CI 00 SC 0 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> Ciena	L	# 10	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.116b P 53 L 53 Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium	# 118
Comment Type T Clause 90 lists MII inte	Comment Status A erfaces for Time Sync.			Comment Type T Comment Status A Incorrect range in the text "for lanes 1 through 15"	Bucket
SuggestedRemedy Bring 90.1 into the dra	oft and add the 200G and 400	G MII's		SuggestedRemedy Replace "15" with "7" so text will read: "for lanes 1 through 7"	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
Cl 4 SC 4.4.2 Anslow, Pete	<i>P</i> 35 Ciena	L 14	# 8	C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.116c P 54 L 28 Dudek, Mike QLogic	# [93
Comment Type E The P802.3bz draft (in	Comment Status A Sponsor ballot) is modifying	Table 4-2.	Bucket	Comment Type E Comment Status A These registers are only used for lanes 8 through 15	Bucket
SuggestedRemedy Show the changes to	Table 4-2 with respect to the	version in the P8	02.3bz draft.	SuggestedRemedy Change "1 through 15" to "8 through 15"	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
Cl 30 SC 30.3.2.1. Shrikhande, Kapil	.5 P 36 Innovium	L 36	# 117	Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.116c P 54 L 28 Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium	# 119
Comment Type E Extra forward slash in	Comment Status A 200 Gb//s		Bucket	Comment Type T Comment Status A Incorrect range in the text "for lanes 1 through 15"	Bucket
SuggestedRemedy Replace 200 Gb//s wit	h 200 Gb/s			SuggestedRemedy Replace "1" with "8" so text will read: "for lanes 8 through 15"	
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response Response Status C ACCEPT.	
Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.1	16b <i>P</i> 53 QLogic	L 53	# 92		
Comment Type E This register is only us	Comment Status A sed for lanes 1 through 7		Bucket		
SuggestedRemedy Cahnge "1 through 15	" to "1 through 7"				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response Status C

[Editor's note: Subclause 45.2.116b changed to 45.2.1.116b]

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.116c** Page 1 of 26 27/06/2016 22:19:00

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.123 P 59 L 29 # 120 Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Use of "Tx" instead of "transmit", and "Rx" instead of "receive" in some rows of Table 45-92 seems inconsistent

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "Tx" with "transmit" and "Rx" with "receive" for all occurences within Table 45-92

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

In the "Description" column, replace "Tx" with "transmit", "Transmit" with "transmit", "Rx" with "receive", and "Receive " with "receive".

[Editor's note: Page "59-60" changed to 59 and Line "multiple" changed to 29]

C/ 93A SC 93A.1 P 309 L 45 # 65 Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Type Comment Status A Ε Bucket

Font size

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Table 83D-6" to 9 point

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 116 SC 116.1.3 P 102 / 47 # 29 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket

Table layout and font.

SuggestedRemedy

Make the right column wider. Make the left one narrower if needed. Change to 9 point if wished. Also Table 116-2.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 116 SC 116.2.5 P 105 L 21 # 101 Dudek. Mike QLoaic

Т

The 200GBASE-R PMD's are not described and Clause 121 does not specify a 400GBASE-R PMD

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Either Change "The 400GBASE-R PMD's" to "The 200GBASE-R and 400GBASE-R PMD's" or Change "The 400GBASE-R PMDs and their corresponding media are specified in Clause 121 through Clause 124." to "The 200GBASE-R PMD's and their corresponding media are specified in Clause 121 and Clause 122. The 400GBASE-R PMDs and their corresponding media are specified in Clause 122 through Clause 124." (I prefer the second option).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"The 400GBASE-R PMDs and their corresponding media are specified in Clause 121 through Clause 124." to:

"The 200GBASE-R PMDs and their corresponding media are specified in Clause 121 and Clause 122. The 400GBASE-R PMDs and their corresponding media are specified in Clause 122 through Clause 124."

C/ 116 SC 116.3.3.1.1 P 106 L 53 # 102 Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type Comment Status A

The sentence "Each of the tx symbol parameters can take one of four values; zero, one. two, or three." only applies to the PMD or AUI interfaces for PAM4, but this is in a generic section that would apply to CAUI16, SR16, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Either

- a) Replace the sentence with "Depending on the specific instance of the inter-sublayer service interface each of the tx bit parameters can take either one of two values: one or zero: or one of four values: zero, one, two, or three,
- b) be explicit as to which interfaces use 4 values and which use 2 values.

Do this for the Rx on page 109 line 10 as well.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"Each of the tx_symbol parameters can take one of four values: zero, one, two, or three."

"Depending on the specific instance of the inter-sublaver service interface each of the tx_symbol parameters can either take one of two values: zero or one; or take one of four values: zero, one, two, or three."

Make the equivalent change for the rx symbol parameters.

C/ 118 SC 118.1 P 124 / 30 Anslow. Pete Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status A

In the left hand stack of Figure 118-1, "Optional CDMII Extender" should be "Optional CCMII Extender"

Also, to be consistent with Figures 120A-6, 120B-1, 120B-2, 120D-1, and 120D-2: change "PCS" in the left hand stack to "200 Gb/s PCS" change "PCS" in the right hand stack to "400 Gb/s PCS"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Optional CDMII Extender" in the left hand stack to "Optional CCMII Extender" change "PCS" in the left hand stack to "200 Gb/s PCS" change "PCS" in the right hand stack to "400 Gb/s PCS"

Response Status C Response

ACCEPT.

Bucket

C/ 118 SC 118.1.1 P 125 L 9 # 94

Dudek, Mike QLoaic

Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket

Typo. CDXS/CDXS should be CCXS/CDXS

SuggestedRemedy

Change it

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 118 SC 118.2.1 P 125 L 54

Dillard, John Microsemi

Comment Type Comment Status R

(also clause 119)

The 3rd bit of tx am sf (always set to 0) I assume is space holder for future use. This is potentially useful, especially since, otherwise, it would be filled in with prbs making future similar enhancements incompatible with legacy silicon. The question is: why (only) 3 bits for this field?

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest expanding tx_am_sf to 4 or 8 bits, possibly with fixed dc-balanced default values.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

Today we need 2 bits, so we added one bit for expansion. If more bits are needed in the future, we can use the 3rd bit as a mode type bit and then expand into the other pad bits.

121 C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P 126 L 23 Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket The text inside the PCS sub-layer box "400/200 Gb/s PCS" is inconsistent when compared to text inside the other sub-layer boxes.

SugaestedRemedy

Change text "400/200 Gb/s PCS" within the PCS sub-layer box to "200 or 400 Gb/s PCS"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "400/200 Gb/s PCS" to "200 Gb/s or 400 Gb/s PCS"

[Editor's note: Line "Fig. 118-2" changed to 23]

C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P 126 L 38 # 95 C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.2 P 134 Dudek, Mike QLogic Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Bucket Comment Type T Comment Status A Typo Within Item RF5 'Error indication feature' in the Receive function table, the Value/Comment field contains the following text "(or errored codewords when correction is bypassed)". This SuggestedRemedy implies correction can be bypassed, but sub-clause 119.2.5.3 does not specify correction Change "is has" to "it has" Also on line 43. bypass capability. Response Status C SugaestedRemedy Response ACCEPT. Remove the parenthesis "(or errored codeword when correction is bypassed)" since correction bypass is not meant to be a feature in 119.2.5.3 SC 118.2.2 P 127 C/ 118 L 15 # 122 Response Response Status C Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium ACCEPT. Comment Type Ε Comment Status A **Bucket** [Editor's note: Line "22-24" changed to 22] The text inside the PCS sub-layer box "400/200 Gb/s PCS" is inconsistent when compared to the text inside the other sub-laver boxes C/ 119 SC 119.1.3 P 138 SuggestedRemedy Dudek, Mike QLogic Change text "400/200 Gb/s PCS" within the PCS block to "200 or 400 Gb/s PCS" Comment Type T Comment Status A Response Response Status C I think the CCMII and CDMII are different not a single interface for both 200 GB/s and 400Gb/s. However if they are not different then CCMII/CDMII should be grammatically ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. singular. Change "400/200 Gb/s PCS" to "200 Gb/s or 400 Gb/s PCS" SuggestedRemedy Either [Editor's note: Line "Fig. 118-3" changed to 15] a) replace "provide a uniform interface" with "provide uniform interfaces". or b) replace "200 Gb/s and 400Gb/s" with 200/400 Gb/s" C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 133 L 18 # 125 or c) be explicit. replace the sentence with Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium "The CCMII provides a uniform interface to the Reconciliation Sublayer for all 200 Gb/s PHY implementations. The CDMII provides a uniform interface to the Reconciliation Comment Type T Comment Status A Bucket Sublayer for all 400 Gb/s PHY implementations. " Item 'BEC' Bypass error correction is not a feature of subclause 119.2.5.3. I preferr c) Or if CCMII/CDMII is a single interface change "provide a" to "provides a" SuggestedRemedy Remove item 'BEC' from the table in 118.5.3. Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. Replace the text with: The CCMII provides a uniform interface to the Reconciliation Sublayer for all 200 Gb/s PHY [Editor's note: Line "18-19" changed to 18] implementations. The CDMII provides a uniform interface to the Reconciliation Sublayer for all 400 Gb/s PHY implementations.

L 22

L 31

124

104

Bucket

Bucket

C/ 119 SC 119.2.3 P142 L3 # 30

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A

in this sentence, "This code is further modified by the transcoding and FEC that occurs in this PCS," it's not the 64B/66B code that is further modified, but the bit stream.

SuggestedRemedy

The signal to be transmitted / deliverd to the PMA is further modified by the transcoding and FEC that occurs in this PCS?

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

This code is further modified by the transcoding and FEC that occurs in this PCS.

To:

The 64B/66B codestream is then transcoded into a 256B/257B stream and FEC bits are added in this PCS before transmission.

Cl 119 SC 119.2.4.7 P154 L 30 # 11

Koehler, Daniel MorethanIP

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The distribution shown is the 400G over 16 lanes, which does not apply to 200G over 8 lanes (see my 2nd comment on adding it for 200G).

SuggestedRemedy

Change sentence to

The interleaving of two codewords for 400GBASE-R PCS shall follow this procedure:

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to:

"The interleaving of two codewords for the 400GBASE-R PCS shall follow this procedure:"

Cl 119 SC 119.2.4.7 P154 L 33 # 18

Dillard, John Microsemi

Comment Type T Comment Status A

If I'm not mistaken, the symbol distribution procedure shown on lines 34-39 is only valid for 400G

SuggestedRemedy

```
Add a 200G procedure, such as:
for all k=0 to 136
for all j=0 to 3
    if (even(k))
        tx_out<8k+2j> = cA<543-4k-j>
        tx_out<8k+2j+1> = cB<543-4k-j>
    else
        tx_out<8k+2j+1> = cB<543-4k-j>
        tx_out<8k+2j+1> = cA<543-4k-j>
```

or something like that

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a separate symbol description with editorial license.

Cl 119 SC 119.2.4.7 P154 L 40 # 12

Koehler, Daniel MorethanIP

Comment Type T Comment Status A

As the given distribution does not apply to 200G over 8 lanes, the 200G distribution should be mentioned (or combined).

SuggestedRemedy

Add the 200G over 8 lane distribution similar as e.g.:

The interleaving of two codewords for 200GBASE-R PCS shall follow this procedure:

For all k=0 to 135

For all j=0 to 3

if even(k)

tx out < 8k + 2j > = cA < 543 - 4k - j >

 $tx_out<8k+2j+1> = cB<543-4k-j>$

else

 $tx_out<8k+2j> = cB<543-4k-j>$

tx out<8k+2j+1> = cA<543-4k-j>

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See the response to Comment #18

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.7 Page 5 of 26 27/06/2016 22:19:03

Cl 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P 158 L 6 # [127]
Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Lines 6-11 describe a feature for additional error monitoring when FEC bypass indication enable is asserted, but there is no associated item listed in the

PICS.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an Item in the PICS to capture this feature. E.g. "Error monitoring when error correction is bypassed" with Value/Comment "When the number of symbols in a block of 8192 codewords exceed 5560, corrupt 66-bit block synchronization headers". Or Editors can use appropriate language as necessary.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[Editor's note: Line "6-11" changed to 6]

Add RFx to the receive PICs.

Error monitoring while error indication is bypassed

When the number of symbol errors in a block of 8192 codewords exceeds 5560, corrupt 66-bit block synchronization headers

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **A**"will" is deprecated. Two paragraphs above we have "shall".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "will assert" to "shall assert" or "asserts".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "will assert" to: "asserts"

In 119.2.6.3 (page 164, line 4) change "will go out" to "goes out".

In 120.3 (page 182, line 45) change "will have the value" to "has the value" in two places.

In 120.5.2 (page 184, line 40) change "will normally" to "normally".

In 120.5.11.1.2 (page 191, line 3) change "will also generate" to "also generates".

In 120.5.11.1.3 (page 191, line 26) change "will transmit" to "transmit".

In 120.5.11.1.3 (page 191, line 28) change "will perform" to "performs".

In 120.5.11.2.3 (page 192, line 41) change "will begin" to "begins".

In 120.5.11.2.4 (page 193, line 38) change "will also generate" to "also generates".

In 120.5.11.2.4 (page 193, line 46) change "will check" to "checks".

In 120.5.11.2.4 (page 194, line 6) change "will check" to "checks".

In 120.6 (page 195, line 21) change "will use" to "use".

Cl 119 SC 119.3 P169 L6 # 37

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

PICS M1 says "Alternate access to PCS Management objects is provided" but there is nothing about it here.

SuggestedRemedy

Add this sentence from 82.3:

If not, it is recommended that an equivalent access be provided.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add this top level subclause to be consistent with 82.3:

119.3 PCS Management

The following objects apply to PCS management. If an MDIO Interface is provided (see Clause 45), they are accessed via that interface. If not, it is recommended that an equivalent access be provided.

Demote the current 119.3 to 119.3.1.

Cl 119 SC 119.6.3 P172 L11 # 32

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A

This PCS must be either for 200GBASE-R or for 400GBASE-R.

SuggestedRemedy

Bucket

Change status from O to 0.1, two rows

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change both to O.1.

Bucket

C/ 119

Cl 119 SC 119.6.3 P 172 L 18 # [126]
Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type T Comment Status A

SC 119.6.4.2

123

Bucket

Item 'BEC' Bypass error correction is not a feature of subclause 119.2.5.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove item 'BEC' from the table in 119.6.3.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[Editor's note: Line "18-19" changed to 18]

Convert to *BI, Bypass indication

C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.2 P 173 L 19 # 33

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Value/Comment for RF6 doesn't relate to the "shall" in the text (which is about the 60 ms to 75 ms blackout period). No need to write about the optionality of the feature: the Feature and Status columns tell the reader that. Too many words.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite the Value/Comment. Similarly for RF8, and see another comment. Might be better if these two options have rows in the 119.6.3 Major capabilities/options table.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

Support for optional bypass indication

to:

Bypass indication error marking

and change:

In the FEC decoder optionally bypass indication can be supported (no marking of frames

from uncorrectable codewords)

to:

Synchronization headers are marked for 60 ms to 75 ms when the error threshold is reached.

Also, change from Status "O" to "BI:M"

Move RF8 to the major capabilities table (119.6.3)

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Bucket

Within Item RF5 'Error indication feature' in the Receive function table, the Value/Comment field contains the following text "(or errored codewords when correction is bypassed)". This implies correction can be bypassed, but sub-clause 119.2.5.3 does not specify correction bypass capability.

P 173

Innovium

L 22

SuggestedRemedy

Shrikhande, Kapil

Remove the parenthesis "(or errored codewords when correction is bypassed)" since correction bypass is not meant to be a feature in 119.2.5.3.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

[Editor's note: Line "22-24" changed to 22]

C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.5 P175 L1 # 34

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket

Alignment Markers - rogue capital. There are a few more.

SuggestedRemedy

Alignment markers

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Give eDitorial licEnse to fiX other Rogue Capitals.

C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.5 P 175 L 6 # 35 C/ 119A SC P 312 L 1 # 15 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Dillard, John Microsemi Comment Type Comment Status A Bucket Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket This is supposed to be a standard (a specification) not a description. Should not say the title of tables 119a-1 and 119a-2 should use the term "alignment marker group" instead of just "alignment marker" as the group includes pad+tx am sf "section". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy the title of tables 119a-1 and 119a-2 should use the term "alignment marker group" instead Change "as described in section 119.2.4.4" to "as in 119.2.4.4" or "according to in 119.2.4.4" or just "as specified"; or simplify to "periodically for each PCS lane": the of just "alignment marker" as the group includes pad+tx am sf subclause is already identified in the Subclause column. Similarly for AM2. Response Response Status C Response Response Status C ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SC Change: C/ 119A P 312 L 3 # 16 Alignment markers are inserted periodically as described in section 119.2.4.4 Dillard, John Microsemi Alignment markers are inserted periodically as in 119.2.4.4 Comment Status A Comment Type T Tables 119A-1, -3, and -4 (200G) are empty and tables 119A-2, -5, and -6 (400G) are now Similarly for AM2 incorrect as they do not include tx_am_sf SuggestedRemedy C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.3 P 176 L 42 # 38 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Update the tables with the content I will provide. The content will reflect the data patterns assuming the FEC degrade function is not implemented (i.e. tx_am_sf<2:0>=000) and the Comment Status A Comment Type Ε **Bucket** text should be updated to indicate that. Rogue capitals Response Response Status C SuggestedRemedy ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Update the tables using the data in: Change PCS Delay Constraint to PCS delay constraint, twice http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/16 06/clause119a 200g 20160617a.txt Response Response Status C http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/16 06/clause119a 400g 20160617a.txt ACCEPT. and text with editorial license. C/ 120 SC 120.1.2 P 177 L 25 # 103 SC 119.6.7 P 175 / 42 C/ 119 # 36 Dudek, Mike QLogic Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket Bucket Figure 120-1 also shows the position in the 200GBASE-R sublaver. PCS Management - rogue capital SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the title of the section to "Position of the PMA in the 200GBASE-R or 400GBASE-PCS management R sublayers". Response Response Status C Response Status C Response ACCEPT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change title to "Position of the PMA in the 200GBASE-R and 400GBASE-R sublayers".

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **120** SC **120.1.2** Page 8 of 26 27/06/2016 22:19:03

C/ 120 SC 120.1.4 P 179 L 44 # 96 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.1 P 191 L 45 # 106 Dudek, Mike QLogic Dudek, Mike QLoaic Comment Type Comment Status A Bucket Comment Type Comment Status A The reference to Figure 120.5 hot link goes to section 120.5 not to Figure 120.5 What is PAM4 encoding? The JP03A test pattern needs to be 0,3 after the encoding. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy correct the hot link. Change "prior to PAM4 encoding" to "after PAM4 encoding" or delete the sentence "The JP03A test pattern is generated prior to PAM4 encoding." Make the same change on Response Response Status C page 192 line 10. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. SC 120.3 P 182 L 17 C/ 120 # 97 Dudek, Mike QLogic The text was copied from Clause 94, which also seems flawed as JP03A and JP03B are described in terms of PAM4 symbols rather than in terms of bits that produce those Comment Type Ε Comment Status A **Bucket** symbols. introducing 4/p where p only equals 4 is an unnecessary complication. Delete the sentence: "The JP03A test pattern is generated prior to PAM4 encoding." SuggestedRemedy Change the final sentence of the paragraph to: Delete "4/p times". "The JP03A test pattern is a repeating {0,3} sequence of PAM4 symbols." Response Response Status C Delete the sentence: "The JP03B test pattern is generated prior to PAM4 encoding." ACCEPT. Replace the 3rd sentence of that paragraph with: "The JP03B test pattern is a repeating sequence of the PAM4 symbols {0,3} repeated 15 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.1.3 P 191 L 16 # 105 times followed by {3,0} repeated 16 times." Dudek, Mike QLogic C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.2 P 192 L 3 # 107 Comment Type T Comment Status A Bucket Dudek. Mike QLoaic This square wave test pattern is a sub-section of the NRZ test pattern section. There is only one version of CCAUI and CDAUI that is NRZ Comment Type T Comment Status A Bucket SuggestedRemedy Missing the test pattern for 200GBASE-R. replace "CCAUI-n" with ""CCAUI-8" and "CDAUI-n" with "CDAUI-16" SugaestedRemedy Response Response Status C Change "A 400GBASE-R PMA" to "A 200GBASE-R or 300GBASE-R PMA" ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2 P 191 # 98 L 33 Change Dudek, Mike QLogic "A 400GBASE-R PMA" to Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Bucket "A PMA" typo SuggestedRemedy Change "out put" to "output"

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Response Status C

Response

ACCEPT.

C/ **120** SC **120.5.11.2.2** Page 9 of 26 27/06/2016 22:19:03

Cl 120 SC 120.5.11.2 Dawe, Piers	2.5 <i>P</i> 194 Mellanox	<i>L</i> 19	# 39
Comment Type E SSPRQ Test Pattern	Comment Status A		Bucket
SuggestedRemedy SSPRQ test pattern			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Cl 120 SC 120.6 Dawe, Piers	P 195 Mellanox	L 21	# 40
Comment Type E "will" is deprecated.	Comment Status A		Bucket
SuggestedRemedy Delete "will".			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 120 SC 120.7.5	P 203	L 43	# 41
Dawe, Piers	Mellanox		
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		

SuggestedRemedy

In the Major capabilities/options, create really short items e.g. U4, D16. Use these here. Adjust column widths.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "LANES UPSTREAM" to "LNS UPSTRM", change "LANES DOWNSTREAM" to "LNS_DNSTRM", adjust column widths as necessary so these don't break across lines.

120A SC 120A.1 P 319 L 12 # 99 idek. Mike QLogic mment Type E Comment Status A Bucket The title says "examples" but there is only one. iggestedRemedy Change "examples" to "example" esponse Response Status C ACCEPT. [Editor's note: Clause changed from 120 to 120A] 120B SC 120B P 327 L 53 slow, Pete Ciena

mment Type **T** Comment Status A

In the Macau meeting it was agreed to set the CRU bandwidth for CDAUI-16 to 4 MHz. See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/16_03/anslow_3bs_04_0316.pdf However, Annex 120B and Annex 120C reference Annex 83D and Annex 83E, respectively which have a CRU bandwidth of 10 MHz

iggestedRemedy

Add an exception to 120B.3.1: "- The high-pass filter used for the jitter measurements in 92.8.3.8 has a 3 dB frequency of 4 MHz."

Add an exception to 120B.3.2: "- The Applied pk-pk sinusoidal jitter for Test 1 and Test 2 in Table 83D-5 is according to Table 87-13."

In 120C.3.1, change the exceptions to a dashed list and add: "- The clock recovery unit corner frequency is 4 MHz."

Add an exception to 120C.3.2: "- The clock recovery unit corner frequency is 4 MHz." In 120C.3.3, change the exceptions to a dashed list and add: "- The Applied pk-pk sinusoidal jitter in Table 83E-5 is according to Table 87-13."

In 120C.3.4, change the exceptions to a dashed list and add: "- The Applied pk-pk sinusoidal iitter in Table 83E-8 is according to Table 87-13."

Response Response Status C ACCEPT.

Bucket

Cl 120C SC 120C.5.4.4 P 338 L 53 # 108

Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status A

During the 802.3by project concern was expressed that the RM2 pics could be interpreted to mean that the module has to use the recommended CTLE setting for the stressed input test. That is not intended (the module input can be adaptive and could use some other receiver than a CTLE). This PIC was re-worded as a result.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the wording of this PICS with that used for RM6 of 802.3by clause 109B

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"As 120C.1.1 with settings associated with Recommended_CTLE_value" to:

"Meet BER requirement of 120C.1.1 with three values of Recommended_

CTLE value"

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1 P 343 L 26 # 66

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Note d applies to even-odd iitter not Jrms or J5

SuggestedRemedy

Move its anchor to Even-odd jitter (max).

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P342 L51 # 25

Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

The effect of a single pole high pass filter with a 3 dB frequency of 4 MHz is applied to the iitter, not clear on what we are suggesting

SuggestedRemedy

Signal is measured with a single pole CRU with a 3 dB bandwidth of 4 MHz, where the CRU behave as a high pass litter filter.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change

"The effect of a single-pole high-pass filter with a 3 dB frequency of 4 MHz is applied to the iitter."

to

"The jitter is measured using a single-pole high-pass filter with a 3 dB bandwidth of 4 MHz."

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P342 L53 # 67

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"Jitter measurements are performed with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings": Formally, this isn't a PMD. Should allow a range of patterns, as in 120E.3.1.6: same 0303... pattern is useless if synchronous, excessive if not. Should the counter-propagating lanes be operational too? No requirement to measure.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to: "Output jitter is defined with all transmit and receive lanes operating with a PRBS13Q or QPRBS31 pattern, or a valid 200GBASE-R/400GBASE-R signal.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "all PMD lanes" to "all lanes".

The Odd/even jitter measurement method in 94.3.12.6.2 uses JP03B, So:

Change the first line of 120D.3.1.1 from

"Jitter is measured using the JP03A test pattern (see 120.5.11.2.1)"

"JRMS and J5 iitter are measured using the JP03A test pattern (see 120.5.11.2.1)"

There is ongoing discussion regarding the test pattern to be used for jitter measurement. Once the discussion on the refinement to the test pattern is resolved, the issue of whether the other lanes use the same pattern as the lane under test will be addressed (and if necessary, changes to Clause 45 registers to enable this will be made).

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P343 L39 # 68

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Don't repeat specs (see D1.3 comment 21): the limits are in the table and the "shall" is in 120D.3.1 on the previous page. Don't put specs in definitions.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "JRMS shall be less than or equal to 0.023 UI. J5 shall be less than or equal to 0.128 UI."

Looks like the PICS is OK as is.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 Page 11 of 26 27/06/2016 22:19:03

Bucket

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 Szczepanek, Andre In	P 343 L 43 phi	# 3	C/ 120D SC 120 Dawe, Piers	DD.3.1.2.1 <i>P</i> 344 Mellanox	L 41	# 72
Comment Type ER Comment Sta Remove redundant Editors note	tus A		Comment Type E Transmitter Line:	Comment Status A arity - rogue capital		Bucket
SuggestedRemedy Remove redundant Editors note			SuggestedRemedy Transmitter linea	arity (as in the next line)		
Response Response State ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Remove redundant Editor's note.	tus C		Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.2 Dawe, Piers M	P 344 L 4 ellanox	# [69	C/ 120D SC 120 Dawe, Piers	DD.3.1.2.1 <i>P</i> 344 Mellanox	L 47	# [73
Comment Type E Comment Statists is13. SuggestedRemedy is 13.			levels 0, 1, 2, and	orrection, I find this sentence hard d 3, the mean signal level for each hat do I do with 0, 1, 2, and 3 tha	ch symbol level are	V0, V1, V2, and V3
Response Response Star ACCEPT.	tus C		symbol levels 0,	means of the signal levels of the 1, 2, and 3 are V0, V1, V2, and V	/3 respectively.	
C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.2 Dawe, Piers M	P 344 L 6 ellanox	# 70	levels of the sym	ons of the signal levels" in the pre abols corresponding to the PAM4 and V3 respectively, as described	symbol levels 0, 1,	
Comment Type E Comment State The state of the CCAUI-4 or CDAUI-8 tr SuggestedRemedy Change "The state of the CCAUI-4 or C management." to 10 point. Response Response State	ansmit output is manipu	•	Change "Given the PAM4 are V0, V1, V2, a to "The mean signa	Response Status C NCIPLE. 4 symbol levels 0, 1, 2, and 3, the and V3 respectively." al levels of the symbols correspord as V0, V1, V2, and V3 respectively.	nding to the PAM4	symbol levels 0, 1, 2,
ACCEPT.	D044 / 04	<i>u</i> =		0D.3.1.2.1 P 345	L 46	# 74
CI 120D SC 120D.3.1.2 Dawe, Piers M	P 344 L 21 ellanox	# 71	Dawe, Piers Comment Type E	Mellanox Comment Status A		Bucket
Comment Type E Comment Sta			Bucket Empty line?	Comment Status A		Ducker
SuggestedRemedy	now the ligure.		SuggestedRemedy Remove			
Remove			Response	Response Status C		

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.2.1 Page 12 of 26 27/06/2016 22:19:03

Cl 120D SC 120D.3.1.	2.2 <i>P</i> 345 QLogic	L 54	# 100	C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2.1 Dudek, Mike	P 346 QLogic	L 40	# [116
Comment Type E poor grammar SuggestedRemedy Add "a" between "with"	Comment Status A and "specifc PAM4"		Bucket	Comment Type TR Com The measured risetime of the t and the use of beta = 2 to inco change there is a likely hole in tolerance test being better than	rporate the transmitted the budget with the te	r risetime is nee est transmitter fo	ded. Without this r the interference
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			SuggestedRemedy Add another bullet to the consi C in 802.3by clause 111.8.3.1		c) in this list tha	at is the same as bullet
C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2	P 346	L 23	# 75	Response Resp	onse Status C		
Dawe, Piers Comment Type E Font size SuggestedRemedy In Table 120D-4 "120D. Response	Mellanox Comment Status A 3.2.2" Response Status C		Bucket	ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Add another bullet to the consistence of the cons	ge model S(tp) is omitt d voltage transfer func fined by Equation (93 Trm is the measured ed using the method in	ted from Equation ction H(k)(f) calc A-46), where ß 20% to 80% trai	ulated in Equation is 2, Tr is calculated as nsition time of the
ACCEPT.				C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2.1	P 346	L 42	# 110
Cl 120D SC 120D.3.2. Dawe, Piers	1 P 346 Mellanox	L 30	# 76	Dudek, Mike	QLogic		
Comment Type E RS- FEC	Comment Status A		Bucket	Comment Type T Com It would be good to incorporate (Test 1 or test 2) for the channel 802.3by.			
SuggestedRemedy				SuggestedRemedy			
RS-FEC				Add the bullet b) in 111.8.3.1 o	f 802.3by to the list he	ere after bullet d).
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.	onse Status C		
Cl 120D SC 120D.3.2. Dawe, Piers	1 P 346 Mellanox	L 34	# 77	[Editor's note: Clause changed Add the following bullet to the I	ist, after the existing b		
Comment Type E peak-to- peak	Comment Status A		Bucket	"COM is calculated using both lengths listed in Table 120D-7. calculated values."			
SuggestedRemedy peak-to-peak							
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2. Dawe, Piers	2 P 346 Mellanox	L 48	# 78	C/ 120E SC 120E.1 Dawe, Piers	P 353 Mellanox	L 30	# 81
Comment Type E Receiver Jitter toleranc	Comment Status A e - rogue capital		Bucket	Comment Type E CCAUI-8 in left hand s	Comment Status A tack		Bucket
SuggestedRemedy Receiver jitter tolerance)			SuggestedRemedy should be CCAUI-4			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C		
Cl 120D SC 120D.3.2. Dawe, Piers	2 P 347 Mellanox	L 28	# 79	Cl 120e SC 120e.1 Ghiasi, Ali	P 354 Ghiasi Quant	<i>L</i> 42 um LLC	# 26
Comment Type E In Table 120D-6 and Ta are values).	Comment Status A able 120E-6, don't need "valu	ues" 5 times (mo	Bucket est things in most tables		Comment Status R e "Test methdology is similar I-04 was already published	OIF-56G-VSR.	, I can see the benefit if
SuggestedRemedy In Table 120D-6, Table	120E-6 delete "values", 5 tir	nes each.		SuggestedRemedy Suggest remvoing			
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C			Response REJECT.	Response Status C		
Cl 120D SC 120D.3.2. Dudek, Mike	3	L 3	# 109	Some readers may find OIF document is not po	d this sentence helpful. It can ublished by then.	n be removed in	Sponsor ballot if the
Comment Type T Incorrect register name	Comment Status A		Bucket				
SuggestedRemedy Change "Requests_flag	g" to "Request_flag"						
Response ACCEPT.	Response Status C						
C/ 120D SC 120D.5.4. Dawe, Piers	1 <i>P</i> 351 Mellanox	L 41	# [80				
Comment Type E	Comment Status A		Bucket				

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Font size SuggestedRemedy

Response ACCEPT.

Change "Common-mode output return loss" to 9 point.

Response Status C

C/ **120e** SC 120e.1 Page 14 of 26 27/06/2016 22:19:03

Comment Type T Comment Status A

I thought we allowed PRBS31Q also: 83E.3.1.6 allows Pattern 3, PRBS31. Rogue capital.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "using the Quaternary PRBS13 (PRBS13Q) pattern, or a valid 200GBASE-R/400GBASE-R signal. PRBS13Q is described in 120.5.11.2.3." to "using the PRBS13Q or PRBS31Q pattern, or a valid 200GBASE-R or 400GBASE-R signal. PRBS13Q is described in 120.5.11.2.3 and PRBS31Q is described in 120.5.11.2.4."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "using the Quaternary PRBS13 (PRBS13Q) pattern, or a valid 200GBASE-R/400GBASE-R signal. PRBS13Q is described in 120.5.11.2.3."

to

"using the PRBS13Q or PRBS31Q pattern, or a valid 200GBASE-R or 400GBASE-R signal. PRBS13Q is described in 120.5.11.2.3 and PRBS31Q is described in 120.5.11.2.4."

Also, change:

"For the case where PRBS13Q is used with a common clock, there is at least 31 UI delay between the PRBS13Q patterns on one lane and any other lane." to:

"For the case where PRBS13Q or PRBS31Q are used with a common clock, there is at least 31 UI delay between the patterns on one lane and any other lane."

C/ 120E SC 120E.3.1.6 P 359 L 4 # 83

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Е

There is a box marked "VNA or Scope" but there's a scope just to the left of it. oif2014.230.07 has just "VNA". Roque capital S.

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change "VNA or Scope" to "VNA"; also in Figure 120E-10.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "VNA or Scope" to "VNA or scope" in Figures 120E-8 and 120E-10.

The Box labeled "VNA or Scope" is used for those tests that do not use a reference receiver. The reference receiver based tests use a scope as part of the reference receiver, so a scope is shown in the reference receiver box

Given the title of the diagram is "EXAMPLE host output test configuration" it does not matter how many scopes are shown.

CI 120E SC 120E.3.2.1 P 362 L 4 # 84

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket

Crosstalk Generator - rogue capital

SuggestedRemedy

Crosstalk generator

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 120E SC 120E.3.3.2 P363 L21 # 111

Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status A

As the editor's note says this subclause is not used. The test also does not work if the waveform being measured has significant loss before the measurement. (i.e. the eye is closed or even partially closed due to loss.)

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the sub-clause 120E.3.3.2

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See also comment #4

CI 120E SC 120E.3.3.2 P 363 L 21 # 4

Szczepanek, Andre Inphi

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

This sub-clause is no longer referenced and should be removed.

Note this was discussed on the 13th June Electrical ad hoc call where it received no

objections.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove sub-clause 120E.3.3.2

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

See also comment #111

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Table 120E-5 duplicates the "Far-end ESMW" and "Far-end Eye Width" parameter values from Table 120E-3. It would be more definitive if Table 120E-3 was referenced, rather than values duplicated.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace explicit parameter values for "Far-end ESMW" and "Far-end Eye Width" parameters in Table 120E-5 with references to Table 120E-3

Proposed Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The amount of applied peak-peak sinusoidal jitter used for the host stressed input test is given in Table 120e-6, is not clear on the intention.

SuggestedRemedy

The amplitude and frequency of the applied peak-peak host stress input sinusoidal jitter is given in table 120e-6. As the frequency of the applied sinusoidal is varied for given amplitude other jitter componnets such as random jitter and bounded jitter are adjusted to meet the stress caliburated signal at TP4a.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"The amount of applied peak-to-peak sinusoidal jitter used for the host stressed input test is given in Table 120E-5."

to:

"The frequency and peak-to-peak amplitude of the sinusoidal jitter used for the host stressed input test is given in Table 120E-6."

C/ 120E SC 120E.3.3.3.1 P 367 L 21 # 86

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A

If the duplicate BUJ generator defintion is kept, at least make it consistent with the other one in 120E.3.3.3.1 (D1.3 comment 76).

SuggestedRemedy

Change:

"The PRBS pattern length should be between PRBS7 and PRBS9. The data rate should be approximately 1/10 of the stressed pattern signaling rate (2.65625 GBd)." to:

"The PRBS pattern length should be between PRBS7 and PRBS9 with a signaling rate approximately 1/10 of the stressed pattern signaling rate (e.g., 2.65625 GBd)."

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Cl 120e SC 120e.3.4.1.1 P366 L52 # 28

Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Need to mention CRU is 1st order

SuggestedRemedy

add .CRU with 1st order response and a corner ...

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 120E SC 120E.3.4.1.1 P 367 L 5 # 85

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket

Table layout

SuggestedRemedy

Put ESMW (Eye symmetry mask width) on the same row, make the left column wider.

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Bucket

C/ 120E SC 120E.3.4.1.1 P 367 L 32 # 87 Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A

Comment Type E

This is the test, not the product, there's only one high loss channel, and at line 45 we say "high loss case".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "For high loss channels" to "For the high loss case".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 120E SC 120E.4.1 P 368 L 16 # 128

Ghiasi Quantum LLC Ghiasi, Ali

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

MCB/HCB characteristics is referenced from CL92.11.1 and CL92.11.2. The crosstalk for the mated MCB-HCB is defined by 92.11.3.6 inaccordance to meet 100GBASE-CR4 with following parameters:

MDNEXT <= 1.8 mV RMS MDFEXT <= 4.8 mV RMS

But the cable under consideraionfor 50G operation have significantly lower crosstalk than early BJ cables

http://www.ieee802.org/3/cd/public/May16/ghiasi_3cd_02a_0516.pdf http://www.ieee802.org/3/cd/public/May16/roth 3cd 01a 0516.pdf

SuggestedRemedy

With typical newer cable hainvg PSXT of ~ 1 mV, a matted board having 4.8 mV of FEXT and 1.8 mV NEXT will have significant burden on the Cu reach and COM margin. The fact that we have cable data with PSXT ~ 1mV indicate technology has improved and limits in the BJ are overly pessimistic.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

[Editor's note: This comment was sent after the close of the comment period.]

Although there appears to be some justification for a reduction in MDNEXT/MDFEXT for copper cabling, the impact of this on Annex 120E is not clear: The P802.3bs draft does not specify copper cables, and the commenter has not indicated what changes (if any) are required to the Annex.

C/ 120E SC 120E.4.2 P 368 L 43 # 88 Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Status A Bucket In step 3, MIDCDFR should be MID0CDFR

SuggestedRemedy

Change MIDCDFR to MID0CDFR

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 120E SC 120E.4.2 P 368 L 44

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Step 3 says "Calculate the time center of the middle eye width (TCmid) as the mid-point in time between MID0CDFR and MID0CDFL with a value of 10-3" then 4 says "Locate the center of the middle eye at TCmid." which is the same thing. 5, 6 and 7 all say "within 0.025 UI of time TCmid"

SuggestedRemedy

Delete step 4

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 120E SC 120E.5.3 P 374 L 6 # 90

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Bucket

Font size of Number of differential AC-coupled lanes, Eight independent data paths in each direction

SuggestedRemedy

Change to 9 point

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 120E SC 120E.5.4.2 P 375 L # 91 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type Comment Status A Bucket Module Output SuggestedRemedy

Module output

Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change title of 120E.5.4.2 to "Module output"

Also change "Input" to "input" in titles of 120E.5.4.3 and 120E.5.4.4

C/ 121 SC 121.7.2 P 216 1 27

Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket

"SECQ and OMAouter of each aggressor lane" but there is no SECQ spec for aggressor lanes. If it means the SECQ of the lane under test, could use a comma or identify the lane(s) for SECQ or neither. It says two rows above that these are conditions of stressed receiver sensitivity test. Table 95-7, 100GBASE-SR4 receive characteristics, doesn't have such a note. Table 86-8 does have a note, but not applied to aggressor lanes. Table 95-7 attaches the note to Conditions of stressed receiver sensitivity test: "These test conditions are for measuring stressed receiver sensitivity. They are not characteristics of the receiver."

SuggestedRemedy

Apply the note to the conditions row and change it to follow Table 95-7. Similarly in clauses 122, 124.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

SC 121.8.1 P 217 C/ 121 / 40 # 43 Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket

According to 1.4.303, Optical Modulation Amplitude has capitals.

SuggestedRemedy Change Optical modulation amplitude to Optical Modulation Amplitude, twice here, in 121.8.5.3, twice in Table 122-15 and Table 124-10.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to "Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude" throughout the draft.

C/ 121 SC 121.8.1 P 217 L 42 # 115 Dudek, Mike

QLoaic

The method for measuring OMAinner is not specified with any pattern, (certainly not by Clause 121.8.4 which doesn't even mention it)

Comment Status A

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Delete the OMAinner row (or add a test methodology and definition of what it is). Unless definitions and test methodologies are added delete it in the Tx and Rx tables and anywhere else it appears in the draft. Do the same changes in clauses 122 and 124.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This comment was discussed on the SMF Ad Hoc call on 21 June.

Delete the OMAinner row from Tables 121-10, 122-15, and 124-10.

In Tables 121-7, 122-11, 122-12, and 124-7 change:

"Receiver sensitivity (OMAinner), each lane (max)" to:

"Receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), each lane (max)"

add 4.8 dB to the associated power value

in the associated footnote, change "OMAinner" to "OMAouter"

C/ 121 SC 121.8.1 P 217 L 42 # 112 Dudek. Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status A

The square wave pattern isn't defined for PAM4 and isn't listed in table 121-9. Depending on how it were defined it might or might not be useable for measuring OMAinner or RINOMA. patten 4 works fine for RINOMA. See a separate comment for deleting OMAinner.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "Square wave or" for the RINOMA row (and OMAinner row if it isn't deleted by the other comment.)

Do the same in clause 122 and 124.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Delete "Square wave or" in the RINOMA row of Tables 121-10, 122-15 and 124-10.

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"as measured through an optical to electrical converter (O/E) with a bandwidth equivalent to a reference receiver, and equalized...": "bandwidth equivalent to a combined reference receiver and worst case optical channel" in 95.8.5 made sense to to me, but an O/E (and scope) with the right bandwidth IS a reference receiver.

SuggestedRemedy

as measured through a reference receiver and equalized...

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"as measured through an optical to electrical converter (O/E) with a

bandwidth equivalent to a reference receiver, and equalized with the reference equalizer (as described in 121.8.5.4)"

to:

"as measured through an optical to electrical converter (O/E) and oscilloscope with the combined frequency response given in 121.8.5.1, and equalized with the reference equalizer (as described in 121.8.5.4)"

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5 P 218 L 45 # 45

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A

"may be part of the oscilloscope": no oscilloscope has been mentioned yet.

SuggestedRemedy

may be part of an oscilloscope

Response Status C

ACCEPT.

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.1 P 219 L 9

Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Capture complete pattern

SuggestedRemedy

To support booth sampling and real time scope should read " capture real time data sequence or sampled data sequence"

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 121 SC 121.8.5.1 P219 L18 # 63

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Modern scopes don't need a pattern trigger, if told the pattern length, and the CRU typically doesn't provide a pattern trigger.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Pattern trigger" to "Trigger".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Remove the "Pattern trigger" label and change "Oscilloscope" to "Pattern triggered

oscilloscope"

CI 121 SC 121.8.5.2 P 219 L 38 # 46

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

There's no BERT. There is no need to add loss to the channel but no pressing need to minimise the channel loss either, the TDEC method adds noise either in hardware or in software to compensate.

SuggestedRemedy

Bucket

19

Delete the "Insertion loss" column and note b.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See response to comment #113

The accuracy of the TDECQ measurement is likely to be better if the channel insertion loss is low.

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.2 P219 L41 # 113

Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status A

There is no longer a BERT in the test system

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "BERT's" with "Oscilloscope's"

Do the same in Clause 122 Page 252 line39

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "There is no intent to stress the sensitivity of the BERT's optical receiver" to "There is no intent to stress the sensitivity of the O/E converter associated with the oscilloscope"

Do the same in Clause 122.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **121** SC **121.8.5.2** Page 19 of 26 27/06/2016 22:19:04

Cl 121 SC 121.8.5.2 P 219 L 42 # 47

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status R

The optical return loss isn't applied at TP2 (which is to the left of the splitter), it's applied by the variable

reflector below the splitter. The point is that the number of dB is defined as if looking into the channel from TP2.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The optical return loss is applied at TP2" to "As seen at TP2 looking towards the optical splitter."

or delete the note.

Response Status C

REJECT.

The current draft is consistent with equivalent text in Clause 52, 87 and 88.

Cl 121 SC 121.8.5.2 P 219 L 53 # 48

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status A

(Near) repetition: the sentence at the top of the page is correct, "The channel provides an optical return loss specified in Table 121-11" isn't because in the figure, "Optical channel" is to the right of the splitter. The second sentence here is exactly the same as the second sentence on the page.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete these two sentences.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "Optical channel" in Figure 121-4 to "Test fiber".

The two sentences are in different subclauses and their presence improves the understandability of these subclauses.

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.2 P225 L29 # 64

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

This sentence is wrong:

To use an oscilloscope to calibrate the final stressed eye jitter that includes the sinusoidal jitter component, a separate clock source (clean clock of Figure 121-6) is required that is synchronized to the source clock, but not modulated with the jitter source. 95.8.8.4 says:

To use an oscilloscope to calibrate the final stressed eye J2 Jitter and stressed eye J4 Jitter that includes the sinusoidal jitter component, a clock recovery unit (CRU of Figure 95-5) is required.

And at line 12 we already have:

Sinusoidal jitter amplitude may be calibrated by measuring the jitter on the oscilloscope, while transmitting the square wave pattern, and using a clean clock in place of the CRU to trigger the oscilloscope.

SuggestedRemedy

While we don't have any jitter spec here apart from SJ, delete this sentence.

Response Status C

REJECT.

Clause 95 uses a different specification methodology. The 2 sentences referred to are consistent with each other. To measure the magnitude of the sinusoidal jitter on the clock source a clean clock is required.

Cl 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 3 # 20

Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

There is no requirements on capture record length

SuggestedRemedy

Add paragraph - The captured real time or sampled data recommended to be at least 16 time the length of the SSPRQ data pattern.

Response Status C

REJECT.

[Editor's note: Clause changed from 120 to 121, subclause changed from 120.8.5.3 to 121.8.5.3]

TDECQ is a development of the TDEC measurement described in 95.8.5, which does not define a minimum number of sample points. If a recommended minimum number of points is to be set, this should be based on evidence of accuracy vs. number of measured points.

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 13 # 49 Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Type Comment Status A

Optimizing the signal-to-noise ratio of the captured waveform is not minimizing the value of TDECQ (which is what p222 line 22 says), unless you use a definition of "signal" that isn't here.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The reference equalizer (specified in 121.8.5.4) is used to optimize the signal-tonoise ratio of the captured waveform (to minimize the value of TDECQ)" to "The reference equalizer (specified in 121.8.5.4) is used to minimize the value of TDECQ".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "The reference equalizer (specified in 121.8.5.4) is used to optimize the signal-tonoise ratio of the captured waveform (to minimize the value of TDECQ)" to "The reference equalizer (specified in 121.8.5.4) is used to minimize the value of TDECQ derived from the captured waveform"

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 17 # 50

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Status A Comment Type

They are all sampling oscilloscopes

SuggestedRemedy

Change "If a sampling oscilloscope is used" to "If an equivalent-time sampling oscilloscope is used".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT.

Also change "real time sampling scope" to "real-time sampling oscilloscope".

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 19 # 51

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type Comment Status A

reconstructed? Has this eye diagram existed before?

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "reconstructed"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "A reconstructed eye" to "An eye".

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 19 # 54

Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Type Comment Status A

Whichever scope is used, an eve diagram needs to be formed.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the sentence "A reconstructed eve diagram is formed from the optimally equalized captured pattern." after the one about a real-tiome scope.

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Move the sentence to the end of the previous paragraph.

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 19

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A

Eye diagrams come from waveforms or signals, not patterns (which are digital).

SuggestedRemedy

Change "pattern" to "signal".

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "pattern" to "waveform"

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 19 Mellanox

Dawe. Piers

Comment Type T Comment Status A

A real time sampling scope with reference equalizer doesn't capture an eye diagram directly. It might capture an unequalized waveform (not eye) in a non-standard frequency response: then there's a lot of calculation. It hardly matters if the equalizer is in the scope or not, and even if it is, some noise correction may be needed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "If a real time sampling scope is used, and the reference equalizer is implemented in the oscilloscope, then the oscilloscope can be set up to capture an eve diagram directly." to "If a real time sampling scope is used, this compensation may not be needed."

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "If a real time sampling scope is used, and the reference equalizer is implemented in the oscilloscope, then the oscilloscope can be set up to capture an eye diagram directly," to "If a real-time sampling oscilloscope is used, and the reference equalizer is implemented in the oscilloscope, then the equalized eye diagram can be generated in the oscilloscope."

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 28 # 55 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type Ε Comment Status A Bucket Punctuation: these are two clauses. SuggestedRemedy Change "0.55 UI, each" to "0.55 UI; each" Response Response Status C ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change "0.55 UI, each" to "0.55 UI. Each" C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 29 # 56 Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket each of the histograms spans SuggestedRemedy each of the histogram windows spans Response Response Status C ACCEPT. C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 29 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type Ε Comment Status R Duplication

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "each of the histograms spans all of the modulation levels of the eve diagram, as illustrated in Figure 121-5.". Join the next sentence onto this paragraph. Could mention Figure 121-5 again.

Response Response Status C

REJECT.

The current text follows the structure of the TDEC description in 95.8.5.2 and starts with a general description of the histograms and then follows this up with a more precise definition. While there is some duplication involved with this, the resulting text is clear and understandable.

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 221 L 37 # 58 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type Comment Status A How much is "the reference receiver noise"? SuggestedRemedy Change to "noise that could be added by a receiver"

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "with the reference receiver noise" to "with noise"

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 222 L 11 # 59 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status A

The smallest size of sigmaG is found that makes the sum of the partial SERs equal the target SER of 4.8x10-4 for either left or right histogram.

SuggestedRemedy

The value of sigmaG is found that makes the sum of the partial SERs equal the target SER of 4.8x10-4 for either the left or right histogram, and lower for the other histogram (i.e. the smaller of two values).

Response Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"The smallest size of sigmaG is found that makes the sum of the partial SERs equal the target SER of 4.8 x 10-4 for either left or right histogram."

"For the left histogram a value of sigmaG is found that makes the sum of the partial SERs equal the target SER of 4.8 x 10-4, this is then repeated for the right histogram and sigmaW is equal to the lower of the two sigmaG values."

In Equation 121-6, replace sigmaG with sigmaW

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.4 P 222 L 14 # 21 C/ 121 SC 121.8.9.1 P 224 L 37 Ghiasi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status A Need to better document attributes of the 5 tap T/2 FFE Wrong clock. See Figure 95-5. We went over this in P802.3bm: the signal (J2, J4, TDEC, TDECQ...) must be calibrated with the CRU, but the SJ without. We have the right text SuggestedRemedy here on p225 line 12. We can start with something like then refine it C(0)min=0.6 SuggestedRemedy Sum(C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4))min = -0.4Show the scope using a CRU, as Figure 95-5 does Sum(C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4))max = 0Response Response Response Status C Response Status C REJECT. ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Commenter is invited to demonstrate that unconstrained FFE can cause a problem and Change "Clean clock" to "CRU or clean clock" in Figure 121-6 also that the proposed constraints avoid this problem. C/ 121 SC 121.9.9.3 P 225 L 36 # 60 C/ 121 SC 121.8.7 P 223 L 9 Dudek, Mike QLogic Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type T Comment Status A Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket A BER scan measurement is not applicable to this test calibration. Relative Intensity Noise: rogue capitals. Compare 1.4.356 relative intensity noise: The SuggestedRemedy ratio of the variance in the optical power to the average optical power. and 52.9.6 Relative intensity noise optical modulation amplitude (RINxOMA) measuring procedure Delete "a BER scan measurement and " Also in clause 122 on page 255 line 34. SuggestedRemedy Response Response Status C Relative intensity noise. Also 122.8.7, 124.8.7. ACCEPT. Response Response Status C ACCEPT. SC 121.8.9 P 223 C/ 121 / 30 # 61 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type Е Comment Status A Bucket SRS SuggestedRemedy stressed receiver sensitivity

Response Status C

Also at line 34

ACCEPT.

Response

62

114

Bucket

Cl 122 SC 122.7 P 245 L 1 # 1 King, Jonathan Finisar

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Revised Transmitter parameters for 200GBASE-LR and -FR, were agreed in the June 7th smf ad hoc (see Cole_01a_0616_smf), these should be incorporated into the draft in the relevant transmitter parameter Tables. There are consequent changes to the receiver parameters

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 122-9:

In the row 'Total average launch power (max)', replace '11.2' and '11.7' with '10.7' and '11.3' respectively.

In the 'Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMAouter), each lane (max)', replace '5' and '5.5' with '4.5' and '5.1' respectively.

In the row 'Difference in launch power between any two lanes (OMAouter) (max)', replace '4.4' with '4' (in both columns).

In Table 122-10:

In the row 'Difference in launch power between any two lanes (OMAouter) (max)', replace '4.4' with '4' (in both columns).

In Table 122-11:

In the row 'Receive power, each lane (OMAouter) (max)', replace $\,$ '5' and '5.5' with '4.5' and '5.1' respectively.

In the row 'Difference in receive power between any two lanes (OMAouter) (max)' replace '4.5' and '4.6' with '4.1' and '4.2' respectively.

In the Table 122-12:

In the row 'Difference in receive power between any two lanes (OMAouter) (max)' replace '4.5' and '4.9' with '4.1' and '4.5' respectively.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The proposed modifications were discussed at the 7 June and 21 June SMF Ad Hoc calls with no objections raised.

Make the changes shown on pages 4 and 5 of

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/smf/16 06 21/anslow 02 0616 smf.pdf

CI 122 SC 122.8.5.1 P 252 L 2 # 22

Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Capture complete pattern

SuggestedRemedy

To support booth sampling and real time scope should read " capture real time data sequence or sampled data sequence"

Proposed Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

CI 122 SC 122.8.6 P253 L8 # 23

Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Need to better document attributes of the 5 tap T/2 FFE

SuggestedRemedy

We can start with something like then refine it $\,C(0)$ min=0.6

Sum(C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4))min = -0.4Sum(C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4))max = 0

Response Status C

REJECT.

See response to comment #21

Cl 124 SC 124.7.1 P L # 5
King, Jonathan Finisar

Comment Type E Comment Status A Bucket

The parameter descriptions in Table 124-7 could do with being harmonized - the 'Receive power' description is odd man out.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 'Receive power, each lane (OMAouter) (max)' to 'Receive power (OMAouter), each lane (max)'

Similarly, in Table 122-11.

(there may be other examples in other clauses, so response should be 'with editorial licence')

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Change 'Receive power, each lane (OMAouter) (max)'

In Tables 121-7, 122-11, 122-12, and 124-7

C/ 124 SC 124.7.1 P 291 L 1 # 6 King, Jonathan Finisar

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The receiver sensitivity specs for 400GBASE-DR4 are marginal to what is technically feasible. An increase in Tx OMA-TDECQ spec is desired to reduce the burden on the Rx.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 124-6:

Increase Tx OMA-TDECQ from -1.3dBm to 0dBm

also

Increase OMAouter (max) from 4.2dBm to 5.5dBm

Increase OMAouter (min) from -0.3dBm to 1dBm

Increase Average launch power (max) from 4dBm to 5.3dBm

Increase Average launch power (min) from -5.4dBm to -4.1dBm

In Table 124-7:

Increase 'Receive sensitivity (OMAinner), each lane (max)' from -9.2dBm to -7.9dBm;

also

Increase 'Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), each lane (max)' from -1.9dBm to -

0.6dB;

Increase 'Receive power, each lane, OMAouter (max)' from 4.2dBm to 5.5dBm:

Increase 'Average receive power, each lane (max)' from 4dBm to 5.3dBm;

Increase 'Average receive power, each lane (min)' from -2.4dBm to -1.1dB;

Increase 'OMAouter of each aggressor lane' from 4.2dBm to 5.5 dBm

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

Cl 124 SC 124.8.5 P 294 L # 14

Mazzini, Marco Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status R

TDECQ reference equalizer for 400GBASE-DR4 is not defined. All other PMDs have a defined 5 taps T/2 spaced FFE.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a dedicated paragraph "TDECQ reference equalizer". Because the reduced bandwidth of the TDECQ tester for 400GBASE-DR4, a realistic reference equalizer for 400GBASE-DR4 should be a 7 tap, T spaced, feed-forward equalizer (FFE).

Response Status C

REJECT.

The reference equalizer for 400GBASE-DR4 is defined in 124.8.5 with the text: "using a reference equalizer as described in 121.8.5.4". The commenter is invited to provide evidence that the 5 tap, T/2 spaced, feed-forward equalizer is inadequate and that the 7 tap, T spaced, feed-forward equalizer is an appropriate substitute.

C/ 124 SC 124.8.5 P 294 L 40 # 24

Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum I I C

Comment Type TR Comment Status A

Need to add Baud period for the FFE to the list of exception

SuggestedRemedy

Please add - FFE T/2 with Baudperiod as defined in table 124-6.

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The reference equalizer is defined in 121.8.5.4 using the variable "T" which is the symbol period, so no exception is needed.

In 121.8.5.4 and 122.8.5.4 change:

"is a 5 tap, T/2 spaced, feed-forward equalizer (FFE)." to:

"is a 5 tap, T/2 spaced, feed-forward equalizer (FFE), where T is the symbol period."

Cl 124 SC 124.8.5 P 294 L 44 # [13 | Mazzini, Marco Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status A

Implementing TDECQ conformance test set-up with real-time scope can limit the bandwidth because an external O/E is needed. Simulation of optimized solutions show a 3dB bandwidth lower than current 38.68GHz. For this, the value of combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope filter response bandwidth should be reduced to take into account real-time implementation.

From first analysis and available hardware, seems a reasonable minimum value closer to 33GHz rather than 38.68GHz.

SuggestedRemedy

From "The combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope has a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson filter response with a bandwidth of 38.68 GHz" to "The combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope has a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson filter response with a minimum bandwidth of 33 GHz".

Response Status C

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This comment was discussed on the 21 June SMF Ad Hoc with the consensus view being that it was desired to know the impact on TDECQ value of reducing the bandwidth to 33 GHz before changing to this value and also that the impact would have to be negligeable before the word "minimum" was added.

Make no change to the draft.