

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 122 SC 122.7 P 245 L 1 # 1
King, Jonathan Finisar

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Revised Transmitter parameters for 200GBASE-LR and -FR, were agreed in the June 7th smf ad hoc (see Cole_01a_0616_smf), these should be incorporated into the draft in the relevant transmitter parameter Tables. There are consequent changes to the receiver parameters

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 122-9:
In the row 'Total average launch power (max)', replace '11.2' and '11.7' with '10.7' and '11.3' respectively.

In the 'Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMAouter), each lane (max)', replace '5' and '5.5' with '4.5' and '5.1' respectively.

In the row 'Difference in launch power between any two lanes (OMAouter) (max)', replace '4.4' with '4' (in both columns).

In Table 122-10:
In the row 'Difference in launch power between any two lanes (OMAouter) (max)', replace '4.4' with '4' (in both columns).

In Table 122-11:
In the row 'Receive power, each lane (OMAouter) (max)', replace '5' and '5.5' with '4.5' and '5.1' respectively.

In the row 'Difference in receive power between any two lanes (OMAouter) (max)' replace '4.5' and '4.6' with '4.1' and '4.2' respectively.

In the Table 122-12:
In the row 'Difference in receive power between any two lanes (OMAouter) (max)' replace '4.5' and '4.9' with '4.1' and '4.5' respectively.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The proposed modifications were discussed at the 7 June and 21 June SMF Ad Hoc calls with no objections raised.

Make the changes shown on pages 4 and 5 of

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/smf/16_06_21/anslow_02_0616_smf.pdf

CI 120E SC 120E.3.3.3 P 364 L 36 # 2
Szczepanek, Andre Inphi

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Table 120E-5 duplicates the "Far-end ESMW" and "Far-end Eye Width" parameter values from Table 120E-3. It would be more definitive if Table 120E-3 was referenced, rather than values duplicated.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace explicit parameter values for "Far-end ESMW" and "Far-end Eye Width" parameters in Table 120E-5 with references to Table 120E-3

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 343 L 43 # 3
Szczepanek, Andre Inphi

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Remove redundant Editors note

SuggestedRemedy

Remove redundant Editors note

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120E SC 120E.3.3.2 P 363 L 21 # 4
Szczepanek, Andre Inphi

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

This sub-clause is no longer referenced and should be removed.
Note this was discussed on the 13th June Electrical ad hoc call where it received no objections.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove sub-clause 120E.3.3.2

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

See also comment #111

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

Cl 124 SC 124.7.1 P L # 5
King, Jonathan Finisar

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

The parameter descriptions in Table 124-7 could do with being harmonized - the 'Receive power' description is odd man out.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 'Receive power, each lane (OMAAouter) (max)'
to 'Receive power (OMAAouter), each lane (max)'

Similarly, in Table 122-11.

(there may be other examples in other clauses, so response should be 'with editorial licence')

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 124 SC 124.7.1 P 291 L 1 # 6
King, Jonathan Finisar

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The receiver sensitivity specs for 400GBASE-DR4 are marginal to what is technically feasible. An increase in Tx_OMA-TDECQ spec is desired to reduce the burden on the Rx.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 124-6:
Increase Tx_OMA-TDECQ from -1.3dBm to 0dBm
also
Increase OMAouter (max) from 4.2dBm to 5.5dBm
Increase OMAouter (min) from -0.3dBm to 1dBm
Increase Average launch power (max) from 4dBm to 5.3dBm
Increase Average launch power (min) from -5.4dBm to -4.1dBm

In Table 124-7:
Increase 'Receive sensitivity (OMAIinner), each lane (max)' from -9.2dBm to -7.9dBm;
also
Increase 'Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAAouter), each lane (max)' from -1.9dBm to -0.6dB;
Increase 'Receive power, each lane, OMAouter (max)' from 4.2dBm to 5.5dBm;
Increase 'Average receive power, each lane (max)' from 4dBm to 5.3dBm;
Increase 'Average receive power, each lane (min)' from -2.4dBm to -1.1dB;
Increase 'OMAAouter of each aggressor lane' from 4.2dBm to 5.5 dBm

Proposed Response Response Status Z

REJECT.

This comment was WITHDRAWN by the commenter.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 118 SC 118.1 P 124 L 30 # 7
Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status D Bucket

In the left hand stack of Figure 118-1, "Optional CDMII Extender" should be "Optional CCMII Extender"

Also, to be consistent with Figures 120A-6, 120B-1, 120B-2, 120D-1, and 120D-2:
change "PCS" in the left hand stack to "200 Gb/s PCS"
change "PCS" in the right hand stack to "400 Gb/s PCS"

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Optional CDMII Extender" in the left hand stack to "Optional CCMII Extender"
change "PCS" in the left hand stack to "200 Gb/s PCS"
change "PCS" in the right hand stack to "400 Gb/s PCS"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 4 SC 4.4.2 P 35 L 14 # 8
Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

The P802.3bz draft (in Sponsor ballot) is modifying Table 4-2.

SuggestedRemedy

Show the changes to Table 4-2 with respect to the version in the P802.3bz draft.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120B SC 120B P 327 L 53 # 9
Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status D

In the Macau meeting it was agreed to set the CRU bandwidth for CDAUI-16 to 4 MHz.

See http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/16_03/anslow_3bs_04_0316.pdf

However, Annex 120B and Annex 120C reference Annex 83D and Annex 83E, respectively which have a CRU bandwidth of 10 MHz

SuggestedRemedy

Add an exception to 120B.3.1: "- The high-pass filter used for the jitter measurements in 92.8.3.8 has a 3 dB frequency of 4 MHz."

Add an exception to 120B.3.2: "- The Applied pk-pk sinusoidal jitter for Test 1 and Test 2 in Table 83D-5 is according to Table 87-13."

In 120C.3.1, change the exceptions to a dashed list and add: "- The clock recovery unit corner frequency is 4 MHz."

Add an exception to 120C.3.2: "- The clock recovery unit corner frequency is 4 MHz."

In 120C.3.3, change the exceptions to a dashed list and add: "- The Applied pk-pk sinusoidal jitter in Table 83E-5 is according to Table 87-13."

In 120C.3.4, change the exceptions to a dashed list and add: "- The Applied pk-pk sinusoidal jitter in Table 83E-8 is according to Table 87-13."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 00 SC 0 P L # 10
Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Clause 90 lists MII interfaces for Time Sync.

SuggestedRemedy

Bring 90.1 into the draft and add the 200G and 400G MII's

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 119 SC 119.2.4.7 P 154 L 30 # 11
Koehler, Daniel MorethanIP

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The distribution shown is the 400G over 16 lanes, which does not apply to 200G over 8 lanes (see my 2nd comment on adding it for 200G).

SuggestedRemedy

Change sentence to

The interleaving of two codewords for 400GBASE-R PCS shall follow this procedure:

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to:

"The interleaving of two codewords for the 400GBASE-R PCS shall follow this procedure:"

CI 119 SC 119.2.4.7 P 154 L 40 # 12
Koehler, Daniel MorethanIP

Comment Type T Comment Status D

As the given distribution does not apply to 200G over 8 lanes, the 200G distribution should be mentioned (or combined).

SuggestedRemedy

Add the 200G over 8 lane distribution similar as e.g.:

The interleaving of two codewords for 200GBASE-R PCS shall follow this procedure:

For all k=0 to 135

For all j=0 to 3

if even(k)

tx_out<8k+2j> = cA<543-4k-j>

tx_out<8k+2j+1> = cB<543-4k-j>

else

tx_out<8k+2j> = cB<543-4k-j>

tx_out<8k+2j+1> = cA<543-4k-j>

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

See the response to Comment #18

CI 124 SC 124.8.5 P 294 L 44 # 13
Mazzini, Marco Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Implementing TDECQ conformance test set-up with real-time scope can limit the bandwidth because an external O/E is needed. Simulation of optimized solutions show a 3dB bandwidth lower than current 38.68GHz. For this, the value of combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope filter response bandwidth should be reduced to take into account real-time implementation.

From first analysis and available hardware, seems a reasonable minimum value closer to 33GHz rather than 38.68GHz.

SuggestedRemedy

From "The combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope has a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson filter response with a bandwidth of 38.68 GHz" to "The combination of the O/E converter and the oscilloscope has a fourth-order Bessel-Thomson filter response with a minimum bandwidth of 33 GHz".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This comment was discussed on the 21 June SMF Ad Hoc with the consensus view being that it was desired to know the impact on TDECQ value of reducing the bandwidth to 33 GHz before changing to this value and also that the impact would have to be negligible before the word "minimum" was added.

Make no change to the draft.

CI 124 SC 124.8.5 P 294 L # 14
Mazzini, Marco Cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status D

TDECQ reference equalizer for 400GBASE-DR4 is not defined. All other PMDs have a defined 5 taps T/2 spaced FFE.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a dedicated paragraph "TDECQ reference equalizer".

Because the reduced bandwidth of the TDECQ tester for 400GBASE-DR4, a realistic reference equalizer for 400GBASE-DR4 should be a 7 tap, T spaced, feed-forward equalizer (FFE).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The reference equalizer for 400GBASE-DR4 is defined in 124.8.5 with the text: "using a reference equalizer as described in 121.8.5.4". The commenter is invited to provide evidence that the 5 tap, T/2 spaced, feed-forward equalizer is inadequate and that the 7 tap, T spaced, feed-forward equalizer is an appropriate substitute.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 119A SC P 312 L 1 # 15
Dillard, John Microsemi

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

the title of tables 119a-1 and 119a-2 should use the term "alignment marker group" instead of just "alignment marker" as the group includes pad+tx_am_sf

SuggestedRemedy

the title of tables 119a-1 and 119a-2 should use the term "alignment marker group" instead of just "alignment marker" as the group includes pad+tx_am_sf

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 119A SC P 312 L 3 # 16
Dillard, John Microsemi

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Tables 119A-1, -3, and -4 (200G) are empty and tables 119A-2, -5, and -6 (400G) are now incorrect as they do not include tx_am_sf

SuggestedRemedy

Update the tables with the content I will provide. The content will reflect the data patterns assuming the FEC degrade function is not implemented (i.e. tx_am_sf<2:0>=000) and the text should be updated to indicate that.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 118 SC 118.2.1 P 125 L 54 # 17
Dillard, John Microsemi

Comment Type T Comment Status D

(also clause 119)

The 3rd bit of tx_am_sf (always set to 0) I assume is space holder for future use. This is potentially useful, especially since, otherwise, it would be filled in with prbs making future similar enhancements incompatible with legacy silicon. The question is: why (only) 3 bits for this field?

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest expanding tx_am_sf to 4 or 8 bits, possibly with fixed dc-balanced default values.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Today we need 2 bits, so we added one bit for expansion. If more bits are needed in the future, we can use the 3rd bit as a mode type bit and then expand into the other pad bits.

CI 119 SC 119.2.4.7 P 154 L 33 # 18
Dillard, John Microsemi

Comment Type T Comment Status D

If I'm not mistaken, the symbol distribution procedure shown on lines 34-39 is only valid for 400G.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a 200G procedure, such as:

for all k=0 to 136

for all j=0 to 3

if (even(k))

tx_out<8k+2j> = cA<543-4k-j>

tx_out<8k+2j+1> = cB<543-4k-j>

else

tx_out<8k+2j> = cB<543-4k-j>

tx_out<8k+2j+1> = cA<543-4k-j>

or something like that

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add a separate symbol description with editorial license.

CI 121 SC 121.8.5.1 P 219 L 9 # 19
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Capture complete pattern

SuggestedRemedy

To support booth sampling and real time scope should read " capture real time data sequence or sampled data sequence"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The current text is clear and not broken.

It is essential that the complete pattern is captured not just a sequence of real time or sampled data.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

Cl 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 3 # 20
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

There is no requirements on capture record length

SuggestedRemedy

Add paragraph - The captured real time or sampled data recommended to be at least 16 time the length of the SSPRQ data pattern.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

[Editor's note: Clause changed from 120 to 121, subclause changed from 120.8.5.3 to 121.8.5.3]

TDECQ is a development of the TDEC measurement described in 95.8.5, which does not define a minimum number of sample points. If a recommended minimum number of points is to be set, this should be based on evidence of accuracy vs. number of measured points.

Cl 121 SC 121.8.5.4 P 222 L 14 # 21
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Need to better document attributes of the 5 tap T/2 FFE

SuggestedRemedy

We can start with something like then refine it C(0)min=0.6
Sum(C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4))min = -0.4
Sum(C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4))max = 0

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Commenter is invited to demonstrate that unconstrained FFE can cause a problem and also that the proposed constraints avoid this problem.

Cl 122 SC 122.8.5.1 P 252 L 2 # 22
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Capture complete pattern

SuggestedRemedy

To support booth sampling and real time scope should read " capture real time data sequence or sampled data sequence"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

See response to comment #19

Cl 122 SC 122.8.6 P 253 L 8 # 23
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Need to better document attributes of the 5 tap T/2 FFE

SuggestedRemedy

We can start with something like then refine it C(0)min=0.6
Sum(C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4))min = -0.4
Sum(C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4))max = 0

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

See response to comment #21

Cl 124 SC 124.8.5 P 294 L 40 # 24
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Need to add Baud period for the FFE to the list of excpetion

SuggestedRemedy

Please add - FFE T/2 with Baudperiod as defined in table 124-6.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The reference equalizer is defined in 121.8.5.4 using the variable "T" which is the symbol period by definition.

Cl 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 342 L 51 # 25
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The effect of a single pole high pass filter with a 3 dB frequency of 4 MHz is applied to the jitter, not clear on what we are suggesting

SuggestedRemedy

Signal is measured with a single pole CRU with a 3 dB bandwidth of 4 MHz, where the CRU behave as a high pass jitter filter.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change

"The effect of a single-pole high-pass filter with a 3 dB frequency of 4 MHz is applied to the jitter."
to

"The jitter is measured using a single-pole high-pass filter with a 3 dB bandwidth of 4 MHz."

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 120e SC 120e.1 P 354 L 42 # 26
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Not very helpful to state "Test methodology is similar OIF-56G-VSR.", I can see the benefit if it was identical and CEI-04 was already published

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest removing

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Remove line "The chip-to-module interface is defined using a specification and test methodology that is similar to that used for CEI-56G-VSR-PAM defined in OIF-CEI-04.x [B55a]."

Remove the [B55a] addition to Annex A from the draft.

CI 120e SC 120e.3.3.3.1 P 364 L 52 # 27
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The amount of applied peak-peak sinusoidal jitter used for the host stressed input test is given in Table 120e-6, is not clear on the intention.

SuggestedRemedy

The amplitude and frequency of the applied peak-peak host stress input sinusoidal jitter is given in table 120e-6. As the frequency of the applied sinusoidal is varied for given amplitude other jitter components such as random jitter and bounded jitter are adjusted to meet the stress calibrated signal at TP4a.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change

"The amount of applied peak-to-peak sinusoidal jitter used for the host stressed input test is given in Table 120E-5."

to

"The amplitude and frequency of the applied peak-peak host stress input sinusoidal jitter is given in Table 120E-6. As the frequency and amplitude of the applied sinusoidal jitter are varied other jitter components such as random jitter and bounded jitter are adjusted to meet the stress calibrated signal parameters at TP4a."

CI 120e SC 120e.3.4.1.1 P 366 L 52 # 28
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Need to mention CRU is 1st order

SuggestedRemedy

add .CRU with 1st order response and a corner ...

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

There is nothing special about this occurrence that means that 1st order response is required here, and not everywhere else we mention a CRU.

CI 116 SC 116.1.3 P 102 L 47 # 29
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

Table layout and font.

SuggestedRemedy

Make the right column wider. Make the left one narrower if needed. Change to 9 point if wished. Also Table 116-2.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 119 SC 119.2.3 P 142 L 3 # 30
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D

in this sentence, "This code is further modified by the transcoding and FEC that occurs in this PCS," it's not the 64B/66B code that is further modified, but the bit stream.

SuggestedRemedy

The signal to be transmitted / delivered to the PMA is further modified by the transcoding and FEC that occurs in this PCS?

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

This code is further modified by the transcoding and FEC that occurs in this PCS.

To:

The 64B/66B codestream is then transcoded into a 256B/257B stream and FEC bits are added in this PCS before transmission.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P 158 L 21 # 31
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

"will" is deprecated. Two paragraphs above we have "shall".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "will assert" to "shall assert" or "asserts".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change to:
"asserts"

CI 119 SC 119.6.3 P 172 L 11 # 32
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

This PCS must be either for 200GBASE-R or for 400GBASE-R.

SuggestedRemedy

Change status from O to 0.1, two rows

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change both to O.1.

CI 119 SC 119.6.4.2 P 173 L 19 # 33
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Value/Comment for RF6 doesn't relate to the "shall" in the text (which is about the 60 ms to 75 ms blackout period). No need to write about the optionality of the feature: the Feature and Status columns tell the reader that. Too many words.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite the Value/Comment. Similarly for RF8, and see another comment. Might be better if these two options have rows in the 119.6.3 Major capabilities/options table.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

Support for optional bypass indication
to:

Bypass indication error marking

and change:

In the FEC decoder optionally bypass indication can be supported (no marking of frames from uncorrectable codewords)

to:

Synchronization headers are marked for 60 ms to 75 ms when the error threshold is reached.

Also, change from Status "O" to "BI:M"

Move RF8 to the major capabilities table (119.6.3)

CI 119 SC 119.6.4.5 P 175 L 1 # 34
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

Alignment Markers - rogue capital. There are a few more.

SuggestedRemedy

Alignment markers

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 119 SC 119.6.4.5 P 175 L 6 # 35
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

This is supposed to be a standard (a specification) not a description. Should not say "section".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "as described in section 119.2.4.4" to "as in 119.2.4.4" or "according to in 119.2.4.4" or just "as specified"; or simplify to "periodically for each PCS lane": the subclause is already identified in the Subclause column. Similarly for AM2.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

Alignment markers are inserted periodically as described in section 119.2.4.4

To:

Alignment markers are inserted periodically as in 119.2.4.4

Similarly for AM2

CI 119 SC 119.6.7 P 175 L 42 # 36
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

PCS Management - rogue capital

SuggestedRemedy

PCS management

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 119 SC 119.3 P 169 L 6 # 37
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status D

PICS M1 says "Alternate access to PCS Management objects is provided" but there is nothing about it here.

SuggestedRemedy

Add this sentence from 82.3:

If not, it is recommended that an equivalent access be provided.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add this top level subclause to be consistent with 82.3:

119.3 PCS Management

The following objects apply to PCS management. If an MDIO Interface is provided (see Clause 45), they are accessed via that interface. If not, it is recommended that an equivalent access be provided.

Demote the current 119.3 to 119.3.1.

CI 119 SC 119.6.6.3 P 176 L 42 # 38
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

Rogue capitals

SuggestedRemedy

Change PCS Delay Constraint to PCS delay constraint, twice

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 194 L 19 # 39
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

SSPRQ Test Pattern

SuggestedRemedy

SSPRQ test pattern

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 120 SC 120.6 P 195 L 21 # 40
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
"will" is deprecated.
SuggestedRemedy
Delete "will".
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120 SC 120.7.5 P 203 L 43 # 41
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D
Table layout problem because LANES_UPSTREAM too long. Could use shorter variable names for LANES_DOWNSTREAM and LANES_UPSTREAM but better:
SuggestedRemedy
In the Major capabilities/options, create really short items e.g. U4, D16. Use these here. Adjust column widths.
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "LANES_UPSTREAM" to "LNS_UPSTRM", change "LANES_DOWNSTREAM" to "LNS_DNSTRM", adjust column widths as necessary so these don't break across lines.

CI 121 SC 121.7.2 P 216 L 27 # 42
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
"SECQ and OMAouter of each aggressor lane" but there is no SECQ spec for aggressor lanes. If it means the SECQ of the lane under test, could use a comma or identify the lane(s) for SECQ or neither. It says two rows above that these are conditions of stressed receiver sensitivity test. Table 95-7, 100GBASE-SR4 receive characteristics, doesn't have such a note. Table 86-8 does have a note, but not applied to aggressor lanes. Table 95-7 attaches the note to Conditions of stressed receiver sensitivity test: "These test conditions are for measuring stressed receiver sensitivity. They are not characteristics of the receiver."
SuggestedRemedy
Apply the note to the conditions row and change it to follow Table 95-7. Similarly in clauses 122, 124.
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 121 SC 121.8.1 P 217 L 40 # 43
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
According to 1.4.303, Optical Modulation Amplitude has capitals.
SuggestedRemedy
Change Optical modulation amplitude to Optical Modulation Amplitude, twice here, in 121.8.5.3, twice in Table 122-15 and Table 124-10.
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change to "Outer Optical Modulation Amplitude" throughout the draft.

CI 121 SC 121.8.5 P 218 L 44 # 44
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D
"as measured through an optical to electrical converter (O/E) with a bandwidth equivalent to a reference receiver, and equalized...": "bandwidth equivalent to a combined reference receiver and worst case optical channel" in 95.8.5 made sense to to me, but an O/E (and scope) with the right bandwidth IS a reference receiver.
SuggestedRemedy
as measured through a reference receiver and equalized...
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED REJECT.
Figure 121-4 contains an O/E converter and an oscilloscope, so the descriptive text should use the same terms.

CI 121 SC 121.8.5 P 218 L 45 # 45
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
"may be part of the oscilloscope": no oscilloscope has been mentioned yet.
SuggestedRemedy
may be part of an oscilloscope
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

Cl 121 SC 121.8.5.2 P 219 L 38 # 46
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status D

There's no BERT. There is no need to add loss to the channel but no pressing need to minimise the channel loss either, the TDEC method adds noise either in hardware or in software to compensate.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the "Insertion loss" column and note b.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
See response to comment #113

Cl 121 SC 121.8.5.2 P 219 L 42 # 47
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The optical return loss isn't applied at TP2 (which is to the left of the splitter), it's applied by the variable reflector below the splitter. The point is that the number of dB is defined as if looking into the channel from TP2.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The optical return loss is applied at TP2" to "As seen at TP2 looking towards the optical splitter."
or delete the note.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.
The current draft is consistent with equivalent text in Clause 52, 87 and 88.

Cl 121 SC 121.8.5.2 P 219 L 53 # 48
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status D

(Near) repetition: the sentence at the top of the page is correct, "The channel provides an optical return loss specified in Table 121-11" isn't because in the figure, "Optical channel" is to the right of the splitter. The second sentence here is exactly the same as the second sentence on the page.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete these two sentences.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "Optical channel" in Figure 121-4 to "Test fiber".
The two sentences are in different subclauses and their presence improves the understandability of these subclauses.

Cl 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 13 # 49
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Optimizing the signal-to-noise ratio of the captured waveform is not minimizing the value of TDECQ (which is what p222 line 22 says), unless you use a definition of "signal" that isn't here.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The reference equalizer (specified in 121.8.5.4) is used to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio of the captured waveform (to minimize the value of TDECQ)" to "The reference equalizer (specified in 121.8.5.4) is used to minimize the value of TDECQ".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "The reference equalizer (specified in 121.8.5.4) is used to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio of the captured waveform (to minimize the value of TDECQ)" to "The reference equalizer (specified in 121.8.5.4) is used to minimize the value of TDECQ derived from the captured waveform"

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 17 # 50
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D

They are all sampling oscilloscopes

SuggestedRemedy

Change "If a sampling oscilloscope is used" to "If an equivalent-time sampling oscilloscope is used".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
Also change "real time" to "real-time".

CI 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 19 # 51
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D

reconstructed? Has this eye diagram existed before?

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "reconstructed"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "A reconstructed eye" to "An eye".

CI 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 19 # 52
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Eye diagrams come from waveforms or signals, not patterns (which are digital).

SuggestedRemedy

Change "pattern" to "signal".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "pattern" to "waveform"

CI 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 19 # 53
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status D

A real time sampling scope with reference equalizer doesn't capture an eye diagram directly. It might capture an unequalized waveform (not eye) in a non-standard frequency response: then there's a lot of calculation. It hardly matters if the equalizer is in the scope or not, and even if it is, some noise correction may be needed.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "If a real time sampling scope is used, and the reference equalizer is implemented in the oscilloscope, then the oscilloscope can be set up to capture an eye diagram directly." to "If a real time sampling scope is used, this compensation may not be needed."

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "If a real time sampling scope is used, and the reference equalizer is implemented in the oscilloscope, then the oscilloscope can be set up to capture an eye diagram directly." to "If a real-time sampling oscilloscope is used, and the reference equalizer is implemented in the oscilloscope, then an eye diagram can be captured directly."

CI 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 19 # 54
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Whichever scope is used, an eye diagram needs to be formed.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the sentence "A reconstructed eye diagram is formed from the optimally equalized captured pattern." after the one about a real-time scope.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Move the sentence to the end of the previous paragraph.

CI 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 28 # 55
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Punctuation: these are two clauses.

Bucket

SuggestedRemedy

Change "0.55 UI, each" to "0.55 UI; each"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "0.55 UI, each" to "0.55 UI. Each"

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 29 # 56
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
each of the histograms spans
SuggestedRemedy
each of the histogram windows spans
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 220 L 29 # 57
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D
Duplication
SuggestedRemedy
Delete "each of the histograms spans all of the modulation levels of the eye diagram, as illustrated in Figure 121-5.". Join the next sentence onto this paragraph. Could mention Figure 121-5 again.
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED REJECT.
The current text starts with a general description of the histograms and then follows this up with a more precise definition. While there is some duplication involved with this, the resulting text is clear and understandable.

CI 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 221 L 37 # 58
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type T Comment Status D
How much is "the reference receiver noise"?
SuggestedRemedy
Change to "noise that could be added by a receiver"
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "with the reference receiver noise" to "with noise"

CI 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 222 L 11 # 59
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D
The smallest size of sigmaG is found that makes the sum of the partial SERs equal the target SER of 4.8×10^{-4} for either left or right histogram.
SuggestedRemedy
The value of sigmaG is found that makes the sum of the partial SERs equal the target SER of 4.8×10^{-4} for either the left or right histogram, and lower for the other histogram (i.e. the smaller of two values).

Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED REJECT.
The suggested remedy does not improve the readability of the text.

CI 121 SC 121.8.7 P 223 L 9 # 60
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
Relative Intensity Noise: rogue capitals. Compare 1.4.356 relative intensity noise: The ratio of the variance in the optical power to the average optical power. and 52.9.6 Relative intensity noise optical modulation amplitude (RINxOMA) measuring procedure
SuggestedRemedy
Relative intensity noise. Also 122.8.7, 124.8.7.
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 121 SC 121.8.9 P 223 L 30 # 61
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
SRS
SuggestedRemedy
stressed receiver sensitivity
Also at line 34
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

Cl 121 SC 121.8.9.1 P 224 L 37 # 62
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Wrong clock. See Figure 95-5. We went over this in P802.3bm: the signal (J2, J4, TDEC, TDECQ...) must be calibrated with the CRU, but the SJ without. We have the right text here on p225 line 12.

SuggestedRemedy

Show the scope using a CRU, as Figure 95-5 does

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change "Clean clock" to "CRU or clean clock" in Figure 121-6

Cl 121 SC 121.8.5.1 P 219 L 18 # 63
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Modern scopes don't need a pattern trigger, if told the pattern length, and the CRU typically doesn't provide a pattern trigger.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Pattern trigger" to "Trigger".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

The proposed remedy reduces the clarity of the figure to demonstrate that a pattern is captured instead of an eye

Cl 121 SC 121.8.5.2 P 225 L 29 # 64
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This sentence is wrong:

To use an oscilloscope to calibrate the final stressed eye jitter that includes the sinusoidal jitter component, a separate clock source (clean clock of Figure 121-6) is required that is synchronized to the source clock, but not modulated with the jitter source.

95.8.8.4 says:

To use an oscilloscope to calibrate the final stressed eye J2 Jitter and stressed eye J4 Jitter that includes the sinusoidal jitter component, a clock recovery unit (CRU of Figure 95-5) is required.

And at line 12 we already have:

Sinusoidal jitter amplitude may be calibrated by measuring the jitter on the oscilloscope, while transmitting the square wave pattern, and using a clean clock in place of the CRU to trigger the oscilloscope.

SuggestedRemedy

While we don't have any jitter spec here apart from SJ, delete this sentence.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

Clause 95 uses a different specification methodology. The 2 sentences referred to are consistent with each other.

Cl 93A SC 93A.1 P 309 L 45 # 65
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

Font size

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Table 83D-6" to 9 point

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 120D SC 120D.3.1 P 343 L 26 # 66
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

Note d applies to even-odd jitter not Jrms or J5

SuggestedRemedy

Move its anchor to Even-odd jitter (max).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 342 L 53 # 67
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D

"Jitter measurements are performed with transmitters on all PMD lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings": Formally, this isn't a PMD. Should allow a range of patterns, as in 120E.3.1.6: same 0303... pattern is useless if synchronous, excessive if not. Should the counter-propagating lanes be operational too? No requirement to measure.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to: "Output jitter is defined with all transmit and receive lanes operating with a PRBS13Q or QPRBS31 pattern, or a valid 200GBASE-R/400GBASE-R signal.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "all PMD lanes" to "all lanes".

The JRMS/J5 measurement method adopted in Whistler uses the JP03A test pattern, changing this is not an editorial change.
The Odd/even jitter measurement method in 94.3.12.6.2 uses JP03B, So:

Change the first line of 120D.3.1.1 from
"Jitter is measured using the JP03A test pattern (see 120.5.11.2.1)"
to
"JRMS and J5 jitter are measured using the JP03A test pattern (see 120.5.11.2.1)"

CI 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 343 L 39 # 68
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bucket

Don't repeat specs (see D1.3 comment 21): the limits are in the table and the "shall" is in 120D.3.1 on the previous page. Don't put specs in definitions.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "JRMS shall be less than or equal to 0.023 UI. J5 shall be less than or equal to 0.128 UI."
Looks like the PICS is OK as is.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120D SC 120D.3.1.2 P 344 L 4 # 69
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

is13.

SuggestedRemedy

is 13.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120D SC 120D.3.1.2 P 344 L 6 # 70
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

The state of the CCAUI-4 or CDAUI-8 transmit output is manipulated via management.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The state of the CCAUI-4 or CDAUI-8 transmit output is manipulated via management." to 10 point.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120D SC 120D.3.1.2 P 344 L 21 # 71
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

Extra white space and dot above and below the figure.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120D SC 120D.3.1.2.1 P 344 L 41 # 72
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

Transmitter Linearity - rogue capital

SuggestedRemedy

Transmitter linearity (as in the next line)

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 120D SC 120D.3.1.2.1 P 344 L 47 # 73
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Even after the correction, I find this sentence hard to understand: Given the PAM4 symbol levels 0, 1, 2, and 3, the mean signal level for each symbol level are V0, V1, V2, and V3 respectively. What do I do with 0, 1, 2, and 3 that I'm given? Subject and verb don't seem to match in number.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to: The means of the signal levels of the symbols corresponding to the PAM4 symbol levels 0, 1, 2, and 3 are V0, V1, V2, and V3 respectively.
Better, say "means of the signal levels" in the previous sentence, then: The mean signal levels of the symbols corresponding to the PAM4 symbol levels 0, 1, 2, and 3 are defined as V0, V1, V2, and V3 respectively, as described in 120D.3.1.2.2.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change

"Given the PAM4 symbol levels 0, 1, 2, and 3, the mean signal level for each symbol level are V0, V1, V2, and V3 respectively."
to

"The mean signal levels of the symbols corresponding to the PAM4 symbol levels 0, 1, 2, and 3 are defined as V0, V1, V2, and V3 respectively, as described in 120D.3.1.2.2."

CI 120D SC 120D.3.1.2.1 P 345 L 46 # 74
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

Empty line?

SuggestedRemedy

Remove

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120D SC 120D.3.2 P 346 L 23 # 75
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

Font size

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 120D-4 "120D.3.2.2"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120D SC 120D.3.2.1 P 346 L 30 # 76
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

RS- FEC

SuggestedRemedy

RS-FEC

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120D SC 120D.3.2.1 P 346 L 34 # 77
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

peak-to- peak

SuggestedRemedy

peak-to-peak

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120D SC 120D.3.2.2 P 346 L 48 # 78
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

Receiver jitter tolerance - rogue capital

SuggestedRemedy

Receiver jitter tolerance

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120D SC 120D.3.2.2 P 347 L 28 # 79
Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

In Table 120D-6 and Table 120E-6, don't need "values" 5 times (most things in most tables are values).

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 120D-6, Table 120E-6 delete "values", 5 times each.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

Cl 120D SC 120D.5.4.1 P 351 L 41 # 80
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
Font size
SuggestedRemedy
Change "Common-mode output return loss" to 9 point.
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 120E SC 120E.1 P 353 L 30 # 81
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
CCAUI-8 in left hand stack
SuggestedRemedy
should be CCAUI-4
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 120E SC 120E.3.1.6 P 358 L 31 # 82
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type T Comment Status D
I thought we allowed PRBS31Q also: 83E.3.1.6 allows Pattern 3, PRBS31. Rogue capital.
SuggestedRemedy
Change "using the Quaternary PRBS13 (PRBS13Q) pattern, or a valid 200GBASE-R/400GBASE-R signal. PRBS13Q is described in 120.5.11.2.3." to "using the PRBS13Q or PRBS31Q pattern, or a valid 200GBASE-R or 400GBASE-R signal. PRBS13Q is described in 120.5.11.2.3 and PRBS31Q is described in 120.5.11.2.4."

Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "using the Quaternary PRBS13 (PRBS13Q) pattern, or a valid 200GBASE-R/400GBASE-R signal. PRBS13Q is described in 120.5.11.2.3." to
"using the PRBS13Q or PRBS31Q pattern, or a valid 200GBASE-R or 400GBASE-R signal. PRBS13Q is described in 120.5.11.2.3 and PRBS31Q is described in 120.5.11.2.4."
Add
"For the case where PRBS31Q is used with a common clock, there is at least 20,000 UI delay between the PRBS31Q patterns on one lane and any other lane."
after the existing line
"For the case where PRBS13Q is used with a common clock, there is at least 31 UI delay between the PRBS13Q patterns on one lane and any other lane."

Cl 120E SC 120E.3.1.6 P 359 L 4 # 83
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D
There is a box marked "VNA or Scope" but there's a scope just to the left of it. oif2014.230.07 has just "VNA". Rogue capital S.
SuggestedRemedy
Change "VNA or Scope" to "VNA"; also in Figure 120E-10.
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "VNA or Scope" to "VNA or scope" in Figures 120E-8 and 120E-10.
The Box labeled "VNA or Scope" is used for those tests that do not use a reference receiver. The reference receiver based tests use a scope as part of the reference receiver, so a scope is shown in the reference receiver box
Given the title of the diagram is "EXAMPLE host output test configuration" it does not matter how many scopes are shown.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 120E SC 120E.3.2.1 P 362 L 4 # 84
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
Crosstalk Generator - rogue capital
SuggestedRemedy
Crosstalk generator
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120E SC 120E.3.4.1.1 P 367 L 5 # 85
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
Table layout
SuggestedRemedy
Put ESMW (Eye symmetry mask width) on the same row, make the left column wider.
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120E SC 120E.3.3.3.1 P 367 L 21 # 86
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D
If the duplicate BUJ generator definition is kept, at least make it consistent with the other one in 120E.3.3.3.1 (D1.3 comment 76).
SuggestedRemedy
Change:
"The PRBS pattern length should be between PRBS7 and PRBS9. The data rate should be approximately 1/10 of the stressed pattern signaling rate (2.65625 GBd)."
to:
"The PRBS pattern length should be between PRBS7 and PRBS9 with a signaling rate approximately 1/10 of the stressed pattern signaling rate (e.g., 2.65625 GBd)."
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120E SC 120E.3.4.1.1 P 367 L 32 # 87
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
This is the test, not the product, there's only one high loss channel, and at line 45 we say "high loss case".
SuggestedRemedy
Change "For high loss channels" to "For the high loss case".
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120E SC 120E.4.2 P 368 L 43 # 88
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
In step 3, MIDCDFR should be MID0CDFR
SuggestedRemedy
Change MIDCDFR to MID0CDFR
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120E SC 120E.4.2 P 368 L 44 # 89
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D
Step 3 says "Calculate the time center of the middle eye width (TCmid) as the mid-point in time between MID0CDFR and MID0CDFL with a value of 10-3" then 4 says "Locate the center of the middle eye at TCmid." which is the same thing. 5, 6 and 7 all say "within 0.025 UI of time TCmid"
SuggestedRemedy
Delete step 4
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 120E SC 120E.5.3 P 374 L 6 # 90
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
Font size of Number of differential AC-coupled lanes, Eight independent data paths in each direction
SuggestedRemedy
Change to 9 point
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120E SC 120E.5.4.2 P 375 L # 91
Dawe, Piers Mellanox
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
Module Output
SuggestedRemedy
Module output
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change title of 120E.5.4.2 to "Module output"
Also change "Input" to "input" in titles of 120E.5.4.3 and 120E.5.4.4

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.116b P 53 L 53 # 92
Dudek, Mike QLogic
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
This register is only used for lanes 1 through 7
SuggestedRemedy
Change "1 through 15" to "1 through 7"
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.
[Editor's note: Subclause 45.2.116b changed to 45.2.1.116b]

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.116c P 54 L 28 # 93
Dudek, Mike QLogic
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
These registers are only used for lanes 8 through 15
SuggestedRemedy
Change "1 through 15" to "8 through 15"
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 118 SC 118.1.1 P 125 L 9 # 94
Dudek, Mike QLogic
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
Typo. CDXS/CDXS should be CCXS/CDXS
SuggestedRemedy
Change it
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 118 SC 118.2.2 P 126 L 38 # 95
Dudek, Mike QLogic
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
Typo
SuggestedRemedy
Change "is has" to "it has" Also on line 43.
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 120 SC 120.1.4 P 179 L 44 # 96
Dudek, Mike QLogic
Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
The reference to Figure 120.5 hot link goes to section 120.5 not to Figure 120.5
SuggestedRemedy
correct the hot link.
Proposed Response Response Status W
PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

Cl 120 SC 120.3 P 182 L 17 # 97
 Dudek, Mike QLogic
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
 introducing 4/p where p only equals 4 is an unnecessary complication.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Delete "4/p times".
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 120 SC 120.5.11.2 P 191 L 33 # 98
 Dudek, Mike QLogic
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
 typo
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "out put" to "output"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 120A SC 120A.1 P 319 L 12 # 99
 Dudek, Mike QLogic
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
 The title says "examples" but there is only one.
 SuggestedRemedy
 Change "examples" to "example"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 [Editor's note: Clause changed from 120 to 120A]

Cl 120D SC 120D.3.1.2.2 P 345 L 54 # 100
 Dudek, Mike QLogic
 Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
 poor grammar
 SuggestedRemedy
 Add "a" between "with" and "specific PAM4"
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Cl 116 SC 116.2.5 P 105 L 21 # 101
 Dudek, Mike QLogic
 Comment Type T Comment Status D
 The 200GBASE-R PMD's are not described and Clause 121 does not specify a 400GBASE-R PMD
 SuggestedRemedy
 Either Change "The 400GBASE-R PMD's" to "The 200GBASE-R and 400GBASE-R PMD's" or Change "The 400GBASE-R PMDs and their corresponding media are specified in Clause 121 through Clause 124." to "The 200GBASE-R PMD's and their corresponding media are specified in Clause 121 and Clause 122. The 400GBASE-R PMDs and their corresponding media are specified in Clause 122 through Clause 124." (I prefer the second option).
 Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change:
 "The 400GBASE-R PMDs and their corresponding media are specified in Clause 121 through Clause 124." to:
 "The 200GBASE-R PMDs and their corresponding media are specified in Clause 121 and Clause 122. The 400GBASE-R PMDs and their corresponding media are specified in Clause 122 through Clause 124."

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 116 SC 116.3.3.1.1 P 106 L 53 # 102
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The sentence "Each of the tx_symbol parameters can take one of four values: zero, one, two, or three." only applies to the PMD or AUI interfaces for PAM4, but this is in a generic section that would apply to CAUI16, SR16, etc.

SuggestedRemedy

Either

- a) Replace the sentence with "Depending on the specific instance of the inter-sublayer service interface each of the tx_bit parameters can take either one of two values: one or zero; or one of four values: zero, one, two, or three.
b) be explicit as to which interfaces use 4 values and which use 2 values.

Do this for the Rx on page 109 line 10 as well.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"Each of the tx_symbol parameters can take one of four values: zero, one, two, or three."
to:

"Depending on the specific instance of the inter-sublayer service interface each of the tx_symbol parameters can either take one of two values: zero or one; or take one of four values: zero, one, two, or three."

CI 120 SC 120.1.2 P 177 L 25 # 103
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status D Bucket

Figure 120-1 also shows the position in the 200GBASE-R sublayer.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the title of the section to "Position of the PMA in the 200GBASE-R or 400GBASE-R sublayers".

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change title to "Position of the PMA in the 200GBASE-R and 400GBASE-R sublayers".

CI 119 SC 119.1.3 P 138 L 31 # 104
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status D Bucket

I think the CCMII and CDMII are different not a single interface for both 200 GB/s and 400Gb/s. However if they are not different then CCMII/CDMII should be grammatically singular.

SuggestedRemedy

Either

- a) replace "provide a uniform interface" with "provide uniform interfaces".
or b) replace "200 Gb/s and 400Gb/s" with 200/400 Gb/s"

or c) be explicit. replace the sentence with

"The CCMII provides a uniform interface to the Reconciliation Sublayer for all 200 Gb/s PHY implementations. The CDMII provides a uniform interface to the Reconciliation Sublayer for all 400 Gb/s PHY implementations. "

I preferr c)

Or if CCMII/CDMII is a single interface change "provide a" to "provides a"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Replace the text with:

The CCMII provides a uniform interface to the Reconciliation Sublayer for all 200 Gb/s PHY implementations. The CDMII provides a uniform interface to the Reconciliation Sublayer for all 400 Gb/s PHY implementations.

CI 120 SC 120.5.11.1.3 P 191 L 16 # 105
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status D Bucket

This square wave test pattern is a sub-section of the NRZ test pattern section. There is only one version of CCAUI and CDAUI that is NRZ

SuggestedRemedy

replace "CCAUI-n" with ""CCAUI-8" and "CDAUI-n" with "CDAUI-16"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 120 SC 120.5.11.2.1 P 191 L 45 # 106
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status D

What is PAM4 encoding? The JP03A test pattern needs to be 0,3 after the encoding.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "prior to PAM4 encoding" to "after PAM4 encoding" or delete the sentence "The JP03A test pattern is generated prior to PAM4 encoding." Make the same change on page 192 line 10.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

The text was copied from clause 94, which also seems flawed as JP03A and JP03B are described in terms of PAM4 symbols rather than in terms of bits that produce those symbols.

Delete the sentence:

"The JP03A test pattern is generated prior to PAM4 encoding."

Change the final sentence of the paragraph to:

"The JP03A test pattern is a repeating {0,3} sequence of PAM4 symbols."

Delete the sentence:

"The JP03B test pattern is generated prior to PAM4 encoding."

Replace the 3rd sentence of that paragraph with:

"The JP03B test pattern is a repeating sequence of the PAM4 symbols {0,3} repeated 15 times followed by {3,0} repeated 16 times."

CI 120 SC 120.5.11.2.2 P 192 L 3 # 107
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Bucket

Missing the test pattern for 200GBASE-R.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "A 400GBASE-R PMA" to "A 200GBASE-R or 300GBASE-R PMA"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change

"A 400GBASE-R PMA"

to

"A PMA"

CI 120C SC 120C.5.4.4 P 338 L 53 # 108
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status D

During the 802.3by project concern was expressed that the RM2 pics could be interpreted to mean that the module has to use the recommended CTLE setting for the stressed input test. That is not intended (the module input can be adaptive and could use some other receiver than a CTLE). This PIC was re-worded as a result.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the wording of this PICS with that used for RM6 of 802.3by clause 109B

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Change:

"As 120C.1.1 with settings associated with Recommended_CTLE_value" to:

"Meet BER requirement of 120C.1.1 with three values of Recommended_CTLE_value"

CI 120D SC 120D.3.2.3 P 348 L 3 # 109
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Bucket

Incorrect register name.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Requests_flag" to "Request_flag"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 120D SC 120D.3.2.1 P 346 L 42 # 110
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status D

It would be good to incorporate the clarification about which COM value should be used (Test 1 or test 2) for the channel calibration that was added in the equivalent test in 802.3by.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the bullet b) in 111.8.3.1 of 802.3by to the list here after bullet d).

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
[Editor's note: Clause changed from 120 to 120D]

Add the following bullet to the list, after the existing bullet d):
"COM is calculated using both Test 1 and Test 2 device package model transmission line lengths listed in Table 120D-7. The value of COM is taken as the lower of the two calculated values."

CI 120E SC 120E.3.3.2 P 363 L 21 # 111
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status D

As the editor's note says this subclause is not used. The test also does not work if the waveform being measured has significant loss before the measurement. (i.e. the eye is closed or even partially closed due to loss.)

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the sub-clause 120E.3.3.2

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.
See also comment #4

CI 121 SC 121.8.1 P 217 L 42 # 112
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The square wave pattern isn't defined for PAM4 and isn't listed in table 121-9. Depending on how it were defined it might or might not be useable for measuring OMAinner or RINOMA. patten 4 works fine for RINOMA. See a separate comment for deleting OMAinner.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "Square wave or" for the RINOMA row (and OMAinner row if it isn't deleted by the other comment.)

Do the same in clause 122 and 124.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Delete "Square wave or" in the RINOMA row of tables 121.10, 122.15 and 124.10.

CI 121 SC 121.8.5.2 P 219 L 41 # 113
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status D

There is no longer a BERT in the test system

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "BERT's" with "Oscilloscope's"

Do the same in Clause 122 Page 252 line39

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
Change "There is no intent to stress the sensitivity of the BERT's optical receiver" to "There is no intent to stress the sensitivity of the O/E converter associated with the oscilloscope"
Do the same in Clause 122.

CI 121 SC 121.9.9.3 P 225 L 36 # 114
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status D

A BER scan measurement is not applicable to this test calibration.

Bucket

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "a BER scan measurement and " Also in clause 122 on page 255 line 34.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

CI 121 SC 121.8.1 P 217 L 42 # 115
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The method for measuring OMAinner is not specified with any pattern. (certainly not by Clause 121.8.4 which doesn't even mention it)

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the OMAinner row (or add a test methodology and definition of what it is). Unless definitions and test methodologies are added delete it in the Tx and Rx tables and anywhere else it appears in the draft. Do the same changes in clauses 122 and 124.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

This comment was discussed on the SMF Ad Hoc call on 21 June.

Delete the OMAinner row from Tables 121-10, 122-15, and 124-10.

In Tables 121-7, 122-11, 122-12, and 124-7 change:

"Receiver sensitivity (OMAinner), each lane (max)" to:

"Receiver sensitivity (OMAAouter), each lane (max)"

add 4.8 dB to the associated power value

in the associated footnote, change "OMAinner" to "OMAAouter"

CI 120D SC 120D.3.2.1 P 346 L 40 # 116
Dudek, Mike QLogic

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The measured risetime of the transmitter should also be included in the COM exceptions, and the use of $\beta = 2$ to incorporate the transmitter risetime is needed. Without this change there is a likely hole in the budget with the test transmitter for the interference tolerance test being better than the transmitter used in COM to calibrate the test channel.

SuggestedRemedy

Add another bullet to the considerations (before bullet c) in this list that is the same as bullet C in 802.3by clause 111.8.3.1 .

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

Add another bullet to the considerations (before bullet c) in the list:

"The transmitter device package model S(tp) is omitted from Equation (93A-3) in the calculation of COM. The filtered voltage transfer function $H(k)(f)$ calculated in Equation (93A-19) uses the filter $H_t(f)$ defined by Equation (93A-46), where β is 2, T_r is calculated as $T_r = 1.09 \times T_{rm} - 4.32$ ps, and T_{rm} is the measured 20% to 80% transition time of the signal at TP0a. T_{rm} is measured using the method in 120E.3.1.5. T_{rm} is measured with the transmit equalizer turned off."

CI 30 SC 30.3.2.1.5 P 36 L 36 # 117
Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket

Extra forward slash in 200 Gb/s

SuggestedRemedy

Replace 200 Gb/s with 200 Gb/s

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.116b P 53 L 53 # 118
Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type T Comment Status D Bucket

Incorrect range in the text "for lanes 1 through 15"

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "15" with "7" so text will read: "for lanes 1 through 7"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 45 SC 45.2.1.116c P 54 L 28 # 119
Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type T Comment Status D Bucket

Incorrect range in the text "for lanes 1 through 15"

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "1" with "8" so text will read: "for lanes 8 through 15"

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.123 P 59 L 29 # 120
 Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type E Comment Status D
 Use of "Tx" instead of "transmit", and "Rx" instead of "receive" in some rows of Table 45-92 seems inconsistent

SuggestedRemedy
 Replace "Tx" with "transmit" and "Rx" with "receive" for all occurrences within Table 45-92

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED REJECT.
 The use of "Tx" and "Rx" in the added rows is consistent with "Tx" and "Rx" in the existing rows of Table 45-92.
 [Editor's note: Page "59-60" changed to 59 and Line "multiple" changed to 29]

Cl 118 SC 118.2.2 P 126 L 23 # 121
 Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
 The text inside the PCS sub-layer box "400/200 Gb/s PCS" is inconsistent when compared to text inside the other sub-layer boxes.

SuggestedRemedy
 Change text "400/200 Gb/s PCS" within the PCS sub-layer box to "200 or 400 Gb/s PCS"

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change "400/200 Gb/s PCS" to "200 Gb/s or 400 Gb/s PCS"
 [Editor's note: Line "Fig. 118-2" changed to 23]

Cl 118 SC 118.2.2 P 127 L 15 # 122
 Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type E Comment Status D Bucket
 The text inside the PCS sub-layer box "400/200 Gb/s PCS" is inconsistent when compared to the text inside the other sub-layer boxes

SuggestedRemedy
 Change text "400/200 Gb/s PCS" within the PCS block to "200 or 400 Gb/s PCS"

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
 Change "400/200 Gb/s PCS" to "200 Gb/s or 400 Gb/s PCS"
 [Editor's note: Line "Fig. 118-3" changed to 15]

Cl 119 SC 119.6.4.2 P 173 L 22 # 123
 Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type T Comment Status D Bucket
 Within Item RF5 'Error indication feature' in the Receive function table, the Value/Comment field contains the following text "(or errored codewords when correction is bypassed)". This implies correction can be bypassed, but sub-clause 119.2.5.3 does not specify correction bypass capability.

SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the parenthesis "(or errored codewords when correction is bypassed)" since correction bypass is not meant to be a feature in 119.2.5.3.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 [Editor's note: Line "22-24" changed to 22]

Cl 118 SC 118.5.4.2 P 134 L 22 # 124
 Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type T Comment Status D Bucket
 Within Item RF5 'Error indication feature' in the Receive function table, the Value/Comment field contains the following text "(or errored codewords when correction is bypassed)". This implies correction can be bypassed, but sub-clause 119.2.5.3 does not specify correction bypass capability.

SuggestedRemedy
 Remove the parenthesis "(or errored codeword when correction is bypassed)" since correction bypass is not meant to be a feature in 119.2.5.3

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 [Editor's note: Line "22-24" changed to 22]

Cl 118 SC 118.5.3 P 133 L 18 # 125
 Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type T Comment Status D Bucket
 Item 'BEC' Bypass error correction is not a feature of subclause 119.2.5.3.

SuggestedRemedy
 Remove item 'BEC' from the table in 118.5.3.

Proposed Response Response Status W
 PROPOSED ACCEPT.
 [Editor's note: Line "18-19" changed to 18]

IEEE P802.3bs D1.4 200 Gb/s & 400 Gb/s Ethernet 5th Task Force review comments

Cl 119 SC 119.6.3 P 172 L 18 # 126
Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type T Comment Status D Bucket

Item 'BEC' Bypass error correction is not a feature of subclause 119.2.5.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove item 'BEC' from the table in 119.6.3.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[Editor's note: Line "18-19" changed to 18]

Convert to *BI, Bypass indication

Cl 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P 158 L 6 # 127
Shrikhande, Kapil Innovium

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Lines 6-11 describe a feature for additional error monitoring when FEC_bypass_indication_enable is asserted, but there is no associated item listed in the PICS.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an Item in the PICS to capture this feature. E.g. "Error monitoring when error correction is bypassed" with Value/Comment "When the number of symbols in a block of 8192 codewords exceed 5560, corrupt 66-bit block synchronization headers". Or Editors can use appropriate language as necessary.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.

[Editor's note: Line "6-11" changed to 6]

Add RFx to the receive PICS.
Error monitoring while error indication is bypassed

When the number of symbol errors in a block of 8192 codewords exceeds 5560, corrupt 66-bit block synchronization headers

Cl 120E SC 120E.4.1 P 368 L 16 # 128
Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

MCB/HCB characteristics is referenced from CL92.11.1 and CL92.11.2. The crosstalk for the mated MCB-HCB is defined by 92.11.3.6 in accordance to meet 100GBASE-CR4 with following parameters:

MDNEXT <= 1.8 mV RMS

MDFEXT <= 4.8 mV RMS

But the cable under consideration for 50G operation have significantly lower crosstalk than early BJ cables

http://www.ieee802.org/3/cd/public/May16/ghiasi_3cd_02a_0516.pdf

http://www.ieee802.org/3/cd/public/May16/roth_3cd_01a_0516.pdf

SuggestedRemedy

With typical newer cable having PSXT of ~ 1 mV, a mated board having 4.8 mV of FEXT and 1.8 mV NEXT will have significant burden on the Cu reach and COM margin. The fact that we have cable data with PSXT ~ 1mV indicate technology has improved and limits in the BJ are overly pessimistic.

Proposed Response Response Status W

PROPOSED REJECT.

[Editor's note: This comment was sent after the close of the comment period.]

Although there appears to be some justification for a reduction in MDNEXT/MDFEXT for copper cabling, the impact of this on Annex 120E is not clear: Annex 120E does not specify copper cables, and the commenter has not indicated what changes (if any) are required to the Annex.