P 1 C/ FM SC FM L 1 # 18 C/ FM SC FM P8 L 19 # 560 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Law. David HPF Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Remove change bars in the margins from clean verison of the draft Please add Working Group voter list supplied in IEEE P802d3bs WG names DL 240816.fm SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy see comment See comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status W [Editor's note: Attachment is law 3bs 01 0916.pdf in C/ FM SC FM P 4 L 10 # 10 http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs_D2p0_attachments.zip] Smith, Daniel Seagate Technology C/ FM P 13 SC FM L 8 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio spelling for 'arabic', throughout the Editor's note. Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy There is no IEEE Std 802.3bv™-201x s/b: "Arabic" with a capital 'A' SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Please add text for "IEEE Std 802.3bv™-201x" as Amendment 9 [Editor's note: Clause and Subclause "front matter" changed to "FM"] Proposed Response Response Status O C/ FM SC FM P8 # 190 L 13 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo C/ FM SC FM P 13 L 8 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Haiduczenia. Marek Charter Communicatio "200 Gb/s" is missing in Task Force name on line 13 through 19. Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy "IEEE Std 802.3bs™-201x" is not marked as Amendment 8 Insert "200 Gb/s and" after "P802.3bs" on line 13 ghrough 19. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add "Amendment 8-" ahead of "This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-2015 and adds Clause 116 through Clause 124" statement Proposed Response Response Status O

P 13 C/ FM SC FM L 12 # 19 C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 L 2 # 174 Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status D Since it seems likely that IEEE P802.3bu will be published before In publication, this is where the list of amendments and corrigenda comprising the base IEEE P802.3bs add it to the list of prior amendments. document being amended is listed. (See IEEE Std 802.3by page two or title page of P802.3bv/D3.0 for example.) SuggestedRemedy see comment Based on current schedules, P802.3bs, could be be designated Amendment 10, 11 or 12. Questioning the schedule for P802.3cc when it is only at D1.0 argues against Amendment Proposed Response Response Status O 12: and 802.3cb at the same ballot makes 10 or 11 a tossup, to the list certainly can be TBD. But, in addition, Corrigendum 1 will almost certainly be approved before this project is approved. C/ 00 SC 0 Ρ The SASB teleconference is 22 Sept, so if P802.3bs/D2.1 is not distributed before knowing Anslow, Pete Ciena the results, 802.3bn and 802.3bz might appropriately be 2016. Comment Type Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Now that the publication order for P802.3bu and P802.3bv has been decided, account for Could edit as in P802.3bv/D3.0 or indicate to be updated during publication preparation. If any changes to the base standard made by these two additional amendments. the list is added, delete the list at line 25. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Account for any changes to the base standard made by P802.3bu and P802.3bv as well as updates to any of the earlier amendments. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 00 SC 0 P 2 L 46 # 175 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting SC 0 P 1 Comment Type Comment Status D C/ 00 L 2 # 50 Draft uses both 201x and 20xx for yet to be approved standards and other year dates. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc./ While this project is unlikely to be subject to the uncertainty of the next decade, other Comment Status D Comment Type ER projects getting started now face that possible uncertainty. It is likely that 802.3bu and 802.3bv, both currently in sponsor ballot will be completed prior SugaestedRemedy to this standard, which has just entered working group ballot. This effects the introduction. Use one form to simplify search by publication editor. I recommend 20xx as is used in the header and may affect updates elsewhere in the draft (unclear without substantial IEEE boilerplate. cross-checking). Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Consult 802.3 leadership to estimate order of publication. Change header to add "as amended by st of amendments to be provided by staff prior to publication>". change line 28. to include IEEE Std 802.3bu-201x and IEEE Std 802.3bv-201x. Add 802.3bu and 802.3bv summaries after 802.3bz on page 13, and before 802.3bs, as well as any other

amendments deemed likely to precede 802.3bs. Update table 45-3 (P41) and editing instruction to align with 802.3bv (bit 1.22 is no longer reserved), and editor to check and update draft to align with 802.3bv and 802.3bu and any other preceding standards

Response Status O

indicated by leadership.

Proposed Response

P **8** C/ 00 SC 0 L 22 # 176 C/ 00 SC_0 P 73 L 22 # 45 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Ran. Adee Intel Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type The WG ballot group is now known. It is thoughtful to allow members to review the The term RS-FEC appears here (corrected and uncorrected codeword counters), but the appearance of their names in case there is any error in the database. subclause titles use "PCS FEC". "PCS FEC" also appears (as a distinct term from RS-FEC) in 30.5.1.1.17 and 30.5.1.1.18 which refer to these counters. SuggestedRemedy Add list that the WG Chair can provide, (he will probably remind you not to duplicate officer If "PCS FEC" is the chosen term it should be used consistently. names in the added list). This applies to: Proposed Response Response Status O 45.2.3.47e, P73 L21 45.2.3.47f. P73 L42 119.1.2. P141 L26 SC 0 P 13 L 6 C/ 00 # 177 119A, P315 L11 and L28 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting 120B.3.2. P332 L15 120D.3.2. P351 L21 and L22 Comment Status D Comment Type 120D.3.2.2, P352 L7, L21, L29 Update with current document descriptions. SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "RS-FEC" to "PCS FEC" in the listed places. I personally prefer adding the document list with draft numbers that were used when Proposed Response Response Status O creating the draft in an Editor's note above this list as this is the first location where base text is drawn from preceding amendments and corrigenda. The Editor's note list on p. 32 does not provide good information for this purpose. C/ 1 SC 1.1.3.2 P 33 L 22 # 537 From my most recent review updates to the list are appropriate: Bouda, Martin Fujitsu p. 12, I. 42 hopefully publication editors will correct the grammar, other projects have deleted "for" to do that in their drafts: Comment Type Comment Status D p. 13. I. 8 add Amendment 8 802.3bu and Amendment 9 802.3bv. Also consider adding "Two widths of (...) eigth-lane version (...) four-lane version" could be made easier to read Corrigendum 1 as it is likely to preceed approval of this project. by replacing either the word "width" by "type", or words "type" by "width" Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy In the sentence replace the two instances of "version" by "width". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 00 SC 0 P 32 L 46 # 178

RMG Consulting

P802.3bp should no be longer running in parallel after September, also, it is not terribly helpful in knowing which doeuments the editors have considered in preparation of the draft.

Delete the editor's note, or add the list of considered published, approved and in ballot

Comment Status D

Response Status 0

Grow, Robert

Comment Type

SuggestedRemedy

drafts.

Proposed Response

C/ 1 SC 1.1.3.2 P 33 L 35 # 538 Bouda, Martin Fuiitsu Comment Status D Comment Type "Two widths of (...) sixteen-lane version (...) eight-lane version" could be made easier to read by replacing either the word "width" by "type", or words "type" by "width" SuggestedRemedy In the sentence replace the two instances of "version" by "width". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 1 SC 1.3 P 33 L 44 # 179 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status D Though unlikely with these two inserted references, they should be in alphanumeric order to minimize publication editor error in inserting. SuggestedRemedy Correct order. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 1 SC 1.4 P 34 L 3 # 180 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status D The inserts as specified make worse the sort order mess that is currently the state of 1.4.

The inserts as specified make worse the sort order mess that is currently the state of 1.4 40GBASE terms in 2015 did not follow either the speed ordered port type list at the beginning of 1.4, nor insert after 2BASE-TL for at least the first digit being in sort order. 25GBASE terms were inserted by P802.3by before 40GBASE terms so at least the first digit of the port types somewhat sort. The insert order also violates the groupings of the current 1.4 by not inserting the interface terms together.

SuggestedRemedy

Either try to better group using existing groups (after 25G/40G with interfaces separately grouped, or at a minimum order the inserts of P802.3bs in proper letter by letter sort order (.0123456789abcdefghijklmnopqustuvwxyz) ignoring spaces and all other characters.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4 P 35 L 12 # 145
D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

The basic definition is limited, and speaks only to what it is, rather than the complete function it serves - to extend the reach of the 200GMII and allow communication with 200G PHYs that use a different PCS.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the definition to

The 200 Gb/s Media Independent Interface Extender extends the reach of the 200GMII and consists of two 200GXS sublayers with a 200GAUI-n between them. It is defined as a mechanism for communication with future 200 Gigabit Ethernet PHYs that utilize a PCS sublayer other than that defined in Clause 119. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 118.)

Proposed Response Status 0

Cl 1 SC 1.4 P 35 L 18 # 147

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

In the definition of the 200GMII Extender, it is noted that the 200GXS is for future 200G PHYs and is identical to the 200GBASE-R PCS. It is likely that the reader will find this definition confusing. As noted in other comment, the Extender allows communication with future 200G PHYs using a PCS different than the existing 200GBASE-R PCS. It is not intuitive to merely say that the functionality of the 200GXS is the same as the 200GBASE-R PCS. Essentially, the 200GBASE-R PCS can be configured through the appropriate registers as a 200GXS in order to implement the 200GMI Extender.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the definitionThe 200 Gb/s Extender Sublayer (200GXS) is part of the 200GMII Extender. In functionality, it is identical to the 200GBASE-R PCS Sublayer defined in Clause 119. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 118.), but must be configured as a 200GXS through optional management registers.

Proposed Response Status O

P 35 C/ 1 SC 1.4 L 22 # 146 D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary

Comment Status D Comment Type

The basic definition is limited, and speaks only to what it is, rather than the complete function it serves - to extend the reach of the 400GMII and allow communication with 400G PHYs that use a different PCS.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the defintion to

The 400 Gb/s Media Independent Interface Extender extends the reach of the 400GMII and consists of two 400GXS sublayers with a 400GAUI-n between them. It is defined as a mechanism for future 400 Gigabit Ethernet PHYs that utilize a PCS sublayer other than that defined in Clause 119. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 118.)

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 1 SC 1.4 P 35 L 26 # 137

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary

Comment Type Comment Status D

In the definition of the 400GMII Extender, it is noted that the 400GXS is for future 400G PHYs and is identical to the 400GBASE-R PCS. It is likely that the reader will find this definition confusing. As noted in other comment, the Extender allows communication with future 400G PHYs using a PCS different than the existing 400GBASE-R PCS. It is not intuitive to merely say that the functionality of the 400GXS is the same as the 400GBASE-R PCS. Essentially, the 400GBASE-R PCS can be configured through the appropriate registers as a 400GXS in order to implement the 400GMI Extender.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the definitionThe 400 Gb/s Extender Sublayer (400GXS) is part of the 400GMII Extender. In functionality, it is identical to the 400GBASE-R PCS Sublayer defined in Clause 119. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 118.), but must be configured as a 400GXS through optional management registers.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 1 SC 1.4.72b P 34 L 8 # 539 Bouda, Martin

Fujitsu

Comment Type Comment Status D

"Two widths of (...) eigth-lane version (...) four-lane version" could be made easier to read by replacing either the word "width" by "type", or words "type" by "width"

SuggestedRemedy

In the sentence replace the two instances of "version" by "width".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 1 SC 1.4.72i P 34 L 33 # 540

Bouda, Martin Fujitsu

Comment Type Comment Status D

"Two widths of (...) sixteen-lane version (...) eight-lane version" could be made easier to read by replacing either the word "width" by "type", or words "type" by "width"

SuggestedRemedy

In the sentence replace the two instances of "version" by "width".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 1 SC 1.4.107 P 35 L 5 # 181

Grow. Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

P802.3cb is also modifying this definition, if timelines hold true, this instruction and base text is wrong.

SuggestedRemedy

Add an Editor's note to remind that 802.3cb is also modifying this definition and base text and editing instruction reference will have to be updated if 802.3cb is assigned a lower amendment number than 802.3bs.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 1 SC 1.4.132a P 35 L 11 # 182 C/ 1 SC 1.4.325 P 35 L 36 # 542 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Bouda, Martin Fujitsu Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D ER Ε I can discern no logical reason for inserting these terms after 1.4.132. Moving the word together to just after the word carried would make the following sentence easier to read: "One or more PCS lanes can be multiplexed and carried on a physical lane SuggestedRemedy together at the PMA service interface." Sort with other terms that begin with a number. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O "One or more PCS lanes can be multiplexed and carried together on a physical lane at the PMA service interface." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 1 SC 1.4.132a P 35 L 13 # 65 Anslow, Pete Ciena C/ 1 SC 1.5 P 35 L 39 # 183 Comment Type Comment Status D Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Now that: CCMII Extender has become 200GMII Extender Comment Type E Comment Status D CCXS ahs become 200GXS Sort order of 1.5 is alphanumeric (with only a few errors). CDMII Extender has become 400GMII Extender CDXS ahs become 400GXS SuggestedRemedy these definitions are not in the correct place in 1.4 Correct editing instruction to alphanumeric. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Move these definitions to the appropriate place in 1.4 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 37 L 17 # 543 Bouda, Martin Fujitsu C/ 1 SC 1.4.325 P 35 L 35 # 541 Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bouda, Martin Fujitsu Insert a comma to separate Clause number from bitrate in "Clause 119 200 Gb/s" Comment Type Ε Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy "(...) PCS distributes encoded data to multiple logical lanes, these logical lanes are called "Clause 119, 200 Gb/s" PCŚ lanes." should be broken into two sentences, removing the comma. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy "(...) PCS distributes encoded data to multiple logical lanes. These logical lanes are called PCS lanes."

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 37 L 18 # 544 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 44 L 53 # 185 Bouda, Martin Fuiitsu Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Type ER Comment Status D Insert a comma to separate Clause number from bitrate in "Clause 119 400 Gb/s" P802.3by Amendment 9 defines the six bit number 110100. I'll submit a comment on P802.3bv to change the base text as suggested in the Editor's note. Resulting in base text SuggestedRemedy of "110101 = reserved" plus the definition of 110100 as shown in P802.3by/D3.0. "Clause 119, 400 Gb/s" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the P802.3bv editing instruction to include IEEE Std 802.3bv-20xx. Split line 35 into 0110101 = reserved and 0110100 = BASE-H PMA/PMD (underscore the leftmost 0). It may be helpful to add an Editors note stating that P802.3cb is defining 0111100 and 0111011 and P802.3cc is defining 0110110 and 0110101, in case either is assigned a P 37 L 27 C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 # 545 lower amendment number. Bouda, Martin Fujitsu Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type ER Comment Status D Insert a comma to separate Clause number from bitrate in "Clause 119 200 Gb/s" C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.10 P 51 L 3 # 186 SuggestedRemedy Grow. Robert **RMG** Consulting "Clause 119, 200 Gb/s" Proposed Response Comment Type Comment Status D Response Status 0 P802.3bz (1.11.14) and P802.3bv (1.11.15) both define values requiring update to the base text from IEEE Std 802.3by. C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 P 37 L 28 # 546 SuggestedRemedy Bouda, Martin Fujitsu Delete the first row of the table changes. Add a strikethrough Reserved and Value always 0 to the row for 1.11.13. P802.3bz/D3.3 submitted to RevCom has the word zero instead Comment Type ER Comment Status D of the more common digit 0, but since it is strikethrough and publication editors might Insert a comma to separate Clause number from bitrate in "Clause 119 200 Gb/s" change to the digit for consistency, which is used might be considered worrying about nits. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O "Clause 119, 200 Gb/s" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.10 P 51 L 12 Charter Communicatio Haiduczenia. Marek Cl 45 SC 45.2.1 P 41 L 7 # 184 Comment Type E Comment Status D Grow, Robert RMG Consulting "1.11.15:14" should be shown in underline - it is an inserted text Comment Type ER Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy P802.3bv Amendment 9 should be the base text. Per comment SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Cite IEEE Std 802.3bv-20xx instead of 802.3bz. Delete row for 1.22. Change last row to "1.23 through" (strikethrough) Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **45** SC **45.2.1.10** Page 7 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:15

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.10.aaa P 51 L 23 # 187 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.123 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Haiduczenia, Marek Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Type ER Comment Status D P802.3bz includes this subclause number for description of bit 1.11.14. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Renumber to fit between the bit 13 subclause 45.2.10.aa description of 802.3bv and the bit 14 subclause 45.2.10.aaa of 802.3bz. I think that makes it 45.2.10.ab. Make corresponding changes to the PICS. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.124 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.116b P 55 L 1 # 188 Healey, Adam Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status D P802.3by Amendment 9 inserts Table 45-90a for register 1.900. SuggestedRemedy Renumber all 45-90x tables being inserted to be 45-90ax (x being the existing letter). Make corresponding changes to the PICS. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.123 P 60 L 60 # 547 Bouda, Martin Fujitsu

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

"(...) PHY types that implement square wave testing and PRBS testing in the PMA." should be made inclusive of the newly added patterns of bits 1.1500.6 through 1.1500.15.

SuggestedRemedy

"(...) PHY types that implement SSPRQ, JP03A, square wave, PRBS13Q or PRBS testing ability in the PMA."

Proposed Response Response Status O P 61

L 21

4

Charter Communicatio

"and this register is implemented" - typically, register numbers are referenced explicitly

Change "and this register is implemented" to "and register 1.500 is implemented" in newly added text and text existing already in 45.2.1.123

Response Status 0

L 32

Broadcom Ltd.

P 62

Comment Status D

JP03A is a jitter test pattern. Such testing would be more rigorous if aggressor lanes (i.e., active lanes other than the lane under test) could transmit a more spectrcally rich test pattern while the lane under test transmits JP03A. To accomplish this, the per-lane management model used for the square wave test pattern (see 45.2.1.125) should also be applied to JP03A. A modification to the litter specification that requires aggressor lanes to transmit "random" test patterns is the subject of a separate comment.

Remove "JP03A pattern enable" bit from register 1.1501 (Table 45-93). Create a "JP03A control" register modeled after 1.1510 (see 45.2.1.125) in an appropriate place within the management register space and generate a new subclause accordingly. In this register, provide lane 0 through lane 7 JP03A enable bits (the remainder are reserved). As in 45.2.1.125, state in the new subclause that "lanes for which JP03A is not enabled act as determined by other registers".

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.125 P 64 L 24 # 548

Bouda, Martin

Fujitsu

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

The footnote of Table 45-94 does not need to include "RO=Read only" anymore since all of the bits have become R/W.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the footnote with "aR/W = Read/Write"

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.1.5 P 66 L 48 # 60 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.47a P 70 L 51 # 95 Anslow. Pete Ciena Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Type TR Comment Status D The changes to 45.2.3.1.5 shown in P802.3bs D2.0 are an extension of the changes With the checker board distribution of RS-symbols into PCS lanes, the PCS FEC Symbol shown in P802.3by D2.1. error counters don't provide a 1-1 mapping of physical lane to counter. So you have 2 physical lanes providing error counts into the same PCS FEC lane counter. This doesn't However, comment #7 against P802.3by D2.1 resulted in the removal of the changes to 45.2.3.1.5 from the P802.3by draft. supply the intent of the counter to assist in identifying the lanes that are running at worse SER rates then others. See http://www.ieee802.org/3/by/public/comments/8023by_D21_comment_final_responses_by_ SuggestedRemedy clause.pdf#page=5 Presentation to be supplied Without any changes being made by IEEE Std 802.3by-2016, there is no need for the changes shown in the P802.3bs draft. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Remove 45.2.3.1.5 from the P802.3bs draft (and therefore leave 45.2.3.1.5 as it is in the C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.47d.2 P 72 L 50 # 41 base standard). Ran. Adee Intel Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type TR Comment Status D This bit can be left unspecified (so that any value is allowed), but to reduce confusion it C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.6 P 68 L 36 # 5 would be better to specify it. A value of 1 makes sense, as it indicates an undesirable Charter Communicatio Haiduczenia. Marek situation. Comment Status D Comment Type E The bit value can't be "undefined" - a value of a bit is either 0 or 1. In Table 45–123, column for bit 3 uses much larger font than columns for bits 0, 1, and 2 ("undefined" is sometimes used in clause 45 when a read value is irrelevant or a register is SuggestedRemedy undefined, but the value of this register affects the encoding of the transmitted bit stream.) Please use the same font for all columns: 0, 1, 2, and 3 SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "The value of bit 3.801.4 is undefined" to "This bit is set to one". Alternatively, change to "unspecified" or "implementation dependent". C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.47a P 70 L 49 # 189 Proposed Response Response Status O Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type ER Comment Status D P802.3bv Amendment 9 inserts 45.2.3.47a through 45.2.3.47g and Tabled 45-160a

Renumber subclauses and tables to begin at 45.2.3.47h and 45-160h respectively. Make

Response Status O

through 45-160g. SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

corresponding changes to the PICS.

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.47i P **75** L 5 # 104 CI 78 SC 78.5 P 100 L 41 # 49 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc./ TR Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type When defining the interval you should limit this to intervals that make sense for the FEC Table 78-4 has gotten separated from its editing instruction. engine. For example for Clause 119 because there's two FEC decoders running in parallel SuggestedRemedy this interval should not be an odd number since it'll be a pain to add in symbol counts for 4 or 8 of the lanes and then start the next interval with the sum of the error counts from the Beat on frame and put Table 78-4 after its editing instruction on line 41 and before the next other lanes subclause. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status 0 Add the following to the definition of the register. "The least significant bit of this registers shall be ignored by by the 200G/400G PCS (119) since it operates on two codewords at a time." C/ 93A SC 93A.1 P 313 L 40 # 492 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Status D 200GAUI-n and 400GAUI-n are not physical layers. SC 45.2.5.4.a C/ 45 P 89 L 24 # 191 SuggestedRemedy Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Change "Physical Layer" with "Electrical interface" in the title of Table 93A-2 and in the Comment Type Ε Comment Status D header row of Table 93A-2. "DTE-XS" has an extra hyphen. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change "DTE-XS" with "DTE XS". C/ 116 SC 116.1.2 P 105 L 12 Proposed Response Response Status O Charter Communicatio Haiduczenia. Marek Comment Type E Comment Status D C/ 45 SC 45.2.5.4.a P 89 L 29 # 192 "in Annex 120B, or Annex 120C" - no need for "," Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status D Change to "in Annex 120B or Annex 120C" The same change in lines 16 "DTE-XS" has an extra hyphen. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Change "DTE-XS" with "DTE XS". Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 116 SC 116.1.4 P 106 L 24 # 549 C/ 116 SC 116.2.3 P 108 L 1 Bouda, Martin Fuiitsu D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei. Subsidiary Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Type ER A nomenclature is a system of naming things, rather than specific instances of a The full functionality of the respective PCS's are not captured, as they can be configured systematic naming. Therefore, the word "Nomenclature" should be at replaced by "PHY" in as the respective 200GXS or 400GXS to help implement the respective extender sublayers the sentence "Table 116-3 and Table 116-4 specify the correlation between nomenclature SuggestedRemedy and clauses." add sentence - The 200GBASE-R PCS has the same functionality as the 200GXS, and SuggestedRemedy therefore may be configured as the respective layer in order to implement the optional 200GMII Extender Sublayer. The 400GBASE-R PCS has the same functionality as the "Table 116-3 and Table 116-4 relate PHYs to applicable clauses." 400GXS, and therefore may be configured as the respective layer in order to implement Proposed Response Response Status 0 the optional 400GMII Extender Sublayer. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 116 SC 116.1.4 P 106 L 28 # 550 Bouda, Martin Fujitsu C/ 116 SC 116.3.2 P 109 L 13 Comment Type ER Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America A nomenclature is a system of naming things, rather than specific instances of a Comment Type Comment Status D systematic naming. Therefore, the word "Nomenclature" should be replaced by "Name", as PMA service interface is called not only by PCS but also called by another PMA, DTE in Table 116-2 for instance, or by "PHY". 200GXS or DTE 400GXS sublayer. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace all occurences of "Nomenclature" by "PHY". Change "b) PMA: ... " with the following: Proposed Response Response Status O b) PMA: -- for primitives issued on the interface between the PMA sublayer and one of PCS, DTE 200GXS, DTE 400GXS, or another PMA sublayer that is above the PMA sublayer. C/ 116 SC 116.1.4 P 107 L 4 # 551 Bouda, Martin Fujitsu Proposed Response Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Replace all occurences of "Nomenclature" by "PHY".

Comment Status D A nomenclature is a system of naming things, rather than specific instances of a

systematic naming. Therefore, the word "Nomenclature" should be replaced by "Name", as

Proposed Response Response Status O

in Table 116-2 for instance, or by "PHY".

ER

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 116 SC 116.3.2 Page 11 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:15

144

193

C/ 116 SC 116.3.2 P 109 L 15 # 195 C/ 116 SC 116.5 P 114 L 34 # 196 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status D DTE 200GXS and DTE 400GXS do not provide the service interface to PMA, because SP6 is defined at the output of the PMA closest to the PCS, but it is not clear if there is PMA is below DTE 200GXS and DTE 400GXS. PMA above PCS with 200GXS or 400GXS. The upper interface of DTE 200GXS and DTE400GXS is 200GMII or 400GMII. SuggestedRemedy Only PHY 200GXS and PHY 400GXS provide the service interface to PMA above. Insert "below and" in front of "closest to the PCS". Also, we do not need separate prefixes. A single prefix of "PHY XS" is enough. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Change the definition of "c) 200GXS" and "d) 400GXS)" as follows: c) PHY XS -- for primitives issued on the interface between the PHY 200GXS or PHY C/ 116 SC 116.5 P 117 L 23 # 197 400GXS sublayer and the PMA sublayer called the PHY XS service interface. Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status D Table 116-8 gives max skew variation in PMD UI only for 26.5625 Gbd PMD lane, but there is also PMD lane operating at 53.125 Gbd for 400Gb/s PHY. C/ 116 SC 116.3.2 P 109 L 19 # 194 SuggestedRemedy Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Add a new column of "Maximum Skew Variation for 53.125 Gbd PMD lane (UI)" with the Comment Type Comment Status D following values: SP1 ~ 11 The abstract prefix "inst" for the service interface is used but not defined. SP2 ~ 21 SuggestedRemedy SP3 ~ 32 Add the following prefix of the service interface: SP4 ~ 181 SP5 ~ 191 inst: -- for primitives issued on the interface between the PMA sublayer and one of PMD. SP6 ~ 202 PHY 200GXS, PHY 400GXS, or another PMA sublayer that is below the PMA sublayer. PCS ~ 213 Add the following note to the new column: or The symbol ~ indicates approximate equivalent of maximum Skew Variation in UI based on 1UI equals 18.82353 ps at PMD lane signaling rate of 53.125 Gbd. inst: -- abstract prefix representing PMD, PMA, or PHY XS. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 116 SC 116.7 P 118 L 20 # 198 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D "200 Gigabit" is missing. SuggestedRemedy Insert "200 Gigabit and" after "Each of the". Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 116 SC 116.7 Page 12 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:15

C/ 116 SC 116.7 P 118 L 21 # 7 C/ 117 SC 117.4 P 121 L 48 # 200 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D PICS in 116.7 covers 200G and 400G, so the statement "Each of the 400 Gigabit Ethernet It is not easy to find "PMA stop signaling" in clause 81.4. PICS conforms to the same notation and conventions SuggestedRemedy used in 21.6." is only partially complete Change the sentence as follows: SuggestedRemedy Change to "Each of the 200 Gigabit and 400 Gigabit Ethernet PICS conforms to the same LPI assertion and detection function identically to the CGMII specified in 81.4, with the notation and conventions used in 21.6." single exception that the PMA stop signaling described in 81.4.4 is not applicable. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 116.7 C/ 116 P 118 L 21 # 155 C/ 117 SC 117.5.3 P 123 L 5 # 201 Dudek. Mike Cavium Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Type T Comment Status D Clause 116 covers both 200G and 400G. The notation and conventions used in 21.6 Item "XGE" is referenced by FS1 in p 125, but not defined. should be applied to the 200G pics. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a new row as follows: Replace "400 Gigabit" with "200 Gigabit or 400 Gigabit" Item: *XGE Proposed Response Response Status 0 Feature: PHY support of either 200GMII or 400GMII Subclause: 117.2, 117.3 Value: (blank) C/ 117 SC 117.1.7 P 121 L 33 # 199 Status: O Support: Yes [] No [] Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status D The reference to 81.1.6 is inappropriate, because 81.1.6 is XLGMII/CGMII structure. It should be a reference to 81.1.7 that is Mapping of XLGMII/CGMII signals to PLS service C/ 117 P 123 primitives. SC 117.5.3 L 11 # 202 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Change the reference to 81.1.6 with a reference to 81.1.7. Comment Type T Comment Status D At least one of RS200 or RS400 must be supported, because RS is mandatory. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Change the status of RS200 from "O" to "O.1". Change the status of RS400 from "O" to "O.1". Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 117 SC 117.5.3 P 123 L 16 # 253 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 12 # 205 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Ε Т Item "LPI" is referenced from items "L1" and "L2" in 117.5.4.6. Reference to 117.1.7 for PL3 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert "*" (asterisk) in front of "LPI" in the item column. Change the subclause column for PL3 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.1.4". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 6 # 203 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 15 # 206 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Status should not be conditional for "RS", because RS is mandatory. RS is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. Reference to 117.1.7 for PL4 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for PL1 through PL13 from "RS:M" to "M". Remove "N/A []" from the support column for PL1 through PL13. Change the subclause column for PL4 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.1.4". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 9 # 204 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 17 # 207 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.1.7 for PL2 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.1.7 for PL5 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. 117.1.7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for PL2 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.1.4". Change the subclause column for PL5 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.1.4".

Proposed Response

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Response Status O

P 124 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 L 21 # 208 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 32 # 211 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т Т Reference to 117.1.7 for PL6 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.1.7 for PL9 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. 117.1.7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for PL6 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.2.3". Change the subclause column for PL9 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.2.3". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 24 # 209 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 35 # 212 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Reference to 117.1.7 for PL7 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.1.7 for PL10 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. 117.1.7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for PL7 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.2.3". Change the subclause column for PL10 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.5.3". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 28 # 210 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 37 # 213 Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.1.7 for PL8 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.1.7 for PL11 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. 117.1.7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for PL8 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.2.3". Change the subclause column for PL11 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.5.3". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O

P 124 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 L 42 # 214 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.3 P 125 L 6 # 217 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т Т Reference to 117.1.7 for PL12 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.2 for DS1 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for PL12 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.5.3". Change the subclause column for DS1 from "117.2" to "117.2, 81.2". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 45 # 215 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.3 P 125 L 8 # 218 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Reference to 117.1.7 for PL13 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.2 for DS2 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. 117.2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for PL13 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.5.3". Change the subclause column for DS2 from "117.2" to "117.2, 81.2". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.3 P 125 L 6 # 216 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.3 P 125 L 11 # 219 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Status should not be conditional for "RS", because RS is mandatory. RS is not defined in Reference to 117.2 for DS3 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in the major capabilities/options as well. 117.2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for DS1 through DS4 from "RS:M" to "M". Change the subclause column for DS3 from "117.2" to "117.2, 81.2.3". Remove "N/A []" from the support column for DS1 through DS4. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

P 125 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.3 L 13 # 220 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 27 # 224 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Т Reference to 117.2 for DS4 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in FS3 depends on XGE (not RS), because it is mandatory only if either 200GMII or 400GMII is supported. RS is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. 117.2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for DS4 from "117.2" to "117.2, 81.2.4". Change the status column for FS3 from "RS:M" to "XGE:M". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 22 # 221 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 27 # 223 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for FS1 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for FS3 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.3. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS1 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.1". Change the subclause column for FS3 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.2". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 25 # 222 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 29 # 225 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for FS2 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for FS4 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.3. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS2 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.1". Change the subclause column for FS4 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.2". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O

P 125 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 L 32 # 227 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 36 # 229 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т Т FS5 depends on XGE (not RS), because it is mandatory only if either 200GMII or 400GMII Reference to 117.3 for FS7 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in is supported. RS is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for FS5 from "RS:M" to "XGE:M". Change the subclause column for FS7 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.4". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 32 # 226 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 36 # 230 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for FS5 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in FS7 (start alignment) is a feature of RS that is mandatory, not optional. RS is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS5 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.2". Change the status column for FS7 from "RS:M" to "M". Remove "N/A []" from the support column for FS7. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 34 # 228 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 39 # 231 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for FS6 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for FS8 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.3. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS6 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.3". Change the subclause column for FS8 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.1".

Proposed Response

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Response Status O

P 125 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 L 41 # 232 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 46 # 235 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т Т Reference to 117.3 for FS9 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for FS11 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS9 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.1". Change the subclause column for FS11 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.2". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 43 # 233 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 46 # 236 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for FS10 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in FS11 depends on XGE (not PHY), because it is mandatory only if either 200GMII or 400GMII is supported. PHY is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS10 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.1". Change the status column for FS11 from "PHY:M" to "XGE:M". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 43 # 234 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 48 # 237 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D FS10 depends on XGE (not PHY), because it is mandatory only if either 200GMII or Reference to 117.3 for FS12 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 400GMII is supported. PHY is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for FS10 from "PHY:M" to "XGE:M". Change the subclause column for FS12 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.2". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O

C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 126 L 3 # 239 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 126 L 8 # 242 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D FS13 depends on XGE (not RS), because it is mandatory only if either 200GMII or FS15 (received error control character) is a feature of RS that is mandatory, not optional. 400GMII is supported. RS is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. RS is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for FS13 from "RS:M" to "XGE:M". Change the status column for FS15 from "RS:M" to "M". Remove "N/A []" from the support column for FS15. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 126 L 3 # 238 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 126 L 8 # 241 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т Reference to 117.3 for FS13 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for FS15 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.3. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS13 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.3". Change the subclause column for FS13 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.3.1". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 126 L 6 # 240 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 126 L 10 # 244 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for FS14 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in FS16 (DATA_VALID assertion) is a feature of RS that is mandatory, not optional. RS is not 117.3. defined in the major capabilities/options as well. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS14 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.3". Change the status column for FS16 from "RS:M" to "M". Proposed Response Response Status O Remove "N/A []" from the support column for FS16.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 126 L 10 # 243 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.5 P 126 L 22 # 247 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т Т Reference to 117.3 for FS16 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for LF2 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS16 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.3.3". Change the subclause column for LF2 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.4.2". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.5 P 126 L 20 # 246 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.5 P 126 L 25 # 248 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for LF1 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for LF3 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.3. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for LF1 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.4". Change the subclause column for LF3 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.4.2". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.5 P 126 L 20 # 245 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.5 P 126 L 28 # 249 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Status should not be conditional for "RS", because RS is mandatory. RS is not defined in Reference to 117.3 for LF4 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in the major capabilities/options as well. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for LF1 through LF5 from "RS:M" to "M". Change the subclause column for LF4 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.4.2". Remove "N/A []" from the support column for LF1 through LF5. Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.5 P 126 L 31 # 250

Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America

Comment Type Comment Status D Т

Reference to 117.3 for LF5 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the subclause column for LF5 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.4.2".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.6 P 126 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo

Comment Type Comment Status D

Reference to 117.3 for L1 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.3.

L 40

251

252

SuggestedRemedy

Change the subclause column for L1 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.2".

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.6 P 126 L 43 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America

Reference to 117.3 for L2 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type T

Change the subclause column for L2 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.4".

Comment Status D

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.1 P 127 L 29 # 254

Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America

Comment Type Comment Status D

In Figure 118-1, DTE 200GXS and PHY 200GXS are not distinguished, DTE 400GXS and PHY 400GXS are not distinguished as well. Although their specifications are mostly identical, there have clear difference due to the location in the protocol stack. I think we should not omit the prefix "DTE" or "PHY" whenever their distinction is important or effective so as to remind readers of their distinction and labeling.

SuggestedRemedy

Make the following changes in Figure 118-1:

Change the upper "200GXS" with "DTE 200GXS".

Change the lower "200GXS" with "PHY 200GXS".

Change the upper "400GXS" with "DTE 400GXS".

Change the lower "400GXS" with "PHY 400GXS".

Add "DTE = DATA TERMINAL EQUIPMENT" at the bottom.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.1.2 P 128 L 15 # 255

Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

200GXS and 400GXS must be different from 200GBASE-R PCS and 400GBASE-R PCS regarding to IS SIGNAL.indication.

However, such a difference is not described anywhere.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the paragraph in 118.1.2 to include the exception about SIGNAL.indication.

Add a new subclause for IS_SIGNAL.indication for 200GXS/400GXS sublayer. For PHY 200GXS and PHY 400GXS, the direction of IS SIGNAL indication is opposite to PCS. For DTE 200GXS and DTE 400GXS, the direction of IS SIGNAL indication is same as PCS.

Or, add a new subclause to define the PHY XS service interface that is identical to the PMA service interface except the direction of IS SIGNAL indication that the PMA service interface.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.1.3 P 128 L 21 # 256 C/ 118 SC 118.2 P 128 L 37 # 38 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Ran. Adee Intel Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Status D Т Comment Type ER It is odd to call 200GAUI-n as physical instantiation of the 200GAUI-n. This paragraph probably means to say that if FEC degrade optional feature is implemented, then: SuggestedRemedy 1. The DTE XS should behave as specified in clause 119 _plus additional requirements in Change "physical instantiations of the 200GAUI-n" with "physical instantiations of the PMA service interface". 2. the PHY XS should behave as specified in 118.2.2 Proposed Response Response Status O But the way it is written makes it really difficult to understand what is required, and gives no clue to that it can be used for. C/ 118 SC 118.1.3 P 128 L 28 # 257 In addition, it is not specified what tx am sf and rx am sf should include if the option is Fujitsu Lab of America not implemented. It makes sense that the rx am sf should still forward any indication that Hidaka, Yasuo comes from the PHY... but it's not clear from the text that this part is not optional. Comment Type Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy It is odd to call 400GAUI-n as physical instantiation of the 400GAUI-n. Rewrite this paragraph in plain standard language. Make it clear what shall be done SuggestedRemedy when the option is implemented and when it isn't. (Sorry but I can't think of a good replacement text) Change "physical instantiations of the 400GAUI-n" with "physical instantiations of the PMA service interface". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.2 P 130 L 27 # 52 C/ 118 SC 118.2 P 8 L 41 # 105 Laubach, Mark Broadcom Limited Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status D Add period to end of sentence. When the degrade features is not-supported or enabled in the XS layer, I would think we'd SuggestedRemedy want it to just echo the PCS value all the way back to the RS. As per comment. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add text stating tx_am_sf is a copy of rx_am_sf when degrade is not enabed or supported. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.2.1 P 128 L 44 # 36 Ran. Adee Intel Comment Type ER Comment Status D Cross reference seems incorrect - 118.3 does not mention FEC_degraded_SER_enable. Also in 118.2.2, P129 L5. Should it be 118.4? This subclause only lists the MDIO mapping, but does not describe the variable - the full description is only available in 45.2.4.11j.1, which is hard to find. So this cross-reference is not useful. SuggestedRemedy Either add the description from clause 45 to 118.4 and change the cross reference to 118.4, or point directly to clause 45, or remove the cross reference. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.2.1 P 128 L 45 # 117 Ofelt. David Juniper Networks Comment Type ER Comment Status D Reference to 118.3 should be 118.4 since 118.4 is where the MDIO mapping tables live. SuggestedRemedy Change 118.3 to 118.4. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.2.1 P 128 L 45 # 262 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Ε 118.3 is referred for FEC_degraded_SER_enable, but there is no description of FEC_degraded_SER_enable in 118.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change "see 118.3" with "see 118.4".

Response Status 0

Cl 118 SC 118.2.1 P 128 L 52 # B

Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Text "5.801.6 of the DTE XS FEC status register" uses font smaller than the rest of the text

SuggestedRemedy

Please use the consistent font size

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 118 SC 118.2.2 P128 L19 # 39 Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The text on the left says

"When the PHY 200GXS or PHY 400GXS detects FEC degrade, the signal is propagated to the adjacent PCS, which can propagate that signal as local degrade"

How can it propagate that signal?

I would expect that the PHY "adjacent PCS" (facing the partner, so that it is _not_ a part of the PHY XS) _should_ propagate a degradation detected by the DTE XS. But the signaling of that PCS is specified in 119.2.4.4 using only the variable FEC_degraded_SER (which is defined in clause 119), without any input from the PHY XS PCS. Clause 119 does not assume clause 118.

A similar problem exists in the receive direction (right side). Degradation detected by the "adjacent PCS" should be propagated to the DTE XS, but how?

Also in P129, lines 38 and 43, the text says "the adjacent PCS sublayer indicates" - how does it indicate?

It seems that some interface between the PCS in the PHY XS and the adjacent PCS (in both directions) is missing. The figure only has "200GMII or 400GMII" which does not have a way to encode the "degradation" indication.

SuggestedRemedy

For propagation in the TX direction, perhaps specify in 119.2.4.4 that the FEC_degraded_SER variable can be set and cleared not only by the conditions specified, but also by an adjacent XS in an implementation-dependent manner (regardless of whether the PCS has the feature enabled or not).

For propagation in the RX direction, perhaps specify in 118.2.2 that adjacent_pcs_local_degraded and adjacent_pcs_rm_degraded can be set and cleared by the adjacent PCS in an implementation-dependent manner.

Alternatively, add service interface primitives between the adjacent "PHY PCS" and "PHY XS" to convey this information.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 118 SC 118.2.2 P 129 L 5 # 263
Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type E Comment Status D

118.3 is referred for FEC_degraded_SER_enable, but there is no description of FEC degraded SER enable in 118.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "see 118.3" with "see 118.4".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P129 L5 # 118
Ofelt. David Juniper Networks

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Reference to 118.3 should be 118.4 since 118.4 is where the MDIO mapping tables live.

SuggestedRemedy

Change 118.3 to 118.4.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P129 L 19 # 51

Laubach, Mark Broadcom Limited

Comment Type E Comment Status D

As I view in the PDF at 100%: the bottom of the right vertical arrow appears to collide/overlap with the second "0" of "400GXS" in Figure 118-2. Same for Figure 118-3 on page 130. Suggest creating a little more white space separation between the bottom of the arrow and the text.

SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P 129 L 30 # 61 C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P 130 L 26 # 267 Anslow. Pete Ciena Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т Figures 118-2 and 118-3 are missing the acronym expansion key as per other diagrams It seems that "PHY XS" should be "DTE XS". such as Figure 118-1 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "PHY XS" with "DTE XS". Add an acronym expansion key to Figures 118-2 and 118-3. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.3 P 131 L 8 C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P 129 L 34 # 264 Anslow, Pete Ciena Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Figure 118-4 has the PMA layers shaded, but this clause is about the 200GXS or 400GXS declared SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the shading from the PMA layers and apply to the XS layers asserted Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 130 L 15 # 97 C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P 129 L 39 # 265 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Remove all references to Rx Test Mode since we removed the Rx checker from PCS its (comment #46 from D1.1). Rx just operates in functional mode when Tx is in Test mode since it looks just like mission data SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy it is Remove references to rx test mode from Table 118-1, Table 118-3, Table 119-4, MDIO Proposed Response Response Status O register 5.42.2, 119.2.1 Proposed Response Response Status O SC 118.2.2 C/ 118 P 129 L 44 # 266 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D its SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

it is

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.4 Page 26 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:15

P 130 C/ 118 SC 118.4 L 40 # 268 C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 132 L 49 # 271 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D "MDIO" is used twice. No prefix of "PHY XS". Inconsistent from other rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "MDIO PHY XS and DTE XS MDIO status bits" with "MDIO PHY XS and DTE XS Change "FEC corrected codewords" in the column of MDIO status variable with "PHY XS status bits". FEC corrected codewords. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 132 L 7 # 269 C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 132 L 51 # 272 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type Ε Comment Status D No prefix of "PHY XS". Inconsistent from other rows. Table 118-1 has a column of "PCS register name", although this is a table for PHY XS. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "PCS register name" in the header row of Table 118-1 with "PHY XS register Change "FEC uncorrected codewords" in the column of MDIO status variable with "PHY name". XS FEC uncorrected codewords. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 132 L 35 # 270 C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 133 L 4 # 273 Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Table 118-2 has a column of "PCS register name", although this is a table for PHY XS. Table 118-2 has a column of "PCS register name", although this is a table for PHY XS. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "PCS register name" in the header row of Table 118-2 with "PHY XS register Change "PCS register name" in the header row of Table 118-2 with "PHY XS register name". name". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O

P 133 C/ 118 SC 118.4 L 24 # 274 C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 134 L 20 # 277 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Ε Comment Status D Table 118-3 has a column of "PCS register name", although this is a table for DTE XS. No prefix of "DTE XS". Inconsistent from other rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "PCS register name" in the header row of Table 118-3 with "DTE XS register Change "FEC uncorrected codewords" in the column of MDIO status variable with "DTE XS FEC uncorrected codewords. name". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 134 L 4 # 275 C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 L 6 # 282 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Table 118-4 has a column of "PCS register name", although this is a table for DTE XS. The item name "CCE200" is inconsistent with PICS in other clauses. SuggestedRemedy The following item names are used for GMII support in other clauses: Change "PCS register name" in the header row of Table 118-4 with "DTE XS register XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 48) name". XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 49) XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 55) Proposed Response Response Status O XGE40 XLGMII is supported (Clause 82) XGE100 CGMII is supported (Clause 82) 25GE 25GMII is supported (Clause 107) C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 134 L 18 # 276 SuggestedRemedy Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Change the item column for CCE200 from "CCE200" to "200GE". Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status O No prefix of "DTE XS". Inconsistent from other rows. SuggestedRemedy C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 Change "FEC corrected codewords" in the column of MDIO status variable with "DTE XS L 6 # 92 FEC corrected codewords. Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status D The "Support" column is ragged - the first vew rows have the entries centered, the last few have them left aligned. Similar issue with the receive function table further on in this clause SuggestedRemedy Use a consistent alignment for the support column Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 L 6 # 53 C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 L 8 # 279 Laubach, Mark Broadcom Limited Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type T Ε Comment Status D The two subclauses for items CCE200 and CDE400 use a comma for separation. While in A reference to 118.1 may be helpful for item "CDE400". 118.5.4.3 Page 138, Line 6-11, the two subclauses for items C1 and C2 use "and" for SuggestedRemedy separation. Suggest changing the subclauses for C1 and C2 to comma as looking at the PICS for the other clauses, the use of comma is dominant. Change the subclause column for CCE200 from "117, 119.1.4.1" to "117, 118.1, 119.1.4.1". Proposed Response Response Status O Looking ahead at 119.6.4.3 (page 179. line 6-11), same observation. SuggestedRemedy As per comment. C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 L 11 # 280 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type Т Comment Status D A reference to 119.1.1 may be inappropriate for item "200GXS". C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 L 6 # 278 SuggestedRemedy Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Change the subclause column for 200GXS from "119.1.1" to "118.1". Comment Type Т Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status O A reference to 118.1 may be helpful for item "CCE200". SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for CCE200 from "117, 119.1.4.1" to "117, 118.1, 119.1.4.1". SC 118.5.3 C/ 118 P 136 L 13 # 281 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type T Comment Status D A reference to 119.1.1 may be inappropriate for item "400GXS". C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 L 8 # 283 SuggestedRemedy Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Change the subclause column for 400GXS from "119.1.1" to "118.1". Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status O The item name "CDE400" is inconsistent with PICS in other clauses. The following item names are used for GMII support in other clauses: XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 48) XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 49) XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 55) XGE40 XLGMII is supported (Clause 82)

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

XGE100 CGMII is supported (Clause 82) 25GE 25GMII is supported (Clause 107)

Change the item column for CDE400 from "CDE400" to "400GE".

Response Status 0

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 Page 29 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:15

P 136 C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 L 14 # 284 C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 L 26 # 286 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Ε We need items to distinguish distinctive feature of PHY XS and DTE XS. JTM is mandatory. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert the following two items after 400GXS: Remove "No []" from the support column for JTM. Proposed Response Response Status O Item: *PHYXS Feature: PHY 200GXS or PHY 400GXS Subclause: 118.1 C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.2 P 137 L 20 Value/Comment: (blank) # 287 Status: O/2 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Support: Yes [] No [] Comment Type E Comment Status D Item: *DTEXS Item RF5 depends on the option item BI. Feature: DTE 200GXS or DTE 400GXS SuggestedRemedy Subclause: 118.1 Value/Comment: (blank) Add "N/A []" to the support column for RF5. Status: O/2 Proposed Response Response Status O Support: Yes [] No [] Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.2 P 137 L 25 # 288 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 L 25 # 285 Comment Type E Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Item RF5 depends on the option item BI. Comment Type T Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Reference to 118.5.5 for JTM is inappropriate, because 118.5.5 is a PICS clause. Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for RF6. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the subclause column for JTM from "118.5.5" to "119.2.1, 119.2.4.9". Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.3 P 138 L 7 # 289 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D Choice of "No []" is given for mandatory items C1 through C9. SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" from the support column for C1 through C9. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.3 Page 30 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:15

P 138 C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.3 L 22 # 290 C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.3 P 138 L 37 # 293 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Reference to 119.2.3.5 for C7 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Choice of "No []" is given for mandatory items S1 and S2. 119.2.3.5. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" from the support column for S1 and S2. Change the subclause column for C7 from "119.2.3.5" to "119.2.3.5, 82.2.3.6". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.5 P 139 L 7 # 294 C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.3 P 138 L 24 # 291 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Choice of "No []" is given for mandatory items AM1 and AM2. Reference to 119.2.3.5 for C8 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in SuggestedRemedy 119.2.3.5. Remove "No []" from the support column for AM1 and AM2. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the subclause column for C8 from "119.2.3.5" to "119.2.3.5, 82.2.3.6". Proposed Response Response Status O SC 118.5.4.5 C/ 118 P 139 L 12 # 295 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.3 P 138 L 27 # 292 Comment Status D Comment Type E Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Item AM3 depends on the option item MD. Comment Type T Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Reference to 119.2.3.8 for C9 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 119.2.3.8. Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for AM3. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O

Change the subclause column for C9 from "119.2.3.8" to "119.2.3.8, 82.2.3.9".

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.5 P 139 L 13 # 296 C/ 118 SC 118.5.5.1 P 139 L 32 # 299 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т Alignment marker shall be removed prior to descrambling (119.2.5.5, P162, L46). B1 is mandatory. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert the following item after AM3: Remove "No []" in the support column for B1. Proposed Response Response Status O Item: AM4 Feature: Alignment marker removal Subclause: 119.2.5.5 C/ 118 SC 118.5.6 P 139 Value/Comment: Alignment markers are removed prior to descrambling as described in L 44 # 298 119.2.5.5 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Status: M Comment Type T Comment Status D Support: Yes [] Mapping of MDIO register bits are mandatory. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Insert the following items after M1: C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.5 P 139 L 21 # 297 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Item: M2 Feature: Mapping of MDIO control bits and MDIO status bits for PHY 200GXS or PHY Comment Type E Comment Status D 400GXS JT1 is mandatory. Sub clause: 118.4 Value/Comment: Table 118-1 and Table 118-2 SuggestedRemedy Status: MD*PHYXS:M Remove "No []" and "N/A []" in the support column for JT1. Support: Yes [] Proposed Response Response Status 0 Item: M3 Feature: Mapping of MDIO control bits and MDIO status bits for DTE 200GXS or DTE 400GXS C/ 118 SC 118.5.5.1 P 139 L 26 # 386 Sub clause: 118.4 Value/Comment: Table 118-3 and Table 118-4 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Status: MD*DTEXS:M Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Support: Yes [] It is odd to have "118.5.5.1 Bit order" as a sub clause of "118.5.5 Test-pattern modes". Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Raise the level of subclause "118.5.5.1 Bit order", and renumber subclauses.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.1 P 140 L7 # 300 C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.2 P 140 L 29 # 328 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Ε SM1 is mandatory for 200GXS. L1 is mandatory. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" in the support column for SM1 with "N/A []". Remove "No []" and "N/A [] in the support column for L1. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.1 P 140 L 10 # 324 C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.2 P 140 L 33 # 329 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D SM2 is mandatory for 400GXS. L2 is mandatory. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" in the support column for SM2 with "N/A []". Remove "No []" in the support column for L2. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O SC 118.5.6.2 C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.1 P 140 L 13 # 325 C/ 118 P 140 L 34 # 327 Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Status D The SLIP functions evaluates all possible block "positions" rather than all possible "blocks". When the 200GXS or 400GXS is in loopback, it shall ignore all data presented to it by the PMA sublayer. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the feature column for SM3 from "The SLIP function evaluates all possible blocks" Insert the following item after L2: to "The SLIP function evaluates all possible block positions". Proposed Response Response Status O Item: L3 Feature: When in loopback, ignore all data presented by the PMA sublayer. Subclause: 119.4 C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.1 P 140 L 13 # 326 Status: M Support: Yes [] Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status D SM3 through SM6 are mandatory. SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Remove "No []" in the support column for SM3 through SM6.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.2 Page 33 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:15

C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.3 P 140 L 43 # 330 C/ 119 SC 119.1.3 P 141 L 40 # 93 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type E TIM1 is conditional mandatory only if 200GXS is supported. Most elements in the list indicate both directions of processing, e.g., encoding/decoding, however this only lists "Transcoding from 66B blocks to 257B blocks" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for TIM1. Change to either "Transcoding between 66B blocks and 257B blocks" or "Transcoding of Proposed Response Response Status O 66B blocks to/from 257B blocks" Proposed Response Response Status O SC 118.5.6.3 P 140 C/ 118 L 46 # 331 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America C/ 119 SC 119.1.4 P 141 L 54 # 332 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America TIM2 is conditional mandatory only if 400GXS is supported. Comment Type Т Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Since a transfer on a PCS lane is always done by 1 bit per transfer, Gb/s is more easy to understand Gtransfer/s. Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for TIM2. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change "26.5625 Gtransfer/s on each of 8 PCS lanes" with "26.5625 Gb/s on each of 8 PCS lanes" at L54 on P141. Also change "26.5625 Gtransfer/s on each of 16 PCS lanes" with "26.5625 Gb/s on each of C/ 119 SC 119.1.1 P 141 L 39 # 54 16 PCS lanes" at L30 on P142. Laubach, Mark Broadcom Limited Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status D Comment Type E Add a period to end of sentence each for b) and c). C/ 119 SC 119.1.4.1 P 142 # 333 L 39 SuggestedRemedy Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America As per comment. Comment Type T Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status O The PCS client is not the Reconciliation Sublayer, if there is an optional 200GMII Extender or 400GMII Extender. SC 119.1.3 C/ 119 P 141 L 40 # 100 SuggestedRemedy Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Change "The PCS client is the Reconciliation Sublayer." with the following: Comment Status D Comment Type E If there is no optional 200GMII Extender or 400GMII Extender, the PCS client is the Featurs of PCS doesn't denote it converts data from 257 -> 66 but it does say it does the Reconciliation Sublayer. inverse for data octect generation and fec data. If there is an optional 200GMII Extender, the PCS client is a PHY 200GXS Sublayer. If there is an optional 400GMII Extender, the PCS client is a PHY 400GXS Sublaver. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Change b) to read: "Transcoding from 66-bit blocks to (from) 257-bit blocks" Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 119 SC 119.1.4.1 Page 34 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:15

C/ 119 SC 119.2.3.7 P 146 L 27 # 334 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.2 P 147 L 28 # 336 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D There is a reference to 82.2.3.8 which may need a maintenance. "from" does not make sense. In the second sentence of 82.2.3.8, it is written as the /T/ can occur on any octet of the XLGMII/CGMII and "within" any character of the block. This sentence is inappropriate, 91.5.2.5 has the same problem. because it implicates that the /T/ can occur on "any bit" of the block, although the packet SuggestedRemedy must be always an integer multiple of octets. Change "from" with "form". It is recommended to avoid a reference to 82.2.3.8. Proposed Response Response Status O The following clauses have the same problem: 49.2.4.9 55.3.2.2.12 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.3 P 149 L 3 # 352 82.2.3.8 113.3.2.2.12 (802.3bq) Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status D Copy the paragraph of 82.2.3.8 here. Scrambler is a mandatory feature for S1 of PICS, but "shall" is missing. Remove "within" in front of "any character". Change "XLGMII/CGMII" with "200GMII/400GMII". SuggestedRemedy Change "is scrambled" with "shall be scrambled". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.1 P 146 L 52 # 335 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.3 P 149 L 3 # 337 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type T A reference for the transmit state diagram is missing. It is not good to call tx_xcoded<256:0> as "payload", because tx_xcoded<0> is a tag bit SuggestedRemedy and the actual "payload" is tx_xcoded<256:1>. Insert "shown in Figure 119-14" after "the transmit state diagram". SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "payload" with "transcoded 257-bit block". Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.3 P149 L4 # 338

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The scrambler in 49.2.6 scrambles only the payload of the block, whereas the scrambler in this clause scrambles the whole 257-bit block, not only the payload.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the second sentence in 119.2.4.3 as follows:

The scrambler is identical to the scrambler used in Clause 49 excepting that the whole 257-bit block is scrambled instead of the payload. See 49.2.6 for the definition of the scrambler.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.4.4 P147 L11 # 101

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Since both 96b pattern and the "24-pad bits" are fixed. Why not just state the AM is a fixed 120b pattern.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "96-bit block interleaved with fixed 24-pad bits" to read "120-bit block"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4 P149 L9 # 339

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The first paragraph of 119.2.4.4 is not well written. It is hard to follow, because a reference to 91.5.2.6 is useless (it is so different) and there is unnecessarily detail from the third sentence.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the two sentences "In order ... 91.5.2.6", and insert a new paragraph at the beginning of 119.2.4.4 which is a modified version of the first paragraph of 91.5.2.6. Avoid a reference to 91.5.2.6. The following is an example:

In order to support deskew and reordering of the individual PCS lanes at the receive PCS, alignment markers corresponding to PCS lanes are periodically inserted after being processed by the alignment marker mapping function.

The alignment marker mapping function compensates for the operation of the symbol distribution function defined in 119.2.4.7 and rearranges the alignment marker bits so that they appear on the FEC lanes intact and in the desired sequence. This preserves the properties of the alignment markers (e.g. DC balance, transition density) and provides a deterministic pattern for the purpose of synchronization. The RS-FEC receive function uses knowledge of this mapping to determine the FEC lane that is received on a given lane of the PMA service interface, to compensate for skew between FEC lanes, and to identify RS-FEC codewoard boundaries.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4 P 149 L 12 # 119

Ofelt, David Juniper Networks

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Text describes the alignment marker structure for each lane and refers to the "field poisitioning identical to that defined in 91.5.2.6". It is unclear to me what that actually means- the alignment marker structure in that section seems to be different from what we have in 200/400GbF

SuggestedRemedy

Clarify the meaning

Proposed Response Status O

P 149 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4 L 39 # 340 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4 P 152 L 20 # 98 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type TR The first 48 bits are not identical, because the first 48 bits include UP0 that is different Make all the UM for 200G PCS lanes 1-7 the same for as 400G. UM for lane 0 is unique. between PCS lanes. This will ensure no false link ups of 200G or 400G but minimize the patterns needed to be checked. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change "the first 48 bits" with "CM0 through CM5". Make entries for PCS lanes 1-7 of Table 119-1 be the same as Table 119-2 PCS lanes 1-7 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4 P 149 L 41 # 341 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4 P 152 L 20 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status D When this clause is referenced from XS, this is not the PMA service interface in the context of PHY XS, because PMA is the upper sublayer that receives the service, not the Shift tx am sf to be the first nibble of the UP0 for lane 0. Make the 2nd nibble of UP0 for lower sublaver that provides the service. lane 0 be it's inverse. Then 802.3cd can insert it in the single lane implementations in the same "spot". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "at the PMA service interface" with "the service interface between PMA and PCS". Change tx_am_sf to be {1,degrade,0,0} and update definition of UP0 to be Proposed Response Response Status O tx_am_sf,~tx_am_sf for PCS lane 0. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4 P 152 L 19 # 102 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4.1 P 150 L 31 # 342 Comment Type E Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Can Table 119-1 and Table 119-2 use fixed width font so everything lines up nicely? Comment Type Т Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy It is not clear where am mapped<1027:0> is inserted to. See comment SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Insert "to the output stream" after "inserted". Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4.1 P 150 L 34 # 344 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2 P 153 L 37 # 11 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Gorshe, Steve Microsemi Corp Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Status D Ε Comment Type E Two ways should be written in a parallel form. In Figure 119-5, the transmission order of the 10-bit symbols is not obvious. With careful reading of the text, it becomes apparent that the transmission is by column and then by SuggestedRemedy row. Since telecommunications systems standards typically illustrate transmission by row and then by column, it would be very helpful to the reader to add arrows to indicate the Make a new paragraph starting at "For a 10280-bit block". Remove an empty line after "group inserted:" to make it a single paragraph. transmission order being used here. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Add some arrows to Figure 119-5 to illustrate the symbol transmission order. A proposed revised figure will be sent to the editor in a separate file. C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2 P 151 L 33 # 343 Proposed Response Response Status W Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo [Editor's note: Attachment is gorshe_3bs_01_0916.pdf in Comment Type Comment Status D http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs D2p0 attachments.zip] It is not clear where am mapped<1027:0> is inserted to. C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2 P 153 L 37 # 13 SuggestedRemedy Gorshe. Steve Microsemi Corp Insert "to the output stream" after "inserted". Proposed Response Comment Type ER Comment Status D Response Status 0 Figure 119-5 is incorrect in that it shows all the AM values within a single FEC word. In fact, per Figure 119-10, the AM values are split across the FEC words output from encoders A and B. C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2 P 151 / 35 # 345 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Rather than showing a single FEC block for Figure 119-5, use two blocks side-by-side Comment Type E Comment Status D showing how the AM values divide across the two. A proposed revised figure will be sent Two ways should be written in a parallel form. to the editor in a separate file. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Make a new paragraph starting at "For a 10280-bit block". Remove an empty line after "group inserted:" to make it a single paragraph. [Editor's note: Attachment is gorshe 3bs 01 0916.pdf in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs_D2p0_attachments.zip] Proposed Response Response Status O

12

14

Cl 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2 P154 L2

Gorshe, Steve Microsemi Corp

Comment Type E Comment Status D

In Figure 119-6, the transmission order of the 10-bit symbols is not obvious. With careful reading of the text, it becomes apparent that the transmission is by column and then by row. Since telecommunications systems standards typically illustrate transmission by row and then by column, it would be very helpful to the reader to add arrows to indicate the transmission order being used here.

SuggestedRemedy

Add some arrows to Figure 119-6 to illustrate the symbol transmission order. A proposed revised figure will be sent to the editor in a separate file.

Proposed Response Status W

ER

[Editor's note: Attachment is gorshe_3bs_01_0916.pdf in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs_D2p0_attachments.zip]

Comment Status D

Cl 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2 P 154 L 2

Gorshe, Steve Microsemi Corp

Figure 119-6 is incorrect in that it shows all the AM values within a single FEC word. In fact, per Figure 119-11, the AM values are split across the FEC words output from encoders A and B.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Rather than showing a single FEC block for Figure 119-6, use two blocks side-by-side showing how the AM values divide across the two. A proposed revised figure will be sent to the editor in a separate file.

Proposed Response Response Status W

[Editor's note: Attachment is gorshe_3bs_01_0916.pdf in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs_D2p0_attachments.zip]

Cl 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2 P 154 L 41 # 529

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The text and curly bracket is technically incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

The curly bracket should be changed to only include the 257-bit blocks "between" the AM blocks, and the text should be changed to read "81 919 \times 257-bit blocks between AM insertions" or "81 919 \times 257-bit blocks between alignment markers" The second option is consistent with CL82.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2 P154 L 44 # 562

Wertheim, Oded Mellanox Technologie

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The drawing in Figures 119-7, 119-8 is correct but the description in 119-7 "81 920 \times 257-bit blocks between AM insertions" may be misinterpreted since there are (81 920 - 4) \times 257-bit blocks between insertions.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text in Figure 119-7 to "81 920 × 257-bit blocks between the beginning of successive AMs"

Change the text in Figure 119-8 to "163 840 \times 257-bit blocks between the beginning of successive AMs"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2 P155 L 23 # 530

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The text and curly bracket is technically incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

The curly bracket should be changed to only include the 257-bit blocks "between" the AM blocks, and the text should be changed to read "163 839 \times 257-bit blocks between AM insertions" or "163 839 \times 257-bit blocks between alignment markers". The second option is consistent with CL82.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.5 P 155 L 32 # 346 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.8 P 159 L 24 # 531 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Nicholl, Garv Cisco Systems Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type ER Comment Status D Distributing the data to two FEC code words is a mandatory feature for TF5 of PICS. MAk-1. Since we are using a fixed RS(544,514) FEC, then the value of k is known and fixed, i.e k=514.lt would be easer to read/understand if 514 was substituted for k in the SuggestedRemedy diagram, i.e. MAk-1 becomes MA513, etc. Change "performs" in front of "a 10-bit symbol round robin distribution" with "shall perform". SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Substitute k=514 in the diagram. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.5 P 155 L 37 # 46 Ran, Adee Intel C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.8 P 159 L 32 # 532 Comment Type Т Comment Status D Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems The variables m A and m B appear here without definition or explanation of what they Comment Type ER Comment Status D Should show CA543=MA513, CA542=MA512, etc ... The text in the first paragraph explains the process but does not use the terms m_A and SuggestedRemedy m b. This makes it somewhat difficult to connect the text with the "equation". Show CA543=MA513, CA542=MA512, etc throughout diagram A reference to figure 119-10 would also be helpful. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy In the first paragraph, change "...to form two 514-symbol FEC messages, which are subsequently each encoded by the C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.8 P 159 L 35 # 533 RS FEC." Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems Comment Type ER Comment Status D to "...to form two 514-symbol FEC messages, m A and m B, which are subsequently each CA2t-1. We are using a signle FEC in this clause and the value of t is known. It would be encoded by the PCS FEC, as illustrated in Figure 119-10." easier to read/understand if 15 was substituted for t thoughtout the diagram, i.e. CA2t-1becomes cA29 and PA2t-1 becomes PA29. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Substitute t=15 in the diagram. C/ 119 P 159 L 1 SC 119.2.4.8 Proposed Response Response Status O Ran, Adee Intel Comment Type Comment Status D

This subclause and the figure describe not only the transmit bit ordering, but also the

In the subclause and figure titles and the text, change "bit ordering" to "bit ordering and

Response Status O

various bit distribution and interleaving.

SuggestedRemedy

distribution".

Proposed Response

P 160 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.8 L 24 # 534 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.9 P 161 L 3 # 347 Nicholl, Garv Cisco Systems Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America ER Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Type Comment Status D MAk-1. Since we are using a fixed RS(544,514) FEC, then the value of k is known and Generating a scrambled idle test pattern is a mandatory feature for JT1 of PICS. fixed, i.e k=514.lt would be easer to read/understand if 514 was substituted for k in the SuggestedRemedy diagram, i.e. MAk-1 becomes MA513, etc. Change "PCS has" with "PCS shall have". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Substitute k=514 in the diagram. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.9 P 161 L 6 # 348 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America SC 119.2.4.8 P 160 C/ 119 L 32 # 535 Comment Type Comment Status D Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems It is not clear whether the alignment markers are inserted or not in the test-pattern mode. Comment Type ER Comment Status D I think it should be so that the receive PCS can align and deskew the PCS lanes. Should show CA543=MA513, CA542=MA512, etc ... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "transcoded, scrambled and encapsulated by the FEC" with "transcoded, Show CA543=MA513, CA542=MA512, etc throughout diagram scrambled, inserted with alignment makers, and encapsulated by the FEC". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.2 P 161 L 37 # 349 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.8 P 160 L 35 # 536 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Cisco Systems Nicholl, Gary Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type ER It is not clear what is "proper order". CA2t-1. We are using a signle FEC in this clause and the value of t is known. It would be easier to read/understand if 15 was substituted for t thoughtout the diagram, i.e. CA2t-SuggestedRemedy 1becomes cA29 and PA2t-1 becomes PA29. Change "in the proper order" with "in the proper order based on PCS lane mapping<x> SuggestedRemedy assigned in 2_GOOD state of the alignment marker lock state diagram (see Figure 119-Substitute t=15 in the diagram. 12)". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P 161 L 45 # 48 Ran. Adee Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

There is no RS-FEC sublayer in this amendment. This is part of the decoder functionality.

Also in the fifth paragraph, P162 L6.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The RS-FEC sublayer" to "the FEC decoder", in both places.

Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P 161 L 52 # 34 Ran. Adee Intel

Comment Status D Comment Type TR

"it shall ensure that (...) the synchronization header for all 66-bit blocks (...) is set to 11"

In this architecture the FEC is part of the PCS, not a separate sublayer, so the synchronization header is internal to the PCS and does not appear on any interface. Thus, the normative requirement is on unobservable behavior.

The observable behavior is that all 200GMII/400GMII blocks included in the received codeword are replaced with EBLOCK R. The "shall" should refer to this behavior.

Similarly in the 5th paragraph of this subclause.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace this paragraph (3rd) with the following:

"If the bypass indication feature is not supported or not enabled, when the Reed-Solomon decoder determines that a codeword contains errors that were not corrected, it shall cause the PCS receive function to mark all 160 200GMII/400GMII blocks that contain data from either the uncorrected codeword or the codeword it is interleaved with, as error (set to EBLOCK R). This may be achieved by setting the synchronization header to 11 for all 66bit blocks created from these codewords by the 256B/257B to 64B/66B transcoder."

Replace the 5th paragraph with the following:

"If the bypass indication feature is supported and enabled, additional error monitoring is performed to reduce the likelihood that errors in a packet are not detected. The Reed-Solomon decoder counts

the number of symbol errors detected in consecutive non-overlapping blocks of 8192 codewords. When the number of symbol errors in a block of 8192 codewords exceeds 5560, the Reed-Solomon decoder shall cause the PCS receive function to mark all 200GMII/400GMII blocks as error (set to EBLOCK_R) for a period of 60 ms to 75 ms."

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Cl 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P 162 L 14 # 35
Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type T Comment Status D

SER is not a defined acronym and "symbol error ratio" is not defined anywhere. In previous clauses, "ser" was only used in as part of variable name and in corresponding register names. Compare to 91.5.3.3, 91.6.5, 108.5.3.2 and 108.6.6.

It would be preferable to avoid using the term "symbol error ratio" and instead describe the intended functionality, as done in other features here and in the referenced precedent subclauses. The actual behavior is specified in the next paragraph anyway

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"The $\bar{\text{R}}\text{eed-Solomon}$ decoder may optionally provide a FEC degrade function with the ability to signal the presence of a degraded SER."

"The Reed-Solomon decoder may optionally provide the ability to signal a degradation of the received signal."

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P162 L14 # [156]

Dudek, Mike Cavium

Comment Type E Comment Status D

I believe this is the first use of SER in this clause. SER isn't listed in the abbreviations in sub clause 1.5.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "SER" with "RS-FEC symbol error ratio(SER)" here. Add SER - RS-FEC Symbol Error Ratio to the abbreviations in sub clause 1.5

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P 162 L 15 # 96
Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Missing 3rd sentence of the "optional feature" template for degrade_SER

SuggestedRemedy

Add the end of the paragraph that introduces FEC_degrade_SER feature. "When the option is provided it is enabled by the assertion of the FEC_degraded_SER_enable variable (see 119.3)" and remove the (see 119.3) from the next paragraph for the FEC_degraded_SER_enable

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P 162 L 17 # 40 Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

FEC_degraded_SER_interval, FEC_degraded_SER_assert_threshold and FEC_degraded_SER_deassert_threshold defined here do not have default values. In addition, all three are 32-bit long.

This enables a huge number of combinations of interval and threshold values. Only a small part of these combinations makes sense; for example, any threshold larger than 544*FEC_degraded_SER_interval would be inherently invalid. Additionally, both threshold values should be less than 15*FEC_degraded_SER_interval, otherwise the indication of degradation would only occur after at least one complete codeword in the period is uncorrectable; and the assert threshold should be higher than the deassert threshold.

There should be default values for all three variables, and a recommendation for setting them together.

Also, the parameters and scenarios should be analyzed to show the mean time to assert/deassert, and check whether this feature is useful or not. I am planning a presentation for that.

SuggestedRemedy

Specify default values as follows:

- FEC_degraded_SER_interval: default 8192 (as when indication is bypass)
- FEC_degraded_SER_assert_threshold: default 5560 (MTTFPA or uncorrectable codeword concern).
- FEC_degraded_SER_deassert_threshold: default 5000 (very healthy link)

Add text to indicate that unless the threshold values are set such that the assert threshold is higher than the deassert threshold, the behavior is unspecified (or degradation always asserted - see other comment)

Add as a note (informative) that in typical use, both values should be lower than the interval value.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Cross reference seems incorrect - 119.3 does not mention FEC degraded SER enable.

Also in lines 19, 20, 21, 23 (other variables).

Should it be 119.3.1? This subclause only lists the MDIO mapping, but does not describe the variables. The descriptions are given only in clause 45 and are hard to find.

SuggestedRemedy

Either add the descriptions from clause 45 to 119.3.1 and change the cross reference to 119.3.1, or point directly to the relevant subclauses of clause 45, or remove the cross references.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P162 L17 # 103

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

For the FEC_degrade_SER function assumed you want to assert the indicator as soon as you exceed the threshold, but clear on the first interval that's below. Also the text does not align with the MDIO registers names

SuggestedRemedy

When FEC_degraded_SER_enable is asserted, additional error monitoring is performed by the PCS. The Reed-Solomon decoder counts the number of symbol errors detected on all PCS lanes in consecu-tive non-overlapping blocks of FEC_degraded_SER_interval (see 119.3) codewords. When the number of symbol errors exceeds the threshold set in FEC_degraded_SER_activate_threshold (see 119.3) the FEC_degraded_SER bit (see 119.3) is set. At the end of each interval, if the number of symbol errors is less than FEC_degraded_SER_deactivate_threshold the FEC_degraded_SER bit is cleared. If either FEC_degraded_SER_ability or FEC_degraded_SER_enable is de-asserted than FEC_degraded_SER bit is cleared.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P162 L17 # 44 Intel

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The current "FEC degrade" function provides only a binary indication of exceeding a threshold, and its behavior depends on setting of multiple parameters. Analysis of its expected performance detailed use cases were not demonstrated.

Even if we assume stationary noise conditions, exceeding a threshold is a random event, and with settings intended to identify "degradation" this may happen occasionally in healthy links and cause false alarms. In practice noise conditions may be far from stationary and cause very erratic behavior. Accurate analysis may be impractical.

It is desirable to provide more detailed symbol error statistics that would enable online indication of received signal "health" to the link partner. Criteria for defining "degradation" can then be more robust, and this would enable various application-specific methods.

SuggestedRemedy

A detailed presentation is planned.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.5 P162 L 34 # 561

Wertheim, Oded Mellanox Technologie

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The alignment markers removal is performed after the post FEC interleaving, and therefore it's more clear to base the description on transcoding blocks and not codewords as done in the alignment markers insertion (119.2.4.4) and depicted in figures 119-7 / 119-8.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace: "For the 200GBASE-R PCS, every 4096th codewords"

With: "For the 200GBASE-R PCS, every 81920 x 257-bit blocks (corresponds to 4096 codewords)"

Replace: "For the 400GBASE-R PCS, every 8192nd codewords"

With: "For the 400GBASE-R PCS, every 163840 x 257-bit blocks (corresponds to 8192

codewords)"

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.5.6 P 162 L 50 # 350

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

It is not good to call rx_xcoded<256:0> as "payload", because rx_xcoded<0> is a tag bit and the actual "payload" is rx_xcoded<256:1>.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "payload" with "received 257-bit block".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.5.6 P162 L 50 # 353

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Descrambler is a mandatory feature for S2 of PICS, but "shall" is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "is descrambled" with "shall be descrambled".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.5.6 P 162 L 53 # 351

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The descrambler in 49.2.10 descrambles only the payload of the block, whereas the descrambler in this clause descrambles the whole 257-bit block, not only the payload.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the second sentence in 119.2.5.6 as follows:

The descrambler is identical to that used in Clause 49 excepting that the whole 257-bit block is descrambled instead of the payload. See 49.2.10 for the definition of the descrambler.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.8 P 163 L 51 # 42 C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.2.2 P 165 L 11 # 354 Ran. Adee Intel Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America TR Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type Т Comment Status D Style manual: "use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating "The PCS alignment process" is not defined. mandatory requirements; must is used only to describe unavoidable situations" SuggestedRemedy Change "the PCS alignment process" with "the PCS synchronization process". This is a mandatory requirement, not an unavoidable situation, and it is easily verifiable. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "must" to "shall", add PICS item. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.2.2 P 165 L 12 # 355 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.8 P 163 L 51 # 94 Comment Type т Comment Status D Trowbridge, Steve Nokia "The deskew process" is not defined. Comment Type T Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy There are circumstances where the Rx PCS does not insert any idles when removing AMs. Change "the deskew process" with "the PCS synchronization process". e.g., when no rate matching is necessary such as delivering packets to an NPU, or when Proposed Response Response Status 0 the reduction in bit-rate from rate matching exceeds the amount of space occupied by the AMs. SuggestedRemedy C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.2.2 P 165 L 31 # 364 Change "The receive PCS must insert idle control characters to compensate for the Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America removal of alignment markers" to "The receive PCS may insert idle control characters to compensate for the removal of alignment markers" Comment Type Comment Status D Proposed Response A variable PCS_lane_mapping<x> is used in 2_GOOD state of alignment marker lock state Response Status O diagram, but it is not defined. SuggestedRemedy C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.9 P 164 L 5 # 43 Add a definition of PCS_lane_mapping<x> after pcs_lane something like: Ran. Adee Intel PCS lane mapping<x> Comment Type T Comment Status D A variable that holds the value of pcs_lane. (nonexistent subclause) Proposed Response Response Status O A "receive ordering" subclause and especially a matching diagram is missing here (as in Figure 91–7, Figure 108–5). SuggestedRemedy

Create suitable figures for 200G and 400G received bit ordering and add them in a new

Response Status O

subclause.

Proposed Response

C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.2.2 P 165 L 42 # 356 C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.2.3 P 166 L 34 # 359 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т "The PCS alignment process" is not defined. It is not correct to send tx_coded<65:2> to the scrambler or to bypass the sync header. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "the PCS alignment process" with "the PCS synchronization process". Change "of which tx_coded<65:2> is sent to the scrambler. The two bits of the sync header bypass the scrambler." with "which is sent to the 64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoder". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.2.2 P 165 L 42 # 357 C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.3 P 168 L 6 # 360 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D It seems that this is not to reset the synchroization process. It may be discouraged to write "the number of the PCS lane", because it is easy to be SuggestedRemedy confused with "the number of the PCS lanes", which I believe not correct. Change "reset the synchronization process" with "restart the alignment marker lock SuggestedRemedy process". Change "the number of PCS lane" with "the PCS lane number". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.2.2 P 166 L 8 # 358 C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.3 P 168 L 13 # 361 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D SLIP is not requested by "the synchronization state diagram", but requested by "the There is no synchronization lock. Also, what is restarted is "process", not "lock". alignment marker lock state diagram". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "the synchronization state digaram" with "the alignment marker lock state diagram". Change "Synchronization lock, along with alignment marker lock, are restarted" with "Synchronization process, along with alignment marker lock process, are restarted". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

P 168 C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.3 L 17 # 362 C/ 119 SC 119.3 P 173 L 4 # 55 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Laubach, Mark Broadcom Limited Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D It is not clear which block is processed, e.g. 64B66B block or 256B257B block. Missing a period at end of sentence. Add the period. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "for each transmit block processed" with "for each transfer on the As per comment. 200GMII/400GMII interface in the transmit direction". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.3 P 173 L 4 # 365 C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.3 P 168 L 22 # 363 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D A grammer error. It is not clear which block is processed, e.g. 64B66B block or 256B257B block. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "be provided" with "is provided". Change "for each transmit block processed" with "for each transfer on the Proposed Response Response Status 0 200GMII/400GMII interface in the receive direction". Proposed Response Response Status 0 SC 119.3.1 C/ 119 P 174 L 23 # 366 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.3 P 169 L 1 # 67 Xilinx Comment Type E Comment Status D Gustlin, Mark A range of the lane number should not include an unspecified index variable "i". Comment Type T Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Currently the alignement marker lock SM does not continously monitor the AMs after reaching the locked state, instead lock is restarted only when 3 FEC codewords in a row Change "lane 0 to i" with "lane 0 to 15" in the column of MDIO status variable and the are not correctable. This leaves the SM vulnerable to a case where the Ethernet signal is column of PCS register name. transported by an OTN network, and under some fault conditions on the far end of the Proposed Response Response Status O network the AM location might change and not be detected by the reciver. This can lead to continously corrupted data being received.

SuggestedRemedy

The proposed of the person AMA

The proposed changes to figure 119-13 are included in gustlin_3bs_01_0916. We now look for correct AMs on all lanes after lock, and if 5 are found to not match expectations (pre FEC correction) on a given lane, then lock is restarted.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.6.3 P 177 L 6 # 87 C/ 119 SC 119.6.3 P 177 L 8 # 368 Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Ε The "Support" column is ragged. The first few rows have the entries centered, and later on The item name "CDE400" is inconsistent with PICS in other clauses. they are left aligned. The following item names are used for GMII support in other clauses: SuggestedRemedy XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 48) Use a consistent alignment for the support column XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 49) XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 55) Proposed Response Response Status O XGE40 XLGMII is supported (Clause 82) XGE100 CGMII is supported (Clause 82) 25GE 25GMII is supported (Clause 107) C/ 119 SC 119.6.3 P 177 L 6 # 367 SuggestedRemedy Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Change the item column for CDE400 from "CDE400" to "400GE". Comment Type Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status 0 The item name "CDE200" is inconsistent with PICS in other clauses. The following item names are used for GMII support in other clauses: C/ 119 P 177 SC 119.6.3 L 24 # 369 XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 48) XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 49) Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 55) Comment Type E Comment Status D XGE40 XLGMII is supported (Clause 82) XGE100 CGMII is supported (Clause 82) A reference to 119.6.5 is inappropriate, because 119.6.5 is a PICS clause. 25GE 25GMII is supported (Clause 107) SugaestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for JTM from "119.6.5" to "119.2.1". Change the item column for CDE200 from "CDE200" to "200GE". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.6.3 P 177 L 25 # 370 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D JTM is mandatory. SugaestedRemedy Remove "No []" in the support column for JTM. Proposed Response Response Status 0

P 178 C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.2 L 22 # 371 C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.3 P 179 L 24 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Ε Т RF5 is mandatory only if BI is supported. Reference to 119.2.3.5 for C8 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 119.2.3.5. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for RF5. Change the subclause column for C8 from "119.2.3.5" to "119.2.3.5, 82.2.3.6". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.2 P 178 L 27 # 372 C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.3 P 179 L 27 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D RF6 is mandatory only if BI is supported. Reference to 119.2.3.8 for C9 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in SuggestedRemedy 119.2.3.8. Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for RF6. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the subclause column for C9 from "119.2.3.8" to "119.2.3.8, 82.2.3.9". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.3 P 179 L7 # 373 Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Choice of "No []" is given for mandatory items C1 through C9. SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" from the support column for C1 through C9. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.3 P 179 # 374 L 22 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Т Reference to 119.2.3.5 for C7 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 119.2.3.5. SuggestedRemedy

Change the subclause column for C7 from "119.2.3.5" to "119.2.3.5, 82.2.3.6".

Response Status O

Proposed Response

375

376

C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.3 P 179 L 29 # 377 C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.4 P 179 L 39 # 379 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type E Т Comment Status D If EEE has not been negotiated, LPI shall not be transmitted and shall be treated as an Descrambler is mandatory. error if received. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" from the support column for S2. Change "EEE" with "*EEE" (insert *) in the PICS table in clause 119.6.3. Proposed Response Response Status O Insert the following items after C9: Item: C10 Feature: If EEE has not been negotiated, LPI is not transmitted. C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.5 P 180 L 7 # 380 Subclause: 119.2.3.3 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Value/Comment: (blank) Status: EEE:M Comment Type E Comment Status D Support: Yes [] N/A [] AM1 is mandatory. SuggestedRemedy Item: C11 Feature: If EEE has not been negotiated. LPI is treated as an error if received. Remove "No []" from the support column for AM1. Subclause: 119.2.3.3 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Value/Comment: (blank) Status: EEE:M Support: Yes [] N/A [] C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.5 P 180 L 10 # 381 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.4 P 179 L 37 # 378 AM2 is mandatory. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Remove "No []" from the support column for AM2. Scrambler is mandatory. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" from the support column for S1. C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.5 P 180 L 12 # 382 Proposed Response Response Status O Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D AM3 is mandatory only if MD is supported. SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for AM3. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.5 Page 51 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:16

P 180 C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.5 L 13 # 383 C/ 119 SC 119.6.5.1 P 180 L 32 # 385 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т Alignment marker shall be removed prior to descrambling (119.2.5.5, P162, L46). B1 is mandatory. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert the following item after AM3: Remove "No []" from the support column for B1. Proposed Response Response Status O Item: AM4 Feature: Alignment marker removal Subclause: 119.2.5.5 C/ 119 SC 119.6.6 P 180 Value/Comment: Alignment markers are removed prior to descrambling as described in L 44 # 388 119.2.5.5 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Status: M Comment Type T Comment Status D Support: Yes [] Mapping of MDIO register bits are mandatory. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Insert the following items after M1: C/ 119 SC 119.6.5 P 180 L 21 # 384 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Item: M2 Feature: Mapping of MDIO control bits and MDIO status bits Comment Type E Comment Status D Sub clause: 119.3.1 JT1 is mandatory. Value/Comment: Table 119-4 and Table 119-5 Status: MD:M SuggestedRemedy Support: Yes [] N/A [] Remove "No []" and "N/A []" from the support column for JT1. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.1 P 181 L7 # 390 C/ 119 SC 119.6.5.1 P 180 L 26 # 387 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D SM1 is mandatory for PCS200. It is odd to have "119.6.5.1 Bit order" as a sub clause of "119.6.5 Test-pattern modes". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" in the support column for SM1 with "N/A []". Raise the level of subclause "119.6.5.1 Bit order", and renumber subclauses. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0

P 181 C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.1 L 10 # 391 C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.2 P 181 L 33 # 395 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Ε L2 is mandatory. SM2 is mandatory for PCS400. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" in the support column for SM2 with "N/A []". Remove "No []" in the support column for L2. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.1 P 181 L 13 # 392 C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.2 P 181 L 34 # 393 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D SM3 through SM6 are mandatory. When the PCS is in loopback, it shall ignore all data presented to it by the PMA sublayer. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" in the support column for SM3 through SM6. Insert the following item after L2: Proposed Response Response Status 0 Item: L3 Feature: When in loopback, ignore all data presented by the PMA sublayer. Subclause: 119.4 C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.1 P 181 L 13 # 389 Status: M Support: Yes [] Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Status D Comment Type T The SLIP functions evaluates all possible block "positions" rather than all possible "blocks". SuggestedRemedy C/ 119 SC 119-12 P 169 L 39 # 115 Change the feature column for SM3 from "The SLIP function evaluates all possible blocks" **HPE** Chacon, Geoffrey to "The SLIP function evaluates all possible block positions". Comment Type E Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status O Variable PCS_lane_mapping<x> does not have a definition in 119.2.6.2 State Variables SuggestedRemedy C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.2 P 181 # 394 Add a definition for PCS lane mapping. This variable does not seem to be used anywhere L 29 else, but it is needed by the lane reorder logic. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D PCS lane mapping<x> A variable that holds the index of the for the lane received by the alignment marker state L1 is mandatory. machine x to be used by the PCS lane reorder function. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Remove "No []" and "N/A [] in the support column for L1. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **119** SC **119-12**

Page 53 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:16

C/ 119A SC 119A P 315 L 18 # 159 C/ 120 SC 120.1.2 P 182 L 28 # 396 Dudek, Mike Cavium Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Ε extra words. A period is missing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace "stream of stream of" with "stream of" Add a period. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 119A P 315 # 493 C/ 120 SC 120.1.4 P 183 C/ 119A L 36 L 34 # 397 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D The sentence starting with "Immediately before the tx scrambled" until MMD addresses 11 is also available for PMA. "S<0:57>=24e6959d0fa5dbd" should appear earlier, because the scramble is done prior to SuggestedRemedy alignment marker insertion. Change "1, 8, 9, and 10" with "1, 8, 9, 10, and 11". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Move the sentence starting with "Immediately before the tx scrambled" until "S<0:57>=24e6959d0fa5dbd" before the paragraph starting with "In this example" on line 22. C/ 120 SC 120.1.4 P 183 L 39 # 398 Proposed Response Response Status O Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D C/ 120 SC 5.11.2.4 P 198 L 27 # 552 "Towards the PCS" is ambiguous, because some PMA for XS is between RS and PCS. Palkert, Thomas Macom SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status D Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS". The method of generating a PRBS31Q pattern is complex and we have seen differences in Proposed Response Response Status O bit sequences generated between vendors. Correnct implementation of the test procedures requires that the sequence is the same across vendors.

To provide clarity we propose that we provide the first 50 bits of the sequence of the PAM4

50 bit sequence should be sufficient to ensure correct coding. Note that the proposed solution would follow what is current done for the PRBS13Q sequence which shows the

signal which will ensure that various implementation are in agreement.

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

bits on page 197 line 41.

C/ 120 SC 120.1.4 P 183 L 41 # 399 C/ 120 SC 120.2 P 184 L 53 # 402 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т A description for 200GAUI-n is missing. A bit mux function is applied to input/output lanes, not input/output lane counts. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "MMD 8 addressing the PMA sublayer above the 400GAUI-8 below the 400GAUI-Change "lane counts" with "lanes". 16" with "MMD 8 addressing the PMA sublayer above the 200GAUI-4 below the 200GAUI-Proposed Response Response Status O 8 or above the 400GAUI-8 below the 400GAUI-16". Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.2 P 185 L 1 # 403 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America C/ 120 SC 120.1.4 P 184 L 47 # 400 Comment Type Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America If the input and the output have the same number of lanes, PMA does not have to employ Comment Type Comment Status D any mux. Maximum 5 PMAs (i.e MMD 1, 8, 9, 10, and 11) are addressable. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "employs" with "may employ". Change "maximum of four" with "maximum of five". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.2 P 185 L 48 # 404 C/ 120 SC 120.2 P 184 L 52 # 401 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type T A period is missing in a note in Figure 120-4. The word "signals" in the sentence may be unnecessary and/or inappropriate. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a period after "an output PCSL position". Remove "signals". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.2 P 186 L 9 # 405 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Instead of PCS, the PMA may be adjacent to DTE 200GXS or DTE 400GXS. SuggestedRemedy Change "adjacent to the PCS" with "adjacent to the PCS, DTE 200GXS, or DTE 400GXS". Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **120** SC **120.2**

Page 55 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:16

C/ 120 SC 120.2 P 186 L 10 # 406 C/ 120 SC 120.3 P 187 L 12 # 409 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D Instead of PMD, the PMA may be adjacent to PHY 200GXS or PHY 400GXS. The PMA client may be DTE 200GXS or DTE 400GXS instead of PCS. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "adjacent to the PMD" with "adjacent to the PMD, PHY 200GXS, or PHY 400GXS". Change "PCS" with "PCS, DTE 200GXS, or DTE 400GXS" on line 12 and line 13. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.2 P 186 L 42 # 407 C/ 120 SC 120.3 P 187 L 34 # 410 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D DTE 200GXS or DTE 400GXS will not be below PMA. The paragraph starting "In the Rx direction" is not well written. Double use of "that" is discouraged. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "200GXS" with "PHY 200GXS". Rewrite the paragraph as follows: Change "400GXS" with "PHY 400GXS". Proposed Response Response Status 0 In the Rx direction, when data is being received from the sublayer below the PMA on every input lane associated with an output lane, received bits are routed through the PMA to the output lane at the PMA service interface, and symbols are transferred over the output lane to the PMA client via the PMA:IS_UNITDATA_i.indication primitive. C/ 120 SC 120.3 P 187 L 10 # 408 If necessary, buffers are filled to allow tolerating the Skew Variation that may appear Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America between the input lanes. PCSLs are demultiplexed from the input lanes, remultiplexed to Comment Type T Comment Status D the output lanes, and PAM4 symbols are converted to pairs of bits on the input lanes and/or pairs of bits are converted to PAM4 symbols on the output lanes. The primitives are defined for each PMA service interface, not for each PMA sublaver. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change "For a PMA with p planes at the PMA service interface" with "For a PMA service

terface with p planes".

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 120 SC 120.3. P 187 L 34 # 157 Dudek, Mike Cavium

Comment Type Comment Status D Ε

This is a very long sentence that is difficult to follow.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the sentence "In the Rx direction, when data is being received from every input lane from the sublayer below the PMA thathas a PCSL that is routed to a particular output lane at the PMA service interface, and (if necessary), buffersare filled to allow tolerating the Skew Variation that may appear between the input lanes. PCSLs are demultiplexed from the input lanes, demultiplexed to the output lanes, and symbols are transferred over each output lane to the PMA client via the PMA:IS UNITDATA i.indication primitive."

to "In the Rx direction, when data is being received from every input lane from the sublayer below the PMA that has a PCSL that is routed to a particular output lane at the PMA service interface, PCSLs are demultiplexed from the input lanes, remultiplexed to the output lanes, and symbols are transferred over each output lane to the PMA client via the PMA:IS UNITDATA i.indication primitive. If necessary the received data fills buffers to allow tolerating the Skew Variation that may appear between the input lanes, "

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.4 P 187 L 53 # 88 Trowbridge, Steve Nokia

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Should llist the extender sublaver as a possible sublaver below the PMA

SuggestedRemedy

Change "including the PMD or another PMA" to "including the PMD, an extender sublayer, or another PMA"

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 120.4 C/ 120 P 187 L 53 # 411

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Status D Comment Type Т

PHY 200GXS and PHY 400GXS may also appear below PMA.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "the PMD or another PMA" with "the PMD, PHY 200GXS, PHY 400GXS, or another PMA".

Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.4 P 188 L 16 # 412

Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America

Comment Type Comment Status D

The status indicates a good signal "being received" (not sent) by the sublayer below the PMA on the interface further below.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "sent" with "being received".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.4 P 188 L 18 # 413 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America

Comment Type Comment Status D

The paragraph starting "In the Tx direction" is not well written. Double use of "that" is discouraged.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite the paragraph as follows:

In the Tx direction, when data is being received from the PMA client at the PMA service interface (see 120.3) on every input lane associated with an output lane, received bits are routed through the PMA to the output lane at the service interface below the PMA, and symbols are transferred over the output lane to the sublaver below the PMA via the inst:IS_UNITDATA_i.request primitive.

If necessary, buffers are filled to allow tolerating the Skew Variation that may appear between the input lanes, PCSLs are demultiplexed from the input lanes, remultiplexed to the output lanes, and PAM4 symbols are converted to pairs of bits on the input lanes and/or pairs of bits are converted to PAM4 symbols on the output lanes.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.5.1 P 189 L 7 # 414

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Which service interface is not clear.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "the service interface" with "the service interface below the PMA".

Proposed Response Response Status 0

P 189 C/ 120 SC 120.5.2 L 35 # 415 C/ 120 SC 120.5.2 P 190 L 39 # 419 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т z/m is not the number of input lanes. It is the number of possible positions in the input lane. "11.4" is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "the z/m input lanes" with "the z/m possible positions in the input lane". Change "11.4" with "11.6". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.5.2 P 189 # 416 C/ 120 SC 120.5.2 P 190 L 43 L 35 # 420 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D z/n is not the number of output lanes. It is the number of possible positions in the output "15.1" is incorrect. lane. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the lowest "15.1" with "15.0". Change "the z/n output lanes" with "the z/n possible positions in the output lane". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.5.3.3 P 191 L 29 # 421 C/ 120 SC 120.5.2 P 190 / 25 # 417 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Here, "skew" is not capitalize, although it is capitalized in most locations. "11.6" is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "skew" with "Skew". Change "11.6" below mux with "11.8". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.5.3.4 P 191 L 37 # 422 SC 120.5.2 C/ 120 P 190 # 418 L 32 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Here, "skew" is not capitalize, although it is capitalized in most locations. "11.5" is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "skew" with "Skew". Change "11.5" with "11.7". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **120** SC **120.5.3.4** Page 58 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:16

SC 120.5.6 C/ 120 SC 120.5.3.6 P 192 L 6 # 423 C/ 120 P 193 L 12 # 426 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type Т Comment Status D We should specify tolerance of Skew (not only Skew Variation) at SP6 to maintain the PCS There is no 400GAUI-4. This clause specifies signal drivers for the physically instantiated receive function, because the Skew tolerance of PCS does not include the Skew generated interface below or above PMA that is either 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n. It does not include by the PMA between SP6 and PCS. the PMD service interface that is not physicall instantiated such as for 400GBASE-DR4. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "400GBASE-R, where the number of input or output lanes is 8 or 4" with Insert the following phrase at the end of the last sentence in 120.5.3.6: "400GBASE-R, where the number of input or output lanes is 8". "and the maximum amount of Skew allowed at SP6 (160ns) between input lanes while Proposed Response Response Status O maintaining the PCS receive function". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.5.8 P 193 L 44 # 427 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America C/ 120 SC 120.5.4 P 192 L 10 # 424 Comment Type Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America We need a description about IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive for the cases the service Comment Type Т Comment Status D interface is physically instantiated e.g. 200GAUI-n and 400GAUI-n. There may be up to five PMAs (i.e MMD 1, 8, 9, 10, and 11). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add some description which may be referred from 120B, 120C, 120D, and 120E. Change "three PMA stages" with "five PMA stages". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.5.9 P 193 L 53 # 428 C/ 120 SC 120.5.5 P 192 L 48 # 425 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D The direction of the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is 200GXS or 400GXS. Description is inaccurate, because PMA(2:1) is not defined. In particular, PMA(2:1) is not clear in terms of data rate (i.e. same aggregate data rate or SuggestedRemedy same per lane data rate). Change "in the direction of the PCS" with "towards the RS". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the last sentence of 120.5.5 as follows:

For example, a PMA(8:4) could be implemented using four independent 2-1 multiplexers in

the Tx direction and four independent 1-2 demultiplexers in the Rx direction.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

P 194 C/ 120 SC 120.5.10 L 19 # 429 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.1.3 P 196 L 15 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Type DTE 200GXS or DTE 400GXS do not provide the service interface below the PMA. Here. "PMA" does not make sense and is not required. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "200GXS" with "PHY 200GXS". Remove "PMA" after "Tx direction". Change "400GXS" with "PHY 400GXS". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.1 P 196 L 40 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11 P 194 L 32 # 528 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Towards the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is Although there are a lot of concerns about burst errors due to DFE, this specification lacks 200GXS or 400GXS. for a capability to evaluate burst errors. SuggestedRemedy Since it is easy to add such a capability with minor modifications and a small amount of Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS". logic, we should add such an optional feature, because DFEs are widely used in the electrical interfaces. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy The detail of the proposal will be presented in the September meeting. C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.1 P 196 L 45 Proposed Response Response Status O Dudek, Mike Cavium Comment Type TR Comment Status D P 195 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.1.1 L 23 # 430 The JP03A test pattern is used for measuring Jitter. With this pattern on all lanes crosstalk Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America will not appear in the jitter measurement while it will degrade the jitter in the real application. We need to create the effect of the crosstalk during these tests by having a Comment Type TR Comment Status D different pattern on the lanes not under test. The restriction of error counter "for isolated single bit errors" implicates that it does not increment for burst errors. It seems contradictory to the next sentence which says it should count at least one error whenever one or more errors occur in a sliding 1000-bit window.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a per-lane enable for this pattern (and MDIO registers to match). Section 120.5.11.1.3 (square wave test pattern) provides a template for this.

Consider doing the same for JP03B however JP03B is not presently used. If it were used (eg for measuring EOJ) then this shold be done for that pattern as well.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Remove the phrase of "for isolated single bit errors" at the end of the sentence which begin

with "The checker shall increment" in the fourth paragraph of 120.5.11.1.1.

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

431

432

149

P 196 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.1 L 50 # 433 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.2 P 197 L 18 # 435 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Towards the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is Towards the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is 200GXS or 400GXS. 200GXS or 400GXS. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS". Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.2 P 197 L 1 # 133 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.3 P 197 L 28 # 436 Dawe, Piers Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Mellanox Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Type Comment Status D Towards the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is JP03B test pattern is not used 200GXS or 400GXS. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the JP03B test pattern generator and registers. Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.2 P 197 L 5 # 434 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.3 P 197 L 30 # 114 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America HPF Chacon, Geoffrey Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Towards the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is Typo: PRSBS13Q 200GXS or 400GXS. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS". Correct to PRBS13Q Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.3 P 197 L 44 # 150 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.4 P 198 L 11 Dudek, Mike Cavium Smith. Daniel Seagate Technology TR Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Type Comment Status D There is no skew requirement between lanes for the PRBS13Q generation. Also for the misspelled "abillity" at first occurance type of tests that PRBS13Q is being used for(scope measurements) crosstalk from other SuggestedRemedy lanes is an important factor. Providing a required pattern offset between lanes would help but this would still produce crosstalk which is locked to the pattern under test and would change to: "ability" create deterministic effects rather than random effects with some measurements not Proposed Response Response Status O seeing the crosstalk at all and others mis-classifying it. SuggestedRemedy Add a per-lane enable for this pattern (and MDIO registers to match). Section C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.4 P 198 L 26 # 301 120.5.11.1.3 (square wave test pattern) provides a template for this. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type TR Comment Status D The restriction of error counter "for isolated single bit errors" implicates that it does not increment for burst errors. It seems contradictory to the next sentence which says it should C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.3 P 197 L 47 # 437 count at least one error whenever one or more errors occur in a sliding 1000-bit window. Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Т Comment Status D Remove the phrase of "for isolated single bit errors" at the end of the sentence which begin Towards the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is with "The checker shall increment" in the second paragraph of 120.5.11.2.4. 200GXS or 400GXS. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS". C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.4 P 199 L 15 # 116 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Chacon, Geoffrey HPE Comment Type E Comment Status D C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.4 P 198 L 6 # 438 Typo in PRSBS31Q Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Comment Status D Comment Type Correct to PRBS31Q

Proposed Response

Towards the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is

Response Status O

200GXS or 400GXS.

Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS".

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 199 L 36 # 128 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 199 L 46 # 303 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type TR т Comment Status D This SSPRQ pattern will give inconsistent results when testing a range of transmitters. I think bit sequence B is a 65534-bit sequence (not 65535-bit sequence), because it is formed by removing two bits from two repetation of bit sequence A that is a 32768-bit SuggestedRemedy sequence. If we can find a less extreme pattern that better achieves the objective of allowing TDEC SuggestedRemedy measurements that correlate to the TDP we don't want to measure at line rate, change to Change "65535-bit" with "65534-bit". If we can't, change to a pattern that is less extreme, and don't use it for TDEC testing. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 200 L 4 # 304 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 199 1 44 # 302 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D PAM4 sequence 4 must be a 16384-symbol sequence, not a 16364-symbol sequence. A reference to Figure 49-7 is inappropriate, because Figure 49-7 is 64B/66B block format. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "16364-symbol" with "16384-symbol". Change the reference to Figure 49-7 with a reference to Figure 49-9. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 200 L 8 # 568 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 199 L 44 # 571 Hanan, Leizerovich MultiPhy Zivny, Pavel **Tektronix** Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Type Ε Comment Status X The SSPRQ pattern is eventually a repeating sequence of 2¹⁶⁻¹ PAM4 symbols. In the text "shift register implementation shown in Figure 49-7." the reference is in error. Pattern length is not a round power of 2, which mat complicate some implementations. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change to Pad the suggested pattern by an additional symbol, generating a 2^16 symbols length sequence. "shift register implementation shown in Figure 49-9". Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status W

[Editor's note: This comment was sent after the close of the comment period]

[Editor's note: Comment type set to T and

this comment was sent after the close of the comment period

C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 200 L 10 # 148 C/ 120 SC 120.6 P 200 L 28 # 307 Dudek, Mike Cavium Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type TR There is no skew requirement between lanes for the SSPRQ generation. Also for the type MMD addresses 11 is also available for PMA. of tests that SSPRQ is being used for(scope measurements such as TDEC) crosstalk from SuggestedRemedy other lanes can be an important factor. Providing a required pattern offset between lanes would help but this would still produce crosstalk which is locked to the pattern under test Change "MMDs 8, 9, and 10" with "MMDs 8, 9, 10, and 11". and would create deterministic effects rather than random effects with some Proposed Response Response Status O measurements not seeing the crosstalk at all and others misclassifying it. SuggestedRemedy Add a per-lane enable for this pattern (and MDIO registers to match). Section C/ 120 SC 120.6 P 201 L 6 120.5.11.1.3 (square wave test pattern) provides a template for this. Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Comment Type E Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status O In Table 120-4, the "PMA status variable" column has several entries that wrap the name of the variable over to the next line in the middle of a word SuggestedRemedy C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 200 L 10 # 305 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Make the rightmost column wide enough to not wrap any of the text, shrinking the PMA/PMD register name column (which wraps at word boundaries) and Register/Bit number column as necessary

Comment Type Comment Status D

The skew requirement between lanes should be defined but not defined for SSPRQ. It should be defined to avoid the aggressor of the crosstalk being synchronous to the lane under measurement.

SuggestedRemedy

Define the requirement for the skew between lanes.

Or, alternatively, separate the test control for SSPRQ from other test patterns and make it lane-by-lane in a similar way to Square wave testing control, which allows us to run PRBS13Q or PRBS31Q on other lanes.

Define the priority between square wave and SSPRQ.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.6 P 200 L 21 # 306

Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America

Comment Type Т Comment Status D MMD addresses 11 is also available for PMA.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "MMD 8, 9, and 10" with "MMD 8, 9, 10, and 11".

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 11 # 308

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Response Status O

Comment Type Comment Status D

In direction of PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is 200GXS or 400GXS.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change the feature column for LNS UPSTRM from "Number of lanes in direction of PCS" to "Number of lanes in the PMA service interface".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 15 # 309 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 20 # 312 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т Capability/option items for NRZ or PAM4 in the PMA service interface is useful to simplify The PMD is not necessarily the adjacent sublayer under the PMA. the PICS. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the feature column for LNS_DNSTRM from "Number of lanes in direction of PMD" Insert the following items after LNS_DNSTRM: to "Number of lanes in the service interface below the PMA". Proposed Response Response Status O Item: UP NRZ Feature: Lane count supported in the PMA service interface above the PMA Subclause: 120.1.4 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 16 # 310 Value/Comment: 8 lanes for 200GBASE-R PMA or 16 lanes for 400GBASE-R PMA Status: 0.2 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Support: Yes [] No [] Comment Type Comment Status D Ε Item: UP_PAM4 No space between "4" and "[]". Feature: Lane count supported in the PMA service interface above the PMA SuggestedRemedy Subclause: 120.1.4 Value/Comment: 4 lanes for 200GBASE-R PMA or 8 lanes for 400GBASE-R PMA Insert a white space between "4" and "[]". Status: 0.2 Proposed Response Response Status O Support: Yes [] No [] Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 19 # 311 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D No space between "4" and "[]". SuggestedRemedy

Insert a white space between "4" and "[]".

Response Status 0

Proposed Response

C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 20 # 313 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 24 # 315 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т Ε Capability/option items for NRZ or PAM4 in the service interface below the PMA is useful TX_CLOCK is mandatory only if either PMA200 or PMA400 is supported. to simplify the PICS. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for TX_CLOCK (two locations). Insert the following items after LNS_DNSTRM: Proposed Response Response Status O Item: DN NRZ Feature: Lane count supported in the service interface below the PMA Subclause: 120.1.4 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 30 # 316 Value/Comment: 8 lanes for 200GBASE-R PMA or 16 lanes for 400GBASE-R PMA Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Status: 0.3 Support: Yes [] No [] Comment Type E Comment Status D LANE MAPPING is mandatory Item: DN PAM4 Feature: Lane count supported in the service interface below the PMA SuggestedRemedy Subclause: 120.1.4 Remove "No []" in the support column for LANE_MAPPING. Value/Comment: 4 lanes for 200GBASE-R PMA or 4 or 8 lanes for 400GBASE-R PMA Proposed Response Response Status O Status: 0.3 Support: Yes [] No [] Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 33 # 317 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 22 # 314 Comment Type E Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America LNKS is mandatory Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy RX_CLOCK is mandatory. Remove "No []" in the support column for LNKS. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Remove "No []" in the support column for RX_CLOCK.

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 35 # 318 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 43 # 320 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type Т Ε Comment Status D Test pattern is an optional feature if the PMA service interface above the PMA or the PMA remote loopback is not conditional option. service interface below the PMA includes physically instantiated 200GAUI-n, 400GAUI-n, SuggestedRemedy or the PMD service interface (whether or not physically instantiated). See 120.5.11, P194, Remove "N/A []" in the support column for LBR. L33. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status O Change the status column for JTP from "O" to "PINST:O". Insert the following item before JTP: C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 47 # 321 Item: *PINST Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Feature: The PMA service interface above the PMA or the service interface below the PMA Subclause: 120.5.11 Comment Type T Comment Status D Value/Comment: Include physically instantiated 200GAUI-n. 400GAUI-n. or the PMD USP1SP6 is not a proper condition for some conditional mandatory features. service interface (whether or not physically instantiated). Status: O SuggestedRemedy Support: Yes [] No [] Replace USP1SP6 with the following items: Proposed Response Response Status O Item: *UP PINST Feature: PMA service interface above PMA Subclause: 120.5.1, 120.5.5 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 35 # 452 Value/Comment: Physically instantiated 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Status: O Support: Yes [] No [] Comment Type Ε Comment Status D To make a reference to JTP from other feature. Item: *USP1 Feature: PMA service interface above PMA SuggestedRemedy Subclause: 120.5.3.2 Insert "*" (asterisk) in front of "JTP" in the item column. Value/Comment: Physically instantiated 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n that is closest to PMD (SP1 in Figure 116-4 and 116-5) Proposed Response Response Status 0 Status: O Support: Yes [] No [] C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 40 # 319 Item: *USP6 Feature: PMA service interface above PMA Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Subclause: 120.5.3.5 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Value/Comment: Physically instantiated 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n that is closest to PCS (SP6 in Figure 116-4 and 116-5) PMA local loopback is not conditional option. Status: O SuggestedRemedy Support: Yes [] No [] Remove "N/A []" in the support column for LBL. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 Page 67 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:16

C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 51 # 322 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 207 L 6 # 441 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Type Ε Comment Status D DSP1SP6 is not a proper condition for some conditional mandatory features. UNAUI is mandatory if the upper interface is 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Replace DSP1SP6 with the following items: Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for UNAUI. Proposed Response Response Status O Item: *DN PINST Feature: Service interface below PMA Subclause: 120.5.3.1, 120.5.5 Value/Comment: Physically instantiated 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 207 L 11 # 440 Status: O Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Support: Yes [] No [] Comment Type Т Comment Status D Item: *DSP1 The terms "upstream" and "downstream" are not appropriate here, because they implicate Feature: Service interface below PMA the direction of the flow. We should distinguish up side and down side without implicating Subclause: 120.5.3.1 direction of flow. Value/Comment: Physically instantiated 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n that is closest to PMD SuggestedRemedy (SP1 in Figure 116-4 and 116-5) Status: O Change "upstream 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n" in the row of UNAUI with "200GAUI-n or Support: Yes [] No [] 400GAUI-n of the PMA service interface above the PMA". Change "downstream 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n" in the row of DNAUI with "200GAUI-n or Item: *DSP6 400GAUI-n of the service interface below the PMA". Feature: Service interface below PMA Proposed Response Response Status 0 Subclause: 120.5.3.6 Value/Comment: Physically instantiated 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n that is closest to PCS (SP6 in Figure 116-4 and 116-5) C/ 120 P 207 SC 120.7.3 L 14 # 439 Status: O Support: Yes [] No [] Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type T Comment Status D SP1 and SP6 are not only the cases to apply 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n to the service interface below PMA. C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 207 L 5 # 323 SuggestedRemedy Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Change the status column for DNAUI from "DSP1SP6:M" to "DN_PINST:M".

Proposed Response

Comment Type T Comment Status D

SP1 and SP6 are not only the cases to apply 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n to UNAUI. UNAUI is mandatory whenever the upper interface is 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the status column for UNAUI from "USP1SP6:M" to "UP_PINST:M".

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ 120 SC 120.7.3

Response Status O

Page 68 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:16

Cl 120 SC 120.7.3 P 207 L 14 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America	# 442	C/ 120 SC 120.7.4 P 208 L 6 # 446 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America
Comment Type E Comment Status D DNAUI is mandatory if the upper interface is 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n		Comment Type T Comment Status D S1 is mandatory if the lower interface is SP1.
SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for DNAUI.		SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for S1 from "DSP1SP6:M" to "DSP1:M".
Proposed Response Response Status O		Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 207 L 23 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America	# 443	CI 120 SC 120.7.4 P 208 L 8 # 447 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America
Comment Type E Comment Status D DELAY200 is mandatory if PMA200 is supported.		Comment Type T Comment Status D S2 is mandatory if the lower interface is SP1.
SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for DELAY200.		SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for S2 from "DSP1SP6:M" to "DSP1:M".
Proposed Response Response Status O		Proposed Response Response Status O
C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 207 L 25 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America	# 444	CI 120 SC 120.7.4 P 208 L 8 # 448 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America
Comment Type E Comment Status D DELAY400 is mandatory if PMA400 is supported.		Comment Type T Comment Status D S3 is mandatory if the upper interface is SP1.
SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for DELAY400.		SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for S3 from "USP1SP6:M" to "USP1:M".
Proposed Response Response Status O		Proposed Response Response Status O
Cl 120 SC 120.7.4 P 208 L 6 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America	# 445	Cl 120 SC 120.7.4 P 208 L 20 # 449 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America
Comment Type E Comment Status D S1 through S9 are mandatory if condition is met.		Comment Type T Comment Status D S7 is mandatory if the upper interface is SP6.
SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for S1 through S9.		SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for S7 from "USP1SP6:M" to "USP6:M".
Proposed Response Response Status O		Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **120** SC **120.7.4**

Page 69 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:16

C/ 120 SC 120.7.4 P 208 L 22 # 450 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 208 L 44 # 454 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Status D Т Comment Type Ε S8 is mandatory if the upper interface is SP6. Send PRBS31 Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test pattern is supported. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for S8 from "USP1SP6:M" to "USP6:M". Add "N/A []" to the support column for J1. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.4 P 208 L 25 # 451 SC 120.7.5 C/ 120 P 208 L 48 # 455 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D S9 is mandatory if the lower interface is SP6. Send PRBS31 Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports NRZ and test SuggestedRemedy pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. Change the status column for S9 from "DSP1SP6:M" to "DSP6:M". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change the status column for J2 to "JTP*UP_NRZ:O". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 208 L 42 # 453 Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 # 456 Comment Status D P 208 L 50 Comment Type Т Send PRBS31 Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America pattern is supported. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. Send PRBS31 Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports NRZ and test SuggestedRemedy pattern is supported. Change the status column for J1 to "JTP*DN NRZ:O". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add "N/A []" to the support column for J2. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 3 # 457 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 11 # 460 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Type Ε Check PRBS31 Tx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports NRZ and test Check PRBS31 Rx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J4. Change the status column for J3 to "JTP*UP NRZ:O". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 15 # 461 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 5 C/ 120 # 458 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Send PRBS9 Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test pattern Check PRBS31 Tx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports NRZ and test is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. pattern is supported. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J3. Change the status column for J5 to "JTP*DN_NRZ:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 9 # 459 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 17 # 462 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Check PRBS31 Rx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test Send PRBS9 Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test pattern pattern is supported. is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedv Add "N/A []" to the support column for J5. Change the status column for J4 to "JTP*DN_NRZ:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 21 # 463 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 26 # 467 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т Ε Send PRBS9 Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports NRZ and test pattern A reference to 120.5.11.1.2 is inappropriate, because 120.5.11.1.2 specifies PRBS9 test is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the sublcause column for J7 from "120.5.11.1.2" to "120.5.11.1.3". Change the status column for J6 to "JTP*UP_NRZ:O". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 28 # 466 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 23 # 464 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Send square wave Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test Send PRBS9 Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports NRZ and test pattern pattern is supported. is supported. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J7. Add "N/A []" to the support column for J6. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 32 # 468 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 26 # 465 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Send JP03A Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test Send square wave Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedv Change the status column for J8 to "JTP*DN PAM4:O". Change the status column for J7 to "JTP*DN_NRZ:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 34 # 469 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 44 # 472 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Type Т Send JP03A Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test Send JP03B Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J8. Change the status column for J10 to "JTP*DN PAM4:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 38 # 470 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 46 # 473 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Send JP03A Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test Send JP03B Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. pattern is supported. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for J9 to "JTP*UP_PAM4:O". Add "N/A []" to the support column for J10. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 L 40 C/ 120 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 # 471 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 49 # 474 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Send JP03A Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test Send JP03B Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J9. Change the status column for J11 to "JTP*UP PAM4:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 51 # 475 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 9 # 478 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type Т Send JP03B Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test Send PRBS13Q Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J11. Change the status column for J13 to "JTP*UP PAM4:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 3 # 476 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 11 # 479 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Send PRBS13Q Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test Send PRBS13Q Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. pattern is supported. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for J12 to "JTP*DN_PAM4:O". Add "N/A []" to the support column for J13. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 L 5 C/ 120 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 # 477 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 15 # 480 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т Send PRBS13Q Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test Send PRBS31Q Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J12. Change the status column for J14 to "JTP*DN PAM4:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 17 # 481 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 26 # 484 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Type Т Send PRBS31Q Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test Check PRBS31Q Tx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J14. Change the status column for J16 to "JTP*UP PAM4:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 21 # 482 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 28 # 485 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Send PRBS31Q Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. Check PRBS31Q Tx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. pattern is supported. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for J15 to "JTP*UP_PAM4:O". Add "N/A []" to the support column for J16. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 120 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 23 # 483 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 32 # 486 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Send PRBS31Q Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test Check PRBS31Q Rx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J15. Change the status column for J17 to "JTP*DN PAM4:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 34 # 487 C/ 120 SC 120.7.6 P 210 L 48 # 490 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Check PRBS31Q Rx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test LB1 is mandatory if LBL is supported. pattern is supported. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for LB1. Add "N/A []" to the support column for J17. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.6 P 210 L 50 # 491 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 38 # 488 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D LB2 is mandatory if LBR is supported. Send SSPRQ Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test SuggestedRemedy pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for LB2. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the status column for J18 to "JTP*DN_PAM4:O". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120A SC 120A.4 P 328 L 1 # 160 Dudek. Mike Cavium C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 L 40 P 210 # 489 Comment Type E Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America It should be "example" instead of "examples" in the title. (There is only one diagram, and the figure says "example" however there is one example for 200GXS and another for Comment Type Ε Comment Status D 400GXS) Send SSPRQ Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test SuggestedRemedy pattern is supported. Change to "example" in the title. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Add "N/A []" to the support column for J18. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120B SC 120B P 329 L 1 # 258 Fuiitsu Lab of America

Hidaka, Yasuo

TR Comment Status D Comment Type

IS SIGNAL indication primitive is mandagry for chip-to-chip 200GAUI-8 and 400GAUI-16. because they are physical instantiations of the PMA service interface, but it is completely missina.

It was also missing in CAUI-4, CAUI-10 and 25GAUI.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a specification of IS_SIGNAL.indication. It is a uni-directional signal from lower PMA to upper PMA.

It may refer to 120.5.8 Link status for the detail.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120B SC 120B.1 P 329 L 27 # 140 D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Diagram (120B-1) can be improved to better communicate the 200GXS functionality.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the stack without the extender sublaver to the left column, and the extender sublaver based stack to the right. Move the PCS and PMA for the non-extender sublaver stack to be across from the 200GXS/PMA at the top of the Extender Sublayer Stack side. Keep the bottom PMA / PMD of both stacks in the same location.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120B SC 120B.1 P 329 L 27 # 494

Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America

Comment Type т Comment Status D

In Figure 120B-1, DTE 200GXS and PHY 200GXS are not distinguished. Although their specifications are mostly identical, there have clear difference due to the location in the protocol stack.

I think we should not omit the prefix "DTE" or "PHY" whenever their distinction is important or effective so as to remind readers of their distinction and labeling.

SuggestedRemedy

Make the following changes in Figure 120B-1:

Change the upper "200GXS" with "DTE 200GXS". Change the lower "200GXS" with "PHY 200GXS". Add "DTE = DATA TERMINAL EQUIPMENT" at the bottom.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120B SC 120B.1 P 329 L 35 # 498

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type Comment Status D

PCS is labeled inconsistently in Figure 120B-1.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "200 Gb/s PCS" on the left stack with "200GBASE-R PCS".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 120B SC 120B.1 P 329 L 35 # 170

Dudek, Mike Cavium

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Although the GAUI chip to chip interface can be connected to a module (combination PMA/PMD) as shown in figures 120B-1, and 120B-2 it is not the primary target application. It would be better to show the primary target application. (Note that annex 120A does not differentiate between chip to chip and chip to module). (See also similar comment against 120D)

SuggestedRemedy

Add a PMA box to the right hand side of these diagrams between the two PMA's. The GAUI chip to chip filled in link being between the PMA adjacent to the PCS and this new PMA box. The PMA to the PMA adjacent to the PMD link should just be labelled 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n(neither chip to chip or chip to module) and either not filled in or maybe striped. At the end of the paragraph at line 21 add the sentences "Although the 200GAUI-8 and 400GAUI-16 chip to chip interfaces are primarily intended for connections between PMA's that are not co-located with the PMD, they can be used between any PMA's. Note that the 200GAUI-n and 400GAUI-n chip to module interfaces specified in Annex 120C and Annex 120E are intended for connection from a PMA to the PMA co-located with the PMD

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120B SC 120B.1 P 330 L 8 # 495

Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

In Figure 120B-2, DTE 400GXS and PHY 400GXS are not distinguished. Although their specifications are mostly identical, there have clear difference due to the location in the protocol stack.

I think we should not omit the prefix "DTE" or "PHY" whenever their distinction is important or effective so as to remind readers of their distinction and labeling.

SuggestedRemedy

Make the following changes in Figure 120B-2:

Change the upper "400GXS" with "DTE 400GXS".

Change the lower "400GXS" with "PHY 400GXS".

Add "DTE = DATA TERMINAL EQUIPMENT" at the bottom.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120B SC 120B.1

P **330**

L 16

499

Hidaka, Yasuo

Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type E Comment Status D

PCS is labeled inconsistently in Figure 120B-2.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "400 Gb/s PCS" on the left stack with "400GBASE-R PCS".

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 120B SC 120B.1

P 331

L 16

L 33

502

Hidaka, Yasuo

Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Figure 120B-3 is a good place to show the IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive that is mandatory for 200GAUI-8 chip-to-chip application.

SuggestedRemedy

Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of IS_SIGNAL.indication.

Label the left component as "With upper PMA". Label the right component as "With lower PMA".

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 120B SC 120B.1

P **331**

503

Hidaka, Yasuo

Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Figure 120B-4 is a good place to show the IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive that is mandatory for 400GAUI-16 chip-to-chip application.

SuggestedRemedy

Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of IS SIGNAL.indication.

Label the left component as "With upper PMA".

Label the right component as "With lower PMA".

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 120B SC 120B.1 P 331 L 38 # 510 C/ 120B SC 120B.5.3 P 334 L 11 # 511 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status D Channel for 200GAUI-8 and 400GAUI-16 chip-to-chip is described in 120B.4 including the Negative description "not applicable" in the Value/Comment column for CHAN may be difference from 83D.4. confusing and may cause an error to choose Yes or No. The term of "PHY manufacturer" is also not clear. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change the reference to "83D.4" with a reference to "120B.4". Change the Value/Comment column for CHAN as follows: Proposed Response Response Status O This PICS is for conformance of channel between two PMAs. (A manufacturer responsible only for PMA with this interface may choose "No" for this item.) C/ 120B SC 120B.2 P 330 L 27 # 141 Proposed Response Response Status O D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary Comment Type ER Comment Status D C/ 120B SC 120B.5.4.1 P 334 L 46 # 512 Diagram (120B-2) can be improved to better communicate the 200GXS functionality. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Т Comment Status D Move the stack without the extender sublayer to the left column, and the extender sublayer based stack to the right. Move the PCS and PMA for the non-extender sublayer stack to There are exceptions to Table 83D-1 described in 120B.3.1. be across from the 400GXS/PMA at the top of the Extender Sublayer Stack side. Keep the SuggestedRemedy bottom PMA / PMD of both stacks in the same location. Change the Value/Comment column for TC9 to "Meet Table 83D-1 constraints with Proposed Response Response Status O exceptions in 120B.3.1". Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120B SC 120B.4 P 332 L 38 # 171 Dudek, Mike Cavium SC 120C C/ 120C P 336 L 1 # 259 Comment Type Comment Status D Т Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America The target SER for this interface is 1e-5 (see 120B.3.2). However with the DFE tap weight Comment Type TR Comment Status D allowed to be equal to 1 the probability of error extension is 0.5. This results in the IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is mandaory for chip-to-module 200GAUI-8 and 400GAUIprobability of RS-FEC symbol errors caused by this one detector error to be 1.1 16. because they are physical instantiations of the PMA service interface, but it is SuggestedRemedy completely missing. Change the DER from 1e-6 to 9e-7 (or reduce the normalized DFE coefficient magnitude limit. It was also missing in CAUI-4, CAUI-10, and 25GAUI. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Add a specification of IS SIGNAL indication. It is a uni-directional signal from lower PMA to upper PMA.

It may refer to 120.5.8 Link status for the detail.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 120C SC 120C.1 P 337 L 16 # 506 C/ 120C SC 120C.3.3 P 338 L 38 # 138 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei. Subsidiary Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type E Figure 120C-2 is a good place to show the IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive that is The sentence is confusing because the BER is specified in 83E.3.3 through a note mandatory for 200GAUI-8 chip-to-module application. reference to 83E.1 though the requirement in the .3bs draft states it must meet all requirements in 83E.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of IS SIGNAL.indication. Change reference to the BER specified in 83E.3.3 or just modify sentence to - The BER meets the requirement in 120C.1.1. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120C SC 120C.1 P 337 L 39 # 507 C/ 120C SC 120C.3.3 P 338 L 47 # 139 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei. Subsidiary Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Type E Comment Status D Figure 120C-3 is a good place to show the IS SIGNAL indication primitive that is mandatory for 400GAUI-16 chip-to-module application. The sentence is confusing because the BER is specified in 83E.3.3 through a note reference to 83E.1 though the requirement in the .3bs draft states it must meet all SuggestedRemedy requirements in 83E.3. Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of SuggestedRemedy IS_SIGNAL.indication. Change reference to the BER specified in 83E.3.3 or just modify sentence to - The BER Proposed Response Response Status 0 meets the requirement in 120C.1.1. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120C SC 120C.2 P 338 L 1 # 161 Dudek, Mike Cavium C/ 120C SC 120C.5.3 P 341 # 513 L 13 Comment Type E Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Unfortunate line and page break leaving "definitions" on a separate page Comment Type Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy What is adaptive is equalizer rather than receiver. Keep it on the same page as the rest of the title. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change the feature column for ADR with "Adaptive equalizer". Change the Value/Comment column for ADR with "Module equalizer does not use Recommended_CTLE_value". Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 120C SC 120C.5.4.1 P 341 L 28 # 514

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

For item TH2 through TH14, a reference to 120C.3.1 is useless, because it does not provide useful information.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the subclause column as follows:

TH2:83E.3.1.2 TH3:83E.3.1.2 TH4:83E.3.1 TH5:83E.3.1 TH6:83E.3.1.3 TH7:83E.3.1.3 TH8:83E.3.1.3 TH9:83E.3.1.3

TH9: 83E.3.1, 86A.5.3.2 TH10: 83E.3.1.5, 86A.5.3.3

TH11: 83E.3.1 TH12: 83E.3.1 TH13: 83E.3.1 TH14: 83E.3.1.6

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 120C SC 120C.5.4.1 P 341 L 45
Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

For item TH9, the differential termination mismatch is measured over AC cap using a method described in 86A.5.3.2. A reference to the equation may be helpful.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the Value/Comment column for TH9 with "Equation (86A-10) or (86A-11) is less than 10%".

Proposed Response Status O

CI 120C SC 120C.5.4.2 P 342 L 8 # 516

Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America

nidaka, rasuo Fujiisu Lab di America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

A reference to Pattern 5 and Pattern 3 may be helpful.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Pattern 5, Pattern 3," in the Value/Comment column for TH14 with "Pattern 3 or 5 in Table 86-11".

Proposed Response Status O

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is mandaory for chip-to-chip 200GAUI-4 and 400GAUI-8, because they are physical instantiations of the PMA service interface, but it is completely missing.

It was also missing in CAUI-4, CAUI-10, and 25GAUI.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a specification of IS_SIGNAL.indication.

It is a uni-directional signal from lower PMA to upper PMA.

It may refer to 120.5.8 Link status for the detail.

Proposed Response Response Status O

515

Cl 120D SC 120D P 344 L 29 # 172

Dudek, Mike Cavium

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Although the GAUI chip to chip interface can be connected to a module (combination PMA/PMD) as shown in figure 120B-1, and 120B-2 (is not the primary target application. It would be better to show the primary target application. (Note that annex 120A does not differentiate between chip to chip and chip to module). (Also see similar comment against 120B)

SuggestedRemedy

The GAUI chip to chip filled in link being between the PMA adjacent to the PCS and this new PMA box. The PMA to the PMA adjacent to the PMD link should just be labelled 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n(neither chip to chip or chip to module) and either not filled in or maybe striped. At the end of the paragraph at line 21 add the sentences "Although the 200GAUI-4 and 400GAUI-8 chip to chip interfaces are primarily intended for connections between PMA's that are not co-located with the PMD, they can be used between any PMA's. Note that the 200GAUI-n and 400GAUI-n chip to module interfaces specified in Annex 120C and Annex 120E are intended for connection from a PMA to the PMA co-located with the PMD

Proposed Response Status O

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiai

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Diagram (120D-1) can be improved to better communicate the 200GXS functionality.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the stack without the extender sublayer to the left column, and the extender sublayer based stack to the right. Move the PCS and PMA for the non-extender sublayer stack to be across from the 200GXS/PMA at the top of the Extender Sublayer Stack side. Keep the bottom PMA / PMD of both stacks in the same location.

Proposed Response Status O

HIDAKA, YASUO FUJITSU LAD OF AMERICA

Comment Type T Comment Status D

In Figure 120D-1, DTE 200GXS and PHY 200GXS are not distinguished. Although their specifications are mostly identical, there have clear difference due to the location in the protocol stack.

I think we should not omit the prefix "DTE" or "PHY" whenever their distinction is important or effective so as to remind readers of their distinction and labeling.

SuggestedRemedy

Make the following changes in Figure 120D-1:

Change the upper "200GXS" with "DTE 200GXS".
Change the lower "200GXS" with "PHY 200GXS".
Add "DTE = DATA TERMINAL EQUIPMENT" at the bottom.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D.1 P 344 L 35 # 500

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type E Comment Status D
PCS is labeled inconsistently in Figure 120D-1.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "200 Gb/s PCS" on the left stack with "200GBASE-R PCS".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D.1 P 345 L 8 # 497 C/ 120D SC 120D.1 P 346 L 33 # 505 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status D In Figure 120D-2, DTE 400GXS and PHY 400GXS are not distinguished. Although their Figure 120D-4 is a good place to show the IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive that is specifications are mostly identical, there have clear difference due to the location in the mandatory for 400GAUI-8 chip-to-chip application. protocol stack. SuggestedRemedy I think we should not omit the prefix "DTE" or "PHY" whenever their distinction is important Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of or effective so as to remind readers of their distinction and labeling. IS SIGNAL.indication. SuggestedRemedy Label the left component as "With upper PMA". Make the following changes in Figure 120D-2: Label the right component as "With lower PMA". Proposed Response Response Status O Change the upper "400GXS" with "DTE 400GXS". Change the lower "400GXS" with "PHY 400GXS". Add "DTE = DATA TERMINAL EQUIPMENT" at the bottom. C/ 120D SC 120D.2 P 345 L 27 # 143 Proposed Response Response Status O D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei. Subsidiary Comment Type ER Comment Status D C/ 120D SC 120D.1 P 345 L 16 # 501 Diagram (120D-2) can be improved to better communicate the 200GXS functionality. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Move the stack without the extender sublayer to the left column, and the extender sublayer based stack to the right. Move the PCS and PMA for the non-extender sublayer stack to PCS is labeled inconsistently in Figure 120D-2. be across from the 400GXS/PMA at the top of the Extender Sublaver Stack side. Keep the SuggestedRemedy bottom PMA / PMD of both stacks in the same location. Change "400 Gb/s PCS" on the left stack with "400GBASE-R PCS". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120D SC 120D.2 P 347 # 517 L 29 C/ 120D SC 120D.1 P 346 L 16 # 504 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type T Comment Status D The electrical characteristics of test fixture was specified from 0.05GHz to 25GHz in Equation 93-1 and 93-2 in 93.8.1.1, whereas the informative channel insertion loss is Figure 120D-3 is a good place to show the IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive that is specified from 0.01GHz to 28.05GHz in Equation 120D-1. mandatory for 200GAUI-4 chip-to-chip application. We need to expand the range of frequency of the characteristics of test fixture. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of IS SIGNAL.indication. Insert the following phrase after "Figure 93-5 and 93.8.1.1": "with the exception of min frequency for the IL and RL specification is 0.01GHz and max Label the left component as "With upper PMA". frequency of the IL and RL specification is 28.05GHz". Label the right component as "With lower PMA".

Also, insert the same phrase after "Figure 93-10 and 93.8.2.1".

Response Status 0

Page 83 of 104

11/09/2016 11:01:16

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general C/ 120D COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SC 120D.2 SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1 P 348 L 19 # 23 Broadcom Ltd.

Healey, Adam

Comment Status D Comment Type

The steady state voltage and linear fit pulse peak parameters refer to 94.3.12.5.3. However, 94.3.12.5.3 refers to 94.3.12.5.2 which states that the linear fit pulse is derived using ES1 and ES2 as defined in 94.3.12.5.1. The ES1 and ES2 definition in 120D.3.1.2.1 should be used instead. In fact, all of the exceptions currently listed in 120D.3.1.2 should also apply to the steady state voltage and linear fit pulse peak measurements.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert a new subclause under 120D.3.1 named "Linear fit to the measured waveform" (suggest 120D.3.1.2). The contents of the new subclause include the following paragraph followed by the lettered items a) through c) from the current 120D.3.1.2. "The test procedure in 94.3.12.5.2 is followed to determine the linear fit pulse response. linear fit error, and normalized transmitter coefficient values with the following exceptions." Insert a new subclause onf 120D.3.1 named "Steady-state voltage and linear fit pulse peak" (suggest 120D.3.1.3) with the following contents: "The linear fit pulse, p(k), is determined according to the linear fit procedure in 120D.3.1.2. The steady-state voltage vf is defined to be the sum of the linear fit pulse p(k) divided by M. determined in step 3 of the linear fit procedure." Renumber 120D.3.1.2 accordingly (suggest 120D.3.3). Change the last sentence of the first paragraph of subclause to the following and remove lettered items a) through c): "The transmitter output equalization is characterized using the linear fit method described in 120D.3.1.2). Promote "Transmitter linearity", currently 120D.3.1.2.1, to the same level in the heirarchy as the other transmitter parameters (suggest 120D.3.1.4). The subclasue 120D.3.1.2.2 should be a subclause of the new 120D.3.1.4 (suggest 120D.3.1.4.1). Update all cross-references accordingly, including in Table 120D-1 where the references for steady-state voltage and linear fit pulse peak parameters should now be to 120D.3.1.3. This is expected to clearly incorporate the referenced content with all of the agreed upon exceptions.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

TR

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1 P 348 L 24 # 24

Broadcom Ltd. Healey, Adam

The signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio parameter refers to 94.3.12.7. However, the stringent 31 dB limit requires a more accurate and repeatable test procedure.

Comment Status D

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

A presentation will provided with a description and analysis of the proposed test method.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 347 L 48 # 131

Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Type Comment Status D TR

Should not use such an unrepresentative pattern

SuggestedRemedy

Measure iitter with PRBS13Q. Either apply the spec to a subset of emphasis settings, or apply to all emphasis settings but ignore the edges that are not present when emphasis is

Remove the JP03A test pattern generator and registers.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 347 L 48 # 132

Dawe. Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

If the target BER is 1e-5...

SuggestedRemedy

We should specify J4 jitter rather than J5 jitter.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 347 L 49 # 573

Zivny, Pavel Tektronix

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The statement "The jitter is measured with a single-pole high-pass filter with a 3 dB bandwidth of 4 MHz." is not appropriate since on next page the footnote (d) states: "the clock recovery unit (CRU) used in the jitter measurement has a corner frequency of 4 MHz and a slope of 20 dB/decade".

SugaestedRemedy

change line 49 to read:

"The jitter is measured with a the clock recovery unit (CRU)".

Proposed Response Response Status W

[Editor's note: This comment was sent after the close of the comment period]

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 347 L 51 # 162 Dudek, Mike Cavium

Comment Type Comment Status D Т

measurements of BER are irrelevant to this litter section

SuggestedRemedy

Delete "BFR or"

Proposed Response Response Status O

P 347 L 53 C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 # 28 Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd.

Comment Type Т Comment Status D

It is stated that jitter measurements are performed with transmitters on all lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern. This implies the aggressor lanes will also be transmitting JP03A. It would be better if they were transmitting a more spectrally rich pattern such as PRBS31Q. Note that the "PRBS pattern testing control" registers (see 45.2.1.124) currently do not permit mixing JP03A on one lane with different test patterns on other lanes. This is the subject of a separate comment.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the second paragraph of 120D.3.1.1 with the following: "Jitter measurements are performed with transmitters on all lanes enabled and using identical transmitter equalizer settings. Transmitters on lanes not under test transmit PRBS13Q, PRBS31Q, or a valid 200GBASE-R or 400GBASE-R signal, PRBS13Q is described in 120.5.11.2.3 and PRBS31Q is described in 120.5.11.2.4."

Proposed Response Response Status 0

SC 120D.3.1.1 C/ 120D P 347 L 53 # 153 Dudek, Mike Cavium

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Crosstalk from the other lanes will not create jitter if they are also transmitting the JP03A test pattern. An uncorrelated pattern is needed on the other lanes. (I have made a separate comment against clause 120 to provide individual lane enablement of JP03A)

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings" with "enabled with the identical transmit equalizer settings and transmitting pattern 3,5 or scrambled idle"

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 348 L 24 # 564

Dawe. Piers Mellanox

TR

94.3.12.7 refers to 94.3.12.5.2 which uses QPRBS13; and 94.3.12.5.1, 94.2.9.4. transmitter linearity test pattern; and runs of at least 8 consecutive identical levels.

Comment Status D

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Should be PRBS13Q; and PRBS13Q; and runs of at least 6 consecutive identical levels. There may be other corrections / exceptions needed.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 348 L 28 # 565 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Status D

Should not use such an unrepresentative pattern; should not require such a strange pattern for just one spec item.

Should not rely on Clause 94.

TR

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Either: measure EOJ with PRBS13Q (or a shorter PRBSnQ if we have one) as in D1.4 120E.3.3.2 Even-odd jitter, but with 120D style slicing levels based on 120D.3.1.2.2. Apply the spec to a subset of emphasis settings, or apply to all emphasis settings but ignore the edges that are not present when emphasis is off. This will be a by-product of the SNDR and other jitter measurement, avoiding a separate measurement.

Or, if we think that J RMS, J5 (J4), SNDR, and linear fit components provide good enough coverage, remove the EOJ spec.

Remove the JP03B test pattern generator and registers.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 348 L 28 # 574 C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2.1 P 351 L 33 # 27 Zivny, Pavel Tektronix Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd. Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type In the table "Table 120D-1—200GAUI-4 and 400GAUI-8 transmitter characteristics at While most are likely to understand what it means for the transmit equalizer to be "turned TP0a" the footnote (d) is anchored on "even odd jitter(max)." off", a simple yet more precise requirement can be stated. This footnote describes the CR to use for litter measurements. SuggestedRemedy This should be anchored on the very first word in the litter section. "Output litter". Replace the phrase "the transmit equalizer turned off" with "Local_eq_cm1 and SuggestedRemedy Local eq c1 set to zero (see 120D.3.1.2)." Anchor the footnote (d) on the words "Ooutput jitter". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status W [Editor's note: This comment was sent after the close of the comment period] C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2.1 P 351 L 37 Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd. C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.2.1 P 349 L 54 # 154 Comment Type Comment Status D TR Dudek. Mike Cavium The jitter parameters CRJrms and CDJ have been replaced by J RMS and J5. As a result, Comment Type Ε Comment Status D the definition of the mapping of measured jitter parameters to sigma_RJ and A_DD needs The word signal is split between two pages with a table between the two halves. to be modified. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy keep the whole word on one page. Given J_RMS and J5, specify that $A_DD = ((J5/2)+Q5*sqrt((Q5^2+1)*J_RMS^2-1)*J_RMS^2-1)*J_RMS^2-10$ (J5/2)^2))/(Q5^2+1). This equation assumes that the bounded uncorrelated jitter has a Proposed Response Response Status O dual-Dirac distribution (as COM also assumes). Given J5 and A DD, specify that $sigma_RJ = ((J5/2)-ADD)/Q5$. Note that Q5 is approximately 4.4172. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.2.1 P 350 L 30 # 30 Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd. C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2.1 P 351 Comment Type Comment Status D L 38 # 163 Dudek. Mike The sentence "RLM shall be greater than or equal to 0.95." is unnecessary since it is Cavium stated in 120D.3.1 that "the transmitter shall meet the specifications given in Table 120D-1 Comment Type T Comment Status D if measured at TP0a." RLM is one of the specification listed in Table 120D-1. We don't have measurement methods for CRJrms or CDJ. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove the last sentence of the last paragraph of 120D.3.1.2.1: "RLM shall be greater Replace "CRJrms" with "Jrms" and replace "CDJ" with "(J5-4.41*Jrms) than or equal to 0.95." Proposed Response

Proposed Response

Response Status 0

Response Status 0

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2.2 P 352 L 18 # 26 C/ 120D SC 120D.5.3 P 356 L 11 # 520 Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd. Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status D The subclause states that the test procedure for iitter tolerance is the same as the one Negative description "not applicable" in the Value/Comment column for CHAN may be described in 120D.3.2.1 with the exception that no broadband noise is added. In confusing and may cause an error to choose Yes or No. 120D.3.2.1. items c) through f) pertain to the calculation of the test channel COM but the The term of "PHY manufacturer" is also not clear. iitter tolerance specification includes no requirement for test channel COM. It is important SugaestedRemedy to state a COM requirement since there is no other quarantee that the test setup supports Change the Value/Comment column for CHAN as follows: the target RS-FEC symbol error ratio even prior to the application of the sinusoidal litter (insertion loss at the fundamental frequency may not be enough). This PICS is for conformance of channel between two PMAs. (A manufacturer responsible SuggestedRemedy only for PMA with this interface may choose "No" for this item.) Require that the test channel COM, calculated per items c) through f) in 120D.3.2.1, be at Proposed Response Response Status O least 3 dB. In addition, for the COM parameter calibration described in item d), require that the test channel transmitter J RMS and J5 values are measured with the jitter frequency and amplitude set according to Case E from Table 120D-6. C/ 120D SC 120D.5.4.3 P 357 L 22 # 521 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2.3 P 352 L 46 # 518 COM parameter for 200GAUI-4 and 400GAUI-8 chip-to-chip is described in 120D.4. Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Comment Status D Comment Type Change the reference to 83D.4 with a reference to 120D.4 There is no such variable as "Request_eq_cm1" or "Request_eq_c1". Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change "Request_eq_cm1" with "Requested eq cm1". Change "Request eq c1" with "Requested eq c1". C/ 120D SC 120D.5.4.3 P 357 L 23 # 164 Dudek. Mike Cavium Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type T Comment Status D It is not appropriate to be calling out clause 83D for COM when this clause has many C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2.3 P 352 L 46 # 519 differences from that COM table. Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo SuggestedRemedy Comment Status D Comment Type Т Change 83D.4 to 120D.4 In this context, "indicate the requested values" seems relevant. Proposed Response Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change "indicate the request values" with "indicate the requested values".

Response Status O

C/ 120E SC 120E P 358 L 1 # 522

Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America

Comment Type E Comment Status D

"Annex 120E (normative)" is not shown in the bookmark of the PDF file. It is inconsistent with other clauses.

SuggestedRemedy

Include "Annex 120E (normative)" in the bookmark text.

Proposed Response Status O

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is mandaory for chip-to-module 200GAUI-4 and 400GAUI-8, because they are physical instantiations of the PMA service interface, but it is completely missing.

It was also missing in CAUI-4, CAUI-10, and 25GAUI.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a specification of IS_SIGNAL.indication.

It is a uni-directional signal from lower PMA to upper PMA.

It may refer to 120.5.8 Link status for the detail.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120E SC 120E.1 P358 L16 # 508

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Figure 120E-2 is a good place to show the IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive that is mandatory for 200GAUI-4 chip-to-module application.

SuggestedRemedy

Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of IS_SIGNAL.indication.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 120E SC 120E.1 P 358 L 39 # 509

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Figure 120E-3 is a good place to show the IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive that is mandatory for 400GAUI-8 chip-to-module application.

SuggestedRemedy

Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of IS SIGNAL.indication.

Proposed Response Status O

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

For a high loss host output with a peak-to-peak voltage of 900 mV as measured with PRBS13Q, the peak-to-peak voltage in service will be greater, by an amout that is more than I expected. It is too much to expect the receiver designer to second-guess this; we should expect the receiver to work with 900 mV for any reasonable pattern.

SuggestedRemedy

Reduce the 900 mV here by a few percent. This makes no difference to a high-loss host. The output swing in a low-loss host might have to be reduced slightly, but that's OK, the module will still have an easier task than with the high-loss host.

Reduce the crosstalk amplitude in module output test and host stressed input calibration similarly, as they are also specified with PRBS13Q.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The limit for ESMW appears to be identical to the limit for eye width in all cases. As a result, it seems any measured signal that meets the ESMW requirement will, by definition, also meet the eye width limit. If this is the case, is the eye width specification necessary?

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the eye width requirement if it is not needed.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 120E SC 120E.3.1 P 361 L 51 # 33 C/ 120E SC 120E.3.1.6 P 363 L 35 # 126 Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd. Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type TR Comment Status D Between P802.3bs/D1.2 and P802.3bs/D1.3, the module near-end eve height and width This crosstalk generator is intended to represent a module, and generate broadband limits were decreased (from 120 mV/400 mUI to 90 mV/265 mUI) after a thorough energy. The spec allows an implementer to achieve the letter of the spec by using a lot of investigation based on more recent assumptions of requirements (pre-cursor equalization) emphasis but miss the intention. and device capabilities (see SuggestedRemedy http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/16 03/hegde 3bs 01 0316.pdf>and follow-ons). This transition time spec should be replaced by a slew time spec, e.g. 4.5 ps between +/-However, the commenter is unaware of any recent verification that the host output eve 0.1 V. Definition of slew time similar to transition time but with fixed thresholds instead of requirements (50 mV/200 mUI) are achievable with a host transmitter whose capabilities the signal-dependent 20% and 80%. Same for the counter propagating crosstalk channels are similar to the those implied by Annex 120D (chip-to-chip 200G/400GAUI-4/8) over during calibration of the module stressed input signal (120E.3.4.1.1). representative host channels. We don't need to change the spec for the crosstalk generator in the opposite direction SuggestedRemedy because that's a slower signal so an implementer won't be using emphasis. Verify the limits are still appropriate or adjust them accordingly. A presentation will be Proposed Response Response Status O provided that explores this issue. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120E SC 120E.3.2 P 366 L 32 # 127 Dawe. Piers Mellanox C/ 120E SC 120E.3.1 P 361 L 51 # 83 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC The module output transition time min. spec is there to protect the module's input from too Comment Status D Comment Type Т much crosstalk when connected to a host with more NEXT than the MCB. "Too much" Based simulation to show feasibility 200GAUI-4/400GAUI-8 C2M were base on hypotitical doesn't depend on the module's output amplitude setting, so we should have an absolute connector haiving ~1/3 the connector crosstalk specified in 120E.4.1 spec here not a relative one. http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/elect/24Aug 15/dallaire 01 082415 elect.pdf SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy This transition time spec should be replaced by a slew time spec, e.g. 3.5 ps between +/-Need to verify if current eye width and eye height are feasible with QSFP28 like connector 0.1 V. Definition of slew time similar to transition time but with fixed thresholds instead of having ~3x the crosstalk. Attach presentation provide background the signal-dependent 20% and 80%. http://www.ieee802.org/3/cd/public/Julv16/ghiasi 3cd 02 0716.pdf There is less need to change the transition time spec for the host output because the Plan to update the presentation as ghiasi_3bs_01_0916. connector is on the host board, so the NEXT is already in the measurement. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120E P 363 C/ 120E SC 120E.3.2.1 P 366 SC 120E.3.1.6 L 28 # 523 L 44 # 524 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D The compliance boards for this clause are defined in 120E.2. The compliance boards for this clause are defined in 120E.2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the reference to "83E.2" with a reference to "120E.2". Change the reference to "83E.2" with a reference to "120E.2". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Clause, Subclause, page, line

C/ **120E** SC **120E.3.2.1** Page 89 of 104 11/09/2016 11:01:17

CI 120E SC 120E.3.2.1 P 366 L 52 # 85

Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Target tranistion time does not say 20-80%

SuggestedRemedy

Add 20% to 80%

Proposed Response Status W

[Editor's note: Clause changed from 129 to 120E and Subclause changed from 129.3.2.1 to 120E.3.2.1]

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The VEC spec was required in other clauses because the module output signal was being tested at the Near end and this protected hosts from modules with large amplitude outputs that were highly distorted that would be difficult to receive after a long host trace. With this clause also specifying the Far end there is no need for this specification for the Module output or having to calibrate to a specific value for the host stressed input test.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the VEC row in Table 120E-3.

Delete the sentence related to VECP on page 370 line 5.

Delete the heading for section 120E.4.2.1, the initial sentence and Equation 120E-3 and definition of VEC, however retain the definitions of the AVupp etc.

Delete TH14 in the PICS. page 379 line 35

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 120E SC 120E.4.1 P372 L35 # 86

Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type T Comment Status D

We have inconsistency between baseline simulations and what we are referencing for MCB/HCB. The simulations were based on hypotitical connector haiving ~1/3 the crosstalk http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/elect/24Aug_15/dallaire_01_082415_elect.pdf

SuggestedRemedy

Current eye width and eye height may not be met with connectoras defined and referenced in 92.11.1 having ~3x the crosstalk. Attach presentation provide background http://www.ieee802.org/3/cd/public/July16/ghiasi_3cd_02_0716.pdf

Plan to update the presentation as ghiasi_3bs_01_0916.

Proposed Response Status W

[Editor's note: Clause changed from 1203 to 120E and Subclause changed from 1203.4.1 to 120E.4.1]

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The electrical characteristics of test fixture was specified from 0.01GHz to 25GHz in Equation 92-34 in 92.11.1 and 92-35 in 92.11.2, whereas the informative channel insertion loss is specified from 0.01GHz to 28.05GHz in Equation 120E-1.

We need to expand the range of frequency of the characteristics of test fixture.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following phrase after "TP2 or TP3 test fixture":

"with the exception of max frequency of the IL and RL specification is 28.05GHz".

Also, insert the same phrase after "the cable assembly test fixture".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type T Comment Status D

It is ambiguous as to what the eye probabilities are related to. (symbols, bits or individual eyes).

SuggestedRemedy

At line 46 add the sentence. Unless specified otherwise the probabilities are relative to the 3 individual eyes not the total PAM4 symbol.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120E SC 120E.4.2 P 373 L 4 # 32 Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd. Comment Status D Comment Type In item 3), the phrase "as a distance of from the center of the eve" would be better stated as "as a function of the distance from the center of the eye". The CDF is related to this distance but is not the distance itself. See similar instances in items 4) and 7). SuggestedRemedy Replace the phrase "as a distance" with "as a function of the distance" in each instance cited in the comment. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120E SC 120E.5.3 P 378 L 6 # 166 Dudek, Mike Cavium Comment Type T Comment Status D There are not 8 lanes for 200GAUI-4 SuggestedRemedy Add the 4 lane option for 200GAUI-4 and make the existing 8 lanes for 400GAUI only Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120E SC 120E.5.4.1 P 378 L 42 # 526 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type Т Comment Status D

For item TH9, the differential termination mismatch is measured over AC cap using a method described in 86A.5.3.2. A reference to the equation may be helpful.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the subclause column for TH9 from "120E.3.1" to "120E.3.1.4, 86A.5.3.2". Change the Value/Comment column for TH9 from "Less than 10%" to "Equation (86A-10) or (86A-11) is less than 10%".

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120E SC 120E.5.4.1 P 378 L 54 # 167

Dudek. Mike Cavium

Comment Type Comment Status D

There is no specification for Vertical eve closure for the host output in Table 120E-1 There shouldn't be a PICS item for it.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete TH14 on page 378 line 54.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 120E SC 120E.5.4.2 P 379 L 20 # 527 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type Comment Status D

For item TM7, the differential termination mismatch is measured over AC cap using a method described in 86A.5.3.2. A reference to the equation may be helpful.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the subclause column for TM7 from "120E.3.1." to "120E.3.1.4, 86A.5.3.2". Change the Value/Comment column for TM7 from "Less than 10%" to "Equation (86A-10) or (86A-11) is less than 10%".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 121 SC 121.7.1 P 218 L 16 # 567

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The SMSR spec has been described variously as a diagnostic, a component level spec for buying lasers to make into PMDs, an early warning, a comfort blanket / included by default, or something that can be measured relatively easily in a component lab. Any SMSR problems will contribute to TDECQ - but we haven't quantified them. The effect of SMSR will depend strongly on the amount of dispersion which varies from one PMD to another and lane to lane, and on laser technology. We should not obstruct innovative implementations.

SugaestedRemedy

Make the SMSR limit a recommendation not a PICS requirement. All optical PMDs in this project.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 121 SC 121.7.1 P 218 L 31 # 566

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Does the extinction ratio matter much in PAM4?

SuggestedRemedy

Unless it's important, reduce the limit to 3 dB, or as appropriate, for each optical PMD.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 121 SC 121.7.1 P 218 L 33 # 130

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Now we have a TDECQ spec, we should look again at the RIN spec. The effect of RIN is included in TDECQ; the acceptable level of RIN depends strongly on other transmitter impairments. All we could *require* in a spec is the amount of RIN that would create substantially all of the TDECQ limit, which I don't think is this number. It would be hard to *recommend* any number without making assumptions on behalf of all future transmitter implementers that we can't justify.

As 52.9.6 says "This procedure describes a component test that may not be appropriate for a system level test depending on the implementation. If used..."

and "In order to measure the noise, the modulation to the DUT is turned off." A transmitter that's trying to deliver 4 well-spaced PAM4 levels can't be expected to do anything in particular if the modulation to the DUT is turned off!

SuggestedRemedy

As we no longer need a RIN spec and it would be difficult to choose a recommended value - delete the RIN22.8OMA row in Table 121-6, and in Table 121-10. Delete 121.8.7. In 121.8.5.1 and 121.8.5.2, we could change "The state of polarization of the back reflection is adjusted to create the greatest RIN" to "The state of polarization of the back reflection is adjusted for the greatest TDECQ". Similarly in clauses 122, 124.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 121 SC 121.7.2 P 219 L 11 # 123
Lewis, David Lumentum

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Table 121-7. The value for damage threshold is unecessarily high at 3 dB above the maximum average receive power. Having such a high value makes it more difficult to find a source with sufficient power to do the test. Other SMF standards, such as 100GBASE-LR4/-ER4 (Table 88-8) have set the damage threshold at 1 dB above the maximum average receive power.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the threshold from 6.5 dBm to 4 dBm.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 121 SC 121.7.3 P 219 L 47 # 84

Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Current -45 dB RL require APC connector and may not support installed based.

SuggestedRemedy

Standard should allow reducing the number of connectors from 4 as defiend for operation with -45 dB RL to -35 dB with 2 connectors.

Adhoc contribution

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/smf/16_08_16/anslow_01_0816_smf.pdf inducate to support 2 connector the RL for each connector must be -39 dB. This is close enough to either the MPI budget or trade connector loss as few are used with MPI.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 121 SC 121.7.3 P 219 L 47 # 82

Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Current -45 dB RL require APC connector and may not support installed based.

SuggestedRemedy

Standard should allow reducing the number of connectors from 4 as defiend for operation with -45 dB RL to -35 dB with 2 connectors.

Adhoc contribution

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/smf/16_08_16/anslow_01_0816_smf.pdf inducate to support 2 connector the RL for each connector must be -39 dB. This is close enough to either the MPI budget or trade connector loss as few are used with MPI.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 121 SC 121.8.3 P 225 L 5 # 570 C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.1 P 222 L 1 King, Jonathan Finisar Dudek. Mike Cavium Comment Type Comment Status D Т Comment Type TR Comment Status D Equation 121-5 needs two corrections The pattern being used on the other lanes is not specified. In order to properly account for crosstalk this should be an un-correlated pattern. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy The divisor sq_rt(2 pi) should be sigma_g x sq_rt(2 pi), and the divisor sigma_g in the Add "transmitting and receiving patterns 3, 4, 5 or a valid 200GBASE-R signal." exponent should be 2 sigma_g Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status O [Editor's note: This comment was sent after the close of the comment period] C/ 121 SC 121.8.4 P 221 L 15 # 572 C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.3 P 225 L 22 Zivny, Pavel **Tektronix** Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Limited** Comment Type Т Comment Status X Comment Type Comment Status D OMAouter is defined for PRBS13Q explicitly, yet it is needed for measurement based on Need a period at end of the sentence. Same for Line 45-45. other patterns (e.g. TDECQ). SuggestedRemedy This is impractical and unnecessary. Drop the reference to PRBS13Q. As per comment. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "The OMAouter of each lane shall be within the limits given in Table 121-6 if measured using a PRBS13Q pattern as defined in 120.5.11.2.3." C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.4 P 225 L 49 "The OMAouter of each lane shall be within the limits given in Table 121-6." Ghiasi Quantum LLC Ghiasi. Ali Proposed Response Response Status W Comment Type TR Comment Status D Baseline reference EQ requiring T/2 sample put unnessary burden for any digital

[Editor's note: This comment was sent after the close of the comment period]

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5 P 221 # 129 L 37 Dawe. Piers Mellanox Comment Type Comment Status D

This SSPRQ pattern will give inconsistent results when testing a range of transmitters.

SuggestedRemedy

If we can find a less extreme pattern that better achieves the objective of allowing TDEC measurements that correlate to the TDP we don't want to measure at line rate, change to

If we can't, use PRBS13Q, which is much more representative, for TDECQ testing. Tell the implementer to be careful about low frequency effects.

Similarly in clauses 122, 124.

TR

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Replace 5 tap T/2 with 7 tap T-spaced Proposed Response Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

implementation where T spaced can perform as well.

151

74

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.4 P 225 L 50 # 569 Hanan, Leizerovich MultiPhy

Comment Status D Comment Type T

Reference equalizer implementation is not specifically stated.

This may cause several problems, especially if the reference equalizers used for Rx and for Tx are implemented differently between two different vendors, causing their modules not to interop with one another.

Bad equalizer implementation may assist modules to pass SRS on the Rx side, as the eye is seems falsely closed, altough it can be opened more using a better equalizer, while the same Rx will not pass with actual TX signals.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest a specific reference equalizer implementation.

Possible example implementation is minimum MSE between the signal and an ideal PAM-4 signal with the same OMA as the measured signal (inner levels at 0, OMA/3 and 2*OMA/3).

Proposed Response Response Status W

[Editor's note: Comment type set to T and

this comment was sent after the close of the comment period

C/ 121 SC 121.8.7 P 226 L 11 # 152 Dudek. Mike Cavium

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Table 121-9 specifies that the QPRBS13 pattern is used for measuring RIN. However 121.8.7 refers to a test methodology in clause 52.9.6 that is not appropriate for use with that pattern, 52.9.6 specifies an NRZ sgare wave pattern and uses an O/E convertor AC coupled into an electrical power metter.

If a slow PAM4 pattern where used the denominator for the RIN calculation would be a factor of 2/3 smaller than with the NRZ pattern. Note that the square wave pattern was originally chosen because it spends little percentage time in transitions and therefore the average power measured is close to (OMA/2) squared. Using a pattern with a lot of transitions means that the risetimes will affect the measurement.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 121-9 Change the RIN row to say NRZ square wave. Or better create a new section for measuring RIN using scope measurements with the QPRBS13 pattern by measuring the noise on the 4 different static levels of the pattern and calculating the RIN from those numbers and the OMA and remove the reference to 52.9.6

Make similar changes to the other PAM4 optical clauses.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 121 SC 121.8.9.1 P 226 L 46 # 168

Dudek. Mike Cavium

Comment Type T Comment Status D

It is going to be extremely difficult to generate two thirds of the dB value of SECQ using a four order Bessel filter when a 5 tap FIR filter is equalizing the effect of the filter.

SuggestedRemedy

Set the bandwidth of the filter to a fixed bandwidth somewhat narrower than the expected fiber bandwidth and Tx worst case expected risetime combination. 15GHz may be a reasonable value. Make equivalent changes on page 228 line 5.

Make similar changes to the other optical clauses using an equalizer.

Comment Status D

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 121 SC 121.8.9.1 P 227 L 28 # 90 Nokia

Trowbridge, Steve

The line beginning the arrow from the Bessel Thompson filter to the E/O converter crosses into the box instead of beginning at the edge of the box, and the line beginning the arrow from the summing function to the Bessel Thompson filter crosses into the circle around the

plus sign

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type E

Tidy up the figure and have the arrows start at the edge of the element they originate from

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 121 SC 121.8.9.2 P 227 L 49 # 158 Dudek, Mike Cavium

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The Sentence below does not belong in this section. It should be merged into 121.8.9.1 "An example stressed receiver conformance test setup is shown in Figure 121-6; however. alternative test setups that generate equivalent stress conditions may be used.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the sentence here and add it to the beginning of the 2nd paragraph of 121.8.9.1

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 121 SC 121.8.9.2 P 228 L 12 # 169 C/ 121 SC 121.10 P 231 L 41 # 58 Dudek, Mike Cavium Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Limited** Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Ε What square wave pattern? Need a period at end of "b" table footnote after "nm". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add the NRZ square wave pattern to be used for iitter calibration to table 121-9 and 121-As per comment. 10 or locally define it here as a pattern with 8 3's followed by 8 1's. Proposed Response Response Status O Make similar changes to the other PAM4 optical clauses. Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 121 SC 121.11.1 P 232 L 19 # 20 Flatman, Alan LAN Technologies C/ 121 SC 121.8.9.2 P 228 L 17 # 57 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Laubach, Mark Broadcom Limited Note a under Table 121-14 refers to TIA 568-C.3. It should also refer to the International equivalent, ISO/IEC 11801-1 (Edition 3), which is currently at DIS stage (copied below). Comment Type Ε Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Following Strunk and White: a semi-colon is used when there is not a conjunection. So Add reference to Cabled OS2 singlemode fibre specified in ISO/IEC 11801-1 (currently at either remove the ";" or the "and", but don't keep both. DIS stage). SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O [Editor's note: Attachment is flatman_3bs_01_0916.pdf in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs_D2p0_attachments.zip] SC 121.11.2.2 C/ 121 P **232** L 34 C/ 121 SC 121.10 P 231 L 39 # 75 Ghiasi Quantum LLC Ghiasi. Ali Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D TR Standard does not support existing defined Ethernet cable plant Optical return loss condition not defiend SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Consider supporting 2 connecter having 35 dB return loss Need to define if the far end cable terminted or not. The 39 dB return loss indicate end point is not terminted into the TX or RX having 26 dB Proposed Response Response Status 0

return loss

Proposed Response

Response Status W

[Editor's note: Subclause changed from 121.1 to 121.10]

C/ 122 SC 122.1 P 239 L 1 # 558 Booth, Brad Microsoft

Comment Status D Comment Type

400GBASE-FR8 does not satisfy broad market potential or economic feasibility. It is well understood in the Ethernet industry that all solutions for 2 km optical PMDs are considered "client" or "grev" optics. These PMDs must be able to satisfy the faceplate density requirements (32 ports per 1 RU) to be considered economically feasible. The current power estimations for 400GBASE-FR8 does not permit the PMD to meet the power envelope or cost requirements needed to satisfy this requirement. Because the PMD will not be economically feasible, it is therefore unlikely to have broad market potential.

SuggestedRemedy

Two options:

- 1) Delete 400GBASE-FR8 from the draft and remove the objective from the project.
- 2) Consider other options that will result in a solution that satisfies the economic feasibility and broad market potential requirements.

As #2 is highly unlikely at this point in time, option #1 is the preferred suggested remedy.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 122 SC 122.7.1 P 249 L 20 # 111

Comment Status D

King, Jonathan Finisar

The current 'average power (max)' spec value for 400GBASE-FR8 and 400GBASE-LR8 would require the ER to be higher than the specified minimum for a high OMA Tx (e.g. at max Tx OMA). Follow the precedent in Table 122-9 to allow the minimum ER to be used at the max Tx OMA value. This will help yield and manufacturability.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

In Table 122-10: In the 'Average power (max)' row unmerge the spec value cell and put the value 5.7 into the column for 400GBASE-FR8, and 5.9 into the column for 400GBASE-LR8

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 122 SC 122.7.3 P **252** L 8 # 17

Swanson, Steven Corning Incorporated

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

In Table 122-13, the channel insertion loss for 200GBASE-LR4 and 400GBASE-LR8 is specified at 6.3 dB. However 10km x 0.46 dB/km plusthe 2.0 dB allocation for connectors = 6.6 dB.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the channel insertion loss for 200GBASE-LR4 and 400GBASE-LR8 in Table 122-13 to 6.6 dB.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 122 SC 122.7.3 P **252** L 23 # 78

Ghiasi Quantum LLC Ghiasi. Ali

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

It would be benificial to support legacy Ethernet cable plant haiving 26 dB RL

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest reducing the number to connector to 2 for cable plant haiving return loss of 26 dB

Proposed Response Response Status W

[Editor's note: Clause changed from 12 to 122 and Subclause changed from 12.7.3 to 122.7.3]

SC 122.8.5.4 P 256 # 76 C/ 122 L7

Ghiasi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Baseline reference EQ requiring T/2 sample put unnessary burden for any digital implementation where T spaced can perform as well.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace 5 tap T/2 with 7 tap T-spaced

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 122 SC 122.8.9.3 P 258 L 14 # 91 C/ 122 SC 122.11.1 P 261 L 27 # 21 Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Flatman, Alan LAN Technologies Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status D The line beginning the arrow from the Bessel Thompson filter to the E/O converter crosses Note b under Table 122-18 refers to TIA 568-C.3. It should also refer to the International into the box instead of beginning at the edge of the box, and the line beginning the arrow equivalent, ISO/IEC 11801-1 (Edition 3), which is currently at DIS stage (copied below). from the summing function to the Bessel Thompson filter crosses into the circle around the SuggestedRemedy plus sign Add reference to Cabled OS2 singlemode fibre specified in ISO/IEC 11801-1 (currently at SuggestedRemedy DIS stage). Tidy up the figure and have the arrows start at the edge of the element they originate from Proposed Response Response Status W Proposed Response Response Status 0 [Editor's note: Attachment is flatman 3bs 01 0916.pdf in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs D2p0 attachments.zip] C/ 122 SC 122.10 P 260 L 43 # 73 C/ 122 SC 122.11.2.1 P 261 L 39 # 63 Ghiasi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Anslow. Pete Ciena Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Optical return loss condition not defiend "The maximum link distance for 200GBASE-LR4 and 400GBASE-FR8 is based on an SuggestedRemedy allocation of 3 dB ... " should be: "The maximum link distance for 200GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-FR8 is based on an Need to define if the far end cable terminted or not. allocation of 3 dB ... " The 29 dB and 27 dB return loss indicate end point is not terminted into the TX or RX i.e. the second occurrence of "200GBASE-LR4" in this paragraph should be "200GBASEhaving 26 dB return loss FR4 " Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy [Editor's note: Subclause changed from 122.1 to 122.10] Change the second occurrence of "200GBASE-LR4" in 122.11.2.1 to "200GBASE-FR4" C/ 122 SC 122.11.1 P 261 L 20 # 125 Proposed Response Response Status O Lewis. David Lumentum Comment Type т Comment Status D C/ 122 SC 122.11.2.1 P 261 L 39 Cabled optical fiber attenuation (max) is 0.46 or 0.5 dB/km. The note says that 0.46 dB/km Swanson, Steven Corning Incorporated is at 1272.55 nm but the shortest wavelength for 200GBASE-FR4 is 1264.5 nm and the loss should be 0.47 dB/km (see Table 87-15). Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Incorrect reference Change the value in the table to 0.47 or 0.5. Change note a to say "The 0.47 dB/km at SuggestedRemedy 1264.5 nm attenuation....". Replace "The maximum link distance for 200GBASE-LR4 and 400GBASE-FR8 is based Proposed Response Response Status O on an allocation of 3 dB total connection and splice loss." with "The maximum link distance for 200GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-FR8 is based on an allocation of 3 dB total

connection and splice loss.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 122 SC 122.11.2.2 P 261 L 45 # 79 C/ 123 SC 123.1 P 269 L 1 # 559 Ghiasi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Booth, Brad Microsoft Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D TR It would be benificial to support legacy Ethernet cable plant haiving 26 dB RL 400GBASE-SR16 requires twice the number of fibers as two 200GBASE-SR4; therefore, it does not satisfy the balanced cost requirement of economic feasibility. Because the PMD SuggestedRemedy does not meet the economically feasibility, it is unlikely to have broad market potential. Suggest reducing the number to connector to 2 for cable plant haiving return loss of 26 dB SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Two options: 1) Delete 400GBASE-SR16 from the draft and remove the objective from the project. 2) Modify the PMD to be 400GBASE-SR8 based on the same technology proposed for 200GBASE-SR4. C/ 122 SC 122.11.2.2 # 66 P 261 L 46 Anslow, Pete Ciena As #1 is highly unlikely at this point in time, option #2 is the preferred suggested remedy. Comment Type Т Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status O "and six for 200GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-LR8." should be: "and six for 200GBASE-LR4 and 400GBASE-LR8." SuggestedRemedy C/ 123 SC 123.7 P 276 L4 # 134 Change: Moffitt, Bryan CommScope "and six for 200GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-LR8." to: Comment Type ER Comment Status D "and six for 200GBASE-LR4 and 400GBASE-LR8." TIA-492AAAE wideband fiber satisfies OM4 and should be referenced Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add Wideband fiber of TIA-492AAAE as supported media and add a row to table 123-5: C/ 122 SC 122.11.3 P 232 0.5 m to 100 m for wideband TIA-492AAAE fiber. L 45 # 59 Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Limited** Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Should there be a ", or" at the end of a)? SuggestedRemedy Consider putting ", or" if needed as per comment.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

[Editor's note: Page changed form 2262 to 232 and line changed from 3 to 45]

Cl 123 SC 123.7 P 276 L 4 # 68

Kolesar, Paul CommScope

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

TIA has published TIA-492AAAE, the detailed fiber specification for what is referred to in ANSI/TIA-568.3-D as wideband multimode fiber. This fiber is compliant and superior to type A1a.3 (OM4) and will support the 400GBASE-SR16 PMD at least as well as OM4. Therefore it should be included as a recognized media type.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the fiber by replacing the second sentence of the clause as follows: A 400GBASE-SR16 compliant PMD operates on 50/125 µm multimode fibers, type A1a.2 (OM3), type A1a.3 (OM4) or cabling made with wideband fiber compliant to TIA-492AAAE, according to the specifications defined in Table 123-6.

Note: IEC and ISO are in the midst of standardizing wideband fiber and cabling. It is anticipated that IEC type designation and ISO OMx designation will be known well before the P802.3bs amendment is published. Should that come to fruition, the terminology can be made common across all three types.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 123 SC 123.7 P 276 L 4 # 106
Shariff, Masood CommScope

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

TIA-492-AAAE for WBMMF has been published since June 2016. Parallel specifications are under development in IEC 86A. TIA-568-3-D has recognized WBMMF and is on the verge of publication. ISO 11801-1 has also added this Cabling Category to the DIS standard currently under ballot.

IEEE 802.3bs should recognize this advance in MM optical fiber cabling that can support 400GBASE-SR16 at 850 nm while also enabling future windows between 850 nm and 953 nm.

SuggestedRemedy

Add 50/125 WBMMF as an option since this type of fiber will support 400GBASE-SR16

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 123 SC 123.7 P 276 L 10 # 113

King, Jonathan Finisar

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The TIA have published the spec for wideband MMF,we should include it in the listed media for 400GBASE-SR16.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a row for wideband MMF in Table 123-5. Add a column for wideband MMF in Tables 123-6 and Table 123-7. See presentation king 3bs 01 0916.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 123 SC 123.7 P 276 L 15 # 69

Kolesar, Paul CommScope

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

TIA has published TIA-492AAAE, the detailed fiber specification for what is referred to in ANSI/TIA-568.3-D as wideband multimode fiber. This fiber is compliant and superior to type A1a.3 (OM4) and will support the 400GBASE-SR16 PMD at least as well as OM4. Therefore it should be included as a recognized media type in Table 123-5.

SuggestedRemedy

Add wideband multimode fiber to the table. Two alternatives are next proposed.

- 1) Add wideband to the current last row of the right column as follows: 0.5 m to 100 m for OM4 and cabling made with TIA-492AAAE fiber.
- 2) Add wideband in a new row at the bottom of the right column as follows: 0.5 m to 100 m for cabling made with TIA-492AAAE fiber.

Note: the second alternative affords easier modification should the reach be determined to differ from OM4.

Proposed Response Status W

[Editor's note: Clause changed from 123.7 to 123 and Subclause changed from "Table 123-5" to "123.7"]

C/ 123 SC 123.7 P 276 L 15 # 107 C/ 123 SC 123.10 P 279 L 37 # 71 Shariff, Masood CommScope Kolesar, Paul CommScope Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type TR Comment Status D Recognize WBMMF that will support 400GBASE-SR16 at 850 nm while also enabling TIA has published TIA-492AAAE, the detailed fiber specification for what is referred to in SWDM applications between between 850 nm and 953 nm. ANSI/TIA-568.3-D as wideband multimode fiber. This fiber is compliant and superior to type A1a.3 (OM4) and will support the 400GBASE-SR16 PMD at least as well as OM4. SuggestedRemedy Therefore it should be included within the discussion of the fiber optic cabling model Add WBMMF as new row to table 123.5 as shown below: including Table 123-6-Fiber optic cabling (channel) characteristics. SuggestedRemedy 0.5 m to 100 m for cabling made with TIA-492AAAE fiber. Modify the heading on the "OM4" column to include wideband fiber as follows. Change the Proposed Response Response Status O heading from "OM4" to "OM4 and wideband". Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 123 SC 123.10 P 279 L [Editor's note: Clause changed from 123.1 to 123 and Subclause changed from "Table 123-# 108 6" to "123.10"] Shariff, Masood CommScope C/ 123 SC 123.10 P 279 L 39 # 109 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Shariff, Masood CommScope Add WBMMF fiber as an option Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Comment Type TR Append " and wideband fiber optic cabling." to the end of the sentence on line 30 Recognize and add WBMMF Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change the OM4 column heading to "OM4 and WBMMF" Proposed Response Response Status O SC 123.10 C/ 123 P 279 L 29 # 70 Kolesar, Paul CommScope C/ 123 SC 123.10. P 279 L 37 # 135 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Moffitt, Bryan CommScope TIA has published TIA-492AAAE, the detailed fiber specification for what is referred to in ANSI/TIA-568.3-D as wideband multimode fiber. This fiber is compliant and superior to Comment Type ER Comment Status D type A1a.3 (OM4) and will support the 400GBASE-SR16 PMD at least as well as OM4. TIA-492AAAE wideband fiber satisfies OM4 and should be referenced Therefore it should be included within the discussion of the fiber optic cabling model. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy change OM4 column heading to "OM4 and wideband" Modify the third sentence of the paragraph to include wideband multimode fiber as follows: As wideband and OM4 fiber optic cabling meet the requirements for OM3, a channel Proposed Response Response Status O

compliant to the "OM3" column may use wideband or OM4 optical fiber cabling, or a

Note: This comment presumes that another comment is accepted which proposes to

combination of OM3 and OM4 and wideband fiber optic cabling.

change the heading on the OM4 column to "OM4 or wideband".

[Editor's note: Clause changed from 123.1 to 123]

Response Status W

Proposed Response

C/ 123 SC 123.11.1 P 280 L 10 # 72

Kolesar, Paul CommScope

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

TIA has published TIA-492AAAE, the detailed fiber specification for what is referred to in ANSI/TIA-568.3-D as wideband multimode fiber. This fiber is compliant and superior to type A1a.3 (OM4) and will support the 400GBASE-SR16 PMD at least as well as OM4. Therefore it should be included within the discussion of the optical fiber cable including within Table 123-7-Optical fiber and cable characteristics.

SuggestedRemedy

Wideband fiber shares core diameter, nominal wavelength, and effective modal bandwidth characteristics with OM4. It delivers no more than 3.5 dB/km attenuation (and in fact is set to 3.0 dB/km in TIA-568.3-D). However the zero dispersion wavelength and chromatic dispersion slope are both superior to the specifications for OM3 and OM4. To handle these similarities and differences, a new column is proposed to be added to the right of the "OM4" column with the heading "wideband". Superscript the heading for footnote "c", the footnote to read: TIA-492AAAE. Increment the current "c" footnote to "d". Share the cells in this column for the first four rows with those of the "OM4" column. In the ZDW cell insert the following: 1297 <= 1200 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320 <= 1320

Proposed Response Response Status W

[Editor's note: Clause changed from 123.1 to 123 and Subclause changed from "Table 123-7" to "123.11.1"]

Onami, Masood

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Recognize WBMMF

SuggestedRemedy

Add a new column for WBMMF and refer to TIA 492-AAAE for the specifications.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 123 SC 123.11.1 P 280 L 25 # 136

Moffitt, Bryan CommScope

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

TIA-492AAAE wideband fiber satisfies OM4 and should be referenced

SuggestedRemedy

add to footnote b "and TIA-492AAAE wideband fiber"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 123 SC 123.11.3 P281 L6 # 16

Swanson, Steven Corning Incorporated

Comment Type T Comment Status D

While it understood here are no lane assignments (within a group of transmit

or receive lanes) as the PCS sublayer is capable of receiving the lanes in any arrangement.

However, when used in a breakout configuration, matching the correct Tx and Rx matters. The various lanes are landing in different transceivers, thus they cannot be reordered (they are physically in different optics).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace Figure 123-4 with a Figure that numbers the Tx positions 1-16 left to right and Rx positions 1-16 left to right.

Proposed Response Status O

CI 124 SC 124.5.4 P 292 L 6 # 554

traverso, matt cisco

Transmitters which use a single light source split among multiple lanes are challenged to meet -30 dBm.

Comment Status D

The signal detect function must act on a signal between the average receive power, each lane (min) which is -5.4 dBm in this draft. Relaxing the FAIL value for signal_detect is technically feasible.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Suggest to change value to <= -20 dBm

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 124 SC 124.7.1 P 294 L 9 # 112

King, Jonathan Finisar

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The receiver sensitivity specs for 400GBASE-DR4 are marginal to what is technically feasible for a high volume product, and an additional 0.3 link loss capability is required.

SuggestedRemedy

Move Tx_OMA specs (and dependents) up 0.8 dB, and Rx sensitivity specs (and dependents) up 0.5 dB, to reduce burden on Rx and increase channel insertion loss budget by 0.3 dB. With editorial licence, the details are: In Table 124-6:Increase Tx_OMA-TDECQ from -1.3dBm to -0.5 dBm also Increase OMAouter (max) from 4.2dBm to 5.0dBm. Increase OMAouter (min) from -0.3dBm to 0.5dBm. Increase Average launch power (max) from 4dBm to 4.8dBm. Increase Average launch power (min) from -5.4dBm to -4.6dBm. In Table 124-7:Increase 'Receive sensitivity (OMAinner), each lane (max)' from -9.2dBm to -8.7dBm; also Increase 'Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), each lane (max)' from -1.9dBm to -1.4dB; Increase 'Receive power, each lane, OMAouter (max)' from 4.2dBm to 5dBm; Increase 'Average receive power, each lane (max)' from 4dBm to 4.8dBm; Increase 'Average receive power, each lane (max)' from 4dBm to -1.6dB; Increase 'OMAouter of each aggressor lane' from 4.2dBm to 5.0 dBm. See presentation king_3bs_02_0916.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 124 SC 124.7.1 P 294 L 30 # 553

traverso, matt cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Transmitters which use a single light source split among multiple lanes are challenged to meet -30 dBm for the parameter Average launch power of OFF transmitter, each lane (max).

The signal detect function must act on a signal between the average receive power, each lane (min) which is -5.4 dBm in this draft. Relaxing the TX OFF value for signal_detect is technically feasible.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Average launch power of OFF transmitter, each lane (max) to be -20 dBm

Proposed Response Status W

[Editor's note: Comment Type set to T]

C/ 124 SC 124.7.3 P 295 L 11 # 124

Comment Status D

Lewis, David Lumentum

Table 124-7. The value for damage threshold is unecessarily high at 2.5 dB above the maximum average receive power. Having such a high value makes it more difficult to find a source with sufficient power to do the test. Other SMF standards, such as 100GBASE-LR4/-ER4 (Table 88-8) have set the damage threshold at 1 dB above the maximum average receive power.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change the threshold from 6.5 dBm to 5 dBm.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 124 SC 124.8.1 P 296 L 32 # 555

traverso, matt cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The optical transmitter wavelength will not vary appreciably (relative to the currently specified 1304.5 - 1317.5nm) when any of the test patterns specified in Table 124-9 are used.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "3, 5 or valid 400GBASE-R signal" to "3, 4, 5, 6 or valid 400GBASE-R signal"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 124 SC 124.8.1 P 296 L 34 # 556 traverso, matt

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The optical transmitter side mode suppression ratio will not vary appreciably (relative to the currently specified 1304.5 - 1317.5nm) when any of the test patterns specified in Table 124-9 are used.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "3, 5 or valid 400GBASE-R signal" to "3, 4, 5, 6 or valid 400GBASE-R signal"

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 124 SC 124.8.1 P 296 L 36 # 557 C/ 124 SC 124.10 P 300 L 25 # 80 Ghiasi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC traverso, matt cisco Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Type TR The optical average optical power will not vary appreciably (relative to the currently Optical return loss condition not defiend specified 1304.5 - 1317.5nm) when any of the test patterns specified in Table 124-9 are SuggestedRemedy used Need to define if the far end cable terminted or not. SuggestedRemedy The 39 dB return loss indicate end point is not terminted into the TX or RX having 26 dB Change "3, 5 or valid 400GBASE-R signal" to "3, 4, 5, 6 or valid 400GBASE-R signal" return loss Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status W [Editor's note: Subclause changed from 124.1 to 124.10] SC 124.9 C/ 124 P 298 L 32 # 120 C/ 124 SC 124.11 P 300 L 33 # 122 Lewis. David Lumentum Lewis. David Lumentum Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D This subclause is the same as 121.11 except for the name of the PMD. It might be better This subclause is a duplicate of 121.9 except for the name of the PMD. It may be better to reference that subclause. to just reference that subclause. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Safety, installation, environment, and labeling for 400GBASE-DR4 are the same as The fiber optic cabling (channel) characteristics for 400GBASE-DR4 are the same as those specified for 200GBASE-DR4 in 121.11. specified in 121.9. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O C/ 124 SC 124.10 P 299 L 39 # 121 C/ 124 SC 124.11.2.1 P 301 L 12 Lewis, David Lumentum Flatman, Alan LAN Technologies Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type Comment Status D This subclause is a duplicate of 121.10 except for the name of the PMD. It may be better Note a under Table 124-12 refers to TIA 568-C.3. It should also refer to the International to reference that subclause. equivalent, ISO/IEC 11801-1 (Edition 3), which is currently at DIS stage (copied below). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy The fiber optic cabling model for 400GBASE-DR4 is the same as the model for 200GBASE-Add reference to Cabled OS2 singlemode fibre specified in ISO/IEC 11801-1 (currently at DR4 specified in 121.10. DIS stage). Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status W [Editor's note: Attachment is flatman 3bs 01 0916.pdf in

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs_D2p0_attachments.zip]

Cl 124 SC 124.11.2.2 P 301 L 17 # 81 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Current -45 dB RL require APC connector and may not support installed based.

SuggestedRemedy

Standard should allow reducing the number of connectors from 4 as defiend for operation with -45 dB RL to -35 dB with 2 connectors.

Adhoc contribution

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/smf/16_08_16/anslow_01_0816_smf.pdf inducate to support 2 connector the RL for each connector must be -39 dB. This is close enough to either the MPI budget or trade connector loss as few are used with MPI.

Proposed Response Status O