendment. Copyright year is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Provide complete title of amendment in the boxed text "This introduction is not part of..." Change to 2015. Response Response Status W Response Response Status W ACCEPT. ACCEPT. See comment 118 C/ 99 SC 0 P 18 CI 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 28 # 451 L 21 # 454 Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type Comment Status A Autonea Missing space between subclause number and subclause heading in table of contents at line It appears that the 802.3bz 2.5G/5G project may also use XNP, so this text change should be 28 and below on this page. coordinated with 802.3bz to avoid conflicting editing instructions. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Coordinate with 802.3bz on text for 28C.11. Insert space. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 255 ACCEPT. Editor will keep track of changes in 802.3bz when any are adopted as text. C/ 01 SC 1.3 P 20 L 10 # 452 C/ 28D SC 28D.9 P 28 L 10 # 455 **Broadcom Corporation** Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Frazier, Howard Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type Comment Status R Cablingrefs The base standard lists ISO/IEC 11801:2002 Amendment 1:2008 and Amendment 2:2010, but The practice that was introduced by 100BASE-T2 of providing a long list of extensions for each new BASE-T PHY is getting out of hand, and will become worse with the future additions of this draft lists ISO/IEC 11801-1 Edition 3. Is the latest an Amendment or an Edition? 25G, 2.5G and 5G. Many of the extensions apply to all of the BASE-T PHYs introduced SuggestedRemedy starting with 100BASE-T2. Rather than instantiating a new long list of extensions for 40GBASE-Check and correct if necessary. T. it would be better to present this information in tabular form. Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Replace 28D.4, 28D.5, 28D.6 and 28D.8 with a new subclause 28D.4 that presents all of the Not to change. Edition 3 is an EDITION. It is the draft revision to ISO/IEC 11081:2002 that is extensions for BASE-T PHYs in a table that is easily extensible to include future BASE-T PHYs. in process reported in several liaison reports. Response Response Status W Text is consistent with existing base standard style and practices. Practice describes what

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 455

capabilities the new PHY requires for those unfamiliar with older PHYs, which is useful.

Commenter may wish to address this on a full 802.3 scale through maintenance or 802.3bx.

Commentor fails to provide replacement text.

Page 91 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:06 AM

CI 78 SC 78.1 P 57 L 8 # 456 C/ 113 SC 113.1.3.1 P 76 L 27 # 459 Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type TR Comment Status A Missing space in "the10GBASE-T PHY". In this paragraph we find a repetition of the text that appeared in footnote 5 on page 72. The last sentence of this paragraph is tutorial in nature and does not belong in the standard. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "the 10GBASE-T PHY". Delete the sentence: "The resulting Response Response Status C checkerboard constellation is based on a lattice called RZ2 in the literature (see Forney [B31])." ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 41 Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SC 113.1.1 P 71 C/ 113 L 31 # 457 Move the sentence "The resulting..." to a footnote. Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.4 P 123 L 27 # 460 Comment Type Comment Status A Format Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** We seem to have a new convention in the 802.3 WG of not including the project objectives in the amendment, so this subclause must be deleted. Comment Type Comment Status A TR SuggestedRemedy In Figure 113-17 there is a entry tag "E" into the state TX E, but I can't find an exit tag "E" in either part a or part b of the state diagram. (I note that there is an "E" exit Delete 113.1.1 Objectives. tag in part b of the receive diagram.) Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT. Remove the tag "E" from the entry conditions to the state TX E in Figure 113-17. C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 P 72 L 52 # 458 Response Response Status W **Broadcom Corporation** Frazier, Howard ACCEPT. Commenter may wish to pursue comment as maintenance to Clause 55 or as a comment on Comment Status A **PCS** Comment Type 802.3bx. This appears to have been introduced in 802.3az-2010, and gone unnoticed until now. Half of footnote 5 is useful infornation that should be moved into the body of the subclause, and the other half is tutorial information that should not be included in the standard. C/ 113 P 125 SC 113.3.6.4 L 34 # 461 SuggestedRemedy Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Move the sentence "5The DSQ128 symbols are obtained by concatenating two time-adjacent Comment Type ER Comment Status A 1D PAM16 symbols and retaining among the 256 possible In Figure 113-19, two of the arcs exiting from the RX_E state are missing a space in "C+". In Cartesian product combinations, 128 maximally spaced 2D symbols." into the body of the fact, this whole state diagram has several instances where a space is missing between an subclause immediately after "(double square 128).". Delete the remainder of the footnote. operator and operand. Look for "C+" and "T*". Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Response

Look for "C+" and "T*" and change to "C +" and "T *".

Response Status W

ACCEPT.

Figure is identical to that in clause 55 - commenter may wish to file maintenance or comments on revision currently in process

Move the sentence "5The DSQ128 symbols... spaced 2D symbols." into the body of the

subclause as suggested, and retain the remainder in the footnote.

Format

PCS

EEE

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Physical Research Physic

C/ 113 SC 113.3.6.4 P 125 L 1 # 462 Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type ER Comment Status A Format My sympathies to the editor who drew the state diagrams. I know it isn't easy. I observe that the state diagrams look somewhat crowded, with transition conditions overlapping arcs. I think that the diagrams would benefit from being expanded in both dimensions to reduce crowding. SuggestedRemedy Expand state diagrams in both dimensions to reduce crowding. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor to review diagram to expand vertically but keep within a single page. Note that state diagrams are consistent with style and density of 802.3 standard in other clauses. These particular state diagrams are identical to those in clause 55, and are less crowded than others in IEEE Std. 802.3 P 138 C/ 113 SC 113.4.2.5.13 L 1 # 463 Frazier, Howard **Broadcom Corporation** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Format In Figure 113-18 there are several polylines that have an arrowhead in between the begining and the end of the polyline, because they were drawn as a series of individual line seaments. SuggestedRemedy Remove the extraneous arrowheads by either changing the end style or redrawing as polylines. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor believe that commenter means Figure 113-28, based on page and description. C/ 113 P 145 SC 113.4.5.1 L 30 # 464 Dai, Shaoan Marvell Comment Type TR Comment Status A **PMA** Missing a definition for pma_reset which appears in Fig 113-29. SuggestedRemedy Insert the following definition: "pma reset Allows reset of the PHY Control and Link Monitor state diagrams. Values: ON or OFF' Response Response Status W

Correction was made during 802.3bx WG balloting to Clause 55.

Cl 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P184 L # 465

Lackner, Hans QoSCom GmbH

Comment Type T Comment Status R

As some values of the channels specified can only be made if shields are used, the MDI connection has to be also a shielded design. When using shields the symmetry mechanisms are different. The values in Formula 113-57 are too high.

SuggestedRemedy

Change in Formula 113-57

48 to 40 and 44 to 35.7

Add to editors note in line 33 that lines 38-54 will be removed prior to publication.

Response Status C

REJECT.

Equation number stated is not valid. Assuming

113-46, the commentor has not provided sufficient information in comment to support suggested remedy to change the draft for either equation 113-46 or the test methods described in L38-L54.

C/ 113 SC 113.8.1 P183 L3 # 466

Lackner, Hans QoSCom GmbH

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

IEC 60603-7-51/81 is not suitable for all applications. It should be possible to use as alternative connector IEC 61076-3-110 or 60603-7-82.

SuggestedRemedy

If backward compatibility offered with IEC 60603-7-81 is not required, the interface specified in IEC 61076-3-110 or 60603-7-82 may be used.

Response Status W

REJECT.

Motion: To implement suggest remedy "If backward compatibility offered with IEC 60603-7-81 is not required, the interface specified in IEC 61076-3-110 or 60603-7-82 may be used."

M: Val Maguire

S: Yakov Belopolsky

Y:6 N:16

A:2

IEC 60603-7-51/81 shall be used. 113.8.1 MDI connectors

Eight-pin connectors meeting the requirements of IEC 60603-7-51 (published) with the improved characteristics and frequency extensions specified in IEC 60603-7-81 shall be used as the mechanical interface to the balanced cabling. The plug connector shall be used on the balanced cabling and the jack on

the PHY.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 466

Page 93 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:06 AM

MDI

MDI

C/ 99 SC 99 P 6 L 1 # 467 C/ 113 SC 113.7.2.4.5 P 178 L 28 # 470 ΗP Law, David DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Comment Type EΖ ER Comment Status A EΖ Please include the working group balloter list supplied in the file Change variable name EI to ACRF to align with parameter name <IEEE P802d3bg WG names.pdf>. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change variable name EI to ACRF and in equation 113-38 See comment. Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.7.3.2.1 P 180 L 30 # 471 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.5 P 64 L 27 # 468 DiMinico. Christopher MC Communications DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Comment Type Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Comment Status A F7 Change variable name EI to AACRF to align with parameter name Correct table 113-18 heading SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change variable name EI to AACRF and in equation 113-42 Change: NEXT loss TO: MDNEXT loss Response Response Status W Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.9 P 166 L 18 # 472 C/ 113 SC 113.5.4.6.14 P 167 L 12 # 469 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type E Comment Status A EΖ Change variable name EI to ACRF to align with parameter name Correct text: Change PSANEXT to PSAACRF SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change variable name EI to ACRF and in equation 113-21 Replace PSANEXT with PSAACRF in sentetnce: When the computed PSAACRF value at a Response Response Status W certain frequency exceeds 75 dB, the PSANEXT result at that frequency is for information only. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C C/ 113 SC 113.7.2.4.6 P 178 L 47 # 473 ACCEPT. DiMinico. Christopher MC Communications Comment Type T Comment Status A F7 subclause heading incorrect SuggestedRemedy Change: 113.7.2.4.6 Multiple disturber power sum equal level far-end crosstalk (PS ACRF) To:113.7.2.4.6 Multiple disturber power sum attenuation to crosstalk ratio. far-end (PS-ACRF) Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Dup of 411

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 473

Page 94 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:06 AM

C/ 99 SC 0 P 1 L 29 # 474 C/ 113 SC 113.7 P 173 L 36 # 477 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status A EΖ ER Comment Status A Cablingrefs The text "[review/balloting stage]" is intended to be edited for each draft to actually indicate what through line 45. the use is of this particular draft. The third and fourth sentence of this paragraph are confusing and are an unnecessary addition to the standard global definition in clause 1.4. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "[review/balloting stage]" to read: "Working Group 1st recirculation." for the next draft. Remove sentences 3 and 4 Response Status C Response Response Status W ACCEPT. Dup of comments 405, 252, 208 ACCEPT. C/ 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 20 # 475 SC 113.1 P 71 C/ 113 L 13 # 478 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A. GraCaSI S.A. Thompson, Geoff Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablinarefs Comment Status A Comment Type TR Cabling The text: "Category 8.1" is incorrect There is no category of cabling mentioned as being required, it would seem that the text should SuggestedRemedy call out Category 8 cabling should be called out. Replace "Category 8.1" with "Category 8" SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status W Change the text: "category" in this line to "Category 8". ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment#230 See comment#214 C/ 01 P 20 SC 1.4 L 29 # 476 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSLS.A. C/ 113 P 173 SC 113.7.1 L 51 # 479 Comment Type ER Comment Status A Cablingrefs Thompson, Geoff GraCaSLS.A. This entire paragraph is a duplicate of the text above and is unnecessary Comment Type TR Comment Status A Cabling SuggestedRemedy The text is incorrect. What is required is not 4 cables of a single twisted pair each. that is Remove paragraph and associated editor's note. implied from the text. What is required is cabling constructed with four pair balance twisted pair cable. Response Response Status W SugaestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change the text: "4 pairs of balanced cabling" to "4 pair balance cabling" See comment#230. Response Response Status W ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Align text with P173-L36 - 40GBASE-T is designed to operate over 4-pair balanced cabling.... Change: The cabling system used to support 40GBASE-T requires 4 pairs of balanced cabling To: The cabling system used to support 40GBASE-T requires 4-pair balanced cabling....

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 479

Page 95 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:06 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Great Control of the Control of

C/ 113 SC 113.7.1 P 174 L 3 # 480 GraCaSI S.A.

Thompson, Geoff

Comment Type TR Comment Status A Cabling

It says in this line that 40GBASE-T uses "star topology". That is untrue. It uses point-to-point topology as do ALL 802.3 devices which utilize "Link Segments".

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "star" with "point-to-point"

SC 113.3.6.2.2

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change: a) 40GBASE-T uses a star topology with balanced cabling listed in Table 113–22 used to connect PHY entities.

To: a) 40GBASE-T uses balanced cabling listed in Table 113–22 in a star topology to connect PHY entities.

L 31

481

EΖ

P 117

Zhang, Jin Marvell Comment Type Comment Status A

The indentation for fr_sigtype does not match other variables.

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 113

Indent fr sigtype and its definition as per the other variables.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 296 for more detailed remedy

Р C/ 00 SC L # 482 APM

Brown, Matt

Format

EΖ

For Figure 113-1, use similar format/syntax for similar figures for other 25G, 40G, and 100G PHYs. As an example, see 802.3bx D2.1 Figure 80-1.

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

For XLGMII use superscript "1".

Replace note "*XLGMII" with "NOTE 1--XLGMII is optional". Alternately, this is the only PHY that states this in this particular diagram. Consider removing this note.

Change "FORTY GIGABIT" to "40 GIGABIT"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Figure to be cleaned up to align with style of both 25G/40G/100G and 10GBASE-T PHYs. Delete note "XLGMII is optional" with Change FORTY GIGABIT to 40 GIGABIT

C/ 113 SC 113.1.1 P 71 L 31 # 483 Brown, Matt APM

Comment Type Comment Status A ER

The objectives listed in this subclause are for the project, not for the PHY. In several recent amendments a similar objectives subclause has been removed from existing sub-clauses as the new project has new objectives and it becomes difficult to keep this list current and not included in new clauses. As an example see 802.3az (no project objectives in 78), 802.3bj (removed project objectives in 69.1.2), and 802.3by (deleted BASE-R FEC project objectives, replaced with summary, no objectives in Clause 105).

The clause should list what is supported, not what was intended (objectives = "something that one's efforts or actions are intended to attain or accomplish"). If a summary of the features is necessary these should be list as such, not as project objectives.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove sub-clause 113.1.1. Add a summary of functions if the text in 13.1 is not sufficient.

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

LATE COMMENT - TASK FORCE TO VOTE ON CONSIDERING

113.1.1 to be removed See comment 457

C/ 113 SC 113.1.3 P 72 L 42 # 484 CI 78 SC 78.1 P 57 L 48 # 486 APM APM Brown, Matt Brown, Matt Comment Type ER Comment Status A EΖ Comment Type ER Comment Status A EEE Per style manual section 13.3.2 "10000" should be "10 000". Missing a comma. Also, "for" should not be delete without altering the rest of the sentence. Alternately, you can reduce the integer size by using "giga" instead of "mega". SuggestedRemedy Also, it more common and more concise to use "*baud" instead of "*symbols per second". Change: SuggestedRemedy Except for BASE-T<For> PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement Change "10000 Mb/s" to "10 000 Mb/s" or "10 Gb/s". the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported; deep sleep and fast Change "3200 Megasymbols per second" to "3 200 Megasymbols per second" or "3.2 wake. Gigasamples per second" or "3.2 Gbaud". Use the same form throughout the clause (e.g., Figure 113-2). To: "Except for BASE-T PHYs, for PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that Response Response Status W implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported; deep ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. sleep and fast wake." LATE COMMENT - TASK FORCE TO VOTE ON CONSIDERING Or alternately #1: For PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater, with the exception of the 40GBASE-T Change format of 10000 to 10 Gb/s PHY, that implement the optional EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be Change 3200 to 3 200 supported: deep sleep and fast wake. (maintain Megasymbols per second) Editor to review clause to maintain consistency Or alternately #2: For BASE-R PHYs with an operating speed of 40 Gb/s or greater that implement the optional SC 113.2.1.2.1 C/ 113 P 80 L 28 # 485 EEE capability, two modes of LPI operation may be supported: deep sleep and fast wake. APM Brown, Matt Response Response Status W F7 Comment Type Т Comment Status A ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. If "READY" is not used for 40GBASE-T why is it listed in the 40GBASE-T clause? Resolved in comment 56. SuggestedRemedy P 62 C/ 80 SC 80.1.4 L 10 # 487 Remove READY from the sentence on line 25. Brown, Matt APM Remove READY and description from the list on line 28. Comment Status A F7 Comment Type E Response Response Status C Missing Clause reference. ACCEPT. Dup of comment 71 Missing "over" between "transmission" and "balanced". To be consistent with the other descriptions in this table "for transmission" should be "over". SuggestedRemedy Change: 40 Gb/s PHY using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding for transmission balanced twisted-pair structured cabling systems 40 Gb/s PHY using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding over balanced twisted-pair structured cabling

systems (see Clause 113)

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE, See comment 200

Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 487

Response Status C

Page 97 of 98 5/26/2015 8:39:06 AM

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Green Physical Layer and Management Physical Layer and Management Physical Physical

488 C/ 80 SC 80.1.5 P **62** L 16 APM Brown, Matt Comment Type Ε Comment Status A EΖ Incorrect and incomplete editing instruction. Since you are showing the whole table with changes a change instruction rather than insert instruction is required. Also, the reference point for the new row is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy Change: Insert the following row after 40GBASE-LR4 and rightmost end column to Table 80-2 (existing PHY entries in new column are blank) To: Change Table 80-2, inserting a new row for 40GBASE-T and a new column for 40GBASE-T PCS/PMA/PMD, as follows: Underline all items in the new row and new column. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 201 C/ 81 SC 81.1 P 65 L 33 # 489 Brown, Matt APM F7 Comment Type ER Comment Status A Figure 81-1, many notes are not visible as it appears line line spacing was change to 3x instead Also spacing between stacks is not equal. SuggestedRemedy Fix note spacing. Fix spacing between each of the PHY stacks such that they appear equal. Response Response Status W

LATE COMMENT - TASK FORCE TO VOTE ON CONSIDERING

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID