SC 45.2.1.123 C/ FM SC FM P 13 # 1 Cl 45 P 61 # 4 L 8 L 21 **Charter Communicatio** Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D "IEEE Std 802.3bs™-201x" is not marked as Amendment 8 "and this register is implemented" - typically, register numbers are referenced explicitly SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "Amendment 8—" ahead of "This amendment includes changes to IEEE Std 802.3-Change "and this register is implemented" to "and register 1.500 is implemented" in newly 2015 and adds Clause 116 through Clause 124" statement added text and text existing already in 45.2.1.123 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ FM SC FM P 13 L 8 C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.6 P 68 L 36 Charter Communicatio Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D There is no IEEE Std 802.3bv™-201x In Table 45–123, column for bit 3 uses much larger font than columns for bits 0, 1, and 2 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Please add text for "IEEE Std 802.3bv™-201x" as Amendment 9 Please use the same font for all columns: 0. 1. 2. and 3 Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.10 SC 116.1.2 P 51 / 12 # 3 C/ 116 P 105 / 12 Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Hajduczenia, Marek Charter Communicatio Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D "in Annex 120B, or Annex 120C" - no need for "." "1.11.15:14" should be shown in underline - it is an inserted text SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Per comment Change to "in Annex 120B or Annex 120C" The same change in lines 16 Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 116 SC 116.7 P 118 # 7 C/ FM SC FM P **4** L 21 **Charter Communicatio** Smith, Daniel Seagate Technology Hajduczenia, Marek Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D PICS in 116.7 covers 200G and 400G, so the statement "Each of the 400 Gigabit Ethernet spelling for 'arabic', throughout the Editor's note. PICS conforms to the same notation and conventions SuggestedRemedy used in 21.6." is only partially complete s/b: "Arabic" with a capital 'A' SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Change to "Each of the 200 Gigabit and 400 Gigabit Ethernet PICS conforms to the same notation and conventions used in 21.6." [Editor's note: Clause and Subclause "front matter" changed to "FM"] Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2 P 153 Gorshe. Steve Microsemi Corp SC 118.2.1 P 128 C/ 118 L 52 Comment Type E Comment Status D Charter Communicatio Haiduczenia. Marek In Figure 119-5, the transmission order of the 10-bit symbols is not obvious. With careful Comment Type E Comment Status D reading of the text, it becomes apparent that the transmission is by column and then by Text "5.801.6 of the DTE XS FEC status register" uses font smaller than the rest of the text row. Since telecommunications systems standards typically illustrate transmission by row and then by column, it would be very helpful to the reader to add arrows to indicate the SuggestedRemedy transmission order being used here. Please use the consistent font size SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add some arrows to Figure 119-5 to illustrate the symbol transmission order. A proposed revised figure will be sent to the editor in a separate file. Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.4 P 198 L 11 # 9 Smith. Daniel Seagate Technology [Editor's note: Attachment is gorshe_3bs_01_0916.pdf in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs_D2p0_attachments.zip] Comment Type Ε Comment Status D misspelled "abillity" at first occurance SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

change to: "ability" Proposed Response

Response Status O

L 10

L 37

10

Joishe, Steve

Ε

In Figure 119-6, the transmission order of the 10-bit symbols is not obvious. With careful reading of the text, it becomes apparent that the transmission is by column and then by row. Since telecommunications systems standards typically illustrate transmission by row and then by column, it would be very helpful to the reader to add arrows to indicate the transmission order being used here.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Add some arrows to Figure 119-6 to illustrate the symbol transmission order. A proposed revised figure will be sent to the editor in a separate file.

Proposed Response Status W

[Editor's note: Attachment is gorshe_3bs_01_0916.pdf in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs_D2p0_attachments.zip]

Comment Status D

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs_D2p0_attachments.zip]

Gorshe, Steve Microsemi Corp

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

SC 119.2.4.4.2

Figure 119-5 is incorrect in that it shows all the AM values within a single FEC word. In fact, per Figure 119-10, the AM values are split across the FEC words output from encoders A and B.

P 153

L 37

13

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 119

Rather than showing a single FEC block for Figure 119-5, use two blocks side-by-side showing how the AM values divide across the two. A proposed revised figure will be sent to the editor in a separate file.

Proposed Response Status W

[Editor's note: Attachment is gorshe_3bs_01_0916.pdf in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs D2p0 attachments.zip]

Cl 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2 P 154 L 2 # 14
Gorshe, Steve Microsemi Corp

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Figure 119-6 is incorrect in that it shows all the AM values within a single FEC word. In fact, per Figure 119-11, the AM values are split across the FEC words output from encoders A and B.

SuggestedRemedy

Rather than showing a single FEC block for Figure 119-6, use two blocks side-by-side showing how the AM values divide across the two. A proposed revised figure will be sent to the editor in a separate file.

Proposed Response Status W

[Editor's note: Attachment is gorshe_3bs_01_0916.pdf in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs_D2p0_attachments.zip]

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Incorrect reference

SuggestedRemedy

Replace "The maximum link distance for 200GBASE-LR4 and 400GBASE-FR8 is based on an allocation of 3 dB total connection and splice loss." with "The maximum link distance for 200GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-FR8 is based on an allocation of 3 dB total connection and splice loss.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 123 SC 123.11.3 # 16 C/ FM SC FM P 13 # 19 P 281 L 6 L 12 Swanson, Steven Corning Incorporated Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D While it understood here are no lane assignments (within a group of transmit Since it seems likely that IEEE P802.3bu will be published before or receive lanes) as the PCS sublaver is capable of receiving the lanes in IEEE P802.3bs add it to the list of prior amendments. any arrangement. SuggestedRemedy see comment However, when used in a breakout configuration, matching the correct Tx and Rx matters. The various lanes are landing in different transceivers, thus Proposed Response Response Status O they cannot be reordered (they are physically in different optics). SuggestedRemedy Replace Figure 123-4 with a Figure that numbers the Tx positions 1-16 left to right and Rx C/ 121 SC 121.11.1 P 232 L 19 # 20 positions 1-16 left to right. Flatman, Alan LAN Technologies Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status D Note a under Table 121-14 refers to TIA 568-C.3. It should also refer to the International equivalent, ISO/IEC 11801-1 (Edition 3), which is currently at DIS stage (copied below). C/ 122 SC 122.7.3 P 252 L 8 # 17 SuggestedRemedy Swanson, Steven Corning Incorporated Add reference to Cabled OS2 singlemode fibre specified in ISO/IEC 11801-1 (currently at Comment Status D Comment Type TR DIS stage). In Table 122-13, the channel insertion loss for 200GBASE-LR4 and 400GBASE-LR8 is Proposed Response Response Status W specified at 6.3 dB. However 10km x 0.46 dB/km plusthe 2.0 dB allocation for connectors = 6.6 dB. [Editor's note: Attachment is flatman 3bs 01 0916.pdf in SuggestedRemedy http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs_D2p0_attachments.zip] Change the channel insertion loss for 200GBASE-LR4 and 400GBASE-LR8 in Table 122-C/ 122 SC 122.11.1 P 261 L 27 # 21 13 to 6.6 dB. Flatman, Alan LAN Technologies Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status D Note b under Table 122-18 refers to TIA 568-C.3. It should also refer to the International C/ FM SC FM P 1 L 1 # 18 equivalent, ISO/IEC 11801-1 (Edition 3), which is currently at DIS stage (copied below). Gardner, Andrew Linear Technology SuggestedRemedy Add reference to Cabled OS2 singlemode fibre specified in ISO/IEC 11801-1 (currently at Comment Type Comment Status D DIS stage). Remove change bars in the margins from clean verison of the draft Proposed Response Response Status W SuggestedRemedy see comment [Editor's note: Attachment is flatman 3bs 01 0916.pdf in Proposed Response Response Status O http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs D2p0 attachments.zip]

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 21

Page 4 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:00

C/ 124 SC 124.11.2.1 P 301 # 22 L 12 Flatman, Alan LAN Technologies

Comment Type Ε Comment Status D

Note a under Table 124-12 refers to TIA 568-C.3. It should also refer to the International equivalent, ISO/IEC 11801-1 (Edition 3), which is currently at DIS stage (copied below).

SuggestedRemedy

Add reference to Cabled OS2 singlemode fibre specified in ISO/IEC 11801-1 (currently at DIS stage).

Proposed Response Response Status W

[Editor's note: Attachment is flatman 3bs 01 0916.pdf in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs D2p0 attachments.zip1

Comment Status D

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1 P 348 L 19 # 23

Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd.

TR

The steady state voltage and linear fit pulse peak parameters refer to 94.3.12.5.3. However, 94.3.12.5.3 refers to 94.3.12.5.2 which states that the linear fit pulse is derived using ES1 and ES2 as defined in 94.3.12.5.1. The ES1 and ES2 definition in 120D.3.1.2.1 should be used instead. In fact, all of the exceptions currently listed in 120D.3.1.2 should also apply to the steady state voltage and linear fit pulse peak measurements.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Insert a new subclause under 120D.3.1 named "Linear fit to the measured waveform" (suggest 120D.3.1.2). The contents of the new subclause include the following paragraph followed by the lettered items a) through c) from the current 120D.3.1.2. "The test procedure in 94.3.12.5.2 is followed to determine the linear fit pulse response, linear fit error, and normalized transmitter coefficient values with the following exceptions." Insert a new subclause onf 120D.3.1 named "Steady-state voltage and linear fit pulse peak" (suggest 120D.3.1.3) with the following contents: "The linear fit pulse, p(k), is determined according to the linear fit procedure in 120D.3.1.2. The steady-state voltage vf is defined to be the sum of the linear fit pulse p(k) divided by M, determined in step 3 of the linear fit procedure." Renumber 120D.3.1.2 accordingly (suggest 120D.3.3). Change the last sentence of the first paragraph of subclause to the following and remove lettered items a) through c): "The transmitter output equalization is characterized using the linear fit method described in 120D.3.1.2). Promote "Transmitter linearity", currently 120D.3.1.2.1, to the same level in the heirarchy as the other transmitter parameters (suggest 120D.3.1.4). The subclasue 120D.3.1.2.2 should be a subclause of the new 120D.3.1.4 (suggest 120D.3.1.4.1). Update all cross-references accordingly, including in Table 120D-1 where the references for steady-state voltage and linear fit pulse peak parameters should now be to 120D.3.1.3. This is expected to clearly incorporate the referenced content with all of the agreed upon exceptions.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1 P 348 L 24 # 24 Broadcom Ltd.

Healey, Adam

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The signal-to-noise-and-distortion ratio parameter refers to 94.3.12.7. However, the stringent 31 dB limit requires a more accurate and repeatable test procedure.

SuggestedRemedy

A presentation will provided with a description and analysis of the proposed test method.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2.1 P 351 L 37 Broadcom Ltd. Healey, Adam

Comment Type Comment Status D

The jitter parameters CRJrms and CDJ have been replaced by J RMS and J5. As a result, the definition of the mapping of measured litter parameters to sigma RJ and A DD needs to be modified.

SugaestedRemedy

Given J RMS and J5, specify that A DD = $((J5/2)+Q5*sqrt((Q5^2+1)*J RMS^2-1)*J RMS^2-1)*J RMS^2-10*J RMS^2-10$ (J5/2)^2))/(Q5^2+1). This equation assumes that the bounded uncorrelated jitter has a dual-Dirac distribution (as COM also assumes). Given J5 and A DD, specify that sigma RJ = ((J5/2)-ADD)/Q5. Note that Q5 is approximately 4.4172.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2.2 P 352 L 18 # 26

Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd.

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The subclause states that the test procedure for jitter tolerance is the same as the one described in 120D.3.2.1 with the exception that no broadband noise is added. In 120D.3.2.1, items c) through f) pertain to the calculation of the test channel COM but the jitter tolerance specification includes no requirement for test channel COM. It is important to state a COM requirement since there is no other guarantee that the test setup supports the target RS-FEC symbol error ratio even prior to the application of the sinusoidal jitter (insertion loss at the fundamental frequency may not be enough).

SuggestedRemedy

Require that the test channel COM, calculated per items c) through f) in 120D.3.2.1, be at least 3 dB. In addition, for the COM parameter calibration described in item d), require that the test channel transmitter J_RMS and J5 values are measured with the jitter frequency and amplitude set according to Case E from Table 120D-6.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2.1 P351 L33 # 27

Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd.

Comment Type T Comment Status D

While most are likely to understand what it means for the transmit equalizer to be "turned off", a simple yet more precise requirement can be stated.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the phrase "the transmit equalizer turned off" with "Local_eq_cm1 and Local_eq_c1 set to zero (see 120D.3.1.2)."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1

P **347**

L 53

28

Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd.

Comment Type T Comment Status D

It is stated that jitter measurements are performed with transmitters on all lanes enabled and transmitting the same pattern. This implies the aggressor lanes will also be transmitting JP03A. It would be better if they were transmitting a more spectrally rich pattern such as PRBS31Q. Note that the "PRBS pattern testing control" registers (see 45.2.1.124) currently do not permit mixing JP03A on one lane with different test patterns on other lanes. This is the subject of a separate comment.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the second paragraph of 120D.3.1.1 with the following: "Jitter measurements are performed with transmitters on all lanes enabled and using identical transmitter equalizer settings. Transmitters on lanes not under test transmit PRBS13Q, PRBS31Q, or a valid 200GBASE-R or 400GBASE-R signal. PRBS13Q is described in 120.5.11.2.3 and PRBS31Q is described in 120.5.11.2.4."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.124 P 62 L 32 # 29

Healey, Adam Broadcom Ltd.

Comment Type T Comment Status D

JP03A is a jitter test pattern. Such testing would be more rigorous if aggressor lanes (i.e., active lanes other than the lane under test) could transmit a more spectrcally rich test pattern while the lane under test transmits JP03A. To accomplish this, the per-lane management model used for the square wave test pattern (see 45.2.1.125) should also be applied to JP03A. A modification to the jitter specification that requires aggressor lanes to transmit "random" test patterns is the subject of a separate comment.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "JP03A pattern enable" bit from register 1.1501 (Table 45-93). Create a "JP03A control" register modeled after 1.1510 (see 45.2.1.125) in an appropriate place within the management register space and generate a new subclause accordingly. In this register, provide lane 0 through lane 7 JP03A enable bits (the remainder are reserved). As in 45.2.1.125, state in the new subclause that "lanes for which JP03A is not enabled act as determined by other registers".

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 29

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.2.1 P 350 # 30 L 30 Broadcom Ltd. Healey, Adam Comment Type Т Comment Status D The sentence "RLM shall be greater than or equal to 0.95." is unnecessary since it is stated in 120D.3.1 that "the transmitter shall meet the specifications given in Table 120D-1 if measured at TP0a." RLM is one of the specification listed in Table 120D-1. SuggestedRemedy Remove the last sentence of the last paragraph of 120D.3.1.2.1: "RLM shall be greater than or equal to 0.95." Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120E SC 120E.3.1 P 361 L 48 # 31 Broadcom Ltd. Healey, Adam Comment Type Т Comment Status D The limit for ESMW appears to be identical to the limit for eye width in all cases. As a result, it seems any measured signal that meets the ESMW requirement will, by definition, also meet the eye width limit. If this is the case, is the eye width specification necessary? SuggestedRemedy Remove the eye width requirement if it is not needed. Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 120E.4.2 P 373 L 4 # 32 C/ 120E Broadcom Ltd. Healey, Adam

Comment Type Ε Comment Status D

In item 3), the phrase "as a distance of from the center of the eye" would be better stated as "as a function of the distance from the center of the eve". The CDF is related to this distance but is not the distance itself. See similar instances in items 4) and 7).

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the phrase "as a distance" with "as a function of the distance" in each instance cited in the comment.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120E SC 120E.3.1 P 361 L 51 # 33 Broadcom Ltd. Healey, Adam

Between P802.3bs/D1.2 and P802.3bs/D1.3, the module near-end eye height and width limits were decreased (from 120 mV/400 mUI to 90 mV/265 mUI) after a thorough

Comment Status D

investigation based on more recent assumptions of requirements (pre-cursor equalization)

and device capabilities (see

TR

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/16 03/heade 3bs 01 0316.pdf>and follow-ons). However, the commenter is unaware of any recent verification that the host output eye requirements (50 mV/200 mUI) are achievable with a host transmitter whose capabilities are similar to the those implied by Annex 120D (chip-to-chip 200G/400GAUI-4/8) over representative host channels.

SugaestedRemedy

Comment Type

Verify the limits are still appropriate or adjust them accordingly. A presentation will be provided that explores this issue.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P 161 L 52 # 34

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

"it shall ensure that (...) the synchronization header for all 66-bit blocks (...) is set to 11"

In this architecture the FEC is part of the PCS, not a separate sublayer, so the synchronization header is internal to the PCS and does not appear on any interface. Thus, the normative requirement is on unobservable behavior.

The observable behavior is that all 200GMII/400GMII blocks included in the received codeword are replaced with EBLOCK_R. The "shall" should refer to this behavior.

Similarly in the 5th paragraph of this subclause.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace this paragraph (3rd) with the following:

"If the bypass indication feature is not supported or not enabled, when the Reed-Solomon decoder determines that a codeword contains errors that were not corrected, it shall cause the PCS receive function to mark all 160 200GMII/400GMII blocks that contain data from either the uncorrected codeword or the codeword it is interleaved with, as error (set to EBLOCK_R). This may be achieved by setting the synchronization header to 11 for all 66-bit blocks created from these codewords by the 256B/257B to 64B/66B transcoder."

Replace the 5th paragraph with the following:

"If the bypass indication feature is supported and enabled, additional error monitoring is performed to reduce the likelihood that errors in a packet are not detected. The Reed-Solomon decoder counts

the number of symbol errors detected in consecutive non-overlapping blocks of 8192 codewords. When the number of symbol errors in a block of 8192 codewords exceeds 5560, the Reed-Solomon decoder shall cause the PCS receive function to mark all 200GMII/400GMII blocks as error (set to EBLOCK_R) for a period of 60 ms to 75 ms."

Proposed Response Status O

Comment Type T Comment Status D

SER is not a defined acronym and "symbol error ratio" is not defined anywhere. In previous clauses, "ser" was only used in as part of variable name and in corresponding register names. Compare to 91.5.3.3, 91.6.5, 108.5.3.2 and 108.6.6.

It would be preferable to avoid using the term "symbol error ratio" and instead describe the intended functionality, as done in other features here and in the referenced precedent subclauses. The actual behavior is specified in the next paragraph anyway

SuggestedRemedy

Change

"The Reed-Solomon decoder may optionally provide a FEC degrade function with the ability to signal the presence of a degraded SER."

to

"The Reed-Solomon decoder may optionally provide the ability to signal a degradation of the received signal."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.2.1 P128 L 44 # 36

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Cross reference seems incorrect - 118.3 does not mention FEC degraded SER enable.

Also in 118.2.2, P129 L5.

Should it be 118.4? This subclause only lists the MDIO mapping, but does not describe the variable - the full description is only available in 45.2.4.11j.1, which is hard to find. So this cross-reference is not useful.

SuggestedRemedy

Either add the description from clause 45 to 118.4 and change the cross reference to 118.4, or point directly to clause 45, or remove the cross reference.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 36

Page 8 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:01

CI 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P 162 L 17 # 37
Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Cross reference seems incorrect - 119.3 does not mention FEC_degraded_SER_enable.

Also in lines 19, 20, 21, 23 (other variables).

Should it be 119.3.1? This subclause only lists the MDIO mapping, but does not describe the variables. The descriptions are given only in clause 45 and are hard to find.

SuggestedRemedy

Either add the descriptions from clause 45 to 119.3.1 and change the cross reference to 119.3.1, or point directly to the relevant subclauses of clause 45, or remove the cross references.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 118 SC 118.2 P 128 L 37 # 38

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

This paragraph probably means to say that if FEC degrade optional feature is implemented, then:

- 1. The DTE XS should behave as specified in clause 119 _plus additional requirements in 118.2.1
- 2. the PHY XS should behave as specified in 118.2.2

But the way it is written makes it really difficult to understand what is required, and gives no clue to that it can be used for.

In addition, it is not specified what tx_am_sf and rx_am_sf should include if the option is not implemented. It makes sense that the rx_am_sf should still forward any indication that comes from the PHY... but it's not clear from the text that this part is not optional.

SuggestedRemedy

Rewrite this paragraph in plain standard language. Make it clear what _shall_ be done when the option is implemented and when it isn't. (Sorry but I can't think of a good replacement text)

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 118 SC 118.2.2 P128 L19 # 39

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The text on the left says

"When the PHY 200GXS or PHY 400GXS detects FEC degrade, the signal is propagated to the adjacent PCS, which can propagate that signal as local degrade"

How can it propagate that signal?

I would expect that the PHY "adjacent PCS" (facing the partner, so that it is _not_ a part of the PHY XS) _should_ propagate a degradation detected by the DTE XS. But the signaling of that PCS is specified in 119.2.4.4 using only the variable FEC_degraded_SER (which is defined in clause 119), without any input from the PHY XS PCS. Clause 119 does not assume clause 118.

A similar problem exists in the receive direction (right side). Degradation detected by the "adjacent PCS" should be propagated to the DTE XS, but how?

Also in P129, lines 38 and 43, the text says "the adjacent PCS sublayer indicates" - how does it indicate?

It seems that some interface between the PCS in the PHY XS and the adjacent PCS (in both directions) is missing. The figure only has "200GMII or 400GMII" which does not have a way to encode the "degradation" indication.

SuggestedRemedy

For propagation in the TX direction, perhaps specify in 119.2.4.4 that the FEC_degraded_SER variable can be set and cleared not only by the conditions specified, but also by an adjacent XS in an implementation-dependent manner (regardless of whether the PCS has the feature enabled or not).

For propagation in the RX direction, perhaps specify in 118.2.2 that adjacent_pcs_local_degraded and adjacent_pcs_rm_degraded can be set and cleared by the adjacent PCS in an implementation-dependent manner.

Alternatively, add service interface primitives between the adjacent "PHY PCS" and "PHY XS" to convey this information.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.3 L 17 # 40 P 162 Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

FEC degraded SER interval, FEC degraded SER assert threshold and FEC degraded SER deassert threshold defined here do not have default values. In addition, all three are 32-bit long.

This enables a huge number of combinations of interval and threshold values. Only a small part of these combinations makes sense; for example, any threshold larger than 544*FEC degraded SER interval would be inherently invalid. Additionally, both threshold values should be less than 15*FEC degraded SER interval, otherwise the indication of degradation would only occur after at least one complete codeword in the period is uncorrectable; and the assert threshold should be higher than the deassert threshold.

There should be default values for all three variables, and a recommendation for setting them together.

Also, the parameters and scenarios should be analyzed to show the mean time to assert/deassert, and check whether this feature is useful or not. I am planning a presentation for that.

SuggestedRemedy

Specify default values as follows:

- FEC degraded SER interval: default 8192 (as when indication is bypass)
- FEC degraded SER assert threshold: default 5560 (MTTFPA or uncorrectable codeword concern).
- FEC degraded SER deassert threshold: default 5000 (very healthy link)

Add text to indicate that unless the threshold values are set such that the assert threshold is higher than the deassert threshold, the behavior is unspecified (or degradation always asserted - see other comment)

Add as a note (informative) that in typical use, both values should be lower than the interval value.

Proposed Response Response Status O Cl 45 P 72 L 50 # 41 SC 45.2.3.47d.2 Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This bit can be left unspecified (so that any value is allowed), but to reduce confusion it would be better to specify it. A value of 1 makes sense, as it indicates an undesirable situation.

The bit value can't be "undefined" - a value of a bit is either 0 or 1.

("undefined" is sometimes used in clause 45 when a read value is irrelevant or a register is undefined, but the value of this register affects the encoding of the transmitted bit stream.)

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The value of bit 3.801.4 is undefined" to "This bit is set to one".

Alternatively, change to "unspecified" or "implementation dependent".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.8 P 163 L 51 # 42 Ran. Adee

Intel

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Style manual: "use of the word must is deprecated and shall not be used when stating mandatory requirements; must is used only to describe unavoidable situations"

This is a mandatory requirement, not an unavoidable situation, and it is easily verifiable.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "must" to "shall", add PICS item.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.5.9 P 164 L 5 # 43

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type T Comment Status D

(nonexistent subclause)

A "receive ordering" subclause and especially a matching diagram is missing here (as in Figure 91–7, Figure 108–5).

SuggestedRemedy

Create suitable figures for 200G and 400G received bit ordering and add them in a new subclause.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P 162 L 17 # 44
Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The current "FEC degrade" function provides only a binary indication of exceeding a threshold, and its behavior depends on setting of multiple parameters. Analysis of its expected performance detailed use cases were not demonstrated.

Even if we assume stationary noise conditions, exceeding a threshold is a random event, and with settings intended to identify "degradation" this may happen occasionally in healthy links and cause false alarms. In practice noise conditions may be far from stationary and cause very erratic behavior. Accurate analysis may be impractical.

It is desirable to provide more detailed symbol error statistics that would enable online indication of received signal "health" to the link partner. Criteria for defining "degradation" can then be more robust, and this would enable various application-specific methods.

SuggestedRemedy

A detailed presentation is planned.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 00 SC 0 P73 L 22 # 45

Ran, Adee Intel

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The term RS-FEC appears here (corrected and uncorrected codeword counters), but the subclause titles use "PCS FEC". "PCS FEC" also appears (as a distinct term from RS-FEC) in 30.5.1.1.17 and 30.5.1.1.18 which refer to these counters.

If "PCS FEC" is the chosen term it should be used consistently.

This applies to: 45.2.3.47e, P73 L21 45.2.3.47f, P73 L42 119.1.2, P141 L26 119A, P315 L11 and L28 120B.3.2, P332 L15 120D.3.2, P351 L21 and L22 120D.3.2.2, P352 L7, L21, L29

SuggestedRemedy

Change "RS-FEC" to "PCS FEC" in the listed places.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.5 P155 L 37 # 46
Ran. Adee Intel

·

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The variables m_A and m_B appear here without definition or explanation of what they mean.

The text in the first paragraph explains the process but does not use the terms m_A and m b. This makes it somewhat difficult to connect the text with the "equation".

A reference to figure 119-10 would also be helpful.

SuggestedRemedy

In the first paragraph, change

"...to form two 514-symbol FEC messages, which are subsequently each encoded by the RS FEC."

to

"...to form two 514-symbol FEC messages, m_A and m_B, which are subsequently each encoded by the PCS FEC, as illustrated in Figure 119-10."

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.8 P 159 # 47 C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 L 2 # 50 L 1 Ran, Adee Intel Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc./ Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D This subclause and the figure describe not only the transmit bit ordering, but also the It is likely that 802.3bu and 802.3bv, both currently in sponsor ballot will be completed prior to this standard, which has just entered working group ballot. This effects the introduction. various bit distribution and interleaving. the header and may affect updates elsewhere in the draft (unclear without substantial SuggestedRemedy cross-checking). In the subclause and figure titles and the text, change "bit ordering" to "bit ordering and SuggestedRemedy distribution". Consult 802.3 leadership to estimate order of publication. Change header to add "as Proposed Response Response Status 0 amended by <list of amendments to be provided by staff prior to publication>", change line 28, to include IEEE Std 802.3bu-201x and IEEE Std 802.3bv-201x. Add 802.3bu and 802.3bv summaries after 802.3bz on page 13, and before 802.3bs, as well as any other amendments deemed likely to precede 802.3bs. Update table 45-3 (P41) and editing C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P 161 L 45 # 48 instruction to align with 802.3by (bit 1.22 is no longer reserved), and editor to check and Ran, Adee Intel update draft to align with 802.3bv and 802.3bu and any other preceding standards Comment Status D Comment Type TR indicated by leadership. There is no RS-FEC sublayer in this amendment. This is part of the decoder functionality. Proposed Response Response Status O Also in the fifth paragraph, P162 L6. SuggestedRemedy C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P 129 L 19 # 51 Change "The RS-FEC sublayer" to "the FEC decoder", in both places. Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Limited** Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status D As I view in the PDF at 100%: the bottom of the right vertical arrow appears to collide/overlap with the second "0" of "400GXS" in Figure 118-2. Same for Figure 118-3 on CI 78 SC 78.5 P 100 L 41 # 49 page 130. Suggest creating a little more white space separation between the bottom of the arrow and the text. Zimmerman, George CME Consulting, Inc./ SuggestedRemedy Comment Type E Comment Status D As per comment. Table 78-4 has gotten separated from its editing instruction. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Beat on frame and put Table 78-4 after its editing instruction on line 41 and before the next subclause. C/ 118 SC 118.2 P 130 L 27 Proposed Response Response Status O Laubach, Mark Broadcom Limited Comment Type E Comment Status D Add period to end of sentence. SuggestedRemedy

As per comment.

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 52

Response Status O

Page 12 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:01

SC 121.8.5.3 C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 # 53 C/ 121 P 225 L 22 # 56 L 6 Laubach, Mark Broadcom Limited **Broadcom Limited** Laubach, Mark Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D The two subclauses for items CCE200 and CDE400 use a comma for separation. While in Need a period at end of the sentence. Same for Line 45-45. 118.5.4.3 Page 138, Line 6-11, the two subclauses for items C1 and C2 use "and" for SuggestedRemedy separation. Suggest changing the subclauses for C1 and C2 to comma as looking at the PICS for the other clauses, the use of comma is dominant. As per comment. Proposed Response Response Status O Looking ahead at 119.6.4.3 (page 179, line 6-11), same observation. SuggestedRemedy As per comment. C/ 121 SC 121.8.9.2 P 228 L 17 Proposed Response Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Limited** Response Status 0 Comment Type E Comment Status D Following Strunk and White: a semi-colon is used when there is not a conjuncation. So C/ 119 SC 119.1.1 P 141 L 39 # 54 either remove the ";" or the "and", but don't keep both. Laubach, Mark Broadcom Limited SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status D As per comment. Add a period to end of sentence each for b) and c). Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy As per comment. C/ 121 SC 121.10 P 231 / 41 # 58 Proposed Response Response Status O Laubach, Mark Broadcom Limited Comment Type E Comment Status D C/ 119 SC 119.3 P 173 L 4 # 55 Need a period at end of "b" table footnote after "nm". Laubach, Mark **Broadcom Limited** SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status D As per comment. Missing a period at end of sentence. Add the period. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy As per comment.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 122 SC 122.11.3 P 232 L 45 # 59 C/ 118 SC 118.3 P 131 L 8 # 62 Broadcom Limited Anslow, Pete Laubach, Mark Ciena Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Should there be a ", or" at the end of a)? Figure 118-4 has the PMA layers shaded, but this clause is about the 200GXS or 400GXS SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Consider putting ", or" if needed as per comment. Remove the shading from the PMA layers and apply to the XS layers Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status W Response Status O [Editor's note: Page changed form 2262 to 232 and line changed from 3 to 45] C/ 122 SC 122.11.2.1 P 261 L 39 Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.1.5 P 66 L 48 # 60 Anslow. Pete Ciena Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D "The maximum link distance for 200GBASE-LR4 and 400GBASE-FR8 is based on an The changes to 45.2.3.1.5 shown in P802.3bs D2.0 are an extension of the changes allocation of 3 dB ... " should be: shown in P802.3bv D2.1. "The maximum link distance for 200GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-FR8 is based on an However, comment #7 against P802.3by D2.1 resulted in the removal of the changes to allocation of 3 dB ..." 45.2.3.1.5 from the P802.3bv draft. i.e. the second occurrence of "200GBASE-LR4" in this paragraph should be "200GBASE-FR4 " http://www.ieee802.org/3/by/public/comments/8023by D21 comment final responses by SuggestedRemedy clause.pdf#page=5 Change the second occurrence of "200GBASE-LR4" in 122.11.2.1 to "200GBASE-FR4" Without any changes being made by IEEE Std 802.3by-2016, there is no need for the changes shown in the P802.3bs draft. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Remove 45.2.3.1.5 from the P802.3bs draft (and therefore leave 45.2.3.1.5 as it is in the base standard). C/ 00 SC 0 Ρ 1 Proposed Response Response Status O Anslow, Pete Ciena Comment Type Comment Status D Now that the publication order for P802.3bu and P802.3bv has been decided, account for C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P 129 # 61 L 30 any changes to the base standard made by these two additional amendments. Anslow. Pete Ciena SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Account for any changes to the base standard made by P802.3bu and P802.3bv as well as Figures 118-2 and 118-3 are missing the acronym expansion key as per other diagrams updates to any of the earlier amendments. such as Figure 118-1 Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add an acronym expansion key to Figures 118-2 and 118-3.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Comment ID 64

Page 14 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:01

Cl 1 SC 1.4.132a P 35 L 13 # 65
Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Now that:

CCMII Extender has become 200GMII Extender

CCXS ahs become 200GXS

CDMII Extender has become 400GMII Extender

CDXS ahs become 400GXS

these definitions are not in the correct place in 1.4

SuggestedRemedy

Move these definitions to the appropriate place in 1.4

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 122 SC 122.11.2.2 P 261 L 46 # 66

Anslow, Pete Ciena

Comment Type T Comment Status D

"and six for 200GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-LR8." should be:

Response Status O

"and six for 200GBASE-LR4 and 400GBASE-LR8."

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change:

"and six for 200GBASE-FR4 and 400GBASE-LR8." to:

"and six for 200GBASE-LR4 and 400GBASE-LR8."

Cl 119 SC 119.2.6.3 P 169

Gustlin, Mark Xilinx

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Currently the alignement marker lock SM does not continuously monitor the AMs after reaching the locked state, instead lock is restarted only when 3 FEC codewords in a row are not correctable. This leaves the SM vulnerable to a case where the Ethernet signal is transported by an OTN network, and under some fault conditions on the far end of the network the AM location might change and not be detected by the receiver. This can lead to continuously corrupted data being received.

L 1

67

SuggestedRemedy

The proposed changes to figure 119-13 are included in gustlin_3bs_01_0916. We now look for correct AMs on all lanes after lock, and if 5 are found to not match expectations (pre FEC correction) on a given lane, then lock is restarted.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 123 SC 123.7 P 276 L 4 # [68 | CommScope

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

TIA has published TIA-492AAAE, the detailed fiber specification for what is referred to in ANSI/TIA-568.3-D as wideband multimode fiber. This fiber is compliant and superior to type A1a.3 (OM4) and will support the 400GBASE-SR16 PMD at least as well as OM4. Therefore it should be included as a recognized media type.

SuggestedRemedy

Add the fiber by replacing the second sentence of the clause as follows: A 400GBASE-SR16 compliant PMD operates on $50/125~\mu m$ multimode fibers, type A1a.2 (OM3), type A1a.3 (OM4) or cabling made with wideband fiber compliant to TIA-492AAAE, according to the specifications defined in Table 123-6.

Note: IEC and ISO are in the midst of standardizing wideband fiber and cabling. It is anticipated that IEC type designation and ISO OMx designation will be known well before the P802.3bs amendment is published. Should that come to fruition, the terminology can be made common across all three types.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 123 SC 123.7 P 276 L 15 # 69 Kolesar, Paul CommScope

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

TIA has published TIA-492AAAE, the detailed fiber specification for what is referred to in ANSI/TIA-568.3-D as wideband multimode fiber. This fiber is compliant and superior to type A1a.3 (OM4) and will support the 400GBASE-SR16 PMD at least as well as OM4. Therefore it should be included as a recognized media type in Table 123-5.

SuggestedRemedy

Add wideband multimode fiber to the table. Two alternatives are next proposed.

- 1) Add wideband to the current last row of the right column as follows: 0.5 m to 100 m for OM4 and cabling made with TIA-492AAAE fiber.
- 2) Add wideband in a new row at the bottom of the right column as follows: 0.5 m to 100 m for cabling made with TIA-492AAAE fiber.

Note: the second alternative affords easier modification should the reach be determined to differ from OM4.

Proposed Response Response Status W

[Editor's note: Clause changed from 123.7 to 123 and Subclause changed from "Table 123-5" to "123.7"]

C/ 123 SC 123.10 P 279 L 29 # 70 Kolesar, Paul CommScope

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

TIA has published TIA-492AAAE, the detailed fiber specification for what is referred to in ANSI/TIA-568.3-D as wideband multimode fiber. This fiber is compliant and superior to type A1a.3 (OM4) and will support the 400GBASE-SR16 PMD at least as well as OM4. Therefore it should be included within the discussion of the fiber optic cabling model.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the third sentence of the paragraph to include wideband multimode fiber as follows: As wideband and OM4 fiber optic cabling meet the requirements for OM3, a channel compliant to the "OM3" column may use wideband or OM4 optical fiber cabling, or a combination of OM3 and OM4 and wideband fiber optic cabling.

Note: This comment presumes that another comment is accepted which proposes to change the heading on the OM4 column to "OM4 or wideband".

Proposed Response Response Status W [Editor's note: Clause changed from 123.1 to 123] C/ 123 SC 123.10 P 279 Kolesar, Paul CommScope

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

TIA has published TIA-492AAAE, the detailed fiber specification for what is referred to in ANSI/TIA-568.3-D as wideband multimode fiber. This fiber is compliant and superior to type A1a.3 (OM4) and will support the 400GBASE-SR16 PMD at least as well as OM4. Therefore it should be included within the discussion of the fiber optic cabling model including Table 123-6-Fiber optic cabling (channel) characteristics.

L 37

71

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the heading on the "OM4" column to include wideband fiber as follows. Change the heading from "OM4" to "OM4 and wideband".

Proposed Response Response Status W

[Editor's note: Clause changed from 123.1 to 123 and Subclause changed from "Table 123-6" to "123.10"]

P 280 C/ 123 SC 123.11.1 L 10 Kolesar, Paul CommScope

Comment Status D TR

TIA has published TIA-492AAAE, the detailed fiber specification for what is referred to in ANSI/TIA-568.3-D as wideband multimode fiber. This fiber is compliant and superior to type A1a.3 (OM4) and will support the 400GBASE-SR16 PMD at least as well as OM4. Therefore it should be included within the discussion of the optical fiber cable including within Table 123-7-Optical fiber and cable characteristics.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Wideband fiber shares core diameter, nominal wavelength, and effective modal bandwidth characteristics with OM4. It delivers no more than 3.5 dB/km attenuation (and in fact is set to 3.0 dB/km in TIA-568.3-D). However the zero dispersion wavelength and chromatic dispersion slope are both superior to the specifications for OM3 and OM4. To handle these similarities and differences, a new column is proposed to be added to the right of the "OM4" column with the heading "wideband". Superscript the heading for footnote c, the footnote to read: TIA-492AAAE. Increment the current "c" footnote to "d". Share the cells in this column for the first four rows with those of the "OM4" column. In the ZDW cell insert the following: 1297 <= lambda0 <= 1328. In the dispersion slope cell insert the following: $<= 4(-103)/(840(1-(lambda0/840)^4)).$

Proposed Response Response Status W

[Editor's note: Clause changed from 123.1 to 123 and Subclause changed from "Table 123-7" to "123.11.1"]

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 72

Page 16 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:01

C/ 122 SC 122.10 P 260 L 43 # 73 C/ 122 SC 122.8.5.4 P 256 L 7 # 76 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status D Optical return loss condition not defiend Baseline reference EQ requiring T/2 sample put unnessary burden for any digital implementation where T spaced can perform as well. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Need to define if the far end cable terminted or not. Replace 5 tap T/2 with 7 tap T-spaced The 29 dB and 27 dB return loss indicate end point is not terminted into the TX or RX having 26 dB return loss Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status W [Editor's note: Subclause changed from 122.1 to 122.10] C/ 121 SC 121.11.2.2 P 232 L 34 # 77 C/ 121 SC 121.8.5.4 P 225 L 49 # 74 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Ghiasi Quantum LLC Ghiasi. Ali Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status D Standard does not support existing defined Ethernet cable plant Baseline reference EQ requiring T/2 sample put unnessary burden for any digital SuggestedRemedy implementation where T spaced can perform as well. Consider supporting 2 connecter having 35 dB return loss SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace 5 tap T/2 with 7 tap T-spaced Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 122 SC 122.7.3 P 252 1 23 # 78 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC # 75 C/ 121 SC 121.10 P 231 L 39 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Ghiasi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC It would be benificial to support legacy Ethernet cable plant haiving 26 dB RL Comment Type TR Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Optical return loss condition not defiend Suggest reducing the number to connector to 2 for cable plant haiving return loss of 26 dB SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status W Need to define if the far end cable terminted or not. The 39 dB return loss indicate end point is not terminted into the TX or RX having 26 dB [Editor's note: Clause changed from 12 to 122 and Subclause changed from 12.7.3 to return loss 122.7.3]

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status W

[Editor's note: Subclause changed from 121.1 to 121.10]

C/ 122 SC 122.11.2.2 P 261 L 45 # 79 C/ 121 SC 121.7.3 P 219 L 47 # 82 Ghiasi Quantum LLC Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Ghiasi, Ali Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D It would be benificial to support legacy Ethernet cable plant haiving 26 dB RL Current -45 dB RL require APC connector and may not support installed based. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Suggest reducing the number to connector to 2 for cable plant haiving return loss of 26 dB Standard should allow reducing the number of connectors from 4 as defiend for operation with -45 dB RL to -35 dB with 2 connectors. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Adhoc contribution http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/smf/16 08 16/anslow 01 0816 smf.pdf inducate to support 2 connector the RL for each connector must be -39 dB. This is close enough to either the MPI budget or trade connector loss as few are used with MPI. SC 124.10 C/ 124 P 300 L 25 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Optical return loss condition not defiend C/ 120E SC 120E.3.1 P 361 L 51 # 83 SuggestedRemedy Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Need to define if the far end cable terminted or not. Comment Type T Comment Status D The 39 dB return loss indicate end point is not terminted into the TX or RX having 26 dB Based simulation to show feasibility 200GAUI-4/400GAUI-8 C2M were base on hypotitical return loss connector haiving ~1/3 the connector crosstalk specified in 120E.4.1 Proposed Response Response Status W http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/elect/24Aug_15/dallaire_01_082415_elect.pdf [Editor's note: Subclause changed from 124.1 to 124.10] SuggestedRemedy Need to verify if current eye width and eye height are feasible with QSFP28 like connector C/ 124 SC 124.11.2.2 P 301 L 17 # 81 having ~3x the crosstalk. Attach presentation provide background Ghiasi. Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC http://www.ieee802.org/3/cd/public/July16/ghiasi 3cd 02 0716.pdf Plan to update the presentation as ghiasi 3bs 01 0916. Comment Type T Comment Status D Proposed Response Current -45 dB RL require APC connector and may not support installed based. Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Standard should allow reducing the number of connectors from 4 as defiend for operation

with -45 dB RL to -35 dB with 2 connectors.

http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/smf/16_08_16/anslow_01_0816_smf.pdf inducate to support 2 connector the RL for each connector must be -39 dB. This is close enough to either the MPI budget or trade connector loss as few are used with MPI.

Response Status O

Adhoc contribution

Proposed Response

C/ 121 SC 121.7.3 P 219 L 47 # 84 C/ 119 SC 119.6.3 P 177 L 6 # 87 Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Current -45 dB RL require APC connector and may not support installed based. The "Support" column is ragged. The first few rows have the entries centered, and later on they are left aligned. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Standard should allow reducing the number of connectors from 4 as defiend for operation Use a consistent alignment for the support column with -45 dB RL to -35 dB with 2 connectors. Adhoc contribution Proposed Response Response Status O http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/smf/16 08 16/anslow 01 0816 smf.pdf inducate to support 2 connector the RL for each connector must be -39 dB. This is close enough to either the MPI budget or trade connector loss as few are used with MPI. C/ 120 SC 120.4 P 187 L 53 Proposed Response Response Status O Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Comment Type T Comment Status D C/ 120E SC 120E.3.2.1 P 366 L 52 # 85 Should llist the extender sublayer as a possible sublayer below the PMA Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC SuggestedRemedy Comment Type т Comment Status D Change "including the PMD or another PMA" to "including the PMD, an extender sublaver. or another PMA" Target tranistion time does not say 20-80% Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add 20% to 80% Proposed Response Response Status W C/ 120 SC 120.6 P 201 L 6 # 89 [Editor's note: Clause changed from 129 to 120E and Subclause changed from 129.3.2.1 Trowbridge. Steve Nokia to 120E.3.2.1] Comment Status D Comment Type E C/ 120E SC 120E.4.1 P 372 L 35 # 86 In Table 120-4, the "PMA status variable" column has several entries that wrap the name Ghiasi, Ali Ghiasi Quantum LLC of the variable over to the next line in the middle of a word SuggestedRemedy Comment Status D Comment Type Make the rightmost column wide enough to not wrap any of the text, shrinking the We have inconsistency between baseline simulations and what we are referencing for PMA/PMD register name column (which wraps at word boundaries) and Register/Bit MCB/HCB. The simulations were based on hypotitical connector haiving ~1/3 the crosstalk http://www.jeee802.org/3/bs/public/adhoc/elect/24Aug 15/dallaire 01 082415 elect.pdf number column as necessary Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Current eye width and eye height may not be met with connectoras defined and referenced in 92.11.1 having ~3x the crosstalk. Attach presentation provide background

[Editor's note: Clause changed from 1203 to 120E and Subclause changed from 1203.4.1

http://www.ieee802.org/3/cd/public/Julv16/ghiasi 3cd 02 0716.pdf

Response Status W

Plan to update the presentation as ghiasi 3bs 01 0916.

Proposed Response

to 120E.4.1]

Comment ID 89

Page 19 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:01

C/ 121 SC 121.8.9.1 # 90 C/ 119 SC 119.1.3 P 141 L 40 # 93 P 227 L 28 Trowbridge, Steve Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Nokia Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D The line beginning the arrow from the Bessel Thompson filter to the E/O converter crosses Most elements in the list indicate both directions of processing, e.g., encoding/decoding, into the box instead of beginning at the edge of the box, and the line beginning the arrow however this only lists "Transcoding from 66B blocks to 257B blocks" from the summing function to the Bessel Thompson filter crosses into the circle around the SuggestedRemedy plus sign Change to either "Transcoding between 66B blocks and 257B blocks" or "Transcoding of SuggestedRemedy 66B blocks to/from 257B blocks" Tidy up the figure and have the arrows start at the edge of the element they originate from Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.8 P 163 L 51 C/ 122 SC 122 8 9 3 P 258 L 14 # 91 Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status D There are circumstances where the Rx PCS does not insert any idles when removing AMs, e.g., when no rate matching is necessary such as delivering packets to an NPU, or when The line beginning the arrow from the Bessel Thompson filter to the E/O converter crosses the reduction in bit-rate from rate matching exceeds the amount of space occupied by the into the box instead of beginning at the edge of the box, and the line beginning the arrow AMs. from the summing function to the Bessel Thompson filter crosses into the circle around the plus sign SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "The receive PCS must insert idle control characters to compensate for the removal of alignment markers" to "The receive PCS may insert idle control characters to Tidy up the figure and have the arrows start at the edge of the element they originate from compensate for the removal of alignment markers" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 L 6 # 92 C/ 45 P 70 SC 45.2.3.47a L 51 Trowbridge, Steve Nokia Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status D The "Support" column is ragged - the first vew rows have the entries centered, the last few With the checker board distribution of RS-symbols into PCS lanes, the PCS FEC Symbol have them left aligned. Similar issue with the receive function table further on in this clause error counters don't provide a 1-1 mapping of physical lane to counter. So you have 2 SuggestedRemedy physical lanes providing error counts into the same PCS FEC lane counter. This doesn't supply the intent of the counter to assist in identifying the lanes that are running at worse Use a consistent alignment for the support column SER rates then others. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Presentation to be supplied

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 95

Response Status O

Page 20 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:01

C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P 162 L 15 # 96 C/ 119 P 152 L 20 # 99 SC 119.2.4.4 Slavick, Jeff Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status D Missing 3rd sentence of the "optional feature" template for degrade SER Shift tx am sf to be the first nibble of the UP0 for lane 0. Make the 2nd nibble of UP0 for lane 0 be it's inverse. Then 802.3cd can insert it in the single lane implementations in the SuggestedRemedy same "spot". Add the end of the paragraph that introduces FEC degrade SER feature. "When the SuggestedRemedy option is provided it is enabled by the assertion of the FEC_degraded_SER_enable variable (see 119.3)" and remove the (see 119.3) from the next paragraph for the Change tx am sf to be {1.degrade.0.0} and update definition of UP0 to be tx_am_sf,~tx_am_sf for PCS lane 0. FEC degraded SER enable Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O P 141 C/ 119 SC 119.1.3 L 40 # 100 C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 130 L 15 # 97 Slavick, Jeff Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Featurs of PCS doesn't denote it converts data from 257 -> 66 but it does say it does the Remove all references to Rx Test Mode since we removed the Rx checker from PCS (comment #46 from D1.1). Rx just operates in functional mode when Tx is in Test mode inverse for data octect generation and fec data. since it looks just like mission data SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change b) to read: "Transcoding from 66-bit blocks to (from) 257-bit blocks" Remove references to rx_test_mode from Table 118-1, Table 118-3, Table 119-4, MDIO Proposed Response Response Status O register 5.42.2, 119.2.1 Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4 P 147 L 11 # 101 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4 P 152 L 20 # 98 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Since both 96b pattern and the "24-pad bits" are fixed. Why not just state the AM is a fixed Comment Status D Comment Type TR 120b pattern. Make all the UM for 200G PCS lanes 1-7 the same for as 400G. UM for lane 0 is unique. SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

checked.

SuggestedRemedy

Make entries for PCS lanes 1-7 of Table 119-1 be the same as Table 119-2 PCS lanes 1-7

This will ensure no false link ups of 200G or 400G but minimize the patterns needed to be

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 101

Change "96-bit block interleaved with fixed 24-pad bits" to read "120-bit block"

Response Status O

Page 21 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:01

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4 # 102 P 152 L 19 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Can Table 119-1 and Table 119-2 use fixed width font so everything lines up nicely? SuggestedRemedy See comment Proposed Response Response Status 0 L 17 # 103 C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.3 P 162 Slavick, Jeff Broadcom Comment Type TR Comment Status D

For the FEC_degrade_SER function assumed you want to assert the indicator as soon as you exceed the threshold, but clear on the first interval that's below. Also the text does not align with the MDIO registers names

SuggestedRemedy

When FEC_degraded_SER_enable is asserted, additional error monitoring is performed by the PCS. The Reed-Solomon decoder counts the number of symbol errors detected on all PCS lanes in consecu-tive non-overlapping blocks of FEC_degraded_SER_interval (see 119.3) codewords. When the number of symbol errors exceeds the threshold set in FEC_degraded_SER_activate_threshold (see 119.3) the FEC_degraded_SER bit (see 119.3) is set. At the end of each interval, if the number of symbol errors is less than FEC_degraded_SER_deactivate_threshold the FEC_degraded_SER bit is cleared. If either FEC_degraded_SER_ability or FEC_degraded_SER_enable is de-asserted than FEC_degraded_SER bit is cleared.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 45 SC 45.2.3.47i P75 L5 # 104

Slavick, Jeff Broadcom

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

When defining the interval you should limit this to intervals that make sense for the FEC engine. For example for Clause 119 because there's two FEC decoders running in parallel this interval should not be an odd number since it'll be a pain to add in symbol counts for 4 or 8 of the lanes and then start the next interval with the sum of the error counts from the other lanes

SuggestedRemedy

Add the following to the definition of the register. "The least significant bit of this registers shall be ignored by by the 200G/400G PCS (119) since it operates on two codewords at a time."

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

When the degrade features is not-supported or enabled in the XS layer, I would think we'd want it to just echo the PCS value all the way back to the RS.

SuggestedRemedy

Add text stating tx_am_sf is a copy of rx_am_sf when degrade is not enabed or supported.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 123 SC 123.7 P 276 L 4 # 106

Shariff, Masood CommScope

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

TIA-492-AAAE for WBMMF has been published since June 2016. Parallel specifications are under development in IEC 86A. TIA-568-3-D has recognized WBMMF and is on the verge of publication. ISO 11801-1 has also added this Cabling Category to the DIS standard currently under ballot.

IEEE 802.3bs should recognize this advance in MM optical fiber cabling that can support 400GBASE-SR16 at 850 nm while also enabling future windows between 850 nm and 953 nm.

SuggestedRemedy

Add 50/125 WBMMF as an option since this type of fiber will support 400GBASE-SR16

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 123 SC 123.7 P 276 L 15 # 107 C/ 123 SC 123.11.1 P 280 L 10 Shariff, Masood CommScope Shariff, Masood CommScope Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type TR Comment Status D Recognize WBMMF that will support 400GBASE-SR16 at 850 nm while also enabling Recognize WBMMF SWDM applications between between 850 nm and 953 nm. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a new column for WBMMF and refer to TIA 492-AAAE for the specifications. Add WBMMF as new row to table 123.5 as shown below: Proposed Response Response Status O 0.5 m to 100 m for cabling made with TIA-492AAAE fiber. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 122 SC 122.7.1 P 249 L 20 King, Jonathan Finisar SC 123.10 P 279 L C/ 123 # 108 Comment Type Т Comment Status D Shariff, Masood CommScope The current 'average power (max)' spec value for 400GBASE-FR8 and 400GBASE-LR8 would require the ER to be higher than the specified minimum for a high OMA Tx (e.g. at Comment Type TR Comment Status D max Tx OMA). Follow the precedent in Table 122-9 to allow the minimum ER to be used Add WBMMF fiber as an option at the max Tx_OMA value. This will help yield and manufacturability. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Append " and wideband fiber optic cabling." to the end of the sentence on line 30 In Table 122-10: In the 'Average power (max)' row unmerge the spec value cell and put the value 5.7 into the column for 400GBASE-FR8, and 5.9 into the column for 400GBASE-Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 123 SC 123.10 P 279 L 39 # 109 Shariff, Masood CommScope Comment Type TR Comment Status D Recognize and add WBMMF

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change the OM4 column heading to "OM4 and WBMMF"

Response Status O

110

111

C/ 124 SC 124.7.1 # 112 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.3 P 197 L 30 # 114 P 294 L 9 Chacon, Geoffrey **HPE** King, Jonathan Finisar Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D The receiver sensitivity specs for 400GBASE-DR4 are marginal to what is technically Typo: PRSBS13Q feasible for a high volume product, and an additional 0.3 link loss capability is required. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Correct to PRBS13Q Move Tx OMA specs (and dependents) up 0.8 dB, and Rx sensitivity specs (and Proposed Response Response Status O dependents) up 0.5 dB, to reduce burden on Rx and increase channel insertion loss budget by 0.3 dB. With editorial licence, the details are: In Table 124-6:Increase Tx_OMA-TDECQ from -1.3dBm to -0.5 dBm also Increase OMAouter (max) from 4.2dBm to 5.0dBm. Increase OMAouter (min) from -0.3dBm to 0.5dBm. Increase Average launch C/ 119 SC 119-12 P 169 L 39 # 115 power (max) from 4dBm to 4.8dBm. Increase Average launch power (min) from -5.4dBm Chacon, Geoffrey HPF to -4.6dBm. In Table 124-7:Increase 'Receive sensitivity (OMAinner), each lane (max)' from -9.2dBm to -8.7dBm: also Increase 'Stressed receiver sensitivity (OMAouter), each Comment Type E Comment Status D lane (max)' from -1.9dBm to -1.4dB; Increase 'Receive power, each lane, OMAouter (max)' Variable PCS_lane_mapping<x> does not have a definition in 119.2.6.2 State Variables from 4.2dBm to 5dBm; Increase 'Average receive power, each lane (max)' from 4dBm to 4.8dBm: Increase 'Average receive power, each lane (min)' from -2.4dBm to -1.6dB: SuggestedRemedy Increase 'OMAouter of each aggressor lane' from 4.2dBm to 5.0 dBm. See presentation Add a definition for PCS_lane_mapping. This variable does not seem to be used anywhere king_3bs_02_0916. else, but it is needed by the lane reorder logic. Proposed Response Response Status O PCS_lane_mapping<x> A variable that holds the index of the for the lane received by the alignment marker state machine x to be used by the PCS lane reorder function.

C/ 123 SC 123.7 P 276 L 10 # 113

King, Jonathan Finisar

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The TIA have published the spec for wideband MMF,we should include it in the listed media for 400GBASE-SR16.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a row for wideband MMF in Table 123-5. Add a column for wideband MMF in Tables 123-6 and Table 123-7. See presentation 'king_3bs_01_0916.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 120 SC 120.5.11.2.4 P 199 L 15 # 116 Chacon, Geoffrey HPE

Response Status O

Comment Type E Comment Status D

Typo in PRSBS31Q

Correct to PRBS31Q

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 118 SC 118.2.1 P 128 L 45 # 117 C/ 124 SC 124.9 L 32 # 120 P 298 Ofelt, David Juniper Networks Lewis, David Lumentum Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D Reference to 118.3 should be 118.4 since 118.4 is where the MDIO mapping tables live. This subclause is a duplicate of 121.9 except for the name of the PMD. It may be better to reference that subclause. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change 118.3 to 118.4. Safety, installation, environment, and labeling for 400GBASE-DR4 are the same as Proposed Response Response Status 0 specified in 121.9. Proposed Response Response Status O SC 118.2.2 P 129 L 5 C/ 118 # 118 Ofelt, David Juniper Networks C/ 124 SC 124.10 P 299 L 39 # 121 Comment Type ER Comment Status D Lewis, David Lumentum Reference to 118.3 should be 118.4 since 118.4 is where the MDIO mapping tables live. Comment Type Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy This subclause is a duplicate of 121.10 except for the name of the PMD. It may be better to reference that subclause. Change 118.3 to 118.4. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 The fiber optic cabling model for 400GBASE-DR4 is the same as the model for 200GBASE-DR4 specified in 121.10. C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4 P 149 L 12 # 119 Proposed Response Response Status O Ofelt. David Juniper Networks Comment Status D Comment Type Ε C/ 124 SC 124.11 P 300 L 33 # 122 Text describes the alignment marker structure for each lane and refers to the "field Lewis, David Lumentum poisitioning identical to that defined in 91.5.2.6". It is unclear to me what that actually means- the alignment marker strucutre in that section seems to be different from what we Comment Type Ε Comment Status D have in 200/400GbE This subclause is the same as 121.11 except for the name of the PMD. It might be better SuggestedRemedy to just reference that subclause. Clarify the meaning SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response The fiber optic cabling (channel) characteristics for 400GBASE-DR4 are the same as those Response Status 0 specified for 200GBASE-DR4 in 121.11.

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status O

Cl 121 SC 121.7.2 P 219 L 11 # [123]
Lewis, David Lumentum

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Table 121-7. The value for damage threshold is unecessarily high at 3 dB above the maximum average receive power. Having such a high value makes it more difficult to find a source with sufficient power to do the test. Other SMF standards, such as 100GBASE-LR4/-ER4 (Table 88-8) have set the damage threshold at 1 dB above the maximum average receive power.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the threshold from 6.5 dBm to 4 dBm.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 124 SC 124.7.3 P 295 L 11 # 124

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Table 124-7. The value for damage threshold is unecessarily high at 2.5 dB above the maximum average receive power. Having such a high value makes it more difficult to find a source with sufficient power to do the test. Other SMF standards, such as 100GBASE-LR4/-ER4 (Table 88-8) have set the damage threshold at 1 dB above the maximum average receive power.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the threshold from 6.5 dBm to 5 dBm.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 122 SC 122.11.1 P 261 L 20 # 125

Lewis, David Lumentum

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Cabled optical fiber attenuation (max) is 0.46 or 0.5 dB/km. The note says that 0.46 dB/km is at 1272.55 nm but the shortest wavelength for 200GBASE-FR4 is 1264.5 nm and the loss should be 0.47 dB/km (see Table 87-15).

SuggestedRemedy

Change the value in the table to 0.47 or 0.5. Change note a to say "The 0.47 dB/km at 1264.5 nm attenuation....".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120E SC 120E.3.1.6

P 363 Mellanox L 35

126

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This crosstalk generator is intended to represent a module, and generate broadband energy. The spec allows an implementer to achieve the letter of the spec by using a lot of emphasis but miss the intention.

SuggestedRemedy

Dawe, Piers

This transition time spec should be replaced by a slew time spec, e.g. 4.5 ps between +/-0.1 V. Definition of slew time similar to transition time but with fixed thresholds instead of the signal-dependent 20% and 80%. Same for the counter propagating crosstalk channels during calibration of the module stressed input signal (120E.3.4.1.1).

We don't need to change the spec for the crosstalk generator in the opposite direction because that's a slower signal so an implementer won't be using emphasis.

Proposed Response Status O

Trooperior clarae

CI 120E SC 120E.3.2 P 366 L 32 # [127

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The module output transition time min. spec is there to protect the module's input from too much crosstalk when connected to a host with more NEXT than the MCB. "Too much" doesn't depend on the module's output amplitude setting, so we should have an absolute spec here not a relative one.

SuggestedRemedy

This transition time spec should be replaced by a slew time spec, e.g. 3.5 ps between +/-0.1 V. Definition of slew time similar to transition time but with fixed thresholds instead of the signal-dependent 20% and 80%.

There is less need to change the transition time spec for the host output because the connector is on the host board, so the NEXT is already in the measurement.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 199 # 128 L 36 Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This SSPRQ pattern will give inconsistent results when testing a range of transmitters.

SuggestedRemedy

If we can find a less extreme pattern that better achieves the objective of allowing TDEC measurements that correlate to the TDP we don't want to measure at line rate, change to

If we can't, change to a pattern that is less extreme, and don't use it for TDEC testing.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 121 SC 121.8.5 P 221 L 37 # 129

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

This SSPRQ pattern will give inconsistent results when testing a range of transmitters.

SuggestedRemedy

If we can find a less extreme pattern that better achieves the objective of allowing TDEC measurements that correlate to the TDP we don't want to measure at line rate, change to that pattern.

If we can't, use PRBS13Q, which is much more representative, for TDECQ testing. Tell the implementer to be careful about low frequency effects.

Similarly in clauses 122, 124,

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 121 SC 121.7.1 L 33 # 130 P 218

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Now we have a TDECQ spec, we should look again at the RIN spec. The effect of RIN is included in TDECQ: the acceptable level of RIN depends strongly on other transmitter impairments. All we could *require* in a spec is the amount of RIN that would create substantially all of the TDECQ limit, which I don't think is this number. It would be hard to *recommend* any number without making assumptions on behalf of all future transmitter implementers that we can't justify.

As 52.9.6 says "This procedure describes a component test that may not be appropriate for a system level test depending on the implementation. If used..." and "In order to measure the noise, the modulation to the DUT is turned off." A transmitter that's trying to deliver 4 well-spaced PAM4 levels can't be expected to do anything in particular if the modulation to the DUT is turned off!

SuggestedRemedy

As we no longer need a RIN spec and it would be difficult to choose a recommended value - delete the RIN22.8OMA row in Table 121-6, and in Table 121-10. Delete 121.8.7. In 121.8.5.1 and 121.8.5.2, we could change "The state of polarization of the back reflection is adjusted to create the greatest RIN" to "The state of polarization of the back reflection is adjusted for the greatest TDECQ". Similarly in clauses 122, 124.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 347 L 48 # 131 Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Should not use such an unrepresentative pattern

SuggestedRemedy

Dawe. Piers

Measure jitter with PRBS13Q. Either apply the spec to a subset of emphasis settings, or apply to all emphasis settings but ignore the edges that are not present when emphasis is

Remove the JP03A test pattern generator and registers.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 347 L 48 # 132 C/ 123 SC 123.11.1 P 280 L 25 # 136 Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Dawe, Piers Mellanox Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D If the target BER is 1e-5... TIA-492AAAE wideband fiber satisfies OM4 and should be referenced SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy add to footnote b "and TIA-492AAAE wideband fiber" We should specify J4 jitter rather than J5 jitter. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O SC 120.5.11.2.2 P 197 L 1 C/ 1 SC 1.4 P 35 C/ 120 # 133 L 26 # 137 Dawe. Piers Mellanox D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D JP03B test pattern is not used In the definition of the 400GMII Extender, it is noted that the 400GXS is for future 400G PHYs and is identical to the 400GBASE-R PCS. It is likely that the reader will find this SuggestedRemedy definition confusing. As noted in other comment, the Extender allows communication with Remove the JP03B test pattern generator and registers. future 400G PHYs using a PCS different than the existing 400GBASE-R PCS. It is not intuitive to merely say that the functionality of the 400GXS is the same as the 400GBASE-Proposed Response Response Status 0 R PCS. Essentially, the 400GBASE-R PCS can be configured through the appropriate registers as a 400GXS in order to implement the 400GMI Extender. SuggestedRemedy C/ 123 SC 123.7 P 276 L 4 # 134 Modify the definitionThe 400 Gb/s Extender Sublayer (400GXS) is part of the 400GMII Moffitt, Bryan CommScope Extender. In functionality, it is identical to the 400GBASE-R PCS Sublayer defined in Clause 119. (See IEEE Std 802.3. Clause 118.), but must be configured as a 400GXS Comment Status D Comment Type ER through optional management registers. TIA-492AAAF wideband fiber satisfies OM4 and should be referenced Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add Wideband fiber of TIA-492AAAE as supported media and add a row to table 123-5: 0.5 m to 100 m for wideband TIA-492AAAE fiber. SC 120C.3.3 C/ 120C P 338 L 38 # 138 Proposed Response Response Status O D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei. Subsidiary Comment Type E Comment Status D The sentence is confusing because the BER is specified in 83E.3.3 through a note C/ 123 SC 123.10. P 279 L 37 # 135 reference to 83E.1 though the requirement in the .3bs draft states it must meet all Moffitt, Bryan CommScope requirements in 83E.3. Comment Type ER Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy TIA-492AAAE wideband fiber satisfies OM4 and should be referenced Change reference to the BER specified in 83E.3.3 or just modify sentence to - The BER meets the requirement in 120C.1.1. SuggestedRemedy change OM4 column heading to "OM4 and wideband" Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 138

Page 28 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:01

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The sentence is confusing because the BER is specified in 83E.3.3 through a note reference to 83E.1 though the requirement in the .3bs draft states it must meet all requirements in 83E.3.

SuggestedRemedy

Change reference to the BER specified in 83E.3.3 or just modify sentence to - The BER meets the requirement in 120C.1.1.

Proposed Response Response Status O

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Diagram (120B-1) can be improved to better communicate the 200GXS functionality.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the stack without the extender sublayer to the left column, and the extender sublayer based stack to the right. Move the PCS and PMA for the non-extender sublayer stack to be across from the 200GXS/PMA at the top of the Extender Sublayer Stack side. Keep the bottom PMA / PMD of both stacks in the same location.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 120B SC 120B.2 P 330 L 27 # 141

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary

Diagram (120B-2) can be improved to better communicate the 200GXS functionality.

Comment Status D

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Move the stack without the extender sublayer to the left column, and the extender sublayer based stack to the right. Move the PCS and PMA for the non-extender sublayer stack to be across from the 400GXS/PMA at the top of the Extender Sublayer Stack side. Keep the bottom PMA / PMD of both stacks in the same location.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 120d SC 120d.1 P 344 L 27 # 142

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Diagram (120D-1) can be improved to better communicate the 200GXS functionality.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the stack without the extender sublayer to the left column, and the extender sublayer based stack to the right. Move the PCS and PMA for the non-extender sublayer stack to be across from the 200GXS/PMA at the top of the Extender Sublayer Stack side. Keep the bottom PMA / PMD of both stacks in the same location.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D.2 P 345 L 27 # 143

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

Diagram (120D-2) can be improved to better communicate the 200GXS functionality.

SuggestedRemedy

Move the stack without the extender sublayer to the left column, and the extender sublayer based stack to the right. Move the PCS and PMA for the non-extender sublayer stack to be across from the 400GXS/PMA at the top of the Extender Sublayer Stack side. Keep the bottom PMA / PMD of both stacks in the same location.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 116 SC 116.2.3 P108 L1 # 144

D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

The full functionality of the respective PCS's are not captured, as they can be configured as the respective 200GXS or 400GXS to help implement the respective extender sublayers

SuggestedRemedy

add sentence - The 200GBASE-R PCS has the same functionality as the 200GXS, and therefore may be configured as the respective layer in order to implement the optional 200GMII Extender Sublayer. The 400GBASE-R PCS has the same functionality as the 400GXS, and therefore may be configured as the respective layer in order to implement the optional 400GMII Extender Sublayer.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 1 SC 1.4 P 35 L 12 # [145]
D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary

7 Ambrosia, John Tatarewei, Oabsit

The basic definition is limited, and speaks only to what it is, rather than the complete function it serves - to extend the reach of the 200GMII and allow communication with 200G PHYs that use a different PCS.

Comment Status D

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change the definition to

ER

The 200 Gb/s Media Independent Interface Extender extends the reach of the 200GMII and consists of two 200GXS sublayers with a 200GAUI-n between them. It is defined as a mechanism for communication with future 200 Gigabit Ethernet PHYs that utilize a PCS sublayer other than that defined in Clause 119. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 118.)

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4 P 35 L 22 # 146
D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

The basic definition is limited, and speaks only to what it is, rather than the complete function it serves - to extend the reach of the 400GMII and allow communication with 400G PHYs that use a different PCS.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the defintion to

The 400 Gb/s Media Independent Interface Extender extends the reach of the 400GMII and consists of two 400GXS sublayers with a 400GAUI-n between them. It is defined as a mechanism for future 400 Gigabit Ethernet PHYs that utilize a PCS sublayer other than that defined in Clause 119. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 118.)

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 1 SC 1.4 P 35 L 18
D'Ambrosia, John Futurewei, Subsidiary

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

In the definition of the 200GMII Extender, it is noted that the 200GXS is for future 200G PHYs and is identical to the 200GBASE-R PCS. It is likely that the reader will find this definition confusing. As noted in other comment, the Extender allows communication with future 200G PHYs using a PCS different than the existing 200GBASE-R PCS. It is not intuitive to merely say that the functionality of the 200GXS is the same as the 200GBASE-R PCS. Essentially, the 200GBASE-R PCS can be configured through the appropriate registers as a 200GXS in order to implement the 200GMI Extender.

SuggestedRemedy

Modify the definitionThe 200 Gb/s Extender Sublayer (200GXS) is part of the 200GMII Extender. In functionality, it is identical to the 200GBASE-R PCS Sublayer defined in Clause 119. (See IEEE Std 802.3, Clause 118.), but must be configured as a 200GXS through optional management registers.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 200 L 10 # 148

Dudek, Mike Cavium

don, mino

There is no skew requirement between lanes for the SSPRQ generation. Also for the type of tests that SSPRQ is being used for(scope measurements such as TDEC) crosstalk from other lanes can be an important factor. Providing a required pattern offset between lanes would help but this would still produce crosstalk which is locked to the pattern under test and would create deterministic effects rather than random effects with some measurements not seeing the crosstalk at all and others misclassifying it.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type TR

Add a per-lane enable for this pattern (and MDIO registers to match). Section 120.5.11.1.3 (square wave test pattern) provides a template for this.

Comment Status D

Proposed Response Response Status O

147

Cl 120 SC 120.5.11.2.1 P 196 L 45 # [149]
Dudek, Mike Cavium

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The JP03A test pattern is used for measuring Jitter. With this pattern on all lanes crosstalk will not appear in the jitter measurement while it will degrade the jitter in the real application. We need to create the effect of the crosstalk during these tests by having a different pattern on the lanes not under test.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a per-lane enable for this pattern (and MDIO registers to match). Section 120.5.11.1.3 (square wave test pattern) provides a template for this.

Consider doing the same for JP03B however JP03B is not presently used. If it were used (eg for measuring EOJ) then this shold be done for that pattern as well.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 120 SC 120.5.11.2.3 P 197 L 44 # 150

Dudek, Mike Cavium

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

There is no skew requirement between lanes for the PRBS13Q generation. Also for the type of tests that PRBS13Q is being used for(scope measurements) crosstalk from other lanes is an important factor. Providing a required pattern offset between lanes would help but this would still produce crosstalk which is locked to the pattern under test and would create deterministic effects rather than random effects with some measurements not seeing the crosstalk at all and others mis-classifying it.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a per-lane enable for this pattern (and MDIO registers to match). Section 120.5.11.1.3 (square wave test pattern) provides a template for this.

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 121 SC 121.8.5.1 P 222 L 1 # 151

Dudek, Mike Cavium

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The pattern being used on the other lanes is not specified. In order to properly account for crosstalk this should be an un-correlated pattern.

SuggestedRemedy

Add "transmitting and receiving patterns 3, 4, 5 or a valid 200GBASE-R signal."

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 121 SC 121.8.7 P 226 L 11 # [152]

Dudek, Mike Cavium

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Table 121-9 specifies that the QPRBS13 pattern is used for measuring RIN. However 121.8.7 refers to a test methodology in clause 52.9.6 that is not appropriate for use with that pattern. 52.9.6 specifies an NRZ sqare wave pattern and uses an O/E convertor AC coupled into an electrical power metter.

If a slow PAM4 pattern where used the denominator for the RIN calculation would be a factor of 2/3 smaller than with the NRZ pattern. Note that the square wave pattern was originally chosen because it spends little percentage time in transitions and therefore the average power measured is close to (OMA/2) squared. Using a pattern with a lot of transitions means that the risetimes will affect the measurement.

SuggestedRemedy

In Table 121-9 Change the RIN row to say NRZ square wave. Or better create a new section for measuring RIN using scope measurements with the QPRBS13 patten by measuring the noise on the 4 different static levels of the pattern and calculating the RIN from those numbers and the OMA and remove the reference to 52.9.6

Make similar changes to the other PAM4 optical clauses.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 347 L 53 # 153 Dudek, Mike Cavium Comment Type TR Comment Status D Crosstalk from the other lanes will not create jitter if they are also transmitting the JP03A test pattern. An uncorrelated pattern is needed on the other lanes. (I have made a separate comment against clause 120 to provide individual lane enablement of JP03A) SuggestedRemedy Replace "enabled and transmitting the same pattern with identical transmit equalizer settings" with "enabled with the identical transmit equalizer settings and transmitting pattern 3,5 or scrambled idle" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.2.1 P 349 L 54 # 154 Dudek, Mike Cavium Comment Type Comment Status D The word signal is split between two pages with a table between the two halves. SuggestedRemedy keep the whole word on one page. Proposed Response Response Status O SC 116.7 C/ 116 P 118 L 21 # 155 Dudek, Mike Cavium Comment Type Comment Status D Clause 116 covers both 200G and 400G. The notation and conventions used in 21.6

should be applied to the 200G pics.

Replace "400 Gigabit" with "200 Gigabit or 400 Gigabit"

Response Status 0

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

C/ 119 P 162 L 14 # 156 SC 119.2.5.3 Dudek, Mike Cavium Comment Type Ε Comment Status D I believe this is the first use of SER in this clause. SER isn't listed in the abbreviations in sub clause 1.5. SuggestedRemedy Replace "SER" with "RS-FEC symbol error ratio(SER)" here. Add SER - RS-FEC Symbol Error Ratio to the abbreviations in sub clause 1.5 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.3. P 187 L 34 # 157 Dudek, Mike Cavium Comment Type E Comment Status D This is a very long sentence that is difficult to follow. SuggestedRemedy

Change the sentence "In the Rx direction, when data is being received from every input lane from the sublayer below the PMA thathas a PCSL that is routed to a particular output lane at the PMA service interface, and (if necessary), buffersare filled to allow tolerating the Skew Variation that may appear between the input lanes, PCSLs are demultiplexed from the input lanes, demultiplexed to the output lanes, and symbols are transferred over each output lane to the PMA client via the PMA:IS UNITDATA i.indication primitive."

to "In the Rx direction, when data is being received from every input lane from the sublayer below the PMA that has a PCSL that is routed to a particular output lane at the PMA service interface, PCSLs are demultiplexed from the input lanes, remultiplexed to the output lanes, and symbols are transferred over each output lane to the PMA client via the PMA:IS UNITDATA i.indication primitive. If necessary the received data fills buffers to allow tolerating the Skew Variation that may appear between the input lanes."

Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 157

Page 32 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:01

C/ 121 SC 121.8.9.2 P 227 L 49 # 158 C/ 120C SC 120C.2 P 338 L 1 # 161 Dudek, Mike Cavium Dudek, Mike Cavium Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D The Sentence below does not belong in this section. It should be merged into 121.8.9.1 Unfortunate line and page break leaving "definitions" on a separate page "An example stressed receiver conformance test setup is shown in Figure 121-6; however. SuggestedRemedy alternative test setups that generate equivalent stress conditions may be used. Keep it on the same page as the rest of the title. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Delete the sentence here and add it to the beginning of the 2nd paragraph of 121.8.9.1 Proposed Response Response Status O SC 120D.3.1.1 P 347 C/ 120D L 51 # 162 Dudek. Mike Cavium SC 119A P 315 # 159 C/ 119A L 18 Comment Type T Comment Status D Dudek, Mike Cavium measurements of BER are irrelevant to this litter section Comment Type Ε Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy extra words. Delete "BER or" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Replace "stream of stream of" with "stream of" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2.1 P 351 # 163 L 38 Dudek. Mike Cavium C/ 120A SC 120A.4 P 328 L 1 # 160 Comment Type T Comment Status D Dudek, Mike Cavium We don't have measurement methods for CRJrms or CDJ. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy It should be "example" instead of "examples" in the title. (There is only one diagram, and the figure says "example" however there is one example for 200GXS and another for Replace "CRJrms" with "Jrms" and replace "CDJ" with "(J5-4.41*Jrms) 400GXS) Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change to "example" in the title. C/ 120D SC 120D.5.4.3 P 357 L 23 # 164 Proposed Response Response Status O Dudek, Mike Cavium Comment Type T Comment Status D It is not appropriate to be calling out clause 83D for COM when this clause has many differences from that COM table. SuggestedRemedy Change 83D.4 to 120D.4 Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 164

Page 33 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:01

C/ 120E SC 120E.4.2 P 372 # 165 C/ 121 L 46 L 46 SC 121.8.9.1 P 226 Dudek, Mike Dudek, Mike Cavium Cavium Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D It is ambiguous as to what the eye probabilities are related to. (symbols, bits or individual It is going to be extremely difficult to generate two thirds of the dB value of SECQ using a four order Bessel filter when a 5 tap FIR filter is equalizing the effect of the filter. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy At line 46 add the sentence. Unless specified otherwise the probabilities are relative to the Set the bandwidth of the filter to a fixed bandwidth somewhat narrower than the expected 3 individual eves not the total PAM4 symbol. fiber bandwidth and Tx worst case expected risetime combination. 15GHz may be a reasonable value. Make equivalent changes on page 228 line 5. Proposed Response Response Status O Make similar changes to the other optical clauses using an equalizer. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120E SC 120E.5.3 P 378 L 6 # 166 Dudek, Mike Cavium Comment Status D Comment Type T C/ 121 SC 121.8.9.2 P 228 L 12 There are not 8 lanes for 200GAUI-4 Dudek, Mike Cavium SuggestedRemedy Comment Type T Comment Status D Add the 4 lane option for 200GAUI-4 and make the existing 8 lanes for 400GAUI only What square wave pattern? Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Add the NRZ square wave pattern to be used for jitter calibration to table 121-9 and 121-10 or locally define it here as a pattern with 8 3's followed by 8 1's. SC 120E.5.4.1 C/ 120E P 378 L 54 # 167 Make similar changes to the other PAM4 optical clauses. Dudek, Mike Cavium Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Status D There is no specification for Vertical eye closure for the host output in Table 120E-1 There shouldn't be a PICS item for it.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Delete TH14 on page 378 line 54.

Response Status O

168

169

CI 120B SC 120B.1 P 329 L 35 # 170

Dudek, Mike Cavium

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Although the GAUI chip to chip interface can be connected to a module (combination PMA/PMD) as shown in figures 120B-1, and 120B-2 it is not the primary target application. It would be better to show the primary target application. (Note that annex 120A does not differentiate between chip to chip and chip to module). (See also similar comment against 120D)

SuggestedRemedy

Add a PMA box to the right hand side of these diagrams between the two PMA's. The GAUI chip to chip filled in link being between the PMA adjacent to the PCS and this new PMA box. The PMA to the PMA adjacent to the PMD link should just be labelled 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n(neither chip to chip or chip to module) and either not filled in or maybe striped. At the end of the paragraph at line 21 add the sentences "Although the 200GAUI-8 and 400GAUI-16 chip to chip interfaces are primarily intended for connections between PMA's that are not co-located with the PMD, they can be used between any PMA's. Note that the 200GAUI-n and 400GAUI-n chip to module interfaces specified in Annex 120C and Annex 120E are intended for connection from a PMA to the PMA co-located with the PMD

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 120B SC 120B.4 P 332 L 38 # 171

Dudek, Mike Cavium

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The target SER for this interface is 1e-5 (see 120B.3.2). However with the DFE tap weight allowed to be equal to 1 the probability of error extension is 0.5. This results in the probability of RS-FEC symbol errors caused by this one detector error to be 1.1

SuggestedRemedy

Change the DER from 1e-6 to 9e-7 (or reduce the normalized DFE coefficient magnitude limit.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D P 344 L 29 # 172

Dudek, Mike Cavium

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Although the GAUI chip to chip interface can be connected to a module (combination PMA/PMD) as shown in figure 120B-1, and 120B-2 (is not the primary target application. It would be better to show the primary target application. (Note that annex 120A does not differentiate between chip to chip and chip to module). (Also see similar comment against 120B)

SuggestedRemedy

The GAUI chip to chip filled in link being between the PMA adjacent to the PCS and this new PMA box. The PMA to the PMA adjacent to the PMD link should just be labelled 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n(neither chip to chip or chip to module) and either not filled in or maybe striped. At the end of the paragraph at line 21 add the sentences "Although the 200GAUI-4 and 400GAUI-8 chip to chip interfaces are primarily intended for connections between PMA's that are not co-located with the PMD, they can be used between any PMA's. Note that the 200GAUI-n and 400GAUI-n chip to module interfaces specified in Annex 120C and Annex 120E are intended for connection from a PMA to the PMD co-located with the PMD.

Proposed Response Status O

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The VEC spec was required in other clauses because the module output signal was being tested at the Near end and this protected hosts from modules with large amplitude outputs that were highly distorted that would be difficult to receive after a long host trace. With this clause also specifying the Far end there is no need for this specification for the Module output or having to calibrate to a specific value for the host stressed input test.

SuggestedRemedy

Delete the VEC row in Table 120E-3.

Delete the sentence related to VECP on page 370 line 5.

Delete the heading for section 120E.4.2.1, the initial sentence and Equation 120E-3 and definition of VEC, however retain the definitions of the AVupp etc.

Delete TH14 in the PICS. page 379 line 35

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 173

Page 35 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:01

C/ 00 SC 0 P 1 # 174 C/ 00 SC 0 P 8 L 22 # 176 L 2 Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D In publication, this is where the list of amendments and corrigenda comprising the base The WG ballot group is now known. It is thoughtful to allow members to review the document being amended is listed. (See IEEE Std 802.3by page two or title page of appearance of their names in case there is any error in the database. P802.3bv/D3.0 for example.) SuggestedRemedy Add list that the WG Chair can provide, (he will probably remind you not to duplicate officer Based on current schedules. P802.3bs. could be be designated Amendment 10, 11 or 12. names in the added list). Questioning the schedule for P802.3cc when it is only at D1.0 argues against Amendment 12; and 802.3cb at the same ballot makes 10 or 11 a tossup, to the list certainly can be Proposed Response Response Status O TBD. But, in addition, Corrigendum 1 will almost certainly be approved before this project is approved. SC 0 P 13 C/ 00 L 6 # 177 The SASB teleconference is 22 Sept. so if P802.3bs/D2.1 is not distributed before knowing the results, 802.3bn and 802.3bz might appropriately be 2016. Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status D Could edit as in P802.3bv/D3.0 or indicate to be updated during publication preparation. If Update with current document descriptions. the list is added, delete the list at line 25. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O I personally prefer adding the document list with draft numbers that were used when creating the draft in an Editor's note above this list as this is the first location where base text is drawn from preceding amendments and corrigenda. The Editor's note list on p. 32 C/ 00 SC 0 P 2 L 46 # 175 does not provide good information for this purpose. Grow. Robert RMG Consulting From my most recent review updates to the list are appropriate: Comment Type Comment Status D Ε p. 12, l. 42 hopefully publication editors will correct the grammar, other projects have deleted "for" to do that in their drafts: Draft uses both 201x and 20xx for yet to be approved standards and other year dates. p. 13, l. 8 add Amendment 8 802.3bu and Amendment 9 802.3bv. Also consider adding While this project is unlikely to be subject to the uncertainty of the next decade, other projects getting started now face that possible uncertainty. Corrigendum 1 as it is likely to preceed approval of this project. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Use one form to simplify search by publication editor. I recommend 20xx as is used in IEEE boilerplate. C/ 00 SC 0 P 32 L 46 # 178 Proposed Response Response Status O **RMG** Consulting Grow, Robert Comment Type Comment Status D P802.3bp should no be longer running in parallel after September, also, it is not terribly helpful in knowing which doeuments the editors have considered in preparation of the draft.

Proposed Response Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

drafts.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 178

Delete the editor's note, or add the list of considered published, approved and in ballot

Page 36 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:01

C/ 1 SC 1.3 P 33 L 44 # 179 C/ 1 SC 1.4.132a P 35 # 182 L 11 Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D Though unlikely with these two inserted references, they should be in alphanumeric order I can discern no logical reason for inserting these terms after 1.4.132. to minimize publication editor error in inserting. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Sort with other terms that begin with a number. Correct order. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 1 SC 1.5 P 35 L 39 # 183 C/ 1 SC 1.4 P 34 L 3 # 180 Grow. Robert RMG Consulting Grow, Robert **RMG** Consulting Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D Sort order of 1.5 is alphanumeric (with only a few errors). The inserts as specified make worse the sort order mess that is currently the state of 1.4. SuggestedRemedy 40GBASE terms in 2015 did not follow either the speed ordered port type list at the beginning of 1.4, nor insert after 2BASE-TL for at least the first digit being in sort order. Correct editing instruction to alphanumeric. 25GBASE terms were inserted by P802.3by before 40GBASE terms so at least the first Proposed Response Response Status O digit of the port types somewhat sort. The insert order also violates the groupings of the current 1.4 by not inserting the interface terms together. SuggestedRemedy C/ 45 SC 45.2.1 P 41 L7 # 184 Either try to better group using existing groups (after 25G/40G with interfaces separately **RMG** Consulting Grow. Robert grouped, or at a minimum order the inserts of P802.3bs in proper letter by letter sort order (.0123456789abcdefghijklmnopqustuvwxyz) ignoring spaces and all other characters. Comment Type Comment Status D ER Proposed Response Response Status O P802.3by Amendment 9 should be the base text. SuggestedRemedy Cite IEEE Std 802.3bv-20xx instead of 802.3bz. Delete row for 1,22. Change last row to C/ 1 SC 1.4.107 P 35 L 5 # 181 "1.23 through" (strikethrough) Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type ER Comment Status D P802.3cb is also modifying this definition, if timelines hold true, this instruction and base text is wrong.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Add an Editor's note to remind that 802.3cb is also modifying this definition and base text and editing instruction reference will have to be updated if 802.3cb is assigned a lower

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

amendment number than 802.3bs.

Comment ID 184

Page 37 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:01

Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.6 P 44 # 185 Cl 45 P 55 L 1 # 188 L 53 SC 45.2.1.116b RMG Consulting Grow, Robert Grow, Robert RMG Consulting Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D P802.3bv Amendment 9 defines the six bit number 110100. I'll submit a comment on P802.3bv Amendment 9 inserts Table 45-90a for register 1.900. P802.3bv to change the base text as suggested in the Editor's note. Resulting in base text SuggestedRemedy of "110101 = reserved" plus the definition of 110100 as shown in P802.3by/D3.0. Renumber all 45-90x tables being inserted to be 45-90ax (x being the existing letter). SuggestedRemedy Make corresponding changes to the PICS. Change the P802.3by editing instruction to include IEEE Std 802.3by-20xx. Split line 35 Proposed Response Response Status O into 0110101 = reserved and 0110100 = BASE-H PMA/PMD (underscore the leftmost 0). It may be helpful to add an Editors note stating that P802.3cb is defining 0111100 and 0111011 and P802.3cc is defining 0110110 and 0110101, in case either is assigned a lower amendment number. Cl 45 SC 45.2.3.47a P 70 L 49 # 189 RMG Consulting Proposed Response Response Status O Grow. Robert Comment Type Comment Status D P802.3bv Amendment 9 inserts 45.2.3.47a through 45.2.3.47g and Tabled 45-160a C/ 45 SC 45.2.1.10 P 51 L 3 # 186 through 45-160g. Grow. Robert RMG Consulting SuggestedRemedy Comment Status D Comment Type FR Renumber subclauses and tables to begin at 45.2.3.47h and 45-160h respectively. Make corresponding changes to the PICS. P802.3bz (1.11.14) and P802.3bv (1.11.15) both define values requiring update to the base text from IEEE Std 802.3by. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

C/ FM

Hidaka, Yasuo

Comment Type E

Comment Type

Proposed Response

Delete the first row of the table changes. Add a strikethrough Reserved and Value always 0 to the row for 1.11.13. P802.3bz/D3.3 submitted to RevCom has the word zero instead of the more common digit 0, but since it is strikethrough and publication editors might change to the digit for consistency, which is used might be considered worrying about nits.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 45 P 51 SC 45.2.1.10.aaa L 23 # 187

Grow. Robert RMG Consulting

Comment Status D

Response Status O

P802.3bz includes this subclause number for description of bit 1.11.14.

ER

SuggestedRemedy Renumber to fit between the bit 13 subclause 45.2.10.aa description of 802.3bv and the bit

14 subclause 45.2.10.aaa of 802.3bz. I think that makes it 45.2.10.ab. Make corresponding changes to the PICS.

SuggestedRemedy Insert "200 Gb/s and" after "P802.3bs" on line 13 ghrough 19.

"200 Gb/s" is missing in Task Force name on line 13 through 19.

P8

Comment Status D

Fuiltsu Lab of America

L 13

190

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC FM

Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.4.a P 89 # 191 C/ 116 SC 116.3.2 P 109 # 194 L 24 L 19 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D "DTE-XS" has an extra hyphen. The abstract prefix "inst" for the service interface is used but not defined. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "DTE-XS" with "DTE XS". Add the following prefix of the service interface: Proposed Response Response Status 0 inst: -- for primitives issued on the interface between the PMA sublayer and one of PMD, PHY 200GXS, PHY 400GXS, or another PMA sublayer that is below the PMA sublayer. Cl 45 SC 45.2.5.4.a P 89 # 192 or L 29 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America inst: -- abstract prefix representing PMD, PMA, or PHY XS. Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status O "DTE-XS" has an extra hyphen. SuggestedRemedy C/ 116 SC 116.3.2 P 109 L 15 # 195 Change "DTE-XS" with "DTE XS". Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type Т Comment Status D DTE 200GXS and DTE 400GXS do not provide the service interface to PMA, because PMA is below DTE 200GXS and DTE 400GXS. C/ 116 SC 116.3.2 P 109 L 13 # 193 The upper interface of DTE 200GXS and DTE400GXS is 200GMII or 400GMII. Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Only PHY 200GXS and PHY 400GXS provide the service interface to PMA above. Comment Status D Also, we do not need separate prefixes. A single prefix of "PHY XS" is enough. Comment Type T PMA service interface is called not only by PCS but also called by another PMA, DTE SuggestedRemedy 200GXS or DTE 400GXS sublayer. Change the definition of "c) 200GXS" and "d) 400GXS)" as follows: SuggestedRemedy c) PHY XS -- for primitives issued on the interface between the PHY 200GXS or PHY Change "b) PMA: ... " with the following: 400GXS sublayer and the PMA sublayer called the PHY XS service interface. b) PMA: -- for primitives issued on the interface between the PMA sublayer and one of Proposed Response Response Status O PCS. DTE 200GXS. DTE 400GXS. or another PMA sublaver that is above the PMA

sublayer.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

Cl 116 SC 116.5 P 114 L 34 # 196 Cl America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Status

SP6 is defined at the output of the PMA closest to the PCS, but it is not clear if there is PMA above PCS with 200GXS or 400GXS.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert "below and" in front of "closest to the PCS".

Proposed Response Status O

 Cl 116
 SC 116.5
 P 117
 L 23
 # 197

 Hidaka, Yasuo
 Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Table 116-8 gives max skew variation in PMD UI only for 26.5625 Gbd PMD lane, but there is also PMD lane operating at 53.125 Gbd for 400Gb/s PHY.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a new column of "Maximum Skew Variation for 53.125 Gbd PMD lane (UI)" with the following values:

SP1 ~ 11

SP2 ~ 21

SP3 ~ 32 SP4 ~ 181

SP5 ~ 191

SP5 ~ 191 SP6 ~ 202

PCS ~ 213

Add the following note to the new column:

The symbol ~ indicates approximate equivalent of maximum Skew Variation in UI based on 1UI equals 18.82353 ps at PMD lane signaling rate of 53.125 Gbd.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 116 SC 116.7 P118 L 20 # 198

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type E Comment Status D

"200 Gigabit" is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert "200 Gigabit and" after "Each of the".

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 117 SC 117.1.7 P121 L 33 # 199

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The reference to 81.1.6 is inappropriate, because 81.1.6 is XLGMII/CGMII structure. It should be a reference to 81.1.7 that is Mapping of XLGMII/CGMII signals to PLS service primitives.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the reference to 81.1.6 with a reference to 81.1.7.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 117 SC 117.4 P121 L 48 # 200

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

It is not easy to find "PMA stop signaling" in clause 81.4.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the sentence as follows:

LPI assertion and detection function identically to the CGMII specified in 81.4, with the single exception that the PMA stop signaling described in 81.4.4 is not applicable.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 117 SC 117.5.3 P 123 L 5 # 201 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 # 204 L 9 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Item "XGE" is referenced by FS1 in p 125, but not defined. Reference to 117.1.7 for PL2 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a new row as follows: Change the subclause column for PL2 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.1.4". Item: *XGE Proposed Response Response Status O Feature: PHY support of either 200GMII or 400GMII Subclause: 117.2, 117.3 Value: (blank) Status: O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 12 # 205 Support: Yes [] No [] Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Comment Status D Reference to 117.1.7 for PL3 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. C/ 117 SC 117.5.3 P 123 L 11 # 202 SuggestedRemedy Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Change the subclause column for PL3 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.1.4". Comment Type т Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status O At least one of RS200 or RS400 must be supported, because RS is mandatory. SuggestedRemedy Change the status of RS200 from "O" to "O.1". C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 15 # 206 Change the status of RS400 from "O" to "O.1". Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status D Comment Type T Reference to 117.1.7 for PL4 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 6 # 203 SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Status should not be conditional for "RS", because RS is mandatory. RS is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the status column for PL1 through PL13 from "RS:M" to "M". Remove "N/A []" from the support column for PL1 through PL13.

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 206

Change the subclause column for PL4 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.1.4".

Response Status O

Page 41 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:01

C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 # 207 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 # 210 L 17 L 28 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D Reference to 117.1.7 for PL5 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.1.7 for PL8 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for PL5 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.1.4". Change the subclause column for PL8 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.2.3". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 21 # 208 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 32 # 211 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Reference to 117.1.7 for PL6 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.1.7 for PL9 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. 117.1.7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for PL6 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.2.3". Change the subclause column for PL9 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.2.3". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 P 124 L 24 # 209 L 35 # 212 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Type T Reference to 117.1.7 for PL7 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.1.7 for PL10 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. 117.1.7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for PL10 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.5.3". Change the subclause column for PL7 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.2.3". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 # 213 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.3 P 125 # 216 L 37 L 6 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.1.7 for PL11 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Status should not be conditional for "RS", because RS is mandatory. RS is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. 117.1.7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for PL11 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.5.3". Change the status column for DS1 through DS4 from "RS:M" to "M". Remove "N/A II" from the support column for DS1 through DS4. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 42 # 214 SC 117.5.4.3 P 125 C/ 117 L 6 # 217 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Т Reference to 117.1.7 for PL12 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.2 for DS1 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. 117.2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for PL12 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.5.3". Change the subclause column for DS1 from "117.2" to "117.2, 81.2". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.2 P 124 L 45 # 215 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.3 P 125 L 8 # 218 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.1.7 for PL13 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.2 for DS2 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.1.7. 117.2. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for PL13 from "117.1.7" to "117.1.7, 81.1.7.5.3". Change the subclause column for DS2 from "117.2" to "117.2, 81.2". Proposed Response Response Status O

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status O

C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.3 P 125 # 219 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 # 222 L 11 L 25 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.2 for DS3 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for FS2 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for DS3 from "117.2" to "117.2, 81.2.3". Change the subclause column for FS2 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.1". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.3 P 125 L 13 # 220 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 27 # 223 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Reference to 117.2 for DS4 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for FS3 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.2. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for DS4 from "117.2" to "117.2, 81.2.4". Change the subclause column for FS3 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.2". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 P 125 L 22 # 221 L 27 # 224 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Reference to 117.3 for FS1 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in FS3 depends on XGE (not RS), because it is mandatory only if either 200GMII or 400GMII 117.3. is supported. RS is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS1 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.1". Change the status column for FS3 from "RS:M" to "XGE:M". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 # 225 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 # 228 L 29 L 34 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for FS4 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for FS6 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS4 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.2". Change the subclause column for FS6 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.3". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 32 # 226 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 36 # 229 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for FS5 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for FS7 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.3. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS5 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.2". Change the subclause column for FS7 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.4". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 P 125 L 32 # 227 L 36 # 230 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D FS5 depends on XGE (not RS), because it is mandatory only if either 200GMII or 400GMII FS7 (start alignment) is a feature of RS that is mandatory, not optional. RS is not defined in is supported. RS is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. the major capabilities/options as well. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for FS5 from "RS:M" to "XGE:M". Change the status column for FS7 from "RS:M" to "M". Remove "N/A []" from the support column for FS7. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 # 231 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 # 234 L 39 L 43 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for FS8 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in FS10 depends on XGE (not PHY), because it is mandatory only if either 200GMII or 400GMII is supported. PHY is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS8 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.1". Change the status column for FS10 from "PHY:M" to "XGE:M". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 41 # 232 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 L 46 # 235 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for FS9 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for FS11 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.3. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS9 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.1". Change the subclause column for FS11 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.2". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 117.5.4.4 L 43 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 C/ 117 P 125 # 233 L 46 # 236 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type т Reference to 117.3 for FS10 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in FS11 depends on XGE (not PHY), because it is mandatory only if either 200GMII or 117.3. 400GMII is supported. PHY is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS10 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.1". Change the status column for FS11 from "PHY:M" to "XGE:M". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 125 # 237 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 126 # 240 L 48 L 6 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for FS12 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for FS14 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS12 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.2". Change the subclause column for FS14 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.3". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 126 L 3 # 238 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 126 L 8 # 241 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for FS13 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for FS15 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 117.3. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS13 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.3". Change the subclause column for FS13 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.3.1". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O SC 117.5.4.4 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 C/ 117 P 126 L 3 # 239 P 126 L 8 # 242 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D FS13 depends on XGE (not RS), because it is mandatory only if either 200GMII or FS15 (received error control character) is a feature of RS that is mandatory, not optional. 400GMII is supported. RS is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. RS is not defined in the major capabilities/options as well. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for FS13 from "RS:M" to "XGE:M". Change the status column for FS15 from "RS:M" to "M". Remove "N/A []" from the support column for FS15. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 126 # 243 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.5 P 126 # 246 L 10 L 20 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for FS16 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for LF1 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for FS16 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.3.3". Change the subclause column for LF1 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.4". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.4 P 126 L 10 # 244 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.5 P 126 L 22 # 247 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D FS16 (DATA VALID assertion) is a feature of RS that is mandatory, not optional. RS is not Reference to 117.3 for LF2 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in defined in the major capabilities/options as well. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for FS16 from "RS:M" to "M". Change the subclause column for LF2 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.4.2". Remove "N/A []" from the support column for FS16. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.5 P 126 L 25 # 248 C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.5 P 126 L 20 # 245 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for LF3 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Status should not be conditional for "RS". because RS is mandatory. RS is not defined in 117.3. the major capabilities/options as well. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for LF3 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.4.2". Change the status column for LF1 through LF5 from "RS:M" to "M". Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Remove "N/A []" from the support column for LF1 through LF5.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.5 P 126 # 249 C/ 117 P 126 # 252 L 28 SC 117.5.4.6 L 43 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for LF4 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Reference to 117.3 for L2 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for LF4 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.4.2". Change the subclause column for L2 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.2.4". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 117 SC 117.5.4.5 P 126 L 31 # 250 C/ 117 SC 117.5.3 P 123 L 16 # 253 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Reference to 117.3 for LF5 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Item "LPI" is referenced from items "L1" and "L2" in 117.5.4.6. 117.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert "*" (asterisk) in front of "LPI" in the item column. Change the subclause column for LF5 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.4.2". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.1 P 127 / 29 # 254 SC 117.5.4.6 C/ 117 P 126 L 40 # 251 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Т In Figure 118-1, DTE 200GXS and PHY 200GXS are not distinguished, DTE 400GXS and Reference to 117.3 for L1 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in PHY 400GXS are not distinguished as well. Although their specifications are mostly 117.3. identical, there have clear difference due to the location in the protocol stack. I think we should not omit the prefix "DTE" or "PHY" whenever their distinction is important SuggestedRemedy or effective so as to remind readers of their distinction and labeling. Change the subclause column for L1 from "117.3" to "117.3, 81.3.1.2". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Make the following changes in Figure 118-1: Change the upper "200GXS" with "DTE 200GXS". Change the lower "200GXS" with "PHY 200GXS". Change the upper "400GXS" with "DTE 400GXS". Change the lower "400GXS" with "PHY 400GXS". Add "DTE = DATA TERMINAL EQUIPMENT" at the bottom.

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 254

Response Status O

Page 49 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 118 SC 118.1.2 P 128 # 255 L 15

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type Т Comment Status D

200GXS and 400GXS must be different from 200GBASE-R PCS and 400GBASE-R PCS regarding to IS SIGNAL indication.

However, such a difference is not described anywhere.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the paragraph in 118.1.2 to include the exception about SIGNAL indication.

Add a new subclause for IS SIGNAL.indication for 200GXS/400GXS sublayer. For PHY 200GXS and PHY 400GXS, the direction of IS SIGNAL indication is opposite to PCS. For DTE 200GXS and DTE 400GXS, the direction of IS_SIGNAL indication is same as PCS.

Or, add a new subclause to define the PHY XS service interface that is identical to the PMA service interface except the direction of IS SIGNAL indication that the PMA service interface.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.1.3 P 128 L 21 # 256 Hidaka, Yasuo

Fujitsu Lab of America

It is odd to call 200GAUI-n as physical instantiation of the 200GAUI-n.

Comment Status D

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Comment Type

Change "physical instantiations of the 200GAUI-n" with "physical instantiations of the PMA service interface".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Т

Т

SC 118.1.3 P 128 # 257 C/ 118 L 28

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

It is odd to call 400GAUI-n as physical instantiation of the 400GAUI-n.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Status D

Change "physical instantiations of the 400GAUI-n" with "physical instantiations of the PMA service interface".

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120B SC 120B P 329 # 258 L 1

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

IS SIGNAL indication primitive is mandaory for chip-to-chip 200GAUI-8 and 400GAUI-16, because they are physical instantiations of the PMA service interface, but it is completely missina.

It was also missing in CAUI-4, CAUI-10 and 25GAUI.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a specification of IS SIGNAL indication.

It is a uni-directional signal from lower PMA to upper PMA.

It may refer to 120.5.8 Link status for the detail.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120C SC 120C P 336 L 1 # 259

Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America

Comment Type Comment Status D TR

IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is mandaory for chip-to-module 200GAUI-8 and 400GAUI-16, because they are physical instantiations of the PMA service interface, but it is completely missing.

It was also missing in CAUI-4, CAUI-10, and 25GAUI.

SuggestedRemedy

Add a specification of IS_SIGNAL.indication.

It is a uni-directional signal from lower PMA to upper PMA.

It may refer to 120.5.8 Link status for the detail.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D P 344 # 260 C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P 129 L 5 # 263 L 1 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type TR Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D IS SIGNAL indication primitive is mandaory for chip-to-chip 200GAUI-4 and 400GAUI-8, 118.3 is referred for FEC degraded SER enable, but there is no description of because they are physical instantiations of the PMA service interface, but it is completely FEC degraded SER enable in 118.3. missina. SuggestedRemedy Change "see 118.3" with "see 118.4". It was also missing in CAUI-4, CAUI-10, and 25GAUI. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Add a specification of IS SIGNAL.indication. It is a uni-directional signal from lower PMA to upper PMA. It may refer to 120.5.8 Link status for the detail. C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P 129 L 34 # 264 Hidaka, Yasuo Proposed Response Response Status O Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D declared C/ 120E SC 120E P 358 L 1 # 261 SuggestedRemedy Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America asserted Comment Status D Comment Type TR Proposed Response Response Status O IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive is mandaory for chip-to-module 200GAUI-4 and 400GAUI-8, because they are physical instantiations of the PMA service interface, but it is completely missing. C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P 129 / 39 # 265 It was also missing in CAUI-4, CAUI-10, and 25GAUI. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Add a specification of IS_SIGNAL.indication. its It is a uni-directional signal from lower PMA to upper PMA. It may refer to 120.5.8 Link status for the detail. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O it is Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.2.1 P 128 L 45 # 262 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P 129 L 44 # 266 Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Е 118.3 is referred for FEC_degraded_SER_enable, but there is no description of Comment Type Comment Status D Ε FEC_degraded_SER_enable in 118.3. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "see 118.3" with "see 118.4". it is Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 266

Page 51 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 118 SC 118.2.2 P 130 # 267 C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 132 L 35 # 270 L 26 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D It seems that "PHY XS" should be "DTE XS". Table 118-2 has a column of "PCS register name", although this is a table for PHY XS. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "PHY XS" with "DTE XS". Change "PCS register name" in the header row of Table 118-2 with "PHY XS register name". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 130 L 40 # 268 C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 132 L 49 # 271 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D "MDIO" is used twice. No prefix of "PHY XS". Inconsistent from other rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "MDIO PHY XS and DTE XS MDIO status bits" with "MDIO PHY XS and DTE XS status bits". Change "FEC corrected codewords" in the column of MDIO status variable with "PHY XS FEC corrected codewords. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 132 17 # 269 C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 132 L 51 # 272 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Table 118-1 has a column of "PCS register name", although this is a table for PHY XS. No prefix of "PHY XS". Inconsistent from other rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "PCS register name" in the header row of Table 118-1 with "PHY XS register Change "FEC uncorrected codewords" in the column of MDIO status variable with "PHY name". XS FEC uncorrected codewords. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 133 # 273 C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 134 # 276 L 4 L 18 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Table 118-2 has a column of "PCS register name", although this is a table for PHY XS. No prefix of "DTE XS". Inconsistent from other rows. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "PCS register name" in the header row of Table 118-2 with "PHY XS register Change "FEC corrected codewords" in the column of MDIO status variable with "DTE XS FEC corrected codewords. name". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 133 L 24 # 274 C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 134 L 20 # 277 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D No prefix of "DTE XS". Inconsistent from other rows. Table 118-3 has a column of "PCS register name", although this is a table for DTE XS. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "FEC uncorrected codewords" in the column of MDIO status variable with "DTE Change "PCS register name" in the header row of Table 118-3 with "DTE XS register name". XS FEC uncorrected codewords. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.4 P 134 L 4 C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 # 275 L 6 # 278 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Ε Table 118-4 has a column of "PCS register name", although this is a table for DTE XS. A reference to 118.1 may be helpful for item "CCE200". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "PCS register name" in the header row of Table 118-4 with "DTE XS register Change the subclause column for CCE200 from "117, 119.1.4.1" to "117, 118.1, 119.1.4.1". name". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 # 279 C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 # 282 L 8 L 6 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D A reference to 118.1 may be helpful for item "CDE400". The item name "CCE200" is inconsistent with PICS in other clauses. SuggestedRemedy The following item names are used for GMII support in other clauses: Change the subclause column for CCE200 from "117, 119.1.4.1" to "117, 118.1, 119.1.4.1". XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 48) XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 49) Proposed Response Response Status 0 XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 55) XGE40 XLGMII is supported (Clause 82) XGE100 CGMII is supported (Clause 82) C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 25GE 25GMII is supported (Clause 107) L 11 # 280 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America SugaestedRemedy Change the item column for CCE200 from "CCE200" to "200GE". Comment Type Т Comment Status D A reference to 119.1.1 may be inappropriate for item "200GXS". Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for 200GXS from "119.1.1" to "118.1". C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 L 8 # 283 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D The item name "CDE400" is inconsistent with PICS in other clauses. C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 L 13 # 281 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America The following item names are used for GMII support in other clauses: Comment Status D XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 48) Comment Type T XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 49) A reference to 119.1.1 may be inappropriate for item "400GXS". XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 55) XGE40 XLGMII is supported (Clause 82) SuggestedRemedy XGE100 CGMII is supported (Clause 82) Change the subclause column for 400GXS from "119.1.1" to "118.1". 25GE 25GMII is supported (Clause 107) Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change the item column for CDE400 from "CDE400" to "400GE". Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 # 284 C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 # 286 L 14 L 26 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D We need items to distinguish distinctive feature of PHY XS and DTE XS. JTM is mandatory. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" from the support column for JTM. Insert the following two items after 400GXS: Proposed Response Response Status O Item: *PHYXS Feature: PHY 200GXS or PHY 400GXS Subclause: 118.1 Value/Comment: (blank) C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.2 P 137 L 20 # 287 Status: O/2 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Support: Yes [] No [] Comment Type E Comment Status D Item: *DTEXS Item RF5 depends on the option item BI. Feature: DTE 200GXS or DTE 400GXS Subclause: 118.1 SuggestedRemedy Value/Comment: (blank) Add "N/A []" to the support column for RF5. Status: O/2 Proposed Response Response Status O Support: Yes [] No [] Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.2 P 137 # 288 L 25 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America C/ 118 SC 118.5.3 P 136 L 25 # 285 Comment Type E Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Status D Item RF5 depends on the option item BI. Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 118.5.5 for JTM is inappropriate, because 118.5.5 is a PICS clause. SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for RF6. SuggestedRemedy Change the subclause column for JTM from "118.5.5" to "119.2.1, 119.2.4.9". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.3 P 138 # 289 L7 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Choice of "No []" is given for mandatory items C1 through C9. SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" from the support column for C1 through C9. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 289

Page 55 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.3 P 138 # 290 C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.3 P 138 L 37 # 293 L 22 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Reference to 119.2.3.5 for C7 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in Choice of "No []" is given for mandatory items S1 and S2. 119.2.3.5. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" from the support column for S1 and S2. Change the subclause column for C7 from "119.2.3.5" to "119.2.3.5, 82.2.3.6". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.5 P 139 L 7 # 294 C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.3 P 138 L 24 # 291 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Choice of "No []" is given for mandatory items AM1 and AM2. Reference to 119.2.3.5 for C8 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in SuggestedRemedy 119.2.3.5. Remove "No []" from the support column for AM1 and AM2. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change the subclause column for C8 from "119.2.3.5" to "119.2.3.5, 82.2.3.6". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.5 P 139 L 12 # 295 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.3 P 138 L 27 # 292 Comment Type E Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Item AM3 depends on the option item MD. Comment Type Comment Status D Т SuggestedRemedy Reference to 119.2.3.8 for C9 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 119.2.3.8. Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for AM3. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Change the subclause column for C9 from "119.2.3.8" to "119.2.3.8, 82.2.3.9".

Response Status O

Proposed Response

297

C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.5 P 139 # 296 L 13 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Alignment marker shall be removed prior to descrambling (119.2.5.5, P162, L46).

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following item after AM3:

Item: AM4

Feature: Alignment marker removal

Subclause: 119.2.5.5

Value/Comment: Alignment markers are removed prior to descrambling as described in

119.2.5.5 Status: M Support: Yes []

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.5.4.5 P 139 L 21 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type E Comment Status D

JT1 is mandatory.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "No []" and "N/A []" in the support column for JT1.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 118 SC 118.5.6

L 44

298

Hidaka, Yasuo

Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D Mapping of MDIO register bits are mandatory.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following items after M1:

Item: M2

Feature: Mapping of MDIO control bits and MDIO status bits for PHY 200GXS or PHY

P 139

400GXS

Sub clause: 118.4

Value/Comment: Table 118-1 and Table 118-2

Status: MD*PHYXS:M Support: Yes []

Item: M3

Feature: Mapping of MDIO control bits and MDIO status bits for DTE 200GXS or DTE

400GXS Sub clause: 118.4

Value/Comment: Table 118-3 and Table 118-4

Status: MD*DTEXS:M Support: Yes []

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.5.5.1 P 139

L 32

299

Hidaka, Yasuo

Fuiltsu Lab of America

Comment Type Comment Status D

B1 is mandatory. SuggestedRemedy

Remove "No []" in the support column for B1.

Proposed Response

Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 299

Page 57 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.1 P 140 # 300 C/ 120 P 199 # 303 L 7 SC 120.5.11.2.5 L 46 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D SM1 is mandatory for 200GXS. I think bit sequence B is a 65534-bit sequence (not 65535-bit sequence), because it is formed by removing two bits from two repetation of bit sequence A that is a 32768-bit SuggestedRemedy sequence. Change "No []" in the support column for SM1 with "N/A []". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change "65535-bit" with "65534-bit". Proposed Response Response Status O SC 120.5.11.2.4 P 198 # 301 C/ 120 L 26 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 200 L4 # 304 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America The restriction of error counter "for isolated single bit errors" implicates that it does not Comment Type T Comment Status D increment for burst errors. It seems contradictory to the next sentence which says it should count at least one error whenever one or more errors occur in a sliding 1000-bit window. PAM4 sequence 4 must be a 16384-symbol sequence, not a 16364-symbol sequence. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Remove the phrase of "for isolated single bit errors" at the end of the sentence which begin Change "16364-symbol" with "16384-symbol". with "The checker shall increment" in the second paragraph of 120.5.11.2.4. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 200 L 10 # 305 C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 199 L 44 # 302 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D The skew requirement between lanes should be defined but not defined for SSPRQ. A reference to Figure 49-7 is inappropriate, because Figure 49-7 is 64B/66B block format. It should be defined to avoid the aggressor of the crosstalk being synchronous to the lane under measurement. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the reference to Figure 49-7 with a reference to Figure 49-9. Define the requirement for the skew between lanes. Proposed Response Response Status O Or, alternatively, separate the test control for SSPRQ from other test patterns and make it lane-by-lane in a similar way to Square wave testing control, which allows us to run PRBS13Q or PRBS31Q on other lanes.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 305

Define the priority between square wave and SSPRQ.

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Page 58 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 120 SC 120.6 P 200 # 306 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 15 # 309 L 21 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D MMD addresses 11 is also available for PMA. The PMD is not necessarily the adjacent sublayer under the PMA. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "MMD 8, 9, and 10" with "MMD 8, 9, 10, and 11". Change the feature column for LNS_DNSTRM from "Number of lanes in direction of PMD" to "Number of lanes in the service interface below the PMA". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.6 P 200 L 28 # 307 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 16 # 310 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D MMD addresses 11 is also available for PMA. No space between "4" and "[]". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "MMDs 8, 9, and 10" with "MMDs 8, 9, 10, and 11". Insert a white space between "4" and "[]". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 11 # 308 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 / 19 # 311 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Type E Comment Status D In direction of PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is No space between "4" and "[]". 200GXS or 400GXS. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the feature column for LNS_UPSTRM from "Number of lanes in direction of PCS" Insert a white space between "4" and "[]". to "Number of lanes in the PMA service interface". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status 0

C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 20 # 312

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Capability/option items for NRZ or PAM4 in the PMA service interface is useful to simplify the PICS.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following items after LNS DNSTRM:

Item: UP_NRZ

Feature: Lane count supported in the PMA service interface above the PMA

Subclause: 120.1.4

Value/Comment: 8 lanes for 200GBASE-R PMA or 16 lanes for 400GBASE-R PMA

Status: 0.2

Support: Yes [] No []

Item: UP PAM4

Feature: Lane count supported in the PMA service interface above the PMA

Subclause: 120.1.4

Value/Comment: 4 lanes for 200GBASE-R PMA or 8 lanes for 400GBASE-R PMA

Status: 0.2

Support: Yes [] No []

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 20 # 313

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Capability/option items for NRZ or PAM4 in the service interface below the PMA is useful to simplify the PICS.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following items after LNS DNSTRM:

Item: DN_NRZ

Feature: Lane count supported in the service interface below the PMA

Subclause: 120.1.4

Value/Comment: 8 lanes for 200GBASE-R PMA or 16 lanes for 400GBASE-R PMA

Status: 0.3

Support: Yes [] No []

Item: DN PAM4

Feature: Lane count supported in the service interface below the PMA

Subclause: 120.1.4

Value/Comment: 4 lanes for 200GBASE-R PMA or 4 or 8 lanes for 400GBASE-R PMA

Status: 0.3

Support: Yes [] No []

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 22 # 314

Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America

Comment Type E Comment Status D

RX_CLOCK is mandatory.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "No []" in the support column for RX_CLOCK.

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 314

Page 60 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

SC 120.7.3 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 # 315 C/ 120 P 206 # 318 L 24 L 35 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D TX CLOCK is mandatory only if either PMA200 or PMA400 is supported. Test pattern is an optional feature if the PMA service interface above the PMA or the service interface below the PMA includes physically instantiated 200GAUI-n. 400GAUI-n. SuggestedRemedy or the PMD service interface (whether or not physically instantiated). See 120.5.11, P194, Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for TX CLOCK (two locations). L33. Proposed Response SuggestedRemedy Response Status 0 Change the status column for JTP from "O" to "PINST:O". Insert the following item before JTP: C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 30 # 316 Item: *PINST Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Feature: The PMA service interface above the PMA or the service interface below the PMA Subclause: 120.5.11 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Value/Comment: Include physically instantiated 200GAUI-n, 400GAUI-n, or the PMD LANE_MAPPING is mandatory service interface (whether or not physically instantiated). SuggestedRemedy Status: O Support: Yes [] No [] Remove "No []" in the support column for LANE_MAPPING. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 40 # 319 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 # 317 L 33 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Ε PMA local loopback is not conditional option. LNKS is mandatory SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "N/A []" in the support column for LBL. Remove "No []" in the support column for LNKS. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 43 # 320 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Ε PMA remote loopback is not conditional option. SuggestedRemedy Remove "N/A []" in the support column for LBR. Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 320

Page 61 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

CI 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 L 47 # 321
Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

USP1SP6 is not a proper condition for some conditional mandatory features.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace USP1SP6 with the following items:

Item: *UP_PINST

Feature: PMA service interface above PMA

Subclause: 120.5.1, 120.5.5

Value/Comment: Physically instantiated 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n

Status: O

Support: Yes [] No []

Item: *USP1

Feature: PMA service interface above PMA

Subclause: 120.5.3.2

Value/Comment: Physically instantiated 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n that is closest to PMD

(SP1 in Figure 116-4 and 116-5)

Status: O

Support: Yes [] No []

Item: *USP6

Feature: PMA service interface above PMA

Subclause: 120.5.3.5

Value/Comment: Physically instantiated 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n that is closest to PCS

(SP6 in Figure 116-4 and 116-5)

Status: O

Support: Yes [] No []

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.7.3

P **206**

L 51

322

Hidaka, Yasuo

Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

DSP1SP6 is not a proper condition for some conditional mandatory features.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace DSP1SP6 with the following items:

Item: *DN_PINST

Feature: Service interface below PMA

Subclause: 120.5.3.1, 120.5.5

Value/Comment: Physically instantiated 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n

Status: O

Support: Yes [] No []

Item: *DSP1

Feature: Service interface below PMA

Subclause: 120.5.3.1

Value/Comment: Physically instantiated 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n that is closest to PMD

(SP1 in Figure 116-4 and 116-5)

Status: O

Support: Yes [] No []

Item: *DSP6

Feature: Service interface below PMA

Subclause: 120.5.3.6

Value/Comment: Physically instantiated 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n that is closest to PCS

(SP6 in Figure 116-4 and 116-5)

Status: O

Support: Yes [] No []

Proposed Response Re

Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.7.3

P 207 L 5

323

Hidaka, Yasuo

Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

SP1 and SP6 are not only the cases to apply 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n to UNAUI. UNAUI is mandatory whenever the upper interface is 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the status column for UNAUI from "USP1SP6:M" to "UP_PINST:M".

Proposed Response

Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 323

Page 62 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.1 P 140 # 324 C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.2 P 140 # 327 L 10 L 34 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D SM2 is mandatory for 400GXS. When the 200GXS or 400GXS is in loopback, it shall ignore all data presented to it by the PMA sublaver. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" in the support column for SM2 with "N/A []". Insert the following item after L2: Proposed Response Response Status 0 Item: L3 Feature: When in loopback, ignore all data presented by the PMA sublayer. Subclause: 119.4 SC 118.5.6.1 P 140 C/ 118 L 13 # 325 Status: M Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Support: Yes [] Comment Type Т Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status O The SLIP functions evaluates all possible block "positions" rather than all possible "blocks". SuggestedRemedy C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.2 P 140 L 29 # 328 Change the feature column for SM3 from "The SLIP function evaluates all possible blocks" to "The SLIP function evaluates all possible block positions". Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status D Comment Type L1 is mandatory. SuggestedRemedy P 140 C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.1 / 13 # 326 Remove "No []" and "N/A [] in the support column for L1. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status D SM3 through SM6 are mandatory. SuggestedRemedy P 140 C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.2 L 33 # 329 Remove "No []" in the support column for SM3 through SM6. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status 0 Comment Type Comment Status D L2 is mandatory. SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" in the support column for L2. Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 118 SC 118.5.6.3 P 140 L 43 # 330 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Ε Comment Status D TIM1 is conditional mandatory only if 200GXS is supported. SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for TIM1. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SC 118.5.6.3 P 140 C/ 118 L 46 # 331 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Status D

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **D**TIM2 is conditional mandatory only if 400GXS is supported.

TIM2 is conditional mandatory only if 400GXS is supported

Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for TIM2.

Proposed Response Status O

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Since a transfer on a PCS lane is always done by 1 bit per transfer, Gb/s is more easy to understand Gtransfer/s.

SuggestedRemedy

SuggestedRemedy

Change "26.5625 Gtransfer/s on each of 8 PCS lanes" with "26.5625 Gb/s on each of 8 PCS lanes" at L54 on P141.

Also change "26.5625 Gtransfer/s on each of 16 PCS lanes" with "26.5625 Gb/s on each of 16 PCS lanes" at L30 on P142.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.1.4.1 P142 L39 # 333

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The PCS client is not the Reconciliation Sublayer, if there is an optional 200GMII Extender or 400GMII Extender.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The PCS client is the Reconciliation Sublayer." with the following:

If there is no optional 200GMII Extender or 400GMII Extender, the PCS client is the Reconciliation Sublayer.

If there is an optional 200GMII Extender, the PCS client is a PHY 200GXS Sublayer. If there is an optional 400GMII Extender, the PCS client is a PHY 400GXS Sublayer.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.3.7 P146 L 27 # 334

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

There is a reference to 82.2.3.8 which may need a maintenance.

In the second sentence of 82.2.3.8, it is written as the /T/ can occur on any octet of the XLGMII/CGMII and "within" any character of the block. This sentence is inappropriate, because it implicates that the /T/ can occur on "any bit" of the block, although the packet must be always an integer multiple of octets.

It is recommended to avoid a reference to 82.2.3.8.

The following clauses have the same problem:

49.2.4.9 55.3.2.2.12

00.0.2.2.

82.2.3.8

113.3.2.2.12 (802.3bg)

SuggestedRemedy

Copy the paragraph of 82.2.3.8 here.

Remove "within" in front of "any character".

Change "XLGMII/CGMII" with "200GMII/400GMII".

Proposed Response Response Status O

332

Comment Type E Comment Status D

A reference for the transmit state diagram is missing.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert "shown in Figure 119-14" after "the transmit state diagram".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.2 P147 L 28 # 336

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type E Comment Status D

"from" does not make sense.

91.5.2.5 has the same problem.

Т

SuggestedRemedy

Change "from" with "form".

Proposed Response Status O

Comment Status D

Tidata, Tabab of Amon

It is not good to call tx_xcoded<256:0> as "payload", because tx_xcoded<0> is a tag bit and the actual "payload" is tx_xcoded<256:1>.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change "payload" with "transcoded 257-bit block".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.3 P 149

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The scrambler in 49.2.6 scrambles only the payload of the block, whereas the scrambler in this clause scrambles the whole 257-bit block, not only the payload.

L 4

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the second sentence in 119.2.4.3 as follows:

The scrambler is identical to the scrambler used in Clause 49 excepting that the whole 257-bit block is scrambled instead of the payload. See 49.2.6 for the definition of the scrambler.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.4.4 P149 L9 # 339

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The first paragraph of 119.2.4.4 is not well written. It is hard to follow, because a reference to 91.5.2.6 is useless (it is so different) and there is unnecessarily detail from the third sentence.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the two sentences "In order ... 91.5.2.6", and insert a new paragraph at the beginning of 119.2.4.4 which is a modified version of the first paragraph of 91.5.2.6. Avoid a reference to 91.5.2.6. The following is an example:

In order to support deskew and reordering of the individual PCS lanes at the receive PCS, alignment markers corresponding to PCS lanes are periodically inserted after being processed by the alignment marker mapping function.

The alignment marker mapping function compensates for the operation of the symbol distribution function defined in 119.2.4.7 and rearranges the alignment marker bits so that they appear on the FEC lanes intact and in the desired sequence. This preserves the properties of the alignment markers (e.g. DC balance, transition density) and provides a deterministic pattern for the purpose of synchronization. The RS-FEC receive function uses knowledge of this mapping to determine the FEC lane that is received on a given lane of the PMA service interface, to compensate for skew between FEC lanes, and to identify RS-FEC codewoard boundaries.

Proposed Response Response Status O

338

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4 P 149 # 340 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2 P 151 L 33 # 343 L 39 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D The first 48 bits are not identical, because the first 48 bits include UP0 that is different It is not clear where am mapped<1027:0> is inserted to. between PCS lanes. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert "to the output stream" after "inserted". Change "the first 48 bits" with "CM0 through CM5". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4.1 P 150 L 34 # 344 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4 P 149 L 41 # 341 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Two ways should be written in a parallel form. When this clause is referenced from XS, this is not the PMA service interface in the SuggestedRemedy context of PHY XS, because PMA is the upper sublayer that receives the service, not the lower sublayer that provides the service. Make a new paragraph starting at "For a 10280-bit block". Remove an empty line after "group inserted:" to make it a single paragraph. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "at the PMA service interface" with "the service interface between PMA and PCS". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 P 151 SC 119.2.4.4.2 / 35 # 345 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America P 150 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4.1 L 31 # 342 Comment Type E Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Two ways should be written in a parallel form. Comment Type T Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy It is not clear where am_mapped<1027:0> is inserted to. Make a new paragraph starting at "For a 10280-bit block". SuggestedRemedy Remove an empty line after "group inserted:" to make it a single paragraph. Insert "to the output stream" after "inserted". Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Proposed Response

Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.5 P 155 # 346 C/ 119 P 161 # 349 L 32 SC 119.2.5.2 L 37 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D Distributing the data to two FEC code words is a mandatory feature for TF5 of PICS. It is not clear what is "proper order". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "performs" in front of "a 10-bit symbol round robin distribution" with "shall perform". Change "in the proper order" with "in the proper order based on PCS lane mapping<x> assigned in 2_GOOD state of the alignment marker lock state diagram (see Figure 119-Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O SC 119.2.4.9 P 161 # 347 C/ 119 L 3 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.6 P 162 L 50 # 350 Comment Type Т Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Generating a scrambled idle test pattern is a mandatory feature for JT1 of PICS. Comment Type T Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy It is not good to call rx_xcoded<256:0> as "payload", because rx_xcoded<0> is a tag bit and the actual "payload" is rx xcoded<256:1>. Change "PCS has" with "PCS shall have". SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change "payload" with "received 257-bit block". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.9 P 161 L 6 # 348 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America C/ 119 P 162 SC 119.2.5.6 L 53 # 351 Comment Type Т Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America It is not clear whether the alignment markers are inserted or not in the test-pattern mode. I think it should be so that the receive PCS can align and deskew the PCS lanes. Comment Type T Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy The descrambler in 49.2.10 descrambles only the payload of the block, whereas the descrambler in this clause descrambles the whole 257-bit block, not only the payload. Change "transcoded, scrambled and encapsulated by the FEC" with "transcoded, scrambled, inserted with alignment makers, and encapsulated by the FEC". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Replace the second sentence in 119.2.5.6 as follows: The descrambler is identical to that used in Clause 49 excepting that the whole 257-bit block is descrambled instead of the payload. See 49.2.10 for the definition of the descrambler.

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 351

Response Status O

Page 67 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.3 P 149 # 352 C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.2.2 P 165 L 42 # 356 L 3 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Scrambler is a mandatory feature for S1 of PICS, but "shall" is missing. "The PCS alignment process" is not defined. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "is scrambled" with "shall be scrambled". Change "the PCS alignment process" with "the PCS synchronization process". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.2.5.6 P 162 C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.2.2 P 165 L 50 # 353 L 42 # 357 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Descrambler is a mandatory feature for S2 of PICS, but "shall" is missing. It seems that this is not to reset the synchroization process. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "is descrambled" with "shall be descrambled". Change "reset the synchronization process" with "restart the alignment marker lock process". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.2.2 P 165 L 11 # 354 C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.2.2 P 166 18 # 358 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Type T Comment Status D "The PCS alignment process" is not defined. SLIP is not requested by "the synchronization state diagram", but requested by "the SuggestedRemedy alignment marker lock state diagram". Change "the PCS alignment process" with "the PCS synchronization process". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Change "the synchronization state digaram" with "the alignment marker lock state diagram". Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.2.2 P 165 L 12 # 355 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D "The deskew process" is not defined.

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change "the deskew process" with "the PCS synchronization process".

Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.2.3 P 166 # 359 C/ 119 P 168 # 362 L 34 SC 119.2.6.3 L 17 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type т Comment Status D It is not correct to send tx_coded<65:2> to the scrambler or to bypass the sync header. It is not clear which block is processed, e.g. 64B66B block or 256B257B block. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "of which tx_coded<65:2> is sent to the scrambler. The two bits of the sync header Change "for each transmit block processed" with "for each transfer on the bypass the scrambler." with "which is sent to the 64B/66B to 256B/257B transcoder". 200GMII/400GMII interface in the transmit direction". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.3 P 168 L 6 # 360 C/ 119 SC 119.2.6.3 P 168 L 22 # 363 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D It may be discouraged to write "the number of the PCS lane", because it is easy to be It is not clear which block is processed, e.g. 64B66B block or 256B257B block. confused with "the number of the PCS lanes", which I believe not correct. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "for each transmit block processed" with "for each transfer on the Change "the number of PCS lane" with "the PCS lane number". 200GMII/400GMII interface in the receive direction". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 SC 119.2.6.3 C/ 119 C/ 119 P 168 L 13 # 361 SC 119.2.6.2.2 P 165 L 31 # 364 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Type т There is no synchronization lock. Also, what is restarted is "process", not "lock". A variable PCS_lane_mapping<x> is used in 2_GOOD state of alignment marker lock state diagram, but it is not defined. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Synchronization lock, along with alignment marker lock, are restarted" with Add a definition of PCS_lane_mapping<x> after pcs_lane something like: "Synchronization process, along with alignment marker lock process, are restarted". Proposed Response Response Status O PCS lane mapping<x> A variable that holds the value of pcs_lane.

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.3 P 173 L 4 # 365 C/ 119 SC 119.6.3 P 177 L 8 # 368 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D A grammer error. The item name "CDE400" is inconsistent with PICS in other clauses. SuggestedRemedy The following item names are used for GMII support in other clauses: Change "be provided" with "is provided". XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 48) XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 49) Proposed Response Response Status 0 XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 55) XGE40 XLGMII is supported (Clause 82) XGE100 CGMII is supported (Clause 82) C/ 119 SC 119.3.1 P 174 25GE 25GMII is supported (Clause 107) L 23 # 366 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America SugaestedRemedy Change the item column for CDE400 from "CDE400" to "400GE". Comment Type Ε Comment Status D A range of the lane number should not include an unspecified index variable "i". Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Change "lane 0 to i" with "lane 0 to 15" in the column of MDIO status variable and the C/ 119 SC 119.6.3 P 177 L 24 # 369 column of PCS register name. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status D A reference to 119.6.5 is inappropriate, because 119.6.5 is a PICS clause. C/ 119 SC 119.6.3 P 177 16 # 367 SuggestedRemedy Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Change the subclause column for JTM from "119.6.5" to "119.2.1". Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status 0 The item name "CDE200" is inconsistent with PICS in other clauses. The following item names are used for GMII support in other clauses: C/ 119 SC 119.6.3 P 177 L 25 # 370 XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 48) XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 49) Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America XGE XGMII is supported (Clause 55) Comment Type Ε Comment Status D XGE40 XLGMII is supported (Clause 82) XGE100 CGMII is supported (Clause 82) JTM is mandatory. 25GE 25GMII is supported (Clause 107) SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" in the support column for JTM. Change the item column for CDE200 from "CDE200" to "200GE". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 370

Page 70 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.2 P 178 L 22 # 371 C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.3 P 179 L 24 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D RF5 is mandatory only if BI is supported. Reference to 119.2.3.5 for C8 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 119.2.3.5. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for RF5. Change the subclause column for C8 from "119.2.3.5" to "119.2.3.5, 82.2.3.6". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.2 P 178 L 27 # 372 C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.3 P 179 L 27 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D RF6 is mandatory only if BI is supported. Reference to 119.2.3.8 for C9 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in SuggestedRemedy 119.2.3.8. Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for RF6. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change the subclause column for C9 from "119.2.3.8" to "119.2.3.8, 82.2.3.9". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.3 P 179 L7 # 373 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Choice of "No []" is given for mandatory items C1 through C9. SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" from the support column for C1 through C9. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.3 P 179 L 22 # 374 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Reference to 119.2.3.5 for C7 is not helpful, because there is no much detail description in 119.2.3.5.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Change the subclause column for C7 from "119.2.3.5" to "119.2.3.5, 82.2.3.6".

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Comment ID 376

Page 71 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

375

376

SC 119.6.4.4 C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.3 P 179 # 377 C/ 119 P 179 # 379 L 29 L 39 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D If EEE has not been negotiated, LPI shall not be transmitted and shall be treated as an Descrambler is mandatory. error if received. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" from the support column for S2. Change "EEE" with "*EEE" (insert *) in the PICS table in clause 119.6.3. Proposed Response Response Status O Insert the following items after C9: Item: C10 Feature: If EEE has not been negotiated. LPI is not transmitted. C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.5 P 180 L 7 # 380 Subclause: 119.2.3.3 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Value/Comment: (blank) Status: EEE:M Comment Type E Comment Status D Support: Yes [] N/A [] AM1 is mandatory. Item: C11 SuggestedRemedy Feature: If EEE has not been negotiated, LPI is treated as an error if received. Remove "No []" from the support column for AM1. Subclause: 119.2.3.3 Proposed Response Value/Comment: (blank) Response Status O Status: EEE:M Support: Yes [] N/A [] Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.5 P 180 L 10 # 381 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.4 P 179 L 37 # 378 AM2 is mandatory. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Comment Status D Remove "No []" from the support column for AM2. Scrambler is mandatory. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" from the support column for S1. Proposed Response C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.5 P 180 Response Status 0 L 12 # 382 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D AM3 is mandatory only if MD is supported. SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for AM3. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 382

Page 72 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 119 SC 119.6.4.5 P 180 # 383 C/ 118 SC 118.5.5.1 P 139 # 386 L 13 L 26 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Alignment marker shall be removed prior to descrambling (119.2.5.5, P162, L46). It is odd to have "118.5.5.1 Bit order" as a sub clause of "118.5.5 Test-pattern modes". SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Raise the level of subclause "118.5.5.1 Bit order", and renumber subclauses. Insert the following item after AM3: Proposed Response Response Status O Item: AM4 Feature: Alignment marker removal Subclause: 119.2.5.5 Value/Comment: Alignment markers are removed prior to descrambling as described in C/ 119 SC 119.6.5.1 P 180 L 26 # 387 119.2.5.5 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Status: M Support: Yes [] Comment Type Ε Comment Status D It is odd to have "119.6.5.1 Bit order" as a sub clause of "119.6.5 Test-pattern modes". Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Raise the level of subclause "119.6.5.1 Bit order", and renumber subclauses. C/ 119 SC 119.6.5 P 180 # 384 L 21 Proposed Response Response Status O Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type E Comment Status D JT1 is mandatory. C/ 119 SC 119.6.6 P 180 L 44 # 388 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" and "N/A []" from the support column for JT1. Comment Type T Comment Status D Mapping of MDIO register bits are mandatory. Proposed Response Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Insert the following items after M1: C/ 119 SC 119.6.5.1 P 180 L 32 # 385 Fujitsu Lab of America Item: M2 Hidaka, Yasuo Feature: Mapping of MDIO control bits and MDIO status bits Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Sub clause: 119.3.1 B1 is mandatory. Value/Comment: Table 119-4 and Table 119-5 Status: MD:M SuggestedRemedy Support: Yes [] N/A [] Remove "No []" from the support column for B1. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.1 P 181 # 389 C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.2 P 181 # 393 L 13 L 34 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D The SLIP functions evaluates all possible block "positions" rather than all possible "blocks". When the PCS is in loopback, it shall ignore all data presented to it by the PMA sublayer. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the feature column for SM3 from "The SLIP function evaluates all possible blocks" Insert the following item after L2: to "The SLIP function evaluates all possible block positions". Item: L3 Proposed Response Response Status O Feature: When in loopback, ignore all data presented by the PMA sublayer. Subclause: 119.4 Status: M C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.1 P 181 L 7 # 390 Support: Yes [] Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Ε Comment Status D SM1 is mandatory for PCS200. C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.2 P 181 # 394 L 29 SuggestedRemedy Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Change "No []" in the support column for SM1 with "N/A []". Comment Type Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status O L1 is mandatory. SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" and "N/A [] in the support column for L1. C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.1 P 181 / 10 # 391 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type E Comment Status D SM2 is mandatory for PCS400. C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.2 P 181 L 33 # 395 SuggestedRemedy Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Change "No []" in the support column for SM2 with "N/A []". Comment Type Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status O L2 is mandatory. SuggestedRemedy Remove "No []" in the support column for L2. C/ 119 SC 119.6.6.1 P 181 L 13 # 392 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Status D Comment Type Ε SM3 through SM6 are mandatory.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Remove "No []" in the support column for SM3 through SM6.

Response Status O

Comment ID 395

Page 74 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 120 SC 120.1.2 P 182 # 396 C/ 120 SC 120.1.4 P 183 # 399 L 28 L 41 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D A period is missing. A description for 200GAUI-n is missing. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a period. Change "MMD 8 addressing the PMA sublayer above the 400GAUI-8 below the 400GAUI-16" with "MMD 8 addressing the PMA sublayer above the 200GAUI-4 below the 200GAUI-Proposed Response Response Status O 8 or above the 400GAUI-8 below the 400GAUI-16". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.1.4 P 183 L 34 # 397 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America C/ 120 SC 120.1.4 P 184 L 47 # 400 Comment Type Т Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America MMD addresses 11 is also available for PMA. Comment Type T Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Maximum 5 PMAs (i.e MMD 1, 8, 9, 10, and 11) are addressable. Change "1, 8, 9, and 10" with "1, 8, 9, 10, and 11". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change "maximum of four" with "maximum of five". Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.1.4 P 183 L 39 # 398 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America C/ 120 SC 120.2 P 184 L 52 # 401 Comment Status D Comment Type T Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America "Towards the PCS" is ambiguous, because some PMA for XS is between RS and PCS. Comment Type T Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy The word "signals" in the sentence may be unnecessary and/or inappropriate. Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Remove "signals". Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 120 SC 120.2 P 184 # 402 C/ 120 SC 120.2 P 186 # 406 L 53 L 10 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D A bit mux function is applied to input/output lanes, not input/output lane counts. Instead of PMD, the PMA may be adjacent to PHY 200GXS or PHY 400GXS. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "lane counts" with "lanes". Change "adjacent to the PMD" with "adjacent to the PMD, PHY 200GXS, or PHY 400GXS". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.2 P 185 # 403 C/ 120 SC 120.2 P 186 L 1 L 42 # 407 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D DTE 200GXS or DTE 400GXS will not be below PMA. If the input and the output have the same number of lanes, PMA does not have to employ any mux. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "200GXS" with "PHY 200GXS". Change "employs" with "may employ". Change "400GXS" with "PHY 400GXS". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 P 185 C/ 120 C/ 120 SC 120.2 / 48 # 404 SC 120.3 P 187 / 10 # 408 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D A period is missing in a note in Figure 120-4. The primitives are defined for each PMA service interface, not for each PMA sublayer. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add a period after "an output PCSL position". Change "For a PMA with p planes at the PMA service interface" with "For a PMA service terface with p planes". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status 0 SC 120.2 # 405 C/ 120 P 186 L 9 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Т Instead of PCS, the PMA may be adjacent to DTE 200GXS or DTE 400GXS. SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Change "adjacent to the PCS" with "adjacent to the PCS, DTE 200GXS, or DTE 400GXS".

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Comment ID 408

Page 76 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 120 SC 120.3 P 187 # 409 C/ 120 SC 120.4 P 188 # 412 L 12 L 16 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D The PMA client may be DTE 200GXS or DTE 400GXS instead of PCS. The status indicates a good signal "being received" (not sent) by the sublayer below the PMA on the interface further below. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "PCS" with "PCS, DTE 200GXS, or DTE 400GXS" on line 12 and line 13. Change "sent" with "being received". Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.3 P 187 # 410 L 34 SC 120.4 C/ 120 P 188 L 18 # 413 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D The paragraph starting "In the Rx direction" is not well written. Double use of "that" is The paragraph starting "In the Tx direction" is not well written. Double use of "that" is discouraged. discouraged. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Rewrite the paragraph as follows: Rewrite the paragraph as follows: In the Rx direction, when data is being received from the sublayer below the PMA on every input lane associated with an output lane, received bits are routed through the PMA to the In the Tx direction, when data is being received from the PMA client at the PMA service output lane at the PMA service interface, and symbols are transferred over the output lane interface (see 120.3) on every input lane associated with an output lane, received bits are routed through the PMA to the output lane at the service interface below the PMA, and to the PMA client via the PMA:IS UNITDATA i.indication primitive. symbols are transferred over the output lane to the sublayer below the PMA via the If necessary, buffers are filled to allow tolerating the Skew Variation that may appear between the input lanes. PCSLs are demultiplexed from the input lanes, remultiplexed to inst:IS_UNITDATA_i.request primitive. If necessary, buffers are filled to allow tolerating the Skew Variation that may appear the output lanes, and PAM4 symbols are converted to pairs of bits on the input lanes between the input lanes, PCSLs are demultiplexed from the input lanes, remultiplexed to and/or pairs of bits are converted to PAM4 symbols on the output lanes. the output lanes, and PAM4 symbols are converted to pairs of bits on the input lanes Proposed Response Response Status O and/or pairs of bits are converted to PAM4 symbols on the output lanes. Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 120.4 P 187 C/ 120 L 53 # 411 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Status D Comment Type Т

PHY 200GXS and PHY 400GXS may also appear below PMA.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "the PMD or another PMA" with "the PMD, PHY 200GXS, PHY 400GXS, or another PMA".

Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type Т Comment Status D Which service interface is not clear.

SC 120.5.1

SuggestedRemedy

C/ 120

Hidaka, Yasuo

Change "the service interface" with "the service interface below the PMA".

P 189

Fujitsu Lab of America

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 414

L 7

Page 77 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

414

C/ 120 SC 120.5.2 P 189 # 415 C/ 120 SC 120.5.2 P 190 L 39 # 419 L 35 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D z/m is not the number of input lanes. It is the number of possible positions in the input lane. "11.4" is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "the z/m input lanes" with "the z/m possible positions in the input lane". Change "11.4" with "11.6". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.5.2 P 189 # 416 C/ 120 SC 120.5.2 P 190 L 35 L 43 # 420 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D z/n is not the number of output lanes. It is the number of possible positions in the output "15.1" is incorrect. lane. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the lowest "15.1" with "15.0". Change "the z/n output lanes" with "the z/n possible positions in the output lane". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.5.3.3 P 191 # 421 L 29 C/ 120 SC 120.5.2 P 190 / 25 # 417 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Here, "skew" is not capitalize, although it is capitalized in most locations. "11.6" is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "skew" with "Skew". Change "11.6" below mux with "11.8". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.5.3.4 P 191 # 422 L 37 C/ 120 SC 120.5.2 P 190 L 32 # 418 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Status D Comment Type T Here, "skew" is not capitalize, although it is capitalized in most locations. "11.5" is incorrect. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "skew" with "Skew". Change "11.5" with "11.7". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 422

Page 78 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

is 200GXS or 400GXS.

Change "in the direction of the PCS" with "towards the RS".

Response Status O

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

C/ 120 SC 120.5.3.6 P 192 # 423 C/ 120 P 193 L 6 SC 120.5.6 L 12 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D We should specify tolerance of Skew (not only Skew Variation) at SP6 to maintain the PCS There is no 400GAUI-4. This clause specifies signal drivers for the physically instantiated receive function, because the Skew tolerance of PCS does not include the Skew generated interface below or above PMA that is either 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n. It does not include by the PMA between SP6 and PCS. the PMD service interface that is not physicall instantiated such as for 400GBASE-DR4. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Insert the following phrase at the end of the last sentence in 120.5.3.6: Change "400GBASE-R, where the number of input or output lanes is 8 or 4" with "400GBASE-R, where the number of input or output lanes is 8". "and the maximum amount of Skew allowed at SP6 (160ns) between input lanes while Proposed Response Response Status O maintaining the PCS receive function". Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.5.8 P 193 L 44 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America C/ 120 SC 120.5.4 P 192 L 10 # 424 Comment Type TR Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America We need a description about IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive for the cases the service Comment Type Т Comment Status D interface is physically instantiated e.g. 200GAUI-n and 400GAUI-n. There may be up to five PMAs (i.e MMD 1, 8, 9, 10, and 11). SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add some description which may be referred from 120B, 120C, 120D, and 120E. Change "three PMA stages" with "five PMA stages". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.5.9 P 193 L 53 SC 120.5.5 P 192 L 48 C/ 120 # 425 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D The direction of the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there

Comment Type Т Comment Status D

Description is inaccurate, because PMA(2:1) is not defined. In particular, PMA(2:1) is not clear in terms of data rate (i.e. same aggregate data rate or same per lane data rate).

SuggestedRemedy

Change the last sentence of 120.5.5 as follows:

For example, a PMA(8:4) could be implemented using four independent 2-1 multiplexers in the Tx direction and four independent 1-2 demultiplexers in the Rx direction.

Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 428

Page 79 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

426

427

428

C/ 120 SC 120.5.10 P194 L19 # 429 C/ 120 SC 12
Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo

Comment Type T Comment Status D

DTE 200GXS or DTE 400GXS do not provide the service interface below the PMA.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "200GXS" with "PHY 200GXS". Change "400GXS" with "PHY 400GXS".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.1.1 P 195 L 23 # [430]
Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The restriction of error counter "for isolated single bit errors" implicates that it does not increment for burst errors. It seems contradictory to the next sentence which says it should count at least one error whenever one or more errors occur in a sliding 1000-bit window.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove the phrase of "for isolated single bit errors" at the end of the sentence which begin with "The checker shall increment" in the fourth paragraph of 120.5.11.1.1.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 120 SC 120.5.11.1.3 P196 L15 # 431

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Here, "PMA" does not make sense and is not required.

SuggestedRemedy

Remove "PMA" after "Tx direction".

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 120 SC 120.5.11.2.1 P196 L40 # 432

lidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Towards the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is 200GXS or 400GXS.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS".

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 120 SC 120.5.11.2.1 P 196 L 50 # 433
Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

nama, rabab

Towards the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is 200GXS or 400GXS.

Comment Status D

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.2 P197 L5 # 434

Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Towards the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is 200GXS or 400GXS.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.2 P 197 # 435 C/ 120 P 198 # 438 L 18 SC 120.5.11.2.4 L 6 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D Towards the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is Towards the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is 200GXS or 400GXS. 200GXS or 400GXS. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS". Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.5.11.2.3 P 197 L 28 # 436 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 207 L 14 # 439 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Towards the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is SP1 and SP6 are not only the cases to apply 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n to the service 200GXS or 400GXS. interface below PMA. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS". Change the status column for DNAUI from "DSP1SP6:M" to "DN PINST:M". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O SC 120.5.11.2.3 P 197 L 47 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 207 C/ 120 # 437 L 11 # 440 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type Т Towards the PCS is not clear, because PMA may be between PCS and RS, if there is The terms "upstream" and "downstream" are not appropriate here, because they implicate 200GXS or 400GXS. the direction of the flow. We should distinguish up side and down side without implicating direction of flow. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "towards the PCS" with "towards the RS". Change "upstream 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n" in the row of UNAUI with "200GAUI-n or Proposed Response Response Status O 400GAUI-n of the PMA service interface above the PMA". Change "downstream 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n" in the row of DNAUI with "200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n of the service interface below the PMA".

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Response Status O

C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 207 # 441 C/ 120 SC 120.7.4 P 208 # 445 L 6 L 6 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D UNAUI is mandatory if the upper interface is 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n. S1 through S9 are mandatory if condition is met. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for UNAUI. Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for S1 through S9. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 207 # 442 C/ 120 SC 120.7.4 P 208 L 14 L 6 # 446 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D DNAUI is mandatory if the upper interface is 200GAUI-n or 400GAUI-n. S1 is mandatory if the lower interface is SP1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for S1 from "DSP1SP6:M" to "DSP1:M". Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for DNAUI. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 207 L 23 # 443 C/ 120 SC 120.7.4 P 208 L 8 # 447 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Type T Comment Status D DELAY200 is mandatory if PMA200 is supported. S2 is mandatory if the lower interface is SP1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for DELAY200. Change the status column for S2 from "DSP1SP6:M" to "DSP1:M". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 120 C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 207 L 25 # 444 SC 120.7.4 P 208 # 448 L 8 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D DELAY400 is mandatory if PMA400 is supported. S3 is mandatory if the upper interface is SP1. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for DELAY400. Change the status column for S3 from "USP1SP6:M" to "USP1:M". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 448

Page 82 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 120 SC 120.7.4 P 208 # 449 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 208 L 42 # 453 L 20 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D S7 is mandatory if the upper interface is SP6. Send PRBS31 Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test pattern is supported. SuggestedRemedy The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. Change the status column for S7 from "USP1SP6:M" to "USP6:M". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Change the status column for J1 to "JTP*DN_NRZ:O". Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.4 P 208 L 22 # 450 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 208 # 454 L 44 Comment Type т Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America S8 is mandatory if the upper interface is SP6. Comment Type E Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Send PRBS31 Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test Change the status column for S8 from "USP1SP6:M" to "USP6:M". pattern is supported. SugaestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Add "N/A []" to the support column for J1. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.4 P 208 L 25 # 451 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America C/ 120 Comment Status D SC 120.7.5 P 208 L 48 # 455 Comment Type T Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America S9 is mandatory if the lower interface is SP6. Comment Type T Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Send PRBS31 Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports NRZ and test Change the status column for S9 from "DSP1SP6:M" to "DSP6:M". pattern is supported. Proposed Response Response Status O The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy Change the status column for J2 to "JTP*UP NRZ:O". C/ 120 SC 120.7.3 P 206 # 452 L 35 Proposed Response Response Status 0 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D To make a reference to JTP from other feature. SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Insert "*" (asterisk) in front of "JTP" in the item column.

Response Status 0

Proposed Response

Comment ID 455

Page 83 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 208 # 456 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 # 459 L 50 L 9 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Send PRBS31 Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports NRZ and test Check PRBS31 Rx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J2. Change the status column for J4 to "JTP*DN_NRZ:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 3 # 457 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 # 460 L 11 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Check PRBS31 Tx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports NRZ and test pattern is supported. Check PRBS31 Rx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. pattern is supported. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change the status column for J3 to "JTP*UP_NRZ:O". Add "N/A []" to the support column for J4. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 5 # 458 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 15 # 461 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Check PRBS31 Tx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports NRZ and test Send PRBS9 Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test pattern pattern is supported. is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J3. Change the status column for J5 to "JTP*DN NRZ:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 461

Page 84 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 17 # 462 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 26 # 465 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type T Comment Status D Send PRBS9 Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test pattern Send square wave Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J5. Change the status column for J7 to "JTP*DN_NRZ:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 21 # 463 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 # 466 L 28 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Send PRBS9 Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports NRZ and test pattern is supported. Send square wave Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports NRZ and test The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. pattern is supported. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change the status column for J6 to "JTP*UP_NRZ:O". Add "N/A []" to the support column for J7. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 23 # 464 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 26 # 467 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D A reference to 120.5.11.1.2 is inappropriate, because 120.5.11.1.2 specifies PRBS9 test Send PRBS9 Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports NRZ and test pattern is supported. pattern. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J6. Change the sublcause column for J7 from "120.5.11.1.2" to "120.5.11.1.3". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 # 468 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 40 # 471 L 32 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Send JP03A Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test Send JP03A Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J9. Change the status column for J8 to "JTP*DN_PAM4:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 44 # 472 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 # 469 L 34 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Send JP03B Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test Send JP03A Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J8. Change the status column for J10 to "JTP*DN PAM4:O". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 38 # 470 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 46 # 473 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Send JP03A Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test Send JP03B Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J10. Change the status column for J9 to "JTP*UP PAM4:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 473

Page 86 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:02

C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 49 # 474 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 5 # 477 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Send JP03B Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test Send PRBS13Q Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J12. Change the status column for J11 to "JTP*UP_PAM4:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 9 # 478 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 209 L 51 # 475 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Send PRBS13Q Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test Send JP03B Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J11. Change the status column for J13 to "JTP*UP PAM4:O". Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 3 # 476 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 11 # 479 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Send PRBS13Q Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test Send PRBS13Q Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J13. Change the status column for J12 to "JTP*DN PAM4:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 # 480 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 # 483 L 15 L 23 Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Send PRBS31Q Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test Send PRBS31Q Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J15. Change the status column for J14 to "JTP*DN_PAM4:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 26 # 484 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 17 # 481 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Check PRBS31Q Tx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test Send PRBS31Q Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SugaestedRemedy Change the status column for J16 to "JTP*UP_PAM4:O". Add "N/A []" to the support column for J14. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status O Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 21 # 482 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 28 # 485 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Send PRBS31Q Rx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test Check PRBS31Q Tx is an optional feature, if the upper interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J16. Change the status column for J15 to "JTP*UP PAM4:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 # 486 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 40 # 489 L 32 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Check PRBS31Q Rx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test Send SSPRQ Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. pattern is supported. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Add "N/A []" to the support column for J18. Change the status column for J17 to "JTP*DN_PAM4:O". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 120 SC 120.7.6 P 210 L 48 # 490 C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 # 487 L 34 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Status D LB1 is mandatory if LBL is supported. Check PRBS31Q Rx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test SuggestedRemedy pattern is supported. Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for LB1. SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O Add "N/A []" to the support column for J17. Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120 SC 120.7.6 P 210 / 50 # 491 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America C/ 120 SC 120.7.5 P 210 L 38 # 488 Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America LB2 is mandatory if LBR is supported. Comment Type T Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy Send SSPRQ Tx is an optional feature, if the lower interface supports PAM4 and test pattern is supported. Change "No []" with "N/A []" in the support column for LB2. The expression currently written in the status column is not consistent with clause 21.6. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Change the status column for J18 to "JTP*DN PAM4:O".

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 93A SC 93A.1 P 313 # 492 L 40

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type Т Comment Status D 200GAUI-n and 400GAUI-n are not physical layers.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "Physical Layer" with "Electrical interface" in the title of Table 93A-2 and in the header row of Table 93A-2.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 119A SC 119A P 315 L 36 # 493 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo

Comment Status D The sentence starting with "Immediately before the tx scrambled" until

"S<0:57>=24e6959d0fa5dbd" should appear earlier, because the scramble is done prior to alignment marker insertion.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Move the sentence starting with "Immediately before the tx scrambled" until

"S<0:57>=24e6959d0fa5dbd" before the paragraph starting with "In this example" on line 22.

Proposed Response Response Status O

SC 120B.1 P 329 # 494 C/ 120B L 27

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type Т Comment Status D

In Figure 120B-1, DTE 200GXS and PHY 200GXS are not distinguished. Although their specifications are mostly identical, there have clear difference due to the location in the protocol stack.

I think we should not omit the prefix "DTE" or "PHY" whenever their distinction is important or effective so as to remind readers of their distinction and labeling.

SuggestedRemedy

Make the following changes in Figure 120B-1:

Change the upper "200GXS" with "DTE 200GXS". Change the lower "200GXS" with "PHY 200GXS".

Add "DTE = DATA TERMINAL EQUIPMENT" at the bottom.

Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120B SC 120B.1 P 330

L 8

495

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type Т Comment Status D

In Figure 120B-2, DTE 400GXS and PHY 400GXS are not distinguished. Although their specifications are mostly identical, there have clear difference due to the location in the protocol stack.

I think we should not omit the prefix "DTE" or "PHY" whenever their distinction is important or effective so as to remind readers of their distinction and labeling.

SuggestedRemedy

Make the following changes in Figure 120B-2:

Change the upper "400GXS" with "DTE 400GXS". Change the lower "400GXS" with "PHY 400GXS". Add "DTE = DATA TERMINAL EQUIPMENT" at the bottom.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D.1 P 344 L 27 # 496

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type Comment Status D

In Figure 120D-1, DTE 200GXS and PHY 200GXS are not distinguished. Although their specifications are mostly identical, there have clear difference due to the location in the

I think we should not omit the prefix "DTE" or "PHY" whenever their distinction is important or effective so as to remind readers of their distinction and labeling.

SuggestedRemedy

Make the following changes in Figure 120D-1:

Change the upper "200GXS" with "DTE 200GXS". Change the lower "200GXS" with "PHY 200GXS". Add "DTE = DATA TERMINAL EQUIPMENT" at the bottom.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120D SC 120D.1 P 345 # 497 C/ 120D SC 120D.1 P 344 # 500 L 8 L 35 Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D In Figure 120D-2, DTE 400GXS and PHY 400GXS are not distinguished. Although their PCS is labeled inconsistently in Figure 120D-1. specifications are mostly identical, there have clear difference due to the location in the SuggestedRemedy protocol stack. Change "200 Gb/s PCS" on the left stack with "200GBASE-R PCS". I think we should not omit the prefix "DTE" or "PHY" whenever their distinction is important or effective so as to remind readers of their distinction and labeling. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy Make the following changes in Figure 120D-2: C/ 120D SC 120D.1 P 345 L 16 # 501 Change the upper "400GXS" with "DTE 400GXS". Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Change the lower "400GXS" with "PHY 400GXS". Add "DTE = DATA TERMINAL EQUIPMENT" at the bottom. Comment Type Comment Status D Proposed Response PCS is labeled inconsistently in Figure 120D-2. Response Status 0 SuggestedRemedy Change "400 Gb/s PCS" on the left stack with "400GBASE-R PCS". C/ 120B SC 120B.1 P 329 L 35 # 498 Proposed Response Response Status O Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D Ε PCS is labeled inconsistently in Figure 120B-1. C/ 120B SC 120B.1 P 331 L 16 # 502 Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiltsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Change "200 Gb/s PCS" on the left stack with "200GBASE-R PCS". Comment Type T Comment Status D Proposed Response Response Status O Figure 120B-3 is a good place to show the IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive that is mandatory for 200GAUI-8 chip-to-chip application. SuggestedRemedy C/ 120B SC 120B.1 P 330 / 16 # 499 Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of IS SIGNAL indication. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Label the left component as "With upper PMA". Comment Type Ε Comment Status D Label the right component as "With lower PMA". PCS is labeled inconsistently in Figure 120B-2. Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Change "400 Gb/s PCS" on the left stack with "400GBASE-R PCS".

Response Status O

Proposed Response

C/ 120B SC 120B.1 P 331 # 503 C/ 120C SC 120C.1 P 337 # 506 L 33 L 16 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D Figure 120B-4 is a good place to show the IS SIGNAL.indication primitive that is Figure 120C-2 is a good place to show the IS SIGNAL indication primitive that is mandatory for 400GAUI-16 chip-to-chip application. mandatory for 200GAUI-8 chip-to-module application. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of IS SIGNAL.indication. IS SIGNAL.indication. Label the left component as "With upper PMA". Proposed Response Response Status O Label the right component as "With lower PMA". Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 120C SC 120C.1 P 337 L 39 # 507 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America C/ 120D SC 120D.1 P 346 L 16 # 504 Comment Type Т Comment Status D Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Figure 120C-3 is a good place to show the IS SIGNAL indication primitive that is Comment Type Comment Status D mandatory for 400GAUI-16 chip-to-module application. Figure 120D-3 is a good place to show the IS SIGNAL indication primitive that is SuggestedRemedy mandatory for 200GAUI-4 chip-to-chip application. Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of SuggestedRemedy IS_SIGNAL.indication. Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of Proposed Response Response Status O IS SIGNAL.indication. Label the left component as "With upper PMA". Label the right component as "With lower PMA". SC 120E.1 C/ 120E P 358 L 16 # 508 Proposed Response Response Status O Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Comment Status D SC 120D.1 C/ 120D P 346 L 33 # 505 Figure 120E-2 is a good place to show the IS SIGNAL indication primitive that is mandatory for 200GAUI-4 chip-to-module application. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Т Comment Status D

IS SIGNAL.indication.

Proposed Response

Figure 120D-4 is a good place to show the IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive that is mandatory for 400GAUI-8 chip-to-chip application.

SuggestedRemedy

Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of IS SIGNAL.indication.

Label the left component as "With upper PMA".

Label the right component as "With lower PMA".

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 508

Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of

Response Status O

Page 92 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:03

Cl 120E SC 120E.1 P 358 L 39 # 509
Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Figure 120E-3 is a good place to show the IS_SIGNAL.indication primitive that is mandatory for 400GAUI-8 chip-to-module application.

SuggestedRemedy

Draw a unidirectonal arrow from the right component to left component with a label of IS SIGNAL.indication.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 120B SC 120B.1 P 331 L 38 # 510

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Channel for 200GAUI-8 and 400GAUI-16 chip-to-chip is described in 120B.4 including the difference from 83D.4.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the reference to "83D.4" with a reference to "120B.4".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 120B SC 120B.5.3 P 334 L 11 # 511

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Negative description "not applicable" in the Value/Comment column for CHAN may be confusing and may cause an error to choose Yes or No.

The term of "PHY manufacturer" is also not clear.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the Value/Comment column for CHAN as follows:

This PICS is for conformance of channel between two PMAs. (A manufacturer responsible only for PMA with this interface may choose "No" for this item.)

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 120B SC 120B.5.4.1 P 334

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

There are exceptions to Table 83D-1 described in 120B.3.1.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the Value/Comment column for TC9 to "Meet Table 83D-1 constraints with exceptions in 120B.3.1".

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 120C SC 120C.5.3 P341 L13 # 513

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

What is adaptive is equalizer rather than receiver.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the feature column for ADR with "Adaptive equalizer".

Change the Value/Comment column for ADR with "Module equalizer does not use

Recommended_CTLE_value".

Proposed Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 513 Pa

Page 93 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:03

512

L 46

C/ 120C SC 120C.5.4.1 P 341 # 514 C/ 120C SC 120C.5.4.2 P 342 # 516 L 28 L 8 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Т Comment Status D For item TH2 through TH14, a reference to 120C.3.1 is useless, because it does not A reference to Pattern 5 and Pattern 3 may be helpful. provide useful information. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "Pattern 5, Pattern 3," in the Value/Comment column for TH14 with "Pattern 3 or 5 Change the subclause column as follows: in Table 86-11". Proposed Response Response Status O TH2:83E.3.1.2 TH3:83E.3.1.2 TH4:83E.3.1 TH5:83E.3.1 C/ 120D SC 120D.2 P 347 L 29 # 517 TH6:83E.3.1.3 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America TH7:83E.3.1.3 TH8:83E.3.1.3 Comment Type Comment Status D TH9: 83E.3.1, 86A.5.3.2 The electrical characteristics of test fixture was specified from 0.05GHz to 25GHz in TH10: 83E.3.1.5. 86A.5.3.3 Equation 93-1 and 93-2 in 93.8.1.1, whereas the informative channel insertion loss is TH11:83E.3.1 specified from 0.01GHz to 28.05GHz in Equation 120D-1. TH12:83E.3.1 We need to expand the range of frequency of the characteristics of test fixture. TH13:83E.3.1 SuggestedRemedy TH14:83E.3.1.6 Insert the following phrase after "Figure 93-5 and 93.8.1.1": Proposed Response Response Status O "with the exception of min frequency for the IL and RL specification is 0.01GHz and max frequency of the IL and RL specification is 28.05GHz". C/ 120C SC 120C.5.4.1 P 341 L 45 # 515 Also, insert the same phrase after "Figure 93-10 and 93.8.2.1". Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type T Comment Status D For item TH9, the differential termination mismatch is measured over AC cap using a C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2.3 P 352 L 46 # 518 method described in 86A.5.3.2. A reference to the equation may be helpful. Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Change the Value/Comment column for TH9 with "Equation (86A-10) or (86A-11) is less Comment Type E Comment Status D than 10%". There is no such variable as "Request eg cm1" or "Request eg c1". Proposed Response Response Status O SuggestedRemedy

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 518

Change "Request_eq_cm1" with "Requested_eq_cm1". Change "Request_eq_c1" with "Requested_eq_c1".

Response Status O

Proposed Response

Page 94 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:03

C/ 120D SC 120D.3.2.3 P 352 L 46 # 519 C/ 120E SC 120E P 358 L 1 # 522 Fujitsu Lab of America Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Ε Comment Status D In this context, "indicate the requested values" seems relevant. "Annex 120E (normative)" is not shown in the bookmark of the PDF file. It is inconsistent with other clauses. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "indicate the request values" with "indicate the requested values". Include "Annex 120E (normative)" in the bookmark text. Proposed Response Response Status 0 Proposed Response Response Status O SC 120D.5.3 P 356 C/ 120D L 11 # 520 C/ 120E SC 120E.3.1.6 P 363 L 28 # 523 Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Fujitsu Lab of America Hidaka, Yasuo Comment Type Т Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D Negative description "not applicable" in the Value/Comment column for CHAN may be confusing and may cause an error to choose Yes or No. The compliance boards for this clause are defined in 120E.2. The term of "PHY manufacturer" is also not clear. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change the reference to "83E.2" with a reference to "120E.2". Change the Value/Comment column for CHAN as follows: Proposed Response Response Status O This PICS is for conformance of channel between two PMAs. (A manufacturer responsible only for PMA with this interface may choose "No" for this item.) C/ 120E SC 120E.3.2.1 P 366 / 44 # 524 Proposed Response Response Status O Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America Comment Type T Comment Status D C/ 120D SC 120D.5.4.3 P 357 L 22 # 521 The compliance boards for this clause are defined in 120E.2. Hidaka, Yasuo Fuiitsu Lab of America SuggestedRemedy Comment Type Т Comment Status D Change the reference to "83E.2" with a reference to "120E.2". COM parameter for 200GAUI-4 and 400GAUI-8 chip-to-chip is described in 120D.4. Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

Change the reference to 83D.4 with a reference to 120D.4

Response Status 0

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The electrical characteristics of test fixture was specified from 0.01GHz to 25GHz in Equation 92-34 in 92.11.1 and 92-35 in 92.11.2, whereas the informative channel insertion loss is specified from 0.01GHz to 28.05GHz in Equation 120E-1.

We need to expand the range of frequency of the characteristics of test fixture.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert the following phrase after "TP2 or TP3 test fixture":

"with the exception of max frequency of the IL and RL specification is 28.05GHz".

Also, insert the same phrase after "the cable assembly test fixture".

Proposed Response Status O

Comment Type T Comment Status D

For item TH9, the differential termination mismatch is measured over AC cap using a method described in 86A.5.3.2. A reference to the equation may be helpful.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the subclause column for TH9 from "120E.3.1" to "120E.3.1.4, 86A.5.3.2". Change the Value/Comment column for TH9 from "Less than 10%" to "Equation (86A-10) or (86A-11) is less than 10%".

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 120E SC 120E.5.4.2 P 379 L 20 # 527

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type T Comment Status D

For item TM7, the differential termination mismatch is measured over AC cap using a method described in 86A.5.3.2. A reference to the equation may be helpful.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the subclause column for TM7 from "120E.3.1" to "120E.3.1.4, 86A.5.3.2". Change the Value/Comment column for TM7 from "Less than 10%" to "Equation (86A-10) or (86A-11) is less than 10%".

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 120 SC 120.5.11 P194 L 32 # 528

Hidaka, Yasuo Fujitsu Lab of America

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Although there are a lot of concerns about burst errors due to DFE, this specification lacks for a capability to evaluate burst errors.

Since it is easy to add such a capability with minor modifications and a small amount of logic, we should add such an optional feature, because DFEs are widely used in the electrical interfaces.

SuggestedRemedy

The detail of the proposal will be presented in the September meeting.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2 P154 L 41 # 529

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The text and curly bracket is technically incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

The curly bracket should be changed to only include the 257-bit blocks "between" the AM blocks, and the text should be changed to read "81 919 \times 257-bit blocks between AM insertions" or "81 919 \times 257-bit blocks between alignment markers" The second option is consistent with CL82.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2 P155 L 23 # 530

Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The text and curly bracket is technically incorrect.

SuggestedRemedy

The curly bracket should be changed to only include the 257-bit blocks "between" the AM blocks, and the text should be changed to read "163 839 \times 257-bit blocks between AM insertions" or "163 839 \times 257-bit blocks between alignment markers". The second option is consistent with CL82.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.8 P 159 # 531 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.8 P 160 L 24 # 534 L 24 Cisco Systems Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems Nicholl, Gary Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D MAk-1. Since we are using a fixed RS(544,514) FEC, then the value of k is known and MAk-1. Since we are using a fixed RS(544,514) FEC, then the value of k is known and fixed, i.e k=514.lt would be easer to read/understand if 514 was substituted for k in the fixed, i.e k=514.lt would be easer to read/understand if 514 was substituted for k in the diagram, i.e. MAk-1 becomes MA513, etc. diagram, i.e. MAk-1 becomes MA513, etc. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Substitute k=514 in the diagram. Substitute k=514 in the diagram. Proposed Response Proposed Response Response Status 0 Response Status O C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.8 P 159 L 32 # 532 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.8 P 160 L 32 # 535 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D Should show CA543=MA513, CA542=MA512, etc ... Should show CA543=MA513, CA542=MA512, etc ... SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Show CA543=MA513, CA542=MA512, etc throughout diagram Show CA543=MA513, CA542=MA512, etc throughout diagram Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status 0 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.8 P 159 L 35 # 533 C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.8 P 160 L 35 # 536 Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems Nicholl, Gary Cisco Systems Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D CA2t-1. We are using a signle FEC in this clause and the value of t is known. It would be CA2t-1. We are using a signle FEC in this clause and the value of t is known. It would be easier to read/understand if 15 was substituted for t thoughtout the diagram, i.e. CA2teasier to read/understand if 15 was substituted for t thoughtout the diagram, i.e. CA2t-1becomes cA29 and PA2t-1 becomes PA29. 1becomes cA29 and PA2t-1 becomes PA29. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Substitute t=15 in the diagram. Substitute t=15 in the diagram. Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

C/ 1 SC 1.1.3.2 P 33 L 22 # 537 C/ 1 SC 1.4.72i P 34 L 33 # 540 Bouda, Martin Bouda, Martin Fujitsu Fujitsu Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D "Two widths of (...) eigth-lane version (...) four-lane version" could be made easier to read "Two widths of (...) sixteen-lane version (...) eight-lane version" could be made easier to by replacing either the word "width" by "type", or words "type" by "width" read by replacing either the word "width" by "type", or words "type" by "width" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In the sentence replace the two instances of "version" by "width". In the sentence replace the two instances of "version" by "width". Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 1 SC 1.1.3.2 P 33 L 35 # 538 C/ 1 SC 1.4.325 P 35 L 35 # 541 Bouda, Martin Bouda, Martin Fujitsu Fujitsu Comment Type Comment Status D Comment Type Comment Status D "Two widths of (...) sixteen-lane version (...) eight-lane version" could be made easier to "(...) PCS distributes encoded data to multiple logical lanes, these logical lanes are called read by replacing either the word "width" by "type", or words "type" by "width" PCS lanes." should be broken into two sentences, removing the comma. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy In the sentence replace the two instances of "version" by "width". "(...) PCS distributes encoded data to multiple logical lanes. These logical lanes are called PCS lanes." Proposed Response Response Status O Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 1 SC 1.4.72b P 34 L 8 # 539 C/ 1 SC 1.4.325 P 35 L 36 # 542 Bouda, Martin Fuiitsu Bouda, Martin Fuiitsu Comment Status D Comment Type E Comment Type E Comment Status D "Two widths of (...) eigth-lane version (...) four-lane version" could be made easier to read Moving the word together to just after the word carried would make the following sentence by replacing either the word "width" by "type", or words "type" by "width" easier to read: "One or more PCS lanes can be multiplexed and carried on a physical lane SuggestedRemedy together at the PMA service interface." In the sentence replace the two instances of "version" by "width". SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status O

PMA service interface."

Proposed Response

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

"One or more PCS lanes can be multiplexed and carried together on a physical lane at the

Response Status O

C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 37 L 17 # 543 Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.123 P 60 L 60 # 547 Bouda, Martin Bouda, Martin Fujitsu Fujitsu Comment Type ER Comment Status D Comment Type ER Comment Status D Insert a comma to separate Clause number from bitrate in "Clause 119 200 Gb/s" "(...) PHY types that implement square wave testing and PRBS testing in the PMA." should be made inclusive of the newly added patterns of bits 1.1500.6 through 1.1500.15. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy "Clause 119, 200 Gb/s" "(...) PHY types that implement SSPRQ, JP03A, square wave, PRBS13Q or PRBS testing Proposed Response Response Status 0 ability in the PMA." Proposed Response Response Status O SC 30.3.2.1.2 P 37 C/ 30 L 18 # 544 Bouda, Martin Fujitsu Cl 45 SC 45.2.1.125 P 64 L 24 # 548 Comment Type ER Comment Status D Bouda, Martin Fujitsu Insert a comma to separate Clause number from bitrate in "Clause 119 400 Gb/s" Comment Type ER Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy The footnote of Table 45-94 does not need to include "RO=Read only" anymore since all of the bits have become R/W. "Clause 119, 400 Gb/s" SuggestedRemedy Proposed Response Response Status 0 Replace the footnote with "aR/W = Read/Write" Proposed Response Response Status O C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 P 37 # 545 L 27 Bouda, Martin Fuiitsu C/ 116 SC 116.1.4 P 106 L 24 # 549 Comment Status D Comment Type ER Bouda, Martin Fuiitsu Insert a comma to separate Clause number from bitrate in "Clause 119 200 Gb/s" Comment Type ER Comment Status D SuggestedRemedy A nomenclature is a system of naming things, rather than specific instances of a "Clause 119, 200 Gb/s" systematic naming. Therefore, the word "Nomenclature" should be at replaced by "PHY" in Proposed Response Response Status O the sentence "Table 116-3 and Table 116-4 specify the correlation between nomenclature and clauses." SuggestedRemedy C/ 30 SC 30.3.2.1.3 P 37 # 546 L 28 "Table 116-3 and Table 116-4 relate PHYs to applicable clauses." Bouda, Martin Fujitsu Proposed Response Response Status O Comment Type ER Comment Status D Insert a comma to separate Clause number from bitrate in "Clause 119 200 Gb/s"

SuggestedRemedy

Proposed Response

"Clause 119, 200 Gb/s"

Response Status 0

Cl 116 SC 116.1.4 P 106 L 28 # 550

Bouda, Martin Fujitsu

Comment Type ER Comment Status D

A nomenclature is a system of naming things, rather than specific instances of a systematic naming. Therefore, the word "Nomenclature" should be replaced by "Name", as in Table 116-2 for instance, or by "PHY".

SuggestedRemedy

Replace all occurences of "Nomenclature" by "PHY".

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 116 SC 116.1.4 P107 L4 # 551

Comment Status D

Comment Status D

Bouda, Martin Fujitsu

ER

A nomenclature is a system of naming things, rather than specific instances of a systematic naming. Therefore, the word "Nomenclature" should be replaced by "Name", as in Table 116-2 for instance, or by "PHY".

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

Replace all occurences of "Nomenclature" by "PHY".

Proposed Response Status O

C/ **120** SC **5.11.2.4** P **198** L **27** # 552
Palkert, Thomas Macom

-aikert, momas iviacom

The method of generating a PRBS31Q pattern is complex and we have seen differences in bit sequences generated between vendors. Correnct implementation of the test procedures requires that the sequence is the same across vendors.

SuggestedRemedy

Comment Type

To provide clarity we propose that we provide the first 50 bits of the sequence of the PAM4 signal which will ensure that various implementation are in agreement.

50 bit sequence should be sufficient to ensure correct coding. Note that the proposed solution would follow what is current done for the PRBS13Q sequence which shows the bits on page 197 line 41.

Proposed Response Status O

Cl 124 SC 124.7.1 P 294 L 30 # 553

traverso, matt cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Transmitters which use a single light source split among multiple lanes are challenged to meet -30 dBm for the parameter Average launch power of OFF transmitter, each lane (max).

The signal detect function must act on a signal between the average receive power, each lane (min) which is -5.4 dBm in this draft. Relaxing the TX OFF value for signal_detect is technically feasible.

SuggestedRemedy

Change Average launch power of OFF transmitter, each lane (max) to be -20 dBm

Proposed Response Response Status W

[Editor's note: Comment Type set to T]

Cl 124 SC 124.5.4 P 292 L 6 # 554 traverso, matt cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Transmitters which use a single light source split among multiple lanes are challenged to meet -30 dBm.

The signal detect function must act on a signal between the average receive power, each lane (min) which is -5.4 dBm in this draft. Relaxing the FAIL value for signal_detect is technically feasible.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest to change value to <= -20 dBm

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 124 SC 124.8.1 P 296 L 32 # 555 traverso, matt

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The optical transmitter wavelength will not vary appreciably (relative to the currently specified 1304.5 - 1317.5nm) when any of the test patterns specified in Table 124-9 are used.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "3, 5 or valid 400GBASE-R signal" to "3, 4, 5, 6 or valid 400GBASE-R signal"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The optical transmitter side mode suppression ratio will not vary appreciably (relative to the currently specified 1304.5 - 1317.5nm) when any of the test patterns specified in Table 124-9 are used.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "3, 5 or valid 400GBASE-R signal" to "3, 4, 5, 6 or valid 400GBASE-R signal"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 124 SC 124.8.1 P 296 L 36 # 557

traverso, matt cisco

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The optical average optical power will not vary appreciably (relative to the currently specified 1304.5 - 1317.5nm) when any of the test patterns specified in Table 124-9 are used

SuggestedRemedy

Change "3, 5 or valid 400GBASE-R signal" to "3, 4, 5, 6 or valid 400GBASE-R signal"

Proposed Response Response Status O

CI 122 SC 122.1 P 239 L 1 # 558

Booth, Brad Microsoft

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

400GBASE-FR8 does not satisfy broad market potential or economic feasibility. It is well understood in the Ethernet industry that all solutions for 2 km optical PMDs are considered "client" or "grey" optics. These PMDs must be able to satisfy the faceplate density requirements (32 ports per 1 RU) to be considered economically feasible. The current power estimations for 400GBASE-FR8 does not permit the PMD to meet the power envelope or cost requirements needed to satisfy this requirement. Because the PMD will not be economically feasible, it is therefore unlikely to have broad market potential.

SuggestedRemedy

Two options:

- 1) Delete 400GBASE-FR8 from the draft and remove the objective from the project.
- 2) Consider other options that will result in a solution that satisfies the economic feasibility and broad market potential requirements.

As #2 is highly unlikely at this point in time, option #1 is the preferred suggested remedy.

Proposed Response Response Status O

C/ 123 SC 123.1 P 269 L 1 # 559

Booth, Brad Microsoft

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

400GBASE-SR16 requires twice the number of fibers as two 200GBASE-SR4; therefore, it does not satisfy the balanced cost requirement of economic feasibility. Because the PMD does not meet the economically feasibility, it is unlikely to have broad market potential.

SuggestedRemedy

Two options:

- 1) Delete 400GBASE-SR16 from the draft and remove the objective from the project.
- 2) Modify the PMD to be 400GBASE-SR8 based on the same technology proposed for 200GBASE-SR4.

As #1 is highly unlikely at this point in time, option #2 is the preferred suggested remedy.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Comment Type **E** Comment Status **D**Please add Working Group voter list supplied in

IEEE_P802d3bs_WG_names_DL_240816.fm

SuggestedRemedy
See comment.

Proposed Response Status W

[Editor's note: Attachment is law_3bs_01_0916.pdf in http://www.ieee802.org/3/bs/comments/P802d3bs_D2p0_attachments.zip]

Cl 119 SC 119.2.5.5 P 162 L 34 # 561

Wertheim, Oded Mellanox Technologie

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The alignment markers removal is performed after the post FEC interleaving, and therefore it's more clear to base the description on transcoding blocks and not codewords as done in the alignment markers insertion (119.2.4.4) and depicted in figures 119-7 / 119-8.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace: "For the 200GBASE-R PCS, every 4096th codewords"

With: "For the 200GBASE-R PCS, every 81920 x 257-bit blocks (corresponds to 4096 codewords)"

Replace: "For the 400GBASE-R PCS, every 8192nd codewords"

With: "For the 400GBASE-R PCS, every 163840 x 257-bit blocks (corresponds to 8192

codewords)"

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 119 SC 119.2.4.4.2

P **154**

L 44

562

Wertheim, Oded

Mellanox Technologie

Comment Type E Comment Status D

The drawing in Figures 119-7, 119-8 is correct but the description in 119-7 "81 920 \times 257-bit blocks between AM insertions" may be misinterpreted since there are (81 920 - 4) \times 257-bit blocks between insertions.

SuggestedRemedy

Change the text in Figure 119-7 to "81 920 \times 257-bit blocks between the beginning of successive AMs"

Change the text in Figure 119-8 to "163 840 \times 257-bit blocks between the beginning of successive AMs"

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 120E SC 120E.3.1 P 361 L 47 # 563

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

For a high loss host output with a peak-to-peak voltage of 900 mV as measured with PRBS13Q, the peak-to-peak voltage in service will be greater, by an amout that is more than I expected. It is too much to expect the receiver designer to second-guess this; we should expect the receiver to work with 900 mV for any reasonable pattern.

SuggestedRemedy

Reduce the 900 mV here by a few percent. This makes no difference to a high-loss host. The output swing in a low-loss host might have to be reduced slightly, but that's OK, the module will still have an easier task than with the high-loss host.

Reduce the crosstalk amplitude in module output test and host stressed input calibration similarly, as they are also specified with PRBS13Q.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 348 L 24 # 564

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

94.3.12.7 refers to 94.3.12.5.2 which uses QPRBS13; and 94.3.12.5.1, 94.2.9.4, transmitter linearity test pattern; and runs of at least 8 consecutive identical levels.

SuggestedRemedy

Should be PRBS13Q; and PRBS13Q; and runs of at least 6 consecutive identical levels. There may be other corrections / exceptions needed.

Proposed Response Response Status 0

Cl 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 348 L 28 # 565

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Should not use such an unrepresentative pattern; should not require such a strange pattern for just one spec item.

Should not rely on Clause 94.

SuggestedRemedy

Either: measure EOJ with PRBS13Q (or a shorter PRBSnQ if we have one) as in D1.4 120E.3.3.2 Even-odd jitter, but with 120D style slicing levels based on 120D.3.1.2.2. Apply the spec to a subset of emphasis settings, or apply to all emphasis settings but ignore the edges that are not present when emphasis is off. This will be a by-product of the SNDR and other jitter measurement, avoiding a separate measurement.

Or, if we think that J_RMS, J5 (J4), SNDR, and linear fit components provide good enough coverage, remove the EOJ spec.

Remove the JP03B test pattern generator and registers.

Proposed Response Status O

C/ 121 SC 121.7.1 P 218 L 31 # 566

Dawe, Piers Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

Does the extinction ratio matter much in PAM4?

SuggestedRemedy

Unless it's important, reduce the limit to 3 dB, or as appropriate, for each optical PMD.

Proposed Response Status O

 C/
 121
 SC
 121.7.1
 P 218

 Dawe, Piers
 Mellanox

Comment Type TR Comment Status D

The SMSR spec has been described variously as a diagnostic, a component level spec for buying lasers to make into PMDs, an early warning, a comfort blanket / included by default, or something that can be measured relatively easily in a component lab. Any SMSR problems will contribute to TDECQ - but we haven't quantified them. The effect of SMSR will depend strongly on the amount of dispersion which varies from one PMD to another and lane to lane, and on laser technology. We should not obstruct innovative implementations.

L 16

SuggestedRemedy

Make the SMSR limit a recommendation not a PICS requirement. All optical PMDs in this project.

Proposed Response Response Status O

Cl 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 200 L 8 # 568
Hanan, Leizerovich MultiPhy

Comment Type T Comment Status D

The SSPRQ pattern is eventually a repeating sequence of 2^16-1 PAM4 symbols. Pattern length is not a round power of 2, which mat complicate some implementations.

SuggestedRemedy

Pad the suggested pattern by an additional symbol, generating a 2^16 symbols length sequence.

Proposed Response Status W

[Editor's note: Comment type set to T and this comment was sent after the close of the comment period]

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID 568

Page 103 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:03

567

Cl 121 SC 121.8.5.4 P 225 L 50 # 569
Hanan, Leizerovich MultiPhy

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Reference equalizer implementation is not specifically stated.

This may cause several problems, especially if the reference equalizers used for Rx and for Tx are implemented differently between two different vendors, causing their modules not to interop with one another.

Bad equalizer implementation may assist modules to pass SRS on the Rx side, as the eye is seems falsely closed, altough it can be opened more using a better equalizer, while the same Rx will not pass with actual TX signals.

SuggestedRemedy

Suggest a specific reference equalizer implementation.

Possible example implementation is minimum MSE between the signal and an ideal PAM-4 signal with the same OMA as the measured signal (inner levels at 0, OMA/3 and 2*OMA/3).

Proposed Response Status W

[Editor's note: Comment type set to T and

this comment was sent after the close of the comment period]

Cl 121 SC 121.8.3 P 225 L 5 # 570

King, Jonathan Finisar

Comment Type T Comment Status D

Equation 121-5 needs two corrections

SuggestedRemedy

The divisor sq_rt(2 pi) should be sigma_g x sq_rt(2 pi), and the divisor sigma_g in the exponent should be 2 sigma_g

Proposed Response Status W

[Editor's note: This comment was sent after the close of the comment period]

Cl 120 SC 120.5.11.2.5 P 199 L 44 # 571

Zivny, Pavel Tektronix

Comment Type E Comment Status X

In the text "shift register implementation shown in Figure 49-7." the reference is in error.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to

"shift register implementation shown in Figure 49-9".

Proposed Response Status W

[Editor's note: This comment was sent after the close of the comment period]

C/ 121 SC 121.8.4 P 221 L 15 # 572

Zivny, Pavel Tektronix

Comment Type T Comment Status X

OMAouter is defined for PRBS13Q explicitly, yet it is needed for measurement based on other patterns (e.g. TDECQ).

This is impractical and unnecessary. Drop the reference to PRBS13Q.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "The OMAouter of each lane shall be within the limits given in Table 121–6 if measured using a PRBS13Q pattern as defined in 120.5.11.2.3."

"The OMAouter of each lane shall be within the limits given in Table 121-6."

Proposed Response Status W

[Editor's note: This comment was sent after the close of the comment period]

Cl 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 347 L 49 # 573

Zivny, Pavel Tektronix

Comment Type T Comment Status X

The statement "The jitter is measured with a single-pole high-pass filter with a 3 dB bandwidth of 4 MHz." is not appropriate since on next page the footnote (d) states: "the clock recovery unit (CRU) used in the jitter measurement has a corner frequency of 4 MHz and a slope of 20 dB/decade".

SuggestedRemedy

change line 49 to read:

"The jitter is measured with a the clock recovery unit (CRU)".

Proposed Response Response Status W

[Editor's note: This comment was sent after the close of the comment period]

574 C/ 120D SC 120D.3.1.1 P 348 L 28

Zivny, Pavel Tektronix

Comment Type Comment Status X Т

In the table "Table 120D-1—200GAUI-4 and 400GAUI-8 transmitter characteristics at

TP0a" the footnote (d) is anchored on "even odd jitter(max)."

This footnote describes the CR to use for jitter measurements.

This shoull be anchored on the very first word in the jitter section, "Output jitter".

SuggestedRemedy

Anchor the footnote (d) on the words "Ooutput jitter".

Proposed Response Response Status W

[Editor's note: This comment was sent after the close of the comment period]

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn

Page 105 of 105 11/09/2016 11:02:03