

Global Networking Services


Interconnect Considerations

Brad Booth
IEEE 802.3bs 400Gb/s Task Force
IEEE 802 July 2014 Plenary
San Diego, CA

Supporters


- Bill Szeto, Xtera Communications
- Ali Ghiasi, Ghiasi Quantum
- Valerie Maguire, Siemon
- Keith Conroy, MultiPHY
- Danny Morlion, FCI
- Brian Welch, Luxtera
- Wu Chengbin, ZTE
- Dave Brown, Semtech

Cloud Data Center Campus Interconnections





Interconnect Usage Module

- SFP+



- QSFP+



- Commonality is a good thing

† Courtesy of Arista Networks

† Courtesy of Molex

† Courtesy of Finisar

Minimize Complexity

- Converting from X to Y to get to Z is costly
 - Avoid gearboxes in the specification
 - Applies to speed as well as modulation
 - Gearbox is an implementation fix, treat it as such
- Examples
 - Bad: 16x25G to 4x100G-PAM4 to 4xDMT
 - Better: 8x50G-PAM4 to 4x100G-PAM4
- Every translation increases power and cost

Obsolescence – Planned or Chaos

- 16 x 25G
 - Is this just 4 x (4 x 25G) we have today?
 - If so, do we need a specification?
- 8 x 50G
 - Modulation is under discussion in OIF
 - If PAM4, does that imply PAM4 for the optics?
- 4 x 100G
 - Would be great to have, but realistic to have a valid proposal by November this year?

Thoughts

- Develop a common interface that permits broad usage
- Reduce the number of translations required
- Plan for interface obsolescence
 - **Focus effort for the correct timeframe... standard in early 2017**

Thank you!