

Chief Editor's opening report

Pete Anslow, Ciena, P802.3bs Chief Editor

IEEE P802.3bs Task Force, San Diego, July 2016

Editorial team

Pete Anslow, Ciena

- Chief Editor and Editor for Clauses FM, 00, 1, 4, 30, 45, 78, 116, A, 4A, 31B, 90, 93A, 120B, 120C

Mark Gustlin, Xilinx

- Editor for Clauses 117, 118, 119, 119A

Steve Trowbridge, Alcatel-Lucent

- Editor for Clause 120, 120A

Jonathan King, Finisar

- Editor for Clause 123

Peter Stassar, Huawei

- Editor for Clauses 121, 122, 124

Andre Szczepanek, Inphi

- Editor for Annexes 120D, 120E


Status

P802.3bs D1.5 Task Force review


- Opened 2 July 2016, closed 16 July 2016
- 15 day comment period
- 4 comments received
- Thanks to those who commented
- Proposed responses posted

Description	Clause(s)	TR	T	ER	E	Total
Front matter, Intro	00, 1, A, FM			1		1
Management	30, 45					0
Misc	4, 78, 4A, 31B, 90, 93A, 120A					0
Intro, RS, PCS, PMA	116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 119A		1			1
MMF PMD	123					0
SMF PMDs	121, 122, 124					0
CCAUI-8, CDAUI-16	120B, 120C					0
CCAUI-4, CDAUI-8	120D, 120E		1		1	2
Total comments		0	2	1	1	4

Comment distribution



Comment history



Meeting goals

Resolve comments against Draft 1.5

- Comment resolution agenda in later slides
- Any presentations associated with comments will be reviewed during comment resolution session
- T and TRs will be treated with same status, no signoff is required
- E and ERs will be treated with same status, no signoff is required

Request to move to Working Group ballot

As there are only 4 comments, they will be resolved individually and in the posted comment order.

Motion from the Whistler meeting

Motion #4 Move:

- Use existing roman numeral based nomenclature (i.e. CC or CD) for generation of Draft 1.4
- Pre-submit Draft 1.5, with nomenclature based on Roman numbers, for consideration to proceed to WG Ballot
- Direct editorial team to create two “candidate” versions of Draft 2.0, one version with nomenclature based on Roman numbers and one version based on Arabic numbers.
- Discuss nomenclature @ July 2016 IEEE 802 Plenary and resolve which “candidate” version (nomenclature is based on Roman or Arabic numbers), and present the selected version for consideration to proceed to go to WG Ballot

Yes: 53, No: 0, Abstain: 0

Thanks!