

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 113 SC 113.4.2.5 P 134 L 26 # 106
 Lo, William Marvell Semiconductor

Comment Type TR Comment Status D EEE

Figure 113-26 LPI Disable Time
 There is no text to describe this variable.
 Page 115 line 2 references this but does not contain sufficient details.
 There should at least be some description specifying the PCS behavior when host concurrently exits and re-enters LPI while the LPI disable mechanism is active

SuggestedRemedy

Propose deletion of this feature as detailed behavior is not specified.
 1) Change LPI Disable Time in Figure 113-26 back to reserved
 2) Delete "with the exception that the InfoField consists of a sequence of 128 zeros except when the PHY wishes to signal the link partner to leave LPI mode." in line 33-34 page 114.
 3) Delete lines 1, 2, 3 page 115.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Task Force to discuss whether to define variable and any other text necessary to fully implement change, or delete feature, which is new to 40GBASE-T.

Cl 00 SC 0 P 3 L 1 # 114
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

As correctly indicated on Page 1, this will be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-201x (the outcome of the 802.3bx revision) rather than IEEE Std 802.3-2012.
 The headers in the draft incorrectly say "Draft Amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-2012"

Also, the header for the frontmatter is missing the "P" from "P802.3bq"

SuggestedRemedy

Change all of the headers to say "Draft Amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-201x"
 Change the frontmatter headers from:
 "IEEE 802.3bq 40GBASE-T Task Force" to:
 "IEEE P802.3bq 40GBASE-T Task Force".

This can be done by changing the odd and even page headers in the Clause 1 file to say "201x", then with that file open, in the left hand pane highlight all of the other files in the book and use File, Import, Formats, Deselect All, Page layouts, Import.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P L # 117
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

All occurrences of "ordered_set" have been changed to "ordered set" in 802.3bx draft D3.0

SuggestedRemedy

Change all instances of "ordered_set" to "ordered set" throughout the draft.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl Annex SC 28D.8 P 28 L 10 # 112
 Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Punctuation - The title of the subclause is missing a space.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "28D.8Extensions required for Clause 113(40GBASE-T)" to "28D.8 Extensions required for Clause 113(40GBASE-T)", inserting a space between "28D.8" and "Extensions"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P 1 L 32 # 118
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The copyright year should be "2015" not "201x", "2014", or "2012" as it is in the various parts of the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the variable "copyright_year" to "2015" in one of the Framemaker files, then with that file open, in the left hand pane highlight all of the other files in the book and use File, Import, Formats, Deselect All, Variable definitions, Import.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comment 388

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 00 SC 0 P 65 L 49 # 113
 Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Punctuation - double periods/full stops at the end of various sentences. Locations include:
 Page 65, Line 49
 Page 66, Line 36
 Page 67, Line 14
 Page 76, Line 14
 Page 172, Line 1

SuggestedRemedy

Change the occurrences of ".." at the end of the sentences noted above to "."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 15 # 119
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Provide the information as to where in 1.4 the various new definitions should be inserted.
 Change the editing instruction accordingly

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
 "1.4.x 40GBASE-T:..." to: "1.4.72a 40GBASE-T:..."
 "1.4.x Category 8.1 balanced ..." to "1.4.131a Category 8.1 balanced ..."
 "1.4.x Category 8.2 balanced ..." to "1.4.131b Category 8.2 balanced ..."
 Replace the single editing instruction: "Insert the following new definitions into the list, in
 alphanumeric order:" to:
 "Insert the following new definition into the list after 1.4.72 40GBASE-SR4:" before 1.4.72a and:
 "Insert the following new definitions into the list after 1.4.131 Category 7A balanced cabling:"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 00 SC 0 P 11 L 9 # 115
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Amendments to 802.3 are usually ordered with all of the clauses first and the Annexes second.

SuggestedRemedy

Move all of the Annexes to be after Clause 113

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 38 L 13 # 153
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The changes to Table 45-7 are not shown correctly.
 The base standard (P802.3bx D3.0) has:
 1 0 0 1 1 x = reserved for future use
 1 0 0 1 0 1 = 40GBASE-ER4 PMA/PMD

SuggestedRemedy

Change the draft to show:
 1 0 0 1 1 x1 = reserved for future use
 where the x is in strikethrough and the following 1 is underlined

1 0 0 1 1 0 = 40GBASE-T PMA/PMD
 all underlined

1 0 0 1 0 1 = 40GBASE-ER4 PMA/PMD
 in normal font

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 00 SC 0 P 1 L 29 # 252
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Didn't edit the bracketed text

SuggestedRemedy

Replace bracketed text with: for Working Group balloting

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comments 405, 474, 208

CI 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 11 # 381
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

No indication that Figure 80-1 is new.

SuggestedRemedy

Add editing instruction before figure: "Replace Figure 80-1 with the following."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comment 61

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 16 # 382
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

This Editing Instruction is unclear at best and possible misleading:
 "Insert the following row after 40GBASE-LR4 and rightmost end column to Table 80-2 (existing PHY entries in new column are blank)"
 Note that the "row after 40GBASE-LR4" is 40GBASE-ER4 NOT 40GBASE-T!

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read:
 "Insert a bottom row and rightmost end column to Table 80-2 (existing PHY entries in new column are blank)"
 Remove unchanged rows in table OR use Mark-up text in table.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See comment 201

Cl 81 SC 81.3.4.2 P 68 L 46 # 383
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

The combination of Editing Instruction and included figure are confusing.
 "Change 81.3.4.2 State Diagram to include Link Interruption under conditions for variable link_fault"
 Is the figure changed or not? It doesn't look like it.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Editing Instruction to read:
 "Change the text of 81.3.4.2 to include Link Interruption under conditions for variable link_fault as shown."

Remove is unchanged Figure 81-11

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 8 # 384
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

We finally get "CSMA/CD out of the standard title and yet we need it here?"
 Note that later clauses of Section 6 only use this phrase in the LAN Model figures.

SuggestedRemedy

Unless you can demonstrate Carrier Sense Multiple Access/Carrier Detect functionality strike this phrase.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 Recommend commenter submit maintenance or similar comment to Clause 55 on revision draft

Cl 113 SC 113.3.6.2 P 115 L 20 # 386
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

Constants, variables and functions should be using paragraph tag (style) DefinitionList
 "For the lists of constants, variables, functions, counters, timers, etc. use the Paragraph Tag DefinitionList."

SuggestedRemedy

Use the proper paragraph styles per the current template.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 Editor to review draft for proper paragraph styles prior to publication.

Cl 113 SC 113.3.6.2.3 P 117 L 44 # 387
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

Stray colon:
 "lfer_timer:"
 ^

This appears to be a common error through the draft; some counters have the colon some do not.

SuggestedRemedy

Strike all stray colons
 Regardless of the accepted remedy be consistent throughout the draft.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 00 SC 0 P 1 L 54 # 388
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Copyright date is not 201x
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to 2015
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comment 118

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12.10 P 40 L 1 # 158
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea.
 Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the editing instruction to:
 "Insert 45.2.1.12.9a after 45.2.1.12.9 as follows:"
 Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.1.12.9a
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1 P 31 L 42 # 391
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Subclause reference in editors instruction is incorrect:
 "Change text of 30.3.2.1.2a include 40GBASE-T."
 Similar problem in "Change text of 30.3.2.1.3a include 40GBASE-T."
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change instructions to:
 "Change text of 30.3.2.1.2 to include 40GBASE-T as shown"
 "Change text of 30.3.2.1.3 to include 40GBASE-T as shown"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.78 P 42 L 16 # 163
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The title of Table 45-58 in the base standard is: "10GBASE-T skew delay register bit definitions" so the "definitions" has got lost
 SuggestedRemedy
 add "definitions" in normal font to the end of the title for Table 45-58
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 38 L 48 # 154
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Footnote a to Table 45-7 is "aR/W = Read/Write, RO = Read only" not as shown.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change footnote a to:
 "aR/W = Read/Write, RO = Read only"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P 38 L 53 # 155
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The editing instructions for Table 45-9, Table 45-10 , and Table 45-12 are unclear. The place the new rows are to be inserted should be included.
 Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the editing instructions to:
 "Insert a row for 40GBASE-T below the row for 40GBASE-FR in Table 45-9 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
 "Insert a row for 40GBASE-T below the row for 40GBASE-FR in Table 45-10 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
 "Insert a row for 40GBASE-T below the row for 40GBASE-FR in Table 45-12 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
 , respectively.
 Remove the underline from the new rows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.8.1 P 39 L 23 # 156
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The draft includes a heading for 45.2.1.8.1 above the editing instruction for Table 45-12. However, this table is in 45.2.1.8 and not 45.2.1.8.1, so the heading is not required.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the heading for 45.2.1.8.1
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P 39 L 37 # 157
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The editing instruction is: "Change and insert rows in Table 45-16 as appropriate." but there is no inserted row
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the editing instruction to:
 "Change the row for 1.13.6 in Table 45-16 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.62 P 40 L 13 # 159
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 This is showing a change from:
 "The assignments of in the 10GBASE-T status register is shown in Table 45-54."
 to:
 "The assignments of bits in the 10G/40GBASE-T status registers are shown in Table 45-54."
 However, the change to "registers are" is not appropriate as there is still only one register. Same issue in 45.2.1.65, 45.2.7.10 (without change being shown in underline), 45.2.7.11.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Leave the text as "register is" in 45.2.1.62, 45.2.1.65, 45.2.7.10, and 45.2.7.11
 Also, leave as "All the bits in the 10G/40GBASE-T AN status register are read only..." in 45.2.7.11
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change "registers" to "register" as in comment.
 Verb remains "are" because subject of sentence is not "register", but is "assignments" (assignments ARE shown).

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 L 15 # 160
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Editing instructions usually use the term "paragraph" only when particular paragraphs are being modified.
 Same issue for 45.2.1.64, 45.2.1.66, 45.2.1.67, 45.2.3.1.2, 45.2.3.13, 45.2.3.13.1, 45.2.3.13.4, 45.2.3.13.5, 45.2.3.14.1, 45.2.3.14.2, 45.2.3.14.3, 45.2.7.10, 45.2.7.11.1, 45.2.7.11.2
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the editing instruction for 45.2.1.62.1 to:
 "Change text of 45.2.1.62.1 to include 40GBASE-T."

Likewise, change "paragraph" to "text" in the editing instructions for 45.2.1.64, 45.2.1.66, 45.2.1.67, 45.2.3.1.2, 45.2.3.13, 45.2.3.13.1, 45.2.3.13.4, 45.2.3.13.5, 45.2.3.14.1, 45.2.3.14.2, 45.2.3.14.3, 45.2.7.10, 45.2.7.11.1, 45.2.7.11.2
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 L 26 # 161
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The editing instruction is "Change title and rows and insert row in Table 45-54 as appropriate." The table shows only one of the two rows of Table 45-54 and this is the same as in the base standard.
 The title in the base standard is "10GBASE-T status register bit definitions", so the underlined space between "10G/40GBASE-T" and "status" is not correct.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the editing instruction to:
 "Change the title of Table 45-54 as follows:"
 Leave the title with changemarks and remove the body of the table.
 Remove the underline from the space between "10G/40GBASE-T" and "status"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.64.1 P 41 L 13 # 162
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Space missing in "... are defined in 113.4.2.5and ..."
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "... are defined in 113.4.2.5 and ..."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 L 18 # 389
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 Disagreement in bit designation:
 Header: 45.2.1.62.1 "... (1.129.0)"
 Text "bit 1.129.1"
 Table 45-54 "1.129.0"

SuggestedRemedy
 Change text to "1.129.0" so it agrees with header and table.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7 P 47 L 37 # 175
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The editing instruction for Table 45-200 is unclear.
 Also, the entries in the subclause column need fixing
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the editing instruction to:
 "Change the identified rows in Table 45-200 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
 Remove the spurious forest green text from the row for 7.32
 Make 45.2.7.11 in the 7.33 row a cross-reference
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.8 P 96 L 33 # 126
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The note is not formatted correctly.
 "40Gbps" should be "40 Gb/s"
 "zeroes" should be "zeros"
 (See http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html)
 "Transmission" should be "transmission"
 "64 bit alignment" should be "64-bit alignment"

SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Note: " to "NOTE—" where "—" is an em dash (Ctrl-q Shft-q).
 Apply the paragraph Tag "Note" (9 pt font).
 Change:
 "For 40Gbps Transmission, 64 bit alignment ..." to:
 "For 40 Gb/s transmission, 64-bit alignment ..."
 Change "zeroes" to "zeros"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1 P 37 L 17 # 150
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 All of the entries in the "Subclause" column of Table 45-3 are in forest green but they should be cross-references.
 Also, the subclause numbers for register 1.134 through to the end are incorrect. 45.2.1.71 to 45.2.1.83 should be 45.2.1.67 to 45.2.1.79, respectively.

SuggestedRemedy
 Make all of the entries in the "Subclause" column of Table 45-3 cross-references.
 Correct the subclause numbers for register 1.134 through to the end.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P 42 L 44 # 164

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The editing instruction for Table 45-119 is unclear.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
"Change the rows for registers 3.32 and 3.33 in Table 45-119 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3 P 42 L 50 # 165

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Both of the entries in the "Subclause" column of Table 45-119 are in forest green but they should be cross-references.
Also, the subclause numbers are incorrect.
Register 3.32 is defined in 45.2.3.13
Register 3.33 is defined in 45.2.3.14

SuggestedRemedy

Make both of the entries in the "Subclause" column of Table 45-119 cross-references to the correct subclause numbers

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1.2 P 43 L 7 # 166

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The IEEE Editorial Style Manual includes:
"In a series of three or more terms, use a comma immediately before the coordinating conjunction (usually and, or, or nor)."

Consequently, "... the 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T or the 10GBASE-R mode ..." should have an extra comma after "10GBASE-T"

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
"... the 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T or the 10GBASE-R mode ..." to:
"... the 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T, or the 10GBASE-R mode ..." with the added comma in underline font.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 29 # 167

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The heading for 45.2.3.7 appears just above Table 45-123, but Table 45-123 (related to the PCS control 2 register) is in 45.2.3.6

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the heading:
45.2.3.6 PCS control 2 register (Register 3.7)
and move Table 45-123 to be below the new heading, leaving Table 45-124 in 45.2.3.7

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 49 # 168

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The editing instruction for Table 45-124 is unclear.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
"Change the identified reserved row in Table 45-124 and insert a new row for bit 3.8.6 below it as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
Remove the underline from the new row as it is associated with an "insert" editing instruction.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 51 # 169

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Correctly formatted tables do not allow the table title to be on a different page from the table body.

SuggestedRemedy

Use the correct IEEE table format (in the Table Designer pod, set "Title" to "Above Table"). Check that this is the case for all Clause 45 tables.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7.6 P 44 L 10 # 170

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea. Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change"

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
"Insert 45.2.3.7.5a after 45.2.3.7.5 as follows:"
Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.3.7.5a

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9 P 44 L 19 # 171

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The editing instruction for Table 45-125 is unclear. "3.20.7" and "RO" are not changed, so should not be underlined

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
"Change the identified reserved row in Table 45-125 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
Remove the underline from "3.20.7" and "RO"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.13 P 45 L 1 # 172

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The editing instruction for Table 45-128 is unclear. Also, the table title has been changed

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
"Change the title and identified rows in Table 45-128 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45 P 37 L 3 # 148

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

This editor's note from the 802.3 template should not have been included in the draft.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the editor's note.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.14 P 46 L 20 # 174

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The editing instruction for Table 45-129 is unclear. Also, the insertion in the table title has not been underlined

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
"Change the title and identified rows in Table 45-129 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
show "/40G" in the table title in underline font.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 32 L 9 # 147
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

In several of the changed subclauses in Clause 30 there is text shown in forest green which should be cross-references

SuggestedRemedy

Make the following cross-references:

- page 32, line 9 "Clause 55"
- page 32, line 49 "Clause 55"
- page 33, line 29 "Clause 45"
- page 33, line 30 "45.2.1.66"
- page 33, line 43 "Clause 45"
- page 33, line 44 "45.2.1.67"
- page 34, line 2 "Clause 45"
- page 34, line 3 "45.2.1.68"
- page 34, line 15 "Clause 45"
- page 34, line 16 "45.2.1.69"
- page 34, line 29 "45.2.1.79.2"
- page 34, line 42 "45.2.1.79.1"
- page 35, line 7 "30.2.5"
- page 35, line 16 "Annex 28B"
- page 35, line 18 "Annex 28B"
- page 35, line 20 "Annex 28B"
- page 35, line 30 "Clause 55"
- page 35, line 47 "Clause 28"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10 P 48 L 9 # 176
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The editing instruction for Table 45-207 is unclear.
 Also, the text in the reserved row has been changed in 802.3bx D3.0

SuggestedRemedy

- Change the editing instruction to:
- "Change the reserved row and the row for 7.32.1 in Table 45-207 and insert new rows for 7.32.11 and 7.32.3 above and below the reserved row, respectively as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
- Delete ", writes ignored" from the reserved row.
- Remove the underline from the two new rows (insert editing instruction).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 28

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10.4 P 48 L 30 # 177
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea.
 "after 45.2.7.10.3" should be "after 45.2.7.10.4"
 The new subclause titles should match the name in Table 45-207.
 "Bit 7.32.11 is to be used ..." is inappropriate wording.
 The new subclause for bit 7.32.3 goes immediately below that for bit 7.32.11.
 Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change"

SuggestedRemedy

- Change the first editing instruction to:
- "Insert 45.2.7.10.4a and 45.2.7.10.4b after 45.2.7.10.4 as follows:"
- Remove the underline from the new subclauses.
- Change the first new subclause title to be:
- "45.2.7.10.4a 40GBASE-T ability (7.32.11)"
- Change ""Bit 7.32.11 is to be used ..." to ""Bit 7.32.11 is used ..."
- Delete the editing instruction:
- "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.6 and re-number remaining clauses accordingly."
- Change the second new subclause title to be:
- "45.2.7.10.4b 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.3)"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10.6 P 48 L 46 # 178
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea.

SuggestedRemedy

- Change the editing instruction to:
- "Change the title of 45.2.7.10.6 as follows:"
- add "45.2.7.10.6" to the beginning of the modified title.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11 P 49 L 20 # 179
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

In the row for bit 7.33.0 in Table 45-208, "7.33.0" and "RO" have not changed, so should not be underlined. Also, ", writes ignored" has been removed in 802.3bx D3.0

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the underline from "7.33.0" and "RO"
 Remove the strikethrough text ", writes ignored"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11.1 P 49 L 34 # 180
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

"for 40GBASE-T in contained" should be "for 40GBASE-T is contained"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "for 40GBASE-T in contained" to "for 40GBASE-T is contained"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comment 433

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11.2 P 49 L 49 # 181
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The text of 45.2.7.11.2 is truncated

SuggestedRemedy

Reinstate the remainder of the text

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11.9 P 50 L 7 # 183
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Bit 7.33.0 should come after bit 7.33.1 in the draft.
 Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
 "Insert 45.2.7.11.9 after 45.2.7.11.8 as follows:"
 Remove the underline from the new subclause and move both the editing instruction and subclause below "10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.1)"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 32

CI 81 SC 81.1 P 65 L 33 # 489
 Brown, Matt APM

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

Figure 81-1, many notes are not visible as it appears line line spacing was change to 3x instead of 1x.
 Also spacing between stacks is not equal.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix note spacing.
 Fix spacing between each of the PHY stacks such that they appear equal.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

LATE COMMENT - TASK FORCE TO VOTE ON CONSIDERING

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.13 P 50 L 29 # 185
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Spurious "." at the start of the text.

SuggestedRemedy

Change ".This" to "This"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comments 34, 101

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13 P 51 L 1 # 186

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The editing instruction for Table 45-210 is unclear.
Also, the text in the reserved row has been changed in 802.3bx D3.0

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
"Change the row for 7.60.9 in Table 45-210 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
Delete ", writes ignored" in strikethrough font from the reserved row.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 70 # 187

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea.
Bit 7.60.9 should be described after bit 7.60.10 (45.2.7.13.4)

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
"Insert 45.2.7.13.4a after 45.2.7.13.4 as follows:"
Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.7.13.4a

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9.8 P 44 L 33 # 173

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea.
Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change"

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
"Insert 45.2.3.9.7a after 45.2.3.9.7 as follows:"
Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.3.9.7a

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 28 SC 28.5 P 23 L 28 # 136

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Editing instruction does not say where the new row is to be inserted.
It is usual to show the heading row of tables being changed.
Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change"

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
"Insert a row for *40G at the end of the table in 28.5.3 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
Show the heading row for the table.
Remove the underline from the new row.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 01 SC 1.5 P 20 L 40 # 121

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Either include some abbreviations to be added to 1.5 or remove it from the draft

SuggestedRemedy

Either include some abbreviations to be added to 1.5 and remove:
ABBR expanded version
[abbreviations use paragraph tag AcrList,ac]
or remove 1.5 from the draft entirely

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add abbreviation to 1.5:
ACRF Attenuation to Crosstalk Ratio - Far End
Delete ABBR abbreviation.

Cl 113 SC 113 P 71 L 24 # 122

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

There are many pieces of text in Clause 113 that are shown green that should be cross-references (for example "Clause 78" (2 instances) and "Clause 28" on page 71 and the five green items on page 73)

SuggestedRemedy

Review every piece of green text in Clause 113 and convert those that exist in the draft to cross-references.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 13 # 123
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 "100ohm" should be "100", space, capital omega
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "100ohm" to "100", space, capital omega
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 385

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 99 L 24 # 127
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 Table 113-2 has no heading row to clarify what the columns contain
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add an appropriate heading row
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 42 # 109
 Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 In subclause 113.8.2.2, the 1st instance of the mixed-mode parameter is correctly defined as "Sdc11". The other two instances (found in Line 42 and Line 46), referring to the reciprocal S-parameter "Scd11", appear to be in error and are inconsistent with the 1st instance.
 SuggestedRemedy
 As indicated in the recommended text on Page 12 of cibula_3bq_02_0115.pdf, change the two instances of "Scd11" in subclause 113.8.2.2 from "Scd11" to "Sdc11."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 99 L 41 # 128
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Table 113-3 is not formatted correctly.
 The heading row does not have the correct format (is it actually a body row?)
 The part of Table 113-3 that is on page 100 should have (continued) in italic font at the end of the title.
 The rows are centred on page 99, but left aligned on page 100

SuggestedRemedy
 Correct the formatting of the heading row.
 Place the cursor at the end of table title on page 99. Then click on the Variables Tab and insert "Table Continuation" variable. This will add the (continued) on page 100.
 Make all rows centred.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.20 P 103 L 45 # 129
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 "zeroes" should be "zeros"
 (See http://www.ieee802.org/3/WG_tools/editorial/requirements/words.html)

SuggestedRemedy
 change "zeroes" to "zeros"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.12 P 186 L 2 # 130
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The text after "Clause 113" in the title of 113.12 should match the Clause 113 title.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change:
 "Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for Clause 113—Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer and baseband medium, type 40GBASE-T" to:
 "Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS) proforma for Clause 113—Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer, Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer, and baseband medium, type 40GBASE-T"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 113 SC 113.2 P 186 L 10 # 131
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The tables that should be on the first page of the PICS have moved to page 187
 SuggestedRemedy
 Move the tables down to the "Date of Statement" row back on to page 186.
 (It may be that "Keep With Next Pgf" is checked for the heading 113.12.1.2 Protocol summary.
 If so, uncheck it (you have to click the check mark twice) and if may fix this.)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.12.2 P 187 L 37 # 132
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 *LT Support of loop timing is mandatory, so there shouldn't be a "No []" box
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the "No { }"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.8 P 23 L 43 # 133
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 "28.3.2" should be a cross-reference
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make "28.3.2" a cross-reference
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 37 L 12 # 149
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The editing instruction for Table 45-3 is unclear.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the editing instruction to:
 "Change the identified rows in Table 45-3 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 28 SC 28.3.2 P 23 L 14 # 135
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Editing instruction is not clear.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the editing instruction to:
 "Change the indicated row in Table 28-9 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 01 SC 1.4.x P 20 L 21 # 120
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The IEEE style manual includes:
 13.3.2 Numerical values
 Digits should be separated into groups of three, counting from the decimal point toward the left and right. The groups should be separated by a space, and not a comma, period, or dash. If the magnitude of the number is less than one, the decimal point should be preceded by a zero. In numbers of four digits, the space is not necessary, unless four-digit numbers are grouped in a column with numbers of five digits or more.

Consequently, "2,000 MHz" should be "2000 MHz" on line 21 and on line 30.
 (Note, a comment has been submitted against 802.3bx D3.0 to remove the comma from 1.4.131)

Also, "Clause 55" should be a cross-reference rather than forest green text on line 23 and line 32.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "2,000 MHz" to "2000 MHz" on line 21 and on line 30
 Make "Clause 55" a cross-reference on line 23 and line 32

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 1

Cl 28 SC 28.5.4.8 P 23 L 39 # 137
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Editing instruction is not clear.
 There are no paragraphs in the subclause.
 It is usual to show the heading row of tables being changed

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
 "Change the row for SD11 in the table in 28.5.4.8 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
 Show the heading row for the table.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113. P 96 L 36 # 76
 Mark, Laubach Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Looking in latest 802.3bx draft, I think the cross-reference should be to Figure 81-4.

SuggestedRemedy

Correct crossref if needed.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Figure is 82-4 in bx draft 3.0

Cl 28A SC 28A P 25 L 1 # 138
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

There are no editing instructions shown for Annex 28A and there are no changes shown

SuggestedRemedy

Either add editing instructions and show changes or remove Annex 28A from the draft.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove Annex 28A (dup of comments 5, 375, 248, 260, 263)

Cl 28B SC 28B P 26 L 5 # 139
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The title of Annex 28B is missing

SuggestedRemedy

Add the title of Annex 28B:
 "IEEE 802.3 Selector Base Page definition"

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 28B SC 28B.3 P 26 L 9 # 140
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 To use a "change" editing instruction would require the rest of the list to be included in the draft
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the editing instruction to:
 "Insert a new item a) "40GBASE-T full duplex" at the top of the list in 28B.3 as follows and renumber the other items:"
 Remove the underline from the new item.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 L 8 # 143
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 28D.8 is not a paragraph
 Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the editing instruction to:
 "Insert 28D.8 after 28D.7 as follows:"
 Remove the underline from the new subclause.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 21 # 141
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 "Clause 55" should be a cross-reference
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make "Clause 55" a cross-reference
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 L 24 # 144
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 "45.2.7" on line 24 and "28.3.1" on line 26 should be cross-references
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make "45.2.7" on line 24 and "28.3.1" on line 26 cross-references
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 22 # 142
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The IEEE Editorial Style Manual includes:
 "In a series of three or more terms, use a comma immediately before the coordinating conjunction (usually and, or, or nor)."
 Consequently, "... that 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T abilities..." should have an extra comma after "10GBASE-T"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change:
 "... that 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T abilities..." to:
 "... that 40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T, and 1000BASE-T abilities..."
 with the added comma in underline font.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of 8

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1 P 31 L 42 # 145
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Editing instruction is "Change text of 30.3.2.1.2a include 40GBASE-T."
 The referenced subclause has a spurious "a" at the end.
 Same issue for 30.3.2.1.3
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the spurious "a" from the subclause references on page 31 line 42 and also on page 32 line 27
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 31 L 42 # 146

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The editing instructions for 30.3.2.1.2, 30.3.2.1.3, 30.5.1.1.19, 30.5.1.1.20, 30.5.1.1.21, 30.5.1.1.22, 30.5.1.1.24, and 30.5.1.1.25 are all:
"Change text of 30.x.x.x include 40GBASE-T." which should be "to include" rather than "include"

SuggestedRemedy

In the editing instructions for 30.3.2.1.2, 30.3.2.1.3, 30.5.1.1.19, 30.5.1.1.20, 30.5.1.1.21, 30.5.1.1.22, 30.5.1.1.24, and 30.5.1.1.25 change:
"include" to "to include"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 28 SC 28.3 P 23 L 6 # 134

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

State where the new variable should be inserted.
Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change"

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
"Insert new variable definition into 28.3.1 below 10GigT as follows:"
Remove the underline from the new text.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 409

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 37 L 14 # 38

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

With the addition of 40GBASE-T, middle column "register name" has multiple rows spanning two lines, e.g. "10G/40GBASE-T SNR operating margin channel A", where just a single letter is placed in the second line

SuggestedRemedy

Extend the width of the middle column to make sure that "10G/40GBASE-T SNR operating margin channel A" (for example)
Also, consider checking font for "10G/40GBASE-T" - it seems to be larger than anything else in the table.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7 P 47 L 46 # 27

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Subclause 45.2.7.11 exists in this draft and should be marked in black.

SuggestedRemedy

Mark "45.2.7.11" in black and make link live.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10 P 48 L 9 # 28

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Editorial instruction "Change title and rows and insert rows in Table 45-207 as appropriate." is not precise enough

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Change title and rows and insert rows in Table 45-207 as appropriate." to read "Change rows 7.32.11:3 and 7.32.11.1 in Table 45-207 as shown below."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 176

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.10 P 48 L 32 # 29

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

Missing numbers for headings on page 48, lines: 32, 39, 50,

SuggestedRemedy

Insert number 45.2.7.10.3a on page 48, like 32
Insert number 45.2.7.10.5a on page 48, like 39 (7.32.3 goes before 7.32.2 and not after it)
Change editorial instruction "Change title 45.2.7.10.6. Re-number to 45.2.7.10.8." to read "Change title 45.2.7.10.6 as shown below"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11 P 49 L 6 # 30
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Editorial instruction ".Change title and rows in Table 45–208 as appropriate." is not precise enough.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change ".Change title and rows in Table 45–208 as appropriate." to "Change title of Table 45-208 and definition of rows 7.33.8:2, 7.33.1, and 7.33.0 as shown below."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 352

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 50 L 1 # 31
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Subclause 45.2.7.11.7 should be 45.2.7.11.6a - any renumbering necessary is typically done by staff editor when merging with base document
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change 45.2.7.11.7 to 45.2.7.11.6a in editorial instruction (page 49, line 51) and in title - page 50, line 1
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comments 353, 184

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11.9 P 50 L 7 # 32
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Editorial instruction "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.11.7 and re-number remaining clauses accordingly and in consideration with newly inserted 45.7.11.7." is not precise enough.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Since we are inserting a new subclause at the end covering register 7.33.0, instruction ought to read as follows: "Insert new paragraph 45.2.7.11.9 as shown below." - anything else is unnecessary
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 183

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11.10 P 50 L 16 # 33
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Editorial instruction "Change paragraph 45.2.7.11.8 and re-number to 45.2.7.11.10 in consideration of newly inserted 45.7.11.7 and 45.2.7.11.9." is incorrect
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Change paragraph 45.2.7.11.8 and re-number to 45.2.7.11.10 in consideration of newly inserted 45.7.11.7 and 45.2.7.11.9." to read "Change title and content of 45.2.7.11.8 as shown below."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comments 353, 184

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.13 P 50 L 29 # 34
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Unnecessary "." at beginning of page 50, line 29
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove "."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comments 185, 101

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.13 P 51 L 1 # 35
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Imprecise editorial instruction "Change rows in Table 45–210 as appropriate."
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Change rows in Table 45–210 as appropriate.." to read "Change row 7.60.9 in Table 45-210 as shown below."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 186

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 81 SC 81.3.4.1 P 67 L 1 # 50
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

In 81.3.4.1, only fault_sequence, last_seq_type, link_fault, and seq_type are modified - the rest stays the same.

SuggestedRemedy

Change editorial instruction from "Change 81.3.4.1 to include Link Interruption in fault_sequence, last_seq_type, link_fault, and seq_type variables" to "Change definitions of variables: fault_sequence, last_seq_type, link_fault, and seq_type in 81.3.4.1 as shown below."

Remove all variables apart from fault_sequence, last_seq_type, link_fault, and seq_type

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 23 # 37
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Incorrect editorial instruction "Change rows in Table 45–211 as appropriate."

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Change rows in Table 45–211 as appropriate. to read "Change row 7.61.9 in Table 45-211 as shown below."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 188

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.14 P 46 L 22 # 23
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

"Change title and rows in Table 45–129 as appropriate." - no change in title is shown.

SuggestedRemedy

Show change in title of Table 45-129

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7 P 37 L 52 # 39
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

".PMA/PMD status 2 register (Register 1.8)" contains "." at the beginning of heading

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "." in the heading.

Also, remove "." at the start of editorial instruction on page 38 line 53, page 39 line 21

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 78 SC 78.5 P 59 L 10 # 40
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Stray ":"

SuggestedRemedy

Remove stray ":"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 78 SC 78.1 P 57 L 8 # 41
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type TR Comment Status D EZ

Text "For operation over twisted-pair cabling systems, EEE supportsmay be supported by the 100BASE-TX PHY, the 1000BASE-T PHY, the10GBASE-T PHY, and the 40GBASE-T PHY." does not exist in 802.3bx D3.0

SuggestedRemedy

Where does this text come from? It is not part of P802.3bx text that is being balloted.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Text was removed during revision process.

Remove cited text to align with 802.3bx D3.0

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 11 # 42
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Text in 78.1.3.3.1 is modified only in line 48 - if there are no other changes, remove all unmodified text and updat editorial instructions accordingly.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Per comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 358 for more complete remedy

CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P 58 L 34 # 43
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Text inserted intl Table 78-1 seems to be a different font style than the remainder of the table.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Apply proper font style to Table 78-1.
 Same issue applies to Table 78-2 and in Table 78-5
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 195 for a more complete response

CI 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 6 # 45
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 No editorial instructions for changes to Figure 80-1—Architectural positioning of 40 Gigabit and 100 Gigabit Ethernet
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert editorial instruction above Figure 80-1 as follows "Replace Figure 80-1 with the figure shown below"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 See comments 61, 381

CI 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 46 # 46
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Row with 40GBASE-T in Table 80-2 should nbe marked with underline.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Per comment
 The same observation applies to Table 80-5, Table 80-1
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED REJECT.
 Table 80-2 is now replaced, so no underline.
 See comment 134 for use of underline, only "change" uses that. Table 80-1 is an insert instruction, as is Table 80-5.

CI 81 SC 81.1 P 65 L 6 # 47
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Editorial instruction is unclear "Change Figure 81-1 as follows:" - there are no changes marked in Figure 81-1.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change editorial note from "Change Figure 81-1 as follows:" to "Replace Figure 81-1 with figure shown below" or explicitly show changes (in red) in Figure 81-1 and then leave the editorial note alone.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 204

CI 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 46 # 48
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Editorial note is incorrect: "Change 81.1.7.3 for carrier indication definition:"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Change 81.1.7.3 for carrier indication definition:" to "Change 81.1.7.3 as follows"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 37 L 50 # 151

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The editing instruction for Table 45-7 is unclear.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to:
"Change the identified row in Table 45-7 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 16 # 36

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Editorial instruction "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.13.9 and re-number remaining clauses accordingly." is imprecise

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.13.9 and re-number remaining clauses accordingly." to read "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.13.4 as shown below."
Change 45.2.7.13.4 to 45.2.7.13.4a

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 355 for more complete remedy

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P 38 L 53 # 11

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Editorial instruction "Insert row in Table 45-9 as appropriate." is not precise enough.

SuggestedRemedy

Change editorial instruction to read: "Insert row in Table 45-9 under 40GBASE-FR entry"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 16 # 73

Mark, Laubach Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

Update editing directive for better clarity, as multiple rows are being inserted as well a the single right most column.

SuggestedRemedy

Change: "Insert the following row after 40GBASE-LR4 and rightmost end column to Table 80-2 (existing PHY entries in new column are blank)"
to: "Change: "Insert the following rows after 40GBASE-LR4 and rightmost single end column to Table 80-2 (existing PHY entries in new column are blank)""

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See comment 201

CI 113 SC 113.2.1.2.1 P 80 L 28 # 71

Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status D EZ

PMA_LINK.indication(link_status) states that READY is a value it can take on. But then states that READY is not used by 40GBASE-T

SuggestedRemedy

Removed READY from the list of values link_status can PMA_LINK.indication can take on.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 485 for a complete remedy

CI 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 33 # 74

Mark, Laubach Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

"<XREF>" text present:
Page 57 Line 33
Page 57 Line 51
Page 58 Line 2

SuggestedRemedy

Resolve or remove.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 194

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 81 SC 81.3.4.2 P 67 L 46 # 75
 Mark, Laubach Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

I went and pulled out the latest 802.3bx Section 6 draft to review if Figure 81-11 has any changes. I didn't see any. If that is the case, perhaps updating the editing directive to focus on paragraph text only?

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Editing instruction to focus on text per comment.
 See comment 51 for a more complete remedy

Cl 28 SC 28.3 P 23 L 6 # 3
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

"Insert row in clause 28.3.1 as appropriate." should not reference "clause", we typically reference subclauses just by number

SuggestedRemedy

Strike "clause" in line 6

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 409

Cl 28 SC 28.3 P 23 L 6 # 4
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Editorial instruction should be more precise: "Insert row in clause 28.3.1 as appropriate."

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read: "Insert row in 28.3.1 under ."10GigT;"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 409

Cl 28A SC 28A P 25 L 1 # 5
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

There are no chanegs in Annex 28A

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Annex 28A or implement changes required to support 40GBASE-T

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Remove Annex 28A (dup of comments 138, 375, 248, 260, 263)

Cl 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 21 # 7
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Unnecessary serial comma in "Clause 55 (10GBASE-T), and Clause 113"

SuggestedRemedy

Remove serial comma in "Clause 55 (10GBASE-T), and Clause 113"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.5 P 29 L 13 # 70
 Marris, Arthur Cadence

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Column heading not completely vusible

SuggestedRemedy

Correct:
 10G/40GBASE-T Operating Margin package (condi-

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 22 # 8
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Missing serial comma in "40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T" to "40GBASE-T, 10GBASE-T>><<
 and 1000BASE-T"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of 142

CI 45 SC 45.2.7 P 47 L 43 # 26
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Register 7.32 in Table 45-200 seems to have multiple subclause references "45.2.7.1045.
 2.7.1045.2.7.
 10"
 which are repeated entries for "45.2.7.10"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace existing subclause reference with "45.2.7.10" marked in black and make it live.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 L 10 # 69
 Marris, Arthur Cadence
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Missing space
 SuggestedRemedy
 28D.8 Extensions required for Clause 113 (40GBASE-T)
 rather than:
 28D.8Extensions required for Clause 113 (40GBASE-T)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of 262

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.14.4 P 47 L 30 # 25
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 missing "for" in newly added text "and in 113.3.6.2 40GBASE-T"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "and in 113.3.6.2 40GBASE-T" to "and in 113.3.6.2 >>for<< 40GBASE-T"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.7.5 P 39 L 10 # 12
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Editorial instruction "Insert row in Table 45-10 as appropriate." is not precise enough.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change editorial instruction to read: "Insert row in Table 45-10 under 40GBASE-FR entry"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.8.1 P 39 L 25 # 13
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Editorial instruction "Insert row in Table 45-12 as appropriate." is not precise enough.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Reconcile Change editorial instruction to read: "Insert row in Table 45-12 under 40GBASE-FR
 entry"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P 39 L 37 # 14
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Editorial instruction in line 37 is not precise enough.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Change and insert rows in Table 45-16 as appropriate." to "Change definition of bit
 1.13.6 as shown below"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.12.10 P 40 L 3 # 15
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 New subclause 45.2.1.12.10 should be marked as 45.2.1.12.9a and inserted after 45.2.1.12.9 that exists today. Renumbering is done later on by the staff editor when documents are merged.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 477

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.64.1 P 41 L 13 # 16
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Missing space "are defined in 113.4.2.5and 113.4.5.1"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "are defined in 113.4.2.5and 113.4.5.1" to "are defined in 113.4.2.5>> <<and 113.4.5.1"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 49 # 18
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Editorial instruction "Change row and insert row in Table 45–124 as appropriate." is not precise enough
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Change row and insert row in Table 45–124 as appropriate." to read "Change definition of bits 3.8.9:7 in Table 45-124 as show below"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.7.6 P 44 L 12 # 19
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 New subclause 45.2.3.7.6 should be marked as 45.2.3.7.5a and inserted after 45.2.3.7.5 that exists today. Renumbering is done later on by the staff editor when documents are merged.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9 P 44 L 20 # 20
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Editorial instruction "Change rows in Table 45–125 as appropriate." is not precise enough
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Change rows in Table 45–125 as appropriate." to read "Change definition of bit 3.20.7 in Table 45-125 as shown below"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9.8 P 44 L 35 # 21
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 New subclause 45.2.3.9.8 should be marked as 45.2.3.9.7a and inserted after 45.2.3.9.7 that exists today. Renumbering is done later on by the staff editor when documents are merged.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Update the editorial instruction accordingly.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 81 SC 81.3.4.2 P 67 L 48 # 51
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

Text on page 67 ,lines 49-53 has not been modified and should not be shown.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove text n page 67, lines 49-53
 Show changes in Figure 81–11 in red.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 28D SC 28D.8 P 28 L 24 # 9
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Reference to 45.2.7 and 28.3.1 should be made live and not marked in green.

SuggestedRemedy

Make links to 45.2.7 and 28.3.1 live, and make sure they are marked in black.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.14.3 P 47 L 16 # 108
 Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Punctuation - The title of the subclause is missing a space.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "45.2.3.14.3 BER(3.33.13:8)" to "45.2.3.14.3 BER (3.33.13:8)", inserting a space between "BER" and "(3.33.13:8)"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.5.4.6.9 P 166 L 18 # 472
 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

Change variable name EI to ACRF to align with parameter name

SuggestedRemedy

Change variable name EI to ACRF and in equation 113-21

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.2.4.6 P 178 L 47 # 473
 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

subclause heading incorrect

SuggestedRemedy

Change: 113.7.2.4.6 Multiple disturber power sum equal level far-end crosstalk (PS ACRF)
 To:113.7.2.4.6 Multiple disturber power sum attenuation to crosstalk ratio, far-end (PS-ACRF)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of 411

Cl 99 SC 0 P 1 L 29 # 474
 Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The text "[review/balloting stage]" is intended to be edited for each draft to actually indicate what the use is of this particular draft.

SuggestedRemedy

"[review/balloting stage]" to read: "Working Group 1st recirculation." for the next draft.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comments 405, 252, 208

Cl 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 20 # 65
 Marris, Arthur Cadence

Comment Type TR Comment Status D EZ

No need to mention Cluase 30 here.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace
 "Management is specified in Clause 30."
 With
 "Management functions are optionally accessible through the management interface defined in Clause 45, or equivalent."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 Text is consistent with Clause 55 but that is inconsistent with other 10G clauses. Commenter is encouraged to submit a maintenance request or comment on a revision draft to clause 55

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 13 # 64
 Marris, Arthur Cadence
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 100ohm
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use correct format for "100ohm"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comments 123 & 385

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11.10 P 50 L 16 # 184
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea.
 "55.4.2.5.15" should be in forest green.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Leave the numbering of 45.2.7.11.8 as it is.
 Change the editing instruction to:
 "Change the title and text of 45.2.7.11.8 as follows:"
 Apply character tag "External" to "55.4.2.5.15"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comments 353, 31, 33

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.14 P 51 L 24 # 188
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Table 45-211 is in 45.2.7.14 but this heading is missing.
 The editing instruction for Table 45-210 is unclear.
 When "Reserved" is deleted, the Name column will be blank.
 The text in the reserved row has been changed in 802.3bx D3.0
 "28.2.3.4.128" does not exist
 Footnote a should be "RO = Read only"

SuggestedRemedy
 Add a heading for 45.2.7.14.
 Change the editing instruction to:
 "Change the row for 7.61.9 in Table 45-211 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
 Add "40GBASE-T EEE" to the Name column
 Delete ", writes ignored" in strikethrough font from the reserved row.
 Correct the cross-reference to Clause 28
 Change footnote a to "RO = Read only"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.3.6.2.2 P 117 L 31 # 481
 Zhang, Jin Marvell
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 The indentation for fr_sigtype does not match other variables.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Indent fr_sigtype and its definition as per the other variables.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 296 for more detailed remedy

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.9 P 44 L 18 # 100
 McClellan, Brett Marvell
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 extra period before EEE
 SuggestedRemedy
 delete extra period before EEE
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 81 SC 81.3.4 P 66 L 6 # 49
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Editorial instruction imprecise: "Change 81.3.4 add Link Interruption Sequence ordered_set definition:"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Change 81.3.4 add Link Interruption Sequence ordered_set definition:" to "Insert a new pagaraph after paragraph number 4 in 81.3.4, as shown below."

Insert a new editorial instruction above Table 81-5 as follows: "Change Table 81-5 by adding a new row for Link Interruption, as shown below."

Remove teh rest of text from 81.3.4.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 78 SC 78.1 P 57 L 9 # 104
 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

missing space after 'the'

SuggestedRemedy

add missing space after 'the'

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 41

Cl 113 SC 113.5.4.6.14 P 167 L 12 # 469
 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Correct text: Change PSANEXT to PSAACRF

SuggestedRemedy

Replace PSANEXT with PSAACRF in sentetnce: When the computed PSAACRF value at a certain frequency exceeds 75 dB, the PSANEXT result at that frequency is for information only.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.2 P 122 L 0 # 72
 Slavick, Jeff Avago Technologies

Comment Type TR Comment Status D EZ

Figure 113-16 has variable lfer_timer_done and lfer_time_not_done neither of these are defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Add to the following to the lfer_timer definition:
 When the timer reaches it's terminal count it will set lfer_timer_done = TRUE

Change the lfer_timer_not_done to lfer_timer_done in the Figure

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 Same defect exists in Clause 55 for 125us_timer states. Commenter is encouraged to consider maintenance or comments on revision for Clause 55

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.9 P 44 L 18 # 111
 Cibula, Peter Intel Corporation

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Punctuation - There is a stray period/full stop in the subclause title.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "45.2.3.9 .EEE control and capability (Register 3.20)" to "45.2.3.9 EEE control and capability (Register 3.20)", removing the period before EEE.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.7 P 37 L 52 # 152
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The title of 45.2.1.7 starts with a "."
 Same issue for 45.2.1.8 and 45.2.3.9
 (from the autonumber format?)

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the spurious "." from the titles of 45.2.1.7, 45.2.1.8, and 45.2.3.9

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 31 L 47 # 267
 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Use paragraph style consistent with IEEE P802.3/D3.0 for all attributes changed in this clause.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Contact the Editor-in-Chief of IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) for the paragraph style information and reformat accordingly.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.7 P 37 L 52 # 268
 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Superfluous "." in the heading. Similar issue for 45.2.1.8 (p39/l21).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove extra ".".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.1.1 P 71 L 40 # 269
 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Clause 28 and 78 are amended by this draft and hence are not external cross-references.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Point the "Clause 28" and "Clause 78" text to the appropriate cross-reference markers.
 This occurs several other times throughout the draft (other examples include but are not limited to 113.1, 113.1.3, 113.1.3.1, 113.2, 113.2.1, 113.2.1.2). It is suggested that the external cross-references in the draft be checked and updated as appropriate.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 98 L 51 # 270
 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Red font appears in "65-bit".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Revert to default font color (black).
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 78 SC 78.1.4 P 58 L 35 # 271
 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Per IEEE P802.3/D3.0, the title of Table 78-1 is "Clauses associated with each PHY or interface type" and the heading of the first column is "PHY or interface type". The font of the inserted body row should be changed to match to table it will be added to.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Update the table per the comment.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 195 for a more complete response

CI 78 SC 78.2 P 58 L 44 # 272
 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Per IEEE P802.3/D3.0, the title of Table 78-2 is "Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHYs or interfaces" and the heading of the first column is "PHY or interface type". The font of the inserted body rows should be changed to match to table it will be added to.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Update the table per the comment.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 195 for a more complete response

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 16 # 274
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The phrase "the type 40GBASE-T PCS, 40GBASE-T PMA, type 40GBASE-T PMD sublayer" is redundant.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "the type 40GBASE-T PCS, 40GBASE-T PMA, type 40GBASE-T PMD sublayer" on line 16 with "the type 40GBASE-T PCS, PMA, and PMD sublayer".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change as commenter describes, except "sublayer" should be "sublayers", per comment 361.
 Delete reference to PMD per comment 347 if it is accepted.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.13 P 50 L 29 # 101
 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

extra period before 'This'

SuggestedRemedy

delete extra period before 'This'

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comments 34, 185

CI 113 SC 113.12.2 P 187 L 37 # 98
 McClellan, Brett Marvell

Comment Type TR Comment Status D EZ

Loop timing is required, not an option. There is no need to list loop timing under Major capabilities/options. Also several PIC line items need to be corrected.

SuggestedRemedy

delete row "*LT Support of loop timing"

page 155 line 16
 loop timing is required
 delete "(if loop timing is supported)"
 page 159 line 16
 delete "For a PHY that can operate in loop timing mode,"
 page 172 line 40
 delete "and both devices have the same loop timing support,"
 page 173 line 15
 delete "and identical loop timing support"
 page 193 line 21
 delete rows containing MF9 and MF10
 page 194 line 43
 delete "Applicable only if loop timing is supported"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 81 SC 81.3.4.2 P 68 L 36 # 52
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Editorial instruction is missing for text on page 68

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following editorial instruction on page 68, line 35: "Change second and third paragraph in 81.3.4.2, as shown below."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 99 SC 99 P 6 L 14 # 53
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Name of Chair and Chief Editor for the project are known I assume?
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fill in the names for the Chair and Chief Editor for the project
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comments 211, 227, 406

CI A SC A P 21 L 1 # 54
 Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 There is no reason to have Annex A if there are not entries
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove Annex A - there is no content.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dup of comments 232, 256, 213, 373.
 See comment 256

CI 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 48 # 58
 McDermott, Thomas Fujitsu
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Double negative text is confusing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Recommend revising to :
 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s operation supports full duplex operation only. The RS never generates this primitive for PHYs unless they support either EEE or Link Interruption. ...
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 34 # 59
 Marris, Arthur Cadence
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Fix cross reference
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete "<XREF>" and elsewhere in the document
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comments 74, 194.
 Editor to search pdf for remaining XREFS after implementing fix.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 L 26 # 60
 Marris, Arthur Cadence
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 Editing instruction is wrong as only the table's title has ben changed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change
 Change title and rows and insert row in Table 45-54 as appropriate.
 To
 Change title of Table 45-54 as follows.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 80 SC 80.1 P 61 L 11 # 61
 Marris, Arthur Cadence
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 No editing instruction for Figure 80.1
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert editing instruction
 "Replace Figure 80-1 with the following:"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comment 381

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 81 SC 81.1 P 65 L 10 # 62
 Marris, Arthur Cadence
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Incorrect editing instruction
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change
 Change Figure 81-1 as follows:
 To
 Replace Figure 81-1 as follows:

 Also fix key at bottom of Figure 81.1
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 49 # 63
 Marris, Arthur Cadence
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 Text should be reworded for clarity and there is an extra full stop.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change
 "The RS never generates this primitive for PHYs that do not support either EEE or Link Interruption.."
 To
 "The RS never generates this primitive for PHYs that support neither EEE nor Link Interruption."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 58

CI 45 SC 45.2.1 P 37 L 11 # 90
 Mark, Laubach Broadcom Corporation
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 "as appropriate" does not give explicit instructions to the IEEE editors.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace all uses of "as appropriate" in this clause with explicit instruction to the IEEE editors.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.7.3.2.1 P 180 L 30 # 471
 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Change variable name EI to AACRF to align with parameter name
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change variable name EI to AACRF and in equation 113-42
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.1.3 P 72 L 42 # 67
 Marris, Arthur Cadence
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 Change "10000 Mb/s" to "10 Gb/s"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "10000 Mb/s" to "10 Gb/s"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comment 362

CI 113 SC 113.7.2.4.5 P 178 L 28 # 470
 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Change variable name EI to ACRF to align with parameter name
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change variable name EI to ACRF and in equation 113-38
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.8 P 39 L 21 # 99
 McClellan, Brett Marvell
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 extra period before 'PMD'
 SuggestedRemedy
 remove extra period before 'PMD'
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 99 SC 0 P 3 L 1 # 449
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 I believe that we agreed that this would be an amendment to IEEE Std 802.3-201x.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change year of base standard in the header to be 201x.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 99 SC 0 P 3 L 13 # 450
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Missing title of amendment.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Provide complete title of amendment in the boxed text "This introduction is not part of..."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 99 SC 0 P 18 L 28 # 451
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Missing space between subclause number and subclause heading in table of contents at line 28 and below on this page.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert space.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 255

Cl 00 SC 0 P 25 L 54 # 453
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Copyright year is incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to 2015.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 118

Cl 113 SC 113.1.2 P 72 L 7 # 66
 Marris, Arthur Cadence
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D EZ
 XLGMII is never physically implemented.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change
 The 40GBASE-T PHY architecture specified in this standard is referenced to the XLGMII interface, it is recognized that the XLGMII interface need not be physically implemented. Chip to chip interfaces based on other IEEE defined 40Gb/s PCS/PMA combinations which translate to XLGMII may be used

To
 The 40GBASE-T PHY service interface is the XLGMII, which is defined in Clause 81. The 40GBASE-T PHY may connect to the 40 Gb/s Attachment Unit Interface (XLAUI) defined in Annex 83B using the PCS defined in Clause 82.
 Remove "**XLGMII is optional" from Figure 113-1
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 78 SC 78.1 P 57 L 8 # 456
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Missing space in "the10GBASE-T PHY".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "the 10GBASE-T PHY".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 41

Cl 99 SC 99 P 6 L 1 # 467
 Law, David HP
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Please include the working group balloter list supplied in the file <IEEE_P802d3bq_WG_names.pdf>.
 SuggestedRemedy
 See comment.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 113 SC 113.5.4.6.5 P 64 L 27 # 468
 DiMinico, Christopher MC Communications
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Correct table 113-18 heading
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change: NEXT loss TO: MDNEXT loss
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 17 # 275
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The "the" before "PMD sublayer" on line 17 is not needed.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Renive "the" before "PMD sublayer" on line 17.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete reference to PMD sublayer in entirety if 347 is accepted.

Cl 113 SC 113.1.3.1 P 76 L 1 # 68
 Marris, Arthur Cadence
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Heading depth wrong.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Promote to heading 2:
 113.2 Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS)
 Consider promoting "113.1.3 Operation of 40GBASE-T"
 Consider restructuring document to remove split between PCS description in the overview and later in the document.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change headings to read "Summary of Physical Coding Sublayer" so as not to be confused with 113.3 which specifies the PCS
 do similar change to other 113.1.3.x titles

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.79.1 P 42 L 30 # 420
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Missing reference for fr_rx_counter and fr_tx_counter in 40GBASE-T, clause 113
 SuggestedRemedy
 insert after 55.4.5.1 references in both line 30 (45.2.1.79.1) and 38 (45.2.1.79.2):
 "for 10GBASE-T, and 113.4.5.4 for 40GBASE-T."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 31 # 414
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 transmission condition is on the relationship, not on the frequency in MHz
 SuggestedRemedy
 Move "when the transmitter is transmitting random or pseudo random data." to line 21, so it reads "When the transmitter is transmitting random or pseudo random data, the common-mode-to-differential mode..... (eq 113-46) ... where f is the frequency in MHz. Test mode 5..."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.12.1.2 P 187 L 20 # 308
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 "Clause 98" should be "Clause 113".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Clause 98" on line 20, page 187 with "Clause 113".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.64.1 P 41 L 13 # 430
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 missing space between 113.4.2.5 and "and"
 SuggestedRemedy
 insert space after "113.4.2.5"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 21 # 310
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 "Clause 55" is followed by "(10GBASE-T)", but "Clause 113" is not followed by "(40GBASE-
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert "(40GBASE-T)" after "Clause 113".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comment 410

Cl 30 SC 30.2.5 P 29 L 12 # 311
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The updated cell in the header row of Table 30-1e which contains "10G/40GBASE-T Operating
 Margin package (condi-" is not big enough, and some texts are not visible.
 Same problem in page 30 and 31.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make the header row taller to include the whole text.
 Apply same changes to page 30 and 31 as well.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 37 L 26 # 312
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Subclause numbers 45.2.1.71 thru 45.2.1.83 for register 1.134 through 1.147
 in Table 45-3 are not correct.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change subclause numbers as follows:
 Register subclause
 1.134 45.2.1.67
 1.135 45.2.1.68
 1.136 45.2.1.69
 1.137 45.2.1.70
 1.138 45.2.1.71
 1.139 45.2.1.72
 1.140 45.2.1.73
 1.141 45.2.1.74
 1.142 45.2.1.75
 1.143 45.2.1.76
 1.144 45.2.1.77
 1.145 through 1.146 45.2.1.78
 1.147 45.2.1.79

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.5.1.1.24 P 34 L 29 # 429
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Missing reference to clause 113 40GBASE-T fast retrains, same issue on lines 29
 (30.5.1.1.24) and 42 (30.5.1.1.25)
 SuggestedRemedy
 replace "and 55.4.5.1" with "55.4.5.1 and 113.4.5.4" in two places.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 45 SC 45.5.3.2 P 52 L 4 # 189

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Headings in 45.5 are missing and table heading rows are not shown

SuggestedRemedy

Add headings for:

45.5.3

45.5.3.2

45.5.3.6

45.5.3.7

45.5.3.9

Show the heading rows for the various tables.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 30 SC 30.5.1.1.19 P 33 L 28 # 428

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Text reads for the 10/40GBASE-T PMA, as though the PMA defined does both rates. Meaning should be 10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T PMA. occurs in 30.5.1.1.19, 20, 21, 22.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "10/40GBASE_T PMA" with "10G or 40GBASE-T PMA" in 4 places.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.8.2.1 P 183 L 12 # 306

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The range of f in equation (113-45) is not aligned.

SuggestedRemedy

Align the range of f in equation (113-45).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.64.1 P 41 L 13 # 315

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

A white space is missing between "113.4.2.5" and "and".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "113.4.2.5and" with "113.4.2.5 and".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.7.2.3 P 175 L 3 # 305

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

"10" of "log10" should be subscript.

SuggestedRemedy

Use subscript for "10" of "log10" in the following locations:

- 2 locations in equation (113-27), line 3, page 175
- 2 locations in equation (113-28), line 28, page 175
- 2 locations in equation (113-29), line 40, page 175
- 2 locations in equation (113-30), line 2, page 176
- 2 locations in equation (113-31), line 28, page 176
- 2 locations in equation (113-32), line 40, page 176
- 2 locations in equation (113-33), line 3, page 177
- 2 locations in equation (113-37), line 15, page 178
- 2 locations in equation (113-38), line 37, page 178

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 25 # 336

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Vertical border line in the header row of Table 80-2 between columns 89 and 113 is thick.

SuggestedRemedy

Use thin line for the vertical border line in the header row of Table 80-2 between columns 89 and 113.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 201

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 80 SC 80.4 P 63 L 17 # 337
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Reference to 1.4.110 is updated in P802.3bx.

SuggestedRemedy

Change reference to "1.4.110" on line 17 with "1.4.117".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 203 for a more complete remedy

CI 113 SC 113.6.2 P 172 L 40 # 418
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Loop timing support is mandatory in 40GBASE-T. "both devices have the same loop timing support" is text left over from 10GBASE-T.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete: "and both devices have the same loop timing support"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 52 # 339
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Reference to Figure 81-10a is not correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Change reference to "Figure 81-10a" on line 52 with "Figure 81-13".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 205 for a more complete remedy

CI 81 SC 81.5.3.7 P 69 L 5 # 340
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The clause numbers on line 5 are not correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the description on line 5 as follows:

Insert the new subclause 81.5.3.7 for Link Interruption after 81.5.3.6

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 98 L 51 # 342
 Lusted, Kent Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

There is a red letter "5". I think it should be black text per the IEEE style guide.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix if necessary

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.5.3.2 P 158 L 38 # 343
 Lusted, Kent Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Title of 113.5.3.2 has a lower case first letter.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "transmitter nonlinear distortion" to "Transmitter nonlinear distortion"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comment 416

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 113 SC 113.5.3.2 P 158 L 37 # 416
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 missing capitalization in title
 SuggestedRemedy
 replace "transmitter" with "Transmitter"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comment 343

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.5 P 94 L 30 # 281
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Lower left part of Figure 113-8 is blurred.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use a higher resolution to import the lower left part of Figure 113-8.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Editor to redraw and generally clean up figure 113-8.
 See comments 125 and 437

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 49 L 51 # 182
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Because of the large number of simultaneous amendments being done to 802.3 at any one time re-numbering clauses is a bad idea.
 "after 45.2.7.11.6" should be "after 45.2.7.11.7"
 Also, the only editing instruction that uses underline or strikethrough font is "Change"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the editing instruction to:
 "Insert 45.2.7.11.7a after 45.2.7.11.7 as follows:"
 Remove the underline from the new subclause and change it to be 45.2.7.11.7a
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.21 P 105 L 24 # 292
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 A white space is missing between "output)" and "LDPC-coded bits" on line 24, page 105.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a white space between "output)" and "LDPC-coded bits" on line 24, page 105.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 101 L 1 # 438
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Clean up figure 113-10, removing visible edges of boxes.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Clean up figure.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.5 P 94 L 3 # 437
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Clean up format of figure 113-8, including aligning with text to show first encoding in 64/65b frames, then 512/513b transcoding, aligning boxes and lines, and pointing to RS parity bits
 SuggestedRemedy
 Redraw figure with suggested corrections.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 98 L 38 # 284
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 There are eight 65-bit blocks.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "four 65-bit blocks" on line 38, page 98 with "eight 65-bit blocks".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 98 L 41 # 285
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 "tx_coded<512:0>" on line 41 should be "tx_xcoded<512:0>".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "tx_coded<512:0>" on line 41, page 98 with "tx_xcoded<512:0>".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.63 P 40 L 39 # 314
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The text above title of 45.2.1.63 refers to 45.2.1.67.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the reference to "45.2.1.67" with a reference to "45.2.1.63".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 100 L 3 # 286
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Header row is missing in Table 113-3 in page 100.
 Texts are not at the center in Table 113-3 in page 100.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a header row to Table 113-3 in page 100.
 Center the texts for both of left and right column of Table 113-3 in page 100.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.18 P 102 L 43 # 288
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 A period "." is missing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a period "." at the end of line 43, page 102.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.16 P 100 L 17 # 287
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 ")" is used where "}" should be used.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change ")" in the following locations with "}":
 Page 100, line 17
 Page 100, line 31
 Page 100, line 40
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.5 P 52 L 14 # 435
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Missing references on AM61 and AM62
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert cross references to 45.2.7.10, 10G/40GBASE-T AN control register.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 25 # 307
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The range of f in equation (113-46) is not aligned.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Align the range of f in equation (113-46).
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.20 P 103 L 36 # 291
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 ")" is used where ">" should be used.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "<(185-i)8 + j)" with "<(185-i)8 + j>".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.14.3 P 47 L 19 # 432
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Missing reference to clause 113.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change, "lfer_count variable in 55.3.6.2 for 10G/40GBASE-T." to
 "lfer_count variable in 55.3.6.2 for 10GBASE-T and in 113.3.6.2.2 for 40GBASE-T."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 366

Cl 113 SC 113.7.3.2.1 P 181 L 5 # 412
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Text says PSANEXT, but is obviously about PSAACRF.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change, "the PSANEXT result at that frequency is for information only." with "the PSAACRF
 result at that frequency is for information only."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.3 P 108 L 9 # 294
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 The XLGMII and PMA sublayer data rate ratio should be 25:128.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "25:64" on line 9, page 108 with "25:128".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 50 L 3 # 434
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 typo "in is"
 SuggestedRemedy
 delete "in"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 193

Cl 113 SC 113.3.6.2.2 P 117 L 31 # 296
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Indentation is not correct for line 31 through line 38 in page 117.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add the following indentation:
 One level to line 31, page 117.
 Two levels to line 33, page 117.
 Three levels to line 35 through 38, page 117.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 481

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7.11 P 49 L 34 # 433
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 typo - "in" should be "is"
 SuggestedRemedy
 change "40GBASE-T in contained" to "40GBASE-T is contained"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comment 180

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 113 SC 113.3.7.2 P 123 L 19 # 297
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Dashed lines in Figure 113-17 at the middle left and the bottom left are inconsistent with the bottom right and not clear.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Use the same dashed line at the middle left and the bottom left in Figure 113-17 ad the bottom right.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 367 for more complete remedy

CI 113 SC 113.4.2.5.6 P 136 L 5 # 298
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The right most column of Table 113-10 is narrow to fit the header row in two lines.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Increase the width of the right most column of Table 113-10.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.13 P 45 L 10 # 431
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Table 45-128 description of bit 3.32.12 should insert new text before "PCS", not after.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "0 - BASE-R or 10GBASE-T PCS or 40GBASE-T receive..." to
 ""0 - BASE-R or 10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T PCS receive..."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.5.4.6.1 P 163 L 2 # 300
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The outer most "(" and ")" of equation (113-13) are not necessary.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the outer most "(" and ")" of equation (113-13).
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.20 P 103 L 21 # 290
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 The coefficient g_6 of x^6 is missing in equation (113-3).
 It is not necessary, because g_6 is always 1.
 However, it is recommended to include for consistency with figure 113-12.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add coefficient g_6 in front of x^6 in equation (113-3).
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.12.10 P 40 L 2 # 377
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Subclause number is incorrect:
 45.2.1.12.10 40GBASE-T ability (1.13.6)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to 45.2.1.12.9a in heading and Editing Instruction.
 (See P802_3xx_D0p1_version_2p3 pg 15 ln 31 for conventions)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 113 SC 113.5.2 P 155 L 2 # 415
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Name of register 1.132 is incorrect relative to clause 45 register name
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "(40GBASE-T Control Register)" to "(10G/40GBASE-T test mode register)"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.1.3.1 P 76 L 4 # 364
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Clause 81 cross reference should be live (CI 81 is included in the draft) and not forest green.
 Same issue for pg 76 ln 44
 SuggestedRemedy
 Per Comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.1.4 P 78 L 49 # 365
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Why are you using an indirect cross reference here.
 "See the PCS reference diagram in 113.2."
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "see Figure 113-5"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.14.3 P 47 L 19 # 366
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 lfer_count is referred to as a variable. while it is defined as a counter a counter
 The same issues appears in 45.2.3.14.4 pg 47 ln 29 for errored_block_count
 SuggestedRemedy
 change
 "defined by the lfer_count variable"
 to
 "defined by counter lfer_count"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.3.7.2 P 123 L 17 # 367
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Misc issues in Figure 113-17
 1) There appear to be two different dashed boxes, I assume there only one is intended.
 2) Transition from TX_C to TX_D does not connect to TX_C state.
 3) Dashed line below TX_E (around T_TYPE(tx_raw)=LI) crosses state transition
 T_TYPE(tx_raw) = (E + S).
 SuggestedRemedy
 1) Use the same pen for all dashed boxes
 2) Connect the line
 3) omit the extended leg up from the dashed box (use a simple rectangle)
 While not required it might be easier to read the transition states out of TX_E if the exit paths
 ran horizontally for a bit and conditions were all above the lines as is done for (T_TYPE(tx_raw)
 = LI) exiting TX_T state.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 297

CI 113 SC 113.4.2.2 P 129 L 45 # 369
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 No reason this cannot be a live reference "45.2.1.7.4"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make live and remove forest green
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 113 SC 113.3.5.3 P 114 L 39 # 370
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **D** EZ

Apparently October is a very important month for 40G Eth. (does it work during Nov-Sep too?) :-
)
 So I see 51 instance of this undefined abbreviation of Octet. Surely 102 characters won't break
 the bank and cause an overdraft of characters!

SuggestedRemedy

Change all 51 instance of "Oct" to Octet
 There are a few instance of "octet Oct" (ex pg 134 ln 50, pg 134 Ln 17, 26, & 35) which could
 probably be shortened to Octet

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 Commenter may consider this text is also in Clause 55 on revisions of 802.3

And thank you for the laugh after 100 comments on missing or double periods.

CI **A** SC **n/a** P **21** L **1** # 373
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **D** EZ

Annex A should not be included if there are no changes to it.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Annex A

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dup of comments 232, 256, 213, 54.
 See comment 256

CI **28** SC **28.3** P **23** L **5** # 374
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **D** EZ

28 instances of "as appropriate" are inappropriate. You need to tell the staff editors what they
 are to do and not do what they think is appropriate.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "as appropriate" to "as shown below" or "as follows" or similar wording that does not
 leave it to the editor's desecration.

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 409.
 The editor shall not desecrate the standard.

CI 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 17 # 361
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **D** EZ

I believe these three things are plural
 "Together, the PCS, PMA and the PMD sublayer"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "sublayer" to "sublayers"

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 274

CI **45** SC **45** P **37** L **3** # 376
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **ER** Comment Status **D** EZ

Strike the bracketed text as indicate by the following note in the template:
 "[Notes for editors (not to be included in the published draft - not even D1.0!)]"

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI **81** SC **81.1** P **65** L **7** # 360
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **D** EZ

I believe this is a replacement not a change (no changes indicated in figure):
 "Change Figure 81-1 as follows:"

SuggestedRemedy

Change Editing Instruction to: "Replace Figure 81-1 with the following:"

Proposed Response Response Status **W**

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 204 for a more complete remedy

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.12 P 39 L 37 # 378
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Are you change rows or inserting rows (only one row is shown in the table)?
 "Change and insert rows in Table 45–16 as appropriate."
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change Editing Instruction to read:
 "Change row in Table 45–16 as shown."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.62.1 P 40 L 26 # 379
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Editing instruction is very confusing
 "Change title and rows and insert row in Table 45–54 as appropriate."
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to read:
 "Change title in Table 45–54 as shown."
 Might also want to drop the actual table which is not being changed as has been done in subsequent sections.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change to read "Change title in Table 45-54 as shown."
 Delete table, and show only title

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.10 P 48 L 30 # 380
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Editing Instructions pointing to incorrect subclauses and headers missing section numbers:
 "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.3 ..."
 "40GBASE-T capability (7.32.11)"
 "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.6 ..."
 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.3)
 "Change title 45.2.7.10.6. Re-number to 45.2.7.10.8."
 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.1)
 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.1)

SuggestedRemedy
 Change to:
 "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.4 as shown."
 "45.2.7.10.4a 40GBASE-T capability (7.32.11)"
 bla bla bla
 "45.2.7.10.4b 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.3)"
 bla bla bla
 "Change title 45.2.7.10.6. (renumbered due to above);"
 45.2.7.10.6 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.32.1)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.7.4 P 38 L 52 # 392
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Stray period in front of Editing Instruction:
 ".Insert row in Table 45–9 as appropriate."
 ^
 SuggestedRemedy
 Strike the errant period.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.63 P 40 L 39 # 393
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Editing Instruction and header number disagree:
 "Change title 45.2.1.67 to include 40GBASE-T.
 45.2.1.63 10G/40GBASE-T pair swap and polarity register (Register 1.130)"

SuggestedRemedy

Change 45.2.1.67 to 45.2.1.63

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.7 P 43 L 38 # 394
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Change marking in Table 45-123 indicate that the "2 1 0" at top of description are being added (they are underlined). This is not the case.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove underlining of the "2 1 0" header.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.7.6 P 44 L 10 # 395
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

An inserted subclause after 45.2.3.7.5 should be designated 45.2.3.7.5a not 45.2.3.7.6 per template.
 Inserted text should not be underlined.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to 45.2.3.7.5a in header and Editing Instruction.
 Remove underline

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.9 P 44 L 17 # 396
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Errant period:
 "45.2.3.9 .EEE ..."
 ^

Also you are not changing rows but a row

SuggestedRemedy

Remove period
 Change Editing Instruction to "Change row in ..."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.1.3 P 75 L 45 # 398
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

In Figure 113-3 Note 1 refers to a "recovered_clock arc". Is this synonymous with the recovered_clock signal?

SuggestedRemedy

Change "recovered_clock arc" to "recovered_clock signal"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT. The meaning may be interpreted as same, but arc properly indicates the line on the diagram, whereas signal connotes electrical properties.

CI 28A SC n/a P 25 L 1 # 375
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

If there are no changes (as indicated by Editors Instructions) then the clause should be excluded from the draft. If you anticipate changes then why are you in WG Ballot when you are clearly not technically complete?

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Annex 28A from Draft.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. (dup of comments 5, 138, 248, 260, 263)

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 45 SC 45.2.7 P 47 L 37 # 351
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The title of the table does not appear to be changed:
 "Change title and rows in Table 45–200 as appropriate."

There appear to be several references in the table to 45.2.7.10

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read:
 "Change rows in Table 45–200 as shown."
 Remove excess references.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.2.4.5 P 178 L 47 # 411
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

title is incorrect relative to abbreviation and content

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Multiple disturber power sum equal level far-end-crosstalk (PS ACRF)" to "Multiple disturber power sum attenuation to crosstalk ratio, far-end (PS ACRF)"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of 473

Cl 28C SC 28C.11 P 27 L 21 # 410
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Missing reference to 40GBASE-T by name

SuggestedRemedy

Insert "(40GBASE-T)" after "Clause 113".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comment 310

Cl 00 SC 0 P 23 L 13 # 409
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Replace "as appropriate" with direct reference
 Consider comment generic to editing instructions on the draft

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "as appropriate" by reference to where row or text is inserted

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Editor to review draft and replace 'as appropriate' with specific references and instructions.

Cl 28 SC 28.3.1 P 23 L 5 # 408
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

indicate where to insert row

SuggestedRemedy

replace "as appropriate" with "following row for 10GigT"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 409.

Cl 81 SC 81.1 P 65 L 10 # 346
 Lusted, Kent Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

It isn't clear from the editing instructions on line 7 as to what is changing in the figure.

The figure does not contain any underlined text, strikeouts, etc.

Furthermore, when compared to Figure 81-1 in P802.3bx Draft 3.0, much of the text between the figure and the figure title is missing (i.e. NOTE 1, XLGMII, etc)

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify editing instructions and add align missing text with Figure 81-1 from P802.3bx D3.0.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 62

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 26 # 407

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Editors notes on lines 26 and 35 should be marked as being removed prior to publication

SuggestedRemedy

insert "(to be removed prior to publication)" after "Editor's Note" on lines 26 & 35.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 99 SC 1 P 6 L 13 # 406

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Missing task force chair and task force editor in chief and designation of task force

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "FirstName SecondName IEEE P802.3xx" with:
 "David Chalupsky IEEE P802.3bq" as Task Force Chair
 "George Zimmerman IEEE P802.3bq" as Task Force Editor-in-Chief

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comments 53, 211, 227

CI 113 SC 113 P 71 L 1 # 347

Lusted, Kent Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

The title "Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer, Physical Medium Dependent (PMD) sublayer, and baseband medium, type 40GBASE-T" states that a PMD sublayer is present in the 40GBASE-T PHY.

However, no PMD is listed in the architectural diagram nor specified in the text of Clause 113.

Clause 55 title does not use PMD nor it PMD defined in the Clause 55 text

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest updating the title to align with clause 55. Something like "Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer and baseband medium, type 40GBASE-T".

Also remove PMD reference in first and second paragraph of CI 113.1

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.9.8 P 44 L 35 # 348

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Should be 45.2.3.9.7a not 45.2.3.9.8 in both header and Editing Instruction.

SuggestedRemedy

per comment

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.1.3 P 72 L 42 # 362

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Is there some good reason to use 10000 Mb/s here rather than 10 Gb/s? It would certainly make the sentence more readable.

SuggestedRemedy

change 10000 Mb/s to 10 Gb/s

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comment 67

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.14 P 46 L 22 # 350

Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Title for Table 45-129 does not appear to have change marking (may just be a frame issue but should be fixed)

SuggestedRemedy

Show mark-up in title.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 10 # 436
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Missing editing instruction
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert editing instruction prior to Figure 80-1:
 Change Figure 80-1 as follows:
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 381

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11 P 49 L 6 # 352
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Stray period.
 ". Change title and rows in Table 45–208 as appropriate."
 ^
 SuggestedRemedy
 strike.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 30 for more complete response.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11.6 P 50 L 1 # 353
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Inserted para numbering incorrect
 "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.11.6 ..."
 "45.2.7.11.7 Link partner 40GBASE-T capability (7.33.8)"
 Also:
 "45.2.7.11.9 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.0)"
 "45.2.7.11.10 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.1)"
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change 45.2.7.11.7 to 45.2.7.11.6a
 Change 45.2.7.11.9 to 45.2.7.11.7a
 Change 45.2.7.11.10 to 45.2.7.11.7b
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comments 31, 33, 184

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.13 P 51 L 1 # 354
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Only changing one row and excessive use of periods
 "Change rows in Table 45–210 as appropriate.."
 ^
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to "Change row in Table 45–210 as appropriate."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 186

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 16 # 355
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Incorrect inserted para number:
 "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.13.9 and re-number remaining clauses accordingly.
 45.2.7.13.10 40GBASE-T EEE supported (7.60.9)"
 Excessive use of underlined (not needed for inserts)
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change 45.2.7.13.9 to 45.2.7.13.4 in Editing Instruction
 Change 45.2.7.13.10 to 45.2.7.13.4a in header
 Remove underlining
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 23 # 356
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Only changing one row:
 "Change rows in Table 45–211 ..."
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change rows to row
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 188

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 99 SC 1 P 1 L 29 # 405
 Zimmerman, George CME Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 front matter is missing balloting stage text
 SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "[review/balloting stage]" by "Working Group ballot"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comments 252, 474, 208

CI 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 50 # 359
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Excessive use of forest green text "Table 80-2"
 SuggestedRemedy
 change color to black
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 202 for a more complete remedy
 Apologies from a red-green colorblind editor.

CI 45 SC 45.5 P 52 L 4 # 357
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 More rows of "rows" that should be rows or "row"
 Pg In
 52 4
 52 13
 53 1
 Isn't editing CI 45 a pain? This too shall pass :-)
 SuggestedRemedy
 per comment
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.13 P 44 L 39 # 349
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Editing Instructions should be consistent:
 "Change paragraph of 45.2.3.13 ..."
 Same issue in 45.2.3.13.1, 45.2.3.13.4, 45.2.3.13.5, & 45.2.3.14
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to read:
 "Change title and paragraph of 45.2.3.13 ..."
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 11 # 358
 Remein, Duane Huawei Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 No need to include text that is not changing:
 "Change text in clause 78.1.3.3.1 as follows:"
 There are also thee stray "<XREF>" marks in this section (ln 34, 51 & pg 58 ln 2).
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change to read:
 "Change 7th paragraph in clause 78.1.3.3.1 as follows:"
 Remove the first 6 paras: "When the start of "Assert LPI" encoding on the xMII is detected, ...
 No data frames are lost or corrupted during the transition to or from the LPI mode."
 Remove stray "<XREF>"s
 Remove unchanged Figure 78-4 and WARNING following.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 113 SC 113.7.2.3 P 175 L # 220

Shariff, Masood CommScope

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

Duplicate specifications at 10, 100, 1000 MHz because of using the <= sign for the upper frequencies in the ranges

SuggestedRemedy

Replace <= at these upper frequencies in the ranges with < in equatin 113-27

Also update equation 113-27 to use the latest TIA-568-C.2-1 draft 3.1 RL equations for consistency with equations other paramters.

19.0 1 <= f < 10
 24-5log(f) 10 <= f < 40
 16.0 40 <= f < 130
 35-9log(f) 130 <= f < 1000
 8 dB 1000 <= f <= 2000

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 00 SC 0 P 4 L 19 # 254

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Might want to add an editors note here for the publication editor to insert descriptions of any other amendments approved before or at the same SASB meeting (take text from the approved amendment front matter).

SuggestedRemedy

See comment.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 01 SC 1.5 P 20 L 38 # 231

Booth, Brad Microsoft

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

No abbreviations listed.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove 1.5.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 121

CI A SC A P 21 L 1 # 232

Booth, Brad Microsoft

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

No bibliography

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Annex A

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dup of comments 54, 256, 213, 373. See comment 256

CI 78 SC 78.1 P 57 L 9 # 233

Booth, Brad Microsoft

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Missing a space between "the" and "10GBASE-T"

SuggestedRemedy

Add space.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 41

CI 80 SC 80.1.4 P 61 L 49 # 235

Booth, Brad Microsoft

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Twisted-pair should be hyphenated.

SuggestedRemedy

Add hyphen. Check draft for other occurrences.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 99 SC Table of Contents P 11 L 27 # 236
Brown, Thomas Vitesse Semiconductor

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Under Annex 28c in TOC, a phrase is listed 1000 xGBASE-T

The phrase 1000 xGBASE-T is not correct.

SuggestedRemedy

I believe the author should replace 1000 xGBASE-T with 1000BASE-T

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Edit to title of 28.C.11 removed 10GBASE-T and 1000, and inserted xG to make this the xGBASE-T code - Editor to review table of contents generation to fix.

CI 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 16 # 488
Brown, Matt APM

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Incorrect and incomplete editing instruction. Since you are showing the whole table with changes a change instruction rather than insert instruction is required. Also, the reference point for the new row is incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
Insert the following row after 40GBASE-LR4 and rightmost end column to Table 80-2 (existing PHY entries in new column are blank)
To:
Change Table 80-2, inserting a new row for 40GBASE-T and a new column for 40GBASE-T PCS/PMA/PMD, as follows:

Underline all items in the new row and new column.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 201

LATE COMMENT - TASK FORCE TO VOTE ON CONSIDERING

CI 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 27 # 238
HESS, DAVE CORD DATA

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC"

SuggestedRemedy

replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 36 # 239
HESS, DAVE CORD DATA

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC"

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI A SC A P 21 L 1 # 213
Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Either include some bibliography entries to be added to Annex A or remove it from the draft

SuggestedRemedy

Either include some bibliography entries to be added to Annex A and remove the editor's note and "[Bx1] Name—Title."
or remove Annex A from the draft entirely

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Dup of comments 232, 256, 54, 373.
See comment 256

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 113 SC 113.5.4.6.5 P 164 L 33 # 217
 Shariff, Masood CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Missing spec at 2000 MHz
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change < 2000 MHz to <= 2000 MHz
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 99 SC P 19 L 46 # 212
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The editor's note refers to "IEEE P802.3bj and IEEE P802.3bk" which will both be superseded amendments by the time P802.3bq is published.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change:
 "(e.g., IEEE P802.3bj and IEEE P802.3bk)" to:
 "(e.g., IEEE P802.3bn and IEEE P802.3bw)"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.8.2.1 P 183 L 12 # 221
 Shariff, Masood CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Improve MDI RL specifications formatting
 SuggestedRemedy
 Format equations on the rows so the frequency ranges and equations line up from top to bottom
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.8.2.2 P 183 L 27 # 222
 Shariff, Masood CommScope
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Improve equation 113-46 formatting
 SuggestedRemedy
 Format equation so columns line up (maybe use left alignment)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 49 # 223
 Booth, Brad Microsoft
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Double period at end of paragraph.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fix.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 9 # 224
 Booth, Brad Microsoft
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Over-use of defining acronyms. PCS, PMA and PMD are defined in the title and don't need to be redefined in the first paragraph. IEEE editor may catch these also.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Review usage with editor and eliminate excessive definitions.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Editor to review relative to existing IEEE Std. 802.3 style

CI 113 SC 113.1 P 71 L 28 # 225
 Booth, Brad Microsoft
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Auto-negotiation is hyphenated.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert hyphen.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.20 P 103 L 20 # 289
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

The number above "PI" symbol is not readable in equation (113-3).

SuggestedRemedy

Make the number above "PI" symbol to be read as "5" in equation (113-3).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 226

Cl 00 SC 0 P 6 L 13 # 227
 Booth, Brad Microsoft

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

I'm sure that there is a task force chair and an editor for this project whose names are not
 FirstName SecondName. :-)

SuggestedRemedy

Add the name for the Task Force chair and editor. Also add the names of the 802.3 voters.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comments 53, 211, 406

Cl 113 SC 113.3.2.2.5 P 92 L 28 # 280
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

There is a meaningless mark above "Note: zero-pad replaced with random bits for
 transmission" in Figure 113-6 around line 28, page 92.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the mark above the note in Figure 113-6.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.2.5 P 29 L 14 # 266
 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The column heading for the 10G/40GBASE-T Operating Margin package has become too long
 and "(conditional)" qualification word-wraps into oblivion.

SuggestedRemedy

Increase the height of heading row so that the heading text fits.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 00 SC 0 P 3 L 13 # 253
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Typically this box uses the expected name of the standard with 201x year. (It would be helpful
 to the
 publication editor to be consistent in use of 201x or 20xx. Though we aren't very close to
 decade wrap,
 we will have to start using 20xx in a few years.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace IEEE P802.3bq with IEEE Std 802.3bq-201x (or 20xx).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.5.4.6.4 P 164 L 10 # 216
 Shariff, Masood CommScope

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Missing spec for 2000 MHz

SuggestedRemedy

Change < 2000 to <= 2000

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 16 # 201

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

As the changes to Table 80-2 have not been marked, a "Replace" editing instruction is more appropriate than an Insert.
The "113" clause number in the rightmost heading should be a cross-reference
The ruling between the headings for the 40GBASE-FR PMD and 40GBASE-T PCS/PMA/PMD columns is the wrong thickness

SuggestedRemedy

Change the editing instruction to "Replace Table 80-2 as follows:"
Make the "113" clause number in the rightmost heading a cross-reference
Make the ruling between the headings for the 40GBASE-FR PMD and 40GBASE-T PCS/PMA/PMD columns "Very thin"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
See comments 335, 488, 382, 73, 336, 46, 359
And remove "/PMD" from 40GBASE-T entry if comment 347 is accepted

CI 45 SC 45.5 P 52 L 4 # 190

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The editing instructions are not appropriate.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 45.5.3.2 editing instruction to:
"Insert a new row for *40T below the row for *10T in the table in 45.5.3.2 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
Remove the underline from the new row.
Change 45.5.3.6 editing instruction to:
"Change the row for *CT in the table in 45.5.3.6 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
Change 45.5.3.7 editing instruction to:
"Change the rows for RM15, RM16, and RM37 through RM40 in the table in 45.5.3.7 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
Change 45.5.3.8 editing instruction to:
"Change the row for *AT in the table in 45.5.3.8 as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
Change 45.5.3.9 editing instruction to:
"Change the row for AM51 in the table in 45.5.3.9 and insert new rows for AM61 and AM62 at the end of the table as follows (unchanged rows not shown):"
Remove the underline from the AM61 and AM62 rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.5 P 52 L 9 # 191

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Many of the cross-references in 45.5 are shown in green, but should be active cross-references.
In 45.5.3.2, "45.2.1.8" is the "PMD transmit disable register". "45.2.1.12" seems to be a better place to point to as this is where the 40GBASE-T ability bit resides.
In 45.5.3.9, there should be entries in the subclause column for AM61 and AM62.

SuggestedRemedy

In 45.5.3.2, change "45.2.1.8" to "45.2.1.12" and make it a cross-reference.
In 45.5.3.6, make "45.2.3" a cross-reference.
In 45.5.3.7, make "45.2.13" (2 instances) and "45.2.14" (2 instances) cross-references.
In 45.5.3.8, make "45.2.7" a cross-reference.
In 45.5.3.9, make "45.2.7.11.1" a cross-reference and add cross-references to "45.2.7.10" to the AM61 and AM62 rows.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.5.3.7 P 52 L 30 # 192

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

RM16 says "Loopback bit returns zero when operating at 10 Gb/s with port type selections other than 10GBASE-R or 10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T". Now that 40GBASE-T has been added, "at 10 Gb/s" is no longer correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "when operating at 10 Gb/s" to "when operating at 10 Gb/s or 40 Gb/s"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 47 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 50 L 3 # 193
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

This says "The bit is only valid when page receive bit 7.1.6 in is set to one."
 "The bit" would be better as "Bit 7.33.8"
 "page receive bit 7.1.6" should be "page received bit 7.1.6"
 spurious "in" after "7.1.6"

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
 "The bit is only valid when page receive bit 7.1.6 in is set to one." to:
 "Bit 7.33.8 is only valid when page received bit 7.1.6 is set to one."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 321

Cl 78 SC 78.1.3.3.1 P 57 L 34 # 194
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The cross-reference to "Figure 78-3" is showing as "<XREF>Figure 78-3".
 This is because the "FigNumber" cross-reference format used in Clause 78 is "<XREF>Figure\
 <\$paranumonly>" and this has been pasted into a clause file other than the original Clause 78
 file which does not have an "XREF" character tag defined.

SuggestedRemedy

The easiest way to fix this is to highlight the cross-reference, open the Cross-reference pod,
 Edit Format, delete the "XREF" from the start of the Definition, Done, Internal Cross-
 References, Update.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 74

Cl 78 SC 78.1.4 P 58 L 35 # 195
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The inserted rows in Tables 78-1, 78-2, and 78-5 should not be underlined as they are
 associated with "Insert" editing instructions.
 The font used for these inserted rows is incorrect.

Also, the title of Table 78-2 is "Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHYs or
 interfaces" not "Clauses associated with each interface type"

SuggestedRemedy

In Tables 78-1, 78-2, and 78-5 remove the underline from the inserted rows.
 Re-apply the "CellBody" paragraph tag to the inserted rows (Times New Roman 9pt).
 Correct the title of Table 78-2 to "Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHYs or
 interfaces"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comments 43, 271, 272, 328, 329

Cl 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 9 # 196
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

The point of the list in 80.1.3 is to define the locations where the data-path widths are cannot be
 changed by the implementation. Each element in the existing list states what the width at that
 location is.

Also, as this is associated with an Insert editing instruction it should not be underlined.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to: "k) The MDI as specified in Clause 113 for 40GBASE-T uses a 4 lane data path."

Remove the underline

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 24 # 197
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

There is no editing instruction associated with Figure 80-1.
 The curly brackets associated with the left hand two stacks have no labels.
 The AN block in the 40GBASE-T stack has note 2 applied: "NOTE 2—CONDITIONAL BASED ON PHY TYPE", but there is only one PHY type and the AN layer is not shown as optional in Table 80-2 or Figure 113-1.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an editing instruction: "Replace Figure 80-1 as follows:"
 Remove the curly brackets associated with the left hand two stacks in Figure 80-1.
 Remove note 2 from the AN block in the middle stack in Figure 80-1

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comments 234, 381

Cl 80 SC 80.1.4 P 61 L 47 # 198
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Text associated with an Insert editing instruction should not be underlined. (strictly, this would result in underlined text being inserted into the standard.)

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the underline from the inserted text in 80.1.4

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.5.4.6.2 P 163 L 25 # 215
 Shariff, Masood CommScope

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

RL for direct attach link segements has duplicate specs at 25 MHz and 1000 MHz

SuggestedRemedy

Change ≤ 25 to < 25
 and ≤ 1000 to < 1000

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Use consistent notation for symbols in equations (e.g., =, >, etc)

$1000 < f \leq 2000$
 does not include 1000
 $25 < f \leq 1000$
 does not include 25

Cl 80 SC 80.1.4 P 62 L 10 # 200
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Word missing in "using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding for transmission balanced twisted-pair"

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding for transmission over balanced twisted-pair"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change

"40 Gb/s PHY using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding for transmission balanced twisted-pair structured cabling systems" to

"40 Gb/s PHY using RS-FEC and LDPC encoding over balanced twisted-pair structured cabling systems (see Clause 113)"

(see comment 487)

Cl 30 SC 30.2.5 P 29 L 10 # 265
 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Make the ruling and shading of Table 30-1e consistent with IEEE P802.3/D3.0.

SuggestedRemedy

Contact the Editor-in-Chief of IEEE P802.3 (IEEE 802.3bx) for the ruling and shading information and reformat accordingly.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 52 # 202
 Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The note is not formatted correctly.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Note: " to "NOTE—" where "—" is an em dash (Ctrl-q Shft-q).

Apply the paragraph Tag "Note" (9 pt font).

Make "Clause 28" a cross-reference

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 80 SC 80.4 P 63 L 16 # 203
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The footnotes are not formatted as table footnotes.
 In footnote b 1.4.110 should be 1.4.117
 Footnote c is missing
 SuggestedRemedy
 Format the footnotes at table footnotes
 In footnote b change "1.4.110" to "1.4.117"
 add footnote c
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 81 SC 81.1 P 65 L 7 # 204
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 A "Change" editing instruction isn't appropriate here.
 The AN block in the 40GBASE-T stack has note 2 applied. If it was visible, this would be:
 "NOTE 2—CONDITIONAL BASED ON PHY TYPE", but there is only one PHY type and the
 AN layer is not shown as optional in Table 80-2 or Figure 113-1.
 The acronym expansions and notes in the figure have an inappropriate format.
 The spacing of the three stacks id uneven.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the editing instruction to: "Replace Figure 81-1 as follows:"
 Remove note 2 from the AN block in the middle stack in Figure 81-1
 Fix format of the acronym expansions and notes in the figure.
 Change the position of the three stacks to be even and centred on the blocks above.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 81 SC 81.1.7.3 P 65 L 52 # 205
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 "in Figure 81-10a" should be "in Figure 81-13" and it should be in green font.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "in Figure 81-10a" to "in Figure 81-13" and apply the character tag "External"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 81.5 SC 81.5.3.7 P 69 L 12 # 206
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ
 81.3.1.2 TXC<7:0> (transmit control) does not define detection of Link Interruption.
 The entries in the Subclause column for both rows and "Table 81-5" should be a cross-
 reference (not green).
 The item numbering does not follow the numbering practice for the rest of this PICS.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "81.3.1.2" to "81.3.4".
 Make the entries in the Subclause column for both rows and "Table 81-5" cross-references.
 Change item "LINT" to "LINT1"
 Change item "LINT1" to "LINT2"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 99 SC P 1 L 10 # 207
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The " X" was removed from "Amendment X:" in the 802.3 template in February 2014
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "Amendment X:" to "Amendment:" on page 1, line 10 and also on page 19, line 3
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 99 SC P 1 L 29 # 208
 Anslow, Pete Ciena
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 "[review/balloting stage]" should be replaced with the stage that the draft is at.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "[review/balloting stage]" to "Working Group ballot recirculation"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comments 405, 252, 474

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 99 SC P 3 L 13 # 209

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

In the second editor's note, "Amendment title (SHALL match PAR)" should be replaced by the exact wording of the amendment title from the PAR.

SuggestedRemedy

In the second editor's note, replace "Amendment title (SHALL match PAR)" with the exact wording of the amendment title from the PAR.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 99 SC P 4 L 24 # 210

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

There is a spurious "IEEE 802.3 will continue to evolve." on line 24
Also, the summary of other amendments that are likely to be published before 802.3bq (at least IEEE Std 802.3bw-201x) should be added here

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "IEEE 802.3 will continue to evolve." on line 24
Add the summary of other amendments that are likely to be published before 802.3bq (at least IEEE Std 802.3bw-201x).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 99 SC P 6 L 13 # 211

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Change:
FirstName SecondName, IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Chair
FirstName SecondName, IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Editor-in-Chief
to the text appropriate for this project

SuggestedRemedy

Change:
FirstName SecondName, IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Chair
FirstName SecondName, IEEE P802.3xx Task Force name Task Force Editor-in-Chief
to the text appropriate for this project

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comments 53, 227, 406

Cl 80 SC 80.1.4 P 62 L 1 # 199

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The Green font to denote an external cross-reference is not appropriate for an editing instruction since, by definition, the thing it refers to is in the draft!

SuggestedRemedy

Use the normal font for "Table 80-1" on line 1, "Table 80-2" on line 16 and also for "Table 80-2" on line 50.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 78 SC 78.1.4 P 58 L 27 # 327

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Clause title is not correct.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the clause title of 78.1.4 with "PHY types optionally supporting EEE".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 32 L 14 # 250

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Clause number should be a cross reference.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace text with cross reference. Also line 54.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 113 SC 113.2.1.2.1 P 80 L 28 # 485

Brown, Matt APM

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

If "READY" is not used for 40GBASE-T why is it listed in the 40GBASE-T clause?

SuggestedRemedy

Remove READY from the sentence on line 25.
Remove READY and description from the list on line 28.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comment 71

LATE COMMENT - TASK FORCE TO VOTE ON CONSIDERING

CI 113 SC 113.3.2.2.20 P 103 L 20 # 226

Booth, Brad Microsoft

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Equation 113-3 is chopped.

SuggestedRemedy

Fix.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 289

CI 45 SC 45.2.3.9.8 P 44 L 33 # 317

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The new paragraph 45.2.3.9.8 for 3.20.7 should be inserted after 45.2.3.9.4.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the reference to "45.2.3.9.7" on line 33 with "45.2.3.9.4".

Change the subclause number "45.2.3.9.8" on line 35 with "45.2.3.9.5".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.10.5 P 48 L 30 # 318

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

The new two paragraphs for 7.32.11 and 7.32.3 should be inserted after 45.2.7.10.4 and the clause numbers are missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.3" on line 30 with "Insert two new paragraphs after 45.2.7.10.4".

Insert clause number "45.2.7.10.5" at the beginning of line 32.

Remove the note of "Insert new paragraph after 45.2.7.10.6 and re-number remaining clauses accordingly." on line 38.

Insert clause number "45.2.7.10.6" at the beginning of line 40.

Insert clause number "45.2.7.10.8" at the beginning of line 48.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.10.5 P 48 L 36 # 319

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

Reference to bit 7.32.12 should be 7.32.11.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "7.32.12" on line 36 with "7.32.11".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 49 L 51 # 320

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

New paragraph for 7.33.8 should be inserted after 45.2.7.11.7 instead of after 45.2.7.11.6.

SuggestedRemedy

Change reference to "45.2.7.11.6" on line 51 in page 49 with "45.2.7.11.7".

Change clause number "45.2.7.11.7" on line 1 in page 50 with "45.2.7.11.8".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11.7 P 50 L 3 # 321
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 "in" after "bit 7.1.6" does not make sense.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove "in" after "bit 7.1.6".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 193

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.11.9 P 50 L 7 # 322
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 New paragraph for 7.33.0 should be inserted after the paragraph for 7.33.1.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change line 7 through 23 in page 50 as follows:

 Change paragraph 45.2.7.11.8 and re-number to 45.2.7.11.9 and insert new paragraph 45.2.7.11.10 in consideration of newly inserted 45.2.7.11.8.

 45.2.7.11.9 10GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.1)

 When read as a one, bit 7.33.1 is used to indicate that the link partner has the ability to support the 10GBASE-T fast retrain capability as specified in 55.4.2.5.15. When read as a zero, bit 7.33.1 indicates that the PHY lacks the ability to support the 10GBASE-T fast retrain capability.

 45.2.7.11.10 40GBASE-T Fast retrain ability (7.33.0)

 When read as a one, bit 7.33.0 is used to indicate that the link partner has the ability to support the 40GBASE-T fast retrain capability as specified in 113.4.2.5.15. When read as a zero, bit 7.33.0 indicates that the PHY lacks the ability to support the 40GBASE-T fast retrain capability.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 183

CI 45 SC 45.2.7.13.10 P 51 L 16 # 323
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 New paragraph for 7.60.9 should be inserted after 45.2.7.13.4 instead of after 45.2.7.13.9.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change reference to "45.2.7.13.9" on line 16 with "45.2.7.13.4".

 Change clause number "45.2.7.13.10" on lin 18 with "45.2.7.13.5".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 355

CI 80 SC 80.1.4 P 62 L 10 # 487
 Brown, Matt APM
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Missing Clause reference.
 Missing "over" between "transmission" and "balanced".
 To be consistent with the other descriptions in this table "for transmission" should be "over".
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change:
 40 Gb/s PHY using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding for transmission balanced twisted-pair structured cabling systems
 To:
 40 Gb/s PHY using RS-FEC/LDPC encoding over balanced twisted-pair structured cabling systems (see Clause 113)
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 200

 LATE COMMENT - TASK FORCE TO VOTE ON CONSIDERING

CI 78 SC 78.1 P 57 L 3 # 325
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Changed text in 78.1 does not exist in P02.3bx draft D3.0.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove line 3 through 9 in page 57 (i.e. change to text in 78.1).
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 00 SC 0 P 1 L 12 # 251
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Awkward alignment.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Make left justified rather than left right justified. Also title page 19.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 7 # 330
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Title of clause is not correct.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change the title of 80.1.3 with "Relationship of 40 Gigabit and 100 Gigabit Ethernet to the ISO OSI reference model".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 78 SC 78.1.4 P 58 L 30 # 328
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Caption and header row of Table 78-1 are incorrect and inconsistent.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "each interface type" in Table 78-1 caption with "each PHY or interface type".
 Change "PHY type" in header row of Table 78-1 with "PHY or interface type".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 195 for a more complete response.

Cl 80 SC 80.1.3 P 61 L 9 # 331
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 A period is missing.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add a period "." at the end of line 9.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 78 SC 78.2 P 58 L 44 # 329
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Caption and header row of Table 78-2 are incorrect.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change caption of Table 78-2 with "Summary of the key EEE parameters for supported PHYs or interfaces".
 Change "Protocol" in header row with "PHY or interface type".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 195 for a more complete response

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 113 SC 113.1.1 P 71 L 31 # 483

Brown, Matt APM

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

The objectives listed in this subclause are for the project, not for the PHY. In several recent amendments a similar objectives subclause has been removed from existing sub-clauses as the new project has new objectives and it becomes difficult to keep this list current and not included in new clauses. As an example see 802.3az (no project objectives in 78), 802.3bj (removed project objectives in 69.1.2), and 802.3by (deleted BASE-R FEC project objectives, replaced with summary, no objectives in Clause 105).

The clause should list what is supported, not what was intended (objectives = "something that one's efforts or actions are intended to attain or accomplish"). If a summary of the features is necessary these should be list as such, not as project objectives.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove sub-clause 113.1.1. Add a summary of functions if the text in 13.1 is not sufficient.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

LATE COMMENT - TASK FORCE TO VOTE ON CONSIDERING

113.1.1 to be removed
See comment 457

Cl 80 SC 80.1.4 P 61 L 49 # 333

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Phrase "for transmitting 40GBASE-T over" is odd and inconsistent with other paragraphs in the same clause.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "for transmitting 40GBASE-T over" with "for 40Gb/s operation over".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 80 SC 80.1.4 P 62 L 1 # 334

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

40GBASE-ER4 is added to Table 80-1 in P802.3bx.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "between 40GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-KR4" with "between 40GBASE-ER4 and 100GBASE-KR4".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 80 SC 80.1.5 P 62 L 16 # 335

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

40GBASE-ER4 is added in P802.3bx.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "after 40GBASE-LR4" on line 16 with "after 40GBASE-ER4".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See comment 201

Cl 113 SC 113.1.2 P 72 L 9 # 276

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

A period "." is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a period "." at the end of line 9.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.2.2.4.2 P 85 L 4 # 278

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

800 MHz is probably incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "800 MHz" with "3.2 GHz".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 113 SC 113.1.3 P 72 L 45 # 279
 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Laboratories of

Comment Type T Comment Status D EZ

Words "auxiliary channel bit" and "auxiliary bit" are used inconsistently.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "auxiliary channel bit" in the following locations with "auxiliary bit":

- Page 72, line 45
- Page 76, line 15
- Page 76, line 19
- Page 90, line 46

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 Same usage occurs in Clause 55 - commenter may wish to file comments in revision or maintenance

Cl 113 SC 113.1.3 P 72 L 42 # 484
 Brown, Matt APM

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

Per style manual section 13.3.2 "10000" should be "10 000".
 Alternately, you can reduce the integer size by using "giga" instead of "mega".
 Also, it more common and more concise to use "baud" instead of "symbols per second".

SuggestedRemedy

- Change "10000 Mb/s" to "10 000 Mb/s" or "10 Gb/s".
- Change "3200 Megasymbols per second" to "3 200 Megasymbols per second" or "3.2 Gigasamples per second" or "3.2 Gbaud".
- Use the same form throughout the clause (e.g., Figure 113-2).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

LATE COMMENT - TASK FORCE TO VOTE ON CONSIDERING

- Change format of 10000 to 10 Gb/s
- Change 3200 to 3 200
- (maintain Megasymbols per second)
- Editor to review clause to maintain consistency

Cl Annex SC P 21 L 1 # 256
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

Looks like the FrameMaker book is messed up.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete Annex A at this point from the FrameMaker book.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. (Dup of comments 232, 54, 213, 373.)
 Add Editor's note (to be removed prior to publication): This clause is a placeholder for bibliographic entries, and is to be deleted if none are added by the end of the WG ballot phase.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.2.1 P 174 L 40 # 242
 HESS, DAVE CORD DATA

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC"

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 113 SC 113.7.2 P 174 L 23 # 241
 HESS, DAVE CORD DATA

Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ

Some uses of "ISO" should be "ISO/IEC".
 Complete "Category 8", should be "TIA Category 8"

SuggestedRemedy

- Table 113-22
- Replace "ISO" with "ISO/IEC" in 3 places
- Table 113-22, row 2, column 1
- Replace "ISO Class I / Category 8" with "ISO/IEC Class I / TIA Category 8"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 28 SC 28.5.3 P 23 L 32 # 264
 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 The option "**40G" is defined but is not used.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add "40G:M" to the "Status" column for item SD11 and "!40G:M" to the "Status" column for item SD10.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 28A SC P 25 L 1 # 263
 Healey, Adam Avago Technologies
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 There are no obvious changes to Annex 28A.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Remove Annex 28A from the draft amendment.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. (dup of comments 5, 138, 375, 248, 260)

Cl Annex SC 28D.8 P 28 L 10 # 262
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 FrameMaker definition seems to be messed up, no space after number.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Fix FrameMaker definition.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 69

Cl Annex SC P 26 L 8 # 261
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 This should be an insert instruction.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert new list item a) and re-letter following list items.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 28 SC Table 26-9 P 23 L 13 # 259
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type ER Comment Status D EZ
 Again, what is appropriate, don't make the publication editor guess. Also a problem with line 27 and line 39.
 SuggestedRemedy
 In all locations replace "appropriate." with "as follows:". Editor is requested to search for appropriate and make all editing instructions precise. Better to use instructions like: Change the indicated row of Table x-x as follows, Insert the following in numeric order.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. Dup of comment 258, see comment 409

Cl 28 SC Table 26-9 P 23 L 13 # 258
 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting
 Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ
 Again, what is appropriate, don't make the publication editor guess.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Insert row in Table 28-9 in alphabetic order.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. See comment 409.

Cl 28A SC 0 P 25 L 1 # 248
 Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation
 Comment Type TR Comment Status D EZ
 Annex 28A does not belong in this draft amendment because there are no changes being made to it.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete Annex 28A from this draft amendment.
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT. (dup of comments 5, 138, 375, 260, 263)

Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 40Gb/s Operation, Type 40GBASE-T Initial Working Gr

Cl 28 SC 28.3.1 P 23 L 6 # 257

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

What is appropriate. Looking at P802.3/D3.0, this list of variables appears to be random. I expect alphanumerical order would be appropriate, and will submit a comment against P802.3/D4.0 to make this section alphanumerical ordered. Also, format does not match base document.

SuggestedRemedy

Change editing instruction to be Insert the following in the first variable list in alphanumerical order. Additionally, it appears that the semicolon should be followed by a tab rather than a space (please use same format as is used in the base, the list is also slightly indented on the left).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
See comment 409.
Insert in order consistent with revision draft
Format same as base on indentation

Cl Annex SC P 25 L 1 # 260

Grow, Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type E Comment Status D EZ

There does not appear to be any modifications to this Annex.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove Annex 28A from the FrameMaker book.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT. (dup of comments 5, 138, 375, 248, 263)

Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.14 P 46 L 25 # 24

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type T Comment Status D Format

In Table 45-129, there are multiple instances of "10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T ". Following other changes in Clause 45, text "10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T " should be "10/40GBASE-T" since the statements are applicable to 10GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T alike

SuggestedRemedy

Change "10GBASE-T or 40GBASE-T " to "10G/40GBASE-T in Table 45-129. Consider applying similar changes in other locations in Clause 45, where similar text exists.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT. See comment 17

Cl 113 SC 113.1.1 P 71 L 31 # 457

Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Format

We seem to have a new convention in the 802.3 WG of not including the project objectives in the amendment, so this subclause must be deleted.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete 113.1.1 Objectives.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 28D SC 28D.9 P 28 L 10 # 455

Frazier, Howard Broadcom Corporation

Comment Type TR Comment Status D Format

The practice that was introduced by 100BASE-T2 of providing a long list of extensions for each new BASE-T PHY is getting out of hand, and will become worse with the future additions of 25G, 2.5G and 5G. Many of the extensions apply to all of the BASE-T PHYs introduced starting with 100BASE-T2. Rather than instantiating a new long list of extensions for 40GBASE-T, it would be better to present this information in tabular form.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace 28D.4, 28D.5, 28D.6 and 28D.8 with a new subclause 28D.4 that presents all of the extensions for BASE-T PHYs in a table that is easily extensible to include future BASE-T PHYs.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.
Text is consistent with existing base standard style and practices. Practice describes what capabilities the new PHY requires for those unfamiliar with older PHYs, which is useful. Commentor fails to provide replacement text.

Cl 01 SC 1.4 P 20 L 21 # 1

Hajduczenia, Marek Bright House Networks

Comment Type T Comment Status D Format

It is not clear why we say "2,000 MHz" and not rather "2 GHz"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "2,000 MHz" to "2 GHz" in line 21 and 30 in definition of Category 8.1 and Category 8.2. There is no reason to spell out MHz when the number in GHz is much more readable.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
2000 MHz is used for consistency with the cabling specifications
Remove comma and write as 2 000 MHz per IEEE style guide.
See comment 120