. <u></u>								
C/ 00 SC	Р	L	# 292	C/ 00 S	SC 0	Р	L	# 57
Tretter, Albert	Siemens			Grow, Robert		RMG Cons	ulting	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment Typ	9 TR	Comment Status A		PAUSE
aMACMergeVerifyDi enabled at Tx side au transmit direction (MergeStatusTx contains the dire sable not also have the extension and also in the description it is m given device in the transmit dire	on "Tx". Becau entioned that	use preemption is only	delay requ It seems t	irements, the timpacts and impacts sed. Were	1, and a few other mentions he amendment doesn't addr on pause quanta and interr these other optional protoco	ess interaction wi uptability of MAC	ith MAC Control pause. control frames should
SuggestedRemedy								
Change the name of	the attribute to aMACMergeVer	ifyDisableTx		SuggestedRer Please ad				
Response	Response Status C				uless.			
ACCEPT.				Response		Response Status U		
	Р	1	# 380	ACCEPT considere		PLE. Interoperation with MAC	C Control PAUSE	and PFC was
Peter Stassar	, Huawei Techr	ologies	# 300	concident				
actual text, where on	Comment Status A consistent between its title, refer ly 'Preempt' is being used.	ring to Intersp	Preepmt vs IET persing Traffic and the	with MAC PAUSE w was done	Merge." ould only af to redefine	Control Sublayer shall not g fect the MAC Control sublay how it worked with two MAC rould make more sense to us	ver on which it wa Control sublaye	s received unless work
SuggestedRemedy								
Fix inconsistency. Response	Response Status U			has been	discussed v	1Qbu should discuss the inte with the TSN task group duri currently says to send PFC r	ng our joint meeti	ings. They are handling
	PLE. Preemption is the mechan o 99.1 to explain the relationshi		s for interspersing					
This is achieved by u Control (MAC) and a Signaling Sublayer (I methods to stop tran	layer supports interspersing exp ising a MAC Merge sublayer to preemptable MAC to a single F PLS) service. The MAC Merge s smission of preemptable traffic eempt or not initiate transmissio e transmitted.	attach an exp Physical sublayer supp so that expres	ress Media Access orts this with two is traffic can be					

C/ 00 SC 0



Comment Type TR Comment Status R

I am unable to convince myself that the amendment doesn't make what is to me are unacceptable and unstated assumptions of compatible MAC and PHY characteristics. For example, if it assumes all PCS layers use codes that either encode less than an octet (e.g., Manchester bit encoding) or that have an integer number of octets in the PCS code. This is a new requirement. I did not find a requirement that mPackets had to be contiguous and could not cause interframe to be signaled on an xMII unless until both a pFrame and one or more eFrames are completely transmitted when a preemption occurs. Failure to do this could result in RX_DV being deasserted falsely indicating an end of frame on the xMII.

I believe this is a problem for PCS layers that do not encode an integer number of octets. For example, if a 10 Mb/s or 100BASE-X MAC produces a non-integer number of octets, the MII nd currently defined PHYs convey that across the link so that an alignment error can be detected.

I similarly worry that a PHY code that does not include an integer number of octets in a code word could result in a false indication of interframe spacing at the receive xMII.

SuggestedRemedy

Assure MAC Merge will properly convey an alignment error across a link and that contiguous mPackets are required so that interframe will not be improperly created at a receive xMII.

Response

Response Status U

REJECT.

Receive processing receives the packet a bit at a time and does not assume that it is an integer number of octets in length.

There is no assumption that mPackets are contiguous. They must be separated by at least an interpacket gap.

Trowbridge, Steve Alcatel-Lucent Comment Type TR Comment Status A Preepmt vs IET

The terminology in the amendment does not match the agreed objectives for the project. The Call for Interest held in the March 2012 plenary for Frame Preemption was withdrawn after too much controversy over the characterization of the problem and solution. After a subsequent CFI, the first attempt to approve a PAR and objectives at the July 2013 plenary in Geneva failed due to inconsistency of the terminology with 802.3 (distinguished minimum latency traffic and "M-frames", "M-frames in the wild" were rejected. After rework in the York interim, a characterization as "interspersing express traffic" was developed, leading to the currently accepted objectives accepted in November 2013. The only place the accepted terminology appears in the draft is in the title and the name of the task force. The entire draft uses the terminology of the withdrawn CFI from March 2012.

SuggestedRemedy

Update the terminology globally in the draft per the agreed objectives. In particular: 1.4.3 - change "preemptable Media Access Control" to "non-express Media Access Control" with an appropriate acronym 1.4.4 - change "preemptable traffic" to "non-express traffic" Add IET to the acronyms defined in clause 1. Occurrences of "preemptable" in clause 30 change to "non-express", objects such as "PreemptSupported", "PreemptEnabled", "PreemptActive" change to "IETSupported", "IETEnabled", "IETActive", etc. Change "preemption capability" to "IET capability" globally in clause 79. pMAC and PMAC not consistent in clause 79, but should change globally to neMAC (or whatever acronym is chosen for the non-express MAC). Clause 99: preemptable MAC should be non-express MAC globally. "MAC client supporting preemption" becomes "MAC client supporting IET" globally. pMAC becomes neMAC (or chosen acronym) globally "preemption is active" becomes "IET is active" globally "enable preemption" becomes "enable IET" globally "link partner supports preemption" becomes "link partner supports IET"

Response Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The terminology was agreed to in the base line proposal by the task force.

Preemption is the capability that provides for interspersing express traffic.

See also #380 for some changes to better relate the two terms.

C/ 00 SC 0

0.00	D.4		" 400		00.0	D.4	1.04	<i>и</i> [4
C/ 00 SC 0	P1 Fuiitsu Lab o	L 2 America	# 109	Cl 00 Anslow Pet	SC 0	P1 Ciena	L 24	# 1
P802.3bx, and will no SuggestedRemedy Make the entire draft P802.3bx. Response REJECT. An amendn an amendment to IEE	Fujitsu Lab of Comment Status R dment of IEEE Std802.3-2012 to be the latest version when IE as an amendment of IEEE Sto Response Status C ment PAR can only be with res EE Std 802.3-2012 until the ner , the draft will be amended to s	which is under EE P802.3br is 1802.3-201x bas pect to an appro w Revision is ap	revision by IEEE published. red on the latest draft of oved standard so this is oproved. Once the new	rather th The hea Also, all incorrec SuggestedR Change "IEEE 8 "IEEE P This car and eve the left h	<i>tpe</i> E be an amen an IEEE Std ders in the d the headers t. <i>emedy</i> all of the headers 22.3br Inters 802.3br Inters be done by n page head and pane hi	Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A Idment to IEEE Std 802.3-201x 802.3-2012. Braft incorrectly say "Draft Amendment are missing the "P" from "P802 adders to say "Draft Amendment persing Express Traffic Task For rspersing Express Traffic Task For changing the base_year variab lers in one of the files file to say ighlight all of the other files in the s, Deselect All, Page layouts, Im	idment to IEEE \$ 2.3br" and the he c to IEEE Std 802 prce" to: Force". le in each file ar "P802.3br", the e book (includin	Std 802.3-2012" eaders in the TOC are 2.3-201x" and also from ad by changing the odd n with that file open, in
802.3bx revision whic	CME Consult Comment Status A amendment being only on its ch is to be 802.3-2015 being a	first working gro ready in sponso				Response Status C PLE. The editor will add the mi for the reason IEEE 802.3-2012 P 10		
SuggestedRemedy Change header and f to review draft for cor	endment to 802.3-2015, not 80 front matter to reflect that this is isistency with changes made in through sponsor ballot.	s an amendmen		Anslow, Peter Comment Ty	e /pe E	Ciena Comment Status A ear shown in the TOC and Clau		π (2
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF amendment to 802.3- approved, the PAR w the editor will change	Response Status C PLE. Until the revision of IEEE -2012 so that is what the amen rill automajically be updated to the draft to indicate that.	dment says. Or be against the r	ice the 802.3 revision is new base standard and	SuggestedR change Response ACCEP	the copyrigh	t_year variable in the TOC and <i>Response Status</i> C	Clause 30 files t	o "2015"

CI 00 SC 0

C/ 00 SC 0 Healey, Adam	P 13 Avago Techn	L 44 ologies	# 104	<i>Cl</i> 01 Booth, Brad	SC 1.3	P 14 Microsoft	L 52	# 38
Comment Type E	Comment Status A	-		Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status A		
This is a comment on t is an actual clause nur	the frontmatter (the comment mber).	tool needs to be	updated now that 99	Referer		bu and 802.1Qbv should be ent draft.	in the Normative F	References with
	r's note is removed prior to fin ar amendment projects runnin				e footnote and	add a normative reference up-to-date as the project pro	•	its for .1Qbu and .1Qbv.
,	al "(e.g., IEEE P802.3bj and I	EEE P802.3bk)".		Review	all other footr	note references in Clause 99	to 802.1Q to corre	ectly reference to .1Qbu
Response	Response Status C			and .1C	bv.			
ACCEPT.				Response		Response Status C		
C/00 SC 0	P 4	L 28	# 103			PLE. The reference isn't nee 802.1Q amendments. Delet		ive any normative
lealey, Adam	Avago Techn	ologies		In 99.4.	8, delete: "Scl	heduled traffic or"		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			C/ 01	SC 1.3	P 14	L 8	# 35
This is a comment on t is an actual clause nur	the frontmatter (the comment mber).	tool needs to be	updated now that 99	Booth, Brad	-	F 14 Microsoft	LO	# 33
IEEE Std 802.3-2012 h IEEE Std 802.3bm-201	has two other approved amen 15.	dments: IEEE St	d 802.3bj-2014 and	Comment T Referer updated	ice already ex	Comment Status A sists in 802.3-2012, but name	e of the standard d	oes need to be
In addition IEEE Std 8	02.3br-201x should also be d	escribed.		SuggestedF	Remedy			
SuggestedRemedy				Change	edit comman	d from "insert" to "change".		
Add the descriptions of introduction of IEEE St	of all approved amendments to to 802.3bm-2015).	the introdcution	(refer to the	Response		Response Status C	<i>.</i>	
Include a description o	of this amendment to the intro	duction.		new title		PLE. See #38. Also, since th .3bx, the editor has sent a no 2.10 title.		
Response	Response Status C			C/ 01	SC 1.3	P 14	L 8	# =4
ACCEPT.				Grow, Robe		RMG Cons	+	# 51
C/ 00 SC 0 nooth, Brad	P 6 Microsoft	L 13	# 34	Comment T		Comment Status A		
Comment Type E Template information s	Comment Status A still being used.			SuggestedF Delete i	2	on and reference.		
SuggestedRemedy Delete "Task Force na	ame" from Ludwig and Pat's tit	les.		Response	T. See #38	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			AUGER	1. 066 #30			
•	ed ER/editorial required GR/ ispatched A/accepted R/reje	• •		0	J/unsatisfied	C/ Z/withdrawn SC	••	Page 4 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:43

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 01 SC 1. 4 Ran, Adee	P 14	L 15	# 196	C/ 01 SC 1.4.1 Thompson, Geoff	P 16 GraCaSI S.A.	L 17	# 381
Comment Type				•	Comment Status A		
SuggestedRemedy	that are used in specific clauses			The current text of the defin impression of this project wa reconciliation sub-layer and	as that it was supposed to	o accomplish its	s goals within the
Add references	to IEEE 802.3 clause 99 in 1.4.1,	1.4.2, 1.4.3, and 1.	4.4.	SuggestedRemedy			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Change text to read: "1.4.1 Media Access Control subla is the client of a MAC Merge	ayer associated with an In	terspersing Exp	press Traffic port which
C/01 SC 1.4	•	L 15	# 6	Response Re	esponse Status U		
nslow, Pete Comment Type	Ciena E Comment Status A			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. IE sense (i.e. where a fiber opt			
	mation as to where in 1.4 the vari ing instruction accordingly.S	ous new definition	s should be inserted.	"The instance of a Media Ao			Annex 4A) which is the
uggestedRemedy				Do the same for pMAC and	nroomatable troffic		
14 4 4 augura a A							
"1.4.197a expres "1.4.197b expres etc. Replace the sing alphanumerical	Media Access Control (eMAC):" t ss Media Access Control (eMAC): ss traffic:" to "1.4.2 express traf gle editing instruction: "Insert the fo order:" with: ving two new definitions into the lis	" fic:" ollowing new defin		"See IEEE Std 802.3br, Cla SuggestedRemedy			# 69
"1.4.197a expres "1.4.197b expres etc. Replace the sing alphanumerical "Insert the follow	ss Media Access Control (eMAC): ss traffic:" to "1.4.2 express traf gle editing instruction: "Insert the f order:" with:	" fic:" ollowing new defin		Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type ER C "See IEEE Std 802.3br, Cla SuggestedRemedy Change to "See IEEE Std 8	Bright House N Comment Status A use 99." - we reference c 02.3, Clause 99."	Network	
"1.4.197a expres "1.4.197b expres etc. Replace the sing alphanumerical "Insert the follow etc. Response ACCEPT.	ss Media Access Control (eMAC): ss traffic:" to "1.4.2 express traf gle editing instruction: "Insert the fo order:" with: ving two new definitions into the lis Response Status C	" fic:" ollowing new defin	ception Window"	Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type ER C "See IEEE Std 802.3br, Cla SuggestedRemedy Change to "See IEEE Std 8	Bright House N Comment Status A use 99." - we reference c	Network	
"1.4.197a expres "1.4.197b expres etc. Replace the sing alphanumerical "Insert the follow etc. Response ACCEPT.	ss Media Access Control (eMAC): ss traffic:" to "1.4.2 express traf gle editing instruction: "Insert the fo order:" with: ving two new definitions into the lis Response Status C	" fic:" ollowing new defin		Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type ER C "See IEEE Std 802.3br, Cla SuggestedRemedy Change to "See IEEE Std 8 Response Re ACCEPT. Cl 01 SC 1.4.5	Bright House N Comment Status A use 99." - we reference c 02.3, Clause 99." esponse Status U P14	Network lauses, and not	
"1.4.197a expres "1.4.197b expres etc. Replace the sing alphanumerical "Insert the follow etc. Response ACCEPT. To 01 SC 1.4 an, Adee	ss Media Access Control (eMAC): ss traffic:" to "1.4.2 express traf gle editing instruction: "Insert the fo order:" with: ving two new definitions into the lis Response Status C 4 P14	" fic:" ollowing new defin st after "1.4.197 Ex	ception Window"	Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type ER C "See IEEE Std 802.3br, Cla SuggestedRemedy Change to "See IEEE Std 8 Response Re ACCEPT. Cl 01 SC 1.4.5 Zimmerman, George	Bright House N comment Status A use 99." - we reference c 02.3, Clause 99." esponse Status U P14 CME Consultir	Network lauses, and not	specific amendments.
"1.4.197a expres "1.4.197b expres etc. Replace the sing alphanumerical "Insert the follow etc. <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. OI SC 1.4 an, Adee <i>Comment Type</i>	ss Media Access Control (eMAC): ss traffic:" to "1.4.2 express traf gle editing instruction: "Insert the fo order:" with: <i>v</i> ing two new definitions into the lis <i>Response Status</i> C P 14 Intel	" fic:" ollowing new defin st after "1.4.197 Ex	ception Window" # [222	Hajduczenia, Marek <i>Comment Type</i> ER C "See IEEE Std 802.3br, Cla <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change to "See IEEE Std 8 <i>Response Re</i> ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 01 <i>SC</i> 1.4.5 Zimmerman, George <i>Comment Type</i> E <i>C</i>	Bright House N comment Status A use 99." - we reference c 02.3, Clause 99." esponse Status U P 14 CME Consultir comment Status A	Network Hauses, and not	specific amendments.
"1.4.197a expres "1.4.197b expres etc. Replace the sing alphanumerical "Insert the follow etc. esponse ACCEPT. / 01 SC 1.4 an, Adee mment Type	ss Media Access Control (eMAC): ss traffic:" to "1.4.2 express traf gle editing instruction: "Insert the fo order:" with: ving two new definitions into the lis <i>Response Status</i> C Response Status C P 14 Intel ER <i>Comment Status</i> A	" fic:" ollowing new defin st after "1.4.197 Ex	ception Window" # [222	Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type ER C "See IEEE Std 802.3br, Cla SuggestedRemedy Change to "See IEEE Std 8 Response Re ACCEPT. Cl 01 SC 1.4.5 Zimmerman, George Comment Type E C hanging close paren withour	Bright House N comment Status A use 99." - we reference c 02.3, Clause 99." esponse Status U P 14 CME Consultir comment Status A	Network Hauses, and not	specific amendments.
"1.4.197a expres "1.4.197b expres etc. Replace the sing alphanumerical "Insert the follow etc. Response ACCEPT. C/ 01 SC 1.4 tan, Adee Comment Type	ss Media Access Control (eMAC): ss traffic:" to "1.4.2 express traf gle editing instruction: "Insert the fo order:" with: ving two new definitions into the lis Response Status C Response Status C Response Status A lintel ER Comment Status A uld be made to the base documen	" fic:" ollowing new defin st after "1.4.197 Ex	ception Window" # [222	Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type ER C "See IEEE Std 802.3br, Cla SuggestedRemedy Change to "See IEEE Std 8 Response Re ACCEPT. Cl 01 SC 1.4.5 Zimmerman, George Comment Type E C hanging close paren withour SuggestedRemedy	Bright House N Comment Status A use 99." - we reference c 02.3, Clause 99." esponse Status U P14 CME Consultir Comment Status A t an open paren " See IEf	Network lauses, and not <i>L</i> 27 ng, Inc. EE Std 802.3br,	specific amendments.
 "1.4.197a expresent in the second s	ss Media Access Control (eMAC): ss traffic:" to "1.4.2 express traf gle editing instruction: "Insert the fo order:" with: ving two new definitions into the lis Response Status C Response Status C Response Status A lintel ER Comment Status A uld be made to the base documen	" fic:" ollowing new defin st after "1.4.197 Ex	ception Window" # [222	Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type ER C "See IEEE Std 802.3br, Cla SuggestedRemedy Change to "See IEEE Std 8 Response Re ACCEPT. Cl 01 SC 1.4.5 Zimmerman, George Comment Type E C hanging close paren withour SuggestedRemedy insert "(" to read "(See IEEE	Bright House N Comment Status A use 99." - we reference c 02.3, Clause 99." esponse Status U P14 CME Consultir Comment Status A t an open paren " See IEf	Network lauses, and not <i>L</i> 27 ng, Inc. EE Std 802.3br,	specific amendments.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line C/ 01 SC 1.4.5 Page 5 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:43 PM

C/ 1 SC 1.4.3	P 16	L 22	# 382	C/ 30	SC 30.12.1.1	1.1	P 18	L 2741	# 223
hompson, Geoff	GraCaSI S.A.			Ran, Adee		h	ntel		
comment Type TR	Comment Status A			Comment Ty	pe ER	Comment St	atus A		
impression of this project v	nition appears to require the vas that it was supposed to d use two instances of a nor	accomplish its	goals within the		text marking i		to the base	document, are m	ore substantial than
uggestedRemedy					•	t with strikethrou	gh font, and	underline all text	after the first
Media Access Control sub	express Media Access Collayer associated with an Integer sublayer service interface	erspersing Éxpr	ess Traffic port which	paragra Response		Response Sta	ntus C		
Response F	Response Status U			ACCEP	Г.				
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	See #381			C/ 30 Hajduczenia	SC 30.12.1.1 Marek		P 18 Bright House	L 28 Network	# 70
X 1 SC 1.4.5	P 16 GraCaSI S.A.	L 28	# 383	Comment Ty		- Comment St	•		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A					the use of tab, a		in P802.3bx - the	
CuggestedRemedy Change text to read: "1.4.5 interspersing express traffi a single Physical Signaling Response F	brecise. I suggest a little two MAC Merge sublayer: An o c with preemptable traffic by Sublayer (PLS) service. Se Response Status C But include the expanded ac	optional sublaye y attaching an e ee IEEE Std 802	MAC and a pMAC to 2.3br, Clause 99.)	Also not like it is SuggestedR Change Response	e that descripti done in the dra	ion in "BEHAVIO aft right now. t. <i>Response Sta</i>	UR DEFINĖ		nes. ".;" and not just ";"
uggestedRemedy Change text to read: "1.4.5 interspersing express traffi a single Physical Signaling Pesponse F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	i MAC Merge sublayer: An o c with preemptable traffic by Sublayer (PLS) service. Se Response Status C	optional sublaye y attaching an e ee IEEE Std 802	MAC and a pMAC to 2.3br, Clause 99.)	Also not like it is SuggestedR Change Response ACCEP C/ 30	e that descripti done in the dra emedy s per comment r. Copy style fr SC 30.12.1.1	ion in "BEHAVIO aft right now. t. <i>Response Sta</i> rom 802.3bx	UR DEFINE atus C		
uggestedRemedy Change text to read: "1.4.5 interspersing express traffi a single Physical Signaling desponse F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. F 30 SC 30.12.1.1.1	5 MAC Merge sublayer: An o c with preemptable traffic by Sublayer (PLS) service. Se Response Status C But include the expanded ac	poptional sublaye y attaching an e ee IEEE Std 802 cronyms for eM/ L	MAC and a pMAC to 2.3br, Clause 99.) AC and pMAC	Also not like it is SuggestedR Change Response ACCEP C/ 30 Anslow, Pete	e that descripti done in the dra emedy s per comment T. Copy style fr SC 30.12.1.1	ion in "BEHAVIO aft right now. t. <i>Response Sta</i> rom 802.3bx	UR DEFINE ntus C P 18 Diena	D AS." ends with	".;" and not just ";"
CuggestedRemedy Change text to read: "1.4.5 interspersing express traffi a single Physical Signaling Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. I C/ 30 SC 30.12.1.1.1 lealey, Adam	5 MAC Merge sublayer: An o c with preemptable traffic by Sublayer (PLS) service. Se Response Status C But include the expanded ac P 18	poptional sublaye y attaching an e ee IEEE Std 802 cronyms for eM/ L	MAC and a pMAC to 2.3br, Clause 99.) AC and pMAC	Also not like it is SuggestedR Change Response ACCEP C/ 30 Anslow, Pete Comment Ty	e that descripti done in the dra emedy s per comment T. Copy style fr SC 30.12.1.1 ppe E	ion in "BEHAVIO aft right now. t. <i>Response Sta</i> rom 802.3bx I.1 <i>Comment Sta</i>	UR DEFINE Intus C P18 Ciena Intus A	ED AS." ends with	".;" and not just ";"
CuggestedRemedy Change text to read: "1.4.5 interspersing express traffi a single Physical Signaling Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. If Cl 30 SC 30.12.1.1.1 lealey, Adam Comment Type E The changes to the definiti	5 MAC Merge sublayer: An o c with preemptable traffic by Sublayer (PLS) service. Se Response Status C But include the expanded ac P 18 Avago Technolo	optional sublaye y attaching an e ee IEEE Std 802 cronyms for eM/ L ogies	MAC and a pMAC to 2.3br, Clause 99.) AC and pMAC # 102	Also not like it is SuggestedR Change Response ACCEP CI 30 Anslow, Pete Comment Ty Heading SuggestedR	e that descripti done in the dra emedy s per comment T. Copy style fr SC 30.12.1.1 SC 30.12.1.1 to pe E s for 30.12, 30 emedy	ion in "BEHAVIO aft right now. t. <i>Response Sta</i> rom 802.3bx I.1 (<i>Comment St</i> 0.12.1, 30.12.1.1	UR DEFINE <i>P</i> 18 Diena <i>atus</i> A are missing	ED AS." ends with	".;" and not just ";"
SuggestedRemedy Change text to read: "1.4.5 interspersing express traffi a single Physical Signaling Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. F 2/ 30 SC 30.12.1.1.1 Idealey, Adam Comment Type E The changes to the definiti 802.3-2015 (and its approx	5 MAC Merge sublayer: An o c with preemptable traffic by Sublayer (PLS) service. Se Response Status C But include the expanded ac P 18 Avago Technolo Comment Status A on aLldpXdot3PortConfigTL	optional sublaye y attaching an e ee IEEE Std 802 cronyms for eM/ L ogies	MAC and a pMAC to 2.3br, Clause 99.) AC and pMAC # 102	Also not like it is SuggestedR Change Response ACCEP CI 30 Anslow, Pete Comment Ty Heading SuggestedR Add the	e that descripti done in the dra emedy s per comment T. Copy style fr SC 30.12.1.1 SC 30.12.1.1 to pe E s for 30.12, 30 emedy	ion in "BEHAVIO aft right now. t. <i>Response Sta</i> rom 802.3bx 1.1 (<i>Comment St</i> 0.12.1, 30.12.1.1 30.12, 30.12.1, 30	UR DEFINE tus C P18 Ciena atus A are missing 0.12.1.1	ED AS." ends with	".;" and not just ";"
SuggestedRemedy Change text to read: "1.4.5 interspersing express traffi a single Physical Signaling Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. I C/ 30 SC 30.12.1.1.1 lealey, Adam Comment Type E The changes to the definiti 802.3-2015 (and its approx SuggestedRemedy	5 MAC Merge sublayer: An o c with preemptable traffic by Sublayer (PLS) service. Se Response Status C But include the expanded ac P 18 Avago Technolo Comment Status A on aLldpXdot3PortConfigTL	poptional sublaye y attaching an e ee IEEE Std 802 cronyms for eM/ L ogies _VsTxEnable re correctly marked	MAC and a pMAC to 2.3br, Clause 99.) AC and pMAC # 102 lative to IEEE Std d.	Also not like it is SuggestedR Change Response ACCEP CI 30 Anslow, Pete Comment Ty Heading SuggestedR	e that descripti done in the dra emedy s per comment T. Copy style fr SC 30.12.1.1 SC 30.12.1.1 Sc ao.12, 30 emedy headings for 3	ion in "BEHAVIO aft right now. t. <i>Response Sta</i> rom 802.3bx I.1 (<i>Comment St</i> 0.12.1, 30.12.1.1	UR DEFINE tus C P18 Ciena atus A are missing 0.12.1.1	ED AS." ends with	".;" and not just ";"
SuggestedRemedy Change text to read: "1.4.5 interspersing express traffi a single Physical Signaling Response F ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. I C/ 30 SC 30.12.1.1.1 Healey, Adam Comment Type E The changes to the definiti 802.3-2015 (and its approx SuggestedRemedy The second paragraph has strike-through text.	5 MAC Merge sublayer: An o c with preemptable traffic by Sublayer (PLS) service. Se Response Status C But include the expanded ac P18 Avago Technolo Comment Status A on aLldpXdot3PortConfigTL red amendements) are not o	poptional sublaye y attaching an e ee IEEE Std 802 cronyms for eM/ L ogies _VsTxEnable re correctly marked	MAC and a pMAC to 2.3br, Clause 99.) AC and pMAC # 102 lative to IEEE Std d.	Also not like it is SuggestedR Change Response ACCEP Cl 30 Anslow, Pete Comment Ty Heading SuggestedR Add the Response	e that descripti done in the dra emedy s per comment T. Copy style fr SC 30.12.1.1 SC 30.12.1.1 Sc ao.12, 30 emedy headings for 3	ion in "BEHAVIO aft right now. t. <i>Response Sta</i> rom 802.3bx 1.1 (<i>Comment St</i> 0.12.1, 30.12.1.1 30.12, 30.12.1, 30	UR DEFINE tus C P18 Ciena atus A are missing 0.12.1.1	ED AS." ends with	".;" and not just ";"

C/ 30 SC 30.12.1.1.1

C/ 30 SC 30.12.1.1 Anslow, Pete	.1 P 18 Ciena	L 30	# 22	<i>CI</i> 30 Tretter, Al	SC 30.12.1.1. bert	1 P 1 Siem		# 290
	Comment Status A should be more explicit. 30.12.1.1.1 in the base standard shown here.	d (P802.3bx D3	0) is not the same		bits in the senten bits that are descr	Comment Status ce "read-write string ibted in the list of the	of 6 bits indicating, for	r each" match not with
SuggestedRemedy Change editing instruc	tion to:			Chan	ge to 7 bits			
"Change 30.12.1.1.1 a Start with the text of th	s follows:" is subclause from the base stan	•	D3.0).	Response ACCE	9 EPT. See #22	Response Status	C	
	how "6 bits" being changed to " graph in strikethrough font follov		version in underline	<i>Cl</i> 30 Haiduczer	SC 30.12.1.1. nia, Marek		8 L 36 t House Network	# 89
esponse ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	Α	ws allocation of seev bi
30 SC 30.12.1 .1 cruton, Peter	.1 P 18 University of Ne	L 36 w Ham	# 373	 Suggester		e we allocate 7 bits in	a tha list balow	
omment Type E This may be my lack o item consumes a bit se	Comment Status A f expertise in what this means, b sems insufficient.	ut 6 bits for 7 it	ems where each	Response		Response Status		
uggestedRemedy				Cl 30 Zimmerma	SC 30.12.1.1. an, George		8 L 36 Consulting, Inc.	# 349
esponse ACCEPT. Your experti	Response Status C se seems just fine. See #22				ar how many bits	Comment Status are in the string - tex	A kt as written says 46. 8	302.3bx D3.0 says 6,
30 SC 30.12.1 .1 arris, Arthur	.1 P 18 Cadence Design	L 36 i Syst	# 192	Suggeste	dRemedy	ssigning bits shows	7. arify how many bits.	
omment Type TR It is 7 bits not 6.	Comment Status A			Response)	Response Status		
SuggestedRemedy Change to: "A read-write string of	7 bits indicating"			ACCE	EPT. See #22			
	nstructions to make it clearer wh							
	the formatting has changed and	a seventh sho	lia pe under-imea.					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 30 SC 30.12.1.1.1 Page 7 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM

CI 30 SC 30.1	2.1.33 P 19	L 38	# 32	C/ 30	SC 30.12.2	P 1		# 90
Beaudoin, Denis	Texas Ins	struments		Hajduczenia	a, Marek	Bright	House Network	
Comment Type TI	Comment Status A			Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status	R	
aLldpXdot3LocAc values 0-7	ldFragSize is defined as a 2 bi	t value, but is section	n 99.4.7.3 it is given	aLldpX	dot3LocPreem	otSupported indicates	that "A read-only Bo	fashion. For example, polean value used to
SuggestedRemedy						iven port (associated		lue is reported when said
A 3-bit integer val	ue used to indicate					ed (true? supported?		
Response	Response Status C			Also, th	nese attributes	do not define what hap	ppens with SET and	GET operations.
	ICIPLE. It is intended to hold v			Suggestedl	Remedy			
bits but then we c	lecided that was excessively la	rge and agreed on r	educing it to 2-bits.			he following attributes otEnabled, aLldpXdot3		
In 99.4.7.3 addF	ragSize, change "0:7" to "0:3"					agSize, aLldpXdot3Re		
7 30 SC 30.1	2.2 P 19	L 1	# 197	aLldpX	dot3RemPreen	nptEnabled, aLldpXdo		
an, Adee	IZ.Z F 19		# 197		dot3RemAddFi	0	-	
				Response		Response Status	-	111 I II 000 0 I I DE
Comment Type E Editing instructior	is in 30.12.2, but the changes	are to a lower rank	subclause, 30.12.2.1.			ations are consistent w a, 30.12.2.1.2, 30.12.2		nitions in the 802.3 LLDP
Similarly for 30.12	2.3.			read-or	nly means that	a set won't change the	value and a get wil	ll get the value.
SuggestedRemedy				Boolea	n menas that it	is true if the condition	is true, e.a. preemp	otion capability is
	0.12.2.1 LLDP Local System G	Group attributes, and	change the editing		ted, and false c			
instruction to "ins	ert new subclauses after"			C/ 30	SC 30.12.2.	1.30 P	L	# 172
Similarly add sub	clause 30.12.3.1 and change e	editing instruction.		Law, David		HP		
esponse	Response Status C			Comment 7	Type E	Comment Status	Α	
ACCEPT.						capability' to read '. 4.2 (page 35, line 45).		apability' as is already
				Suggestedl	Remedy			
				Change	2		the preemption ca	pability' here and all
				Response		Response Status	С	
				-		•		

ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.12.2.1.30

C/ 30 SC 30.12.2.1.30 Ran, Adee	P 19 L 12	# 198	C/ 30 SC 30.12.2.1.30 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 19 Ciena	L 4	# 11
Comment Type E Comment S Missing period at end of sentence (be			Comment Type E Comm 30.12.2.1.30 is already present in	nent Status A n the base standard	d.	
Also in 30.12.3.1.24, 30.12.3.1.27, 30 Also, missing semicolon after period in SuggestedRemedy			SuggestedRemedy Change the editing instruction to "Insert 30.12.2.1.34 through 30.1 Renumber 30.12.2.1.30 through	2.2.1.37 after 30.1		
Update to use periods and semicolons Response Response S		ns.	Response Respon ACCEPT.	nse Status C		
ACCEPT.	-		C/ 30 SC 30.12.2.1.30 Ran, Adee	P 19 Intel	L 5	# 224
Scruton, Peter <i>Comment Type</i> E <i>Comment S</i> In Subclause 30.12.2.1.30 consider cl capability.;"		r;" to "preemption	Comment Type ER Comm 802.3bx already added subclaus to 30.12.2.1.33. SuggestedRemedy	nent Status A es starting at "30.1	2.2.1.30 aLldpXd	lot3LocTxFw" and u
			Renumber new subclauses starti	ing at 30.12.2.1.34 nse Status C	instead of 30.12.	2.1.30.
	Status C		Renumber new subclauses starti Response Respor ACCEPT.	nse Status C		
•	P 19 L 2 Ciena Status A	# [<u>10</u>	Renumber new subclauses startiResponseResponseACCEPT.C/ 30SC 30.12.3.1.24Anslow, PeteComment TypeEComment TypeEComment TypeCommentThe heading for 30.12.3.1 is missSuggestedRemedyAdd the heading for 30.12.3.1	P 19 Ciena	instead of 30.12.	2.1.30. # [<u>12</u>

C/ 30 SC 30.12.3.1.24

Cl 30 SC 30.12.3. Anslow, Pete	1.24 P Cier	19 L 46 na	i	# 14	CI 30 Scruton, F	SC 30.12 Peter	2.3.1.27	P 20 Univers	sity of New H	L 27 Iam	# 376
Comment Type E 30.12.3.1.24 is alread	Comment Statu y present in the base				Comment consid	<i>Type</i> E der adding a		mment Status	A		
SuggestedRemedy Change the editing in					Suggeste	dRemedy					
"Insert 30.12.3.1.28 th Renumber 30.12.3.1.	0			0.12.2.1.31.	Response ACCE		Res	ponse Status	C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status	6 C			C/ 30	SC 30.14	1	P 20		L 29	# 15
C/ 30 SC 30.12.3	1.24 P	19 <i>L</i> 53		# 375	Anslow, P		r	Ciena	,	L 23	π 13
Scruton, Peter	Univ	versity of New Ham			<i>Comment</i> The e	51		<i>mment Status</i> I be more explici			
Comment Type E In Subclause 30.12.3 capability.;"	<i>Comment Statu</i> 1.24 consider chang		oability;" to "p	reemption	Suggester Chan	-	30.14 after	r 30.13 as follow	s:"		
SuggestedRemedy					Response ACCE		Res	ponse Status	C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status	5 C			<i>Cl</i> 30 Hidaka, Y	SC 30.14	l	P 20 Fuiitsu	Lab of Amer	L 33 ica	# 121
C/ 30 SC 30.12.3. Hajduczenia, Marek	Brig	20 L 19 ht House Network	I	# 91	Comment A mai	Туре Т		<i>mment Status</i> rgeEntity" is also	A		
Comment Type TR Attribute aLldpXdot3F used to indicate, in ur required in non-final fi system;"	nits of 64 octets, the i	s very cryptic definito minimum number of	octets over 6	64 octets	Suggester Chan	dRemedy	rge" with "o	oMACMergeEnti	ty" at the foll	owing locations:	
SuggestedRemedy						17, line 42 20, line 33					
Is the intention to defi simply define it as IN size delta - these are Similar comment on a	EGER and then reconcilent the second test of the second se	ord the fragment size hardware registers!				20, line 35	Res	ponse Status	С		
Response	Response Status	S U									
REJECT. All fragmen request a size larger t fragment size rather t for 0 which wouldn't b size, there are no illeg	han that minimum fo han additional fragm e a legal minimum fr	r non-final fragment ent size, we would h agment size. By ma	 If it was sp have to define king it addition 	ecifed as the what happens							
TYPE: TR/technical requi									C/ 30		Page 10 of 82

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

SC 30.14

6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM

Cl 30 SC 30.14.1 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type E A period '.' is missing.	P 20 Fujitsu Lab of A Comment Status A	L 35 America	# 113	Cl 30 SC 30.14 Law, David Comment Type TR To ensure interope incremented.	Commen	P 22 HP It Status A etails should be	L 47	# 187
Response ACCEPT.	nd of the line 35, page 20. Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Suggest the text 'T the Receive Proce SMD_DECODE fu description.	ssing state Diagr	am is entered w	when the previous	
Cl 30 SC 30.14.1 Marris, Arthur Comment Type E Spelling SuggestedRemedy Change "behaviors" to Response ACCEPT.	P 20 Cadence Desig <i>Comment Status</i> A "behaviours" <i>Response Status</i> C	<i>L</i> 36 gn Syst	# <u>190</u>	Response ACCEPT IN PRIN 'The counter is inc Processing state of SMD_DECODE fut Cl 30 SC 30.14 Regev, Alon Comment Type TR in a previous draft	CIPLE. remented each ti liagram (Fig 99-) nction returned " 4.1.11 Commen	is entered whe C . P 23 Ixia t Status A	n the previous inv	ocation of the # 277
C/ 30 SC 30.14.1 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Unnecessary empty line SuggestedRemedy Remove empty lines Response ACCEPT.	P 20 Bright House N Comment Status A es 37-39 Response Status C	L 37 letwork	# <u>60</u>	mFrame SuggestedRemedy On page 23 line 2, change "mFrame" Response ACCEPT.	to "mPacket"	and page 34, li Status C	ne 9	

C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.11

C/ 30	SC 30.14.1.11	P 2	3	L 2	#	181
Law, David		HP				
Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status	Α			Discuss

Both this subclause, and subclause 30.14.1.12 references 'mFrame' but the only other instance of mFrame I can find in the whole draft is the heading of the first column of Table 99-1. It therefore may be clearer to reference mPacket. Regardless, is it correct to state that this would be a count of 'MAC frame fragments' since mFrames (or mPackets) include non-fragmentable verify, respond and express frames as well as non-fragmented preemptable frames.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the subclause 30.14.1.11 'aMACMergeFragCountRx' behaviour be updated to read 'A count of received mPackets (see 99.3.1).;' and the subclause 30.14.1.12 'aMACMergeFragCountTx' behaviour be updated to read 'A count of transmitted mPackets (see 99.3.1).;'.

Response

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Correct instance of mFrame to mPacket.

Response Status C

Change these counters to count the number of times preemption occurs. That counts the additional fragments (which implies the additional overhead) for preemption. The MACs already count the total number of frames. aMACMergeRxPrempt

Increments on the transition from P_RECEIVE_DATA to WAIT_FOR_DV_FALSE

aMACMergeTxPrempt

Increments on the transition from P_TX_COMPLETE to RESUME_PREAMBLE

C/ 30	SC 30.14.1.13	P 2	3	L 20	# 315
Tretter, Alber	t	Sieme	ens		
Comment Ty	be T	Comment Status	Α		Discuss

A count of times MM_CTL.request(HOLD) primitive assertion caused preemption of a preemptable MAC frame.

=> Is it really the intention that this counter is only incrememented in cases if the MM_CTL.req primitive causes a preemption. If the primitive is activated and no preemption occurs than the counter shall not count??

Do we need an additional counter if an implementation uses the MACMerge Layer but not using the MM_CTL.request?

SuggestedRemedy

Response

Clarification needed

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Upon discussion, we decided that there is already a counter of how often preemption occurs and that we should count how often hold occurs with this object.

A count of times MM_CTL.request(HOLD) primitive was received.

C/ 30	SC 30.14.1.2	P 21	L 10	# 147
Law, David		HP		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Agree with note, the enumeration 'unknown' should be used when the verification status is unknown. Instead an additional enumeration should be provided for when verification has not been initiated.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the description of the enumeration 'unknown' to read 'verification of preemption operation is unknown'. Add an enumeration 'not initiated' that reads 'verification of preemption operation has not been initiated'. Delete the note.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT. See 160

C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.2

CI 30 SC	30.14.1.2	P 21	L 10	# 341	C/ 30	SC 30.	14.1.2	P 21	L 8	# 160
Zimmerman, Geo	orge	CME Consulti	ng, Inc.		Law, David	d		HP		
Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Т	R	Comment Status A		
		erflous. there is an attribute known" only as prior to verify		disabled, and status	reflect	s the norm	ative M	ty, further details should be p AC Merge state diagrams, a	s for example is	already done for
SuggestedReme Delete editor					Since			AddFragSize' and 30.14.1.8 'a es to the verify status sugges		
Response		Response Status C			Suggested	Remedy				
		. Most (all?) status objects h			Sugge	est the beh	aviour s	hould be updated to read:		
30.3.1.1.32 a have the mea	aDuplexStat aning it has	't know - e.g. management is us for an example. So for co for other status objects and as suggested in #147	nsistency with th	nat, unknown should	verific		on defi	when accessed via a GET op ned in 99.4.3 on the given de		
C/ 30 SC	30.14.1.2	P 21	L 10	# 23	The e	numeratior	unkno	own" indicates that the Verify	State diagram	(Figure 99-7) is in the
Anslow, Pete		Ciena			state I	NIT_VERI		ON. The enumeration "verifyi	ng" indicates th	at the Verify State
Comment Type Issue in edito	T or's note sho	Comment Status A ould be resolved			WAIT_ diagra	_FOR_RE	SPONS state V	in the state VERIFICATION E. The enumeration "succee ERIFIED. The enumeration "	ded" indicates t	hat the Verify State
SuggestedReme	dy				Ũ		state v	ERIFY_FAIL.;		
Resolve the i	issue and re	emove the editor's note.			Response			Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT IN	PRINCIPLE	Response Status C . See #147			This a verific		icates (on defi	 when accessed via a GET ο ned in 99.4.3 on the given de		
					The e	numeratior	unkno	own" indicates that the value	is unknown. Th	e enumeration "intial"

indicates that

C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.2

that the Verify State diagram (Figure 99-7) is in the state INIT_VERIFICATION. The enumeration "verifying" indicates that the Verify State diagram (Figure 99-7) is in the state VERIFICATION_IDLE, SEND_VERIFY or WAIT_FOR_RESPONSE. The enumeration "succeeded" indicates that the Verify State diagram is in the state VERIFIED. The enumeration "failed" indicates that the Verify State diagram is in the state VERIFY_FAIL.;

C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.3	P 21	L 13	# 291	C/ 30 SC 30.	.14.1.4	P 21	L 28	# 162	
retter, Albert S	iemens			Law, David		HP			
omment Type E Comment Sta	ntus A			Comment Type T	R Comm	nent Status A			
The attribute aMACMergeStatusTx cont aMACMergeStatusEnable not also have Because preemption is only enabled at that it is only relevant for the transmit di	e the extensior Tx side and al	n "Tx). Iso in the descri	iption it is mentioned	interacts with the 30.14.1.7 'aMAC	e normative MAC MergeAddFragS ite relates to the	r details should be C Merge state diag Size' and 30.14.1.8 disabling verificati	rams, as for exam b 'aMACMergeFra	ple is already don meAssErrorCount	
				SuggestedRemedy					
				Suggest the text	'This attribute m	haps to the variable	e disableVerify (se	e 99.4.7.3).' shou	ld be
SuggestedRemedy				added to the end	d of the behaviou	ur description.			
Change the name of the attribute to aM	ACMergeStatu	usEnableTx		Response	Respor	nse Status C			
esponse Response Sta	U			ACCEPT.					
ACCEPT.				C/ 30 SC 30.	.14.1.5	P 21	L 43	# 163	
				Law, David		HP			
C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.3	P 21	L 14	# 148	Comment Type T	R Comm	nent Status A			
aw, David H	Р						provided as to be	w this attribute	
				To ensure intero reflects the norm	ative MAC Merc	ge state diagrams,	as for example is	already done for	
Since this is a GET-SET attribute, and t removed from the attribute name. SuggestedRemedy Change 'aMACMergeStatusEnable' to '	herefore not ju aMACMergeE			reflects the norm 30.14.1.7 'aMAC Since this attribu Figure 99-4 'Trar In addition, since impacted by the disableVerify and aMACMergeStat	ative MAC Merc MergeAddFragS te relates to the nsmit Processing the status of th status of verificat d verification are usVerify attribut	ge state diagrams, Size' and 30.14.1.8 transmit preemptio g State Diagram'. le MAC Merge fund ation when disable' i indicated by the a res respectively, su	as for example is a 'aMACMergeFra on status suggest ction in the transm Verify is set TRUE MACMergeVerify uggest that this att	already done for meAssErrorCount it should map to hit direction is not and the state of Disable and ribute should only	
Since this is a GET-SET attribute, and t removed from the attribute name. SuggestedRemedy Change 'aMACMergeStatusEnable' to ' Response Response Sta ACCEPT.	herefore not ju aMACMergeE <i>tus</i> C	nable' here, an	nd throughout the draft.	reflects the norm 30.14.1.7 'aMAC Since this attribu Figure 99-4 'Trar In addition, since impacted by the disableVerify and aMACMergeStat reflect the status	ative MAC Merc MergeAddFragS te relates to the nsmit Processing the status of th status of verificat d verification are usVerify attribut	ge state diagrams, Size' and 30.14.1.8 transmit preemptio g State Diagram'. the MAC Merge func- ation when disable e indicated by the a	as for example is a 'aMACMergeFra on status suggest ction in the transm Verify is set TRUE MACMergeVerify uggest that this att	already done for meAssErrorCount it should map to hit direction is not and the state of Disable and ribute should only	
Since this is a GET-SET attribute, and t removed from the attribute name. uggestedRemedy Change 'aMACMergeStatusEnable' to ' Response Response Sta ACCEPT.	aMACMergeE tus C			reflects the norm 30.14.1.7 'aMAC Since this attribu Figure 99-4 'Trar In addition, since impacted by the disableVerify and aMACMergeStat reflect the status SuggestedRemedy	ative MAC Merc MergeAddFragS te relates to the asmit Processing the status of the status of verification are the verification are the Verify attribut of the MAC Merc	ge state diagrams, Size' and 30.14.1.8 transmit preemptio g State Diagram'. e MAC Merge func- ation when disable ^N e indicated by the a es respectively, su rge function in the	as for example is a 'aMACMergeFra on status suggest ction in the transm Verify is set TRUE MACMergeVerify Iggest that this att transmit direction	already done for meAssErrorCount it should map to hit direction is not and the state of Disable and ribute should only	
Since this is a GET-SET attribute, and t removed from the attribute name. SuggestedRemedy Change 'aMACMergeStatusEnable' to ' Response Response Sta ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.3 Law, David H	aMACMergeE tus C	nable' here, an	nd throughout the draft.	reflects the norm 30.14.1.7 'aMAC Since this attribu Figure 99-4 'Trar In addition, since impacted by the disableVerify and aMACMergeStat reflect the status SuggestedRemedy	ative MAC Merc MergeAddFragS te relates to the asmit Processing the status of the status of verification are the verification are the Verify attribut of the MAC Merc	ge state diagrams, Size' and 30.14.1.8 transmit preemptio g State Diagram'. le MAC Merge fund ation when disable' i indicated by the a res respectively, su	as for example is a 'aMACMergeFra on status suggest ction in the transm Verify is set TRUE MACMergeVerify Iggest that this att transmit direction	already done for meAssErrorCount it should map to hit direction is not and the state of Disable and ribute should only	
Since this is a GET-SET attribute, and t removed from the attribute name. SuggestedRemedy Change 'aMACMergeStatusEnable' to ' Response Response Sta ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.3 .aw, David H Comment Type TR Comment Sta To ensure interoperability, further details interacts with the normative MAC Merge 30.14.1.7 'aMACMergeAddFragSize' an Since this attribute relates to the enablir	herefore not ju aMACMergeE tus C P 21 P tus A s should be pro- state diagran d 30.14.1.8 'al	Enable' here, an <i>L</i> 21 Provided as to ho ns, as for exam MACMergeFran	# 161 w this attribute aple is already done for meAssErrorCount'.	reflects the norm 30.14.1.7 'aMAC Since this attribu Figure 99-4 'Trar In addition, since impacted by the disableVerify and aMACMergeStat reflect the status SuggestedRemedy Suggest that the unknown tran inactive transr active verifica	ative MAC Merc MergeAddFragS the relates to the hsmit Processing the status of the status of verificat d verification are tusVerify attribut of the MAC Merc re should only b smit preemption nit preemption is ation succeeded	ge state diagrams, Size' and 30.14.1.8 transmit preemptio g State Diagram'. e MAC Merge func ation when disable' e indicated by the a es respectively, su rge function in the e three enumeration n status is unknown s inactive d and transmit pree	as for example is a 'aMACMergeFra on status suggest ction in the transm Verify is set TRUE MACMergeVerify ggest that this att transmit direction ons that read:	already done for meAssErrorCount it should map to hit direction is not and the state of Disable and ribute should only	
Since this is a GET-SET attribute, and t removed from the attribute name. SuggestedRemedy Change 'aMACMergeStatusEnable' to ' Response Response Sta ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.3 aw, David H Comment Type TR Comment Sta To ensure interoperability, further details interacts with the normative MAC Merge 30.14.1.7 'aMACMergeAddFragSize' an Since this attribute relates to the enablin diagram variable pEnable.	herefore not ju aMACMergeE tus C P 21 P tus A s should be pro- state diagran d 30.14.1.8 'al	Enable' here, an <i>L</i> 21 Provided as to ho ns, as for exam MACMergeFran	# 161 w this attribute aple is already done for meAssErrorCount'.	reflects the norm 30.14.1.7 'aMAC Since this attribu Figure 99-4 'Trar In addition, since impacted by the disableVerify and aMACMergeStat reflect the status SuggestedRemedy Suggest that the unknown tran inactive transr active verifica	ative MAC Merce MergeAddFragS the relates to the asmit Processing the status of verification are tusVerify attribut of the MAC Merce re should only b asmit preemption in preemption is ation succeeded	ge state diagrams, Size' and 30.14.1.8 transmit preemptio g State Diagram'. The MAC Merge funct a indicated by the a es respectively, su rge function in the three enumeration n status is unknown s inactive d and transmit pree maps to the variable	as for example is a 'aMACMergeFra on status suggest ction in the transm Verify is set TRUE MACMergeVerify ggest that this att transmit direction ons that read:	already done for meAssErrorCount it should map to hit direction is not and the state of Disable and ribute should only	
Since this is a GET-SET attribute, and t removed from the attribute name. SuggestedRemedy Change 'aMACMergeStatusEnable' to ' Response Response Sta ACCEPT. Cl 30 SC 30.14.1.3 aw, David H Comment Type TR Comment Sta To ensure interoperability, further details interacts with the normative MAC Merge 30.14.1.7 'aMACMergeAddFragSize' an Since this attribute relates to the enablir diagram variable pEnable. SuggestedRemedy	herefore not ju aMACMergeE tus C P 21 P htus A s should be pro- state diagran d 30.14.1.8 'al ng MAC Merge	Enable' here, an <i>L</i> 21 ovided as to ho ns, as for exam MACMergeFrar e suggest it sho	# 161 w this attribute pple is already done for meAssErrorCount'. puld map the state	reflects the norm 30.14.1.7 'aMAC Since this attribu Figure 99-4 'Trar In addition, since impacted by the disableVerify and aMACMergeStat reflect the status SuggestedRemedy Suggest that the unknown tran inactive transr active verifica and that the text	ative MAC Merc MergeAddFragS the relates to the asmit Processing the status of the status of verification are trusVerify attribut of the MAC Merc re should only b asmit preemption is attribute m d of the behaviou	ge state diagrams, Size' and 30.14.1.8 transmit preemptio g State Diagram'. The MAC Merge funct a indicated by the a es respectively, su rge function in the three enumeration n status is unknown s inactive d and transmit pree maps to the variable	as for example is a 'aMACMergeFra on status suggest ction in the transm Verify is set TRUE MACMergeVerify ggest that this att transmit direction ons that read:	already done for meAssErrorCount it should map to hit direction is not and the state of Disable and ribute should only	
Since this is a GET-SET attribute, and t removed from the attribute name. SuggestedRemedy Change 'aMACMergeStatusEnable' to ' Response Response Sta ACCEPT. C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.3 _aw, David H Comment Type TR Comment Sta To ensure interoperability, further details interacts with the normative MAC Merge 30.14.1.7 'aMACMergeAddFragSize' an Since this attribute relates to the enablir	herefore not ju aMACMergeE tus C P 21 P htus A s should be pro- state diagram d 30.14.1.8 'al ng MAC Merge the variable pl	Enable' here, an <i>L</i> 21 ovided as to ho ns, as for exam MACMergeFrar e suggest it sho	# 161 w this attribute pple is already done for meAssErrorCount'. puld map the state	reflects the norm 30.14.1.7 'aMAC Since this attribu Figure 99-4 'Trar In addition, since impacted by the disable/Verify and aMACMergeStat reflect the status <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Suggest that the unknown tran inactive transr active verifica and that the text added to the end <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRI	ative MAC Merc MergeAddFragS the relates to the asmit Processing the status of the status of verification are trusVerify attribute of the MAC Merc re should only b asmit preemption is attribute m d of the behaviou <i>Respor</i> NCIPLE. Chang	ge state diagrams, Size' and 30.14.1.8 transmit preemptio g State Diagram'. e MAC Merge func- ation when disable ¹ e indicated by the a res respectively, su rge function in the e three enumeration a status is unknown s inactive d and transmit pree haps to the variable ur description. <i>nse Status</i> C le as the commente	as for example is a 'aMACMergeFra on status suggest ction in the transm Verify is set TRUE MACMergeVerify 19gest that this att transmit direction ons that read: 1 mption is active a preempt (see 99	already done for meAssErrorCount it should map to it direction is not and the state of Disable and ribute should only	
Since this is a GET-SET attribute, and t removed from the attribute name. SuggestedRemedy Change 'aMACMergeStatusEnable' to ' Response Response Sta ACCEPT. Cl 30 SC 30.14.1.3 Law, David H Comment Type TR Comment Sta To ensure interoperability, further details interacts with the normative MAC Merge 30.14.1.7 'aMACMergeAddFragSize' an Since this attribute relates to the enablir diagram variable pEnable. SuggestedRemedy Suggest the text 'This attribute maps to	herefore not ju aMACMergeE tus C P 21 P atus A s should be pro- state diagram d 30.14.1.8 'al ng MAC Merge the variable pl ription.	Enable' here, an <i>L</i> 21 ovided as to ho ns, as for exam MACMergeFrar e suggest it sho	# 161 w this attribute pple is already done for meAssErrorCount'. puld map the state	reflects the norm 30.14.1.7 'aMAC Since this attribu Figure 99-4 'Trar In addition, since impacted by the disableVerify and aMACMergeStat reflect the status SuggestedRemedy Suggest that the unknown tran inactive transr active verifica and that the text added to the end Response ACCEPT IN PRI active transr	ative MAC Merce MergeAddFragS the relates to the hsmit Processing the status of verificat d verification are tusVerify attribut of the MAC Merce re should only b smit preemption is ation succeeded 'This attribute me d of the behaviou <i>Respor</i> NCIPLE. Chang int preemption is	ge state diagrams, Size' and 30.14.1.8 transmit preemptio g State Diagram'. e MAC Merge func- ation when disable ¹ e indicated by the a res respectively, su rge function in the e three enumeration a status is unknown s inactive d and transmit pree haps to the variable ur description. <i>nse Status</i> C le as the commente	as for example is a 'AMACMergeFra on status suggest ction in the transm Verify is set TRUE IMACMergeVerify Iggest that this att transmit direction ons that read: n emption is active a preempt (see 99 er proposes except	already done for meAssErrorCount it should map to hit direction is not and the state of Disable and ribute should only	ld be:

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/e	editorial required GR/gene	al required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 30	Page 14 of 82
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatche	d A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	n SC 30.14.1.5	6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause	e, page, line			

Cl 30 SC 30. Law, David	.14.1.6	<i>Р</i> 22 НР	L 6	# 164	C/ 30 Scruton, Pe	SC 30.14.1.7	P 22 University of	<i>L</i> 17 New Ham	# 378
To ensure interopreflects the norm 30.14.1.7 'aMAC Since this attribu	perability, further native MAC Merge MergeAddFragSi	e state diagrams, a ze' and 30.14.1.8 guring the rate at	provided as to ho as for example is a 'aMACMergeFran which verification	already done for neAssErrorCount'.	Comment 7 conside Suggestedl	er adding ';' to en	Comment Status A d of line.		
SuggestedRemedy	is map to verify_t	mer.			Response		Response Status C		
Assuming my con attribute maps to behaviour descri	o the variable veri iption. If not the te	yTime (see 99.4.7	7.3).' should be ad maps to verifyTime	suggest the text 'This Ided to the end of the er (see 99.4.7.6).'	ACCEF C/ 30 Hajduczenia	SC 30.14.1.8	P 22 Bright House	L 24 Network	# 61
Response ACCEPT.		se Status C			/ line):	51	Comment Status A ultiple other lines are also m	issing "." at the e	end, for example (pag
C/ 30 SC 30. Scruton, Peter	.14.1.6	P 22 University of	L 8 New Ham	# 377	22 / 24 22 / 34 22 / 45				
Comment Type E		ent Status A			22 / 54 23 / 9 23 / 18				
SuggestedRemedy					<i>Suggestedl</i> Add mi	Remedy ssing "."			
Response ACCEPT. Also lii		se Status C			Response ACCEF	ΥТ.	Response Status C		
C/ 30 SC 30. _aw, David	.14.1.7	<i>Р</i> 22 НР	L 16	# 146	C/ 30 Law, David	SC 30.14.1.9	<i>P</i> 22 HP	L 38	# 180
indicate the value yet subclause 99	IACMergeAddFrag le of addFragSize 9.4.7.3 'Variables'	variable used by	Processing State M	value used to essing State Machine' lachine states that	unknow cause a	haviour states th vn SMD value, b a transition to W	Comment Status A at the counter is incrementer ut an unknown SMD value in AIT_FOR_DV_FALSE, not t crement the counter.	n the state CHEC	K_FOR_RESUME w
SuggestedRemedy	- • • • • • • • •				Suggested	-			
01	integer value' to		ger value'.				ntered (see 99.4.7.7).;' be c E state is entered due to the		
Change 'A 2-bit i Response	Respons	se Status C			function	a returning the w	alue "ERR" (see 99.4.7.7).;'.		_

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn C/ 30 SC 30.14.1.9 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Page 15 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM

C/ 30 SC 30.2.2.1 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 15 Ciena	L 3	# 7	C/ 30 Zimmerman	SC 30.2.3	P 15 CME Consult	L 33	# 338
	ment Status A	2.1" should be sh	own.	Comment T	ype E	Comment Status A ging "[" , and close "]" ended u		5
SuggestedRemedy Add headings for 3.2 and 30.2. Response Resp ACCEPT.	2 onse Status C				"[" from editor's	s note and "]" from title of 30.2 e, avoid dark (forest) green lin		listinguish. 1 in 15
···· //·· =··	P 15 CME Consul Iment Status A		# 347	<i>Response</i> ACCEP Keep th	PT IN PRINCIP	colorblind. Blue or yellow are b <i>Response Status</i> C LE. et and move the closing bracket losed in brackets.		o the end of the note.
looks like replacement for 30-3 3 with the following" - surely it v Figure appears to be on followi SuggestedRemedy Put replacement for Figure 30-	wasn't meant to replang page	ace the figure with	n an editor's note.	Even if figure b Color in	one cannot dis by being dashe n figures shall r	stinguish the color, the line is o d. This is consistent with the s not be required for proper inter ote and the line as they have s	tyle guide which pretation of the i	says: nformation.
Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Char with the figure shown below	onse Status C nge the editing instru	ction instead to: I	Replace Figure 30-3	C/ 30 Zimmerman Comment T	SC 30.2.3	P 16 CME Consult Comment Status A	L 23	# 339
				Figure 3 Mixture are in a Althoug	30-3: of fonts in figu Times font) h highlighting	the change is laudable, green 5 males are red-green colorbli	insert line is diffi	cult to distinguish fron
					/ figure with so	boxes have same font in 802. gures to highlight - blue or yell		choice.
				Response ACCEP	PT IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE. Correct the font.		
				figure b	y being dashe	stinguish the color, the line is o d. This is consistent with the s not be required for proper inter	tyle guide which	says:

C/ 30 SC 30.2.3

C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 Marris, Arthur	P 15 Cadence Des	L 36 ign Syst	# 189	CI 30 SC 30.2.5 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 15 Bright House	L 36 Network	# 59
omment Type E Remove spurious "]"	Comment Status A			Comment Type E Co Extra "[" in heading of 30.2.5	omment Status A		
<i>iggestedRemedy</i> Change: "30.2.5]Capabilities"				SuggestedRemedy Remove "[" in heading of 30.2			
to: "30.2.5 Capabilities"				Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See	sponse Status C e #338		
sponse ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C E. See #338			C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 Scruton, Peter	P 15 University of	<i>L</i> 36 New Ham	# 372
30 SC 30.2.5 slow, Pete	P 15 Ciena	L 36	# 8	Comment Type E Co Sublause 30.2.5 title has ']' in	omment Status A		
omment Type E Spurious "]" in heading	Comment Status A			SuggestedRemedy			
ggestedRemedy Change "]Capabilities" t	o "Capabilities"			Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See	sponse Status C e #338		
Sponse ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C E. See #338			C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 Hidaka, Yasuo	P 15 Fujitsu Lab of	L 36 f America	# 110
30 SC 30.2.5 egev, Alon	P 15 Ixia	L 36	# 237	Comment Type E Co There is a garbage character	omment Status A	e text.	
<i>mment Type</i> E Extra "]" before Capabili	Comment Status A ties			SuggestedRemedy Remove ']' in front of clause t	itle text.		
<i>iggestedRemedy</i> Change "]Capabilities" t	o "Capabilities"			Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See	sponse Status C e #338		
sponse ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	Response Status C E. See #338			C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 Booth, Brad	P 15 Microsoft	L 36	# 36
				Comment Type E Co There is a miscellaneous bra	omment Status A cket in the heading.		
				SuggestedRemedy Looks like the bracket for the	editor's note got put in	the heading. Re	locate the bracket.
				Response Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See	sponse Status C		

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 30
 Page 17 of 82

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 30.2.5
 6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 30.2.5
 SC 30.2.5
 5/11/2015 9:47:44 PM

C/ 30 SC 30.2.5 Healey, Adam	P 15 Avago Techno	L 36 ologies	# 101	<i>Cl</i> 30 Hidaka, Yas	SC 30.2.5 uo	Р 17 Fujitsu Lab o	L 1 of America	# 111
Comment Type E Extraneous "]" in the he SuggestedRemedy Remove it.	Comment Status A ading.			Comment Ty New Tal table.	•	Comment Status R d be amendment to Table 30)-7 at the right mo	st column, not a new
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLI 2/ 30 SC 30.2.5 immerman, George Comment Type E Copyright jumped back and 2014	Response Status C E. See #338 P 15 CME Consulti Comment Status A to 2014. Copyright jumps an	-	# 342	Add aLle manage Add aLle "oLldpX Change Change	DP MAC Merge dpXdot3Loc* ir d object class dpXdot3Rem* dot3RemSyste "Table 30-8 ar "Table 30-9" ir	Package (optional) as the r n Table 30-8 in page 17 at th (30.12.2)" in Table 30-7. in Table 30-8 in page 17 at t msGroup managed object c nd Table 30-9" in page 15, lin n page 15, line 48 with "Tabl " in page 17 as "Table 30-8"	e end of "oLldpXo he end of lass (30.12.3)" in ne 38 with "Table e 30-8".	dot3LocSystemsGroup Table 30-7.
uggestedRemedy Make all copyright 2015				Response REJEC ⁻ more sp		Response Status C s about as wide as it can be	so a new table wa	as added to provide
ACCEPT.	Response Status C	L1	# 340	<i>Cl</i> 30 Law, David	SC 30.2.5	<i>Р</i> 17 НР	L 20	# 132
mmerman, George <i>omment Type</i> E New tables 30-8 and 30	CME Consulti Comment Status A)-9 have blank column at righ	ing, Inc.	π 340	SuggestedR	'GET-SET' in t Remedy	Comment Status A he packaget tables, not 'GE h 'GET-SET' here, on line 4		3 lines 17 and 18.
	rom tables 30-8 and 30-9			Response ACCEP	Т.	Response Status C		
èsponse ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ 30 Hidaka, Yas Comment Ty The ord	vpe E	P 17 Fujitsu Lab o <i>Comment Status</i> A able 30-9 is inconsistent with		# 112
					e row of "aMA	CMergeVerifyDisable" after t CMergeStatusTx" before the		
				Response ACCEP	-	Response Status C		

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 30

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 30.2.5

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 30.2.5

Page 18 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM

C/ 30 SC 30.2.5	P 17	L 46	# 107	C/ 30	SC 30.2.5	P 17	L 46	# 122
Healey, Adam	Avago Techn	ologies		Hidaka, Yasu	10	Fujitsu Lab o	f America	
	Comment Status A e not assigned to any package ne, aMACMergeAddFragSize,			Comment Ty Some Xs		Comment Status A in Table 30-9.		
SuggestedRemedy	to the appropriate package.	awAowergerio		SuggestedRe Add Xs f		ng rows in Table 30-9:		
	Response Status C MAC Merge basic package	L 46	# [122	aMACMe aMACMe	ergeVerifyDisa ergeVerifyTIm ergeAddFragS ergeHoldCour	ie Size		
Law, David	HP	L 40	# 133	Response ACCEPT		Response Status C		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A order of the capabilities table f	ollows the subsl	auco ordor	C/ 30	SC 30.2.5	P 17	L 46	# 149
SuggestedRemedy	order of the capabilities table i		ause order.	Law, David		HP	- 10	" 140
Order should be:				Comment Ty	pe T	Comment Status A		
aMACMergeSupport aMACMergeStatusVe aMACMergeStatusEr aMACMergeVerifyDis aMACMergeStatusTx aMACMergeVerifyTin aMACMergeAddFrag	nable Sable K			aMACMe package SuggestedRe Add an '2 aMACMe	ergeAddFragS they belong t emedy <' in the MAC ergeVerifyDisa	lergeVerifyDisable, aMACMe Size and aMACMergeHoldCo o, assume they should be pa Merge Basic Package for the able (line 46), aMACMergeVe Size (page 18, line 19) and aM	unt are missing a rt of the MAC Me e attributes attribu	rge Basic Package. utes 8, line 18),
aMACMergeFrameAs aMACMergeFrameSr aMACMergeFrameAs aMACMergeFragCou aMACMergeFragCou aMACMergeHoldCou	ssErrorCount mdErrorCount ssOkCount intRx intTx			Response ACCEPT		Response Status C	2	
Response	Response Status C							

ACCEPT.

C/ 30 SC 30.2.5

	P 18	L 17	# 348	C/ 79 SC 79.3	P 24	L 14	# 193
Zimmerman, George	CME Consultir	ng, Inc.		Marris, Arthur	Cadence Desig	n Syst	
include these? why are aMACMergeVerifyTime aMACMergeAddFragSiz	ze		- what packages	Comment Type TR TBD value in table. Also 79.3.6 is curren SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A	n	
aMACMergeHoldCount				Replace TBD with ac	tual value, probably 7.		
from table, and capabilit Response	ow these are offered, what is ies from draft. <i>Response Status</i> C E. Add the missing X's to the			Make new subclause <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. Correct the subclaus	Response Status C		
C/ 79 SC 79.3	P 24	L 14	# 108	See #280			
Healey, Adam	Avago Techno		<i>"</i> 100	C/ 79 SC 79.3	P 24	L 14	# 56
	e 79-6, the IEEE 802.3 subty ch defines "EEE Fast Wake			Comment Type T I don't think order of SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status D assignment is a big secret, replac	ce the TBD.	
SuggestedRemedy Assign the "Additional E 79.3.7 and update the s	thernet Capabilities" subtype ubclause reference in Table <i>Response Status</i> C E. Renumber subclause			TBD goes to 7, reser Proposed Response	ved range to 8-255, and rewrite t <i>Response Status</i> W PT IN PRINCIPLE. See #280	he editing instr	ruction accordingly
SuggestedRemedy Assign the "Additional E 79.3.7 and update the s Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	ubclause reference in Table <i>Response Status</i> C			TBD goes to 7, reser Proposed Response	Response Status W	he editing instr	ruction accordingly

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 79 SC 79.3 Page 20 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM

<i>Cl</i> 79 Zimmerma	SC 79.3	P 24 CME Consult	L 14	# 350	C/ 79 Hajduczen	SC 79.3	P 24 Bright b	L 14 louse Network	# 93
			ng, mc.				Ũ		
Comment Table		Comment Status A			Comment		Comment Status I time to decide what this i		
	e is missing.							s going to be	
		ction - specify where to insert	the row.		Suggested	-	e appropriate value for thi	is now "Additional Ethe	vraat Capabilities"
Doesn	t the Reserved	e should be numeric row need to be modified as w	ell		subtyp	be. The same v	alue should be then prop next free number as of 80	agated into 79.3.6 as	
Suggested					Response		Response Status		
"Insert replace	row shown belo Subtype TBD	tion to be consistent with 802 w Subtype 6, and change las with Subtype 7 d, with strikeout of 7, replaced	t row in table as	shown"	ACCE		PLE. See #280		
Response		Response Status C			CI 79	SC 79.3	P 24	L 14	# 257
	PT IN PRINCIPI	-			Regev, Alc	on	Ixia		
					Comment	51	Comment Status	-	
<i>Cl</i> 79 Slavick, Je	SC 79.3 ff	P 24 Avago Techn	L 14 plogies	# 280	Workir	ng Group ballot	ts should not contain TBI	D values.	
Comment	Type TR	Comment Status A			Suggested	Remedy			
In Tab	e 79-1 the IEEE	802.3 subtype is TBD					change "TBD" to "6" change "802.3 subtype =	= TBD" to "802.3 subty	pe = 6"
Suggested					Response		Response Status	C	
		lue that is currently Reserved ue from the list of Reserved s		e Reserved list to	ACCE	PT IN PRINCI	PLE. See #280 Also, the	value 6 is already in us	se by EEE.
Response		Response Status C			CI 79	SC 79.3	P 24	L 7	# 24
	PT IN PRINCIPL				Anslow, Pe	ete	Ciena		
		I be assigned in the first Spor alues for subtypes are assigned			Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status	A	
						0	n does not say where the		serted and the
Cl 79 Booth, Bra	SC 79.3	P 24 Microsoft	L 14	# 37			served row should be ex nber should not be TBD.	plicit.	
Comment		Comment Status A			Suggested	• •	noer should not be TDD.		
	51	TBD in Table 79-1.				e the editing in	struction to:		
					"Chan	ge the reserve	d row in Table 79-1 and i	nsert a new row above	e it as follows
Suggested		Show the change to the last lin	o of Table 70.1	to have a range of 7 to		anged rows not	: shown):" v to the table in the draft a	and show "7–255" in st	rikethrough font and "8
255.		blow the change to the last in		to have a range of 7 to	to 255	" in underline f	ont. (numbers separated	by a "-" in this way are	outlawed in the IEEE
Response		Response Status C			style g		ge "TBD" to "7"		
ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPI	•				ure 79-6 chang			
					Response	0	Response Status	C	
							1		
					AUUE	PT IN PRINCI	LE. See #200		
					ACCE	PT IN PRINCI	PLE. See #280		

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 79.3 6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 79 SC 79.3.6	P 24	L 16	# 52	CI 79 SC 79	9.3.6	P 24	L 18	# 16
Grow, Robert	RMG Consulti	ng		Anslow, Pete		Ciena		
subclauses. As writte	Comment Status A aft follows the style guide for Fi en, it is typical to include renuml	ber in editing ins	truction unless	79.3.6 is alread	y present in the b	ent Status A ase standard. the base standard		
following the IEEE Sty	yle guide for subclauses where	this would become	me 79.3.5a.	SuggestedRemedy				
SuggestedRemedy					v subclause from 79-6 to Figure 79			
Either follow the style instruction.	guide, or include "renumbering	following subcla	auses" in editorial	Response	Respor	nse Status C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCEPT.				
	PLE. This is intended to be adde	ed as the last sul	bclause in 79.3 so	C/ 79 SC 79	9.3.6	P 24	L 27	# 351
	subclauses to renumber. The		er will be changed to	Zimmerman, Georg	e	CME Consult	ting, Inc.	
79.3.7 as there is a 78	9.3.6 and this should go after th P 24	L 18	# 225	Comment Type Specify subtype		ent Status A		
an, Adee	Intel			SuggestedRemedy				
Comment Type ER				ouggoolourionnouy				
51	Comment Status A eady exists, EEE fast wake (add	ded in 802.3bj).		Replace Subtyp Subtype 7).	be = TBD with app	propriate subtype c	onsistent with 80	2.3bx D3.0 (suggest
Subclause 79.3.6 alre	eady exists, EEE fast wake (add	ded in 802.3bj).		Subtype 7). Response		nse Status C	onsistent with 80	2.3bx D3.0 (suggest
Subclause 79.3.6 alre SuggestedRemedy Renumber 79.3.6 to 7	eady exists, EEE fast wake (add	ded in 802.3bj).		Subtype 7). Response	Respor	nse Status C	onsistent with 80	2.3bx D3.0 (suggest # <u>316</u>
Subclause 79.3.6 alre SuggestedRemedy Renumber 79.3.6 to 7 Response	eady exists, EEE fast wake (add 79.3.7.	L 18	# 368	Subtype 7). Response ACCEPT IN PR CI 79 SC 75 Tretter, Albert	Respor INCIPLE. See #2 9.3.6 T Comm	P 24		
Subclause 79.3.6 alre SuggestedRemedy Renumber 79.3.6 to 7 Response ACCEPT. 27 79 SC 79.3.6 Remein, Duane Comment Type E Per current 802.3 tem	eady exists, EEE fast wake (add 79.3.7. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 24	L 18 chnologi		Subtype 7). Response ACCEPT IN PR CI 79 SC 7 5 Tretter, Albert Comment Type	Respor INCIPLE. See #2 9.3.6 T Comm = TBD	P 24 Siemens		
Subclause 79.3.6 alre SuggestedRemedy Renumber 79.3.6 to 7 Response ACCEPT. C/ 79 SC 79.3.6 Remein, Duane Comment Type E Per current 802.3 tem already.	eady exists, EEE fast wake (add 79.3.7. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 24 FutureWei Ted <i>Comment Status</i> A	L 18 chnologi		Subtype 7). Response ACCEPT IN PR CI 79 SC 79 Tretter, Albert Comment Type 802.3 subtype = => "TBD" shoul	Respor INCIPLE. See #2 9.3.6 T Comm = TBD d be resolved	P 24 Siemens		2.3bx D3.0 (suggest
Subclause 79.3.6 alre SuggestedRemedy Renumber 79.3.6 to 7 Response ACCEPT. Cl 79 SC 79.3.6 Remein, Duane Comment Type E Per current 802.3 tem already. SuggestedRemedy	eady exists, EEE fast wake (add 79.3.7. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 24 FutureWei Ted <i>Comment Status</i> A	L 18 chnologi t 79.3.6 (which e		Subtype 7). Response ACCEPT IN PR CI 79 SC 75 Tretter, Albert Comment Type 802.3 subtype = => "TBD" shoul SuggestedRemedy	Respor INCIPLE. See #2 9.3.6 T Comm = TBD d be resolved d be resolved	P 24 Siemens		

CI 79 SC 79.3.6

C/ 79 SC 79.3.6	P 24	L 28	# 227	C/ 79 SC 79.3.	6.1 <i>P</i> 24	L 41	# 49
Ran, Adee	Intel			Grow, Robert	RMG Cor	sulting	
Comment Type T	Comment Status R			Comment Type T	Comment Status A		Dscu
No previous TLV defir	ned in clause 79 has variable l	ength and such a	generic name.		hat isn't received? That is pre T4 is not supported by text.		
	w capabilities will define new ⁻ prmat (this new TLV is a good e pusly defioned TLVs).			SuggestedRemedy An implementation	shall transmit all Reserved b	its as zero, and igno	ore received Reserved
SuggestedRemedy	, ,				ets shall not be transmitted a an Reserved, the additional o		
	Preemption capability" and set	a fixed length of	1 octet.		lefined, the implementation s		
Response	Response Status C			received as zero.			
	its in existing TLVs weren't use	ed because there	is no general	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
TLV space is limited s	since LLDP only allows for one	frame of TLVs. C	Creating a new TLV	CI 79 SC 79.3.	6.1 P 25	L 14	# 17
each time we create a	a new capability requires 7 octo	ets of TLV to send	d a few bits.	Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
Therefore, it makes se	ense to group the information i	nto a single TLV	going forward.	Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
CI 79 SC 79.3.6	P 24	L 28	# 199	The IEEE style mai	nual contains:		
Ran, Adee	Intel				beat the unit (e.g., 115 V to 12		uld never be used
	Commant Status			because they can t	e misconstrued as subtraction	on signs.	
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Currents al Dama al v			
Inconsistent alignmen				SuggestedRemedy	ngo:		
Inconsistent alignmen				SuggestedRemedy In Table 79-7a, cha "3-4" to "3 to 4" and	0		
Inconsistent alignmen SuggestedRemedy				In Table 79-7a, cha	0		
Inconsistent alignmen SuggestedRemedy	ıt.			In Table 79-7a, cha "3-4" to "3 to 4" and <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINC other tables in the 0	l [•] 5-15" to "5 to 15" <i>Response Status</i> C CIPLE. Put a colon in place of Clause (though there are sev		
Inconsistent alignmen SuggestedRemedy Align "7 bits" to the ce Response ACCEPT. Cl 79 SC 79.3.6	it. enter of the TLV type box.	L 32 chnologi	# 369	In Table 79-7a, cha "3-4" to "3 to 4" and <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINC other tables in the 0 used for the range	1 ^w 5-15" to "5 to 15" <i>Response Status</i> C CIPLE. Put a colon in place of	eral cases in the Cl	
Inconsistent alignmen SuggestedRemedy Align "7 bits" to the ce Response ACCEPT. CI 79 SC 79.3.6 Remein, Duane Comment Type E	nt. enter of the TLV type box. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 24	chnologi		In Table 79-7a, cha "3-4" to "3 to 4" and <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINC other tables in the 0 used for the range	d "5-15" to "5 to 15" <i>Response Status</i> C CIPLE. Put a colon in place of Clause (though there are sev of reserved bits or values). higher numbered bit goes firm	eral cases in the Cl	
Inconsistent alignmen SuggestedRemedy Align "7 bits" to the ce Response ACCEPT. CI 79 SC 79.3.6 Remein, Duane Comment Type E This figure is incorrect SuggestedRemedy	it. enter of the TLV type box. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 24 FutureWei Te <i>Comment Status</i> A	chnologi		In Table 79-7a, cha "3-4" to "3 to 4" and <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINC other tables in the used for the range When using a : the <i>Cl</i> 79 SC 79.4 .2	d "5-15" to "5 to 15" <i>Response Status</i> C CIPLE. Put a colon in place of Clause (though there are sev of reserved bits or values). higher numbered bit goes firm 2 <i>P</i> 24 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A	eral cases in the Cl st.	ause where a dash is
Inconsistent alignmen SuggestedRemedy Align "7 bits" to the ce Response ACCEPT. CI 79 SC 79.3.6 Remein, Duane Comment Type E This figure is incorrect SuggestedRemedy Change to Figure 79-6 Response	it. enter of the TLV type box. <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 24 FutureWei Te <i>Comment Status</i> A tly numbered as Figure 79-6 a	chnologi Iready exists in 79		In Table 79-7a, cha "3-4" to "3 to 4" and Response ACCEPT IN PRINC other tables in the 0 used for the range When using a : the Cl 79 SC 79.4.1 Anslow, Pete Comment Type E Space missing in e SuggestedRemedy	d "5-15" to "5 to 15" <i>Response Status</i> C CIPLE. Put a colon in place of Clause (though there are sev of reserved bits or values). higher numbered bit goes firm 2 <i>P</i> 24 Ciena <i>Comment Status</i> A	eral cases in the Cl st. <i>L</i> 53	ause where a dash is # 13

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 79

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 79.4.2

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 79.4.2
 SC 79.4.2

Page 23 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM

C/ 79 SC 79.4.2 Remein, Duane	Р 24 FutureWei Te	L 53 chnologi	# 370	C/ 79 SC 7 Hajduczenia, Marel		P 25 Bright House	L 1 Network	# 62
Comment Type E	Comment Status A rs instruction: "of Table 79-9a	-		Comment Type	Е	Comment Status A in Table 79–7a should be le		not centered.
SuggestedRemedy change to "of Table 79 Response ACCEPT.	-9 and" Response Status C			lines - it impare welcome. Sam	Also, brea es readabi	k text lines in such a way th lity and serves no purpose. tion applies to Table 79–9 a	A force line bre	ak would be most
CI 79 SC 79.4.2 Regev, Alon Comment Type E missing space betweer	P 24 Ixia <i>Comment Status</i> A n "Table 79-9" and "and"	L 54	# 247	so that will rem centered.)	nain the sa	Response Status C . Text under function is cent ime. (One table has some e add forced line breaks to p	ntries left justifi	ed and one entry
SuggestedRemedy Change "Table 79–9ar Response ACCEPT.	nd" to "Table 79–9 and" Response Status C			· · · //· ·	E	P 25 Ixia Comment Status A "0 = not active" to match the	L 12 e format elsewi	# 248
Cl 79 SC 79.4.2 Remein, Duane Comment Type E Missing editing instruct	P 25 FutureWei Te <i>Comment Status</i> A tion for Table 79-7a. This app	-	# 371	SuggestedRemedy Chagne "0=not Response ACCEPT.		"0 = not active" Response Status C		
79.3.6.1 (which should SuggestedRemedy Change Editing Instruc subclause 79.3.5.5." to	tion pg 24 line 16 from: "Inse "Insert Subclause 79.3.5a, so 50 79.4 appear after the new	nment). rt Subclause 79. subclauses and	3.6 following		k ER	P 25 Bright House <i>Comment Status</i> A d Table 79–10 does not me		# 71
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL correction.	Response Status C .E. The Table is part of 79.3.7	7.1. See # 16 for	subclause numbering	SuggestedRemedy Apply proper te Response ACCEPT IN PF	emplate to	both tables. <i>Response Status</i> C . The bottom border will be	fixed	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 79 SC 79.4.2

C/ 79 SC 79.4.2 Hidaka, Yasuo	Р 25 Fujitsu Lab of	L 37 America	# 114	C/ 79 SC 79.5.3 Tretter, Albert	P 26 Siemens	L 12	# 293
Comment Type E The bottom border line of	<i>Comment Status</i> A of Table 79-9 is not thick.			<i>Comment Type</i> E *AE => Additional Ethe	Comment Status R rnet Capabilities TLV		
SuggestedRemedy Make the bottom border	line of Table 79-9 thick.			Meaning of "*" at "*AE"	in column Item not clear.		
Response	Response Status C			There is no explanation	1?		
ACCEPT.				SuggestedRemedy Specify the meaning or	delete the "*"		
C/79 SC 79.4.2	P 25	L 54	# 115	Response	Response Status C		
lidaka, Yasuo	Fujitsu Lab of	America			d in the Clause 21 PICS which	ch the Clause 79	PICS references for
Comment Type E The bottom border line of	<i>Comment Status</i> A of Table 79-10 is not thick.			PICS symbols: "Each item whose refer the Item column."	ence is used in a conditiona	l symbol is indica	ted by an asterisk in
SuggestedRemedy Make the bottom border	line of Table 79-10 thick.			C/ 88 SC 99.2.3.1 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 32 Bright House	L 18	# 81
Response	Response Status C				-	Network	
ACCEPT.				Comment Type T	Comment Status A	MAC Client to M	IAC Marga to hold ar
C/ 79 SC 79.5.11 Regev, Alon	Р 26 Іхіа	L 18	# 236		the transfer a request from a frames from the pMAC." - "fate pMAC." - "fate before.		
0	Comment Status A			SuggestedRemedy			
Comment Type E "Capabilities" misspelled					primitive defines the transfer controlling the transmission of		
SuggestedRemedy				Response	Response Status C		
change "Capabilites" to	"Capabilities".			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	E. The existing sentence do	esn't quite parse.	Delete "the transfer"
Also regenerate the Tab	le of Contents to correct the	re.		The request doesn't co	ntrol the transmission of exp	ross framos Por	lace "frames from th
Response	Response Status C			pMAC" with "preemptal			
ACCEPT.					a request from a MAC Client	to MAC Merge to	o hold or release

C/ 88 SC 99.2.3.1

C/ 90 SC 90.4.2	P 27	L7	# 72
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Network	
6	Comment Status A s to 90.4.2, 90.4.3, 90.4.3.1, 90 - remove from the draft	0.4.3.1.2, 90.4.3	1.3 , 90.4.3.2,
SuggestedRemedy pre comment			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 90 SC 90.4.3.1 Ran, Adee	.1 P 27 Intel	L 26	# 228
	Comment Status R gful name for this parameter. A in TS_RX.indication, although	,	
00 ,	SOURCE in TS_TX and to MM	1_SINK in TS_R	Х.
Response	Response Status C		
present. Usually, the	is MM because it only applies same parameter has the same	e name in differe	nt primitives. It wasn't
	me added meaning and one a ed based on which primitive is		erner me packet was

indicatio SuggestedR Either: Do not ir	pe TR clear whay Clau n.	Caden <i>Comment Status</i> use 90 needs to be mo		e source of the SFD
It is not o indicatio SuggestedR Either: Do not ir	, clear whay Clau n.			e source of the SFD
indicatio SuggestedR Either: Do not ir	n.	use 90 needs to be m	odified to indicate th	e source of the SFD
Either: Do not ir	emedy			
Do not ir				
Or: Give a p	nclude Clause	90 in 802.3br on of the purpose of th	e MM parameter	
•			•	
Response		Response Status		n seeing an SFD. When
MA_data	a.requests have both interface	e been sent on both cl		oduced the TSSI when as MA_data.indications
Cl 90	SC 90.4.3.1.1	P 27	L 32	# 94
Hajduczenia	Marek	Bright	House Network	
Comment Ty	pe TR	Comment Status	Α	
Descript	ion of MM para	meter is cryptic and d	oes not follow stand	lard 802.3 description.
SuggestedR Change		ead as follows:		
instantia	ted. The MM p r EMAC. When	arameter, when prese PMAC value is set a	nt, can take one of and the SFD parame	Aerge (see Clause 99) is two possible values, i.e., ter is asserted (SFD =
DETECT When El	MAC value is s		neter is asserted (SF	m pMAC was detected. FD = DETECTED), the ected.

ACCEPT.

CI 90 SC 90.4.3.1.1

C/ 90 SC 90.4.3.1.							
Law, David	1 P 27 HP	L 33	# 188	C/ 99 SC Zimmerman, George	P CME Cons	L sulting, Inc.	# 336
have access to xMIT, s instantiated. In addition	Comment Status A terms of xMIT signalling yet th so I don't see how the MAC M n this text states the value PM ill not be the SFD value, inste	IERGE can be the IAC indicates a S	e gRS sublayer when FD from the PMAC,	Task Force" (it starte TOC) SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A d up starting with table of cor d out correct in the front mat	ter, and returns to	correct following the
TimeSync when layer	at that MM parameter is manc above is MACMerge. The val ed at the xMII, the value PMA	ue EMAC indicate	es the SMD-E (SFD)	Change header to re Response ACCEPT.	ad "IEEE 802.3br Interspers Response Status C	ing Express Traffic	: Task Force"
been detected at the x	MII (see Table 99-1). Make s	milar changes for	the receive path.	C/ 99 SC	Р	L	# 50
Response	Response Status C			Grow, Robert	RMG Cons	sulting	
ACCEPT.				Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
C/ 90 SC 90.4.3.2.	1 P 28	L 7	# 95	You get the joy of try instructions is comm	ing to figure out if a user of t enting on clause 99 or front i	he Generic Comm matter.	ent tool following
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Network		SuggestedRemedy	-		
Comment Type TR Description of MM para	Comment Status A ameter is cryptic and does no	t follow standard	802.3 description.	Fix (or get someone	to fix) the red text on the Ge at matter. I tried 999 for my f		
SuggestedRemedy				Response	Response Status C		
Change lines 32-35 to	read as follows:			ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE. This comment will be re	eferred to the IEEE	802.3 chief editor.
instantiated. The MM p PMAC or EMAC. When DETECTED), the Time	optional and present only who parameter, when present, car n PMAC value is set and the eSync Client is notified that a set and the SFD parameter is set and the surficience of	take one of two p SFD parameter is valid SFD from pl asserted (SFD =	oossible values, i.e., asserted (SFD = MAC was detected. DETECTED), the	I usually use 00 for f	ront matter.		
TimeSync Client is not	aned that a valid SFD from er		1.				
	Response Status C		1.				
TimeSync Client is not Response		L 29	# 200				
TimeSync Client is not Response ACCEPT. C/ 90 SC 90.5 Ran, Adee	Response Status C	L 29					
TimeSync Client is not Response ACCEPT. C/ 90 SC 90.5 Ran, Adee Comment Type E	Response Status C P 28 Intel	L 29					

COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI **99** SC

Discuss

C/ 99	SC	Р	L	# 365
Dove, Dan		Dove Netwo	orking Solut	

Comment Type T Comment Status R

The use of Start_of_Frame_Delimiter (SFD) to articulate state of a packet is a questionable practice as it exposes packets to a potential Hamming Distance failure. I don't have the time or specific expertise to analyze the approach being used, but want to express my concern about this approach with the hope that others within this project will carefully consider that concern.

In addition, the approach appears to reliv upon the byte-orientation of the receiver to clearly identify the state of the packet being received. Many PHYs in the industry use nibble-based alignment due to implementations like RGMII, etc. While one can rely upon Auto-Negotiation to ensure that an older PHY architected with RGMII does not go into IET mode of operation, the specification may not have considered the implications upon those who wish to retain RGMII implementation while incorporating IET into their designs. I don't have the detailed implementation knowledge required to address this, but suggest that PHY implementers who are involved in such designs carefully consider the impact to their designs.

SuggestedRemedy

Response

Response Status C

REJECT. IEEE 802.3 uses the SFD to determine the start of the packet even though it is the one part of 802.3 that doesn't have a Hamming distance of 4. The impact of that was analysed at the beginning of IEEE 802.3 and determined to be acceptable. This amendment doesn't make that any worse. The new delimiters introduced have a greater hamming distance than the distance between the SFD and preamble.

No assumption is being made about a byte aligned MII. The only assumption is that the PHYs do not drop or insert a partial octet of preamble bits. The 10 Mb/s implementations without active idles and deprecated 100 Mb/s half duplex PHYS are the only PHYs that drop a partial octet of preamble bits and this amendment specifies that it is for use with Full Duplex MACs operating at 100 Mb/s and higher.

Many of our PCS sublayers can only transmit data with an integer number of octets so even if we made this assumption, it isn't any different than those PCS sublayers are making.

CI 99	SC			P 10	L 15	#	335
Zimmerman	Geo	rge	C	ME Cor	nsulting, Inc.		
	, conte , line	15: extr	Comment Sta raneous "]" on 30.2. raneous "[" on 90.4.	5			
SuggestedR Remove			(looks like they're a	ctually i	n the headers o	f 30.2.5 and 90).4.4
Response ACCEP the one			<i>Response Sta</i> PLE. For page 10, t	-	ket needs to be	on the editor's	s note. Delete
C/ 99	SC			P 13	L 44	#	5
Anslow, Pete	Э		C	iena			
	, or's n ded a	mendm	Comment Sta ers to "IEEE P802.3 nents by the time P8	bj and I		which will bot	n be
Change: "(e.g., IE	EE P	2 802.3b	j and IEEE P802.3t q and IEEE P802.3				
Response ACCEP ⁻	Г.		Response Sta	tus C			

CI **99** SC

IEEE Std 802.3bk-2013 is expected to be superseded by the time amendment is published, so remove the 802.3bk summary. The summary of other amendments that are likely to be publishe IEEE Std 802.3bw-201x) should be added here. The summary of what this amendment includes should be filled of the summary of what		to be remove	ER (CME Consult Comment Status A	-	
IEEE Std 802.3bk-2013 is expected to be superseded by the time amendment is published, so remove the 802.3bk summary. The summary of other amendments that are likely to be publishe IEEE Std 802.3bw-201x) should be added here. The summary of what this amendment includes should be filled of		"[to be provid to be remove				
amendment is published, so remove the 802.3bk summary. The summary of other amendments that are likely to be publishe IEEE Std 802.3bw-201x) should be added here. The summary of what this amendment includes should be filled of		to be remove	led]" is not a lis	t of balloters nor is it m		
The summary of what this amendment includes should be filled of		Similarly for t		pard on page 9.	arked as an edit	tor's note or something
,	out.	SuggestedRemed	dy			
uggestedRemedy Remove the 802.3bk summary.		Provide list of balloting committee members, or mark "[to be provided]" as an editor's no to be removed prior to publication. Similarly for IEEE-SA board on page 9.				əd]" as an editor's note
Add the summary of other amendments that are likely to be public	ished before 802.3bq (at	Response	R	esponse Status C		
least IEEE Std 802.3bw-201x). Change: "IEEE Std 802.3xxTM-201x" to "IEEE Std 802.3brTM-20 Replace "This amendment includes [complete]" with the complet P802.3br amendment.		IEEE-SA boa	ard. No editor's	he IEEE-SA editor takes note is needed.	care of Sponso	or ballot voters and the
esponse Response Status C		Will add the \	Norking Group	list.		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. When the IEEE 802.3 Revision is appr amendment to IEEE 802.3-2015 (I hope it is 2015, not 2016) the		C/ 99 SC Thompson, Geoff	Ŧ	Р 32 GraCaSI S.A	L	# 384
incorporated in 802.3-2015 will be deleted from the front matter.		Comment Type	TR (Comment Status R		
Add 802.3bw summary.		This clause seems to (a) not precisely specify which configuration of the existing MAC is				
199 SC P6 L13	# 4	used for the e service interfa		pMAC and also seems	o be respecifyin	ig the upper MAC
nslow, Pete Ciena		SuggestedRemed	dy			
omment Type E Comment Status A "IEEE P802.3br Task Force name" should be "IEEE P802.3br Int	terspersing Express			accommodation (and the accommodation (and the accommodation (and the accomposition of the acc		
Traffic"		Response	R	esponse Status U		
uggestedRemedy Change ""IEEE P802.3br Task Force name" to "IEEE P802.3br I Traffic" (2 instances)	nterspersing Express	REJECT. It specifies that the MACs are full duplex operating at 100 Mb/s or greater (first line in 99.1). It is using two copies of the upper MAC service interface, not respecifying it. This was indicated as a example of how this might be implemented even before the PAR				
esponse Response Status C		was approved	d.			
ACCEPT.						

CI **99** SC

C/99 SC 88.4.5	P 37 L 26	# 55	C/ 99 SC 99	P 29	L 1	# 131
Grow, Robert	RMG Consulting		Laubach, Mark	Broadco	m Corporation	
••	omment Status A e necessary text get deleted?		some manner indicat	Comment Status R ke to see some explicit sta es support for TF Objectiv	e #13: "IET frames w	ill be constructed such
I have no clue what the sente to fix.	ence was attempting to say and the sponse Status U	nerefore at am a loss on how	Others more skilled in construction non-imp	ecognized as valid MAC fr n those other clauses may act may be readily appare acy are not recognized as	not need this statem nt to them. E.g., some	ent and the IET frame ething like "IET frames
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. A	-			r more cross-references}.		
An SMD containing an SMD Should be:	-C an mPacket that continues the		SuggestedRemedy Up to the TF.			
preempted frame.	-C indicates an mPacket that cont	inues the data for a	Response	Response Status C		
C/ 99 SC 99	P0 L0	# 92	REJECT. The IET fra non-IET MAC becaus	ames (i.e. the ones with a set they start with somethin	value that isn't the SF ig that isn't a valid SF	D) are discarded by a D after the preamble.
	Bright House Network omment Status R		We used to have a G don't have such state	boals clause and we receivements.	ed multiple inputs the	at we shouldn't so we
Where is Clause 45?????			C/ 99 SC 99	P 29	L 1	# 18
uggestedRemedy			Anslow, Pete	Ciena		
Why there are no registers for	or Clause 45? Do we expect to ha	ve no need for MAC				
registers (counters) at all? M	lost of the counters from Clause 3 and these are missing right now	0 should be mapped into	5	Comment Status A ys: "Insert new clauses an	d corresponding anne	exes as follows:" but
registers (counters) at all? M Clause 45 registers as well a Response Re	and these are missing right now sponse Status C	0 should be mapped into	Editing instruction sa there are no new anr	ys: "Insert new clauses an	d corresponding anne	exes as follows:" but
registers (counters) at all? M Clause 45 registers as well a Response Re	and these are missing right now sponse Status C ecifies PHY counters because it is	0 should be mapped into	Editing instruction sa	ys: "Insert new clauses an nexes.	d corresponding anne	exes as follows:" but
registers (counters) at all? M Clause 45 registers as well a Response Re REJECT. Clause 45 only spe MDIO interface. A MAC or cl IEEE 802.3 doesn't specify h and above the RS are acces	and these are missing right now sponse Status C ecifies PHY counters because it is hip containing a MAC now counters and other configurat sed. It assumes that there is an ir	0 should be mapped into the PHYS that have an ion and status above the MII nplementation dependent	Editing instruction sa there are no new anr SuggestedRemedy	ys: "Insert new clauses an nexes.	d corresponding anne	exes as follows:" but
registers (counters) at all? M Clause 45 registers as well a Response Re. REJECT. Clause 45 only spe MDIO interface. A MAC or cl IEEE 802.3 doesn't specify h and above the RS are access ability to access this informat registers.	and these are missing right now sponse Status C ecifies PHY counters because it is hip containing a MAC now counters and other configurat sed. It assumes that there is an ir tion. None of the MAC counters o	0 should be mapped into the PHYS that have an ion and status above the MII nplementation dependent	Editing instruction sa there are no new anr SuggestedRemedy Change to "Insert new Response	ys: "Insert new clauses an nexes. w clause as follows:" <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 29	d corresponding anno <i>L</i> 1 ab of America	exes as follows:" but # 116
registers (counters) at all? M Clause 45 registers as well a Response Re- REJECT. Clause 45 only spe MDIO interface. A MAC or cl IEEE 802.3 doesn't specify h and above the RS are access ability to access this information	and these are missing right now sponse Status C ecifies PHY counters because it is hip containing a MAC now counters and other configurat sed. It assumes that there is an ir tion. None of the MAC counters o	0 should be mapped into the PHYS that have an ion and status above the MII nplementation dependent	Editing instruction sa there are no new anr SuggestedRemedy Change to "Insert new Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99	ys: "Insert new clauses an nexes. w clause as follows:" <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 29 Fujitsu L <i>Comment Status</i> A use to insert.	L 1	
registers (counters) at all? M Clause 45 registers as well a Response Re. REJECT. Clause 45 only spe MDIO interface. A MAC or cl IEEE 802.3 doesn't specify h and above the RS are access ability to access this informat registers.	and these are missing right now sponse Status C ecifies PHY counters because it is hip containing a MAC now counters and other configurat sed. It assumes that there is an ir tion. None of the MAC counters o	0 should be mapped into the PHYS that have an ion and status above the MII nplementation dependent	Editing instruction sa there are no new and SuggestedRemedy Change to "Insert new Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type E There is only one cla There is no annex to SuggestedRemedy	ys: "Insert new clauses an nexes. w clause as follows:" <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 29 Fujitsu L <i>Comment Status</i> A use to insert.	L 1 ab of America	# [<u>116</u>
registers (counters) at all? M Clause 45 registers as well a esponse Re. REJECT. Clause 45 only spe MDIO interface. A MAC or cl IEEE 802.3 doesn't specify h and above the RS are access ability to access this informat registers.	and these are missing right now sponse Status C ecifies PHY counters because it is hip containing a MAC now counters and other configurat sed. It assumes that there is an ir tion. None of the MAC counters o	0 should be mapped into the PHYS that have an ion and status above the MII nplementation dependent	Editing instruction sa there are no new and SuggestedRemedy Change to "Insert new Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type E There is only one cla There is no annex to SuggestedRemedy	ys: "Insert new clauses an nexes. w clause as follows:" <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 29 Fujitsu L <i>Comment Status</i> A use to insert. insert.	L 1 ab of America	# [<u>116</u>

Hajduczenia, Marek	P 4 Bright House	L 1	# 68	C/ 99 Law, David	SC 99	<i>Р</i> 6 НР	<i>L</i> 1	# 143
Comment Type E	-	Network		Comment		Comment Status A		
51	2.3 status is incomplete.			Please		king group balloter list suppl	ied in the file	
SuggestedRemedy				Suggested		_names.pui>.		
802.3bx, this draf	updates to 802.3 family of standard t should be aligned with 802.3bx D ballot, P802.3bx will be a new base	03.0 given that by		See co	omment.			
Response	Response Status C	5 002.0 Standard.		Response		Response Status C		
	ICIPLE. See #334 regarding 802.3	3 revision and #3	on undating front	ACCE	PT.			
matter.			on apaaling none	C/ 99	SC 99.1	P 29	L 1	# 153
C/99 SC 99	P 45	L 38	# 25	Law, David	ł	HP		
inslow, Pete	Ciena	2.50	π 2 5	Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
Comment Type T			Discuss			a sublayer, and since the TS st that only the MAC Merge		
	l Editor's notes in Clause 99 discus s should have been resolved prior			Suggested		, 0	2	
	to the draft being ready for Sponso				-	relationship of MAC Merge	and the MMSI to	the other sublayers
SuggestedRemedy				be cha	inged to read '	the relationship of MAC Mer	ge sublayer to the	e other sublayers'.
	issues and remove the editor's no	otes.		Response		Response Status C		
Response	Response Status U			ACCE	PT IN PRINCIPL	E. See #165		
	ICIPLE. There are 2 editor's notes			C/ 99	SC 99.1	P 29	L 15	# 96
		and the first state and the					Maturali	
small issue in 30.	14.1.2 that the editor noticed durin			Hajduczen	ia, Marek	Bright House	Network	
small issue in 30.				Hajduczen Comment		Bright House	Network	
small issue in 30. comments that re The other reques old and should ha	14.1.2 that the editor noticed durin solve this issue so this note should ts review of delay constraints (thou ave been removed - there has been	d be gone in the n ugh the statement n some review and	ext draft. that it is a first cut is	Comment "The N	<i>Type</i> TR IMSI enables be		ne slightly before	express traffic is
small issue in 30. comments that re The other reques old and should ha	14.1.2 that the editor noticed durin solve this issue so this note should ts review of delay constraints (thou	d be gone in the n ugh the statement n some review and	ext draft. that it is a first cut is	Comment "The N expect	<i>Type</i> TR IMSI enables be red to minimize th	Comment Status A ginning preemption of a fran	ne slightly before	express traffic is
small issue in 30. comments that re The other reques old and should ha task force review The other editor's	14.1.2 that the editor noticed durin solve this issue so this note should ts review of delay constraints (thou ave been removed - there has been b. This note will be removed in the notes are not on technical issues.	d be gone in the n ugh the statement n some review and next draft.	ext draft. that it is a first cut is d update during the	Comment "The M expect Suggested This w	Type TR IMSI enables be ted to minimize the IRemedy ould imply some	Comment Status A ginning preemption of a fran he latency for express traffic secret knowledge of when t	ne slightly before ." he express traffic	will begin in the futur
small issue in 30. comments that re The other reques old and should ha task force review The other editor's One highlights ch	14.1.2 that the editor noticed durin solve this issue so this note should ts review of delay constraints (thou ave been removed - there has been b. This note will be removed in the	d be gone in the n ugh the statement n some review and next draft. n for voters becau	ext draft. that it is a first cut is d update during the se that was requested	Comment "The N expect Suggested This w even b know h	Type TR IMSI enables be led to minimize the <i>Remedy</i> ould imply some before it arrives a now you can gua	Comment Status A ginning preemption of a fran he latency for express traffic secret knowledge of when t t the queue. I think this puts rantee that witout delaying e	he slightly before " he express traffic the effect before xpress traffic in a	will begin in the future the cause. I do not queue. Clarification
small issue in 30. comments that re old and should ha task force review The other editor's One highlights ch since the text cha	14.1.2 that the editor noticed durin solve this issue so this note should ts review of delay constraints (thou ave been removed - there has been b. This note will be removed in the notes are not on technical issues. anges to the Containment diagram	d be gone in the n ugh the statement n some review and next draft. n for voters becau ove in the next dra	ext draft. that it is a first cut is d update during the se that was requested ft.	Comment "The N expect Suggested This w even b know h on hov individ The ex IEEE 8 schedu	Type TR IMSI enables be ted to minimize the <i>Remedy</i> ould imply some before it arrives an ow you can gua withis is acheieved ual primitives are cample "For exar 302.1Q3, transmi uled to be transmi	Comment Status A ginning preemption of a fram he latency for express traffic secret knowledge of when t t the queue. I think this puts rantee that witout delaying e d is needed, perhaps not wite defined. mple, when the MAC Client s ssion of preemptable frames itted." does not make much	he slightly before " he express traffic the effect before xpress traffic in a thin the text of int supports schedule s can be held before sense, since infor	will begin in the future the cause. I do not queue. Clarification roduction but where ed traffic as defined in pre express traffic is
small issue in 30. comments that re old and should ha task force review The other editor's One highlights ch since the text cha	14.1.2 that the editor noticed durin solve this issue so this note should ts review of delay constraints (thou we been removed - there has been . This note will be removed in the notes are not on technical issues. anges to the Containment diagram nge marking isn't in figures. Remo	d be gone in the n ugh the statement n some review and next draft. n for voters becau ove in the next dra	ext draft. that it is a first cut is d update during the se that was requested ft.	Comment "The N expect Suggested This w even b know h on hov individ The ex IEEE 8 schedu	Type TR IMSI enables be ted to minimize the <i>Remedy</i> ould imply some before it arrives an ow you can gua withis is acheieved ual primitives are cample "For exar 302.1Q3, transmi uled to be transmi	Comment Status A ginning preemption of a fram he latency for express traffic secret knowledge of when t t the queue. I think this puts rantee that witout delaying e d is needed, perhaps not wite defined. nple, when the MAC Client s ssion of preemptable frames	he slightly before " he express traffic the effect before xpress traffic in a thin the text of int supports schedule s can be held before sense, since infor	will begin in the futur the cause. I do not queue. Clarification roduction but where ed traffic as defined in pre express traffic is

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. There is no secret knowledge implied. Remove "slightly" as it is unquantified.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn Page 31 of 82 CI 99 SC 99.1 6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 99 SC 99.1 Tretter, Albert	P 29 Siemens	L 19	# 294	C/ 99 Law, David	SC 99.1		<i>Р</i> 29 НР	L 22	# 154
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment T	ype T	Co	omment Status A		
be transmitted.	preemptable frames can be held			service	interface (express tra	merge allows ' frame affic) to the eMAC to int e eMAC', aren't the fr	errupt transmissi	
We still have a mixt	ture of the terms "hold" and "sus	pend" for the sar	ne meaning.	SuggestedF	Remedy				
We should use alwa	Sugges	t that ' to	the eMAC	to' be changed to re	ead ' by the eM	AC to'.			
In the actual draft th	In the actual draft the term "hold" (>5 times) is more often used than "suspend" (2 times)					Re	sponse Status C		
SuggestedRemedy Make the draft cons	eMAC.	This is des	cribing the	ee #74. The frames are overall service provide Merge. The text adopted	ed to the MAC CI	ient by the combination			
Response	Response Status C			C/ 99	SC 99.1		P 29	L 23	# 211
ACCEPT IN PRINC	CIPLE. Use hold			Ran, Adee			Intel		
C/ 99 SC 99.1	P 29	L 21	# 74	Comment T	ype E	Co	omment Status A		
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Network			0	,	al: "The use of the word y requirements; will is o		
	Comment Status A tle sense: "When preemption is a			Here "will" should probably be changed to "shall".					
	<pre>kpress MAC service interface (ex reemptable frame being transmit</pre>			Clause 99 contains many instances of "will", they should be changed per case.					d per case.
Merge in located at	bove MAC			SuggestedF	Remedy				
SuggestedRemedy				Go over	clause 99	and chan	ge text containing "will"	as appropriate.	
	/hen preemption is active, the M xpress MAC service interface (e			Response			sponse Status C		
Preemption is enab	ver the preemptable MAC servic led only when it has been deterr n." and avoid the discussion on v	mined that the lin	k partner supports the	descript	ive text - s	atements	e editor has checked a of fact about what som ally, behaviors that are	ething implemen	

Preemption is enabled only when it has been determined that the link partner supports the preemption function." and avoid the discussion on what and where is tramsmitted. It is unnecessary. Strike line 39, page 29.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The second sentence is covered lower down in 99.1.

There are some instances where "will" could be removed. E.g. "Asserting hold over the MSSI will also interrupt" could be changed to "Asserting hold over the MSSI also interrupts". That will be done where possible.

requiring the state machines.

C/ 99 SC 99.1 Page 32 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM

C/ 99 SC 99.1		P 29	L 23	# 139
Law, David		HP		
	hat referen	Comment Status A ce to the 'MSSI' here and on the Service Interface (MMSI).	following line are	typos, and should be
SuggestedF Change		SI' to read ' the MMSI' he	re and on the foll	owing line.
Response ACCEP	РТ.	Response Status C		
CI 99	SC 99.1	P 29	L 23	# 75
Hajduczenia, Marek		Bright House	Network	
Comment T	уре Т	Comment Status A		
MSSÍ w pMAC.	vill also inte Once trans	HOLD/RELEASE parameter in M rrupt transmission of a preempta mission of the express traffic has transmission of the preemptabl	ble frame being t s finished and an	ransmitted by the y hold from the MSSI

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read: "When HOLD is asserted on the MM_CTL.request primitive, the MAC Merge sublayer interrupts any ongoing transmission of preemptable traffic and enables the transmission of express traffic. When RELEASE is asserted on the MM_CTL.request primitive, transmission of express traffic is completed and transmission of preemptable traffic is resumed."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. When HOLD is asserted by a MM_CTL.request primitive, the MAC Merge sublayer suspends any ongoing transmission of preemptable traffic and enables the transmission of express traffic. When RELEASE is asserted by a MM_CTL.request primitive, transmission of express traffic is completed and transmission of preemptable traffic is resumed.

Also replace "interrupt" with "suspend" when used to describe what HOLD does.

C/ 99	SC 99.1	P 29	L 27	# 76
Hajduczenia,	Marek	Bright House Netw	vork	

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Sentence makes little sense: "When preemption is not active, transmission of preemptable frames will not be interrupted. If the eMAC is providing an express frame and MAC Merge is idle (i.e. at least an interpacket gap has elapsed since ending transmission of any prior frame), MAC Merge will begin transmission of the express frame. If the eMAC is not providing a frame, transmission of preemptable frames is released and the pMAC is providing a preemptable frame and MAC Merge is idle, MAC Merge will transmit the preemptable frame." - language can be simplified a lot and avoid the use of "will" that is not allowed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to read: "When preemption is not active, the MAC Merge sublayer does not interrupt transmission of preemptable traffic even if express traffic becomes available. If the MAC Merge sublayer is idle (at least an interpacket gap has elapsed since the end of transmission of a prior frame) and an express frame becomes available, the MAC Merge sublayer transmits the express frame. Otherwise, the MAC Merge sublayer transmits any presented preemptable frames."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Use:

When preemption is not active, the MAC Merge sublayer does not prempt transmission of preemptable packet even if express traffic becomes available. If the MAC Merge sublayer is idle (i.e. at least an interpacket gap has elapsed since the end of transmission of a prior frame) and an express frame becomes available, the MAC Merge sublayer transmits the express frame. Otherwise, the MAC Merge sublayer transmits any presented preemptable frames.

C/ 99	SC 99.1	P 29	L 28	#	140
Law, David		HP			

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Suggest that 'transmitting' should be used rather that 'providing' here and twice on line 30.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest the text '... is providing an ...' is changed to read '... is transmitting an ...' here and twice on line 30.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

CI 99 SC 99.1

Cl 99 SC 99.1 P 29 L Ran, Adee Intel	31 # 202	C/ 99 SC 99.1 P 29 L 9 # 201 Ran, Adee Intel			
Comment Type E Comment Status R Long conditional statement - it isn't immediately clear where SuggestedRemedy	the condition ends.	Comment Type E Comment Status R Repetitive text in parentheses. It doesn't seem necessary to have any further definitinor here.			
Insert "then" before "MAC Merge will transmit".		SuggestedRemedy Delete "(MAC Merge)".			
Response Response Status C REJECT.		Response Response Status C REJECT. It is defining MAC Merge as a short form for MAC Merge sublayer.			
CI 99 SC 99.1 P 29 L Tretter, Albert Siemens	39 # 295	C/ 99 SC 99.1 P 30 L 6 # 19 Anslow, Pete Ciena			
Comment Type E Comment Status A "Preemption is only enabled after it has been determined th	at the link partner supports it."	Comment Type E Comment Status A Figure 99-1 needs cleaning up			
 > As preemption at Rx side is always enabled we should a to be enabled at Tx side SuggestedRemedy Add "at TX side" Proposal "Preemption at Tx side is only enabled after it has been deter 		SuggestedRemedy Remove the spurious dotted line that crosses the end of "MAC CLIENT supporting preemption". Shrink the curly bracket labelled "PHY" to start at the top of the PCS layer. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. See #165			
supports it."		C/ 99 SC 99.1.1 P 30 L 1 # 134 Law, David HP			
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "Preemption capability is only ena The receive does reassembly, not preemption.	bled after"	Comment Type E Comment Status A Centre align the words 'LAN' 'LAYERS' and 'HIGHER LAYERS'. The text 'HIGHER LAYERS' should also be centred, moved nearer to the top of the MAC Client box, and there should be dotted lines at each side aligned to the edge of the MAC Client box, the			
Cl 99 SC 99.1 P 29 L Ran, Adee Intel	39 # 203	spurious dotted line attached the end of the word preemption (line 6) should be deleted SuggestedRemedy See comment.			
Comment Type E Comment Status A How is it determined that the link partner supports preemption SuggestedRemedy Add a reference to 79.3(.7).	on?	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.			
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 99.4.2					

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 99 SC 99.1.1 Page 34 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM

/ 99 SC 99.1.1 P 30 L 1 # 73	C/ 99 SC 99.1.1 P 30 L 1 # 135			
ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	Law, David HP			
Imment Type ER Comment Status A Several minor editorial issues with Figure 99-1: -	Comment Type E Comment Status A I don't think the text 'PCS, PMA and PMD represent an example of PHY sublayers' is necessary as we don't normally include such text. SuggestedRemedy Remove text as suggested. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C ACCEPT. C			
others) and not expanded in the drawing itself				
<i>uggestedRemedy</i> Fix the issues listed in the comment.	Cl 99 SC 99.1.1 P 30 L 10 # 150 Law, David HP			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See #165	Comment Type T Comment Status A I believe that the MAC CLIENT is part of the Data Link layer (see IEEE Std 802.3-2012 Figure 1-1).			
99 SC 99.1.1 P 30 L 1 # 165 w, David HP omment Type TR Comment Status A	SuggestedRemedy Move the dotted line from the top of the DATA LINK layer to go to the top of the MAC CLIENT.			
This figure is a mixture of the layer diagram that we usually provide, along with some interlayer service interface information. I would prefer that [1] we limit this particular diagram to show, as usual, just the location of the sublayer defined by the Clause in relation to the OSI seven layer model and the IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Layers and [2] provide a more detailed interlayer service interfaces diagram similar to IEEE Std 802.3-2012 Figure	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See #165			
78-1 and 90-1.	Comment Type E Comment Status R			
ggestedRemedy Please replace the current Figure 99-1 layer model with the figure found on page 1 of IEEE_P802d3br_figures_DL.pdf and insert a new Figure 99-2 to provide a detailed	Expand eMAC and pMAC to be 'express MEDIA ACCESS CONTROL' and 'preemptable MEDIA ACCESS CONTROL' in the abbreviation expansion list below the figure.			
interlayer service interfaces diagram using the figure found on page 2 of	SuggestedRemedy			
IEEE_P802d3br_figures_DL.pdf. I have provided this file in both pdf for posting along with the comment database, and in FrameMaker to ease incorporation should this comment be accepted.	Change 'eMAC (express MEDIA ACCESS CONTROL)' to read 'eMAC' and 'pMAC (preemptable MEDIA ACCESS CONTROL)' to read pMAC. Add 'eMAC = EXPRESS MEDIA ACCESS CONTROL' and 'pMAC = PREEMPTABLE MEDIA ACCESS CONTROL to the abbreviation expansion list below the figure.			
Please not I've included a number of comments on the existing figure if this comment isn't accepted.	Response Response Status C			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Use the figures the commenter provided. Depending on the resolution of comments on Clause 90, the Time Sync Service interface on the second	REJECT. The figure will be replaced. See #165. However, since all the diagams put the expansion of above the PHY acroymns in the boxes rather than below, the expansion will be in the boxes.			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 99	Page 35 of 82
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 99.1.1	6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

C/ 99 Law, David	SC 99.1.1	<i>Р</i> 30 НР	L 16	# 151	<i>Cl</i> 99 Law, David	SC 99.1.1	<i>Р</i> 30 НР	L 30	# 138
Comment I don't	<i>Type</i> T believe we use	Comment Status A the 'blocks' at the top and the) as some forms of xMII don't			Comment T I'd prefe		Comment Status A he note in respect to xMII four	nd in Figure 1-1	since it states that the
Response	-	at the top and the bottom of th <i>Response Status</i> C LE. See #165	e xMII (line 16 a	·	Media I for 100	e the note to rea ndependent Int Mb/s implemer	ad 'NOTE-In this figure, the xM erfaces for implementations of tations this interface is called 's implementations it is called Response Status C	of 100 Mb/s and a I MII; for 1 Gb/s i	above. For example:
aw, David comment I believ xMII (s uggested	d <i>Type</i> E ve that the PHY see IEEE Std 80 <i>IRemedy</i>	HP Comment Status A consists of the PCS, PMA and 2.3-2012 Figure 1-1). t marked PHY to only extend t	d PMD, but does	,	Cl 99 Tretter, Albe Comment T	уре Т	P 30 Siemens Comment Status A erface (optional)	L 8	# [317
esponse ACCE	PT. See #165	Response Status C					erface is defined as mandator itive described in this subclau		e shall support the
Cl 99 SC 99.1.1 P 30 L 22 # 152 Law, David HP HP 152 Comment Type T Comment Status A I believe that the MDI is part of the PHYSICAL layer (see IEEE Std 802.3-2012 Figure 1-1). SuggestedRemedy Move the dotted line from the bottom of the PHYSICAL layer to go to the bottom of the MDI. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See #165 400 100					SuggestedF Specific	Remedy cation should be			
					Therefo <i>Response</i> ACCEP		terface in this figure should al <i>Response Status</i> C	lso be mandator	y.

C/ 99 SC 99.1.1

C/ 99 SC 99.1.2	P 31	L 22	# 77	CI 99 SC	99.2.1	P 31	L 47	# 79
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House N	etwork		Hajduczenia, Ma	arek	Bright House	e Network	
Comment Type T	Comment Status R			Comment Type	т	Comment Status A		
	scription, it seems that "Veri t is the case, the box should =				has some	/s "Responsibilities of MAC (requirements, but the text th istent.		
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedReme	ədy			
Change the designatio of "	Verification" box if it is inde	ed meant to be	e optional.			o "Functions of MMSI" and o		
Response F	Response Status C					tive is used to control the MA eq=HOLD) or preemptable tra		
	tion can be disabled, but the			Response		Response Status C		,-
by sending a Response.	Verification function is requ	uired to respon	nd to receiving a Verify	•	PRINCIPI	E. The subclause doesn't sa	ay anything so it	will be deleted.
C/ 99 SC 99.2	P 31	L 44	# 78	C/ 99 SC	99.2.1	P 31	L 49	# 296
Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House N	etwork		Tretter, Albert		Siemens		
					Е	Comment Status A		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type				
Odd restatement "This sub	clause specifies the service				_	or release on"		
Odd restatement "This sub Client supporting preempti	oclause specifies the service on. The MAC Client may be	e a MAC Client		"to reques	t to a hold	or release on"		
Odd restatement "This sub Client supporting preempti preemption." - the second	clause specifies the service	e a MAC Client			t to a hold	or release on"		
Odd restatement "This sub Client supporting preempti preemption." - the second SuggestedRemedy	oclause specifies the service on. The MAC Client may be sentence does not add any	e a MAC Client thing new	t supporting	"to reques	t to a hold e changed	or release on"		
Odd restatement "This sub Client supporting preempti preemption." - the second SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "This sub-	oclause specifies the service on. The MAC Client may be sentence does not add any clause specifies the service	e a MAC Client thing new es provided by	t supporting the MAC Merge	"to reques => should b "to reques	t to a hold e changed t a hold or	or release on" to		
Odd restatement "This sub Client supporting preempti preemption." - the second SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "This sub subclayer to any MAC Clie	oclause specifies the service on. The MAC Client may be sentence does not add any clause specifies the service ents, including MAC Clients	e a MAC Client thing new es provided by	t supporting the MAC Merge	"to reques	t to a hold e changed t a hold or	or release on" to		
Odd restatement "This sub Client supporting preempti preemption." - the second SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "This sub subclayer to any MAC Clie Response	clause specifies the service on. The MAC Client may be sentence does not add any clause specifies the service ints, including MAC Clients Response Status C	e a MAC Client thing new es provided by supporting pre	t supporting the MAC Merge semption."	"to reques => should b "to reques SuggestedReme please corre	t to a hold e changed t a hold or	or release on" to release on"		
Odd restatement "This sub Client supporting preempti preemption." - the second SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "This sub subclayer to any MAC Clie Response	boclause specifies the service on. The MAC Client may be sentence does not add any clause specifies the service ents, including MAC Clients Response Status C specifies the services provide	e a MAC Client thing new es provided by supporting pre	t supporting the MAC Merge semption."	"to reques => should b "to reques SuggestedReme please corre Response	t to a hold e changed t a hold or	or release on" to		
Odd restatement "This sub Client supporting preempti preemption." - the second SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "This sub subclayer to any MAC Clie Response ACCEPT. This subclause subclayer to a MAC Client	boclause specifies the service on. The MAC Client may be sentence does not add any clause specifies the service ents, including MAC Clients Response Status C specifies the services provide	e a MAC Client thing new es provided by supporting pre	t supporting the MAC Merge eemption." ISI by the MAC Merge	"to reques => should b "to reques SuggestedReme please corre Response ACCEPT.	t to a hold e changed t a hold or edy ect	or release on" to release on" <i>Response Status</i> C	/ 11	# 205
Odd restatement "This sub Client supporting preempti preemption." - the second SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "This sub subclayer to any MAC Clie Response ACCEPT. This subclause subclayer to a MAC Client	boclause specifies the service on. The MAC Client may be sentence does not add any clause specifies the service ints, including MAC Clients Response Status C specifies the services provide.	e a MAC Client thing new es provided by supporting pre ded on the MM	t supporting the MAC Merge semption."	"to reques => should b "to reques SuggestedReme please corre Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC	t to a hold e changed t a hold or	or release on" to release on" <i>Response Status</i> C	L 11	# 205
Odd restatement "This sub Client supporting preemptio preemption." - the second SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "This sub subclayer to any MAC Clie Response ACCEPT. This subclauses subclayer to a MAC Client. C/ 99 SC 99.2 Ran, Adee	clause specifies the service on. The MAC Client may be sentence does not add any clause specifies the service ents, including MAC Clients Response Status C specifies the services provide P 31 Intel	e a MAC Client thing new es provided by supporting pre ded on the MM	t supporting the MAC Merge eemption." ISI by the MAC Merge	"to reques => should b "to reques SuggestedReme please corre Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC Ran, Adee	t to a hold e changed t a hold or edy ect	or release on" to release on" <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 32 Intel	L 11	# 205
Odd restatement "This sub Client supporting preemptio preemption." - the second SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "This sub subclayer to any MAC Client Response ACCEPT. This subclause s subclayer to a MAC Client. C/ 99 SC 99.2 Ran, Adee Comment Type E "The MAC Client may be a	clause specifies the service on. The MAC Client may be sentence does not add any clause specifies the service ents, including MAC Clients Response Status C specifies the services provid P 31 Intel Comment Status A MAC Client supporting pre	e a MAC Client thing new es provided by supporting pre ded on the MM <i>L</i> 44 eemption." - this	t supporting the MAC Merge eemption." ISI by the MAC Merge # 204	"to reques => should b "to reques SuggestedReme please corre Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC Ran, Adee Comment Type	t to a hold e changed t a hold or edy ect 99.2.2 E	or release on" to release on" <i>Response Status</i> C	L 11	# 205
Odd restatement "This sub Client supporting preempti preemption." - the second SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "This sub subclayer to any MAC Client Response F ACCEPT. This subclause s subclayer to a MAC Client. C/ 99 SC 99.2 Ran, Adee Comment Type E "The MAC Client may be a badly phrased, and is impli	clause specifies the service on. The MAC Client may be sentence does not add any clause specifies the service ents, including MAC Clients Response Status C specifies the services provid P 31 Intel Comment Status A	e a MAC Client thing new es provided by supporting pre ded on the MM <i>L</i> 44 eemption." - this	t supporting the MAC Merge eemption." ISI by the MAC Merge # 204	"to reques => should b "to reques SuggestedReme please corre Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC Ran, Adee Comment Type	t to a hold e changed at a hold or edy ect C 99.2.2 E ce betwee	or release on" to release on" <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 32 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> A	L 11	# 205
Odd restatement "This sub Client supporting preempti preemption." - the second SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "This sub subclayer to any MAC Client Response ACCEPT. This subclauses subclayer to a MAC Client. C/ 99 SC 99.2 Ran, Adee Comment Type E "The MAC Client may be a badly phrased, and is impl SuggestedRemedy	clause specifies the service on. The MAC Client may be sentence does not add any clause specifies the service ents, including MAC Clients Response Status C specifies the services provid P 31 Intel Comment Status A MAC Client supporting pre- icit from the previous senten	e a MAC Client thing new es provided by supporting pre ded on the MM <i>L</i> 44 eemption." - this nce.	t supporting the MAC Merge semption." ISI by the MAC Merge # 204 s sentence seems	"to reques => should b "to reques SuggestedReme please corre Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC Ran, Adee Comment Type Missing spa	t to a hold e changed at a hold or edy ect C 99.2.2 E ce betwee	or release on" to release on" <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 32 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> A	L 11	# 205
Odd restatement "This sub Client supporting preempti preemption." - the second SuggestedRemedy Change to read: "This sub subclayer to any MAC Clie Response ACCEPT. This subclause s subclayer to a MAC Client. Cl 99 SC 99.2 Ran, Adee Comment Type E "The MAC Client may be a badly phrased, and is impli SuggestedRemedy Delete "The MAC Client m	clause specifies the service on. The MAC Client may be sentence does not add any clause specifies the service ents, including MAC Clients Response Status C specifies the services provid P 31 Intel Comment Status A MAC Client supporting pre	e a MAC Client thing new es provided by supporting pre ded on the MM <i>L</i> 44 eemption." - this nce.	t supporting the MAC Merge semption." ISI by the MAC Merge # 204 s sentence seems	"to reques => should b "to reques SuggestedReme please corre Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC Ran, Adee Comment Type Missing spa SuggestedReme	t to a hold e changed at a hold or edy ect C 99.2.2 E ce betwee	or release on" to release on" <i>Response Status</i> C <i>P</i> 32 Intel <i>Comment Status</i> A	L 11	# 205

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general	CI 99	Page 37 of 82
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 99.2.2	6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM
SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line		

C/ 99 SC 99.2.2 P 32 L 11 # 238 Regev, Alon Ixia	C/ 99 SC 99.2.2 P 32 L 3 # 209 Ran, Adee Intel
Comment Type E Comment Status A "MACMerge" should be "MAC Merge"	Comment Type E Comment Status A Phrasing can be improved and made more consistent with service interface definitions in most of the other clauses.
uggestedRemedy Change "MACMerge" to "MAC Merge" lesponse Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy Change "The following" to "This subclause".
ACCEPT. 99 SC 99.2.2 P 32 L 11 # 318 etter, Albert Siemens	Change "These services" to "The service interface". <i>Response Response Status</i> C ACCEPT.
omment Type T Comment Status A MACMerge shall support the MM_CTL.request primitive described in this subclause.	C/ 99 SC 99.2.2 P 32 L 5 # 297 Tretter, Albert Siemens
In contrast to figure 99–1 here the "MM_CTL.request primitive" is mandatory uggestedRemedy	Comment Type E Comment Status A "model used in this service specification is identical to that used in 1.2.2."
Specification should be consistent. esponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The new figure will be consistent with the text.	=> The references to "1.2.2" is not within the draft => As mentioned at page 13: Cross references that refer to clauses, tables, equations, or figures not covered by this amendment are highlighted in green.
99 SC 99.2.2 P 32 L 11 # 80 ajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network	=> But to which standard refers this reference? SuggestedRemedy Add the relevant standard
"MACMerge ???	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. 1.2.2 of IEEE 802.3 is Service specification method and notatio and contains a model for service interfaces.
uggestedRemedy Change to "The MAC Merge sublayer shall support the MM_CTL.request primitive defined in 99.2.3." - "this subclause" implies 99.2.2, and that is not where the primitive is defined in	It is green, but a very dark green. Editor to check that the right Font is used.
in 99.2.3." - "this subclause" implies 99.2.2. and that is not where the primitive is defined in reality. esponse Response Status C ACCEPT.	C/ 99 SC 99.2.2 P 32 L 7 # 117 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status A Only one primitive is defined.
	SuggestedRemedy Change "primitives are" with "primitive is".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/ 99COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawnSC 99.2.2SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, lineSC 99.2.2

Page 38 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:44 PM

C/ 99 SC 99.2.3.1 Grow, Robert	P 32 RMG Consulti	L 17 ng	# 53	C/ 99 SC 99.2.3.1. Hajduczenia, Marek		L 26 ouse Network	# 82
Comment Type E Comme Bad grammar, missing "of"?	ent Status A			Comment Type T The following does not	Comment Status A	-	
SuggestedRemedy Correct. Response Respons ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	se Status C			a) preempt any preemb) not start transmissionregardless of whether	ends transmission from ptable frame in progress on of frames from the pN the eMAC has a frame t MAC when the eMAC do	if preemption is activ IAC o transmit. The value	RELEASE allows
2/ 99 SC 99.2.3.1 an, Adee	P 32 Intel	L 17	# 206	SuggestedRemedy Change to read			
Comment Type E Comment Status A Missing "of". SuggestedRemedy Add "of" after "transfer". Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Corrected in another comment.	nent.		 The value of hold_req=HOLD causes the MAC Merge sublayer to suspend to preemptable traffic by: a) preempting any preemptable frame being transmitted, if the preemption further enabled, and b) withholding from transmitting any preemptable frames regardless of whether eMAC has traffic to transmit. The value of hold_req=R causes the MAC Merge sublayer to terminate any preemption and allows transpreemptable traffic. Response Response Status C 				
				ACCEPT. 		L 29 echnology	# 39
				a) preempt any preem	Comment Status A alue HOLD suspends tra ptable frame in progress on of frames from the pM	nsmission from the plate if preemption is activ	
				SuggestedRemedy Change to: "a) preempting" "b) not starting"			
				Response	Response Status		

C/ 99 SC 99.2.3.1.1

C/ 99 SC 99.2.3.	1.1 <i>P</i> 32	L 29	# 249	C/ 99	SC 99.2.3.1	.2	P 32	L 35	# 208
Regev, Alon	Ixia			Ran, Adee		In	tel		
	Comment Status A be in the present progressive te HOLD suspends transmission f					<i>Comment Sta</i> e interface is almo		titled "When generation	ated" - clause 90 is
b) not start transmiss	emptable frame in progress if pro sion of frames from the pMAC"	eemption is active	and	Suggestedf Renam Response ACCEF	e to "When ger	nerated". Response Stat	us C		
	preemptable frame in progress if nission of frames from the pMAC		tive and	<i>Cl</i> 99 Hajduczenia	SC 99.2.3.1 a, Marek	-	P 32 ight House	L 39 e Network	# 97
Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC 99.2.3.	Response Status C	L 29	# 207	Comment 7 Conten restater	t in 99.2.3.1.3 i	<i>Comment Sta</i> s a repetion of cor		dy included in 99.2	2.3.1.1, just a
comment Type E List items are syntace The sentence seems effect of RELEASE (follow. The list can be change clarity. SuggestedRemedy Change "preempt" to	Intel Comment Status A tically after the word "by". is to continue after the list, in a ne a different topic) immediately fol ged into a normal paragraph and p "preempting" and "start" to "sta a regular statement: " by preer	ew paragraph, an lows. This is unu d RELEASE can l rting".	d description of the sual and difficult to be separated for	happen Response ACCEF descrip In 99.2. "by: a) pree b) not s regardle In the fi	er either remov s for each valu T IN PRINCIP tions. The repe 3.1.1, delete: mpt any preem tart transmissi ess of whether	e from 99.2.3.1.1 <i>Response Stat</i> LE. Some repetitio tition will be reduc ptable frame in pro on of frames from the eMAC has a f	o 99.2.3.1 us C n is built ir ed by mov ogress if p the pMAC rame to tra	nto the format for t ving details to 99.2 reemption is active ansmit."	he primitive .3.1.3.
Response	e "The value RELEASE". <i>Response Status</i> C PLE. See #82 and #97								

C/ 99 SC 99.2.3.1.3

C/ 99 SC 99.2.3.1.3	B P 32	L 45	# 234	C/ 99 SC 99.2.3.1.3	P 32	L 47	# 250
egev, Alon	Ixia			Regev, Alon	Ixia		
	Comment Status A agment size requirements are	e met," the comm	a should be a	Comment Type E two periods at the end o	Comment Status A f the sentence.		
uggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy Change "" to "."			
	nent size requirements are n size requirements are requirements are met;"	net,"		Response	Response Status C		
Response	Response Status C			ACCEPT.			
ACCEPT.				C/ 99 SC 99.3	P 33	L 3	# 83
99 SC 99.2.3.1.3	B P 32	L 47	# 40	Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	Network	
welley, David omment Type E	Linear Techn Comment Status A	ology		Comment Type T "When preemption capa or "preemption"	Comment Status A bility is active, " - previou	usly we spoke of "	preemption function"
Two periods				SuggestedRemedy			
uggestedRemedy				Change to "When the pr	eemption function is enable	ed, "	
Delete one period				Response	Response Status C		
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE The sentence is correct	as it stands.		an an ab 116 Ji ta da a
/ 99 SC 99.2.3.1.3 an, Adee	B P 32	L 47	# 210	ability to preemption for	r the act of preempting som	letning. Preempti	ion capability is the
comment Type E	Comment Status A			diagram and none of the	nouldn't be used. Functions om are called preemption. r and replace any instances	-	
uggestedRemedy Remove one period.					bled" without being "active' eded or verification diabled		t must be enabled and
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			C/ 99 SC 99.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 33 Bright House	L 4 Network	# 84
				Comment Type T mPacket used for the fir	Comment Status R st time and without any exp	lanation of what i	t is
				SuggestedRemedy Change "mPacket" to "N	IAC Merge Packet (mPack	et)"	
				Response	Response Status C	,	

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 Cl 99

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 99.3

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 SC

Page 41 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:45 PM

C/ 99 SC 99.3 P 33 L 5	# 63	C/ 99 SC 99.3.1	P 33	L 33	# 123
lajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network		Hidaka, Yasuo	Fujitsu Lab o	of America	
Comment Type E Comment Status A		Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
Unnecessary empty lines 5-7 and line 30		It is not clear how it is same format as the ex	guaranteed that an mPacket	carrying an expre	ess frame has the
SuggestedRemedy			cpress name.		
Per comment		SuggestedRemedy	rase after "the express frame	" in nade 33 line	33.
Response Response Status C					
ACCEPT.		", because SMD-E (i.e	e. SMD value for an express f	rame) is same as	the SFD value".
C/99 SC 99.3.1 P 33 L 29	# 85		Figure 99-3 to two figures, on		
lajduczenia, Marek Bright House Network			mPacket containing an initial ng an express frame with "SM	0	ame. Change "SMD" o
Comment Type T Comment Status A		Response	Response Status C	D-E .	
Figure 99–3 should be divided into two: one showing mPacket	t containing an express frame	1	LE. Will add the text, but not	add the extra figu	Ire
or an initial fragment of a frame, and one showing mPacket co fragment of a frame	ontaining a non-initial				<i></i>
			P 33	1.05	
0		C/ 99 SC 99.3.1		L 35	# 212
uggestedRemedy	t containing an express frame	Ran, Adee	P 33 Intel	L 35	# 212
uggestedRemedy Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a	in express frame or an initial	Ran, Adee Comment Type E	Intel Comment Status A		# [212
Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a frame fragment") and new Figure 99-4 to show mPacket conta	in express frame or an initial aining a non-initial fragment	Ran, Adee Comment Type E	Intel		# [<u>212</u>
SuggestedRemedy Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a	in express frame or an initial aining a non-initial fragment	Ran, Adee Comment Type E	Intel Comment Status A		# <u> 212</u>
SuggestedRemedy Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a frame fragment") and new Figure 99-4 to show mPacket conta of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of Change text in line 10 to read: "Figure 99-3 shows the format of	in express frame or an initial aining a non-initial fragment of a frame"). of an mPacket containing a	Ran, Adee Comment Type E Missing cross referen	Intel Comment Status A ce top table 99-1 (twice in this		# [<u>212</u>
SuggestedRemedy Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a frame fragment") and new Figure 99-4 to show mPacket conta of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of Change text in line 10 to read: "Figure 99-3 shows the format of complete express frame or an initial frame fragment. Figure 99	in express frame or an initial aining a non-initial fragment of a frame"). of an mPacket containing a	Ran, Adee Comment Type E Missing cross referen SuggestedRemedy	Intel Comment Status A ce top table 99-1 (twice in this		# [<u>212</u>
SuggestedRemedy Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a frame fragment") and new Figure 99-4 to show mPacket conta of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of Change text in line 10 to read: "Figure 99-3 shows the format of complete express frame or an initial frame fragment. Figure 99 mPacket containing a non-initial frame fragment."	in express frame or an initial aining a non-initial fragment of a frame"). of an mPacket containing a	Ran, Adee Comment Type E Missing cross referen SuggestedRemedy Add cross references	Intel Comment Status A ce top table 99-1 (twice in this		# <u>212</u>
SuggestedRemedy Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a frame fragment") and new Figure 99-4 to show mPacket conta of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of Change text in line 10 to read: "Figure 99-3 shows the format of complete express frame or an initial frame fragment. Figure 99 mPacket containing a non-initial frame fragment." Response Response Status C	in express frame or an initial aining a non-initial fragment of a frame"). of an mPacket containing a	Ran, Adee Comment Type E Missing cross referen SuggestedRemedy Add cross references Response ACCEPT.	Intel Comment Status A ce top table 99-1 (twice in this Response Status C	s paragraph).	
Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a frame fragment") and new Figure 99-4 to show mPacket conta of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of Change text in line 10 to read: "Figure 99-3 shows the format of complete express frame or an initial frame fragment. Figure 99 mPacket containing a non-initial frame fragment." <i>Response</i> ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make a) and b) in the same figure.	in express frame or an initial hining a non-initial fragment of a frame"). of an mPacket containing a 9-4 shows the format of an	Ran, Adee Comment Type E Missing cross referen SuggestedRemedy Add cross references Response ACCEPT.	Intel Comment Status A ce top table 99-1 (twice in this		# <u>212</u> # <u>252</u>
uggestedRemedy Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a frame fragment") and new Figure 99-4 to show mPacket conta of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment." Change text in line 10 to read: "Figure 99-3 shows the format of complete express frame or an initial frame fragment. Figure 99 mPacket containing a non-initial frame fragment." vesponse Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make a) and b) in the same figure. M 99 SC 99.3.1 P 33 L 32	in express frame or an initial hining a non-initial fragment of a frame"). of an mPacket containing a 9-4 shows the format of an	Ran, Adee <i>Comment Type</i> E Missing cross referen <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add cross references <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 99 <i>SC</i> 99.3.1 Regev, Alon	Intel Comment Status A ce top table 99-1 (twice in this Response Status C P 33 Ixia	s paragraph).	
BuggestedRemedy Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a frame fragment") and new Figure 99-4 to show mPacket conta of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment." Change text in line 10 to read: "Figure 99-3 shows the format of complete express frame or an initial frame fragment. Figure 99 mPacket containing a non-initial frame fragment." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make a) and b) in the same figure. C W 99 SC 99.3.1 P 33 L 32 Regev, Alon Ixia	in express frame or an initial hining a non-initial fragment of a frame"). of an mPacket containing a 9-4 shows the format of an	Ran, Adee <i>Comment Type</i> E Missing cross referen <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add cross references <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>CI</i> 99 <i>SC</i> 99.3.1 Regev, Alon <i>Comment Type</i> E	Intel Comment Status A ce top table 99-1 (twice in this Response Status C P 33	s paragraph). L 35	
BuggestedRemedy Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a frame fragment") and new Figure 99-4 to show mPacket conta of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment. Change text in line 10 to read: "Figure 99-3 shows the format of complete express frame or an initial frame fragment. Figure 99 mPacket containing a non-initial frame fragment." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make a) and b) in the same figure. C 99 SC 99.3.1 P 33 L 32 Regev, Alon Ixia Comment Type E Comment Status A	an express frame or an initial hining a non-initial fragment of a frame"). of an mPacket containing a 9-4 shows the format of an # <u>251</u>	Ran, Adee <i>Comment Type</i> E Missing cross references <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add cross references <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>CI</i> 99 <i>SC</i> 99.3.1 Regev, Alon <i>Comment Type</i> E the reference to Table	Intel Comment Status A ce top table 99-1 (twice in this Response Status C P 33 Ixia Comment Status A	s paragraph). L 35	
SuggestedRemedy Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a frame fragment") and new Figure 99-4 to show mPacket conta of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment. Change text in line 10 to read: "Figure 99-3 shows the format of complete express frame or an initial frame fragment. Figure 99 mPacket containing a non-initial frame fragment." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make a) and b) in the same figure. C/ 99 SC 99.3.1 P 33 L 32 Response L 32 L 32 L 32 L 32 L 32	an express frame or an initial hining a non-initial fragment of a frame"). of an mPacket containing a 9-4 shows the format of an # <u>251</u>	Ran, Adee <i>Comment Type</i> E Missing cross referen <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add cross references <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>CI</i> 99 <i>SC</i> 99.3.1 Regev, Alon <i>Comment Type</i> E the reference to Table <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	Intel Comment Status A ce top table 99-1 (twice in this Response Status C P 33 Ixia Comment Status A	s paragraph). L 35 a link	# 252
SuggestedRemedy Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a frame fragment") and new Figure 99-4 to show mPacket conta of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment." Change text in line 10 to read: "Figure 99-3 shows the format of complete express frame or an initial frame fragment. Figure 99 mPacket containing a non-initial frame fragment." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make a) and b) in the same figure. C/ C/ 99 SC 99.3.1 P 33 L 32 Regev, Alon Ixia Comment Type E Comment Status M "The format of an mPacket depends on data it carries." should	an express frame or an initial hining a non-initial fragment of a frame"). of an mPacket containing a 9-4 shows the format of an # <u>251</u>	Ran, Adee <i>Comment Type</i> E Missing cross referen <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add cross references <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>CI</i> 99 <i>SC</i> 99.3.1 Regev, Alon <i>Comment Type</i> E the reference to Table <i>SuggestedRemedy</i>	Intel Comment Status A ce top table 99-1 (twice in this Response Status C P 33 Ixia Comment Status A e 99-1 is text instead of being	s paragraph). L 35 a link	# 252
BuggestedRemedy Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a frame fragment") and new Figure 99-4 to show mPacket contate of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment." Change text in line 10 to read: "Figure 99-3 shows the format of complete express frame or an initial frame fragment. Figure 99 mPacket containing a non-initial frame fragment." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make a) and b) in the same figure. C 99 SC 99.3.1 P 33 L 32 Regev, Alon Ixia Comment Type E Comment Status A "The format of an mPacket depends on data it carries." should mPacket depends on the data it carries." Should and a state of the state of	an express frame or an initial hining a non-initial fragment of a frame"). Of an mPacket containing a 2-4 shows the format of an # 251	Ran, Adee <i>Comment Type</i> E Missing cross referen <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add cross references <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>CI</i> 99 SC 99.3.1 Regev, Alon <i>Comment Type</i> E the reference to Table <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> In both 35 and 37, character	Intel Comment Status A ce top table 99-1 (twice in this Response Status C P 33 Ixia Comment Status A e 99-1 is text instead of being ange the texgt "Table 99-1" to	s paragraph). L 35 a link	# 252
Divide Figure 99-3 into two: new Figure 99-3 to show mPacket or an initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a frame fragment") and new Figure 99-4 to show mPacket contate of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment of a frame (new caption: "mPacket with a non-initial fragment." Change text in line 10 to read: "Figure 99-3 shows the format of complete express frame or an initial frame fragment. Figure 99 mPacket containing a non-initial frame fragment." Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Make a) and b) in the same figure. C 99 SC 99.3.1 P 33 L 32 egev, Alon Ixia Comment Type E Comment Status A "The format of an mPacket depends on data it carries." should mPacket depends on the data it carries." Should and to carries." SuggestedRemedy Change "The format of an mPacket depends on data it carries."	an express frame or an initial hining a non-initial fragment of a frame"). Of an mPacket containing a 2-4 shows the format of an # 251	Ran, Adee <i>Comment Type</i> E Missing cross referen <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Add cross references <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>CI</i> 99 <i>SC</i> 99.3.1 Regev, Alon <i>Comment Type</i> E the reference to Table <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> In both 35 and 37, char <i>Response</i>	Intel Comment Status A ce top table 99-1 (twice in this Response Status C P 33 Ixia Comment Status A e 99-1 is text instead of being ange the texgt "Table 99-1" to	s paragraph). L 35 a link	# 252

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 99 SC 99.3.1

C/ 99 SC 99.3.1	P 33	L 36	# 258	C/ 99	SC 99.3.2	P 33	L 41	# 213
legev, Alon	Ixia			Ran, Adee		Intel		
omment Type T Cor	mment Status A			Comment 7	Туре Е	Comment Status A	L.	
The sentence "An mPacket ca preemptable frame (transmitte	ed by pMAC) has an S	SMD value, per Ta	able 99-1, and includes	Accord spelled		manual: "In general text,	isolated numbers lo	ess than 10 should be
an additional fragment counte would be clearer if instead of				Suggestedl	Remedy			
initial fragment SMD value"	just saying mas an or			Change	e "7" and "6" to	"seven" and "six" respec	ctively.	
SuggestedRemedy				Response		Response Status C	;	
Change "has an SMD value" t	to "has a non-initial fra	agment SMD value	e"	ACCEF	PT.			
Response Res	ponse Status C			C/ 99	SC 99.3.3	P 33	L 45	# 87
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. "has	s a continuation fragm	nent SMD value"		Hajduczeni			ouse Network	# 87
Also change other instances of	of non-initial to continu	uation.		•	,	6		
C/ 99 SC 99.3.2	P 33	L 41	# 86	Comment 7		Comment Status R		
ajduczenia, Marek	Bright House	e Network			uirements for S	MD values.		
omment Type T Cor	mment Status A			Suggested				
51		less the test for some				values are defined inTa	able 99–1." to read "	'All valid SMD values
There are no requirements to	r preample content. A	ISO THE TEXT IS VERV	v confusing - we start	chall ha	a nar Tahla 00_	.1 "		
There are no requirements for with a definition of a single oc	tet and then go into co			shall be	e per Table 99–	1."		
	tet and then go into co				e per Table 99– w entry in PICS			
with a definition of a single oc structure. Text should be clari	tet and then go into co				•		:	
with a definition of a single oc structure. Text should be clari	tet and then go into co fied.			Add ne <i>Response</i>	ew entry in PICS	ð.		
with a definition of a single oc structure. Text should be clari uggestedRemedy Change the text in 99.3.2 to re The preamble in the mPacket	tet and then go into co fied. ead: shown in Figure 99-3	omplex definition of	of the premable reamble octets. The	Add ne <i>Response</i>	ew entry in PICS	3. Response Status C achine has the requirem		# 343
with a definition of a single oc structure. Text should be clari uggestedRemedy Change the text in 99.3.2 to re The preamble in the mPacket preamble in the mPacket show	tet and then go into co fied. ead: shown in Figure 99-3 wn in Figure 99-4 sha	omplex definition of 3 shall contain 7 pr Il contain 6 preaml	of the premable reamble octets. The	Add ne <i>Response</i> REJEC	ew entry in PICS CT. The state m SC 99.3.3	Response Status C achine has the requirem	ent. <i>L</i> 49	# 343
with a definition of a single oc structure. Text should be clari uggestedRemedy Change the text in 99.3.2 to re The preamble in the mPacket	tet and then go into co fied. ead: shown in Figure 99-3 wn in Figure 99-4 sha	omplex definition of 3 shall contain 7 pr Il contain 6 preaml	of the premable reamble octets. The	Add ne <i>Response</i> REJEC <i>CI</i> 99 Zimmermar	ew entry in PICS CT. The state ma SC 99.3.3 n, George	Response Status C achine has the requirem P 33 CME Co	ent. L 49 onsulting, Inc.	# 343
with a definition of a single oc structure. Text should be clari uggestedRemedy Change the text in 99.3.2 to re The preamble in the mPacket preamble in the mPacket show	tet and then go into co fied. ead: shown in Figure 99-3 wn in Figure 99-4 sha	omplex definition of 3 shall contain 7 pr Il contain 6 preaml	of the premable reamble octets. The	Add ne Response REJEC C/ 99 Zimmermar Comment 1	ew entry in PICS CT. The state m SC 99.3.3 n, George <i>Type</i> E	Response Status C achine has the requirem P 33 CME Co Comment Status A	ent. L 49 onsulting, Inc.	# 343
with a definition of a single oc structure. Text should be clari uggestedRemedy Change the text in 99.3.2 to re The preamble in the mPacket preamble in the mPacket show preamble octet contains the ve Add entries into PICS.	tet and then go into co fied. ead: shown in Figure 99-3 wn in Figure 99-4 sha	omplex definition of 3 shall contain 7 pr Il contain 6 preaml	of the premable reamble octets. The	Add ne Response REJEC CI 99 Zimmermar Comment 1 Missing	ew entry in PICS CT. The state m SC 99.3.3 n, George <i>Type</i> E g space "inTable	Response Status C achine has the requirem P 33 CME Co Comment Status A	ent. L 49 onsulting, Inc.	# [<u>343</u>
with a definition of a single oc structure. Text should be clari uggestedRemedy Change the text in 99.3.2 to re The preamble in the mPacket preamble in the mPacket show preamble octet contains the v Add entries into PICS. esponse Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The	tet and then go into co fied. ead: shown in Figure 99-3 wn in Figure 99-4 sha alue of 0x55 (binary 1 ponse Status C e requirement comes f	omplex definition of 3 shall contain 7 pr Il contain 6 preaml 0101010).	of the premable reamble octets. The able octets. Each	Add ne Response REJEC C/ 99 Zimmermar Comment 7 Missing Suggested	ew entry in PICS CT. The state m SC 99.3.3 n, George Type E g space "inTable Remedy	Response Status C achine has the requirem P 33 CME Co Comment Status A e 99-1"	ent. L 49 onsulting, Inc.	# <u>343</u>
with a definition of a single oc structure. Text should be clari uggestedRemedy Change the text in 99.3.2 to re The preamble in the mPacket preamble in the mPacket show preamble octet contains the v Add entries into PICS. esponse Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The continuation fragment and from	tet and then go into co fied. ead: shown in Figure 99-3 wn in Figure 99-4 sha alue of 0x55 (binary 1 ponse Status C e requirement comes f m the MAC Clause fo	omplex definition of 3 shall contain 7 pro- 10 contain 6 preamine 10 10 10 10). 10 from the state mac 10 from the state mac 10 from the state mac	of the premable reamble octets. The able octets. Each chine for the ne and initial mPacket	Add ne Response REJEC CI 99 Zimmermar Comment 7 Missing Suggested insert s	ew entry in PICS CT. The state m SC 99.3.3 n, George Type E g space "inTable Remedy	Response Status C achine has the requirem P 33 CME Co Comment Status A e 99-1"	ent. <i>L</i> 49 onsulting, Inc.	# <u>343</u>
with a definition of a single oc structure. Text should be clari uggestedRemedy Change the text in 99.3.2 to re The preamble in the mPacket preamble in the mPacket show preamble octet contains the v Add entries into PICS. esponse Res ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The	tet and then go into co fied. ead: shown in Figure 99-3 wn in Figure 99-4 sha alue of 0x55 (binary 1 ponse Status C e requirement comes f m the MAC Clause fo	omplex definition of 3 shall contain 7 pro- 10 contain 6 preamine 10 10 10 10). 10 from the state mac 10 from the state mac 10 from the state mac	of the premable reamble octets. The able octets. Each chine for the ne and initial mPacket	Add ne Response REJEC CI 99 Zimmermar Comment 7 Missing Suggested insert s Response	ew entry in PICS CT. The state m SC 99.3.3 n, George <i>Type</i> E g space "inTable <i>Remedy</i> space between	Response Status C achine has the requirem P 33 CME Co Comment Status A e 99-1"	ent. <i>L</i> 49 onsulting, Inc.	# [<u>343</u>
with a definition of a single oc structure. Text should be clari uggestedRemedy Change the text in 99.3.2 to re The preamble in the mPacket preamble in the mPacket show preamble octet contains the v Add entries into PICS. esponse Resy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The continuation fragment and from (because the State machine ju need shalls here.	tet and then go into co fied. ead: shown in Figure 99-3 wn in Figure 99-4 sha alue of 0x55 (binary 1 ponse Status C e requirement comes f m the MAC Clause fo ust passes along the p	omplex definition of 8 shall contain 7 pro- 10 contain 6 pream 10 10 10 10). From the state mac r the express fram preamble octets it	of the premable reamble octets. The ble octets. Each chine for the ne and initial mPacket gets) so we don't	Add ne Response REJEC CI 99 Zimmermar Comment 7 Missing Suggested insert s	ew entry in PICS CT. The state m SC 99.3.3 n, George <i>Type</i> E g space "inTable <i>Remedy</i> space between	Response Status C achine has the requirem P 33 CME Co Comment Status A e 99-1"	ent. <i>L</i> 49 onsulting, Inc.	# <u>343</u>
with a definition of a single oc structure. Text should be clari SuggestedRemedy Change the text in 99.3.2 to re The preamble in the mPacket preamble in the mPacket show preamble octet contains the v Add entries into PICS. Response Resp ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The continuation fragment and from (because the State machine ju	tet and then go into co fied. ead: shown in Figure 99-3 wn in Figure 99-4 sha alue of 0x55 (binary 1 ponse Status C e requirement comes f m the MAC Clause fo ust passes along the p shown in Figure 99-3 wn in Figure 99-4b co	omplex definition of 8 shall contain 7 pro- 11 contain 6 pream 10101010). From the state mac r the express fram preamble octets it Ba contains 7 pream preamble	of the premable reamble octets. The able octets. Each chine for the and initial mPacket gets) so we don't mble octets. The	Add ne Response REJEC CI 99 Zimmermar Comment 7 Missing Suggested insert s Response	ew entry in PICS CT. The state m SC 99.3.3 n, George <i>Type</i> E g space "inTable <i>Remedy</i> space between	Response Status C achine has the requirem P 33 CME Co Comment Status A e 99-1"	ent. <i>L</i> 49 onsulting, Inc.	# <u>343</u>

C/ 99 SC 99.3.3

C/ 99 SC 99.3.3 P 33 Regev, Alon Ixia	L 49	# 239	<i>Cl</i> 99 <i>SC</i> 99.3.3 Hidaka, Yasuo	Р 33 Fujitsu Lab of Ar	L 52 merica	# 124
Comment Type E Comment Status A "inTable 99–1" should be "in Table 99-1"			Comment Type T It seems "frame numb	Comment Status A er" is also called as "frame coun	t".	
SuggestedRemedy change "inTable 99–1" to "in Table 99–1"			SuggestedRemedy Change "frame numbe	er" with "frame count" in the follow	wing locations:	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.			Page 33, line 52 (2 loc Page 33, line 54	cations)		
Cl 99 SC 99.3.3 P 33 Fretter, Albert Siemens	L 52	# 298	Page 37, line 31 Page 41, line 39 <i>Response</i>	Response Status C		
Comment Type E Comment Status A frame also indicates the frame number. There ist a mixture of the terms "frame number"	and "frame count" fo	r as I assume, the	ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 99 SC 99.3.3 Hajduczenia, Marek	P 34 Bright House Ne	L 3 etwork	# 64
same meaning. In Table 99–1—SMD values shows the relation b count. Maybe due to a search and replace action that th			Comment Type E "" at the end of the se SuggestedRemedy Change "" to "."	Comment Status A entence		
changed to "frame number"			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
SuggestedRemedy Please check			Cl 99 SC 99.3.3 Dwelley, David	P 34 Linear Technolo	L 3 gy	# 41
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Use frame count			Comment Type E Two periods	Comment Status A		
			SuggestedRemedy Delete one period. Is t	his some sort of secret code?		
			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		

CI 99 SC 99.3.3

C/ 99 SC 99.3.3 Regev, Alon	<i>Р</i> 34 Іхіа	L 3	# 240	C/ 99 Haiducze	SC 99.3.4 nia, Marek	P 34 Bright House	L 35	# 65
<i>Comment Type</i> E Two periods instead	Comment Status A			Comment Figur	<i>Type</i> E e 99-3 uses "FR	Comment Status A AG_COUNT" but it is used inc		ause 99 as
SuggestedRemedy Change "" to "."				Suggeste	dRemedy	ount", or "FRAG_COUNT"	ala ta a ta dila El ana	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response	;	to "FRAG_COUNT" to be cons <i>Response Status</i> C	C C	
C/ 99 SC 99.3.3	P 36	L 49	# 385		upper case app	PLE. Lower case except where ly.	other conventio	ins such ligure labels
Thompson, Geoff	GraCaSI S.A.			C/ 99	SC 99.3.4	P 34	L 40	# 66
Comment Type TR	Comment Status R			Hajducze	nia, Marek	Bright House	Network	
	extent to which the SMD breaks			Comment	Tvpe E	Comment Status A		
Ethernet frame forma delimiter.	at and architecture by loading d	ata content into	the start frame			together without any sense: " frame, and mPackets with SM		
uggestedRemedy				Suggeste		,		3
pre-temptable frame	value of start frame delimiter wh and handle all of the data for m ly prefer that all such managem	nanaging broker	frames within the data	Chan	ge to "The frag_	count is set to zero at the star ontain the frag_count field."	t of each preemp	otable frame. mPacke
	onsistent with other varieties of V		bening an Etherrype	Response	9	Response Status C		
Response	Response Status U				EPT IN PRINCIP			
REJECT. Doing wha significantly impact t	It the commenter suggests (usir he overhead for IET and decrea oughput for unpreempted frame	ase throughput.	Currently, IET provides	<i>Cl</i> 99 Hajducze	SC 99.3.4 nia, Marek	P 34 Bright House	L 43 Network	# 88
preempted names.				Comment	Туре Т	Comment Status A		
	on was put into the data field fo			No re	quirements for F	RAG_COUNT		
	tration of how to do that without yould also require changes to th			Suggeste	dRemedy			
	objectives do not allow that.		ne mac that handles	Change "The valid values of frag_count values are shown in Table 99–2." to "The valid values of FRAG COUNT field shall be per Table 99-2."				
The current draft uph the MAC content.	nods the architecture by not mix	ing below the N	IAC content with above	Add a	a new entry in PI	CS.		
				Response	9	Response Status C		
				ACCI	EPT IN PRINCIP	LE. The requirement is covered	ed by the state m	nachine.

However frag_count has inconsistant capitalization. Make it lower case everywhere except Figure 99-3 where the convention in that type of figure is to put all the labels in upper case or where it is a table heading which has an initial cap.

C/ 99 SC 99.3.4	P 35	L 10	# 31	C/ 99 SC 99.			# 100	
Beaudoin, Denis	Texas Instrum	ients		Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright	House Network		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type T				
	oded value for fragment 3 is def he other frac_counts match the			No requirements	for CRC are present. Also,	no "mCRC" has been o	defined before	
. ,		SIVID_S? values).	SuggestedRemedy				
SuggestedRemedy	3 in the table to be B3				mPacket containing the fination the original frame (last 4 oc		the CRC field shall	
0 0-					ets, the CRC fields shall car		over the DATA field of	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				then XORed with 0x0000 F a) through d) in 3.2.9."	FFF. The computation	corresponds to	
C/ 99 SC 99.3.5	P 35	L 11	# 319	Response	Response Status	С		
Fretter, Albert	Siemens				ate machines controls when al mPacket. Since the state			
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			it sends the FCS	. No additional shalls are ca	lled for to accomplish t	hat.	
Table 99–2—Frag_c	ount values			Change the para	graph to put a shall for the c	computation method:		
I think that the Encod	ding (0x83) for the Frag_count "	3" should be 0xE	33	For other mPack	ets, it contains an mCRC va		e calculated on the	
SuggestedRemedy					e frame from the first octet le last octet transmitted in th	at mPacket by:		
Please double-check	<u>.</u>			performing step	os a) through d) in 3.2.9 and	then		
Response	Response Status C			XORing the cal	culated 32 bits with 0x0000	FFFF.		
ACCEPT.				C/ 99 SC 99.	3.6 P 35	5 L 16	# 98	
				Hajduczenia, Marek	Bright	House Network		
				Comment Type T	R Comment Status	Α	Discus	
				for mPacket data	at CRC covers: The CRC fie and an indication of whethe lated only on DATA field.			
				SuggestedRemedy				
				Clarify the coverage of CRC field in mPacket - it would be also suggested NOT to call DATA field "DATA" since it is confusing in context of 3.1.1, where DATA is used to indicat just the MAC Client Data. Suggest to change "DATA" in Clause 99 to "mPacket Data" to distinguish it from regular MAC Client Data field defined in 3.1.1.				
				MAC Client Data	field defined in 3.1.1.		<u>j</u>	
				MAC Client Data Response	field defined in 3.1.1. Response Status	с		

C/ 99 SC 99.3.6

CI 99 SC Hajduczenia, Ma	C 99.3.6 arek	P 35 Bright House	L 19 Network	# 99	C/ 99 Tretter, All		99.3.6	P 35 Siemens	L 23	# 299
Comment Type		nt Status R		I fragment of a frame"	Comment	Туре	E steps a)	Comment Status R through d) in 3.2.9."		
Response REJECT. It frame, i.e. tl	nt - this term is used wit	e <i>Status</i> U t is a phrase whi	ch clearly says th		=> As figures	mentions not co t to whice	ned at pa vered by ch standa	3.2.9" is not within the draft ge 13: Cross references that r this amendment are highlighte rd refers this reference?		, tables, equations, or
	C 99.3.6	P 35 Ixia ht Status A	L 19	# 265	Add th <i>Response</i> REJE		ant standa	ard Response Status C		
ambiguous last 4 octets considered SuggestedReme Change	al mPacket of a frame, t	e final mPacket FCS field)." as t	of a frame, the Cl he verify & respo	RC field contains the nd packets could be	"disab <i>Suggested</i> Chang	<i>Type</i> ed" see led" are <i>IReme</i> c	T ms more used in t	P 35 Fujitsu Lab of <i>Comment Status</i> A relevant in this context than "a he rest of the paragraph. e 35, line 27 with "enabled".		# 125
from the firs computatior of the calcu To "For non-fin the CRC file first octet of corresponds calculated 3	Packets, it contains an st octet of the frame to the n corresponds to perform lated 32 bits and 0x000 hal mPackets of a frame ed contains an mCRC va- t the frame to the last oc s to performing steps a) 32 bits and 0x0000 FFFI r mFrames, the CRC fie	he last octet tran ning steps a) thr 0 FFFF." as well as mPac alue calculated c tet transmitted ir through d) in 3.2 F.	smitted in that mf ough d) in 3.2.9. Skets starting with in the data octets in that mPacket. T 2.9. The mCRC is	Packet. The The mCRC is the XOR SMD-V or SMD-R, of the frame from the he computation s the XOR of the	transn For co The lir preem	nit beha Insistan Ik partn ption no	vior chan cy, chang er can tra ot active t	Response Status C E. Active is used because goi ges. le the last sentence to: insition from o preemption active without M correctly processed and rece	AC Merge beh	

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add after the first sentence of paragraph 3:

This includes mPackets used to verify that a link can support preemption capability.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

CI 99 SC 99.4 Page 47 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:45 PM

C/ 99 S Ran, Adee	SC 99.4	P 35 Intel	L 29	# 214	<i>CI</i> 99 Ran, Adee	SC	99.4	P 35 Intel	L 33	# 215
Comment Type	e E	Comment Status A			Comment	Tuno	Е	Comment Status A		
This sente	ence is some	ewhat confusing. Is there a no prring to the link partner?	rmative statemen	t here? what does				t is an already established cor	cept. The expre	ss mPacket is new.
Alaa "haha	viar" ia rang	acted twice, which does not a	a am a a rea at		The se	ntence	is long a	ind contains "will", so should b	e rephrased.	
Also bena	avior" is repe	eated twice, which does not se	eem correct.		Suggested		y			
The whole	paragraph	should be rephrased.			Change "The c		mPacke	t format is the same as the M	AC format As a	result any frames
uggestedRen	nedy							that does not support preemp		
Change th	e text in thi	s paragraph to:			are rec	eived t	hrough t	ne eMAC."		
preemption enable and	n in the rem d use preem	viver always operates the sam ote transmitter is active or no option once the other side has sition between the two ends of	t. This allows MAC indicated suppor	C Merge sublayers to	Response ACCEF	PT IN F	RINCIPI	Response Status C .E. But should say MAC packe	et	
Response		Response Status C			C/ 99	SC	99.4	P 35	L 34	# 275
	"Can" mear	ns "is able to". Another comme	ent fixed the repea	at of behavior. But the	Regev, Alo	n		Ixia		
	words read		·		Comment T	Гуре	TR	Comment Status A		
C/ 99 Sovelley, David		P 35 Linear Techn Comment Status A	L 31 ology	# 42	(contai MAC fr	ns prea ame ar	amble &	have the same format of an mI SFD) and a MAC frame does in t in section 1.4. All instances	not contain these	e. See definition of
		rice: "without MAC Merge be	ehavior changing i	its behavior and"	The IE	FF sna	cification	is not consistent in its use of	"frame" In the l	MAC section it is
<i>uggestedRen</i> Change to	medy : "without	MAC Merge behavior changir			consist "frame'	ently u ' is use	sed to re d to refe	fer to "MAC frame" (not packet r to "packet" (not MAC frame). st we use "packet" instead of "	t). In later PHY As this section	specs, the term is inbetween the MA
	peat "behavi				Suggested	Remed	'y			
esponse ACCEPT I	IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE. Fixed by another commer	nt		Change	e all ins	stances of	of "MAC frame" to "packet"		
								other instances of "frame" to p opinion)	acket (this woul	d make this clause
					Response			Response Status C		
								E. Change frame to packet he acket if appropriate.	ere. Editor to rev	iew other istances of

CI 99 SC 99.4

C/ 99 SC 99. Hidaka, Yasuo	.1 P 35 Fujitsu Lab	L 36 of America	# 126	C/ 99 SC 99.4.2 Tretter, Albert	<i>P</i> 36 Siemens	<i>L</i> 1	# 300
Comment Type T "disabled" may b	Comment Status A more relevant here than "not er	nabled".			<i>Comment Status</i> R nility shall be enabled only if th ility via an Additional Ethernet		
SuggestedRemedy				the preemption capab	inty via an Additional Ethernet	Capabilities 11	V.
Change "not ena	oled" with "disabled" on line 36 a	nd 38 in page 35.					
Response ACCEPT IN PRII disabled	Response Status C	tances of not enab	led and replace with	sentence not also con	es the verification process in f tain this mechanism?	ront of enabling	preemption. Should the
				SuggestedRemedy			
C/ 99 SC 99 . .aw, David	.2 <i>P</i> 36 HP	L 1	# 155	Proposal:			
the use of 'should to be mandatory. unplugged from a partner that does	at 'The preemption capability sho ' means that this is only recomm As an example a link failure cou link partner that does support p not support preemption. Due to	ended. It would se Id be the result of a reemption, then be this it would seem	em to me this needs a connection being ing plugged in to a link preemption has to be	was successful. Response	ility via an Additional Ethernet <i>Response Status</i> C Joesn't enter in to whether it is erification is enabled.	·	
	illure, and this is what is shown i E in the INIT_VERIFICATION st			C/ 99 SC 99.4.2	P 36	L 2	# 229
uggestedRemedy				Ran, Adee	Intel		
Change ' capat	ility should be disabled' to rea	d ' capability sha	Il be disabled'.	Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
esponse	Response Status C			Disabling preemption probably be normative	on link failure is phrased as a	recommendation	n (should), it should
	ICIPLE. The problem is that ther herefore, one is dependent on we ependent way to gain knowledge	hether an impleme		SuggestedRemedy Change "should" to "s			

The Verify state diagram provides the normative requirement. This is descriptive text about the function.

C/ 99 SC 99.4.2 Tretter, Albert	<i>P</i> 36 Siemens	L 2	# 320	C/ 99 SC 99.4.3 Tretter, Albert	P 36 Siemen	L 12 s	# 301
<i>Comment Type</i> T The preemption capabi	Comment Status A ility should be disabled on lin	k failure.		Comment Type E Verification checks th	Comment Status		ility.
Should the preemption	capability not also be disable	ed in case if verif	ication fails?	There is one "the" to	o much.		
SuggestedRemedy Has to be discussed				SuggestedRemedy Please correct			
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL The preemption capabi	Response Status C E. ility should be made inactive	on link failure		Response ACCEPT.	Response Status	;	
	r instances of "not active" and		nactive".	Cl 99 SC 99.4.3 Dwelley, David	P 36 Linear ⁻	L 17 Fechnology	# 43
C/ 99 SC 99.4.3 Regev, Alon	<i>Р</i> 36 Ixia	L 12	# 241	Comment Type E Badly placed comma	Comment Status A		n
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			SuggestedRemedy			f the latter also delete
"the" repeated twice				Move the comma aft	er the (0x55) or (preferred	a) lose the "(0x55)". I	
51	e"			"(0x55)" at line 21.	er the (0x55) or (preferred so fix the missing period a	, , , ,	
"the" repeated twice SuggestedRemedy	e" Response Status C			"(0x55)" at line 21.		t the end of line 18.	
"the" repeated twice SuggestedRemedy change "the the" to "the Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99.4.3		L 12 prporation	# 130	"(0x55)" at line 21. While we're here, als <i>Response</i>	so fix the missing period a Response Status (P 36	t the end of line 18.	# <u>127</u>
"the" repeated twice SuggestedRemedy change "the the" to "the Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99.4.3 Laubach, Mark Comment Type E Change "the the" to "the	Response Status C P 36 Broadcom Co Comment Status A		# 130	"(0x55)" at line 21. While we're here, als <i>Response</i> ACCEPT. <i>Cl</i> 99 SC 99.4.3	o fix the missing period a Response Status P 36 Fujitsu Comment Status	t the end of line 18.	
"the" repeated twice SuggestedRemedy change "the the" to "the Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC 99.4.3 .aubach, Mark Comment Type E Change "the the" to "the	Response Status C P 36 Broadcom Co Comment Status A		# [<u>130</u>	"(0x55)" at line 21. While we're here, als Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99.4.3 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type T "mCRC" is also writte	o fix the missing period a Response Status P 36 Fujitsu Comment Status	t the end of line 18.	
"the" repeated twice SuggestedRemedy change "the the" to "the Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC 99.4.3 Laubach, Mark Comment Type E	Response Status C P 36 Broadcom Co Comment Status A		# 130	"(0x55)" at line 21. While we're here, als Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99.4.3 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type T "mCRC" is also writte It is inconsistent. SuggestedRemedy	o fix the missing period a Response Status P 36 Fujitsu Comment Status	t the end of line 18.	
"the" repeated twice SuggestedRemedy change "the the" to "the Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC 99.4.3 Laubach, Mark Comment Type E Change "the the" to "the SuggestedRemedy	Response Status C P 36 Broadcom Co Comment Status A e".		# 130	"(0x55)" at line 21. While we're here, als Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99.4.3 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type T "mCRC" is also writte It is inconsistent. SuggestedRemedy	so fix the missing period a Response Status (P 36 Fujitsu Comment Status (en as "MCRC".	t the end of line 18.	
"the" repeated twice SuggestedRemedy change "the the" to "the Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC 99.4.3 Laubach, Mark Comment Type E Change "the the" to "the SuggestedRemedy Response	Response Status C P 36 Broadcom Co Comment Status A e".		# [<u>130</u>	"(0x55)" at line 21. While we're here, als Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99.4.3 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type T "mCRC" is also writte It is inconsistent. SuggestedRemedy Change "MCRC" with Page 36, line 17 Page 36, line 20 Page 36, line 21	so fix the missing period a Response Status (P 36 Fujitsu Comment Status (en as "MCRC".	t the end of line 18.	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/99Page 50 of 82COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawnSC99.6/11/2015 9:47:45 PMSORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Cl 99 SC 99.4.3 Tretter, Albert	<i>P</i> 36 Siemens	L 21	# 302	<i>Cl</i> 99 Regev, Alon	SC 99.4.3	<i>Р</i> 36 Іхіа	L 25	# 274
Comment Type E Different notation MRCR(Comment Status A			Comment Ty The text	states that "V	Comment Status A erificaiton may be disabled", b		
SuggestedRemedy If no, please use always the second		letters?		SuggestedRe In Figure change t to "pEnal In section "An ENU unkno initiated verifyin succed failed To "An ENU unkno not sta verifyin succed failed	emedy 99-7 (Verify he transition f ble=TRUE * c m 30.14.1.2 or MERATED V wn verificat eded preer verification MERATED V wn verificat ong verificat eded preer verification verification ed verificat	ample, it doesn't actually disal State Diagram) on page 46, from VERIFICATION IDLE to a lisableVerify=FALSE" In page 21 on line 2, change ALUE that has one of the follo tion of preemption operation w ion has been initiated and has nption operation has been ver of preemption operation failed ALUE that has one of the follo iton status is unknown vaiton has not been initiated ion has been initiated and has nption operation has been ver of preemption operation failed ion on preemption operation failed ion of preemption operation failed ion of preemtion operation failed ion of preemtion operation is of the on page 21 starting on lines	SEND_VERIFY f owing entries: with the link partner ified d" owing entries: a not completed ified d disabled	rom "pEnable=TRUE"
				Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIP	Response Status C LE. #160 and 171		

C/ 99 SC	99.4.3	P 36	L 4	# 226	C/ 99 SC 99.4.4	P 36	L 27	# 216
an, Adee		Intel			Ran, Adee	Intel		
	ph addresses possi	nent Status A ible behavior of devic			Comment Type E Stray character "["	Comment Status A		
		or can take many for or proprietary device		dard should not	SuggestedRemedy Delete "["			
It is sufficient	to state that verific	ation check is require	ed for preemptior	1.	Response	Response Status C		
SuggestedReme Delete the fire	•				ACCEPT.	, -		
Response ACCEPT.		nse Status C			C/ 99 SC 99.4.4 Marris, Arthur	P 36 Cadence Des	L 27 sign Syst	# 191
C/ 99 SC	99.4.3	P 36 Alcatel-Lucent	L7	# 333	Comment Type E Remove "["	Comment Status A		
0		ment Status A			SuggestedRemedy			
Comment Type			tions of Ethernet.	like things which may	Change "99.4.4 [Tra	ansmit processing" to "99.4.4 Tra	ansmit processing	g"
not work prop	perly when intercon	nected with IEEE 80 sary or desirable to d	2.3 standard com	pliant	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
SuggestedReme	dy				CI 99 SC 99.4.4	P 36	L 27	# 118
Delete the fire	st paragraph of clas	se 99.4.3			Hidaka, Yasuo	Fujitsu Lab of		# 110
Response ACCEPT.	Respo	nse Status C			<i>Comment Type</i> E There is a garbage	Comment Status A character "[" in front of clause tit	le.	
	00.4.4	P 36	L 26	# 366	SuggestedRemedy			
	99.4.4	F 30 FutureWei Teo		# 300	•••	character "[" in front of clause tit	tle.	
Remein, Duane Comment Type	E Comn		chnologi	# 300	•••	character "[" in front of clause tit Response Status C	tle.	
Remein, Duane Comment Type	E Comn ter in section title "["	FutureWei Teo	chnologi	# 300	Remove a garbage Response	Response Status C	L 27	# 67
Remein, Duane Comment Type Stray charact SuggestedReme Strike	E Comn ter in section title "[" dy	FutureWei Teo	chnologi	# 300	Remove a garbage Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99.4.4	Response Status C P 36 Bright House Comment Status A	L 27	# [67
Remein, Duane Comment Type Stray charact SuggestedRemen Strike Response	E Comn ter in section title "[" dy	FutureWei Teo ment Status A Transmit processing	chnologi	# 300	Remove a garbage Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99.4.4 Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E	Response Status C P 36 Bright House Comment Status A heading of 99.4.4	L 27	# 67

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 99

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 99.4.4

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC 99.4.4
 SC 99.4.4

Page 52 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:45 PM

C/ 99 SC 99.4.4 P 36 l Regev, Alon lxia	27 # 253	Cl 99 SC 99.4.4 Regev, Alon	<i>Р</i> 36 Іхіа	L 31	# 276
Comment Type E Comment Status A "[Transmit Processing" contains an extra "["		<i>Comment Type</i> TR We no longer have ar	Comment Status A 8 byte alignment requirement		
SuggestedRemedy Remove the "["		SuggestedRemedy remove "and a multipl	e of eight octets of the frame h	as been sent"	
Response Response Status C ACCEPT.		Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 99 SC 99.4.4 P 36 I Scruton, Peter University of New H University of New H I	27 # 379	C/ 99 SC 99.4.4 Tretter, Albert	<i>P</i> 36 Siemens	L 31	# 321
Comment Type E Comment Status A Subclause 99.4.4 title has '[' in it. SuggestedRemedy		Comment Type T " mPacket data field	Comment Status A size and a multiple of eight oc	tets of the fram	e has been sent."
esponse Response Status C ACCEPT.	29 # 44	SuggestedRemedy Please check Response	d we not decide to skip the defi Response Status C		
welley, David Linear Technology		ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE. "and a multiple of eight oc	tets of the frame	e" will be deleted.
Comment Type E Comment Status R Run-on sentence: "It preempts a preemptable frame when received or the eMAC has a frame to transmit if that can b mPacket data field size and a multiple of eight octets of the fuggestedRemedy It preempts a preemptable frame when a MM_or the eMAC has a suitable frame to transmit. Suitable eM meet the minimum mPacket data field size when a multiple been sent." Might be worth verifying that I parsed that sentence proper Response Response Status C REJECT. It isn't the eMAC packet that matters. It is the part to meet the qualifications. We made the sentence shorter in another comment resolut of eight octets of the frame has been sent."	e done while meeting minimum frame has been sent." CTL.request(HOLD) is received AC frames of eight octets of the frame has y cket being preempted that has	4 FCS octets in additi SuggestedRemedy Change "64 data octe To "60 data octets rer Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	P 36 Ixia Comment Status A eed to remain in a packet for it on to the 60 data octets). ts remain to be transmitted" nain to be transmitted" Response Status C LE. The FCS octets are includ on the MAC. Deleted "data" as	ed in the data re	emaining in the frame

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 99 SC 99.4.4 Page 53 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:45 PM

Ran, Adee	SC 99.4.4	P 36 Intel	L 35	# 230	<i>Cl</i> 99 Tretter, Albe	SC 99.4.4	P 36	L 45	# 322
	_						Siemens		
omment Ty		Comment Status A			Comment T		Comment Status A		
addFrag	Size is not a m	nultiple of 64 octets - it is the	multiplier.		If a fram	e is preempt	ed, transmit processing append	ds the mCRC to	the mPacket.
than a ca	apabilty.	here, since it describes a per here, as this is the normative	· ·	s permitted to) rahter	The CR indication	C field contai n of whether	rue for the final mPacket, as do ins a cyclic redundancy check (this is the final mPacket of a fr ns the last 4 octets of the MAC	(CRC) for mPack rame. For the fina	et data and an al mPacket of a frame
					SuggestedF	emedy			
uggestedRe	-				Please	correct			
Change	paragraph to				Response		Response Status C		
		that its receiver requires an			ACCEP	T IN PRINCI	PLE. Change to "When a frame	e is preempted	
preempti	on occurs, usi	ng the addFragSize field in the partner is non-zero, then pree	ne TLV. If addFrag	gSize in the TLV	C/ 99	SC 99.4.5	P 37	L 11	# 233
		tets of the preemptable frame			Ran, Adee	JC 33.4.3	Intel	211	# 233
esponse		Response Status C			Comment T	vpe TR	Comment Status R		
make a r		.E. May indicates an option. The LLDP TLV. The requirement				us - what is t) function. "Ensure" by "implem the MTTFPA with an unknown		
		that its reasiver requires on a	dditional multipla	of 64 optoto boforo			tive function, and it is much mo endent" does what is should do	ore difficult to ver	
"A device	e can indicate	that its receiver requires an a ng the addFragSize field in th				entation dep		ore difficult to ver	
"A device preempti	e can indicate on occurs, usi	that its receiver requires an a ng the addFragSize field in th agSize) octets of the preemp	ne TLV. Preempti	on will not occur until	"implem" <i>SuggestedF</i> There a	entation dep <i>emedy</i> e two well-de	endent" does what is should do	this requirement	ify that
"A device preempti at least 6	e can indicate on occurs, usi	ng the addFragSize field in th	ne TLV. Preempti	on will not occur until been sent. "	"implem <i>SuggestedF</i> There a one or t	entation dep <i>emedy</i> e two well-de	endent" does what is should do	this requirement	ify that
"A device preempti	e can indicate ion occurs, usi 54 * (1 + addFr SC 99.4.4	ng the addFragSize field in the agSize) octets of the preemp	ne TLV. Preemption table frame have	on will not occur until	"implem SuggestedF There a one or t Response	entation dep emedy re two well-de ne other (or a	endent" does what is should do escribed methods of achieving another one) and make it norma Response Status U	this requirement	ify that here. Please choose
"A device preempti at least 6 / 99 retter, Alber omment Ty "A link pa	e can indicate ion occurs, usi 64 * (1 + addFr SC 99.4.4 rt ppe E artner can indic	ng the addFragSize field in th ragSize) octets of the preemp P 36	ne TLV. Preemptivitable frame have	on will not occur until been sent. " # 303	"implem" SuggestedF There a one or t Response REJEC exposed receives Sublaye MAC) a	entation depo emedy re two well-do ne other (or a . The mecha l interface so an error ind r will be implo nd discard wi	endent" does what is should do escribed methods of achieving another one) and make it norma <i>Response Status</i> U anism isn't made normative bed it can't be tested. Similar text i ication from the xMII. In many i emented integrated with the M II be ensured by implementatio	this requirement this requirement ative. cause this isn't ha is used when the implementations, ACs (as is the ca	ify that here. Please choose appening over an reconciliation sublay the MAC Merge use for the RS and
"A device preempti at least 6 99 etter, Alber omment Ty "A link pa In clause	e can indicate ion occurs, usi 64 * (1 + addFr SC 99.4.4 t t <i>pe</i> E artner can indice 79 this TLV is	ng the addFragSize field in th ragSize) octets of the preemp <i>P</i> 36 Siemens <i>Comment Status</i> A cate in the Additional Capabi	ne TLV. Preemptivitable frame have	on will not occur until been sent. " # 303	"implem" SuggestedF There a one or t Response REJEC exposed receives Sublaye MAC) a	entation depo emedy re two well-do ne other (or a . The mecha l interface so an error ind r will be implo nd discard wi	endent" does what is should do escribed methods of achieving another one) and make it norma <i>Response Status</i> U anism isn't made normative bed it can't be tested. Similar text i ication from the xMII. In many i emented integrated with the M	this requirement this requirement ative. cause this isn't ha is used when the implementations, ACs (as is the ca	rify that there. Please choose appening over an reconciliation sublay the MAC Merge use for the RS and
"A device preempti at least 6 / 99 retter, Alber omment Ty "A link pa	e can indicate ion occurs, usi 34 * (1 + addFr SC 99.4.4 t pe E artner can indice 9 79 this TLV is emedy	ng the addFragSize field in th ragSize) octets of the preemp <i>P</i> 36 Siemens <i>Comment Status</i> A cate in the Additional Capabi	ne TLV. Preemptivitable frame have	on will not occur until been sent. " # 303	"implem SuggestedF There a one or t Response REJEC expose receives Sublaye MAC) a signal ir The sta	entation depe emedy re two well-do ne other (or a . The mecha interface so an error ind r will be imple discard wi dicating the i e machines a	endent" does what is should do escribed methods of achieving another one) and make it norma <i>Response Status</i> U anism isn't made normative bed it can't be tested. Similar text i ication from the xMII. In many i emented integrated with the M II be ensured by implementatio	this requirement this requirement ative. cause this isn't ha is used when the implementations, ACs (as is the ca in dependent me	ify that here. Please choose appening over an reconciliation sublay the MAC Merge use for the RS and ans such as a contro
"A device preempti at least 6 / 99 retter, Alber omment Ty "A link pa In clause uggestedRe	e can indicate ion occurs, usi 34 * (1 + addFr SC 99.4.4 t pe E artner can indice 9 79 this TLV is emedy	ng the addFragSize field in th ragSize) octets of the preemp <i>P</i> 36 Siemens <i>Comment Status</i> A cate in the Additional Capabi	ne TLV. Preemptivitable frame have	on will not occur until been sent. " # 303	"implem SuggestedF There a one or t Response REJEC exposed receives Sublaye MAC) a signal ir	entation depe emedy re two well-do ne other (or a . The mecha interface so an error ind r will be imple discard wi dicating the i e machines a	endent" does what is should do escribed methods of achieving another one) and make it norma <i>Response Status</i> U anism isn't made normative bed it can't be tested. Similar text i ication from the xMII. In many i emented integrated with the Mu II be ensured by implementatio frame is to be discarded.	this requirement this requirement ative. cause this isn't ha is used when the implementations, ACs (as is the ca in dependent me	ify that here. Please choose appening over an reconciliation sublay the MAC Merge use for the RS and ans such as a contro

1 99 SC	99.4.5	P 37	L 12	# 217	C	99	SC 99.4.	.5	P 37	L 20	# 129
an, Adee		Intel			Hi	daka, Ya	suo		Fujitsu Lab o	f Americ	
omment Type	Е	Comment Status A			Co	omment T	Type TR	Comment	t Status R		
"Then PLS_E	DATA_VALID	D.indication(DATA_NOT_V	ALID) is sent to th	ne pMAC"		It seem	is that preer	mption and an en	d of mPacket is	detected by simp	ly checking mCRC.
This either is	n't a complet	te sentence, or a full-stop i	is missing. The me	eaning is not clear.							iddle of a frame may lse detection of end of
		already describe what shou e pMAC", so this addition r				mPack	et is repeate	ted, when the sam	ne frame is retra	nsmitted.	
ggestedReme	dy						•	to my previous co	mment with add	litional remedy.	
Rephrase to	clarify what t	his sentence means, or de	elete it.		Si	uggested	-				
sponse		Response Status C				Use on	e of the foll	lowing schemes:			
						informa Option mCRC decode Option of mCF matchin the rec from th	ation at the b 3: In the tra will not be o the origina 4: Transmit C while train ng mCRC, t eiver will de e original da	al data using the a iter monitors the of ansmitting mPacket the transmitter sto etect it as an end of lata that has cause	ket. ncode the origir og some addition dditional inform riginal data valu et. If the transmi ops sending mP of mPacket. The	al data of the fram nal information. In ation. les in the frame if ter detects the ori acket as if it was j e transmitter result	ne so that a false the receive process, there is a false match ginal data values preempted, because mes sending mPacket
						Option	nmend optio 1 is the sim 4 is more c		more efficient th	an option 1.	
					Re	esponse		Response	Status C		
								RC calculatin met data sent so far.	thod ensures the	at the mCRC is ne	ever the same as the
						mPack	et of the fra	ame. If it was the e	end of the frame	, the MAC CRC c	ata sent from the first omputation XORs that with 16 0s and 16 1s.
						current used al togethe	mPacket raises has the ser (as they u	ather than all the o side benefit that v	data since the fi when MAC Merg e CRC generate	rst mPacket of a f ge and the MACs or can be used for	both computation.
						isn't sc would h	heduled traf	iffic, one doesn't k op frames up all the	now if one migh e time just in ca	it need to preemp se or not be able	where Express traffic t the frame so one to preempt ata II. ecause the case can't
YPE: TR/technie	cal required	ER/editorial required GR/	general required	T/technical E/editor	ial G/genera	1			C/ 9	9	Page 55 of 82

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/generalC/99Page 55 of 82COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejectedRESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawnSC99.4.56/11/2015 9:47:45 PMSORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, lineSORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, lineSC99.4.55/11/2015 9:47:45 PM

happen.	C/99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 26 # 304
C/ 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 20 # 128	Tretter, Albert Siemens
idaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America	Comment Type E Comment Status A
omment Type TR Comment Status R	An SMD containing an SMD-C an mPacket that continues the data for a preempted fi
It seems that preemption and an end of mPacket is detected by simply checking mCRC.	=> Something is missing here: " SMD-C indicates an mPacket"
This is not an acceptable method, because the original data in the middle of a frame may	Suggested Remedy
match the mCRC, and a false end of mPacket is detected. Such a false detection of end of mPacket is repeated, when the same frame is retransmitted.	An SMD containing an SMD-C indicates an mPacket that continues the data for a preempted frame.
uggestedRemedy	
Use one of the following schemes:	Please correct
Option 1: Use a fixed length of mPacket.	Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. See #55
Option 2: Decide the length of mPacket before sending mPacket and send the length information at the begining of mPacket.	C/ 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 26 # 48
	Dwelley, David Linear Technology
Option 3: In the transmit process, encode the original data of the frame so that a false mCRC will not be detected by adding some additional information. In the receive process,	Comment Type T Comment Status A
decode the original data using the additional information.	Broken sentence: "An SMD containing an SMD-C an mPacket that continues the data preempted frame."
decode the original data using the additional information. I recommend option 1, because it is the simplest.	
I recommend option 1, because it is the simplest. Response Response Status C	preempted frame."
I recommend option 1, because it is the simplest.	preempted frame." SuggestedRemedy
I recommend option 1, because it is the simplest. esponse Response Status C REJECT. Earlier version of 129. See #129	preempted frame." SuggestedRemedy Fix appropriately. It's broken enough now that I can't divine the intended meaning.
I recommend option 1, because it is the simplest. esponse Response Status C REJECT. Earlier version of 129. See #129 7 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 20 # 218	preempted frame." SuggestedRemedy Fix appropriately. It's broken enough now that I can't divine the intended meaning. Response Response Status C
I recommend option 1, because it is the simplest. esponse Response Status C REJECT. Earlier version of 129. See #129 1 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 20 # 218 an, Adee Intel	preempted frame." SuggestedRemedy Fix appropriately. It's broken enough now that I can't divine the intended meaning. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. # 262
I recommend option 1, because it is the simplest. esponse Response Status C REJECT. Earlier version of 129. See #129 1 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 20 # 218 an, Adee Intel	preempted frame." SuggestedRemedy Fix appropriately. It's broken enough now that I can't divine the intended meaning. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. # 262 C/ 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 26 # 119
I recommend option 1, because it is the simplest. esponse Response Status C REJECT. Earlier version of 129. See #129 / 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 20 # 218 an, Adee Intel omment Type E Comment Status A Wording of "checks to see" can be improved.	preempted frame." SuggestedRemedy Fix appropriately. It's broken enough now that I can't divine the intended meaning. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. # 262 C/ 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 26 # 119 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America
I recommend option 1, because it is the simplest. esponse Response Status C REJECT. Earlier version of 129. See #129 / 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 20 # 218 an, Adee Intel comment Type E Comment Status A Wording of "checks to see" can be improved. uggestedRemedy Change "checks the last four octets of the mPacket to see if they match" to "checks	preempted frame." SuggestedRemedy Fix appropriately. It's broken enough now that I can't divine the intended meaning. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. # 262 C/ 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 26 # 119 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status A
I recommend option 1, because it is the simplest. esponse Response Status C REJECT. Earlier version of 129. See #129 1 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 20 # 218 1 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 20 # 218 an, Adee Intel comment Type E Comment Status A Wording of "checks to see" can be improved. uggestedRemedy Change "checks the last four octets of the mPacket to see if they match" to "checks whether last four octets of the mPacket match".	preempted frame." SuggestedRemedy Fix appropriately. It's broken enough now that I can't divine the intended meaning. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. # 262 C/ 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 26 # 119 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status A The sentense on line 26, page 37 looks odd.
I recommend option 1, because it is the simplest. Response Response Status C REJECT. Earlier version of 129. See #129 2/ 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 20 # 218 2/ 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 20 # 218 2/ an, Adee Intel Comment Type E Comment Status A Wording of "checks to see" can be improved. 2/ uggestedRemedy Change "checks the last four octets of the mPacket to see if they match" to "checks whether last four octets of the mPacket match".	preempted frame." SuggestedRemedy Fix appropriately. It's broken enough now that I can't divine the intended meaning. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. # 262 C/ 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 26 # 119 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status A The sentense on line 26, page 37 looks odd. SuggestedRemedy Change the sentense on line 26, page 37 as follows:
I recommend option 1, because it is the simplest. Response Response Status C REJECT. Earlier version of 129. See #129 2/ 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 20 # 218 2/ 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 20 # 218 2/ 20 E Comment Status A Wording of "checks to see" can be improved. SuggestedRemedy Change "checks the last four octets of the mPacket to see if they match" to "checks whether last four octets of the mPacket match". Response Response Status C	preempted frame." SuggestedRemedy Fix appropriately. It's broken enough now that I can't divine the intended meaning. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. # 262 Cl 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 26 # 119 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status A The sentense on line 26, page 37 looks odd. SuggestedRemedy

	SC 99.4.5	P 37	L 26	# 262	C/ 99	SC 99.4.5	P 37	L 26	# 26
Regev, Ale	lon	Ixia			Beaudoin, [enis	Texas Instrun	nents	
Comment	t <i>Type</i> T	Comment Status A			Comment T	/pe E	Comment Status A		
	MD containing an e." is missing a ve	n SMD-C an mPacket that cor rb.	ntinues the data f	or a preempted		e structure iss containing an	ue: SMD-C an mPacket that con	tinues the data f	for a preempted frame
Suggestee	dRemedy				Suggested	lemedy			
	ge "An SMD cont npted frame."	aining an SMD-C an mPacke	t that continues t	he data for a	An SMI frame	containing an	SMD-C is an mPacket that c	ontinues the dat	a for a preempted
	n SMD containing	g an SMD-C indicates the star rame."	rt of an mPacket	that continues the	Response ACCEF	T IN PRINCIPI	Response Status C LE. See #55		
Response	è	Response Status C			C/ 99	SC 99.4.5	P 37	L 28	# 261
ACCE	EPT.				Regev, Alor		Ixia		
C/ 99	SC 99.4.5	P 37	L 26	# 219	Comment T	/ре Т	Comment Status A		
an, Adee	е	Intel					ceive processing checks that:	only applies wh	en receiveing an SMI
omment	tType E	Comment Status A				ng an "SMD-C			
"An S frame	0	n SMD-C an mPacket that cor	ntinues the data f	or a preempted		"Receive pro	cessing checks that:" SMD value of SMD-C, receiv	e processing ch	ecks that"
This c									
	does not seem to	be a complete sentence.			Response	-			
Suggestee	does not seem to dRemedy	be a complete sentence.			Response ACCEF	T.	Response Status C	1 0	
00	dRemedy	be a complete sentence. intended meaning.			ACCEF		Response Status C		
Rephr	<i>dRemedy</i> rase to clarify the				ACCEF	SC 99.4.5	Response Status C	L3	# [<u>47</u>
Rephi Response	<i>dRemedy</i> rase to clarify the	intended meaning. Response Status C			ACCEF Cl 99 Dwelley, Da	SC 99.4.5 vid	Response Status C P 37 Linear Techno	L3	
Rephr Response ACCE	dRemedy rase to clarify the EPT IN PRINCIPL	intended meaning. <i>Response Status</i> C .E. See #55 <i>P</i> 37	L 26	# [367	ACCEF C/ 99 Dwelley, Da Comment 7 "If an m	SC 99.4.5 vid /pe T Packet contain	Response Status C	L 3 blogy	# 47
Rephi Response ACCE C/ 99 Remein, D	dRemedy rase to clarify the EPT IN PRINCIPL SC 99.4.5 Duane	intended meaning. <i>Response Status</i> C .E. See #55 <i>P</i> 37 FutureWei Te	-	# 367	ACCEF C/ 99 Dwelley, Da Comment 7 "If an m	SC 99.4.5 vid vpe T Packet contain like SMD-E pa	Response Status C P 37 Linear Techno Comment Status R as an SMD-E, receive process	L 3 plogy	# 47
Rephi Response ACCE C/ 99 Remein, E Comment This s	dRemedy rase to clarify the EPT IN PRINCIPL SC 99.4.5 Duane t Type E sentence does no	intended meaning. <i>Response Status</i> C .E. See #55 <i>P</i> 37 FutureWei Te <i>Comment Status</i> A th make sense: "An SMD contractions of the sense of the sens	chnologi		ACCEF C/ 99 Dwelley, Da Comment 7 "If an m it sound Suggested	SC 99.4.5 vid /pe T Packet contain like SMD-E pa <i>Pemedy</i> to: "If an mPa	Response Status C P 37 Linear Techno Comment Status R as an SMD-E, receive process	L 3 ology ing ignores the r	# 47 mPacket." This makes
Rephr Response ACCE C/ 99 Remein, E Comment This s contin	dRemedy rase to clarify the EPT IN PRINCIPL SC 99.4.5 Duane t Type E sentence does no	intended meaning. <i>Response Status</i> C .E. See #55 <i>P</i> 37 FutureWei Te <i>Comment Status</i> A	chnologi		ACCEF C/ 99 Dwelley, Da Comment 7 "If an m it sound Suggested Change	SC 99.4.5 vid /pe T Packet contain like SMD-E pa <i>Pemedy</i> to: "If an mPa	Response Status C P 37 Linear Techno Comment Status R as an SMD-E, receive process ackets are discarded!	L 3 ology ing ignores the r	# 47 mPacket." This makes
Rephr Response ACCE C/ 99 Remein, E Comment This s contin Suggested Chang	dRemedy rase to clarify the EPT IN PRINCIPL SC 99.4.5 Duane t Type E sentence does not use the data for dRemedy	intended meaning. Response Status C E. See #55 P 37 FutureWei Te Comment Status A a preempted frame" containing an SMD-C indicates	chnologi aining an SMD-C	an mPacket that	ACCEF Cl 99 Dwelley, Da Comment 1 "If an m it sound Suggestedh Change mPacke Response REJEC	SC 99.4.5 vid //pe T Packet contain like SMD-E pa remedy to: "If an mPa t."	Response Status C P 37 Linear Techno Comment Status R as an SMD-E, receive process ackets are discarded! cket contains an SMD-E, rece	L 3 blogy ing ignores the r eive processing of packet. There is a	# [47 mPacket." This makes does not modify the
Rephr Response ACCE C/ 99 Remein, E Comment This s contin Suggested Chang	dRemedy rase to clarify the EPT IN PRINCIPL SC 99.4.5 Duane t Type E sentence does no nues the data for dRemedy ge to: "An SMD c een preempted."	intended meaning. Response Status C E. See #55 P 37 FutureWei Te Comment Status A a preempted frame" containing an SMD-C indicates	chnologi aining an SMD-C	an mPacket that	ACCEF Cl 99 Dwelley, Da Comment 1 "If an m it sound Suggestedh Change mPacke Response REJEC	SC 99.4.5 vid //pe T Packet contain like SMD-E pa remedy to: "If an mPa t."	Response Status C P 37 Linear Techno Comment Status R as an SMD-E, receive process ackets are discarded! cket contains an SMD-E, receive Response Status C cessing doesn't process the p	L 3 blogy ing ignores the r eive processing of packet. There is a	# 47 mPacket." This makes does not modify the

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 99 SC 99.4.5 Page 57 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:45 PM

Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc. Commert Type E Comment Status A Is "Receive processing a proper nour?" inconsistent capitalization (see line 8 vs. line 45 - many other instances of "receive processing" appear in other sections, but those in this subclause are mostly at the start of sentences). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Editor to check and correct either line 8 or line 45 capitalization, and check & correct throughout the draft. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It should be capitalized as it is the name of a function. Response Status C Comment Type T Comment Status A In the sentence "If receive processing a processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ans processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing an incomplete pre	SC 99.4.5	P 37	L 45	# 344	C/ 99	SC 99.4	.6	P 37	L 50	# 45
Is "Receive processing" a proper non? inconsistent capitalization (see line 8 vs. line 45- many other instances of "receive processing" appear in other sections, but those in this subclause are mostly at the start of sentences) See also pg 39 line 54 for "Receive processing". SuggestedRemedy Editor to check and correct either line 8 or line 45 capitalization, and check & correct throughout the draft. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It is a proper name of a function. Cf 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 8 # 260 Contains an SMD-E mexpress filter passes* Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It is a proper name of a function. Don't add "the". Capitalize egyev, Alon Isia Comment Type T Comment Status A In the sentence "If receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." To "If an mPacket containing an SMD-5 is received when receive processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ansures that the pMAC will detect a FrameCheckError prior to indicating DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." To "If an mPacket containing an SMD-5 is received when receive processing ensures that the pMAC will detect a frameCheckError prior to indicating DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." To "If an mPacket containing an SMD-5 is received when receive processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ensures that the pMAC will detect a frameCheckError prior to indicating DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." To "If an mPacket containing an SMD-5 is received when receive processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ensures th	immerman, George	CME Consult	ing, Inc.		Dwelley, Da	avid		Linear Techno	ology	
 many other instances of "receive processing" appear in other sections, but those in this subclause are mostly at the start of sentences.) See also pg 39 line 54 for "Receive processing". SuggestedRemedy Editor to tock and correct either line 8 or line 45 capitalization, and check & correct throughout the draft. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It should be capitalized as it is the name of a function. C/ 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 8 # 260 Comment Type T Comment Status A In the sentence "If receive processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing was processing an incomplete	Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Comment	Туре Е		Comment Status A		
See also pg 39 line 54 for "Receive processing". Suggested/Remedy Suggested/Remedy Editor to check and correct either line 8 or line 45 capitalization, and check & correct throughout the draft. Change to: The express filter checks the SMD of each received mPacket. If an mPacket for the draft is a proper name of a function. Don't add "the". Capitalized as it is the name of a function. C1 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 8 # 260 Comment Type T Comment Status A HP In the sentence "If receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ensures that the pMAC." it is not clear that this only applies if an SMD containing an SMD-S is received Not least paragraph of subclause 997. Verify State Diagram. Suggested/Remedy Change To Ti an mPacket containing an SMD-S is receive processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing an incomplete preempted frame, receiv	many other instances	of "receive processing" appea							ch received mPa	acket. If an mPacket
SuggestedRemedy Editor to check and correct either line 8 or line 45 capitalization, and check & correct throughout the draft. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It should be capitalized as it is the name of a function. Change to: "The express filter checks the SMD of each received mPacket. If an mPacket passes" C/ 99 SC 99.4.5 P37 L8 # [260] Comment Type T Comment Status A In the sentence "If receive processing mas processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing on surves that the pMAC." it is not clear that this only applies if an SMD containing an SMD-S is received SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "If receive processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ensures that the pMAC." it is not clear that this only applies if an SMD containing an SMD-S is received when receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ensures that the pMAC. Will detect a FrameCheckError prior to indicating DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." To "If an mPacket containing an SMD-S is received when receive processing was processing ensures that the pMAC." Figure 99-8 Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It is helpful to see these two small machines together in one figure to see how they exort whe each of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. SuggestedRemedy Verifying preemptid frame, receive processing was processing an incomplete					Suggested	Remedy				
Editor to check and correct either line 8 or line 45 capitalization, and check & correct throughout the draft. Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It should be capitalized as it is the name of a function. Is a Cl 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 8 # 260 Response To To Comment Status A Is a Image: Status C Response Status C SuggestedRemedy Containing an SMD-S is received SuggestedRemedy Image: The ceive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing and incomplete preempted frame, receive processing and incomplete preempted frame, receive processing was processing and incomplete preempted frame, receive processing was processing and incomplete preempted frame, receive processing was processing was processing was processing and incomplete preempted frame, receive processing was process		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i							ach received mP	acket. If an mPacket
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It should be capitalized as it is the name of a function. Image: Comment Type P 37 L 8 # 260 Comment Type T Comment Status A Image: Comment Status A Image: Comment Status A In the sentence "If receive processing ensures that the pMAC." it is not clear that this only applies if an SMD containing an SMD-S is received SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Express filter Comment Type Comment Status A SuggestedRemedy Change "If receive processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing was processing	Editor to check and co	orrect either line 8 or line 45 ca	apitalization, and	check & correct	Response			Response Status C		
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It should be capitalized as it is the name of a function. CI 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 8 # 260 Regev, Alon Ixia Las # 260 Comment Type T Comment Status A In the sentence "If receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing on SMD-S is received Please provide separate figure numbers and titles for the two state diagrams currently illustrated in Figure 99-7 'Verify State Diagram'. SuggestedRemedy Change "If receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing was process	Response	Response Status C					ICIPLE.	It is a proper name of a fur	nction. Don't add	l "the". Capitalize
Cl 99 SC 99.4.5 P 37 L 8 # 260 Regev, Alon Ixia Law, David HP Comment Type T Comment Status A In the sentence "If receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ensures that the pMAC will detect a FrameCheckError prior to indicating DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." it is not clear that this only applies if an SMD SuggestedRemedy Change "If receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing mass processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing on paragraph of subclause 99 Verifying preemption operation from " in Figure 99-7.' to read ' in F	ACCEPT IN PRINCIP	LE. It should be capitalized as	it is the name of	a function.	· · ·		_	D 40	1.0	"
Comment TypeTComment StatusAIn the sentence "If receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ensures that the pMAC will detect a FrameCheckError prior to indicating DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." it is not clear that this only applies if an SMD containing an SMD-S is receivedPlease provide separate figure numbers and titles for the two state diagrams currently illustrated in Figure 99-7 'Verify State Diagram'.SuggestedRemedyPlace the second state diagram in Figure 99-7 in a new Figure 99-8 'Verify Response Diagram'. In addition change the text at the end of the last paragraph of subclause 99 'Verifying preemption operation' from ' in Figure 99-7. 'to read ' in Figure 99-7.' to read ' in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 8.' to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'.To "If an mPacket containing an SMD-S is received when receive processing ensures that the pMAC."ResponseResponse StatusCResponseResponse StatusC	C/ 99 SC 99.4.5	P 37	L 8	# 260			./		L 3	# 145
In the sentence "If receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ensures that the pMAC." it is not clear that this only applies if an SMD containing an SMD-S is received SuggestedRemedy Change "If receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ensures that the pMAC will detect a FrameCheckError prior to indicating DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." To To Ta Ta mPacket containing an SMD-S is received when receive processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ensures that the pMAC." To Ta Ta mPacket containing an SMD-S is received when receive processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ensures that the pMAC." Response Response Status C	legev, Alon	Ixia			Comment	Type El	ર	Comment Status A		Discus
receive processing ensures that the pMAC will detect a FrameCheckError prior to indicating DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." it is not clear that this only applies if an SMD containing an SMD-S is received SuggestedRemedy Change "If receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ensures that the pMAC will detect a FrameCheckError prior to indicating DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." To "If an mPacket containing an SMD-S is received when receive processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing was processing ensures that the pMAC." Response Response Status C Response Response Status C	51		ng an incomplete	preempted frame					or the two state d	iagrams currently
indicating DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." it is not clear that this only applies if an SMD containing an SMD-S is receivedSuggestedRemedy Change "If receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC."Place the second state diagram in Figure 99-7 in a new Figure 99-8 'Verify Response Diagram'. In addition change the text at the end of the last paragraph of subclause 99 'Verifying preemption operation' from ' in Figure 99-7.' to read ' in Figure 99-7 and Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text is helpful to see these two small machines together in one figure to see how they work with each other. Keep in the same figure but label them a state machines with a) and b).To "If an mPacket containing an SMD-S is received when receive processing ensures that the pMAC."CACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It is helpful to see these two small machines together in one figure to see how they work with each other. Keep in the same figure but label them a state machines with a) and b).Editor will look at Clause 76 for a similar example. Change text to describe as two state machines.	receive processing er	sures that the pMAC will deter	ct a FrameCheck	Error prior to	Suaaested	Remedv		, 0		
SuggestedRemedy 'Verifying preemption operation' from ' in Figure 99-7.' to read ' in Figure 99-7 and Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-7. 'to read ' in Figure 99-7 and Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 99-8.' and add the text 'The Verify Response State Diagram is shown in Figure 90-7.' to read '' in Figure 99-7.' to read '' in Figure 99-7.' to read '' in Figure 99-7.' to read '' in Figure			t clear that this o	nly applies if an SMD	Place t	he second				
 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7 'State diagrams'. 8. to the end of the first paragraph of subclause 99.4.7.7	SuggestedRemedy									
DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." To "If an mPacket containing an SMD-S is received when receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ensures that the pMAC will detect a FrameCheckError prior to indicating DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." Response Response Status C		was processing an incomplete	e preempted fram	ne, receive processing						
To "If an mPacket containing an SMD-S is received when receive processing was processing an incomplete preempted frame, receive processing ensures that the pMAC will detect a FrameCheckError prior to indicating DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." Response Response Status C			ror prior to indica	ting	Response			Response Status C		
FrameCheckError prior to indicating DATA_NOT_VALID to the pMAC." Editor will look at Clause 76 for a similar example. Response Response Status C	То		en receive proce	ssing was processing	figure t	o see how	they wo	rk with each other. Keep in		
Response Response Status C										
ACCEPT.	Response	Response Status C			Chang					
	ACCEPT.									

C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.1 P 38 L 17 # 144 Law, David HP	Cl 99 SC 99.4.7.3 P 38 L 37 # 263 Regev, Alon Ixia
Comment Type ER Comment Status A Discuss The subclause 99.4.7.1 'State diagram conventions' defines prefaces for the PLS service interface, 'e', 'p' and 'r'. Figure 99-2 'MAC Merge Functional Block Diagram' defines a different set of prefaces for the PLS service interface, 'eMAC:', 'pMAC:' and 'RS:', however these are not used anywhere else. SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type T Comment Status A AddFragSize is now 2 bits and should therefore have a range of 0:3 SuggestedRemedy change "0:7" to "0:3" Response Response Status C
To aid clarity I suggest that one set of prefaces are used, and I would suggest that it be those used in Figure 99-2 as they are similar to those used elsewhere, for example IEEE Std 802.3-2012 subclause 80.3.2 'Instances of the Inter-sublayer service interface'. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Some of the state machines are very crowded. Adding 3 more characters to many names will make them more crowded and harder to read. The prefaces e, p and r could be considered abbreviations for eMAC, pMAC and RS in the state machine object names used for compactness and clearly related to the longer prefixes. Currently the state machine uses m rather than r for the prefix. Change: — m PLS service interface between MAC Merge and PLS to — r PLS service interface between MAC Merge and RS and update the object names to match.	ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.3 P 38 L 38 # 156 Law, David HP HP Image: Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type T Comment Status A The description states that addFragSize is an integer in the range 0 to 7 indicating, as a multiple of 64, the minimum additional length for nonfinal mPackets. Suggest the calculation used in the 'preempt' variable later in this subclause, and subclause 99.4.4 'Transmit processing', 64 x (1 + addFragSize), be stated here so there's no misunderstanding that the length is simply the multiplication of addFragSize by 64. I'm not sure why the term 'additional' is used in respect to the length of non-final mPackets from examination of the use of the 'preempt' variable in the state diagram, and the description in subclause 99.4.4 'Transmit processing' which reads 'preemption will not occur until at least 64 x (1 + addFragSize) octets have been sent' it seems that
Cl 99 SC 99.4.7.3 P 38 L 37 # 231 Ran, Adee Intel Intel 231 Comment Type T Comment Status A addFragSize is a small number which is technically not a multiple of 64. SuggestedRemedy Change "multiple" to "multiplier". Response Response Status C	addFragSize is used to calculate the minimum length of a non-final mPacket. It also seems that this variable is the input that controls the minimum length, not just an indication of it. <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Change the description to read 'Integer in the range 0:7 used to configure the minimum non-final mPacket length. The minimum non-final mPacket length is 64 x (1 + addFragSize) octets.'. <i>Response</i> <i>Response</i> <i>Status</i> C

CI 99	SC 99.4.7.3	P 38	L 43	# 264		SC 99.4.7.3	P 38	L 44	# 166
Regev, Alon		Ixia			Law, David		HP		
Comment T	vpe T	Comment Status A			Comment Typ	e TR	Comment Status A		
	ation mPacket" is D-C stands for "co	not defined. There is no i ntinuation".	ndication anywhe	ere in the draft that the	TRUE to	disable verifio	variable 'disableVerify' states cation and FALSE to enable v	verification'. I how	vever don't see how it
SuggestedR	Remedy						operation of the Figure 99-7 '\ An find is in the equation for th		
"An inte To		FrameCnt from 3 indicating the frame cou 3 indicating the frame cou			only use on these	of the pActive equations, w	e variable is in the equation for it hen disableVerify is set to TR e of the variable 'verified', the	or the variable 'pre UE, the variable	eempt' (line 21). Based preempt is no longer
"An inte To		FragCnt from 3 indicating the fragment 3 indicating the fragment			operation Diagram seems co sent whe	of preemptic will still opera ntrary to the n it is stated t	sableVerify' removes the need on. However when disableVer tte as normal, sending verify f variable description since I w hat the variable disableVerify	ify is set to TRUE frames and lookir ouldn't expect ve r' is set TRUE t	E, the Verify State ng for responses. This prification frames to be to disable verification
Response	F	Response Status C					eem to match the subclause	99.4.3 'Verifying	preemption operation'
	T IN PRINCIPLE.				statemen	that vening	ation may be disabled'.		
Istead s	ee #258	P 38	L 43	# 177			Verify set to TRUE should set 'INIT_VERIFICATION'.	the Figure 99-7	'Verify State Diagram'
Law. David	00 33.4.7.3	HP	2 43	π 111	SuggestedRe	medy			
	t that text be added ECODE' function.	Comment Status A d to state that the variable Add similar text for the cF			state diag disableVe will not re	ram back to rify as an ad sult in verifica	7 'Verify State Diagram' so that its initial state INIT_VERIFIC/ ditional OR condition to the cr ation mPacket truncation if dis ansmission as the Verify Stat	ATION. This can urrent open arrov sableVerify is set	be achieved by adding w in to this state. This to TRUE during a
SuggestedR	Remedv				Instead it	causes Figu	re 99-4 'Transmit Processing	State Diagram' to	o send them through
Change		ntinuation mPacket.' to re CODE function.'.	ad ' in a contin	uation mPacket,			and that process will still comp variable disableVerify.	plete regardless o	of the state of Verify
Response	F	Response Status C					6, line 2, change the text to re		
ACCEP	T IN PRINCIPLE. '	set by the SMD_DECOD	E function invoke	ed on the SMD-C of a	Note I ha	/e also subm	itted a comment suggesting t	hat pEnable be a	added to this equation.
continua	ation mPacket."				Response		Response Status C		
Add sim	ilar text for the cFr	ameCnt, rxFragCnt and r	xFrameCn variab	les.	ACCEPT				

C/ 99 SC 99.4 Law, David	. 7.3 P: HP	39	L 14	# 157	Cl 99 SC 99.4. Regev, Alon	7.3 / Ixia	⊳39 a	L 39	# 243
Comment Type T As far as I can tel in definition of pre	Comment Status the variable pActive is no empt (line 21). Suggest fo efinition of preempt be up	ot used in a or improved			Comment Type E "intial" should be "i SuggestedRemedy change "intial" to "i	Comment Stati nitial"			
	e of preempt is: pActive * fied + disableVerify) * (eT			' The value of preempt	Response ACCEPT.	Response Statu	ıs C		
Response REJECT. The equ Active to set pAct	Response Status ation is already long. Bre ve makes it easier on the	aking it into	deciding whe	other preemption is	<i>Cl</i> 99 <i>SC</i> 99.4 . Tretter, Albert		39 9 mens	L 45	# 323
Also #386 respon	se will use pActive in an a	additional nl	ace		Comment Type T	Comment State			
: 99 SC 99.4	.7.3 P:	•	L 17	# 158	If the variable "ver send all frame via	ify_fail" is set I assume he eMAC.	e that the N	IAC Client has to	how this in order to
aw, David	HP					the variable "verified"	is set the M	AC Client shall	send the preemtable
The description of	Comment Status the 'pEnable' variable sta	ates that it '.			frames via the pM/ Is my interpretatior				
The description or capability is enabled rather than a cont Figure 99-5 'Rece the link partner. SuggestedRemedy Change the description and F the behaviour of t updated.	the 'pEnable' variable stated and FALSE otherwise rol, also pEnable only has ive Processing State Diagon iption to read 'A Boolean ALSE to disable transmit he attribute 30.14.1.3 'aM	ates that it '. '. This mak s an impact gram' and th variable tha preemption ACMergeSt	es it sound lik on transmit, it ne ability to pro at is set TRUE .'. If this chang	e pÉnable is a status, t has no effect on ocess mPackets from to enable transmit ge is not implemented	Is my interpretation If yes is this inform <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Should be discuss <i>Response</i> REJECT. You can the pMAC frames	a correct? ation already incorpora ed with 802.1 <i>Response Statu</i> always use both MAC: von't be preempted. Ti	<i>is</i> C s to transm he eMAC w	it. If premption c	apability isn't active, prity over the pMAC.
The description or capability is enable rather than a cont Figure 99-5 'Rece the link partner. SuggestedRemedy Change the description and F the behaviour of t updated. Response	the 'pEnable' variable sta ed and FALSE otherwise rol, also pEnable only has ive Processing State Diag iption to read 'A Boolean ALSE to disable transmit	ates that it '. '. This mak s an impact gram' and th variable tha preemption ACMergeSt	es it sound lik on transmit, it ne ability to pro at is set TRUE .'. If this chang	e pÉnable is a status, t has no effect on ocess mPackets from to enable transmit ge is not implemented	Is my interpretation If yes is this inform SuggestedRemedy Should be discuss Response REJECT. You can the pMAC frames I.e. a pMAC frame	ation already incorpora ed with 802.1 <i>Response Statu</i> always use both MAC: von't be preempted. Th will be transmitted only	us C s to transm he eMAC w y when the	it. If premption c ill have strict pri re is no eMAC fra	apability isn't active, ority over the pMAC. ame ready to transmi
The description of capability is enable rather than a cont Figure 99-5 'Rece the link partner. SuggestedRemedy Change the descripreemption and F the behaviour of t updated. Response ACCEPT.	the 'pEnable' variable stated and FALSE otherwise rol, also pEnable only has ive Processing State Diag iption to read 'A Boolean ALSE to disable transmit ne attribute 30.14.1.3 'aM <i>Response Status</i>	ates that it '. '. This make s an impact gram' and th variable tha preemption ACMergeSt C	es it sound lik on transmit, it ne ability to pro at is set TRUE .'. If this chang	e pÉnable is a status, t has no effect on ocess mPackets from to enable transmit ge is not implemented	Is my interpretation If yes is this inform <i>SuggestedRemedy</i> Should be discuss <i>Response</i> REJECT. You can the pMAC frames	ation already incorpora ed with 802.1 <i>Response Statu</i> always use both MAC: von't be preempted. Th will be transmitted only	us C s to transm he eMAC w y when the	it. If premption c	apability isn't active, prity over the pMAC.
The description of capability is enable rather than a cont Figure 99-5 'Rece the link partner. SuggestedRemedy Change the descripreemption and F the behaviour of t updated. Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99.4 Regev, Alon Comment Type E	the 'pEnable' variable stated and FALSE otherwise rol, also pEnable only has ive Processing State Diag iption to read 'A Boolean ALSE to disable transmit ne attribute 30.14.1.3 'aM <i>Response Status</i>	A test that it '. '. This make s an impact gram' and the variable that preemption ACMergeSt C 39 5 A	es it sound lik on transmit, it ne ability to pro at is set TRUE .'. If this chang tatusEnable' n	e pÉnable is a status, t has no effect on ocess mPackets from to enable transmit ge is not implemented nay need to be	Is my interpretation If yes is this inform SuggestedRemedy Should be discuss Response REJECT. You can the pMAC frames I.e. a pMAC frames C/ 99 SC 99.4. Regev, Alon Comment Type E "detectsa" should b "(99.4.5)" should b	ation already incorpora ed with 802.1 <i>Response Statu</i> always use both MAC: von't be preempted. Th will be transmitted only 7.4 <i>H</i> <i>Ixia</i> <i>Comment Statu</i> er "(see 99.4.5)"	us C s to transm he eMAC w y when their 39 a	it. If premption c ill have strict pri re is no eMAC fra	apability isn't active, prity over the pMAC. ame ready to transmi
The description of capability is enable rather than a content Figure 99-5 'Rece the link partner. SuggestedRemedy Change the descripreemption and F the behaviour of t updated. Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99.4 Regev, Alon Comment Type E "an pPLS_DATA. SuggestedRemedy	the 'pEnable' variable stated and FALSE otherwise rol, also pEnable only has ive Processing State Diag iption to read 'A Boolean ALSE to disable transmit ne attribute 30.14.1.3 'aM <i>Response Status</i> .7.3 P: Ixia <i>Comment Status</i>	the strat it '. '. This make s an impact gram' and the variable tha preemption ACMergeSt C 39 5 A .S_DATA.ref	es it sound lik on transmit, it ne ability to pro at is set TRUE .'. If this chang tatusEnable' n	e pÉnable is a status, t has no effect on ocess mPackets from to enable transmit ge is not implemented nay need to be	Is my interpretation If yes is this inform SuggestedRemedy Should be discuss Response REJECT. You can the pMAC frames I.e. a pMAC frames C/ 99 SC 99.4. Regev, Alon Comment Type E "detectsa" should b "(99.4.5)" should b	ation already incorpora ed with 802.1 <i>Response Statu</i> always use both MAC: von't be preempted. Th will be transmitted only 7.4 <i>F</i> .4 <i>Comment Statu</i> be "detects a" er "(see 99.4.5)" to "detects a"	us C s to transm he eMAC w y when their 39 a	it. If premption c ill have strict pri re is no eMAC fra	apability isn't active, ority over the pMAC. ame ready to transmi

 TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general
 C/ 99
 Page 61 of 82

 COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected
 RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn
 SC 99.4.7.4
 6/11/2015 9:47:45 PM

 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line
 SC
 99.4.7.4
 SC
 99.4.7.4

P 39 C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.4 L 53 # 305 C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.4 P 40 L 14 # 266 Tretter, Albert Siemens Regev, Alon Ixia Comment Type Е Comment Status A Comment Type **T** Comment Status A "Invokes an implementation dependent process to ensure that a pMAC detectsa CRC error Add a reference to the frag count encoding table in a preemptable" SuggestedRemedy Change => "detectsa" should be changed to "detects a" "Returns an 8-bit vector with the frag_count encoding for a fragment count of frag cnt." SuggestedRemedy То Please correct "Returns an 8-bit vector with the frag count encoding for a fragment count of frag cnt (see Table 99-2)." Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.4 P 39 L 53 # 141 Law, David ΗP Comment Type Е Comment Status A Typo. SuggestedRemedy Chnage '... a pMAC detectsa CRC ...' to read '... a pMAC detects a CRC ...'. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.4 P 39 L 53 # 364 Brandt, David **Rockwell Automation** Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Typo: 'detectsa' SuggestedRemedy Substitute 'detects a'. Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3br (D2.0) Interspersing Express Traffic Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ 99	SC 99.4.7.4	P 40	L 15	# 185
Law, David		HP		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The function FRAG_DECODE states 'Returns a Boolean value of TRUE if the primitives contain a valid frag_count value and FALSE otherwise'. I can't see any use of this Boolean, there is no variable defined for it, and I don't see any effect on the Receive Processing State Diagram.

The exit from the CHECK_FRAG_CNT state in the Receive Processing State Diagram is based only on the value of rxFragCnt returned by the FRAG_DECODE function equalling, or not equalling, nxtRxFrag. Since the function definition does not define what value to set rxFragCnt to in the case of an invalid frag_count value, it is bit unclear what is being defined as the require behaviour.

SuggestedRemedy

Either [a] define the Boolean that is set by the FRAG_DECODE function, set that variable to FALSE in the IDLE_RX_PROC state, and test that variable on exit from the CHECK_FRAG_CNT state with it being FALSE causing a transition to the ASSEMBLY_ERROR state, alternatively (b) update the definition of the FRAG_DECODE function to set rxFragCnt to (nxtRxFrag - 1) if the primitives contain an invalid frag_count value, to force an exit to ASSEMBLY_ERROR out of the CHECK_FRAG_CNT state, and delete mention of the Boolean from the definition of the FRAG_DECODE function.

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Delete 'Returns a Boolean value of TRUE if the primitives contain a valid frag_count value and FALSE otherwise'

However, we need to deal with the case where frag_count contains an invalid value. Change:

Places the fragment count decoded in rxFragCnt. To

If frag_count contains a valid value, places the fragment count decoded in rxFragCnt. Otherwise it sets rxFrageCnt to 4.

Change range of rxFragCnt to 0:4

CI 99	SC 99.4.7.4		P 40	L 15	# 173
Law, Dav	vid		HP		
-		-			

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Define the mapping from PLS_DATA.request to bit values, and the order, as is done in a number of other functions, for the functions FRAG_DECODE, SFD_DET, SMD_DECODE.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the text 'The bit is 1 if the corresponding primitive value is ONE and 0 if the corresponding primitive is ZERO. The primitives are mapped to bit 0 to bit 7 in sequence.' after the first sentence.

Response	Response Status	С
----------	-----------------	---

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

CI 99	SC 99.4.7.4	P 40	L 16	# 267
Regev, A	lon	Ixia		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

in FRAG_DECODE, the eight mPLS_DATA.indication primitives contain an "encoded" frag_count (not frag_count itself)

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"Decodes eight mPLS_DATA.indication primitives containing frag_count."

То

"Decodes eight mPLS_DATA.indication primitives containing an encoded frag_count (see Table 99-2)."

Response	Response Status	С

ACCEPT.

C/ 99	SC 99.4.7.4	P 40	L 49	# 268
Regev, A	lon	Ixia		
~		· · · ·		

Comment Type T Comment Status A

In the definition of RX_MCRC_CK, pPLS_DATA.indication should be mPLS_DATA.indication

SuggestedRemedy

change "pPLS_DATA.indications" to "mPLS_DATA.indications"

Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Use "rPLS_DATA.indications"

C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.4 Tretter, Albert	P 40 Siemens	L 50	# 306	C/ 99 S Regev, Alon	SC 99.4.7.4	P 4 Ixia	I	L 1	# 269
Comment Type E "It is false otherwise."	Comment Status A			Comment Typ Add a refe		<i>Comment Status</i> MD values table	A		
The value of a boolear SuggestedRemedy	is normally written in capital	letter "FALSE"?			41, Line 1 based on the	value of the primitive		1\."	
Please check				to based	on the value	of the primitives (see	Table 99-1	l):	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Change "F frame_cnt	."			-	-C with frame count of
C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.4 Law, David	<i>Р</i> 40 НР	L 52	# 178		ns an 8-bit ve (see Table 9	ctor with the SMD en 9-1)."	coding for	an SMD-C wi	th frame count of
					1/ 1 100 14				
Suggest that the SFD_ is looking ahead at the	Comment Status A DET detect function should b next 8 bits.	e defined as a pre	escient function as it	frame_cnt To "Return frame_cnt SFD."	Returns an 8- . Consumes ns an 8-bit ve	8 pPLS_DATA.requent ctor with the SMD en 9-1). Consumes 8 p	st primitive coding for PLS_DATA	es containing an SMD-S wit	
Suggest that the SFD_ is looking ahead at the SuggestedRemedy See comment.	DET detect function should b next 8 bits.	e defined as a pre	escient function as it	Change "F frame_cnt To "Return frame_cnt SFD." <i>Response</i>	Returns an 8- . Consumes ns an 8-bit ve (see Table 9	8 pPLS_DATA.reque ctor with the SMD en	st primitive coding for PLS_DATA	es containing an SMD-S wit	the SFD." th frame count of
Suggest that the SFD_ is looking ahead at the SuggestedRemedy	DET detect function should b	e defined as a pre	escient function as it	Change "F frame_cnt To "Return frame_cnt SFD."	Returns an 8- . Consumes ns an 8-bit ve (see Table 9	8 pPLS_DATA.requent ctor with the SMD en 9-1). Consumes 8 p	st primitive coding for PLS_DATA	es containing an SMD-S wit	the SFD." th frame count of
Suggest that the SFD_ is looking ahead at the SuggestedRemedy See comment. Response	DET detect function should b next 8 bits.	e defined as a pre	escient function as it	Change "F frame_cnt To "Return frame_cnt SFD." <i>Response</i> ACCEPT.	Returns an 8- . Consumes ns an 8-bit ve (see Table 9 6C 99.4.7.4	8 pPLS_DATA.requent ctor with the SMD en 9-1). Consumes 8 p	st primitive coding for a PLS_DATA C	es containing an SMD-S wit	the SFD." th frame count of
Suggest that the SFD_ is looking ahead at the SuggestedRemedy See comment. Response	DET detect function should b next 8 bits.	e defined as a pre	escient function as it	Change "F frame_cnt To "Return frame_cnt SFD." Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 S Tabatabaee, V Comment Typ SMDS_EN	Returns an 8- Consumes as an 8-bit ve (see Table 9 6C 99.4.7.4 /ahid e T	8 pPLS_DATA.reque ctor with the SMD en 9-1). Consumes 8 p Response Status P 4 Broac Comment Status check if preemption	st primitive coding for s PLS_DATA C I com	es containing an SMD-S wit a.request prim	the SFD." th frame count of hitives containing the
Suggest that the SFD_ is looking ahead at the SuggestedRemedy See comment. Response	DET detect function should b next 8 bits.	e defined as a pre	escient function as it	Change "F frame_cnt To "Return frame_cnt SFD." Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 S Tabatabaee, V Comment Typ SMDS_EN return value	Returns an 8- Consumes as an 8-bit ve (see Table 9 6C 99.4.7.4 /ahid e T NCODE must ue should be	8 pPLS_DATA.reque ctor with the SMD en 9-1). Consumes 8 p Response Status P 4 Broac Comment Status check if preemption	st primitive coding for s PLS_DATA C I com	es containing an SMD-S wit a.request prim	the SFD." th frame count of hitives containing the # <u>386</u>
Suggest that the SFD_ is looking ahead at the SuggestedRemedy See comment. Response	DET detect function should b next 8 bits.	e defined as a pre	escient function as it	Change "F frame_cnt To "Return frame_cnt SFD." Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 Tabatabaee, V Comment Typ SMDS_Et return valu	Returns an 8- . Consumes hs an 8-bit ve (see Table 9 6C 99.4.7.4 /ahid e T NCODE must ue should be medy	8 pPLS_DATA.reque ctor with the SMD en 9-1). Consumes 8 p Response Status P 4 Broac Comment Status check if preemption	st primitive coding for s PLS_DATA C C C C C Status is ac	25 containing an SMD-S with Arequest prim <i>L</i> 14 Stive. If preem	the SFD." th frame count of hitives containing the # <u>386</u>
Suggest that the SFD_ is looking ahead at the SuggestedRemedy See comment. Response	DET detect function should b next 8 bits.	e defined as a pre	escient function as it	Change "F frame_cnt To "Return frame_cnt SFD." Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 Tabatabaee, V Comment Typ SMDS_Et return valu	Returns an 8- . Consumes hs an 8-bit ve (see Table 9 6C 99.4.7.4 /ahid e T NCODE must ue should be medy	8 pPLS_DATA.reque ctor with the SMD en 9-1). Consumes 8 p <i>Response Status</i> <i>P</i> 4 Broac <i>Comment Status</i> check if preemption SFD.	st primitive coding for s PLS_DATA C I com A status is ac s in SMDS	25 containing an SMD-S with Arequest prim <i>L</i> 14 Stive. If preem	the SFD." th frame count of hitives containing the # <u>386</u>

C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.5	P 41	L 30	# 179	C/ 99	SC 99.4.7.6	P 41	L 43	# 167
_aw, David	HP			Law, David		HP		
Comment Type T C	Comment Status A			Comment Ty	,	Comment Status A		
The definition of the fragSiz the current preemptable m Frame Delimiter (see Figure	Packet'. A packet howev			'State di		defined with reference to sub for an example. This will defi is cleared.		
Since this counter is set to increment until the PREMP which is after the SMD-S ha count of the octets transmit	TABLE_TX state in the as been sent in the the	Transmit Process	ing State Diagram, -S state, this is not a	C C	the subclause	e to read: ne manner described in 14.2.3	3.2.	
preemptable frame. SuggestedRemedy Change the text ' in the cl preemptable frame'.	urrent preemptable mPa	acket' to read ' ir	the current			nes since the end of the prior	frame. The timer	will expire 96 bit times
Response R ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It current mPacket. Use "the preemptable mPacket". #98 renamed the mPacket	number of octets of mDa	ata transmitted in	the current	The time verifyTin <i>Response</i>	of time from w er will expire v ne is 10 ms.	hen a verification mPacket w erifyTime ± 20% ms after bei <i>Response Status</i> C LE. The reference to 14.2.3.2	ng started. The de	fault value of
C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.5	P 41	L 41	# 255	Accept t	he changes to	the timer definitions.		
use "attempts" instead of "t SuggestedRemedy Change "tries" to "attempts	-	clearer.		ipg_time to "A timer ipg_time	, period. emedy counting bit ti r_done when counting bit ti	P 41 Ixia Comment Status A mes since the end of the prio it reaches 96 bit times." mes since the end of the prio it reaches 96 bit times."		
				Response ACCEP	г.	Response Status C		

CI 99	SC 99.4.7.6	P 41	L 48	# 159	C/ 99	SC 99.4.7.7	P 43	L	# 361
aw, David		HP			Brandt, Dav	/id	Rockwe	ell Automation	
Comment Ty	ype T	Comment Status A			Comment 7	Type TR	Comment Status	A	
		a variable verifyTime to set where a variable verifyTime to set where a variable list, nor are			Figure	99-4-Transmit	Processing State Diagra	ım	
	he text 'The de	fault value of verifyTime is 10			'mTX_[mit logic produces 'mPLS s purpose, but not: 'SEN		
41, line :	50), add the fo	llowing variable definition to s	ubclause 99.4.7	.3 Variables:	Suggestedl	Remedy			
verifyTin	ne				Change	•	_ENCODE(txFrame)' to 'i	mTX_DATA(SMDC_	ENCODE(txFrame))' in
		e 1:128 used to configure the ree 99.4.7.6). The default value			Change		ENCODE(txFrame)' to 'r IT'	nTX_DATA(FRAG_I	ENCODE(txFrame))' in
esponse	- -	Response Status C				e from 'SMDS_ _SMC-S'	ENCODE(txFrame)' to 'r	mTX_DATA(SMDS_	ENCODE(txFrame))' in
ACCEP	1.				Response		Response Status	•	
U	ype E is repeated twi	P 42 Ixia <i>Comment Status</i> A ce	L 3	# 245	directly Creates frame_ primitiv	r instead. For e s an 8-bit vecto cnt. Produces re value is ONE	PLE. Change the definition xample: or with the SMD encoding eight rPLS_DATA.reque E if the corresponding bit reduced from bit 0 to bit 7	g for an SMD-C with st primitives based o is 1 and ZERO if the	frame count of on the 8-bit vector. The
uggestedR		00 4" to "Eigung 00 4"			CI 99	SC 99.4.7.7	P 43	1	# 282
-	Figure Figure	99–4" to "Figure 99–4"			Slavick, Jef		-	Fechnologies	
esponse ACCEP ⁻	т	Response Status C			Comment 7	vpe TR	Comment Status	A	
AUCEF	1.				Figure eTX=F	99-4 : The IDL ALSE implying	E_TX_PROC -> TX_VE there might be more con DLE_TX_PROC -> TX_F	nditions on that trans	sition that aren't visible.
					Suggestedl	Remedy			
					Remov	e the * or enla	rge the space to show al	l conditions necessa	ry for those transition.
					Response		Response Status	•	
					ACCER		I E Remove the * in hot	h cases	

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove the * in both cases

	•	· · · · · ·			-		
C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7	P 43 L	# 352	C/ 99	SC 99.4.7.7	P 43	L 13	# 271
Brandt, David	Rockwell Automation		Regev, Alon	1	Ixia		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		Comment T	ype T	Comment Status D		
Figure 99-4-Transmit F	Processing State Diagram				priority over sending a respo		
The variable 'ind timer	done' is defined and not used. Instead 'ip	a timer-done' is used. In			can delay sending a respond es for 30ms (+/- 20%), the va		a timeout on the link
	mer_done" is used correctly in Fig. 99-7.		SuggestedR				
SuggestedRemedy				-	ond mPackets over priority fr	ames.	
	done" for "ipg_timer=done". There are 8 ins	stances on transitions (2	Olve ph	only to to respt		anes.	
are spelled wrong).					or transition from IDLE_TX_I		
Response	Response Status C		"elx=lh	RUE * ipg_time	r=dne" to "eTx=TRUE * send	d_r=FALSE * ipg_i	imer=done"
ACCEPT.					or transition from IDLE_TX_		
C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7	P 43 L 12	# 283		. •	mer=done * eTx=FALSE" to	"send_r=TRUE *	pg_timer=done"
Slavick, Jeff	Avago Technologies	# 200	Proposed R	,	Response Status Z		
	Comment Status A	DISCUSS			en considered. There are plu		
Comment Type TR	ables in the state transitions are defined a				s that making this change co e wanted preemption so that		
	to TRUE/FLASE aren't necessary.	s booleans. Remove the	case, th	ere should be	gaps between express traffic	so verify goes so	on enough. For
SuggestedRemedy	·····,				d systems, engineered syste	ems), verification o	an be disabled or
,	and replace the " <variable>=FALSE" with</variable>	"I <variable>" for all state</variable>	verity ur	me can be exte	nded.		
	e variable that has been defined as boolea		Cl 99	SC 99.4.7.7	P 43	L 13	# 168
			Law, David		HP		
2000000			Comment T	ype TR	Comment Status A		
Response	Response Status C state machines have varied in whether the	wuood -TPUE and			ner expires, ipg_timer_done i		
	the variable and lvarible. Doing as the cor				condition for the transition, n	iot ipg_timer = dor	ie.
help with some of the s	state machine crowding.		SuggestedR	-			
C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7	P43 L13	# 281		<pre>'ipg_timer=dor ce on line 34.</pre>	ne' to read 'ipg_timer_done' h	here and on line 1	4, 15, 17, 49 and 50
Slavick, Jeff	Avago Technologies		Response		Response Status C		
Comment Type TR	Comment Status A		ACCEP	T.			
Figure 99-4 ipg_timer	_done is variable, so all instances of ipg_t er=done should be change to just ipg_time						
SuggestedRemedy		-					
See comment							
Response	Response Status C						
ACCEPT.	nesponse status C						
AUGEFT.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7 P 43 L 14 # 353 Brandt, David Rockwell Automation	C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7 P 43 L 17 # 307 Tretter, Albert Siemens
Comment Type E Comment Status A Figure 99-4-Transmit Processing State Diagram	Comment Type E Comment Status A Figure 99–4—Transmit Processing State Diagram
The logical AND symbol '*' trails a 2 transition equations from state "IDLE_TX_PROC".	"ipg_timer=dne"
SuggestedRemedy	=> change "dne" to "done"
Remove 2 trailing '*' symbols.	SuggestedRemedy
Response Response Status C	please correct
ACCEPT.	Response Response Status C
C/99 SC 99.4.7.7 P 43 L 14 # 28	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. lpg_timer_done
Beaudoin, Denis Texas Instruments	C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7 P 43 L 17 # 354
Comment Type E Comment Status A	Brandt, David Rockwell Automation
Figure 99-4 Transitions into states TX_VERIFY and TX_RESPOND have an extra * after eTx=FALSE SuggestedRemedy	Comment Type E Comment Status A Figure 99-4-Transmit Processing State Diagram
Remove extra * after eTx=FALSE	FALSE is spelled FALE in rightmost transition equation from state 'IDLE_TX_PROC'.
Response Response Status C	SuggestedRemedy
ACCEPT.	Change 'send_v=FASE' to 'send_v=FALSE'.
C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7 P 43 L 17 # 270 Regev, Alon Ixia	Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C
Comment Type T Comment Status A done misspelled as dne	C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7 P 43 L 17 # 30 Beaudoin, Denis Texas Instruments
SuggestedRemedy change "dne" to "done"	Comment Type ER Comment Status A The transition to EXPRESS_TX has the word done misspelled. 'ipg_timer=dne'
	SuggestedRemedy
	JUUUGAGUNGINGUV
Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Yes, but overtaken by events	Correct to 'ipg_timer=done'

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7 Page 68 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:45 PM

99 SC 99.4.7.	P 43	<i>L</i> 31	# 355	C/ 99	SC 99.4.7.	7	P 43	L 35	# 324
andt, David	Rockwell Auto	omation		Tretter, Alb	pert		Siemens		
<i>mment Type</i> E Figure 99-4-Transmit	Comment Status A Processing State Diagram			Comment ⁻ Figure	51	Comment S nit Processing St			
 ggestedRemedy	nould be 'PREEMPTABLE_TX'.				"pTxCplt=FAL IPTABLE_TX.	SE * preempt=F/	ALSE" at state	change from PR	REMPTABLE_TX to
Add the 'E'. sponse ACCEPT.	Response Status C			The variable "preempt" contains the information of the variable "pActive". In cas pActive = FALSE (due to verify_failed) the Tx state machine sends a preemtab with a SMD-S even the link doesn't support this.					
99 SC 99.4.7.	P 43	L 35	# 284	This is proces		the upper layer	doesn't take in	to account the fa	ailed verification
vick, Jeff	Avago Techno	ologies			interpretation c	orroot?			
no resolution of whicl ggestedRemedy Add the approprieate				Response	be discussed PT IN PRINCI	Response S PLE. #386	tatus C		
	Response Status C on is needed as the exit conditi preempt can only be true if the			<i>Cl</i> 99 Brandt, Da	SC 99.4.7. avid	7	P 43 Rockwell Auto	L 39 omation	# 359
	true when there are no octets l			Comment Type E Comment Status R Figure 99-4-Transmit Processing State Diagram					
					P_TX_COMPL e an IF stateme		mplex to under	stand than neces	ssary and forced to
				Suggested	Remedy				
				Separa	ate into 2 state	s: 'P_TX_COMP	LETE' and 'P_	TX_FRAG_COM	IPLETE'.
				Response		Response S	tatus C		
						sed as a task for the state diagrar		and preferred this	way. Also adding a

C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7 Tretter, Albert	P 43 Siemens	L 41	# 308	<i>Cl</i> 99 <i>SC</i> 99.4.7.7 Tretter, Albert	P 43 Siemens	L 42	# 309
Comment Type E Figure 99–4—Transmit	Comment Status A t Processing State Diagram			Comment Type E Figure 99–4—Transm	Comment Status A hit Processing State Diagram		
Varialbe "pTxCpt" in th	e state P_TX_COMPLETE she	ould be "pTxCpt"		Varialbe "txFrameCnt	" in the state P_TX_COMPLE	TE should be "txF	Frame".
SuggestedRemedy please correct Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C .E. pTxCplt			SuggestedRemedy please correct			
C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7	P 43	L 41	# 183	Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
counter is defined in su 'txFrame' counter to ze SuggestedRemedy	Comment Status A Cnt' is incremented in the state ubclause 99.4.7.5 'Counters', a ro. ecnt++' to read 'THEN txFrame Response Status C	nd the state INIT	,	C	Rockwell Aut <i>Comment Status</i> A Processing State Diagram should be 'txFrame' in state 'F <i>Response Status</i> C		Έ'.
^o	P 43 Rockwell Autor <i>Comment Status</i> A Processing State Diagram Id be 'pTxCplt' in state 'P_TX_H		# 357	never set to 0. SuggestedRemedy	Ixia Comment Status A om the PREMPT_WAIT to RES	_	# 272
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			In the PREMPT_WAT Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIF	T state, add a line with "pream <i>Response Status</i> C PLE. #289.	IDIEUNT <= U	

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7 Page 70 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:45 PM

C/ 99 SC	99.4.7.7	P 43	L 46	# 356	C/ 99	SC 99.4.7.7	P 4:	3 L 77	# 29		
Brandt, David		Rockwell Auto	mation		Beaudoin, Denis Texas Instruments						
0	ransmit Process	nment Status A ing State Diagram				51	Comment Status START_PREAMBLE		SE misspelled		
'PREMPT_W	AIT' should be 'P	REEMPT_WAIT'.			Suggestee	dRemedy					
SuggestedRemed	dy				Corre	ct line to state 's	end_v=FALSE *'				
Add the 'E'.					Response		Response Status	с			
Response ACCEPT. But	,	bonse Status C eleted instead. #289			ACCE	PT.					
C/ 99 SC	99.4.7.7	P 43	L 48	# 360	<i>Cl</i> 99 Brandt, Da	SC 99.4.7.7 avid	-	L 19 kell Automation	# 363		
Brandt, David		Rockwell Auto	mation		Comment	Type E	Comment Status	Δ			
Comment Type	TR Cor	nment Status A				51	Processing State Diagr				
Figure 99-4-T	ransmit Process	ing State Diagram			-		PREMBLE' is spelled				
	correctly in state	Id have assignment 'p 'RESUME_PREAMBL		or that the preamble press packet has not	Suggestee Use 'F	dRemedy PREAMBLE'.					
SuggestedRemed	dy				Response		Response Status	С			
Add to state '	PREEMPT_WAI	T' the assignment 'prea	ambleCnt <= 0'.		ACCE	PT.					
Response	Res	oonse Status C			C/ 99	SC 99.4.7.7	P 44	4 L 23	# 169		
ACCEPT IN F	PRINCIPLE. See	e #289			Law, Davi		HP				
C/ 99 SC	99.4.7.7	P 43	L 6	# 27	Comment	Type TR	Comment Status	Α			
Beaudoin, Denis Texas Instruments Comment Type E Comment Status R It would be nice to give a definition of UCT, even if it's buried in some other relevant doc. SuggestedRemedy Add to Abbreviations section 1.5				I don't see when pRX_DV is set to FALSE in the 99-5 'Receive Processing State Diagram' for a verify or respond packet. Such packets will enter the pMAC_DATA_VALID state whe mRxDV becomes TRUE setting pRX_DV to TRUE. They will then transition between CHECK_FOR_START and RX_PREAMBLE until either a V or R SMD which will transition them in to RCV_V or RCV_R respectively. On a bad CRC there will be a transition directly to IDLE_RX_PROC, a good CRC will transition through V_MCRC_OK or R_MCRC_OK respectively. In none of these cases is pRX_DV set back to FALSE causing the verify or							
Response	Resi	oonse Status C					appended to the next				
REJECT. It is in 1.5 of IEEE 802.3. We don't repeat abbreviations we already reference along with all the state machine conventions including that.			e already reference		RX_DV(FALSE)			This will cause the pMAC to a minimum size packet.			
					Response ACCE		Response Status	С			

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7 Page 71 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:45 PM

C/99 S	C 99.4.7.7	P 44	L 30	# 285	CI 99	SC	99.4.7.7	P 44	L 40	# 278
Slavick, Jeff		Avago Techno	ologies		Regev, A	lon		Ixia		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status R			Comment	t Type	TR	Comment Status A		
RX_MCRC		P_RECEIVE_DATA needs pri and mRxDv=TRUE, do you (CHE	CK_FOF	R_RESUM			
uggestedRem	iedy							cases are valid (not errors). valid to recieve an "R" when		
Add approp	oriate priority	resolution to state transitions	6		be ar	"R" to t	the second	l or third "V" request, where t	the first "V" got a	timeout but we still
esponse		Response Status C						 And as preemption is ena at any time. 	bled in each dire	ction separately, we
		ver true when becomes RX_			could	gera	viequesi	at any time.		
buffering th contain a c	e data so it o orrect MCRO	escient" function (which really can look ahead). It goes true C followed by an mRxDv. The	when the next 3	3 primitives will				an "R", as preemption is alrebulk back to the WAIT_FOR_DV		e can just ignore the
transition V	VAIT_FOR_[DV_FALSE will be taken.						a "V", we need to process it		
1 99 S	C 99.4.7.7	P 44	L 30	# 174				RUE. In either the valid or in R_RESUME state.	valid "V" mPakce	et, we then need to
aw, David		HP			Suggeste					
omment Type	т	Comment Status R						om CHECK_FOR_RESUME	to WAIT FOR	DV FALSE from "E
		ere is anything incorrect with					R + ERR"			_
FALSE, and need for the	d that be use	it not be simpler to calculate ed to determine the transition unction, which to me in imple e latency.	. This would also	seem to remove the			ate titled "F	RCV_V_BETW_FRAGS" un IE".	der and to the lef	t of
uggestedRem		,						MCRC_OK_BETW_FRAC	GS" under the	
		ndition to transition from P_R			RCV	_V_BE	TW_FRAG	S" state.		
P_RECEIV	E_DATA to I	be 'mRxDv = TRUE'; [2] the c FRAME_COMPLETE to be 'r on from P_RECEIVE_DATA t	nRxDv = FALSE	* RX_MCRC_CK =		a transiti tion of "		HECK_FOR_RESUME to R	CV_V_BETW_FF	RAGS with the
[4] a transit condition 'n	ion be addeo nRxDv = FAI	d from P_RECEIVE_DATA to LSE * RX_MCRC_CK = TRU n be changed to read 'Function	WAIT FOR RES	SUME under the on of the		a transiti RxDv=F		CV_V_BETW_FRAGS to W/	AIT_FOR_RESU	ME with the condition
is TRUE if I	ast 32 pPLS	DATA.indications equal the ng received. It is false otherwi	computed mCR					CV_V_BETW_FRAMS to V_ C_CK=TRUE"	MCRC_OK_BET	W_FRAGS with the
esponse		Response Status C			Δdd (tranciti	ion from V	_MCRC_OK_BETW_FRAGS		RESI IME with the
		make a decision on what to d o mRxDv after bit n +31. Bec					mRxDv=F			
		e MAC. Therefore there has		nose bits, you are	Response	Э		Response Status C		
					ACCI	EPT IN I	PRINCIPLI	E. There is a simpler change	which is to move	e the 4 states hand

You can't send the MCRC to the MAC.

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. There is a simpler change which is to move the 4 states handling reception of V and R to the Express State Machine. (Bonus that machine has more room anyway).

In this state machine, add V and R to the transitions that have E.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general	l required T/technical E/editorial G/general	C/ 99	Page 72 of 82
COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected	RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn	SC 99.4.7.7	6/11/2015 9:47:45 PM

SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

<i>CI</i> 99 Law, David	SC 99.4.7.7	<i>P</i> 44 HP	L 41	# 182	<i>Cl</i> 99 Tretter, Al	SC 99.4.7.7		P 44 Siemens	L 42	# 325
omment Ty	vpe TR	Comment Status A			Comment		Comment Si			
Typo in t	the 'Receive Pr	ocessing State Diagram' trar E state to CHECK_FRAG_C				51	e Processing Sta			
SuggestedR	emedy Cn' should read	'cFrameCn'				ition "E + ERR" _FOR_DV_FAL	at the state chang .SE.	ge from state	e CHECK_FOR_	RESUME to
Response		Response Status C E. It's cFrameCnt				n error cases the				"V". It could be happed eception of verification
<i>CI</i> 99 Law, David	SC 99.4.7.7	<i>P</i> 44 HP	L 41	# 175	00	<i>dRemedy</i> ld be discussed				
we don't being pro- if a SMD encoding SuggestedR Add 'V' a 'WAIT_F to read 'I Response ACCEPT was drop the pree Because	The second secon	Comment Status A onservative on what we send ing of a verify or response fr gest we define the behavious decoded in the CHECK_FC auses the frame to be discar ional OR conditions on the tr E' so it reads 'E + V + R + E erenced subclause 21.5, Tab <i>Response Status</i> C E. You could be in the condition of corrupted to an invalid v is still in process.	ame while a pree of the Receive F DR_RESUME sta ded, suggest the ansition to the sta RR'. Alternative of le 21-1 'State dia ion where the las value) so the received on of Verify and I	mptable frame is Process State Diagram te. Since a SMD same for a SMD ate hange this condition gram operators'). It mPacket of a packet sive machine thinks Respond to the	Response ACCE	9 EPT IN PRINCIF	Response St PLE. See #278	atus C		
decoded SuggestedR Add app	ype TR 19-5: Exit from C d. <i>Remedy</i>	P 44 Avago Techn Comment Status A CHECK_FOR_RESUME doe	sn't have conditic							
Response ACCEP	T. Good catch #	Response Status C ‡278								

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7 Page 73 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:45 PM

CI 99	SC 99.4.7.7	P 44	L 43	# 18	36
Law, David		HP		-	

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The reception of a SMD-S while in the CHECK_FOR_RESUME state with keepSafterD set to TRUE cause a transition to DISCARD_KEEP_S and then to REPLACE_SMD state. I however don't see the state of data_valid being changed in any of these states through calls to the PRX_DV function, and without this it appears the discarded frame and the SMD-S frame will be concatenated.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following to the DISCARD_KEEP_S state after the call to the DISCARD function:

pRX_DV (FALSE) A timer to provide an IPG delay pRX_DV (TRUE)

Response

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. One can't put an IPG delay in here because that could delay the kept frame into a following new frame. Setting keepSafterD indicates that the MAC is willing to accept a new frame following a bad frame with no delay.

DISCARD already implies setting pRX_DV(FALSE) or in an implementation dependent matter letting the MAC know that the packet is over and should be discarded. That's why ASSEMBLY_ERROR doesn't have the action either.

To make this more explict, add to the definition of DISCARD:

"and that the MAC receives pRX_DV(FALSE)"

Invokes an implementation dependent process to ensure that a pMAC detects a CRC error in a preemptable frame and that the MAC receives pRX_DV(FALSE). It is used if Receive processing detects an error in a frame it is assembiling (99.4.5).

After DISCARD, add pRX_DV(TRUE)

C/ 99	SC 99.4.7.7	P 44	L 44	# 310
Tretter, Albe	rt	Siemens		
Comment Ty	vpe E	Comment Status A		

Figure 99–5—Receive Processing State Diagram

"cFameCnt" at condition "C * cFameCnt=rxFrameCnt" at the state change from CHECK_FOR_RESUME to state CHECK_FRAG_CNT should be correct to "cFrameCnt"

SuggestedRemedy

please correct

Response	Response Status	С	
ACCEPT.			

C/ 99	SC 99.4.7.7	P 44	L 50	#	176
Law, David		HP			

Comment Type T Comment Status A

In the state ASSEMBLY_ERROR, doesn't the pRX_DV function need to be called to set data_valid = FALSE. Without this it appears the fragments that occur up to the transition in to ASSEMBLY_ERROR state will be concatenated with the next frame that causes an exit from the CHECK_FOR_START state.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the function call pRX_DV (FALSE) after the existing function call DISCARD.

Response	Response Status	С
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE	. See #186	

C/ 99	SC 99.4.7.7	P 44	L 50	# 184
Law. David		HP		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The counter 'nxtRxFragcnt' is incremented in the state INCREMENT_FRAG_CNT, however no such counter is defined in subclause 99.4.7.5 'Counters', and the state IDLE_RX_PROC sets the 'nxtRxFrag' counter to zero.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 'nxtRxFragCnt++' to read 'nxtRxFrag++'.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7 Brandt, David	P 44 Rockwell Auto	L 8 mation	# 362	<i>CI</i> 99 . Law, David	SC 99.4.7.7	<i>Р</i> 45 НР	L 19	# 170
Comment Type E 99-5-Receive Processii	Comment Status A			Comment Typ I don't see Filter Stat mRxDv to eMAC_RE are exits f	e the exit from e Diagram' in TRUE therefe ECEIVE_DAT	Comment Status A the CHECK_FOR_EXPRES the case of a verify or respo ore causing the state diagra A_VALID and then with a UC for preamble, SMD-E, SMD	ond packet. Such m to enter CT to CHECK_F	packets will set OR_EXPRESS. There
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C				d 'R' as additi	ional OR conditions on the to R + ERR'. Alternative chang		
Cl 99 SC 99.4.7.7 Regev, Alon Comment Type TR	P 45 Ixia Comment Status A	L 19	# 279	reference Response		1.5, Table 21-1 'State diagra Response Status C		,
NOT_EXPRESS needs SuggestedRemedy	om CHECK_FOR_EXPRESS			Tretter, Albert Comment Typ		P 45 Siemens <i>Comment Status</i> A Filter State Diagram	L 19	# [326
Response ACCEPT IN PRINCIPL	Response Status C E. See #278			Condition NOT_EXF		R" at the state change from s	state CHECK_FC	DR_EXPRESS to
C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7 Slavick, Jeff	P 45 Avago Techno	L 19 logies	# 287		at in error cas	+ C + ERR" not also contai		
	Comment Status A o transition when SMD_DECC HECK_FOR_EXPRESS state		V on where to	SuggestedRei Should be	<i>nedy</i> discussed			
SuggestedRemedy Add a transition for whe	en a SMD-V is received out of	the CHECK_F	OR_EXPRESS state	Response ACCEPT	IN PRINCIPLI	Response Status C E. #278		

- -

C/ 99	SC 99.4.7.7	P 46	L 15	# 171
Law, David		HP		

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Setting the variable 'pEnable' to TRUE will cause the Figure 99-7 'Verify State Diagram' to stop sending verification mPackets, however it will not reset the verification process. As a result, for example, if verification with a link partner has failed, and as a result the Verify State Diagram is in the VERIFY_FAIL state, disabling and then enabling preemption through the use of the pEnable will have no effect. This doesn't seem correct, nor match the subclause 99.4.3 'Verifying preemption operation' statement that 'If preemption capability is enabled and has not been verified, MAC Merge initiates transmission of a verify mPacket.'.

SuggestedRemedy

Update the Figure 99-7 'Verify State Diagram' so that pEnable set to TRUE places the state diagram back to its initial state INIT_VERIFICATION. This can be achieved by adding pEnable as an additional OR condition to the current open arrow in to this state. This will not result in verification mPacket truncation if pEnable is set to TRUE during a verification mPacket transmission as the Verify State Diagram doesn't send packets. Instead it causes Figure 99-4 'Transmit Processing State Diagram' to send them through the 'send_v' variable, and that process will still complete regardless of the state of Verify State Diagram and the variable pEnable.

In summary on page 46, line 2, change the text to read 'begin + link_fail + pEnable'. Note I have also submitted a comment suggesting that disableVerify be added to this equation.

Response

Response Status U

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. If pEnableTrue sent the state machine here, it would never send Verify mPackets because the global transition would hold it in the init state and it could never take the transition from VERIFICATION_IDLE to SEND_VERIFY.

Add !pEnable to the global transition into INIT_VERIFICATION. Disabling preemption will then reset the state machine and enabling it again will allow verification to start.

CI 99	SC 99.4.7.7	P 46	L 29	# 387
Tabatabae	e, Vahid	Broadcom		

Comment Type E Comment Status A

In Figure 99-7, if verification fails, then there is no way for SW to reinitiate the verify operation. Need a path back to INIT_VERIFICATION.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a condition that if preemption is disabled (pEnable = FALSE) return to INIT_VERFICATION.

In this way SW can deassert and re-assert premption_enable to restart verification process.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 99 Tretter, Alk	SC 99.4.7.7	P 4 Sieme	-	L 46	# 311	
Comment " RS	51	Comment Status emptable frames whe		emptable traffic is r	eleased"	
l assu	me "an preempta	ble frames" should b	e "an	preemptable frame	n	
Suggested please	Remedy correct					
Response ACCE		Response Status E. "a preemptable fra				
C/ 99	SC 99.4.7.7	P 4	6	L 5	# 288	
Slavick, Je	eff	Avago	o Tec	hnologies		
Comment		Comment Status				
•	ed by the other.	stinct machines here	, but (one machine sets v	araibles that are also	
Suggested	lRemedy					
		send_r assignemnts state add rcv_v <=				

In the RESPOND_IDLE state add rcv_v <= FALSE and send_r <= FALSE Change the exit condition from SEND_RESPOND to UCT

Remove the rcv_v <= FALSE from SEND_RESOND (will be done in RESPOND IDLE now)

Response Response Status C

REJECT. There is no problem with setting variables in one state machine that are used by another. That is how flags between state machines are done.

The changes suggested by the commenter would not work. send_r is a flag that is set true by the respond state machine to initiate sending a response and set false by the transmit machine to indicate that the response has been sent. Another verify might be received while the response is being sent and the state machine needs to stay in SEND_RESPOND until the response is completed so that the new verify produces a new response. All the intializaton for these variables can be centralized in one state and is.

C/ 99 SC 99.4.7.7 Page 76 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:46 PM

er, Albert Siemens ment Type T Comment Status R	Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc.
ment Type T Comment Status R	
IRT shall be no more than 1240 bit times plus 512 times addFragSize.	Comment Type E Comment Status A Figure 99-7, state WAIT_FOR_RESPONSE has incorrect name of counter. appears to be typo in name of verifyCnt (see 99.4.7.5, page 41 and also below in Figur 7)
The "plus 512 times addFragSize" are optional, right?	SuggestedRemedy
The "plus 512 times addFragSize" could also be 1, 2 and 3 times 512 bit times, right	Replace verfyCnt with verifyCnt
restedRemedy Clarification needed	Response Response Status C ACCEPT.
nonse Response Status C	Cl 99 SC 99.4.8 P 46 L 38 # 46
REJECT. No clarification is needed. The receiver has the option indicating it wants addFragSize. The transmitter has to honor the requested size.	Dwelley, David Linear Technology
f addFragSize is >0, HRT is increased as this formula indicates.	Comment Type E Comment Status A "elsewhere in the standard" (3 places) - where?
9 SC 99.4.8 P 45 L 47 # 220	SuggestedRemedy
Adee Intel	Provide a specific reference so the hapless reader doesn't need to search the entire bo
ment Type E Comment Status A	Response Response Status C
Punctuation can be improved in this sentence to help the readers.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. If it was one place or a small number of places, we would bu defined for each MAC speed in the section that adds the speed. See #195
Add comma after "is active".	C/ 99 SC 99.4.8 P 46 L 38 # 195
Change "hold response time, HRT," to "hold response time (HRT)".	Marris, Arthur Cadence Design Syst
	Comment Type TR Comment Status A
ACCEPT.	"shall meet the delay specified elsewhere in this standard" is not an appropriate way to standardize something.
9 SC 99.4.8 P 45 L 49 # 33	SuggestedRemedy
doin, Denis Texas Instruments	Replace "the delay specified elsewhere in this standard" with an actual value.
ment Type TR Comment Status A	Response Response Status U
Since the section does not specify which MAC the pause MAC control frames are sent on.	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. It isn't one specific value. Each speed specifies it. We could
restedRemedy Please specify which MAC the MAC control frames are sent on so that we can determine	"shall meet the delay specified for a MAC Control, MAC and RS based on the MAC operating speed."
now the delay constraints are applied.	
oonse Response Status C	

CI 99 SC 99.4.8

C/ 99	SC 99.4.8	P 46	L 4736	# 221	CI 99	SC 9	99.5	P 47	L 6	i	# 20
Ran, Adee		Intel			Anslow, Pe	ete		Ciena			
Comment T	51	Comment Status R			Comment		Е	Comment Status			
		on this page seems to allow	a longer delay for	for an express frame	"claus	e title" s	hould be	"MAC Merge sublaye	r"		
in some	e cases.				Suggested	Remed	'y				
In the fi	rst and third par	agraphs, it isn't clear if there	is anything specifi	ed - it seems like a	Chang	ge "claus	se title" to	o "MAC Merge sublaye	er"		
		other requirements are still v clarify. Otherwise, this text i			Response			Response Status	с		
Should	be reprirased to	cianty. Otherwise, this text	3 00 1003 210 310		ACCE	PT.					
Long se	entences similar	to each other makes it diffic	ult to understand w	vhat is required here.	CI 99	SC 9	99.5.1	P 47	L 6	;	# 312
uggestedł	Remedy				Tretter, Alt	pert		Sieme	ns		
Clarify	or delete the firs	t and third paragraphs.			Comment	Туре	Е	Comment Status	Α		
lf possi cases.	ble, use a table	or a list or some other forma	t to help readers d	iscriminate these		hall com		col implementation that following protocol imp			
esponse		Response Status C									
		imum delay for express pac RT before the MA_DATA.rec						ause title"?? copy paste problem?			
While th	he sentences ar	e long, the text is defining th	e impact of the MA	C Merge sublayer in	Suggested	Remed	'v				
	^t the several cas sublayer would l	es that can occur. If this is not conspecified.	not done, the delay	through the MAC	•	e correct	t	_	_		
The fire	t norograph dof	ines the maximum delay for	on overege from a	when preemptable	Response			Response Status	С		
MAC is	being held (by a	an MM_CTL.request(HOLD)	sent by the MAC (Client at least HRT	ACCE	PI.					
		equest). This paragraph spe			C/ 99	SC 9	99.5.2.2	P 47	·	4	# 273
to the d	elays specified	elsewhere in document. Wit bugh the MAC Merge sublay	er in this case.	n, there would be no	Regev, Alc	on		Ixia			
					Comment	Туре	т	Comment Status	Α		
are beir		fines the maximum delay for y the express MAC. If this v						Bbr is unlikely to happe 802.3br-201x".	en in 2015, I sug	gest we chan	ge the draft text
bound	to this delay.				Suggested	Remed	'y				
		ed to find a more concise wa			Chang	ge all ins	stances o	of "802.3br-2015" to "8	02.3br-201x".		
		ditions that need to be includ ose better text for considerat		ecity delay. We invite	Response			Response Status	с		
	b. ob.				ACCE	PT.		,			

C/ 99 SC 99.5.2.2

C/ 99 SC 99.5.2.2 Anslow, Pete	2 <i>P</i> 47 Ciena	L 34	# 21	C/ 99 SC 99. Trowbridge, Steve	.5.3	P 33 Alcatel-Lucen	L 41 t	# 332
Comment Type E "IEEE Std 802.3br-20	Comment Status A 15" should be "IEEE Std 802.3	3br-201x" (2 insta	inces)	Clarify reason fo	r differing preamb			in receive. In CSMA-
SuggestedRemedy	ar variable in the clause 99 file	from "2015" to "	201v"			e is a "wiggle" to w equence of alternat		without reliance on for to the SFD.
			2017	SuggestedRemedy				
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			so. On receive, o	clarify that the SN			space available, say gth of the preamble
C/ 99 SC 99.5.2.2	P 47	L 40	# 105	Response	Respons	se Status C		
lealey, Adam	Avago Techn	ologies			, NCIPLE. MAC M	ERGE is only for u	se with Full Dup	lex MACs operating at
Comment Type E The ruling at the botto changed to "Thin".	Comment Status A	o of the second ta	ble should be	in preamble leng	th is that many in	nplementations use ation fragment they	e the preamble s can live with one	
SuggestedRemedy				fragment count of	octet. Implenters i	requested a consis	tent time (IPG pl	
SuggestedRemedy Per comment.	Response Status C			fragment count of Merge header of	octet. Implenters r ctets) and handlin nes already clarify	requested a consis ig packet data. This	tent time (IPG pl s does that.	n to make room for the lus preamble and MAC) and don't count the
SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response ACCEPT.		L 41	# 120	fragment count of Merge header of The state maching	octet. Implenters r ctets) and handlin nes already clarify ble octets.	requested a consis ig packet data. This	tent time (IPG pl s does that.	lus preamble and MAC
SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC 99.5.2.2		- ••	# 120	fragment count of Merge header of The state machin received Preamb	octet. Implenters n ctets) and handlin nes already clarify ble octets. led.	requested a consis ig packet data. This	tent time (IPG pl s does that.	lus preamble and MAC
CuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC 99.5.2.2 lidaka, Yasuo	2. P 47	- ••	# 120	fragment count of Merge header of The state machin received Preamt No change need	octet. Implenters n ctets) and handlin nes already clarify ble octets. led.	requested a consis og packet data. This y that they look for	tent time (IPG pl s does that. the SMD or SFD	lus preamble and MAC 0 and don't count the
SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC 99.5.2.2 Hidaka, Yasuo	P 47 Fujitsu Lab of <i>Comment Status</i> R	- ••	# <mark>120</mark>	fragment count of Merge header of The state machin received Preamt No change need C/ 99 SC 99	octet. Implenters n ctets) and handlin nes already clarify ole octets. led. 5.3	requested a consis ng packet data. This y that they look for <i>P</i> 47	tent time (IPG pl s does that. the SMD or SFD	lus preamble and MAC 0 and don't count the
SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99.5.2.2 Iidaka, Yasuo Comment Type E The external border lir	P 47 Fujitsu Lab of <i>Comment Status</i> R	- ••	# <mark>120</mark>	fragment count of Merge header of The state machin received Preamb No change need C/ 99 SC 99 Law, David Comment Type	octet. Implenters r ctets) and handlin nes already clarify ble octets. led. 5.3	P 47 HP	tent time (IPG pl s does that. the SMD or SFD	and don't count the and don't count the # [<u>142</u>
SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response ACCEPT. C/ 99 SC 99.5.2.2 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type E The external border lir SuggestedRemedy	P 47 Fujitsu Lab of <i>Comment Status</i> R	f America		fragment count of Merge header of The state machin received Preamb No change need C/ 99 SC 99 Law, David Comment Type	octet. Implenters n ctets) and handlin nes already clarify ble octets. led. 5.3 E Comme	P 47 HP ent Status A	tent time (IPG pl s does that. the SMD or SFD	and don't count the and don't count the # [<u>142</u>
SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99.5.2.2 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type E The external border lir SuggestedRemedy Make the external bor	P 47 Fujitsu Lab of <i>Comment Status</i> R nes are not thick. rder lines above and below line	f America		fragment count of Merge header of The state machin received Preamt No change need Cl 99 SC 99 Law, David Comment Type E Match subclause SuggestedRemedy	botet. Implenters in ctets) and handlin mes already clarify ole octets. led. 5.3 E Comme a title the overall (P 47 HP ent Status A	tent time (IPG pl s does that. the SMD or SFE <i>L</i> 46 Merge sublayer'.	and don't count the and don't count the # [<u>142</u>
SuggestedRemedy Per comment. Response ACCEPT. Cl 99 SC 99.5.2.2 Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type E The external border lir SuggestedRemedy	P 47 Fujitsu Lab of <i>Comment Status</i> R nes are not thick. rder lines above and below line	f America		fragment count of Merge header of The state machin received Preamt No change need Cl 99 SC 99 Law, David Comment Type E Match subclause SuggestedRemedy	octet. Implenters in ctets) and handlin nes already clarify ble octets. led. .5.3 	requested a consis ng packet data. This y that they look for P 47 HP ent Status A Clause title, 'MAC N	tent time (IPG pl s does that. the SMD or SFE <i>L</i> 46 Merge sublayer'.	lus preamble and MA

C/ 99 SC 99.5.3

				-			
SC 99.5.3	P 48	L 11	# 327	C/ 99 SC 99.5.3	P 48	L 43	# 328
retter, Albert	Siemens			Tretter, Albert	Siemens		
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			Comment Type T	Comment Status A		
	and MM5 in column "Support" e only the "Yes" entry.	contains the opti	ons "Yes" and "No".	PICS proforma: DC4	cumulative MAC Control, MA	C and DC dalay."	
Why do the rest have	e no "No" choise?					C and KS delay.	
SuggestedRemedy				Do we have already of	defined the maximum cumulati	ive delay?	
Has to be discussed				Are the values define	d in clause "99.4.8 Delay Con	straints" already f	ix?
Response	Response Status C						
	PLE. No change to standard. If		al, valid response are	SuggestedRemedy			
Yes or No. If an item	is mandatory, valid reponse is	Yes.		Should be discussed			
C/ 99 SC 99.5.3	P 48	L 40	# 329	Response	Response Status C		
Tretter, Albert	Siemens				PLE. It was discussed. Yes, the	ere are lots of the	m. Each speed
Comment Type T	Comment Status A			specifies it for that sp	eed.		
PICS proforma: DC3				C/ 99 SC 99.5.3.	I P 48	L 3	# 106
Question: Is it perces	sary that we have a PICS profo	rma (DCE) indica	ting the case "Delay	Healey, Adam	Avago Techr	nologies	
	ble frame after sending an expl			Comment Type E	Comment Status A		
MM_CTL.request prin			J	Regarding the PICS	proforma tables:		
SuggestedRemedy				1 Move the table with	n items MMx so that it precede	es the heading for	99 5 3 2
should be discussed				2. The base standard	l left justifies the text in the cel	Is of the PICS pro	forma table but text
Response	Response Status C				of the tables is right justified. is blank. Designate each item		
ACCEPT IN PRINCI	PLE. It was discussed. Yes, all	Shalls need a Pl	CS proforma entry.	as appropriate.	is blank. Designate each item	i as manualory, of	
C/ 99 SC 99.5.3	P 48	L 40	# 314	SuggestedRemedy			
Fretter, Albert	Siemens			Per comment.			
Comment Type E	Comment Status A			Response	Response Status C		
PICS proforma: DC3				ACCEPT.	•		
Delay to transmit exp	press fram when preemptable to	affic is not held b	y MM_CTL.request				
=> "fame" should be	changed to "frame"						
SuggestedRemedy	-						
please correct							
Response	Response Status C						
ACCEPT.							

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 99 SC 99.5.3.1 Page 80 of 82 6/11/2015 9:47:46 PM C/ 99 SC 99.5.3.2 P 47 L 50 # 313 C/ 99 SC Fig 99-4 P 43 L 48 # 289 Tretter, Albert Siemens Thaler. Pat Broadcom Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Status A Ε Comment Type **TR** Head line "99.5.3.2 Delay constraints" PREEMPT WAIT should set preambleCnt <= 0 so that REUSME PREMABLE produces the correct amount of preambe. Should this head line not be shifted between the two tables at page 48? Note that once this is done, PREEMPT WAIT and RESUME WAIT have the same exit SuggestedRemedy conditions and the same actions except that PREEMPT WAIT sets resumeTx <= TRUE. please check Since resumeTx <= TRUE in order to enter RESUME WAIT and it is only set FALSE in IDLE TX, setting it again in RESUME WAIT souldn't hurt anything. Therefore, Response Response Status C RESUME WAIT and PREEMPT WAIT could be combined. ACCEPT. SuggestedRemedy # 246 C/ 99 SC 99.5.3.2 P 48 L 40 Add premableCnt <= 0 to the PREEMPT WAIT actions. Regev, Alon Ixia Comment Type Е Comment Status A Consider eliminating PREEMPT WAIT moving the transition into it into RESUME WAIT and adding resumeTx \leq TRUE to RESUME WAIT actions. "fram" should be "frame" (really it should be packet, but there is a separate comment on that). Response Response Status C SugaestedRemedv ACCEPT. Eliminate PREEMPT WAIT. Transition to RESUME WAIT instead adding resumeTx <= TRUE to the actions change "fram" to "frame" Response Response Status C SC C/ 999 P3L14 # 235 ACCEPT. Regev. Alon Ixia Comment Type Е Comment Status A Extra space before period SuggestedRemedy Change "Traffic ." to "Traffic." Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3br (D2.0) Interspersing Express Traffic Initial Working Group ballot comments

C/ **999** SC

				P 4	L 28	# 256
Regev, Alor	۱			Ixia		
Comment 7		T	Comment S		lata	
"Inis ai	nenan	nent inclu	des [complete]"	is not comp	plete.	
					02.3 (802.3-2015 ude a description	most likely) and of this ammendment.
Suggested	Remed	'y				
	Std 802	2.3xx™-20 dment inc)1x ludes [complete	e]"		
IEEE S traffic. Media / Sublaye	endmei td 802 This is Access	nt X - This .3-201X to achieved	o add support fo l by defining a N MAC) and a pro	or interspers MAC Merge eemptable I	ing express traffic	opriate modifications of with preemptable taches an express hysical Signaling
Ame IEEE S traffic. Media A Sublaye Response ACCEF	endmei td 802 This is Access er (PLS	nt X - This 3-201X to achieved Control (S) service	o add support fo I by defining a M MAC) and a pro ." <i>Response St</i>	or interspers MAC Merge eemptable f atus C	ing express traffic sublayer which at /AC to a single Pt	with preemptable taches an express
Ame IEEE S traffic. Media A Sublaye Response ACCEF	endmei td 802 This is Access er (PLS	nt X - This 3-201X to achieved Control (S) service	o add support fo I by defining a M MAC) and a pro ." <i>Response St</i> E. Change 802.	or interspers MAC Merge eemptable f atus C	ing express traffic sublayer which at /AC to a single Pt	with preemptable taches an express hysical Signaling
Ame IEEE S traffic. Media / Sublaye Response ACCEF similar	endmen td 802 This is Access er (PLS PT IN F to that SC	nt X - This 3-201X to achieved Control (S) service	o add support fo I by defining a M MAC) and a pro ." <i>Response St</i> E. Change 802 bj, bm and bw.	or interspers MAC Merge eemptable f atus C .3-201X to 8	ing express traffic sublayer which at /AC to a single Pf 02.3-2012 and ma L 30	with preemptable taches an express hysical Signaling ake the language mor
Ame IEEE S traffic. Media / Sublaye Response ACCEF similar C/ 999 Grow, Robe Comment 7	endment td 802 This is Access er (PLS PT IN P to that SC ent Sype	nt X - This 3-201X to achieved Control (S) service PRINCIPL for 802.3	o add support fo I by defining a M MAC) and a pro ." <i>Response St</i> E. Change 802 bj, bm and bw.	or interspers MAC Merge eemptable M atus C .3-201X to 8 P 4 RMG Consu	ing express traffic sublayer which at /AC to a single Pf 02.3-2012 and ma L 30	with preemptable taches an express hysical Signaling ake the language mor
Ame IEEE S traffic. Media / Sublaye Response ACCEF similar C/ 999 Grow, Robe Comment 7 Missing	endment td 802 This is Access er (PLS PT IN F to that SC ent ype amen	nt X - This 3-201X to achieved Control (S) service PRINCIPL for 802.3 ER dment de	o add support fo by defining a M MAC) and a pro <i>Response St</i> E. Change 802 bj, bm and bw. <i>Comment S</i>	or interspers MAC Merge eemptable M atus C .3-201X to 8 P 4 RMG Consu	ing express traffic sublayer which at /AC to a single Pf 02.3-2012 and ma L 30	with preemptable taches an express hysical Signaling ake the language mor
Ame IEEE S traffic. Media / Sublaye Response ACCEF similar C/ 999 Grow, Robe Comment 7 Missing Suggested Please	endment td 802 This is Access or (PLS PT IN P to that SC ent SC amen Remed write c	error de ht X - This 3-201X to achieved Control (S) service PRINCIPL for 802.3 ER dment de y lescription	o add support fo by defining a M MAC) and a pro- <i>Response St</i> E. Change 802 bj, bm and bw. <i>Comment St</i> scription.	or interspers MAC Merge eemptable I atus C .3-201X to 8 P 4 RMG Consu tatus A ment so it c	ing express traffic sublayer which at /AC to a single Pf 02.3-2012 and ma L 30	with preemptable taches an express hysical Signaling ake the language mor # <u>54</u> the ballto group.
Ame IEEE S traffic. Media / Sublaye Response ACCEF similar C/ 999 Grow, Robe Comment 7 Missing Suggested Please	endment td 802 This is Access or (PLS PT IN P to that SC ent SC amen Remed write c	error de ht X - This 3-201X to achieved Control (S) service PRINCIPL for 802.3 ER dment de y lescription	o add support fo by defining a M MAC) and a pro- <i>Response St</i> E. Change 802 bj, bm and bw. <i>Comment St</i> scription.	or interspers MAC Merge eemptable M atus C .3-201X to 8 P 4 RMG Consu tatus A ment so it c escription as	ing express traffic sublayer which at AC to a single Pf 02.3-2012 and ma <i>L</i> 30 Ilting	with preemptable taches an express hysical Signaling ake the language mor # <u>54</u> the ballto group.

CI **999** SC